



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

**TUESDAY, MAY 19, 2020, 6:00 PM
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
ROOM 203, CITY HALL**

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. Please find the Zoom meeting information with instructions in the Agenda Packet.

B. ROLL CALL.

Present: Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach; Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Kathy Lefebvre

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

D. INVOCATION.

I. The invocation will be led by Alder Kathy Lefebvre, District 6.

The invocation was led by Alder Kathy Lefebvre, District 6.

E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve. Motion carried.

I. Approval of the minutes of the May 5, 2020 Common Council meeting.

F. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Lynn Gerlach, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend the agenda to move Item S. Resolutions after Item T. Committee of the Whole. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve as amended. Motion carried.

G. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

H. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

I. APPOINTMENTS.

I. New Appointment.

Board of Review

Steven Corken, 3120 Crusade Lane, Green Bay, WI 54313

Term to expire: June 1, 2025

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to confirm the new appointment. Motion carried.

J. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to suspend the rules and take up Ordinances 1 and 2 with a roll call vote.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

1. General Ordinance Number 11-20

An ordinance to amend Section 1.40(4), GBMC, relating to records retention.

2. General Ordinance Number 12-20

An ordinance to amend 6.155 33.08(7)(h), GBMC relating to sidewalk cafe permits.

K. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (MAY 12, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception to Items 1 and 2. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded Ald. Bill Galvin to reconsider Item 3. Motion carried.

1. To approve the reallocation of two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00) of 2019 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Revolving Loan funds as recommended by staff.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 1.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to amend Item 1 to refer the \$100,000 to Revolving Loan Fund for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 1 as amended. Motion carried.

2. To recommend Common Council adopt proposed allocation of 2020 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Cares funding of five hundred ninety five thousand, four hundred forty-six dollars (\$595,446.00).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve Item 2.

Ald. Brian Johnson abstained on Item 2.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to amend Item 2 to reduce Public Service Activities from \$120,000 to \$100,000 and add \$20,000 to Elections. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve Item 2 as amended. Motion carried.

3. To approve and recommend to the Common Council the City of Green Bay's Five-Year (2020-2024) Consolidated Plan and 2020 Annual Action Plan.

Ald. Chris Wery made a motion to delete under Maintain/Support/Enhance Housing #5 Increase the number of homes shelters and/or transitional housing units. Motion failed for a second.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve Item 3. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- Chris Wery, John VanderLeest

4. To receive and place on file the communication from Ald. Johnson (District 9) on "Creation of an emergency relief fund to provide loans to assist small business owners with the impacts of coronavirus (COVID-19)."

5. To table the communication from Ald. Johnson (District 9) "To direct the Redevelopment Authority to initiate negotiations to purchase 927 Liberty Avenue utilizing neighborhood

enhancement funds, block grant or other funding resources available to the City of Green Bay or RDA."

The Common Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Authority may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

L. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (MAY 13, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve with the exception to Items 2 and 5. Motion carried.

1. To receive and place on file and endorse Option #4 from the Dike Recap Memo on the request by Ald. Dorff, due to great concern regarding the possibility/probability of extensive flooding to the homes and roads on the South Shore of the Bay of Green Bay due to the current height of the bay, to provide for a full report on the progress that has been made on obtaining funding to fix the dike on the south shore of the bay of Green Bay, a timeline on when work on the dike will begin, and how we can finance this work even if we are not able to obtain state and federal grants.

2. To refer to Common Council the request by Ald. Galvin that the current process for funding sidewalk repairs contracted by the City for residents be studied with the possibility of making changes.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 2 .

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to receive and place on file Item 2. Motion carried.

3. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Gerlach to have staff collect and analyze data regarding the number and cost to both the City and the residents of mini storm sewers requested and installed during the spring, summer and fall of 2020 for the purpose of evaluating the impact of the reduction in fee hook-up.

4. To refer to Plan Commission the request by Ald. Lefebvre to look at residential policy per storm water runoff; residences are experiencing rain water runoff from elevated houses because of floodplain building elevation requirements (FEMA).

5. To approve General Ordinance number 14-20, an ordinance to amend Section 29.211, GBMC, relating to penalties for parking violations.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 5. Motion carried. Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- Brian Johnson

M. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (MAY 11, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve with the exception of Items 20 and 21. Motion carried.

1. To deny the appeal by Stephanie Lardnois at 1026 S. Irwin Ave. regarding the denial of her permit to have more than two dogs at her residence and recommend the current owner of the dog who is deployed reach out to rehome.

2. To deny a request by Skogen's Foodliner, Inc. at 2430 University Ave. to amend their license premises to include stalls in the parking lot specifically designated for online grocery pickup.

3. To deny a request by Skogen's Foodliner, Inc. at 2250 W. Mason St. to amend their license premises to include stalls in the parking lot specifically designated for online grocery pickup.

4. To approve a request by White Dog, LLC at 201 S. Broadway to amend their liquor license premises to include an outdoor patio, with the approval of the proper authorities.

5. To waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor licensed premise with respect to fence height and to approve a request by Cock & Bull, Inc. at 1237 Main St. to amend his liquor license to include an outdoor patio on the west side of the building, with the approval of the proper authorities.

6. To approve a renewal application for "Class B" Beer 6-month license for De Pere Dodgers Baseball, Club, Inc. at 1450 E. Walnut St., with the approval of the proper authorities.

7. To approve a change of agent for PH Hospitality Group, LLC at 859 Lombardi Ave.

8. To approve a renewal application for a Class "B" Beer license by PH Hospitality Group, LLC at 859 Lombardi Ave., pending the approval of the change of agent at the May 19 Common Council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities.

9. To approve a change of agent for Dino Shell, LLC at 1828 S. Ashland Ave.

10. To approve a renewal application for a Class "A" Beer & "Class A" Liquor license by Dino Shell, LLC at 1828 S. Ashland Ave., pending the approval of the change of agent at the May 19 Common Council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities.

11. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license for 304 North Adams Green Bay, LLC at 304 N. Adams St. with a change of agent and with the approval of the proper authorities.

12. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class A" Liquor and Class "A" Beer license for Walgreen Co. at 1995 Main St. with a change of agent and with the approval of the proper authorities.

13. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class A" Liquor and Class "A" Beer license for Walgreen Co. at 1401 E Mason St. with a change of agent and with the approval of the proper authorities.

14. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license for Wisconsin Apple, LLC at 2420 E. Mason with a change of agent and change in description, with the approval of the proper authorities.

15. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license for South Bay Marine Center, Inc. at 101 Bay Beach Rd. with a change of agent and change in description to "buildings as indicated in the attached map consisting of the office and storage areas, pool area, grassy area, surrounding patio, mc Donald marina.," with the approval of the proper authorities.

16. To approve a renewal application for the 2020-2021 license year for Tenet, LLC at 2475 W. Mason St., with the approval of the proper authorities.

17. To approve on the various liquor and/or beer licenses renewal applications for the 2020-2021 license year with changes in their license description from the 2019-2020 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities (see attached).

18. To approve the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2020-2021 license year, with the approval of the proper authorities (see attached).

19. To refer to the Mayor's Office a request by Ald. Brunette for consideration with possible action on Green Bay Common Council to begin meeting a minimum of twice a month effective June 2020. There are too many critical issues requiring attention and action to meet once a month. We answer to the public and more regular meetings are necessary and convenient for the public, previously discussed at the April 27 Protection & Policy Committee meeting.

20. To refer to staff and develop the rules necessary on a request by Ald. Brunette for consideration with possible action on allowing the public to address the city council on non-agenda items at each full common council meeting.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to approve Item 20.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to receive and place on file Item 20. This

motion was later withdrawn.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to refer Item 20 back to the Protection & Policy Committee. Motion carried.

21. To receive and place on file a request by Ald. Wery to the Protection & Policy committee which states: "Request obtaining bids/quotes from consultants for an external election review of processes and administration of the April 7, 2020 Election. Preference should be given to attorneys with election law experience, current or former municipal clerks or inspector general positions."

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve Item 21.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to amend Item 21 from receive and place on file to approve. Motion failed.

Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach
A board vote was taken on Item 21 to approve as presented. Motion carried with the Mayor breaking the tie.

Yes-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax

22. To refer to the ad hoc committee a request by Ald. John VanderLeest to review the policies and procedures for curbside voting for the remaining 2020 elections and how to communicate curbside voting information to all voters and poll workers in Green Bay.

23. To refer to the ad hoc committee a request by Ald. Bill Galvin to the Protection and Policy that plans be made and implemented by July 20th to avert any future problems with in person voting and absentee voting. This is to include, training of staff and volunteers, obtain necessary equipment, establish secondary voting sites, and any other resources needed.

24. To receive and place on file the liquor violation report for May 11, 2020.

N. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (MAY 11, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve with the exception of Item 3. Motion carried.

Ald. Brian Johnson abstained from Item 4.

1. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a single-family home in a Varied Density

Residential (R3) district at 827 9Th Street, subject to compliance with all other regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code not covered under the Conditional Use Permit. (Public hearing held 5/11/2020)

2. To rezone a 10 ft. wide strip of Low Density Residential (R1) zoning to Highway Commercial (C2) located at 1828 South Ashland Avenue. (Public hearing held 05/11/2020)

3. To amend a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to increase the occupancy for an existing shelter facility from 46 to 100 individuals located in an Office/Residential (OR) district at 430 South Clay Street, subject to the following condition:

During the time at which an official state of emergency impacting the City of Green Bay has been declared by the federal, state, or local government, and only for the duration of such emergency, the number of occupants and hours of operation may be increased to the maximum levels permitted within this CUP with the consent of the Director of Community and Economic Development, Zoning Administrator, or Chief Building Official.

(Public hearing held 05/11/2020)

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve Item 3.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 3. Motion carried.

4. To amend Zoning Ordinance I-19 (Whitney Park Townhomes) to expand a Planned Unit Development (PUD) at the 200 Block North Van Buren Street. (Public hearing held 05/11/2020)

Ald. Brian Johnson abstained from this Item.

O. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (MAY 12, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve with the exception of Item 1 and Item 5. Motion carried.

1. To approve the designation of the Green Bay Press Gazette as the Official Newspaper for the City of Green Bay for the approximate annual amount of \$50,000.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 1. Motion carried.

2. To refer to staff the City of Green Bay Procurement Manual.

3. To hold action on the five year combined Capital Improvement Plan and 2020 borrowing.

4. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Johnson to the Finance committee which states: To consider and discuss establishing a budget forecast process to position the city to strategically respond to anticipated revenue shortfalls and additional expenses from the impact of COVID-19.

5. To receive and place on file a request by Ald. Vander Leest to the Finance committee which states: Due to the financial toll the pandemic will have on the economy, City tax base, lost revenues and increased health insurance expenses it's important for the City Finance Director to review all spending decisions for the current 2020 budget and to ask all department heads to determine reductions of at least 10% for their department.

The City should institute a 90-120-day temporary hiring freeze on all city positions and outline what city spending can be eliminated or reduced in 2020 and report back at the next City Council meeting.

Furthermore, the city Finance Director and Mayor's office should look to the impact of the pandemic for the 2021 City budget and report back on plans to address this by the July City Council meeting.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve Item 5.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to amend Item 5 from to receive and place on file to refer to the Mayor and Finance Director. Motion carried.

P. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (MAY 12, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve the request to fill the Resiliency Coordinator position and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

2. To refer to staff the request by Ald. Corpus-Dax and Ald. Dorff for the City to research and conduct a study for the term lengths of appointed officials in comparable municipalities. Determine whether the term lengths of a City appointed official should be amended to longer than 2 years and to review City Official appointments/reappointments so they do not coincide with the swearing in of a new council.

Q. REPORT OF THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION (MAY 13, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

1. Receive and place on file the scheduled tour of NEW Water by the Sustainability Commission.

2. Refer to staff to develop a policy to address City of Green Bay's tree canopy.

R. RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

1. Municipal Court Report for April 2020.

2. Building Permit Report for April 2020

S. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to suspend the rules and adopt Resolutions 1 and 2 together with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve Resolutions 1 and 2. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

1. Resolution authorizing conditional use approval at 827 9th Street.

2. Resolution amending conditional use approval at 430 South Clay Street.

3. A proclamation extending the City of Green Bay Emergency Declaration to June 3, 2020.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve Resolution 3. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

T. COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

City Attorney Chavez and Chief Litton spoke on this Item.

I. Discussion with possible action on the third "Proclamation Extending the State of Emergency for the City of Green Bay COVID-19 Response."

U. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules and advance Ordinances 1 and 2 to a second reading. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to advance Ordinances 1 and 2. Motion carried.

1. Zoning Ordinance No. 07-20

An ordinance rezoning a portion of property located at 1828 South Ashland Avenue from Low Density Residential (R1) District to Highway Commercial (C2) District.

2. Zoning Ordinance No. 6-20

An ordinance amending Zoning Ordinance 1-19: Planned Unit Development located in the 200 Block of North Van Buren Street.

V. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to refer the petitions and communications to the appropriate Committee or Commission. Motion carried.

A request by Ald. Mark Steuer to the Finance Committee/IT to include maps, charts, and other graphics of the various department line items, where applicable, in order to make clearer the City budget to the City Council.

Minutes of the Common Council

A request by Ald. Mark Steuer to the Parks Committee to get an updated report on the number of benches, signs, bike repair stations and other amenities on the West Side Trail; also to give an update on the trail expansion plans to the northwest of Bond Street and into the Village of Howard.

A request by Ald. Mark Steuer to the Parks Committee/Community Economic Development to look at the feasibility, and various site locations, of a virtual drive-in theatre/performing arts venue, as is being done in numerous other locations.

A request by Ald. Chris Wery to the Parks Committee to request staff submit a plan for discussion to reopen city parks and city hall.

A request by Ald. Brian Johnson to the Protection and Policy for consideration and possible action on the creation of a click and carry ordinance.

A request by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre and Ald. Chris Wery to the Parks Committee which states: "Due to high water levels and recurring flooding along the Bay of Green Bay, requesting the City reconsider plans for a sand swimming beach and bathhouse at the Bay Beach Amusement Park."

A request by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to the Finance Committee which states: "I am requesting that the City again offer sand bags to residents on the Bay of Green Bay for a nominal fee including delivery. Many residents are elderly or do not have a way to pick them up."

