



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

**TUESDAY, MAY 21, 2019, 6:00 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
ROOM 203, CITY HALL**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, Randy Scannell, Brian Johnson, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Andy Nicholson, John VanderLeest, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

C. INVOCATION.

Fire Chief Litton and fellow firefighters spoke about fallen Appleton Firefighter Mitch Lundgaard and had a moment of silence.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes of the May 7, 2019 meeting.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve. Motion carried.

E. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to amend the agenda by taking up Item 4 from Q. Report of the Park Committee right after U. Report of the Finance Committee, and taking up Item 9 from N. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee and Item 6 from U. Report of the Finance Committee at the same time as item V. Committee of the Whole. Motion carried.

F. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

G. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

H. RECOGNITIONS AND AWARDS.

Sgt. Michael Knetzger was given the J. Edgar Hoover Award from the American Police Hall of Fame and Museum, located in Titusville, Florida.

City Attorney Vanessa Chavez was awarded the Rising Star Award from Wisconsin Women in Government.

I. APPOINTMENTS.

I. New Appointment :

Economic Development Authority

John Calewerts, 115 E. Walnut, Apt. 714, Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: June 1, 2022

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to confirm. Motion carried.

J. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

I. Resolution authorizing conditional use approval at 1004 Brett Favre Pass.

No one appeared to speak on the resolution.

K. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (MAY 7, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve with the exception of Item I. Motion carried.

I. To approve amending the Professional Services Agreement with Stantec Consulting Services, Inc., to prepare a Project Plan for the Shipyard at a cost not to exceed one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00).

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item I. Motion carried.

2. To approve amendment(s) to and Obligations of Developer for Development Agreement 19-01

with TWG Development, LLC, and DDL Holdings, LLC, for Broadway Lofts @ the Rail Yard at 420 N. Broadway (Parcel 5-1756), subject to minor legal and technical revisions.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

3. To receive and place on file the update on purchase of real property at 227 N. Quincy St., 221 N. Quincy St., 624-626 Pine St., 618 Pine St., 616 Pine St., and 605 Cherry St. (Tax Parcels 11-156, 11-157, 11-158, 11-159, 11-160, and 11-191).

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

4. To approve and recommend to the Common Council an Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing Study.

5. To approve the report of the Funding Administration Subcommittee meeting May 2, 2019, approving the draft 2019 Annual Action Plan and funding recommendations with the amendments as discussed for the 2019 Community Development Block Grant allocations.

L. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to suspend the rules to adopt the resolutions with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

1. Preliminary resolution declaring intent to exercise special assessment powers under Section 66.0703, Wisconsin Statutes, for installation of concrete pavement.

2. Resolution ordering sidewalks reconstructed and issuing 60-day notices.
3. Resolution authorizing conditional use approval at 1004 Brett Favre Pass.
4. Resolution regarding vacation of a portion of public right-of-way, Padi-Wood Lane.
5. Resolution authorizing release of utility easement for 1060 Gray Court.
6. Resolution drawing final orders to contractors for May 21, 2019.
7. Resolution accepting and implementing 2019 analysis of impediments to fair housing.

M. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (MAY 14, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve with the exemption of Items 5, 6B, and 6C. Motion carried.

1. To approve request by owner of Parcel 22-SC490 to subdivide parcel, redistribute currently deferred assessments for sanitary and water main to resulting subparcels, and defer resulting allocated assessments until each subparcel is sold, developed, or until October 19, 2024, whichever comes first.

2. To receive and place on file request by Ald. Lefebvre to look at curbside bulk and overflow pickup.

3. To approve land lease extension for current Department of Public Works West Side Yard Waste Center site and allow the Department of Public Works to execute the lease amendment.

4. To accept the report of the award of contract EATON HEIGHTS 2ND ADDITION PHASE I to the low responsive bidder, Jossart Brothers, Inc., in the amount of \$1,176,563.50.

5. To approve request by Department of Public Works to authorize Department of Public Works to award contracts in the 2019 Capital Improvement Program at a staff level subject to the following conditions:

A. Delay in bringing forward a recommendation to award at the next regularly scheduled Committee meeting would result in an unacceptable delay in starting construction.

B. Any projects so awarded would be reported out at the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Improvement and Services Committee.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 5. Motion carried.

6. To approve the award of the following contracts:

A. GREEN BAY WATER UTILITY DREDGING OF SLUDGE LAGOON NO. 2 to the low responsive bidder, Express Excavating, Inc., in the amount of \$79,712.50.

B. PARKING RAMP REPAIRS - 2019 to the low responsive bidder, Central Restoration LLC, in the amount of \$539,700.

C. PARKS PROJECT 3-19 (COLBURN PARK POOL AND BATHHOUSE RENOVATIONS) to the low responsive bidder, Howard Immel, Inc., in the amount of \$2,500,000.

D. PAVEMENT 2-19 to the low responsive bidder, Vinton Construction Co., in the amount of \$1,165,678.46.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve Item 6B. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barb Dorff to approve Item 6C. Motion carried.

7. To approve applications for Concrete Sidewalk Builder Licenses by the following:

- A. Evraets Concrete Construction LLC
- B. Green Bay Concrete LLC
- C. Weidner Concrete Construction LLC

8. To approve applications for Tree & Brush Trimmer Licenses by the following:

- A. Fall-Rite Services LLC
- B. Monster Tree Service

9. To approve application for Underground Sprinkler System License for Lizer of Wisconsin, Inc.

10. To approve request by Department of Public Works to grant licenses for Concrete Sidewalk Builder, Tree & Brush Trimmer, and Underground Sprinkler System at a staff level until September 17, 2019.

11. To approve request by Brian Milz, 512 Ontario Road, for a sidewalk study on Ontario Road and have staff perform the sidewalk study and report out results of the study at the June 12, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting.

N. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (MAY 13, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve with the exception of Items 1, 9, and 14. Ald. Andy Nicholson abstained from the entire report. Motion carried.

1. To approve General Ordinance 14-19, an ordinance creating Section 40.14 relating to community service as a penalty.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve Item 1. Motion carried.

2. To approve a change of agent for Bay Motel and Family Restaurant, Inc. at 1301 S. Military Ave. with an effective date of 7/1/2019.

3. To approve a renewal application for the 2019-2020 license year for Bay Motel and Family Restaurant, Inc. at 1301 S. Military Ave., pending the approval of the change of agent at the May 21 Common Council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities.

4. To approve a change of agent for The Daily Buzz LLC at 130 E. Walnut.

5. To approve a renewal application for the 2019-2020 license year for The Daily Buzz LLC at 130 E. Walnut St., pending the approval of the change of agent at the May 21 Common Council meeting.

6. To approve an application for a 6-month Class "B" Beer License for De Pere Dodgers Baseball Club, Inc. at 1450 E. Walnut St. with a licensed premises description as "Joannes Stadium (inside the fence)," with the approval of the proper authorities.

7. To approve an application starting in the 2019-2020 license year for a Class "B" Beer and "Class B" Reserve liquor license for Old Bavarian Brewing Company, LLC at 1583 Main St. with a licensed premises description as "existing bar and serving areas," with the approval of the proper authorities.

8. To approve the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2019-2020 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities.

9. To refer to staff to investigate the legal angles of notifying residents of affected properties and to have a rough draft letter to present at the next council meeting for consideration on the request by Ald. Brunette, which reads: "For the City to develop a resolution, or policy and procedure to notify property owners near parcels of land with a pending or approved application to be transferred from fee to trust status. My overarching concern is for the City and the Oneida Nation-in goodwill- to notify affected property owners of the new governing jurisdiction, code enforcement, and zoning authority of the parcel recently transferred or applied to be transferred, and to provide an official

forum for public input and comment," previously held at the April 8 Protection & Policy meeting.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve Item 9.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to open the floor for discussion.

Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to go close floor for discussion.

Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to refer to the City Attorney. Motion carried.

10. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Scannell for legal to work with inspection to either amend or write an ordinance protecting property owners from their neighbors runoff.

11. To hold until staff can report back to the committee an update on the request by Ald. Wery to review/action on changes to the Ethics Code policy and ordinance as recommended by outside Counsel.

12. To hold until the next meeting a request by Ald. Wery which states: "To request a report of police call volume on Western Ave. from Oneida to Taylor. What addresses are habitual problems, have nuisance plans and bills for service been issued? Develop a plan moving forward to reduce the number of police calls to this area."

13. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Johnson on the creation of a SafePark initiative that permits overnight parking for those who may have consumed too much alcohol in an effort to deter drunk driving incidents.

14. To grant final approval authority to the Protection and Policy committee for liquor license applications, liquor license amendments and operators licenses from May 21, 2019 through October 1, 2019.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 14.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend to add, "and to notify the alder". Motion carried.

15. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for May 13, 2019.

16. To receive and place on file the Report from the Water Utility Department regarding the lead pipe removal project.

O. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve both operator license reports. Ald. Andy Nicholson abstained from both reports. Motion carried.

1. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses, valid until June 30, 2020.

2. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses, valid until June 30, 2021.

P. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (MAY 13, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve with the exception of Item 1. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to reconsider Items 2 & 3. Motion carried.

1. To amend an existing Planned Unit Development (PUD) boundary to include the northeast corner of Shawano Avenue and North Taylor Street for the construction of a Prevea Clinic in the 1850 Block Shawano Avenue, subject to the amended Planned Unit Development District Ordinance (PUD).

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve Item 1. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close the floor. Motion carried.

2. To rezone 1132 West Mason Street, 1134 West Mason Street and a portion of 1136 West Mason Street from Public Institutional (PI) to General Commercial (C1).

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to open the floor for Items 2 & 3 for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close the floor. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 2. Motion carried.

3. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to permit a limited production and processing use at 1136 West Mason Street, submitted by Jerome James, subject to standard site plan review.

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 3. Motion carried.

4. To approve the appointment to the Broadway Business Improvement District (BID) Board, as nominated by the BID and approved by Mayor Genrich.

5. To vacate a portion of right-of-way, Padi-Wood Lane, subject to: the existing right-of-way area shall be retained on the City's Official Map.

6. To discontinue an easement located at 1060 Gray Court, subject to the following condition: Requestor shall provide legal description of Easement Discontinuance area at their expense.

7. To amend Chapter 13-2021 to permit video display signs within the Public Institutional (PI) District.

8. To refer to staff a request from Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to consider an exemption/conditional use to allow storage unit expansion, cleaning up & improvement of the property at 1725 N Irwin Avenue.

Q. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (MAY 14, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve with the exception of Item 4. Ald. Brian Johnson abstained from Item 3. Motion carried.

1. To receive and place on file the review of the bids and budget for the Colburn Pool Renovations.
2. To approve allocating \$170,000 from the existing beach funding for an amendment to the scope of work for Patrick Engineering to complete the engineering associated with the beach, pier and boardwalk project at Bay Beach Amusement Park.
3. To approve the request by OnBroadway Inc. to allow the sale of alcohol (beer and malt beverages only) and concessions at Leicht Memorial Park on Tuesday nights, June 18 – August 27, during the Levitt Concert Series.
4. To move forward as directed in closed session on the purchase of 1705 East Shore Circle (Parcel 21-439) for the expansion of Bay Beach Amusement Park.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.82(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve Item 4.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to go into closed session at 8:43 p.m.

Motion carried.

Ald. Chris Wery read the closed session language.

Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff
Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Barb Dorff to return to regular order of business at 9:11 p.m. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Barb Dorff to proceed as discussed in closed session with the understanding the final offer would come back with a concept plan for that site before it's developed. Motion carried.

R. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (MAY 14, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve with the exception of Items 3 & 4. Motion carried. Ald. Andy Nicholson abstained from Item 1 & 2.

1. To approve request to fill the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

- a. Sewer Maintenance Worker (3) - Public Works
- b. Public Works Superintendent (Streets) - Public Works

2. To approve request to reorganize the Mayor's office staff positions.

3. To approve as amended at Committee the amendment of City of Green Bay Personnel Policy Chapter 9, Hours of Work and Fringe Benefits, to include policy regarding a Volunteer Time Off Program.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve Item 3.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to close the floor. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Barb Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to refer back to the Personnel Committee. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

4. To approve amendment of City of Green Bay Personnel Policy Chapter 9, Hours of Work and Fringe Benefits, Section 9.2, Hours of Work, to include policies regarding Flex Scheduling and a Summer Work Schedule.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve Item 4. Motion carried.

S. REPORT OF THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION (MAY 8, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

1. Refer to the Law Department to draft an ordinance which would create an outright ban on coal-tar sealants, utilizing model legislation provided by advocacy groups, and to draft a resolution advocating for a state-wide ban on coal-tar sealants, which would be sent to the Wisconsin State Legislature.

T. RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve the Municipal Court Report for April 2019. Motion carried.

I. Municipal Court Report for April 2019.

U. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (MAY 8, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception of Item 6. Motion carried.

I. To approve the designation of the Green Bay Press Gazette as the Official Newspaper for the City of Green Bay for the approximate annual amount of \$51,000.

2. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Wery that all departments submit time spent and resources used by any current or former employee on Mayor Schmitt's private going away (retirement) party. All time and resources used are to be billed to Mayor Schmitt. I am requesting the City of Green Bay inquire into whether City resources of any kind will be needed for Schmitt's retirement party, especially if a public fireworks display takes place.

3. Recommend to not sign the Brown County Tax Collection Agreement for December 2019 to February 2020. City to pursue collecting first installment.

4. Information, update, and discussion on the five year combined Capital Improvement Plan.

5. To receive and place on file the Report of the Claims Committee.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written

advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

6.

To proceed with action as directed in closed session on initiating negotiations for intergovernmental agreement with the Oneida Nation, including revisiting the following Notices of Decision from the US Dept of the Interior regarding the intent to take land into trust: Kuffel, Bruss, Syndergaard, Kolb, Kelley, Howey, Duquaine, Cornelius, Kestell, Baumgart, Orlando, and Debenedetto parcels.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

This was taken up under Committee of the Whole.

V. COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

I. Consideration with possible action on the Notices of Decision from the US Dept of the Interior regarding the intent to take land into trust – DePetro and Overly parcels.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to go into closed session for Q.4, V.I, and U.6.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery for separation of the items. Ald. Jesse Brunette is in favor of closed session on Q4 but not for VI and U6. He liked to ask the City Attorney to provide what she is able to in closed session for the sake of public notice and he would like to vote on whether to enter into closed session for VI and U6, concerned to fee to trust land. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to go into closed session for V.I and

U.6. Motion failed.

Ald. Mark Steuer read the closed session language.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Andy Nicholson, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre
Motion made by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to initiate negotiations for a fee for municipal services agreement with the Oneida Nation with a monthly report provided by Mayor Genrich to the City Council and for the revisiting of the following Notices of Decision from the U.S. Department of the Interior regarding the intent to take land into trust for the properties of Kuffel, Bruss, Syndergaard, Kolb, Kelley, Howey, Duquaine, Cornelius, Kestell, Baumgart, Orlando, and Debenedetto to be referred back to the Finance Committee with the property address as listed within the agenda item to serve as proper public notice. Motion failed.

Yes-Andy Nicholson, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax
Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to reconsider the closed session motion. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No-Andy Nicholson, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to go into closed session at 9:49 p.m. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No-Andy Nicholson, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to return to regular order of business at 10:30 p.m. Motion carried.

Motion by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Veronica Corpus-Dax to have staff proceed as directed in closed session. Motion carried.

W. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to suspend the rules to advance ordinances 1 thru 4 to a second reading. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. General Ordinance 14-19

An ordinance creating Section 40.14 relating to community service as a penalty.

2. Zoning Ordinance 2-19

An ordinance amending Zoning Ordinance No. 20-07 to permit the expansion of the Planned Unit Development Overlay District boundary for the St. Mary's Hospital corridor located at the northeast corner of Shawano Avenue and Taylor Street.

3. Zoning Ordinance 03-19

An Ordinance rezoning property located at 1132 West Mason Street, 11347 West Mason Street and a portion of 1136 West Mason Street from Public Institutional (PI) District to General Commercial (CI) District.

4. General Ordinance 15-19

An ordinance amending Section 13-2021, Green Bay Municipal Code video display signage.

X. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to refer the petitions and communications to the appropriate Committee or Commission. Motion carried.

Request by Ald. Andy Nicholson, on behalf of a concerned constituent of District 3, to the Mayor and City Council which reads: "I am requesting that in appreciation of Guy Zima's 40+ years of service to the Green Bay City Council and Brown County Board of Supervisors that "Spring Street" between Monroe and Madison be renamed Guy Zima Lane."

Request by Ald. Barbara Dorff to the Plan Commission to research and create fair housing ordinance for the City of Green Bay.

Request by Ald. Barbara Dorff to the Plan Commission to incorporate the findings of the analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing into the comprehensive plan.

Request by Ald. Bill Galvin to the Finance Committee to have IT study the ongoing issues with the Civic Clerk program and report on fixes for it.

Request by Ald. Bill Galvin to the Protection & Policy Committee to have a procedure for alders to be notified when petitions and communications are going to be addressed at the committee level.

Request by Ald. Bill Galvin to the Improvement & Services Committee up date on when the plan to bring sidewalks up to code will be ready.

Request by Ald. Bill Galvin to the Improvement & Services Committee to report an time line of who knew what when from city employees as it pertained to reporting the flood from March 14th. And from that info the staff develop an early warning system for man made and natural disasters to help our citizens have as much time as possible to preserve life & property.

Request by Ald. Brian Johnson to the Traffic Commission to address chronic complaints regarding speeding on 12th Ave.

Request by Ald. Mark Steuer to the Traffic, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Committee to look at the feasibility of left-turn caution arrows along Military Avenue lights, between Shawano Avenue and Mason Streets.

Request by Ald. Chris Wery to the Parks Committee to discuss how to enable free WIFI access(or increased bandwidth) during all city committee & council meetings. OR Always have free WIFI at City Hall.

Request by Kathy Lefebvre to the Park Board which states: As the Park Dept. has no policy on notification of residence/neighbors of major additions, alterations that would directly effect them, I'm there for asking for a policy/ordinance put in place."

