



MINUTES OF THE PROTECTION AND POLICY COMMITTEE

**MONDAY, APRIL 8, 2019, 5:30 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Ald. John VanderLeest, Ald. Randy Scannell, Ald. Mark Steuer, Ald. Craig Stevens.
Also present: Assistant City Attorney Kristen Johnson, Lt. Steve Mahoney, Chief of Staff Celestine Jeffreys, Ald. Brian Johnson, Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, Ald. Barb Dorff

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

I. Approval of the agenda for April 8, 2019 Protection & Policy meeting.

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes of the March 25, 2019 Protection & Policy meeting.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

I. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Luke Froeba regarding the denial of his operator's license.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion

carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None
Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None
Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve an appeal by Luke Froeba regarding the denial of his operator's license. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on a request by Richard Craniums at 840 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their outdoor premise to include their rear parking lot April 20 from 11 a.m. until 6 p.m. for a benefit.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None
Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None
Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve a request by Richard Craniums at 840 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their outdoor premise to include their rear parking lot April 20 from 11 a.m. until 6 p.m. for a benefit, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action on an application for a Class "B" Beverage and "Class C" Wine license for El Dorado LLC at 923 W. Mason.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve an application for a Class "B" Beverage and "Class C" Wine license for El Dorado LLC at 923 W. Mason, contingent upon the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on a request by Sardine Can at 128 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premise to their parking lot for special events June 19, July 10, August 7 and August 24.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None
Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None
Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve a request by Sardine Can at 128 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premise to their parking lot for special events June 19, July 10, August 7 and August 24, subject to complaint and with the condition that the license holder work with the Police Department on appropriate security, fencing, and outdoor

bathrooms. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Discussion with possible action on a request by Ald. Brunette, which reads: "For the City to develop a resolution, or policy and procedure to notify property owners near parcels of land with a pending or approved application to be transferred from fee to trust status. My overarching concern is for the City and the Oneida Nation-in goodwill- to notify affected property owners of the new governing jurisdiction, code enforcement, and zoning authority of the parcel recently transferred or applied to be transferred, and to provide an official forum for public input and comment."

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to hold a request by Ald. Brunette, which reads: "For the City to develop a resolution, or policy and procedure to notify property owners near parcels of land with a pending or approved application to be transferred from fee to trust status. My overarching concern is for the City and the Oneida Nation-in goodwill- to notify affected property owners of the new governing jurisdiction, code enforcement, and zoning authority of the parcel recently transferred or applied to be transferred, and to provide an official forum for public input and comment." Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Discussion with possible action on a request by Ald. Dorff, which reads: "I believe that steps must be taken to ensure that infra structure projects, including but not limited to those that the wheel tax will fund, must be chosen very carefully and be placed into a capital improvement plan. I am requesting that P and P develop policy with the help of DPW, legal and other appropriate staff. This policy must be developed so that the special interests and requests of individual alders do not subvert the process of choosing the projects that have been carefully researched by city staff as being appropriate for placement in a five year plan. Parameters for choosing streets to be repaired, reconstructed or resurfaced as well as other infra structure improvements should be clearly identified within this policy," previously held from the March 25 Protection & Policy Committee meeting.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to refer to staff a request by Ald. Dorff, which reads: "I believe that steps must be taken to ensure that infra structure projects, including but not limited to those that the wheel tax will fund, must be chosen very carefully and be placed into a capital improvement plan. I am requesting that P and P develop policy with the help of DPW, legal and other appropriate staff. This policy must be developed so that the special interests and requests of individual alders do not subvert the process of choosing the projects that have been carefully researched by city staff as being appropriate for placement in a five year plan. Parameters for choosing streets to be repaired, reconstructed or resurfaced as well as other infra structure improvements should be clearly identified within this policy," previously held from the March 25 Protection & Policy Committee meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action Ald. Kathy Lefebvre on a request that states: "I request that we look at street assessments for non profits-example Paul's Pantry N. Webster. Their assessment is

\$17,000 and I feel this will take away from the vital services they provide. I do believe they should pay something-maybe half."

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None
Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None
Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to receive and place on file a request by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre that states: "I request that we look at street assessments for non profits-example Paul's Pantry N. Webster. Their assessment is \$17,000 and I feel this will take away from the vital services they provide. I do believe they should pay something-maybe half." Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on an application for the 2019-2020 liquor license season for a Class "A" Beer and "Class A" liquor (cider only) for Van Zeeland Oil Co. Inc. at 601 N. Military Ave. with a licensed premise as "convenience store/gas station one store building 40' x 60'. (currently licensed as a Class "A" Beer and "Class A" liquor license).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve an application for the 2019-2020 liquor license season for a Class "A" Beer and "Class A" liquor (cider only) for Van Zeeland Oil Co. Inc. at 601 N. Military Ave. with a licensed premise as "convenience store/gas station one store building 40' x 60', contingent upon the approval of the proper authorities. (currently licensed as a Class "A" Beer and "Class A" liquor license). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

I. Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for April 8, 2019.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department April 8, 2019. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

F. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to adjourn at 7:04 P.M. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- There we go.

- Okay. Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye. We will begin the Protection and Policy meeting for April 8th, 2019. We are in room 207 and it is 5:30ish. Pretty close, right on there, so let us begin. The roll call. I got this.

- You got this.

- Yeah, we'll start with Alder Steuer.

- Here.

- Alder Vander Lee.

- Here.

- Alder Stevens.

- Here.

- And myself. We are all present. The gang's all here. I'll take the motion to approve our agenda for April 8th, 2019.

- Motion approved.

- Motion to approve by Alder Vander Lee. Second it by Alder Stevens. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed?

- Wait, hold on one second.

- Yep.

-Sorry, who approved the agenda?

- Alder Vander Lee. Stevens second.

- Okay, and then.

- That's not a big issue

- I know.

- Okay, I'll take the motion to approve our minutes of March 25th, 2019 fetching a policy meeting. Approved by Alder Vander Lee, motion by Alder Vander Lee. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? Okay, our minutes from our last meeting is approved. All right, onto regular business. One, consideration with possible action on an appeal by Luke Froybuck.

- Froeba.

- Froeba, thank you, sorry. Froeba regarding the denial of his operator's license. Staff.

- So the police department did deny the operator's license based on his conviction record, which you have in front of you. It looks like he did submit a lot of information showing that he has rehabilitated himself and working towards that. So if you want to hear from him, the committee would just have to determine whether or not he's submitted a sufficient to overcome that record.

- Right.

- 'Kay. I'll take a motion to open the floor. Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed. The floor is now open if you care to come up. Did you fill out one of the forms by any chance?

- [Luke] Yes, I just did.

- Okay, and.

- Can you pause one second? Alder Steuer, you're not, are you in here?

- I should be. It's afor me there.

- Aren't you members?

- It says agenda on noon unless they're, unless it's leaving me.

- Joined.

- I already joined. I'm in.

- Hang on a sec, we got a technical.

- A technical.

- And then Alder Steuer, you made the motion to open the floor, correct?

- Yes.

- Seconded by.

- Stevens.

- Okay, thank you very much.

- [Luke] I don't know if you guys got a chance to look at my letter at all that I had written, but I can just read that to you if you like.

- Sure, go ahead.

- [Luke] All right. I was writing it in the hope that you would reconsider my decision regarding my bartending license for St. Brennan's in Green Bay. My record is obviously troubled, but I'm matured and grown from that time in my life and I've moved away from my hometown in order to get away from the negative influences. I have come from two and a half hours west of here, small farm town. In relation to the arson and theft charge, which is almost seven years ago now. I served six months of jail time and successfully completed four years of prohibition. I have maintained steady employment since January second of 2013 and even before that, was only unemployed briefly. I have some of my rights back such as right to vote and have paid about \$8,000 in restitutions since then. I'm making continuous payments for years now. After paying full restitution, I will be eligible to have that felony reduced to a misdemeanor and I fully acknowledge also that public urination and honor registration and operation of suspension of registration are against the law, but are not technically a criminal or misdemeanor offense. I'm not trying to dismiss it at all. I'm aware of their existence, but I cannot emphasize enough that I was truly at a different place in my life then. And I've matured mentally, emotionally and definitely financially. Since that time in my life, I have had one run in with the law. Approximately, I think I put four years, but I think it was three years ago actually. That instance was an OWI, my first and only one, where I acknowledge I was 100% in the wrong that night. Went to a local bar after a verbal altercation with my girlfriend who I've never been one to do so in that situation and I made a very poor choice. Obviously I ended up driving home that night. And it brought me to one of the lowest points in my life, but I can honestly say I am trying to learn from every mistake that I have made. I'm grateful that I did not end up hurting someone else or myself that night, and I've completed my driver's safety plan and the AOD sessions with Jim Kramer at the Clerk County Community Services Building, who I've actually worked with since 2013. I met him first felony misdemeanor charge. I'm also currently having an emission airlock being installed in my car until October of 2019. Most recently, I moved to Green Bay to go back to school for barbering. I have a certificate for welding from CBTC in Eau Claire back in 2010. I'm committed to moving in a new direction and will be ready to take my state boards for barbering here in early July. I'm enrolled at the Academy of Beauty Professionals here in Green Bay after the Paul Mitchell School of Green Bay closed down in February. I believe a bartending job would encourage me to be more social, since I'll obviously be talking to people all day with my new profession. I have enjoyed my new position at St. Brennan's more than I ever thought I would and I'm fitting in very well with my coworkers. This job is very important to me for several reasons, which is why I'm asking for reconsideration. I have enclosed two letters, which I believe you have a copy of. One from Jim Kramer, the ODA counselor and one from Ashley Oats, my boss and manager at St. Brennan's. And I also included documents indicating a successful completion of prohibition and jail time, updated payments and balance owed at least within a month ago and proof of completion of the driver's safety plan. Thankfully I can say that the trajectory of my life has changed tremendously since that time and my criminal records reflect, which is why I sincerely hope you will reconsider my application. I can appreciate you guys at least hearing me out.

