



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

MONDAY, JUNE 29, 2020, 6:00 PM

Virtual Meeting

Public may also view at

www.youtube.com/CityOfGreenBay

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION

I. This item contains information and instructions for the Zoom meeting.

B. ROLL CALL.

Present: Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Kathy Lefebvre

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

D. INVOCATION.

I. The invocation will be led by Alder Chris Wery, District 8.

The invocation was led by Ald. Chris Wery.

E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

I. Approval of the minutes from the June 2, 2020 Common Council meeting.

F. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve. Motion carried.

G. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

H. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

I. APPOINTMENTS.

I. New Appointment

Green Bay Plan Commission

Derius Daniels, 115 E. Walnut, #204, Green Bay, 54301

Term to expire: July 1, 2023

Transit Commission

Michael Conley-Kuhagen, 130 Badger Lane, Apr 110, Green Bay, 54303

Term to expire: July 1, 2023

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to confirm. Motion carried.

J. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to suspend the rules and take up Ordinances 1 through 4 with a roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adopt. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson (Items 2-4), Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- Brian Johnson (Item 1)

1. General Ordinance No. 14-20

An ordinance amending Section 29.211, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to penalties for parking violations.

2. General Ordinance No. 15-20

An ordinance amending Section 29.208, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to parking regulations.

3. General Ordinance 13-20

An ordinance creating Section 33.08(7)(i), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to online ordering and curbside pickup of alcohol beverages.

4. Zoning Ordinance No. 8-20

An ordinance amending the Planned Unit Development (PUD) Overlay District for building expansion at 1601 North Quincy Street.

K. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (JUNE 9, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. To recommend Common Council adopt Resolutions designating the Redevelopment Authority (RDA) to perform all acts necessary for creating, amending, and/or closing Tax Increment District Seven (7), Eight (8), Nine (9), Twelve (12), Fourteen (14), Seventeen (17), Twenty-Four (24), and Twenty-Five (25).

2. To proceed as directed in closed session regarding the Preliminary Concept Plan(s) for the Shipyard at 100 W. Mason Street (Tax Parcel 2-78).

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

L. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (JUNE 10, 2020 AND JUNE 24, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve with the exception of Item 3. Motion carried.

1. To approve the request by Jack Umentum, 1620 Franz Avenue, to refund \$470 in connection fees for mini-storm sewer connection. (June 10, 2020)

2. To approve the request to include the flood mitigation project for CTH A/Beach Lane in the 2021

Minutes of the Common Council

Capital Improvement Program and to communicate the City's commitment to completing the project to Brown County (Ald. Dorff). (June 10, 2020)

3. To approve the draft ordinance and guidelines that would permit the installation of parklets in retail and commercial areas (Ald. Johnson). (June 10, 2020)

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve Item 3.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend Item 3 to read: (5) FEE. The fee for a Parklet permit shall be \$200.00 for permits issued in 2020, and thereafter shall be established annually by resolution, at a rate of not less than \$200.00.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve Item 3 as amended. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- Bill Galvin

4. To refer to staff requesting Department of Public Works look into the storm water sewer backups starting from East Shore Circle to California/East Shore Drive (Ald. Lefebvre). (June 10, 2020)

5. To receive and place on file, on behalf of Tina Bunker, to have the City of Green Bay own and maintain the storm water management ponds as part of the Grandview subdivision(s) (Ald. Nicholson). (June 10, 2020)

6. To bring back from the table, and to receive and place on file the feasibility of utilizing the "even-odd" residential address overnight parking scenario, as is done in Milwaukee, in order to relieve the parking problems at residences with limited parking spaces with Department of Public Works staff creating draft ordinances that allow even-odd overnight on street parking during summer months and/or year round and present at the January 8, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting (tabled at the February 4, 2020 Common Council meeting) (Ald. Steuer). (June 10, 2020)

7. To approve the request by Department of Public Works regarding approval of the annual Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR), authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the resolution, and authorize the Director of Public Works to submit the report to Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) sanitary sewer collection permit. (June 10, 2020)

8. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a State-Municipal Agreement with the Wisconsin Department of Transportation for the reconstruction of the Larsen Street Bridge over Beaver Dam Creek. (June 10, 2020)

9. To approve the Intergovernmental Agreement between City of Green Bay and Village of Allouez for combined CIPP Liner contract, Sewers 4-20 Basin Repair. (June 10, 2020)

10. To receive and place on file the report of actions taken by Department of Public Works

A. Granting of Licenses

1. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Absolute Concrete LLC
- b. Ramco Construction Services LLC

2. Tree & Brush Trimmer license to A to Z Tree Service LLC.

3. Underground Sprinkler System license to Fox Valley Irrigation, Inc.
(June 10, 2020)

11. To approve General Ordinance No. 19-20, an ordinance creating section 9.17, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to responsible bidder criteria. (June 24, 2020)

12. To approve the report of the Purchasing Manager:

A. To purchase two (2) Utility Vehicles for \$117,326.00 from Bobcat Plus Inc., the lowest responsive, responsible vendor.

B. To purchase two (2) Refuse Trucks for \$529,875.94 from R.N.O.W., Inc., the lowest responsive, responsible vendor.

C. To purchase one (1) Tandem Axle Plow Truck for \$205,796.00 from Packer City International, the lowest responsive, responsible vendor.

D. To purchase two (2) 1-Ton Dump Body, Tailgate Spreader, & V-Plow from Olson Trailer & Body, the lowest responsive, responsible vendor.
(June 24, 2020)

13. To receive and place on file the following contract:

A. SEWERS 4-20 BASIN REPAIR - no bids were received.

To approve the award of the following contract:

B. SIDEWALKS 2020 to the low responsive bidder, Al Dix Concrete, Inc., in the amount of \$242,240.
(June 24, 2020)

14. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to award construction contract SOUTH BAY SHORE DIKE REINFORCEMENT at a staff level and report the award at the next regular meeting of the Improvement and Services Committee. (June 24, 2020)

15. To receive and place on file the report of actions taken by Department of Public Works

A. Granting of Licenses

1. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Delrar, Inc.
- b. Douglas J. Maurer Concrete LLC
- c. Evraets Concrete Const. LLC
- d. N & L Concrete Const. LLP
- e. N.E.W. Concrete
- f. SMA Construction Services

2. Tree & Brush Trimmer for S & D Tree Service.
(June 24, 2020)

M. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (JUNE 8, 2020 AND

JUNE 22, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve with the exception of Item I. Motion carried.

I. To approve an application for a "Class B" Combination license for Tristas Lunchbox LLC at 1542 University with a licensed premises as "MAIN FLR, BAR, COOLERS, POOL ROOM, BACK OFFICE, TIKI BAR W/IN OUTDOOR PATIO, OUTDOOR PATIO," with the approval of the proper authorities (Previously licensed as Alisa Marie, LLC). (June 8, 2020)

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve Item I.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend Item I to delegate the final approving authority to the Protection & Policy Committee with the stipulation the the approval is based on receiving the confirmation the conditions have been met. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve Item I as amended. Motion carried.

2. To approve a renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license for Tristas Lunchbox LLC at 1542 University, pending the approval of the original application at the June 2 Common Council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 8, 2020).

3. To approve as amended a change of agent at Wisconsin Apple, LLC at 2420 E. Mason St. for the 2019-2020 license year. (June 8, 2020).

4. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license for K Burkel, Inc. at 1007 Tony Canadeo Run with a change in the licensed description to "parking lot patio bar basement coolers" and with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 8, 2020).

5. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class A" Liquor & Class "A" Beer license for Tikapur Petroleum at 2115 Main St. with a change of agent and with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 8, 2020).

6. To approve a change of agent for TNT Holdings, Inc. at 2511 W. Mason St. for the 2019-2020 license year. (June 8, 2020).

7. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license for TNT Holdings, LLC at 2511 W. Mason St., pending the change of agent at the June 29 Common Council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 8, 2020).

8. To approve the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2020-2021 license year, with approval of the proper authorities (see attached). (June 8, 2020).

9. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a Class "A" Beer license for Isaias Alvarez at 2030 E. Mason St. Suite B with a change in licensed description to "Sold out of Beer Cooler, Overstock is stored in walk-in cooler in backroom," with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 8, 2020).

10. To receive and place on file request by Ald. Scannell to appeal to the state to move the election from the first Tuesday of a month to the first Saturday, referred from the Ad Hoc Committee on Elections. (June 8, 2020).

11. To receive and place on file a request by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to have staff gather and report all businesses in Green Bay that have class A, class B (beer), class B (liquor) and class B combination license. This should include type of business (restaurant, bar, grocery store, gas station, liquor store), name of business and addresses. A map of the locations would be helpful. Report back in July to give staff time to compile the report. (June 8, 2020).

12. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report for June 8, 2020. (June 8, 2020).

13. To approve a 2019-2020 application for a Class "A" Beer license and "Class A" liquor (cider only) by Diana Hernandez Hernandez at 741 Abrams St. Suite 6 with a licensed premises description as "one story sales floor, beer coolers, storage room," with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 22, 2020).

14. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a Class "A" Beer license and "Class A" liquor (cider only) by Diana Hernandez Hernandez at 741 Abrams St. Suite 6, pending the approval of the original application at the June 29 Common Council meeting and with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 22, 2020).

15. To approve a 2019-2020 application for a "Class B" Combination license by Tux 2, Inc. at 1313 S. Broadway with a licensed premise description as "BAR, BACKROOM," with approval of the proper authorities. (June 22, 2020).

16. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license by Tux 2, Inc. at 1313 S. Broadway, pending the approval of the original application at the June 29 Common Council Meeting, with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 22, 2020).

17. To approve a "Class A" Liquor and Class "A" Beer license for Belgium LLC at 2600 Niagara Tr for the 2020-2021 license year with a licensed description as "gas station, beverages stored in coolers and on the shelf," with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 22, 2020).

18. To waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor licensed premise and approve a recommend waiving the ordinance requiring regarding height and impervious approve an available "Class B" Combination license for the 2020-2021 license year for Off the Rails, LLC at 200 Dousman St. with a premises description as "1st Floor-Main Level Bar, Outdoor Canopy Bar, Locked Liquor Storage Room In Kitchen; Second Floor-Main Bar Area, Large Walk In Beer Cooler Behind Bar Area, Records Kept in Owner's Office on Second Floor," with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 22, 2020).

19. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class B" Combination License for Baebe Zuege, LLC at 1570 Elizabeth St. with a change in the licensed premises description to "kitchen area, store room, bar room, office," with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 22, 2020).

20. Consideration with possible action on a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class B" Combination License by Jamrock GB, LLC at 700 Bodart St. with a change in licensed description to "MAIN BAR AREA, STORE RM, 2 BATHRMS, FULL BASEMENT, BEHIND BAR, STORE RM, BASEMENT COOLERS." (June 22, 2020).

21. To approve a 2020-2021 "Class B" Combination License renewal for Phat Headz II at 420 N. Clay St. with a change in license description to "ALCOHOL WILL BE SOLD AND STORED IN THE MAIN BAR AREA. ADDITIONAL INVENTORY IS HELD IN A LOCKED STORAGE ROOM ON THE NORTHEAST SIDE OF THE BUILDING," with the approval of the proper authorities. (June 22, 2020).

22. To approve the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2020-2021 license year with approval of the proper authorities (see attached). (June 22, 2020).

23. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report for June 22, 2020. (June 22, 2020).

N. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

I. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses.

O. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (JUNE 22, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve. Motion carried.

I. To receive and place on file a request from Ald. Mark Steuer to look at the feasibility, and various
Minutes of the Common Council

site locations, of a virtual drive-in theatre/performing arts venue, as is being done in numerous other locations.

2. To rezone 1341 Brosig Street from General Commercial (C1) to Varied Density Residential (R3). (Public hearing held 06/22/20)

3. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a proposed dormitory use in an Office/Residential (OR) district located at 320 South Webster Avenue, subject to compliance with all other regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code not covered under the Conditional Use Permit. (Public hearing held 06/22/20)

P. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (JUNE 16, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve the updated City of Green Bay Procurement Manual.

2. Refer to staff to research other hazard pay policies in response to a request by Ald. Wery to the Finance committee which states: Offer a pay incentive/bonus for poll workers who have worked and will work this year during the Covid-19 situation. 2) Adopt a policy for future hazard pay situations.

3. To refer and place on file a request by Ald. Steuer to the Finance committee which states: To create an Ad-Hoc Committee to look at ways and methods to adjust downward the 2020 City of Green Bay Bonding Schedule and the potential 2021 City of Green Bay budget, with participants from the Green Bay citizenry, Green Bay Alders, and City of Green Bay staff (both administrative and union). This is truly a team effort."

4. To approve the purchase of the ballot folder and envelope opener using grant funds Chief of Staff Celestine Jeffreys discussed in response to the request by the Ad Hoc Committee on Elections for the Finance Committee to consider the purchase of electronic poll books and a DS 450 tabulator and

ballot folder package.

To receive and place on file the purchase of electronic poll books and a DS 450 tabulator at this time and to urge staff to consider these items in next year's budget.

5. To approve the purchase of two drop boxes funded by grant money in response to a request by the Ad Hoc Committee on Elections to the Finance Committee to consider funding two to four drop boxes for absentee ballots, to be placed at locations in the City of Green Bay.

6. To approve the Green Bay Electronics Record Program Software License and Service Agreement between the City of Green Bay and Brown County, Wisconsin.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

7. To approve the Distribution Agreement between the City of Green Bay and John Rousseau as sole member of Global Horizons, LLC.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Q. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (JUNE 10, 2020 AND JUNE 24, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve with the exception of Items 2 and 6. Motion carried.

I. To refer to staff to research grant opportunities to help control the invasive plants at the Wildlife Sanctuary.

2. To table the beach project at Bay Beach Amusement Park until 100% of the \$1 million fundraising goal and 80% of the \$1 million grant goal has been met. Motion Failed 2-2. Referred to City Council with no recommendation.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to refer Item 2 back to the Parks Committee. Motion carried.

3. To approve the request by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to rename Gagnon Park, “Olde Preble Neighborhood Park”, and to name the little league field “Joseph F. Gagnon Jr.” field.

4. To direct staff to open the recreation aquatic facilities, youth sports leagues and youth camps per the 2020 City of Green Bay Parks COVID-19 Reopening Plan that was presented at the May 27th Park Committee Meeting.

5. To approve a utility easement with Wisconsin Independent Network (WIN) on behalf of the Green Bay Packers for the installation of a fiber optic line at John Muir Park.

6. To approve increasing the launch fees at the Metro Boat Launch on January 1, 2021 to \$60 for a seasonal pass, \$50 for a senior pass, \$6 for a daily pass, and \$75 for a commercial pass, contingent upon DePere, Wrightstown and Brown County adopting the same fee increase.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve Item 6. Motion carried.

R. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (JUNE 16, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

I. To approve the request to fill the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

- a. Legal Assistant (Claims) - Law
- b. Captain - Police
- c. Sergeant - Police

2. To approve as amended Chapter 23 FMLA, Section 2 to allow Emergency First Responders Paid Sick Leave to extend through September 30, 2020.

S. REPORT OF THE TRAFFIC, BICYCLE, AND PEDESTRIAN COMMISSION (JUNE 15, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

1. To remove the NO PARKING zone on the east side of Broadway from a point 10 feet north of Kellogg Street to a point 50 feet south of Kellogg Street.

2. To remove the 2-HOUR 7 AM TO 7 PM MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY zone on the east side of Broadway from a point 50 feet south of Kellogg Street to a point 175 feet south of Kellogg Street.

3. To remove the 2-HOUR 7 AM TO 7 PM MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY zone on the east side of Broadway from a point 205 feet south of Bond Street to a point 10 feet north of Kellogg Street.

4. To establish and adopt by ordinance a NO PARKING zone on the east side of Broadway from a point 85 feet north of Kellogg Street to a point 70 feet south of Kellogg Street.

5. To establish and adopt by ordinance a 2-HOUR 7 AM TO 7 PM MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY zone on the east side of Broadway from a point 205 feet south of Bond Street to a point 85 feet north of Kellogg Street.

6. To establish and adopt by ordinance a 2-HOUR 7 AM TO 7 PM MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY zone on the east side of Broadway from a point 70 feet south of Kellogg Street to a point 175 feet south of Kellogg Street.

T. AD HOC COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS (MAY 28, JUNE 4, JUNE 11 AND JUNE 18 2020).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve the locations that have agreed to the August and November elections (May 28).

2. To receive and place on file identifying voters' responsibilities and the clerk's office sphere of control (May 28).

3. To approve a letter to the Green Bay Area Public School Board formally requesting the board to add an agenda item which would consider the use of gyms at East, West, Southwest and Preble High Schools as polling locations, for this pandemic election year (June 4).

4. To approve the purchase of a ballot folder, DS 450 tabulator and electronic poll books, and refer to the Finance Committee for their consideration (June 4).

5. To approve purchase of PPE for poll workers, as long as costs are reasonable and the pandemic has not abated, and to approve mandating poll workers to wear masks (June 4).

6. To approve an advertising campaign for early in-person absentee voting and absentee voting (June 4).

7. To approve a social media campaign to advertise early in-person absentee voting and absentee voting (June 4).

8. To approve maintaining past practice for the number of early in-person absentee polling locations in the City of Green Bay (June 4).

9. To deny creating drive through voting, either for election day and/or for early in-person absentee voting in August and/or November (June 11).

10. To receive and place on file the updated number and list of poll workers--100 (June 11).

11. To approve a request to the Finance Committee to fund two to four drop boxes (June 11).

12. To approve the updated list of polling locations, both new and current, including a summary of efforts to secure additional polling locations (June 18).

13. To receive and place on file city's WEC assessment of new polling locations (June 18).

14. To approve installing four drop boxes for mail-in absentee ballots (June 18).

15. To approve scheduling the next two meetings for July 9 and July 23, 2020 (June 18).

16. To approve a calendar of deadlines and important dates for the upcoming August and November elections (June 18).

U. RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve. Motion carried.

1. Building Permit Report for May 2020.

2. Municipal Court Report for May 2020.

V. COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

No action was taken on the Committee of the Whole items.

1. Consideration with possible action on the resolution extending the State of Emergency for the City of Green Bay COVID-19 response.

2. Consideration with possible action on General Ordinance No. 20-20, repealing and recreating GBMC section 33.03(5) relating to temporary expansion of premises.

3. Update with possible action on the status of the 2020 City of Green Bay budget, including impacts and changes caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

W. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules and adopt Resolutions 1 through 16 together with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adopt Resolutions 1 through 16. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery (Resolutions 1-15), Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette (Resolutions 1-15), John VanderLeest (Resolutions 1-15), Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-Chris Wery (Resolution 16), Jesse Brunette (Resolution 16), John VanderLeest (Resolution 16)

1. Resolution approving the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources NR 208 – Compliance Maintenance Annual Report.

2. Resolution designating the Redevelopment Authority as agent of the City of Green Bay for an allocation amendment, affordable housing extension, and dissolution of Tax Increment District (TID) Number Seven (7), Ashland Avenue and Lombardi Avenue corridors.

3. Resolution designating the Redevelopment Authority as agent of the City of Green Bay for an allocation amendment, affordable housing extension, and dissolution of Tax Increment District (TID) Number Eight (8), Henry and Morrow Streets.

4. Resolution designating the Redevelopment Authority as agent of the City of Green Bay for the amendment and dissolution of Tax Increment District (TID) Number Nine (9), State Highway 54/57 Business Park.

5. Resolution designating the Redevelopment Authority as agent of the City of Green Bay for an allocation amendment, affordable housing extension, and dissolution of Tax Increment District (TID) Number Twelve (12), I-43 commercial development.

6. Resolution designating the Redevelopment Authority as agent of the City of Green Bay for the amendment of Tax Increment District (TID) Number Fourteen (14), the Rail Yard.

7. Resolution designating the Redevelopment Authority as agent of the City of Green Bay for the amendment and dissolution of Tax Increment District (TID) Number Seventeen (17), 900 Block North Broadway.

8. Resolution designating the Redevelopment Authority as agent of the City of Green Bay for the creation of Tax Increment District (TID) Number Twenty-Four (24), Adams Chicago.

9. Resolution designating the Redevelopment Authority as agent of the City of Green Bay for the creation of Tax Increment District (TID) Number Twenty-Five (25), Monroe Cherry.