Request by Ald. Jesse Brunette to the Improvement and Services Committee for Public Works to provide an up-to-date account of revenue and expenditures of the wheel tax.

Y. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adjourn at 10:37 p.m. Motion carried.

Kris A. Teske
Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at greenbaywi.gov

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Call to order the meeting of the common council for Friday, May 3rd. Roll call.
- [Woman] 12 are present, your honor.
- We have a quorum and will proceed. Please rise for the pledge of allegiance and remain standing for a moment of silence.
- [Everyone] I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the republic for which it stands. One nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all. At this time I'd like to invite Chief Litton up to the dais here along with Ryan Hintz from Local 141, to lead us in a moment of silence. Thanks.
- Good evening everyone. I'd like to thank the mayor for giving us this brief opportunity to recognize the tragic events of last week, as all of you I'm sure are aware. An Appleton firefighter lost his life in the line of duty last Wednesday in a senseless act of desperation and cruelty and really created a monumental tragedy for the Appleton fire department, the family, the firefighter, the whole community, the region actually. I just wanted to say very quickly that how proud I am of the members of the Green Bay Metro Fire Department. Countless members went down to Appleton, volunteering their time from last Wednesday, all the way through last night, volunteering to covering their station,

Minutes of the Common Council

to post people at the firefighter's house to keep unwanted visitors away from the family, to posting guard in honor of firefighter Lundgaard. And then about 60 members showing up yesterday at the service and representing Green Bay in a monumental and fabulous way, so, couldn't be more proud to serve alongside a group of individuals, and a few of them are standing behind me here. We were very well represented, I appreciate the outpouring of support from the mayor and the council. I want to thank the police department chief Smith, Commander Wark and the whole crew that was down there from the police department as well to support the Appleton, and you know, our detectives were involved in the investigation itself, so, really a tragic event, but it shows out of that, the humanity of people, and the outpouring of support from Appleton and throughout this whole region, so, again, mayor, thank you for that, I'm gonna introduce Ryan Hintz, who's the president of local 141, he has something to say as well.

- Thank you everyone. Just a little bit about the firefighter's loss. Mitchell Lundgaard was 36 years old when he was taken last Wednesday. He was actually a graduate from Southwest High School here in Green Bay in 2000. He ended up joining the Appleton fire department 14 years ago, after September 11th, he wanted to do something to help the community. He looked into the four branches of the military and decided firefighting was the route that he wanted to take. He left behind his high school sweetheart, Lindsey, of 12 years. They had a nine year old boy named Evan. Seven year old boy named Logan and a four year old named Ryan. He was an amazing father, husband, firefighter and friend. He went to work that day, it was a normal shift. It was a normal call that we go on every single day in Green Bay. And nobody really knew the fate of that day and what was gonna happen. You know this really could have happened to any fire department in the area, with the growing drug problem, violence problem, you know, this is something that, you know, we've had to trade in, from worrying about our firefighters being lost in a fire, to, now we need to add shootings to the list. Our profession used to worry about the ultimate sacrifice being made in a burning building. Times are changing, buildings burn faster. And now we need to add shootings and bulletproof vests to the list, so... You know, overall, he'll be greatly missed. The funeral yesterday was a fitting tribute for the man he was. Every person that went up there spoke highly of how involved he was in the community, his family, and his profession, so, thank you for that moment.

- If I can just ask everyone to bow their head for a moment of silence. Thank you. Mayor.

- Well thank you chief, and Ryan, for those words, and that moment of silence, and for everything you do every day to protect the citizens of Green Bay. And thanks also for the way that you've responded to this tragedy and worked with the city of Appleton and their fire department to support them in this time of need, so, thank you. Round two approval of the minutes?

- [Randy] So moved. Entertain a motion to approve the minutes of April 15th, 2019, moved by the alder from the seventh. Second by alder from the 10th. Are there any corrections that need to be made? Seeing no requests, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Opposed? The minutes have been approved. Approval of the agenda.

- [Randy] Motion to amend it. Alder from the seventh, I'll entertain a motion to amend. There you go.

- I'd like to take item four from parks. And take that right after item U. And then I'd also like to take item nine from protection and policy, and item six from finance. And do those at the same time as VI.

- [Eric] Okay. Anything else?

- That should do it, thank you.

- Seeing no further requests, all in favor, please signify by saying aye. Can I get a second for the motion? Thank you, second by alder from the eighth. Seeing no further requests, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- All opposed, nay. The agenda before you has been approved with those changes. Round of the report of the mayor. So I just wanted to touch base on a couple topics before we get into the meeting tonight. First, the administration has recommended some policy changes for our work force in the form of more flexible schedules, more accommodating summer hours and a leave policy that makes room for volunteer activities in the community. This is being done for two reasons, from my perspective. One to make the city of Green Bay as an employer an attractive 21st century workplace, and place to do business. Two, to allow our employees to make a difference in the community in a way that fits into their busy lives and schedules. These are new concepts for us, though certainly not in the corporate world. And I appreciate that others might not view things as I do, but I do believe we need to get serious about building up and valuing the people who work in this building, and in our city facilities across the community. Municipal budgets, as you all know, better than I at this point, are incredibly unforgiving these days. So, much of what I would like to do to express my support and gratitude to our employees is out of reach. But the policies this administration is endorsing tonight should not be, do not have to be. These changes are incremental, and they're fiscally responsible, yet they also send the message that we are interested in moving this workplace forward, and doing it in a way that benefits the community as a whole. I hope our council appreciates and supports this goal ultimately. Secondly, there's an item before us that pertains to our relationship with the Oneida Nation. The details obviously I can't discuss in open session, but I want to make clear that I believe we're in need of a reset here with this relationship. The city recently passed a three year mark without a service agreement or intergovernmental agreement with the Oneida Nation. Which has deprived the city coffers of hundreds of thousands of dollars, and gotten us nothing of tangible value in return, in my view. So I'm looking forward to beginning negotiations in a new light, with a clean slate, and with the intention of constructing an agreement between our two governments that is beneficial to the people we represent here. Also wanted to just let alders and the public know that we plan to use a summer schedule of meetings coming up here in the summer months, as is the custom in Green Bay. So we'll be meeting once a month from June through September. Feel free to get in touch with my office if you have any questions or suggestions for meeting times. Finally I do want to wish everyone a very solemn Memorial Day. Of course, city hall will be closed to recognize the holiday. And we hope that everyone enjoys the weekend, and, you know, spend some time thinking about those who gave everything in defense of this country. So that concludes my report. Announcements. Alder from the 10th.

- Thank you your honor. So we got a couple here. Some of you may be aware of the Jacques family, Joe and Brie, they passed in a car accident on Mother's Day. Joe was in my district, 10. He was one of

those go to people that I could always rely on when I had a tough issue. And he was always there for us. Great personality, father of five. His daughter was 16. Very tragic. And it kind of reminds you of what is really important in our lives. So, I would like you just to keep your thoughts and prayers for the Jacques family. And, god bless, thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you Alder.

- I have one more, I'm sorry. St. Paul's United Methodist Church on Wilson and Division has a little free pantry on the north side of the building. Supposedly it's the first of its kind in Brown County. It is filled with non perishable items and available to anyone who needs it. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you for that. Alder from the fourth.

- Thank you your honor. This past weekend, we had another flooding incident in our community. I want to thank all those from the city that were involved. Obviously wasn't as bad as the one last month or the month before. But it got close. It obviously ruined the full marathon, but it also affected residents again down along the East River. Because I was given information in a timely fashion from DPW I was able to share that with residents, who shared it with other residents. And then I really want to give a shoutout to the mayor's chief of staff, Celestine Jeffreys, who kept me informed by e-mail all throughout the night, with updates that I was able to pass on to those residents, and a few of them got back to me and they were very thankful, even though they were still very worried about their properties and that. It just made them feel good to know that the city cared and was interacting with them to keep them apprised of the situation, so thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you Alder. And alder from the ninth.

- Thank you mayor. On an upbeat note, I just learned that it is Alder Vanderleest's birthday today.

- [Council] Oh!

- And he is celebrating his 70th birthday, a tremendous milestone, so I just wanted to recognize and say happy birthday to Alder Vanderleest.

- [Eric] No singing? No singing?

- No signing. Not led by me.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. Any other announcements? Okay. Onto recognitions and awards. Chief Smith I think has a recognition here.

- Thank you mister mayor, just wanted to take a moment today to recognize a real all star in policing here in Green Bay. And that is Sergeant Mike Knetzger. He's been nominated and been awarded from the American Police Hall of Fame and Museum which is in Florida, of the prestigious J. Edgar Hoover memorial award. Now this is an award that was started in 1985, and so far only across the country since then, only 237 people have been awarded this memorial award for J. Edgar Hoover. Only 12 from Wisconsin, so, Sergeant Knetz will be the 13th person from Wisconsin to get this award since

Minutes of the Common Council

its inception, 1985. And just so you know this is, this award is issued to law enforcement officers who successfully completed additional training, and recognizes officers who continue to seek a lifetime of education and advancement. And that's really what Mike Knetzger's all about. I've got about half of his bio here, and we don't have time go through it all. But it reads like a chief's dream of an employee. 27 years of law enforcement experience, few of training supervisor, 10 year SWAT veteran. Teacher, an adjunct faculty member at Northeast Wisconsin Technical College for 18 years. Training unit instructor. Four time, four book author. Just a fantastic guy. And one of the things that many of you know about the Knetzger family is the terrible tragedy that they had because of a drunk driver, the murder that occurred of their daughter several years ago would cause many people to just give up, quit their job, and just spend the rest of their life in sorrow. Obviously, Mike didn't do that. He used that as an opportunity to really move on, and to use that as an educational tool, which he's done thousands and thousands of times since then. I've seen his presentation several times. It's completely heartbreaking, gut wrenching, but very impactful, especially on the young people. We gave a sergeant's exam, and the big joke was who's gonna be number one, of course it was Mike Knetzger, number one. And he's wearing the number one badge, because he's the first sergeant we made in Green Bay more than 20 years. But I just wanted to take a moment today and recognize him, congratulate him publicly for that fantastic award, well deserved.

- Say a few words?

- Thank you. Thank you. First of all mister mayor, I'd like to request a shorter podium, for these presentations.

- [Eric] We can do that.

- So I will keep it brief, which is very difficult for me sometimes, but, I first just want to publicly recognize the American Police Hall of Fame and Museum in Titusville, Florida. I've driven by the museum sign multiple times, and I never realized the magnitude of what they do for law enforcement, until I was notified of this award. So I will be sure to visit there next time. And I would encourage you to as well. But this award doesn't happen without an amazing support system that I have at home. Not only from my very patient wife, who I mentioned yesterday but I'll say yet again, that she will not let me get any more degrees. She's made that very clear. But I've also had an amazing surviving son who has become a police officer, and a daughter who I think could be a police officer, but she just wants to get married and have babies. But I also have an amazing set of colleagues. Colleagues and mentors who have shared knowledge with me. And I've always believed in passing on the knowledge to make the next generation better. So I will do everything I can to continue doing what I'm doing, and making a positive impact on the law enforcement community, not only here in Green Bay but across the country. I also want to thank the Green Bay police department and the city of Green Bay. As a member of the training unit, I am able to get access to a lot of training that I am then able to pass onto others. I've also taken advantage of the educational reimbursement programs that are offered through the police department. The city paid for a significant portion of my master's degree, and even though my doctoral degree is funded in most part by a grant, there was a small amount that I had to pay out of pocket that was also reimbursed, so I can't be more thankful for that. And also for Chief Smith and the administration for encouraging this level of recognition. It was Lieutenant Jeff Engelbrecht that nominated me for the award, and I think it's very important that we recognize police officers more each and every day, not only for the risks that they take but all of our public safety members, our firefighters, our EMS workers, and the like, and as you just heard earlier in this session today, we've reflected back on the loss that happened in Appleton, so it's all too very very real. So I

thank all my fellow protectors out there including EMS and fire I consider you all protectors, and I'll do everything I can to continue to make a difference in this community, and within the industry. So thank you very much, I appreciate it.

- Thank you sergeant, and congratulations again on the award. Appreciate everything you do for our community to keep us safe. Also have a recognition up here on the dais. And this person is so humble that I had to find out about this award by being tagged on Twitter by the League of Wisconsin Municipalities. Attorney Chavez, city attorney Vanessa Chavez recently was awarded the Rising Star Award from Wisconsin Women in Government. So congratulations. And in my short time here I've been super impressed with Vanessa's intellect and commitment to the city of Green Bay, so really appreciate everything you do. And now we are onto appointments.

- [Brian] Order for clarification, mayor.

- Yeah. Alder from the ninth.

- I just wanna be clear. Under P4, the planning commission, there was a recommendation to appoint an individual to the business improvement district. But it is not listed under appointments, and I just wanna clarify if it's necessary for it to be here as well.

- [Eric] Okay. Appreciate that. Director Vonck?

- I was not in attendance at that meeting, because I was at the flood meeting, so I'm not sure in terms of that being passed along. If it's time sensitive. I guess you could pull it up this evening. If not, I think let's just wait 'til July in terms of posting properly, if that's alright. June.

- [Eric] Alder from the ninth?

- I guess I can verify that it is not time sensitive, but just wanted to make sure that it's listed properly in the agenda. Thank you.

- [Eric] Noted. Thank you.

- [Woman] Do you want to read the name?

- Name of the appointment? So the new appointment in front of us, to the economic development authority, is John Calewartz, at 115 East Walnut, Green Bay, Wisconsin. Term to expire June 1st, 2022.

- [Brian] Motion to approve.

- [Veronica] Second.

- Motion by alder from the ninth, second by alder from the second, to confirm the appointment of John Kelwartz to the economic development authority. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Those opposed nay. The ayes have it. And that item has been approved. We are onto public hearings. So we have a public hearing on the resolution authorizing conditional use approval at 1004 Brett Favre pass. Is there anyone here who would like to speak to this specific item? Anyone here who would like to speak to this specific item? Anyone here who would like to speak to this specific item? Clerk, please let the record reflect that no one appeared to speak to this item. Under the report of the redevelopment authority, May 7th, 2019.

- [Randy] Motion to approve.

- [Brian] Second.

- Motion to approve made by alder from the seventh, seconded by... alder from the ninth, to approve of report K, which is the report of the redevelopment authority, from the meeting of May 7th, 2019. Are there any items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- [Councilman] Number one.

- Okay. No. You're good. This is the public hearing. I think we're doing that resolution. Okay. Okay, we're good. Okay, item one will be handled separately. Hearing no others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay? The ayes have it, and that report has been approved with the exception of item one. What are your wishes regarding item one?

- Approve!

- Second.

- Motion made to approve by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the first, to approve. All signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- [Andy] Discussion first.

- Alder from the third.

- Yeah I was just wondering if someone from city staff can explain this, for the record please.

- [Eric] Director Vonck?

- Sure. Thanks. Last year, RDA and council approved a professional services agreement with Stantec Consulting to do due diligence and in essence come up with a concept design plan for the shipyard development. At the time, it was requested that the service agreement not exceed \$800,000. We're well below that in terms of money that we've spent so far. But we are looking at Stantec had requested some change orders to look at some additional geotechnical testing on the site. We've

Minutes of the Common Council

done, we have reports of geotech on the site, however, based on what we're proposing to build, they'd like to conduct additional geotech testing. Thinking it's good practice to do some of that, it's a riverfront site, you know, it's a remediated site, that before we start building structures, we have very good knowledge of what soils on top we are building, as in, to prevent shifting, or movement in the future. So with that, we are requesting an additional \$200,000 be added to that contract. The change orders that were provided so far add about \$79,300 onto that contract. This provides a little bit of buffer room for additional testing that may be anticipated, as we continue on the project this summer. One of the things that we'll be looking at, there's a contract awarded, you'll see fill, starting to go out to both the shipyard site and the breakthrough site in the next few weeks. We'd like to do some additional testing as that goes on, just to make sure it's settling properly, before we start construction. I think doing some diligence now to understand the soils and what we're building will prevent hopefully errors later on, if the site does intend to settle.

- Appreciate it, thank you. This is off the subject. I'm trying to basically open up the PDFs, and I for some reason, it's not, so I could read some minutes here. I got blank. Can someone assist me? Please? I'll show you. Pardon? You're getting it too? Oh, okay, I thought I was the only one. Thank you. Go to anything. Go to any subject and try to open that up, I get blank. So I... Mayor, I appreciate the feedback, I'm done.

- Okay. Thank you alder. Seeing no other requests to speak, all in favor of the motion? Oh, okay. Yeah, not on the screen here, so apologize for that.

- The 800,000, was that bonded for last year? And where's the additional 200,000 coming from if we...

- So, right now, we bonded two million dollars, authorized two million dollars of expenditures last year, to deal with the consulting, and the purchases of real estate. From a cash flow perspective, we have not spent that, so we do not anticipate any additional borrowing would be needed. If we go above that threshold, part of that would come back for when we look to bond for the actual construction of the project, the infrastructure and other pieces. But right now we have funds sufficient to cover the expenses, so no additional borrowing will be necessary at this moment. And I'll just confirm with Director Ellen Becker. Yes. Sure.

- Thank you alder. Any other requests to speak? If not, all in favor of the motion to approve, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Those opposed, any.

- [Councilman] No.

- The ayes have it, the item has been approved. Onto resolutions.

- [Vanessa] You may under suspension of the rules, adopt resolutions one through seven together with one roll call vote.

- [Councilwoman] Second.

- Motion by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the 10th, to suspend the rules to take up these items with one roll call vote. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the rules are suspended. I'll entertain a motion to adopt resolutions one through seven.

- [Craig] Second.

- Motion made by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the fifth to adopt resolutions one through seven. Please use the board. And those pass unanimously. Onto item M, report of the improvement and services committee.

- [Randy] Motion to approve.

- May 14th, 2019. Motion made by alder from the seventh, seconded by alder from the eighth, to approve report M, which is a report of the improvement and services committee from the meeting on May 14th, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- [Councilman] Five and six. And B.

