



MINUTES OF THE PROTECTION AND POLICY COMMITTEE

**MONDAY, JANUARY 7, 2019, 5:30 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Randy Scannell, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, John VanderLeest, Excused:

Also present: Deputy CA Joanne Bungert, Lt. Steve Mahoney, Ald. Barb Dorff, Ald. Brian Johnson, Chief Building Inspector Paul Van Calster, Cheryl Renier-Wigg C&ED Assistant Director, Chief of Staff Celestine Jeffreys

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

I. Approval of the agenda for the January 7, 2019 Protection & Policy Meeting.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the agenda for the January 7, 2019 Protection & Policy Meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes for the November 26, 2018 Protection & Policy Meeting.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve the minutes for the November 26, 2018 Protection & Policy Meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Discussion with possible action on General Ordinance No. 1-19, an ordinance creating section 29.513, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to leaving keys in a vehicle.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve General Ordinance No. 1-19, an ordinance creating section 29.513, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to leaving keys in a vehicle. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on a change of agent at PH Hospitality Group, LLC at 859 Lombardi Ave.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the change of agent at PH Hospitality Group, LLC at 859 Lombardi Ave. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action a request by St. Brendan's Inn, 234 S. Washington St., to temporarily extend their licensed premise to hold outdoor events in their parking lot on March 10 (12 p.m.to 4 p.m.), March 16 (10 a.m. to 3 p.m.), and March 17 (9 a.m. to 10 p.m.).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve a request by St. Brendan's Inn, 234 S. Washington St., to temporarily extend their licensed premise to hold outdoor events in their parking lot on March 10 (12 p.m.to 4 p.m.), March 16 (10 a.m. to 3 p.m.), and March 17 (9 a.m. to 10 p.m.) Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class B" Combination license by Karen's Pub, LLC at 324 N. Roosevelt with a licensed premise description as "basement, 1st floor." (Previously licensed as an individual ownership of Karen Goethe)

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve an application for a "Class B" Combination license by Karen's Pub, LLC at 324 N. Roosevelt with a licensed premise description as "basement, 1st floor," with the approval of the proper authorities (Previously licensed as an individual

ownership of Karen Goethe). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on an application for an available "Class B" Combination license for Carnitas El Bajio LLC at 1698 E. Mason St. with a licensed premise description as "Behind bar, and kitchen, dining area." (Currently has a Class "B" Beverage License and application filed in Clerk's Office on 11/19/2018).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve an available "Class B" Combination license for Carnitas El Bajio LLC at 1698 E. Mason St. with a licensed premise description as "Behind bar, and kitchen, dining area," with the approval of the proper authorities (Currently has a Class "B" Beverage License and application filed in Clerk's Office on 11/19/2018). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on an application for an available "Class B" Combination license by Rockabilly's LLC at 709 S. Broadway with a license premise description as 2 bar rooms, patio, storage. (Filed with the Clerk's Office on 12/3/2018).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to waive the Broadway Moratorium Resolution and approve the application for an available "Class B" Combination License by Rockabilly's LLC at 709 S. Broadway, subject to the approval of the proper authorities, and to recommend that Council waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor licensed premise and to approve the proposed outdoor premise as presented.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on an application for an available "Class B" Combination license by Zach's Action Pit Billiards Arena LLC at 1730 S. Ashland Ave. with a licensed premise description as "1st floor only-bar restaurant area, kitchen and back storage room." (filed with the Clerk's Office on 12/12/2018).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion.

Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve an application for an available "Class B" Combination license by Zach's Action Pit Billiards Arena LLC at 1730 S. Ashland Ave. with a licensed premise description as "1st floor only-bar restaurant area, kitchen and back storage room," with the condition that the licensee remain in compliance with the qualifications required under Fort Howard Moratorium Ordinance and operate with its principal business being that of a restaurant and with the approval of the proper authorities.

8. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Jordan Stordock to the denial of his operator's license.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve an appeal by Jordan Stordock to the denial of his operator's license. Motion carried 3-1.

Yes- John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- Craig Stevens, Abstain- None

9. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Scott Brooks regarding inspection fees occurred at 1499 7th St.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close the floor. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to grant the appeal by Scott Brooks and reduce the re-inspection fees at 1499 7th St. to \$300. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

10. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Shoua Lee to the denial of his operator's license.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to go close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve an appeal by Shoua Lee to the denial of his operator's license. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

11. Discussion on a request by Ald. Wery stating "review with possible action on ordinance 27.203 regulation of noise for the purpose of amending with weekend hours (New daytime :09:00-20:00)," previously discussed at the November 26, 2018 Protection & Policy meeting.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to refer to staff a request by Ald. Wery stating "review with possible action on ordinance 27.203 regulation of noise for the purpose of amending with weekend hours (New daytime :09:00-20:00) to gather input from the Director of Public Works to present at the next committee meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

F. INFORMATIONAL.

1. Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for January 7, 2019.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for January 7, 2019. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Report from the Water Utility Department regarding the lead pipe removal project.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to receive and place on file the Report from the Water Utility Department regarding the lead pipe removal project. Motion carried. Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

E. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to adjourn. Meeting adjourned at 7:34 p.m. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- We're recording?

- We are recording.

- Okay. Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye. The Protection of Policy Committee meeting for January 7th is now in session at 5:30 pm. We are in City Hall room 207. Our roll call is... Alder Stevens present?

- Here.

- Is Alder Vander Lee present?

- Present.

- Alder Steuer?

- Here.

- And the chair owner Randy is also here. Good we're all here and attended for. Accounted for. I'll take a motion to approve our agenda.

- Approve the agenda.

- So, moved by Alder Vander Lee, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Oppose? That passes unanimously. I'll take a motion to approve the minutes for our November 26, 2018 Protection of Policy Meeting.

- So moved.

- So moved by Alder Vander Lee, seconded by Alder Steuer, All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? Our minutes from our past meeting are approved. On to regular business-

- One moment, Alder Scannell, I'm just trying to catch up.

- Okay. Yep, yep, well I'll be, while you're catching up, I'm just going to make a small, I've got myself a reminder note here, because I often forget, that everything we do here at the committee level, is just a recommendation to the Council. The Council has the final say, which will be next Tuesday,

- 6:00

- 6:00, in the room right next door. So, if you don't like our decision, you can always appear, come Tuesday and appeal to the whole Council.

- Yep, we're good.

- We're ready for our government business. Item number one, discussion with possible action on general ordinance number 1-19. Is that a one or an I?

- One.

- That's a one.

- That's a one, dash 19, an ordinance creating section 29.513, Green Bay Municipal Code, related to leaving keys in a vehicle. Staff, why are we all keyed up on this?

- So, this is a fairly self-explanatory ordinance. It basically prohibits a person from leaving keys in a vehicle that has been left unattended. It originated from the Municipal Prosecutor Meeting, with the police department, who wanted to use this ordinance as a tool to reduce the amount of auto thefts, and the amount of resources that have been spent investigating crimes of that nature. And I believe then, Alderman Steuer was also part of those discussions, so that edict notification to bring the ordinance forward, and here we are.

- Huh. So, what would be the penalties?

- The penalty would be, because there isn't a specific penalty identified, 29.901 Local Control, which establishes a general penalty of a forfeiture between one and \$500.

- One and \$500? Having your car stole, isn't it bad enough?

- Yeah, go ahead.

- With this, we had talked about this on a number of different issues, about it. And, I'm just wondering, what are the statistics that are out there that the police shared? Or, I can talk to the police as well. As far as the number of thefts that there have been over time, and just some of the issues that you're dealing with, with the force.

- I can't give you any specific statistics right now, I'd have to go back and research it. But we do notice, well, besides this year, since we've been unseasonably warm, during our cold weather months, people will leave their keys in their vehicle when they run into a gas station, or at home out in the driveway to warm up their vehicle. Someone comes by, takes the vehicle, literally almost uses it as a taxi cab. We normally get it back, but our resources when a vehicle is stolen, between having the officer arrive, having to take the report, enter everything into NCIC and CIB to classify it as a stolen vehicle. Then when it's recovered, to go through the evidence process, you know, the vehicle, to make sure it wasn't just someone wanting to get from point A to point B, it does tie up a lot of our resources. And we're not looking to want to, I guess, penalize people harshly, that leave their keys in their vehicle, it's just a tool to let them know that, hey, please take your keys out of the vehicle. And it won't apply to people with remote starts, or anything like that. It's mainly someone that runs into a gas station or convenience store, leaves their vehicle with the car running, someone walks by, hops in, and takes off.

- Do you find the issue, though, a lot of times folks will warm their car up in the morning when it's winter time. And they'll leave it in the driveway. I'm afraid we have done that already. So, I'm just saying, that's one of those things that would be covered by that as well?

- Correct. And like I said, we're not looking to be punitive against people that do that, it's just to educate them on, if they are going to do that, whether it's remote start...

- It's not for private property.

- Or lock their car, or...

- This doesn't apply to private property.

- Oh, it doesn't? Okay.

- No.

- All right, good, thank you. All right, that's it, that's all I had.

- Would that apply to police vehicles?

- With some vehicles, I can tell you, under Chief Smith, we're under a directive now to not let our vehicles run. All of our new vehicles are, not the old school, it's a push button to start the car. So, actually, the key would be still on the officer's person, and not in the vehicle. And I believe, a lot of makes of vehicles are like that, where the key remains on the person and not actually in the vehicle.

- Okay.

- One quick question?

- Certainly.

- Is this ordinance already in effect then, right now?

- No.

- Right now, no.

- Okay. Because we have nothing like this on the books whatsoever, so this is brand new.

- Do you feel it's a good tool to get the thing going? As far as a deterrent, as well?

- I believe so. It's mainly to educate people, and by having this out there, it will help educate them to not leave their keys in their vehicle when it's running. Or, not that I want to promote remote starting, but do other measures so time and effort and essentially money is not tied up when five seconds of turning your car off and putting your keys in your pocket could literally save, probably about two hours of officer work at the minimum. That's what we're looking at, to be more efficient as a police department, and also educating the public that it's not a good idea to leave your keys in the vehicle with it running.

- Motion to approve.

- I'll second.

- Motion by Alder Vander Lee, second by Alder Steuer, any further discussion on this? All right. I'm sixes and sevens on it, I like the idea of educating the public, but do we need an ordinance to do that? I don't know. I guess for now I'll go along. We got until Tuesday. I can mull this over and even get some public input. No one has contacted me on this, I don't know how our public feels about this, so. I'll support it for now. We have a motion by Alder Vander Lee, seconded by Alder Steuer, all in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously. I've got to get back to the agenda.

- Number two.

- Number two. Consideration of possible action on a change of agent at PH Hospitality Group, LLC, at 859 Lombardi Ave. Staff?

- Staff has no objections.

- Police concur.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.

- Okay.

- Item number three, consideration of possible action, a request by St. Brendan's Inn, 234 S. Washington Street, to temporarily extend their licensed permits to hold outdoor events in their parking lot on March 10th, 12:00 PM to 4:00 PM, on March 16th, 10:00 AM to 3:00 PM, and on March 17th, 9:00 AM to 10:00 PM. Staff?

- Law department will defer to PD on this item.

- Our only question is, will there be security in that outdoor tent to make sure the drinking laws are followed?

- Motion to open the floor so they can?

- I'll make a motion to open up the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Vander Lee, all in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? If you could just please step up, state your name and address, and also fill out a form,

- Sure.

