



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

**TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 2019, 6:00 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
ROOM 203, CITY HALL**

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, Randy Scannell, Brian Johnson, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Andy Nicholson (arrived at 6:04 p.m.), Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, John VanderLeest

B. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

C. INVOCATION.

The invocation was led by Alder Mark Steuer, District 10.

I. Invocation led by Alder Mark Steuer, District 10.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

I. Approval of the minutes of the November 5, 2019 Common Council meeting.

E. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

F. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

G. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

H. APPOINTMENTS.

1. Reappointments:

Downtown Business Improvement District

Jim DuBois, 118 S. Washington, Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: December 01, 2022

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to confirm the reappointments.
Motion carried.

2. New Appointments:

Sustainability Commission

Kaurie Mihm (employee member), 1183 Drews Dr., De Pere, WI 54115

Term to expire: November 1, 2022

Ethics Board

Aaron Weinschenk, 3038 Emmalane Drive, Green Bay, WI 54311

Term to expire: November 1, 2022

Brown County Plan Commission

Elizabeth Hudak, 1720 Valorane Blvd, Green Bay, WI 54304

Term to expire: May 1, 2021

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to confirm the appointments. Motion carried.

I. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

No one appeared to speak on the ordinances.

1. Zoning Ordinance No. 11-19

An Ordinance amending Zoning Ordinance No. 11-13, relating to a Planned Unit Development District on the east side of North Broadway (300 through 600 Block), to amend the signage requirements on the structure located at 300 Dousman Street.

2. Zoning Ordinance No. 12-19

An Ordinance amending the Eaton Heights Planned Unit Development (PUD) land use boundaries,
Minutes of the Common Council

800 Block N Huron Road/811 Barronwood Drive, subject to the amended Planned Unit Development District Ordinance (PUD).

J. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to suspend the rules and take up items 1 through 3 with roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to adopt items 1 through 3. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

1. Zoning Ordinance No. 11-19

An Ordinance amending Zoning Ordinance No. 11-13, relating to a Planned Unit Development District on the east side of North Broadway (300 through 600 Block), to amend the signage requirements on the structure located at 300 Dousman Street.

2. Zoning Ordinance No. 12-19

An Ordinance amending the Eaton Heights Planned Unit Development (PUD) land use boundaries, 800 Block N Huron Road/811 Barronwood Drive, subject to the amended Planned Unit Development District Ordinance (PUD).

3. General Ordinance No. 22-19

An ordinance amending Section 29.208, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to parking regulations.

K. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (NOVEMBER 12, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. To receive and place on file the request to amend the Project Plan for Tax Increment District (TID) Twenty-Two (22), The Shipyard, in order to remove the blight designation from 828 S. Broadway (Parcel 2-185) and 413 Fourth (4th) Street (Parcel 2-183).

2. To approve Second Amendment to Development Agreement 16-05 with Lears and Co., Inc. for
Minutes of the Common Council

redevelopment at 2280 E. Mason Street (Parcel 21-126-1) (East Town Cub Foods), subject to minor legal and technical revisions.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

L. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (NOVEMBER 12, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve with the exception of item 4. Motion carried.

1. To hold until the December 11, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Ald. Galvin for Department of Public Works to develop and implement a five year plan to inspect sidewalks and repair or replace them to code.

2. To hold until the December 11, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Ald. Steuer to study and report out on the feasibility of utilizing the “even-odd” residential address overnight parking scenario, as is done in Milwaukee, in order to relieve the parking problems at residences with limited parking spaces.

3. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Wery to review how sidewalk replacements are funded, with staff reporting back to Improvement and Services Committee how the ten largest communities in Wisconsin handle repairing damage caused by any means to sidewalk with the report data used as part of item M.1.

4. To approve the 2020 Storm Water Utility rates as follows:

Monthly charge \$8.59/ERU

Yearly charge \$103.04/ERU

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to amend item 4 and change the monthly charge from \$8.59/ERU to \$9.84/ERU and the yearly charge from \$103.04/ERU to \$118.05/ERU.

Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

Minutes of the Common Council

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve item 4 as amended. Motion carried.

M. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (NOVEMBER 11, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve a change of agent for Kwik Trip, Inc. at 840 S. Huron Rd.

2. To approve the appeal from Anne Cortez regarding the denial of her operator's license.

3. INFORMATIONAL ONLY - To refer to Personnel Committee with recommendation to receive and place on file the request by Ald. Chris Wery regarding the policies and procedures of the Inspection Department as it applies to communicating and working with constituents who request their alderman's assistance. Further, to discuss customer service standards and work expectations of inspectors. Investigate the addition of evening and/or weekend inspection hours (recommendation to be made to Personnel Committee only pursuant to GBMC 2.06(9)), which was referred to the Protection & Policy Committee at the Improvement and Services Committee meeting September 25, 2019.

4. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report for November 11, 2019.

N. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve. Motion carried.

1. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses.

O. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (NOVEMBER 11, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve. Motion carried.

1. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for vehicle sales and repairs located at 314 North

Monroe Street subject to:

- a. Compliance with all regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code not covered under the conditional use permit, including standard site plan review and approval.
- b. Necessary approvals and licenses by the appropriate state agencies shall be acquired and maintained.

2. To reduce the size of the R1 zoning strip from 25 ft. to 10 ft. along the entirety of the R1 zoning strip in the 1820/1830 Block of South Norwood Avenue.

3. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for Limited Production Use at 340 North Broadway, subject to the following conditions:

- a. Proper approvals from Federal bodies associated with this facility.
- b. No odor shall be associated with this use. The CUP could be revoked if the smell is an established problem.

4. To approve the repeal Zoning Ordinance (ZO) 7-00 for 840 South Huron Road and rezone the subject parcel from Rural Residential (RR) to Highway Commercial (C2).

5. To rezone 1820 Frank Street from Low Density Residential (R1) to Office/Residential (OR).

6. To declare City owned property as surplus, located along the 1300 Block of South Huron Road (Parcel 21-182-3) subject to the following conditions:

- a. The 12 ft. Utility Easement located along South Huron Road must be maintained with Wisconsin Public Service for electrical and gas service (exhibit attached).
- b. The applicant shall work with American Transmission Company through their Encroachment Request process, maintaining a 100 ft. easement over their facilities.
- c. Sale of the subject parcel at market value shall first be offered to the property owner of parcel 21-183.

P. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (NOVEMBER 12, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. To receive and place on file a request by Ald. Galvin for the Police Department to work with IT to set a system on the City's Request for Service icon for citizens to report problems and request service.

2. To approve the request by the City Treasurer to review and approve a resolution updating financial institutions the City does business with.

3. To approve the purchase of an E-One custom built HazMat emergency response vehicle from Fire Service, Inc. for \$861,373.

4. To receive and place on file the report on the cancellation of the City's contract with Adashi for scheduling software for GBMFD.

5. To approve the purchase of staffing, training, and inventory management software from Target Solutions for \$40,205.

6. To approve a contract revision for Animal Impound Services.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1)(e) Wisconsin Statutes for the purpose of deliberating or negotiating the purchasing of public properties, the investing of public funds, or conducting other specified public business, whenever competitive or bargaining reasons require a closed session. The Council may, thereafter, reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2) Wisconsin Statutes to report any actions taken during the closed session and to consider all other matters on the agenda.

7. To receive and place on file the report of the Claims Committee.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Q. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (NOVEMBER 12, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve with the exception of item I. Motion carried.

I. To approve the City deeding 1.09 acres of Fort Howard Park to Jefferson School, contingent upon the Law Department review and approval of the documents and the approval of the 25-year Keller School trail easement from the Green Bay Area Public School District.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve item I. Motion carried.

2. To approve the City entering into a 25-year trail easement agreement with the Green Bay Area Public School District at Keller School, contingent upon the Law Department review and approval of the easement documents.

R. REPORT OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (NOVEMBER 11, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

I. INFORMATIONAL ONLY - To hold the Election of Chair for the Economic Development Authority, due to a vacancy, to the next scheduled meeting.

2. To refer to staff to work with property owners and analyze current and future land use regarding the University Heights Commerce Center development strategy.

3. To advance the project for Merge and adopt the resolution and refer to staff the Farmory application for further review for the 2019-2020 Community Development Investment (CDI) Grant.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

4. To hold the I-43 wetland study and business park expansion to the next scheduled Economic Development Authority meeting.

Minutes of the Common Council

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

S. REPORT OF THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION (NOVEMBER 13, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

1. To hold until the next meeting the recommendations from Eland Electric on solar panel installations.

T. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (NOVEMBER 12, 2019).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve with the exception of items 3 and 4, which were taken together. Motion carried.

1. To hold until the December 10, 2019 Personnel Committee meeting the request by Ald. Wery to discuss customer service standards and work expectations of inspectors. Investigate the addition of evening and/or weekend inspection hours.

