



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

**TUESDAY, MARCH 5, 2019, 6:00 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
ROOM 203, CITY HALL**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Bill Galvin, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Barbara Dorff, Randy Scannell, Andy Nicholson, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

C. INVOCATION.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

I. Approval of the minutes of the February 18, 2019 meeting.

E. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve.

A notation was made to amend the agenda to take together P3 and M5.

Motion carried.

F. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

The Mayor thanked the community for making the pledges to the CP Telethon. The Mayor mentioned the US Champion Cheese Contest at Lambeau Field. The Mayor also mentioned the St. Patrick's Day event at Shopko Landing on March 17 at 9 a.m.

G. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

The Clerk stated in-person absentee voting starts Tuesday, March 12 in the Clerk's Office.

H. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

No one appeared to speak on the resolution or ordinances.

1. Resolution vacating a public service way located near North Adams Street and Northland Avenue.

2. General Ordinance No. 11-19

An ordinance amending Green Bay Municipal Code Sections 13-215, 13-1503(1)(a), 13-1507(1)(b)(7)(a), 13-1507(1)(b)(7)(b) and 13-1514 related to the Landmarks Commission.

3. Zoning Ordinance No. 1-19

An ordinance amending and superseding Zoning Ordinance 12-18: Planned Unit Development Located in the 200 Block of North Van Buren Street.

I. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to suspend the rules to adopt the ordinances 1-11 with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adopt. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson (Items 1-8, Items 10-11), Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre Abstain-Brian Johnson (Item 9)

1. General Ordinance No. 3-19

An ordinance amending Section 2.06(9), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to reference to committees.

2. General Ordinance No. 8-19

An ordinance amending Section 9.21, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to right-of-way excavation and occupancy.

3. General Ordinance No. 10-19

An ordinance amending Section 9.25, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to license for sidewalk builders.

4. General Ordinance No. 7-19

An ordinance amending Section 9.20, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to Tree and Brush Trimmer License required.

5. General Ordinance No. 9-19

An ordinance amending Section 9.24, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to construction and repair of streets and sidewalks.

6. General Ordinance No. 6-19

An ordinance amending Section 6.35, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to underground sprinkler systems.

7. General Ordinance No. 20-18

An ordinance amending Chapter 7, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to claims administration.

8. General Ordinance No. 11-19

An ordinance amending Green Bay Municipal Code Sections 13-215, 13-1503(1)(a), 13-1507(1)(b)(7)(a), 13-1507(1)(b)(7)(b) and 13-1514 related to the Landmarks Commission.

9. Zoning Ordinance No. 1-19

An ordinance amending and superseding Zoning Ordinance 12-18: Planned Unit Development Located in the 200 Block of North Van Buren Street.

10. General Ordinance No. 4-19

An ordinance amending Section 29.208, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to parking regulations.

11. General Ordinance No. 5-19

An ordinance amending Section 29.503, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to obstruction of crossing by trains.

J. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to suspend the rules to adopt resolutions 1 thru 6 with one call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to adopt. Motion carried. Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

1. Resolution drawing final orders to contractors for March 5, 2019.

2. Resolution authorizing transfer of 2019 budgeted revenues and expenses between accounts.

3. Resolution vacating a public service way located near North Adams Street and Northland Avenue.

4. Resolution cancelling certain property taxes.

5. Preliminary resolution declaring intent to exercise special assessment powers under Section 66.0703, Wisconsin Statutes, for the installation of concrete pavement.

6. Resolution vacating a portion of unimproved right-of-way located north of Deckner Avenue.

K. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (FEBRUARY 25, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve with the exception to Item 1 and 2. Motion carried.

1. To grant an available "Class B" Combination license to Zach's Action Pit Billiards Arena LLC at 1730 S. Ashland Ave. with a licensed premise description as "1st floor only-bar restaurant area, kitchen and back storage room," with the approval of the proper authorities. (Previously discussed at the January 7 Protection & Policy meeting & filed with the Clerk's Office on 12/12/2018).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve Item 1.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to amend Item 1 to allow an extension of issuance of the liquor license to June 30 with prorated fees starting April 15. Motion carried.

2. To refer to staff the request by Ald. VanderLeest stating: "Any vehicle stolen in City of Green Bay, Wisc. that the Green Bay Police Department recovers, the person owning the car or truck pays towing fee related to the vehicle. Fee paid to the City of Green Bay Police Dept. I do not recommend any fine imposed on the person who vehicle was stolen."

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve Item 2.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to receive and place on file Item 2. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer

3. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for February 25, 2019.

L. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

1. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses.

M. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (FEBRUARY 27, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception of Items 4 and 5. Motion carried.

1. To receive and place on file request by Ald. Steuer on the review of parking policies near the Brown County Courthouse, and have staff make an offer to Brown County for parking alternatives.

2. To approve the motion by Ald. Wery to direct staff to contact WisDOT regarding future repairs/upgrades to Shawano Avenue from Ashland to Oneida to add this section of roadway to the WisDOT 6-year program.

3. To receive and place on file request by Ald. Wery that the City take ownership and/or maintenance of the drainage pond serving Hannah Street.

4. To hold the Department of Public Works Capital Improvement Program for 2019.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 4. Motion carried.

5. To forward to Common Council with no recommendation on the review and award of the contract ELEVATOR MODERNIZATION GREEN BAY CITY HALL - REBID.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to amend Item 5 to award. Motion carried.

6. To approve application for Tree & Brush Trimmer License by Best Stump Grinding.

N. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (FEBRUARY 26, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve. Motion carried.

I. INFORMATIONAL: To proceed as directed in closed session regarding purchase of property at 227 N. Quincy St., 221 N. Quincy St., 624-626 Pine St., 618 Pine St., 616 Pine St., and 605 Cherry St.
Minutes of the Common Council

(Tax Parcels 11-156, 11-157, 11-158, 11-159, 11-160, and 11-191).

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

O. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (FEBRUARY 25, 2019).

I. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a shelter facility in an Office/Residential (OR) District located at 430 South Clay Street, subject to the following conditions:

- a. A maximum capacity of 46 individual residents within the shelter facility. Any increase in residents will require Plan Commission and Common Council approval.
- b. If the shelter facility use at 430 South Clay Street is discontinued, the operation is dissolved, or if the Transformation House no longer operates/manages the facility, the use shall terminate immediately. The use/operation shall not be renewed or reestablished without the Plan Commission and City Council review and approval.
- c. At the discretion of the Common Council, Plan Commission, or the Development Director a review may be required by the Plan Commission and Common Council to ensure compliance with this conditional use permit and identify any areas of concerns with the shelter facility, but not limited to:
 1. Police calls and other documented safety concerns.
 2. Inadequate facilities.
- d. A mandatory background check for all residents is required. Sex offenders required to register under §301.45, Wis. Stats., are prohibited from any stay at the facility.
- e. Conformance with the operating plan submitted by the applicant.
- f. Compliance with all other regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code.
- g. A site plan be reviewed and approved by the Community Development Review Team (CDRT).
- h. Tax Parcels 14-882 and 14-876 be combined into one parcel.
- i. The facility may conduct activities to serve residents and may occasionally conduct neighborhood outreach events on site but, shall not conduct an ongoing soup kitchen.
- j. The applicant shall submit a safety and security plan that includes exterior recording cameras, landscaping and lighting approved by the Green Bay Police Department.
- k. The Chief Building Official shall inspect the subject property for compliance with applicable building codes.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item I.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to close the floor. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to amend Item I to:

- 1) In Line D, add to the end, "Process to be approved by PD."
- 2) Add Line I -Have an onsite parking plan to make sure there is adequate parking.
- 3) All conditions need to be met before the CUP is issued by Common Council.

- 4) Strike operating a soup kitchen anywhere in the operating plan.
- 5) Have quarterly report on police calls given to the Common Council.

Motion carried.

Yes-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre No-Andy Nicholson

P. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (FEBRUARY 26, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception to Items 3 and 4. Motion carried.

1. To approve a resolution authorizing transfer of 2019 budgeted revenues and expenses between accounts.

2. To approve the request from Chief David Litton for an Intergovernmental Agreement between The City of Green Bay Metro Fire Department and the Bellevue Fire Department.

3. To approve the request to move forward with the City Hall elevator modernization and to bond for an additional \$570,000.00 in 2019 to pay for the remaining balance of the bid.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve Item 3. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette

4. To have staff proceed as directed in closed session on COGB v. Acting Midwest Regional Director, BIA, Docket Nos. IBIA 19-003, IBIA 19-013, and IBIA 19-014.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 4.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to go into closed session at 8:22 p.m.
Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Andy Nicholson, Kathy Lefebvre

Ald. Jesse Brunette read the closed session language.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to return to regular order of business at 8:51 p.m. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to contest the 5 properties in Item 4. Motion tied 6-6. Mayor broke the tie with a yes vote. Motion carried.

Yes-Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer No-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

Q. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (FEBRUARY 27, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve with the exception to Item 3. Motion carried.

1. To approve the purchase of a Walk-in Freezer including installation for the Wildlife Sanctuary from John's Refrigeration for \$25,656.

2. To approve hiring Stantec to provide engineering services for the East River Trail/Main Street Plaza and Saint George Street canoe/kayak launch for a total cost of \$83,400.

3. To approve a donation from the Redevelopment Authority of Parcel 5-1150 (236 Gray Street) to the City of Green Bay for the expansion of Fisk Park.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 3. Motion carried.

4. To receive and place on file the staff update on the fundraising efforts for the 9-11 Memorial.

R. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (FEBRUARY 26, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve request to fill the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

a. Electrician - Public Works

b. Park Maintenance Worker - Parks, Recreation & Forestry

2. Approve the amendment of City of Green Bay Personnel Policy Chapter 9, Hours of Work and Fringe Benefits, Section 9.12, Holidays, to clarify that an employee must be on an authorized paid leave to be eligible for the holiday pay if unable to work the scheduled day preceding and the day immediately following the holiday.

3. Approve request to adopt the 2019 - 2021 labor agreement between the City of Green Bay and Amalgamated Transit Union, Local Division 857, Bus Operators.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to § 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating public employee contracts for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to § 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

S. REPORT OF THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMISSION (FEBRUARY 27, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve with the exception of Item 2. Motion carried.

1. To approve the Green Bay Public Arts Commission funding strategy and continue to operate as normal.

2. To approve \$300 in Annual Grant funds to the Boys and Girls Club for the 2019 Dragon Boat Races.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve Item 2. Motion carried.

3. To approve \$300 of Annual Grant funding to UntitledTown.

4. To approve the "Call for Artists" for the Military Avenue Business District extension of the pilot utility box art program.

5. To approve the creation and establishment of a pilot program entitled "Sidewalk Poetry".

T. REPORT OF THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION (FEBRUARY 13, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

I. To amend as discussed the Sustainability Commission's Renewable Energy Work Plan.

U. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to refer the petitions and communications to the appropriate Committee or Commission. Motion carried.

A request by Ald. Mark Steuer to the Protection & Policy Committee to have the Police Department report on strategies and resources dealing with the human trafficking issue in Green Bay.

A request by Ald. Mark Steuer to Community Development to report out on the number of units of housing in Green Bay, with respect to SF (Single Family), 2F (Two Family), and MF (Multi-Family) and what percentages of total housing in Green Bay that they represent.

A request by Ald. Mark Steuer to the Landmarks Commission to report on the status of the City of Green Bay attaining "Certified Local Government (CLG)" Status.

A request by Ald. Mark Steuer to the Water Utility to report out on payment scenarios for water bills to apartment renters.

A request by Ald. John VanderLeest to The Sustainability Commission to request that the City of Green Bay Sustainability Commission Renewable Energy work plan be referred to the Finance Committee and Finance Director to review cost estimates associated with this work plan. Renewable energy is very expensive so having a transition plan to move toward renewable energy for the City of Green Bay will require large capital budget requests at a time when the City can't afford these costs.

V. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to adjourn at 9:01 p.m.

Kris A. Teske

Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at greenbaywi.gov

VERBATIM MINUTES

- All right, good evening. I'd like to call to order the meeting of the common council for Tuesday, March 5th.

- [Clerk] 12 are present, your honor.

- All right, very good, we're all here. Obviously, you have a quorum. Let's now please rise for the pledge of allegiance. And then remain standing for the invocation.

- [All] I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

- Heavenly Father, tonight we as a city council gather to lift the lives of those who call Green Bay home. We ask for your help in making decisions to help our fellow neighbors. We pray for wisdom, for patience and for compassion, as we work together to build a better community. We know there a lot of those who go without proper shelter, without adequate employment and without hope. Let's us, the city leaders, work together, come together to offer a community of hope and prosperity. By having faith in each other and having a faith in you, we can build such a community. Bless all these who work to make Green Bay a special place. And in this month of March, we ask your special blessing on the Irish and all of us who wish we were. As always, we ask you watch over all our military personnel, especially those serving on the USS Green Bay. We ask this in your name, amen.

