



MINUTES OF THE PROTECTION AND POLICY COMMITTEE

**MONDAY, JUNE 10, 2019, 5:30 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Randy Scannell, John VanderLeest, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Excused:
Also present: Lt. Steve Mahoney, Assistant City Attorney Joanne Bungert, Chief of Staff Celestine
Jeffreys, Ald. Brian Johnson, Ald. Barbara Dorff

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

I. Approval of the agenda for the June 10, 2019 Protection & Policy meeting.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve agenda for the June 10,
2019 Protection & Policy meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes of the May 13, 2019 Protection & Policy meeting.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve the minutes of the May
13, 2019 Protection & Policy meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Everett Butzine, 1621 14th Ave., regarding his dog Henry being declared dangerous.

QUASI JUDICIAL/HEARING:

The Committee may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1)(a) Wisconsin Statutes for the purpose of deliberating a case which may be the subject of a quasi-judicial trial or hearing before that governmental body. The Committee may, thereafter, reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2) Wisconsin Statutes to report any actions taken during the closed session and to consider all other matters on the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to hold until the July 8 Protection & Policy meeting an appeal by Everett Butzine, 1621 14th Ave., regarding his dog Henry being declared dangerous. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Eric Chang at 830 Howard Street regarding invoice 19001317.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to hold until the July 8 Protection & Policy meeting an appeal by Eric Chang at 830 Howard Street regarding invoice 19001317. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action on a request by Tenet, LLC at 2475 W. Mason St. to amend

their licensed premises to include an exterior patio/terrace space and to allow a waiver in the requirement of the ordinance for fencing (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve a request by Tenet, LLC at 2475 W. Mason St. to amend their licensed premises suspending the ordinance requirements for an outdoor licensed premise and to approve the proposed outdoor premises as proposed, pending the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried. (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close floor. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on a request by 304 N. Adams Green Bay, LLC at 304 N. Adams St. to amend their liquor license to include outdoor seating/serving in the City right-of-way (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve a request by 304 N. Adams Green Bay, LLC at 304 N. Adams St. to amend their liquor license to include outdoor seating/serving in the City right-of-way. Motion carried. (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on a request by Richard Craniums at 840 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on June 13, 16, 20, 23, 27, 30; July 4, 7, 11, 14, 18, 21, 25, 28; August 1, 4, 8, 11, 15, 18, 22, 25, 29; September 1 (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve a request by Richard Craniums at 840 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on June 13, 16, 20 and 23 (subject to complaint) and hold decision on the remainder of the requested dates (June 27, 30; July 4, 7, 11, 14, 18, 21, 25, 27; August 1, 4, 8, 11, 15, 18, 22, 25, 29; September 1) until the June 24 Protection & Policy special meeting. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on a request by Green Bay Sportservice, Inc. at 1265 Lombardi Ave. to temporarily extend their licensed premises to the parking lot on September 14, 2019 for a one-day special event.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the request by Green Bay Sportservice, Inc. at 1265 Lombardi Ave. to temporarily extend their licensed premises to the parking lot on September 14, 2019 for a one-day special event, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on a request by Pepper at 114 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on June 22, 2019 for a charity event.(The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve a request by Pepper at 114 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on June 22, 2019 for a charity event, subject to complaint. Motion carried. (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on a change of agent by Kwik Trip 115 at 515 W. Walnut St.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the change of agent by Kwik Trip 115 at 515 W. Walnut St. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

9. Consideration with possible action on a change of agent by Aardvark Wine Lounge, LLC at 304 Pine St. for the 2019-2020 license year.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve the change of agent by Aardvark Wine Lounge, LLC at 304 Pine St. for the 2019-2020 license year. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

10. Consideration with possible action renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license by

Aardvark Wine Lounge, LLC at 304 Pine St. for the 2019-2020 license year, pending the approval of the change of agent at the June 18 Common Council meeting.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve the renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license by Aardvark Wine Lounge, LLC at 304 Pine St. for the 2019-2020 license year, pending the approval of the change of agent at the June 18 Common Council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

11. Consideration with possible action on the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2019-2020 license year.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2019-2020 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

12. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Roberto Rebolledo regarding the denial of his operator's license (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve an appeal by Roberto Rebolledo regarding the denial of his operator's license. Motion carried. (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

13. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Elizabeth Perrine regarding the denial of her operator's license (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to go into closed session. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Ald. Randy Scannell read the closed session language.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to return to regular order of business. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve an appeal by Elizabeth Perrine regarding the denial of her operator's license. Motion carried. (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

14. Consideration with possible action by Ald. Wery which states: "To request a report of police call volume on Western Ave. from Oneida to Taylor. What addresses are habitual problems, have nuisance plans and bills for service been issued? Develop a plan moving forward to reduce the number of police calls to this area," previously held at the May 13 Protection & Policy meeting.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

To hold until the July 8 Protection & Policy Meeting a request by Ald. Wery which states: "To request a report a police call volume on Western Ave. from Oneida to Taylor. What addresses are habitual problems, have nuisance plans and bills for service been issued? Develop a plan moving forward to reduce the number of police calls to this area."

15. Consideration with possible action on a request by Ald. Galvin to the Protection & Policy committee to have a procedure for alders to be notified when petitions and communications are going to be addressed at the committee level.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to hold until the July 8 Protection & Policy meeting a request by Ald. Galvin to have a procedure for alders to be notified when petitions and communications are going to be addressed at the committee level for staff to get information how much each late communication costs. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

I. Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for June 10, 2019.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for June 10, 2019. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

F. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to adjourn at 7:35 p.m. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye. The Protection and Policy Committee for June 10, 2019, room 207, is now in session. Role call, we have Alder Stevens?

- Here.

- Alder Vander Leest?

- Here.

- Alder Steuer?

- Here.

- And myself, Alder Scannell. I'll take a motion to approve our agenda.

- Motion to approve.

- I second.

- Motion to approve by Alder Vander Leest, seconded by Alder Steuer. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Opposed? That carries unanimously. I'll take a motion to approve, well, wait a minute.

- Yep, we're good.

- Oh, okay.

- Mm-hmm.

- I gotta remember. I take a motion to approve our minutes from May 13, 2019.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens, seconded by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Opposed? Our minutes from our last meeting are approved. On to regular business.

- Yes.

- Consideration with possible action and appeal by Everett Butzine, I'm sorry if I mispronounced that, at 1621 14th Avenue, regarding his dog, Henry, being declared dangerous. This is a quasi-judicial hearing. If any party wants us to go into closed session, we can do that. Otherwise, we'll just proceed. Okay, we'll start with Officer Mavis, please?

- Yeah. Before we move forward, because it is quasi-judicial, I just kind of wanted to go over what the procedure is, just so that everybody is aware. The police department and the appellate will have an opportunity to each present evidence, including testimony. Evidence needs to be credible, relevant, and probative. We may establish time limits if the Committee so chooses. The Committee may ask questions of both parties during or after the presentation of evidence. Every witness has to be sworn in.

- Sworn in, okay?

- Correct. And the police department will present first, and the floor will have to be opened for any witnesses to present after, the appellate will present second who can admit exhibits into the record. It would take a motion to identify the evidence and then admit it into the record, then the Committee will deliberate, and then the Committee will recite their findings of fact, conclusions of law, and state for the record what the decision of the Committee is in the cases of the judges.

- Thanks.

- And that's it.

- Yep.

- Okay?

- I think so. Please raise your upper arm there, one of 'em. Do you solemnly swear the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

- So help me god, yes.

- Thank you, okay.

- Did you receive a packet?

- Yes, we did.

- Oh good, fantastic. To kind of give you just a brief background on this, on April 19th at around 11:00 am, there was an incident where a dog from 1621 14th Avenue was loose off property and had attacked a woman and her leashed dog, at that time. Animal Control interns along with community service interns were dispatched at that time. I ended up discovering the incident a few days after, and I'd looked at the injuries of the photographs, and I'm like a citation needs to be issued. The severity of the extent of the injuries was quite significant, and there were multiple violations. So, at that time, I had issued an animal violation notice to the owner who was identified as Everett Butzine or Bute-zine, over at that address on 14th. He has a tan pitbull-mix dog named Henry that adopted from the Oshkosh Humane Society I think around February of this past year. I advised him that his dog was involved in an attack to a woman and her leashed dog while at the intersection of Liberty and 14th Avenue, resulting in injuries, and that he was responsible for any medical bills and veterinarian bills that were sustained as a result of that incident. I explained that the criteria is met for a dangerous dog at that time, but would not be considering that. Just, maybe it was a fluke accident kind of thing and he would be cited accordingly. I also advised him to obtain a city dog license by May 23rd, because he was not compliant with that per city ordinance. So the license was an issue, animal running a large, animal unattended within five feet of a public right of way, which is a sidewalk, a street, or an alley, and explained that to him. He, at that time, when I spoke with him over the phone advised me that I believe his sister, he used the name Aspen, had the dog at that time, and did not have control of the animal. He was not home at the time of the incident. Just documented it with a police report, issued multiple citations, and the dog went on a home quarantine because it did have its rabies shots. So, another incident actually happened a month to the day, May 19th, and Officer Kantie at that time was dispatched to the same intersection for another incident where this dog bolted out of a garage door, I guess servant's door if you might, and ran after a woman with her leashed dog walking through the same intersection and ended up attacking her and her dog. It sounds like some of these injuries were sustained as a result of the owner trying to intervene. It appears that the dog has some issues with other smaller dogs, and does not respect the boundaries. Again, her injuries were quite significant, as well as her dog. The first dog that was attacked was a shitzu-mixed dog, had a tooth end having to be extracted, some punctures, and the second dog, I believe, was like a basset, basset-mix, also sustained some injuries, puncture wounds. Both dogs had to be treated at the Green Bay Animal Referral Center. Both victims had to get medical treatment at a hospital. So, following that incident, the officer had actually ordered a home quarantine, but according to our policy, any second and subsequent bites have to be quarantined in an isolation facility. So, I did make contact with the dog owner, Everett, at that time and said, hey the dog has to go to the Humane Society, who is our contracted holder, at that time, to finish his quarantine from there. And then I did declare the dog dangerous based on the two different attacks that we've had while loose, off property. And Officer Kantie at that time, had issued Everett a citation for dangerous dog. I believe last Monday was actually the court hearing for the citations issued in April, and he did not show up for court. As of this time, to the best of my knowledge, no city license has been obtained. I did inquire with the city Clerk's office, I believe, on the sixth of June. I also inquired with both victims, neither victim has been reimbursed for their medical bills or their animals veterinary bills that have accumulated. I also reached out to the Oshkosh Humane Society to gather some information on the dog, and they advised that, I spoke with one of the dog trainers. Her name was Carrie, I believe, Tetsla. She said that she spent a year and a half working with the dog, the dog could not be respectful of other dog's boundaries, had issues. It was recommended that it go to a one-dog-only home. And she said that based on her recommendations given the history of this, that she recommend that the dog actually go back to their facility to be humanely euthanized. So that's her professional recommendation on that. And I did get personal injury photographs and a statement from one of the victims. This would be the incident that happened April 19th. She completed this that you have here. I'm not sure if any of the victims are present here today or not.

