



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

**TUESDAY, MAY 1, 2018, 7:00 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
ROOM 203, CITY HALL**

A. ROLL CALL.

Barbara Dorff, Andy Nicholson, Craig Stevens, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Mark Steuer, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Kathy Lefebvre, Randy Scannell, John VanderLeest, Chris Wery. Excused: None

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

C. INVOCATION.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Alderperson Andy Nicholson, seconded by Alderperson Randy Scannell to approve the minutes of the April 17, 2018 meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

E. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Kathy Lefebvre to approve the agenda.

Moved by Alderperson Barb Dorff, seconded by Randy Scannell to move I&S to after J.

Moved by Alderperson Bill Galvin, seconded by Mark Steuer to move T1 T2 to after J and before I&S.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Bill Galvin to approve as amended. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

No- None, Abstain- None
No- None, Abstain- None

F. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

The Mayor reminded everyone about the State of the City on May 9th at the Meyer Theatre. He also stated that yesterday, April 30, was a significant day for the City of Green Bay because the sale of the Hotel Northland was approved.

G. ANNOUNCEMENTS

H. APPOINTMENTS

1. NEW APPOINTMENTS:

Redevelopment Authority

Kathy Hinkfuss

Term to expire: March 1, 2023

Chelsea Kocken (On Broadway, Inc. Liaison)

Term to expire: May 1, 2019

Green Bay Area Room Tax Commission

Warren Wanezek

Term to expire: November 1, 2018

Moved by Alderperson Andy Nicholson, seconded by Alderperson Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

I. RECOGNITION

1. Green Bay Elks Lodge 125 years

The Mayor presented a plaque to the Green Bay Elks Lodge.

J. PRESENTATION

1. Presentation by State Representative David Steffen

State Representative David Steffen spoke about things happening at the State level.

Minutes of the Common Council

K. GREEN BAY PLAN COMMISSION

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. To amend the Planned Unit Development (PUD) for HSHS St. Mary's Hospital Medical Center campus signage, 1726 Shawano Avenue, subject to the Planned Unit Development Ordinance (PUD).

L. PUBLIC ARTS COMMISSION

Moved by Alderperson Barbara Dorff, seconded by Alderperson Andy Nicholson to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. To table the reevaluation of the Annual Grant Program funding match until the next available Public Arts Commission meeting.

2. To allow staff from the Green Bay Public Arts Commission to connect with artists and discover new public art opportunities.

M. TRAFFIC, BICYCLE, AND PEDESTRIAN COMMISSION

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Andy Nicholson to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. To receive and place on file the 2018 1st quarter report of serious injury and fatality crashes.

2. To refer to staff for consideration in the upcoming Safe Routes for Non-Drivers Plan to do a

sidewalk study to have sidewalks installed on East Mason Street from Main Street to the I-43 Business Park on both sides of the street.

3. To receive and place on file the request to study the traffic signal timings at Clement Street & University Avenue and at Riviera Lane & University Avenue.

4. To receive and place on file the request to establish designated loading zones and delivery hours for downtown businesses and to seek input from Downtown Green Bay Incorporated (DGBI) and local business owners and that a similar request not be made unless a specific complaint is brought forward for consideration.

5. To receive and place on file the request on behalf of area residents to establish a 4-WAY STOP condition at South Norwood Avenue and Victory Boulevard.

6. To receive and place on file the request to install a red blinker STOP sign on westbound Mather Street at North Locust Street due to concerns of safety and traffic flow.

7. To receive and place on file the request to discuss measures to improve pedestrian safety for crossing Packerland Drive at the intersection with He-Nis-Ra Lane.

8. To receive and place on file the request to study pedestrian safety issues at Green Bay Packaging on Radisson Street.

9. To remove the NO PARKING 7 AM TO 4 PM SCHOOL DAYS zone on the south side of Ninth Street from a point 200 feet west of Ridge Road to a point 100 feet west of Ridge Road.

10. To establish and adopt by ordinance the NO PARKING 7 AM TO 4 PM SCHOOL DAYS zone on the south side of Ninth Street from a point 200 feet west of Ridge Road to a point 100 feet west of Ridge Road.

11. To receive and place on file the report by the Traffic Engineer about Multi-Jurisdictional Bicycle & Pedestrian Crosswalk Enforcement Day on Wednesday, May 9.

N. FINANCE COMMITTEE

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Jesse Brunette to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. To approve a request by the City Assessor's office to cancel certain personal property taxes for Rae Cor Distributing, Changing Lives Counseling, and Bienvendos for the 2017 Tax Bill.

2. To approve the purchase of Motorola mobile radios from Motorola c/o Baycom for \$52,818.

3. To approve the purchase of Motorola portable radios from Motorola c/o Baycom for \$93,643.

4. To approve the purchase of a Motorola base station and remotes from Motorola c/o Baycom for \$12,276.

5. To approve the purchase of furniture & installation from Emmons Business Interiors for \$147,272.

6. To approve the Claims Committee report for April 2018.

7. To receive and place on file the report by the Finance Director.

O. IMPROVEMENT & SERVICE COMMITTEE

Moved by Alderperson Andy Nicholson, seconded by Alderperson Randy Scannell to approve the report with the exception of item #11. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. To approve the request by Glen Duda at 820 School Place for permission to park caregiver vehicles on-street overnight with the following conditions: 1) request is for calendar year 2018; 2) staff will verify annually the need for continuing the exemption and grant at a staff level until Mr. Duda no longer requires the exemption; 3) exemption is not valid during a declared snow emergency.

2. To hold the request by Ald. Chris Wery on recycle/garbage bin storage requirements/enforcement.

3. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a 5-year sole source contract with Badger Concrete Lifting for mudjacking services City-wide on an as-needed basis, in an amount not to exceed the annual allocation in the approved Capital Improvement Program.

4. To approve the request by Parking Division to renew its lease for the Lot E property (221, 225 & 231 South Jefferson Street) with the Premonstratensian Fathers.

5. To refer to staff to study comparisons and differences in overnight parking for the cities of Milwaukee, Madison, Green Bay, Appleton, Eau Claire and Racine and to produce a report summarizing the results of the study, including the financials.

6. To approve the contract SEWERS 3-18 (ELIZABETH STREET) to the low, responsive bidder, Vinton Construction Co., in the amount of \$1,128,118.32.

7. To approve the Offering Price Reports for the Webster Avenue project and authorize payments.

8. To approve the Agreement(s) for Purchase and Sale of Real Estate for the Webster Avenue project and authorize payments.

9. To approve the Relocation Claims for the Webster Avenue project and authorize payments.

10. To approve the applications for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's Licenses by the following:

- B. Christensen Concrete
- C. Concrete Finishers LLC
- E. Fischer Ulman Construction, Inc.

To contingently approve application for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's License pending successful reference reviews:

- A. Absolute Concrete

To deny the application for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's License by the following:

- D. Delrar, Inc.

11. To table the request to order improvements completed and assessments levied for:

ASPHALT PAVEMENT

HILLCREST DRIVE - Renaissance Lane to West Mason Street

ASPHALT RESURFACING

HAVEN PLACE - West City limits to Renaissance Lane

WATER MAIN AND WATER SERVICES

HILLCREST DRIVE - West Point Road to Renaissance Lane

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Barb Dorff to approve item #11.

Moved by Alderperson Jesse Brunette, seconded by Alderperson Randy Scannell to amend item #11 to hold for 1-year.

Moved by Alderperson Jesse Brunette, seconded by Alderperson Randy Scannell to open the floor for interested parties to speak. Motion Carried.

Moved by Alderperson Andy Nicholson, seconded by Alderperson Mark Steuer to return to the regular order of business. Motion Carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

12. To approve the request to order improvements completed and assessments levied for:

WATER MAIN AND WATER SERVICES

ERIE ROAD - Friar's Path to 830' south of East Mason Street

P. PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Bill Galvin to approve the report with the exception of items #7 & #8. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. To approve request to fill the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

- a. Engineering Aide I - Public Works
- b. Truck Driver/Sanitation - Public Works
- c. Parking Maintenance Technician - Public Works
- d. Park Maintenance Worker - Parks, Recreation & Forestry

2. To approve the Assessor's Office reorganization as follows:

- a. Reclassify the Appraiser I position at Pay Grade G, \$21.24 - \$28.74/hour to an Appraiser II position at Pay Grade H, \$23.30 - \$31.52/hour at a projected annual cost of \$5,998. Approval to fill this position and all subsequent vacancies.
- b. To retitle the Appraiser III position at Pay Grade K, \$29.45 - \$39.85/hour to an Appraiser II/III.

- c. To create an additional Appraiser II position at Pay Grade H, \$23.30 - \$31.52/hour at a projected annual cost of \$85,097 effective January 1, 2019.
- d. Adopt reevaluation policy presented by the City Assessor.

3. To approve out of state travel for Commander Ebel and Lt. Cain to attend the NFL Security Conference in Carlsbad, California, May 16 - 18, 2018 at no cost to the City for transportation, room or board.

4. To approve the Memorandum of Understandings with the Green Bay Professional Police Association to add a Police Sergeant Classification and amend Residency.

The Common Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sec. 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating public employee contracts for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Common Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Sec. 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

5. To adopt the revised Police Department table of organization.

6. To approve the modification of Personnel Policy, Chapter 29, HIPAA Privacy Policy.

7. To approve cancellation of the first regularly scheduled meeting for the months of June, July, August, and September, so that the City Council will be scheduled to meet once per month on the third Tuesday, for those months in 2018.

Moved by Alderperson Andy Nicholson, seconded by Alderperson Barb Dorff to approve item #7.

Motion by Alderperson Chris Wery, seconded by Alderperson Mark Steuer to amend to cancel the meeting in July. Motion failed.

Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, No- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Abstain- None

Motion by Alderperson Chris Wery to amend to cancel the meeting in July and August. Motion failed - no second.

Motion by Alderperson Chris Wery, seconded by Alderperson Mar Steuer to amend to cancel the meetings June, July, and August. Motion failed.

Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Brian Johnson, Mark Steuer, No- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Abstain- None

A vote was then taken on the approval of item #7.

Yes- Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Abstain- None

8. To approve a new starting time of 6:00 p.m. for City Council meetings.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Jesse Brunette, Abstain- None

Q. PARKS COMMITTEE

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Andy Nicholson to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

1. To approve the purchase of a Hill Mower and Attachments from Horst Distributing Co. for \$25,205.

2. To approve the purchase of a Zero Turn Riding Mower from Service Motor Company for \$13,7000.

3. To receive and place on file the presentation by staff on the Wildlife Sanctuary 4K addition to the Nature Center.

R. PROTECTION & WELFARE COMMITTEE

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Bill Galvin to approve the report with the exception of item #10. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. To approve the change of agent for Presidente Mexican Kitchen at 219 N. Washington St.
2. To approve the change of agent for Dollar General Store #6563 at 1152 S. Military Ave.
3. To approve the change of agent for Dollar General Store #6481 at 2241 Main St. Ste. B.
4. To approve a request by the owner of Jill's, 613 Bodart Street, to hold out door events on June 25 and 30, 2018, subject to complaint.
5. To approve a request by the owner of Brian Bunkelman, The Sardine Can, 128 S. Broadway, to hold outdoor events on June 13, July 11, and August 15, 2018, subject to complaint.
6. To approve an application for a "Class B" Combination License by Soriah Hospitality LLC at 1139 Main Street (Currently Top Hat Club, Inc.), with the approval of the proper authorities.
7. To approve an application for a Class "A" Liquor License by Tikapur Petroleum, LLC at 2115 Main Street (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage License), with the approval of the proper authorities.
8. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Reports from the Police Department for April 23, 2018.

9. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for April 9, 2018.

10. To hold a request by Catch-22 at 1699 E. Mason St. to change their liquor license to include an outdoor patio seating area.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Bill Galvin to approve item

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Andy Nicholson to approve item #10 giving the Protection and Welfare Committee the final granting authority. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

S. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

1. Refer to the Improvement & Service Committee - Application for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's License by Carter Trucking & Exc. Inc.

2. Refer to the Improvement & Service Committee - Application for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's License by Evraets Concrete Const. LLC.

3. Refer to the Improvement & Service Committee - Application for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's License by Precision Flatwork.

4. Refer to the Improvement & Service Committee - Application for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's License by Ray Jaeger Const. Inc.

5. Refer to Protection & Welfare Committee-Notice of change of agent for Van Zeeland Oil Co., Inc.

at 601 N. Military Ave.

6. Refer to Protection and Welfare Committee-Notice of change of agent for Sam's East, Inc. at 2470 W. Mason St.

7. Refer to the Protection & Welfare Committee-Notice of change of agent for the Automobile Gallery, Inc. at 400 S. Adams St.

8. Refer to the Protection & Welfare Committee-Notice of change of agent for Green Bay Lodging II (The Meadows Center) at 850 Kepler Dr. with an effective date of July 1, 2018.

