



MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

**TUESDAY, JUNE 18, 2019, 3:00 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 203**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Barbara Dorff, Kathy Lefebvre, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax,

Also present: Ald. Randy Scannell, Ald. Brian Johnson, Ald. Mark Steuer, Ald. John VanderLeest, Deputy City Attorney Joanne Bungert, Chief of Staff Celestine Jeffreys, Public Works Director Steve Grenier, Assistant Development Director Cheryl Renier-Wigg, Finance Director Diana Ellenbecker, Assistant Finance Director Pam Manley, Police Business Manager Rick Jurkanis, Police Commander Kevin Warych, Police Commander Paul Ebel, Fire Chief David Litton, Parks, Recreation and Forestry Director Dan Ditscheit, and others

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes from the June 11, 2019 meeting.

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

I. For consideration and possible action on the five year combined Capital Improvement Plan and 2019 Bonding.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve the 2019 bonding request as discussed. Motion carried.
Yes- None, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

I. The next Finance Committee meeting will be held on Tuesday July 9, 2019 at 4:30 PM.

F. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adjourn. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

G. VERBATIM MINUTES.

- All those in favor, aye. Oppose, motion carries. Regular business. For consideration and possible action on the five year combined Capital Improvement Plan and 2019 bonding. And the reason that we are here today is that we looked at this at the last finance meeting, and we decided, if we were gonna need to cut things, we wanted to have more time to hear an explanation from each of the directors. We wanted other alders to be involved with that, we didn't just want to bring it forward to council with just the four of us making all of those decisions. So, when we left the meeting last week, my understanding was the directors were gonna meet together, they were possibly gonna meet with the Mayor as well and talk about what are the needs, what are the wants, what are their recommendations if things need to be cut. And so, I assumed that's what happened. Did you wanna go over, Diana, the background on the city wide capital improvement plan, just briefly?

- Sure. I can, yes. The City of Green Bay each department has been doing a capital improvement plan, but we haven't been pulling a comprehensive together, at least not for many, many years. And so, we tend to take a one-year-at-a-time shot and just borrow. So a recommendation was that in that was time to put a five year plan back together. So this year we started putting the five year plan together. We didn't bring you a 2019 borrowing request until we had a five year look at it, it's still a draft and we are certainly working through the process. So what you have in front of you is our first draft what it is also is as requested by the department heads, there has not been any changes, it's been exactly how the department heads have requested it so that I give everybody look at what was requested. Now to keep in mind that these are items that most of the department heads feel that they need now, that we can put into a borrowing request. One thing that the Mayor has been asking each department his head is, what about other needs so we actually have another supplemental list of items that are really big picture items that we're not even including in this list and that includes some things like more upgraded infrastructure, a public safety building, upgrades to fire station 3, demoing the main street ramp, parking, the parks have a lot of paving as deferred maintenance and Community Center. I mean there's a lot of other big needs that aren't even in this request, so we have that list on the side but we're not actually here to talk about those items at this time. What I suggested maybe to Alder Dorff is at this point, it is a five year plan in front of us, it's a lot of information, I think our emphasis would be to start looking at the 2019 borrowing request column, I think it might make sense to kind of go right in order as in the packet, and that way we can have the department heads just go through every item in 2019, so that the department can say what it's used for, maybe what's its useful life, is it a new item or is that a replacement? Did you take salvage value out of the cost of it? Again, is it as Alder Galvin had asked, is that a must have, is a really want type of item and so that would be one way of systematically way of going through the list and then we can see where we're at. The goal is to be done at least take a break at 5:30 so everyone can either get a bite to eat or get up and stretch a little before the six o'clock council meeting.

- Right, thank you. And I think that is a good idea because this is a long document and we wanna make sure people can follow along with it. So when you're talking about your project, I think we're gonna start on page one. There's kind of two page ones if you put down it doesn't change ever now.

Yeah, so page one, first of all talks about the funding sources, General Levy, Equipment, General levy fleet.

But I think would you agree that we should talk about major capital projects summary by year? Is that a good place to start? Okay, I see some nods in the crowd. Alright, so DPW, looks like you are up first. Go ahead, Director Grenier. We have to talk into your microphone/ It's not even on, but it will be, I'm sure it will be.

- There it is.

- Okay. The six or so seven items that are listed under DPW for 2019, all of them are critical with the exception of extra payment per project per CC for value of \$500,000. I know that was something that the council is wanting to put in with the intent of helping us to get additional projects done but the truth is there is no way we're ever gonna spend that money in 2019. We are programmed up for the year and we are operating short engineers again. So if we're looking for what our needs for this year are that \$500,000 is definitely not needed because we're not gonna spend it, so that would be one of the things we've talked about is for the 2019 borrowing, trying to shave about \$1.3 million off, that's a quick \$500,000.

- [Barbara] Okay, any comments from the Committee?

- Other than that, everything that that we show those, the \$3.4 million worth of levy supported borrowing that's for our projects that were in the 2019 capital program that council already approved as well as those smaller dollar items for the garages that was also listed within the Capital Improvement Program. And the east and west side shops are used quite heavily each day, those facility needs are very real needs that's not wants.

- [Barbara] All right and then the fire and there wasn't a total, I'm sorry?

- I have a question.

- Yeah.

- 2020, 2023, you have no other capital projects monies requested other than for pavement projects. There's no way you could take any of these and spread those out over those next four years. The DPW, let's see, DW shop, exterior tuck point?

- The tuck point is actually, that money is actually being redirected into repair of the parapet wall on the top of the west side garage, I've got masonry falling off into the yard right now.

- So this this document is inaccurate instead of tuckpointing, you want us to buy 100,000 to fix the wall?

- The document is dynamic and living, the intent was to do the tuckpointing, which is also necessary which we're gonna be coming back in future years but as we are up getting ready to do the tuckpointing, chunks of the masonry started falling off and we decided we should probably do that instead.

- How much does that, are there other things in this document where you've got it listed as one thing and something has come up and it's gonna be intended for another thing?

- [Steve] That we have in our list.

- [Bill] Okay, I guess I would ask why aren't you putting \$100,000 for tuckpointing in 2020 or 2021, or something like that?

- Because we don't have an estimate on what the wall repairs are gonna cost, we know it's gonna be less than \$100,000. So we're gonna get estimates on what the wall repairs are and the balance of the money will continue to go towards the tuckpointing, once we've done that, we'll be able to estimate what our needs are for the future.

- [Bill] Does this go on a lot?

- This is one of the problems that we've had in putting together a five year program. The needs are continuously changing.

- [Bill] I understand that, it's just like anybody's home things change but I guess it just seems to me of all these things for this year and then nothing for the next four years or a five year plan. So you've identified nothing else that you see that needs to be funded for under major capital projects?

- Not at this time, no, we haven't. We're gonna be going through a building evaluation again this winter to determine what those needs are but we don't have them at this time.

- [Bill] When was the last time that was done?

- Oh, probably about four years ago, five years ago whenever we did the building evaluations.

- [Bill] Is there anything else here at the major capital projects that you look at to review or a set up, I mean is it because you didn't have enough time to look at projects for the next five years or this is just what you see right now?

- This is what we see right now and staff is busy doing what they're doing. If the council wants me to sit down and go through and do the building evaluation needs right now we can do that, but that means I'm pulling staff off of other things, which are tasked with getting projects out the door right now. We are down to bare bones as far as staffing needs and everybody is busy doing things. So I'm gonna have to pull operations staff off of doing pothole repair, doing crack repair, doing garbage and brush collection, fixing vehicles with my mechanics, doing sewer work so they can come in and take care of some of these other items. I will have to take engineers off of design of infrastructure projects to take a look at some of these capital needs. That's something we were planning on doing in the slower times but if you want it done now we can pull the guys off and do it now.

- I'm not saying, so... I don't wanna spiral too far off topic but when we put this request out here for a five year capital improvement project how long ago was that, that we put out that request? Barb, I don't remember exactly.

- [Barbara] Probably nine months.

- Nine months ago?

- [Barbara] I think like nine months.

