



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

**TUESDAY, JANUARY 15, 2019, 6:00 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
ROOM 203, CITY HALL**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Bill Galvin, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Barbara Dorff, Randy Scannell, Andy Nicholson, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

C. INVOCATION.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve. Motion carried.

I. Approval of the minutes of the December 4, 2018 meeting.

E. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

F. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

Martin Luther King Day is 1/21/19. NWTC will have a community celebration at 10 a.m. and Divine Temple will have a community celebration at 11 a.m.

G. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

H. APPOINTMENTS.

I. RE-APPOINTMENTS:

Green Bay Plan Commission

Lisa Hanson, 708 Kellogg Street, Green Bay, WI 54303

Term to expire: February 1, 2022

On Broadway Business Improvement District

Chad VanHandel, 1739 14th Ave., Green Bay, WI 54304

Term to expire: December 31, 2021

Adam Funk, 944 Elmore St., Green Bay, WI 54303

Term to expire: December 31, 2021

Downtown Business Improvement District

Rick Chernick, 1276 Quail Ridge Dr., Oneida, WI 54155

Term to expire: December 31, 2021

Olde Main Business Improvement District

Jim Wochinske, 1123 Cedar St., Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: January 5, 2022

Military Avenue Business Improvement District

Sharon Hack, North Shore Bank, 1483 W. Mason St., Green Bay, WI 54303

Term to expire: December 31, 2021

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to confirm. Motion carried.

I. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

No one appeared to speak on the resolution

I. Resolution vacating an unimproved portion of (private) right-of-way north of Deckner Avenue.

J. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Mark Steuer to suspend the rules to adopt the ordinances with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

I. General Ordinance No. 29-18

An ordinance creating Section 33.08(7)(I), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to online ordering and curbside pickup of alcohol beverages.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion tied 6-6. Mayor broke the tie with a no vote. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre

2. General Ordinance No. 31-18

An ordinance amending section 29.208, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to parking regulations.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

K. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (JANUARY 7, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve with the exception of Item 1 and 7. Motion carried.

Ald. Andy Nicholson abstained from Items 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10.

1. To approve General Ordinance No. 1-19, an ordinance creating section 29.513, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to leaving keys in a vehicle.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to refer back to committee Item 1. Motion carried.

2. To approve the change of agent at PH Hospitality Group, LLC at 859 Lombardi Ave.

3. To approve a request by St. Brendan's Inn, 234 S. Washington St., to temporarily extend their licensed premise to hold outdoor events in their parking lot on March 10 (12 p.m. to 4 p.m.), March 16 (10 a.m. to 3 p.m.), and March 17 (9 a.m. to 10 p.m.)

4. To approve an application for a "Class B" Combination license by Karen's Pub, LLC at 324 N. Roosevelt with a licensed premise description as "basement, 1st floor," with the approval of the proper authorities (Previously licensed as an individual ownership of Karen Goethe).

5. To approve an available "Class B" Combination license for Carnitas El Bajio LLC at 1698 E. Mason St. with a licensed premise description as "Behind bar, and kitchen, dining area," with the approval of

the proper authorities (Currently has a Class "B" Beverage License and application filed in Clerk's Office on 11/19/2018).

6. To waive the Broadway Moratorium Resolution and approve the application for an available "Class B" Combination License by Rockabilly's LLC at 709 S. Broadway, subject to the approval of the proper authorities, and to recommend that Council waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor licensed premise and to approve the proposed outdoor premise as presented.

7. To approve an application for an available "Class B" Combination license by Zach's Action Pit Billiards Arena LLC at 1730 S. Ashland Ave. with a licensed premise description as "1st only-bar restaurant area, kitchen and back storage room," with the condition that the licensee remain in compliance with the qualifications required under Fort Howard Moratorium Ordinance and operate with its principal business being that of restaurant and with the approval of the proper authorities.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve Item 7.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to refer Item 7 back to committee. Motion carried.

8. To approve an appeal by Jordan Stordock to the denial of his operator's license.

9. To grant the appeal by Scott Brooks and reduce the re-inspection fees at 1499 7th St. to \$300.

10. To approve an appeal by Shoua Lee to the denial of his operator's license.

11. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Wery stating "review with possible action on ordinance 27.203 regulation of noise for the purpose of amending with weekend hours (New daytime :09:00-20:00) to gather input from the Director of Public Works to present at the next committee meeting.

12. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for January 7, 2019.

13. To receive and place on file the Report from the Water Utility Department regarding the lead pipe removal project.

L. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (JANUARY 9, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception of Item 2. Motion carried.

1. To approve the request by Dorwin Tevebaugh to rescind the special collection charge of \$70.00 at 1370 Harvey Street.

2. To receive and place on file the report and have the Director work with the Chairman to draft a standing agenda item to report back progress on implementing the recommendations of the report by Department of Public Works documenting areas of localized ponding, measures already undertaken to address ponding, and future implementation steps needed to alleviate ponding. This item addresses issues previously brought forward under the following communications:

A. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Johnson to identify top areas of concern within the City that experience frequent and/or severe flooding and report Department of Public Works plan to rectify such concerns with short and long-term solutions.

B. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Nicholson to create a plan to help relieve water issues at Main and East Mason Streets and East Mason and Schwartz Streets.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve Item 2. Motion carried.

3. To approve the 2019 Department of Public Works service rates.

4. To approve the 2018-2019 Early Mini-Storm Sewer Program.

5. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to modify Section 6.35 GBMC relating to Underground Sprinkler Systems.

6. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to modify Section 9.20 GBMC relating to Tree and Brush Trimmer License Required.

7. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to modify Section 9.21 GBMC relating to Right-of-Way Excavation and Occupancy.

8. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to modify Section 9.24 GBMC relating to Construction and Repair of Streets and Sidewalks.

9. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to modify Section 9.25 GBMC relating to License for Sidewalk Builders.

10. To approve the report of the Purchasing Manager to award the purchase of traffic signal equipment to TAPCO for \$40,330.

11. To receive and place on file the review and award of the contract RAY NITSCHKE MEMORIAL BRIDGE HYDRAULIC REPAIRS.

12. To approve the request by KS Energy Services to renew the annual Hold Harmless Agreement for access to the City of Green Bay sewer system to conduct inspection of the sewer mains and laterals.

M. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (DECEMBER 11, 2018 AND JANUARY 8, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception of Item 2. Motion carried.

1. To approve The Shipyard Investment Strategy Plan. (December 11, 2018)

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to reconsider the vote on Item 1. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve Item 1. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- John VanderLeest

2. To approve Development Agreement 19-01 with TWG Development, LLC, and DDL Holdings, LLC, for Broadway Lofts @ the Rail Yard at 420 N. Broadway (Parcel 5-1756), subject to minor legal and technical revisions. (January 8, 2019)

The Authority may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Authority may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve Item 2.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to open the floor for discussion.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to close the floor.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to refer back.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to open the floor for discussion.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close the floor.

A board vote was taken to refer back Item 2. Motion failed.

Yes-Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery No-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve the original motion of Item 2. Motion carried.

Yes-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre No-Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery

N. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve with Ald. Brian Johnson abstaining from Denise Kirchmayer. Motion carried.

1. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses.

O. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (JANUARY 7, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

1. To vacate a public service way and deny the vacation of the alleyway located near the corner of N. Adams Street and Pine Street, subject to the following conditions:

A. Easements are retained for utilities and pedestrian way from Pine Street Ramp to Adams Street across the entire Public Service Way area.

B. Wisconsin Public Service shall be granted an easement for their existing utilities, OR the applicant can work with WPS to have said utilities moved at the owner's expense.

2. To deny an easement discontinuance located near the corner of N. Adams Street and Pine Street.

3. To approve an easement discontinuance at 321 Moon Valley Drive, subject to the following conditions:

A. The Owners are notified that the City does not have any records if there are private sewer laterals within the requested area that may be affected by this Discontinuance Request.

B. Wisconsin Public Service has facilities in this area; an easement may be required for their facilities. Said easement will be determined at time of land division.

4. To declare City property surplus at 1416 Velp Avenue, subject to the following conditions:

A. Sale of the subject parcel shall be to the applicant, as negotiated by the City's Purchasing Office and the applicant.

B. Any proceeds from the sale of this property return to the Department of Public Works R/W Acquisition account.

5. No changes to the ordinance with the Zoning Administrator monitoring the number of requests related to non-residential driveways.

6. To approve a Plat of Right-of-Way and amend the Official Map for Erie Road.

P. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (JANUARY 8, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve with the exception of Item 3. Motion carried.

I. Report of the Purchasing Manager.

IA. To approve the purchase 46 Panasonic Toughbook computers for the Police Department from Baycom Inc for \$205,252.

IB. To award a 5 year contract for Cell Phones & Data for Police & Fire to AT&T FirstNet for \$645,000 (\$129,000 per year).

IC. To award a 3 year contract for Cell Phones & Data for all City Departments except Police and Fire to Cellcom for \$302,187 (\$100,729 per year), plus two 1-year renewal options.

ID. To receive and place on file informational report that the City has entered into a 3 year agreement with Tradition Energy to facilitate contract pricing for natural gas to achieve a projected savings of \$33,500 per year.

2. To hold action on requested amendments to Claims Administration Procedure Ordinance (GBMC 7.01 et seq) until the January 29th Finance meeting.

3. To approve an ordinance relating to the establishment of a Relief Fund and associated funding sources.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve Item 3.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to receive and place on file Item 3.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to open the floor.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to close the floor.

A vote was taken on the motion. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre No-Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer

4. To approve the staff's recommendation on the Report of the Claims Committee.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

5. To approve the staff's recommendations regarding the litigation in Dustin Krueger-Dunow v. City of Green Bay.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Q. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (JANUARY 9, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve. Motion carried.

1. To receive and place on file the report on the status of the site plans, site location, fundraising efforts and construction schedule for the 4-K building project at the Wildlife Sanctuary.

2. To direct staff to work with Ald. Brunette and user group to find an appropriate location for a fat tire/winter bike trail within the park system.

3. To accept a \$75,000 grant from the Fund for Lake Michigan for the construction of the shoreline improvement project at Bay Beach Amusement Park contingent upon staff providing the grant contract for City Council review.

4. To accept a \$50,000 Wisconsin Coastal Management Program Grant for the East River Trail engineering from Baird Street to Irwin Avenue contingent upon the State's final approval of the grant funding and with the understanding that no matching City funds are required.

5. To refer to staff to develop a policy for utilizing the City website to help promote fundraising for City park projects.

R. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (JANUARY 8, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve the request to fill the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers. (January 8, 2019)

- a. Legal Assistant - Law
- b. Public Works Superintendent (Parking) - Public Works
- c. Parking Maintenance Technician - Public Works
- d. Human Resources Generalist - Human Resources

2. To approve the request by Ald. Johnson to eliminate the requirement for prior authorization for budgeted or cost neutral out-of-state travel. (January 8, 2019)

3. To approve the following wage agreements with a 2% general salary increase effective in which October 1, of the respective year occurs. (January 8, 2019)

- a. Parks & Forestry Labor Association 2018
- b. Parks & Forestry Labor Association 2019
- c. Public Works Labor Association 2018
- d. Public Works Labor Association 2019
- e. Public Works Labor Association - Electrical Division 2018

The Committee may convene in closed session pursuant to § 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating public employee contracts for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Committee may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to § 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

4. Update and discussion on Bus Operator labor negotiations. (January 8, 2019)

The Committee may convene in closed session pursuant to § 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating public employee contracts for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Committee may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to § 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

5. Informational Only: Received and placed on file initiated recruitment for Park Facilities Supervisor (Food & Beverage) that was approved as part of 2019 budget. (January 8, 2019)

S. REPORT OF THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION (DECEMBER 12, 2018).

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

1. To refer to staff the "Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program" by the deadline (April 2019).

2. To receive and place on file the assessment of the City of Green Bay's storm water infrastructure, grant request for baseline analysis, green infrastructure pilot projects, and long-term strategy for green infrastructure and storm water management.

T. RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

1. Municipal Court Report for November 2018.

2. Building Permit Report for November 2018.

3. Municipal Court Report for December 2018.

4. Building Permit Report for December 2018.

U. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules to adopt resolutions 1 thru 5 and 7 thru 10 with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adopt resolutions 1 thru 5 and 7 thru 10 with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Yes-Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery (1-4), Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre No-Chris Wery (5)

1. Resolution accepting streets for concrete pavement, asphalt pavement or asphalt resurfacing - PAVEMENT 1-18, PAVEMENT 3-18, WisDOT PAVEMENT 2018 (MATHER STREET), RESURFACING 1-18.

2. Resolution accepting streets for sanitary sewers and storm sewers - PAVEMENT 1-18.

3. Resolution accepting streets for water main and services - PAVEMENT 1-18.

4. Resolution Authorizing Relocation of Polling Places for Wards 10 and 26.

5. Resolution authorizing 2018 transfer of \$15,079.90 from contingency for expenses related to referendum questions from the last election.

6. Resolution vacating an unimproved portion of (private) right-of-way north of Deckner Avenue.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve Item 6.

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend Item 6 to "It is the intent of this Street Vacation that all of the above described property be attached to, and become part of Tax Parcel Number 8-391." Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

7. Resolution regarding vacation of a public service way located near North Adams Street and Northland Avenue.

8. Resolution authorizing release of utility easement for 321 Moon Valley Drive.

9. Resolution declaring City property surplus at 1416 Velp Avenue.

10. Resolution drawing final orders to contractors for January 15, 2019.

V. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to refer the petitions and communications to the appropriate Committee or Commission. Motion carried.

Request by Ald. Brian Johnson to the Finance Committee to create an emergency response plan for residents affected by failures in city-owned infrastructure/assets for which inadequate means exist to rectify which may or may not include ordinance changes, funding solutions or staff response time.

Request by Ald. Chris Wery to the I&S Committee to discuss/action on future repairs/upgrades to Shawano Ave. from Ashland to Oneida.

Request by Ald. Chris Wery to the I&S Committee to request the City take ownership and/or maintenance of the drainage pond serving Hannah St.

Request by Ald. John VanderLeest to the Plan Commission to request that staff create an Area Development Plan for the acreage and surrounding properties generally west of I-41, south of W. Mason Street, east of Hobart Drive, and north of Ninth Street, including a public connection at the Mason Street and Hinkle Street intersection

W. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to advance Item 2 to second reading. Motion carried.

Item K1 under the Report of the Protection & Policy Committee was referred back to committee. General Ordinance 1-19 was not advanced.

I. General Ordinance No. 1-19

An ordinance creating section 29.513, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to leaving keys in a vehicle.

2. Planning Ordinance No. 1-19

An ordinance amending the Official Map of the the City of Green Bay to approve a Plat of right-of-way for the Erie Road.

X. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to adjourn at 7:52 p.m.

Kris A. Teske
Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at greenbaywi.gov

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Alderman Wery and Alderman Nicholson, you need to log in please. Jesse. No you're good. No I said Alderman. You there? Alderman Nicholson and Vander Leest. Yeah, yeah. He's ready. Good evening, I'd like to call to order the meeting of the Common Council for Tuesday, January 15th. Clerk, if you could call the roll.

- [Clerk] 12 are present, Your Honor.

- Alright, great. We obviously have a quorum, we're all here. So let's please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance and then remain standing for the Invocation.

- [All] I Pledge allegiance to the flag of the Untied States of America. And to the republic, for which it stands, one nation under God. Indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

- Heavenly Father, tonight we pray for the leaders of this community and of this country. As we face challenging times and situations both locally and nationally, let us always be mindful to turn to you in times of decision-making. Next Monday we celebrate and honor a man, a leader of nonviolent campaigns, Dr. Martin Luther King. He believed that the most powerful method of achieving peace and social justice was to create a society based on compassion, peace, and brotherhood. Help all of us here gathered in these chambers use that hope, determination, and courage to make our world a better place. Help us help each other as we work to

Minutes of the Common Council

do your will and lift the lives of people who call Green Bay home. Continue to bless our military personnel, especially those who serve on the USS Green Bay. We ask this in your name, amen. Alright with that, I'll look for a motion to approve the minutes of the December 4th meeting. There was a motion by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderman Corpus-Dax, a discussion here. See no lights; all in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed, nay; the ayes have it and that motion has been approved. What are your wishes regarding the approval of the agenda? There's a motion Alderman Scannell, second Alderperson Kathy Lefebvre to approve the agenda. You wanna move here? See no lights; all in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nay; the ayes have it and the agenda before you has been approved.

- [Clerk] Report by the mayor.

- Just really two things as I mentioned in the Invocation. This weekend and Monday, we do celebrate MLK, Martin Luther King Day weekend. There's an event that you all have been invited to. I just wanna remind you at NWTC, and that's Saturday at 10 o'clock. That's a community, kind of a countywide celebration. Then on Monday, which of course is Martin Luther King Day, Divine Temple has their event at 11 o'clock. You're all invited to attend that and there's lunch after. I think that's where most of us will be. That will conclude my report, thank you.

- [Clerk] We're on to announcements.

- Alderman Mark Steuer.

- Thank you, Your Honor. My brother Pete from Tallahassee, Florida's in the audience. He came up along with my other seven siblings to say goodbye to my mother on Saturday in Milwaukee. We had a funeral; it was a

beautiful service. I wanna thank the administration and staff and all the kind letters and cards that I got from all of you. It meant a lot; we really appreciate it a lot. She's in a good place right now. Thank you all.

- I thank you. Pete, you didn't bring the weather with you. You're Pete. Alright.

- [Mark] He's brave.

- Welcome.

- He's a Wisconsiner.

- We can debate things here, but at the end of the day, we're pretty good family. Your brother's doin' a good job here. We have our sympathy. I know you've gotten some cards and gifts from the city. Thanks for being here. Alright, that's all I see. Continue.

- [Clerk] We're on to appointments, reappointments. Green Bay Plan Commission Lisa Hanson. On Broadway Business Improvement District Chad VanHandel and Adam Funk. Downtown Business Improvement District, Rick Chernick. Olde Main Business Improvement District, Jim Wochinske. Military Avenue Business Improvement District, Sharon Hack.

- [Andy] Motion to approve.

- There's a motion by Alderman Nicholson, second Alderman Scannell to approve the reappointments as presented. A discussion here: see no lights. All in favor of those reappointments, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nay; the ayes have it and the reappointments have been confirmed. Thank you.

- [Clerk] We're on to public hearing: Resolution vacating an unimproved portion of private right-of-way north of Deckner Avenue.

- So we're onto the portion of the public hearing, the vacation of right-of-way on Deckner. Is there anyone here who wants to speak to that specific item? Anyone wants to speak to that? Anyone wishing to be heard? Alright, we'll let the record show no one came forward.

- [Clerk] We're onto ordinances: Second Reading for Adoption. You may under suspension of the rules take up items one and two with a roll call vote.

- [Randy] Motion to suspend.

- We have a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Mark Steuer to suspend the rules for that purpose. All in favor of suspension, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nays. Ayes have it, the rules are suspended. Proper motion, motion to adopt.

- Disagree.

- Sure. We're gonna separate these two. We'll go to Report J Item 1: General Ordinance 29-18. That's the Municipal Code change related to online ordering. I want a motion to approve that. Alderman Scannell second by Alderperson Dorff. Did you wanna speak? Alderman Chris Wery.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. This is regarding the online ordering and curbside pickup of alcohol beverages. I know we had a pretty interesting debate and discussion on this a couple weeks ago. I wanted this recorded. I know Alderman Vander Leest wasn't here last time. I'm hoping this time, there's a pretty close vote. Maybe it'll go the other way. I'm opposed to it. I think it opens up a can of worms in a lot of different things that we aren't prepared for. I'm gonna vote against it and encourage others to do likewise, thanks.

- [Jim] Thank you. Alderperson Lefebvre. Hang on, go ahead.

- Likewise, I'm completely opposed to this and I would like another vote on it. Thank you.

- This is the second vote.

- I know.

- I don't see any other lights, so I'll use the board on this. This is Report J General Ordinance 29-18. A yes vote allow for the online ordering, a no vote. Two, three, four, five, six. That's a tie vote. One, two, three, four, yeah six. Yeah, I'm gonna vote no on this. We gotta start somewhere.

- [Clerk] Then we're onto General Ordinance number 31-18.

- 31-18, any discussion here? Again, Alderperson Lefebvre. Okay, so please use the board here. No, it's key. Okay can you use the board here? Alright that ordinance is passed unanimously. Thank you.

- [Clerk] We're on to Report of the Protection and Policy Committee, January 7th, 2019.

- Alright, so there's a motion by Alderman Scannell second Alderman Craig Stevens to approve Report K, the Report of the Protection and Policy Committee. RDA, ship RDA next? I have protection policy.

- [Clerk] I have protection policy.

- Can we go to K?

- Yes.

- Alright. Okay so we're on Report K, which is Report and Protection Policy Committee. That was a motion by Alderman Scannell second Alderman Stevens to approve this report. I'd like to know if there's any items you wanna handle separately here.

- [Andy] One and seven.

- Any others, Alderman Nicholson?

- [Andy] I want recorded to abstain. Three, four, five six, eight and 10.

- Okay so hearing none others, all in favor of the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- We'll hear you guys. We're gonna approve everything but one and seven. All in favor of the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

-heard you. So everything's been approved with the exception of item one and seven, with Alderman Nicholson abstaining from the items he mentioned. What are your wishes regarding item one?

- [Andy] Motion to deny.

- There's a motion by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderman Johnson to deny item one. Alderman Scannell, your light was on first so go ahead.

- I pulled it, so I would like to send this back to committee. There's been considerably more public discussion and there's been some ideas of what we can do to maybe change this around some. So if we send it back to committee, we could come back with a different look here and see what everybody thinks of that.

- Alright so--

- Hold my motion, Mayor. Alright, so you wanna second his?

- I'll second Scannell.