- Was this the paper?

- Yes. I have it in color if you would like to see that, as well.

- It's colored in the?

- Yeah. They're pretty gruesome, they're pretty gruesome. And basically what this comes down to is that there's just lack of control. There's lack of control. Everett did advise that he had the dog transferred down to Plymouth, Wisconsin for some aggression, dog aggression training. The dog has been through a year and a half of shelter training with one of their canine handlers. I don't believe that the dog's gonna benefit from any additional training. I do believe that these incidents could have been prevented if the dog was just simply under control.

- Okay.

- So.

- Thank you.

- So, I guess you answered one question already as far as the medical costs and the vet costs, that they haven't been reimbursed. What was that, do you have a number on that? Or is it in there?

- I do.

- Yep, it's in there.

- But I just thought, for the record.

- Sure.

- Right, I just have to refer to this here. She did indicate, their invoice was \$541, and assuming out of pocket was \$390 for the first victim. The veterinary fees were \$103.94. I believe that was for the Green Bay Animal Referral Center. I'm not sure if any additional follow up or care was required from the primary veterinarian that they see. There is also prescriptions that were obtained, that was for the first victim. I don't have the costs for the second victim. I believe she was waiting for her insurance to come through.

- Okay, thank you.

- Thank you.

- For the first victim, we have no photos of the, but could you describe?

- The first victim, those are the photographs that you have.

- Okay, the second one then?

- The second one, there should be photographs in the case that were sent. She sustained injuries to her hand.

- Okay.

- And she may be here today. I'm not sure.

- Okay.

- Susan?
- Okay, that will do it.
- Yep. Any other questions?
- None right now, but.
- Yeah, we'll follow up.
- Okay.
- Yeah.
- Okay, thank you.
- Yep. It would be now the plaintiff's turn to respond?
- Yes, right, mm-hmm. And then there should be a motion to open the floor.
- I'll make a motion to open the floor.
- I'll second.
- Motion by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, the floor is now open for, this is, Nancy Novraski? Oh, I'm sorry no, you want? Is the plaintiff here? Oh plaintiff, plaintiff right. Yeah, please. It's okay.
- The plaintiff is not here.
- He isn't?
- Nuh-huh.
- Okay.
- No one's here for? Well then--
- Move on?
- I think we could wrap this up pretty quick if?
- At that point, I think I would advise that you adjourn and pull this for another day to have the plaintiff to have an opportunity to come, and a new notice sent.
- And it's had to be done in the past.

- Okay.
- Just because this is, we wanna ensure that due process is given to the property owner because we be essentially taking property away.
- Okay, when we con--
- And if you want to hold it towards the end of the meeting, but I understand that you have staff here.
- Right, and I'm assuming perhaps he's not going to, if we could reach out and also notify him that we've held it, and that if he's going to--
- To let the Clerk's office know.
- Yeah, so that if he's not, he can let everybody know, and we can just deal with it, but yes. We opened the floors, so go ahead.
- Can I just say that he has moved out of the house that he was living in, and it was rather sudden.
- Sorry, anything that, we would need to re-open the floor.
- We never closed it, did we?
- Right so she just can be sworn in if she's presenting any evidence.
- All right, well, okay. Well--
- Motion to open the floor.
- If you do, she needs to be sworn in.
- Yeah.
- No, the floor is open. The witness needs to be sworn in.
- Alrighty, yeah, yep, yep, yep, okay.
- Sorry.
- Okay, so please raise whatever hand you like, do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
- I do, so help me god.
- Okay, thank you. Please state you name and address for the record.
- I'm Nancy Novraski, 1930 Liberty Street, Green Bay.
- Okay.

- I just wanna to state that I was half a block away from this dog and on the other side of the street, and the dog came tearing out and it attacked me twice, and I fell on the ground, and had my whole eye injured.

- Yeah, we saw the pictures.

- And I had surgery.

- Yeah.

- But he has moved out since, and it was a very fast move. It was like on a Sunday, and the mail man was coming to my house, and there was no delivery on Sunday. And I thought, why is he coming with no package? And he knocks on my door and he said, just so you know, those people are moving out. They moved out within a matter of a day. So they're not there anymore.

- Okay, so there's no idea where they're at right now?

- No. So they could still be in Green Bay, and the dog could hurt someone else.

- Right, so we need to--

- So I wanted to let you know that.

- See if we can contact him, see if we can find him, and if they're within the city, we need to enforce. We need to contact him that we've held this meeting. Are they gonna defend it? If not, they need to take care of that dog.

- Lieutenant Mahoney just stepped out to try and see if we can contact or how he can make contact for the plaintiff.

- Okay.

- To see if there's planning to attend, but at this point, I would recommend to hold until the next meeting just to give the Clerk's office another opportunity to notice.

- Yep.

- And then at that time, the Committee can come to a decision.

- Yeah, I just have a quick question. Where is the dog right now?

- Well, we don't know, with the owner.

- All right, so I thought maybe it was at a facility of some sort right now.

- Nope.

- Okay, well thank you. Now we'll take a motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye. Opposed? Motion to hold this? Because that's what we need to do.
- Motion to hold.
- Seconded.
- Procedure of a motion to hold by Alder Steuer, seconded, oh, yep.
- Just a question for Joanna. Joanna, were they properly noticed that the hearing was occurring today?
- The plaintiff is the one that requests the hearing, so he sent in a hearing, and then it was confirmed with him by the Clerk's office when that hearing was going to occur. The hearing doesn't automatically get scheduled. It only gets scheduled if the owner requests said hearing.
- So how would they have been notified?
- I believe in writing by the Clerk's office. So if he's moved.
- Of course, they moved.
- They moved, so I mean, who knows. The decision of this Committee is the final decision or does this get advanced to Council for?
- I believe this gets advanced to Council, so it would go to a Council.
- I mean I, I guess, I don't know. If they knew that this was occurring today and they were sent a notification, and they weren't here, I would be, personally, I'd be obliged to just make the decision and move on and if they want to appeal, could they still not have that opportunity for due process with the full Council?
- Council then acts on the report and the findings of the Committee.
- Right, and we only have that report.
- Right.
- Okay, I mean, they weren't robbed of due process. They had the--
- Right, but if they showed up at Council, we'd basically have to do Committee work on the floor. We would be doing a trial, what we should be doing here.
- Yes, but at that time, you could refer it back at that time.
- Is that true?
- I would assume that they would have cause for complaint because, I've sat in this Committee for two years, and it's happened before. And we've always given them another opportunity to have a hearing.
- Yeah.

- We didn't ever just have the hearing without them. So it would be different in our past practice if we suddenly just kind of said, no we're not gonna let them have another chance. With a situation like this, these dog situations are super touchy. We usually give them one more chance. I'm just telling you what past practice--

- Yep, yep, mm-hmm.

- And Randy knows.

- Yep.

- 'Cause he was on that Committee, too.

- Yeah.

- And I get the past practice piece, but I guess I don't feel like due process has been removed for the individual. Unless Lieutenant Mahoney, perhaps, were you able to reach them?

- Nope. I left them a message to call or text me if he get my voicemail message.

- Yeah.

- But I wasn't able to get ahold of him.

- Yeah, yeah.

- Can I say something? He works for--

- Ah, ah.

- We need.

- Hold on.

- We need to open the floor again.

- I need to, I didn't catch the vote. I don't think we--

- We didn't vote.

- Finished the motion to close.

- Oh, didn't we?

- Yeah it was.

- I made a motion to close.

- Didn't we vote on it?

- Did you finish the vote?
- We voted.
- Yeah, we voted, but then I, the vote, we closed the floor. It was unanimous. It was--
- Right I didn't--
- Motion by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Stevens.
- Steuer, Stevens.
- It was the same to open.
- Re-open it?
- Oh, it was the motion to hold, I'm sorry, that I didn't catch. Okay, so if you wanted to reopen the floor, you could do that now.
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, if you'd go ahead and just please step up and give your name and address, but first let's swear you in, whichever arm.
- This one.
- Promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
- Yes, Susan McClaire. I'm the second victim. I live at 878 Waverly. I have a friend that works in this building, he also works in this building, the owner of the dog. He works for the Building Commission, I believe she said. She was introduced to him a few weeks ago.
- He works for the city?
- [Woman] We do not have a Building Commission.
- Let me just see real quick what she said. The planning to administer a housing program he works for?
- He could be on the planning?
- He could be a part of it?
- Yeah.
- I don't know. She was introduced to him a few weeks ago. He works in this building, from what she said.

- Wow, hopefully that'll make him easier to track down.
- So that's, yeah that's why I just wanted.
- We can look into that.
- To make sure he's--
- He does not work in this building.
- No?
- Nuh-huh.
- No, yeah. We have a list of all--
- Okay. 'Cause she works here--
- But thanks for--
- She was introduced, but I guess I just wanna say like, I've been around dogs my entire life. There was nothing nice about this dog. It's scary to think that there could be another resident getting hurt right now.
- Okay that's, we gotta make sure that they have moved out of the city if they've moved.
- And it's even scarier to think that it could be in De Pere or Howard.
- That's out of our jurisdiction, unfortunately.
- It scares me.
- I mean if--
- I mean, but I'm just saying that's how this dog. I'm the owner, we have a 90 pound pitbull at home. That's the biggest baby ever. This dog was pure kill. That's all it was out to do. There was no stiff, no tail wag, it just wanted to kill. An thank god I was there to save my dog. But, I just wanted to make that clear, that there is nothing nice about this dog.
- Okay, thank you. Questions for an Alder? Okay, I would entertain a motion to close the floor.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- Aye.

