



MINUTES OF THE PROTECTION AND POLICY COMMITTEE

**MONDAY, JULY 8, 2019, 5:30 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell, Mark Steuer

Also present: Deputy City Attorney Joanne Bungert, Chief of Staff Celestine Jeffreys, Assistant City Attorney Lindsey Mather, Clerk Kris Teske, Housing & Zoning Enforcement Coordinator Bill Paape, Chief Building Official Paul VanCalster, Ald. Brian Johnson, Ald. Barbara Dorff, Ald. Bill Galvin, Humane Officer Mallory Meves, Lt. Steve Mahoney, Officer Solomon Ayres and Officer Darryl Robinson.

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

I. Approval of the agenda of the July 8, 2019 Protection & Policy Committee meeting.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes of the June 24, 2019 Protection & Policy Special Committee meeting.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on a request by Automobile Gallery at 400 S. Adams St. to temporarily extend their licensed premises on August 5 from 5:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. to include their front parking lot for an event.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve a request by Automobile Gallery at 400 S. Adams St. to temporarily extend their licensed premises on August 5 from 5:30 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. to include their front parking lot for an event, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on a renewal application (submitted June 12, 2019) for a "Class B" Combination License by Pablo's Mexican Grill House at 850 Kepler Dr. Suite C, with the approval of the proper authorities. (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve a renewal application (submitted June 12, 2019) for a "Class B" Combination License by Pablo's Mexican Grill House at 850 Kepler Dr. Suite C, with the approval of the proper authorities. (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action on the release of the 2018-2019 "Class B" Combination licenses to the pool of available licenses due to no action taken for the 2019-2020 license year.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the release of the 2018-2019 "Class B" Combination licenses to the pool of available licenses due to no action taken for the 2019-2020 license year. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class B" Combination license by MaiSee Vue (individual entity) at 100 S. Broadway St., with the approval of the proper authorities (previously licensed as Hilmond LLC during the 2018-2019 license season)(The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve an application for a "Class B" Combination license by MaiSee Vue (individual entity) at 100 S. Broadway St., with the approval of the proper authorities (previously licensed as Hilmond LLC during the 2018-2019 license

season)(The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on an application for a "Class B" Combination license for Bullseye 708 LLC at 708 Bodart St., with the approval of the proper authorities. (previously licensed as R&K Neil, LLC during the 2018-2019 license year). (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019).

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve an application for a "Class B" Combination license for Bullseye 708 LLC at 708 Bodart St., with the approval of the proper authorities. (previously licensed as R&K Neil, LLC during the 2018-2019 license year). (The Protection & Policy Committee has been granted final approving authority on liquor license related matters until October 1, 2019). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Everett Butzine, 1621 14th Ave., regarding his dog Henry being declared dangerous, previously held at the June 10 Protection & Policy meeting.

QUASI JUDICIAL/HEARING:

The Committee may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1)(a) Wisconsin Statutes for the purpose of deliberating a case which may be the subject of a quasi-judicial trial or hearing before that governmental body. The Committee may, thereafter, reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2) Wisconsin Statutes to report any actions taken during the closed session and to consider all other matters on the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close floor . Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to deny an appeal by Everett Butzine, 1621 14th Ave., regarding his dog Henry being declared dangerous, previously held at the June 10 Protection & Policy meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Ashley Harper regarding the denial of her operator's license.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve an appeal by Ashley Harper regarding the denial of her operator's license. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Eric Chang at 830 Howard Street regarding invoice 19001317, previously held at the June 10 Protection & Policy meeting.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to deny an appeal by Eric Chang at 830 Howard Street regarding invoice 19001317, previously held at the June 10 Protection & Policy meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

9. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Dean VanLaanen, 842-844 Fifteenth Ave, regarding his reinspection fees.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close floor. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randall Scannell to approve an appeal by Dean VanLaanen and reduce the reinspection fees accrued at 842-844 Fifteenth Ave to \$1325. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

10. Consideration with possible action on General Ordinance No. 17-19, an ordinance creating Sections 33.03(4) and 33.05(10), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to provisional licenses.

Ald. Randy Scannell stepped out when the floor discussion was taking place, but returned prior to the vote to close the floor.

A hard copy of the ordinance was handed out at the meeting, which can be viewed on the next Council agenda.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None, Not Present: Randy Scannell

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve General Ordinance No. 17-19, an ordinance creating Sections 33.03(4) and 33.05(10), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to provisional licenses. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

11. Consideration with possible action on a request by Ald. Galvin to the Protection & Policy committee to have a procedure for alders to be notified when petitions and communications are going to be addressed at the committee level, which was held at the June 10 Protection & Policy meeting.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to refer to staff a request by Ald. Galvin regarding petitions and communications for a policy be created to keep items that are referred to staff on the applicable agenda for staff to update as to the status until the item has been completed. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

12. Discussion with possible action on a request by Ald. Dorff, which reads: "I believe that steps must be taken to ensure that infra structure projects, including but not limited to those that the wheel tax will fund, must be chosen very carefully and be placed into a capital improvement plan. I am requesting that P and P develop policy with the help of DPW, legal and other appropriate staff. This policy must be developed so that the special interests and requests of individual alders do not subvert the process of choosing the projects that have been carefully researched by city staff as being appropriate for placement in a five year plan. Parameters for choosing streets to be repaired, reconstructed or resurfaced as well as other infra structure improvements should be clearly identified within this policy," which was referred to staff at a previous Protection & Policy Committee meeting.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to refer to staff a request by Ald. Dorff, which reads: "I believe that steps must be taken to ensure that infra structure projects, including but not limited to those that the wheel tax will fund, must be chosen very carefully and be placed into a capital improvement plan. I am requesting that P and P develop policy with the help of DPW, legal and other appropriate staff. This policy must be developed so that the special interests and requests of individual alders do not subvert the process of choosing the projects that have been carefully researched by city staff as being appropriate for placement in a five year plan. Parameters for choosing streets to be repaired, reconstructed or resurfaced as well as other infra structure improvements should be clearly identified within this policy," which was referred to staff at a previous Protection & Policy Committee meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

13. A request by Ald. Johnson for consideration with possible action on the creation of a SafePark initiative that permits overnight parking for those who may have consumed too much alcohol in an effort to deter drunk driving incidents. (Examples: Seattle: <http://www.parking.org/2016/01/19/tpp-2013-11-overnight-options/> Colorado:

<https://www.codot.gov/safety/alcohol-and-impaired-driving/planahead/overnight-parking>). This item referred to staff from a previous Protection & Policy Committee meeting.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to close floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to receive and place on file a request by Ald. Johnson for consideration with possible action on the creation of a SafePark initiative that permits overnight parking for those who may have consumed too much alcohol in an effort to deter drunk driving incidents. (Examples: Seattle: <http://www.parking.org/2016/01/19/tpp-2013-11-overnight-options/> Colorado: <https://www.codot.gov/safety/alcohol-and-impaired-driving/planahead/overnight-parking>). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

14. Consideration with possible action on a request by Ald. Dorff to form a short term task force, led by the Police department, including, Fire, Alders, risk management, Celestine Jeffries and any other important stakeholder to review the security practice of the city buildings and the city employees to determine what changes and training are needed to ensure that our municipal buildings are safe and secure for both employees and the public.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to refer to the Mayor's Office a request by Ald. Dorff to form a short term task force, led by the Police department, including, Fire, Alders, risk management, Celestine Jeffries and any other important stakeholder to review the security practice of the city buildings and the city employees to determine what changes and training are needed to ensure that our municipal buildings are safe and secure for both employees and the public. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

15. Consideration with possible action on a request by Ald. Dorff to research and create a fair housing ordinance for the City of Green Bay, which was referred to the Protection & Policy Committee by the Plan Commission at their June 10 meeting.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to refer to staff a request by Ald. Dorff to research and create a fair housing ordinance for the City of Green Bay, which was referred to the Protection & Policy Committee by the Plan Commission at their June 10 meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

16. Consideration with possible action by Ald. Wery which states: "To request a report of police call volume on Western Ave. from Oneida to Taylor. What addresses are habitual problems, have nuisance plans and bills for service been issued? Develop a plan moving forward to reduce the number of police calls to this area," previously held at the May 13 and June 10 Protection & Policy meetings.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to receive and place on file a request by Ald. Wery which states: "To request a report of police call volume on Western Ave. from Oneida to Taylor. What addresses are habitual problems, have nuisance plans and bills for service been issued? Develop a plan moving forward to reduce the number of police calls to this area," previously held at the May 13 and June 10 Protection & Policy meetings. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

I. Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for July 8, 2019.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report from the Police Department for July 8, 2019. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

F. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to adjourn at 7:50 p.m. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Okay, we're good to go.
- Good to go, okay. Hear ye, hear ye, hear ye, the Protection and Policy Committee for July 8th in year of our lord 2019 is now in session. Roll call, is Alder Stevens present?
- Here.
- Is Alder Vanderleest in the house?
- Present.
- Is Alder Steuer here?
- Certainly.
- And the Chair, Alder Scannell, is also present. We are all accounted and present. I'll take a motion to approve our agenda.
- So moved.
- Second.
- Motion by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Vanderleest.
- Um-hmm, yes.
- Oh, yep, yep, not today. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Okay, we have on our agenda before us, I'll take a motion to approve the minutes for our June 24th meeting of this year.

- So move to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Stevens. Seconded by Alder Steuer?
- Yes.
- All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Okay our minutes are good. Maybe.
- Yeah, we're good to go.
- We are on to regular business. Item number one. Consideration with possible action on a request by automobile gallery at 400 South Adam Street to temporarily extend their license premises on August 5th from 5:30 PM to 9:30 PM to include their front parking lot for an event. Staff.
- Law department has no objections.
- Please concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Stevens, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Passes unanimously. Oh, and I should say, everything we do here unless I say otherwise, the council did give us final authority on some issues, will become final next Tuesday, at seven o'clock at the regular council meeting.
- Six.
- Six o'clock, sorry, yeah, yeah. Talking about my time.
- On the 16th.
- Yeah. The 16th at six o'clock. If I say otherwise, then, it's official after this meeting. But otherwise, you will need to wait until council, the 16th. Okay. We onto two?
- Yes we are.
- Consideration of possible action on renewal application submitted June 12th, 2019, for a class B combination license by Pablo's Mexican Grillhouse at 850 Kepler Drive, suite C. With the approval of the proper authorities. And on this one, the protection and policy committee has been granted final approval authority on license relating until October 1st, 2019. So. Staff?
- The law department has no objections.

- Please concur.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Vanderleest. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously and that will take effect after the meeting. Number three. Consideration of possible action on the release of the 2018-2019 class B combination licenses to the pool of available licenses due to no action taken for the 2019-2020 license year. Staff?
- Law department has no objections.
- Please concur.
- We got licenses in our pool again. We can swim.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously. Number four, consideration of possible action on an application for a class B combination license for Bullseye, 708 LLC at 708 Border Street, with approval of the proper authorities. Previously licensed as R and K Neil, LLC, during the 2018, 2019 license year. And on this one, the committee also has final granting authority on it.
- No objections.
- No objections from staff?
- Please concur.
- Please concur, I like that.
- Motion to approve by Alder Vanderleest, second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously and that will go into effect after the meeting.
- Number five.
- Number five, consideration of possible action on an application for a class B combination license by My Sea View. Hope I said that correctly. Individual entity at 100 South Broadway Street, with the approval of the

proper authorities. Previously licensed at Hillmum, LLC, during the 2018-2019 license season. And again, we have the final authority on this one. Staff?

- Law department has no objection.

- Please concur.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Vanderleest. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.

- Number six.

- Number six. Consideration of possible action on an appeal by Everett Butzine, 1621 14th Avenue regarding his dog Henry being declared dangerous, previously held at the June 10th protection and policy meeting. Staff, are we set to go on this one?

- Yes, the appellant is here. As we mentioned at the prior meeting, so this was held over from the last meeting. It is a quasi judicial hearing. Notice was given to the appellant. Appellant did not appear at the last meeting, notice, he was renoticed, he is here this evening. However he has made it clear that he will not be contesting the matter any more. However just for the record and to ensure that we're covering all of our bases, we're going to have Officer Mavis sworn in again, have her summarize her testimony that was presented to the committee at the last meeting, have the appellant also make his statement for the record, and then make sure to still state for the record, its findings, statements, findings of fact, conclusions of law, just so that we can wrap that up. So, state encourage processes.

- Okay. Officer Mavis?

- Good evening.

- Good evening. I hope that's right. So I'm going to do this, yes, yes.

- Oh yes.

- Yes, raise a limb. Do you swear, solemnly, to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

- So help me god, yes. Kind of to go over what we touched on at the last meeting. April 19th there was an incident where there was a dog that was running at large from its property at 1621 14th Avenue in the city. That dog did attack a woman's dog, who she was walking at the intersection of Liberty and 14th Avenue. Her dog, she had a shih tzu, sustained multiple injuries. The owner who was walking the dog, had to interject, she herself sustained injuries. And I did some follow up work on the incident on April 23rd. Because the injuries were quite significant. I believe you guys have gotten a packet to depict the photographs. And from there, I made contact with the dog owner, who was identified at that time as Everett Butzine, and advised him. Citations were issued, and what our dangerous dog declaration ordinance is, and that the dog could be considered being declared dangerous, if there were future incidences. A month to the day, on May 19th, there

was a repeat incident where the dog again was off property, intersection of 14th and I believe Liberty Street, and ended up attacking a basset hound, sustaining multiple injuries. That dog required medical care as did the first. And the owner there then also intervened, and she was bit in the process. So we had two attacks to two different animals, and two bites to humans as well. At that time, a supervisor alerted me to the incident, and I then declared the dog dangerous, following the multiple attacks that we've had there.

