



MINUTES OF THE IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICES COMMITTEE

**WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 14, 2019, 6:00 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Ald. Brian Johnson, Ald. Chris Wery, Ald. Andy Nicholson, Ald. Jesse Brunette

Excused: Ald. Andy Nicholson at 8:52 p.m.

Other present: Xia Moua, Ald. Mark Steuer, Melissa Gebert, Jonah Lenss, Seth Lenss, Joe Aerts, Samantha Maass, David Weise, Mary Krutz, Cathy Landry, Mike Haverkorn, Jeremy Kroll, Chris Pirlot, Dave Hansen, Rick Jensen

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve the agenda. Motion carried.
Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve the minutes. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

I. Consideration with possible action on request by David Weise to rescind the bulk waste removal charge of \$80 at 327 North Ashland Avenue.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain-

None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve the request by David Weise to rescind the bulk waste removal charge of \$80 at 327 North Ashland Avenue. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on request by Xia Moua to rescind the long grass charges of \$301.73 at 619 Pine Terrace and \$219.44 at 617 Pine Terrace.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve rescinding \$260.58 of the \$521.17 long grass charges from the request by Xia Moua relating to charges of \$301.73 at 619 Pine Terrace and \$219.44 at 617 Pine Terrace. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action on request by Melissa Gebert for permission to allow around-the-clock street parking adjacent to 326 12th Avenue for health care workers for her daughter.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve the request by Melissa Gebert for permission to allow around-the-clock street parking adjacent to 326 12th Avenue for health care workers for her daughter. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Johnson on the authorization of the installation of crosswalk art at the intersection of Broadway and Hubbard Streets (held over from the July 10, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting).

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to refer to the Law Department the request by Ald. Johnson on the authorization of the installation of crosswalk art at the intersection of Broadway and Hubbard Streets. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Johnson for recommendations on how to alleviate chronic flooding on South Broadway/Canton Street, South Maple Avenue, South Ashland Avenue, Shawano Avenue and Antoinette Street.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to refer to staff the request by Ald. Johnson for recommendations on how to alleviate chronic flooding on South Broadway/Canton Street, South Maple Avenue, South Ashland Avenue, Shawano Avenue and Antoinette Street and to bring an update to the September 11, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting on the progress for Storm Lift Stations 110 and 106 and to determine solutions to solve the flooding on Antoinette Street. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Nicholson for status update on plans to

address chronic flooding in the vicinity of Main and East Mason Streets.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to direct staff to transition from concept to design on three dry ponds and increase the size of the storm sewer pipe in Main Street to determine effect on area flood levels from the request by Ald. Nicholson for status update on plans to address chronic flooding in the vicinity of Main and East Mason Streets. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Nicholson to address surface water drainage issues at 1661-1663 Elkay Lane.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to hold until the September 11, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Ald. Nicholson to address surface water drainage issues at 1661-1663 Elkay Lane. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Nicholson to address pavement ponding issues at 2405 Hampton Avenue.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to refer to staff to develop and implement a solution to alleviate the ponding from the request by Ald. Nicholson to address pavement ponding issues at 2405 Hampton Avenue. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

9. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Nicholson to address chronic flooding in the Schwartz Street/Edison Street area.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to refer to staff the request by Ald. Nicholson to address chronic flooding in the Schwartz Street/Edison Street area. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

10. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Steuer to study and report out on the feasibility of utilizing the “even-odd” residential address overnight parking scenario, as is done in Milwaukee, in order to relieve the parking problems at residences with limited parking spaces.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to refer to staff the request by Ald. Steuer to study and report out on the feasibility of utilizing the “even-odd” residential address overnight parking scenario, as is done in Milwaukee, in order to relieve the parking problems at residences with limited parking spaces. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

11. Consideration with possible action on request by Ald. Steuer to look at placing a street light on the east of Fellows Avenue just north of Shawano Avenue.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to receive and place on file the request by Ald. Steuer to look at placing a street light on the east of Fellows Avenue just north of Shawano Avenue. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

12. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to amend contract for LED Signal Replacement on connecting highways.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve the request by Department of Public Works to amend contract for LED Signal Replacement on connecting highways. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

13. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to award 2020 Bridge Inspection Services to Collins Engineers, Inc. for \$31,707.72.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve the request by Department of Public Works to award 2020 Bridge Inspection Services to Collins Engineers, Inc. for \$31,707.72. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

14. Consideration with possible action on request by Bay Settlement Investments, LLC to defer special assessments for remainder of parent parcel 21-396 for five years or until sold or developed, whichever is the shortest time.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve the request by Bay Settlement Investments, LLC to defer special assessments for remainder of parent parcel 21-396 for five years or until sold or developed, whichever is the shortest time. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Brian

Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

15. Consideration with possible action regarding the \$2 parking citation processing fee required by the new Passport Labs parking citation system which went live on Monday, July 22, 2019.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve the \$2 parking citation processing fee required by the new Passport Labs parking citation system which went live on Monday, July 22, 2019 and have staff provide a report on the citation rates from neighboring communities. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

16. Consideration with possible action on report of the Purchasing Manager to request approval to award a 1-year contract for snow plowing and snow removal for various Department of Public Works Parking Division and Green Bay Police Department parking lots to Express Excavation for the estimated amount of \$44,500 per year; this contract will include up to five 1-year renewal options by mutual agreement.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve the report of the Purchasing Manager to award a 1-year contract for snow plowing and snow removal for various Department of Public Works Parking Division and Green Bay Police Department parking lots to Express Excavation for the estimated amount of \$44,500 per year; this contract will include up to five 1-year renewal options by mutual agreement. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

17. Report of actions taken by Department of Public Works

A. Award of contracts

1. To approve to award contract PAVEMENT 5-19 N. WEBSTER AVENUE RECONSTRUCTION to the low responsive bidder, PTS Contractors, Inc., in the amount of \$1,045,016.

2. To approve to award contract PAVEMENT 6-19 SITKA STREET AND SUPERIOR ROAD RECONSTRUCTION to the low responsive bidder.

B. Granting of Licenses

1. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Anderson Basement Repair, Inc.
- b. Bryan Mc Ewen Concrete LLC
- c. CD Smith Construction

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve the report of actions taken by Department of Public Works as amended to include:

2. To approve to award contract PAVEMENT 6-19 SITKA STREET AND SUPERIOR ROAD RECONSTRUCTION to the low responsive bidder, Advance Construction, Inc., in the amount of \$2,524,722.45.

Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

1. Director's Report on recent activities of the Public Works Department.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to receive and place on file the Director's Report on recent activities of the Public Works Department. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

2. The next Improvement and Services Committee meeting is scheduled for September 11, 2019.

F. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve to adjourn the Improvement and Services Committee meeting. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- The improvement services committee to order. Let it be recorded Johnson, Nicholson, Brunette and Wery are present. I need approval of the agenda.
- Approve.
- Second
- Motion by Johnson, second by Wery. Under discussion here now all in favor?
- [Group] Aye. No nays, motion's carried, approval of minutes.
- Motion to approve.
- Motion by Johnson second by Brunette. Under discussion here now all in favor?

- [Group] Aye. No nays, motion carried. Okay, we're in regular order of business. Number one, consideration of possible action and request by David Weise, Wise, Wheezy. To rescind a bulk waste removal charge of \$80 at 327 North Ashton Avenue. Mr Weise?
- [David] Yes. Yeah, they sent me.
- Can you state your name and address for the record please?
- [David] David Weise
- All right, 325 North Ashton you live?
- [David] That's where the property is.
- Okay, where do you live?
- [David] That's my shop, I live in Pulaski.
- Okay.
- [David] But they got it down, this is my business there.
- Okay. The house at 327 that they said they picked up the garbage, it wasn't 327 it was 325, should have been.
- Chairman?
- This says 335.
- [David] 335 is where my shop is, yeah.
- Okay, is this where the garbage was picked up?
- [David] It was picked up at, well actually it was borderline.
- Mr. Chairman?
- Just one minute please?
- I'm gonna make a motion to open the floor.
- I'll second.
- Okay, the floor is now open by motion by Wery second by Johnson. Okay, continue.
- [David] Okay, they had down at 327, they picked up the garbage at 325. It was right on they borderline between 327 and 325.

- Okay.
- [David] 327 is my rental. But the people that threw out the actual stuff were at 325.
- Okay, and what's this 335, that your shop?
- [David] That's my shop, yes.
- Okay, this is rental, all right. Is that all you have to offer? Yeah, just that it wasn't my garbage so I don't see why I should have to pay \$80.
- Okay, any questions? If, not, Steve, Director Grenier?
- Well, as Mr. Weise indicates, we did pick up address of, based on the photograph it does appear to be near the lot line between 327 and 325 but nearer to 327. So that's the address that was identified by staff in the field on the day that they picked the mattress up.
- Okay.
- All we received was a letter from Mr. Weise indicating that it was not put there by us and they wanted to come before Improvement Service Committee so we placed him on the agenda. We probably could have had this conversation off life. Without having to come before the committee but he requested to come before the committee so we honored that request.
- [Patricia] We did call in.
- Just one second.
- [David] That's my wife.
- Okay, does she want to speak?
- [David] Do you wanna speak?
- Okay, just approach, approach the bench. Just state your name and address for the record please.
- [Patricia] Patricia Weise 4117 CR C, Pulaski.
- Okay.
- [Patricia] I had called in and I talked to Debbie and told her that it wasn't our stuff that was on the borderline and she said the only way that they could do anything was to come here and dispute it.
- Okay.
- [David] I talked to my renters and they said that they seen the ones at 325 put it out there. So there shouldn't have been and we told them about this that we didn't feel like we should be.

- Okay, is there any priors?
- [Patricia] There is one.
- Just one second Ma'am. Director Grenier, any priors?
- I'm not showing any at this time.
- Okay, usually we give one freebie out and if there is another one. Why wouldn't Debbie say anything? Why wouldn't she look that up?
- I don't know, Operations Director Pirlot and I will be having a conversation in the morning.
- Okay, enough said. So you recommend
- We're fine Okay, well then we're gonna close the floor right now. You can just have a seat please. Motion by Johnson to close the floor.
- Second.
- Second by Wery Under discussion here now all in favor. Motion carried, what are the wishes of the committee?
- Rescind.
- Motion to rescind by Johnson
- Second. Second by Wery, any discussion? Here now all in favor, nays, motion carried. Mr. Weise, it's rescinded.
- [Patricia] Oh, okay, thank you.
- Okay?
- [David] All right.
- All right, you may go or you can stick around.
- [Patricia] Okay, thank you.
- Enjoy the entertainment, all right, thank you. All right, moving forward. Number two, consideration of possible action and request by Moua to rescind the long grass charges of \$301.73 at 619 Pine Terrace and \$219.44 at 617 Pine Terrace.
- [Xia] Xia Moua with Jongma Homes LLC. Do you need my address also?
- Yes.

- [Xia] 542 Van Lake Court, Green Bay 54311.

- Motion to open the floor

- Second.

- Okay there's a motion to open the floor by Wery second by Johnson. Under discussion here none. All in favor, no nays, motion carried. Okay, you can continue.

- [Xia] Okay, so anyway I'm asking you to rescind these invoices. I don't know how the process is for copies that I have submitted to Chris. But, basically, the envelope was postmarked on June 6th. The letters were handwritten the end of May. The postmark June 6th, I received it on the seventh. So seven days from June 6th puts me at June 13th. Despite the discrepancy I contacted Dan about the discrepancy and I was on vacation and I remember very clearly and I have proof in my phone log that I contacted Dan informing him of this discrepancy but.

- Who's Dan, city employee?

- Yeah, he's at whatever I called the 3300, they transferred me to the department. So I told them that I was on vacation but someone there will have it done by Tuesday when I'm back. And Monday night, the 10th, we went out and the land's been cut already. So really, technically, I had until June 13th but I went out there on the 10th and it was cut already.

- Okay.

- [Xia] So that's the reason why I really didn't have seven days.

- Okay.

- [Xia] So I'm asking you to rescind.

- Mr. Pirlot?

- [Chris] Yeah, Ms. Moua and I have spoken several times. Dan Shipping is our DPW Supervisor who leads our long grass, weed day to day operations.

- Okay.

- [Chris] And he was on vacation and I was on vacation and we finally had a chance to meet. Dan and I work on the same site so after personally interviewing and talking to him I understand what was going on. Ms. Moua and I had talked and there's some confusion or misunderstanding in fact Ms. Moua got mad. Dan's notes and his testimony to me said he spoke with Ms. Moua on June 4th. Now she didn't get the letters until the sixth but there was some, as I understand it, some type of communications in between. She had indicated that she spoke with Dan on Friday, June 7th. I checked phone records, he only had one phone call out from his phone which seemed odd to me. So I looked further and it's like, oh, Dan was not here on Friday. So it had to be before the seventh that there was discussion. Regardless of that, because of the confusion, the grass was quite tall. So it took some time for the grass to get to that height. We also had a delay in actually getting to address the issue

which is on us. One of my thoughts is because of the confusion on both sides I would suggest we do a King Solomon and split the baby. We'll go ahead and DPW writes off one of them and the property owner pays for the other one. That's one of my thoughts.

- Okay, all right, Steve?

- Just for the committee, the reason that this was handled the way it is with a letter is because it is a vacant property. If it was an occupied property we would take care of business problems within 24 hours. But with the rulings being what they are on vacant property there's no place for us to tag the property so we have to send a letter.

- You got pictures Steve?

- This is the length of the grass. This is why Mr. Pirlot says it didn't get that way overnight.

- Where's Pine Tree or Pine, is that west side?

- [Xia] Yeah, it's in the corner.

- Okay, okay, thank you.

- Okay, the grass is well in excess of a foot in length.

- Just one second. Any questions from the committee for Ms. Moua? Brunette, you got a question for Ms. Moua? Yes, so, you said that you had seven days to correct the action as of the letter?

- [Xia] Yes, according to your letters.

- Okay, and is that seven days from the date of the letter?

- [Xia] And that's what it said on the face of the letter, but, again, I think that in all fairness to the consumer, to us, especially when it's handwritten anyone can pre-date it. I'm not saying that they have pre-dated it, I'm just saying that anyone can write anything. What matters is that when it goes and and I, as the consumer, received it and I fully acknowledge what happened was I took over these lots over the winter and I totally forgot about it. Totally forgot that I owned those lots cause I planned on putting five beautiful homes in that area but as the weather, as we all know, everything got delayed and I totally forgot that I had those lots until I received this letter. But the fact still remains that I did not receive it til the seventh and even despite that I knew right away that it was dated May 29th but it was not sent out til June 6th. So, because of that, really didn't get any time to cut it. Now, as far as the June 4th, I pulled my phone records. There is no record of the city ever calling me on June 4th. My question would be where would Dan get my phone number from? And that's why I feel that is very inadequate. But I have proof that I contacted Dan on June 7th at 9:28 from Florida. So the facts pretty much speaks for itself so now I'm requesting to rescind both of them because I feel that's it's really unfair to me. First the discrepancy and the dates on the mailing. And secondly, I did call him to bring that to his attention. And I did go out on Monday night, I actually had the lawn mower guy go out on Monday night to find out it was cut.

- Okay.