- And I guess we were hoping that by this time we would have something you know, granted, everything's fluid but I think we were hoping that we would have something a little bit more concrete from everybody here so that we can make a decision. Because if you're telling me you have to do a infrastructure of your buildings, thing this winter when times are slow, then that means everything we're doing here right now as far as DPW could just be up in the wind, and this eight million dollars we're looking to try and get the funding down to is just it's false because you could be coming back with millions of dollars in repairs or changes for your buildings. And so I guess I'm a little frustrated because we have yet to go through fire parks and police yet and we were hoping, I was hoping we'd have some firm numbers here tonight so we could make some good decisions going forward, that if we have to make cuts, where the best place to make those cuts are, or we're not gonna make it and we can justify why we're not making it. And I understand you have staffing problems but everyone has people that are leaving their departments and everything but I mean, are you being impacted unusually sore or is it that you've been running on a skeleton crew for the last four or five years?

- [Steve] I believe we are. Yes, I think that's a very accurate statement.

- [Bill] You are running on a skeleton crew?

- Yes. We operate substantially below what our published numbers are and I think we have one of the largest service lines within the city I think we are tasked incredibly thin to try to accomplish the work that we are intending to do and I do think things like this suffer as a result of that.

- [Bill] Thank you.

- I'll do the fifth. So you do a five year rotation, that's when you basically do all your building inspections.

- Public leads on. The intent is to go out and do a longer range plan but we need time to do that.

- [Kathy] Right, to pull people off now it's gonna take how long just to do that?

- That I don't know.

- So, Director Grenier, I know that I think you understand that the idea was to have a five year plan and this is something that we haven't done before, obviously this is the first time we've had this kind of a medium to look at that. So this is probably the hardest year to start doing it like this, do you foresee that maybe by next year you would have more things filled in for 21, 22, 23, is it?

- Coming into this, I didn't realize that all other departments were gonna go to this level of detail. What my understanding of this was, was my contribution to the five year Capital Improvement Plan was primarily dedicated to infrastructure so that's where we concentrated our efforts. Not necessarily to the building repairs and things that were needed for DPW and again, as I stated the last

meeting, we don't have our equipment listed in here because typically our equipment has been bought it has been handled through the budget process.

- [Barbara] Right, so, and this isn't your only section, right?

- I believe for levy support? Yes, it is.

- [Barbara] Well, you have some word I thought it says more detailed things for the DPW?

- Well, we have sanitary, storm sewer, and we have the parking utility.

- [Barbara] Okay and then those who do have there's some things, so maybe, we just have to look at it, this was the first year, we understood our intent, we understood nine months ago that what we wanted was to see something really laid out for the next five years but we're starting to do it now and I think there's a better understanding, I hope, what we would hope to get next year.

- Absolutely.

- [Barbara] Other alders? Yes, Alder Johnson.

- I just have a question regarding the extra payment project for coming Council.

- [Barbara] Can you turn him on?

- Missing the point, could you just clarify--

- [Barbara] Could, is he on? It's not on.

- That was not a request that we made. This was something that was added in by somebody else to provide an extra \$500,000 in an effort to give us the ability to do more projects but without having the staff to complete them we are programmed out for everything that we can get done this year, I can't spend that money. So we will be bonding a half million dollars that's gonna go into a fund, that's gonna sit there until 2020 before we can spend it.

- Was that the excess from the capital reserve fund that we created from the wheel tax?

- [Barbara] No.

- That's different, okay. Where was this added, at what level?

- [Barbara] It was an idea that came up, I'm not sure what committee was an improvement services. Oh, is this someone that talked to you? Would the person who maybe had this idea wanna talk to it? Alder Galvin?

- We had the budget.

- Was it council?

- [Bill] Approving the capital projects money this year.
- Yes, we were saying I think the idea that the intent was well, let's try to do more, well, he's not gonna be able to do more, we need to cut 1.3 million, if we can.
- [Steve] And that just helps me understand how does it work?
- Yes, okay. Alright, anything else for the first part DPW general levy? OKay, the next section is fire. Okay, Chief, do you mind turning Chief Litton on?
- I'm not in my normal seat, so, okay, go this, all right.
- Sorry.
- Good afternoon. There are a couple of I guess, I can run down each one of these requests if you would like so I would be going to document that I have it be the third page at the top under equipment replacement projects by year. Does everybody see where I'm at, that's a document that I have.
- [Barbara] No we're gonna stick, try to stay as close to this document, you don't have that document? Otherwise, it's really hard. Yes, Director Grenier has something.
- Occasion, if you look under the DPW what we have in building needs this year adds up to about \$140,000. And then what we did was we just carried that \$140,000 forward through each of the successive years that's that very last line.
- [David] On what page?
- [Barbara] The top page. So that each year, you actually have put in 100.
- We've put \$140,000 in there as a placeholder.
- As a placeholder but it might be for different things?
- Correct, what we've got is the actual projects listed this year and again, as we identified that tuckpointing has had somewhat of a shift in it and what we have requested is \$140,000 for each successive year. If you look the last night and that's under DPW is garage repair, slash equip and that's a blank this year because the individual projects add up to 140 so what we did was we took that 140 and left it in there as a placeholder.
- [Barbara] And your pavement projects you obviously added to each year, right?
- Correct.
- So you're--
- What we're doing is we're establishing a relative budget and then accounting for inflationary costs with that.

- Okay, thank you.
- Steve? So every year, your payment projects goes up but that's only to cover for inflation.
- [Steve] Right.
- So from 2019 to 2023, you are guessing that the same amount of work you're going to do is going to cost another \$2 million? It's a hell of an increase over a five year period.
- What we did was, we took next year's program to 2020 proposed program and inflated that. So it's not necessarily the same as this year is what we're proposing to do in 2020.
- And with your current staff issues, you're confident you can get these projects done?
- [Steve] If not, we'll contract it out.
- [Bill] I'm sorry, you cut out.
- If we can't get it done in house we'll contract the work out.
- [Bill] Does that cost us more money when you contract?
- Absolutely.
- [Bill] So taking care of some of your staff problems, contracting out would solve that?
- We're already contracting, so a lot of our work out. Stormwater ponds, we've got a handful of our streets that were already contracting out.
- [Bill] We don't have the staff?
- Well, some of it is because we don't have the staff, some because we don't have the expertise. Projects like a like a Webster Street or any of the DOT projects, there are very particular requirements the DOT has in order to complete those jobs and our staff does not, we don't do enough of those projects to keep current on what the DOT regulations are, and if you miss one step, pretty much sacrifice your funding, so we have to contract with consultants who do work in that DOT arena, so we're doing that with the design, the stormwater facilities. We just haven't had the staff in house, historically, to maintain that level of proficiency in designing stormwater ponds or proprietary devices or things like that, so we've contracted that out. Lift station design, we don't have the folks who know how to design the pumping systems and control panels and things like that to go along with lift stations, so we contracted that out. So some of that stuff is included in these numbers and again, what we're looking at is next year's 2020 program, what we've identified that and then accounted for a similar level of effort to the 2020 program in accounting for construction cost index.
- Roughly how much of our work do we contract out for DPW?

- It'd be difficult to estimate. A lot of the subdivision work isn't necessarily contracted out, that's just done by a developer by their consultant. If I had to estimate, I would say probably 80% of the work is done in-house.

- Okay, so going on to fire and knowing, I'm sorry, another, okay. Alder Steuer, are we back to DPW?

- Yeah.

- Okay.

- Just one quick question.

- Alder Steuer.

- Director Grenier, just on the pavement projects you know, you have five years, we have a number there. Is there a breakdown at this time as far as what that will go for, or is it too early to tell?

- [Bill] We might as well go, as far what?

- Like a project setup, if you will, of roads and things that you'd be looking at, or does that go year by year?

- The individual streets that comprise that program?

- Yeah.

- We have a target list.

- [Mark] Is that available?

- It hasn't been published yet because we haven't brought it to the Improvement Service Committee yet.

- Okay, but is it coming on a timely fashion?

- I mean, if a five year which one's a cart and which one's the horse?

