



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

**TUESDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2019, 6:00 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
ROOM 203, CITY HALL**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, Randy Scannell, Brian Johnson, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Andy Nicholson (arrived at 6:05 p.m.), Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, John VanderLeest

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

I. Cadette Girl Scout Troop 4416 from Lombardi Middle School with Girl Scouts of the Northwestern Great Lakes. The Girl Scouts will lead the Pledge of Allegiance and present the colors.

C. INVOCATION.

I. Alder Randy Scannell welcomes Imam Hassan of The Islamic Society of Wisconsin to provide an invocation.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.

I. Approval of the minutes of the September 9, 2019 Common Council meeting.

E. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

F. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

G. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

H. PROCLAMATION

I. White Cane Safety Day is October 15

Denise Jess, CEO, along with Rebecca Arrowood spoke about the Wisconsin Council for the Blind and Visually Impaired.

I. APPOINTMENTS.

I. Re-appointments:

Green Bay Public Arts Commission

Kent Hutchison, 1633 E. Mason, Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: September 1, 2022

Alex Zacarias, 2313 Sunrise Ct., Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: September 1, 2022

Beth Lemke (liaison), 201 Museum Place, Green Bay, WI 54303

Term to expire: September 1, 2021

Water Commission

James Blumerich, 2061 South Point Road, Green Bay, WI 54313

Term to expire: October 1, 2026

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to confirm the re-appointments.
Motion carried.

2. Appointments for the residue of their existing terms:

Water Commission

Kathy Lefebvre, 1731 East Shore Drive, Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: term of office

Joe Moore, 1009 Day Street, Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: October 1, 2020

Kathryn Hasselblad-Pascale, 2588 Good Shepherd, Green Bay, WI 54313

Term to expire: October 1, 2024

Doug Martin, 2155 Holmgren Way, Ashwaubenon, WI 54313

Term to expire: October 1, 2025

John Heugel, 115 E. Walnut, #7, Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: October 1, 2020

Ethics Board

Bill Galvin, 1244 Emilie Street, Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: term of office

Chari Graham, 115 E. Walnut Street, Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: March 1, 2021

Bill Vande Castle, 206 Seminole Lane, Green Bay, WI 54313

Term to expire: March 1, 2020

Patricia Kiewiz, Transit Director, 901 University Avenue, Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: April 1, 2021

Economic Development

Brian Johnson, 516 Hubbard Street, Green Bay, WI 54303

Term to expire: term of office

Michael Peot, 1134 S. Baird Street, Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: June 1, 2021

Mahamed Rage, 844 Linden Drive, #9, Green Bay, WI 54311

Term to expire: June 1, 2021

Pam Parish, 2396 Sycamore, Green Bay, WI 54311
Term to expire: May 1, 2021

Traffic, Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission

Craig Stevens, 848 Linden Drive, #8, Green Bay, WI 54311
Term to expire: term of office

Lt. Brad Strouf, Green Bay Police Department, 307 S. Adams, Green Bay, WI 54301
Term to expire: term of office

Matt Kuepers, 1931 Treeland Drive, Green Bay, WI 54304
Term to expire: March 1, 2020

Board of Review

John Thomas Aziere, 2651 Nicolet Drive, Green Bay, WI 54311
Term to expire: July 1, 2022

Richard Laurent, 538 Robert Lane, Green Bay, WI 54311
Term to expire: July 1, 2023

Jay Hamann, 558 Pinehurst Drive, Green Bay, WI 54302
Term to expire: July 1, 2020

David Hillman, 248 Glacier Drive, Green Bay, WI 54311
Term to expire: July 1, 2021

Green Bay Plan Commission

Veronica Corpus-Dax, 335 Traders Point Lane, Green Bay, WI 54302
Term to expire: term of office

Lisa Hanson, 708 Kellogg Street, Green Bay, WI 54303
Term to expire: February 1, 2022

Jacob Miller, 118 S. Washington Street, Green Bay, WI 54301
Term to expire: May 1, 2020

Jerry Wiezbiskie, 3093 Nicolet Drive, Green Bay, WI 54311
Term to expire: May 1, 2020

Timothy Gilbert, 1439 Springdale Lane, Green Bay, WI 54304
Term to expire: May 1, 2021

Sidney Bremer, 2023 Lakeside Place, Green Bay, WI 54302
Term to expire: May 1, 2021

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to confirm the appointments for the residue of their existing terms. Motion carried.

Ald. Kathy Lefebvre abstained from her appointment to the Water Commission.

Ald. Bill Galvin abstained from his appointment to the Ethics Board.

Ald. Brian Johnson abstained from his appointment to the Economic Development Authority.

Ald. Craig Stevens abstained from his appointment to the Traffic, Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission.

Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax abstained from her appointment to the Green Bay Plan Commission.

J. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

No one appeared to speak on the ordinances.

1. Zoning Ordinance No. 07-19

An Ordinance rezoning property located at 2607 Nicolet Drive from General Commercial (CI) to Low Density Residential (RI).

2. Miscellaneous Ordinance No. 02-19

An Ordinance adopting an amendment to the Smart Growth 2022 Comprehensive Plan of the City of Green Bay pertaining to property located at 2607 Nicolet Drive.

3. Zoning Ordinance No. 6-19

An Ordinance rezoning various properties located in the Shipyard Investment Neighborhood.

4. Miscellaneous Ordinance No. 1-19

An Ordinance adopting an amendment to the Smart Growth 2022 Comprehensive Plan of the City of Green Bay pertaining to the various properties located in the Shipyard Neighborhood.

K. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to suspend the rules and take up items 1 through 5 with roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to adopt items 1 through 5. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

1. Zoning Ordinance No. 07-19

An Ordinance rezoning property located at 2607 Nicolet Drive from General Commercial (C1) to Low Density Residential (R1).

2. Miscellaneous Ordinance No. 02-19

An Ordinance adopting an amendment to the Smart Growth 2022 Comprehensive Plan of the City of Green Bay pertaining to property located at 2607 Nicolet Drive.

3. Zoning Ordinance No. 6-19

An Ordinance rezoning various properties located in the Shipyard Investment Neighborhood.

4. Miscellaneous Ordinance No. 1-19

An Ordinance adopting an amendment to the Smart Growth 2022 Comprehensive Plan of the City of Green Bay pertaining to the various properties located in the Shipyard Neighborhood.

5. General Ordinance No. 20-19

An ordinance amending Section 29.208, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to parking regulations.

L. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (SEPTEMBER 25, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve with the exception of items 4, 6 and 8. Motion carried.

Item 6 was approved in the committee report, but reconsidered after resolutions.

I. To hold until the October 9, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by

Ald. Brunette on amending the City's ordinance on landscaping, weeds, vegetation, and gardens on residential lots.

2. To refer to staff the request by Ald. Galvin that the Department of Public Works develop better systems to better and more quickly respond during disasters and to study the responses to the disasters.

3. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Lefebvre that the gate at the east side yard waste site is left open during the winter and report the hours of operation and the procedure for closing the gate at the site.

4. To approve the request by Ald. Nicholson to address surface water drainage issues at 1661-1663 Elkay Lane by having the City partner with the owner, Jeremy Kroll, to split the cost of installing the private storm sewer system.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve item 4.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to open the floor for discussion.

Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to close the floor for discussion.

Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to amend item 4 to reimburse 50% of the cost up to \$4,270 for installation of a mini storm sewer with paid documentation of paid invoice by property owner and will waive the right to take this to the claims committee.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Kathy Lefebvre to amend item 4 to reimburse 50% of the cost up to \$4,270 for the installation of a mini storm sewer with paid documentation of paid invoice by property owner. Contingency fund is the funding source alleviating flooding on Elkay Lane is the public purpose.

A board vote was taken on the second amendment. Motion tied 6-6 with the Mayor breaking the tie voting yes.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre
No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve as amended. Motion failed 5 to 7.

Yes-Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre
No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax

5. To hold until the October 23, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Ald. Steuer to study and report out on the feasibility of utilizing the “even-odd” residential address overnight parking scenario, as is done in Milwaukee, in order to relieve the parking problems at residences with limited parking spaces.

6. A. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Steuer to look at the possibility and feasibility of renaming the Walnut Street Bridge to the Bart Starr Memorial Bridge, to prepare a resolution in support of renaming the bridge.

B. To submit the resolution to the State of Wisconsin.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to reconsider item 6. Motion carried. Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve the resolution as presented. Motion carried.

7. To refer to Protection and Policy Committee for the October 21, 2019 meeting on the policies and procedures of the Inspection Department as it applies to communicating and working with constituents who request their alderman's assistance. Further, to discuss customer service standards and work expectations of inspectors. Investigate the addition of evening and/or weekend inspection hours (recommendation to be made to Personnel Committee only pursuant to GBMC 2.06(9)).

8. To approve the request by the Department of Public Works on proposed 2020 Parking Division rates and fees and have staff provide 2020 revenue projections to Alders prior to the October 1, 2019 Common Council meeting.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve item 8.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to amend item 8 to reduce disabled parking citations from \$300 to \$150. Motion failed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell No- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to adopt item 8. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre No-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, John VanderLeest, Randy Scannell

9. To approve the request by the Department of Public Works to execute Amendment X to the Brown County Municipal Recycling Agreement.

10. To receive and place on file the report on the citation rates from neighboring communities.

11. To approve the request by Tycore Built LLC to dedicate to the City of Green Bay the infrastructure improvements in Pine Acres subdivision.

12. To approve the request by Holtger Bros., Inc. to enter into an annual Hold Harmless Agreement for access to the City of Green Bay sewer system to conduct inspection of the sewer mains and laterals.

13. To approve the applications for Concrete Sidewalk Builder's Licenses by the following:

- A. McDonald Services, LLC
- B. N & L Concrete Construction LLP
- C. Tri-North Builders, Inc.

14. To approve the application for a Tree and Brush Trimmer License by A Four Season Tree Care.

15. To approve the application for Underground Sprinkler System License by IXL Sprinkler Systems.

M. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (SEPTEMBER 23, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve with the exception of item 7. Motion carried.

1. **Informational:** To approve a request by Richard Craniums at 840 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on September 29 from noon to 6 p.m. for an event, subject to complaint. (The Protection & Policy Committee took final action at the September 23, 2019 meeting.)

2. To approve an application for a Class "A" Beer and "Class A" Liquor license for Dolgencorp, LLC at 1641 Main St., with the approval of the proper authorities.

3. To opt into the entire General Records Schedules as proposed.

4. To approve General Ordinance No. 21-19, an ordinance to repeal section 15.54 and to create section 15.83(1)(a) of the Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to building code.

5. To deny an appeal by Carlos Morales at 525 Victoria St. regarding his re-inspection fees.

6. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Brian Johnson to review internal policies related to recording orders made on properties to ensure documented transfer when ownership changes.

7. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Steuer to revisit our city sign ordinance as it pertains to advertising signage on city property, specifically athletic fields and venues.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve item 7. Motion carried.

8. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation report from the September 23, 2019 meeting.

N. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

I. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses.

O. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (SEPTEMBER 23, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception of item I. Motion carried.

I. To receive and place on file a late communication from Ald. Andy Nicholson, District 3, to review the Green Bay Economic Dept. Policy at 1449 Morrow Street Business. Referred from the August 20, 2019, Common Council Meeting.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve item I. Motion carried.
Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to open the floor for discussion.
Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to close the floor. Motion carried.

2. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a two-family dwelling within a Low Density Residential (RI) district at 621 South Clay Street, subject to:

- a. Compliance with all other regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code not covered under the Conditional Use Permit.
- b. Compliance with the applicable Uniform Dwelling Code (UDC) building requirements related to a two-family dwelling.

3. To amend Zoning Ordinance 7-16 to incorporate development plans for a retail business at 2460

University Avenue, submitted by Tom Radenz, REI Engineering, on behalf of University Avenue Center, subject to:

- a. The amended Planned Unit Development District Ordinance (PUD).
- b. Permit the required loading area to be located between the principal building and the street right-of-way.

P. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (SEPTEMBER 24, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.
Ald. Randy Scannell voted no on item 3.

1. To approve the purchase of one 2019 Type I Ambulance from Jefferson Fire & Safety, Inc. for \$256,807.

2. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Gavin for the Police Department to work with IT to set a system on the City's Request for Service icon for citizens to report problems and request service.

3. To approve the resolution authorizing 2019 transfer of \$14,559.21 from contingency for expenses related to Oneida trust matter.

4. To receive and place on file the memo of the five year combined Capital Improvement Plan (2020-2024).

Q. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (SEPTEMBER 25, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. To direct staff to work jointly with Public Works to replace the asphalt pathway just south of Stoney Brook Lane, behind Fireman's Park.

2. To refer to staff to scientifically identify the number of deer at the Wildlife Sanctuary, to establish a target number of deer desired at the Wildlife Sanctuary, to leave the deer management program in place throughout the rest of the City and to identify alternative ways to reduce the herd.

3. To approve a utility easement from Wisconsin Public Service for the installation of an electric service for the new ball field at Baird Park.

4. To approve hiring Robert E. Lee & Associates for \$28,800 to complete the engineering for the stormwater management to accommodate the ball field and parking lot modifications at Colburn Park.

R. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (SEPTEMBER 24, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve with the exception of item 2. Motion carried.

1. To approve the request to fill the following replacement position and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

a. Purchasing Manager - Administrative Services

2. To approve to amend City of Green Bay Personnel Policies, Chapter 1 - Objectives, Harassment and Discrimination, Chapter 6 – Recruitment and Selection, and Chapter 14 – Discipline and Discharge.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve item 2. Motion carried.

3. To approve a health insurance premium increase no less than 3.65% but no more than 4% effective January 1, 2020.

4. To hold the request by Ald. Wery to review the policies and procedures of the Inspection Department as it applies to communicating and working with constituents who request their alderman's assistance. Further, to discuss customer service standards and work expectations of inspectors. Investigate the addition of evening and/or weekend inspection hours (recommendation from I&S Committee required).

S. REPORT OF THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMISSION (SEPTEMBER 25, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. To rank Option #3, Option #1, Option #2, and Option #4 for a permanent art installation to later be approved by the Redevelopment Authority.

T. REPORT OF THE TRAFFIC, BICYCLE, AND PEDESTRIAN COMMISSION (SEPTEMBER 16, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. To refer to staff for study the request by the Green Bay Area Public School District for the City of Green Bay to staff and employ an adult crossing guard at the intersection of Humboldt Road and Mount Mary Drive to assist Baird Elementary students to cross Humboldt Road.

2. To refer to the Parks Committee the request to make changes to alleviate parking concerns at the Resch Aquatic Center.

3. To receive and place on file the request to establish a 4-WAY STOP condition at the intersection of Shirley Street and Locust Street.

4. To receive and place on file the request to establish a 3-WAY STOP condition at the intersection of Western Avenue and Maryhill Drive.

5. To postpone to the next meeting the request to look at speed control measures near the 800 block of Gross Avenue.

6. To postpone to the next meeting the request to address chronic complaints regarding speeding on 12th Avenue.

U. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to suspend the rules and adopt resolutions 1 and 2 together with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to adopt resolutions 1 and 2. Motion carried.

1. Resolution authorizing 2019 transfer of \$14,559.21 from contingency for expenses related to Oneida trust matter.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Randy Scannell, Kathy Lefebvre

2. Resolution approving hold harmless agreement Holtger Bros., Inc. to conduct inspection of sewer mains and laterals.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

V. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to suspend the rules to advance ordinances 1 and 2 to a second reading. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to advance ordinances 1 and 2. Motion carried.

1. General Ordinance No. 21-19

An ordinance to repeal section 15.54 and to create section 15.83(1)(a) of the Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to building code.

2. Zoning Ordinance 8-19

An ordinance amending Zoning Ordinance 7-16, relating to a Planned Unit Development (PUD) Overlay District for properties located in the 2400 Block of Sturgeon Bay Road (2400 Block University Avenue).

W. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve. Motion carried.

A request by Ald. Dorff to DPW and Improvement and Services to request that the Department of Public Works, when it is developing its 2020 services plan, prioritizes all areas in the city where residential flooding is occurring and that in-depth research and planning continues to be done to prevent future flooding with the understanding that this will be an extensive and expensive plan when completed.

A request by Ald. Brunette to Improvement and Services for discussion with possible action on improving flooding issues by removing dirt in ditches and around culverts on Hillcrest, specifically near Westway.

A request by Ald. Galvin to Improvement and Services to put in crosswalk lighting system on E. Mason at Hartung similar to crosswalk lighting on S. Webster at the hospitals for the East River trail crossing.

A request by Ald. Dorff to the Staff and Improvement and Services to request that the Department of Public Works and Improvement and Services consider the proposal from Brown County to partner with the City of Green Bay to change and improve drainage in Nicolet Drive and Beach Lane areas and to include the necessary funding in the 2020 budget.

A request by Ald. Galvin to DPW to reconstruct on Congress and Spring streets.

A request by Ald. John VanderLeest to Improvement and Services and the Department of Public Works to have City Plow crews plow Chantell St. from 9th to 7th St. in front of Kennedy school during school hours and after school hours as buses and parents pickup drop off students. (Request from Kennedy School and parents of students).

A request by Ald. Jesse Brunette to Protection & Policy for discussion with possible action on creating an ordinance allowing golf carts on city streets.

X. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Andy Nicholson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to adjourn at 8:01 p.m. Motion carried.

Kris A. Teske
Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at greenbaywi.gov

VERBATIM MINUTES

- I call to order the meeting of the common council for Tuesday, October 1st, 2019. Roll call, clerk.
- [Kris] I I are present, Your Honor, with Alderman Nicholson absent.
- All right, please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance. Gonna have Cadette Girl Scout Troop 4416 from Lombardi Middle School, with Girl Scouts of the Northwestern Great Lakes will lead the Pledge of Allegiance and present the colors.
- [Girl Scout] Girl Scout attention. Color Guard. Color Guard, salute the colors. Scouts and council, this flag will then
- [Everyone] I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.
- Thank you so much for being here tonight, girls. Now onto the invocation. So the Alder from the 7th will welcome our guest here. I stand? She can go there. Okay. You can go here, perfect.
- I'll go here. I'd like to introduce Imam Hassan.
- I want to say a round of prayer. Oh Lord of the universe, save us all from words which hurts and bless us with hearts that touch. God, grant us the wisdom to learn from the guidance that you've blessed upon all your prophets. Please God, comfort and console those who now walk in this world in sorrow. Our Lord, please give us compassion and mutual understanding so that we may live in peace and with justice. Please help us to stop those who oppress, whether it be our nation or race or tribe or not. Give us the strength to work for the good of all humanity, and against what is harmful to all of us. Amen. Thank you very much.

- [Eric] Thank you. Round to approval of the minutes. Motion made by Alder from the 7th, second by Alder from the 8th. Any corrections that need to made? See no requests. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Alderspersons] Aye.

- [Eric] Those opposed, nay. The minutes have been approved. Approval of the agenda. Motion made by Alder from the 1st, second by Alder from the 6th. Any changes that you'd like to make? Alder from the 10th.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I'd like to move the, sort of improvement of services, I would to bring that down to the last.

- [Aldersperson] We don't have to, Mark.

- Oh you're here. All right, nevermind.

- Thank you. Nevermind.

- [Eric] Alright. All good. So all those in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Alderspersons] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the agenda before you has been approved. Onto the report by the mayor. Quick report tonight. Couple things just to note. Representative Grazinsky recently announced that he was going to be nominating Lieutenant Jeff Engelbrecht as the First Responder of the Year for the 90th Assembly District, so as a result, Lieutenant Engelbrecht will be heading down to Madison for the meeting of the State Assembly on October 10th, so next week. So just wanted to recognize him on that distinction. Really appreciate everything he does and as well as the rest of the members of the police force. Chief, I don't know if you had anything to add to that.

- Sir, I'll certainly pass that on to Lieutenant Engelbrecht. He does a great job. For those of you that don't know, one of the reasons he was nominated, besides his career of trying to keep Green Bay safe, was that he had probably 2,000 people he's trained in active shooter response. 63 different businesses, churches, parochial schools. Anybody, any business in Green Bay that wants a four-hour block of training from him on how to respond to an active shooter or how to prevent it from happening is welcome to have that. He'll be on Channel 5 on Thursday morning at 6:30 if anybody wants to watch him. He'll talk a little bit about that as well. Thanks.

- Excellent. Thank a lot, Chief. The only other thing I have to note here is a birthday of mine that I have coming next Tuesday. We're gonna have some cookies in the council chambers, so if members of council, members of the public wanna come in and join employees celebrating, I guess?

- [Aldersperson] Girl Scout cookies?

- You'll have to talk to Patty about that. But we'll have some, yeah, cookies and refreshments. So if folks are interested in coming and chatting with me a little bit, chatting with the rest of the city employees here, we'd certainly welcome that. Finally, go Brewers. And that concludes the mayor's report. So onto announcements. Alder from the 9th.

- Thank you, Mayor. As many of you know at the last meeting, well hopefully as all of you know, at the last meeting we passed a resolution in support of an application for a Harbor Assistance Grant, from the state of Wisconsin, related to the redevelopment of the Pulliam Power Plant site as well as the relocation of the C. Reiss Coal Terminals. I went to Madison last week, along with city planner David Buck to testify about the

importance that this project would bring to our community. It was in front of the Senate Committee on Transportation, Veterans and Military Affairs, right? Very odd combination. But I wanted to give an update on that for those who maybe aren't following it as closely as I have been. But in particular, it did pass out of the Senate committee. It's gonna go in front of the Joint Finance Committee tomorrow. And then if it makes it out of there, which early indication suggests that leadership has supported it, it would go before the Assembly on October 10th and the State Senate on November 5th. If it passes both of those chambers onto Governor Evers, then November 5th for his consideration. So for those who are equally passionate about this project and really would like to see not what I would call a one-in-a-lifetime project, but a once this is the only time we may ever have to do this project, I encourage you to contact your state officials to let them know of your support. Thank you, Mayor.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder from the 7th.

