



## **MINUTES OF THE GREEN BAY PLAN COMMISSION**

**MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2019, 6:00 PM  
CITY HALL, ROOM 604 - THE HARRY MAIER ROOM**

### **A. ROLL CALL.**

I. Members: Timothy Gilbert - Chair, Sidney Bremer - Vice Chair, Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lisa Hanson, Jacob Miller, Randall Petrouske and Jerry Wiezbiskie

Members present: Veronica Corpus-Dax, Sidney Bremer, Randall Petrouske, Lisa Hanson, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Jacob Miller, Excused: Timothy Gilbert

Others Present: Ald. John Vander Leest

### **B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.**

I. Approval of the agenda for the September 23, 2019, meeting of the Green Bay Plan Commission.

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Jerry Wiezbiskie to approve the agenda. Motion carried.

Yes- Jacob Miller, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Lisa Hanson, Randall Petrouske, Sidney Bremer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

### **C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.**

I. Approval of the minutes from the August 12, 2019, meeting of the Green Bay Plan Commission.

Moved by Jerry Wiezbiskie, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve the minutes. Motion carried.

Yes- None, No- None, Abstain- None

#### **D. REGULAR BUSINESS.**

1. Consideration with possible action regarding the late communication from Ald. Andy Nicholson, District 3, to review the Green Bay Economic Dept. Policy at 1449 Morrow Street Business. Referred from the August 20, 2019, Common Council Meeting.

Staff Recommendation: Receive and place on file.

Moved by Lisa Hanson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to receive and place on file the late communication from Ald. Andy Nicholson, District 3, to review the Green Bay Economic Dept. Policy at 1449 Morrow Street Business. Referred from the August 20, 2019, Common Council Meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Jacob Miller, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Lisa Hanson, Randall Petrouske, Sidney Bremer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

2. (ZP 19-18) Consideration with possible action on the request to authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a two-family dwelling within a Low Density Residential (RI) district at 621 South Clay Street, submitted by Christopher and Christine Jandrain, Mobeta, LLC., property owner (Ald. B. Galvin, District 4).

Moved by Lisa Hanson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Jacob Miller, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Lisa Hanson, Randall Petrouske, Sidney Bremer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Lisa Hanson, seconded by Randall Petrouske to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Jacob Miller, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Lisa Hanson, Randall Petrouske, Sidney Bremer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Jerry Wiezbiskie, seconded by Randall Petrouske to authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a two-family dwelling within a Low Density Residential (RI) district at 621 South Clay Street, subject to:

a. Compliance with all other regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code not covered under the Conditional Use Permit.

b. Compliance with the applicable Uniform Dwelling Code (UDC) building requirements related to a two-family dwelling.

Motion carried.

Yes- Jacob Miller, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Lisa Hanson, Randall Petrouske, Sidney Bremer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

3. (ZP 19-20) Consideration with possible action on the request to amend Zoning Ordinance 17-16 to incorporate development plans for a retail business at 2460 University Avenue, submitted by Tom Radenz, REI Engineering, on behalf of University Avenue Center, LLC, Property Owner (Ald. C. Stevens, District 5).

**PLEASE NOTE: The Request is to Amend Zoning Ordinance 7-16**

Moved by Lisa Hanson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to open the floor for discussion.  
Motion carried.

Yes- Jacob Miller, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Lisa Hanson, Randall Petrouske, Sidney Bremer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Lisa Hanson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to close the floor for discussion.  
Motion carried.

Yes- Jacob Miller, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Lisa Hanson, Randall Petrouske, Sidney Bremer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Lisa Hanson, seconded by Jerry Wiezbiskie to amend Zoning Ordinance 7-16 to incorporate development plans for a retail business at 2460 University Avenue, subject to:

- a. The amended Planned Unit Development District Ordinance (PUD). Motion carried.
- b. Permit the required loading area to be located between the principal building and the street right-of-way.

Motion carried.

Yes- Jacob Miller, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Lisa Hanson, Randall Petrouske, Sidney Bremer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

**E. INFORMATIONAL.**

I. Director's Report.

Cheryl Renier-Wigg presented the Director's Report.

