



MINUTES OF THE IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICES COMMITTEE

**WEDNESDAY, MARCH 27, 2019, 5:30 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207**

PLEASE NOTE TIME CHANGE

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Chris Wery, Andy Nicholson, Mark Steuer, Excused: Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on request by Janet Angus to discuss the City's sidewalk snow removal policy along narrow terrace streets such as West Mason Street near Locust Street (held over from the March 13, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting).

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve staff to review the policy for over plows and review historical records for sidewalk plowing agreements. Motion carried.
Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Nicholson to include Main Street and Mason Street in the 2020 Capital Improvement Program.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Wery for an appeal by Andrew Malecki, 1131 S. Fisk Street, on how his sidewalk replacement bill was determined.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve removing sidewalk cake numbers 7 and 18 from the sidewalk replacement bill. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Wery to add Hickory Hill from 12th Avenue to Oneida Street to the 2020 street improvement project list.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to refer to staff. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on request by the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Green Bay to authorize the Department of Public Works to award a contract for mass grading at The Shipyard/Breakthrough Fuels project sites at a staff level and report the award at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Improvement and Services Committee to keep the Breakthrough Fuels project on schedule.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- Andy Nicholson, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on request by the Department of Public Works to execute the Bridge Operation Agreement for Fiscal 2020 with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve the Agreement and authorize the Director to execute the agreement. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on the review and award of the following contracts:

A. FIRE STATION No. 6 KITCHEN REMODELING WORK

B. SIDEWALKS 2019

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve awarding Fire Station No. 6 Kitchen Remodeling Work to Millbach Construction Services, Co. in the amount of \$49,286.00.

Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve awarding Sidewalks 2019 to Fischer-Ulman Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$287,185.00. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on applications for Concrete Sidewalk Builder Licenses by the following:

- A. IEI General Contractors Inc.
- B. In The Countryside Concrete LLC
- C. J.C. Santy Construction LLC
- D. KPC Concrete Contractors

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

I. Director's Report on recent activities of the Public Works Department.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to receive and place on file. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

2. The next Improvement and Services Committee meeting is scheduled for April 10, 2019.

F. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

I. Public Hearing 6:00 p.m.

CONCRETE PAVEMENT

WEBSTER AVENUE N. - East River to Radisson Street

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve the request to order improvements completed and assessments levied. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve to adjourn the Improvement and Services Committee meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Ready?
- Let's bring our Improvement Services Committee to order. Let it be recorded, Steuer, Wery, Nicholson are present, Bernan, Johnson are excused. Approval of the agenda.
- Call motion.
- Second.
- Motioned by Steuer, second by Wery, under discussion, hear none. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Nays, motion carried. Approval of Minutes? Motioned by Steuer, second by Wery under discussion, hear none. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Nays, motion carried. Regular business. One, consideration, consideration with possible action on request by Janet Angus to discuss the City's sidewalk snow removal policy along narrow terrace streets such as West Mason Street near Locust held over March 13th. Sir Janet Angus here?
- Here.
- Approach the bench.
- Motion to open a floor.
- Motion to open floor by Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Wery under discussion, hear none. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Motion carry. Okay. The floor is now open. Anybody wants to speak on the subject, just please raise your hand, I will recognize you, and just address everything to the Chair and to the individuals on the Committee. Thank you very much. Say your name and address for the record, please.

- My name is Janet Angus, my home address is 1403 Shirley Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin. My office address is 1378... Too many addresses, 1381 West Mason Street, it's on the corner of Mason and Locust. As you're aware this last winter was a difficult year for snow, especially snow removal, there was a lot of snow. And I'm here to discuss the problems that I encountered with snow removal on the sidewalks on Mason Street, from 1373 West Mason Street through 1403 West Mason Street. I own that stretch of land, it's quite a large stretch of land. In approximately 1994, the City reconstructed Mason Street. So I have brought a couple of photos of Mason Street prior to reconstruction. As you can see from these photos, there was quite a large area green space from the curb to the sidewalk.

- What year was that, Janet?

- Mason Street.

- What year?

- I think this was probably 1994.

- Okay.

- The street was reconstructed in about 1994.

- Okay.

- These photos were taken, you can see a crane right during the reconstruction.

- Mhm.

- So you can see the green space between the curb and the sidewalk. Now, normally, I wouldn't be here talking about the green space between the curb and the sidewalk. That's where our snow land from Mason Street when we got a lot of snow. When the plows go down Mason Street, you can end up with snow piles that are as high as my waste. How do I know that? Because guess who has to get out there with their snow blower, and snow blow up through that. So, I've been doing it for many, many, many years. I recall going to a meeting sometime prior to this. I was unable to find my file folder, I got it around somewhere, it must be, or something, but I went to the meeting at Franklin Jr. High School with my father, Dr. Angus. We sat in the first little section, I can remember, I can close my eyes and remember, and we listened to whoever was in charge of streets get up there and talk about this reconstruction that was gonna be done of Mason. There was a total reconstruction of Mason Street, they took the concrete, they did a whole reconstruction, it cost a fortune, 'cause as you know adjacent land owners had to pay for it, so I was quite interested in this, 'cause it was gonna cost my family and myself a lot of money. But one of the main questions that we had there was what was going to happen to the snow when they created the left-hand turn lane. So, if you look at this, just had a permit approved. This is how it is now. Right here there is a power going down, and you see there's nothing, there's no terrace, nothing. So what ends up happening is, the snow, when the plows go down, it fills up the snow, you know, fills up the sidewalk, and it's really hard to remove the snow. You have piles of ice, you have a mountain of ice, and you can't get a snow blower through it, you couldn't shovel it, that would be impossible. And not only do the plows go down the street once, they go down four or five times to clear Mason.

- Do you have any pictures on this last winter?

- You know, I didn't take any when it was the worst, but I have some of, you know, recently, when I decided to come down. So here is a photo of the snow and the snow pile. That was all filled in at one point, that's what you had to go through. I was all, you know, filled in as high as it is here, is what it was going straight across.

- So you

- Well, not only that, but on the other side. That's what we had to go through on the other side. And then you have people and social media in Green Bay, Wisconsin. They get on these websites and they complain about land owners not removing snow. How can you possibly get through this much snow with the snow blower, that's put there by the street, you know, by plows going through. It's lot of ice. But going back to that meeting, I raised my hand, and we specifically asked the City during that meeting at Franklin Jr. High School, who is gonna be responsible for snow removal on the sidewalks. And we were told, the City was, with the overflow, because it was a big concern. There was just nowhere to go with the snow, where do you put the snow when it's this high and it's a mountain of ice. Never was regular snow, not from the street, you can't get your snow blower through it. But this happens all over the City. There's no terrace, there's nowhere to go with the snow, and then land owners are expected, I guess, to remove it. I missed last year, there wasn't a Bombardier, used to be that the City would remove the snow. And the last couple of years that hasn't happened. Instop, they didn't remove the snow, it was a mess. And then you hear about people, you know, they're gonna be injured because they're on the street, because you can't get down the sidewalks. But how do you get down the side walks, when you have this much snow, and I'm talking, I don't know, how far is that, three feet up in the air, that much snow to go through with a shovel or a snow blower. Now, I'm lucky, I have a skidsteer. In the one picture that you have, flip snows are removed. That's because I hired somebody or I got in a skidsteer and removed the snow. But if I didn't have a skidsteer, my equipment would've been totally wrecked. There's just no way you could, it's impossible. And I used to have something called a Bombardier, some kind of a device called the Bombardier and that would go down and it would remove the snow from the City's trucks, the plow is going through, and basically filling in the sidewalks. I mean it's a fact of life, I mean, when you don't have any terrace and you have, you have nowhere to go to with snow from the street. And I just think the city should not renege on their promise to those of us that have no terrace, and come in with equipment and remove the snow. My neighbors would have never been able to remove the snow this year, if I haven't done it for 'em with my skidsteer, just wouldn't have happened. The commercial equipment was used. It's expensive to own bug cap, not everybody has one in their backyard. Like I said, for snow blowers, I mean, but you guys all have snow blowers, if you get a big ice chunk this big, what do you gonna do with it with the snow blower. You think it's gonna go through the machine, or you think you gonna have to pick it up from going with an icepick or a shovel. And then when you have to it four or five times, for a snow storm it's just, it gets to be kind of daunting. And it's not that it's just a little bit of snow, they fill it up and then they go up again and it fills up again, just like your driveways do. In conclusion, I just want to remind you that prior to Mason Street thing wide, from that first photo, I had a nice terrace. And you could go down it with a snowblower, you could remove the snow quite easily, but after that, the terrace was gone, and we were promised, I was promised at that meeting at Franklin Jr. High School, that when the widen the street that the City would take care of the snow removal on Mason, because we had no terrace. So, I mean, something gotta be done. The snow was so high, you couldn't get on the curbs, the City didn't get out there to remove it, took

them days. I mean, I understand that there's a lot of snow, but there's that 24 hour rule. So, if I don't go out and remove the snow, I'd like to know where I stand. Am I gonna be responsible for removing the snow, or is the City reneging on that, I mean, I need to know, because I can't afford to have the City come and plow that and get a big bill.

- Okay.

- It's expensive.