- 6B and C? Items five, 6B and 6C will be handled separately. Hearing no others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Those opposed nay. The ayes have it, and that report has been approved with the exception of items five, and 6B and 6C. What are your wishes regarding this items?

- [Barbara] Second.

- Motion made by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the first, to approve items five, 6B, and 6C. Motion's been made to take those items up separately. Motion made by alder from the ninth, second by alder from the 10th. Item has been pulled by alder from the ninth.

- So we're on item five right now? Okay. I'm just gonna maybe reiterate some comments I made at the committee level. About a year ago, probably not even that much, we made a decision not to meet as frequently during the summer months as a council. And call it a rookie mistake, but I think the realization that I'm coming to right now is that we are seeing both the improvement and services committee as well as protection policy committee looking to authorize staff to make decisions in absence of the committee. And so, I don't support that, not because I don't think our staff is qualified to make those decisions, but I feel that that is our responsibility as a governing body is to make those policy level decisions. When I look at the discussion at least related to improvement and services in particular, we have an item coming up here in 6C that I'm going to bring up, where we're gonna be asked to cast a vote on a two and a half million dollar contract, that staff has recommended, which, in

their professional opinion, is something we ought to move forward with. But my objection is that if we pass this policy, staff would award that contract, and as a city council, we have no ability at that point to go back and reverse that decision, because the contract's already been let. And so I think in the spirit of ensuring that we maintain our responsibility in oversight of city related business, I'm going to oppose this measure as I'm going to later with protection and policy committee as well.

- [Eric] Alder from the first?

- Thank you mayor. It's my understanding, 'cause we've had this summer schedule for years. That if there is a need for an additional meeting to be called, then an additional meeting is called. So it's not written in stone that there's only one. It does give opportunity for staff to take some vacation time during the summer, which I think is important. But there is opportunity to have a special meeting if one is needed. So I just wanted to point that out. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. Any further comments, on this item? Motion on item five?

- [Andy] Motion to approve.

- Second.

- [Eric] Motion made by alder from the third, second by alder from the first to approve. All in favor signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Aye.

- [Eric] Those opposed, nay?

- Nay.

- Nay.

- [Eric] The ayes have it. And item 6B? Alder from the 11th?

- Thank you mayor. My question is for Mr. Grenier? On this \$539,000, Steve, and 700 is that parking ramp, does that pretty much cash itself out, or what is the revenues on that ramp where you're doing the repairs right now? Director Grenier?

- We spend somewhere between 500 and \$650,000 a year on combined between the three municipally owned ramps, that's the Cherry Street ramp, the Pine Street ramp and the Main Street ramp. That's necessary because of the age of the ramp and deterioration to keep those ramps in functional shape so that they can continue to serve the patrons that occupy those on a daily basis, so, I guess I'm, I'm not sure exactly what your question is trying to tie the contract back, but what I can tell you is when we pass the budget in the fall, the parking budget is part of the overall city budget. The parking division is a special revenue fund, so they do not take taxpayer money. And they do cover their own costs.

- As well. Now does this actually go into the Cherry Street ramp, all these repairs?
- No. These repairs are split up amongst the Cherry Street ramp, which doesn't have as many, because it's not as old. The bulk of the work is done in a combination of the Pine Street and Main Street ramps. Okay.
- Thank you alder. Any further comments on item 6B?
- [Brian] Motion to approve. Motion made by alder from the ninth.
- [Andy] Second.
- Second by alder from the third, to approve. All in favor of approving item 6B will signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- All opposed, nay. The ayes have it. Item is approved. Onto item 6C, alder from the ninth.

- Mike, have we found a fix to open up these PDFs yet?

- [Mike] No, I gotta, also have...

- Okay, that's fine, I'm gonna maybe use round numbers here. So the reason I've pulled this item, and again I'm gonna reiterate the position I had at the INS committee. I'm not interested in renegeing on the city's commitment to do the Colburn pool project, I think we've been down that road before, and I think it's time that we commit to getting this project done. However my fundamental objection to this is I find it to be fiscally irresponsible for the city to approve a contract for two and a half million dollars. That's a half a million dollars over the engineering budget, without a competitive bid. And I think we need to, I mean, if we've got, I mean when we go back to the elevator discussion that occurred here, I don't know, a month or two ago, I opposed that project as well, because there we also had about a million dollar project without a competitive bid. And so I think we need to start having candid conversations about why it is we're not getting these bids, and I know Director Grenier has alluded to that somewhat at our INS meetings, I get it's a competitive marketplace right now. Contractors can cherry pick their projects. But I don't feel that we should move in an improper way that puts taxpayers at risk by overpaying for projects.
- [Eric] Any other speakers? Okay. Alder from the seventh?
- I appreciate the alder's concern, but I believe these have been put out. And I don't know what else we can do. Are we gonna hold these projects up again and again and again? I think we have to go forward. I appreciate wanting to look into seeing what we can do to get more competitive bids, but,

in the meantime, I don't think we can just keep sending out, I mean that, hey, the elevator was brought up, I mean that was bid out two or three times, if I remember, right? And we got what we got. I mean we can't just keep holding up these projects. And waiting for a competitive bidding that we'd like to see. So I appreciate the concern, but I just don't know what we can do about it. If we can come up with a solution for that, I'd be willing to hear and discuss that, but for now, I believe going forward, thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you alder, alder from the eighth?

- Thank your mister mayor. The seven year saga of Colburn pool, I think we all want to see it get done at this point. And I understand and appreciate, you know, Alder Johnson's concerns in that we don't like, one bid on projects, that's not good for anybody. I think what's happening with this one, this is the third time around at least, I might even be missing, it might be fourth or fifth, I don't know. I think you're seeing bidder fatigue, and distrust. I think we actually a couple years ago awarded a contract, and we celebrated and were ready to build a new pool, and then the only veto that happened in eight years happened with Colburn pool, so, here we find ourself now, we have 3.6 million dollars set aside. It's sitting there in an account for this project. So if this is 2.5, even with some of the engineering costs, we're still under the amount we set aside, so we don't have to go out and get any more money for it. So I appreciate it and I think this is a special case in that bidders are a little bit hesitant on this particular project, and I think we're, let's get this done, it'll be remodeled, and it's gonna last another 20, 30 years, and it's gonna look great. So, appreciate your support, thanks.

- Thank you alder. Any further comments? Entertain a motion on item 6C.

- [Councilwoman] We already did the motion. You already did the motion? Okay.

- Yeah, at the beginning.

- I'm new. Thanks alder, appreciate it. All in favor of the motion, signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- All opposed, nay.

- [Randy] Nay.

- The ayes have it. Under report of the protection and policy committee, May 13th, 2019.

- [Randy] Motion to approve.

- Motion made by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the ninth to approve report N, which is a report of the protection and policy committee from the meeting on May 13th, 2019. Just a note, item nine will be taken up as part of the committee as a whole. What are your wishes regarding this report, other than that item?

- [Councilman] I 4.

- [Councilman] One.
- Alright. Items one and 14 will be handled separately. Hearing no others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- Those opposed, nay.
- [Andy] I want to abstain on the whole report, I can't open anything up. Not voting on... Can't open anything up, and we don't have a hard copy.
- Sure.
- [Woman] They do have a hard copy. For everyone.
- Okay. Any other alders need hard copies?
- [Woman] So I have it here.
- [Andy] If it was mailed to me, I could have done it over the weekend. So I'm abstaining here.
- Okay. Alder's abstention has been noted. So we are holding items one and 14 separately. Motion made to approve. Alright. Yeah.
- No I think alder...
- [Woman] Scan all in.
- I already said that. Alright. thank you.
- [Woman] Just do it again, 'cause I...
- Alright, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- Those opposed nay. The ayes have it. And the report has been.
- [Andy] We just approved. But I want to be abstained. Thank you.
- Okay, what are your wishes regarding items one and 14?
- [Randy] Motion to approve.
- Motion made to approve by alder from the seventh. Approve item one. Okay. Made by, motion made by alder from the seventh, seconded by alder from the eighth, on item number one. Alder from the ninth, you have the floor.

- [Woman] I think Nicholson.
- I'm okay on one.
- [Eric] Oh, you're good on one? Alder from the third.
- Thank you, can anybody from the city explain it? Just give me the gist, please.
- [Eric] Alder from the seventh.
- Okay. This just codifies what is already being done in practice. Judges have always had the ability to use community service as part of the penal code.
- [Andy] Got it, alright.
- So, we're just codifying.
- [Andy] Perfect, thank you.
- Very good, thank you. Any other speakers on that item? Okay. Okay. Alder from the ninth? On item... 13? Motion to approve? Alright. Seeing no other requests to speak, all in favor of motion to approve on item one, please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- All opposed, signify by saying nay. The ayes have it, the item has been approved. Alright, item, or alder from the ninth on item 14.
- My objection to this is just to remain consistent with my position on the last permanent services agenda item related to responsibility, this should fall under the oversight of council.
- [Eric] Alder from the seventh.
- I would just like to point out there is a difference in that this is not staff, but the committee, that isn't, so it is four members of this body that will be. So it is a little different from that. Oh okay, right thank you.
- [Eric] Alder from the fourth?
- Thank you mister mayor. I guess I would ask, why can't the committee approve and then forward it council? We're still meeting once a month, during the summer. I don't know why we would give final approval at the committee level when the committee is supposed to be meeting before the council each month.
- [Eric] Attorney Chavez?

- This only applies in instances where it's time sensitive, so a number of times we have people who are trying to get jobs, and so we're holding up their server licenses, or if they're having outdoor events, a lot of times the event will occur. It's not the actual application for the liquor license itself, it's usually something that's time sensitive like an outdoor event.
- [Bill] Thank you.
- You're welcome.
- Any other speakers? Seeing no other request to speak.
- [Andy] Mayor.
- Alder from the third.
- Thank you. Will the alderperson be notified if there is an outdoor event? The only reason why, correct. 'Cause I represent, I believe over 27 establishments that serve liquor and beer. I'd like to be aware of it.
- You can amend it to include that they would be notified. That the alder would be notified for the district.
- [Andy] That would be my amendment, mayor.
- [Randy] Second.
- [Andy] Thank you.
- Motion made by alder from the third, second by alder from the seventh, to amend item 14 to notify the alder. All in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- All opposed, nay. The ayes have it, item has been approved. Report of the protection and policy committee granting operator licenses.
- [Randy] Motion to approve.
- [Barbara] Second.
- Motion made by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the first to approve report O, which is the report of the...
- [Councilman] We voted on the amendment, the initial vote on the amendment.
- Good point alder. So, amendment as been approved to item 14. The report of the protection and policy committee, entertain a motion to approve item.

- [Councilman] Motion to approve. That's already...
- Oh, got it. Alright, all in favor of the item, signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- All opposed, nay?
- [Councilman] Nay.
- The ayes have it.
- [Councilman] So question mayor, we will be notified if there's some type of activity? Thank you.
- Yeah, you bet. Alright, we have a motion by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the first to approve report O which is the report of the protection and policy committee granting operator licenses. Any names for which you'd like to be recorded as abstaining? Alright.
- [Andy] Trying to request. I wanna be abstain on both of these reports.
- That's noted, alder, thank you.
- [Andy] Thank you.
- Any names on this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of the report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the report has been approved, noting the abstention of the alder from the third. We are onto the report of the plan commission, May 13th, 2019.
- [Randy] Motion to approve.
- [Brian] Second.
- Motion to approve made by alder from the second, second by alder from the ninth, to approve report P, which is the report of the plan commission from the meeting on May 13th, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?
- [Councilman] Number one.
- Item one will be handled separately. Hearing no others, all in favor...

- To improve that. Okay. If they want to talk about it, or they give them a chance whether we do or not. It's one. And then this is two. Two and three. Just that we do have some requests to speak on these items.
- Okay. We do have some requests to speak on these items.
- [Barbara] Motion to open the floor.
- [Andy] Second.
- Motion made to open the floor by alder from the first, second by alder from the third. Not yet. Alright, all in favor of approving the remainder of the report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- Those opposed, nay?
- [Councilman] Where's the report?
- [Woman] Somebody wants to talk on two and three.
- The ayes have it, and the report has been approved, with the exception of item one.
- [Brian] Motion to approve.
- Motion made by alder from the ninth, second by alder from the seventh, to approve. Item has been pulled by alder from the 10th, you have the floor.
- Thank you your honor. At this time, I would like to open the floor for interested parties.
- [Eric] Motion made to open the floor to interested parties, made by alder from the 10th, second by alder from the ninth. All in favor of the motion will signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- [Eric] Those opposed nay. The ayes have it, the floor is open.
- Anybody wanna... Name and address.
- [Eric] Thank you sir, please state your name and address.
- Yep. Steve Srubas from Berners-Schober, 310 Pine Street, I'm a part of the design team for the medical office building development going into what'll be the intersection of Shauno and Taylor Avenue, it was a planned urban development from 2007, just looking to amend it to include the corner where the gas station used to be, kind of right by the roundabout there, it's a simple boundary

change to include the entire site for a development of what'll be a forced rebuilding. We'll submit the site plan review thereafter. Thanks.

- Thank you. Anybody else? Motion made by alder from the 10th, second by alder from the fifth, to close the floor. All in favor of said motion, signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- All opposed nay. The ayes have it. Alder from the 10th.

- Thank you, your honor. This project is in district 10. I just want to go on record as saying that I'm hoping that purveyor clinic and the neighbors, especially that one on Gary Lane will work together to make this project work. There was a lot of consternation with the neighbors, as far as lighting and drainage, and height of the building and the fact that it's pretty close. It's not as close to Taylor and Shauno as it could be. Granted, this happened in 2007 when they had this overlay ordinance come into play. Some of the citizens weren't aware of it, they told me. So with that being said, I feel that Prevea, they gave a good presentation at the hospital with the neighbors. I think they did, and the partners that worked with them gave a very good presentation. I feel that they will be good neighbors. And I'm just asking, the fact of the matter is, with the overlays on, they could have built a 10 story building if they'd like, so it's four stories. So with that being said, I'm still concerned about the citizens. It's a very busy intersection. You've got one of the busiest gas stations in the county, right there on Taylor and Shauno. You've got Myers on one area, you've got Fleet Farm. It's very very busy. So that is a concern too, as far as traffic and that. So what I'm saying is that I'm looking, that Prevea and the neighbors work together as best as possible and I feel that Prevea will do that. That's all I have. What? Do you want to say something? Okay.

- [Eric] Okay, seeing no other requests to speak.

- Want to let the alder... go ahead.

- [Eric] Alder from the eighth.

- Thank you mister mayor. I do have a question, for Director Vonck, will we as a council be seeing this come back at some point, or are we sending it off and just hoping for the best?

- [Eric] Director Vonck.

- Chair. So the site plan that was presented in here is pretty close to what we feel will be submitted. As far as what's before you tonight, the planned unit development amendment, this is the report, the first reading goes on tonight, the second and final reading to codify this will come back to this council in June. Once that is approved, the site plan will be handled administratively. And so long as it complies with the PUD, it will not come back to, the site plan itself will not come back to this body.

- [Eric] Okay.

- [Councilman] So I'll defer the alder of the district, if they feel it's...

- I'll just say one more thing.

- [Eric] Alder from the 10th.

- [Mark] Well, like I said, part of me was, thank you, your honor. Part of me was going to fight this. And I'm all about economic development and tax space and all that with the city. And just felt at the time, that it could have been handled a little bit better. We've had situations in the past where we've had developments, where some of the neighbors weren't aware of it. And we can talk about a few of those. I just want to avoid those in the future. We really need as a city to be open with dialogue and communication, and let the neighbors know. I mean the neighbors sometimes feel like the world's against them, and, I'm speaking on behalf of the neighbors on this. Part of me wants to not approve this in my mind. I feel like this is going through. I just wanted to state for the record that I'm looking for Prevea and the neighbors to work together. I feel in good consciousness they will, so with that I will probably approve. Thank you.

- Thank you alder. Seeing no other requests to speak, all in favor of the motion to approve, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, and the item has been approved. Just wanted to make a note that there are a couple folks who are wishing to speak. It looks like on items two and three, of the report of the planning commission.

- So we re-open that? Did we vote on that? Did we okay the rest of the report?

- [Chris] To reconsider two and three.

- Yes.

- [Brian] Second.

- [Eric] Motion made to reconsider items two and three by alder from the eighth, second by alder from the ninth. All in favor, signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Aye.

- [Eric] All opposed, nay. The ayes have it.

- [Brian] Open the floor.

- [Eric] Motion made to open the floor by alder from the ninth, second by alder from the seventh. To open the floor, all in favor, signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Aye.

- [Eric] All opposed, nay, the ayes have it. Floor is open.

- Turn it on.

- So we have a Kim Martin, 1140 West Mason Street. CUP.

- [Kim] For the, it's for the... Concern was, we considered commercial rights. Saying that we had permission. Can you hear me?

- [Eric] Sorry about that.

- The other concern I had was with parking. And I know when I bought my building 30 years ago, we were grandfathered in with parking. My building is just under 2000 square feet and I have six parking spots. I was told I was okay because it was grandfathered in. But if we made any changes or improvements we would have to have extra parking. And I looked it up on chapter 13 in the zoning ordinance on page 205, it says, that for retail space you need to have one space per every 250 square feet. For general office, you need to have one parking space per every 300 square feet. Our main concern is just that you keep into account that there's limited parking there already. And I don't know if they have that map that they had before. When we went to the other meeting, there was some kind of map. Okay. Well, on the map it showed that there is parking here, but the zoning that they're asking to change, and to add on to, is gonna be taking parking spaces away from here, but he was figuring they have parking here, but he doesn't own that. The printers up front own the parking spot back here. And the guy that bought this is looking at adding on building here and losing half of his parking. So it's kind of a concern to us as to how the parking matter will, will be handled. And like I said I know when you add on, you have to bring things up to code, and we're losing parking spaces, and he's adding on more building. And more, he'll have employees. Thank you.

- [Eric] And we also have a Jerome James.

