



MINUTES OF THE PROTECTION AND WELFARE COMMITTEE

MONDAY, MAY 7, 2018, 5:30 PM

CITY HALL, ROOM 207

***Please note new time**

A. ROLL CALL.

Alderspersons Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell were present. Also present were Ald. Dorff, Ald. Johnson, Deputy CA Joanne Bungert, Asst CA Kristen Johnson, Cpt. Brad Florence, Police Chief Andrew Smith, Humane Officer Mallory Meves.

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

1. Approval of the agenda for the May 7, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve the agenda for the May 7, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

1. To approve the minutes of the April 23, 2018 Protection & Welfare Committee meeting.

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve the minutes of the April 23, 2018 Protection & Welfare Committee meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on a request by Ald. Steuer to revisit GBMC 8.06 as it pertains to the length of dog leashes, as to a workable solution.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to amend GB Municipal Code 8.06 to require leashes to be 6 feet or less. To also refer a communication to the Parks Committee to add signage within parks property the leash law requirement and report to P&W Committee as to where they are adding additional dog parks. Motion carried. Yes- Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- John Vander Leest, Abstain- None

2.

A discussion and possible action regarding an ordinance creating section 8.16, relating to the practice of massage or bodywork therapy requiring state licensing.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve an ordinance creating section 8.16 relating to the practice of massage or bodywork therapy requiring state licensing. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Notice of change of agent for Van Zeeland Oil Co., Inc. at 601 N. Military Ave.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the change of agent for Van Zeeland Oil Co., Inc. at 601 N. Military. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Notice of change of agent for Sam's East, Inc. at 2470 W. Mason St.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve a change of agent for Sam's East, Inc. at 2470 W. Mason St. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Notice of change of agent for the Automobile Gallery, Inc. at 400 S. Adams St.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve a change of agent for Automobile Gallery, Inc. at 400 S. Adams St. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Notice of change of agent for Green Bay Lodging II (The Meadows Center) at 850 Kepler Dr. with an effective date of July 1, 2018.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve a change of agent for Green Bay Lodging II (The Meadows Center) at 850 Kepler Dr. with an effective date of July 1, 2018. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on a request by Catch-22 at 1699 E. Mason St. to change their liquor license to include an outdoor patio seating area, previously held at the April 23, 2018 P&W Meeting. *(The City Council has granted final authority to the Protection & Welfare Committee regarding this request.)*

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Vander Leest to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the request by Catch-22 to amend their liquor license to include an outdoor patio seating area with the stipulation that the speakers point away from the residential area. Motion carried. ***(The City Council has granted final authority to the Protection & Welfare Committee regarding this request.)***

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on a request by Richard Craniums to hold an outdoor event on May 12, 2018 from noon to 6 p.m.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- None, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Vander Leest to approve a request by Richard Craniums to hold an outdoor event on May 12, 2018, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

9. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Lyssa Root to the denial of her Operator License application.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- None, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Stevens to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve an appeal by Lyssa Root to the denial of her operator license application. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

10. Consideration with possible action for a Class "A" Liquor License by Van Zeeland Oil Co., Inc. at 601 N Military Ave. for the 2018-2019 year. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage License).

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Mark Steuer to grant a "Class A" Liquor License to Van Zeeland Oil Co., Inc. at 601 N. Military Ave. for the 2018-2019 year, with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

11. Renewal applications for liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the renewal application for liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

12. Renewal applications for liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year, pending the approval of change of agent at the May 15, 2018 City Council Meeting.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities and the pending approval of change of agent. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

13. Renewal applications for liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year, pending the approval of the change of description.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the renewal applications with the change of description with the exception to Haystack Bar & Grill at 1911 University Ave. to include only the Basement, Bar, and Storage as part of their licensed premises and with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

14. Consideration on a request by former Alderperson Moore regarding domestic violence as it pertains to bail/bond, sentencing and victim resources, as previously discussed at the January 29, 2018 meeting.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to receive and place on file a request by former Alderperson Moore regarding domestic violence as it pertains to bail/bond, sentencing and victim resources and request Chief Smith to meet with Brown County counterparts and report back to the committee on the viability of creating a task force for domestic violence weapon surrenders. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

15. Consideration with possible action on a request by Ald. Wery of an endorsement of Police Chief Smith's proposal for school safety, regarding retired policemen in all Green Bay Public Schools.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to hold for 30 days the request by Ald. Wery on an endorsement of Police Chief Smith's proposal for school safety, regarding retire policemen in all Green Bay Public Schools as the Police Department reaches out to the School Board. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

1. Liquor Violation Reports from the Police Department for May 7, 2018.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to receive and place on file on the liquor violation reports from the Police Department for May 7, 2018. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

F. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to adjourn. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Meeting adjourned at 7:20 p.m.

VERBATIM MINUTES

- We're all set?
- Alright, yep.
- 'Kay, we'll begin the Protection and Welfare Committee meeting for May 7th, 2018. It is 5:30, we're in room 207. Roll call. Alder Stevens.
- Here. Alder VanderLeest, Alder Steuer.
- Here. And myself, Alder Scannell, we are all present. I'll take a motion to approve the agenda.
- So moved.
- Second.
- Alder, Alder Scannell, I'm sorry, can we pause for a second?
- Sure. I need to be able to call out the agenda items so that I'm setting it current in the minutes.
- Okay.
- So, one second.
- Okay.
- So we just moved on from roll call. Now we are on-
- Approval of the agenda.
- Approval of the agenda, alright. Approval of the agenda for the May 7th, 2018 Protection and Welfare meeting. And we had a motion by-
- By Alder Steuer. 'Kay.
- Seconded my Alder VanderLeest
- Alright and I can start the vote. Or we can do a voice vote on this one.
- All in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? I have an agenda.
- Next item is the approval of the minutes of the April 23rd, 2018 Protection and Welfare Committee meeting.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.

- Motion to approve.
- Motion from Alder Stevens, second by Alder VanderLeest.
- Voice vote, mhm.
- Okay, all in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? Okay, we have our minutes approved. Regular business.
- Yes.
- So our first item is, consideration with possible action on request by Alder Steuer to revisit Green Bay municipal code 8.06 as it pertains to the length of dog leashes as to a workable solution.
- Well I think we should begin with Alder Steuer here, I didn't know there was an issue, what's the-
- Well we'll find out.
- Yep.
- It was actually brought up by one of the citizens in my district Bob Hural who's in the audience who I would like to have speak with the time comes. And I've had citizens call in at times talking about these retractable leashes that are somewhat unsafe a little bit if they come back. Talked also about homes, there were folks that have a very small front yard, owner and the dog will be walking on the sidewalk and the next thing you know, the dog's almost up on the porch. And, oh he's a nice dog, well fine, but there's some issue with that as well. So I also had a chance to talk to Officer Meves, our animal control officer, and she went at length on a few things as well. So I thought, you know what, I think we need to discuss this and just find out if there's other ways better ways to deal with that. Some communities have longer leashes, some have shorter, what have you, and sometimes your dog has six feet, seven feet, there's a number of different things that come forward. So I'm gonna rely on Officer Meves to talk about that, but I'd also like to have Mr. Hural speak as well, as well as anybody else who would like to.
- 'Kay well why don't we start with Officer Meves? Keep it with staff first.
- Hi!
- Yep, good afternoon.
- I know I spoke with Alderman Steuer. We often have quite a few complaints on this in the city. Some of our biggest issues are that people just don't leash their animals period. And I think if we can try to get it across that all animals be leashed, some people, if you read our ordinance it says that we have a leash law, but then when you read further on, it basically says there are means that are efficient and effective to control your animals. Some of that would fall under an electric fence, and electric shock collar, that sort of thing. I believe that leashes that are six feet or less in length are efficient. And if you look at our city, we have the five foot public right-of-way restriction. Honestly, five or six feet, as far as leash length I think is appropriate. The city owns five feet onto property anyways in the city, so that would basically be a buffer. Some of these people, like he said, have these retractable leashes, they're junk. You have a big dog on one of those, they snap, they break. They're also unsafe because if a dog's on one, and some kid's walking by, they wrap around the kid's leg, they can cause quite a bit of damage, actually cut off the circulation, people have to go to the hospital for that sort of thing. And again like he said, they can extend very far, there's all different ones, they can be up on someone's porch. Now what if someone has their dog tied up there? Now we've got a dog bite. Now an animal to animal bite doesn't result in us having to do a state-ordered quarantine, but now we have someone who potentially, their own dog got injured on their own property from a dog that was not properly leashed or under control. Those are concerns that I have.

- I was gonna ask, during the course of the year, how many calls do we get on things like this? You know let's say, on leash, or un-leashed dogs?

- I would have to say it's our number one call. I would have to, I'm still trying to find an exact number. But it's our number one call. Constantly, I've had some today. A gentleman called me today, he's, for the last seven years, he runs passed an address and this dog just now started runnin' out after him and his dog and he's mindin' his own business and we have that five foot right-of-way restriction and that leash law, to keep people safe. We don't want parents who are pushin' along their kids in a stroller to feel not safe in their neighborhood, like some dog's gonna come right out and chomp that kid's face. It's a safety concern, there's a buffer, and I think the public is not aware of that. And I'm trying to educate the public, and get that out there that, hey, we do have a five foot right-of-way restriction, we do have a leash law, and you need to be in control of your animal. It cannot just run loose, and you kinda supervise it, that's not okay.

- Right, so our ordinance right now, what does it call for?

- Basically just, it's a leash law. Animal running at large law, it has to be leashed.

- But there's no, no length.

- There's no restrictions, yes. And if you read further, it specifically states with sufficient means, yeah.

- Under your control.

- Right, right.

- Which is vague. Left to interpretation.

- Correct.

- So you're suggesting we put a foot length to it?

- I'm okay with that. I guess the idea came up to me, and there are many municipalities that do that. It's really big in California, and bigger cities obviously, for that concern. I just would like to get people more compliant with actually just even leashing them. Not even a leash length, but just getting them leashed. 'Cause I have so many attacks that happen because an animal is off leash.

- So, what action would you like us, what would you like to see happen with us?

- Six feet or less, all animals leashed.

- Anything else?

- Well that's a start.

- Well it is but.

- Right, I'm not saying, but if there's more we could do it right now.

- Do you want me to discuss the parks?

- Well I just wanted to ask one other thing. I mean we were talkin' about folks with unleashed dogs, but let's say in parks. I know it's not totally related to this item, but indirectly somewhat, so, we've had issues with that too, where folks just let their dogs run in the parks and I've talked to parks about that and they're saying, well it's hard to enforce. You have to call it in and with the police and that too, it's probably not high on their priority list. But I think it's still an issue.

