



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

**TUESDAY, MARCH 19, 2019, 6:00 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
ROOM 203, CITY HALL**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Bill Galvin, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Barbara Dorff, Randy Scannell, Andy Nicholson, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

C. INVOCATION.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

I. Approval of the minutes of the March 5, 2019 meeting.

E. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

F. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

The Mayor stated the next three Council meeting dates are April 1 and 15 with Mayor Schmitt and May 7 with the new mayor.

The Mayor recognized Ally from Grand Central Station, U-Haul, and Van's Lawn and Property Maintenance for their help during the flooding.

G. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

H. EMERGENCY DECLARATION

1. Discussion with possible action on the declaration of emergency regarding flooding in Green Bay.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to ratify. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

I. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (MARCH 11, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve with the exception of Item 4. Motion carried.

1. To approve a request by the owners of Pearly Gates, 3551 Finger Road, to hold an outdoor event on July 13, 2019, subject to complaint.

2. To approve an application for an available "Class B" Combination license for Baker & Mac Arthur, Inc. at 1699 E. Mason St. with a licensed premise description as "1st floor only, bar& restaurant area, kitchen & back storage room," with the approval of the proper authorities. (Filed in Clerk's Office 12-12-18).

3. To deny an appeal by Jacob De Cleene at 1063 Langlade to his reinspection fees.

4. Receive and place on file a request by Ald. Steuer to have the Police Department Report on strategies and resources dealing with the human trafficking issue in Green Bay.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve Item 4. Motion carried.

5. Receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for March 11, 2019.

J. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve. Motion carried.

I. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses.

K. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (MARCH 12, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.

I. To approve request to reclassify the Sr. Landscape Architect position to a Civil Engineer II position and request to fill the Civil Engineer II position and all subsequent vacancies as a result of internal transfers.

L. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (MARCH 7, 2019 AND MARCH 13, 2019).

Motion by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception of Item I. Motion carried.

I. To approve as amended the Department of Public Works Capital Improvement Program for 2019 to include a potential project for the Ellis Creek Watershed (Main Street corridor near Mason Street) in the 5-year Capital Improvement Program; add Haven Place to the 2019 Capital Improvement Program; and all remaining wheel tax revenue to be placed in a capital reserve fund. (March 7, 2019)

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve as originally submitted and remove the amendments.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to challenge the chair to have separation of the items. Motion failed.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to hold until April 15 to get more information.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to hold until April 15 to get more information and what is submitted so far, the original plan, is approved. Motion carried.

Yes-Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

2. To hold until the March 27, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Jason Proctor to rescind the snow/ice removal charge of \$73 at 1708 12th Avenue. (March 13, 2019)

3. To hold until the March 27, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Janet Angus to discuss the City's sidewalk snow removal policy along narrow terrace streets such as West Mason Street near Locust Street. (March 13, 2019)

4. To approve the request by the Department of Public Works to award a contract for miscellaneous Architecture and Engineering Services to Berners Schober Associates, Inc. for 2019-2020. (March 13, 2019)

5. To approve the 2018 Annual Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Storm Water Report and authorize staff to submit the report to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. (March 13, 2019)

6. To approve the award of the following contracts: (March 13, 2019)

- A. PAVEMENT REPAIR - 2019
- B. RESURFACING 1-19
- C. SEWERS 1-19 (INCLUDING WATER MAIN)
- D. SEWERS 2-19

7. To approve the application for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's License by Fischer Ulman Construction Inc. (March 13, 2019)

8. To approve the applications for Tree & Brush Trimmer Licenses by the following: (March 13, 2019)

- A. A-I Tree Service
- B. Beaver Tree Specialists

C. Selner Tree & Shrub Care, LLC

9. To approve the request to order improvements completed and assessments levied for: (March 13, 2019)

ASPHALT RESURFACING

S. BUCHANAN STREET - Badger Street to Shawano Avenue
DELWOOD DRIVE - Harvest Road to Delwiche Road
FARLIN AVENUE - Danz Avenue to 230' east of Turek Street
FIESTA LANE - Laura Street to cul-de-sac east
JAMES STREET- Lincoln Street to Ashland Avenue
LAURA STREET - Debra Lane to Lilac Lane
LILAC LANE - Irene Street to Schoen Street
MARYLAND AVENUE - Skyline Blvd. to Bretcoe Drive
PREBLE AVENUE - Turek Street to east end
REDLEY COURT - Twelfth Avenue to cul-de-sac west
SKYLINE BLVD. - Finger Road to Hillside Lane
ST. JAMES CIRCLE - Laverne Drive to cul-de-sac south
TUREK STREET - Preble Avenue to Farlin Avenue
WIRTZ AVENUE - 9th Street to St. Paul Street

CONCRETE PAVEMENT

ERIE ROAD - 805' S/O East Mason Street to south City limits

SANITARY SEWER AND/OR SANITARY SEWER LATERALS

ERIE ROAD - 805' S/O East Mason Street to south City limits

STORM SEWER AND/OR STORM SEWER LATERALS

ERIE ROAD - 830' S/O East Mason Street to south City limits

WATER MAIN AND/OR WATER SERVICES

ERIE ROAD - 830' S/O East Mason Street to south City limits

M. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (MARCH 12, 2019).

Motion by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve with the exception of

Item 5. Item 5 will be taken up with N. Committee of the Whole Items 1 and 2.

1. To approve a resolution authorizing 2019 transfer of \$3,575.58 from contingency account for one-time costs related to equipment repairs on air conditioner pump and Halon control panel in IT server room.

2. To approve the request from the Finance Director for contingency funding of \$12,500 for a comprehensive Financial Management Planning report using an outside service.

3. To approve a resolution authorizing transfer of 2019 budgeted revenue and expenses between accounts.

4. To receive and place on file the request that the City of Green Bay Sustainability Commission Renewable Energy work plan be referred to the Finance Committee and Finance Director to review cost estimates associated with this work plan. Renewable energy is very expensive so having a transition plan to move toward renewable energy for the City of Green Bay will require large capital budget requests at a time when the City can't afford these costs.

5. To have Staff move forward as directed in closed session on the Notice of Decision from the US Dept of the Interior regarding the intent to take land into trust – Kolb parcel.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

6. To approve the Report of the Claims Committee.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is

likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

N. COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

Motion by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to move into closed session to discuss agenda Items M.5, N.1, and N.2.

Ald. Barbara Dorff read the following closed session language: The Council may convene in closed session to discuss agenda Items M.5 and N.1 pursuant to Sections 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal council for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council will then stay in closed session to discuss agenda Item N.2 pursuant to Section 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting the other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Authority may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Yes-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre No-Andy Nicholson

I. Consideration with possible action on Notices of Decision from the US Dept of the Interior regarding the intent to take land into trust – Bruss and Duquaine parcels.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to return to regular order of business at 8:16 p.m. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to move forward with recommendation given by Council during closed session. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, No- Barbara Dorff, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

2. Update with possible action regarding purchase of property at 227 N. Quincy St., 221 N. Quincy St., 624-626 Pine St., 618 Pine St., 616 Pine St., and 605 Cherry St. (Tax Parcels 11-156, 11-157, 11-158, 11-159, 11-160, and 11-191).

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating

Minutes of the Common Council

the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to revisit Item 2 at the April 1 Council meeting to gather more information. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

O. RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

1. Building Permit Report for February 2019

2. Municipal Court Report for February 2019.

P. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules to adopt resolutions 1 through 8 together with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to adopt resolutions 1-8. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

1. Resolution authorizing 2019 transfer of \$3,575.58 from contingency account for one-time costs related to equipment repairs on air conditioner pump and Halon control panel in IT server room.

2. Resolution authorizing transfer of 2019 budgeted revenue and expenses between accounts.

3. Resolution authorizing transfer of contingency funding of \$12,500 for a comprehensive Financial Management Planning report using an outside service.

4. Final resolution authorizing asphalt resurfacing improvements and levying special assessments against property.

5. Final resolution authorizing concrete pavement improvements and levying special assessments against property.

6. Final resolution authorizing sanitary sewer and/or sanitary sewer lateral improvements and levying special assessments against property.

7. Final resolution authorizing storm sewer and/or storm sewer lateral improvements and levying special assessments against property.

8. Final resolution authorizing water main and/or water services and levying special assessments against property.

Q. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to refer the petitions and communications to the appropriate Committee or Commission. Motion carried.

Request by Ald. Barbara Dorff to the Protection and Policy Committee stating: "I believe that steps must be taken to ensure that infra structure projects, including but not limited to those that the wheel tax will fund, must be chosen very carefully and be placed into a capital improvement plan. I am requesting that P and P develop policy with the help of DPW, legal and other appropriate staff. This policy must be developed so that the special interest and requests of individual alders do not subvert the process of choosing the projects that have been carefully researched by city staff as being appropriate for placement in a five year plan. Parameters for choosing streets to be repaired, reconstructed or resurfaced as well as other infra structure improvements should be clearly identified within this policy. "

Request by Ald. Andy Nicholson to the Improvement & Services Committee requesting Main & Mason St be included in the 2020 Capitol Improvement Program.

Request by Ald. Jesse Brunette to the Protection & Policy Committee for the City to develop a
Minutes of the Common Council

resolution, or policy and procedure to notify property owners near parcels of land with a pending or approved application to be transferred from fee to trust status. My overarching concern is for the City and the Oneida Nation-in goodwill-to notify affected property owners of the new governing jurisdiction, code enforcement, and zoning authority of the parcel recently transferred or applied to be transferred and to provide an official forum for public input and comment.

R. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to adjourn at 8:22 p.m. Motion carried.