- Good evening council, mister mayor. I'm the owner of 1136 West Mason, and I just kind of wanted to identify myself for the evening and also let us know that kind of this motion, I think, is more for the conditional use permit itself. The building permit is still under review for the site plan. I think these matters have more to do with the site review committee. That's all.

- [Eric] Thank you. Entertain a motion to close the floor.

- Motion.

- Second.

- Made by alder from the 10, second by alder from the fifth. All in favor of the motion, signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- [Eric] All opposed nay. The ayes have it. And what are your wishes regarding item two? Be reconsidered.

- [Councilman] Call to reconsider.
- Motion by alder from the first, second by... From the second. Second by alder from the first. To approve. All in favor of approving that item, please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- [Eric] Those opposed, nay. And with regard to item three.
- Motion.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion to approve, made by alder from the second, second by alder from the first to approve item three. Any further discussion? Alder from the eighth?
- Thank you mister mayor. It actually has to do more with the meeting as a whole, I'm wondering if Director Ronnick is gonna be able to fix the attachments, I mean that was a good example. All of us look at the agendas coming up, but, when a specific one comes up, we want to look at it, right now we're all flying blind. And so I don't know know much more we have coming up. I don't know if Director Ronnick needed five, 10 minutes to fix this.
- [Ronnick] Since the...
- Well I mean if it doesn't affect us moving forward, that's fine, but if something comes up we all need to view, maybe we could take a break. That's all I had, thanks.
- [Eric] Okay. Any other speakers? Alder from the third.
- Thank you mayor. Basically I can't pull it up. For the computer, so what is going there? Can someone just get us the gist, who represents this area? I'd like to hear from the alder.
- [Eric] Director Vonck?
- Chair. This is for a limited restaurant and pizza production facility. The reason we're looking at amending this here is to allow for limited production. Basically, if it's under 10,000 square feet of production, and there's no negative impact on surrounding properties. No noise, smoke or vibration, any of those types of things. So the production is very limited in scale. And that is why we are recommending this change and the CUP process.
- [Andy] Thank you.
- Sure.
- [Andy] I'm good, mayor.

- Thank you alder. Seeing no other requests to speak, all in favor of the motion to approve item three, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Those opposed nay. The ayes have it, the item has been approved. Under the report of the park committee, May 14, 2019. Item...

- Motion to approve.

- Been a motion made to approve by alder from the eighth.

- [Veronica] Second.

- Second by alder from the second to approve report Q, which is the report of the park committee from the meeting on May 14th, 2019. Just a note, item four will be taken up after item U. Any other items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- [Brian] I want to be recorded as abstaining on item number three.

- Alder from the ninth, requests to be recorded as abstaining on item three? Item three.

- [Brian] Yes.

- Very good. Alder from the 10th?

- Thank you your honor. So if we cannot pull this item until later, correct? In terms of speakers and such?

- [Eric] Yes.

- Okay. Alright. That's all.

- [Eric] Okay. Alder from the 11th. Apologies.

- Just gonna pull a number four, to speak on number four, I think he already pulled it, so that's fine.

- [Eric] Okay. Very good. Thank you alder. Said we can handle it separately. Alright. Okay. Alright, hearing no other requests to handle items separately, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the report has been approved. Report of the personnel committee, May 14th, 2019. What are your wishes regarding this report? Motion to approve made by alder from the first, second by alder from the second to approve report R, which is the report of the personnel committee from meeting on May 14th, 2019. Any other items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- Three.
- Three.
- Three and four, will be handled separately. I know.
- [Woman] Hold the floor. Hold hearing. So then...
- Hearing no others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- All opposed, nay. The ayes have it and the report has been approved.
- [Andy] I want to abstain, I can't pull up one and two. Please.
- [Woman] Abstaining from the whole thing?
- [Andy] Explanations. Mayor one, two... I want to abstain.
- Alder from the third wishes to abstain on items one and two. Open it now.
- [Woman] Get it on the floor. If you want to do and then ask for...
- On three and four. And what are your wishes regarding items three and four? Item three, separately.
- [Barbara] Motion to approve.
- Motion made to approve by alder from the first, second by alder from the second. To approve, the item was pulled by alder from the first, you have the floor.
- Thank you. So I have some things to say about this, but I would like to hear from the public first. Because I believe there are people here that have been waiting to talk about this item. This item is to approve as amended at committee, the amendment of the city of Green Bay personnel policy, chapter nine, hours of work and fringe benefits, to include the policy regarding a volunteer time off program. So at this time I'd like to make a motion to open the floor.
- Second.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by alder from the first, second by alder from the fifth to open the floor, all in favor, signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.

- Aye.

- [Eric] All opposed, nay. The floor is open. We have a Cora L. Tofterheim, who would like to speak. And please state your address as well.

- 39CC2, West Ave Court, Green Bay Wisconsin. First of all I want to commend the personnel committee for coming forthright in believing in the community needs to upgrade itself and really put itself on the map. We talk about doing a lot of events. We talk about what a wonderful downtown we have. We talk about our bike paths, we're proud of it. I think it's time we realized that our employees, all organizations realize, employees are an investment in your future. It takes two to three times more to train an employee once you lose one. Employees are an investment in every organization's future. The government needs to start thinking like that, in my mind, and as a taxpayer, I commend you for this, bringing this forward. I've also done some research and there are two cities that currently, and I happen to have a friend in one of the towns and I spoke to them, but it's really in, I would ask this committee to do some research on what happens to a town, Morrisville, North Carolina, and also in Colorado, Aurora, Colorado. Do some research on what's happened to those government entities when they have offered volunteers to go out and their staff to go out. See what that does. We talk about bringing millennials into, living here, we want to put studio apartments up, we want to give them bike paths, we want to give them concerts. What do we need to value their employees here? I would bet that the HR person in this organization, the city of Green Bay, is busy. Most HR people are because hiring and keeping employees, retention is a tough world out there. When I was 16 it was \$1.50 an hour, figure it out now, I think it's \$12, \$9, as a minimum wage. We need to retain our employees. Secondly, I happen to have a double purpose for being here. Volunteers are the backbone of my organization. I work for Habitat for Humanity. But I also know and I stand, I don't stand alongside of them, but I know many organizations that volunteers are the backbone of our organization. Last year we were fortunate to have 58,000 hours donated by volunteers. That's how we're able to do what we do. But I ask you to go look around the corners. Look around the back streets. There's people that aren't eating. There's people that don't know how to speak English. There's mothers that are battered. Those are all run by non profits. Non profits exist by volunteer time. So again, I applaud this organization for taking the fortitude and the time, and the commitment, to think forward, to think forward and not go backwards. And again, that's my opinion. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you for your testimony. We also have Katie Hess, 630 Brookridge Street.

- Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you.

- So I'm gonna start off by going a little bit through benefits of volunteering. Most of you probably are volunteers, have volunteered, so I'll make this brief. But most of you know that volunteering makes you happier. It decreases stress. It decreases anxiety. It gives you individual fulfillment. It helps you advance your career. It reduces the risk of depression. It also decreases obesity. And it also decreases the risk of disease. Corporate giving strategies are some things that companies do. Maybe they're started them already, or they're in the process of developing them. And why did they do that? Because it helps their bottom line. More engaged employees make for more profits. Companies with highly engaged employees have three times the operating margin, and four times the earnings per

share, of companies with low engagement. 64% of executives surveyed say that corporate citizenship produces tangible contribution to the company's bottom line. In the US, we spend 160 billion dollars annually on employee training and education. And many leaders believe that these programs do not bring lasting change. By the way, if anybody wants the sources for any of this information, I can provide that to you. And yet the average employee turnover rate of all US industries averages 15.1%. It costs employers 33% of a worker's annual salary to hire a replacement if that worker leaves. The replacement cost is \$15,000 per person, for an employee earning a median salary of \$45,000 a year. Employees most committed to their organization through volunteer programs, put in 57% more effort in the job, and are 87% less likely to resign. Teams with high employee engagement rates are 21% more productive, and have 28% less internal theft. Organizations with highly engaged employees had an average three year revenue growth 2.3 times greater than companies whose employees were only engaged at an average level. Over 50% of millennial employees that volunteer are very loyal toward their company. Proud to work there, satisfied with their employer, and likely to recommend their company to a friend. Millennial employees who participate in a company's volunteer program are more than twice as likely to rate their work culture as very positive, as compared to those who don't volunteer. 91% of Fortune 500 HR managers said that volunteering knowledge and expertise to a non profit can be an effective way to cultivate critical business and leadership skills, such as project management, communication, goal setting, and evaluation. These soft skills can make all the difference in cultivating leadership and planning for future success. Volunteering creates more engaged and fulfilled employees, which means businesses are more likely to retain their talent as well. At the federal level, the Fair Labor Standards Act doesn't mandate that companies pay their staffers for time not spent working, but they do. Because the employees return better prepared to work. It took a long time for us to get to this point, where we allow people time off, not working. Because we understand the benefits of it. And taking a vacation is different than volunteering. They're not the same thing. And many of you know this. You volunteer for certain reasons. Vacations take you away. And volunteering embeds you in the community. Our city employees deserve the chance to be the best that they can be. Volunteer programs also help companies build trust with their customers, or in our case, the citizens of Green Bay. And respect the city's efforts to improve the community. And 40% of a company's reputation is determined by volunteering and corporate social responsibility. I love this city, and it deserves a great reputation. With that, I recommend the council defer this proposal to the personnel committee to further discuss details with those most appropriate.

- [Eric] Thanks for your testimony. Next we have Dave Boyce from 123 South Quincy Street.

- Good evening. My name is Dave Boyce, 123 South Quincy, Green Bay. I came to deliberately allow myself to be influenced by the people that came to speak on this particular subject. In a nutshell, I'm worried about the potential for abuse insofar as volunteering to promote political candidates. I'd like to see if there could be a specific exclusion so that it wouldn't just simply send out a lot of people to support the 2020 election or something like that. I'd like to see some real bonafide situations in which there's the one to one that this community so desperately needs. You know everybody's in their own little, little... pocket in the pool table of life, you know? So, if it can be done in such a way that we could guarantee that there wouldn't be that kind of abuse, you know, I would support that. Thank you.

- [Eric] Very good. Thanks for your testimony. Next we have Bronson Smith, 1525 Smith Street.

- Bronson Smith, 1525 Smith Street, Green Bay. Volunteering is generally considered an altruistic activity, where the individual or group provides services for no financial or social gain to benefit

another person, group, or organization. The mayor and a group of aldermen, and others, want all city employees to have the ability to volunteer, quote unquote, up to three days each year, while receiving full pay for their volunteered hours. The city employees that are pushing this call it a benefit to the city employees at large. But where is the benefit to the taxpaying city residents that are not asked to volunteer their tax dollars on an annual basis? No, they are forced by law to pay these taxes. They are required that, are required to fund the city, and pay these many individual salaries. Simple math shows us that if all city employees take part in this proposed program, that gives us approximately 12,000 accumulative hours, multiplied by, let's say, a lowballed wage of \$15 an hour, you get approximately \$180,000 of tax dollars being paid out to employees that are volunteering at some non profit, and not working for the city residents, but the real number is likely well over \$200,000, since salaries are probably well over 15 bucks an hour. If city employees want to volunteer, I applaud them. That's awesome. But volunteering should be on their own time, and on their own dime, not the Green Pay taxpayer's dime, nor should the Green Bay taxpayers be forced to fund this fake volunteerism. I'm sorry. That warm fuzzy feeling that true volunteers get for helping out worthy organizations should not be paid for by the overtaxed residents of Green Bay. I have a better idea, and a much better way to use those 12,000 potential hours and 180,000-ish tax dollars. Give those employees that don't have enough work orange vests, and shovel, and have them fill potholes with warm asphalt. Basically every road in Green Bay has countless potholes that taxpayers' cars are being damaged by driving over these potholes, costing taxpayers hundreds of dollars in repairs. In closing, this is a massive irresponsible waste of local tax dollars. Maybe the city needs to tax the people of Green Bay \$200,000-ish a year less, since many in Green Bay think we have extra funds laying around to pay city employees to not do the jobs they were hired for. I say no in all caps to this proposal. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks for your testimony. And finally we have Tony Erbar.

- Sorry I'm wearing flip flops, I came right from work. No disrespect. Just as I was listening to that last opinion, I did the math in my head, and if we have 100,000 people in Green Bay, and it's \$180,000, that's two dollars a person. I just think we can afford to pay two dollars to help some of these amazing non profits that came here to talk in front of you. So my name's Tony Erbar, I own American Tent and Sidewall which is over on 929 Cedar Street. I'm 100% in support of this. And if and when you guys pass this, I will institute it at my company as well, for all 30 of my employees. So whatever you agree to do, I'll do it myself. But I ask you to refer to committee. I had a conversation with alder Johnson today. With Alder Dorff. And after hearing that maybe this isn't a fully baked plan at this point, and we haven't heard from all parties, I think it's important that everyone, not everyone, 'cause you can't bring all 100,000 people to the table, but, enough people are brought to the table, the important people, to talk about how to implement this important issue. So on the surface, this is an amazing opportunity. It allows city employees to engage in something they are passionate about, all for the betterment of the city. In my definition, and everyone is allowed their own definition of what local government should do, is to provide basic services, safety, and bettering our community. And I think this proposal would check box number three in spades. Additionally as a business owner I fully appreciate the effect that a program like this can have on enriching culture, more morale, and engagement of employees. For those who are familiar with Bob Chapman, he's the CEO and owner of Barry Waymiller, which owns PCMC here in town. He wrote a book, it's called Everyone Matters. It's one of my favorite books. And he argues that given the fact that employees spend 40 plus hours per week at work, we, as employers, have the biggest impact on the happiness, livelihood, and conversely, stress, that employees feel. There's no surprise that heart attacks raise a good percent on Monday morning, and I'm sure if the firefighters were here they would validate that for me. So I

would argue that the city as an employer, like every other employer, and that that this program would do just that. It would engage, energize, and enrich the lives of their employees. And I see that was clearly the intent. However, if you can't vote yes today, I strongly urge you to refer, as I think additional discussion must occur, to ensure all voices are heard, evaluated, and that consensus can be reached. Thank you for the time.

- [Eric] Thanks for your testimony. And that is the last slip we have on that item. Motion made to close the floor by alder from the 10th, second by alder from the seventh. All in favor, signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- [Eric] All opposed, nay. The ayes have it. The floor is closed. Alder from the first.

- Thank you mayor. So I have few things that I'd like to say. First I want to reflect on Cora, brought, commended the personnel department, talking about our employees are an investment in our future. And Katie, talking about decreasing stress, reducing the risk of depression, this sort of volunteering embeds you in the community, I love that phrase, I wrote that down. Mr. Boyce, I agree with you, there should be some restrictions in this, definitely. That's one of the things I was thinking about. And Tony. Bettering our community, engaging, energizing, and enriching. So when I first heard about this policy, I was very, very supportive of it. I thought, what a wonderful thing, to give back to our community. Because our employees, serving our community, learning, right on the ground, hand in hand, arm in arm, building a home for habitat. That's a lot of work, by the way. I've been involved. It's not a vacation day. So I was very excited about this policy. I do not want to vote on this policy tonight, because, since that time, I have gotten more negative calls than on anything, up to and including the will tax, from people. I think because there's not a deep understanding of what the intent is. I think there are some changes that we could make in the policy, to make our community feel more accepting of this policy, I've got ideas that I've been writing down, I've been talking to people. So at this time, what I would like to do is make a motion to refer this back to the personnel committee and staff, to work on it, to rework the policy, and to do more thinking about this policy.

- Second.

- Second.

- [Eric] Second by alder from the seventh. Motion made by alder from the first to refer back to the committee. Second by alder from the seventh. We have a few additional speakers here. Alder from the 10th.

- Am I still on? Okay. Thank you your honor. As far as referral, I agree with that right now. I had a chance to talk to the mayor, and with Director Faulds, Joe, several times. And at first blush, there are some good things with this, but, the big pushback that I got from citizens, I sent out maybe 30 emails to different citizens in my district. 14 voted for it, 12 didn't. So it was about 50 50, but, I did have a negative pushback on that as well, and I think the big thing is the fact that, you know, we are a public entity. As opposed to private, and think the fact is is that, you know, a lot of folks look at it that, you know, it's hard to separate that out. We are, taxes are being paid. But I think there are more things that we need to talk about with this policy, so, I do go for a referral, and that's all I have.

- [Eric] Thank you alder, just to note that the attachments should be working for council.

- [Councilman] Oh, thank you.

- [Eric] Let me just give that a shot. And alder from the seventh.

- Thank you your honor, I'll also support referral. I think, talking with many in the community, and doing an interview even, I think there is some misunderstanding and confusion out there, this is kind of a unique benefit, and I think if we could rebrand it, and get out some better information on it, I think we'd be doing ourselves and our community a service. I would just like to add that the purpose of this benefit is to attract and retain youthful workers. Millennials. So, I would caution us, there might be some tweaks we can do, but let's keep in mind what is being done out there, and we don't wanna tweak this to where it is no longer effective. Then we haven't accomplished anything. The purpose of the benefit is to attract and retain millennials. So let's keep that in mind, and, I think, next month we can come back, and we can rock and roll with it. So, thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. Alder from the ninth.

