MINUTES OF THE PROTECTION AND WELFARE COMMITTEE

MONDAY, JUNE 11, 2018, 5:30 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Alderpersons Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Excused:
Also Present: Deputy CA Joanne Bungert; Lt. Mahoney; Alderperson Brian Johnson; Alderperson Barb Dorff; Humane Officer Mallory Meves; Chief of Staff Celestine Jeffreys; Officer Mark

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

1. Approval of the agenda for the June 11, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the agenda for the June 11, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

1. Approval of the minutes for the May 22, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the minutes for the May 22, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Considertation with possible action on an appeal by Jeff Sanders to the denial of his animal permit requesting more than two dogs at his premise.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John VanderLeest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to deny the appeal by Jeff Sanders to the denial of his animal permit requesting more than two dogs at his premise. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on a request by Wal-Mart Stores East, LP at 2250 W. Mason St. to amend their liquor license to include stalls and /or canopy locations in parking lot specifically designated for online grocery pickup.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to hold the request by Wal-Mart Stores East, LP at 2250 W. Mason St. to amend their liquor license to include stalls and/or canopy locations in parking lot specifically designated for online grocery pickup. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action on a change of agent for Shopko Stores Operating Co., LLC at 2430 E. Mason St.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the change of agent for Shopko Stores Operating Co., LLC at 2430 E. Mason St. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Renewal application for a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider license for Shopko Stores Operating Co., LLC at 2430 E. Mason St., pending the approval of the change of agent at the June 19, 2018 City Council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve the renewal application for a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider license for Shopko Stores Operating Co., LLC at 2430 E. Mason St., pending the approval of the change of agent at the June 19, 2018 City Council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on a an application for a Class "A" Beverage "Class A" Cider License by Shopko Stores Operating Co. at 216 S. Military Ave for the 2018-2019 license year.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to grant a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider License to Shopko Stores Operating Co. at 216 S. Military Ave. for the 2018-2019 license year, with the approval of the proper authorites. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on a request by Main St Dreams LLC at 1238 Main St. to extend issuing their liquor license until early July. (Granted at the Feb. 19, 2018 meeting)

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest , seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the request by Main St Dreams at 1238 Main St. to extend issuing their liquor license until early July. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on an application for an available "Class B" Combination License by Francisco Soto at 1805 N. Irwin. (Currently four available)

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to grant an available "Class B" Combination License by Francisco Soto at 1805 N. Irwin Ave., with the approval to the proper authorities. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class B" Combination License for the 2018-2019 license year by Baker & Mac Arthur Inc. at 1605 University Ave. (Currently WTF-Kim, Inc.)

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to grant a "Class B" Combination License for the 2018-2019 license year to Baker & Mac Arthur Inc. at 1605

University Ave., with the approval to the proper authorities (Currently WTF-Kim, Inc.). Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

9. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class B" Combination License by R&K Neil LLC at 708 Bodart St. for the 2018-2019 license year. (currently Mc Neils GB LLC)

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, Mark Steuer No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, Mark Steuer No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevensto open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, Mark Steuer No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, Mark Steuer No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to grant a "Class B" Combination License by R&K Neil LLC at 708 Bodart St. for the 2018-2019 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities (currently Mc Neils GB LLC). Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- Mark Steuer, Abstain- None

10. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class B" Combination license by SV2 LLC at 1911 University Ave. for the 2018-2019 license year. (Currently Shannons Haystack LLC)

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to grant a "Class B" Combination license by SV2 LLC at 1911 University Ave. for the 2018-2019 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities (Currently Shannons Haystack LLC). Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

11. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class B" Combination License by Quilts and Beer LLC at 500 N. Baird St. for the 2018-2019 license year. (currently JACB, LLC)

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to grant a "Class B" Combination License by Quilts and Beers LLC at 500 N. Biard St. for the 2018-2019 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities (currently JACB, LLC). Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

12. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Ricky Atkinson regarding the denial of his Public Vehicle Operator License.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve the appeal by Ricky Atkinson regarding the denial of his Public Vehicle Operator License. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

13. Renewal applications for liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2018-2019 license year.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the renewal applications for liquor and/or beer licensees for the 2018-2019 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

F. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

E. INFORMATIONAL.

1. Report from the Water Utility Department regarding the lead pipe removal project.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to receive and place on file the report from the Water Utility Department regarding the lead pipe removal project. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for June 11, 2018

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to receive and place on file the liquor violation report from the Police Department for June 11, 2018. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to adjourn. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Meeting adjourned at 6:54 p.m.

- Okay. The meeting for the Protection and Welfare for June 11th, 2018, Room 207, it is now 5:30ish, we will begin. We'll start with our roll call. Alder Stevens?

- Here.

- Alder VanderLeest?

- Present.

- Alder Steuer?

- Here.

- And myself, Alder Scannell, all are present. Hail, hail, the gang's all here.

- Mm-hmm. Okay.

- I'll take a motion to approve the addenda.

- Motion to approve.

- I second.

- Motion by Alder VanderLeest, seconded by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? We have an agenda. That's right, I can't. Keep wanting to use my finger to scroll.

- You do that purposefully.

- Yeah.

- Okay.

- Okay, I'll take a motion to approve our minutes from May 22nd, 2018 Protection and Welfare meeting.

- Motion approved.

- Motion to approve by Alder VanderLeest.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Anybody wanna approve the seconds? Okay, we'll do with the minutes. The minutes have been approved. Okay, we're on to regular business. Number one, consideration with possible action on an appeal by Jeff Sanders to the denial of his animal permit requesting more than two dogs at his premise. Staff?

- I can defer to Officer Meves, who's here to talk about the denial.

- That would be fine.

- Good evening.

- How have you been?

- Good evening.

- So, I handed out a packet that you guys should have received to our clerk's office. I had received in 2017 a couple of animal complaints to an address and in the area of 815 South Irwin Avenue and at that time I discovered that there were three dogs being kept at the property where there appeared to be a barking issue. I made contact with a gentleman who is later identified to be Jeff Sanders and I believe his wife is Kim Sanders, if I'm not mistaken and I tried knocking on the door, there were two dogs that were barking outside excessively at that time and one of them was later I guess found to be in the house, knocked on the door, there was like a screened porch area, I didn't want to enter that area and the gentleman eventually came to the door after I had tried phoning and stuff like that, cellphones that were listen on file, explaining the circumstance and then I greeted him outside this exterior screen door. He said he did not hear the doorbell ring or me knocking on the door. He was in the