9. Refer to the Protection & Welfare Committee - Application for a Class "A" Liquor License by Van Zeeland Oil Co., Inc. at 601 N Military Ave. for the 2018-2019 year. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage License).

10. Refer to the Improvement & Service Committee - Application for Tree & Brush Trimmer License by Urban Tree Service.

11. Refer to the Protection & Welfare Committee - Renewal applications for liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year.

12. Late communications.

Refer to the Protection & Welfare Committee by Alderperson Chris Wery to discuss with possible action of endorsement of Police Chief Smith's proposal for school safety, regarding retired policemen in all Green Bay public schools.

Refer to the Protection & Welfare Committee by Alderperson Mark Steuer to revisit the Green Bay

Municipal Code 8.06 as it pertains to the length of dog leashes, as to a workable solution.

Refer to the Protection & Welfare Committee an appeal by Lyssa Root to the denial of her Operator License application.

Refer to the Improvement & Service Committee by Alderperson Barb Dorff a follow-up to the communication of February 6, 2018 regarding "The Municipal Vehicle Registration Fee Program (Wheel Tax)" and is a request that this topic be placed on the Improvement and Service agenda for their next meeting in May and be brought back to City Council for a discussion and possible resolution at the May 15, 2018 City Council meeting.

Refer to the Improvement & Service Committee by Alderperson Chris Wery as per prior practice, upon request, allow city council members to be provided with printed agendas & minutes.

Refer to the Improvement & Service Committee by Alderperson Chris Wery as per prior practice, upon request, allow city council members a second parking permit.

Refer to the Improvement & Service Committee an application for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's License by Brian's Quality Concrete Inc.

Refer to the Park Committee a request by Alderperson John Vander Leest to increase public communication on caring for diseased trees in Green Bay so residents are more informed on how to identify diseased trees and steps to get the City Forestry involved. There are areas in west Green Bay that have many diseased or dying trees. The City Forestry should identify areas to increase new tree planting in City parks and boulevards and to work with Green Bay residents on how to purchase trees if they've lost a tree on their property.

Refer to the Park Committee a request by Alderperson John Vander Leest for an update on the fundraising for the 9/11 memorial monument next to the Neville Public Museum. I would like to see the fundraising completed prior to the 9/11 ceremony this year. Many residents and businesses feel strongly on keeping this memorial in Green Bay.

Refer to the Traffic, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Commission a request by Alderperson Barb Dorff to consider doing a study to lower the speed limit on Church Road between Nicolet Drive and Bay Settlement Road.

Refer to the Traffic, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Commission a request by Alderperson Chris Wery to implement a city wide study of all Green Bay public schools for crosswalk enhancements and crossing guard placement.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

T. RESOLUTIONS

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Mark Steuer to suspend the rules for the purpose of adopting resolutions 3 through 9 with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Veronica Corpus-Dax to adopt the resolutions.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. A Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Sale and Issuance of \$14,605,000 General Obligation Corporate Purpose Bonds, Series 2018A, and All Related Details.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules for the purpose of adopting resolutions 1 & 2 with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Barbara Dorff to adopt resolutions 1 & 2. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

2. A Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Sale and Issuance of \$2,785,000 General Obligation Promissory Notes, Series 2018B, and All Related Details.

3. Resolution drawing final orders to contractors for May 2018.

4. Resolution accepting dedication of public improvements Sand Ridge Park South subdivision.

5. Resolution authorizing cancellation of the 2017 personal property taxes.

6. Final resolution authorizing water main and/or water services and levying special assessments against property.

7. Resolution accepting Offering Price Reports for North Webster Avenue.

8. Resolution accepting Relocation Claims for North Webster Avenue.

9. Agreement for Purchase and Sale of Real Estate for North Webster Avenue.

U. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. To amend Section 2.01(1), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to regular meetings of the Common Council.

2. Planning Ordinance No. 2-18

An ordinance amending the official map of the City of Green Bay by closing the unimproved portion of Rothe Street to public traffic.

3. Zoning Ordinance No. 7-18

An ordinance amending Zoning Ordinance No. 20-07, a PUD overlay district for modified signage on the HSHS St. Mary's Hospital Medical Center Campus. (ZP 18-10)

4. General Ordinance No. 6-18

An ordinance amending Section 29.208, Green Bay Municipal Code, related to Parking Regulations.

V. ORDINANCES - THIRD READING

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve.
Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax,
No- None, Abstain- None

1. General Ordinance No. 3-18

An ordinance amending portions of Chapter 8 Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to exotic and excess animal permits.

W. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Nicholson to adjourn at 9:14 p.m.
Motion carried.

Kris Teske
Green Bay City Clerk

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Oh you're kinda the new guy, it's all on here, are you logged in?
- [Woman] I only have four logged in. They joined the meeting, but they're not, and I'm short four.
- Yep I'm ready I just wanna make sure everybody's logged in. You ready there Alderman? Chris, ready to go?
- [Woman] Not yet, they're not logged in.
- Randy, in?
- [Randy] Yeah, we're logged in.
- Alright, let's get goin'. Alright, good evening and welcome. I wanna call to order the meeting of the Common Council for Tuesday, May 1st. I wanna call the role and as you know, with our new system, everyone needs to properly log in. You need to hit this? We're transitioning to a new software system that is going to allow the city to kinda go paperless over time. And everything's on video, every vote is recorded, and this is our second go at this. So if you can be patient as we together look at a blank screen. Why isn't that on there? You hit that, I hit send live.
- [Woman] That's good, yeah. So I can't get him on joined the meeting. Randy you're not joined the meeting yet. Neither is Chris. I don't have you in either one.
- [Mayor] Mike.
- [Woman] Well I can put him in.

- [Mayor] Can we put you in? You know who's here.
- [Woman] I just can't do joined the meeting.
- [Mayor] That's fine. We take a roll, we're gonna get goin'.
- [Woman] Yep, Randy you're good.
- [Mayor] Okay, Chris has something.
- [Woman] Twelve are present, your honor.
- Alright, we are all here, again welcome, let's all please rise for the pledge of allegiance. And then remain standing for the invocation. I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America. And to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all. Heavenly Father, we're grateful to be standing here in the City Council chambers of Green Bay. Knowing that you are with us, to help us make decisions to lift the lives of people here in Green Bay. Help us be mindful of all your people, the homeless, the under-employed, those struggling with personal and financial challenges, and those who have lost hope. Today and every day, we know that through a faith in you and a faith in each other, we can truly make a difference for those we serve. It's been a tough week for many of us, as we mourn the loss of Harry Meijer, and Beth Carpenter, two very dedicated people to the greater Green Bay community. Please be with their families, and with our community, as we work through the passing of these two special people. As always, we stand here, grateful for the many people of our community who give their time and talent to better Green Bay. We ask that you bless all who serve in our armed forces, especially those serving on the USS Green Bay. We ask this all in your name, amen. Alright, with that I will entertain a motion for approval of the minutes. There's a motion for Alderman Nicholson, and seconded by Alderman Scannell for approval of the minutes of our last meeting. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying "aye."
- [Council] Aye.
- And those opposed, nays, the ayes have it. And the minutes have been approved. I'll now entertain a motion for approval of the agenda. There's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderperson Kathy Lefebvre. Then here we wanna look at, alright, so-
- [Woman] I'd like to move up improvement services committee up to right after presentation.
- Do you wanna do that or do you just wanna suspend the rules and take it up after we're done with aye? Alright, well it's already billed. Okay, so there's a motion, second to move up the report of the improvement service committee to right after item J, is that correct? Alright, so there's a motion, a second, all in favor of that amendment, please signify by saying "aye."
- [Council] Aye.
- And those opposed, nays, aye's have it. Alright, Alderman Steuer.
- As long as we're changing the agenda, could we move item T up to just before item I so we can get that out of the way? Could just item T up just before item O after J.
- [Mayor] Item T one and two, that's the bond council, they're here tonight, these guys charge us big bucks, we wanna get 'em out of here as soon as we can. So after item J, we will take up items T one and two, followed by the report of the improvement service committee.
- Okay, second.

- Alright, so there's a motion and second to move that item, all in favor, please signify by saying "aye."
- [Council] Aye
- And those opposed, nay, there anything else? Then let's entertain a motion to adopt as amended.
- [Alderman Scannell] Motion to adopt.
- By Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Galvin, all in favor of that motion, please signify by saying "aye."
- [Council] Aye.
- And those opposed, nay. So the agenda as amended has been adopted by this council. Okay, clerk?
- [Clerk] Report by the mayor.
- Two things, I'd mentioned two weeks ago and I'll mention it tonight. My state of the city is coming up, it's May 9th at the Meijer Theater at five o'clock. And if you'd let Sulsty now if you're going to be there, as I said, I recognize you and I, I'm almost done writing it but, it just talks a lot about the city of Green Bay and some of the things we're working on. This is my 15th one, my last one, but I'm not gonna make it about that, I'm gonna make it more about the future of Green Bay. So I just invite you to join me May 9th, and after that, we'll just walk down to the Creamery where we'll have a reception. So again, that's May 9th. And then I also just wanna mention yesterday which was a significant day in the city of Green Bay in that the sale of the Hotel Northland was approved. And we just couldn't have asked for a better outcome. It's gonna be a Marriot, autographed, collection hotel. As we talked about months ago, the sub-contractors will be paid in full. The city will be made whole, and the hotel's gonna open this fall. It's gonna be awesome, they ordered the furniture today. Four and a half million dollars. So the 8th floor's done, I mean I go through there twice a week but, I'm just delighted with everyone, especially the Economic Development Law Department here in the city, that made that happen. So, that's an update, and that will conclude my report.
- [Clerk] We're onto announcements.
- Hey Mike, I am not seeing the names of people who wanna make the announcements. Did anyone hit their light to make announcements?
- [Man] No.
- No, well sorry Mike. Seldom do we have that so, right, in my defense.
- [Man] I don't know if I hit mine, I thought I did.
- He said he hit his light. Alderman Steuer, announcements?
- Yes, is my mic on?
- [Mayor] It is here.
- Okay, thank you your honor. First of all I'd like to thank all the people that gave me good wishes after I had my little accident a couple weeks ago where I fell. And thank you very much for that. Wished I would've been here, and I wasn't. Kinda resents to when the doctor said he found nothing up there when he looked so, I kinda resented that but, we move forward. I'd also like to reiterate when the Mayor said about Beth Carpenter and Harry Meijer, I knew 'em both. They were great people, and we'll miss them greatly. Also on May 5th, this Saturday, we have a hike through the Green Bay Trustor Historic Preservation and the Green Bay Historic Preservation Commission. It'll be a walking tour of the new

downtown East side district, that'll start about two o'clock. We do have a symposium starting at nine o'clock that'll have a lot of different speakers on historic preservation, commercial, residential, talk about landscaping, all sorts of things that people might like to look at in their home. So that's nine o'clock at the public library on Saturday and at two o'clock, we'll go on a downtown walking tour. I'll be one of the tour guides, hopefully I'll be good for ya. So that's all I have, thank you.

- Alright, thank you Alderman, anybody else? Any, just raise your hand, I'll hit your light. Any other announcements for the city? Okay, Clerk.

- [Clerk] Okay, we're onto appointments. New appointments, redevelopment authority Kathy Hinkfuss, Chelsea Kocken, and Green Bay Area Room Tax Commission, Warren Wanezek.

- [Alderman] So moved.

- [Alderwoman] Second.

- Alright there's a motion by Alderman Nicholson, seconded by Alderperson Dorff to approve the appointments stated by the Clerk. Any discussion here? If you'd please use your tablets. Alright, Alderman Nicholson thank you. And Alderman Vander Leest, ay. Could you just, if you hit your green light, then we're all in. Alright thank you, and that passes unanimously. We appreciate that, okay? Alright, Clerk.

- [Clerk] On to-

- Recognition. Onto recognition.