- [All] Amen.

- All right, with that, I'll entertain a motion to approve the minutes of the February 18th meeting. We have a motion by Alderman Nicholson and that was second by Alderman Scannell to approve the minutes for the meeting held on February 18th. Anything here you wanna change, any corrections? Seeing no lights on in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed, nay. The ayes have it. The minutes have been approved. And I'll look for a motion to approve the agenda.

- [Scannell] Move to approved.
Minutes of the Common Council

- The motion to approve the agenda by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderperson Dorff. Alderman Scannell, I know you asked that we take the report of number three financing in--

- [Scannell] Number five, sir.

- So we'll take those two items together, they're both on the elevator, parks and finance. So, we'll just make that notation on the agenda. Is there anything else? With that notation, all in favor of the agenda as presented, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed, nays, ayes have it and the agenda's been approved.

- [Clerk] Report by the mayor.

- Just three things, one is I wanna just thank this community and then all of you with a CP Telethon this weekend, right? And that's been going on for, I don't know how many years, but many of us have been working that for many, many years. And thanks for calling in. That can be tough when your phone's not ringing. So that's why I was emailing you and hounding you and thank you for calling and making your pledges. And I know they hit their goal and it was a successful weekend. Secondly, I just wanna mention, I mean, there's so much going on with conferences and events this week in Green Bay but the US Champion Cheese Contests out at Lambeau and that is a fun event. There are cheese makers from all over who have the latest and greatest and the best cheeses and you get samples and you get to vote and it's just nice as an Alderman, Alderperson or as the mayor to just go out and welcome them. They're here for four days and they come every couple years and they're excited to be here and I'm very excited that they're here. And then just lastly, Sunday, March 17th is St. Patrick's Day and of course we'll have an event here where we meet downtown at the CityDeck, the Shopko Landing at nine o'clock. You'll have an event, have kind of a march and end up at St. Brendan, so I just encourage you to bring your kids and friends and family down there March 17th. And that would conclude my report.

- [Clerk] We're onto announcements.

- Okay. We'll begin with Alderperson Barb Dorff.

Minutes of the Common Council

- Thank you, I just wanted to read the clarification on the agenda. It would be item five in improvement and services, not parks.

- [Mayor] Oh, I'm sorry.

- Okay, I just wanna make sure that-- sorry about that.

- Thanks.

- [Mayor] Alderperson Lefebvre, announcements.

- Yes, I just wanted to bring up, as you mentioned, the National Cheese Conference at Lambeau, I just wanted to do a little education. There was a study done by, I can't remember if it was Minnesota or Madison, they did a study on why our cheddar cheese wins the National Competition. And the reason is because of the grass that we grow here in Wisconsin, only grows in Wisconsin, it's cold weather grass. And if we lose our dairies that actually feed pasture on this grass then we're gonna lose that competition. This is something big, I think, we should be aware of this. So all the mega farms, we have to watch that issue, big time, thank you.

- [Mayor] That's a win, thank you.

- That's right, you like your cheese?

- [Mayor] Alderman Scannell, announcements.

- Yep, it's that time of year again, St. Baldrick's just around the corner. My wife can't wait.

- [Mayor] You're working the mayor.

- Yeah, I know it's a very hairy subject, I'm about to lose it and I would appreciate all my fellow alders digging just a little deeply into their pockets and sharing to help the cause for Children's Cancer Foundation, please, thank you, bye.

- Do we give it to you?

- Mm-hm.

- [Mayor] Okay, Chris, do you have any announcements?

- [Clerk] I do, I just want to let everyone know that in-person absentee voting starts Tuesday, March 12th in the clerk's office.

- All right, let's continue.

- [Clerk] Round two, public hearings. Resolution vacating a public service way located near North Adams Street and Northland Avenue. The second one, general ordinance number 11-19, in ordinance amending Green Bay municipal code section 13-215, 13-15031A1315071B7A, 1315071B7B and 13-1514 related to the Landmarks Commission. And number three is zoning ordinance number 1-19 and ordinance amending and superseding zoning ordinance 12-18, plan unit development located in the 200 block of North Van Buren Street.

- All right, thank you. So is anyone here from the public who would like to speak on any of these three specific items that the clerk just stated? The resolution of North Adams, the general ordinance 11-19 or general ordinance 1-19, anyone wishing to speak to one of those three things? Anyone wishing to be heard? Then we'll let the record show no one came forward.

- [Chris] We're onto ordinance, a second reading for adoption, you may under suspension of the rules take up items 1-12 with a roll call vote.

- [Scannell] To suspend.
Minutes of the Common Council

- All right, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderperson Kathy Lefebvre to suspend the rules for that purpose, all in favor of suspension, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposing nays, ayes have it, the rules are suspended.

- [Woman] Lefebvre.

- Motion to adopt.

- [Man] This is our first meeting today.

- Ordinance, the second reading for adoption. Motion to adopt by Alderman Steuer, second by Alderperson Dorff. If you'd please use the board.

- [Johnson] Mr. Mayor?

- Yes, sir?

- [Johnson] Just to be recorded as abstaining from item nine.

- Okay. All right, very good. And those pass unanimously, noting the abstention by Alderman Johnson on item nine.

- [Clerk] We're onto resolutions, you may under suspension of the rules, adopt resolutions one through six together with one roll call vote.

- [Scannell] Suspend.

- So motion to suspend by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Stevens. To suspend the rules for that purpose, all in favor of suspension, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposing nays, ayes have it, the rules are now suspended.

- [Johnson] Motion to adopt.

- Motion to adopt by Alderman Johnson, second by Alderman Corpus-Dax, this is item J in your report, resolutions one through six. Mm-hm?

- [Man] That just said six and five, they got these two switched, just a typo.

- [Woman] Oh yeah, I didn't even catch that.

- [Man] Yeah, that's just clerical.

- [Woman] I remember earlier sixes before that.

- I'm getting lights.

- [Woman] Going forward?

- Yeah, let's do it.

- It's been released if you, you did? I see that now. All right, thank you and those pass unanimously.

- [Clerk] We're onto report of the Protection and Policy Committee, February 25, 2019.

- All right, what are your wishes regarding the report of this committee's meeting, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Galvin] Second.

- Second by Alderman Galvin to approve the report of the Protection and Policy Committee for the meeting held on February, March 25th. Are there any items in this report you wish to handle separately?

- [Man] Number one.

- Number one.

- [Man] Two.

- And number two. Okay, hearing none others favor the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nays, Item three has been approved. Now what are your wishes regarding item one?
- [Scannell] Motion to approve.
- There's a motion to approve by Alderman Scannell.
- [Stevens] Second.
- Second by Alderman Stevens. Alderman Scannell.
- Yes, I would like to amend this to grant an extension. If we grant this license now, there are 60 days in which it needs to be, the license activated or the business opened and that'd make it May 4th. The business isn't quite ready until June 30, so I'm asking that we extend the period to June 30th of 2019--
- [Man] Second.
- For them to get their license.
- [Woman] They have support.
- [Mayor] All right, so there's a motion and a second to amend this. Tell me, where do I write that? To grant, you're on item one.
- Yep, uh.
- [Mayor] Okay, to extend their available license, is that?

- To grant and extend an available license.

- [Woman] Can be approved or denied.

- To grant and extend until June 30th, an available class B license.

- [JiMayorm] Okay, fine. All right, so there's an amendment on the floor right now and it's to amend item one, instead of to grant the available licenses to grant and extend until June 1.

- 30th, 30th.

- [Mayor] June 30th. Okay.

- May 19th.

- [Mayor] Yeah, yeah, I got it, I got it, yeah. Okay. And if it's not ready by then it goes back into the queue.

- Mm-hm.

- Vanessa, our city attorney, would you like to speak on that?

- We're just trying to figure out the logistics of it, because of the timing of the way that it's structured for when they pay for it. So we don't normally do this, usually they get it and then they come back for the extension. And so that's what we're trying to figure out right now, is if it's gonna affect anything. So just give us a second.

- [Mayor] Okay, all right. Then we'll take the amendment, then we'll adopt it as amended, but let them figure that out. All right.

- So the way it's structured right now, if we don't grant it, if we grant it now with the extension, then they will be paying, how much is it? Like, \$10? It gets prorated, and so, what we would be doing is holding up a license, not receiving any fee for this year, just a very small portion, because it would be like one day or a couple days that they would be getting on this license. And then next year is when their actual payment would start. So that's the issue we're running into.

- [Man] I'm just.

- [Vanessa] Yeah it could be. So, what Chris is saying is that the renewal is going out this Friday, it's due April 15th. So that's why that that timing's kinda messing up the proration of the fee. So, I don't know what this council wants to do about that, or, if anything.

- [Man] Well I'm going on legal's advice. I got a email from Kristen that this was what she asked for me to do, so I don't know whatever advice you think we should follow.

- [Vanessa] Yeah, no, you can do it. There's no problem with doing this, I just don't know what you want to do as far as the fee goes, which is what the clerk handles.

- [Man] Oh, if they need to pay more I don't think it's that substantial amount where it's gonna be an issue. I mean they've, Kristen, I've spoke to them. I don't know if she mentioned the fee, but they're on board with this. Charlie, you talkin' how much, \$10?

- [Vanessa] Well when I talk to Kristen, she talked about, we had talked to her about prorating from April 15th to June 30th for the original license. Because the renewal is due April 15th, so he should an original. She told me she was gonna discuss that with him, I don't know if she did or not.

- [Man] I don't know either.

- [Vanessa] So we would rather charge from April 15th to June 30th for the original license. Because we went through this whole process with it, and then the renewal and going through the whole process again. So, you know, I'll admin time and everything.

- [Mayor] I'm willing if he wants to extend it until June 30th with appropriate fees?

- [Vanessa] Prorated as of--

- [Mayor] You can prorate it, I mean we'll be fair but.

- [Man] Yeah.

- [Mayor] All right so that's the amendment that's on the floor right now, I don't see any lights speaking to this. So is a motion a second to amend item K. All in favor of the amendment please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nay, ayes have it, that's amended now to be extended to January 30th with appropriate fees prorated. Now a motion to adopt as amended Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderperson Dorff. All in in favor of that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nays. Item K item one under report K has been adopted as amended. What are your wishes regarding item two?

- [Scannell] Motion to approve. It's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Steuer] Second.

- Second by Alderman Steuer to approve item two which is the refer to staff request by Alderman Vanderleest. Alderman Johnson?

- [Alderman Johnson] I correct me if I'm wrong but I think I can I can do a motion to receive in place and file. I mean can that trump Alderman Scannell's motion to approve? Is that the proper procedure?

- [Mayor] No, you've got the floor if you want to amend this to receive and place and file in file, go ahead.

- Yeah I guess that's the motion, it's receive and place in file I think I've heard enough from various constituents in my district they do not support a fee to pay I guess when they're when their vehicle's been stolen they don't support paying a fee to recover their vehicle as a victim of a crime and so I just don't feel the need to see this continue through the committee process, I would just move that we receive in place and file.

- [Man] Second that.

- [Mayor] All right so there's a motion and second on the floor to amend item two instead of referred to staff the request is to receive and place on file. You saying anything else Alderman Johnson?

- No.

- [Mayor] Alderperson LeFebvre.

- Can I ask the chief a question on this?

- [Mayor] Sure.

- Of the cars that were stolen with their keys in, how many were in their own driveway?

- Of the 14 cars that were stolen so far this year since January 1st that had their keys in them out of the 24 total that were stolen, 10 of them were stolen from a public area, four of them were stolen from the owners' private property.

- [LeFebvre] Okay, because I did have someone I only had I think one person to my district contact me and he was concerned about it because he said he has an infant and they like to warm the car up before they put the child in the car. Well it's really cold it's true but I'm not in support of no fee. I'm sorry I shouldn't say this if you're dumb enough to leave your keys in your car you're only asking for it. I mean there are ways that you can go around that you can have a starter that you can start your car and it's locked no keys in it. And then you go and open up your car. I'm not in support of dropping the fees. This is causing the police a lot of extra time and right now they're down on officers, they don't have time to be spending on some things that people should be aware of that they shouldn't be doing, that's my opinion sorry.

- [Mayor] All right, thank you. Again the the motion of course to receive and place is second on file. Alderperson Dorff, she can read.