10. Resolution drawing final orders to contractors for June 29, 2020.

11. Resolution authorizing conditional-use approval at 320 South Webster Avenue.

12. Resolution authorizing relocation of polling places for Wards 6, 7, 9, 13 thru 17, 20, 25, 28 thru 31, 34, 36, 37, 39 thru 43, and 45 thru 47.

13. A Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Sale and Issuance of \$15,550,000 General Obligation Corporate Purpose Bonds, Series 2020A, and All Related Details.

14. A Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Sale and Issuance of \$2,250,000 Taxable General Obligation Community Development Bonds, Series 2020B, and All Related Details.

15. A Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Sale and Issuance of \$5,520,000 General Obligation Promissory Notes, Series 2020C, and All Related Details.

16. A resolution extending the State of Emergency for the City of Green Bay COVID-19 response.

X. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING FOR ADOPTION

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to suspend the rules to take both items 1 & 2 together for adoption with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to adopt Item 1 as amended & Item 2. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

1. General Ordinance No. 18-20

An ordinance creating Section 6.158, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to parklet permits.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend Item 1 to read (5) FEE. The fee for a Parklet permit shall be \$200.00 for permits issued in 2020, and thereafter shall be established annually by resolution, at a rate of not less than \$200.00. Motion carried.

2. General Ordinance No. 20-20

An ordinance repealing and recreating GBMC section 33.03(5) relating to temporary expansion of premises.

Y. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules and advance Ordinances 1 through 3 to a second reading. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to advance Ordinances 1 through 3. Motion carried.

1. General Ordinance No. 19-20

An ordinance creating section 9.17, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to responsible bidder criteria.

2. General Ordinance No. 21-20

An ordinance amending Section 29.208, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to parking regulations.

3. Zoning Ordinance No. 09-20

An ordinance rezoning property located at 1341 Brosig Street from General Commercial (C1) District to Varied Density Residential (R3) District.

Z. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to refer the petitions and communications to the appropriate Committee or Commission. Motion carried.

A request by Ald. Jesse Brunette to the Protection & Policy Committee for discussion with possible action on revising the City of Green Bay's Code of Conduct for Elected Officials, and the City of Green Bay's Code of Ethics to include provisions that detail what activities are proper and what activities should be prohibited for elected officials in regard to engaging in partisan politics through the use of their Green Bay elected offices.

A request by Ald. Brian Johnson to the Parks Committee to consider installation of surveillance equipment at Seymour Park.

A request by Ald. Brian Johnson to the Redevelopment Authority to consider a 2020 fee waiver for the parklet permit fee utilizing CARES Act funding or other available funding sources.

A request by Ald. Galvin to the Protection and Policy committee that the city consider taking fluoride out of the treatment process for the city water.

1. A request by Ald. Barbara Dorff to the Parks Committee which reads: "To consider putting on a Park in the Bay Highlands area as requested by residents. Here are two possible suggestions. The city owns parcel # 21-242-3 on Wolverine Trail. It's not an extremely deep lot but it's over 46,000 square feet. Or there is land to the east of Kwik Trip that is in Kwik Trips name that is sitting empty but that might cost more to purchase this land."

2. A request by Ald. Barbara Dorff to the Plan Commission to estimate potential costs and benefits of proposed Grandview development.

AA. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to adjourn at 7:40 p.m. Motion carried.

VERBATIM MINUTES

- [Eric] Here at the Common Council, for Monday June 29th its 2020. Roll call clerk.
Minutes of the Common Council

- 12 are present Your Honor.

- Thank you. And the Pledge of Allegiance, please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance.

- [Attendees] I Pledge allegiance to the flag Of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, Indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

- [Eric] Remain standing for the invocation led by Alder Chris Wery.

- [Chris] Thank you Mr. Mayor, short and sweet from the Dalai Lama, be kind whenever possible, it is always possible.

- And to approval of the minutes.

- Motion made by Alder Galvin seconded by Alder Dorff to approve the minutes of the June 2020 meeting. Any corrections that need to be made? No requests all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Message approved. The agenda.

- [Scannell] So moved.

- [Corpus] Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder corpus Dax I believe. Any changes you'd like to make? Saying no requests all in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Thank you guys, haven't you done that has been approved, the report by the mayor. Just a few items that I want to touch on this evening. We have a resolution before us designating polling locations for the upcoming election in August. So I just, want to take a moment to thank the Ad-hoc Elections Committee, Alders Dorf and Wery and the rest of the members of that committee and Clerk Teske of course for all of their work and the work of the clerk's office and in designating locations. Ideally, we would still have 31. But, I think these locations are gonna meet the needs of our voters in August. And I think we've all learned how to is to designate some of these locations. So just want to give a lot of credit to everybody who's been involved in making that happen, 'cause that was not not an easy task. I also just wanted to relate it to elections as well. Update folks on where we are with regard poll worker recruitment as of today. According to Clerk Teske we have 151 poll workers who are signed and have submitted all of the appropriate paperwork. I'm prepared to take additional action on the city staff side of things if we short at the end, but really encouraging people in this community to sign up, I know that a good number of others have been making similar comments on social media and other places and just would encourage everyone who's able and interested in support of our democracy in our elections to step forward and serve. I'm gonna have a great deal more confidence, this time around that we're going to be prepared to protect all workers with appropriate PPE. And so we'll just encourage everybody who's able and interested to please reach out to the City Clerk and participate that way. Also just wanted to make a plug for absentee voting the clerk's office thanks to their work and our city staff, a number of folks helped out in processing those requests. Over 10,500 requests have been processed and sent out already to this point and according to the clerk, that's a higher number than we've ever sent out for any election prior to this one. So, you know, it's a huge number. Obviously, we'd love to see more, we have a lot of confidence that we will obviously be able to process all requests that are submitted and so are encouraging all voters to participate in that way, which is obviously the safest, given that we're still in the midst of a pandemic. Also just wanted to touch on a community meeting that we had last week, thanks to Chief Smith and commander work and divine temple, Pastor Green and the folks over there for hosting. I think we had a really good initial conversation on some reforms that we're going to be taking a look at in the days ahead, thanks to a number of all those who attended personally and those who were on social media observing that discussion. So encouraging all this to really take an active role in my opening comments I said, obviously, I speak for myself, when I'm making different judgments and assessments. But the council is really our policymaking body. That's what you all are here for. So just encouraging people to take a look at that meeting if you haven't had a chance and just to dig into what folks are saying in the community and take an active role in that discussion. 'Cause obviously we we need your help and support and guidance in moving forward. Also just wanted to touch on our plan for upcoming council meetings. We are hoping to be able to have a sort of

hybrid meeting in July. So if folks would like to attend in person, we'll be asking people to take the appropriate measures to be distanced and to wear face coverings when in the council chamber, so that hopefully will be an option for all others for our July I believe it's 21st meeting. That's all I have for the report and of course just encouraging people to enjoy the fourth in a safe and successful way. So Happy Independence Day to all the Alders and community. And that concludes my report, on to announcements.

- [Lefebvre] I have an announcement.

- Go ahead Alder Lefebvre.

- [Lefebvre] Yes, my announcement is that on last Thursday, June 25, was my birthday, seven decades.

- Yeah.

- And yeah, and I had to be in dirt county to celebrate it. So I didn't miss that meeting. And and I'm sorry I didn't find out soon enough to change my plans I would have gone to the divine temple to that very, very good meeting tonight. I'm sorry, I've missed that. But also my other announcement is that we celebrate Independence Day, July 4th. My announcement is to the community. We celebrated on July 4th, we don't celebrate it 25, 35, 45 days before, nor do we celebrate it afterwards. I wish that they would honor that. Make the day special July 4 with your fireworks. Thank you Mayor.

- Thank you Alder. Alder Dorff.

- [Dorff] Thank you. I wanted to say some thank yous to the committee members and I want to say thank you to Alder Sawyer and all their gear lock who attended a lot of the meetings. They were very, very helpful and they weren't even on the committee and yet they were there. And to our clerk Kris Teske into self esteem. And Susan Smith did a great job and continues to do a great job as chair. So also wanted to say thank you to that owner of the Sears building. That is going to be a fabulous place for us to have a polling location and so grateful for his generosity and thank the school district for the two schools that we're going to be able to use for the August election. So just wanting to say thank you today, thanks.

- Alder Alder Johnson.

- [Johnson] Thank you, Mayor. Just wanted to comment on obviously the tragedy that occurred in Seymour park on June 19. Very sad situation for everybody involved, particularly the victims of that crime. And then also the many neighbors in that area who have some concerns with a number of the things going on in that area. And so, I've been working with the Seymour Park Neighborhood Association, as well as some of the other community partners in that area and this Thursday at 6p.m. we are gonna be hosting a neighborhood meeting at Seymour park to discuss some of the activity in that area and what we can do as a neighborhood to really get involved and be more hands on the driving solution. And so I just wanted to at least make that announcement and let those know who have an interest in this subject, more than happy to keep you updated on what kind of possible solutions come out of that. But we really want to create a forum and so for those of you who have had experience with coordinating neighborhood meetings around very serious topics and issues and more than happy to take your feedback in suggestions before Thursday. For those neighbors who live in that area, hoping that we'll be able to make it out on that date so that we can again have that listening session, take your ideas into consideration and put them into action. Thank you Mayor.

- [Eric] Thank you for doing that Alder and thanks for the announcement. Any additional announcements? Okay, seeing none we'll move on to appointments.

- [Johnson] Motion to appoint.

- Motion made by Alder Johnson.

- [Scannell] Taken.

- Taken by Alder Scannell to prove the new appointments. All in favor please signify by say aye.

- [Attendees] Aye.

- Nay? Ayes have it. And the new appointments have been approved. On to ordinances. Second Reading for adoption.

- You may under suspension of the rules take up items one through four with one roll call vote.

- Motion made by Alder Dorff seconded by Alder Scannell to suspend the rules take up these items with one little call vote. All in favor that motion please signify by saying "Aye."

- [Attendees] "Aye."

- Opposed "Nay." The ayes have it, the rules are suspended.

- [Scannell] Motion to adapt.

- [Dorff] Second.

- Made to adopt item we wanted through J four made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff. Please use the board on this.

- Mayor a point of order.

- Yes.

