



MINUTES OF THE IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICES COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 10, 2020, 6:00 PM

Virtual Meeting
Public may also view meeting at
www.youtube.com/cityofgreenbay

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. This item contains documents which provide call in information and instructions for the Zoom Meeting.

B. ROLL CALL.

Present: Ald. Randy Scannell, Ald. Lynn Gerlach, Ald. Jesse Brunette, Ald. Chris Wery

C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to approve the agenda of the Wednesday, June 10, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting. Motion carried.
Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to approve the minutes of the May 27, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

E. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on request by Jack Umentum, 1620 Franz Avenue, to refund \$470 in connection fees for mini-storm sewer connection.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to open the floor for discussion.
Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Lynn Gerlach, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to open the floor for discussion.
Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to close the floor for discussion.
Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve the request by Jack Umentum, 1620 Franz Avenue, to refund \$470 in connection fees for mini-storm sewer connection.
Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on request that due to the recent notification to the City that the grant proposal to deal with the flooding on Beach Road will not be funded, an alternate source of funding be located to deal with the flooding this year. The County has set aside \$500,000, in this budget year, to help with this project. There is a high likelihood that this funding may not be available next year (Ald. Dorff).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to open the floor for discussion.
Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to close the floor for discussion.
Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve the request to include the flood mitigation project for CTH A/Beach Lane in the 2021 Capital Improvement Program and to communicate the City's commitment to completing the project to Brown County (Ald. Dorff).
Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None,

Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action to create a draft ordinance and guidelines that would permit the installation of parklets in retail and commercial areas (referred to staff at the February 25, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting) (Ald. Johnson).

Moved by Ald. Lynn Gerlach, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve the draft ordinance and guidelines that would permit the installation of parklets in retail and commercial areas (Ald. Johnson). Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action requesting Department of Public Works look into the storm water sewer backups starting from East Shore Circle to California/East Shore Drive (Ald. Lefebvre).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to refer to staff requesting Department of Public Works look into the storm water sewer backups starting from East Shore Circle to California/East Shore Drive (Ald. Lefebvre). Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action, on behalf of Tina Bunker, to have the City of Green Bay own and maintain the storm water management ponds as part of the Grandview subdivision(s) (held at the March 25, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting) (Ald. Nicholson).

Moved by Ald. Lynn Gerlach, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Lynn Gerlach, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to receive and place on file, on behalf of Tina Bunker, to have the City of Green Bay own and maintain the storm water management ponds as part of the Grandview subdivision(s) (Ald. Nicholson). Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action to study and report out on the feasibility of utilizing the “even-odd” residential address overnight parking scenario, as is done in Milwaukee, in order to relieve the parking problems at residences with limited parking spaces with Department of Public Works staff creating draft ordinances that allow even-odd overnight on street parking during summer months and/or year round and present at the January 8, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee

meeting (tabled at the February 4, 2020 Common Council meeting) (Ald. Steuer).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to bring back from the table, and to receive and place on file the feasibility of utilizing the “even-odd” residential address overnight parking scenario, as is done in Milwaukee, in order to relieve the parking problems at residences with limited parking spaces with Department of Public Works staff creating draft ordinances that allow even-odd overnight on street parking during summer months and/or year round and present at the January 8, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting (tabled at the February 4, 2020 Common Council meeting) (Ald. Steuer). Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works regarding approval of the annual Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR), authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the resolution, and authorize the Director of Public Works to submit the report to Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) sanitary sewer collection permit.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to approve the request by Department of Public Works regarding approval of the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR), authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the resolution, and authorize the Director of Public Works to submit the report to Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) sanitary sewer collection permit. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to enter into a State-Municipal Agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for the reconstruction of the Larsen Street Bridge over Beaver Dam Creek.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a State-Municipal Agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for the reconstruction of the Larsen Street Bridge over Beaver Dam Creek. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

9. Consideration and possible action on Intergovernmental Agreement between City of Green Bay and Village of Allouez for combined CIPP Liner contract, Sewers 4-20 Basin Repair.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve the Intergovernmental Agreement between City of Green Bay and Village of Allouez for combined CIPP Liner contract, Sewers 4-20 Basin Repair. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

10. Report of actions taken by Department of Public Works

A. Granting of Licenses

1. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Absolute Concrete LLC
- b. Ramco Construction Services LLC

2. Tree & Brush Trimmer license to A to Z Tree Service LLC.

3. Underground Sprinkler System license to Fox Valley Irrigation, Inc.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to receive and place on file the report of actions taken by Department of Public Works. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

F. INFORMATIONAL.

1. Director's Report on recent activities of the Public Works Department.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to receive and place on file the Director's Report on recent activities of the Public Works Department. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. The next Improvement and Services Committee meeting is scheduled for June 24, 2020.

G. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

H. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to approve to adjourn the Improvement and Services Committee meeting. Motion carried. Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Thank you, and we will commence with the Improvement and Service Committee meeting on June 11th, and could I get a roll call? I like that way Randy does that, we'll do that. Alder Scannell?
- I'm present.
- Alder Gerlach?
- I'm here.
- Alder Brunette?
- Present.
- All right, all members are present, and what are your wishes with the agenda?
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- Motion by Scannell, second by Gerlach to approve, any discussion on that? Those in favor?
- [Alders] Aye.
- Opposed, motion carried. Approval of the minutes.
- So moved.
- Second.
- Motion by Scannell, seconded by Gerlach, any discussion? Those in favor?
- [Alders] Aye.
- Opposed, motion carried. Regular business, number one, consideration of possible action on request by Jack Umentum, 1620 Franz Avenue, to refund \$470 in connection fees for mini-storm sewer connection. Director?
- Included in your packet is a copy of the letter I received from Mr. Umentum. Mr. Umentum indicates that earlier this year, he came in and obtained a connection permit for a storm sewer, what Mr. Umentum is referring to, the thousand dollars that he paid, there was a \$970 permit, or connection fee, and then the \$30 permit. The \$30 permit, through the inspection department, does not change. Subsequent to him, or applying for and getting his storm, mini-storm connection, a neighbor at 1630 Franz Avenue came in, and the council had reduced the connection fee from \$970 to \$500, so he is now

requesting that the city refund the difference between the original amount that he paid and what the neighbors have paid, and Mr. Umentum is here with us tonight, if you wish to hear from him directly.

- Motion to open the floor.

- That's a motion by Alder Scannell.

- I'll second.

- Second by Alder Gerlach to open the floor. Those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed, motion carried, the floor is open. Mr. Umentum, if you're there, you can un-mute and just give us your name and address, please? Or if we can un-mute him, whichever way that has to work.

- I'm trying to un-mute him, but it's not working.

- Okay. Hang in there, Mr. Umentum, hopefully you can hear us, I don't see a visual, you might call again.

- Who's down in council chambers running the--

- [IT Worker] I am, Steve. I also am unable to un-mute him, so Mr. Umentum, if you are using a laptop, there should be a audio button in the lower left that you can un-mute yourself.

- We could always hold this item a little bit, and see if we can figure out how to un-mute for her, if we can.

- What we have found in the past is, a lot of times, if something like that has happened, the only thing, and I've only observed it, but the only thing I've ever observed that has cleared that up is if somebody logs out and logs back in, I don't know that I necessarily want to do that to Mr. Umentum, but--

- Would you entertain a motion, Mr. Chair, to postpone this item till later in the agenda, so Mr. Umentum has a chance to get back in?

- Well, I will, once we, can we return to, is there anybody else here for this item, first? Anybody else out in the public? All right. I'll take a motion to return to the regular order, and then we can take that motion up.

- Motion to return to regular order.

- Second.

- Motion by Gerlach, second by Scannell, those in favor?
- [Alders] Aye.
- Opposed, motion carried, and Alder Gerlach, you had a motion to hold this item.
- Yes, wherever you think you should place it in the agenda, chair.
- Okay. Why don't we move it down, what do we have coming up here, okay.
- Mr. Chair, if I might suggest, could we just move it to whenever he can connect?
- Yeah, I think that's a good idea, we'll just keep checking, and if we hear him, or see him coming in, we'll take up his item after--
- Thank you.
- Sounds good. That'd be fine, any other discussion on that? Was there a second?
- I was the second.
- Okay, any other discussion? Those in favor?
- [Alders] Aye.
- Okay, that's what we'll do then, we'll move on to number two, Mr. Umentum.
- Hang on! It appears that--
- I think he's in.
- It appears Mr. Umentum is here.
- All right, well! Back on, I'm gonna take a motion to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor.
- Second.
- Scannell and Brunette, those in favor.
- [Alders] Aye.
- Opposed, motion carried, okay, Mr. Umentum, the floor is yours, if you can just give us your name and address, please.
- [Mr. Umentum] I'm Jack E. Umentum, on 1620 Franz Avenue. I've been a resident there for 55 years, and I requested a mini-sewer, so then they, I got Champion,

whatever his name, Kevin come and give me a price, and then he said I need a thousand dollars, so I give him, I sent him a check, and I received my permit, and then two days later, the neighbor wanted, so he come back and give her a price, and he brought the check down there for a thousand, and they sent it back, said you only owe \$530. And I found that out, and then the next neighbor, he did the same thing, and he paid \$530, a couple days later. So I requested I get my, get a refund on the same project. All I got--

- I thank you. Any questions for Mr. Umentum?