I. A request by Ald. Brian Johnson to the Redevelopment Authority for consideration and possible action to acquire properties on the 500 block of 11th Ave for possible redevelopment using community development block grant funds.

W. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to adjourn at 8:55 p.m. Motion carried.

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Go ahead. Thank you.

- I call to order the meeting of the Common Council for May 29th, 2020. Clerk?

- All are present your Honor.

- We have quorum and we will proceed. Please rise for the pledge of allegiance and remain standing for the invocation offered by Alder Kathy Lefabvre.

- [All] I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all.

- Are we ready?

- Yes go ahead Alder Lefebvre.

- Yes. In this time of the virus and some of the people have to stay at home, they're concerned about what's going on, I'm just asking that everybody take a moment of silence and to think of those that have passed with this virus. And those that are in the hospital. So let's just please take a moment of silence please. Thank you everyone.

- Thank you Alder. Move the minutes.

- Move to approve.

- Move to approve.

- Second.

- Moved by Alder Dorff, seconded by Alder Vander Leest. Any corrections? Seeing no requests, please signal by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Minutes have been approved. On the agenda here, I would entertain an amendment, we just have the Committee of the Whole and the resolutions out of order here. So I would entertain an amendment to move the whole, just before the resolutions.

- So moved.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Gerlach, seconded by Alder Scannell to amend the agenda to put the resolutions. Just after Committee of the Whole. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Okay the ayes have it the agenda has been amended. Entertain a motion to approve the amended--

- Motion to approve the amended agenda.

- Second.

- Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- The ayes have it, the amended agenda has been approved. Report by the mayor. So as most of you have probably seen, our case number continues to creep up here in Brown County, thankfully it's slowing down but it's still sizable and we still have the largest per capita number in the state of Wisconsin in terms of counties. So you know we still have a significant fight in front of us, and so just, I encourage you all to continue setting a good example, Alder Stevens with the message behind him I think is-- We just need to continue to respect the physical distancing guidelines that have been put forth by our public health experts. Remain home whenever possible and when we're out and about to be wearing masks and of course washing your hands as often as

possible to continue to slow down the spread of coronavirus here in Green Bay and in Brown County. But I also think we need to learn how to live with it right? So as we turn towards summer, you know I think we'll see many more outdoor activities taking place, and from my perspective, from the city's perspective I think we want to encourage that behavior as long as it's done responsibly. I would anticipate our parks making some announcements here in the coming days, opening things up a bit as well. So I think it is, it is a great opportunity with the weather turning hopefully for us all to get out and engage in some responsible physical activity outdoors because I think we've all been looking for that for some time. And as I said you know, the-- are still with us, they will continue to be with us, for some time, but I think as important really are the social and economic impacts that we're going to be dealing with as a community and as a state and nation. And so I just wanted to touch on the allocation of our CARES dollars through the GBDG distribution here that will be debated further down our agenda. I've been very impressed with the way that our community and economic development staff has come together on this in short order. I'm happy with the plan that's been put together, glad that our redevelopment authority has approved it I think. This allocation really does meet a diverse set of needs that we have here in the city, and you know it's certainly not the end all be all, I'll be doing everything I can to advocate for additional support from state and federal government, but I'm happy with what's been proposed and just would encourage council support for that allocation. And that concludes my report. On to announcements. Let me see if I can.

- Mayor, if I--

- Yes go ahead Alder Johnson. I'm not seeing speakers, so continue to press your buttons but I'm not seeing it right now so just, feel free to wave to get my attention as well. But Alder Johnson.

- Thank you Mayor. I just wanted to take a brief moment here to commend our department heads and our director of finance. For the exceptional job that they did in pulling together the original, the initial draft of the five year capital improvement plan. You know, something that the general public may not always see, but sometimes as Alders when we open up these agenda packets and we see, like in this case, a 600 page document, and you realize, you got a lot of reading-- and obviously in this particular instance a big chunk of that was the capital improvement plan. And so it was certainly no small feat and I can tell that the staff put a lot of time into this, but just speaking as a member of council, I tell how useful this document is going to be for us as we chart through our financial decisions that we need to make here in the next couple of years. So again just want to thank staff, department heads, but also again commend them. This was a lot of work and we really do appreciate it. So thank you.

- Well made point Alder, thanks for that. Alder Scannell.

- Thank you your Honor. Just a few tonight. On Downtown Green Bay's Facebook, on Wednesdays for the rest of this month in May at 7PM you can virtually visit an artist's studio. We meet the artist, tour their studio, and even have the opportunity to buy-- Support your local artists. Also Downtown Green Bay dot com continues to have an updated running list of restaurants offering delivery, take out, and curbside pick up options. Online shopping for local boutiques, gift cards and more. So keep an eye on that. Also tomorrow

morning the Chief of Commerce I believe with the county is putting on a virtual meeting about opening up the economy. It will start at 8:30, and then they've got every, let's see it goes 8:35 to 8:45 general guidelines for reopening, and then 8:45 to 9:25 restaurants so if you're interested in restaurants that would be the time to ask questions and to get information on that. And after that is 9:25 to 10:00 is hospitality and lodging. After that retail, entertainment, amusement, gatherings and outdoor recreation, professional services and public facilities. Cultural, transportation and warehouse wholesale trade, and it will all be wrapped up by 12:20 to 12:30. So that's tomorrow morning starting at 8:30. And then lastly I'd just like to add I think the Mayor's suggestion of getting out and getting physical exercise is an excellent one. And if anybody wants to do that, my lawn needs mowing. Thank you your Honor.

- Thank you Alder. Alder Lefebvre.

- Yes I have, I wanted to mention that with the flooding, I was really surprised at where it occurred and of course it's because of all the rain. But here on the Bay we dodged the bullet. Again, and although my backyard and some of the backyards we have huge puddles from the rain, the water is from all the flood and then from all this rain the water table's so high and it just can't take all this water. But we're doing fine here everybody, and that's the good news. But also wanted to bring awareness to all the Alders, when you're driving around, be alert because I almost hit a UPS truck on Webster Street, I was coming along and he was parked without his flashers on.. He stopped and parked and all of a sudden, I mean he was there. I just had time to get around him on the other lane. I could quick look and make sure there was no one coming. But if there had been another car, I would have had to put my breaks on and I might have hit the back of him. This is not legal, I did check, this is not legal, they cannot be doing this. This is a moving violation. And also landscapers have been doing this also, they park in the street driving lanes, not in the people's driveways. Those also should be reported. Some are moving violations, some are parking violations. You can call the police or you can call Downtown and report them. This has to be something that, we have to be vigilant on. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Any other announcements? Okay on to appointments. We have one appointment, one new appointment here to order review.

- So moved.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell, I believe seconded by Alder Galvin? Any comments? All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

Minutes of the Common Council

- The ayes have it, the appointment is confirmed. On to ordinances, second reading for adaption.
- You may under suspension of the rules take up items one and two with a roll call vote.
- Move to suspend the rules.
- Second.
- Motion made by Alder Scannell. Seconded by.
- Steuer.
- Alder Lefebvre.
- Either one, doesn't matter.
- All in favor of this motion to suspend the rules please signify by saying aye.
- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.
- Opposed nay, the ayes have it the rules are suspended.
- Motion to adopt.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff to adopt J2. Please use the board.

- Mayor mine's acting up again. I vote yes, Steuer.

- Thank you Alder.

- Oh okay I got it.

- Alder Brunette it's not registering.

- Mines not working, yes I'll sign out and sign back in. I'm a yes.

- Thank you,

- Passed 12-0. On to report of the Redevelopment Authority.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by-- to the Redevelopment Authority. For the meeting on May 12th, 2020. Any items to be handles separately here?

- One.

- Number two.

- One and two, any others? Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Nay? The ayes have it with the exception of items one and two. Any wishes regarding item one?

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. The item was pulled by Alder Johnson I believe.

- Yes thank you Mayor just one minor amendment to this. I'd made the requested RDA, and while I understand that staff might have just understood the request I really wanted it to be documented so I would just ask that this be amended. To refer to the revolving loan fund committee in item to discuss the \$100,000 dollar allocation for the pandemic relief fund. The reason I'm asking for that amendment is because we passed the original \$100,000 dollars with certain restrictions or provisions targeting, you know to meet certain demand. I just want the revolving loan fund committee to have an opportunity to review how that's performing and whether or not this additional \$100,000 should be used in that same manner or if it should be modified to meet a different demand. So I would just again, the amendment would be to refer the \$100,000 dollar portion of this allocation to the revolving loan fund committee for further discussion.

- Very good and I think that is an accurate recap of the discussion at RDA. So an amendment has been made.

- [Multiple Speakers] Second.

- Okay seconded by Alder Scannell, all in favor of the amendment, signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Okay the ayes have it.

- Approve as amended.

- Second.

- Motion made to approve as amended made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- I had my thing lit.

- Oh, apologies Alder Wery.

- I'm sorry, thank you. I don't know if you can see the light, if that's not working maybe. I did have a question about the \$29,000 under public service activities for homelessness assistance. Funding for future use, TBD. What other uses and who will be administering that?

- Sure, so we will go to assistant director Renier-Wigg if she's on the line, otherwise, director Vonck.

- Hello everyone. What we were thinking of Alder Wery is that currently we are using some CARES money that we had an allocation of leftover block that might assist homeless folks that are trying to stay safe and isolate. That \$40,000 allocation probably lasted us a month. Depending on how many people used it and how

many vouchers. This allocation, it's to be determined because we're not sure what those needs are going to be coming this summer with regards to housing folks that need housing, or other items that might come up with regards to folks working in the shelters. So I don't have a good answer for you in that it's kind of, we left it a little open because we're not sure what we might need this summer and coming into the fall.

- Okay, no I appreciate that Cheryl, and does your office or you administer that?

- Yep, that's, actually that's money that would be administered through our office, we'd either work through an agency, we'd put an application out but it would be run through our department. Absolutely.

- Okay good, perfect, thank you.

- Thank you Alder, apologies for missing the light there. Any other discussion? All right hearing none, we have a motion and a second. All in favor signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Those nay? On to item two.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens. Item was pulled, I believe by Alder Vander Leest?

- That's correct. I'd just like a little information on the \$549,446 dollars can we just have a little break down how that money's going to be spent and where'd the money derive from?

- Yeah absolutely. This is that federal CARES allocation that's being distributed through community development block grant funding, but I will turn things over to director Vonck for the full description.

- Okay thank you.

- Sure, thank you Mayor. So just overall the breakdown of the funding, so this, as the Mayor said, is an allocation that was part of the federal stimulus package. Money went out to entitlement communities, that normally received block grant and home funding throughout the country and the state. And based on the formula that they use, Green Bay was slated to receive just under \$600,000 dollars. And as the Mayor discussed, we've had a lot of discussions about, you know how best to distribute these funds. And so the plan before you, broken down into a few different ways. Number one is administration, and we took 10% to allow for staff to charge back time to COVID related activities. Right now through our normal block grant allocation, we do cover some staff time with that, this would be over and beyond that for additional staff that may participate in administering these activities. In essence this would be a savings of levy dollars because some employees who are on the levy may be able to charge back to this account. Generally in our normal block grant funding which was in the following item, you can do up to 20%, we felt 10% was a fair amount because again we want to get these dollars working in the community. Another category's public service activities. We had planned on allocating \$120,000 dollars to the business improvement districts split equally among the four bids. And they would be used to help offset the costs of modifying different events, you know in order to take care of additional costs of spreading out, social distancing, different times, different methods, maybe offsetting costs of participation or registration. You know we really felt that it was important for us to continue to have events in the community. These events bring people, you know hopefully in a responsible way, but they bring them to our business districts. Which you know there's secondary benefits to the business that are there by having people near by they also promote things like food distribution and then like our farmers markets and providing access to fresh food for our community is a very important thing when it comes to our block grant dollars. Urgent need, then in terms of things you know more directly related to COVID-19. As was previously mentioned we have an additional allocation here of \$80,000 towards homelessness assistance programs. As assistant director Renier-Wigg had talked about, we had been currently working with New Cap. This is again to be determined in terms of providing safe shelter for homeless individuals in our community. Working to make sure that social distancing or they have access to healthcare in case they need it and working with different providers to be able to provide that. \$100,000 dollars to go back into the community development revolving loan program. This is a program, the pandemic loan is really the short term loans, five, ten thousand dollars for emergency relief. This \$100,000 dollars will go back into our larger revolving loan program. Which really works on bigger picture larger projects. Those do require potentially job creation and creation of jobs for low to moderate income individuals. \$100,000 dollars of essential frontline employee relief programs. This would again be working with some of the nonprofit providers in our community. Who are already doing some of these things to support the programs that they are doing. When you talk about direct assistance, that would be, we would work on an agreement with these agencies to find out, tracking the money where it would be going, whether it's to purchase food or provide shelter, really targeted to those who are, you know have the maximum exposure in our community. \$136,000 dollars to Green Bay conservation corp. This would be the city providing some limited term jobs for unemployed residents. For example there's a number, and director Ditscheit could talk about, there's a number of smaller parks projects, maybe a handful of public works projects that we could use. We've got materials and they're ready to go but just, we don't have the staff time to do it. So providing some opportunities to get that work done and provide some meaningful employment to individuals in our community. So that is what has been proposed as the allocation, as I talked about at the Redevelopment Authority. I think what we have now are just some allocated buckets. We need to provide this plan to HUD in order to receive our money. We don't have a-- have a time frame exactly when that will be done but these are buckets in terms of where we hope to spend the program dollars. We're also still waiting

for the federal register of rules to be published to say exactly how we can spend these funds. With that we've been in very close contact with HUD and talking about these programs. All of them will qualify under normal block grant spending so we don't see that it would be any different with this CARES money. The CARES money will probably have a lot more flexibility with it and a lot looser rules if you will. So we feel that all these would apply. But as these come forward, this would be administered either through our department community and academic development, with a combination if there are significant changes going back to the redevelopment authority for specific allocations. And again some specific agreements between us and external partners on just how that money would be used.

- Thank you I've got one quick question. Would we be able to put any of the money into our contingency fund? Which we use for numerous things. In other words, are we bound to spend the money exactly how it's been dictated or do we have flexibility?