- Thank you, Your Honor. There's a few things happening in District 7 this week here. First of all, were you aware, was anyone aware, I just discovered, that you can tour the Hotel Northland? See the beautiful Hotel Northland, rich with Green Bay history in this behind-the-scenes tour. Hotel Northland offers free tours every first and 10th day of the month at 10:00 a.m. and 1:00 p.m. Sounds like fun. Maybe we'll find a ghost. Also we have gallery night on Cedar, this Thursday, October 3rd from five to 8:00 p.m. Experience an autumn art affair in the old Main Street Arts District. This indoor and outdoor celebration of the arts takes place around the 1400 block of Cedar Street, with live art demos, fine art galleries, make and takes, an artisan market, a community art project, food trucks, live music, and if it's possible, there still is more and more. Then there is the I am Proof artist reception, Friday, October 4th, from seven to 9:00 p.m. This too is at the ART Garage for the free opening of the I am Proof exhibition, dedicated to cancer survivors in Northeast Wisconsin. This exhibit provides an opportunity for those who have had or have cancer to display their experience through visual art. Next up, we have the Bellin Women's Pink Pumpkin 5K, Saturday, October 5th from 8:00 a.m. to noon. Get inspired and celebrate sisterhood when you participate in the Bellin Women's Half Marathon, Two-Person Relay, and Pink Pumpkin 5K. Kick off the race at the KI Convention Center, cross the finish line onto CityDeck and then celebrate at Hagemeister Park. Can't think of a better way to end that race. And then lastly, Comic-Con, Friday, October 4th from two to 3:00 p.m. and then Saturday, October 5th from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Where? At the Brown County Library. It's their fourth annual family-friendly Comic-Con. Will feature comic artists and award-winning creators such as Martha Wells and Gene Ha. Don't joke, I'm not gonna go there. Dress your favorite superhero or movie character, hang out with fellow fans, and meet the creators. Thank you, Your Honor.

- Thanks, Alder. Any other announcements? Okay. We're on to a proclamation here today. So White Cane Safety Day is October 15th. And we are lucky to have Denise Jess joining us tonight. She's the CEO and Executive Director of Wisconsin Council of the Blind and Visually Impaired. So at this time I invite Denise to come up to the podium. I will read the proclamation and then invite Denise to share some words with us.

- Nice to meet you.

- It's nice to meet you. And who else do we have joining us tonight?

- [Denise] We have Rebecca Arrowood who is a member of our Board of Directors.

- Very good. Thanks for coming, Rebecca. So whereas blindness and severe visual impairment affect approximately 100,000 Wisconsin residents, and whereas a majority of these persons use travel aids such as a white cane or a service animal to get around public streets and sidewalks, and places of public accommodation, and whereas these travel aids are universally recognized as symbols representing vision loss, and whereas Wisconsin's White Cane Law requires a motorist come to a full stop before approaching closer than 10 feet to a pedestrian who is using a white cane or service animal, now therefore I, Eric Genrich, Mayor of Green Bay do hereby proclaim Tuesday, October 15th, 2019 as White Cane Safety Day throughout the city of Green Bay,

Wisconsin. And I commend this observance to all of our citizens. Stated at Green Bay, Wisconsin, this first day of October, 2019, Eric Genrich, Mayor.

- Thank you.

- Thank you.

- Thanks so much for being here.

- Thank you.

- Thanks. Am I good? Yep. Alrighty, thank you. Hey, good evening everybody. I am absolutely delighted to be here with Rebecca Arrowood who is a member of our Board of Directors, and Mitch Brey who is a member of staff, our planning coordinator and our driver. You do not want Rebecca or I driving. We braved rain to get here and I just saw weather alerts for Winnebago County, so I think we'll be braving it going home as well. I'm originally from Washington Island, so Green Bay was always the big city for us to come to to visit. And so I have this sense of home as soon as I come into the city. So I am just incredibly honored that your council and your mayor have chosen to recognize White Cane Safety Day in the city of Green Bay. So as the proclamation notes, there are about 100,000 of us in the state of Wisconsin who are blind and visually impaired. And many times folks think of people like me and Rebecca when you are picturing someone who's blind and visually impaired, folks who have lived with visual impairment our entire lives. And we certainly represent a portion of the population. However, the largest part of the population now becomes folks who are middle and elders who are experiencing significant life-changing vision loss because of disease or genetic disorder like retinitis pigmentosa or macular degeneration is probably one that you're familiar with, glaucoma or retinopathy from diabetes. So in our world, we find that vision impairment touches nearly every single family in some way, shape or form with a aging loved one, a spouse, or maybe even ourselves at some point in our lifetimes. So becoming aware and creating inclusivity and welcome for people with vision impairment is just a profound gift that a community to give. So your proclamation talks about the importance of the white cane. And it really is an aid to independence. And so one of the things that our organization does is make sure that any resident in the state of Wisconsin who has significant visual impairment has one of these in their hands for free. And we are able to provide those through generous donation. Because no one should feel scared to leave their home because they are afraid to navigate safely out in the community. And the invitation of the White Cane Law as statute is for drivers to stop as we are crossing the street because we are dependent on you to do that. We're using our best listening, but sometimes those cars are sneaky. So the council and the work that we do is pretty widespread. We service state-wide mission. We do advocacy work, both in the state legislature and enjoy good partnerships with many state agencies, Department of Voc Rehab, to seek employment for our folks. Among who are blind and visually impaired, 70, 7-0, 70% are unemployed, often due to lack of transportation and sometimes employer bias, and sometimes lack of training. So our relationship with DVR, hugely helpful. The Office for the Blind and Visually Impaired, if you have aging loved ones who are experiencing vision loss, don't wait. Don't wait for them to have difficulty reading the newspaper or not get out of their homes anymore. As soon as they start to say to you, "I don't think I see so well anymore," that's a fantastic time to reach out to us for information or Office for the Blind and Visually Impaired through DHS, and have someone come into that loved one's home and create, so that it's safe and they can live there and age comfortably, happily in place. We also do a lot of education work. So we're out and about a lot, helping people to understand about visual impairment and blindness, and also provide vision services. So at any point, I think one of the things that we brought tonight was a guide about etiquette in interacting with folks who are blind and visually impaired. 'Cause sometimes folks are like, "I don't know how to talk to you." And I'm like, well I'm a chatter, so no problem. But sometimes just how to break the ice and feel like we can connect. So one side of the handout that you received has etiquette and some tips for talking. When you flip that over, you can learn a little bit more about the non-profit work that we do at the Wisconsin Council of the Blind and Visually Impaired. And I note that that's gonna get posted on the city website as well. So please, any of you at any point feel free to reach out to me or anyone else at the council. We would love to be in connection with you and of

service to you as you support the awesome residence of the city of Green Bay. So thank you so much again for this opportunity.

- Thank you.

- Oh, yeah. Thank you. Thank you.

- Nice to meet you all. Thanks so much.

- Yeah, thank you so much.

- All right, thanks again to Denise and Rebecca and Mitch for being here tonight and for your advocacy and educational efforts. We are now onto appointments.

- [Aldersperson] Motion to approve!

- [Aldersperson] Second.

- Motion made by Alder from the 3rd, seconded by Alder from the 7th to confirm the reappointments. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Alderspersons] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the item has been approved. We're now onto the appointments. Motion made by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder from the 1st, to confirm the appointments for the residue of their existing terms. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Alderspersons] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay.

- [Aldersperson] Nay, I presume the need to abstain from applying yourself, so. I just think it's better to abstain from upholding it, then assume.

- Yes. Is that appropriate?

- [Aldersperson] Look, if I need to abstain, I abstain.

- To prove yourself? 'Kay. Abstentions will be noted. All right, no opposed? The ayes have it and the item has been approved. We're on to public hearings. We have four items for public hearings. Is there anyone here who'd like to speak regarding these items? Anyone here who would like to speak regarding these items? Is there anyone who would like to speak regarding these items? Clerk, please let the record reflect that no one appeared to speak to these items. And we're on to ordinances. Second reading for adoption.

- Motion to adopt.

- Motion to address all as one.

- Clerk.

- [Kris] You may under suspension of the rules take up items one through five with a roll call vote.

- Motion to suspend rule.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the 1st, seconded by Alder from the 7th to suspend the rules, take up these items with one roll call vote. All in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alderspersons] Aye.
- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the rules are suspended. Motion made by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder from the 10th to adapt items K1, K2, K3, K3, K4 and K5. Please use the board. Those items pass 12 zero. Reported Improvement & Services Committee, September 25th, 2019.
- [Aldersperson] Motion to approve.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the 7th.
- [Aldersperson] Second.
- Seconded by Alder from the 8th. To approve report L which is the report of the Improvement & Services Committee from the meeting on September 25th, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?
- Eight.
- Four.
- Four and eight. Any others? Hearing none others all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alderspersons] Aye.
- Those opposed nay. The ayes have it, the report has been approved with the exceptions of items four and eight. What are your wishes regarding item four?
- [Aldersperson] Motion to approve.
- Motion made by Alder from the 3rd, seconded by Alder from the 8th. This item was pulled by Alder from the 7th.
- Thank you Your Honor. I oppose this item just about every way you look at it. I think it's the wrong way to go. I'd like to take a look at it very closely here. But first I wanna make very clear what I think is motivating a lot of Alders on this item, and that is that staff did something wrong, and we need to make a correction. And I wanna make it very, very clear that I believe staff did absolutely nothing wrong. So I don't know why we would take, what we're doing here. But let's take a look at just what happened here. 2004, the developer comes to the city, wants to develop land, and that development is gonna interfere with drainage. And the city says okay, go ahead with your development. You're gonna put in a drain. That seems a perfectly reasonable and appropriate thing for the city and that developer to come to an agreement on. I don't know why we would change it now, 'cause it's the same scenario. Excuse me, I have the floor. The original buyer didn't go through with it, sold the property, it goes through a number of hands. And that agreement isn't passed along. That is the responsibility of that developer, that original developer. It's also the responsibility of each individual buyer to do a search, more than just a title search. I was talking to staff and about 15% of realtors will go much

further and contact the city to see if there's anything. So it's the responsibility of the developer and the buyer to find out all that's on with that property, all that goes with that property. That has nothing to do with the city. Now we come to Mr. Kroll. He's finally gonna do a development. He does it, he comes to the city, goes to planning, gets his permits. They do their job, they gave him the permits he needed. Then he gets the work done and he finds out later on from DPW that there's a disagreement. And he's upset. He feels that staff shoulda told him from the get-go. Even if the staff had done so, the result would be the same. He would be responsible for that drain. What difference does it make? That lapse of time. How did that in any way injure him? And if it did, if because he built something and now he's gotta put in this drain and rip it up, he should be filing a claim against the city, not going through this path. I don't know why we're subverting that process by doing this. What we are doing here is staff work. I don't know 'bout the rest of you but I got enough responsibilities just doing what is expected of me as an Alder. Staff works with developers. Sometimes they gotta come before us and get our okay, but otherwise staff does this work. This is not appropriate for us. Again, did I mention, staff did absolutely nothing wrong. They did their jobs. Staff can't read people's minds and know what the developer knows and doesn't know. We are not responsible for this, staff did not create this mess. We should not be trying to clean it up by doing this extracurricular procedure. It puts us into some very deep waters, risky waters, setting precedents that I don't even wanna get into. And I don't know why we'd wanna get into. And then lastly, for those who do wanna take this plunge. The committee did not discuss, they said 50/50, that's no dollar amount there. How much is this gonna cost? And where is the money gonna come from? As an Alder, I don't feel handling this, claiming this process is being fiscally responsible. To just say, oh we'll pay 50%. We don't know how much it's gonna be, you give us the bill, then we're gonna take the money from where? Our contingency fund, which is already woefully underfunded? Where? To go forward with this, I think it's important that we know how much money and where the money's coming from. But I don't even know why we'd get to that point. There's no reason for this item to be on our agenda. There are processes. If he has a complaint with the city, if he has a valid injury from the city, there is a process for that. And this is not it. He should follow that process. We should be honoring that process. I do not wanna touch this item in any way. I'm completely opposed to it and I hope we just vote this down. My answer is no.

- [Eric] Thanks Alder. We're gonna go to Attorney Bungert to provide some helpful legal context for this.