- [Xia] So there clearly was miscommunication in their department cause Dan said he'd communicate that to their department to make sure they don't go and cut it. Clearly there was a miscommunication somewhere on their part. Now I feel I should not have to pay for it because of their miscommunication.

- Alderman Brunette?

- Yes, thank you Chair. I want it to be known that I'm a personal acquaintance of Ms. Moua so I'll have to abstain in the absence of the city attorney.

- All right.

- But if I could vote I would vote to rescind those. She's someone of high character and I don't dispute at all what she's saying. There might be a miscommunication between the city and Ms Moua. And she is a reputable business person as is her family. Very involved in the community. I think these are situations where we have to err on the side of the constituent property owner in my opinion. So I just want that to be shown.

- [Xia] Thank you Jesse.

- Alderman Wery?

- Thank you, yeah, I do have a couple questions. Is this a residential area, are there other homes?

- [Xia] Residential yeah, it's the old Monfils Estate and I purchase which was three lots and I came through the city process I converted to five lots and I'm gonna be developing five single-families in the area. So the residential on the Monfils estate.

- Obviously a foot long, the pictures make it look like a wheat field.

- [Xia] I acknowledge because I said I purchased it over the winter and I totally, cause I build homes, and I totally like forgot that I owned these lots until this letter. So that I acknowledge, but again, as soon as I received on as soon as I could I got the grass cut out there. But, despite that, the fact still remains that I did not get these letters until the seventh. It was postmarked on the sixth. So, I guess, I've had a long relationship with the city, working on rentals, home building, as a real estate agent. I really question these methods of sending these letters out handwritten. My guess, that just really bothers me that it's handwritten but yet it was not sent out til June 6th. And, even despite that, I called them right away. I called from my vacation because my secretary called and brought it to my attention. And I was afraid of a miscommunication. I contacted Dan and he was real nice about it. He said, yeah, no problem, be sure to let the department know to make sure no one goes out to cut it. And I kept my word had the guy out on Monday night and it was too late.

- Any other questions? Mr. Johnson? I guess my two cents would be the grass is what, over a foot long?

- Well over.

- Well over a foot. I guess one of my pet peeves is when we have, the area I represent, there's something over a foot long to me that's not responsible. You have a responsibility for your properties. So does everybody else that owns property in the city of Green Bay. You'll never see a foot and a half in my yard. We shouldn't see it at all. The facts are not about the phone calls in my opinion. The facts are you gotta take care of your property. If the city has to go over there, charge you X amount of dollars so be it. Take care of your property, that's my opinion. I do not want to wheel and deal, I'm just like Ms. Moua. I think she should be paying both citations. Not one, working out deals. She's a real estate agent. Okay, you might be a very reputable citizen in the City of Green Bay. Then take care of your property. Like the majority of the people in the City of Green Bay. Cause if they don't take care of their property they're gonna get fees, charges, so be it. So I will support the two citations of \$301.73 and also \$219.44 and maybe Ms. Moua will get the message that you should be more responsible on your properties of a foot and a half of grass. Really, I could see, I'm not gonna argue with you. 11 inches, that's the ordinance, correct Steve?

- Nine

- Nine inches Nine inches is too much. People should be on top of that a heck of a lot earlier than a foot and a half. That's my two cents, I will be supporting the two citations or fees and do you have any other rebuttal Ms. Moua?

- [Xia] I have one more question. Steve, do you have proof that because when I got out there the grass was all cut. I have a hard time believing that it was, what did you say, over a foot? Because those pine trees, that corner is all lined with pine trees. And, how I know, is because it's on a corner lot. It's interesting that the lots on Pine Terrace was cut but the three lots on Burns was not cut. So I was there to cut Burns side and it was not a foot I can tell you that. I think it's pretty much common sense that it's all under Pine trees and how can.

- The distance from the curb, from the gutter to the top of curb is six inches, that's a standard. That is at least twice that height, possibly three to four times. Which would put it at a foot and a half to two feet.

- Okay, I think we have enough discussion I want to close the floor please.

- Motion.

- Motion to close the floor by Johnson second by Wery. Under discussion there are none. We're under discussion.

- I'm sorry that's for closing. All in favor, nays, motion carried. Alderman Johnson?

- I just do have a question for staff. So we have the, does the ordinance dictate that once we give them seven I that letter that they have seven days to correct, is that the way it's written?

- [Chris] Right, for absent property owners for vacant lots. Now my understanding, talking to Dan, is that he spoke, I don't know if you called him or he called you, but the two of you spoke together and was this is his note saying June 4th which was before those letters were received. Now, just getting back to that handwritten this is an FYI that's the way we do it. That is Dan's signature, I can vouch for that 110%. So it's not a falsified record, he wrote it on the 29th. There was some delay in getting it

out, for whatever reason, and it getting postmarked on the sixth. But he says that he talked to you, there was an agreement that it would be cut by the end of the day on Friday the seventh. He said that includes the weekend. He said they went out there on Monday and it still wasn't cut so they cut it.

- But it's a foot and a half, really? Like I said, I'm sorry, go ahead Johnson.

- Does the ordinance dictate what communication method must be made with the property owner? Does a phone call suffice?

- [Chris] It's a state statute.

- State statute, does a phone call meet that standard?

- [Chris] It says by postal, by postal.

- Okay, so really what we're talking about then by postal is we're talking about is we're talking about June 6th right? Cause that's when it was mailed. So she had until the 13th, would that be correct?

- Not necessarily because staff wouldn't know that there was a delay in the mail room in getting it out. All we have, the only information we have, is the date that we sent a letter down.

- [Chris] In addition, there was a discussion and I have no reason to doubt it. Dan's been with us a long time, he's a reputable leader Manager at Public Works. That there was an agreement of some sort that it would be cut by X and it wasn't. So the Monday after the grass was cut.

- So I guess, I mean, I have hard time going along with the delay with the post office.

- Not the post office, that could've happened in the mail room here at City hall.

- Well then that's on us. I mean, if the letter didn't go out, right? Just because we wrote it doesn't serve the standard of the statute.

- What I'm stating is we would not have known that so we would be operating off of May 29th as the original date.

- Oh, sure.

- So June 10th would've provided more than sufficient time.

- No, no, no, and I do appreciate that Steve. I mean, you guys were acting in accordance of what you presumed to be when the letter was sent out.

- Yes, that's all I'm trying to.

- And I think, as a body, we have to consider the June 6th postmark. Then again, I appreciate that you guys did what would be expected. I just wanted to get clarity on that point, thank you Chris.

- [Xia] Can I say some More?

- No, no. Anything else Johnson?
- No. Chris, did you want to add?
- Yes, thank you Mr. Chairman. Just as an aside, the pictures and information you have, even on previous item were they supposed to be in our agenda? Because it's just a blank item.
- Historically we've not included that but if you want us to in the future we can.
- If you could, cause I like to do prep work.
- Sure.
- Kind of get an idea of what's coming up other than have to digest it all right away. If you could just get back to me.
- We'll make that note.
- Thanks. I mean, obviously there's negligence with the grass. We get complaints on that all the time and it's hard to explain to people. On the other hand though, technicalities here seems like there's some timing technicalities that were problematic. So I'm leaning towards wiping it all or half, I think, just because of the technicality here. Are there any priors? Sounds like she just bought it in the winter so.
- Yeah, we would have no priors on these properties.
- This is probably the full growth of it, I think, from winter all the way through.
- Correct, that's what I hear.
- So I'm kind of hovering in there based on the two that should've been cut but we're also kind of messing up with our dates there.
- Okay, do you want to make a motion Chris? No, I'd like to hear from the others.
- Well, a foot and a half, in my opinion is irresponsible. A foot and a half? We shouldn't have a foot and a half in the City of Green Bay and have staff go over there and babysit it and send notices and phone calls to real estate agents. They should be really on top of their property.
- Totally agree, however, this is ruled by state statute. And, therefore, if it would go higher that's what's gonna rule the day not what we want.
- Oh, okay, you agree with me then.
- I agree with you.

- I'd like to make an example of this and put our foot down and say, a foot and a half? I could see it if it was six inches, seven inches, okay I can understand that. A foot and a half, that's unreasonable. I can't, no, I'm not gonna budge on this. Johnson?

- I agree, I agree, I mean, I think that is the situation that exists but I think we do have a standard to meet and we didn't meet that standard per state statute so I'm going to make the motion that we rescind the fees and let the votes fall where they fall.

- Okay.

- If there's a second.

- Is there a second? Fails for a second.

- But my intention.

- Just a minutes, Chris do you, I'll come back to you.

- I was just gonna say I make a motion to rescind half the fees.

- So half of the total?

- As recommended by the director.

- The half od the total?

- Half the total, yeah.

- Okay. One second Jesse. There's a motion on the floor to rescind half of the two fees combined which is a total of, what is it Steve? Then I'll come back to you Jesse.

- 260.58.

- Okay, we're under discussion. Alderman Brunette?

- Yeah, I've already stated I'm going to be abstaining that's why I didn't second. So I don't know what the legal rights I have to make seconds or votes or whatnot but.

- You can talk about it.

- I can talk but not second, I would second Alderman's Johnson's motion.

- From what I understand the Chairman has the authority to entertain a motion or a second.

- Sure.

- So I'll let you have a discussion Jesse. That's right and I appreciate that. One thing I don't want to do, Mr. Chairman, is make it so members of our community or constituents, homeowners, property

owners are afraid to address the committee when they make a mistake. You have your right and your prerogative and your opinion but I think sometimes mistakes happen. People go out of town, vacations, people get sick.

- Will there be a foot and a half?

- Xia lives in a residential neighborhood. Perhaps not in Green Bay but I don't think that's a standard that she would allow in her neighborhood. And so I'm compelled to believe it's simply an oversight. Oversights happen as is human nature. We have to soften our approach a little bit. But I'm not diminishing your opinion Alderman. You've been doing this for a long time. You get the complaints a lot more than I do perhaps, but I just don't want to have an adversarial approach between city government and the people who the government belongs to. So let my opinions stand where they may.

- Okay, well.

- Question, Mr. Chairman.

- Go ahead. Do we have any other history of any other properties from Ms. Moua of any long grass that you know of?

- We would not look at that.

- We don't look at the individual properties or priors. so they're very

- Well obviously I don't launder in here so.

- Steve, for a foot and a half for grass to grow how long does that take? I know depending on the weather but my yard that's be about two months.

- Well, depending on the soil, based of what we saw and the time of year that this occurred in June, my expectation was that it probably hadn't been cut since the beginning of the year.

- Okay, all right. All right, there's a motion on the floor we're still under discussion, all in favor?

- There's no second.

- Oh, there's a second, I'll second yours. Okay, all in favor?

- Aye

- Aye

- Nays

- Nay One nay, one abstention. Ms. Moua, this is a recommendation. It goes to the full city council. I would probably recommend you be in there if you want to present this to the full city council which is next Tuesday, six o'clock, right Steve?

- Yes.

- In Room 207 right next door, okay? Thank you, moving forward. Consideration of possible action on the request of Melissa Gebhart for permission to allow around the clock street parking adjacent to 326 12 Avenue for healthcare workers for her daughter. Is Melissa?

- Motion to open the floor.

- Second

- Motion to open up the floor by Johnson second by Wery under discussion. Here now all in favor?

- Aye

- Aye

- Aye, nays, motion carried. Melissa Gebhart.

- [Melissa] Yeah, I've got healthcare providers. My daughter's severely autistic and she has seizures a bunch of learning disabilities. And I have, she just got applied for long-term care through the county. She's on the waiting list for that, so I will have night staff. I don't have night staff yet but during the day is important. I was asking for private parking because our neighbors like to park there so our staff can't park there. And it's a battle everyday and I have enough stress with my daughter's issues that I just don't need to fight with the neighbor's either.

- Do you live at 326?

- [Melissa] Yes.

- Okay, Chris, you wanna handle that.

- [Chris] Yeah, listen, Melissa and I have been in contact with each other for quite a while, nice to meet you. On the phone and voicemails and what have you and emails. The Parking Commission has done this type of thing in the past for in home health care, different issues. And, in all my years with the city, I have to say this is no lie that Ms. Gebhart has been one of the most communicative to the Parking Division. I mean, she and her health care providers, when they switch shifts we get the email that it's now a black Nissan, now it's the silver Honda in front.

- Reel it in Chris.

- [Chris] So the bottom line is we have no problem whatsoever.

- Okay.

- [Chris] And I recommend that we allow it.

- Thank you.

- Motion to close the floor.
- Just a minute, any questions for? Okay, motion to close the floor by Johnson, second by Wery. Under discussion here now all in favor?
- [Group] Aye.
- Motion carried, now we are in regular order of business. I'll entertain motions and questions. Motion to approve by Johnson second by Wery under discussion.
- Just one thing.
- Director Grenier?
- I don't think it needs to be part of the motion, especially seeing as she's here, but obviously this would not apply during emergency situations such as a snow emergency.
- Okay, any questions on that?
- [Melissa] No.
- Okay, all right, you gotta question?
- I'm ready to vote.
- All right, all in favor?
- [Group] Aye. Nay, motion carried. You can have your pass.
- [Melissa] All right, thank you.
- You're welcome. Moving forward, consideration of possible action on a request by Alderman Johnson on the authorization of the installation of a crosswalk art at the intersection on Broadway and Hubbard held on July 10th. Alderman Johnson?
- I make a move to open the floor for interested parties.
- Second.
- Motion to open the floor by Johnson, second by Wery. Under discussion here now all in favor?
- [Group] Aye. Nay, motion carried. Come on up, just state your name and address for the record please.
- [Samantha] Samantha Maass, W1942 Vandenberg Street, Freedom, Wisconsin 54130. And I have some materials.

- Thank you.

- [Samantha] You're welcome. As we had spoke about last meeting we discussed the potential to install crosswalk art on the corner of Hubbard and Broadway in the Broadway District on the Hubbard Street intersections, so it would be running parallel to Broadway. And, after that discussion, our two largest concerns from that meeting that I was asked to research and bring back to today's meeting is number one, the Federal Highway Administration interpretation letter for the application of colored pavement has specific criteria listed and that is in this packet a little bit further back with the highlighted pieces. And then, the second concern was fear of potential to lose federal funding if we were to install these crosswalk pieces. So, after some digging around, reaching out to other communities in the U.S. and specifically in Wisconsin on how they were able to do crosswalk art in their communities as recently as this year and previous, past years. The first piece that came to my attention was the Federal Highway Administration issues letters to create a guide for professionals when working with federal roadways. If you'll look at the maps, the Hubbard Street cul-de-sacs where the crosswalk art is proposed is classified as local roads not federal roads. When talking to other communities who have done these types of projects they have done crosswalk art on local roads where is where they followed their state statute for the project because it was a local road and not a federal one. The Wisconsin statute defines marked crosswalks as any portion of a highway clearly indicated for pedestrian crossing by signs, lines or other markings on the surface. And that ruling is listed right below the highlighted piece. DPW professionals from other communities have let me know that they view crosswalk art as markings that help clearly indicate the pedestrian crossing area. So, therefore, following that same statement for the marked crosswalk. If you go to the next page it has a sample of the map to show that Hubbard Street is a local roadway as well. The other item that came to my attention when I was doing research on this topic was the legal interpretation of should denotes that something is advisable while shall denotes that something is obligatory. The DOT Traffic Guidelines Manual does not state shall next to the verbiage about the aesthetic treatment, the pavement treatment or colors. So if you'll look below it has the guidelines here and it does say shall not next to reflective material. Shall be, shall have the two to six inch white transverse lines similar to what we currently have. The aesthetic pavement colors and treatment have examples but there is no verbiage of any shall or it must be this, this or that. And there is an email just stating reaching out about that legality of the interpretation of the verbiage. And then, the last piece, when it comes to funding I have not come across any examples of federal funding being taken away from communities that have installed crosswalk art and neither has any of the other communities that I have reached out to. If we were to install crosswalk art in the proposed locations it would again be on local roadways and not federal roadways. It would be paid for the On Broadway, which is a business in Kumon District so it's not the city. So I guess I would beg to ask the question if doing something on a local roadway would matter to federal funding and federal decisions. There's a list of the different professionals that I have reached out to including other communities in Wisconsin and I reached out to some of the DPW professionals as well. And then, if you go to the very last two pages, I just thought it was convenient to see the City of Milwaukee DPW has decorative crosswalk permit specifications right on their website. And this is the application for doing these types of projects in Milwaukee so you can see the different requirements that are very, very consistent to what we are asking to do with this project as well.