Kris A. Teske
Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes, in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at greenbaywi.gov

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Mark. Are you ready? All right. Alderman Wery, hello. We good? All right. Is he in?
- He's in?
- [Clerk] No. Yep, now he is.
- All right. All right good evening and welcome. I want to call to order the meeting of the Common Council for Tuesday, March 19th. Clerk, how are we doing on the roll call?
- 12 are present, Your Honor.
- All right, we're all here. Obviously we have a quorum so let's proceed. If you'd all please now rise for the Pledge of Allegiance and then remain standing for the invocation.
- [All] I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

- Heavenly Father, as we finally enter the new season of spring, a season of warmer weather and more sunshine, let us as city leaders use this season to bring warmth and sunshine to our neighborhoods and to the greater Green Bay community. This past week many communities experienced severe weather conditions. We're grateful for the many people in public service, protective services, inspection and the charitable organizations who have bestowed the true meaning of a good neighbor and a public servant during these times. Let us as a community and as leaders continue to be a source of safety and rebuilding for the great people of Green Bay. Also during this spring season, we pray for the many college students traveling during this time, many of whom will be using their well-deserved Spring Break to do good works throughout our community. Bless these students for their good deeds and compassion. As always, we ask for your continued blessing on those who serve the military, especially those serving on the USS Green Bay. We ask this in your name, amen. All right with that I'll entertain a motion for approval of the minutes of the March Fifth meeting, there is a motion by Alderman Nicholson and seconded by Alderman Scannell. Any things here you want to change, correct? I see no lights, all in favor of that motion, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed, nay, the I's have it and the minutes for the March Fifth meeting have been approved. I am now looking for a motion to approve the agenda. It's a motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderperson Lefebvre to approve the Council agenda before you. Anything you want to change here? I see no lights, all in favor, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed nay, the I's have it and the agenda before you has been approved for tonight's meeting.

- [Clerk] Report by the Mayor.

- Just a couple things, right? First and foremost as I mentioned in the invocation, just a big thank you to everyone and Council Members and city staff who were so compassionate and helpful with this flood that we experienced. We're gonna be taking up a motion in just a few minutes on this emergency declaration but before we do that, I, Cheryl and the Chief and the Chief and so many

Minutes of the Common Council

people really were Green Bay about this whole thing and terrific and just a couple places that the Chief and I stopped at but, Celestine help me out here, the gas station. I just want to give Ali at Grand Central Station a shout out on Mason and what is it, Bellevue? Just opened up the place, free coffee, a place to stay warm, we provided transit but I thought that was awesome and then U-Haul, that guy stepped up and said look, he's got two locations in Green Bay and free storage and it was just terrific, they didn't have to do that. We stopped by and thanked them. We invited them to come to the Council Meeting tonight but like most people in Green Bay were working so they couldn't get off work to come here but I told them I was gonna mention them. Oh yeah Vance, yeah. You talked to him but I'm just so grateful for people who have done that, so I just want to thank them. Secondly, so I'm wrapping up my term here. Our next Council Meeting is April First, right? So that's a Monday and then we have the election on April Second. The new Mayor will take over on the 16th, so we're gonna have our last Council meeting on April 15th, that'd be a Monday, six o'clock here and then after that, May Seventh. So I've met with both finalists and I'm happy for both of them and the city will make the right decision and I'm excited about the transition. So April First, April 15th with me. May Seventh with the new Mayor. That'll conclude my report. I'll get into that emergency declaration in just a minute.

- [Clerk] On to announcements.

- Okay, hang on a second. Alderperson Lefebvre?

- Yes, on March 30th at the Brown County Central Library, Laura Lovell, the League of Women of Greater Green Bay are sponsoring a program. The film is Oceans: the Mystery of the Missing Plastic and the program is on plastic and recycling by Mark Walters of the Brown County Resource and Recovery, questions after. The welcome is at one o'clock, the film 1:30 and the program at 2:30. Thank you.

- All right, thank you. And Alderman Steuer?

- Thank you, Your Honor. I'd also like to reiterate thanks. I have several citizens in my district that have actually gone out and helped some of the citizens in the flood areas. I've talked to Cheryl about this as well and Chief Goplin, just taking about the possibility of people stepping up and helping but I realize it's a safety issue. There's a lot of things that you have to be careful of and such but just to let you know that there are citizens out there that do care and they wanna help. I'd also like to welcome Melinda Roberts, I know she can raise her hand over there. She is a reporter for the Press Times, it's a new newspaper in Green Bay. Another newspaper, remember the days when we had two newspapers? It's called the Press Times, so she'd like to visit with all of you, give your card to her and she's gonna be reporting on City Hall meetings. That's all I have. Welcome.

Minutes of the Common Council

- Welcome, Melinda. Okay, Clerk.

- [Clerk] We're on to emergency declaration discussion and possible action on the declaration of emergency regarding flooding in Green Bay.

- So I talked to a few of you this weekend and this flood really triggered the need for an emergency declaration and we do this for a couple reasons. One is it allows us to spend emergency dollars to enact the emergency provisions of closing streets and really puts us in a different mode. It also allows us to petition for additional dollars should we hit certain thresholds. While we don't have the financing done and what's gonna be in contingency and how that's going to be dealt with just yet, it was imperative that the city move into this emergency declaration. Like I said I talked to a few of you and it's on here for adoption, we can talk about it. The Chief can speak on it. Maybe I'll call in Chief Goplin, then Vanessa and then we'll take Council action. So with that, Chief Goplin?

- Yeah, the declaration would essentially--

- Hang on.

- I'm sorry. The declaration would allow to reach out for Federal aid in the event that we reach those thresholds. It also allows for the Emergency Powers under the Emergency Operations Plan and those outlined in state statute. As said, it gives the ability to block roads and basically enact all Emergency Powers during the course of the emergency. So really one of the key points is paving the way if necessary to receive Federal aid and really help to restore the community as fast as we can.

- [Jim] And Vanessa, do you want to comment on that? We can talk a little bit this weekend.

- Yes, so just as far as the process goes, the Mayor has the authority to declare the disaster by proclamation. The disaster declaration itself is subject to ratification, alteration, modification and repeal by the governing body which is what you guys are doing now. But the caveat is that subsequent action taken by the governing body does not affect the proper validity of the proclamation. So if you guys change something now, everything that happened up until this point is still effective.

- So that's in your attachment. I don't know that that's on their desk but it's--

- It's in the attachment.

- It's in the attachment. I'm gonna just get some comments here. I just want to get, Alderman Galvin wanted to say something. Alderman, go ahead.

- Thank you and this is just piggybacking. The response from the city was in my estimation very well-coordinated and very well done with a lot of good thought process that was done. Fire Chief Goplin, his boss Chief Smith, Celestine, Cheryl, whenever I needed information or I had people calling me up with questions, I was able to reach out to any one of them and get answers within just a few minutes and it sure seemed to me like they had or were trying to cover all the bases and all the contingencies that could come up as this thing progressed and people, as it got worse and then as they got things under control and they were taking care of people and getting answers to help 'em out, like picking up the garbage and everything else, that was something that just took a couple of phone calls and emails and that was figured out and then when I went out and toured some of the damaged area talking to residents, some of the stories they had about, especially DPW workers, firemen and policemen, individual stories about how they were helped either with issues that occurred on their property or when they pointed out problems at neighbors' properties or concerns about neighbors and their welfare, it was just really neat to see how everything worked and came together and we've all seen and read stories about other instances in other communities where things didn't work out quite so well and although it's been 29 years since we had an incident like this, the city sure seemed prepared and ready to deal with it as it progressed. I just want to again, I know other people have thanked them but from all the residents in my district, nothing but good stories about how the city came up and helped out as much as they could during this trying time. Thank you.

- Thanks. I've gotta add one story to that. I'm just gonna speak out of order for one minute. So the Chief and I went out on Friday and out in your neck of the woods on Goodell.

- Yep.

- We went to Mason, we went to Goodell and that water was just really rushing. It was dangerous water, you couldn't stand there and this guy's moving out of his house. Suitcase and kid and he's like "Oh, the Mayor's here. "Can I get a selfie with you?" I'm like "Are you kidding me?" He was just so

grateful and wasn't mad and I'm like "Yeah okay but I just," again he was appreciative of what we had done up to that point where we're like "Look, you gotta go." Anyway, I think that's a memory that I'll carry with me for a long, long time. I think that was awesome. So I need a motion then to adopt this emergency declaration from Alderperson Scannell and seconded by Alderperson Dorff. Any other discussion, Alderman Nicholson?

- Question Mayor, so let's say if we receive money from the Federal government, what are we gonna use the money for?

- [Jim] Offset expenses, we've been keeping very close track of everything. We had some overtime, the inspectors were in here on Saturday and Sunday. We obviously are incurring costs with public works and fire and police.

- Thank you.

- So we have a motion and a second, I'd like you to use the board on this so we have this documented properly. All right and that passes unanimously, thank you. We'll keep you posted on our expenses and how they're funded.

- [Clerk] We're on to the report of the Protection and Policy Committee, March 11th, 2019.

- All right, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderman Galvin to approve Report I which is the report of the Protection and Policy Committee and that was for their meeting held on March 11th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Number four. Okay, hearing no others, all in favor of the remainder of that report, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed, nay. The I's have it, all items have been approved with the exception of Item Four and what are your wishes regarding item four? Motion from Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Stevens. Alderman Steuer.

- Thank you, Your Honor. We talked about human trafficking a bit. The Lieutenant talked about it for a bit and I just wanted the Chief to just kinda reiterate a little bit about where the city is at at this time dealing with this issue.

- Chief Smith.

- Item Four, the request by Alderman Steuer on the strategies and resources dealing with human trafficking.

