



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

**MONDAY, NOVEMBER 5, 2018, 4:30 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
ROOM 203, CITY HALL**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Randy Scannell, Andy Nicholson, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Brian Johnson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Tardy: Chris Wery

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

C. INVOCATION.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

1. Approval of the minutes of the October 16, 2018 meeting.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve. Motion carried.

2. Approval of the minutes of the October 22, 2018 special meeting.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

E. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve. Motion carried.

F. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

The Mayor stated that Chief Andrew Smith is an award recipient of the 2018 Ethics in Business.

Minutes of the Common Council

Veterans Day is Monday, November 12. There will be an event at Shopko Hall at 10 a.m. and at UWGB at 4 p.m.

The Mayor would like everyone to go online to see all the different events coming up for the holiday season.

G. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

H. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

1. Zoning Ordinance No. 16-18

An ordinance rezoning property located at 2405 West Mason Street (Frontage Road) from Business Park (BP) District to General Commercial (CI) District.

No one appeared.

2. Planning Ordinance No. 4-18

An Ordinance amending the Official Map of the City of Green Bay by closing the unimproved portion of Kellogg Street.

No one appeared.

3. Public Hearing of the 2019 Proposed City of Green Bay Budget.

One person spoke against Tax Increment Finance Districts.

I. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

1. Zoning Ordinance No. 16-18

An ordinance rezoning property located at 2405 West Mason Street (Frontage Road) from Business Park (BP) District to General Commercial (CI) District.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to hold this ordinance until February 18, 2019. Motion carried.

2. Planning Ordinance No. 4-18

An Ordinance amending the Official Map of the City of Green Bay by closing the unimproved portion of Kellogg Street.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre.

Minutes of the Common Council

J. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (OCTOBER 29, 2018).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.

1. To hold until the November 14, 2018 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Pete's Annex, LLC to enter into an agreement for Parking Division to manage and enforce parking in the BMO-Harris Bank Building parking lot located in the block surrounded by Broadway, West Walnut, Hubbard, and Pearl Streets (Lot BW).

2. To approve the request by Capital Credit Union to have the City of Green Bay accept dedication of the infrastructure and storm water management pond associated with the Mahon Creek 1st Addition subdivision.

3. To approve as amended the request by 304 North Adams Green Bay LLC for a change of agent and enter into a parking agreement at the Pine Street Ramp for Hotel Northland, subject to possible modifications by 304 N. Adams Green Bay LLC.

4. To refer to staff the request by Ald. Wery to review how sidewalk replacements are funded, with staff reporting back to Improvement and Services Committee how the ten largest communities in Wisconsin handle repairing damage caused by any means to sidewalk.

5. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to approve Amendment No. 5 for Professional Service Agreement between City and Ayres Associates for North Webster Avenue design in the amount of \$18,940.

6. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to extend 5-year option for parking on Lot "MM" with Arlington Computer Products LLC.

7. To approve the request by Parks Department to install access to west side trail with associated

Minutes of the Common Council

sidewalk on the east end of San Jose Place.

8. To approve the award of the contract PINE ST. PARKING RAMP OFFICE HVAC REPLACEMENT to the low, responsive bidder, AMA Inc., in the amount of \$76,964.

9. To approve the request by Wisconsin Public Service Corporation for an Easement across the following City owned parcels:

A. 2880 St. Anthony Drive – Tax Parcel #21-275-10-B.

B. 629 Mt. Mary Drive – Tax Parcel #21-324-1-1.

10. To approve the application for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's License by Milis Flatwork pending an acceptable reference verification.

11. To approve the application for Tree & Brush Trimmer License by Basic Tree Service pending an acceptable reference verification.

12. To receive and place on file the actions taken by Department of Public Works

A. Award of contracts

1. To approve to award contract PARKS PROJECT 3-18 (BAY BEACH GIANT WHEEL PROJECT) to the low, responsive bidder, Lunda Construction, in the amount of \$1,445,451.50 (held over from the October 9, 2018 Improvement and Services Committee meeting).

2. To approve to award contract RAZING BUILDINGS FOR WEBSTER AVE. RECONSTRUCTION - Group #2 to the low, responsive bidder, Badgerland Demolition & Earthwork, Inc., in the amount of \$60,558.

B. Enter into a State/Municipal Agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for Traffic Signal Upgrades along West Mason Street from Military Avenue to 12th Street.

C. Enter into a State/Municipal Agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for LED Bulb Replacement at various locations across City of Green Bay.

K. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (OCTOBER 22, 2018).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve with the exception of items #4 and #9. Motion carried.

1. To refer to staff General Ordinance 28-18, an ordinance to repeal and recreate Section 6.15 relating to special events to make the proposed changes as presented by staff.

2. To approve the change of agent for Krist Oil Company at 1180 E. Mason St.

3. To approve an application for a retail license transfer- premises to premises by Carnitas El Bajio to transfer his Class "B" Beer license from 1742 E. Mason St. to 1698 E. Mason St., with the approval of the proper authorities.

4. To refer to staff to bring back the Click and Collect Ordinance for discussion and possible action and to hold a request by Skogen's Foodliner, Inc. at 2430 University Ave. to amend their liquor license description from "One story cement building SEP W&S Storage and checkouts" to "one story cement building, approximately 80,110 sq. ft., with separate wine and spirits storage and checkout, including designated stalls in parking lot for online grocery pickup Click and Collect Ordinance until the ordinance is approved.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve item #4. Motion carried.

5. To approve an application for an available "Class B" Combination License for Everardo Curiel at 1657 Main St., with the approval of the proper authorities (previously licensed in the 2016-2017 license year as Nic's Bar).

6. To approve an application for a "Class B" Combination license for Tiletown Tobacco LLC at 159 N. Broadway (currently Prohibition Spirits LLC), with the approval of the proper authorities.

7. To approve an application for an available "Class B" Combination license for Amphora Wine Bar, LLC at 131 N. Broadway with a licensed description as "bar area, seating area, back bar area, and storage in the basement on 131 N. Broadway, with the approval of the proper authorities.

8. To approve an appeal by Evelyn Buford to the denial of her Operator's License.

9. To approve General Ordinance 27-18 amending Section 27.902(2), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to penalties for marijuana possession, previously discussed at the October 8 Protection & Policy meeting (3-1).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve item #9.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend item #9 to make private fines the same as public fines - \$250 to \$500.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to open the floor for discussion.

Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to go close floor for discussion.

Motion carried.

A board vote was then taken on the amendment. Motion Carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the ordinance as amended. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre. No- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest.

10. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Scannell that the Advisory Committee items be placed on the Protection and Policy committee agendas.

11. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for October 22, 2018.

**L. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING
OPERATOR LICENSES.**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve. Motion carried.

I. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses.

M. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (OCTOBER 22, 2018).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

I. To approve the 2019 Business Improvement District Operating Plan for Downtown Green Bay, Inc.

2. To approve the 2019 Business Improvement District Operating Plan for Olde Main Street, Inc.

3. To approve the 2019 Business Improvement District Operating Plan for On Broadway, Inc.

4. To approve the 2019 Business Improvement District Operating Plan for Military Avenue Business Association.

**N. REPORT OF THE JOINT FINANCE/PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (OCTOBER 30,
2018).**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend putting the ambulance back in to Station #7 by using \$156,500 out of the fund balance, \$100,500 in revenue from the Fire budget, and \$56,000 out of the Fire Department salaries. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Chris Wery, Kathy Lefebvre.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to amend to refer back to the Mayor's Office. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, John VanderLeest, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to amend to reduce the Mayor's salary by \$4150.24 for 1 year. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell,

Minutes of the Common Council

Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, John VanderLeest.
 Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to reconsider. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre.
 Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to hold until the end of the budgeting. Motion carried.
 Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to amend to reduce the Mayor's training and travel by \$4,000. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax.
 Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to amend to defund the Welcome Center by \$14,942. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax.
 Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to amend the Fire Departments budget as follows: Drill Instruction \$2,700, Contractual \$10,000, Office Supplies \$2,000, Gas and Oil \$20,000, Equipment Repair \$11,000 and Overtime \$10,300. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery.
 Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to amend to eliminate the Wildlife Sanctuary Project Manager position for a reduction of \$95,202.
 Moved by Ald. Barb Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
 Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
 Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to go into closed session at 7:30 p.m. Motion carried.

Ald. Barbara Dorff read the closed session language.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson.
 Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.

A board vote was then taken on the Wildlife Sanctuary Project Manager position. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre.
 Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to amend to reduce the Human Resources Training and Travel by \$1,500. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette. No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre.
 Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to amend to reduce the Community and Economic Development Training and Travel by \$11,500. Ald. Brian Johnson asked for a friendly amendment to reduce it by \$4,755.

A board vote was then taken on the friendly amendment. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Chris Wery, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Barbara Dorff.
 Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to amend to reduce the DPW Operations Training and Travel by \$5,000. Motion Carried on a tie vote with the Mayor voting to keep the \$5,000 in the budget.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre.
 Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to amend to reduce the Traffic Training and Travel by \$5,000. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, No-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre.
 Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to amend to reduce the Wildlife Sanctuary teacher's benefits by \$19,868. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Barbara Dorff, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer.
 Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to amend to reduce the Celebrations fund by \$20,000.

Minutes of the Common Council

Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Barbara Dorff, Craig Stevens.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to amend to reduce Historic Preservation by \$3,000. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to amend to reduce the Neighborhood Association by \$5,000. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to amend to reduce Office Supplies by \$10,000. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Chris Wery, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Barbara Dorff, Randy Scannell.

It was then stated that specific line items would have to be designated for the \$10,000.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to amend to reduce Office Supplies by 8 1/2 percent for the following: Finance, Clerks, Assessors, Purchasing, Elections, IT, Document Center, Law, Muni Court, HR, ED, PD, Fire, DPW-Engineering, DPW-Operations, DPW-Traffic, Parks-Admin. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Chris Wery, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Kathy Lefebvre.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to amend to eliminate the Public Arts Coordinator from the table of organization. It was explained that this position isn't funded by the City.

Moved by Ald. Barb Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend to reduce the applied surplus by \$101,877. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Chris Wery, Kathy Lefebvre.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to adopt the budget as amended. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre. No- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest.

1. To approve the request to fill the replacement position of Civil Engineer in Public Works and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

2. To approve the request to transfer \$14,714.00 from Contingency to Police and Fire Commission to cover recruitment costs in 2018.

3. To approve action on a new FireWorks contract replacing current ImageTrend.

4. To approve the Transit Capital Equipment, Operating, and Revenues 2019 Budget (pages 177, 218).

5. Common Council (page 14).

To add \$15,883.00 back into the budget to reinstate health and dental insurance for alderpersons.

To adopt the 2019 City Common Council budget as amended.

6. To approve the Mayor and Police & Fire Commission 2019 Budget (page 18).

7. To approve the Administrative Services including Finance, Clerk, Assessor, Purchasing, Election, Board of Review, Information Technology, Document Center, and Public Safety/Gov. Equipment Replacement 2019 Budget (page 24).

8. To approve the Law 2019 Budget (page 49).

9. To approve the Municipal Court 2019 Budget (page 57).

10. To approve the Human Resources 2019 Budget (page 63).

11. To approve the Community & Economic Dev. & Equipment Replacement 2019 Budget (page 70).

12. To approve the Police, Humane Officer, and Equipment Replacement 2019 Budget (page 83).

13. To approve the Fire and Equipment Replacement 2019 Budget (page 97).

14. To approve the Public Works including Engineering, Operations, Traffic, and Equipment Replacement 2019 Budget (page 107).

15. Parks, Rec & Forestry including Admin., City Hall, Triangle Hill, Parks, Recreation, Pools, Forestry, Wildlife Sanctuary, and Equipment Replacement (page 128).

To add \$19,868.00 into the budget to cover health and dental insurance for 2 Naturalists and 2 Teachers starting Fall of 2019.

To approve the Parks, Rec & Forestry 2019 Budget as amended.

16. To remove \$20,000.00 from line item #59007 (Historic Preservation) in the Miscellaneous Budget (page 155) as it is also in the Economic Development Contractual Services.

To amend the Budget as stated.

To adopt the 2019 Miscellaneous Budget as amended.

17. To approve the Sanitary Sewer 2019 Budget (page 165).

18. To approve the Parking Utility 2019 Budget (page 169).

19. To approve the Storm Sewer 2019 Budget (page 173).

20. To approve the Bay Beach / Bay Beach Capital 2019 Budget (page 187).
21. To approve the Debt Service 2019 Budget (page 192).
22. To approve the Neighborhood Enhancement 2019 Budget (page 195).
23. To approve the Workers Compensation 2019 Budget (page 199).
24. To approve the General Liability 2019 Budget (page 200).
25. To approve the Health Insurance Escrow 2019 Budget (page 201).
26. To approve the Revenue - General Fund 2019 Budget (page 203).
27. To approve the Revenue - Sanitary Sewer 2019 Budget (page 213).
28. To approve the Revenue - Parking Utility 2019 Budget (page 214).
29. To approve the Revenue - DPW Equipment Replacement 2019 Budget (page 215).

30. To approve the Revenue - Storm Sewer 2019 Budget (page 217).

31. To approve the Revenue - Bay Beach 2019 Budget (page 220).

32. To approve the Revenue - Debt Service 2019 Budget (page 221).

33. To approve the Revenue - Equipment Replacement Funds 2019 Budget (page 223).

34. To approve the Revenue - Neighborhood Enhancement 2019 Budget (page 228).

35. To approve the Revenue - Workers Compensation 2019 Budget (page 229).

36. To approve the Revenue - General Liability 2019 Budget (page 230).

37. To approve the Revenue - Health Insurance Escrow 2019 Budget (page 231).

To add \$2,076.00 to Contingency.

To approve the Mayor's 2019 recommended Budget as amended.

O. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (OCTOBER 29, 2018).

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

I. To approve the request by the Kennedy Park Neighborhood Association to install a neighborhood sign in Kennedy Park.

2. To approve the request by Wisconsin Public Service for a 12' wide utility easement in Colburn Park contingent upon the review and approval by the Law Department.

P. REPORT OF THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMISSION (OCTOBER 24, 2018).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve the new logo design for the Green Bay Public Arts Commission.

2. To deny the Annual Grant Program application from CP.

3. To approve the Annual Grant Program application from Alex Zacarias for \$600.00.

Q. REPORT OF THE TRAFFIC, BICYCLE, AND PEDESTRIAN COMMISSION (OCTOBER 22, 2018).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

1. To receive and place on file the report by the Police Department of the 2018 3rd quarter serious injury and fatality crashes.

2. To approve the request by the Traffic Engineer to modify the heavy traffic route system by removing Erie Road, removing Finger Road, and adding East Mason Street from Erie Road to the east City limits.

3. To receive and place on file the request to relocate the Hartung Street bike trail crossing of East Mason Street to Goodell Street due to safety concerns.

4. To refer to staff to investigate federal and/or state funding for an alternative crossing option such as a pedestrian bridge over East Mason Street connecting the East River Trail.

5. To approve the request by the Traffic Engineer to update the Index of Traffic Regulations as referenced in Sec. 29.307, Index of Traffic Regulations, relative to regulatory traffic conditions on public streets that are no longer needed due to roadway modifications or were missing by:

A. Remove the following NO LEFT TURN restrictions:

1. Cherry Street at Jefferson Street, eastbound, daily.
2. Driveway (Cub Foods) at Military Avenue, eastbound, daily.
3. Driveway (Days Inn) at Main Street, northbound, daily.
4. Laura Street at Main Street, northbound, daily.
5. University Avenue at Fred Street, westbound, daily.
6. Velp Avenue at Atkinson Drive, eastbound, daily.
7. Walnut Street at Madison Street, westbound, daily.
8. Walnut Street at Jefferson Street, eastbound, daily.
9. Walnut Street at Museum Place, eastbound, daily.

B. Adding the following NO LEFT TURN restriction:

1. Clay Street at Mason Street, southbound, daily.
2. Leo Street at Military Avenue, northbound and southbound, daily.
3. Lyndon Street at Velp Avenue, southbound, daily.
4. Mason Street at a point 500 ft west of East Town Way (Fire Station #5), eastbound, daily.
5. Shea Avenue at Velp Avenue, southbound, daily.

C. Remove the following NO RIGHT TURN restrictions:

1. Ashland Avenue at Mason Street Exit, northbound, daily.
2. Ashland Avenue at Mason Street Exit, southbound, daily.
3. Cherry Street at Madison Street, eastbound, daily.
4. Forest Street at Cedar Street, southbound, daily.
5. Gregby Way at Main Street, southbound, daily.
6. Jefferson Street at Walnut Street, westbound, daily.
7. Madison Street at Walnut Street, eastbound, daily.
8. Main Street at Oak Grove Avenue, northbound, daily.
9. Pine Street at Madison Street, northbound, daily.
10. University Avenue at Fred Street, northbound, daily.

D. Remove the following NO U-TURN restrictions:

1. Main Street at Morrow Street, eastbound, daily.
2. Mason Street at Superior Road, eastbound, daily.
3. Military Avenue at railroad crossing between Western Avenue and Leo Street, northbound and southbound, daily.
4. Military Avenue at a point 450 ft north of Mason Street, northbound, daily.

5. Monroe Avenue at island between Mason Street and Chicago Street, northbound, daily.
6. Oak Grove Avenue at Deckner Avenue, northbound, daily.
7. Walnut Street at alleyway 100 ft west of Washington Street, westbound, daily.

E. Remove the following NO TURN ON RED restrictions:

1. Ashland Avenue at Ninth Street, southbound, daily.
2. Pine Street at Madison Street, westbound, daily.
3. Taylor Street at Mason Street, all directions, during left turn arrow.

R. REPORT OF THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION (OCTOBER 4, 2018)

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve creating a work plan with the attached template and information, using the goals approved at the last meeting.

2. To approve scheduling a strategic planning session with identified stakeholders.

S. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (NOVEMBER 1, 2018)

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve as amended the Development Agreement Amendment Number Two (2) with GBES Owner I, LLC for East Town Mall Redevelopment at 2350 E. Mason St. (Tax Parcel 21-126-7) and 2350-2390 E. Mason St. (Tax Parcel 21-126-3), subject to minor legal and technical revisions.

The Authority may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Authority may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

2. To continue negotiations on sale of real property at Washington St. (Tax Parcel 15-168).

The Authority may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Authority may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

T. RECEIVE & PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.

I. Building Permit Report for October 2018.

U. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to suspend the rules to adopt resolutions #1 through #8. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barb Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre. Resolution #9 was taken separately.

1. Resolution adopting the 2019 Operating Plan for Downtown Green Bay, Inc. Business Improvement District.

2. Resolution adopting the 2019 Operating Plan for Olde Main Street, Inc. Business Improvement District.

3. Resolution adopting the 2019 Operating Plan for On Broadway, Inc. Business Improvement District.

4. Resolution adopting the 2019 Operating Plan for the Military Avenue Business Association Business Improvement District.

5. Resolution approving easement across City owned parcels.

6. Resolution approving the dedication of City owned lands for street purposes for the reconstruction of Erie Road from East Mason Street to Padi Wood Lane.

7. Resolution cancelling pending special assessments for pavement construction, water main, and water services.

8. Resolution authorizing the transfer of \$14,714.00 from the Contingency Account to Police and Fire Commission.

9. Resolution adopting 2019 budget and tax levy.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve resolution #9. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest.

V. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to refer the petitions and communications to the appropriate Committee or Commission. Motion carried.

Request by Ald. Chris Wery to the Protection & Policy Committee to do a complete update on the rat abatement and rat activity 2018 vs. 2017.

Request by Ald. Chris Wery to the Protection & Policy Committee to review with possible action on ordinance 27.203 Regulation of Noise for the purpose of amending with weekend hours changing in the daytime to 09:00 - 20:00.

W. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.

I. General Ordinance 27-18

An ordinance amending Section 27.902(2), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to penalties for marijuana possession.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to reconsider. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to advance. Motion carried.
Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest.

2. General Ordinance No. 30-18

An ordinance amending Section 29.307, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to traffic regulations.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre.

X. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to adjourn at 9:08 p.m. Motion carried.

Kris A. Teske
Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes, in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at greenbaywi.gov.

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Alright, good evening. I want to call to order the meeting of the common council for Monday, November 5th. Clerk?

- All are present, Your Honor.

- Alright, I I of you have logged in. So we've got, obviously, a quorum and we'll continue. If you'd now please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance and then remain standing for the invocation.

- [Everyone Together] I pledge allegiance to the flag of The United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

- Heavenly father, today, as we do everyday, we ask for you to be with us and strengthen us as we make decisions to better the community of Green Bay. Let us be mindful of your gifts that you've given each one of us. Next week we celebrate Veterans Day. It's because of our veterans and you that we have the freedoms we enjoy each and every day. Bless all our veterans and their families with peace and knowledge that they are respected and appreciated for their courage and efforts. Tonight we also pray for the families who've lost loved ones in some of the most horrific events over the past few weeks. The shootings in Philadelphia, the plane crash that took 188 lives and here closer to home, the tragedy that took the lives of three girl scouts. Help their families and help us each to strengthen our faith in each other and a stronger faith in you. As always, we ask you to continue to watch over all those who serve in our armed forces, especially those serving on the

USS Green Bay. We ask this in your name, amen. Alright. So what are your wishes regarding the approval of the minutes of October 16th? There's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Bill Galvin] Motion second.

- Second by Alderman Galvin to approve the minutes of the October 16th meeting. Any questions here? See no lights, all in favor that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- And those opposed, nay. And now on to the minutes of the October 22nd special meeting.

- [Andy Nicholson] Approved.

- Motion by Alderman Nicholson.

- [Barb Dorff] I approve. Second by Alderman Dorff. See no lights here. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- And those opposed, nay. The ayes have it so the minutes of 16th and 22nd have been approved. We're now on to approval of the agenda.

- [Randy Scannell] Approved.

- Motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Mark Steuer] Second.

- Second by Alderman Steuer. Do you wanna handle differently here? Alright, seeing no lights. All in favor of that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- And those opposed, nay. The ayes have it and the agenda before you has been approved.

- [Clear] Report by the mayor.

- Two, three things I wanna mention. First of all, as you can tell, Vanessa Chavez is not here and she had a baby boy on Saturday, so we're very happy for her. Levi, and they'll be coming home today, so all is well with the Chavez family. I just wan to mention that there's a lot of events coming up and really just tell your constituents and your neighborhood associations really to go online, everything from the peace tree lighting, obviously the parade that's coming up. On Broadway, the lighting and that's important that we attend that, but two things I really want to mention. The ethics and business award luncheon is this Thursday, November 8th and that's a relatively new event, what, six, seven years old and they just honor the ethics of businesses and really talk about, like you learned in law school, the integrity and ethical decisions that we're faced with and this year's recipient for the individual is our own Chief Smith. So, isn't that awesome? This guy's gonna be the most outstanding individual ethics person and congratulations, Chief, we look forward to your dinner, or your lunch. And then, secondly, just Veterans Day, as I mentioned in my invocation. We're gonna, of course it's the 11th, but in this town, we moved it to the 12th and so, that's gonna be on Monday and at 10 o'clock at Shopko Hall is a nice event to honor the veterans and we'll be recognizing some of the people there and then at four

o'clock that evening at EWGB there is a program honoring the veteran students at EWGB, so I would just, if you could attend that, that's on Monday, November 12th, this year. And like I mentioned, just the tree lighting, the parade on the 17th are just all activities that I think it'd be great to see each other at. That would conclude my report.

- [Kris Teske] We're onto announcements.