- The floor is now closed. Motion to hold?
- I made that motion.
- Oh yes, you did. What was that seconded?
- Motion by Alder Steuer to hold? And seconded by Alder Stevens, that we did not vote on. I don't think there's any need for further discussion. All right, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, and that passes unanimously. We'll hold that and see if we can't track down Mr. Butine. Boot-zee-nee, I guess I had it.
- Boot-zen.
- Boot-zen?
- We're good to go.
- All right. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Eric Chang at 830 Howard Street regarding invoice 19001317. But first we'll get staff's input on this, and then I'll open the floor again, okay?
- Okay.
- Yep.
- So, we received communication from the attorney for the, so we're on number two, correct?
- Correct?
- We received information from the attorney for the appellate that he wished to have this item held until the next meeting because he had a conflict.
- Oh.
- And wasn't able to attend tonight.
- We can do that.
- We can hold it. You have to do a motion.
- Motion by Alder Steuer to hold. Seconded by Alder Stevens. Why don't we just hold the whole thing?
- Yeah.
- Okay.
- All this stuff, hold.

- Okay.
- Motion by Alder Steuer to hold, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, that item is held.
- So, we contact attorney for the date?
- It'll go through, yep, you'll be contacted.
- Mm-hmm.
- Yep.
- Mm-hmm.
- But basically, it'll be one month from now.
- Okay, thank you.
- Yep, yep. Item number three? Consideration with possible action on a request by Tenet, LLC at 2475 W. Mason St. to amend their licensed premises to include an exterior patio/terrace space and to allow a waiver in the requirement of the ordinance for fencing. The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019. So we're the final say on this.
- Yeah, we are.
- Staff?
- Yes. So Law Department has no objections. We do want to note
- Bless you.
- That the appellate is requesting an outdoor patio that doesn't have--
- Fencing.
- A traditional fencing. They've met with staff and have presented that their plans include a build up patio, eventually, that does create a delineation and a boundary around the patio, so it should be clear to both patrons and law enforcement as to what is licensed premise and what is not licensed. Right, correct.
- How high?
- I believe it's 16 inches. I do believe the licensing, the applicant is here, so you can ask him questions directly. So, but we feel that it would satisfy at least the spirit of the ordinance in that it's delineating the boundary, however it will need a waiver. And this is up for final approval with P&P.

- Mm-hmm.
- So we would need a waiver by about 2/3, essentially, of the Committee in order to approve it. Waiving the ordinance requirements and approving as proposed. So, an example motion would be to recommend to waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor licensed premise and to approve the proposed outdoor premise as presented.
- Okay, anything from the police?
- [Steve] The police have no issues, we concur with them.
- Okay.
- So, the owner's here.
- Are there questions, do we have question? Are there any have questions for the owner?
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve suspending the ordinance and approving the--
- As proposed.
- As proposed, yes. Okay, by Alder Vander Leest.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Passes unanimously.
- Thank you to approve.
- Yep.
- Tell him it goes to Council.
- Oh, I'm sorry, I should have let everybody know this.
- Yeah, that item will not go to Council.
- Oh that's right.
- This was final approval.
- This one was approved, right, correct, mm-hmm. But--
- Yeah, so are we?

- Unless we would, the Council has given the Committee final authority on an issue, which it did on this last item, but I think that might be the only one, I will certainly mention it.

- Right.

- Unless your item falls in that category, everything we do here is just a recommendation to Council. Council has the final see, which will be?

- 21st.

- Next Tuesday.

- Next Tuesday. At seven o'clock, it will be next door. So if you don't like our decision, you can always appeal to the entire Council.

- But your item, Sir, was final.

- Six o'clock.

- Six o'clock, I'm sorry.

- Understood, yes. So the liquor portion of the program being final.

- Yes.

- Clarification, effective immediately since it's--

- Wait, wait, wait.

- Since it's like--

- Open the floor. Motion to open the floor.

- Second.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer, second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Opposed? Okay, did you fill out a?

- I did, you've got it.

- Okay, you're name and address please?

- Jason Campbell, 3585 Blackberry.

- Yep.

- The owner, and I was just clarifying, since this is already an existing license and the extension to the patio space, effective immediately?

- Yes?

- Yeah? We have the final authority. So I would assume?

- Final authority, correct.

- All right.

- Once the license is amended and issue, then, you physically have to have--

- Understood, yep. So talk to the Clerk's Office?

- Mm-hmm.

- Yep. Thank you, gentlemen.

- Sure.

- Just a quick question. Anything as far as permits or anything like that?

- I believe that with planning, that's a separate issue.

- All right, just wondering.

- But I believe he's been working with planning on having all the permits and then getting the certificate of occupancy and all of that squared away.

- All right.

- He has to pass inspections before the license can be physically issued to him.

- Yep.

- Once he's passed, then the Clerk's office can physically hand him his license.

- Yep.

- So you're aware of that?

- Oh yeah, very much, yep. Thank you.

- Okay, thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.

- By Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?

- Aye.
- The floor is now closed, and we've already, did we already vote on this?
- Yes.
- So.
- This was an open and closed.
- Open and closed.
- Okay. Number four.
- Number four. Consideration with possible action on a request by 304 N. Adams Green Bay, LLC at 304 N. Adams Street to amend their liquor license to include outdoor seating/serving in the City right-of-way. The Protection and Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019. So if we agree to this, it will be final tonight. Staff?
- No objections.
- Police concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Okay.
- Number five, consideration with possible action on a request by Richard Craniums at 840 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on June 13, 16, 20, 23, 27, 30, July 4, 7, 11, 14, 18, 21, 25, 28, and August 1, 4, 8, 11, 15, 18, 22, 25, 29, and September 1st. The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019. So again, we have the final authority on this one. And staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- Police Department?
- We just wanna make sure there's adequate security and fencing or people out there to make sure alcohol's not been passed out of the parking lot or underage drinking.

- Yep. So, is there someone here for this? Anyone here for this item? And with that, there's, one moment.
- I don't think they'll let anybody underage.
- No? You feel pretty confident on this, huh?
- Well, I had some questions, but I don't know. It just seemed like, well granted, it's for a cornhole hole tournament, is that it is? Or an area, that's on the website?
- Mm-hmm.
- So it'll be outdoors. We've got a lot of dates outdoors. You know, and I'm just, any concerns by neighbors? I realize where the bar is, but you know if anything at all where there might be some issue with noise or other things. I don't--
- That's on Avenue, right?
- Not sure where Richard Craniums is. It's Richard Craniums, South Broadway.
- This is Richard Craniums.
- Oh, sorry.
- 840 South Broadway.
- South Broadway, Broadway, Broadway, yeah sorry, yeah.
- Yeah, so I know the area well, so I don't know if that'll be much of an issue, but I just kind of wanted to talk to the owner and find out about that. And if you have no problem with it, police, I mean.
- We have no legal objection to it. It's more, we usually defer to PD on that because of the community impact issue.
- Of course, this is kind of time sensitive. It's already June month, we got some dates.
- Yeah, you got the 13th is just two, three days from now.
- Thursday.
- Thursday.
- There are a lot of events and we realize that, I guess there might be some inconvenience to the neighbors if they get nosy, I guess that's something that we would monitor. And if they're out there and they are causing a disturbance, then we would act appropriately, like we would any other time.
- Mm-hmm, right.
- I don't know, I just feel uncomfortable. I kind of, you know I probably would have approved it if the owner was here and we could just talk about it.

- We could hold it. I mean they'd lose out on a date or two, but there's a lot of 'em.
- Well I think, it's just common sense or respect to come before the Committee and ask them, that's all I'm asking.
- Yeah, that makes sense.
- So, if that's the case, what then?
- Well, we're having another meeting June 24th, so that's about two weeks.
- So he'll miss a couple.
- Yep, they'll miss a couple.
- I'll ask the Committee what they think?
- I'm a little.
- Motion to hold.
- Motion to hold? And just tell him we can do it at our special meeting.
- Okay.
- If needed.
- Yeah.
- Yeah.
- Okay. Anyone knows about the special meeting?
- It's special.
- Motion to hold by Alder Stevens.
- Are they missing dates?
- They're gonna miss, yeah they'll miss four of 'em, I believe.
- They will miss, one, two, four.
- Huh?
- Is that too harsh?
- Well, the 27th, if we meet on the 24th, they should be able to get the 27th.

- P&P does have final approval authority, so if you approve it on the 24th, then they would be able to hold their 27th.

- All right, so they'll miss four.

- All right, and if it was a special meeting, let's say next week if we got the owner? I realize it's inconvenient, but is that something we could just do there? Just, we could, if they can get ahold of them. You know, it's we're reaching out to them, so technically they should be here, I feel. So, I don't know how you're wanting to deal with it.

- Well, we do have a special meeting on the 24th. If we wanna have another meeting before that time, we don't know if they could make that or not. So we'd have to try and coordinate and all that, as well as our own schedules.

- I'm willing to reach out that way. I'd be willing to do that. So I don't know how the Committee feels.

- Let me ask if they've had any problems in the past?

- [Steve] No, when they've asked for events out there, we haven't had any issues at all with pretty much anything.

- How many years have they been in operation? Do you roughly know how many years?

- [Steve] That I really couldn't tell ya. I'd have to look it up.

- It's been a while. They've been before this Committee a number of times to do special events.

- But, maybe they neglected being here, but if they got a good track record in the past, I'd be willing to give them an opportunity to keep their events going.

- Can I just ask quickly what the other events were, offhand?

- [Steve] I'd have to review it before I would--

- Because this is another type of event, and it's usually very crowded, it gets kind of noisy.

- I'll see if I can find it.

- You know, I don't know if it was--

- Let's see.

- It's a lot of dates.

- Yeah to me, it's a lot.

- I think if we got someone to end 'em a memo, you know if the legal staff would send 'em a memo and get back to what they're gonna have as far as security and things like that?

- And if we've already approved it.

- Well, right, that's the thing.

- That's the thing, but I think you do bring a valid point that they have had many events in the past and there's never been an issue.

- My only concern is the number of events here, there's many, and a lot of time there's three or four events that a bar will ask for. This is 20 or more.

- I suggest we hold this until the 24th to give them an opportunity.

- Can we hold it with the possibility that if they were willing to meet, that we would reach out and take a 10 minute meeting, or whatever.

- Alder Steven says the 24th.

- You wanna wait 'til the 24th?

- I'd second that.

- Yes, then?

- So just looking back to Richard Craniums' events, so they've had two dates in July of last year, one date May of last year, they've had another date you know late August of last year. So they've had a number of events, but not so many strung together.

- That many.