- Thank you sir, well human trafficking has become a big issue across the country. It's present in every city, probably every small town as well and Green Bay is no exception. I want people to be assured that we have professional detectives that are working on this. Craig Pachel is our lead detective in these cases. He follows these very, very closely. He works closely with people from the Sheriff's Department and also from the State DCI. The thing about human trafficking is that in the past we looked at just prostitution and I'm sure if you think back 20 or 30 years ago, we saw it as prostitution and we dealt with prostitutes and we'd catch their pimps once in awhile. But I think our thinking as a society and certainly in law enforcement has evolved over the past 10 or 20 years and now we look at it more of, these are true victims, these are people that are being exploited for two reasons. Mainly for sex, they're young women that are exploited for sex or they're usually foreign nationals that are exploited for labor and we don't really see the labor part here in Wisconsin or certainly not in Green Bay that I've come across in the time I've been here. But we do see women that get brought here for the purposes of sex trafficking and that comes from Milwaukee generally, Chicago sometimes, some of the bigger cities and where the individual commonly known as a pimp, it's still called a pimp will take this young person sometimes addicted to drugs, usually underage and transport them up here for the purposes of sex. In other states there's a circuit that they run where they'll go from Las Vegas to Los Angeles to San Francisco to San Diego and they do that. We don't really see that here. It's more isolated cases that we see up here. Detective Pachel as I said is our lead on this. Most of the trafficking occurs as a result of things that happen on the internet and a lot of the internet back and forth, he pays very close attention to that. He does make trafficking arrests. We recently, I guess it was a few months back got a young woman who was here, trafficked up from Milwaukee for the purposes of sexual exploitation. We also have a detective that works on internet crimes against children, those would be the people that troll the internet looking for young children to find, meet up and go have liaisons with. So we're on top of that as well. We do john stings where we will sometimes put an ad out ourself and catch the johns that come in here to take part in this sex for money and we work also well with a lot of the nonprofits that try and get the women that are involved in this, the girls in some cases out of the life. Meaning we try and get 'em a safe spot, we work with Eye Heart World and some other social services agencies to get them out of this. Detective Pachel is fantastic, he's tireless on this. He knows a lot of the women that get involved in this. He's able to convince some of them to get out. He tracks the rest of 'em, gets to know them and know what their activities are and does everything he can to try to resolve it. But it is something that happens here, it happens all over America, Green Bay is no exception. We look at the spas as well. We have several massage parlors and spas. We pay attention to those that came, recently the Florida case came to prominence. We pay attention to those, those are much more tricky and

difficult to work but we have worked those in the past and we pay attention to them as much as we can.

- I just had one other point. Thank you for that Chief and I would just ask too the ordinance that we passed recently dealing with massage therapy places, has there been any study done on that with the Police Department or law or inspection per se that you know of that is helping with that issue?

- Not that I know of, I could check with our detectives and I'll shoot you an email back. I can find out what they've done as far as that.

- Thank you.

- Thank you, Alderman. So we have a motion and second to approve Item Four. All in favor, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed, nay. The I's have it, Item Four has been approved.

- [Clerk] Report of the Protection and Policy Committee granting operator licenses.

- There's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Nicholson to approve report Item J which is the Report of the Protection and Policy Committee granting the operator licenses. Are there any names under this report you wish to abstain from or handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of that report, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed nay, the I's have it and that report's been approved.

- [Clerk] Report of Personnel Committee, March 12th, 2019.

- There's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Wery to approve Report Item K which is the report of the Personnel Committee for their meeting held on March 12th. Any discussion here? Hearing none, all in favor of that item, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed nay, Report K has been approved.

- [Clerk] Report of the Improvement and Service Committee, March Seventh, 2019 and March 13th, 2019.

- There's a motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderman Dorff to approve report L which is the report of the Improvement and Service Committee meetings held on March Seventh and March 13th. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- Number One.

- Number One. Okay hearing none others, all in favor of the remainder of that report, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed, nay. The I's have it, all items have been approved with the exception of Item One. What are your wishes regarding Item One? Motion by Alderman Scannell, seconded by Alderman Wery. Alderperson Dorff?

- Thank you. So the reason I've asked this to come to the floor tonight is because I'd like to propose an amendment to it and I want to give a little background as to why. I watched the last two Improvement and Services Committee meetings and a point of concern for me was the addition of streets and changing of the proposal that Director Grenier had put forth to that Committee. I felt very uncomfortable having Alders ask for streets in their district and all of us weren't there and I'm not even sure that any of us should be asking to change a Capital Improvement Plan that's been planned by staff. That's typically what we have voted on and later on I'll be presenting a communication regarding policy. But in the meantime I would like to first ask if Director Grenier would talk about his Public Works Capital Improvement Program and also about the reason that he might want to put some of the money toward bonding rather than putting it in the Capital Reserve Fund. Director Grenier, can you do that?

- I'm not sure exactly what you're looking for as far as the presentation of the program itself.

- [Barb] I'm looking for, I know all the Alders were not at the meeting and don't know if all the Alders have watched the meeting but you had a very good plan that you put in front of the Improvement and Services Committee that was not accepted and it was amended. So can you just hit the high points of the plan? And I also want you to talk to me about why you wanted to put money toward bonding rather than using it right now?

- [Jim] We're gonna narrow this down just a little bit. I don't really want to go through your whole capital thing. So we want to talk about the amendments.

- Okay, we could do that.

- I'm just thinking 'cause you had a plan that was approved and your concern is it was amended.

- [Barb] Okay, that would be my concern. I would like to make a motion to approve--

- [Jim] I didn't mean to interrupt you. I just don't want Steve going back to the birth of Christ on this thing.

- [Barb] He doesn't need to do that but I just want it to be clear that there's a very well thought out comprehensive plan. Maybe that's all we need to say and I think the important part of it is not placing money in the Capital Reserve Fund. The reasoning for not doing that.

- Okay the reasoning, what we're looking at here is originally when we had discussed instituting a vehicle registration fee, based on the number of vehicles that the Department of Motor Vehicles were projecting, what they were reporting registered using a city of Green Bay address, we anticipated to be able to recover about \$2.1 million from vehicle registration fees. I have been in contact with most of the municipalities in the state who have instituted a vehicle registration fee and they have pretty consistently told me that their experience, that number that's provided by DMV is about 30% over what the actual is. During the first year of instituting that kind of a fee, folks who live in a suburb but have a Green Bay address, so this was consistent in Appleton and some of the other municipalities, surrounding jurisdictions, those folks correct their address with the DMV pretty quickly when they're gonna get charged a fee and I have consultation with the Finance Department. Finance has told us that we are tracking through the first couple of months of the year, instead of about 2.1 we're looking at about \$1.3 million in wheel tax revenue. Presuming that more people buy cars in the summer than they do in the winter, we're anticipating those numbers to trend upward a little bit. We're anticipating more in the \$1.5 million range which would be consistent with about a 30% decrease in the number of vehicles as projected by DMV. So with that in mind, when we put the Financial Report as part of the Capital Improvement Plan together, we were anticipating about \$1.5 million in vehicle registration fee revenue. For the streets that are in the program this year, about \$783,500 would have otherwise been assessed. So those are the assessments that are being offset by that wheel tax revenue. We have about \$220,000 worth of rail crossing upgrades which are in desperate need of being done with no other way to fund it and that's again one of the things that we looked at here. We had been working pretty closely with Canadian National on getting them to take care of some things. But this is above and beyond what CN has planned for the year and that leaves about \$496,500. So again speaking with the Finance Department, we are trying to pay cash when we can and offset bonding which was another item that was listed as a wheel tax revenue, or revenue expenditure line item. In speaking with Director Ellenbecker, there are several things relative to the bonding and right now the way this plan sits, we are looking at about \$4.2 million in levy support and bonding and a total of about \$11.8 million in overall bonding. This could have an impact as we move forward going into the budget season which is coming up. It's really right around the corner. So DPW is trying to be cognizant of that, taking a look at the total amount of outstanding debt that's gonna be retired versus new debt coming on because that has an impact on our ability to go back and utilize unused levy increases from prior years. I believe the last year or two we have not been able to do that because our total outstanding debt continues to increase, so our thought was to utilize that \$496,500 to not bond and try to hold our bonding requests in check.

- [Barb] So just to clarify that, in that way we're trying to get ourselves kind of out of a hole here. We didn't raise taxes for a long time, it's had some consequences. So we'd be able to up the levy possibly if we voted to do that, we'd have the ability to do that because our debt service would not be so high as to prevent us from doing that?

- Not only the debt service. The payment on the actual bonding becomes a combination of debt service from the levy and from the other sources that the borrowing's coming from. But my understanding is, and I hope Director Ellenbecker will correct me if I'm wrong here but the total outstanding debt, if your total outstanding debt increases year to year, you lose that ability to go back and get that unrealized levy increase. So if you didn't increase your levy to the maximum extent that you could, I believe the time period is five years that you can go back and do a one time increase kind of thing.

- [Barb] So this is kind of a global, we're helping the entire city by trying to do something like this.

- When we prepare a program like this, we're not simply looking at our needs. We are in consultation with other departments around the city trying to make sure that it is a holistic approach to something.

- [Jim] Okay. All right, I don't want to do the budget tonight and I get all of this but you are concerned about--

- I am concerned that--

- About the amendments?

- I am concerned that things were added. I want it to go back to the Public Works Capital Improvement Plan as it was presented to take the amendments out and then later on we'll have a discussion in the future about how we are gonna structure what streets and what improvements are made. How it's gonna be accepted but that's not for right now. So for the time being, just to approve the Department of Public Works Capital Improvement Program as originally stated by Director Grenier would be my motion.

- It is. Really your motion is to amend this to remove as amended but that's gonna get confusing.

- Is that how you do it?

- [Jim] You want to go back to the original. The motion on the floor is to approve as amended. So I do think, what's that?

- [Alderman] Point of order, I believe she has yet to receive the place on file.

- No, she's not.

- No, no no no no. No.

- I think she wants to go back to the original--

- To the original.

- [Jim] And I think then it was amended at Committee and she wants to go back to the original. So really the proper motion is to amend this to remove as amended.

- Okay, then that's the motion I'm making. To remove as amended.

- [Jim] How else do you want to word it? I mean she wants to go back to the original. That's not what she wants.

- We need Capital Improvement. That's not what I want.

- I see, I see.

- I just want to go back to the way it was before additions were made.

- [Jim] Your motion, I want to make that, your motion is, should I say to remove as amended or should I say to adopt the original?

- [Aldersperson] To remove the, to remove the amendments and adopt the original.

- Oh, we could do both. How about I move to approve the original Department of Public Works Capital Improvement Program for 2019 and to remove the amendments?

- Point of order.

- Fine. Yeah I wanna get this on, I'll take that first, go ahead.

- There's two different issues, I want separation.

- [Jim] No, she's saying the same thing. If you remove the word "as amended," you're back to the original. She just wanted clarification. So what she is recommending, she wants to amend L-One to say, to approve as originally submitted and remove amendments to the Department of Public Works Capital Improvement Plan.

- I'm requesting separation.

- [Jim] Yeah, help me with what's to separate.

- Well there's the project of Main and Mason and it's separate from, what's the other one called, Haven?

- [Barb] Yeah, all of 'em.

- Haven.

- [Barb] And all remaining will, tax revenue will be placed in the Capital Reserve Fund.