- Questions? Okay. Thank you very much.

- Okay.

- So hold a motion to open the floor, and then swear in.

- Motion to open the floor.

- To open floor by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? Floor is now open. Mister... Is it Butzine? Butzine?

- Thanks for coming in, I know this must be kind of tough for you.

- Do I just...

- Yeah, you need to swear in. So do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

- Yes. I just wanted to first, you know, come here to face you to state my apology for missing the last meeting. With the hectic moving and everything, kind of just settling down and figuring everything out. It did pass my mind. And in the contact of trying to get a hold of Officer Mahoney, did it just, didn't work out. But secondly, I have been making payments on these violations, to meet them paid in full. The one thing I do have a concern with, that hopefully I can get documentation or understanding of tonight, is medical bills that I'm responsible for, for each person that was involved in the incidences. And to kind of spin off of that, I did have, moved out of the sequence agreement, but, and through the process, Henry has, Henry being, he was a rescue from the National Humane Society. He has a tough past. I adopted him in the essence of trying to save him, and in turn he has been the same for me. During the moving process and after the last incident, and everything that's gone on, I've taken Henry to intensive training for three weeks. I stayed in Plymouth, Wisconsin, with the trainer, which, a lot of things have been different, a lot of things have changed with his demeanor and obedience and everything like that. These outlashes that have happened, we're not involved in them, the first one, Actually he was with my sister, and the second one, I was in the house at the time. As it happened I was quick to respond to the scene. But I've taken extra precaution to make sure you know these incidences don't happen again, around the house, and with this training, and doing work each day with him to ensure that he, you know, can be a valuable member of society. So, I just wanted to step in front of you today to basically just state that, let you guys know that things are getting taken care of. Like I said I would like understanding of the, of the process that I have to take for the medical bills and everything like that. I left a voicemail on Officer Mavis's phone. I know she didn't have a chance to obviously view it today. So...

- Well, we have Officer Mavis or perhaps legal could...

- As far as the medical bills, that would be a private matter between you and the victims.

- Okay, that's not that, I don't know if it was appropriate for me to actually reach out to them. So that's...

- I think so, I think they would like to have...

- No yeah, no I understand.

- Right, right, but I...

- I didn't know if it was appropriate for me to reach out to someone who the incident happened to with my dog, it just... That's why I didn't know, the misconnection, the communication lied with that.

- I understand. It should be fine.

- Okay.

- Then I will definitely reach out tomorrow to each of the victims, and kind of figure out how to take care of it appropriately. And each of the violations I have scheduled, planned, the first one happened April 19th, all those should be paid for later in the month, and then the one, the following month that happened. That should be taken care of early next month, so, everything should be clean and clear, and you know, just keep moving forward, and getting in the proper setting, so.

- Okay. Thank you, question?

- Everett, thanks for coming in, I guess the one question, there were two different incidents and the dog was not leashed at the time?

- The first one in my understanding, from what I heard from my sister, is that she was letting him outside, on a leash. And the leash was wrapped around his neck and clipped together. Apparently, what she states and what I understand from her is that he got off the leash, saw a dog in the distance. I wasn't there again, this is what...

- Well we're just trying to...

- I'm understanding what she was telling me is, dog got off the leash and saw the dog in the distance, ran over to the dog, an obviously, we know what happened from there.

- The second incident, you said you were in the house and came out?

- I was in the house, yes. So the second incident was, so the house we lived in on 14th Ave. There was a breezeway between the garage and the house. And the breezeway to go outside, 'cause there's sort of a backyard that was actually fenced in. But the breezeway to go to the front, to the driveway was, we actually had barricaded. The door was loose. So we barricaded to make sure our other dog was not able to get out at the time, but she happened to get out. Might be 45, 50 pound little light dog. She was actually in heat, so she was wearing a diaper and actually ran up to the lady, prior. And then I heard screaming. And my girlfriend heard screaming. That this little dog was running up to her. So then she had looked out on the front door, and as she was stepping outside and the glass door was closing, Henry had then snuck out of the house.

- Well I know that it's hard for everybody around, but it's one of those situations where the dog needs to be safe, and in a spot where that won't happen.

- Yeah, no, completely, and that's why I've taken the precautions as much as I have to try to, you know, it's never going to happen again, eliminate the possibility of these altercations ever happening. So, I understand I'm not here to, you know, disregard anything that happened, I obviously take full responsibility for everything that happened. He is my dog and I did adopt him. And through the adoption process I was aware of the responsibility I was taking on, so, it's just, it's incidences that are unfortunate. I can't take back, but they happen and you learn from it, and move forward.

- I appreciate your stance on that.
- That's all I have.
- Thank you.
- Any other questions or anything? Thank you very much.
- Thank you.
- Motion to close the floor? Or, I don't know if anybody else is here for this.
- So we do a motion to close the floor.
- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer. Second by Alder Stevens. All in favor.
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Okay, the floor is now closed. We need to state the findings of, I do have a question though. If we do declare the dog dangerous, and let's say, 10 years down the road, Mr. Butzine moves back. Do we... Is there...
- I don't think the declaration expires.
- Okay.
- So, if the dog's been declared dangerous, then that dog wouldn't be able to live.
- Can he then come back and say, if 10 years go by and there hasn't been an incident, then have another crack at this? How would that...
- I think, once, I'm not sure what the statute of limitations is for appealing the decision from here, but I'm sure there is a window to be able to appeal that to serve the court, and then once that's passed, then there's a way of reopening the matter.
- Yeah. Making sure. I was going to ask Mallory. So if the dog, the dangerous dog moves out of the city of Green Bay, we don't have any jurisdiction over it. But with that being said. Will other communities around this area have stipulations on a dangerous dog? I'm just trying to get a handle.
- Do you want to reopen the floor?
- Well I'm going to have to reopen the floor.
- No, no, she's staff. She's staff.
- You don't have to open the floor for staff.
- For staff.
- Correct.
- I don't.

- But if you'd like an answer from the appellant, you would have to reopen the floor.
- Right. No, I'm fine.
- Yeah. Well anyway just wanted to get a little clarification on that and if need be, if we need to reopen the floor, 'cause he was raising his hand.
- Sure.
- So, normally these circumstances, if a dog is declared dangerous in one municipality, we are to notify the surrounding municipalities of wherever it's relocated to, whether it's Bellevue, Elway, De Pier. We normally notify either the humane officer, or the law enforcement division and say, we have a dog that's declared dangerous based on these circumstances, and it's their determination whether they were to allow it into their municipality. At this time, we do not know where Mr. Butzine has relocated to. And so, that's where we're at.
- Well if you didn't know where he located, how did you contact? You just sent it out to all the different jurisdictions?
- I have not notified. The dangerous dog declaration is, I made the motion to have it declared dangerous, but it has to pass here before everything's finalized. And then once it's finalized through here, and then the full city council, that's when we then disperse that information to whichever municipality oversees that animal.
- Okay. I'm good. Thank you.
- Okay, thank you.
- Thank you. Okay, motion to open the floor?
- Motion.
- By Alder Stevens, second by Alder Steuer, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Okay. Yep. Oh no sorry I should have had you state your name and address for the record.
- Okay. I can say that right now.
- Yeah.
- Everett Butzine, 2977 Clifford Court, Green Bay, Wisconsin, 54311, so I'm in the village of Bellevue. I have tried to be in contact with the humane officer that she actually does Bellevue with I believe Howard, some other small municipality.
- She's busy.
- Yeah, very. So I have been in contact with her. Hopefully hoping to set up a meeting to discuss and talk. Hopefully she can come out to the house. And I can kind of, you know, have a conversation with her, with Henry there, to kind of understand and show her the things that I've done and things that we have done to improve the safety. We do live in a cul de sac with kids and other dogs. And through the process of taking him on walks and things he has been responsive to me. And with dismissing, we actually had, the neighbor kids actually came over, and they're kind of playing around with Henry a little bit, and he was happy and gave him big dog kisses and other things, so.

- Have you talked to the neighbors at all about your dog?
- No I have not talked to the neighbors at all about the dog. So I wanted. So I want to take care of speaking with the humane officer first and then, you know, each process that goes along, then obviously it'll, you know, everything will be discussed with anyone who's involved and like, that's the respectful thing to, obviously reach out to the neighbors and anyone who will be seeing Henry or in contact with him, maybe on a daily basis or whatnot.
- Yep. Great. Not anything else then?
- That should be it for me.
- Okay, thanks.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer. Second by Alder Vanderleest, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? The floor is now closed. So, we need to make a statement of fact that we declare the dog dangerous. And we should do more than that?
- If there were any facts that were stated as far as...
- The fact that the dog had two separate incidents attacking two different animals and also the owners. Received some injuries. So, we hereby declare the dog dangerous in Green Bay.
- Okay.
- Okay. Is there a second?
- Second.
- Motion by myself. Me, myself and I.
- So the motion would be to deny the appeal, correct?
- Uh, yes.
- Okay.
- So, the motion by first...
- Yeah. Seconded by Alder Vanderleest. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously. Thanks for coming.
- Okay, on to number seven.

- Onto number seven, consideration of possible action on appeal by Ashley Harper regarding the denial of her operator's license, staff?
- Law department recommends denial of this appeal, as the applicant is a convicted felon, and habitual offender for numerous offenses that substantially relate to the licensed activity, specifically several felony drug convictions and a number of liquor law violations. Committee has the appeal for the denial memo, with all of these element offenses.
- Please concur.
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Stevens, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Okay, floor is now open. Anyone care to speak on this? Can you state your name and address for the record, please?
- My name is Ashley Harper, my address is 1647 Shawano, Number eight, Green Bay, Wisconsin, 54303.
- Okay. Is there anything you'd care to share with us or anything?
- Yes. So I saw in the letter when I had first got denied and it said that I could appeal it if I showed rehabilitation. And I have. Okay. And do I show it to you?
- Up there.
- This was one of the, and I have not gotten into any trouble since, and that was five years ago. That was one of the treatment programs I did. And then I had also did a six month ERP. I have that printed out, so that's on, the AODA residential, yeah, completed, and those were my facilitators.
- How long were the programs?
- The ERP was six months, and then the AODA was... I did IOP, and then it was aftercare, so it was three times a week for, eight weeks I want to say, and then every Friday for another eight weeks. And you did all of them?
- Yep.
- And have you... Have you been bartending, or...
- I do a little bit of everything and I've been working at, next month will be two years that I've been at Angelina.
- Oh okay, so Angelina's okay.
- Yeah, it's been around two years I've been there, and I've gotten a raise and then this is part of another promotion, so in case Romano, who was the GM, if him or Jennifer Faye, who is the manager, if they're not there 'cause they're the ones with the license on the premises. If there were anything ever to come up where neither one of them could be there. My boss wants to look into formal access.
- Do you have any letters from them?

- I don't, I was just informed that I should have done that. I can definitely do that, Romano Cervantes will definitely write me a letter of recommendation.

- Okay. Questions, anyone?

- Well not right now. I suppose we might have to open it again if we need to.

- I don't think, I think I asked all that. That was just in. You've been working for two years. And there hasn't been any incidents, and your record's been clean for five, and you've done this rehab.

- I've accomplished a lot after getting out. Of course I regret all the trouble I've gotten into, but... It saved my life, I was on a bad track, and those, especially that ER treatment program I did was life changing, life saving. And I'm glad that I did it. So I've done a lot after I got out and got my own place and moved here, and just bought a new car, and like I said, I've been at Angelina's for almost two years. Trying to keep on keeping on and accomplishing good, so.

- Thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? Okay. What do you think, gentlemen?

- We got to give her a chance to continue and get her license back. Sounds like she's done some good things here in the last couple years. Consider give another chance.

- It's been five years, I know a lot of times we look at the dates on things. The longer that it has been behind you, the better it is, but regardless, we look at the severity as well. And just some of the things that happened. But I think those documents help quite a bit for me, so I'm fine. So I'd make a motion to approve.

- Motion to approve by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Vanderleest. Any other discussion? I might vote too. I think you know, she's done the rehab, and she's done fine since then. Got into temptations five years ago, and hasn't been any issues, and I'm quite certain that Angelina's is, would vouch for her. Of course I'd have to have that documentation, but I believe you, so. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? Okay, that passes unanimously, in spite of the fact after council, and it goes to the council, right? We don't have the final vote.

- Right.

- Yep. So.

- Number eight.

- Number eight, consideration of possible action on appeal by Eric Chang at 830 Howard Street regarding invoices.