- Okay, I'm not getting it, who's on, who's up? Who did not say yes? Did somebody just like, who is it? Who? How come I'm not getting this on, when I hit refresh?

- [Kris Teske] Barb Dorff.

- Okay, alright, I'm sorry, there we go. Alderperson Dorff.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I just neglected to do something last Tuesday at the joint finance personnel committee meeting and I really would like to thank Alder Steuer, Alder Johnson, Alder Scannell, Alder Vander Leest, Alder Stevens, Alder Lefebvre, Alder Galvin and Alder Corpus-Dax for coming to that meeting. I meant to do that at the end of the meeting and we kind of ended pretty fast, so I just really appreciated you giving of your time and your constituents should also appreciate that you came and you gave such great input. So, thank you very much.

- Alright, thank you. Okay, clerk, will you do it?

- [Kris Teske] Look at, this is...

- Oh, Alderman Johnson.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I spoke with a few of you before the meeting, but The Broadway District has been named one of 41 national finalists for a grant from The Levitt Foundation out of California and so, it's to bring in a 10 week concert series to Green Bay that would bring in some nationally recording artists that are kind of on the cusp of becoming big. For us to become eligible, though, we need to place in the top 25 for online votes. So, I handed out some cards to a number of you, I ran out, I apologize, but once you've voted, please pass those on levittamp.org is where you can go to vote and let's get Green Bay in the top 25.

- Alright, cool. Is that one of the things we can vote every day?

- [Brian Johnson] Once.

- Oh, just once.

- [Brian Johnson] I like that. Her email address.

- [Member] Her email address.

- [Brian Johnson] Her email.

- [Member] Yes.

- With voting coming up, we should like that too. Okay, clerk, that's, I got Alderman Johnson, okay, let's continue.

- [Kris Teske] Brunt public hearings.

- [Barb Dorff] I have a quick question, one more time.
- Alright, Alderperson Dorff.
- I need to amend my thanks, I forgot Alder Brunette. Thank you, Alder Brunette.
- [Mayor] Alright. He looked a little disheveled, so okay, good, thank you for doing that.
- [Kris Teske] We're now into public hearings. Zoning ordinance number 16-18, an ordinance rezoning property located at 2405 West Mason Street Frontage Road from Business Park District to General Commercial District and planning ordinance number 4-18, an ordinance amending the official map of the city of Green Bay by closing the unimproved portion of Kellogg Street, and the third one is public hearing of the 2019 proposed city agreement budget.
- Alright, so we're onto the public hearing portion of the city council meeting and as the clerk stated, there's a zoning ordinance, a planning ordinance, and then the floor is also open to hear from the public regarding the 2019 proposed city budget. So, if there's anyone here who would like to speak to any of those three items, if you just want to come up to the podium and keep your remarks to a couple, three minutes, we'd appreciate it. So, again, anybody want to speak from the public on zoning ordinance 16-18, planning ordinance 4-18, or any comments on the proposed city budget? Does anyone want to talk? Just come on up to the podium and state your name and address please.
- Kriescher, I I I I Doty. The fights that you have and the problems you have, you've, the city council's created in themselves. And that's with your TIF Districts and everything else. You guys, when we were buying and tearing down houses, I tried to get the planning commission to tell us how much it improved the neighborhoods and they would not tell us. They would not because if they did and it wasn't feasible, we'd quit it. And when you do TIFs, you keep saying in the paper, \$129,000 for the shipyard. You won't see that for 20 years. And a lot of the projects took 20 years and you just closed two big TIF Districts that didn't make a dime. You lost money and one that just broke even. And you sit there, you can't keep doing this and put it off for 20 years. And it's still come and do, we were doing it and it still hasn't covered the cost because you never see it. You get new buildings, but the roads aren't being fixed, firemen aren't being paid, less policemen, less everything and you gotta sit there and quit, it looks good because you do all these buildings, but it gives you absolutely nothing. And when I was at an RDA meeting, they were all clapping their hands because Goodwill bought a Best Buy building out on West Mason and I looked at the next guy and I was the Alderman in the city of Green Bay, I said, "You know what you got out of that? Zero." It's a non-profit, it doesn't pay taxes. And you have a lot of it down here, you're just like the seller of Schumacher, you want to turn it over to maybe a dance thing. You know what you'll get for it? Zero. You're still paying off the Meyer Theater when you renovated for this guy that wants a new office building on the other side and what you get out of it is it's a non-profit, you get zero. You can't keep doing that and expect you to have a budget that balances. You gotta start asking the questions and see what it gives in a few years and if it's gonna pay anything. If you run your business like this, you know, I'll be out of business. So, you gotta either start changing it, I would like to see a development, but this is free enterprise. Why in the hell are we keeping 20% or 25% of all these things? If they want to do it and it's such a big thing that downtown's worth so much, they should be clambering to sit there and do it and all you have to do is give it to them, but you're paying them to do it. Then that can't be very profitable land. Thank you.
- [Mayor] Thank you. Gary. Anyone else want to speak to the budget or the other two items? Anyone else? Anyone else wish to be heard? Alright, clerk.
- [Kris Teske] We're onto ordinance of second reading for adoption, you may, under suspension of the rules, take up items one and two of the roll call vote.

- [Randy Scannell] Suspend.
- That is a motion by Alderman Scannell.
- [Bill Galvin] Second.
- Second by Alderman Galvin to suspend the rules for that purpose. All in favor of suspension, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nay. Ayes have it, rules are suspended.
- Mayor. In regards to, get the template back up, zoning ordinance 16-18, the rezoning property 2405 West Mason Street, the applicant asked that that be, the second reading for adoption be held.
- Alright. So, somebody make that...
- [Member] Can you print that motion?
- Alright, that is a motion of Alderman Nicholson and second of Alderman Vander Leest to hold ordinance 16-18, which is item one for second reading. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nay. So, that motion's, that item is held. Is it held 'til the next council meeting or is it going back or?
- [Member] Mayor, on that, they want to hold it 'til the second session in February.
- Alright.
- [Member] That council meeting.
- So noted.
- [Member] I talked to Mr. Garritt Bader.
- Alright.
- [Member] Thank you.
- That's fine. Alright, thank you. Then we'll note that. Alright, so, on the floor right now is planning ordinance 4-18, there was a motion to second it.
- [Randy Scannell] I'll put it down.
- You used the, motion by Alderman Scannell.
- [Craig Stevens] Second.

- Was that you? Alderman Stevens, if you use the board on this please. Alright, very good. And that passes unanimously. Thank you.
- [Kris Teske] Report of the improvement and service committee, October 29, 2018.
- [Randy Scannell] Motion to approve.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell.
- [Chris Wery] Second.
- And a second by Alderman Wery to approve the report of the improvement service committee. That was for the meeting held on October 29th. Any items in this report, this is report J, that you'd like to handle separately? I see no lights. All in favor of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nays. The ayes have it and that report's been approved.
- [Kris Teske] Report of the protection and policy committee, October 22, 2018.
- Alright, we have a motion by Alderman Randy Scannell, second by Alderman Craig Stevens to approve report K, which is the report of protection and policy committee. That was for their meeting held on October 22nd. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately?
- [Kathy Lefebvre] Four.
- Item four? That's a referral.
- [Kathy Lefebvre] Yeah, I still want to--
- Alright, that's fine.
- [Kathy Lefebvre] I don't understand it. I'm totally shocked because the testing--
- Well, just wait, wait, okay, four.
- [Chris Wery] Nine.
- Alright, so item four was pulled by Alderperson Lefebvre, item nine by Alderman Wery. Any others? Hear no others. All in favor of the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nays. Ayes have it, all items have been approved with the exception of four and nine. What are your wishes regarding item four?
- [Randy Scannell] I approve.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderman Steuer to approve item four. Alderperson Lefebvre.

- Yes, when I, when I read the thing, I didn't quite get it, so I called Kris Teske and she said it would actually be not just for picking up groceries, it would be for liquor also. Yes. And I definitely do not approve of that. We went through this before. We, there was an, was it...

- [Randy Scannell] Walmart.

- Walmart wanted to do it and we said no and I don't believe that we should be doing drive through,

- [Mayor] Alright.

- To get their own liquor, I'm sorry, I just will not approve that. So, I know it goes to referral, so I will show up,

- Okay, that's what, the motion's to refer it,

- But I wanted to.

- then it's gonna come back here and then we're going to vote on it.

- Yes, and I wanted to let know that I, my unapproval.

- [Mayor] Okay, thank you. Right. Alright, see no other lights. All in favor of referral of item four, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] And those opposed, nays. Ayes have it. Item four has been approved. What are your wishes regarding item nine?

- [Randy Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Mayor] So, motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Craig Stevens] I approve.

- [Mayor] Second by Alderperson, Alderman Stevens. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Right now my only comment is that I would like this displayed to vote on this item, thanks.

- Alright, will do. Yup, Alderman Vander Leest, if you just click your light, I'll be right there. Alderman Vander Leest.

- Thank you, Mayor. I know that the request was made to change the ordinance from \$1,000 to \$500. I talked to the district attorney and to the sheriff and they weren't in harmony with this. They use it, they think of it as a tool and as a deterrent and I don't know why the city of Green Bay is moving forward when they're really not in conjunction with the district attorney or the sheriff. I don't think Chief Smith is signed in on what he feels, but I think Green Bay does have a drug and alcohol problem. I think that reducing the fines, I don't think has any real merit to it. The police department and the sheriff's department, they don't always give a fine of \$1,000, they use their discretion and in some cases, they would like to have a heftier fine and I think that I'm gonna follow suit with the sheriff and the district attorney. And as far as, I think that we're sending the wrong message here in the community. And that's my thoughts on that.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you.
- I will not support number nine. Thank you.
- [Mayor] Thank you, sir. Alderman Nicholson.
- Thank you, mayor. What are all the changes to this ordinance or policy?
- [Mayor] Want me do that? You want to do that?
- [Member] Why don't I have the city attorney.
- [Mayor] Thank you.
- So, in general, it basically reduces the penalties for marijuana possession under 28 grams. It lowers the fine amount from 1,000 to 500 for possession in public, to 250 for possession in a private residence, it removes the requirement that a municipal citation has to be referred for criminal charges after the first offense, it removes the penalty of suspension of a drivers license and instead puts in community service in lieu of or conjunction with a fine as a penalty.
- [Andy Nicholson] Okay, so what's the purpose of this?
- Just to basically lessen the severity of the fines and the penalties while still keeping it--
- And is there any logic behind this that it will do what?
- [Joanne Bungert] Essentially, it just lessens the burden the financial burden of individuals.
- I mean, will this deter more people?
- [Joanne Bungert] I think the deterrence would still be the same. I would maybe defer to the police department for input on that. But, essentially, it's just decriminalizing, not legalizing in any way, it's still a crime in the state of Wisconsin, so it's not legalizing, it's just lessening the severity of the fines.
- Out of the thousand dollars right now, what does that cover? Court costs?
- [Joanne Bungert] That is not court costs, so that's just the ticket amount, correct. Court costs always get added on if the citation is objected to.
- Okay, what does the \$1,000 cover then?
- [Joanne Bungert] It's just revenue, it doesn't necessarily cover any expense.
- It's revenue?
- Correct.
- Revenue to?
- [Joanne Bungert] It's just a penalty.

- Okay. So, it's revenue to the city?
- [Joanne Bungert] I believe it goes to muni court.
- It goes right to Judge Hanson's budget?
- [Joanne Bungert] Correct.
- Okay. And then he utilizes that revenue to what he believes should be spent then?
- [Joanne Bungert] Correct.
- Okay. Like what? Salaries?
- [Joanne Bungert] I'm not sure what his constraints are.
- Does he have witness protection? Does he have?
- [Joanne Bungert] I don't believe he has witness protection, no.
- [Mayor] His budget's in the book, but.
- [Joanne Bungert] Right.
- Well, yeah, but I'd like to have it seeded for the record. I know it's in the book.
- [Joanne Bungert] I'm not sure how that gets divvied up in his budget.
- Okay. Is Judge Hanson here?
- [Mayor] No, I don't see him.
- 'Kay. Alright, and then you mentioned something about drivers license, can you
- Yeah, so,
- expand on that please?
- [Joanne Bungert] Right, so currently, in the current ordinance, license suspension is a potential penalty, however, in checking with the prosecutor and with the municipal court clerk, it's never issued.
- It's never issued.
- Nope.
- Okay. So, basically the judge can--
- [Joanne Bungert] Not for this anyway.
- Okay, so basically the judge can use it for discretion,
- Correct, mm hmm.

- any purposes. Okay. And this is basically less than 28 grams, nothing above 28 grams?
- [Joanne Bungert] Correct.
- And then what does it do on the second violation?
- [Joanne Bungert] So, right now, the way that it's currently set up, the second violation for less than 25 grams automatically gets referred for criminal charges.
- Okay.
- [Joanne Bungert] So you can only get one municipal citation for possession. With the changes implemented as proposed, it would be up to the discretion of the arresting officer whether to refer those charges to criminal court or whether to issue the person or the offender another municipal citation.
- Okay. So, basically, what does the police department, what do they utilize out of the, this violation right now? Basically, do they write the citations right to \$1,000?
- [Joanne Bungert] My understanding is that they typically do not max out the penalty. I think it hovers around, I don't know the exact number, but I think it usually is around \$600 plus court cost.
- \$600. Okay. And then, with the second violation, what are the penalties to the second violation right now? Before the change is in.
- So, a second violation would be referred to the district attorney's office to charge for criminal.
- And then this will eliminate it if we have the change?
- [Joanne Bungert] Correct. Right, they could still be referred for criminal charges, but it's up to the discretion of the officer whether it being--
- It's up to the officer?
- [Joanne Bungert] Right, instead of it being an automatic referral.
- Okay. Can the judge, the municipal judge right now, can, if during the change, if there is a change to this particular issue, can he issue it right to the DA's office? Does he have that discretion?
- [Joanne Bungert] I do not believe the judge would, it would be up to the municipal prosecutor or the officer at the time of writing the ticket.
- Okay, the prosecutor of the officer, okay.
- [Joanne Bungert] Once it's in front of the judge, the judge is deciding.
- So, there's someone in authority that could.
- [Joanne Bungert] Correct.
- Okay. Alright, thank you very much.

- Thank you.

- You're welcome.

- [Mayor] Anything else?

- I'm good right now, Mayor, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. Alright, we'll go to Alderman Galvin.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I'm in favor of parts of this change, but I'm not in favor of other parts. I don't have an issue with lowering the maximum down to \$500 when you consider with the cost, again, making 15 bucks an hour, that's an entire week's worth of wages and that's pretty hard for an individual to lose a week's worth of wages for a citation like that. I have no problem taking out the driving restriction. We don't always know who the judge is gonna be and if we got a judge in there that starts taking away people's means of getting to work, again, it reduces their ability to support themselves and pay off the fine. The \$250 in a residence, I can't agree with that. I went into too many homes when I was on the job where there were children present that were subjected to second hand smoke from marijuana, there's plenty of stories in the paper about children coming in contact with these products and I understand there's other charges for that, but I think we need to treat the same in the home as we do on the street. Hopefully the state will, in the near future, make some decisions on this, especially as it relates to medical marijuana, but until then, I don't think we should be reducing it to the point where it's almost why have it. And so, I'm not gonna support this ordinance as it's listed right now. And I'd be willing to make an amendment to take it up to \$500 so it matches what it is if they were caught with it on the street.

- [Randy Scannell] I'll second that.

- [Mayor] Alright, so we have a motion by Alderman Galvin, second by Alderman Scannell to amend ordinance 20-17 by taking the private fine equal to the public fine, which is \$500, whether you're caught in public or in private. Right?

- Yes.

- [Mayor] So, we're gonna discuss that amendment right now and then we're gonna vote on it and then we get back to the ordinance. So, I know there's a couple of you that wanted to speak, does anybody want to speak to this amendment? Alderman Scannell. Good.

- I know there are people here who would like to speak on this and I think maybe now would be a good time to open the floor, so we can hear what they think

- Motion to open the floor

- about the amendment, too.

- [Andy Nicholson] For the interested parties.

- [Mark Steuer] Second.

- [Mayor] Okay. So, we have a motion by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderman Steuer to open the floor to hear from interested parties. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays. Ayes have it. Floor is open. Why's there so much feedback? The floor is now open to hear from the public. We're discussing general ordinance 27-18, which is amending 27.902 parent two of the Green Bay municipal code, replacing penalties for marijuana possession previously discussed at the October 8th protection and policy committee. Anyone that wants to speak to this, you can hold your remarks to three minutes, we'd appreciate that. Go ahead.

- Well, good evening. My name is Dawn Radford, 408 South Van Buren Street, apartment one, Green Bay, of course, and I'd like to thank the council for taking this matter up, I think it's long overdue and however this goes tonight, I'm just glad we're thinking about it and giving it some serious thought. We have a philosophy in this country, at least I grew up with, that the punishment must fit the crime. This just appeals to, I think, the average citizen's sense of fair play. Now, not only do many people think this is no longer as severe of a crime, but there are many who say it should just no longer be a crime. I wish the election had been the day before this, so I could give you firm numbers, it's gonna have to wait 'til tomorrow, I'm afraid. Now, some people do say the penalties must be severe, very severe. For the people I hear talking that way, I get the impression I'm hearing from people who would cheerfully prescribe the death penalty for parking violations. I just cannot take them seriously. As for the notion that this sends a message, it certainly does send a message. It sends a message that the leadership of this city has a grasp upon reason. When we hear propaganda from people, like at the top of the justice department, saying that marijuana is worse than heroin, which is laughable, their credibility suffers and that incredibility leaches from the head down. It taints entire organizations, it erodes public trust and the respect we have for these organizations, most notably law enforcement where everybody kind of is face to face with on it. Anyway, I do encourage you to approve the decriminalization measure as it was brought here and thank you for your time.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. Anyone else wishing to speak to this?

- Wendy Coriell, 1473 Grignon Street. Good evening. I am an IT professional and I'm here to speak in favor of decriminalization of cannabis. While I think reduced fines and lack of jail time is a good start, I'd actually like you see go further, I'd like to see the fine for possessing 28 grams or less to be a zero dollar fine, like Minocqua has, regardless of where the possession takes place. As some of you know, I have MS, which is a neurological disorder that destroys the myelin sheath of the nerves and spinal cord. The MS Society supports a patient's right to use cannabis in legal states, but since we don't have one of those yet, it's up to the Green Bay City Council to protect people like me for suffering negative consequences for trying to reduce our suffering. Zero dollar fines for cannabis also make sense recreationally considering the fact we live in the drunkest city in the U.S. I lost my first stepfather to alcoholism when he died from having a grand mal seizure due to withdrawals and alcohol played a role in my second stepfather's nearly losing his life. Cannabis, on the other hand, has never taken a life. Maybe it's time to give people a choice to make their own adult decisions in this matter. I hope one of you throws out an amendment to bring the fine down to zero dollars for a debate and vote. I'd at least like to see the debate on a zero dollar fine if nothing else comes of it, I'd at least like to hear you debate it, that'd be pretty cool. I know that you have a budget tonight, so I really do thank you for taking this up this evening.

- [Mayor] Thank you.

- That's it, thanks.

- [Mayor] Anyone else wishing to speak to this general ordinance, 27-18? Anyone else?

- [Andy Nicholson] Motion to return to regular order of business.

- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderperson Lefebvre to return to regular order of business. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- And those opposed. Ayes have it. We're back in regular session and Alderman Scannell, you had the floor.

- So, yes. About the amendment, I'm fine with amendment, I don't, for me, the fine always was, whatever was going to work for everyone would be fine with me. If staff would have said and Judge Hanson and the chief would have, the police chief would have said it needs to be 1,000, I would've stayed with 1,000, if they'd of said it could go to zero or 100, my sister, I would've gone with that. So, we came up with 500 and it was actually at, during committee and talking with the public that they suggested that we looked at that, I forget which municipality had a separate fine, a lesser fine for in the home, and we looked at that and so we thought, well, we'll put it in and see where it goes, but for me, the fine is really the last piece of the puzzle that I'm concerned with, it's much more the others. So, if the board, uh, the board, council feels that it's necessary to raise the fine to 500 for within the home, I certainly would support that too. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. Alderman Nicholson, I just want to note that the judge is in the house now, if you.

- [Andy Nicholson] Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright, we'll go to Alderman Galvin.

- Your Honor, I just wanted to say, too, that I was contacted by some residents within my district, a total of three households, totaling three people that were against or that were for decriminalization, Wendy being one of them, and then two that were against, so out of all the information that has been out there, that's all I've been contacted on on this issue. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright. Alderman Vander Leest, did you want to comment on the amendment?

- I just want to mention one thing that the, bring the council aware that if the city of Green Bay changes its ordinance or surrounding Brown County municipalities, Denmark, Pulaski, they're gonna be on the old system and the rest on the current system that we have right now. It does send a message that Green Bay's not, in other words, it's okay in Green Bay, but not in these other communities. So, myself, I feel that I don't want to change what's going on right now in Brown County. Green Bay's a big part of Brown County and all the other municipalities are, as well. So, I just wanted council to be aware of that.

- Alright. So, the amendment on the floor is amending general ordinance 27-18 to increasing the fine for private use to the same as public use, so from 250 to 500. So, we're gonna vote on that amendment, see how that goes, and then we're gonna back to the general ordinance. So, if you want to use the board here, a yes vote increases the fine from, rearranges the fine.

- [Member] So, do you have any register at all?

- I do.

- [Member] Thank you. Is that too?

- No, we got it on there. Go ahead.

- Thank you mayor. So, basically, the committee went to \$250 and the motion is 500 just for marijuana seen in the house?

- [Bill Galvin] So, it would be if an officer enters a home and finds marijuana under 28 grams, with the amendment, the maximum fine would be \$500 plus costs. Prior to that it was, the proposal was \$250 plus costs.
- Right, that was.
- [Bill Galvin] Outside the home, it was for \$500 plus costs. I just wanted the two to match.
- But, I thought it was \$1,000 when this first started.
- [Bill Galvin] Right now, it currently is \$1,000 plus costs, the--
- In the house and on the street.
- [Bill Galvin] Yes.
- Okay.
- [Bill Galvin] And it was also for 25 grams,
- Not 28.
- not 28.
- Right, now why 500, Bill? Why are you?
- [Bill Galvin] In my opinion, the average guy out there working is making 15, 20 bucks an hour. A \$500 fine plus costs is over \$800. That's a week's worth of wages before anything's taken out of it. To me, that's a pretty stiff fine for a guy that's just trying
- Right.
- to make a living and support himself.
- Okay.
- [Bill Galvin] And I don't want to put anyone in a position where they lose their home or they lose their apartment or something because they have to pay these fines.
- Okay. I guess, Mayor, I disagree with this. I got law enforcement background, I saw many numerous times, marijuana, other drugs, but let's just stick to marijuana, really ask yourself, if you have marijuana in your house, which is an illegal drug and you have your children see you using it, to me that's not a responsible parent. I can't even fathom my parents having any marijuana in the house. I can't fathom my kids looking at me, ex officer, having marijuana in the house. It's a illegal substance. Now, and if it's legal, that's a different story, but it's illegal. I can't comprehend this. I think it's irresponsible to have an illegal substance in a house with children or teenagers or even young 20 year old children or young adults that you're trying to raise. This shows irresponsibility. I just don't understand this, I don't see how this is accomplishing anything. Actually, I'd like to see the fines even higher. But, like I said, that's my opinion, I come back, I come from a law enforcement background, I'm not gonna support this unless this is a legal substance, but it's illegal. Please ask yourself. Mayor, aldermen, alderwomen, really? I have marijuana in the house and I'm gonna let my kids see me smoke an illegal substance. The difference between this and alcohol, alcohol is legal, marijuana is not and that's the issue I cannot get past. Thank you very much, Mayor.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Do you understand it's still illegal, it's just the fine? Okay.