- if you can get a chance to fill out a form. You can do that after.

- Sure. Ashley Oates, 1525 Beauchamp Street.

- And? To...

- The question?

- Yes.

- We hire a private security company. We keep two people indoors, and then about four in our tent outside, carding and making sure that there's no issue with people leaving with alcohol or anything like that.

- Same as last year, I'm assuming?

- Same as last year, right.

- Yeah, yeah, yeah.

- Okay. Police have no objection.

- I just wanted to know, how many years have you had this type of event?

- I've been there for 12 years, so about that time.

- That's a long time. Very consistent.

- Yeah. St. Paddy's Day and St. Baldrick.

- Correct.

- I may show up.

- Am I bushy enough?

- You're getting there.

- I wanna be bald this year.

- Oh yeah?

- Maybe.

- Well let it grow out, man.

- I don't know if...

- Get your faux freak on.

- Thank you.

- Thank you.

- Thank you.

- Any other questions, then, or? Okay, motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Second.

- Motion by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Vander Lee, all in favor of closing the floor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? Floor is now closed.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.

- I can do that.

- Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously. Item number four.

- One moment.

- Okay. Gotta get back in the groove, I gotta slow down.

- Just a tad.

- Been a long time.

- Yeah, it has.

- I missed everyone. So glad you're all here. Happy New Year.

- Public is nodding, so it must be good.

- Number four.

- Number four. Consideration of possible action on an application for a Class B Combination license by Karen's Pub, LLC, at 324 N. Roosevelt, with a licensed premise description as basement first floor, previously licensed as an individual ownership of Karen

- Goethe.

- Goethe? Thank you. I'll go with that. Staff?

- Yeah, law department has no objection, we just would want to point out that this establishment is in the Olde Main Moratorium area, set by resolution. But it does meet an exemption, as the premise is currently licensed. So, the license is being transferred over. And then, just for clarification to the applicant, the clerk brought to our attention that the applicant was planning on having a smoking room. That was part of their floor plan that was submitted with their application, which is prohibited under state statutes. So we just wanted to make it clear to the applicant that the liquor license, if approved, applies just to the consumption and sale of alcohol in the areas listed in the license application. And, the applicant is obligated to follow all state laws and regulations, as well as municipal codes and ordinances. That's all we have.

- Police concur.

- Police concur. Is there anybody here for this item? I see him.

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor, Alder Stevens, seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?

- [All] Aye. And opposed? Okay, if you wouldn't mind coming up, and I got a couple questions.

- Sure.

- And please state your name and address, and fill out a form.

- My name is Brian Goethe. My wife is Karen, the owner of Karen's Pub. We're transferring the license from her to me, she's retiring.

- Okay.

- So yeah, we've had it for eight years now.

- And your address? Home address?

- 926 Heyrman Street.

- Okay. And if you could just fill out the form later. Then, the issue was brought up about the smoking room, is that going to?

- It's already been there, it's already been approved by the city.

- Oh. But, you can't have alcohol in that.

- That would be an inspections issue.

- Yeah, I have a copy here, if you'd like to see it.

- Yes, please.

- The floor plan may have been, but not. I'm not sure if there's confusion as to whether the floor plan, or the site plan, was approved. But that doesn't necessarily.

- Everything was approved.

- That doesn't mean that the smoking room would be approved.

- Yep.

- Right.
- The smoking room has been in existence in the past?
- Smoking is prohibited in public areas.
- It has. It's been inspected and approved.
- Okay.
- I'll just give you that.
- Give it to the map guy.
- Yeah, the map guy.
- There's no grandfather clause, though, is there, with?
- My understanding is that smoking is prohibited in public spaces.
- I'm just looking at the signature in this. Well, we got.
- So then you get this when we're done, right?
- Yes, please, if you could fill it out.

- Signed in 2012.

- You have a pen somewhere?

- There should be one.

- Signed. Just take a look. I don't know.

- Thanks.

- It's shown specifically on there, all right. Now I guess the question I have, I've seen some restaurants that have an area that people smoke in. But, technically, that's illegal, correct?

- So, it's a citation to legal.

- Unless, if they go outside, in the parking lot, that's one thing. But there's not a lot of dedicated smoking rooms.

- In a public place, smoking is prohibited.

- So, you have a room, right now?

- Yes, we do.

- But, can we issue the license?

- The license is separate from. I just wanted to make it clear, that,
- So inspection may be...
- The liquor license would not be...
- Valid.
- No, that I'm saying is the liquor license is a separate issue.
- Okay.
- That the site plan and the floor plan are an in inspection purview.
- Got nothing to do with the...
- So all laws have to be...
- Gotta keep that separate.
- Right. It's a separate issue. It's not grandfathering it in in any way. The liquor license isn't approving or allowing smoking on the premises.
- Okay, so it's an inspection issue, and we'll let them deal with it? Is that really?

- Inspections and PDMs.
- Okay.
- The police said they don't pay it no attention.
- But, to their liquor license.
- To their liquor license.
- And that's all we're doing is the liquor license, the smoking is a completely different issue we do not need to deal with. At least not now. Thank you.
- Thank you.
- Appreciate it. Any other questions?
- Nope.
- Anything you care to say?
- Nope, but thank you very much. Appreciate it.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Seconded.

- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? The floor is now closed. Gentlemen, what do you want to do?

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.

- Seconded.

- Seconded by Alder Steuer. Any further discussion? Went up in smoke on that discussion. All in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? It passes unanimously. So you have a liquor license. Good luck with inspection. Item number five, when we're ready. Yep, yep, when you're ready.

- Yep, number five.

- Okay. Consideration of possible action and an application for an available Class B combination license for Carnitas El Bajio, LLC, at 1698 E. Mason Street, with a licensed premise description as behind bar and kitchen, dining area. Currently has a Class B beverage license, and application filed at the Clerk's Office, on 11, 19th of 2018. Staff?

- Law department has no objection.

- Police concur.

- Any wishes, gentlemen?

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.

- That's fine.

- Just leave it like it is?

- That's fine.

- Thank you very much. Here's your pen back.

- Okay, number six.

- Number six. Consideration of possible action on an application for an available Class B combination license, by Rockabilly's, LLC, at 709 South Broadway, with a license description as two barrooms, and a pack yield storage, filed with the Clerk's Office on 12-3-2018. Staff?

- Yes, so, this establishment would be in the Broadway Moratorium area, set by resolution, and the applicant does not qualify for any of the stated exemptions under the moratorium. The prior license expired June 30th, 2018. This premise was previously Gasoline Bar. It was shut down by the state in February 2018. And the applicant has indicated that they will not be operating as a restaurant. Accordingly, to comply with the

moratorium, staff's recommendation would be to deny based on the public policies regarding public peace, safety, welfare, and order, that were set forth in the City of Green Bay Resolution Limiting the Number of Licensed Establishments in the Broadway Area, which is the title of the moratorium. So, any denial that is passed by the committee, the reason for the denial needs to be stated for the record.

- Okay. Anything from the police department?

- We concur with legal.

- Is there somebody here?

- Somebody here for this?

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? Floor is now open. If you haven't filled out a form, please fill one out later. But your name and address now.

- Chris Knutson, 1754 Maxwell Court, De Pere.

- Okay. What can you tell us about your establishment you want to get going here?

- My wife and I purchased the buildings about a month ago, from Ron. Some apartments upstairs, the existing bar downstairs. We're just kinda cleaning it up, kinda reviving it, bringing it back to life.

- Do you have any particular vision for the bar?

- Yeah, we're sticking rockabilly vintage, old school stuff. Some live music inside, and that's pretty much it.

- So, and the music venue would be rockabilly, or?

- Yeah, rockabilly, so you're gonna, what, jazz, blues, some classic rock and roll, a little country.

- You thinking that would be a weekly event? A monthly event?

- Bands, I think live music, we're probably looking at, I mean initially, twice a month. Or, you know, on the weekends. So, possibly Friday night and Saturday night, twice a month. Maybe more, depending on how it takes off.

- Yep. Alder Steuer?

- Making sure. I'm familiar with this establishment, we gave a license to one of the owners a while back. Fought for him, pretty well, too. And, some things have gone on over time, and I don't know how much you were involved with that, but, it seemed like there were some issues, as far as ownership, and renters, and items in the bar, and things like that. And I know it's been, it hasn't been, when was it closed?

- I think it was shut down by the state in February, and then they never removed their license. So, it's been...

- Just because of the license, was?

- I'm not sure of the reason why they were shut down in February.

- Anyway, all I'm asking is that you, and you're looking to really make this establishment liable in this area. There is a moratorium out, too, so I have some questions about that. You know, Alder Johnson is here as well. He might want to speak to that. Right now that's all I have. Right now, I just wanted to let you know that

we're aware that there have been some issues with that place over time, and we're just hoping that it continues to get better.

- I've been running a place on the East side, opened almost two years ago now, had some previous places before, with East Side V3. And I've been out there two years, and we have no issues whatsoever. And previously, it was a bad area. It was Hot Box. There was all kinds of bad things going on there. We came in, we cleaned it up, we've had zero issues. I think the police department can attest we've done pretty well there, cleaning it up and making it a great place to be. And that's our intention to do that here, as well.

- Any other questions?

- Question. And are you gonna reapply? You're reapplying for the license, then, to get your business started?

- Yes.

- Have you gone through all the application part of it?

- What do you mean?

- He's filed all the paperwork, and all the inspections?

- Yes, all the inspections are done.

- To get to this point you'd have to.

- We've passed all the inspections, and we're ready to go.

- Okay.

- Anything you care to add?

- That's good.

- Okay, thank you very much. Motion close the floor?

- I thought somebody raised their hand.

- Oh, I'm sorry.

- I'm six.

- Oh, okay.

- He wanted to talk.

- I'm sorry, I didn't see ya. Thank you.

- No problem.

- State your name and address, please.

- Donald Leif, 641 North Huron Street.

- And make sure you fill out.

- You betcha. I'll fill these out when I get back to my seat, then.

- Yep, make sure you fill out a form.

- Yep, will do. Yeah, I just wanted to kinda speak on his behalf. I mean, I've known him as being a Taverly member for quite a few years, and always runs good establishments. I have pictures of some of the remodeling he's doing in there, and he puts new hardwood floors in, buys new coolers, new televisions, and makes it just a really, really nice place. Being in that area, it's always good to see good ownership go to the area, and watch the place develop in the right direction. I think he would provide that.

- Questions? Thank you very much. Anyone else care to speak on this?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Seconded.

- Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? The floor is now closed. The moratorium, I believe, wanted to talk about that. That is advisory, correct? We're not bound by it.

- Correct, a town resolution, so you're not bound by it. If the committee does want to receive and approve this premise, I have example language for a motion just to make it clear that the committee's recommending leaving the requirements of the resolution. And in addition, if the committee does want to approve this license, they are asking for an outdoor patio that doesn't meet our height requirements. So, any motion to approve would also need that recommendation that, the council waive those requirements by two thirds vote, and approve as presented. So it's a little bit complicated, the motion.

- Oh. You know, that would help.

- So I have example language to present to the committee if that's the direction you wanna go.

- As far as the fence, I wasn't aware of that on the patio, has that been brought up?

- They do have fencing, it's just, it's not, I believe our ordinance requires it be impervious to the passing of anything.

- So you can't pass.

