



MINUTES OF THE PROTECTION AND WELFARE COMMITTEE

**MONDAY, JULY 9, 2018, 5:30 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Mark Steuer (until agenda Item #4), John Vander Leest,
Excused: Mark Steuer at 6 p.m.

Also Present: Deputy City Attorney Joanne Bungert, Lt. Steve Mahoney, Alderperson Barb Dorff,
Alderperson Brian Johnson, Chief of Staff Celestine Jeffreys

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

I. Approval of the agenda for the July 9, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Mark Steuer to approve the agenda.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Mark Steuer to amend agenda to have agenda item #23 moved to #1. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Mark Steuer to approve as amended the agenda for the July 9, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes for the June 11, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the minutes for the June 11, 2018 Protection & Welfare meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action an appeal by Lisa Trotter, objecting to the decision that her dog was declared dangerous.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to hold an appeal by Lisa Trotter, objecting to the decision that her dog was declared dangerous. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to reconsider the appeal by Lisa Trotter, objecting to the decision that her dog was declared dangerous. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to hold an appeal by Lisa Trotter, objecting to the decision that her dog was declared dangerous. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. A request by Ald. Wery to review, with possible action, the ongoing illegal keeping of five dogs at 1271 Hickory Hill.

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to hold a request by Ald. Wery to review, with possible action, the ongoing illegal keeping of five dogs at 1271 Hickory Hill. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to reconsider a request by Ald. Wery to review, with possible action, the ongoing illegal keeping of five dogs at 1271 Hickory Hill. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to receive and place on file a request by Ald. Wery to review, with possible action, the ongoing illegal keeping of five dogs at 1271 Hickory Hill. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

3. For consideration with possible action regarding General Ordinance No. 17-18 creating a section 33.08(7)(I), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to online ordering and curbside pickup of alcohol beverages.

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to amend the ordinance by striking section 5.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Mark Steuer to amend the ordinance by striking section 5 and replacing with language consistent with the hours of operation set forth in our ordinance. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on a request by Green Bay Sports Service, Inc. at 1265 Lombardi Ave. to amend their liquor license to include an additional plaza area in front of the Johnsonville Tailgate Village, previously discussed at the May 22 P&W Meeting.

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson Mark Steuer to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to go close floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer , seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to recommend that Council waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor licensed premise and to approve the proposed outdoor premise as presented by Green Bay Sports Service Inc. at 1265 Lombardi Ave., which includes an additional plaza area in front of Johnsonville Tailgate Village (subject to 2/3 votes at Council and with the approval of the proper authorities). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on a request by Delaware North at 1265 Lombardi Ave to hold a special event July 26-29, 2018.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to go close floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve a request by Delaware North at 1265 Lombardi Ave to hold a special event July 26-29, 2018, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on a request by Richard Craniums to hold an outdoor event on July 28 & 29.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve a request by Richard Craniums to hold an outdoor event on July 28 & 29, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on a request by Brewski's On Broadway to hold an outdoor event on Aug. 4, 2018.

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve a request by Brewski's On Broadway to hold an outdoor event on Aug. 4, 2018, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on a request by Brewski's On Broadway to hold an outdoor event on Aug. 25 and Sept. 15.

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve a request by Brewski's On Broadway to hold an outdoor event on Aug. 25 and Sept. 15, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

9. Consideration with possible action by Anduzzi's at 900 Kepler Dr. to hold an outdoor event July 26-July 29 with music on Friday and Saturday until 11 p.m.

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve a request by Anduzzi's at 900 Kepler Dr. to hold an outdoor event July 26-July 29 with music on Friday and Saturday until 11 p.m., subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

10. Consideration with possible action on a request by ZZQ at 1035 Vanderbraak St. to have an outdoor event July 27 and July 28.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to go close floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve a request by ZZQ at 1035 Vandraak St. to have an outdoor event July 27 and July 28. Motion carried. Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to amend the request to the dates of July 27, 28, 29. Motion carried. Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve as amended the request by ZZQ at 1035 Vanderbraak St. to have an outdoor event July 27, July 28 and July 29, subject to complaint. Motion carried. Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

11. Consideration with possible action on a request by Soriah Hospitality LLC at 1139 Main St. to amend their liquor license to extend to the adjoining building (currently used as Al's Upholstery) and include an outdoor seating area.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to go close floor for discussion. Motion carried. Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve a request by Soriah Hospitality LLC at 1139 Main St. to amend their liquor license to extend to the adjoining building (currently used as Al's Upholstery) and include an outdoor seating area, with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried. Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

12. Consideration with possible action on a renewal application by Karaoke Mexican Restaurant, LLC at 418 S. Military Ave. (Filed with Clerk's Office June 7, 2018).

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve a renewal application by Karaoke Mexican Restaurant, LLC at 418 S. Military Ave. (Filed with Clerk's Office June 7, 2018), with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried. Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

13. Consideration with possible action on a "Class B" Combination renewal application for Los Banditos East at 1258 Main St. (filed with Clerk's Office June 26, 2018).

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to go close floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to hold for 60 days a "Class B" Combination renewal application for Los Banditos East at 1258 Main St. (filed with Clerk's Office June 26, 2018). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

14. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class A" Liquor License by Kwik Trip, Inc. at 935 Lombardi Ave. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider License).

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to grant a "Class A" Liquor License to Kwik Trip, Inc. at 935 Lombardi Ave. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider License), Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

15. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class A" Liquor License by Kwik Trip, Inc. at 515 W. Walnut St. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider License).

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to go close floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Randy Scannell, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to deny an application for a "Class A" Liquor License by Kwik Trip, Inc. at 515 W. Walnut St. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider License).

Yes- John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- Craig Stevens Abstain- None

16. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class A" Liquor License by Kwik Trip, Inc. at 1712 E. Mason St. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider License).

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to grant "Class A" Liquor License to Kwik Trip, Inc. at 1712 E. Mason St. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider License), with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

17. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class A" Liquor License by Kwik Trip, Inc. at 1871 Shawano Ave. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider License).

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to grant a "Class A" Liquor License to Kwik Trip, Inc. at 1871 Shawano Ave. (Currently has a Class "A" Beverage and "Class A" Cider License), with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

18. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class B" (wine only) license by Badger State Brewing Company LLC at 990 Tony Canadeo Dr.

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to grant a "Class B" (wine only) license by Badger State Brewing Company LLC at 990 Tony Canadeo Dr., with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

19. Consideration with possible action on an available "Class B" Combination License by the Booyah Shed LLC at 1800 S. Ashland Ave.

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to grant an available "Class B" Combination License by the Booyah Shed LLC at 1800 S. Ashland Ave., with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

20. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Ian Geurts in regards to the denial of his Operator's License.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to go close floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to go close floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Randy Scannell to approve an

appeal by Ian Geurts in regards to the denial of his Operator's License. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

21. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Vongilay Khounanan to the denial of her Operator's License.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to go close floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Alderperson Craig Stevens, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to approve an appeal by Vongilay Khounanan to the denial of her Operator's License. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

22. Consideration with possible action on a request by Ald. Wery that all City campaign finance reports shall be accessible on city website for duration of elected official's term and five years after leaving office. Records are to be permanently kept (electronically) and available upon request.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to refer to Clerk staff a request by Ald. Wery that all City campaign finance reports shall be accessible on city website for duration of elected official's term and five years after leaving office. Records are to be permanently kept (electronically) and available upon request. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

23. A request by Ald. Wery, which reads: "Due to constitutional concerns, conduct a complete review with possible action of changing or removing the City's Code of Conduct & Ethics Ordinances."

Moved by Alderperson Mark Steuer, seconded by Alderperson John Vander Leest to hold until next month the request by Ald. Wery, which reads: "Due to constitutional concerns, conduct a complete review with possible action of changing or removing the City's Code of Conduct & Ethics Ordinances." Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

I. Liquor Violation Reports from the Police Department for July 9, 2018.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Reports from the Police Department for July 9, 2018. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

F. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Alderperson John Vander Leest, seconded by Alderperson Craig Stevens to adjourn. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John Vander Leest, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Meeting adjourned at 6:58 p.m.

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Okay.
- We're set to go? Okay, the Protection and Policy Committee Meeting for July 9th. It is 5:30. Protection and Policy will now come to order. Roll call. Alder Stevens.
- Here.
- Alder Vander Leest.
- Here.
- Alder Steuer.
- Here.
- And myself, Alder Scannell, all are present.
- Okay.
- Okay. I think approval of agenda.
- Motion approved.
- Motion approved by Alder Vander Leest.
- Second--
- Seconded by Alder Stevens.