- [Jim] I think she wants to remove--

- [Barb] Everything that was changed.

- Those were the amendments.

- I just want separation. We could vote on each one separate.

- [Jim] I don't think so, the motion's gonna stand and he can add those back in if he wants or wants to.

- Make another motion.

- Correct.

- [Jim] Yeah. I can't hear you. So, the Alderperson's motion is to remove amendments and adopt as originally submitted by the Department of Public Works. That's the motion and there's a second. So that's what we're discussing right now.

- I'm requesting separation. There's two different items that were separately discussed at Committee.

- [Jim] She wants to remove all the amendments. If you want to add 'em back in--

- I want to take each one separate. Everybody, the Council can vote on Main Street Corridor near Mason Street which is separate, totally different from--

- [Jim] I understand and it's dealing with the wheel tax, everything's separate. There were three amendments to the original submission, right? You Chair that Committee.

- Uh-huh, I only see two.

- Is that a yes? I thought there were three.

- What's the third one?

- [Jim] I thought there was a, something added to the Capital Improvement Program. There was the use of the bonding and there was something on Main and Mason.

- That's two, Mayor.

- [Alderman] They wanted the remaining to be put in the Capital Reserve.

- That's a third separate issue?

- Yes.

- Okay.

- Point of order.

- Yeah I need one, go ahead.

- In the spirit of expediency, I would just ask if Alder Dorff would perhaps consider amending her motion to take these items up separately, one at a time? Which would still get us to the same end result. One amendment.

- We can vote on this and then we can vote on the amendments.

- That's assuming the amendment were to pass. If it doesn't pass, then we're back at square one.

- [Barb] If it passes, we could then say I would like to amend and have discussion. Would that work?

- Yeah. No no no no, come on. There is a motion, the original motion was to approve as amended the Department of Public Works and then she--

- [Andy] I want separation so we can vote on each separate--

- Of the amendment and she's like I don't want to do that. She put the motion in, if the Council supports the amendment, then you can revisit this and add things back in, you can amend it.

- [Andy] One at a time.

- Vanessa, I think I'm right on this.

- [Vanessa] You can add it back and try it again.

- [Andy] Never done that before in 18, 17 years where we said separation, vote on each separate item and there's three different items in there.

- It's not presented as separate.

- [Andy] Yeah, that's strange. I'd say that's very strange, I don't know what happened there but I'm requesting separation.

- I'm not granting it.

- There's three different items discussed at the meeting and this is three separate items right here all mashed into one. I don't know what happened with that.

- And her motion is to go back to the original Public Works Capital Improvement Program, that's her motion.

- I'm requesting separation.

- Yeah. I'm saying her motion doesn't have all the separation. If we go back to the original and you want to add things back in, you can do that.

- [Andy] When people make motions, there's always separate--

- That's 'cause there's two or three things in the motion. You've been here one year longer than me and every time there's separation, one can read this and say I want these two items separated. There aren't two items, there's one item, the amendment.

- [Andy] Three items, three separate items.

- It's not spelled out.

- [Andy] As the Chairman, it shouldn't have been all mashed together, it should have been separated. It's three separate issues.

- All right, enough.

- [Andy] Have the City Council vote on the separation then.

- No, that's a ruling by the Chair and then they can challenge the Chair. One of those things that you guys do at the County. So I'm not going to allow that, all right?

- [Andy] I challenge the Chair. I want separation.

- Does he need a second to challenge the Chair or he doesn't have to in here? Yeah. All right. You don't need a second?

- Pardon?

- You don't need a second?

- I don't believe so. Seeing as I, but I heard a second.

- Yes, it's recorded.

- It is but you said there wasn't, I just want to say, right.

- Could've been a rich man.

- Who made the second?

- Alderman Wery. All right, so the Alderman is requesting separation and I'm saying the items aren't listed to separate and the motion is to approve the original, so use the board on this, if you support the Chair, you vote yes. No. Hang on. Yeah no no no, no you're right. If you support the motion, you vote yes. Sure.

- This is why I'm doing this, I didn't want this to happen. I don't want Alders being pitted against other Alders and "My district should come first." "No, my district should come first." That's not how we should be deciding things here. The people sitting in the room just 'cause they happen to be on the Committee putting forward their streets, I just felt that was so wrong. So that's why I don't want, I don't think they should be separated out. You can try to get 'em back in but that's why I'm saying all of this should go back to the way it was presented, the way we've always done it.

- [Jim] Your motion is to go back to the original. Yes sir? I didn't know this was on that motion. So the motion, this is on the whole challenge the Chair, that's what you're gonna speak on?

- No, I'm sorry.

- Okay. That's what we're voting on right now. If you, I said his motion's out of order because I think he's separating things that aren't here and I'm taking your motion on face value to go back to the original. So if you support Alderman Nicholson, you vote yes. If you support the Chair, you vote no and use the board. Yeah, go ahead.

- For her information, this is just information. It was before she was an Alderperson. I've had this, represented this Main and Mason Street Project over five years ago and somehow it got lost. I'm just trying to bring it to the surface for the second time, thank you.

- Sorry, I need to comment on that. Just give me a little leeway. The idea of pitting Alders against Alders, that definitely is not anything that happened in Improvement and Services. My Haven Place Road if you remember was in the Capital Improvement Plan last year but because of the special assessments, we delayed it a year. So there was excess revenue from the wheel tax, so at that moment then I said why don't we put Haven Place back in? That was a \$200,000 project. So it definitely was not any ill intent in anyone on that Committee and I don't want any of the other Council Members to get that impression, that's not what happened. Thank you.

- Thank you. Okay let's finish voting here.

- One more time.

- A yes vote goes with the Alderman. A no vote keeps the motion as one. Yeah. Yeah, we're awaiting two more votes. Okay Alderman Scannell, just Alderman Scannell. What's that? What would you like, do you want us to know? Okay, we're pretty strong here. All right, so that motion fails on a vote of eight to four. So the original motion or the motion by Alderperson Dorff is to adopt as originally submitted and remove the amendments. That's what we're discussing right now. Man Mike, this thing went out. You wanna raise your hand? I'm sorry. Mike, this went bad. Alderman Wery.

- Old school, all right. Thank you Mr. Mayor, couple of things to talk about. First that Improvement Services is an open meeting. There's no closed doors that I remember, we didn't hold it in secret. It

was published. Any Alders could come to it. If you don't show up to discuss and debate and enter some input, that's not on the Committee, that's on you. So I don't want to hear that people are being pitted against each other, we did our job. That's exactly what we did. So that's a red herring to use an old saying, that's a red herring, that's horrible. Getting to the item on tonight here, I think all 12 of us, I know all 12 of us over the past three years have heard over and over and over at every door and every meeting we go to that roads were the number one issue. We had it pounded into our heads over and over. Unless you didn't campaign, then maybe you didn't hear about it but I'm pretty sure everybody here did. So you did hear about it. So how short some Alders' memories are. The wheel tax was meant for two things. Number one, eliminate assessments. Done, we did that, great, good. The second part that we discussed ad infinitum here is do more road work. We'll give you more money but do more road work. Don't pay down debt, that's great, that's a nice thing to do but not with the money for more roads. You go back and tell people that we're not doing extra roads because we had to, blah blah this and blah blah that. They are gonna come after you because it was a tough vote. This was an evenly split issue and people begrudgingly said "All right, I'll let you "tax me the wheel tax if you do more roads." So be careful with how you proceed on this. And with the wheel tax process, the understanding was that the wheel tax proposal, how to use it would come to the INS Committee and Council. The Committee would review it and tweak it as they saw needed and then the Council would do the same. It wasn't whatever the staff gives you, you will accept or otherwise request it in their great wisdom and judgment. That's not it at all, they're giving us a suggestion and we as elected officials take it and tweak it how we think best. It's not our fault that it's coming so late in the year. I've been pushing for months for the wheel tax proposal to come to Committee, since late last fall. Get it to Committee so Council can discuss it. Sometimes you wonder if that's on purpose because then it's too late. Oh you know, we'd like to go back and tweak it but now it's almost May, it's almost April. So that's gotta come to us earlier and it was always meant for us to have input. At the Committee, we saw there was an extra \$500,000 at least, maybe more, maybe a little less that should've been put into roadwork quite frankly and we had one road, we had numerous roads from last year that we didn't do but one, Hazen Road was a simple resurfacing. All the plans were done. Because we asked staff and we've been asking staff for quite awhile now, what's the next street that could be done? Where's the list? We're never given the list. It's always like some mysterious thing. We even said can we postpone the meeting an hour? Can we postpone it a day so you can find this mystery list? Unfortunately Nancy Quirk was at our meeting and she had a list of proposed roads that we had never seen. So there were some roads on there that it's the first time I've ever seen it. But fortunately one of the Alderman said "Hey, you know what? "I know a road that's read to go." Hazen Road, it's about \$200,000 according to staff. Perfect, we put it back in. Then we said well all right, any money that's left over, because we're not sure of the amount, it could be 100, it could be two, could be three, could be four, why don't we put that into a Reserve Fund for next year so that we could do more roads? We can gear up and really hit it because let's face it, I think we can all pick out a half dozen roads in each of our districts that are in horrible shape. I know Hickory Hill in my district, I put in a communication this time, terrible, it's like a bomb went off, it's terrible. So, that's why we did what we did. There's no pitting people against each other. We simply did our job as we saw fit. I don't like how staff always has an excuse why we can't do more roadwork. It's always about not having enough engineers, they're not trained, we keep losing 'em, on and on and on. Well you know, reasons only go so far but when you have to talk to the average Joe in the city of Green Bay on why we're not doing more roadwork, it's excuses. Period. So we need to come up with more ways to do this and our Committee has been trying, we were trying to give more work, more money to get the projects done we need. It's not like we're cutting back money and cutting things down, we want to do more. Let's be creative, find a way to do this because that was our number one imperative we all got, thanks.

- [Jim] Thank you. Alderman Galvin?

- Thank you, Your Honor. I've got some questions here I've been writing down. So Steve, Director Grenier, the only streets added was Hazen Road for this year and then the Main and Mason project for next year or were there other roads added? I'm sorry Haven, Haven.