- Anything else?

- [Samantha] No.

- Okay, any questions from the committee? Alderman Wery?

- No, not right now.

- Brunette?

- No, no questions at the moment.

- Director Grenier?

- To date we still haven't received any indication whatsoever of what the crosswalk art is intended for. As we identified to you last month in the item that we provided to you, federal highways indicated that any agencies knowingly violating the MUTCD risks losing federal funding for highway projects. The FHWA will not fund any projects or roadways which have non-compliant traffic control devices and the withholding of funds potentially could go further than that. That has clarified been to mean that they could remove federal funding from any projects if we knowingly violate the MUTCD even on a non-funded project. They view violation of the MUTCD as a violation whether it's on a local street or a federal highway. As stated, most recently, an official ruling 309.24 which Miss Maass also provided to you, crosswalk art is not permitted. Crosswalk art is identified in that official ruling, that's the memo that we provided to you from FHWA, no element of the aesthetic interior treatment can implement pictographs, symbols, multiple color arrangements et cetera or otherwise attempt to communicate with any roadway user. That means bicyclists, pedestrians or motorist. The intent of the crosswalk is to denote the crosswalk, nothing more, nothing less. I don't know how other communities are doing it. I don't know if they're rolling the dice. I did, after the last meeting, contact the City of Wausau to find out exactly how they were doing it. Their Public Works Director who's the Head of the Board of Public Works in Wausau had no idea that this information existed so I forwarded on the three pieces of information that we provided the committee last month. He reviewed them while we were on the phone and his immediate response to me was, "This changes things. We will probably have to convene the Board of Public Works and rescind any approvals and eradicate the existing crosswalks." They also have identified to me in the telephone conversation that the crosswalks did chip, deteriorate in the course of a year and they had all intent to remove it. So I can't speak to what others are doing. All I can speak to is my interpretation of what this means, my consultations with our Traffic Engineer, with the Law Department. MUTCD is the Bible for all traffic control devices, not only on local roads, but on state trunk highways up to federal primary highways. And, if we knowingly violate that in any way, we open ourselves up to liability both from an injury standpoint, for not following the MUTCD and we do risk federal funding. I would add it would also be helpful to know what's being proposed, that's never been discussed.

- Alderman Brunette?

- Steve are you aware of any situations where the federal government has actually withheld funding?

- I believe there were some interim or experimental projects that were authorized around the United States and in light of some civil action those experimental or interim approvals have all been rescinded and it's kind of a blanket no right now.

- [Dave] If I can add to that.

- Dave Hansen is our Traffic Engineer.

- [Dave] Dave Hansen, Traffic Engineer, Steve's right. These experiments we'll just call them, through federal highways are done through what's called IA's or Interim Approvals. And you have to actually apply to the Federal Highway Administration try these, do a trial basis of them. And some people, I would presume, probably maybe a number in this room have actually how social media's been somewhat not pushing them but popular where you'll see they look like 3D murals, it's almost like an eye trick where it looks like it's 3D. And that particular experiment took place in, I believe, New Hampshire or Maine. And that was rescinded based off of safety issues that transpired because of it. I guess the moral of the story is is that showing that is detracting from what motorists are supposed to be doing which is paying attention to the driving. And, more importantly, paying attention to pedestrians. Now, there was even an example that I saw of this And in that video, I actually couldn't believe that it was actually still in this video of a pedestrian almost getting clipped by a motorist in one of those. To me I think they're very dangerous.

- Sure and you know I respect your opinion. But I guess the underlying point that I'm trying to make is that I have a hard time believing that a federal government official would take that extreme approach without first asking us to rectify it or remove it or paint over it. For me, it's a very cynical view of the government, that they would take such a punitive measure. That like, oh, you installed this per your interpretation of the law and now, instead of going through all the other redress we're going to simply deny your funding. I think that if the government ever took that extreme approach I think the citizenry would take a very harsh judgment of that government. Not saying it's not possible, it certainly is. If I could Chair, I just want to make one more.

- You got the floor.

- We're still.

- To the question, yes. I commend you very much. This is an incredible plan to begin with and the fact that you took the time and effort to dig deeper is exactly the sort of thing that we need more people to do. Because this is obviously something that's very important to you. So what I would like to do, and I'll yield the floor, but get the opinion of our city attorney. Do you have any question for?

- You approached the city attorney's deputy. Have you had any interaction with other attorneys for other municipalities on this or is it just for strictly the City of Green Bay?

- [Samantha] As far as attorneys are concerned I've only spoken via email with the attorney from Green Bay.

- Okay, no other questions other than to say thank you.

- All right, motion to close the floor? Unless you have any more questions for the young lady.

- So moved

- Second

- Motion to close by Wery second by Johnson. Under discussion here, none. All in favor, nays, motion carried the floor is now closed. We'll discuss and make a recommendation, okay? Thank you.

- [Samantha] Shall I give you these?

- Yeah, that's fine. Who wants to lead, Alderman Brunette?

- For me I simply instead of kicking this around I'd just like to get an opinion from the city attorney. She says no, it's a no go, cautions against then to me it's a dead issue. The fact that the young lady went through this effort to push forward, I think we owe it to her at least to go that far and get an official city correspondence to the city attorney asking for an opinion. So that's what I would like to do. I'll make a motion to that effect if it can be seconded.

- Alderman Brunette's making a motion to that effect Steve.

- Second.

- Second by Wery.

- To ask the city attorney what we could do in regard to crosswalk art in the Broadway District to satisfy state and federal, local ordinances and laws.

- Okay, and there's a second. Under discussion? Alderman Wery.

- Thank you Mr. Chairman. I would also like to hear, and maybe the applicant can do this, with what the city attorney and Milwaukee has to say. Obviously they're doing it right, this is well put together. You'd get an A plus if this was a college. Very well put together. For me, there's two issues, legal and safe. I mean, if it's just something legal and we're kinda in a gray area we do it and they say don't do it we take it down. But if it is causing a safety issue and someone gets hit then you're opening yourself up to liability and you can't undo it. If a pedestrian gets hit because somebody's gawking at the pavement. So that'll be my concern, not as much the legality cause I think there's enough wiggle room there. I'm interested to hear what our attorney says. So I'm kinda split on both

- All right, all in favor, oh, Johnson?

- I will support referral to the attorney. And I understand the point about safety, and I agree, safety needs to be a priority. But there are things around us all the time that create distractions. And I would ask the question, does this one create any more of a distraction than anything else around us? And I appreciate the comment, Dave, about seeing the video once that had someone almost get clipped. I would also ask the question, how many times have you seen other intersections that don't have painted crosswalks where people get clipped? And, in fact, I think the answer to that is very obvious. And the other comment that I would make is that I do think that Sam, last month, was very clear with what her request was even though it's been said that that hasn't been clear. And, in her presentation last month, she very clearly said that we're asking for permission to do this and we will then work with staff to determine the right design. So I think she was very clear about that last month and I want the committee to just recall that point. She's not asking for a specific design she's asking for permission first before designing.

- Are you okay with getting a legal opinion?

- Yes.

- All in favor?

- [Group] Aye.

- Aye, motion carried.

- Put your glasses on.

- I had so many notes I can't even find my agenda. Okay, number five, consideration of possible action on a request from Alderman Johnson for recommendations of how to alleviate chronic flooding on South Broadway, Clinton Street, South Maple Ave, South Ashland Ave, Shawano Ave and Antoinette Street. Alderman Johnson?

- So I brought this forward because I've had a couple of residents reach out to me recently. Actually, over the past year really. Antoinette seems to consistently be under water every single time it rains. I know we've got Joe who will probably speak here about South Broadway. That problem continues to carry over onto Ashland. I know a couple rainfalls we've had this year, I mean, you can't even cross Ashland Avenue. So I guess rather than just putting this on the back burner and saying there was nothing we can do I would just like to have this open for discussion, give some of the residents there an opportunity to share some of their perspectives and then maybe get some staff's opinions on what we can do to start to alleviate this even if it's not something we can do immediately.

- Entertain a motion to open the floor for interested parties by Johnson, second by Brunette. Under discussion here, none, all in favor?

- [Group] Aye

- Nays, motion carried The floor is now open, one at a time. Please approach the bench and state your name and address for the record and we'll discuss this. Anybody?

- [Seth] Hi my name is Seth Lenss, the address I own is 416 to 424 Clinton Street. And I live at N8877 lane in Luxemburg. And do you want this? Or just hand it to Director please. Thank you.

- [Seth] My concern is it seems like the stormwater management isn't working properly. I've discussed this a few time with different people. I don't know any names it's just a call when it's flooded. But it seems like even today, if you go over there, the storms are full, up to the grates. When it rains, five minutes of rain, and it's coming out of the grates, out of the storms. It runs around my building, back to the train tracks and it gets pooled up by Broadway there, there's kind of a train track area. And the only way it kinda goes down is if they come out with a pump truck and pump it over the gate. I understand the system, we have a gravity feed to the river and if that gets full this works as a reservoir and they pump over the gate to get the water out correct?

- Kind of.

- [Seth] So the river's high, so we have water problems. The only issue that we're having, when we get rain, there's nowhere for it to go and it's gotta be forced out into the river. The pumps can't keep up from what I kinda put together. And just pumping it over the gate every time it rains isn't gonna be a solid solution to this. And my concern is, with the new shipyard, or if that goes through, we're gonna take this, put all this money on top of this system and not fix it at the same time? Then we just have more headaches. They want us to develop and put money into this but every time it rains my building's eight inches under water. And that's my concern. How am I supposed to put money into a business to help grow the community, and I support this, I think this is a good thing this shipyard and everything, but if we're not fixing what's underneath to solve the problems that we already have, that's just gonna create more headaches down the road. And I've heard multiple stories, the pumps are old, the pumps are new, if the coal piles get moved, we're gonna get a new pump, we're gonna fix this. I just keep hearing the same thing over and over again. But I'm gonna lose tenants if it keeps flooding every time it rains. So how am I supposed to keep the businesses going down there if this is an issue. And that's my concern and I appreciate what you guys do and listen to all the concerns. Any questions for me?

- Any questions from the committee for Mr. Lenss? Johnson?

- How many times has your property been under water in the last year?

- [Seth] The last year? Well, it's mainly been this year when the water levels came up. So, this year alone it's probably been about six to eight. I mean, I think I've called every time if they really want to look it up. And I asked them to state my name, they wrote down who I am and where it's going. So they actually, if they keep their records properly, and I called the emergency number. I mean last week it did it twice in one week.

- Just last week?

- [Seth] Yeah, with those two falls.

- Property damage occurring? It's still a commercial building, I mean, I've got drywall and stuff that gets wet. But we just try to air it out and keep going. What else do you do? I mean, stuff isn't getting damaged, how many it can take like this I don't know. But obviously it's damaging my property. And I still have to pay my stormwater bill. And I'm taking the stormwater out of the system going into my building.

- Any other questions, Brunette?

- How long have you owned the property?

- [Seth] Four to five years.

- So obviously the last two years have been extreme weather. Previous two to three years, probably the last two.

- [Seth] Yeah, when the river is low and the gravity feed is working it seems to be okay.

- No problems?

- [Seth] Yeah. So it's isolated by the fact that we've had extraordinary weather patterns the last few years.

- [Seth] But what I'd like to bring up is this is something that the Press Gazette used. Same thing in 2016, same issues and they were supposed to look into it and solve these problems. And I don't know if any plan was made or not. But even Steve is mentioned in that news bulletin.

- All right, thank you. Any questions for Mr. Lenss? If not, anybody else from the audience like to speak? Just approach the bench and state your name and address for the record please.

- [Joe] Joe Aerts, my wife and I own.

- Address please?

- [Joe] Our Place Family Restaurant at 519 South Broadway.

- Okay.

- [Joe] And, yes, we have water coming in our building with these heavy rains. From last year, August, to this year, August, we've flooded five times. When I say flooded it's from front door to back door, there's water all the way across the place.

- In your restaurant?

- [Joe] In the restaurant. He's on Clinton Street and a couple months ago when it was raining hard I was in the restaurant, I was just running around. We have a drain in our parking lot and there's drains on Broadway. So I'm running around watching the drains because if they start coming out we're gonna have water flooding the street and flooding our parking lot and flooding us. So I'm running around from drain to drain and actually there's too pumps, one on each side of us. So I'm watching it and the water's going down in the drains by us on Broadway and in our parking lot. So it's raining hard and I see Clinton Street flooding and that's just over the side of us. And yes, his building, it'll come up on Clinton around his building and then there's a set of railroad tracks. It goes over those tracks into a field that's between those tracks. It's like a triangle, track, track, Broadway. So the water starts filling that field which is the pump on the north side of us which is the drains on Broadway. So once that starts filling that's when the pump that's next to us can't keep handling the water and that's when we flood. But Clinton Street floods more than we do cause it floods first. That's the pump for south of us. They tell me it's a 54 inch line on the south side of us and the pump just can't hand the water I guess.

- Okay.

- [Joe] Now I said in the last year we've done it five times like that from last August to this August. And we've owned the building, my wife and I, since '92. I was there with another couple from '89 and bought them out. It happened once in '90 to us like that where it flooded all the way across. And really never that bad til last year in August. There were a few times it crept in our back door it got into the kitchen area, not all the way across that time. I know it rains. I talked to Mike Denille from

your department. I called him and then he gave me a number so whenever it rains and I see it going over the tracks I call the Department of Public Works. They're probably getting sick of hearing from me. Jeff I think is his name, he answers a lot at night and stuff and they get pumps over there. It's too late, I mean, the water's there by the time the pumps get there.

- Okay, any questions? Alderman Brunette?

- Ever had issues with the river flooding or just the storm water?

- [Joe] It's the stormwater.

- So nothing from the river even though you're right there?

- [Joe] The river level's probably high right now. Well the tracks are there, I mean, the tracks are up high. So it's never coming from the back.

- So, I notice you just spent some resources, capital, to improve it, right?

- [Joe] Yes.

- Unfortunately, sadly, is there any permanent damage?

