MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 25, 2020, 4:30 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207
IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING PERSONNEL

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Excused: Barbara Dorff

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

1. Approval of the Finance Committee minutes from the January 28, 2020 meeting.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on a request from the City Assessor's office to cancel certain property taxes. Resolution to cancel $3,107.29.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Request approval to award a 3 year contract to Bellin Health Systems for the Fire Fighter NFPA 1582 Medical Compliant Physicals for $114,870 ($38,290 annually) with the option of two additional one-year contracts upon mutual agreement by both parties.

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Request approval to purchase Compressor Systems for The Green Bay Fire Department from 5 Alarm Fire & Safety Equipment LLC for $108,750.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Request approval to purchase Soundoff Lights and Siren Package for the Green Bay Police Department Vehicles from Soundoff Signal for $42,056.31.

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action to approve a five year contract with ArcServe for $93,621.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Request by Ald. Johnson to provide an inventory of all fees charged by the City of Green Bay and create an annual cost accounting policy to review and recommend rate modifications as necessary.

Ald. Bill Galvin recommends that the rates be reviewed annually as part of the budget process.
Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to refer to staff. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Resolution authorizing 2019 transfer of $13,432.57 from contingency for legal expenses related to Georgia Pacific tax appeal for real estate and personal property.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Report of the Claims Committee.

The Committee may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Committee may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

1. 2019 Contingency Account:
$53,526.33

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to receive and place on file. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

2. 2020 Contingency Account:
$150,000.00

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to receive and place on file. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

3. The next Finance Committee meeting will be held on Tuesday March 10, 2020 at 4:30 PM

F. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to adjourn. Motion carried.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- None, Abstain- None

G. VERBATIM MINUTES.

- The Finance Committee meeting to order on Tuesday, February 25th. We'll start with roll call. Alder Corpus-Dax, present.

- Alder Kathy Lefebvre present.

- Alder Galvin is present.

- And Alder Dorff is excused due to illness. I'll entertain a motion to approve the agenda.

- I make a motion to approve.

- Second.

- All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- And I'll have a motion to approve the minutes.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- All right. D, regular business. First item we have consideration with possible action on a request from the City Assessor's office to cancel certain property taxes, resolution to cancel $3,107.29. Staff.

- Okay, in front of you you will see a resolution that has three different properties that we are looking to cancel. First one is real estate, it was for 2019. As the note said behind it, there was a property that had a fire. It was still standing at the end of December of '18, so 01-01 of '19 it was still standing. Didn't realize it had a fire, so it was assessed at a full value. It was razed sometime in that spring, so it was over-taxed. So there is a request here to reduce the amount of taxes that that gentleman has owed. And the next two items is there was some confusion, miscommunication with the state that some mini cell towers, I think our assessor's here also, but mini cell towers were, we thought we had to locally assess them and it ended up being also assessed by manufacturing, so they were double taxed. So the locally assessed are the ones that are supposed to be reduced. So that is what is in front of you. Again, if you have any questions let me know.

- I have none.

- We also have the assessor here.

- Questions?

- If you have any specific questions.

- No, sounds pretty straightforward.

- All right, I'll make a motion to approve.

- Second.

- All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- All right, that motion passes. Number two, request approval to award a three year contract to Bellin Health Systems for the Fire Fighter NFPA 1582 Medical Compliant Physicals for $114,870.00, or $38,290.00 annually, with the option of two additional one year contracts upon mutual agreement by both parties. Staff.

- All right, do you have any questions?

- I don't.

- Before we get started I would like to introduce our new Procurement Manager. Calvin Winters is right there. This is Alder Galvin, Alder Corpus-Dax, and Alder Lefebvre, on our Finance Committee. So he has joined us as of last Monday. And so he's here to learn. And Jackie, did you have the physicals?

- [Jackie] I did.

- Okay, and so Jackie's from our Purchasing Office. She is a buyer, she has helped work on this agreement or contract if you have any specific questions?

- I don't.

- I do not have any questions on this one.

- No, I do not.