- Just, there was just a couple days' difference between you and that first--

- [Mr. Umentum] Yeah, at 1630 they got their permit on the 21st, I got mine on the 15th, but they had to send a check in again to the city, which took a couple of days by mail. So probably two days apart, or three days maybe, for the most.

- Okay, thank you.

- All right, any other questions? Anybody else? Okay, anything else you'd like to add, Mr. Umentum? Otherwise, we'll go back to our committee and discuss this. All right, thank you, Mr. Umentum. The committee will be discussing it now, I'll entertain a motion to--

- Motion to close the floor.

- Second.

- Motion by Scannell, second by Gerlach, close the floor, those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed, motion carried, and what are your wishes, committee?

- Well, Director Grenier, I was just, so it was just basically a few days before, I mean, he just missed it by a couple of days from the city council, or is that how it, is the timeline--

- That's what it sounds like.

- Pardon?

- That is what it sounds like to me.

- Okay. Ah, yeah--

- I have a question.

- Sure, Alder Gerlach. Wait, Scannell, my apologies, Alder Scannell, are you done?

- Well, I was gonna make a motion, but I can wait on that.

- Okay.

- I'll go ahead to Alder Gerlach.

- I have a question for Director Grenier, do you, Mr. Umentum named, he said there were three neighbors, himself and two other neighbors. Do you know who these neighbors are, all three names that he's talking about?

- I do not, they don't, when folks come in to apply for those permits, they don't actually apply for the permits or the connections through the Department of Public Works, that's handled through the Department of Community and Economic Development.

- Okay, what I'm wondering is, were these three all on the list of the 50 you sent us that were scheduled to have the mini-storm water hookup this summer?

- Based off of what I have heard from Mr. Umentum, I would say that they are, they are, they're all on the same mini-storm connection on Franz Avenue.

- So they all received a letter saying, we're ready to do this, we're ready to do this hook up for you, and this is the cost, and Mr. Umentum moved forward and went to do it, and then the council reduced the price after that?

- That definitely appears to be the case.

- Okay, thank you.

- All right, thank you Alder Gerlach. Any other question for staff, or do you have a motion? Any other discussion?

- I got a motion to approve. If it's just a few days, and he was on the same list and everything, I, you know, I see no reason to be too much of a stickler on this for 400-some dollars, it makes much more of a difference to him than to us, so I move, will move to approve.

- Motion by Alder Scannell to approve the request, is there a second to that?

- Second.

- Second by Alder Brunette. Under discussion?

- Yes, I have something to say, please.

- Alder Gerlach, sure, go ahead.

- I would like to know from Director Brunette, I don't know if you know this, but will there be others now coming forward to ask for a refund?

- That is always a possibility.

- I just want to go on record as saying, I did not support the reduction in fees, and I'm really disappointed that this is the way it's transpiring.

- Okay, any other discussion on this item? All right, we have a motion and a second to approve the request, those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed? All right, that does carry. Mr. Umentum, we did approve it. This does go to council for final approval, that is on June 29th. Given that it was unanimous here, it shouldn't be an issue, but it's not official till council gives it the final okay. All right, let us move on to number two. Consideration with possible action on request that, due to the recent notification to the city that the grant proposal to deal with the flooding on Bay Beach Road will not be funded, an alternate source of funding be located to deal with the flooding this year. The county has set aside \$500,000, although there's another comma, so I don't think that was 500 million, I think it's \$500,000 in this budget, to help with this project. There is a high likelihood that this funding may not be available next year. That's Alderperson Dorff, so Alder Dorff, all you.

- Thank you, Mr. Chair. So we've had a problem on this road, it's off of Nicolet Drive, and the homes are below Nicolet Drive, and in the last couple of years with all of the heavy rains, there's a farmer's field across the way, and it all comes flooding down. And so, there have been public meetings on this, and worked with the county and worked with Director Grenier, and the county set aside some money to work on the project, enabling to partner with us, and do a lot more than that, but since then have changed their mind on that. And so, what Director Grenier did, and he can also speak to this, was we were applying for a grant for \$400,000, that we thought we would get. Well, we did not get the grant, and we found that out after the bonding request went in for 2020, so it was after the 2020 request. So I'm just asking, I know now that, if we commit to the project, and even if it's not till next year, that the funding would still be available from the county, as long as we commit to it, they will continue to keep that \$500,000 in their budget. But I also think Director Grenier should speak to this, and then when he's finished, there's someone from the public that would like to speak, and so then I would ask that you would open the floor.

- All right, thank you, Alder Dorff.

- Thank you.

- Director.

- Yes. I did have a conversation with the Public Works Director for Brown County, Mr. Fontecchio, and Mr. Fontecchio did corroborate what Alder Dorff has just told you, that what they, there was a miscommunication when he talked to Alder Dorff earlier. If the city was unsuccessful in obtaining the grant, and was no longer interested in pursuing with the project, then Mr. Fontecchio was gonna redirect that money to another project. The city is still committed to doing this project, but we do not have funds

available this year to complete it, so with that knowledge, Mr. Fontecchio said that the county is definitely committed to rolling that funding over. Now during that conversation, I also explored with the county exactly how far they had gotten with the project before they compiled the documents and sent them to the city. And they indicated that they have a design that works, which is what they've provided to the residents at the last meeting. That design involves a couple of different moving pieces, one of which is relocating a portion of a stream that currently feeds our storm sewer. They have not approached that property owner yet, so no real estate acquisition has been started there. Part of the flooding issue, or the proposed plan to alleviate the flooding issue, involves some work that further down, near the old Eagles Club, that property acquisition has not started yet, nobody's identified whether or not the county is going to actually acquire that property. And more importantly, they indicated that the necessary permitting with the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, nobody started that permitting process yet. So, what that means is, from a very real perspective, we're going to go ahead and again, just restarting these conversations with the county since we found out that we didn't get the grant money, Paul and I are working collectively on this to figure out who's going to take the lead, and it really doesn't matter to me if he wants us to take the lead, and they provide contributing funding, or they take the lead on it, and we provide funding. But this has now come up to the top of the list, so we are going to collectively, between the two departments, staff is gonna get busy on starting that permitting, and beginning those real estate acquisition discussions, because the city is willing to make, at least as far as I'm concerned, and I hope you agree with that, and take the action necessary tonight to allow us to do that, but our hope would be to start that design process, or finish the design process off, begin the permitting and real estate acquisition, and make a commitment on behalf of the city to partner with the county on this, and what we can do is include it in our bond request for 2021, so it'll be a 2021 project.

- All right, thank you, Director. Alder Dorff, you said you had some further comments after that?

- I said that there's a person from the public that wishes to speak, so could you open the floor?

- Certainly.

- Motion--

- I'll entertain a motion, motion by Alder Scannell. Second by Alder Brunette to open the floor, those in favor.

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed, motion carried. And let's see, is there anyone from the public here who wishes to speak to this item?

- Yeah, my name is Martin. Can you hear me?

- [Alders] Yep!

- If I can get your name and address, please, Martin.

- Yeah, Martin Webber, at 3307 Beach Lane.

- Thank you. Go ahead, floor is yours.

- I just want to clarify, Alderman Wery, I think did you say Bay Beach Road initially, when you addressed this? I think you--

- Oh, maybe I did, I'm not, Beach, I could've. It's quite possible.

- It's Beach Lane. It is Beach Lane, not road, it was written wrong, yeah.

- Oh! I see, Beach, okay.

- Yeah, so everybody knows, I'm not sure if people are aware of where Beach Lane is, it runs parallel to Nicolet, just north of the Eagle's Nest Restaurant, and it's right down on the water. And anyway, yeah, this flooding issue, Alderperson Dorff mentioned it's flooding Beach Lane. Well, it's also flooding several portions of Nicolet Drive as well. And what is apparently is happening is, you know, with all the development to the east of Nicolet, it seems to be getting worse over the years. In fact, I believe the county initially addressed this back in 2017, Steve might be able to comment on that, but I thought of Fontecchio from the county said they did a study back in 2017, and nothing was done from there, and then they completed a study again last summer, I believe, that summer or fall, and that's when they addressed redirecting the stream, and other actions on that. The reason I wanted to speak was, I've been following this closely, and I'm somewhat of a spokesperson for the residents in the area. Again, there's been quite a bit of flooding, in fact, last year alone, Beach Lane and several parts of Nicolet flooded four times, and there was significant damage to homes, the homes, you know, homes did get flooded, including mine, and again, it just seems to be getting worse as the years go by. And what prompted my contact with Alderperson Dorff was, I saw the Press Gazette article on the recent, you know, mentioning the borrowing to address streets, parks, you know, parks, shelters, that type of thing. And you know, I'm sitting here, along with all kinds of people along Beach and Nicolet, you know, how do we fit in? And you know, I was disappointed to hear, 'cause Steve, I spoke to you back in early Spring, and you had sounded awfully encouraged about that grant. And you know, unfortunately, that got rejected, and so, like I said, we're just kind of sitting here now, you know, wondering what's gonna happen. And I'm basically pleading to all of you to please get this done, because this is, I mean, you know, and I'm not complaining here. We pay some of the highest taxes in the county, and you know what? The residents here, we signed up for that. But what we didn't sign up for was all this constant flooding that seems to be getting worse. And it's, you know, again, the county has acknowledged that, you know, they're willing to move forward with it, and based on what you're saying, Steve, it doesn't sound like you're 100 percent sure that the city is willing to move forward on it. Is that, am I interpreting that correctly?