- I think it's wrought iron,

- Yeah, those requirements. or fencing like that,

- How far? but there's spacing in between, so it doesn't meet our requirements.

- I mean, how far does that go from the building? I mean, you're near the right of way, there, too, with the bridge, things like that.

- I believe, what number is this, number six? There should be...

- Is there something? Alright.

- a floor plan or photos in your agenda packet.

- I'll look at the packet, then.

- I'm trying to remember, the Gasoline, they had a patio as well, did they not?

- Yeah. There's pictures. It looks like it's a wooden patio, and then, kind of, fencing. I'm not sure if you're seeing it on your packet.

- I see licenses.

- If you scroll down.

- There's a lot of attachments.

- There's a lot of, yeah, Jesus.

- I have carpal tunnel, here.

- Have you?

- So, it's just metal bars. Horizontal bars.

- Is he planning on fixing that?

- I'm not sure.

- Why don't we open the floor?

- Yeah, we certainly can, if you have more things you wanna.

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Stevens, seconded by Alder Steuer. All in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? Okay, the floor's now open. If you wouldn't mind, coming up and addressing a few questions.

- We had no intentions on making any modifications out on the patio currently. In the future, three, four, five years down the road, possibly. But right now, that's not really our biggest concern. Our biggest concern is just running a great place.

- Is that it?

- Well, it's the patio, but it really doesn't, I mean, this is not the fencing that they're talking about. A little bit of it.

- Basically, it's almost like a gate that completely encloses it. It's all steel, and it would be a very large job to fix it. I mean, you'd basically have to tear the entire thing down and start from scratch.

- What's the capacity?

- In the patio?

- Just roughly. I don't know.

- I mean, comfortably, you might get 15 people out there. Right in the center of the patio, which takes up, probably, 40% of it, is a wooden base that was built around a billboard that the city owns. We can't touch it, we can't do anything with it. So, it's just right, smack dab in the middle, and...

- You could advertise.

- I mean, it's just a massive, massive billboard.

- Well, that's not an enclosed area, so, I mean, there wouldn't have been smoking out there, either. Or anything like that.

- It's not an enclosed area. It's outdoors.

- It's not an enclosed area, so you wouldn't be.

- It is an enclosed area.

- It is an enclosed area.

- Yeah, all this fencing right here,

- Pretty much goes...

- All the way around. It's a fencing that, and that's the concern of the city, someone from the bar could pass a beer to some teenager on the other side. That's the concern. But, I mean, this has been, and when Gasoline had the bar open, right? It was the same situation. So.

- Are you looking to serve alcohol out there?

- Serve it? No, not at this time.

- Let people take it out there?

- I mean, if they're, I mean really, the only use for it, is to go outside and smoke. That's really the only use for it. We have cameras, we have 16 cameras covering the property. Seven cameras are outside, that are monitored. I mean, if there's something going on out there, we would definitely know.

- Anything other questions?

- Nope.

- Anything else?

- That's it.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Thank you very much, appreciate it. Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor of closing the floor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? The floor is now closed. Gentlemen, whoa, does the Alder care to throw in anything?

- I haven't received any calls

- Alder Johnson. from any residents in the district, so from that perspective, I don't have any concerns. I've received actual multiple calls from people vouching for the applicant. Saying they run a good facility, they think they bring something to the district. You know, as we look at the development, around that shipyard area in particular, I think we're probably served a lot less by having a vacant, dormant property, than having something that's actually activated. That is a sound entertainment venue with good sound management, which we've heard multiple people vouch for that. So, I would support this.

- Okay, thanks.

- And I think Alder Steuer, to your point, too, about some problems that have occurred here in the past. I understand that may be the case, but I just want to be clear that that is in no way connected to the applicant. And so, I hope that we're not penalizing him, and holding that against him, when in reality, those were issues related to a different tenant.

- Well put, thank you.

- Thank you.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens.

- I would...

- I just have one more question.

- We'll get back to the official language.

- I just have one more question.

- Once we get a motion on the floor, we can do whatever, but we're not gonna vote. We can still discuss, so is there a second to the motion?

- Second for discuss.

- Second for discussion. So, motion by Alder Stevens, seconded by Alder Steuer for discussion, so let's discuss. Yes?

- Okay. I just wanted to ask legal and police again, just refresh me on this. The reason you denied it, or want to deny it, would be because of the moratorium?

- Yes.

- That's it. That's the only reason. Okay.

- Yeah, there were no other disqualifying factors, correct.

- Okay.

- No criminal records, or anything, it was just the moratorium.

- Okay. That's all I need.

- Anything else?

- I will second, then. I will second that.

- You're on the record for seconding.

- Yeah, I am, but official. Real and official.

- You can vote against your second. There's nothing wrong with that, either.

- No, I just want to be really definitive.

- Yeah, okay. Then...

- You might do the legal, to do the description as far as how it's going.

- Yep. So, the motion should be?

- The motion should be to waive the Broadway Moratorium Resolution, and to approve the application for an available Class B combination license by Rockabilly's, LLC, and to recommend waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor license premise, and to approve the proposed outdoor premise as presented.

- That's the motion.

- And I will second that.

- So moved by Alder Stevens, so seconded by Alder Steuer, one last round, anything else, gentlemen? Come on, like your comments or questions? Okay. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Good luck.
- Now, that will take a three quarters vote at council, at a minimum.
- Two thirds.
- To waive the, so it will be a two-part vote. The council will vote to waive the requirements by two thirds,
- That's two thirds.
- and then vote to approve it as presented.
- That's two thirds on both votes?
- Just to waive the requirements for the patio.
- The patio?
- The patio, right.
- Okay.
- Okay.

- So, the council votes to waive the requirements, and then they vote to approve it as presented.

- Yep.

- Okay, number seven.

- Number seven, consideration with possible action on an application for an available Class B combination license by Zach's Action Pit Billiards Arena, LLC, at 1730 South Ashland Avenue, with a license premise description as first-floor only bar, restaurant area, kitchen, and back storage room. Filed it with the Clerk's Office 12-12-2018. Staff?

- Yeah, so this establishment would be in the Fort Howard moratorium. That is set by ordinance, so that one is not discretionary. And that moratorium requires that an establishment operate as a restaurant. Staff does not have any legal objections to the application as presented. They have indicated that they expect 56% of their sales will be food sales. However, they have also indicated that food would only be served during the lunch hour and reduced to snacks after lunch. And they do plan on being open until bar close, I believe. The moratorium, as I stated, requires the principal business be that of a restaurant in order to qualify for the exemption. While the 56% food sales projection does qualify for that exemption, staff is hesitant to recommend approval, as it's difficult to be certain that the establishment will really operate as a restaurant with the way that they have it set up. With just lunch and then snacks. Rather than just a bar and billiard hall for the majority of their operating hours. And then, that would violate the ordinance. And once the license is granted, it would be very difficult for the city to determine whether in fact their food sales are of such quantity that makes them a restaurant under the ordinance.

- Yep.

- If the committee does move to approve the license, our recommendation at that point would be that any motion to approve be made with the condition that the licensee remain in compliance with the qualifications required under the Fort Howard Moratorium Ordinance, and operate with it's principal business being that of a restaurant. So that would be the specific motion, if that's the direction you're going in.

- Anything else from staff?

- We concur with legal.

- Good job, Ed. Is there anybody here for this?

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Vander Lee, all in favor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? Floor is now open. If you could come up, give your name and address, please. If you haven't filled out one of the forms, fill one of those out.

- My name is Zach Marquardt, it's 2834 Josephine Circle, Green Bay. We are planning on, my fiance Cristianna's gonna be cooking. So, we are gonna be opening a restaurant. Kinda, I would say it would be similar to the bar down on the end of Ashland. I can't remember the name of it. It's the...

- In the South end?

- Yeah.

- In Green Bay?

- It's technically Ashwaubenon, but.

- Camelot? Like that, or?

- No, the one going towards 41, straight down Ashland.

- Oh, oh.

- Oh, Ashland,

- Drift Inn?

- I might have,

- Yeah, the Drift Inn.

- That was my problem. I'm trying to get a lunch crowd similar to that, as far as the restaurant portion. And I would expect, I just don't want, since Cristianna's gonna be doing most of the cooking, it's gonna be Cuban food, I don't want her to have to be completely overwhelmed with basically, cooking for 12 hours straight. Because we are going to be doing Cuban, authentic Cuban, cuisine. So, it might be kinda hard to find another cook. At this time anyway. But, as far as the after hours, we were gonna probably pre-make a lot of the food orders, so it's not like a per-order basis sort of thing. It's gonna be more like, make a bunch of orders, and somebody that is hungry later on, we'll have something available for them, just not probably, per order.

- So like a deli kind of thing, almost like?

- Yeah. We were going to do a lot of takeout orders, too. So, just so it's easier on her, mainly. So we can prep, and then get people their food quick. And then, I played pool for a lot of years, so a lot of my buddies are pool players and stuff. So I really wanna make a establishment where they can come, and hang out, and play each other, but we are gonna be primarily a restaurant, so.

- But you're asking for a combination B, combination license as well.

- Right.

- Which would be serving alcohol?

- Yep.

- I'm looking at the floor plan, and it looks, where would there be tables to eat?

- The booths around the walls, there.

- I see them, over on the walls.

- Those are going to have places to sit and eat. You can eat at the bar, but we're primarily going to be trying to get a lot of take-out orders.

- Pardon my ignorance, but is there a building there right now?

- Yep.

- Yeah? What does it look like?

- Here's a picture.

- Oh. I just wanted to. There's like five items here.

- Yeah, it was Holy Smokes.

- Yep.

- It was a retail establishment, so if that changes the zoning.
- If you wanted the floor plan, to the very end.
- Oh, I didn't go all the way down.
- You can get it upside down. And you just gotta rotate it around, like that. And, there we go, right there.
- Well, there you go. I'll look at yours.
- Sure. It's a nice, big screen. I'll share.
- What's that right now?
- That's it. I believe it's an empty. There's nothing, there's no establishment?
- We're working on it, and turning it into, basically, what it shows on the floor plan, there.
- Right.
- It's in framing, the process of framing right now.
- I should ask, what's the zoning down there in that area? Does anybody know? I mean, it seems like, is there any residential?
- BI construction.

- Yeah.

- There are residential houses behind the building.

- So, that's on the list.

- So, we were going to try to keep with the noise ordinances, and stuff like that.

- Neighbors are okay with it? Have you?

- As far as I'm aware. I own the property next door, and then, Fly Me Flag. It's in between our dent shop, there, and then Fly Me Flag.

- Okay, right at number. Someone wanna go first?

- That's all I have.

- Any other questions?

- So you actually own this property, as well?

- Yes.

- Okay.

- Yeah, we're looking at putting a little over 200,000 into it, to renovate that property and turn it into a pretty nice establishment, so.

- As I'm looking at that picture, I remember there was a restaurant around there at one time. Is that the same place?

- There was Joe's Texas Barbecue on the other side, I don't know that there was ever a restaurant in that property.

- It was more of a...

- Yeah, like barbecue.

- All right, I just, I'd have to talk about his plans.

- Okay. Let's call it. Anything else you care to add?

- If you're curious, I don't know of...

- Anything you wanna share with us, now's the time to share it.

- Yeah. I'm definitely looking to be compliant with the city on all issues, and the police department, so.

- Okay.

- Okay. Yep, all right, thank you.

- Yep.

