



MINUTES OF THE PROTECTION AND POLICY COMMITTEE

**TUESDAY, MAY 26, 2020, 12:30 PM
CITY HALL, ROOM 207**

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. This item contains documents which provide call in information and instructions for the Zoom Meeting.

B. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre, Mark Steuer

Also present: Deputy City Attorney Joanne Bungert, City Attorney Vanessa Chavez, Assistant City Attorney Lindsay Mather, Lt. Steve Mahoney, Ald. Barbara Dorff, Ald. Randy Scannell, Ald. Brian Johnson, Ald. Jesse Brunette, Ald. Lynn Gerlach

I. Set alternate committee meeting times for tentatively scheduled zoom meetings on June 8th, June 22nd, July 13th and August 10th.

Committee set an alternate schedule for upcoming zoom meetings scheduled for June 8th, June 22nd, July 13th, and August 10th beginning at 1:00 pm

C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes from the May 11, 2020 Protection & Policy Committee meeting.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

E. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on a request by State Street Pub of Green Bay, LLC at 1238 State Street to amend their liquor license to include an outdoor patio.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve a request by State Street Pub of Green Bay, LLC at 1238 State Street to amend their liquor license to include an outdoor patio, with the approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on a request by Anduzzi's East Green Bay, LLC at 900 Kepler Dr. to temporarily extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on July 23-26.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve a request by Anduzzi's East Green Bay, LLC at 900 Kepler Dr. to temporarily extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on July 23-26, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action on a request by Brewski's on Broadway at 1100 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises on June 20 to their parking lot for a one-day event.

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve a request by Brewski's on

Broadway at 1100 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises on June 20 to their parking lot for a one-day event, subject to complaint. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on a renewal application for the 2020-2021 license year for Confetti's Inc. at 217 E. Walnut St. with a change in the license description.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve a renewal application for the 2020-2021 license year for Confetti's Inc. at 217 E. Walnut St. with a change in the license description and approval of the proper authorities. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2020-2021 license year (see attached).

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2020-2021 license year with approval of the proper authorities (see attached). Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

6. A request by Ald. Johnson to the Protection & Policy Committee for consideration and possible action on the creation of a click and carry ordinance.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to receive and place on file a request by Ald. Johnson to the Protection & Policy Committee for consideration and possible action on the creation of a click and carry ordinance. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on General Ordinance 13-20, an ordinance creating Section 33.08(7)(i), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to online ordering and curbside pickup of alcohol beverages.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve General Ordinance 13-20, an ordinance creating Section 33.08(7)(i), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to online ordering and curbside pickup of alcohol beverages. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, No- Kathy Lefebvre, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on a Resolution Adopting the City of Green Bay Records Retention Schedule.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve a Resolution Adopting the City of Green Bay Records Retention Schedule. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

9. A request by Ald. Brunette to the Protection & Policy Committee for consideration with possible action on allowing the public to address the city council on non-agenda items at each full common council meeting. For example, this could be to allow up to 3 or no more than 5 minutes per speaker, which was referred back to the Protection & Policy Committee at the May 19 Common Council meeting.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to refer to staff a request by Ald. Brunette to the Protection & Policy Committee for consideration with possible action on allowing the public to address the city council on non-agenda items at each full common council meeting. For example, this could be to allow up to 3 or no more than 5 minutes per speaker, which was referred back to the Protection & Policy Committee at the May 19 Common Council meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

F. INFORMATIONAL.

I. The Liquor Violation Report for May 26, 2020.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report for May 26, 2020. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to adjourn at 1:52 p.m. Motion carried.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- [Joanne] Rolling. We can start with roll call. Is Alder Steuer there? And can anyone hear me?

- [John] I can hear you.

- I can hear you.

- I can hear you.

- [Kathy] I can hear you.

- [Mark] Alder Steuer's here.

- Okay, Alder Steuer we can start with roll call.

- [Mark] Okay. Welcome to Protection and Policy Committee for May 26th, 19, oh, I'm sorry, 2020. First thing here we have a roll call. Alder Steuer, I'm here. Alder Stevens.

- Here.

- [Mark] Alder Chavez.

- [Kathy] Here.

- [Mark] Alder VanderLeest.

- Here.

- [Mark] All present and accounted for. Approval of the agenda. Do we have a motion?
- [Joanne] Actually first Alder Steuer, first we were going to discuss setting the alternate committee
- All right. That sounds good.
- Yes
- All right. Excuse me.
- All right, I know we've had a little bit of issue with this. As soon as like our committee is the one committee that has the ball thrown a little bit. And, you know, the kinds that were brought forward for June 8, June 22, July 13 and August 10, was at 4:30 Pm? Am I correct on that?
- Yes, I believe that was what everyone was agreeing to.
- Okay. I'll ask Alder VanderLeest, is this something that you can work with?
- Well, here's the problem. I'd like to see the meeting time go back, so the public can join in in our meetings more easily. How long are we going to have these Zoom meetings? Let me ask you that. How long do you think
- I think that's one of the one of the issues. Because we're not totally sure. And you know, this is a temporary thing in my in my mind. But you know, until we can get a definite
- can have our meeting at 5.30?

- Well, I'd love to have it at 5:30. You know, I think we all would.

- I think it's a lot more convenient.

- Well, the problem is, John, that we have other meetings that conflict with that. And to that conflict with it. So we are kind of-

- four o'clock. Let me ask you that. Do we move it to four o'clock?

- I'll entertain the other committee members chiming in on that. Anybody else? I'm flexible.

- Go ahead.

- Yeah.

- On the eighth, I have a 8:30 Water Commission so that might run a little bit. I don't know how long it would be probably not a problem. You know, for 12:30 but 12:30 is fine for me, but if it's four o'clock is also fine.

- Alder I'm sorry, if I could chime in really quickly. Unfortunately, four o'clock with the situation that we're in with having, and this alternate schedules just for, as we are continuing the Zoom meetings and the public is still, city hall is still closed to the public. So four o'clock doesn't work because on many of the nights or many of the days that PNP is slated, landmarks commission meets at 4:30 And also planning commission meets at... And the difficulty is by plan commission is noticed publicly months in advance due to public hearings on zoning issues and variants issues. And so that meeting is not flexible and cannot be moved. And unfortunately, our agendas have been heavy as of late and they may continue being on the heavier side, particularly with liquor licensing renewals and issues moving forward. And unfortunately if we had a start time at four it was conflict with plan commission and we will more likely than not run into planning commission. And in the zoom format, we're unable to simultaneously stream these meetings at the same time. So when we're back to in person meetings,

we can we can certainly go back to the 5.30 starts, in which committee agreed to at the beginning of this term. However, unfortunately, with zoom meetings proceeding, at least for the foreseeable future, we would need to start at at 12.30 or one o'clock at the latest in order to be able to wrap up in time for land commission to landmarks commission to begin and then for that meeting to wrap up or for plan commission to start at six.