- Any questions?

- Well, I was gonna ask, well, thanks for coming in, Luke. Did you as far as this restitution you have to make, once that is done?

- [Luke] Yeah, once I'm fully paid, I was told at time of, it said court records I believe. I don't have a copy of it. But once that's paid, I have another \$9,000, a little over to pay on that.

- That's from that arson.

- [Luke] Yeah, the arson charge, theft charge.

- You'll be fully complete with that?

- [Luke] Pardon?

- You would be completed then with your obligation?

- [Luke] Yes, the only thing left is to pay off, I had to be at least 25 years old because I think I was only 20 or 21 at the time of the charge. But I'm now 27 and slowly but surely making payments.

- So who told you that? Was that from the state?

- [Luke] Judge, it woulda' been judge counsel I believe in Clerk County. Which I would be willing to get a court record of that if it's needed.

- So you're looking for this position so that you can be solo?

- [Luke] Yeah, exactly.

- Otherwise you have to have a manager there?

- [Luke] Yeah.

- Do you have other folks here that are here on your behalf?
- I have actually my boss.
- Well, when we're done I'd like to hear from her too. So I'm good right now.
- Are you employed there now?
- [Luke] Yes.
- It's in the letter.
- How long?
- [Luke] Since November, at the end of November.
- Okay, that's all I got.
- For now, we'll look at all that stuff.
- [Luke] Thanks.
- Thank you.
- Oh, I'm sorry. Did you state your name and address for the record?

- [Luke] No.

- I'm sorry.

- [Luke] Luke Froeba.

- And your address.

- [Luke] 1380 Sheering Road, number 39, 94115.

- Thank you. You wouldn't mind. Please state your name and address for the record.

- [Ashley] Ashley oats. 1525 Boshamp Street.

- Okay and anything you can add?

- [Ashley] Sure I'm the general manager at St. Brennan's. Been working there for many years. Luke started in November. We have a very strict rule at St. Brennan's. None of my bartenders drink. They're very responsible. He's been one of the most responsible that I've had. Obviously he's worked with other people and not by himself, but I would have no qualms about leaving him on his own and I really hope that you'll reconsider his request for his bartender's license.

- Were you aware of his record coming in?

- [Ashley] Yep.

- Okay. That's all I have.

- Any other questions? No, no.

- Will you?

- Of course.

- Thank you.

- Well, make a motion.

- To close the floor?

- Yeah, unless there's someone else.

- Anyone else here for the setting?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Second.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? That passes. You can have a seat. What are you thinking gentlemen?

- I feel good about it. So I like a motion to approve.

- Motion to approve is appealed to his liquor license. Is there a second?

- I'll second it.

- Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. Any further discussion? I think it looks like you're turning things around. Things are going well. You've got a lot of support, which is important. So, all in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously. Now I should say that everything we do here at committee is just a recommendation to the counsel, which meets next Monday, the 15th, right next store. Starting at 6:00 and that's when the final say will be.

- [Luke] Okay, is that something I would attend as well?

- You can. I don't know that this is gonna be an issue. Someone, one of the other alders wants to pull it, it would be wise to be there. I don't know that they will but I can't say that they won't. So I can try to move it up so you don't have to be there all night But it probably wouldn't hurt.

- [Luke] All right.

- Okay?

- [Luke] Thank you guys.

- Onto item two. Consideration of possible action on a request by Richard Craniums at 840 South Broadway to temporarily extend their outdoor premise to include their rear parking lot April 20th from 11 a.m. until six p.m. for a benefit. Staff.

- The law department has no objection.

- We would, police would just like to make sure there would be security outside to make sure no other calls be brought in or taken out.

- Moving to motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? Okay, the floor is now open.

- Don Nothe, 840 South Broadway, Green Bay. Yes, there will be security to make sure that, we have tubular gate that's four and a half feet tall and So you can see where they're coming in and we'll have security and staff at all times.

- That's way too simple.

- Thank you.

- All right, yeah.

- So the police are satisfied?

- Yes, we are.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Vander, Alder Steuer, sorry.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? Okay, now that.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens. Any further discussion? All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed. That passes unanimously. Item three, consideration of possible action on an application for a class D beverage and class C wine lessons for El Dorado LLC at 923 West Mason. Staff?

-has no objections.

- Please concur.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion approved by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Vander Lee. Any further discussion? All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? That passes unanimously. Item four, consideration as possible action on a request by a Sardine Can at 128 South Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premise to the parking lot for special events June 19th, July 10th, August 7th and August 24th. Staff?

- Law department has no objections.

- [Man] The police do have some concerns based on past incidents at Sardine Can. With urinating out in the alley and other issues behind and I would defer to the community police officer in that area, Eric Allen. He would have more information on it.

- Officer Allen.

- [Officer Allen] Some of the issues lieutenant's talking about, open intoxicant issues.

- Oh, it's better if you come up there so that they can see you.

- We want to get you on camera.

- Where's that at?

- In the corner.

- Some of the issues we've had. Well, there's been 95 calls there in the last year. Not all are high priority. But some of the issues I worry about are public urination. We've had quite a few times where people have been contacted for urinating on the property. Open intoxicants. People walking with open containers, trash, a lot of noise complaints, parking issues and over serving issues. So those are the concerns we've had. I have had conversations with Ed Warawitz who works there. He does call. The Sardine Can has called when they do have problems, but they don't always call when they have problems. We do appreciate that they do call. We do not hold that against them if they call the police and there's an issue. That's what we want. And we want them to work with us.

- Have you had some issues with the noise as well?

- [Officer Allen] Yes.

- 'Cause I remember visiting some neighbors out there and it's usually 10 o'clock, correct?

- [Officer Allen] Correct.

- When it's supposed to stop so are there other issues that happen after 10 o'clock?

- [Officer Allen] Yes.

- How late generally? I suppose it varies.

- [Officer Allen] It does vary, yeah. I know when they do open bands, when they shut off Howard Street, sometimes they have gone slightly past 10 o'clock. I know they watch it pretty well. But the music does carry into the neighborhood and we do get a fair amount of complaints from people that live around there.

- These are all Wednesdays. Well, one's a Saturday. I think in the past haven't they only had maybe one, maybe two events a year. This is like four. This is more than normal?

- [Officer Allen] Yeah, I know there's a lot of taverns that don't get the consideration that they do. And a lot of taverns have a lot less issues I would say than what they do. So I would say that they get a little more leeway for some reason. I don't know why, but, all right, thank you.

- Oh oh oh. I got a couple questions.

- [Officer Allen] Okay.

- To address some of your concerns for this event, they don't have any outside porta potties or anything, correct?

- [Officer Allen] I don't recall seeing any.

- Okay, all right.

- [Officer Allen] I think there might have been.

- And fencing then, some kind of fencing then to help?

- [Officer Allen] There were like, I'm not sure if they call them jersey barriers. They kind of saw horse traffic type barriers, or two by six on pegs, either side, that kind of block the road off. It's clearly marked.

- But I mean as far as keeping patrons corralled so to speak.

- [Officer Allen] Off the property, there is nothing, no.

- Okay. Any other questions? Officer Allen, thank you.

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Anybody here to speak on this issue?

- Yes sir. Brian Bunkelman, 3699 Gentlemen, yes, we had three events last year, three Wednesdays. I thought this year was to ask for three Wednesdays again, and then possibly do a Saturday bands in the street. In talking with the police and the parking last year, I didn't know of any concerns we had on any of the dates at all. We've beefed up our security. We do know, I think it was November or December, where we had I would say two or three people get sited for public urination, different things. So since then we've added more lighting behind our building to ensure that nothing happens. We've put more security on. We've probably doubled so that they're outside the building, ensuring that nobody goes out any of the doors from that perspective, so I think we've, I don't want to say we're fixed, but we've repaired that issue to make sure that doesn't occur. As we go into summer, yes, our bands stop at 10 o'clock from that perspective. We had placed security on, and again, working with the parking department, the goal is to barricade Broadway at the corner of Howard all the way to the alley. But it's through from a fire department's standpoint and we had the events in '17 and '18 with as far as I know, out any issues at perspective. So we're just looking to see if we can extend our premise permit to serve in our parking lot, knowing that I believe it's called the picnic law, where then they can carry that malted beverage out to the band in the street from that perspective. Thanks.