- So there is flexibility with how the money is spent. Really what they would like to do though is see it go towards certain activities. You know you could say unallocated at this time. Generally the HUD likes to see money allocated towards certain projects. There is flexibility with these funds. That if you don't spend them in the way that you anticipate it's easier to amend than traditional block grant dollars. I believe it only requires a five day comment period and an amendment process that would go back through the redevelopment authority to shift some of those dollars. It could potentially be possible to hold some of those in reserves, I think part of the push with these dollars though is to get them working in the community sooner rather than later.

- Okay that answers my question then.

- Alder Wery.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. I do have a question, I was trying to Google what HUD has as their guidelines and they've kind of revised it a couple times so I didn't quite nail down what their final one was. But Kevin or Cheryl if you could answer, could we use a portion of this, because COVID did affect our elections. Could some of this go towards the next elections? You know making sneeze guards or the cost of PPE, accessibility, things of that nature?

- I believe so, I would have to pull up the rules again, the full rules haven't been finalized but as long as things are COVID related, if you give me a second I could probably pull up some of the rules. It could potentially be used to handle additional expenses although it may count towards the administration costs because you're basically, those dollars are going toward the government itself, and so it would come up against, it would have to come out of that administration account. In terms of how those dollars are spent. Because there's not dollars actually going to an individual, at the end of the day so it would potentially be possible but it would count against that administration allocation or that administrative part of these dollars.

- And that might be worthwhile looking into because it is a public health and safety and we will probably have some increased costs you perhaps making more sneeze guards, you know all the PPE and we've discussed this at our ad hoc committee, the additional equipment we might need for the workers. The \$120,000 for the bid programs, you know that's 20% that's a huge chunk of this amount. Do we have a break down exactly of dollars or do we just kind of picking them on what we thought sounded good?

- So yeah I think, again trying to balance how this money was spread out we felt that the bid allocation was larger in terms of a percentage, but with that we felt there were a lot of secondary and tertiary effects with this money. I think one of the things that we looked at is okay from a dollar standpoint, if you take a \$120,000 dollars, divide it amongst the four bids, that's \$30,000 per bid. And then if you start to look at the number of events that these bids are having. You know, ten, fifteen, I mean just right, simplify it? And take ten events that would need to be adjusted, you're looking at \$3,000 per event, which is, really, I mean small and I think Alder Johnson can probably attest to the cost of events and how much those are. You know it may not be much to move the needle in terms of any particular event but it could potentially offset, you know as I kind of alluded to earlier I think the additional effects of what these bids do you know, they in turn support a lot of our small businesses that we may not be able to directly support or you know may have fallen through the cracks in terms of not being able to secure other funding. So by bringing people to these areas, and then being able to be closer to these businesses we thought was a good thing. Again we had talked about potentially you know farmers markets and then the access to food in the community. You know we would still have to define the specific ways in which each of the bids, or how each of the bids would spend their funds. And really that would be working and taking some direction from the bids themselves. You know they best know how to reallocate these things. But we really felt based on the actual number of events that are happening, each event in itself doesn't add up to a lot but when you conglomerate them together, it does add up to a pretty substantial sum.

- All right I appreciate that, and I can see some of the merits of that. I think they could probably, instead of \$30,000 each, easily do with \$25,000. You know they could probably use \$100,000 each. But I'm going to make a motion that the public service activities be decreased from \$120,000 down to \$100,000. With the extra \$20,000 going to administration to be used for election related activities in the upcoming August/November for COVID related expenses.

- I'll second that.

- Can I ask a question?

- Made by Alder Wery seconded by Alder Dorff. We have a question from.

- Alder Galvin.

- Alder Galvin go ahead.

- Just a question, if we do vote on this Alder Wery has made a motion for, what happens if we don't spend that money that's been allocated for the elections? How does it get redistributed?

- Sure-- so what we will do, just like we did with the previous item before it, we would come and bring it back through redevelopment authority and council and say look, it's been X amount of months or one of these activities proposed HUD said was ineligible so here's how we would like to reallocate it. So I think you know, there's enough flexibility in terms of timing in here. That if we weren't able to spend all of the money there would be opportunities to reallocate it at a future date and actually do so in a pretty timely manner. So if money gets shifted, just like, again, the item before us, you know we didn't spend all of our 2019 dollars, so we looked to reallocate them, we would do the same thing with these dollars here.

- All right thank you very much.

- And I just want to make one last comment. I think it still stays true to what you're trying to do with the bids but does address that need, and I think Alder Dorff can also comment at our ad hoc committee which I think went quite well. There are going to be additional expenses the council will be seeing I would think. And I think this would be prudent to use some of the federal money that really is meant for this, so thanks.

- Thanks Alder. Just for everyone's edification, there is a discussion on the WEC's agenda the Wisconsin Election Commission agenda, for tomorrow afternoon at 4PM to discuss how to spend \$7.3 million dollars that Wisconsin received in CARES grant funding. So just wanted people to be aware of that if we do receive some support from that \$7.3 million dollars, might be appropriate to re-evaluate some of the decisions made here tonight.

- That makes sense but just in case, you know. A lot times you see good plans go wrong and I would rather have us be ready and return it than have nothing. Understood.

- Mayor this is Alder Lefebvre.

- Alder Lefebvre go ahead.

- Yes so what I understand with the discussions that say we vote on, excuse me, Alder Wery's motion, and then we find out that we get money from the state for the elections that we can just shift this money back, is that my understanding?
- Yes we could and what we would do is bring back an amended plan to approve based on the unused money.
- Okay and then I think you kind of said there is no time limits on this?
- Again the rules haven't been set yet for our normal block grant dollars there's usually a timeliness component that you have to spend X amount of dollars, you know generally a majority of the percentage within the year to eighteen months. It has not been set out on this, but just some of the discussions we've had is that they would like to see this money used sooner than later. And then get working in the community.
- Sure that makes sense. Okay thank you.
- Further discussion? Seeing none, Alder Steuer.
- Thank you your Honor. Thank you, Alder Wery for this I think it's a good motion. I think, you know I think this will help. As we move forward. And so I commend you for that, thank you.
- Other comments?
- Mayor?
- Alder Johnson.
- I just need to state for the record-- is employed by one of the business improvement districts.

- I'm sorry you cut out a little bit there.

- I need to abstain because of my employment by one of the business improvement districts, so not only for the amendment but for the entire item.

- Got it, understood. Motion has been made to amend, it's been seconded, if there's no further questions, all in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Nay? The ayes have it. The item has been amended.

- Motion to approve as amended.

- [Multiple Speakers] Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens. There's not any further discussion. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Okay. On to the report of the INS committee.

- Motion to approve.

- I think there was one more item Mr. Mayor. Number three.

- I had one and two.

- I had said number three, sorry I don't know if it didn't come through.

- Oh okay.

- Never heard that.

- Motion to reconsider number three since we passed that earlier.

- Second.

- Motion made to reconsider made by Alder Wery, seconded by Alder Galvin, all in favor of reconsideration signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Opposed nay, the aye's have it. Item three under the report of the redevelopment authority is now in front of us.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor, and I appreciate director Vonck, you sent me the lab from five years ago what our plan was moving forward, but unfortunately I was looking at it on my phone and it was 150 pages. So I couldn't find the summary of it. So if I'm wrong on something just correct me, I tried to read this. As Alder Johnson said, we get these lengthy volumes to read. Under the goals for 2020 to 2024, maintain, support, and enhance housing. I mean it's HUB money so that would make sense. Number five, was this a goal last time, to increase the number of homeless shelters? Is it really our goal to increase them? I could see maybe supporting but a goal of increasing them seems, I don't know, a little strange. I couldn't find it if it was a goal five years ago.

- Yes I'm going to try and pull up, and I may actually pull in assistant director Renier-Wigg, that plan was done right before my time with the department.

- And thank you for sending me that document, it was just cause you know, pretty voluminous.

- I'm not sure if that goal was in our plan five years ago, that goal came as a result I think of the public commentary we had. For the preparation of this plan. So I think that was worded in a way from all of the public comments and that there was more need for homeless housing. In Green Bay.

- And then, kind of following up on that, the public services. Is in, it's one through seven. Increase or support. Is that a ranking of priority or just a list of things? Is it prioritized? Or is it just a list.

- No I'm sorry--

- Mental health, abuse, neglected, transportation, child care, youth and senior.

- I believe that is just a list.

- Okay. That's what--

- Nope it's not in a rank order. And then I just, I did pull just for quick reference, the previous plan, one section I mean I don't know if it talked about the number of homeless shelters specifically, but it did address homelessness and kind of tie the ribbon, you know the need for more permanent housing. In terms of I think that was something five years ago, I think it's still an issue now, and based on some of the public input, and some of the data that has been collected, you know the homeless population has grown. Both year round and seasonally, in the winter. And so that is why that has been addressed as a major need in the community.

- I will make a motion to delete the words a number, well let's see here. To delete the words, homeless shelters and/or. So it would be increase the number of transitional housing units. I'm not sold that we need to increase the number of home-- in the city. Maybe some of them need to be enhanced slightly but I firmly believe the more you build, you know. More might come, so. That's my motion. It will read increase the number of transitional housing units.

- Motion made to amend by Alder Wery. Do I have a second?

- Everybody wants to build homeless shelters. Good for you.

- I think the motion is--

- Your Honor, honestly that comment is out of line.

- I was waiting for you Randy.

- Yeah well, your Honor, order with that kind of comment. That's not appropriate. That's beneath the dignity of the office.

- Alders, both of you need to maintain some decorum here. The motion fails--

- I've been quite fine, I don't think I've been shouting.

- We are on the item itself.

- Motion to approve, or unless it has been already.

- It was reconsidered so we need to do it again. Motion made by Alder Dorff. To approve the item

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Galvin. Any further discussion?

- I'd like this recorded please.

- We will have a recorded vote.

- Alder Steuer are you having problems?

- Nothing's coming up here. I thought I had it. So this is. What did it state on the, okay here it is, it just came up. Okay I did it.

- That item passes ten to two. We are on to the report of the improvement and services committee.

- Motion to approve.

- Second, Alder Lefebvre.

- Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Lefebvre to approve report L which is the report of the INS committee from the meeting on May 13th 2020. Any items here to be handled separately?

- Number two?

- Number five.

- Any others? Items two and five will be handled separately, hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Opposed nay? The ayes have it. The report has been approved with the exception of items two and five. What are your wished regarding item two?

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff. Item was pulled by Alder Galvin. You have the floor.

- Thank you your Honor. And actually I'd like to withdraw this item. After a lot of consideration after the meeting, and sitting on the finance committee, I saw the conclusion that sometimes we get caught up in the effort to try and do as much as we can for our constituents by reducing fees and fines and other items for them, because we're just trying to be nice to them. You know we forgive taxes and things like that, and looking at our current situation and not just this year but the last two years and moving forward for probably the next several years, we are going to be in some real financial straights here. And I was kind of adding up in my head just in the last, since January first of this year. How many times we've forgiven fees we've reduced fees, we've forgiven fines, taxes, things like that. And how much that is going to cost all the tax payers. So I just, after a lot of reflection, I just don't feel right to pursue this at this time and I'd like to withdraw it.

- So is the motion here to receive in place on file?

- I second. Oh if that's the motion.

- Is that the appropriate motion?

- Yeah okay, thank you.

- Alder Galvin makes a motion to receive in place on file item two, that's been seconded by Alder Dorff.
Additional discussion? Seeing none--

- Mr. Mayor?

- Alder Gerlach.

- I would just like to thank Alder Galvin for taking the step to be very thoughtful about that and make the hard decision, I really appreciate it.

- Thank you.

- Any others? Hearing none, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Nay? The ayes have it the item has been received in place. Item five.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Dorff to approve the item, the item was pulled by Alder Johnson.

- Thank you Mayor. If director Grenier, if director Grenier gets to provide a quick overview, I seem to remember having previously approved the change in citations, could you just provide a quick overview on what the purpose of this particular item is?

- Director Grenier?

- Absolutely. These citations are actually governed by Green Bay municipal code. They're written right into the ordinance. With some staffing changes over the last couple of years, both within public works and down in the law department, every time that the citation changes, used to come through committee. We had a long term employee down there who used to monitor the agendas and she would automatically make the appropriate changes to ordinance and bring the ordinances forward for adoption. We were unaware of that, and what happened is we now have the rates that have been approved by the council, without the corresponding changes to the ordinance. So what we're asking for is, to bring those ordinance changes forward, and then the actual ordinance change will be at the next council meeting.

- Okay. Thank you. Mayor I'm going to ask for a roll call vote on this, and because all of these changes are lumped together, I don't think we need to rehash the discussion on this, we've had that already. But one item in particular that I was fundamentally opposed to last time was the very hefty and significant increase for the parking violations related to item E. That was raised from \$150 dollars to \$302 dollars specifically that was for parking in handicap stalls. It's not to minimize the severity of that particular infraction, but to me that type of penalty just seemed very heavy and very excessive. So I'd ask for a roll call vote so that I can document my no on that.

- Very good.

- Your Honor?

- Yes Alder Galvin?

- Thank you. This is a question for director Grenier. These fines, that are assessed. And being a recipient of a couple last year and over the years. And a couple instances where I didn't take care of it right away. It is pretty stiff, I mean they start out at basically what would amount to about two hours worth of wages for an average person, and even more for some. And if you don't take care of it right away, which again is your responsibility and it's my responsibility that I didn't take care of the meter at the time. But these fines, what do they go to finance?

- They go to the parking division. This is our operating budget.

- This is what we pay wages from, this is what we use to maintain our parking ramps, and eventually do we bank any of this money to replace them or is it just for your yearly operations?

- Well parking, because parking is a wholly, or a fully funded operation, this also goes into, any revenue that would be in excess of expenses would go into a capital account. That's not happened recently, especially over the last several years as parking has become completely self sufficient. Up until, well starting with 2020, we've taken over the debt service on the parking ramp repairs, and starting three or four years ago we assumed the remaining debt left on the Cherry street ramp, whereas those had been levy supported in the past. So we've not been making contributions into our capital account, we have barely been covering our expenses. But if there are revenues that exceed expenses, that would go into a capital account which would be set aside for future parking needs, more than likely going towards a new ramp when a new ramp is needed.

- Okay. And the shelf life of a ramp is, if I remember correctly, about 75 years or so?

- Between 50 and 75.

- And we have, I believe two ramps right now?

- We have three municipally owned ramps, we have the Main Street Ramp, the Pine Street Ramp, and the Cherry Street Ramp. And then we also manage the River Ramp on behalf of the ownership group down there at the former WaterMark building.