- So tonight under recognition, we have a group with us, you know I mentioned in my invocation we have just so many people who give up their time their treasures, their talents to make our city what it is and tonight we have a proclamation prepared by myself and the city council, honoring the Green Bay Elks Lodge. And if I could have you guys maybe join me up here and- He doesn't look that old. Been around for 125 years. That's nice you're all here. I'm gonna read this and then if somebody wants to say something on that mic, we would appreciate that but, this is a congratulatory proclamation to the Green Bay Elks Lodge, and reads whereas on March 15th, 2018, the Green Bay Elks Lodge, the benevolent and protective order of the Elks, marked 125 years of service to Green Bay, committing itself to honoring and serving the community, the veterans, and the youth of Green Bay and Northeast Wisconsin. And whereas the youth benevolent programs of the lodge, such as HoopShoot, Hallweens at Elk's Hollow, Christmas with the Elk, Dictionary Project, Southwest Area Girl's Softball and Scouting, support the area youth programs. And whereas the Elks are one of the largest, non-government providers of scholarships in the country, working to provide help for tomorrow's leaders to succeed. And whereas drug awareness programming that provides materials and information regarding the perils of drugs, alcohol, and bullying to youths, parents, and schools is a valuable resource that the Elks provide. And whereas the Order of the Elks, is distinctively American, and sponsors essay contests for youth, holds a US Constitution test and scholarships, and supports the US flag in the classrooms. And whereas the Order of the Elks has a long history of supporting veterans and their families with programs that include Soup for Vets, Heroes Closet, Gas Run cards for the DAV, welcome home kits, Adopt-A-Vet, and many other programs. Now, therefore I, James J Schmidt, the mayor of the city of Green Bay on behalf of its citizens, do hereby congratulate the Green Bay Elks Lodge of the benevolent and protective order of the Elks, their milestone anniversary. We express our appreciation for their many years of generously serving the veterans and youth of the Green Bay community and Northeast Wisconsin, through their many programs. We extend our wishes for continued success in the coming years, congratulations, thank you for what you're doing.

- Hi I'm Jordan Melville, I'm the exalted ruler of the Elks Lodge in Green Bay, number 259. And I just wanted to say thank you, we're honored and proud to have served this community, this great community of Green Bay for 125 years. As you see, we focus on youth and veterans in our lodge, so we just appreciate everything that the city has helped us to do. And we do it through the members that we have now and future members, so we can do it for the next 125 years, thank you.

- [Mayor] Do you wanna say anything, any of you guys?

- I just wanna make a quick little plug here. Thursday, June 14th is flag day, and for 109 years, the Green Bay Elks have held a service honoring the flag, and those who serve under that flag. An this year, the flag day has a special significance because our very own chief, Andrew Smith will be recognized with the Elk Citizen of the Year Award for his efforts and everything, and I think he should be commended for everything he does for this city, so we'd love to have you guys out. It's at 5:30 at Brown County Plaza in front of the flags there, and we'll be there to honor our country and Chief Smith.

- [Mayor] Guy's good? Thank you. Thanks again, we appreciate that. Yes.

- It's regarding the agenda.

- Okay. Are we missing the late communications? Communications and late communications?

- [Mayor] No, the, Robert's Rules of Order looks at late communications as new business, and that's later in the agenda.

- Okay, thank you.

- Thank you, okay Clerk?

- [Clerk] We're onto presentations.

- So, tonight, you remember, we give out awards here in the city of Green Bay to outstanding people who work on literacy, outstanding people who work on small business. One of the awards we give is people who work for the good of government relationships and that was given to Dave Steffens, he's our representative, I think part of the West Side, but he calls often, and we talk about FoxCon, we talk about legislation, and he's always looking how can we help Green Bay? Still talk about the coal piles, there's just a lot of things that Dave is working on. And he wanted to give a little update on what's going on in the state. I thought he's the most appropriate person, so representative Steffens, thank you for being in our chambers, and I will give you the floor.

- Well first of all, thank you Mayor, for such a kind introduction. Before I elected to the state assembly four years ago, I spent eight years as a local elected official, sitting at a desk just like you. And it was during that time felt was formative and instructive to me as to what type of person, elected official, I wanted to be regardless of the level. It's at places like this, where people can come directly at any time, show up after work and participate in democracy. This is where the best government, the best democracy occurs, and I certainly enjoyed my time in local government, and it's wonderful to have so many fresh faces here that are part of the future of the leadership of Green Bay so thank you each of you, for stepping up to doing that. My comments tonight are going to be brief, conversational, extemporaneous is kind of the way I like to do things. More than anything, I just wanted to review a few things that happened at the state level and end with a couple things I'm gonna look for your help with. So over the last four years, I've been, because of my background in particular, being a local elected official, most of my efforts have really been what I call district first legislation. Whatever I can do, to make the city of Green Bay, Village of Alway, Village of Howard. Village of Howard, which, are my district area, Whatever I can do to benefit that area is where I am focusing my efforts. And so that began with helping return 17.7 million dollars back to the communities two years ago. It meant ensuring that, doing whatever I could to reduce regulatory impediments that were causing some challenges for the village of Ashwaubenon with the title town district, to ensuring that 130 million dollar economic development project could occur, and then for the City of Green Bay, as part of those things, the 5.5 million dollars that they received as a part of the sales tax refund program, as well as working with Senator Coalls, and Representative Ginrick on the lead pipe replacement program, which is indicated in my progress report. That came through my committee, and had to work very closely with those gentleman to ensure that it received passage through our chamber. More broadly of course, we worked on

eliminating three different taxes so that our homeowners, tax payers, job creators have more money in their pocket. We're at a 50 year low in terms of the tax burden on taxpayers. And of course, we're all benefiting and sometimes almost to a negative point, we have an unemployment rate here in Green Bay of 2.4% for Brown County, 2.4%. And so we have a challenge that comes with that in terms of finding a new talent to come in place and it's one of the reasons why on the front end worked with both Tech College, some of their programming, the University of Wisconsin Green Bay having an engineering school here. We have to have the people that we cultivate in our great schools stay here. And to feel like a higher education, even through an engineering program is available, and therefore make this even a better place to live or place to retire. Some of the things though that, I have to, I feel disappointed, and this is where I'm coming to my help part, is, there are some challenges that related to, primarily local control, where there's been some challenges that occurred, and I wanna help more on some of those challenges, in particular, Dark Store legislation, which, if you're not familiar with, it'll be the largest tax shift from retailers, big box companies, to our homeowners in state history if we don't take action. And the mayor has mentioned, that I've spoken with him many times on this issue, and a myriad of other economic development programs, and all those type of initiatives. But I feel like I have not properly served you in the community, if I'm not working with you as a legislative body as well. And so, as we proceed through this process, each one of you I've, sent a letter or some sort of personal note ruling to your election, that sort of thing, but I hope you feel like you can always contact me and that we have opportunities to work together and collaborate, we can do great things together, if we work together, and I have had a wonderful working relationship with the mayor and the entire administrative team. But I want to continue that with each one of you. Because we all represent these people, the great people of the city of Green Bay, and so the more than I can do to assist you, and you me, in making this a better place to work and retire I think we're all better served. So, each one of you did receive a copy of my progress report, and I do call it that, because I feel like I have to report to the people. I'm an employee, I'm their servant. And so, it has my contact information, I hope you'll feel confident, and comfortable, contacting me any time. I'm here to work with you, serve with you, and hopefully succeed with you. So with that, that's all I had, Mr. Mayor, thank you for your time, and thank you for each of you, for doing what you do to serve the people of Green Bay.

- [Mayor] Thank you Representative, appreciate that and thanks for your help on the Tax Credits, that was a big part of our opening of the hotel, so thanks again.

- Thank you.

- [Mayor] We good? Alright.

- Clerk Let's continue.

- [Clerk] Resolutions now?

- [Mayor] Pardon me?

- [Clerk] Are we going to the resolutions?

- [Mayor] To T.

- [Clerk] So we're now onto resolutions one and two. You may, under suspension of the rules take up items one and two.

- [Mayor] T. So this is report T, under resolutions, item one and two. So there's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Dorff] Second.

- And second by Alderperson Dorff to suspend the rules to take those two items up at this time. All in favor of suspension of the rules, please signify by saying "aye."

- [Council] Aye. And those opposed, nays. Ayes have it, the rules are suspended. Proper motion would be to adopt items one and two.
- [Woman] Second.
- Alright, and are there any questions on this? We do have our bond council here, any of you guys-?
- No man, Mr. Mian, and does anybody have any questions for 'em? Alright, John?
- [John] It's not so much for the bond council, it's just that, on the one proposal here-
- Hang on.
- The 40 thousands that you put in there for bonding, for the prints and things for the study to go ahead, I thought that, we couldn't have took that money from another source? That we had a bond, that's a 20 year bond for 40 thousand dollars for this part of the project. I just thought that, 'cause we'd done that on the pool and things where we've kind of went backwards on those type things. I don't mind bonding money for some of the things, all that are listed here. But I thought that coulda maybe come from a different source.
- [Mayor] I appreciate that.
- going on in the future. And just that we wouldn't have to pay for those 40 thousand dollar study for the next 20 years, like I said.
- [Mayor] I understand.
- Chairman Galvin, he mentioned some of the things about, you know, different things that we do on the police department as well that we're bonding for things that are only lasting for a very short time. So I just wanna bring the council just aware of that.
- [Mayor] Thank you.
- You know that in the future we can just do a little different, thank you.
- Thank you, I appreciate that. The resolutions one and two are, the sale of the bonds which sold this morning. And we had a good interest rate, we had, what, six bidders, which people believe in us and want to buy our bonds and, that we're just gonna really reaffirm the sale of these two issuances. Though if you, I mean John's here, if you don't have any questions, we normally don't. We appreciate, we got a good rate. And we keep up the good work on that. If you wanna use the board on this. Right, Alderman Nicholson go ahead.
- [Nicholson] What is our bond rating at this time?
- Double A two.
- How long has that been?
- [Mayor] Five years, six. That's it? Okay. What was it before?
- [Mayor] I think it's- Double A one.
- Which one's better, double A one or two?
- [Mayor] One.

- I guess what happened with that?

- Big re-correction by Muis. Lotta cities were re-calibrated at double A two, and we went through that five years ago, but John- We're comfortable where we're at, we'd love to move up a notch, and we have to build up our reserves and close some of these un-performing tids, which we're taking that action on. But I think that's a good goal for the city.

- Did we have any factors that changed that? I mean factors within the city, or was it just the Muis? Did we have any factors, that changed that?

- [Mayor] Did we have any factors? I think if you read the report from six years ago it talks about a lot of things. You know, we need more investment, more growth. The growth is slower than it should be. We've gotta keep an eye on our reserve funds. Can't just always say, well, let's take it out of reserves, we gotta build that up.

- Okay.

- [Mayor] Like I said, if you put in a tiff district and you don't get the economic development, that's not a good idea, so we tried some things that didn't work, we closed those tids. We need to continue to get investment. I mean, this is all in the rating, but I just wanna say Alderman, we're pleased with the interest rate we got on these bonds.

- When you said we closed some of those tids, was it the two most recent ones on the north side, Northeast side? Is that what you're talkin' about?

- [Man] Yeah, two of 'em were non-performing but yeah.

- Okay, were there any other ones? That weren't performing that we closed? Besides the two a couple months ago?

- [Man] So, finance record and I annually kind of look at tids and we've been going through the joint review board this summer.

- [Mayor] Okay, quickly.

- Sure, so, director Ellen Becker and I, as you look at the cash flows through all the tids, we look at how they're performing, and with the joint review board this summer, if there's any that are worth taking a look at closing, we also do that as well.

- [Nicholson] Right, were there any other ones before the two that we closed?

- Any other ones prior to that?

- [Nicholson] Right.

- Not to my knowledge, those are the first ones that we've closed since I've been here.

- [Mayor] Alright, thanks Kevin.

- Sure.

- [Nicholson] Alright thank you, thanks Mayor.

- Thank you Alderman. Alright so the motion second afloor to approve items one and two. And if you'd please use the board on this. This is resolutions T one and two. Yep, almost there. Alderman Vander Leest and Lefebvre.

- [Clerk] Then she has to say it out loud.

- Yeah, how do you wanna vote? Okay, so we got that. Now it came up, alright, very good. Those pass, thank you, okay Clerk let's continue.

- [Man] One quick question mayor.

- Yes.

- [Man] On that interest, what was the actually interest rate that we had then on the 14 million? John, the interest rate on the 14 million?

- [John] The interest rate on the 14 million was 3.37%.

- [Man] Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright.

- [Clerk] We're now onto improvement service committee.

- 'Kay that is item O in your packet. Or on your civic management agenda. I'll entertain a motion to approve.

- [Nicholson] So moved.

- [Scannell] Second.

- Alright there's a motion be Alderman Nicholson, and was seconded by Alderman Scannell to approve item O, which is the report of the improvement and service committee. There any items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- [Man] Eleven.

- Alright, hearing no others, all in favor of the remainder of that report please signify by saying "aye."

- [Council] Aye.

- And those apposed nays, the ayes have it. All items have been approved with the exception of item 11. What are your wishes regarding item 11?

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Dorff] Second.

- Alright, there's a motion to approve by Alderman Scannell, and that was seconded by Alderperson Dorff, Alderman Brunette.

- Thank you, actually, the motion I made at the committee level was to table the request after consulting with the city attorney, the motion I would like to make is to hold the request to order improvements completed and assessments levied for these three projects. But I'd also like to make a motion to open the floor to hear from interested parties.

- [Mayor] Yep, let me give this, John, the floor. And then, people are opposed to table it, the motions hold. So there's a motion by Alderman Brunette and seconded by Alderman Scannell, to amend item 11, replace the word table with hold. Hold requires a date certain, that true Vanessa? Alright, so what's the date?