- [Joe] I hope not. We put in new flooring and we did that in January. And hoped that it wasn't gonna flood anymore but unfortunately this year it happened three times. And we just changed the booths out this week. Cause we used to have the carpet, we tore that all out. Cause that was a little overly wet.

- Are you overall satisfied with the response of the city in regards to the flooding?

- [Joe] Well when they get there it's a lake. Each side of us has water, the street's flooded and water across the whole building. So, I don't know what can be done to get there sooner. That gentleman back there comes and helps us clean up every time pretty much. Him and his son come and run wet dry vacs with us and we mop.

- Johnson?

- So, Joe, you said five times in the last year.

- [Joe] Yeah.

- Have you had problems prior to that?

- [Joe] No, no, like I said, I was there with another couple from '89 to '92 and it happened once. Since that time in '90, never, never flooding that bad. Like I said a little bit sometimes will come in our back door and maybe get the kitchen area and stuff. But when I say across the, well the building slopes. So we'll have like five, six inches by the front door and the back is like a foot.

- Obviously I assume you're closing your restaurant then when that happens, you're losing revenue.

- [Joe] Yeah, we try to clean up that night or whenever. The pumps will get it out eventually and then we mop, run the wet dry vacs and just hook up blowers and fans at night.

- And you've been down there when it's flooded, I mean, the street's impassable.

- [Joe] Yeah.

- How long does it usually take for that to subside or be pumped out?

- [Joe] Whenever they get the pumps out a couple hours probably.

- Okay. Any other questions? All right, entertain a motion to close the floor.

- Was there anybody else?

- Oh, is there anybody else?

- [Seth] Can I add something?

- Yeah, yeah.

- [Seth] I guess one thing I'd like to find out is he talks about how fast it goes down once they add the pumps. So why can't we consider putting bigger pumps in there to solve the problem? I mean, that's the biggest thing, my biggest request. I mean, obviously there's gonna be an issue there and obviously the water's gotta go somewhere. But, everything I've heard is, well it's working properly, we're just having large amounts of rain. But if we're having this more frequently we need to install some sort of larger pumps or more pumps or something. That's the only thing I'd like to add. It does go down if they add pumps, two pump trucks, it goes down. So, are the pumps under-sized? That's kind of my concern.

- Thank you, all right.

- Motion to close the floor

- Second

- Motion to close the floor by Johnson second by Brunette. Under discussion here, all in favor? All in favor

- [Group] Aye Aye, motion carried. Floor is now closed, what's the recommendation? Alderman Johnson? I'll be looking at Steve I guess.

- That's why I provided the memo to you. South Broadway at Clinton, 500 block of South Broadway, South Maple Avenue, South Ashland Avenue and Shawano Avenue all of those will be addressed by the modifications to the two lift stations on Broadway that are part of the Capital Improvement Plan. The larger pumps are being constructed as we speak and the stations are those are projects that are approved and will be built yet this year or on into the spring of next year depending on when the

pumps are. So everything except for the Antoinette Street location, that's all being addressed by projects you've already authorized us to complete. So exactly what been asked for is what we're now doing. We have an active plan. Antoinette Street, if you look at elevations, we're on our own city you can't correlate it to national geo-identical surveys or anything else. But, for relative heights, at the intersection of Antoinette and Blesch not the sewer itself the manhole cover in the center of that intersection is at elevation 99.3 I believe. Right now, the current river level is vacillating between 99.8 and 101. So the street is almost a foot lower than the river. That's an open pipe that comes up to Howard or Hubbard. and runs straight out to the river. So as the river level comes up, that hydrostatic pressure goes backwards up the pipe and it blows out of the inlets. The river level, I was at a seminar last week where the National Weather Service was attending and Jeff Last, one of the meteorologists for the National Weather Service provided us with the data between March 1st and August 1st of this year the Fox River's three feet higher than it was on March 1st. We tied a 38 year record on Lake Michigan and we were just shy of breaking a 101 year old record for lake levels. When the river levels get that high there's not a whole lot we can do. We are building the larger pumps. We do have plans to address those two lift stations. Now one of the things that we are talking about, we're looking for a solution for the Antoinette Street line about putting a flat gate in and providing a small lift station there to keep water under low flow conditions. It can't handle the big pipe or the big storms but the intent there is to pump out the pipe to get that Antoinette or Hubbard Street line empty before the next storm. So it'd be a smaller pump that we can get the line dry. That way, when the next storm comes we've got some storage capacity in there as the pump is running in the hopes that we can dampen some of that effect. But one of the problems that we have with Antoinette Street and that area is there is a lack of available right of way for us to put that infrastructure in. We need a large control panel, an electrical control panel and things of that nature, and we've got tight conditions out there to start with. So, I mean, we're actively working on Antoinette as well.

- Okay, so, the reason I lump these all together Steve is because I know that the old slough kind of runs through that area and I didn't know if the problem was all kind of connected to a similar condition there. I mean, I appreciate your update on the pumps, which sounds like we just need to get those live. Hopefully that will resolve some of the challenges we have with the folks that spoke today. Do you have an estimates time frame of when those will go live?

- We haven't received them yet. It takes eight months for them to actually build the pump. Those were ordered in the spring.

- So will we install them as soon as they're received?

- As soon as we get them, yeah.

- Would that be in the winter months, does that matter?

- If we have to do it in the winter we'll do it in the winter. We understand the criticality of these flooding areas.

- Okay, so what can we do in terms of in the interim with a response time or, is there anything we can do in terms of a response to more quickly I guess pump this out before it's flooding.

- We're doing it, we're doing it.

- Can't bring a bigger truck out, I mean again, I'm not an engineer so forgive me if I sound stupid.
- We're throwing everything that we have at these areas right now.
- Okay, so there's nothing we can do to pump this water out of faster or, in the case of Antoinette, I know one of the residents called me over there that they sat under water for several days they said. I mean, is that customary? In terms of leaving it underwater?
- Antoinette is gonna be like a circle. I can bring every pump known to mankind in there and as fast as I pump it and put it in the river it flows backwards up the pipe and comes out of the inlets and I'm trying to de-water the Fox River at that point.
- Can't put a backstop or something or something?
- I can't dig down and get into the backstop because I'm four feet under water. I gotta be able to get down there and see the pipe and we gotta be able to work in dry conditions and we can't cause as soon as you dig the trench to go down to the pipe you're under water, you're under the river level. I would love to be able to tell you there's magic ways of doing this but.
- I'm not looking for magic ways, I'm just looking for a way.
- I understand.
- I mean if my house was under water for two days, three days, I'd be noticeably upset as well. So I'm just trying to deliver some type of solution for these residents. And it sounds like, I mean, you said that there's an electric panel that you're working on to be able to put in a different style of pump over there? There is no pump on Antoinette right now.
- Oh, there's not, okay.
- No, it's just an open gravity feed system that goes to the Fox River. With the height of the Fox River, the river level runs anywhere from about a half a foot to upwards of a foot, foot and a half. The river is actually higher than the street. Ignore the storm sewer pipe that's four feet below ground. If there was no storm sewer pipe that street would still have water in the gutters because the river level is higher than the street.
- Can we put a reservoir in somewhere? I mean, I thought at one time we were even talking about a reservoir over in Seymour Park area to help with that location.
- That wouldn't help Antoinette.
- I know it wouldn't help Antoinette but in the spirit of talking about all these combined together is that a useful strategy? So, specifically, right when I'm looking at Maple Street, Clinton, Broadway, would a reservoir be useful over there?
- No, what's gonna be useful over there is getting the lift stations completed.

- And you think those lift stations will solve the challenges that we have there, completely?
- That's exactly what we expect.
- Okay. And I know the floor's closed but it sounds like the response time has been good when Joe calls but there's still that waiting period, right? I mean, you gotta call, you gotta get someone over there. Is there anything we can do to maybe be a little bit more proactive when we know a heavy rainfall is coming in? Automatically dispatch someone over there?
- Again, we've only got so much equipment and so many people and there are more known high water areas than there are staff available to handle it. In addition to these areas we've got areas on the east side that also go under water.
- Yeah, don't pull crews from the east side darn it, keep them right there.
- And I appreciate Steve, I mean, you guys are working on it and I know you're not ignoring it and neglecting it. I think that's just where I go back to say how can we, as a council, help you I guess get to a solution. I mean, is it a resource problem, is it a staffing problem. I mean, what is it you need from the council I guess to help deliver some relief for these areas that are chronically being flooded? It's not a function of what the council can give us. This is a function of what we're physically faced with. This is a function of high water. This is a function of how the storms are coming now. This is not something that we're saying. This is accepted meteorological data. This is happening all over the United States and people are finally starting to talk about it. The nature of precipitation has changed and our systems were never designed to accommodate this. So I don't know that it's necessarily something that the council can give us that's gonna help.
- Are we talking about any type of, I guess, alteration to our strategy with new infrastructure recognizing that if things have changed we're getting more of the hundred year rainfalls. I mean, is that something we're trying to respond to?
- That is not something we've addressed, no.
- Okay. All right, I guess since this is my item unless somebody else has anything to say I'm gonna, what I'm gonna do is I think. I'm gonna refer this back to staff. I think I would move that we refer back to staff with the understanding that we've got pumps that are coming, lift stations that are coming. Actually I make a motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor for interested parties by Johnson second by Brunette under discussion, here now all in favor
- [Group] Aye.
- Nays, motion carried. The floor is now open just raise your hand, state your name and address for the record and I will address you.
- [Seth] Seth Lenss.
- Yes Mr. Lenss?

- [Seth] What I would like to see is some kind of push to get a date. I mean, they manufacture these, they know how long it takes to manufacture them, they know how long it takes to install them, let's actually push to get a date on them so it doesn't just go away kind of like the letter in '16. They were supposed to have a plan, they were supposed to fix these issues, I don't know if they did but they're still here. So I think we should at least get some kind of date put on this and when this is gonna get fixed. Rather than just saying we don't know, they're getting manufactured, they know how long it takes to make these machines. I mean that's what they get paid for, the company that it's coming from. So that's the one thing I'd like to ask. Some kind of date so we're not waiting forever for it to happen, thank you.

- Thank you, anybody else from the audience? Yes, sir, state your name and address for the record please.

- [Rob] Rob Calvin 165 Main Street. I think the response time, instead of waiting for the storm to actually be here and it does it's damage and then send the pump trucks, it's too late. We need to focus on, okay, it's really raining. Doesn't take much to get the flow going. Let's get people here faster. Have the pump trucks ready as it's coming in instead of having it come over the tracks, fill the field, fill Clinton, flood the restaurant then the pump trucks show up. It's way too late, the damage is already done.

- Okay, thank you.

- [Rob] You're welcome.

- Anyone else from the audience? If not I entertain a motion to close the floor.

- Motion

- Second

- Motion by Johnson second by Wery under discussion here now all in favor?

- [Group] Aye. Nays, motion carried, the floor is all closed. What are the wishes of the committee?

- I'm willing to refer to staff and, in fact, I was gonna actually hit those two points so I'm glad you guys came up. My motion's gonna have a couple parts to it. One is, if we could, come back at next month's INS meeting with an estimated date of installation for the pumps assuming Steve that you can reach out to the manufacturers and have that conversation. Second part is that I'm going to urge staff to consider how we can more proactively respond when we see a heavy rainfall coming in. If we know that they're gonna go up there right away. I appreciate the point about we don't have enough staff but, if we know we're gonna send them there, let's just send them an hour earlier. And then, my third point, is if we can come back with some possible solutions with Antoinette in terms of where we could install a utility boxes or lift stations to help remediate some of that flooding that's over there since it sounds like staff's already working on it. Just looking for an update so that it shows up in the agenda next month.

- There is a motion on the floor to that effect, Steve do you have that?

- Yes, I do.
- Is there a second
- Second Alderman Wery under discussion.
- Yeah, I think that hits all the main points.
- Well one of my concerns Steve is that if we have crews on the west side who's helping me over on the east side?
- Meh, your item's next. There's three west siders on the committee.
- Is that an issue?
- Sorry
- You pick.
- No, I'm serious, would that be an issue?
- I'm serious too, you pick.
- Okay.
- You guys tell me where you want them.
- East side, Schwartz, Amy and Mason, that's in the motion.
- No, I'm serious, if you want.
- No I am serious.
- If you want me proactively staging the guys then somebody needs to make a decision where. And if we stage them west and the storm hits east instead and I've got guys sitting over there at the west side waiting for those things to flood and we wind up with a tornado on the east side instead.
- Like we just did, right?
- Okay, or if I stage them west and the East River goes under water like it did in March, somebody's gotta pick. That's why we wait until we get the call. When the call come that's where we know we need to go cause there's an immediate problem, so we respond to it. If you want me to be proactive you tell me where I'm going.
- All right, I'll support the motion and then we can discuss it, okay?
- Sounds fair enough. All in favor

- [Group] Aye
- Nays, motion, carried. Your issues coming up later Mark.
- [Mark] I know, I was gonna ask something.
- Sorry?
- [Mark] I had a question for Director Grenier.
- Okay.
- [Mark] I just wanted to ask, Steve, you mentioned Antoinette's a little bit under the datum there. What other roads, is there a number of roads in Green Bay like that that are under that river level?
- We haven't gone out looking for that.
- [Mark] No, but I'm just saying there may be some others.
- There may be.
- Mark that would be a late communication. Moving forward, number six, consideration of possible action requested by Alderman Nicholson for status update on plans to address chronic flooding in the vicinity of Main and East Mesa Street. Director Grenier?
- Okay, we had our consultant run preliminary hydraulic and hydrological models for the existing conditions due to quality control as we identified last month. We've done some tweaks there. We have taken a look at a variety of different options for addressing flooding in that area and the short answer is one thing we looked at was the East Town Mall area to put an underground detention basin in there. A preliminary cost on detention basin under the parking lot of the East Town Mall would essentially take a, now bear in mind when we're thinking of East Town I need to be clear because otherwise we're gonna get caught in the scope of things. Kohl's is separate from the East Town Mall. So the parking lot in front of Kohl's is not part of the site. We looked at the mall parking lot, just to be clear. We're looking at a detention basin that goes under the entire mall parking lot. Based off our experience with the detention basin under Lambeau Field preliminary cost for that detention basin is in the neighborhood of about 20 million dollars. That would cause a reduction of, by putting that detention basin in there, the low spot is actually in Main Street, kind of in front of the Dollar Store.
- Right.
- Putting that detention basin in at the cost of about 20 million dollars will, during a flood event, that low spot floods approximately three feet. That would reduce that water level by 3 1/4 inches. So there'd still be almost 33 inches of water in the street. We also took a look at up-sizing the pipe that goes under the Pick 'n Save parking lot, that's when they first put in pipe. Preliminary cost there is about two million dollars. And, by up-sizing that pipe we could reduce the water by eight inches out of three feet. The last scenario that we took a look at was in Gagnon Park, the Razz-Ma-Tazz Tavern is currently for sale. So knocking that down and putting a detention pond at Gagnon Park, knocking

Razz-Ma-Tazz down and putting a pond there. And then, on that triangular property that's bounded by Lime Kiln, Main and Mesa north of Lindsley's Greenhouse there is one vacant parcel there that's owned by Schlise family. We looked at putting a pond in on the Schlise property as well. Total cost to do that work for those three ponds is about three million dollars and we could gain 13 inches. So that would be a total of 13 inches. So by spending 25 million dollars in that location we would reduce the water flooding from three feet of flood to two feet of standing water in the road. We have found some other things. Out there there is what's called a weir. What that does it it's an intended obstruction in the pipe to force the water to come up and over. A lot of times they're used either for flow monitoring or to get solids out. So if that storm water is carrying cans or bottles or something heavy because it's an 84 inch diameter pipe, that wall let's the water go over and it keeps the solids behind it. It does have the effect of slowing the water down by restricting it. So we are looking at going ahead and ripping that obstruction out to let the water get down to the Mill Street pond in a faster manner. One other thing that we did take a look at was Main Street, between East Mason and Lime Kiln. There's a very long stretch of road that doesn't have inlets so it's hard for that water to get off the road, down into the storm sewer system. So we took a look at that and said okay, that's easy. Let's go ahead and take a look at getting more inlets popped in there. The problem is, with the amount of water that's out there, if we add the inlets when we get to the low point that forces more water into the pipe to that low point, it's actually gonna come shooting out the inlets at the low point. So we'd actually be worsening the condition for the street pond. So, we're giving an update on what we're looking at. And we're continuing to look at other solutions cause the ones that we've come up with so far looks like a lot of capital outlay for not a lot of benefit.

