



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

TUESDAY, JULY 21, 2020, 6:00 PM

Virtual Meeting

Public may also view at

www.youtube.com/CityOfGreenBay

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. This item contains documents which provide call in information and instructions for the Zoom Meeting.

B. ROLL CALL.

Present: Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Kathy Lefebvre

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

D. INVOCATION.

I. The invocation will be led by Jerry Bader, Pastor, Faith by the Bay Ministries, invited by Alder Brian Johnson, District 9.

The invocation was led by Jerry Bader, Pastor, Faith by the Bay Ministries, invited by Alder Brian Johnson, District 9.

E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

Minutes of the Common Council

I. Approval of the minutes from the June 29, 2020 Common Council meeting.

F. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to amend the agenda to take Committee of the Whole and Resolutions after Agenda Item I. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve as amended. Motion carried.

G. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

H. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

I. APPOINTMENTS.

I. New Appointment

Zoning and Planning Board of Appeals

Tom Hoy, 1120 Cass Street, Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: August 1, 2023

Re-appointment

Green Bay Sustainability Commission

John Arendt, 726 Sunrise Lane, Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: August 1, 2023

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the appointment. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve the re-appointment. Motion carried.

J. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to suspend the rules and take Ordinances 1 through 3 with a roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to adopt Ordinances 1 through 3. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

I. Zoning Ordinance No. 09-20

An ordinance rezoning property located at 1341 Brosig Street from General Commercial (C1)

Minutes of the Common Council

District to Varied Density Residential (R3) District.

2. General Ordinance No. 19-20

An ordinance creating section 9.17, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to responsible bidder criteria.

3. General Ordinance No. 21-20

An ordinance amending Section 29.208, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to parking regulations.

K. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (JULY 14, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve a 2020 fee waiver for the parklet permit fee utilizing CARES Act funding or other available funding sources (communication from Ald. Johnson from June 29, 2020 Common Council meeting).

2. To approve a Hold Harmless Agreement with Downtown Green Bay, Inc. for a temporary outdoor dining space at 204, 206, 208, and 210 N. Washington Street (Tax Parcels 12-65, 12-66, 12-67, 12-68) and work with the Parking Division to ensure no loss of revenue.

L. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (JULY 15, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve the request by Michael Krouth, 311 N. Henry Street, to refund \$470 in connection fees for mini-storm sewer connection.

2. To approve the request to amend and update the Stormwater Utility ordinance to include and explain the impact trees have on stormwater retention and drainage, and to provide a dollar credit to property owners who have trees planted or growing on their property in order to be consistent and fair with what the majority of the Common Council passed in November 2019. If the ordinance

Minutes of the Common Council

and Equivalent Residential Unit calculations are not adjusted appropriately, then Forestry expenses should no longer be paid for by Stormwater utility rates effective January 2021 (referred to staff at the April 29, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting to modify existing ordinance to further define pervious area in the ordinance and report back to Committee) and to approve the draft General Ordinance No. 26-20. (Ald. Brunette)

3. To refer back to staff the request that Department of Public Works looks into the storm water sewer backups starting from East Shore Circle to California/East Shore Drive (referred to staff at the June 10, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting). (Ald. Lefebvre)

4. To hold until the August 12, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request for status update on plans to address chronic flooding in the vicinity of Main and East Mason Streets and Department of Public Works staff to report back to the Committee on feedback from designer on phasing the project (held at the December 10, 2019 Improvement and Services Committee meeting). (Ald. Nicholson)

5. To receive and place on file the request for (1) review of the Department of Public Works completion date expectations with possible action, and (2) notification to the Brown County Home Builders Association about the meeting as Department of Public Works staff will be meeting with Brown County Home Builders Association on December 12, 2019 (held at the April 8, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting). (Ald. Nicholson)

6. To approve the request to amend Chapter 9, Subsection IV, Green Bay Municipal Code to address pavement assessments against residential properties located in mixed use zoning areas and to approve the draft General Ordinance No. 27-20. (Ald. Stevens)

7. To approve the request by Wisconsin Department of Transportation to enter into a Routine Maintenance and Operation Agreement for the Ray Nitschke Memorial (Main Street) Bridge for State Fiscal Year 2021 (July 1, 2020 – June 30, 2021).

8. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to award construction contract at a staff level and report the award at the next regular meeting of the Improvement and Services Committee for SEWERS 5-20 S. GRANDVIEW ROAD SANITARY SEWER.

9. To receive and place on file the actions taken by Department of Public Works

A. Granting of Licenses

1. Sidewalk Builder to Poblocki Paving Corp.

2. Underground Sprinkler System to ADC Maintenance LLC.

10. To receive and place on file the award of contract SOUTH BAY SHORE DIKE REINFORCEMENT to Vinton Construction in the amount of \$1,013,527.10.

**M. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (JULY 13, 2020
RECESSED AND RECONVENED JULY 16, 2020).**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve with the exception of Items 12 and 13. Motion carried.

1. To approve an application for a "Class B" Combination license for Tristas Lunchbox LLC at 1542 University with a licensed premises as "MAIN FLR, BAR, COOLERS, POOL ROOM, BACK OFFICE, TIKI BAR W/IN OUTDOOR PATIO, OUTDOOR PATIO," previously discussed at the June 8 Protection & Policy Committee meeting. (Previously licensed as Alisa Marie, LLC), with the approval of the proper authorities.

2. To approve a "Class A" Liquor and Class "A" Beer license for Mi Favorita Supermarket LLC at 1908 E. Mason St. with a licensed premises description of "coolers," with the approval of the proper authorities. (Previously licensed as Mi Favorita Supermarket).