- And I'm sure they do good, but there's 26 events here and I know, Brian, that's in your district so.

- Yep.

- If I may, I mean it's a good operation. Don runs a nice facility over there, particularly when you think about some of the, I think, the problems that you've historically seen over in that part of the district. And so, I mean I have zero concerns about the operator himself. I guess if you're concern is about the quantity, I mean, and I apologize I wasn't here to maybe hear the information beforehand, I was in the hallway, about what all of the events are or if there are concerns from staff.

- Nope.

- No, none? Okay, I mean if the concern is about the quantity and you want to have an opportunity to speak with Don, maybe what I would recommend is at least approve those events, if that's possible, to sort of split this up where we can approve the ones prior to the next meeting, so that way we don't interrupt his business operations, and then you can have that opportunity to discuss the balance at the next meeting.

- I'd be open to that.

- Yeah, could we approve to the 23rd of this month?

- Yeah.

- And then hold the rest--
- Just make sure that we have it stated for the record which ones are being approved,
- Yep.
- and which ones are being held until the 24th.
- Okay.
- Can I--
- So that'd be a reasonable compromise?
- Yeah, I think that's perfectly fine.
- I'd amend the motion.
- Okay, thank you.
- All right, thanks.
- The motion is to amend it that we approve June 13, 16, 20, and 23rd, and we hold the remainder of the events until our special meeting on the 24th.
- Okay, so moved by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously.
- Okay.
- Usually Donald's here.
- Yep.
- He's usually at these meetings.
- Well, you know what? I think I've got an email from him saying that he won't be able to make it.
- Okay.
- But this was, that was a week ago or something, though.
- Oh, we've had--
- Yeah, whatever.

- We can have another chat with him.

- Yeah, all right.

- Okay.

- This has been a really interesting rhythm.

- A little bit of everything.

- Right. Item number six, consideration with possible action on a request by Green Bay Sportservice, Inc. at 1265 Lombardi Ave. to temporarily extend their licensed premises to the parking lot on September 14, 2019 for a one-day special event. I take it since that's in September, we are not the final authority on this one.

- No.

- That is correct.

- Right, until October.

- So yeah, this will of to Council. Staff?

- Law Department has no objection.

- [Steve] Our same concern was to make sure there is adequate people and checking of IDs since it will be out in the parking lot.

- But they have, they've handled other events, though, haven't they?

- Yes.

- So I know their track records good.

- Yes, we don't have any concerns, we just pretty much want it on the record that they're going to make sure that they'll properly check IDs, 'cause they've been trained to do that.

- Well can we, if we approve this, to make sure that they're aware of that. You know?

- Right, but if we approve it, I mean on the owner--

- The Committee, with the Committee, but I'm just saying if it was Council, then if you have any questions at that time, then you could bring them up.

- Right, because this will go to Council.

- Council, this will go to Council.

- Is there anything else?

- Nope.

- Yes.
- Oh, I'm sorry.
- He's being so obedient.
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor, David?
- Our fault, our fault.
- Thank you, no problem.
- Sorry.
- Motion to open the floor by Alder Streuer, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed, okay the floor is now open. Please state your name and address. This isn't the jury, so--
- Oh, okay.
- You don't have to swear.
- No, no, that's fine. Eric Babcook, Assistant General Manager for Green Bay Sportservice.
- Okay, and staff has?
- I'm assuming, like in the past, everyone is trained?
- Absolutely, so everybody has to serve-safe trained before they work any even that we have in Lambeau Field or out in the parking lot, so same thing.
- Thank you. Any other questions?
- Nope.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Thank you. Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed, okay, gentlemen, what would you like to do?
- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Vander Leest, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, and this will go to Council, but I'm sure by the 14th you'll be rocking and rolling.
- Thank you very much.
- Item number seven?
- One second.
- Yep.
- Yes.
- Excuse me. Consideration with possible action on a request by Pepper at 114 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on June 22, 2019 for a charity event. The Protection and Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019. So we do have final approval on this one; staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- [Steve] Our same concern, just making sure that there is ample or adequate security so alcohol's not passed out.
- Is there anyone here for this item? Any concerns?
- [Steve] We've never had issues with them.
- But if you like anything else, police are aware of it. I suppose somebody will be checking out there?
- Correct.
- Okay.
- Motion to approve.
- Well, yeah.
- Motion to approve by Alder Vander Leest.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously.

- Okay. Good.
- Number eight, Consideration with possible action on a change of agent by Kwik Trip 115 at, Kwik Trip 115, okay there now, at 515 West Walnut Street. Staff?
- Law Department has no objection.
- [Steve] Police concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Good to go.
- Number nine, Consideration with possible action on a change of agent by Aardvark Wine Lounge, LLC at 304 Pine St. for the 2019-2020 license year. Staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- [Steve] Police concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.
- I second.
- Seconded by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously. ♪ All together now ♪ ♪ All together ♪ I'm not gonna sing that. Good show.
- Okay.
- Number 10, consideration with possible action renewal application for a Class B Combination license by Aardvark Wine Lounge, LLC at 304 Pine Street for the 2019-2020 license year, pending the approval of the change of agent at the June 18th Common Council meeting. Actually, we just did that, correct?
- That was change of agent.

- Agent, so that's not the final authority, okay, right, right, right, right. Okay, so staff?
- Law Department has no objection.
- [Steve] Police concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens. Seconded by Alder Steuer. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Good to go.
- Number 11, consideration with possible action on the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2019-2020 license year; staff?
- Law Department has no objections.
- [Steven] Police concur.
- That was a long no objection. Yeah.
- I was making sure I was on the right,
- The suspense!
- On the right item.
- Yeah, uh-huh.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Vander Leest.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? That passed unanimously.
- We're good to go.

- Number 12, consideration with possible action on an appeal by Roberto Rebolledo regarding the denial of his operator's license. The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019. So this is one where we have final authority again; staff?
- Law Department recommends denial on this appeal since the applicant is a convicted felon and habitual offender from offenses that substantially relate to the licensed activity. I believe you have a denial memo listing all of the applicable offenses.
- Yep.
- Police concur with Law.
- Okay.
- All right.
- I will make a motion to open the floor.
- There is someone here for this?
- Yep, and the motion to open, yep.
- All right, okay, motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, the floor is now open. Please state your name and address.
- Roberto Rebolledo, 1971 Manitowoc Road, Green Bay, Apartment 24.
- Okay, what do you have to say?
- So I have contacted Green Bay Police Department in regards to supposed OWIs on the arrest, and they told me that it was out of Shawano County. I went to Shawano County to look at my criminal record from them, and I have a statement here stating that under their, I gave it to the Clerk's Office, also, so they have that.
- I believe we have a copy of that in out--
- Stating that I do not have any criminal record inside of the county or jurisdiction whatsoever, and that I needed to come back to you guys, Green Bay side, and say where is this coming from? Because I am not a felon. I have never once been through any of the course of actions that no one with a felony OWI people would be required to go through. So I'm kind of wondering?
- I'm wondering, too? I'm hoping that--
- Where and what is going on?

- That's strange.
- I mean I brought my US passport and Federally issued ID to Shawano County to verify my identity and who I am.
- And they wrote a letter.
- And they, yep, like I said it's.
- So right here?
- Yep.
- Because I was looking.
- To Whom it may Concern, upon the request of the above named Roberto Rebolledo.
- Close enough, yeah. I've heard it my entire life.
- I have completed a record search for this in Shawano County's Court and could not find any criminal court record charges for that individual. I have verified his identity through both driver's license and US passport. Our records have been computerized since 1993, so any court proceedings, I mean all these listed are much later, where the criminal would be able to be located with a simple name search, and prior to that date would require reviewing a court paper indexing. Any further questions, feel free to contact me at the above number. Yours truly, Susan M. Kreuger, Shawano County Clerk of Court. So where did we get all this?
- Searched CCAP and birthday and the applicant's name listed on the application. That was what was searching by PD in their background check.
- I've got a full record on my CCAP search right here if you guys would like to go over that.
- Okay, and if a search does, there is Brown County and the Green Bay Municipal Court.
- There's also the criminal background--
- It's not just Shawano.
- I've got it all.
- Oh, okay.
- I've got Green Bay's, I've got Brown County, and I've got Shawano County's.
- Okay.
- I can pull it up.
- So?

- So it's just?

- My CCAP on myself shows five different charges, mostly just two of those things are paternity, the charge dating back all the way to when I was late years of high school, 17-years-old. A small claims to Kelly Biggalo and an OAR. So it's simply driving citation, that's all I found under CCAP with my name. And then, as far as Green Bay goes, in the last eight years itself I haven't had anything more than just simple driving offenses and even in those, three of those subsequent driving offenses, there was no other additional concerns in regards to anything else that they had denied me for in the potential license itself. So I'm still just wondering where this felony conviction is? If you guys got citation number--

- So the felony says it comes from Shawano, but Shawano you've gone and done the work and--

- Yeah, it's like I said, just as long as I can see a citation number, statements made by police officers, anything. So that way if there's something I need to do to go correct the situation, I could also take that. Even if it's past this point here, just for my life in general.

- Right. That's the only felony in this is the one in Shawano.

- I have it in here.

- Everything else is misdemeanors, so I mean we would not hold up his license.

- So in the criminal background report that we ran, in 2005 we have an arrest with Shawano Police Department for an OWI, for which the charging court was Shawano Municipal Court, OWI, charge severity, felony, convicted. The disposition date November 10, 2005. I have it for Roberto Rebolledo, date of birth 4-18-1985, 1146 Apple Lane.

- Is there a possibility that you go to the site and they can see things, but things are updated?

- This is not website, this is the criminal background that was run by PD.

- Criminal background check.

- So this isn't available to the public.

- All right, okay.

- And sometimes things that are on the CID don't necessarily show up in CCAP.

- Okay.

- Have you--

- No, go ahead.

- If I may. Have you been bartending for?

- Recently I've been bartending, and then prior to I spent a little bit of time over at Bergen Street Pub and Grill serving for two years there. And then not only that, during that time for the last nine years, I've been working in Pub and Grills mostly managing kitchens. So in the environment, I'm well aware of what goes on, things like

that. I've also had serve-safe certifications with inside of the kitchen, and I also have passed the bartending courses to get. I usually make my way into management just through hard work and doing what I gotta do.

- I was just going to ask for, do you have any letters from anybody? Any bosses or people that?