- [Mark] Okay.

- Well, what we're trying to do is we're trying, in DPW, we're trying to be fiscally responsible, okay? As Director Ellenbecker has said at the last couple of meetings, we understand that there is a bonding limitation that this city is held by. It doesn't do me any good to come to you with \$11 million worth of projects in a proposed five, each year for a five year plan for \$55 million, if we're limited to \$8 million in bonding on any given year. So what we are doing is we are looking to find, we think this is a reasonable number.

- [Mark] For DPW, would you be thinking of it?

- Turned off.
- [Steve] And what we will do is what my is...
- [Mark] Well you folks establish what? You will select the projects--
- Minus the amount of money that we have available to us.
- [Mark] Like I say, we're not--
- I'm just stating that, it's this is the first kick that we've had at this, five year program and there'll be some growing pains with it but I think we're on the right track and we just wanna get some specifics as time goes on, so whatever that may take, at the committee level or that, I think it's important that we all look at that.
- So far is that we put that list of streets out the general public isn't gonna realize that that's a draft, and that that's subject to change based on how this five year program gets approved. They're gonna look at that list of streets and we're gonna be held to that list of streets. Until we get the financial setup for it, I think it's my opinion, it's premature to put that list out there.
- Well that's your opinion and we need to move forward. That's all I have, thank you.
- Okay, I'd like to remind us that we're on the first paragraph of page two and we've spent a half hour of our two hour meeting two and 1/2 hour meeting. So, I did notice that, and we are focusing mostly on 2019 'cause that's what we need to get done. So fire doesn't have anything on 2019 on this page, right, so are there some questions for fire on the other years?
- Comment, you look at down the line there's this flooring on specified station eight. That's the Allouez station, that number can be taken out, we put it in our plan. I think finance just picked it up because it's on our spreadsheet but that'll be a charge of the goal of the village of Allouez, so that can be removed. Anything throughout the document that has to do with station eight facilities, that's a charge to Allouez. So we can remove that from our director.
- [Barbara] Director Ellenbecker will remove that.
- And I had not caught it before this meeting otherwise I would have already talked to Diana about it.
- Okay. And alders, is this what you were more hoping to see that over the period of five years, these are the various things, okay. So, we really probably do need to focus on 2019 Chief, is there something you wanna say?
- This is on neither fleet nor major equipment?
- And you'll get to that, yes.
- I think actually, it's good to focus on 2019 but this is a five year plan.

- It is.

- So we need to, I think, keep in mind that we need to focus on all those years because there may be things that we move from 2019 forward in time or things actually we looked down the road and say, well, let's move it back to us now and get it done sooner. So I mean, as we try to balance this 'cause if we just do this year by year, still granting that every year things are gonna come up, but it'd be nice to have a five year plan moving forward. So like Steve says, he knows this is what I'm gonna have.

- [Barbara] And I would agree with you Alder Galvin I just know that we are in the 11th hour, here we are, it's June.

- True indeed.

- [Barbara] And we need to get 2019 approved and we may need to have another meeting then to look at--

- Oh, exactly.

- The rest of the five year plan because we have to at least get that approved, but I agree it doesn't mean this is the only meeting. You have more than one, okay, all right. Parks and Rec is next and Parks and Rec has some things I think.

- Yes, we do. I do have two proposals that could be removed from the CIP plan for 2019. So we did at \$25,000 for our hockey rink board replacements we feel as though we can put that into next years request instead of this year. Last year, we replaced two of the hockey rink boards, we need to replace the other two at some point in the near future but it can wait a year. The other one would be \$25,000 for a wildlife sanctuary, boardwalk deck lumber, a lot of our boardwalks throughout the forested area are aging, the deck boards need to be replaced, they're rotting out. What we're hoping to do, if we take it out of this year CIP plan, is to put a little bit into our budget for 2020 and then add a little bit more each year into our budget and take that out of the CIP plan and put it into our budget plan over multiple years. So those would be the two that if you need to cut and reduce it, those would be the first two that I would propose from a park standpoint.

- Okay, alders, looking over parks.

- [Kathy] Is that the, what amount?

- 25,000 and 25,000, they're both near each other. Hockey rink and wildlife sanctuary. So we're up to 550,000. Alright, any questions? This looks like a plan that you've looked over the years. You have some ideas, so this year, you were asking for one million and now you're asking for 950,000, okay. Any questions for Director Ditscheit?

- I guess I would ask them relocating the hockey rink, is the part of a bigger plan?

- Yes.

- Hockey rink relocation?

- It's part of a bigger plan for that Little League complex, down at Colburn Park, so as part of the other, one of the other requests for 2019 is 650,000 to build two Little League fields there. As part of this, we'd also like to do some parking lot improvements, move the playground and create a kind of a better experience for the users out there 'cause the hockey rink is right on top of the ball field that's out there. So we wanna kind of, there's some economies of scale by doing it all at once with engineering all at once and bidding it out to one contract or versus multiple contracts over various years.

- [Barbara] Okay, yes, Alder Steuer?

- Thank you, Chair. Dan, I was just gonna check on this and I think we dealt with it a committee but as far as the pickleball situation on the east side, you have some money's going toward that as far as the west side goes, could you just reiterate where we're at with that?

- So at the last part committee meeting, last week a group came forward and requested that we do a tournament pickleball facility on the west side of Green Bay, and so how we left it as the motion was to refer to staff to work with the pickleball group to select a location and come up with a cost estimate and then possibly at least discuss whether or not we should add it to the CIP plan in future years. Right now, that is not in the five year plan at all but the request came forward at last week's Park committee. It's something that the city or the parks department would be supportive of, because there is a lot of demand for pickleball courts in the city. They're popping up all over the place and we do feel it's appropriate, but just to let you know, it's not in our five year plan right now.

- Question, would you say that this would come in for 2020 then, generally?

- I know that that's what the pickleball group would desire.

- [Barbara] Alright, yes, Alder, okay.

- Yes I have a question with the pickleball how many people participate in that? Are there a lot?

- There are a lot, I don't have the number off the top of my head but we have an annual tournament out at Addison Park and we have hundreds of participants at that tournament. So it's a well, there's a lot of people doing it and it's all, it's across the board and all different municipalities. Other municipalities are looking at building similar facilities.

- Ask them to maybe do a little fundraising to help a little bit, I mean, I don't say the whole, 50,000, whatever you get on here but for them, it's a buy-in when people.

- Our plan is we'll decide how much fundraising is going to be part of this package project.

- Yes, yes, Alder VanderLeest.

- Colburn Park being closed for the year? Are you doing extensive work as far as repairing the water line that's broke there? How about the financing for that part?

- Could you talk into the--

- I'm sorry.
- Thank you.
- John, that's what we get--
- Anyway, I just wanted how it's working with this.
- [Steve] Just pretend he's facing the microphone. They're too polite.
- They were telling you how it's gonna work as far as the repair goes.
- Luckily, about a month ago, we approved hiring a contractor to do the repairs out at Colburn pool. So as part of the money we bonded last year for this project or several years ago for this project we already had contractor under obligation to do the work, so it just means now at this point that they can start the work sooner.
- People that would work at Colburn?
- Yes, we did switch the lifeguard, so we actually had a lifeguard shortage, so this helped us in the short term, and the lifeguards that were scheduled at Colburn, we didn't fire any of them, they're just relocated into one or the other two pools.
- Alright, the next section is yours as well, right? The City Hall part?
- Let me just look at my list.
- [Barbara] They were looking at elevator replacement, boiler repairs, elevator service upgrade, that's what was.
- Yep, so for this year as a request I wouldn't recommend making any changes to it. Those would be some real needs. I guess, if you're really looking at removing some funding, then it would be, the lowest priority would be engineering for electric service upgrades for 50,000, what we'd like to do for that one is hire a consultant to come in and analyze our electric needs for city hall. We've been told for many, many years now from our city electrician, that the electrical in City Hall does not meet code and really needs to be upgraded, so this is kind of the first step to hiring a consultant to doing that and if you look in future years, we actually have money set aside in there to actually replace the electric in future years. But I'm not recommending any changes to it at this point.
- Okay, any questions? For City Hall, okay. The next section is police, which is not for this year but public safety building land acquisition. So Commander Warych?
- Yeah, we initially had put in 50 million for the public safety building in 2019 but that got moved to 2022, but we just need to have some serious discussion on our direction of the public safety building, because if that does not come to fruition, then there are gonna be some major improvements that are gonna be needed with our current police department. So that being said, we have the million dollars with land acquisition for 2020, but if that doesn't come this will severely change in the next coming five years.