- Still a concern, yeah. So we have another ordinance, no animal permitted in a city park, unless it's a dog and it's leashed and on the pathway. Now I personally, just two weekends ago took my kid to McCullith Park just to play. A gentleman opened up his door, two dogs came running over and one of them was a chihuahua, went crazy by my three year old son. And he's like, "oh they're nice." And I'm like, I picked my kid up. Every animal is capable of biting, it is an animal. They act on instincts, you know what I'm saying? And I don't know if it's vaccinated, it needs to be leashed. And what if your child's allergic to a dog? How are you gonna explain that? I take this very seriously, because I'm very passionate in what I deal with. And the public safety's important to me. Whether it's your own kid, or someone else's kid, or just anyone else, or your own animal for that matter. And a lot of times, the parks department, they don't have proper signage. I went and walked that whole park, I did not find any signs.

- I asked about that too, if I can chime in. Some folks said well, you won't read signs. The signs were up and people don't pay attention anyhow. But if there are no signs, you have no excuse. I think there should be something. And that's another issue, we'll have to deal with that.

- Right, you walk into a state park, they've got plenty of signs right when you enter in. And the thing is, people have phones, nowadays everywhere. It makes it easier to call in any kind of complaint. Snap a photograph of the vehicle that came with animals. I will be sure to follow up on it.

- Where would you put the signs though in a large park? And I notice in other, you know a large park, you'd have a lot of different entranceways. So that makes it difficult too.

- Right, I think definitely pathways, like the main walking areas. I know McCullith, they have all the trails. That's where everyone just lets their dogs run loose. And I saw three cars show up that day.

- So at a park, is your estimation that they should stay on trails, and not running around in-

- I think they need to be leashed, number one.

- Right.

- That's that thing where we just have an issue. People just do not leash their dogs. They just think, oh they'll stay right at my heel. But then it's 20 feet ahead of them.

- 'Cause there are some parks that don't have a lot of trails, they just have green space, so.

- Correct, and some of the parks, like Cockburn Park is actually listed as a dog friendly park. So I think we're kinda giving the wrong idea when it comes to that, because it's like, oh is it a dog park? Are they permitted to run loose?

- Right.

- The website's very confusing.

- But you think, for our purposes, what we should be doing is looking at shortening the leashes to, or making the leashes six feet, no longer than six feet?

- Yes.

- And perhaps we can put in a communication to parks for signage and maybe if we start getting more dog parks in our parks.

- Well that one seems to be working.

- Yep, yep.

- I'm a huge fan of it. That give the pets a place to go and stay out of the other areas where it gets to be maybe a nuisance.

- Any questions? Yes sir.

- I'm in favor of the dogs bein' on a leash, but as far as retractable leashes, I have a bulldog, a 60 pound bulldog. I use a retractable leash with him and, you can set it any length that you want. I'm not in real favor of just six foot leashes. Some dogs don't feel comfortable, some dogs are skittish, they don't feel comfortable on a real short leash. They like to have a little more movement. I think the owner should be responsible for keeping their dog under control so, I'm not really in favor of a six foot leash. 'Cause some dogs just don't do well on a six foot leash.

- I guess my concern is, six feet or less, is the guideline in which you can control an animal. It's easier to control an animal within that distance versus 20 feet out or you know, you gotta look at the leash length. And I mean, I personally have had retractable leashes. I had a 65 pound lab, boom, it snapped, it's gone. Bulldogs are a little bit slower, I have a bulldog myself. And the button breaks on there, you know. If you have a nylon or a leather leash, the chances of that breaking or snapping versus a little tiny thin cable. The Humane Society gets the retractable leashes donated to them, they throw them out. Because they have had major issues, you can ask anyone there, they're junk.

- Do you know how long the maximum length of -

- They're all different lengths that you can get 'em. They'll go way far, to me how can you control an animal that's that far? Maybe a bulldog that's a little slower, you throw a pitbull on that, or a hyper lab or all these shepard dogs and heelers that we're gettin' from down south, they're high energy dogs.

- I understand what John is saying too, I mean you have a large dog and that, you know if you don't put a number on it eventually, then it's open to interpretation. So it's tough that way, so we, we're lookin' at safety.

- Let's stick to questions right now, we'll save all the discussion for when we get.

- Okay, thank you.

- Anything else then, do you have nothing else to add before-

- I have nothing else to say.

- Okay, motion to open the floor?

- Motion to open the floor.

- By Alder VanderLeest second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [Committee] Aye.

- Opposed?

- The floor is now open, if anybody else would care to speak on this.

- Hello Mr. Chairman, committee members. talked the other day.

- Name and address

- We need an address please.

- Bob Hural, 611 Wilson Avenue. And we were discussing length of the leashes to a great extent. And with due respect, you have to consider, you're supposed to keep that dog under control at all times. Now if you let a dog run on a 20 foot leash, you have absolutely no control over that dog. Just to give you an example, I'm working 25 feet off the city sidewalk in the garden area. I had a Springer Spaniel come nosin' right up to me. If I would've jumped or startled that dog, I coulda gotten bit without a doubt. He could've been the calmest, most loving dog in the world, but he was still, like Officer Meves says, an animal. And an animal will react. So that's one of the things we were talkin' about. And a seven foot leash, I see no problem why they shouldn't restrict that to 'em. And, may I approach?

- Certainly, yes.

- And what every pet owner should know, it states right in there, that no dog should be allowed to trespass on another person's property.

- That's what it says, talk to him.

- And so you're, I'm sorry, was there anything else?

- Gonna touch on parks too, but that was already hashed over, but goin' back to the leashes again. You were sayin' that some dogs have a hard time walkin' on a leash, it's called training. You can train a dog to walk on a five foot leash right along side you, with no problems with it whatsoever. So my recommendation to the board would be, tighten up the leash law, and put some teeth into it. So to speak.

- So we're not barkin' up the wrong tree?

- Yeah.

- I'll ask you this question. What do you think as of a six foot length that's been talked about?

- Six foot, seven foot, you've got control on the animal. Where the animal's walkin' 20 feet away from you, you have no control on that dog. And like I said, if it's startled for some reason, who's to say the calmest dog in the world is not gonna turn around and take a bite outta somebody?

- 'Kay so you'd be willing to go up to seven feet? Or would you rather-

- I would rather see it stay within the six to seven foot area.

- Okay, okay, thank you. I got no other questions, go ahead.

- Well Bob, we were talkin' about this and you know, your front yard, little bit shorter, and you said you had that issue so, to your garden how far is it? It's probably eight feet?

- Well from where I was on the side of the house that one day, it was probably 15, 20 feet.

- Okay, well your front porch though isn't all that far.

- Right, right

- Off the sidewalk so.

- So that's why we were asking about the six foot or seven foot, what you'd prefer.

- I'll even give you an example of how inconsiderate some people are. There was a gentleman that was walkin' this Springer that I was talkin' about on a 25 foot leash, and I brought this out to him. I asked him to read that, he's "nope, nope, you go ahead and keep it." I says well, you know we're tryin' to work to get the leash law enforced a little bit so you get a shorter leash. So he tightened him up. Okay, he got down to the

corner, and I was watchin' him, he turned around, looked at me and pushed the button to let him go again. So they don't care, so you have to get something out there that's gonna, like I said, put some teeth into it, make it work.

- Yep, any questions? Okay, thank you, anyone else here for this issue?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [Committee] Aye.

- Our floor is closed, it's amongst ourselves now gentlemen, what do you think?

- Well I believe in the leash law. I'm not real in favor of the six or seven foot length. I think that when a person owns an animal, it's your responsibility to be responsible, if there's people or children around, you should pull your dog in tight to you, and I think it's more of a individual bein' responsible for keepin' the dog under control in all circumstances, and I think a lot of the violations that we talk about are in the parks where they just let the dog go and boom. The dog is just at free will. That, I'm not in favor of having dogs running in the park, unless it's in the dog park that's designated. And I think we do better enforcing that law on the dogs that are running loose, and people that are not using a leash at all. I think this would be a nicer step, and I think we could get the word out as far as, we have our website now. Reminder to keep your dog under control and what have you. We can give that information out and, I'm not really in favor of six or seven foot leash.

- Okay, anything Alder Stevens or-?

- Thinking seven foot.

- Seven foot? Alder Steuer?

- Thank you Chair. John I kinda follow you a little bit in the sense that you need, the owners need to be trustworthy and do that, but, like Mr. Hural mentioned, the one gentleman was responsible for two blocks and then he changed his mind and let the dog go out. So, sadly, we have ordinances in place that are there because some people will honor the law, and others won't, so we need something in place to kind of talk about that so, I initially was thinking about a six foot leash, but I would be comfortable with seven foot as well.

- I'd like Officer Meves opinion on that, seven, you prefer six? You wanna stand by six?

- I mean-

- We just wanna hear her opinion.

- Most leash lengths are made six feet if you look in the animal industry it's six feet. I'd be fine if you want to put seven feet or less, that's completely fine, but in the animal world, most people have deemed six feet or less as able to be controlled, outside of that it's up for debate.

- I'll change my opinion to six feet.

- Do I have a motion?

- I'll make a motion, I'll make a motion that it would be six foot.
- That we amend our leash law that no leash can exceed six feet?
- Right, six feet or less.
- Hopefully they'll leash all dogs.
- What's on there now, you would be able to cite them.
- Right.
- Okay, thank you.
- Is there a second?
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens, any further discussion?
- [Audience Member] Alder Scannell?
- Yes sir.
- [Audience Member] Question.
- Yeah, any time, you can speak up.
- [Audience Member] More on the enforcement side of this, someone's got a retractable leash, how do we envision police officers being able to enforce this law? In my mind I'm picturing someone chasing around with a tape measure trying to figure out if they're at seven, seven and a half feet.
- Yeah.
- I'd love to hear the law's perspective on how they anticipate enforcing this.
- So, I like to be, like I said, an Andy versus a Barney get, Mayberry kind of thing. You pick and choose your battles. I'm not looking to hassle this lady who's 80 some years old who has a retractable leash. It's the people that maybe have gobs of rope and retractable leashes with oversized dogs that should not be on them. And they're running around, a lot of times I get witnesses, I get information, I go door to door, hey did you see this? A lot of times if I have a witness to something, that helps. I suppose I could walk around with a tape measure and be like that. Most people admit to their own wrongdoing.
- And like most laws, officers have some discretion. Alder Dorff?
- [Dorff] Officer Meves, would it be more complaint-driven? Like we do with our inspections? Or would it just be officers kind of noticing?
- I think the majority of my calls honestly, are people calling in and complaining. Because they've had numerous complaints, they've tried to mediate with the neighbors, they've tried to mediate with that resident. Hey you know what, we've had no compliance, I've had a door shoved in my face trying to talk to my own neighbor, that's not neighborly. And then finally they're so frustrated, they come to me or to the police department. And they'll call it in like, I need something done. And they have pictures, they have video. That helps me do my job a little bit easier. Then it's evidence right then and there. Like I said, most people carry phones, they take pictures of everything, video.