- Yes. So with this item, as it involves expenditure of. Yeah, sorry. Always forget. Okay. So with this item, since it's an expenditure of public funds, the council has two things before it: it needs to identify a public purpose for the expenditure, and it needs to identify the source of funds for that expenditure. At the INS committee hearing, the committee did discuss a possible public purpose in that paying for a portion of the storm sewer would benefit the public in helping alleviating flooding issues in the right of way. That is an arguable public benefit, there would be a public benefit in that. So that would satisfy, legally it would satisfy the public purpose doctrine. If Council does want to move in favor of this item, the motion would need to identify that public purpose explicitly and identify that funding source specifically. And also the recommendationn would be that a specific amount would be stated. So the amount of the expenditure should be capped not to exceed a certain amount. And that would be it.

- [Eric] Thank you Attorney. Alder from the 3rd.

- Thank you. Like to open up the floor. For interested parties, please.

- [Eric] The motion's made by Alder from the 3rd, seconded by Alder from the 9th to open the floor. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Alderpersons] Aye.

- [Eric] Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the floor is open. Sir, please state your name and address.

- Jeremy Kroll, 2744 Glendale Avenue. I guess all that is new that I'd have to add is this has all been investigated by people that I've had investigate it from every avenue it could possibly be investigated in. It didn't come up, whatever, blah blah blah. I understand people make mistakes. Whose mistake is it? We could pass blame on whoever, whatever, blah blah blah. If I would of known this ahead of time, I woulda built on the property next to mine. 'Cause I own three lots in a row and I wouldn't to had to pay anything. I woulda left it as is because with bringing the sewer-ing water in the back and the private storm sewer in the back, it essentially equals the price I paid for the full property, for the whole lot. So it means it's worthless. Whatever, we put that all aside. What I actually came up with today was kind of a solution for, I think, both parties, would be to maybe do like a tax, no tax on the building, I would still pay tax on the property. Like we're already collecting without the building there, for let's say like three years. That way I'm still paying property tax on the lot, which you were only gonna get anyways if the property wasn't there, and then I get the building tax-free for like three years. And I still pay for the storm sewer to be put in. And it almost comes out to a wash, I figured. I don't know exactly what the tax rate would be, but I would say then both sides are satisfied. You don't have to find a place to come up with the money, I don't have to pay the extra money, and it would be technically coming from my cost. And I think that's fair, and that's all I really have to say about it. Other than if, I guess, if we can't come to an agreement then I guess I'll have to just go the other avenue which would be civil, which I don't wanna do.

- [Eric] Okay, all right.

- Alder.

- What did your real estate agent say about this when you went to back to 'im?

- My real estate agent, I had title company. On this and there's nothing. There's zero, nothing. And according to the memo that I was giving, the best I got out of that was, that was a internal memo that was sent around to city, whoever was working for the city in certain places. It was an internal memo. How is somebody as a citizen or anybody supposed to know that? Okay, let's say I do my next property, build up the building and all of a sudden you're like, Hey, we found this memo from 2007 that says well you have to do this and this. Well.

- [Bill] So you think it's the city's responsibility to document somewhere. So that every time someone buys a piece of property, they tell that property owner All restrictions and everything it is that has to do with that property?

- Restrictions, yes. Especially when I pulled the permit for the sewer and water to brought in, which means it had to have been gone over. Somebody had to look at it. Somebody had to sign off on the permit. I had to bring the sewer and water in from the front.

- [Bill] So you don't think it's the real estate agent, the title company, or the previous owner that has any responsibility?

- They have nothing on it. No, no, no, what I'm asking you is you think they have no responsibility in this?

- I have no idea.

- [Bill] If you bought a car that was defective from a dealer, wouldn't you feel they were responsible?

- Well--

- [Bill] Yes or no?

- I mean, it's on--

- [Alderperson] Badgering the witness--

- Yeah, I mean, it's on the title thing.

- [Bill] I'm asking a question. Do you think he's responsible?

- I don't know. I'm not a car-buyer or in any of that business so I don't know. I'm just talking about real estate in general. Put yourself in my shoes, 'kay. You put yourself in my shoes. Say you go, you build a house. You get it all done and then your builder comes to you as you're about to move your whole family in out of your place 'cause you have to get out, and now your builder goes, Hey, just so you know, we found a memo, an internal memo between employees, nobody else, that says you have to put in a drain over to whosever property. What I wanna know is how it was ever legal in the first place for the city to drain the storm water from different streets not even on that street onto that property. Onto somebody else's property. Who would agree to that? I don't understand. Who would agree to say, Hey, you guys are having a storm water problem over here, hey, bring the water over to my property and drain it in my backyard, no big deal, because I got the drain back there, I'll take care of it. No big deal.

- [Bill] The reason why I'm bringing this up is I had something similar happen at some property I bought. And I was told it was my responsibility. After I went through all the whole process. And that's why I'm wondering why you think the city, that how much money are we talking about?

- It's about \$8,500.

- [Bill] And so you think the city is responsible for that?

- Absolutely.

- [Bill] All right, thank you.

- [Eric] Alder from the 3rd.

- I'll reserve.

- [Alderperson] I have a question for him.

- [Eric] Okay. Alder from the 9th.

- Thank you Mr. Kroll for coming to speak to us today. Just a couple questions, you said the 8,500 to install, is that an actual quote that you've received for that work, okay.

- Yes, yes from Dekeyser Construction.

- Okay, is it 8,500 exactly?

- [Jeremy] I think it's like 8,540 or something like that.

- Okay, so very close.

- [Jeremy] Yeah, it's very close to that, yes.

- Okay. And I do appreciate your tenacity of problem-solving on this as well, by offering up those solutions. When we get back into discussion I'll question with Legal. I'm not sure if that is legal, it's basically an abatement. We'll have Law weigh in on that but again, it's a good suggestion I think, for us. Secondly out of committee, the recommendation that committee made was to do the 50/50 cost share. If this body were to agree to that, is that amenable to you?
- [Jeremy] I was thinking a lot about that actually. Just because with lost time and effort and everything, I just want this to go away, really. But it's just preventing from further developing my future properties because I'm kinda maxed out on this deal, I just. So it's, that's where I'm at, I guess. But that would be a consideration I guess, I would consider that.
- Okay. That's all I've got. Thank you.
- [Eric] Thank you sir. Motion.
- Motion to close floor.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the 7th, second by Alder from the 3rd to close the floor. All in favor please signify by saying aye.
- [Alderspersons] Aye.
- Those opposed, nay. The floor is closed. Further discussion? Alder from the 9th.
- Thank you Mayor. If I could just, Joanne, Legal, his suggestion to do the, basically the abatement program, is that allowed by state law?
- I'm looking into it currently. It looks like there are certain entities, non-profit churches, other institutions and entities like that that are tax-exempt that qualify for status as abatement. I don't believe that this would be a situation that would qualify. But I can keep looking into it as council discusses.
- Okay. I understand Alder Scannell's point. And I agree that city staff didn't necessarily fail to do anything here. I think what we do have, though, is a failure in the process. Which is precisely why I submitted communication for us to reevaluate this, and that was referred to staff at the last Protection policy Committee meeting to determine what are the appropriate procedures in instances where we place orders on properties. And I know staff is going to have that discussion and come back with a recommendation on how they can handle these in the future. It's my understanding that with the new software program that we're using, I think it's called the Eclipse. Is that correct? Is it Eclipse?
- Mmhmm.
- Yeah, that that system now kind of fixes this a little bit, moving forward, meaning that these things are more regularly documented. Part of the problem that we have is the backlog that currently exists that it would be difficult to identify. So I do wanna support Alder Scannell and his comment that staff did nothing wrong here. But the system did break down in terms of our processes and our ability to notify this individual. And it's for that reason that I am gonna support, I'm gonna support this because I think it's best to just find resolution to this and move forward. Let's get this property on the tax roll. And if I could, I know there is a motion on the floor to basically approve it as-is. I'm gonna move to amend just to add a couple other disclaimers. Amendment to include that we'll cover 50% with a maximum of \$4,270. That it's a rebate, meaning that we need documentation demonstrating that the full invoice has been paid. And that we would waive the right to

file a claim with the Claims Committee. So that way there's not that sort of opportunity to ask twice for payment on this. So that I would move to make that amendment that, again, we reimburse, I'll just restate it: reimburse up to 50% or a maximum of \$4,270, with documentation of a paid invoice, and that we waive the right to file with the Claims Committee. That is my amendment.

- [Eric] Require the resident to waive the right.

- Right. So I'm not gonna agree here to pay 50% if he has the ability to come back to the Claims Committee and make another claim. That's why I'm making that in my amendment.

- [Eric] Question?

- [Aldersperson] Well we need a second first.

- [Aldersperson] Second first. Second.

- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the 9th, seconded by Alder from the 10th. Alder from the 1st.

- Thank you. So one of the questions that was answered, because I knew that it had to satisfy a public purpose and our attorney has said that it does indeed because of the flooding issues. I know with property taxes, all of the money doesn't go to the city. Some of it goes to the county, some of goes to the school district. I'm not sure that we really have the ability to waive their portion. So I'm sure that even if it's legal, if we really can do this. I would have a question on that. One other concern I have, and I agree with everything that's been said about the system breaking down, but it was not staff's fault in that there were several people that would've had responsibility. What precedent might we set? Because I'm feeling this, or I believe that this has happened before to other people and who will now be coming forward to us and saying, well, gee, this happened to me too and I would like half of my money back. And so I would, is there anyone that could give me a legal opinion on precedent-setting if we make this kind of decision? And if no one can give me that opinion tonight, then I would want to hold this until we can get a legal opinion.

- [Eric] Attorney Bungert.

- So as far as setting a precedent for other issues like this coming forward, it isn't necessarily setting a legal precedent but it is setting a policy precedent with respect to Council's actions. So it does certainly open the door for other issues like this to come before the council again. It wouldn't legally bind the council to continue providing actions like this, but it obviously, if the policy is set, it's difficult to.

- If this is practice that we have engaged in, I'm not sure how I would be able to defend voting a different way.

- Right. It wouldn't be a legal obligation to do it again, however, but it sets a policy.

- Okay, thank you. That's all I had.

- [Eric] Thank you Alder. Attorney Bungert, could you comment on whether or not we'd be able to require someone to waive the right.

- Yes, the ability to file a claim under 89380 would be completely separate from what the council is considering. The council is considering whether to expend public funds to pay for part of the storm sewer, and we wouldn't be able to make that expenditure contingent upon another, on a party to waive their right to filing a claim against the city for any other damages they may have sustained as a result of the city's actions, inactions, whatever that may be perceived by that party.

- [Eric] Thank you. Alder from the 4th.

- Thank you Your Honor. My concern here is everyone's looking at Green Bay to pick up the tab here. We didn't even sell this property. We didn't do the title search. We weren't the bank that forward the loan to him. Insurance company, any of that. We're merely the people that gave him the permits to build and do the work he did. And because we have some bad paperwork we found an old memo that said whoever owns that property and improves it has to do some other work on it. I'm having a hard time understanding why we have to pick up the bill for this. And I'm wondering how many other properties out of the thousands of properties that are out there that have these kind of things hanging around them that we're potentially gonna be responsible for and all, 'cause we're good guys and we want this guy to be able to open his rental property so he can make money and get this thing on the tax rolls. I don't think this is right. I think that there's other avenues that he can pursue, legal avenues, and if those don't pan out he can also pursue making a claim against us. I think that's the right way to do it. That's why we have that process there. So he makes a claim that goes through all the Claims Committee that looks at all the legal aspects here. We seem to be reacting from our guts here, which is nice, to wanna do nice things and help people out so they can get ahead and get their problem solved. But once we start going down that road, potholes, trees that fall down, I've got a neighbor with a pipe and a manhole in her backyard. And I had the city come and looked at it and they go, We don't know what this is from, we don't know where it came from. And the owner goes, Well I've only lived here 10 years, I don't know where it came from. Are we somehow gonna end up being responsible for that? Because we find some old paperwork from 40 or 50 years ago? Instead of whoever it was that owned the property and sold it to him being the one that's responsible for it? This opens up a door that I don't think we should be opening up. We have a process in place. That's why we have these processes, to avoid situations like this. And I'm not in favor of this at all. If he makes a claim and they say that we should pay him, I'm in favor of that. But I don't think we should be paying from this aspect. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks Alder. Alder from the 7th.