- And you see the Fire staff is also here if you have any questions on it.

- So I will entertain a motion.

- I motion that we accept the recommendation as presented by staff.

- And I'll second that.

- All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- That motion passes. Let's see here, number two. Request approval to purchase Compressor Systems for The Green Bay Fire Department from 5 Alarm Fire & Safety Equipment, LLC, for $108,750.00.

- Again, I don't know if you have any specific questions. The Fire Department is buying new SCBA equipment, and this is the compressor system to go along with that new equipment that they are purchasing.

- Is this the only bid that you had?

- No, there were two responses, it looks like there was one from 5 Alarm Fire & Safety Equipment, and then there's also one from Oshkosh Fire Equipment. So it looks like it was the lowest qualified bidder. It was a quote so it would have been the lowest qualified bidder that came in and it was recommended for award.

- I have a question for staff. You were here last month. And I'm sorry, I can't remember exactly what the equipment was that we approved back then?

- [Dave] Yeah, so it was the SCBA--

- David, would you want to come up to the podium please?

- [Dave] Sure. Dave Kolz, Battalion Chief.

- Thank you.

- [Dave] So I don't have my toys with me, but.

- Sure.

- [Dave] So yeah, it was the whole harness with the air pack, the bottle in the back. And it was 122 of the harnesses and 240 bottles. And then the camera that goes on the pack. If you remember, it was that entire thing, plus the masks for every individual firefighter.

- And this is the compressor system to?

- [Dave] Yeah, so these compressor systems, there's three of them that are on the bid, and they're the compressors that fill the bottles. And so we would be putting, this bid is for three of them, one is Station 2, over here on University and Webster, one at Station 6, Firemans Hill, and one at Station 5.

- Okay.

- [Dave] And right now we run with one compressor. We've been running with that since all of us have been on the fire department, and we have to shuttle air via truck. And so this would solve that problem. And the new packs that I showed you last month are the higher pressure, and so we need the higher compressors to fill the higher presser, the higher PSI.

- Makes sense.

- And the old system, what are we doing with that?

- [Dave] Well, that is up for discussion. I think A.C. Goplin can probably fill you in more on what the plan is for them.

- One other question.

- Yep.

- Can the Green Bay dive team charge their tanks?

- [Dave] Yeah.

- Okay.

- [Rob] So any dive team can, and we also fill sometimes for the Department of Corrections and things like that. Ultimately what we usually do with equipment that we're liquidating is we put it up on an auction site and that's probably what we will do with the existing compressor. What you get for that is just dependent on the need for it, and because it's a slightly lower compressor, with slightly lower pressure, we don't know exactly what we'll get for it, but ultimately it will go up for auction and the money will come back to the city.

- Okay.

- Okay.

- All right, thank you.

- [Rob] Mm hm.

- I'll make a motion--

- Any more questions?

- Sure, oh?

- Any other questions?

- No, I'll make a motion to approve.

- Second.

- All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- All right, that motion passes unanimously. All right, number four. Request approval to purchase Soundoff Lights and Siren Package for the Green Bay Police Department vehicles from Soundoff Signal for $42,056.31.

- Again, in your packet you will see the information on the original competitive bid. It looks like they only did get one bid, but it did come up as GSA out of cooperative, and behind it you'll see the details on that light and siren package.

- If I understand, this is for 10 units?

- 10 units, one Chevy Tahoe command and two Dodge Ram trucks.

- Correct, that is probably correct. I'm not sure, the buyer who did work on this is not here today. She doesn't normally work on Tuesdays. But, yeah, you're right, it's, I think the details do show the volume of what these light bars are going to be put on.

- Yeah.

- Yeah.

- 'Cause it looks like it's summarized, and this is kind of...

- [Man] That's 10 squad SUVs, a Tahoe, and two trucks.

- Okay. I have no questions.

- Alder Galvin.

- No, I have none.

- Oh you have none.

- I don't either.

- All right. All right, I will entertain a motion.

- I'll make a motion to accept the bid.

- Second.