- Invoice.
- Invoice, sorry, 1900, 1317 Tree C held at the June 10th protection and policy meeting, staff?
- We would defer this to inspections. That's a discussion for the appeal.
- The inspection will speak for itself. Inspection? Do we have? Those many documents?
- Oh no, I'm sorry. This isn't inspection, this is, number nine is inspection. This is a different PD, to Lieutenant Mahoney on this.
- PD?
- [Steve] Is the P and P aware of how we do our nuisance statements?
- No, not really. I don't recall.
- [Steve] There has to be qualifying calls. Three qualifying calls, so it's not just based on the volume of calls there. It's specific types of calls. We did reach three qualifying calls, one of them, April 27th of 2018, then May 9th of 2018, then May 17th of 2018. Once we hit the qualifying calls, we notify the owner of the property, who was, I believe, Eric Chang, was the listed owner. We notified the owner of the property via certified mail, which was done on May 24th of 2018. And we asked them to come in for a meeting. When we held the meeting our goal is to improve the situation. It's not to issue citations or have them pay money. Our number one goal is to improve the neighborhood, and improve what's causing the issues. At those abatement meetings, we sit down with the property owner, go over things that we could do together to help improve, but we make it abundantly clear that if things don't improve that they are liable. Officer Kessman couldn't make it tonight, so she typed up a synopsis of things that happened at 830 Howard over the past year. That shows that they weren't helping solve the problem, they were pretty much ignoring it or not listening. In 2018, one of the tenants and her ex boyfriend. Or her boyfriend who was a sex offender, were not approved to live there. They were violating their ICS helping. Since they were paying 100% of the rent. We were sent there two times for that. Eric Chang was notified of it, the property owner. He never called us back. He ignored us every time we tried reaching out to her. The referral letters have been send to the property owner specifically by the landlord, to contact a community police officer so we can work this out in private. On May 31st of last year, we had a meeting scheduled. Eric, the owner of the property, didn't show up, but his mother, Jen Kuo, attended. At the meeting the abatement paperwork checklist has gone over with her, line by line, here's what we have to do to make the property better. She signed the paperwork, verifying that she received all the information. And to work with on the nuisance abatement plan. And in it it says, she understands that if corrective actions are not taken, as the owner, they may be issued citations for maintaining a public nuisance as well as asses the cost of future police services. On July 30th, she called us to do a walk through, as she was having a problem with her tenant that was being evicted. She made no mention to us she already had a tenant lined up to move in the very next day. Didn't screen him, didn't ask for our help. They were bringing him to the property. On August 5th, a male from that residence, so approximately a week later, called us, and identified that is his house. And we found out that male is on parole and has an excessive criminal history. Approximately two weeks after that, we attempted to arrest a male from that resident that had a full attribution warrant. The male fled from us at a high rate of speed in his Dodge van. We attempted to contact Miss Kuo. Her phone number was not working. We had no other way of contacting her. We contacted the tenant that was living there. She identified the male as the father of her children. We also did a background check on her, since she was living there, and found out that she has numerous traffic and civil judgements against her and she was recently issued two citations for theft. To us it was clear that there was a clear instruction to screen the tenants. And I believe Eric Chang is listed as a property owner, but Jen is the actual owner. She didn't screen her tenants then. On September 1st of that year, we had made a contact with her to talk about the subject that fled from us. And his paroling status. She advised us that he is not a tenant. We documented that she and her son are still responsible for any and all activity, even if it's not a tenant. The very

next day, we filled out a no trespass form for the male that fled. Emailed her a copy with instructions on how to do the no trespass. She refused to sign it. And said that he has his own apartment, he wasn't a tenant. So to us it showed she wasn't interested in working to help solve the problem. She wouldn't help us deal with an issue there. Approximately a week after that we went to see the dog in the backyard. It was a pitbull that was tied up, with a cord wrapped around so many times around the deck poles it couldn't move and it was forced to stand in a mud puddle. There wasn't adequate food, water, or shelter for the dog. When we were there, the gentleman that ran from us actually came back home, so we took him and the dog into custody. That again, shows that the owners of that property were not assisting the PD if they kept ignoring us. We wanted to work with her. April of this year we had another incident with that address again, about the people locked in the driveway. When we went there, we talked to the tenant who was eventually cited for possession of marijuana. So then we issued Mr. Chang on maintaining a public nuisance residence, for our citation. So overall, we have tried working with the owners, we wanted to work with them. But our volume of police calls, and I could tell you, between the what we billed for, and to now, the calls have not gone down, in fact it seems like they've actually gone up, and we haven't even billed those. So, we find it appropriate, the bill that we sent. We could've billed a lot more, but we chose not to. We are, we want to work with them to get a better neighborhood, but it seems everything we do, we're just being ignored. So we figured this is the only way to try and get compliance.

- And how much are we billing them?

- [Steve] \$445.44.

- Okay.

- Have the neighbors called in, put a bid on these?

- [Steve] There's been various neighbors, some are anonymous. It's various neighbors with animal complaints, disturbance complaints. CP Kessman, she's the officer assigned to the area, she's been trying to work with to improve the quality, but yes, it's frequent.

- So when was, can you give me like the, when the first incident was? Roughly, and how many there have been?

- [Steve] The first qualifying call that we chose to use was April 27th of 2018.

- What was that qualifying call?

- [Steve] It was an animal call. They received a written warning. I don't know if it was loud barking, or the type of call. It just shows there was an animal call. And since April 27th.

- It's over a year now, right? Total?

- Yes.

- I have a question.

- [Steve] We've had, up and from the very first call to now, we've been called to that address 38 times.

- In a year and two months.

- [Steve] Correct.

- Question, Alder Stevens?

- So that's over two a month.

- [Steve] Correct. And to make it where there was another pending bill, I don't know if, I believe that's where there might be some discrepancy. There was another pending bill, another invoice for \$81.20, so, eventually if she appeals that, the grand total is \$526.64, but it's just this first one. We could have billed a lot more, I talked to her prime analyst. They chose not to because they still want to work to make the neighborhood better, instead of constantly billing.

- So is this a twoplex, or a fourplex?

- [Steve] Then I believe it's a twoplex, I'm not 100% sure.

- So I think it might have been a fourplex.

- [Jen] Single family.

- Alright. Okay that's all I have for now.

- Any questions for staff at the moment?

- Motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Vanderleest, all in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? Okay, the floor is now open. You can please state your name and address for the record.

- My name's Ing Chang Kuo, 3621 Glenn Haven Court, Green Bay, Wisconsin, 54301.

- Okay. Have you heard the officer?

- I was kind of surprised.

- But you should address us though.

- I was kind of surprised, officer, mentioned about I'm not cooperate. I'm very cooperative, you can, you know, I work with the city inspector, Donna. And welcomed her, and she's on medical leave. She know how much I did tremendous improvements and my son purchased this property 2017. And this is my first experience dealing with a clientele. Because, the previous renter, they all have a contract lease with ICS. ICS is standard housing. And is very specific, who's going to live there. And sometime, I will talk to people, you know, I check the credit. And I will give them a chance, I just want to make sure, because they all have a wonderful kids, and I make sure the kids go to school. The first rent up, and this is a really tremendous experience for me, and I believe people. And I think the next door neighbor, 826 Howard Street, she liked to call police. But every time when the officer, Shirley Kessman called me, I always respond her. I always and once I even had meeting. I think we had police office with city staff inspector and the police. And then find now her boyfriend was sex offender. I didn't know that, but I make sure only the people on the list live in the house, and I have been in business for more than 20 years. And I'm really good about. But you know, I never check the criminal background, because I've never dealt with a situation. But I just want to make sure. Respect the property,

because the base on the agreement I have contract with IC is I thought that being, if they, if they disrespect the property, and then, I can determine they were losing the housing. So when I met with the police department, with the city, I found out her boyfriend's sex offender, and I was kindly asked, I said, you need to move out. And she was kindly understand the situation, didn't have to go eviction. She move on the next day. Then, a friend of mine's friend say this lady's looking for, looking for a rental and she's qualified for ICS, I always believed like if I work with ICS, I'm living more security. So anyway. So, she moving and the officer, Kessman called me, say her boyfriend. Has some kind of record, but I say, her boyfriend's not on the lease. And I know if you are not on the lease you're not supposed to live there. So, and officer suggest, you do not trespassing. And because her voice. So I called the renter's boyfriend. PO Office. And I think her name's Kristin something, she told me, this guy, her boyfriend does not live on the 830 Howard Street. He has his own address. So I told. I respond the office, Kessman. And I say, I make sure I tell the renters, say, your boyfriend live here. I call ICS. You lose the privilege, getting the housing. So. You know, I think the next door neighbor like to call the police, and I always tell them and say, you know, I just find and I was out of town out of country and I stopped by the police department today and get all the day I say, parking, parking, parking. I don't know. Every time when Eric received the bill that we have really good communication. And I always contact officer Kessman, and the last incident, one on my rental who's a wonderful wonderful renter with kids, they do good, the girl's going to the college, UWGB. Her son was visiting her. And somehow his car, looking blocked. So they can become confused, block. The neighbor's driveway is nearly five inch, so she called the police, and police stopped by, and unfortunately, they found the boy. Has illegal possession. And officer Kessman contacted me, I said, what can I do? You know, I told, he's not living there. And his mother can be a witness she's not living there, but Officer Kessman asked me, say, assisted me, send me the form. Send me the form, and I say I will. I say for no transgression. So I send her the form I think on the April 18th. And I emailed to the office. So she will find the paper, so, I always very cooperative because I did a lot of improvement, and I want to provide nice housing for some of the family. Like, families that now, this renter, moving, March 8th, and I interview everybody. Interview, make sure, and they... Wonderful, wonderful. Wonderful renter. I just don't know why people like to call. To call the police because, you know, I have good relationship with my old renter, I respect them unless they did something illegal. And the one with the boyfriend that I have been finished, then I'm just like, hmm. You need to move out, I said you need to move out, because I'm getting to much police being called. And my, one of solution I was talking to my son, unfortunately, I'm managing this property, he doesn't know anything. And he's in the, he's teaching class. Maybe one of solution, just sell the property. But I really want to give this family an opportunity, living, they love the area. It's a four bedroom, one and a half bath. Fenced yard, but every time, police, I receive anything from the police, I always respond. And I always, I will file the, but I was surprised. The comment from the police Kassman, say, I'm not... I'm not cooperating, that's not true. This is my property. And if you ask city inspector Donna, he said, Jen, I wish I could clone you. When I ask you to fix something, pay something, you fix. You need to fix the garage door. I got new garage door. And even, I see his inspector say Jen, would you like to invest more, because you are really good landlord, because, if I inspect, give you a deadline, you will give way before the deadline to fix. Because I'm the kind of person with my policy, I want to rent you a place I want to live myself. But I don't know how, I was going to like, years ago. With the first time, I think for three months, is getting a call, and I know who called. Actually I want to call the city council. In that history. How can I solve that problem? I really want to have the mediation with the lady next door because I tried to talk to her when I purchased, and she liked to make some comment, I don't like it. So maybe. And difficult for me to talk to her, someone, two o'clock in the morning. You can smell the alcohol breath. And once I called the police, because she did something. The renter feel very uncomfortable, she's taking a picture. But I just, you know, I just want to say, you told the renters, be nice. Just, be yourself. I just don't know why I'm always, I know because I was surprised right. And I stopped by the police department and asked for the report, and I hear those takes about a week or something to get actual report, and I find, I was surprised, I said I didn't know this was going on, like frog scan. Parking, parking, like. Miles, miles no. And also, I got a citation. For \$13.21. Another citation, 500 something. And the 400 invoice I never received.

- [Steve] It was sent to Eric Chang, the owner of the house.

- Eric and I, we are really good, I check his mail.

- [Steve] It was mailed to him, so I don't know what happened since then.
- I have this wallet. \$81, and a citation, \$13.21, I know that's another one, gave my lawyer, and he e-mailed to me, and he's like, 500 something, I don't even know, what's this for? But I... You know, if you talk to Stevens, but I'm good landlord, I take care of the property, but I just don't know how we're going to, how I'm going to stop people calling.
- I have some questions.
- I have some questions too.
- Well thanks, Mrs. Chang, for coming in. I don't think we have any doubt that you're, you know, fixing things and helping things. The questions are with the citations. And some of the issues.
- The appeal, is not...
- The appeal.
- How many people live there right now?
- Now we have this family, and a single mother with four girls and two boy.
- So is it a, you said it's a single family, right?
- Single family, four bed, one and a half bath.
- How many people live in the building?
- Six.
- Okay.
- One family?
- One family, and the daughter is going to the UWGB, and the reason, you know, I rent and I call the school, make sure they do good in school. Because I want to provide a good environment for the kids.
- How many properties do you own?
- Eric own this one only. And the manager, so our manager. In the real estate business, and my manager, another one, Elmer Street in Chesner. And never get any single call. And I manage the real estate. You know I still have little girl. Two duplex. In De Pier, one single family. And this is the really tough experience for me and I think I'm just going to sell the property.
- When did you meet with the police department?
- I think it was in the winter time, that was the sex offender. I don't remember exactly the date.
- But you met up with them once.
- Once, in person.
- And with the city inspector, and the city employee and three or four police.