- Hold for a period of one year, and I can explain if required.

- [Mayor] You okay with that? Okay, so there's a motion and a second to hold this for one year. Alright, that's what's on the floor right now. Yeah, I can't take a vote on this. You're right, let's open the floor to hear from interested parties. We're discussing your motion to hold this, these three items, for one year.

- Sure.

- Alright, so I'll entertain the motion to open the floor.

- 'Kay I'd like to make a motion to open the floor.

- [Scannell] Second

- Alright so there's a motion by Alderman Brunette, seconded by Alderman Scannell to open the floor to hear from interested parties. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying "aye."

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed nays, ayes have it. So, we are discussing item 11, and the floor's gonna be open to the public. And that's a motion to hold for a period of one year, the request of the improvements. And that's the asphalt on Hillcrest, the asphalt resurfacing on Haven Place and the water main and water services on Hillcrest. So if, I have a list, you filled out your forms, if you'd like to, read your name, I'll, two or three of you in a row, and if you wanna make a comment for two or three minutes, we are happy that you're here. So let's start with Pat Buckley, and that will be followed by Denise Service, and then Dick Dietrich.

- Hello, my name is Patrick Buckley. Just if I may, what is the purpose for holding it for one year? Just so we have a better idea, how we're approaching the council here.

- [Mayor] That's why I wanted you to know the motion, so go ahead Alderman Brunette.

- [Brunette] I'd like to explain that at the committee level. Public work director, you have left supervisor Buckley at that time, two options or either to approve or deny the motion to move forward with these improvements. I made a motion to table, and we are going to consult with the city attorney, I believe the motion I should have made at that time was to hold. And the reason I didn't want to make a motion to deny the improvements was because, under the denial, based on the comments of the public works director, there would be no work done on that street over potentially a 15 year period, and I thought that was kind of a lose-lose, either this get approved and thousands of dollars of special assessments get hit to these homeowners and property owners. Or to deny it meant that that road would deteriorate to pretty much nothing over a 15 year period.

- Okay.

- [Brunette] So my hope is to hold it, that we can discuss other funding options and other issues that you yourself have raised on the condition of that street, then drainage issues and water issues and the whole lists of things that constituents have brought to my attention.

- Then I'll try to keep it brief.

- [Mayor] Thank you.

- With that, just for a little background for the people that don't know, Hillcrest Road, is basically a country road. And with that over the last 24 years, where I've lived out in that neighborhood, I don't think it was ever designed to handle the traffic that it handles now. Not only from King of Arms, but it's coming up from Highway Winston. I follow cars all the way from 172 that come up and turn down Hillcrest Road to go out to Hobart, to go out to Highway 29. They'll come up Westpoint, cut the other way to Haven Place to go out on 54. It's gotten to be a very major road. And I think, at one time, they thought when they designed it, it wouldn't get the use it would. And I know, Councilman Galvin used to live out there. I'm sure he's driven down Hillcrest once or twice in his time. But, it was never designed to handle the flow. Now on top of that, you have Westpoint Rd, that comes up to Hillcrest at basically a T, because beyond Hillcrest is a dead end. You have Westpoint Rd, which is basically four lanes wide, narrowing down to two lanes. Westpoint Rd was meant to handle all the traffic basically from all the developments out in that area. A lot of people have chosen to cut down Hillcrest Rd to go out to 54 Mason, or cut across into Hobart. A huge part of the problem with that road other than the traffic, is the water. When these developments were put in, they didn't account for all the water displacement. And countless, every year, we have problems with the road, with the frost and the heating, or it drops, and a lot of it's got to do with water. A lot of the culverts out there, the ditches are much lower than the culverts so they don't move the water. We have a lot of standing water that has no place to go, because nothing's ever been designed for all the displacement of the water. That creates a lot of problems with this road. And you cannot just say you're gonna resurface it without taking care of the water problems. So you gotta deal with that as well. One other thing I will say, with the design of this, they have to take into consideration- I don't want to get it to be a major four-lane road, similar to Westpoint Rd, obviously it's not four lanes of traffic, but it's four lanes wide, curb, gutter, the whole works. However, we do have a lot of people walking on it, riding their bikes, walkin' their pets. So it's getting to almost be a safety issue too, especially in the condition of the road. So I think that, oh I'm sorry, Alderman Brunette, has asked to have it held for one year, so they can study and see what the best thing to do with that road, the water displacement, and how to finance it. Because a lot of these people, are being charged a lot of money to re-do a road that they shouldn't be responsible for. It was never designed to handle the traffic it does. And a lot of these people are retired in that neighborhood. And they can't afford 15, 20, 30 thousand dollars for this project. Quick note on the water, 'cause this was brought up years ago where they wanted us to connect with water out there. The water, if they wanna connect Westpoint into King of Arms, that's fine. But we have perfectly good well water out there. There's no reason, unless there's an issue, to make people get off their wells. There's no reason, so I think that, a lot of these things need a lot more study, and a lot more communication with the residents out there before any decision's made and before any assessments are put out there. I really think the city has got to figure out a way to start takin' care of the roads as an entire city. 'Cause when we have roughly about, I think it's about 800 cars a day goin' down that road. That's just not that handful of residents that are in here drivin' back and forth on it.

- [Mayor] Thank you Pat.

- Thank you. Denise, and as I said, Dick Dietrich, and then Kevin Lincoln.

- Good evening, thanks for allowing us to address this, and thank you Justin, Alderman. So, anyway, just a couple of things about this, I live on Haven Place, but my heart bleeds for the people that are on Renaissance 'cause they're hit even harder than what we are on Haven with the project of wanting to put water in. Or to run that water in, so like Mr. Buckley said about to places that, frankly, don't need water. And at the meeting last week, the question was asked as to what the benefit is, because NWTUC, it was sold as oh this is a benefit to you people that are paying for this. So that was asked, so what the benefit was. What I heard was, well, if we can run these roads for future development, of the city and maybe someday run it down Haven Place, and then someday if your wells go bad, then you'll have city water, so you'll be good. Well, I guess the point is, like Mr. Buckley said, people's wells aren't bad, I mean frankly, I don't even like chlorine, it's crappy for ya, and I don't want that, but the point is is that our wells are good. So I guess that fact of expecting people to pay, in some cases, 42 plus thousand dollars, you know, people that just bought a house a year and a half ago, and I hope they speak tonight, and expect them now to pay this kind of money, is almost, it's just like insane. So I just, I would say that we're, in our neighborhood out

there, we really are a totally different animal. We are not a Green Bay, normal, residential neighborhood. You call us rural residential, okay. And you guys made rules where, you have to have 10 acres on 100 feet of frontage in order to sell that or have a buildable lot. So like an example of my neighbor, who can't be here, because he's not in the state right now, he has a lot that is over, I think it's 12 or 13 acres, and because of restrictions that was put on him by the city, and us out there, saying you have to have 10 acre and a 100 feet of frontage, he can't even sub-divide that lot to help pay his 16,000 dollar bill. And I mean, it just doesn't seem right. If you're gonna make special rules, I guess show me another neighborhood in the city where you have to have 10 acres and 100 feet of frontage to build. That's kinda crazy, and then say, okay but, we're gonna limit you here, but yet we're gonna jam this down your throat, where you have to pay 16,000; 42,000; 32,000, all these numbers we heard at this meeting last week, so I guess if you're gonna treat us like a different neighborhood, then maybe we should look at different options. Then I understand that we're talkin' wheel tax. So now we can pay whatever it is, 5000, 16,000; 42,000 and then maybe next year we can pay a wheel tax on each vehicle that we have, to fix everyone else's roads, after we just got it jammed down our throat because we're a different neighborhood. So I guess I would ask that you look at that, there's people and I hope they speak tonight that, I mean, this literally could bankrupt them and they could lose their homes.

- [Mayor] Yeah, a lot of people that wanna speak, so thank you.

- So yeah so anyway, I guess I would just ask you to really consider that. If this is an option that fixes the thing, I guess consider what it's doing for the people. So I don't live in no big fancy house, okay? My house was built in the 60s, so I'm just an average person so, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Denise, alright Dick Dietrich. Then Kevin Lincoln, if you guys wanna maybe queue up a little bit, and Scott Trummel.

- Yes, I live at 1604 Hillcrest Dr. I got the bill for the street and the water. And it come to \$32,240. There's only five people gonna get on this water. And I was told by the water department, that it hooked up at Hillcrest and Renaissance, there'd be fresh water running. Well I went into King of Arms, there's nine cul de sac's in there. I don't know where this water goes up to in the cul de sac, it's not hooked to anything else, it stops there. So I mean this was something I think they just shoved down our throat. Like I said, I got a good well, and I don't know, I'm retired, I don't know where you can come up with this kinda money. They said they put down the tax roll for five years, well that's 700 dollars a month. Besides the 4000 dollars I gotta pay for taxes on my place, over 4000. I mean, that doesn't leave much money for groceries and other things you have to do. Like I told these people at the last meeting, you know it's easy for you people. But put this 32,000 in front of you or 40,000, whatever it is, and what would you do? Just sit there and take it? I mean, which way do you turn and where do you go? So it's, I don't know, I just don't know what to say.

- [Mayor] Alright, thanks for comin' Dick. Kevin Lincoln, Scott Trummel, and then Terry Lewis.

- I guess I'm in the same boat. Just as a average person, and the reason we bought out in that area is 'cause that' what we could afford, you know? That lot out there was only 12,000 when I bought it. We put all the improvements on it, kept it up all these years, and right now the value of our home, from the city is assessed at 129,000, but that's not actual retail but you know for tax purposes. The special assessment is 20% of that home, 20% of the value, so, that's a lot of money. And actually, this is probably not the first time the mayor and the council have heard these stories, I think this probably happened before, 'cause I delivered on the east side when I was retired I delivered. And there's similar streets out there too, and it's rural, and then all of a sudden they get these huge, I'm sure this is not the first time this has come up. And I just got a quick article from Appleton, they started a wheel tax. There's pros and cons to wheels taxes. They're not the end-all and be-all. But they can help a lot, and so we'd like some cause-sharing. And their revenue stream and all that was pretty good from Appleton, most of the figures and that all, it helped a lot. One interesting fact too, is that in Appleton, their special assessment cost was 1.2 million in 2014. And after they added the wheel tax, the last revenue stream that they have listed, special assessments was down to 300,000. So if you spread that over the whole city, that's substantial, that's a 900,000 dollar cut. And I'm with the other residents too, we do not need the water, but you don't wanna be too much of a

proud peacock because if the water goes bad, then you'll have to talk to the city and get water. So there's things both ways, we understand that, but right now, everything is good. We get our water tested and, I'm the only person who doesn't like the density of the area where, I don't even see where the city could recoup it. If the city put it in, they'd never recoup their money, the density isn't there, and we're only 600 yards from Hobart, so your future development, very limited for where we are. That's all I have.

- [Mayor] Thank you, yeah, thanks for comin' in. Alright Kevin, Kevin and Scott Trummel, and Terry- oh there you were Kevin, I'm sorry thanks I was- I didn't see a - Terry are you here? Okay why don't you just get up behind him and, hi Paul. Missed you at retirement dinner. And then Diane Wilson, hi.

- Evening Mayor, Alderpeople. I guess I'm not as calm as most of my neighbors are. I moved into the neighborhood 10 years ago. And there has been a rough road sign ever since I moved in. Probably during times of the year, should be a road closed sign. We went to a meeting last week, where we were threatened, at least I saw it as being threatened, if this got tabled or moved back. We were actually told that our road, which isn't maintained, would not be maintained for 15 years. I don't know of any other word to use for that other than asinine. We have some of the highest taxed property in Green Bay. We pay for storm water runoff, and get zero service for it. We pay high taxes, our road doesn't get plowed, it doesn't get patched. The road was a country road to begin with. As Roger Truckey's testified to several times, it was never designed for any of the traffic, we can't be held accountable for it. It's an oil-mat road. It was nothing more than a country gravel road than an oil mat was thrown down, some pea gravels and paved over the top, it's garbage. Not to mention the fact that we pay for stormwater runoff which we don't get, and everything's flooded. Therefore the road is flooded, is on a bog. One of my friends had an assessment, 60,000 dollars. That's more than a good amount of the properties in Green Bay are worth. You can't assess somebody 60 grand, that's ridiculous. Even the thought of it is ridiculous. I agree with holding the motion, but it needs to be addressed, the road is garbage, it needs to be fixed. I'm even more open than most, my property is the last, my property line is the city of Green Bay. I'm in between Hobart, I mean I could be on annex back anytime because my neighbor's taxes are a quarter of what mine are, and his road is three times what mine is. You can see where the line is, his road's a lot better than mine, his services are better than mine. And he pays a third of the taxes I do. I think it's insane, that I pay three times more taxes and get less service than he does. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you Scott. Terry and Diane Wilson, and then Dale Brawn.