- You just mentioned something about, you were taking about the Razz-Ma-Tazz
- Yup.
- And Schlise
- And the park.
- And the park?
- And the park, all three at once.
- Okay, all three of them with reservoirs?
- Yes.
- Okay, and you said it was how much?
- About three million.
- Okay.
- And we could drop the water about 11 inches that way. Okay, is that significant, 11 inches?
- Out of three feet it's about 1/3.

- Okay, I mean, it seems like, I don't know, what's your opinion Steve?
- It's a help, yeah.
- I think it would make a difference, wouldn't it?
- It would make some difference. It would lessen the flooding, absolutely.
- How?
- So we are looking for some direction. My personal opinion, 20 million dollars for a storage tank that's gonna drop the water 3 1/4 inches.
- No, no, no, no, no, let's take that off the table that's ridiculous. What's the committee?
- The second one that we talked about with up-sizing the pipe I do think that one has some merit to it. That's got about a two million dollar price tag and then three million dollars for the ponds. I think what we, my opinion, I think we should be directing our consultant to go from concept design, narrow it down and actually start going into a more refined engineering approach to it as opposed to looking at a model.
- I agree, what's the opinion of the committee? Johnson?
- Do you see any solutions at all right now to get it from three feet to zero?
- Well, we did come up with one, and I will say it's not a good solution. We took a look at where everything drains and ultimately it comes out, and I don't know how familiar with that part of the east side you are but, just to the east of Elizabeth Street on Main. So directly across from the Riverside Ballroom. Schreiber Foods has a plant there, a cheese plant. Just north of that plant is where all of that water eventually discharges to Baird Creek. We figured at the end of pipe exactly how much water do we need to get out of that pipe. And it comes out to 900 cubic feet per second. Okay, what does that mean? That means 6,750 gallons per second. So if you're familiar with a septic hauler, a potty pumper truck, that's about a 6,000 gallon tank. That has to come out of the end of the pipe every second in order to alleviate the flooding. By way of comparison, at Clinton and Broadway, the pump that's gonna go in there, the replacement pump, is a 100 CFS and that's a monster. So we're looking at something nine times larger than that at the end of pipe. And then we're gonna discharge that into Baird Creek which then flows south, goes around and buttonhooks around the Riverside Ballroom. At 900 CFS you're probably gonna triple the base flow on that water body, we're gonna erode everything. We're gonna cause that stream to realign itself if we were to pump that fast. So we took a look at extreme conditions just to say that we looked at it.
- So is this kind of like a final report?
- No, no, no, no what we were looking at is some direction from the council or from the committee that it's our opinion that storage tank under East Town Mall's kind of off the table now. It's just too much money though bang for the buck. But the other two may hold some merit. Do you want us to spend the money and continue looking at these to see can we refine that a little bit more?

- The one concern, I think, that I would have is that if we say yeah we're gonna spend three million dollars to lower it a foot we still don't have the problem solved.

- You're right.

- And then we come back with the phase two that renders that three million dollars useless because phase two it's what's needed now. I desperately want to help the situation too but I'm just wondering about how much time you might need to come up with a solution that gets us to zero instead of just minus one.

- Again, I don't know that we can get to zero. The biggest problem we have, and this is something that we identified in the report that we gave to you first of last year and the again earlier this year, the area has developed well beyond what any plans ever called for. So there is so much more impervious surface out there that I don't know that we can build the pipes big enough at this point. Not the way it's connected. If we were to essentially take everything from Alpine Drive down to Mason, or Alpine Drive down to Main and then Main down to Elizabeth and also go out in Imperial Lane and run Imperial Lane up to Mason then Mason probably up to the East River. If we were to abandon all of that existing storm sewer and reconnect in the smaller areas that could more easily be pumped maybe. But that would be a quarter of the city? To throw out all that existing infrastructure and then replace it with new up-sized infrastructure and then we'd be looking at lift stations that would work.

- Just one final question. When you say move it from concept right now into more of an engineering design standard I mean, what kind of price tag is that looking like?

- Oh, we're probably in the 15 to 25 thousand dollar range for the engineering studies.

- Would the engineering studies potentially expose anything that maybe as staff you haven't identified yet? Could they potentially say hey, here's an alternative solution?

- I don't know that they're gonna uncover something like that. It's going to be more or less proceeding along the lines that we've already identified working with the consultant but trying to narrow down exactly what the impact is going to be and a better idea of what the price tag is. On a concept level we're kind of on a magnitude of these costs right now.

- Thank you.

- Anybody else? Okay Steve, Alderman Wery.

- I like what you brought forward as something we can just chew on and it was a great job. I like the three ponds and the up-sized pipes. Obviously the storage under East Town Mall isn't gonna do much. Do we have money set aside already or some place that we didn't utilize it that we can shift over? Do you need money allocated to this?

- Well, again, the studies are gonna take some time as well. So, depending on what we find here, this is something we would like to start building in the future storm water projects.

- So you don't need approval for a kind of funding right now, you just need some direction.

- We need some direction, and then depending on how, we're gonna go back to the consultant and ask them to okay, refine your scope of services and then tell us what that's going to cost. If the engineering services contract for this next phase of design comes back more than 25,000 then we'll be coming back to ask for the authorization for that money.

- Speed ahead, let's get going.

- All right, make a motion Chris.

- Well what would be a good appropriate motion? To contract to approve

- Direct staff.

- I think it would probably be to direct staff to work on solutions with the ponds and the up-sized pipe.

- Right.

- Those are the two that you mentioned were most...

- Yes

- feasible

- I think it's common sense, don't you? Don't you think that would be the best way to go?

- Yeah, we do.

- That's what I thought. And you're right Steve, they overbuilt that area. We didn't see what was coming. That's the problem that we have. You can see it, even Gary Cretia told me about that. But whatever, that's the motion by Wvery second by

- Second

- Johnson. Our discussion here none, all in favor? Nays, motion carried, thank you. Number seven, consideration or possible action on a request by Alderman Nicholson to address surface water, drainage issues at 1661, 1163 Elkay Lane. The reason why I brought this forward I was contacted by a Mr. Haverkorn and a Mr. Kroll. Steve I requested, I also requested Peter Scogg to be here. Heckenlaible and another gentleman by the name of Ryan...

- Right

- Okay, are any of them here?

- I don't know where Scogg is. Matt couldn't be here today.

- Okay, Matt told me he didn't know if he was gonna be able to make it or not. But, was I supposed to contact these guys?
- No.
- Okay, was Peter Scogg addressed, please show up?
- To be honest with you, I'm not sure.
- All right, what about Ryan?
- That one's probably on me.
- Okay, all right, well let's move forward. Mr. Kroll can you approach?
- Motion to open the floor.
- Second. Yes, approach the bench we're gonna open up the floor by Mr. Johnson making a motion, second by Wery under discussion. Here now all in favor?
- [Group] Aye. The floor is now open just raise your hand and I'll address you. Just state your name and address for the record please.
- [Jeremy] Jeremy Kroll, 2746 Glendale Avenue, Green Bay 54392. And the issue we were having here was with the storm water coming up to the back of the property. We're trying to get hooked onto the back to alleviate the problem cause I just built a new property there, a new duplex, and we got water buildup and I had contacted quite a few people.
- The three people that I mentioned basically.
- Yeah, and they're like well it's your responsibility to run all this stuff. And I just don't see how, as a private citizen, I would be responsible for draining the storm water from a street that my building's not even on.
- You stated to me Mr. Kroll that one of the individuals said there was an ordinance and it's up to the property owner.
- Yup, and I stated I wanted to see the paperwork that says that I'm responsible for that and I haven't received anything or a response back or nothing, crickets.
- Well, Steve I got a question. When I talked to one of the three gentlemen they said there was an ordinance that the property owner is responsible for the hook-up. Now, is that true? This is why I wanted all three gentlemen here so we could just wrap up all the information that's provided so we could try to solve the problem.
- I don't know that there's necessarily an ordinance. I know there definitely is paperwork in the file which is a little confusing to me why inspection isn't on the same page as DPW on this. But there is memo in the file from Tom Lesbrents who used to be with the Inspection Division in 2006.

- Right, I remember Tom.
- This memo will try to address the concerns of neighbors surrounding the drainage of Irene. Because of the recent construction of the above addresses, and the addresses are Irene Street, 165557, 166163, 166567 and 166971 Elkay Lane. All of those properties are on Elkay. Has been building up on the pavement instead of draining out to the property which will eventually flow overland to Elkay. This goes back to when that portion of Irene Street was vacated.
- Correct
- Down to Elkay As result of the new construction the site was filled to make the property more usable for the new owners/occupants. This filling has the effect of blocking the surface water from reaching the inlets on Elkay lane. Because the storm sewer on Debra, Debra is approximately a half block to the north, and the storm sewer runs east-west on Debra it does not come south on Irene, because the storm sewer on Debra is too shallow to extend an inlet to the end of Irene the project developer was required to install a private storm sewer system on the property. And this is what that private storm sewer system that the developer was required to install looks like. The system serves to replace the naturally occurring drainage across the construction site collecting the build up of surface water on Irene and draining the water underground, around the new buildings, into the storm sewer on Elkay. During construction, however, erosion control measures may limit the amount of water entering the new catch basin inlets. This causes the amount of water to build up on the low end of Irene. The buildup should be eliminated when the construction is completely stabilized and erosion control measures have been removed. What that means is the fabric that gets put in to stop dirt from getting in while construction's going on has a tendency to limit how much water can get into the inlets and once the site was green with grass again and that filter fabric could be removed and the inlets would function completely as proper. I've attached a copy of storm water construction plans showing the new storm system and the connection to the Elkay storm sort. Then, with the plat for that property, then the plat also speaks that all sides and rear lot lines of each lot shall be graded by the property owner and maintained by the aboving property owner to provide the adequate drainage of surface water. So I'm not sure what somebody's talking about relative to an ordinance but I wasn't involved in those conversations.
- Right, that's why I wanted all three parties here.
- Again, this was all disclosed to the original developers up there and I believe those develop B and C properties. Now, this property has changed hands to a couple of people since that time, and if those property owners didn't disclose that I can see where the new property owner may not have been advised that that was their responsibility.
- Correct, but wasn't an inspection supposed to be?
- Inspection was the one that drafted the memo on it.
- Right.
- So I'm a little confused as to why they would say that it's the city.

- That's why I wanted the individual here. So we could just clear it up, that was my request. Go ahead Mr. Kroll.

- [Jeremy] I was also told that all this was here already. Like all these drains were supposed to be here on the property. I was told that by the engineer and...

- Inspection?

- I don't know who else I was talking to right off hand.

- He's correct, they were supposed to be installed.

- Who enforces that then?

- Because it's on a private property site at the time that would've been Inspection Department.

- Okay. I mean I see an issue here, how do we rectify it?

- That's a very good question.

- Right.

- [Jeremy] And the thing is, I can be compliant and run all these but the issue is still there. I mean, we could run to what, the front? And then we would still be compliant. But then the problem still exists.

- Do you have any questions for Mr. Kroll?

- Not right now.

- Steve?

- You said the problem still, what?

- [Jeremy] Well the water issue back there because the water has no place to go unless there's drainage put in there.

- The water coming south of off of...

- [Jeremy] Off of Irene.

- Off of Irene, okay. And that's exactly what was discussed in that memo from 2006 because Irene slopes down that way and there's no way to get a storm sewer in there. The original attempt before Irene was vacated that all should have drained down to Elkay and it would've been picked up by the storm sewer on Elkay. In order to make that piece of property develop-able instead of street. It was always understood that the development was why the street got vacated. So because that was blocking the flow of the water then that development would have to have the inlets in the back to pick that water up and bring it across.

- Discussion.

- I guess what I don't understand is why there wouldn't be storm drains on the street itself. I mean, isn't that like, normal?

- Again, they wouldn't have been there, it would have been down near Elkay. The water would've flowed in the gutter until it got down to Elkay and then dropped in at the sewer there. Makes sense?

- Yeah, yeah.

- And, because a developer requested to no longer have the street there then it becomes the developer's responsibility to manage that water. If it was the city's idea, the city would've kept the street there and then the water would've went down to Elkay and dropped into the sewers.

- [Jeremy] Wasn't it the city who owned the property and sold it originally?

- B and C Properties, yes.

- [Jeremy] So wouldn't it have been their issue then before they sold the property?

- Before they sold the property they would have. As a condition of the sale it became the owner's problem.

- [Jeremy] Well that's what I'm saying, I was never disclosed any of that.

- And I can't tell you how many residents I talk to that are in your boat on a variety of issues. Everything from surface water, storm water drainage to sidewalks, to driveway opening to special assessments.

- Do you have anything else because Mr. Lenss probably wants to put some input in that?

- [Jeremy] That's all I have I guess.

- Okay, you can always come back. Mr. Lenss? State your name and address for the record please.

- [Seth] Seth Lenss

- Oh, we already have it.

- [Seth] Coming from the builder's side of this, we have run into this situation before. And you can go back to the title company and to the person you brought the lot from because if it was supposed to be there that's the title company's responsibility to make sure that's in place for you. So there's always somebody else to lean back on because obviously you just bought the lot and built a home.

- [Jeremy] Yeah, right.

- [Seth] And we had a similar situation with Tom Scott where the storm ladder was never put in and it was supposed to be. So there's a lot of leg work behind it but it usually falls back on whoever

developed the land. I mean, the title company should've figured that out for you. That's kind of their responsibility.

- Who's the title company?

- [Jeremy] Um, it would've been Bay Title I believe.

- Bay Title?

- [Seth] So you can go back and check records with them and bring this up to them and they might have a solution for you too. If that's the case, if the developer was supposed to put it in and it got missed somehow. That's just what I've run into before.

- Yes, sir?

- [Jonah] Jonah Lenss, Seth's brother. My address is 781 Oakwood Beach Road, Luxemburg. I'd like to just make a general comment because there are so many problems with water run-off.