- I'm mistaken on this on Item number two, we still have included the parking stalls that were referred to traffic commission on Broadway. So I'm not sure that those should be a part of this particular vote. And it is later in the agenda under the first reading. So I think that we just need to make sure that staff are those items. And then secondly, if you could have me recorded as abstaining on Item Number one. Excuse me, voting no one item one.

- Very good. We will note that. Staff either Director Grenier or attorney Chavez, you care to weigh in on Alder Johnson's comments about the parking spots.

- We will definitely take a look at that. I've got the notes written down and we'll make sure that's addressed properly.

- Okay. Very good, thanks, Director. With that, we will move to the board.

- Mayor, mine's not coming up. I'll just call out to vote verbally.

- How, would you like to be recorded Alder?

- Yes.

- Mayor, your volume seems to be down just a little bit. Megan rich, I can't hear you quite so well. You just check your volume, thank you.

- I will try to speak up, thanks Alder. And those past 12 vote noting Alder Johnson's opposition and one there. Redevelopment Authority.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Dorff seconded by Alder Scannell to approve report K, which is the report of the Redevelopment Authority from the meeting on June 9 2020. Any items to be handled separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Attendees] Aye.

- Opposed nay? The Ayes have it, the report has been approved unto the part of the Improvement Services Committee.

- [[Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Stevens] Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens to approve report L which is the report of the Improvement Services Committee from the meetings on June 10th and June 24th 2020. And items here to be handled separately?

- Three.

- Three.

- Any others? Item three will be handled separately here. And others in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Nay, the eyes have it. The report has been approved with the exception of item three.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Lefebvre] Second.

- Made by Alder Scannel seconded by Alder Lefebvre the item's approved by Alder Johnson, Alder Johnson you have the floor.

- [Johnson] Thank you Mayor. Just again, I wanted to, just as I did in Improvement Services Committee commend staff for the great job that they did in pulling this ordinance together. It was very, very thorough. And they addressed just one concern that I had at the committee. There was one bill that I left out standing. And that was related to the fee. I had indicated the items last meeting that I wanted to have some time to consult a few other communities to determine what appropriate fee would look like. Currently, the way that this is structured is that the fee is equivalent to the daily bank rate for a meter, which is \$10 a day. And then it would be assessed at five days for 26 weeks. And then of course, if a parklet went in, later in the season, that fee would be prorated. After talking to a number of businesses in other communities, it's a fee that is pretty substantial and would make it cost prohibitive for a business to participate. One of the things that businesses do often times when you know they determine outdoor spaces is they'll sort of calculate how many table terms that they can do to really determine what a reasonable right would be. But the other thing that businesses often looked at is what is the cost per square foot that they pay when leasing a space. It's when I did the calculation on this, for parklets, the size that we have, if somebody were to take advantage of the full May 1st through October 31st timeframe, you would be looking at 21 cents per square foot. Which is a very hefty fee to pay for any space. I mean, even when you look at indoor spaces, in the near downtown can really range anywhere from eight to \$12 a square foot, for indoor space. So when you have outdoor space that can't be fully utilized, it makes it a very, very steep fee to pay. And again, looking again at table turns and that is how many customers can you fit into that outdoor space and then from there calculating the rate of return in terms of what somebody would want to do. So after looking and talking to other communities, I do want to propose an amendment to this. And I think it would be cleaner and more efficient to manage on the staff level as well. My amendment is that when we're looking at item number five under fee, I would propose that we amend this, and I would defer to staff on appropriate wording of this, but the amendment would be to modify so that is an annual permit fee of \$200. So whether they submit it on May 1st, with the park that would go in on May 1st, or whether it goes in on September 1st, doesn't matter any permit fee of \$200. And that's per parklet. So if they want to reserve two parklet that's what this ordinance would give them the ability to do would be \$200 times two. So that is the amendment that I would propose.

- Second.

- Amendment has been made by Alder Johnson seconded by Alder Scannell. Let's have Attorney Chavez weigh in here on some guidance regarding how to word that. And then Alder Grenier can speak to the revenue shortfall that would result, if we were to adopt the this amendment and how council might respond to that to make sure that parking utility is fully funded.

- [Vanessa] Thank you Mayor. So as first as speed goes the language, so we're kind of trying to move away from having fees established by ordinance, but I'm interested that's reviewed annually. With that I would recommend those in good state. The fee for appropriate permits shelves to be adopted by resolution annually at across the Midwest dollars.

- So we would have to follow up then with a resolution to that effect?

- Yes, that is the intent of what we're doing again. I'm trying to figure out how we can do it so that we can move the resolution board at a different time while allowing us to close it. How about you go to Director Grenier and I'll see what I can come up with?

- Okay Brigade, thanks Attorney Chavez. Director Grenier.

- [Grenier] Well, the proposal as amended would result in a significant shortfall especially in metered parking areas. That's the reason that we established the meter bagging fee is to address the loss in revenue and in this case, \$200 a year is gonna come up woefully short as all the giants on alluded to the \$10 a day bagging fee. If somebody were to utilize that space the entire time would result in at a cost of 1300 dollars. So, if that is any high demand space, especially the ones in downtown Green Bay that are utilized virtually the entire day, obviously this year is a little bit different because we don't have the businesses open as much and we're getting more requests or other demands on parking downtown. But in a typical year, we're looking at anywhere from \$900 to \$1100 per stall shortfall that would be coming for metered stalls. One of the other things that has been mentioned by members of the Common Council through committee meetings over the past couple of years, is that parking needs to do a better job of starting to accrue money in our parking reserve funds which are used to help offset the needs that are going to come up with the new ramp and again, we are going to have to have a new ramp sometime within the next 10 years, absolute outside cases 2031 when the main street ramp is going to have to come down and that was part of the Schreiber foods. Development agreement and the city is going to have to pay for demolition and disposal of the main street ramp, in addition to selling the property over the Schreiber for the cost of the land, not the improvements. And we're going to have to replace that Saul. Currently 2020 rates. We are at just shy of \$27,000 personal and initial capital outlay. So I would not envision that we would replace main on a on a small personal basis means the smallest of our ramps at 681 stalls. We are probably looking at something in the neighborhood of one and a half times what cherry used. So that would be about 1200 stalls. Again, we did put a lot of thought into the ordinance when we drafted it. The meter bagging fee was our recommendation to make the parking division whole on the loss of the stall. The Council is the policymaking board. If the council decides to go forward, that's your prerogative. However you will be generating a shortfall in the parking division revenue and parking is 100% wholly supported.

- Thanks, Director. And just real quickly, my point is not at all to dissuade others from supporting the amendment just to make the point that if we are to move forward in that direction, it's also my recommendation that we figure out a way to fund that gap. In talking with director Vonck, I think it's possible that we could use some of our downtown teds some of the revenue there to offset the loss. But just wanted to make sure that people were operating with as much information as possible. Alder Dorff.

- [Dorff] But Mr. Mayor, I think it's on the floor too.

- Alder Johnson.

- [Johnson] Thank you. I just want maybe a point of clarification. I think it's a little bit disingenuous to suggest that meters are 100% occupied at all times downtown. So I think that we need to really air that out. That like a shortfall of \$900 is only applicable if you're 100% occupied all of the time. And I don't know that that's accurate. But the other piece of it is, and I firmly believe this, that if somebody comes up to a stall that's occupied by a parklet, they're just gonna drive to the next available stalls. I don't believe that you're gonna see a shortfall. I think it's just rather than collecting in that meter, you're going to bump it to the next meter. And so I do think it's important to keep that into consideration. The other piece is that I don't anticipate that you would see an overwhelming number of requests to install parklets because there is a fee up front that would be required to actually purchase the materials and assemble the product as well. And that is something that we have to be sensitive to when thinking about whether or not that this is a quality of amenity that we want to accommodate in our community. What I'm trying to do is create one tool, in what needs to be a very large toolbox to help our businesses and other sort of those businesses that need ways to spread out. And I think that this is just one of those tools that can do that. I am anticipating submitting a communication at the end to talk about the fee for this year. But I still do think and stand behind it a \$200 permit fee is in alignment with what other communities are doing. And something that if you go much higher, makes it cost prohibitive and will really be a deterrent for businesses in terms of wanting to do this.

- Alder Dorff.

- [Dorff] Thank you. Thank you Alder Johnson I love the idea of these parklets. I think it's a great idea, as you started talking, the thing that came to mind was, but what about parking utility? Because I know that they have to fund themselves. And the \$10 big raid, correct me if I'm wrong, but Director Grenier does \$10 cover what it would cost or what someone would pay if they park there all day?

- Yes.

- So that's all it costs for parking 12 hours somewhere, or other many hours it's \$10?

- Correct.

- Okay. So, for me to vote in favor of this, I would have to know how we're going to find parking utility. And can we do that at this meeting? Does this have to go back until we can have a plan on how we're gonna make up that shortfall? I agree that it does sound pretty cost prohibitive. And I agree that this is a great idea to have these parklets. But I don't think that underfunding the parking utility is a good idea. May be even if they do go to another spot, they're still not those spots available. So I'm asking the question, how can we fund? How can

we make this up? I guess one more idea. Maybe we have a limit. There's this many parklets that can you can have, and this is how we'll make up that many parklets. We can just have it like wide open.

- Right. Director Vonck.

- [Vonck] Thank you mayor. So in terms of subsidizing the revenue, I think, we will look at what's the differential between a space that was occupied all day and what's the amount of revenue that was generating versus the amount of revenue that would be coming in from the permit. I'm sorry, what? Okay. And so I think two possible sources, one would be to look at the tax increment districts that we have. Both five and 13 cover most of the downtown, depending on the cost, you could look at having some administrative expenses to offset that. I think in terms of you look at it as a business incentive or a program incentive, right? 'Cause we're trying to help the businesses and so we're helping to offset the cost of allocating some of these spaces. There is an outside chance potentially, you could look at cares funding, again, the rules are still being finalized on it, and I believe it fits most of the criteria. And depending on how things get allocated, that could be potential. Again, some of the things that are being done or to help businesses survive based on impacts of the pandemic so right, you know, those that have very small seating areas, being able to expand outdoors, that could be a potential. But again, it's a cause from another spot in terms of, the the fund balances that we have in our tax increment districts, shifting it over, to parking utility. Again, it's something that we could do. However, I would probably recommend, doing it only for one year, so we could see how it went rather than, committing to a long term issue with that. But I think, it'd be between myself and Director Grenier to kind of discuss all right, what is the anticipated shortfall that's the delta and how can we make that up with either 10 or cares funding.