- Well, thanks for coming in. I just, the allegations Officer Allen brought forward. What do you say to that as far as the issues that he brought forward?

- I would say currently today, there aren't any issues. Now again, could go out tonight and have somebody call anything. But I think from that perspective and I know Alderman Johnson's here also, I'm right down the road in our area. We work everyday to make sure. We brought on a new security manager, Ed Borowitz late last year. We've revamped our entire security department. We've made sure that our bartenders understand we don't over serve. So I think we've tried to get better from that perspective, so that not only on a Friday, Saturday or Sunday, but also specifically for these events we're asking the city for something special, that we really take care of that so we can do it again year after year.

- One question.

- Go ahead, I'm good for now.

- I was gonna ask how many people will attend your event? Do you think how many would attend your event?

- On a normal Wednesday Farmer's Market, between our patio and bars, we'd probably have, I would say, upwards of 150, 200 people. On these days we probably have another 50 to 100 in the street. So I would say max of 300 people. And we're already on normal Wednesday, because of the flow through of Broadway traffic and what not, they're not all there at the exact same time. It's kind of in and out. Our band starts usually at about six. They may stay for a half hour, an hour. The band's done at 10. Obviously they need to tear things down and people go inside.

- Would you be willing to get one portable toilet for that number of people that--

- Last year we had three to four portable toilets every day at every event, whether it was the block party or not. We specifically did. We called the city because we didn't know if there were special permits needed for that. But they said as long as they were delivered Wednesday morning, put in an area for use behind our building and picked up on Thursday, no special permit was needed. So we had four every single Farmer's Market last year from the Wednesday after Memorial Day to the Wednesday before October first.

- That answered my question. So you already have the portable toilets.

- Yes, and that's already, that calls been made.

- It shouldn't be a deterrent as far as people--

- Yeah, yeah. So not only can they use our indoor, but we have four just for them outside.

- Okay, thank you.

- And then these are Farmer Market Wednesdays?

- The three Wednesdays are all Farmer Markets. And our goal was to we want to see the school buildings next to us. We wanted to make sure that kids were out of school and different things, obviously, to not disturb the neighborhood. Many neighbors are good friends of our establishment, but that's why we try to do a June 19th through early August. And then along with the Saturday in addition.

- Okay.

- No, go ahead. I just, now with Farmer's Market. The street is blocked off north of you.

- [Brian] Yes.

- So are you saying that people stay within your establishment or in the parking lot area, rather than going out in the street?

- Specifically for what we're asking for these block parties, where the lots barricaded--

- Do you block off the street?

- Yes, so they've been in the past and that's what we're asking for is that we can sell within our parking lot a malted beverage. I believe that's the picnic law piece, and they can take that malted beverage into the street area to listen to the band. We have our security personnel at the barricade so they're not actually leaving the street area.

- Okay.

- And I was also wondering if there was gonna be any kind of need of fencing. Not so much--

- [Brian] Yep.

- Up at the street, but behind, like in the alley and so to keep--

- Yep, what we've planned to do this year and it's a little different than last year to make sure that we're beefing up that security, is we're looking at putting snow fencing around our entire parking lot area. So people know what that is. So it's kind of that orange flexible fencing piece. We'll do that around so that as people are buying their beverage to ensure that only malted beverages leave our property, we'll have security people personnel there, along with the barricades.

- Oh good, that was what I was going to suggest, and sounds like you've already got that

- [Brian] Yep, that's part of our plan for this year.

- Yep, super. All right, any other questions from anyone?

- [Man] Will your security be trained to know that they cannot take the malted beverage and walk down to the Farmer's Market?

- Yes, yes, and that's what we did last year. And we'd be happy to have anybody from your law enforcement come in to our group--

- [Man] Just to talk to.

- Before we do that first. But we've already in the past, for the past two years, we said they can't leave the barricaded area with anything at all. We don't let anybody take anything in or out of that area.

- [Man] But they do, sir.

- What's that?

- [Man] They do.

- My apologies. I didn't know, but again, we will make sure that that doesn't occur this year.

- I guess with that being said the police have a little bit of a concern about this. And I'm just wondering if in the past, during these events, if the police have been in that area during these events at all? Or nearby? To witness some of this.

- As far as I know, there were no police calls on any of the three dates that we had last year. And specifically I dealt more with the parking department from that perspective to ensure that we picked up, we cleaned up. I know that the parking department came by the next morning of the city work area to make sure all garbage was gone, everything was picked up. We actually bring in a pressure washer person to wash up and down the Broadway sidewalks and everything else to make sure we have a big neighborhood area. As far as I know, we haven't had any specific instances.

- Okay. Any other questions?

- None right now, thank you.

- Anybody else want to make a motion to close this floor?

- Unless, second. Paul, we got somebody else.

- We got somebody else.

- Willy Bricken. 7333 Casey Lane, Sobieski, Wisconsin. Flat 4171. I just have some concerns about this outdoor event that I mean I've seen them going on and the fencing and the flow of traffic of people is a little bit different than being described. It sounds great if they're gonna have a way to stop mixed drinks going on in the street, but I think there really needs to be some barricade that stops people from leaving that event, because right now as it's set up, anybody can come to the event and out of the event through those, they're basically just two by six barricades. And there's no way to stop transfer to minors. There's no way to stop the alcohol, like zero barrier. So right now, it's just a porous barrier, so people come in, get a drink from the bar, go on to that event, and then matriculate out into another adjacent event, which is the Farmer's Market. And into other adjacent bars with mixed drinks all the way back from that bar. And this has happened routinely for years, especially on those days. Big days, 'cause it's a big event down there. They get some big bands in so it attracts more people than usual, but there's definitely, the barrier is very loose. And I think something greater needs to be done in order to stop people from leaving. It is in the street so I don't know how barricaded that fence can be, but as described, it's a very porous barrier and people come and go constantly.

- Well, the police did mention that, that's an issue as far as they asked him if they would be taking these drinks outside of their area, that they're not allowed to do that. And according to him, it sounded like yes. And now you're saying it's not necessarily like that.

- If it's any, if the on street barricade is a two by six, who is it and what is it stopping? Down at other establishments in the event area we're seeing drinks from that place. And that's not just on their big event days, that's on the other event days. So alcohol is ending up in our establishments and people are saying why we can't take mixers to go out of our establishment.

- Somebody else is coming into your establishment with drinks?

- Yeah, and this is in the event, which this event already, we want to keep the alcohol in the event. That's what sets the Wednesday Farmer's Market apart, and there's an ordinance within that that allows licensed establishments within that Farmer's Market to let alcohol go. I don't want to lose that and nor do the other establishments want to lose that and the alcohol that seems to be coming into our Wednesday Farmer's Market is an issue for these other establishments. And so we don't want to lose that because of an establishment that's outside of the area. And I don't know if you're familiar with the law with your license that it go out into an event. Okay, so just have concerns 'cause what's being described is a little bit different than what happens on a day to day basis. And they might be patrolling the front door but I know alcohol pours out the back door.

- So I mean, is this something 'cause you're working your establishment, do you see this yourself?

- Yeah, I've taken a personal trip down there 'cause I'm wondering why people are coming in with red Solo cups with mixed drinks in it. And I know none of the bars on the street in the Farmer's Market area are selling stuff to go in red Solo cups. And the customers are actually telling me where they're getting it from. They're saying well, they're letting us do that. So.

- Okay.

- But definitely some concerns on that fence. There needs to be a zero barrier fence. You can have security but you gotta have security at ever, every eight feet in order to stop the alcohol from going? I think it needs to be a solid fence. And if that's the case, then I don't have any issues with the event. I think when you're having an event, one of the big things is not having a zero barrier where alcohol can't be transferred to minors. Minors can come and go without being even checked. So that'd be my concern.

- Will, can you fill out a form?

- Oh sure.

- Thanks.

- Any other questions or?

- We should hear from

- Anything up for Will?

- Not for this gentlemen.

- Okay.

- Could I ask Alder Johnson?

- Yep, won't we--

- Want me to wait till everybody?

- Yeah. He can speak after the floor is closed.

- Thank you so much.

- Can we get some?

- Yep. He said you've taken some measures now to beef up security and so on.

- Yes, yes.

- Can you go on that a little more?