- No, I don't think so at all, Marty. What we're asking the committee to do is make a recommendation to the council, it's a little bit of putting the cart before the horse, because we're asking to commit monies for next year, which is a little premature, but the action, should the council and the committee make that recommendation to the council, and then the council adopt that, all but guarantees this will be part of our capitol improvement program when we bring it forward in August.

- Okay, okay. Well, you know, again, I would hope that the committee is hearing me, and it can make that recommendation. Again, people are, one of the homes along here, they flooded all four times, in fact, that family moved. They just, they had it. And you know, nobody should have to move because you know, their home is flooding when it's a situation that can be fixed. You know, it's one thing if you're living along a river, and you know it floods every year, and that can't be controlled. This is something that can be fixed. And you know, myself, along with all the residents, were begging for the city to get this corrected. And you know, again, with it getting worse, you know, and you know, last year, Paul Fontecchio mentioned, you know, he was hoping to get the project maybe started in spring of this year. Well, now we're pushing it up till next year. You know, and then when next year comes, are we gonna get pushed to the following year? Well, you know, we can't wait that long.

- All right, thank you Mr. Webber. Does anyone have a question for Mr. Webber? All right. I don't see any. Is there anyone else from the public who wishes to speak on this item? All right, thank you Mr. Webber. I'll entertain a motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close.

- Motion by Alder Gerlach, second by Scannell to close the floor, all those in favor?

- Aye.

- All right, opposed? Motion carried one to nothin'. What are your wishes?

- Motion to approve. The recommendation.

- Second that.

- All right, there's a motion and a second, I see your hand there, Alder Gerlach. Steve, what is the recommendation so we can just get that officially on the floor, here?

- I think what we would be looking at, I'm gonna take a little bit of liberty with Alder Scannell, that the motion would be to--

- Please do!

- The motion would be to include the county trunk A Beach Lane storm water improvements as part of the 2021 capitol program, and communicate a commitment to pursue the project to Brown County.

- I thought that's what I said, did you hear that come out of my mouth?
- Sounds pretty similar, yeah. And that's fine with you, Alder Brunette?
- Yeah, I'll second that.
- Okay, Alder Gerlach, you had your hand raised?
- I just wanted to ask what the heck we were recommending, and now I understand.
- Well, it's like the federal government, we have to pass it first before we find out what we passed. I don't think we'd get away with that here, though. That's okay. All right, any other discussion on this? Those in favor?
- [Alders] Aye.
- Opposed, motion carried. All right, that passed four-zero. And Mr. Webber, I don't know if you heard earlier, this goes to council, which meets on June 29th. And then I can't imagine it'll be disapproved, but just so you know, it has one more step to go.
- Thank you so much, I very much appreciate it, everybody.
- And then, one quick--
- Yes sir.
- Quick housekeeping item, Chair, both for Mr. Webber and for--
- Go ahead.
- Both for Mr. Webber and for Mr. Umentum, if you want to continue to tune into the meeting and see what's happening, by all means, feel free to stay with us. If you have better things to do, you have the ability to close the window at the top of the screen, and it will ask you, do you want to leave the meeting, and you can do that as well. So if you're interested in what I&S is doing, then by all means, stay with us, we'd love to have you, but don't feel obligated that you have to stay tonight.
- Well put, it is riveting. I mean, this is pretty riveting. All right, on to number three, consideration with possible action to create a draft ordinance and guidelines that would permit the installation of parklets in retail and commercial areas, and this is referred to staff, February 25th, I&S, and Alder Johnson, are we blessed with his presence tonight?
- I am here.
- Oh! Go ahead, Alder Johnson.
- Yeah, I guess first off, thank you to staff. I thoroughly reviewed the ordinance, I do have a few questions, but overall, I really liked what you guys did with it. And so, maybe, I

mean, I think when you look at this particular proposal, for those who have ever done any kind of extensive graveling, particularly in dense, urban or commercial corridors, this is something that you oftentimes see, as a way to extend the patio space of certain facilities, so obviously, you get into those dense areas, and don't have outside spaces where you might be able to create outdoor patio space, I don't think it's any secret that you know, Green Bay has a pretty big deficiency of those opportunities, and so I think that there are probably some businesses throughout our community that would take advantage of this. As I said, I've seen them in other communities, they're quite successful, and I think the ordinance that staff has drafted is very good and perhaps it'd be subject to a few tweaks after I've asked some of my questions and get a better understanding maybe of some things in there, but I like it, I think we ought to do it. Especially in a time of COVID when we need more patio space, for restaurants and other places to spread out.

- All right, thank you, Alder Johnson. Steve, did you have anything to add to that, or--

- No, this is my initial draft of the ordinance. I did review several other communities, a lot of what you find in this ordinance is a combination of some of city of Green Bay's ordinance language, but we borrowed very heavily from Seattle, Richmond, Virginia, and Montpelier, Vermont. Which have long-established programs, so a lot of good information from those communities went into pulling this together.

- Okay. Questions?

- Just from the committee?

- Chairman, if I could just offer up just a point of clarification, In the packet, there was documentation from Minneapolis and LaCrosse, I just want to clarify that I provided those two examples to staff as part of my initial communication, so I'm glad to hear that staff also found some good information from other communities as well.

- All right, thank you.

- [Alder Lefebvre] Alder Wery?

- Yes? Who is that, is that-- Oh, you're breaking up! You're breaking up!

- [Alder Lefebvre] Oh, your favorite person, yeah.

- Go ahead!

- [Alder Lefebvre] I'm glad to see it's just two parking spaces, but is that per block? Per side of the street? I have one concern, I'm sure you're talking about Broadway, which it's, they look nice and everything, I'm not against them, but there's not that many parking spaces there, when you start taking up parking, that's the only thing I have a question about is, there is not a lot of parking there, there is some in behind, but once the restaurants are really open, you're gonna have a lot of people looking for a place to

park, and they're gonna have to park further away. I don't know how many you're requesting on Broadway, or certain areas, we have to look and--

- Let's find out.

- [Alder Lefebvre] You have to be, okay, go ahead.

- Steve, you wanted to, yeah, I don't know if Alder Johnson or Director, either one, can answer that.

- You know, there's no guarantee that anybody's gonna step forward and apply for these, and one of the things that, you know, we can take a look at, and offhand, forgive me, 'cause I'm kind of confusing what I've read in the previous documents, and what our ordinance asks, but what you often times see in other communities is sort of a petition that has to be circulated and signed, demonstrating support for these, and of course, giving up of those parking stalls. Now that said, Alder Lefebvre, and this can make me sometimes the unpopular person in the room with some of the businesses down here, but I frequently work with consultants that visit our downtown from all over the country, and the number one thing that I oftentimes hear from them is how shocked they are by the overwhelming availability of parking in our downtown. We have far more surface lots, not specifically the east side, but particularly in the west side, the surface lot to, you know, business ratio, it certainly favors an abundance of parking.

- And to answer your--

- [Alder Lefebvre] But Alder--

- To answer your question.

- Go ahead, Director.

- Any individual parklet, so an applicant can have a parklet which is up to two parking spaces or two equivalent parking spaces. But multiple parklets could be requested on any given block.

- [Alder Lefebvre] That's what I'm concerned about. We do have a lot of other parking lots, but these are business too, are they gonna allow other people to park there, who want to go to, say, Cavana? But they're not parking in Cavana's back lot, it's very small. I'm just concerned about the parking, I think that's just something that we should be aware of, and when we maybe do allow some of these, we make sure that we have enough parking, because I've gone there and I had to park, this is last year, or you know, when Cavana was open, not with this virus thing going on, I had to park a ways away, 'cause there was no parking. So I just want to bring that up, just so that we're aware of it, okay? I'm not against it, I think it's neat, but I just want you to be aware of that. Thank you.

- All right. Thank you. Alder Gerlach?

- I would just like to say, it's been a long evening already. And this is, I want to tell Alder Johnson, that this is one of the most exciting things I have seen in a long time, I have enjoyed reading, of course, I'm a sucker for good marketing material anyway, but I have enjoyed reading these handbooks about parklets, I think this is a wonderful idea, and as he said, you know, people have to want to do it, so it's not like we're going to pass this, and all at once we're going to have 30 parklets in downtown. It will grow slowly and organically, but I think it's a positive, exciting idea. I hear people talk about the renewed vibrancy of our downtown, and I think this just adds to it. I think the ordinance is very well written, and I just, I'm very much in favor of this, I love it, and it's something we really need at eight o'clock tonight.

- Is that, do I hear a motion, perhaps, Alder Gerlach?