- question ma'am.

- Yes.

- When is City Hall going to open up?

- That we don't know. I know that that's something that the mayor's office and senior staff are working on. And I'm sure the mayor's office, Will be updating the council.

- I'd like to see the city hall open up in June, for sure.

- Sure. As far as I know.

- Yes.

- go ahead.

- What about Thursdays? Are there meetings on Thursdays?

- Thursdays. there are meetings on Thursdays. However, the difficulty with doing protection and policy on Thursday is that our meetings immediately received counsel and in order for the report and

the minutes to be prepared and time to appear on the council agenda for Tuesday, that we don't have committee meeting standing committees that occur on Thursday.

- Maybe Can I just say one other thing and then I'll step out? Why don't we just keep the meeting for June is and then if they open City Hall, then we can get back to our 5.30 meetings. That'd be fine with me. Could we make at one o'clock? For our next meeting at one o'clock? Would that work out for everybody?

- Well, yeah.

- Once we get out of these meetings, then we go back to our regular meeting at 5.30.

- That was tentatively June 8 and June 22. Is that what you're looking at them committee? I'm good. I'm good with one o'clock open.

- They're gonna be open before the 28th but let's go with the June 8 meeting it for one o'clock and then we'll take the 28th one as well. I'm sure we're gonna be open by then.

- I don't know.

- Alder Stevens you have a call on out there?

- Another phone is ringing here. Anyway, I, John, you know, we've been working really hard on this and I know that city hall is closed. We can't have our committee is kind of tied There's a lot of others that have back to back agendas, and they can work it out. But we're kind of stuck. And I know we want to open City Hall. But my feeling would be, you know, if you had a chance to actually look at your schedule far enough in advance, I don't see why those four days would be a problem.

- Or do you thing we're talking about all the changes. Yes, exactly. lets keep it one o'clock. would o'clock work for everybody?

- Yeah.

- It'll work for me.

- I would suggest one o'clock for all four dates, and then if something changes where we can move those other meetings, we'll do it at that time. Okay.

- Thank you, Mark. Thank you very much.

- Okay.

- Yes.

- I'd also like to say that we did have two other older word cases case one of us cannot make a PNP they would step in.

- Right.

- Well, that's great. I appreciate the alder Scanlon alder s being there for that. Thank you very much. Okay, are we good with that?

- Yes.

- conversation alder Scannell are all their doors. But Council President if you need me to fill into a meeting I'd be willing to do as well.

- All right. Thank you very much. Okay. We'll move forward. Approval of the agenda. Do I have a motion?

- Motion to approve.

- By saying Do we have a second?

- I second

- Second by VanderLeest. All in favor, please say aye.

- Aye

- All opposed that passes. Approval of the minutes. Do we have a motion?

- Motion to approve?

- I'll make a motion to approve.

- Okay, well, we'll let VanderLeest Scott in their second. Is that all right? although Lafeyvre for a second.

- Sure.

- Okay. All in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- All opposed? That passes. All right. regular business Attorney Bungert I'm on my iPhone, so I'm not gonna be able to vote via the computer so I'll have to do a verbal myself. I'm gonna have to work.

- Okay, that's fine.

- All right. Regular business consideration with possible action on a request by State Street public Green Bay LLC at 1238 State Street to amend their liquor license to include an outdoor patio out.

- Yes. So for communication from the licensee and the fan submitted For the proposed fence. We actually received photos of the completed fence today. The fence is in compliance with the six foot requirement and it's impervious. It's constructed of concrete and one accordingly, staff has no objections as it complies with ordinance.

- Okay, police.

- Police concur.

- Okay. I was hoping to get the pictures a little bit earlier. She said she was going to Send them but I guess they're here. So I have no issue with it either. So we have a motion to approve motion approved by Stevens. Do we have a second?

- Second, loosed.

- All in favor? say aye.

- Aye.

- All opposed that passes. And by the way, this will go to the city council and correct me is it in June 2?

- I believe.

- Yes, I believe so.

- Well, all of these recommendations. This is just the committee and this will be going to City Hall. All good counsel on June 2 at 6pm. All right. Next we have, consideration with possible action on a request by ndoozies East Green Bay LLC at 900 eppler Drive under license

- Yes, staff, a lot of Carmen has no objections. And I do want to just note for the licensees that they are in as part of their request, they were requesting a permit and variance for noise ordinance, which the committee doesn't grant. They won't just need to submit a request directly to the police department for our ordinances. And I would defer to Lieutenant Mahoney on the remainder.

- Okay. Lieutenant Mahoney, could you chime in on this?

- Yeah, I read over their security plan, and it's an event they've held on multiple times in the past with no issues. So we don't have an issue with them having the event in terms of the noise. Their location there is pretty much right in the middle of the industrial park. There are some residential areas close by. But I can tell you it's never been a problem in the past. So we don't anticipate there being a problem with the noise at all.

- Okay, all the doors was that in her district? No, that's close. Okay.

- Now, the idea of

- go ahead. Go ahead.

- I would just like to commend Andrews's on their COVID-19 protocol. I think it's very well done, and I was very happy to see that. Thank you so much.

- That was good. That was very good. Is that something here or go ahead alder Lefebvre.

- No, I said, did I hear.

- Did all hear.

- Okay. I'm just wondering what's

- kept me out just a minute. I forgot how to get back on here.

- I'll make a motion to approve.

- Sorry.

- Excuse me. Can I make, can I ask? that information? When we submitted this on Saturday, can we get the noise ordinance and I just want to be transparent.

- Hold on. We've got to hold on here. Well, we need a motion to open the floor.

- Yes, we need a motion to open the floor.

- Yes, I know. Okay.

- Permission to open the floor.

- alder Stevens, so do we have a second.

- second.

- Second by manner least All in favor?

- Aye.

- All right, sorry about that. I gotta be official here. So state your name and address for the record and then you can, describe that COVID-19 I like the way you put that together as well, go head.

- Appreciate that. My apologies for jumping in

- oh, that's fine.

- My request is on Saturday,

- shorten your name and address for the record.

- My name is Andy samanski. My address is 2295 last belphin Road to pier Wisconsin.

- Okay, go ahead, Andy.

- All right. Thank you. On Saturday, we would like to amend a request from the noise and the noise ordinance piece of it. And again, I understand that needs to go through the chief but we're going to amend that to from 1130 till midnight. I'm just to make sure that we're in compliance with the extension.

- What was it originally?

- pardon

- 11:30

- 11:30 and you want to go till midnight.