- Alright. So we have a motion and a second to refer this item back. Did you wanna say anything, Alderman Nicholson? Okay, I see no other lights. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nays; the ayes have it. Item K-I has been referred back. We're now on to item seven. What are your wishes regarding item seven? Alright, motion by Alderman Steuer. Okay, get it on the floor. All you gotta do is get it on the floor so you can say motion to dots.

- [Randy] Motion to

- [Andy] Second. Okay, I'll open the floor and we'll start with Alderman Randy Scannell.

- Yes, I pulled the item in again. This is an item I'd like to send back to committee. We will need to do a little more work on this. Thank you.

- [Jim] Alderman Nicholson, you're seconding that motion to refer that back?

- [Andy] Yes sir.
- [Jim] Any other discussion here? I see no other lights. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.
- [All] Aye.
- [Jim] And those opposed, nays; ayes have it. Item seven has been referred back to committee as well.
- [Clerk] We're onto item L, Report of the Improvement Service Committee, January 9th, 2019.
- Motion to approve.
- [Jim] Alright there's a motion by Alderman Scannell.
- [Barb] Second.
- [Jim] Second by Alderperson Dorff to approve the report of the Improvement Service Committee for the meeting held on January 9th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately?
- Two.
- Item two. Okay hearing no others, all in favor of the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.
- [All] Aye.

- [Jim] And those opposed nay; ayes have it. All items have been approved with the exception of item two. What are your wishes regarding item two. There's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- Second.

- Second by Alderman Johnson. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. Everybody should have received in their mailbox a hard copy and then you can read the electronic copy also if you want. We had a long discussion on this committee regarding our storm water drainage issues that we're having. The report identifies about eight main areas around the city and would take, as you can read, about 100 million dollars to fix just those eight areas. That's not to mention a lot of other issues as well. We referred this to staff and we're gonna have a monthly update on this. From what I understand, the finance director and the finance committee are going to work on prioritizing funding. I don't want this to go away. Everybody start thinking about this. We need to start tackling it. We talked about five year plans. That's only gonna We need to look long term, 10 year, 20 year. How are we gonna completely solve this? We have some infrastructure that needs fixing. We inherited some really bad infrastructure there. We have some undersized infrastructure. We've had rains like we have never seen before in our lifetime. We have to keep this on the forefront and look at complete plans. So everybody read it, get familiar with it. Thanks.

- Alright, thank you. So we have a motion to second to adopt this report. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nays; the ayes have it. Alright, run M.

- [Clerk] Item M, Report of the Redevelopment Authority, December 11th, 2018.

- [Randy] Motion to approve.

- There's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderperson Dorff to approve Report M, which is the report of the Redevelopment Authority, December 11th and January 8th. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- Two.

- Item two. Okay hearing none others, all in favor of the remainder of the report, which is item one, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. And those opposed nays; ayes have it. Item one has been approved. What are your wishes regarding item two?

- [Randy] Motion to approve.

- Motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Johnson. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I was wondering if Director Vonck could just give us a summary of the TIF that is going to be put out for this project.

- [Jim] Dr. Vonck.

- Thank you. So there's two parts to the tax incentive here. The first part follows the development agreement that was passed in 2017 with TDL Holdings basically acting as the master developer for the rail yard. As part of that, the agreement said that for future projects, that would come forward. The city would look at an incentive of \$100,000 for every million dollars of new increment that was going to be brought to this project. Working with our finance department and assessor, we believe this new development will assess about 7.5 million dollars. With that, part one would be a grant of \$750,000 to DDL. That would go for purposes specified in the original development agreement with DDL for the rail yard for infrastructure and public improvements. For this project, we'll need to look at extending Bond Street in from Broadway and then just the general improvements of the water, sewer, storm water that's needed to accommodate the new development. The second piece of the incentive deals with in the original development agreement looked at after the debt service was paid off. Any increment that remained would be split 50/50 between the developer and the city. What this agreement does is it says that one, the developer would get its share. DDL would give its share to the developer TWG and then the city would give its share also to TWG. So therefore, after the debt service is paid off and that's \$150,000, any increment generated by this project would be going back to the developer.

Minutes of the Common Council

Part of that is also predicated on... There's a request in here to extend the life of the tax increment district for three years. That would go to the Joint Review Board later this year. Part of the reasoning for that would be there was a time basically a non-profit organization on Broadway, the Redevelopment Authority, that we owned this property and it's really kinda lost a few years in the development cycle. So that request is also being asked for here. But really, that is abcess of the TIF incentive that's being proposed on the table.

- Appreciated, thank you Dr. Vonck. Does this area include the proposed area we set aside for Fort Howard? I don't wanna say memorial, but the history area? Does that include this?

- The green space area.

- Or is that just outside?

- [Kevin] I believe the green space proposed is being looked at to the east of this. Looking near the northeast corner of the property where the storm water facility, storm water pond is going to be built. That's where we're looking at putting some of the public amenities and historic amenities. This project will basically, if you just draw a line east on Bond Street between that and the new committee shelter. That would be dealing with those four acres there.

- Alright. I listened to the meeting online and I noticed someone did ask the question about the apartment building. Why there weren't any balconies or patios.

- [Kevin] Sure, we do have a representative of the developer who is here. I think one of the things that we're trying to do in this is design a product, one, that fits in aesthetically with the rail yard and then looks at some design standards of trying to achieve some quality. In the same aspect, appreciating that this is an affordable housing project; that construction costs are controlled in a matter that allows those rents to be affordable. If you would like to ask the developers specifically, Jonathan Elke from TWG is here. If you wanna open the floor, he'd be happy to answer any questions.

- Thank you. Make a motion to open the floor.

- [Mark] Second.

- So there's a motion by Alderman Wery, second by Alderman Steuer to open the floor to hear from interested parties. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. And those opposed nays; the ayes have it. So we're discussing item two under the Report of the Redevelopment Authority, TWG Development and DDL. Jonathan, maybe you wanna... A question was asked about design, balconies. Introduce yourself; we need an address.

- I'm Jonathan Elke, development director for TWG Development. It's an honor to be here today. I'm from Wisconsin originally and I'm part owner of the Green Bay Packers. It's really a great project for me. I feel very personally tied to it. I've been working on the Broadway Lofts contract for over two years now, so this quite a lot of effort from the city with DDL to get to this point. We're very excited about the finished product and where we're at with it. I'm happy to answer any questions specifically that you have. So you mentioned the balconies? Is that correct? The design of the project is really intended to speak the same language as the rail yard. So when I say that, what I mean is you've got two very large warehouse buildings along Broadway Street. You may or may not have seen the original rendering concept. That was a very sloped roof, a lot of siding. Very suburban look. We got some feedback on that, so we went back to the drawing board. We looked at TWG's existing portfolio of over 60 developments. We actually have a project called Lofts that really mirrors Broadway Lofts in a lot of ways. That one's designed on a previously industrial site. So what we try to do when we're faced with that sort of design is we wanna build a development complex that fits in, speaks the same language. You look at Broadway you have a lot of monotony in a good way. Windows, and it's got a certain feel, a certain architecture. So what wanted to do is when you look at the rendering in front of you. Is it in front of you? You see a lot of brick towards Broadway Street, which is a homage to all that brick along Broadway Street. It kinda steps down. What you're seeing is kind of an industrial feel, but a residential development. So it's a multi-family complex with an industrial feel to it. Our architects worked pretty hard and to come up with that elevation. On the lack of balconies, it was just a decision to... It really came out at some point. It wasn't very long discussion whether or not we're gonna include balconies. You wanna keep that same look as the existing rail yard.

- [Chris] Is it more of a look or a cost factor?

- It's primarily driven by the appearance than it would be by the cost.

- [Chris] I for one, just speaking with friends and neighbors, think that it would be a useful amenity. A lot of people rich or poor who when they come home, they like to sit on the patio or balcony and get some fresh air and relax. TWG has a lot of impressive properties if you look at your website around the country, especially the Midwest; very nice properties. I printed a bunch off here. You go around Noblesville, Indiana; it's 32 units called Illinois Place Apartments in Indianapolis; a lot in Indianapolis. Berlin Place in South Bend. The Notch at Nora in Indianapolis, that's a really impressive structure. These are all larger ones, 100 or more and they all have balconies and patios. I just think that's an important amenity. I don't know if you can still come back and change that. Especially along the Fox River there, especially if you're higher up, you can look up at the park and the river. 4th of July, you can sit out and watch the fireworks.

- Yeah, so three out of four of the developments that you named, Notch at Nora, 32, Berlin, those are market rate developments. To give you both a market rate and affordable housing developer, market rate developments, you tend to push those luxury finishes. You're seeing granite, oversized balconies so you can push the rent up higher. On Broadway Lofts, this is work force housing. So we're not driving rent up. That would be counter intuitive to what we're trying to design or trying to do with the development. The only affordable development you named was Illinois Two Senior and that was adaptive reuse of existing structure, so we just kept that existing building and build apartments inside of it. It goes back to just design. It's workforce housing. A balcony's a nice amenity. If you look at the two town home buildings, eight and six, those type oversized balconies. There are going to be 88 town homes that face that water area and they're going to have oversized balconies that face that so they can sit outside and look at that feature.

- [Chris] Did we get schematics or any views of the town homes? I don't think there were. I think those were coming later.

- I said we just got those finished up literally yesterday. I can forward the email. I don't know how quick we can put those out.

- [Chris] They were not in the packet on page 29 of the agreement which is exhibit C. There was some renderings of the apartment unit building; but the town homes were not included in this packet that was sent out last week.

- So to answer your question in a short manner. There will be balconies on the town homes and it goes back to design and affordability. On the apartment building, we both think it doesn't work for design and also can't afford to put balconies on the apartment building.

- [Chris] Alright, that's all. That's all I have, thank you.

- Yeah.

- [Jim] Thank you. Alderperson Lefebvre.

- I'm also concerned that... I think balconies are a good amenity to put in there, especially on the riverside because as Alderman Wery had mentioned, we have the Fourth of July fireworks right down there and people

Minutes of the Common Council

like to sit and watch it. If not a balconies, or patios put on it, is a possibility that you could have the railing that protects, but they can open their windows enough that they can feel they're still sittin' inside They can get the breeze. They can feel like they're outside.

- [Jonathan] What's called Juliet balconies. To be frank, we're far along in the design process. To go back and redesign balconies into them would really hold up the project and we have certain timing deliveries. We have to meet with, the allocation of the credit. I will say the windows are oversized. You may have noticed. You may not really notice on the renderings, but they are oversized windows; so you will have a nice view of the river and of the fireworks.

- You're saying is it's not of the process that you can't have it where the... You can open them but have the protective railing so a child can't fall over and someone can fall out the window. You can't do that at this point?

- [Jonathan] Nothing's impossible. I can certainly ask my design team what their thoughts are around that. The ramifications to the schedule and timing delivery will be pretty intense, but we're more than happy to take a look at that.

- Especially on that river side maybe.

- [Jonathan] Yeah. On the south end, yeah.

- Okay, thank you.

- [Jim] Alderman Johnson.

- Jonathan, thank you for coming out today. Did you come from Indianapolis?

- [Jonathan] I sure did.