- Anything else, anyone?

- [Audience Member] Just a question, whether or not we're legislating the right thing. Curious to know others' opinions of their, I guess has it been standard practice of other committees to prohibit retractable leashes?

- I don't think we'd be getting rid of retractable leashes, but we'd be saying, your leash can't be longer than six feet, you can't let it out more than six feet.

- You would have to lock it in at six feet.

- Six feet.

- 'Cause otherwise those retractable leashes, what's stopping the dog from going up on someone's porch and injuring your own animal and your own porch?

- [Audience Member] I don't disagree. It's the retractable leash that allows the pet to do that.

- Right.

- Right, you can lock 'em.

- But if it's way out there, it's not under control. Within reason, six feet, you can control that. If it's way up on someone's porch, and let's say that resident opens up their door and this dog wraps its leash around the little three year old little boy who's toddling out of the house. And the little boy falls down and chips his teeth, or that dog bites that child on his own property, then that owner is not in control. Those are the cases that I actually get that happen. And well I said, I take it very seriously. And it's like, if your dog's up on someone else's porch and you're lettin' it pee all over the bushes and burn the bushes because of urine, that's not in control. And I'm okay, like I said, the city owns five feet onto the property. So most dogs pee on the edge of the sidewalk, they do what they need to do. My own dog, like he said, I have a bulldog, I love my bulldog, but I have him at my heel. And I have him walking, he'll walk ahead of me, but I don't dare let him pee on someone's bushes, go up on someone's porch, or potentially get into it with someone else's animal. Because maybe my dog's okay with it, but maybe that animal isn't. I've had people's own pets shred dogs that are walking by because it is an issue and they're not under control. I guess I would have you guys ask yourself, what's under control? Something I can manage here, or 20 feet out?

- [Audience Member] I shouldn't even be in here, I got bit by a 200 and some pound dog three times.

- 'Kay, the floor's not open, sorry.

- [Audience Member] That's okay.

- Unless you care to speak, we could open the floor again.

- [Audience Member] No I'm sorry.

- That's alright.

- [Audience Member] I cringe when I hear about dogs because I'm afraid of 'em now. I never was, and now I am.

- So we have a motion, and a second. Any other discussion from, okay. Yeah I think it's just a tool that helps our officers determine what's under control and out of control, and again, it'll be up to discretion. So let's vote.

- It should start, it's started already.

- Okay, so a yes vote is-
- Is to motion.
- Yes is, right, the motion is to amend the ordinance to require a leash to be six feet or less. Alright, that saved as passed, three to one.
- 'Kay then can we add a communication to parks on signage and where they're standing on dog parks or other parks in the city. I think that would make a big difference.
- Yeah, I 100% support it.
- And refer communication to parks to add signage?
- Signage, and where they're, I know they had some plans at one time to get more dog parks into city parks-
- Add signage to communicate the leash requirements.
- Course it has to go through council.
- Well no, they can give us-
- And refer communication to parks to add signage within parks property to communicate the leash law requirements.
- And if they could also report out where they are with dog parks.
- And report to P and W committee-
- Or the Council. Ah, whatever.
- To report to P and W committee as to where they are with additional dog parks.
- Okay.
- Okay.
- Typing as fast as I can, okay. Alright, okay, so we are moving onto the next item. A discussion and possible action regarding an ordinance creating section 8.16, relating to the practice of massage or body work therapy requiring state license.
- Again was a communication by Alder Steuer. So let's start with Alder Steuer.
- Okay, well I've mentioned this once before about a couple years ago, my daughter had a class project at Southwest High School, and she said, "Dad, what're you gonna do about human trafficking?" And I thought, really? What're we gonna talk about that for? Anyhow, one thing led to another and I called the police and the police officer said "We have a sting operation going on right now, we have to keep things quiet." So I was kind of in a state of shock, like really? Green Bay, Wisconsin? So with that being said, I started talking to our law department. Attorney Johnson, we talked, and just started, I talked to Captain Moraski and we had a number of meetings over time. And we started looking at more and more ways to see what we could do. I think a lot of times, with these establishments that are out there, you could look at the legitimacy of these places by the fact that they might have a site plan in place, they have state control, if you will, over the workers that work in those places. And I'm thinking, if we can do that ahead of time, then our inspection departments will have a better chance of actually looking and following up on things.

So it's not so much, we're going after people, it's just that if you're legal up front, then that'll eliminate some of the issues that we're dealing with so, Attorney Johnson checked with some other communities and I think West Allis might have ordinance that was really good and my moralist, told her to move forward with that, that's it, where we're at right now.

- 'Kay but how does this change, I mean if there's an establishment now that is breaking the law, there are now laws in place to deal with that?

- [Audience Member] You want me to come up there or can I just talk from here?

- Whatever, well why don't you come up?

- Alright. Bill is here from inspection too, if you guys have any questions specifically for him.

- Okay.

- So what this does, state law already mandates that somebody who's gonna practice massage therapy or advertise to be a masseuse or a massage therapist, that they have a state license. The local control isn't there, because it is state mandated. So with this, we would just basically be adopting that state statute into local ordinance requiring that they have a state license, and then that way, our police department could go in and ask to see their state license, make sure that everybody who is advertising for massage or who is calling themselves a massage therapist would have a state license. They're pretty heavily regulated by the state. I have some of the requirements here under chapter 460 that they have to graduate high school or the equivalent, they have to go to massage therapy school, they have to show that they have malpractice insurance. They have to not have been convicted of certain crimes including prostitution, sexual assault of a child, public fornication, things like that. They have to be trained to use a defibrillator. They have to pass two exams, I believe. So that's what the state imposes by them, so if they have that state license, we're confident, in meeting with Captain Moraski in the police department, that that would be sufficient for the city as well. We can't regulate them any more than that, because that's imposed by the state, like I said. So, talking with Bill too, we're confident that that would be an efficient means of regulation.

- See that's what I'm confused by. The state has it covered sounds like, so I'm not sure what this does. What does this ordinance do to give us more control and more authority, more-

- Our police department would be able to go in and look for those state licenses.

- They can't now?

- No, right now, they have to have a complaint.

- That does it, okay, that's what I'm lookin' for.

- And by the police being in there that'll-

- Allows inspection.

- Allow inspections 'cause we work with them all the time, so if they're in there and they see somethin' that is a violation, they'll refer that to us and we can use that to substantiate.

- Okay, yep yep yep yep.

- Like Alderman Steuer mentioned, I did reach out to several other municipalities. The ones that reached back out have something very similar to this. They said it's worked like a charm. Gotten rid of a lot of bad operators, lot of bad establishments, so.

- 'Kay, any questions for staff? Nope, thank you.

- Thanks for all your work on this.
- Sure.
- Anyone here to speak on this? 'Kay we don't need to open the floor. It's amongst ourselves gentlemen.
- I make a motion.
- Motion to approve?
- Motion to approve.
- Just a comment, I think-
- Why don't we just get a second, 'cause if there's no second it fails.
- Okay, just before we make a motion then, I'll just comment, how's that?
- Before we vote I'll ask for more discussion. So we have a motion, is there a second?
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens. Motion by Alder Steuer, second by Alder Stevens. Alder VanderLeest.
- I think that, the state sounds like they have a good handle on it, and I think if we're just following what the state's guidelines, I think we'll be covered and there's not too much more that we can do. But we can at least, we are participating.
- Yep yep, I was a little confused. I didn't understand how this, that the state had it covered, I didn't realize that meant that it was under state authority and our own police department had no, could not go in without cause. So this makes plenty of sense to me now, I fully support it, and if there's not other discussion, shall we vote? Let's vote.
- Vote is started.
- It should just pop up.
- Didn't pop up on mine, it's not popped up yet.
- Mine did.
- Mine has.
- They've got nothing on their-
- It's not coming up.
- Not coming up, do we need to do it again?
- I can try and hit cancel vote, let's try that again. If not we can just do a voice vote.
- Oh, I got mine.
- No, nothing here.

- Nothing on there too.
- Nothing on mine.
- Okay, I will, would everybody just like to do a voice vote on this one, and I'll?
- No, I'll forget it. Yeah, let's do a voice vote if we got a technical glitch here. All in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Correct.
- Thank you.
- [Audience Members] Thank you.
- Alright. Next item, is a notice of change of agent for Van Zeeland Oil Company Incorporated at 601 North Military Avenue.
- Thanks, staff?
- Law department has no objections.
- Neither does the police department.
- You concur?
- I concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder VanderLeest.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens.
- Any discussion? Well if there's no discussion, I mean at Council we just voted verbally to make it- I thought we were always gonna have to vote but it turned out at Council actually, if there's no discussion, it was okay to just do a verbal vote to move things along. Whatever works for you.
- We can try it that way, if it works we can certainly try, it's all kind of a work in progress as far as it's gonna work or-
- Okay, so that'll maybe make things a little quicker, so all in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Alright. Moving onto the next item, notice of change of agent for Sam's East Incorporated at 2470 West Mason Street.
- Staff?
- Law department has no objections.
- No objection.

- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer, second by Alder VanderLeest. All in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Alright. Next item, notice of change of agent for Automobile Gallery Incorporated at 400 S. Adams Street.
- Staff?
- Law department has no objections.
- No objection.
- Motion to approve by Alder VanderLeest, second by Alder Stevens, and discussion? All in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- We're on a roll.
- We are.
- I think I'm on a hot crossed bun.
- Alright next item, notice of change of agent for Green Bay Lodging to the Meadow Center at 850 Keppler Drive with an effective date of July 1st, 2018.
- Staff?
- Law department has no objections.
- No objection here.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder VanderLeest.
- Second. Second by Alder Stevens, discussion? All in favor?
- [Committee] Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Alright. Next item, consideration with plausible action on a request by Catch 22 at 1699 East Mason Street to change their liquor license to include an outdoor patio seating area, previously held at the April 23rd, 2018 P and W meeting. The city council has granted final authority to the Protection and Welfare Committee regarding this request.
- Staff?
- Law department has no objections, however it defers to the police department to obtain any additional information from the licensee regarding the request.
- Yeah it was mentioned at the last meeting I would object to an outdoor beer garden for a couple reasons on the space. They opened in April and March, February, March 2017. Since that time there's been 21,

excuse me 22 calls for service. Nine of the 22 calls for service there dealt with the ownership and some internal strife that they were having. So number one I would like to see the ownership internal strife be set before expanding onto this business. Number two, it's in the middle of a residential area, which I do have an overhead view of from Google maps. To show how close in proximity some of the residential houses are. So therefore I think the calls for service will increase with official noise complaints coming from these residents. And number three, on April 7th of this past year, the police responded for a noise complaint there already and when they arrived, they found the external speakers attached to the outside of the building which is page two of the handout that you just received. And in the officer's own words, the music was blaring so loud that it was echoing out into neighborhood businesses and residences already. On April 20th the community officers went back to cite the bar for violation of a stipulation of having amplified music outside of the place. That was a no-no, and when the officer arrived on that day to cite 'em, they again had the music playing outside. In addition to that, additional violations were found. He learned that the video recording system was inoperable, which is also a violation of the stipulation, so we have a couple of documented instances of this establishment not following the stipulation that was set forth for it only a year ago. And I think that we need to show some cooperation, some follow-through with the business before granting them a little extension to the business.

- Did they contact you at all this week?

- I'm right here, I'm the owner.

- Oh, okay, alright well, we'll go to floor shortly.

- To answer your question though, I have not spoken to anyone since our meeting.

- Okay, yep, yep. And just also to be clear, what the agreement, that's not really a violation of law, they can't be cited for that unless they break the law, right?

- They can be cited and the city attorney can speak-

- Under the ordinances, if they do violate a provision of the stipulation agreement or any other agreement that's entered into, with respect to granting the license, that would be an actionable offense upon which you can seek revocation, suspension, or non-renewal.

- But can we cite them?

- For violating the stipulation agreement, yes.

- What was the stipulation agreement?

- 'Kay well we'll open up the floor shortly, and then you can ask questions and make a statement.

- Just be patient, we'll getcha.

- You'll have your day.

- Thank you.

- One of the questions I had Captain was, you're saying this music, was this being played at events or was this just typical evening type of thing?

- I don't recall what day it was, but it was a night shift officer that responded at 11:50 pm. And that's when the music was-

- Violation anyway.

- It was 11:15, and I didn't-
- We'll get there.
- Sorry I'm sorry.
- I know, it's tough, it's tough.
- Okay, well that was my question, because a lot of times, we'll have special events. And there'll be stipulations put on them as well. And if you don't follow those then that's an issue, but if this was music being played on a typical evening, that opens up a whole different animal if you will.
- 'Kay.
- That's all I have.
- Any other questions for staff? Okie dokie.
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens.
- All in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. The floor is now open, so please step forward, state your name and address.
- Hi, I'm Cindy, my address for the bar is 1699 East Mason.
- Well your home address.
- 3681 Pine Grove Road.
- 'Kay.
- I own the bar, I opened April 1st of 2017. I was at the time, without going like in a huge long, 'cause it's a long story, a really long story. I was dating a man, I bought the business, I started the bar for the security purposes of Tom Schliesen, I don't know if you guys are familiar with him, I'm sure you are but older man, he felt more secure having a man on the lease. So I agreed to have Jerry's, my boyfriend at the time's name put on the lease for his security. Jerry does not own my bar, he does not have any part of my bar, however, he went and got a restraining order against me because he was angry with me. Cheated on me, doesn't wanna be with me, this is the road he chose, he, with the help of the city, got a restraining order against me on my own business. On February 7th, he shut my business down, he boarded up the doors, he put blankets on the windows, he completely robbed my business. He stole all of my inventory, my security cameras, my televisions, my POS, my computer downstairs, all of my important documents, over 30,000 dollars, at least, and shut my business down. And it wasn't until the 22nd of February that I was finally able to get back into my business and re-open it. All of those calls, all of those calls, there were eleven, not nine since February 7th. And all eleven were called by me or by him in regards to something happening, not because my customers are being endangered, but because my business was at jeopardy. He was continuously trying something different. One gentleman came in and tried to assault me. He was arrested, his name is Cory Paegle. I'm assuming he's facing three years in prison, due to the fact that he was in prison, had nine more days before he was off paper, and chose to come to the direction of Jerry to assault me in my bar. And he was arrested and finished out the rest of his sentence. The man is crazy, and

I am not. I am trying to run a business. I've been open since April 1st. I've never had any issues. I've applied for one event, it went by with no problems. There's never been any fighting, no arguing. I do not have drama in my bar besides the drama that came from myself, and my boyfriend. And I've tried to keep that at the very minimum.

- So he is no longer associated with the business?

- He is off of the lease, thankfully. I'm in thousands and thousands of dollars worth of lawsuits, I mean not lawsuits, for my attorneys fee, to make this happen, and I'm continuously trying to prove my name, I'm a reputable business person, I run a decent bar, I don't allow any problems in my tavern ever. The only problems that's ever happened since the day, I've been in this business for eighteen years. I know the ins and outs of it, I know what's good and what's bad. And what's good for this business right now, and I really need it, is this patio. I need it for my own personal ability to keep my tavern alive, I'm still going on borrowed money to try to come back from what I've been through. This patio would help me in immense ways, and he's a mason of thirty some years in Green Bay. He is willing to do the work, it's going to be beautiful. He's built well over 12 bars already in Green Bay. I've got the perfect guy, and it's a perfect spot. And I will follow all codes and all rules.

- All right, that took care of one of your concerns, the ownership is resolved, sounds like. And as far as the music I did not get a warning of any sort. I was downstairs when this officer came in. My bartender came in and she said, "There's police here". I said, "okay I'll be right up". She said "nope, they just said to turn the stereo down and it's okay". I said "Kylie, did you turn it down?". She said "I did", I didn't realize it was that late. It was that innocent. I said "are they gone, do I need to come up?" She said "nope, they're gone and everything is fine." It wasn't until three weeks later that I received a fine in the mail for seven hundred dollars. And I will go to court on it. That fine says that I broke stipulation. Now the stipulation in my question is, is it noise ordinance, or is it the fact that I don't have security. Because the week prior an officer came in and seen that I didn't have security, thanks to a call from Jerry. Because Jerry stole my twenty one cameras that I had in my bar. I don't have security, and I didn't have the money until just recently. And I have eight installed, and the two that are important are the front door and the back door. And they're both going and recording. I have six more randomly throughout the building. I'm doing what I can to get this business to where it is and I honestly don't have any idea how I am doing it, I really don't. But I need this, I need this to stay in business and to stay afloat, I really do.

- Officer did you have anything you care to add?

- No, yes It looks like you had a question on the citation

- Citation, yeah

- That you received was for the violation of the stipulation for amplified music outside. It wasn't for the lack of security. I believe the officer that responded gave you a couple of extra days to get that sorted.

- And then he didn't come back. We did have it on, I was in Florida when this happened.

- Okay, well that is what the citation was for was

- Cause it wasn't clear. I didn't know because I know that you guys came in originally for the music. We were asked to turn it down, which we did. And that I'm not sure why there wasn't a citation written at that time. Why was there a two week period where there was nothing? I didn't hear nothing, I didn't see nothing, nobody came to me. This was at 11:15 at night, that's what the ticket said. And it's ten o'clock ordinance. All bars have outside stereos. It was just a matter of us not turning it down. We weren't trying to intent-. I mean, I've gone personally to my neighbors and talked to them, you know and told them, "hey, this is what's happening, I have a birthday party going on" I mean, I'm very open with that neighborhood, and if anybody sees me, I'm out there sweeping/picking up cigarette butts. I mean, I try to keep it as good as I possibly can. I really really do, I try very hard to run a good bar. And I think I'm doing an okay job. As far as the noise, why was there such a lack, why was there two weeks. What was that two weeks for?

- Well we're getting off target. But the reason that there was a delay, it took awhile for the process to filter through. This was responded to by a night shift officer that doesn't deal with license stipulations like the community police officers do, so when it did become to our attention that's when we acted on it.

- So at the time the officer who was there, who totally understood that it was just a human error, said "okay", and he left. And then that paperwork hit somebody else's desk who realized that was a law that was broken and felt the need to write a fine.

- It was a stipulation

- Okay, well I don't have \$700, so I'm hoping it goes my way on June whatever it is. And I haven't done it since, and that was the first time it's ever been done. And I thought maybe a verbal warning was sufficient.

- Anything else from staff?

- I have nothing further.

- Okay Alder Steuer?

- Thank you Chair. Okay you said you have cameras up now.

- I do

- So, did get restitution for any of that other stuff that was taken?

- Not yet, there's an insurance claim that I'm hoping that pans out.

- But he's out of the picture?

- As far as I can get him out, yes. There's been a restraining order, it's been three months, February 7th this started.

- And as far as you said the neighbors, I mean, there is some residential area around there, and you said you know all the neighbors?

- I do

- And they have no issue or problem with you?

- No, and in fact to be completely honest without sounding like I'm being this freaked out person, which I have been, I've been a little on edge with all of this going on. I'm ninety nine percent sure, it's coming out this speaker, ninety nine percent sure that the complaint came from Jerry. I mean, I've been there for a year, it's whoever's talking.

- They're having a meeting in the council chambers right now, is there a way to

- To turn that off, I have no idea.

- I'm sorry

- I can ignore it you can, I'm fine.

- This is being recorded too.

- Are we being recorded?