upper unit of the residence listening to music at that time. I mentioned to him that we had received a barking complaint in the area. He wanted to know who had called, at that time the complainant wished to remain anonymous and I'm not going to divulge that information and it did appear that we had a couple of barking complaints over the years over in that area, specifically like the last two years and I explained to him that obviously if he had three dogs, he needed to obtain an excess animal permit and to give him a month to get city licenses. I had received a phone call almost a year to the date and no permit was ever received and I got a complaint from one of the neighbors and it was obviously to address that Mr. Sanders still had the three dogs, one of my conversations that I had is, either obtain an excess animal permit or you get down to the permitted dogs which our city council has approved acceptable, which is two, two dogs, three cats and it was to my knowledge that obviously this was not done and that he had been telling neighbors that he had a permit, it was approved, so then I thought, well, this is something that I need to address. I sent an animal violation notice to the address saying that you have a month to complete the permit. It is my understanding that you still have excess animals at the address and no approved permit and we have barking complaints and we need to get that under control. I spoke with Mr. Sanders and he advised me that his permit was approved and I said, well no, I would take care of that, so to my knowledge, that was never done and he explained to me that he has three dogs himself for his children on the property, they're his family and I get that. He also advised that a fourth dog comes on the property, which is a family member's when they come into town for some kind of dialysis or treatment or something like that, so they're actually usually at the majority of time, four dogs on the premises, so that needing to be addressed. Part of the permit process is that I go door to door and hand out neighborhood letters. So I handed out neighborhood letters, several of them came back. I have to take that into consideration, obviously, and I'm in a position where I don't live in that area but I have to try and take other neighbor and residents considerations or obviously, opinions into consideration and based on the information received, I denied the permit. Mr. Sanders got quite confrontational with me on the phone. In fact, quite argumentative that I basically told him I was not a verbal punching bag and I was going to end the conversation. I then hung up on him. To me, if you're requesting a permit, there's a process. If you've been denied a permit, there's an appeals process of which I explained to him. Obviously, belittling people, belittling neighbors and attacking me because you don't agree with my decision, I'm sorry, that inappropriate. Several neighbors have come forward, phone calls, letters, the profanities in which he's expressed to them in regards to the barking dogs, in regards to their concern for the dogs being left outside in cold temperatures has just been nasty, downright nasty. Some of these words I choose not even to repeat because I personally take them offensive of what's he's called there, now, Mr. Sanders decided to file a formal records request and obtain copies these handwritten letters and took it upon himself today to get into a verbal altercation with a neighbor, calling her a C-word and whatnot. I have problems with that, I was not the officer who responded, I had a very, very busy day. I find that that is not neighborly and I feel like if you're trying to be exempt from our city's ordinances, this is not the way to be doing it, not at all. So with that being said, I feel like if this were a conversation where there's a pending case and someone went and harassed someone based on maybe witness information, that's considered intimidating a witness, threatening them. I don't feel like that's permissible, to be honest, I feel like a disorderly conduct citation should have been in order, it doesn't look like one was issued. These neighbors are concerned for their safety because of his demeanor. So, I hope you had time to review the letters because I think they'll speak volumes.

- Yep, any questions?

- Yeah, just one.

- Yes.

- Officer Meves, when Mr. Sanders was talking to you on the phone and you said you felt intimidated, could you have given a citation or an arrest on something based on, over the phone, did you feel that it was close to that point?

- I felt like today, a citation should have been issued for disorderly conduct. If you're going to be screaming profanities at neighbors because they didn't quite agree with your request for a permit application to be exempt from two dogs in the city, that, to me, would have justified a citation and I would not bat an eyelash to issue one. As far as being on the phone, I'm a police officer, sometimes people say not so nice stuff. I take it with a grain of salt but at the end of the day I'm not a verbal punching bag and I don't have to sit and listen to it.

- I guess the one thing I have a hard time with and that was repeated several times is the fact that there is a process that you need to go through and although Scannell and I have been on this committee before--

- It's questions now.

- Yeah, but I think it's important that that continues so that's the only questions I have.

- Right and if I could add two things. I always explain to people, as pet owners, you need to be well-versed in our city's ordinances, if you're going to be a pet owner. It's part of what's involved with the responsibility of owning pets. I know Mr. Sanders continued to call to advise me of stipulations he wanted to, I guess, implement and I advised him that the city cannot enforce any kind of stipulations, fences, bark collars, those things should have been done before it even got to this point and certain requirements were met only after issuing notices and then even so, he was in violation of not requiring his cat to have a city license, so it's like, well, everything's in line here but then not here and it's like, well, if you're approved, are these just gonna fall to the side next year? I don't know.

- Any questions? Thank you, Officer Meves.

- Thank you.

- Let's find out if there's anybody here to speak on this matter.

- I think we have one person, yeah.

- I'll make a motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, okay, the floor is now open, please step up, have you filled out a--

- Yes.

- Thank you, very good. Just pass that over to, oh, that's not, okay, okay, yeah. Please state your name and address.

- Valerie Whitstorp and I'm at 1314 Lawe Street, I'm the neighbor. We've lived there many years and we've had barking issues over the years. We've tried to approach him several times, it would improve. One summer they did a fabulous job, we took over some beer and some flowers and said, hey, thanks for the improvements and then it gets, next year comes and it just kind of sloughs off again and it's kind of been a repeated process over the years. A year ago someone did complain like she said and called the police and he called me and left a very, well, I answered the phone and he was just very vulgar with a lot of f's and this and that and I hung up as fast I could and then I talked to husband, I said, let's just go over and see what's going on, 'cause I didn't call anybody and we walked over at 6:00 that night and he made the initial call at noon, so we figured, you know, cooling off time and as we approached the property, he was there with his wife and the two little girls and he was saying get off my property, I know it was you, the police told me it was you. Get off my f-ing property or I'm going to call the police for trespassing, so I was just shocked at that behavior, so that was a year ago and he told us he got a permit and so it's just not been friendly, especially since then and it's just, and the barking's continued, like I said, it gets better than it goes back to leaving them outside to bark, 'cause they just want live by people.

- Any questions? Okay, thank you very much. Anyone else here for this?

- I do have a couple written statements.

- Are the ones that we were given copies of or?

- No, they're additional ones who couldn't make it to speak today. Go ahead.

- Okay.

- Go ahead. And you also filled out a form?

- I did.

- Okay, thank you, please state your name and address.