- Anyone else here want to speak on this issue?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor-

- Second.

- By Alder Steuer, second by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor of closing the floor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? Takes care of that. The Alder for the area interested in making any comment on this one?

- Same with this project, I haven't received any calls of concerns from residents in the neighborhood. I understand staff's perspective, that it would be difficult to verify, however I don't know if that's a practice that we necessarily get into with any business that applies. I think we just kinda take their word for it. The one thing, by way of recommendations, that I would offer out to the owners, if the committee would be agreeable to this, is giving consideration to maybe dinner versus lunch. I'd just be curious to see how... I mean, if both your traffic's gonna be there while they're playing pool, I would think that's when you're gonna have a stronger likelihood to sell food, as opposed to the lunch hour. But I think that's part of your business operation, your decision to make. But, just something I'd encourage you to consider. And I think about takeout as well. A bunch of people are home in the evening, when they want to enjoy their meal.

- [Zach] I'll be doing that. Okay.

- But I have no objection.

- Okay. Actually, I would like to know from the owner why they are choosing lunch to dinner.

- Motion to close.

- Seconded.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Stevens. Seconded by Alder Steuer. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Yeah, if you wouldn't mind, how did you determine? If you wouldn't mind? So you're on the cameras.

- Mainly, it's so we can kinda have a home life.

- That's something to take into consideration.

- We're getting married in February, so we kinda want to keep it that way.

- Okay. That is a consideration, I just wanted to put all...

- Down the road, if we can get another cook trained, or something like that, where it wasn't necessarily us there the whole time. Initially, we wanna be the ones kind of building the business and getting it ran properly, and everything like that. Down the road, when I get it running a little bit smoothly, and I think I could handle maybe having somebody manage that more towards night-time, that might be something I would do, yeah.

- Okay.

- Have you done this before, at all?

- A good buddy of mine owns The Varsity Club in Oshkosh, which is a 15,000 square foot pool hall, and I helped him run that for years. So, that's kinda where I got the experiences, as far as pool rooms and stuff like that.

- Well, were you aware of the ordinance when you bought the property and everything?

- Yeah. I called the city. I was trying to decide what route to go with the building, honestly. And I've always wanted to own a pool hall, and she's wanted to open a restaurant.

- I got it.

- We're going to kinda meet in the middle. But yeah, we were thinking coffee shop, and other stuff like that. I considered opening a liquor store there, but it's like, eh. You know what I mean?

- Yeah, I know exactly what you mean.

- I just wanna have a little fun, and it's nice because I can keep an eye on the place next door there, too, because I'm often fixing dents next door, so.

- What's the business next door?

- Me and a business partner have Dent Care. I do paint-less dent removal.

- Well, I'll be over that way for you.

- Yeah. Stop on in.

- That's good.

- That's all I have.

- Okay, and me, too. I was curious as to how the business plan informed that, but it's...

- Yeah, that's kinda.

- Well, not just good, stay married.

- We're gonna-

- I can appreciate that.

- Try to keep it simple. Just simple at first, and then if we can expand into doing a more full-on menu towards dinner, that would be something we would be not opposed to.

- Okay. And you do realize that if we do go forward with this, and somehow the restaurant end of it doesn't catch on, but the pool hall does, we're gonna end up taking your liquor license, because it's gonna be obvious, pretty much, that that's what happened.

- Right.

- Okay.

- She's a pretty good cook.

- Cuban, oh boy. That's...

- The way to win.

- Yeah. Okay.

- Thank you.

- Thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Seconded.

- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Okay. Boy, I wish there wasn't an ordinance, it would be real easy for me. I think they're really smart business people here, what they're doing I think is really good. And Cuban food? There's nothing like that in Green Bay, man. I could see that taking off. I would hope it would take off. But, that ordinance. I mean, this isn't just a moratorium that's advisory, this is an ordinance, so this is more serious.

- Yeah, and I thought about that, too. You know, I, over time there's been, with that Fort Howard Moratorium. I think there was an establishment or two, aren't there? They're in the military, they have wine and things like that. There's a couple of places.

- They're restaurants.

- There's a couple places that have gone through that. You know, I think the original intent in 1895, things have changed a little bit. I understand that there is an ordinance, but, with what was talked about tonight, I'm pretty comfortable with it.

- I make a motion to approve. The gentleman that's come forward is, he owns the property, so I think he's gonna make it a good commitment as far as his remodeling the building. I'd like to give him a chance.

- I'll second it.

- Okay, motion by Alder Vander Lee, and seconded by Alder Steuer. Any other comments?

- Just one moment, Alder Scannell, if I could.

- Yes, sir.

- Just looking at your business name, and I realize this may be filed already, but Zach's Action Pit Billiards Arena doesn't make any reference to food or restaurant. I think if you really want that food piece to take off.

- [Zach] I was gonna include it on our other signage.

- I would get that reflected in there. Just a piece of advice, not something that would deter me from supporting it.

- [Zach] Thank you. Appreciate it.

- The name of the restaurant's going to be?

- [Zach] Zach's Action Pit.

- That's not making me think of food.

- Action?

- [Zach] Well, yeah, on the sign we were planning on putting Cristianna's Cuban Cuisine on there.

- Good addendum.

- Yeah. Okay. I really like this. I really really like this. I guess I'll support it now, and we'll see what happens at council. Ordinance is, I think, a much more serious thing, and I think this is obviously very much a pool hall with a restaurant. They're crossing their fingers it's gonna be, I mean, I'm not sure 56%, they're gonna really meet that, quite honestly, to begin with. I don't know. How do you judge that? You know, that is a touchy thing. I think as long as they're promoting food, and selling food, we'll see how the business takes off. We can see if they start increasing their hours or not. We can kind of keep an eye on this, going forward. When they renew their license, if we feel the restaurant hasn't taken off, it's more billiards, we'll have to deny their license. All right.

- It's a possibility.

- I'm good with that. I'm good with going forward. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? Okay. And the motion was made by Alder Vander Lee. And the motion should read?

- The motion should read, motion to approve with the condition that...

- All ayes and they don't even know what they voted on.

- Well, she had mentioned it before, we wanted to make sure it's right in the record.

- With the condition that the licensee remain in compliance with the qualifications required under the Fort Howard Moratorium ordinance.

- Yep. So moved, and so seconded, and all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That passes unanimously. We'll see what happens Tuesday.

- [Zach] Thank you.

- Yep. Good luck.

- Just for notation for the committee, that was our last available license. So, anybody else that will be coming forward will now be in reserve.

- Reserve, yep. I almost brought that up, but then I thought, it should be going on it's own merits, that should not be part of the conversation, so I did not bring that up. But it's good that the committee is aware of that. We're out of liquor licenses. We are into the reserve, which means, any license going forward, they will need to pay \$10,000 to get the license.

- If council approves everything that the committee recommended tonight, that would be it, then. Anybody else moving forward would be applying in the reserve.

- So how many of those are available? It's just a couple, right?

- For reserves, I'm not sure how many their gonna have.

- No, I'm just saying, tonight.

- We had three available licenses, three available applicants, and all three passed.

- Okay.

- All right, number eight.

- Number eight, consideration with possible action on an appeal by Jordan Stordock, to the denial of his operator's license. Staff?

- Yes. Staff recommends denial of this appeal, based on several convictions for offenses, including felony convictions, which, taken together, substantially relate to the licensed activity. As the indicated propensity for repeated criminal behavior and disqualify the appellant as a habitual law offender under section 125.04. And you should all have the denial memo, that kind of lays out all the offenses.

- Let police concur with that, sir.

- Yeah, yeah, I wanted to get the concur in there.

- Concur.

- Let's make it official. Let's make it official. There's someone here for this? Okay, motion?

- Motion to open the floor.

- To open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? If you could please come forward, state your name and address.

- Jordan Stordock, 618 James Street, De Pere. So, I will not deny that I had some troubles when I was about 17, 18, 19 years old. That was when I got my drunk drivings, that was when I did my uttering of forgery, which is a felony charge. I was in trouble for that, I was on probation. I had to pay every single cent back. I put myself in a large amount of debt. I destroyed my credit. Now, at 34 years old, I've turned my life around. For the past eight years, I have been working management positions. I've been running restaurants, running retail businesses. I'm applying for this license because I'm just trying to get a job being a backup at a small tavern on Broadway, Lucky Sevens, where I know everybody that works there, I grew up with all the bartenders. The lady that runs it is like a second mother to me. It was something I was just looking to do maybe two or three times a month to help them because they don't have quite enough hours for, like a full-time bartender, basically.

- I think we have a slip-up here. The name of the record we have is James Stordock, and the applicant is Jordan Stordock.

- It's Jordan. And like he described, I'm not seeing on this. Do we have the right record?

- We do. That was a typo on my part.

- My middle name is James.

- Ah, okay. So where is?

- The record that we were reviewing is Jordan Stordock's, so the items that I have, as far as the offenses, are correct, from PD.

- Okay, I see, I don't wanna misdirect anything. I get it now.

- That's his middle name.

- 2005 was a felony.

- That was the conviction, I actually did the offense, probably 3, 4 years before that.

- Yep. And the more recent? Bail-jumping, operating while revoked. Fourth misdemeanor, charges missed but read in. Operating on a revoked. Charges missed but read in.

- So, I didn't lose my license a bunch of times, I just lost my license once for a very long time. And I got my license reinstated, they dropped some charges that I had had. But there's a bail-jumping charge because there was two of them within a six month timeframe. And I was under the impression that I had to have SR-22 to get my license reinstated. But, it was a long story, but it was a long thing for me to get through all the hoops to do it. But I finally did it. And I have not had any issues since I've had my license back.

- I see, okay. So this all had to do with your license being revoked, and getting it back, and some issues you went through with that.

- Correct. Like I said, I admit my mistakes, but as far as continuing a life-serving habitual offender going into my 30s, that is absolutely not the case. I've maintained a job, I've been living in my house for seven years. Like I said, I have my car now, and it's fully insured. I have come quite a long way, for how much I put myself in debt, how much I destroyed my credit. It's actually astonishing what I've done in that timeframe.

- So, this is a part-time job you're looking for?

- This is only to be a backup.

- So you are working right now.

- Correct. I'm an assistant store manager for a grocery store in Howard.

- How long?

- I've been there for two years.

- Two years.

- And have you bartended in the past?

- I have not, no. Well, I have, because I got a provisional, initially, before I knew about all this. You've got the copy of the letter, so I have helped there in that aspect of it. But, no, I have not.

- I was just wondering if any other community, they'd granted you a license.

- In a retail aspect, not in a bar aspect. In retail aspect, I do. Howard has given me one.

- Okay.

- One quick question. Do you have any outstanding judgments that are due on you through the Brown County?

- No. Everything is done. Everything is cleared, both in the legal aspect, and also, personally.

- Okay. That's good, thank you.

- Do you have any folks that'll vouch for you?

- I do.

- Do you have letters, anything like that?

- I didn't bring anything in that regards with me, but yeah, absolutely, people I've worked for. A gentleman that you guys may know, Pat Hoffman who owns the creamery. I helped him get that business going, its first year and a half. I'm just trying to think of anyone else you guys may know about. Obviously, I do, in the elements of jobs that I've had, yes. My landlord, who I've rented form for seven years, thinks the world of me, so.

- Well, that always helps. Just in case, you know, for future reference. I'm good, right now.

- Anything else you care to add?

- Uh, no.