3. To approve a "Class B" Combination License for Lil Jamaica LLC at 1332 S. Broadway with a licensed description as "bar area, 2 small closets, bathrooms, closet at back entrance, small attic, outdoor patio, side yard," with the approval of the proper authorities. (Previously licensed as

Sunshine N Wallys Bar, Inc).

4. To approve a Class "B" Beer & "Class C" Wine license by Aldo's Pizza of Green Bay, LLC at 1247 Velp Ave. with a licensed premises as "Cooler, dining room," with the approval of the proper authorities. (Currently licensed as an individual Jean Cleary).

5. To approve a 2020-2021 renewal application for a "Class B" Combination License for Chip Stacks, Inc. at 416 Dousman St. with a change of agent and with the approval of proper authorities.

6. To approve a renewal application for the 2020-2021 license year for Strats, Inc. at 2850 Humboldt Rd. (Submitted June 29, 2020), with the approval of the proper authorities.

7. To approve the renewal applications for various liquor and/or beer licenses for the 2020-2021 license year with approval of the proper authorities (see attached).

8. To approve the release of the 2019-2020 "Class B" Combination licenses to the pool of available licenses due to no action taken for the 2020-2021 license year.

9. To approve a request by Hagemeister Park, Inc. at 325 N. Washington St. to amend their liquor license to include a sidewalk cafe permit adjacent to 325 N. Washington St.

10. To approve a request by Skogen's Foodliner, Inc. at 2430 University Ave. to amend their liquor license to include part of their parking lot for Click and Collect curbside pickup.

11. To approve a request by Skogen's Foodliner, Inc. at 2250 W. Mason St. to amend their liquor license to include part of their parking lot for Click and Collect curbside pickup.

12. To receive and place on file a request by Ald. Wery for an update with possible action on changes to the Ethics Ordinance/Policy that were requested in 2018, with explanation as to why it is taking so long while other newer programs, policies and ordinances are placed ahead of this item.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 12.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to have the Law Department present the amended Ethics Ordinance/Policy to the Ethics Committee in September. Motion failed.

Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach
A voice vote was taken on the original motion and motion carried.

13. No recommendation on a request by Ald. Scannell to make a city ordinance requiring employees and the public in social situations to wear masks and practice social distancing.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to receive and place on file Item 13.
Motion carried.

14. To hold a request by Ald. Galvin that the city consider taking fluoride out of the treatment process for the city water to the next meeting of Protection and Policy committee for staff to provide available dates for a special meeting to address this item alone.

15. To approve General Ordinance 24-20, an ordinance amending Section 2.06(8), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to reading of ordinances.

16. To hold a communication from Alder Dorff to research and create a fair housing ordinance for the City of Green Bay, previously discussed at the July 8, 2019 Protection & Policy meeting, to the next meeting of the Protection and Policy Committee to allow for more input from the public.

17. To approve General Ordinance number 22-20, repealing and recreating Section 6.39, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to mobile food establishments.

18. To receive and place on file The Liquor Violation Report for July 13, 2020.

19. To receive and place on file an informational report regarding liquor licenses.

N. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve. Motion carried.

I. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee Granting Operator Licenses.

O. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (JULY 13, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve with the exception of Item 3. Motion carried.

1. To receive and place on file a communication from Ald. Kathy Lefebvre (District 6) "To look at residential policy per storm water runoff; residences are experiencing rain water runoff from elevated houses because of floodplain building elevation requirements (FEMA)" (from May 26, 2020 Plan Commission meeting).

2. To refer to Planning Staff a communication from Ald. Barb Dorff (District 1) to "Estimate potential costs and benefits of proposed Grandview development" (from June 29, 2020 Council Meeting).

3. To receive and place on file a communication from Ald. Chris Wery (District 8) to "Request an update with any appropriate action on the property located at the corner of S. Ridge Road/Lombardi Avenue, owned by Kuehn Properties" (from July 2, 2020 email to the City Clerk).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve Item 3. Motion carried.

4. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for self-service storage at 1929 Verlin Road, submitted by Curtis Klish, MCR Rentals, LLC, property owner (Ald. L. Gerlach, District 3). subject to:

1. Compliance with all of the regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code not covered under the Conditional Use Permit, including standard site plan review and approval.
2. There shall be no expansion of the conditional use without Plan Commission and City Council Approval.

Public hearing held 07/13/2020.

5. To amend Chapter 13-522(a)(7), Green Bay Zoning Code regarding temporary uses as presented by Planning Staff. Public hearing held 07/13/2020.

P. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (JULY 14, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve with the exception to Items 10 and 11. Motion carried.

1. To approve the payoff of 2018 and 2019 Board of Commissioners of Public Lands state trust fund loans for a total of \$3,000,000 contingent on the approval of the next two items.

2. To approve refunding \$2,000,000 BCPL state trust fund loan for TID 22.

3. To approve refunding taxable 2010 General Obligation purpose bonds (BABs).

4. To approve the acceptance of the Center for Tech & Civic Life grant for \$1,093,400 as long as any clawback provision be brought back to the Common Council.

5. To approve the report out of the acceptance of the WEC CARES subgrant for \$57,535.30 with allowable uses to prevent, prepare for, and respond to coronavirus, domestically or internationally, for the 2020 Federal election cycle.

6. To receive and place on file the review of the City of Green Bay's 10-33 program inventory.

7. To approve the purchase of Type I ambulance for GBMFD for the sum of \$261,617 from Jefferson Fire & Safety, the lowest responsive, responsible bidder for RFQ #3266.

8. To approve the purchase of Mitel Phone system from CCCP off the SourceWell group purchasing cooperative contract contingent on the supporting documentation being included in the Council packet.