- yeah

- All right. Was there anything else you wanted to speak about the COVID-19 alder Dorf mentioned. And I agree that was very well put together. And I was just wondering how you put that together.

- So a week ago, well, a little more than a week now. And when the restrictions were lifted, we didn't feel like we were in a good place that we could go from complete shutdown to no restrictions for a lot of different reasons from, for us personally as family, our employees, and then from the general public's perspective. And so we really took some time, immediately on Friday, brought together some of the leaders of the organization and tried to vet out of all the different plans that were out there for the municipalities states and tried to provide some structure that we felt was reasonable, but still

provided the protection based on the information that's being presented to us out there,. we're not doctors, or we're not medical experts, we don't know for sure exactly what the best and right things are to do. But we know social distancing is critical. Cleanliness is critical. So we tried to take the necessary steps to elevate a couple of those things. Our restaurants have been detailed, literally, as if they were brand new restaurant from top to bottom, inside and out, sanitized, sterilized. So we wanted to walk this back up and in a safe, responsible, healthy manner. And hopefully, we've done that. So that was our intention.

- Well, I think that was very well put together and I was just wondering have you talked to the tavern league about this? So it was you know, I realized we're going through the Brown County Health Department and all that, but I'm just thinking with you, you've talked to other business owners such this way and I'm just wondering what their take might be. I know there's many out there but it would be nice to have Some proactive measures coming from the business community to kind of help guide a lot of us. Well, I think this is a start.

- for sure. And I believe John was in it like the president of the tavern League and the owner of rich cranium and bylaws and trying to make sure that prior to the all the restrictions being lifted, working with the health department to try to make a list of recommendations and really, what we've done is we've taken a lot of those recommendations and basically implemented those because it was already vetting them out. Already, we were part of the cause, not only with Tavern League , but also with the restaurant association. And a lot of those were very, very similar and aligned. A lot of where our playbook came from.

- Well, we thank you for your efforts on that. And I know that you're on the industrial park, I don't see a lot of issue as far as with the noise ordinance or any of that. The residents are far enough away, I believe, but committee, anybody else from The committee or other others want to chime in on this. Otherwise, we'll accept motion.

- We do have a motion from alder Stevens.

- To correct.

- Second vote.

- Okay. All right. Was there any other comments on this? Otherwise, we'll move forward.
- Randoff, thank you.
- Okay. Thank you very much. Alberts, let's we need a motion to close the floor.
- Motion on the floor.
- Render list and seconded by Lafave. All in favor?
- Aye.
- Opposed. Okay. We have a motion on the floor. All in favor?
- Aye. Aye. All opposed? That passes. So unanimous was unanimous consent unanimously. Sorry about that. So that will be one to city council next week, June 2nd. There should be no issue but there's always a possibility. So that'll be on the agenda for final approval by the call. Thanks, Andy. All right.
- That second board motion to accept recommendations
- Okay, I thought we made a motion.
- No, We did. We did. We had a motion
- We had the motion but we didn't vote.

- So, There was a vote recorded.

- I said All in favor vote. Yes.

- Okay. I thought that was for closing. Okay. Its alright

- All right.

- Especially when you look them on the phone and...

- You're fine. Do we have another? Can we move forward here?

- Yep. on to item number three.

- Okay. Number three. consideration with possible action on a request by brewskis on Broadway at 1100 South Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises on June 30. Oh, I'm sorry, on June 20th for their parking lot for a one day event.

- Law department has no objection we would defer to PD.

- Okay, police?

- Well, police have no objection. I know in their plan They said they were going to have additional security. I'm just wondering How many additional security they've had this event in the past and there's been no issues. I just like it on the record how many shirts they're going to have.

- All right. Do we have somebody from brewskis here today? Anybody from brewskis here at the meeting? Steve Mahoney?

- Yeah. I don't know if anyone's familiar.

- I would like open the floor first. Motion to open the floor.

- [Pcarlson] Hello. Permission to open the floor.

- [Kathy] Okay, my by Lefebvre, do we have a second? Second by Stevens. All in favor, aye.

- Aye.

- Okay, Mr. Mahoney, are you there?

- Yeah, this is Lieutenant Mahoney.

- I'm sorry, I'm losing it here. Do we have somebody from Brewskis here?

- Hello.

- Was my fault. Johnson, I see you smiling there. That's fine, everything's good, long vacation. Mr. Carlson?

- Yes.

- Yes.

- Hello.

- State your Name, your name and address for the record, please.

- Pam Carlson 4762. Maple drive Green Bay, Wisconsin.

- All right.

- We will have additional securities, enough add both in two entrances at least. We do have a few outside gardens throughout the year and we have not had any issues so we're not serving alcohol. Yeah, not serving alcohol outside. It's just more for people to carry their drinks outside. So this was Lieutenant Mahoney you'll make sure that you'll have a garden at each entrance into the garden outside.

- Exactly.

- Okay. Yep, we're fine.

- Okay, thank you. Motion to close the floor. Do we have a motion?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Steven, seconded by Lefebvre. All in favor, Aye.

- [In unison] Aye.

- Opposed. Motion to approve. Do we have a motion on the floor?

- Motion to approve?

- I'll make a motion.

- Stevens and seconded by Lefebvre. All in favor? Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed that passes, thank you. All right, let me know when you're ready.

- Okay, one minute.

- I thought there was another Mahoney out there. Three days old on a board really was too much.

- The sun will do that, too.

- Yeah. Well,

- did you get a sunburn?

- Oh, yeah. A little bit. a little bit.

- All right, we are on to item number four.

- All right. Number four consideration with possible action on a renewal application for the 2020-2021 license year for confetti is at 217 East Walnut Street with a change in the license discretion.
Staff

- Aldermen have no objections

- Well, please confirm.

- okay. Staff. There's no other questions. I would entertain a motion.

- Motion to approve.

- by Vendereleest, do we have a second?

- Second?

- Second by Stevens. All in favor, please say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? that passes unanimously.

- All right, item number five.

- Number five consideration with possible action on the renewal applications for various liquor and or beer licenses for the 2020-2021 license year. See attached.

- That has not that chance.

- Police?

- The police concur.

- Okay. I will entertain a motion to approve motion to approve by Stevens. Do we have a second?

- Second.

- second by VanderLeest. All in favor, please say aye.

- Aye

- Aye passes unanimously. I'll wait for you Joy.

- Okay. item number six

- all right six. a request by alder Johnson to the Protection Policy Committee for consideration and possible action on the creation of a click and carry ordinance. Alder Johnson

- take out the store. I see that this item was listed right after this. I don't know why it was like just I guess dispose of one of these and move to the other.