- Hi, I'm Terry Lewis, my husband Paul and I live at 3194 Renaissance Ln, we've lived there for 22 years. I think there's three things that need to be addressed and some of this has been already mentioned by some of our other neighborhood residents. Number one, the road conditions. Mason to Westpoint on Hillcrest, I think the major problem is a base problem and a water drainage problem. I agree with Mr. Buckley when he purported that those things need to be considered more carefully before we run through this project. The road from Westpoint to Haven, on the other hand, going around to Haven, the pavement is poor but it doesn't appear to be a base or a water drainage issue. I'm not an engineer, I'm a health professional, but I think that it's pretty clear to people who live and use those roads out there, especially in the winter, there's trouble with sinking and heaving, and it gets to be a real problem. Further in reference to the road, the curbs, the rolling curbs that are proposed, there's not other adjacent streets or any other streets that have curb and gutter. If we had that happen on Hillcrest, and then it's not gonna continue around Haven, that doesn't make sense. Where Westpoint intersects Hillcrest, the western side, past the 3100 block doesn't have curb and gutter, as was already mentioned, it goes from like a four-lane down to a two-lane, so aesthetically, if that's what you're looking at, it doesn't make sense to just have that one piece of the road be curb and gutter. The next problem, the water out there. We have city water, and so we did get a dispensation for credit for one of our lots. We have two city lots, excuse me. We have two city lots, and one of them we are getting credit for, but they're still charging us 6500 dollars for no benefit. Because we already have city water. Some of my friends have purported that maybe they should pay us to go through our lot rather than us paying them. The last thing is that, I think that we need to re-evaluate the condition of the soil and the water drainage and look carefully at the fact that these lots out there are all bigger lots and the cost of this project is being born on the backs of just a few residents, just a handful of residents. In other areas of the city, if you have 60, 75 feet in front of your house, that's all you have. We

have people who have over 10 acres of land out there. So I'm getting off easy so to speak, but our bill is even gonna be over 19,000 dollars. Thank you very much.

- [Mayor] Thanks Terry. So Diane Wilson and Dale Brawn and then John Remington.

- Hello, my name is Diane Wilson, I work with the Oneida Tribe. We own three properties on Hillcrest that are being proposed to be assessed almost 80,000 dollars of assessments. There's three points that the nation would like to mention. Two of these properties are in Trust, they have single residencies on them. Another one is just vacant property. The points that I wanted to make are that the city lacks the authority to levy these special assessments against trust property, minimally. And such authority against the nation's fee property may also be lacking. The assessments seem high, and the methodology for the assessment seem unreasonable. The preferred method would be the uniformly spreading the cost of such improvements across all properties, rather than via individual assessments.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Dale, John Remington you wanna get in line here, then Amanda Ellers.

- As a taxpayer, I guess, we all feel you have a lot of responsibility to handle our taxes prudently and we trust that in you. This situation, to my knowledge, 'cause by the time I get the street and the water up to my house, and I've been there like 27, 28 years, is gonna be about 60,000 dollars. And I think we've had, two weeks notice? And I did call the city last year, and I told them where I lived, and I asked if there were gonna be any road improvements, because the road is really bad, it's the roughest road that I know of in the area. And they said well, you're in the county's gotta take care of that, so I called the county, and they go "no, call the city back." And they told me who to call, and they go that's a city road, we get that call all the time. And I call them back and they said, "no there's no plans of havin' this road redone." I go, really? I say well what constitutes or what makes you guys put this on the list? And he said, "we really have no good criteria, "it's just the road gets to a point we do it." So I left it at that, well then what, two weeks ago I get a letter saying by the way you're gonna be assessed. And by the time I said, the water's runnin' the house, it's gonna be close to 60,000. But then, most of the neighbors are retired. I'm not retired quite yet, but I will be someday in the future but, so I look at this assessment and I think, we should've had more notice, without a doubt. And I think now that the assessment's are so high, it's probably time to look at a different means of paying bills like this. 'Cause I've talked to some people in Howard and they just said, their road needs to be repaired, it's repaired and they don't see an assessment on it. I've never been exposed to an assessment so, I think if it was a third of what it is, and the road doesn't need to be as fancy as they're proposing. It would be more acceptable, with even more notice, I would think three years would be minimum notice, not two weeks so, I think maybe this is a good time that it's brought up and that like everybody says, that wheel tax, and a different means of paying for it. I think we're responsible for it. I have good water. Some day it may not be, so I'm not completely against that, but I think that cost is extremely high and like I said, a lot of the neighbors, it puts more hardship on 'em being retired. Just give it some thought, like I said, if somebody could show me I got a letter three years ago sayin' that it was gonna cost 60,000, then all of us would've been able to prepare.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you Dale. John Remington.

- Good evening, my name is John Remington, I reside at 3207 Westpoint Dr. This is a corner lot adjacent to Hillcrest. I wanna start out, I presented this to Alderman Brunette and the other four aldermen that were there last week. This is a picture of Hillcrest, which is not at all wetland. This was back in about 65, 66, this picture, from years I can tell. I'm looking south, east here. Somewhere back in the 70s, they let the fill all this in and put this big subdivision in there. Backed all this water up, that everybody before me spoke of and damned this water up to make this all wetland. It's just a cattail swamp now. This was Mr. Dietrich, some of his children here. This was all his farm back then. There's not wetland here. So that started this project with this Hillcrest. I've lived here for 12 years. They wanna talk that if this gets shut down, they're gonna quit maintaining Hillcrest Drive or Hillcrest. I've been there 12 years. Any of you wanna take a ride out there and look at that Hillcrest? There's not a tar in any crack in 12 years. They don't tar a crack there, nothin'. The water gets through the road, destroys the road. There's been no maintenance for 12 years that I've lived there. One of the reasons the street's in that condition. They've had their counters out there,

we're countin' seven, 800 cars a day. Some of these vehicles in the 12 years that I've been there. There's construction equipment. I have a new neighbor comin' in right straight across the street from me building a new house. I have a picture on my phone of a hundred thousand pound backhoe, unloading the lowboy, my driveway's blocked off right there on the street, to dig his basement. Few years back, they built two new houses on Westpoint. All that equipment comes up there. Last summer, they come up there, and they're finishing sandstone to Westpoint. And they cleared that all out, they're gonna put another subdivision in there. All that equipments uses Hillcrest to Westpoint. Dump truck loads of dirt comin' out, drop goin' in for the sewer line and everything. Getting to that, 2015, 2016, the addresses of 3231 and 3236 Haven Place, I've estimated, and this is no exaggeration, 1000 dump truck loads of dirt filled both sides of Haven Place. And they're running at 1 time 24 hours a day. That street, I believe, when it was put back in and Scot spoke of this. I don't think that was put in to be a class A road to carry this weight. So in all, and I know who these construction companies are, and the city should, 'cause they should all have permits. Let's go back to these construction companies. They destroyed the streets, city left, everybody destroyed the street. We're sitting out there, and they wanna put sidewalks in our driveways? To where, where I live, right here? This is all wetland. If you put the street in, you're gonna have to fill on the side to put a sidewalk in, then dig another ditch. In front of my house right here, they come out and declared that all wetland for this new house bein' build across the street from me. I got red tags, my ditch is water this deep. Tony with the city street maintenance, for the 12 years I've lived there, every summer we have the problem. When we have a hard thunder storm, it just fills right up, the water runs across the street in front of my house. I walked him up and down there, showed him the problem, the ditch is filled in with silt. The culvert's down in the black mud. They will not come out there and dig this ditch out, get rid of this water, okay? So in all said, the street wasn't put in for this traffic. And I believe when the street is put in, 800 is no count at all what the traffic's gonna be, 'cause right now it's so rough. And in no maintenance the last 12 years I've lived there, we don't get plowed, we don't even get salted. The traffic's gotta beat snow off the road. The stop sign at Hillcrest and Mason, it's a wonder we don't kill a dozen people a winter there. It's a ice rink all winter. They won't salt it, they won't sand it. So as far as street maintenance goes if it goes another 15 years, the 12 years I've been there, there hasn't been any maintenance except two men in a pickup. I've seen the maintenance pickup on 174. They ride our neighborhood all summer. Two stake shovels and a wheelbarrow full of asphalt in the back. If there's a pothole in front of my house, if I'm lucky enough to be in the yard, I step out there and stop them and ask them if they can put a shovel full of asphalt in that hole.

- [Mayor] Alright.

- They hit the hole. So in ending, I don't see where any of us, we're in rural Green Bay like others have said. We all, the house that I have, Mr. Dietrich gave all this children, and I ended up with one of their houses. We had to have one acre of property to build a house when all these houses were built. If Mr. Dietrich was still here today, if we took this picture from 1965, let's move this before these houses were here. Let' move this up to 2018, he'd be the only one there there'd be no houses there, they'd be knockin' on your door. Mr. Dietrich, we need 350,000 dollars. That farmery you think he'd have that today? I don't think so, and none of us have it either. We're all retired and the traffic that travels this road, another plan has to come up with a way of redoin' the street.

- [Mayor] Thank you Mr. Remmington.

- Thank you.

- [Mayor] Mrs. Elders, and then Lisa Saben-Wilson, and that's followed by Roger Truckey.

- Good evening, I'd like to thank the council and the mayor for listening to us all tonight. My neighbors have already had some really good points. So I'm just gonna get down to what I've got. I'd like to bring up the amount of the assessments we've all received. The properties on Hillcrest Dr, are all multiple acre lots. The fact that our area is rural residential doesn't really seem to account for anything, when it comes to the amounts we're being asked to pay. If I lived on a city street in Green Bay with a frontage of 50 feet, that would be \$3,750, which when you divide it by five years is pretty affordable. The amount I'm being asked to pay is \$17,901. Which, it' a pretty huge amount of money and actually, compared to a lot of my

neighbors, it's modest, because we already have city water. And our lot is considerably smaller than a lot of those lots. \$17,901 over five years would add \$300 onto my monthly mortgage. Having said that, the first communication we received in regards to this project was March 6th. And upon further investigation, we were told that if this goes forward, the construction will begin this summer. That would mean three months in advance we were notified of a drastic increase in expense to our properties. This is outrageous and irresponsible. I can't in good conscience support this project, knowing what it'll do to our families. The potential to bankrupt some of us is pretty high. The street we live on has been used and destroyed by everyone in Green Bay, and the repairs should not come out of our pockets, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you Amanda. Alright and Lisa and Roger, you wanna-

- First of all, thank you for listenin' to us, mayor and council. I've lived on Haven Place for almost 40 years, this year will be 40 years. And according to this engineer's report that I assume is in the record for April 25th, it says we're a local street in a residential area. On Haven Place, we've got approximately 18 homes in between a quarter mile and a half mile road. That's not a lot. Most of our traffic is not our local traffic, it's Howard or Hobart, all the way from 54th through Oneida and so forth. Also it says in here, we have a paved existing asphalt road. We've never had an asphalt road in 40 years. Once in 40 years, the street has been re-paved with oil mat. They grind it up, you put a layer of oil down, pea gravel over it, and roll it, that's it, nothin' else done, that's Haven and Hillcrest. The only other time Haven has been touched was when I-72 was built, because it was in the contract, they hauled 330,000 yards of sand out of the Gothier sandpit behind my house to do I-72, and then it was in the contract what they rode on, they had to read that sort of route oil mat the road, which wasn't that great. So once in 40 years is not a lot. The other thing is, most of the lots by us started out one acre, went to five to seven, now it's 10 acres that you're gonna pay for this assessment for a road and then you can't do nothin' with it, which is definitely not fair. You talk about a wheel tax. I myself I believe, if you're gonna talk wheel tax, it should be just more then the city of Green Bay, it should be the Brown County, just like they have the five and a half percent sales tax. Why can't you have the wheel tax that's most of Brown County that uses our road? Let it be a per capita, at least divide the share up. If we get a wheel tax, sure it might fix some of our roads, but Green Bay is gonna pay for it all, when the rest of the county uses it. There should be some cost sharing there. The other thing is, I asked at the last council, or the last public hearing, about surface water runoff. I believe I've been paying it for 14 years. My water strictly goes into Duckrick River, outside the city through Holbart. The city doesn't do anything with it. Where does that money go? 14 years of all of us paying surface water runoff. I still have that question unanswered yet. Thank you very much for listening to us.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Roger.

- I think this has to be readdressed heavily. Thank you, Justin.

- [Mayor] Alright, Ryan Dempsey, or, oh I'm sorry, Lisa, Lisa Saban and then Roger Dempsey, Ryan Dempsey, I'm sorry.