- Okay and what is the age of those two ramps that we are responsible for replacing?

- Again three ramps--

- Yes I'm sorry.

- Off hand I don't know, Cherry is the most recent, I believe Cherry was built in 2002 to 2004, something like that. Main is starting to near the end of her life. And as part, I do want to remind the council that as part of the development agreement that was structured between ourselves and Shreiber Foods Incorporated, at the absolute latest, I believe it's 2031. The Main Street Ramp has to go away, that had, the day we signed that agreement back in 2011, there was a 20 year clock ticking on that ramp.

Minutes of the Common Council

- Okay and then the parking lot that we purchased on Monroe Street. How is that funded?
- That was funded, the corner of Main and Monroe? Or I'm sorry, yeah Main and Monroe.
- Is it Main and Monroe? Where the old--
- The old body shop.
- No actually I was talking about the parking lot, the large one that the county was going to purchase.
- That you would have to ask director Vonck.
- Okay so that's not covered by parking utility.
- No sir.
- Okay so if we were to reduce, or keep the fines where they're at, and as costs continue to rise, we're going to have to start taking some of the burden then for parking utility and put that on the levy.
- That's going to be up to the council.
- And in roughly nine years we've got to build a new ramp?
- Well.

- I mean if we have to take down the Main Street Ramp you know as our contract. Is that right, did I understand you right, in roughly nine years we've got to start looking at a new ramp there? Take that one down and build a new one?

- You did. One of the items that we had proposed originally for 2020 that I've removed from the 2020 program was to update the parking study that was completed a couple of years ago. That parking study did not anticipate a lot of the development and redevelopment that occurred within the downtown area, and we do need to capture what the impacts of that redevelopment is having on our parking system. But at that time they, we were predicting that by about now, we were going to start seeing a deficit in the amount of available parking, which was going to push the need for another parking structure, even having the Main Street ramp still in operation. So for 2021, I'm hoping that we're going to be able to fund that study and get some updated numbers because we may be in need of a ramp earlier than even nine years from now.

- Okay and any money we could set aside in the meantime would offset any bonding for the new.

- That is correct.

- Okay so as we take the long bow view, which is what I've been asking our council to be doing every year, that's why we've gone into a five year budgeting plan, five year plan for bonding. We have to start thinking that, anything we short parking utility on now, is going to have to be placed on the back of the taxpayers through the levy. And we have the constant argument about our taxes are too high, I hear a lot on social media about people complaining about their parking tickets, but it's a balancing act. So are we willing to restrict the ability for parking utility to raise funds to operate and possibly, hopefully it would be nice if they could start setting some aside for the future, or put it on the backs of the tax payers as a levy thing or even as a bonding which also affects. So I think we need to give careful consideration when start making decisions like we're being asked to make right here. It's not so simple as we're being mean to people that violate the parking ordinances, I think it's something that we need to think about. I mean we've got a tough year, even looking at the finance meeting, we've got a tough year coming up when it comes to bonding. We're going to have I'm sure a tough year when it comes up to the budget. And so every little bit, like I said before on that last item that I asked to withdraw, every little bit affects it. And even though it may look like just a small amount, it adds up. And so I, as much as I hate paying those tickets, I guess if I'm staying on top of things then I don't have to pay them. And I'm not in favor of Alder Johnson's proposal. Thank you.

- Further discussion?

- Alder--

- Alder Vander Leest, go ahead.

- I might want to just add that the parking utility's going to be quite short if you look at the last three month period or two month period with the amount of parking that's been taking place downtown, I think we're going to have quite a large reduction in revenue as far as parking in the City of Green Bay and downtown city of Green Bay. I don't know the exact figures but I'm sure that director Grenier is aware of that, that we're going to be pretty short on the parking funds in 2020 here so that's just my comment there. Thank you.

- Any additional comments?

- Mayor one more time.

- Alder Johnson for a second time.

- I just want to be clear here. And Alder Galvin I appreciate the questions you're asking and I had many of the same questions in improvement and services when we've talked about long term parking management strategies. And that's not what this is for me. This is about a modification to an ordinance about the rates on parking citations. The one in particular I'm most concerned about that I opposed last time and I want to remain consistent, imagine walking out to your car and finding a \$302 dollar parking citation. \$302 dollar parking citation. That's where my opposition is. I agree that we still need to have a long term parking management strategy in terms of how we finance those things, I don't think that this ordinance is the place to do that. But it is absolutely a conversation we need to have, and I'm sure we're going to have in the coming years, but again I just wanted to be very clear. I'm not looking to continue to cut what's happening here, and in fact I mean when you talk about why, why parking, why parking enforcement exists at all it's to achieve compliance. It's not intended to drive revenue. Revenue is the side effect of what happens when people don't comply with the law. And so I would just urge the council to be very cautious about how we view parking enforcement, that's another dialog that can come down the road, but at the end of the day I just want to be very clear, my opposition is because of that one citation increase. Thank you Mayor.

- So are there, did you request a recorded vote?

- Yes.

- Okay. Alder Gerlach.

- I just would like to point out that all of these other fees that are being raised if I read this correctly are for parking and not attending to your meter in time. Whereas if I park in a handicapped spot, that is my choice right up front, I know I'm parking in a handicapped spot it's clearly marked, what can I expect? But if I put money in a meter and I stay too long somewhere and I come out and the meter has run out, you know that's a little different. But that wasn't choice I made to park in the wrong place.

- Further discussion, we'll go to the recorded vote.

- Mayor just one quick question for Alder Johnson. Quick question for Alder Johnson? Can you hear me? Alder Johnson?

- Yeah I mean I don't know if that's a--

- Why don't you make an amendment to have that \$302 dollar fee cut in half?

- Just for context, Alders, we did have this discussion obviously we have a new member. So we can certainly have it again, but this is a debate that already occurred.

- I just wondered why Alder Johnson wouldn't want to ask to have the fee cut in half and we could vote on it? If it's not dictated by the state of Wisconsin. Why don't we try to cut that fee in half? Can you hear me Alder Johnson?

- Thank you Alder for that.

- I think I can hear you, I'm respecting the Mayor's authority, I think it's out of order to ask other Alders questions.

- Alder Wery go ahead.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. Yeah I don't know if we handled this in January perhaps but it was several months ago. And I think this is just catching up to post, I don't know if we'd have to revisit it at committee to change it. I was in favor of the change because when we looked at the surrounding communities and around the state, we were woefully below what other communities did. And so it really brought us up in line. And if you look at all the different parking transgressions, this is probably the most egregious. So we should definitely at least be in line with what other communities do. And that was the thinking of the council at the time. Thanks.

- Thank you Alder.

- Mayor? This is Alder Lefebvre. Can I speak?

- Yes go ahead Alder.

- Yes going back to the citation for parking in the handicap, I don't believe that this should be cut, at all. This is something that somebody, as Alder Gerlach mentioned. Made a conscious decision to park in a spot that's duly noted. That's handicapped. I think that is irresponsible of someone to do that. This takes away mobility from someone who really needs that parking spot. I think this fine is fine. If you lower it, most people, some people \$150 is going to mean nothing. You have to make it large enough so that when someone gets that fine, they're duly noted, they're not going to do that again. So I would definitely, not in favor of bringing it down. I will vote for this, I think this is fine, what we're setting these fines and most of these, I had asked Chris had sent me the definitions what A, B, C, and D, what they stand for, and all of them no parking. There's a big sign that says no parking. No stopping or standing. There's big signs that says not to do this. Then you, if you willingly do it, you're saying I don't care. So I think we have to set examples. So I'm going to vote for the whole number five. Thank you.

- Thank you Alder. Without further discussion--

- Mayor.

- Alder Steuer.

- Thank you your Honor. Yeah I was looking through this quite extensively as well. I had hoped that we could maybe put on the ordinance the various violations that there are but I found out there's 33 of them, so I think that would be a little cumbersome. But I concur with Alder Gerlach and Alder Lefebvre as well on that. I think that's a conscious effort on someones part to park there. I'm done, thank you.

Minutes of the Common Council

- Seeing no other speakers, we will go to the vote.

- Mayor I have my lights on.

- Okay, Brunette.

- Yeah thank you. I just wanted to point out as I mentioned at committee, this is only coming to us at this point just because of the clerical error. I don't really want to classify it necessarily as an error, it was a city employee who worked under Director Grenier's department. And then you know submitted an ordinance shortly after the council passes it or around the same time. One thing I want to keep in mind, want the council to keep in mind, is even if someone gets a \$300 dollar citation or any citation for that matter, they can still contest it. So if they parked in a handicapped and it was a sincere error, they can go through the proper channels to contest that ticket. So I'm in favor of adopting the ordinance change as is without any changes to the \$300 dollars. Thank you.

- All right now we're really going to go to the vote. Board. Item is adopted 11-1. On to direction policy.

- Motion to approve.

- [Multiple Speakers] Second.

- Motion made by Alder Stevens seconded by Alder Dorff to approve report M which is the report of the direction and policy committee from the meeting on May 11, 2020. Any items here to be handled separately?

- Number 20.

- Number 21.

- Any others? Items 20 and 21 will be handled separately, hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Those nay, the ayes have it, the report has been approved with the exception of items 20 and 21. Your wishes regarding item 20?

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Gerlach. The item was pulled by Alder Dorff.

- Thank you your Honor. The reason I pulled it is because that asking staff to develop rules necessary on the request and asking them to do all of that work, when we haven't even talked about it as a council, I thought it was putting staff to possibly unnecessary work. We don't, we never discussed as a council if we wanted to have this, allowing the public to address city council on non agenda items. At each full common council meeting. And you know, we already know what some of the rules are. You can't respond if it's not an agenda item, we know we're not allowed to address it because it hasn't been posted as an agenda item. So I would just like to change that to receive and place on file, unless we want to have a discussion, you know about whether or not we want to have this kind of action at--

- A motion has been made to receive and place on file, is there a second?

- I'll second that.

- Second.

- The second was made by Alder Galvin. Further discussion? Alder Brunette.

- Yes thank you Mayor. I'm against the receive and place on file I did speak with Alder Dorff on this, so I kind of understand where she's coming from we don't want to have staff spend time pursuing something if as a council we still haven't given the stamp of approval. To the concept. I'm in favor of it, it I think it's a great idea, there was a gentleman who approached me, he mentioned that, has the city ever looked into that? I honestly didn't know. When I was on the Brown County board, we did it. We allowed the public to address the full county board on non agenda items. And I always looked forward to that part of the meeting because you hear directly from the public on issues that aren't necessarily an agenda item, so they come to you with all different sorts of issues, opinions, ideas, weaknesses we have as a city, or areas where we can turn more attention. As much as we think that city government is accessible to the people, we know darn well by talking to our constituents that government, not just the city of Green Bay, but governments in general, a lot of times people don't know how to properly address or find an entryway into their government to address their grievances, to give ideas, to correspond with their alders. As much as we think we know quite a bit and we're well connected to the community, I would say a great number of people in our community probably don't know what a city alderman is. And I don't say that lightly. In the same way I don't think people quite understand what a state legislator necessarily does, or a school board. We see it all the time. How there are different levels of civic engagement, what I like to do is allow people from every corner of this city to address their council on any issue that they deem appropriate. So obviously we're not necessarily approving the overall policy, we're just at this point asking staff to look into it. Senior attorney's office mentioned that other municipalities have done this I think, you know if we set a time limit saying don't spend any more than four or five hours of staff time looking into it, I think that would perhaps be more appropriate. It is a good idea, I see absolutely no harm in it. There are a couple other thoughts that I wanted to share and I'm not thinking of them at the moment. But I don't think we should ever not allow this, the public should be able to address us at their meeting in the building that they own, which is City Hall. So with that, I'd like to, if I could Mayor, if I think about what it is that I wanted to say, allow me to speak at a later point if discussion goes there. Thank you.

- Mayor? Mayor this is Alder Lefebvre.

- Just one second Alder Lefebvre. Press your button and we will get to you.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. I would also agree this is an excellent idea that is long overdue. You do see many communities do this and it does increase accessibility for citizens. I don't know who or why would be opposed. I welcome comments, and I assume my time. So I don't see how it would do that for anyone. I think at least get it to staff, get it to committee where we can flesh it out and then we can have a more in depth discussion at committee. So I'm opposed to receive and placing on file. Thanks.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Johnson.

- Thank you Mayor. I'm also opposed to receiving and placing on file, I used to live in a community where this was allowed and it was a fantastic channel for citizens to be able to address not only the Mayor but the balance

of council on a variety of issues and some of them weren't always bad. A lot of them were just ideas that people had and certainly you can have those conversations one on one with individuals but it doesn't have the same impact as when you're able to have an opportunity to address your elected body. I'm generally hesitant to restrict the citizen's right to address the government body that is elected to represent them. And I, when I look at this, and I understand the need to protect staff's time but on a, on something like this which is not the decision do we allow it or not allow it, but do we allow the staff to spend time on it. And I don't see something like this consuming a lot of staff time. I mean when you look around the policies designed, I mean like you're going to set a time limit and that's basically it. I'm not sure why staff would even need to spend four or five hours worth of time as Alder Brunette alluded to, other than to see maybe what other communities are doing but, perhaps that's a piece of the workload that maybe even Alder Brunette or anyone else would be willing to carry if you know reaching out to other communities to see what their policies look like. But this seems like a very easy thing for staff to work on, but a very important thing to provide the citizens of our community. Thank you Mayor.

- Thanks Alder, Alder Vander Leest.

- Thank you Mayor. I don't support the receiving and placing it on file. I think I always like to hear from our taxpayers. And I think the input that we get from the county a lot of good ideas are exchanged, so I support the citizens be able to come up and speak in front of our city council. So I think it'd be very, a very good tool for the community, and I thank you.

- Thanks Alder, Alder Galvin.

- Thank you your Honor. I'm not in favor of this. I have a lot of contact with my constituents, I've had five already this week that have never talked to me before in the entire time I've been their representative. They come to me with their thoughts, their ideas and things like that. I'm able to help them out, direct them, sometimes I put them on, I tell them what they have should be handled by a committee or by the council. They have an opportunity at the committee level to make those good suggestions and ideas or controversial, whatever they might be. But there's a proper way to do this. I think, you know it's a feel good thing to let them just get up and randomly speak at council meetings. But I think it's maybe not a good use of everyone's time including theirs to make them sit at a council meeting that runs for five hours, til the end of the meeting, and how many of them are going to be disappointed with the process if that's what ends up happening? Or how many would be better served if we can either take care of their problem by directing them to the right department or get them the right help they need, or send them to the right committee where whatever they have to say can be dealt with properly and in the proper form. And if they end up going to the council because we always offer them the opportunity, they don't like what happens or they still want to get up and speak about whatever they have going, they have that opportunity at council, in the right format, set up at the right time. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Lefebvre.