- Do you know the date?
- [Steve] She met with us to go over her abatement plan May 31st of 2018.
- And how many qualifying calls after that?
- No, I think I first saw Mahoney probably sometime in September, because I ask to move on, and she move on September.
- So you met with the police twice?
- One but I see. Kessner, I talked to her, email, every now and then. The office.
- [Steve] I would have to go through. They didn't provide me with the data, I'd have to go through each and every call. The parking, like say some of them shows parking. That doesn't necessarily mean it's a qualifying call. And when she said she didn't realize there were this many calls, part of the abatement plan is she signs up for a department alert program, which then, the report I'm looking at, she gets the exact same report, pretty much minute by minute where she will be alerted every time the police get called to that residence.
- Did she sign up for that?
- [Steve] That was part of her abatement plan, I don't know if she actually followed through and signed up, if she or Eric signed up. She agreed to sign up for part of her abatement plan.
- I don't know what's abatement plan.
- Also did you attend the landlord tenant session with the police department?
- No, ICS.
- Or not, with the police department?
- Not the police department. I will now. I didn't know, I just, if I have a question I call the officer, Shirley Kessman. You know she's, you know, she always helped me, she's the one saying you need to do this, you need to do this, you know I just say okay. And I open just like. You know, if something happened, I just evict them.
- Questions, any other questions?
- What do you currently do to screen your tenants?
- I met with them every now and then. The mother and daughter sitting there. They're renting there like March. And I met with them, and met with the kids, make sure they do well in school, they don't have any issue with the behavior.
- Do you pull a credit, their criminal, sexual background, any of that?
- Not credit, because sometimes, you know, sometime...
- Criminal background?
- Yes. Yes. Sex offender, never thought, because I don't know she has a girlfriend. She has a boyfriend.

- Well, could be a female sex offender too, but...
- This one, this currently moving, yes I did.
- And the police car would explain all that stuff too.
- Yes. Yes and now I know I will go to the, you know. When I did new sometime question I ask, I call officer Kessman. She just wants to just me to file the no trespassing.
- So what are the... I got to ask, what the officer is.
- Okay, yep, yep, go ahead.
- I mean, what the citation, what the costs are right now.
- 400 and...
- 441, something like that?
- [Steve] Are you talking citation, or the bill?
- Well, the bill, the bill. Just ballpark, because I wanted to ask Miss Chang.
- [Steve] \$445.44.
- So, Miss Chang, with the 445 and according to Lieutenant Mahoney, that's a lot less than what it could be or should be. Are you totally against paying any of that, or do you feel that you're unjustified?
- If I have to pay, you know. I won't even argue to pay for it. I don't have any issue to pay. But my things collected. If you look at, well how can I stop someone call the property? And I know it's one person call, pretty much.
- The police have multiple calls. And if someone calls, and there's no just cause, the police will then address that call. So if someone calls and they're making a nuisance, they're recording things that aren't true, then the police take care of that person. So if someone calls and there's justification for the call, then they have to...
- I was talking to my son, the only reason I consult, because I cannot hire someone, I cannot hire someone 24 hours stay on the street to make sure, you know, I have like, parking, you know, so I can show you the site parking, like skip, what is a skip, frog? What is a frog, I don't even know. No idea. So, I think the things I'm going to do, I'm going to just put the house on the market for sale. But then, this family, they love the property, they live there. It's nice environment for them.
- Frog.
- I don't even know what those are. Scan? They're very nice people.
- [Steve] To answer your question with the parking scan, that's how we said I would have to go through every police call. It could have been a tenant that called us, which is what we like saying hey, someone's committing a fraud against us or a scam. And like you said, we don't count those as a qualifying call. Yes there could be many reasons we are sent there. If it doesn't rise to the level, we don't mark it as a qualifying call. There's only certain criteria for us to make it a qualifying call.

- Certain calls, the police went again.
- The renter never call. And I know the next door neighbor, 826 Howard Street called, but you know, I really want this family there, they really nice kid, again, I mentioned couple of times, the girl.
- Well I don't think there's any problem with the current renters that we're aware of.
- How am I going to stop people call?
- You can't stop people calling. But if there's a qualification for the call, then the police should be called and they'll address it. But if someone calls, if she calls, and there's no justification for it, the police will address that with her. She will become the nuisance. But if there is a cause, then the police have to address it. So, you don't have to worry about who's calling. The police will come and they will, if the call is good, they'll address it with you. If the call isn't, they will then address it with whoever called. So, that's not really something you need to worry about.
- I think if citation, if one is like 15, \$13.21, another one is like 500 something. And I don't know what this.
- Well like they said, I mean the cost is quite down. Considering what it could've been.
- Any more questions for Miss Kuo? Anything else you care to add?
- My question. What's the difference between citation and invoice?
- Citation and...
- And invoice.
- And invoice.
- [Steve] What happened is, there was certain citations that are pending in municipal court for maintaining a public nuisance. Those are citations that the muni court judge adjudicates to find guilty or innocent. What this is, once it's declared a nuisance, which it has, we are billing you for our services, not for the actual violation. We are billing you for the time of the officers there, the time it took to be there. So that's why it's an invoice notice that, and it's not a citation.
- So this has been adjudicated in court.
- [Steve] I believe it's pending.
- July 15th, 10 o'clock.
- Okay. Can we, until it goes through the courts, can we we charge? What if it goes through, and doesn't the court decision affect...
- [Steve] It doesn't affect the three qualifying calls.
- That we developed.
- [Steve] She is contesting the actual issuing of the citation. After we did our qualifying calls, we issued the citation for maintaining a public nuisance. We already hit the three qualifying calls per statute ordinance. I don't think it would have an effect on this.

- Right.
- But she would be still billed for future calls.
- [Steve] Correct.
- Besides this one.
- [Steve] Yeah, potentially she could.
- This is the thing is I'm questioning myself, and I wish someone can give me the answer is like, you know I have renter here, and the boy doesn't live at that house. Because the mother kind of disappoint. Mother is very sad because he, in the wrong path. And he's not living there, he does, because he's not, only the person on the list, on the lease, allowed in there. He came to visit the mother and the policeman came from the possession.
- Bless you.
- You know. Why I'm getting. Why'd I have to go to court, because somebody came to visit the family, or any friend. And I'll be responsible because I have owned a lot of property, many years ago. Never dealt with a situation like that. But why I'm the one go to the court, because I have no control.
- As the property owner, you'd be responsible. And again I think, I think the way you have responded with city staff, with inspection, with fixing the place up.
- Yes, I know.
- If you'd have done the same thing with the police.
- But I have.
- We wouldn't be here. But it sounds like.
- But I have, but I say, that's why the family, they so nice, kids has a good grade. Ready to go to college and visit with the mom. Call the employee. Good reference, and then here, the son come visit and causes, visit the family and causes them problem. I'm here. They're just like a... But I can't responsible just like a, and just like just to say, I'm going to sell the property.
- You don't need to sell the property, you just need to stop the bad tenant getting in.
- The police will help you with that. = I already did, you know.
- But if you've got a new tenant.
- The new tenant and the son, you know, the son's the one visit the mother, the mother never let him stay because he has some issue himself. And mother just say, Jen, you know, what can I do? I said nothing I can do because he's 19 years old. I didn't tell, I took, I just say, make sure the people on the list allowed to stay there. And they great great tenant, and then he came here, illegal possession, and I'm here.
- When did these new people move in?
- March.

- Was there any calls after that?

- Yes, two.

- [Steve] I believe...

- They get into a confrontation, yes, that's another thing. Some people accuse the friend, helping, help a friend if friend is... Purse got stolen and accuse my renter. And she's a very nice lady. Help her with the babysit. Help her, and she accuse her to stealing the purse, and then came into the house yelling and screaming, and they ask, they nice, my tenant, they that nice, nice, people. Very respectful. And then they had to call the police because this woman, crazy woman, just say, you know, I want to check the house, you took the purse, but in the end, the purse was never lost. And I'm here. But I totally said, don't call police. I'll take care, because police can't do anything anyway.

- [Steve] From March, we've had one, two, three, four, five, six. We've had eight calls.

- Parking?

- [Steve] Four of them were parking. I believe the scam and the fraud, and one was a welfare check. I don't believe none of those, including the parking. I think one parking came out as where we issued the citation for possession of marijuana.

- But you have a theft on there too, right?

- Yeah, and that one. I don't know, they might have been reporting, that might have been the purse incident she's talking about. But I don't believe that one would reach the level of a qualifying call if it determined that she wasn't the suspect.

- Right, a lot of these calls are not what you're being billed for. You're not being billed for every call there, okay.

- I know, but just like, how can I stop?

- May need to adjust. Oh, you want this to stop? You need to work with the police department.

- Yes, I've been working with the police, and that's why.

- You have to understand and...

- Yes, no trespassing.

- They'll give you documentation, they'll give you all the paperwork in the world to help you. You got to do that for them to stop.

- Yes I did, and I also talked to them again. And they say my son will not come here. I promise you, and they kept their promise, 'cause I believe them.

- We wouldn't be here today if you were working with the police department.

- But I did, I put no trespass, when I find out.

- There's more to it than just that. You have to work with your officers over it.

- When office call me I always respond. Like, you know, like the first time I met.
- And they want you to do criminal background, sexual offenders. If the police department wants you to show them that you're doing that, you need to do that.
- I did it with the third one. The first one sex offender.
- This one's probably good, but the people before.
- The first one, I asked them to leave. The second one, you know what, I just say you know. Move.
- Well, yeah, I guess, go ahead.
- I think moving forward, ma'am, it would be wise for you just to pay up your citations.
- Yes, I'm more than happy.
- Just work more closely with the police department, I think that'll be the best step for you moving forward.
- The \$1300 citation? Or the bill?
- They're talking about, the invoice that you billed was \$441?
- 445.
- 445.
- Okay, 445. What I would do is pay those citations, talk to the Green Bay police department, and pay them, and basically work closely with the police and you'll probably solve the problems. You have to have the communication with the police department. That's all you really have to do.
- Yes, because this learning, the next time I just say, you know, I don't really want to bother the police unless they call me.
- No. It's no bother. The police are happy to work with you, they want to do that.
- [Steve] I'd rather work with her to prevent it than to be at this point, with all this time and effort.
- And these aren't the citations, that's a separate issue that will go to court. This is just an invoice for police service.
- Okay. I know. No problem.
- Okay. Okay. Thank you.
- Okay.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Close the floor by Alder Steuer.
- Second.

- Second by Alder Stevens.
- So.
- We will, we'll figure out what to do and we'll let you know.
- Okay.
- Okay?
- Okay, so.
- But, you just sit down. We've closed the floor, there's nothing any further.
- Okay.
- Okay. So. Gentlemen?
- Do you want to go ahead and make a motion that the invoices that are due to the Green Bay police department that they be paid.
- In full.
- In full. So to deny the appeal.
- Yeah, basically.
- And to work with the police department.
- And work with the police department.
- I'll start the motion.
- It's a motion to deny.
- Motion to deny from...
- Motion to deny.
- By Alder Stevens, seconded by Alder Steuer. And... I'm at sixes and sevens here. I know Miss Kuo very well. She and I have worked together in the past and everything, so I might abstain. Because I feel like, prejudiced, but I'm in agreement, so I don't know, it's a weird... It's a weird, so I think I'll just abstain.
- I know the ordinance very well, 'cause I have to deal with it.
- I'll do a roll call vote.
- Yep, yep. So we'll vote.
- Yep, get started.
- So I know we need to deny.

- A no denies the appeal.
- No. Yes vote denies the appeal, 'cause it's a motion to deny, so you're voting yes, to deny.
- Okay, yes to deny.
- Roll call?
- Yes to deny.
- Yes.
- Jeez. It should come up, right?
- It should. Did it not?
- Did not come up.
- Nope, for nobody?
- For nobody.
- Nobody. Okay. Then can I just get a roll call and I'll mark it? Or a voice vote and I'll mark it. Alder Stevens.
- Yes.
- Alder Vanderleest.
- Yes.
- Alder Steuer.
- Yes.
- Alder Scannell.
- Abstaining.
- That passes on a vote of three. One abstention.
- Bless you.
- Thank you.
- Okay.
- Onto number... Nine?
- Yes.
- Consideration of possible action on an appeal by Dean VanLannen, 42844 15th Ave, regarding his bee inspection fees, staff?

- I would defer to Dean and let him go first.
- Oh, you let him go, okay. Motion to open the floor then?
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vanderleest. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Okay. Please step up, state your name and address. You didn't fill out a form?
- No I did not.
- Okay, if you could fill one out before you leave. But just come up and state your name and address now.
- My name is, I got to put this piece.
- Okay.
- My name is Dean VanLaanen. 3840, Circle Ridge Road, Green Bay, Wisconsin, 54311. And I am here to appeal my inspection fees for, that's a duplex that I own on 15th Avenue. I had written a letter, briefly. You have to excuse me.
- That's alright, that's fine, take your time, do what you need to do.
- It escalated. What had happened, I got very sick last August. I was sick in June. I was hospitalized August of 18. I went into a coma for a month and a half. I was released I think at the end of November. I got home, and mid November, they shipped me out of Roark to Pewaukee. Excuse me.
- It's okay, it's alright.
- And what had happened. Is I started to, you know, I was... I wasn't able to do much when I got home. And about a month had passed and I had went through my mail, and it seemed that I was getting some things about, I used to have like, eight properties, 10 properties in Green Bay and I sold them all in 16, except two. So I had noticed that I was getting a thing from the inspection department. And I believe, it's the best of my recollection, I forgot one paper at home that kind of had dates on. But I had called Donna Rosenfeldt was my inspector. And I had told her, you know. What had happened. And her response to me as oh, I figured something, 'cause she didn't know I was hospitalized. And I begged her to, she has in the past worked with me very well, it's always been good. I've had to bring other properties into compliance. So she was shocked, because she thought it was weird that I did not contact her when the letters were being sent out. All of a sudden, I believe it was... In February of 19, I started getting \$50 inspection fees. And this was continuing and continuing. And I had contacted Donna, and I said Donna, what's going on? And she goes, Dean, my hands are tied. This is their new policy. I said, I can't do nothing and I don't have any resources. I don't have any income right now to hire this out. I had my son start working on it. But my son also works full time, and can only do so much. So, she had referred me to speak to her supervisor, which was a Paul somebody.
- Lancaster?