- [Barbara] Okay, yes, Alder Steuer?

- Captain Warych, thank you for coming in.

- [Barbara] It's commander now.

- Commander, sorry, excuse me, my apologies, Commander. Anyway, as far as looking at in the future with the safety building and such and you're looking at an acquisition and such I know, we talked we had a consultant come in and look at potential sites and buildings and rehab and adaptive reuse type of things are we looking, I mean there's the the push to look at something new or are there still those opportunities to look at the depth of reusing an existing structure?

- There has been no decision on that, both options are still on the table. Our group is still actively meeting with Cheryl and Steuer to determine a site and maybe a rehab in a new location, so that is definitely still on the table.

- Okay, ready to go on to other funding sources? Who would you like to direct back or just talk about TIF? If you, okay.

- I can start and anyone else can chime in is aware of the situation, again, I just wanna remind you what the other funding sources is and what that means is that those are items that are just would not be used as a general obligation borrowing, which means it would not affect the tax bill. These have other funding sources, so this item would be a TIF funded item and this is I'm currently our TIF five, and that is our shipyard project to continue to move forward with the container park, the park, the boardwalk and the marina, all in that area over in the shipyard.

- [Barbara] And so similar to that the parking repairs that would come from the parking funds? And the Sanitary and Storm would come from storm and sanitary funding, okay.

- So again, none of these items are factor in tax bill, it does affect our total outstanding borrowing.

- [Barbara] It does affect our borrowing.

- Yes.

- Okay.

- And but again, currently we are running, and even with our proposed borrowing, we are currently running at about 48-49% of what the state allows, and what that but every year, a number that it divides into is our equalized value and as that continues to go up what we're borrowing keeps us still at 47-48% of our maximum and which we can borrow. So our total capacity is we're not exceeding we're only at 50% of what we need, with what we are allowed to borrow.

- Alright.

- So you know, we may need to be on here and those are total standing but does not affect your tax bill or the mill rate.

- Any other questions or comments? Yes, Alder VanderLeest?

- 6.2 million, is that for 2019?

- Which item?

- The TIF 6.2 million.

- Oh, yes, I apologize. Yep, that is the only one change that happened from the finance meeting until this meeting, the old package you're seeing says five million the new package say 6.2. The 5 million, again is the shipyard a project in which I just explained, and the other 1.2 million is the borrowing that we need to pay for the associated parking lot that was approved one or two council meetings before. So that will be closing, if it doesn't close at the end of June, it'll close in July. So that project is moving forward again, that is gonna be TIF funded, will not be on your tax bill and so we will need a source to pay that purchase back.

- Five million, are they gonna use the total five million? In other words, in the next season 2020? Would they be full fledged development then as far as the five million, would it all be used?

- That's a good question actually, and during our development meeting, in that discussion, it did come up and I do believe that there's a study out right now or there is some kind of plan that's being looked at to see the timeline, the window, what proposal we would accept and what the timeline would be. So at this point, we are asking for the five million but there is a very, there's a potential that you know, in maybe within the next couple weeks we might know that maybe there's a portion that could get moved back to 2020, a portion in 19 and portion and 20, yeah.

- Does it only take four million? If you if you're borrowing a million you're looking at probably 4.25% which is \$42,000 per year, if you can delay whatever you can delay as far as that I think it's worth looking at.

- Yeah, that is in the works right now, there is a timeline that's being put together, so we might have more information in the next couple of weeks.

- Alright, moving on to the next section which is on page four of the document in the computer the five year Community Investment Program. That is the project name is Sanitary and Storm. So I think we're back to a Director Grenier. Are there any questions for Director Grenier? So seems pretty explanatory, you do have a plan, there is a plan.

- Right, with the Sanitary and Storm. That's actually infrastructure that goes along with the paving program, so I mean that's something I had a brief discussion about earlier, what we do with the program is when, we've actually met, had a big shift in taking a look at projects and with the ability to utilize our GIS system. We have now numeric ratings on our sanitary sewers, our storm sewers, and our pavements where historically we've only had them on the pavements. And then we are also coordinating very closely with Green Bay Water Utility and the attempt is to maximize a project, get as much work done at once, when we do a project. So if we're doing a resurfacing or reconstruction replace all those utilities at the same time. To us that make sense, and historically when somebody is has been paying assessments on that street, they don't want that street carved up five years from

now if something happens. However, this is for consideration for the Common Council. If that's an approach you would like to see changed, we can look at that where we just go in and we repave a street, knowing full well that you're rolling the dice, okay. And three years from now, there could be a water main break underneath it and brand new street has to get tore up to replace the water main, we might be able to get more especially residential streets under the resurfacing program, we may be able to more resurfacing, but it's gonna be taking that risk that the infrastructure underneath it goes away and that paving project gets adversely impacted.

- [Barbara] So do you discuss this in improvement in services?

- No, this is an internal discussion, we have started with the DPW staff on potentially bringing something forward, So I'm just getting it out there for some initial consult, so you folks can start thinking about it when we do bring it forward.

- [Barbara] And I would think then that would go to improvement services?

- Absolutely.

- Steve, I was just wondering if DPW was looked at in the technologies like for sensors or such things that can be placed on underground structure, if you will to find potential problems that might be out there?

- [Steve] I didn't hear it all.

- [Barbara] Sensors.

- Whether we're looking at technologies, identify defects with our underground infrastructure?

- Yeah, I'm looking at it from a proactive standpoint a lot of times when projects or if there's a problem of the water main break, it's already too late but is there, I know that there are technologies out there that some other cities have used that they use sensors to kind of help predict potential problems, coming down the road and I'm just wondering if there's something that we've looked at city-wide in that vein.

- Rather than using sensors, we're actually doing a lot of closed-circuit television inspection of our sewers.

- [Mark] That's where we are going?

- That's where we're going but I'm just, I know that technology changes all the time and there's always that possibility that new technologies might be out there that could help with the situation. So just a comment, thank you.

- Going back to what's listed here for Sanitary and Storm and for parking, Sanitary and Storm that reflects what our estimates are for the infrastructure associated with our pavement plan as currently proposed, utilizing the current methodology that we do. We might be able to change that pavement number going forward in the future if we change our methodology. The parking, we have simply said

a \$650,000 limit per year on our parking structures and we will do as much work as that can support, that we've been doing that for as long as I've been with the department.

- [Barbara] So, Alder Lefebvre.

- On the water commission and they are doing their company that's doing I think satellites, they are doing a certain type of imaging that they can pinpoint problems with their water detects, leakage and different things, it doesn't do exact pinpointing but it narrows it down for them, so they know where they should be looking at replacing, so that's another thing that the water department doing?

- Right, that works well for Green Bay water utility because it detects leaks flowing out, most of our leaks actually flow in and part of that technology was actually developed when they were looking for water on Mars. So now they're adapting that technology for use here with satellites and it actually requires that the water has what they're looking for is, chloride's in the water, so it has to be treated. So if you talk to the water utility, they cannot use it on the raw water feed coming from Lake Michigan because the water hasn't been treated yet, you won't be able to isolate that because it can't distinguish that water from groundwater. However, once it's been treated then they can isolate on that.

- That's very interesting, Alder VanderLeest.

- I should for Mr. Grenier of the 7.654 million is the Green Bay packaging corridor, is that part of the project there as well as far as the improvements that are going on there and that in that area? Is some of this money figured for that area as well?

- I don't think we've any of that for packaging, this is where the other streets in our program.

- [John] So that's a tall energy bill.