2. To hold until the December 10, 2019 Personnel Committee meeting the request by Ald. Wery to explore partnering/joining the State of Wisconsin for health insurance.

3. Update and discussion on Green Bay Professional Police Association labor negotiations

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to § 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating public employee contracts for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to § 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve items 3 and 4.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to go into closed session at 7:18 p.m. for items 3 and 4. A voice vote was done and motion carried.

Ald. Bill Galvin read the closed session language.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to return to regular order of business at 8:15 p.m. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to have staff proceed as directed in closed session for items 3 and 4.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark

Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Brian Johnson

4. Update and discussion on Green Bay Fire Fighters Local 141 labor negotiations

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to § 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating public employee contracts for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to § 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

U. RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve. Motion carried.

1. Municipal Court Report for October 2019.

2. Building Permit Report for October 2019.

V. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to suspend the rules and adopt resolutions 1 and 2 together with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to adopt resolutions 1 and 2. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

1. To approve a resolution by the City Treasurer updating financial institutions the City does business with.

2. Resolution nominating the Merge Mixed-Use project - 321 & 325 N. Broadway for the Community Development Investment Grant.

W. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules to advance ordinances 1 and 2 to a second reading. Motion carried.

Minutes of the Common Council

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to advance ordinances 1 and 2 to a second reading. Motion carried.

1. Zoning Ordinance No. 13-19

An Ordinance rezoning a portion of property located in the 1820/1830 Block of South Norwood Avenue from Low Density Residential (RI) District to Highway Commercial (C2) District.

2. Zoning Ordinance No. 14-19

An Ordinance Repealing Zoning Ordinance 07-00 Establishing a Planned Commercial Overlay District in the 820 Block of Huron Road and Rezoning Property located at 840 South Huron Road from Rural Residential (RR) to Highway Commercial (C2) District.

3. Zoning Ordinance No. 15-19

An Ordinance rezoning property located at 1820 Frank Street from Low Density Residential (RI) District to Office/Residential (OR) District.

X. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to refer the petitions and communications to the appropriate Committee or Commission. Motion carried.

A request by Ald. Andy Nicholson to the Improvement & Services Committee to review the DPW completion date expectations with possible action. Also notification to the Brown County Home Builders Association about the meeting.

A request by Ald. Andy Nicholson to the Traffic Commission requesting a street light on Jamesford.

A request by Ald. Mark Steuer to the Parks Committee to reprioritize pickleball as a high priority in the 2020 Parks Department "wishlist" of projects.

Y. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to adjourn at 8:20 p.m. Motion carried.

Kris A. Teske
Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at greenbaywi.gov

VERBATIM MINUTES

- I call to order the meeting of the Common Council for Tuesday, November 19, 2019.
- I I are present, Your Honor, with Alder Nicholson absent.
- Alright. Please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance and remain standing for an invocation led by the Alder from the 10th.
- [All] I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.
- This is my letter that goes like this. Paul, in a letter to the Philippians wrote, do not be anxious about anything, but in every situation by prayer and petition with thanksgiving, present your requests to God. In the spirit of this message with Thanksgiving right around the corner, I have a number of things that I am truly thankful for. One, to my wife, Martha, son, Ian, and his friend Becca, daughter, Emily, and numerous siblings and relatives. Two, to my good friends, you know who they, you know who you are. Three, to my fellow Alders, Mayor, and city leaders, and employees who espouse to the notion of customer service. Number four, to the many citizens of Green Bay, including District 10, who keep me informed, grounded, and enlightened. Number five, to the neighbors who are discordant, thus challenging me to use civility, compromise, and hope in the pursuit of conflict resolution. Number six, to all veterans who have made my life of freedom a distinct reality. Number seven, to the freedom to worship, to speak, to assemble, and to proactively work on making the home, the neighborhood and the city and beyond a better place for us all, without the fear of persecution and in the hope for unity. And finally, to the gift of life and to the gift of love, I truly am thankful. That's it.
- Thank you, Alder. On to approval of the minutes.
- [Randy] Motion to approve.
- Motion made by Alder from the seventh, seconded by Alder from the fifth. Any corrections that need to be made? Seeing no requests, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed? Minutes have been approved. Approve of the agenda.
- So moved.
- Second.
- Motion by Alder from the seventh, seconded by Alder from the first. Any revisions? All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed, pose nay. The ayes have it. On to the report by the Mayor. So in our during our last meeting, there was some discussion of the invocation. And so I just wanted to reiterate what I suggested at the beginning my tenure, which is the way that I would prefer to handle it, is rotating it through Council. You know, this is not my body, this is the Council chambers, and I feel it's appropriate to rotate that responsibility and share it with you all. So, I think tonight was a great example of one option that you all can take. Another one is a moment of silence. And the third one which has also been, has been used by other Alders, is to bring Minutes of the Common Council

somebody in from the community that represents the diversity of the faith traditions here in the city. So just wanted to reiterate that all for you as Council and also for the public. And then just a couple of notes on some events that are occurring in the community. Peace Tree lighting tomorrow night over at the courthouse, encouraging everyone who is available to attend. Also "Light Up Broadway", which is on Friday, November 22. Then, we of course have the holiday parade on the 23rd, Saturday morning. Then "Garden of Lights" over at Green Bay Botanical on November 25th. I think this is our last Council meeting, of course, before Thanksgiving, so also wanted to take the opportunity to wish happy Thanksgiving to all of the Council members and all the public who are tuning in tonight. So that concludes my report. On to announcements. Alder from the seventh.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I just one tonight. One announcement.

- Just one?

- Yes, just one. Levittamp.org. Levit, L-E-V-I-T-T-A-M-P.org. Tomorrow is the last chance to vote to get some music to Green Bay. So please, if you haven't voted, go vote.

- [Man] How many times can you vote?

- To what time?

- [Man] I said, how many times can you vote?

- You can vote once, only once.

- Per?

- Per, per email address. Yes. I think that's right.

- So, if you got a lot of email addresses, or if you wanna get a lot of email addresses, now's the time to do it. Thank you very much.

- Thanks Alder. Alder from the 10th.

- Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanna thank Public Works for their diligence on leaf pick up this week. We had a lot of citizens that were very concerned and I wanna thank Director Grenier and Director Pirlot and their staff for a job well done. Thank you.

- Well said, Alder. Any other announcements? Alright, on to appointments. I will entertain a motion.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder from the seventh, seconded by Alder from the first to confirm the reappointment of Jim Dubois to the Downtown Business Improvement District. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed pose nay. The ayes have it, and that item has been approved. Entertain another motion on new appointments.

- Motion to approve.
- Seconded.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the first, seconded by Alder from the sixth to confirm the new appointments. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay. The ayes have it, the item has been approved. On to public hearings. We have two items for public hearings. Is there anyone here who would like to speak regarding these items? Anyone here who would like to speak regarding these items? Is there anyone here who would like to speak regarding these items? Clerk, please let the record reflect that no one appeared to speak to either of these items. On to ordinances, second reading for adoption.
- [Clerk] You may under suspension of the rules, take up items one through three with a roll call vote.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the seventh, seconded by Alder from the 10th, to suspend the rules, take up these items with one roll call vote. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay. The ayes have it, the rules are suspended.
- [Randy] Motion to adopt.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the seventh, seconded by Alder from the 10th to adopt items J1 through J3. Please use the board on this item.
- [Clerk] It's just rolls. You're okay now? Okay.
- [Eric] And they passed, 12-0. On to the Report of the Redevelopment Authority.
- Motion to approve.
- Approve. Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the first, seconded by Alder from the seventh to approve report K, which is Report of the Redevelopment Authority from the meeting on November 12, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay, the ayes have it. The report has been approved. On to Report of the Improvement and Services Committee.
- [Randy] Motion to approve.

- [Chris] Second.

- Motion made by Alder from the seventh, seconded by Alder from the eighth to approve report L, which is the report of the Improvement and Services Committee from the meeting on November 12, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- [Randy] Number four.

- Item four. Any others? Item four will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The ayes have it, the report has been approved with the exception item four. Your wishes regarding item four, Alder from the seventh.

- I would like to raise the rates, the monthly and the yearly rate. We did this at our budget meeting, and if we don't do this now, we're gonna have over a million sized hole in our budget, so I'd like to raise the monthly rate from 8.59 to 9.84, 9.84, which makes--

- That's a, that's an amendment.

- That's an amend, well, not an amendment. I want to change? Amend? I guess amend, yes.

- Do we have a second?

- Second.

- Seconded?

- Well but, he isn't finished yet.

- Yep, the yearly rate would go from \$103.04 to \$118.05, which will put 1.189 million back into our budget.

- [Eric] Very good. Any discussion on that item? Alder from the ninth.