- Paul Lancaster, I spoke with him. And he had told me to get this stuff done and would see what we can do. And then, Donna went out on sick leave, and I'd been dealing with Bill. He's here. The first couple times that I'd met with him, I had borrowed money from my dad 'cause my son couldn't do it. I had to back it up a bit. I had not had tenants in this property since May of 2018, so the property is vacant. And this whole time that I was ill, I had a friend of mine and my son were taking care of my businesses and whatnot.

- Take your time, whatever you need. It's okay.

- I'm sorry.

- No problem, no problem at all. Take your time.

- So. I didn't think it was fair, I had talked to Bill and called both, that the property was vacant. I don't have money, I don't have any income, I'm disabled, I can't do anything myself, which I'm used to doing mostly. And... It's a vacant property, so I can understand if I was receiving income from it, you could definitely. Well it turned out, I ended up, eventually, hiring it out and getting within compliance. And actually my last time that I had met with Bill, there was something wrong with my water heater. And a pipe downstairs that my previous tenants I wasn't aware of, disconnected a sink down there and took it out. So I was... That was news to me. And to wrap it up I guess I just, I pleaded with the city that I've got it in compliance. I did not rent it out, and I told them, I promised them I wouldn't rent it out, until it was in compliance. 'Cause I don't want to buck the system. So, that's why I'm here today.

- Any questions?

- How much is the inspection fees?

- \$2650.

- \$50 a day.

- Yes.

- And the building wasn't locked, so I don't think anybody was going inside to look.

- Any other questions?

- Not right now, but we may later. Okay, well. Thanks. Motion to close the floor?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Alright, thank you.

- Motion by Alder Steuer, second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? Okay. Floor is now open for staff.

- Bill Pathy, City of Green Bay inspections department. I took over this complaint from inspector Donna Rosenthal, who's on medical leave right now. Complaint initiated in June 22nd, 2018, was a complaint that we received. Initial inspection was done shortly after, the complaint was in regards to rats, mold throughout the house. Front doors boarded up. Landlord won't fix anything. That's what the complaint states, So Donna initiated that complaint. The packet that, did everybody receive the packet that I emailed out? Okay, so, in

there, Donna kind of spells out the timeline, and in there there's about a transition period, right, where I took the case over. Orders were sent out in the early part of July. Around July 2nd, is when the orders were initially sent out. Right around the time that Mr. VanLaanen was talking in August, there was a correspondence, back and forth between a gentleman by the name of Chris, who had left a voicemail on August 20th that he was hired by Dean to do the repairs and would like an extension. We did not grant an extension, because Donna had already had spoken that this was the August 22nd date was going to be the date to follow up on the on site repairs. So Donna did the inspection on August 22nd, nobody was there, there was a no show for the inspection. So at that point, we issued a citation, summons and complaint. During that process, that was when we found out, during the citation process, we found out that Mr. VanLaanen was hospitalized. Mr. VanLaanen's dad owns, I believe it's your dad, right? Lee?

- Yes.

- Yep, owns the property right next door. So another inspector was there, dealing with issues in the neighborhood. Alerted us that, you know, that we're trying to get in touch with Dean, that Dean was in the hospital, so at that point, you'll see in the timeline, the citation in the law department, that was dismissed. Gave Mr. VanLaanen another opportunity at that point, to get the property into compliance. Mr. VanLaanen had some correspondence with Inspector Rosenthal in October, 22nd of 2018. Where they exchanged voicemails and talked about what's needed for compliance. There was also at the time, it appeared to be a possible hearing for a foreclosure on the property. So a lot of that was going on, so at this point, he knew what the issues were, but no enforcement action was being taken in regards to the daily reinspection fees. That was, additional time was given, during that process, during the foreclosure process, how that was taking place. That commenced and that ended right around January. In January, it was, Mr VanLaanen still owned the property at that point. So Inspector Rosenthal gave Mr. VanLaanen even more time now into February to get the repairs completed, before any enforcement action was taken. So, the only action that was taken was citation that was dismissed. So now we're at about mid February, and the initial complaint started in June, before we had any type of progress made or any type of enforcement action taken.

- So the \$50 a day hasn't kicked in yet?

- It kicked in February.

- In February. And remember this complaint initiated in June.

- In June.

- So. Working with Mr. VanLaanen, giving him multiple opportunities, he had hired somebody to make the repairs, orders were sent and were given. Went through that process. So once the repairs were not made, and little background of how we do the \$50 daily reinspection fees, we don't have to have photos and documentation of all the violations, so to answer Mr. VanLaanen's question, there was a window on the right side of the property where the screens were torn and were damaged that was not repaired. So that was what, if you'll see in the photos that we have documentation, we took photos of that. Exterior visible from the public right away, every day, and for the next, you know. Multiple days, adding up to the reinspection total. So that began in February. Mr. VanLaanen contacted Donna once again. Around April, there was a correspondence here, back and forth during this process, I'm just kind of summarizing, 'cause it's very detailed for you. If you have specific questions just let me know. April 5th, there was a phone call, with Mr. VanLaanen, with Inspector Rosenthal, he was upset, they were talking about the reinspection fees and what was needed for compliance, and why this was happening. Still was not yet in compliance at that point, but the reinspection fees continued. I took over the case in... June. Reinspection fees, actually May. Reinspection fees continued by myself, Mr. VanLaanen got in touch with me, around that time. Property was able to achieve compliance mid June. But I myself had to make multiple inspection attempts, at the property, in order to explain what was needed for compliance in regards to the smoke detectors, and other repairs, but we did eventually, be able to get there. So, the, in short, my summary, why we were able to get compliance, is because of our reinspection fee

process. A little bit of history on this particular property. Since 2014, this property has received 19 total complaints, at the property. And there's, Mr. VanLaanen owns one other property I believe, on Newberry. That property has received 17 total complaints, and still has one pending case, that's still pending. So, why did we get here? We understand, and empathize with Mr. VanLaanen's health issues. But still, there needs to be somebody that manages that property. How, and why, how that's done, that's his business, he's running a business and how he does that. Inspector Rosenthal, myself. Inspection department gave him multiple opportunities and the people that hired, multiple opportunities to gain compliance. We need communication. His dad lives right, dad owns a property right next door. Literally right next door to that duplex. So there was things and situations that could have been made that could have caused, communicated with us to let us know, here's what's going on, here's what needs to happen. So, the reinspection fees really didn't start until after the health issues really took place.

- Questions?

- Mr. VanLaanen had mentioned that he owned a number of other properties as well, so I'm just wondering, with the issues with this property and also the property next door with the father, that there seems to be some other issues as well. Did the inspection department have many other issues with other properties that he owned over time?

- Yes.

- Could you fortify, maybe just a little bit?

- But he had 10 or, you said 10 other properties?

- Yeah. Thinking off the top of my head.

- Do you know some of the names of the properties as far as addresses or imperial length?

- Yeah, I had two on Imperial, and two on East, and I had one on Finger Road, I had one on Aproprion Alley.

- I didn't want to really get into the history. I wanted to focus on this case, but to make a point. We do have a history of noncompliant issues in regards to his properties with one pending right now. Two properties, we have 36 complaints. Just on the two. If I were to do a search on the other 10. Yes, all in the story, there is a history there. That history is in regard, you know, how we handle each case separately. How and why there was this many fees is it took that much in order to gain compliance.

- So, the times that, it was \$50 reinspection fee each time.

- \$50 a day.

- Every day.

- A day. But you'll contact the owner and say, can you meet me here, or you just show up. To do the daily reinspection. No, once the deadline for noncompliance has passed, and we don't have any communication, then what we do, is we go out every day, and determine if there's compliance or not, if they have completed anything. So really what we're looking for is communication. We need communication. And what we've been finding, is this has been a very very effective tool to communicate directly with us in order to get compliance. I would argue that we wouldn't, you know, we probably wouldn't be here today if it wasn't for us being able to do the reinspection fees because this probably would have been issued, ended up issuing a citation, and would have been prolonged for an extended period of time. Is it unfortunate that we had to have \$2650 worth of reinspection fees? Yes. But, that's what we needed in order to get compliance at the property.

- Any other questions? And of course it doesn't matter if the property's inhabited or not, we still want, yeah.
- Yeah. The neighborhood and the other residents and constituents, they should not have to deal with nuisance issues, garbage issues, as you can see. Rats, things of that nature.
- And what I saw was a rat in one of the pictures.
- Yep. There's been over there multiple times for rats, and a lot of it is sanitation issues, garbage issues. Over and over and over again in the last five years.
- Even with nobody living there?
- Well there's been people in and out of there.
- Okay.
- Really?
- Yeah. No, over the five year period. During this time period, Mr. VanLaanen was correct, there was nobody in, and that was part of Donna's orders that the unit could not be rented out until our property's in compliance, there were smoke and CO detectors that needed to be installed, as well as some other housing code violations.
- Okay. Okay, thank you. Well, what are you thinking, gentlemen? Did we close the floor?
- You closed the floor.
- We just called staff.
- Yeah. It's closed. Didn't have to have it open for staff. Any thoughts at all? It seems...
- I got a call from a friend of his, Mr. VanLaanen. Leon Juan, who's an attorney in town. Kind of said just listen to this. He's a good person, lot of good things. I mean we got a big long list here, and we understand what you're going through. We're very sorry for that and we wish you all the best. But it really gets difficult when you have a property that, you know, with all these issues that are going on. And generally speaking, I feel that the city does a pretty good job of giving second chances and come on, let's go, let's go, we can do it. So with that being said, I... There's got to be some responsibility somewhere. There has to be. And I just feel like it's fallen short a little bit on a number of these, so. I'm not sure, you know a lot of times in the past we might have looked at this and said, hey, let's split the cost, or do different things, there's different ways to look at it. I'm not... I'm prepared to make a motion to do that, to take the cost and split it. And that would be my motion, moving forward.
- I'll do a second for discussion. It seems to be there are hardships here. I mean, it's... If there hasn't been the hospitalization, I see that as somewhat excusable. I mean even though I know this happened 50 after, and I do think there needs to be some accountability, I think there's also... Just have to take into consideration of the health. And all the after effects of that, even after he's out of the hospital and everything. That's a lot to recover from, there's a lot going on. Could he have done more? Probably. I'm sure. And should have. Would have been good, we wouldn't be here. But at the same time, it's a difficult situation, I think there should be some leniency here. Some accountability, some leniency.
- Did Bill waive any fees before it got to us?
- Did you waive any fees before it came here?

- [Bill] I did not waive any fees, but I did not, I couldn't charge Dean more fees 'cause we did not. There have been multiple times where we stopped, the total could have been much higher, could have been multiple times, if you see in the timeline that we gave you, there would have been multiple times in which we stopped and we delayed, and we give them opportunities to comply, and those deadlines came and passed.

- And it's unfortunate that more wasn't... You can just bring that up.

- Can I say something?

- Oh, certainly, motion to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor.

- I...

- Just a second, we got to open the floor.

- Motion to open the floor.

- By Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Stevens, all in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed, okay, if you'd just come up, and you could hand that sheet to the staff. Or I can take it. Okay.

- Yeah.

- Throughout this whole process, I did try to stay in touch with the city. And tried to clean my, all my other properties, when I did have issues, it was usually because of tenants destroyed my property, and then they get behind in rent, and then they call the city for inspection. So I got sick of that whole process. All my properties did come back into compliance, though. And if Bill would look at that. I'm not deadbeat on my heart by any means. But this situation, and that's what I'm asking for. If I wasn't in this position, I had to get the property out of bankruptcy, they started a bankruptcy process on me. Because when I was hospitalized, a lot of my bills did not get paid like I thought they were being taken care of. So I did have them out, and my brain. The aftereffects, like you had stated. About being in hospital and being in a coma for that. I definitely was not on top of my game and I still aren't. I can't do the things I used to do, so, thank God I got some money from my father. And we did have issues through the years with rats, and we always got it back into compliance. So it's not like the rats stayed there that whole set of time. I just want to know, to let you guys know that I did try to communicate with the inspection department numerous times. I even made comments to Bill, that I left him a couple messages. And nobody had contacted me. So... And I'm glad it's over. It is hard. Alright, thank you.

- Motion to open the, err, close the floor?

- Second.

- Motion by Alder Stevens. Second by Alder Vanderleest, all in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed, okay, the floor is now closed. There was a motion to, what was the motion.

- Split.