- All right, motion made by Alder Lefebvre, seconded by Alder Galvin, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Motion passes unanimously. All right, number five. Consideration with possible action to approve a five year contract with ArcServe for $93,621.00.

- In your packet you will see a one page document that shows the Five Year Gold Maintenance to ArcServe with a five year maintenance agreement. Director from the IT Department Mike Hronek is here if you have any questions.

- Mike, this is all Greek to me.

- Yeah, right.

- What's an ArcServe?

- [Mike] ArcServe is basically gonna replace our current backup and storage appliance. So that is not end of life, but this is a better product, better security, and it also backs up Office 365 for free where the other one will not.

- Okay, we've got a five year contract and at the end of that time, who knows what's gonna change in five years?

- [Mike] Yeah, that's a good point.

- Especially today with things are changing so fast.

- And when you say security, are you talking about the potential for unknown entities to enter into our system?

- [Mike] Yes, this has security built right into it, and it has Sophos built right into it, and that is our current product citywide, so it's a good product. I shouldn't maybe mention this, but this product is at the city of Oshkosh which was recently attacked, and they restored their data from this appliance.

- Because of they had this?

- Yeah.

- Okay.

- [Mike] Well, they could've had a different one too, but they had this one.

- Right.

- Okay.

- All right.

- So it's a good one for us then?

- [Mike] Sure.

- Okay.

- All right, that's all I had, thank you.

- Any other questions?

- No questions, but I think yeah, it's imperative that we make sure we have backup and take care of systems.

- [Mike] Okay, thank you.

- Thank you, Mike.

- I will entertain a motion.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- All right, motion made by Alder Galvin, seconded by Alder Lefebvre, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Motion passes unanimously. Number six, request by Alder Johnson to provide an inventory of all fees charged by the City of Green Bay and create an annual cost accounting policy to review and recommend rate modifications as necessary. Staff.

- I had talked to Alder Johnson and he is fine with us having you refer this to staff so we can take some time to look through the different permits and fees that we have out there. I also got information from our City Attorney that they are going through a recodification in 2020 which means they're going to look at all of our ordinances and they're gonna pull out anything that has fees and put them on a, so that, pull them out, out of the ordinance so that on an annual basis a resolution can be submitted so that we can adopt a resolution for you on an annual basis so that you really are gonna be looking at the fees at a regular basis.

- Sure.

- So again, refer to staff and we'll be getting more information per the request by Alder Johnson.

- Do you know if other municipalities review them annually, or they do anywhere between a one and five year review?

- I really can't answer that, I don't have, I'll do some research on that.

- [Vanessa] I can address that. Most of the time they're done on an annual basis, because what you will do is you will look at all of them at the same time, literally just as a single resolution, and so the recommendation, so you'll adopt it annually, but as far as changing them, that may happen later. But at least it's bringing them in front of you so you know what those are.

- Yeah.

- Yeah, because I think it's a good idea because of what happened with our last--

- With the liquor license?

- Yeah.

- That was such a jump all of a sudden.

- Yeah, it's a good idea.

- And to that end, Diana, there was some social media kickup about that license fee increase, and I made a comment that the fees cannot exceed what it costs the city to administer the fees, correct?

- That is not correct. According to our city clerk, for this fee, it specifically says that you just have to be within the range and you do not have to have justification for the cost. But internally, the majority of our cost, we do have justification and we do have backup.

- Is that all fees or just for liquor licenses?

- [Vanessa] So it depends on what we're talking about. So if they're established by statute, then we can just adopt what already has been provided by statute, which is what has happened here, where they tell us how much that range can actually be.

- Okay.

- [Vanessa] The other option is we establish it based on our own determination, and when we do it on our own determination what the courts have stated is that it needs to have a reasonable correlation to the actual amounts that we incur.

- So some fees have to have an actual correlation to what it costs us to administer that fee, but other ones we can set the fee based on what the statute allows?

- [Vanessa] Correct.

- And will that be identified when we're doing this for review in the future? Will that be identified to us somehow?

- Whether it's statute or?

- Whether it's statute related or?