- With caveats.
- Well I'll do the amendment after we do this.
- I'll second.
- Okay, so all approval of the agenda. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Okay, I make a motion that we move Item 23 up to the first item.
- Second.
- Motion by myself, second by Alder Steuer. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay that passes unanimously. I'll take a motion to approve the amended agenda.
- One second, I'm sorry.
- Oh okay.
- Is that the logical...
- We can fight over who's gonna approve it.
- Alright.
- Good?
- Approval of minutes, yes.
- We've got to approve the amended agenda, that's what we're doing.
- Oh, I thought that that--
- We approved the agenda then we made a motion to--
- Motion, oh and that vote has to--
- That's what we're doing right now.
- Okay.
- Change that item and then approval? Or do you have to?
- No, so now a motion to approve the amended agenda.
- So moved.
- That's Alder Vander Leest. Seconded by Alder Steuer. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, now the amended agenda is approved. Let me know when we go on to the minutes.

- Okay. You're good for the minutes.
- Okay, motion to approve the minutes for June 11th, 2018 Protection and Policy Meeting.
- Motion approve.
- Motion is approved by Alder Vander Leest. Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? The minutes pass unanimously.
- Alright. So we are taking on Item 23.
- We are taking on Item 23. A request by Alder Wery which reads, "Due to constitutional concerns, "conduct a complete review with possible action "of changing or removing the City's Code "of Conduct and Ethics Ordinances." Alder Wery cannot be here, he's on vacay. So I will take a motion to hold this item.
- So moved.
- Motion by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, we will hold that item 'til next month. We are now back at the beginning.
- Okay, back to Item 1.
- When you're ready.
- Okay, yeah, we're ready.
- Okay. "Consideration with possible action on appeal "by Lisa Trotter objecting to the decision "that her dog was declared dangerous." Staff, do we have Officer Meves?
- It does not appear that Officer Meves is here. We would have to hold this item 'til the next meeting.
- Does someone need to speak?
- Do we know is she coming at all, don't we have any--
- My understanding was she was supposed to be here. I'm not sure if--
- Is there anybody here for this item?
- I make a motion of hold 'til our next meeting.
- Well, why don't we... Hold it 'til-- They could still-- No, let's hold it 'til our next meeting. We can always change if they show up. Motion to hold?
- Second.
- By Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?

- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, that item will be held for our next meeting.
- Alright, you're on to the next one.
- On to the next one, "Request by Alder Wery "to review, with possible action, "the ongoing illegal keeping of five dogs "at 1271 Hickory Hill." Again, Officer Meves isn't here. Is there anyone here for this item? No, this is weird.
- Motion to hold 'til next meeting.
- Motion to hold-- Motion to hold 'til next meeting by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens.
- All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? It's on to our next meeting. Why don't we just hold everything?
- Alright, here we go. Okay, we're good for the next item.
- Okay, "For consideration with possible action "regarding General Ordinance No. 17-18 "creating a section 33.08, Green Bay Municipal Code, "relating to online ordering and curbside pickup "of alcohol beverages." Staff?
- So as previously discussed staff has prepared an ordinance to regulate alcohol sales done via online ordering and curbside pickup. The provisions will ensure that retailers will adhere to the requirements under state statutes regarding alcohol sales, and we based it off of the Madison ordinance.
- Yeah, by all means have a--
- I was just gonna ask--
- Mm-hm?
- Was there something that came forward on this? Or was it just a, you know...
- We were getting a lot of requests. It's becoming kind of a more popular option with Class A retailers, and--
- Explain that just--
- --Based on research and other opinions that we received from the league, it's not something that's contemplated under state statutes or under our ordinances. But because of the fact that it's not... It could not be a face to face sale, which state statutes require that it be a face to face sale, it was beneficial to our municipality to ensure that these provisions were being followed.
- Right, I'm guessing it must be going on in other places?
- Yes, yes, yes.
- Yeah.
- So there are other municipalities. I believe De Pere is working on adopting one as well. An ordinance, that is, to regulate this type of activity.

- Okay, thanks. That's all.
- Questions by anybody who wants?
- Are we gonna hold it for until they have the ordinance drafted or...?
- It's drafted already. It's in the packet.
- This is it.
- So this is--?
- This is it.
- Yeah, this is our--
- Mm-hm, correct.
- Okay.
- So any questions on the ordinance? I think we need to change Protection and Welfare to Protection and Policy Committee?
- We do, except that ordinance hasn't been adopted yet. That change hasn't been adopted. It's still-- Right.
- What
- See that's why-- See you learned something new.
- So the recommendation was passed, but the change has not happened yet.
- So it really hasn't taken effect yet.
- I did; I jumped the gun.
- Mm-hm.
- Okay.
- So once that passes then it will be changing.
- Alright.
- It'll get around.
- Then I do have a couple of questions, and I don't know since this is based off Madison if we have good answers for them. But I'm curious as to...
- Can we revisit it?
- We can...
- Item 9: "No events other than the delivery "of the click and collect order shall be allowed "on the expanded premises." So if there is a bar that's doing click and collect, which I'm assuming they can do, correct?
- Let me pull it up for you.

- This is any establishment that sells alcohol so assuming--
- I thought I had a copy of it, but I didn't. I'm pulling it up right now. So that was Item number, or Section number nine?
- Okay, yeah.
- The license-- Okay, if I'm looking at this in the section you are. "The license operator shall report to his referred manager"?
- No, it's nine, "Knowing that's-- Other than the--"
- Oh.
- Yes, just number nine. There's no subsections to it or anything. It's just number 9, page 3.
- "No events other than the--" Yeah, but I'm looking at a different one. I think it must have been changed. Yeah, then this is an old one. That's why. Okay, I was looking at an old version. That's why there's a confusion. So, "No events other than the delivery of click and collect "shall be allowed in the expanded premises." Yes, so the purpose of this is if a premise is licensed. Its sole license to perform any lawful activity under that license. So if a parking lot is part of your licensed premise, you could be serving alcohol or selling alcohol-- Well, selling not serving. At a 'Class A' establishment in the parking lot. So we wanna prevent that.
- From--
- But if they, like--
- I mean we have had establishments come in for a special permit--
- Right, and that's a one day thing.
- And that--
- This would be for all time. This would be a permanent thing. They could do that whenever they want.
- So that's what I wanted checked. That's not-- Okay.
- They wanna advert-- Yeah, yeah.
- Okay.
- So if they do wanna hold an outdoor event, like a one-day outdoor event, they would be able to come in and ask permission for that like one day expansion. But this would be-- If it's a permanent part of their licensed premises. That's how it is.
- Yeah, that's what I wanted to double check.
- Okay.
- Also the hours...
- Mm-hm.
- Number 5: "Pick up of click and collect orders shall be between the hours of 8 am and 8 pm."
- That might be on--
- Those seem weird hours to me.

- Right. I think we were going to remove that provision.
- Yeah, because do we really care what hours?
- Well, there are hour restrictions for when--
- I mean, but then the--
- Right, I think that we could remove that provision. It was part of the Madison's template, and I think that had a lot to do with their being a very large university within the limits of Madison. And to prevent straw sales from occurring, and they also have restrictions as-- Much stricter restrictions as far as when alcohol can be sold. I believe it gets cut off a lot earlier than it does here, which is also related to preventing underage students from purchasing alcohol. So I think that's a remnant of that, but we can certainly remove that and then bring that back.
- Okay, well I wanna--
- Or you improve over that change.
- On that particular one?
- Well, not on--
- Okay, well hang on-- Let's just take care of Item 5. I make a motion that Item 5 be stricken from the ordinance. That we don't need to have the hours. They would be the same hours as the establishment being open. So you can sell alcohol within--
- I guess I just had a-- Maybe you can clarify or somebody, but--
- On that one?
- The click and collect. I mean we're-- To me it sounds like we're trying limit that type of thing than to sell--
- No.
- It's not necessarily limit. It's just to ensure that the process by which the retailer does these sales that they are adhering to state requirements. Meaning that it's a face to face sale. That they are checking IDs of the person that's in the car. That the person that's delivering the alcohol is a licensed operator or is directly under the supervision of a licensed operator.
- And old enough, is that---?
- And old enough.
- Is that gonna be hard for the police? To deal with? I mean if that was him?
- I mean at the point of sale--
- It's like mail; there's really nothing we can do about them on--
- Right.
- I mean if something wasn't--
- Part of the ordinance they will be keeping track-- They will have to keep--

- If I could though, we're on five. And I made a motion that's-- We're jumping all over here. Let's stick to the motion. Is there anything on the motion? We can go to your-- But we should follow-- We should stick to the motion.