- Thank you, Mayor. I was the one at the committee level who had made the motion to adjust this rate and I wanna be able to just at least have an opportunity to explain why. So the amount of money that I had, the motion, my motion was to basically eliminate the amount of funding that was required to transfer the Forestry Department to the Stormwater Utility. And while I understand that this body had approved the budget on a vote of 7-5, my principled objection to the budget really had to do with the Forestry Department transfer. And I think that there was just, when you talk about this as a broader policy move, I've just always been a believer that that deserved, I think, some greater public discussion around that particular issue. And I don't think that it got that, because it was kind of hidden a little bit within the overall context of the budget. Some of the numbers that I've kind of shared at the committee level, when you look at the aggregate amount, and I believe my math on this is correct, when you look at the aggregate amount, aggregate amount that we've raised through stormwater utility fees over the last 10 years, it has increased by 95%. The rate over the last four years, including this proposal, would raise by 65%. Those are some pretty substantial increases that really have not had a high degree of discussion by this body. And that I think is the piece that just concerned me in terms of wanting to slow this down. Now, I spoke at the budget meeting as well as the committee level that this was the danger of passing a budget that was contingent on a future action of Council, and that future

action, of course, being the passage of this stormwater increase. So if this body today for any reason were to choose, you know, to not raise those rates, of course, it would create that hole in our budget. And that's the discussion that I'm looking to pursue. There, comparatively speaking, when you look at the wheel tax, I mean, and I know this was made public and it was reported, but there's a difference between kind of reporting and having a public discussion about it. The wheel tax I recall, in particular was a \$20 fee. It went over the course of gosh, probably a couple years. I mean, right, kinda off and on and at least minimally had a couple of months of discussion before we ultimately voted on it, but I felt it was a good process. The public was well informed. They had an opportunity to weigh in, and ultimately, we passed a \$20 fee that was very clear about where that funding was going. Now in this particular instance, we're looking at an average I believe it was reported and anyone feel free to correct me if I'm wrong, but was \$25 per household. So very comparable, right. But we didn't have a discussion either about what that impact, that fiscal impact could be on all users, including nonprofits, churches, commercial users. And so I haven't really seen, personally I guess, any type of impact report on that front. We haven't heard a lot of outcry on this, because I'm not sure that a lot of people understand what is happening here. And so there was certainly one way that I think we could have addressed this at budget time. And again, it didn't happen and that's why we're here right now. We did have and Diana, Director Ellen Becker had talked about this. We had about, we had income coming in and income going out with respect to the overtime on the fire department. And that amount was roughly \$550,000. One of the things that we could have done through the budgeting process is eliminated that in and out and of course what the value of that would have been is it gets us around the expenditure of restraint. And that is what would have allowed us to have that, I mean, it would have allowed us to add another \$550,000 to the levy. We could have had that discussion, but we didn't. We opted not to, and we opted to automatically make the assumption that this would go through stormwater. And then of course, from there, we could have had the discussion about how do you close the gap from \$550 to \$1.189. So here we are, and it's why I'm opposing the amendment. It's why I support the original motion as it was reported by committee. And I just wanted to again, provide a little bit of clarity in terms of why I'm voting the way that I'm voting. Thank you, Mayor.

- Alderman the 12th.

- Yes, thank you, Mayor. I was unable to attend the INS meeting but I watched it and I understand Alder Johnson's line of reasoning, but I also understand that Director Grenier is not present. He's excused, so I guess if I have a question, I could direct it to either Assistant Director Brunette, not related by the way, or Mr. Heckenlaible who I see is here. In determining the ERU, could you go over that process briefly? How did you, how does the city determine what a ERU is?

- An ERU was established by the ordinance when the Stormwater Utility was created back in 2003-2004. And it was deemed to be 3000 square feet of impervious area. We then took a map of the city to determine the quantity of ERUs within the city, and that is how we came up with the 79,250 ERUs throughout the city. So, the three thou-, comparison of what an average typical lot would be, residential lot would be 3000 square feet of impervious area.

- [Jesse] Is pervious area factored into how the ERU is calculated?

- An ERU is an equivalent runoff unit which is 3000 square feet of impervious area.

- [Jesse] But is the, I guess my question is, is pervious area meaning green space, lawns?

- That would make up the difference of a residential parcel or any parcel.

- [Jesse] When the, when ERUs were originally determined, was there any consideration on trees being part of pervious or impervious material?

- I cannot speak for that, as I wasn't part of the original draft or the ord-, of the ordinance or the creation of the Stormwater Utility.

- Right, thank you. This is my main concern is that we we're increasing the ERU monthly charge and yearly charge to, you know, natural increases in stormwater maintenance but we're also transferring the cost for the Forestry Department to or from the stormwater utility however you wanna think about it. And as we determine the ERUs, if we're gonna use the rationale that trees, street trees absorb stormwater, which you can make the case of course, when we determine the ERU, we then have to factor in residents who have trees on their property, because that absorbs the stormwater. And again, I read the ordinance. The word "forestry" was included twice, but never wants that I see the word trees. I could be wrong. I didn't read it through it a second time. But if we're gonna adopt an ERU increase, we need to amend the ordinance to more accurately reflect how ERU is calculated, otherwise for all constituents and property owners who have trees, who are now, we are going on the assumption that trees collect stormwater, we need to offer some sort of credit, because in my opinion, that's a pervious vegetation. So I'm against the motion on that basis alone. We need to amend the ordinance, in my opinion, and I'd be surprised that, I'm surprised that more members of the Council don't see it that way. We can make this change, we can make the rationale. That's fine, but the ordinance should be amended so that we can more accurately reflect how ERUs is determined. So I'm against the motion. Commend Alder Johnson for moving forward in this manner, because we need to have this discussion a little more in depth. Thank you.

- Alder from the 11th.

- Thank you, Mayor. I'm not in favor of filling our budget through the stormwater. This is not a very popular tax to begin with. You know, to add another \$25 per year, on top of what people are already paying. I think we could find a better way to fill it, fill the hole that's there. I think that, you know, from larger businesses, they pay thousands of dollars. You know, we've had large parking lots, they pay a large amount of money, and I think it's a burden on, there's 33-, I think there's 33,000 parcels in the city of Green Bay roughly. And, you know, grant you, you know, the \$25 that they're raising it for the average homeowner, but for businesses, it's gonna be much more. And I think that, you know, we should look at how we're gonna move forward as far as, you know, not adding tax, but you know, what with the stormwater, I think, you know, we have to take another look at how we're doing our taxation and how we're doing our services. And you know, just to keep adding on year after year more tax, I don't feel it's the right message we wanna send to the taxpayers. You know, if we come next year with another budget increase, you know, a tax increase, you know, people aren't gonna be real happy about it. I don't think they're happy about any increase, to be honest with you. You know, if you look at all the, the different tools that are put on the, on the tax bill, you know, you got your NWTC, you got the schools, you got the Coney, you add them all up, that's, that's where our base, you know, per thousand, if it's \$25 or \$26 per thousand, you know, for \$100,000, it's \$2500 tax. You know, and I think a lot of people are, you know, a lot of people are on fixed incomes. And you know, most of your landlords, you know, if they get a tax increase, they slap it right on to their tenants. So it's a burden all the way around. So I think that, you know, if we have to cut things, you know, maybe that's what we should be looking at, you know, in the future, how we're gonna, how we're gonna manage our money. And this is, I think this is not a real good way to do it, you know, just to put it under stormwater, sewer, you know, tax on top of what we're putting already. I can't support it, thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder, Alder from the third.

- Thanks, Mayor. I got a couple questions. I was just wondering if someone could answer these. I'll, I'll just offer them up and see if I can get some answers.

- [Eric] Can you direct your questions to staff, Alder?

- Whoever can answer them, you or staff, or an Alderman. Do apartments in Wisc-, in Green Bay, do they receive commercial rates or residential rates for water?
- [Eric] Mr. Heckenlaible.
- Are you talking water or stormwater?
- [Andy] Well, let's do both.
- I am not aware of water utility rates, if there is a difference between commercial and residential. As far as stormwater utility rates, it is one fee for all classes of development. So residential and commercial are the same rate.
- For stormwater.
- For stormwater, correct.
- [Andy] So an apartment complex, let's say 16 units, 20 units, they have the same as a household then?
- Correct.
- Okay. And then with, is their fees on any of the stormwater?
- The stormwater development fee or ERU charge is the only fee.
- Okay.
- Post-development.
- [Andy] Now an apartment complex, is there one fee for the 16 units or does it each 16 unit have a fee?
- It is whatever the parcel, as many units on a parcel.
- [Andy] Okay, so if there's 16 apartments, I'm taking for an example, Matt, all 16 get a separate fee.
- It would be based on the water meter. So if one water meter is servicing 16 apartments, and it is X amount of ERUs, that one charge would go to that one water bill. How the property owner then divvies it up to his tenants is up to the property owner.
- [Andy] Okay, so there's one fee to an apartment.
- If each unit has an individual water meter, then it would go to each individual water meter.
- [Andy] There's only one and then that just goes to one.
- Correct.
- Okay. Alright. He answered my question, thank you. Thanks, Mayor.
- Thank you. Alder from the eighth.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I voted for this or, not for this particular one, but to reduce it back to our, our original rates at committee. It came out unanimous. I voted against the budget, in part because of this. It's a large, very large major shift really, in what we're doing. And it really looking back, I think we would all agree should have worked its way through Improvement Service Committee. Here's why we're doing it. Here's the reasons here's the ramifications. Exactly what does it mean? Instead it really in the course of a week or two got introduced and passed just like that. And, I think that's really usurping, I betcha half the people here probably including myself still don't understand exactly what this means or what it does, and we're trying to peck at it, but if it had gone through committee, we really could have looked at, "Okay, what does it mean to the average homeowner? "And is this the way we really wanna go?" I hope it doesn't open the door for lots of other things to be shifted onto, into this area. So I'm gonna vote against it. For the reasons that were said before me, thanks.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder from the 10th.