- To approve the appeal, and to, if I understood it correctly, to reduce the fees by half, so that would bring it down to \$1325.
- That's my motion.
- I still think that's a lot.
- You got to start somewhere.
- Well yeah, okay.
- Second.
- Started and second, for discussion.
- It's difficult to win on this, no matter which way you look at it. So it's not a perfect solution, but that's my solution.
- Well, I think we want to support staff, I think staff is doing the right thing in getting people to get attention and get people in compliance. And I think this is an exceptional case. I think if it hadn't been for the medical issues, he'd be out of luck, but if it weren't for the medical issues, I don't know that he would, it would be as it is now. I really think medical issues play a part in this. Just how much is hard to determine. But I find it hard to believe it didn't play some significant role. And I think staff did the right thing. They're doing their job, and they're getting everybody in compliance. But I do think the appeal has, the appellant has a case in that this is exceptional. And there should be some cause for some leniency of some kind. That's the way I'm looking at it, and I'd like to show where the dollar amount lies, though, I'd like to hear any discussion on that. We've got 50%.
- It's an arbitrary number. It's more or less...
- Cut in half.
- It's relevant to state that the city's been doing their job, and Mr. VanLaanen's had his issues, and granted, it's not easy any way you look at it. But this way there's a little bit on each side. And we're just looking at hopefully moving forward from this point on. But we got to deal with this now.
- What are your thoughts?
- I think it was seconded by Mr. Stevens.
- No, I seconded for discussion, so it's already seconded.
- Do we need to vote on it?
- Roll call, or voice vote.
- I don't know. Should we do a roll call, do we need to do a roll call?
- Roll call's fine.
- Roll call? Yeah, better do a roll call.

- The vote has started, let me know if it hasn't.
- So, the motion is to split the fees in half. So yes splits the fees in half.
- Motion to approve.
- No... Would not split the fees in half, and we would have to go back to discussing?
- Right, so the motion would be... the vote start.
- No it came up and went away, now it's back.
- Okay. So the motion is to approve the appeal, and reduce the fees to 1325, which is half of the original.
- Well, only mine came up. I got it.
- Alright. I'll go through, Alder Stevens?
- It was there.
- It's not.
- I got it.
- She's asking about...
- The roll call?
- You didn't register, yeah.
- Should I just not do this then?
- No, just do a roll call.
- Okay. Alder Stevens.
- No.
- Alder Vanderleest? Oh, I'm sorry.
- A no vote would deny the reducing it in half.
- Correct.
- Mine would be a yes vote.
- Alder Steuer.
- Yes.
- Alder Scannell.
- Yes.

- Okay, that passes on a vote of three.
- And can you get rid of mine so you can get to my screen again? 'Cause I still have the, okay there we go.
- It should go away.
- Yep, it did.
- Yes, okay. So the appeal was approved with the reduction of fees to \$1325.
- So. And that passed, well now it came up on Alder Stevens.
- It didn't come up on mine yet. On my computer.
- It could be gone.
- It's not on mine.
- Sorry.
- How do we get rid of that?
- She's got to get rid of it, she's got to clear it up.
- I have no idea how to get rid of it.
- You got rid of mine.
- I'll just ignore it.
- Okay. I think if we happen to need, so you can't get to the item. Hold on.
- Thank you, step up if they want.
- I don't see any outstanding votes, so I don't know how...
- Did you, even when you went through image?
- Yeah. No, it should be done, I don't have a pending vote out there to close out. So Alder Stevens.
- Yours went away, right?
- I just redid it.
- Okay. So we're good.
- Okay.
- Okay, alright. Never mind.

- Okay. So then, that's the action from the committee, it'll go to council, you can always appeal to the council. And that's the 16th at six o'clock is when the meeting is, so, as of now, the committee has said, we're, we accept your appeal, but we're still charging you half.

- Alright.

- Okay.

- Okay, we're on to...

- Thank you.

- Number 10.

- Consideration with possible action on general ordinance number 17-19, an ordinance creating sections 33.03, subsection four, and 33.05, subsection 10, Green Bay municipal code. Relating to provisional licenses, now... I didn't see anything in our packet.

- It's not in the packet, I did give a hard copy to the committee, we were working on it until... Until 3:30 this afternoon, so, it didn't get into the packet. So, state statute provides that the city is to issue provisional licenses, provisional retail liquor licenses. And that may enact a process by which to do so via ordinance. Accordingly, we are presenting said ordinance draft, which delineates that process and circumstances under which a provisional retail license can be issued prior to the granting of a liquor license application, whether that be a new application or a renewal. So, kind of in general. It provides that, it appoints the city clerk, can issue the provisional retail license, provided that the applicant has named approval of the authorities that they normally would need to get approval from to get the regular license. So it's for the internal period between when the actual liquor license application was approved, and when they applied for the application. Is that correct?

- And this also takes into account the renewal ones. This kind of addresses what we've just been through, correct? This still takes a 15 day waiting period though. Correct, or?

- We took the 15 day waiting period. So basically, it will take as long as it takes in order for inspections to be completed and for a criminal background check or PD approval to be completed.

- Good job.

- Trying to think what else, what major thing. So, just kind of going through the language. The qualifications required that the application has applied for, the applicant has applied for a regular license. That they have submitted an application for a provisional license. And they meet all of the licensing requirements set for in the section, which includes one of the following conditions, that either protection and policy has approved the applicant's regular license application, but final approval by the council still remains. The applicant has applied for a new regular license following the sale of a new distinct business, that held a regular license of the same type. And in order to contain, or maintain continuity of business, while the new application is pending, they're applying for this provisional. With that, the applicant would have to prove to the clerk, proof of control and or occupancy of the premise, at which the provisional license is to be issued. And third, the applicant held a city license of the same type during the expiring year. And however, did not timely submit a renewal application, as a result of excusable neglect on the part of the applicant. Or, lastly, an administrative error caused by the city resulted in a delay in the application. So, and then there's another section. Delineating all the different conditions that have to be met, including being qualified under chapter 125.04, that they pay a \$15 fee. That they get all necessary approvals for PD interim inspections. And that we currently aren't, that we actually do have licenses to give out. And that we're not past our reserves. And the license would, the provisional would expire 60 days, or when the regular license is approved, whichever is sooner. And then the city clerk reserves

the right to revoke the provisional at any time, if he or she discovers that a false statement was made on the application. And in addition, if a false statement is made on the application, we will be providing an amendment to chapter 33 that that would be grounds for denying a new application. It wouldn't be possible to deny over, to non renew, based on that, but that would be a factor that could be considered too, that if there was a false statement made on the provisional, that the new application pending should be denied based on that pretense.

- So, this was not handled at all before? This is the first time?

- Yep.

- Right. We did not have any provisions when we started doing research. It came up that a lot of municipalities don't have them. It was something that was brought to our attention, so it needed to be corrected.

- So what do you need from us?

- Hmm?

- What do you need, just approval?

- Yes. Yep. So that it can go to council.

- Do you want to wait for the approval?

- Hm?

- They just want...

- Oh, Alder Vanderleest. Sorry you were caught behind the thing.

- No, they have quorum.

- Yeah, sorry.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- So motion to approve by Alder Vanderleest. Second by Alder Stevens.

- Did you want to...

- Am I allowed to?

- Yes.

- Make a motion to open the floor.

- Second.

- Sorry about that.

- Alder Steuer, Second by Alder Stevens to open the floor.

- Thanks, Donna.
- Good time for Andy to come back too.
- Don, 641 North Huron Street, De Pere, Don Mjelde. I guess impressions I had and this all very, just kind of grown of which is that again, so the city clerk can approve an application? Granted they got everything done, and didn't have their license yet by the end of the license period?
- They can issue a license, so long as they meet all the qualifications, and one of those categories applies to them. Meaning that, meaning that either PNP has approved them, and they haven't been approved by council, or, there is a transfer of business, so the premise has been currently licensed, the business is transferring, so for the purpose of continuity. Third scenario would be, there's a renewal, renewal has not been filed on time.
- Excusable neglect ordinance right there.
- Based on excusable, right, excusable neglect, which is a legal standard. Or fourth category, there's been administrative error caused by the city resulting in a delay. And the other, so one of those four categories has to apply, and then the applicant has to meet all the other qualifications that are listed in sub B.
- So obviously this is for current applicants, not for new applicants?
- Like if somebody applies for a new license towards the end of the period?
- It would cover both.
- It would cover both, okay.
- But be clear with the new applicant. So there's two conditions for a new applicant. One is continuation of business, and then one is...
- That they've been approved by PNP.
- Right, but then there's a lag time in between.
- And... \$15?
- Yes.
- \$15, yes, that's the statutory max.
- And 60 days, it can be revoked if anybody lies about anything?
- Right, or the application gets approved by council.
- Or denied.
- Or denied by council.
- Or denied by council.
- Correct.
- Okay. Kind of just want to kind of go over the specifics of it.

- Are you good with that?
- Yeah, I think it's a good idea.
- Right, thanks. Motion to close the floor.
- Second.
- Motion by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Vanderleest, to close the floor.
- All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Oh hey, you're back in time.
- So we have a motion to...
- He had some questions for the... The citation we must have to satisfy that.
- Yeah.
- Great, this'll make things much smoother in the future. We had some bumps just a couple weeks ago, and hopefully this'll help.
- I'll make a motion to approve.
- Didn't we just vote on that?
- No, we didn't.
- We had a motion and then...
- Then we had our motion to open the floor.
- Alder Laura? Yeah?
- So I've been reading things, and just wondering, how did we get here? Is it because establishments forgot to turn in their license applications? I mean, how do you forget to do that?
- Well, not so much forgot, or... There could be a number of reasons why, I know of one instance where the manager who's in charge of this had breast cancer.
- So there was good reasons.
- Yes, there are all kinds of reasons, and it might be just that they got busy and slipped up, I mean, I don't really care what the reasons are. I only care about the practical application here. As long as... The concern was that we're enabling incompetent business.

- Sure, that can be a concern.
- Right, and I think as long as we're dealing with a business that, this is a first oopsie, whatever the reason. This will help us cover that. And as long as we don't have an ongoing pattern, which we can then take into account when we address these things.
- So you look at each thing, with this ordinance, we look at each case individually? And we're doing this because the state has a 15 day rule. But we're also allowed to have an ordinance like this. So, it's just for, okay, alright. I'm glad to hear that wasn't just because they were really irresponsible, there were really good reasons behind why some of these licenses...
- There may have been, for some, yeah.
- Staff?
- I just wanted to clarify that we're kind of talking about two different things. So the late renewals we'll call them, is one thing, but the statute at the state center requires us to have a provisional license ordinance. So that's what this is. This isn't at all related to the individual applications that came and read. Those are, there are some meetings, later this week.
- Right, and I'm the committee for some of them.
- But this is for the purpose of this particular ordinance, it's not meant to address any of those in particular.
- Okay, that anchors, it's for future things, right? If they, yeah. I was aware of that. Alright. Thank you.
- Anything else?
- Motion to approve by Alder...
- Steuer.
- Needed a second.
- Second.
- Second from Stevens. Looks very good, thank you staff, really appreciate it. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? That passes unanimously.
- Okay. Item number 11.
- Number 11. Consideration with possible action on a request by Alder Galvin. To the protection and policy committee to have procedure for alders to be notified when petitions, communications are going to be addressed at the committee level, which is held at the June 10th protection and policy meeting. Alder Galvin? Good evening.
- Thought I was going to have to get a sleeping bag.
- Almost said good morning.

- Got one in the car. Look, all I'm looking for is, I've put in communications to staff in the past, and I know that we are short-handed throughout the city hall, with staff. And some of these communications get pushed back quite a bit. In fact I've had a couple that have been waiting for over a year. What I don't want to have happen is, I put in a communication, a lot of time goes by, it comes up on agenda, I happen to miss it, because it's not the very next meeting. You guys sit here. The alderman doesn't show up, now what do you do? Receive and place on file, we start the process all over again. This kind of stuff. So I'm looking for something where I know when an item comes up on the appeals. I get notified with a letter, that this item is coming up on appeals, it's in your district, it's something. So something to say that yeah, you had this item. You put it in there. And it's coming up on this date, so you know that if you can't be there, you can ask to have it changed, to have someone fill in for you. Or at least so you don't miss it. 'Cause we've wasted a lot of time in the past, we have people that just for some reason, things come up. They don't show up, and I think it wastes the committee time, it wastes staff time to have them do a lot of work, and so, I don't want to have that happen in the future.

- I had an idea last time, but no one wanted to go forward until we ran it by you. The idea was that, if I remember it right. That if a communication was sent to staff. Put on hold for whatever reason, that it would be noticed every meeting, that that committee, so we wouldn't lose track of it, it would always be on every agenda, that it's being looked at by staff, that it's being, until it's ready to come forward.

- So it would be listed, but listed as still on hold.

- Still on hold. So that way.

- That's something.

- Everybody knows the status. Staff doesn't have to really worry about, I mean I think it's just easier to keep track of it that way if it's...

- And that's actually probably a pretty good idea, 'cause it might remind me after like six months that, hey let's check in with staff and find out, well, maybe something happens, I get sick or I get lost. They switch positions and it got lost in the mixup, so, actually that's probably a better idea. I don't have an issue with that, I guess I would leave it up to you guys then, to hash that one out.

- 'Cause I think if we just sent it up to staff, and staff is working, well they may, it's putting another burden on them, whereas this way, it's just automatically... Yeah, go ahead.