- I don't believe we're paying for any of the improvements up their packaging

- They're just not really with the Webster quarter.

- Those are two different areas?

- The storm sewer associated with Webster, but that's part of Webster. Sanitary sewer on Webster was all completed last year and any of the work that's associated with getting the stormwater away from the packaging site up to the settling pond, that's all the county contribution, that's not city.

- [Barbara] Yes?

- Director Grenier, for parking the monies that we see, they're all generated from parking fees, renting spaces, overnight parking tickets are those kind of violations all your other requests here showing increase, and I'm gonna assume that was Storm and Sanitary it's due to inflation, yet with parking you say it's \$650,000, does that mean that by 2022 we're gonna be doing less repairs?

- That's exactly what it means, however, what we're also looking at is a lot of these repairs, we're hoping that the frequency of the repairs also comes down, you're dealing with essentially chloride

intrusion into the concrete and it attacks the reinforcing steel that's in that concrete, so after we've repaired an area you don't have to come back and repair it as frequently. Also, through the use of, the sealants that we use on top of those concrete surfaces in the parking ramps, we're helping to slow some of that. So even though again, what we're doing is we're holding a steady dollar value, and getting as much done as we can with that dollar value and it seems to have been working very well for the last 10 years, I see no reason to really change that process.

- Okay, so the next section is something we just talked about, right? The associated parking lot, is that, I skipped something, parking repairs? And next part is the future development site, associated parking lot for the 1.2 million. You already--

- Yes. We already discussed that.

- We discussed that.

- Correct.

- Right and then going down further on the shipyard phase two, which we just discussed. And DPW pavement, which we discussed. Oh, all the way to page 22, do I get to go?

- [Diana] So what you do, you're looking at all pages for the summary we just looked over.

- Got it.

- So there's some additional information that was supplied by the department heads and again, some of those pages we wanna get additional information on but this was the first, this was how much we had pulled together at this point.

- [Barbara] Okay.

- And then you can jump to at this point we would be looking at equipment replacement.

- So now that actually starts on this before page 22. And--

- That's something to read.

- [Barbara] Mine, voting equipment, do we have to do that?

- Yes, I can talk to it.

- Okay.

- If Kris Teske walks in, she can step in. What this is, is it's called Express Vote and it is something that was recommended by the county that we move forward with buying some new equipment it will replace some of our current equipment we would have to buy 32 express machines we would need two spares and it comes in at \$132,000, we took off the trade-in value and so we're requesting \$120,000, or other option if we stayed with the auto marks, which is, we asked that we told the county week we couldn't afford these have a pieces of equipment at this point, and because they truly

want us to move forward there's a lot of advantages for the county to have everybody on the Express Votes, they said, okay, that's fine, if you don't want it, then you have to go and get your own auto marks, the old machines coded and you have to do your own media. So we looked at all our options and option one if we had to code and get some just burned it would be over a five year, it would be at \$222,000, if we had to get some other coding done it would be \$234,000 or if we bought the equipment over five years, it comes down to \$158,000. So we'd really had no option other than we have to move forward with the county and purchase 34 new pieces of equipment called Express Vote and they are expecting that to be done for the 2020 election.

- [Barbara] Okay.

- [Bill] Diana, I'm on page 20.

- Go to 22.

- [Barbara] It shows at the top that I'm on page 20.

- Everybody's on the current, the new finance.

- [Barbara] The body equipment, isn't it page 20?

- [Pam] Keep on, go to page 22. Just use your thing here.

- [Barbara] Oh, I see, I could use my thing.

- Mine's 23.

- [Barbara] That is but we've skipped a bunch of equipment.

- 22 or 45, yours is 22.

- Are you looking at today's finances?

- [Barbara] I'm looking at today's.

- Agenda, yep, it is, it's on page 22.

- Last week's.

- No, looking at today's.

- [Barbara] Okay, so on my page 22, equipment replacement fund expenditure police is what's on my page 22, is that is not correct, right?

- No.

- Wow, okay.

- One moment.
- [Barbara] So let me see, maybe I'm on the wrong. Although everything else has been correct.
- [Pam] Scroll up 'cause it's gonna be blue.
- Okay.
- [Barbara] 22 is that it?
- [Pam] Yep, keep clicking it. This one. There you go.
- [Barbara] Is that what we're looking at? I don't understand but okay. Alright, so equipment replacement projects by year. We just went over the voting equipment and then the next part is fire, right? All right. So is there anything here, Chief Litton that you could see holding off on? It starts with the gator three special events. Can you find that?
- Yeah, I've got it.
- Alright.
- My commentary to that is that there's nothing on this list that I am able or willing to hold off on or something, there will be some changes on the fleet. When we get to that, I will also tell you that when you see outfit a trading vehicle that should actually say a high water vehicle, which is related to our continuing side with high water levels in our streets in neighborhoods. The gator one special event right below that for the 2020 budget, that's actually that should be removed in 2020 because that's what's actually being replaced in 2019 or the gator three special event. So I'm not sure how we got a kind of a transposition there, I think.
- Okay, Diana is taking notes on that.
- [Bill] So, gator three is 30,000, gator one is the same thing at 28,000?
- The projection that that's in 2020 was probably the original projection when we put this list together the updated number is the 30,000 in the 2019 column.
- [Bill] I don't think gator one just 'cause it's cheap.
- Well, realistically, by the time we get done doing the things we have to do to it, that's the number 30,000.
- So you're saying?
- That is correct, in 2020, the gator one special event we we've got three special event vehicles because of the massive amounts of special events that we have, we've replaced two of the three, this third one here is from 2002. So it is way overdue to be replaced and then we will be good on those vehicles for probably the next 15 years.

- If the 45 sets of turnout gear, now this is their battle gear for going into fire, right?
- That's right.
- And is this something that you've got well over 45 minutes, so it's just something that expires like bulletproof vest too?
- A turnout gear, essentially is rated for 10 years of use, so basically, every year we have to replace 45 sets and what happens is it's frontline, it's the first set, so to speak for the first five years and then at year six, that becomes their backup set of gear because we have multiple times throughout the year where they'll be on a structure fire and because of the carcinogens that are in the gear and the new standards, we have to wash that gear and put it to a gear extractors, and in that process takes about six hours, so they have to have a second set of gear because there are multiple times and are running multiple events where they have a second set of gear, so that's how the rotation is. It lasts for 10 years, so it's frontline for five, back line for the next five and then it has to be disposed of.
- Then how come you don't have 82,000 every year? 45 sets of gear, or 40, or whatever you estimate it would cost for 45 sets?
- So what happened was their operating budget in over the last year or two, it got moved out of our general, it's always been in our general operating budget as a reoccurring expense. It got moved last year into the bonding and so it's just, we need to we do need to put it in there for the next five years, if we're gonna keep it in bonding.
- So we should see, next five years, so that needs to be changed?
- Well...
- We'd like to see it back in the regular--
- Too. I mean, because it doesn't last really the amount of time that we're bonding it for. About 10 years is--
- 10 years is right, I think, marginal. I mean, it's right at the number, I think from what direction we've been given.
- [Barbara] So you're hoping to put it back into?
- After this coming budget year we'd hoped--
- [Barbara] Okay that's, yeah.
- [Steve] There's a lot of things we're gonna be looking at, I think that used to be in the general budget.
- [Barbara] Right, that should be moved back.

- Exercise equipment for \$75,000. Now, I'm just going from police experience, all our exercise, and I realized we have different buildings, and you can't tell them, get on your bike and go down to the one main place but all of our exercise equipment, we receive through donations or through fundraising. And so I'm just wondering if \$75,000 is really a must have, or is it something that you could do like the Police Department has done and gone out and look for donations or done internal fundraising for equipment?