- Sure, I move that we approve this!

- Second.

- All right. Motion by Alder Gerlach, second by Alder Scannell, do we have any other discussion on this?

- Mr. Chairman?

- Sure, go ahead.

- As I mentioned in my opening comments, I did have questions for staff, if I could.

- Go ahead.

- Director Grenier, one of the things that's outlined in here, of course, is the application time frame. And I know that that is something that I have seen in some communities, but not others. Could you maybe walk me through perhaps why that was included in our particular ordinance, the advantages of doing that?

- The advantages of doing it are simply so that staff has adequate time to dedicate to reviewing of these. I don't think it's necessarily to be exclusive, that if you don't apply during that time, that you would be denied the parklet. I think it, the intent at least, is a very strong preference to apply during those times, because that would allow us to, again, to dedicate the time, set that time aside, for reviewing of these, and it would be reviewing of them immediately prior to or at the very beginning of the parklet season, so it would be getting those in right before they're needed.

- Okay. And that's kind of what I, what my hunch was, and maybe one thing. I can understand-- Like, in a city, we're seeing a high volume of applications, you know, the necessity to kind of streamline some of this, I just, I wonder if Green Bay would have, you know, that level of volume, or perhaps we might be able to lift that restriction and just accept these on a rolling basis. Would you have opposition to that?

- I wouldn't have opposition, again, I will tell you it's a very strong preference, and although you think it's not a heavy burden, any additional requirements that you're placing on DPW staff now, is a heavy burden. We are taxed out. So even if we're only reviewing five of these, that's pulling staff, more than likely me, off of what other work I'm tasked with doing to get these done, so again, I was trying to find a nice, little hole where we could make sure that we had the time to dedicate to it, because one of the things that I am concerned with is being able to serve these things in a timely manner, and I don't want to put myself into a situation where we're subject to criticism that we submitted it, and it's been three weeks, and we haven't heard anything.

- Mm-hmm. Yeah, and I can appreciate why the dates are there, and I don't necessarily have any overwhelming objection to it. My fear, of course, is just somebody doesn't know that that window is even a-- A thing, even probably doesn't study our ordinances. And they, you know, realize that this is a possibility, and maybe it's June, and now they're kind of forced to wait until next year, before they could apply, and that's my only reservation. I just wonder if there might be some way that we can dust up this language to maybe leave a window open for things, where maybe the first priority is that we have these windows, but maybe we leave ourselves a-- An option to accept an application after the fact, if it's, again, if it's not gonna put too much of a burden on staff, where it becomes abused. And I'm not quite sure what that wording would look like, but perhaps we can connect after the committee meeting and work on that before the next council meeting?

- Priority consideration shall be given to applications submitted within the time frame.

- Looks like you got it figured out already.

- And one of the things I did want to mention to you all, there is, the difference between the information that you-- Provided to us in the ordinance itself, by design, I'm trying to keep the ordinance relatively Spartan and only addressing what's immediately necessary. A lot of, you had referenced getting the... Getting the, I don't want to say the approval, 'cause it's not approval. The word that I'm looking for, consensus from neighbors, okay. I consider that to be the form of the application, and by design, we want to keep that form a little fluid, and able to be adjusted at staff level, it really doesn't belong as ordinance language, 'cause it's too cumbersome to change if we find we need to. The intent is to get an ordinance approved at some point, and then once we have the ordinance, develop similar documents to those which you've provided to us from Minneapolis and Lacrosse, and those become kind of like the application packet, and the public information that goes out, that walks people through the various processes, and in that document, we could also indicate a very strong preference, preferential priority consideration will be given to applications which are submitted in the assigned period, if you apply outside of that time period, it will be reviewed, but we can't give you the same-- response timeline.

- Yeah, I think that's acceptable for me, so. And then, I just wanted to quickly touch on the fee, and the fee basically being the covered meter, the fee. Do you know what that rate is right now?

- Actually, that fee right now is \$10, so per stall, in a parklet, would wind up being \$1300 for the year.

- Okay, and that's one that I would like to revisit, and I just, because I didn't know what that fee was, and have a chance to ask you before this meeting, I think I'll wrap my brain around that, before the council meeting, but I'm more inclined to want to maybe encourage a flat permit fee that, because \$1300 to me is a pretty lofty amount. And I appreciate the concern might be that we're But if you were to look at Broadway, for example, there's two hour parking, there's no parking revenue being generated in those stalls. If you're on the east side, where there are some meters, you know, I don't think it's necessarily a guarantee that we'll lose that much money, it could just be that that car, instead of parking in that stall, they park in the stall in front of it. And so, I'd like to maybe at least have a little bit more conversation around that, I don't have an answer right now, But I think I'd like to make that a little bit more financially attainable, and I'll come forward at council meeting with maybe an alternative proposal on that. And then, maybe the last question, or not question, but maybe I would offer this up, Director Grenier, is a lot of these other cities have a guide with the application and some other things, I don't know if your department intends to do that, but certainly I would offer up personally to work with the staff here and on Broadway, as well as staff at downtown Green Bay to maybe, you know, help put some guidelines in for that for your review, just as an offer, I guess, to maybe help take some of that workload off your plate, if in fact you intended to create something like that.

- That's exactly what I was talking about, was a guide or, you know, something to go along with the educational information, yes, that is the intent. Again, we're looking to get the ordinance to create the framework, and then the guide kind of fills in the gaps, and makes it more user-friendly.

- Perfect, okay, thank you.

- Thank you, Alder Johnson. We do have a motion and a second on the floor, is the committee prepared to send this to council, with some potential changes coming, or what are your wishes? You just want to vote on it?

- [Alder Scannell] Let's vote.

- All right. Those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed? Motion carried, all right, that'll go to council, and Alder Johnson, we know you might have some potential tweaks coming. And number four, consideration with possible action requesting Department of Public Works look into the storm water sewer backup, starting from East Shore Circle to California/East Shore Drive. Alder Lefebvre, are you still with us?

- [Alder Lefebvre] Yes I am, and you're lucky I got in. You know, I went on Saturday evening, and printed my stuff off the packet, I went, I kept dialing the number, and it

kept telling me the meeting hasn't started, so I went back into the city site, it's a different code! Not happy about that!

- [Alder Scannell] Can we speak to business, please?

- [Alder Lefebvre] Yes, I just want to tell you there's a problem with that, okay. So you're glad that I got in, finally, but okay, with this here, when we had the flooding, East Shore Circle completely floods, it does not drain, it comes up the storm sewer. My neighbor, he's right on the corner there, the little house, he has to take it down, because both times, the storm sewer came up, so much water went into his basement twice, the property is gonna be condemned. Cannot rent it out, people had to leave. Then down, the person who lives on California, he mentioned that his storm drain, it came up, and his neighbors' did not, it drained. So he wanted to know what was going on, so I wanted to know if Steve, have they looked at the storm drains here? What's going on, and what can be done to help that?

- What I can tell you is, we have started the investigation, we have not come up with the report yet.

- [Alder Lefebvre] Okay. Okay, that's fine. Just so that we get something to see what's happening, I just wanted to bring it forward, and make sure everyone was aware, okay.

- Thank you, Alder Lefebvre. Director, is that something you think you'll be ready for next meeting, or should we refer this to you, bring it back when you're ready, or?

- Well, I think a refer to staff would be the appropriate, the appropriate action. I will tell you, Director Ditchike and I, I'm gonna speak on his behalf right now. I don't think the two of us were paying as close attention as the other directors in realizing that we only had one council meeting this month, so I don't know that we're going to have enough information for that meeting on the, that's currently planned for the 24th. My strong presumption is, we will next meet some time in July, and we will definitely have a report ready for that time.

- All right, appreciate it. Motion by Alder Scannell to refer this to staff.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Brunette, any discussion on that one? Those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed, motion carried.

- [Alder Lefebvre] Thank you.

- Yep. All right, number five. Consideration with possible action on behalf of Tina Bunker to have the city of Green Bay own and maintain the storm water management ponds as part of the Grand View subdivision, held from March 25th, I&S. Director,

maybe you can give us a brief, a bit, 'cause I know Alder Gerlach, I don't know if you followed this or not, I know you did come into some of our meetings over the winter, so I'm not sure if you followed this one.

- This is one of them that I actually remember, and I remember Tina Bunker speaking, and I thought this was my district, but I found out it's not, so I will just listen. But I did do a little investigation.

- Okay. Director.