- Thank you mayor. I'm gonna try to speak to the referral specifically rather than on the policy itself, and specifically I want to call out a few things that I hope that the committee does consider, and I will support referral, but again, I would hope that the committee would consider these things. And so the first thing that I would point out is that I feel like we failed to lay out an argument about what problem it is we're trying to fix. And so I think a lot of things have been tossed out that suggest that this helps employee morale. It might help us attract more qualified candidates, but what I would like to understand more accurately, is the culture within city hall, you know, at a level right now that we need some improvement. And are we having difficulty tracking, recruiting talent? And not exactly sure how you would articulate that, but I think it's a strong argument that needs to be made. I would follow that up with, it seems to me then that we're potentially only offering one solution of a bigger problem. And so I would like to see the committee explore, if those in fact are the problems that we're trying to solve, what other alternative solutions do we have that can address that, and I think personally I would favor more widespread assessment, that helps us understand, again, what that problem is that we're trying to fix. I feel like, I mean data decisions will help more, promote more transparency for the public, which I feel is going to be something that is really critical to promote here, because government is not the same as the private sector. I understand the private sector is doing this, but we are not the same, and we have a different standard and litmus test that we need to meet. Some of the things that I would say that I'm not as concerned about and that is, the technical definitions of what volunteering are. I'm not as concerned about abuse of the program. Our city staff are adults, we need to treat them that way, if we treat them any other way then I expect, I would expect childish behavior in return. And so if we can't treat our staff as adults and that we trust them to adhere to this program as we do, their daily activities, I think we're in the wrong direction there. But ultimately we need to get to the heart of how does this benefit the taxpayer? And that really hasn't been talked about. I think it's implied, happier employees create a better workplace, but how can we better articulate that? And the last thing that I would point out, is I feel like there's been some discussion about how there's not a cost to this program, it's the easiest way for us to add a benefit that doesn't cost something, and while I understand that there's not a fiscal impact for this program, there is a cost, and it's an opportunity cost. Which is basically, you know, how money or other benefits are lost when pursuing a particular course of action, instead of a mutually exclusive alternative. So essentially what this boils down to, is really a discussion about, is this the way that we

want to redistribute our resources? And I think that is the more appropriate discussion on this topic. Council reserves that right to say, yeah, I mean, \$180,000 was thrown out, I don't know what that exact number is. But that to me is at the heart of this discussion. Not only the redistribution of resources and can we better return on that investment, by having happier employees, so, again, I would encourage the committee to really evaluate those things before you bring it back here. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks alder, alder from the 12th.

- Yes, thank you mayor. All great points from Alderman Johnson, I agree with many if not all of them. I guess I have one quick question for Director Faulds. I understand that this item is currently for non represented employees, so, at some point, I assume represented employees or union employees, at some point, was there any conversation or thought to the fact that as union contracts come due and bargaining begins, that these employees are also going to want this benefit, or expect it?

- [Eric] Director Faulds.

- Yeah there's been thought about that. And this is, the represented employees that are excluded in this policy would be police, fire, and transit. We do have some representative employees in DPW and parks. They're not included, to be excluded. And yes there has been thought about that a bargaining unit may want to bargain this into their contract and that has been thought about how we would negotiate back and forth, and that really gets down to what they're gonna negotiate for, what we're gonna give up, or excuse me, what they would give up to get this policy, so yes, that has been explored.

- Thank you, so, right off the bat, you know, we are segregating employees. Half are entitled to this benefit and the other half, potentially in the future. And it's going to really open the city up to some weak standing when we negotiate and bargain these types of things. The other thought that I had was currently, 500 employees at 24 hours per employee, that's 12,000 hours of labor that the city will be giving up for volunteering, and although very, very nice to think of our employees volunteering in the community, we are basically giving up 12,000 hours of our staff labor that quite frankly is very, very much needed within the city. And we've been, many of us went through the last budget and we know that our resources are very thin right now. You know, the staff hours are thin, we had to cut I believe 14 police officers. So I don't think we are in any position to give up basically six full time employees worth of labor and transfer those duties, or transfer the volunteer hours, if you will, to non profit or any other community organization, as much as that's a nice thought, so, I think the thought is well, and the intent is, okay, it's just that the amount of feedback I'm getting from constituents is very much overwhelmingly against, to the point that I don't recommend referral, I think we just need to kind of scrap the whole thing and repackage it and have the administration come forward with a new idea that really takes into account some of the things that each alder has been hearing from the public. The last thought I have. The term that we're trying to attract millennials. I'll be honest, that makes me uneasy, because it makes it seem like we're only trying to attract that certain group or that group in order to work for the city, that we gotta think outside the box. I would say that there are a lot of employees throughout the community that do not fit in the box of being a millennial. And if I hear that, if I'm one of those, in fact I don't think I'm a millennial, technically, so I don't like the thought that we're gearing all of our city, not all, but much of our city, you know, HR push towards attracting millennials, we have a lot of employees who work for the city that are not millennials, so, that just makes me a little uneasy, so, I'm against referral. I'm not against,

you know, repackaging, and reframing this, but I just think that there's so much that needs to be worked out on this, and I don't think referring back as is is the appropriate thing, I think Mayor Genrich, if you could, for me, you know, look at this a little more closely and get more community feedback before you move forward. So I'm against referral, thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks alder. Alder from the seventh.

- Thank you your honor, I'd just like to bring up a few points. I know this is going to committee so I don't wanna talk about this too much, but there were a few points I think should be brought up. This is part of an ongoing process for the city. The city has been losing workers. That was a history that we've dealt with for the past decade here, so, and a few years ago, we had Dettman do a survey. I mean a study. And they came back with, we need to up our salaries. And so, we adopted that. And that was one step for retaining employees and in encouraging the hiring of more, newer employees. So this is now just the next step, is what can we do with a benefits package? And when you look at benefits packages, or what we can do to help, there isn't much that is gonna be budget neutral. I mean there are, I would love to be able to offer a program where we help students tap down student debt, which many companies are doing. We can't do that, we can't, we've got no money for that. The only benefits we have that are budget neutral are sick days, personal days, vacation days. And those are all paid days. And those are days that are covered. You could say, you could add up those hours and say those are lost hours, and I disagree. There is no effect for the taxpayer, because staff works their schedule, so that services are still provided. And I think this is just one more thing where services will still be provided. There is no cost effect to the taxpayer. So, this is an ongoing thing of what we can do. This has been something we've been dealing with as a council for a while. How we can retain employees we have, how we can attract new employees. And your work force, generally, as you, I mean, we're aging. The people that we're gonna be hiring, in all practical purposes, are gonna be younger people. That's a demographic, that's the way things are working out. So that's what we have to look at, and that's what we have to prepare for. And I know this is a benefit that I'd never heard of before, I think it's rather innovative, but it seems to be working in some municipalities, and in business. So I think it's definitely worth us to look at. And I don't think that we need to do a lot of tweaking. I think we need to do a lot of getting the information out there, of just where this is coming from, and what it is we're doing, and how we're doing it. I think, kudos to Joe and everybody that worked on this, I think the policy itself is pretty well, pretty airtight. Like I said we might want to do a little tweaking about what non profits might be out of bounds or whatever. We can look at that. But in general, I think we got a good program here. I think this is something that current employees would also value. I think the estimate was looking at business and other municipalities. You get like 25% participation. And I would just also like to add, the reason fire, and transit, and those others are not included now is they already are under contract, we can't include them. They have their contract. And so it's up to them to decide if they want to be a part of this or not, but we could not include them now if we wanted to. They're under contract. So just wanted to clear the air a little bit on a few things and I don't want to like I said, go too much into this, 'cause it is gonna go, I think, I support going back, and there'll be more discussion there, so, thank you, your honor.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. Alder from the fourth.

- Thank you your honor. I approved this at the committee level, and I applaud the non profits. If we were to take away everything that they have provided this community, it would be a shell of itself, and we would be much worse off for it. And I like the idea of this, but at the same time, I have had a lot of blowback, I have had zero calls or comments or anything from anyone that's in favor of this.

Zero. Usually I get at least a mix, but I've had nothing. I've had people tell me that they volunteer for their offices, but they volunteer for free, no pay. And their staff go to a function all day long, and whatever they're volunteering at, they're not getting paid. And so they wonder why we're paying employees. But I agree with Alder Johnson. Are there other alternative programs out there besides this that we could be looking at, as part of a benefits package, and that's what this is. We've had a lot of good employees leave. A lot. And the police department I know for one is having a tough time recruiting. Would something or something like this be something that would attract them? I don't know, and that's why I would hope that staff would have some alternatives available for us. That they would have some statistics on how difficult it has been to attract employees, and what kind of employees, I mean is it just police, or is it across the board? We want people to come and live in Green Bay. We want them to be here, we want them to put down roots. We want their children to stay here. We want this to be a growing community and that has not been happening at a very good rate, it's been really, really low. Less than one percent I believe. And if we're gonna attract people here we have to have things in place to do that. And if this is one of them, I think it deserves to be looked at. And looked at in depth, and Mr. Erbar, I applaud you. That's a huge commitment for a private sector company to commit that amount of time of your employees. And whether this goes through or not, I kind of hope you still do it. But that's up to you.

- [Tony] I'll tell them I won't, but I probably will.

- Cora may just go bend your arm a little bit to get you to go through with that. Regardless, I think there's a lot of ground that needs to be plowed and worked over yet for this to be able to come back to council, and I'm in favor of referral, thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you alder, alder from the second.

- Thank you mayor. I'm gonna keep this short. I supported this when I first heard about it. I realize that it's a fairly new benefit, whether you're talking about private or public. I do support it going back to committee. Some of the people that did reach out did have concerns regarding the fact there weren't really any restrictions on places that could be volunteered. Workers could volunteer at, so, yep, I absolutely support it going back to committee and working on this a little bit more.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. Seeing no other requests to speak. Oh. Apologies. Alder from the 11th.

- Thank you mayor. In my district, I had mainly feedback of, they didn't support it. And I think some of the comments were that volunteering is volunteering, they don't get paid. And that was the main thrust of all the people that called me. Some people, you know, got on the phone kind of hot about it, as far as, you know, they thought it was, you know, just not the right thing to do, and they were trying to redefine volunteering, and they resented it, I think. That was the feedback I got from the people in my district that called me, and the other people as well, throughout the city. So, I can't support it at this time. That's my comment. Thank you.

- Thanks alder. Any other additional comments? Alder from the third.

- Just question, mayor, do you see my light on?

- No.

- It's not, okay. I do have it on.

- [Eric] Actually it just came on, yeah.

- Okay, thank you. I'm against referral. I am not gonna be turned into a used car salesman, and sugarcoat this. This is not the way to attract new individuals to our city. Maybe we should be looking at other issues, like crime. Public housing. That's bringing in problems to our city. And that's a fact. Back in the 90s, when I applied to be a policeman, we had thousands that would apply for Green Bay. Which probably over 1000 people. Maybe 1500. And they were hiring two or three. Now, what do we have? 100 people applying? 120? For six or seven jobs. Times have changed. You're gonna look at the media. The media is not helping society.

- [Eric] Lights on.

- Not at all. All they do, basically, the majority of their reporting, is bad news. It's a shame. But that's a different subject. We can't do much about the media, can we? Scannell states that all we have to do is tweak it. Let's tweak it tonight. Let's vote on it tonight. I guess, what are you scared of? I'm not, I'm ready to vote. But I'm not gonna try to sugarcoat this. I don't think that's right. I think it's dishonest. Maybe we should basically scratch it and start over. There has been a pushback. Huge, and that's why we want to refer it back. And we have people from the audience, and I don't know how they were invited, but, they got some connection here. When we're at first name basis. I don't believe in this. I think you should be looking at other things to help our city. What can we do to bring officers, more applicants, to our community? Maybe look at our inner city. What's causing the problems? You look at the engineering department years ago, we gave them a pay raise. We still can't keep them, I had an argument with one of the aldermans back in the day, who was on personnel, that if we give pay raises to our engineers, we're gonna keep them. Well we can't. So that doesn't work. Do you think this is gonna work, three days? Let's do it right, then. Let's give them a month off, with pay, to volunteer, if we really want to turn this city around. According to the information I've received tonight, mayor, but I don't think this works. I'm not gonna support it. Let's vote on it now. Thank you.

- Thanks alder. Motion on the floor is referral to committee. If there are no other requests to speak here. All in favor will signify by saying aye, or vote aye. Opposed will vote no, use the board. Referral. We have eight ayes, four nos. The motion is successful, and the item has been referred to committee. And what are your wishes regarding item four? Alder from the 11th.

- Thank you mayor. I guess my concern is the closing on Friday that there will be nobody in the offices on Friday afternoon. I think if we're looking at, you know, reducing down the number of staff. We couldn't have one person in the offices to take up the issues that might be coming forward, the business of the city? I think that's my main concern there, that we're gonna be shutting down. I think the city and the county, they do a lot of things hand in hand. It'll be their business, Monday through Friday. Basically 8:00 to 4:30. That's my main concern, that we'll be shut down, in other words. Is that right across the board, as far as the department of public works, they'll be off as well? All the trucks won't be moving? Is that correct?

- [Eric] Alder Grenier? Director Grenier, sorry.

- To the extent that we can model what's happening at city hall with our remote locations, we will do that. But with public works, we do have on call. We do have custodian coverage, which is our on call

answering service as well, so any problems that arise, we do have the ability to dispatch, and take care of. That currently happens nights and weekends. So this would be no different.

- Answers my questions, thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you alder, alder from the first.

- Thank you mayor. I just wanted to speak in favor of this policy. It's something that I experienced when I worked for the school district, we went to this summer schedule, and had some concerns similar to what Alder Vanderleest has just mentioned, and, as it turns out, Green Bay gets pretty done on Friday afternoons and Saturdays and I've lived here a long time, and, I think expanding the hours is a fabulous idea. Going 7:30 to 5:00, I believe that's correct, during the week. We need to just really publicize this. Put the hours out everywhere. But I think it gives people an opportunity to get in, and to do their business, and I think there's just not a lot that happens on Friday afternoons and I did talk to some members of city staff, and that was affirmed. That they said yeah, it's pretty slow Friday afternoons, so, I like what this is doing. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. Alder from the 12th.

- Yes, thank you mayor. I agree with Alder Dorff, I'm in favor of this. Let's be honest, we get very limited amounts of good weather in Green Bay. That might be a reason why we can't attract workers, I don't know, but... In summer months, for the benefit to families to be able to get outside and enjoy the long weekend, I think that that is a great benefit to provide our employees. And I also agree with Alder Dorff that we have to publicize this and I think we all know that. If we put a public relations blitz out there if you will, and let people know, then we could really, you know, a year or two of this, I think by then the public would really get to know, and then if some people show up at city hall and we're not open, then we can use that as a, we can then explain it to them at that time, so, I'm definitely in favor of this, and thank you for everyone who brought this forward, I think it's a great idea.

- [Eric] Thanks alder, alder from the ninth.

- Thank you mayor. Just a question for Director Faulds. For staff that we have that may not wanna take advantage of this policy, maybe it's problematic for them to come in earlier or stay later on those other four days of the week, can we make accommodations for them to maintain their regular work schedule?

- [Eric] Director Faulds?

- Yeah, we can accommodate them the best we can. I think they'll have to talk to their supervisor and work with their coworkers and make sure we have coverage for the summer hours. When it comes to the Friday afternoons. We do want for safety and security reasons to close at 11:30. We also want to make sure that our hourly employees are compliant with the wage and hour laws, that they're not put into overtime that could increase cost to the city. But they can receive approval from department heads and HR, to work later on Fridays if they want to make up the time. But yes, we can accommodate employees. They can talk to supervisors and the department heads to accommodate their schedule.

- Thank you alder. So the motion on the floor is to approve item four, if there are no other requests to speak. So seeing no further requests, all in favor of the motion please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- All opposed nay. The ayes have it, the item is approved. Onto S, report of the sustainability commission.

- [Barbara] Motion to approve.

- May 8th, 2019, motion to approve made by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the first, to approve report S, which is the report of the sustainability commission from the meeting on May 8th, 2019. Any other items?

- [John] I have question, mayor.

- Alder from the 11th.

- I was just wondering, is it legal to ban the product that they're talking about here on the sustainability committee? In other words, I think the city of Green Bay uses tar. By two minutes type material. Is that what they're referring to here?

- [Eric] Alder from the seventh.

- It's a pretty nasty tar. We didn't, at the commission, we weren't aware of who was using this in the area. We just had noted that there were many municipalities in Wisconsin that are banning it. It's a pretty nasty business. So we thought, there are other. There's asphalt that can be used, and there's another, I think it's, I don't know if they call it tar. There are other products that can be used. One of them is actually a little more expensive, but lasts longer, and asphalt is actually cheaper, so, there are products that we can urge people to use to be more environmentally safe.

- Do we use this product that he's talking about right now?

- [Eric] Director Grenier?

- We are not using coal tar based. What Alder Scannell is referring to is, either asphalt based or acrylic based, silicone based sealants. And the sealants that we're using are probably in the 70% asphalt, and 25% polymer. So it's a modified polymer asphalt mix. And the polymer is typically a silicone. So it's a rubberized asphalt mix. This won't impact how DPW conducts our business at all. For our staff and what we do, no. I think this may have a bigger impact on other people within the community. If there are seal coaters who come in and do private parking lots, for instance. And they're using a coal tar based sealant, it would impact them. So, folks who are having their driveway seal coated or parking lots or things of that nature. This type of ban would stop those applications within the city, but as far as what DPW is doing, it wouldn't impact us at all.

- My question.

- [Eric] Alder from the seventh?

- And this was brought up at the commission, that this would affect mostly private businesses. Sorry. Time to take a pill. But that private business usually work a broader area, and so that if they have, 'cause we had discussed about putting, creating a sunset area for business to unload this material and we thought, well, if they need to do that, they can do that in other communities. This is very nasty stuff, and that they can use more environmentally protective sealants within our city. So, this does affect private business, but I don't think it affects them too detrimentally, because there are other communities where they will not have this ban, and they can use that product. And hopefully we will be encouraging more and more of the private industry to get more and more environmentally on board, because what they do affects our city. And it's not good this stuff, so, that's why we went with this. Thank you.

- [Eric] Seeing no additional speakers. All in favor of the report will signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Aye.

- [Eric] All opposed, nay.

- [Councilman] No.

- The ayes have it. Onto receiving place on file.

- Motion to receiving place on file.

- [Andy] Second.

- Motion made by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the third to receiving place on file the municipal court report for April 2019. Any discussion? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Aye.

- [Eric] Those opposed nay. The ayes have it, the report has been received and placed on file. The report of the finance committee, item six, will be taken up as a part of the committee as a whole. What are your wishes regarding

- Motion to approve.

- this report?

- Second.

- Motion made by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the first to approve report U, which is the report of the finance committee from the meeting on May 8th, 2019. Any additional items

under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Aye.