- I'm Kimberly Sanders, I live at 815 South Irwin Avenue, I am the wife of the husband in question here. First of all, I do, 100% agree, my husband's behavior is inappropriate and inexcusable. That being said, they're our dogs. I have a statement here that I would just like to read to you guys and go forward from there. So, I live at 815 South Irwin Avenue like I said, we have three young children in our family, we have three dogs and one cat. We were aware that we had applied for a permit a year ago, didn't realize that it didn't get to the

appropriate places and that it wasn't approved, so this year, we found out that basically that was not the case. So, let me start by telling you a little bit about myself. I'm a registered nurse. I work at Aurora Hospital. I work in Labor and Delivery, my husband, he owns a small web development business. He works out of our home. He also takes care of our three young children, we have a four year old, a six year old and a just 12 month old, so we spend a great deal of time outside. We have a fenced in yard. We're often with our dogs in that yard. They get plenty of exercise out there. One of the concerns voiced by Officer Meves was our lot size is insufficient. I tend to disagree with the statement. We have two of our three dogs, they're 14, 14 1/2, they're no longer very active, however, they do get trips to the dog park. They get walks, different things like that. Our lab, our youngest dog, Harley, she loves to retrieve and there's plenty of room in the yard for us to play retrieve with her. Our two oldest dogs, we've had them since they were young and there's never been a problem at the vet for them to be overweight or showing signs where they're not getting the exercise. I'm also aware that the neighbors, that there was a voiced concern of the excessive barking and I know that Officer Meves was discussing, I, myself and my husband, we do apologize for that. I was nearing the end of my due date with our child. I was 37 weeks pregnant, sitting on the floor in the nursery with the music on. I didn't hear her barking outside because most people come in, the doorbell's on the inside of the house. By the time we heard the dogs barking, my husband did go get them in the house and respond to Officer Meves. One of our oldest dogs is the one that does have an issue with barking. I do agree with that. We've had some improvement in the past. We worked with her for many years. If she's on the front porch, she will sometimes bark at the dogs passing by, things like that, but we've rectified that by keeping her in the house. Our animals are our family and we would do anything to keep them, in fact, the reason we came about adopting Harley, the third dog, was that our two older dogs, the inevitable is going to happen in the next year or two, I'm aware of that, they're large dogs, they're 14 1/2. Harry and Einstein have never been the only dog and they have experienced extreme separation anxiety when separate. We've taken one dog to the vet, the other one will howl incessantly until they come home. When we returned home with Harley, we've separated them to take them to their vet appointment, that's resolved the issue, so when one of the them does pass, we hope that Harley will just be able to step in to the pack, per say and relieve their anxieties. I do apologize for not looking into the city statutes before getting a third dog, I was not aware that there was that statute. Finally, just, I ask that you take our comments into consideration. Harley, she's been in our home since she was eight weeks old, it's the only home she's known. She's a wonderful dog. We've spent the past two years training her. She is not our barker. She doesn't bark hardly at all. We have video of her running along the fence, not aggressively, she does let out a bark and my husband rectifies it with one command and she stops. It'd be very unfortunate to have to re home her now, especially because I don't see us needing a permit for three dogs in the near future. I think this time next summer, it's not even gonna be in question. Our oldest dog is failing. At his recent veterinarian appointment, our vet informed us to start preparing for the worst with him, anyhow, thank you guys for giving me the time and listening to my own opinions.

- Any questions? There has been, I did speak with Officer Galvin, who I believe has spoken with you. There was talk of possibly a shock, bark shock collar?

- Yep.

- And another fence?

- Yeah, we have our planning, if this goes through, three bark collars and actually a fourth one for my mom's dog, she has been at our house, it was like this last time, it was for a week, it was supposed to be overnight, however, my father is dying right now of lung cancer and he was admitted to the hospital that time for a week and he's going through the last stage of treatment. If it doesn't take, he's going into hospice. So those visits are gonna be coming to an end along with his treatment here in Green Bay, but in the meantime, for the day visits that the dog would be there, it shouldn't be overnight. He'll just have to come down for his treatments and going back home, we'll get a bark collar for that dog as well. That one is a small dog and yeah, I do agree that can be a b times. We'd like to put up a wooden fence, that's always been one of our goals. It's in our budget to do next Spring. We have some other things we need to do to our house, roof repairs, things like that, that we're working on this summer. I wish I had the money to make everything come into fruition at once but it doesn't work that way.

- Okay. I think those are all the questions I have, thank you. Anyone else wish to speak on this?

- So these are the written statements.

- Okay, let me take a motion to close the floor. Motion by Alder VanderLeest to close the floor, second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- The floor is now closed, we have two more pieces here, I'll share and then I would like them back so I can take a peek too.

- We can read them together.

- Oh, together, ah! This is from Mr. Sanders and notations from... Okay, what are your wishes, gentlemen?

- It doesn't sound real good for Sanders as far as the number of complaints and the city has expressed that they haven't followed the rules, so it's kind of a tough situation as far as approval, before I vote I'd like to hear from the other people here on the committee.

- I guess the concern I have, even though it's not specifically related, it somewhat is, but my feeling is that the language that's been used by Mr. Sanders and I wish he would come forward and speak on his behalf but that's up to him, I have a concern with that. Are you willing to come forward?

- [Jeff] I could, I was instructed by my wife not to speak.

- Well--

- You might want to listen to your wife, I bet she knows best, but that's entirely up to you, we can open the floor again if you really do want to speak.

- [Jeff] I could.

- I make a motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, the floor is now open. Please and if you didn't fill out a form, you can fill it out and hand it to our staff when you get the chance.

- Okay.

- Your name and address?

- My name is Jeff Sanders. I live at 815 South Irwin Avenue. I'm the one that everyone's angry about. Yes, I do get, there's a lot of times that people that meet me for the first time or speak to me for the first time, I've inherited, unfortunately, my mother's tone, where everyone that meets her thinks she's yelling all the time and to be fair, sometimes I do yell. A history of the situation, if I could, is last April, we received a complaint from a call, given that for years prior to that, we have been essentially, we felt, myself and my wife included, felt kind of harassed from the neighbors that we share a yard with. Their yard goes three deep and ours meets up with theirs. They've made comments about barking and other things that I didn't ask for, for example of raking leaves, cutting grass, where I put the woodpile, things like that and so, there was a lot of conversation about the dogs barking and for the most part, I think I tried to stop it, up until they got their second dog, their dogs used to come over in our yard and play, so when they're running back and forth across the fence, they're playing, their tails are up, they're barking but it's not vicious, it's not attack dog, they're just playing, especially the puppy, when they kick the ball in their yard and again, their yard goes across ours, essentially, so when I received the call about the, when Ms. Meves came to the house, I made an inaccurate assumption that it was them that called, it was the right time of day when the wife was home for lunch. I had asked them previously to tell me if the dogs bark too much and I made an error in judgment and a snap decision and a very big error to call her phone and the words I said were not great. I was upset because I was looking at over an $800 fine and then we, since then, we've tried to keep it under control. Ms. Meves, at the time she visited my house, she said, my recollection of the conversation which may be inaccurate but is my recollection, she said are you aware that you can't have three dogs in the city, I said no, she said, that's okay, it's just a form, we'll get you one. She gave me the sheet of paper with the violation on it. I don't recall ever getting a second sheet of paper and it sounded like she would mail me one. Shortly after that, we had our child and I forgot about everything else because babies are a lot of work. I kept saying, I gotta call, I gotta call, I gotta call and there was always something else. At no time did I ever tell anyone that I did have a permit, to my recollection, I said that I was going to file for one and that's when I had my conversation with Ms. Meves at the door. She said that it's not a big, again, it's not a big deal, you fill out a form, we'll probably, again, this is my recollection of the conversation, just sign it and it'll be no big deal. So, when, again, the neighbors that we don't get along with, brought it up again, I said,