- Thank you, Your Honor. This was not an easy budget to go through. And believe me, I took this vote seriously as well. And I you know, I look back at our eight to 10 years where we didn't raise taxes very much and I you know, it's easy to look at it now and say that incrementally we should have done this at one or 1 1/2% over that time period. We didn't do that. I guess you know, Alder Johnson I appreciate, you know, you're talking about, oh, the \$500,000 Fire overtime. You know, a lot of the overtime that seems to be out there takes care of the fact that we don't have to hire additional employees and you know, the overtime is there to you know, in times of crisis or kinds of in times of need. Also listening to Alder Brunettes talk about the trees, I've got 10 trees on my property, I'd love to have those included too as well. But I don't know how long that would even take to try to do that study. I was not at INS, so I don't have a chance to, you know, a lot of this is kinda hitting me right now. And I have a hard time trying to digest that. I realize that there's a bit of a shift, Alder Johnson, as far as doing this, you know, policy change. I know other communities have done it. I don't know if it was so much out of expedience or desperation that they did it. But, I don't know. I'm just having, I don't know how we're gonna do this unless we bring the budget back, you know, and start all over. You know, I don't know what kinda timeframe there is with something like that. We have to kinda get forward and move forward. But if we have to start looking at policy changes, that may take some time. I don't know if you have a thought on that. But I'm not trying to avoid the problem, 'cause I know that there's a big issue out there, but I really don't know how to really go forward with this, you know, besides going the way we did. So at this time, I would oppose that as well. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you, Alder from the first.

- Well, I'm certainly in favor of the amendment that, that I seconded. I take a bit of exception to saying that this was hidden. Had everyone attended the budget hearing, they would have been involved in a rich discussion on why this was necessary, and that occurred a week before the actual budget vote. So that was, would have been an important place to have that discussion, and that is the purpose of that hearing. I don't I, I have researched today what equivalent service units are, the ERUs, and I think that it is kind of a tough concept. I know about 80% of municipalities use the ERU. And it's an area proportional. It doesn't mean that each house is, gets the same charge. It doesn't mean that each apartment, whatever. It's proportional to the amount of land that is impervious that does not absorb water. So it's a different charge, smaller lot, smaller charge. Well, or not, unless the smaller lot is completely blacktopped, and then it's all impervious. So you know, it's really kind of hard to say, but we have looked at it and we have, Alders are correct, it's about \$25 on average for each household. So we, when we passed the budget, we passed the revenue sources. That was passed last week, and a seven to five vote. And I can certainly agree with Alder Brunette about looking at, I'm not sure if it was, is it an ordinance or a policy, Alder Brunette?

- [Jesse] An ordinance.

- An ordinance? We certainly can look at that, but for next time. Not for this time, this time is over with already. This time, the Council, the work of Council was done. It was completed last week. And now, here we sit again tonight talking about the same things that were voted down last week. So I would be very much in favor of the amendment. Thank you.

- [Eric] Alder from the ninth.

- Thank you, Mayor. Just one thing that I forgot to ask, and I'm not sure in your short tenure if you would have the answer to this or not, but feel free to direct if necessary. I know that when you and I and Director Ellenbecker met, you know, one of the questions that came up was about the timing of when Improvement and Services would take this issue up, because obviously it was scheduled for after the budget meeting and that was one of the concerns that I had expressed. And I know that Director Ellenbecker had reached out to Director Grenier to ask if it was possible to get on the INS meeting, prior to the budget meeting and Director Grenier at that time had indicated he wasn't prepared to do that, and that's fine. So ultimately, though, my question goes at the, I mean, when we're creating budgets that again, sort of require fee adjustments in the future, is that a standard procedure, or do we ever take up the fee increase proposals prior to the budget proposal? Just looking for some insight on that. Thank you.

- [Matt] Yeah, I did talk to Director Grenier a little bit about that and he, Mr. Heckenlaible, can fill in gaps here, but he had suggested that in the future, we would be able to sync up stormwater with adoption of the budget. Sanitary I think is another matter, but that's my understanding.

- Okay, and I would certainly appreciate that. And I think in this particular case, and I understand municipalities are oftentimes put in difficult situations. We're clearly not the only one, right, who's done this. And I think there's a reason for it. And one of the things I would really encourage us to talk about is if we need to get our, you know, the state's attention in terms of some of the restrictions that are imposed on local municipalities and how they budget, I would maybe even, you know, have that discussion here about whether or not some type of resolution would make sense to ask our state leaders to perhaps revisit or modify some of those restrictions that are put in place. But certainly, I think when we're looking at fees, it would be maybe more of my request, that if we're going to make major substantive changes like this, again, that, that because this is a policy shift, right? This isn't like an inflationary increase. So I would just maybe make that request if there is a possibility Mayor, to you know, to have the committee's take up those types of considerations beforehand, I think it would make the whole process a little more seamless, so thank you.

- Noted. Any further comments? No? Alright, motion on the floor is a motion to amend the Stormwater Utility rates. We have a motion and a second. Board, we'll use the board. Right. Motion is to fully fund the Stormwater Utility with rates suggested by folks from DPW. Motion is successful 7-5, as amended. I'll entertain a motion. Motion made by Alder from the seventh.

- Second.

- Seconded by the Alder from the fourth to approve. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Alders] Aye.

- Those opposed, nay.

- Nay.

- The ayes have it. On to, "Report of Protection and Policy".

- Motion to approve.

- Second.
- Motion by Alder from the seventh. Seconded by Alder from the fifth to approve "Report M", which is the Report of the Protection and Policy Committee from the meeting on November 11, 2019. And the items who wish who handle separately?
- [Randy] Three.
- Item three will be handled separately. None others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed, nay. The ayes have it, the report has been approved with the exception of item three. Motion made by Alder from the seventh.
- Second.
- Second by Alder from the first to approve item three. Item was pulled by Alder from the seventh. You have the floor.
- Yes, we have, staff has prepared a presentation for us on this. So if staff could do that now, thank you.
- [Eric] Thank you, Alder.
- Can you get it for us? - Okay.
- Did you wanna talk?
- So at the Protection and Policy meeting, we went through some standard operating procedures for inspection. And we also showed the Alders, how to access information on our website, which is something we wanted to show you tonight, 'cause it's pretty simple, and it would help to get you information quickly if your constituents have questions about properties that are in your district. So, I'm gonna pull it up.
- Could I ask, Director Rahnic which cord I'm supposed to plug in here?
- Who wants them?
- All of them.
- What you got here?
- Just HDMI.
- No. Keep trying. No, they're fine.
- [Man] Alright, do you wanna talk? You want me to navigate? I know when to go through.
- Now you're on.
- Yeah.

- Okay, so everyone goes to the website. If you look on the left hand side, you'll see a little cloud. So when you click that cloud, you've got the Open Data portal. That's gonna get you all the information you're looking for. So that that brings you to an Interactive Parcel Map, Police Call Inquiries. And we set up specifically an Inspection tab for you. So if you click on the Inspection Records, you need to enter an address here. That's why we always say to you, it's important to have an address when you call Inspection, so. We're punching in a property we've been working with at 630 East Walnut. So just put that address and this will pull information up. So you can see there's all kinds of information on this property, so you don't have to just use it for inspections. You can use it for other things as well. So this will give you information about the Assessments, the Zoning. It will give you Brown County Parcel Data, and then it also will give you Inspection Records. So if you click on Inspection Records, you'll see in the top, Projects and Complaints. Projects, reverse refers to building permits. So that's if you've, if you seen new construction or people who've pulled out permits to do any type of rehab work. And then on the right hand side is complaints. If you click on that, you will see all the complaints that have come in on that property. And if you click on one of the pending ones, on the right you'll see "Closed" or "Pending". If you click on one of those, it will actually bring you up the information. And then you have to scroll down I think. It will tell you all the times an inspector has been to that site, who the inspector was and what happened reinspection fees, admin reinspection, and then it will tell you exactly what was written up on this property. So you can see this specific one was a noxious weeds and public nuisance, and it was a complete by date. Now this is one of our problem properties. We're working with the owner. In fact, he's just got it listed for sale now, but, so you can, but this will give you like a to-date, up-to-date account of where we are on this property. So any of you can look that up at anytime. So sometimes we'll get emails from Alders that'll be like, "Hey, what's up with this property?" Well, it's gonna take your inspector 10 or 15 minutes to type you a full response when you could just really pull this up. And I'll give you all the information you need. Provides you with a picture of the house. Now these pictures I think, are from assessment records. So they're dated. But at least we'll give you some idea that you've got the right property that you pulled up. And then do you wanna talk about data?