- [Vanessa] When we bring them to you, we would definitely be able to tell you that, yes.

- Okay. But the thought process, will this be a yearly thing? That we'll be reviewing the fees?

- [Vanessa] Yes. So the idea would be that every year the council would have a resolution presented to them, either with budget or at the beginning of the year, and then those fees would be adopted at that time, so that if you need to make adjustments up or down you have that opportunity to do it. And the resolution will stay in place until you guys adopt a new one, but when we establish them, the recommendation is that you do them annually, and if you do them as a resolution or with these combined or condensed, it's a lot easier to manage them.

- I guess I would make a recommendation right now that they be done as part of the budget process.

- I would agree, that seems like the appropriate time.

- Because here we're generating almost $50,000.00 that we haven't designated any use for and so that has some people upset. But I guess if we're gonna reduce it, say something happened and we reduced it by almost $50,000.00, then that would cause a budget shortfall for us. So I would just make a recommendation that, as we're setting this up, it become part of the budget process. Just to keep it fair and above board, so people don't think we're trying to pull anything, and that's what some people felt we were doing. I'm not really sure why we chose to do it at the time we did, but it had been quite a while since those fees had been--

- It had been quite a while, and it was time for renewals. So the idea, the renewals had to go out March 1st, earlier in the year we decided it was time to maybe take a look at it. I do have an email that, back in July, I had sent out an email to all department heads and just said, something to think about as you look at your user fees, your permits and charges, it's budget time and we should really be looking at this. So this was sent out to all department heads to take a look at it and to reevaluate their different fees. And so it is something that each department head has been looking at, this is not--

- Out of the blue.

- It didn't mean to be a surprise.

- Right.

- But by the time we worked on budget last year, our liquor license had already been done for the year. I don't want to say it slipped through the crack, but it was just something that as the new letters came out, since my information came out last fall, our request last summer, last fall, as the clerk and me were looking at the fees for the next year, we're like, this would be the time to at least see if the council would have an appetite to increase these fees, and then it would be time to put out our new letter.

- Okay, because without the fees, our tax base would have to increase significantly. The fees throughout this city add quite a bit to our budget, so we need to stay on top of that and keep it fair for everybody as it comes to property taxes and that. All right.

- Do we need a motion for renewal?

- We need a motion to refer to staff.

- I'll make a motion to refer to staff.

- I'll second.

- All right, motion made to refer to staff by Alder Galvin and seconded by Alder Lefebvre, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- That motion passes. Number seven, resolution authorizing 2019 transfer of $13,432.57 from contingency for legal expenses related to Georgia Pacific tax appeal for real estate and personal property.

- So, attached to, in your packet on the report page you'll see a lot of information. But what you have in front of you, there were some, the 2019 invoices from Seibel Law Office that pertain to the Georgia Pacific tax appeal, and there's a property tax portion and there's a personal property tax portion. What the assessor and our attorneys have done is also have worked with other municipalities dealing with this same issue with Georgia Pacific. So the invoices that we receive for the 2019 tax appeal, the work that they have completed, there was a bill for $12,420.00 for the real property, and then $12,360.00 for personal property. Each one of them we were able to charge back a portion to the City of Neenah, the City of Sheboygan, or the Green Bay School District, so you're seeing all that breakdown in the detail on the report page. Then the last item was prior Finance Committee meeting we had approved an invoice for $2,222.00, and then meanwhile we were able to charge back $889.00. So in total we are looking to make a contingency transfer of $13,432.51, because these are expenses that we did not budget for for this tax appeal. The assessor is here or the attorney is here if you have any questions on the information in front of you.

- I have no questions.

- No, this is according to what the dark store thing?

- This is not dark store. This is--

- Oh, okay.

- And I may let our assessor explain it a little more detailed.