2. Date of next meeting: Monday, October 7, 2019.

**F. ADJOURNMENT.**

Moved by Commissioner Lisa Hanson, seconded by Commissioner Randall Petrouske to adjourn. Motion carried.

Yes- Jacob Miller, Jerry Wiezbiskie, Lisa Hanson, Randall Petrouske, Sidney Bremer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

## VERBATIM MINUTES

- Let's come to order, I want to welcome everybody to this meeting of the Green Bay Plan Commission. My name is Sid Bremer, I'm substituting for Chair Tim Gilbert. First item on our agenda is, oh and I forgot to say the date, this is the 26th, 23rd--
- 23rd.
- of September, the first day of Fall 2019. And we now need a motion for a roll call. No we don't, we just need to call the roll. Timothy Gilbert is excused. I am Sid Bremer, present as Vice Chair. Alder Corpus-Dax?
- Present
- Commissioner Hanson?
- Present
- Commissioner Miller?
- Present
- Commissioner Petrouske?
- Present
- And Commissioner Wiezbiskie?
- Present
- Then next item is the approval of the agenda. I'd like a motion for that please.
- Motion to approve the agenda.
- Second.
- Okay, Alder Corpus-Dax, and second by Commissioner Wiezbiskie All those in favor please say aye.
- [All] Aye.
- Any opposed? Okay, approval of the minutes from the August 12th meeting, 2019.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- We've got a motion from Commissioner Wiezbiskie, a second from Alder Corpus-Dax. All those in favor?
- [All] Aye.

- Any opposed? Now, the regular order of business. The first item is consideration with possible action regarding the late communication from Alder Andy Nicholson, District 3, to review the Green Bay Economic Department Policy at 1449 Morrow Street Business. Referred from the August 20, 2019, Common Council Meeting. And Paul.

- Well, recommendation is to receive and place on file, the request. We don't have a report for you. We have some background information that's included within the agenda. But our department does not have a policy on that particular property necessarily. It's a Low Density Residential property. It may have a home-based occupation in that home, but it's not a candidate for a zoning change or anything to that effect. So we just simply requesting that it be received and placed on file.

- Okay, any discussion on that matter? A motion?

- So moved.

- Second.

- Second.

- Sorry, Commissioner Wiezbiskie, you got beat out this time.

- Mm hm.

- A motion by Commissioner Hanson--

- Yeah, that'll be the first time my name won't be on a motion.

- Second by Alder Corpus-Dax. And those in favor, please vote on the voting pad.

- I'm waiting for Jerry.

- It's not saved.

- Oh.

- That dang little save down there at the bottom always gets me.

- Unanimously approved.

- Okay, thank you very much, Jessica. Item number two, consideration with possible action regarding the request to authorize a Conditional Use Permit, a CUP, for a two-family dwelling within the Low Density Residential R1 district at 621 South Clay Street, submitted by Christopher and Christine Jandrain, Mobeta, LLC, Property Owner. Paul, it looks like you're up again.

- I'm up, yes, thank you. This is a request for a Conditional Use Permit to reestablish a two family dwelling at 621 South Clay Street. The property is highlighted in red. This is East Mason Street here to the north, Webster Avenue, the Hospital Corridor is just to the west here. This is South Clay between Cass and East Mason. This is an area across from Baird Park. There is quite a variety of uses going on here. There's some single family homes that actually front East Mason. There's a two-family that's just to the north of the subject property. There's a three-family just to the south. And then there's some single families that round out the neighborhood that front on Cass. So the Comprehensive Plan recommends medium intensity retail, office, or housing. This conversion back to a two-family is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. And the zoning for

the area is mainly of Low Density Residential R1. Again, Low Density singles and two-families. There is some commercial zoning. Bellin has a remote parking lot here. The Altrusa House has a Planned Unit Development, they're just to the northeast. So that's kind of the existing zoning for the area. We did notify affected property owners in the area. We haven't received any calls or questions regarding this. There is some correspondence from the applicant that's included within your agenda talking about some remodeling projects on that site, and the kind of narrative about the particular property. We recommend approval of the request. There are two conditions. One is compliance with all the regulations of the Municipal Code not covered under the Conditional Use Permit. And secondly, compliance with the UDC Code, that's the Uniform Dwelling Code, that it is going from a single-family to a two-family, still under the same dwelling code, but has to be brought up to code, the second unit. The applicant is here tonight if you have questions of him.