- So thank you, Your Honor. I would be against receive and place in file. I think some inaccurate information was conveyed at the last meeting, and it's car theft is not on the decline at all and we're getting notices from the police department this week like on a daily basis almost that another yet another car is stolen by a juvenile with the keys in the ignition. The ordinance never talked about private property never did. You weren't gonna get a fine if it wasn't on private property, you would get a fine it was on public property so you can still warm up a car from in your driveway. So I'm going to be voting against receiving and place on file because I think that there should be some consequence attached to people choosing to leave their keys in the car for that little extra bit of warmth and then causing all kinds of concerns for the police, thank you.

- [Man] Can we have clarification mayor?

- [Mayor] Yeah.

- [Man] We're actually discussing right now whether or not someone should pay towing fees related to the recovery of their vehicle not the original ordinance that was proposed a fine if your vehicle's stolen. There's a there's a difference there and so I just want to be sure that we're clear about that.

- Thank you.

- [Mayor] The motion on the floor.

- Okay thank you for that clarification.

- [Mayor] Is to receive and place this item.

- Can I ask one more question?

- [Mayor] There's a lot in this item but it you're right.

- You're right okay. So where is that other motion, what happened to that other motion I forgot where it went.

- [Man] It got received and placed on file.

- Oh okay, so.

- [Man] This is about a point of clarification. This about a stolen car, any stolen car, any stolen car you would pay a fee, the towing fee. That's what this motion is. That's what this communication is, it has never been about keys.

- Nevermind, I'll go back to, I will support the motion to receive this and place this on file, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alderman Vanderleest.

- Thank you Mayor. I don't think that the fee that we're asking the recover the towing fee I don't think it's out of line. The Green Bay Police Department is paying that fee. and the person that had the car stolen, I think they'd be happy to pay the fee to get their car back. If you had your car stolen Milwaukee or Chicago you'd probably pay \$300 to get your car back, or more. You'd pay dearly. We're not charging the public anything, and all I'm asking is that the towing fee gets refurbished to the Green Bay Police Department for the cost of the towing and you get your car back. We're not asking for no fines or anything, I'm not supporting any fines. All I'm asking for is the the small fee that's involved with the towing and that person that if the Green Bay

Police recover your car, you should be happy to pay your towing fee and get your car back. I've talked to a number of my constituents and they said they don't support any fine, and they would be happy to take the pay for the towing fee to get their car back. It's quite stressful if your car's taken. And this is my.

- [Mayor] That was your motion.

- Yes it was, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alderman Johnson made a motion to receive and place that on file so it wouldn't go anywhere. So that's what we're discussing. But you support.

- I support.

- [Mayor] It moving ahead.

- Receive and place on file.

- [Mayor] Right, yes sir. You guys wanna clear your board, take your light off? Alderman Scannell?

- Yes I support receiving a place and file. When we had that original motion communication just about keys being left in a car running and getting the car running and stolen, to me it made sense to have some sort of consequence and we couldn't make that one a towing fee because you wouldn't be able to differentiate between those who had keys in their cars and those who didn't. It'd be too difficult to enforce, so the only way to do anything was to have a fine. Which I thought what's the difference between a fine or towing fee you're paying money either way. And the problem with that particular communication was that everyone thought we're hitting up the victim again. And certainly this does the same thing only it even hits those who through no fault of their own have had their car stolen, they haven't left their keys in their car but their car got stolen, and now we're gonna make them a victim again by making them pay the tow fee. So I could support a small fine if we're talking about someone who left their keys in their car. But to just do it blanket for everybody and make those who have had their car stolen another victim to pay this this, this is not something we've done in Green Bay we're not Chicago. And to victimize the victim again, I'm just not favoring that. So I support receiving and place on file, thank you.

- [Mayor] All right, thank you. Alderman Galvin.

- Thank your honor. I have some questions for the police chief. Chief when a vehicle is recovered, if I remember correctly the vehicle is normally towed to the police department for evidence processing?

- Yes sir, generally we try to get it back to the owner as quickly as possible. If there's a reason to process beside it being a straight stolen we would bring it back to the to the shop for processing, that's about a \$25 fee that heavy-duty tow charges us. If we can, though, and there's no other circumstances, we like to give it back to the owner in the field. If we can call the owner on the phone, have them come and pick it up it'll save them the towing and any other charge after that.

- [Galvin] So I mean when that vehicle is recovered at the scene and we wanna process it for evidence we're not gonna have them go to the scene and pick it? If we get the suspect in the car there's no need to process it, right?

- Correct.

- [Galvin] So we take it to the PD we're paying about \$25. They can't come to the PD and pick it up so we have to get it out of there. So we pay to have it towed, the heavy duty then?

- It's my understanding that that is the case, yeah the \$25 I think it's the 25 to get it to the station. We make every effort to try and get the victim to bring it there unless it's not serviceable, then heavy duty will take it and tow it, and if they impound it and it stays overnight then of course I'll have to pay the the storage fees.

- [Galvin] So we pay the storage fee also?

- I believe so okay.

- [Galvin] Okay and what is the storage fee currently?

- I don't know exactly how much it is, sorry.
- [Galvin] Do we get a better rate than the common citizen? Like \$25 bucks is pretty inexpensive, right?
- \$25 is a very great rate to get for towing.
- [Man] I think the common citizen pays quite a bit more, correct?
- Right.
- [Man] Okay. We're not incurring a ton of money.
- We figured it was in the total year for all the vehicles that we towed it's about \$2,500 for last year.
- [Galvin] And that was what about 80 to 100 cars?
- Yeah about 80 to 100 cars were stolen, some of those released in the field, some of those were towed back for processing so we figured the total cost for us last year was about \$2,500.
- [Galvin] Okay and the city does charge, like the fire department charges for rescue squad rides. But in that case a lot of that is covered by insurance or Medicaid, Medicare and that kind of thing. These kind of things aren't covered as I understand it.
- Correct the city does not get reimbursed for that.
- [Galvin] So for a true victim I can't endorse either hitting them up with the towing and and fees that are related that. So I'm in favor of receiving and placing on file also, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. All right we're gonna use the board on this. So the motion on the floor is to receive and place on file, therefore taking no action on item two. So a yes vote receives and places item on file. A no vote we would continue. Okay that vote passes on a vote of nine to three. So that item has been received and placed on file. And clerk will continue.

- [Clerk] Report of the protection of policy committee granting operator licenses.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Lefebvre] Second.

- [Mayor] All right there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Lefebvre to approve the report item L, the report of the protection policy committee granting operating licenses. Are their names in this report you wish to pull separately or abstain from? And seeing no lights, all in favor of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- [Mayor] And those opposed nays the ayes have it and report L has been approved.

- [Clerk] Report of the Improvement Service Committee February 27, 2019.

- [Scannell] Approved.

- All right, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Dorff] Second.

- Second by Alderperson Dorff to approve report M. The report of the Improvement Service Committee that was for the meeting held on February 27th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Okay hearing none others, all in favor of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nays. All items under the report of Improvement Services Committee have been approved with the exception of item item four and five. What are your wishes regarding item four?

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderperson Dorff. Alderperson Dorff did pull this one.

- Yes, thank you Your Honor. I spent some hours watching the improvement in services meeting because I couldn't attend it and I felt that director Grenier gave a very good explanation, a well informed explanation of his bonding and the projects that he planned to do, and I am not understanding why that needed to be held. And so I'd like to ask director Grenier to talk a little bit about what the plan was and what are what are his thoughts on the consequences of holding this.

- [Mayor] So director Grenier we're on the committee report, item four was to hold the Department of Public Works capital improvement program for 2019 the Alderperson would like to know your thoughts on that and a little bit more about it.

- Well obviously we brought forward the plan that we were prepared to deliver this here. As far as impacts not having an approved program kind of stops us from bidding any additional projects. So we can't bid anything out until we have an approved program so we know what we're bidding.

- [Dorff] What's the timeline? This needs to go to finance I think next week.

- Not necessarily subsequent to the meeting last week. What we found out is that the bond request is not going to be going to finance committee until after they mayoral election.

- [Dorff] So you you feel there's time?

- For the bond request, yes, but we would like to know what program we are delivering.

- [Dorff] So that you know what to prepare for.

- Well if we don't have an approved program I can't put projects out for bid right now.

- [Dorff] So then I guess my next question is just to the the committee. I listened, I watched it, it seemed like a really good plan. What were the concerns? And I'm hoping that Alder Nicholson and Alder Wery and I think Alder Johnson were at that committee meeting. Maybe you could give a little better explanation as to why you wanted this to be held, thank you.

- [Mayor] That's up to you guys, you wanna comment on that. Alderman Nicholson?

- I have a special meeting for Thursday to address the questions of of the committee. There was a couple areas in the city of Green Bay that myself and the committee thought should have some attention that was not on the capital improvement program but we have a special meeting to address that and discuss that on Thursday so we can send it the finance next week.

- [Mayor] Thank you, yeah okay. So take this up next Thursday. Alderman Wery do you want to comment on this?

- Yeah thank you Mr. Mayor, as the chairman said we're meeting in two days to discuss this. I think the entire committee voted in favor. There was a half million dollars from the wheel tax put towards program expansion slash reduction and borrowing. And we felt that that money could be better used perhaps on adding another street to get done. I think we all desired that the wheel tax we expand our program and we wanted to see what options, is there some low-hanging fruit that we can add another street, maybe address some flooding on the east side that we saw so we just want to look at some options and cost estimates when we're doing that in two days, so thanks.

Minutes of the Common Council

- [Mayor] Thank you. All right so the the motion on the floor is to hold. I don't see any other lights. All in favor that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. All right, Alderman Galvin this is on to hold.

- All right, so Steven if I understood you correctly, holding this will not hurt any of the projects that you're planning on using this money towards, unless that money is cut, is that correct? I mean if it's two weeks, four weeks, is that going to hurt any of those projects?

- [Grenier] The only thing that I do not have contracts or bid contracts that are listed within that plan. We have certain projects within the plan that we are pushed up against the construction cycle for already. It has no impact on the bonding, but I mentioned this to Alderman Nicholson earlier today. We have no additional information that's going to come forward Thursday night beyond what we had last Wednesday. We have not pulled those estimates together, staff is still working on them.

- [Galvin] Are you gonna be able to have them by Thursday?

- No we will not.

- [Galvin] So the meeting on Thursday is gonna be a waste of time?

- I'm not gonna say that.

- [Galvin] Okay I'm sorry, I apologize. Are they gonna be able to accomplish anything without that information?

- That's up to the committee, it's not up to me.

- [Galvin] All right, my concern is I have a situation in my district with pumps and flooding and I've had neighbors that have had their homes flooded, and part of this bonding is going to allow a permanent pump to be placed in that neighborhood. My concern is as it actually looks like we're gonna have some weather that might actually melt snow here for once, that those people's homes are gonna be at risk again and I know you have a plan in place but I'm sure they would sleep easier at night knowing that there's a permanent plan and a process in going on and I'm afraid that if this whole thing gets, held up for a few items that again their homes are going to be at risk and I'm wondering if it's possible to pull the ones that we're concerned about and pass the ones were not. And I don't know if that's possible, I'm just asking.

- [Man] Holding anything up Bill, we're asking for two days. That's not gonna hold any project up, two days? Well it still has to go to finance on Monday. We're just having a meeting to try to add to it, to the to the program maybe, we just need more discussion. Two days? I mean this isn't gonna be held up for three to four weeks, Bill, we're not doing that. In two days we're gonna pass something.

- [Galvin] So if Steve doesn't have the information for you, you will still pass something on on Thursday?

- [Man] We're gonna discuss that then, I'm not gonna discuss something over the phone. I want a meeting, okay, that's why I'm calling a special meeting. And we discussed it at the meeting last week. And we're gonna address Mr. Rudy's situation also. But we're not gonna hold anything up, we're asking for two days. We're gonna get something to finance for next week, nothing's gonna be held up. Bonding,, bidding nothing okay?

- [Mayor] All right yep thank you. Don't forget about that issue you have in your neighborhood on the Spring Street either. Just going on record. All right, we've moved item three over, item five over to item free of finance, so. Yeah? No your light wasn't on.

- Oh okay I'm not sure why. But I don't I just want a little clarification on something director Grenier said, and perhaps the question could be answered by you. He made the comment that the work will go through after the mayoral election. I don't understand that comment.

- [Mayor] The bonding is same thing happened in 2002. There's a bonding that takes place and you know March, April, May and look. Paul waited for me and we're gonna wait for the next mayor to sign off on the bonding. So it'd take place in May.

- You said that's common practice that I mean you've been here since the city charter was created basically.

- [Mayor] Did you hear that? We've bonded when's the latest we've bonded? We were bonded in June, we for sure have bonded in May. Have we bonded in June? Yeah it's that time frame.