- While we ask some questions to the staff, I don't know if.

- I have no questions for.

- Not right now, Jonathan. He may stick around.

- So, anybody else?

- Anybody else from the audience? Nothing, okay, motion closed floor by, what are you saying, by Steuer, under discussion All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Motion carried. Regular order of business. Alderman Steuer?

- Okay, thank you, Chairman. Chris, I was gonna ask, you know, you were with the City at that time, the night before. Do you recall, you know, I grant it there's probably other areas around the City that have this issue somewhat without the terrace, so I, can you mention to us or talk about this stretch. Janet, I'm sorry, I'm just gonna ask a question. It was from basically Locust to, I just need to, just know the distance.

- My property is from Locust, it goes...

- What?

- Past East

- What bridge, toward bridge?

- It goes both ways, I am on both sides of Locust.

- Alright.

- But, you know, it's more than, it's everybody, because the terraces were removed for everyone.

- Alright, I'm pretty familiar. Okay, that's all I needed. So I just, yeah, go ahead.

- I've been with the City since 1990 and my first 15 years I served as traffic engineer. I was in engineering division as a traffic engineer, but I wasn't directly involved in the engineering and

construction of West Mason Street. I have served as the Operations Division Director since 2005, so the last 13 years I've been involved in a, you know, the snow clearing side of Public Works of the services. I'm not aware of any agreement or any activity that Operations Division has not gone along and specifically cleared anyone's sidewalks per agreement. I am not aware, I don't have a copy of anything. But generally speaking, I can speak on behalf of our, the policy, the DPW that the City is maintaining for a long, long time. Regardless of whether it's on a residential street or on arterial street, we understand that the bigger streets, because of the nature of those streets and our policy for clearing snow rights, any time it snows, doesn't matter if it's a little bit of snow or 10 inches of snow, the arterial streets are cleared, they are pavement policy. Of course we don't maintain that, that policy on residential streets, we don't plow residential streets, until the snowfall exceeds two inches. That's the way it's been for decades. So of course, on Mason Street, where, you know, Mega snow firm and another businesses are, in that, along that stretch, they do get snow pile up every single snow storm. The policy is, regardless if you're commercial or private, the adjacent property is required by City ordinance to clear the sidewalk. If they made an attempt to clear their sidewalk, and we're not talking just, you know, a couple of shovelfuls, and oh, this is too heavy, let's call the City, and I don't mean that demeaning to anybody, but you have to make an attempt to clear your sidewalk in front of your property, and then the City would go through and push it, push snow and bury your beautiful work, that's called overplow, in which case, you let us know about that, 'cause the driver does not always know. And I wanna say contrary to popular belief, the driver doesn't do it spitefully. The driver is fully stick on, all the hours they've been, maybe they're in a little bit of a trance and a lot of them focused ahead, and they don't realize what they've done. It happens, we're human. You call us, we go out and take a look at it, we overplowed your sidewalk, I apologize, and then we'll send our, a sidewalk, you know, to clean it up. Generally speaking, like I took a peak at the Angus' property, you know, the stretch within the last week or so before the meeting here. Yeah, the left turn, might did go away and saw obviously, the terrace got small, you know, looking back, I don't know how much smaller.

- [Janet] There is no terrace.

- There is a terrace there, it's a terrace is, the City owns, it's street right of way from the back, basically six inches behind that sidewalk, all the way across to the other six inches behind the other side of that roadway right of way. The terrace in front of the Angus Law firm building is actually paved. The sidewalk section only has to be approximately five-six feet wide. Americans with Disabilities Act, you know, for mobility purposes, a sidewalk needs to be cleared minimum, or any walking path where it's a hallway, it has to be a minimum of 36 inches wide, but of course, you're not gonna have someone in a wheelchair or a scooter that is 36 inches wide turning having exactly 36, so we're looking at, you know, four-five feet wide, it's good, you know, with DPW it's not gonna go back and say, hey, you can clear the whole sidewalk. We don't go looking for that type of stuff, we actually only respond on complaint to sidewalks, not to. Approximately 11 feet from the curb to the back side of the paved area, you only have to clear five-six feet and then the rest is basically snow storage along the street. Now, of course, if you cleared the sidewalk, and indeed, if it goes and pushes it back, again if you, gives a ring. That's overplow, and we'll go back with our sidewalk unit and clean it up for you, because that's.

- I'll be calling every single time. It's also up all the time, it just fills back up. I don't know if I have the floor.

- Well, yeah, I just.

- Mark, you got any other questions.

- I do, but solving a case like that, you know, I've had citizens that have called in, and I don't know what, as far as your protocol, for that type of thing, but it seems it depends on the Alders who call, you know, that seems to maybe get rolling a little bit more. I don't know your experiences with that, 'cause I know you get bombarded with calls like that. But what is your preferred method on that, because I've had phone call, might call me, and then I will contact, and it seems for the most part, I've been pretty satisfied with the service that other firms giving. So, I don't know if there's another thought on that.

- Residents don't have to work through their Alders.

- They don't.

- You know, they see, is one of our front office people, he answers our general numbers, our numbers are at the West Side Shop that many people know. Call us directly and we'll add it to the list, you know, we have these types of issues all over the City. We have narrower terraces and narrower sidewalk areas on arterial streets throughout the City that, you know, actually are in a worse situation than in front of Angus properties. I am not saying that to get off of anything, it's just that, you know, if we fight with mother nature, we gotta store the snow somewhere.

- So, there is an ordinance some place talking about all of this, correct?

- Ordinance clearing sidewalks, yes.

- Okay. I'm going to take a look at that, again, just to see what's involved in that. I know, it's probably just cut and dry, but maybe there is something over time that we can look at. So, I don't know if we can do that right now.

- For clarification, that's in an ordinance for clearing the sidewalk?

- Mhm.

- Okay.

- Right, and the ordinance talks to the adjacent property owner is responsible for clearing the sidewalk adjacent to their properties they own or manage, if they have the property management.

- So the City truck goes in, puts three feet of ice, and person is handicapped. Does it cover anything like that?

- Not sure what you're asking.

- Well, let's see you know Oakdale.

- You mean, does the ordinance talk to that?

- Right.
- Oh, that's a policy practice that's been in place with Public Works for longer than I've been here.
- Right, but the question is that someone who's handicapped with three feet of ice, let's say Oakdale Hooth, you're familiar with that corner, let's say there's three feet of ice that a truck put through put on that terrace, sidewalk, person's handicapped, City just, what does it say?
- Needs to be notified if we're not aware of it.
- You'll be notified?
- DPW would need to be notified by somebody.
- Okay, we're not aware of it.
- Like I said--
- But the clearer will own the bill?
- Without an invoice, without a bill.
- It would.
- Okay.
- Thanks Chris. Mark, just go ahead.
- Well, you know, I understand what Janet, what they're talking about, and there is other reason as the City that had that issue, you know, a lot of times people will think of the terraces, but you know, yours is all paved, correct, so.
- I could just say something.
- No, it's one second on the floor, go ahead.
- So I don't know, it is a concern, and like I said, I have no extreme complaints right now, but I think that there are some issues that might need to be looked at. You know, this as far as this, but other areas of the City as well. And I don't know how to fully address that, but that's something that you would have to look at the ordinance and see if something might have to be tweaked a little bit or policy, you know, like the policy that's internal. It's like we don't really have much control over that. I don't think, do we? Like policy, if they have policy, you know, there should be something we can look at and see, what we need to do something, you know. I'm just throwing it out.
- Policy is a policy, ordinance is an ordinance.
- Yeah, I know, but the policy, is that something we can look at and try to change?

- Yeah.
- Okay, that's all I need to know.
- Okay.
- Alright, that's all I have for now.
- Okay.
- Motion open the floor.
- No, just one second.
- Okay, I'm sorry.
- Don't worry.
- Sure.
- You have questions before we open up floor.
- Certainly.
- Or do you want the floor open now?
- Ahh, well, we open the floor.
- Okay.
- Second.
- Motion to open a floor by Steuer, second by Wery. Under discussion, hear none, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Okay, the floor is now open, just raise your hand and say, you already stated your name.
- Janet Angus
- Janet Angus.
- Thanks for opening the floor. But just a couple of things, you know. What's funny about this whole thing it's my sidewalks were cleared by the City for years. For years they were cleared. I did not have to do Mason Street and you do Locust, they have to be surely, I could use my little snow blower there, and I could do that, because I didn't have a Bobcat for years. But if I didn't have that Bobcat, that piece of equipment this last year, there's no way, no way I could've plowed through that snow, it was horrible. You see what a nice job I did. I even took it away and put it over in my empty lot, I

cleared it up, because you know what, the City had left on your terrace, your paved terrace, and remember, you cut my paved terrace down by at least, took it away. I mean, how many feet do you think that terrace was? I actually got a diagram with the feet on it at some place. Like just have to find the file. But that was probably a 10-15 foot terrace, it was one, one actual turn lane of traffic took over the terrace on the Notre Dame side and on my side of the street. So you reduced the sides of the streets, and your plows go through, they go through 40 miles an hour, and they put the snow up, and they put it down into the snow, and a little old me has to go out there, or somebody I hire has to go out there and plow the snow. So you know what, you can say, oh it's paved, and you can say, oh, it's you have enough footage to do it, but next year, I don't know, I'm gonna actually, we have snow that we did this year, I will go out and measure how high that snow was, it was this high this year, and it was solid ice. It was solid ice. And you know what, the City will have no problem with sending me a bill, that it will have no problem with sending inspection now, giving me a ticket.