- Okay. Thank you.

- Thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Seconded.

- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor of closing the floor?

- [All] Aye. Opposed? The floor is now closed. Gentlemen, what are ya thinking?

- Initially I was looking at this and thinking, oh, boy. And of course, when legal and the police say no. But, I liked his story. I feel he's turning it around a little bit. I'm still on the fence a little bit as far as the vote, but I feel that he's made a good presentation.

- The felony was 2005. It was a bit ago. And it seems like all the problems after that had to do with his license. So, which were not, certainly, felonies. Seems like since 2015, he seems to have gotten that all cleaned up.

- I'm confident.

- I wish those were full-time jobs, though.

- No, the thing is, we must keep in mind, our responsibility is, once we give this, he can take that license, work anywhere, for any, full-time, part-time. Just what he's doing now. We gotta be aware, if we grant this license,

we're saying, "Okay, you can bartend anywhere in the city of Green Bay, "for any time you wanna do it." But yeah, it's just a part-time thing now.

- Right. I make a motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. Any other discussion? All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed?

- Yes.

- Okay, that passes three to one. So, that will be taken up again at council.

- Do you want to do a, I'm sorry, could we do a role call vote?

- Oh, yeah, we better. We should. I don't do those very often. I forget those.

- It should start. It should've been.

- Did yours come up?

- Mine came up.

- Mine's up.

- Alder Vander Lee's didn't come up.

- And you would like to vote yes?

- Yes.

- Okay, that passes three to one.

- And you voted? You voted?

- Yeah, mine came up right away.

- Yes?

- You ready?

- Oh, no. I just wanna delete the previous vote.

- So it was three to one?

- It was three to one.

- Do you mind if I just hear the vote?

- That was, I said I, and then we had a...

- Okay.

- All right, number nine.

- Number nine. Number nine, consideration with possible action on an appeal by Scott Brooks, regarding inspection fees occurred at 1499 7th Street. We do have his appeal in our packet here. And, staff?

- I will defer, I think we have somebody from, think Cheryl's here, and whoever from inspections.

- Oh, okay. Mr. Van Calster? Okay. Let's hear from staff.

- I got a little handout, Paul Van Calster, Chief Building Official for the City of Green Bay.

- Thank you.

- Thank you.

- So, being that these appeals are going to come to this committee, I just wanted to give you a background on the complaint process, and what we see in the inspections department. So, I won't read everything, but I'll try to give you just the highlights of what's in front of you guys. There's 43,000 units, dwelling units, within the city. And on the next line down, you'll see that, there's actually more rental units within the city than there are unit ownerships in this city. Just by a little bit, but there's a hair more rentals. When it comes to complaints, in

2018, we had 3,800 complaints. Almost two thirds of those complaints dealt with rentals. When it comes down to impacted addresses, what that means, is how many were rentals, and how many were addresses for owners. It's almost split, we got the same amount, 1,200 of each. The next line gets a little interesting. When it comes to complaint distribution, single complaints, multiple complaints, you'll notice that the multiple complaints on the single side, is about 28%. And then on the rental side, it's almost 68%. Where they're getting multiple complaints at the same address. The next line gets even a little more interesting, when it comes to that multiple complaint issue. That ownership, you see there's only five, that we had last year, that had five plus complaints, out of ownership. And on the other one, we had 87 landlords with five plus complaints. So some of the key recaps down below, is that the inspection department dealt with, do you have a question?

- No, no, I'm good. Thank you.

- So the inspection division has dealt with about 5.6% of the units in the city. Whereas the other 94% plus, we have limited or no interaction because there's no reported issues at those units. That 87 landlords, that had the five plus complaints, that makes up almost 19% of the complaints that we deal with. And I think this next one is the real eye-opener. Inspections spent 60% of our time, just on 2.7% of the units in the city. That was an eye-opener when we got through the data and actually looked at, and read what it's telling us. So, where I'm going with this kinda leads into why we're here for the re-inspection. So, what we've done, in my role as the Chief Building Official, is we're changing the approach to how we do it. We were using citations, through the court system, but if I go to your house, and you need to paint your house.

- His house.

- Or your house. And I tell you, hey, you gotta paint your house, and you just ignore me, then we write a citation, we go another 30 to 60 days in the court system, which ties up a bunch of the law staff, to get through that process. At the end, maybe I can get you to paint your house, and the citation of \$691 is typically waived, as long as you paint your house. But we've gone three months waiting for you to paint your house. This re-inspection fee process, we're getting you to paint your house within when the citations get to \$200-400, you're gonna paint your house. Because, there's a, how do you want to say it? An image that the city has that citations are waived. I don't have to pay it. You don't have to pay attention to me, because we'll go to court, everybody knows it gets dropped, and yada yada yada. So, this re-inspection fee approach is getting results. We're closing cases, and getting the complaints addressed. So now on the second page, watch, you have another attachment thing, I just gave you my recap. I think Scott will come up here. There's some discrepancies in where we got to. But the Cliff Notes, if you will, from the two pages, the first page is what we have on our city records. The second page is Scott's notes, that I think you guys have in front of you, with our city counter-points in the red. But, the Cliff Notes are, the issues all started when a prior owner took out a permit to build a garage, and he did it for building and electrical. Well, he jimmy-rigged the electrical, so there were orders to get the electrical fixed. That never happened. So we went to re-inspection fees on the original owner to get it fixed, it never happened. Somewhere in there, I don't know the exact date that Scott bought the house, but, he paid some of the re-inspection fees that were out there. And then didn't address the issue that needed to be addressed. So that brings us to today, where he's looking to have those fees waived, based on the fact that he bought the house. But my contention is, he was aware of them, and didn't rectify them, until we got to this point.

- And is the work done?

- On the garage, yes, that one's closed. But, in the interim of all this, he started working on the house. Because it went into foreclosure, so he bought it. He started working on the house without permits, he used a, it may not be Scott's fault, but he used a contractor to install the furnace that was shady and had citations out against him. There were issues with it. Scott had to hire a different contractor to come in, fix, and then sign off that it was properly installed. So, that's still a work in progress, the house. The garage that started this, as far as the re-inspections, that's done and closed.

- Okay. And all these fees relate just to that.

- Yes, correct.

- He's not getting any dings for?

- There's nothing else.

- But in the process?

- He was hit for a double fee on the permit. Because he was working without a permit.

- So he bought the house, Paul, afterwards. So how long of a time period was there from the time that there was this initial problems to the time he bought the house?

- I think that's correct.

- The re-inspections started in '18.

- '18? Okay.

- Early '18.

- So he was aware of this.

- Yes.

- I have a question.

- Yep, by all means.

- Re-inspection fees are done daily?

- Yes.

- \$50.

- And the person goes out there every single day?

- Yes. Takes a picture, puts it in the file, and says that it's not done. And then they send the, they issue the re-inspection fee. You gotta remember, by the time we're to the re-inspection fee, we've already spent, this is prior to me taking this position that I have right now. We have spent countless weeks, months, years, to get to this point. Whereas, a part of what I'm doing as well, is I wanna shrink that window up, so it's not years. So you don't leave your house unpainted for years. We bring it back to a realistic expectation that the city would have, and their adjacent neighbors would have. So when we get to re-inspection fees, we're past the point of, I mean, we've been friendly, and helpful, and forgiving, and extending all the way up to that point. Now, it's like, we're done with this, we've tried so many times, it's time for you to actually paint your house.

- Yeah, I think, at first blush when you look at it, it seems like, oh, you're after them. But, you're saying it's beyond that point.

- No, this is past the, we're past that point.

- What is the total amount that's due, then, on this property?

- I didn't actually add up.

- I believe it's \$850, \$150 of which he has paid, or is willing to pay?

- Believe he paid, yeah.

- So he paid \$150 of it, because that is what was accrued under a former owner?

- And then, so he'd like us to waive \$700.

- The rest?

- The rest of it.

- Part of the sale, when you go to sell a house, that's the other reason we're doing it this way. It goes on the tax records. So you can't just walk away and go, "Oh, not gonna pay that \$500 bill." It has to be paid, before, even at the end of the year, let's say you're still in your house, at the end of the year, that five hundred goes towards the city, so the city gets paid, and then, you have to pay your taxes after that. So if you paid \$1,000, thinking your taxes are paid, you're five hundred short, and it starts another whole process, and another whole world. It sounds harsh, but if you knew the background story all the way up to that point, it's not. It's more than fair, based on what we're trying to do.

- I'm just stunned by looking at, 60% of the time was spent on just 2.7% of the units. Is that, Cheryl, is that?

- I mean, we've always known when we've worked through inspection that, there was that small number of landlords that gave us a lot of problems. And that just really shows it. You can see that in the percentages on that sheet.

- Are we going to?

- Not pertaining to this case.

- Right, not in this case, no. I got questions on that, but that's not for now.

- Yeah, that's something else.

- We'll talk later. A standpoint. So, there was \$150, but that, I wanna just make sure I got this clear. In foreclosure, that was October 31st. Purchased the property on October 31st. Became the legal owner on the confirmed sale, November 28th. So, November 28th, how much would that? That was more than \$150, by November 28th, wasn't it? No, maybe not. Let's see.

- He must have only paid the fees through 10-22, of '18. That was three.

- [Scott] If I could just interject, I didn't pay any of the fees.

- Okay.

- We'll open the floor, and you'll have your chance. Looks like the first fine was actually, fee, the first re-inspection fee.

- Well, that was back on 4-5 of '18.

- 4-5 of '18, so...

- And that would have been with the old owner.

- The old owner. \$50.

- Yep. \$50. And that's due to the fact, on the second page I covered it, that's due to the fact that the electrician went out there, saw how he had wired it, with extension cords and whatnot instead of actual wire, and wrote an order, he had 30 days to make the correction. And then we say, 30 days, we're coming back to make sure that it's done. Otherwise, people don't, they just don't do it. That's our only avenue to get back in there. If we go, and something's not done, we re-inspect. We would charge them that \$50 re-inspection fee. And then from there-

- That's still going on?

- Pardon?

- That's still going on now, right?

- No, this one's done.

- Okay, so it's closed.

- But it did go on all the way through November.

- Right.

- Pardon my ignorance, why did it stop?

- Because it was finished.

- It was finished. They actually did correct it, Scott corrected it, and it was finished.

- All right. I understand.

- Well, there's a few things. I mean, there's a lot of dates here, I wanna make clear. The re-inspection fee started on 4-5-18. It wasn't \$50, it's not \$50 a day.

- No.

- It's \$50 for every inspection you come back to.

- Correct. We have to go back, physically go back, to make sure that it was done.

- So you waited, at first, you waited a month, to give them the 30 days. So every one of these is when he gets hit with \$50.

- Correct.

- When you do that, I guess the question I have, do you contact the person, saying we're coming over? Is it a cold call, you just come over?

- Write them a letter.

- And the letter states you're coming out.

- Yep. We're gonna come back, and we're gonna keep re-inspecting until it gets fixed.

- So it's up to you to contact.

- Right.

- I mean, they have to contact you.

- They have to contact the Inspections Office, set up the inspection, and we'll come out and do it, and close the case.

- Now, if we have a situation where we have an owner, and he's not compliant, and he ends up going to the hospital, how do we take that into account? Do we take that into account? How do we?