- I do not. Being that I am currently working at two different bars, I didn't wanna bring up concern with bar owners that I may not be an employee for them, obviously, as I'm still trying to obtain the license, so.

- Right. That's all I have right now.

- Any other questions?

- So basically, the last few years, you haven't had any problems?

- Over eight years, I've only had driver citations.

- Can our attorney verify that? Is that true, ma'am.

- Yeah, it appears the last violation was in 2007.

- Which is a while.

- Yes.

- And there have been times in the past when we looked at a felony conviction and said that it happened so long ago, we no longer feel it's relevant.

- I'd still just like to see it, 'cause--

- Right.

- I can't recall it. I mean, normally, on OWI don't you have to do things like, the assessment, interlock device, any of that? That was never forced upon me, nothing.

- So you don't remember this event?

- I never had one. I've never, as far as I'm concerned, the only citations I've ever had within Shawano County was prohibited alcohol content, driving without a license, and underage drinking, and one other thing, but no OWI felony, anything.

- Prohibited alcohol content, when you go to court, you receive the OWI citation and the prohibited alcohol content citation, and the courts or the city attorney or DA can plea bargain where one gets dropped and you're convicted of the other one. So the prohibited alcohol concentration, is essentially an OWI citation. Sometimes attorneys or the District Attorney does that. They believe it might help you on your car insurance where it won't get jacked up as much.

- Yeah, that's another thing, that sentence never showed up on.

- [Steve] Yeah, so that's why sometimes the District Attorney will plea bargain that, so when we write an OWI, when we get the blood results back, we automatically have to write a prohibited alcohol concentration

citation. When they go to court, the judge will either drop one or the District Attorney or City Attorney will have you plea to one and then automatically drop the other one.

- Okay, you can get that if you're 15-years-old and drinking?

- [Steve] Yes, that's right. Well, the prohibited alcohol is if you're above, well now .0, back then I believe it was .0. The absolute sobriety is if like, say you were 15-years-old and you had one beer so you have a little alcohol, but prohibited alcohol concentration is you were over the legal limit, back then it was .10, so you were over the legal limit of .10.

- So how old were you then?

- I believe I was either 19 or 10 years old, Sir. So the legal limit at that point was .0.

- .10?

- Yeah, what happens is, even if you're under 21, if we pull you over and we detect the odor of alcohol, and it comes back that you were .02, at that point we give you the prohibited alcohol concentration, which is essentially for anyone under 21 that didn't hit the .10. But even if you're under the age of 21, if you're above the legal threshold, you will still get the prohibited alcohol concentration instead of the absolute sobriety one, because even if you were 21, that's what you would have received. Because the citation for the prohibited alcohol is a lot higher than the absolute sobriety one.

- Any other questions for?

- No, actually I'm loving the information because no body else has given me anything like that, so.

- How about any of you?

- I have nothing more.

- Anything else you care to say?

- Not really, I just really think showing that, for the most part, my criminal history was in an eight-year period when I was relatively young and actually had come out of jail off of probation and stuff and at the same time, that might have almost been like a criminal education course, but yet after that, making very many mistakes and having a family, now two kids, that after those subsequent eight years, has shown that I am really ready to be a normal citizen, father to my children, and hopefully a business owner someday, too, at the same time.

- Thank you.

- Thank you. Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second from Alder Stevens.

- I mean, I feel good about this. I feel like we can move forward.

- Well we did, what just closed?
- We closed the floor, but we didn't vote on it.
- Oh no we didn't, we didn't vote it.
- Okay, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Good day to you. Yeah, all against? Okay.
- I'm feeling good.
- So, the--
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens. Yeah, I think even if there weren't any confusion on this, it still happened quite a while ago, that I don't think it's relative anymore. So, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Passes unanimously.
- Thank you.
- Good luck.
- How do I go about after this?
- It's still stays going to Council, right?
- Nope, this is us.
- Oh, that's final, we're final.
- I believe so.
- Yes, that's a final overrule.
- We're final?
- Yep, all right. Yep, cool. The next one, as well. All right.

- The next one to call is closed session.
- Yep, that one I want to close. Yeah, I'll read it out.
- Well wait until she is ready.
- Yep.
- Okay.
- Number 13, consideration with possible action on an appeal by Elizabeth Perrine regarding the denial of her operator's license. The Protection and Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019. I believe I would like to close the floor?
- Mm-hmm.
- Go into closed session. For all licenses and issues, the community may potentially convene in closed session pursuant to 19.85 subsection L or is that I?
- Subsection B.
- B, subsection B, and/or 19.85 subsection L, subsection F, Wisconsin statute for the purpose of considering information with respect to licensing for a person. The applicant has the right to demand that the meeting be held in open session. The applicant may also request that the meeting be held in closed session, which is what has just happened. The Committee meeting thereafter will reconvene in open session pursuant to 19.85 subsection two, Wisconsin Statute to report any actions taken during the closed session and to consider all other matters on the agenda. So?
- You need a motion, and you need to vote.
- Motion to go to closed session.
- Motion by Alder Streuer to closed session, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, we are now in closed session, so anyone who does not have involved with this, should leave the room please for this item. Everyone's here for this item?
- Go grab them.
- Yep. Just one?
- [Elizabeth] Thank you.
- Keep up the good work.
- Yep.
- We are now in open session?

- Mm-hmm.
- Okay, so I will take a motion.
- Motion to approve the operator license.
- Motion to approve the operator license by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously, it's official. We did in open session now.
- This doesn't go to Council?
- Nope, this goes before Council, but we had the final authority, so. Good luck, work hard.
- Thank you very much.
- Thank you.
- Yep.
- What is the establishment?
- Can I ask where you're at? Where is you at, the work?
- The business?
- Dad's Wine and Spirits.
- What's it called?
- Dad's Wine and Spirits on University.
- University.
- On University, okay? Thank you.
- Come on in, I'll take a whole--
- No special, we can't.
- Yeah, yeah, yeah, yeah.
- Thank you so much, we really appreciate it.

- All right.

- Thank you.

- Yeah.

- Thank you.

- Yep.

- They're on the record, right?

- Yeah, they're on the record.

- We can't take?

- No, you're on the record, you're good.

- Yep, we're good. Okay.

- Okay, item 14.

- Yes.

- Consideration with possible action by Alder Wery which states "To request a report of police call volume on Western Avenue from Oneida to Taylor. What addresses are habitual problems, have nuisance plans, and bills for service been issued? Develop a plan moving forward to reduce the number of police calls to this area," previously held at the May 13th Protection and Policy meeting; Staff?

- [Steve] Our crime analyst, Michelle Belongie, prepared a report, I believe it's in your packets. It shows she went from January 1, 2018 to just about a week ago, June five, 2019. She prepared a report on Alder Wery's guidelines. As you can see, we do have one chronic nuisance address. We have not billed as of yet. We're working with the community police officer in that district. Due to retirements, we are only down to one officer and staffing level is one community police officer out in that district. He is working with the owner to address the problems. You can see some of the high volume calls and parcels for service, that some of 'em seem excessive. Not all of them result in a qualifying call. If there was a rescue squad call there or if there was a run away or a found property, in our system that shows up as a call, but it doesn't necessarily mean it's a qualifying call. If you like, I could explain what constitutes a qualifying call that would they would become a nuisance property. We are, as community police, very proactive with our rental owners, the landlords, the property owners, to work with them to solve the problems. So I don't want it to seem that the, 'cause I even asked Charles and Marilyn Perry, they pop up four times on there. It doesn't necessarily mean that they're not working with us. They hired a property manager that is working with us that some of these calls can be where the tenant is actually calling or someone from the property is actually calling when there's an issue, which is what we want instead of not calling us and not working to solve the issue with us.

- I have a quick question?

- Yes?

- Lieutenant, I was just looking to see of 154 calls, are you looking at number one?
- Correct.
- 1421, 1435 Western Avenue?
- Yes.
- And you said that a lot of them, what have you, as you just described, but out of the 154 is there a way to tell us how many are truly relevant to?
- [Steve] We would have to go--
- You'd have to go through them all?
- [Steve] We'd have to go through 'em because that property is technically 1421 through 1435, and those are apartments. So each building could have, say, eight apartments in it. So we would have to go through each and every call to say, okay, this one was a qualifier, this one was a disturbance, or something that is considered more criminal in nature as opposed to say a 911 hangup or a medical call.
- Right. Do you think Alder Wery was kinda looking for that, though? I thought maybe he wanted a little more. I know he just kind of stated.
- He gave broad--
- Those two were kinda broad.
- [Steve] It's kind of broad, so we can dig through all that data if you would like. I do know eventually, coming off night shift Western Avenue, we do know, was when I worked, night's the time that we were there more frequent. We are trying to work with the property owners, counseling them, educating them, helping them screen their tenants. So we could work with them to get good tenants on their properties.
- Okay, that's all I have for now.
- So it's open panel?
- No, I appreciate it.
- Okay, well yeah, I was gonna open the floor first. So any questions more for staff then we'll open the floor?
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?
- Aye.

- Opposed? The floor is now open. State your name and address for the record.