- Yes, being on the county board, we do have this at the beginning of our meetings. What happens is that people come up and speak and sometimes a supervisor will realize there's something very important that was brought up and then they will put it in a late communication. That evening for that item to be discussed. So I think it is a good idea, but now with our new, we're not meeting in person anymore, we are not doing the, we're not doing this, we're doing it differently. From the Wisconsin County Association, they recommended that we do not do it because it takes up too much time when you're on these programs for our meetings. So instead we decided that people will email, into the county office and then they send those emails to us that we can read those and we get that comment that way. That might be a way that we can do this. It's something to think about. But I think we should not place it on file. I think we should maybe discuss this more. Maybe it should go back to a committee. I think this is something that would be beneficial. Thank you.

- Alder Steuer.

- Thank you your Honor. You know I think initially I was against this but as time has gone on, I listened to some of the county folks talk about this and how it's helped them at their meetings. You know Alder Galvin does mention that you know we deal with many, such people get ahold of us. But I think, I don't see the harm in it. In the sense that it gives the citizen another chance to feel like a buy in into their community.

- - on council it's stated that it's not all that lengthy. You know maybe once or twice it might be, but I don't feel, I feel that it's a good thing. So I will, I don't want to receive and place in file. Thank you.

- Alder Scannell.

- Well I was just going to add, will Alder Dorff reconsider her motion to send it back to committee? She's waving her hand.

- Alder Dorff.

- As soon as I get the chance to say something I will. So when the Mayor calls on me, and I can say what I'd like to say first, that is exactly what I was thinking of doing. But I'll wait for the Mayor to call on me.

- I concede the floor, that's all I wanted to know is if she would reconsider.

- Alder Dorff.

- Thank you, so I think I believe that we have many many ways for the public to address us. The public can address individual alders via email, or as often happens. They can address all of us, via email and we get, all of us get the emails. That someone might choose to send to all of us and include the mayor. We have the processes of going and maybe talking with the constituent, maybe bringing the constituent, back in the day before coronavirus, into city hall and talking with a director. But we can do that, we can facilitate that so that they can perhaps have a problem or concern solved right there with the director of a department. They certainly can call us and so that if things can't get resolved with a director, that we could suggest putting it onto a committee agenda. Where we have a process to talk and work through it at committee. And then, everything that we do at committee automatically comes to council, because the agendas come right over to council. So then there's that opportunity to have agenda items to be spoken to by a member of the public. So I see lots of harm in it, I've seen this happen at meetings, particularly school board meetings, where people can make any statement they want to make, and you cannot respond. You may not respond. And the public just left with whatever has been said, and they can't hear any sort of rebuttal if a rebuttal is needed. Or even an agreement if an agreement is needed. So I'm very much against this but I will change my motion, and ask that this would go back to the protection and policy committee for them to discuss further.

- Second.

- Alder withdraws her motion to receive and place on file, makes a motion to refer back. It's been seconded by Alder Scannell. Alder Brunette.

- Yes thank you Mayor and thank you Alder Dorff. I'm in favor of the motion, we can discuss this again at committee and perhaps, after the discussion at the committee level I'd be willing to make a more targeted motion that identifies some of the guidelines that sense of policy would cover. So thank you Alder Dorff, I'm in favor of the motion.

- Alder Steuer. For the second time.

- One thing I wanted to clarify, and Alder Dorff has said that there are many ways to contact your alder, and I agree, there are. But I'm surprised by how many folks don't have email. I mean I have to make phone calls or I have to go and visit, there are quite a few people that don't have that access, so you have to really go out of your way to try to meet with those folks. We spoke about this quite at length at our meeting. And I'm not, I listened to what Alder Brunette said, you know maybe we can tighten it up a little bit, so I think for that reason I would go for that. I kind of thought we talked about what we needed to talk about at the meeting.

And I thought that we came up with a good solution to have staff look at it. But I will defer and I will follow that and I will accept that as well, thank you.

- Alder Wery?

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. I'm opposed to referring it back, I think that committee talked about it and the only time we should see it again is after staff has reviewed it and brought us more information. It's interesting, and I'll remind some on the council, many times alders ask staff to create a resolution, tweak an ordinance, create an ordinance, do some fact finding. And then bring it to committee. So a lot of times those requests are going on behind the scenes, we're asking staff to do things without the okay of the committee or the council. So if you're sending this back as a way of saying you don't even want information, we should not be doing any kind of that backdoor requests for information or work unless the full council agrees on it. That's what's happening here. So let's send this on to staff, otherwise, Jesse, Alder Brunette, President Brunette to his credit, put it on committee, he could have just went to staff and said hey can you kind of flesh out an ordinance, a resolution, and then bring it to committee, you could have done that too, I've seen it done many many many many times over the years. So that's why I find this a little interesting, of trying to cut it off before we even get the information. I would vote on referring it back, let's send it to staff to get more information. This won't take long for them to do. Otherwise we're going to have this discussion without information. Let's not start that.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Gerlach.

- Just a question please, I don't understand what it is we're asking, what the information is we want from staff. What are we asking staff to give us, I don't understand.

- Yeah I can speak real quickly on it, I don't want to mischaracterize what others are hoping for, but generally speaking I think what the request is is to do some research with other municipalities or other governmental entities that have sort of this open comment period within their meetings, and to report back on how that's structured.

- Okay thank you.

- Just one thing, this is Vanessa Chavez, one more thing to add to that. There are a number of requirements that-- come under the first amendment and to the common forum requirement. And so because you're creating a limited public forum we want to make sure that whatever you guys ultimately do and act is going to be in compliance with those standards and that the rules that we're adopting are going to be the ones that, are going to provide the most protection to the council while also accomplishing your goals of hearing from people.

Minutes of the Common Council

- Chavez. We do have a motion to refer back to committee, it's been seconded, all in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Opposed.

- [Multiple Speakers] No.

- The ayes have it, the item has been referred back to committee. On to item 21. What are your wishes here?

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion has been made to approve, by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens. The item was pulled by Alder Wery.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. I'll make a motion to amend to state approve the request.

- I'll second.

- And other discussion.

- Do you have comments Alder Wery?

- Yeah, the request is to obtain bid quotes from consultants for an external election review of processes and administration of the April 7th 2020 election. Preference should be given to attorneys with election law experience, current or former municipal clerks, or inspector general positions. Now I incurred, in a couple different committees now, and when this was discussed, comments like well we created an ad hoc committee they're doing that, and I would say, and correct me if I'm wrong Alder Dorff but that's not really the task of that committee, it's really a forward looking committee about planning for the next two elections. We would need a lot more time. And effort to look back and look at that election. Right now there's plenty to do with just looking forward at the next two elections. So that committee's not tasked with that, if it is it's news to me and we really need more resources then if that's the case. So I think it's a simple request, get some bids and quotes, if we think it's too pricey we can go from there. Thanks.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Dorff.

- I don't think it's necessary, I think we already talked through this, and this is just another way of going around what we decided at the last city council meeting. I don't believe that we need an external election review and think that the council made its wishes pretty well known at the last council meeting about this. So I would definitely be voting against this amendment. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Galvin.

- Thank you your Honor, It is a question maybe directed to Attorney Chavez. How many kicks at the cat do we get to take when we put something forth to council that gets rejected? If I believe I am remembering correctly, this is probably I think the third time there's been an attempt to request a review of the election process. I'm just wondering how many more times we can look for loopholes or ways of wrangling this or wording it so that we can make more attempts at having a review, report, investigation, however you want to term it of the election that took place.

- The first thing is that it depends on how we designate the session of the council. If we designate a session of the council and I know we've discussed this before for reconsideration-- cause when we came up with it we'll have to go back and look at thing again. One is whether or not the session is considered each individual meeting, meaning that you can't come up with the same idea during just that meeting. So there would be instead of the session of the council as the entire term of the council. In which case once that was decided, during the term it wouldn't be something you could bring back, just like that. So I would have to go back and revisit what the research indicated, or alternatively the council could just designate that ordinance, what it feels is an appropriate designation of what a session is. So that said, with the way these requests have been worded, they are not technically asking for the same thing, they're asking for the same thing in spirit, but the wording of it is asking for something slightly different. The first one was for investigation by the WEC, the second one is for investigation by somebody other than the WEC. So while it is very close to being basically the exact same communication, it's really just up to the council on how you guys want to tackle it.

- All right thank you very much. Thank you your Honor.

- Thank you, Alder Lefebvre.

- Yes I'm not in favor of this change, of this number 21. Excuse me. I'm really worried about spending more money. That's the problem, we have to move forward, we know what happened, we know that there are things that we are not going to do again. I think every one of us is going to be working to make sure that we do not have a repeat of what happened. I think we know some of the problems. And we will work on it, but right now our budgets are so tight. And who knows what our, what's going to happen with this virus, if continued, we're going to have trouble with our taxes. People, collecting taxes. People might be going out of business, I don't want to spend any more money on this. Thank you.

- Alder Wery for a second time?

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. Yeah this is only the second time I've brought it forward so I'm not sure where a certain Alder's coming from on that. The last one was really only to the Wisconsin Election Commission. That was our scope, that's all we could do is talk about that. So seems clear to me at least, that this one is not that it's asking for outside investigation. So you know I'm not sure, I heard someone's comments at the committee, you know, stating that you don't know if there's other motives to this. Some alderperson said that. No, it is to get answers because I feel, as do many in the community, and probably some on this council who we'll see how they vote, there really were no real questions asked no real answers given, no written report. So it's sad that that might be enough for some of you but this is my last request coming in and it's only my second, so we'll see where the vote goes and. This would be recorded please Mr. Mayor.

- The request has been made for a recorded vote. Any further discussion? Alder Gerlach.

- Excuse me for asking all these questions, but I have to start with understanding the past. So we have established an ad hoc committee to study the next two elections and make sure they go better than the last one. Has this ever, or has the common council ever before established an ad hoc committee to ensure that the next election goes well? In anyone's memory?

- I don't remember. I don't remember, no.

- I don't think anyone recollects that Alder.

- Okay so then my point is that, if you've established this ad hoc committee, to look at how the next elections will go, it must be a reflection of the troubles we had with the April election. Had we not had any troubles we would not have this ad hoc committee. So it seems to me that the ad hoc committee is tasked with building on what happened and learning from it, so that it doesn't happen again. And again, we don't know how the pandemic will affect us in August and in November. So what I want to know, and I guess this would be directed to Alder Wery, is then if we're already looking at the past and the problems to ensure it doesn't happen again, what is the purpose of paying someone to actually do a, I'm trying to see the words here, to do an external election review. What is the goal?

- So this is the third instance of alders asking fellow alders questions--

- I'm sorry.

- It's okay, I was just curious if Attorney Chavez could weigh in and explain what's appropriate in the context of a council meeting?

- Yes sir. So the alders' questions need to be directed to the chair, and so while it is not to ask for additional information from an alder, from another person, the questions need to be placed to the chair then the chair will determine, who should be provided that information. Or who should be providing it.

- Very good. Thanks Attorney. So given that Alder Wery, your name was invoked, you can respond.

- Certainly thank you. Well a simple comment is we don't know what we don't know, right? And that's why you would get someone to look into it and give us actual answers written out on a piece of paper that we could refer to. And not a verbal report on maybe some hand picked pieces of information. So it's really to get that outside look, and excuse my dog in the background here. Apparently someone's invading my house.

- Thank you Alder.

- Alder Gerlach you still have the floor.

- Oh that's fine. I tried to determine what the purpose would be for doing both, so I guess that's the closest I'm going to come to understanding it, thank you.

- Thank you Alder.

- Motion to vote.

- So we have a motion to approve the item, it's been seconded, there was a request for a recorded vote. Again the motion is to approve the request by Alder Wery to the protection and policy committee.

- Excuse me Mayor can you, is it to what--

- The motion is-- the motion is to approve Alder Wery's request to obtain a bid. That motion fails 7 to 5. And we are on to report of the planning commission.

- Motion--

- Mr. Mayor if I could, it flashed very quickly on the screen who were the yeses?

- Deputy Clerk?

- Thank you. All right I appreciate it.

- On to planning commission.

- Motion to approve.

- Point of order Mayor?

- Yes Alder Johnson?

- And please do correct me if I'm wrong but I believe that was an amendment to the actual agenda item, so do we actually have to take action on the item as it's published?

- I'm. That's a question for Attorney Chavez, it thought it was a motion to approve, but Attorney Chavez?

- You'll have to remind me what the motion was.

- So as is stated on the agenda, it is to receive and place on file, the motion was made to approve the request. Instead by Alder Wery.

- The approval was denied essentially, so it has the effect of a receive and place on file.

- I would, I would just challenge on that. In the past it's always been if you amend something you vote on the amendment, if that fails it goes back to the original motion. It's always been that way.

- We do have the--

- Now we have the original motion which could be changed in any different way. It could be referred back, could be. So I'm asking that we do vote on this motion.

- Appreciate that Alder. We do have the ruling by our Attorney, you're free to challenge that.

- I'm challenging her to look. Because I think it's wrong. There's two different, this one was defeated, we're back to this one. You can do whatever you want with this one, you can refer it, you can amend it.

- So I'm not disagreeing with what you're saying, what I asked is what the motion was. If the motion was to just approve, then it failed. If the motion was to amend, then you are correct.

- Yeah okay I'm sorry, maybe you didn't understand. Yeah the amendment was killed. So we're back here.

- No no I'm saying, if what was done was a motion to approve. Now if that's not the case. Then I think that--

- No it was an amendment. It was a motion to amend it. That failed.

- I thought you said approve.

- Not amended, back to the original motion.

- Just a point of information Mayor, I don't recall, and I don't think that it's recorded that there was ever an initial motion to do what was on the agenda, which would then mean that Alder Wery's motion was not actually an amendment, but would have been the only motion that was made. Please correct me if I'm wrong but I think there was. But I do agree--

- I waited for a motion.

- Yeah I do agree that normally you do have a motion and amendment but I just don't think it happened that way. For what it's worth.