9. To approve Option #1 authorizing up to \$50,000 from our 2020 contingency fund and spreading the balance of the contract over 2021-2022 under the direction of the Procurement Manager to negotiate that agreement with the expectation of the Revaluation Assessment service to be completed by 1/1/22 for the 2023 Budget.

10. No recommendation on the request for approval of amendment to cell tower lease at Ted Fritsch Park, with with summary of change and amendment to be provided to Council.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve Item 10. Motion carried.

11. No recommendation on the request for approval of amendment to cell tower lease at Fisk Park, with summary of change and amendment to be provided to Council.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve Item 11. Motion carried.

12. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Wery to offer a pay incentive/bonus for poll workers who have worked and will work this year during the Covid-19 situation, and to refer to HR / Personnel staff the request to adopt a policy for future hazard pay situations for research on hazard pay policies.

13. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Wery for an update on the Zima v Schmitt lawsuit.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1)(g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

14. To receive and place on file the report of the Claims Committee.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Q. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (JULY 15, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve. Motion carried.

1. To refer to staff to generate a cost estimate for the installation of surveillance equipment at Seymour Park and bring back to Park Committee for review.

2. To direct staff to research the feasibility of including funding to develop a park in the Bay Highlands area as part of the 5 year Capital Improvement Plan to be presented in the fall of 2020.

3. To hold until the next Park Committee the updates on the budget, cost estimates and phasing plan options for the beach project at Bay Beach Amusement Park.

4. To approve the request for the Wildlife Sanctuary to reinstate the on-site deer management program per the recommendations in the Bay Beach Wildlife Sanctuary On-Site White Tailed Deer Population Study.

5. To approve the updated 2020-2024 Green Bay Parks, Recreation and Forestry Open Space Plan.

6. To approve a resolution in support of the updated 2020-2024 Green Bay Parks, Recreation and Forestry Open Space Plan.

7.

A. To approve the purchase of one (1) Utility Vehicle for \$60,268 from Bobcat Plus Inc.

B. To approve the purchase of one (1) 26,000 GVW Cab & Chassis for \$69,970 from Truck Country of Iowa Inc.

8. To receive and place on file the staff update to the 2020 City of Green Bay Parks COVID-19 Reopening Plan as it relates to splash pads and wading pools. (INFORMATIONAL ONLY)

R. AD HOC COMMITTEE ON ELECTIONS (JULY 9, 2020)

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

I. To approve the Wisconsin Safe Voting Plan (grant funded by the Center for Tech and Civic Life) and the recommendations contained therein.

S. REPORT OF THE TAX INCREMENTAL DISTRICTS JOINT REVIEW COMMITTEE (JULY 9, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

I. To receive and place on file the Tax Incremental Districts annual reports.

T. RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

1. Building Permit Report for June 2020.

2. Municipal Court Report for June 2020.

U. COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE.

1. Consideration with possible action on "Resolution Providing for Face Coverings within the City of Green Bay," effective July 27, 2020.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to amend Page 2(1)(b) to read (b) Indoor area accessible to the public means any interior area of any structure or premises licensed by the City of Green Bay or used in whole or in part as a place of resort, assemblage, lodging, trade, traffic, occupancy or other use by the public, to which the public customarily has access. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- Chris Wery, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to strike Page 3 Section I (4) Enforcement and page 2 paragraph 3 but recognizes that penalties are necessary to ensure such compliance; now, therefore. Motion failed.

Yes-Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, No-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to refer to the Protection & Policy Committee. This motion was later rescinded.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to amend to add places of worship under exemptions. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell No-Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to amend on page 2 (1)(a) under definitions to read "and/or a face shield which covers the mouth and nose, covers the side of the face, and is secured on the head." Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Randy Scannell,

Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, Chris Wery No-John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, second by Ald. Jesse Brunette to strike 4b. Motion failed 6-6 with the Mayor breaking the tie with a no vote.

Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to amend Section 2. to add after declaration of emergency "or if the County adopts a countywide mandate." Motion carried.

Yes-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-Craig Stevens

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to amend Section (4)(a) to remove "law enforcement may enforce trespassing laws and add "the individual shall be subject to a municipal forfeiture of \$10." Motion carried.

Yes-Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-Barbara Dorff, Craig Stevens

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve as amended. Motion carried.

Yes-Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer

Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

2. Consideration with possible action on the "Resolution Extending the State of Emergency for the City of Green Bay COVID-19 Response."

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

V. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to suspend the rules and adopt Resolutions 1 through 5 with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to adopt Resolutions 1 through 5. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

1. Resolution authorizing conditional use permit at 1929 Verlin Road.

2. An initial resolution authorizing the sale and issuance of not to exceed \$7,615,000 general

obligation refunding bonds; and certain related details.

3. An initial resolution authorizing the sale and issuance of not to exceed \$2,085,000 taxable general obligation refunding bonds; and certain related details.

4. A resolution in support of the updated 2020-2024 Green Bay Parks, Recreation and Forestry Open Space Plan.

5. Resolution drawing final orders to contractors for July 21, 2020.

6. A Resolution extending the State of Emergency for the City of Green Bay COVID-19 response.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve Resolution 6. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest

7. A Resolution providing for face coverings within the City of Green Bay.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve as amended Resolution 7. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Craig Stevens, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer

W. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules and advance Ordinances 1 through 5 to a second reading. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to advance Ordinances 1 through 5. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach

I. General Ordinance number 22-20

Minutes of the Common Council

An ordinance repealing and recreating Section 6.39, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to mobile food establishments.

2. General Ordinance 24-20

An ordinance amending Section 2.06(8), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to reading of ordinances.