- Thanks Director. Any further comments, Alder Dorff?

- [Dorff] Do you feel that we can make that decision at this meeting? Director Vonck? Do we have enough information?

- [Vonck] Yeah, I think in terms of just I mean, administrative authority in terms of allocating expenditures, I believe in, Greco and Becker correct me if I'm wrong, but it's up to 25,000. I don't anticipate it expanding beyond that. If it would go beyond that in terms of the expense that would have to come back through a committee and Council for approval.

- [Dorff] So, right now, I think it said it's for three years. Did I just hear you say you thought it should be for one year?

- [Vonck] In terms of bridging the gap, I would only really want to commit, to bridging that gap for this first year to see how it went, in terms of the the three years of the parklet. I don't think there's any reason to

change that at this time. I will just say also, just from the business perspective, I would not recommend holding or waiting on this. I think people businesses are really anxious to look to do anything that they can, especially this wave we're in. If things get shut down or more restrictions in place for indoor places, indoor dining. Just from the economic development perspective, we're trying to provide businesses any opportunity possible to expand their space so whether that's you know, in their parking lot or in these cases on public space, we'd like to be able to do that. I think time is of the essence for that.

- I can understand that. I'm just concerned is there enough money to cover this but I'll let someone else talk.

- It's okay, Alder Galvin.

- You're muted.

- Alder Galvin you're muted.

- [Galvin] Thank you. I apologize for that. I'm in my fifth year as an Alder. We were always guilty and we were guilty even before I was an Alder of putting off to tomorrow, what we should be taking care of today. I think that too many in the past, we've said, we'll take care of it later on. And we find herself again in the economic difficulties that we're faced with today which are only exasperated by the impact of the COVID virus. And pushing us into a corner that it's very hard for us to make a budget and to do the improvements into this community that need to be done quite frankly. And we're staring in the face of it. Work source system, storm sewers, everything else. And we're looking at budgeting or trying to build up some kind of a fund for parking ramps and that kind of stuff. And we're saying I you know, let's take care of that later on down the road. And when we do things like that is when we always end up getting jammed up. I like this idea was one of my constituents that made me aware of this and I put it towards her your box office and I'm very much in fear. But at the same time, we have to be cautious. And I understand those parking spots are not full, the entire time all the time, especially now during COVID. But I think we should be doing what we can to help the restaurants downtown. But at the same time I'm not comfortable approving this unless I have some guaranteed revenue source that make up the loss. I mean, if we could find a revenue source some other revenue source to start funding VPW for these parking ramps, I'd say do away with charging these restaurants any kind of a fee at all, we make life a little bit easier. Aren't making it the money right? Now they're barely breaking even. But again, I don't want to get starts in my eye about a great project and then hurt the city at the same time. It makes no sense to do that. I've been guilty of this times in the past, and we're paying the price for it now. That's just my comments, thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Scannell.

- [Scannell] Thank you, Your Honor. I supporting this, I think, for me we're in an emergency situation. And there are businesses that aren't making it and some teetering. And so I'm learning to go an extra mile here, because I think if we lose those businesses, we're really gonna be hurt. I mean, talk about loss of revenue, there's a huge loss of revenue for the city. So I think for this first year, I feel comfortable enough with all the options we have. We can cover this for this first year, size it all up and then know what we need to do going forward. Maybe we need to raise the permit, maybe we need to figure out other funding sources or whatever. But I think right now, these are emergency times. And I'm willing to really step out a bit to help out these businesses 'cause I remember when our downtown was pretty bare. And that was rough for the city too. And that was, I just don't want to go back there. So I know how they're struggling. And I think they can handle the 200 this year. So I kind of like the idea of getting it. For this first year, I think 200 fine, I trust Alder Johnson's calculations there and I was just talking with businesses, and I've had a small talk with Jeff Marcus, and I know, other Johnson has to, I think this is just, we're going to be throwing the bombs constantly. We're in an epidemic. We're in an emergency situation. I think it's on our shoulders, to do what we can for our constituents and our businesses first, and then we're gonna have held to pay later maybe? I don't know. Hopefully it sounds like now we've got enough options we can cover this first year. So I'm gonna support this. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder.

- Mayor can I chime in?

- Yeah, yeah, I was just gonna read the language that you sent over.

- Actually, I was gonna address all your spam comments, because I think that's functionally different from what I drafted. So my understanding what you guys are looking for is a permit fee, that is \$200 from our district here on out because this is not a one time fee. Instead, you're looking for a one time discount and preferred method would be to leave the current language of place, establishing those will be you know, well actually, it's the Establish where you leave here. So leaving that in place, and instead looking for an alternative readiness in order to waive that which would have to be done through public finance committee.

- Now can we amend this going forward if we need to adjust that 200 permit fee? Can we amend the ordinance?

- Yes, you can always amend an ordinance. But what I'm saying is that what we're proposing is a one year waiver of the fee, then we're not really looking to...

- I don't think I'm gonna support that I'm going to support the 200. I like the idea of not having it but I misspoke if I gave that impression. I'm gonna support the 200 for this year. I like the idea of that having any fee but I think we need to this year. So I'm not supporting no fee at all this year.

- No, no, that's not what I'm saying.

- Okay I'm sorry.

- The rate for just this year. So if you're okay with the fee being the actual bagging fee, starting next year, the language we have is appropriate and instead you could find a way to waive all the 200 of the permitting fees this year instead.

- So I guess I'm a little confused Attorney, are you suggesting we could simply leave the ordinance as is and then administratively, we would sort of discount the fee by coming up with revenue to supplement the costs?

- Administratively it'd be through finance. So finance to find the revenue source or if the revenue sources identified them, then it could be done at the administrative level or council gives general approval to be administration to just find those fees to cover it, then yes, there could be a discount of the fee for this year only. So the fee rate would be handled in it's entirety. It's just that \$200 will still be coming from the applicants essentially.

- Okay.

- I just was looking for a clarification. You guys looking to make the \$200 rate permanent or I did a request just discounted for this year because those are two very different things from adopting the ordinance.

- Right, let's go back to the Alder Johnson.

- So my amendment is to make the \$200 permanent. That's the amendment that should be being debated on the floor right now.

- And attorney Chavez, the language that you sent over to me reflects Alder Johnson's intent?

- [Chavez] Yes.

- Okay, so I'm just going to read that to the Alders that you have that in front of you. So the amendment language reads as follows: The fee for a parklet permit shall quote, be \$200 for permits issued in 2020 and thereafter shall be established annually by resolution at a rate of not less than \$200. Alder, VanderLeest.

- [VanderLeest] Thank you, Mayor. I think that, going forward for the first year, we're gonna waive that fee for the first year. Is that correct? Is that what we're looking to do? Are we voting on that \$200 fee for right now for the rest of the year and then moving forward for 2021 to be 200, 2022 be 200, of the \$200 fee annually is that correct?

- The language that I just read to Council says that we will have a rate of \$200 for permits issued in 2020 and thereafter shall be established annually by resolution at a rate of not less than \$200. So counsel...

- I'm comfortable with that Mr. Mayor. I'm comfortable with that. Thank you, I support it.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Galvin.

- [Galvin] If it's possible, it may not be a huge rules guide. But I'd like to make an amendment to that amendment that's \$200 this year, and the only way we will win the \$200 for the years after that is if council can find the money to make up the difference. I cannot support something that's just painting us into a corner financially. The benefit the restaurants at the adverse effect on our department .

- [Lefebvre] Mayor, this is Alder Lefebvre.

- Alder Lefebvre go ahead.

- [Lefebvre] I concur, I cannot support this going in the future I can see maybe we can do it this year because of the virus. These restaurants are struggling, that would help them. But I cannot go for all the years in the future because we do need the money for our ramp. We have to realize that we can't cut our arm off our hand off. Or how do you say that? I try to make out of it. But we have to be careful what we're doing because we can't short ourselves in the future, for future needs. We have to be careful on this. I would support 200 for this year. And then I think we need to look at each year separately. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Attorney Chavez can you respond to Alder Galvin and Alder Lefebvre's suggestion?

- [Chavez] Yes Mayor, the proper procedure would be for it to be, well okay, so this is already amendment to the amendment needs to be voted on before a secondary amendment could be proposed. And the second amendment that insurance Mulder gathered and alter. The face is that this would be essentially the language would be left in place, and the city would be looking for an alternative in order to discount the rate for this year. And actually, that's really not even an amendment that needs to be made just in opposition to this amendment.

- Unless there are others who would like to speak .

- Mayor.

- Yes, Alder Johnson.

- [Johnson] Thank you. Director Grenier, Do you have data on what the occupancy rates are per parking meters?

- [Grenier] Not immediately available.

- [Johnson] But you would agree that it is not 100%?

- [Grenier] No, the \$900 shortfall that I quoted would come out to 1100 out of 1300, which assumed 85% occupancy.

- So here's the thing. I mean, if if \$200 permit fee for a parklet, that's installed in a parking space that does not have a meter, we've actually had a net income. And there are a number of areas that are accommodating for these, where that would certainly be the case. But I think one of the things that we keep talking about is this shortfall, what's shortfall? This is a hypothetical projection that we have not established. I mean, I'm telling you right now folks, if you get up and talk to any business owner right now, that would mildly be interested in this, if we go back to the daily back in, then we may not just pass this ordinance because they will not take out the permit for that. The math doesn't work. You can't pay for, that kind of fee and still generate the tables that you need to generate to make that work. So I certainly do not support going back to the daily big rate fee. So there's a reason I propose the \$200 amendment. That is what I support. And I think if we see one to two businesses that legitimately leverage this this year, I would be in. So we're not talking about a significant thing here. I do support what Attorney Chavez had mentioned, which is re-establishing that fee by resolution each year, because in a hypothetical scenario, let's say 20 businesses step up and want to do it this year, okay, and then maybe I can see where there's a serious conversation needs to be added. And what Attorney Chavez has proposed gives us that ability on an annual basis to say, you know what, the scales have tipped the wrong way we need to bring it back. And I think we can do that. But we invest in quality of life amenities all the time in our community. We spend a substantial amount of money on parks in other amenities that we don't have nearly this level of debate as we are about 160 foot square foot our clay. I think this is the quality of life and many of the people would enjoy. I think it's something that would be a very, very poor business community, but we have to make it accessible, if it's not accessible, it's not going to be used. And then this conversation's off or not. Thank you mayor.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Galvin.