- Yeah.

- [Mayor] Okay. Alright, so, anybody? Brian, Alderman Johnson.

- I'm not gonna support this amendment and look, I'm not here to debate whether or not the use of this is illegal, we know it's illegal. That's not the issue. The issue here is does the penalty fit the crime? You know what? Speeding is illegal. We don't give out \$1,000 penalties for speeding. And I tell you what, I'd argue that speeding is far more dangerous to the residents in our community, far more dangerous to the residents in residential neighborhoods and kids playing on streets than someone who wants to engage in something in their own personal residence. I'm not gonna support this because to me, this isn't about an assessment and a judgment on whether or not a drug is illegal, it's an assessment about whether or not this is the appropriate penalty. We've talked about a couple of things out here. The sheriff and the DA not being on the same page, that's Brown County, I'm not sure what that has to do with Green Bay. We've talked about it being a deterrent. I would ask you to raise your hands, how many here knew what the penalty was before the discussion on this issue ever began. If you don't know what the penalty is, how is it a deterrent? How is it a deterrent when you don't know what the penalty's going to be? This is something that across the country, you are seeing discussions about how this is not a gateway drunk, that, gateway drug, that theory has been debunked, many times. This is, alcohol and tobacco kill far more people than this substance ever has. And yet, we are not passing legislation to ban those things. Maybe that ought to be the next discussion then. But until that happens, I'm not gonna support penalizing people beyond what's just and that's what we're here to discuss today, is what is just? And because of that, I'm not gonna support the amendment, I am gonna support the item when it comes back up, but that's all I got right now.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. Anything else? Alderman Vander Leest, are you done? Well, we can, we're gonna have to open the floor for the judge. What, Alderman Vander Leest, go ahead. The judge is here.

- Thank you.

- [Mayor] What, he's right behind you, what question do you have?

- Judge Hanson,

- [Mayor] Here, talk into your mic so we can all hear.

- I'd like to have him give us a brief, how it plays out as far as the cases go. He's handling all the cases, I'd like to hear what he has to say, if he's for reducing the fines or if he's not for reducing the fines.

- [Mayor] Judge, do you understand we're talking about the marijuana ordinance and there's a couple things in the ordinance that are being proposed? One is to make the fine from 1,000 to \$500 for public use and 250 in the private home, there's an amendment on the floor right now to bring the private home use of 250 parallel with the public use, so that the maximum fine the officers could issue would be \$500. The alderman's asking, I think, your opinion on it.

- Yeah, I'm okay with whatever the counsel proposes here. I do use discretion while I'm on the bench depending on the person here, the bonds are set, that's really the starting point of where things happen. The officers, if they find this in the home or outside, they've gotta set the fines, this is the starting point, where a police officer sets that fine and just takes the bond and puts it into play where the tickets show up in front of me. Now, the other thing that happens out there is there's typically two tickets that go along with this. There's typically a possession charge and there's a drug paraphernalia charge, okay? So, typically it's a double whammy,

so you could be talking, at your \$500 plus costs, it's an \$880 fine on each one of those, okay? I think it's, on the drug paraphernalia, 691. So, a drunk driving charge is 800 to 900 dollars based on guidelines set by a Brown County district court, which is consistent throughout Brown County. So, if you put it in perspective, and I frequently do, I say to myself, "Is this similar to a drunk driving charge?" So, not just Alderman Johnson's speeding charge, but is it comparable, and we try to put things on a judicial side, comparable to, make a penalty that's comparable. So, frequently, I look at the individual and those fines get lowered, so I'm okay with it, it's being legalized throughout the U.S., it's not gonna change in Wisconsin until the federal law changes, okay? And that's just, it's just not gonna happen in Wisconsin. So, you asked my cents on it, whatever you guys want to do is fine with me, I'll still continue to dispense justice based on what I see that comes before me.

- [John Vander Leest] Are you in favor of changing the ordinance?

- Yeah, there, as I say, you typically see both tickets come at you, so really it's, what's coming at you is \$1,760, where a drunk drive, first time drunk driving charge is 900. That's to put it in perspective.

- [Mayor] Alright.

- [John Vander Leest] Thank you.

- Get the amendment. Okay. Thank you, Judge. Alright. Alderman Johnson, do you want to speak again on that?

- [Brian Johnson] Just another question for the judge.

- That's fine. Hang on. Go ahead.

- Judge Hanson, if an individual is pulled over and deemed under the influence of marijuana, would they be charged with a DUI?

- Yeah, that's a, it's drugs or alcohol.

- [Brian Johnson] Okay.

- It's impaired driving, whether it's drugs or alcohol, that's part of an OWI, sure.

- [Brian Johnson] So, regardless of what we would do with this ordinance today, that penalty would still be in place.

- Absolutely.

- [Brian Johnson] Thank you.

- [Mayor] You hit your light Brunette.

- The vote's up so I can't turn my light on, yeah.

- [Mayor] Oh, well then take the votes off. Alright, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

- Sure, my question also is for Judge Hanson. Now, when the two citations are issued for possession and paraphernalia, I believe you said, you, as municipal judge, you have the discretion to reduce those fines as you see just, correct?

- [Judge Hanson] I frequently take that into account based on what I see, yes.
- [Jesse Brunette] When you say frequently, is that common if you have two in excess of \$800?
- Yeah, it's, if they're appearing before me and I take the circumstances into account. You gotta remember, for all these tickets, you gotta, say you've got the typical batch of tickets that come in front of you and that are issued from the police department, I see maybe, at best, 20% of those. So, 80% are never gonna see me, they just don't show up or something, 20% will come before me.
- [Jesse Brunette] And those who come before you, you show a little
- Yeah, we take a look at it and go from there.
- [Jesse Brunette] Understand, looking at character,
- Correct.
- [Jesse Brunette] Are they repentant, so. Okay, thank you.
- [Mayor] Alderperson Dorff, on the amendment.
- Thank you. I would prefer to see all the penalties lower, so I'm not gonna support the amendment to raise the penalty from 250 to 500. I like the explanation that judge, the judge gave us, it was a very good explanation and that just makes me feel even stronger about not supporting that amendment. Thank you.
- [Mayor] Thank you. And Alderman Steuer, the amendment.
- Thank you, Your Honor. I do support the amendment. I think that Officer Galvin was, Alderman Galvin was privy to a lot of these situations over time. I think it's important that we stay consistent and we argued about this over a number of meetings, this entire, this entire situation. And it's still illegal. It is an illegal drug, we understand that, but I think, under the circumstances, we're making a little bit of a switch here by lowering the fine a little bit. It's still illegal, it may become legal someday, but I think, for now, it's still a bit of an onus on the individual. I think Judge Hanson brought up some good points and I think it's up to the discretion of the judge, as well, and the officer. We have to trust them that they'll do the right thing, as well. So, I'm in favor of the amendment.
- Alright.
- Thank you.
- Alderman Scannell, hang on. Go ahead.
- Thank you, Your Honor. Yeah, I just want to say that, oh my God, I forgot. Hang on, give me a second.
- [Mayor] No jokes.
- I got a lot here and it's all just all of a sudden hitting me.
- [Mayor] 'Kay, this is on the amendment, we're gonna go back to the ordinance.
- Yup, yeah, okay. The amendment was, part of what we were doing for reducing it was taking into consideration the medicinal use, which is usually in the home. That's why we went to a lower fine within the

home. And I think to have it higher at 500 and if the judge knew it was for medicinal purposes, I think he would take that into consideration. So, I think I'm okay with it going up to 500 if that's what it takes to get this whole thing passed 'cause I think the other parts of it are far more important and I trust our police department, I trust our judges that they will use discretion and if someone's using medicinally, that they will be taking that into account and setting the fine accordingly. So, if it's 500 straight across the board and it's what takes, it needs to take to get the trick done, I'm fine with that, but that was the thinking behind reducing it for in the home, so. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. You good?

- [Barb Dorff] No, I got one more thing.

- Alright, go ahead.

- So listening to Alder Scannell, I don't want this whole thing to go away. I don't support raising it and sometimes you vote for something that you don't support, necessarily, because you want the end result to be a certain way. Judge Hanson, do you take, if it's used medicinally, do you take that into consideration?

- [Judge Hanson] I do.

- Okay.

- [Judge Hanson] Sure.

- Then I'll have to think about how I'm gonna vote. Thank you.

- [Mayor] You better think pretty quick because you're the last light. Yeah, go ahead. Alderman Galvin.

- This is for Judge Hanson. How do you determine that, if someone walks in and says, "Hey, it was for medicinal use."

- [Judge Hanson] Well, after seeing a few thousand people in front of you, you kind of get a idea on credibility. You gotta use your judgment on credibility.

- So, it's gut?

- [Judge Hanson] It's gut.

- Yeah.

- [Judge Hanson] And it's also what, so you can line things up between what the officer said and are they consistent? The more details you get, the more likely that that story is true, if they're just making general comments and from what I've seen, the very detailed comments, they get very specific and you have a good feeling that that's happening.

- Alright, and we don't have anything like, the drug court is a circuit court program, isn't it?

- [Judge Hanson] No, we do not.

- Okay.

- [Judge Hanson] Correct.

- So, you have no ability to refer or anything else like that
- No, it's,
- in lieu of fine.
- [Judge Hanson] That's a circuit court judge.
- If we do, we do do this with the community service, could you work that out that maybe the community service is served at a treatment center? Or a counseling center?
- [Judge Hanson] Yeah, it's all, you could, I certainly take any recommendation that I get from an officer if they've got that in the report, I'm trying to follow and help out the situation 'cause the officer in the field sometimes has a very good understanding of what will help or not, so I certainly take that into consideration.
- Alright, thank you.
- Alright. Alright, so, Alderman Brunette.
- Last time, I promise. The question is actually for Chief Smith. Chief, when one of your officers pulls someone over for a traffic violation and they are under the influence of marijuana or some other drug, what other citations do they get issued, have issued?
- When they're just under the influence or when they're in possession?
- [Jesse Brunette] Both, I guess.
- Alright, so if they're in possession, they can get the citation for being in possession, if they're under the influence, they'll get a, or they'll be arrested for OWI.
- [Jesse Brunette] Okay, so operating while under the influence.
- Under the influence, yeah.
- [Jesse Brunette] There's no ordinance specific to under the influence of marijuana versus alcohol?
- I believe they're the same ordinance, it's all covered under the same operating while intoxicated.
- [Jesse Brunette] So, technically, a person could get three citations to be before a municipal court under the influence of marijuana, possession and paraphernalia.
- Yes.
- [Jesse Brunette] Okay, thank you. Alright.
- Use the board. What's on the floor right now is an amendment that takes the fine in the ordinance that's proposed from 250 to 500 dollars for both private and public use. A yes vote increases the fine to \$500 from the ordinance. Alright. So, that passes on a vote of eight to four. So now we're back to the ordinance with the amendment and the attorney went over the ordinance. Alderman Vander Leest, did you want to speak to this again or did you, you never really have taken your light off. Okay, do you want to take your light off then? 'Cause that queues things up here. Alright, Alderman Scannell, this is on the ordinance.

- Yup. Thank you, Your Honor. I would just like to say, I'd just like to just cover a little bit what this all about, how this all came about. It started with a conversation with the community and initially I was going to push as hard as I could to relax our marijuana laws, decriminalize as far as we could get it to go, but in talking with staff and the police and the judge, I came to realize that there were consequences for, in effect, nullifying the law. If we stripped the law of all consequences or such consequences that, in effect, the law is pretty much not practiced, that's the same as legalizing it and that would be wrong and irresponsible for this council to do. When legalization comes someday, it also needs to come with regulation and we lack the power to do that. So, to decriminalize the law to the point of nullifying the law would be irresponsible. So, I looked at our law and it needed revising. This law needs revising. Out of fairness. This is what this law says about us as a community. Our law is that the second offense would automatically go to criminal court is just, that's unjustifiable why we and why we take that discretion from our officers, I don't know. I want to empower our officers. To just systemically do this is inappropriate and irresponsible, I think, and it says it's not fair. For drunk driving, it's your fourth offense and if it happens, it needs to happen within five years of your third offense before you would lose your license, your license would be suspended. So, this law, it just automatically suspends your license or can just automatically suspend your license even if it doesn't have anything to do with a moving violation. No other law does that, that's draconian, what's that even in there for? And as far as the fine goes, I would like to make it clear that we are still very high. All the other major municipalities, Milwaukee, Madison, Oshkosh, Appleton, Eau Claire, their fines are still well below \$500. So, we still have some teeth in our law and as I said earlier, that number came by with a lot of hands, talking to the police staff, judge, the community. This was a work in progress for quite a while and a lot of input from a lot of reporters and this is what we came up with and I think it's fair and I think it's important to realize that one of the big pushes for this, I think the moral imperative for this is medicinal use. We've really put some people between a rock and a hard place where they have to choose between relief from their symptoms or breaking the law. I think it's grandma who's smoking for her glaucoma or a child smoking to relieve seizures, I think to threaten the parents and grandma with a felony after the second charge and up to \$1,000 fine is not fair, is not just. The state law does not differentiate between recreational and medicinal and neither can we under state law. So, it's all in one pile. So, I think, given the current circumstances and given the current environment where all around us it's being legalized, this is an issue that's not gonna go away, it's gonna effect us, I think decriminalizing our laws and making them more fair is appropriate and the right thing to do. Thank you.

- Thank you. We're talking about the ordinance. Anybody else want to speak to this? Then we're gonna vote on it. Use the board. This is to approve 27-18, which the city attorney went over. Okay, and that passes on a vote of eight to four. Alright? Thank you. Clerk, let's continue. Report of the protection and policy committee granting operator licenses.

- [Randy Scannell] Motion to approve.

- There's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Veronica Corpus-Dax] Second.

- Second by Alderperson Corpus-Dax to approve, this is the protection policy granting the operating licenses. Any names on this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none. All in favor of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays. The ayes have it. Report L has been approved.

- [Kris Teske] Report of the planning commission, October 22, 2018.

- [Randy Scannell] Motion to approve.
- There's a motion to approve by Alderman Scannell.
- [Barb Dorff] Second.
- Second by Alderperson Dorff to approve report item M, which is the report of the plan commission for the meeting held on October 22. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately?
- [Member] Mayor, can I just?
- Yeah, go ahead.
- [Member] Make a statement about item number three just to clarify that I am going to vote on this. As I see, council, I do not have a conflict of interest on this because
- Great.
- Mid board does, I do not report to the mid board.
- Yup. Alright. With that, there's a motion and second to approve item M. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nays. The ayes have it and items one, two, three and four have been approved. Alright.
- [Kris Teske] Report of the joint finance personnel committee, October 30, 2018.
- So, what I'd like to do is get a motion and a second, get this on the floor, take up the amendments.
- [Randy Scannell] Approve.
- Okay, so there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderperson Dorff to approve the report of the joint personnel and finance committee. I'm now gonna ask that it's just open for amendments, I'm not gonna take all, I don't know how many items are on here, 37. So, we'll go through this and make any changes or we'll debate, discuss and vote on any changes. At the end of this discussion, I'm gonna ask for a vote to adopt as amended. What happens, for some of you that haven't been here before, we still, as you see, resolution nine is to adopt the tax levy, so Chris will go downstairs, reprint out any changes we've made, come back and we'll adopt it at the end of the meeting. So, but right now, we're discussing the report. So, there's been a motion and second to adopt the report and we'll just take it from here on anything that you would like to discuss or amend regarding the joint finance and personnel committee. And so, I'll begin with Alderperson Dorff.
- Thank you. So, I've been working on trying to figure out how to get the ambulance service back in on that Station Seven and talked with the chief, talked with the fire, the representative from the union and I have a proposal, it's not necessarily a perfect proposal, but I would like the council to consider this amendment. In the budget, originally, it said it would cost 370,000 for those four positions and looking at it closer, people that are gonna be hired on to replace people that are retiring will come in at a lower rate. So, in working with Director Ellenbecker and the fire chief today, that number went down to 313,000. I then asked, talking with the union and talked with the fire chief, if, could they find any other funds and I'll let the fire chief speak to that, but my proposal to them was if we could come up with half, \$156,500 and take it from the fund balance with the thought that throughout the year next year, there may be ways to put money back into fund balance, then

could they come with \$156,500 to match that and we could get the ambulance back up and running and in district or in the seventh station, the Ambulance Station Seven or Fire Station Seven. So, maybe could the chief talk about this too or I don't know what the next.

- That's a motion.

- Or should I make it as an, move it and then.

- [Member] Second.

- [Mayor] Yeah, so there's a motion and a second, so this is on the floor now.

- Okay, I'll move that.

- [Mayor] That...

- We take 156,500

- Thousand, 500 dollars out of fund balance.

- From the fund balance, yeah.

- [Mayor] And the, with the understanding that that will be matched from what we're gonna hear from the chief.

- Fraction of the fire department's budget.

- [Member] Second.

- [Mayor] Okay, we got it. You want to hear from Chief Litton.

- Yes.

- [Mayor] Chief Litton. The alderperson's proposal.

- Good evening, Mayor. Yeah, I talked with Alder Dorff earlier this afternoon and she made that proposal. Quite honestly, again, I did not ask for funds to be put back in, I merely stated the ramifications of what was going on at that time. For us to find 156,000, I think I can find \$100,000 in revenue for sure. The balance of that, we'll have to work on. We've made cuts in building maintenance, firefighting equipment, training and travel, fire prevention supplies, radio equipment, clothing allowance, medical supplies, equipment repair, electricity, overtime. So, we'll work at it, for sure. I'm not gonna turn down anything. Can I give you the answer of where I would find that money right this second? I cannot. I can say that we will absolutely work on it between now and January 1.

- [Mayor] That good enough?

- [Barb Dorff] Okay.

- [Mayor] Alright. Alderperson Dorff, anything else?

- Nope, I'll withdraw.

- [Mayor] So, this is the amendment on the floor, is to, \$156,500 of fund balance with the chief's effort and commitment to match that funds to staff, the ambulance at Fire Station Seven. Diana, you want to?
- I would need a line item in which to take the money from if and when that was approved. That would be the only way to put it in our budget book, is to have a line item.
- [Mayor] Well, I--
- If it gets approved, we have to figure out a line item to pull that money from from the fire department.
- [Mayor] Well, I think she was going to our reserves. There's not, she's not cutting other expenses, this is coming from, right?
- Right, it's a three part process, it would be fund balance of about \$156,000 and chief said he could probably come up with \$100,000 in revenue, that leaves a balance of \$56,000 that would have to come from either another revenue source or from expenses.
- [Mayor] I don't think the chief said that. The chief said he will find and work at that other \$56,000.
- Correct.
- [Mayor] Oh, so the \$100,000 is from increasing revenues for the fire department and it's the \$56,000 that, do you have a line item you can share with the class?
- It was brought to my attention this afternoon, I really didn't have time
- Right.
- To delve into exactly where it's at. I guess I could just say pick one and I'll, we'll work from there. I guess we'll have to come back and amend it at some point between now and January. That is what I would say. 'Cause I don't.
- [Mayor] So, if we take it from salaries, which is obviously, by far, your largest line item and do you want to come back and amend it or do you have a better suggestion? Diana's right, we gotta pass a balance budget, so.
- I would say take it from wherever she feels like she could take it from and I would say, state once again that I'd have to come back between now and January once we have delved into this.
- [Mayor] Alright, I got it.
- That's exactly what you wanted would be and ask for a budget amendment at that point.
- [Mayor] Okay.
- Yeah, I guess we can pull it from salaries, that would be a line item, and then amend, a budget amendment could be done after the fact.
- Okay. So, I want to restate what's on the floor here, everybody. So, the motion on the floor is to, to put the ambulance at Station Seven back in, that there would be \$156,000 from the fire department budget, \$100,000 of that is coming from increased revenues, \$56,500 is from decreased salaries, and then that's, those are two things and the other thing is that we're taking \$156,500 from our fund balance and applying that towards the budget. That's what's on the floor, right Diana? Okay, so let's discuss that. Alderperson Dorff, are you?

- [Barb Dorff] I'm done.

- Okay. Hang on, hang on, hang on, I'm refreshing. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I really think it would be wise, perhaps, to do the 100,000 that we know we can do and wait and see what we do with the rest of the budget before we just ask that our fire chief cut salaries that he really has even said he has no idea where he's gonna cut it. That could lead to disastrous results, I mean, are we just gonna do that with every department now? We could ask every department, "Why don't you cut \$50,000 "from your salaries?" and there we go, we could make our money back right away, but that, that doesn't make any sense. So, I'm gonna vote no on this because its package and if you just bring it back 'cause the 100,000, we can look at the rest later. I think it's wise to do that. Thanks.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you, Your Honor. Chief, I had talked to Chief Litton and there were a number of revenue sources, I don't know if you can elaborate on that. I know that we get 2.9 million ambulance service billing, 1.8 million from the contract with Alloy, \$300,000 from a 2% insurance revenue from the state, 250,000 for Packer game overtime, 15,000 for fire alarm permits and some miscellaneous, as well, so it's over five million, so you are, there are revenues that are coming in that help offset the budget. I'm just wondering, is there another line item that you might be able to think of to add to that or is this comprehensive enough and you think we could just add something to that?

- [Mayor] Go ahead, chief.

- My proposing \$100,000 in revenues would come out of the ambulance billing line. We could change the rates, if you'll remember, about half, midway through this year, we did the same over in Allouez, who we also serve, so I'm fairly confident that we'll be able to hit that number in the future.

- [Mark Steuer] Okay, I'm good with that. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright. Alderperson Dorff.

- Thank you. I'd like to go back to Chief Litton. So, Alder Wery isn't comfortable with taking out of salaries and I can understand that. So, Chief Litton, do you have a solution? Do you have some idea, a little bit more firm of an idea where you might, out of a 23 million, or whatever, how much budget you have, find \$56,500? I'd like us to be comfortable about saving lives and putting the ambulance back in.

- [Chief Litton] Well, Alder Dorff, as I stated, we don't have a lot of unencumbered money in our budget, other words, probably 89% of that is salaries, benefits and things of that nature. What's left is building maintenance, equipment maintenance, repairs on our apparatus, some firefighting equipment money left, a little bit of training money left, there's not a whole huge host of money left there to take out, so it will be a stretch and I'll have to look real hard on where we're gonna find that. I will tell you, I've heard it mentioned and said before that, "Well, maybe we should just move people around," and sure, that's perfectly normal, I could do that, I could say, well, let's just not have ambulance three in the Broadway District and let's just move that out to Station Seven. Well, what does that do? It just creates the same hole and the same response problem in Station Three's area then. Or I, I've been said, it's been said, "Well, close the fire station." Well, what does that do? It closes a fire station. It reduces our capability. I've been asked, "Well, can't we just do it, "like, part of the day?" Well, sure, but what about the emergencies that come in at night? Should we schedule those? I'm not sure how to answer the question. Salaries are salaries, that's driven by the contract, there's nothing I can do with any of that. Their hours that they work is driven by the contract. There's not wiggle room there.