- Just one second, I'm not gonna allow any general comments. This is basically strictly the issue of the Elkay Lane.

- [Jonah] Okay, so you don't want to hear what I feel like the city should probably change to address enormous of water issues?

- Okay, I'll give leeway.

- [Jonah] I think that for the 20 years that I've been involved in repairing buildings in the City of Green Bay, particularly in older neighborhoods, that their main concern almost every time is that everything gets paved. Any area that a car could possibly park on is paved. Then we have this problem with all this water that we can't deal with and nobody ever looks at the idea that the city's still banging up landlords and whoever about paving everything. Sometimes stuff should be gravel or grass to slow some water down. That's probably the council's job to say Inspection needs to back off of this paving idea cause they want everything paved. I mean, a friend of mine just got a letter in the mail the other day saying you pave the driveway that's been gravel for 80 something years. That only increases the problem.

- Okay.

- [Jonah] And I know it doesn't affect this situation on Elkay Lane but all of these problems are water run off.

- Okay.

- [Jonah] You were just talking about too much water down on Main Street and that's directly from pavement.

- All right, thank you. Back to the issue of Elkay Lane. If inspection is, they should be following up on this, right or am I wrong?

- I would agree with you and I'm going to be having a conversation about this. We did expect Matt was gonna be here tonight, and again.

- Well, even Scott or the other gentleman too.

- So it's been over the last day we've been feeling Matt wasn't gonna be able to make it here that he's been giving me briefings. I mean I know about this issue but not to the level of detail that I did 24 hours ago. And one of the things that we are gonna be doing is following up with Inspection to find out why are they continuing to say that's it's something other than what it is.

- Okay, all right. Do you have any other?

- [Jeremy] Anything to say other than it's taking forever to get taken care of.

- Well, I mean, does this help? What else are you looking for Mr. Kroll?

- [Jeremy] I guess it's just the cost really. I already got 18,000 into the front of the house bringing in the sewer and water in there. Now it's another 12,000 for the back. To me that renders the property basically worth zero if that's the case. But it's still being taxed at a certain rate. Like the other two lots I own next to it all of them are taxed the same but if nothing's done on this one how can this still be taxed the same as the other two?

- Any other questions? Do you have any questions committee? All right, motion to close the floor?

- So motioned

- Second

- Motion to close the floor by Johnson second by Brunette. All in favor?

- [Group] Aye

- Nays, motion carried. Okay, what are the wishes of committee? I guess this is my communication, what I would like to see is some explanation from our Inspection Department on the memo. I mean this should've been all taken care of years ago. Am I correct, Steve?

- Yeah, based on what I know of this.

- Based on what we know.

- This was identified back in 2006 that this needed to be installed. It looks like up until very recently the property did not have a building on it.

- Correct.

- And that could be what happened was until the developer who originally had the property put the building on it may not have been needed. The water was ponding up against the back but it was a no harm no foul.
- Right.
- And, now that there's a building there, now it's causing a problem, and if the developer was planning on installing that drainage system when the building went up because until that time it wasn't needed. And then that just wasn't turned over or disclosed or what have you to the new owners. At the very least, something should have been disclosed that this was needed.
- Right.
- That there was a drainage issue that needed to be resolved.
- So where do we go from here Steve? I've never gone through this before.
- Nor have I.
- I know, so what do we do? Something needs to be done. Do you need time for the next meeting?
- I was gonna say if you want to hold it we can report back on discussions we've had with Inspection Department.
- Would that be okay with you two gentlemen?
- [Jeremy] When's the next one?
- It's a month from now.
- It'll be a month.
- I believe September 11th.
- [Jeremy] The thing is I have renters moving in on the first and I can't put driveways there until that's figured out. Now we're getting to late spring and I don't wanna be pouring new concrete in November.
- I also don't wanna give you expectations that we can't meet. We may be able to determine where a communication breakdown happened but, at the end of the day, going all the way back to 2006 when the vacation went through it was always identified, it was always anticipated that the private property owner was gonna be responsible to handle that drainage. I don't know necessarily if that's going to change. I don't know that the city's gonna come up and say the prior developer didn't put it in so we're gonna go ahead and do that. I really don't think that's gonna happen. I think it's more likely a situation that we could potentially find out why you weren't informed of it but it doesn't change the fact that either the guy before you or you is responsible for it. And, if it was the guy before you was responsible and didn't, what Mr. Lenss identified might be an avenue. That may be something you

want to take a look at already. But what we're talking about, if that's what the committee wants, I'm definitely happy to dig further into this and try to figure out what went sideways.

- Yes, I would love, we gotta know what's going on so we can stop it. I mean, it's gotta be taken care of Steve. Mark, did you have a comment?

- [Mark] Yeah, thank you sir. Because of the time on this and some of the time concerns that were brought up by the owner what is the feasibility of having a special meeting?

- That's what I was thinking and I was gonna brief the committee on that.

- [Mark] I think that would be appropriate.

- Okay, Steve, what about next week? To find out?

- We can definitely give that a shot.

- Would that be okay with the developers? Basically you'd be the only one on the agenda. See what we can do so you won't be waiting here two, three hours through parks and per and finance.

- [Jeremy] That's good.

- Okay, any questions from the committee?

- No, that sounds good. I think in the meantime though can we take the advice of contacting your title company?

- [Jeremy] Yeah, we can.

- If you can find out and just let us know what's going on with that.

- [Jeremy] Yeah, like I said, I'll work on that too.

- Thank you. Alderman Wery you want to make a motion to that effect? And we'll set a date with our director. What we can do as the committee and we'll contact Mr. Kroll. Can you leave your phone number with staff before you leave today?

- [Jeremy] It's on my slip there.

- To be determined.

- Motion to hold this item for special meeting date to be determined.

- Motion by Wery second by...

- Second

- Brunette Under discussion here, none, all if favor?

- [Group] Aye

- Nays, motion carried Okay, let's move it forward. We are up to eight, consideration or possible action on the request by Alderman Nicholson to address pavement ponding issues at 2405 Hampton Avenue. I was approached by Mrs. Landry, Mrs. Cathy Landry about the issue at 2405 Hampton Avenue. Was that about a year and a half ago when we had Jamie out there and basically he said the city can't do anything? So, before we get into anymore discussion, Cathy can you approach the bench and just state your name and address for the record when we open up the floor for you. There's a motion by Johnson second by Wery to one up the floor for interested parties. All in favor

- [Group] Aye Nays, motion carried. Okay, the floor is now open state your name and address for the record and I will address you.

- [Cathy] Cathy Landry, 2405 Hampton Avenue, Green Bay Wisconsin, 54311. This has been going on a lot longer for me. In fact it was, my husband passed away five years ago, and he said, "Cathy nobody's gonna do anything about this." and I said, "Well watch me." He's gone and I'm still here fighting. So I don't have great big pictures but these are recent. I have summer and winter and my problem is trivial with all the flooding and everything else. Mine is curb and gutter, right in front of my house, in front of my mailbox and, if you look at the pictures, when it floods it floods. Then I've got mosquitoes and I've got everything else. It doesn't drain, doesn't go anywhere because the curb and gutter wasn't installed properly way back in 2007.

- With the size of mosquitoes it's also a safety factor.

- Winter, ice, I can't even get to my mailbox. So that's why I'm here now to get something done before winter. Before, I'm 72, if I fall and break my hip and it isn't a threat it's a possibility. So I don't want any of that to happen.

- Do you have anything else?

- Well, fix it!

- Any questions from the committee before I have Director Grenier? Steve?

- [Cathy] And he was out there, he was with Jamie, I'll sit down.

- Give your scenario.

- On resurfacing streets it's not uncommon that we wind up with small sections of curb and gutter that have minor ponding on it. Offhand I can't tell you what the criteria is. I believe it's 3/4 of an inch of water that has to be retained. So a puddle of about 3/4 of an inch or greater will be criteria for replacement. Jamie is not the only one, we've had other paving technicians out there prior to Jamie Sannar. And this is one of those areas that doesn't meet that criteria. A water puddle, it is present, it does dry up on it's own in warm weather conditions and in the winter it does cause icing. But again, there's a criteria and it doesn't meet the criteria.

- I've got a suggestion. I want to throw it by you. You know how they took care of Edgewood and East Mason Street? They put asphalt on there I believe and rubber tar. Chris, I've seen it on Edgewood and Mason Street and Mason Street by Main Street. I'm guessing they're putting tar and heating it up and it's sealing the larger cracks.

- Yeah.

- Can we just do that and take care of this little problem? It won't bond in the gutter. We may wind up forcing the puddle three feet further in a different direction.

- [Cathy] That'd be fine.

- Can we try it? Cause we don't know what might happen. Can we try it, it's not a large area?

- Do you understand what he's talking about?

- [Chris] Uh uh. This squeegee of mastic in the flow line of the gutter.

- [Chris] Yeah, I was just looking over the street view of that. I vaguely recall being out there myself too.

- [Cathy] Yes, yes.

- [Chris] And I think part of it is that the grade on the, if I'm recalling correctly, the grade is so gradual.

- Yeah, Hampton's dead flat.

- These are the pictures.

- [Chris] Right, yeah, and I've been out there. It's been some years since I have. When I was back in Engineering Division. But yeah, I recall DPW trying to do something.

- [Cathy] Nothing's been done.

- No, I don't think we could because facing the house we were trying to get the water to push, what grade is there I believe the water would be moving west. The flow I believe it to the west and if we mudjack it to get that to happen we're gonna create an offset and instead of the water ponding in front of the mailbox it's gonna pond right directly in front of the driveway.

- [Cathy] It does anyhow.

- Mark, do you got a comment?

- [Mark] Well it might have been answered a little bit already but I think part of it is your gutter you have water flowing. To put something on it to try to seal it I think with the flow of water and that I think it would cause a problem trying to set something.

- Did you see the pictures?
- [Mark] I have not yet.
- Okay, I suggest you look at the pictures first and take a look at it, it's not a big area.
- [Cathy] Again, it's trivial, and I said that but it's there.
- Right. I don't, what are the cons, are we still in open session?
- Yes.
- Okay, is there any other comments for Mrs. Landry? If not I'll close the floor.
- [Cathy] And I've gone back to Ms. Now since Brett died.
- All right, Ms.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Second.
- Motion to close the floor by Johnson second by Wery. Under discussion, here none, all in favor? I'm just throwing ideas out trying to fix it that's all committee. So, I mean, do you have any suggestions?
- Sure, Mr, Chairman. Are we looking to eliminate the water or move it away.
- Just move it away from the front, I don't know, what is it that long.
- So that suggestion sounds like it might work.
- I don't know, trying to throw ideas. Trying to fix it.
- [Cathy] The sewer is way down at the end of the block.
- Chris, would be, if we move forward on this, can we have you come out there and take a look at it and have you make a recommendation?
- [Chris] We can take a, yeah, Operations Division.
- And give the recommendation to Steve and you know.
- [Chris] Yeah, like a kind of a slurry seal if you want to do something with the.
- Work with Reese from Sewers.
- Chris's nickname's miracle man so.

- So you want to refer to staff for study? Refer to staff to try to find a solution?
- Well, yes, and Steve it doesn't have to be a big project. Thousand of dollars but I'm just trying to get a quick fix just to seal it and get the water out of the way.
- [Cathy] I've even been offered to have it done by a private contractor but they said I couldn't do it because it's city.
- Right.
- [Cathy] City property.
- I mean that would be my recommendation to you Steve. Will that work?
- Yeah again, as Chris indicated, because the street is so flat, doesn't have a lot of drainage one of the things we're always concerned with is anything that we do could actually make things a whole lot worse.
- But the area I see is this long. I don't know how that's gonna make it, I don't see it, but I tell you what. I'll make a motion to refer this to staff with a study and Chris and me, sometime you and me will go out there and just take a look at it.
- [Chris] I'll give you a call.
- Could you? You want me to call you?
- [Chris] No, I'll give you a call.
- You'll give me call, sounds good.
- [Chris] I have to look at my calendar.
- Yup.
- So we refer to staff to develop solution?
- Correct.
- Second. Okay, there's the second by Wery. Under discussion, here now all in favor?
- [Group] Aye. Nays. Motion carried, thank you. All right moving forward, number nine. Consideration or possible action request by Alderman Nicholson to address chronic flooding, Short Street, Edison Street area. I brought this forward many moons ago. Steve, what can we do about it? I'm getting more calls on just the last couple rainstorms. Is there anything, I know, this is part of that Schwartz, Edison area? And, I really believe, when we were talking about pump stations that we were gonna have one right in that area and all of a sudden I guess I misunderstood. It went down to northeast side and down by Broadway.

- Schwartz, Edison is actually again part of that Preble area.
- Right, the old Preble.
- It's within storm drainage basin 560. Same drainage basin that the concerns from East Mason from Cass to Lime Kiln exists. We do only have one documented incident of high water at Schwartz and Edison and that was in 2015. Storm sewer on Edison from Schwartz to Abrams needs to be upgraded to accommodate the 10 year design flow. As Alder Johnson was asking about updating our design. Our storm sewer's currently designed for a 10 year flow. Preble, all the storm sewer we inherited from town of Preble is designed between two and seven years and that's what we have here. So the storm sewer on Edison needs to be upgraded to accommodate the design flow. The sewer on Abrams has been upgraded in 1993. Amy street was reconstructed in '93 as well as the East River out-fault.
- So what are you telling me Steve?
- The pipe needs to be upgraded and it will be when Edison street is reconstructed.
- Okay.
- So basically we're waiting for Edison's turn to come up in the reconstruction list.
- And roughly?
- Offhand, couldn't tell you.
- 20 years?
- Could be, I really don't know.
- Okay, how can we find out.
- Tomorrow morning we can look.
- Just give me a call.
- Sure.
- All right, but Steve it was just repaved. So we don't do anything beneath when it's just resurfaced then.
- Edison was just resurfaced?
- I'm sorry, Schwartz.
- And Schwartz I'm not worried about because there sewer that's gonna handle it is actually on Edison.