- Did you get some bills this year?

- I didn't 'cause I plowed the snow.

- Okay, I just.

- With my Bobcat.

- Wanna go through, above and beyond.

- But I've gotten bills from the City before, but not on Mason. On Shirley Street I did a couple of times, because I didn't get up fast enough, and for me it's 24 hours, my sidewalks have to be cleared, but you know, the street wasn't even cleared this year. I had rocks in my street going down Shirley Street. It was horrible, you couldn't even get out from a road to go onto your driveway. You didn't see me calling and complaining about my, I didn't call and complain about the condition of the streets. It was a bad winter, but in the same token, don't expect people that don't have an area that overflow snow to go from the street to get out there and take care of your sidewalks. It's ridiculous from the City standpoint. I think you're asking people to do an insurmountable thing.

- Anything else, Janet?

- Hmm, no. Can I have my foldouts back, please.

- Yeah.

- That's how I lose things.

- Mark, you got questions--

- No, no, thanks Janet.

- Okay, motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close.

- By Steuer, second by Wery.

- Okay, now the floor is closed, we're on a regular business, I wouldn't worry.

- Thank you Mr. Chairman. Chris or Jim, Shawano Avenue, that district used to be, Paul said that Shawano have one half new of one half. Does the City, seems to me, I remember the City clears some of that property, just the overflow or, I remember it to be--

- You do clear snow off arterial streets as time permits and as storage disappears. Shawano Avenue has a lot, there were sidewalks and terrace, if there's any terrace at all, sidewalk will probably be six feet from the back occurs, so that's, it's on the sidewalk there, so that feels up quite quickly. Like I said, on Mason Street near Locust, it's about 11 feet from the back, a sidewalk to the back of the curb, so not all of it has to be cleared, right out to the curb. So we have some space for storage of snow, some space for walking. So Shawano Avenue does get, you just let us, we clear snow on there, two times, because the sidewalk is just disappearing so fast, we're in hardship winter.

- So you're saying that, oh, I'm sorry, Chris. Go ahead, you go, you got the floor.

- I am curious--

- What do you mean--

- Maybe some of the history of it, you know, is to if we saw that minutes from some of those meetings, of motions that we made, promises made. I know everything now is kept electronically, I'd be curious to go back and dig into that to see.

- I've looked back and I have not found anything that speaks specifically to. I can do, I can talk to, even look at engineering records, if there's something from 94, I have no idea of the minutes that were taken in 94. Public.

- Or even information needs.

- The Clerk's office, some of the other minutes. It does seem interesting that clearing real streets, it had two lanes worth of snow, that's a heavy, icy, salty, briny, dirty ice, mess piled onto the sidewalks and they have to move it.

- A lot of it because we do use salt to lay on our terrace a lot of the snow does get, does melt, so it's not all the snow from the streets, but that's, here and there.

- So I would be interested in reviewing the policy for the removal of snow on arterial streets that was placed there by City Operations, and specifically look into what happened with the Mason Street corridor, the old minutes, or agreements, whether in the Clerk's office or in Engineering. If you look into that and bring it back, that'd be my motion.

- I will second that.

- Okay, there is a motion on the floor to review what, the what policy?

- To review the policy of removal of snow on arterial streets that was placed there by City Operations, and then specifically to look at the history of Mason Street when it was rebuilt. I mean, we have some time, hopefully, before the next snow fall on us.

- You got work.

- Oh, yeah.

- One thing at a time. I want to see some options,

- City would be responsible for that?

- Well, that's why agree going with anything being an option.

- Okay.

- This is a reviewing action.

- Okay.

- Okay, motion on the floor triggered by Steuer. This is where Granue usually gives us his weather prediction So, I don't know, who's filling that role?

- That one because we have a request from the state to put in our salt, or next winter.

- But he usually, he usually does the next couple of weeks. Have we done with the snow?

- Yeah, yeah, I don't know.

- Alright.

- All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Nays? Motion carried, we'll look forward.

- And then if we could notify Miss Angus too.

- Alright, number two. Consideration on possible action on request by Nicholson to include Main Street and Mason Street in the 2020 Capital Improvement Program. The reason why I put that communication in, because little history, over five years ago I was looking for someone, see input, and also some resurrection, I guess, at that area, that kinda got pushed aside, so I put another one in in September, but what I wanted at the last meeting wasn't on a 2019th, my request was 2020. Though, I think you're only want that was at that meeting, it was you and Steve, and myself and Chris. So that's my request.

- Well, we did decided just to let you know what has transpired on that. Since the last meeting is, we now have the consultant hired under contract.

- Okay. And they're looking for a possible solutions for that basin Ogler. What we're doing, we're calling that basin, it's one of the largest in the city, overall, almost 1,900 acres. So it's, there's not going to be a single fix that's going to relieve me of this, it's going to be a system of fixes.

- Right.

- So, what we end up doing is working on the modeling and trying to put in the various types of fixes to see how that affects the basin. And then based on off all the information is that someone will generated our projects, once they complete their study so. And what we'll do is, as they keep making progress with their study, either myself or Steve will bring in like what is the Directors' report, so you're up to date on where we are and satisfied by that.

- Where are we at in that area? Here at last meeting, your staff was supposed to make some phone calls to the developer of East Town Mall. Any progress?

- They haven't reached out to the developer yet, because we're still not, until we actually know what the project does, it doesn't make sense to reach out to the developer to look at.

- Okay, I'll call. How close are we to developing a plan?

- Let's say probably by end of summer-ish.

- Okay.

- The late summer, somewhere there.

- Okay.

- Well, that's why I said, we can, every other meeting, we can bring an update for you, so you know where we at.

- Well, yes, I mean, I don't want this off the radar, 'cause like I said, it was pushed aside, and now really I don't want this to be mashed with other projects or programs, that should be strictly under the Capital Improvement Program.

- Yes, well that's how we wanted.

- 2020?

- That's what we gonna do.

- Right, okay. Alright. Mark?

- You go with it, I'm okay.

- Make a motion to approve.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Steuer, second by?
- I do.
- What date are we approving?
- Let's put it on received.
- Was made on, Where is Alice Creek, you have an area or a map?
- Will I receive a place on file? I wanted, basically, going forward.
- And you don't, this is not gonna interfere with the other thing, so we were told, all the different roads and such.
- What year, 2018?
- We are looking at 2020.
- 2020?
- Right.
- Can we ensure out that far?
- Well, now I'm looking at, I don't want it pushed aside. What I want is, if it's on 2020 and staff hasn't had a program or a plan yet, at least it's on the radar, we can push it to 2021, okay. If you receive and place on file, it gets lost again, and then I go through the same rigmarole, of the only staff, and new counts all--
- I just spent a whole--
- Bad memories and all that other good stuff, so I want it on the radar.
- I made a motion.
- Okay, and we need a second for discussion. Second by Wery.
- We're fine.
- You set to approve, there's nothing.
- Okay, well, let's keep managing.

- If I could, Director Brunette, thank yo Mr. Chairman. You said the study be done maybe fall, I remember last time we discussed it, it might have been a year study. Are those two different?
- No, the actual modeling and things like that, we should have some results from that within a couple of months.
- Okay.
- I mean, the Chair and Mr. Shavi, you can just put this on the agenda every month as an item.
- And the lease, they were gonna bring it back every month?
- Yeah, so between the two, let's make sure it's on here every month for us to review.
- Call that Alice Watershed--
- Alice Creek.
- Alice Creek watershed, you know.
- Do you have a map? I'm sorry? It's alright, I like maps.
- Wery, you got four right now.
- I understand the Chairman's frustration, I think we can accomplish what he's trying to get at by keeping it on monthly agenda. And it sounds like we gonna be technically, will be getting a spec and plenty of time for next year so. You'll, I hear what you wanna to do as the motion, is set to approve, but.
- Well, you know, after listening to staff, after listening to Alderman Nicholson speak on this, I feel comfortable that as long as, as part of the Directors' report or reported back to the Committee, eventually get the Council as well, but as long as Alderman Nicholson is satisfied with that, I'm fine with that.
- Satisfied what?
- Satisfied with every--
- Motion, put it on.
- The motion is to.
- I'm satisfied with the motion.
- Okay, well.
- At least it's gonna be on the radar.

- Well it just says consideration with possible action, okay, so making that motion.
- You already did.
- I did.
- Yep, Chris, you second it, we're under discussion.
- The motion is to approve putting this in the 2020 Capital Improvement Program.
- Right, with the understanding, if staff isn't ready, we can at least put it to 2021 if staff said yes.
- I'm fine with that addendum. Is that, can you?
- At least we got something on the record instead of receiving and placing on file. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Motion carried.
- It is?
- Six o'clock. We gonna serve our... Public hearing, is there something agreed?
- I have to read the engineer's report in and then
- You have to.
- Then you need to ask, if there is anybody here to speak on three times
- Three times.
- Yep.
- Okay. You have the floor.
- This is the hearing on March 27th, 2019 for the North-West Project. In accordance with state statute 66.0703 this public hearing is being held for pavement improvements included in 2019 Capital Improvements Program. The project is being considered tonight will be included on all contracts administered by the Wisconsin Department of Transportation. All of the proposed investments are being levied in accordance with the City's assessment ordinance. During construction contractors required to provide access to businesses at all times and residencies as much as practical. When a resident's driveway is inaccessible, the property owners or tenants can receive information from the City Police Department to park on adjoining streets. Problems during construction should be brought to the attention to the Department of Public Works Engineering Division by calling 448-3100.