- For the proposed four events as we've said, our goal this year is we're going to have much more fencing and security around it than we've had in past years. To my knowledge, we haven't had any issues in the past from that perspective of security. We will ensure going forward and if that's a partnership with the police to double check what we're doing on that Wednesday, I have no problems with that. Have as much oversight as needed from that perspective, but we don't even serve red Solo cups. So I guess I won't try to go through all what was just discussed, but knock, knock on wood. I think we got a very glowing report from the three we had last year. We will look to improve on many, many things that we discussed today, specifically the fencing. Specifically how the barricades work. For the street itself, we were told that we can't have permanent fencing because if a fire truck has to come through there it has to be barricade only. So we'll have to work through that as to how we position our security personnel 'cause they want to make sure that you gotta keep one lane of traffic fully open if they do have to go through. So we couldn't actually barricade. Outside of the saw horse.

- Right.

- So how many security people do you have outside watching the crowd?

- We have currently employed 15. They don't obviously work every single day. Ed has been working to add another five to 10. So on that night, we'll probably have at least 15 people working. Whether they're inside, on the crowd, and what not. And if the police department feels we need more, we'll add more. We're in the process of hiring right now to make sure that they're well trained, as to what to look for and how a big part of that security team, specifically for those four events that are coming up.

- Okay, that's all for now.

- What about if you use, they have that kind of orange fencing. Are you gonna use quite a bit of that then to--

- Yeah, our goal is to not only put that around, I guess, I would call it the kernel edge of what the sidewalk is between our parking lot specifically to rope that off and fence another fence. Because up to here, make sure nobody comes in or out. But then also, in some sort of fashion, even though we have to deal with the barricades in the street, put as much of it around so that our event is curtailed in that event. And again, if you're trying to get out with something, you're hopping the fence, we can stop you at the perspective and take that alcohol away or whatever that, but also coming in. As we're checking IDs and those sort of things. But we'll have that well marked off.

- So in other words, the very rear of your establishment will have that orange fence.

- Whole thing. Yeah, the front is already taken care of from the building itself. But the entire back piece on the alley will have all orange fencing.

- Okay, that sounds good. Thank you.

- Anything else?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Oh, bud, you can speak without the floor being open so. Motion to close the floor then?

- Yes.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Closed. The floor is now closed. Officer Allen.

- Just to reiterate on a couple things like Will has said, the reason probably the gentlemen doesn't have people cited for that is we have tried to take an off hands approach to people here in the Farmer's Market because I think there's an illusion that they can walk freely. So our goal isn't to necessarily ticket everybody, but to get compliance so. I have stopped numerous people myself here in the Farmer's Market where people are coming from the Sardine Can with open containers. And I tell them dump it out. You can't take it from there to here. I have gone to the Sardine Can to talk to staff about manning the door. At times they seem to be understaffed and they're not have that ability to stop people from coming and going with alcohol. As far as the outdoor events, like Will said, it's very hard to control it. If you're familiar with that area, night time is very dark. There's a lighting issue. Broadway and Howard is a very dark intersection, even though there's a street light there. And again, people do come and go with alcohol and I have talked to the security staff that they have hired to tell them they cannot allow people to come and go, but it still continues to happen.

- Do you have a list of all these calls over time?

- [Officer Allen] I have a list of the calls for the last year. I can give them to you.

- How many were there roughly?

- [Officer Allen] 95.

- You said how many?

- [Officer Allen] 95. I can give you that list if you want it. Not everyone was an enforceable action call.

- What I was gonna ask of those 95, how many were on these three special events?

- [Officer Allen] I do not know. There were five calls in March. The majority were disturbances. When you're reading the notes of the calls, most of the people are intoxicated. So running into a potential for serving issue in my opinion.

- As far as moving forward, if we go forward with this, they are making some changes. Can we, would you have the officers to also be there to keep tabs on this and work with them to see--

- [Officer Allen] Yes, yes. We were there and the nights they had the outdoor events, we had police assigned to go down there, just because there's so many people that go down there. But it's Green Bay, we handle 75, 80,000 calls a day. We can't sit there all night. So we're not their private security. That's their responsibility. But we do go down there and I have spent a lot of time down there last year myself, and we sit there as long as we can, but we get called away. So we can't be there the whole time.

- What do you think of the changes they're making now? With the fence, the orange fencing going in the back? Do you think that makes much of a, or is it mostly coming from the front that's a big issue?

- [Officer Allen] Yeah, well we know what orange plastic fence can stop. Pretty much not much of anything. It's a visible barrier. That's about what it is. Hopefully people respect that, that's what we hope for.

- Well, it stops me, right

- [Officer Allen] But there's now was a fire truck can go through that block of Hubbard anyway. There's so many people line the stage that's built right in the middle of the road. So that block is shut off.

- I think legally they still can't put up a barrier because of the--

- [Officer Allen] Right. But it would be foolish for any emergency vehicle to try and go down there and try and clear a path. You could just go around the block.

- Is there anyway to what's the word I want? Do an exception for those events that block that off so that from a block off the street from a emergency vehicle?

- I think those are fire department regulations. We would probably have to ask Chief Lippon. He's the emergency manager. I think he would have to grant some sort of special exception if that were the case, if he's even allowed to do that.

- Right, I don't know either.

- [Man With White Sweater] It would seem inconsistent to that we're intentionally taking steps with other public events to put up permanent barriers to create safety mechanisms, while saying that we can't put them here. So I just want to at least put that out there for consideration.

- But with those other barriers, is there still a way for emergency personnel to get through at all or?

- [Man With White Sweater] Presumably, but my point is that clearly there's something more permanent that we can do there that still provides access. So if that's the hold up and the concern, I think let's have that conversation. But--

- Yeah, I think they're willing to do whatever. Yeah, okay.

- My concern is still brought up about having police hanging around that area. You've got other areas to look at and I would hate to have that be the issue where you would be hanging around that area more so than you normally would. That is a concern that I have. But that's all I have for now.

- I know. When there's special events, I don't think that's unusual for the police to be checking those places out, correct?

- Yeah correct.

- So it's not, as long as they're not stuck there with issues.

- [Officer Allen] Depending on the event we would have more or less. Some events we don't, maybe an officer would drive by to shake a hand or two.

- That's all I have.

- Thanks. Okay, anything else Alder Johnson?

- Did you have questions?

- I just want to, hearing from the Alder, this is her district and I'm just wondering how you feel about it and putting this all on you.

- [Alder Johnson] Let me just, there's certain things here that can be talked about. I think the concern about going past 10 o'clock, I think that's an easy conversation and understanding that that's where that hard shut off needs to be. I think the porta potties is easily rectified. I think there's general benchmarks that you use for every, I don't know the number.

- 17 and a half.

- For every hundred people you have a porta potty. I'm making that up obviously, but I think you just have those very basic conversations and make sure that you're properly set up in that manner. I think the fencing, maybe I would just add a contingent on working with the PD in an appropriate fencing mechanism for that area. I see, again, like I said, if you're, we're starting to make requirements to do these with other public events. So to say that we can't do it here would be counterintuitive to what we're trying to do with other events. So I would just suggest that we come up with a solution on that front working between PD and the property owner for that, which I think is attainable. I know Officer Allen brought up some of the other concerns with that area, which I would corroborate. I've also received some phone calls on that front, but I would also add that I have made calls to Mr. Bunkelman here and he's been very receptive. And he alluded to the power washer for example. That was an outcome of one of our conversations was hey, it's kind of messy sometimes afterwards.

- Oh!

- [Alder Johnson] So he responded.

- Talking about the crowd

- And he agreed to clean things up, so I think it's just another understanding of making sure that maybe we have an appropriate clean up crew afterwards. And to Officer Allen's point and I think Mr. Lebergan's point too, I think there has been definitely some carry over from the Farmer's Market down that way and vice versa with drinks in an area, that one block area, that's not designated. As a response I know on Broadway had made up some signage to post there. I think it's pretty evident though that that is not a stop gap. So there are probably some things that we will take action on on that front. So if Mr. Bunkelman can commit to having that concern addressed in the opposite direction and a security plan that addresses that, I think we'd just have to hold them to that then. Right? To say that this is a concern. I think it's unfair to look backwards. Say we're gonna hold you accountable for something that you didn't necessarily know was a concern. But if we're saying here today that this is a concern, and you need to correct it, then hold them to that moving forward. So those would be the high level points that I kind of gleaned from there.

- And Alder Johnson, as far as the fact that he had wall right through the four way light right there, that's a very busy intersection. You got people walking. I guess that would be a concern too, leaving that area, particular area and going to the north.

- [Alder Johnson] How so? Explain it to me.

- I'm getting some mixed signals here. I'm getting things from the bar saying we contain everybody. Then I hear also from the police that they're walking with cups and another in the district also said that as well. So it's kind of like I'm right, I'm right. Who's right? And I'm having some concern with that.

- [Alder Johnson] And that's my point. Is to hold someone for past actions for something they're not aware is one thing. If you're gonna say today that this is the situation, we need a security plan to address it. If it's a problem in the future, then you risk losing your permits. I feel like that's a fair response to that situation. I mean the goal here is to allow an event, but ensure that they do it in compliance. And so if there are things that we're questioning that are not in compliance, I think you'd be clear about that. And make sure that they come up with a strategy to address those things.