I'm getting a permit, so you can stop bitching about it, those are my words, because we have ongoing disagreements with them, which is one of the reasons why, even if we have no dogs, we are going to put up a fence. As far as my actions today, those were not great either. I severely got a whoopin' from my wife. She said that really doesn't help our cause and yeah, I realize that. That one, however, was a fall out between friends. She, hopefully she'll agree with me when I apologize in a couple days. It wouldn't be the first time either one of us has snapped at one another but I found out that from her, that she was the one that initially called the police last year. I went to her house with good intentions. I had the letters, which my wife and I agreed we would not use for nefarious purposes and that was the reason that we got them, we wanted to know what we were defending ourselves from, other than the barking. I went down there and in her particular letter she stated that we don't take the dogs to the vet. I just wanted to reassure her that they have seen the vet for every year of their life when they have been a part of our family and I have records and they can call. Whoever wants to can call the vet. I said, is this you? She said yes and I felt myself getting angry because I was under the impression that we were friends and that she would come to me first before doing something like that, so I turned around to leave, my children were playing with her daughter, I said, girls, it's time to go. I did say Lyra can come to our house but I don't want you playing here anymore. At that time, she came out of the house and I don't remember everything she said but she was basically yelling at me about how the rules don't apply to you and I said, you know as well as I told you, I didn't realize there was a two dog limit. Anyway, it was at that time she told me that she was the one that called the police and that's when I lost my temper and started cussing at her because, again, I thought we were better friends than that and we go down there, we've been to their graduation parties, we have drinks together. She come and sits on our pool, her daughter, it was just, I felt betrayed and so I lost my temper and back to the other neighbors that came to the house that day, again, just to fill in. I was under the impression that they made the call and they walked up to the door with big smiling grins on their face and I interpreted that terribly the wrong way, I have reached out recently to apologize and they have not returned my text messages. I've been kind of a jerk and I was always under the impression that it was the voice of one neighbor that didn't like us that was creating all of our problems. It wasn't until the Officer Meves sent out the letters and I spoke to her about it that I realized that, hmm, well, it's not just one person, I owe some people some apologies. When I spoke to Ms. Meves, yes, I have a recording of the phone call, I don't know if you wanna hear 'cause it's seven minutes long but I was merely trying to explain my side of the story. Up to that point, it's been, here's the form, here's what the neighbors say, here's your denial, no one had asked me anything about my opinions, no one had asked me what I would do and I was merely trying to convey that to her, even though at that point it was too late to do so and that's what this was for. I apologized after she hung up on me, I called and left a message and explained to her that I wasn't trying to be combative or aggressive, I was merely trying to state my case. I have a recording of that as well. I made some mistakes and we're trying to correct them but it seems like the animosity towards more so me, really, I guess, is kind of hurting the rest of our family and like I said to the Alderman, if it's bark collars and fences is what it takes, that's what we'll do and I have to be a better neighbor. I have to stop jumping to conclusions without proof, so, I don't know really where to end, that's basically my side of the story.

- Questions?

- Well I appreciate you coming forward because one of my big concerns was the language and such with the neighbors. I know you had a child, your family but I think it's still a little hard to--

- Quick, questions.

- Okay, well, we'll talk about that.

- Yep, yep, yep, yep questions right now.

- That's all I have.

- Alright, thank you very much.

- Thank you.

- Motion to close the floor? Motion by Alder VanderLeest.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, okay, the floor is now closed. You care to talk about it now?

- Well, I think, I heard both sides and I understand the dynamics of the case that way but I still have a hard time, ignorance is no excuse. I really feel that Officer Meves did reach out to get that form to them and that they should have answered in a timely fashion, so it's a tough luck story all around. I feel bad all the way around but I feel that rules are rules and ordinances are ordinances and until they change, we need to stick with that, so at this time, I'm leaning that way.

- Any other comments? Well, I'll throw my two cents in. It's our responsibility to maintain orderly neighborhoods, orderly communities and there sounds like there's some disorderliness here and certainly the amount of, the number of letters we've gotten, I think we'd be putting the cart before the horse if we granted that permit at this time. I think, perhaps, the dog could go on a short vacation to a friend or relatives and perhaps you can mend fences with your neighbors, that has to happen first. There has to be a sense of community with each other and the neighbors have to be accepting, there's just way too many, if it was one or two I could see where it could be other issues and the dogs are just in the middle of it but there's quite a few complaints here and I appreciate attempts but it has to be more than attempts, it's got to get resolved. The barking's got to get resolved. The shock collars got to come or whatever it takes, whatever it takes, that has to happen first and fences mended and I appreciate you coming forward, I think it was good that you spoke. I think it's good that you realize that you need to make up with your neighbors 'cause they are your neighbors and I think that's the key point to me, it's not so much that you didn't know about the ordinance, that happens, I'm not all worked up about that and I think if it had not been for the other issues, it would not be an issue now but that there is this problem between you and

your neighbors that has to ger resolved first. It's unfortunate that the dog needs to find another home, hopefully it's temporary, I know you mentioned the two other dogs are old and maybe one would go down and you don't need our permission to bring the other one back but I should hope, whatever happens, that you and your neighbors can make it up and you can all get along and have a great barbecue together and the dogs can run around in everybody's yard and play and that's what we try to promote. That's what our job is, that's what our responsibility is, is to do what we can to make for good neighbors, an orderly neighborhood, so, I also don't support at this time granting that third permit. So I'll take a motion.

- Motion to deny the appeal.

- Deny then, the appeal, by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, okay, that carries unanimously, before you go, I should have told everyone at the beginning of this, the committee just, what we do here is just a recommendation to the full council. Ours is not the final say. The council has the final say, which meets the 19th, at 6:00 in the room next door here, so, you can also appeal to the entire council if you want, if you think you might get somewhere with the entire council or this is it, so, with that, we'll move on to--

- Number two.

- Number two. Consideration of possible action on a request by Walmart Stores East LP at 2250 West Mason Street to amend their liquor license to include stalls and/or canopy locations in parking lots specifically designated for online grocery pickup, staff?

- The law department would recommend holding this request. This request to amend the license premise would allow what is called looking collect alcohol sales. Our current ordinances don't have any provisions that adequately regulate this type of activity to ensure compliance with state liquor laws. Accordingly, staff is working on preparing a draft ordinance to present to the committee for approval. There are other municipalities that have ordinances like this in place. I believe De Pere is actually in the process of drafting one up, so we can expect to have this on the agenda for the next P & W meeting in July and if everything goes according to schedule, it should approved in August and then we can bring this item back at that time, once the ordinance is in place.