- On Edison.
- So Edison is the one that's key right now.
- All right, that you sir. Motion is to actually just refer to staff right now please til we get some answers.
- Second.
- Motion by Brunette second by Johnson. Under discussion here none, all in favor, nays, motion carried. Ten, consideration or possible action request by Alderman Steuer to study and report on the feasibility of utilizing the even odd residential address overnight parking as is done in Milwaukee. Well, that's a problem. In order to relieve the parking problems at residences with limited parking spaces. Alderman Steuer ? We are not Milwaukee we're Green Bay.
- [Mark] I know.
- You're bringing big city politics up here.
- [Mark] Turn me on.
- You've got the floor.
- [Mark] Well then I'll probably expect a little bit of pushback on this but I think it's a topic that needs to be discussed if nothing else. It needs to be out there and I want to part of that discussion. I had a good talk with Tom Wasnick who is the parking service manager for the City of Milwaukee. He deals with those parking issues. He gave me a lot of information and I'll just kinda go through a few things. Milwaukee, like you said, is a bigger city. It's 586,000 people, Green Bay is 105,000. We're at 18% of Milwaukee's population. So keep that in mind as I move forward. I grew up in Milwaukee so they've had the even odd setup for parking for many, many years. And for the most part it seems to have worked. So what Mr. Wasnick mentioned to me last year that the City of Milwaukee raised 4.5 million dollars in parking permit revenue from these scenarios. And we're always talking about revenues coming into the city. 4.5 million, now if you pro-rate that for Green Bay that would be \$810,000 extra a year for parking permits if we went along with this scenario. He also mentioned that Milwaukee has had 11.5 million dollars in citations served as well. Pro-rating that to Green Bay at 18%, that would be 2.7 million dollars.
- Yeah, but how many people are paying their citations?
- [Mark] Right, and I'll leave that one alone. I'll leave that one alone. you can take that off. So he basically mentioned, Mr. Wasnick mentioned, that Milwaukee charges \$55 for a permit per year. They can also do quarterly, quarterly I think is \$20. So I'm just saying that it's another revenue source. I know that they work as far as winter events as well. You know I think that's off the table. I wouldn't even delve in that because I know there would be a pushback on that. I think one of the issues that I have, I've had several citizens, Alder Johnson your district, Alder Wery to a degree, Alder Nicholson maybe a little bit as well, we have small houses. We have some smaller homes, smaller lots, single stall garages. You've got three or fours cars sometimes and the issues that come up with parking because you cannot park on the street from three to five in the morning causes many issues. So

people are scrambling constantly to try to find other alternatives. Granted the houses were built many years ago and it was a different dynamic. Well the dynamic has changed and now we have to deal with it. So I'm looking at this more or less as to study this, to see if there's any feasibility. Like I said, I grew up in Milwaukee. This seemed to have worked while I was living there. Every time I came in to visit after I moved up to Green Bay it seemed to work very well. I was looking at other cities, for example, west Dallas you can't park from 3:00 to 6:00 a.m. unless you have a special permit. Oshkosh, no parking from 2:00 to 5:00 unless you have a permit. Racine, even odd, they park even odd but you need a permit. Wausau and Appleton no parking, period. So there are, like I said, there are cities that have that. But there are a number of them, Steven's Point, no parking except by permit for a few days. Madison, it's a little different. Even odd from November 15th to March 15th. But there were other factors that I wasn't really getting into. Racine has even odd, you need a permit. So there are a number of cities and communities in Wisconsin that have it so I think it needs to be looked at. If nothing else we're always talking about revenues and this is an opportunity to look at a potential revenue source. So, I'll leave it at that and let the committee.

- Okay, any questions for Alderman Mark Steuer? Director Grenier do you have questions? Have you talked to this one?

- Are you looking at even odd or are you looking at some sort of an on street parking by permit.

- [Mark] Well, I think when you say even odd that's kind of the way they've done it. You're saying that it's different. You're saying even odd, I guess what I'm suggesting is that midnight let's say today's what is today the 14th?

- [Andy] Mm hmm. So if I wanted to park in front of my house I'd have to make sure it's on the odd side. So you would park, if you had that ability to park the other side of the street would be open. I think one of the questions is that you have street sweepers and you have other activity going on. Right now you have cars that park on both sides of the street that might have special permits. We've had four or five street sweepers come through our neighborhood recently. So some of the talk was that okay, the street sweepers won't be able to do their jobs. Well, if it's even odd, chances are that one side of the street would be open, the other side would be open the following day. I don't know how you want to parse it out. My feeling is that.

- Well that's what I'm saying, are you married to an even off or would you simply looking for a way to add some available on street parking.

- [Mark] Well, I mean, right now we already have some of that. We have the six events a years of the two weeks that you can park. And then that seems to work a bit but it doesn't solve all the issues. It's a nice thing that we have and a lot of the citizens utilize that.

- Hang on, Steve, did he answer your question?

- The reason I ask is my recommendation is going to be refer to staff for study. But, if we refer to staff for study to investigate possible on street parking accommodations, overnight on street parking accommodations, that leaves things a little bit more wide open. If we say even odd we've just limited ourselves to even odd only.

- That's what I was thinking but I just wanted to make sure I was opened up.

- So if you want even odd then limit it down to even odd. But if you want to look at options for on street overnight parking. Then I would probably refer to staff for that.

- [Mark] Probably in the crowds. I mean, even off was just one of the scenarios. So I would opt for that.

- Thank you-

- [Mark] You're welcome.

- Anything else Mark?

- [Mark] No, that should do it.

- All right, no, Wery, Johnson?

- It's a question for staff maybe.

- Thanks Mark. Steve, I guess when you're looking at that, I mean I kind of on the surface think, hey can we just do a permit based system? But if we're gonna go to an even odd system and staff were to come back saying this is the scenario would you could come back with an estimate on what it would cost for us to implement that or is that a bit premature?

- I think we could build some of those projections in. We would, if we had a proposal or a scenario for an evaluation we would try as best we can to flesh in any of those details including how the program would work, what the permit fee structure would be. I do have to be very honest about it because this is a parking related issue we would be looking at Parking Division. Administering and parking Division realizing those revenues. That would not be general fund revenue that would go directly to 202.

- I'm not necessarily looking to go down that road yet so much as it is if we're to do an even odd for example, I imagine there's gonna be an expense for signage or is it not set up that way?

- And again, one of the reasons that I didn't want to limit us, something that Alder Steuer mentioned triggered a different way of looking at things. What I'm used to with even odd, and the devil is always in the details, that's where the bugaboo comes. Today's the 14th, does that mean because overnight end of the 15th, who gets it, is it even or odd. So we would have to define the day that starts the evening. So the night of the 14th would be an even, the night of the 15th becomes an odd. You do wind up with some minor disparities because we have days that have 31 months in it and then the 31 month becomes the first so you get odd two days in a row. The even people get really, really mad about that. Just hear me out, okay? So what I'm saying is what I heard was something a little different. What I'm used to seeing is on odd days of the month the odd addresses get to park on the street and on even days of the month evens do. What I'm hearing is a possible something to investigate is it's not regulated by the address. That the address and the date don't necessarily correlate but, more so, the side of the street. So it doesn't matter if you live on the even side of the street or the odd side of the street. If you need the parking you park on the odd side on the odd day and the even side on the even day. And, if that's regulated through the use of permits, that may change the dynamic. I think

that's a different study than maybe what we've done in the past. I can't guarantee it's gonna change the outcome but it may be a different way of looking at things.

- Just a minute, Johnson? Steuer?

- [Mark] Steve I appreciate those comments. I know it's a bit adversarial. From what I understand is that if it's August 14th and I want to park in front of the house let's say at midnight. So midnight the next day is the 15th so you would park on the odd side of the street. I've read that in a couple other cities.

- Okay.

- [Mark] And that would just be the way to do it. Green Bay is a little unique too. I mean, living in Milwaukee they had even odd pretty well set up. They had boulevards, they had a lot of rectilinear streets. Here in Green Bay you might have like Loch drive goes like this. You know all about that. So the addresses are really interesting and the right on the corner it flips. So it's just a small segment so it's kind of like. So there might be some other factors that come into play to discuss that. But I'm just trying to, I'm looking at this as a revenue generator as well. And also to help solve some of the parking issues in tight places where homes are small, driveways are small, that's all.

- Well I guarantee you it'd gonna be a revenue generator cause there's gonna be somebody who's gonna get it backwards and that and that's the guy who's gonna get the ticket.

- I mean this is gonna be flooded! with constituents, Steuer you're gonna be here.

- [Mark] You're welcome.

- Yeah we'll need a new committee and he leads it.

- [Mark] You be nice.

- I'm being honest but, go ahead, go ahead.

- [Mark] Oh, I'm good right now.

- All right so what are you looking for?

- I think a motion to refer to staff to evaluate on overnight on street parking options.

- Okay.

- So moved

- Second

- To that effect by Alderman Johnson second by Alderman Wery. Under discussion here none, all in favor?

- [Group] Aye.

- Nay's, motion carried. All right, you're up again Steuer. Consideration of possible action and request by Alderman Steuer to taking a look at placing a street light on the east of Fellows Avenue just north of Shawano Ave. Alderman Steuer?

- [Mark] I had a request put in by Betty Jane Fellows, who the street is named after, her family she's lived there a long time. And Fellows is a fairly busy little segment of road connecting Shawano to Dousman. They're also gonna be building a four story hospital clinic over on the corner of, basically on the northeast corner of Taylor and Shawano. So Fellows needs some work as well on the street but I'm getting to the light, the light itself. I mean, it just seems that with the development going on it's quite dark in that area and I guess I just need some engineering talk to state whether this is feasible or not in that area. It's a short segment but pretty heavily traveled and the request of the citizen who lives in the duplex on the north, well, where Fellows it's the first house on the right. Just north of Shawano on the right hand side. So, I'm just looking to see if there's some feasibility for a street light and what else is out there that would be adequate enough to cover it. Or, if not, I'd like to know.

- Okay Director Grenier I think you would be the best one.

- Chief, that's 8,000 lumens. The residential space is 400, correct?

- [Chief] 350.

- 350, okay. What we provided to you is a map showing the existing street light pattern in the area of Fellows Drive. There is a 14,000 lumen on the south side of Shawano avenue directly opposite at Fellows. Spacing from that light up to Sundale is 365 where we would get to out first 9,000. Then it's 295 feet between that light and the one at Gary. And then 335 feet between Gary Lane and the north side of Dousman street. So at this point the street lights are all on the west side of the street but the streetlights spacing is within city standards and staff would recommend that light spacing be retained as it is.

- Well I'm just looking at them and it looks like they are spaced well between Dousman down to Gary and then Gary down to Sundale. So the red dots are?

- It's a higher wattage.

- That's the higher wattage light. I'm not denying any of this I'm just saying that being on the south side of Shawano too, you got traffic going back and forth. Is it more than adequate to cover the area not only on Shawano but north across the street to those homes on Fellows.

- Alder Steuer?

- [Mark] Yes.

- Mr. Hansen wants to address you.

- [Dave] I was just gonna add one thing. I know you mentioned about the development that's gonna take place. Based off of some of the drawings that we've seen up to the point there's a lot of parking lot in that area that actually will be in proximity of Fellows Avenue. What you historically see too is you get these new developments and you see a lot of light spillover as well. So I actually think that could add some lumens to the street.

- [Mark] Well okay, thank you for that. Like I said I just making a request from a citizen. And I appreciate the map and good luck therefore as such. So that's all I really have Chair.

- Well I appreciate it. Please excuse me, I have to leave. Alderman Johnson, as Vice Chair, will take over running the meeting. Good luck to you sir.

- Committee what's your pleasure? Alderman Steur what do you think about waiting for this development to come and see what that spill is lighting?

- [Mark] I guess we don't want it to be too much we want it to be just enough.

- That's true but that's another thing too. Have you heard, has anybody heard anything as far as when this may develop?

- [Mark] I know the site plans in but, four months, six months, a year, next year, it's hard to say.

- I saw the fence go up.

- [Mark] What's that? I saw the fence go up around it.

- [Mark] Well, the fence is up. There's other issues that I'm dealing with the neighbors on that. So I will be fine with that, I will be fine with that. I'm gonna wait til, it might be awhile but I'm thinking it would solve some of that. They might even complain there's too much light. Be careful what you wish for. I'll entertain an motion to receive and place in file then Mark can submit a new communication to address it if it needs to be addressed.

- [Mark] I'm good with that.

- Second.

- Motion by Alderman Wery second by Alderman Brunette receive and place in file. Any discussion? Say none, all in favor say aye.

- [Group] Aye. No nays motion carries. Item 12, consideration or possible action request by the Department of Public Works to amend contract for LED signal replacement of connecting highways.

- This one that Mr. Hansen's been patiently waiting to address.

- Motion to move it to the end of the agenda.

- Just to refresh your memory, I came to you several months ago with an informational item and a director's report talking about some programs that we had qualified through DOT for, one of which

was an LED retrofit on our connecting highways and it was a 90/10 cost share. Because our contributing costs on that were expected to be less than \$25,000, it was informational and we just went ahead and executed that document. And what they're doing is on the connecting highways, all they LED lights are nearing the end of their useful life, so DOT is helping us with paying for those. Subsequent to entering into that agreement and actually getting the numbers back, our actual cost, things have changed a little bit. So I wanted Dave to come in so you're not listening to me all the time.

- [Dave] Oh, come on. All right I'll just give you a little bit of a break down at the end. He gave you an idea of what the project's about. Retrofitting and replacement actually of the LED signal modules the green, yellow, reds, et cetera. Pedestrian modules as well, the countdown timers and so forth. Which we've seen a lot of them break in the recent months, years. Most of those countdown features don't work so we look at that as they've already exceeded their useful life. But getting down to the numbers, the total grant that we were given by the DOT was \$245,960. As Steve had mentioned that's 90/10 split. Meaning 10% the city, 90% state on that and all costs that would exceed that would be 100% city which that's very common. You get a split and anything that is in excess of that is 100% cost to the agency asking for it. The price that we have that came in were significant higher than we expected. The quote actually was \$371,645. So doing the math looking at the quote minus the grant, that's \$125,685. The city's already committed to that 10% which Director Grenier mentioned was \$24,596. That means that the city would be responsible for \$150,281. So the state cost just as an overall breakdown, they're responsible for 221,364 and we are 150,281. Looking at that from a cost shares split there's still paying 60% of it and the city's paying 40% so we really have two options here. We either say A, we accept this at 60/40 money or we say no to it, we give up our money and we're 100% responsible for that. So I think the answer is somewhat clear but we need your approval.

- Can we submit this out to bid again? Is it contractor's are busy, is this standard rate? I guess where did the issue come into this?

- [Dave] Actually the way that is structured we're using a maintenance contractor to do this work, which actually is typically cheaper than bidding it out, having to put together a plan specification with the documents. That would actually be more expensive. I think the other thing to not too that they mentioned is we actually asked for more money and they actually gave us less on the grant. That happens because they have so many communities that they want to give it out to and they're like I know you asked for this but we'll give you that. So that was actually I guess some of the reason too of the disparity. But to address that cost, the cost didn't seem unreasonable I'd guess you'd say. Some of the mobilization charges and traffic control may be a little on the high side but it's not like we have a lot of choice in the matter.

- So it's not the best possible news but we do have contingency funds to cover this and having the DOT kick in over \$221,000. It's not the 90/10 we were hoping for but the work needs to be done. And kicking in 60% is a whole lot better than nothing.

- I'll agree to that. Steve, when you say we have contingency funds you mean specific to your area?

- Specific to our Capital Improvement Program, yes. When we approved the CIP on the back page, the last page of the CIP, we have pavements, and then we have fees and contingencies in there. That's actually contingency money to account for change orders and materials testing and ancillary services that aren't necessarily in the bid.