- [Paul] What data?

- [Cheryl] We just wanna give you some stats on inspection data, so you have an, some idea of what our inspectors are working on for complaints

- [Paul] Yeah, our housing and zoning inspectors just for a frame of reference, in 2018, there were 3800 complaints that came in, which they had to follow up on. The touch points, in other words, phone calls, emails, et cetera, wherever they logged it is as action in the system that you just saw, there are 22,000 of those logs that they did. In 2019, there's about 3200 complaints, and they logged about 27,000 action items in their logs. So you can see we're extremely busy following up and seeking compliance.

- [Cheryl] You know, another thing that was discussed, I think, and Vanessa, Attorney Chavez, if you would be so kind as to share the discussion we had with regards to legislative administrative. No? Yes?

- [Vanessa] So what we had discussed at the committee level was that there is an implicit in this is the distinction between or the separation of powers. So, what we' ae looking at is the administrative function in setting policy versus the legislative function in setting policy. So the legislature's role is to adopt ordinances and then when it is time to implement those, the departments by necessity have to create policies in order to, to put, to turn those into effect. And so when we are looking at potentially making changes to the process that is being done, it is important to keep in mind whether it's a process that can be changed at the legislative level for the purpose of changing it for everybody, or if we're asking for a change to the procedure in which we implement something or if it's something that would result in some inconsistent results, such that we wouldn't want to necessarily change the ordinance. So when we think about it, you have to consider it from the legal perspective of potential for discrimination. So when we are looking at changing the ordinance, it's something that's applied across the entire Board. So when the Council is involved in that process, you're able, you're

amending the ordinance, so that everyone has the exact same application of items consistently. Instead, when the Council is asking for specific, or counselors, I should say, or asking for specific action to be taken on a property, then we have that potential for there to be discrimination between different groups, whether it be somebody who is owner of a certain property, whether it's different landlords, whether it's because they are able to get their Alder involved or not. And so those are inappropriate reasons for us to be changing the way that we do things. Instead what the Council's rule should be is if the way that the ordinance read is no longer creating a just result, it is the Council's obligation to go revisit that ordinance so that that result can be rectified. If we are asking staff to change the way they administer it, that's something that's left to staff so that staff can follow their policies and still maintain compliance with ordinance. So, with this, what we are recommending at this point is that if there are concerns, if you guys are seeing concerns with how it's being applied to everybody that you know, whatever standards we've adopted just don't make sense for the city anymore, those are the things we need you to bring forward so that we can start making proposed revisions to the ordinance so that we don't run into these issues. Because I think once we once we correct the ordinance, then any issues that you guys are running into with how they're being applied, will be addressed as well.

- [Cheryl] So and then I want to be clear that if any, let's say we have a complaint on someone and they send us an email and they say to us, "We want you to work with our Alder along with me." So we get that communication from that person, we will do that. We will see the Alder on all of those complaints. But if we get something from an Alder that says, "Hey, "can you give me this information? "Can you give this person more time?" Whatever that is, you're probably gonna get an email response from us. And it's not meant to be not customer service friendly, but it's just gonna kind of remind you that the best way to do that is to refer that person to us and work with us directly. And we're gonna provide you the link again, to how to get to that website to look things up, just so there isn't that kind of conflict with an Alder. You all have a position of power on this Council. Working with inspectors that go, "Geez, what do I do now? "This Alder would like me to give more time, "but it's really not appropriate." I mean, that's a struggle for our inspectors. So that's why we send this email out, which we don't mean to be un-customer friendly, so. So, that that's all I have. We forget anything?

- I'll do one more.

- Questions here from our?

- Yeah. I think overall, just from a big picture perspective, you know, I give our inspection team ton of credit, I mean, the caseload that they handle, I mean, 3800 cases a year, I mean, 22,000 touch points. I mean, it's an unbelievable workload that shared among very few inspectors and, right? I mean, that's why we're talking, you know, about that workflow and how it can be most efficient. And every case is different. And in a lot of cases, you know, our inspectors do casework and really are trying to at the end of the day, it is our job to enforce the health, safety, and welfare standards of the community, and we don't budge on that. What we do do though is, is understand that there are circumstances and situations that are individual to each case and each property. We do so in working in a way, you know, our goal is compliance, and how we can best achieve that compliance and in terms of the policies we enact to move forward. I think there's understanding that sometimes there are, you know, situations that complicate matters, but I think we do our best. As long as those individuals, those property owners are making good faith efforts to bring those properties into compliance. I think we've been very, very good about working with those individuals. At the same time, we have to balance, you know, the health, safety, welfare of the neighbors and the whole neighborhood. And, you know, while we can be, you know, respectful in working with individuals on resolving these things, there also has to come a time where we say, "Look, you know, it's been three months, "it's been six months, it's been a year, "we need to get this completed." And so I think, as you look at how we balance those things, you know, it is important, you know, for us to kind of document what we're doing, and then you know, so you can get an understanding of how we're working, you know, through those cases, but again, I would give our inspectors

and our chief building official, a lot of credit for looking at each case individually, and in trying to find the best way to achieve compliance in terms of maintaining that health, safety, and welfare.

- [Eric] Questions? Alder from the sixth.

- I got a question here too, maybe you can explain it to me. Like the exterior repairs and interior repairs, 15 day notice to either correct or call Inspector, the plan, and timeline. We have, you know, in my district, we got a lot of people on fixed incomes.

- [Cheryl] Mm-hmm.

- And I assume that you work with them because they probably don't have the money, otherwise, they would have fixed it. And I assume that you have some kind of plan or help for these individuals?

- So the 15 days, you'll notice that it's to complete it.

- [Kathy] Right.

- Odds are pretty good, they're probably not gonna complete it, but what we want is the communication, because what we don't wanna do is wait 30 days, and then nothing's done and then we start at 30 days. So the point of the 15 days is you give us a call at 15 days and say, "What's the plan?" Well, I can't afford it now. 'Cause we'll work with you, we'll give you time, as long as we know that we're communicating and we're on the same plan to get it fixed. We do have rehab loan programs for owner/occupants to help fix their property up. And that's a lot of times the first line of defense is they'll send them over to our neighborhood specialist to work with them on a rehab loan, and we've done that quite a bit on homeowner situations.

- Good. And then I have another issue. Kennedy Park Neighborhood Association contacted me. A gentleman came to their meeting and he was talking about the apartments on Harold Street. There was some very unsafe situation going on there. There were actually, this gentleman knows there are people living in the basement. So I went and I talked to, I don't know, did I talk to you Cher? I talked to somebody and they said, "Well, they can't go in. "Inspectors cannot go in the apartment building "unless that person called and actually reported it. "It has to be somebody that lives in the building." And so I had to tell him there's nothing I can do, because that gentleman would not leave his contact information.

- Well, we can I mean, there are instances where we can follow up, inspectors can just knock on doors and, right? I mean, I think, and if there's, if we believe it's a health and safety, we can get an inspection warrant to get inside.

- Oh, okay.

- If we have a credible witness that could, you know, that a judge would sign an inspection warrant for it.

- Okay, I'll come in and talk to you about it, because that's I think that's a very, yeah, that's a very unsafe situation.

- Yep, yep.

- Okay, thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks Alder. Alder from the eighth.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Cheryl and staff, I appreciate the presentation. It's a, you know, being in inspections is a tough job. I mean, I've gone out on some inspections back years ago when you could and it's not easy confronting a homeowner who has something they need to do, you know? And doing it, obviously, many years, it just seems more standoffish now. And the only reason I'm getting involved is because I've been asked to, you know? People don't understand it. They just, and you get that, they don't understand what they have to do, or they have a lot of extenuating circumstances, either monetary or health. There's a lot of other reasons why things can't get done. And that's usually when we get involved is like, "Hey, I'm running against this roadblock, "and I just need help." And that's usually when we'll reach out, because we'll need background information to help us flesh out what's going on. Not only for that, but for the neighborhood, 'cause sometimes there's neighborhood dynamics going on, right, with neighbors. And so before I just jump in, "Hey, I'm here," I'd like to know what's going on. And that's, that's what I've gotten for the past 15, 16 years. And now it's, go look at this link. So, you know, when I said it was bad customer service, it is, because I represent that person in that neighborhood, and we're looking to work together to get it done, whatever it takes. So we're not separate, we're together.

- [Cheryl] What information are you looking for that isn't provided on our link?