- Thanks. I just wanted to ask Alder Johnson when he made his amendment that the Attorney mentioned also that it should be, if I'm right, it needs to be specified where the money's coming from, and where are we gonna take this money from? If no one has who supports this, come up with that aspect, then I was hoping the Committee would be giving us some guidance on that. I'd like to know where, with our fiscally-tight budget and our woefully depleted contingency fund, are we gonna pick up this tab? Thank you.

- [Eric] Alder from the 6th.

- [Bill] Well, I don't have the floor.

- I just wanted to, when I heard that this drainage problem actually was the city diverting water down onto this property, that's what I have the question about. To me, that this would be legal, that the city can actually drain water onto another piece of property, and then demand that that person take care of that water.

- Director Grenier, do you wanna weigh in on that?

- The city did not drain anything onto private property. What we have is a situation where Irene Street existed between Debra Lane and Elkay Lane. Back in the early 2000s, the developer at the time asked for the south half of Irene Street to be vacated. The street itself drained to the south from Debra down to Elkay. When the street was vacated, the act of vacation was what interrupted that existing surface water flow. If you look at the diagram that's included with the memo, there are two catch basins in the front, or the south part of the property, that were the stormwater inlets in Irene Street before vacation. The reason this hasn't been a problem is until the property was built upon, the water continued to flow south along the original flow path of when the street was still there. Once the property was built up, that's what caused the backup. So it wasn't

necessarily that any city action is causing the stormwater issues out there, but rather the fact that the property is now improved is backing up water and causing the ponding in the remaining portion of Irene Street.

- That when he was building, then the city, I find this kinda odd that the city then wouldn't have known that he was filling this in and causing this drainage problem. And that he should've been noted. I just, somehow it should've been noted. When you build on a property and the city knows that's where you build it up, the drainage problem's gonna exist, wouldn't they have notified the property owner right away? Because like he said, if he woulda known, he never would've built on that piece of property. That's all I have. Thank you.

- [Eric] Alder from the 4th.

- Director Grenier, when someone buys a piece of property and they're gonna develop, does the city go into every one of those properties and look at drainage issues to advise the owner of that property, what they have to do for proper drainage?

- The Department of Public Works does not because our purview is within the public right of way, not on private property.

- And if this property was vacated in 2000, wouldn't the property owner at that time have been the person that knew if it was to developed?

- [Steve] Absolutely.

- So that person would be the person that would be responsible for telling the next buyer that this work had to be done, and not the city. Thank you.

- [Eric] Any further comments? So we a motion on the floor here. But one element of it is unenforceable according to Legal. And we don't have a funding source, so. That is also something that was noted by the law department. Alder from the 9th.

- Thank you Mayor. Joanne, could you please provide some clarity about why the waiver is not permitted if Mr. Kroll were to voluntarily engage in that?

- We wouldn't be able to make a public expenditure contingent on someone giving up their legal rights for something. It's two different topics, I guess. They're not interrelated, and it wouldn't be,

- So if Mr. Kroll were to file a claim with the Claims Committee, is the Claims Committee legally allowed to take into consideration a public expenditure that's been made to subsidize the installation of the storm sewer?

- The Claims Committee, as far as assessing, if a claim is made, the Claims Committee does review damages that are being claimed, and what other mitigating factors could offset those damages. So that could be something that would be reviewed. So if someone's claiming an X amount of dollars and Claims Committee is aware that they've received other monies to make them whole, that would be something that would be considered as a whole.

- Director Ellenbecker, could you please confirm for us how much money is left in the contingency fund?

- Yeah, just one minute, I will look it up. The 2019 budget was \$112,076. Year to date, we have spent an approved contingency transfers of 51,267 and 27 cents. There is a projected approved amount, yet for the legal costs for Oneida Trust of another 'bout \$12,000. That would leave us an estimated balance of \$48,809.

- Thank you. So admittedly, not being overtly familiar with the parliamentary procedure in this particular instance, can I just say that I would eliminate the waive to file the claim and then add that the funding source would be the contingency fund and the public purpose would be to eliminate flooding on Elkay Lane?

- [Eric] That's a motion to amend. We have a second? Seconded by Alder from the 6th. Further discussion?

- [Randy] Just one more thing.

- [Eric] Alder from the 7th.

- All right, just like to talk about the contingency fund for a minute. Just to be aware that our contingency fund has, over the past 10 years, has been, well in 2013 it was \$1,009,800 and every other year except for 2016 it was over hundreds of thousands. And 2016 it was 60,000. So this is the lowest it has ever been and I would be very, we still don't know what other contingencies we might come up with. I'd be very reluctant to use this money for this purpose when there is another procedure for him to take. Let him go to claims court. Why are we subverting that process? I'd like to know a good reason why we would subvert that process. If there's an injury he's suffered from the city, fine. There's a process for that. Why are we doing this? It makes no sense to me. It's setting a precedent that's completely unnecessary, stepping into ground that's completely foreign and beyond our scope in my estimation, and raiding our contingency fund. It just seems improper and unwise every way you look at it. I don't get it. So I still oppose this completely, thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you Alder. Further comments? So we have a motion on the floor to amend the motion to remove the waiver language, waiver of rights to a claim and identifying the funding source. We're gonna use the board on this, actually no, not on this motion.

- [Aldersperson] I request for the final one then?

- [Kris] Was there a public good purpose? I don't wanna state the obvious.

- Yes. Alleviating flooding at Elkay Lane.

- [Kris] Perfect, thank you.

- All right, all in favor of the motion to amend.

- [Aldersperson] What are we, can you read what we're voting on right now?

- I don't think I can be able to read that out. This is, Alder can articulate what the amended motion would look like.

- [Aldersperson] Is it on this amendment?

- Yes, it's on the amendment. So perhaps in the interest of clarity, just, in essence, wipe the board clean. We've got one motion. That motion to reimburse 50% of the cost up to \$4,270 for the installation of the mini storm sewer.

- [Aldersperson] So you have to rescind.

- With paid documentation. Funds to be dispensed from the contingency fund with the public purpose of alleviating flooding on Elkay Lane.

- [Eric] Okay. So that still is a motion to amend. Motion to amend. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Alderspersons] Aye.
- [Eric] All opposed, nay.
- [Alderspersons] Nay.
- [Eric] We're gonna use the board. So we have a tied motion, a tied vote on this motion to amend. I am gonna break the tie in favor to amend the motion. So we are now on passage of the motion as amended.
- [Aldersperson] So moved.
- Motion made by Alder from the 10th.
- [Aldersperson] Second.
- Seconded by Alder from the 8th. We're gonna use the board. This is on passage of the motion as amended. Alder from the 12th.
- Thank you. I just want to reaffirm with the city attorney. I'm really on the fence with this one because if we approve it, he could still file a claim. So City Attorney, are you absolutely sure that there's no way in the motion that Alder Johnson just submitted that we could put some stipulation, some legal language that he could not then place a claim against the city for the remaining 4,000 and some change?
- No, we wouldn't be able to make that contingency, simply because what Council is acting on right now is approving an expenditure of public funds for infrastructure that it has identified, serves a public purpose. The only contingency that a public expenditure can have is the fact that it's contingent on being served for a public purpose. And that its pursuant to the Public Purpose Doctrine. There aren't any other contingencies that can be place on public expenditures.
- Refresh my memory on the process of the Claims Committee. What that committee, who that committee consists of. We see that through our council meetings, could we overturn what the Claim Committee decide, things like that. If you could refresh my memory.
- The Claims Committee is made up of the city attorney, myself, the paralegal, the assistant finance director, and the risk manager. The Claims Committee has sole authority of approving claims up to \$25,000. Anything above the \$25,000 threshold has to come to Finance and to Council. Otherwise the Claims Committee has the sole authority in denying and approving claims. As far the process goes, the claimant submits a claim, within 120 days of the perceived injury or loss. The claim is forwarded onto our insurance carrier, an adjuster who reviews, makes a recommendation, and then the claims committee determines whether the city has liability and negligence and is therefore liable for the damages that the claimant is alleging and seeking reimbursement for.
- If we vote in the affirmative of the motion, would the fact that we as a council approve 4,000 and some change and then the gentlemen does file a claim, would the committee, could they use the first part of this, is that we have already approved the \$4,000 reimbursement, would that influence or could that influence the claim committee's decision to approve the remaining 4,000?
- The claim's committee wouldn't regard the council's decision in approving this expenditure as an element in its determination whether the city was negligent or not. That's strictly a negligence review as to whether we had a duty, we breached that duty, and we therefore owe damages. The expenditure or the reimbursement to this individual could potentially be used. If negligence is determined on the part of the city, that could certainly

be something that is used as far as calculation of damages or what we would offer as damages. But that would be the secondary part of the examination. The first part is ultimately determining whether this city is even responsible or liable in that situation. So that's the first part.

- One more question, and then Alder can answer that now. If hypothetically he does file a claim, could lost rental income be part of that claim? If you can't answer, that's fine. I don't wanna.

- Right, a claim can be made up of a number of things that would be entirely up to the claimant. As to what he or she feels is part of their entire set of damages that they feel that the city is responsible for.

- [Jesse] Okay, thank you.

- [Eric] Alder from the 7th?

- Just one thing here. Let's be clear what we're doing here. Forget about Mr. Kroll. That's not what we're doing here. It's not nothing to do with Mr. Kroll. What we're doing is we're saying, if a developer builds on his site and that development affects drainage, we're gonna help cover that cost. That's what we're doing here. We're taking public money to say to a developer, you developed on this site. That affects drainage, we're gonna help you out. It's got nothing to do with Mr. Kroll. Down the road this is what we've done, will have done. This is what we're approving. Don't think of Mr. Kroll filing the claim or anything else. It's totally separate. Got nothing to do with this actual decision, this item before us. We're deciding to take public money to help a developer when he developed on a site, it affected drainage. Are we responsible for that? Are we gonna help out with that? That's all we're doing here.

- [Eric] Alder from the 12th.

- Thank you, Mayor. I know we don't wanna get into that back-and-forth between council members. But I just wanna clarify the reason I asked those questions was that I would be in favor of Alder Johnson's motion if we could keep that language in of the claim. Regardless if it is a person at this point, it's hard to differentiate because this gentleman's been before our committee a number of times. And we try to look at things from an unbiased perspective and I certainly have. My only point was that when it comes to this situation, I would be in favor of the motion if we could put some language in that could prevent him from filling a claim in the future against the city in this issue. So that's why I'm conflicted. I appreciate Alder Scannell's comments. It's just I don't think it completely relates to what my point was. Thank you. Okay.

- No crosstalk, guys. So we do have the amended motion in front of us. We're gonna use the board. Motion fails, seven, five. So we're on to item eight.