3. General Ordinance No. 26-20

An ordinance amending Section 30.20, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to Storm Water Utility.

4. General Ordinance No. 27-20

An ordinance amending Chapter 9, Subchapter IV, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to Special Assessments.

5. General Ordinance No.28-20

An ordinance amending Section 13-522(a)(7), Green Bay Municipal Code relating to permitted temporary uses and structures.

X. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

There were no petitions or communications.

Y. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to adjourn at 12:51 a.m. Motion carried.

Kris A. Teske

Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at greenbaywi.gov

VERBATIM MINUTES

- May 1st 2020, clerk. Alders are present your honor.

- Very good, we have a quorum and we will proceed. Under the Pledge of Allegiance please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance and remain standing for the invocation that will be offered on behalf of Alder Johnson that will be offered by Pastor Jerry Vader from Faith by the Bay Ministries.

- I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands.

- [Members] One nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for justice brought.

- Back to Vader.

- Thank you, Mayor. Heavenly Father, we thank you for this time. We thank you for this day. We give thanks for the freedom to have Open Government and to be represented. We give you thanks for those who sacrifice and it is a sacrifice and public service. And we just asked for your presence here tonight. We ask that, as you know, Father, there are divisive polarizing issues from the White House all the way down to city halls all across America. And it is often a thankless job being an elected official to extremely difficult at any level in our current environment. So we ask that you shower this meeting with your wisdom, your discernment on those who have difficult decisions to make and remind them that the position they have is about serving the public, doing what's in the best in interest in the safety and security of the public. And we just ask that you'd be with them and their thoughts and their words all be measured. And we are just so thankful for your abundance of blessing and this opportunity to see government in action and for them to do what they believe is with your wisdom is for the good of the people. We ask these things and we just give thanks and praise in Jesus name, Amen.

- [Some Members] Amen. Joining us, Pastor Vader and for your wise words.

- Mr. Mayor, if I could.

- Yes. It just to thank you obviously, because I've invited pastor Jay Vader, and they just want to acknowledge the work that he and his wife Ramona have been doing over this Park neighborhood there. They formed Faith by the Bay Ministries last fall, and they just been doing incredible amount of work in that part of our community and just went make sure that guys that they don't go overlooked and have an opportunity to extend how much we appreciate what they're doing.

- Thank you all. Well said thanks all there and thanks again pastor.

- Thank you.

- On to approval the minutes

- Proof.

- Motion is gonna be to approve seconded by Alder Scannell, any corrections? Seeing no requests, all in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- Was nay been approved. Before we move into the approval of the agenda, I just wanted to make an informational note bit of housekeeping. You know, something I can't say with 100% certainty, but if there are folks who are on the line, interested in talking about equal rights, or fluoride, you know, our sense is that these items will not be pulled. Of course, as I said, I cannot speak for Council. So it's a possibility that that could happen later on in the meeting. So if you want to hang around, absolutely should do that. But just wanted to make that point if there are folks that are really interested in dealing with those issues. And then I also just wanted to see if there's anyone on the line who is interested in talking about really any lower profile issue, obviously, you know, the vast majority of folks are interested in offering their opinions on face coverings. So I just wanted to check and see if there's anyone else otherwise, my feeling I think the feeling of a number of other authors is that we would potentially amend the agenda to take up the committee of the Whole items and the resolutions just after appointments. So I will again, ask if there's anyone out there that would like to talk on a lower profile issue. Otherwise we will proceed as I suggested,

- Can move to amend the agenda at this point? Right, I'd like to move to amend the agenda to move the committee of the following item in resolutions up because we have many citizens out here waiting for an opportunity to speak and I think that their voices should be heard first in our meeting or soon after the, it would be right after appointments before item J.

- Right We have a motion to amend the agenda to put up right after I, that's been offered by alder Dorf seconded by Alder Carelock, any discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye.

Minutes of the Common Council

- [Members] Aye.

- Pose nay.

- Mayor.

- Let's have it, that amendment is successful. I'll accept entertain a motion to

- Mayor

- Approved the as amended.

- Motion to adopt as amended.

- Mayor, can you hear me? I'm so sorry, can you hear me Mayor? Yes, Jeffrey. Yes, so thank you. Casey Hicks has raised his hand. So I think that he may have another item that he wants to speak to. Just wanted to let you know.

- Okay.

- Yeah, sorry, I can explain. I don't know if it's necessarily a lower profile issue, but just wanted to speak on the election funding from the grant for center for the grant of the city is gonna be receiving.

- Very good. Let's see, that is under--

- That's both in the finance report, as well as in the ad hoc committee report.

- Are you against it?

- Yes, other door.

- As a member of the Elections Committee, I feel it would be important to take that up under elections which may take a while. And I am wondering, I hope could Mr. Hicks, please for the that item to come up?

- Okay, he's signifying that he--

- He said yes.

- Happy to do that.

- Thank you, Mr. Hicks. All right, with that, we have the amendment that's been adopted and we have the motion to adopt as amended or approve as amended. That motion was made by that Alder Scannell.

- I guess that's it.