Concrete pavement, North Webster Avenue from East River to Radisson Street is classified as a principal arterial in an area where zone residential for light industry. The existing concrete street is in poor condition and in need of reconstruction. The project include pavement and storm sewer improvements, North Webster Avenue will be constructed with eight and a half inch concrete pavement and have a typical length of 28 feet in each direction which includes two five-foot.

- The Wisconsin Department of Transportation would be administering this project. The estimated cost of pavement construction is \$6,223,188. The federal government will release funding 4.9 million dollars of the payment construction with the remaining funding coming from the City and special assessments. Sanitary sewer and water main improvements have been installed prior to the pavement construction, and no assessments will be levied for storm sewers, sanitary sewer or water main improvements. That's the end of root part.

- Oh, okay, thank you, so now I have to just ask who's in the audience three times.

- Yes.

- That's it. Anybody in the audience? Okay, anybody else, we've got three? Anybody in the audience, anybody else? Oh, we have four.

- Let's give him a chance to speak.

- No five, we got four. Alright, so just raise your hand and.

- Yeah, and that when you do go to the podium to speak, please, grab one of the cards that's up there and fill that out, so that we have your name, address and contact information.

- Okay, who wants to speak first, no hands?

- Okay, one. Who's second? Alright, three.

- I don't need to go.

- Alright, sounds good. Sir in the back, you want to be number three?

- [Audience Membe] I'm kinda new to this so.

- You kinda of need help with?

- We don't.

- Ignore the man.

- Commit numbers to memories.

- Well they are, are remember that, two of them on vacation this week.

- Okay.

- I'm fine, you're fine.

- You don't get the,

- Craig, state your name and address for the record, you got the floor, Sir.

- Okay, my name is Craig Robbins, I live at 740 South Jefferson Street in Green Bay. I am representing Paul's Pantry which is located at 1513 Leo Frigo Way. I am an Executive Director at Paul's Pantry for the last 19 years. I wanna thank you for giving me the opportunity tonight to clarify our property location, and second, explain why we feel that special assessment on that property may be an oversight. And if after I explained our disagreement, and our property should be identified as one subject to the special assessment, we would like to request that Paul's Pantry given an exemption based on the amount of community assistance we provide to the community, and also in consideration of our ongoing improvements to the subject property which will beautify new Webster Avenue corridor and will also raise surrounding property values. In 2015 Paul's Pantry purchased two empty undeveloped parcels from Mike Coleman, located on Klaus Street. Parcels were purchased for \$35,000 and this was done so with the intention of future expansion. We've paid property taxes on these vacant lots each year, because they did not have an exempt permits Over 35 years we've been able to grow as the Green Bay community has grown, we are currently serving over 4,000 households annually with 13,000 people within those households. Currently we are distributing over nine tons of food each day five days a week to those in need in our community. We are able to do this only with the support of the private sector. Paul's Pantry has never applied for nor received any federal, state, or local tax revenue. We rely entirely upon donors, volunteers and food suppliers. After Paul's Pantry purchased the two parcels at 919 and 925 Klaus Street, which are parcels 2274 and 275, we immediately started looking at the two parcels located just to the East on Klaus. These two parcels 2 2272 and 20 273 is where the former Loading Dock Tavern was located. You might remember this bright orange tavern. For years the tavern had not only been an eyesore, but trash, empty bottles, cans, along with hypodermic needles were found on the south side of our existing warehouse which was constructed in 2006. After years of negotiation and thousands of dollars in legal fees and the cost of the phase one environmental study we were able to close on the property for \$278,000 last June. We have immediately had asbestos testing and abatement done, in order to raise tavern that fall at a cost of \$11,000. If you would please note on the handout that I gave you, page one, the street address of our warehouse which is subject to the proposed special assessment is located at 926 Vanderbraak Street and is listed as parcel 2 2271. On the next page, page two, you will see our request submitted to the City on December nine of last year to combine parcels 2272, 273, 274 and 275 into the parent parcel 2271 which has the address listed as 926 or 930 Vanderbraak Street. Looking at page three and four, this shows that the request was approved by the City of Green Bay on July 18th of last year to be effective in 2019. As I mentioned, this combination of parcels was done so we could build an addition to the south side of our warehouse located on Vanderbraak Street. The plans for the addition have been approved by the City and construction is well under way, footings and walls will be good on this week. On page five, there is a blown up section of our plan showing the existing driveway facing east, showing access from North Webster. Please, note as part of the plan approved by the City, it shows this driveway will be removed and closed for a City of Green Bay standards. This driveway maybe the cause of the confusion. On page six is the drawing showing the completed curb board. Next page, seven, is a street view of the property looking west from Webster Avenue prior to the tavern being raised. This shows the driveway in question. Finally, the last page is a city map showing the project location and the

combination of the parcels. 99% of our operation takes place at our main building and our corporate office located on Leo Frigo Way. The warehouse located at 926 Vanderbraak Street is only used for storage, product is moved to the main building by fork lift each morning, and most large deliveries are accepted at our loading dock located at our main building on Leo Frigo Way. On occasion we will accept semis at the Vanderbraak Street warehouse dock which is on Vanderbraak. Leo Frigo Way is rear facing property to North Webster. Vanderbraak Street dead ends at Leo Frigo Way, neither of these buildings have driveways or direct access to North Webster, while the addition to the Vanderbraak warehouse will have service doors and a loading dock which will rear face Klaus Street, the warehouse remains the front-facing building on Vanderbraak and neither the front nor back have a driveway with access to North Webster. So our point being that there is no real benefit to Paul's Pantry. This has been mentioned earlier in the previous discussions. This is a main arterial, this is a thoroughfare and a corridor, and this project was designed not only to fix the potholes and such on Webster Avenue, it was to beautify this corridor into the City of Green Bay from the interstate. This has, this is a benefit to the entire City of Green Bay, people visiting our community have a nicer, more beautiful entrance into the City. We've played our part in tearing down that tavern, getting rid of that eyesore, and as people come in to our community, they no longer have to look at that. The amount of assistance that Paul's Pantry gives back to the community is staggering. Last year our program provided \$9.5 million in assistance, and that's donated food, purchased food, et cetera. We don't have any other sources of income other than donations, so if you give Paul's Pantry \$50 donation, that money is given to us with the understanding, especially if we send you an appeal in the mail, or a newsletter, that \$50 is to be used for Paul's Pantry's program, it is not to be necessarily used for any other type of expense outside of our program. And I think that the assessment that we received for this parcel 271 which is, as I mentioned, located on Vanderbraak, is overly burdensome assessment on a non-profit that provides so much assistance to our local community. And it's taking away from that, that mission and using our citizens' donations in a manner that is not consistent with what we do.

- Questions? Mark?

- Thank you Chair. Craig, thank you for coming in. That was very well stated, thank you for all of that. Just a couple of things. You get donations, of course, and some moneys, et cetera, and I was just gonna say with your organization, how much of that goes directly to help, or how much that is for administration costs and other things, 'cause to me, it looks like you spend some money, correct, to tear that tavern down.

- Yes.

- You paid for that?

- We paid for that and that is part of our planning process that has taken place over last 35 years. We have a capital improvement budget that we try to contribute some money to each year. Just because an organization is a non-profit doesn't mean that they shouldn't have a profit that they can put toward replacing equipment and planning for the future needs of the community. We've invested some funds over the years and have been able to do that. In our appeal letters to the community we mentioned that surplus funds are first for food purchases, second for equipment replacement, and third for future building and an expansion. The last question of how much goes to our program, 96% goes to our program, 3% goes to management and general, 1% goes to fund raising, and if you'd like, you can have this. This is our functional information. Expenses for the last fiscal year.

- Thank you. No, it was mine, I made you a question, 'cause I know what non-profits could still have administration and such that you need to take care of aside from that, so we understand.

- We try to run the organization as if it was, you know, our own home, and you all know, we have water heaters and furnaces that need to be replaced, funds need to be set aside for that, and with the community growing and the need growing, as the need grows, we've been able to grow with the community and provide that assistance, and really keep the social fabric of our community from becoming, you know.

- Well just in addendum to that is that, I mean, year after year, I mean, you're growing and that, and it's good or bad, depending on how you look at it, I mean you.

- That's right.

- You know what I'm saying.

- Yep.

- Apart of that, so you feel that it's improving, the situation's improving somewhat, or is it getting a little more tough in order, and I realize this doesn't have to do exactly with this, but I.

- Right now?

- Just your financial state.

- Alright, that's not on the agenda, I'm not gonna allow that.

- Alright.

- Stick to the subject, please.

- Thank you.

- Any other questions.