- Okay. The initial development phase of the Grand View, I believe it's called Grand View Estates, subdivision, involves the construction of three storm water management ponds on the property. I believe that when we last discussed this, the developer was not only asking for the city to own and maintain those ponds, once they had been constructed, and dedicate, a request to dedicate those ponds over to the city, which we routinely do on regional ponds, but I believe that the request was more so along the line to have the city act as the financing agent, and we would fund the construction of those ponds, and then the request was to assess those costs back on the contributing acreage, when those properties were developed. So the city would actually act as the bank for that. Subsequent to that, we were in conversation with developers who are looking at other development in the nearby area, and we had somebody approach us, wanting to build a storm water management pond on the west side of Erie, somewhat north of where the Grand View subdivision is going in, so we strongly suggested that that developer get in contact with Ms. Bunker and see if they could not arrange some way to make a larger regional storm water management pond that would service everybody in that location. I am not aware as to whether or not those conversations ever happened, so when Alder Wery approached and asked me to have this put back on the agenda, we completed the work that we had started out to look at what would it entail, if the city were to construct and assess those ponds back. So what we did was, we looked at all, well, we actually looked at the first two ponds, what we call the Bigelow Pond and the West Pond, because that would be the first phase of development, and both of those ponds would be needed in order to get that first phase of development in. So we combined the two ponds, even though they're separate physical entities, they're both needed at the same time, so it would be like a phase one construction project, and the total cost for both of those ponds is roughly 1.5 million dollars. Those ponds would take in the surface water from about 32.5 acres of land, which, not so coincidentally, comes in at just under 1.5 million square feet, so if we were to assess that back, that would be at the cost of \$1.06 per square foot. Now, what we would be proposing if something like this were to go through, again, like other developments, the but for test. But for this development, the infrastructure is not necessary, so as a cost of planning the development, we would look to assess the developer the cost of the pond, or the \$1.06 per square foot on the square footage of the pond itself, and the right of way, because again, that road would not be necessary except for this pond going in. Now that will substantially cut the amount that that developer would be responsible for. In concept, this sounds like a great approach, the one thing I will tell you is, we do not have this budgeted for, it's not part of our bond request for 2020, and the storm water supported borrowing, I talked to several of the alders about the impact that the storm water utility

has taken for various reasons over the past couple of years, especially this year. This is not something that the storm water utility could support this year.

- Perfect, thank you, Steve. And is there anyone here from the public wishing to speak?

- I know that there is, and I'd like to move to open the floor.

- Second.

- Okay. Motion by Alder Gerlach to open the floor, second by Alder Brunette, those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed, motion carried. Anyone from the public here, just if you could give me your name and address?

- [Tina] Hey, can you hear me? How do I do that?

- Perfect, yeah, we can hear you.

- [Tina] Tina Bunker, 825 South Huron Road, Suite G, Green Bay, 54311.

- Okay, all yours.

- I guess, as I've stated at the last three meetings, I was requesting that these ponds be handled as regional ponds, since there are multiple different property owners, whose water will funnel into these ponds. In addition, I think there's some other property owners outside of the Grand View Place that will filter into it, and it's impossible for the developer to act like a utility to assess back to different property owners. And I do have a question with Director Grenier, if the 1.5 million was for the pond directly north of the south branch of Baird Creek?

- Again, what we've referred to as the Bigelow Pond, which is basically immediately north of, is that Oak View? Oak Park Place, and then the westerly of the two interior ponds, that's just for those two, so that, anything draining to those two ponds is 100 percent on the property that you're, the group you represent currently control. There is no off-site, there is no off-site branch coming in.

- [Tina] There, Steve, there's two different property owners that go into those two ponds. It's not just, there are two separate property owners in Grand View Place. There's not just one owner of Grand View Place, there's two separate property owners, two different entity companies where the members are not the same.

- I understand that, it was my understanding that all of this water was coming from one of those two properties.

- [Tina] No. That is incorrect.

- Does that change the calculations, Steve?
- I don't know. This 2.17 acres here, that 1.92, and that 9.29. That's on the second property. So yes, there are two.
- Does that then change the numbers you presented us?
- It does not. The numbers--
- Okay.
- The numbers don't change.
- Okay.
- [Tina] What, but the cost seems terribly high for 32 acres, what do you, is there any reason in particular that it is so high for two ponds?
- We took the numbers from recently let pond construction that we have done. This is gonna be competitively, if something like this were to go through, the council and the committee would authorize this to happen, it's gonna go through public bidding, the way that all of our projects do, so we use recent projects to develop these cost estimates.
- [Tina] So these estimates aren't site specific?
- Nothing would be site specific until it's bid.
- [Tina] Sure.
- On any project.
- [Tina] Okay.
- This is being, the estimate, the development of the estimates follows the same practice that we do for all projects, including our own.
- [Tina] Okay, I'm just wondering, the price seemed high, so my question was, is there something specific to-- that it was so high?
- No, this is normal for pond construction.
- Tina, are you still with us?
- [Tina] Again, my, oops.
- Go ahead, Ms. Bunker.

- [Tina] Again, my request is to be treated how the developments that I have worked with the city in the past have been treated, and in previous meetings, I know there's different people here, I have, I've requested roads to be constructed by the city of Green Bay, they have also taken care of any regional pond necessary, and the examples go back to Royal Woods Estates, which occurred in the year 2000, 2001, and 2002, there were two phases. The ponds were isolated specifically regionally, but primarily for our two subdivision, Woodside Heights incorporated a larger area, that was in roughly 2003. And then in 2013-14, Largo Bridge Estates was also done the same way, where the city was doing the roads, and therefore, put a regional pond in, and assessed back, for not just this property, but for multiple phases of a particular subdivision. So my precedents with the city of Green Bay is that when the city is putting the roads in, they will also do regional storm facilities, and with multiple property owners with water going into these storm facilities, I feel it's most appropriate that a regional pond is done, and utility is used to do a fair cost sharing assessment.

- And as we've tried to explain multiple times in the past, McCall, or you... Woodside Heights, the Sitka Superior Pond was put in because Huron Road needed to be drained. That pond was not developed for the use, or for the developers' use. It was developed because we needed a storm water management facility for the roadway. It was then, your group was allowed to buy into that pond, but it was not built for your subdivision. Royal Woods Estates was constructed because there were concerns with significant erosion on Baird Creek, and the Parks Department and the Baird Creek Preservation Foundation wanted that storm water facility put in there to attenuate that flow to cut down on the, to eliminate the erosion. Again, you are allowed to buy into that pond. Lastly, Largo Ridge, Brown County, the Brown County Farm Pond Project was the reason that pond was built, and you were, again, allowed to buy in. None of those three were constructed for your use, you were allowed to adapt into them after they were built for something else.

- [Tina] I would disagree with the first and third example, those were done for our projects.

- Well, the information that I gave you came directly from my utilities manager, who was involved with the design and construction of those ponds, so I would stake our position on what we have.

- Ms. Bunker, when do you expect development to proceed on this part of the property? Do you have any--

- [Tina] Well, if we can't get, I mean, we aren't a utility, we can't... I'll be putting it back to different people, so should this not go through, we won't be doing anything. If it does go through, then I will look to attempt to, you know, start construction on this. There's certainly demand out there. Something to keep in mind is affordable housing is of utmost importance, even through this pandemic, we've seen prices skyrocket, because of limited supply. The Grand View Place is such that it will allow for smaller lot sizes, as well as smaller footprints, and in conjunction, smaller asking prices for the homes.

- Were you, Ms. Bunker, aware at all of Director Grenier had mentioned another storm water taking place near you? Did they reach out at all?

- [Tina] No one has reached out to me at all, no.

- There might be some--

- [Tina] I did talk with my, I did talk with my surveyor last week, and they said that they received an interesting call from the city of Green Bay, where they started discussing that, but no one has, asking questions about it, but no one has reached out to me at all.

- Okay. Do you mind taking a couple questions?

- [Tina] Sure!

- Okay, Alder Gerlach?

- My question is actually for Director Grenier, but I'd like to ask it while the floor is open, in case Ms. Bunker wants to respond. Alder Grenier, and again, I'm doing my best to understand all this kind of stuff, these engineering things. You talked about, with one of these three that Ms. Bunker had mentioned, that there was a road, Huron Road had to be drained, and that's why the pond was built. For another one, you said that there was erosion to Baird Creek Park or something like that? And that had to be built. And then the third one, Largo Ridge Estates, why did that one have to be built? Oh, that was a county thing.

- The county was going to develop the Brown County Farm property.

- Okay. So now my question to you is, for this Grand View Place that Ms. Bunker is interested in now, is there anything that the city needs to be doing there? Do you need to be creating any roads or draining anything? Is there any need for the city to invest this 1.5 million dollars?

- Not unless any development goes in there.

- So what comes first? The chicken or the egg, I don't get it.

- Well, the other thing that, it is not uncommon. It was not uncommon. Okay, back before we started doing developers' agreements for subdivisions, the traditional way, what we still refer to as our option one, is that the city develops the plans, the city constructs the infrastructure, and then the city levies the assessment. Okay, that option has always been available. The issue is, what's been requested by Ms. Bunker of the city is to build that infrastructure, but defer the assessments. We cannot turn, normally what we do is, we go out there, and we build everything, and then we would levy the assessments, and they are due net 30, 30 days after the invoice shows up, you have to pay.

- Right.

- Okay? That's not what this group wants. They want us to hold those costs. We would incur all the holding costs, and then, whoever purchases those lots would get assessed at such time that they purchase it. So the city would be acting as a bank.

- Right, and I understand that this was proposed some time in the past, and it was found that this was not a workable thing, and that the city would not move in this direction, correct?

- That is correct.

- Okay, so that the issue here is that Ms. Bunker would like you to do the work, and not receive, and the city would invest the money, and it would not be paid back for possibly up to four or five years.