- Alright.

- Anything on the motion to remove Item 5 from-- Yes?

- I'm just wondering if it might be useful, rather than striking the hours altogether to just, maybe inserting a clause that says it should be in alignment with whatever the established sale hours are? So that way if the hours ever change, this ordinance would have no problems with it. And then it also provides some clarity about what those hours of operation are, rather than leaving that completely optional.

- Right.

- Well, you can't sell alcohol... You have to follow our ordinances to sell alcohol. You can't-- So...

- It just provides an extra layer of clarity, I think around that piece.

- Mm-hm.

- Randy.

- Yeah?

- What do you think about holding it for a month 'til they clean up all the--

- Well, that's what we're doing, we got-- Well, what we'll do is we'll go through it, clean it up, and then we can then vote on the clean up. I mean, they can do it right now. They don't have to-- They're gonna clean up what we tell them to clean up. This is what they've given us.

- It sounds like they're doing a little bit of background checking as far as, you know, with the municipality. And if we held this for a month, you'd have a little more time to clarify--

- Some lesser points?

- --Presenting us with.

- This is what they're presenting us. I don't think that's going to change.

- We could change out that--

- Right, right.

- That 8 AM to 8 PM was inadvertently left in.

- Left in, right. I mean, if we hold this for a month, staff is not gonna come back with anything different than what we have, except for what changes we make now.

- Okay, I'm just asking our attorney there.

- Mm-hm?

- Would you like more time to look at it as far as before we--?

- We can make the changes. Either way-- It could be effectuated either way. This way if the committee makes the changes, the recommended changes to the text to the ordinance, then that will go to Council for first reading

as amended. And then that will keep moving on versus coming back to staff, but either way you get to the same endpoint. It's just a different way of getting there.

- Yeah, it'll take longer the other way, and staff won't know what to do unless we tell them.

- Mm-hm.

- Because they're not gonna go through and say-- And be able to read our minds and say, "Oh, we need to look at this." I mean, they've already gathered up all that they're going to gather up. And now it's for us to--

- I was under the impression that they didn't quite have it all together yet.

- No, I think this is all together.

- Okay.

- This is for us to figure if, you know, any changes that we'd like to see. You know that's what staff does. They present, and we tinker.

- Are you alright with Alder Johnson's suggestion?

- The-- So the motion--? I don't know--

- Well, I will amend the motion that... We could either-- Well, we could have that discuss... I have no problem with putting in our-- Using refined to our ordinances--

- To strike number five and replace with language--

- Yeah, or to just strike it--

- To coincide with time restrictions--

- Yeah, either one. Whichever-- Does anybody have a preference?

- I think the hours, establishment hours, you know. I mean it was brought up--

- To our ordinance? I'll amend my motion that we substitute this number five with taking-- Strikeout what's there and substitute our ordinance hours for something comparable.

- Mm-hm.

- Okay.

- That's adequate enough?

- Mm-hm.

- Yeah.

- And then I think-- Do they have one other question?

- Well, that's--

- We can go back to yours now.

- Well, we wanna-- You wanna deal on this point though. You said you wanted to finish this, but you--

- Five, we're finished. We just--

- We made a motion--
- Oh, we made a motion; we didn't vote on it. Thank you very much--
- There wasn't a second.
- Nobody seconded.
- Nobody seconded. Is there a second for that? Second by Alder Stevens. Any other discussion? All in favor of that motion?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, it is so changed.
- And then there would need to be a motion to approve us--
- Well, let's see if there's any other changes first.
- Okay.
- No, I think that's it for me. I think that's the only two. I'm good with the rest of it.
- I just--
- Does anybody else have any-- One bit of clarification from our legal department.
- Sure.
- Just on number one. They're just talking about obtaining a change of premises from the common Council.
- Mm-hm.
- So everything else-- I just wanted a clarification on that.
- On number one.
- Yeah.
- So in order to be able to do click and collect sales, you need to go through a change of premise. So they have to apply in the clerk's office. It comes to PW, and then that goes to counsel. So it's just clarifying the process. And any time you have any kind of change in premise for state law, it has to be approved independently by the governing body. So even if you're adding like a storage room to your licensed premise or if you're adding an outdoor premise or if you're adding parking lots installed for click and collect, you have to-- It has to--
- You'll still have to go through the premises.
- Alright, that's fine; good.
- We have a couple other items coming up about premises.
- Okay, I'm fine with that; thanks.
- Anything else from anyone? Motion to approve as amended?
- So an aye.

- Motion by Alder Vander Leest. Seconded by Alder Steuer. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, we have a click and collect ordinance to pass on to Council.
- Thank you.
- That's fair enough.
- Yes, Officer Meves. Since no one else has come for these items, we just tell them... 'Til next week-- Month, sorry. If that's okay. I mean, there's no one here to--
- If I could speak to them real quick?
- Well then, okay. I'll take a motion to--
- One-- I'm sorry, I have to jump back to the-- And we're still on-- I'm still on number three. One minute.
- Yeah, yeah. But then we're gonna go back to--
- Number one.
- Did you wanna speak to both those items?
- Yes, one of them I think has cleared itself up.
- Oh, okay.
- Alright.
- Which might expedite--
- I thought one was a strange one, but anyway okay--
- Well, that's--
- No, yeah.
- Okay, give me one second...
- We'll go one at a time.
- Okay.
- Alright, we're gonna go back to the original Number 1. I'll take a motion to reconsider our motion to hold, and that the consideration with possible action an appeal by Lisa Trotter, objecting to the declaration that her dog was declared dangerous. Moved by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, Officer Meves?
- Yeah.
- Joanne, are you ready?

- Sir, yes, I'm ready.
- Delayed, my printer was taking its time.
- That's alright.
- I love technology. The one that I was speaking to is actually going to be 1271 Hickory Hill, if that makes a difference for the minutes.
- Well, so there's nothing on this item?
- Obviously, I can tell you what's going on with that circumstance, why the dog's been declared dangerous, if you want me to evaluate that.
- No, I just thought you want to speak to both, but I mean I think it would be better to hold that one then until there's--
- That's fine, and they're the ones that are appealing at the dog owners.
- Yeah, yeah, okay. Well, then let's--
- Let's just leave it as is.
- Well, we gotta hold it now. Motion to hold?
- So hold.
- Motion by Alder Steuer; second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Okay, that one is held. So now Item... Originally two.
- One second.
- Yeah.
- So now we're on to item number two?
- The original item two, yeah.
- Okay.
- I'll take a motion to reconsider a request by Alder Wery to review, with possible action, the ongoing illegal keeping of five dogs at 1271 Hickory Hill.
- So moved.
- So moved by Alder Steuer; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, Officer Meves.
- Okay. There was a nuisance abatement meeting last week which I attended, and the property owner has now evicted them. So obviously, they don't want to continue allowing their tenants to be in violation. They were aware of some of the animal issues. But not to the extent where some neighbors were actually putting their homes up for sale, because it was so problematic.

-Wow.

- Yeah.

- And the stack of neighborhood letters that I had gotten. So we had worked with them for a period of two years. I cited them for numerous violations obviously to no compliance. I cannot seize an animal unless by leaving it there will cause further injury or death of the animal or likely to decline. So obviously, there were problems to seize the animals, which is kind of what we're coming across, and I've kind of been advised to cite them every single day which to be honest. So anyways, I think that problem has corrected itself. We did a nuisance abatement meeting because we had like 17 police calls there just for animal related stuff in a very short amount of time. And the neighbors were fed up, and I don't blame them. And I obviously cited and cited and no compliance. So they're now evicted and hopefully the problem they will take somewhere else.

- Yeah.

- Yeah, I was gonna say do we know--?

- I don't know. The landlord said that he's gonna put the house up for sale and not even rent it out anymore because of the problems. So hopefully that corrects that issue.

- Okay.

- Any questions for Officer Meves?

- No, does he have a question?

- I don't think a good solution--

- Thanks, yes. So I think we can resume place this on file. So moved by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, that item been received and placed placed on file. Thank you.

- Alright, thank you.

- Thank you.

- Have a good night.

- Yeah, you too. Thank you, Officer Meves.

- Yeah, we're good.

- Okay, so now we're on the original number four, "Consideration with possible action on a request "by Green Bay Sports Service, Inc. "at 1265 Lombardi Avenue to amend their liquor license "to include an additional plaza area in front "of the Johnsonville Tailgate Village, "previously discussed at the May 22nd "Protection and Welfare Meeting." Staff?