- Alright, no, I'll be good for now.

- Okay. Chris you got any questions?

- I don't have any.

- Thank you.

- Thank you, number two.

- Excuse me.

- Okay, my name is Craig Beyl, I am President and Chairman of the Board of Paul's Pantry. My home address is 2967 Mayflower Road, and again, our office is at 1513 Leo Frigo Way. And I think one of the things that Craig didn't bring up is, this is the diagram of the lot, and it shows that our access to our warehouse is off Vanderbraak and Vanderbraak doesn't even go out to Webster Avenue, I mean there's no access, even out off of Vanderbraak. So all our trucks have to come in off of Radisson and go down Leo Frigo Way to get to our warehouse. So, if the special assessments are charged for benefiting properties, is this truly a benefiting property, when we don't even have the street that the warehouse is on, going out to Webster Avenue.

- Just a quick question on that.

- Go ahead, square.

- Thank you, Chair.

- I mean, I understand what you're saying, but there is frontage there, and the only place that has frontage is your establishment, you know, just for clarification.

- Correct.

- So, there's nobody else have that piece of properties except holes, because you own the property.

- We go on on that whole yellow section.

- No, I'm just for your information, that's all. You know , we'll have further discussion.

- Yeah, that's all our lot, but here's where we go into our warehouse, you know, we can't even.

- We understand that.

- And just for your information, I'll give you some. We just celebrated our 35th anniversary and we pass this out at the 35th anniversary, showing to the community what we've done. And the thing about Paul's Pantry, it's not just an emergency food pantry, its mission is to provide families with enough food every week, for as long as they need, so they can use that money that they would've spent on food, for shelter, utilities, and other necessities, transportation to get to a job, whatever, to better themselves. That's what we're trying to do. So if we look at the numbers that, we're looking at for an assessment 17,606.62, if you take that and you look at what our cost, what it costs us for operating per pound, and we also have vehicle expense per pound, that works out to 22.3 cents a pound it costs us to distribute the food to the needy. And in your ordinance you actually have a section on protecting the poor, it says that you will have an exception, if people can't afford it and they can prove that they are indigent and poor, those are the people that we service, and we took 17,606 divided it by the 22.3 cents a pound that it cost, that's 78,953, so 78,953 pounds of food that will take out of our ability to provide for the needy. And I apologize, on the bottom of the sheet it says 75,000, we divided by 2.233 instead of .223, so that number is wrong.

- What is that number again?

- Then number is 78,953. And that works out to what we pass out in a week. So we're taking one week's worth of food away from the needy by paying this bill, or somehow, you know, maybe miracles happen, someone wants to make a donation of 17,606, that would be great, we would gladly pay it, but that doesn't happen. But we're just looking at this, is really causing a hardship for us to take care of people that are in need in Green Bay. And for us to do this, we're addressing the same people you address in your ordinance. So if we're not able to find that food, they gonna have to pay for it. So I actually now, the poor are paying for the assessment. And I guess, that's all I have to say.

- Okay.

- So, thank you very much.

- Thank you.

- Mark, you got a question?

- Maybe I should save this for staff, but I, you know I, I understand what you're saying. I'm gonna ask him.

- Okay.

- So there's one week that you're saying now will be off. Under your estimation, what would, who would pick up that extra cost?

- Find me a willing donor to give us some money, because, I mean, we have limited resources, we can't just.

- No, it might not just be you, it could be the tax payer in general, so just throwing that out. That's all I need.

- You know, if we could get an exemption and the City pay for it, that'd be great.

- Okay, I just wanted all the possible options. That's all I have, thank you.

- Okay, questions, Chris? Guess not, thank you.

- If we fill this out and right to you?

- Yeah.

- Anybody else? Number three in the back?

- [Audience Member] What am I allowed to talk about?

- Well, the project.

- Strictly just the Webster Avenue East River Radisson Street. Correct Bill?

- Correct, yep.
- Any questions or concerns?
- [Audience Member] I'm not in those.
- Okay.
- Thank you.
- Now what, we close the floor for the hearing?
- I would like to add a comment regarding the comments that were made is the, like Mark had alluded to, or Alder Steuer had alluded to, is the assessment is calculated by front frontage, not by access to the roadway, so anybody who owns frontage on North Webster or any street is assessed and you get assessed by frontage, not if you have access to the street. So just wanted to make that clear. And as far as the agency thing, Council passed the opportunity to make that a determination and with this something is done at the Council level, if I remember it, Vanessa.
- [Vanessa] So, indigency actually only applies to single family residential homes, but what I can say is that the deferment option is still available, just not actually full on waiver based on that.
- What is that mean?
- The deferment process basically, because essentially Paul's Pantry will not see a bill until the project is actually completed, number one. So there is a good chance that the actual bill for this will not go out until right around the end of 2019, so you would have that time to raise funds if that was the road you decided to do. When the bill does come, you can pay any of it, all of it, or none of it. And anything that's remaining then was get distributed under your, split into five annual payments, and that would be applied to your annual property tax bills. So that would be an option that would be available.
- Five years, you said?
- Right, five years.
- Correct, just one second, we'll open the floor again. Or in a public hearing, do we have to open the floor to have.
- I believe so, yeah.
- Okay, do you have anything else, Bill?
- No.
- Okay. Mark, do you got a question for Bill, or you wanna open up the floor for interested parties.
- I would just open up a floor.

- Okay, motion by Steuer to open a floor for this, probably second by Wery under discussion, hear none. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Floor is open just please raise your.

- I just want to know, is five equal payments, but then you also

- Can you just

- I'll come back, I'm sorry.

- Thank you.

- Is five equal payments, but then we'll be charged interest on that too?

- Yes, at quarter and a half.

- Quarter and a half, okay, thank you.

- Okay.

- Yes sir.

- The fact of the matter is, whether it's deferred over five years with interest, we're still paying it, and we're still asking our donors to foot the bill for a project that the taxpayers will be paying for. As I mentioned earlier, this is a thoroughfare from the interstate to Downtown Green Bay. There is no direct benefit to Paul's Pantry for this project. The frontage that we have is really, there is no benefit Webster Avenue, other than same benefit that anyone visiting our community would have, coming into Green Bay, we have no special benefit to the project.

- Okay, yeah, thank you. You know, I listened to what Mr. Brunette said as well, Director Brunette, you're right in a sense that you don't have any driveways or anything like that on that property, but you do own the property, right.

- We own the property.

- That abuts Webster.

- Correct, our main building is we're facing. I don't know what the difference is.

- I guess, my question to you, I'm gonna ask you, your thoughts as far as, okay, you have parking or what have you, I mean that's obviously, it is being used somewhat, for something, even if it's storage or parking, you know, that stretch or road on Webster, I mean, you own the property up to Webster. So what is that been used for right now, I think you might've mentioned it?

- Warehouse.

- Warehouse.

- Correct.

- So it's still in use, you know, whether it's a primary use or secondary use, I'm just doing this for clarification, that it's still being utilized by your, by Paul's Pantry.

- Right, but the front faces Vanderbraak Street which dead ends at Leo Frigo Way, so the property on the opposite side there, the east side, that faces Webster, there's no use there for us at all. We can't park on Webster Avenue, we don't have a driveway that's on Webster, egress or ingress to Webster Avenue, all we have is our warehouse with the east side facing Webster Avenue.

- I think we are familiar, you know, we understand that. But I'm just saying.

- So, the direct benefit to us is what, you know, our operation would still be there with or without--

- Right.

- Was a gravel road, I don't wanna sound.

- No, you are not alone, I mean, there is other, other establishments that have frontage, sometimes corner lots, things like that, they might not use certain areas, but, you know, I'm just looking at this.

- But for a non-profit who provides such amount of assistance.

- No, I understand.

- I think this is overly burdensome and I would ask that an exception or a an exemption, please, be made on behalf of not only the poor in our community, but on behalf of our donors, who gave money to Paul's Pantry to feed those needy people. Those are the two groups that we're here representing tonight.

- My understanding, this is the one-time assessment

- I understand that, I still don't believe that, that we should be included in this special assessment, since it is the main arterial road or thoroughfare, I think it's the City's responsibility to pay that and that's our, were we stand.

- Okay, that's all.

- Can I, just a question, Mark?

- No, I'm done.

- Wery?

- I just have one question, if I could.

- Go ahead.

- Using your charter?

- Yes.

- The approximately \$10 million, is that fund raised every year, that amount?

- That includes the in-kind or donated food cost, as well as. Ah, okay.

- Funds that are raised, as well, you know, so from an accounting standpoint with an accrual-based accounting, that pie there includes the donated food that we give out, so there is a value placed on that food by our company, by our auditing firm, they place that value on the donated food. That is included in the program that you see there 96%.

- So if we took that out, what would be the?

- Well, if you took that out and took other things out, we were still at only 9% on admin and 6% on fund raising so. And we just were forced by the federal government to switch to this method of accounting. We would rather not include the cost of donated food, because of your exact point, it does inflate the value, and there are some organizations who do use that, I know this is off topic so.

- That's right, thanks.

- Okay, anything else, anybody else from the audience? Guess not, we close the floor, motion to close the floor. Craig?

- Just one question when we will find out for sure what the City's gonna do?