- Is there an overtime budget?
- [Chief Litton] There is an overtime budget and I take 100,000 out of that budget already. You want to take another 56 out of there, go ahead.
- I want you to make the best decision you can
- Well, I'm telling you that,
- as the fire chief.
- [Chief Litton] Sure, right. If it had to come out of anywhere, it would come out of there, it would be my guess because that's really the only place that we have any other money at. And that money is used to fill our minimal mandated requirement, so that when people are off on vacation or when they call in sick or when they're injured that we maintain that minimal staffing out on the field. That's where the overtime gets spent.
- You can't think of anyplace then? So, we're back to you're gonna take it out of salaries until you can figure it out.
- [Chief Litton] Well, it looks like if it had to come out of anywhere right now, if you're saying I gotta make a decision tonight, I'd say take it out of overtime, we'll have to figure that out.
- Is that what you're saying then?
- [Chief Litton] That's what I'm saying. Yup.
- Okay, thank you.
- Okay. Yup, go ahead. Alderman Wery.
- Mr. Mayor, I would just ask the amendment maker if it's alright if we just take the 100,000, work through the budget and then if we have to come back to the salaries in that nebulous round, we can do that, but can we just do the 100,000 that we know is a sure thing that the chief is telling us we can do and then move onto other parts? We might not even have to have this debate. I have plenty of other things that I can bring up, as well. Yup, I'm just asking the amendment, the motion maker if we could just add 100,000 to revenue
- Okay.
- and then come back.
- [Barb Dorff] And then come back to it?
- Yeah. Work through the other parts of the budget.
- Okay, I'm still staying with the 156,5 from the fund balance. 100,000 from revenue for right now and then we'll come back to it.
- [Chris Wery] Okay.
- [Mayor] Okay.
- Does that make sense?

- [Chris Wery] Yeah, thanks.
- [Mayor] Okay, so that's the, anything else, Alderman Wery? Anything else?
- [Chris Wery] Not right now.
- [Mayor] Alright. Alderman Nicholson.
- No, I was gonna confirm of what Mr. Wery was gonna state.
- [Mayor] Alright.
- I mean, let's move forward.
- [Mayor] Yup.
- Let's take baby steps. We can move back and forth, diagonal, upside down. We can always come back. Let's just take baby steps and make it easy right now.
- Okay, so.
- 'Cause it's gonna be difficult and thank you.
- [Mayor] Alright. Alright, so there's a motion and a second on the floor and that's to take \$156,000 from fund balance and \$100,000 of increased revenue on the fire department budget, which leaves a whole new balance budget of \$56,500. So, you want to use the board on this or are we all there? Yup.
- [Jesse Brunette] Does this also include the addition of the 313,000? Or are we gonna be talking about the expense? We're adding revenue, we're adding fund, but are we adding the actual expenses for the ambulance right now?
- The 313 is the expense, so.
- [Jesse Brunette] Right, but that's also.
- [Barb Dorff] So, half would leave us 156,5, we're up to 256,5 and we need another 56,7 to cover the 313.
- [Jesse Brunette] We actually have to vote on adding the expense, so I just want to declare that that's what we're voting on.
- Okay, I do, I get that. Right, I mean, the revenues so far to activate that ambulance would be, the cost is 313,000, do we all agree to that?
- [Jesse Brunette] Yes.
- Okay, 156,500 as part of the motion is coming from the fund balance that the city has in its savings account. The other \$100,000 is coming from increased revenues from the fire department budget. Okay.
- [Jesse Brunette] So, part of this motion is adding the expense.

- Right, the 313, okay, the 313 is being added back in, we're offsetting it with 313 less 56, whatever that is. Okay. How come this isn't working? I don't have your light on. Okay, I got yours on, Chris. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. My apologies, I thought we were just going to vote on the 100,000 line item revenue. Because if we were gonna vote on all of it, and Diana, you can correct me if I'm wrong, but I also asked about using that particular fund and I have the amounts here that we have from last year and this year and it was recommended, "I do not feel the use of fund balance "would be appropriate based on our projections," and that's from our finance manager. So, unless the opinion has changed in the last 24 hours, I don't think that's where we should be looking. We should be looking throughout the budget, then we can go back to it if we have to. So, why don't we just add in the \$100,000 in revenue, work through the budget, I have two pages here of things, I know others do, as well, that I've found in the last day or so and if we have to do that, we can, but our finance director's saying let's not do it because we keep doing the one time money.

- [Mayor] Alderperson Dorff, this is your motion, how do you want to proceed? We'll vote on it and then we can go back if it doesn't pass. Well, you want to vote against it? I mean, really, the motion on the floor right now is to take \$156,000 from the fund balance, increase the fire department revenue expense by 313 and revenue by 100,000, that's what's on the floor right now. You want to vote on that? Okay. You can use the board on this.

- [Jesse Brunette] Mayor, I do have another question.

- [Mayor] Alright.

- [Jesse Brunette] My light was on, I don't know why it's not processing.

- No, it wasn't up here.

- Okay, so thank you mayor. I guess, well first, thank you to Alder Dorff for figuring this out and proposing something to us because as we know, public safety's important and it's not a district thing, district one, whatever, it's a public safety thing regardless of where that ambulance service is being provided. I do have a question for Chief Litton and I think this drills down into how important it is to get a firm grasp on the 100,000, we just can't say we're gonna increase revenue by 100,000 because if we don't, if we miss that mark, then we're gonna have a real big problem. So, a couple questions. First question, chief, is the 100,000 you said is from ambulance services. The basic question I have, first question is why was that not included in the budget proposal the first time around?

- I did increase, we did increase it in the first round of the cuts from 2.6 up to 2.8. At the time that we prepared the original budget, we weren't trending that way. We feel that we are trending that way current year to date, and again, I'll just restate that we did change the ambulance rates about mid-year this year, both in the city and in the village of Allouez that we serve. That coupled with what we feel is better collections on the unpaid portions, we feel that we're gonna be able to get to the 2.9 million dollars.

- [Jesse Brunette] Now, who pays the ambulance fees? Is it an insurance provider? Is it the municipality? Is it the private parties using the service?

- About 50% of our payer mix is Medicare, about 20% is Medicaid, another 20% of private insurance, and the balance is self pay, roughly.

- [Jesse Brunette] So, I would assume self pay, I can only imagine what it costs to take an ambulance, is it, you could increase the bill 100%, it doesn't mean that a private pay person's going to have the resources to pay the fee, are you taking that into an account?

- I, yeah, we take all that into account. I think that there is a process for declaring your inability to pay the bill that's worked through the finance department and our billing company. There are standards for that requirement that runs through them. We also have the ability to take smaller payments and stretch the payment out over several years in order for an individual to be able to pay off their bill.
- [Jesse Brunette] Okay, leading to my next question and final question. If we're increasing the revenue, is there administrative costs, these negotiations, at one hand, we're increasing revenue, but if it requires more work from one of your administrative people to collect and bill and follow up with folks, is that gonna cut into the expense? The manpower, any overtime, any administrative costs?
- There's no internal cost administratively at all, there is a billing service that handles that for us.
- [Jesse Brunette] Okay, thank you, chief.
- Okay. I'm not seeing any other lights. So, we're gonna vote on this proposed amendment. And again,
- [Member] Can you read it again?
- Yup. The amendment is to increase the expense of the fire department to \$313,000 to reinstate ambulance at Station Seven, offset by \$156,000 from the fund balance and \$100,000 of increased revenue from the fire department.
- [Member] That only equals 256.
- Right, we just talked about that, so there's still \$56,000 we've got,
- We're short.
- We're short.
- [Member] Okay, thank you.
- Did you use the board on this, please, this is the amendment. Alright. And that passes on a vote of 10 to two. Alright? Floor is open. Alderman Wery, hang on. Go ahead.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I think we all know this budget, a bit of a turd sandwich, I would say, to put it nicely. We're eliminating an ambulance, we're cutting 14 police officers, cutting down the community policing, all other budgets down 4%. I hate to tell you, myself and others told you so, but we told you so. The last two years of applying one time money, you'd agree with that, Alderman Scannell, two years of applying one time money would get us to this point and here we are and that's, it's sad. Well, with that being said, there's some quotes from, obviously, the ones who it effects, I think, the most, Police Chief Smith said, "This budget's brutal," Fire Chief Litton, "How much more of an impact can you have "than taking an ambulance out of service "with response times increasing from six "to nine or 10 minutes," so I would actually make a motion to refer this budget back to the mayor to rework, putting citizen safety as the top priority and coming back to us.
- [Mayor] That's the motion.
- [Member] Okay, I didn't know you were--
- That's my motion.
- [Member] Okay, I'll second it for discussion.

- Alright, so we have a motion and second to refer this back to the mayor's office. Any discussion here? It's gonna come back the same way you got it now. Alderperson Dorff.

- Thank you. I just wanted to reflect that it, a mayor can't pass a budget by himself, so we got ourselves into this position, we, as a council, got ourselves into this position and some of us thought it was a good idea and some of thought it was a really bad idea. But, this is where we are, so we need to deal with where we are and incrimination is worthless. I, we spent a long time last week talking through this budget, I wish you had been able to join us, Alder Wery, I don't think that, I definitely will not support referring it back to the mayor. We went over this budget line by line, basically. So, I will be voting against this. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alderperson Nicholson.

- Thank you, Mayor. Mr. Wery stated that cutting services, public safety, that's critical. I guess with law enforcement background and a business background, it doesn't make any sense. But, when you look through the budget, I see a lot of fluff. Nice benefits, nice salary increases at the cost of public safety. I wouldn't, in the past, I haven't supported many budgets because a lot of it is a lot of fluff and the public has been receiving less and less services, bulk pickup, I mean, I can go on and on and on. So, basically, what are they paying for? Well, what I see is high salaries and nice benefits that they can't even receive at their own employment. But, now we're gonna cut public safety. Not good. It does not make any sense. Does not make any sense at all. I see a lot of government growth here. I think before a lot of you were even on this board. Little history of someone that has more than 12 years. The public needs that. They pay taxes, they deserve public safety. I agree with Mr. Wery. This should go back. Mayor, you gotta look at the public safety and some of this fluff. If it gets voted down, we'll expose the fluff and see where everybody lines up. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alderman Vander Leest.

- Thank you, Mayor. I think if you look at our total budget, our debt service and our interest payments are 18 and a half million dollars, that's more than the Department of Public Works' whole budget. So, we gotta go back a ways, as far as how we've been handling the money. 18.5 million, that's for debt service, interest payments and I'm for balancing budgets, personally. If we take in 107 million dollars, we should be able to come up with 3.5 million dollars to take care of our special assessments and our road repairs. I'd like to ask Mr. Grenier just what the total amount for the road repairs are gonna be for 2019, what are we gonna spend on the road repairs this next year? We've enacted a two million dollar wheel tax for 2019 that's gonna come in and we're looking to raise up our budget about 1.6 million for right now, so that would be 3.6 million dollar increase on our taxes for this year for the citizens of Green Bay. So, I'd just like to ask Mr. Grenier what are we gonna spend on our roads for this year? For the upcoming 2019?

- We, the Department of Public Works has not brought forward a capital program for 2019 yet. That's either going to come in late December or January sometime, but the capital program is not funded through the budget, that's funded through bonded monies, so the debt service on that bonded money winds up in the debt service line item that you're talking about. But, that's where our capital program is funded from, is from bonded money, not from budgets.

- [John Vander Leest] Okay, but still, let me ask you that other question, what did we spend in 2018 on the roads, city of Green Bay?

- Off hand, I don't know, I was not prepared to answer that kind of a question tonight.

- [John Vander Leest] Do you have an idea?

- I would be guessing.

- [John Vander Leest] Okay. I guess I had some constituents ask me, "How much are they gonna be spending this year on the roads?" A lot of the people that talked to me about the wheel tax, they're not real crazy about the wheel tax, so then they're saying, "Well, we just had our road repaired, now we're gonna pay a wheel tax," and I know, and the argument goes either way. I guess they were asking me what we were gonna spend on the roads for 2019. Now, I'm asking the same question, what are we gonna be spending? If you can get that back to us, I'd appreciate it.

- I'll have that number when we bring forward the capital improvement program for 2019.

- [Mayor] Okay, thank you.

- Man, are we getting back to some of the other things that we've done with our budgets where our budgets are so tight, we can't even find a little bit of money to take care of this ambulance service. We should've had some new growth in the city of Green Bay this last, last 12 month period. I feel that the new growth alone should be able to take care of this type of expense. We bonded five million dollars for Bay Beach services for swimming at Bay Beach, the study's not completed yet, for the five years that we bonded the money, for those five years, we're gonna pay, for the first five years, we're gonna pay interest only payments. So, after the five year period, then we're gonna be making full payments. I know that Bay Beach is funding some of it. There was a parking lot involved, but I think when we're making good financial decisions, we don't say, "Well, we're gonna pay interest only payments." I think that's bad practice for the Aldermen and the persons involved here at our, we're making the choices on how we're spending the money and I feel that some of the shortfall we have is because we're overspending. We don't balance our budgets. If we only take in 107 million, we have to make the 107 million work. If not, we're gonna be keeping going farther and farther in debt. And a lot of the, like Mr. Kriescher that came up here and spoke, a lot of the TIF programs are 20 years out, more than 20 years out. And our expenses for each year just keep going up. And then we talk about the one time money, that one time money wasn't really been in the budget to begin with, it was just a little extra money that we had and I think we did a lot of good things with that extra money that we did have. Some people said, "Oh yeah, we didn't do this, we didn't do that," but I think overall, we did pretty well with that excess sales tax money, we're not gonna see it again, but they come down and say, "Yeah, we should've done this, I told you so," that's bologna. We did well with that money and I think we have to look at our budgets and we gotta live within our budgets. It's just good financial practice. If you don't take in the money, you shouldn't spend it, just that simple. We take in 107 million, well spend the 107 million, but don't go deeper. We should have new revenue coming in that will cover a lot of our expenses as we go on, as we move forward. And that's my thoughts on it. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alderman Johnson.

- This'll be a question for Director Ellenbecker. If this is referred back to staff, are there statutory requirements? If you could maybe educate a few of us who are a little newer. What are the statutory requirements around deadlines of when municipal budgets seem to be finalized?

- Yes, the budget must be approved by November 14th by midnight.

- Okay. I don't know, I did sit here last week during the budget meeting and there was a good discussion, there was discussion around things to cut and things to move, where we can grow revenue. This is a tough budget and from what I'm seeing, every department was asked to cut 4%, they did that. Overall, you want to talk about a tax increase, well overall spending's going down, so which number do you want to look at, right? It's, but at the end of the day, folks, there's only one way we're gonna pay for these things and that's, I mean, that's taking a look at what we take in in taxes. And so, when I'm sitting here, what I hear is, "Oh, it's your fault we didn't do this," and "It's your fault because you didn't do that." That still doesn't get us to a solution. There's fluff in the budget. Alright, let's propose an amendment, let's cut it. I feel like the mayor and the staff have done their job, they brought the budget to us. It's our job to debate it and now make decisions. And so, I've heard a

couple of people make some suggestions here. I think if there's another 56,000 or if we don't want to go into the general fund or we want to find that money, let's hear some suggestions.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alderman Vander Leest, will you take your light off? Alderman Scannell.

- Thank you, Your Honor. First, about the fluff, I totally disagree with that fluff in this budget. I've never seen a budget with so many zeros and negatives in all my life. And as far as pensions and wages, for years, we've been working to build up those pensions and wages because we've been having high turnover because other municipalities are paying better and there's a cost to the city for that kind of turnover. That's being penny wise and pound foolish. We're also talking about our staff's salaries and benefits here. They deserve what they're getting, finally. And then, I think, I was gonna save this for later, but I think I'll just run through this now and I don't know if it's quite comprehensive, I worked on this for a little bit. I think the staff did a pretty good job at the personnel, joint personnel and finance committee meeting talking about some of the consequences of this budget. I think we should realize, and some people have brought up some of the things of how we got here, I got a little more comprehensive list and this is not to make any issues or create any discussion, I think that would be inappropriate, I just, listing factually, this is what it was. Good, bad, whatever, I'm not gonna go into that, but we lost the seven gens lawsuit, there were personnel issues in the police department, we took the million dollars from the Packer tax to fill a operational hole, we haven't had a Oneida service agreement in three years, which is about 300,000 a year, our roof tax revenue has been allocated to The Expo Center, several years ago, we did not, for several years, we did not raise taxes, which handicaps us or penalizes us to raise taxes higher now because of the state formula for our levy, we can't tax ourselves out of this unless we're gonna lose state dollars and also the state reduced our levy by changing the assessed personal property taxes on machinery tools and patterns. So, the way we got here is various ways and I think it's good for the public to be aware of that and maybe have a discussion going forward about what it means to be fiscally responsible, there's some debate there, but I don't think that's appropriate now. I just think that we've got, the mayor's got his budget for us, I don't think he's gonna change it at all, I don't know what he could change. I disagree vehemently that there's fluff in it, I can't wait to see what some others might propose, I would love to see some things we might be able to do, as we have in the past, I could come up with nothing, but I'm only one. So, I'm against sending this back to the mayor. Thank you.

- Thank you. Alderman Galvin.

- Thank you, Your Honor. We all ran for election and when we ran because we wanted to represent the people of our district, that's our responsibility. It's our responsibility to work with this budget that was presented to us and come up with the best budget that we can. And to send it back to the mayor for the mayor's office to make all the changes that we think he ought to make without giving him any direction or anything else, I think is wrong. It's our job tonight to come up with a budget that works for this community. We trusted the department heads to look at each of their departments and make the necessary cuts to best run their departments for this next year and that's, we have to trust them. That's what they were hired for and that's what they've done. We've looked at this budget, I'm so tired of people talking about fat and fluff in the city budget and I would really ask someone to show me where there's any really amount of fat and fluff in this department and not just this year, but going back several years. We run a lean, lean budget. There is no fat to cut, we have been cutting bone and muscle and it shows. And I think it's time we start accepting some of the responsibility. Let's look forward, let's make some solutions here tonight and then let's look down the road for the next few years and try and get things squared away, so we're not running into this again. But, I'm not in favor at all of sending this back. It's our responsibility to stay here as long as it takes to come up with a budget that's acceptable to everybody. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I was at the meetings last week, as well, we sat through and asked a lot of questions. I think one of the things that came forward that I really was impressed with or at least thinking that

this may work is the capital improvement program that finance talked about. In the past, we've had so many separate silos where everybody was going, had their own budget, they had their own agendas and I really feel that as a city, we need to come together and come up with one succinct capital improvement program that we can all look at, that council's privy to, the administration's privy to, we can all make some very solid decisions ahead of time. Half the time, this is my seventh budget cycle, half the time we cherry pick, we pull things out, I don't see a whole lot of fluff in this, as well. A majority of the monies that go into this budget are for salaries and benefits and Alder Scannell does bring up a good point that we've had issues of people leaving for other communities and there is a cost there, there's a cost that you can't really put a finger on when you train someone and then five, six months later, they're gone. So, with that being said, I really feel that this council, administration things moving forward, we're gonna have to look at the various development agreements we have, the TIF districts where we're at with that. Looking at revenue streams, other revenue streams that we can come forward with, I think it's important, so. But, as far as extra fluff in this budget, I don't see a whole lot of it. I thought we aired it out pretty well last week and there's a couple other things we can talk about, but right now, I feel that I'm not in favor of that, sending it back.

- Alright, thank you.

- Thank you.

- Alright, use the board on this. The motion on the floor is to refer this item back to the mayor's office. Five, six, seven. Alderman Vander Leest. And that motion fails on a vote of nine to three. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Then let's delve, let's move forward. The 156,000 that so far that we want to take out of our fund balance, which is our savings account, hopefully we can find some cuts here, some modifications that we don't have to do that. I think that's pretty irresponsible, that's what we've done the last two years. I'm just going to read you a quick email that I did get from our finance director because I asked about this also, what our fund balance was. "At the end of 2017, we didn't have a surplus "with our budget." "We used a fund balance of \$448,775 "in addition to the million dollars "from the stadium tax," so we used about a million and a half dollars of one time money, I didn't vote for that budget, "At the end of 2018, there will not be a surplus," this year, "there will be projected use "of fund balance of 1.344 million, "most of that put in the budget last year," which I didn't vote for. "Now, at the end of 2017, the fund balance was "16,575,000, or about 19.2% of our expenses," apparently, the range that we need to be in, really, we should be in is 17 to 25%, 17 to 25 and we were at 19, "so at the end of this year, "the fund balance is projected to be 14.2 million, "which puts us at 16.5%, outside the range," now, we all know that our Moody's rating and so forth is going south because of how much we're borrowing and we're also hurting it this way by constantly eating up our savings. So, I think we should take the advice of our finance director and not use it and let's really look at this budget again. I appreciate the work you did last week, but let's re-look at it again. So, to do that, why don't we start with, I'll just go right, top to bottom here, the mayor's budget, just 'cause it's on the top. I think with the cuts that we have in police and fire, this would not be a good year to increase the mayor's salary and so I would propose, and I asked for the amount on this, that we eliminate the increase to the mayor's salary for this year for \$4,151.24.

- [Member] I'll second that.

- [Mayor] Alright, so there's a motion and a second to reduce the mayor's salary by \$4,150 and 24¢

- [Member] 4,151.24.

- Yeah. 24 cents. Alderman Vander Leest.

- I was gonna make a motion, Mayor, that we just find out what percent we'd have to cut, percentage right from all the departments to make up this money that we're looking to get, the three hundred and something

thousand. Whatever the percentage would be, we take it right across the total board, right across every department and we make up the money that way. That would be my motion.

- Well, I got Mr. Wery's motion on the floor, so let me take care of him first. I got your light on next, okay? So, this is on the motion. Anyone else want to discuss this? Alderman Vander Leest, did you have anything to say about Alderman Wery's motion?

- [John Vander Leest] Well, I think it would make it a lot easier if we just take it from right across from every department.

- Okay.

- And it's not very much, it's only, what is it, 313,000? If you figure the percentage of that across our total budget, I'll grant you you can't do it on the bonding part of it and that part of it, but every other area, I'm pretty sure you could and whatever that percentage

- Alright.

- would be, we would

- I got it.

- do it that way.

- Okay.

- Make it very simple and everybody wouldn't be impacted very much.

- Okay.

- [John Vander Leest] Thank you.

- Alright, thank you. Alderman Johnson.

- Just more of a question. If we cut this for next year, do the, is that something that impacts the full next term, right? Because this is a four year decision now, this isn't just a one year decision, unless, of course, your amendment provides some clarity if you're looking to just reduce it that first year.

- [Chris Wery] Yup, for one year.

- The one year. Okay. Thank you.

- Okay. So, we're gonna use the board on this. This is, Alderperson Dorff, hang on. I gotta clear the board. Your light came in late.

- I guess I just have a question, is that, at some point, is it ever appropriate to go into to closed session if there's things that need to be talked about in closed session?

- [Mayor] There's closed session on the budget.

- I'm not ready to do that right now, I just was asking the question.

- [Mayor] Okay.
- Thank you.
- Okay, so this is the motion and the second to reduce the mayor's salary budget by \$4,150 and 24 cents. Use the board here. Alderman Scannell, I think you gotta vote.
- [Member] This was for the increase that we voted on.
- Right. Alright, and that passes on a vote of nine to three. Alright?
- [Member] How much was that, Mayor? Four?
- \$4,150 and 24 cents.
- [Member] Thank you. Alright, Alderman Wery.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Also in the mayoral budget on page 20, line item 52001, training and travel, pretty much just travel, not much training I don't think, I would propose we eliminate the 6,000 this year, I think we can do one year without mayoral trips, so that's my motion.
- [Mayor] That would be a mistake for the next mayor.
- Perhaps.
- But, alright. Well, there are no perhaps about it. Okay. Alderman Galvin.
- What does that money go for?
- We go out to Washington D.C. to lobby every January, sometimes we would travels to Madison very frequently, we go to a leadership conference in the summer where so many best practices have come from and we've also gotten money, 50,000, for The Farmory for healthy kids initiative, for the beverage industry and yeah, if we go to these local, I just want to say, Celestine and I were talking about this, when we go to conferences in the state, we get standing ovations 'cause we're the only city there, Madison, Milwaukee don't go to that and I think you gotta challenge yourself to be around better people. Look, I'm not gonna be here. Look, I'm just looking out for the city. I think conferences for the chief, for Steve, for Kevin, for Jeff, I think that's really important, so I would encourage you to keep that, but it's your budget, we'll see how the night goes. That's where it goes.
- [Bill Galvin] And who attends these? I mean, is it just--
- Me.
- [Bill Galvin] But, I mean, does any of your staff go or anything else?
- Mr. Celestine will go to some state conferences, yeah, it would be the two of us.
- [Bill Galvin] And so what you're saying is that by going to that, we've actually been able to generate some income for our city?
- Yes we have. Absolutely we have. And it's, yeah. I, yes, it has offset our travel multiple, we've talked about that. It's, can I guarantee that? We're in for some more grants and awards next year, but I think it's good to be

around people better than yourself. I think training and travel's important for all department heads, including the mayor, the next one.