- I remember some years ago. Up in plan at Kim Bock, he would send out, we'd put in communications and then would talk about the planning projects but it seemed like he would follow up and say okay, this is going on. But that was the only department that did it that I knew of. And I agree with you, 'cause I've put in communications, and if I don't write it down or keep track, it'll just kind of, a lot of times it'll just disappear. So, I like Randy's idea of at least having it so that it's listed on each agenda, so that we have that. But I still think it'd be nice to have a spreadsheet or something out there with all of the various communications. Something, and I realize each office is separate.

- You could do something like Meister Task, but I just think that creates more work for staff than trying to keep it updated and everything else. Everyone's got their system. And I guess if we were to notice that, maybe one department was having trouble getting stuff up to committee and then to council, in a timely fashion, that can be addressed on a separate issue. But I think most departments and most employees within those departments have their own systems and I think they tend to work good. It's just, you know, in the hierarchy of what's most important, triage, this one goes and so your item may just, get pushed back for a long time.

- Is that something that might be dealt with within the mayor's office now that we have Derek there as well?

- Well they could certainly look at that.
- For Jared, I'm sorry. I'm just asking if that might be a possibility.
- I've heard a couple of different things, which possibility are you inquiring about?
- Well I'm just saying if communications are brought in, if there was some kind of catchall group that would have a list of all the communications that come through.
- Well that would be Chris Teskie.
- Well, not all, as a matter of fact, Chris and I were talking about this today. We will address this at senior staff on Thursday.
- Just the best way to do it.
- Because communications are coming in in various places, they don't all come to the clerk's office. There is no central, currently, unless it goes to a council agenda, there is no current central location for communications.
- How would a communication get on the agenda if it doesn't go to Chris?
- Go to the staff, to the chairman.
- Chair, but then our chair always gives it to Chris, right?
- No, the chair can give it to the person, the person in the department that takes care of the agenda. Which could be the director, it could be, something like Wendy Townsend, who isn't director, but she handles the EDA and CDBG revolving loan fund.
- To be focused. I would like to really see it central, somehow.
- So, this is something that we're going to discuss at senior staff. You'd like me to talk to you about it?
- Sure.
- Then...
- Well if we get it back to committee here, 'cause I think... 'Cause I remember putting in a thing for consent agendas.
- The consent agenda is completely separate from what you're talking about.
- No, well, it's the communication that's put in, and we're still hanging on it.
- No, we...
- We never made a decision on it. Consent agenda.
- Yeah, we did.
- It came to council?
- We did, we rejected a consent agenda.

- Where was I that night?
- We ask ourselves that a lot.
- So what is your pleasure?
- Should we hold this?
- It could be referred to staff, senior staff is going to be discussing it.
- That's motion. I'm good with that, I mean I'm looking for a rapid decision. But something well thought out, that doesn't let anything slip through the cracks. That just keeps things moving along, and then not having alders miss their agenda item when it comes to committee. That's all I'm looking for.
- Well I make a motion to that effect, with the stipulations that Miranda had brought up. About having it documented somehow.
- In the agenda.
- In the agenda.
- Which we could do...
- And also a central place.
- That's something to consider.
- Central place, but yes.
- Somewhere.
- Yeah, that's definitely something for staff to consider, but you know, I mean.
- If they can come up with a different plan. Fine.
- Absolutely, I'm open to anything that just gets in.
- That's my motion.
- Mental telepathy.
- So that would be a motion to refer to staff, and create a policy to keep items that are referred to staff on the applicable agenda for staff to update as to status, until the item has been completed.
- Yep.
- Second.
- Motion by Alder Steuer, second by Alder Vanderleest. We're all good?
- Yes.

- Everybody approve?
- I'm in favor.
- Aye. Anybody not approve? Well that passes unanimously.
- Alright. We are... Bill. We are onto number 12.
- Okay.
- Thank you Chris.
- Can I ask a question?
- Sure.
- For people who aren't here. Do you know when, el pastor Sito? That's coming up.
- That's going to be, no, that's tomorrow.
- Was that on the original agenda?
- Yes.
- But they should've then received another notice from Jean.
- Yeah, I thought she reached out.
- Yeah, she reached out to everybody.
- I know that she told everybody. Thanks for this.
- Alright, we're onto number 13.
- 13, 13 and then 12, is there a reason for that? It doesn't really matter I guess.
- Or no, I'm sorry. I skipped 12.
- Yeah, well 12.
- Onto number 12.
- And we got to go back, why are they out of order? Is that just a clerical...
- Mistake?
- And it doesn't really matter if we went to 13, 12?
- Wait, we're out of order?
- Yeah, it's 13 is next and then 12.
- We can say that, you're out of order.

- But does it really matter, can we do 13 and then 12?
- So 12 is Alder Dorff's. Okay. So in my system 12 is where 12 should be. 12 is Alder Dorff's communication. And number 13 is Alder Johnson's communication.
- Right, but in our listing here, 13 comes before 12.
- Okay.
- It could just be an error in the system. On my system, 12...
- But does it really matter which way we go?
- No, I'll just set current for 12, just to keep it in order. That's okay? So item number 12.
- Okay. Yeah well I got to, scrolled it up a little, now I got to scroll it down a little. Alright. Discussion with possible action, on request by Alder Dorff, which reads. I believe that steps must be taken to ensure that infrastructure projects, including, but not limited to those that we, the wheel tax will fund, must be chosen very carefully and be placed into a capital improvement plan. I'm requesting that P and P, protection and policy, develop policy with the help of DPW, legal, and other appropriate staff. This policy must be developed so that the special interests and request of individual alders will not subvert the process of choosing the projects and that has been carefully researched by city staff as being appropriate for placement in a five year plan. Parameters for choosing streets to be repaired, reconstructed, or resurfaced, as well as other infrastructure improvements, should be clearly identified within this policy. Which was referred to staff at a previous protection and policy committee meeting. So staff, do we have anything put together at all?
- No.
- Okay, so Alder Dorff?
- I thought that a member of DPW would be here to speak to this item. I'm not seeing anybody.
- Do you guys remember when we talked about this and I was told, you know. 'Cause I thought it would take a while, and then Director Grenier said, nope. It's not going to take any time at all, it's already basically done. But, you might've been having a baby at the time, or two babies, perhaps.
- Yeah, I don't remember this item.
- Yeah, I don't think you were here.
- You were not.
- You were not here. So this is all we can do right now, is refer this to staff, to get, and according to Director Grenier, they have all the ideas. And it should not take much to put it together. And I hoped he'd be here tonight, or someone from DPW, but they're not. So I think we just have to move this to the next meeting, if that's okay.
- Right. Yep, the referral stand.
- The item could be potentially, I'm not sure if Director Grenier had a conflict as wasn't able to attend. But this item can always be pulled as possible, and Director Grenier will be on hand to answer too, so.

- I think we should do the committee work. Being a committee though. I feel like we should bring it back here.
- Okay.
- Okay?
- So motion to hold to next...
- Well, refer to staff. Refer to staff.
- Refer to staff, to refer to staff.
- Okay.
- Okay.
- And the only thing I'd like to see is that if we're talking roads and everything, that I know they have gone through, but the old policy used to be, when we did special assessments, after three or two or three asks, that street went to the bottom of the pile.
- That was a practice, that wasn't a policy. They did it as they, it was a past practice. I had clarified that, right? So, we don't have to be held at anything that we necessarily did in the past. Streets maybe should be repaired when they're bad.
- That's what my concern is, is I would like to make sure we're starting from scratch.
- Absolutely.
- And all streets are all equal now. And we do the ones that are the worst.
- That's exactly what I believe.
- That's the only thing I want to make sure is that we're not leaving those.
- And we'll have those discussions in committee when we get a policy. Preliminary policy. Thank you!
- And then motion.
- Motion by Alder Steuer to refer to staff, seconded by Alder Vanderleest, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Have fun. Now 13.
- Now 13.
- A request by Alder Johnson for consideration with possible action on the creation of a safe park initiative that permits overnight parking, for those who may have consumed too much alcohol in an effort to deter drunk driving incidents. Examples are Seattle, we have a link, Colorado, we have a link, this item referred to staff from a previous protection and policy committee meeting. Alder Johnson. Hey.
- How are you?

- Good morning.

- How are you?

- So this was an idea that came to me from the Brown County Tavern League, Don's going to speak on this in a minute, but, I think the concept is pretty clear. We just want to be able to give people a safe option, for those who have found themselves in unfortunate circumstance. Rather than giving them or forcing them into a choice of having to drive their car, or some other terrible decision, we'd like to obviously give them that ability to leave their car parked safely for the night. Not be subjected to a parking ticket. I've shared this quite publicly, I've received a lot of warm reception to it. People are very excited about it, seems like a common sense approach to, I guess one mechanism or one way to keep people off the streets, we've had a very productive meeting with the parking division, with public works, with the police department. And the Brown County tavern league, we kind of vetted out a number of scenarios, if you will. Hey, what if this happens, what if that happens, and how do we respond and react to that? I feel like we came up with a pretty sound plan and proposal that I'm going to actually have Don go into a little bit more detail with, but, I think for me at the end of the day, this isn't necessarily about creating a free pass for those folks who have maybe made intentional decisions to find themselves inebriated, this is really about protecting people in the streets who didn't have a choice. And so if we can find a way to keep folks off the streets who again, have consumed too much alcohol, and protect those innocent lives who are on the street, I think to me, that is a win win all around.

- So just a real quick, can I ask?

- Yeah.

- This is something that I've talked about with some other bar owners downtown as well. Would there be some kind of a system where there'd be a placard or something handed out?

- Yes.

- To say, we'll pick it up tomorrow, or what have you? Something like that.

- Yeah, and I'm going to let Don speak to the specifics of it. But yeah, we've got all that figured out. And that was, again, this was done through the joint meeting with all of those respective entities that would have some type of oversight, who touch this program in some way, and I felt that we walked out of that meeting. Again, not only with a really good dialogue, but everybody kind of nodding their heads yes, that this is a program that we feel could effectively work here in Green Bay, it's had great success in other cities, like Seattle, Austin, a number of cities in Colorado. No reason that I don't think it couldn't work here.

- Are you looking for us to refer this to staff, to come back with something?

- I feel we've got something.

- You've got something.

- Right, Don?

- Do I ask you to open the floor?

- Yeah, we'll open the floor in a minute. But if you've got something, then we just, once we get it, we put that together, and have that, okay. That's what you're looking for, that's what we can do.

- One quick question. How about the fire department? Did you happen to talk with the fire department as well?

- Was the fire department at the meeting? I don't believe that they were, no.
- We talked about this once before. And the fire department did mention that in certain areas close to the stadium district, that the streets are so narrow, that they could hardly get their vehicles down, so you might want to just reach out to the fire department before we get it all finalized.
- And this wouldn't apply in scenarios like that. One of the primary reasons is because it actually has to be a Brown County Tavern League member that issues the safe park permit. So if you can imagine in that scenario, particularly in those residential neighborhoods. I mean it would have to be homeowners that are giving out the permit, and that's obviously not going to be the case, so. There has to be sort of a central place that can kind of help regulate the issuance of those permits and we feel that that's probably our best partner at this point. To kind of help ensure that we regulate it so that it's a program that doesn't become abused.
- That's great information, and thank you.
- Yeah, go ahead, Alder Dorff.
- Is this a question or are you booting me?
- I'm not going to boot you, you can stand there. I just want to give my support to this, because I was on the AODA task force with the police department and this is an idea that we had come up with at the time. And so, we didn't get any traction on it, we talked about it. You might have been at those meetings? It was a different president, I think, it was a different president at the time. She was, yes. And so we thought it was a really great idea. And somehow it just didn't go any further, some of our other ideas happened, but that one didn't, so I'm very supportive of this. Thank you.
- Any other questions?
- No, we got to hear the plan first.
- Open the floor.
- So, motion to open the floor. By Alder Stevens. Seconded by Alder Vanderleest, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? The floor's open!
- Good day, gentlemen.
- Plan me.
- These are just for study, not for use.
- Yeah this is just something I put together in the last few hours. No, it's been together for a while, I just printed it off today. Don Mjelde, 641 North Huron, De Pere, Wisconsin, 54105. So. Brought this forward a couple years ago, so I'm at that again this year, and made a lot of traction with it, obviously. This would be numbered, and have yours on it and would go to different tavern league bars. And if somebody had too much to drink, and they can't get a responsible means home, put this up on their visor, and they would have waived the three to five AM parking ban. So specifically just three to five AM, Washington Street. After talking with the parking division, and the police department also has its own set of rules that have to be monitored and regulated and modified, so. I at least got a little bit more further advanced than it is right now. And things are

turning in the right direction in Brown County. I'm on the Brown County traffic safety commission as well. I maintain place of last drink, and it goes over all the OUI convictions. In Brown County in the last year, and so far this year, we are down 126 compared to last year, so it's a 27% decrease. Which is awesome, so just trying to keep things trending in the right direction. I don't know what we're here for specifically. I do know through Chris Purlott from the traffic division that we're going to an INS meeting on Wednesday, does that sound right?

- Could be. So this has got to go to INS, too?

- Yeah, so INS is Wednesday at 6:00.

- Well... That's confusing.

- Yeah. I found out this.

- Parking division oversees it.

- So then what is it we're doing?

- This is where it was originally referred. It was originally referred here with the assumption that it was going to be an ordinance change, but staff had since confirmed that this could be the administrative thing that they could enact.