- He was, in this case, I don't know all the details of when he went into the hospital and whatnot. But the owner, I'm presuming, knew about it when he went into the hospital. The buyer knew about it when he bought the house, knew there was an issue outstanding. I think I would have looked at it different had he not paid the hundred and fifty in re-inspection fees because he was unaware. But buyer due diligence, that's against it. It's on the property tax, so it's there. He should be aware, what is it, is there more? Et cetera. Is it closed out?

- Basically what you're saying is that a lot of these violations were not addressed, so you had to keep going back, going back.

- Correct.

- And when the new owner took over, he was aware of this?

- Right.

- Because he paid the violations that were outstanding. Whether or not he knew or asked, is it fixed, that I don't know. But that's on the buyer. Anybody buying a house within the city, we get them all the time. The realtor will call and say, "Are there any orders? "Are there any violations? "Are there outstanding permits," et cetera, before I buy the house. And issues I need to be aware of as the purchaser. To know there's things I have to do to get it into compliance once I buy the house.

- Generally speaking, when you have an inspection, let's say they had a home inspection, they would find a lot of these violations right off the bat, I'm sure.

- Done by who, though.

- Because it would have to be a certified inspector.

- A city inspector would be the one that would find the inspection. And the ones, when you're buying a house, they may look for violations, but they're not gonna know about what's in our file, unless they call and ask what's in the file from the past that needs to be rectified. They're doing more visual.

- So the realtors don't always come to the city to get that information.

- No, not always. I've personally had phone calls where they ask, what's the background, are there any orders.

- That's due diligence on the buyer's part.

- Right.

- And that, maybe the issue is with the realtor and the former owner. That might be, you may need to seek redress there.

- I've had that too, where they didn't disclose it in the sale of the house that there were these issues, et cetera. They actually checked the box saying no issues, we're compliant. Then it's a legal issue outside of, it's nothing with the city, it's between the seller and the buyer. That they gotta go after one another.

- Anything else for staff?

- Not right now.

- Okay, not right now, thanks.

- Thanks, Paul. I vote for a motion to open the floor.

- Yep. Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Oppose? The floor is now open, now's your chance. And, you did fill out a form before?

- I didn't, but I will.

- And, your name and address now?

- Scott Brooks, 1226 Longtail Beach Road, no. 1226 Pinecrest Road in Suamico, Wisconsin.

- Okay.

- Recently moved.

- Okay.

- So, we talked about a lot of stuff, if all of that was accurate, then I would agree I would be responsible for those fees. But I think if we just talk through it, everybody will understand, kind of get a clearer picture of what happened. So, the prior owner pulled an electrical permit for the garage in 2016. Never closed it out. So, in April of 2018, almost two years later, he would have received a letter in the mail saying you're being charged \$50 for not closing out this permit. Well, the problem is, by April of '18, he was already in foreclosure. So there weren't, there would be no incentive large enough or frequent enough to incentivize him to close out that permit, because he was losing his house. He received another \$50 fee at the end of May, and then a third one, I think it was the third week of October. Now, the problem is, by the third week of October, and I found this out through talking to neighbors and trying to track this guy down, he was no longer even living in the house. And when I tracked him down, he was an inpatient at Bellin Hospital, disabled. So, again, from his perspective, the prior owner's perspective, he had no incentive or means, or need, to pay any of these existing fees moving forward. By the end of October, and through late November, the charges accumulated daily. So, I tracked him down, like I said, the end of October, he was in the hospital. Told him I had intended to buy his house, and asked him the condition of the house, those types of things. He gave me the key to the house, said, "Go over there, take a look, do whatever you want." I went over and looked at it, and gave him the key back. And he said, "You can make a copy." So I made a copy. Ended up buying the house, at the end of October, October 31st. But when you buy a foreclosure, you don't get custody of the house, it's not your house, until the court confirms the sale, which is another four to six weeks. In this case, the sale didn't confirm until the end of November. But, happened he gave me the keys, said, "Go in there and do whatever you want." So, I went over and started hauling off garbage and all these types of things. Now during this time, we started getting mail for him from the City of Green Bay. These letters. And I'm like, "There's a whole bunch of letters here," so I went back to the hospital and said, "What are these?" So we opened them up, and they're all these inspection fees. And he said, "Yeah, I told them I wasn't doing the "electrical in the garage, "but they didn't understand me." Kind of irrelevant, he wasn't gonna take care of it. So, I called the Assessor's Office to find out what was going on, and they gave me the history at that point, that these were all outstanding from this whole situation he had gotten himself into. And so, this was November 8th, I called the inspector's office to find out what was happening, and that was a Thursday. I was told that the electrical inspector, who I wanted to get back out there and look at it, wouldn't be in until the following Monday. So, I got him out there on Monday, we looked at it. He said, "I'll send you the requirements "to get this thing into compliance." So, I

waited and waited, finally I got the note from him on the 20th of November. These are the things that you need to do to rectify the situation. So by November 28th, by the day I took legal possession of the property, I had everything rectified, and the issues were closed out. So, it wasn't a situation where I was aware this whole time that things were going on, I wasn't taking care of it. I think I had addressed the situation in an expeditious as possible fashion. Really, I mean, as fast as I could make it happen. So, I guess the reason I'm here, is to hope that you will agree with me that, to hold me accountable for all these charges really wouldn't be in alignment with the spirit of the policy, which is to incentivize the delinquent property owner to take action.

- So, you're telling me that they were out on the 8th, but then you got a letter, or notifications, from Inspections on the 20th?

- No, I called the office on the 8th, and they explained to me what was happening. And I said, "Well, when can I get the electrical "inspector out there, so I can find out "exactly what needs to be done?" Because, bear in mind, I'm not the legal owner yet. So anything that I do, if they don't confirm the sale, I was all out that investment. So I said, I'm gonna find out exactly what needs to happen, and apparently the inspector was on vacation, he was back the following Monday. So I ended up leaving him a voicemail, and he called me, I think it was Monday, and he came out there on Monday. And we talked about it, and he said, "I'll send you the requirements." On the 20th, I got his email with the requirements, and I took care of it before the 28th, which is when he came back and closed it out.

- So, do you have that email from Inspections? Does Inspections have the email that was sent to him?

- I have a copy.

- You guys have that email.

- Oh, I'm sure we do, upstairs, yeah.

- But it was actually closed out before you had ownership. It was closed out on the 21st, that's when they noticed the other activity in the house, that was being done without a permit.

- And that's a separate issue, that we're not talking about here.

- Well, it's not, they both go hand in hand.

- Well, that was a contractor I hired, my fault, he didn't pull the permit for the HVAC. And that was really the only thing.

- Well, from the 8th to the 20th, there's charges on here.

- Even after I talked to the inspector, when he came out on the 12th, which was the following Monday, he said, "Now that I've been out here, "this stuff should stop. "And going back, you'll have to talk "to our legal about that." but he was surprised that the fees continued after he came out there and talked to me. And he could attest to that, I'm sure.

- Then, did you talk to legal?

- I did. I went into the office, and they told me that this was the process, to send a letter to somebody in the office.

- That was what I wanted to talk about. Any other questions for the gentleman? Just for the gentleman. We'll talk to staff, I've got a couple for staff. We got the floor open.

- We'll call you back. Right now I don't have anything.

- I guess, the other comment is, I never paid any of those fees. I guess the comment was that I had paid some of the fees, because I knew about it. I haven't paid any of them.

- It must have been, they were paid, though, in the closing process.

- No, there was no closing, it was a foreclosure. I just paid the bank what they sell it for.

- The city got paid for them through that process. Now, what the

- The bank may have paid them.

- The bank probably couldn't get a clear title unless they paid those. The bank paid the fees.

- Well, how come the bank didn't pay all of them? Well, that's a staff question, for later.

- Well, the majority didn't accumulate until they started happening daily at the end of October. I mean, what I wrote in my letter was, first try I knew there was \$150 charge for something, there was \$150, and then there was another special assessment for something related to the street, for seven or eight hundred. So, those I knew there were some assessments out there, I took that into account when I bought it. So, if I guess, we're kind of irrelevant where they originated, you know, I'm not contesting those because I knew they were out there.

- So, just a hundred and fifty's been paid? Nothing else has been paid?

- Correct.

- And we're sending the bill for you, and that's what you want. So that's seven hundred that you?

- Right. And I think the spirit of this policy, is to incentivize the delinquent property owner who doesn't take care of his property. And I think you can see, when I came in, this was resolved as fast as it could possibly be done.

- I have some more questions for staff.

- Anything for this gentleman, first?

- According to the city staff, that these back charges, the \$700, it's kinda linked to the deed of the property.

- That's the basis of my, that's why I'm here to try and appeal this. Because, again, I think the spirit of the policy, we can talk about policy, and practicality, and why things are done, right, is to incentivize someone to take action. Right? In this case, it was a prior owner who didn't take action, and I guess, given the situation, you can understand why, he was losing his house. He had no incentive to do so.

- But, if we look at it as if it was back-taxes due on the property, I think that would also have to be satisfied, is that correct?

- I think that was the situation with the 150.

- Well, yeah, I guess there was 150, I guess related to this specifically.

- In other words, these back inspection fees, the city is saying that they have to have the \$700. Because they weren't satisfied by the previous owner, who lost the property. So, you're the new person coming in, in other words, these fees are still linked to the property, to the address of your property.

- Exactly. I guess, that's why I'm here, is to appeal that, in terms of practicality, and again, looking at the spirit of the policy. I think, when we get into policy, government, private, what have you, if we don't look at the spirit of a policy, things can go directions that they weren't meant to. And in this case, a person who is unrelated to what happened, an innocent party, so to speak, is being held accountable for what happened. I guess, appealing to the practicality, and again, the spirit of what the policy was implemented for to begin with.

- I think, you think about the city's part of it, they're really trying to recoup the money, the time that they spent on trying to get the property into code compliance. I think that's where the link comes between, they spent the time to inspect, did the follow-ups, and nothing got done because the previous owner didn't do anything. But it's still linked to the property. I think that's why that is the policy of the City of Green Bay.

- I understand. I guess what I'm saying, is this is an unusual circumstance.

- Okay. I understand on foreclosures, there's a lot of hidden details. That was one that evidently you weren't aware of when you bought the property.

- Right, and from what I described, as soon as I was aware of it, I took care of it.

- But, the city is still due the \$700, is that correct? City staff?

- That's what they want, yes.

- So staff is still saying, bills.

- You're saying that Mr. Brice, he was in the hospital. I guess the question I have is that, he might not feel affected by this in any way, shape, or whatever? You know, I mean, you made that opinion, as far as that. I don't know, I feel that, I just have some questions, and I'm gonna have to ask Cheryl about that.

- Yeah, I'm not sure if there was something to do with the fact that he was disabled, and all that. I think that's more of a legal thing.

- Well, I mean, it's an opinion, as well.

- That's all the questions I have.

- I can't think of anything else, right now. Anything else you want to add, quick? We can always open the floor, again.

- Yeah, no, I think we kinda went through the steps.

- Yep, kinda mundane, and you were a very good appeal. A lot of it's right there, so, thank you. Motion to close the floor?

- Motion.

- Seconded.

- Motion by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? Floor is now closed.

- I think Cheryl wanted to say something.

- [Cheryl] So, am I correct in stating that really the issue is when the foreclosed property transferred? It was a transfer of property, but the owner didn't have official access to do anything on the property until a later date? It was a foreclosure.

- Correct. Legal change can't be made on those.