- Okay, thank you for the clarification, I just don't want to send the impression that we're grinding to a halt just to elect a new mayor. You know we are all elected, you're elected, and and I don't want that to be the reason why.

- [Mayor] We have bonded in June already so this is going to be a May bonding which has happened many, many times in this city.

- Okay, thank you.

- [Mayor] The Charter, okay. So item five has been moved to item three under finance because that is a an expense item so we're on to report N, and what are your wishes regarding report N? Okay all right let's vote on four is to hold. All right there's a motion and a second to approve item four? Under the report of the Improvement Service Committee. All in favor that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. And those opposed nay, the ayes have it. Five we moved to three. All right, we're on to report N the report of the Redevelopment Authority. What are your wishes regarding this report? There a motion by Alderperson Dorff, second by Alderman Nicholson to approve report N, the report of the Redevelopment Authority. Discussion here? No I just said that it's the third time I've said this. Third time. I've said we move that to finance with item three because it's a financial matter. Why would you take up the finance under INS, it's a financial matter it's bonding that's why we moved it to finance. All right so there's a motion, a second to approve report N and see no lights all in favor please signify by saying aye

- [All] Aye. And those opposed nay, the ayes have it and that reports been approved.

- [Clerk] Report of the Planning Commission February 25, 2019.

- All right there's a motion by Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderperson Dorff to approve report all which is the report of the plan commission meeting that was for their meeting held on February 25th. Yes, there's only one item on here so discussion under this report, item one is the only one on here. We've got quite a few people who want to speak as well. So aldermen so if you want to work that into when your remarks so I will give you the floor. So we have a motion and second to approve the report of the plan commission the only

item on that report is the authorization conditional use permit the CUP for the shelter on 430 Clay Street. Alderman Galvin we'll start with you.

- Thank you, your honor. I apologize it seems like if I'm beating a dead horse here. But I think this is an important item that faces not only my district but the rest of the city. Moving forward I think we need to start addressing these kind of issues with these CUPS. And my concern is that with the last Planning Commission meeting the committee was very polite, they listened to what I had to say, but I think they kind of lost part track of what I of what I wanted and what I wanted to accomplish with the CUP. They passed some of the items but not all of them. So I'm asking today to have some items added to this CUP. The reason I'm having us do this is that the CUP is the only thing we have to hold the operator responsible for how his facility is operated. As we found out with some other recent businesses that were granted CUPS it was agreed to verbally, a handshake, gentleman's agreement, it wasn't in writing and wasn't part of the CUP and when they didn't hold to it we can't hold them to it either. I've got a lot of neighbors that are very concerned about this facility, and I think at the same time this can become very emotional, you listen to some of the people that have been graduates of the Transformation House, and it's very moving what they've been able to accomplish. And as much as we can tell that to the neighbors they're the ones that are living next door and across the street and so they have concerns, and I think we need to listen to that I think we need to fashion this CUP in such a way that it reassures them and lets them know that the city is listening to them and is willing to put things into place to take action if things don't happen the way they were told it was going to happen. And with that I'll entertain a motion to or I'll make a motion to open the floor for interested parties, thank you.

- [Mayor] All right, there's a motion by Alderman Galvin. Second by Alderman Nicholson to open the floor to hear from interested parties. All in favor of that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. And those opposed nays, the ayes have it. Yeah, go ahead.

- Could the alder share with us what he wants because that may be good to share with the you know people have commentary on what should would like to see in the CUP?

- [Mayor] Sure, that is a good point.

- Absolutely, what we added was that the Green Bay Police Department would approve a security plan including exterior lighting, landscaping, and the placement of recording devices and the fact that those recording devices cameras and that would be recorded at a place where they could have access to following proper procedures and laws. What I wanted included in that wasn't included was at the Green Bay Police Department approved their background check process of the clients that are gonna be staying there. St. John's has one that the Green Bay Police Department has helped them fashion. I think it's been very successful. I'm not dictating that they have to have that same one, but that the department take a look at whatever process they have and they assure us that it's going to catch any potential outliers that are trying to scam the system.

The other thing I have a concern about is parking, and perhaps Reverend Reanco can address that, but when he spoke at the Planning Commission he talked about the amount of residents he had at his other facility and the amount of vehicles that they had. It looks like there's going to be more people at this facility so I would anticipate more vehicles along with staff's vehicles, and there's a real concern of there's gonna be adequate parking, and I don't want this to turn into a thing where we have continuous complaints about illegally parked vehicles, and we're tying up city resources handling that, So as part of that I would like as part of the CUP that Reverend Reanco would have to show a site plan showing how many spaces he has and how many vehicles will be able to park in those spaces. And then I have a few questions for him that may dictate me adding one or two other items to this CUP. Depending upon the answer I get. Thank you.

- [Mayor] All right, so we have a motion and second to open the floor to hear from interested parties. All in favor of that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nay. So if you're gonna come up here and speak I want you to be focused here. This is the report of the plan commission. We are talking about planning, rezoning, conditional use permit. Look, I've been in a lot of these meetings and I don't think there's anyone here in this room who doesn't understand that Pastor Reanco lifts lives, and many of you have got on the right track because of him. And we respect that but tonight we're gonna talk about zoning and a conditional use permit. There are 10 items on this report that have been forwarded to this committee by our planning commissioners. Alderman Galvin wants to add three more. And if you want to discuss any of those 10 or these three. But he's also asking that the police approve a security lighting and sound plan. He's asking that the Green Bay Police Department provide and do the work on the background checks. And he's asking that an approved parking plan be submitted as part of the conditional use permit. He may have some other comments later but the 10 things that you have on your agenda, plus the three that the aldermen have is what the council is going to be voting on regarding this special zoning, this conditional use permit. And if we hold our discussion to that we can make some progress tonight. So if you want to come up and say something, I'd like to hold the comments to three minutes I have a Mr. Larry Frye, Vincent Washington then an Eileen Frye. So if you'd like to queue up here I'd be happy to hear your comments. Stand behind the podium and I'll get your microphone.

- Good evening my name is Eileen Frye. I live at 425 South Clay. I just need a quick clarification as the letter that was sent to us, there was a change in the wording that concerns with the rooming and boarding house and those were never words that were used the other letters that were sent to us.

- [Mayor] I saw your letter and I thought Paul got back to you on that, but Kevin do you want to just make a comment on that?

- I just want to make that clear, typos are important.

- That was a scrivener's error, so it's we're talking about a shelter facility so that was an error.

- [Eileen] Oh, thank you.

- Yep.

- [Eileen] Please, I'd like you to hear our plea once again to not grant the conditional use permit to a men's shelter across from my home that was purchased first before application for the permit was made. We feel that it's not fit, for the property values are going to be affected by this and we will now be required to disclose in any sales of our property that there is a shelter in the neighborhood. That's what I understand anyway. We learned last week from the Planning Committee about the Wisconsin Act 67. I don't know who in here is aware of that Act that has changed or essentially tied your hands as a city or a county to make it extremely difficult to oppose a CUP application I think a consult with a lawyer might be necessary to figure that out, and we would need time to go that route. In that vein once that CUP is granted for this shelter another application for Mr. Reanco to open an overnight shelter for over 100 individuals at that address would be almost impossible for you as a city council to deny. Time is needed on our part to investigate. And the last portion is I've sent my objections to Mr. Neumayer, Mr. Galvin, and Mayor Schmitt requesting denial of this cup permit to 430 South Clay Street. And have asked that further conditions be added to the conditions already put forth to the Transformation House Incorporated. And I hope this council will consider our need for more time to get answers to important questions that I'm getting no answers to. And then a quick addition, I have two important questions. Is the Faith Tabernacle and Mr. Reanco's construction business also moving to that address at this time or any time in the future? And will permits be required? Thank you.

- [Mayor] All right thank you. Would anyone else like to speak to this?

- Hi, my name is Vincent Washington. I heard what the mayor said here and don't want to hear no speeches like that that's cool, I understand that. But I got to share something with you anyway. I'm a disabled veteran, all right, and I had a lot of issues when I was coming up. When I got out the military I had a lot of issue. I'm wasn't no victims okay. I was a criminal. I was a drug addict and I was homeless. And I was going from city to city, state to state. I was lost until I met Pastor Reanco and Mr. CJ And got involved to their program. A program that helped me. The VA did all they could. I got nothing against the VA, I love the VA. But when I got tight I found a program that helped me. Green Bay, I love Green Bay now. But know what, I needed a place. You know I'm saying. I know everybody got somebody that was in the military or who been into prison who's homeless, something happened to them. They need a place where they can get a chance again. I mean don't just shut places down who are truly trying to help us, know what I'm saying. I mean it's hard. I'm not going to say they can help everybody. I understand the community is worried, but give them a chance. They got cameras, they putting up cameras outside. They trying to do the things you asked them to do. You know like I said I'm disabled veteran but I don't mind volunteering to help them, no sir. All I'm asking you this, you know

I'm asking y'all give them a chance you know because if you really look in your heart everybody needs a chance, everybody needs a chance. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Pastor Reanco I see your name on here that you wanted to speak and then I have a Larry Frye, did you want to speak as well? Okay.

- Good evening. Just to address some of the questions, requests. Right now we have cameras going throughout the facility. At 436 we have cameras going throughout the facility inside and out. I would I tell a lot of people the camera is my middle name and not only do I have cameras being set up in our security room at the facility, but they also on my phone so I can keep track of what's going on whether I'm there or not. We have always worked closely with the law enforcement and the police department. Whenever they came to the building we take them straight to the room first thing I tell the guys that if you you got anything you've had don't come here 'cause I take them right to your door. I have asked officers to come in, sit down, have coffee. The neighborhood to come check out the facility many times at 430 South Clay Street. We have plenty of rooms in there and set up camp there. I think that what we'll be doing would would enhance the neighborhood, it is a nice neighborhood. But we want to make it better. And by helping you guys to come in, come out refined. You know, guys getting back connected with their children. Back connecting with their family. With a whole new change in attitude. It's very important. They talked about the parking. The parking, we have 21 parking spots. A lot of the guys that resides at the transformation house don't have vehicles. The ones that do have vehicles, we have guys that work in the day. Some guys work at night. On 436 South Jefferson we have plenty of parking all the time. And you know there was no parking on the streets. All the cars was able to get in the parking spaces, not utilized any other parking. I know one of the requirements for us to open is to have sight plans, and one of the requirements is to have building plans. It's a requirement for to come in and inspect the place. So we trying to make sure that we have a good healthy environment and and also work closely with the law enforcements.

- [Mayor] Alderman Galvin had the floor but Alderman Vanderleest.

- If I could just ask some questions?

- [Mayor] All right, go ahead.

- [Man] Thank you pastor Reanco. I called you Reverend Rico before, I don't know that was out of line or, okay I'm sorry if I was. Just a couple questions and part of this I don't want you to take any insult from this but this is something that people have been information or sending to me and instead of just letting it turn into scuttlebutt I just want to air it out in the open and just get an answer from you one way or the other and just put it to rest. All right, just basically just try and put the rumors down and let's just deal with facts. Now the the CUP indicates now that you are not to operate your soup kitchen, which in the past was something where you invited people from outside your facility to come in and have a meal to help them out, correct?

- Yes.

- [Man] Okay, are you going to be serving meals though to your staff and clients made at the facility?

- Yes.

- [Man] Okay, also are you gonna have any clients that are on any kind of an early release program for state or federal prisons?

- What we do is if they really ready to change their life around and working closely we work closely with probation, parole officers, and law enforcement. If they're not a registered sex offender I believe that they need to have a chance too. But in the past we haven't had anybody that I think they went to Hubert. And then they got out of Hubert and they needed a place to stay and they come to the transformation house.

- [Man] Okay and I agree there's been a strong push finally in the state level to start reducing some sentencing in that to allow people to get out of prison and get their lives back together again. But I guess what I'm asking you is do you take any clients in which you're compensated financially by any state or federal prison systems to take them in like early release programs?

- No.

- [Man] Okay, thank you very much. I appreciate your information.

- [Mayor] Alderperson Dorff.

- [Dorff] Thank you, Pastor Reanco I attended the first plan commission and I watched the second plan commission so I wanted to get you know as much information as I could. One of the things that concerned me, and I'm just wondering what the answer is, is that I understand there's a curfew and that the doors are locked and that if they come after the curfew people they can't get in. Where do they go then?