- [Galvin] Thank you. And again, I'm totally in favor of the \$200 fee this year. Let's see what happens. Let's reevaluate it for next year, but I'm not willing to let it rest with us taking a look at it next year, evaluate it. Next year, let's see what happens. But I want us to hang in place to replace those funds. Even if it's only two, three, four, five, 10 restaurants that take advantage of this, we still have to do our due diligence to the taxpayers and replace those funds somehow. And we do this all the time, not just here, but everywhere. We're looking at a budget shortfall this year already, for thousands of dollars. Next year, what's it going to be like, and the year after that? And also, it's gonna be five, six, seven years down the road, and we're gonna have to start looking at funding for a ramp. And if money saved up and ready to go, we could save the taxpayers money there. And I agree, I want these restaurants to succeed. And that's why I'm saying this year I'm happy with the \$200 fee, but I want that amendment, or that ordinance to read that we will replace that money that loss of revenue. And I would like DPW to take a look at those stalls to see how much they are really being used in the past, we do have a legitimate idea of how much the loss is or isn't if, what you're saying is correct. Thank you.

- Thank you Alder. So we have Alder Johnson's amendment on the table before us. If there are no further comments on that, I think we should go to a vote.

- [Steuer] Mayor.

- Alder Steuer.

- [Steuer] Thank you mayor. For one year or for three years my computer conked out here up on Washington Island and I'm having a hard time but I just wanted to know what was the timeframe on this? Are you looking at one year, two years? A prominent, a prominent.

- But Attorney Chavez at this point we would annually review by resolution to permanency.

- Okay, so there was a chance to resolve them. We could look at something like this next year. Are you okay with that? Because I'm kind of leaning toward what Alder Galvin said. If there's something to you, that we do the 200 this year and then look at it again next year to make sure that we're all working at all. So, is that okay? I don't know if you feel that way, but I'm leaning toward what Alder Galvin said.

- [Vanessa] Mayor It's just one additional thing to add on it. So you're aware when we had big jumps, substantial jumps from one year to the next. We run into problems. So I just want you all to be aware of that situation of potentially deciding in two years that \$200 pieces of working having a substantially jumped to the full rate.

- Interesting, any further comments?

- Could get point of order that point?

- Yeah, Alder Johnson.

- [Johnson] Thank you, Attorney Chavez. I just want to be clear. So what I'm looking to do with my amendment is eliminate the daily meter bag rate wording. And I wanted to replace it with the \$200 permit fee, which you suggested it would be best to not have that amount in the ordinance, but that by resolution, we will establish the annual permit fee. And that's where we're at right now. Is that correct?

- [Chavez] So what we're doing right now is kind of a hybrid. Normally, we wouldn't go this route, we would not put the, into the ordinance and then establish it by resolution, because it kind of creates a standard rather than the basis for it that's established by resolution annually. Trying to do right now to make it work. We don't

have this up. But generally, if we're going to do it by resolution, we just never would put identified in the actual ordinance. It was just always say established by resolution.

- And so that because we're establishing it by resolution every year, we would reevaluate that by default things is that correct?

- [Chavez] The plan will be once we get the new code codified, all the fees will be established by resolution. That's what we've been moving through. And then those will be reviewed by counsel on a regular basis.

- Okay, thank you.

- And we will move to vote. Alder would you like a recorded vote?

- Yes, please.

- All right, we will use the board on Alder Johnson's amendment which again, reads, the fee for a parking permit shall be \$200 for permits established in 2020 and thereafter shall be established annually by resolution at rate of not less than \$200.

- Mayor Alder Dorff has lost her internet.

- Yes, can you hear Alder Dorff on the phone? Alder Dorff how do you vote on this amendment?

- That was unclear.

- Okay, Alder Dorff says she's trying to regain her internet but she'd like to vote yes on the amendment.

- Okay.

- Okay, all right.

- I'm out as well. I'm gonna have to vote verbally.

- And?

- I will vote yes, was the hundred the stipulation that we are looking at this next year so I will vote yes for now, yes.

- Alright so that is a vote of 11 to one the amendment is successful entertain a motion, to adopt as amended?

- [Steuer] So moved.

- Moved.

- [Corpus] Second.

- Moved by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax. Discussion on the amended motion. Hearing none, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Attendees] Aye.

- Nay?

- Nay.

- Alder Dorff voted yes.

- Very good, the ayes have it. The motion is successful. We are now on to the report of Protection Policy Committee.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Lefebvre to approve report amendment. Minutes from the meetings on June 8th and June 22nd 2020. And the items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- Item one.

- One, any others? Hearing none others all in favor of approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- The ayes have, it the report has been approved with exception of item one.

Minutes of the Common Council

- Motion to approve.

- Second

- Second

- Made by Alder Scannell and the second was by Alder Corpus-Dax. Items pulled by Alder Galvin, you have the floor.

- [Galvin] Thank you. The only concern I have with this is that the security plan once again seems to be lacking in the materials that were on the planets. The police department is missing part of the page. And there is no indication that the cameras that they're talking about being in use have been installed or there's any recording devices. That's part of the problem with most of the form being missing. I don't even know that was ever signed by the police department.

- Well. We're gonna go to Commander Wayte, if he's on the line, to respond to Alder Galvin.

- [Wayte] I have not been briefed on the security plan for that page. We refer it back to the committee and I'll have it prepared for that next meeting.

- Okay, thank you, Commander. Believe all the story was seeking recognition.

- Yes, thank you, Mayor. At committee, my understanding was that the police in the law and law department had no issue with it. So I this is my information that was has been brought forward. So I don't know if there's time sensitivity with this establishment or not, but the committee usually will listen to a lot of problem until the police department and I do remember that. We didn't seem to have a problem with it. So with that being said, if it's not time sensitive, I'll defer to commander Wayte on that.

- Alder Chavez you have any comments from law department?

- [Chavez] I do not. I do know that Attorney Vander is very thorough and her review of things before she gets the approval from a lot of common sense. So she did not allow me to any concerns that were raised with that one.

- That makes sense. Can I ask Alder Galvin where he got that information?

- [Galvin] Sure I've looked at the packet. And I guess my concern is not so much with the attorney's office. And I don't want to besmirch the police department, but there seems to be lately. When they're going through these security plans, parts of the plans are not being signed off on or they're being crossed out or being changed or not being filled in. And quite frankly, I don't even know of the go back and verify of any of these establishments have installed any of the security measures or anything that they say they're going to. And so I'm concerned and as anyone knows, once we grant the license, if they don't comply, it's very difficult to get them to comply and it turns into a legal mess. That's costs us nothing but time. I'd rather have everything done front the way it's supposed to be and after the fact.

- Well, that is concerning to me as well. So I don't know, I would I would suggest that we need to tighten up on that. So, I mean, we as a committee, base a lot of our decisions on when law and police say so I think moving forward, we're gonna need to tighten that up. So I don't know, whatever that'll take. I'll talk to you about that later. But I would say, I'll defer to you all, the governors on this.

- I mean, and obviously, if there's a way of handling this, that if the PDE can produce or the city can produce the proper paperwork, that indicates the security cameras have been installed, and that everything's been signed off properly on the form I have no problem with, if there's a way of doing this, we don't have to wait to the next council meeting, which is July 21st. And I can see where there could be a significant impact, even though we have COVID going on right now. It's still gonna be a significant impact to the business that hopes to get up and running.

- Attorney Chavez, would there be a way to have a motion to approve contingent on identifying the appropriate documentation confirming that PDE has reviewed and approved?

- [Chavez] Yes, so there is no doubt we've delegated the authority for final approval to Protection Policy and stipulating the fee. The approval is based on receiving confirmation of the conditions having to do with that.

- Okay. Most good for me too.

- Alder Steuer just,

- I'm sorry, I'm sorry.

- You know, working remotely, I just gotta respect the...

- I'm trying sorry.

- So Alder Galvin, is that an amendment that you would

- [Galvin] Yes, exactly. I would be more than happy to endorse that amendment.

- So we do have an amendment offered.

- Second

- Second by Alder Scannell, any further discussion on that? Okay, seeing none, all those in favor signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Those nay? Motion has been amended.

- Motion to approve as amended.

- Second.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder VanderLeest to approve as amended all in favor signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Those nay? The ayes have it. Motion has been passed as amended. Onto the record the Protection Policy Committee granting operator licenses.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Stevens to approve report "N" which was report of protection of House Committee granting operator licenses. Any names on here for what you'd like to be recorded as abstaining? Any names and others report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none All in favor of the report please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- All opposed nay? The ayes have it, the report has been approved for the Planning Commission.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax to approve report O, which is the report of the Planning Commission meeting on June 22nd 2020. Any the items here to be handled separately? Hearing none all in favor approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Attendees] Aye.

- Nay?

- The ayes have it, report has been approved. Needs committee

- Motion to approve.

- To approve

- Motion made by Alder Corpus-Dax and seconded by Alder Scannell to approve the report of the Finance Committee mentioned 16th 2020. Any items under this report to be handled separately? Hearing none All in favor approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- for committee.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion made by Corpus-Dax seconded by Alder Scannell to approve Report V which is a report of the park committee from the meetings on June 10th and June 24th, 2020. Any items under this report, to be handled separately? Scannell?

- [Scannell] I'm not sure if I'll find the baby beach.

- Number two.

- I'm sorry I'm making it rain for my face number two, like the pool number two please.

- Very good, any others?

- Mayor I would also like number six please.

- Two and six. Items two and six will be handled separately. Hearing none all in favor approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Nay, the eyes have it items two and six, item two.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff pulled by Alder Scannell, you have the floor.

- I would just like to refer this back to committee. I've had a talk with our director despite Parker Rector and he thinks he's got a plan that will be a little different as far as taking things in that will meet everybody's concerns and needs on this. And he just needs a little more time to flesh that out and so send this back to come to our community at our next meeting. So motion to refer.

- Second.

- Second.

- Two has been made to refer back to committee by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. Any further comments?