- Yeah, so this item was previously approved as a change in premise description, however subsequently it was determined that this request was really one for an expansion of an outdoor license premise. And under our ordinance, an outdoor premise there are certain requirements, and two of those are that there needs to be a fence that is six feet in height and impervious to passing of drinks. And the proposed plan as presented by the licensee doesn't meet those requirements, however there is a waiver option under the ordinance. And so accordingly, a waiver by two thirds vote of the Council is needed to waive the requirements set forth in the ordinance, and the outdoor premise can then be approved as presented by Green Bay Sports Service. So that's why we are bringing it back so that committee can heartily recommend that waiver.

- Waiver, okay.

- Waiver and approval.
- Is there concern with the waiver from staff?
- We don't see any. I believe somebody was supposed to be here. I'm not sure if Police Department has any questions regarding-- No?
- I'm not even home.
- Okay.
- Or if the committee has any questions. It appears that they are going to be using some planters and ballards to delineate the space. But beyond that we don't really have any other information as far as how security is going to be handled or-- I'm not sure if it's only going to be meant to be used during Packer games or if it's going to be used--
- Weddings and other things.
- Weddings and parties and things like that.
- Is there anybody here for this item? Motion to open the floor.
- Motion.
- Motion by Alder Stevens to open the floor. Seconded by Alder Steuer. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, the floor is now open if you could please come forward state your name and address. And if you didn't fill out a form, please fill out a form before you go. Oh she got-- Okay, good thank you.
- It's Tony Perfetti, I'm general manager at Delaware North.
- Okay. Is this basically for the Packer games or is going to include--?
- Just the Packer games.
- Just the Packer games.
- Just Packer games.
- And how are you planning on--? Is there any concern about the perimeter?
- No, the plaza is, as you said, is outlined by the ballards and planters. We have our security as well as Packer security out there as well.
- Okay, any questions, concerns from anyone? Yes?
- I guess, you know like I said, I've gone to a lot of games over the years and just seeing, you know, it's a nice area, but, you know, have you had any issues?
- We have not had any issues.
- As far as in the Tailgate Village, in the building itself as well as reportable on the outside which is our current license. Have you ever had any issues at all?
- No.

- Yeah, when you consider all the tailgating going on. I mean Yeah, okay. Anything you could add or--?
- No.
- No?
- Thank you.
- Thank you.
- Motion to close the floor? So moved by Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- The floor is now closed. Gentlemen, what would you like to do?
- I'm fine with it. I'll make a motion.
- So a motion to approve the waiver?
- So it would be-- I typed up an example motion. To recommend that Council waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor license premise and to approve the proposed outdoor premise as presented by Green Bay Sports Service in their request.
- That'll be my motion. Thank you.
- So by Alder Steuer. Is there a second? Second by Alder Stevens. Any discussion? Oh, yes, Alder Dorff.
- I'm sorry. I just think since we need the two thirds vote. It might be wise to recommend that they come to the Council meeting, because you know, who knows-- I don't have any questions about it, but everybody's not here tonight. So, okay.
- Yeah, thanks, yeah. In fact, I will take this very moment that I should have started the meeting with. Everything this committee does is just a recommendation to the Council. Unless they've given us final authority on an item which they have not on any item tonight. So this doesn't become official until it goes to Council, and Council passes it next Tue--?
- A week from tomorrow.
- Yes, next Tuesday.
- 6:00 o'clock.
- 6:00 o'clock in the room next door. So it's always a good idea to show up. Especially if there's any-- I doubt there's gonna be questions and problems, but you never know. And just let me know. They might be able to move this item up. You don't have to sit there all night.
- Will that be stated at Council then?
- I will let the city attorney know that this--
- That-- Just to bring that forward?
- Mm-hm.
- Okay, alright that's good.

- Okay, so we have a motion?
- I made that motion.
- The motion has been made, and it's been seconded. And if there's no further discussion? All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously on to Council.
- I have to be excused.
- Oh, yeah. And Alder Steuer will be excused for seven and a half minutes.
- Another meeting.
- Yeah.
- But I'll be back.
- Thanks.
- I am--
- One sec.
- Yeah, yeah, yeah. I'll wait.
- Okay.
- Item number five, the regular number five, original number five, "Consideration with possible action "on a request by Delaware North at 1265 Lombardi Avenue "to hold a special event July 26th through the 29th, this year, 2018." Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- The Police Department is just wondering are these food booths? Are they vendors walking around like you see the beer and food vendors walking up and down the aisles--?
- Is there anyone here for this item? Motion to open the floor.
- Aye.
- By Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, the floor is now open. Please state your name and address.
- Tony Perfetti, general manager at Delaware North. Yes, there will be food and beverage locations and vendors.
- Are there gonna be-- 'Cause I know they always wear the DayGlo yellow shirt--
- Mm-hm.
- Are there gonna be people walking in around the crowd or is there like food and beer or sort of booth?
- Both, booths as well as vendors.

- Okay.
- Selling both non-alcoholic, alcoholic, and food.
- And the vendors are the normal ones in the stands--?
- Normal ones, yeah.
- What about carding and all that stuff?
- Correct.
- Okay.
- Anything else?
- Okay.
- Anything from anyone else? Anything you care to add?
- No.
- Thank you. Motion to close the floor.
- Aye.
- By Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? The floor is now closed. Gentlemen, what are your wishes?
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Alright. Okay, we're good.
- Item six, ". Consideration with possible action "on a request by Richard Craniums to hold an outdoor event "on July 28th and 29th." Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- No objections from the police.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Vander Leest. Second by Alder Stevens. Any discussion? All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously. Is Richard Craniums here?
- Yes, but no need to open the floor--
- Right, is that seriously Craniums is--?

- Yes.
- The last name?
- Yes.
- Wow.
- It's the name of the establishment.
- Ah, I was gonna say, man. Okay.
- Seven, "Consideration with possible--" Oh, are we ready?
- Mm-hm.
- Okay, seven, "Consideration with possible action "on a request by Brewski's On Broadway "to hold an outdoor event on August 4th, 2018." Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- No objections either.
- You concur?
- Yeah, I concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- We're good to go.
- Item number eight, "Consideration with possible action "on a request by Brewski's On Broadway "to hold an outdoor event on August 25th and September 15th. Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- We concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.

- Opposed? That passes unanimously. Item number 9, "Consideration with possible action "by Anduzzi's at 900 Kepler Drive to hold an outdoor event "July 26th--" Through the 29th? Or is that and the 29th? Looks like through.
- I think it's through.
- "Through the 29th with music on Friday and Saturday "until 11 PM" Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- We concur.
- Alderman, you concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens. Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Okay, we're good.
- Number ten, "Consideration with possible action "on a request by ZZQ at 1035 Vanderbraak Street "to have an outdoor event July 27th and July 28th." Staff?
- Yes, so in their request... We don't have any objections, however we just wanted to confirm something. In their request they did indicate they were going to be having underaged persons on the premise, which is allowable so long as the premise is a restaurant or is operating as a restaurant. So we just wanted to confirm that they will be operating as a restaurant for the event.
- Is there anyone here for this item?
- Yes.
- State your name and address. Oh, motion to open the floor?
- Motion.
- Motion to open the floor by Alder Vander Leest. Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Okay, the floor is now open so please state your name and address.
- Okay, my name is Catherine Stahl. I live at 2071 Bufflehead Lane in Green Bay. What we're planning to do is-- First off, I need to amend 28th and 29th, is that doable? Because we're gonna do Saturday, Sunday in my typing.
- We can change--
- Yeah.
- Yeah, we can amend that.
- I'm looking at more of a picnic-type scenario with families. Not that we're going to be serving underage, in fact, we have the under 21 armbands, that if they don't have an armband they're not gonna get served. So blue armbands for those over 21 with underage with the yellow armbands that clearly mark under 21.

- Mm-hm.
- So as a picnic, does that quantify--?
- Right, as long as food is being served, and it's not free.
- Right. And it's being sold. Then that would qualify as a restaurant.
- Ah.
- Mm-hm.
- They would continue to fall under the exception where underage persons are allowed on licensed premise. So long as it's--
- Sounds like a picnic to me.
- Hmm?
- Sounds like a picnic to me.
- Any other questions from staff? Concerns? Any questions, concerns from my fellow alders?
- No.
- Anything you care to add?
- No.
- Thank you very much.
- Thank you.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Motion.
- By Alder Stevens.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest.
- All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Floor is now closed. Gentlemen, what are your wishes?
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- Motion to approve by Alder Vander Leest. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Thank you.