- Janet Angus, 1303 Shirley Street in Green Bay. I live about two blocks away from Western Avenue, on the corner of Shirley Street and Locust. My neighbors and I, my neighbors are not here today, Alderman Vander Leest was kind enough to come to our meeting with the police department with a few of my neighbors and myself about, I don't know, maybe two or three weeks ago, because we have concerns. And our concerns are that the crime is now spilling over onto Shirley Street from Western Avenue. The thing that broke the camels back, so to speak, was the taser incident in which someone was killed in the back yard of Erin and Matt. They have two small children, and this man was going up and down our street banging on doors, frothing at the mouth, trying to rob people. He ended up in front of Scott Wegner's home with a grill top in one hand, pounding on the door, trying to break through their window. And that's what would, if you'd listen to him tell the story, that's what you would hear. He then ended up going across the street into Matt and Erin's back yard where he was tasered a number of times, climbed up on the roof. Must have been high on drugs, and I don't know what the final report says. We haven't had access to that. He was tasered, ended up dead in the back yard of Erin. And Erin I babysat when she was a little girl and I was probably 12. These are longterm neighbors we have on our street. We've had police officers running up and down the street in the last couple of years chasing people who are armed robbers with backpacks. We've had armed robbers found underneath canoes in people's backyards by dogs, sniff the dogs and the go-off-and-sniff and trained-to-find dogs. We've had Pyro the Dog was stabbed on the corner of Locust and Western, two blocks from my house. We had drug deals in Colburn Park happen. We were talking about putting a gate up to stop cars from going down into there in the evenings, but two weeks ago, I think it was, on May 22nd, a girl was found with a heroin needle in her arm, passed out. The police were called, she recovered, she left the scene. I guess, I don't know if she was ticketed or what happened to her, but she left. And here there were young children. It was eight o'clock at night and there were kids at the pool, people watching her taking a hit in the park. So what happened to her? Did she get a ticket? If she'd have been drinking alcohol, I'm sure she would have gotten some kind of a municipal ordinance violation for having open beer or something in the park. I don't know if she was ticketed and I don't know what happened, but I know I have a lot of questions and I know that I don't like what's going on in my neighborhood. If I don't come here and tell you people about it and work with Alderman Vander Leest, thank goodness for Alderman Vander Leest, nothing is going to change. Now, I did a Freedom of Information request and I stood in front of the Redevelopment Authority and said I was happy Neighborworks was taking over the Admiral Court apartment buildings because there's a lot of crime there. The Armadillo is there all the time, parked on Admiral Court. A few years ago I had a lady who came in my office and she'd been beaten on Admiral Court, beaten! Right next to Mason Manor. That's when I got involved in politics in 2014 because of the crime in my neighborhood. I don't know if you guys realize that or not. But that's why I put my foot down. I came and I talked to Jim Schmitt about it, told him we needed to spend some more money on redevelopment areas outside of the downtown. And he put on his coat and walked out of the office. It was very disrespectful to me, and I think you people know the rest of the story of what happened to Jim Schmitt. He should have worked with our neighborhood a little bit more. It as disgusting, there was a meth lab over there and someone died from an overdose in the Admiral Court apartment buildings. This was in 2014, and this is when I got involved. I decided if I was gonna complain, I should be involved and do my due diligence rather than just complain and do nothing. And I think as you're all well aware, I own a lot of property over in that neighborhood, and I don't think it's safe anymore. So I did a Freedom of Information request and it resulted in 282 calls being made to the Admiral Court apartment buildings. These included calls for drugs, weapons, disturbances, Welfare checks, thefts, truancy, missing persons, juveniles, crime prevention, burglary, violation of court orders, harassment, and sex offenses. And that's what I found when I went through all of them. And it's the only way to get the information. You have to go through each individual reason for why they are going to the location. I can't get information any other way than that, and doing Freedom of Information requests, as you're probably well aware, are very time consuming and expensive. I get charged by the page and I have to go back time and time again to get the information. Which is why I think it's imperative on this committee to do a study, to find out, you know rather than just saying, oh the data doesn't support it. The data doesn't support it.

You know a lot of these calls are just for welfare checks or there's a little bit of a little disturbance. So what, how do I know that? When I went through it I found, as I said, weapons disturbances, thefts, truancy. We had a rash of car thefts on Shirley Street last summer, our cars were all broken into. So, the Armadillo is parked over at the Admiral Court apartment buildings, it was parked down on Meacham Street because there were a lot of crime thefts over on Meacham, and that's the spillover also from that. Well, I've talked to the neighbors. They think it's a spillover from Western Avenue. So, my question is, a couple of things. When are we gonna have some accountability with our ordinance for nuisance homes, nuisance properties? There were 19, in 2018, 19 citations were issued and only six bills of service. And in 2017 there were 15 citations issued and four bills of service. So in 2018, almost 300 calls to the Admiral Court apartment buildings, no one was held accountable. Now Neighborworks, I think, is cleaning it up, which is a wonderful thing, I think. We'll see what happens, but if this happens in neighborhoods, it's terrible. Your property values go down, people are afraid to go out at night. I was talking to a girl, and I won't go into all of it, but people are afraid. It's gotten to be a very violent neighborhood, and I think we need to look at it. I think we need our 14 police officers put back on, I think that Admiral Court, Neighborworks, I think they're here, they were so kind to offer to have an apartment to be used as a neighborhood policing office or office place, we don't have the staff to staff it. And they'll say, oh crime is going down. But I don't believe it. I think the definition of what, I don't know the difference, you know? But when I talk to them, I get a bunch of gobbledy gook. And when the definition of the crime changes, assault, battery, well remember none of the drug violation crime are combined into that information that's sent to the state. And if you look at our drug crimes, I think they have over doubled in the last year. I could be wrong, but I don't think I am. I have the numbers, if you want me to look for them, I will.

- No, no.

- But numbers are up. I know that we're told the numbers are down, but when you see police officers chasing people up and down the back streets of your neighborhood, I just don't think it's a good thing.

- Sure, mind if I can ask, but Janet, thank you for coming in. We're looking at Western Avenue from Oneida to Taylor and you're just saying because of what you feel like is happening on Western Avenue is spilling over into your neighborhood?

- Western, Admiral Court, I think, what is it, Leo Street? That whole, there's a lot of apartment buildings in that area. Look at what Ash Robin had to do with their problem? They tore the buildings down and rebuilt them. I mean if landlords aren't gonna take care of their buildings, and aren't gonna rent to people that aren't gonna cause, I mean, it's not good for the community.

- Well, I didn't know. I'll have to talk to the Lieutenant about that.

- [Steve] Just to make the Committee aware--

- We need to have that--

- We have to have it open.

- We have to have it open, just tell 'em to keep it down.

- [Steve] Our Crime Analyst did prepare a report for Miss Angus, and we have a meeting scheduled for Wednesday that does include the Colburn Park area that is their concern. That's why some of these numbers might be different. They somewhat mirror each other, but since the agenda with Alder Wery was asking for this data, that's why we compiled that for the Committee, and that's why Admiral Court's not included in this or, of course, Colburn Park, that's not included in this, because we went by what the request was. We do have

a meeting that we scheduled Wednesday afternoon where we're gonna sit down and we have the report prepared for Miss Angus where we are, we want to address the problem. We realize that yes, as the police, yeah we can say crime is down and we can show crime is down, but if that perception isn't what the public is seeing, then we can work with them to come up with solutions as a whole that the police could work with the community and the neighbors to figure out ways to solve the issue.

- Well, I'll give you an example. For possession of marijuana, possession of opium and cocaine, and other dangerous drugs, in 2017 if you add everything up it was 623 cases. We're up to 833 in 2018.

- And where is that located?

- I got this from the Department of Justice with the state of Wisconsin, these numbers. I think they are actually what are reported by the city to them. And I have no reason to doubt the staff. I just don't see it happening with my neighborhood, and having somebody get tasered and die three or four houses from where you live after you've had Pyro the Dog two blocks from where you are, a gunshot wound at the Mason Street gas station, cadycorner, I think, from the CVS store, it goes on and on.

- So is there, in your estimation, as far as Colburn Park, is there a lot of activity going on there, as well, that you know of?

- I think there's homeless people. I think there's drug deals going on. I can't say for sure that I've seen a drug deal going on, because I wasn't partaking with it, but when you see people going in and out of the park and you watch what's happening, and there's a red car that pulls in by where the bathrooms are for the soccer field and people have sat at that car. It goes on and on with what the neighbors are seeing. And when people's windows for their kitchen when they have coffee, looks out over the park, and you see some of this behavior going on back there, you have to question what's going on, you know? And then last year, I had homeless people using my water faucets of my house, and they live in the park in the summer. Because the park is open to everybody, but I mean. And like I said, the heroin, that May 22, the young girl with the heroin needle in her arm at the park, eight o'clock at night. You kind of wonder what's happening in the neighborhood. So, like I said, we need our 14 police officers back, whatever you can do to get more police officers so we have boots on the ground and people are, you know, now I mean, when Father Rico wanted to have his house, you made him put security cameras on the house, I think. There was all this stuff going on and on about Father Rico, I was at that meeting. Isn't that his name, is it Father Rico?

- Pastor Rico.

- Pastor Rico, excuse me. But maybe that's what we need to do with Mason Manor? It's a city owned facility, they have to have security cameras on there. What's going on with the security cameras in Admiral Court? Why do we have so much crime in Admiral Court apartment buildings? I mean, Neighborworks hasn't had a shot at it yet, I will say that much, but this has been going on to the point where I noticed it since 2013. And thank goodness Neighborworks bought it and is attempting to change that in our neighborhood, and I hope it works. But if it doesn't, then what are we gonna do with all the other properties on Western Avenue? I mean you saw the numbers. I find it very hard, and you know, there's 453 calls going to the Perry's property when I added 'em up, that's a lot of police force being used up to go and make calls, whatever the calls are for. That's a lot of money and a lot of time spent by our officers, going to do welfare checks? I mean I just find it hard to believe. People listen to the scanners and it's either, what is it, Imperial Lane on the east side and Western and Admiral Court on the west side. So, you're the Protection and Welfare Committee, protect us, do something with our police officers, put more money into it, get boots on the ground. I wanna live in a safe, nice area. Good schools, safety, nice place to live, that's what Green Bay, Wisconsin is about. That's the Green Bay, Wisconsin I've known for 59 years. I've lived in that house since I was seven years old. We never had this kind

of crime before, and it's getting worse. So I don't know, if the data doesn't support it, who am I to say that the data doesn't support it? But statistics and numbers can be manipulated. It's like the Attorney General's Office, you know? We don't report our heroin and marijuana possession and our drug possessions, I don't believe, to this day. Those numbers don't go into it. So is crime up or is crime down? Crime went up as far as drug possessions went in Green Bay last year. And what are we doing about it? What are we doing about it as a community? A little girl, when I say little, she was in her twenties I believe, had a heroin needle in her arm in Colburn Park. What does that say about what's going on in our city? So don't close your eyes. My dad had a whole bunch of needles left over when he passed away, thousands of 'em. He bought too many needles to give shots when he was a doctor. I took 'em down to the AIDS clinic and donated them all. And they were happy to get them because they had run out, because the drug problem in our city was that bad and that was probably in 2015. And I don't think it's gotten any better. So educate, but you have to put the money in the right spots. And I think we're all on the same page, you know?

- Well, I can't disagree, Janet, as far as the police action as a budget item, but you've gotta, everything is tight, but I understand. You know we hear you.

- All right.

- So we have to get creative.

- Well, it's not only being creative, it's putting your priorities.

- Right, that's part of it.

- Where your priorities, where I think they should be. You know, where are your priorities? Safety, education, nice place to live, roads, infrastructure. But you're about safety, so I hope you'll listen, because if not I'll be back to remind you. All right, thank you for your time.

- Your purse. Your purse.

- Oh my goodness!