- And seconded by Alder Dorff. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- Opposed nay. The ayes have it. The agenda has been approved as amended until the report by the mayor. I'll make this as quick as possible. Obviously, we have a lot to get through tonight or a robust discussion. But I did wanna lead off things just touching on requiring face coverings. I don't know if you all have had a chance to see some of the latest data but, on Coronavirus, but I'll just run through that real quickly here as it pertains to Brown County. Brown County still has the second most cases per capita and all the 72 counties in the state of Wisconsin. We had 86 new cases reported according to MacIver Institute. Here very sadly, we also just

experienced the 46 death in Brown County. Each one of those lives was a blessing and each death is a tragedy. So our thoughts and prayers are with each and every member of the families impacted by those deaths. Also today, state of Wisconsin reported that we unfortunately broke the record for most positives since tracking began this past spring with 1117 cases, reported today, positive cases reported. So just in my mind reinforces the necessity of moving expeditiously to take whatever appropriate action we can to keep members of this community safe. I feel, as I've said, publicly, very strongly in the need to move forward with a face covering requirement. I don't believe it's an undue burden. I think it's a reasonable step to take. It's a small sacrifice and I think it's one that the vast majority of us can take. Also just wanted to touch on the fact that obviously, this is a community wide issue. The virus doesn't know municipal boundaries, or state or national boundaries, have had some recent conversations with local leaders in other parts of the county. So I did actually want to read a statement from the mayor of Depia. He sent me an email and said that he would be fine with me reading this publicly. So he says, while there is an increasing amount of debate surrounding COVID-19, one thing that remains certain in my mind is we must do whatever is reasonable to protect our friends and neighbors. Cases continue to rise and we have an obligation to minimize risks for our community members. With that in mind, the city of Green Bay has my full support, and implementing a citywide mask policy in our city staff health department and myself are exploring similar options in the city of Depia. Also wanted members of the public and all others to know that the United Nation just recently took the step of requiring face coverings and all of their nation own properties, their retail establishments, their gaming facilities, anything that is owned by the nation and open to the public. So we're seeing some significant progress and interest in this issue across the region and wanted members of the public and others to be aware of that. As I said yesterday, publicly, I think the ideal situation really is for statewide policy, but short of that, you know, county wide policy, and I'm hoping that if we are successful tonight and implementing a face covering requirement in the city of Green Bay, that we will see some combination of municipal partners or hopefully a county wide policy enacted by the county board. So with that, um, that will conclude my report on two announcements, Alders. Feel free to go ahead. I'm having just a little bit of an issue.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I have to really just two thanks that I wanted to send out there. One was word I just received this week. As you know, the ad hoc committee has been working very hard on getting them up in all election locations and workers. And I think we've been doing a pretty good job, the IRS reach out to me and say they'd be willing for us to use their tailgate village in November. And so I've you know, let Teskey and the other members know I'm hoping that's an option. Nice big building big parking lot, think that would help a great deal. So I want to thank the Packers for that. That I wanted to after a decade of drama, he had the grand opening of Colburn pool of course last week and I just wanted to thank a director Deshey, assistant director Anderson and Ann Moeller for doing a great job, all these years of keeping things on task, you know, despite all the focus and all the drama that happened, and I really want to thank all the steadfast friends and Colburn for you know, there's a group of us, you know, it doesn't 20 of us a couple alder men really want to thank the crooks family, Hank Laurie and Olivia and Laya Frost, they were really my right hand and without them couldn't get it done. So thank you.

- Thank you, members of the public please mute your phones. Thank you very much. Other elders.

- Mayor, a little bit.

- Go ahead. I just want to make an announcement that Thursday, I believe 12th department or the Health Committee for Brown County will be discussing a mask ordinance. So if anybody wants to, they can go on the county site and get the information on that. Okay, thank you.

- Thanks, alder. alder man earliest.

- Thank you, Mayor. This is to the all of the city employees of the city of Green Bay. I'd like to address a comment that's made that, from the mayor's column. It says to be frank, there are authors who want to see us enact furloughs, layoffs and wage reductions. And then it says, Mayor, your statement is I do not unless it is absolutely necessary. I think the city council wants to work with the staff, wants to work with the HR department, Diane Allen Becker. I don't think the authors wanna, they want to work with the staff so that if there's, if there happens to be layoffs or furloughs or any of this, that we're all going to work together. We're all in it together. I think it to be frank, there are authors who want to see us enact furloughs, layoffs and wage reductions. I don't think we want to, you know, enact furloughs and layoffs and wage reductions. Everybody that's involved. The elders don't need 1000 enemies. There's over 1000 people that work for the city of Green Bay. I want to get the message out to those people in the city of Green Bay that work there. We wanna, the elders wanna work together with the administration, with the finance department, and the statement of a thing that, you know, we wanna do all this. We wanna work with the staff. And I think as far as you know, saying this about the altars, to make the altars look bad at woodmere I don't feel that's really, you know.

- Breach of order, this is announcement time, I'm not sure that this qualifies.

- This is announcements to the city staff, that I'm making the pitch that with the city staff, and not not against them. So I just feel that this is an announcement and I think it has to be aired and that's why I brought it up. We're together as a city council, and you know, address the issues that might come forward because of the corona, because of the finance and as far as being point of order is what I wanna, this is an announcement to the city employees that the city council wants to work with them, not against them.

- Of course we do, thank you.

- Mayor and the staff, we all want to work together and that's why I'm giving here tonight and that's my announcement and thank you very much.

- Thanks, alder, any others?

- Lost my breath, sorry, I was disrupted, I'm wrong.

- Seeing others onto appointments.

- Motion for all.

- Okay, motion to approve the new appointments made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye. Those nay. As habit that new appointment is confirmed. And attain another motion on new appointments, on reappoint rather.

- I want to approve.

- Second. Motion made by Alder dorff seconded by Alder Corpus, confirm the reappointment of John Arndt to the Green Bay sustainability commission. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- Those nay. The ayes have, the item has been approved. Now we are on to Committee of the Whole as a result of that amendment or agenda. All right, item one, consideration with possible action on resolution providing for face covering within the city of Green Bay effective July 27 2020. Recommend motion to open the floor.

- Well, before we open the floor, your honor, I'd like to amend the ordinance, I think that would help to get that cleared up by the way that everybody's got, what we're gonna be voting on in front of them.