- Thank you to the council and mayor for listening to everybody tonight. I echo everything that's been said by my neighbors this evening, I don't disagree with a bit of it. A year and a half ago, my husband and I moved to Green Bay from Milwaukee, we came up here for Packer games a lot, and we really liked the community up here, and when we were looking for a home, we were looking for a home with land, because we like the rural, nature, country aspect of it. Feels like a little bit of a bait and switch a year and a half later, after we put the down payment down on our house, settled into this community, got comfortable, really became happy here, and then to find that there's a \$42,000 bill tacked on to what we were already planning to pay for this home. We wouldn't have bought in Green Bay if we knew that this was happening. At all, I mean, our title company did the search, there was nothing indicating that any of this was coming in the future, so we bought. And I'm sure the guy who sold the house is feeling pretty relieved that he sold it to us. I don't feel that it should be up to the residents on Hillcrest Drive to fund this project. The 20 or so people who live here aren't responsible for the condition of the road, or the upkeep of the road. Hillcrest is a feeder road, it's a common route for all of the development communities that surround Hillcrest. The airport traffic, casino traffic, traffic of 172, traffic to Hobart, Howard, Ashwaubenon. Hillcrest was overused

by construction traffic as you heard my neighbor talk about for the expansion of highway 29 and the Sandia development, the King of Arms development. Full dumptrucks, oversized semis, over and over on a country road that is not meant for that type of traffic. Regularly 800 to 1,000 vehicles per day use Hillcrest. I don't feel the responsibility is ours. I don't feel my husband and I should shoulder a \$42,000 bill for this road reconstruction. If Hillcrest were just a small, quiet country road, then we wouldn't be here talking about it today, because the road wouldn't be in the condition that it's in. But it's not a small quiet country road. It's a feeder, it's a common route. It's for several destinations in and around the area. The amount and the type of traffic, coupled with the non-existent water management that you heard Pat Buckley talk about this evening is a much bigger problem. Is a much bigger problem than just it being a quiet rural residential road. For the city to ask our retired and near-retired citizens to shoulder this to the tune of tens of thousands of dollars individually, I feel is a crime. There are people in this community that will be devastated financially by this, bankrupted by it. No one here in this community on our road wants this project, and are emphatically asking for the council to vote no. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Lisa. Ryan Dempsey, do you wanna say something?

- Hey there. I think everything I was planning on saying has been said, so I'll try to keep it short. As far as the road goes, you know, I think it's a no-brainer to table it, hold it, whatever you gotta do. I just think this plan needs to be redone. We have potholes but we can live with them until you guys get a better plan. Personally I like them, it's about the only thing that keeps people from doing 50 miles an hour on our street. They're like speedbumps, but. As far as the water goes, I guess that's one of my main concerns that I don't think has been addressed enough. I mean, it is a very short strip that is gonna be affected by it. A couple of us, I mentioned five people have wells, two of us, two of the seven, already have city water, including myself, city sewer water. Mine goes through the back of my yard. Well's been capped. I actually, just last year, purchased a strip of land that my utilities go through, to ensure, cuz if somebody had bought that, there wouldn't be enough square footage, so I just incurred 30 some thousand dollars in fees to assure I have water, and then I got a bill for the other side of my house for like \$13,000. I don't know, somebody's gonna have to explain that to me sometime. But I just feel like if you're gonna shove a pill that large down people's throats, they should be needing it or wanting it, and I know we don't need it. I feel the city doesn't need it. As somebody said, there is no room for you guys to expand, I mean maybe 600 yards and you hit Hobart. I don't feel the city needs to put it in. We don't want it. And if there's some unknown reason that the city wants it, that they're not telling us about, I think we should squash the water project. Unless somebody has an explanation for it that I haven't heard. That's all.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Ryan. Thank you all for coming. Is there anyone else who wishes to speak on this item that didn't. If you state your name and your address, and could you hold it to like three minutes.

- My name is Janet Angus, and I live at 1403 Shirley Street in Green Bay. I'm in Chris Wery's ward. And I appeared in front of this council in 2016, April, and made many of the same arguments that these people made tonight, regarding a street improvement in my district. I thought Pat Buckley's comment was interesting, he said, or significant. He said take care of the roads as an entire city. We need to do something about how Green Bay finances road improvements. And I've been saying this for two years. I went to numerous meetings regarding this, and in two years nothing has happened to change our community. In my opinion, changing how Green Bay funds street repairs is probably the most significant improvement we could do for our community. This isn't an issue of whether or not they want the road. This is an issue of how are they gonna pay for it. When I appeared here in 2016, there were people on Humbolt Road that had to pay a suspense I believe of over \$100,000. For road improvements and sidewalks that they hadn't had. And it was all geared, because I believe, of some federal grants that they had obtained and they didn't want to lose those. Cuz you know that Velp Ave., when Velp Ave. went into, when that was put in they received state funding and federal funding, and some homeowners there only paid \$6 a foot? Others paid \$18 a foot. I don't know what these people are being charged, for their footage right now, but people that I know on Manatoac Road paid zero, because of the way the state funding went and the federal funding. And, house scheduled funds, the last time I looked I paid Wisconsin tax. That funding from the state and the federal government should be shared as a whole by our entire community. And I know that there's different issues as far as how you raise the money, you can't raise our property taxes

significantly for a time period. Use the wheel tax for a period of five years, and make it so that we can then pay for our road improvements using a general fund. I would support the concept of a city tax levy and bonding being paid by all residents. A lower cost to each property owner would result, rather than assess each street improvement, which is a high cost to those residents on the street. It's huge for some people, it bankrupts some people. Facts are when I went to the meetings two years ago, I heard these words. I heard foreclosure, I heard sell, traumatize, bankrupt, can't afford, lose my home, and hardship. There were elderly people there that said they were going to lose their homes because of these tax assessments two years ago and nothing has happened to change. I think you decided to have a wheel tax, and at one point the tax money was going to be used to plug holes in the budget. And the wheel tax was not going to go for street improvements. I would ask you, implore you, to please, Mr. Scannell, thank you.

- [Scannell] I'm listening.

- Okay. I would ask that you really look at this as a council and realize the hardships that people go to. I called 30, my assistant's here with me, together we called over 30 communities in the state of Wisconsin, and what we discovered, and this is significant, is that about 90% of the cities in our state, the money for road improvements comes out of the general budget, the general fund, not adjacent land owners paying assessments.

- [Mayor] Alright.

- There's a reason for this. And I think you better reevaluate it, because if I feel this way after 2016, it's been two years. And these people are saying the same thing two years later. Why don't we do something about it? It's time that Green Bay changes the way we do our street improvements. Let's make it a place where people wanna live. This poor lady came from, I don't know, she came here because of the Packers. And now look at her, she's here begging you to not go ahead with her street improvements because she simply can't afford it. Thank you for your time.

- [Mayor] Alright, is there anyone else who would like to make a comment on this? Anyone else wishing to speak to this, anyone else? Alright, entering a motion to return to regular order.

- [Nicholson] So moved.

- Alright there's a motion by Alderman Nicholson, seconded by Alderman Steuer, all in favor of that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those, little louder. All in favor of returning to regular order, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nay, the ayes have it. We're back in regular session, Alderman Brunette you have the floor. The motion that you have made was to hold item 11 for a period of one year.

- Sure, and if I could, Mr. Mayor, speak, as to a question of Director Grenier. Director Grenier, based on the testimony you heard and the comments from the previous meeting of the improvement and services committee, do you feel that holding this for a year to address the very legitimate and pressing concerns that these constituents, taxpayers, homeowners, property owners have, that we can hold this for a year and revisit it while we work through these issues?

- [Mayor] Doctor Grenier?

- That's your decision.

- [Brunette] Are there any permits, any issues with the work that has been put into this project at this point, that this could not realistically be held for a year? And then revisited at that time after the Department of Public Works and the streets and all the other city departments work together to come up with a solution that would be appealing or affordable for all those involved?

- That's something that's beyond my Department's purview, the design won't change. The design does take into account whether or not the base is bad. The design that's been proffered does take care of the drainage issues, all that's inherent. That's why Hillcrest Drive was proposed as a reconstruct, not a resurfacing. None of that is going to change one year to the next. If the council decides to come up with alternate funding solutions over the course of the next year that's the council's purview, that's not my department.

- [Brunette] Okay, is it true, some of these constituents have mentioned that the road had not been repaired, that cracks were not tarred, and that the road has been left to fall into even deeper disrepair?

- Well, we're getting conflicting stories. We had one individual state at the public hearing last Wednesday that one of my crews was out there almost continuously all summer long filling potholes, so, this road is maintained the same as other roads across the city. My records, this predates my involvement here at the city, I've been here since 2007, but my records indicate that as part of the city's rural resurfacing program back in 1990, a two inch hot mix asphalt layer was indeed installed on the length of Hillcrest, from Mason down, around, and including Haven Place. So, it is more than just an oil mat road, there was a two inch asphalt lift that was placed out there in 1990, again according to my records. Similar to other rural roads of a similar nature on the east side, and it has been mentioned that Humboldt Road was reconstructed two years ago, it's almost in identical condition to Humboldt Road. And yes, there were assessments that exceeded \$100,000 on that one. We also did a project, back in I believe 2009, on Church Road, which had been rural resurfaced back in the early 90s, and because drainage issues were not of concern, the ditching was adequate out there to drain the pavement away, that was resurfaced. So, I understand folks feel this is a unique situation, but it has been, we have had similar projects with similar frontages in similar impacts.

- Okay, I understand that. Based on my own knowledge, and discussions I've had with you and other Alderman. If we hold this project for a year, so that the residents feel they have some of their concerns addressed and have more of an opportunity to discuss these concerns with city staff, over the course of the year, will the city maintain the road, fill potholes, do proper snow removal, things that any other city street would receive?

- The city will address safety concerns out there. We will not let the roads become unsafe. I don't know, I'm going to go back, I've got notes marked down indicating that this road has not been plowed. We do have GPS on all of our vehicles. I am going to go back through the snow vans we had this year and verify on the ABL data whether or not this street has been plowed. If it hasn't been plowed, I will be having discussions with operations staff to find out what's going on. Residential streets, no residential streets in the city of Green Bay are salted. Primaries only. That's been a policy for 30 years.

- Okay, thank you. Mayor Schmidt, I just wanted to add something, and I want to make sure that Director Grenier and any other city staff involved in this project hear me. It's not my intention to question their professional training and education and experience. I know they want to do what's in the best interest of the city and all city residents and homeowners. We are often faced with very tough decisions as a government body, and I know in the past council, city councils and leaders of those councils have really bemeaned and belittled, or at least the perception I've received from city staff that those were the actions were intended for that effect. I have nothing negative to say about how Director Grenier has handled himself through these proceedings, I'm just doing what's in the best interest of my constituents to get these questions answered. And I don't feel that we need to rush into something. If the first time they were notified of this was on March 6th, I think as a city, elected body of people, all have problem-solving capabilities. It's probably why many of us got elected. I think we can do a lot better for the constituents sitting behind me, and please hear me, I don't want to have my comments viewed as negative towards the staff and the work

that they put into this. It's a tough job, they have to kind of walk the tight line, and oftentimes, it's hard to stay on that line. So, with that, I'll yield the floor to whoever else wants to speak.

- Great. Just, for the council, we're discussing a motion with the second to hold this item 11, which is, again, make sure we're all on the same page. The asphalt pavement on Hillcrest, the asphalt resurfacing on Haven, and the water main and water services on Hillcrest. So. Alderman Galvin.

- I have some questions for staff. So, Director Grenier, you say that the water concerns on Hillcrest, the evening of the road, the ditches, that would all be taken care of with the reconstruction that you're proposing. Okay. So there's five people that still have well water. The rest already have city water, through one means or another. Yet we're hitting everybody up on that road based on their frontage for that sewer and water? We're spreading the cost around based on footage? Frontage?

- [Grenier] Water, there is no assessments for sewer. There are assessments for pavement, and there are proposed assessments for water. The water improvements, you'll have to discuss, there is, the engineering services manager from Green Bay water utility is here, and I would ask that Brian, Brian Powell come up and address those questions. That's through water utilities, not through the Department of Public Works.

- Well, I guess what I'm asking, what's driving the cost on this? Is it the resurfacing, or is it everything else?

- [Grenier] There is, at the beginning of every year, the assessment rates are driven by the assessment ordinance which is in chapter nine of Green Bay municipal code. Those assessment rates are calculated and brought forward to improvement service committee. Then improvement service committee takes action and they're brought forward to common council for adoption. Those were just adopted in either January or February of this year. Once we have the assessment rates set for the year based on the type of improvement, it's simply a matter of calculating the assessment rate multiplied by the front footage, which is taken from the Brown County tax roles, and that's the number.

- Okay, but are you proposing to do the same kind of work to Haven Place as you are to Hillcrest?

- [Grenier] No, Haven Place is a resurfacing, not a reconstruction.