- Thank you for taking the time to travel here and share information about the project. I wanna commend both you and city staff. I know that when we looked at the first renderings of this development, they looked very very different and to use a word that Dr. Vonck had brought up before, it was very suburban. Obviously we have a development here that is in an urban area and so I commend you guys for working together to create a design that's both appealing and appropriate for its location and to honor its past. One of the things that I look to, I mean with this project, is I do take into consideration what you've shared and that is it is working class housing. If we add some of these other nice amenities then we're basically getting another development that I've heard this body talk about in the past that we have plenty of already. So this brings something to the table that we're currently missing. I appreciate your efforts to bring that to the forefront. I don't think I share the same concerns about the balconies. I know you've heard from two people. I wanted you to hear from at least one more that I don't share those same concerns because this is urban housing. This is very different and there are different design standards that come with urban housing. I just at least wanted you to have the opportunity to hear maybe a dissenting viewpoint that I do appreciate design and I appreciate the modifications that you've made from the first version to now, again to really offer something that fits that neighborhood.

- [Jonathan] Thank you.

- [Jim] Alderman Randy Scannell.

- Thank you, Your Honor. As far as balconies, yeah, I think it's a nice feature. Certainly it'd be great; but I understand this project has been a long haul. It's been getting by by the skin of its teeth and I really wanna thank you for sticking with it. I think affordable housing is important for our downtown. Been working hard for this staff and you and I really really appreciate it. There's all kinds of things that I wish, but my biggest wish is affordable housing downtown. This does the job, so thank you.

- [Jonathan] Thank you.

- Anything else from Jonathan? okay, thank you Jonathan. Anyone else wishing to speak to this specific item? Alright, motion to return to regular order by Alderman Steuer, second Alderman Scannell. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye. And those opposed, nay; ayes have it. Alder Wery to the floor?

- Yeah, I'll make a motion to refer this back to look at balconies and patios as part of this plan. Looking at their other holdings that they have plenty that are in urban settings. Walnut Park, Lansing, Michigan. Lockerbie Lofts, Indianapolis. They all clearly have that amenity. I don't think we wanna tell people in Green Bay the working class don't deserve some minor things. Only anybody cares about what kind of top you have in your kitchen or what are the appliances. It's important but we're not talking about having the best there. We're talking about at least having the ability... I think every apartment I stayed in out of college, every one whether on Verlon Road or or out in Minneapolis, they all have a little balcony. Maybe it wasn't big but you could come home, sit

out and breath some fresh air and relax. I think everybody deserves that, especially if it's the working class people who are working their butt off. Maybe it's not doable but it sounds like it's possible. Thanks.

- [Jim] So there's a motion Alderman Wery to refer this back and there's a second from Alderperson Lefebvre. So we're on referral. We just update this. Alderman Johnson.

- I proposed a motion to refer because I guess I just am a little reluctant for this council, this body, to get in the business of design opinions. To me, it seems that when you're talking about a TIF funded project, our concern ought to be what is the assessable value that this brings to the city? That's why we provide TIF funding for developments. It's to help bring projects to the table that might not otherwise be sustainable on their own. We're talking about a \$20 million investment here as Dr. Vonck alluded to which would result in about \$7.5 million of assessment. That's where my primary concern is. I feel like committees and staff, they've done quite a bit of work on this from a design standpoint and I think every single one of us sitting at this table right now could have a different opinion on what this project ought to look like. I think the refrigerator should open from the left and not the right. I think we should have brown floors instead of white floors. There are a lot of different things that I think we could debate on this project. One that I'm most concerned about is the timing of this development, our ability to take advantage of those tax credits from the state, and to make sure that we're meeting the construction deadline so that we're not needlessly referring this back and ending up with a development that might not take place because we're worried about a design aspect that the committee has already addressed.

- [Jim] Thank you. Alderman Scannell, on referral.

- I too am against referral. Sentimentally I'm with Alder Wery. I think a balcony would be great. I think it's a nice amenity. I think it would be wonderful to have. Practically, I know how tough it's been on this development and I really worry about sendin' it back and completely knocking it off the shelf. From a practical standpoint, as I said, I am far more concerned with affordable housing downtown than about some amenities. Sentimentally, yeah It'd be great. Practically, we got the ship goin'. I think to pull it back into harbor is a big mistake. I just worry about what that does to the project. I can't support it.

- Thank you.

- And thank you.

- [Jim] Alderman Mark Steuer.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I think balconies would be a nice feature. I'm concerned about the time sensitivity of this project though too. I wish I had a schematic right here to take a look at it. I guess what I'd like to know is what would the cost be just for information of what that would entail. I don't know if there's enough time to look at that right now. What would it cost for balconies? We don't have time for that; I don't think so. Motion to open the floor. I'll make that motion.

- Alright, sirs. There's a motion by Alderman Steuer, second by Alderman Johnson to open the floor to hear from the developer regarding the cost of balconies. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nays; ayes have it. Jonathan. Go ahead Alderman Steuer.

- [Mark] Just that question. We were talking about balconies or no balconies and I realize Alder Wery brought up a lot of good projects that you've worked on in the past. We need affordable housing downtown. We have a lot of other places that have balconies et cetera. We're trying to balance all this out. This has been going on for quite some time. I would like just to know in your estimation what it would cost to refurbish or redo that?

- Yeah, so the biggest impact would be time being. We have promises that we made to the state of Wisconsin to place the building in service by a certain month, by a certain date. Placing in service means get afrom the city that folks can start moving in. That would be the biggest impact. That's a very very large impact financially. But we can always go back and try to design some Juliet balconies in there. Personally, I think if you're somebody that lives downtown, works downtown looking for affordable housing, whether or not there's a balcony on the building is not going to make or break your quality of life. You work downtown, you make \$30,000 a year maybe working at Starbucks or as janitorial staff at the YMCA, whatever might be and you can't find a place to live downtown. You're not going to say I don't wanna live here because there's not a balcony. TWG as a developer, we have a large design team. We have three architects that worked on this project, came up with this design and we all signed off on it. They are professionals who do this for a living, so I always defer to the architect when it comes to design. The other thing is financially, the project is actually hanging on by the skin of its teeth. Changing from a slope roof to a fly roof is actually substantial increase in costs. It's important to me as the developer to give a high quality of life to the tenants living there. I think a washer dryer in every unit is a must-have. That's something that's optional. A lot of affordable housing, personally it's not optional. But if you ask me can we afford balconies, I can say yeah, we can make it happen. What happens though is I say I've gotta lose the washer dryer in every unit. So if you're somebody that lives, works downtown Green Bay, would you rather have a washer dryer in your apartment or a Juliet balcony? I think that's what it comes down to for TG. We can always make it work. We wanna be a good partner and a good neighbor for the city. We're gonna be here 15 years owning and managing Broadway Lofts, so it's very important for us to have your trust and a good relationship. But as an affordable housing developer, I what matters to the tenants and I believe that the washer dryer, the appearance on the inside is gonna be more important than the balconies. You asked about cost specifically. I could always make it work. If that's what the city of Green Bay wants, I will find a way to

make it happen. It might come at the expense of some of the other things that I would prefer to see in the building.

- [Mark] I guess just fill me in a little bit. You said that washer dryer would go out and the balcony would go in. Is that a spacial thing or what?

- I'm just looking at my budget. In my head, I now that washer dryers one of the first things that we eat out typically because it's what? 1000, 1100 per unit and hundreds have an apartment. So we're looking at 120, \$125,000. It might or might not cover the costs of the balconies. It's probably gonna be more than that.

- [Mark] That's all I have.

- [Jim] Any questions for Jonathan?

- Brian?

- Alright, Alderman Steuer. Alderman Johnson.

- Jonathan, if you could just clarify for us. The motion on the floor is to refer this back to committee for additional discussion or negotiation on those amenities. Could you maybe speak to what impact if any, that might have on the development from a timing perspective and whether or not it would jeopardize any of the financing you have in place for this project.

- So by going back to committee for discussion. Would it come back to the floor next month, one month from today? Yeah, I would need a guarantee that it would pass next month. It's not an impact of now, but we start to play with fire if we don't get it approved in a timely manner. I'd much prefer to have the development approved today. I would also wanna understand if it's tabled, then send back to committee for discussion. What does that mean exactly? Are we designing a building with balconies and giving the city of Green Bay costs for those balconies? Is that what's happening?

- [Brian] In essence.

- Yeah, okay.

- If it goes back.

- Okay.

- [Jim] Alright, are we done? Your lights are still on. Did you wanna talk to Jonathan or you wanna go back to regular session? Jonathan or regular, okay.

- I'm done.

- We're good. Thank you.

- Thank you.

- Motion to oppose the floor. There's a motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderman Craig Stevens to return to regular order. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nays; the ayes have it. Alderman Steuer, you have the floor.

- The balconies sounds like a good thing. I think what I'm worried about is the time sensitivity of the project. I just feel it would really cause some problems, so I am against referral.

- [Jim] Alright, thank you. Alderperson Dorff, on referral.

- Thank you. I too am against referral; I'm on RDA. We've been working on this for a while. They went back, they redesigned things the way we asked them to do it. I'm very concerned about the timeline. We need to get this project done, so I will be voting against referral. Thank you.

- Thank you. Alderperson Kathy Lefebvre.

- The reason I second it was maybe more discussion on this. I'm glad that the developer came back. I like the idea of balconies and everything. I like his answer about then we take out the washer dryer and I think that's important for these apartments. I think that's a good amenity to put in. I think this is the rendering, a conception of what it would look like. The reason I had a little concern with it is that I don't want it to look... It looks good in this rendering and I hope that's what it's gonna be. I don't want it to turn out like the one downtown second to the Main Street Bridge. That was an ugly building and I'm glad another apartment building went up in front of it 'cause it hides it. That was awful and I just wanna make sure that it's also pleasing-looking. So thank you.

- Thank you. Alderman Brunette.

- Yes thank you, Mayor. I am against referral. I didn't catch Jonathan's last name, but I believe he laid out a pretty good argument against delaying the project and the other amenities, excluding balconies, that would allow residents in that area. I think as a council, we just gotta vote on it tonight, move this project forward, and trust the experts in this area that they know what's in the best interest of the project. Thank you.

- Thank you. Alderman Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. It looks like this is probably gonna get approved tonight, but I thought it was important to mention. I know some of the design particulars are coming back later. We can hopefully make it look as nice as possible. The old standby of no time to debate is always a classic one that time specter thing. I wouldn't want council digging in too deep into this stuff of course. If you look at the design of it, I know we want it to fit into the rail yard and this is where I hope the design comes back 'cause it is a little lacking, a little bland. I think that was talked about at RDA. The design's gotta come back a little more wow to it. If you look at their other projects, they do some great work; so I'm really expecting big things with this. We can do better. We heard the developer say they could make it work. You look at their other projects and some of those are in very urban settings. Then if you read the descriptions, they have also subsidized housing or lower income housing. It doesn't have to be all or none. Maybe the third floor has the balconies and first floor has patios and the middle floor doesn't. It's not all or none. There's an area in between where you can do both. I'm for referral, thanks.

- Alright, thank you. So we'll use the board on this.

- [Andy] Sir, I got a question I registered on the--

- You are not. Well you're not, but I am happy to recognize you.