- [Bill Galvin] Alright, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alderperson Dorff.

- I just, I wanted some, thank you, Mr. Mayor, I wanted some clarification on what we voted on with the mayor's salary because we had voted that in before, is that like a reconsideration? And then, are we allowed to do a reconsideration at this time? I guess I'd like to know, could we legally do that motion? Is that acceptable under Robert's rules? So, that's a tough one, Joanne, but.

- My understanding is that the increase came by resolution and then pursuant to that resolution, it was put into the budget. So, then it could be taken out of the budget.

- [Barb Dorff] Okay. I just wanted to make sure that we weren't...

- Is that, that's my understanding that the salary, the increase was put in by resolution, it wasn't by ordinance.

- [Mayor] Right, there wouldn't be an ordinance, no. Joe, do you want to comment on that?

- The increase was put in by ordinance

- Really?

- and the state laws ask that it's put in by ordinance.

- [Barb Dorff] So, it was put in by ordinance.

- Yeah, that's correct.

- [Barb Dorff] So, if it's put in by ordinance, then we have to change the ordinance, right?

- Correct.

- Yeah, my understanding is that you'd have to change the ordinance, I think, if you want to try and go through the budget process right now, I think maybe a solution would be to have the ordinance pass by December 1st. The first time, we can have nomination papers for the candidates, I think that's when it has to be done by, so I think you'd have to adopt another ordinance to do that.

- But--

- We don't have another meeting before December 1st. So, we just, could we do this? We can do it in the budget, but in order for it to stick, we have to adopt an ordinance and then we'd have to put the money back in if we took it out if that failed? I'm just,

- I'm gonna veto this.

- [Barb Dorff] I don't know that we can do what we just did.

- [Mayor] Well, if we can't, Joanne, you should ruled it out, or told me to rule it out of order 'cause if we can't, if that, I didn't know that, I gotta be honest with you, if that's an ordinance that we have, then we're going against the law 'cause it's an ordinance.

- [Joanne Bungert] I wasn't aware that it was an ordinance.

- [Mayor] Yeah, so.

- [Barb Dorff] Well, what can we do now? Put it back on, can we put it back on the floor to talk about it?

- [Mayor] Ah, you can reconsider any decision you made, you'd have to make a motion to reconsider the last vote, but you would have to be someone who voted in the positive, which I'm not sure was you.

- [Randy Scannell] Mayor, if hers was not, I'll reconsider mine.

- Alright. So, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell to reconsider that last vote. If someone could second that, you second it, Barb, but you don't have to. Alright. This is to reconsider to get that motion of the mayor's salary back on the floor. You can use the board on this. This is to reconsider item, the item we just did with the mayor's salary. A yes vote reconsiders that and then we'll take it up again.

- [Member] This vote is my reconsideration, right? They'll let me reconsider? I'll be able to do that?

- [Mayor] Right, that's what we're voting on, to reconsider this.

- [Barb Dorff] To Reconsider.

- Huh? Whoa, sorry. Randy, reconsideration. Alderman Scannell.

- [Randy Scannell] Yup, I decked in. Oh, I had another file up and it was covering it, sorry.

- Alright, so that passes on a vote of seven to five. So, that item's reconsidered. What do you propose we do with that? Oh, come on.

- I would think that the smart thing to do here is to vote right now against that motion and then if we so desire to really pursue that, set up meetings to do the ordinance and the budget at the, and reconsider that, amend it at that time. I would think that would be prudent, legal way to go. To go forward now and assume we're gonna get together again is a bit of risk. What if we can't get a quorum? Then we're in violation of the law. So, I would think that if we really want to pursue this, the prudent way to go would be to set up another meeting to do the ordinance and amend the budget. So, I think the best thing to do now to make sure we're gonna be in violation of our own law would be to not support that amendment.

- [Mayor] Alright. Is the proper motion to add--

- I'll take this.

- [Mayor] It's back on the floor right now, so the motion is to reduce the mayor's salary by \$4,150, we're gonna vote on this again

- [Member] It's, and it's if the first vote never happened.

- [Mayor] Right, right. So, with the information you have now, the motion on the floor is to reduce the mayor's salary by \$4,150. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I'd make a motion to hold this 'til the very end of the budget.
- [Mayor] 'Kay.
- And we might not even need to address it. Thanks.
- Second.
- Second.
- [Mayor] Alright, so there's a motion and a second by Alderman Johnson to hold this item 'til the end of the budget. You can just do this one by voice. All in favor of holding this item, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, alright, that--
- [Bill Galvin] I had my light.
- Oh, I'm sorry, Bill, I saw your light. Okay. Alderman Galvin.
- Joanne.
- [Joanne Bungert] Yes.
- For this incident here and then going forward, because this isn't the first time we have done this, can the city council take a vote to violate its own city ordinance?
- [Joanne Bungert] No.
- Then why do we keep doing it when we can't do it? This just amazes me, this is not the first time we've done this and we've done it again and why should it even count if we take a vote to violate city ordinance, why do we even have to take it back? It should be null and void.
- [Mayor] Yeah.
- We just voted to break the law.
- [Mayor] Yeah.
- I don't get it.
- Right, I wasn't aware of it. Alright. Point taken. Okay, Alderman Wery, you've got the floor.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Actually, I'm going to, I have the motion to reduce the mayor's training and travel by \$6,000, I would make that actually \$4,000. That way, there's 2,000 in there to hit all those important state meetings, so minus 4,000.
- [Member] Second.

- [Mayor] Alright, so there's a motion and a second to reduce the mayor's travel budget by \$4,000. Use the board here. Oops. Alright, that passes on a vote of seven to five.

- [Member] No it don't.

- [Member] That fails.

- Did I get that wrong? It fails. It fails on a vote of seven to five, I'm sorry. Alright, I'm sorry. Alderman Wery, you still have the floor.

- Alright, thank you. Well, if we're looking for tough things to do, we're not being real tough, I guess But, let's go to page 48.

- [Member] That's the last one in Mossel, Chris.

- I know. Oh well.

- Come on.

- Let's try again. Page 48, I'm making a motion to defund the two positions of the Welcome Center aide for a total of 14,728.

- [Mayor] What page are you on, Alderman?

- 48. Under Clerk's Office.

- [Mayor] I wouldn't get that.

- Welcome Center aide, two positions. I don't believe they have any benefits, so. The total's 14,728.

- [Member] What is it?

- [Mayor] Oh, alright. It's on page--

- 148.

- Remember, we're talking about putting an ambulance back in and not raiding our savings account.

- [Mayor] Yeah, yeah, yeah. Alright. So, it's on page 48 and there's a motion by Alderman Wery, second by Alderman Nicholson to reduce the Welcome Center aide positions for, what did it total? 14?

- 728.

- [Mayor] \$14,728. Can you turn your light off if you're done 'cause I'm just gonna go to the next, there's two other people. What's that? Well, I was gonna take these one at a time. Oh, yeah. This is on the motion. So, Alderperson Dorff.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I'd just like to know what the impact this will have on the Clerk's Office because that's where people will go to ask questions and a, kind of a history of the Welcome Center aides and who was hired for those positions, so who could speak to that?

- [Mayor] Do you want to speak to that or want me to?

- [Kris Teske] If you want to do it, it's up to you, but I could.

- [Mayor] Go ahead, we'll have our clerk speak to that. Hang on.

- So, right now, they are through Aspiro, well, they were through Aspiro, they're on their own now. The morning shift, they do answer phones for us. The afternoon shift, they do not, but they do direct all the people coming for them, which is a lot for parking tickets and before that it was a senior center that we went through them. So, they, to some extent, they are a benefit to us.

- [Barb Dorff] Right. Okay, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I guess that was my question 'cause I know that they were funded through Aspiro. Wasn't that completely through them?

- [Mayor] When they started, mm hmm.

- Okay. So, I guess part of me was saying the effectiveness of having folks there, I've gone, I've come into City Hall quite a few times, we all have, and I just wasn't sure, I was relying on Kris on this to let us know that they're effective. 'Cause I think there's times where they may go into the other office if they're not sure of a question or an answer and they have to go in and get clarification. So, part of being at a welcome center is making sure that you're as tight and good and knowing what's going on as possible. So, I guess I would defer to Kris on that and if she feels that they're effective and working well, then I'm fine with that, but I understand where you coming from, Alder Wery, on this. I just want to make sure that it's okay according to the, our clerk. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alderman Vander Leest.

- Thank you, Mayor. If Alderman Wery would yield to the motion that I made about taking that amount that we need and just take it across all the departments, I think we'd save a lot of time and it won't be very hard on any department. We would find the money right now. It's a very small amount of money and we have a large budget and I feel that it's a simple way to do it.

- [Mayor] Are you talking about the 56, this is gonna be up to Alderman Wery, if he wants to yield the floor to you, talking about the \$56,000 or the 156 or 250?

- I would say, if I took, if we took the whole amount of money that we need to get this ambulance back up and going right across the board from all the departments, it's minimal and there's no real conversation about it. In other words, we get the money right away, we don't have to dig into our reserve fund, it just comes off of our total budget. Minus, we can't take it off the debt service, but we could take it off every other department. What would the figures be?

- Do you want us to look up that number?

- [Chris Wery] I'm fine with whatever you want to do.

- [Mayor] Okay, I think I'm gonna move around or else you're gonna have the floor all night, so. You know what I mean? So, I'll give you this one and then we'll move around. I am. Alright, thank you. Alderman Vander Leest, I'll get to you after we take care of this. Alderman Scannell, this is on the two Welcome Center employees, go ahead.

- Yeah, I'm going to oppose eliminating those positions. I believe that there are ways that we're gonna, I appreciate that, weighing the two and saying, "Well, the welcome visitor's "as important as to, as an ambulance," but I think we can fund this ambulance and not have to hurt ourselves in the sense that I think it's important for this city to work with this bureau, to hire two people, I think that's an important part of our governance, part of our city that I'd hate to see lose. I don't think it's, we're at that point where we need to do that. I believe we can fund this ambulance one way or another without taking that drastic a step, so I don't support it, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. Alderman Brunette.

- Yes, thank you, mayor. Question for city clerk. Are these two posi, these are actual, two actual people, correct? They're not spread out over several people?

- [Kris Teske] It's two people.

- How long have these two employees been with the city?

- [Kris Teske] I think about four years.

- And I'm sensitive to that because I don't want to bring personalities into it, but the position itself, I think, has a value to the city and who we are as a city, so I would be against eliminating these positions from the budget for a million reasons, and I'm being very generous with that amount, there's more reasons than that, It just seems like the wrong move to make at this point. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alderman Johnson.

- I think I'm gonna oppose this one, as well, and it's not because I'm afraid to make difficult decisions and I know there's gonna be some that we have, but I guess the process right now is what's of concern to me and that is I'm being forced to make a decision on cutting personnel before I'm being presented with other options, and I know, Alder Wery, that you do have several others that you want to bring up here, and it just seems to me that there might be a better process with how we can look at things holistically, rather than one at a time, because right now I'm being asked do I want to cut this, yes or no? Well, given the other options I have right now, which are none, that seems like the obvious choice, right? But the reality is is that we do have other options that we just haven't come across yet and I think before we get to the point where we're starting to cut staff that do service our residents, I'd rather have a conversation, maybe, about some of those other nonessential things that perhaps might be on others' lists that we haven't had the opportunity to discuss yet. So, I'm gonna oppose it now and maybe hope that perhaps we can reprioritize or reorder the way that we're presenting some of these.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I would just state once again, let's remember our top priorities, citizen safety, restoring an ambulance service, financial responsibility, not robbing our piggy bank again. We could probably make a case for every single thing we bring up. I'm still in favor. Thanks.

- [Mayor] Anything else, Alderperson Dorff?

- [Barb Dorff] I'm all set, nope.

- Alright. Use the board. This is the motion to reduce the two Welcome Center employees for a total of \$14,728. Huh?

- [Member] There is some small benefits.
- About.
- [Member] There's another \$214, making it 14,942.
- So, it's to reduce the Welcome Center employees for a total of \$14,922.
- [Member] 942.
- 942.
- [Mayor] Okay, that fails on a motion of 10 to two.
- [Kris Teske] Three to nine. Three to nine.
- [Member] Nine to three.
- [Mayor] What's that? Nine to three, I'm sorry. Alright. We're gonna take a five minute break. Alright, we're gonna continue.
- [Chief Litton] Mayor.
- Chief.
- [Chief Litton] Can I address the council?
- Sure, hang on. Go ahead, chief.
- As we sit here and listen to one department being pitted against another, for lack of a better term, I can't help but sit here and think there's no way in the world that I can sit here through this all night long and listen to, alright, we're gonna cut somebody by name, or cut this position or cut that position 'cause that's not ever what this was about. I'm not running for political office here, I don't have any intention of running for office ever and my sole focus is the safety of the public and that's the only focus that I have and I will not apologize for speaking out on that issue and bringing it to the forefront. Having said all that, I also won't sit here and watch us pick apart other departments, departments that are doing good work and so, as Alder Dorff asked me earlier and you did as well, I've been sitting here listening to all this, but I've also been running through what I've got left in my budget and so I'm gonna offer these things up in the hopes that, at least my hope is that we can just close this thing up and move along. So, under my accounts again, and Diana or Pam can write these down, 52004, it's drill instruction, take \$2,700 from there.
- [Member] What page, please?
- That will be on page 102. All this will come either from 101 or 102.
- [Member] Thank you.
- So, 52004, drill instruction, take 2,700. Under 53001, that's contractual services, take 10,000, under 54002, office supplies, take 2,000, under 54010, gas and oil, take 20,000, under 55101, equipment repair, take 11,000. That totals \$45,700. From the overtime line, 50501, I'll remind you 100,000 has been taken from that line already, but take another 10,300. That should total 56,000 if my math is correct. You offered up the 156,000

from the fund balance, 100,000 in increased revenues, 56,000 there, I think we are there. My only request in all this is let's not put this department against the others. Thank you.

- Alright, thank you, chief. We should go back to Dorff. Yeah, I think I want to address that. So, Alderman Scannell.

- I would make a motion to amend the budget according to the fire chief's, what he listed.

- [Mark Steuer] Second.

- [Mayor] Alright, so that's a motion by Scannell, second by Alderman Steuer. So that gives us another \$56,000 of cost reductions.

- [Chief Litton] That's correct.

- [Mayor] So, it's a total of \$156,500.

- [Chief Litton] Correct, if we did the math and we were talking about 313,000, so the motion's 156,500 from the fund balance, increase that 11,500,

- [Mayor] Okay.

- [Chief Litton] \$11,500 for additional revenue from the bills and repairs billing and then \$56,000 on program reductions.

- [Mayor] I don't have the clear on the alderman's motion, so is it the comprehensive motion?

- Comprehensive motion.

- [Mayor] Alright. Are you still seconding that?

- Yes.

- [Mayor] Alright. So, the motion on the floor right now is to increase fire department revenue by 100,000, reduce expenses that the chief just outlined by 56,000, increase overall expenses by 313,000 with 156,500 coming out of the fund balance. That's the motion on the floor. Alderman Wery.

- I think you said you wanted to go, oh, this is on the motion?

- Yeah.

- Then I'm in favor.

- [Mayor] Oh, you're in favor of it?

- Yeah.

- [Mayor] Okay. Alderman Steuer. Hang on.

- [Mark Steuer] Oh, on this?

- [Mayor] Yeah.

- I'm gonna just, I'm good with that.
- [Mayor] Alright.
- I'm good with that.
- [Mayor] Alright. So, we're gonna vote on this. Does everyone understand it? Alderperson Lefebvre.
- I'll vote yes on this, but I want us to look at the following year to make sure that we put back in some of the stuff for the fire department. Let's not be cutting them again, let's look at, we got a year, let's figure this out and let's have a more better, realistic budget for these departments.
- [Mayor] Understood.
- Thank you.
- [Mayor] Alright, please use the board on this.
- [Member] Chief's?
- [Member] Yes.
- No, this is not just the chief's, this is the alderman's motion, it's a comprehensive motion. It's the...
- [Member] If it goes down, that's fine.
- Okay. Let's ask Steuer, are you okay with the whole motion?
- [Mark Steuer] The whole budget?
- It's not the whole, look, it, come on. It's not, I said when we started this meeting that we would take up the budget at the end to adopt as amended. An amendment being offered by the alderman right now, seconded by Steuer is to increase the revenue in the fire department by 100,000, reduce the expenses outlined by the chief by 56,000, it's to increase the expenses of the fire department by \$313,000 to restore the ambulance and transfer \$156,000 out of fund balance. That's the comprehensive motion that you. Huh? Oh, I'm sorry. Alright. There's only one person to vote. It's you.
- [Member] I don't have anything up.
- [Member] I don't have anything up either.
- [Member] Who's voted?
- [Member] I voted.
- Do you want to clear this?
- [Member] Start over.
- [Member] Let's start over.

- Start over.
- [Member] Do over.
- Right, do over. Mulligan. Alderman Wery and Alderperson Lefebvre.
- [Kathy Lefebvre] Stupid thing.
- [Kris Teske] To look at it. Mike is over there.
- Mike. Alderman Wery's saying he voted and it's the only light that's not on. Okay. I'm sorry, it's not, do you want to voice it. Okay. Alright.
- [Member] Negative?
- Yeah, no.
- [Kris Teske] Yes, no?
- No.
- [Member] Thank you.
- Alright. So that amendment passed on a vote of 10 to two. Alright. So, we're back. Technically to a balanced budget, but I will go with Alderman Vander Leest.
- Withdraw my motion on that, Mayor, we took care of it, I think.
- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. Did you want something now? Alright, Alderman Steuer.
- Alright.
- [Mayor] Hang on, we have Mark.
- Alright, I'd like to bring up something on page 147, oh, sorry about that, it's not. Just give me a second.
- [Member] 153.
- What page?
- [Member] 153.
- Okay, I was off three pages. Sorry about that. Thank you much. Alright. I'm looking at, under the wildlife sanctuary, the WLS project manager position. With salary and benefits, it's \$95,202 and for a variety of reasons, I've talked to many folks on this particular position, ex employees, current employees, a number of people, and I really feel that this position, for a variety of reasons, granted, it's a personnel issue, as well, but I think for what we're looking at for this position, I feel like we could remove that from the budget.
- [Barb Dorff] I think we need to go into closed session. I don't think we should be talking about this right now. I think we should come back and talk about it.
- Well, if you.

- [Barb Dorff] I'm feeling a little uncomfortable right now.
- [Mayor] Alright, so what, hang on.
- Well, if I could--
- Do you have a motion?
- I could look at it just from the standpoint of the position, not the personnel. So, I'll look at it strictly as, we can vote yes or no on it.
- [Mayor] Alright, so what's your motion.
- My motion is to eliminate the position.
- [Mayor] And tell me, what is it? I'll write this down.
- The WLS project manager position.
- [Bill Galvin] Where is that on there?
- [Barb Dorff] Can I make a motion to open the floor?
- [Mayor] Yup, hang on one second, let me just get this on the floor.
- Page 153.
- There's a motion and a second on page 153 to eliminate the one position of the WLS project manager for a total of 68,474.
- It's 68,474, but with benefits it's 95,202. I think alder, or Director Ditscheit wants to speak.
- [Dan ditscheit] I just need a clarification.
- [Mayor] Alright, Alderman Ditscheit, I mean, director.
- Just a clarification. That position is actually funded under our admin budget, not the wildlife sanctuary budget. So, that would be on page 136 under regular salaries.
- [Mark Steuer] We'd have to figure out what it is. You have to separate, subtract 68 from that.
- [Mayor] I don't think that's that difficult. So, it's line, your motion is to reduce the
- The regular salaries.
- regular salaries of 68, what was that?
- [Mark Steuer] What page is that again?
- [Mayor] Was it 474?

- [Mark Steuer] What page is that again?
- [Member] So, page 1...
- [Mark Steuer] 153.
- [Member] 153.
- [Mark Steuer] Okay, the regular salaries are listed at 1., 1,576,644 and to reduce that by 68,474.
- [Mayor] And then the health insurance is 51,201 and that's gonna be reduced by what?
- [Mark Steuer] Bear with me a second here. 26,728.
- [Mayor] Okay, alderman, were you still seconding that? No, I'm not going to you yet. Uh huh.
- [Member] Yes, I am still.
- [Mayor] Okay, so there's a motion and a second on the floor to, under, on page 136, the budget for park rec and forestry to reduce regular salaries by 68,474 and health insurance benefits, which 51,201, by 26,728 for a total of 95,202. Alderman Scannell. Are you done, Mark?
- [Mark Steuer] For now, yes.
- I think we need to go into closed session, I feel I couldn't eliminate a position without talking about this position and the personnel, we have to go in closed session, I feel. The questions I need answered can't be done in open session.
- [Mayor] Alright.
- [Barb Dorff] I second that.
- [Mayor] Did you want to do that or do you want to open the floor? You had the floor, so I gotta go back to you first.
- [Barb Dorff] I'm not sure what managed to open the floor, that's, that's a close.
- [Member] Because we could open it now, we could open right now.
- [Mayor] Alright, so there's a motion by Alderperson Dorff, seconded by Alderman Scannell to open the floor to hear from interested parties. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye. And those opposed, nays. The council has opened the floor to hear from interested parties. What we're discussing right now is the reduction in the park, rec and forestry department, really eliminating the wildlife sanctuary project manager position. Alright, Dan.
- Thank you, Mayor. My name is Dan Spielmann, I'm president of the Follows board. As most of you know, we embarked on a fundraising campaign three years ago to raise money for an addition to the nature center to house our 4K program and also to provide additional space for rental by the city. We have raised 3.4 million over the course of the last three years. But the project, current project manager was instrumental and a key player in raising this money as we moved forward. A number of donors have a strong relationship with this particular individual. In addition, we need this position as construction starts. Construction is gonna start this

month. We need somebody, basically, the board is not experts, the board is a volunteer group, we've spent a lot of time on this project, but we are not in a position to supervise the daily construction out of the wildlife sanctuary. It's a 12,000 square foot addition, it's gonna do some very wonderful things for the nature center and we really do need this position and I think in good faith, this is something that we need to continue in the budget as we move forward. And I'd be glad to answer any questions if anybody has a question for me.

- [Mayor] Alderman Galvin, do you have a, did you have one for Dan?

- [Bill Galvin] I'm sorry.

- [Mayor] Okay. Anybody here or are my lights on? Alderperson Dorff.