- [Lefebvre] Mayor?

- Alder Lefebvre, go ahead.

- I'll vote no to refer, I want this to be tabled to be held. I do not believe that the beach itself neither the then we don't need the changing rooms. To me this as a waste of money, thank you.

- Thanks for calling Alder any others? Hearing no others we have a motion refer back it's been seconded all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Gerlach] Aye.

- [Teske] Aye.

- [Warych] Aye.

- Opposed nay?

- [Lefebvre] Nay.

- The ayes have it, the item has been referred back to committee on to item six. Any wishes?

- Motion to approve.

- Second

- Motion made by Alder Scannell, the second was,

- [Wery] Wery.

- Alder Wery. Alder Lefebvre you have the floor.

- [Lefebvre] Yes, I was doing some calculations, the annual fee goes from 35 to 60, that's a 71% increase. And then the senior goes from 30 to 50. That's a 67% increase. The only way to prove this is if those increases will now go into actually fixing the dock. Those are long overdue, we need money. We do need the money to fix those docks. And once you know with this increase, when can we see the decks in, new decks put in improved?

- Thanks Alder. I believe we need more revenue than would be generated from the fee increase to to improve those docks but we'll go to Director Ditscheit for some context on the fee increase.

- Yes, you're correct. You're correct Mayor, that the fee increase would go into effect on at the budget year. So come January 1st is when the fee would go into effect if it's approved. And yes, all of the funding would go into a dedicated account going towards the maintenance and improvements at the metro boat launch. Would

it help to decrease the cost of the lock, so probably would. But the cost to fix those ramps and launches would be significantly higher than what one year of revenue would do. So we would have to look at bonding for this in the near future. If we want those ramps fixed sooner than later.

- [Lefebvre] Right now what I mean is, I don't want this going to the parking lot or the other Park. I want this extended fee increase in the fee going just to replacing those docks. I know you'll be short with extending medical shot that extra mile to go just for those ducks. That's what the voters have been requesting. I had requests from a lot of people talking about the docks, are so bad. I mean otherwise I think they're going to be upset with these increased fees.

- Thanks Alder, additional comments? Hearing none, we have a motion.

- Mayor? Alder Steuer go ahead.

- [Steuer] Thank you, Mayor. I've been down to metro launch quite a bit too and it's not just the docks it's also the parking lot which isn't terrible shape. So I would like to see that taken care of as well and I don't know if Director Ditscheit can address that problem. Was that something just a pan shop or is that parking lot really is in terrible shape. so I don't know how you want to address that.

- Well, as I mentioned previously, so these fees will offset some of the costs to do the parking lots, and also the the replacement of the ramps, but they're not going to pay for these in fall. So, this will help, but we'll use stuff to bond for both projects. I know on an annual basis, Director Grenier and I do review the budget as far as how much revenues we receive in that account on a yearly basis, and we allocate the funds accordingly for the highest needs. And we will continue to do that, if these fees are increased.

- Director Ditscheit, I am I correct in remembering, not all of this fee increase, not all the money comes to the city. There is a split with Brown County taking some of it, and then some of it comes to us and then just for the edification of the council, all the money that we do receive from docking fees is and always has been in a segregated account.

- [Ditscheit] And that's correct. So any money we generate, will go back into the metro boat launch, but this is a municipal and inter governmental municipal decision. So we're working with Brown County, we're working with the village of appear, we're working with the village of Wrightstown, and we're all proposing the exact same fee structure moving forward. So that there's some consistency between all of the different docking. So that one particular ramping location is inundated with a lot of launchers because their fee is significantly cheaper than the other municipalities. So, in the past, we've always had the same fees between the different municipalities. We're all proposing the same fee together. I know appear has already brought their fee forward.

Minutes of the Common Council

And that's been approved at their committee level. I think it still has to go to Council. And then rights town and Brown County are also going to bring this forward in the near future also.

- Thanks Director.

- Mayor.

- Alder Steuer go ahead.

- [Steuer] Yes, I was gonna ask Director Ditscheit and also want to the pier and Rights Town is coming up as well. Is there a scenario where, let's say Green Bay wanted approve it, and they wont approve it? I mean, what would that cause? I mean, you're looking forward to have all three municipalities take care of that so what if it does not happen? You know, if two vote, one don't.

- My recollection from Depear when I talked to the director there is that their approval of the fee structure was contingent upon all of the other municipalities not doing the same fee structure. And that is actually the motion that we brought forward at part committee also.

- I'm good with that, thank you.

- Alder Ditscheit, thank you. Thank you.

- We do have a motion on a second on the floor. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay? The ayes have it, motion has been approved. Personnel Committee.

- Move to approve.

- Second.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Dorff seconded by Alder Scannell to approve report "R" which is the report of Personnel Committee. 2020. Any items under this report to handle separately? Hearing none all in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Nay? The ayes have it, the report has been approved. Onto report of the traffic bicycle and Pedestrian Commission.

- Motion to approve

- Second.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell seconded by best string commission from 2020. Any items here to be handled separately? Hearing none all in favor the report will signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The ayes have it report has been approved on to the Ad-hoc Committee on Elections.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Alder Dorff seconded by Alder Galvin to approve report "T" which is the report of the Ad-hoc Committee on Elections. Meeting is on May 28th, June 4th, June 11th and June 18th 2020. Any items under this report handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor bring that report please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay? The ayes have it report has been approved. It's even placed on file.

- Motion to receive and place on file.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax, to receive and place on file the municipal court and building permit reports for May, 2020. Any discussion? Hearing none all in favor approving that report, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- The ayes have it report has been received and placed on file. Committee of the whole we'll just take these individually. Item one consideration with possible action on the resolution extending the state of emergency for the City of Green Bay COVID-19 response. We've been extending these to coincide with upcoming council meetings. That's again, what we are doing upon the recommendation of our emergency manager, fire chief Litton as well as law department and our City Attorney Chavez. Any discussion here? Hearing none, I will...

- Alder Wery.

- Alder Wery.

- Alder Wery, go ahead.

- [Wery] Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Did in the past month, since we met, have there been any actions taken using the emergency declaration? Buy the staff for yourself if we needed it?

- I am not taking any action from this office. I don't believe any others have been taken but Attorney Chavez and chief let him feel free to weigh in.

- [Vanessa] Here the only one that I am aware of, well, actually I should say that there are a couple things that are happening. One is the expansion of the premises. The general organs, the second one up on the whole to expand it beyond the licensed premises, that is being done pursuant to the emergency declaration and then I know that TV I'm sorry, not TV Fire Department is needed to do some procurements, emergency procurements, due to the COVID response. And we use this to the fact that we're under number. So as your basis to do the .

- Very good Alder for the comments, questions?
Minutes of the Common Council

- Not right now obviously hoping that we can get back to our normal form of government here pretty soon so I'm not sure you had a foothold for this or not, thanks.

- Thanks, Alder.

- I have a question Mayor.

- Alder Brunette go ahead.

- [Brunette] Yes, thank you. I didn't see that it was attached to the agenda. At least in civic clerk it wasn't. In the past the resolution continuation of the resolution, we had some language that we'd be voting on. Is that available or was this an oversight?

- [Chavez] It is located under the resolutions.

- Okay.

- [Chavez] It is item 16.

- Thank you.

- You need a motion on this?

- That's what I was just gonna ask Attorney Chavez since it's under resolution, do we need any here?

- [Chavez] Not at this time. This is really just your opportunity to discuss whether or not you want to continue with it, but the action item is the resolution.

- Great. Any further comments or questions? Hearing none, we will simply move on to item two, consideration with possible action on general ordinance number 2020, repealing and recreating GBMs C section 33.03 and five relating to temporary expansion of premises. Attorney Chavez, can you briefly explain?

- [Chavez] Yeah, so there are two real changes that were made. The first is in the initial draft, because we were trying to do in such an expedited manner. Plus these permits or licenses did not get included in the expansion so the correct set and include class See. And then the other thing that we're adding is to give explicit authority to also unlikely from sister restaurants that do not serve alcohol. The reason being is that we thought at a staff level that there was adequate opportunity to expand it under using ordinances. And there is only opportunity for the planning director to expand it for 15 days. So this allows us to expand it for the same period of time as life.

- Great, thanks for that explanation. Any comments here from counsel? Seeing none, here too, we've got an action item, upcoming. So I believe we can just move on to item three. update with possible action on the status of 2020 increase the budget, including impacts and changes caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. I'm going to go to Director Ellenbecker, who can walk council through the document that that was shared just for our council meeting. Director Ellenbecker.

- [Ellenbecker] Thank you. Thank you and I apologize for the last minute of sending out the document. But I mean, it should be at least in your in my view on where we think at this time, the 2020 budget project section will fund. Again revenues is where we're gonna have some issues and some shortfalls in revenues, property tax, we think we're going to be fine in that area and the taxes. At this point we do project maybe \$150,000 short. And that's really in your hotel room tax. Again, Packer season could have some bigger impact on that line item, whether it is partially paid or not played at all, but this is a projection at this time. We know that we have reduced our liquor licenses to Both 50% and so they were looking to be short, a little on the liquor license, burglar alarms and permits about 140,000. Fines and penalties at this point a projection is that we're going to be short about 120,000 public charges for services. At this point, there's a projection of a shortfall for Park rental and admissions. Some numbers we've received from parks department, I'm showing a shortfall of about 140,000. And then an interest, we're looking at interest on the cash that we have in our banks because of the slow interest rate that we're going to be below budget by about 280,000. So we are looking at a projected short budget writer shortfall of about 830,000. Then we move into the expenses and because of some of the programs that we're not running the parks area, including pools and park programs, and some other changes that were completed in the in that area, we have some savings and salaries and some fringe benefits. So we will see some savings in total parks programs and park pools. We're gonna see probably about \$100,000 savings for not running those programs this summer. We have put in place to freeze travel and to try to reduce other training at 50%. We think that's going to be about a \$90,000 savings. We also are looking to take a 10% reduction in our materials and supplies on 90,000. So at this time we are expecting that we will seek some savings in our 2020 budget expenses of about 420,000. That would leave us a shortfall of about 410,000. What we have pulled together is you'll actually see two columns on the document but we know that we have a peers HHS grant which really covers release or HEMS we have some money that's allocated to the City of Green Bay. There is some DOJ grant allocations For 151,000 and we also have some carriers money, which was an

Minutes of the Common Council

allocation for the roads to recovery, and some FEMA. Now these items are only going to offset expenses that we have incurred because of the COVID. So we have actually not taken those grant dollars into consideration for our shortfall 'cause it really should just offset any additional expenses we had. What we are looking at to help cover our shortfall is we are looking to have a train on operational reduction if for our transit costs, we budget 1,248,000 because of some large transit getting Care's dollars. They are looking to reduce the amount of the operational levy for this year due to COVID. So we think that is about \$200,000 additional revenue that we will see this year only this year because of the carrier's money. We have delayed a few hires. At this point we think that's about worth 25,000. We have the contingency account. If we were to allocate the contingency of 100,000, we would have that toward this budget for sure shortfall. We have the group agreements that were just approved in the finance committee, and that will bring 75,000 into the 2020 budget that was not in the 2020 budget. So we will have that additional revenue. We also had a fire contract was settled at 2%. And we originally budgeted for two and a half because we didn't know how the contract was going to end, that is a \$50,000 savings. And we also are going to be working with all departments to reduce, have a slight reduction in overtime of \$100,000. Those items altogether will be about 550,000 and we are looking to cover the shortfall of 410. So, working with the mayor, working with senior staff, we are very confident that these changes on the bottom we'll cover the shortfall without doing any furloughs with any additional changes. However, of course Council have right to recommender or want to make some other changes than what we have put in front of you, but to this date, we believe that we will cover the 2020 budget shortfall.