- Thanks, Mayor. It's been mentioned time sensitivity. When is this supposed to be completed by?

- [Jim] You wanna go over that, Jonathan?

- I believe they're looking at developing, correct me if I'm wrong, probably close on the property in May; start construction in June. Then about a 16 month construction window. I think in terms of time sensitivity, one of the things we look at is alright, if you take June and 16, 18 months off, then I think our goal is to have this started in 2019 completed in 2020 so it's online and on the tax rolls 1/1 of 21. If we start to push back, it's either January 1st or it's the next year. And so we would lose a whole year, at least from our perspective, in terms of being able to generate tax revenue on this. I think also as Mr. Elke had mentioned, they have to in order to receive their tax credits, have this property also in service by that date. So juston other projects, I mean you have to be online and operating by a certain date if you're going to receive this funding. So starting to push this back, I know it may not seem like much, but we've all seen how other projects... I mean we're dealing with a brown field or redevelopment. There's other delays that could potentially happen. I think it's best to keep on track in a timely manner as possible.

- [Andy] I understand that. When was the first time this was presented to the RDA and the council?

- We had a term sheet with TWG probably two years ago where we took a look at it. I think that's where Mr. Elke references some of the design things, about the suburban design standards. Through that process, that project or that iteration of that project did not receive tax credit funding. With that, I think there was a mutual interest from the developer in wanting to do a project here as well as the city wanting to get some affordable housing units in the area, and especially downtown given the number of employment centers, offices, restaurants, hotels, things have sprung up. We've been working for two years on it. We've had some additional conversations with RDA. RDA was presented this at their last meeting. With that, they also received the designs that were included in the packet here and approved those as were presented and I think were pleased with how the iteration has come. I guess I could defer to Alder Dorff in terms of--

- [Barb] I went to that.

- Some of the design. In terms of moving forward with what we feel. Look, I mean we have on the RDA people involved in engineering, architecture, and design. Felt it met their specifications and desires and that's why recommended approval with it.

- [Andy] So the first time RDA received this was last meeting? With the issues that Mr. Wery's bringing up?

- I would say the first iteration of this project when it looked this design. This was the first time they saw this design. Over a year ago, they saw a previous design and I think that's where some of the comments came. Both from RDA and also our planning staff about improving that design. I think since that time, we've also through council, approved a planned unit development agreement for the whole rail yard. To answer Alderperson Lefebvre's questions about the quality of design, there's some standards in there for what has to be used for masonry and transparency in windows, and all those other types of elements so that there is a high bar for what we see in there. I think part of it was the developers architecture team looked at those design standards and made it fit within what was presented and what was approved at this body. I think that PUD was passed I'd say early in 2018. I'm looking at the developer here that updated the PUD for that whole district. I think that's the other piece that we look at, design standards where the council has some control over shaping what ultimately goes here.

- [Andy] Thanks Kevin, for the information. How much city money is gonna be offered?

- So we would provide \$750,000 as a grant, depending on when the debt service is paid off, what this ultimately assesses out to be. On the back end, the pay goal will range somewhere between 800,000 and 1.2 million. But again, that's the pay goal after that debt services is paid off.

- [Andy] Thanks, Kevin. It's all there.

- Do you have anything else? So the motion on the floor is to refer. A yes vote refers us back; a no vote keeps it here. If you'd use the board please. Yes refers it back, a no vote stays here. Alderman Vander Leest. Okay so that motion fails on a vote of 10 to two. So we're back to the original motion on the floor and that's to approve item two. Motion by Alderman Steuer, second Alderman Galvin. Discussion here. Use the board on this please. A yes approves it; a no denies it. Alderman Vander Leest. So item two's approved by a vote of 10 to two. Alright, thank you.

- [Clerk] We're on to Item N, Report of the Protection and Policy Committee Granting--

- So moved.

- We voted on the No, you have that. This was the report of the Redevelopment Authority. We approve item one unanimously and then we just debated item two. Alderperson Lefebvre wants to reconsider item one. So you have a motion to reconsider. Second Alderman Scannell. All in favor of reconsideration, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- [Jim] And those opposed nays; ayes have it. So were back to item one of the Redevelopment Authority. There's nothing going on here, so I'm gonna ask for a motion and a second to get it on the floor. Motion by Alderman Johnson, second by Alderman Scannell to adopt item one. Alderperson Lefebvre.

- [Kathy] I just have a little concern about some of it. It's how they're figuring it out, some of the housing. I don't like that a lot of the amount of housing. There's a big section that's on the other side of Mason Street. Mason Street, there's no way. They have to go all the way up to Ashland Avenue if people want to access downtown without using their car. I think we have to realize in the city that congestion with cars, spending a lot of money on roads and everything, more people want to be able to walk. I don't see some of that in this plan here. I know they do talk about some biking. But I would like more of the multi-family closer to Walnut Street. I think I'd be easier to access Walnut Bridge 'cause there's a path. There's sidewalks going over the river. It'd be easier to get into downtown. Young people would like to live close to downtown. I know we have on North Broadway some of the housing. There's a lot of people who work downtown would like to live downtown. I think maybe we need a little more consideration for them in ease of getting downtown without taking your car. I have concerns on that. I also have concerns about the spending on the playing field. I think what we need is to put that up. That should be more on the back. I do approve of a park. I like some of the ideas of the park; but I think we're puttin' the cart before the horse. It seems like we're spending on the park. The shipyard itself.

- [Jim] I'm trying to make a joke. Cart before the horse, I said park before the horse. But go ahead, I'm sorry.

- [Kathy] I'm sorry. I think the housing. Fixing up the neighborhood and the housing 'cause if you give good housing going, those people then will demand more of the amenities. Same thing I'd like to see downtown, where people demanding more things going on down there. I think that we need to concentrate on that and maybe doing some things. I think we're getting a little ambitious for what the city can afford and what we can do. I think we need to look at the neighborhoods first with the housing in that. Thank you.

- [Jim] Alright, thank you. So can I have Dr. Vonck comment on this investment strategy plan. Then Brian, I'll go to you. Alderman, I'll go to you.

- [Kevin] Sure. As far as the housing goes, if you're looking at I'm guessing exhibit F, page 16, talking about future land use, where we looked at potentially low density residential, multi-family neighborhood, commercial, mixed use, I think like our comprehensive plan, these are targeted areas where we'd like to see some of those things of course. Things are gonna happen as opportunities arise, so it's not like everything's going to turn like that overnight. I think one of the important things and then I'll agree with Alder Lefebvre is that we do want to see opportunities, people able to walk or bike to their employment in the downtown core. I know south of Mason may seem cut off, but there's actually full street connections. Chestnut Avenue goes underneath. There's also a pedestrian connection where Maple cul-de-sacs; there's sidewalk under there. Then you can also get back out to Broadway, which has sidewalks and some bike facilities on it. I think even though Mason may seem like an impediment because it's elevated there, there's actually a lot of opportunity to get under Mason through paths or sidewalks and other things we're looking at overall for just improving accommodations. I mean what's the long-term strategy for improving lighting and other things like that to make those accommodations safe? I think as far as the second comment about the playing field. This is really looking at the neighborhood. There's another iteration where the consultant's looking at well, we're gonna put on the boat slip site itself, that southern parcel. We said as part of this bigger project we're gonna put a million dollars into the neighborhood over the next three years. What does that look like? We tried to identify what are some of the properties that may need some investment? What are some of the businesses that may need some investment? Look at from a priority standpoint where should we target some of those efforts to try and get a better bang for the buck? Then also, as you work with partners, whether it's Habitat or NeighborWorks or private developers, they like clear indication of where we're going as a city and what types of activities would you support. That's what this strategy is trying to look at. It's kinda like a miniature neighborhood plan or comprehensive plan of what we'd like to do in this particular neighborhood when it talks about investment in some of these properties.

- [Jim] You need to speak into your mic. Then we can all pick you up and I'll get you--

- [Kathy] Yeah. Yeah they can go under Chestnut, but in order to get downtown, they're gonna have to use a car because they can't get at Mason Street. They have to go all the way down to Walnut. I'm just looking at if you have some more over in this area, they can walk all the way down. Bike downtown. Mason Street cuts them off. You have to use a car. That's my concern. To get outta this area here, you have to use a car to get downtown.

- [Kevin] I guess that's why I was referring to the sidewalks that go underneath Mason Street right now that you can walk.

- [Kathy] But you can't walk over Mason Street.

- [Kevin] No, you walk under it.

- [Kathy] No no, you wanna go downtown.

- [Kevin] Sure, you could walk up to Walnut Street.

- [Kathy] Right. Look how far you have to go to Walnut.

- [Jim] Alderman Johnson.

- [Brian] So I was part of the group that helped craft this. I've been a part of most of those meetings and I think Alder Lefebvre, I think some of the concerns you share, this document is designed-- This document is actually designed I guess to respond or answer to those concerns. I think we need to keep in mind, this is what the neighborhood investment looks like and these are guidelines. This isn't a request to spend money today. Those things will come later through the appropriate channels. But these are really guidelines to say this is what our future vision is for this neighborhood. These are some of the areas for blight or concern. This is partly our strategy for how to remediate some of those concerns. I am 100% vested and confident in this report again knowing these are guidelines and really addressed at the neighborhood component. As Director Vonck had indicated, the actual brown field site, that's already under contract, being engineered and I think that will come back to us at a later date for input and consideration. I'm 100% committed to this report and I feel like they've addressed all of the major concerns that we have in the neighborhood and not only how we can bring those properties up in that area, but support the residents from an infrastructure perspective by identifying those areas of not only long-term needs, but those short-term things that we can do to help improve the values down there.

- [Jim] Alright, thank you. Alderperson Lefebvre.

- [Kathy] I'm not against any of this development. I believe it's important 'cause I know this area has been neglected for a long time and there's a lot of blight and different things that need to be done in this neighborhood. I do like a park on the river. I think we're lacking that. I'm not against all that. I just want everyone to be aware of my concerns with the ideas that are put forth. We've had how many different plans. According to this here, we talked about this for many years. I just want this park to be in part of the planning. My concerns, thank you.

- [Jim] Thank you, so noted. Alright, Alderman Wery.

- [Chris] Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I just think it's a good time to point this out. An important note that there's an excellent community survey on the Community and Economic Development page of the Shipyard Redevelopment Project halfway down the page. Community Survey, just click on that. There's 20 question with visuals. It's really very well done by planning staff. I think the more people who weigh into that, the better. What you want, what you don't want. So the more that gets out there, the better. Thanks.

- We thank you. Alderperson Brunette.

- [Jesse] Thank you, Mayor. I understand Alder Lefebvre's comments and concerns. I actually grew up in the neighborhood. I looked at the plan; I walk around that area thousands of times probably as a kid all the way into my teenage years. I have no concerns at all about people getting to that area without driving their car. I mean just from experience and knowing the neighborhood. Alderman Johnson represents the area. I'll be honest, I'm very very impressed with the plan. The way this all came together in the beginning, part of this process I was against it. I was concerned about the TIF funding and lack of development. But for me, Breakthrough Fuel coming on board. I think it's gonna add so much more to this development and attract additional development, which will make this decision of this council a very wise one in the few years and the few decades to follow. I think it's gonna be a very good project for the city and I support the plan. Thank you.