- So any questions, first of all for Paul from our--

- So basically it was just, one of the units has been vacant for over a year, so it lost that--

- Non-conforming status, correct.

- Okay.

- Any other questions? Does anybody have questions for the applicant?

- Motion to open the floor.

- Second.

- [Christopher] Can I raise a point about this?

- We're opening the floor.

- If you would be so good, we have to vote to open the floor.

- [Christopher] Oh, I'm sorry.

- Thank you very much, and then we'll be with you. So, all those in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Any opposed? Okay, and you wish to speak, if you'd come up to the podium there, and give us your name and address first of all.

- I'm Christopher Jandrain. My address 3024 Valley Creek Drive in Green Bay. I guess that's great. I appreciate the vote in favor of the proposal. One thing I just wanted to point out, or just clarify, was that I had a situation back several months ago where they allowed me to keep a second garage on the property, which was a separate request. Part of that they said that I should have to abandon the north driveway. So there was a new driveway that I built, and the original driveway was to the north of the house. So part of the Conditional Use Permit application that I applied for, I noted in the description that I would like to allow for the use of the original north driveway, which makes sense as a two-family unit because then each unit would have its own driveway and it's own garage, the way the property's currently laid out. So I guess I just, the only reason I'm standing up here is to maybe clarify that you're also approving the use of that north driveway.

- Okay, first of all, we did not vote to approve the request, only to open the floor so that you could speak. And we appreciate very much your letting us know about that desire. Unfortunately, this particular Commission is not in charge of approving that kind of a change. Paul, can you explain to the gentleman?

- Sure, yeah. So the applicant was before the Board of Appeals and sought a variance for a second detached garage. As part of it there was a condition to remove one of the drives. So this request before you is simply for a Conditional Use Permit to reestablish the second unit. It's not about the number of drives or setbacks, or anything to that effect, it's simply the use of the property.

- Okay.

- Okay.

- So he would have to go back to the Board to get that addressed.

- Okay.

- Okay.

- [Christopher] That's fine.

- Any other questions that people might have for Mr. Jandrain? Thank you for being here with us. I'm very impressed by the list of upgrades that you have in mind, both structurally and technically, in terms looks. Thank you.

- Okay.

- Any other comments from the Commission?

- Move to close the floor.

- Second.

- All those in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Any Opposed?

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Okay, motion to approve by Commissioner Wiezbiskie, second by Commissioner Petrouske. All those in favor, please vote on the voting pad.

- That's a yes, Jerry? Are you voting to approve?

- I approve, yeah, it's not coming up on this.

- He's trying to vote.

- On my piece of stupid stuff here.

- Okay, approved unanimously.

- Thank you very much. You now have your approval, and this will go to the Common Council, the City Council at its next meeting on?

- October 1st, I believe.

- October 1st, so you may want to be there in case they have any questions for you. It's a good sign that we had none.

- [Christopher] Okay, thank you.

- Thank you very much. Okay, the next item on the agenda then, consideration with possible action on the request to amend the Zoning Ordinance number 17-16 to incorporate development plans for a retail business at 2460 University Avenue, submitted by Tom Radenz, REI Engineering, on behalf of University Avenue Center, LLC, Property Owner. And it looks like we've got Dave here to help us out with this.