- [Aldersperson] Mayor.

- Alder from the 3rd.

- [Aldersperson] I didn't have anything on the screen to vote.

- You voted.

- Oh, we did?

- Yeah.

- [Aldersperson] Is that when we voted before all this discussion? Oh okay, so that was like, what? Five minutes ago? Okay. All right, can you just let me know when you switch to the board?

- I don't have it in front of me right now.
- [Andy] Kim, do you?
- [Jesse] That would be me.
- Thanks, Jesse.
- [Andy] Matter of opinion that I-- That was a question, just please listen to me.
- All right, that's enough, Alders. We're on to item eight.
- [Aldersperson] Motion to approve.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the 3rd, seconded by Alder from the 1st. The item was pulled by Alder from the 9th. You have the floor.
- Thank you, Mayor. Director Grenier, I'm looking at the report that was provided in the council packet and there one item in particular that was discussed at the committee level that it doesn't appear the change was reflected in the document provided to the council. Specifically I am, sorry, I'm just trying to find it here. On page five, related to the parking citation rate history. The document indicates no change for disabled parking in 2020, and I believe there was change coming out of committee that was \$150 to \$500.
- It was 300.
- My recollection was that did not, that change was not adopted.
- [Eric] Alder?
- My recollection was that I voted, I was the only one that voted against the adopted rate change and that the other two Alders that were present did vote with that increase.
- Okay, that's entirely possible.
- So I just wanna make sure that, I guess I just wanna make sure then that as a council that we know what we're voting on, but in particular, I guess I'm going to make amendment that we reduce the disabled parking citation rate to, I thought it was 500.
- Three.
- 300 to 150. That's my amendment because that this is the number that was recommended by staff. And I just felt that that was a rather substantively large increase. And while I agree that it's an egregious offense I wanna make sure that the citation is set appropriately to deter that level of activity and based on the numbers that we got from staff at the meeting, I believe they said they issue around 40 citations a year. That seems to be like it's working as a deterrent. This is not me saying I support people parking in these stalls. This is me saying that if citations are meant to be a deterrent, I feel like we are achieving that.
- [Aldersperson] I'll second that.

- [Eric] All right, motion's been made and seconded. All in favor of the amendment to the motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [Alders] Aye.

- Sorry, Alder from the 8th.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. At Committee we moved to make the change from 150. I thought it was to 300 to match Appleton. I could be wrong if it was 500, but Appleton's at 300, Milwaukee's 200. Waukesha's almost 200, Menasha's 200. And of all the different parking violations that you can have, I think we all pretty much agree that especially the committee, that parking in a handicap spot is blatant of a problem as anything. If you're gonna do that, why not put the hammer down on it? Do we wanna slap 'em on the wrist, or do we wanna hit 'em a little harder, and say "don't do that again." And let word get around, you better not park in that spot. Green Bay takes it pretty seriously. They're not gonna slap you, they're gonna hit you. So vote against it, leave it at the increase. I know our surrounding communities are doing that, I think we can do that. Thanks.

- Thank you Alder, Alder from the seventh.

- Thank you, as I understand, staff recommended 150, is that correct? Can we get a word on staff, on why they came, how they came up with 150?

- When we surveyed the various municipalities, we had a chart that was also included as part of item number 10, the citation right rates from neighboring communities, of the communities that we surveyed, and those communities were Appleton, De Pere, Ashwaubenon, Howard, Bellevue, Milwaukee, Madison, Racine, Waukesha, Wausa, Eau Claire, Neenah, Menasha. The average citation rate for parking in a disabled zone is \$154.50, the minimum is 50, the maximum is 300. So staff recommended staying at the 150 rate because it was close to that medium. And my apologies, it was a two one vote, Alder Johnson is correct to move that up to the 300, which is the same as the maximum of the communities that we had surveyed.

- [Eric] Alder from the fourth?

- Thank you, your honor. \$300, I mean, there was a lotta traffic citations I gave out that didn't even come close to that. And when you think about it, \$300, many people that's a week's wages. And I, like Alderman, where he said, that is a horrible thing to do, for someone that's able to park in a disabled spot. For someone that does that, and for whatever reason they do it, to hit 'em up with somethin' that could be literally a week's wages, as so many people are marginal out there, put 'em in a hole that'd be very difficult to get out. And seeing as \$150 seems to be doing the trick, and getting people not to do it, I would be in favor of keeping it at \$150. Thank you.

- Any further comments? All right, there's been an amendment made to the motion to reduce the fee from 300 to \$150. All in favor of the motion? We'll use the board, on the request of the Alder.

- [Aldersperson] Go with what, 350?

- 300.

- 300.

- Motion fails, seven five. Motion on the floor is adoption.

- [Aldersperson] So move.

- Motion made by Alder from the 3rd. Seconded by Alder from the 5th. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alderspersons] Aye.
- Those opposed, nay.
- [Alderspersons] Nay.
- Board. Motion passes seven five. All right, we are on to report of the Protection Policy Committee. September 23, 2019. So motion made by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder from the 5th, to approve report M, which is the report of the Protection and Policy committee, from the meeting on September 23, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?
- [Aldersperson] Seven. Any other items? Item seven will be handled separately, hearing none other, all in favor of approving that report signify by saying aye.
- [Alderspersons] Aye.
- [Eric] Those opposed, nay. They ayes have it, the report has been approved with the exception of item seven.
- [Aldersperson] Motion to post.
- [Aldersperson] Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the 7th second by Alder from the 1st. The item was pulled by Alder from the 9th, you have the floor.
- Thank you Mayor. Doctor Vonck, I think this falls under your area. When you have business improvement districts, that want to place signage for sponsors, for different streetscape designs, within their respective districts, is that allowed?
- Depending on where the signs are going. So if it's going to go on private property, then it would have to comply with our sign ordinance, which has both regulations for temporary signage and long-term signage. If it is going to go in the public right-of-way, then it would go through the Department of Public Works, in terms of updating permission and or obstruction permits for those types of signs.
- I'm sorry. I don't think I need to make an amendment to this, I just, since it's being referred to staff. Alder Steuer, I think you wanted to maybe comment on this, but I would just ask that as staff reviews this, if they could also just review the policies related to business improvement districts.
- [Eric] Alder from the 10th?
- Thank you your honor, I agree with Alder Johnson. I was not at the meeting, but my purpose was to have some kinda information about this. So I feel it could go back, let's send it back to committee. And I'd like to have a report on the advantages or disadvantages of advertising on city property. So that's it.
- [Eric] All right, seeing no other requests to speak, all in favor of the motion to approve, please signify by saying aye.

- [Alders] Aye.
- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, item has been approved. Report of the Protection Policy Committee granting operator licenses.
- So moved.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the 3rd, seconded by Alder from the seventh to approve report N, which is the report of the Protection and Policy Committee granting operator licenses. Any names for which you'd like to be recorded as abstaining? Any names under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of the report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- [Eric] Those opposed nay? They ayes have it, the report has been approved, Item O, report of the Plan Commission, September 23, 2019.
- So moved.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the 7th, Seconded by Alder from the 1st, to approve report O, which is the report of the Planning Commission from the meeting on September 23, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?
- [Alderson] One.
- [Eric] Item one?
- [Alderson] Yeah.
- [Eric] Item one will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- [Eric] Those opposed, nay? The ayes have it, report has been approved with the exception of item one.
- [Alderson] Motion to approve. Motion made by Alder from the 7th.
- [Alderson] Second. Seconded by Alder from the 1st. Item was pulled by the Alder from the 3rd. You have the floor.
- Thank you. Just wanted to make a motion to open up the floor for interested parties, thank you.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the third, seconded by Alder from the 10th to open the floor, all in favor, please signify by saying Aye.
- [Alders] Aye.

- [Eric] Those opposed nay, the floor is open.

- You know I've been parking on Newhall street. This is my property, and I've had a business in the city for forty years. 35 years ago, I bought this property, it was zoned for business, and I bought it specifically to put my shops in the building. At some point, the federal government made a law, that in order to claim taxes on business property, you had to have a door directly for the public, coming into that property. I couldn't claim that as business expenses unless I kept my home completely separate from my business. So at that time, I had a couch in there, so I moved that out, but everything else in that was part of the storefront, and it's been that way ever since. About 10 years after having that property, because of the out-of-control situation with all the taverns on Main street, and a lot of murders and of all that kinda stuff, Dave Nanaig was the Mayor's assistant at the time, and he and my Alderman, who was Vanenbusch, brought up the idea to have all of the property between Main Street and University Avenue re-zoned to third residential. And they called a public meeting, which was down at City Hall, and we discussed it there. And I had just brought up, well, I have a business there. I probably better keep my property zoned business. And I'm right next door to TNT Crust, which is a factory, and they own two lots, the one directly behind me, and the one behind my next-door neighbors, and so we're surrounded, or I was surrounded by a factory on two sides. I had, when I bought my property, there was a photo studio on the other side, and I was concerned, how will this impact my running the business there? Well Dave Nanaig had assured me that, and the Alderman was there, that it would not impact me whatsoever, I was grandfathered in, I could continue operating my business as always, and I said well, I'm uncomfortable, I think I should stay being zoned business. And he said, and again, this is a meeting where all my neighbors are, and whatever, and we were all very concerned about the violence, and all of the problems there. So Dave goes come on, Lynn, you know--

- [Eric] Ma'am, I apologize for interrupting, but we do have a three-minute time limit on public comments, so if you could wrap up your comments at soon as possible.

- Oh, okay.

- Appreciate it.

- Well anyway, since my three minutes seem to be up, do you want me to leave this city? I mean, I'm willing to leave, there's a couple communities that asked me to relocate there. One of 'em really wants us to come. And they're bending over backwards. I've kinda taken hit after hit from this city, which is very business-unfriendly. If you notice, there were 35 businesses all along Main Street, half of which were run by women, when I started out. Almost all of them are gone. I hardly know any businesses run by women in the city anymore, that are little shops. And I think I'm the only green business, that I know of, in the city of Green Bay. But the city has behaved very hostile. And if you want me gone, my daughter doesn't wanna stay here anymore because our neighborhood is so violent. It's not a safe place. Her friends won't even come there. And I've asked, we went to visit the mayor twice, I even asked Andy if he'd come with me the second time, we told him about the drug problems there, other problems, and to no avail. So it's, Andy had suggested bringing it before the city council to find out, do you want shopkeepers in this city, or not? Do you want women to run businesses here? If you do then, you need to not have them be bullied by your inspection department, or your city attorney. The stuff that was done to me was very wrong. So I'm just letting you guys know, and you can make that decision.

- [Eric] Thanks for your testimony, Ma'am.

- [Aldersperson] Your Honor, I got a question.

- [Eric] Alder from the 3rd.

- I got a question for Austin, what is she lookin' for, specifically?