- [Jim] Thank you. So M-I is what's on the floor right now. There's a motion to second to approve item M-I and you can use the board here. We voted on this before. It was reconsidered, so we're voting on it again. It was a majority. Okay, c'mon. Alright, that passes by a vote of 11 to one. Alright, thank you. Okay, Clerk.

- [Clerk] We're onto Item N: Report of the Protection and Policy Committee Granting Operator Licenses.

- So moved.

- Motion by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderperson Corpus-Dax to approve, report N, which is the Report of Protection and Policy Committee Granting Operating Licenses. Any names under this report you wish to handle separately?

- Denise Kirkmeier.

- How you wanna vote? Abstain or annul. Abstain?

- Abstain.

- Okay with that notation, all in favor of the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nays; that report's been approved noting Alderman Johnson's abstention.

- [Clerk] We're on to O, Report of the Plan Commission, January 7th, 2019. Okay, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell second by Alderperson Dorff to approve the Report of the Plan Commission for the meeting held on January 7th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

-Excuse me. And those opposed nay; ayes have it and that report's been approved.

- [Clerk] We're on to Item P, Report of the Finance Committee, January 8th, 2019.

- There's a motion by Alderman Scannell second by Alderperson Corpus-Dax to approve the Report of the Finance Committee. That was for their meeting held January 8th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately?

- Three.

- Item three. Okay hearing no others, all in favor of the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nays; the ayes have it. All items have been approved with the exception of item three. What are your wishes regarding item three?

- [Randy] Motion to approve.

- Motion by Alderman Scannell, second Alderman Wery. Alderperson Dorff.

- Thank you. So I spent a lot of time since the last meeting researching. I actually spent some time today writing up a statement because I don't wanna forget anything. I'd like to kinda read what I've come up with. On Friday, February 16th, 2018, I was called and told there was a major water main break on Humboldt. It affected seven or eight homes in St. Gregory. I'd also received some emails. I immediately got dressed, went to the neighborhood to see if I could help. Many homes had water in their basements and damages amounting to thousands of dollars. The Sorenson's home, which is one home on that street, was most severely flooded with water in two levels and no heat, no power or water. I went into their basement. Their freezer was overturned; the washer dryer overturned; The furnace had been under water. It was horrible. It was just horrible. So I understood almost immediately that their insurance company would not cover this type of disaster because I personally spoke with their claims adjuster. I was at the home for about five hours. Their claims adjuster came in and explained it's nothing that could be covered. Then I found out from city employees because I was calling the city then the water utility--

- [Jim] C'mon you guys. Alderperson Dorff has the floor. Let's respect that.

- Thanks. That the water utility and the city insurance policies most likely would also deny the claim at the Claims Committee. That's indeed what happened because it would be considered an act of god. Then I tried to help the family by looking into NeighborWorks funding. That only funds families at a fairly low income level and there was some thought that they didn't qualify. I called the Red Cross, the Salvation Army, the Crisis Center, and there just was no help to be had. Then I donated to and spread the word about a GoFundMe account that had been set up by Matt Sorenson's mom. The goal was \$10,000 and to date, only \$5600 has been donated, which I feel so sad about. 'Cause I was hoping that more people would donate to that GoFundMe account. It's still out there. So the damages from what I understand are over \$90,000. For months, I and the city attorneys and other staff have been trying to come up with an ordinance that would help someone who, through no fault of their own, but through the failure of a city owned or controlled object such as a tree or water main, sewer, had their home rendered uninhabitable. It would need to be something that personal or municipal insurance wouldn't pay for and would have to be disastrous. To date, we've been unable to create an ordinance that would narrow down the coverage and we've been unable equally to find a funding source if we

did create such an ordinance. After hours and days of trying, I'm really asking what is the will of this council? Do we continue to try and create something that has never been done before in any city in the United States? 'Cause we've searched for similar programs. One cannot be found. We have three elders on county board. This type of thing could happen anywhere in the county. Will our county board alder members work with the county to establish such a fund? Does anyone have an idea where we can get funding? I'll ask director Renier-Wigg to talk about sources we have looked at but don't work because state law does not allow certain funds to be used for rehabilitation, such as from the State trust fund. So I guess I'd like to hear from members of this council and I want to know if the idea of a relief fund is something that will be supported and if so, how can we make it work and from where will it be funded? We've talked about it and talked about it and talked about it at finance. It got through finance mainly with the idea of bringing it here to have more conversation about it. So I'm hoping that we can have a really open discussion about it and get questions answered. Thank you.

- [Jim] Right, thank you. Our first light is Alderman Randy Scannell. The motion and the second on the floor is to approve an ordinance relating to this relief fund but you're just asking for some--

- I'm gonna ask for some discussion because I don't wanna send it back anywhere 'cause that kills all the discussion; and then we're just talking about referral back. I'd really like to have discussion on it and it maybe then in the end, what happens it gets referred back. I'm not sure.

- [Jim] Alderman Scannell.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate all Alder Dorff's work on this. She's been working very very hard. I've touched base with her a couple of times and I was really hoping that we could come up with something. To me, this seems to be an issue of insurance. Insurance is not covering these acts of god. So we have the private sector not covering certain damages. So it's only logical and I think appropriate that people turn to their government to try and fill that hole. But of all the government bodies, you have municipal, county, state and fed, the municipal is really the least capable of handling this situation. We don't have the funds for it. The way we fund government is somewhat limited compared to the other bodies. We just don't have the staff or the process for this type of thing. We have to create something and find a way to fund it and I can't think of anything. I think this ordinance as is does not work. This wouldn't even work for the family that inspired the ordinance because they never left their home. Their home would be condemned and if we end up following through on that, I'm not sure if we're really helping a family if we're condemning their home and then they have that added stress and expense of moving out of their home. I don't know that we're solving a problem. I think if there is any answer to this, this is not it. I don't know what it is, but this is not it. So I'll make a motion to receive and place on file.

- [Man] Does that supersede motion of approval?

- [Man] Thought it did.

- [Jim] I think you're right but...

- [Woman] I think it does.

- [Jim] Alderman Scannell made a motion to receive this item and to receive and place on file. Is there a second to that?

- Second.

- Seconded Alderman Wery. Do you have anything else you wanna say?

- Not at this time, thank you.

- [Jim] Alderman Galvin.

- Thank you Your Honor. One of the biggest issues we ran into it's finance, is we can't create this ordinance without also at the same time determining where is the financing coming from? You can't create an ordinance and then say later on how are we gonna pay for it? In essence, we do have the cart in front of the horse on this one. They have to go together. With the budget cycle we're in right now, there's no way of creating those monies. There was a lot of what I thought were good suggestions at the meeting. But after staff researched it, it was found that we couldn't use those suggestions, not legally. So we're stuck again that what we have here just wasn't working in many different ways. I feel for the Sorensens. I feel for any one that would have to go through something like this. These are members of our community and we should care about them and do everything possible to help them. I'm not sure what the answer is. We are inventing the wheel here. This has never been done before. Maybe there's other smaller things we can do to set in place in the future when there's disasters like this, city services can come in and be more forthcoming or helpful in situations like this. Lady falls down shoveling her walk. Fire department picks her up. A couple of firemen pitch in and they finish shoveling the walk for her. Police officer going on the street sees a young lad that has an accident with his bike. They pick the child up. They get him home. They take the bike with them instead of making their parents come and get them. There are things that this community can do. This city can do. I think we should research that going forward. But I can't support this either; the way it is set up and the way it's written. I've struggled with this. I've tried to see both sides of this coin. Argued it both sides. It just doesn't come up with a very viable solution that's gonna make anybody happy in the end. Thank you.

- [Jim] Thank you. speak to this. We're gonna vote. The motion on the floor is to receive and place on file. Alderman Johnson.

- I think there might be some people from the public here that wanna speak. I make a motion to open the floor.

- Second.

- Second.

- [Jim] Alright so there's a motion by Alderman Johnson second Alderman Steuer to open the floor to hear from interested parties. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nay, the ayes have it. Council right now is discussing the Report of the Finance Committee. Specifically item three, which is to approve an ordinance related and establishment a relief fund and associated funding sources. Anyone here would like to speak to this specific item? Does anyone want to talk? If you could state your name and address please.

- Sorry if I've put you on the spot, Brooke. It might have been presumptuous.

- I'm Brooke Sorenson. My address is 3152, St. Gregory Drive. So I'm sure you can all probably put together at this point that I am the flooded home. I guess I feel like I've been to way too many of these meetings now and I'm sick of just crying in front of everybody. How much it sucks, the situation we've been put in. But I guess the biggest point I wanna get across, I wish I had better answers too. I mean I've made lists. Not that any of my points are really that valuable as to where to get the funding from; but I guess at this point, being a year later, my biggest concern is my family's health. My family's health is deteriorating. In my opinion and I could be wrong but I feel like we pay this outside company to assess infrastructure of the city. It came back and nothing was done with it. You knew it was just a matter of time before this pipe broke and what are you going to do to fix it? It's been fixed five times. This has happened five times. What's it going to take before something is done about it? My daughter and my husband have both been in the hospital with health related issues due to this. Obviously it's probably mold in our home and we're still a year later, living in this home that's completely damaged. I don't know where to get the funding but I think the city needs to do something because all it's doing is... We're a young couple. I didn't grow up in Green Bay. I moved to Green Bay six years ago. Now

Minutes of the Common Council

that's my biggest regret. Why would I wanna go tell people that I care about, yeah I moved to the city because they'll have your back and help you through a tough time. I would never feel that way. I would completely probably tell them the opposite at this point in time. I think other cities have done a lot more to help people in situations like this and I think this city needs to do as well.

- Thank you. Anyone else wish to comment on this? Alright, motion by Alderman Steuer second Alderman Scannell to return to regular order. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nay, the ayes have it. We're back in regular session and Alderman Johnson, you have the floor.

- Alder Dorff, I know that you alluded... Is Cheryl here somewhere?

- [Barb] Yes.

- Oh, she's hiding in the back. I know you alluded to it and I don't know if you were going to get to it, but do you mind if I perhaps--

- [Barb] No, I would like you.

- Ask Cheryl some questions about some of the sources of funding that have previously been proposed. I know Cheryl you and I, and I've also talked to Director Ellenbecker as well about the use of neighborhood enhancement funds which of course would come from the state trust fund. Sounds like that may not be an option, but if you could perhaps just go over some of the suggestions that have been made about funding and what's possible and what's not possible.

- I think we got involved with this about a year ago because we had staff that actually went on site. I don't know Mrs. Sorenson. We have a rehab loan program, a block grant rehab loan program. If your income qualified, we actually can make those repairs to the home without having to look at the entirety of the home which some of the other programs. I think you got an application from us. I'm not sure if you returned that or not? I wish you would because if we can help you in that way, we certainly would. At least get it to the point

Minutes of the Common Council

where it's livable for them in a better condition. Our federal funding sources are block grant and our home funds are all income-qualified funding sources. Unfortunately when you're looking at this ordinance, this won't help the folks that aren't low to moderate income for the programs. Our neighborhood enhancement funds right now are funded through the state trust fund. We can't use the state trust fund for this type of purpose because it has to be a public served purpose. Neighborhood enhancement funds have been funded through other sources in the past. But right now it's state trust fund, so I would say no to that.