- Whenever the lots sell.

- Okay, thank you.

- Thank you-- Ms. Bunker, any reply to that, or comments?

- [Tina] I believe what has happened in the past is not when necessarily the lots sell, as with all of the subdivisions that we've done with a regional pond, when the subdivision is platted, it is part of the platting fee, so it's not necessarily when a property would sell, it's when the property is platted, and as a developer, unfortunately, when you have multiple, you know, these are essentially regional ponds. There's multiple large areas with multiple property owners going into it, I realize, you know, it's primarily for one particular subdivision, but the city also doesn't have the history of someone trying to come in in such a large area as well. I do believe this is a different situation, in terms of the size, and should seriously be considered because the developer is not a utility to be able to assess that properly. That is what a utility is out there for.

- Thank you. Any questions from the committee? Any other committee members? All right, anything else, Ms. Bunker? Otherwise, we'll return to regular order, and start discussing it.

- [Tina] Not that I can think of, thank you for your time.

- Move to return to regular order.

- Second.

- All right, motion by Alder Gerlach, second by Alder Scannell to return to regular order, those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Those opposed, motion carried. All right, what are your wishes, any questions for the Director? Director, I know you had stated there is no, there are no funds specifically, especially this year, but even going forward, I know we had set out a multi-year, and a calendar of projects. Is that the case, I mean?

- That is correct.

- I'm just repeating what, you know, okay. Have we done this for any other project, where it was solely for the developer? I know you had given the examples where we did it for a different project, and allowed people to kind of piggyback on it.

- As Ms. Bunker indicated, historically, when the property has been platted, that's when those fees are due, and we wouldn't put the infrastructure in until there was a plat recorded. So have we ever built a facility for a subdivision? I believe that has been done, but it has been immediately assessed against that subdivision. We have never done a project where we have acted as the bank.

- Okay, and that's, that should clarify that, okay. So committee, what are your wishes? Are there any questions, here?

- Hi, Alder Wery.

- Alder Brunette.

- Thank you for putting this on the agenda, I know we had, you know, held times the previous term. I honestly--

- It was kind of a clean, yeah.

- Yeah, and I honestly, it's hard, I mean, the public infrastructure, the costs are so high, and although we want to, you know, encourage development and find a way to help promote development throughout our city, if our Public Works Director is kind of, has some level of a disagreement with the developer, I don't know a whole lot of what we can do to meet in the middle, so I would make a motion to receive and place on file, I can't think of any other way we could, you know, work through this.

- There's a motion by Alder Brunette, to receive and place on file. Is there a second to that?

- Second.

- Second by, second by Alder Scannell. Under discussion, Alder Gerlach?

- I just want to be, want to be very clear, here, that I support a receive and place on file. But I don't support it with the language that the Director has a conflict with the developer. That is not my reason for not proceeding with this. The reason is that, I don't want to put the city in a position of being a banker. So I don't think it's fair to put that

on Alder Grenier and say, if he has a conflict with the developer, this is an issue of finances. Just wanted to clear--

- That's right, and correct me if I'm wrong, Alder Brunette, I believe your motion was just to receive and place on file, and then the rest was commentary, not the motion?

- And I just want to make sure I add to the comment.

- No, that's all right! Perfect--

- If I could, Chairman Wery, to clarify, I have ethical, that's a good point from Alder Gerlach, I understand that, it wasn't, I didn't mean it in a negative way, it's just, I have concerns about the financial component as well, and I think that that's the, I guess the issue at hand is, my understanding of the situation and costs involved, that's the conflict, so that's a good point, Alder Gerlach, thank you.

- Thank you. Thanks.

- All right, any other discussion? And I would just weigh in as well that, you know, in a perfect world, I think we would do everything right away for every developer, and hold off on collecting. But as we heard, and we know from being on the council and all from the last few years, we're tight on money, it's just, we are, and we might want to do it, there's nowhere to take it from, that's the other problem. So there's two problems, one is, you know, should we do it, and the other one's, we just can't afford it. So I would urge Ms. Bunker, please reach out to staff or staff would talk to Ms. Bunker, and then give the name of that person who's developing their own, and see if they can work together, maybe that's gonna help solve this problem, I think, I'm hoping. But I agree, I think this is right now, the only way that we can go, so. Those in favor of the motion to receive and place on file?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed? Motion carries, all right, that did pass. And as I mentioned before, everything goes to city council on June 29th. And we will move on to item number six. Oh, it's a big, long one. Consideration with possible action to study and report out on the feasibility of utilizing the even-odd residential address overnight parking scenario, as is done in Milwaukee, in order to relieve the parking problems at residences with limited parking spaces, with Department of Public Works staff creating draft ordinances that allow even-odd overnight on-street parking during summer months, and/or year-round and present at the January eighth, 2020 Improvement and Services, tabled February fourth. Mark, I hope you didn't write that stream of consciousness sentence. He's on mute. Yeah, we'll say it was him. Okay, Alder Steuer, if you want to um-mute, you can have the floor.

- Well, actually, I think, and I'm gonna defer, I see Attorney Chavez is on the line with us. From a procedural standpoint, I think somebody needs to take a motion, or have a motion to take this off the table for discussion.

- Oh, you're right, we did table it, you're right.
- Motion to remove--
- Is there a motion to--
- On table.
- Oh, we have a motion!
- Motion by Alderman Scannell to take off the table, is there a second?
- Second!
- Seconded by Alder Gerlach, all right. Discussion? Those in favor?
- [Alders] Aye.
- Opposed? Motion carried, it's untabled! We are untabled. So go ahead, Alder Steuer.
- Thank you so much, I'm untabled, I've never been called that before, but I'll take it. Well--
- Unstable, not untabled! Okay.
- All right, we're all getting punchy. I'll try to--
- Yes, I figured.
- Hopefully we'll get through this somewhat quickly, I wasn't expecting to be here this long. However, we've brought this up a number of times in the past, I'll give you a brief backdrop of history, and you probably heard this before, but I was raised in Milwaukee, and there used to be, well, there still is even-odd parking available for citizens or people that visit. Last year, they raised 4.8 million dollars in revenue, and Green Bay is about one-sixth the size of Milwaukee. My calculations would be roughly \$800,000 in revenue generation. Let me go through a couple things here. You know, the parking utility, and correct me, Director, per lot as well, parking utility has city lot revenue, citation revenue, parking meter revenue, and parking ramp revenue. I'm just wondering if there's any other, any other revenue that comes forward for the parking utility besides those four items. I was thinking of another one with this even-odd permit, that it would be another source of revenue. So is that, does that, am I correct in that assessment? You're on mute. He's muted.
- Think you just--
- Can you hear me now?
- Yeah.

- Perfect, yes, yes we can!

- Yeah, Alder Steuer, those are the four types of revenue, you know, the rental parking, on-street metered parking, citations, and hourly parking in parking lots, correct.

- Okay. I'm just gonna go through a list of things here, and I, this is more or less just my observations and some of the things that I've felt over time. Last year, I canvassed 18 different communities around Wisconsin, and there were 10 of these cities, I'll mention them, Wausau, Superior, Racine, Milwaukee, Middleton, Madison, Lacrosse, Kenosha, Eau Claire, and Burlington, that had some sort of even-odd parking. Seven of them were null, that's Green Bay, Appleton, Manitowoc, Neenah, Oshkosh, Sheboygan, and Steven's Point. So, you know, it's a mixed bag. There are some that like it, some that don't, it works for some communities, it doesn't work for others. I've got a number of folks that live in smaller homes on the far east side of my district, and Alder Scannell has some, Alder Johnson has some, you know, Alder Gerlach might have a couple. And there's a few others, but anyhow, It's a one ticket parking ticket, which is what, \$34 now, I believe? My thought is, if you have the cost of that for one permit for even-odd parking, that would save a lot of headache for folks, but it would also, it could help offset any loss of citation monies that would be brought forward. Like I said, Milwaukee raised four and a half, 4.8 million dollars on this, Green Bay could stand to earn up to \$800,000 if the math is done correctly. You know, my feeling, you know, I know that some cities have it so that you can, wintertime is taboo, other areas, they do have wintertime open. There's a lot of, lot of things that we'd have to look at from different communities. My feeling is that the even-odd designations would allow emergency vehicles and DPW trucks to pass, because you'd only have parking on one side. Presently, we have six parking events per year, and if I, if you can correct me on this, but my feeling is that each of those events can be up to two weeks, and my math says that there would be 12 weeks total, if you took 18, or if you took 14 weeks for winter, that would be 26 weeks, or one half a year, the other half of the year would be wide open. So there are a lot of folks that call me constantly and say, where do I park? I've got a one stall garage, I've got a short driveway, and I've got two teenagers with cars. If you look at the map in the packet, you can see that, you know, a lot of the requests are between I-41 in the west and Bader Street on the east. I realize when you work on an ordinance, you're looking at a city-wide type of thing, but you know, you can look at the intensity of use, or need between those areas, and I don't know if you could even do a partial ordinance that way, I would think that it's either all or nothing. Anyway, my feeling too is that we could try it for a year, if it doesn't work, we can look at it next year. That's about it all for now, I just wanted to bring those points out, and I realize, you know, all the directors, Pirlot and Grenier, have put in a lot of information into this as well. And I know they're getting tired of it a little bit, but like I said, it was tabled because of the election, the election's over, now it's time to look at it, one way or the other. So I rest my case for now.