- So not the same contingency as the increases tipping fees for the?
- No, sir.
- So, okay, that's good.
- No, no, no, this is strictly from the CIP.
- Okay.
- Alderman Wery? Let's do it, what do we need for a motion?
- A motion to approve, yes.
- I'll make a motion to approve
- Second I have a motion by Alderman Wery, second by Alderman Brunette under discussion. Here all in favor say aye
- [Group] Aye Opposed, motion carries. Item 13, consideration of possible action requested by the department of public works to award 2020 bridge inspection services to Collins Engineers Incorporated for \$31,707.72.
- Too much paper tonight.
- Did you take a bathroom break before?
- Just did.
- You did too?
- You didn't see me sneak out.
- I've been sitting tight, man. Even after a big bottle.
- That's not really needed.
- I'm going over there.
- Okay, very, we have a periodic inspection of our bridges. A lot of them are done every other year. We've got a couple, four bridges, that for various reasons have to be inspected every year. So we went out and asked for 2019 and 2020 bridge inspection services. Had a couple of companies quote on that. Collins Engineering came in for the '19 bridge inspections because it was a limited number of bridges. It was 12, \$13,000 something like that. Again, we're looking at that \$25,000 limit, Collins has been a good contractor, they've served us extremely well in the past. So we told them to go ahead and get the bridges done in 2019 and their proposal also included 2020 bridge inspection services. The total dollar value of that, labor is 21,866.72. Direct expenses 9,841 for a contract total of

\$31,707.72. Above \$25,000 so we are recommending award of the inspection services to Collins Engineering. This is not a bid situation, this is engineering, so it's professional services so it's not subject to the public. So we definitely want to work with a firm that we have a track history with and the prices are definitely consistent with what we've had year to year.

- Move to approve

- Second motion by Alderman Brunette second by Alderman Wery any discussion, all in favor say aye.

- [Group] Aye. Opposed, motion carries. Item 14, consideration of possible action on request by Base Settlement Investment LLC to defer special assessments remainder of parent parcel 21-296 for five years or until sold or developed whichever is the shortest time.

- Okay, that's the second piece of paper that I handed out to you, the smaller of the two. I believe it was at our last meeting or it was two ago. When we talked about the water assessments on Spartan Road. We deferred assessments until sold or developed on the east side of Spartan. And then, on the west side, you can see where the subdivisions going in. So all of those lots that are listed Base Settlement Investment LLC that's the subdivision. And then what shows is 21-8371, that's what's left of the original parcel that's not being developed right now. Make sense so far? Okay, so, the developer is okay with paying the assessment on all the subdivision lots but they're asking for the same consideration on that 21-8371 that you gave to the folks across the road. Defer those assessments until they sell or develop. And they're intending to develop that as soon, you can see how that road just sort of stops in the middle of nowhere. That's the second phase of this development. So as soon as they sell those lots they're gonna go ahead and develop what we're deferring right now. They just don't want to pay it up front. They want to pay it when they develop it.

- Motion to approve

- Second motion by Alder Wery second by Alder Brunette under discussion, here all in favor say aye.

- [Group] Aye. Opposed, motion carries. Item 15, consideration of possible action regarding the \$2.00 parking citation processing fee required by the new Passport Labs parking citation system which went live on Monday, July 22, 2019.

- This one I'm going to, not yet.

- [Chief] I'm keeping this.

- That's okay, you talk about it.

- [Chief] A quick summary of fun facts for the last 20 years what our citations rates have been just a baseline. We needed to replace our citation issuing software, hardware, back office management all that fun stuff. In the process, I should have brought this to you earlier, but this is all part of the agreement. We knew that lease fees were gonna be included but it's more dynamic, live, real time, as opposed to some delays in our old system in getting into the system and getting info back. But Passport Labs is our provider for data, that real time data transfer. So now when we write a citation, our citations are just real quick, right now they're \$15, \$25, \$35, \$100 and \$150. I won't go into the details what's they're all for, but now, with Passport Labs they require you pay us \$2.00 for every

citation that you write because it has to go through our software system. We understand that, there's costs to doing business, whether it be software licensing fees or whatever they put it this way. So basically if we don't add the service fee on the actual citation itself, not to the end user they don't see a difference. But the revenue to the Parking Division goes down by two dollars each citation so that is a net effect of minus \$47,000 in the operating budget per year in Parking Division. So what we're asking for, like I said, we should've asked for it before it just delayed in all the development and details of setting up the systems, is that the citation rates, the 15, 25, 35 and 100, and 150 go up plus \$2.00 on each of them. That's what we're asking approval for. That's what we recommend. This is for right now, we're still doing the 2020 budget. This is not related to 2020. This is right now because we have a new system that we have to deal with and associated costs.

- Chris when was the last time we evaluated what the citation rates were?

- Every year. Okay, and you're still comfortable with where these rates are at.

- Well, and again, this has nothing to do with 2020. We evaluated for the 2019 year, and at that time, what we anticipated was no change was necessary. Now in the mid-year because we got that from our vendor with a service fee all we're asking for is the past due on the service fee and we will be re-evaluating for 2020 as part of our 2020 budget process.

- [Chris] Yeah, we always, historically, I've been here since 1990. Ever since I've been here we've always recommended rate changes on January 1st not the middle of the year so this is a unique animal.

- And because we bring the rates through and you adopt those rates we can't make a mid-year even for a service fee pass through we can't make that adjustment. We have to come back and ask they council because you've already adopted those rates.

- So if we were to approve this would it be listed on the citation as like \$17.00 or would it be \$15.00 plus \$2.00?

- [Chief] The citation already says it because we're issuing them.

- Okay.

- [Chief] So the citation says your citation is \$15.00 which includes a \$2.00 service fee. So the department get 13 for 15 with the new system. The \$2.00 goes to the vendor. So what we're asking is it'll still be a \$50.00 citation so the wording, the programming of the wording that's nothing.

- We're currently taking the \$2.00 bath because the vendor hit us with there service fee and we can't change that unless you approve it.

- So we didn't see that coming though?

- Not when we set the fees at the beginning of the year.

- [Chief] Cause we were still investigating.

- Well we were still negotiating with the vendors.
- [Chief] I had it down to two vendors.
- It seems steep to me, I mean, \$2.00 every citation we issue. I understand the technology upgrades and needs and things like that but man, every single citation we issue in the city they take, assuming it's a flat fee. So isn't there something, you can negotiate it down a little bit?
- That's what the negotiations were. We tried to, just last night, the History Channel has a program. They had the men who built America, now they've got the food that built America and they were talking about Harlan Sanders. And Harlan Sanders built the KFC empire by getting four cents per piece of chicken. He didn't actually have restaurants when he started. He licensed mom and pop restaurants all over the United States to sell his chicken and he got a four cent check per piece of chicken royalty. So I mean these service fees can add up in a hurry. Passport may be making nickels on selling us their software or they're hardware and they're making their actual money on the service fees.
- [Chief] Our last citation issuance software/hardware contract was, first year it was honeymoon cause you get the warranty. Second year \$25,000 a year right up front that's your licensing fee and service agreement. 35,000 each year after that. Now, on top of that, with the new technology we weren't real time getting our data back, this is. So there's value added to our service fee. If you do the math it wasn't necessarily cheaper citation issue. We issue less than 30,000 parking citations a year so we were paying close to \$2.00 already.
- And this is the same Passport that offers...
- [Chief] The pay up, pay by phone.
- They have, when we implemented the parking app for our meters they cut us a sweetheart of a deal, they've honored that ever since. Also these are two separate things, really.
- Correct. This is two different divisions.
- Right, the first service was the app where you could pay for parking. This will, what we're talking about now is when you actually issue parking citation, a parking ticket to somebody, processing that parking ticket.
- [Chief] The hardware and software to issue and the software that deals in the back for payment and collections and all that stuff. See, and services are paid for by them, it's not nickel and dime it's dimes plural processing fees but they don't charge you here's your annual bill for our software and services. No, they're charging to by the issuance.
- So were looking at, roughly, 35,000 citations a year so we're looking at \$60,000.
- [Chief] Yeah, based on current issuance it's about a \$47,000 per year but if we don't assess on the service fee. But in the past we would absorb the cost of processing system
- We'd pay it, yeah.

- [Chief] The costs are up a dollar or so so there is gonna be a loss.

- Right. Any questions?

- I do agree with Alder Brunette. The two seems like a lot not only from a service fee where you to paying 50 cents for a credit card fee or something. But, for a credit card fee that's not bad. Our govpay system in think is \$3.00. So if someone were to come in and pay their parking ticket at our front desk and instead of cash they pay with a credit card, there's a \$3.00 service fee for that. And \$2.00 looks nasty against a \$15.00 citation. Our citation rates are ridiculously low. Not that I'm advocating bleeding people dry with citations but, comparatively speaking, our citation rates are very, very low.

- I just can't stop thinking about chicken now.

- Sorry about that.

- See when you guys do the annual reviews of they citations so you do that internally or do you bring?

- Typically it's all done internally.

- Okay, I think maybe one of the things that I would like to see like, I don't want to take a bath on this, especially if we budgeted for it. So, I do think that we ought to support the \$2.00 service fee but in light of the comment that you made I think one of the other things I'd like to do is get a sense of what the parking citation are like in comparable size cities.

- [Chief] We do that routinely.

- Yeah, so maybe I would entertain the motion or even make the motion I guess that we approve the passing on, I guess, of the \$2.00 service fee but also add on to that motion a request to bring back to the committee a month or two when you're preparing to re-evaluate those rates for next year what comparable size cities do. That's my motion

- Second How do we shrink that down?

- That's what Steve does. Okay we have a motion by Alder Johnson, a second by Alder Wery is there any discussion? Signal all in favor say aye

- [Group] Aye Opposed, the motion carries. Item number 16, consideration or possible action on the part of the Purchasing manager to request approval a one year contract. For snow plowing and snow removal for various departments of public works, parking division, Green Bay police department parking lots to Express Excavation for the estimated amount of \$44,500 per year. This contract will include up to five one year renewals options by mutual agreements.

- I think I should have a drum roll.

- You should have a cot, or at least a recliner.

- I was actually gonna ask Steve to move me up in the agenda until I saw all these people from the public walk in and I said I'm not gonna jump in front of those. It is what it is.

- Well, thank you.

- This is an annual contract. The previous contract for five years expired. And Express Excavating fulfilled the last two years of that contract. Last time we bid this out we had two bidders. It was Express Excavating and Gersek and Gersek went out of business. So two years ago Express fulfilled the last two years of that contract. And they were the only bidder this year. I know that Chris worked with my staff in reviewing the proposals. The fees are in line with where they've been in the past. They're up slightly but not significantly. These lots are primarily parking division parking lots and also some parking lots for the police department and both of those departments were in agreement with this award. That is the request in front of you today.

- I'll tell you I used to stump removal company and these rates are what we used to charge 10 years ago. So I would concur they're in alignment.

- Boy your rates were really high back then.

- This is why you competitively bid thank you for proving my point.

- All right did we have a motion?

- Yeah, move to approve

- Second we have a motion by Alder Wery a second by Alder Brunette, any discussion? See here all in favor say aye

- [Group] Aye

- Opposed, motion carried.

- All right, goodnight.

- 17, report of action taken by public works, Item A award of contracts won, to approve to award a contract payment 5-19 North Webster Avenue. Reconstruction the lowest responsive bidder PTS Contractors Incorporated in the amount of \$1,045,016. Can we lump these together?

- If you wish

- I wish Item two to to approve to award a contract payment 6-19 Sitka Street and Superior Road. Reconstruction to lowest responsive bidder B grant, ah, let's hold it right there, Item A.

- Actually because I'm just reporting out.

- Take 'em all

- No problem, take 'em both
- All right B granting of licenses. Number one sidewalk builder A
- Hold on, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. We're still on the other one.
- All right I'm holding up.
- Okay, just to show you what a month will do. In your packet we provided the mid summary for 5-19 N Webster avenue reconstruction. That takes us from the East River Bridge down to Main Street. So it's about a block and a half about two blocks. For reconstructing that street, three bidders, everybody came in basically under a blanket. That's awesome bidding. \$1,045,16 to PTS, good contractor and the number was great. You would've loved it. So that's being coordinated with a major project on North Webster so it will all finish up about the same time. So we're happy with that. A month later we bid out Sitka Street and Superior Road. That's all kind of been hanging as we've been going forward and getting the plans for the subdivisions over there and we needed to get that pond going before we could do anything. The pond is about 60% constructed right now so it was time to put the bid out for Sitka and Superior. That is about a little over a half mile worth of road. Now it's rural in nature now. The pavement's being reconstructed but the utilities are basically new utilities. There's not a whole lot out there right now. Some water main but no sanitary and no storm in Sitka. So about a four times larger project and came in at 2.5 million. The two low bidders, Advance at 2,524,722.45 and Peters Concrete came in at 2,590,714.39. That's only about \$66,000 different on a two and a half million dollar contract. What that tells me, how close the bids were together and how many bidders we had, plans and specs were well crafted, easy to understand and clear to the contractor also tells me that Norman Incorporated has a lot of work in the inventory and if they were taking this one they were gonna make some money on it. Very, very good price, I wish I had eight projects to bid right now if those are the numbers we're getting for full construction and that's what we're seeing. We're hearing that from contractors that they were looking for jobs for the fall. So what this is gonna do is it's gonna finish Advance's, not only finish off their fall season. We're trying something new with this one. We're gonna finish so late that we're not gonna be able to pave it. So they're getting all the utility work done this fall, they're gonna put a temporary asphalt pavement, only an inch and a half of asphalt just to get us through the winter. Bare, bare minimum so it doesn't crack up and turn to junk on us. Then they'll come back in the spring, put the curb and gutter in and finish paving and do the restoration behind the curb and gutter. So it's a little bit different than what we've tried in the past and I want to see how it turns to see if we might have, instead of trying to bid everything early in the spring where we're competing with ever other municipality, maybe we ought to take a look at phase construction over the winter where and when we can. So this is an excellent project for us to experiment with. Again, the only thing I was worried about was leaving it over a winter in gravel. So this temporary paving is gonna work because it's also a subsidiary road but it is on a busing route so we have to make sure take the school district. So just giving you guys a heads up on what we did for awards on these things. Motion to receive and place on file.
- Yup
- Second
- Motion by Alder Wery second by Alder Brunette. Any discussion, signal all in favor say aye.

- [Group] Aye

- Opposed, motion carries Item B, grants and licenses, number one sidewalk builder A Anderson basement repair Incorporated. B, Brad McKuen Concrete LLC, and C, City Smith Construction.

- I believe all these guys have held licenses in the past so we went ahead and awarded those licenses as well. Huge uptick of people getting sidewalk builder licenses this year. I don't know what's going on but we've got companies coming in getting them that have never gotten them before. So, I'd like to think that bodes well for construction here again.

- Motion to receive and place on file.

- Motion to receive

- Second Motion by Alder Wery second by Alder Brunette. Any discussion signal all in favor say aye.

- [Group] Aye. opposed, motion carries. The information on the Director's report of recent activities of the Public Works.

- Very, very short, we staff is extremely busy in the budget process right now. So that's what's taking up a lot of our time. The weather over the last couple of weeks has not helped us. We've got guys who are working a lot of overtime trying to keep up with either storm cleanup or managing storms as they're happening. So my appreciation to the staff for the fantastic work they've done. I'll entertain a motion to receive and place on file.

- So moved

- Second

- We have a motion by Alder Brunette second by Alder Wery. Any discussion, signal all in favor, say aye.

- [Group] Aye

- Opposed, motion carries.

- Jim you were talking about setting up that special meeting. Were you trying to do that now?

- I'll get in touch with Alderman Nicholson.

- All right the next Improvement Service Committee meeting is scheduled for September 11, 2019 unless a special meeting is scheduled. Motion for adjournment motion by Wery second by Brunette, any discussion? Signal all in favor say aye

- [Group] Aye opposed, motion carries.

- Chicken.