- Is there, no, go ahead. Is there, we got Walnut Street there, which is obviously a barrier, but is there some way of actually connecting the two events? Could that beto both parties involved here? On Broadway and the Sardine Can? Would that be more problematical or would that help solve problems?

- [Alder Johnson] No, I get your asking it.

- More problematic.

- [Alder Johnson] I think it's a good question and I think I would have to maybe have, before I would go down that road of having an answer.

- I want an answer right now.

- [Alder Johnson] I'd have to talk to the PD to understand what the rules and requirements are on that. And if that is something that is manageable.

- I'm thinking from a shake from the staff here that it was a good question and we got the answer It's gonna be a little problematical.

- [Man In Blue Shirt] We would consider extending through the intersection, or through the Walnut intersection. That would be problematic. You would be cutting off one of the primary east west through.

- Well, I don't think we'd have to, I don't think as far as connecting up--

- [Man In Blue Shirt] If you would want to make the event contiguous, you would have to make it through the intersection. Not gap the intersection, 'cause now you'd have patrons from one part of the event going to the other part. Going through a live traffic intersection, which I think would be more problematic than closing it.

- [Alder Johnson] So I think, what we're not looking though at doing is changing anything. Correct me if I'm wrong.

- Right, yeah, I was thinking closing that off.

- [Alder Johnson] I don't want to extend the event. I think what you're saying is how can we legalize what perhaps is already kind of happening? By adding a security measure. And again, I have no interest in extending the event premise. I just want to be clear about that, but it would be, so.

- All right, and if there already is some egress between the two events, if there's some way we can facilitate that safely, and covertly, if that's a possibility. Otherwise, we need to be working hard to stop that egress both ways.

- I don't know how you can do that.

- We can't do a coverting.

- That's gonna be difficult.

- You can't combine the two.

- You know Brian, I appreciate your take on this. I do. And a lot of times with situations in an alder district, I will listen to the alder and really, and see what's important. I will say this, that some years ago, when I was on this committee, I went and talked to a number of the neighbors and they were having issues with noise. Now that's a whole 'nother issue. But there's 95 different incidents there, I'm not sure how many of those were on those particular days. There were three events last year and I didn't glean through that. But there's 95 and some of them are, whatever, they're not specific to the event. But that was just noise. And I just remember standing on Maple and listening to the noise and how loud it was. I mean it was really, and then you'd go five feet away from that house and you didn't hear it. And I know it's not totally related to this, but somewhat it is. So you got the noise, you got the traffic flow and you got the fact that you got traffic lights at a very major intersection. You can't close that area off.

- [Alder Johnson] I don't think you need to.

- No, but I'm just saying.

- [Alder Johnson] It's not a pre-requisite for this to occur.

- Right. But I'm just saying too that another owner had talked about the issue of people walking. And let's face it, we're trying to be a festival city. I understand that. We have these things and we want people to get out and do some things. Which is important. But like I said, there's that fine line between having a good time and then also looking at something like this and going, well, you know what? We have 95 complaints.

- [Alder Johnson] Well, and I think that's for the committee to consider obviously. But I look back again. If the concerns that have been raised up to this point, I feel like if they can appropriately respond in a security plan with a way to deliver on those concerns, I would just encourage you to have that dialogue with them.

- Then I defer to you on that. That's why I wanted you to come up here.

- [Alder Johnson] My experience working with them in the past, is that when I brought concerns to them, they have been very responsive. And they've actually done something about those concerns. At least when I brought them to them. So I would anticipate the same type of reaction if the committee put prerequisites on this.

- Makes sense. Celestine?

- Thank you, Celestine Jeffreys, chief of staff. The three events that I have from special events calendar last year for the Sardine Can block party were June 13th, July 11th and August 15th. June 13th, July 11th and August 15th in 2018.

- And August what?

- 15th.

- [Alder Johnson] So and I don't know what the incidents were on that report. I guess I'm just sharing information with you, Alder Steuer. The specifics you've come to me. I know one thing that Officer Allen had brought up was over serving. I guess I don't really have knowledge in that area. I'm gonna defer to PD on things like that. If you have other issues on there, PD might be more better poised to respond to those but.

- On June 13th there was one. Crime prevention. July 11th, there was four. One of them was a noise complaint. On August 15th, I don't see any.

- [Officer Allen] To get an accurate number, if an officer called out with a problem and they said they were at Broadway and Howard, the call would be labeled Broadway and Howard. It would not be 128 South

Broadway. So the reality is there could be more. And it could be related. Those are just calls that are generated specifically to the address. If it would be in the alley, it would be labeled a different address potentially.

- Okay, well I think what they're talking about now is the fact that Alder Johnson said if there are some certain prerequisites or things that we can look at that they would be held to. That we'd possibly approve this, but with a number of caveats on top of that. So we could repeat those again but would it be fencing would be one.

- Barriers.

- Yeah, I think Mr. Lee's point, people handing over so can you create a fencing with a barrier so that you've got some depth there so they can't reach over? I don't know. I'm looking at PB. They're probably more experienced in this area for barriers that are more appropriate in these scenarios, but it seems to me that there--

- Barbed wire.

- [Alder Johnson] Could be some solutions perhaps that can help resolve some of the concerns that have been brought up.

- So fencing and what else? What else would you?

- More security, which sounds like they've already started some, but more security to help keep people corralled.

- [Alder Johnson] And maybe a list of the specific concerns so that they can train their security appropriately on how to watchdog those items.

- But I think if on Broadway reaches out and the police reach out, I think we can move forward. I think they've already taken some steps. I think what we've raised here. You said you wanted to work on both sides of that egress. Keeping people from on Broadway going that way too, so perhaps getting coordinated with that, Tom Holland. I think there's enough people to work on this where we can take a step forward and see where we end up.

- I will say if I approve, go along with this, they will be watched, not only by police but I think there'll be other folks that'll watch. I'll probably be down there, well, I'm not trying to be like look at me, but I think it is a concern. But I do believe areas of concern have been by that area a number of times and I do have my concerns about that, but if they do some of the things that they say they are gonna do and they follow through on that, then I think that will bode well for them next year. But if they don't, it's gonna be a problem.

- [Alder Johnson] And that's why I want to use the committee to identify, clearly communicate what those concerns are so that they can appropriately respond or plan accordingly to prevent those things from happening.

- I would make a motion to approve according to Alder Johnson's concerns as well, but looking at the fencing.

- Did we close the floor?

- Yes.

- We did.

- Yes, we closed the floor, okay. There's still some more commentary here. Alderman Lefave.

- [Alder Lefave] I think what you should do also is have a caveat in there that you know, he has four dates. If there's a problem with any one of them, the others are canceled. I think you could put that in, can't you?

- It's all or nothing, isn't it?

- Well, we would have to probably revoke that. We'd have to have a hearing on it. We couldn't just say if there's an issue. We'd have to actually come before you guys and tell you what those issues were, and then make a decision.

- They'd have to be able to make their case. Yeah, I think it's just easier to address it all. I mean if something comes up in one issue, I think that's when we speak to them. This is a concern, let's problem solve, let's take care of it and see what happens the next one. We got the whole year to check it to get this looked at.

- I mean if possible you could approve one or two events at this time and then have them come back for the other ones, but we don't know the summer schedule yet so I don't know if that's gonna be possible with the time frame that they're working with.

- We've okayed these in the past where we had three events, but now that we have four. So it just, we've had some issues in the past and now we're asking for one more event. So that adds a little to it. So can we make a motion or?

- Yeah, yeah.

- I make a motion to approve it. I think that with the four events, I think that after the first event or the second event we should know if there's a big problem here and we can address it at that time. If it seems like things are way out of sync that we can address it and correct it right at that time. I'd be willing to approve it with the four events, and then we can do a little follow up after. We can talk to the police department after they have one event or two events and see how it's going. And I think that would be fair. If there is a concern and we can address it at that time.

- Okay, we got a motion. Is there a second or?

- Well, mine was a second for discussion.

- Second for discussion, okay.

- I guess what I'd like to say is with that being said, Alder Vander Lee said let's approve this. But I would like to have the owners and such meet with police and make sure that what they have in order for fencing, bathroom facilities, what else? There was one other item.

- Security.

- Security, that those would all be amenable or workable, not only between the establishment but with the police as well. So I want to add that to that motion.

- Okay, so the owners will work with the police department and come to a mutual agreement on what is best considering those three areas.

- And if possible, in the future meeting, if that needs to be discussed back at this committee, then I would like to at least get the input from the police department if that's working.

- Okay.

- That's my motion.

- Well second.

- We're amending.

- Yep, I'm amending.

- Amending the motion. The motion's amended to include those three items to be addressed, okay? So we have, do you need to hear the motion again?

- If you could just clarify the three things that you want.

- Security.

- Fencing.