- Well, as I just stated, kind of the surrounding information, the background information, what got it here, you know, what else is involved? And that helps me to go in and say, "Okay, I know the background information. "I can help move this along," because a lot of times, they're coming to us as the last ditch effort. And if you can kinda understand that and say, "Hey, here's why you have to do it." And you know, "I can't really help you with that."

- [Cheryl] And Alders were privy to the complaint tracking for a long time.

- Yep, you bet.

- Which is the details from the inspectors. And it's our understanding you are no longer privy to that information. That's public records types of--

- Well, that'd be interesting to get maybe in a written opinion, too, because we used to get it printed out, remember? It would be in our mailbox. Pretty much every inspection in your district, you had a printed out report of what was going on, and you could look through it and say, "Okay, okay, okay."

- And it had the complaint tracking and it worked.

- You bet. And then it was just instead of printing it off, we went to, you can look online, and I thought it was a great system too, and now it's pretty much--

- [Cheryl] But that's where we pull the complaint tracking off because there's oftentimes confidential stuff in there, phone numbers, if there's work with child protective services, or those types of things, we can't share that information.

- Right. Well, there's some things that obviously, we shouldn't know and don't need to know, but there's the background information. And I know you're busy, but you were busy 15 years ago, and we had--

- [Cheryl] Well, we just print them off back then.

- No, but I would still get, if I sent off an email at 10 o'clock at night because I got a call at 9:30, I get an email back the next day saying, "Hey, you know, I got your email. "Here's kind of what's going on "and I'll get back to you with some more." And now it's, "Here's a link."

- [Cheryl] Okay?

- Here's a link, go look at the link. You know like, "Well, that doesn't help me at all. "It tells me kind of what's going on "but I already know that. "Give me some more background information." And I did here maybe you can clarify this or not. We want compliance not punishment, right? I mean, we want people to fix what needs to be fixed.

- [Cheryl] Right, right, absolutely.

- And obviously there's some people who flaunt it and flout it and maybe they need a bit more of the punishment but there's a lot of people who can't get it done and it seems like I've heard there's more of an emphasis on reinspection. Keep reinspecting it and every time it's 50 bucks.

- Mm-hmm, right.

- So you know it's very easy to rack up several hundred dollars, \$1,000, especially for someone who can't afford it, who has no means to pay that.

- [Cheryl] Well, we took the reins-, first of all, you don't get a reinspection fee if you call us and talk to us. So the if, you get reinspection fee, you have not called us, you haven't communicated, and you haven't done anything. That has been the only successful way for us to motivate someone to contact us and get the ball rolling. \$50 a day is cheaper than a citation. An inspector could issue a citation. That bogs up the Law Department. It's \$691. That's not helpful either. So we thought these reinspection fees, which they have been, and if you want to speak to those, like how we've been successful with those with a number of reinspection fees.

- Well, I don't have the statistics right in front of me, but I can tell you that it's a, we issue the \$50, and at say, the \$250 to \$400 range, we start seeing major compliance with people whereas we didn't get that before. And it continues, So it started it goes kind of like this with compliance and then it peters out and gets real slow. So in that up to the 20 range, will probably get about 82% compliance out of the people that have issues or violations. So it's much more effective and much quicker than what a citation is. A citation takes about seven months before you get compliance. Whereas this we're seeing in about two months with the reinspection approach.

- [Chris] On those are, obviously some impressive statistics. And there are some bad actors out there who will drag their feet and they can clearly get it done. But do we have the ability for people who are, you know, elderly or don't have a fixed income or sick? Can you waive some of those fees afterwards? If you find out okay, that person was in hospital for a month?

- Yes, yes.

- Okay. And that's, that's good to know.

- All the all those emails that I get, and I say, "Refer them to me." I'm the one that they would come to and explain the unique circumstances and we talk through it and see what we can come up to.

- [Chris] I think we had one like that just going on here in the last couple weeks.

- We got one of those now.

- Good and no, that's perfect.

- Yeah.
- Okay. And then I understand this is going to Personnel Committee next, right?
- Mm-hmm. For like the second--
- So there can be more discussion there.
- Half of that. And just a point, when it gets to Protection and Policy, know that it's been through the system, that they've already talked to Paul. And you know, we've negotiated where we can, just so you know that. Once it gets to that appeal process.
- [Chris] Yeah. And I don't, I'm not in any way, you know, bad mouthing you guys, because you guys are awesome. You are the right hand of an Alderperson. We can work together to get a lot of good stuff done. But on the other hand, keep us informed. I think that's what I'm asking.
- Right.
- When I ask for information, just kind of clue me on what's going on, so I don't go charging in, which I tend to do into a situation that I could have been apprised of.
- And--
- That's our struggle is that we're told we cannot share that complaint tracking, so.
- [Chris] No, I don't necessarily need the complaint tracking. I mean, I'll get emails back from different inspectors. Some are better than others with just a little bit of the history to say, "Here's kind of where it started. Here's where it's going." And then like, "Oh okay, well, I didn't know any of that. I wasn't told any of that." I mean, if you could do--
- I'm trying to work it through my head how? Okay, 'cause I would ask that you at least go to the website first.
- Oh, yeah. Obviously yeah.
- And see, you know if you can find out your answers there. I mean, at that point, I guess I would ask you to call me or Paul, because we'd probably, I mean, we'll get back to you probably get back to you faster, right, with that information.
- I'll email you.
- Well, right. Give us a call or email us, because I'm thinking if you're calling us, there's a problem. Because it's not going through the process properly. It's been too long, not fixed or repaired, neighbors are complaining, then we should look at that. I'm thinking, right?
- Perfect. Well, I think we can, we can work this out to a great conclusion. So, thank you.
- Thanks a lot. Alder from the ninth.
- Thank you, Mayor. Thank you for the presentation. It's very good information, and I would echo Alder Wery. I know, this is a very difficult job. And I work with my inspector very closely, Don, and sometimes just gosh, I, yikes. So thank you for what you do.

- [Cheryl] Thanks is a good way, right? Yeah. So just a couple questions. Could you talk me through a little bit about your Department is not responsible, correct me if I'm wrong, but for all inspections, right? Public Works does some inspections as well? Can you just maybe explain that to me? And then like, are you guys on the same page with respect to? Right, they do noxious weeds, right?
- [Cheryl] They do weeds and they do, they'd, like long grass and they do snow removal.
- Okay. Oh, and tipper cards.
- Okay.
- [Cheryl] Tipper cards placed in the wrong place.
- Okay, are you guys on the same page in terms of how you consistently approach this? And the reason I ask is because, last I recall, I still get the noxious weed complaint report for my District every week, and that seems to be inconsistent with what I'm hearing from your Department.
- [Cheryl] Well, the noxious weed reports just, which properties that cut for noxious weeds, right? I mean, I don't know what that is, the noxious weeds report.
- I mean, that's what I guess is that a complaint or did they actually provide the service?
- That would be a Public Works question. I'm assuming that there was a complaint for noxious weeds and they went out and cut it and billed the owner. That would be the standard operating procedure, but that runs through Public Works. We don't take those complaints then through our Department.
- Okay.
- [Cheryl] We refer them to Public Works.
- Okay, and it may be, but the broader point is I hope we can understand, right, as an average citizen in our community. Maybe I don't get the difference, right? In terms of different complaints, different departments, different procedures. So, I just think that that is an area that's taken me a long time to figure out, and I do this. You know, and I think about the average person who might be subjected to a complaint and just understanding that process. So.
- Mm-hmm.
- The other piece that maybe I would ask is, if alders if sort of the new policy, which I support, right? Is to let staff take care of their stuff. Let us focus on policy. It does, though, have this unintended consequence for better for worse, where it removes alders may be from that information gathering component. And I've always been a firm believer that for us to make policy, you have to have data, you have to have information.
- Mm-hmm.
- And if we're kind of removed from that, is there a way that maybe we can incorporate some type of reporting from the Inspections Department? You know, like, "Hey, we're seeing, "you know, high level of complaints in this area. "It might require", you know, I don't know what it is, right? You guys do this every day but information that might be useful for us as a City Council when making policy driven decisions.
- [Cheryl] I think we can provide whatever data you're looking for.

- I'm not sure what I'm looking for, right? Because you guys do this every day. And it's one of those things where you can when you're working with the residents in your district, you can quickly identify trends that maybe cause you to take a step back and be like, "Okay, yeah, that's weird. "Why are we getting a large number of complaints here?" And if we're removed from that, we no longer have that data now, and so now, it's hard for us to make policy changes when we don't have that data.

- [Cheryl] I think I hate to say the word, 'cause it's actually been a good year, but I think the rat problem we had last summer is a good example of that. Our numbers are down by the way. The complaints are down this year, which is good. But that was a situation where we started seeing that popping up in specific districts. And we're like, "Wait a minute here." When we came to you and said, "We need some money. "Let's do some proactive in these areas."

- Right.

- So.