- Are we all gonna make amendments in? All right.

- Sure, I think we should if we're gonna amend it, I think that makes sense to me, to him, just so people know what we're doing and they could speak.

- Could change after though. Yeah, I mean, maybe I appreciate what you're trying to do alder. Maybe you could, you could read what you're essentially, if it's the same one I'm familiar with. It's essentially a technical amendment.

- Yeah, this comes from legal.

- Clarifies the intent. So if you wanted to just simply explain.

- Okay. It would be but then I would sort of want to move on to opening the floor at this point.

- Okay.

- Hundred definitions for indoor area, I think we should end up amending it to indoor area, accessible to the public, means any interior area of any structure or premises licensed by the city of Green Bay, or used in whole or in part, as a sort assemblage, lodging trade, traffic occupancy or other use by the public to which the public customarily has access. So that defines a little bit more just what we're talking about, where we're gonna be enforcing this.

- Appreciate that alder, thank you.

- And again motion.

- To open the floor?

- Second.

- Motion made by alder Dorff, seconded by alder Scannell to open the floor. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- Oppose, nay. The ayes have it, the floor is open. And just another bit of housekeeping. The way that these things are customarily done is by limiting comments to three minutes. So that's the standard that we will abide by tonight from members of the public, comments from all others are limited to five minutes, two times promotion, we will abide by that as well this evening. Also just want to make the point that you know, I understand that people have some very passionate feelings about this topic, I have full faith that our alders will maintain a sense of decorum and treat everyone with respect and are just asking members of the public to behave similarly with your comments tonight. So with that, I'll sort of hand things over to Chief of Staff Jeffreys, will be taking care of the clock and recognizing speakers.

- Thank you, Mayor. So for those of you who are on the zoom call, there is a way that you can signify to us that you would like to speak. The first thing that you can do is to raise your hand if you are in zoom with either a computer link or with an application in your phone. You can also unmute yourself, but what I'm going to do first is take the people who have raised their hands, and for those of you who are watching, you can see the little blue hand next to the person's name, so I'm just going to take them from top to bottom. When I call your name, you're going to unmute yourself. And what you're going to do is you're going to give your first name, you're going to spell your last name, and you're going to give your address. Okay, here we go. And I will pull up the three minute timer. So the first person is Brian. Brian, can you please unmute yourself and spell your last name for the committee.

- Yes.

- Go right ahead.

- Okay, hi, good evening. My name is Brian Higginbotham. Last name is spelled H-I-G-G-I-N-B-O-T-H-A-M, my address is 850 Pnoy Feld Street Bay 51304. I hear a beep.

- Yes, so right ahead. Okay, so, you know, I do appreciate American grid system sir. You know, I do have a concern for the welfare of our citizens and our city and state nation. But I continue to be convinced, quite convinced that you know that the true emergency that we're really dealing with at this point is this fear. We have really got to understand, you know, we have to live, we have to be able to move forward. I, you know, there's that that phrase or cliché, you know, the call that the cure can be worse than that, than the cause. That's how it goes. But, you know, mandating masks is what not said. I don't wanna sink the ship in order to

Minutes of the Common Council

save a few you know, just try to save the sailors. I don't know what we're gonna have left if we keep having ongoing overreach of authority or overreach of constitutional powers. You know, the mayor mentioned some of the data here. Second most cases heard writing those down. He mentioned, yet there are 46 deaths. I'm guessing that's roughly since February, maybe March? Not to minimum, fine. But I do want to, I am wondering, what is this death mean? How there's so much conflicting data, whether it's March masks, whether it's COVID cases? What does that really mean death by case? So, just looking at that, I mean, that's one point that kind of stood out to me. Is this a death that was directly caused by the Wuhan or Chinese virus? Was it a comorbidity issue? Was it you know, something that came over dealing with something else, which is tolerability, basically. Yes, you know, we're all in this together. You know, we are all in this together. But we also need to fight for each other's lives, fight for each other's ability to put food on our tables. How we're gonna discern what is essential and not essential. I don't know what the criteria really is. And that seems like something that would be vacillating, or you know, from one day to the next. I truly believe we're all essential. I believe that church is essential, you know, that this world survive a pandemic of water some 10,000 years ago, roughly. And we've managed to get up to roughly six to eight billion people, you know, so that's my word.

- Thank you Mr. Higginbotham. The next person.

- Thank, you're welcome.

- Yes, thank you. The next person is Mike Shea Jr. Mr. Shea, can you please unmute yourself and say and spell your last name for the committee?

- Mike Shea, S-H-E-A, 2751 Woodstock road court, Green Bay, Wisconsin. I really don't want to address for their mask work or not for every piece of antidotal evidence that one doctor give that says they do work. I can show you a peer review paper that says they don't work. In fact, I sent the entire council, a letter about that with sites. I do want to talk about what happened yesterday, the press conference and what led us here. I'm listening to what was said in that press conference and I think that there are certain people that only hear what they wanna hear. For example, yesterday during the press conference documented that we had flattened the curve and we did it without having the mask mandates. Back when the current really high we didn't have mask mandates, but yet we flattened it. And Dinesh owner and they are, I can tell you that people are still staying home. They're not coming out as much. We're social distancing. Business is proving that the government does not have to intervene on our behalf. The private industry in this city in this state is saying, we can handle this we'll take care of it. The government needs to say up. The reason I'd like the government to stay out of it is a couple reasons. The other thing I heard, one of the other things I heard in the press conference. Especially shame, that it's going to be up to private business to enforce this on their customers. That is absolutely ridiculous. There is no way I want my employees to confront anyone on a topic like this. This is a hotbed topic. Don't put employees and employers in a position to do a job like that. If I have the time, which I don't think they do, to go out and enforce something like this, then have them do it, putting it on private businesses, absolutely ridiculous. The other thing that the doctor admitted yesterday was that masks need training. She said go look at YouTube. So you're assuming every single person that the proper training, without the proper training wearing a mask, it's useless. People talk about surgeons wearing masks, yes, they wear disposable masks. They know how to put them on and take them off without spreading germs. The general public does not. The general public will wear the mask wrong, will take it off with their hands, will touch it, will spread more germs that way. If masks work, then why are countries where people regularly wear