- We have over time, so we have seven facilities and have to have exercise equipment, and over time, that's exactly what has happened. There's a hodgepodge of stuff that's been picked off the garbage piles essentially. And so we've got a physical therapist that works with both Police and Fire Department who's done an evaluation of our equipment and his standard training program. And so he went to every station and has recommended to us the bare minimum standard equipment that should go from station to station in order to be able to use his training to help reduce our workers' content injuries. So he actually went out and did a complete ergonomic study of everything that we do, both on the fire and EMF side, he actually rolled with our guys for three 24 hour shifts, and he's put together this program, and it's been very, very well received. But he is recommending and kind of pointing us to go out and get this gear and get it replaced. So some stations are using stuff, that somebody's used their home, it's not commercial grade equipment, that stuff is pounded on, it's just like everything in the fire station. It's used 24/7 and we can keep on doing that but we're gonna keep on happening, I think the return on investment in here is that we get a regimented training program for everybody and we're hoping that that reduces our workers comp on the back end.

- I guess then I would ask you, again I'm asking you to look at outside the box of what you traditionally do. I mean, the Police Department can give you a list of the people that they get commercial grade equipment donated from. Another thing we did was, we looked at, what was it? What's the organization here for injuries, workman's comp, not working's comp?

- That was CVMIC.

- What was that? That said make, anyway, that's how we got our money for our tasers was they figured out tasers would reduce officer, involve injuries and so they took the money out of their fund to get us equipment like that.

- That's CVMIC.

- Oh, was that CVMIC?

- [Steve] That was out of work comp, and I worked on that with--

- Goes out of work, okay. And and I understand the goal, I mean, certainly we can't have firefighters the more guys you have on workman comp the more load it puts on everybody else, and there's a cost effectiveness here but I'm just asking again, if there's a chance you could take a look at some other revenues and like I said, the police department even has done fundraising amongst their own members to raise the money for some of this equipment that they use in their gym. We're trying to make some cuts here, and when I look at it I understand you make a perfectly good sense, but again, we're asking everyone to take a hard look at some of this stuff, I'm just wondering if you could take another hard look at this and put some feelers out there and see there's some changes can be made.

- We were able or willing to compromise this position at this point, we've been doing this for 20 years of just gonna garbage picking and it's time to change that in the fire department, so there is a potential donor out there for the money but it's a donor that I've been working with for six months and it hasn't come to fruition yet. We're hoping, but I've been working at it hard for six months and so my contributions that a reduction will be in the fleet area and it's a substantial reduction, so like I said before you ask me to compromise this, I'll tell you what that other thing is when we get to that. I'm talking about a \$450,000 change.

- [Bill] That's a lot of weight--

- So I am not I'm not willing to compromise this exercise equipment at those stations at this point we've been doing it for far too long.

- Yeah, I can certainly understand that. So maybe in the meantime, future going forward you can talk to Kevin or Paul and maybe they could give you some other avenues to look at for the future, alright, thank you.

- Alright, any other questions for fire? We'll go on to info technology who wants to speak, is anyone wanna speak to that are there questions?

- We have outdated phone server and we really need to upgrade our phones. It is something that's been on the list for quite a while Mike, our IT Administrator had put in a request for 2019 and 2020, 65,000 each year. We talked to him at this point, realistically, we probably wouldn't do it in 2019. It probably be a 20 and 21 project, so we were looking really slide the 65 over really a year. So it would be in 20 and 21 instead of in 19 so that's 65,000 right now we're gonna move back a year. Doesn't mean we don't need to do it, it's just timing it's already getting this late in the year.

- Okay, here's another 65,000, thank you. Parks, Rec, Forestry. There's a radio system more, any questions for Dr. Ditscheit on that?

- Well Dan, if I understand correctly, there's more. It's literally shot, it can't even be repaired. Is that correct?

- It all is in pretty rough shape. It has over 2,900 hours on it, that's from 2004. It's in really bad condition, yes.

- [Bill] Radio system that's something that you said.

- Yeah, the radio system, we are, I believe, the last apartment in the city that uses the old analog radios, all the other departments have switched to digital and so it's time for us to do that also, we're having a hard time finding replacement parts and we're just piecing the radios together when we're repairing them, so.

- Alright, Police is looking at handheld radios, mobile radio, and security camera replacement. Any questions for the Police Department?

- How many units are we talking about? \$70,000 with the handheld? This is part of that 20 a year?

- Yeah, yeah, so 20 and 2019, 20 going forward.
- Two grand plus for a year?
- Yeah. Yeah, probably closer to three.
- [Bill] Perhaps we shouldn't be throwing them at people any more, should we?
- No, we've stopped that many, many years ago, God willing.
- [Bill] Nice work. All right, and then mobile radios, what are those?
- Those are the squad car radios and this is just the life expectancy, these radios they wear out and we're just trying to replace them as they wear out.
- [Bill] How many are we getting for 20 something?
- Five, four or five.
- [Bill] They're good for 20 years?
- Yeah. Well, no.
- [Barbara] What does that mean, 20 slash year next to mobile radio?
- So that's quantity.
- Quantity.
- [Barbara] So that's 20 a year and also handheld is 20 a year?
- Handheld is 20, mobile is five.
- Mobile is five.
- I think you've got this--
- This is not correct on here.
- It's correct on this one.
- [Barbara] Okay.
- [Bill] And what security cameras are you replacing?
- Those I believe those are just the updates to the cameras around the city.
- At certain intersections?

- Yeah, like in the shift, in the shift commander's office. We got all those cameras around the city.
- [Bill] You get any Homeland Security money for some of those seeing as that's where they came from originally? Originally yes but I'd have to defer to Paul or Mike on that one.
- No.
- No.
- We haven't in a long time, we haven't. We received that one large part security grant at 1.4 million and ever since then it's been very slim pickings.
- [Bill] The port's not that much for risk any more.
- We have got things from 2000 to 2011 with that grant.
- Alright, so now we go back to boating equipment again, that's what I'm--
- That's boat here.
- Details.
- So summary.
- Okay, so we can move on. Yeah, what color?
- Can you turn the page?
- [Barbara] Now what page, what is it?
- Green 39 for everybody but Kathy.
- [Barbara] All the way to 39.
- Probably a couple of pages before for you, Kathy, probably 36, 37 for you. Page 36 or 37 for you.
- [Barbara] Do we want green? Are we doing green?
- Yes, green.
- [Barbara] Green on here is, mine is on page 39.
- 39, yes.
- Okay. Fleet replacement projects, page 39 on the computer. And we... Guys are ready, what's community development, what does that? Have that Cheryl's, oh yours, oh okay.

- So we don't have a request, it looks like for 2019 although I'll tell you, let's put this on the books. Is any extra money laying around we do need inspection vehicles? So we've got them for 20 onward to a year. We have three right now that are behind schedule to be replaced and one that's in pretty bad shape so for 2019, we don't have anything on this project.

- [Bill] Cheryl, who maintains your vehicles?

- That's how it works, fleet.

- I believe with the vehicle rotation plan there has historically at least, some of the vehicles rolling through City Hall have been in a trickle down formation, so some of the sedans from DPW get used within DPW for a while then they get moved on down to, okay, because we have not been replacing our vehicles, we have nothing to rotate down.

- All right, it's the same thing with PD, they haven't been replacing them and so they have nothing to hand down either.

- That's why those vehicles are getting worse because we're not sending our stuff to them.

- If we're replacing other vehicles, just keep us in mind 'cause we'll take the other ones as well, so.

- Okay. All right.

- Just a real quick question, Police Department. When we confiscate vehicles where they're forfeit, can we use them within the city for other city departments?

- I mean, once we accept, if we take them in like an asset forfeiture, you mean?

- [Bill] Yes.

- Or a voluntary transfer? Yeah, once we assume ownership, yes, we could do that.

- [Bill] We do that as often as we were at one point?

- That the asset forfeiture guidelines have some then they've changed over the time. It's not as easy as it once was, we still do take an occasional vehicle in but we've had a lot of success on the 1033 program, where that's the government vehicles that we can go get for free, we just have to pay the transportation costs.

- [Bill] So could those vehicles be used for other departments?

- The 1033, no. That has to be strictly for the police department. But for the asset forfeiture vehicles that we bring in, yes.

- [Bill] If we use the vehicle for a police department vehicle we can transfer a police department vehicle over to another department then.

- In essence, yes.

- [Bill] I understand those vehicles are nowhere near new but might be better than something they currently have?