- Motion to hold.

- Motion to hold.

- Motion to hold by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest. Any discussion on this? Everybody wants to hold it? Let's all vote.

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, okay, we're holding that item.

- Alright. Okay, we're good.

- Item number three, consideration with possible action on a change of agent for Shopko Stores Operating Company LLC at 2430 East Mason Street, staff?

- Our law department has no objections.

- The law department has no objections?

- The law department has no objections.

- PD? No, you concur. Okay.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder VanderLeest.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, that passes unanimously.

- Yeah, we're good to go.

- Alright, item number five.

- Four.

- Four, I did move it down, is that Shopko again? Yeah, it is.

- Yes, for a cider license.

- Yeah, renewal application for a class A beverage and class A cider license for Shopko Operating Company LLC at 2430 East Mason Street, pending the approval of the change of agent at June 19th 2018 city council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities, staff?

- The law department has no objections.

- No objections.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Item number five.

- One second.

- Oh, I'm sorry.

- You were doing good.

- You were doing well though, yeah.

- What's in this stuff?

- Just water and vodka.

- We're good to go.

- Okay. Consideration with possible action on an application for a class A beverage, class A cider license by Shopko Stores Operating Company at 2016 South Military Avenue for the 2018-19 license year, staff?

- The law department has no objections.

- No objections.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer, second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That carries unanimously.

- Good to go.

- Okay, item number six, consideration with possible action on a request by Main Street Dreams LLC at 1238 Main Street to extend issuing their liquor license until early July, granted at the February 18th, 2018 meeting, staff?

- This licensee was granted their license back in February, however the license has not been issued as the licensee has not obtained approval from inspections and has not picked up their license from the clerk's office. I believe construction is still going on at the premise, which is why the license hasn't been issued and under our ordinance, an operative or unissued licenses, a license which has been granted, meaning approved by council but hasn't been issued, hasn't received approval from inspection and picked up from the clerk's office and is not in continuous use or has not been in continuous use as indicating an ongoing business for a period in excess of 60 days is presumed invalid and subject to revocation unless otherwise authorized by common council. So, according to the license he is seeking that extension.

- Any problems with extending it?

- It's up to, there's no legal basis to withhold the extension, it's up the discretion of the committee and hearing from the licensee.

- 20 days, has it been?

- I believe he was up, he was approved in February 19th, so 60 days would have been-- Right, so it's been a few, right.

- PD, do you have anything to weigh in on this one?

- No.

- No, okay, is there anyone here to speak on this issue?

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, did you get a chance to fill out the, fill it out when you get a chance, thank you, state your name and address please.

- Chris Swaab, 1375 Dousman, I just ask for more time, the remodeling's taking longer than anticipated and during that time, I found out that I had cancer, I had cancer surgery and I've been laid up for a little while and now I'm back to the remodeling, that's the reason for the request.

- Okay, when do you think you'll be finished, roughly?

- Hoping to go for July 1st, which, the next 30 to 45 days.

- Okay. Any questions? Thanks, I hope you're doing better.

- Yeah, motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer, second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Any discussion? Motion by Alder VanderLeest to grant the extension.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, yep, hopefully the dream can become a reality soon and I have no problem with it, I see no reason not to, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, that carries unanimously.

- I'm sorry, what was the second on that motion?

- Alder Stevens.

- VanderLeest, Stevens.

- Yep. Pretty good one, huh, dream to reality, huh?

- Don't ever change.

- Well, my wife makes me once in awhile.

- Alright, we're good to go.

- Okay, item number seven, consideration with possible action on an application for an available Class B Combination LIcense by Francisco Soto at 1805 North Irwin, currently four available. So, we'd be down to the three then?

- Yes.

- Okay. Staff?

- The law department would actually recommend denial based on the determination that the applicant is a habitual law offender and I believe we do have, the board should have access to the denial memo, if not, I do have hard copies kind of listing what the offenses were, all of which is potentially related to the license activity and in addition, the law department, in it's

review, noticed that the applicant did not state that he had been convicted of any offense, which was not truthful. PD, upon their additional review, have some additional information with respect to that, so I will defer to them for additional info.

- I did not do the business plan, Officer Carlson did and I contacted him, he and his background, he did find the arrest on this and he has no immediate concerns as it was Officer Carlson and our neighborhood has been a good neighborhood business as far as we, the police, our concerned. There is a language barrier with Mr. Soto. I believe it, his interpretation was helpful with his daughter, who's sitting next to him. So, what I did, I also talked to Officer Carlson, it was on his list to ask them about that arrest, again to clarify why it was not put down on the initial application. Officer Carlson stated that he forgot his list at the office, when he went to meet with the Soto's, so, we are partially to blame for not having a clarification on why it was not on, so there are those circumstances as well.

- But they are misdemeanors, there are no felonies? Correct, okay, alright. So, it's strictly that he failed to report it.

- As far as my understanding, yes.

- That's the only reason for--

- That and under the statues, a felony is a bar to receiving a license as is being a habitual law offender, which there is a little bit of subjectivity to that when there are more than two offenses, the law department feels that could be an indication of being a habitual law offender and there was one found a few years ago and then taking that in combination with the fact that it wasn't disclosed on the application, we felt that it needed to be addressed.

- But there is a language barrier that may have played a role?

- Even looking at our prior arrests, there was, the language line needed to be used in that as well.

- Do we have a form that's?

- That's bilingual, I'm not sure. As far as an application?

- That might be something to look into.

- It would be available to us through site, through that but Mr. Soto's daughter was acting as interpreter.

- Interpreter, okay.

- At that time.

- Okay. But you, the officer you spoke to, I'm sorry?

- Carlson?

- Carlson.

- Officer Greg Carlson is the one who helped complete the business plan.

- And he's feeling?

- He looked into it and at that time, as far as the police were concerned, was okay with moving forward.

- Okay. Questions?

- Actually, I know it isn't for Mr. Soto but there's information on Mr. Neil as well, do we have to hold off on that or is that part of this?

- That's a different agenda item.

- Excuse me, alright, nevermind. Alder Scannell, I know you bring up the fact that with misdemeanors versus felonies, I mean, we've talked about that and if there's a pattern, 2001, 2005, pretty far, quite awhile ago, 2016, he got one there. The police seem to feel okay with it, so I'm leaning that way right now, after further discussion.

- I'm okay with it.

- You're okay with it?

- I say give him a chance.

- We don't know why he did not report it but if he had reported this, it's possible, because of the latest one and the history, that might have been still rejected by the law department but there are no felonies and there's only one in Brown County, the latest one, figures it had to be the latest one but I too feel like the PD, most closest to this, working with him, feel confident, so I am pretty good too. So I'll take a motion.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder VanderLeest.