- That was my first reaction to

- our recommendation since elder Johnson wanted us to bring forth an ordinance and an ordinance had already been previously drafted. We elected to just put the ordinance for efficiency sake instead of having to bring it back to committee at the next meeting. We placed it on the agenda. So the recommendation would either be to refer this item to staff or receive and place on file.

- to receive in place Of file

- I have a question.

- we'll just move on to the next.

- i'll make a motion to receive and place on file.

- All right. We have a second.

- I have a question.

- Go ahead alder Lefebvre

- Okay, the cook and Carrie is that when they call in and then they go in to pick it up. I just want to make sure what clicking carry mean.

- Well, we'll be discussing that in the next slide. so yeah, that's more or less.

- Okay.

- Yes, we'll be discussing that. All right. So we have motion receive and place on file on a second. All in favor?

- I'm sorry. Who was the second we had the motion by alder Stevens and the second was,

- Seconded by VanderLeest.

- Okay thank you.

- All right. All in favor, please say aye.

- Aye.

- All opposed that passes unanimously. Want to know when you're ready?

- All right. Item number six. Oh, that got to be seven? Oh yes, I'm sorry seven.

- All right. consideration with possible action on general ordinance 13 dash 20 and ordinance creating section 33.0 33.08, subsection seven subsection i rename Municipal code relating to online ordering and curbside pickup of alcohol beverages. So alder Johnson Okay, go ahead. Go ahead.

- This is this is this the third time this is coming up? We are ready voted this down twice. Absolutely against it.

- I'm sorry. I don't want this.

- Okay. I know you know, we've talked about that was before but all there Johnson brought up another issue with this and I'd like him to speak on it and we can discuss accordingly. So

- Okay

- okay

- alderJohnson

- That's you. I just think it's a new council and sometimes policies need to be revisited. And so I'm looking for us to revisit this particular policy. I had asked the city Attorney Bungert to basically just bring back as a starting point, the same ordinance that had been proposed during the last session. I think it's a good ordinance. I think it hits all of the safeguards that we need as a community. I think this notion, or this opposition that we've had to it that it continues to propagate more drinking in our community is really a faulty one. I've never seen any type of data or research that supports that claim. All we're doing here is really adapting to be with the times. Online grocery shopping is a thing, and it's a thing that's here to stay. And I think, particularly with respect to, you know, the COVID-19 virus, it's put people in a position where we've adopted the way that we do business in a lot of different capacities. and this one in particular, we've seen it, we've seen a number of online services that have really propagated over the last last couple of months, I mean, to the point where sometimes you put in an order, and it's a week before it can even be fulfilled. So, clearly, there's a heightened sense of demand a shift in the marketplace. You've seen this type of disruption occur with a number of things. And I think online grocery shopping is no different. It's all these people are doing is really being able to receive all of the products that they order that at their vehicle, that's it. This isn't creating any easier access point for the purchase of alcohol. Everybody needs to be aware of

safeguards outlined in the ordinance that ensure that all the requirements are being met. I don't know what this the passage of this ordinance I don't know how this makes our community any more susceptible to illegal activity than what we currently have in place? If I can see, you know, something that can certainly make that case, let's talk about it, but I have yet to see anything that suggests that any type of illegal activity occurs with these types of ordinances and other communities. And then, you know, certainly there's always that, you know, that chance that opportunity, I understand that. But that's the case with everything in life, right? there's a chance that people might speed doesn't mean that we don't let people drive cars it means that you put in the proper ordinances to control and enforce those types of illegal activities. And so This to me is no different. This is about a community modifying becoming relevant with current market conditions. And I implore the committee to pass this and let's just take it up at Council.

- All right. Can you hold on right there alder Roldoff wants to say something and I'll let you speak. Go ahead. Alder rodolf

- Thank you. So, why I put in a very similar communication and then I had alder Johnson had so eloquently put in his communication that I said I would just accept his communication on this. Absolutely. I agree with everything alder Johnson said. I've heard from citizens in my district that it has, it has made it they feel imperil of their safety because everything else that they want to get, they can get at curbside, this they cannot get it curbside, therefore they have to go into a store or as one of them chose to do drive out of our community of Green Bay, go up to Howard swamp MCO and do the click and carry up their there's foods up there. We don't want to lose the business from the Green Bay Community today. So I am very, very critical considering passing that. Thank you.

- Okay. All right. Thank you alder Rolderoff. Alder Lefebvre

- problem I have with this is that when they drive up, the person has to verify that they're not drunk. Okay? You tell me that you can always tell that somebody is not over the limit. And then they're going to drive away. Okay? Get into the store. There's more telltale signs that you can tell when whether they're over the limit. I am completely against this. I'm sorry. Alcohol is not a necessity. Unless you are a drunk. I'm sorry. If it becomes a necessity means that you are drinking too much. It becomes a necessity. I don't know. I will not vote for this. I think it's difficult when they can see and on University Avenue I told you there's how many opportunities for them to get a drink to get something to drink. They don't have to drive up and get it. they can go to somewhere else Or there's not many people, they can go in and get it and I was, with groceries, that's fine. This is not a grocery really should not be a grocery item. I'm really upset that grocery stores, too because now it's becoming too numerous. So, no I will not vote for this. sorry.

- Go ahead.

- I'll do the favor. I'm curious because there seems to be this assumption that when people order their groceries online and show up to pick them up that that they're drunk. I'm just curious.

- No, no, not groceries. No, no, come on. I'm not talking about groceries. I'm talking about alcohol.

- This is people online. can't pick up our alcoholic purchases, but they pick up all their other groceries but they can't pick this up. It's not part of

- Groceries are our necessity.

- food is a necessity. Alcohol I'm sorry, is not a necessity.

- But they're purchasing with there groceries. Seriously, if there's a problem in our community where people are drunk and shopping for groceries, where that would carry over Into this particular situation. if we've got people driving drunk I mean, that's a whole nother problem in itself, is it not?

- Well, all right. Alder scandal we'll keep this going alder scandal.

- Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is like the third time this is told. I've been supporting it all along. I think this is, I think COVID-19 has just bumped us that much quicker into the future. And online shopping is going to be more and more prevalent. And yes, there's always going to be problems when it comes to alcohol. You're not going evade problems, you're going to have problems it's how you're going to address some and how are you going to deal with it? I feel as older Johnson said earlier, I really don't think the risks here are any greater than any other way of giving, getting alcohol. I appreciate that alder Lefebvre, she's probably never going to sign on to this and that's fine. I understand where she's coming from. But for me, this is just practical. And alcohol is not an illegal beverage, it is something that should be we should be facilitating, its dispense of in a responsible way. I think that although she's been responsible, I think, resources support and say we're being responsible, and I don't know that we're ever going to really cross that bridge together. But I really think that this is the future and this

is a practical way to Address, people purchasing alcohol. So, I've been supporting this and I continue to support this.