- Thanks, thank you, Madam Chairman. So the property's outlined in red. It's sort of a, well, it comes off of University Avenue, and it's technically on University Avenue, this stub. So here's University Avenue, it runs down University Way on University Avenue, and there's a stub here that kind of ends in the parking lot of the Festival Foods. This road has been closed. It's maintained by Festival Foods, but it still is a public right of way, primarily to provide access to Riviera Lanes, which is located on that street. So the site itself is about one acre, a little over one acre, 1.05. You can see Festival Foods is to the west, and the Festival Foods parking lot to the north. We have multi-family to the south. To the east there are a couple of sort of historic car lots. And then to the west is the driveway for Riviera Lanes. So that kind of gives you an idea of where the area is. That big open space there next to Clement is the location for Kwik Trip right now. This is just sort of flip it around, this is what the site looked like last year when this aerial photo was taken. And you kind of see the apartments and the other uses around there. As far as the Comprehensive Plan goes, the Comp Plan recommends commercial uses for this site, as it does along the whole University Corridor. You can see how the road pattern was laid out at that time, as far as the uses go. I also want to point out that this site is part of Catalyst Site number 2 in the University Avenue Redevelopment Plan, and in fact, it's the last site to be developed in that Catalyst Site. So the University Avenue Plan recommended a commercial concentration in this area, and they actually referred to this area as the triangle or the University Avenue commercial gateway. To kind of give you an idea, the zoning out here, you can see the heavy black line is that Planned Unit Development, that encompasses not only this site, which was referred to in the Ordinance as Lot 4, but it takes in the Festival Foods site, which is here, sort of a multi-tenant commercial out-lot, so to speak, and then the Kwik-Trip site. As I had mentioned, this is the last one to be vacant. So that was approved in 2016. In 2017 the property owner came and got an ability to temporarily use it for parking. That expired this year. They are fortunate enough now to have a tenant who's interested to go in there and construct. So that would finish off this whole Planned Unit Development. Ordinance 17-16 did specifically require any development plans to come back through and amend this PUD. So that's why we're here tonight. So this is a sort of a little bit older iteration of their proposed site plan. So it's a 9,200 square foot building, and you can see where that is. About 33 parking stalls, access would come off of that, University Avenue, with a widened driveway. There's a dumpster enclosure to the east of the building. Also, it's a little bit hard to see, some bike rack parking here, pedestrian access is required by code and leads out to the street. And a large southern setback that's what we call a buffer, transitional yard. This one's a little bit easier to see, it's colored, it's nice. So you can see the extensive landscaping on the south. There's also quite a bit of landscaping there on the north. And what you didn't see on the other plans are sort of the islands in the middle, and I'll kind of get to that in a second. So when we look at this site itself, it either has to meet the requirements of the Planned Unit Development, or meet the Zoning Code if it refers back to the Zoning Code. So this site plan, working with the petitioners back and forth, we got it to where it meets all the conditions of the PUD or the Zoning Code with the exception of actually four items, and your staff report's only going to refer to three. There was one that I had missed in there, and I'm going to add it sort of on the fly here. So the first was the vehicular access, and that's that driveway leading out to University Avenue. Now it's an existing driveway, but when you redevelop the site you have to redevelop the driveway as well. So they're proposing that to be at 40 feet at the property line and 50