- [Brian] Cheryl, can I ask you a question on that point? So if we have a house that's declared uninhabitable, does that remove that house from the tax rolls?

- No, actually when you say uninhabitable, what you're really saying is the city is coming out and condemning it.

- [Brian] Right.

- That does not remove it from the tax rolls.

- [Brian] Does it devalue the property?

- Well I guess it would devalue it to the point that if you tried to sell a property that was condemned, there's.... You have to bring it out of condemnation and get it back to its full value.

- [Brian] Would that be considered a public good?

- Yeah, but so would if your roof is in bad shape and that's devaluing the property as well. That's a public good to fix up every house in the neighborhood. So I guess you have to draw a line somewhere.

- [Brian] If the neighborhood enhancement fund program though is to serve a public good, would that be considered a public good?

- Personally myself, I would not wanna make that argument to our bond council with regards to that being a public good 'cause it's a grant given to a private body. We don't own the house. Enhancement funds, if we buy a condemned house, we tear it down, we fix it up. The city owns it. Then we put it to better use. This is actually a grant to an individual person. So where would you draw the line then? I could make the argument on any number of cases that this property is in such bad shape, it's devaluing the neighborhood.

- [Brian] I'm not looking for where we draw the line. I'm looking for what our options are for funding such a program if this body were to say that we want to support that from a policy perspective.

- I guess that's the body's call. I would not support that as a staff person making the call through the state trust fund from what I've read through finance.

- [Brian] Okay.

- So we have looked at different funding sources, but unfortunately we're limited to what the regulations and guidelines are for the programs. So that's my answer I guess for funding sources for the city.

- [Brian] One more question for you, Cheryl. The State trust fund, is that the only way we've ever funded neighborhood enhancement funds?

- No.

- How else?

- Enhancement funds have been funded through the budget in the past I know. I'm not sure if we've bonded. We might have in the past for those. It's up to the council on how you fund the neighborhood enhancement funds. Right now it's state trust fund.

- [Brian] So bonding would have to be a public good? Is that a permissible use?

- Finance director question.

- [Brian] Okay.

- Sorry. I guess those are the answers with regards to funding. Any other questions?

- [Jim] Alderman Steuer, go ahead.

- Okay thank you, Cheryl. Cheryl.

- Yeah. Sorry, can you bring me my water. Go ahead.

- I'll talk to Alder Dorff later about this too. Right now, I just wanna know what your staff. Have you looked into this at all as far as other communities or what else was out there? 'Cause I heard Mrs. Sorenson talked about this. There doesn't seem to be anything else out there. We're kinda between a rock and a hard place on this. As far as a solution, there's gotta be something. I don't know.

- [Cheryl] I did not do the research on this with regards to other communities. I think maybe the law department did or Alder Dorff. I can tell you that as the city, we do have a resource. If you are in financial need, if you're low to moderate income, we have block grant dollars that can be used for these purposes. For emergency purposes to bring the house back out. So we do have it. But if you make too much money, you don't qualify.

- They made too much money for that?

- [Cheryl] Yup. I have no idea what the situation is with the Sorensens. I think an application might have gone out. I'm not sure. That's what I've been told for this program. If it hasn't, it needs to get into her hands so we can take a look at that.

- I'm just concerned that they haven't got all the information that they needed to get in order to make determinations.

- [Cheryl] I think our staff went out there. It's our understanding that the applications were provided. Now look, in the situation that they're in, who knows? It's a pile of paperwork and it gets set down. You know what I'm saying? I don't know what happened to it, but we have that program now that can be utilized. You have to qualify for it. We have to go through the HUD regs for that.

- What is the turnaround time normally on something like that if you fill out the paperwork?

- [Cheryl] It's pretty fast because we use it for emergency purposes. Like if somebody has a roof caving in for example, we push those to the top of the list.

- I'd like to ask Mrs. Sorenson then if I could. But I'm done right now, Cheryl. Thank you.

- Okay.

- [Jim] Anything else for Cheryl?

- Cheryl, on that particular fund that you're referring to, is there a cap on that?

- [Cheryl] Actually there isn't when it's emergency funding being used for block grant.

- So there's income qualification.

- [Cheryl] It's income qualification and we only use it to bring the property... We're not gonna go in and replace carpeting or those types of things. We get it to the point where the family can be in it safely and it's code compliant. We're not upgrading. It won't be back to the way it was originally. We're not rebuilding a house, but we're getting it safe for that family again to live in it.

- We're commingling two conversations here, which I'm okay with. One is obviously the ordinance; the other is how do we take care of this family? This family has done a lot of work to that home now since then out of their own pocket. Is that something that that fund can provide reimbursement for?

- No.

- So if their home is declared habitable now, it's too late for them to access that fund?

- [Cheryl] When we use the word habitable. It's kind of an unclear word. Because if it's uninhabitable, it's condemned. They shouldn't be living in the home if it's a condemned home 'cause that means it's unsafe. But if the results of this flood for example has damaged that lower level and there's mold or those types of things, yet the kitchen's fine, those are things that we can look at and go in there. If we need to make those immediate repairs because there may be some health issues because of that, we can look at doing that.

- Can that fund do a mold test?

- [Cheryl] I'm not sure if we do mold testing. But if there's mold there. You shouldn't have mold in a house. If you see it in the walls, you need to remediate it. It might not be the dangerous mold. That means there's water issues. We would try to fix that situation, whether it's foundation work, window leakage, or roof leakage, whatever that is.

- It sounds to me like there's some confusion about whether or not the Sorensons have actually been given that paperwork. I would just make one request of you personally, Cheryl.

- [Cheryl] Lemme guess what it is.

- Personally attend to the Sorenson family to ensure that they do have those.

- [Cheryl] That they get the applications form for the program.

- Provided the support that they need to properly fill them out to determine eligibility.

- Absolutely.

- Thank you.

- [Jim] Alderman Wery, did you have a question for Cheryl?

- No, not right now.

- Okay. Thank you. Taking a minute, where are you guys?

- Thank you, Mayor. Cheryl, I do have a question. I just want to preface it by saying I wasn't on the council when this event happened. I'm not on that committee, so I'm sort of piecing together information as I can gather it. I guess I'm a little disturbed by the low to moderate income statement. Who sets that? What governing body or grant funding?

- HUD.

- HUD.

- [Cheryl] Those are block grant incomes determined by county median incomes.

- What is that median income?

- [Cheryl] I don't have it off the top of my head.

- That's fine. The point I'm making is that to me, this seems very discriminatory that the government entity HUD would only allow this sort of relief funding for low and moderate income. Because when you think about it and I think this is the case that we could make, a government body should always think outside of the box of the bureaucracies, and I get that; and policies and procedures circling the wagon and doing what's in their best interests. I get that all, but I can only imagine just piecing together the situation, the number of hours this family have used to tend to the situation. The number of sick days or personal days or time off of work and the distress and worry that no doubt this has caused them. I guess my question to you as a city staff, how far can we push HUD given the situation that we can say okay, although they may not be this low to moderate income when the event happened, surely what has occurred since this event could qualify them if this affected their job and performance.

- [Cheryl] Your question is how far can we push HUD. I would ask back to you then how much do you like the money? Because it's a federal program with HUD rules. It's not a matter of pushing it; it's a matter of we have to operate under the rules that they give us with the money. It's a million and a half, a block grant. Part of that's a rehab loan program. Part of that's all the other things I talked to you about before. I wouldn't wanna push it in other words because that would get us in trouble with the federal government. Now that being said, I mean we can look at the income where it is today. We would not go back and look at the income where it was a year ago. So if this family's in a situation where their income now is less because of this compared to when this first happened, I mean we look at them today and we qualify them on their income today. If they qualify, we would put this loan together.

- [Jim] I'm not sure he was asking us to go outside the box of HUD. Were you? Because we can get Gallagher in here and talk about amending the communityblock grant funding, which actually was increased this year believe it or not under the administration.

- Sure.

- But the qualifications, if we get a time out from HUD, we're in jeopardy of losing all our money and playgrounds and everything. So when you meant push it, you mean amend it? That would take a Gallagher, Rod Johnson, Tammy Baldwin initiative to do that. That would not happen in the next 30 days.

- And again. Alder Dorff asked for the council for discussion; to me, it seems a little discriminatory that certain HUD... Then again outside of your ability to do anything perhaps. It just seems discriminatory that we would take the situation like this and because at that time of the event that their income was over a certain amount, that we would say we can't do anything. We're afraid to push. I just want us to think and I think everyone has. I'm late to the game on this, but it's a terrible, terrible situation and I wish there's a solution. I just don't like the screen of government. No, we just can't do it. I think we gotta figure something. I don't have the answers, but it's heartbreaking really. Thank you.

- Thank you, Cheryl. Okay, go ahead.

- Cheryl, I just wanted to ask. To your knowledge, what other departments? Inspection, Brown County Health? Who else has been over there that you know of?

- [Cheryl] I'm really not the person to ask 'cause my involvement has really been just through the inspections portions of it in the very beginning. I think there are probably other people here who know more details about that.

- I'd like to hear from them if there's anybody here that can answer that. I'd really like to know who's been over to their house and what they found et cetera. Is there anybody here?

- [Cheryl] You mean to the house? Inspection of the home, Alderman Steuer?

- Okay, I'll listen.

- I was there.

- You were there too?

- [Jim] Most people in this room were there.

- Mayor, maybe it's a silly question. I just wanted to know what departments, what offices, who came? Who came over there and looked at it? And helped or talked about it? Did anybody write anything up? I just wanna know.

- [Jim] Do you want to answer that? I think Alderperson Dorff went through the list.

- Should I speak?

- Yeah, go ahead.

- So I actually wrote down the steps I took that day. I first contacted the Department of Public Works and then got sent to Water Utility. I called water Utility and I spoke with Brian Powell. I was sent to legal. I talked with legal and I confirmed that the claims process is the only process the city will follow in a case like this. There was no help in the immediate future that was available. This is when I was trying to get help that day. I wanted help that day. Then I got permission to visit with a neighbor who was kind of leading the group and I visited with the Sorensens. I met with Brooke and Matt. I saw the water damage to the house. I delivered the bad news from the city and the Water Utility. Then I called the Red Cross, no answer. The voicemail was full. Salvation Army, left a voicemail. They said they'd get back to me in 48 hours. Crisis Center said no help was available. The Green Bay Police Department offered a one night voucher to the Vagabond Motel, but I wrote this. "There's no way I allow a mom and a little girl to stay there." Then I sincerely offered a room at the Holiday Inn, but Matt would not accept that. I get it; he's a very proud young man. But at least the PD offered something. Then I found out an inspector would be needed to allow the power to be turned on. Initially, the electrician was not coming until after four o'clock. I made four phone calls and got an inspector to agree to work late, which was great; the city did that. Then the electrician called and could come early. Then I got the plumbing inspector and the electric inspector to the house by two. Then Bill Pappy, who's the supervisor for the inspectors, also came out to the house. Then I got the approval for the power to be turned on when the electrician was done. After that, I called the District Police captain, Brad Florence, to come out and to add extra patrols to check other homes on the street in which elderly people might be living or which were vacant to ensure that there were no life and safety concerns. Some people may not even have known their basements were flooded. Then I called a friend who's very involved in charities. She made five phone calls. As a result, I tried to get them an emergency loan from both the city and the county services that were not directly under city control for which no interest would need to be paid. But the income level they had disqualified them and the level was very low to qualify. Then I called Mayor Schmitt. I asked him to come and see the family and look at the neighborhood, which he did. He contacted me and he will be looking into this more on Monday to see if there's anything more that can be done. I wrote this the day that this happened. I feel he'd be a great advocate because he saw for himself what the family was dealing with. I think that really helps put things into perspective for the entire neighborhood that was affected. Then I was continued to be in contact with City Council on the investigative process and I hope to get more answers by Monday. Then I tried to contact someone from St. Norbert College because they thought there might be funding up there. But that did not work out either. I planned a visit this morning, bring a little food, some comfort. That was the notes I took. I tried a lot of different things to help.