- And porta potties. Any other discussion? All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed, okay that passes unanimously.

- [Brian] Thank you gentlemen.

- Item number five, discussion with possible act, or are we ready for five?

- Yep.

- Discussion with possible action on request by Alder Burnet with for the city to develop a resolution or policy and procedure to notify property owners near parcels of land with pending or approved applications to be transferred from fee to trust status. My overarching concerns is for the city and Oneida nation in good will to notify effective property owners of the new governing jurisdiction, code enforcement and zoning authority of the parcel recently transferred or applied to be transferred, and to provide an official form for public input and comment. I have spoken with Alder Burnet and he would like us to hold this item a little longer. So I'm making a motion to hold.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? Okay, that is held. Item number six. Discussion with possible action on request by Alder Dorf which reads, I believe that steps must be taken to insure that the infrastructure projects including, but not limited to that the wheel tax we'll fund must be chosen very carefully and be placed into a capital improvement plan. I'm requesting that P&P develop policy with the help of DPW, legal and other appropriate staff. This policy must be developed so that the special interests and requests of individual alders do not subvert the process of choosing the projects that have been fairly researched by city staff as being appropriate for placement in a five year plan. Parameters for choosing streets to be repaired, resurfaced as well as other infrastructure improvements should be clearly identified within this policy. This was previously held from the March 25th policy committee meeting. Alder Dorf.

- Thank you. So I'm a firm believer as many of you know that our main job as alders is government and not necessarily management. We have city staff to do the management of the city work and we should be overseeing the governments. So that is why I truly believe in policy. If you get something in writing that is approved by the entire council, you have a process in place, then that's what's followed. And it's difficult to change that process without council being involved in changing it. My concern came about when I attended and observed or watched the videos at improvement services meetings and saw that streets were being added. And even over not just this year, but even over the course of years. The plan would come forward by director Brenear, be well planned out, offered to the committee, and many times, the committee would change it. And council would change it. So my concern is that we need to have a way to have streets chosen that cannot just be changed. And because I think it might lead to one alder talking to another alder. Hey, I need a street in my district. I'll support a street in your district. I don't want that even to be a chance of that happening. So I talked to director Grenier who I'm happy is here and I would definitely want him to have a lot of input on this policy. I think legal has to have input on it. I don't think it's something that's created just like that, but that it gives us a structure on how these streets or other projects are chosen and how they're included in the plan. Can I ask director Grenier if he has any input on that, or would you like to do that Mr. Chair?

- No, no, certainly anybody could.

- Actually this is one time that I'm actually going to somewhat disagree with Alder Dorf on this. I don't think it's going to take a whole lot of time for us to pull it together because--

- Oh, that's what he's disagreeing on.

- And the reason for that is a lot of this is already internal policy to the department. This is what we use as our criteria for selecting these projects in the past. All we're looking to do is to get that formalized and adopted by the county council. So again, what we do, what I anticipate this policy to contain is items. It's not gonna be a cookbook or a checklist that places a lot of difficult restriction. We don't have the ability to adapt like we did when we started integrating water utility ratings into our project selection. So what I anticipate is items in this policy to reflect that whenever and wherever possible, we maximize the amount of work that can be done under a common project to include water, utility, infrastructure improvements, sanitary sewers, storm sewer and pavement. Items such as pavement projects having a pavement rating of three or four. Emphasis to the three, secondary consideration to four if it's bracketed by two threes, to be eligible for resurfacing. Anything

above a four is outside of the guise for resurfacing a reconstruct. Pavement projects that have a pavement rating of three and these other criteria would then become candidates for reconstructs. Items such as that and it's simply what we're currently doing. The part that we're going to continue to struggle with is and I've been saying this for the six years plus that I've been a director, it's written into the vehicle registration. Every time you hear me talk about development of these plans, you will hear me continue to repeat the same things because they're the same concerns that are extremely real to us. There has to be a funding commitment because we are doing all this planning and plans get changed because there's no money out there to pay for it. There's what have you, okay? So we're gonna continue to do this, but we're gonna do it with and we're gonna structure this policy with some presumptions of maintenance of an existing program or a minimal increase. Okay, 'cause right now that's the reality of it. But again, I think this is something that can come together in relatively short order, probably within the next two months, I think is a fair enough time for us to pull this all together. So my preference would be to have this referred to staff so we can draft the policy with cooperation from law department and bring something back to you for consideration.

- I didn't think we needed to reinvent the wheel here.

- No.

- And the only direction I would give is that there seem to have been a little confusion since we switched over to the wheel tax, which I think now does provide us with that funding vehicle to help make things a little easier. But also there's some confusion as to are we starting from scratch or are we still at streets back under the old assessment program are at the tail end because they were put there because they said no three times. So I would prefer that we start from scratch with the new wheel tax. We got a new formula going forward for addressing our roles. I think it's gonna be a regular funding mechanism we can depend on and I would like us to, the past is passed. Let's start a new fresh and let's look at all our streets. Let's get the ones that are worst, start getting those, create that list from that beginning. So that would be the only direction I would give to staff if they're, I don't know if staff had any confusion on that or anything. Or how they had it planned but I think I could speak for most alders that we would like to see this start fresh. Alder Lefave?

- Last year I had request on, that goes over?

- Probably Farlin.

- Wherever the apartments, okay.

- Yeah, that's it.

- And potholes. So I went to them to request about some potholes. I went back in October and I could believe, I mean the road basically looked like it was gone almost. There were so many potholes, there wasn't much black top left. So I just mentioned it to them. And it mighta' been already on the list. I think sometimes only if they have mentioning things. I think it's okay for an alderman to make, say this road looks a little bit, go take a look at it. But not say we want this, it's pathetic. Streets that they can actually go and look at to see.

- Yeah, it's 'cause they may have assessed the streets and then some key hole in the earth and the situation arises that staff hasn't been made aware of.

- Well, with that street too--

- But our constituents have certainly made us aware. We just pass that information on.

- With that street there wasn't years ago all those apartments. It's about two blocks and then it's a new street with all these apartments. So now it's getting all this traffic. So I think it just really happened from all the traffic. It just deteriorated so fast.

- Right. Vander Lee?

- I was gonna ask you Steve, you know in the past I think some of the confusion is as well is that we pull streets off like, alderman Weary pulled off Redwood Drive last year. We took some of the streets that were off. I think that's a poor policy as far as when we have streets that you already had planned on doing, I don't think it's good policy for the council to pull the streets off when you know some of these streets are in pretty bad shape. So I think as you're going forward, we're not gonna be pulling these streets off of the agenda as far as their gonna get, in other words, they're gonna give out their notices and they're gonna get 'em done. And I think that was kind of a concern last year where some of the streets were pulled off of actually getting done.

- [Steve] I think you will see less removal from the program in the future, because more often than not and it was almost unanimous, when a street was dropped from consideration at a public hearing it was due to the assessment. And the assessments have largely, any residentially solved properties as the way the vehicle registration fee program works right now, those properties are no longer assessed. And everybody else has had their assessment cut in half. So it's a lot more palatable than the old program was so I agree that it's less likely to see that type of impact to our program going forward.

- Okay, I think that's a big plus as far as when you say the streets should be done. It's on a, what is it, if it's a four, they're gonna do reconstruction. Is that how it works?

- [Steve] Well, in short, the roads are rated from 10 to one, 10 being a brand new street that's never been driven on. One being completely impassable. Generally speaking, we target threes for our asphalt resurfacing program. If there's a four that's bounded by or going to be traveled over in order to get to the three, we may include some fours in there. But it's generally the three streets. And then below the three or if it's a three with some special consideration, if we paved into the gutter already, if the base has failed, if the gutter is in bad shape and cannot carry water, if it's in a very flat area and repaving it is simply gonna cause additional problems. Those are the internal decisions that we have always made, that we look to put into this document. Those types of streets go forward and become part of the reconstruct project.

- That's, thank you.

- I think fewer people being, grabbing the pitchforks and the torches and heading to the castle.

- [Steve] Well, they may not stop that, but at least it will provide a little bit more clarity as to why we make the selections we do. Which is what we're hoping to do. We want to get the education of it.

- I think a chair, I agree with Alder Dorf as far as not pitting one alder against another. I think that's important. But it is frustrating. I've got streets in my district that are terrible. I'll leave that for now. And I guess, Steve, what I'm asking is first of all you have every road in Green Bay rated? Correct or not?

- [Steve] Yes.

- Every road. And is that something we can take a look at?

- [Steve] Absolutely.

- Okay, well, that's something I would like to see. And I know I've heard some rumors too and squelch this if I'm wrong but the thing with the wheel tax, that was gonna be for assessments, taking care of that. I hear other rumors that maybe that money might be utilized for something else. Somebody even said an engineer possibly. Please tell me I'm wrong on that.