- Well we have St. John's. We have the New Community. I just want to say this is that when guys come up and they're coming in after curfew we don't want to set this standard. It's all about accountability, it's all about structure. Yeah you know the the time for them to be in is at 11 o'clock. Lights out is at 11:30. You can have the lights on your room but you got to stop moving around throughout the halls. But now the ones who's getting off for work and running late they can come in, but if someone just out hanging out and want to come back in, 11:30, 12 o'clock and come in we don't let 'em in because we don't want to keep that started. And we tried that in the past but you let this guy in at past time then now we got the whole house saying the same thing. So but what we do know is that by setting that standard and by turning down one maybe two, we have probably in the six years probably had a couple of people did had to be turned around, everybody's trying to get in before time. Everybody 'cause one thing is that everybody had to be Breathalysed before 11 o'clock.

- So maybe I wasn't exactly clear but so the doors locked, they can't get in, it's nighttime, where do they go to sleep is that a concern for the neighborhood? I mean it just someone take them to St. John's. This is a person that's out on the street now at 11 o'clock at night. Where do they go that night?

- This all depends on what they were doing out there. That time of the night so what we saying is we set some standards and we noticed that the guys you know pretty much, they comply with the rules. So that they come in 10:30, they have to have a good excuse why they're not there before 11:00, or they have to call and let somebody know that I'm running late. So you know it's still about accountability and it's all about structure. If you going to your job and you gotta be there at 8 o'clock and you come in at 8:30 somebody get fired, you know. So this is what we trying to turn around with the guys. The guys they just, you know when you don't have no structure you just do anything, you know. And so, and it's one of rules that we put in place that's why the program works, because it's so structured. And nobody's there they're not trying to leave out, we've got some pretty good guys.

- [Mayor] Yeah I don't know that your answered her question I live over by St. John's. So somebody shows up the doors are locked, and they have to find their way to another shelter? Is that what you said?

- I don't necessarily do something wrong crucify you then, but what I do do is I sit back and said the curfew and we said we talked about the curfew it's 11 o'clock. When you know you guys don't want nobody out running around in street after 11 o'clock you know so we got curfew, we it's all about structure. We don't know nobody out and I'm tell you so the guys in the Transformation House don't be out after 11 o'clock. They have to have a real good reason so you asking me something that don't normally happen because when they come when they come past 11 o'clock they'd normally call it in, say I'm gonna be late or it's justified because they're getting off working and they're not coming back in on time.

- I want to prevent someone from running around after 11 o'clock at night. Maybe give them a natural consequence the next day. I don't want them sleeping in the neighborhood, I guess, it's night.

- [Reanco] In the past we do not have individuals laying around, sleeping around, we make sure that everyone has a bed.

- Okay.

- [Mayor] All right Alderman Scannell?

- Just a little follow up on that I mean these are the same rules you had at the Transformation House on Jefferson, correct?

- [Reanco] Yes sir.

- And historically, I mean has that been a problem, people showing up yeah. People get in the program I mean I think Pastor Reanco does a good job of picking his people that he works with and works with pretty closely, that this isn't going to be a problem. He's working with the clientele that are ready to make a change and they're ready to get in the program and they follow the program. So I think the only other question I have is you're not allowed to have a soup kitchen but will you still be able to have the spaghetti and catfish fry on Fridays?

- [Reanco] Right now I'd just like to focus on this program that would help guys. And another thing back on that same question. Guys tell me we don't just show up and we let 'em in. There is a intake area that we sit down and we talk to 'em. We find out where they gonna be at. We do background checks, you know. And we don't just check Green Bay, we check all over the United States and see if they have any warrants or anything like that on 'em. But in the past we haven't had that and that's something to look at.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Any other questions here? All right I do not have your light lit, but I'm happy to recognize you

- Thank you. Question, how do you make your finances?

- [Reanco] Our finances come through donations. They come through grants. It come through every guy there gets a program fee that they have to pay each week to stay here.

- How much is that?

- [Reanco] It's 85 a week.

- 85 a week.

- [Reanco] Yes.

- Okay, go ahead.

- [Reanco] So we do fundraisers. That's what alderman talked about the fish fry. So we do those different things to raise funds.

- Okay, when you do background checks who pays for that? If you're doing a background check on an individual throughout the country who pays for that?

- [Reanco] We do.

- You do.

- [Reanco] Yes.

- How much is that?

- [Reanco] It varies, probably about \$12 or something like that, \$20.

- Chief how much is it for NCIS, is that the correct, not C CAP, do you know how much it is for that?

- I believe it's a little more than \$12. When I was an officer it was a lot more than \$12 back in '97. Who pays for that? I hope the police department doesn't pay for that.

- [Reanco] We pay for it.

- I guess that's just my concern, one of my concerns, so thank you. That's all I have mayor.

- [Mayor] Right, thank you. I have no speakers on here but I know you want to talk. Alderperson Scannell? I thought you said you wanted to.

- Ask a question, I did.

- [Mayor] I don't have any other lights on. Let's reword that, I don't have any other lights on. But would like to speak and I'm happy to recognize you. Alderman Vanderleest.

- [Mayor] Alderman if you just speak into your mic then everybody can hear the question.

- Do you have a have a 24 hour staff that's in your facility in other words that are there monitoring the situation?

- [Reanco] Yes sir.

- Okay that's my only question, thank you.

- Yes thank you mayor. Pastor Reanco, Alderman Galvin provided three additions to the CUP that he recommended and this isn't meant to be verbatim but from what I understand the Green Bay Police Department approved security lighting, landscaping, recording devices? Are you agreeable to that?

- [Reanco] I'm already doing it.

- Okay so in this new facility you you're okay with that?

- [Reanco] Sure.

- Second one would be Green Bay Police Department approved background check process so that our police department would review and then approve your background check process. Are you agreeable to that?

- [Reanco] My desire is to work closely with the police department.

- So to adopt one similar to what St. John's homeless shelter currently does?

- [Reanco] Whatever it takes.

- Okay and then thirdly and you commented briefly on this parking, the amount of residents, the vehicles, the traffic going in and out of the facility if we address that through the CUP process are you agreeable to what the city recommends?

- [Reanco] We they already have strict rules on that.

- So I hear you're okay with all three and again I know there are some details that need to be worked out. Would you feel that these three additions would impede your ability to successfully operate and sustain your facility?

- [Reanco] No, we believe in light all the way around. We believe in cameras everywhere, all the way around. We believe in working closely with the law enforcement, and they you know, we want to encourage them and you guys come over take a look and see what we do. You know and in the past we always have our doors open, different agencies come walk through. Community, the Aldermans that come in and walk through to see what we doing and I say listen, I said listen what you don't hear the riff raff running around, people cussing and loud and everything. I said well we've got about thirty guys up in here you know. So we don't do that guys that coming through the Transformation House, they're they're seriously looking to change their life around. They're on a mission, they're either going to school or they're working, the on disability, they doing community service, everybody there need to be doing something or that's not the facility for them.

- Sure, pastor I agree with everything you just said. I value you what you do. You're building up lives, you're helping men who otherwise would have nowhere else to go. I think my main concern, I don't speak for the council, would be how do we make this work within the neighborhood So you hear the neighbors concerns and you work together. So to finish I just have a couple other questions. You're the director of the Transformation House. Do you reside at the facility, would you be living here?

- [Reanco] No I don't.

- Okay, how often are you going to be there?

- [Reanco] Everyday.

- Every day.

- [Reanco] Yeah.

- Eight hours, 10 hours?

- No, my time varies. Sometime I'm there all day, sometime half of the night. And all the cameras are downloaded on my phone. So I pull 'em up on computer at the house, so I'm watching.

- Okay, and the final question and you don't have to answer this question if you don't want 'cause I'm not trying to set you up for anything, but the biggest thing that I struggle with through this was that you purchased the property correct, is the property completely purchased?

- [Reanco] Yes.

- Okay, why did you purchase the property without first going through this process, and again don't have to answer it that's just what I'm really struggling trying to understand.

- [Reanco] Well we was at 436 South Jefferson. The owners of the building, they didn't do anything as far as up keeping the building. We spent tons of money repairing this building. Then we decided that we need a different location so we went over to 610 South Broadway and when we purchased that a lot of work had to be done then Brown county helped and then didn't want to really put him out both of them been there 20 years. So we started working on the smaller building, but it was taking this away from our mission, you know. And then we found out that Santa Maria's nursing home was closing, and the owners of the facility had a passion of what we're doing. And so and we were just so excited to get back helping guys there are tons of calls of guys that's looking for a facility like that and we just started moving forward, you know not really trying to deceive nobody or nothing like that but we just, you know wanted to get the program going.

- I don't want to put words in your mouth but the way that I look at this was that you're probably thinking that your reputation running your other facilities would would carry you through to this facility and not have any issues like you believe you've had a proven track record serving a population in Green Bay that otherwise is underserved and you felt that by doing that so well from what I've heard and what I've researched and my personal experience working with people through the Transformation House that you probably felt that all of your efforts would prove that you'd be able to do it in this new neighborhood, would that be a fair?

- [Reanco] No I just just wanted to help guys.

- That's my that's my point, though, that you had no problems in the old neighborhood the other facilities.

- [Reanco] Well the other facility we did it was something like this year we had people come out protest but later, on six months later, the main one that was protesting came over to the building and she said she made a mistake. You know we run a good facility and she ate at the soup kitchen, she asked me to pray with her. We have another individual who stay down the street. They didn't even know we was there. And the neighbors here probably don't know we're there once we get started.

- Okay, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alderman Johnson?

- Thank you for answering our questions tonight Pastor Reanco. The one question that I have that I couldn't quite find in the documents was related your organizational structure. Are you a nonprofit organization?

- [Reanco] Yes we are.

- Okay so you have a board of directors that you report to?

- [Reanco] Yes.

- And how many people are on that board of directors?

- [Reanco] It's seven.

- Okay and and you're the director so whether you're paid staff or you're kind of the guy in charge then.

- [Reanco] Of the facility.

- Of the facility, okay. And if something were to happen to you, heaven forbid, I mean do you I mean does your organization have an exit strategy with, I mean would they just continue to maintain the facility and hire a new director, or I guess how does that process look?

- [Reanco] We never came to that.

- Okay, and so maybe that is there's one thing that I would just at least urge you to consider, and have your board of directors consider is what that was like.

- When I look at the Transformation House, I'm not looking for it to go away. I believe that we're putting a good program here. Probably put another one, this will be the template. So that's why I'm trying to find out and trying to build a good facility that does work and does help, and so far our track record showed the program does work.

- [Johnson] Thank you.

- [Mayor] Okay any other questions for the pastor? Alderman Steur, okay. Alderman Steur.

- Thank you, your honor. Thanks pastor Rico for being here. Like I mentioned it last council, you know, a lot of us honor your mission. We understand that you're doing some good work. But again you're gonna be in the neighborhood and that is our concern. So with that being said I wanted to ask you, have you had any support from the neighbors at all or from other businesses or churches in the neighborhood, have you had anybody come forward? Because we've gotten a few letters from folks that are against it for whatever reason. But I just wanted to know if you've had any support and from who?

- [Reanco] Yes and there's some people in the neighborhood support what we do and what we're doing and think that is a good thing being there but then there's a few that don't. There's a few that just don't understand the program and what we're doing. When they think about shelters you think about a bunch of people who's sleeping in the street doing all kind of different things. That's not the Transformation House. But we have people that love us to be in the neighborhood.

- One other point, you know, there's 10 different items here and then Alder Galvin's adding three so it's like 13 different elements to the CUP. And I don't know you probably do realize that you know our hands are tied somewhat with this by the fact that the state has certain requirements and that. So it's important that we get a lot of this upfront so that you understand that we're gonna do it correctly. So I just needed to reiterate that. So that's all I have.

- [Mayor] All right, thank you. Alderman Nicholson?

- I have a question, where where do these people come from do you recruit, I mean how do they find out where you're at, I mean.

- [Reanco] Some people they find out what we do on the website, we have probation, parole officers there they're calling us, centers, people that went through treatment centers. They called for a facility for guys who made it through their program. We got guys who just down on their luck and they need a good healthy place to stay, they call us up. So guys are calling from all different directions so.

- What is the percentage of just Green Bay people born and raised in Green Bay compared to Texas, California, New Mexico all over the country?

- It's my understanding over 80% of the people is from around here, I don't do the statistics. I have minister CJ, he do our statistics. I just stay in my element but as far as who's from here who's not from here, he's best to ask all of that.

- Is he here?

- [Reanco] Yes he is.

- Okay, could I ask him that question mayor?

- [Mayor] Sure. Pastor CJ the alderman is talking about the database. Where are the men in your facility, where did they reside prior to coming to you and a little bit about their history? If you come up to the podium and yeah if you state your name and address that we have it you know as part of our notes.

- [CJ] Minister Fenardo Johnson, 1525 Capital Drive. For the most part when we do the intake process and have them list where they lived at for the last year or so I would say about 75% put down Green Bay as far as being born and raised in Green Bay.

- Yeah, born and raised.