- So we will actually take a pass.

- I'm going to defer to legal on why it's here then.

- It doesn't need to stay here, we can just receive or place on file.

- The reason we made this is 'cause there's no change in legislation. This is just something that we can do and the parking division will acknowledge, so we're not changing the law at all, this is just something they do, and I went through Chris Purlott and Steve Grenier from the parking division, and Captain Morrick from the police department, and everybody's getting on board. I will talk to the area fire departments and reassure them that is just for commercial businesses and side streets, and their stay, not fire hydrants, and all of the like.

- Can I ask one quick question?

- Yep.

- Don. So Brown County Tavern League, there's how many, what percentage of taverns are Brown County Tavern League?

- We have 154 members currently. I believe there's 398 in Brown County, but then you're talking about the ones out in Denmark. As far as municipality ratio, I'm not quite positive, I'd have to look at that.

- But it would be nice to have a buy in. But that's another story.

- Yeah, exactly.

- Alright. That's good, that's all I have.

- I see some of the concerns that were risen in the past that sunk this are being addressed here, so... Yeah, the extreme weather and all that. And emergency stuff.

- Yeah, there's definitely stipulations to it, obviously you can't be out there in extreme weather, certain situations, and it's got to be placed visibly, obviously it's going to have the day clearly visible, and the year, so it can only be for one night only, not for multiple nights.

- And in the past when this has come up, there was an effort to try and steer people to certain, like a park in the ramp. And is that still going to be under this initiative?

- Yeah, absolutely. As a matter fact, Chris Purlott is working on an interactive map that's going to be throughout the city that shows places where people can park for the evening. For instance, on Broadway by the viaduct, there's a parking space there. Not a lot of people know about it, and they can park there overnight without us having to do this for them as well. So, yeah, we're working through them, and hopefully the CVB will jump in and be able to show us that their place also, there are places to park overnight throughout the city, so.

- Have you seen other communities that might have done something like this?

- Not specifically like this, I just kind of played off of what I found through my research, through what Bryan was alluding to in Seattle and Austin and Colorado that these big cities are working on a way, so we should be able to do one ourselves as well.

- Viaduck? Via not a chicken? Marx brothers, It's a classic, come on!

- Motion to receive and place on file

- And place on file by... Alder Steuer. Second by Alder Vanderleest. Yep, go ahead.

- Two more comments, points of clarification. So one is, I think...

- Oh, I'm sorry. The floor needs to be closed.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Oh right, we opened it for, yeah, motion to close the floor by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed, okay, the floor is closed. And motion to receive and place on file by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Vanderleest. And before we vote.

- Yeah, I just wanted to make two points of clarification, two questions that both of you gentlemen had brought up. One is, we are, as part of this dialogue, we did determine that it was important for us to continue to proactively promote locations where people could park. I think it's important to note that is more of a reactive policy. That you have in front of you. And so we hope that of course the proactive approach, combined with the reactive approach gives us a more holistic way to help curb incidents that might create unfortunate circumstances. The other piece of it, Alder Steuer, to you point. Right now we felt that the Brown County Tavern League, with their expertise, has a way to help train people in how to properly deploy this particular policy. Your question is the exact same one that I brought up, in how can we ultimately get this rolled out to anybody that is able to participate? I think, to me, that would continue to be a long term goal. But seeing as how this is an administrative policy that can be enacted I think this is a great place to start, where you can do a proof of concept, determine what works, what may not be working with the program and adequately adjust before we roll it out to every participating organization in the city.

- Did want to mention also, with Alder Dorff on that committee as well, we bounced it around quite a bit, but I'm glad that something's moving forward.

- Good. Alright. We vote now.

- All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed, okay, that is received and placed on file. Good luck at INS. And we're on for 14?

- Correct.

- Consideration of possible action on a request by Alder Dorff, to reform a short term task force led by the police department including fire alders, risk management, Celestine Jeffries, and other important stakeholders to review the security practice of the city building, and the city employees to determine what changes in training are needed to ensure that our municipal buildings are safe and secure for both employees and the public.

- Alder Dorff?

- Thank you. So, on May 31st, 2019, there was a mass shooting at the Virginia Beach municipal center, and 12 people were killed, four were wounded. I was able to, because I am part of the Alice corporation, I teach for Alice. I was able to get some of the police recordings. And there was a lot of confusion about what door casualties were at, because their doors weren't numbered at that center. The police could not get in, they didn't have the badges to get into the building. So things were delayed quite a bit. So bringing this to the city of Green Bay. A few years ago, we did start looking at the active shooter response, and response to a violent critical incident, and some of the employees of city hall were trained. And some of the things were put in place. Started to number doors. I'm not sure if the outside doors all got number, did they? No, I don't think they did. They did not. I believe... I took the school district of Green Bay through this entire process, to create a plan for each of the schools in Green Bay. So I would like to be on this task force. And I've got the agreement of the police chief and the fire chief, they were very much behind creating this task force, to look at what are the steps that we need to take to make sure that our employees are secure? And that if members of the public are secure. So for example, we should have a way to communicate with everyone in the building. I don't think there's an all call.

- There is. There is now?

- But we need training.

- But we need training, so everyone can access the all call. If something's happening on the sixth floor, and not happening on the first floor, then the first floor can evacuate. But if something's happening on the first floor, nobody should be coming downstairs. They should be sheltering in place, and barricading in place. I know that... the federal government, there are laws around ensuring that your employees, if you work for a government agency, any government agency, schools, our government agency. They have to be trained in multiple response options, to these violent critical incidents. So we started doing this as a city, and I want to make sure that we continue doing it. I go throughout the state of Wisconsin, I do building assessments of schools. I look at this building, and I just say, oh my. There are certainly some things that we need to put in place, to make this building safer. And not just this building. It's all of our municipal buildings. It's got to be all of them not just city hall. So I'm asking for your support, I'm asking you to approve forming this task force. Because that's where I was told to come.

- Right, see, and that's, I'm wondering, wouldn't be the mayor's office? Wouldn't he be putting that task force together?

- Well I certainly think that the mayor would be open to Alder Dorff taking the leadership, especially given Alder Dorff's professional role in the state of Wisconsin.

- Right, so I think we could refer this to the mayor's office to put together a task force, and you can certainly go to the mayor's office.

- With the mayor.

- That would be his.

- So make a motion to that fact?

- Sure. I would, yeah.

- Be appropriate to support it.

- So it's just a matter of...

- It's an executive, task force sound executive to me, that sounds like something the mayor's office should be coming together.

- Alright.

- So we recommend this to the mayor's office.

- Well, somebody else can make a...

- Motion to the mayor's office.

- Motion by Alder Stevens.

- Seconded by Alder Vanderleest, all in favor.

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? Okay.

- Barb, you will definitely be on it. With your expertise.

- Thank you for your support.

- Keep us in the loop.

- Alright, I think I have the next item, so I might as well stay up here.

- We're tasking you with the task force. Alright.

- Everyone else is going through the same procedure, so they're looking into...

- Who's going through the same procedure?

- The courthouse.
- The courthouse is. Yeah.
- They're going to be doing some things.
- Good, good.
- They're judgemental over there though. Are we ready for 15?
- Yes, item number 15. Door closed,
- Item 15.
- We have to have it open.
- Yeah we have to keep the door open, yeah.
- What's going on?
- I don't know. There was a meeting and they're done. Consideration of possible action on request by Alder Dorff to research and create a fair housing ordinance for the city of Green Bay, which was referred to the protection and policy committee by the plant commission at the June 10th meeting, Alder Dorff?
- Well that was, if you recall, we had that meeting, occurred for a few hours, just prior to our council meeting, I think in May. And I was the only Alder that went. So then I went and talked to the economic and development department, and share an earwig, and you know, said, what could my role be? And my role could be asking for an ordinance to be created. And so that would be referred to staff, so that's what, that's all I'm asking, is that it be referred to staff.
- And then it comes back here.
- And come back and we can look at it.
- Yep.
- Okay?
- Yep, thank you. Good idea. Motion to refer to staff, to come up with a... Ordinance. To address...
- To support? Or just to address?
- Our fair housing, there was a report. So, to address the needs in that report.
- Second.
- I guess I'm making that motion.
- Well, you're making it, yeah.
- I just made it. Seconded by Alder Steuer.

- Alright.
- All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? Okay. That passes unanimously.
- I have to come up for item 16.
- Well.
- I have communication.
- Item 16, consideration of possible action by Alder Weary, which states to request a report of police call volume on Western Avenue, from Onaida to Taylor, which addresses, what addresses our habitual problems. Have nuisance plans and bills for service been issued? Develop a plan moving forward to reduce the number of police calls in the area. Previously held at the May 13th and June 10th protection and policy meeting, so you're here for...
- So I'm here for Alder Weary, who unfortunately was unable to make it tonight, and is asking if it can be held to the next meeting.
- Well before, we did take this up, and there was a report.
- Do you have report? He does.
- Yeah the report was, and he, what I would like to know. Police did meet with Jenna Angus, to be there, and I was sick, so I couldn't, oh you were there?
- I was there.
- Oh, good. So if we could get just an update on that.
- [Steve] We did have a meeting with Miss Angus, where we discussed the report. I do believe the report was included in last month's agenda packet. Just last week, Alder Weary reached out to Michelle our crime analyst, and she emailed him the report directly. He responded great, that's what I would like. We are doing numerous things to address the issue of crime and problems in that general area. I can't get into specifics what we're doing. But it is something that we are working with Miss Angus, and other residents of that neighborhood, to work together to help reduce the crime and the calls for service over there.
- You included the neighborhood associations too, they were there.
- [Steve] Yes.
- They had representation.
- [Steve] Yep, so, I believe when we left last time, at the end of summer, we were going to try and meet again to see if what we did over the summer months was helping to improve the area. One thing I found out was, all the lights in Colborne Park. The street lights, none of them were working, so we were reaching out to WPS to make sure those are all up and going. That's one thing I can say.
- That's a bright idea.

- [Steve] So, we're seeing various things, hoping that they work, seeing what has more effectiveness. We will be parking either our badger or our armadillo, our video response vehicle I guess. We will be parking that down in the park very shortly, it's getting some minor repairs. But those are just a couple things we're doing at the police department to address it.

- It sounded like you were also going to, depending on officers of the area, that they could pull all the work on a report, they could go into the park. This was soon to be issues at Colborne, so that was part of it.

- And in fact, just today, when I was out and about, I made sure I drove through Colborne Park. And we're instructing officers to make their presence very well known in the park. So any issues that might be coming from other parks that we're monitoring, that is not happening there. We are looking at possibly installing cameras in the park as well. So if we do see any activities going on, we'll be able to monitor it on camera.

- Any horses?

- [Steve] In the parks, no.

- Bikes.

- No?

- Could it be bikes?

- Think the parks would be made for the horses.

- I have another question for the lieutenant. Are they going to move forward with shutting that one 5th Street side, put a chain across it, the park is closed, to keep the traffic out there after late hours?

- [Steve] I don't know the status of that. I do believe there's some concerns about liability. If someone hits the chain or runs over the chain. Who's going to lock it up every night and unlock it every morning? That's something I believe the parks department would have to figure out and do. We would love to have it there. But I just don't know if...

- I need to put a communication in to see if we can get that done. I pass 5th Street quite often late at night. And there's always traffic in and out of there. And some of the neighbors, pretty visual what's going on, I know Mr. Wagner. I think some of his neighbors, they try to keep a tab on what's going on as well.

- [Steve] And especially now that the pool is closed for the summer, it's not operating. That other people helped, I guess, monitor the area, because undesirables, don't want to be around, they want their privacy. So now that the pool's not open, that allows them even more privacy, so, we're trying to, brainstorming various ideas, working with Mr. Wagner, Miss Angus to see what works and is the most effective in that area.

- Sounds like they're on top of things, that's great.

- It was a very informative meeting. I'm glad I was there.

- Yeah, wish I was.

- That's alright.

- How long did it go? Hours and hours?

- Couple hours. Alright.
- But the result was pretty good, from, you seen the constituents, such as Angus?
- [Steve] No, Jenna was, I mean, well, very, my property's and this and that, and traffic and activity, but this sounded like hey, we're on the right path, and I think Michelle did a fantastic job about talking about all the resources they have, and I think there's, sometimes the thought that maybe not enough is being done. But I was impressed. At, you know, the type of research that they're doing to make sure they have resources for all of us in it. So, I was very satisfied with that. She's more than willing to share any of that data with us.
- Alright, we just receive and place on file.
- Motion to receive and place on file.
- By Alder Steuer, second by Alder Stevens, any further discussion? All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? That is received, and placed on file. Are we ready for informational?
- We are ready for the ticker violation report.
- Ticker violation report from the police department for July 8th, 2019.
- [Steve] We had no violations.
- Yeah, this is, how many times in a row now?
- Yeah.
- A couple.
- Motion to receive and place on file, by Alder Vanderleest. Second by Alder Stevens, all in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? That's received and place on file. How about...
- Motion to adjourn.
- Motion to adjourn by Alder Steuer.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Vanderleest. Let's see now, do we need to discuss this?
- Better not.
- All in favor?
- Aye.
- Aye. Opposed? We are done, good work everyone, thank you.

- My kids are like, weak.

- Yeah mine are.