- [Steve] There was money set aside through the vehicle registration fee program and the uses were identified in a memo. That memo as drafted was not modified. There were several modifications of that were introduced on the floor. None of which successfully passed. The memo as drafted is what was ultimately adopted by the common council. So what I am going to, and Wednesday night we will be discussing the capital improvement program for final adoption again. That list was not exhaustive. That list was what programs we would reasonably expect. There is an opportunity to make those modifications. Any modifications, any expenditure out of that vehicle registration fee pot of money has to be for ramification purposes. And one of the things we are going to be talking about on Wednesday night, we did not identify two months ago when we brought forward the uses of that money that were identified in the memo but not necessarily in this year's expenditures, that I will be introducing for expenditures this year, would be in the roadway maintenance category. Because we did have to cut our budget for pothole patching, crack sealing and maintenance this year in order to make our 19 budget. And with the winter that we've had, for as many calls as we received on my road needs to be resurfaced or reconstructed, we got 10 of those saying that we've got potholes on roads that need to be filled. And to be brutally honest with you folks, here it is April eighth and I am out of money for the year. So we are going to be looking for some of that wheel tax money to go in the maintenance program through this year as well. But those, all of those items were identified in the middle.

- Okay, well, that's fine, that's fine. Like I said, this information's been altered but I just needed to hear that again. Also, how many miles of roads do we have? City roads in Green Bay?

- [Steve] 456.

- 456. Do we have a possible goal as far as what we want to do? I know you're waiting for the plan or we're trying to get that all taken care of, but is there some kind of a feel for what percentage we're looking at this year to resurface?

- [Steve] Offhand I don't know

- Offhand. We're not at that point yet? Okay, because I think you're saying, there's a list of all the roads, the ones, the twos, the threes, fours, et cetera. To see a list of that and of that list, I'm hoping that we can see these are the roads that are gonna be done regardless of the district. I think that's why I was talking with Alder Dorf was, I think her concern was one alder pitting themselves against another for different projects.

- Bless you.

- So I guess I just wanted to know if that's gonna be the general part of the capital improvement program. That you're gonna have specifics that we can look at as far as what roads are gonna be done.

- [Steve] Those specifics are part of every capital program we bring forward.

- Okay, all right.

- All right then. Well, we vote on them.

- [Steve] The roads in the program are listed with the exception of the repurposing program which is brought forward under--

- If I may, but if we go with a five year plan or whatever, we must keep in mind that that's only a guide. It's not set in concrete because you never know year to year what kind of weather we're gonna have, how that affects the road and how we might have to juggle things a little bit. But it gives us an idea.

- All right, I'm good for now.

- I'll make a motion on the first staff.

- Motion by Alder Stevens to refer this to DPW to work with whatever staff they need to to get this to policy before us. Second by Alder Vander Lee. Any further discussion? All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? Thank you alder, alder. Director Grenier. I wouldn't put that on you

- That's happened a couple of times.

- [Steve] Several.

- Don't take offense.

- All right. We are at item number seven. Consideration of possible action on application for the 2019, 2020 liquor license seasons for class A beer and class A liquor, set only for Van Zeeland Oil Company Inc., at 601 North Military Avenue for the license premise as convenience store gas station. One store building, 40 feet by 60 feet, currently licensed as a class A beer and a class A liquor license. Staff?

- No objection.

- Please concur.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer. Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor.

- What?

- It says, it's on the--

- They're talking about something else.

- Oh, we have to print, yeah.

- It went to print.

- They're, we're good up here. So all in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? Okay. That passes.

- Sorry, who seconded? I have Steuer who indicated the motion.

- Yeah, and Stevens sent it.

- Okay, thank you.

- We're set?

- Yes.

- Item number eight, consideration of possible action by Alder Cathy Lafave on a request that states, I request that we look at street assessments for non-profits. Example, Paul's Pantry, north western. The assessment is \$17,000. I feel this will take away from the vital services they provide. I do believe they should pay something, maybe half. I believe we have someone here from Paul's Pantry. You want to hear from them first? Or you want to hear from staff? Which is?

- Staff.

- Staff, okay. Staff, any?

- We defer to Director Grenier.

- I did have a very brief conversation with Alder Lefave following counsel last week. Again, remember when we adopted the vehicle registration fee or the wheel tax. If it's a residentially zoned property, those properties are not being levied in assessment. With that assessment otherwise would be and the money from the vehicle registration fee fund is transferred into the appropriate construction fund for all other zone and classification. So that would be commercial, industrial, what have you. The assessments already are cut in half, okay. So what Alder Lafave is requesting for this Paul's Pantry, it already does represent only one half of what they would have been assessed had this been 2018. I've had several conversations with the director up there in regards to this assessment. They have continued to remind me that they are either a, and I'm not gonna get into the legal ramifications, the difference between a non-profit or not for profit. But in the way I work, both are interchangeable terms as it relates to assessments.

- Boy, I thought they were.

- Non-profits or not for profits, may not be subject to property tax. But assessments are covered under a different section of state statute. And the short answer, again, I'm gonna stay in my lane and not practice law today, the short answer for colloquial conversation, other governmental agencies utilities and I think that's it.

- School district?

- Nope, school districts are subject to assessment. Other governmental agencies and utilities are basically the only two property owner types that are not subject to special assessments under state statute. So I can agree and I can appreciate the benefits that non-profits and not for profits bring these agencies. They hold a vital role in our community. That's not the point that we're trying to discuss here. What we're looking at is legally, what can and can we not do and what can we do under an equal protection, or equal application type of atmosphere? And with that what we've agreed to is residentially zoned properties because they're paying the wheel tax should not be. The other than residentially zoned properties, regardless of who they are, they have a mix of small vehicles, which will be paying the wheel tax, and larger vehicles which will not and will damage the roads more than what the small vehicles do. So the common counsel elected to cut those zoning classifications in half. Our opinion, that is a very equitable approach. That's why we suggested it in the first place. We don't think anything should change. We should continue to hold the status quo and as it relates directly to the one instance on the Webster Avenue project, as Alder Lefave has asked for, that assessment is already halved at what it otherwise would have been.

- Is there a process to appeal if someone can show, I mean like before we did assessments there was a loan. People could get a loan, correct? Can a non-profit get a loan to pay these off?

- There was a way to, the way the assessments for payment of special assessments. Special assessments are due net 30 after receipt of invoice. So if we finish the project say on January first, they are due on January 31st. Any unpaid balance remaining after 30 days is automatically split in five equally annual installments and carries a service charge interest rate on it, and that automatically goes to your taxes. Now there has been a policy for businesses, because businesses typically had larger assessments than residences. There has been a policy that a business under special consideration can make a personal request, an individual request, to the improvement service committee to go onto a 10 year payment plan. That still exists for other than residentially zoned properties. Matter of fact, I believe the improvement service committee has under certain circumstances, has also allowed that for residentially zoned properties, especially if it was an urbanization project that had a large front. There were some really extenuated circumstances that that was granted for as well. So there still are some options, especially for other than residentially zoned properties. They help spread those out to make them more manageable payments.

- And when was there ever a process to appeal to reduce even further?

- There is not because of how we are legally obligated to calculate the assessments. There still would be an option that if somebody feels an assessment created some sort of an owner's burden on them, there are mechanisms under Green Bay municipal quota under ordinance to defer assessments to the and I suppose there would always be an option for somebody to petition to have an assessment completely rescinded. Which would then place that burden back on the taxpayer or our bar would fund.

- Okay.

- You know, Steve, you brought up not for profits versus non-profits and I don't know if just for clarification, you brought up a few things as far as the differences and as far as assessments and that type of thing. And I don't know our legal department has anything to differentiate that.

- [Steve] No, what I was saying is for the purpose of assessment, there is no difference.

- There is no difference.

- [Steve] There is no difference.

- Okay, just to clarify.

- Anything else?

- Not right now.

- Thank you. Steve, I agree with your comments. I don't feel that we should be trying to change what's in place right now. They're only paying half at this time. And I feel that this is a good program that we got going already and I don't think we should change it.

- And what I do want to say is when I had the conversation with Alder Lafave following council last week, I don't know that she necessarily knew that the assessments were already half.

- [Alder Lafave] No, I didn't at the time.

- Is that across the board for--

- Yes, yes. That was all part of that wheel tax discussion, if you're not residential we're gonna cut it in half. And we spend a lot of time arguably, rightly so, talking about the residents and the residentially zoned property that I think some of that other stuff does get lost in the wash.

- Consistency is important on that.

- Absolutely.

- Make a motion to receive--

- Whoa, whoa, whoa, I think we need to open the floor.

- [Alder Lafave] No, no, yeah, no, why don't you open the floor?

- Yeah, yeah, we're gonna open the floor. Any further discussion for Alder Burnet, Alder Burnet, did it again. Alder Stevens, did you have any questions for Director Grenier?

- Here's some water.

- No, I don't need any more water, God.

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Stevens.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Vander Lee, all in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? Okay, the floor's now open for interested parties. Please come up, state your name and address for the record.