- There's a perfect example of a report that I think would be incredibly useful for us to see. So and again, I don't know what those things are. I'm happy to work with you on that. But I do think there would be some great value for the Council members here to understand sort of where the complaints are coming from and if, excuse me, if policies are in alignment, right, with what the ultimate goals are.

- [Woman] One of my goals is to map inspection complaints. So we can see where, it may be more challenged areas of the city are, so we can concentrate the efforts there, in those areas so, something like that, I'm thinking you're looking for.

- Yeah, great, thanks. Keep up the good work.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder, Alder from the fourth.

- Thank you, Your Honor. You guys do great work. In all my years with the Police Department, whenever we needed you, you were there right away. You knew who to contact, what to do, to get situations cleaned up. You've been a huge asset for the community police officers, and I just wanna say, I really appreciate everything you've done. And even this past weekend, I had the media contact me about a hole in my neighborhood. And it sounded pretty bad for the city until I was able to talk some staff and find out that, in reality involved an elderly resident who was not with the property anymore. And it came down to basically, what they were talking about a lack of communication, not from the city's part, 'cause the city, when I looked it up, certainly made a lot of more effort than I would have thought normal, but it was the resident and it's just an issue, but the connections were made and it's being resolved. I also like though, for you to explain, 'cause this is something I was frustrated with earlier, fees versus citations.

- Mm-hmm.

- If I understood correctly from my tutorial, and then it took me a little while to catch on, the fees are a way of poking them to get compliance, but it also can be put onto their tax bill?

- [Cheryl] Yes.

- So when we issue a citation and it goes to municipal court and they play let's make a deal, because we really don't wanna go to trial and then so let's take these 10 citations, reduce them down to this. And then they don't pay. Now it turns into a warrant that if they do go to jail, we end up paying a turnkey fee. to house those people. And there was one slumlord that I believe, opted to spend 60 or 90 days in jail in which we paid \$45 a

day to house them, as opposed to a inspection fee, which at \$50 is a heck of a lot less than \$600. But we can put it on their tax bill. And it's easier for us to collect that money.

- [Cheryl] And by the way that, the property is still not compliant. 'Cause you serve your time you, you start, you set the start all over again.

- Right. So I mean, even though the inspection may seem mean, but from what they're saying statistically, one or two inspection fees gets the compliance, which actually costs the homeowner or the property owner a lot less. And in the long run, we lose less money out of the whole business. So that was, it was real beneficial to sit down and be able to talk to them and have that explained to me. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder, Alder from the sixth.

- I just wanna say too that, Cheryl and all the staff there have always been very courteous to me. I've come in several times asking questions. And being a kind of a new Ald, I mean, my first term, I had to ask questions, because I don't know the ordinances. They change over the years. And I did see the book, it's this big, we don't get it. So to find all these, and I and to me, I like personally asking people, because it's a faster interchange, I think than calling or sometimes even going online and looking, 'cause and then some ways, you still got questions. So I do come down and they've always been very helpful.

- Alright.

- I think, I think sometimes the issue is, though, it's not the communication, it's the direction. Like if an Alder gives direction to someone in the field.

- Oh, yeah.

- That can be problematic, because sometimes it's not the right answer. And now, and we've had the situation where someone has said to us, "Well, the Alder said that I could do this. "What do you mean, I can't do this?"

- That was years ago, yeah.

- [Cheryl] The Alder was not correct on that, right?

- Mm-hmm, yeah.

- [Cheryl] So that's why we encourage, you know, you work with that person, but have them call us, work with us get us involved with that so we can make sure that the right information is getting sent down.

- That's the same thing with me. I wanna make sure if I do say anything to a constituent, I wanna make sure that what I'm saying is accurate. Exactly.

- [Cheryl] I would say just don't say it. Let us say it.

- Okay, I'll give them your name and number. I'll find your cell phone number to a home phone number and give it to them, thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder, Alder from the 12th.

- Thank you, Mayor, Cheryl and staff, I've always had very good experiences with your department. As some of the Alders said, it's a very difficult job. You're dealing with the public and the public especially comes to issues of government involvement, intrusion in their opinion, volatile tempers, it's a very dangerous jobs at times.

And I think we should never forget that from a City Council perspective. There were, again, given the fact that I've almost always had very good interactions with all the inspectors, there was a situation about six months ago, and I'm not gonna mention personalities and names obviously. But there, a situation where constituents had reached out to an inspector, didn't get response. I then was asked to intervene, which I did, and then I called a particular inspector, left several messages pleading with this person for just a simple response back which never came. I then went up to the next level and then a level higher and then the issues were resolved from a member of your staff. How would you expect, how would you want those situations to be handled? Because I don't wanna be harassing an inspector, but I would think after two or three or four, in this case, maybe five or six times, no response, that makes me look bad as an Alder.

- Right.

- And makes the city look bad.

- [Cheryl] In my mind, that's not an inspection problem. That's a staff problem, if they're, if you're not getting a response. So if you have an issue with an inspector that's not getting back to you for any reason, go to Paul, he's the supervisor for the inspectors. And if he's out, come to me, go to Kevin. So you should not have to wait that long to get a response from an inspector.

- Okay, thank you. It was combined with a couple of other issues I was dealing with and those constituents had. So that again, six months ago was kind of a negative experience for me, which was kind of an aberration. I never really experienced that, and that's when I saw Alder Wery's communication was like, "Ha, maybe there's something deeper going on "that I'm not aware of." But it doesn't seem like that's the case.

- [Cheryl] But, that's just the one case, the one instance?

- It was, it was that couple. I can call you about it. I don't wanna get into details, but just know that it was just a combination of several different issues at one time that kind of, kind of frustrated me, and that I got more involved than I ever had to, or needed to, or even wanted to, so.

- [Cheryl] You should be able to get those answers quickly.

- Okay, thank you.

- [Eric] Any questions, further questions? Alder from the 10th.

- Thank you, Your Honor. Cheryl, and Paul, and gentlemen, I appreciate all that you've done too. And I've had the opportunity to work in your Department for 25 years. So I understand what you go through. You know, I think a lot of times what happen at Protection and Policy, I mean, there were times where folks would come in and they, the \$50 dollar, \$50 dollar, \$50 dollar, and it seemed like "Oh boy, "they're really getting picked on." But I think with at least seeing this demonstration, it helps a little bit more. And I think most of us on Council feel that we don't wanna interfere that way. But I understand where Alder Brunette is coming from, because I think there are times where it's like, there's, we just wanna talk to someone or just get an answer of some sort. A lot of times and you know, Alder Wery can attest to this too, but there are times where I have to rely on citizens a lot of times. They'll say, "Hey, Mark, we got a, "there's a condemned house over here." or they're, you know, they're "Vacant house over here, or blah blah, we saw rats." You know, and so in right away, we're being pulled into this. And, I just assume hand it off to you.

- [Cheryl] Yep.

- And I think for the most part that has worked, but, you know we, a lot of this is complaint driven. So if people don't complain.

- Right.
- You know, so I do rely on my citizens quite a bit for this. You know, they kind of and, you know, neighbors are interesting, they get on each other's case about any number of things.
- Yep.
- We can talk about that some other time, but I appreciate what your office does, and I think it'll be interesting at Personnel to go through this. I feel that maybe we should look at the ordinance and maybe there are some things that we tweak a little bit. But I think for the most part, I appreciate your openness to at least talk about this. And I think that we as Alders appreciate it, and I think we can move forward. So thank you.
- [Eric] Great. Well, I think it's unanimous. You guys are great. Thanks for everything you do.
- The inspectors.
- [Eric] I appreciate the presentation as well. We have motion but we don't need one. This is informational. So we're gonna move along to "Report of Protection and Policy Committee "Granting Operator Licenses".
- Motion to approve.
- Motion made by Alder from the eighth seconded by Alder from the 11th to approve "Report N" which is the "Report of the Protection and Policy Committee "Granting Operator Licenses." Any names for which you'd like to be recorded as abstaining? Any names to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of the Report, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Oppose, nay. The ayes have it the report has been improved. On to report of the Planning Commission.
- Approve.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion by Alder from the seventh, second by Alder from the second to approve "Report O", which is the report of the Planning Commission from the meeting on November 11, 2019. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, 11 in favor of approving a report, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay, the ayes have it. That is approved. On to the report of the Finance Committee.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the first, second of Alder from the seventh to approve "Report P" which is the report of the Finance Committee from the meeting on November 12, 2019. Any items you wish to handle separately under this report? Hearing none, all in favor for approving the report, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.