- Oh yeah we are
- Every meeting is recorded.
- Oh okay
- Yeah, in the corner.
- Well that's all I have right now.
- Questions?
- Yes, let me ask you ma'am, have you had any violations since you've had full authority over the bar since April 1st? Has there been any violations at this time?
- None, unless I'm referring to something after February 7th that had to do with myself or anybody involved meaning.
- So when is the first date that you actually took over the bar? Was it actually April 1st?
- April 1st of 2017
- And we haven't had any problems with that, correct?
- Actually April 7th was when the loud music complaint that resulted in the citation.
- A year later April 1st 2017 is when I opened. April 7th of 2018 you're referring to with the loud music. It was a year, one year.
- And it was a year ago?
- One year ago I opened, on April 1st of 2017. April 7th of 2018, I got this ordinance, that's all that I've gotten.
- When did you take -
- Ownership of the -
- Of the bar, just you, without your boyfriend.
- The bar, Catch 22 enterprises LLC is mine. I own the bar, the business, what's inside the business, it's from April 1st 2017, that's mine.
- But there are issues with your boyfriend.
- Jerry was on the lease
- Right
- Due to the fact that it made the landlord more comfortable. As of, well, February 7th he got the restraining order. I was back in business on February 23rd. So he shoved -
- So
- Go ahead

- From February 23rd, it's just been you?
- Well, no, it took me almost a month and a half to convince my, Tom, to get him off my lease.
- Okay
- So as of, I wanna say-
- But he was on the lease?
- March 1st, he's off the lease.
- March 1st?
- Yes
- So from March 1st you've been in control?
- A hundred percent
- From March 1st there have been no issues?
- No
- From February 23rd were there any issues between February.
- One with Cory, who is Cory Paegle, whose now looking at three years in prison for assault-
- Okay, that was the only issue then?
- Yes
- Okay
- Yup, okay that kind of gives us a summary. Since you've taken over you haven't had a lot of problems.
- No, I won't have problems.
- I have a question
- Yup, Alder Stevens.
- Then why is the officer saying there was twenty two calls within the last year?
- It's Catch 22, there has not been twenty two calls, I know that. I did my research, there's been 11 calls since February 7th. Anything on and above that, I need to know the days and the dates. Because there was one incident between April 1st when I opened, and maybe July or August, in that first summer. There was a situation that I'm not really sure what it was, but it was, there was no citations, I don't know.
- He's going back all the way to the beginning when it was first opened.
- Beginning.
- But it sounded like a majority of those problems where with the boyfriend.

- Eleven
- That's what it sounded like, to me.
- I have a print out of the calls for services-
- So minus 11, she's talking about what are the other eleven calls are pertaining to.
- I actually only have nine calls that involved the ex-boyfriend.
- It was nine at the bar, eleven at my home. My apologies, there was eleven calls out in Denmark where we live.
- Ahh
- At my home
- Okay
- And nine at my bar
- Okay.
- There should have only been nine calls.
- Yes, yes, yes, you're right.
- Okay, and those were? We got a copy of that don't we or?
- One was burglary, I made that phone call. That was when he was stealing all of my product.
- Of the remainder of take away the ownership to see, of the remainder there was only three reported calls, calls that ended up in police reports. There were some police responses that then result in police reports.
- Right.
- One of them was medical EMS
- Yup
- I'm not quite sure what that was about. A second was a large disturbance on April 1st, 2018.
- That was our grand opening party, and it was approved through city.
- And then the third police call was this loud music April 7th 2018.
- Well on the party one can I ask real quick, I mean, what was the party?
- There was no police, I was there from the beginning of it. We opened at seven that morning, and I was there all the way until the bar closed. There was no police at our grand opening.
- And what time was the event?
- Well, it started at noon that day, I believe. This was last, not this last April but the one before. It was started at noon and went until like ten, I wanna say ten o'clock. I had the band up until 9:30, that's when they stopped.

- What you're asking for here was 12 to six, correct? I mean your event for this weekend?
- This is of permanent.
- Oh, it's permanent.
- Permanent.
- She wants to extend her liquor license to a garden.
- I'm looking at her agenda here.
- This will be a permanent extension of her license to include a beer garden area.
- Here's a map of it.
- Yup
- And aesthetically I think it would only help that corner to be honest. I mean, I know it's going to be beautiful. It's gonna be nice to look at, I already know that.
- How big is the patio?
- It's ten feet by six by eight by four. And then, twice, so the front porch is here. There will be an opening here to go to the right, or to the left. And then it will come out like this, go that way, and it has to angle cause there is a water thing over here, and then there's my signage, so we're going to angle it, and then come back to the bar. So it's like ten foot by nine foot by six foot by three foot in. So I'll be closed in on both sides, but open. You know, so they can go out to the sidewalk or to the right side, or to the left side. One side will hold probably hold maybe three small little round iron, rough iron or whatever, and the other one maybe four. And then there'll be like a rail like this for people to be able to put their drinks on and then stand outside.
- So that's gonna be facing East Mason Street.
- Yes.
- So across the street is Silver Spoon?
- A restaurant, yeah they closed.
- So I assume you're planning on having music on that side then too?
- I have the speakers outside. I may have, possibly, I don't know I don't think I'd have to apply; but, I may once in awhile on a Sunday have a one man guy out there, strummin' music or something for the customers.
- With city ordinances, he should be-
- As long as it's before ten.
- Yeah
- One quick question, so, when you have a patio then it's open all the time.
- Yes.

- So, that's it, okay.
- Anything else, officer?
- Nope.
- Any other questions?
- Motion to close the floor.
- Whoa whoa whoa.
- Sorry. I just wanna make sure- Any other questions? Anything else you care to add?
- Just, I'll make it right, if you guys approve it, it'll be right. You guys'll wanna come, I promise. It's gonna be nice. I promise, I promise.
- Okay, thank you.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor.
- [Committee] Aye.
- Okay, the floor is now closed, it's amongst ourselves gentlemen, what do you think?
- I'd be willing to give her a chance to salvage what she, she kept tellin' us she's had a rough road here, I'd be willing to give her a second chance and see if she can't make it work, the business.
- I have a suggestion.
- Yes sir.
- So it's on East Mason street, and in these photos here, she has speakers pointing towards the residential area. Remove the music from that side of the building.
- [Cindy] I'll do that. 'Cause otherwise the other side is East Mason, across the street is the Silver Spoon, just a restaurant.
- They'll blast them.
- Well stay away from residential.
- Yep, okay, good.
- I would go along with that as well.
- So your motion-
- Motion to approve. To approve with that stipulation?

- That stipulation that the speakers moved away from the point that's pointing towards the residences, away from the residences.

- Right.

- Okay.

- Okay, I would second that.

- Motion made by Alder Stevens, seconded by Alder- Or I'm sorry, by Alder VanderLeest to approve, seconded by Alder Steuer. I think, I do, like Alder VanderLeest said, she's had a rough go, seems like things are getting straightened up now. Seems like this is gonna be important for her business to survive, and I know we are being, we are trying to create a vibe in the city with more outdoor cafe type situations, especially downtown, but certainly in other parts of the city. Doesn't seem like there's been too much trouble with the neighbors. So, I also, and remember now this is the final authority, this isn't going to council. This is us and done. Shall we vote?

- Vote has started, it works.

- Mine's not working yet.

- Mine is now.

- Yours works?

- I can, how would you like to vote Alder?

- Vote to approve.

- To approve, yes. Alright, that saved as passed, or passed unanimously to approve with the stipulation to have the speakers pointing away from the residential area.

- [Cindy] Thank you.

- Alright, you're welcome.

- Next on our agenda.

- Next on the agenda is, consideration with possible action on a request by Richard Craniums to hold an outdoor event on May 12th, 2018 from noon to six.

- Staff?

- Law department refers to police department.

- No objection.

- No objection from the police.

- Just a note, the committee does not have final approval on this item in reviewing the recording, that request was not indicated. So Vanessa Chavez in reviewing said that P and W does not have final authority, however if it is approved by P and W tonight, the applicant can still hold the event based on Protection Welfare committee approval. Police department would not issue citations for holding the event itself. And then council would essentially, retroactively approve it, but after the event had actually occurred. I just wanted to make that clear.

- It's round about but it works.
- Yes.
- Long as the police are on board.
- We're on board.
- We're on board.
- Just one quick question Chair, how many events have we had over time? I mean, they have this every year don't they? Do you have any records of that?
- I don't remember doing this last year.
- Can we ask somebody?
- Is there anybody here for this item?
- [Woman In Audience] Hi um we have a.
- Motion to open the floor. Come on up.
- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer. Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?
- [Committee] Aye.
- The floor is now open, please state your name and address.
- Brandy Hebrig, 1764 Linwood-
- Now did you fill out a form to-?
- Yep.
- Okay good, alright thank you.
- We had an event last year for our anniversary party that we asked for just the one day. And this is not the anniversary party, it's a benefit that we're holding for a good customer of ours. So we're just doing, we wanna do a cookout and allow people to be outside and kinda hang out. Maybe like a balloon toss too. Something to raise some money for a fundraiser that she, a procedure that she had for.
- It's for the day right, 12 to 6?
- Yep.
- Yeah, okay.
- Hopefully it's good weather.
- Where's your business located ma'am?
- 840 South Broadway.
- 840 South Broadway?

- Yep.
- Okay.
- Any other questions? Anything you care to add?
- Nope that's all.
- Okay, thank you.
- Thank you.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.
- Oh, we have to close the floor.
- Oh yes, we have to close the floor.
- Motion to close the floor.
- By Alder Steuer, thank you.
- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?
- [Committee] Aye.
- The floor is now closed, it's amongst ourselves. Alder Steuer.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer. Second by Alder VanderLeest. Any further discussion? Okay, all in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously. Oh but should we have voted?
- I just did it as a voice vote, that's fine.
- Alright, oh that's a tough habit to break.
- We'll be fine.
- Next item?
- One minute.
- Just when you're ready.
- Next item, consideration with possible action on an appeal by Lyssa Root to the denial for operator license application.
- Staff?

- Law department recommends denial of this appeal based on a felony conviction that substantially relates to the license activity. The appellant also had a number of other violations that also substantially relate. And I did provide the committee members a denial memo that kind of lists all the applicable-

- I'll go into that in a minute. Anything else?

- No, for the same reasons that the city attorney mentioned, I would recommend denial as well.

- Okay now for the new members, I do wanna explain what's goin' on here. When someone applies for a license and they have a felony on their record, no matter when that felony was, there's a state statute, did anyone get a copy of that? 'Kay, that states they cannot have a license. So that's why staff automatically denies. But they can appeal it because the statute is so written that there is some room for interpretation. It says they're denied as it relates to the offense. So often we look at the time difference between when the offense was and the application and if it's considerable time, there's been no incidences in between, we've often said, well that no longer relates, that's a common interpretation many of us apply. In the past, I know there was licenses granted where the applicant here and they had their preacher here and they had others vouching for 'em. But then turned out they were, selling cocaine from an ice cream cart. I wasn't part of that one, but I've heard about that one. Have been a part of one where we granted a driver's license that they then, the company changed up how they did background searches. And they go a little deeper, and they ended up taking that person's license. So, and I have also voted, myself personally, to deny a license because I didn't think there was enough time between. The applicant was very young, and to the best of my knowledge, I voted. And to the best of my knowledge, there never was a problem. So I mean, really there's room for flexibility. It comes to a lot of, just wanted to give some background information of things that have happened. And how we've been operating and you're on your own now. Anything I can do to help, feel free to ask me. Alright, let us proceed. Is there anybody here for this item?