- I second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, any further discussion? All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That passes unanimously. All together now, all together now.

- Alright. We're set.

- Yep, yep, I had to get there. I was looking at the documentation. Number eight, consideration with possible action on an application for a Class B Combination LIcense for

the 2018-2019 license year by Baker and McArthur Inc. at 1605 University Avenue, currently WTF Kim, Inc., staff?

- The law department has no objections.

- No objections.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve from Alder VanderLeest.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens. Ah, takes me back to my high school days there. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That carries unanimously.

- Alright, we are good.

- Okay, item number nine, consideration with possible action on an application for a Class B Combination LIcense by RNK Neil, LLC at 708 Bodart Street for the 2018-19 license year, currently McNeil's GB LLC., staff?

- The law department recommends denial of this appeal based on the determination that the applicant is a habitual law offender for offenses that substantially relate to the license activity and he did not fully disclose those offenses in the application. Most notably, his most recent offense was from April of 2018, allowing underage persons to enter a licensed premise and that incident resulted in two underage persons being assaulted by other patrons in the establishment.

- Could you read that, there was an altercation following that?

- Yes, there were two, the two underage individuals that were let into the bar were allegedly assaulted in the bar itself.

- Okay.

- Injuries?

- None were noted in the report and I don't believe any citations were issues beyond underage drinking to the two individuals that were let in and then the allowing of underage persons into the establishment itself.

- PD is in concurrence with the law department.

- Make a motion to open the floor if someone is here.

- Is there anybody here on this? Okay, motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed, the floor is now open. Did you get a chance to fill out the form?

- No, I did not.

- Will you fill it out when you get a chance there and your name and address?

- Hi, my name is Recordo Neil. My address is 708, no, that's the business address, 2263 Samantha Street, De Pere, Wisconsin.

- Okay.

- In relations to the problems that we were just talking about, that night I was tending bar. I am one of the owners of this establishment and my bartender called off and one was sick so I was bartending but I also had two bouncers and I had a bouncer at each door that night and I observed the girls walked in through the back door and I called my bouncers and I asked them to check those people, so I saw when he went over there, he checked the girls and he said they're good. I did not know that one of the girls was related to him. So, I served them and the girl that got into the fight, even asked me to hold her purse behind the bar because it was busy, so I had her purse over the bar and then I saw, the mood changed and I went over there and I had a talk with her and she said, I'm okay, I won't cause no problems and the next thing I know, she was having someone on the dance floor pulling her by the hair, so I went over there and I kicked her out. I was not aware that she was underage because I had people to check the IDs at the door and maintain that for me but I still kept an eye open. I called the cops because she came into my face, so I'm the one who called the police to come and handle the situation and I'm not sure if it was, were you present at that? So yeah, they came and the officer came back into the room and said, this is a really shitty situation, I'm sorry that it has to be this way but those girls are not of age and I said, what? I did not know that. They did give me a citation and I fired my bouncer on the spot. I have zero tolerance for that. I am from Jamaica, been in this country for eight years and I've bar tended, I've been bartending for at least four years out of it because I knew I wanted to own a bar but I had no experience on what it's like here, so I worked in various bars and guest bar tends at different establishment for the experience. Never once I've had any problems serving underagers or minors. My employers have always trusted me to regulate my environment that I'm in and I try to do so with the bar that I had. I've had some problems prior to that, back in the days when it was because of my partner. I had a business partner that wasn't honest with me and everything that he wanted the bar to be, so, which is the reason why I'm taking over solely by myself and so far I've cleaned up the environment, I've tried to do everything that I can working with the police department to regulate and create a safe and fun atmosphere for my customers to be in, I just want to run a community tavern, make some money to take care of me and my family. I have no malicious intentions and like I say, I've talked to Officer--

- Strider.

- Strider, over the months of the changes and I've been in cooperation with the police department ever since, I try to make the best decision possible to cooperate with the city laws and ordinances.

- Questions? Okay, thank you, anybody else here to speak on this?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, okay, we're now closed. So he has been working with the PD?

- Yes, over the past, how long have you had the license from the very beginning?

- Two years.

- And he will admit too, probably the first six months to a year, they were not smooth at all but he's been making efforts to clean it up, yeah, is it where we want it to be, no, but a lot of bars aren't.

- Right.

- Did he make an effort, yes. He has welcomed us in his bar, said we're always welcome. nothing seemed malicious but I think it's an ongoing problem but are the police calls less there this year than the first year, definitely. Is there still issues that need to be talked about, yes, as far as the stuff that was missed on the application, I guess I don't have any knowledge of that, why it was not written down or anything like that.

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, okay, please step up.

- A lot of those things are whatever was missed on the application, I still struggle with keeping track of what was convicted or what wasn't and I didn't think, for example, like traffic violations or me and my wife here have had a few issues in the past due to how life were for

us, it wasn't the smoothest and we have a couple young kids and we had just gotten married, the financial situation is not there to where we want it be, so it didn't met and it got a little bit out of hand sometimes. I put, to my best knowledge, I put everything that I remember at that time on the paper, I didn't ask for a record pull or anything to see what was on there because when I talked to the police department or some of the officers that were there, it wasn't a recommendation, so I wrote down, to the best of my knowledge, what I have been, the law violations that I've had. I wasn't trying to be shady or hiding anything, I'm a pretty straightforward and open person. I don't deny, I take responsibility for my actions, regardless of the circumstances or the consequences. So I just wanted to put that out there and hopefully you guys understand and give me a second chance to make it right. Thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest. Gentlemen, what are your thoughts?

- I have a question for the police.

- Okay.

- You were saying that the calls had been less, they've been progressively going less and less each year and this over like the last three years, two years?

- Two and a half.

- Two and a half, yes. So, I guess I wanted to ask what maybe some of the calls, 'cause they're not listed here, as far what they may have been, just disturbances or?

- I used to be a night shift lieutenant up until last week so I was over on Bodart Street quite a bit when a lot of these calls were going on, not the one with the conviction or the underage. All along we've had a lot of fights, a lot of loud music, at bar close, people would kind of spill out onto the sidewalk and there'd be just quite a few disturbances left and right, our officers, on a Friday or Saturday night back in January and February, we knew about 2:00 in the morning, we'd go to Badart Street and we'd just hang out there because we knew what was gonna happen and even with us there, there were plenty of times we're there arresting one person and there would be another fight that would break out because people were spilling out of the bar. I can tell you, it has cleaned up in the past couple months. I don't remember us being there more than any other bar in Green Bay, where we're not sitting there anymore on a Friday or Saturday night, where earlier this year, yes, we devoted our resources, pretty much Bodart Street was where our downtown officers would be every Friday and Saturday night, right around bar close.

- That is a little concerning, I mean, if you have to go down there and just wait, knowing that's something that's gonna happen. To me, that's a little bit of a concern.