- [Eric] Repeat your question, Alder.
- Specifically, what are you looking for?
- Well, when I went to see the mayor, I said I would like a letter stating what I was promised by the city. That if you're zoned business, I'm not an in-home business, I have a storefront. Do I all the sudden, at some point 25 years later, lose that right to run a business? Because the city, 25 years ago, changed the zone and at this point, Dave Nanaig is no longer in city government. So what I'm wondering is, if I'm legally running my business there, and nothing has changed, can I have some documentation, because one day, I'm probably gonna be dead, and my poor daughter is gonna be stuck dealing with this kinda bologna, that the city pulls with people.
- [Alderperson] All right, I got it, thank you.
- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Motion?
- Motion to close the floor.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder from the 1st to close the floor, all in favor please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- [Eric] Those opposed, nay. Any further comments?
- I mean, I should
- Alder from the third.
- Well I guess, can she run their business from there? She's been doin' it for 30-plus years. I guess, what's the issue?
- [Eric] Yeah, we don't have any record of a 25-year-old letter.
- Okay, running business according to the city illegal, is that what you're, is that what it's coming to?
- Director Vonck?
- Sure, so Miss Austin's property, 1449 Morrow street, is currently zoned single-family residential. So it's an R1 zoning on the property. I'm not aware of a history of zoning or reform where that came in, but in terms of how we view her business as a home-based business, is legal, within the confines of our zoning code, in terms of it allows you to run a business out of your home, so long as there's minimal impacts, in terms of sales and traffic, and things like that. And we have felt that there have not been issues, in terms of, it's not impairing health, safety, or welfare of the neighborhood at all. So in terms of any enforcement actions, on the business, they have not been business-related. Our enforcement actions have been related to housing, and zoning, and code compliance. The issue that we have had, has had to deal with outdoor storage of materials, and that would be something, no matter the type of zoning, would not necessarily be allowed within our zoning code. And we would take the same type of enforcement actions in terms of that outdoor storage. If Miss Austin wanted to pursue a re-zoning of her property, the comprehensive plan, and maybe this was what she was talking about when it was created back in 2002, her parcel falls under medium intensity office, business, or residential. So there's potential for an upzoning of her property, if she desired so. She would have to go

through the process of making an application, going through planning commission, going through the public hearings, to get that re-zoned, depending on the proposed use. I can't say without seeing an application how we'd look at that. So future land use, yes, she could upzone, and run more of a business out of there than she does now. But our belief is that the business she is running currently complies within a similar home-based business for R1 residential. The issue has been with the storage of materials on-site, and that has been where the inspectors have been involved.

- To get away from, what's been stored?

- Some of the records, I believe most of it had to do with outdoor storage and mulch being stored, excess mulch in the front yard.

- Okay, so if she cleaned that up, would everything be okay, then?

- Yeah, and I think there was a process, it actually went through enforcement actions, and actually I think ended up in the court system with a citation, because the applicant did not clean it up. And so then it did enter the municipal court system, and there was a citation issued for this.

- Okay, Alderman Stevens stated that it's all cleaned up. Correct?

- Sure.

- Okay.

- And my understanding right now, both outstanding property are closed, so our view is that the property currently is in compliance.

- Okay, I guess that's what she's lookin' for. That everything's in compliance, correct, Mayor? Sounds like it, right? Okay, thank you.

- [Eric] Alder from the 4th?

- I guess I just found out, we just spent 10, 15 minutes over something that has already been solved. So I have nothing further.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Further comments?

- I'd like to make a comment, Mayor.

- [Eric] Yes, Alder from the 11th?

- I'm in favor of small business, in our city. I think it's the backbone of a lot of input in this city, small business, small restaurants, bars, agricultural type, I think it's a plus for our city, so I think we made a good choice here, to help this person out. Thank you.

- [Eric] I would agree. Any further comments? All right, all in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [Alders] Aye.

- [Eric] Those opposed, nay? The ayes have it, the motion has been approved. On to the report of the finance committee.

- Motion to approve.
- Seconded.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder from the 1st, to approve report P, which is the report of the Finance Committee, from the meeting on September 24, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?
- It's not a pull, I'd just like to have it noted that for number three, I vote no.
- Duly noted. Any items? All right, hearing none, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the report is been approved. Item Q, report of the Park Committee.
- Move to approve.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder form the 1st, seconded by Alder from the 7th, to approve report Q, which is the report of the Park Committee from the meeting on September 25, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- Those opposed nay, the ayes have it, and the report has been approved. Item R, report of the Personnel Committee.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder from the 3rd to approve report R, which is the report of the Personnel Committee, from the meeting on September 24, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?
- [Alders] Two.
- Item two, any others? Item two will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- Those opposed nay, the ayes have it, the report has been approved with the exception of item two.
- [Alders] Motion to approve.
- Motion made by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder form the 6th. This item was pulled by Alder from the 12th?

- [Alderson] Yes.

- Alderson, you have the floor.

- Thank you Mayor. Just have questions for the staff, I guess. With this issue, I believe this was a continuation of something we had approved as a council in July. And at that time, I remember appearing before the Personnel Committee, and I raised questions on what I saw, that the word religion was struck through, and the reasoning was, creed was sufficient. And then the committee and the council voted unanimously to put religion in. So it's not so much that I have a question on, but what I'm curious of, is how this comes up. This might be a question for Director Faulds. How did this issue come before city staff? Was this just a random audit, or just a natural update to our city policies and ordinances?

- [Eric] Director Faulds.

- I think it's a little bit of both. The Human Rights Campaign, is an organization that represents the LGBTQ community in the nation, so they did approach us, but we also wanna update our policies every year, to make sure we're up-to-date. So it's, they brought the LGBTQ part to our attention, but we just updated it to conform with the law.

- I'm not too familiar with, did you say Human Rights Campaign, what is that, exactly? Don't know, I don't know much about that group to make an informed decision, I guess.

- Yeah, the Human Rights Campaign, they're a group out of Washington DC, I believe. They're a national group, and they fight for rights for the LGBTQ community. And they have a scorecard for, I believe the top 10 cities in every state in the nation. And it's a, I think a voluntary scorecard, and they share it with us every year, and there was some items that we could improve on. And updating our policies to protect discrimination against the LGBTQ community was one of 'em, so that's why we made that change to it, and it's also, I guess, that we look at our policies every year, and that was the update we needed to make.

- And one other question, I know the reasoning in the past was that we wanna be consistent, I believe the memorandum you created said that we wanna be, to ensure that the city's policies are consistent with what was passed in July, and to make, actually, I'm sorry, I'm reading a little further. The first line of your memo it said in July the city and common council updated the objectives and scope. Equal employment opportunity and discrimination language in chapter one, to stay aligned with current interpretations of state and federal laws. State and federal laws, would that be found in the US Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and the State of Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development? Are those the governing bodies that oversee employment practices at the state and federal level?

- Yeah, that's correct, and I'm not sure if you said, the Department of Workforce Development is a state division as well, it oversees employment issues.

- Yeah, but the Fair Employment laws, though, on the state of Wisconsin DWD, Department of Workforce Development: "Employees and job applicants are not discriminated against "based on age, arrest or conviction record, ancestry, color, "national origin or race, creed, disability, "genetic testing, honesty testing, marital status, "military service, pregnancy or childbirth, sex, "discrimination, sexual orientation, and use or nonuse "of lawful products off the employer's premises "during nonworking hours," and on the US Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, they oversee, they're responsible for enforcing federal laws that make it illegal to discriminate against a job applicant or an employee, because of a person's: "Race, color, religion, sex, "including pregnancy, gender identity "and sexual orientation, national origin, age 40 or over, "disability, or genetic information." And it's illegal to discriminate against a person, because of the person: "Complained about discrimination, "filed a charge of discrimination, "or participated in an employment discrimination "investigation or lawsuit." So I just wanna make it clear that it's not that I'm opposed to any changes, but to say that the

changes are solely to be consistent with state and federal, at least from my very basic research, I don't know is entirely true, and I guess I'm a little hesitant to move forward with this with my approval unless I understand what the Human Rights Committee or Campaign is, a little more. There was not an explanation given at the last committee meeting that I viewed. So could you just gimme a little more background on that, so I feel comfortable? Is there going to be additional changes to our employment policies, I think you said to be compliant, or to raise our score? What, exactly is that?

- Well first, I'd just like to address what the state and federal law is. So the state of Wisconsin protects sexual orientation, and then the EOC under the sex discrimination have found that transgender and other LGBTQ qualifies under sex discrimination. That's where that comes from, the federal law, to be consistent with them. So the scorecard, I mean, there's a lot of different areas that they evaluate, for cities. I don't know 'em off the top of my head, but we can share with you what that scorecard is, and what they look at. I think that Celestine, excuse me, Chief of Staff Jeffries and the mayor can share that with you.

- [Jesse] If you could, I mean, if you could, I just wanna understand, I want it explained. It's that, there are a lot of organizations, lot of political activist groups, a lot of things that push forward things that are very important. So I don't want to, on the face, say that I am looking to discredit or disagree with the Human Rights Campaign, if that's what it's called. That's not the point. But any time that we enact, or change or update public policy, things that affect a public entity, I just wanna be very clear on who comes forward to represent their group's opinions on matters. And very similar I spoke out a while back, when we were talking about changing some of the liquor-based laws in the city, and policies. When the tavern league, for example, referenced something or proposed something, we have to look at it very objectively when other interest groups or third parties get involved in this political process. I wanna make sure that the council's fully aware of that involvement before we make these decisions. So again, it's not that I'm against this, I just wanna understand more about this group, so with that, Miss Jeffries, or Mayor, if you could explain a little more, I would appreciate it.

- Sure, I'll touch on it briefly, and then turn things over to Chief of Staff Jeffries, who's done a lot of work in this area. But Human Rights Campaign, as director Faulds said, is a non-profit, nationwide organization that works on pro-LGBTQ issues, and they've been doing this municipal equality index for quite some time. Green Bay historically has not ranked very high, and I believe, I think Chief of Staff Jeffries believes that we should have a city that is open, and welcoming, and inclusive of all members of our community here. And so we've worked with them, collaboratively, to improve that score, and to make it clear that Green Bay as an entity, as an employer, is open and inclusive, and as a community, is similarly situated. So, Chief of Staff Jeffries?

- Sure, thank you Mayor, thank you Alder, thank you Mr. Faulds. Human Rights Campaign has been doing this work for quite some time, and their municipal equality index is something that people who are looking to move in to our community, they look at this. I will say though, what I think I hear you saying Alder, is that you want to be concerned, welcoming, but also somewhat cautious about groups that solicit us, for, to make changes to our public entity here. And I hear your concern. And so what the mayor's office, and the HR department, and other departments in the city, we take the good work of the Human Rights Campaign as a launchpad, for other efforts to ensure that we are being open and welcoming to all different groups, diversity in the broadest sense possible. So not just people who are LGBTQ, who have different gender identities, but also people who come from different countries, who live in our community now. People who are younger, people who are older, people who have different abilities. So we wanna take this, I mean, I think that the Human Rights Campaign has done a lot of good work, and we're taking this as a launchpad, to make sure that our city is the city that we all live in, that it reflects the community. You're welcome.

- [Eric] Thank you Alder, Alder from the 8th.

- And I can certainly provide any, excuse me, I'm sorry, Mayor. I can certainly provide information to you. There are a number of indices that the Human Rights Campaign. What do our policies say, what commissions

do we have, what groups do we have that inform the mayor, that inform other departments. So there are many parameters, and I would be happy to provide those to you. You're welcome.

- [Eric] Thank you. Alder from the 8th?

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. I, too, had never heard of the Human Rights Campaign, I just brought it up right now on the web. And so I'm just curious, did the Human Rights Campaign, did we change our policies to, follow state and federal law, or did we change it to increase their rating for our city?

- [Eric] I think Director Faulds commented on that, but maybe reiterate?

- What was the main driver, there?

- Yeah, I can just tell you that I think what Chief of Staff Jeffries said is that HRC is the launching pad to put this on our radar, but I think if they didn't come up and talk to us, we still woulda made these changes, to be consistent with the laws, and to be welcoming and inclusive for all employees and members of the community.