- Well, essentially, if the assessments are approved at tonight's meeting, I would go to the Council on April 1st, Monday I will be for this and even if we do go ahead and approve the assessments tonight, you will always have the right to apply for a hardship, you imply thousand for that, I believe it would be helpful to do that. If they wanna register a hardship? So, and like I said, these assessments will not be issued until the project has been completed, so we do have, you know, basically all of the summer and most of the fall, to get that from Council, if that's the road you chose.

- Okay, thank you.

- Oh, you're welcome.

- What if we're right? Do we test this at the circuit court? As far as the use, the benefit.

- [Vanessa] So, one thing, I am Vanessa Chavez, City Attorney, one thing I can tell you is that reports will determine that the benefit is irrelevant when determining whether or not the property will be assessed besides the sidewalks.

- I gotta a question.
- Could you repeat that, I didn't hear.
- Vanessa, could you?
- I have to repeat--
- I'm sorry.
- [Vanessa] Yes, I was just, I was clarifying that the question that the courts look at is not whether or not there's a beneficial views to the property, you still need assessments, they look whether or not the property abuts the improvements when determining whether or not the assessment is valid.
- Okay.
- I have a question. Why would you ask that question? Are you planning on bringing us to court to deny us.
- I just wanted to know what other recourse, you mentioned that we could, court, you know, appeal to the...
- Common Council,
- Common Council, so I was kind of following his--
- If it was denied?
- Following his train of thought, is all I was doing.
- Basically next Monday six o'clock, room next door.
- [Vanessa] And one clarification, I think that action actually goes to Finance before it goes to Council, so again, that's done before time the assessments are issued, not at this point, correct?
- Say that again.
- [Vanessa] Will be bills actually issued with the assessment, because of.
- When it's due, correct, correct.
- [Vanessa] So that goes to Finance first.
- So when is that?
- I think it's the...
- [Vanessa] No, the one due to see the bill being issued.

- Oh, bills will be issued, oh, it's the end of the year, sometime in December more likely, because we have to finish construction before and finalize all of the costs.
- But this subject with Paul's Pantry, is that gonna be included the next Finance?
- No, I think what Vanessa is saying is they would apply for a hardship through the Finance Committee.
- Okay.
- And from Finance it would go through the Common Council.
- At what time?
- If I could back it up.
- Committee just recommends the Council next week. We're right on this Sam or does it not?
- That's correct.
- Okay, so following that path, they could go to Council on the day we distribute what was done in Committee.
- [Vanessa] Well, all that's happening tonight is recommending that the sidewalk's key installed.
- Right, that the road would be done.
- Nothing about dismissing any special assessment, I don't see that on here.
- [Vanessa] Correct, that would be Finance once it goes through here.
- Okay, I see.
- Okay, so their issue is later on then.
- [Vanessa] Yes.
- Does that break from the sidewalk, okay. All right, I was a little fuzzy on that, but thank you.
- One clarification, on this Committee ruling makes a recommendation for?
- Concrete pavement,
- Correct.
- sidewalks tonight.

- Which is the road?
- No this is the road.
- Right Vanessa? That's it?
- Correction. What's happening tonight is the recommendation Council that Committee is always listening recommendations and then the Council level is what is filed.
- And that there is no step in between the Finance Committee.
- [Vanessa] Not at this point, at this point nothing is going to Finance, because there is nothing for that to look at, to try to waiver or anything.
- Okay.
- [Vanessa] 'Cause you don't actually have--
- Does that make sense?
- From here to the Council.
- Well, what do you mean from here to the Council, please be specific, like we're basically discussing sidewalks, that's all we're gonna take action on. Your issue is gonna happen.
- [Vanessa] At the end of the year.
- End of the year, in the meantime, they should apply for?
- They can apply for a hardship to the Finance Committee.
- Okay.
- I'm sorry, I misunderstood, you said the cost of the concrete project would be eight million dollars. The DOT was covering six million, I assumed we're talking street concrete and not sidewalk concrete.
- No, this is the actual street reconstruction project, it's everything.
- That's everything.
- The special assessment is for concrete.
- Is for the concrete pavement which is the roadway.
- So it's for the roadway and sidewalks if need be.
- Right.

- Yes.
- Okay, so you, alright, it was not just sidewalks, because.
- Right, no, it's not.
- I put in a brief a sidewalk or something.
- Okay, any other questions? Where we at, are we in a open a floor, correct?
- Yeah.
- Any other questions from the Committee or the audience? If not entertain the motion to close the floor.
- Moved. The move by Wery.
- Second. Second by Steuer, under discussion, hear none. All in favor? Regular order of business. Okay, what motion are you looking for, Bill?
- Basically, the motion would be to accept the special assessments and authorize this to levy them and enroll the project.
- I'm sorry if I called Bill, Jim, my bad. Not doing it on purpose.
- I understand.
- What are the wishes of the Committee?
- Wery?
- Thank you Mr. Chairman. Director Brunette, what are the options that the Committee has at this point? I mean is it the same as when we looked at all the roads like last year when we had different roads come in and we wanna altered.
- No, this Friday is a little different than that, because with this being a DOT project, it's not in the City program, so to speak.
- Is it within our ability to take parcels out of not being assessed?
- No, I think that the place to do that would be in Finance with the hardship.
- So, I'm just trying to explain for everybody, you know, the purpose of the public hearing is just to get it out there, that that if there's an issue, because if we go and have the ability to do anything about it.
- Right.

- Yeah, what can we do?
- It makes us look better.
- If you guys don't vote tonight to assess the project, these guys don't get hardship. So it's, another way of looking at it as well.
- Have the entire stretch.
- Yeah, correct, so I recommend that we, the project gets approved and you approve issuing the special assessments, and then, you know, we can work with these guys and help them with getting Finance and things.
- I like the church on the other end, they're paying assessments for this, right. Towards the fire station.
- We'd have to look, because there are some commercial entities that do pay the residential rate which, the reason why these guys are being assessed, is they're being put that as a commercial entity, and we'll tax as picking up all of residents assessments on us.
- Obviously, we're spending years on these projects, so I ask to move forward. Your appeals process is gonna have to follow another path, but hope you kinda understood, understood that we don't wanna stop this entire project by not assessing everyone.
- Right, and we have your current date information, we can reach out to you and help you through that process.
- I make a motion to approve.
- Motion by Wery to approve, second by Steuer, under discussion, hear none. All in favor?
- Nays? Motion carried. Moving forward. Alright, where we at, Jim?
- We are on number three.
- Three consideration of possible action by Alderman Wery for an appeal by Andrew Malecki 1131 South Fisk Street on how his side walk replacement bill was determined. Who wants to? Alright.
- I make the motion on the floor.
- Motion open the floor.
- Second.
- Second by Steuer. under discussion, hear none. All in favor? Motion carried. We're now open, anybody has questions, please raise your hand, state your name and address for the record, and rule be recognized.

- Andrew Malecki Junior, 1131 South Fisk Street.

- You got the floor.

- I'd like to go back a little bit. I live on the corner of Fisk and Loch Drive, and on the Loch Drive a portion of my property there were five trees. And about four or five years ago when I was at rest at one time, one of the big whims were leaning over towards the road, and the tree was splitting. I called Forestry Department, they came out, they tore the tree down, and so forth, but the tree already had started to raise the concrete, a little pad that was next to it. Fast forward to 2017, the tree number four alongside Loch Drive was also taking in raising, in fact it raised the concrete approximately that high. People walking on the Loch side use that for cross country when they park on the street, the kids use it for a pool. I know, as people that were stumbling over it, so for it, people had a tick, and if they had a stroller, or so forth, raise it up in order to get over it. I have problems, skid in a snow often in wintertime. And so I had called and talked to the City about the hazard that I felt it was doing, and I hadn't actually any response, I called the second time and left a voicemail and everything, still did not really get any response. In about December of 17 I got a call from the person in charge of sidewalk. I said well, it's all raised, too late to take care of anything, but they'll be taking care of, you know, in the spring and so the next spring or in 2018, I called about tree number four again, because it had raised again and it was higher, yeah, plus there were a couple of other trees that were on the sidewalk that were also pushing the concrete up. About the middle of the summer, the City came through with a crew and they marched the sidewalks, put X's here in the trees and the stuff, and so on, so forth. Well, there was a pass there that were marked X, which according to the folder was supposed to be my responsibility. Well these are also, pads, I'm calling them pads, that the roots were affecting, but I didn't seem to be able to get any satisfaction, I talked to Alderman Wery and told him my situation, and I believe he even looked at it and signed and proceeded forward. Well, in about middle of the summer, the tree number five fallen right down Loch Drive had a limb that was coming down laying over onto my lawn, and in order to cut the grass, I had to get underneath it, so I called the Forestry Department about the limb. Gentleman came out and I happened to be home at the time. And he looked at it and he said, yeah, we have a problem there. And I said, this is after the sidewalk was all wired and everything, and he says, according to this, he says, you know, what's gonna happen with the trees with the sidewalk being replaced. And he said, well, we will work on them, and I said, what's work on them, and he said, well, we'll just put them straight down on the sidewalk, cut the roots, and they'll fade, why it says, why it would do you take to do that, put new sidewalk, but leave the roots there, and so forth. And he said, well, he says the other thing is, you'll go treeless. I said, I guess, you know, that's your deal. So, we went along with this, and I had a conversation back and forth, I talked to Jimmy on the phone and Jimmy said, and he said we'll take a look at it again. So, okay, they came out, they took a look at it, called me back and said no, we stand by the way we did it. Okay, contractor comes, he started to take a look at it, the City had come already and taken all the trees out. So all five trees were cut, they took in ground up, the chips, and so forth. Contractor came in and I told him, you know, who's gonna take and cove all this? And he said, well, he says, I'll get a hold of Jimmy. And, you know, maybe we can discuss this, and I said fine, I'll be here. So within an hour or so Jimmy came, we talked about it, and he said, well, he says, you know, that pad there is, you know, just behind the tree. He says that's not pertained to the roots, but I say, what do you mean, the tree that's coming from there, is gotta roots onto the sidewalk, and it's going up the side of the lawn towards my house. And he said, well, that's the tree you had there. And I said, sir, I've been here since 1959, and there has never been a tree there. I said, I can guarantee you that, and he said, well, you know, well, that's the way it is. I said, okay, what