- [CJ] Is that what you said.

- Mmm-hmm.

- [C]] Yes, that that we don't know that they were all born and raised in Green Bay.

- So if someone from California shows up says well I've been here two days, I'm from Green Bay, you take that as Green Bay?

- [C]] No, like I said the check that we do tells us okay where you lived at and where you slept that over the last year, over the last 30 days, and last night.

- Okay, I guess I'd be very well I'll say that for debate. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Anything else?

- That's it, mayor, thank you.

- All right do we have any other questions for Pastor? All right, thank you pastor Reanco. Anyone else wishing to come and speak to this, to this item please to the podium state your name and address.

- Thank you my name is Larry Frye, 425 South Clay Street. What are the questions that I have that well several of them that have not been answered. One, we were concerned about whether there would be church services from his church at this facility and if that is part of the CUP permit. There's you know what other activities will be taking place there? The other concern's enforcement of the conditions put on the CUP permit. I don't understand if it's my responsibility to go in and count heads, make sure there's not 47 or instead of 46. Or if you know all the other conditions are met ongoing. And that's that's what my concern is.

- [Mayor] All right, thank you. Pastor, maybe just more comment on the if you're gonna be having ecumenical services an enforcement so the neighbor across the street was asking about, you said that you would not be having some food service there but what about church services.

- Well yes, we won't have a soup kitchen but we do do Bible studies, we encourage in the past we have churches come out and do Bible studies with guys. On Sundays we do have a service there at the facility and we don't force anyone to come to the services there we encourage everyone to tap into their spiritual side and go to whatever church that they're you know they resided. And we we encouraged churches to come then pick up guys and take them to these services and so that's how it is. So we do have services there.

- [Mayor] Right. And then I, okay I think we're all thinking the same question but I'll ask it. The enforcement, I mean there's I think the Alderman's have are proposing 13. And inspection is it open any time to our inspectors or how's the enforcement handled on a facility like this?

- Okay so for one at the Transformation House, everyone in the facility has to sign the in and out so we know who's all in there at all times. When they breathalyze they have to stay in the facility they write down and they sign their name and the one who breathalyzing them I also put their signature right there with them. So when they going out to if they working at night they're gonna be out all night they got to sign out. And so what we do is we verify the employment making sure that their work schedule times match what they say. So and as far as in the past we have had law enforcements come at night where law enforcement coming in the day too. And we always welcome the law enforcement to come to the Transformation House.

- [Mayor] Okay anything else, all right thank you.

- Why would we have to breathalyze everyone? Why would we need the police to walk in there? I mean does the police have better things to do?

- [Reanco] Well that's one of the policies of the Transformation House, you know.

- But is there an issue why have to give them a breathalyzer?

- [Man] If I could interrupt for a second. And we don't do that they do it as part of their operating plan. The Green Bay Police Department.

- Breathalyze?

- [Man] Right, the City of Green Bay doesn't do it. They do it just like any other facility.
- Right, their staff would.
- [Man] Yes.
- Why would they have to do that?
- [Mayor] Well if I can have some consideration from my colleagues, it's a question. Thank you Lefebvre.
- [Man] Well they do it at A&W, they do it at a lot of the facilities where they're dealing with people that have gone through treatment or are struggling with addiction issues. Okay that's why I wanted to establish we're dealing with a lot of people that have some serious issues, correct?
- [Man] Some have had addiction issues in their past or are currently dealing with 'em.
- [Reanco] Over half.
- Over half?
- [Reanco] Over half, I'm just saying over half of the residents, they don't have alcohol issues nor drug issues.
- Over half.
- [Reanco] Over half.

- Okay, thank you.

- But that's just one of the requirements of staying at the Transformation House. And that applies to everyone.

- [Nicholson] So if there's alcohol on their breath what do you do?

- That's grounds to be terminated from the program.

- [Nicholson] And then where do they go? They just somewhere out in the streets? Somewhere, or you don't know, okay, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alderman Galvin?

- Thank you Pastor Reanco. I have a letter I'd like to read this is from St. Paul Lutheran Church and school located at 514 South Clay Street. This is from church council vice-chair Phil Peterson. And he asked me, he couldn't make it tonight, so he asked me to read this letter. Dear members of the Common Council, since 1883 St. Paul Lutheran Church has been part of the Green Bay community. Our existing facility covers three-quarters of the block just south of the proposed Transformation House. We value our location, neighborhood, and are committed to it. As part of our ministry we operate a 3-K through eighth grade parochial school, total attendance is just over 100. Of note our registration for next year's three and four K classes already have 30 children. This is the most we have ever had this early in the registration process. As the church we are concerned for the physical and spiritual welfare of the men who would be served by the proposed Transformation House. As parents and school administrators we are concerned for the health and welfare of our students. We do not have the answers to how both of these concerns should be met. We have no formal position for or against the Transformation House. We are thankful that city leaders are showing such due diligence and studying and discussing this situation. We are pleased at a condition of the CUP permit is an assessment of the actual Santa Maria facility by the city building inspector for the proposed usage. This would be for the safety of the participants as well as for the long term operation of the program if it is approved. The building is old just as our building is, we have had to deal with the stresses that deferred maintenance and unforeseen building failures can put on a non profit. Our members deep care deeply about our community and trust that our city officials will make the right decision about what's best for our neighborhood and our city. We look forward to continuing to serve our neighbors and the people of Green Bay. Sincerely, Philip C. Peterson, church council vice chair. And then before I make any more comments it was a real education process learning about CUPS and certifications of occupancy.

- [Mayor] Can you bring us back to regular order?

- Sure, I'm sorry.

- [Mayor] Okay so let's make that motion. Make a motion to bring back to regular order of business.

- [Mayor] By Alderman Galvin, second by Alderman Wery. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. And those opposed nays, all right. Alderman Galvin go ahead.

- So anyway it's been a real education process learning about CUPS, how CUPS operate how the state law has affected us. But also that there's a process for anyone that wants to get a CUP and open up any kind of a business or facility within this community and I would ask if Dr. Vonck could take a few minutes to educate the rest of us on how this works exactly, thank you

- Thank you Alder Galvin. So part of what we require in our zoning code, you know we have a lot of uses that are permitted by right, right so in a certain district, residential district, an office district, a commercial district, by right you can come in to our department get a permit to do a particular use in that particular district. There's certain uses which we feel you know require some special care, some special scrutiny. Maybe they'd be right, maybe they wouldn't, and so we call those conditional uses. And we have a number of if you look at our zoning code there's a table then it talks about in each district some uses are conditional and in this case and in this district a shelter facility is a conditional use that requires coming before the Planning Commission and ultimately council to get this conditional use permit. And so I think as Alder Galvin had referred that the two steps of this are one, in granting the conditional use permit. The ability to even do what an applicant intends to do has to go through the plan commission and in this case you can attach conditions to it. Staff initially recommended a set of conditions that we felt were appropriate to protect the health, safety, welfare of the neighborhood. Some additional conditions were discussed at the original plan commission meeting, some were added. This came to council, it went back, and tonight I think Alder Galvin has proposed some additional conditions on it that would allow basically Council to review. Has the applicant been able to satisfy these conditions prior to the city issuing that conditional use permit? So once council approves the conditional use permit, then it moves to more of an administrative manner where our department will look at that project and the applicant will be able to come in and get building permits, you know to do the work on the building to lead it towards ultimately a certificate of occupancy. The certificate of occupancy is what is needed to actually habitate, do the use inside the building. And before we issue that certificate of occupancy, any project before we issue a certificate of occupancy, our inspectors go through right check the electrical, plumbing, HVAC, structural, all those things making sure that it complies with our building codes. You know in this case having to meet the Commercial Code because you're you know looking at housing residents there. But then they also look at alright as part of that conditional use permit do we have all of those things on that checklist, all of those conditions, have all of those conditions been met for us to issue that certificate of occupancy? So I think if that's what you're asking in terms of the two-step process this first step is the conditional use permit itself which basically sets the standards before we can issue the certificate of occupancy later on down the line.

- Just a couple questions, so if we do or do not add anything to this CUP he has to complete every checkbox on this CUP before he can even move to have a petition for the certification of occupancy, is that correct?

- [Vonck] That is correct based on this proposed use, how he wants to use it as a transitional housing facility he has to meet all of these conditions.

- And if at anytime after he has completed the CUP checklist and he has a certification of occupancy if anything should come up where it's felt that he's violating the CUP, Council or I believe yourself and there's maybe one other entity.

- [Vonck] Council plan commission or myself.

- Can revoke that CUP and effectively shut 'em down if something can't be worked out.

- [Vonck] Just to clarify, I can't do it directly but what we could do is through due process bring it back to the plan commission who would then recommend to council to basically take it away, so it wouldn't be a unilateral thing unless there's a you know in cases of health safety matters, let's just say right there's windows that you can't get out or the sprinkler system doesn't work. I mean we've shut down properties before in emergency situations. But in terms of revoking the CUP, you know a member of Council Planning Commission, myself, or our department could follow up on complaint feeling that things weren't being followed. That could be brought back before the Planning Commission would make a recommendation to Council whether to keep CUP or take it away.

- Thank you. So what I'm proposing to add to the CUP, currently and I would just point out under letter I it says the facility may conduct activities to serve residents and may occasionally conduct neighborhood outreach events on site. I think that would include the fundraisers that Randy was referring to, but the soup kitchen would not be open on a daily basis. They already have it under letter D, a mandatory background check for all residents is required, I just want to add in there and I think that's the best place to add it in, is that that process would be approved by the Green Bay Police Department. And then after letter K, I would like letter L, show an on site plan that that will show adequate parking for staff and clients. Yes?

- [Galvin] Can I get clarification, wouldn't a site plan cover that?

- We could put it in there but I want to make sure that this is covered and doesn't get lost in the writing because because if you look at the the current map of the, and I have a color one, it's a little more clear. I'm not sure exactly what adequate parking is gonna be but I'm looking for them to clarify how many spots they'll have and I think we can go from there and see if it's gonna work or not. So that's that's my proposal to this process and I guess someone brought up about enforcement, Mr. Frye did. I'm sitting here thinking I'm struggling how do we go about enforcement, what do we do with the current facilities such a St. Johns. I'm looking for input on that.

- Sure, generally I mean follow standard process against any property or structure in the city, anyone can file a complaint if they feel that our housing and zoning code is not being applied with, and in this case if someone feels look there's these conditions on this particular use I have reason to believe that they're not being complied with to follow a complaint and we can go up and reinspect the facility or follow up on the complaint to see if that conditions being met or if it's a building code violation. It's be part of our standard process that we do for any property in the city.

- [Galvin] All right and then I'm just reminded since he is gonna be serving food there that falls under the Brown County Health Department to certify their kitchen facility, is that correct?

- I'd have to double-check but I believe if you're going to be, yes serving it kind of beyond yourself, there may be requirements with the Health Department in terms of safety, from the city's perspective I mean there would be requirements for that kitchen equipment to make sure all the HVAC and electrical and plumbing is all up to code.

- [Galvin] Okay and does the city notify the Health Department or how does that go about so we may just make sure something doesn't fall through the cracks.

- Sure, I'll have to look, generally this is a little bit different case because you you know for like restaurants and other things you generally need other types of licensure that the county gets notified there. In this case because it's a shelter facility. But I could make a note just to make sure that they're aware of this and if they need any inspection or certification that they're notified.

- [Galvin] All right, thank you. So I'm making a motion to amend and to add the the items that I've discussed. I guess I would check with Dr. Vonck. Must he show that he's done everything in the CUP prior to issuing the CUP, are we allowed to do that? Or do we issue the cup and he has to fulfill the CUP before the process moves on?

- Sure I guess that would be at the discretion of the council. Generally when we do approve a CUP then, all right there's a list of conditions. And then it would be administrative as to all right us going through our department going through and saying yep everything checks the boxes. There are some cases where our plan commission would like to see those things and are those things being met. There are some cases where the council may want to see those things. I think in terms of some of the conditions included in the planning commission packet, you know it talked about an operations plan that addresses some of these things. You know I think there's some things that the council didn't see. It's up to their pleasure whether they would like to see those things before they'll feel comfortable approving it. If you decide to hold it you can wait for it to review it, if not then whatever is in there will be done administratively according to our procedures.

- [Galvin] Thank you. So my amendment is to add the two items that I discussed, and that I would also like it that all these items are met before we issue the CUP. At that point it would be up to the council to, once it's met that the council reviews it and approves it at council.