- Haven Place the plans are not done, we never did say that. The assumption of the Committee was because it was part of last year's program that it would be quick and easy to add back in. When that program was, and the action that was taken by the Council last year was to hold for consideration for a year and I believe that year expires in May sometime. So there was no definitive agreement that Haven Place was going back into the program. It was to be discussed again in a year's time to decide whether or not it would go back in. As a result of that, the plans are sitting. We've got our consultant working on them and ever since this discussion, we put the screws to them and told them we need that done yesterday. But those plans are not done. Yes, the two projects that were requested to be added in was the storm water related program in the Five Year Plan in the Main-Mason area and Haven Place to specifically the 2019 program.

- [Bill] All right and a number was thrown out, \$200,000. We have this extra money and they thought they could take \$200,000 out of that \$496,000 and put it towards Haven and is that what would have been the assessment of the property owners at one time? Or is that the cost of the entire project?

- That was the engineer's estimate from last year and we did say that we would have to go back, take a look at current bids to re-estimate that.

- [Bill] So that's what, the entire project or just the assessment?

- No, that would have been the resurfacing of the project, the project itself, not the assessment.

- [Bill] Okay, did we bond for that money or were we gonna bond for part of that money or was it--

- No, we didn't bond for it last year because the program got dropped.

- [Bill] Okay. And then is this costing us extra money out of our budget this year to accelerate this program, to get it done in time to do the resurfacing?

- These projects are not part of a budget. This is all part of our bonding cycle which indirectly comes back to the budget through the course of debt service but it's not a direct relationship.

- [Bill] Okay and Diana, if we follow the original plan, is there a guarantee or is it a good possibility or a 50-50 shot that if we put \$496,500 towards debt retirement that we would lower our debt enough to allow us to access previously unused levy?

- Unfortunately I don't have all the information of what people are asking for for 2019. I wouldn't be able to give you an answer at this point because I don't have the number of how much is getting paid off in '19 versus how much we're requesting in '19. This is just a small, small piece of the potential 2019 bonding.

- Okay. And when would you know how much people are asking for versus how much we're gonna be paying off to know if this would have any kind of impact on our ability to access that unused levy?

- The majority of the Department Heads have already submitted their 2019, I'm literally pulling the numbers together to start the first pass of what the 2019 bonding is and then look at the Five Year Plan. Most of it has been submitted. DPW is actually one of the largest pieces, we're waiting on the numbers but that's because we're waiting to see whether or not, how it was gonna get approved through Council.

- [Bill] Okay. Going forward, do you have any idea, if this did reduce our debt, we were able to access that untapped levy from past years, what would that extra levy money be used for?

- At this point I do not have that information either. I would have to go back and do the levy limit calculation. I have not, that is not something that I've worked on yet. Audit is next week, we've worked on audit the last couple weeks.

- [Bill] My problem here is, and it sounds like, I don't know, I'm still trying to figure out this budget and bonding cycle that we're stuck in. But we've painted ourselves into a corner over several years, many years of not raising taxes, using savings, using tax refunds to not raise the levy when we should've, so now we're down 4% across the board. We laid off 14 cops, everyone's taking cuts across the board and I'd like us to be able to get back to where we were and get beyond that. But how do we develop a plan? And this is where everyone seems to be mystified and not know how this process is taking place so we can make an educated decision to go with Alder Dorff's or go with the Committee's recommendations and this is what I'm having a hard time trying to decide where we're gonna go here and how long will it take to find out? We've been asking, this Council, at least this new Council has been asking for a plan. Something that we can lay out a roadmap that we can look at and say if we do A, B, C and D, at the end of five years or 10 years this is where we should or hope to be as it pertains to city services, debt retirement, infrastructure, repairs and I hope I'm not coming down like I'm coming down hard on you but I don't know and I look to you experts here to guide us.

- The expectation is that information was going to be brought to you when we brought the 2019 bonding request to the Finance Committee. In other departments, they take it through the first Committee. Once they have theirs approved at the Committee level, then they bring it to finance and then we roll it all up at that point. We were planning to bring this comprehensive plan to you. At this point we didn't plan to bring that information until probably April or May because we didn't plan to do the 2019 bonding presentation until after the new Mayor is in office. So this is pre, this is not part of the timeline. The whole package was going to be presented to you.

- [Bill] But the impression I got from our last meeting was this is kinda time-sensitive on this bonding or isn't it?

- I don't think it's time-sensitive.

- [Jim] Steve had, I want to be clear on that. He submitted a Public Works Capital Improvement Program that got amended, so I mean that's what we're talking about. It's not time-sensitive.

- Okay.

- [Jim] If I could just, and you're my Alderman and you're an Officer but we don't judge by the number of cops, we judge by our crime rate which is down.

- [Bill] True, true. Although I'd love--

- All right, so would I. Go ahead, anyway, sorry.

- So, if there's no rush or no pressure to do this at this time, I'd almost rather wait until we have those reports so that I can make a decision, am I better off putting \$496,500 into debt retirement because it would be better for everybody involved in this community to do that or are we better off just saying well, it's not gonna have any effect at all so let's get more roads done? Which is what like Alder Wery said, we've been hearing for a long time and that I guess would go a long way to helping me make a decision and granted it's only a \$500,000 decision but if that allows us to raise the levy, to get ourselves out of the corner we're in, I'd be all for that. If it's not, then hey yeah, let's get more roads done. Thank you.

- Thank you. Alderman Johnson?

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. There's one piece I guess as part of this motion I, when we go back to the original motion which is to amend the amended report, I'm not gonna be in favor of that. I think there are two issues which I feel Alder Dorff raises some legitimate concerns specifically related to Alders bringing forward particular projects and I think that is one debate to me. The other debate is the Capital Reserve Fund and at Committee, I made this motion. It was really because of something that Alder Wery had brought to our attention which was the \$500,000 being applied towards a reduction in borrowing which I want to point out is not interchangeable and is different than a reduction in debt and I think that's an important piece to talk about. So a couple things here. One of the reasons that we wanted to create this Reserve Fund was we wanted to have the ability to check with Legal about our city's ability to be able to leverage those excess funds for engineers or consultants to help accelerate the design work that needs to be complete. So if we eliminate that component, we eliminate our ability to check on that. Maybe Legal has an answer for me right now, I'm not sure but that would obviously be, Vanessa is shaking her head no, so we'd have to give Legal some time to check on that. So I personally would like to preserve that fund to at least have the ability to check on that because DPW's response to us has been that is one of the biggest barriers to accelerating the pace of road construction is that we don't have the engineers to be able to do that up front work. We have done other things here when it comes to bonding that I feel have been a lot more irresponsible with bonding and in particular I think of bonding for vehicles, I think about bonding for an elevator that didn't get done right away. We bonded for something at Bay Beach that that money sat for a year and I know that's happened a number of times. Sometimes it's not what we intend. Sometimes it just might be a lack of planning but this is very intentional and very different in that we're saying that we passed the wheel tax and it was with the intent to do more roads. I know that is the only reason that I supported it and that's not how this funding is being leveraged. The

reduction in borrowing again to me is a different issue because what we're saying is that we're not gonna borrow that much in Public Works but what we're gonna do then is give the ability for other departments to make that borrowing ask and I personally would not support, and that's the discussion of levy limits, right? I mean that's the issue here. So if we're gonna say hypothetically that we've got \$20 million that we're gonna put in for a bond request and we have this half a million dollars in flux, if that money is in the bond request and goes to the Capital Fund, it's guaranteed to go to roads next year. It can't be spent a different way if it's in the Capital Reserve Fund. If we use it to reduce the borrowing and we still borrow the 20, now that money can go anywhere. So I would rather see it specifically reserved in that Capital Reserve Fund for the purpose that I feel it was intended to do which was to deliver more roads, more repairs for the residents of our community. So one thing that I would maybe ask is just a procedural question and I mean if we were today, and I'm looking at maybe Diana on this one, if we were today to say on this particular motion which again they are kind of separate issues but if this were to proceed where we're going to create the Capital Reserve Fund, when the full bonding request comes forward, we would still need, we could still take it out at that point, could we not? Because essentially what we're doing is we're bonding for that Capital Reserve Fund. So that is still an item theoretically that could be removed later if the Council so chose?

- [Diana] I'm just gonna look to Steve. The Reserve, was that gonna be coming out of wheel tax money or was that gonna be coming out of bonding, Steve? Director Grenier?

- [Steve] It'll be coming out of the wheel tax money.

- [Brian] Okay so we're just letting Public Works then bond the full amount or \$500,000 more than--

- Correct.

- [Brian] Okay. All right, thank you for that clarity. So again I'm gonna vote no on this but if it were to come back to the floor, to separate these issues, I think that again there's another discussion to be had there if we wanted to take those items up one at a time, thank you.

- [Jim] Alder Vander Leest.

- As it's written here in number one, is this what the Committee passed at the Improvement Services? In other words without any amendments, is this what was passed?

- [Jim] Right. What was, Director Grenier submitted a Public Works Capital Improvement Program for 2019, okay? Did you then hold it and then amend it, is that how the process went? Was the original plan ever passed? Okay so, okay so the Director submitted a plan. It got held and then it got a special meeting and it got amended. The motion and second on the floor is to go back to the original.

- I'd like to hear from Alderman Nicholson, how he feels about the proposal change.

- [Jim] How he feels about the amendments?

- Do you want it to be as written, as it is written here in number one? In other words you're approving what Director Grenier proposed, is that correct? How did it get on the slip here as far as number one?

- Special meeting, right?

- Right, the 13th. You amended it.

- Correct. I don't know what to say.

- [Jim] Okay. Mike, I'm gonna throw this thing out. It goes right back to that picture. Anything else, Alderman Vander Leest?

- No, thank you.

- Okay. Now who's next? Randy, Alderman Scannell?

- Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate that the Committee wasn't looking to be divisive but that's exactly what has happened. When you start micromanaging staff, you start, we all want to be a piece of that and that's exactly what happened. I have plenty of streets that are in bad shape that were on Capital Plans and taken off and even have deteriorated that much more since. What we need to do is if we want staff to do more streets, we need to go to staff and say level the playing field, look at all the streets, which one are the worst? Those are the ones we should do. That would, I could support but everybody, as soon as one Alder puts in a street, then I feel like, I think I've got the worst street. It's only a block long but it's basically a gravel road. Augusta, part of Augusta, I think I have the worst street in the city. So I mean I don't think we should be micromanaging staff and making these kinds of pitches. I think if we want more work done, we need to tell staff we want more work done. Tell us which roads are the worst and let's go with that and as far as, and I appreciate Alder Nicholson's concern about Mason there but I believe looking at the minutes, Alder Grenier did say staff is working on that. They've done work where they're gonna try and get the water flow off to side streets to help relieve the water issues there. So it's not like staff isn't cognizant of the need there and aren't working on it and aren't focused on it. So I don't think there's any need to make a marker for the future and as far as setting money aside, instead of into the bonding, can't we decide that at, when we do the bonding, what we want to do with that money then? And then staff would have enough time to come forth with more information and we could decide then what would be best instead of trying to make that decision now. That's my pitch for making, passing Alder Dorff's amendment as is and then taking that up when we get to bonding. Then we'll have more information, then we'll know what to do instead of trying to do that now. So I appreciate the Committee's trying to get more work done. I was out there knocking on doors too. What I heard mostly was yes, we want our streets done but special assessments was really the big thing. They wanted to get rid of special assessments and as far as getting roads done, it's gonna be a long haul. I mean it took us a long time to get here, it's gonna be a long haul to get it done. I think we should take our time, get a comprehensive plan here. Have Director Grenier start from scratch. It used to be where, if when we're doing special assessments, if the constituents on that street said no or the property owner said no, then you know, their street wasn't done and their street was out at the bottom of the pile. I think we just need to scrap all that, look at all the streets, what are the worst ones? Let's go get 'em. So I support Alder Dorff's motion, I appreciate what the Committee was trying to do but I think it was a little zealous and I think it does in effect end up pitting us against each other and I think we need to be very careful about micromanaging staff. They need to come and tell us what they need. We need to give them direction. Do we want more roads done? Do we want this money to go to bonding? Do we want, and I think right now staff isn't ready to tell us that. So I think for now, let's just go back to the original plan. When we get to bonding, we can make some more decisions. So I support the motion.

- Alderman Brunette?

- Yes, thank you Mayor. Good discussion all the way around. I agree with the Committee's motion and that's what I'm in support of but I wanted to clear up the Haven Place because it's been thrown out there quite a bit and I think there's some misunderstanding of what had actually happened. I'm not sure if everyone had a chance to view the meeting or look at the minutes but how Haven Place came into the discussion last week was Director Grenier presented this Capital Improvement Plan. We were looking for, or Alder Nicholson was looking for some changes in a long- standing project that should have been in the rotation that had not yet been. So then my question to Director Grenier was surely as you develop this 2019 Capital Improvement Plan, there has to be five streets that are pressing needs that we just didn't have the resources to do this year. So five that were just on the chopping block. Not my intention to uncover that stone to add it to this year, but so that we would know what the priorities are for next year. I did not mention Haven Place, I did not necessarily mention Hillcrest to be put in this year. I understand the motion last year was to hold and I understand, although I don't necessarily agree with Director Grenier that that could not have been included in this year's proposal. But how Haven came into play was we had a half a million dollars of revenue for wheel tax. Alderman Wery really grilled I guess you would say Director Grenier to say there's got to be some street out there, a little dead end street, a little turn off street that this money could be used for and Director Grenier gave nothing. The Water Utility, Nancy Quirk was there and one of the issues was you replace the road, resurface, repave, reconstruct, then you have to consider the water, the water and the sewage. Well it turns out if you recall, Haven Place is not connected to city water. So that got me thinking that we could add a \$200,000 repair that was on schedule to be done last year with the revenue. Of course that's all subject to public hearing and notifying the neighbors and property owners in that area and we'd go through that process but earlier tonight Director Grenier said that the work is almost done but I could have sworn, and maybe I heard this wrong, that when we were talking about doing Hillcrest and Haven last year, he said we were 90 to 95% complete with the work. I would like Director Grenier to address that because I don't know if I heard it wrong or hear it wrong tonight but how close are we to having the pre-work done for Haven Place?

- I would have to have that conversation with the Engineering Director, Jim Burnett. To give you a number right now, I don't have that number.

- [Jesse] Do you recall at Improvement and Services the number of 90 to 95% thrown out there?

- Not particularly but it may have been.

- Okay because you know, if you remember last year the public hearing occurred in April, actually right after the election but before I was actually serving in the official capacity, I remember going to that meeting at NWTC, it was a public hearing and at that time I thought that it was a pretty done deal. But of course the neighbors objected, especially on Hillcrest and we kinda combined these two together. So although I respect what Alderman Scannell says but I don't agree with it, I don't agree

we are pitting things. This was added, it could have been any person's district. We were simply looking for a street that we could do that did not require any work and above all, one more point Mayor and I'll stop but it might not go through in the end anyways because of the public hearing that citizens who own property there are torn on the water. Some of them want water, some do not. But we have to look at that and the other part too, as we speak right now the village of Hobart is having a public hearing because there are some zoning changes they're making on their end of Haven Place. So it all could be a moot point but I think we need to keep this in the Capital Improvement Plan because it's a viable project that we could do rather than just sitting on the money and doing nothing, thank you.

- [Jim] All right, thanks. Alderman, you want to shut off your light? Alderperson Dorff.

- Thank you, Your Honor. So three points that were brought up. One about things being time-sensitive. I know we're not bonding right now. Director Grenier, isn't there something that is time-sensitive? Something about the planning, the engineering, is there something you need to know? Could you explain what part is time-sensitive?

- We'd like to have an idea of what projects you want us to accomplish this year.

- Because?

- Otherwise what are we working on, what streets are we working on?

- [Barb] And then we're going further along and we don't have a plan yet. Some things are time-sensitive. You need to know what we're gonna approve you to do.

- Correct, we need to know what streets we should be working on, which ones we should be bidding out, which ones we should be designing.

- [Barb] Right and that's separate from bonding. So if this doesn't get dealt with tonight, does that put you behind?

- We don't have an approved plan, so we don't have anything to work off of.

- [Barb] Right, so that is time-sensitive. I just wanted to make that point. The second thing, the explanation about Main and Mason, yeah and that is a horrible intersection. Totally we want that to get better and you gave a really good explanation on how you're working toward that by doing the feeder streets that are part of the problem, right? You talked about that, you said you needed to do this side street and this side street and it's all building up to helping alleviate that. Is it even possible to do Main and Mason all by itself without doing all the other work around it that's gonna alleviate the problem?

- There is no project at Main and Mason. There is a project in that corridor or a series of projects that will contribute to work toward that corridor.

- [Barb] And that, are you already working on some of those?

- Well again we just delivered the report documenting what was going on in January. We have reached out, we are trying to get a consultant engaged and under contract to start taking a look at some specific recommendations that were in that report we delivered in January. Yes, we are working towards it.

- [Barb] Okay. And finally the concern about when can the \$500,000 be used to see if it can offset the levy limits. I know that Director Ellenbecker, you can't tell us that right this second, whether it will or whether it won't but there was an idea, I'm not even sure, maybe it was Alder Scannell that said can't we just keep it, or maybe it was Alder Johnson. We get to bonding and I hear what you said, then we're just gonna use that money somewhere else and that was not my intention. My intention was no, we've used it to alleviate some of the bonding and therefore we have that ability to raise those levy limits and get ourselves the unused levy limits, get ourselves a little bit out of the hole that we've been digging and that was my entire reason for bringing that up. So those are the three reasons I'm still standing behind my motion, thank you.

- [Jim] All right, thank you. Alderman Galvin.

- Thank you Your Honor and as Alder Brunette said, a good discussion. Brian, some good points on our Capital Improvement Fund and what this money was originally intended for. I'm a little mystified how we need to have projects approved and yet there seems to be so many strings involved in having to have projects approved where we don't have all the information we need. All the decisions have been made on budgeting and bonding and I just have a hard time, how do we tell someone to go ahead with numerous projects when there's a whole lot of unanswered questions that goes into that? Because if we were to use the money to offset bonding and we were able to raise the levy limits and if the department heads were told to hold down their spending so we could use that extra levy limit to hire the engineers we need or to do more roads without having to bond, would that be better for this community? Would that be a better use of that wheel tax money? I don't know, because we can't get those answers and so I'm gonna make a motion and I understand some of this is time-sensitive, I'm making a motion that we hold this until we have those answers so we can make a better educated decision going forward.

- Is there a second?

- I'll second that.

- I'll accept that, just give me a second because I don't know if we have to hold to a date certain or if it's like tabling it. Does he want to hold this for like three meetings? No I'm just kidding, I'm happy to handle this. Hang on one second.

- [Clerk] Hold it to a specific time? Then yes, you could.

- Right, okay. I'm going to give you, yeah but I need a date or a time or something from the Alderman if we're gonna hold this and we're gonna vote, I don't think there's discussion on holds, right?

- I would make a...

- [Jim] No. I'm showing my age, man.

- Okay so I'm looking at our next two meetings, April First and then April 15th.

- That's correct.

- Okay.

- And then May Seventh.

- May Seventh.

- Mayor?

- It is, I did.

- So who do I ask how long do you think until we can get the answers that I'm asking for?

- Anybody you want.

- I don't know. Diana, do you know, do you have an idea? Is this coming all down on you? Do you go home crying tonight?

- No.

- Okay.

- Never.

- I can tell you exactly how much, I mean I'm just pulling the numbers now. We're gonna be paying off \$14.1 million worth of debt in 2019. So the question is can we stay under \$14.1 million worth of debt borrowing in 2019 to help get our total outstanding below? That would include any TIF borrowing, the shipyard, any parking utility, any pavement, any sanitary or storm, any general fund.

- All of that.

- All of that.

- [Bill] And then at the same time, if we want to further our road projects, then we have to take any excess money or at least have some plan to take the extra levy that we raise to use it towards our infrastructure of roads and then hiring the staff we need, whatever it is we need to get more roads done. So when do you think we could have those numbers by? Or a plan or whatever the Department Heads are asking for?

- We could bring you preliminary numbers of the 2019 bonding, I guess the initial request probably early in April. We can show you what's being paid off.

- [Bill] Okay and would that go to INS first and then to say the April 15th Council Meeting, no?