- [Russ] This is the Georgia Pacific plant, the appeal of their manufacturing plants in town here, on Broadway and on Street. It would be two big plants that they have, they've been appealing those for the past three years. So this is in response to that and we've been dealing with them for the past three years now. So this is the payment for the attorney fees. The actual Department of Revenue is the one that assesses the values on these, and they approached my office back in the beginning of this and asked for our help in defending the value, because they don't have the expertise anymore. They've got a lot of retirements. So they asked for our help in defending the values. So we hired an expert attorney that deals in this litigation and got the help of some other communities to pitch in to go along with this because they also have the same type of properties. And the school district also pitched in, so we've got a lot of help to do this. It just takes a long time for this to go through the whole system, so that's why it's taking so long.

- Okay.

- [Vanessa] And as far as your question about the dark store, that is a completely separate theory. What this is is it's based off of the fact that they exempted personal property taxes at the state level, but for manufacturing only it would be. And so non-manufacturing would say, well, it doesn't say manufacturing only, it really intended to include all of us, and so we're having to make that argument--

- It's just flipped the other way, locally assesses the--

- [Vanessa] Locally, so yeah, manufacturing was the one that was excluded, included?

- Included.

- [Vanessa] Included, okay, and so everything else was excluded, and so they're saying it should have been included because we're being treated differently. And so we're trying to argue against it because if it was actually that case, we would lose an exponential amount of money coming into this city as tax revenue, and more importantly the manufacturing, which has already been taken off the tax roll, say you're right now, right?

- No, the locally assessed machines and tools are the ones that were taken out.

- [Russ] Right, that's the personal property side.

- [Vanessa] The personal property, so those ones, there was a state allocation of funds to the cities and to municipalities to offset it. So the personal property that the state authorized them to take off of it, they made us whole, essentially, whereas this would not.

- Okay.

- And do we see a light at the end of the tunnel for this whole thing, or is this a--

- [Russ] Well we just received, actually today, some copies of some filings that, with another case, that, well, we're privy to, that's kind of a test case that they are, which is the same basic principles so that we're filing that one just in case so that hopefully, if we get a favorable hearing on that one, a favorable ruling, that perhaps it'll stop it in the tracks at that point.

- Okay.

- [Russ] So hope springs eternal. Usually what happens is that within between the third and fourth year, usually is what happens, because that's when the lawyers on the other side have racked up enough fees that they feel comfortable enough to start settling.

- And how far into this--

- [Russ] This is the third year.

- Third year?

- I think so.

- All right.

- [Russ] That's where we're at. So I feel that within the next year or so we should probably see some sort of resolution, because they're dragging their feet even harder now because of the fact that we've got an expert with our appraiser that we have hired has got an opinion on that value based on the appraisal he's done, they're supposed to have submitted their appraisal back in December. They have not done that yet.

- Okay.

- [Russ] So in the meantime they're asking for all sorts of information from our Freedom of Information Act, Open Records Law from our inspectors, from our office, from the attorney's office, just a way of delaying the inevitable. So I think they know that they're gonna probably gonna lose, but they're just trying to rack up more billable hours.

- Okay. All right, I have no further questions.

- All right, I will entertain a motion.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- All right, I have a motion by Alder Galvin to approve and a second by Alder Lefebvre, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- I have a request. Can we go back to item six? I had a question and I forgot to ask it.

- Okay.

- Sure.

- This is Alderman Johnson's request.

- Yes.

- Attorney Chavez, will the law department, is that who's gonna be handling the review of the fees and that kind of stuff?

- [Vanessa] So who will ultimately doing the fees on an annual basis is yet to be determined. Right now we're focused on the recodification, and so with that process there will be a trigger for us, essentially to calendar it every year for it to be brought up again. And so whether it's the law department or potentially finance, somebody will be making sure that those numbers get pulled annually.

- Okay. The recodification process, do we have an idea yet how long we think that might take? How many man hours, person hours, I'm sorry.