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer, second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [Committee] Aye.

- 'Kay, the floor's now open, please come up and state your name and address.

- I'm Lyssa Root, I live at 822 Pine St. Apt. 23. And I know I'm a felon, I know I've screwed up. I've known that, it's been about seven years. I've had revocation hearings, I've successfully completed probation. Since then I've had two children. I wanna be one of those felons that shows that they can also improve their life instead of just having it always set me back because it has set me back, it's set me back from where I can live, what I can do. And I mean this is my first job in almost 10 years, and I love it, I love my customers. I love working, I enjoy going to work. I like actually doing the right thing for once. And I mean it really would suck if I lost my job, it really would, I mean, I would just, I've improved my life so much since then and I just really would like the opportunity to just keep going on and just keep going forward with my life 'cause I've already had all these set backs they keep coming and being piled. 'Cause it really sucks, and I really wish I could take it back.

- Your employer's here-

- Yes.

- It's always good to have the boss up. State your name, address.

- My name's Tonya Hop, and I live at 2970 Mossy Oak Circle. I am her employer, I gave her the opportunity, I hired her, she's my third shift worker. She sells liquor, and not liquor but beer from 10 to midnight, two hours a night. She leaves at six in the morning. She has a two hour span where she has to sell beer. And I hired her, gave her the chance. She did the same schpiel, she has. She comes to work, she's dependable, she works hard, she's great with the customers, everybody likes her, we all like her. I

mean that's why I'm here to vouch for her. I mean I don't wanna lose her as- you know, give her a break. She's trying to do the right thing by, you know there's so many felons that can't get jobs. Like our company is one that does not, we don't hire felons because we know that they can't get a liquor license. I went to bat for her. Said, come on, let's give this girl a chance. We'll put the application in, see if she gets approved. She got denied, I went to my boss and said I'm gonna bat, and he said go for it. We enjoy her, I mean she comes to work, she's never been late, she's never called in. She's always there and she does her job fine. I mean I have no issues with her. She communicates with me and, I'm just gonna go to bat for her. Because she's only got a two hour span where she has to sell from ten to midnight and then she locks the beer coolers up.

- She's been working with you how long?

- Almost two months?

- Yeah, almost two months she's been there. No issues, no problems, her cash drawers are great. Had no complaints, nothing. I just don't wanna lose her because she does, you know give a girl a chance. She's turning her life around, she's had kids. She made a mistake, and she was very upfront when I hired her, about everything. Didn't lie about anything, just told me, this is what she did and this is where she's at. And she's having a hard time finding a job because she's a convicted felon. And it's tough, but, you know, I would probably even still keep her. If she didn't have a liquor license, I would change my shift around and have her come in at midnight, til eight in the morning when we start selling beer. I just don't wanna lose her. But it makes my schedule a little easier when I have someone there at 10 o'clock at night. So you know, I just, she deserves a chance, she really does.

- You do realize that if we grant her this license, she can sell liquor anywhere at any time.

- Yes. She doesn't wanna work anyplace else.

- I mean, because that has also happened, where we grant a license in a certain place and Just, so we're all on the same page.

- I've done all Safe Serve-

- She takes all her classes, she did everything she's supposed to do.

- Passed with flying colors.

- She actually was faster than some of the people who've been there longer that didn't take 'em, she was on top of it, and she just really wants the job and I really wanna keep her.

- Question for the Chairman here. If we grant her a license, is it for a one-year period?

- No, I mean it's for good isn't it?

- No, they do expire. They do and they have to renew it.

- Oh okay.

- So I think hers would expire in 2019 if she was issued it now.

- Is that a yearly-?

- It's like probation time.

- Well it's like a year, so anyone who's issued one from I think it was the April to June, it's like it expires in June of 2019. So like I have girls that are up for renewal in May. So theirs will be good 'til June of 2019 if

they're renewed. It's a year and then they punch it, you would come back in, you apply again, and they renew it.

- Can we put a stipulation on that?

- No, that has been against legal advice. That stipulations are impossible to enforce.

- And they can't contradict or be stricter than what state statutes provide.

- Yeah we tried to wiggle out that way, no wiggle room that way. Any questions for the applicant?

- Just one quick-

- Or the, yes?

- Thank you for coming in Lyssa. I noticed on your various misdemeanors and such that you've spent time and you've taken care of all of your obligations.

- I've successfully completed probation with all of what my PO at the time wanted to recommend for IP, everything done flying colors. I mean, I know it was a stupid mistake I made, I was 21 and I regret it and I see how much it can set me back in life. I just wanna just be one of those serious convicted felons that can show that they can improve their life, that there is a job.

- Okay, that's it, thank you.

- Yep, Alder Stevens.

- So your record here goes all the way to 2014. What happened in 2014 to current?

- I was in a revocation hearing. My soon-to-be ex-husband actually, he actually threw a knife at me a couple months ago and is supposed to be in jail but is not. He was a very bad influence on my life. He was selling drugs and my PO got wind of it and she arrested me, I was actually pregnant with my daughter and I spent my whole entire second trimester in jail. And ever since then, I don't want anything to do with that kind of lifestyle. Those people are horrible people, they're bad influence, and they do nothing good to my life. I am divorcing my husband who I really honestly, I can't regret marrying him because I wouldn't have got my daughters, but he was just, he was horrible. And no matter how much I tried to make him stop, I know I still have to take responsibility for it, which I do, but I just, I don't want to be that kind of person that's just the typical felon, oh you're a felon, you can't get a job, you can't do this you can't do that. I just want to change and I have been changing. And it's taken me a long time to change. I'm not even that person anymore. I don't even know who that person was. I was stupid and young and I was in love with someone who was pretty much a monster and I just did whatever he wanted me to do and it was a horrible time.

- So you're not on probation-

- Nope, I actually got off probation I think in 2014. Haven't been in trouble since, not even a speeding ticket.

- She's very straight forward. She just totally lays it on the table.

- Any other questions?

- Nope.

- Anything either of you care to add?

- Nope, I think we said it all.

- 'Kay, thank you very much.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. 'Kay the floor is now closed, your thoughts gentlemen?
- I would be willing to, it's basically the license is in effect until June of next year.
- Or June of this year?
- [Tonya] June of 2019.
- I would be in favor of granting it to her because she's, I think, making a real effort and no violations as far as police.
- Since 2014, yep.
- And that would be my motion to grant her the license.
- Motion by Alder VanderLeest and seconded by Alder Stevens to grant the appeal. Anything?
- I concur. You concur, I think I concur too.
- Oh we gotta vote.
- We need to vote.
- Nothin's showin' up on Alder VanderLeest's-
- Yes would be your vote?
- Yes.
- Okay, alright, that passed unanimously.
- [Tonya] Thank you so much you guys.
- Good luck.
- Next on our agenda.
- One minute.
- Yep, when you're ready.
- Okay, next item, consideration with possible action for a class A liquor license by Van Zeeland Oil Company at 601 North Military Avenue for the 2018-2019 year. They currently have a class A beverage license.

- 601, that's Military and Mason?
- It's a Marathon or somethin' it's a gas station there. It's a Marathon gas station, I think it's a Marathon.
- I'm not sure what it is.
- I think, I've gassed up my mother's car there, I'm pretty sure it's Marathon.
- It's across from Family Video.
- Yep, across from Family Video, yep.
- Okay.
- Your neck of the woods.
- Yeah it is, it's actually my district. Well go ahead.
- Staff?
- Law department has no objections.
- I concur.
- I love to concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder VanderLeest.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Steuer.
- Any discussion? 'Kay then there's no discussion, so we'll do a verbal roll call, all in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? Okay that passes unanimously.
- Alright next item, the renewal applications for liquor and or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year. Law department has no objections.
- And there was one location that changed their licensing slightly.
- Well that'll be come up?
- Yep, that will be on item number-
- Yeah, these are ones without any changes.
- Right, these are no changes.
- And I do have actually something about one that is changing, they called me, they don't want the changes. So we'd make that change. If someone accidentally filled out their report wrong, their license wrong and they made changes and they don't actually want changes we can take care of that then and not now?

- We can take care of that tonight, yeah.
- Right.
- I can add-
- When we get to it with the- Okay, so-
- No objection.
- No objection.
- Motion to approve. Motion to approve by Alder Steuer, second by Alder Stevens. Any discussion? Okie doke. This will be a verbal vote, all in favor?
- [Committee] Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Alright. Next item is renewal applications for liquor and or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year pending the approval of change of agent at the May 15, 2018 city council meeting. Law department has no objection.
- I have no objections either.
- Okay, there is one, I was called by the Haystack Bar and Grill at 1911 University Avenue.
- I'm sorry, that may be for item number 13 if that's a change of description. These are change of agent, so these-
- Oh change, I'm sorry, I jumped the gun.
- So these agents were all approved in the previous items earlier in the agenda, and now we're just-
- Right this is-
- The renewal license with the approved change of agent.
- But, oh come on now, don't be this way. Alright, I'm joining the meeting again.
- Okay.
- Okay now I'm in.
- Alright.
- Should we do this voice vote or would you prefer-
- We still need a motion, but we can-
- Motion to approve?
- Motion to approve. By Alder Steuer.
- Second. Second by Alder Stevens, would you prefer that this one is done with the-