- Is there anyone else here who would like to speak on this item?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Opposed?

- May I ask a question for?

- Certainly.

- Alder Johnson, you're on the Parks Committee. Ellie Quinn brought up about the, where they were gonna put a chain across so that people can't go into Colburn Park after 10 o'clock night? Has that been brought up on the--

- It has not been brought up to Committee yet.

- Okay, so we'll have to look at that. We really wanna be able to close that park at night where traffic is funneling in and out of there after, when the park closes, that's when they'd like it to be, you know that main entrance where they can drive down and kind of be hidden away? We wanna get that closed, so that's something if you can put a communication in maybe and get that done, I think there's money in the Parks Department to do it. It's just a matter of, they have a caregiver there, I think, that does a lot of the cleaning up and what have you, and then the park can be closed whatever proper time I think it's listed on as you enter the park, so that's kinda, they have that closed so that all the traffic doesn't, after 10 or 12 o'clock and you see cars parked down there, numerous cars. I know the police department goes in and out of there, as well, but still that'll deter a lot of those people that are not really supposed to be there at that hour, some of the activities that are going on. So we'll kind of follow up, I'll follow up with ya on that, thank you.

- Yep. Okay, I can see that the drug arrests have gone up and that the total arrests have, they've taken a dip since last year, but they're still up from 2014. So, my only concern is, I think this is a multiple faceted issue. I think there are homeless issues, which that doesn't have to do with Western. I mean that's a separate issue, and some of the stuff that's going on, it's thought it comes from Western. I don't know how much that's true or not, but I think it's important to look at what's going on and address it, whether it's Western, homelessness, whatever and yeah, law enforcement, yeah. We'd love to have law enforcement, but it's not only a budgetary issue. If we had the money right now, we would not have the applicants to fill it. It's a training and recruitment issue, as well, that we've been having for a few years, which is one reason why we've been feeling comfortable of not fully funding the police department 'cause they can't fill the applicants anyway. But yes, it's something that we need to work on both ways, budgetary and recruitment. I think, you know you're gonna be meeting, I'd like to know what happens after that meeting. If we could get some kind of report?

- Where is that meeting at?

- [Steve] It will be at the Police Department, I believe it's three o'clock on Wednesday.

- This Wednesday?

- Yeah.

- Okay, I'll attend.

- Yeah, and I'll try to get there. I've got Sustainability at 5:00, well no, 5:30, we changed that around, so that would work. Yeah, so yeah, definitely. And the opioid crisis, I mean that's, we're not the only community that's suffering from that, but definitely, and this is gonna be more than just a Green Bay solution, especially when it comes to drugs. That's gonna include Brown County Drug Task Force and others, we need to, I think this is a multifaceted problem, it's gonna need multiple solutions and players involved to really tackle it. So hopefully we can, after this meeting, we can get that data down firmly and start problem solving what we can do and who can do it and who needs to do what and step and go forward.

- I got a question?

- Yep.

- I was gonna ask, thanks again Janet, for all your testimony. Lieutenant I'm still interested in, I don't know how difficult that would be before Wednesday to kinda look at all these calls and see which ones are really, truly relevant as far as some of these more serious crimes. Will that take a lot?

- [Steve] I could ask Michelle, our crime analyst.

- Just to give me, it doesn't have to be exact-exact, but see if we could get a handle like 70% of these, or whatever, I would like to have a little better number of the more hardened crimes, I guess. Whatever we can do with that. I am happy that Neighborworks is coming in at Admiral Court. I think that's a good start. I guess, like you mentioned about Pastor Rico, you know with the security cameras, we put a conditional use. We really made sure that that was taken care of at that establishment and I think that'll help tremendously. I just wanna make sure that maybe the neighborhood at various places that there are security cameras in place. I'm not sure at apartment complexes how much of that is there or not?

- I don't know we have any authority on that.

- Well maybe--

- That I'm not sure. I do know they are currently in use at another park in Green Bay County Areas where have purchased portable kind of, not where you need a wifi, we have purchased portable cameras that we are gonna start moving around, so that if there's an issue like this at Colburn Park instead of, that currently we're looking to purchase more instead of having to run wifi or wiring and all that. Because everyone seems to spy on our deer cams or whatever, that that's an option that we're gonna do so we can track either vehicles or people that are coming in or out of the park.

- Well whatever we can do.

- Yeah.

- Okay.

- That's all I have for now.

- Yeah, so? A motion to--

- On that one, I say we request .

- Well we see, well.

- I don't know.

- How about--

- As far as--

- Well I'd like a, we're gonna have the meeting, I'd like a report back on that meeting.

- So hold?

- Hold this, until our next meeting?
- Yep.
- Perfect.
- For more information.
- Well, I would like to let the Committee know, I won't be here on the 24th. Another community police officer will step in.
- I was thinking, not the 24th, not the special, our regular held meeting next month.
- So motion to hold and further report from the police department.
- Are we looking at just Western Lane right now or are we gonna be looking for the general west side area? I don't know.
- Well, I think we can include that 'cause that's what's gonna be reported Wednesday, and I would like to include that with this, I think.
- Yes.
- It's related.
- Okay.
- Yeah.
- I would be good with that.
- So hold this then until our next regularly scheduled meeting?
- Mm-hmm.
- And then report back?
- Yep.
- I'll make a motion to that effect.
- Motion by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Vander Leest. And further discussion? All in favor?
- Aye.

- Opposed? Passes unanimously, thank you. 15, consideration with possible action on a request by Alder Galvin to the Protection and Policy Committee to have a procedure for alders to be notified when petitions and communications are going to be addressed at the Committee level. Staff, that make you?

- I think we would need further clarification from Alder Galvin as to what he's looking for? I know we had a, it looks like Alder Dorff had--

- Oh, okay, Alder Dorff?

- Alder Galvin couldn't be here, so this is what--

- He's grounded, two weeks.

- Yes, this is what he's asking. He didn't want the item held. He said he just wanted alders to be notified by email as to what meeting their communication will be heard at, and if it isn't addressed by six months due to staff working on it or it being put aside due to the workload, they ought to be notified again so he isn't bugging staff all the time.

- Hmm.

- Okay, so my understanding is that when a communication is put in by an alder at Council, it's immediately put on the agenda for the next meeting.

- Sometimes.

- Right.

- That's how it is sometimes.

- I think--

- Okay.

- If I can say something.

- Oh--

- Is it--

- Sorry.

- And I agree. I mean there have been communications that I feel have been lost over time or just kind of pitter away.

- It happens.

- So I think, how about reporting back like, I don't know, every two weeks? Like not two weeks, but let's say a month, three months, six months? I don't know, I'm just looking at, unless it's handled.

- I think it, I know, every reporting secretary and department

- Yes.

- That handles their Committee does it differently. I know Jamie keeps a running list of communications and referrals and checks back with Law Department or whoever periodically, like where are we with this? Do we have this? When is this going back on the agenda? I don't know how other reporting secretaries handle it, but my understanding is that is what the process is supposed to be.

- Could, could?

- Yes.

- That just be included as a report? Just print that out and report it to this Committee every month or every session? Well every month.

- Well, we want to, at least to the alder.

- I think Alder Galvin is talking about not just this Committee, but the entire--

- Right, but if it's reported on this, if we have a report of all the communications that have been made and where they're going, would that, I mean that document already exists, correct?

- Well, sorry, hold on, okay.

- The communication goes

- Hold on, okay.

- To where it's being directed so if an alder is requesting--

- Okay.

- To Traffic and Bicycle Commission for them to look at something, it's going to that particular Committee or Commission and it's supposed to be placed on the next agenda meeting.

- Yeah.

- And then the Committee then at that point decides where like if it's gonna go to staff,

- Right.

- Or they're holding it,

- Right, yep.

- Or if they're referring to this person or that person.

- Yep.

- And then it's the department's responsibility of the reporting secretary to follow up and make sure that that comes back on the agenda if it is being referred to staff, the staff is working on it, that it comes back when staff has completed work on it.

- All right.

- Okay, so I believe that as an alder I have a responsibility to follow through on my own communications. I don't disagree with Alder Galvin that it would be nice to know, but I don't think he should be concerned about bugging someone as to whether or not it's going to be on the agenda. That should be our responsibility as an alder. If we see that something's not in the agenda, then I think it's okay. I don't really feel like it's bugging. So I have a, so here's Alder Galvin, I read what he said, but here's me.

- No, I think you're on the other side.

- Yeah, I'm you know, I just think we have a responsibility to--

- Follow through.

- Find out what happened to it and not, as he said he didn't wanna bug someone, but don't have to worry about that. We have to find out what happened to our communication.

- Yeah, but I don't know how staff, if staff somehow loses a communication, how are they gonna know they lose it? I mean--

- Yeah, that's really true, too.

- I mean we're asking if somehow there's a mistake, somehow, they may catch it, but they may not. It's really on us to really--

- Maybe he does need to be here himself.

- Yeah.

- He just texted me. Bye.

- Well I think, there's an amount of trust that when I put a communication in, we send it to staff, and my feeling is that it'll be taken care of.

- Right.

- But every once in a while, somebody will say, hey alder, what happened to that one? And I'm like, hmm.

- Yeah.

- If I don't keep track of it like maybe I should,

- Yep.

- You know? That's part of it, I understand, but I still would think that, if communications are brought forth to the city, there could be a spreadsheet or something out there with a record of that.

- Miss Alison?

- So, have you used the search function in CivicClerk?

- No.

- And you know the website to find out, so if you, I'm just in there right now, CivicClerk is actually really powerful, and once the agenda is published, so it isn't not done until the agenda is published and communication isn't on there. And so, once that agenda is published, you could do a search right there on the website and find it, your communication or not.

- Right, and that'll take you to the Committee it goes to.

- And then in CivicClerk you can, I'm not sure exactly what access you all have. I think you have some capability in CivicClerk. Actually, let's find out right now. Let's look.

- You know, I think what Alder Galvin is trying to get at is just sort of that tracking process for how these things move through the system, and I think there's one area that just, as a newer alder, that it would allude to. I mean I've submitted, obviously, many communications since I've been here, but I don't know whether I'm doing it properly. And when you go back to one of the communications, and here's the irony of this, that I submitted about creating a new orientation program for new alders, I'm not sure what the status of that is any longer. It's not on a committee agenda any longer, and I think this needs to be one of those things that's addressed in there. Do I send my communications to a department chair? Do I send them to the clerk? Do I send them to the committee chair? I don't know. I've heard that when you submit late communications, sometimes there's a fee that we pay for that?