- Well, I can promise you, we don't trade in any good vehicles. They're probably to the max of their life.

- [Bill] Okay, so how many vehicles are we getting in asset forfeiture?

- Maybe one a year, and then that would be high, it's not as fruitful as it once was.

- [Bill] 1033?

- But that's for the Police Department only. And we just got a big truck that we work with with the fire department on, we're looking for a different van for more of the snipers, so they're out there it's just a matter of getting 'em.

- [Bill] Okay.

- [Barbara] Alright, so now we're at fire. This will be exciting, Chief? Your view, folks?

- I can see the list of vehicles there so that very first column, the three staff vehicles, those are holdovers from 2018 that did not happen. Ambulance 471 speaks for itself. The next vehicle down hazardous material squad, 452. That's a current 1991 tractor trailer. So it's 28 going on 29 years old, it is wore out and not efficient, and doesn't work for our operation. So as a part of Alder Galvin's, imploring us to look at other alternatives, if you look at, So, I implored my staff to figure out in a very short period of time, like what else could be done. And so if you look at that number of \$890,000 there. So I've sent a correspondence to Diana to change that number to, we're gonna split the cost basically. So we're gonna take, I need 900,000, so that's the bad news it's an extra \$10,000, so we're asking for \$450,000 in this year to get the order in place and to make the down payment on the vehicle and then it will it will not come in until early 2020. So the other 450,000 would have to be guaranteed, like number one on the list for next year's bonding. And then if you look down that column, it has Matt squad 451, eliminate that number, I've implored him to take the two vehicles and make it work into one, so that's where the extra \$10,000 in cost came in. So if you look in the 2020 column, hazmat squad 451 will eliminate that cost in 2020. And then, the last number in the 2019 column there is a staff vehicle, that's the one that's scheduled to be replaced in 2019, so there's four total staff vehicles. And that goes to our training division, to our fire marshals, various staff members.

- You just have to explain, Kathy, so they're taking \$890,000, they're gonna cut, make that \$900,000, cut it in half, and they're gonna transfer that other 452 to 2020, but then they're gonna eliminate hazardous material squad for 451 for 347 and change.

- Vision down to one I implored them to make it work in one vehicle so that we can eliminate stock and eliminate that cost in 2020, they work very hard with the various vendors that are out there, we don't have one in mind but we did have to contact a couple of them to say can we make this work and the answer was yes. Can we make it fit in this budget? The answer was if you modify some things of what you're asking for, yes. So that's what I told him to do.

- [Bill] Alright, thank you.

- So that's my \$450,000 contribution plus the other mistakes that were about 480 less than what we had in the books there before.

- [Barbara] Okay, okay. Any more questions about the fire vehicles, no?

- I would just, again, just add into this that we've got this list projected out to 2030. Our mechanics look at it every single year when they're doing a preventive maintenance on this, our mechanics are all emergency vehicle certified and sometimes these vehicles will move just based on what the mechanics tell us but everything that's on our list out to 2030 is based on actual experience and use, and what we know is going to need to be replaced when, so that's how we, and sometimes we can extend like if a fire engine is station four we can extend it out a little longer because it doesn't get as much use, the fire engine station two get goes every, it needs to go about every seven years. So I think that that's one of the things that needs to be said. The other thing that I would tell you that, going forward, I don't think we can do this in 2019 but in 2020, I've talked to Diana, and I made this comment at our staff meeting that perhaps we should start looking at vehicle leasing programs for all of our fleet. There are many areas in the country that use a lease maintenance system and dependent on interest rates, you gotta watch the interest rates on it but it can be shown it it's more cost effective to lease vehicles, even in the fire service than it is to buy 'em and and try to maintain 'em and having them out of service on a regular interval. So, that's something that takes some pretty deep study but there's a lot of research out there on that. So I would just throw that out there as a side comment.

- Well, we should all be looking for ways that I mean, we don't wanna cut where it hurts, that makes no sense, and you can see what it's, the quarter, it's gotten us into where we cut to the point where we're bonding for 10 years for a five year car, it makes no sense and so now we're trying to make up for that. But going forward, looking at other avenues, sharing resources and that kind of stuff or leasing, or any of the other kind of stuff that's out there certainly makes sense, if it we're still getting the biggest bang for our buck but we're getting quality equipment for for our people. So, I thank you.

- Okay, so we can move on then to the Parks Rec and Forestry vehicles? Any questions, or Director, did you just want to go over what you have?

- Sure I would, after reviewing this with our staff and our mechanics, the very first line item the three quarter time regular cab four by four, with Tommy lift, for 35,000, we could postpone that till next year, if we wanted to. What that is, is there's a forestry truck, there's a flatbed truck that we use on a seasonal basis, basically to haul trees to and from job sites, we would replace that flatbed truck with this more useful pickup truck four by four with the Tommy lift, so it just, it's a desire or it's a need by our staff to basically, increased productivity and usage, but of that vehicle, but we can wait a year for it if we needed to.

- [Barbara] Okay.

- I wouldn't have any other recommended changes at this point.

- [Barbara] Are there any questions from the other alders? No, alright, then police. I know the police have been looking for squads and they they've been putting off getting their squads but who would like to speak to that?

- Our fleet is in dire straits and we've went to a four year cycle just to give you some perspective, we have 82 vehicles 27 of those are beyond their replacement schedule, so that's about 33% of our fleet that is beyond our life expectancy. We anticipate that there will be a higher amount of replacement costs or repair costs for those vehicles. That being said, we've anticipated, 15 vehicles a year going in for the next five years to make up for that, and that is with a four year cycle. Previously, we were on a three year cycle and then the general vehicles, those are our detective vehicles or other vehicles that are in our fleet, we don't wanna compromise that in any means because we went down that road a couple years ago when our SRO vehicles were not even passing salvage inspection and they were driving them. So that being said though, we do have 15 squad cars a year, our mechanics do build them from beginning to end, they build them about one car a month. So in 2019, if they build them one a month you know, that's eight or nine this year and as long as we maintain that 15 vehicles a year we could bond for more vehicles in 2020 just as long as we keep the total amount of vehicles.

- The SUVs versus the cars are you switching over that way or are you not switching over?

- We do have SUVs and chargers. We are going more towards the SUV realm.

- [John] Did they...

- No we've always stuck to the four years.

- [John] How many miles?

- Between 110, 120. Yeah.

- [Barbara] Okay. Any other questions for the Police Department?

- [Bill] What type of general vehicles are we talking about?

- Detective vans, our SRO vehicles are our vehicles, our, just our general fleet vehicles anything other than a black and white, trip cars.

- [Bill] When you say build up, that means you need to take all the equipment out of the old one, put it in the new one? Is that what you mean?

- Correct.

- [Bill] That takes a month for each vehicle?

- Month for one vehicle, yes.

- So how are you gonna--

- So how are you gonna do 15, yeah, that's what I was wondering.

- Right, I mean, but that there's no, we could we could go down to that one a month but keep in mind, though that won't get us to the point where we need our fleet to be.
- [Barbara] Now, we're saying, can they build out more than?
- Oh, yes, we can we just have to farm it out.
- [Barbara] Okay, contracted out?
- Yes.
- Okay.
- [Bill] What is that close?
- We've never done it.
- We've never done it?
- We've always done it in house.
- [Bill] If the fleet went back to where it should be the you should find some of this work.
- Correct.
- [Barbara] Is that figure factored in?
- No, how can I know?
- [Barbara] So, help me understand.
- Not for outside work, no.
- Alright. How are you gonna do that?
- How are we gonna build the cars?
- [Barbara] Yeah, if you only can do one a month that would be 12.
- Right.
- [Barbara] You want 15?
- Well, then we would have to we would have to farm that out to another company to build those squad cars.
- So you don't have any money in or is that gonna be from a different budget that'll be from your?

- We don't have anything budgeted for that.

- Correct me if I'm wrong but things have changed, it's been five years almost. I used to see squad cars from other departments in our garage bay being worked on by our mechanics, are we still doing that?