- Great, thanks so much for that presentation. Director Alder VanderLeest would like to speak.

- We're getting there but there's a bunch of resolutions that have to be done.

- VanderLeest.

- [VanderLeest] Can you hear me there?

- Yes we can.

- [VanderLeest] Okay. It was for Diana on the city of Green Bay that we selected our own taxes, in person and the city collected it we have a savings what was the savings involved with the city collecting the taxes, rather than having the county do it, what was the savings that dollars amount?

- Yes, Director.

- [VanderLeest] Director Diana.

- [Diana] We didn't know that we were going to collect them. So we budgeted the additional there was no savings to do that there was some additional, there was some additional money that we were going to receive an interest and fortunately with the interest rate high enough in the beginning of the year that we were able to have additional interest, but we budgeted for it. So it really it came in very close to budget. Again, increase expenses, because of the additional staff we needed additional equipment we needed and services that we had to pay for, and then it was offset by those expenses were offset by interest revenue that we budgeted for. So, it came right in at budget. At that point, I think we were expecting somewhere between 25 and 50,000 additional dollars, in interest offset by expenses.

- [VanderLeest] Is the city going to collect their taxes? In other words for the 2021 year, are they're gonna collect the taxes in the same procedure that they did in 2020?

- City of Green Bay will be collecting the taxes December 2022, February of 2021. We will be doing exactly the same way we are looking for enhancements, we're working with our own county and the taxing software to try to have more improvements, so that it will even be more efficient from last year but yes, we are planning to collect.

- [VanderLeest] Okay, thank you. That answers my question. Thank you very much.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Galvin.

- [Galvin] Thank you, this is for Director Ellenbecker. Under proposed plan to cover shortfall. You have Packer over time of \$500,000 how does that happen? I thought the Packers remember versus city for the overcommit.

- They do and that's why actually I have it in the column on the motherboard left column that actually has no financial impact on the shortfall because all Packer overtime is for every dollar we spend the Packers do. Every expense that we have we are on offset and we build them back. So, when you look at the budget, you might see if we don't have Packer overtime this year you won't see the revenue and you won't see the expense but the one from one and they'll offset. So they are not included in any way to cover a shortfall.

- Okay, so it's just a Walsh's always. All right, thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Wery.

- [Wery] Thank you, Mr. Mayor. My questions for I guess whoever can answer the \$100,000 in overtime that we're reducing what services it will we receive less so does that mean less grass cutting or traffic enforcement? What's going to be affected?

- Start the conversation their husbands. There has been some discussions with the fire chief and with the police chief. And today I believe the police chief has already worked on some changes within his department on some training. We've also asked all city departments, but they're relatively small dollars, but to not encourage and not incur any overtime unless that is actually absolutely either emergency or you know, public safety. So we are looking to also continue to have that discussion as we move forward through though the rest of the year.

- I think there's gonna be some services affected otherwise, I think we would have done this last year probably when we raised taxes an incredible amount. So do we look at furloughs, voluntary furloughs or what a day of furlough would mean you know, per day?

- Yeah, we have estimated when we put the 2020 budget together or previous year we had put some numbers together for all the non union employees one day furlough is somewhere between 80 to a maybe \$90,000 of a savings.

- Okay. Director Grenier, are you reducing services due to this? Are you losing some overtime and what would it affect?

- [Grenier] We've not been involved in the overtime discussions as we would be yet. If we were the lion's share of our overtime is spent on snowballing.

- Okay, and that's not really something we could reduce sort of think.

- Okay, that's all I have for right now, thank you.

- Alder any objections? Okay, as we said we do not need action here, but it is available to counsel if they would choose to take it. So if there aren't any further questions or comments, we would be able to move on here. Seeing none we will move on to resolutions.

- Motion to suspend the rules.

- Second.

- There's been a motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff to suspend the rules to take up these items with one roll call vote. All in favor that motion please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay? The eyes have it, the rules are suspended.

- Motion to adopt.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff to adopt resolution one through 16. Any discussion? marked me as no one 16 place.

- Will do Alder.

- Also Alder Brunette and no on 16.

- Alder VanderLeest no one 16.

- That is noted. Without any further comments, we will use the board.

- Mayor there will be a yes.

- Reminder to mute your devices if you're not speaking. Those are past 12 zero noting opposition on item 16 by the three Alders on two ordinances first reading for adoption.

- Motion to suspend the rules.

- Need to handle these separately, there's an amendment on that one.

- Okay then a motion to adopt number one.

- Second.

- Motion has been made by Alder Scandell seconded by Alder Lefebvre to adopt

- [Vanessa] Mayor as a clarification.

- Yes, go ahead.

- The fools do need to be suspended if you can suspend the rules on both of them to take action on them and that is required to for so.

- Very good. Okay, so we We will entertain a motion to suspend the rules on item one.

- Motion to suspend.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Johnson seconded by Alder Scannell to suspend the rules and adopt this ordinance with one reading. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay?

- Move to amend item one. So that the language regarding the permit fee is consistent with what was discussed on the normal agenda item.

- Second.

- Amendment offered by older Johnson, seconded by Alder Scannell. Any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of that amendment will signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Nay?

- Motion conducted as amended.

- Motion has been made by Alder Scannell, to adopt as amended.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Dorff. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Oppose nay? That item has been adopted. Unto...

- Just suspend the rules to adopt with one reading.

- Second

- Motion has been made to suspend the rules by Alder Johnson seconded by Alder Scannell, all in favor of suspension of the rules will signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The ayes have it. Rules are suspended under...

- Motion to adopt.

- Second.

Minutes of the Common Council

- Motion to adopt made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Johnson. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Nay. The ayes have it.

- Item 40 require a roll call vote. Both ordinances will require a roll call vote, yeah.

- Okay, so I'll entertain, I guess a motion to reconsider on item one.

- But don't we have had to vote against it to reconsider it?

- No, you just have to be in the majority. [Chavez] You don't have to have to do a reconsideration. You can just take the vote on the board.

- Okay. We will take the both votes on the board for items one and two. Do these need to be done separately attorney?

- [Chavez] Only if there's a call for separation now that the amendment has been put into place, there's no issue to be taken up at the same time.

- Without any opposition to that we will take up both with one roll call vote. All right, seeing none we'll go to the board.

- [Wayte] On the it didn't come through. And I'm not sure why it's not coming through.

- Thank you.

- I think we should mark you as a mustache.

- Yeah.

- I must ask you a question, Randy.

- Those are adopting 12 zero on two ordinances, first reading.

- Motion to suspend the rules.

- Motion to suspend the rules made by Alder Johnson seconded by Alder Dorff. All in favor that motion please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay.

- The ayes have it the rules are suspended.

- Motion to advance.

- Second.

- Second.

- Motion's been made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to advance items one through three. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay, the ayes have it, and these items have been advanced to a final reading.

- Do we need a roll call vote on that?

- [Chavez] To advance no, it's just to adopt you always require both.

- Alright, so we're on to referral petitions and communications.

- Mayor.

- Yes, Alder Johnson go ahead.

- [Johnson] Thank you, I have two. The first is Arts committee to consider the installation of surveillance equipment at Seymour Park. The second one I'm looking for some direction from staff to consider a 2020 fee waiver for the parklet permit seed utilizing cares Act funding, that go to RTA.

- [Chavez] I would defer to either Director Ellenbecker or Director Vonck as to which committees is the appropriate location for it. To refer on what source is gonna use city or RTA funds.

- So I would recommend sending it to RTA. If there's any change in that plan, they're the ones who allocated the funds. So we'd have to look at eligibility and then if there's a modification it would come back to Council for any adaption. So it's really a preliminary one was done. So I'd recommend setting it back to RDA.

- And perhaps maybe I would even loosen that up and just eliminate Cares Act Funding. If there's another source that Economic Development Department has that could be used, it doesn't have to be correspondent.

- Sure.

- Thank you Alder. Any other communications?

- I have one, Mayor.

- Just go ahead.

- Protection Policy for discussion with possible action on revising the City of Green Bay's code of conduct for elected officials in the City of Green Bay's code of ethics to include provisions that detail what activities are proper and what activities should be prohibited for elected officials in regard to engaging in partisan politics through the use of their Green Bay elected officers.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Galvin.

- [Galvin] Thank you. This is on behalf of a constituent I don't endorse this one way or the other, who was told by the chairman of the Protection and Policy Committee that if she was to get new information on the effects of fluoride on Green Bay residents, that he would be willing to look at it again for consideration. So that's my communication.

- Thanks Alder. Any others? All right, seeing none, I'll entertain a motion of referral communications.

- Motion to refer.

- Seconded

- Made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder vanderLeest to refer all the petitions, indications to the proper authority. All favor the motion please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Nay.

- Successful .

- Motion made by Alder Scandell.

- Second

- Seconded by Alder Steuer, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.