- I guess that's what I'm asking. If we had a wheel tax in place. \$15, \$20, whatever. If we did, how much, or what, how much would that affect both proposals? Haven or Hillcrest, either or, you can go first on whichever one you want.

- [Grenier] At this point, it's unknown. It would depend on what the council deems the wheel tax be spent on. That's not my decision.

- Okay, if the council deemed that the wheel tax be used only for resurfacing or the surfacing of roads, what would the impact be?

- [Grenier] If the council were to determine that money raised from wheel tax would first and foremost go to the elimination of residential special assessment for pavement construction, there would be no assessments. That was the original plan that was brought forward last August.

- Right, so is there anything involved with Hillcrest that makes it different than Haven Place? Why is it so much more.

- [Grenier] Because Hillcrest needs to be reconstructed, not resurfaced, as you heard from the residents there's base failure on Hillcrest.

- So curb and gutter, running the city water, everything, is that what's adding to the cost here?

- [Grenier] The difference is it's a reconstruct, not a resurface. It's a more extensive project. The base has completely failed, so the base has to be removed. Significant ditching has to be completed to get the surface water to drain away from the road. It's a much more involved process. Once we get to a reconstruct, because of the way the ordinance is written, the reconstructs are calculated with a higher assessment factor than a resurfacing is. That's all part of the assessment ordinance. I can't speak to the water, that's Green Bay Water Utility.

- Alright, thank you. Doctor Vank. Right now, they're restricted. They have to have, what, ten acres is what I'm hearing. It started out as one acre, five acres, now it's ten acres to be able to build. Is that because they, what is the reason for that?

- Sure, that goes back to some of the urban reserve zoning that we have in the city. In essence, it was put in place to preserve kind of the rural character of the farther reaches of the far west and the far east sides of the city.

- What would it take to change that? Just make it residential.

- In terms of a petition to go through the residents, we need a petition to zoning change by purview of this council. You could look at making some zoning changes. One of the things you'd have to do based on this area, I'd have to look at the specific parcels, but probably first of all, the change to our comprehensive plan. It's probably designated to be rural residential and that type of character. Amending the comp plan to say look, we'd want this to be more of a higher density residential, and we'll look at some other zoning classes that we have, or densities. And with that go through a process to amend the comp plan, by then you'd have to change the zoning to fit in whatever type of zoning would fit in with the comp plan. Moving to R1 or R2, I mean however far down the scale you'd like to go, but really then what that would impact is future development. It would allow perhaps, the subdivision perhaps of some lots, and to create additional density out there. But really, it would be looking at whenever that zoning was changed, projects moving forward from that point in time.

- Okay, thank you. I'm in favor of holding this. I know that there's, like Alder Brunette said, there's some things that can be done. When I lived on Indian Hill Drive, we had basically the same kinda road as they have right now. They did a complete reconstruct and they made it a secondary truck route, curb and gutter, the whole nine yards, and there was a neighbor that had a large lot. It was over 270 feet, and what he told me the city did, was they worked out a deal where he paid the assessment only for the property that his house sat on, and the three additional lots, he didn't pay for those until he sold them. And at that time he would pay his bill. So I don't know if that's something to be done here, but I think there are some options out there that we could certainly work towards to make this a, as it was mentioned, an easier pill to swallow. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Alderman. Alderman Johnson.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. One of the things that I really want us to think about as a council, is I think it's important that we not be presumptuous on the future of a wheel tax. I think that discussion hasn't occurred yet, we don't have a proposal in front of us. But I think one thing we can all agree on is the need to find a way to fund and fix our roads. This should be the wake up call that we needed as a council to have the courage to find solutions and to serve the people that we were elected to represent. Alderman Brunette eluded to this. I don't believe this to be a failure of staff, and I think that's an important thing to note. This is a failure of outdated policy. And that places the burden on us as a council. I think we oughta feel morally bankrupt to sit by idly while we financially bankrupt our neighbors. And that to me is unacceptable, and it's for that reason that I would support the motion to hold this proposal as well.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Alderman. Alderman Scannell.

- Thank you, your honor. Yes, I remember a few years ago when we addressed this with an east side, and it was not pretty, and I felt horrible. I remember talking to staff and other Alders, trying to find a way to ease

that situation and we came up empty and I felt very empty. It just still strikes me as wrong, and I'd still like to find a way we could help out those people. But, this situation here, I do think we have some wiggle room to do something, and I'm wondering, it sounds like that road, I don't know what goes into making a county road, or if there's, if there's a lot of traffic on there besides residential traffic, if it's becoming a through. There should be some county input in there somehow. If it's coming from other municipalities, if it's a through road, I don't know what all goes into that, I don't know what we can do about that, but I would like to look into that. The other questions were already answered here that I had. I agree, we can't count on a wheel tax. I have voted for a wheel tax twice now, and it got voted down. I think there's a lot of misinformation still out there about the wheel tax. It was always meant to go for roads, resurfacing our roads. It was never shuffled off into other. That was misinformation that was put out there by people who wanted to kill the wheel tax and they did a good job. I'm hoping we can correct that coming up here. Soon. So I am gonna support the hold. I'm not sure what all we can do if it's gonna end up making much of a difference, but I think we should definitely put some effort into doing something. I agree that these assessments are just unconscionable to be hit with these assessments. It was just wrong a few years ago, and it's wrong now, and we should be able to do better somehow. With twelve of us here and a brilliant staff, we've gotta be able to come up with something. So, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Alderman. Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you, your honor. First I'd like to thank the citizens who spoke today. Some great points. I think one of the things when you look at the development and the city of Green Bay. The way things are set up, we developed from the central city, we moved out toward the west. Hobart and some of the other surrounding communities developed in their own way. So now we're at a point now where we're kinda wedged, you're kind of in a no-man's land it seems. And I, I have a feeling that this happens in other communities as well. I'm in favor of delaying this a year, as well. Alder Brunette brought up some very good points. We need to know if, traffic studies, I was going to ask Director Grenier, do we have traffic studies on this area? Yes, okay. I'd like to look at what percentage of Green Bay is sewerred versus un-sewerred in terms of percentage. This whole 10-acre limit, we need to really look at that. The wheel tax, you know, I voted against it initially, I think, looking at it now, there is some interesting points. And I really feel that this might be the straw that broke the camel's back in a way. We really need to look at this. We have to be fair to everybody in this city. So I'm fully in favor of delaying this for one year. I think that with our staff and with our council I think we can come up with some good, good solutions. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Alderman. Alderperson Dorff.

- Thank you, Mayor. So, two years ago, when I became an Alder and it was District one that was hit with the assessments of over \$120,000 for one of my constituents and \$16,000 for an 80-year-old widow on a fixed income. And since that time both of them have reached out to me and have said, we support a wheel tax. This happened to us, we don't want this ever to happen to anyone again. And so two years ago I proposed a wheel tax. But last time I proposed a wheel tax was on February 6th of 2018. And I'm yet again tonight going to be putting it in communication. There should be a proposal that should be coming along pretty soon. We've had a number of great proposals from Director Grenier, he's put a lot of time and effort into them. I'm going to be voting to hold this for a year. My residents didn't get that advantage out in District One, but, they should have. And I hope that this is the straw that broke the camel's back, as Alder Steuer just said. That we look at a new way, a better way, so that we aren't bankrupting our citizens and we're not forcing them out of their homes. Forcing them to remortgage their homes to pay these assessments. This will be coming up quite soon, council, so I hope we think seriously about what this new council can do to help this community get better roads and pay for those roads. Thank you.

- I have no other speaker buttons lit the motion by Alderman Brunette, seconded by Alderman Scannell, was to hold, it is Item 11 under the report of the improvement and service committee. So I'm going to ask you to use the board on this. It's to hold for one year, I want to make that. A yes holds it for one year, no, we'll revisit it. Alright, that's a unanimous vote, so. That's held for a year. We've got a lot of work to do, but we'll do it. Do you want to take a break, or do you want to continue. We got two agendas here. We're now on to the report of the Green Bay plan commission. Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell,

seconded by Alderman Wery to approve the report of the Green Bay plan commission. Any discussion here? Alright, see no lights, all in favor of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nay, the ayes have it. No, she said we don't need to do that if it's no discussion, we talked about that last week. If there's no discussion, it's a unanimous vote, can do it by voice, we talked. Yep, I know you do.

- [Clerk] Alright, we're on to the Public Arts Commission. Move to approve.

- Alright, there's a motion by Alderperson Dorff seconded by Alderman Nicholson to approve the report of the Public Arts Commission. Anything here you want to handle separately. I see no lights, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed nays, the ayes have it. And that report has been approved.

- [Clerk] We're on to the traffic, bicycle, and pedestrian.

- Alright, so we've got a motion by Alderman Nicholson, seconded by Alderman Scannell to approve the report of the Traffic, Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays, the ayes have it and that report's been approved.

- [Clerk] We're on to the finance committee.

- Alright we have a motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderman Brunette to approve the report of the Finance Committee. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays, the ayes have it. And that report has been approved.

- [Clerk] On to Personnel Committee. Did I miss one?

- We approved the, all the, improvement service and then took that item separately. So that report was approved three minutes ago. A few hours ago. Okay, so there's a, we're on to the report of the Personnel Committee. Alright, so we have a motion by Alderman Scannell, now seconded by Alderman Galvin, to approve the report of the Personnel Committee. Anything here you want to handle separately? Number seven and number eight. Okay, hearing none others, all in favor of the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays, the ayes have it, and everything has been approved on that report with the exception of items seven and item eight. What are your wishes regarding item seven. Motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Lefebvre. Hold that. You ready? Okay, Alderman Wery. I'm sorry, we gotta slow down a little bit. But not slow enough to take a break. Hang on, not that slow. There is a motion. I know there was, there was a motion to second. It was, nope, we just have to, don't make fun of us, we're

trying. Alderman Scannell made the motion to approve the report and that was seconded by, this is just the whole report. I know, I have, I was going too fast, and we didn't get the name of the person who made the second. I thought it was Alderman Galvin. It was Alderman Galvin. I have to slow down a little bit. So, then we approved all those items, with the exception of items seven and eight. And you made a motion to approve item seven, and it was seconded by Alderperson Lefebvre, and now Alderman Wery has the floor.

- [Clerk] No, we're not caught up.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor.

- [Mayor] I'm sorry.

- [Clerk] Seven was who?

- [Mayor] Randy. Seven was Alderman Scannell, and Alderperson Lefebvre.

- [Clerk] Okay.

- [Mayor] Sorry, thank you.

- It's fine, we're all learning. Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I'm just wondering, we changed this last year as a council because we thought we weren't getting the proper service for the community in the summer months, because sometimes an item would come up the day after a council meeting, or if we held something, in essence, the people of the community had to wait a month. That's a long time, just because it's summer. I mean, how do you explain, well, our vacation time is more important than whatever your item is. Whether it's a sidewalk, or building permit, or, a new ordinance. So I, maybe skip July, because of the fourth of July. But I'm opposed to, September's not even really summer. We have four months here that we're skipping a meeting. So, I'm gonna be voting no to this. If you want to amend it to July, I'm fine with that, but I'm gonna vote no. I think it's sending a bad message that our needs are more important than the community. Thanks.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. I'm not getting these lights, did you want to speak. Does anybody else want to, okay, Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you, your honor. I concur with Alderman Wery on this, as well. You know, we all have our vacation times during the summer, but I think it's important that we do the business of the city as well. So I can see July being maybe an adjustment, if you will, but the rest of the time I feel we should have two meetings.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Alderman. Alderperson Dorff.

- Thank you, your honor. I had brought up this idea of having two meetings during one week. This whole thing started because we were going very late last summer. For the past five years there's been one meeting a month during the summer, is what I am told. So it started because we're going til two in the morning, three in the morning, and so I had made a proposal that we should have a stop time of 11 o'clock and if we needed to have another meeting, we would have it on that Thursday of that same week. And then there was never any mention of not doing the work of the city, or, none of those things had come up. It was just taken over, then someone else made a motion to make two meetings during the summer, and it just kinda snowballed from there. So I really wanted to clarify that the real reason was because the meetings were going so long. And I asked Director Faltz to look at the times of the meetings in summer, from all the data he has from all of those years where we only had one meeting during those months, and he has found that it's, other than taking out last summer, which was kind of an aberration, those meetings lasted about an hour and 20 minutes longer in the summer when we only had the one meeting in the summer. So, things like the protection of welfare licenses, those were taken care of. The requests from production welfare. There were ways where it did not need to go through council. So I never, the

discussion of not serving the public, I just don't recall that as part of why this was voted in. That's all I need to say right now, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. We'll go to Alderman Nicholson.

- Thank you, Mayor. What have we been doing for the last since you've been Mayor.

- [Mayor] We have gone to monthly meetings in the summer I think the ebb and flow has been between two and four. And I want to say that I direct staff to know that and it's agenda-driven, I mean, that's what our meetings, all of our meetings are. So we've talked about that as a senior staff level, but as Alderman Wery said, it's your decision. But the senior staff will work toward that, and if we need a meeting, we'll have one.