- Okay, thank you alder scandle. alder Gerlach. Did you want to say something?

- Sure. I just wanted to say that, I'm normally a very cautious person and this is the kind of thing I'd think to demonstrates is doing but I've read the ordinance. I was impressed at how comprehensive and detail very carefully thought out. And I agree with alder Johnson, I will support this at Council.

- Okay, thank you. Can I ask the Attorney Bungert, how are one of the council members if anybody knows how many other communities surrounding Green Bay have this click and carry? What other communities have this?

- Is there anybody to help me on that?

- I do know that African does. I'm not sure if De Pere does. It sounds like Howard-Suamico does. I know that it is popping up in pretty much all surrounding communities.

- Right, okay.

- I'm not 100% sure, but I thought De Pere did.

- Okay. Thank you.

- De Pere does. I just got confirmation that De Pere does.

- Thank you. Committee. Anybody on committee would like to chime in on this. Okay, well, you know, I can remember, I think I might have been against this initially, but you know, this the way

things are going, you know, chopping In fact, I've I shop, I stopped and have my groceries delivered to the car and it would be a convenience type of thing. So I don't see a tremendous problem with it either. I Feel that That's the way things tons of people shop. And the pandemic has caused this to kind of speed up as alder scandal. Said. So I'm in favor of this. So I would entertain a motion discussion.

- Back in the Baldor store, I looks like alder VanderLeest stepped away for a minute.

- Well, i see

- we still have, all right.

- All right. Thank you.

- Okay.

- That was a motion to approve by alder Stevens.

- Yes. Do we have? Do we have a second?

- I'll second it.

- I'll second it. We'll do a little renderr list for a second. All right. Any other discussion? All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed?

- No.

- Okay. Three to one

- All right. So that I'll just do a roll call vote since it was not unanimous alder Stevens.

- Yes.

- alder VanderLeest

- Aye

- Alder Steuer

- Yes.

- And alder Lefebvre

- No.

- All right. That passes three to one. with elder Lefebvre voting no.

- Yes. I mean, correct. Let me know when you're ready.

- Yep. We are on Item Number eight.

- All right, number eight. consideration with possible action on our resolution adopting the city of Green Bay records and retention or retention schedule. Staff

- Good Afternoon

- Oh, sorry.

- No, go ahead.

- Okay, go ahead. Go ahead Attorney Mather go ahead.

- Good afternoon, everyone.

- Good afternoon.

- So, a project very long in the making. We have Finally, come to you with a complete version of the records retention schedule this was created. just for context, I think I've explained this before, but just to provide full background for everybody. This was created using a municipal general record's schedule that the state public records board had created, which covered a lot of the types of records that municipalities traditionally keep, which had not been previously covered by other statewide schedules. We did still have to use some of the other statewide schedules to fill in some of the gaps that were not covered by the municipal schedules such as the risk categories and IT and a couple other things and a couple other places. The Version attached to the resolution is the master version for lack of a better term. That is the comprehensive version that contains all of the skip all of the records series for all of the records that are or may be kept by the city. We will be doing , individual department specific trainings. And the idea is that the individual departments will be able to take this

master document and make it more user friendly, if based on what the needs of their actual departments are. So for example, at the link the descriptions that are on the schedule, maybe removed if everybody in the department knows you know, what those kinds of records are, or, you know, maybe a couple of types of records listed are kept by that department So they may be able to shorten the list of themselves, those kinds of things. But I, the law department will be working directly with the individual departments on to kind of make that happen. it's super long. I know if anybody has any question about any individual schedules, I'm happy to answer them. that's kind of the like, super broad overview of it.

- I have a question.

- Go ahead, alder rofold

- Yes, I have a resident who did an open records request and he has not received anything back. I want to know this goes back to 1973 between The Army co-Engineers in this city would you know, would that be something I would think would be availed?

- As far as this, you know, are you talking about right? 30-40 years old.

- right? Pertaining to that, right. This is for the attorney.

- Okay, go ahead.

- Sure. Well, Attorney Bungert actually does all of our open records requests. So I'm not particularly sure of the details of that specific request. I will say that the and I apologize for not including it for comparison. The current version of our records retention schedule has some different types some different retention times. So some records currently may be kept for more or less time in most cases more time. so I don't know which types of records, specifically, may or may not be kept for the time period that you're looking for. But that would be something that as Attorney Bungert and the staff in our office are going through the records request, they would be looking for whether we still have those those records. Certain records are kept up permanently, some are not. But

- actually, I think he I think he put the request in to Dp W To be liable.

- Yes. So I can chime in on to.

- Okay.

- This is a little bit off topic, but his question quickly. if the request was submitted to dp W. certain departments can handle their own. public records request and others to forward that processing to our department. I'm not aware of that particular request but essentially records requests get processed . accordingly if the records are available they are produced. It's not okay then to receive the records without it.

- Should I have them actually send on that request to you?

- If that request was submitted to dp W, it can be handled accordingly. We can also talk about this all but I can reach out to you

- Okay.

- yeah, sure.

- Okay.

- Thank you. alder Dorf,

- I just want to clarify is this request from 1973? The

- Oh, it sounded like it.

- Yes.

- it was the 20 years. say the routine for 20 20 years for 50 that every change I was just looking at the the new the new ordinance or the new I saw 20 years in here somewhere. So, here, well, it's in a lot of places, but I don't know, it just seems like, what 50 years ago , they might not start. Nevermind. That's not Yeah, that's, that's something we can we can follow up with, individually, as far as why is that? sorry

- Okay. Let's get back to the agenda. You can deal with alder Lefebvre with a lot of partner, you can work out.

- Sure. Okay, is there anybody from the committee that has any points on this slide? Yes, go ahead.

- I just want to know, are they retaining the records on a micro fish are they trying to reduce paper quantity and things like that, so it's going to be done With a micro fish? I just that's my only question just how they're storing.

- Okay?

- Sure. So this is part of a much larger project with respect to the records that the city keeps. This particular step is just setting up the time limits that we have to keep records for. But we are moving toward, finding ways to reduce the amount of paper records that we do have. But there are a couple different considerations that we have to consider as we go through that process. Not the least of which is cost because it is expensive to get the records scanned in a way that is searchable, which is required by the public records board. So it's where we are working toward it and I've been working with solace in the mayor's chief of staff to make some progress on that, But, it's still an ongoing effort.

- It answers my question. Thank you very much. It sounds like you're on the right track there. Thank you.

- We're working on it.

- I was going to ask I mean, so what when did this initially come forward? when was the discussion to lay this up?

- Before I started here, which was a year ago because

- it's been a little disorganized since has a tool or you were just trying to finalize this and try to tighten this up as much as possible.