- I think we should look at the minutes, I didn't just amend it. I waited until somebody said approve and second.

- Okay.

- My recollection was that a motion took place to approve. And it was seconded by Alder Brunette.

- And then I amended it.

- That's not how I recollect things happening. The effect is the same, I'm not sure exactly what the debate is about.

- We should get a chance to talk about receiving and placing it on file. That's the correct way to do this. Cause I would not have made a motion to receive and place it on file.

- Right.

- Is there a way to check the minutes?

- You made the motion to approve, Clerk, what do you have for the record here?

- She's muted.

- Clerk Teske you're muted it sounds like.

- Sorry about that. So I have where Alder Wery pulled 21. Alder Scannell and Alder Stevens got it on the floor, Alder Wery and Alder Brunette amended to say approved, and it failed.

- So we're back to Scannell's motion.

- Okay so you do have it stated that it was an amendment? So the motion before us is to receive and place on file then? Alder Wery?

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. Yeah I think it's wrong to receive this and place it on file as I've stated before with the one amendment, I think it's I think it's a gross injustice to the people who were wronged in this election. They deserve some solid answers to what went wrong. They really do, so think twice about this, but I'm opposed, and I'm asking for a roll call vote on this as well. I'm opposed to receiving and place this on a file. That was a gross injustice. Couch it however you want.

- Thanks Alder we'll go to the recorded vote. Do we have a tie vote, I will breaking the tie in favor of receiving and placing on file. And we are on to planning commission.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens to approve report N which is the report of the planning commission from the meeting on May 11, 2020. Any items to be handled separately?

- Mayor if I could be recorded as abstaining on item number 4.

- Number three your Honor.

- Your abstention is noted Alder. Alder Gavin we will handle item 3 separately. Any others? Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Nay? The ayes have it, the report has been approved with the exception of item three. Your wishes?

- Move to approve.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Lefebvre to approve. The item was pulled by Alder Galvin.

- Thank you your Honor. I just have a few questions. Just want to make sure as I'm reading through this what we're doing is we're taking this facility, it's a 46 bed facility, and we're saying that in any time an emergency is declared by federal, state, or local government that directly impacts the city of Green Bay, that if necessary we would allow this facility to take on an extra 54 male residents. These residents would have to follow the rules that are all currently in place, which means they have to pay rent if they can, they have to either be working full time or actively seeking employment. Excuse me?

- I think that was just some feedback Alder.

- Okay, they're subject to breathalyzer tests on a daily basis, they have to make themselves available to the counseling procedures that they place at this facility. I guess part of what I'm wondering is that, when, if we do approve this, a state of emergency is declared, say in the future, let's forget about what's happening right now. When that state of emergency ends, on the day it ends, does that mean 54 individuals potentially that could be there, they have to leave, and if so where do they go?

- With that question we can go to director Vonck, we do have a member of the public here as well, just for you to keep that in mind. Director Vonck.

- Sure, thank you. So to the first point of Alder Galvin's discussion, this does not amend anything else in the conditional use permit, which the original one, I believe had an operating plan it, that talked about all the protocols and in terms of rules, regulations, for being a guest at the shelter. This changes none of that and, we are not dictating how they, you know screen guests. So all those protocols are still going to be in place, which I believe it was very important to the neighbors when this was discussed and then making sure that, you know this is a very well run facility. What this does, to your point, yes. It allows under a state of emergency, to increase the capacity from 46 up to 100, only for the duration of that state of emergency. When that state of emergency has ended, the property will be required to go back to the 46 individuals. And in that case, many of these individuals you know have been, I mean they're homeless. So they have been in the streets. Parks, or you know finding other accommodations where they can. They would no longer be allowed to be at that facility. I believe there are, as the mayor mentioned, representatives from the property available to maybe answer more

specific questions. But that is in terms of what this would permit, it would permit a temporary increase in occupancy, for the duration of emergency, and in this case, you know the reason for the emergency is to have a shelter in place and to provide a place for homeless individuals to go, in order to you know, reduce interaction with others and that was the intent of this amendment here to allow those individuals to have a place to be, to be able to shelter.

- All right, I appreciate that answer and I'm in favor of this, I just have some more questions. I think that Pastor Rico and his staff have done an outstanding job of running that facility. I think they've been able to appease all the neighbors, for the most part, with how they've run it. So I approve of this, but I just have a few more questions, and this might be more for director Vonck, maybe not. This, if I understand correctly, it was Brown County Government through New Cap who they contract with that approached Pastor Rico to see if he would be able to handle these people. Would they be responsible then for these individuals when the state of emergency is done to see that they get placed elsewhere? Or do they just open the doors, and it's-- they're told they can no longer stay there to find shelter?

- Sure. I think in terms of the services that New Cap provides, you know working with the county right now, they were working to find temporary shelter. I think there are, larger issues when we are dealing with the homeless population in our community, that if these individuals would no longer be allowed to be sheltered here, you know they continue to work on alternative, more longer term shelters. We also have talked about, and this, without getting to far off tangent, you know and in terms of transitional housing permanent supportive housing, I mean those are all things that are needed in the community. That's going to take more than a city effort to come up with these things. You know the best case scenario is that we get to a point where we get to functional zero, we don't need to have these shelters or these emergency needs because people already have shelter. And so in terms of, the long term, future or shelter for these individuals, that's still a community effort which you know the county has played a role in, that the city has played a role in, there's a housing and homelessness coalition I believe the mayor has been working with the community foundation, a homelessness study to really kind of look at what can be done from a community perspective with everyone pitching in to really solve the homelessness issue. So I mean it goes beyond this emergency thing, and then that's, I don't have an answer for you today, like exactly where they're going to go but it's something that's going on in the background because again it's hopefully something that we don't need to have these increases in capacity in the future. But if there are homeless individuals, we just need to have the ability to shelter them.

- Certainly, and I can appreciate that. And I understand there's a lot of coalitions and organizations out there, I don't think a lot of people really understand the depth and the breadth of the homeless situation within Green Bay. I just want to be able to assure the neighbors who have come around, to accept the Transformation House and the good that they're doing there, and there's been some real positive cases coming out of there. Obviously the idea is to get them back into normal society and supporting themselves. I just want to reassure them though that when this any emergency, now or in the future, that there's not going to suddenly be a group of individuals that could potentially be disturbing the peace and welfare of the neighborhood. And so another question I have for you, with the modifications and updates that have to be done to this facility to make it able for 54 more individuals to stay there, who's covering the cost of that?

- That cost is being born by the Transformation House.

- Okay so none to the funds that we've been talking about earlier today will be going towards that? At least right now?

- So the funds that we are providing, or have provided for homelessness assistance have gone to New Cap. And New Cap has used that to provide subsidies for either hotel vouchers or funds for accommodations at transformation houses or other facilities. So there are no intended capital expenditures but the funds that we're using. They're supposed to be used towards basically the short term benefits. We are not putting any money into capital improvements with our funds.

- All right thank you very much, I appreciate your input and like I said before, I am very much in favor of this, I think it's the right thing to do, and thank you.

- I would entertain a motion to open the floor?

- Motion to open the floor.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Galvin, seconded by Alder Scannell. As was stated, we do have an individual representing the Transformation House. Ma'am if you could just state your full name and your address for us.

- Sure, Lisa Anderson. 26 Kathy Drive.

- If you have any comments on the request before us--

- Sure first of all I appreciate having the opportunity to be here today. I had the opportunity to speak at the meeting on May 11th and hopefully address those needs and concerns that the neighbors have had. I've only been with the organization since June. Coming prior to that from St. John's Homeless Shelter. I've been working this for a while and really working towards some systemic change and recognizing the need for transitional living in our community. In response to the COVID, what we saw were shelters closing, shelters at

capacity, and so with our facility, purely from a standpoint of space, and wanting to meet that need. And so on a very temporary basis, separated from transitional living into that emergency shelter while maintaining all of those guidelines that our transitional living program would ideally maintain. And so we have partnered with New Cap in many ways, those conversations were had, a lot of meetings and plannings, and really just trying to meet that immediate need. I would like to speak to the concern Alder Galvin has regarding post pandemic, are there the let's say 54 people that we're pushing out the door? From a very real standpoint, yes we recognize that this will require that we do that. What I can tell you is, can I give you an answer of where those 54 people would be placed that day? Absolutely not. What I can tell you however though is from day one when they enter the facility, we begin working on those options well in advance of that anticipated date. And so all of the community partnerships that we've built, I'm part of the Homeless and Housing coalition, I'm part of all of those groups that we regularly refer back and forth with for normal operating capacity, that we would continue to do that, notwithstanding it being that last resort and emergency shelter for pandemic or emergency reasons. And so I recognize that that's a concern, I've heard that concern from the neighborhood as well. And certainly take that to heart. And I'm certainly the last person that wants to be the one to put 54 people out but recognize that we need to operate within our parameters as well and so those relationship in that case management piece happens immediately and how can we move that person to that next place and what are the options. And so that's always a moving part for us. In response to just sort of the funding, we have absorbed that cost, we've had community partners, we've had some grant funding that has helped absorb those costs for us. As far as the upgrades to the facility and what the cup initially required, we have completed that work. Which needed to be done whether we increased capacity or not. It just worked out that we were able to do that through our community partners that have come in and said what can we do to help. Can get bunk beds, can we add another shower, can we do that, and so we've had a great response there. And that work is completed. And so operationally we are, handle this on a limited term basis, and planning forward in terms of so then when it ends what do we do. In recognizing what the community need would be but also allowing those people that don't have a place to go to, to follow those safe at home orders, stay healthy like everyone else has the right to do. And so really that's just what what our focus has been. In trying to accomplish this and quite frankly, walking in there a week or so after pandemic hit and looking around like we've got all of these rooms and of this space and I'm driving in to work with people unable to get into shelter really sort of just. It hit us in terms of our ministry and so just wanting to be a piece of that puzzle, and you know partner with those that were looking to work with us for a solution, was just kind of where we all started. And so, I appreciate, I appreciate the support that we've had from all areas of the community and the city and at the county level and all of our partnerships and coalition partnerships and things like that. And certainly welcome any additional questions or concerns that you have.

- Thanks so much for your comments and thanks for the vital work of the Transformation House. Any questions for Ms. Anderson? Okay with that I'll entertain a motion to close--

- Motion to close the floor.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell, second by Alder Lefebvre to close the floor, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Further comments or questions on the item.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion to approve and a second on the floor, all in favor--

- I thought Alder Brunette wanted to say something. No? Okay I'm sorry. I'm sorry, go ahead.

- So we have a motion to approve and a second. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Oppose nay? The ayes have it. And the item has been approved. Finance committee.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax to approve report O which is the report of the finance committee from the meeting on May 12, 2020. Any items to be held separately?

- One.

- Any others?

- Number five.

- One and five will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Opposed nay? The ayes have it, the report has been approved with the exception of items one and five.. Your wishes regarding item one?

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to approve. Item was pulled by--

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. This would be either for the chair or the finance record I guess. The Press Times, did they, they're a growing newspaper. Have they been considered or were they considered?

- I believe there were certain parameters that the press needs to meet. But I'll go to either Clerk Teske or director Ellenbecker.

- What I can tell you is from what I remember, Calvin Winters our procurement manager was saying that there was only one bid on this when it went out for bid that only the Press Gazette is the only one who bid on it. I don't have any other answers on that. I mean they must not have bid.

- That works, no I appreciate it, so they had an opportunity to bid on it and they chose not to. All right thank you.

- Looks like Kris Teske, or Clerk Teske has a comment.

- Yes, before Rick Jenson retired I questioned him on that to make sure we knew when they would have the opportunity to bid, per state statute, they have to be in circulation in the community for two years before they can do that. So I believe next year would be the year that they could come forward.

- Okay, perfect yeah, it's always good to have a little competition, maybe we can get that price lower. So one year good, thank you.

- Alder. Further comments. Motion has been made and seconded. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- The ayes have it. Onto item five. Your wishes here.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Steuer, item was pulled by Alder Vander Leest. You have the floor.

- Thank you Mayor. I just would like to have my request just directed to the mayor's office and to the financial director. Just as they go forward, just to look at different expenses that are coming up. You know some of the reductions that we might have you know to the parking utility and you know other concerns that might come up. I think you know it's worth taking a look at. You know how we can protect ourselves as we head closer to our budget time and things. But just a request that you know they take another look at it, you know some of the things that were going on, I'm sure they are, but that's my request. Thank you.

- Okay is that a motion Alder.

- It would be a motion I think, just to have it, the staff and the mayor's office would take a look at it. That would be my motion.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Vander Leest, seconded by Alder Lefebvre to refer this item to the mayor's office. Any further discussion?

- Your Honor?

- Scannell.

- Yes. If this is being referred to your office, are we are expecting to report back or what action, I'm not exactly sure what all we're voting on here.

- Just from my perspective, you know this would be as if I had a conversation with Alder Vander Leest. I'm not anticipating bringing any additional action other than obviously when we bring forward decisions that we feel need to be made in the short term in regard to the 2020 budget. Those will be brought forth, and of course presenting the 2021 budget will be happening in a matter of months so certainly these comments will be taken into account.

- Actually you can do that whether this is received and placed on file or we vote. I'm just not sure what the difference is but. That's fine, thank you.

- Alder Gerlach.

- Just to be clear then, so if we vote yes to receive and place on file, that is still what we're being asked to do? It sounded to me like maybe Alder Vander Leest was amending, I just want to be clear what I will be voting on.

- Alder you want to restate your motion?

- Just that we would refer to the finance director and to the mayor's office for consideration as we move forward, you know due to the financial shortfalls that we might have. On this city of Green Bay. That would be my motion. That we would be taking a look at you know different things that are coming about as we move forward. And just, I think that usually on the budget time we're not usually right on target on things, sometimes we're not informed on what's really going on so I'm just asking that we pay a little more attention to where the shortfalls might come. I know that parking-- shortfalls, and different agencies, maybe they beat different areas where we're going to be short some funds. So basically we can take a look at how we're going to weather through this storm as you'd say. So my motion would be that the staff at your office and the financial director would take a look at what I've proposed here. So for different things that are going to take place as we lose funding, thank you.

- Alder Dorff.

- It seems to me that that's already covered under item four which we approved, which was by Alder Johnson to consider and discuss and establish a budget forecast process to position the city to strategically respond to anticipated revenue shortfalls and additional expenses from the impact of COVID-19. And I just, I feel like we've already handled that. So I'm going to vote against the motion, just because I think receive and place on file is the appropriate motion here. Thank you.