- [Vanessa] So the recodification is the project that we farmed out to Municode a couple of years ago. And so that process, I've been told we are on the April calendar for us to have the final version of the draft, or final version of the code in draft form I should say. And so we will make any final revisions to it at that time, hopefully putting us in a place to be able to get it adopted by the, I'm hoping, end of summer, end of year at the latest. We got slowed down last year a lot because we had so many people out on maternity leave. And then we had so many turnovers. And so we're back on schedule again. We're making changes to the code itself, so you'll notice a lot of major things happening with it. Like chapter 33 would no longer be chapter 33, it'll be chapter seven, potentially. So everything will get redone in a manner that is easier for people to search it. But we're not really taking any substantive changes to it, so that's what will make this second portion of it go faster than the original portion of it did.

- So this recodification, we hired a private company, did you say two years ago?

- [Vanessa] Yes.

- So it's been two years of them working on this to get it up to where we are gonna be this Spring, April?

- [Vanessa] They worked on it for probably six months and then it came back to us and kind of sat because we had so many--

- And then it got delayed.

- [Vanessa] Yeah.

- Is this something that in the future we may want to do internally, or is it better off for us financially to farm it out?

- [Vanessa] The recodification?

- Yes.

- [Vanessa] We will not have to do this again for probably another 20 years. It's just when your code has been sitting for like it has for so long, eventually you want to look at it again and make sure that it's still user friendly and it's making sense.

- So it's being updated to current state statutes, I'm sure there's stuff in there that has to be taken out 'cause it no longer applies.

- [Vanessa] That's exactly what we're doing. We're making sure that it comes up to state statutes, all of our references are to things that still exist, that references between sections actually point to the proper sections, referring to laws that have been superseded, getting the correct ones in there. Just doing a lot of cleanup on it is what we've been doing, but it's 160 page code I believe, last time I looked at it.

- Okay.

- No, it's more than that because our zoning code is probably that by itself. And so we shouldn't have to do this again for like another 20 years, it's just, I would say, probably every 15 to 20 years is when you do a recodification.

- All right, and then a yearly review, how long do you think that'll take to review it yearly?

- [Vanessa] For the fees?

- Well, we're gonna have an employee, would that be from the law department or finance, you said, to review it yearly.

- [Vanessa] For the fees?

- I'm sorry.

- [Vanessa] For the fees.

- For the fees, yes. How long do you think it'll take to redo it?

- [Vanessa] That will be a resolution that will be established and it will have all the fees on there. And so if we have a list of like 60 fees, there'll just be somebody going and checking the list of 60 fees to see whether or not they are still in the right range.

- Okay. All right, so that won't be a huge undertaking by anybody. Okay.

- [Vanessa] No. And to remind you, we had already allocated the funds from the recodification, you guys authorized funds like two years ago, and then we paid last years out of our budget to finish it, so the recodification is paid for already. So we built that into what we were already trying to do.

- All right, cool. All right, thank you.

- So would it be--

- Are we on eight?

- Yeah, I'm sorry.

- Okay.

- No no no, that's fine.

- We don't have to do anything else with that, so we don't have to, all right, I just forgot that. So thank you.

- All right, number eight. Report of the Claims Committee.

- I have no questions on any of the claims.

- No.

- And I do not have any questions. All right. Receive and place on file or motion to approve? For Claims?

- [Vanessa] You can, yeah, you can receive and place on file, there's nothing to approve on this one.

- Okay.

- Okay.

- I'll entertain a motion to receive and place on file.

- I'll make a motion.

- All right.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Lefebvre and seconded by Alder Galvin, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- That passes unanimously. E, informational. 2019 Contingency Account is $53,526.33.

- That's a receive and--

- That's just receive and place on file. What you did approve was $13,000.00 to come out of that $53,000.00 tonight.

- So it'd be down to $40,000.00?

- Correct, that would get updated.

- And change.

- Correct. After this I'm going to the council.

- All right, I'll make a motion to receive and place on file.

- Second.

- All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- Let's see here, number two, 2020 Contingency Account, we're still at $150,000.00.

- Springs eternal. Receive and place on file.

- Second.

- All right, motion made by Alder Galvin, second by Alder Lefebvre, all in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- And then the next Finance Committee meeting will be held on Tuesday, March 10th, at 4:30. And I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

- Motion to adjourn.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Galvin, seconded by Alder Lefebvre. And we are adjourned.