- Yeah. So the into in late 2018 is when the public records board came out with the municipal records schedule. So up until that time, we had to fill in a lot of the gaps on our own and I say we but you know, I wasn't here. But the since that schedule was passed, the city's goal has been to incorporate that municipal schedule into our current schedule. And that was one of my, the projects that was given to me when I basically when I started. And so, you know, it only took a year guys

- Pretty comprehensive. Very good. Very good job.

- Thank you.

- I have another question.

- Go ahead alder Lefebvre

- Yes. Okay, I'm Sorry, I missed that part with alder Dorf said is 20 years retention? Wouldn't there be some things that would be held a lot longer because it could be 20-30 years down, there could be a legal situation that comes up.

- There are a lot of different lengths of time for which your records are to be kept and some records To be kept permanently. So, it really just depends on the type of record. And those decisions are made by the public records board and not by the city, although I should say that the retention times in this record schedule are floors. so you can go longer and still be in compliance with what the public records board has said, is permissible under state law. We just can't go shorter. So if any of the particular series listed here, the council agrees that it should be longer. That is something that an amendment could be offered. But, that's the decision of the committee and council. But yes, although to answer your question. There are some records that are kept permanently.

- Is that all?

- right, right.

- Okay.

- Alder scandal

- thank you.

- Okay, thank you alder scandal

- At this time, I'm pretty sure at least it used to be. I thought city records once we got rid of them on one. Well, what we did is we handed those over to the library, the Public Library, I thought and then they, they either they shifted through them and kept what they thought was relevant. And then I don't know if that's still a process, but I thought it was true. One time.

- So the, actually the last column on the schedule that's in the agenda packet is the WHS notification, which is the Wisconsin Historical Society. So before we can, we as a city can get rid of any of the records that we keep If I shouldn't say any records, any record that on this document says notify before we can get rid of those types of records, we do have to let the Wisconsin Historical Society

know and offer them the opportunity to collect those documents. If they declined to do so, then we can destroy them. But not before we do have to offer them if it says waived in that column, then obviously we don't have to offer it on the ones that say not applicable or only because we have to keep those records permanently.

- Okay. alder Stevens, alder Stevens.

- Thank you.

- alder Stevens.

- Thank you, Attorney Mather. I make a motion to adopt the following listed We all see

- i second that

- we have a second. Any other discussion? All in favor? Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? that passes unanimously.

- Thank you all.

- All right, let me know when you're ready.

- Yep. We are on to item number nine.

- Okay. In order for the Protection Policy Committee for consideration with possible action on allowing the public to address the city council on non agenda items each for a new council meeting. For example, this could be to allow up to three or in five minutes per speaker, which was referred back to professional Policy Committee at the May 19th Council meeting. This was brought forward by alder Bungert any leading initial burnhope.

- Yeah, thank you. As you remember, this was referred back from our last city council meeting. For more, you know, robust discussion at the community level. There were a few concerns that the full city council meeting and I would say there are two main concerns. one is having staff work on a plan, and, or ordinance or resolution, whatever it would be without having the council approve of it. And then second is the idea itself. And so out of respect they agreed to to send a fax activity for more of a discussion. And since then, my opinion on the issue has actually gotten even more bold, because I think it's a great idea. One of the things that I've looked up is municipalities who do this, what does their policy look at, look like? And is it simple enough that we could easily adopt it with the city of Green Bay? And the answer I found was Yes. For example, the city of Bloomington, you know, just a little south east side of us. They have a policy that I found on their website, its only about Page, of their entire policy and how to allow the public to address the, their is a non agenda items. They've hashed out many of the concerns that individual alders had when we discussed it at the full city council meeting at your last meeting meeting. So, one of the one of their ideas, what they do is in order to limit the amount of comments at the very front of their meeting, they limit the first part of the public information for non agenda items public input rather, that they limit that to 20 minutes. And then later on, if there are still people who want to speak, they allow up to 25 minutes at the end of the meeting, to allow for more public input. So it's a it's very good, I mean, basic stuff, and I see absolutely no reason why this City of Green Bay cannot adopt this. So that from the public, we could allow the public to address us on non agenda items and encourages more public and more robust public engagement of us as a city council. And I also looked at the Wisconsin legal resource this was council of municipalities, obviously, we all know this is allowed in Wisconsin as well. So, I'm in favor of it. There are a few ideas I have that we could take the Bloomington Indiana policy and just make it a little more relatable to Green Bay in our unique situation for a city of our size. One thing that we could do too is, for folks who address us if we're concerned that they're going to come before the full Council and bring up an issue, then not hear back from the city, we could put as part of our city policy That our cue Now the mayor's Community Relations assistant reached out to that person after the meeting, like a day or two after the meeting. So I'd be more than happy to discuss this more after the others have an opportunity to weigh in. I could go through the bullet by bullet point and some of the ideas that he has adopted. So with that, I just want to say I'm fully in support of it. And after the discussion, what would make me happy is an agenda or a motion to refer this to staff to work with alder Bungert, to work on a pile for Honestly, this will not take more than an hour of staff time. I mean, really, I can't imagine if we, we look at the Bloomington policy and adjust it a little bit for Green Bay and do a quick consultation with the Wisconsin legal municipalities. As far that should not be an argument use the argument that could be used against this Was that people just don't think that's a good idea. I disagree with that, I think is a great idea. Thank you, Chairman.

- Okay. Thank you alder Bungert. alder Stevens.

- I have a question for Jesse although

- you're talking about Bloomington. when you did your research is there any cities in the state of Wisconsin that have adopted this?

- you know Bloomington

- Not county level but city levels?

- No I didn't. I consulted a little bit with the written information I have with complete municipalities that clearly says that it is allowed, and that it is permissible.

- I don't understand its allowed.

- Yeah, I haven't looked at that far. I just came across Bloomington realized a quick internet search to it. Yes, there's a there's a city that does it and it's

- You're be a turning off

- The machine might

- The Attorney Bungert

- I'm actually Yeah, yep. Yep, there you go. No.

- Attorney Chavez. I Notice there are a number of municipalities to do this within the city or within the state of Wisconsin. So yes, it's not a new concept. And that those are the ones that we were originally looking at which I recommended that whatever ultimately gets decided that it would be referred to staff so that we can put together the proper procedures to govern this and make sure that everything is, not only up to date with with current issues with changes to public things, but also really judges what the First Amendment requires from both the public officials who are attending the meeting and staff members and the public members who are speaking. I do know that there have been a number of questions that have arisen regarding not the actual policy itself, but the research pertaining to what issues needs to be addressed and who's doing this? What issues are they running into? Things like that. And so if there's still hesitation, my recommendation would be that, this be sent to staff to do that research preliminarily. so that at least when we bring it back to you, Those questions start so I if you guys are okay with it, I'd recommend peripheral staff to answer staff or to answer all your questions and then we would take questions from anybody either tonight or actually from tonight, middle of the day for a change. So either today or get emailed to us and we work on addressing those as well.