about the pad that's out in front of the house, and as we walked over there, and he looks at it, and there's double trees are out. But he says, well, it's flaking, you know, but there is a spot, maybe it was maybe about this big that it was flaking the concrete off. I said well, if this one is being replaced, why isn't the next one, which has got a spot about like this and about that deep, that concrete is up. But he says, it's because you call and wanted the sidewalk fixed, that's your neighbors, we can't do it. So, basically, I called to take and report a hazard and I felt it could be dangerous as far as anybody get hurt from the city, and then I turn around and gotten a bill for \$860 to replace sidewalks and the Forestry man told me, he says this tree should never have been planted there, those are not the type of trees to put in there. But it's my responsibility because the trees are there, that now I have to pay to replace the sidewalk. And I don't feel that that should be.

- Thank you. Any, Mark, you have the floor.

- Thank you, Chair.

- And Chris.

- Thank you Mr. Malecki for coming in and we all deal with situations like this in our districts, maybe not exactly like this, but. How many cakes if you will or squares, sidewalk squares that you have to replace? When somewhere City damaged some was, you know, a lot of times it will be pole, so the yellow is what?

- This would be the diagram that I have.

- So the City was supposed to take care of these, correct?

- Right.

- And then the yellow ones are yours?

- This is the one that I talked about with that the flaking is on, and this is the one next door that it's worse, in fact.

- So the City's saying that you need to take care of that, right?

- Yeah.

- As well as the other ones?

- Basically, Mark if you look at the diagram, the cakes that are marked with an X are the resident's responsibility. The cakes that are marked with T are the City's responsibility.

- Right.

- And there was a total of 20 linear P that provides, so there's a 100 feet that the resident is responsible for in this case.

- Right. But he was mentioning that, what you say, 860?

- \$860 what the bill was like.
- So, like it that's the question.
- The cost this year was probably 8.60 a square foot. And he had 100 square feet, so that's how that was calculated.
- And a 100 square feet would be, each one of those is 25 square feet roughly?
- Yeah, yeah, roughly, for a sidewalk.
- Okay, 'cause part of it is the cost so. Alright, well, we'll discuss this. Thank you, it's all I have.
- Any other questions from the Committee or the audience? If not, turn to regular order of business.
- Motion to close.
- By Steuer, second by Wery, under discussion, hear none. All in favor?
- Nays? Motion carried. Regular order of business. Wery?
- Thank you Mr. Chairman. I pass, everybody has and feel the same, the thing that Mr. Malecki have the T's and the X's, it's that same diagram. You can see one, where it's cakes, I think. 21, 22, 23, 24 all caused by the tree, some of them being three cakes out from the tree. There are a cakes 18 and seven, which are also three cakes out from the tree, but not considered the tree, I think that's the frustration, as you have cakes that are the same distance away from the tree as others, so some are being charged the tree and some aren't.
- And I can clarify.
- Pardon?
- I can clarify that.
- Okay.
- If you look at, if we all have the same diagram, and I know you're referencing cakes 10, nine, eight, seven. 10 and nine were in a up-heaved position, where the roots actually pushed the cakes up, so it did not meet 88 conditions any more, cake eight was not heaved in any direction whatsoever. Cake seven was not affected by a tree, because cake seven on the left hand side of the cake, had actually sap, causing a difference in elevation between cake seven was here, cake eight was here.
- What happened with seven?
- And cake seven would've been affected by the tree, because there was a tree originally somewhere by cakes six or seven also, that's one of the, the resident had referenced that that tree was one of the first trees taken out.

- What year was that?
- That was two years ago, three years ago something like that?
- Right, exactly.
- Somewhere in the ballpark, it was taken 12 or 40 on the tree.
- Right. Jennifer was able to call up and said, there was a tree there.
- Right, I understand, I agree, there was a tree there. But what I'm saying is cake eight was here, level, it was usable, cake seven had settled at the joint with cake eight. That's why we left cake eight in place.
- So on the other side, cake seven was where the other tree was.
- Correct.
- And that kind of pushed that up.
- And, right, so, I mean, it's you don't see, I mean this is, what you're dealing with this is there is no set pattern in stone, like saying, 24, 23, 22, 21, so we should also take 11, 10, nine, eight. I mean, it doesn't work that way, we have to actually physically inspect these things and feel and look at them, which is what was done.
- I appreciate the work Jimmy did, I know he's new to the position or what, but the prior person.
- Too long.
- Reasonable, we're looking at things.
- It's Kyle.
- Kyle.
- Jimmy seems pretty stubborn, maybe he's learning. I don't know, maybe it turned down wrong before, but I'm not willing to bud Jona's initial assessments on things. And the previous person had always would go out and look at it with me, and say, hell, yeah hey and all. Is there some kind of restriction that he can't go out in person and look at the sidewalk now? Or that's something.
- No, he has gone out and he had to network, carry yourself to people all the time. Goes out and makes a thousand.
- Okay, that's good, that's good to hear. I mean for me, I think, you know, while some of them, obviously, were near a tree, there's two that are pretty obvious, I still think, sapped by the tree that was removed prior, that was pushed it up and tipped it into eight. You know the trees are here,

there was a tree over here, so I mean, I will look to remove seven and eight in proximity to the trees.

- So the two and the four cakes?
- DPW is a pain, this is not appealable by any of us.
- It is not?
- No.
- Does it mean it can't be done?
- I'm not gonna answer that.
- I know.
- Because Vanessa's not here, I don't know what the legal answer is, Chris.
- So my motion will be to remove cakes seven and 18 from the assessments.
- Okay, motion on the floor to remove seven and what?
- 18.
- 18.
- 18 from the assessments, is that correct?
- Jim?
- Who wants to go forward.
- Right, but I mean, that's clear, right. It's clear what you are looking for?
- Seven and 18, yes.
- Okay. Alright, need a second.
- I'll second there. So Wery and Steuer. Story one, got a question?
- Well, like I said, Jim, you were saying, that Vanessa's not here, Alder Wery is making this recommendation or motion, so, it's still ask for the Council, correct?
- Correct, correct.
- We have a recommendation.

- I will second then.
- Okay. We're still under discussion. Okay, we're under discussion.
- Motion to open the floor.
- Second.
- I would like to add.
- Motion to open up the floor, second by Wery. Motion made by Steuer, under discussion now, favored. Floor is now open, Mr. Malecki.
- Back in the past when they paved Loch Drive, I was told, when you live down the corner, you were not assessed for a foot, the front and the side. My address is 1131 South Fisk, does that still pertain?
- There is a, what we call it, Barnlarge redemption, so, for example, you would be, the maximum exemption you can get is a 100 feet per parcel. So, depending on how it's done, the simplest way they playing it is, you would have a 50 foot exemption on Fisk and Fisk declare exemption on Loch, but I don't see how assessments and exemptions has anything to do with sidewalks right now.
- Okay, anything else? You got a question Mark?
- Well, I was trying to hear.
- You're right with the street, and that has two streets.
- Somewhere we were gonna.
- Alright, just I'm fine.
- Okay, close the floor.
- Motion to close the floor
- By Steuer, second by Wery, under discussion, hear none. Yay, we gonna open regular order of business.
- Seconded it.
- Yep, we gonna motion on the floor under discussion. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Nays? Motion carried, moving forward. Alright, where are we.

- You wanna mention about Council to him in case he wants to?
- Oh, yeah.
- This goes to Council next week Mr. Malecki. What we decided is a recommendation to the full Council, so it'll go there Monday.
- April 1st.
- Next Monday, April Fools' Day, April 1st till six o'clock.
- Whatever way you want it.
- Okay.
- Thank you.
- Then for consideration of possible action by Alderman Wery to add Hickory Hill from 12th to Oneida Street to the 2020 street improvement project. Alderman Wery?
- Thank you, my apologies I may have written it wrong, incorrectly, if we can just refer this to staff to review the street and come back with your analysis, and I think we'll be fine right now.
- Okay, need a motion to refer to staff. By Wery
- Second.
- Second by Steuer, under discussion, hear none. All in favor?
- Nays? Motion carried. Five, consideration with possible action on request by the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Green Bay to authorize the Department of Public Works to award a contract for mass grading at The Shipyard Breakthrough Fuels project sites at a staff level and report the award at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Improvement and Services Committee to keep the Breakthrough Fuels project on schedule. Jim?
- And I invite Director Vonck to discuss this.
- I will point of order, right.
- I'll recognize point of order.
- I just, this thing kinda.
- That is not point of order.
- Okay, excuse me.
- You're reading them okay, still doesn't?