- Alder Wery.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. From what, it sounded like you were okay with this being referred to you for consideration. You weren't opposed to don't send me this request.

- Yeah as I said I'm not anticipating taking any formal action on the request, but will certainly take it under advisement.

Minutes of the Common Council

- Okay so then I think if an alderperson has some good ideas and the mayor's open to at least look at them, I think it's fine to refer it to him. Let's honor that request. So I'm in favor, thanks.

- Any other comments? So the motion has been made to refer to the mayor's office and director of the finance department, do we have a second for that.

- Alder Lefebvre seconded.

- It was seconded. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Those nay?

- Nay.

- The ayes have it and the item has been referred. Personnel committee.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens. Report of the personnel committee from the meeting on May 12, 2020. Any items here being held separately? Hearing none. All in favor of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Okay the ayes have it, the report has been approved. Sustainability.

- Move to approve.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Dorff, second by Alder Lefebvre to approve report Q which is the report of the sustainability commission, meeting on May 13, 2020. Any items here to be handled separately? Seeing none, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Nay? The ayes have it, the item has been approved. Place on file.

- Motion to receive and place on file.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to receive and place on file to municipal court. And holding permit reports for April 2020. Any discussion? Hearing none all in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Nay? The ayes have it, the report has been received and placed on file. Committee on the whole. This item-- We're flipping the order.

- Oh that's right sorry.

- So for committee on the whole, this is discussion with possible action on the third extension of the proclamation extending the state of emergency for the city of Green Bay COVID-19 response. For this we will go to Attorney Chavez and then Chief Litton.

- Thank you Mayor. So what is in front of you is just an extension of the proclamation extending the state of emergency for the city of Green Bay. There are no new provisions added to this, it's just an extension until after the next council meeting. There is, what you will recall what is in the original proclamation of things like adjusting the way the city operates, which is limiting the number of people, or limiting the outdoor parks, canceling special events, creating or allowing the city to close its facilities and creating opportunity for us to conduct our meeting via Zoom and what not. So this really, this is literally just about how the city is conducting its own operations at this time. In addition because the, even though the state of, or the Safer at Home order was overturned by the Supreme Court has no effect on this, and the emergency conditions that existed which forms the basis of this proclamation have not changed. The recommendations at this point from our department the two cases have been placed for a number of reasons, first and foremost because those conditions have not changed, there is no reason for us to back off of the current provisions that we have in place. That doesn't mean that the city can't make revisions as necessary such as addressing when parks can open up, but rather than opening them up kind of at a free for all, right now we are allowing things to remain closed so that we can address those in an orderly manner. In addition, we are one of the highest curvature or one of the hotspots in the state. And so we don't know what's going to happen now that the Safer at Home order has been overturned. And so leaving this in place allows us to act quickly if we need to. And again everything that happens with this comes back to the council for determination anyways. The other factor to consider is that, as all municipalities that I've spoken to, and I reached out, I actually reached out to our list serve to see if anybody was changing their direction or reversing course after the Safer at Home order changed. The recommendation from all of the municipal attorneys and the direction received from their councils is for the emergency proclamations to stay in place for the very reason of being able to address things should the need arise. So I have not heard of anybody who has rescinded or allowed their their emergency proclamations to expire. So with that I will stand for questions.

- Thank you Attorney. Alder Dorff.

- Is there currently still any kind of state of an emergency order for the state of Wisconsin?

- No. The order from the governor has expired and the Safer at Home order was overturned. Due to the fact that rule making, and I shouldn't say that, it was declared unenforceable. So technically I guess there is one, it's just an unenforceable order. And so I mean the conditions haven't changed the directions still coming from the department of health services is to continue to act in fact they're actually recommending we take additional

action. But again that's not what's before you guys tonight, what all is before you guys tonight is to leave in place what we've currently been doing.

- And the reason I ask that question is because what we just voted on regarding Transformation House is when the city is in a state of emergency. And so if we don't have an emergency order, and then the state doesn't have an emergency order, then they can't do what we just voted that they can do, is that right?

- I would actually recommend that we keep the emergency order--

- I agree. I mean I agree. But if somehow, I mean I definitely am going to vote in favor, but if somehow it was not extended, does that mean that the Transformation House couldn't do what we just said they could do cause we wouldn't.

- So what I was going to say was I believe that it's ambiguous. I believe that there still could be an argument that the Safer, I mean the state of emergency could technically be in place, but to avoid any question, yes. We should definitely keep ours in place for that purpose cause then it's clear that the state of emergency is in effect.

- Thank you.

- Alder Galvin.

- I have a question for Attorney Chavez and actually I had one until she just said what she said, and it greatly concerns me. When I voted in approval of the motion for the Transformation House, it was with the understanding that if there was a state of emergency that directly affected the city of Green Bay, that it would have to be a declared state of emergency by either the city the state, or the federal government that directly affected our city. And if we do not have a state of emergency then I'm concerned that, and I might have misunderstood, that you're saying that we could still conceivably allow them to remain at that higher level of occupation.

- So allow me to clarify. What I'm saying is that, right now there's, there could be a disagreement as to whether a state of emergency exists at the state level. Given that the emergency orders that came out from the mayor, or from the governor have expired, the legislature has not taken any action on it to extend it, and the public health order which technically hasn't expired has been deemed unenforceable. So whether or not that order still remains in effect is questionable. Which is why I would advise that we just adopt one at the

Minutes of the Common Council

local, if we maintain ours at the local level, so that we are not in a situation of ambiguity of us determining whether or not we believe that at a state level, emergency exists, in order to determine whether or not that UP should be in effect.

- Well then, and I guess I appreciate that answer but I don't want us to be caught in this ambiguity going forward, either now or ten years down the road. And so I guess I'd like to get a more solid answer, and I appreciate you can't give me that right now from what you're saying. But I'm concerned. Because ten years down the road, we're all gone, there's someone else in place here, and somebody decides to open up this facility for more individuals when there may not in fact be an enforceable emergency order in place like you're saying. So if we could set that aside for later, my other question for you is, if we do vote to extend the emergency order, I'm starting to have some concerns about some of our city-- because they can't leave their homes. And I had an incident today where I asked a staff, I gave them a complaint from a neighbor and I was told I would have to try to get the plaintiff to get pictures because he couldn't leave his home to go over to the address. And that concerns me in that, so much with everything there's been out there about the CDC guidelines and PPE and everything else, which I've been told is available to staff, that they can't just get in their city car drive over to the address, they don't have to make contact with anybody, they get out and see if it is a valid complaint or not, and then we can start the enforcement action. And so I'm just wondering if there's a way if while we're in a state of emergency if we extend it, can we start turning the dial up so we can start getting staff back to doing at least some of their jobs that they were doing before? Thank you.

- Yes, nothing in the emergency-- prohibits that. Instead all it does is allow us to take actions should they need to be taken to the extent that they need to be taken. So you'll recall that when-- city hall got closed to the public, it didn't actually mean that people were no longer allowed to work in city hall. That got ruled when the Safer at Home order got pushed into place. So they're related but it's not the same.

- So if I have a complaint about long grass, does that mean an employee can go out and investigate that and send a letter to the home owner so action can be taken? Or I mean--

- Just to interject, real quickly Alder Galvin, nothing that we declared in terms of the state of emergency stated that employees couldn't leave their home. The departmental operations are by in large left in the hands of our department heads. So if you have specific questions about planning or inspections, of course director Vonck would be capable of answering some of those questions.

- All right thank you I appreciate that. Thank you very much.

- Alder Wery.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. Forgive me if maybe it's a little bit repetitive but I'm not sure if it is Alder Galvin. Just for the public's sake, we are not, as a city forcing any businesses to stay closed or limiting gatherings correct? That's not what this does. And we're not looking to--

- Correct. All that's before you tonight is what our emergency declaration, we're not extending anything. What we are looking at specifically tonight is the city's own operations. How we operate.

- Now along those lines, our parks, things like the baseball fields, I've had a bunch of calls about like when little league teams will be allowed to even just practice on them. Is that something we're, or well you're going to be deciding?

- No I'm not deciding that, that is something that is--

- Staff.

- Yes by department heads and then they're looking to the people who are knowledgeable in this area for those recommendations. Again that was not something, so the closure of the of the outdoor facilities such as the playgrounds and the fields, that came with the Safer at Home order when the governor through the public health officer directed that all playgrounds be shut down.

- So people could use the baseball diamonds, they might not be dragged and marked, might be in a little rough shape but they could?

- I'm sorry I didn't catch that.

- So people could use the baseball fields if they wanted to? If they need to go out there and practice. Hit around, it's open for them to use?

- All I know is that we are looking at or I shouldn't say we. Parks department is looking at the proper process to reopen stuff to follow health guidelines.

- Alder, just quick to interject as I stated at the front of the meeting in the Mayor's report, obviously encouraging people to be outdoors and active in that way if they're doing it responsibly. You know we will be, we've had conversations, director Ditscheit and I, and we'll be having many more this week and into next week trying to figure out how we can gradually, in phases but as quickly as possible open things up in a safe way.

- And perhaps director, if you could even put me via update and we can just ask you two at committee, cause that's going to be the number one question here coming up with Memorial Day right in front of us is what can we use in the parks and when can we use it? I know it's a tough question but we have to start addressing it. Attorney Chavez, do you know anything about, the county, I think they approved something but put in the provision that within 48 hours of the executive, or staff invoking some powers they have to meet? Or someone who was on the county board? Alder Vander Leest? Alder Lefebvre?

- Alder Lefebvre do you yield to the question?

- Yes.

- Alder Lefebvre, yes. Yes we did put that in, there was a big discussion, two and a half hours on that. And if that answers that, we did put that provision in that we would meet. They didn't want to put all the control over to the exec. The county executives to make a decision on something very important if it came up. The board had the ability to meet, and to discuss it.

- I believe, Alder, I believe the action that was taken requiring a convening of the county board within 48 hours of an emergency action being taken by the executive, and that would offer the board the opportunity to affirm or reject the order or policy put in place.

- All right.

- I would just like to offer one thing. If that is going to be something that you guys would like to adopt for all, for something specific to this that could be included in an amendment to the proclamation. If it is something you want for all emergencies moving forward, it would need to be adopted by ordinance.

- In reading what the county did, they described it as "if broad emergency rules direct were invoked." kind of vague I guess but yeah I would be looking to add something similar to that. And I'd make a motion to that effect if there's a second.

- Would it be appropriate to handle that under resolutions, Attorney Chavez?
- Oh sure yep. That's fine. Are we getting an update from the chief today?
- Yes, if Attorney Chavez is concluded--
- I'd like to speak to Attorney Chavez please.
- Alder Vander Leest go ahead.
- Attorney Chavez, when does the city actually plan on opening up their doors to the public?
- I think that's a question for--
- Pardon?
- I believe that's a question for the mayor?
- Indeed, yeah so Alder Vander Leest this is a conversation that we had, and we've had multiple times, touching on it again with the senior staff earlier today. Obviously that's the direction we are going. But we want to make sure that we have all the PPE that's necessary. To protect staff who will be working in the building and protect members of the public who could be coming in to do business. So we're not going to rush into it but it's absolutely something that we will be endeavoring into within the next few weeks or month.
- Okay, do we plan on having our meeting in person in June?

- That I'm not prepared to commit to at this point.

- I think a lot of it has to do with social distancing you know staying, people wearing masks and you know staying at least six feet apart. I think they've lifted a lot of the, in other words if we follow the social distancing I think it's, just like if you go to a store, if you go to a restaurants are starting to open and things. I think the social distancing is just one of the requirements in getting things back moving back in the right direction. If we follow those rules I think that we could move things along a bit. That's my thought, thank you.

- Mayor I have my light on.

- Alder Brunette go ahead.

- Yes thank you, the question is for Attorney-- I'm just trying to piece this all together, on March 12th we passed the original resolution, or proclamation, I apologize. We passed the original proclamation on March 12th and that was set to expire on April 17th. At some point it must have been extended to April 24th. We did have a special meeting on April 9th. That was a couple days after the election. And then we then passed the latest ones on April 21st and May 5th. So I guess my question is, all of the proclamations that, all of the language in the previous proclamations if we pass this updated proclamation, are all those original items and all those other proclamations included in what we pass tonight?

- So there have been two amendments, I'm sorry two extensions. The first proclamation provided all of the the meat and potatoes. The second one changed one deadline and added to the, or the first extension I say, the second time we addressed this. Added the provision for the safer, or the Families First Coronavirus Act allowing for paid time off, or sick leave I should say. And then the third one just extended the deadline, and then this one again is just extending the deadline.

- So but I guess my question is, like at the last proclamation that we passed it's stated that, city employees would be paid their full salaries even if they are unable to report to work. If we pass the proclamation tonight is that item effective all the way until June 3rd? Or June 2nd or whatever the date is?

- I'm pulling it up right now but I believe that only stated that it was still. I believe that deadline will be changed.

- I guess the main question I have Attorney Chavez, I'll get right to the chase. What exactly are we passing? I mean I know we have something in front of us. Are we passing, are we updating things that we have already passed? There just seems to be a lot of as far as I'm concerned, confusion on what we're actually passing.

Minutes of the Common Council

Because if it's something as simple as okay we're in a state of emergency, great, we'll pass that. But what sort of things does that allow? For example the original proclamation that we passed on March 12th, the second item on the third page says all in person meetings and gatherings involving city employees, staff, and or elected officials are suspended for the duration of this emergency. If we pass this tonight is that still in effect?

- Yes so all-- are in effect. The only thing that has changed at this point so there was one change from the original state of emergency and that was the deadline for which regular employees would continue to receive their compensation. That date got moved to April 24th. It has not been extended since. Everything else that's in the original agreement or the original proclamation is what you are extending.

- Okay, I had sent an email to several people within city staff asking for the most recent, or the first step proclamation to be sent out in an email to the council. So that we all would know exactly what we're voting on tonight. Was that email sent to the entire council? Anyone can answer that.

- I don't remember getting it.

- I didn't get anything.

- No.

- No I didn't.

- I have no other further questions for Attorney Chavez. Mayor if I could be recognized when we discuss what the action will be, I would appreciate it. Thank you.

- If there aren't any further questions for Attorney Chavez--

- Mayor, Mayor I have my light on.