- Thank you, Alder Steuer. I don't think anyone is with the public here for this one, well, committee, what are your wishes, any discussion, or Director Grenier, do you have anything to add to this one? Or Director Pirlot?

- No, one of the things that Operations Manager Pirlot and I have been talking about is the demand that this type of a program, because I'm trying, I'm still struggling to figure out what we're trying to solve, here. Tonight's conversation from Alder Steuer looks like we're trying to develop a new revenue source. One of the things that I talked to Operations Manager Pirlot about was, given the fact that we've had this pandemic that we've been dealing with, the same for at home shelter orders, and you know, elementary, secondary, and post-secondary schools all closing across the state, we probably have a higher density of people at home on a more full-time basis than we've had in, likely in my lifetime. So we did go back and take a look, and we don't have an increase in the amount of requests we've entertained for on-street parking. The ones that we have have been requesting longer terms, which we have granted, given the pandemic. So instead of limiting folks to a two week, we have continued to have them, we've asked them to continue checking in with us, assuming that the need still exists, and given the pandemic, we have granted those exemptions. But we're really not seeing a high demand for this, outside of a few locations, or you know, again, we identified about 10,000 requests for a year, but given the fact that there's 34,000 residences in the city, and 365 days, there's over 12 million opportunities for somebody to request this. Not all 10,000 of those requests were for the full two week duration, in fact, many of them are for a single night. So from parking's perspective, we're not seeing that the demand is really there, and we think that the current program has enough flexibility built into it to address those concerns that may not be handled, we-- Might, and my tenure as Assistant Director before that, where folks have come in and petitioned in front of the committee to state that the six occurrences per year are not enough, and if they provide justification to the committee, the committee has granted more than the six that are provided for by ordinance, so again, I'm still trying to figure out what we're trying to do with this, because parking is not necessarily seeing the need for it.

- Can I just add one thing? You know, Director Grenier, I think when people come in to request, you know, these, I mean, you could have any number, any number of folks coming in and asking for these extensions, it's a lot of time and effort and that type of thing. To me, it would be nice to have, like I said, you have that parking policy in place, I understand that. And it sounds like you have some flexibility. Like I said, I'm just, I'm listening to some of the citizens that, in fact, several dropped out, because the meeting, this meeting went way too long, the previous meeting, but they left, so they're not here to speak. I'm, you know, I've gone over to their homes, I've seen the issues, and granted, you know, you'd have to look at every house in the city and say, okay, well, they have a need-- They have a need, they don't have a need, so I don't know, I, you know, I would think that it would take many, it would take a long time to handle every request that would come forward, 'cause I think that might happen. And I don't know if that's a problem for your office or not.

- Alder Steuer.

- Yes.

- What would be your preference for an ordinance change?

- Well--

- Even-odd, year round? What was your, what is your--

- You know, like I said, I... Do I have skin in the game? Yes and no, I mean, I'm representing some citizens, you know, I can sleep well tonight, regardless of what happens. I think, you know, part of the reason it was delayed, or tabled is because one of the alders requested that we wait till the election was over, 'cause we had a new council. And I respected that, so it is a little tiring to bring it back several times, but I'm looking for, you know, like I said, there were 10, there's 10 communities that have it, you know, around the state. Seven of 'em that I have checked out don't have it. So I guess the bottom line is, if you feel like Green Bay doesn't need it, you know, I need to know that, and if that outweighs what the citizens would like, and granted, the citizens aren't here right now to talk about it, but I've had a number of requests. I could ask some of the other alders, too, that have densely parked areas, or smaller areas, like Alder Scannell, you know, if you've had many issues that way, and if I find that the documentation or the talk is such that we don't need it, so be it. I don't know if I can really, I don't know if I really answered the question. I probably--

- Kind of went around about a little bit. If I could, if I could, alder-- You prefer an even-odd over both sides?

- Yeah, and even-odd. I would prefer even-odd.

- And then, do you prefer year round, or do you prefer spring to fall?

- Well, I had, in a lot of places, do the eight months, you know, and of course that's an issue in wintertime, like, where do these folks park, you know? They have to find a church or some other area to go, but I would think initially, I would look at the eight months, you know, it'd be November through March, would that be five months? You know, it depends. Every community has a little bit different. Some go from December through March, some, I would say December first through March 31st would be--

- And you said for a fee? You said a \$32 fee?

- Some people say it should be free, but I think for the cost of one parking ticket, you know, I would be, I'd be willing to say some people would rather pay that than they would pay six or seven parking tickets around the year.

- I just want to kind of get some meat on the table for people to chew on a little bit, so it sounds like you wanted even-odd parking, eight months out of the year, with a \$32 fee.

- That sounds good.

- Okay. I'm just throwing it out there for the committee. Alder Gerlach, go ahead.

- So I have read these statistics that DPW provided, and it says here that the actual data identifies that only eight tenths of one percent of city residences request overnight street parking. And that concluding at a five percent overnight on street parking request

rate, the current overnight on street parking program is very manageable by parking division without any modifications, so I'm, you know, I do love Alder Steuer, but I don't, I just don't see the need here.

- Thank you, I appreciate it.

- But I do also want to ask you, we talked about this on the phone a couple days ago, and you didn't mention anything about revenue, I want to hear about that, I didn't--

- You know, I mean, that's open ended. I mean, for me, it was like, I've talked to, some of the citizens that I've talked to said, look, we're more than willing to pay, you know, for one, instead of one parking ticket, we would pay for a placard for a car. Or we're more than willing to do that. You know, and there's a couple other folks that said, you know, how about making it free, 'cause we already have a number of fees and taxes in this city already, I was just looking at, you know, if I had my choice, I would say, you know what? For \$32 for a year for a car, your car can be parked even-odd by your house, to take the pressure off the house. I would say that's a worthwhile investment. So I'm gonna stick with that. I'm gonna stick with that.

- Alder Gerlach, anything, anything to follow up, okay. Alder Brunette, Alder Scannell? Any other comments, or motions? Alder Scannell?

- I love Alder Steuer too.

- Do you?

- I just want to say that this really hasn't been too big of an issue, I haven't had too many complaints. There was one time on the east side there, that-- There was just some construction I think, there was an issue, but that got all worked out. Everything seemed to get worked out, there were problems that pop up here and there, but there always was a solution about being able to find a place to park, other than on the street. And so this really hasn't been too big of an issue. I haven't had a lot of complaints, or a lot of people pushing me on this.

- All right, well, I appreciate that, I just needed to know, okay, well, go ahead.

- All right, thanks, alder. Alder Brunette, any comments, or motions from anyone?

- [Alder Lefabvre] This is Alder Lefabvre.

- Alder Lefabvre. Go ahead.

- [Alder Lefabvre] I think this is needed. You know, in my district, it's an older district, where people don't have a lot of space in the driveways, they don't have double stall garages. There are a lot of people, I've had a couple people requesting something, because they find it difficult. You know, right now, people, it used to be there was the husband worked, and the wife stayed home, and the kids didn't have a car. Now, it seems like some families, you can have three, four cars, because kids work too, and they

have different times that they work, they work part time, it can be a problem in these older areas, where people, the newer areas where they have bigger lots, they have double stall garages, they have bigger driveways. So I like the idea, and I've had, like I said, I had a couple people contact me, issue of parking. And I know there are more people that have this going on in my district, 'cause I do see people with their driveways full of cars, trying to getting them in. So I think that it is an issue. Thank you.

- All right, thank you, alder.

- [Alder Lefebvre] Oh, and I also love Mark too.

- There you go!

- [Alder Lefebvre] I love that!

- I waited four hours for that. Wow, I feel good.

- He's okay. Well, I don't see a motion on it. Personally, you know, I don't mind trying it. I'm glad you brought this forward, Mark, I think for those of us who've been on here a long time, this is probably the fourth time we've seen it, I don't know, third or fourth time. I don't mind trying an even-odd, however we configure it, and see how it goes, but if the council's not up for it. It's not, so far they haven't been, but I'm fine with trying it, so. But that being said, is there a motion? Any other-- I think that the council, at some point--

- I believe Director Grenier wanted to say something.

- Just one--

- Okay. Sorry, I'm on my phone, so I have to keep flipping screens.

- That's okay!

- Go ahead, Steve.

- Just so that we're talking apples to apples, here. If we're talking about \$800,000 worth of revenue generation at \$32 per permit, that's 25,000 permits we're gonna have to sell to get that. So just so we're talk-- Just have a feel for what the scope of this is.

- When I did the math, it was more or less just dividing up what Milwaukee is, versus Green Bay, but you're right, it could be apples and oranges, but I just did it specifically from the size of Milwaukee and the size of Green Bay.

- I understand that, but if we're looking to realize, you know, if looking at an \$800,000 revenue stream is what we're looking at, at \$32 per permit, we're gonna have to sell 25,000 permits.