- Have fun.
- Oh, we should amend that to the 28th and 29th.
- Yes, mm-hm.
- Okay, so I'll take a motion to amend this item July 27th and July 28th.
- Motion to amend.
- Or 28th and 29th. I'll get it right. By Alder Vander Leest. Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, the motion is now amended. We don't have to pass it again, do we? As amended, that's both, huh?
- Yeah.
- Mm-hm.
- One second.
- Motion-- Yeah.
- Okay, yeah.
- I should have made a note.
- Mm-hm, that's okay.
- Motion to approve as amended? By Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, the amended 28th and 29th is approved.
- Thank you.
- Thank you.
- Okay, we're good.
- Item number 11, "Consideration with possible action "on a request by Soriah Hospitality LLC at 1139 Main Street "to amend their liquor license to extend "to the adjoining building (currently used "as Al's Upholstery) and include an outdoor seating area." Staff?
- The licensee is requesting an outdoor space. In addition to extending to the adjoining building they want to have an outdoor space.
- Mm-hm.
- But they did not present a plan or specs as to what the outdoor premise would look like and whether it would comply with our ordinance requirements. So we would recommend holding until they are able to present those specs so that we can verify that it's going to comply with our ordinances or if it's going to need a waiver.
- Okay.
- By Council.
- Mm-hm, is anybody here for this item?

- Me.
- Motion to open the floor?
- Aye.
- Motion by Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor.
- [Together] Aye. Floor is now open. Please state your name and address.
- Kaveh Barakshan, 1115 South Monroe Avenue.
- Okay, you'll be able to provide...
- Yes, ma'am, when I sent the request to Jamie she said, "Send an email." And then she didn't say to attach--
- Right.
- That's why I brought it with me.
- Oh, okay, perfect.
- So we actually have it here.
- Did you want to take a look?
- Fantastic, sure.
- Cost you a nickel.
- The outdoor space is behind the premises not on the side or the front.
- Okay, okay.
- I have the bigger plans if you want them.
- Okay.
- Bigger than that?
- Okay.
- So what kind of fencing would--?
- So we were thinking-- See these upward frontals?
- Uh-huh.
- Here?
- And then it's gonna be up where it says fence. Whatever the plan called for.
- Mm-hm.
- So it's gonna be completely enclosed. We don't wanna come from this side here. You have to come inside the premise--
- Oh, so there's no outdoor access point?

- So we talked about having something on the side. Like I said, this has a budget approval to what planning we would be okay with.

- Sure.

- I wanna make sure you guys said it was okay that we can do this before I spend--

- Gotcha, mm-hm.

- We'll discuss it--

- Okay.

- At this point, it would just be for an outdoor space in the back.

- Okay, okay, alright.

- Based on this information staff would not have any objections.

- We concur.

- Concur. Any concerns up here?

- No.

- Nice plans.

- Thank you.

- Anything you care to add?

- No, if you wanna keep them, you can.

- Well, thanks. I have a bare space on my wall.

- A motion to close the floor.

- Close the floor.

- By Alder Vander Leest.

- Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [Together] Aye. The floor is now closed. Did I say that correct, by the way, Soriah?

- It's Sor-ay-ah.

- Soriah.

- It's my daughter's name.

- The wind called Soriah.

- Absolutely.

- Alright, thank you.

- Thank you.
- Motion to approve? By Alder Vander Leest?
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Passes unanimously.
- Thank you, gentlemen.
- Okay, we're good.
- Item 12, "Consideration with possible action "on a renewal application by Karaoke Mexican Restaurant, "LLC at 418 South Military Avenue ""
- Law Department has no objections.
- Concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Vander Leest.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens.
- All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Mm-hm, we're good.
- Item 13, "Consideration with possible action "on a 'Class B' Combination renewal application "for Los Banditos East at 1258 Main Street "" Staff?
- Law Department recommends denying this renewal. We're recommending non-renewal of this renewal application. The premise has been vacant, not operational, for quite some time. Under our ordinances, any license that is not in continuous use in a manner indicating an ongoing business for a period in excess of 60 days is presumed invalid and subject to revocation or non-renewal, unless otherwise authorized by the Council. All the circumstances indicate that the licensee is simply just trying to hold on to the license in order to keep it from becoming an available license. And there's no plans that staff has been made aware of that a business is going to be opening up in the near future.
- Okay.
- Do you wanna hear if--
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motion. Motion by Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Would you--? Okay, please come up; state your name and address.
- Pat Biemborn from Los Banditos. I guess that is true. I'm not sure about us reopening as Los Banditos.

- Mm-hm.

- There has been some inquiry from some employees, but this was a recommendation also by the realtor because of interest by some other people. I understand it wouldn't be an automatic transfer in case there-- I know there's licenses available at this time, but if there weren't licenses available then we would be able to surrender the license. And although it would not guarantee a transfer--

- Mm-hm.

- At least the prospective buyer would have a license available they could apply for.

- Mm-hm.

- Are there some fish on the hook?

- Yeah, there's a-- You know I don't know-- There's nobody-- There's been a couple offers whom we turned down.

- Oh!

- Recently, so I don't know if they're gonna come here or not. So we're still waiting on that.

- Okay.

- Could we hold it for 30 days? Give him 30 days? Would that help you out if we gave you 30 days?

- It's entirely up to the discretion of the committee what time limits you want to set forth.

- I'd say 60 days.

- 60 days? You wanna hold it for 60 days? We could hold it for 60 days.

- That would be helpful, yeah. If I could--

- How about 59 and a half?

- Okay.

- That will work too.

- Yeah.

- Alright, there's a motion to hold this item for 60 days by Alder Stevens.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Vander Leest. Any discussion on this?

- No.

- I got no problems on this--

- We would need to close the floor first.

- Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, I'm sorry. Yeah, yeah, nothing else?

- I have a question. Do I then pay the \$500 for the 60 days? Am I required to do that? For the renewal because--
?

- I think you wouldn't pay until-- I would double check with the clerk's office. I'm not sure how that would work exactly.
- Because they recommend that I just pay the publication fee, you know, end of the year--
- Mm-hm, until the actual renewal. I think you wouldn't pay the \$500 until you were the--
- Okay.
- You wouldn't be able to get your renewal license until you pay it.
- Okay.
- But I would double check with Jamie in the clerk's office.
- Call and check--
- Mm-hm.
- Alright, cool. Thank you.
- Alright, yeah, yeah.
- Motion to close the floor. By Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? The floor is now closed. Let's do it again. Motion to hold for 60 days by Alder Stevens.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor? Aye.
- [Both] Aye.
- Hang in there, guys. Opposed? Okay, this item will be held for 60 days.
- So just so that I'm understanding correctly that it would come to P&W before--
- Yeah, yeah.
- Oh, perfect.
- By then we'll be Protection and Policy.
- We should be.
- Alright, thank you.
- Thank you.
- 14, "Consideration with possible action "on an application for a 'Class A' Liquor License "by Kwik Trip, Inc. at 935 Lombardi Avenue "(Currently has a Class 'A' Beverage "and 'Class A' Cider License)." Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- Concur.

- Concur.
- Motion to move.
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously.
- You're good for the next one.
- Number 15, "Consideration with possible action "on an application for a 'Class A' Liquor License by Kwik "Trip, Inc. at 515 West Walnut Street "(Currently has a Class 'A' Beverage "and 'Class A' Cider License)." Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- Concur.
- Okay, I know there are people here who would like to speak to this. Motion to open the floor by Alder Vander Leest.
- Second
- Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, please come forward. State your name and address, and if you haven't filled out one of the forms, fill out one--
- I did.
- Oh, you did?
- Okay.
- Peggy Crabb, 122 South Maple Avenue. I've lived there for 30 years. Please do not grant Kwik Trip at 515 West Walnut a "Class A" Liquor License. This is a neighborhood. The Kwik Trip owners cannot be trusted. They have not been honest with the city and the neighbors. At the April 2016 meeting with the planning commission, Hahn Zitlow assured us, the neighbors, of the proposed Kwik Trip Express that his store would not be open 24 hours. He said he wanted to be a good neighbor. The first deceit came five months later. The name changed from Kwik Trip Express to Tobacco Outlet. The second deceit came 12 months from that change. As of May 25th, 2018, it has become Kwik Trip, and it is open 24 hours. How will they regulate the sale of liquor when they can't be honest with their neighbors? How will this benefit our neighborhood? It won't. It will cause more litter, more intoxicated people, and is not fitting to a residential neighborhood. They are not a good neighbor at all. The semis make deliveries all hours of the night. If they can't manage their deliveries during the daylight hours, how will they control what happens to their liquor once it leaves their store? The last thing we need is hard liquor in our neighborhood from a store that is open 24 hours. Kwik Trip is already violating the noise ordinance on a daily basis and this would only increase it. We have been the reform. We do not want to repeat it. Thank you.
- Whoa, whoa, whoa.
- Oh.
- Any questions? Okay. Anyone else here to speak on this? Yeah, oh. You filled out a form already?