- Now, I haven't seen that in quite some time, our staff is buried as it is, so we would not take on extra work for that because we've got more work for them to do than they have the time allotted for them in a work day.

- I guess I'm not comfortable saying, oh I had to buy 15 cars if we're going to have to take, spend money to have them set up and especially if that money is gonna be turning into be quite a bit of money. And we don't know what that cost is yet. I guess my other thing is, when we buy our vehicles do we get a better price buying 15 or a dozen at a time like we did that one time we actually bought them a year in advance or are we better off buying them one at a time?

- Bought them in a year in advance because they were canceling the Crown Vics and we wanted to have another year of the Crown Vics. But as far as the purchasing of those vehicles that I don't know.

- [Barbara] Do you have an idea?

- Yes.

- Yes.

- Starting direct. Because of the demands, the fleet is presenting to us right now, sorry. Because of the demands that the fleet is presenting to us right now we can only do one a month.

- [Barbara] Oh, I see where you are going.

- Yes, because they're being pulled from working on those cars to repair, what we have to put out on the road right now. So I would assume that we could probably do more.

- Two to three weeks.

- Two to...

- Two to three weeks on not bothered, left alone, you go and do your...

- [Barbara] So if you have better newer vehicles you have less repairs and then they have more time to work on the new vehicles?

- Right.

- But would you buy them all at once, or you buy them over a period of time? I mean, just like what Alder Galvin was saying maybe they would just sit there for a while till you could?

- Some of them, yes, black and whites, yes. When you put on a requisition, you get them all at once to keep the price down and all that.

- [Barbara] Okay, yes, Chief?

- I would just add, and it's circumstance in the Fire Department we get into that circumstance, I just authorized some additional overtime, I just tell our mechanics you're staying until you get this done. So it's much cheaper than farming it out to somebody else in that situation, I don't know how many hours a week those guys are working in the PD but if it's feasible, it's cheaper to just authorize overtime and build that extra, you know, two, three, \$4,000 into your overtime budget when we get to the 1920.

- [Barbara] I actually had that thought myself, I wondered about that.

- Right now.

- [Barbara] You're doing that right now, they're ready to know

- Just on the the amount of repairs that are needed the new in car video and the new computers that were purchased.

- And I thought, this is another idea besides the overtime is, could we not hire some of our old mechanics back that have retired as a contract employee, pay him a set wage, no benefits, anything like that, until the job's done. You hire him just for the contract of getting these cars up to speed.

- [Barbara] Oh, there's a lot of ideas. Sounds like you have some good ideas. Yes, Alder VanderLeest.

- For the Chief, I think you know, getting the 15 cars, whatever you're gonna order, I think is smart because you have a few accidents yet you don't anticipate, it's nice to have a spare car sitting around that they can put in service if needed 'cause I know you've had a few of them smashed up in the past and I would say stay with the amount of cars you're getting and if you got a couple sitting in the lot for a little bit, that's fine 'cause you don't know when you're gonna need it. Might need it tomorrow, so that would be my thoughts on the vehicles that you're purchasing, stay with the amount you're getting and, you know.

- [Bill] How does the warranty work on those? If you take it and it sits on the lot for a year? Is that one year out the window?

- 100,000 for the power train and then three years, 36,000 for the, it just like your normal car that you buy.

- [Bill] So if it sits there for a year, you're out a year on that?

- Yes.

- [Bill] Is there a way that we could just extend that warranty out, I mean by the 15th, paying for 'em but work out a way that we take 'em when we're ready for 'em just to get the biggest, the most out of that warranty?

- That I don't know. We could work with purchasing on that, absolutely.
- [Bill] Yeah, that's something to look at. Yes.
- All right..
- [Barbara] Okay.
- And that can be done when we put in a requisition.
- [Barbara] Thank you, thank you, alright, I think we're through and I've kind of been keeping track I don't know how close I am.
- Do we have?
- Just one more thing.
- Oh, there were some, did I miss trains?
- The buses.
- The buses.
- But they're not until--
- Oh, okay.
- Anybody have a question the buses is a transit too? Nothing, okay, right. So, as I've been keeping track were we about 200,000 short? Is that about where we're at 200,100 is what I count, what did you come up with? 223,000.
- [Diana] All right, our goal is to be around \$8 million.
- Okay.
- Yeah, we're sitting at one from \$9.3 million down to about an \$8.2 million.
- [Barbara] So what, how much per penny, if you add on unknown rate how much is that?
- For borrowing?
- Yeah.
- Steve helped calculate it, that was about for every I think 450,000 we borrow was an extra penny I think is what he said, so at this point I'm gonna say it's about less than a penny.
- [Barbara] It's less than a penny.

- For an extra 200,000.

- Right.

- To be borrowed over a 20 year or some of these can be 10, some could be 20 years but...

- [Barbara] Okay, that's, I think we came pretty close. So still Council's gonna have to approve this but we're very close to the eight million that we wanted to be at. Does anyone have any other comments on this? Do we need to take some action, Diana at this?

- If you would like this information, the 2018 borrowing request to move on to Council you would wanna at least approve the 2019. I don't believe that we're ready to approve a five year Capital Improvement Plan. I think there would be more discussion that you would want to have on that item and then you can also recommend if there's more information you need like if you want more details on useful life, which ones are past replacement, priority, some additional information, we can continue to get that information built into the 20 to 23 years.

- [Barbara] Okay.

- I guess I would make a recommendation too because some of the money we saved here we did by just moving in down the road which means we're gonna face the same bullet for the next four years. But I guess if you have a better feeling of what we're looking for and some of the ideas that were, again, thinking outside the box, and I'm not saying you folks don't do that, I think you've done very good jobs, running your departments, but there's always something newfangled out there like you were talking leasing, and things like that that I think we need to continuously be looking at and not just being sedentary and are we just here's the budget, let's do it, pass it on and now the Council is gonna kick the hell out of us and tell us to cut everything, but if we could be looking at other ways of saving money or getting those monies that we need, because eventually the idea would be to move some of this stuff back into the general budget. And so we're not getting pounded on this bonding but as this moves forward, the plan is, Barb, I think that we're gonna have 'em both working more closely, the the actual budget and the bonding will be working a lot closer together. So we'll be discussing all of it about the same time. So we'll have a five year budget plan, five year bonding plan, so those will work, hopefully work a lot more, work a lot better together.

- So we're gonna have to gear up for the 2020 bonding pretty soon 'cause that's when the budgeting has been October, November. Yes, Alder VanderLeest.

- You think that 200,000 that we're short out of the general fund? It would keep the bonding at the rate you're talking to.

- We would have no funding source if you're talking about 2019, we only have a contingency of under \$90,000 and most of that's been obligated I'm not sure where we would take the money from.

- You may have called this--

- So, I would entertain a motion then if someone like to make a motion to.

- May I say something?

- Yes, you may.

- Pam just reminded me, we do have another finance meeting prior to the next council meeting, July 9th.

- I think the 9th.

- So you have two things. One, you could just take this and move this on to the next council meeting or you could ask for if you wanted additional information brought back to the next finance meeting 'cause we will not have another Council meeting that will address this item before the July Council meeting.

- [Barbara] So was there more information that was needed? I felt we've got a lot of questions answered.

- [Bill] We've got 2/3 of the alders here. Paul's expressing any need for any more information. I'm comfortable with what we have here.

- [Barbara] I'm comfortable with what we have. Okay, so I'd like to see a motion.

- I'll make a motion to approval 2019 bonding.

- As discussed.

- AS discussed.

- [Barbara] Okay, a motion by Alder Galvin, second by Alder Lefebvre, to approve the 2019 budget bonding as discussed. Any more discussion? All those in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed, right motion carries. Okay, there's nothing else, I don't think there's anything else on the agenda, I will look for a motion to adjourn.

- [Bill] Motion to adjourn.

- Right, I missed informational which we just said but the next finance committee meeting will be held Tuesday July 9th at 4:30 p.m. Motion adjourned by Alder Galvin, second by Elder Dorff, all those in favor?

- Aye.

- Opposed, motion carries, okay.