- Okay, but not anymore, that was and was it, I know there's been other issues on Bodart with other bars, is that?

- We do have problems with some of the other bars on Bodart Street as well. McNeil's was part of it but yes, we do have problems with other bars on Bodart Street as well but at the time, it seemed like the problems were coming out of McNeil's that we were dealing with.

- My thoughts are, we give them another chance here to keep his business going and if he's making improvements and if he moves forward on it, I don't see any problem for him the next, when he applies again. I would say give him a chance and see how it goes.

- You concur?

- I concur.

- Alder Steuer, thinking?

- Part of me wants to do that, I still have some concerns as far as what the police were saying, at this time, I would deny, myself.

- Okay, well, I think because he is working with the police and he has made improvements, I just hope that that keeps going and I don't have a problem with supporting his appeal. As long as things are going in the right direction and I think the important thing, there's gonna be problems, I think to have an owner that's working with the police, trying to make it better, that speaks a lot to me. That's what we want with our owners and we even, in this instance, he's the one who called the police, you know, which is what we want. We don't want things going unreported, so I think it is cooperating with the police and that things have gotten better, I think that I can give him another chance. So, that's where I stand, so, shall we vote? I suppose we better make this--

- Roll call vote?

- Roll call vote.

- Start the motion.

- I'll take a motion.

- Motion to grant the request.

- Motion to approve, grant the request.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens.

- Alright, I will start the vote. So there's a motion to approve and the vote has started.

- Come on, oh, what am I doing? I got to stop using my computer at home.

- Okay. That item passed, three to one.

- And like I've said before, all this is just a recommendation to the council, they'll have the final say, the 19/6 meeting, okay?

- Yep, we can move on.

- Item number 10, consideration with possible action on an application for a Class B Combination LIcense by SV2 LLC at 1911 University Avenue for the 2018-19 license year, currently Shannon's Haystack, LLC, staff?

- The law department has no objections.

- No objections.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, that passes all together now, all together now. Okay, number 11, consideration with possible action on an application for a Class B Combination LIcense by Quilts and Beer, sorry, that's a great combination there, LLC at 500 North Baird Street for the 2018-19 license year, Percley, ACB, LLC, staff?

- The law department has no objections.

- No objections.

- Is there anybody here for this?

- Motion to open the floor, I just wanna hear what--

- Yeah Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer, second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, okay, the floor's now open, if you wouldn't mind, say your name and address and filling out one of the forms there

- We just need to be informed.

- I gotta be informed, this is just too sweet to miss.

- My name is Jeff Connor, C-O-N-N-O-R, I live at 1733 Harold Street. My partner in the business does quilting on the side.

- Not quilting at the, not like a quilting club?

- No, I mean, he resells them, I guess, maybe that would be something, it was just something we came up with, so.

- Okay, okay.

- It's catchy.

- Yep, it is, very unique, thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, okay, we got the floor closed, gentlemen, I'll take a motion.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, that passes unanimously.

- Okay, we're good to go.

- Item number 12, consideration with possible action on an appeal by Ricky Atkinson regarding the denial of his public vehicle operator license, staff?

- The law department recommends denial of this appeal. The appellate has pending charges that substantially relate to the license activity in pursuant to our ordinance, an applicant can be denied for pending charges that substantially relate.

- We don't have?

- Where are the arrest records/

- It's on the second side, it's a double sided copy.

- What did I do with mine, we each had one.

- Here, that's yours.

- Alright.

- Just for provocation, battery with domestic abuse modifier, the word modifier, what does it mean?

- It just means that it was a battery involving a domestic violence, am I saying that correctly? If it involves a domestic disturbance than it's a battery, you add a domestic violence modifier to make it a domestic violence battery versus just a regular battery.

- And PD?

- We concur with the law department.

- Is somebody here?

- [Rick] I am.

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, okay, the floor is now open. If you'd please come up, state your name and address and if you do get a chance to fill out one of the forms.

- Yes, I did.

- Okay, good.

- My name's Rick Atkinson, 901 North Webster. When this had happened, my girlfriend had been drinking and I was at work driving a cab and I came home and I didn't really know what was going on and she said it happened a few days before, on that day she had called the police and she was drinking at the time again and it's just been an ongoing situation. She has a mental illness, she's bipolar and when she starts mixing pills and alcohol together, it becomes a problem and I have no felony convictions, I have no history of violence. I've been

driving a cab for several years now and my pretrial's going up so I don't want to get into too much on that, like I said, I only drive three days a week 'cause I have a bad back, so I don't really drive that often.

- Questions?

- Well, you said you really can't speak a whole lot on the matter 'cause it's pending trial.

- Right.

- It was one incident?

- Yes.

- I don't know if there's anything you can lend to us, that'd be great, otherwise, you did mention that she was bipolar, mixing, this has happened in the past?

- The police have been to the house numerous times and they have taken her a few times but they have never taken me on anything. So, she has a history, like I said.

- So there was a altercation of some sort?

- There wasn't really an altercation either, I had just said to her, because of what she did again, I said, something's gotta happen because I'm getting kind of worried about her and I've been worried about her 'cause of her mixing the pills, she's trying to commit suicide again, which is an ongoing thing with her and all I said to her was that this has to stop, one of us has to leave, I can't handle the stress anymore and then she got upset and that's all there was, there was nothing else, two days later is when she called the police and she was drinking that day, so she kind of added more things to it than what actually happened.

- She called the police on you?

- And I was gone at work at the time she called.

- Oh, so there was no reason for that, I suppose, in your mind's eye?

- Yeah.

- That's all I have right now.

- How long have you been together?

- About 15 years and she had the no-contact order lifted and we live together, I have a copy of that right here.

- 15 years and this is the first?

- Yeah.

- You're still together?

- Yeah.

- Okay.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Thank you, yep, motion by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, alright, gentlemen, what are your wishes?

- Alder Stevens can go first, go ahead Mr. Stevens.

- What do you think, how do you feel?

- I'm okay with it. I think give him a chance here.

- Yep, I think, we don't know how this is gonna play out in the court, it is a misdemeanor either way and to deprive a man of his livelihood, even though it's part time, I would hate, I don't see how that makes things any better and they've been together 15 years, this is the first incident of this, we don't know if she is indeed bipolar, we're only getting one side of the story here, I have no reason to doubt him. This will all get played out in court and if any consequences he needs to face, he'll face there, I don't see why we need to add depriving him of his livelihood at the same time on top of that.

- I'm okay with this one.

- Okay, motion to?

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? We are unanimous again.

- Good luck.

- Thank you.

- Alright, we are good to move on.

- Okay, oh, that was silly of me. Item, I jumped to the reports, I should read the item first. Item 13, renewal applications for liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2018/19 license year, staff?

- The law department has no objections.

- No objections.

- Could i just ask a question?