- [Cheryl] Okay, but, and I think our issue, probably, was that okay, if that would have happened, and an owner called up and said, "Look, "this is the situation that happened, "I can't do anything to this property "until this closes." I don't think that happened, though, because you were in there working the whole time, right?

- [Scott] There was no closing, but...

- Should we open the floor for this? Do we need to open the floor for this?

- It wasn't opened.

- Yeah, yeah. I guess we should have asked if staff had any questions, before. So, motion to open the floor?

- Yes.

- By Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? So the floor is now open. If you just wouldn't mind coming up to the podium, so you're recorded and everything?

- [Cheryl] Was it a tax foreclosure?

- No.

- [Cheryl] Okay. So, you went through the process of acquiring the property, but then it needed to be confirmed? At a later date?

- What happens is, it goes on auction. Highest bidder wins, you pay 10% down. But you don't get full ownership, you don't get the deed, until four to six weeks later, when the court looks and says, "The bank's done everything they should have done legally, "you can have the deed."

- [Cheryl] So the court has to confirm that date? My question is, did you let an inspector know that, during that time period that you acquired the property, that during that time period, you don't really have access to the building, really, until the court confirms that sale?

- Well, I had access, because he gave me the key and said, "You can do whatever you want." Right? After the 31st.

- [Cheryl] Right.

- So I went in there, but I wasn't aware until I seen all this mail come in later from the city. I'm like, what's all this stuff from the city?

- [Cheryl] Did you call the city?

- Well, I went back to him, first.

- [Cheryl] Did you ever call the city?

- Yeah, right after that. Right after I talked to him, it was the 10th of November, I went back to the hospital, and I talked to him. And I said, you know, how do we resolve this? What's going on? And to get the whole story from everybody.

- [Cheryl] Because he's out of it at that point. He's out of it, it's really between you and the city at that point.

- Right, and that was the 8th of November, a Thursday. I was told that the inspector would be back on Monday. Right? He came back on Monday, we talked about it. And then, he sent me the stuff on the 20th, and I took care of it by the 28th.

- [Cheryl] Okay.

- [Paul] So in the spirit of the policy, I could offer up that I would be fine with waiving everything up to November 7th. Because on November 8th, you called, and told us that it was in foreclosure process, and that was taking effect. So that ends up being, like, \$300 that, in the spirit of policy, I could see that, that you did inform us that it was changing ownership, and you were in the process of buying it. What I don't like is the fact that you were in there working, without a permit, and doing stuff that you shouldn't have been doing. And for that, you were double-feed on your permit, that you did pull after the fact. And the only reason we caught you doing that, is because we went there to finalize the inspection relative to the electricity in the garage. I would

offer that that would be a compromise in the spirit of policy. I would, if you will, waive that second half, where you did inform us, but that's as far as I would go with it.

- And the rest would be between him and the previous owner, because...

- [Paul] The rest, I have the ability to waive the rest of that, which I wouldn't do in this case, if that's the compromise we come here to, tonight.

- Okay. But I'm saying if, actually those fees before are still when, you're not the owner yet.

- All of them are from before I was the owner.

- You're right. You're right.

- But after he notified, that's what I'm saying, the previous owner's responsible. In a way.

- [Paul] In a way, yes. But it's in foreclosure.

- Right, it's in foreclosure. And I can understand you saying he's got no incentive, but he's still got a responsibility.

- [Paul] Correct.

- You know. And I can see he doesn't have the incentive, but the responsibility is still his. And, I think the beef is between you and him, rather than the city, in that regard, for those. But you've contacted the city, then you're working with the city. Before that, it's really the former owner, and he's responsible.

- Yep.

- So, I have a question. As you were talking, it sounded like, you didn't want to waive the rest, because you wanted to give him a consequence for being in a home without permission? How does that even relate to these?

- [Paul] No, the full details of how this, because if you read the notes-

- I read it.

- [Paul] I didn't know whether the...

- I read the stuff that's in here.

- No, no, this is not in there. You might want to take a look at this. I'll share for a nickel.

- [Paul] I didn't know when the actual house transaction took place. Was it the end of? Because you paid through October.

- It was November 28th is when I got ownership.

- [Paul] But I didn't know when that actually took place. There was no way for me to find out when you actually were the owner of it. So I presumed that it was in October, because that was the last \$50 inspection that was paid, those three? So I figured, that's when it happened. Obviously it didn't, it happened later.

- And I guess I still have the floor. It wasn't his house. And I assume the letters weren't addressed to him. I mean, you couldn't open someone else's mail. That would have been illegal. Right?

- That's why I went back to the hospital.

- That's why you went back to the hospital. It sounds like you did everything humanly possible. So I'm just trying to figure out why we're still wanting to charge him. That's just not fair, to me. Can anybody help me?

- I do, too. I have a question, too. So, on the 8th of November, or the 12th, or whatever it's gonna be, the inspector told you that they were going to email you on what needed to be done. And you didn't receive that email until the 20th of November.

- Correct, I called the office.

- So, that's basically 12 days waiting on the city to respond, so you can correct. On the 21st, or the 20th, you pulled the permits to get it corrected. And now all this has been finalized and corrected.

- Well, on the 20th, I got clarification on what needed to be done. So then I did it, and I brought the inspector back out on the 28th, when it was finished.

- Yeah, I understand that. But my issue is, there's billing from the 8th until the 19th, while he was waiting for the city to respond.

- Well, and, what the inspector-

- Which is \$300.

- Which is, what, I thought we were waiving, right?

- No, he wants that.

- He wants us to keep the \$300.

- It's that 300.

- Split it, something, how it was split.

- And that's why my previous conversation was, did you have that email, or does inspections have that email from the 20th of November?

- I mean, I didn't keep a copy, but it's still in your email.

- It can be produced.

- And that's where I'm having my issues with this whole thing. And I just feel like, for the best taste of the city, we just waive everything, and we just move on. Because there's a lot of delay here. You know, this all started 3 years ago.

- It's an unusual circumstance, too. The previous owner was in the hospital, I don't know, it's just.

- Well, for me, the previous owner is still responsible. I can see he doesn't have the incentive, but he's responsible. But, the response time,

- It's too long. why would we charge the new owner, if he's waiting to hear from us?

- I think it's a communication thing, because when the inspector came out on the 12th, he said, "Yeah, I understand what's happening, "these charges should stop today." And then when he came out the last time, he gave me a paper, he said, "I don't know what happened, "but it looks like they continued charging. "But you'll have to talk to our legal department on that." He couldn't do anything about it. And that's how we arrived here.

- So was there a breakdown in communication with staff? Was he, did the inspector not get it to the office here, that the she should stop? How?

- [Paul] It's hard to explain. On the 12th, according to the notes, you guys met in the field, I'm assuming. I don't know why emailing the basics were detached, I don't know why that came on the 20th. But, did you hire an electrician to do it? Or did you do it?

- I did it. Which was why I ended up paying a double fee.

- [Paul] No. You did it before you were legally in the house, right? Because they inspected on the 21st, you owned the house on the 28th.

- Right. But I did it between the 20th-

- [Paul] But you did it illegally, on your own, when a contractor should have done it. See, there's a host of things that are going on, here.

- But I've been penalized for that, already, in paying double fees.

- [Paul] No, you weren't penalized for doing the work, you were penalized for not pulling a permit.

- Well, that's on me, I guess there was a permit existing, but it wasn't in my name.

- [Paul] We're mixing and matching. You were penalized on the permit for the house, because you were doing work in the house. That was double feed, done. The garage was paid by Mr. Brice, I believe, and then, that was taken care of. He just had outstanding orders on that original permit from 2016.

- Okay, I didn't pull another permit for the garage, and that's, I guess they say that I know, but it doesn't really matter.

- [Paul] Yeah, but you weren't the homeowner. The original homeowner, Mr. Brice, is the one that could have done the electrical in his house. Not you. In order for you to do the work in the house that you were buying, you would have needed to hire an electrician, and you didn't do that. You did the work yourself.

- I did, and I was, I didn't know. I know it doesn't matter. I'm guilty of that.

- [Paul] See, I'm in a pickle, too. I either enforce the laws, or I waive the laws. In my job, I'm supposed to enforce the laws. So now, we're here tonight to try and weed through the details that got us here over the course of months.

- The inspector came out, he said I'll send you what needs to be done, he sent what needed to be done, I did what needed to be done, and then found out later, I shouldn't have done what needed to be done myself. So then I hired another electrical contractor later,

- For the house.

- For the garage. To re-inspect the garage and say it's okay.

- [Paul] Oh, for the garage, too? Okay.

- So, would there be, if we look at exactly what happened, would there be a penalty for doing work without a permit, that should be a consequence, as opposed to we...

- [Paul] We could have. I could have. I could have issued a citation for \$691, for doing work. If he's flipping a house, working in a house that's not yours, and doing work yourself instead of hiring an electrician. I could have went that route. I didn't. I stayed with these fees, and what the guys had did up to that point.

- Let me ask one question of the city staff. What is the amount that you want to settle for?

- [Paul] Like I said, based on an understanding, and in the spirit of the policy, I'd be fine with \$300. I'd waive everything from the 8th, when you said that you called. There's notes in the file that said you called and said it's in foreclosure. You're not supposed to be in there working. That I can live with. 300, I'll be fine, it should make you happy. That makes me okay. I'm fine with that.

- Would you be comfortable with that, sir?

- We're waiving \$400. We're taking off \$400?

- Would you be opposed to paying the \$300?

- I think that's very fair.

- Okay.

- Group hug.

- No.

- Okay. Well, now we need to close the floor, before we take any action. Unless there's anything else?

- I don't have anything else.

- Okay, thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?
- [All] Aye.
- All opposed? The floor is now closed. I'll take a motion.
- Motion to settle it for \$300. According to city staff, direction of city staff.
- Just a moment.
- All the parties are in compliance, as far as agreement.
- Madison. Governor wants me. There's a motion?
- To \$400, to reduce the from 700 to 400.
- To 300.
- You need a second on that?
- Yep, we need.
- I'll second that.
- Seconded by Alder Steuer. Any other discussion? All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That passes. Thank you. Thanks staff. Thank you for coming in.

- You were interesting.

- That's what I love about this job, always learning something. And I think, I've been asked, requested, that we-

- We're good. I was able to sneak out for a break.

- Boy, that was sneaky.

- Yes. So we're good. Thank you.

- All right. I wanted a break, too, though.

- Let's see, what else do we have open?

- We move on the next one, then, as soon as we're ready.

- We are ready, number 10.

- We voted, didn't we?

- Yes, we voted to approve the appeal and reduce the inspection fees, three inspection fees, to \$300.
- Yep. Let us do item 10. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Shoua Lee, for the denial of his operator's license. Staff?
- Yes. Staff recommends denial of this appeal, based on several prior felony and misdemeanor convictions, which taken together substantially relate to the license activity, as they indicate a propensity for repeated criminal behavior, and disqualify the appellant as a habitual law offender under 125.04.
- Police concur.
- Police concur. Is there someone here for this?
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?
- [All] Aye.
- Opposed. Floor is now open, please come up. Please state your name and address, and if you haven't
- Shoua Lee.
- filled out one of those forms, please fill one out before you go, please.
- 636 Erie Road.

- Okay.

- I see you guys learned, I've learned a lot, just being in this room.

- So have we.

- I'm the last one.

- Yeah, you were here first.