- It's entirely up to you. If there's no discussion-
- There's no discussion.
- My thing's workin'.
- Alright, voice vote, all in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? 'Kay that passes unanimously.
- Alright, next item. Renewal applications for liquor and or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year pending the approval of the change of description.
- Now with this one.
- Yes.
- The Haystack Bar and Grill at 1911 University Avenue. Would like their description to be as it was of 2016, 17, just bar and restaurant.
- I believe that was one that police department did have some additional questions as they were going to include the parking lot. So that was the only item that we wanted to discuss.
- The owner had help filling that out and there was mistakes made or things that he's fine with the old description.
- With that change, I have no objection to it.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder VanderLeest. Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor? Alright, we're gonna do voice, we're gonna do voice. Cause Alder VanderLeest's doesn't work, so. Aye, opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Okay, one moment. Okay next item, consideration of a request by former Alderperson Moore regarding domestic violence as it pertains to bail bond sentencing and victim resources as previously discussed at the January 29, 2018 meeting.
- I read the minutes from that and it sounded like staff is going to have a report for us?
- Yes, I have that prepared. So this communication was submitted a few months back. By former Alder Moore, and at this committee's initial review of the matter, I did share with the committee, that the legal authority over domestic violence offenses including sentencing and bail setting rest exclusively under state statutes. In further, a representative at that meeting from Golden house did speak as to the victim resources that are currently available to residents in the Green Bay community. And shared information on the health and services that the organization offers. During the committee's discussions, Alderman Galvin shared that he had come across some information regarding a program that another municipality had implemented in the surrender of weapons from domestic violence offenders and requested that law department look into this issue further. So accordingly, I am reporting on what I have been able to find out. This program that Alderman Galvin mentioned is the Seattle King County Task Force created by the city of Seattle in King County in Washington State. Washington State law has provisions that require a domestic abuser to surrender weapons to law enforcement, pursuant to a domestic violence protection order issued by the court. This regional joint task force was created between the city and the county. It's made up of prosecutors, law enforcement and staff, with a budget of one million dollars. And their goal is to follow up on all such court orders that are issued in civil domestic violence protection orders, and confiscate weapons subject to the surrender under the protection order by the court. In addition, the officers in the

task force do follow up with the alleged abusers after domestic violence incidents have occurred. And attempt to get them to voluntarily surrender weapons before any injunctions are issued. Under Wisconsin state law, there is also a similar provision that allows domestic violence victims to obtain these domestic abuse restraining orders and injunctions against their alleged abusers. Under such an order, the alleged abuser is also required to surrender any firearms that they may own or possess to the sheriff of the county which the abuser resides. So in our scenario, it would be to Brown County Sheriff's Office. Under Wisconsin statute section 813, point 12, this is a civil injunction that can be brought by a victim in circuit court. Our police department has had some discussion with the Brown County sheriff's office to see what they currently do with respect to these weapon surrenders and DVO injunction or protection orders. Per the Brown County sheriff's office, they do currently take weapons ordered to be surrendered under Wis.813 point 12 for domestic abuse restraining orders and injunctions. In addition, they also confiscate weapons pursuant to criminal orders to surrender as well. However, there is no dedicated task force to this end. In theory, a task force like the one in Seattle King County could be created between the city and the county. However, based on current county and municipal officer budget resources, that is not something that could be currently pursued at this time. Last thing I wanted to touch on, a newly implemented program that the police department has employed, and perhaps Captain Florence will be able to give a little bit more information about it as well. The program is called the Maryland Lethality Assessment. The program has been designed for law enforcement first responders to ask victims 11 questions based on research factors associated with lethality. Certain responses trigger the protocol referral which is an immediate connection with a local advocacy program and victim resources. By utilizing this tool, the police department will be able to better link victims to advocates and victim resources, engage them in the criminal and legal process, and also in turn, put them in touch with the resources to help them pursue the DVO injunctions under 813.12 allowed under state statutes.

- Right now, the city cannot confiscate.

- No that authority is delegated to the Brown County sheriff's office, which is, it's the same structure as in Washington state, however, they created a joint task force, so that municipal officers can help them. But the sheriff's office is still the lead agency because the statute delegates the authority to the county sheriff.

- Any information on the Maryland? How long has that been going on or-?

- That just started up this past spring with all of our officers being trained in that process. So the past two months and to the state, it seems to be workin' well, there're no complaints at all.

- Questions?

- Would we make a motion then to receive and place that on file?

- I believe so, yes. Motion to receive and place the file by Alder VanderLeest. Second by Alder Stevens. Do we want to, it's not within the budgetary process now, but do we want to in the future, look at creating, see if we can create such a unit? Would the police department be interested in such a-

- [Audience Member] Sounds like a pricey endeavor but where I came from, we had a huge and very robust system for doing that. Where we would go, anytime someone had domestic violence, we'd go to them, confiscate all their weapons. We'd do files to make sure that they didn't sink with people that were having mental health issues. But it was expensive and took a lot of personnel and took a lot of time.

- Was that just the LAPD on their own? Or were they working with the county?

- [Audience Member] On the domestic violence side was on their own. And on the mental health side was with the county.

- Well, we could maybe look at it further down the road. And make a decision further down the road. What kind of time frame would we need to put on that to look at this again as a possibility?

- [Audience Member] If there's budgetary funds, it would have to be before we start our budgetary discussion in July.
- In July, so
- What's the amount?
- Well we don't know, we'd have to start- perhaps what we should do now is, can we put in a communication to the county? Is there a process to communicate with the county? Reach out to them to see if they're interested in such a-
- [Audience Member] I meet with them tomorrow at 10:30.
- Okay how bout if the chief of police could connect with his counterpart and discuss this and report back to us on how the county feels about this and the viability and possibility of going forward with this?
- [Audience Member] Sure.
- Can I ask one question?
- Certainly.
- How is the county performing as far as has there been any complaints with the county as far as the job that they're doing?
- Do we have that info?
- They perform it based on court order as well. But that's the only way they do it through a court order.
- So in other words it's handled through the city with a court order and everything.
- Correct.
- And I take it that they are following up
- They will follow up, however it's a very tedious process to attract on the people not to mention the weapons and to make sure you're getting all the weapons. Not to mention storage and transportation and everything.
- So sheriff Gasich is, in other words, he's doing his duty there.
- Correct.
- Okay, thank you.
- We had a motion.
- Motion to receive and place on file, seconded and all in favor?
- [Committee] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Okay, next item. Consideration with possible action on a request by Alder Wery of endorsement of police chief Smith's proposal for school safety regarding retired policemen in all Green Bay public schools
- Well I noticed, Alder Wery is not here, but the chief is so let's hear from the chief.

- Thank you. May I approach your honor? I have a group of-

- By all means. So this is a long and tedious thing to read. Jeremy Buranski did a lot of work on this so I think if I could do us all a favor, I'll distill this down into a quick presentation to tell you a little bit about- you got one?

- Perhaps, perhaps. Cost you a nickel.

- 35 cents a page.

- Bill us.

- I believe this started when Mr Wery attended a meeting the other night which we had at the school. We had two meetings at the school to discuss what the parents or concerned citizens or elected leaders, what the concerns were with school safety, what they thought about this proposal that I put together in light of the Lake Glen Florida shootings. I'm sorry, Parkland Florida. So let me reel you back a little bit to the day after the shootings that we had where 17 kids were killed over in Florida. As typically happens when he have an incident like that, I send all our officers out to the different schools. I happened to go to one of our local elementary schools for the beginning of school to assure the kids. To let them know that we're concerned about 'em, we're keeping an eye on everything. And I had a conversation in the parking lot with the principal about what it would take to keep that elementary school where my two kids go, safe. And as discussion evolved, I thought there were a lot of things that we're doing and that we can do to make it safe, but I think there's one piece missing and that's my proposal here. First of all, there's environmental design, making sure the school is designed safely so you can keep bad people out, or at least delay them from coming in. There's different things you can do with door locks, with secure locks in other areas around school, with making sure that anybody who wants to come in has to go through the principal's office, things of that nature. The school's doing that, in fact, a lot of school renovations occurring this summer and some of that's gonna happen. Alice training, which is where we train all the teacher's on how to react properly to the shootings, closing the doors, you know, run hide fight, that type of thing. That has been done in almost all the schools as far as I understand, and I'm really happy that we've done that. First responder training, as you know, we provided officers with helmets, we now give them rifles, we now give them ballistic vests that will stop a rifle round. My expectations and my insistence is that if there's an active shooter at a school or another situation, we're not gonna do like we did as law enforcement just a few years ago where we'd wait for more troops to come and we'd all go in together. My expectation in our training is that the first guy there, puts on the helmet, grabs a rifle, puts on the vest, and goes in and takes care of business. So our officers know that, they've been trained in that. Our school resource officers of which we have 11, have all been trained in that even as recently as just a couple weeks ago. To make sure that everybody is up to speed on that. We also need to do as much as we can to provide the counseling and the guidance and the support for the schools including a mechanism for children to report threats. As we saw in Florida, many reports were made, many times people have told about this Nicholas Cruz. We knew he was a bad guy, we knew he violent, we knew if he came on campus something bad was gonna happen. There were multiple failures your law enforcement it appears and as a result we had the tragedy in Florida. So talking to the principal that day with the kids filing in, I felt perfectly safe that that school was safe. I was standing there in uniform. I had a rifle in my car, I had my vest on, I was ready to go, I had the right mindset I think to protect that school. And then as we had our conversation and I came back and talked to some of my staff with years of experience on the SWAT team and whatnot about what it would take to really protect our schools, the idea I had was that we need someone in that school that is there for school security for school protection. I think an armed police officer or former police officer of which we have many, who has demonstrated with 25 or 30 years that they can work with a weapon. That they have the right orientation, that they've been responsible with that weapon and they've been the kind of person that would take the appropriate action if something occurred. And then I started thinking about Green Bay in particular we have a lot of cops here that retired, 53, 55, 57, who have a tremendous amount of background, a tremendous amount of training, tremendous amount of experience. And who would even approach me to take jobs like this. Lotta cops will work after their career's over so that they can afford the health insurance. If we were to provide them a fair wage and health insurance, I think you'd have, dozens of people have called me or said they wanna do it. And what would that cop look like, what would a retired guy that would be highly