- So, I'm understanding that you feel that it's necessary to have someone overseeing the project and being the manager for this large building project. You, your group, the friends of the wildlife sanctuary, the Follows, have already donated so much to the city. I just want to express my appreciation for that, as well. So, 3.4 million dollars donated through work by the project manager, the person who is in currently, possibly, in that position, I'm not sure how that all works, but do you think that it's, that the project would have a potential for failure if you didn't have that project manager in place?

- Absolutely, because again, if you remember, we are allowed to now, under the new state law and with the approval of this council, to basically go ahead and basically contract with a contractor to build the project. And we have a contractor, Keller Construction, that's going to, I think, do an excellent job. The board, as I say, are not, we don't have the time nor the expertise to basically be out there on a regular basis. The current project manager helped develop the plans, has been instrumental all along as we move forward. And I'll mention one other thing. We needed to raise an additional 300,000 in the last six months and the project manager played a key role in getting an additional 300,000 because the cost of the project, of the building with construction costs going up went from about 2.6 million to 2.9 million. So, I think from both the side of making sure that the project is done correctly and also with our donor relations, we need this project manager.

- [Mayor] Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you, Mr. Spielmann. Just looking at this position, though, where are we as far as the shovels in the ground? Are we ready to go with this project?

- Yes, yes.

- [Dan Spielmann] November 20--

- Do we have the money, is the money available?

- Yes.

- [Mark Steuer] It's all there?

- November 26th is the date that we, that Keller has indicated they can start. We would've started sooner, but we had some issues with making sure we got all the permits from the city as we move forward. So, yes, it'll start, hopefully, the plan is November 26th. We've collected, of the 3.4 million, we've collected about 1.5 million at this point, the rest are in pledges, so we continue, we'll have to continue to invoice the people who have pledged over a number of years, some of our major donors don't give \$750,000 in one day, they give it over three or four years.

- [Mark Steuer] Well, some of the things I might have to say might have to go into closed session. So, I appreciate your stance. I understand that you feel that you're moving forward with this and you feel that the people that are in place are correct, so I understand that. So, thank you so

- Thank you for the opportunity, thank you.

- [Mayor] Hang on, Dan. Alderman Nicholson had a question for you.

- One question, you keep bringing to surface about fundraising and this position was instrumental to the fundraising for a project. What is this position's expertise in watching the building being built.

- Well, first of all--

- I mean, what does he know about building that's comparable to Keller?

- [Dan Spielmann] Well, he has been involved, he has been involved with the plans from day one with our architect, so he and our architect basically have moved the plans forward in consultation with the board. We will have, basically, as I say, Keller Construction and obviously other people from the city, but we need somebody there on a daily basis, hopefully, to supervise and make sure things are done according to the plans that he helped develop.

- This is the only position that would accomplish that?

- [Dan Spielmann] Well, I think it's certainly a key position.

- Okay.

- [Dan Spielmann] And it was with the understanding, I think, as we move forward with our fundraising that there would be such a position.

- Okay, thank you.

- [Dan Spielmann] Thank you.

- [Mayor] Oh, oh, I got somebody before you. Are you done, Alderman Steuer?

- [Mark Steuer] Yes, I'm done.

- [Mayor] Okay, Alderperson Dorff.

- I have, did anyone else come from the Follows and if so, could you introduce them please?

- [Dan Spielmann] Yes, we have several of our board members here.

- Could they stand?

- [Dan Spielmann] Who have been enjoying this meaning. Tim Enright, Roger Skaletski, Richard De Broux and also Marilyn Enright, who is on the 4K advisory committee. And a number of other board members wanted to come, but had other conflicts this evening.

- Thank you.

- [Dan Spielmann] Thank you.

- [Mayor] Anything else, Barb? Okay, Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Thank you, Mr. Spielmann and everybody for coming on this item. Since we're at the point of actually building now and no longer fundraising, don't you feel that Keller Construction and their contractors really would be more qualified to oversee the construction? And our parks department who has vast experience in building parks things could oversee it? I don't know why this one position is so vital.

- [Dan Spielmann] Well, first of all, we, again, we always are fundraising because, as you know, with non-profits, you're always looking to fundraise and so, this position would continue to do some fundraising. But, I think the key is that this particular individual has such knowledge of the plans and what is going forward to this point, what goes where, I think that he will play a key role. Obviously Keller's gonna be the primary, obviously they have the expertise and others from the city, as well. But, I think it's important to have somebody who relates to the board who we have had confidence and trust in over the last number of years to basically be there as our representative.

- So, the, that's very commendable, the friends of the wildlife sanctuary have done an incredible job of enhancing that facility and raising money, your friends of the wildlife sanctuary, right? Not the position.

- Absolutely.

- So, if that position were gone, you would still just as gleefully and wonderfully raise money for the wildlife sanctuary.

- [Dan Spielmann] Yes and we will continue to do so

- Great.

- past this year.

- Alright, thank you.

- [Barb Dorff] If that position were gone.

- Yeah.

- [Barb Dorff] That's what you just said.

- Okay. Thank you, Dan. That's all I've got. Anyone else wishing to speak to this specific item? Anyone else, anyone else? Alright. Okay, yeah. Go ahead.

- I've also been working and I spoke to the, I'm Kathy Hinkfuss, I've spoke to the city council before about the wildlife sanctuary, encouraging you to provide the 250,000 of city money advancing our 4K and I think we're being a little bit short sighted here as a council. The wildlife sanctuary or the Friends of Wildlife Sanctuary are providing a three million dollar building to the city. There are promises that have been made to the donors that have provided this money and Mike has been in that position, Mike's position as a wildlife sanctuary head has been intricate in moving this forward and is part of the whole vision of what's going on with the Bay Beach, what's going on with the new sand beach out there and adding this on is another revenue source for the city of Green Bay. So, you remove a key position or a key player, and you know what, I don't care what you call the position, if you call him the director of the wildlife sanctuary or if you call him a project manager, he has been key in moving the 3.3 million dollars along and is absolutely key in knowing what needs to go into that building

and as far as I know, this project manager is there until that building is built, so I would encourage the city council to look long term at what the Follows have done and the, and what Mike has done to be able to move that forward and approve this position through 2019. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Questions? Alright. Anyone else to that, just, I don't know, it doesn't matter. Anyone else, anyone else, anyone else? Okay, a motion to return to regular order.

- [Bill Galvin] Motion to return.

- By Alderman Galvin,

- [Randy Scannell] I second.

- Second by Alderman Scannell. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- And those opposed, nay. The ayes have it. Alderman Scannell, you had the floor.

- Let's go into closed session.

- [Mayor] Why?

- I don't know where the...

- [Bill Galvin] Where are we going? I just...

- [Barb Dorff] I've got it, with regard...

- [Mayor] Straight, hang on, Alderman Galvin.

- Alderman Galvin would like to say something before.

- Yeah. Thank you. We've had other projects in the city in the past and I guess I would look at Steve Grenier, probably. You've had the most fingers into that kind of stuff. So, when the city's had building projects in the past, do we have an employee that acts as a go between or an overseer of that project with the construction company?

- Every project we build has a project manager assigned to it. Even a road construction project.

- [Bill Galvin] So, we have a project manager at every project,

- Every project.

- we have a city employee that acts as the project manager.

- Every project we build has a project manager assigned.

- [Bill Galvin] Okay, thank you. And then I guess I would ask Alder Seuer, who brought this forward, who do you see being the project manager for this? Or how would we get this done without having a project manager?

- You got me on?

- [Member] Yup, you're on.

- Mayor.

- [Mayor] Yup.

- Like I said, you look at this overall, you look at the overall project, there's a couple different threads that are going on into this. I think part of it is looking, does this person have the expertise or the skill to run this project? And granted, we have folks that testified to that effect that yes. And, you know, if that happens, if we all vote accordingly, that's fine, but I wanted to bring this forward because I feel that there are some red flags that we have to look at with this project. Now, I may be proven wrong, but I think that, I've talked to quite a few people that have either worked there now or in the past and I think there are some serious concerns going on. I know you're looking at me, Randy, but sorry. And I, with that being said, I don't have an alternative, I guess the alternative would be to, when we had Director Ditscheit and Director Anderson, they were both there, Director Anderson has gotten a stipend to work on, he got a stipend to fill in the gap a little bit here, as well. My contention is that a lot of the projects that were built with the wildlife sanctuary were built before Mr. Reed came on board.

- [Mayor] Yeah, budget meeting.

- So, anyway, we'll have to talk about that in closed session. I'm sorry.

- [Mayor] Yup, that's fine.

- I don't have an alternative right now as far as that. I would think try to do it in house.

- [Mayor] Alright, so there's a motion and second on the floor to reduce the wildlife sanctuary, or not the wildlife sanctuary, the park recreation forestry department by \$95,202. Then you thought we should, you want to go into closed session.

- [Barb Dorff] Yeah.

- [Mayor] Alright. Alderman Johnson.

- Yeah, I just want to make this statement before the closed session motion's being made. Clearly it's been brought up, so it's, I'm not interested in going in closed session to talk about a personnel matter, we have staff, staff handles personnel matters for the city of Green Bay. We're debating the budget right now and we're debating the merits of whether or not this is a critical position to basically inherit a three point some million dollar facility for the city of Green Bay. That's what I'm interested in talking about. If there are other issues that need to be talked about here, I don't think the budget discussion is the time to do that, I think that discussion is owned by staff, that's what they do and we have a personnel committee if there are issues with the way that staff is handling that situation, so I'm just gonna say that I'm gonna oppose closed session unless it's warranted to talk about this from a budget perspective. If we're going into closed session to talk about anything else, I don't see the reason to go into closed session.

- [Mayor] Alderperson Dorff.

- I just want to be ensured in closed session that we are indeed talking about it from a budget perspective and that we're not talking about it from a personnel perspective and that is my concern, so I want it to be, to have that clarified, but I certainly don't want to go into closed session, I would love to have this talked about on the

floor, I would love to have us talk about it just as the budget. I am not convinced about the motivations. So, that's why I want to go into closed session. I want to explore that and we cannot do that in open session.

- [Mayor] Alderman Scannell.

- Yup. Maybe we can avoid closed session with just a couple questions to staff. Both, well, I'll start with Dan. This is your department, this is a position that you did not take out of the budget, do you feel that this needs to be in the budget or it could be taken out?

- Yes, I feel this is an important position for the wildlife sanctuary and the parks department as a whole. It was in my budget for a reason and I support it.

- [Randy Scannell] And if we did eliminate it, who would then oversee this project? Do you have any?

- Right now, there would be nobody in the parks department who would oversee it. As you know, I was recently promoted to director and the design and developer superintendent position is vacant.

- [Randy Scannell] Well, perhaps that's enough to go vote on it. It's enough for me, certainly. Thank you.

- [Member] I'm fine with that too, we'll just vote.

- [Mayor] Alright, so the motion on the floor we're gonna vote the, sorry, the motion on the floor is to reduce...

- [Member] Mayor.

- [Member] Alder Brunette.

- Question is for Director Ditscheit. You mentioned your position that you were just promoted from, would that position typically be a project manager for a initiative like this. I know you were instrumental in Colburn Park and other facilities.

- That position is considered a park planner position, in essence, they do have construction background and knowledge and they are the project manager for most of the construction projects in the parks department unless it's a publicly bid project, at that point DPW engineers oversee it from a project management standpoint. But the smaller projects would all fall onto the design and development superintendent.

- [Jesse Brunette] So, the wildlife sanctuary build, if you will, that is considered a larger project or a smaller project?

- It would be, but this one is a little bit different because the city council granted authority to the Follows members to actually bid it out and monitor the construction and handle it that way, so it's not a traditional public bid project. If it were, it fall under public works to handle that supervision.

- [Jesse Brunette] Okay, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright. Alright, Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. It's also for Director Ditscheit. The position that Alderman Brunette was just referencing, the park design and development superintendent is open, but it will be filled, correct? On April 1st of this coming year.

- [Dan Ditscheit] That's how it's presented in the budget, correct.
- Okay, hat would it take, then, to hire it effective at the beginning of the year?
- [Dan Ditscheit] I think it was approximately a \$25,000 expense. Give me a second here. \$23,646.
- 23,646.
- [Dan Ditscheit] Correct. And that would include the benefits.
- And that position would oversee multiple projects around the city. I know when Fisk was being built, the superintendent oversaw that position or that project in multiple things around the city, whereas it seems like paying a position \$95,000 with benefits to oversee one project is severe overkill. I would really rather be in favor of eliminating this position and fully restoring the park design and development superintendent, which can benefit all of the city. So, keep that in mind when we're voting.
- [Mayor] Alright. Do you have anything else?
- Yeah, one, yeah. Just that I'm sure that this position is more than just overseeing this project, they have other duties, I'm sure, and we've gotta go into closed session, I have a legal question that really has to be done in closed session, sorry, that I think is, we should be well aware of if we're gonna vote on this. I have a legal question that needs to be answered in closed session.
- [Mayor] Do you want to vote on this now? 'Cause that's the motion on the floor, is to reduce this 95,202.
- Right, but if it was voted down without answering that legal question, I wouldn't know how to address it. It's an, yes, it's.
- [Member] If that's your motion, they have to vote.
- They don't, it'll be a minute, it won't take long, but I think we should go into closed session then.
- [Mayor] Alright, go ahead then. Do the floor.
- Yup, if you.
- [Barb Dorff] Alright.
- Thank you.
- With regard to each of the items contained in the section D, the committee may convene in closed session pursuant to sections 19.85 1c and e Wisconsin statute to consider employment promotion, compensation or performance evaluation data of any public employee over which the governmental body has jurisdiction or exercises responsibility and for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The committee may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to section 19.852 Wisconsin statutes to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.
- [Randy Scannell] Okay.

- [Mayor] So, that's the motion by Alderperson Dorff, second by Alderman Scannell, if you'd please use the board here. Yes, we go into closed session, no we stay here. Alright, we're going into closed session. Better be quick.
- Alright. I need a motion and a second to return to regular order.
- [Randy Scannell] Motion to return to regular order.
- Second.
- Second.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Vander Leest to return to regular order. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye. And those opposed, nays. Ayes have it. Alderman Scannell, you have the floor. The motion on the floor is
- To eliminate a...
- [Mayor] The motion on the floor is to reduce the park department budget by 95,202.
- Yeah, I completely oppose that. Thank you.
- [Mayor] Alright. So, that's the motion on the floor. A yes vote eliminates it, a no vote keeps it in the budget. Use the board, please. Hang on. Okay, so that motion fails on a vote of nine to three, so the dollars stay in the budget. Where are we at?
- So, changes that we have made so far tonight is we have added back \$313,000 for fire personnel, we have, to offset it, we have added \$100,500 in EMS revenue, we have reduced overtime by 10,300, we have reduced object number 52004 by 2,700, object 53001 by 10,000, object number 54002 by 2,000, next, 54010 by 20,000 and 55101 by 11,000. And then the difference is 156,500, in which we use one time money, fund balance.
- [Mayor] Alright. Okay.
- [Diana Ellenbecker] That makes a whole to where, right back to where we started at the beginning of the night on the budget.
- Alright. Thank you. Alright, Alderman Wery.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Let us continue. Page 67, under the HR budget. Training and travel, line 52001. This year's 7,500, last year, 6,000, the year before, 6,200, I'm just making a motion to reduce that to 6,000, the same level as 2018, so that'd be a reduction of 1,500.
- [Barb Dorff] Could I, would it possible, Alder Wery, for you just to tell us all of the ones, so we don't have to keep voting separately, so we kind of get a big picture of how much you want to reduce the budget and then what, to what purpose we're gonna have that money? Instead of doing everything individually and we don't know what's coming.
- If I list them all off, everybody's gonna want to go through them one by one anyway.

- [Barb Dorff] Well, we're going to, but we just want to have, I just want to have some sense of where we're going.
- I'm trying to reduce or eliminate the amount we're taking from our savings account. And if we can get farther than that, maybe restore police 'cause they've been ignored in all of this, really. I'm very appreciative of restoring the, especially for your district in the East side, the ambulance, but we're still down a large, 14, number of policemen, two community police who, boy, I don't know about you guys, but I rely on them like your right arm, they're incredible. So, that's my goal.
- [Barb Dorff] So, could we just kind of get a overall, I mean, we do 6,000 here, 2,000 there,
- Yup, well that's,
- just give us an overall picture.
- I'm just gonna, we'll find out when we go.
- Do you want to, do you want to do that?
- No.
- [Barb Dorff] No.
- I mean, I could read it all off, are you gonna remember it, no, let's just go one at a time. Yeah.
- [Mayor] Alright, that's fine, so the motion on the floor is to reduce the HR training budget by \$1,500.
- [Chris Wery] 67. Just reducing it to last year's level.
- Alright. Alderman Nicholson, did you want to comment on that?
- [Andy Nicholson] I can't remember.
- Okay. We'll use the board here. What? Alright. Clear the board. Alderman Scannell.
- Make a comment on that if they're, it was their budget number.
- Yeah, thanks, Alderman. I think overall, if you look at our budget, we did decrease by 17,000 going into 2019 and then we increased that travel and training budget line item because we have myself, who needs additional training, as I'm new to the position, we have a new safety manager who's also new to safety managing in the public sector, so we have more training for him to go to and then an additional HR generalist who also wants to go to more trainings and conferences, so that's why it was increased by 1,500 this year.
- [Member] Thank you.
- Alright. Use the board. A yes vote reduces the money, a no vote leaves it the way it is. Alderman Vander Leest. How do you want to vote? That's fine. Alright, that motion fails on a vote of eight to four. Alderman Wery.
- Alright, let's move to page 77, under community and economic development, page 77, training and travel. Right now it's at 21,500, 28% increase. I would move to reduce that by 11,500 down to 10,000.

- [Mayor] Okay, so Alderman Wery has a motion to reduce, what's the number?
- Reduce it by 11,500.
- [Mayor] No, I was looking at the number. 52003. No, 52001.
- 001.
- [Mayor] Alright, that's the motion, is there a second?
- [Andy Nicholson] Second.
- [Mayor] 'Kay, second by Alderman Nicholson. Alderperson Dorff.
- I would just like to know the impact on staff.
- [Mayor] Dr. Vonck.
- Sure, thank you Alder Dorff. I think one of the reasons you saw the budget go up in looking through our training and travel, we had noticed that our planners had been underbudgeted for travel, so that was some of the increase for that, to allow to attend some conferences and also workshops. I think it's also important to say that our department is pretty active in the region and also nationally in terms of getting out there, not just for ideas, but also making connections with developers. I think we've been very successful in the last few years bringing in outside developers to the area who may not have known about Green Bay or may not have had an interest in the city of Green Bay. I think with that, if you look at our overall budget, we've made some cuts in other areas and in the scheme of things, while a small amount, I think there would be a much more detrimental impact in terms of us being able to continue to recruit developers and new ideas to this community, which ultimately, in the long term, is gonna help generate tax base and revenue.
- [Barb Dorff] So, you were asked to take your 4% out and you did that.
- Correct.
- [Barb Dorff] You cut other things, you felt this was really important to keep in and it does possibly bring money to our city by bringing developers in, is that correct?
- That is correct.
- [Barb Dorff] Okay, thank you.
- Sure.
- [Mayor] Alderman Brunette.
- Yes, thank you, Mayor. I'm in favor of reducing the budget per Alderman Wery's recommendation and not that I don't think that it's necessary, you have to invest in your staff and if our department directors say that training is necessary, then who am I not to believe them, but let me remind the council where we are at. We are at a budget where we increased our taxes and we reduced services and now, if the budget goes through, if we keep voting down every single attempt by Alderman Wery and others, if you make those motions, then we're gonna get to the point where we're gonna reduce our fund balance by \$167,000. So, we're gonna reduce services, we're gonna increase taxes and we're gonna take from our savings account. And let me remind you that our fund balance, our savings account, our reserve, whatever you want to call it, has a substantial effect on

things, like our bond rating, our ability to overcome emergencies that come up that we don't always plan. So, unless we're going to be serious, we are definitely going to be putting this city in jeopardy one way or another and so, we can't do all three, we have to pick. We either can't take money from our fund balance, we have to not raise taxes or we can't cut services, something's gotta give. I commend Alderman Wery. You're really throwing yourself out there making some suggestions and the risk that we're gonna have is, if we keep voting down every little thing, we can live without training, quite frankly, for a year, we can live without some training. You're not cutting the entire budget for training, you're just saying to the department directors, "Pick the training that's important," there are things like online training and other ways to acquire training other than this outlay of capital. So, so we are running the risk, though, towards the end, if we keep voting down every recommendation, we'll get to the point at the end of the budget where I'm gonna have to vote no just because we're gonna do all three of those things. So, I'm not preaching to the council, you're all very professional and you know what you're doing, you have to answer to your constituents, but that's what I fear. We have to make some tough decisions and we gotta stop delaying what's tough. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alderman Johnson.

- I do agree with Alder Brunette and that's why I supported a couple of other cuts that have been proposed up to this point. However, this one in particular, I feel is a disproportionate cut to the value that it brings to our community, particularly as it relates to developer recruitment, who will generate revenue. If you look at a lot of the developers that have come into our community recently, those are not companies that originate from here. And so, if we're gonna be successful with getting them, we need to bring them here. So, when I look at his line item, and Dr. Vonck, please correct me if I'm wrong, but this is training, but it's also travel to meet with those developers, to recruit developers.

- [Kevin Vonck] That is correct, yes.

- [Brian Johnson] Okay. So, I guess I would offer up a friendly amendment to rather than cutting it by the amount that Alder Wery has stated, to reduce it to match the 2018 original budget.

- [Mayor] Which is.

- [Brian Johnson] 16,745.

- [Mayor] Which is a reduction of what?

- [Brian Johnson] Roughly half of what Alder Wery proposed, 5,000.

- [Mayor] I need exact numbers.

- [Brian Johnson] 4,755? I have.

- [Member] 4,755.

- [Member] I'll second that.

- [Member] Alright, we vote.

- [Mayor] If he's agreeable to it, we don't need a second, so that's the new motion. Alderperson Lefebvre.

- I was just gonna say if you look at the actual for 2018, it's 11,797. If that's what they accepted for the budget for 2018, this is 10,000 more. So, why would it increase? This is projected, right?

- [Mayor] So, the motion on the floor is to reduce it by 4,755. Are you done?

- Yeah.

- [Mayor] Alderman Galvin.

- Thank you, Your Honor. Chris, I know you want to go through this section by section, but is there a grand total at the end of this that you've put together? Have you added up all these projections to come up with some kind of a figure that I'm looking towards or are you just?

- [Chris Wery] I'll keep going until we eliminate that borrowing.

- And then you'll stop?

- [Chris Wery] Unless you're adding simply to complete that.

- Well, don't, don't put words in my mouth, Chris. I'm asking you if you have an amount here that you're looking towards.

- [Chris Wery] No, I have some, but.

- Is there a total here, so I know?

- [Mayor] That's committee work.

- [Chris Wery] Originally, when I started, it was over three.

- Okay, thank, that's all I'm asking for. Thank you.

- Alright. So, there's a motion and a second to reduce the travel budget for economic development by 4,755. Use the board. Alright, that passes on a vote of 11 to one. Alderman Wery.

- So, before I go onto the next item, perhaps, we saw our fire chief stand up here just on, within the course of an hour or two, find another \$56,000 in cuts in his budget. That's probably the last person, the last budget, police and fire, that I would ask to make a cut. I would ask in the time here that we're still going over the budget, other department heads, I challenge them. So, we might not be on your budget right now, but start thinking. If there's somebody else out there, say, "Hey, you know what, maybe we can do with less," whatever, office supplies, fuel, whatever. This is the time to do it. So, hopefully we have some responses later, I'm gonna continue on, but I'm really hoping we take the leadership of Chief Litton. Let's go to DPW, page 114, more training and travel. It'd be the very top line, 52001. Back in 2017, we were actually at 8,900 for this, this year, we're at 14,950. I'm going to ask that we, I'll make a motion to remove 5,000 from this line item.