Minutes of the Common Council

masks still seeing the same results we are. You're saying, you need to mandate it, and at the same time, you're saying, everybody needs training to work. But I know that the government does not have to tell us what to do. We're proving it day in and day out, that we can handle this ourselves. We're social distancing, we're doing the right things and industry is doing the right things.

- Thank you, Mr. Shea. Next, we have Lacey Lewis. Ms. Lewis, please unmute yourself, say and spell your last name for the committee and also give your address.

- Hi, my name is Lacey Lewis. My name is spelled L-A-C-E-Y L-E-W-I-S. I live on Ninth Street in Green Bay. Is that all I need?

- Yes.

- Okay, so I heard Mayor Gingridge speak before about the numbers of positive cases and the number of deaths within the county. Now, while I don't want anybody to personally get sick, I do have a problem with the data. If you're going to say statistics matter, then all statistics have to matter. There are 264,542 people in this county. Of those people, 5291 of them have pediatric asthma. Of those people, 18,474 people have adult asthma. Of those people, 10,344 have COPD. Of those people, 29,393 people have chronic lung disease, five or 155 have lung cancer. That is 63,606 people have the 264,542 people, which is 24% of your population, has lung problems directly. Now that is not including any children under the age of five, which is a total of 16,401 children. That is not including any of the veterans who have PTSD, which is 4838. The number of disabled people under the age of 65 is 18,784. So if you are going to say that statistics matter, of the 1000 or so that tested positive, out of the 63,000 who have lung problems in our county alone, you have to actually weigh the data. You say there are exemptions for people with disabilities, there are not. Everywhere there are signs politely asking people to wear masks, if they care about other people, that is absolutely vilifying anybody who does not wear masks. And a lot of these big cities, people with disabilities who are not wearing masks are getting beaten to a bloody pole. Should we have all of our store employees chasing people down, beating residents for not wearing masks because they're afraid of the 100 out of 100,000 cases that have tested positive in this county? That's ridiculous. That is absolutely horrific. You are making the population divided, based on an issue that we've already seen. We've already seen this during World War II. That's what Nazi Germany did to the Jews. That's what they did to everybody that they put into concentration camps. They dehumanize those people so that other people didn't care about killing them. That's it.

- Thank you Miss Lewis. We have Galaxy Note nine. Please Galaxy Note nine, please give your first and last name, spell your last name when you unmute yourself. And also give your address.

- Yeah, hi there. My name is Chad. My last name is Krull. Can you hear me?

- Yes, you can spell your last name please and give your address. K-R-U-L-L, that's Royal Krull Court.

- In Green Bay?

- In Green Bay.

- Thank you, go ahead.

- Okay, I guess the first thing that has bothered me about this is the right to choose should not, when it comes to health issues should not only be to abort an unborn child, I think it's ridiculous that we give people the right to choose some thing and yet, when it comes to masks, we're going to force that mother who's going to abort a child and has that choice to wear a mask when she does it. It just seems ridiculous. It concerns me that there's no timeline limit on when this is going to end if it does pass. 99% of Brown County is COVID free using your statistics. What number is the magic number where masks won't be needed anymore? When COVID is completely gone, because it's going to become a seasonal like swine flu and the avian flu and I just don't see the point of it. I think this is an overreach, I think it is unconstitutional. I also look at things like art, you realized that many of them need to read lips to communicate, and now everyone's going to be in a mask when they anywhere that they need help. Also, if this is so contagious and deadly, where are the hazmat disposal areas? We're gonna have people wearing all these masks and throwing them all over the place. Our city employees can pick them up and store employees can pick them up. They just go into a regular garbage bin then at the end of, at the moment. Yes, right at the exit of the store. And we're just gonna pile all these contaminated masks at the exit of Walmart, for example, no hazmat, nothing. I think that's ridiculous. I think that we're missing the big picture here. I think that we were supposed to lower the curve. That was the whole point of this. And we have the hospitals literally furloughed people because they had nothing to do and now they're getting back to normal because guess what, they aren't overwhelmed. So I don't see why we wait till this point. It seems very political. It doesn't seem to make any sense based on science. And I think I'll leave it at that.

- Thank you. Next we have LGK 20. Please unmute yourself and spell, say and spell your last name and also give your address. LGK20? Okay, we will move on to Nicole Saleske. Nicole Saleske, please unmute yourself and say and spell your last name for the committee, for the council and then also give your address.

- I'm Nicole Saleske S-A-L-E-S-K-E, to Liplay in Green Bay, Wisconsin.

- Okay.