- Certainly.

- I'm just wondering how many licenses we're looking at, it just looks like three.

- Three.

- How many are open? Including the one we granted, I believe three.

- And how many do we have left right now?

- Three.

- Three, that's it. Okay, I'm fine, thank you.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder VanderLeest.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, it's unanimous.

- Alright, yep.

- Okay, we are into the informational. Report from the Water Lead Services Department regarding the Lead Pipe Removal Project? I don't know if there's really, I don't know if anybody really needs to be here actually.

- The report is in the packet.

- It's in the packet, yeah.

- And previously, I think the committee said that the report would suffice, and if there are any questions than we could ask the director to attend at the next meeting.

- Will she be at council? Just in case or not? If we receive and place on file, which I was gonna make the motion.

- Quick question also, Randy, when you're done.

- Okay, yeah, go ahead with your question.

- I was just gonna ask you, how did the money hold out as far as we had some state money and we had some Packer money.

- Federal money.

- Do we still have any money left?

- That I do not know. That would be a question for--

- That would be nice to know and we go and bring that to council.

- I believe we just, there was just something in an email not long ago, it's a little vague but I think we just got some more money.

- I think they've done a lot of good work, as far as--

- We've got that information.

- Getting the job done.

- Sure.

- If you look on Chonnell Avenue, all the cuts they made on the street there, puli all them pipes out. They did a pretty good job and I think they're following up. See, a lot of people don't want them in their house, stuff like that, to see even if they got lead pipes, some confusion on that part, they're not trying to do anything as far as them trying to improve the situation, so that's some of the problem too and some people won't admit they got lead pipes, I don't know why, 'cause I was on the Improvement Services Committee and we had some issues like that, the people were not letting people in to check in.

- They feel threatened.

- Who knows?

- I think they've done pretty well.

- By the report here, they're making progress, so.

- That's something we could ask them at another time so I make a motion to receive and place on file.

- Motion to receive and place on file by Alder Steuer, second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? We have received it and placed it on file unanimously.

- Okay. Alright, we are good, the last item?

- Okay, two, liquor violation report from the police department for June 11th, 2018. PD?

- Okay, the liquor law violation reported is pretty much the Catch-22 Bar on Abram Street in East Mason. Over the past couple weeks, starting before the Memorial Day weekend, the owner is trying to create an outdoor beer garden area and with that, she got the approval for the outdoor beer garden but there was some stipulations that there had to be a six foot tall fence. They can't pass alcoholic beverages in and out. There was also one about where she had placed her speakers in a residential neighborhood, to I guess, play nice with the neighbors, where the music wasn't very loud. One of our officers was driving by, her outdoor beer garden consisted of two cinder blocks with a two by four approximately, 24 inches, two feet off the ground. That was the fence that she erected that she said was approved for outdoor beer garden. Our officer made contact with her 'cause he saw her and some other patrons sitting outside. When he went up to talk to her, they immediately went back inside the bar. He went in to talk to her, while he was there he got sent to another emergent call so he advised the owner that he would be back and talk to her later. When he went back to talk to her later, she denied even being outside and then she said they weren't drinking anything outside. The speaker she did agree to turn down, he addressed that. The officer advised her that she'd be receiving some citations in the mail. I know since it was a Memorial Day weekend when this was happening, she asked if she could put up a snow fence. At the time, Captain Florence, who was in charge of that district was waiting for a legal opinion. He talked to her on the phone before he got the legal opinion. He said, okay, for now, we could do it but then he request Office Van Arm, who was a district community police officer, once he got the legal opinion to go and tell her no, that wasn't allowed. She wasn't happy with that, she was very verbally abusive to Office Van Arm, previously Officer Gallen was the one that saw her outside, she was also swearing at them. She does not want police inside her bar. Whenever we go there, we ask to go inside, she directs the officers, no, you will stay outside, she does not want us inside her bar, which is a violation. Officer Van Arm then went back a couple days later to talk to Cindy because once again, he saw he two by fours with the cinder blocks, he wanted to discuss it with her, she made accusations that the police department is targeting her and going after her. Office Van Arm tried going inside the bar, she pushed the door shut on his face, wouldn't let him inside the bar. She was subsequently arrested for that. With that, I just found out the district attorney is referring that back to a municipal charge for the resisting arrest but she continued with her little outdoor beer garden, with the two by fours, saying that that's what was approved, even though when she went before and I apologize, whatever committee approves that, she had rendering a beautiful brick garden.

- It was gonna be beautiful, I remember.

- It's cinder block and a two by four is what's out there right now. With that, she continues, I've had numerous phone conversations with her, I've literally had to hang up the phone with her because she repeatedly tells me and the police department that we could go F off, so, with that, when she gets to that, there's no logic or you can't reason with her, so I will just hang up with her and then talk to her later. So, with that, we do have a problem, we will be willing to work with her but it seems she thinks that we're out to get her and it deals with that stuff, once again, when I worked night shift way back in February with her and the former lease owner on the bar, she's claiming burglary even though we tried telling her it's several issues and she gets mad. She's mad at the police department 'cause we won't charge up with burglary.

- Okay.

- Thank you. It's kind of amazing, 'cause I just remember when she came forward, we listened and it sounded they were gonna do the things accordingly, change the speakers and be friendly with the neighbors but the whole thing with the fencing, I mean, that doesn't seem like any kind of fence. I'm trying to think what was approved, it was something more substantial.

- Yeah, she had her mason, she had the man who was going to be making it with her at the committee.

- I honestly don't know, like I said, I apologize, I just stepped into the position with this last Monday but I mean, I even drove on past it on my way to work on my very last night shift and I looked at it and I kind of chuckled to myself because I'm like, it's, to me, not even a fence, it's more of a nuisance that you would trip over, you can't stop anything from happening.

- Is there a chance that, I mean, with something like this and she has a court case now on this?

- I believe she is meeting with the city attorney tomorrow for a pretrial. Another thing I was talking to her about her personnel matter, 'cause she wanted to file a complaint. I have asked for the video. I believe that was last Tuesday. She informed me that there won't be any video of the incident, which is a violation of her stipulations which will be also addressed.

- Is there a chance, is there anything else, I mean you could lose your license over something like this, I would think. Alright, well, okay, that's all I have, thank you.

- Motion to receive and place on file.

- Thanks for the update, this will be good to know. We'll see what happens, we've got your back

- Thank you.

- Motion by Alder VanderLeest, second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That carries unanimously. Alder Gorf has joined us and Alder Johnson is also here, for the whole thing, such stamina.

- This is fun.

- Public hearings, we're not there, so I will take a motion to adjourn.

- So moved.

- Motion by Alder Steuer, second by Alder VanderLeest, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? We're adjourned.

- Alders, just remember to leave the meeting.

- Yeah, I wasn't gonna spend the night here.

- You know what I mean.