- [Mayor] All right so we have a motion on the floor from Aldermen Galvin to add two more items to this conditional use permit, and that these conditions are met prior to this body awarding the conditional use permit. And you seconded that. So that's what we're discussing right now. You have before you item L, it really goes through J. I know Randy you thought we could add parking to the site plan but I'm just gonna leave that that he shows he shows a parking plan for both employees and residency so I left that one separate and then that the background checks process is approved by the City of Green Bay Police Department. And that these items are all met and then it comes back to this body for approval, that's what's on the floor. Alderman Johnson is your light on?

- [Johnson] Yes.

- All right, go ahead.

- Just done that topic, I think there's one other thing Alderman Galvin that I would ask maybe you add to that, and that is it may seem like a small thing but page five, well it says under letter E that they have to conform at the operating plan. On page five it indicates that part of their method is to run a soup kitchen. That's inconsistent with item I that says they will not conduct a soup kitchen. So I think that's a big issue, but those things come back to bite you in the tail later, so I think we ought to resolve that inconsistency.

- [Mayor] Like on the floor or when he comes back with the request?

- I think we can do that on the floor, just strike that line from the operating plan.

- [Galvin] Right, I agree, thank you.

- [Mayor] Any other comments on this or else we're going to vote.

- [Nicholson] I have a comment.

- Yep, Alderman Nicholson.

- Thanks mayor, I'm just concerned with the safety of the neighborhood. I mean there's individuals with some really, heavy dangerous backgrounds and issues. So like for a scenario, let's say two, three, four, five individuals are turned back because they're drinking and they're on the streets and they commit a crime in the neighborhood. Is that fair to the neighbors? What can we have in the CUP that can hold that organization responsible, because I feel that the neighbors who've been there first, have an investment, they want to resell their house, which I know property value will go down. That's a guarantee I've seen this before. Who's looking out for the neighbors, who's protecting the Fries and everybody else? So how can we hold that organization responsible when they turn someone back because they're intoxicated?

- [Galvin] Andy, under C.

- Okay.

- [Galvin] It says that C is at the discretion of counter council plan Commissioner Development Director a review may be required and the first one that lists under that under number one is police calls another documented safety concerns. So I would think if an incident like that occurred or you know it says police calls and other documented safety concerns, that that would be cause to do a review.

- Could be, okay, alright alright thanks Bill. I think it should have more teeth in it. Could be a review.

- [Galvin] When I say could be I guess it.

- Shall.

- [Galvin] Well I mean any councilman could ask for a review but.

- Well how do we find out if the police department, there's a lot of issues with the police department when they receive phone calls that we don't receive the feedback.

- [Galvin] Well the police department's aware of this. I went through every call for service at the other Transformation House the other site over a six-year period, I went through 140 calls for service.

- 140 calls.

- [Galvin] And I looked at A&W for comparison, and I looked at St. John's. Now the difference we St. John's and Transformation House, St. John's is a wet facility meaning individuals that.

- Right.

- [Galvin] Have been drinking could be in there and a transformation house it's not allowed. And also at A&W and I would say the calls for service a transformation house were not out of line with the number of calls or types of calls at A&W or at St. John's. In addition looking at the calls for service at Transformation House, a high percentage were the type of calls you would find in almost any other apartment building. I mean there were some reports of theft, there was some very minor disagreements, there were calls alarm calls, alarms were going off every once in a while, there were calls where clients were not following staff's direction and they were escorted from the facility or the police were able to work out some kind of an agreement. There were calls where probation parole would visit that site to check on clients that were staying there to make sure they were compliant with their their release orders. So I didn't find anything that really troubled me at all, really out of all those calls, because I was concerned at first when I saw the number like I said when I did comparisons it wasn't out of line. And a lot of the types of calls were some had actually nothing to do with the facility but someone does a traffic stop, they look up it says 4036 South Jefferson St. So that's what they call.

- Yeah, I know that Bill. I'm just concerned about the safety of the neighbors. We're bringing in a lot of people. How many people in this facility?

- [Galvin] 46.

- 46 and the other place was on Jefferson, how many?

- [Galvin] About 30, pastor, about 30?

- 30, so is that gonna stay open also?

- [Galvin] No it's closed.

- It's closing we're just increasing about sixteen.

- [Galvin] It's already closed.

- It's already closed. Where are these individuals right now? Does anybody care, or, I guess I do, but. I don't know I have concerns. I'd rather prevent something until something happens. I guess I'd rather see more teeth in it Bill. Shall and some you know what does it again?

- [Galvin] What would you look for a tipping point to make it a shall? I mean I think if the police and if police have done a pretty good job especially when it comes to monitoring other sites within a city, that if they have concerns they bring it either to P&P or they bring it straight to the city council.

- I guess my concern if there's some type of crime.

- [Galvin] I'm not I'm not sure where to go with that to be honest with you.

- No, I'm just concerned about the neighbors. I'd still like to see some teeth. So if anything happens should we have the neighbors call and then sort of I look at it and they don't feel that the motion I think all the police department to that address City Council should be aware of it, at least that.

- [Galvin] Okay would you like a monthly report on calls for service?

- I'd say every quarter. Every quarter, start with a quarter. Is that fair, Bill? I mean it's the neighborhood you represent. I tell you what I know I would have it was in district 3 I'd have that whole place packed with neighbors.

- [Galvin] I don't have a problem with a quarterly report from the police department, I just don't want to burden them too much. And I guess I would ask the chief if that's something you think you'd do, all right. Let's say we get a quarterly report.

- Quarter, is that fair?

- [Galvin] Sure.

- All right, thank you. I'm done mayor.

- [Mayor] All right, thank you. I want to remind you that the the motion on the floor is to consider a conditional use permit. We're not authorized one, we're considering one if these conditions are met. So you have the items that are listed plus we have the parking, the police department, background check quarterly reports. Those are just things that he's going if he agrees to all this he comes back and then you'll consider giving a conditional use permit you're the motion on the floor is not to authorize one tonight.

- Okay. Dr. Vonck?

- So I just think it should be clear in the motion if you want the applicant to come back and present those it should be a motion to do those but also hold it, so that it that it stays here because it has to go somewhere and if it's not going anywhere to get approved and you you want to see those things before approving it then it'd probably be, and I'll defer to the city attorney but probably to hold it so it stays here so that it doesn't go

back to Planning Commission but it's staying here because you want to see those things before you issue the CUP.

- Okay, thank you.

- [Mayor] Okay anybody else want to speak to this? Mike, I got it, I got it. Alderperson Dorff, good.

- Thank you, thank you your honor. Yes there were two things that I wanted to speak to, maybe I'll I'll skip the second one. I'll mention it but we'll hold it till we actually vote on this. But one of the things was that there have been referred at both of those Planning Commission meetings that studies quote have shown that there are lower crime rates in neighborhoods with facilities like this. And studies have shown that property values are impacted. Are they or not, are there studies? Can staff, I mean what's the data on this, and can we actually find a study. Because just somebody saying that there was a study, I wanted to see this study, I want to know what that study says I'd like to make the informed decision based on data. The other piece of what maybe we can discuss next time or we might want to discuss it now is that Act 67 changed the rules a lot for us, and this is a recent act as far as our ability to deny. It used to be that the person coming forward had to prove director Vonck, perhaps you should explain Act 67 Would that be alright your honor?

- [Mayor] That's why I was at the same meeting as you were. I don't want to lose your first thing. I was at meetings when they said when these shelters come in crime goes down. Property values go up. And we're sitting in the audience because I'm not running those meetings and we're like wow, I didn't know that. And while the chairman of the Planning Commission should have asked a little tougher questions, but really if that's a fact we're really interested in increasing property values and reducing crime. And if this indeed does that, that should be part of this presentation. Dr. Vonck.

- Sure so as far as the studies go there's not a lot out there about it. There's one study that shows some correlation between certain things in a neighborhood and property values. You know so I talked about you know those having a negative impact like cemeteries, homeless shelters, bad schools, dumps you now those types of things. But it showed correlation and not causation, and so there's there's not a lot of research that has been done on a longitudinal study of, right you have a neighborhood something comes in and then what happens down the line. So in terms of kind of both sides of the story whether they improve property values or decrease property values there's just not a lot out there, and in a lot of cases there's so much more that goes into property values when you talk about a single-family house, what it sells for. What it sells for is basically what your neighbors house or somebody in the neighborhood sold their house for. I mean and so there's a longer period track record of where that comes out. So in terms of the the property values, there isn't conclusive causation research either way that would enable us to kind of make a decision one way or the other.

- [Dorff] What about crime?

- I think the same thing with crime, it's also hard for us to look at. You know the type of facility because you're not dealing technically with the same individuals at a type of facility that people it may have been staying at a facility on Jefferson Street, may not necessarily be the same type of people or the same individuals that are staying in a facility on Clay Street. I think one of the things that we did do and I think I shared with Alder Galvin. I mean we pulled the police calls and look at all right what are the police calls for this facility and is there anything that's outstanding and based on our review, yeah there's a higher number of police calls but generally they're pretty much in the same lines with I guess the per unit number of police calls you'd get for a multi-family complex. And when you start to dig into the details of those calls there was nothing that popped out to us in terms of anything you know significant or very violent.

- [Dorff] So then my conclusion is that there really aren't any studies that I'm gonna base anything on. I mean there are other things to base the vote on but it doesn't seem like there's any hard and fast studies either for or against raising property values, lowering property values, raising crime lowering crime. There's really nothing.

- It's very correct, and it's very hard to isolate. I mean cities are dynamic it's really hard to isolate you can say one specific thing caused this specific thing. With all the other things going on in the community.

- [Dorff] Okay, thank you. I just wondered about part 2 about Act 67. I think it's really important that the the alders that did not attend the meeting or I didn't see it understand there's certain legal obligations that that puts on us. It also puts on us some obligation to look at setting precedent because any decisions we make for this we will need to make in the future and we have to be very, very careful. I'm so glad the idea of holding this came up because we have to make a decision, but we have to be careful. We will be setting some precedent. So I'd really like an explanation for all of the alders and for me to hear yet again about this Act 67 and what obligation it puts on the city now.

- Just a little bit because we we did discuss this at our plan commission probably about six-nine months ago. Act 67, which was passed last year, what it does essentially for a conditional use permit is it shifts the burden of proof from an applicant onto the city, and prior to this if you wanted a conditional use permit you basically had to come to your city and say, all right I need a conditional use permit and it's upon me to prove that I'm gonna do a good job with this and I'm gonna meet the conditions and this will not be a burden, this will not negatively impact the health, safety, welfare of the community. So me as applicant I have to prove to you city why I should get this. What Act 67 did is basically turned that 180 degrees and said for somebody applying for a conditional use permit you can come in and you're pretty much entitled to get that use permit unless the city can now prove that you're going to quote unquote ruin the neighborhood or negatively impact health, safety, and welfare. And part of what they put in the legislation I mean it was pretty straightforward you know you can still put conditions onto a property which I think we've done here and we've done in a way that right relates to health, safety, welfare as best we can, but those conditions it's very specific, have to be measurable, you have to be able to measure those conditions so right you can go out and measure parking and go out measure security camera, I mean you have to be able to identify particular things. Those conditions can't be based on personal preferences or speculation, and I think that gets to the thing of well the what if or what if or

what if, you can't base it on that. And so when you have no studies that say a direct correlation or direct causation of things, it's really hard for us to say well it could happen, but it may not happen and you can't base conditions on that. And then the last part which really is the tough part is if an applicant satisfies these conditions the city has to issue the CUP. And so I mean it's really important that you really think thoughtfully about those conditions because legislation says look if the applicant meets those conditions you have to give that person the CUP. And so one of the things we did after this legislation went through is probably like a routine action and you know for most of us here. But we actually took transitional houses out, there were conditional uses and almost all the districts across the city, and we took them out of all the residential districts knowing that look that's something that we really need to have a discussion about so they were still left it's a condition in what this is in our district and so that's why we're here but I think yeah I think Alder Dorff, you bring a important part about just how this transition. I think I spoken with Alder Galvin you know maybe we need to look at some other parts of our zoning code where things are conditional uses. We probably should look at them as pretty close to permitted uses. And while you can't totally exclude everything from your community you have to really think about alright where are the appropriate places to do that. The other avenue is right going back to the state and saying all right maybe a little too far on this one and retaining some local control about the decisions that are best for our community.

- [Mayor] As a side note to that I am working the latter part of that, I think the state took too much of our authority away. I've been meeting with our senators, our legislators, you guys are gonna do what you want as a body but that was wrong what they did. Hey we give a lot of conditional use permits here but you give them, not the state.

- [Dorff] So what legal ramifications are there if if we do say no, we have to have reasons that are measurable, reasonable conditions. Measurable conditions, there was a third. I can't remember what the third thing was but.

- Just have to be related to health, safety, and welfare.

- [Dorff] Health, safety and welfare. And we have to prove that health, safety and welfare will be negatively impacted. We don't prove that, we have to issue the conditional use permit?