feet at the curb, that's 10 feet wider than our Zoning Code allows. But because of the traffic movements, the site is very narrow, or not very deep I should say, it's wide, but not deep. Because of the traffic movements for delivery trucks, it's infeasible to operate with a smaller driveway. Staff looked at that, we had them run traffic movements. They provided several maps with truck traffic movements on the site, and we completely agree that to be able to access the site it needs to be wider. Additionally, this road runs to and services the driveway to Riviera and the driveway to Festival Foods. So there's not a huge amount of impact with that wide curb cut. Typically we like to keep them tight so you don't have cars flying all over the place and it becomes a traffic safety issue. Second item was buffering of the residential uses to the south. Now, if you remember all those apartment buildings are to the south. The original PUD required extensive landscaping, which they have included here, but it also required a four to six foot high privacy fence or solid fence. And you can't really see it on here, but the neighbors to the south have a six foot high privacy fence on the lot line already. So in discussions with the petitioner and the property owner, it didn't really make sense. They were willing to put a fence up, right up next to another fence, or maybe three foot off to maintain it, but it really didn't make sense to have that. So this PUD was drafted to say, I don't have the exact language, but to basically say, if something happens to that fence on the adjoining property, if it falls into disrepair, if it's removed by choice, and otherwise no longer acts as a buffer, that the lot owner here will put up a new fence at that time. So we're not making them double it up right away, but we keep that as a sort of a card in our pocket if that happens. Because again, the goal is to buffer that housing from the commercial operations. The one that I hadn't mentioned, and I'm going to go back, in the staff part, I'm going to go back, is the loading zone. So you can see the loading zone is being shown here in the parking lot. Again, a very, very tight site. Don't really want to go around the building. The petitioners are very sensitive to the neighbors to the south. As you can see, they tried to keep everything to the north, far away from the residential properties. So they located the loading zone there rather than on the west side. As I had mentioned, primarily to keep it far away, but also to use the building itself as a giant buffer to the loading areas. They're going to regulate their times for delivery so it's not going to impact their business operations, obviously, of their tenants. But that's going to require an exception, I'm going to have to add that, and you'll see it on the recommendation that it was added to the recommendation. And the last was landscaping. So, our code requires 10% internal parking lot landscaping. And if you notice, they do have some islands in here, but they come up just over 5%. The goal of internal parking lot landscaping, one, it's to beautify the site, to break up giant heat sinks, and also it's long term aesthetics that raise values all over. So talking with the petitioner, it was very difficult for them to get in the 10% with islands for two reasons. One, was the truck turning movements. Again, you'll see they have large areas that are striped out where the trucks are going to have to go, and make some movements. But also the tenant has a minimum parking requirement. Now it far exceeds what our Zoning Code requires, but the tenant requires a certain amount of parking stalls. And if they start taking away more for those islands they couldn't do it. So a compromise that came back between the petitioner and staff, was the PUD requires the whole site to have a 20% green space, or a maximum of 80% impervious surface, buildings, and parking. So they're going to come back, this site plan only has 70% impervious surface, but only 5% interior parking lot landscaping. So we're getting more exterior green space at the loss of the interior parking lot. And the one thing that I would point out, if we required the 10% interior parking lot landscaping we would get probably about an additional 900 to 1,000 square feet of green space. The 10% reduction in impervious surface gets us about 3,800 square feet of green space. Now I'm not saying they would max out their impervious surface anyway, but that trade-off, we end up with more green space at the end of the day. And, as you can see, their conceptual landscape plan, they didn't skimp on their landscaping along the frontage. They have plantings inside the islands. So I think the intent of the Code is being upheld. So those are really the three big items. The building itself, it was required within the PUD to match the architectural style and materials of the Kwik-Trip, Festival Foods, and the outlying building. They all had to look the same as sort of a campus. So you'll notice some of the materials that are being used here, the color, the patterns, the striping, all kind of look similar to what you see out there, not exact, but similar so that it matches and kind of has that whole campus feel. We looked this over, and that would meet the standards of the PUD. So we are recommending, well, I should say that Alderman Stevens, affected property owners within 200 feet, a little over 200 feet of Lot 4, have all been notified. We didn't receive any calls or questions. We are recommending approval of the amendment to the PUD, subject to the PUD that's in your packet. And then this orange one is the new one, to permit the required loading area to be located between the principal building and the street right of way. And I'll change

the PUD amendment in there before it goes to Council, if you decide. The property owner and the petitioner is here, so if you have any questions.

- Okay, Commissioner Wiezbiskie.

- That loading area, isn't that cutting into the green space? It looks like you're using that for two things.

- Right, the loading area would actually be here.

- In front of it?

- Behind the green space, which is curbed, and then it would be in this parking area, in this drive aisle.

- Okay. Kind of a weird situation with a, are they going to have a roof over it, or how high?

- No, it's just an area where the trucks do their staging. So they pull in there, they drop the back, come out with the pallet jack and pull in their product.

- Oh, okay.

- It's not a formally designed, like loading dock, it's just Code requires a certain amount of space dedicated for truck loading.

- And the walk, or the access, to the sidewalk on University Avenue, I would take that that's just a flat space designated, again, for the walk?

- Correct, yeah, the Code requires that the walks be designated and striped so they're obvious to drivers and pedestrians of where you should be walking. And in this case there's also ADA accessibility, so you could come off of this walk, and then you can see the ramp up here that's going to cut into the sidewalk, so if you're handicapped, you have easy access into the building.

- Very unusual circumstance, and I think somehow you're making it work okay.

- The petitioners were very creative and diligent with their site planning. I think we went through two or three different plans through our discussion.

- I like their attempt at trying to get as much green space as they possibly can get, too. Okay, that's all I had, thank you.

- Any other questions for staff? Okay, does anybody have questions for the petitioner? Would you care to speak?

- [Phil] I can kind of introduce myself.

- Okay, let's us do our little do here.

- Move to open the floor.