- Thank you Alder Dorff for that. I appreciate everything you've done on this. I don't know if this ordinance will work as is, but I think the council is very concerned about this. I mean it's a perfect storm if you will for what they have gone through. I'd actually like to know how much. I mean they had the list of the damage, but as far as how much money they've put into it as well. I'll probably have to call them back up to find that out. Cheryl, I appreciate your efforts too. I realize your hands are tied somewhat on some of this. But it doesn't pass the smell test for me either. I mean we gotta do something. I don't know what. Alder Galvin mentioned with finance. We only had \$17,000 that we could play with in our budget this year, so our hands are tied. It's

very difficult, but we gotta come up with something. I'll be willing to talk to any and all of you about this in depth after this meeting; very much so. That's all I have right now. Actually I would like to talk to

- I'd just like to add one thing with regards to the ordinance, the way that it's written. Right now the role that inspection would play in this ordinance is that we would have to send an inspector out to a property and basically condemn it. The problem with that is an inspector's gonna go out there and god forbid, they've got the situation in front of them with water damage and whatever that crisis is. But if there are other things wrong with the house, let's say there's something wrong with the porch. That's a safety issue or something else. They can't overlook that as an inspector going out there. Now we have inspectors going out to these families that are in crisis having to write up condemnation orders with a timeline and a list of orders. To me that doesn't seem like that's a good solution to the problem. I mean to use our inspectors in that capacity to make it uninhabitable officially and then have to put them through another timeline of processes. That does not make sense to me with regards to how this ordinance is set up. That's the concern I have with the way it exists now. So I would not I guess approve the ordinance the way it's written today.

- [Jim] Thank you. Alright. Did you want to speak, Alderman Wery? I just wanna say he motion on the floor is to receive and place on file item.

- Yup, appreciate that. Thank you. I wanted to thank Alderwoman Dorff for the work she did. She went I think above and beyond. That's an example of what the job takes. I wish could have found more. It is heartbreaking; I think a lot of people have said that. There were the two main issues really. One was the funding that we heard. It's not just a one time funding. It could be yearly funding if we have a multiple of these happening back to back. There's no guarantee that won't happen. Secondly, which was discussed at committee, was the legal aspect. Are we committing to helping people after we've run out of money? We might set up a fund of 100,000, 250,000 whatever it is. If there's multiple acts of god that year and you have two million dollars in claims, is it first come first serve? That's just a difference of five houses and 40. Are you legally committing towards funding them all somehow? The legal representationsaid they weren't sure because this hasn't occurred anywhere else. That's problematic. I do urge the public and private entities, nonprofit. Go check out GoFundMe; see if you can help. We are a caring community. There's no reason why we can't help here. I'll gladly post that on my Facebook too. If you can forward that to me, Alderwoman Dorff. Maybe we can all do that. This item might not be permanently dead. Maybe it's just on a hiatus until we can identify some funding sources or something else comes to light. I mean I'm pretty stubborn. I think most of the people here are pretty stubborn. I don't like to give up; I don't like to quit certainly. It's a horrible situation, but on this one, I don't see a clear path forward. I think for now, this is the only action we have available.

- [Jim] Alright, thank you. Alderman Johnson.

- I guess the thing that disturbs me most is we oftentimes sit here and we talk about the need to serve our residents and to help our residents in their greatest time of need. We literally turned our back. We gave them fines, which were later rescinded, for putting their water-soaked waste on the curb. How do we not have better customer service than that? How do we not treat our residents with greater dignity and respect? I

understand that maybe this doesn't pass the smell test. Maybe there's some issues here that we need to work out. But I think the thing that we can't forget is that we are here to serve the residents of our community and we're not doing that very well. We've listened to someone talk here today about how she can't in good faith tell people to move to the city of Green Bay and I can promise you that is not the first time I've heard someone tell me that. That should be discouraging to all of us. We have a problem in front of us that needs a solution and what we've had is a plan that's come before us that not even the staff who was asked to craft it can endorse. What that tells me is that we just haven't found the right solution yet. I refuse to say that this isn't it. We're gonna receive and place in a file and we're gonna make it go away. I refuse to accept that we cannot do better. So I'm gonna ask that if this isn't the solution, that we are not letting up on this. We are going to find a solution. This isn't about finding a solution for one family. This is about identifying a solution for our residents in need when we have failed infrastructure. We've recognized that; we've identified that. We need to invest in infrastructure. I think just about everyone at this table agrees that needs to be a huge priority for us over the next decade; probably if not the next generation. But what are we gonna do to take care of our people before then? Please think about this vote and please think about if you are going to vote in favor of receiving and placing a file, what are the next steps for us to ensure that we are taking care of our residents?

- [Jim] I don't think anyone said they don't want to. I think you're being a little critical. On referrals and petitions and communications, you can put in another one. But the motion right now is this is an ordinance relating to the establishment of relief fund and there's a motion and a second to receive this and place in file. No one said they want to turn their backs, so I wanna defend the council on that. Okay, anything else? We use the board on this. The motion and the second is to receive and place on file item three under the Finance Report. Alright and that passes on a vote of eight to four. Thank you. Okay, Chris.

- [Clerk] We're on to Item Q, Report of the Park Committee, January 9th, 2019.

- What are your wishes here? Alright there's a motion by Alderman Randy Scannell, second by Alderman Andy Nicholson to approve the Report of the Park Committee. That was for their meeting held on January 9th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? I see no lights. All in favor of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- All opposed nay, the ayes have it. That report's been approved.

- [Clerk] R, a report of the Personnel Committee. January eighth, 2019.

- It is the motion by Alderman Nicholson, second Alderman Scannell to approve the report R, which is a report of the Personnel Committee being held on January 8th. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of that, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nay; the ayes have it. That report's been approved.

- [Clerk] S, Report of the Sustainability Commission. December 12, 2018.

- There's a motion by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderperson Kathy Lefebvre to approve the report of the Sustainability Commission for their main held on December 12th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? See no lights. All in favor of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nay; the ayes have it. That report's been approved.

- [Clerk] T, Receive and place in file Municipal Court Report for November and December 2018 and the Building Permit Report for November and December 2018.

- We have a motion by Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderperson Kathy Lefebvre to receive and place on file the four reports stated by the clerk. Any discussion here? Hearing none, all in favor of that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nay; the ayes have it. That report's been received and placed on file, Item T.

- [Clerk] We're on to item U, Resolutions you made under suspension of the rules resolutions one through 10, together with

- There's a motion to suspend the rules by Alderman Scannell. You want to do that one separate six? Motion to suspend the rules by Alderman Scannell, second Alderman Wery. Okay, we'll get this on the floor. Yup, I'm going to do that but there's a motion by Alderman Scannell that we're to suspend the rules to take these together with the exception of item six. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nay; so I'll take a motion to adopt items one through five and seven through 10, noting the abstention from Alderman Wery on five. A vote of no. Okay, by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderperson Dorff. If you'll use the board on this. You are voting on items one through five and six through 10.

- Seven through 10.

- Seven through 10. That would be all of them, I guess. I got on that. So that passes unanimously with the notation of Alderman Wery's vote. What are your wishes regarding item six? There's motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Andy Nicholson. Alderperson Corpus-Dax.

- I'd like to run the resolution to include that it is the intent of the street vacation that all of the above described property be attached and become part of the tax partial number 8-391.

- [Jim] Motion by Alderperson Corpus-Dax, second by Scannell to amend item six as she stated to the clerk. All favor of the amended motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nay; and if you used the board on this, this is adopting any of the motion to adopt item six as amended by Corpus-Dax. Seconded by Alderman Scannell. Use the board on this. This is amended item six. Alderman Johnson, there you go. That passes unanimously.

- [Clerk] We're on to V. Referrals of petitions and communications. Referrals of petitions and communications.

- Late communications. We'll start with Alderman Chris Wery.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. I have two, both for improvement services. The first is discussion and action on future repairs or upgrades to Shunnell Avenue from Ashland to Oneida. The second one is a request the city take ownership and/or maintenance of the drainage pond serving Hannah Street.

- [Jim] Okay, thank you. Alderman Brian Johnson.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. This is crudely written because I just wrote it, but you'll get the gist of it. Refer to finance committee to create an emergency response plan for residents affected by failures in city owned infrastructure or assets for which inadequate means exist to rectify, which may or may not include ordinance changes, funding solutions or staff response time.

- [Jim] Anything else?

- Thank you, Mayor.

- Alderman Vander Leest.

- Request that staff create an area development plan for the acreage surrounding the properties generally west of I-41, southwest Mason. East of Hobart Drive and north of 9th Street, including a public connection at the Mason Street and Hinkley Street intersection.

- Okay, very good. Anyone else? I don't have any of their little lights on. Put that motion to refer all petitions and communications by Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderman Steuer. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nays; the ayes have it. The petitions and communications have been referred to the proper authorities.

- [Clerk] We're on to W, Ordinance of the First Reading. The first one is health. Because it was health. So we're on to item two. You may advance ordinance two to the second reading.

- Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderperson Corpus-Dax to advance item two to the second and final reading. All in favor of that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nay; the ayes have it. Alderman Scannell.

- [Veronica] Second.

- Seconded by Alderperson Corpus-Dax. Right, is there anything else? Okay, all in favor of adjournment, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nays; the ayes have it. So we're adjourned. When is the next meeting? We're good, the next meeting is Tuesday. February?

- [Clerk]email?

- Chris. Chris, is the next meeting the first Tuesday of February?

- It's February 5th.

- February 5th, and then the next meeting after. So the next meeting is February 5th right and then-- Right, we have two in February. so we will be meeting February 5th and then the 18th we're having... There's just one in February?

- One in February.

- Okay, it's the 18th? The 18th is a Monday because the election's on Tuesday. Okay, the next meeting he said is the 18th. Andy, do we have a meeting on the 4th or not?

- [Clerk] She's got it right here. So it's 5th and the 18th. Five and 18.

- We're meeting on February 5th. It's February 5th. You almost made me look stupid. We meet twice in February. I would totally agree with that. Absolutely.