- Yeah. And I was here first. That's good. I'm Shoua Lee, I'm the father of two kids. It's a part-time job. I started there, at Superior discount Liquor, for about four months. It is one part-time job that I do. I also work for Red Bull, for work. I'm also a full-time student at NWTC. So, kinda the incidents, the felonies, they're all when I was younger. I'm turning 34 this month. It's an awesome job, I love the job. That job has opened up my eyes, to a lot of things. I really want to keep the job.

- What are you going to school for?

- I'm going for digital media technology. So, I sing, produce my own music, so, like, audio engineering. Recording.

- How far along are you?

- This is my first semester, passed it, good to go. Looking forward to going back again, so.

- Good job.

- 2011 was the probation violation for the felony?

- Yes. It was a probation violation for the felony. What felony I do not know. That has not come up on the...

- It's a lot of things, there, being young and dumb. Making bad choices when you don't know, really, direction. Friends and whatnot. That's probably the grand theft auto, repeated, whatnot. Some cases, to me a lack of evidence, but. And, got in trouble. And being young, you took the first plea deal you could take, I guess.

- Well, but did you do it?

- That's the whole thing, I'm gonna be quiet.

- Yeah, yeah. All right.

- I don't know, a lot of this happened 2003, 2004. We're talking 16 years.

- How old are your children?

- I got a ten-year-old and a five-year-old.

- 10 and five.

- I got one quick question for the gentleman.

- Certainly.

- Do you have any violations as far as Brown County, outstanding, or any warrants, or any unpaid balances?

- I do not have any warrants. I called every county, just to make sure. It was kinda weird, one day I got pulled over taking my wife to work, and, I guess there's a lot of Shoua Lees. I ended up going to get locked up, and I was like, what the heck is going on? They're like, yeah, you're going to jail for \$1,000 from, since 2004. I was like, what in the world is going on? So, since that day, I got bailed out from my boss, I've paid him back off. And I was like, I called every county I stayed in. I was in Sheboygan.

- That was Urmanski.

- When I got out, I stayed with my sister there. Found full-time employment, moved back to Green Bay. Found full-time employment right away, too. Living with my parents. You know, so. Yeah. Got married, right away.

- That's all the questions I have, then. Thank you.

- Thank you.

- So what are we doing now?

- Thought I had something and then I forgot.

- You mentioned, Alder Vander Lee, you talked about Brown County, but I noticed Sheboygan County, too. He said he contacted all the other counties.

- I contacted every county I stayed in, so, yeah.

- So you stayed in Sheboygan as well as Brown?

- Yeah, just those two. I've called every county, I mean, Ashwaubenon, even Bellevue, even, just to know. And I was like, I don't know what's going on. But, working at the job, I only work there two days out of the week.

Thursdays and Fridays. My other job is Red Bull. I'm a vendor through them. So, yeah, I work those two jobs and go to school. So I'm, don't do this, now.

- Right. Like instead of forgetting.

- Any other questions?

- I'm good right now.

- Anything else you care to add?

- No, that is it. Thank you for your time.

- Thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- I second.

- Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. Just before anything, staff. When you do a record, you include the county, right?

- The police department does the background check. And then we just check CCAP, which should include all counties.

- So, you'll be showing up on here.

- That's good, then. That's plenty.

- He is correct, when I looked today, there is quite a few Shoua Lee. Just in the greater Green Bay area.

- Can I say something? I found out later, when I got pulled over, I asked the officer, I said, "Hey, what's the birth date on there?" And they said January something 1954. And I said, "Hey, look at me."

- You aged well, man.

- That's what I said. I have a secret, I'm here, I'm drinking Peter Pan water or something. You know, and I was like, '54, and I'm going to jail for this? They're like, I have to take you in. So, I didn't argue or anything. You know, I'm going to jail, so.

- But you don't have to pay that, then, right? That? Good, just checking.

- Motion?

- Motion to approve.

- You still need to vote on, to close the floor.

- Oh yeah, so we go a motion to close the floor. Or, oh, no, we closed it. We just let him speak again without opening it.

- Okay.

- Technically we should've opened it again, quickly, but we didn't.
- Okay. That's fine. So we did closed, and now we are on a motion to approve.
- So now we can motion? Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. Even Stevens says yes. Any other discussion?
All in favor?
- [All] Aye.
- Opposed? Passes unanimously. So, go to council next Tuesday, good luck.
- Is that it? Good to go?
- You're good to go.
- Thank you guys.
- Ready for informational. We're moving on to informational.
- Not yet, I think we have an item 11.
- Oh, boy.

- Oh, yeah. How'd it get? My cursor dropped. You know what, before we take up that item, I want a five-minute recess for myself. No one else needs one.

- That's fine.

- I do, too, but I can hang.

- Okay. Do we need to vote on that? Or, motion to close, or recess five minutes?

- You can just call a recess.

- I'm calling a recess. Five minutes. Six. Six minutes.

- That's good. Okay, thank you.

- The race is on.

- And, I said well, Mr. Terion, Mr. Terion inspected.

- That's different.

- And he didn't put it down that he finalized it? And they had to come back out and re-inspect. I said come on, here's the matter with this. But, in other words...

- It's not appropriate science.

- No, it's not. I just said to him, I said, could we put a break room in? And we put in some, it's in the family park in the back, some little ramps, where we cut the concrete from one end of the way to the other. And they said, we have to check on that. I said, well then, come on over.

- Did you get that?

- For some reason, this is it.

- Should be in your mailbox. It was all in my mailbox.

- I'm looking at this thing, here. They have the Leadership Council on the 3rd. I don't think, they didn't have it, did they? This says Riverside Ballroom. I don't know.

- We are recording, should I pause?

- No, we should get going, here. All right.

- We're now recording. Good to go whenever.

- Okay.

- All right.

- So, we're on number 11.

- We are on, we are back in session. Good morning. We are on number 11. Discussion on a request by Alder Weary, stating review with possible action on ordinance 27.203, Regulation of Noise for the Purpose of

Amending With Weekend Hours. New daytime, oh 900 to 20:00 hours. Previously discussed at the November 2018 Protection of Policy meeting. Staff?

- Yes, so Alderman Weary did email the committee, and I printed out copy of that email, with his proposed changes.

- 20:00 hours is 8 PM?

- Right.

- This is 8 PM. I believe his intention is 10 PM, 22:00 hours.

- Yes.

- Yeah, I think he got those.

- He's clarified that.

- His communication is off from what he really wants to do.

- Yes.

- Which has caused a bit of a brouhaha.

- So, he delineated out what he's looking to make changes, to the current ordinance. I'm sorry, I printed off a copy of those proposed changes, as he was not able to attend tonight's meeting to present it to the committee.

- Do you have an extra one? I'm gonna use his.

- Essentially, it's setting up separate hours, daytime hours and separate nighttime hours, for Monday through Friday versus Saturday through Sunday. And preventing construction work from being considered presumed reasonable noise, Monday through Saturday, and just limiting it to daytime hours. Preventing waste collection being presumed reasonable Monday through Friday, preventing it from being done in nighttime hours except in residential areas. I believe, I'm not sure if PD has any input. At this point, Department of Public Works will want to have some input, and some time to review this.

- Before it's time.

- ACA Johnson to contact Steve, Director Grenier, so he will prepare some statements and some information and possibly present that to the committee at the next meeting. So, our recommendation at this point would be to just refer to staff to gather input from other applicable departments and then present findings to the committee at the next meeting.

- PD have anything now that they care to share?

- Nope. Sorry, no concur.

- That's fine.

- The ones in yellow here is what he wants to change this to?

- Yeah, those are the changes he's looking at, yeah.

- Does this really apply to snowboards?

- Oh, my God.

- Those are quiet.

- There are certain activities that are presumed to be reasonable.

- Right. I think he's looking at construction, mostly.

- Right.

- He's trying to be constructive.

- Right. The changes could very well very much impact Department of Public Works. My limited understanding is they do sometimes do waste collections in off hours, if there's weather, inclement weather, that prevents them from collecting at a normal time. Or, if there's a holiday. Same thing with construction. Because construction work applies to Public Works projects, as well, not just private construction companies. So, but I don't wanna speak for Director Grenier, he's gonna compile that information and present it to the committee.

- But this would apply to private, as well.

- Yes, it would apply to private, but also city services.

- I don't know how we reach out to private, but I would like to know how this is gonna affect them.

- You know, most contractors, they start at six in the morning in the summertime just to get a good start on things. And they might work from six to four o' clock in the afternoon. They'll work a ten-hour day. And, I think that, that's pretty, in other words, nine o' clock? They wanna have one third of their day in at nine o' clock. I think these hours are, if it's in the yellow here, that's a pretty late start. I think, you know, the public, in other words, the Green Bay department of Public Works, and same way with the county. By seven o' clock, they're already going.

- It would affect the county as well, wouldn't it?

- It would affect all public utilities. So, it would be county public works, city public works.

- Referring to staff, if Director Grenier could reach out to any businesses he's familiar with that might be impacted by this, if he could get their opinions, and also the county, that'd be good. Not only for the city, but, be good.

- So would that be an INS as well, or just their input into this committee?

- To this committee, correct.

- Yeah. We're setting the policy.

- Wisconsin Public Service starts, they're already on the job at seven. You know, when they're changing poles, things like that. Gas.

- I've heard seven, but six, I usually haven't seen.

- Well, our ordinance starts at seven, though, right now.

- I think they may just wanna leave that ordinance alone.

- But if we bump it up?

- Yeah, okay, well, motion to refer back to staff.

- Yep. Motion to refer to staff by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor of that?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That is referred to staff. And we are now on to... Informational?

- Yes. One second. I gotta get that in. Yes.

- All right. Number one informational. Liquor violation report from the police department, for January 7th, 2019.

- Having received, we have no liquor violations from October 22nd to January 7th. We did cite an owner of a bar for disorderly conduct. And also did a property referral, based on actions that were happening at his establishment, or actually behind his establishment.

- Where was that at?

- 906 Las Grises. We had complaints. He has a garage, he was having functions at, and was being loud and disruptive to the neighborhood. So, we were talking to him about that, and he wasn't going along with it. He got a couple warnings at first, that you can't do that, so, they finally cited him, and did a property referral.

- No more garage bands, man, those days are gone.

- Except, you guys can do it.

- Those are the days, those are the days. Okay. Any questions or anything else? Thank you very much, sir. Well done.

- I'll make a motion to receive a place on file.

- Motion to receive a place on file, by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That is received and placed on file.

- He's an English teacher.

- Number two.

- Report from the Water and Utility Department regarding the Lead Pipe Removal Project. They've sent, I mean, we all got an email on that, right? I mean, I got one.

- We got one.

- I don't know if they really need to report it. Do we need to put that in the record at all?

- Yes. Because I think the report is part of the packet, so we would just need a motion to receive and be placed on file.

- Placed on file, right.

- Motion to be placed on file.

- Yep. Motion to receive and place on file by Alder Vander Lee. Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor of that?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? It is on file. We have nothing for public hearings.

- Adjourn then.

- Adjournment.

- I'll take a motion to adjourn.

- Motion to adjourn.

- By Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor of that?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? We're adjourned. Hey, gentlemen, make sure you sign out before you log out. Thanks.

- That's where I'm at, which one did we hear?

- I don't wanna hear that noise all the way.

- I need to start this, how do I start this?

- Yeah, over here.

- Right there?

- Yep. Write your name.

- I'm not familiar with how to change that.