- We'll require everyone to buy one. There we go. I'm kidding, of course! Any other discussion or a motion? We're reticent to do motions. Someone's got one in there, dig deep!

- I'll make a motion to receive and place on file.

- I'll second that.

- Motion by Alder Scannell, second by Alder Brunette, to receive and place on file. Any further discussion? Those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed? And I'll be a no on that. All right, well, Alder Steuer, you're on the council.

- Listen, and I still love all you too as well. I really do. Take care.

- Thanks!

- I'm out of here.

- Number seven, consideration with possible action on request to Department of Public Works regarding approval of the annual Compliance Maintenance Annual Report, CMAR, authorized the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the resolution, and authorize the Department of Public Works to submit the report to Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources sanitary sewer collection permit. Ooh! All yours, Steve.

- Long way to go for a pretty short agenda item, actually.

- Yeah.

- Alder Brunette and Alder Wery have been on I&S before, so I know you two have seen this. Alder Scannell, you've probably seen the report, as it's come out of council, or out of committee action. So this is primarily for Alder Gerlach's edification, here. Every year, we're required to submit a report that is the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report, the CMAR, to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, which essentially discusses the performance of our sanitary sewer system. Well, the Utility Manager, Matt Hackenleitel's prepared a memo that is in the packet, along with the CMAR submittal that's going in. The highlights of that, again, this year, financial ratings, sanitary is rated as an A, which is the best. The sewer system itself, from a structural rating, is an A, so our overall rating is an A. We cleaned 58.1 percent of our sewers last year, televised an additional 25 percent, we had a total number of sewer complaints of 182, which is down from 214 the year before, and more on pace with the downward trend of 178 and 174 in 2016 and 2017. Of those 182 complaints that were received, we were notified, we have determined that only seven of them were due to city issues with the sewer main, we did have one sanitary sewer overflow that happened last year, which is the first one we've had in several years. Going in through the CMAR, if you have any questions regarding the actual data that's in the CMAR that will be submitted to DNR,

we can definitely answer those questions for you, one of the things that we are doing in 2020, that helped step up our game, and I will tell you that the, the utilities division has really come light years in the last several years, we've brought some folks in from the outside who work for private sewer contractors, who've really brought some technology and some desire to the game, so it's not business as usual in-- here, proactively out there, trying to make a proactive maintenance on our sewer system. One of the things that we are doing this year, that's part of the sanitary budget that was approved back in November, we are bringing on board a fat, oil, and grease inspector, who will actually be going out, once we get that individual hired, their job is to go out into businesses that generate significant quantities of fats, oils, and greases. A lot of times, what we'll see are processing plants, like cheese plants, and restaurants are the high generators of those. You don't tend to think of it when you're in your kitchen, but if you've got grease that has been poured off from making a meal, and you dump that grease down the sink, and then wash it down with hot water, that's all well and good until it hits the main, that main is flowing at about 52 degrees Fahrenheit, and that stuff solidifies out there, and that's what's causing some of the blockages. Now, put that in an industrial scale, for either a cheese processing plant, or a commercial restaurant of some sort, if the grease traps aren't working, and the fats, oils, and greases are getting in the sewer, we got serious problems. That's what that person's going to be doing. Overall, again, this year, very impressed with the work that the sewer folks have been doing, and I think the CMAR reflects that.

- Any questions from anybody? Alder Gerlach?

- I would just like to say that I also love Director Grenier, and I read the whole damn thing!

- I'm gonna get Alder Gerlach a hobby.

- Motion to submit the report, is that what we're doing? A motion to submit the report?

- Yeah.

- Motion to submit the report.

- Second!

- All right. Motion by Scannell, second by Gerlach, to submit the report. Any discussion? Those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed, motion carried. Number eight, consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to enter into a state municipal agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for the reconstruction of the Larsen Street Bridge over Beaver Dam Creek.

- As we had at the last meeting, the last meeting, we had two state municipal agreements, one for a bridge, one for a road. Very similar, this is simply the bridge on Larsen Road that goes across Beaver Dam Creek. Like very similar to the bridge project we talked about two weeks ago, this will have an 80/20 cost share, which is capped at a total estimated cost distribution of 1.2, \$1,231,700. DOT will be sharing in both the design and the construction funding on this municipal repair bridge, which is, has a low structural rating, and get that one back up to speed, so staff does recommend approval and execution of this report, SMA.

- Questions, motions?

- Move to approve.

- Motion by Alder Brunette.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Scannell. Under discussion. Those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed, motion carried. That passes. Number nine is consideration and possible action on intergovernmental agreement between city of Green Bay and village of Allouez for combined CIPP Liner contract, sewers 4-20 basin repair. Director?

- This one, kind of along similar lines, but a little different. For many years, the city has been doing what we call cast in place pipe, CIPP, which is lining sewer pipes, okay, rather than digging them up and replacing them, there are certain instances where it's more cost effective and better in the overall construction to place a liner in an existing pipe. Because we've been doing that for well over a decade, we've gotten pretty proficient at it, and we know how the system works, the village of Allouez would like to experiment with that, because they have not done it before. Rather than put one piece of pipe or one stretch out there, what they did was approach the city, and said, is there some way that we could do a joint project, so in the interests of intergovernmental cooperation, and helping take care of all taxpayers, we thought that was a great idea, so what we're doing is, we're bringing, we're putting one of their streets into our contract to, and we're-- We're actually gonna to their staff on inspection as well. And in order for us to work together with them, we need to have an intergovernmental agreement, so again, we're very happy about this, we think it's the kind of stuff that neighbors should be doing with each other, so we definitely recommend approval.

- Motion to approve, but I think they need to give us pie.

- Pie?

- Pie. Any kind of pie.

- Pie's good.

- It's the neighborly thing to do.

- It is, I agree. Motion by Alder Scannell, second by Alder Brunette, to approve, under discussion. Besides pie. Those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Those opposed? All right, motion carried. Number 10, report of actions taken by DPW. We have some granting licenses under A for sidewalk builders, Absolute Concrete, LLC. And B, Ramco Construction Services, LLC. Two is a tree and brush trimmer license to A to Z Tree Service. And three is an underground sprinkler system to Fox Valley Irrigation. And these are things you've already approved, so we just need to receive and place on file, don't we?

- Yes sir.

- Motion to receive and place on file.

- Second.

- Motion by Scannell, second by Brunette, discussion? Those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed, motion carried. Informational, Director's Report. What's cookin', Steve?

- Just have a couple of things for you very quickly tonight. I think I mentioned this at a previous meeting, but I do definitely want to take just a couple of seconds tonight to recognize that next week, on June 16th, will be the last day for Debbie Epping as Sanitation Superintendent. Debbie is retiring from the city of Green Bay, and I definitely want to make sure that it's on record, in my Director's Report, how very much Debbie has meant to the city, she's been a fantastic, not only a fantastic manager and representative of DPW, but she's been great as a staff member of mine, and she's definitely going to be missed. We have started the process of trying to find a replacement for her, and that was authorized by the council last week, when you approved the personnel committee. Number two is some good news. Yesterday, we did, we have achieved a major milestone on the lift station serving basins 108 and 110, that's at the shipyard. So we're very hopeful that within the next two to three weeks, the remaining work over there will be done, that station will be put into final operation, and our sincere hope is that we never see the R Family Restaurant flood again. That project is coming along, and yesterday's pump test was a huge part of that, so very encouraging news there. In discussions with Operations Director Pirlot earlier today, it does appear that parking numbers are starting to recover. We're starting to see more and more rental revenue and meter revenue with things starting to open up. As a matter of fact, if numbers continue on the trend that they have been, any concerns that we had with not being able to make revenue this year, we still likely will not have the contribution to the parking capitol fund, that's gonna take a beating, but it doesn't look like we're gonna

have to consider any other stronger actions with parking numbers recovering. Last item on the agenda is, I did have a very quick conversation both with Alder Lefebvre and Alder Scannell earlier this week. The 600 sandbags that we ordered from Brown County have been received, and we are awaiting, we would like to do the deliver, open the facility for people to take possession of those bags by appointment, so Alder Lefebvre is reaching out to her neighborhood association, and those folks will be in charge of coming to get the sandbags, to coordinate a date, Alder Scannell will be passing that same information along to the residents in his district, who requested the bags, they will contact our office and we'll make arrangements to open the building for them. And that concludes--

- Thank you! Any questions for the Director? Alder Scannell?

- I'd just like to give kudos to Debbie Haynes-Epping, I've worked with her many times, she was always great to work with, she was always very helpful, and I really appreciate her service to the city.

- Yep. Concur. I'll entertain a motion to receive and place on file.

- Motion to receive and place on file.

- Second.

- By Scannell, and by Brunette, those in favor?

- Aye.

- Opposed, motion carried. We made it! Look at that! That's only four and a half hours of meetings! That's not bad!

- Motion to adjourn?

- Second!

- Motion to adjourn by, second by Gerlach. Those in favor?

- [Alders] Aye.

- Opposed, motion is carried. Thanks, Steve, thanks, Chris, have a good night.