- [Eric] Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, and the report has been approved. Item, we are back to... Item four from the park committee. May 14th, 2019. What are your wishes regarding this item?

- Motion to approve.

- Motion made by alder from the seventh.

- Second.

- Second by alder from the eighth, to approve. Alder from the 12th.

- Thank you mayor, if I could, I believe that this item, we could go into closed session for, but item nine, the one I sent to the protection and policy is an item that is not up for closed session, so I'm curious if we can move item nine up before this item, and then do all the closed sessions together. Does that makes sense?

- [Eric] Yeah. Attorney Chavez?

- It's up to you all in how you want to take it, but those three items are linked. All the ones pertaining to the tribe. And so, if the discussions in closed session will affect the decision on that item from P and P, then the recommendation would be to hold it until after you come out of closed session.

- [Eric] Alder from the 12th.

- I don't know, I mean, I think that this item was noticed for discussion in open session, so I would feel comfortable, as the person who brought it forward, to, although they're related, what I'm proposing doesn't necessarily need to be discussed in closed session. So I would prefer to have this right now, prior to going into closed session for the other three items, I believe.

- [Eric] Attorney Chavez?

- It is up to this council in whether you want to discuss this in open session, the only thing I will say is that it could potentially go to the negotiations that are brought up in the other ones.

- I think if it's the wish, if the council agrees, I'd like to take item nine of protection and policy now. I'll make a motion to that effect. And then if we start talking of things that really should be discussed in closed session, and I can't imagine that we would, then perhaps Attorney Chavez could let us know, and we'll save those conversations.

- Motion has been made to take up item nine, seconded by, or motion's been made by alderman from the 12th, seconded by alder from the 10th to take up item nine, at this time. Alder from the seventh.

- I would rather we took it up after. I wanna do this in open session too, but the discussions in closed session, I think, relate to this item, and will help us discuss this item afterward. Because, depending upon some of the things we, what action we might take, will directly relate to this. And it would be good to know what action we're gonna be taking as a council before we discuss this, it'd make it much easier for me to discuss it, if I know what action we're doing first, in closed session. So I would rather we did after, if we could make the motion for that. Amend your motion. If you wouldn't mind.

- [Eric] Alder from the 12th.

- The issue that I'm running into is quite frankly, a timing issue, because as we're getting notices of what we're getting notices on, the more that we discuss this in closed session, and the more people who live in these areas are not aware of the situation, then I'm getting increasingly uneasy. So I'd prefer, I get what you're saying, alder Scannell, I just think that there's a statement that I would like to read in open session. I would like to know what our options are, and, then we can go from there. I don't think I'm gonna say anything that's gonna jeopardize anything that I haven't already stated publicly. I've been rather consistent on this, the need for the public to be informed. Because when the public is informed, then good policy can begin, so if I could, and all due respect to you, I would like to keep this agenda item before the closed sessions, I'd like to read a statement, and then, open the floor if anyone wants to speak, and then, I think what I'm proposing is rather modest and pretty straightforward and rather reasonable. So for those reasons I'd like to have this discussion in open session before the closed session items.

- [Eric] Alder from the first.

- Thank you mayor. I'd like to agree with Alder Scannell. 'Cause my old hitch had been on actually, to say, I agree we should do this in open session. And I'd really like to wait until after the closed session to do it. So, that is the way that I would be voting. 'Cause I don't wanna do it right now, I want to wait 'til after. But it definitely can be done in open session. Thank you.

- [Eric] Alder from the sixth.

- Yes, I have some people here who want to speak on item four. They've been sitting here all evening, waiting and waiting. And actually some already left, because, yeah. It's getting late, so, that's, I'm gonna vote no, I would like number four brought up first, if we could. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. A few lights on, any additional comments? Alder from the seventh.

- I would just say that if the alder from district 12 would like to make a, you know, read his statement and so on, I have no problem with that. If after the closed session, I feel the need, or anyone feels the need to discuss it, we can always reconsider in bringing it up, so I'm fine if you're more comfortable with doing that before. That's fine. I'm fine with that. Thank you.

- [Eric] Alder from the 12th.

- Yeah, just simply, again, we're in a position where you're into this, and I agree with you mayor, that we need to turn a page with our relationship with the Oneida nation, I've been saying that from the very beginning of my term, even while campaigning, so, in an effort to turn this corner, I do feel it

necessary to just read something, it's nothing, you know, terribly official, but it's just my personal feelings that I would like to, if you could. I mean I don't know if we have to make a motion to move this item or if I could just state this before we go into closed session.

- [Councilman] Make a statement.

- [Eric] Yes, I believe so. Yep, move forward with your statement, alder.

- Okay, right now? Okay, so I was going to share this at the protection and policy committee, but, we had a good discussion otherwise, so, I'll begin with stating that each alderperson faces important issues within their districts which can present challenges. It isn't always easy to decide the best course of action, or how to move forward. And given we are public officials, our actions, words, and decisions are rightfully open to criticism. So my agenda item comes before you after nearly a year of contemplating the best time, and most appropriate manner to carry forth with this discussion, and my desire to do so in open session, in front of those by whom we have been elected to serve. I have now entered my eighth year in public office, with four years on the Brown County board, and three years on the city council behind me. Through all those years, and even more so today, I have pride in myself in being a fair, reasonable, and hard working servant too, and representative of all people. During all of my seven plus years in public office, I have treated all with dignity and respect, and I don't shy away from uncomfortable conversations on matters of public interest. In fact, I've stressed the need for open and transparent government, and believe the more awareness the public has of an important issue, the more likely we are to continue to and build a strong inclusive community, one in which we all can be proud to call home. That after all, is one of the core reasons and purposes of government. However, on the issue of tax exempt federal trust properties, the public has questions we must answer. I carry a extremely high level of respect and appreciation toward the Oneida nation, their representatives, and tribal members. Their positive impact on our community, and the ways they contribute to our culture and economy, are both tremendous and undeniable. I sincerely believe this agenda item is a necessary and vital step toward clearing up some of the confusion my constituents have conveyed to me during the months of the 2018 city council campaign, as well as during nearly every single month that has followed. Furthermore, I think this agenda item is an even greater step toward initiating discussions of a shared service or intergovernmental agreement between the city of Green Bay, and the Oneida nation. And will allow public input into the process. It is vital that the city of Green Bay and the Oneida nation work together, and it is my wish that we restart a community conversation, and work towards a solution. And above all, I want to convey that this agenda item has been brought forward from a position of optimism and hope. It is my sincere belief that when the public is fully engaged in this process, and when the city of Green Bay, and the Oneida nation collaborate on answering questions and communicating joint goals, the residents on the far west side, all residents, are going to reap the benefits of an incredible partnership. That's all, thank you for listening, I needed to state that publicly.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. I now would entertain a motion to open the floor.

- [Mark] Motion.

- [Eric] Motion made by alder from the 10th, second vote by alder from the seventh to open the floor. All in favor of the motion, signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Aye.
- [Eric] All opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the floor is open.
- On this agenda item, or... I don't know if anyone's speaking.
- [Eric] Oh, I thought you mentioned.
- No, if someone was interested.
- [Councilwoman] Mayor?
- [Councilman] Number four would like to go.
- [Eric] Yeah. We do have Tom Slidek listed.
- [Tom] I intended to speak to item U6. Would you prefer that I speak now? Yeah, okay.
- [Eric] Sure. Attorney Chavez?
- Just to clarify what we are doing, because there is closed session language for the park committee item four, as well as VI and U6, those items are all going to be taken up at the same time. So you guys can hear everybody speak at the same time, just so we go into closed session, you will take all the items up separately when you come back out into open session.
- Do you want me to go ahead now?
- [Eric] Go ahead, sir. Thank you.
- I'm Tom Slidek, 2634 Sequoia Lane, Green Bay, Wisconsin. Hi, council. Lot of familiar faces here. I'd like to share with you a number of points related to item U6 and the item for the council as a whole. First I'd like to start with a concept to share with you. And this is a concept that I believe in, and that I have found in over six years of representing the far west side, that the people in the neighborhoods believe in. And that concept is that all parcels in a neighborhood should be subject to the same ordinances. Enforced by the same government, and that being a government in which everybody has a voice. I want to point out that when parcels go into federal trust, that doesn't happen. Now you've got some parcels that are not under the authority of a government in which everybody has a voice. I have a lot of experience and contacts from people in the affected neighborhoods, trying to understand why the property down the block or the property across the street wasn't being held accountable to the same rules that their property was. And they weren't. Some of you remember I brought a lot of examples to the council. Under the service agreement, it just was not working. And, it creates uncertainty. So I had a call from one constituent who, who was thinking about adding a sunroom or four seasons room on the back of her house. She wanted to know what the tribe could do with the property behind her house, which was in trust. And I told her, I can't answer that because it's out of the city's reach. Her response was, I'm not gonna invest into this house if I don't know what's gonna happen on the neighboring property. So uncertainty hurts property values. It keeps people from purchasing, or keeps people from improving or maintaining or investing in their

houses. So, my message to you is you need to not weaken the city's resolve to resist properties going into federal trust. And I'm concerned that if you step into a negotiation, you might do just that. Just a couple other quick points, one is an offer. I would be perfectly willing to sit down with any one of you, and spend whatever time you care or take to share with you my experiences and learning about this matter. Just call, and we'll set up a time. However much time you want, I'd be happy to offer it. I'll tell you everything I've learned and everything I've experienced. And my last point, is I want to challenge the need for you to go into closed session on this. You've all been talking about closed session a lot, as if it's a foregone conclusion. But you still have a decision to make here. And I don't think you need to go into closed session, at least, unless you did something in a previous closed session that I don't know about, I don't think you're currently in negotiations. So you don't need to talk about negotiation strategy. So what is it that causes you tonight, on this matter, to have to have your discussion in closed session? I think people want to hear if you support or don't support certain things in this matter. They want you on record, to say it in front of the cameras here. So, I'd just like to offer up to you that I think it's unnecessary, and might even be afoul of the law for you to go into closed session on this matter tonight. Thank you.

- [Eric] Do you want to respond? Thank you sir for your testimony. And then we also have Jo Ellen Kaczynski. And this is on item four from the park committee. State your address for us.

- Good evening, Jo Ellen Kaczynski, 1861 East Shore Drive. And I'm here to speak on behalf of the neighbors that live in East Shore circle. And it came to my attention that there's a possibility of Bay Beach buying a residential property at the end of East Shore circle, and perhaps tearing it down, and it becoming a parking lot, or volleyball pits, or something for Bay Beach. And we just felt that the neighbors that are living in East Shore circle, which is really a well established, quaint cul de sac, to suddenly have a house be torn down and replaced with something that would be very public is just not fair to those neighbors. And I'm pretty sure everyone in this room, if you had a parking lot suddenly appear on the side of your house, you probably wouldn't be happy either. So I want people to just take that into consideration when that negotiation comes forth. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you for your testimony.

- [Brian] Question? Question.

- [Eric] Alder from the ninth.

- I didn't know that was part of it.

- Thank you for coming and sharing your testimony, one question I did have for you, you had alluded to, I guess you had heard that there were intended uses for that property.

- [Jo Ellen] Yes.

- I mean are those just rumors circulating amongst the community, or, do you know the origin of those rumors?

- I actually spoke to one of the neighbors at one time, and he talked about, that there was maybe a possibility that that was going to happen. He was very kind of nondescript about it. And I suggested, I

said oh. I said well, maybe they're gonna use it for like, a Bay Beach office. And keep it intact. So, but, it was brought to my attention via phone call that it may not, it might be torn down, this home.

- Thank you for that explanation, I mean I think at this point, those are rumors. And so I just want to make that point of clarification for you, there has been no commitment to an intended use of that property. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you. We do have a Seth Colmer. Not clear if he would like to speak. Great. If you could just state your address as well.

- East Shore Circle. I am also speaking on behalf of the, the property that is being purchased or intended to be purchased at the end of East Shore Circle. I live three properties down from the current city lot that exists already. Most of the neighbors in the circle are extremely concerned about the possibility of the city taking ownership of this lot. We feel that it's going to devalue our homes by bringing the park closer. We're gonna be losing tax revenue by taking a property off the market already. Devaluing our homes would only reduce the tax revenue to the city further. Additionally we have crime problems already due to Bay Beach. There is already an established firm fence. There's a delineation between the park, and our neighborhood. There would be no delineation between the park and our neighborhood, no physical barrier between the two. We have people that trespass down our street. We've had break ins. I've had to purchase a home security system. We've brought it up to city members in the past. I think the police are just taxed. I don't think they have the resources to take on what's going on at Bay Beach at the moment, as a possibility. It's a great concern to us, to the point where neighbors are considering moving. We're uprooting our lives due to this. I know that there are rumors, as it put, mine are saying as those rumors are originating from members with inside city themselves. As people that have come up with potential ideas for what it could be. Picnic areas, sand volleyball courts, down to a parking lot. A parking lot that which would also include potentially routing a new park entrance from the end of our circle, to the Bay Beach parking lot, which would completely disrupt our traffic patterns. Already, people park up and down that street, freely. It's difficult to get in and out of our driveways without more parking. If you increase traffic in that area, it's just asking for an accident, in our opinion. So, thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks for your testimony. And then finally we have John Lefebvre. Just state your address as well.

- 1731 East Shore Circle. I'm here opposing it, the purchase of that property. A little background, I've lived there for 48 years. And the city has made a lot of nice improvements in the park and the Friends really enjoy it. It's been made into a cul de sac, which eliminated drive through property and provided parking for 20, 30 cars for Bay Beach, which has not been a problem for me. The other thing, it made nice walkway instead of a street connecting the sanctuary to Bay Beach. So that's a walkway with flowering trees along the side. The edge of Bay Beach Park has a little broom like area with shrubbery and trees along it, which kind of separates the neighborhood. So that's very nice. The other thing that I've looked into a little bit, I looked online, and looked up the Friends. And the Friends have a, there's a plan for Bay Beach, it's like a 10 year plan. And there is nothing in the plan for changes to the east boundary of Bay Beach. And the plan has room for parking and more picnic areas. So I question the Friends in a way. I think they have on their website, they also had all the proposals and phases for Bay Beach. I thought that was very good, and I think their priorities, and alternatives could be a little bit better. And the city also involved in that same way. Is accepting, the city has a little bit of expense with lost tax base, and probably would have development and maintenance expenses and so forth

with Bay Beach. You do get the land for free from the Friends, which, if you want free land, we have an island for you. Renard Island is bay front property. Which of course is undesirable, but it has, it is still waterfront property that could be used for walkways and park benches and such. Perhaps of viewing areas and picnic tables, so I think there are alternatives. And my major objections is there's no plan. I would like to see a plan and I would like to see, not approve this, until you have more specifics on it. And also for the same reasons I mentioned about the Friends, there are alternatives, priorities, cost. So okay. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you for your testimony. Oh. State your name and address, sir.

- Yes, my name is Alem Hamir, at 1002 Trail Wood Drive in West Bay Pier. I am a member of Friends of Bay Beach, and Friends of Bay Beach is in support of acquisition of this property. As a member of Friends of Bay Beach, one of the things that we've always said, personally for me, is we've, this is a treasure for the city. It has become a destination for the region. As a member of the Optimist Club, on the second Tuesday of every August, we sponsor from 10:00 AM 'til noon, the free zippin' pippin' ride, and so it's amazing the number of people, the number of kids, and as members of the optimist club, we talk with people, and they're very thankful, and they're coming in from all over the state to experience Bay Beach. Part of what we do at Friends of Bay Beach is to also, I think, we want a track where we can keep the price of the tickets at 75 cents. And so when I talked with people, families, when they say, you know, Bay Beach is such a great place to take families, because for a family of four, for 20 dollars, you can have a great experience, build memories, and still feed the family, hot dogs. And have, as I said, great fun. If you take them to Del's, wonderful place, I've been there, taken my family there. Quite a bit more. If you take them to Six Flags, even higher. If you take them to Walt Disney, or Disney World, Epcot, it's even higher. So we're landlocked. And sometimes, opportunities come up. And so, Friends of Bay Beach, we don't dictate what the parks, they get to do what they wanna do. Reporting to the parks committee and to the council as far as, you know, what they want to do. We provide funds, and I'm hoping some of you have been out to Bay Beach and taken a look at the construction of the big wheel. It's gonna be phenomenal. And we truly feel that it will probably generate an additional 300,000 to 400,000 attendees, new attendees, because of it. And so, with this opportunity, we're flexible. And those are rumors, because even at Friends of Bay Beach, at our board meetings, there are no specific plans for that, but I truly believe, and someone can confirm this, but I think Bay Beach already has one of the lots. Is that true, Dan? We own one of the lots already, in that residence. So it's there. Again, we don't know what we'll do, but there is, there was a 20 year plan, purchased by the city, and all we're doing as Friends of Bay Beach, as business leaders, community leaders, interested people. We got to take that 20 year plan, and we've been able to compress it to seven years, to probably less than five years, where Bay Beach will be able to be self sustaining, and in a few years, I think that extra money will then go back into the city coffers for general use. But you've been generous and gracious to allow us to work with the Bay Beach staff, the parks committee, the council, to continue to create Bay Beach as a wonderful, wonderful jewel in the Midwest, and specifically for the city of Green Bay. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you sir, alder from the 11th.

- Is the Friends of Bay Beach, are they proposing to purchase this property and donate it to the city, is that the gist?

- At the last board meeting, right now, because we, we spent quite a bit for the wheel. At the board meeting, we did say that if needed, we would, Friends of Bay Beach would put \$25,000 down, and if

based on projections, depending on the projections for the year, if it's under the projection, that Friends of Bay Beach would put in another \$75,000. Otherwise we would take it from the proceeds and the revenue from the park this year. So we are committed to put some skin in the game for this.

- [John] Is that what you're selling us?

- That's what we're saying. Yes, and again, it's \$25,000 that we put on as a motion, and pending the results of the year, we feel that if it comes under their budgeted dollars, that Friends of Bay Beach would kick in another 75,000, so it'd be a commitment of 100,000.