- Yes, I did.

- Okay, please state your name and address. Tyler Verhagen in 328 East Pearl Street, Seymour. And on Kwik Trip's behalf, we do have adequate recycling, garbage containers on, you know, at our facilities. As far as littering goes, ultimately past our point of sale there's nothing that we can control as far as that goes. And also as far as any 24 hours sales bill, I mean it would be obviously with the ordinance of the city and everything else. We have onsite training for all of our coworkers. We have on point of sale that will point out, you know, ask for ID and anybody under 30. And we also do our own training and our own checks, and ID checks, and everything else in the company. And other than that, unless you guys have any questions I can answer.

- What are your hours that you cut off the sales of alcohol? What are those hours?

- So it's 8 AM in the morning that we start and then we sell 'til midnight so whatever--

- Is that all types of liquor?

- Well, hard cider sales stop at eight.

- Oh okay, hard cider sales stop at eight.

- Yeah, so hard liquor stops--

- They can buy a beer 'til midnight is what you're saying.

- Yeah.

- And that all goes based on the city ordinances. Every Kwik Trip is different as far as that goes based on where they're located.

- What about that Kwik Trip originally say they were not gonna be a 24 operation there at that site or how did that work?

- And that-- There's a lot of changes with that whole standpoint there. I mean ultimately, the big thing that they tried and stopped was when they went to the Tobacco Outlet from the Expresses. They wanted to get that tobacco need back out. They wanted to step away from that. And see if you put a Tobacco Outlet in some neighborhood when it ultimately was a Kwik Trip to start with so it went back to Kwik Trip. But most Kwik Trip, they're 24 hours and that was the company.

- Those are my only questions.

- Okay, good. Thanks. Anyone else here for this item? Yeah, come on. Now you can speak up anytime.

- I know, but I think it helps to get the sound right. So I would just ask the Council or the committee if we could hold this motion for 30 days. I know that I've had conversations with a number of staff people about some of the issues that we've had since the store converted to 24 hours. And while we've had one resident here today to speak to this issue, I know that I've had conversations with several others who were sharing similar concerns. And so I think from, you know, noise complaints and, you know, some other things, I think, you know, staff has agreed to talk to, I guess, the corporate office at Kwik Trip to see if there's a way that we can alleviate some of those concerns. But I think before we kind of rush into granting something that could potentially create more concerns within that neighborhood, I think it would be wise for us to have the opportunity to see if there's a way that we can alleviate some of those other existing concerns before we kind of open up Pandora's box with another one. And I think this neighborhood in particular historically, you know, we've had a moratorium in this area. And I think, you know, that moratorium existed for a reason, and so for us, again, to have an opportunity to address some of those concerns that initially drove that. I think it would be wise for us to be able to have those conversations a little higher up the pay grade I guess before we grant the license. So that's why I ask that maybe we hold it as opposed to just outright rejecting it.

- Okay. Anybody else here for this? Yes, by all means. Have you filled out a--?
- I haven't but I will.
- Okay, thank you. And state your name and address.
- Miranda Oakey, 1475 Crystal Lake Circle.
- Okay.
- And I am the store leader there. I was here last year when we applied--
- Yeah, I thought you looked familiar.
- Yeah, buddy. And I guess I'm here to answer any questions. I think Tyler pretty much spoke to what has been going on. So I'd like that you could ask any more specific questions considering I've been with that store. So I'm here to do that.
- I don't know if I've got any questions for you.
- The 24 hours and stuff like that?
- No, I don't have any questions on that. That's not really part of the liquor license application, it's not-- It's a separate issue.
- Yeah.
- It's not--
- Yeah, and that's kinda just what I wanna address is the separate-- There's things--
- Yeah, I believe it's separate, and yeah--
- That's it.
- Yeah.
- Alright, cool. I'm gonna borrow your pen.
- That's exactly the point I wanted to make, because I was on Protection Welfare. I don't remember anything talking about the 24 hours. I remember this very well. All of the different discussions we had on this particular property and the licenses that we did grant, but the 24 hour thing seems to be totally separate. And I don't know that it should impact on the Liquor License decision.
- Right. Anyone else here for this?
- A point of clarification. It's not necessarily that it's 24 hours as it is that--
- There are issues.
- I mean the issues in particular directly related to the violation of noise ordinance. So that's the basic thing that-- It would be nice to be able to at least have an honest discussion around that, and again, why I'm asking that we hold it and not reject it. Because I think they are a good operator, and we do like their presence in the neighborhood as opposed to some other options that we've had there. But I think for us to have that opportunity to have that discussion about what we can do to control those noise issues before we kinda head into something else that could potentially create other issues there that again, previously have been restricted with a moratorium.

- Okay.

- It might just if we restricted sales until 10 PM?

- No.

- Instead of midnight only?

- I don't think we can put any conditions on a license. It's always been--

- As far as hours of operation?

- No, as far as hours of selling alcohol? I mean we have our ordinance.

- Right and that's what it would be limited to.

- Yeah. Anyone else from-- Before we get into discussion I'm gonna close the floor unless there's somebody else? Okay. A motion to close the floor. By Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [Together] Aye. Opposed? Okay, I would just like to say that I've gotten some emails on this, and people have spoken to me on this. And I have gone around to talk to them and the neighborhood associations and so on. They have been here before. Just to remind everybody, when they were going through the planning stages, there was talk about being open past 10:00 o'clock which I don't think relates to this, as I stated. But there is also an agreement to only sell beer. Now, that can change, and I don't have a problem with them coming forward to ask for a change. But it did start out that way. There was that expectation they would be just selling beer. We denied their application in the past. Mainly due-- It's not just them, but it seems like there's a band on the west side from Mather to East Mason. We've denied every establishment on that band, there's four of you, because there's been issues with litter and pandering, and people being drunk, loitering, and so on. Which to me is kind of a separate issue again, in that it's not the establishment that's at fault here. But it is an issue related to the sale of alcohol, and we have been temporarily-- For me, as an emergency temporary action, denying these licenses until we get that under control. And I think we are making progress talking to neighborhood associations, talking to the people in the neighborhood. They feel things are getting better, but there's still not-- A lot of people in the neighborhood are still against the sale of alcohol in that whole west band area, not just this establishment but all of them. So until the neighborhood is on board. It's their neighborhood. For me, it's a fairness issue. Other establishments sell hard liquor, and I think eventually I'd like to see these establishments as well, just to level the playing field. But just as an emergency step to get a hold of some of the issues in this area. In the past when we've done that we've had some issues in the area, we held the liquor license up until things got straightened down, and that did help, that did make a difference. So I think I'm in favor of doing that again. So to hold it 'til next month... I'm actually thinking we need to hold it longer than that for that whole area. I don't know if we can-- I would think almost come back in six months or whatever to apply again. I don't know if a month is going to be enough to address-- Because it's just this establishment. The other ones, as I said, I've gotten complaints about litter and the whole works. So we're working on that whole band. The police are involved, the neighborhood associations are involved. I think we're doing better, but we're just not quite there yet. So I'm actually gonna make a motion to deny this for now. And to come back hopefully six months to have this under control, the neighborhoods will be-- More people in the neighborhood-- And I have gotten emails from people who are supportive of Kwik Trip being open 24 hours, and what they're doing, and in favor of the Liquor License. But the majority is still against this establishment and others, as I mentioned in that band. So I'm gonna make a motion to deny for now.

- Okay, I've got a question here.

- Okay.

- Mr. Johnson, I was gonna ask you. Would you be comfortable with that six-month delay? As far as that you could do a lot of the research in your own district there? Would that suit you as far as--?

- Yeah, I think that's--

- For this.

- For me, that's plenty extension. Again, I think we do have a quality operator here and trying to, you know, in trying to balance two needs here. And I think a lot of residents benefit from the services that they provide in the community, but we do have some outstanding concerns from the residents that living adjacent to the property. And so I think much like any other issue that we take up here, when there are concerns with an operator of any license we like to flesh out those concerns. We like to talk about it and resolve them before we grant renewals or issue new licenses. And so I just need enough time to make sure that we can have those conversations and address those concerns.