- But, is there a change on this proposal compared to what we had been doing in the past, since you were Mayor?

- [Mayor] I just want to say, what I've been doing for summer meetings since I've been Mayor, that's been 15 years, has been not consistently, but the last number of years, what, four? Four or five years we've gone to one meeting a month in the summer months, those four months. So I'd say the last five years.

- And that's what this says, number seven.

- [Mayor] Yes, sir that is exactly what that is.

- I mean, we haven't had many emergencies, and also I believe Alderman Dorff is bringing back, or proposing a six o'clock change that should help if we have any long meetings, and if you look at that long meeting we had, that was very out of the ordinary. If everybody remembers what it was, it was basically very out of the ordinary. Other than that, we've been getting out of the meetings during the summer early, so I, I see, just to be consistent, what we have been doing for the last five years, I mean, you always want to look at, does government really have to meet all the time? I mean the public doesn't want government to meet all the time. And if there is an emergency, our mayor, correct, can call a meeting. So, I don't know, I would like to just be consistent, I agree with this and, well. I guess I'm gonna support it. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alderman Scannell.

- Thank you, your honor. One question, does this also include then, the committees? The committees would meet just once a month, cuz that's what it was.

- [Mayor] I have no say over the committees, that's totally up to the committee chair. I just said that.

- Okay, so, we can make that decision, each committee.

- [Mayor] You chair PNW, right?

- Yep, alright, thank you. And that's all I got to say, I support it.

- [Mayor] Alderperson Lefebvre.

- Yes, I'm a member of the Personnel Committee. When we talked about it, this makes sense. There's a lot of things going on in the summer, and also when you have two meetings a month, there could be something where residents themselves have to come, they're busy, too. And that's another reason why starting the meetings a little bit earlier helps people too, that they're not sitting here waiting and waiting until the item comes up. And also, I thought it was kind of, and Alderman Dorff had mentioned that the meeting went really really late. I thought it was terrible that the staff had to be there. I mean, they have to go to work the next day. I mean, some of us do work, but I mean still. It's kinda hard on the staff if this

would happen more than once in the summer. More things do come up in the summer. And I think this also means that people know that they have to get their business done, instead of talkin, talkin, talkin, talkin. Dragging the meetings on, so. I'm very much I'm support of this, I think it makes good sense. Maybe not the September, as Alderman Wery had mentioned. It's not summer, but if everybody wants September, I'll go along with it.

- [Mayor] Thank you Alderperson. Do you want to speak again? Alderman Wery?

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Skipping meetings, really is something new. I mean, when I started back in 2002, I think my first ten years, I think we skipped July. And then it slowly became July August. June July August, June July August September, December, sometimes January, soon we might as well just meet once a month, you know. Our only job that we got elected for is service. That's all, we were elected to serve. And by making it once a month, we're serving less. We're getting paid the same, we're serving less. You know, whether it's, you know, it might not be important to us, but a meeting like this, if we held it for a month til our next meeting would be a month. If somebody tomorrow asked you to address something on their block, and you said yeah I'll put it in right away oh, we don't really meet for a month, but then we'll get on it because we've gotta do other stuff for the summer. I mean it just, it sounds bad, it's bad policy. We're making citizens, developers, proposals, wait. We're making them wait. I'll come here for one hour or two hours so that one person gets their item done. Because that's what we're here for. If you wanna, if you wanna vote against that, fine. But I'm gonna make a motion to strike the months of June, August and September, and leave July.

- [Mayor] Alright so we have a new motion on the floor, the motion is to cancel the meeting in July. For the month of July. Alright, so, the motion on the floor is to cancel the months of June, August and September. So I just want to be clear when people vote. So a yes vote means we meet twice a month except July. Okay, I just want to be clear on it. So a yes vote, we meet once a month except July. A no vote.

- [Alderman] Motion to approve.

- Hang on, I, I only had ten votes. I only had ten votes, man. So that fails on a motion of four to eight. Okay, so we're back to the original motion which is by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderperson Dorff to approve item seven.

- [Clerk] Not there yet.

- [Wery] I think I pressed my light, Mr. Mayor.

- Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. My light's always on. I'll make a motion to delete the months of June and September. So we would meet once a month in July, August. Thank you.

- Okay, so, the motion on the floor now is a yes vote, we meet twice a month in August and September. A no vote, we're back.

- [Clerk] Just wait one second.

- Yeah, the original motion is item seven.

- [Clerk] But don't I have to show that failed. Or I'm not showing failed. And I don't do it here. Okay, sorry, just want to make sure.

- Nicholson, Alderman Nicholson, Alderperson Dorff, item seven, please vote.

- [Alderman] I had my light.

- [Dorff] I had my light on, too.
- She was first, Alderperson Dorff.
- Yes, I just wanted to clarify that beyond the meetings, I do quite a bit of service for my district, it's not just the meetings in which I am serving the citizens of my district. There are phone calls, there are emails, there are visits out to homes. I feel pretty comfortable in the fact that I am working every day for the citizens of my district, and, if we need to call a meeting, we will call a special meeting. We have that opportunity to do that. So I think we're pretty much covered if we need to do something during these months. Thank you.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Well, there's only one left, so I'm going to make a motion to strike September. That has nothing to do with summer, and there's no reason we can't meet in September. Honestly, are we getting that, we can't meet. I'm amending it.
- [Mayor] I think we take amendments, so there's a motion to amend item seven.
- [Steuer] I'll second that.
- [Mayor] Seconded by Alderman Steuer to strike September. So a yes vote, we meet twice in September, a no vote, we're back to the original motion.
- [Dorff] I do have my light on.
- [Mayor] Alderperson Dorff.
- I just want to remind us that that would be the day after Labor Day that we would then be meeting, and that's probably why we didn't meet in September in the past, thank you.
- [Mayor] We're down to September. Yes vote, we meet twice, and no vote, we don't. Yeah, that's fine. I'm sorry, we're gonna look at that. Okay, and that fails by eight to four, so. Alderman Nicholson and Alderperson Dorff have a motion to approve item seven. Alright, please vote. This is on item seven. Alright, that passes on a vote of ten to two. Thank you. Clerk.
- [Clerk] Now we're on to eight, right. We're now on to, to approve a new starting time at six pm.
- There was a motion, no alright, so the motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderman Stevens, Alderman Nicholson, hang on.
- Just um, when are we gonna start this?
- [Mayor] It would be June 19th. We have to go through an ordinance, that would be the first effective date. You have to approve an ordinance change though, this can come back to you one more time. We've been through this before, but so, you're passing this report, you're gonna have the first reading tonight. Second reading at the end of May, and then it would be effective June 19th if we move our starting time, this is the first step.
- Got it, thanks Mayor.
- Anybody else on this?
- [Clerk] I don't see anybody.

- Alright, please use the board on this, this is the approval of item eight. Or the personnel committee. And that passes on a vote of eleven to one, alright thank you. Okay. Just give me a second to catch up, and then we'll get going.

- [Clerk] We're now on to the Park Committee.

- Alright there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, now seconded by Alderman Nicholson to approve the report of the Park Committee. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays, the ayes have it, and that report's been approved.

- [Clerk] We're on to the Protection and Welfare Committee. Entertain a motion to approve that. By Alderman Scannell. Seconded by Alderman Galvin. To approve the report of the Protection and Welfare Committee. Are there any items in this report you want to handle separately, item ten. Okay, hearing none others, all in favor of the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed nay, the ayes have it, all items have been approved on the report. What are your wishes regarding item ten? Motion to approve by Alderman Steuer. Seconded by Alderman Galvin. Alderman Scannell.

- Yes, I would ask the council to allow the committee to have final authority on this. There are some time constraints on this. They could not, they were out of town and were unable to come to our last meeting, their plane got delayed and they got in late. So if the council could give us final authority on this one, I would appreciate it.

- [Mayor] We're meeting twice that month, too. Okay, there's a motion and a second to suspend the rules, I guess suspend the rules first. Suspend the rules regarding item ten, so all in favor of suspension, signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays. So now the proper motion is to give the protection and welfare the authority to approve this request given the approval of police and law. That's the motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderman Nicholson. Let's use the board on this one.

- [Clerk] Yep.

- [Mayor] Alright, please use the board on this. This is giving the final approval to the Protection and Welfare Committee. A yes vote gives them, no that's wrong. And that passes unanimously, so you're gonna deal with it. It's in your hands.

- [Clerk] We're onto referral of petitions and communications. Listed below, you have all petitions and communications received by the Clerk's Office by noon on Thursday. We will now accept any late communications you may have.

- Look, I know it's a new software system, I don't see any.

- [Clerk] No, there are.

- Okay, Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you, your honor. Alright, this one's to protection and welfare. To revisit Green Bay Municipal Code 8.06 as it pertains to the length of dog leashes as to a workable solution. Dog leashes, sorry.

- [Mayor] Is that it? We'll wait for that. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I have four. The first one is for Traffic, Bike, and Pedestrian. Implement a city-wide study of all Green Bay's public schools for crosswalk enhancements and crossing guard placement. Number two, improvement and services. As per prior practice, upon request, allow city council members a second parking permit. INS, as per prior practice, upon request, allow city council members to be provided with printed agendas and minutes. Those didn't have to come to council, but the powers that be said it had to, so. It seemed like a simple change to me, but. The last one is for protection and welfare, discuss with possible action of endorsement of Police Chief Smith's proposal for school safety regarding retired policeman in all Green Bay public schools. Thank you.

- Alderperson Dorff.

- I have two. The first to traffic, bike and ped. To consider doing a study to lower the speed limit on Church Road between Nikolay Drive and Bay Settlement Road the second one to improvement and services. This communication is a follow-up to the communication of February 6th, 2018, regarding the municipal vehicle registration fee program, which is the wheel tax. It's request that this topic be placed on the improvement and services agenda for their next meeting in May, and be brought back to city council for discussion and possible resolution at the May 15th, 2018, city council meeting.

- [Mayor] Anyone else? It is not, I have Alderperson Dorff. You're there, nope you're good. Alderman Vander Leest.

- This will correct to the Parks Committee to request an update on the fundraising for the 9/11 Memorial Monument next to the Never Pole museum. I'd like to see the fundraising completed prior to the 9/11 ceremony this year, and the residents and the businesses have felt strongly on keeping this memorial in Green Bay. We did discuss it at Improvement Services in the past, and I just want to pass that along. And also, a request to the Parks Department to increase public communication on curing diseased trees on Green Bay. So residents are more informed on how to identify diseased trees and steps to get the city forestry involved. There are areas on the west side of Green Bay, have many diseased trees, both on the rightaway and then, just a little bit more information.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Chris do you have any late communications?

- [Chris] I do, I have two of them. One if for improvement and service committee, application for concrete sidewalk builders licensed by Brian's Quality Concrete. And protection and welfare, appeal by Lisa Root to the denial of her operator license application.

- Alright. So with that I'll enter into a motion to refer all. Alright there's a motion by Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderperson Kathy Lefebvre to refer all petitions and communications to the proper authorities. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays, ayes have it. They've all been referred. Clerk.

- [Clerk] We're onto resolutions. You may enter suspension of the rules, adopt a resolutions three through nine together with one roll call vote.

- Alright, so there's a motion by Alderman Scannell and seconded by Alderman Steuer to suspend the rules for that purpose. All in favor of suspension, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays, the ayes have it. Proper motion be to adopt. Alderman Scannell, seconded by Aldermann Corpus Dax. And please use the, your board on this. Resolutions are all voted on using the board. One through nine, report, you. Alright, and those pass unanimously, thank you.

- [Clerk] We're on to ordinances first reading. You may enter suspension of the rules at the ends of ordinances one through four to a third reading.

- Alright we have a motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderman Stevens to suspend the rules for that purpose. All in favor of suspension, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays, the rules are suspended. And now we're gonna advance these to the third reading.

- [Clerk] I can't set it as current, I can't do it.

- This is voice vote stuff. I don't need the board, right? It was Alderman Scannell and Alderman Stevens.

- [Clerk] Okay.

- Okay, so there was a motion second to advance these to the third reading, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays, ayes have it. Those four ordinances have been advanced to the third reading, so you'll see those in two weeks.

- [Clerk] We are on to ordinances third reading, you may adopt ordinance one with a role call vote.

- There's a motion by Alderman Scannell second by Alderperson Corpus-Dax, if you would please use the board, this is adopting general ordinance 318. Alderman, we're good. Alright, that was a good meeting. There's a motion by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderman Scannell to adjourn this meeting, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- And those opposed nays, the ayes have it. Alright, we'll see you, hopefully I'll see you May 9th. If not, May 15th. Are we gonna get this? We'll get this won't we?