- Last fall, city council bonded to five million dollars for Bay Beach for the upgrade of the front of the, in other words, the sand beach and things like that. And they did it in the respect of the first five years there was interest only payments of that five million. And then year six then they'll first starting making a principal payment. So Bay Beach, as far as the finances, I think they're quite strapped at this time, as far as their commitments, as far as, you know, they've bonded the five million. They're gonna do some parking lot work and they're gonna do some of the other work. So I think that Bay Beach has quite a task ahead of them, I think they're still a couple years into paying for the zippin' pippin. And the proposal was that they bonded the five million so they could do some of these projects, but they still have to pay that five million.

- [Alem] Yes.

- [John] They still have to work on that five million, plus other debts that they do have.

- [Alem] Yes.

- [John] I think that Bay Beach is not out of the red.

- Oh, absolutely not. And again, with your generosity, and the commitment that the parks committee and the council has done has allowed us to be in a position where we will be in the red. I mean we will be in the black at some point in time. But again, with an additional 300,000 new attendees, that's why we also did commit to several hundred thousand dollars to put in a temporary parking lot, to be able to park these people, because in the past, when we've had certain events, there was no parking. When an opportunity came up years ago, through a grant from the, I think it was the EPA, they said, we want to give you \$500,000, for a parking lot. Well in the plan, the parking lot was way down the road, but how do you take a gift of half a million dollars? So we put that money in, and put that part of the plan seven years down the line, and put it forward. And so now we at least have parking spaces. And in the last recent years, we put the train, that's out to the west, and we just have plans, but again, nothing, some things are set in stone and again, that's the park committee, that's Bay Beach doing this, it's not Friends of Bay Beach. They're kind of telling us, here's kind of what we're looking for, can you help us out? We look at it from a business standpoint. Is this profitable? What's your plan, what's your maintenance? Are you getting multiple bids? I know that some of our board members, they were able to negotiate a great price on that wheel. And I tell you what, when that's operational, and that lighting package comes up, I think everyone in this room, and many of the citizens of Green Bay is gonna be so proud of that park. They will call it, that's my park. I truly believe that.

- [John] Thank you very much, and I want to commend you and Friends of Bay Beach, all the things that they've done for Bay Beach, I know that it's a very positive input.

- Thank you.

- [John] Thank you.

- [Eric] Alder Steuer?

- Thank you your honor. Now, I'd like to...

- [Alem] Yes sir.

- Okay. Thanks for coming in. Now I've heard you speak before and I understand what the Friends do, and we appreciate a lot of the work that you've done. I think it really just, you know, I've been talking to the alder in this district, and more or less, some of the neighbors had just, you know, it's an age old thing, in fact I had a deal with it with a clinic tonight, and just talking about the neighborhood versus, you know, a project or a group or something that they're dealing with, so with that being said, I'm not against a lot of what Bay Beach represents, and the tourist draw and all that. But I am concerned a little bit about the neighborhood as well, so, maybe when we get out of closed session I'd like to talk to Director Ditscheit about this as well and just try to maybe get a little more background on this, I realize, I missed committee, but I just want to get a little more clarification. But I just wanted to let you know that my concern also is with the neighborhood as well. And just to make sure that works a little bit as well.

- [Alem] And like I said, Friends of Bay Beach were always gonna be there to support the park, so, whatever this governing body decides, we're still gonna be there to help the park however we can.

- Okay. Thank you. That's all.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. Alright. Entertain a motion to close.

- [Randy] Motion to close the floor.

- [Andy] Second.

- Motion made to close the floor by alder from the seventh, seconded by alder from the third. All in favor signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- All opposed nay. The ayes have it. The floor is closed. So the following items have been noticed for closed session, items Q4, VI, and U6. So we will take these items together, for committee as a whole, we will be taking up the notices of decision from the US Department of Interior regarding the intent to take land into trust, and item six from the finance report pertaining to initiating negotiations for intergovernmental agreement with the Oneida Nation, including revisiting the following notices of decision from the US Department of Interior regarding the intent to take land into trust and the parcels identified. For item Q4 we will be considering purchase of 1705 East Shore Circle. Parcel 21-

Minutes of the Common Council

439 for the expansion of Bay Beach amusement park. I will entertain a motion to move into closed session.

- [Barbara] So moved.

- [Randy] Second.

- Motion made by alder from the first, second by alder from the seventh. Oh. Excuse me. Alder from the 12th.

- Yes. I'd like to ask for separation. I had meant in favor of closed session for the park item, not in favor of closed session for the other items, I'd like to ask the city attorney to provide what she's able to in closed session for the sake of public notice. And I'd like to vote on whether to enter into closed session for those two items, in concern to fee to trust land. I don't know how that happens, but I'd like to ask for separation, so we can vote on going into closed session on the two items. Specific to fee to trust.

- Making a motion to separate the items. Second by alder from the eighth. All in favor of the motion will signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- Aye.

- [Eric] All opposed, nay.

- [Councilwoman] Nay.

- [Councilman] Nay.

- [Eric] Alder from the seventh.

- There were, if I'm not mistaken, there are, concerning the Oneida, there are items that are in litigation that we need to take in closed session, so, I would... Well, I don't know how that might spill over and certainly once we come out, anything that did spill over, we can divulge in open session, but I would like to take them all into closed session, to cover our bases to make sure that if we need to speak freely, we can. So I would prefer we take this all in closed session, and when we come out, we can separate, and talk, put everything that needs to go into open session into open session.

- Point of order mister mayor, I think we just voted on, separating them, correct? We just put order ready, and then we unanimously, in favor. I think it was like four to two.

- [Eric] Just a point of clarification, it was a motion to separate the items, to vote separately on the items to move into closed session.

- Right. And I don't think...

- [Councilman] I would like to ask the city attorney to share what she can in open session. In closed session, now, the council disagrees with me, they can vote to go into closed session. But I would be noting publicly to keep this in open session.
- [Councilman] A vote, a roll call vote.
- [Eric] Yeah. Attorney Chavez.
- Okay, so if we are going to separate this into two votes, what we're really doing is going into closed session once, and then coming back out, and going into closed session a second time. So if that's what you guys want to do, that is what you are voting on right now. If you want to go in once, and discuss everything, then, we're doing it all at once.
- [Eric] Alder from the eighth.
- [Chris] Thank you mister mayor. Yeah, let's just handle the parks item. We're dragging that item into a much bigger discussion. Let's handle that one first, resolve it, then come back and discuss the much more in depth item that could take us quite a while yet, so let's just handle the parks item. I think that's what alderman from the 12th was trying to get to, let's just handle that item.
- [Eric] Okay.
- And then come back.
- [Eric] So the motion has been made to go into closed session for item Q4.
- That's the parks one right, yeah.
- [Eric] Seconded, motion made by alder from the eighth, seconded by...
- Second.
- Alder from the ninth, to move into closed session. Regarding item Q4. Will alder from the eighth read the language?
- Sure, definitely. The council may convene in closed session pursuant to sections 19.85, section one, subsection E, Wisconsin statutes for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds, or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to section 19.82, section two, Wisconsin statute, to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.
- [Eric] Alright, please use the board to vote. And we're voting on the motion to go into closed session for item Q4. Motion passes on a vote of 10 to two. We are in closed session. So we are back in open session and on item four from the park committee. What are your wishes regarding this item?

- I move that we proceed to discuss in closed session with the understanding that the final offer would come back with a concept plan for that site.
- [Councilman] It has to go through parks committee. It has to go through parks, and council.
- Yeah, that would go through parks and council.
- [Eric] Okay.
- Wait, what?
- Yeah, before it's developed.
- [Eric] Okay, motion has been made.
- Capture all that?
- [Eric] Second by alder from the first. All those in favor of the motion will signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- Aye.
- Those opposed, nay.
- No.
- No.
- The ayes have it. Motion has been adopted. And now we're on committee of the whole. And we will be taking up notices of decision from the US department of interior regarding the intent to take land into trust. And item six from the finance report pertaining to initiating negotiations for intergovernmental agreement with the Oneida nation including revisiting the notices of decision from the US department of interior regarding the intent to take land into trust, on the parcels identified. I will entertain a motion to move into closed session.
- [Randy] So moved.
- [Chris] Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by alder from the seventh, second by alder from the 10th to move into closed session for agenda items VI and U6. Will alder 10 please read the closed session language?
- [Councilman] Do you want to read that?
- [Councilman] I just wanted to... Go into closed session.
- [Eric] It is a roll call vote. Alder from the 10th.

- Okay. The council may convene in closed session pursuant to section 19.85, I G, Wisconsin statutes, for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel, for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body, with respect to litigation in which it is, or is likely to become involved. The council may therefore reconvene in open session pursuant to section 19.852, Wisconsin statutes, to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

- [Eric] Alder from the 12th.

- Thank you mayor, for the sake of public information prior to going into the vote for closed session, wondering if the city attorney can provide some comments on the situation we are in, and anything that could be important to the public that I could point to them, to this meeting, so they could have some information. A summary.

- [Eric] Attorney Chavez?

- I'm not sure there's much we can discuss in open session without the council waiving privilege. I mean we are in active litigation on a number of items. And the direction we ultimately decide to go in is this council's privilege.

- Like, for example, the properties that are in the status that they're in. Can we state what property taxes are currently being collected, is that something that could be disclosed here, or completely within closed session?

- No I would say that's still part of the decision to go, that would be part of the rationale as to why to or to not go into litigation. That's really something that should be discussed as part of closed session.

- What could I, for constituents who are concerned about this situation, or for me to do my duty as an elected official to inform people, what do you recommend that I could share with them, that would alert them to a situation that the city is discussing affecting their neighborhood? Not trying to lead you onto any answer, I just legitimately want to know, because up until this point, because every discussion and potentially this discussion is occurring behind closed doors, I have absolutely no way to inform the public who I represent, and I take that oath of office very seriously. I think by proceeding consistently in closed session, I am undermining my position to inform people of things occurring within their community, to which they pay taxes and deserve representation, so, again, what can I say at this point to constituents who are inquiring?

- I would refer them to the notice of decisions that we received, those are public record, and those are what you are ultimately deciding whether to appeal or not. But the discussion regarding anything pertaining to the basis for it, that is privileged.

- [Councilman] Privileged.

- Attorney client privileged.

- Elected representative of the people.

- The council as a whole is the client. No individual alder, no individual elected official is the owner of the privilege, the council as a whole is the owner. And so with that, the only entity that can waive privilege is the council as a whole. That means that this council has to take a vote saying that they want to release that information.

- I am going to strongly recommend the council to vote no to closed session, not because I'm trying to be difficult, but to the point that the public does not know. And we're really handcuffing the council up to this point whether it's, I don't think it was purposeful, I just think it's circumstance that I am handcuffed as an elected person, that consistently we are faced with the situation that I can't inform my constituents, and I think that goes against everything that I believe in as an elected person. I understand there are situations where we need to discuss things in closed session, I'm not a rookie when it comes to this sort of stuff. I understand there's a need for it. But in this situation you're in, to this term, isn't the city council, the public needs to know. And I just can't in good conscience vote to go into closed session when there is some information that we can share here in open session that at least allows people to be aware of the situation, because right now, quite honestly, they're not. And I could refer them to the notice of decision to place land into trust. But that is a several page document, and without any proper, you know, reference, any, you know, guidance from the legal department on what the follow up questions, and what those answers will be, I think we're doing a great disservice to the public. So the council can vote how they choose to, but I'm gonna vote very strongly against going into closed session. I think the more sunlight this thing has, the better. The more the public is informed of this situation, the better. And they deserve to be part of this communication as we go forward. As well as the Oneida nation, I don't want to exclude them, that's the whole point of my other motion was that we need to reframe how we communicate this, and we need to inform the public and involve them, because it affects them, on a very deep, personal level. So with that I'm gonna highly recommend we vote against going into closed session, than you.

- [Eric] Thank you, alder from the eighth.

- Thank you mister mayor. In discussing why we might or might not go into closed session here. This is such an intricate issue. It takes a while to really understand it, and I'm sure the public out there, 99% really have no idea what we're even talking about, so, I'm just gonna go over a little bit here as a quick recap of why we may or may not want to go into closed session. I mean it's really all public knowledge, it should be. When a tribe, and in our instance the Oneida tribe, wants to have a parcel of property put into federal trust, they petition the Bureau of Indian Affairs, correct? Correct me if I'm wrong on anything here, Vanessa, but, it's at that point the city has 30 days to just file an objection. And we say hey we object, and at that point, it goes to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. And they evaluate the application, keeping in mind that we're objecting. Now, it's been I think, our experience and surrounding communities that, almost 100% of the time, 99.9, the Bureau of Indian Affairs approves it. They send a notice of decision, an NOD saying, they're gonna take it into trust. And it's at that point the city can once again, and this is probably the more costly point, because it gets a little more involved. Can say hey, we object. And once again, we object. Then from there it goes to the IBIA, which is the Interior Board of Indian Appeals. And it's been our experience, and I think neighboring experiences, specifically, that they don't make a decision on it, they basically send it back down to the BIA, to the Bureau of Indian Affairs. And say hey, you've gotta re look at this. And it sits there. And it's been theorized that the Bureau of Indian Affairs doesn't want to make a decision because they want the federal government legislatively to fix it. Or the supreme court to fix it, and those things aren't happening, so these appeals sit. Now what's important with that is, these parcels, we get tax revenue. Not only us, but the county, the school, and NWTC, pays for all those school

things, the county things, it pays for garbage pickup. It pays for snow plowing, police, and fire, and when they come off the tax roll, they still get those services, and I think that's what we're seeing right now, is so many parcels are off there, that we're a quarter of a million dollars or more in the hole. And we're providing services. So at some point, something's gotta break, and that's why we're trying to get some kind of an agreement. Now, Hobart has the experience that they appeal these, and they get to the Bureau of Indian Affairs, and they sit there, and guess what, you get to collect taxes. They've been collecting taxes on some properties for 10, 15 years while it just sits in limbo.

- [Councilman] Really?

- So that's part of our discussions here, is do we keep appealing this up through that process and, because a tie is a win. You know? Unless we're willing to pretty much fund all of the services in the future for that part of the city, which I'm sure the rest of the city's gonna say, um, no. That's part of the discussion that we're having, right? I mean we've reached kind of a loggerhead with the previous administration and I admire you trying to reach out and say hey, there's gotta be an amicable way to do this, but, you gotta see the entire process and what's at stake here, and it's pretty inclusive. I think with Judge Greasebox ruling now, he's pretty much said that we retain our rights to impose our zoning, planning guidelines, right? So that we can treat all properties the same, so you don't have one property with long grass, and houses falling apart, and the next one's pristine, 'cause that causes commotion in the neighborhoods. Just causes grief on everybody's part. So there's a lot to this. But I think more needs to be public. People need to understand, it's not just that simple of, because what's been asked for, I think on the other side in the past has been more than just a service agreement. Really should be just billing them for service. Here, we're providing all these things, police, fire, snow removal, garbage pickup. Bill them every month. Say here, we're providing this. As a good neighbor, you think they'd, like, alright, yeah, you have provided all these things. We'll pay you. It's those extra things that is usually what's cluttering it up. So I'm opposed going into closed session right now because this does need to be discussed more in open session, truthfully. Thanks.

- [Eric] Thanks alder. Alder from the seventh.

- Thank you your honor. I would rather discuss in closed session, because we will be covering areas that are being litigated. And when we come out, any area that we talked about in closed session that is, can be revealed openly, we then take up that discussion openly and talk to the public about what we can talk about. I think to talk now openly, and not being able to talk about areas that we're litigating, may inhibit these discussions. I think we need to go in closed session, discuss freely, figure out what needs to stay closed, and what we can come back out and discuss openly. So I support going into closed session, thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, alder. Alder from the first.

- Thank you mayor. And thank you Alder Wery. That was a really good explanation. I enjoyed listening to it. The only thing I wanted, I'm a little confused about, is a tie is a win. That could be true for future ones, but so many are already in trust that we aren't getting any money, right? So I'm hoping, you know, that when we talk about this, that we'll come to some kind of way or agreement, where we could get some funding for those ones that already aren't paying taxes. That was just the one step further I wanted to talk about. Thank you.

- [Eric] Any other comments? So, we have a motion on the table to move into closed session. All in favor will signify by voting aye. Yeah, by voting aye, yeah. I'm saying that.

- He's telling us.

- [Eric] I'm saying that. By voting aye, those opposed will vote no, use the board. We have seven...

- [Councilwoman] Did you want... In closed session.

- [Eric] We have seven no votes. Five yes votes, motion fails. So we are open.

- [Councilman] District 12, I mean, it's your area.

- [Eric] Alder from the 12th.

- So, I have an idea, again, with respect to the fact that there is some things that we should not share publicly for the reasons the city attorney mentioned, and I am very aware of that, despite my vote. So on the issue of, which one can I discuss first, mayor? Can I discuss the one, the one that appeared before the finance committee?

- [Eric] Yeah.

- Okay if I could just simply make a motion, and it's kind of a long motion, but I think it fits our purpose. A motion to initiate negotiations for a fee for municipal services agreement with the Oneida nation, with a monthly report provided by Major Genrich to the city council, and the second part is, for the revisiting of the following notices of decision from the US Department of the Interior regarding the intent to take land into trust for the properties of Kuffel, Bruss, Syndergaard, Kolb, Kelley, Howey, Duquaine, Cornelius, Kestell, Baumgart, Orlando, and DeBenedetto, to be referred back to the finance committee with the property addresses listed within the agenda item, to serve as proper public notice. I can repeat that again, I know it was a long motion, but I think it allows me to get the one part back to finance committee which we can go into closed session and I can personally be there, mayor, I was not able to attend the meeting at the finance committee, I was only made aware of it the day before, and it happens, I understand, but, then I can be there for that part of the discussion, but I really truly do want you to move forward with negotiations for a fee for municipal services agreement with Oneida. So I think that that's a good motion and it gets us through this without having to share too much in open session that would compromise our position as a city.

- [Councilman] Is that one motion?

- One motion, if I could, do you want me to repeat it again?

- [Eric] Just one second. Attorney Chavez.