- No because at this point some of the departments come directly to me. INS takes care of the DPW, power and sanitary, storm, Parks has already approved their CIP. That information has been sent to me. I would consolidate it and I bring it to a Finance Committee meeting.

- [Bill] So we go to Finance and then to the April 15th meeting?

- Correct, again I'm not gonna repeat what the Mayor said but we were going to let the new Mayor help do bonding requests--

- [Jim] Weigh in on it, I mean look, I think that's a lot of elbow room, \$14.2 million but I don't want to speak for him. I think he should be able to just say "Look you guys, "I think we should increase bonding by "a half a million or reduce it by a half a million." I think they gotta live with the bonding, right? That's 20 year borrowing and I think the new Mayor, we should at least respect him enough to weigh in on that. Look, I'm happy to do the bonding at the next meeting but I wouldn't have been real happy had you done that to me. It's really, it's not--

- We could make drafts of it.

- We could bring drafts back. But final approval he needs to sign off on.

- [Bill] All right, I would be able to live with drafts but I think it's time this Council start taking more interest and having more input into this process as we move forward so that we're all part of the plan and we're all part of the solution and like we've talked, there's been a lot of talk here about Alders trying to put separate projects first and everything else like that and I can understand that because we're becoming impatient. We've got the people that we answer to asking to have solutions and I think it's time that we start having a bigger impact on this and we work more with the Mayor moving forward as he moves in so that we can get some of this stuff, a better understanding of where we're going sooner. I feel like I'm last minute on a lot of this stuff and I'm not getting enough time to hear the full plan as we progress, so I'm asking for this to be held until the April 15th meeting and all I'm asking for is preliminary bonding projections from the Finance Department that just help me make a better decision about where I want to go, thank you.

- [Jim] Alderman Steuer, you want to second that?

- I did second that.

- Okay, well that's it. Hang on, there's something on there that is no discussion and am I wrong on, is it tabling?

- [Clerk] You know what, you were right. I was looking at lay on the table.

- Lay on the table is no discussion. I'm happy to discuss this, so all right. Alderman Wery, so the motion is to hold this to get preliminary numbers on April 15th.

- Thank you, I am in favor of holding it because I think we've all seen we're all pretty frustrated at not getting information. Just have to comment on a few things we've heard tonight so that they don't just linger on their own. God bless staff but we are the elected ones, we set the priorities. We can take input from very intellectual and seasoned people but we make the decisions, we set the priorities, we don't need to be given them and just accept them. I'm not here to do that, I hope you're not. I agree with Alder Scannell and you Alder Galvin on the desire, how we should decide what streets are next is from worst to best, right? And so you'll see the frustration of our Committee is that we need a list. I've been asking for that for a long, long time and we never get one and we meet with continual resistance and stonewalling on being given any kind of list and that would have solved really the situation last week if we had a list. When we said "Well what's the next street? "What's the lowest hanging fruit, what's the next one?" It was dead air and that's unfortunate, that needs to change. Do you want to say something?

- [Jim] I have a list in my office that rates--

- Well why don't we have it?

- You know what Alderman, I don't know.

- All right.

- [Jim] It just rates the streets from one to five and I don't agree with the list because Spring Street's not on there but--

- Better get it done in two weeks.

- [Jim] What I have I will share with you but it was a rating that we did not, it could be a little bit dated but you're entitled to a rating by our engineers.

- And then in addition it'll say if other work is needed, the sewer or water or whatever. Because that's a big factor.

- That's a big factor. All right, go ahead.

- We should hold it because really using this half million dollars to reduce borrowing in order to help other departments' budgets is crazy, that's not what we signed up for and when people find out about that, you can couch it however you want that you're gonna say down the line you're gonna help the budget someday but how do you know what you're gonna use that money for? Next year there could be, oh my god, something comes up and we've gotta use the money to save the Fire Department which is a very important thing but now you've set money aside for something other than the roads which is what we said we wouldn't do. So it really needs to be a segregated fund and we have to stop it now, if we don't stop robbing Peter now to pay Paul, it's gonna happen every year. We will have created a tax to fix other holes in the budget instead of fixing roads that we promised and that's, boy it's a crazed bureaucratic nonsense and we gotta nip it in the bud, thanks.

- [Jim] Thank you, Alderman Nicholson, this is on the motion to hold it 'til the 15th.

- Yeah. It would please me to speak here, to talk on something else but I'll support your bill. Clarification, I requested 2020, not 2019. There's another mistake in that communication. So so be it but I'll support your bill.

- [Jim] All right, thank you. Alderman Steuer on the motion to hold the preliminary numbers 'til the 15th?

- I support Alder Galvin's statement as well and all good points made by a lot of folks here tonight and I guess one of my basic requests is to see a list. It would seem simple enough to have that and I've been on Council seven years and it's been very difficult getting that information. So I don't think the Committee had any ulterior motives as well. So I think holding this and getting the correct answers will do us all good, so I'm looking forward to that. Thank you.

- All right, thank you. Alderperson Dorff on holding this 'til the 15th.

- I just want to make sure there are no unintended consequences for holding this. I'm gonna ask Director Grenier, what are the consequences of holding this? Please make a good explanation for us.

- [Jim] If there are, then say there are, Steve.

- [Steve] You do not have the list they're looking for. What you have is the numerical road ratings we do every two years on every street.

- [Jim] That's different, sorry. Only the Mayor, I, all right, sorry.

- [Steve] I'm being accused constantly of hiding and delaying.

- [Jim] And I don't think you are, that's why I said I've got a list but my list is not exactly what they're looking for.

- [Steve] You have a list of the road ratings for every street complete within the city of Green Bay every two years.

- [Jim] Yes sir, and that's not what you're looking for. All right.

- Are there any unintended consequences of holding this, what's gonna happen if we hold it? Just explain how it affects your Department.

- [Steve] I have no idea what's gonna happen.

- Oh come on Steve, Director Grenier--

- No no, wait a minute.

- I already answered.

- No no here, don't say that. Just it doesn't change anything. This bonding wasn't gonna happen until the new Mayor comes anyway, this doesn't affect--

- [Steve] If we don't have an approved plan, we can't go forward with any project.

- [Jim] And they're gonna do final approval of the plan either the Seventh or the 21st of May would be my guess, right? I mean I'm not gonna be here but--

- It's the approval of the plan. The approval of the plan, when do we need to have that plan approved so you can do some work this summer?

- [Steve] I brought it forward at this session because I think it's something we can do.

- It would be helpful to me to know why I should vote against holding it then. Why is it more helpful to you to have this approved tonight than it would be in two or three weeks?

- [Steve] Because if we don't have this plan now, if we don't have an approved plan, we don't know what projects we're actually doing in 2019 which means I can't put bids on the street for any of the construction that's contained in that.

- Right and if you can't put bids on it, could someone else get those construction people that you want to get because they had put their bids in?

- [Steve] Yes.

- I think I heard that as I listened to the Improvement and Services Meeting, the problem with not approving this plan is that we can lose the ability to do some of the construction.

- [Jim] I think I have an idea.

- Okay.

- [Jim] I think the Council, you need to work and you need to get some things going but did your Committee agree that everything in there you were okay with, it would just be more? So at least he's got--

- That would be great.

- You know what I'm saying?

- Yes.

- [Jim] He's got some direction, he can get to work. Although he's going to Cancun tomorrow but when he gets back, he can at least, but he can start tomorrow directing staff to work on what's there and you may be adding more.

- So just approve the original plan and then add things.

- [Alderman] We're gonna approve this? Okay well which streets are already approved? What are we talking about?

- Just out of respect for him, I think he's concerned that there may be an audible and things may change significantly and why would he work on something that's going to be taken back? So I think if we could kind of agree that we're gonna hold this until the 15th with the understanding that what has been submitted, the Council, I don't know, what's the word, can live with?

- So like going back to the original motion, if we just approved the plan he brought forward and then worked--

- They don't want to do that.

- Wait.

- They want to hold--

- We're talking about holding.

- [Jim] With the understanding, with the understanding that what was submitted by the Director, we're going to act on potentially additional projects as well.

- [Alderman] Yes.

- [Jim] Does that help you get people working upstairs? We're not, you don't want to start doing engineering on something that the Council's gonna yank from you in May and I understand that, I don't want to do that either but I think what they're saying is well you submitted, yeah but we may want to do a little bit more either regarding the funding of the project or adding projects and look, I don't want to get into staffing and all that but is that enough for you as the Director to...

- [Steve] Sure.

- [Jim] Okay.

- So we're holding it but we're also approving the Capital Improvement Plan?

- [Jim] Hang on, I want to get back to this. I think this is like a good, this is a little bit of Committee work but I think what the motion is is to hold this for the final plan with the understanding that what has been submitted today will be acted upon. So let's hear the Council.

- Yes.

- Looking for some answers.

- Got it.

- That's all.

- Some of it may come from wheel tax, some of it may come from additional bonding but okay, so that is the motion on the floor is to hold this until the 15th to get further information knowing that what has been submitted thus far will be acted upon by Public Works. Can you live with that?

- I can live with that.

- [Alderman] Basically everything that Steve presented in his plan is gonna be accepted and we're gonna be looking at those three issues, correct?

- In terms of how some of 'em are funded and maybe adding additional projects in, look I mean he's--

- Great.

- Yeah.

- Okay.

- We got everything everybody wanted, correct?

- Everybody okay? All right Steve's good, you're good Mayor?

- I'm good.

- That's all that matters. Okay, a couple more lights on here. I want to just respect everyone's, this is on the motion as presented, Alderman Johnson, did you want to speak to that? All right, Alderman Johnson.

- Thank you, Mayor. I think what we came to here is a modification of the motion which I was gonna target but I do have just a couple questions that I would like to have answered. The bonding is coming potentially a month or two after the Capital Improvement Plan is approved, right? If someone whether it's Diana or Director Ellenbeck or Director Grenier, how do you pay for the plan if we haven't done the bonding yet?

- [Jim] How to pay for the plan. Well we'd have to, we'll approve a plan and then we borrow the money. I don't get it.

- [Brian] Is that usually the protocol, that you have that big of a gap so staff is doing work for potentially two months before we authorize funding to pay for that work?

- [Jim] You've already said you're going to, okay you're saying is he working and is Dan and these guys working on stuff that's not bonded for?