- Yeah, we do.

- I've heard that, and I don't know if that's true. I think this should be known. So I think that there just needs to be some greater clarity around how communications are submitted and then what that process is for tracking them through the funnel.

- Yeah, I would love to see where we don't do late communications, because we do pay for that. So if there's a way we could organize ourselves as a body to put in communications as to the chair, to the clerk's office, whatever, maybe figure out a way to process that we could all agree upon.

- Something to say right here.

- Yep, yep, yep, yep. That might make things a lot easier and smoother, perhaps. Yes?

- So, let's also think about the process that catches the mistakes when they happen as opposed to creating something for when it's actually working. So, we do have a new Community Relations Assistant. Part of his job, Jared, part of his job is to work with the Council and if there is an issue, so for instance I know about your orientation communication that--

- That's in personnel. I've spoken to Jill.

- Yeah, it's being worked on. It's being created. So, but if you had a question about where that was or you know it's something that Jared and I can work on. Him actually tracking where things are, things are taking a

long time, then I can talk to him and talk to the Mayor about reaching out to you and saying, hey by the way, we're actually working on this but it's taking a long time, or somehow it got lost in the ether. Which it never does, but sometimes what happens is it goes to the committee and staff is working on it, and it just takes a long time. But I also am hearing that there have been incidences where communication was proffered and then it never appeared on committee agenda, or at least not immediately.

- Well we have had--

- But what, define like two meetings later? 10 meetings later?

- Sometimes it just disappears

- [Barbara] Well the Chair, the Chairs can decide if they don't want them is the other issue, too.

- That's true.

- [Brabara] And we have no control over that, and that's what happens sometimes.

- But does that sound like, so like I said, I encourage you to go in CivicClerk and look in the website and then I can talk to Jared. I guess I need to follow up with that, too, here at this committee, but I can talk to Jared and see what we can come up with.

- Could you comment something on the fee for late communications?

- Yeah, that has to do with publication, I think?

- I believe so.

- Yeah.

- There's a fee.

- Small?

- Yeah, I don't know what the fee is, I can't recall.

- Well there are times we all put in, you know we all have done that, put in late communications. And you know part of the reason, let's say I'll do it, and I know some of the others, too, to get it out there, people hear it. Some people say why don't you just talk to us, talk to the departments ahead of time and get that in? It's published inside the agenda, so at least you see it. So I don't know, some people like to state it at a meeting so it's out there and people hear it and it's recorded and such. I don't know what you'd prefer. I mean, to me, if we could just do all our communications ahead of time and not have late communications, but sometimes things do come up. So I don't know, I think it's a good point of discussion.

- Okay.

- I don't know, should we just do it ahead of time?

- [Barbara] Are they listed? If you do, I mean I've done them ahead of time and they never show up anywhere. So that's kind of why I went back to doing them at meetings.

- Well they're, I mean in the agenda they'll show.
- They should be--
- They'll show communications.
- It should, but.
- If you put in a communication tomorrow, it should be in the agenda.
- Yes, mm-hmm.
- We're just talking about whether we should just do all early communications.
- Yeah, I think early communications make more sense and saves a little money.
- Yeah, if we're trying to save money that's one way to do it.
- Yep.
- I guess wasn't forewarned of that.
- Yeah, every late communication costs.
- And sometimes when people wanna put in a late communication, something has come up or whatever, I think that we should still be able to put in a communication.
- Well, certainly but--
- Not to rely on that.
- Not to rely on that. And what does it hurt to wait one day?
- Nothing.
- Nothing. You can put it in the next day to the clerk or whoever, 'cause it's not like action is going to be taken that night, so you could wait and do it the next day to the chair--
- Then no one knows about it.
- Well then, no one knows about it, but.
- Well you know about it when it's on the committee agenda.
- Yeah, yeah, I mean do we need to make it a public? I mean if you wanna toot your horn that you're doing something.
- [Barbara] No, it's more like the other alders that don't know about it.

- Well there's a lot that comes on an agenda that alders don't know about. I mean most of the agenda we don't know about until we see the agenda.

- We'll see it at the committee meeting, and then, you know--

- Yeah, I mean, but I can certainly look at the agenda.

- Agenda that they don't know.

- Yeah, there's tons.

- So we'll just say, is that a possibility to say okay, let's try this, let's do it early?

- Yeah.

- Just make a part, I don't even know if they would cost.

- But should we make it, should we make it a communication to the chair or should we make it a communication to the clerk and then clerk? 'Cause not everything would go necessarily to one of the four standing,

- Departments?

- Yeah, six, five standing committees. It might go to planning, it might go to, no.

- If you go to the clerk's office, there is consistency there. At least the clerk can get out to all the department and all the chairs.

- Right.

- My feeling is, if it went to clerk's office, would that, that wouldn't be an excessive burden, would it? I mean you might have 10 communications.

- Well, I think the Clerk handles generally--

- Yeah, yeah.

- The Clerk is responsible for maintaining the agenda.

- I would opt for that. Send it to the Clerk's Office and get that to the chair or, yeah, get it to the chair.

- [Barbara] We should get an online form, which they already have, but.

- Yeah.

- But that way you can just attach it to an email, and then you don't even have to go down there, you just put your communication. Because most of my communications are not communications.

- Yeah, most of mine are just written in an email, I just put--

- I write 'em in an email, too
- There's a lot of paper down there with those communications in the mail room. It's like doing an audit, there's a lot.
- We could do it online now, I mean do it via email.
- Yeah.
- Can I call you?
- No way, but I'm just saying they got a lot of paper.
- Yeah, I agree. I had to cut back on the paper myself.
- So should we make a motion that way?
- A motion to--
- I vote--
- How do we, I would opt to recommend to alders? I don't think we can force alders. I mean what are we doing here exactly? What--
- Well can we just make it a policy?
- A policy that--
- A motion to a policy?
- Alders will put any communications to the Clerk's Office. All Communications should go through the Clerk's Office. That will be our new policy.
- I don't think that we can do that.
- No.
- Why not?
- All?
- Why not?
- [Barbara] Never say all, and never say never.
- What communication would you do elsewhere, go elsewhere?
- [Barbara] Think something that would come up, like maybe that night?
- So do it the next day.

- Next day.
- You could even do it right there to email the clerk.
- We give it to the clerk--
- For the next day.
- Well no, if you give it to her at night, it's a late communication.
- Yeah.
- [Barbara] You can't give it to her that night.
- Nope.
- No.
- You can email her then.
- Yeah.
- Unless the meeting goes after midnight.
- Are you guys trying to actually solve the problem or do you just prefer the staff to come up with--
- We're looking at you.
- Defer to staff.
- Well, I think, if the policy, what do we think about the policy just making all communications to the clerk?
- We're just looking for your input, too. Because I would just as soon do that, just go to the Clerk.
- Just put in the communications to the Clerk.
- It's consistent.
- We have things that come up on the floor, and we wanna put in a late communication, we wanna have it addressed. I don't think we should do away with late communications. I think that it's pertinent to our meetings.
- Yeah, but why not wait until the next day to send it to the Clerk?
- [Barbara] I'll put a communication at the next Council meeting to talk about communications. We'll wait, maybe.
- Yeah, yeah. Well this will cut, this will be reported out and we can discuss it at the full Council.

- Yes.
- Mm-hmm.
- Yeah.
- That's what we should do. We should discuss it at the full Council and get more input.
- Yeah. 'Cause I still don't understand why we need more communication at Council when we could do it the next day?
- That is not what Alder Galvin was asking.
- There's items that come up and we're sending them to find answers.
- Right, but you could just save it for the Council the next day. Why does it have to be send in that night? The next day--
- That's what we're gonna discuss.
- Yep.
- Or we could email it.
- So then--
- So is the motion to adopt that policy?
- Yep.
- And then you can vote and then at Committee take another vote?
- That count, yep.
- What policy?
- That wasn't even what Alder Galvin said.
- The policy is that all communications will go to the Clerk's office.
- They do anyway.
- Eventually.
- Where they end up anyway.
- Eventually.
- The Clerk is responsible for putting the agenda together.

- I feel like we're just creating a policy for the sake of creating a policy now.
- Yeah, I do too, I think this is just really too sudden.
- What do you suggest?
- This just is
- I don't think we need to take anything out there. I think I threw some stuff out there that hey, these are some things to consider, these are, I feel, some things where people get a little bit conflicted sometimes.
- Right.
- Was looking for a procedure.
- I think to look at staff to come up with a procedure to catch, I don't even know how to say it.
- Well I think we should wait 'til Alder Galvin is really here, so we really, really, really know what he means.
- Yeah, it's not time sensitive.
- Why don't we receive and place on file for right now or hold it for the next meeting?
- Hold it 'til Galvin can show?
- Well even though he said you don't have to hold it, hold it, because I didn't represent it well because I don't have the same point of view at all.
- You said a motion to
- So we can hold it, if Alder wants to pull it.
- To receive and place on file
- Second, I'll second receive and place on file.
- I think we should determine--
- Well that's what, I was going on his.
- We should get all the information, gather information.
- Vander Leest.
- We also need to know what the cost is for late communications.
- Right, we should. We need to gather information.
- Honestly, she's upset I've forgotten. I think it's, but we can still make it that, yeah.

- So we'll hold this until our next scheduled meeting and if we could get further information, not only from Alder Galvin, but how much each late communication costs?

- That's all you want?

- I guess, and then we'll go from there, apparently.

- Okay.

- They don't wanna try testing the waters on the policy.

- [Barbara] Maybe we should just leave and you can do whatever you want.

- Well

- So the motion was made by?

- Made hold by Alder Stevens with getting added information about how much a late communication is.

- Second?

- And seconded by Alder Vander Leest. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Opposed? Okay, we're holding it. Tell Alder Galvin we're gonna hold it.

- [Barbara] I will let him know, so I'll call him and go to the car.

- Okay, are we onto the next item?

- MM-hmm.

- Liquor violation report from the Public Department, I'm sorry, from the Police Department, oh boy.

- What'd you say?

- I said public. From the Police Department for June 10, 2019.

- [Steve] We have nothing to report.

- Wow.

- Motion to receive.

- Second.

- Motion to receive and place on file by Alder Vander Leest, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Opposed? That is received and placed on file. I believe, are we ready?
- Yes, we are.
- Motion to adjourn.
- Motion to adjourn by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed? We are done, gentlemen. Thank you very much. Now make sure you leave the meeting.