- Second.

- I need a motion to open, and already from Commissioner Hanson, with a second from Alder Corpus-Dax, so all those in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- And any opposed? Now, please, your name and address to start.

- Yep, Phil Langohr, 118 West Peckham Street, Neenah, Wisconsin. I'm part of University Avenue, LLC. A few people that I recognize when we were here three years ago. Yeah, this was just kind of the last part of our development plan. We've been using it for a Festival Foods parking lot 'cause it just worked that way, for the little bit of snow storage and whatnot. So here to answer any questions. We've worked really well with David, just kind of a lot of back and forth, we kind of said here's what we like, and just kind of went back and forth, and I felt real comfortable that we put a good plan together. So if you have any questions, I'm here to help, as well as Tom, my engineer, can answer some more specific, driveway width and stuff like that.

- Well, what kind of parcels would you be loading and unloading, something small?

- Yeah, it's just a retail store. I mean, they're going to come in pallets, just like the normal wood pallets that you see. That's the biggest kind of stuff that they would have, outside of construction, obviously, there's bigger construction material. But when the store is opened it's just pallets and real small merchandise.

- You're expecting this to be a Dollar Store, I believe?

- Yes.

- Oh, okay.

- Okay, any other--

- That makes it more palatable now that I see the whole thing.

- I like palatable for those pallets, yes.

- I do want to comment that using the peripheral green space also gives some more buttressing for the residential areas beyond just visually setting them off, I appreciate that.

- Yes, absolutely, absolutely.

- Any other questions for the petitioner?

- Okay, thank you very much.

- Again, you've done a heck of a job to make it work.

- Well, thank you. And like I said, it was great working with Dave on it. Figured out how to make it work, 'cause I mean, it's never black and white easy, so, yeah. Thank you very much.

- Thank you. A motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor.

- Second.

- Okay, motion made by Commissioner Hanson and seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax, all those in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Any opposed?
- Move to approve.
- And we have a motion to approve.
- Second.
- By Commissioner Hanson, with a second by Commissioner Wiezbiskie, and this is to approve the correction to incorporate development plans for a retail business at 2460 University Avenue, submitted by Tom Radenz, REI Engineering, on behalf of University Avenue Center, LLC, Property Owner. If you would vote on your voting pad.
- Motion approved unanimously.
- Thank you all very much. I note for the record that I did not repeat, as I should have, the item on which we were voting for, items number one and two, but I'm sure that can be taken care of by anybody needing to look things up. Informational, Cheryl Reiner-Wigg is here in place of our good director.
- I just have a couple of things.
- Please.
- At the last Council meeting and the Redevelopment Authority meeting, both boards passed 17 resolutions that dealt with amending, closing, holding open, or establishing new TIDs. A couple of times, actually, so that was an exciting meeting. But, I guess, most exciting for me, and a number of staff, is that three of the TIDs will be held open for an additional year, and that money will be pooled and used for affordable housing and housing initiatives in Green Bay. So that was exciting for us. That goes to the Joint Review Board this Friday for final approval. And then also, the ordinance to repeal and recreate Chapter 13-17, which is your off-street parking, drives, and loading, and then your ordinance amending the section of the code that relates to parking regulations also passed as well. And so, those are final.
- Thank you. And I note that our scheduled date of the next meeting is Monday, October 7th, 2019, and as information for Mr. Radenz, our recommendation goes to the City Council for the meeting on October 1st, did you say before.
- Yes.
- [Phil] Is that, how many readings is that, one, or?
- We go two, two Council meetings.
- Okay.
- Thank you.
- Any other questions before we adjourn?
- I have one.
- Yes.

- There were two ladies in the audience that I think were here for the communication. They bowed out, so I'm not sure where they went or what happened, but, you've acted on that item already, I don't know if you want to, I'm not sure if they said anything to you, Cheryl?
- Mm mm.
- I just wanted to make you aware of it.
- So, thank you, appreciate you mentioning that. That said, may we have a motion to adjourn.
- Move to adjourn.
- Second.
- Commissioner Hanson and seconded by Commissioner Petrouske. All those in favor?
- [All] Aye.
- Any opposed, all right, we're all done.
- Thank you.
- Thank you all.