- Alder Lefebvre.

- Yes, at our discussion last night at the county, it came up about the grants that we are receiving. If we didn't pass our resolution on this emergency that there was a question of would we be in violation of that, of the grants? Would we be, would the government question our grants that we've received? And Chad Weininger wasn't completely sure on it. He didn't think there would be a problem but he wasn't completely sure. I would like Attorney Chavez, is there a possibility if we did not pass or extend our state of emergency, would that cause problems with these grants that we are receiving?

- To my knowledge no, but I have not been the one administering the grants.

- Okay I just wanted that noted.

- Thanks Alder. Any further questions for Attorney Chavez?

- Mayor just one?

- Go ahead.

- If you could, Mayor this one, I think it might be more for you although Attorney Chavez could certainly chime in, what decisions are, I know Attorney Chavez has mentioned it's just related to city operations, but what decisions are currently being made that the emergency declaration requires that can't be made without it?

- From my perspective I think it's you know some of the things with regard to our meetings, and city properties that are currently not accessible. To the public, that's included in the declaration but I'm not aware of any decisions that I've been engaged in, in recent days that would be impacted by the declaration.

- Okay and it was kind of alluded to before, so then it's more or less, maybe a request to have some time to talk about a reopening plan for the parks, are there other properties that, I mean city hall I presume. Are there any others?

- You know Bay Beach is a big one obviously, you know we need to do some significant planning to figure out how we could, if we could safely reopen it.

- Okay and is there anything from a emergency preparedness perspective that Chief Litton has had to utilize the order for?

- That would be more of a question for the chief obviously. Once we turn things over to him.

- Okay. That's all I've got, thank you.

- Thanks Alder. So with that segue we'll go to Chief Litton for his comments on the declaration and then make him available for questions as well.

- Good afternoon, good evening at this point Mayor, and Alders. Just a few things, the community wide

- We can't hear him.

- And I think that they have tested a total of approximately 10,000 people since the national guard has been in the city. Some of the things that have to continue after the national guard leaves on Friday is the contact tracing, we still have There are a lot of still unknown variables out there as to what's going to happen. So we don't have a state declaration or a state stay at home order, but we could still see, in the city we could see that happening at--

- I don't know, does anyone else, I'm hearing about half--

- I can't hear nothing.

- Can't hear him.

- Very little conversation here at all.

- It's all mumbled.

- Alders hold your comments. Chief you were muted there for a little bit.

- Yeah I lost my connection and it came back, so sorry about that. So yeah we still have some concerns about you know the fact that the virus hasn't left, we don't know, we've got continual positive tests coming in, some of the testing is, the results are being delayed because we've got quite a few tests going in now. So the turn around on them are three, four, five, six days, on a turn around on the tests themselves. And so you know we're just concerned that there could be some major outbreaks in congregate settings and other facilities that have, once they get back to work. So there's a lot of unknowns, still at this point, and you know the emergency declaration really just gives, from an emergency management perspective, you know the ability to pivot and make decisions that may have to be made immediately and then of course the proclamation or under our emergency operations plan adopts by ordinance. We still have to convene the council you know within I think it is 24 hours, 24 to 48 hours of any major decisions that are made like that. So I know from an oversight standpoint I know that the council's concerned about that, and we recognize that. I don't think we've made any decisions that have been, with all the departments, that have been rash or not thought out. So I think it just gives us the ability to be able to pivot and make, if we have to decisions where it may be that we have to move people out of, let's just say we had a break out at a long term care facility and we had to move them somewhere. And we had to set up another site somewhere, that might involve a lot of resources and having to work with other facilities. So those are just some of the things that are hanging out there and things that we're concerned about still. We of course know that people want to go back to work, we know that people want to get out of their houses, we just want to make sure that we do it in a kind of measured way.

- Comments, questions for Chief Litton? Alder Galvin?

- Thank you, and Chief if this question, if you're unable to answer it I understand that, if you could just direct me to who I could ask, I'd appreciate that. How many tracers do we have employed right now?

- You know I honestly don't know exactly the number, I know that we have 35 volunteers that were brought in through the WEAVR program. It's kind of a state run program. I think the county itself has I think, somewhere around ten of their staff and I know that there was additional, some medical school students and some nursing students that have been brought in so I'm guessing that there's somewhere probably in the area of 75 all together? I know that some of, I know the state brought in some more folks, not directly into Brown County but I know that, Brown County can and has been referring some of the contact tracing to those state resources, that can be done basically from Madison. It's a lot of phone calling and things of that nature. So I am aware that that's going on as well.

- And do you know what the turn around time is? I know from what I'm hearing that once a person's tested it's taking upwards of a week. I was told today for some of the results to get back, but I'm also wondering what is the turn around time to contact that positive person, figure out who he's been in contact with, since he was tested, not just since he was found to be infected. And then contact those individuals so that we can try to contain this so that we can slow down the spread throughout our community.

- I honestly don't know the turn around time on that contact Alder Galvin. I can tell you that in each case there's a lot of variables. It could be that, that individual, again the testing results have extended out now because of the amount of tests that are being sent to the state lab from around the state. There's 25 national guard teams deployed out in the state right now. We have two of them in Green Bay. And so if we're doing a thousand a day here, figure that the other sites are doing roughly the same amount of numbers. I can tell you that the testing turn around time is anywhere from three days up to a week. And that's varying day by day. As far as the contract tracing and how soon they make contact on that, I honestly don't know that answer. Chief Goplin is on the call as well, he's been at the EOC and he can chime in here, I'm not sure if he knows the answer to that.

- Yeah Chief, can you guys hear me?

- [Multiple Speakers] Yes.

- What Chief Litton said is very accurate as far as the time that the contact tracing actually takes. They have been handing off a lot of cases to the state, but the big issue that you run into with contact tracing is, how many contacts the person has had. Under the Safer at Home order, the contact tracing was going very quickly because people had very few contacts in a lot of cases, but as things open up and people are moving more then that takes longer. So really what drives that number, that timeframe is the number of contacts that people have had. And I would say in some cases they can easily do contract tracing on an individual in about to a day to a day and a half, and in some cases it could easily be probably up to a week. It's possible that we could try and get an average for you but right now I don't have the average on hand. It just really depends, it's very circumstantial per case.

- Understanding that then from what you're telling me, there's a potential to be two weeks that a person could be infected before the health department is able to contact him to now determine who he's been in contact with for two weeks. And I understand you have no control whatsoever on how long it takes to get a test result back, I know you're not in control of the contact tracing, but who do we approach as a city, or as citizens to see if some of this can be, you know does more staff need to be hired? You know my concern is, one we don't have the restrictions in place that we had after the, before the Supreme Court ruling. And the Brown County health department decided it wasn't legally feasible to set up their own policy. But who do we contact to see if we can speed that up? I'm thinking that if someone's infected, and they're out there for two weeks, I mean it seems almost insurmountable to try to control the spread of this.

- Yeah Alder Galvin you hit the head, you know the nail on the hammer for sure because we've identified that weakness from day one. And we have made that point on a daily basis regularly through the statewide briefings that are held on a daily basis. And you know we continue to bring that very issue up. And all I can tell you is the state brought in I think they said a thousand people, but again those thousand people are assigned around the state. So I know that whatever the county's referring to them I know they're taking on. But what help is there beyond that, I don't know how to answer that for you.

- Alder Galvin, it's worth noting maybe that Governor Evers just announced a plan to expend practically a billion dollars on COVID related efforts at the state and local levels so there is \$75 million dollars being sent to local health departments to assist with tracing efforts. But as you said it's a huge gap, and our response as a state. And it's definitely hindering the work that we're doing when you know it's taking two weeks as an estimate to get back to people and figure out who they've been in contact with. So it's an issue, there's no doubt.

- So as a city government, do we petition the county government to start hiring more tracers, instead of waiting for government from state or federal or waiting for them to do the hiring? Can we petition the Brown County health department to take some action to start getting this thing rolling? I mean I think the city's been spending money that they weren't necessarily knowing that they were going to get reimbursed for because we knew it was the right thing to do. And I'm just, I want to make sure that our partners in the community here are stepping up to the plate just as much as we are.

- I mean I can only speak to the conversations that I've had with the executive but from day one he's been really interested in ramping up our testing capacity and the same can be said of our tracing capacity as well. So you know you've got communities like Madison and Milwaukee Counties who have received, and in Gains County's case, \$95 million dollars through the CARES act. Milwaukee County I think in the neighborhood of \$200 plus million dollars. It's a huge gap in the federal response, to this effort that communities under 500,000 population have not received a lot of direct assistance related to COVID-19. Spending aside, the CDBG allocation which is obviously welcome. So it's, there are huge needs all across the state. But I can just say, I know that the county exec and the public health department are interested in doing this work, it's just a real shortage of funds right now.

- All right thank you very much.

- Any other questions for the chief?

- I have a question for Chief. Mayor thank you. Chief Litton, when this all began I think in mid March, I remember our first meeting regarding this. You had mentioned that the hospital system was over 90% capacity. At that time. Do you know what the hospital system is at capacity right now?

- Alder Brunette I do not know that, I can't tell you that throughout this time. The whole purpose of this was to quote flatten the curve and to make sure that our hospital resources were available for those that were sick, and I am aware that, I don't know what the exact percentage is but I know that there has not been a single instance in the four hospitals where there weren't the care facilities available for those that needed it.

- So ballpark though, are we still at over 90% capacity? Because what I understand there have been furloughs and laying people off?

- I have no idea what the percentage is, that's a very complicated issue because the hospitals have to enter data in every single day, sometimes it's in and sometimes it doesn't get in. And so for me to give you a percentage at this point would be just a wild guess on my part and I don't care to do that.

- Sure I understand.

- But what I can say clearly is that there has been no one turned away, there has been no shortage of ventilators. During this two month period.

- And when this began as you mentioned, I heard flatten the curve, flatten the curve. I think that's all a goal we all could agree with. What is the goal right now? Is it still flattening the curve or is it contact tracing, is it vaccination, like what is the goal of the county right now?

- Well I think again, we don't know, just because the stay at home order was vacated, I don't think that changes the fact that the virus is in the community in a big way. I think the goal right now is to continue to test for as long as we can, once the national guard leaves I believe it's going to be, the plan is a transition to the healthcare partners, meaning the hospital systems. For them to continue to do the testing, as they're capable of doing. And then continue with the contact tracing until the point where we see that the positive cases are diminishing for a period of longer than 14 days. And you know some of the other benchmarks that are out there. So that, I think we can reasonably say that, we've had a, we are past the bell curve so to speak. And moving in a positive direction.

- Thank you chief. I'm done.

Minutes of the Common Council

- That, I don't know that we need any action since we have the resolution in front of us, we'll move on to resolutions.

- You may under suspension of the rules adopt resolutions one through three together with one roll call vote.

- Move to suspend the rules.

- Second.

- I would suggest if there's an interest in having any debate on the proclamation that we might just take up one and two?

- Motion to take up one and two.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Steuer to suspend the the rules and take up resolutions one and two. Through one roll call vote. And we will use the board. Passed 12-0, on to item three.

- Move to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Dorff, second by Alder Scannell to approve item three. Any discussion on the item? Seeing none. Board. That is approved nine to three. Onto ordinances first reading.

- You may under suspension of the rules advance ordinances one and two to a second reading.

- Motion to suspend.

- Second.

- Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff to suspend the rules. Take up these items with one roll call vote, all in favor of the motion please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Opposed nay? The ayes have it the rules are suspended.

- Motion to advance.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to advance items one and two. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- Nay? The ayes have it and they have been advanced to a final reading. Petitions and communications. Alder Dorff.

- I have one in the sister protection policy. This is based on a request by a constituent. To reconsider the ordinance that would allow beer and liquor grocers to pick up at the Skogens Foodliner stores in Green Bay.

- Alder Steuer?

- Thank you your Honor. This one is to parks, to get an updated report on the number of benches, signs, bike repair stations and other amenities on the west side trail. Also to give an update on the trail expansion plans to the northwest of Bonn Street and into Howard. Second one is to IT or finance. To include maps, charts and other graphics of the various department line items where applicable in order to make clear the city budgets. That's all.

- Alder Johnson.

- Thank you Mayor. This one is similar but slightly different than Alder Dorff's. Refer to-- possible action on the creation of a click and carry ordinance. Because that one's more broad as opposed to, it sounds like Alder Dorff's is very specific to two locations, so I don't know Alder Dorff if you'd be amenable to combining those.

- Yes I like yours better.

- Good. Any others?

- Mayor, Alder Lefebvre?

- Go ahead Alder.

- I have two, the first one is by myself and Alder Wery. This one goes to the park committee. Due to high water levels and recurring flooding along the Bay of Green Bay, we are requesting the city reconsider plans for sand swimming beach and bath house at Bay Beach. Okay number two I think it would go to INS. You can let me know if that is correct. I'm requesting that the city again offer sand bags to residents on the bay for a nominal fee including delivery, would that be INS?

- Yes I think that would be appropriate. Attorney Chavez?

- I don't know where the original one started at, I would defer to Director Grenier.
- The original sand bags came through emergency management and were taken out of contingency fund. So the fire chief, the emergency manager was the one who directed us to do that.
- Okay so where would this communication go?
- In that case I would say we need a funding source for it which should go to finance.
- And my request is for a nominal fee so.
- So we would not be requiring the city to pay for it?
- Depending on what they're going to charge. So maybe yeah should we start at finance?
- I would recommend finance.
- Okay, thank you. And I did send those in late today to Kris Teske's office.
- Very good, thanks Alder. Alder Wery?
- Thank you, thank you Mayor.
- Alder you're muted.

- I thought everybody was up on their lip reading, sorry. This is for parks. Submit for discussion, a plan for reopening city parks and city hall. Cause I think city hall is under parks right? That's why I put it there. Thank you.

- Clerk Teske?

- Can I just, like Alder Lefebvre said, if you could just send it to me I really appreciate it cause we need that in a hard copy so please email it and then I can get that to the proper departments. Thank you.

- Any others? All right I'll entertain a motion to refer all petitions.

- Motion to refer.

- Second.

- By Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to refer all eight petitions and communications to the proper authority. All in favor of the motion please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- The ayes have it, the petitions and communications have been referred. Adjournment.

- Motion to adjourn.

- Second.

- Motion to adjourn made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Lefebvre, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Multiple Speakers] Aye.

- The ayes have it, we're adjourned.