qualified, look like? That could stand in that school for the price of 25 dollars an hour from the start of school to the end of school and be there to make sure those kids are safe. I would say he'd look just like Brad Florence. 30 year guy, gettin' ready to retire, SWAT commander, decades of experience, decades of experience as a SWAT commander, probably tens of thousands of hours in training in crisis, critical situations, comfortable on all the different shooting platforms, proven to respond in the right direction when gunfire happens or something like that. Now, the caveat to this whole thing is Andrew Smith doesn't get to decide. It's obviously the school board that gets to decide and it's obviously the people of Green Bay that get to decide. The other problem with this is it's very expensive. To have an officer in every school, and the question I got, in a CC e-mail from you, what would it cost to put an officer in every of the 38 schools in the city of Green Bay discounting the 11 officers that we have right now so, not counting those, vicinity, 2.7 Million dollars for the first year, which would include the officers and the equipment and some training that we would have them do. That's a huge bill, that's a huge number, I recognize that. But it's 1% of the school board's, school district's budget, and I would challenge people to say, if they had kids at Columbine or Sandy Hook, or Parkland, Florida school, if they could go back in time what would be the one thing they'd want? Would they want cameras in their school, would they want more councilors, would they want smaller class sizes, or would they want an armed first responder, trained ready to go, that can handle that threat at the threshold of the door, and not wait and hope that the cops are there in time. We have a great response time in our city, I'll use an example that I used in a couple things is the incident that we had over at Lambo. Call came out as an active shooter, our cops rush over there just like I expect them to do, Craig Braid, first guy on scene, gets his gear and goes in, this guy was in custody and handcuffed on the ground in four minutes, and 57 seconds. Which is a fantastic number, I think any chief across the country would be proud of that number and the guys did it right, no-one was hurt, he's in custody. But what if that had been an active shooter at a school? And somebody breaches that front door at a school and has 4:57 to shoot as many bullets as they can, as many kids as they can, with nobody there to stop them? I have my guys doing extra patrols at schools, we're lucky enough to have 11 school resource officers in school, but there's 38 schools, and there's schools that are going without that layer of protection that I think is critical. So in a nutshell, that's the proposition that I had, it's expensive, I think that we could find enough people, I've gotten calls from around the state, from people, hey I'm a retired chief from so-and-so, or I did 30 years in the military over here, or whatever, that would be interested in doing it. Some of the guys that are recently retired stop me in the parking lot, and say hey, I'll do that, I think that'd be a great job. Every day that the school's open, there's the officer standing at the front door, I'd say in soft clothes, my idea is dressed like you, white shirt, jacket weapons hidden, but everybody knows he's there and he's ready to go. Let's face it, the biggest effect I think is the deterrent effect. I think these cowards that do this to our children are afraid of a police officer standing there, afraid that they won't be able to wreak their carnage on the schools there. So I think it's the deterrent effect is probably one of the most important things. And I see this officer standing at the front of the school greeting the kids as they go by with fist-bumps and high-fives and how are ya officer, good to have you here, they take a tour around the school, make sure everything's locked up like it's supposed to be, they're ready to respond in a true emergency situation, not a kid smoking in the bathroom, or somebody mouthing off to a teacher, but a crisis situation, somebody trying to get on that campus that doesn't belong, or a violent event inside the school. The rest of the time they're near the front door, where they can respond quickly, they can see who's trying to come in, see if it's a legitimate person or someone who doesn't belong in, and keep the bad guys from coming in our school. So in a nutshell, you got 57 pages of that, but that's kind of it distilled down to the nuts and bolts of what my proposal is. Right now I don't think there's a ton of support among the folks in the school board, you know they've got other priorities and they certainly have budgetary considerations that I don't have, when it comes to spending other people's money, so that's kinda where we're at.

- Quick question for you chief.

- Yes sir.

- What about the state and the federal governor have they aired any money coming our way for this type of thing?

- The state has earmarked \$100000, I don't believe that money's going towards an armed person in school, that's gonna go.

- Environmental.

- Environmental, distributed amongst those schools especially among those schools that don't have anything right now. Scuse me, but if I could, I did a quick internet search of some of the headlines and these are the headlines in the past couple of months, Tennessee lawmakers consider armed off-duty officers for schools. Maryland, race to push armed officer in every school. Staten Island, New York, politicians urging De Blasio to {put} armed officer in every school. And by the way, the price for that's a little over a billion dollars in the City of New York. South Carolina, same thing. Kentucky, same thing. Sarasota Florida, same thing. I think people realize that, you know I'm not the only one in the world who thinks this is the only viable alternative, and I ask you to look at a courthouse, or you look at the airports, and places like that, clearly, or certainly, the safety of our kids is at least worth consideration.

- Thank you chief.

- Will the officer be there the entire day?

- My idea is the officer there, is there from before school starts, so they can watch everybody that's coming in, until after the bell rings and the kids leave, and if I was doing it I'd be at the front door as every kid files in, and I'd be at the front door as every kid leaves the school that day, and once they're in the school and the parking lot was clearing, then I would say they're done. Look it's a 180 day school year is what we basically have here, I think, somewhere in that vicinity. Every Christmas off, every Thanksgiving off, summers off, I think it would be a fantastic job for a police officer. I picked the \$25 'cause a lot of our off-duty jobs pay \$25 and I know at the Ross down the street from my house they're paying \$16 for entry level people, so I think that's a, that would be a fair amount.

- So this is during the school day, pretty much after events, games and such, that's the way it goes.

- That might be, something else to consider. Right now, a lot of schools hire off-duty police officers on an overtime basis after these events. Or on an off-duty basis for these dances, football games, things of that nature. But again, I get it, I get your concerns, it's an expensive proposition, and that true measure of school security isn't gonna come cheap but as a parent, if it meant writing a cheque for, I think we figured \$140 per student per year, I'd write that cheque today if I knew it was gonna work. And I knew it was gonna go towards having one of these folks in our school.

- So the officers would have no other duties than serve here, like police liaisons, liaison would still be doing their work, there would be that separation.

- Right. So I don't anticipate these officers would be worrying about truants as I mentioned, or kids smoking in the parking lot, or if some kid runs out of the school, that's not their concern. Their concern is bad guys trying to come into the school. And wouldn't it be comforting for parents to know that, you know, the same feeling I had when I drove away from that school that day, I had this sinking feeling in my stomach that I wasn't there to protect these kids, I wasn't there, and no-one was there. God bless the teachers, they are great. And the principal and the other folks that work in the school, hardworking people, they came on the job to teach kids, they wanna help young minds grow. Cops, we come on the job to stop bad guys from doing bad things.

- And you mentioned the budget's going to be expensive, would that be coming out of the city's budget or the Green Bay School's budget?

- Yeah I would imagine, I've thought about this a million times, obviously the school budget was where it would default to, but if the city would say hey, we would be willing to supplement it or pay for part of it or something along those lines. I don't know of any federal money that's available, I know the DOJ has a bunch of grants that are available, and even if we couldn't do all 38 schools, well it'd sure be great to put a school security officer in those schools that don't have a school resource officer, right? And maybe we

could get the school resource officer to focus more on the defense of the school and less on the truancy and misbehavior and the other issues that they deal with now. Taking crime reports and whatnot.

- So the communication, leads with possible action on the request to endorse the Chief's proposal, but the endorsement, so all we'd be doing is voting that we support the school district hiring retired officers. Basically?

- And it would seem like, just like me making the suggestion, my suggestions don't have real teeth, it's just a suggestion, it's based on my having been in 100 schools 1000 times what I think and what my staff thinks is what's needed to make sure our schools are truly secure. But again, we don't get to decide, the school board gets to ultimately decide. That's a decision they get to make.

- So but we would basically be saying to the school board we would support this if you want it, and if they wanted it they could maybe talk to us about possible ways of making it happen and we could negotiate and it would either happen or fall apart there. So we're really just, we're not putting our foot too far out, we're basically saying, yes we think this if the school district's interested we support it, or we don't like the idea period, is basically what it comes down to I think.

- I'm sure Chief Smith's, I mean there are people who put a lot of work into this and I would like to read it over as well.

- We can hold this item if all still.

- We could hold it, why don't we hold it and have a little more discussion and maybe we can get a school board person to come by and you know, get some information from them and see what their direction is.

- We're about safety too.

- Put a communication out to the school board and have them come and talk to our committee.

- Yep.

- Why don't we do that?

- Or at least send us a letter or something if they.

- Would they be comfortable with that? So that we could read this over?

- Sure, absolutely. And I think it's good for discussion you know? And even if it ultimately doesn't come to fruition the fact that we're at least considering it and giving it some serious consideration and talking about it, I think it's a great discussion to have. I think we need to do what's right for the City of Green Bay, this isn't for Cribbets or Wasaki or Milwaukee or Madison, this is what I think is right for the City of Green Bay, the size of school we have. But we need to remember, no-one thought Columbine Colorado was going to happen nobody thought Sandy Hook was gonna happen, you know you don't know where these are gonna happen, and I think every school is vulnerable, not just in Green Bay, but across the country and we see people ramping up their protection because we really know that our kids are vulnerable, we need to do everything we can to protect 'em.

- I appreciate your interest in this, and appreciate your work in this, and I'd like a motion to hold, and put forth a communication to the Green bay school board if they could weigh in on their feelings on this, to make it know to us, one way or another.

- Would you like that communication to come from the police department or what staff department would you like to have reach out?

- Just for clarification, they are still compiling from the meeting we had last week, they're still compiling their data, about what parents wanted, and what people that attended those meetings wanted. I'm not sure about the date they're going to have that ready on the school board's website, they said they'd publish it on the website. Would it make sense to wait until that information gets compiled?
- We could wait til they have something, I mean when do you think that would be? Hard to say.
- Probably, they'll have it within 30 days, but I could stay in contact with you if that works.
- Wait til they've.
- Okay and you're in contact already with them, so, through the police department then. Your contacts with them and discussions with the Green Bay school board, if part of that includes their, you know. I imagine you could share this with them.
- I have already shared that with them.
- Okay, and get their reaction, and you can report that back to us. So we'll hold this for 30 days.
- If you think 30 days are going to be long enough.
- I think so, I hope so. We can always hold it again from that if necessary. So, wanna go 29 days? 30 days.
- John made the motion.
- Motion by Alder Vander Lee.
- Second by Alder Stevens.
- Would you like to just to a voice vote?
- Yes well, yes let's do a voice vote. All in favor? Opposed? That motion carries. Thanks Chief.
- Thanks for listening, I really appreciate it. I'm glad you guys took up at least a few minutes to hear what the proposal was, and give it some consideration. You didn't have to put me 15th, but I still got it.
- No, no we got information.
- Information.
- Yep, we've billed a few more items...
- I've got one at home...
- Alright, our next item, for informational purposes, is the liquor violation reports from the police department for May 7th 2018.
- And there are no violations to report.
- Wow, we are really rolling here.
- It's pretty good yeah.
- Yeah it's really good. Man.
- Motion to receive and place on file.

- Motion to receive and place on file, by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor. Opposed. I guess that's unanimous.
- One minute. We have a lag in the system, okay there we go. There was a motion by Alder Steuer, second by Alder Vander Lee, to receive and place on file. Alright.
- We're all set to adjourn then?
- We are, yes we're all set.
- Motion to adjourn.
- Motion to adjourn by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor. We are adjourned. Thank you gentlemen. This was fun eh?
- Little bit different. A bit different tonight, but I think we did alright.
- I think we did alright too. Well done.
- Sometimes I worry about letting him burn too much.
- So turn it off, here here. That turns it off.
- Okay, alright, alright beautiful.
- One more time.
- Now again.
- This is broke.
- It's already going eh?