- Thank you attorney Chavez. Alder Lefebvre go again

- Yes, I'm definitely in favor of this and I think Jesse alder Bungert for bringing this up and doing some research on this. As I said, I the colony has done this for years. And It doesn't cause any problems. The thing that I think that people are forgetting, I know alder Dorf has brought up well, people call their alderman. But some things are actually more citywide. This is a thing for all of us to hear certain issues, something might come up that it would also affect your district, but the person lives in another district who brought it up and do it for all of us. And it just makes us more open to the public. The public more willing to feel comfortable with us making decisions that they can come and they can speak about an issue that they have and feel like they're being listened to. So I definitely support this. And I think if staff should look into it, make sure that it's comparable to other cities and what would work good for us. And we've never had any issues on the county board with it. If somebody gets out of line, That's the duty of, well, this would be the mayor. On the county, it's the the chairman. They control the meetings, and they would make sure that this is done properly. Thank you.

- Thank you. Alder VanderLeest here on the county board. How did you feel about this?

- I would make a motion that we refer to staff so we can get the ball rolling as far as, so we can we can adopt it at the City Council level. That would be my motion that we refer to staff so that we can get it approved. I support it. 100%

- Okay, we have a motion in a second. But before we vote, alder scandal,

- I just like to throw in that I, well first I'd like to know before I get behind this or not, if I could know what is bringing, what is the motivation for this? Are we input from the community that they feel they're not, there's disconnected from us that they need to connect that they need to address us that or is there a problem here that we're solving is what I'd like to know.

- I know Alder Burnette brought this up and he had the constituent that came forward to him, and so I don't know if it's widespread throughout the city, but Alder Burnett, would you care to speak to that?

- Just a idea that I've been having in my head for quite a while. I remember a time on the county board, it worked well, as a gentleman from the community reached out to me and asked if the city had ever done it. And that's what I'm doing here. So no, there's a, I just think it's a good idea. And I love hearing from the public. I love giving people the opportunity to address us. There are a lot of contentious issues and a lot of not contentious issues, where a member of the community can come forward with an idea or a concern they have. And I think sometimes we think to the absolute worst, like, Oh my gosh, what if someone comes and we're going to hear the absolute worst profane, you know, erratic behavior, and that just simply hasn't been my experience. I can only recall in my entire eight years in public office, you know, dealing with the public, anyone losing their temper or acting in an erratic behavior no more than five times and I don't recall it ever happening during the, the idea I have is this a additional way. There's so many members of our community, I think sometimes we forget it was all theirs. We're connected. We're in the know. we we've been up for election, we know how to navigate City Hall. We understand the ins and outs of committee, we understand who to go to if there's an issue. I think there are members of the public, especially newer people to the community, that don't have the knowledge of local government to a level that we do. There's an opportunity for them to come to us and in a way to engage civically, and knowing the council and knowing that professionals that we have, no doubt about it, I think anytime the public can engage us and we could, you know, hear what they have to say, I think it's a good thing. And they're there, Don't get me wrong, alder scandal, there are things that the alders brought up at the public meeting, or the full council meeting a couple about a week ago that they're really good points like oh my gosh, what if someone comes to us and says, all kinds of things and we cannot engage them. we can't respond to what they say. there are through this policy, there's a way to navigate that, that we can simply say, we can post this is the city's policy that you come to us and you address these concerns. You let us know what you want us to know. And then if the chair and the council can't respond, then that's what I send my idea to have the mayor's Community Relations assistant reach out to that person. It's just civic engagement. That's all it is.

- Okay, well, I can, I would just like to everyone,

- I can answer, although we'll go ahead and alder scandal You can return.

- I'll wait, I'll wait.

- Go ahead.

- Yeah, I just wanted before going forward, I needed to know what the motivation was behind it. And it sounds like it's for civic engagement. And I'm not. I'm at sixes and sevens with this. I believe there are many platforms for civic engagement. Now more than ever, and there's emails and there's phones and there's face to face and I've never had an a situation where we can all there's been a dearth of a problem with communicating with city government. In some ways. I like to see our committees and our council just focus on city business. I think sometimes as a council, we get a little off track, and we get up on a soapbox and it I think our committees and our counsel, it may not be a bad idea if we could just focused on business. I, like I said, I'm at sixes and sevens. I appreciate the Civic component and everything. I'm just not sure that adding this extra platform is really a benefit. I really like the idea of sticking to city business during committees and during Council. And as in this is just for council not committees. Correct?

- That's correct. Yes.

- Thank you. yeah, I don't know. I'm not totally, I understand where you are coming from. I'm not totally opposed to it. I appreciate it. I'm just not convinced It's, well it's not necessary, there isn't a need apparently, no one's knocking on our door saying they can't get a hold of us with an idea or a complaint or anything. So it's just kind of a an extra platform so i don't know

- we got a couple more folks on and chime in here. Alder dorf thanks. Alder scandal alder Dorf?

- Thank you. Well, I think that Alder Burnett is responding well to a request that he has done his Facebook page, and that's where I'd seen it come from and I see the person that made the request, is actually in our meeting right now. I really believe that if a concern rises to the level of needing to be heard, by the con, it should go through the accepted and the established protocols that we have in place. I heard many times the word engage being used. They can't engage us, they can talk at us. Because if it is not listed on the agenda, we can't discuss it. It has to be posted for us to discuss it. I don't see the benefit of a citizen coming all the way to the meeting, to talk at us, and to get absolutely

no satisfaction. when they can simply call email, Facebook, Twitter, whatever tweet I mean, whatever they want to do, there's many ways they can get our attention. And I agree with alder Scannell, that we need to stick to city business. It just can't be something that is a whim that someone just wants to talk about. Maybe it would be appropriate city business, then go through the protocols go through the procedures and let's establish it as city business. So I'm still very much against opening.

- Alder.

- Alder Brunette.

- Alder, sorry to jump in really quickly before.

- We are, never mind though.

- We do, Alder Steuer, we do have a constituent that has not notified that he would like.

- Okay.

- So if we want the alders are done with their discussion we can open the floor.

- All right, go ahead then, put in a motion.

- I had a question.

- I think alder Stevens wanted to speak so.

- Okay alder Stevens go ahead, and then we'll open the floor.

- Oh, this might be for Attorney Chavez have a question though. So I'm on the fence. I'll say I'm on the fence on this one here. But attorney Chavez if this was to be forward to you, I know that you guys have a pretty list of current items that need be on turned to production policy for review. Six months, eight months from now.