- [Brian] I'm just asking, it's an honest question. Is that typically the protocol? Or do Capital Improvement Plans, it would seem to make sense to me that Capital Improvement Plans would be approved simultaneously with the bond request and if that's not what we do right now, that's fine but it is an honest question of maybe that oughta be a practice we evaluate and then does that bonding request get bumped up in the future? So I guess look, I think the answer's obvious but I just wanted to get that out there. The other question I have is related to the wheel tax again, Director Grenier, if you could just give some insight for me here. If the creation of the Capital Reserve Fund were not part of this motion, during the bonding request we could still take up how to utilize that excess funds. Would that be an accurate assessment? Meaning like during the bond request, we could decide if we wanted to create the Capital Reserve Fund or not.

- Correct.

- Okay. So I mean again if the motion is to hold and we're still gonna let staff go to work on everything without approving the plan, I mean it gets at my point which is gonna be to pull that out but I still would just as soon rather approve the Capital Plan and then make amendments later if we want to. But if everyone's good with that, I guess I'll support that as well.

- [Jim] We kind of are a little bit. I want to be clear on this motion. This isn't just holding everything, we're agreeing. I kinda said that a number of times and I want you guys to be clear with that that we are approving, we are going to revisit the Capital Improvement Plan for DPW but with the understanding that what has been presented today is going to be--

- [Brian] Why don't we just make that the motion then? The motion being we're gonna approve the Capital Improvement Plan and hold the other three amendments.

- [Jim] You're preaching to the choir. All right, you guys come on, let's, the original motion was to just approve the Improvement Program for 2019 through 2020.

- Taking \$495,000 and putting it into the funding. So I don't want to make that decision yet. I want more information.

- [Jim] Do you want to amend this a little bit? Because I think we are kind of all on the same page in a weird way.

- How do we word this, we approve?

- [Jim] We want to approve the Department of Public Works Improvement Plan as submitted with the understanding that other projects and other input from the Council will be reviewed at the April 15th meeting. I think we're gonna take the right tier. I don't care.

- Does that protect what I'm trying to protect?

- [Jim] The bonding is, you guys are gonna move money around in bonding but I'm just gonna say I think you got some room this year.

- I'll second that motion.

- Who made the motion?

- I can't, so. No, his motion was to hold everything until the 15th. He's amended that now.

- Okay, all right.

- Okay so if I'm amending the motion to pass the projects that have been submitted by Department of Public Works, we are going to hold until the 15th on the bonding portion of that plan.

- The Whole Capital Plan?

- Yes.

- What about three issues--

- Those three issues are part of that because it's all wrapped up in that together.
- Okay.
- You all right there? Okay.
- Did we get a second on that?
- I think it's you.
- [Alderpersion] I already seconded it.
- Okay, can we vote on this? I don't have any other lights on here. All right, there's no other lights so there's no reason to cut off debate. What's that? No, that's not what she said. All right, you're using the board.
- Mayor.
- What, yes?
- Again none of this information has been pulled together yet but Steve's, or Director Grenier's request is for \$11,854,000. So we are paying off \$14.1 million. That leaves about two point, under \$3 million for the rest of the Department to bond. That would include any TIFs, any fire, police, park, IT, any other changes.
- [Jim] You have no other money coming in to offset that request, Steve?
- That's total funding.
- Okay.
- Of that fund request, \$4.2 million is general obligation, levy support and borrowing. \$1.619 is from the sanitary sewer and \$6,035,000 comes from the storm sewer. You guys want projects done, we gotta pay for 'em somehow.
- [Jim] No, that doesn't surprise me. You guys get that, I mean that's right? Right. Okay, I'm gonna have to use the board on this because I don't want it comin' back at me. So this is a motion to hold this with the understanding we're approving this and we're gonna take up amendments to this in principle at the 15th meeting and total bonding will be done sometimes in May. I mean that's the gist of what we've been discussing the last hour.
- [Diana] Can I? With all requests including the DPW Capital Improvement Plan, right now there's a request for \$21.3 million for 2019. We're paying off 14.1. So right now we would be looking to try to reduce our total outstanding debt, we would be looking at a reduction of \$7 million in the 2019 bonding request and that you're approving \$11.8 million.

- [Jim] I haven't seen all the bonding, I haven't even seen all the bonding projects. I don't know what they all are. I mean we're the ones who said look don't, right, it hasn't been pulled together yet. Come on.

- That's what I don't get.

- We're gonna hold this thing and... Oh my god. Yes. Yeah well we're holding the whole, we're holding anything, it's not just three things. Somebody might come in with something else. There may be something else besides those three things. We're going to, no we're approving what's been submitted so far and then there may be some enhancements, additions on the 15th. Yeah please, I got a lot of green light. I think you guys are doing this to me so I won't miss my job so much. All right, thank you for voting on that. That passes unanimously, okay.

- [Clerk] We're on to Item M, Report of the Finance Committee, March 12th, 2019.

- [Jim] All right, there was a report by Alderman Nicholson and seconded by Alderperson Lefebvre to approve the report by the Finance Committee, it's Report M which was a meeting held on March 12th. Any items in this report you wish to handle separately? Okay. All right, so motion seconded to approve all items with the exception of Item Five. All in favor of that motion, please reply by saying "I.

- I.

- And those opposed, nay. The I's have it. Yeah hang on I just, Item Five has a whole lot to do with N-One. It's just the timing on that was different and we got to you as soon as we, as soon as we could, so Alderperson Dorff's gonna make a motion and we're gonna take the two together but it's gotta be kinda legal the way we do this if you wish to go into closed session to talk about this.

- [Barb] I... N-One. Closed session to discuss Agenda Items M-Five, N-One and N-Two.

- [Jim] Those are the three closed session items on your agenda, is there a second to her motion?

- Second.

- All right, Alderman Johnson. Okay and then the reason we're going into closed session. No, I mean she'll read it.

- I'm reading it. The Council may convene in closed session to discuss Agenda Items M-Five, N-One and N-Two pursuant to Sections 19.851 G, Wisconsin Statutes for the purpose of conferring, sorry, I said it wrong. To discuss Agenda Items M-Five and N-One pursuant to Sections 19.85G, Wisconsin Statutes for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning the strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council will then stay in closed session to discuss

Agenda Item N-Two pursuant to Section 19.85 One-E, Wisconsin Statutes for the purpose of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The authority may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.852, Wisconsin Statutes to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

- All right so we have a motion and second to go into closed session. She read the language, if you use the board here... What do you want? So we're going into closed session for the Five and then N-One and Two. Yeah I think staff can be excused. The resolutions I think are gonna be good and Diana and Cheryl, a couple of you guys gotta stay but, all right. So it's 11 to one, we're in closed session. So motion by Alderman Scannell and seconded by Alderperson Dorff to return to regular session. All in favor, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed, nay. The I's have it, so we had a motion to go into closed session and discuss items Five, N-One and N-Two. So I'll entertain a motion regarding the closed session. Pardon me? Right, that's what I would entertain a motion to, on Item Five which is M-Five and N-One. Someone want to make a motion regarding that?

- I'll make a motion, what are you looking for, to--

- The motion is--

- Move forward?

- To move forward with the recommendation as discussed by counsel in closed sessions.

- I'll second the motion.

- I'll second.

- Second by Alderman Steuer. I want you to use the board on this, to proceed as directed by counsel regarding M-One and N, M-Five and N-One. All right, that passes on a vote of seven to five. All right and what are your wishes regarding the other item we discussed was N-Two? I think it's to revisit this item at the April First meeting with new information brought by Alderman Nicholson or by, what? Okay, so someone's gonna make the motion to--

- I'll make the motion.

- All right, that we revisit this item at the April First Council meeting as staff and Council gathers more information, okay? So you want to vote on that? Use the board please, this is... All right, that passes unanimously. All right, Clerk.

- We're on to Item O, Receive and Place on File.

- So moved.

- Motion by Alderman Nicholson, second by Alderman Stevens to replace and file Item O which is the report of the Building Permit Report for February and the Municipal Courts Report. A motion and second, any discussion here? All in favor, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed, nay. The I's have it and Item O has been approved.

- [Clerk] We're onto resolutions. You may enter a suspension of the rules, adopt resolutions one through eight together with one roll call vote.

- All right, there's a motion by Alderman Scannell, second by Alderperson Dorff to suspend the rules for that purpose. All in favor of suspension, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed, nay. The I's have it, the rules are suspended. Motion to adopt would be appropriate by Alderperson Dorff.

- Second.

- Second by Alderman Scannell. This is Resolution Report P as in Patrick, one through eight. Please use the board. Alderman Vander Leest, thank you. All right and those pass unanimously.

- [Clerk] We're on to referral of petitions and communications.

- Begin with Alderman Nicholson.

- Thank you Mayor, one for Improvement Services requesting Main and Mason street be included in the 2020 Capital Improvement Plan, thank you.

- [Jim] Thank you. Alderperson Dorff? Hang on, Alderperson Dorff.

- For Protection and Policy, I'm requesting that Protection and Policy develop policy with the help of DPW legal and other appropriate staff. This policy must be developed so that the special interests and requests of the individual Alders do not subvert the process of choosing the projects that have been carefully researched by city staff as being appropriate for placement in a five year plan. Parameters for choosing streets to be repaired, reconstructed or resurfaced as well as other infrastructure improvements should be clearly identified within this policy. Thank you.

- Thank you. Is there anyone else? Alderman Brunette?

- Yes, thank you. I have a communication to the Protection and Policy Committee. That reads for the city to develop a resolution or policy and procedure to notify property owners near parcels of land with a pending or approved application to be transferred from fee to trust status. My overarching concern is for the city and the Oneida Nation in goodwill to notify affected property owners of the new governing jurisdiction, code enforcement and zoning authority of the parcel recently transferred or applied to be transferred and to provide an official forum for public input and comment.

- [Jim] All right, thank you. Chris, do you have any late communications?

- No, I do not.

- All right. I'll entertain a motion to referral.

- I'll second.

- By Alderman Scannell, second by Alderman Nicholson. Item Q-Three, for all late petitions and communications. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And by Alderman Scannel, second by Alderman Steuer. All in favor, please signify by saying "I."

- I.

- And those opposed, nay. The I's have it, we're adjourned. Andy, I just want to talk to you real quick.