- Okay, cause I know Miss Jeffries had stated that the Municipal Rating Index, people moving into our community look at that rating index. Well, I never heard of it. And I doubt if 99.9% of the people moving to Green Bay heard of it. So that's a poor reason to change something, cause maybe a small amount of people are looking at some index. But have we had other nonprofit groups approach us, about our fair employment policies, in the past, or currently?

- I have not been approached in the past two years.

- Did the Human Rights Campaign ask for any other changes or additions that we turned down, or are still perhaps looking at?

- So, the Human Rights Campaign essentially gives parameters, and they don't really ask for changes. They say, here are the other cities, in your state, other cities that are like you, that have gotten this particular score, here are the parameters by which we judge these cities, and it's up to youse to make changes that you feel are appropriate and necessary. There are some things that the Human Rights Campaign does in other states that we are prohibited from doing in the state of Wisconsin, for instance, like with domestic partner benefits.

- Okay, that completely went around my question. But my question was, did the Human Rights Campaign ask for any changes or additions that we turned down, or that we are still entertaining?

- I think Chief of Staff Jeffries said that's not really how they operate. They don't make demands, or asks.

- [Christopher] Are we entertaining any other changes?

- Yeah, I think there are a number of different areas, you can look up the scorecard, it's publicly available. so you can get a sense for what, right, but you can get a sense for what categories they're looking at.

- Yeah, I'm all for following state and federal practices, that's fine, we should follow state and federal law. Nonprofit group come forward and ask for changes to improve their index? Be careful with that, thanks.

- [Eric] Very good, Alder from the 7th?

- I almost rate it a point of order, really, this doesn't matter. Any group can come, any official can come forward and make suggestions, and we should be looking at the value of the suggestion, not where it came

from. It's got nothing to do with anything. This is entirely out of order. If a convicted felon comes before us, we should be, hey, I've got the floor!

- [Eric] Alders!

- If a convicted felon came before us, we should not be looking at that as a convicted felon, but at what he's presenting, and if it is valid or not, and have discussion from there. Whether he's a convicted felon or not, should not be part of our discussion. These organizations, what they are, what they represent, whatever, it's completely immaterial. Any group, any person can come forward to this city, to this body, and make suggestions, or whatever. Offer policies, say whatever they want. And we should be listening to every one of them, and taking what they say at face value as do we value this, do we think it's a good idea, yes, no? That's the discussion, that's the debate. So if there's anything within the policy here that's a problem, that's what we should be discussing. Not the stuff that's completely out of order.

- Alder from the 9th?

- Thank you.

- Thank you mayor, I certainly appreciate the points that Alder Brunette has brought up, just kind of understanding the background on some of these things. And I think at the end of the day, what we're trying to do is make some type of change. Certainly there is more systemic and cultural policies that are gonna have to address that. I really, looking at the verbage change, that was here, I mean, an obscure policy manual that will sit on a shelf. I don't think anyone's ever gonna see this. I really don't we're in compliance with the change, that's fine. If some constituents are served by it, I'm good with that. And it doesn't really change the context of anything that the city's doing. If the mayor wants to change the culture of the city, with respect to how we address that, I would expect to see some larger policies proposed that would help do that. In the meantime, I think what we've got before us is really business as usual.

- [Eric] Any further comments? All right. Hearing no further comments, all in favor of approving the motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [Alders] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay. The ayes have it, item has been approved. On to, I'm sorry, on to public arts.

- So moved.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder form the 3rd, seconded by Alder form the 7th. Sorry about that, to approve report S, which is the report of the Public Arts Commission from the meeting on September 25, 2019. Any items, this is the only item, to hold separately? Got one item. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Alders] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay, the report has been approved. T, report of the Traffic, Bicycle and Pedestrian commission.

- So moved.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder from the 3rd, seconded by Alder from the 7th to approve report T, which is the Traffic, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Commission, from the meeting on September 16, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- [Eric] Those opposed, nay, the ayes have it, the report has been approved. Resolutions?
- [Aldersperson] So moved.
- [Kris] You may under suspension of the rules adopt resolutions one and two together with one roll call vote.
- Move to suspend.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the 1st, seconded by Alder from the 6th to suspend the rules, take up these items with one roll call vote.
- [Aldersperson] You honor, if I could be noted as number one this time.
- So noted. All in favor of the motion, say that again Alder? Opposed to item one? Alder from the 6th, all right, noted. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- Those opposed, nay? The ayes have it, the rules are suspended, I'll entertain a motion to adopt rules one and two.
- So moved.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the 1st, seconded by Alder from the 10th, please use the board.
- [Aldersperson] Your honor, how do I vote on there?
- I noted.
- It's noted, so you can,
- Yes?
- Yeah.
- How you want them for the other one. Item passes 12 zero. On to ordinances first reading. Entertain a motion to advance items one and two, is
- You have to do,
- Yeah, all of from the first.

- I thought we had another resolution, about the bridge?
- That was in a--
- That was in another report.
- [Eric] Yeah, that was in the INS.
- Oh. Okay, thank you.
- [Aldersperson] Call that a point of order?
- Yeah, I think you would be able to,
- [Kris] We have to suspend the rules again, though, okay?
- For?
- [Kris] For the first reading.
- Oh, okay. Let's dispense with the ordinance's first reading, and then we'll come back to that question.
- [Kris] You may under suspension of the rules advance ordinances one and two to a second reading.
- Motion to suspend.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder from the 3rd, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- Those opposed nay, the ayes have it, and--
- Motion to advance.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder from the 3rd, all in favor please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye. Those opposed, nay, the ayes have it, and they've been advanced to the final reading.
- [Kris] Yes, but we present a majority they can reconsider.
- Right, reconsider. Make a motion to reconsider, report from INS.
- So do that right now?
- Yeah.
- Am I on there? I'd like to make a motion to look at INS number six--

- Reconsider.
- Reconsider.
- The report.
- The report, for that item.
- [Eric] Had a motion made to reconsider,
- Believe it's number, INS...
- [Eric] The approval of the report. There's a motion made to reconsider the approval of the report from the INS committee.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder from the 7th, all in favor of reconsideration, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- Those opposed nay, the ayes have it. All right, so I will entertain a motion to approve and then,
- [Alder] I'd like to make a motion to approve.
- Motion made by Alder from the 10th. Seconded by Alder from the 9th, to approve report L, which is the report on Improvement Services Committee from September 25th, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately Alder?
- Number six.
- Number six. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying--
- [Kris] We haven't done four.
- He said six.
- [Kris] The specific item, or the entire report? No, entire report.
- [Alders] No, we already voted on everything else. I'm just,
- Right.
- It should be,
- Right. Okay.
- My understanding is that it was just supposed to,
- No, I did the report.

- Then we'll need to, redo the vote on four. Unless four was denied. It didn't pass.
- Four failed.
- Wait.
- The entire report, or,
- We just want six. Can we go back?
- That's what it was.
- Okay.
- Wanna reiterate what your motion was, which was to reconsider that item, specifically?
- [Aldersperson] Just the one item, though?
- Yeah.
- Not the entire report, just six.
- Just number six. So wanna reconsider, I just wanna read something for the record.
- [Eric] Motion made to reconsider item six, from the report of INS items.
- Second.
- [Eric] Seconded by Alder from the 6th, all in favor?
- [Alders] Aye.
- [Eric] All opposed, nay?
- [Aldersperson] Nay.
- [Eric] The ayes have it, item is before us.
- Thank you your honor. There is a resolution here that each of the council members has a copy of it. It's more or less talking about the resolution in support of renaming the Walnut Street Bridge, in Green Bay as the Bart Starr Memorial bridge. So I'm not gonna read this, I'll leave it to you. But this is my proposal, and I would like, it is a state highway, so this is more or less a resolution from the city to state that we support this, and that we'll let the state handle it at their end. That's all.
- Great idea, wish I'd thought of it. Thanks to our state delegation for moving forward with this change on a bipartisan basis, and hopefully we'll be able to get it through the legislature and to the Governor's desk.
- [Aldersperson] Need a motion, and second.
- Motion to approve the resolution as presented.

- [Aldersperson] Motion to approve this resolution.
- So a motion has been made to approve the resolution as presented, do we have a second?
- [Aldersperson] Second.
- Seconded by Alder from the 7th, Alder from the 1st?
- I just want a clarification, because we voted to receive and place it on file, and then we voted to submit it. So do we just not, do we have to vote separately on receive and place on file, or are we just ignoring that?
- [Kris] The motion to reconsider item six negates,
- All that, okay, so we're just doing the resolution?
- [Kris] And the motion is now to pass the resolution.
- So that receiving and placement file is off there, that's not even on there anymore? Got it, okay, thanks, that's all I needed.
- [Eric] All right, motion has been made and seconded, to pass the resolution on to our state elected officials. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alders] Aye.
- [Eric] Those opposed, nay, the ayes have it, the resolution has been approved. We're on to W, referral of petitions and communications. Clerk, do you have any late communications?
- [Kris] I do not.
- [Eric] Any other communications, Alder from the 11th?
- This is for INS, "city crew is to plow Chantal street "from 9th street to 7th street in front of Kennedy school "during school hours and after school hours, "as buses and parents pick up and drop off students." Request from Kennedy school and parents of students, and in the district. They've had a problem there in the past, with, the buses can't get in properly, parents can't get in properly, so if they could just pay a little more attention to this corridor, during the morning hour. They go down 9th street basically, but actually the school, where they drop everybody off is on Chantal. So if they could just address that we talked to the committee.
- [Eric] Very good, Alder. Any other communications? Alder from the 1st?
- I have two. The first one is to DPW in Improvement and Services, to request that the Department of Public Works, when it is developing its 2020 service plan prioritizes all areas in the city where residential flooding is occurring. And that in-depth research and planning continues to be done to prevent future flooding, with the understanding that this will be an extensive and expensive plan when completed. And my second is to request the Department of Public Works, Improvement and Services consider the proposal from Brown County to partner with the city of Green Bay to change and improve drainage in the Nickolay Drive and Beach Lane areas. And to include the necessary funding in the 2020 budget.
- [Eric] Thanks Alder, Alder from the 4th?

- Thank you your Honor. INS I think this is for you, put in a crosswalk lighting system on East Mason and Hartung street, similar to the lighting system that currently is on Webster Street by the hospitals. This is to enhance crossing for our East River Trail. It goes across there for pedestrian and bikes. And DPW requests for a reconstruct on Congress and Spring streets.

- [Eric] Alder from the 12th.

- Thank you Mayor, I have two. One to Improvement and Services for discussion with possible action on improving flooding issues by removing dirt in ditches and around culverts on Hillcrest, specifically near Westway. And this one, I'm gonna need help, from the city attorney's office, but it's for discussion with possible action on creating an ordinance allowing golf carts on city streets. I'm thinkin' traffic policy protection, sustainability. Sustainability didn't think it was theirs just yet. Okay, Protection and Policy, thank you.

- [Eric] Any others?

- And by the way, it's not my idea, it's a constituent request that I'm working on, so we've got a lot--

- If you say so.

- Yeah, well, I might be in favor of it, but there's a lot to discuss.

- I'll entertain a motion to refer all petitions and communications, motion made by Alder from the 7th, seconded by Alder from the 3rd to refer all eight petitions and communications to the proper authority. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Alders] Aye. Those opposed, nay, okay the ayes have it. Petitions and communications have been referred, motion to adjourn?

- Right here.

- Second. Motion to adjourn has been made by Alder from the 3rd, second by Alder from the 7th all in favor signify by saying aye.

- [Alders] Aye.

- [Eric] Those opposed nay? We are adjourned.