- I can't pull out anything.
- Well, we just, we can take them.
- We do voice anyway.
- Alright.
- Someone help Mark Steuer.
- He needs help.
- I sure do.

- Alright, thank you. So, what we're talking about is the Shipyard sites of 13 acres down right off Broadway. Move forward, Redevelopment have already canceled two projects, one was the Shipyard development which would be a public project outdoor and entertainment center recreation area and then Breakthrough was building their corporate headquarters on the north side of this left. Council reengaged Stantec as a consultant who basically work on the design for this, for our part of the project, the Shipyard project, Breakthrough also, in terms of efficiency, decided to contract Stantec as well, to do some of the second improvement works for their parcel. In short, what is needed, this is the Brownfield sites, there is a CAT that is maintained, it's in a flood plain, so you need to bring it out of the flood plain, so we're going to raise the elevation of both of these sites approximately four feet all the way. So some substantial amount of fill that needs to get in there, so we need to bring in the fill, basically, let it sit for a few weeks, before we start construction on this. So with that, in order to keep timetables moving forward, Breakthrough with like ticket and the ground, we've been, basically, as soon as possible, in order to get the project going. I believe Director Vernuer had requested, made this request to allow us to go through and put together the bid package, put it out on the street and allow for bids to be turned around expediently and then report back to this Committee and Council of what needed to be done. So, with that, I guess, I can answer any more questions that you have specifically on this.

- Anybody got questions for Kevin, Mark?
- It seems straightforward to me.
- Okay.
- Wery?
- No.
- Okay, straightforward, anything else?
- No, that's it.
- Okay. Entertain a motion, motion to approve by Wery.

- Second.
- Second by Steuer, under discussion. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Nays?
- I'll be a Nay.
- Move forward, Six, consideration with possible action on request by the Department of Public Works to execute the Bridge Operation Agreement for Fiscal 2020 with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation.
- Jim?
- Okay, Basically what this is, is every year we have an annual agreement with the DOT for the bridge tenders for the three bridges, and we do this contract every year and, essentially, the DOT reimburses the City the cost or arranges public bridge tenders, so this brings in \$560,000 worth of revenue which covers the bridge and other maintenance done on the bridges, so we recommend approval of this agreement and an authorization that have the Director execute the agreement.
- Okay, need a motion to that effect by Weary.
- Motion approved. Second by Steuer.
- Second.
- Under discussion, hear none. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Nays? Motion carried. Consideration with possible action on the review and award of the following contracts. A, Station number 6, kitchen remodeling work. You want these held?
- We can--
- Cause I will separate them and it's a privilege. So, seven A, no I do things legal. Jim?
- Okay, this was bid yesterday.
- Wait, you can read my mind?
- Some of it. Go ahead, Jim, I'm listening.
- We ended up having two bidders, one bid came in about 10 grand over what the estimate was and one bid came in at about 10 grand less than the estimate. So we recommend awarding this to Milbach

Construction Services in the amount of \$49,286. Milbach has recently done two city park projects for the City and they performed very well so.

- Okay, need a motion to that effect. Motioned by Steuer, second by Wery, under discussion, hear none. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Nays? Motion carried. Sidewalks 2019.

- Jim?

- Okay, Sidewalks 2019, we had two bidders which is pretty typical for a sidewalks contract. We recommend this one, it goes to Fischer Ulman Construction, Inc. in the amount of \$287,185, they happened to be the people in the contract. Two years ago and last year we ended up having someone else, but they've always done a very good job for us again.

- And need a motion to that effect to approve.

- Second.

- Approved by Steuer, second by Wery, under discussion, hear none. All in favor?

- Nays? Motion carried. Eight, consideration with possible action on applications for Concrete Sidewalk Builder Licenses by the following. And we gonna have separation. Eight A, IEI General Contractors Inc.

- We can do multiple.

- Are the all good?

- Yeah, they're all good.

- Okay, need a motion to accept A through D.

- Moved.

- Second.

- Motioned by Wery to approve A though D. I read the first one. B, In The Countryside Concrete LLC, J.C. Santy Construction LLC and KPC Concrete Contractors.

- Motioned by Wery, second by Steuer, under discussion, hear none. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Nays? Motion carried. Director's Report, Jim?

- And I was going to leave it to Chris to give--

- Chris?

- A brief summary on how we've been doing with bulk waste and things like that and in lieu of the flooding and.

- Have you gone on assault this year or were we are already?

- We did done our assault, we have a following

- Can we use more?

- The state contract still owes us 275 tons, we are about 500 tons in stock, and then we still had a reserve capacity of 1,200 tons that we could've gotten. Our hope in that we gonna have any more base installed, but that mother nature doesn't tell us until it happens. Last year we were unable to, but it went relatively quick. But was on later date--

- April 14th.

- 14th, that was water 24 inches, yes. Yeah, but that too passed. We are, but with the flooding along the East River basin, we, live in the flood zone, we last week and this week, we are in DPW with what swept streets were quite through storm sewers making sure that any debris that flown in there, you know, because it backed up so much, didn't plug the storm sewers, obviously, that was because of the river, not the storm sewers that we have done, the water issue from the spring belt. We, how do we know charge collection mall, it's impacted residents, that it can be either relatively of one of our two bulk centers or put up to the street where we'll collect it at no charge to them, because this will be insured disaster and impact.

- I wanna commend, I want to honor talked to some of the folks down there and then I was very impressed with DPW crew and the City staff, it was working so much, so kudos to your department.

- I'll pass it on, thank you.

- One other real quick thing. We still have frost on the ground and we have a little bit, some quick melting and then the high water which keeps ground water higher up and grapples some base. Potholes manifest themselves real well at this time of year. We've been working real hard to keep up with that over older pavements. Alderman Steuer and I've talked numerous times over the last couple of weeks, for example, Shawano Avenue really took a beating from the spring thaw.

- Where we are with that?

- We are close to being done, we're not doing regular pothole patching, as I mentioned. It's a combination of pothole patching material and then a higher forming mastic-type sealant for top pothole patching stuff, just doesn't pop up as easy. I drove the curve blade today and where it is done and it's now a brand new pavement, but it sure is off. It's day versus night.

- Okay.
- Anybody else?
- When will--
- Go ahead.
- Sweeping concern, did you say begin already?
- We are in a street sweeping first round, collecting a lot of debris.
- Yeah.
- I believe it. Anybody else? Chris, you know, Angus made a comment that it's hard to get through staff, and Malecki said the same thing, on different issues, And over the last 17 years, I have received a number of of concerns with citizens having issues, trying to get service, and when they're not happy, they call the Aldermen and then I call you right away. I mean, it is true, if you aren't an Alderman, it's difficult to get through staff, to get whatever needs to be done or information. And there is times where I would call and I never say this is Alderman Nicholson, I just call and sometimes I do get an unsatisfactory, hasn't been that, I mean, you know what I mean.
- Sure.
- Not frequent, but it's there, and I guess, I just wish the directors would maybe address it, maybe have a meeting.
- I'll bring that up.
- Could you bring that up?
- Staff, it is a customer.
- Customer service?
- Customer service.
- Yeah, very important.
- And instead of just saying, call your Alderman, on something for like, something simple, do they have to call their Alderman?
- Oh, they do not, and I give out my direct phone number, email.
- And I always tell that concerned citizens getting, and when they do, I've never turned one in Chris, and you know that, I've never turned a name in. But we do, I do receive phone calls with concerns.

- My standpoint is, please let us know who, who maybe having issues, because it's hard for us to fix it.
- I should, but I come from a world where you do not tattle.
- I get it.
- At all?
- A lot of us are in that same boat.
- I know, maybe this new generation's more involved. And I got a different name for it.
- Turning people in, but I just don't like that, I just wish that staff could just reinforce it. Cause I just notice an example right here with Malecki and Angus, they don't know each other, they haven't cooperated in anything, different issues, but they do have concerns and they're not alone. That's all I'll leave it like that. I know you will address it. All the directors are very fair with me when I ask, very fair, so thank you. That's all I got.
- I would talk about that too, and, you know, I worked with the City for a long time. Customer service is always, you know it's important. Phone or voice, whatever, information, what we got to do to get that problem solved, that's all.
- Yeah, I agree, public sector, it's a customer service business.
- Yeah.
- That's it?
- I mean, I own my own business, and if I'm, I don't give the ready answers for basically, I can't answer it. I was always taught by my old man, you're telling me look and going back to me and don't say, I don't know. Man, did I get a lot of whoopings if I ever said that to the old man. You know what I mean.
- Right, yes.
- I got one warning, but after that there was no warnings in my family, but I guess, enough said. You know what I mean.
- Yeah.
- Okay, thank you, motion to adjourn by Wery, second by Steuer. All in favor?
- Nays? Motion carried, we're adjourned, thank you.