- Correct.

- [Dorff] Thank You.

- [Mayor] Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you your honor. This is for director Vonck as well. So basically if if anyone requests a CUP is the city obligated to vote on it no matter what? I mean you know I guess in a sense you know looking at this it seems that that our hands our hands are tied locally so I understand that an Act 67 you talked about that and I understand that the mayor made his comments as well. So we understand that. But can anybody come forward and with a CUP request on some project and the city is obligated to vote on it regardless?

- Yes, so all CUPs run through planning commission and councils so if it enters zoning code it's identified as a conditional use in that district. They go through a process and it ends up here. But I think as I described if you're going to say no, it makes a lot harder to say no.

- [Steuer] All right I also wanted to ask is there a time frame as far as the transformation house making sure that all items in the CUP are upheld? An actual time frame, or is it based on meetings with council and such?

- I guess if you would decide to hold it and they are able to submit all those materials in a timely fashion before your next meeting then it would be held until your next meeting or until they're able to provide those materials for your review.

- [Steuer] Okay, thank you, that's all I have.

- [Mayor] Okay I don't have any other lights on. Oh no, you know. Your lights not on but I'll recognize you. Alderman Scannell?

- Your honor, I would just like to say that having new community and St. Johns and Transformation House in my district from the get-go homelessness was an issue and I did do some homework on this, I'm not going to profess to be a scholar but and yes it's difficult to show causation but a community that provides services is going to be a much healthier and vibrant community. That seems to be pretty worn out by many studies that if you look at community that doesn't they have many more problems. I think the problems we've had in the past with St. John's were that they were an emergency shelter and we're dealing with people they should not have had to deal with, they were falling through the cracks. They were not getting the services they needed and so they're on our streets where they should not be and that's a problem. So we need places like the St. John's and New Community and the Transformation House if we're gonna have a healthy community, which will affect property values crime,, the whole works, so, yes it's tough to prove causation but they have a number of studies I've looked at look at places that provide services and those that don't. And yeah there's there's a difference. Does it prove causation, well you know there's so many variables how can you actually say that. But there does appear to be, if you provide the services, you have a healthier more vibrant community. So I support this and I guess it doesn't matter if we do the CUP now or later, I think Transformation House will

have no problem filling these obligations one way or the other so if we do it now or later I'm fine either way, thank you.

- [Mayor] So what is this, is this to hold until the conditions are met? You get the floor again, let's get this thing going. I think we're ready to vote.

- All right, so we're adding in background checks, the process to be approved by the Green Bay Police Department to show on-site planning. That they will have adequate parking. Also added in a quarterly report on calls for police services at the facility. Take out the operation plan, any reference to soup kitchen. And that the CUP would be voted on by council once all the checks are done that we're asking for. And from there then it would move for certification for occupancy.

- So that's the motion on the floor.

- [Steuer] Second. Motion by Alderman Galvin, second by Alderman Steuer. And that is the list of things he mentioned. We're gonna hold the issuance of the CUP until those conditions are met. Nobody else, I'm gonna use the board. Just holding this item until the conditions in the CUP are met as stated by the alderman. Okay you guys wanna vote in front of everybody? Can I take your voice vote on this? And that passes on a vote of 11 to one, so we'll be holding this item for further notice. Okay clerk.

- [Clerk] Report of the park meeting February 27, 2019.

- All right, what are your wishes regarding part of the park committee there's a motion Alderman Nicholson. Yeah I think we had finance. We have P. Okay, let's go, P.

- [Clerk] Report of the finance committee.

- Pull report P, go ahead.

- [Clerk] Report of the Finance Committee February 26, 2019. What are your wishes regarding the report of the finance committee, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Dorff] Second.

- Second by Alderperson Dorff. To approve the report of the Finance Committee, that was for their meeting held on February 26th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Three and four. Hearing no others, all in favor of the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. And those apposed nays, ayes have it. And all items have been approved the exception of three and four. What are you wishes regarding item three?

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- There's a motion to approve by Alderman Scannell.

- [Stevens] Second.

- Second by Alderman Stevens to approve item three which is to move the City Hall project elevators forward. Alderman Scannell.

- Thank you, your honor. This was in INS, it was forwarded without a recommendation and I watched the video and I appreciate halters wanting to prioritize and and focusing on roads, yes that's a great need, but this elevator has been kicked down the road and kicked down the road and we're finally addressing it it's been long overdue. It's broken down it's, it's broken down and with people in it it's a liability, it's not gonna get any cheaper fixing it, I mean it's been bid and rebid. It's time to get this done so I make a motion that we approve the that we amend the INS motion to approve.

- [Mayor] You can take it up in finance. You understand we borrowed.

- I was trying to do both.

- [Mayor] \$500,000 to fix these elevators? \$430,000, went out for bids they came back at like a million dollars, so you would take that \$480 we're asking, this is a finance Alderman because we need the money. We're looking to bond an additional \$570,000.

- But it was also in INS that we needed to put the two together, we put the two together. And then INS we need to amend that one to approve.

- You're right, I just didn't want to approve something without the money, so that's why it's here. If you approve this I will amend INS to show that we approve the bid.

- Okay.

- [Mayor] So do you have anything else to say about this?

- Just that you know elevators, they're very uplifting until they bring you down.

- [Mayor] Your ten minutes is up. Anyone else want to speak to this? All right you can use the board on this. This is item three of the finance committee to approve the request City Hall elevators and bond an additional \$570,000 in 2019.

- [Mayor] Okay, so that passes on a vote of nine to three. Now what are your wishes regarding item four? Four is to have the staff proceed as directed in closed session. He brought us down. I thought I said that by approving this funding we approved the bid because I wasn't going to approve a bid without the funding, but do you want to. Given the action on item three, we also approve the bid for the elevators.

- There's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Wery. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. Those opposed nay. Okay we're on item four. Item four is to have the staff proceed as directed in closed session regarding the BIA. So there's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Dorff] Second.

- Second by Alderman Dorff. How do you want to handle this? Do you want to go into closed session, do you know what staff was directed to do? Alderman Brunette.

- Thank you mayor. I'd like to make a motion to enter into closed session.

- [Man] Second.

- [Mayor] Now if you'll read why?

- Oh yes, council may convene in closed session pursuant to section 19.851G Wisconsin statutes for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The council may thereafter reconvene an open session for pursuant to section 19.852 Wisconsin statutes to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

- There was a motion, a second to go to closed session for the reason stated by the alderman. If you use the board on this I vote will move into closed session no vote will stay here.

- All right I'll entertain a motion to return to regular order.

- [Nicholson] Second.

- Second Nicholson, second by Alderman Scannel, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nay. There's discussion in closed session on how to proceed regarding some pending properties. But the Oneida's would like to put into trust. And I think we should use the board and vote on that. Those who think we should contest these five properties going into trust would vote yes. Those who think we should not contest the five properties should vote no. So yes vote we would continue to contest this and incur some legal fees.

- All right so that decision by the council is a six-six vote to contest these properties. I'm gonna vote aye on that, that we continue the course we're on until we can really come up with some meaningful negotiation and we'll use the money from our contingency fund to continue this course and Vanessa will update the finance committee at its next regular meeting. Okay clerk.

- [Clerk] We're on to report of the park committee February 27 2019.

- There's a motion Alderman Nicholson. Second by Alderman Scannell to approve the report of the park committee for the meeting held on February 27th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Item three, hearing none others all in favor the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Those opposed nays, ayes have it. What are your wishes regarding item three?

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderperson Dorff. Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you, your honor. I just had a quick question on this. There's three properties along Gray Street and one of these is the one that's in question. The other two properties what's the status on those or is that?

- Yeah currently they're still all under private ownership and the city will look at purchasing them as the opportunities arise, but we're not looking at that right now at this time.

- [Steur] Okay thank you that's all I have.

- [Mayor] So we have a motion and a second to approve item three, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- [Mayor] And those opposed nays, ayes have it. Item three has now been approved. We're onto report R which is the report of the Personnel Committee. What are your wishes regarding this report?

- [Scannell] Motion to approve. There's a motion to approve by Alderman Scannell. Second by Alderperson Lefebvre. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- [Mayor] And those opposed, nays, ayes have it. Report R has been approved.

- [Clerk] Report of the Public Arts Commission February 27, 2019.

- All right there's a motion by Alderman Scannell.

- [Stevens] Second. Second by Alderperson Craig Stevens to approve the report of the Public Service public Arts Commission report S for the meeting held on February 27th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately?

- [Man] Number two.

- Two, none others, all in favor the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Ad those opposed nays, eyes have it. All items have been approved with the exception of item 2. What are your wishes regarding item two?

- [Dorff] Move to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alderperson Dorff, Second by Alderperson Scannell. Alderman Galvin?

- I'm just wondering \$300 for a boat race, arts? I'm trying to figure the, grab the correlation there. Unless I'm misreading this, but \$300 to the Boys and Girls Club for.

- [Mayor] Okay maybe our chief of staff can comment on that. She sits on Public Arts.

- Yes, Alder Galvin, the Boys and Girls Club, the Dragon Boat Race is a fundraiser and what they intend to do is to have a music event and so the Public Arts commission funds performing, visual, as well as humanities.

- [Galvin] Okay thank you.

- [Mayor] All right so a motion, a second to approve item two. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nay, ayes have it and item two's been approved. We're onto report T, report of sustainability commission.

- [Scannell] Approve.

- Motion to approve by Alderman Scannell.

- [Stevens] Second.

- Second by Alderman Stevens. What would you like to discuss here, Alderman Wery?

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. My question would be or Attorney Chavez. At this point what is the city's obligation? Are we obligated to anything as far as what they're putting in writing so far? Randy do you want to sing?

- Can we do a duet?

- Sure.

- [Mayor] I would hope not.

- [Wery] And I wanted to just point out some of the goals and there are you know obviously very good but some of them you know the 100% renewable goal I think really should be where reasonable and cost-effective and that's really doesn't come across if you if you look at the meetings it's pretty much 100% or nothing. That's the goal, and I think it needs to be more reasonable and cost-effective and so if there's gonna be something going back to that committee they need to, I'm not gonna sign on it out of the line that forces us to do things that do not make sense economically. Yeah but if you read what they're putting forward we're kind of signing on to it we're saying it looks good so far it looks good so far looks good so far and I just want to make sure.

- [Mayor] We love renewable energy but at what price?

- [Wery] Right I mean we should always be looking for that.

- [Mayor] So I don't know that they would have that authority quite honestly.

- So a couple things when I'm looking at this there isn't anything in here that gives me pause as far as imposing obligations upon the city. More importantly I am trying to find it right now but I am 98% sure that the commission is advisory only.

- [Wery] Okay that's what I thought. I know that there was talk that they'll be bringing forward some policy and ordinance type things, so we can wait for that discussion later. But they need to also look at maybe a little bit more realistic approach too, thanks.

- [Mayor] All right thank you. So we have a motion, second to approve item T. Okay, Alderperson Lefebvre. I think if Alder Wery looked at F and G, it actually states that they're talking about, actually G says the policy recommendation recommends to meet the scientific needs with consideration to the economic realities. They're talking about the turnaround. Certain things, you don't want to do certain things even if it's green or whatever if you don't have the turn around, ten years or so. You have to have the money back for what you're doing. And I think that's exactly what it's stating here that they want to make sure that you we're not throwing money just away, it's got to be done right. That's my take on it.

- [Mayor] 10 years is debatable. Okay so we have a motion, second to approve item T all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- And those opposed nay. All right we're onto referral and petitions communications. Okay, we'll begin with Alderman John Vanderleest.

- Late communication regrets at the city rebate sustainability Ccmmission renewable energy work plan we refer to the Finance Committee and finance director to real costs, in other words if it goes that far as far as what they're gonna what the cost would incur.

- [Mayor] Thank you, that will be referred. Who else? Alderman Steuer?

- Thank you, your honor. I've got several here. One's to the water utility to report out on payment scenarios for water bills to apartment renters. This next one is protection and policy to have the police department report on strategies and resources dealing with the human trafficking issue in Green Bay. Next one is Landmarks Commission to report on the status of the city of Green Bay obtaining certified local government status. And lastly the Community Development to report out in the number of units of housing in Green Bay with respect to single family, two family and multi-family. And what percentages of total housing in Green Bay that they represent, thank you.

- [Mayor] That report's available. Anything else?

- [Man] Motion to adjourn. I need a motion and second to refer all petitions and communications to the proper authority. All in favor of that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. And now.

- [Nicholson] Motion to adjourn.

- Motion to adjourn by Alderman Nicholson. Second by Alderman Steuer. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. Those opposed nay, we're adjourned.