- And for me it's bigger than that in that if I okay one license for one establishment in that band, I want to be able to okay all of them to make it fair for everybody. And in that whole band, not just here, there are still some concerns. So until that whole area is on the same playing field, I'm going to vote no on any establishment until I can vote yes on all of them. And like I said, we're making progress, we're almost there, but we're not quite there yet. And it's not just this one, it's like I said, that whole band on the west side there.

- I'll second the motion then for the six-month delay to get everything ironed out as far as the--

- Well, it's just a denial, and they can apply again at six months. I think that's just the way to do it. That's what we did before.

- Okay.

- And they came back, and I'm assuming we deny now they'll come back. And hopefully six months is enough... We're making progress, hopefully the progress just keeps going.

- Oh, and just to expand Alderman Vander Leest's question, does anybody know what the footprint of that moratorium was?

- For the moratorium, I'm not sure if it goes down there. It's more on Broadway. I'm not sure if it expands much west of that. So actually, technically I don't know if they're part of the moratorium. I was thinking of that after we spoke that the moratorium-- There are parts of the city that we have a moratorium, it's just an advisory moratorium that we would not issue any more liquor licenses. Main Street, there's part of Main Street. There's part of Broadway. Part of Washington if I'm not mistaken. So technically, I'm not sure they're in-- They're close, but I don't think they're in the area.

- Okay.

- So...

- I think that's correct.

- How does our attorney feel about the six months? You feel that would be a good idea?

- It is really completely up to the discretion of the committee and Council. A Liquor License can be denied for any reason so long as it's not discriminatory or arbitrary. Our municipality is within its rights to say we just don't want any more liquor sales in this particular area and deny, approve, so...

- Okay.

- So how much longer do they have to reapply?

- They can reapply at-- I'm not sure if there's a restriction or not. I know there's a restriction for an operator's license or when you are revoked. You can't reapply for a certain period of time, but I don't think there's a restriction for reapplying once you've been denied.

- Do you know when they last reapplied? Was it a year or six months?

- It was-- It's been a year and a half.

- A year and half? It's been that long?

- Yeah, very.

- Wow.

- It was a year.

- Wow.

- My point with this, Alder Scannell, the way your motion stands we're just rejecting it--

- Mm-hm.

- And they can come back tomorrow and submit the application again. If the intent is to hold it, you might want to modify that motion.

- Well, I don't know how long to hold it. And I think if they're gonna apply again, it would be a good idea to maybe talk with neighbors, talk with the alders, and get a feel of when the right time to do it. 'Cause sure they can apply again, and we'll just deny again if conditions aren't right.

- That's kinda my point. If you reject it, which is obviously the committee's prerogative--

- Yeah.

- But the six months is irrelevant then.

- Well, right, it is. And I'm just hoping six months is gonna do the trick. I could be wrong. It might be a year. It might be-- Maybe four months, maybe eight months, you know, I mean it's a-- It's just a ballpark figure going on, how things have been progressing, and how I'm hoping they're going to progress. You never know though, going forward, it could go faster. It could go slower. A lot of this has to do with homelessness, and now we're gonna be losing some federal money perhaps. I mean, who knows what factors may come in and end up making this more difficult than it needs to be or what-- Or easier, who knows? But for right now, the feeling I've gauged from neighborhood associations and from people in the neighborhood. They're more favorable toward this issuing a Liquor License than they were six months, a year ago, a year and half ago. Because it was-- Some of the other establishments also came forward. And I'm sure around that same time-- I think even later than that as well. And they were denied for the same reasons. So you're right. It's an arbitrary number. As I said, next you come forward maybe reach out to the alders, talk to the neighborhood, and we can gauge that feeling of when the neighborhoods feel it's right and that's when it's right. And it's just really the neighborhood's decision. The establishments are there to service their neighborhoods and it's up to them to decide what they value and to take into account how this affects the establishment and put a value on that and decide. And that's something the neighborhoods have to do. So... I'm just gonna deny-- I'm not saying denying for six months. I'm saying denying. That's it. So... Any further discussion? I have a motion to deny. Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?

- [Together] Aye. Opposed?

- Opposed.

- So that passes two to one.

- And so that was...?

- Alder Stevens voted no.

- Okay.

- I think we lost Alder Steuer forever.
- Alright.
- It's the last item on--
- Well, he said he was gonna ask to move it up. Anyway, we're ready?
- One second.
- Okeydoke.
- Now we are.
- Item number 16, "Consideration with possible action "on an application for a 'Class A' Liquor License "by Kwik Trip, Inc. at 1712 East Mason Street "(Currently has a Class 'A' Beverage "and 'Class A' Cider License)." Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- Concur.
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.
- Do we have more discussion on this location?
- Well, you want to have a second for discussion?
- Yeah, you want to open the floor?
- Okay, a second for discussion by Alder Vander Leest. Is there anyone here to discuss this issue? You had questions?
- I want to know what Mr. Johnson-- Does this affect your district?
- No.
- No.
- Okay, it's out of that band of area that I was referring to before. As far as I know, there hasn't been any problems here or any concerns.
- I'll say a motion to approve.
- Well, we've got a motion for approval, seconded for discussion. There's no other discussion then? Motion to approve? All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, and that passes unanimously.
- Alright, yeah. We're good to go.
- Number 17, "Consideration with possible action "on an application for a 'Class A' Liquor License "by Kwik Trip, Inc. at 1871 Shawano Avenue "(Currently has a Class 'A' Beverage "and 'Class A' Cider License)." Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.

- Concur.
- Good job Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously.
- Okay.
- "Consideration with possible action "on an application for a 'Class B'license "by Badger State Brewing Company LLC "at 990 Tony Canadeo Drive." Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- We concur.
- Not gonna whine about this one?
- Not unless
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Okay.
- Number 19, "Consideration with possible action "on an available 'Class B' Combination License "by the Booyah Shed LLC at 1800 South Ashland Avenue. Staff?
- So this area is part of the Fort Howard moratorium area. Unlike the other moratoriums, this moratorium was actually enacted via a referendum and an amendment to the city's charter ordinance, so it's set forth by ordinance. It's not discretionary. So the moratorium restrictions have to be complied with, and the moratorium prohibits issuance of Liquor Licenses except to hotels and restaurants. However, my understanding is that the licensed premise will be a restaurant and accordingly would be allowed under the stated moratorium exception. So accordingly, we have no objections. I just wanted to make--
- Oh, thank you.
- Aware.
- I did not know that. Thank you.
- Yeah.
- We concur again.
- Okay, so we are free to approve. Approve by Alder Stevens. Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?

- [Together] Aye. Opposed? I can go for the Booyah.
- A good Booyah. Guarantee it.
- Yeah.
- That passes unanimously.
-
- Oh, oh, yeah.
- That's him.
- Ah, ah!
- That's the Booyah man.
- Yeah, okay.
- Really good.
- Okay, you're good.
- Item number 20, "Consideration with possible action "on an appeal by Ian Geurts in regards to the denial "of his Operator's License." Staff.
- Yes, Law Department recommends denial of this appeal based on numerous offenses that substantially relate to a license activity which indicate that the appellant is a habitual offender.
- We concur.
- Okay.
- There is a denial memo. Well, there should be a denial memo in your packets.
- Yeah.
- If not, you will have copies.
- Yeah.
- Remember we always used to keep those on paper, now we got them here.
- Mm-hm. There are not any felonies, however under state statutes a denial can be put forth based not just on a felony conviction but if there are numerous other offenses that indicate that someone is a habitual law offender. That could be a basis for denial as well, even if those offenses are not felonies.
- Felonies, okay.
- Is there anybody here for this item?
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor; seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [Together] Aye. Opposed. Okay, the floor is now open. Please step forth, state your name and address, and have you filled out one of the forms?

- I have not.

- Okay, can you fill that out before you go?

- I will. Ian Guerts, 2807 Viking Drive. I just want to say that those offenses were kind of, you know, they were previous.

- Mm-hm.

- There's kind have been some space in between--

- Just address--

- Oh, me getting my stuff together so I don't think that that's an issue for me anymore.

- What was the issue before? What were your--?

- Probably drinking. Just drinking too much, but I have the breathalyzer in my car I'm going to-- I want, you know... I'm not gonna be drinking.

- Have you gone to any programs?

- Yes, I'm in the Options Treatment Center right now.

- Okay, has anybody written anything for you, a letter or anything by any chance?

- No.

- Any questions for Mr. Geurts? Mr. Stevens? Alder Stevens?

- Where are you currently working now?

- The Radisson.

- What do you do there?

- I'm a banquet bartender.

- So they have other bartenders there at the same time that you're there?

- Yeah.