- [Mayor] Alright, so we have motion by Alderman Wery, second by Alderman Brunette to--

- And if I could, under discussion, I know if we ask staff, they will always tell you you need it, so I'll save you the time. Thank you. Am I wrong? They need it, they all need it, just saving you time.

- Alright, any discussion? Alderperson Dorff.

- [Barb Dorff] Yes, I'd like to ask Dan what impact this reduction will have. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alderman Grenier. I mean, Director Grenier.

- The position that we voted to hire earlier under this item, the civil engineer in the Department of Public Works is also the engineer that is responsible for inspecting 30 bridges owned by the city of Green Bay. When that person leaves at the end of this month, at the end of the month of November, they take all of that bridge inspection experience with them, including all of the certifications. So, the additional money that's in the budget is to pay to train the new bridge engineer.

- [Barb Dorff] Thank you.

- [Mayor] Anything else, Alderperson Dorff?

- No, to pay to train the new bridge engineer. Thank you, that was good.

- Alright. Use the board on this. This is the reduction in public works of \$5,000 of training and travel. A yes vote reduces it, a no vote keeps it there. Finally. Alright, that's six, six vote, so that motion, I'm gonna vote to keep it in the budget.

- [Chris Wery] I 18. Training and travel 52001, the amount from 2017 was 2,794, 2,794, it's 8,375 this year. My motion's to reduce by 5,000.

- [Mayor] What page is he on?

- I 18.

- [Member] Is that for travel?

- [Mayor] 52001?

- Yeah. Yeah, that's the area of training and travel.

- [Randy Scannell] And whose budget is this?

- Where is that? It's 27 to four.

- [Member] This is still DPW, right?

- Yeah. Page I 18, under traffic.

- [Mayor] Yeah, I got it. Alderperson Dorff, did you want to.

- I just have a quick clarification. So, actual, the 2,736, that's just what they've spent so far this year, that's three quarters of the year, that's not the total that's going to be spent, it's not justify, so that's what happened when Alder Lefebvre asked the question too. Actual does not mean that's all they're going to spend, that's what it was when the budget was put together, 'kay?

- [Mayor] Right.

- So, it really was at 4,230.

- [Chris Wery] Yeah, I never referenced the actual, that's just an even.

- [Mayor] Great. Yeah, I hear you, I think we get it.

- [Chris Wery] I'm referencing the 2017.
- [Mayor] Okay.
- [Barb Dorff] I may as well ask the staff the impact this will have.
- Our communications and electronic technician. How long has Randy been with us?
- [Attendee] 29 years.
- 29 years. He is retiring in May, we have brought a new radio communications and electronics technician on board. That additional money is to send him down for training on two way radio communication, which we maintain on behalf of all departments for the city.
- Alright, use the board. A yes vote takes this out of the budget, a no vote leaves it in. \$5,000 reduction in traffic training. Three, four, five. Alderman Wery. Okay. Alright, that motion fails on a vote of eight to four.
- [Member] Does the parks department see more revenue?
- [Chris Wery] It's tough to say we just put it back last week. We're putting in, so we're back to something new. So, this revenue is something that we just put in for. So, it didn't exist before this and remember, we have our tough budgets and everything that we just went through. Higher taxes, lower services, robbing from our savings. My motion would be for the benefits for the 4K teachers, to reduce that by 19,868. I'm not sure why we're adding things when we reducing drastically, so take off 19,868. If anything, I think the school district should be offering the benefits to teachers.
- [Mayor] Yeah, just, okay, okay.
- So, I'll defer to finance executive to where that is.
- [Mayor] No, no, no, no, I was just gonna say we're on page 147, we're just going to get the line item.
- I'm gonna defer to finance on which one. It's in salaries and benefits. So, wherever applicable.
- [Mayor] Diana, do you, this is the...
- [Diana Ellenbeck] 51201 and 51202, your health and your dental for wildlife sanction.
- Thank you.
- [Mayor] 51.
- Put your light on there, experts.
- [Member] What page is that on?
- [Mayor] Yeah, it's, we're not on the right page.
- [Diana Ellenbecker] 147.
- I was right.

- [Brian Johnson] But they need a council for the radio.
- [Diana Ellenbecker] The radio council, we don't have it.
- Yeah, so they're not gonna be in there.
- [Diana Ellenbecker] Well, that's where it would fall.
- [Brian Johnson] On your old copy.
- Yeah, 'cause we're just adding it in for this to print it out.
- [Mayor] Okay.
- So, I'm deleting something that hasn't been added in.
- Well, just wait. So, give me the number, 51201 was what?
- [Diana Ellenbecker] Health insurance.
- Yup, and how much was that? I know the total's 19,868, but what?
- [Diana Ellenbecker] I get 18,329. Then dental 1,539.
- Then dental's obviously the balance. Alright. So, we have the, did we get a second on that? Did you do that? Okay, there's a motion and a second to reduce the budget on page 147, the items aren't there, but it's 18,329 for a total of 19,868. Alderman Nicholson.
- [Andy Nicholson] Thank you, Mayor.
- Yeah, okay, go ahead.
- A question or two on this. Why when we started this program, I don't remember we were gonna start building on it by giving benefits. It was supposed to be a starter program. But now it's, why is it growing? Now we're giving benefits out, that wasn't the intention three, four years ago. So, what is taking place, I guess? That's my question, sir.
- [Mayor] Yeah, I get it. I did not have this in the budget. Could I go to Alderperson Dorff, who--
- But it's your budget.
- [Mayor] She added it in, it's not in my budget.
- Oh, okay.
- Do you want me to explain?
- Well, why isn't the school board paying for it?
- I'd be happy to explain.

- [Andy Nicholson] Okay.

- Okay, the reason the school board isn't paying for it is because they are not the school district's employees. We chose, as a council or as the parks department, to do a model three 4K. Model three 4K means that you use someone else's facilities, you use someone else's, they hire the teachers for it, the district helps with providing the curriculum and the support, for example, someone has to go out there and supervise those teachers, so the district does that, and then the trade off is that the city gets a certain amount of money per pupil to cover the expenses of the teachers. We talked through this in personnel for a few months, I think it came up in personnel, and we talked about, these are our employees, they are teachers teaching four year olds and we have high turnover. This year, we started the year, we could not even get an employee, another teacher in there, we had to start the year with a sub. So, they're not paid equivalent to what the teachers in the school district are paid. There is, right now, the parks department is looking at switching to a model two, it's, they have to talk it over with UW Green Bay, they have to talk it over with the school district, they have to decide if they go to a model two, then what would happen is the school district would take over paying the teachers and paying the insurance and the school district would then pay a certain amount of rent. But there's a lot of partners in this, so it has to be agreeable to all the partners as to whether or not that's going to occur. So, this may, in the end, we may not need this money in the budget, but this is the only time we can put it in the budget. I don't know what those meetings are going to come up with, I don't know what's going to happen.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you.

- [Andy Nicholson] Thanks, Barb.

- [Member] For what.

- [Andy Nicholson] I don't know.

- [Mayor] Alright, anyone else on this? Did you want to say something, Randy?

- [Randy Scannell] Yeah.

- [Mayor] Then can you hit your light?

- [Randy Scannell] Yeah, I got my PDF file on the light.

- [Mayor] Alright, go ahead.

- I just want to say, at the joint finance and personnel committee meeting, I supported this, I didn't vote, but as a alder, I supported this at the time, but I thought, ah, I'm not gonna support it now, I think we can take it out and we can put it in much later if necessary. This isn't gonna take effect until next school year, so it doesn't affect the teachers now and if we can come up with the money, even later on, we don't have to do it right now to make this budget, we can amend the budget whenever to fit that in, so I'm gonna support taking this out now. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright. Alderman Nicholson, you're done, Randy, just turn your lights off if you guys are done with this specific, did you have something on this? Okay, if you turn your light off, just for a second, so I don't. Alright. So, we'll use the board. This is, again, to reduce the benefits for the 4K program by \$19,868. A yes vote takes them out, a no vote keeps them in. Alright, and that passes on a vote of nine to three, so we'll reduce the 19,868. Alright?

- [Chris Wery] On page 150.

- Hang on, Chris.
- Line 54092, celebrations. It's currently 40,000, it's hung around there stable for awhile, I think due to our horrendous budget situation, we can cut that in half to 20,000, so it'd be minus 20,000.
- [Member] Second.
- [Randy Scannell] 156, did you say?
- Page 156, line item 54092.
- [Mayor] Anybody want to ask me how I feel about that?
- [Barb Dorff] Well, I have my light on, so I can ask that.
- [Mayor] Alright.
- So, what impact will this have on the city if we reduce the celebrations by that amount of money.
- [Mayor] For the record, that used to be \$80,000. I was admitted to be at \$100,000, \$1 perperson, to help celebrate Green Bay. We help fund a lot of first year activities, sometimes over the second, no more than the third year from Walk A Mile In My Shoes to fun runs, it's promoting downtown. The city of Green Bay, we did something out at NWTC for the Heart Walk, but it's the city, people come in, we're over subscribed by this thing, I don't know, \$3 to one. Look, I just want to explain what this is, I mean, this is, they come in, they see Celestine and this is what we're gonna do, we're gonna have this event, we're like, "Yeah, maybe we can give you 1,000, 2,000 dollars," so that is what the money is for, it's to celebrate Green Bay and, look, I've been an advocate for this for 16 years, I always that it should be 104, a dollar per person, and it gets cut and cut and cut, but it's your budget. I had 50 in, I reduced it when we all went around and said we had to cut our budgets, so besides not bringing Mary help back, I reduced this. So, look, any other, that's fine, I'm done. Huh? What's, do you have anything else, Alderperson Dorff.
- I don't, thank you.
- [Mayor] Alright, alright, Alderman Wery.
- I won't be supporting the cut, though.
- Yeah, and that's an admirable and good thing to do, but I think in a budget where we're cutting police and fire, if someone comes in for \$1,000 for a walk or a run, they would understand if you looked them in the and you said, "You know what, we cut police and fire, "I'm sorry, we can't give you \$1,000 for your run."
- [Mayor] Okay.
- Thanks.
- [Mayor] Alderman Brunette.
- Yes, thank you, Mayor. I commend you, you've done a lot in terms of celebrating the city and bringing attention to us in very positive ways, but the way I look at this, until we find a way to reduce that \$167,000 hit to our fund balance, this is the equivalent of raiding your savings account to throw parties. Not that simple--
- It's 156, 156.

- Hundred, oh, did I say 167. Is that,
- 156. Okay, that. You get the idea. So, again, I'm not minimizing what this celebration fund is doing, but given the state that we're taking money from our savings account, until we get to that zero dollar amount, I think it, reinstated later on in the budget if needed, but until we get to that zero amount, I'm definitely in favor of reducing celebrations. Thank you. Funding for celebrations, not celebrations in general, but funding, thank you.
- [Mayor] Alright. It's your budget, I want to say, I'm one of those guys that believe this helps with our 12 and a half percent crime reduction, but I'm just throwing that out. But, your budget, Kathy.
- [Randy Scannell] Connect that dot.
- [Mayor] I'll connect that dot, you want me to connect that dot?
- [Randy Scannell] Yeah, please.
- [Mayor] You don't think by having, supporting the Hispanic celebration, the Hmong celebration, the fun walks, the youth runs, the music we have downtown, you don't think that community helps give people a sense of pride and you don't think that's connected to a 12 and a half percent reduction in crime at all? Is it all arresting? Okay, alright. Alderperson Lefebvre.
- What the others said, celebrations are fine for the city to acknowledge our diversity and different things that we do in our community, but I think also, yeah, I would agree to cutting this. I think what we need to do is, then, we need to look at some of these groups to do their own fundraising to help with it. And also, to go to the community, I mean, to, not just the community, the downtown businesses. A lot of this happens downtown, why aren't they helping? If they want to have a nice celebration, then I think they should help pay for it. Sorry, thank you.
- That's fine and they do, but alright. Okay. Use the board on this. This is to reduce the celebration account \$20,000. Item 54092. Bill, are you good with that? I mean, it's gonna go through, so. Alright. That passes on a vote of 10 to two. Alderman. Alright, go ahead, Alderman Wery.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Apologies, Mr. President. Page 156, 59007, historic preservation. The \$20,000, I would make a motion to reduce that by 10,000. This year, we're projected to use about 12, so.
- [Mayor] It's been...
- So, did you already address this particular item?
- [Mayor] It's gone?
- Oh, is it in two different places?
- [Randy Scannell] It's in two different places, so that one's been eliminated.
- This one's eliminated, okay.
- [Mayor] No.
- Oh, it's for the same amount?

- [Mayor] Yeah.
- Same line item, so I can have my motion.
- [Mayor] But it's a different number. It's in the planning budget. So, your motion is to reduce historic preservation amount, no matter where it is in the book, by \$10,000.
- It's currently 20?
- [Mayor] Yes.
- So, it's still the same? Okay, yeah. You sure?
- Alright. Alderman Steuer.
- Thank you, Your Honor. Chris, I appreciate your efforts here. I fought for this pretty hard a couple years back and we have a downtown historic district now, we have a certified local government status that we're pushing toward, we have a preservation ordinance that will increase tourism and economic development, all that good stuff, I could go on and on about it, but I would defer to Director Vonck, just to corroborate that a little bit.
- [Mayor] Yeah, go ahead.
- [Chris Wery] Would it be alright if we just matched last year, 12,000? That's what we did last year.
- Last year was, I think it--
- [Chris Wery] 12,000, and so it would be 2018, we're on, back to the, match that \$8,000.
- [Mark Steuer] Well, let me defer to, initially?
- [Chris Wery] Yeah.
- [Mark Steuer] I would like to hear from him.
- Sure. As far as the historic preservation dollars, the money spent year to date is lower than it has been. The contracted specialist who we work with was actually out of the country for most of the summer, so hadn't put in as many hours year to date as we have had in the past. With that, as Alderperson Steuer had said, we just adopted our historic preservation ordinance, making us a certified government with Landmarks Commission. I know we've been processing a number of applications in terms of getting a certificate of appropriateness. We anticipate that will continue to take up and that that person will be basically having their hands full in terms of having to now review applications to achieve that COA. With that, part of the job description with this person is also to work with the state historic preservation office. Now that we are in the process of being a CLG, we are eligible for grant funding for programs in the community and our goal is to help bring in grant funding that will meet or exceed basically what we're spending in contractual services. We feel for what we get, right now we have a very talented individual contracted in that position and I think \$20,000 is a very good bargain, what we're getting on top of that, so I would recommend keeping that at 20,000.
- [Mark Steuer] Am I still on?
- [Mayor] Yup.

- Like I said, Chris, I appreciate what you're trying to do here, but I think for the bang for the buck, I think on this one I'm gonna have to go with Director Vonck.
- [Mayor] What's the motion? Is it 20 to 10 or 20 to 12?
- [Chris Wery] I thought it was just a fund. I didn't know it was actually being. When I saw the actual being 12,000 from 20, I saw it, however, I am still gonna vote.
- [Mayor] Alright, so there's a motion by Alderman Wery. Second on that?
- [Andy Nicholson] Second.
- [Mayor] By Alderman Nicholson to reduce the historic preservation budget by \$3,000. Alderperson Levebvre.
- Could Director Vonck, Dr. Vonck, could you give any estimates at all what you think the person could bring in with grants?
- [Kevin Vonck] Not off the top, but definitely more than 20,000. I think in some of the programs, they have the 50 to 100,000 dollar range, depending on various activities. The person can also assist homeowners in terms of making their renovations that they're complying with the certificate of occupancy. Again, we're interested in people who are reinvesting in their properties. Ultimately go out, do a new project, get reassessed and then come in at a higher assessed value, property values for us. And most of these properties are not in a tax increment district, so then that's some true savings right to the levy, or true revenue right to the levy.
- So you think you need the full 20,000?
- [Kevin Vonck] Yeah, in order to have that budgeted, I think, again, with that new certification status, I think we're going to look at an uptick, we've already seen an uptick in applications that have come through. Of course, if it's not needed, we won't use it and then can, I think we have a, like I said, a good contracting employee who makes frugal use and very efficient use of the time and if we don't need to use it, we won't use it, but given where, again, that new certification, we feel that we probably, there's a good chance we would use the 20,000 in 2019.
- Okay. Thank you.
- Alright, so there's a motion and a second to reduce the historic preservation budget by 3,000. Use the board. Okay, that, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven. Okay, that fails on a vote of seven to five. You're lucky.
- [Chris Wery] Page 156, 59008, neighborhood association, which is currently 10,000. I know we do a sizable amount of fundraising and I think if we cut this in half this year, I'm sure they'd understand why if we tell them. So, my motion will be to reduce it by 5,000.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Wery to reduce the neighborhood association budget by 5,000. That dies for lack of a second.
- [Andy Nicholson] Mayor, I'll second that.
- Alright, second by Alderman Nicholson. Alderperson Dorff.
- I guess I'd be interested in the impact from staff.

- I can tell you that this year, we're on track to spend the 10,000, last year we spent about 8,700. There's probably about 15 projects from associations, they apply for, so it would be a matter of how many projects we can do.

- [Barb Dorff] What, can you explain what are some of the projects that have been funded?

- We fund things like the National Light Out events, there's a doggy station involved, putting, adding a corridor, historic lamppost and aster, a fun fest, Keep Kids Alive in Prebble, we did street signs through public works, they assist us with that. So, every year it varies on what the associations apply for.

- [Barb Dorff] Then, it would concern me 'cause we're seeming to be eliminating a lot of the climate and culture and things that make Green Bay a positive place to be and this would be another elimination, so I will definitely oppose this. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Alright, thank you. Alderman Brunette.

- Yes, thank you, Mayor. This one, I'm against and here's why. We've, as a city, resources, volunteers, community members, have invested a lot of time into the neighborhood associations throughout our city and if, for those who are familiar with their associations in their districts, usually it's the same 10, five, 10 people in each association that does such a heavy amount of the work that it takes to organize events and to get people to show up and do newsletters and just two or three weeks ago in my district, the Fritsch Park Neighborhood Association had a fun, a fall fest, over 100, 150 people showed up and so, so I've been able to work closely with that association and I know the work that each of those members does and for me, it's just a slap in the face to them. They're already working with limited resources, trying to really energize their community and I just don't, I think, symbolically, the message that it sends is the wrong one at this moment. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alderman Nicholson.

- Thank you, Mayor. When you started or announced these neighborhood associations many moons ago, you stated not one red cent was gonna be spent on this. Now we're at 10,000, at one time, we were at 20. Not everybody in the city utilizes this, so please don't feel it's a slap in the face. It really isn't. Not every district utilizes this. And what I heard doesn't amount to the efficiency of what we need. This is a want, not a need. They do a lot of fundraisers, brings in money, they were able to sustain themselves before this and I believe they can with tenacity and a lot of hard work. So, I will support this once again. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Brunette. Okay, you guys gotta turn your lights off. Alderperson Dorff, did you have anything else? Alright, so. Alright, so the motion and the second on the floor is to reduce the neighborhood association account by \$5,000. A yes vote reduces it, a no vote keeps it where it is. Two, three, four, five. I guess that's it. And that fails on a vote of seven to five. One, two, three, four, five. Alright, alright, okay.

- On page 161, and now we're into the general, general fund now. So, this is the top item there, 54002, office supplies. 2017, we were at 105,000, now this year, we're up to 117,000. I think if we challenge our departments to be a little more conservative and use wisely their supplies, we can cut out 10,000, which is my motion. So reduce that line item by 10,000. The departments under the direction of the mayor or whomever can help ascertain how that's gonna be allocated.

- Alright, so there's a motion and a second to reduce office supplies, 54002, by, what'd you say? 10?

- 10,000.

- \$10,000. Alderperson dorff.

- Just curious as to the impact this will have on staff. There must have been a reason it was raised, so.
- [Mayor] I have no idea, I mean, don't put that on me.
- you don't?
- I have no idea.
- Not you.
- [Mayor] Well he said, "Up to the discretion "of the mayor," I, that is, I don't get into that detail.
- I'm sure not. So, this came in from lots of different departments?
- [Mayor] Right, right.
- And it added up to this amount.
- [Mayor] Right.
- Okay.
- [Mayor] I don't know what to tell you. Does anybody want to comment on this? You cut 10 paperclips, get rid of some rubber bands. I don't know.
- [Member] I asked about that.
- [John Vander Leest] Wouldn't it be appropriate to go through the departments to take from them than to do it in general? If we're gonna do that.
- Diana, how does this roll up?
- [Diana Ellenbecker] That is all the pages, all the departments that have 101 is rolled up, this is just a summary.
- Right.
- [Diana Ellenbecker] We would have to go back through from page 112 on to page 156 to find that office supply line. We also have constructed a report to tell you which departments and what their number is.
- [Randy Scannell] I would think if we're gonna do this, we have to do it that way. We can't just take from the top of it.
- [Chris Wery] You can, we've done it before.
- [Randy Scannell] Just from the top?
- [Chris Wery] Yeah, we have. We've done that.
- I don't think it was rolled up.

- [Chris Wery] I'll spread it out equally, how many departments are there? Each can take one whatever percent.
- [Member] You need departments? I already checked some other.
- What are you, you make the motion. You got the floor.
- [Chris Wery] I'm gonna leave it as it is, minus 10,000. I'll trust in staff and yourself, Mr. Mayor, as the head of the city, to figure it out.
- And get the benefits. Alright, so there's a motion by Alderman Wery, did somebody second that?-
- [Member] I'll second the motion.
- To reduce office supplies by \$10,000. Use the board here. That won't tempt them. But, whatever. A yes vote reduces it, a no vote. Alright. Alright, and that passes on a vote of 10 to two.
- [Diana Ellenbecker] I think we're gonna have to have a, getting to put line item.
- Alright, so that motion's out of order. Sorry. This isn't any good. Committee work. Yes, that's what it is, committee work. 'Cause the time, paying, I bet you we're spending 15 grand right here.
- [Diana Ellenbecker] There's five items on there.
- Alright, so.
- [Member] I do have a question.
- Yeah, hang on. Let me just get this on the floor. There's a motion by Alderman Wery to reduce \$2,000 of office supplies budget in police, admin, parks, DPW and economic development. Is there a second on that?
- [Member] Second.
- Alright. Alderperson Dorff.
- [Barb Dorff] I think...
- [Member] Well, I guess I am.
- You don't want to? Alright. So, the reason it went up, did I hear that right? That it went up 6,600 because of some batteries?
- [Member] For the tabulators.
- For the tabulators. And will this be every year we'll need?
- No, the last time they were, the last time they were replaced was in 2014.
- And so that is the line share of why this went up. Really, it's \$3,400 that we're talking about in the \$10,000 difference and I can't vote on this until I know exactly what the line items are in each of those budgets because what if one department had just a little bit in there and they didn't even have 2,000. I don't know. I need to

know what those line items are before I can vote on it, so can we take some time to find those out? But also knowing that it went up because of the batteries, is this really something we still want to do? I don't know.

- [Mayor] Well, okay. I don't know exactly what you're asking. The motion on the floor is to reduce the five budgets by 2,000, so.

- Yeah, I can't do that until I know that I'm taking this much money from this budget and what am I leaving them with because I don't believe that they have exactly the amounts in those budgets. So, a percentage might be more fair or might not be fair at all. But, we can't take away the batteries, I know that, so we can't be touching those batteries.

- [Randy Scannell] I want to know how this affects the clerks.

- I'm sorry, what?