- [Eric] Those oppose, nay. Ayes have it, the report has been approved. Report of the Park Committee.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the seventh, second by Alder from the eighth to approve "Report Q", which is the report of the Park Committee from the meeting on November 12, 2019. Any items to handle separately here?
- Number one
- [Eric] Item one, will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Oppose, nay. The ayes have it, report has been approved with the exception of item one.
- [Randy] Motion to approve.
- [Eric] Motion by Alder from the seventh.
- [Barbara] Second.
- [Eric] Second by Alder from the first. Alder from the 10th, pull the item. He has the floor.
- Alright thank you, Your Honor. Just, I'd like to do some kudos to our Parks Department and Director Ditscheit on looking at ways to get creative. And I think you got very creative as far as the Jefferson School swap and the Helen Keller easement. I'm thankful for that. I've, a proponent of connecting trails, and I'm hoping for the West Side Trail to get all the way up to the Mountain Bay Trail. This is a big step towards that. And I appreciate the effort between the city, the Village Bay Baptist Church, Helen Keller School, the School District. I mean it would be nice to see a lot of projects like this in the city where you have a number of entities getting together and trying to work out things, and I appreciate your efforts on this. Thank you.
- [Eric] Thanks Alder. I would second that. Any further comments? Seeing no other request to speak all in favor the motion please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- [Eric] Oppose, nay, the ayes have it. Item has been approved. Report of the Economic Development Authority.
- To approve.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion by Alder the from the seventh, second by Alder from the first to approve "Report R", which is the report the Economic Development Authority from the meeting on November 11, 2019. Any items to handle separately here? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.
- [Eric] Oppose, nay, the ayes have it. The report has been approved. On to Sustainability.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the seventh, second by Alder from the fifth to receive and, somewhere. Yeah, I think we're gonna receive and place on file here.
- No, it's for holding.
- Holding this report. Okay. Alright. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Oppose, nay. The ayes have it. The report, yeah?
- [John] I'd like to make a comment on it, committee. Are they open to get a grant to do the solar panels?
- Yeah.
- Solar panels, as far as they're costly to purchase in the payback is very minimal. And I don't wanna see the City OF Green Bay, you know, entered into a large financial. We don't have the money on that part of it, but if even if they're looking to get a grant as far as moving forward with solar panels, I think that would be great. But I think they have to look at the cost very closely. So when the committee looks at it that, you know, they take that in consideration about.
- [Man] Point of clarification.
- [Eric] And we'll have Miss Jeffries weigh in here just to clarify things.
- Thank you, Mayor, thank you Mr. VanderLeest. So this solar project would come from the money that was budgeted from the excess stadium tax, to Eland Electric. They were allocated \$60,000 from the excess stadium tax. And so they're going to use those funds in order to install projects in various places in town. Also, the savings from the solar installation should be, and this is something we will be talking about, sustainability, put into a fund to maintain that solar apparatus.
- [John] I know they're using them on street lights, and different things, you know, right of ways. I think that's great.
- That's good.
- [John] I've seen numbers that, what I'm talking about is you know, you get into large numbers of solar panels and there is also in their disposal of solar panels, it is a hazardous . So, there are a lot of things, and it's a long term, you know, the last about 20 years, basically about 20 years as far as, so and you have to dispose of them properly. The cost is relatively still quite--
- [Man] Speaks, he needs to speak to the mic.
- Anyway, I'm just giving you that information. You know, it sounds like .

- [Man] Just let go, right?

- [John] Do you know actually what project you would use it on yet or no?

- So yes, I can answer that question. The committee was going to consider the recommendations from Eland Electric. We have, so essentially what Eland Electric did was to look at the buildings that we have in City Hall, in the city, and determine which buildings had electric bills over \$500 dollars a month. And those would be the ones that they felt would be the most amenable to receiving solar. And so we have three buildings potentially on our list, two fire stations, and then also a building out at Bay Beach Amusement Park. In addition to, excuse me, in addition to repairing and replacing, really replacing the solar array at Light Park.

- [John] Thank you very much.

- You're welcome, Mr. VanderLeest.

- [John] The committee is doing a good job. Thank you, Randy. That's it for me.

- Maybe not. That's where we could go further.

- [Woman] The thing you want disappeared?

- [Eric] I was just curious about a motion. Alright, we're on to report of the Personnel Committee. Oh, Alder from the sixth, apologies.

- I don't have the statistics here in front of me, but, no, solar has become a lot more efficient, effective, cost efficient. Germany has, I read a very technical technical report on it. So I didn't understand the whole thing, but they have really improved the, the life and the cost and the out-puk, out-puk, output on solar. So no, it's not. It's not that costly. It depends on the installation, yes. But it's not as costly as you had mentioned, Alder, okay? It's becoming more effective.

- Alder?

- Thank you.

- [Eric] Yep, thanks. Alright, thank you. On to report the Personnel Committee.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion made by Alder from the first.

- Second.

- Second by Alder from the, what is it, 12th? Sounds good, too, you bet. To approve report T which is the report of the Personnel Committee from the meeting on November 12, 2019. Any items to handle separately here?

- Three and four.

- Three and--

- [Eric] She beat you to it. Items three and four will be handled separately. Hearing none others. All in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay. The ayes have it. Report has been approved with the exception of items three and four.
- [Randy] Motion to approve.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the seventh, seconded by Alder from the second to approve.
- [Alder] I think we should go into closed session.
- [Eric] Okay. Alder from the fourth?
- Gonna read it. The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to statute 19.85, sub one sub E, Wisconsin statute for purpose of deliberating or negotiating public employee contract for competitive or bargaining reasons. The council may thereafter reconvene an open session pursuant to 19.85 sub two, the Wisconsin statute to report the results of closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.
- [Eric] Thank you, Alder. Need a motion and a close?
- [Alder] We made the motion.
- Made the motion.
- Motion.
- Motion made.
- Seconded.
- [Eric] Seconded by Alder from the seventh. All in favor.
- Aye.
- [Eric] Signify by saying aye. Opposed, nay. The ayes have it, and we are closed.
- [Clerk] Return to order.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the first, seconded by Alder from the seventh. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed, nay. We're back in regular order of business.
- [Barbara] I'd like to pass that the as directed, be in closed session.
- Second.

- Motion made by Alder from the first, seconded by Alder from the seventh to proceed as directed in closed session. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- [Alder] I'd like to record it, please.
- [Eric] You wanna use the board, Alder?
- Yes.
- We will use the board.
- [Man] One day.
- [Man] Of course.
- Alder. I'll have decorum here. All day, yeah. Okay, sorry. The vote is 10-2. We're on to receiving place on file.
- [Randy] Motion to receive and place on file.
- [Mark] Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the seventh, seconded by all the from the 10th to receive and place on file the municipal court and building permit reports for October 2019. Any discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- [Eric] Those oppose, nay, the ayes have it. The report has been received and placed on file. On to Resolutions.
- [Clerk] You may under suspension of the rules about resolutions one and two together with one roll call vote.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion maybe all the from the seventh, seconded by Alder from the ninth to suspend the rules, pick up these items with one roll call vote. All in favor that motion please signify by saying aye.
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay, the ayes have it. The rules are suspended. Entertain a motion. To adopt resolutions one and two. Motion made by Alder from the seventh.
- [Chris] Second.
- [Eric] Second by Alder from the eighth to adapt "Resolutions One and Two". Please use the board. That works. It's like I'm in back, whoops. 12-0.
- [Person] Wow.
- [Eric] Ordinance's first reading.

- [Clerk] You may under suspension of the rules advanced ordinances one through three to a second reading.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the seventh, second by Alder from the first to suspend the rules, take up these items with one roll call vote. All in favor please signify by saying aye.
- [All] Aye.
- Opposed, nay, the ayes have it, the rules are suspended.
- Motion to advance.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder from the seventh, seconded by Alder from the first to advance at items one through three to a second and final reading. All in favor please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed, nay. The ayes have it. We've been advanced to the final reading. Referral petitions and communications. Alder from the third.
- Yeah.
- Yeah.
- It's frivolous.
- It's not going?
- It's not coming up.
- Over the mic?
- The mic is not going on.
- [Man] Want me to read it anyway here?
- Go ahead.
- Okay. You got two way communications review the EPW completion date expectation possible action. I also want the Brown County Homebuilders Association notified about the meeting. And the second one, requesting a street light on James for, and I'll get the address when I call Hanson, thanks.
- Thanks, Alder. Alder from the tenth.
- Thank you, Your Honor. I have one to Parks to reprioritize pickle ball as a high priority in the 2020 Parks Department wish list of projects.
- [Eric] Any others?

- In the first state communication, Mayor? Where's that card from the
- Readable on two.
- For what?
- Last year we did.
- Alder Nicholson.
- Question.
- Can you clarify the DPW completion date on?
- These will hand this in then.
- [Eric] Any others?
- [Randy] Motion to refer.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder from the seventh.
- [Kathy] Second.
- [Eric] Second by Alder from the sixth to refer all eight petitions and communications to the proper authority. All in favor please signify by saying aye.
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay, the ayes have it. Those petitions and communications have been referred.
- [Randy] Motion to adjourn.
- [Eric] Motion by Alder from the seventh, second by Alder from the fifth to adjourn. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay, you guys have it and we're adjourned.
- Alright.
- Thank you.
- Mayor, how many question, or, how many meetings we have?