- To be clear, the conversation should still be happening, it's just in open session now, So essentially this council voted to go ahead and have this discussion in open session, and waive that confidence.

- Sure. Yep. I agree with you completely. The whole point, what I want mostly out of this one, is public information, when I read my statement, you know my heart, my intent, is not to be divisive and

to create trouble but simply to inform the public. And we need to give the public some credit that they truly understand it. They don't have information and I can't share that, because of issues within closed session. So it serves that purpose. The second part that it serves is that it allows the mayor to move forward with negotiations. And it allows us to discuss the latter part on the 12 properties at finance committee, so then I can be there.

- [Eric] Alder Chavez. Attorney Chavez, sorry.

- Let me clarify. By not going into closed session, what you agreed to do was have the discussion here, in open session. So the conversation should still take place, otherwise it should have just been a motion to refer back. But now you literally are able to discuss all of that information in open session. We're not holding anything back. We've decided to waive our right to confidentiality.

- [Jesse] Right.

- So to clarify, anything that you're saying, you can't release, because it is confidential, that's not the case any more.

- Okay. That's understood, but what I'm saying is I have a motion on the floor. I'm assuming hopefully it gets second. And then I think it's a good motion, it allows the mayor to move forward with negotiations and allows the finance committee to meet in closed session at that time to discuss in a more appropriate setting, so there's four members of that committee, myself, I will be there, and I can ask some questions, and provide some guidance to the mayor in a committee setting that had been my experience. So we can discuss it, we can continue, I could care less, but my point is that I think it's a valid motion and it's on the floor, and we can discuss that.

- [Councilman] I'll second that.

- [Eric] Motion and a second. Alder can you read your motion again?

- Yeah I was gonna provide it to the city clerk. So the motion is to initiate negotiations for a fee for a municipal services agreement with the Oneida nation with a monthly report provided by Mayor Genrich to the city council, and for the revisiting of the following notices of decision from the US Department of the Interior regarding the intent to take land into trust, for the properties of Kuffel, Bruss, Syndergaard, Kolb, Kelley, Howey, Duquaine, Cornelius, Kestell, Baumgart, Orlando, and Debenedetto be referred back to the finance committee with the property addresses listed within the agenda item to serve as proper public notice.

- [Eric] Alder from the eighth. Light's on here.

- I would be in favor of that motion. I think we have to remember, I hope everybody, I passed out the flowchart. Everybody really if you get a chance, study it. Go ahead and talk to, you know. Representatives from strong and communities who've dealt with this. Alderman Slidek has dealt for a number of years and has an extensive wealth of knowledge on it. And remember, we want to be good neighbors, obviously. But our job is to look out for the interest of the citizens of Green Bay. And then we can see, obviously we're providing services up the wazoo, and we're not getting reimbursed. So, we need to work that out and take out all those extra things. And that's what needs to be discussed. Thanks.

- [Eric] Thanks alder. Alder from the ninth.
- Thank you mayor. Two questions actually for attorney Chavez. The first is, does referral of this back to the finance committee in any way compromise our ability to meet any deadlines with that process?
- Yes, so there are two. One of the things that came out of the closed session last time. Again, we are having this discussion, there is no way for us to have this.
- So, my other question, is if we refer this, does it preclude us in any way from having that conversation in closed session at the finance committee, or does the vote that we just took obligate us to maintaining this discussion in open session?
- It is a very sticky position, but I think that we have waived our right to go into closed session.
- [Councilman] Motion to reconsider.
- [Eric] Were you in the majority, alder?
- [Councilman] Oh, crap.
- [Councilman] This is irresponsible.
- [Eric] I will entertain a motion to reconsider. Alder from the ninth I believe still has the floor.
- Oh. Okay. So you answered my second question, I guess my first one, is if this gets referred back to committee, we will miss deadlines to appeal.
- There are two deadlines that I feel we need to address. The first is whether we are going to incur additional costs. And the second is whether or not we are going to object. We have 30 days to object on the new ones that arrived today. And I believe the deadline on those will not...
- [Jesse] That's a separate agenda item. I'm fine with that.
- That's a separate agenda item, we don't need to mix these two together. This is my preferred motion agenda, I think it's an appropriate motion, and then we can go into closed session on the other, the two new ones.
- [Randy] Making a motion to separate. 'Cause we have to, we had a motion to put them together, right?
- [Eric] Alder from the ninth has the floor.
- Vanessa if you could just, simple answer, when is that deadline? A date. In fact, even aside from that, would we have time to call a special meeting, if needed, for that committee to take that action up? I didn't put us here.

- [Woman] She's getting it.
- I want answers, I want to know what our options are.
- [Randy] Right, I'm not saying anything to you.
- On... It's the day we receive it. So we received one on the 20th. And another one I believe on the... Shoot, it's either 16th or 17th, for one of them. The 20th on the other. And so we have 30 days from that.
- Okay if we were to support the motion as is, and the finance committee needed to call a special meeting, we have enough time to do that.
- [Vanessa] Council.
- [Councilman] To refer it back to finance.
- But then it's still gonna come back here. All right. Sorry. But we have that option.
- [Councilwoman] Past 30 days.
- Unless you call a special meeting.
- [Eric] Let's watch the crosstalk here.
- I guess all I wanted was an answer. I mean we do have enough to time call special meetings if this body were to, you know, were to need that. So my point is supporting this motion. Finance committee wants to take a special meeting to take that on so that we can address those issues in a timely manner, they can do that. And then I presume mayor, is it up to you to call a special council meeting if you deem that necessary? I'm not sure what the process is for that. For a majority? Okay.
- To clarify, we do not have enough time to have council again for one of the items.
- [Eric] Anything further, alder from the ninth?
- So we don't have enough to provide proper notification to have those two meetings? When is that deadline?
- So, to have a council meeting without calling a new one, we do not have time. We would have to call a new council meeting. Yes, we can do those within that time period.
- [Councilman] Just, yeah.
- [Councilwoman] I'm sorry.
- [Councilman] Jesse?
- Alder from the 12th.

- I'm not trying to be difficult, I don't think we've compromised our position, I don't think we've tipped our hand, we haven't done anything. All I'm asking to have done is for some public information to be out there and that's what we've done, regarding the two properties that have come in since the finance committee met, we can go into closed session on those two. I don't know if we've made a motion, if these have been combined, I really don't know at this point. But the main point that I'm trying to make is that the mayor will have our permission as a council to move forward, we all know that that's very important. It's just some of the properties going into trust status we need to look at a little closer. We need to have more discussion on that. I don't think we necessarily have to discuss it here, that's why I want to refer it back to finance. And then the other agenda item on the two properties, I'm fine with going into closed session on those, because of the timeliness of it all. But I don't see the timing of the other 12. I really don't. The motion that was discussed at finance was that we would revisit. When you revisit something you basically resurrect it. It was a done and settled issue. We're revisiting that. So to discuss the revisiting, that would be more appropriate at the finance committee, which I would like to be a part of. That's all, simple. Absolutely simple, I understand that there's a timing issue. We can separate this and go into closed session on those two, I'm perfectly fine with that.

- [Eric] Attorney Chavez.

- There would have to be a reconsideration because the vote to go into closed session was on those two items combined.

- [Councilman] They're both the majority.

- [Randy] Point of clarification. The person making the motion, or can anyone make a motion to separate? You would... Motion, and then we can deal with the other two in closed session, can anyone do that?

- Once it's on the floor, anybody can make a motion regarding it. Now one thing I do want to clarify though, is there seems to be some confusion as to whether not we send this back and we're entitled to go into closed session in finance, and what we discussed here, what I'm telling you is I don't think we'll be able to go into closed session on this item any more. Not just for tonight, not just at council, but any more, to discuss this litigation. Because once we have gone down the road of waiving our right to go into closed session to protect it, the privilege lasts only until it's been waived. And it is my opinion that that is what we did tonight.

- [Eric] Any further comments on this item? Alder from the third.

- Thank you mayor. It's our attorney's opinion. I say we move forward and vote on this, and have a special meeting. I don't think there's anything wrong with that. We could get some clarification at the time, if we can go in closed session, in the meantime. But let's move forward. Apparently, we have a motion on the floor. So let's vote on it. The other separate issues, we can deal with. That district 12 suggested. But let's move forward with the motion on the floor, let's vote on it, up or down. I call the vote. And then, our city attorney can get clarification, 'cause she thinks, her opinion. She needs clarification, we need to know. And we have time for that. Let's just move forward, thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. I can't see that. Just looking for the speakers here, sorry. Are there any further comments? Yeah, I can't see the screen, so, alder from the seventh.
- Well the problem with moving forward is we are putting ourselves at risk, if we move forward, and we waive.
- [Andy] I called for the vote, there's no discussion, we vote on it.
- [Councilwoman] He can't call for that.
- Yes he can, he can ask a question.
- Yes, I can.
- I didn't know you asked a question.
- [Andy] Yes I did.
- [Councilwoman] Yes he did.
- I didn't.
- [Andy] Or the question. It's getting a little late, I'm getting delirious.
- Well, that makes a difference.
- [Andy] Okay the question, please help me out.
- [Councilwoman] Voting on the question now.
- All in favor of the motion will vote aye. Use the board here. All opposed will vote nay.
- [Councilman] Wait, what is the motion?
- [Councilman] Read the motion again.
- [Councilwoman] No no no no no. We're voting on whether or not the question, asked by Roberts, rules. We're gonna vote on, do we accept him calling the question, that's what we have to do.
- [Eric] Okay.
- [Councilwoman] And we can vote no, or yes. Right, attorney?
- Just a point of clarification for the attorney Chavez, is that simple majority vote for calling the question?
- [Vanessa] I believe it is, but I'll double check right now.

- [Eric] So those in favor of calling the question will vote aye on the board. Those opposed will vote nay. Calling the question. Move forward, that's what we have to do. To call the question.
- [Vanessa] It's not letting some people vote.
- [Eric] Yeah, that's what I thought.
- [Councilwoman] Mike.
- [Eric] A two thirds vote is required. To call the question.
- Did you vote, Chris? Did you vote? Were you able to vote?
- Alder from the eighth and ninth not recording here.
- I didn't know if we were voting yet.
- [Eric] Yeah.
- This is just to call the question.
- [Eric] Correct.
- So it's not the question.
- [Councilwoman] Just advising. His wouldn't work.
- [Eric] It's in. So we have seven nays, five ayes, calling the question fails.
- [Randy] Then your honor, may I have the floor?
- [Eric] Alder from the seventh.
- Yes. To vote on this now, in open session, there is risk going forward, because we've waived privilege. And if we, going forward now, there is no privilege attached to this at all any more, and I think we definitely need to separate before we go any further, to make sure that we don't lose the privilege on the other two. I would recommend that we go into closed session on everything, have our discussion, and anything that can be discussed openly can then be dealt with. This is reckless. We are putting ourselves at risk here. If we lose this privilege, and accidentally or carelessly, or thinking we're doing something else and we're doing... I don't know what else to say. I believe the responsible thing to do is to go into closed session, have our discussion, decide what we can share openly, and then do that. To do this backwards is putting ourselves at risk of losing privilege, and making our lives very difficult down the road. It's irresponsible. Thank you.
- [Eric] Alder from the 12th.
- No, that was a mistake.

- [Eric] Alder from the eighth.
- Nobody is going anywhere for a while, right? Making a motion to reconsider.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion has been made to reconsider. Or, motion has been made by alder from the eighth, seconded by alder from the ninth to reconsider the previous motion. All in favor.
- The closed session motion.
- Aye.
- All in favor vote aye, opposed, nay.
- No.
- Aye.
- Aye.
- All in favor, vote aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- All opposed, nay.
- [Councilman] Can we use the board?
- [Eric] We can use the board.
- [Councilwoman] Okay.
- Needs a little more fleshing out before we take a step forward.
- [Eric] Nine aye votes, three nay votes. The motion to reconsider is successful. Entertain a motion.
- [Randy] So the previous motion should be on the floor, correct, to go into closed session, okay? And it's not my intent to stifle any kind of information, obviously not, I spoke quite a bit on it. But I do not want to hamper our future ability, and I think if we go into closed session and stick to just this, and come back out, we can proceed. Thanks.
- [Eric] Motion's been made to go into closed session by alder from the eighth, do we have a second?
- Second.
- [Eric] Second by alder from the seventh. All in favor will vote aye. On the board. Those opposed will vote no.

- [Councilman] The Bucks are gonna lose.
- [Councilman] Happens coming up.
- Yeah we're good. Nine votes aye, three votes nay. The motion is successful, we are in closed session. And we are back in open session. I'll entertain a motion, alder from the fourth.
- Thank you your honor. I would make a motion that staff proceed as directed in closed session.
- [Councilwoman] Second.
- [Eric] Motion's been made by alder.
- [Barbara] Who's going to open session?
- [Councilwoman] Regular.
- [Barbara] Move to go into open session.
- [Veronica] Second.
- [Eric] Motion by alder from the first, second by alder from the second to move into open session, all in favor will signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- Aye.
- All opposed nay. The ayes have it. The alder from the fourth again.
- I'll make a motion that staff proceed as directed in closed session.
- Second.
- Second.
- Alder from the fourth has made a motion to proceed as directed in closed session, it's been seconded by the alder from the second. All in favor will signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- Aye.
- All opposed nay. The ayes have it. Alder from the 12th.
- I believe now we're on the policy and protection item nine. I'd like to make a motion to refer to city attorney.

- [Bill] Second.
- [Eric] Motion's been made to refer to city attorney by alder from the 12th, second by alder from the fourth, all in favor will signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- Aye.
- [Eric] All opposed, nay. The ayes have it. And we are on...
- [Councilman] Was that that closed session? No. Nay. Thanks, mayor.
- [Eric] Thank you. We're on ordinance... Ordinance's first reading. I'll entertain a motion to advance items one through four to a second reading.
- [Andy] So moved.
- Second.
- Second.
- [Eric] We have a motion by alder from the third, second by alder from the seventh to advance, all in favor please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- Aye.
- [Eric] Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it and those have been advanced to the final reading. Referral of petitions and communications. Any communications? Alder from the sixth.
- Yes, this'll go to the park board I believe. As the park department has no policy on notification of residents slash neighbors, major additions, alterations, that would directly affect them, I'm therefore asking for a policy ordinance put in place.
- [Eric] Very good, alder from the eighth.
- Thank you mister mayor. That would go to parks, I believe parks has jurisdiction over city hall, so, discuss how to enable free wifi access or increased bandwidth during all city, committee, and council meetings.
- [Eric] Thank you alder, alder from the 10th.
- Thank you your honor. This is the traffic, bicycle and pedestrian. To look at the feasibility of left turn caution arrows along Military Avenue lights between Shauno Avenue and Mason Streets.

- [Eric] Thanks alder, alder from the 12th.

- Yes thank you mayor. To improvement and services for public works to provide an up to date account of revenue and expenditures of the wheel tax.

- [Eric] Thank you alder, alder from the first.

- This is, both of these are to planning commission. First to incorporate the findings of the analysis of impediments to fair housing into the comprehensive plan, and secondly to research and create a fair housing ordinance for the city of Green Bay.

- [Eric] Thank you alder, alder from the third.

- Thank you mayor. This is on the behalf of a concerned constituent of district three. Bear with me. I am requesting, the constituent, who's very concerned in district three, is requesting that in appreciation of Guy Zima's 40 years of service to the city, to the Green Bay city council and Brown County board of supervisors, that Spring Street, between Munro and Madison be renamed Guy Zima Lane.

- [Eric] Thank you alder. Alder from the fourth.

- Thank you your honor.

- [Councilman] Staff. Traffic. Planning.

- [Councilwoman] Yeah, to rename the street.

- [Councilman] Pardon?

- [Councilwoman] To rename the street, yes. Planning.

- [Councilman] Planning? Thanks.

- Alright. Thank you your honor. I don't normally have too many, but indulge me tonight, I do. Improvement in services, I want to report on the timeline of who knew what, when, from city employees, as it pertains to the reporting of the flood from March 14th. And from that information I want staff to develop an early warning system for man made and natural disasters to help our citizens have as much time as possible to preserve life and property in the future. Again, for improvement in services, I want IT to study the ongoing issues with the civic clerk program and report on fixes for it. Improvement in services, I'd like an update on the plan that I requested I think over a year ago, to bring our sidewalks up to code. And I want to know when that will be ready. Oh, and I have one more, I'm sorry. And this for protection and policy. A procedure for alders to be notified when petitions and communications are going to be addressed at the committee level. I think I've been pretty good on some of my requests. I haven't been bothering staff on it, or harassing them or anything. And, I'm just afraid sometimes this stuff gets lost in the traces, I've been on a few committees where alders don't show up, and I think it's because maybe, time, it takes a while for stuff to come forward and they just lose it in the minutiae that goes on. So thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks alder, alder from the 11th. Alder Vanderleest? Alder from the ninth?
- Yes sir, the traffic commission to address chronic complaints regarding speeding on 12th avenue.
- [Eric] Okay. Clerk, do you have any late communications?
- [Clerk] I do not.
- Okay.
- Just one that I would like to clarify. I don't know that the civic clerk should be set to INS.
- [Councilman] I'm sorry, which one?
- City clerk.
- [Councilman] ID?
- [Councilman] Some finance. I guess I thought IT kind of...
- Yeah. I mean, that makes most sense.
- Who does IT answer to?
- Thank you.
- [Councilman] Anybody, anybody?
- [Councilman] Finance.
- [Councilman] That's what I thought.
- [Councilman] Gotta take part.
- [Eric] Clerk, any late communications?
- [Clerk] No I do not.
- Thank you. I'll entertain a motion to refer all petitions and communications.
- Second.
- So moved.
- [Councilman] Second.
- Motion's been made by alder from the third, second by alder from the first to refer all petitions and communications to the proper authority. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.
- Those opposed nay, the ayes have it, and those petitions and communications have been referred.
- Motion to adjourn, made by alder from the seventh, seconded by alder from the first. All in favor please signify by saying aye.
- [Council] Aye.
- All opposed nay.
- [Councilman] Nay.
- The ayes have it. We're adjourned.