- My name is Craig Vile and I am president and board chair of Paul's Pantry, located at 1513 Leo Frigo Way. And I guess, I think a lot of it has been misinterpreted what we're trying to do. So we're looking for some direction on what to do. When we got the notice and it said that this was for partial number 20271, located at 926 Vanderbreck Street. And that's for \$17,606. I mean, part of my job and responsibility at the pantry is wow, that's a lot of money for us. What impact is that gonna have on us? And maybe because we passed this out last time, but I would like to pass it out again this time, is this is basically our operating statement on a monthly basis. And it shows that it costs us to go out and we basically go out and collect food from the grocery store and every place else. And try to reuse it. But it costs us 22.3 cents a pound to do that. So this assessment is equal to 78,953 pounds of food, which is what we go through in a week. So that's one week's worth of food.

And in your assessment policy it says you work with indigent people. Well, that's who we service. And we try to give them the food so they can use the money for other things such as utilities, transportation, whatever. If we lose this, they're gonna have to go out and buy it. But I'll just pass this out to give you for reference. The impact.

- Oh, you get a black one. Sorry about that.

- He knows about our--

- Yeah, yeah.

- But that's not the real purpose. That's what brought it our light that wow, we gotta do something. So then we started researching and saying well, should we be charged an assessment? And I'll pass out a map of our property and I guess then I'll go over some, good? Sorry. Some definition but first, our partial is the one in blue. So we looked at, our street address is Vanderbreck. Vanderbreck does not go through to Webster. On the other side, you got Vanderbreck dead 82. It doesn't go through to Webster. And according to your assessment policy, you can't assess a corner lock. But corner lock is a lot of budding two or more streets at their intersection. There is no intersection. So it's a world across the street which dead ends at Webster has no assessment. Claus is our back rear-facing lot, which is not assessed. Green Bay Packaging on the corner of Radisson and Webster, got zero assessments because their address is Leo Frigo way and Webster is their rear. It's not assessed. Radisson could be but being it's a rear and a side, it's not assessed. What we're saying is that's the same situation here. We have a rear and a side. Vanderbreck doesn't go through. That's not an intersection. When you do Vanderbreck, yes, we should be assessed. When you do Leo Frigo Way, we're on Leo Frigo Way also. Yes, we should be assessed. But we think that it was an oversight, whoever did the assessments that that doesn't intersect it. It almost looks like it on the map, but it doesn't. There's a sidewalk there and no, you can't drive through. Where do we go to have someone look at this?

- Can I ask a quick question.

- [Steve] Right here.

- I'm also wondering quick, if all we are doing now is we're not really making policy here. You're filing an appeal, shouldn't that go INS?

- Ultimately it's gonna go to finance. Just across a couple of the issues that Mr. Vile talked about. The address doesn't matter. Physical location of the property does, and where you about streets. The property that's being assessed is physically on the corner of Claus and Webster and has frontage on Webster. The Claus side is not being assessed because Claus is not being improved, Webster is. Green Bay Packaging is north of the project limits. The project limits stop south of Radisson Street, which is why packaging is not being assessed.

- Okay.

- I disagree because this lot right here is Green Bay Packaging and it's north of Radisson. That parcel right there. It's an auxiliary lot that they own and there's no assessments on there.

- And that would be because of a double frontage situation.

- And that's what we're saying we have because it says in Green Bay ordinance, section 13.302 under general definition, a front line, a lot line is your street frontage or your address, which is Vanderbreck. Which it states right here on the memo you sent us.

- [Steve] If you've got a question regarding the packaging property, by all means, send it in. I'll be happy to look at it and if there's an error on packaging, we'll correct it.

- [Craig] That's not what I'm here for. What I'm saying is what you've looked at and what you've addressed is this says our property address is 926 Vanderbreck.

- [Steve] Well again, as Alder Scannell has suggested, this is actually a discussion for the finance committee.

- And that's actually what I'm asking for. Who do we see because we've been given the run around. We've also been told by our lawyer that if we do have a problem with this, we have 90 days and you approved it at your last council meeting, we have 90 days to contest this. So we need to know before the 90 days runs out.

- This would go to finance. We don't have the jurisdiction here at this committee. We set policies and we're not really doing a policy here. You're asking for to correct an error or get an exception or whatever it is.

- [Craig] Well, is there a finance committee and when do they meet?

- The next one would be in two weeks.

- [Steve] And I believe that until such time that an invoice is given, there's actually no action to be taken by anybody.

- So you haven't even been given an invoice yet so you have more than 90 days.

- [Craig] Well, if you look at--

- Until the invoice comes, you have--

- [Craig] If you look at state statute 66, which is special assessments, it states we've got 90 days once you approved the final resolution which you approved at your last council meeting. If we do not act within 90 days, we cannot contest it according to the state.

- Well, in two weeks, finance would meet again. I would have Alder Lafave put into communication of finance for you to do an, I don't know if there's paperwork for an appeal for this. How would this?

- [Steve] I don't know.

- Staff, legal? Is there any kind of paperwork that they need to fill out or can they just--

- It would be a totally separate communication than this. And it would be him basically presenting his facts to the finance committee.

- But I don't want him to have to have paperwork that needs to be filled out and it's not filled out. He can just print the communication, show up and they can discuss it and that's all that needs to happen?

- Yeah, I don't.

- Yep, yep, yep.

- [Woman] No, it's on this topic. I don't understand, why would it go to finance? If he thinks there's a mistake why doesn't it go to department to look over it first?

- [Steve] Finance would be if he was disputing, or looking to have the assessment rescinded, which is what we talked about at council.

- [Woman] So what are we talking about?

- If he wants us to take a look at the assessment roles and how they were developed, we're not even gonna wait for it to go to committee. We can start that tomorrow.

- Yeah.

- So now we're back to talking not about what we were talking about. They are talking about the assessment.

- Right.

- [Steve] Correct.

- So you can give everything to Director Grenier and staff to start looking at it. If it's necessary to take this as an appeal to finance, you can put in that communication. Otherwise maybe it can just be worked out with staff.

- [Alder Lafave] 'Cause it's too late for tomorrow's meeting.

- It doesn't need to be a meeting, it doesn't have to be.

- [Alder Lafave] No, to go to finance. It's too late to put--

- Right, it'd be two weeks.

- Two weeks from tomorrow.

- So that gives staff time.

- Two weeks from tomorrow.

- So that gives staff time to see if there's any errors or anything that can be done at a staff level to resolve this, and if there can't be, then that gives you time to put in a communication for finance and you can take that case to finance and good luck. There's nothing we can do here.

- [Alder Lafave] I also make a suggestion that he, say the attorneys because I think it's a legal thing also.

- Well, I'm sure--

- We don't represent him individually, so he would--

- [Alder Lafave] No, no, I meant probably what's verbal here and your lawyer get together. I think it's a legal issue too.

- And Steve and I can talk about that.

- So hopefully it can get worked out at staff level. Then all the headache's gone. If not--

- I hope so too and if we could have a meeting with you so you can sort of understand where we're coming from, we'd appreciate that.

- [Steve] Sure.

- Okay. Let me just. We tried to give it to chief, we change staff every.

- He must play cards.

- We just dropped out

- Okay, then--

- I appreciate it.

- Yep, yep, and I'm sorry that's not something we can resolve here tonight.

- [Craig] But just one other thing. You're talking about residentially zoned property getting credit for the wheel tax, and you're saying that non-profits should pay their fair share. And I agree. But do you know the vast majority of non-profits are residentially zoned property so they're not paying anything?

- [Alder Lafave] So it should be equally.

- Because I found out the church on Webster's paying nothing and I just went through and started checking. And almost every church in Green Bay is a residential area.

- Location, location, location. The ordinance, I don't know if, perhaps that's something we can talk to staff about

- [Craig] So that means that you're getting apartment buildings?

- Apartment buildings would be considered residential.

- I think it should be single family residential but that's just

- I got one question.

- Yep.

- So you did some research obviously, so did you go to our assessor's office? Or how did you get that information?

- [Craig] What information?

- You said some were no, some were yes. I mean as far as--

- [Craig] Oh, you go online, you can find that.

- You just did that. Okay, I just wanted to know how you did that.

- [Craig] Yep, it's all online.

- All right.

- Transparency. Okay.

- [Craig] Thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Second.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Stevens. Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Motion.

- Place on file.

- Motion received to place on file by Alder Vander Lee. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? That passes. We're ready to move on for informational?
- Yes.
- Staff.
- We had no liquor violations.
- Just a warm fuzzy place.
- Yeah yeah, thank you. I'll take a motion to receive a place of funds.
- Motion.
- Motion by Alder Stevens. Seconded by Alder Vander Lee. All in favor?
- [All] I.
- All opposed? Gentlemen, who wants to adjourn us?
- Motion to adjourn.
- Motion to adjourn by Alder Steuer.
- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor.

- [All] I.

- Opposed? Good work everyone. Thank you I gotta make another, Jesus.

- Well, that's the way it is. Oh, all right.