- If we take 2,000 from you, how does that affect, what does that leave you with?

- [Mayor] Kris, Diana, does this affect the clerks' budget with the batteries?

- [Diana Ellenbecker] Well, they would be included in the administrative services area. We've got about five or six different covenants, so we would have to probably take the 2,000 and leave a small portion of it to clerks, assessors, that's union, and finances of the desk So each department would be affected by some portion, so I guess we would take a vote of the department heads and ask how they want to split the \$2,000 into each of the departments.

- [Mayor] Let me just go through these lights. Alderman Galvin.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. So, as I'm seeing this, to add on four rescue squad personnel, we're starting to strip money from all the other departments, but then you want to strip money from other departments to add on police? Or are you just trying to save money, period, here? Are you justifying this as trying to add these on or is this just your wish list? A lot of people met with department heads prior to this meeting, prior to the finance meeting to go over their budgets, to see what they had going on. I kind of get the feeling you're just taking pot shots at stuff you see.

- [Chris Wery] No, I can guarantee you with Diana, how many emails that we had going back and forth.

- [Mayor] Well, don't make a motion to adopt it as an amendment.

- [Chris Wery] So, believe me, I've done my homework, I've done this a number of times.

- Alright. We asked all the department heads to give up 4% and now we're asking them to give up more than that 4%. And if I recall the fire chief saying he didn't want this to affect other departments, but that's exactly where you're going. Alright, thank you.

- Alderman Johnson.

- I guess what I'm gonna, I'm gonna offer up an amendment. If Alder Wery wants to accept it as a friendly amendment, we can just alter it, but rather than saying \$2,000 per department, if my math is correct, it is an eight and a half percent reduction total for office supplies. I'll just propose that we reduce each department by eight and a half percent, so that way it's equitable.

- [Chris Wery] Alright, that works for me.

- [Member] Second on that.
- [Mayor] Okay. Alright, so now there's a motion--
- If Alder Wery accepts it as a friendly amendment, that's the new motion.
- No, there's no such thing as a friendly amendment.
- [Chris Wery] Well, there is,
- No, there isn't.
- it's not official, but.
- [Mayor] It's not, no there's not, that's, that was a Guy Zima thing that he used all the time and I, since he's been gone, we haven't done it and we're not gonna start now.
- Well that's my, that's my, that's my motion.
- So, you want to amend his amendment, but this playing footsie, friendly, we don't do that. It's just, do you have an amendment?
- Look, I'm trying to get it done. Eight and a half percent is my motion.
- [Chris Wery] And that's why it's called friendly, so no need to be combative.
- [Mayor] Alright.
- [Mark Steuer] I'll second.
- We got a second by Alderman Steuer.
- [Member] I second that.
- [Mayor] Does that trump Chris's, Alderman Wery's motion? Alderman Wery made a motion to reduce it by.
- [Chris Wery] I'll change my motion.
- [Mayor] Okay. Alright, so there's a motion by Alderman Johnson, seconded by Alderman Steuer, to reduce the supplies by eight and a half percent. Alderman Wery.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I worked for a time at school specialty and they went through bankruptcy. They had a tough time. You want to talk about cutting supplies? They would laugh at this, you can make do with less, especially when it's concerning safety things and raising taxes and not borrowing. We can make due with less. The amount we cut is a pittance. Use less pens, less pencils, less paper, I don't care what it is, we can do it. If not now, good God, this is not like climbing Mount Everest here, this should be easy. Easy stuff. Thank you.
- [Mayor] Okay. So, again, the motion, and use the board here, to reduce the office supplies budget in the five different departments by eight and a half percent. A yes vote reduces it, a no vote doesn't. It would not 'cause we took it out at personal and finance. I thought he... So, what's the right? Okay. Alright, so that passes on a vote of, one, two, three, four, oh great. Seven to five, okay. Alright.

- [Chris Wery] So, that passes, correct?
- Yup.
- Okay, thank you. Page 167. Now, this is under sanitary sewer, is that going to affect
- No.
- what we're doing here? Okay, that's what I thought, so cross that off? How about parking division?
- [Member] No.
- No? That's its own family? Alright. Stormwater?
- [Member] No.
- No, not that, so alright. Well, I bought a little bit of time. Time for the great reveal here. Department heads, any heroic offerings, suggestions, ideas? Any hands, Mr. Mayor?
- I think, I wouldn't expect that.
- [Chris Wery] Alright, well, the fire department did it, I just was hoping that somebody else could've too, but. I am going to page 81, one last one, at least for now, for me. Very last line item, public arts coordinator, aka art czar. I'll make a motion to delete that position. I know it's private in federal funds now, but if those funds dry up, guess who's on the hook for the salary and the position, especially once we heard it's got a name attached to it, then nobody's gonna want to get rid of it.
- [Member] It doesn't affect our budget.
- [Chris Wery] I just explained why I don't want it in there. Because once those funds dry up, either privately or federally, now we're on the hook for it 'cause it's in our table of organizations.
- [Member] It is funded through the year.
- [Member] Second.
- [Mayor] Alright, so, yeah. Is that?
- [Diana Ellenbecker] There is zero dollar impact
- Yup.
- to this 2018 levy for that position.
- [Chris Wery] That is part of the budget, we could still take it out of the table of organization, right?
- Yeah, is this appropriate time to do that? Remove a position, even though it doesn't affect the budget.
- [Member] Well, 'cause it'll affect it for a certain solstice,
- My budget's not

- Just this year.
- in this budget.
- [Randy Scannell] Can we do it next year if we need to? We don't need to do anything this year.
- [Chris Wery] Mayor, I'm sitting right there and I couldn't even hear. Could the attorney please speak into the mic please.
- Yup. It's not an amendment to the budget and that's what is on the agenda and what we're discussing right now. It would be more of a change to the table of organizations.
- [Mayor] It doesn't affect the budget, it doesn't.
- Right.
- [Chris Wery] Alright, I guess I was just concerned
- Yeah.
- for future budgets. If that money dries up, we're on the hook for it, so.
- [Member] Take that up next.
- The arts are--
- I think we need to.
- [Chris Wery] Yup, alright. That's all I have for now. If anybody else has anything.
- [Mayor] Alright, thank you.
- [Chris Wery] Or see where we're at right now.
- [Andy Nicholson] Mayor, I need a question.
- Okay, Diana, can you just, Pam, roll all that up? Go ahead, Alderman Nicholson.
- I've been involved with a number of budget meetings and I don't understand why you can't take something off the table of organization. What I understand through numerous years that the budget is the place to do it at.
- [Mayor] I think when it affects the budget, we skipped over the water utility, the parking, this, look, it doesn't affect the budget, I think personnel should look at it, but it doesn't, the motion on the floor is the city's budget.
- Well, I understand, but--
- And to amend it.
- Right. But, over the years, we've reduced
- Staff, no question about it.

- There was, there is, there was times where tables of organizations changed
- Yes.
- and only at budget time.
- [Mayor] Right.
- Now we're being told no?
- [Mayor] It was, it affected the budget, though. If I'm not trying to be smart,
- No, no, no,
- I'm saying they affected the budget.
- I just want an explanation, that's all.
- [Mayor] That's why I eliminated 50,000 job for 33,000, it was in the budget and at this meeting, we deal with the budget, but when it comes to adding personnel, deleting personnel that doesn't affect the budget, I don't know if this is the proper venue, I don't remember doing that. Someone that doesn't affect our budget, that's a grant funded position. Yeah, I understand what he's saying.
- Let's make a motion.
- [Mayor] It was like community policing, right? President Clinton funded those and all of five years later, it was on city's dole and I don't think we dealt with it until that time.
- Boy, I, I guess I disagree, but alright.
- [Mayor] Alright. Go ahead.
- Wait, so we did all our changes. For tonight, so far, has been expenses have gone up \$202,000, 202,377, our revenues have gone up 257,000 and so that nets to a 54,624 that we are under our levy limit at this time. But, that includes the using applied surplus.
- [Mayor] So...
- Yes, you're right.
- [Mayor] We would have 54,000 to reduce the applied surplus of 150.
- [Member] Six.
- [Mayor] You understand my question?
- Yup.
- So, we have cut 54,600, no that's not right.

- We have, yeah, we've cut 54,623 and at this point, the 156,5 that we had put toward a fund balance would now be down to 101,877.

- [Mayor] Would be out of our fund balance?

- Correct.

- Okay. Yup. Hang on. Go ahead.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. For Director Vonck, under page 207, 48300 on land sales, I know the amount of land we have is shrinking and in some years we've had over a million dollars in land sales and we were very lucky. Two years ago, we had 600,000, last year it was a half million, this year's 100,000, has anything changed that that might increase?

- [Mayor] By 108,000?

- I'm just...

- I guess I can talk about Director Ellenbecker, it is a budget, we are running low on inventory of property that was purchased with levy funds. Most of the sales we do, it's RDA property, it's through enhancement, it's purchased through DIT funds, we don't have a lot that is done with levy funds. I think Director Ellenbecker and I have talked in terms of having reasonable expectations of where funding is coming from and I don't want to be here at this time next year and saying, "Look, why didn't you come up "with your budget numbers that you hit," and I think the reason we put this in, it's a reasonable estimate of what we have available in our inventory to sell, unless you want to start looking at other city properties and selling off pieces of parks and other things like that.

- [Chris Wery] Alright, thank you. Maybe we can sell the pool.

- [Mayor] Okay, can somebody live with this or not? Alright, what do you want to do? Okay. Well, if you want to be, you can do whatever you want.

- [Bill Galvin] Then let's go. I got a motion to adopt the budget as it is right now.

- [Mayor] Great.

- [Randy Scannell] Second vote.

- [Mayor] There's a motion by Alderman Galvin, second by Alderman Scannell to adopt the budget at this point in time.

- [Andy Nicholson] You're honor, I got a question.

- [Mayor] Yup, I'm coming to you right now.

- [Andy Nicholson] Thank you.

- [Mayor] Go ahead.

- Approximately about two years ago, with that, I just want to piggy back on that comment from Vonck and Wery that about two years ago we found about \$36,000 we could put back in the budget. And that's where, I remember I was talking to you and whoever the planner was at that time, I don't believe it was Kevin, but is

there, Kevin, is there any room where we could put some sales into the budget? 10, 15, 20, 30? We did 36 and that helped, that was about two years ago. Maybe three.

- [Mayor] We've done a hell of a lot more than that.

- Right, but is there any room? That's my question.

- We may have some coming up in the future, but as far as this year goes, I think we're gonna be pushing to get 100.

- [Andy Nicholson] Okay, thank you, you answered my question, thank you.

- [Mayor] Okay, so we have a motion by Alderman Galvin, second by Alderman Scannell to--

- [Member] Mayor.

- [Mayor] Diana, yeah.

- We have to take a motion 'cause we had put 156,5 into applied surplus, so at this point, we are 56,000 under our levy limit. We would want to make an adjustment to reduce the applied surplus that we had approved earlier.

- [Mayor] What, so it's 108?

- It would be...

- 877.

- 101.

- 101.

- 101,877.

- [Mayor] So, there's, Bill, we have to do that first.

- [Bill Galvin] Right.

- [Mayor] There's a motion by Alderperson Dorff,

- I got a question. second, yup,

- Just a second.

- by Alderman Scannell, can we do this first or not?

- [Andy Nicholson] I don't believe so.

- [Mayor] Alright, go ahead.

- I gave Diana a note about the, on page 204, about the Packers Stadium lease. The revenue is over a million dollars. Is that a fair amount? Can't we bring that to \$50,000?

- [Mayor] Well...
- 50,000 extra.
- [Member] Oh, extra.
- The city has help.
- [Mayor] Here's the deal, Alderman Nicholson--
- Just a minute, the city has helped or even the county has helped the Packers, when can they help us out in a time that we need it.
- [Mayor] That is a very fair question. That lease, which I wasn't here, that was voted on was a lease that will be coming up for negotiation in the next few years.
- Okay.
- [Mayor] That's not something we can do today, we're kind of locked in, it's indexed, we raised it
- Okay.
- [Mayor] as much as we could under the lease, 3% or, but there could be some opportunity for the city of Green Bay.
- That's fair, but now, is the lease the same thing as tax revenue?
- [Mayor] Well, there is no tax revenue there.
- Okay, so the lease is replacing the tax?
- [Mayor] Right.
- Okay. Thanks, Mayor.
- [Mayor] Okay, so there's a motion by Alderperson Dorff, second by Alderman Scannell to reduce the applied surplus from 156 to 108.
- [Diana Ellenbecker] 101,877.
- [Mayor] 101,877. Use the board on this, please. This is reducing applied surplus.
- [Andy Nicholson] Where is he going in that?
- The, we, initially, the first motion was to use applied surplus for the budget of 156,000 and we have now cut 54,624 of expenses, so we don't need to use as much applied surplus. So, we're gonna reduce that from 156 down to 101. Right. Alright, three more of you gotta vote. Alright. So that passes unanimously. So, we're now at the motion to adopt the budget as amended.
- Mayor, can Diana tell us what the, all the numbers are gonna be please?

- [Mayor] Yup.
- [Andy Nicholson] And what is it gonna say on our tax bill when we receive it next month?
- [Mayor] Alright. Director, do you want to go through where we're at?
- Yes, so tonight we have added expenses of 202,377, we have actually also increased our revenues by the same amount, 202,377, that will maximize out up to our levy limit of 54,004,908 and that will take us up to \$9.16 rounded up, mill rate from a 9.02 or a 14 cent increase, which is a 1.5% increase on the city mill rate.
- [Andy Nicholson] From last year?
- Correct.
- [Andy Nicholson] Okay. I know in the past, you always ran copies for city council.
- [Mayor] We're going to do that right now.
- [Andy Nicholson] Thank you.
- [Mayor] We, first we're gonna take the report, so she can go downstairs, readjust the numbers, they go on your desk and that shows you the tax rate. That's what you're looking for, so.
- [Andy Nicholson] Correct, thank you.
- [Mayor] Right now, Alderman Wery, do you have anything? Yeah.
- Well, I appreciate the efforts and I think there were more out there that we could've gotten this down to no borrowing, I really do, I think we're a little short sighted on that, but I'm appreciative that we took some of them. For me, I think because taxes are going up, the services are going down and we're reducing our savings, I am not gonna vote for this budget. Thank you.
- Alright. If you'd please use the board here, this is on the budget as amended. Alright, and that passes on a vote of eight to four. Alright, Diana, why don't you go and print out the resolution and, Clerk, let's continue. You're good.
- [Kris Teske] Report of the park committee, October 29, 2018.
- Alright there's a motion by Alderman Nicholson and that was seconded by Alderman Scannell to approve the report of the, what report are you on? Item O. L, M. Are there any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none. All in favor of the report of the park committee, item O, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nay. The ayes have it and that report's been approved.
- [Kris Teske] Report of the public arts commission, October 24, 2018.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderperson Corpus-Dax to approve the report of the public arts commission, which is report item P. Any item in this report you wish to handle separately? I'm hearing none. All in favor of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nays. Ayes have it, that report's been adopted.
- [Kris Teske] Report of the traffic, bicycle, and pedestrian commission on October 22, 2018.
- Approved.
- Second.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Craig Stevens to report, to adopt the report of the traffic, bicycle and pedestrian commission meeting for the meeting of October 22nd. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately? Alright, hearing none. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those nay. The ayes have it, that report's been approved.
- [Kris Teske] Report of the sustainability commission,
- Approved.
- October 4, 2018.
- [Kathy Lefebvre] Second.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderperson Lefebvre to approve report R, the report of the sustainability commission meeting. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none. All in favor of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, that report's been approved.
- [Kris Teske] Report of the redevelopment authority, November 1, 2018.
- [Randy Scannell] Approved.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell. Second from?
- [Andy Nicholson] Second.
- Alderman Nicholson. Any items you want to pull separately here? Alright, hearing none. All in favor of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nays. The ayes have it, report MS has been approved.
- [Kris Teske] Receive and place on file, building permit report for October 2018.

- [Randy Scannell] To receive and place on file.
- [Chris Wery] Second.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Wery to approve item T, receive and place on file the building permit. Any discussion here? I'm seeing no lights. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nays. Ayes have it.
- [Kris Teske] We're onto resolutions, you may under suspension of the rules, adopt resolutions one through eight
- Suspend. together with one roll call vote.
- [Mark Steuer] Second.
- Alright, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Steuer to suspend the rules for that purpose. All in favor of suspension, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed nays. The ayes have it. Motion to adopt?
- [Randy Scannell] Motion to adopt.
- By Alderman Scannell.
- [Barb Dorff] Second.
- Second by Alderperson Dorff. If you'd please use the board here. This is one through eight. Alright, those pass unanimously. Diana, were you ready?-
- [Diana Ellenbecker] It's printing.
- Can we hold item nine and go onto the item V.
- [Member] Sure.
- Alright.
- [Member] Motion?
- Alright, yeah, maybe a motion to hold item nine and proceed.
- [Kris Teske] Oh, there she is.
- Well, false alarm, she's here.
- [Joanne Bungert] Thank you.

- Alright. Alright, now I just need a motion for item nine, adopting the 2019 budget and tax levy. Motion by Alderman Scannell.
- [Mark Steuer] Second.
- Second by Alderman Steuer. Please use the board here. This is budget adoption, tax levy resolution. Alright and that passes on a vote of eight to four. Okay, Clerk. Thank you.
- [Kris Teske] Right to referral of petitions and communications.
- Guys have any? Alright, Alderman Wery.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Two for protection and policy. The first one is review with possible action on ordinance 27.203, regulation of noise for the purpose of amending for weekend hours with new daytime being 9 o'clock 'til 10 o'clock. Second one is a complete update on rat abatement and rat activity, 2018 versus 2017. Everybody's favorite topic.
- [Mayor] Alright, thank you.
- [Randy Scannell] Do those times include daylight savings time?
- [Mayor] Anything else? Kris, do you have anything.
- [Kris Teske] No, I do not.
- Alright, I'll enter a motion to refer petitions of communications. Alderman Stevens. Second by Alderman Johnson. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nay. The ayes have it and the late communications have been referred.
- [Kris Teske] We're onto ordinance's first reading, you may, under suspension of the rules,
- I don't think so.
- advance ordinances one and two to a second reading.
- Do you want to take these together? This is the ordinance penalties on marijuana. You want to take these together? Or you want separation?
- Separation.
- Separate.
- Yeah, I figured we would want that, so we're just gonna take up general ordinance 2017, I'll enter in a motion to advance.
- [Randy Scannell] Motion to advance.
- By Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderman Brunette. If you'd please use the board on this.

- [Jesse Brunette] Actually, I don't want to be the second on that one.
- Okay.
- That was Galvin.
- Mayor, I second that one.
- Oh, Alderman Galvin. Alright. Alright. That one passes on a vote of nine to three. And then, what are your wishes regarding item two?
- [Randy Scannell] Motion to advance.
- Motion to advance by Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderman Galvin. Please use the board on this.
- [Andy Nicholson] Actually, I had a question, Mayor.
- Yup.
- [Andy Nicholson] That first vote, was it on the change of the penalties of marijuana possession?
- Aye.
- [Andy Nicholson] Then I made a mistake. I voted for, I want to be recorded no.
- Fine.
- [Andy Nicholson] And you can write that?
- Yeah.
- [Andy Nicholson] Thank you.
- [Member] Is it, what was the votes?
- [Kris Teske] It was nine to three.
- Yeah. No, that's not the number, I. Well, could we, no, no, no, wait, hang on, just give me one second. I don't know if we can change our votes. Didn't we just go through that?
- [Member] I thought we could reconsider at the same meeting. So, we could reconsider it.
- No, no, no, hey, look, I just want to be consistent.
- [Andy Nicholson] Alright.
- Same meeting.
- [Member] But does he have to ask to reconsider and we agree?
- Okay, I want to do this official.

- [Andy Nicholson] Okay, whatever's legal.
- Okay, so you voted in the affirmative.
- [Andy Nicholson] Correct.
- So you can petition for reconsideration.
- [Andy Nicholson] Okay.
- On, do you have the item number? Or the item?
- [Member] One.
- [Kris Teske] It was just the ordinance that we just did.
- Oh, just now.
- [Barb Dorff] Yeah, on marijuana.
- Oh. I thought you were going back to when you made the changes. Oh my God. Okay. There's a motion by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderman--
- [Member] No, no.
- To reconsider?
- [Member] Mm mm.
- Oh my God. Somebody, alright Vander Leest, to reconsider general ordinance 27-18. All in favor of reconsideration, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- And those opposed, nays.
- Nay.
- Ayes have it. Alright, now I'll take a motion to advance.
- [John Vander Leest] Motion to advance.
- [Mark Steuer] Second.
- By Alderman Vander Leest, second by Alderman Steuer and if you could use the board. This is to advance it to the second and final reading. And you want to vote no.
- [Andy Nicholson] Alright, thank you, Mayor, thank you, council. All appreciated.
- [Member] Well, it won't let me vote.

- Yeah, it's probably a good idea. Okay.
- [Member] Can we redo that before we punch it all in? I want to vote that we can reconsider his vote.
- No, done, that's done.
- We already did that.
- We're voting on the ordinance to advance it to the second and final reading. So, do you think it should be advanced to a final reading or not?
- [Member] No, not marijuana.
- Right, yup, I know. So, vote no.
- [Member] Can we leave the meeting yet?
- [Randy Scannell] It won't let me.
- [Member] I'm afraid to.
- Galvin and Scannell.
- [Bill Galvin] I've got nothing up here.
- [Randy Scannell] I've got something up here, it won't let me, I'm clicking yes, it won't raise.
- [Bill Galvin] I was doing good 'til now.
- We got two more, how do you want to vote?
- [Member] Uh, yes.
- Alderman Scannell.
- [Randy Scannell] Yeah, aye.
- Okay, that passes on a vote of eight to four. I will enter. Yeah, just, before we adjourn. Right? My last budget.
- [Randy Scannell] Did we do the second one?
- [Mayor] Alright.
- [Randy Scannell] Motion to advance.
- [Barb Dorff] Second.
- [Mayor] Alright, there's a motion and a second to advance. Item two. If you'd use the board here.
- [Member] Can you explain that, Mayor.

- [Mayor] It's an ordinance amending section 29.037, the Green Bay municipal code relating to traffic regulations.

- [Member] God bless you.

- It just gets advanced, you're gonna see this again in two weeks, in a month. Alright, that passes unanimously. We're going to adjourn. I want to thank you, the staff. This was my final budget, this was a tough one. Some have them been easy, some haven't been easy. I do want to say, especially for a couple of you guys on this council, that the city, I don't think has an expense problem, I think it's got a revenue problem and I do think we have to work closer to the county, I do. I think that 30 million dollar sales tax a year, I think we should work together on that, I think it's gonna help celebrations, I think it's gonna help our infrastructure and I've been very vocal about that, I've talked to you about that, Alderman, and I think if we can do that in the next year and then maybe some other things that we're thinking about, I think we're gonna have a much better budget, but I'm sorry I had to put you through this, but we have a responsibility to pass a budget that I think is fair. I think people are pretty happy with their services, I know they're happy with you and I, we just have to work on this every month, we can't wait 'til October next year to do this and so, the next mayor and this council's gonna be here, I won't, but it's, we have a lot of work to do and we can do it locally here and I just think we should make a stronger effort toward that and I'll do my best. So, with that--

- [Randy Scannell] Motion to adjourn.

- Alright, motion to adjourn by Alderman Scannell.

- Second.

- Second by Alderman Nicholson. I want to thank the staff, too, for that budget. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- And those opposed nay.

- [Member] Thanks, Mayor.

- Yeah. I doubt that.