- But I would also like to work for sales at the which I would also need to pick up my license there.

- So as it stands right now it's denying it right?

- Yeah.

- It's denying, he's appealing it. Correct. How long have you been in the program?

- It's about two weeks now.

- Two weeks?

- Yeah.

- How long does it go?
- I'm not sure. I just kind of got my equipment going and whatnot. We just got done with my initial assessment actually today.
- Okay. Anything you care to add then? Anything else?
- No, no.
- Okay, thank you. Motion to close the floor? By Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? What are your wishes, gentlemen?
- I'd like to give him another chance. I know that it's... It might help as far as his employment. I would be in favor of giving another chance as far as--
- To prove his appeal?
- Correct.
- If he's working by himself, yes. But he's not working by himself, so I vote to deny.
- Yeah, he doesn't need the license-- He doesn't need the license to-- As long as he's working for someone else. This just gives him the independence to work alone which it sounds like he wants to be able to do, because he'll be able to pick up more hours?
- Yes.
- Yeah, so... Oh, I should have asked. Motion to open the floor?
- Motion.
- Motion by Alder Stevens; second by Alder Vander Leest. Thank you. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Okay, if I could ask you another question, please?
- Yes.
- How long have you been working?
- This establishment it will be a year now in October.
- A year in October?
- Yeah.
- Okay.
- I'm trying to kind of move up and that's kind of stunting me from moving up.
- Okay, again, you have no letter or anything from your bosses--

- I do not. I'm sure-- I mean I could probably get one, but it will be kind of a hassle. I don't really think I have an image with them.
- Man, you see in cases like this the more support you can bring, the better.
- Okay. Noted.
- Okay, well thank you. That's all I needed to know.
- Alright, well... He's been serving alcohol for a year. As far as I can tell that hasn't been a problem. He hasn't been cited for any problems on the job.
- Pardon? Motion to close the floor, thank you.
- Second Motion by Alder Stevens; second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. The floor is now closed. So my own thinking on this is that he's been serving alcohol for a year. It hasn't been an issue with his job. There hasn't been any problems. This allows him to move up the ladder and to expand his hours that he can improve his livelihood. So there are no felonies. There are a number of issues here though. But I'm inclined to also... I don't think we're putting anybody in too much danger here by granting him this license. So I'm in favor of-- You made a motion to...
- To approve.
- To approve his appeal. I will second that. Any other discussion?
- No. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, that passes...
- Unanimously.
- Unanimously.
- Thanks, guys.
- Alright.
- Now remember, this is just-- Alright.
- Okay, you're set move on to the next.
- Fine to move on?
- Mm-hm.
- "Consideration with possible action "on an appeal by Vongilay Khounanan"? Hope I didn't butcher that too bad. "To the denial of her Operator's License." Staff?
- Law Department also recommends denial of this appeal based on two felony convictions and several other offenses which substantially relates and which also indicates that the appellant is a habitual law offender.
- Okay.
- Those offenses are also listed in the denial memo. Albeit, in reverse chronological order. Not most recent, but--

- Mm-hm. I did take a peek at this, but I'm spinning around here-- Oh, there we go. Okay, is anyone here to speak on this? Motion to open the floor. By Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [Together] Aye.

- Opposed? The floor is now open. Please come forward, state your name and address, and have you filled out one of the forms?

- I did.

- Okay, thank you.

- So my name is Vongilay Khounanan, and I stay at 412 Mather Street. I live there for seven years now. I was convicted in '08 of some felonies. I completed probation successfully. I did four years on probation, and I've been working at Glass Nickel Pizza for going on three years now. So I kinda got a raise to do some morning shifts, but I can't work the morning shifts unless I get a bartending license. Because I've been waitressing there definitely been in the service industry for about seven, eight years.

- And how long have you been at the Glass Nickel?

- Three years.

- Three years, okay.

- I've also worked at Bangkok Garden for the four years right after... So I was employed throughout the whole time on probation. Yeah, I committed my felony back in '08.

- Mm-hm, yeah. There has been some other misdemeanors since then though. I'm seeing 2011, there's a year probation, 100 hours community service, and 45 days' jail time stayed. I take it that you're past that now. You've done all your community service and your probation on that?

- I did.

- Just a clarification. I understand all that was for the previous felony. The 2011.

- Right, that's after the felony. Right, the felony was in 2008?

- No, I'm saying that the 2011 that you were mentioning was listed for the prior applicant. Does that not show up on your--?

- Ah, it's all in one! I see; I did not realize his would show up in hers.

- It's just one memo and then it's posted--

- Ah, okay. Thank you, thank you, thank you.

- So I just wanted to make sure you were viewing the correct offenses.

- Ah, yes. I'm not--

- Only two pages or three?

- There's two pages.

- Okay, because there's a third page on here is blank. Just wanted to make sure.

- Okay, yeah. No, there's only two pages.

- Okay, I'm sorry. I apologize. I thought each one was separate.
- Mm-hm.
- But I get it now. We're going to new technology here. We're all-- At least I am Learning a little bit. Okay, then... Alright, okay. I see. Okay, yeah. I have no further questions. Anything else you care to add? Vongalee?
- Vongilay.
- Vongilay, Vongilay, Vongilay. Sorry.
- That's okay.
- Vongilay, okay. Thank you very much. Motion to close the floor?
- Motion.
- Second. Motion by Alder Vander Leest; second by Alder Stevens. What are you thinking, gentlemen?
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.
- Was there a vote for to close the floor?
- Didn't we not vote? I thought we voted, didn't we? I know I took the motions.
- Yes, I didn't hear.
- Oh, I-- Maybe-- I'm getting a little punchy, gentlemen.
- Floor's been closed.
- Right.
- No, no, we got that. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Thank you.
- Opposed? Okay, we closed the floor unanimously. All together now. Now a motion by Alder Stevens to approve. Is there a second?
- Second
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. I think 2008 was the felony. That was quite a while ago, that's over a decade ago, so the other offenses I don't think are substantial. But I can understand staff being concerned. I think you've been working at Glass Nickel, there haven't been problems. So I also approve so... Motion to approve her appeal. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously. And that will go on to Council.
- Tuesday?
- Not this Tuesday, the following.

- At 6:00?
- At 6:00 o'clock, yeah.
- 6:00 o'clock on Tuesday. Okay, thank you.
- Okay, we are good.
- Item number 22, "Consideration with possible action "on a request by Alder Wery that all City campaign finance "reports shall be accessible on city website "for duration of elected official's term "and five years after leaving office. "Records are to be permanently kept "and available upon request." Staff.
- I can request that this be referred to the clerk's office. I'm not sure what exactly is posted at this point or what is required to be posted, but I think it's just referred to staff to look into it and report back findings.
- Okay, I do have a question. Is all this information available from the state?
- Potentially, I'm not sure. Again, the clerk's office would be able to answer that.
- Okay. Are you falling apart? Okay, staff recommends we refer this to the clerk's office.
- Motion.
- Refer to the clerk's office by Alder Vander Leest. Second by Alder Stevens. I think that's a great idea. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed-- Oh, I'm sorry, yes?
- I just want to make sure those are the exact two questions that I wanted to answer. To make sure that those questions are asked.
- By the clerks?
- By the clerks that if it is available somewhere else--
- Yeah.
- Okay, thank you.
- And it could be perhaps is they are-- All we need to do is provide a link on our website perhaps--
- Yes, but just wanna make sure that we ask the right questions so that Chris knows what to look at.
- Right, yeah. We're good with that?
- Mm-hm.
- All in favor?
- [Together] Aye. Opposed? No need to oppose, gentlemen. We're getting close. The violation reports from the Police Department, July 9th, 2018.
- Over this past month, we didn't have any violations. The one violation we did have for the owner of Catch 22. She was arrested and charged in circuit court. The district attorney referred her back to the municipal court. So the officer then issued the municipal court citation for this listing. So that is why that one is showing up.
- Yeah, yeah.

- Motion to receive and place in file?
- Sure.
- Second.
- Motion by Alder Vander Leest to receive and place in file. Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Thank you. I believe--
- Item 23.
- No, no, we moved that one up.
- I believe we are at adjournment.
- We are at adjournment. I will take a motion to adjourn.
- Motion to adjourn.
- Maybe, wait a minute-- It's gonna cost you a dollar.
- A dollar?
- Yeah, yeah, yeah. Motion by Alder Vander Leest to adjourn. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- [Together] Aye.
- Opposed? Gentlemen, we are adjourned.
- Okay.
- When is the next meeting?
- For? A month from now.
- Okay, good, okay.
- Thank you, staff. Well done.