- Attorney Chavez

- It also really depends on what is on our workload.

- I know you have a list of items that are currently working on.

- The reason why I asked you know, we have one person that brought this forward, if it's coming back to us in six months, we have a whole city that could reach out to every alder and get their opinions on if they like. Because

- That's a possibility. We have. We have somebody in the audio

- Certainly, nobody's reached out to me regarding this item. So

- I don't think nobody's reached to me either. But like I said, we're listening to a dialogue from two of our committee members that are on callingham from the county, and there's that so the motion open the floor.

- I understand that the county has it but we also think of it as a city level, not county.

- Well, That's true. All right, do we, I'd like the public to be able to chime in on this. So do we have a motion open? Okay, by Stevens we have a second by alder VanderLeest.

- That's correct.

- Okay. All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye.

- All opposed? All right. state your name and address for the record and then go ahead.

- Hi, my name is Mark Azzam, can you hear me out there?

- Yes.

- My name. My name is Marshawn. My address is 1100 Chantelle Street, Green Bay.

- Okay, go ahead.

- I'm the person that initially, had commented on Facebook to alder Bungert about this, it was, what it was related to. But just a little background on why the idea come out is I had previously about 10 years ago been on the video crew for the Appleton and menasha city council meetings and in my experience, working with those meetings, I do remember always having a section of time that was specifically put aside for citizens to be able to come up and speak to the council at large on issues. Since it had been 10 years ago, I did talk to my advisor from UW Fox, who I worked with on that, to just refresh my memory on exactly how that will work. Work with Appleton, Nina, Fox crossing and

menasha doing their video meetings. And he had given me some more background generally on how all of those communities have some sort of what they call a public forum, which is time set aside at the beginning of the meeting, and I could run through a couple points with you on what he had refreshed me on if that's appropriate.

- If you can do it, like on three minutes, that would be great. Go ahead.

- Yeah. So mentioned that, that they do make it something that where you would speak on some. They wasn't remembering whether that was the case or not. But other guidelines that they have, there's a time limit for each speaker, there's a caught your name and address. And identify yourself at the podium. There's no prohibition about people from outside the municipality speaking. Business owners may not live in the municipality, but are important members of the community in different in a different way and should be heard and important topics must be directed to the chair of the meeting. You can't use the forum to promote a political candidate, even if that is yourself. you typically have a time limit and, the gallery is not allowed to react, and so forth. So those are some of the general things. The reason that I had mentioned this to him and I didn't really advocate for this to become a thing. I just was asking more as an informational thing is, I think it had been mentioned that, you know, there's all these different avenues for us to address our city council. But there are that's the assumption that as a citizen you are being listened to by your alder person and in the district that I live in, There has been both to myself and a number of other people very demonstrated. It's been very much demonstrated by our aldermen that we are not being listened to, that we will only be listened to if you agree with their position. And that has become a problem that I'm hoping in some way, whether it's this or it's in some other way can be addressed. So that's it. Just kind of why I had initially brought that up.

- Okay, alder dorf you have a question for Mr. Azzam

- I do. Mr. Azzam Did I hear you say that what you found you were able to speak to only items that were already on the agenda?

- Yes, that's why I wanted to get my memory refreshed because it had been 10 years since I had done on these video crews, by my advisor who heads up all these other meetings and he informed me that those four municipalities that they did require it to be items on the agenda.

- Thank you for that clarification. And we actually do allow that. we just don't lump it all together at the beginning. Anyone can ask for the floor to be opened at any time on any item on our agendas. Thank you.

- okay.

- Okay. Anybody else have a question for Mr. Zhan?

- Anyway, Thank you, Mr. Azzam

- Thank you.

- A motion to close the floor. Do we have a motion?

- motion to close the floor.

- Followed by alder Stevens. Do we have a second?

- Second?

- Alder VanderLeest, All in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye

- Opposed. Alder I'm sorry Attorney Bungert. we had a motion in a second. Can you refresh me on that?

- Yes. One second. shot is that found that was in motion to refer to staff by alder VanderLeest seconded by alder Lefebvre.

- Okay

- Can I speak?

- Okay, one more time and then we're going to vote. go ahead.

- Yeah, I wanted to also, alder dorff had mentioned something about working, and if they come forward, they can talk about something that's not in the agenda. And that's all they get to do. Know what has happened in the county board, It actually happens where there's a late communication. someone will say, Oh, that's a good idea needs to be discussed at committee level. We will they make a late communication. So this can very well happen where then that item that was brought forward by someone from the public. And thought about, no one was contacted on that this is something important and they will put in the late communication and then it goes to a community where it's discussed. I think that's a very good idea. But then I like to make a suggestion. what the county is doing now, because of our way our meetings are, They're not open. We're other like forensic communities Exactly. It's a little different, but also for the council. Now, when you're on zoom, you you got kind of a time limit. You don't want to go too long. So for the county, the county Anyway, they said that what we should do is limit that we should go to email. We don't have them. Timing in On the Amazon, Cisco WebEx,. what they do is they email it to the county office, their questions or their comments, then they send it out to all of the supervisors, we get an email with one person had wanted to comment on, and then we can act on that.

- although we can, can we all discuss if this moves forward? They can all be put into that so

- well, I want to know if the attorney thinks that that would be that kind of changes a little bit to you know, how we run this. So we're gonna talk about that till they can talk.

- I'm sure they can talk about that. Like I said, if this is referred back to staff, they will be discussing that. So we have a motion and we have a Second. All in favor of this motion. please say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed? Do you have any proposal alder Stevens?

- Go forward.

- Okay. All right.

- Thank you. All in favor say aye. We all said aye. All right, that part is all right. Let me know when you're ready.

- All right, we're on to the liquor violation report.

- Okay. Lieutenant Mahoney violation report.

- during this timeframe, we had one citation issued to an establishment that wasn't following their stipulation agreement reference or video. And then we also had a property referral.

- Okay. All right. Anything else? entertain a motion received this and place on file

- receive in place and file

- alder Stevens, Do we have a second?

- Second

- Second by VanderLeestt. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye. All opposed? A motion to adjourn. We'll refresh our next meeting. Just to double check, could you Attorney Bungert, could you please state that again?

- Yes. Would we be able to Did we vote on the adjournment? I might connection cut out momentarily. Yeah.

- I just wanted to find out when our next meeting would be.

- Okay, so our next meeting is June 8th, and that'll be at 1pm.

- Okay. All right. And I would have someone make a motion to adjourn, please.

- Motion to adjourn. All right, Stevens do have a second?

- second All in favor, Aye.

- Aye.

- Thank you all for attending.

- Thank you.