- Okay, so both the mayor and Chris Wilkie, CEO of Belen health pointed out that they were seeing increased numbers of cases. Since the stay at home order has been lifted. With increased testing, of course there are gonna be increased cases but who's really suffering. To date there have been 46 deaths in Brown County from COVID-19, 846 deaths in all of Wisconsin. According to the Wisconsin Department of Health Services, 36 of those deaths happen shortly or after the stay fair at home order was lifted. So in Brown County, we've seen eight deaths, four of them preliminary since June 1st. We have not seen an increase in deaths. In contrast we've appreciated to decrease. This explains the mortality due to COVID and it's actually on the decline for the 12th week in a row. And not only that they love to call the desk together with pneumonia and influenza like illnesses. So their data includes deaths from influenza, pneumonia or COVID-19. To put this in perspective, in 2017, there were 920 deaths from influenza, pneumonia, Wisconsin. Today, there are 846 deaths in Wisconsin due to pneumonia, influenza, or COVID-19. How come we're not seeing an exponential growth in incidence of these numbers that this virus is as serious as we claim it to be? Brown County has 3348 cases to date with a population of about 260,000 people. This is an infection rate of 1.288%. This is hardly a threat to our medical system. In fact, it was argued without a handle on this infection rate. They were nervous we would overwhelm our hospital but in contrast, nurses, nurse practitioners, physicians and support staff had been furloughed without work because we're waiting for a way that's yet to come. In fact, according to Wisconsin Hospital Association right now in the top 10 hospitals in Northeast Wisconsin, there are currently 18 inpatients with COVID-19. 13 ICU COVID and 34 total COVID-19 patients in our hospitals today, which has actually decreased. Of those 10 hospitals, there's 50 ICU beds, 109 med surge beds, 97 negative flow isolation beds immediately available. Putting mandates on people has never been about decreasing the number of cases. It should not overwhelm our hospitals and clearly they're not overwhelmed. Finally, to quote Alderman Scannell, concerning people that are against this mandate, they're making a political their political ideology, whatever it is to make a decision on a medical matter, you do not have the right to threaten and risk other people's health. It's our job to make sure our community is safe. I completely agree that this is a medical decision that has to be made in the best interest of everyone. You cannot give a blood transfusion and meta surgery recommendation without their consent. The blame was you have to protect people so pardon me Alderman Scannell, when I point out that you are the one that's making this political. You made us political when you brought it and have it to be in front of Green Bay. And we're just asking for that right to choose. Where does this stop and my sincere hope that it stops today, now with this vote, we thank you very much.

- Thank you. Next is Quit, sorry, Chris Woleske. Ms. Woleske, please unmute yourself, say and spell your last name for the committee and give your address.

- My name is Chris Woleske, C-H-R-I-S W-O-L-E-S-K-E and I live on Analog Trail. Thank you, council members for hosting this event tonight and allowing the public to speak and really giving, I think consideration and to try to understand and appreciate the many perspectives I think on this issue and there certainly are many perspectives. I'm here tonight from healthcare perspective, all of the local healthcare systems have signed on to a letter supporting a masking ordinance. And because it has been shown, masking has been shown to significantly slow the spread of COVID-19. I would like to reinforce the idea of masking in the current context in particular, because I do very much appreciate the fact that we were very successful in flattening the curve during the stay at home order. And people, for the most part, we're staying home, there weren't events, there weren't, a lot of places weren't open. And so the staying at home really helped us. Today, we want businesses to be able to be open. We want as healthcare to be able to continue to provide care to the entire community. To see the schools be able to safely open in the fall. We think that is important. All of these things to the health and well being of the communities in this region. And so that is why we support masking to continue to help us to flatten the curve. The question about there is a difference between healthcare masks and the face coverings that are being proposed as part of just the general public and how to care for those. So first of all, a face covering can be anything. You can tie a T-shirt, you can tie a bandana, a scarf, anything fabric with that,

Minutes of the Common Council

that is multiply would work. So it doesn't have to all be disposable. Second one healthcare workers are wearing a surgical mask or a healthcare grade mask. They are doing that to protect themselves from viruses and the transmission of communicable disease. When they do that, the virus is on the outside. And so there is a different methodology in terms of donning and doffing that is very sophisticated, that they have to be very. In our case when we're in public and we're wearing a mask where we're protecting others. So the potential for the virus is on the inside of our mask. And so when we are touching the outside of the mask, again, you know, there could be contaminants from other places. Yes, so we need to make sure that we're washing our hands and that we're not touching our face. But taking that mask on and off is not nearly as complex as the healthcare mask and I just wanted to point that out because that issue had been raised. So again, just on behalf of healthcare, it is important to us that we be able to care for everyone in the community and we believe that the best chance of allowing business in schools and healthcare to continue to do that is with a universal masking, thank you. Thank you, Ms. Walaske. Next we have Tara Czachor. Ms. Czachor please unmute yourself and say and spell your last name for the committee as well as provide your address.

- Sure, my name is Tara Czachor. My last name is spelled C-Z-A-C-H-O-R, and I live at 1584 Park haven road in the town of Lawrence. However, my husband works in the city of Green Bay and my stepdaughters also live in Green Bay part of the time. So I have numerous questions and concerns wearing masks mandates. What is the gating criteria? Is it a level of infection rate in Brown County or Green Bay? Is it the death rate in Brown County or Green Bay? What metric will be used to lift any potential faceman, a mask mandate? Will we be masked until those in favor of masks just feel safer? Our feelings specifically fear the prime metric we are using to justify the masking of predominantly healthy citizens. There must be a practical goal that community members can monitor and strive for. That was DHS, as of today, 30,596 County residents had COVID-19 symptoms onset or diagnosis. The population is 264,542 as of the last census, so the current total Brown County COVID-19 infection rate is like 1.36% and per Wisconsin DHA, DHS. As of today, the current round county fatality rate is 1%. Does the fatality rate need to be zero percent to end a mask mandate? What about the infection rate? Also, according to Wisconsin, DHS, 77% of all those who tested positive in Wisconsin has recovered. I guess let's talk about California. Governor Newsome mandated that face coverings be worn stateside, well any in or while in any indoor public space. In spite of those strict directives, cases have continued to rise in the state, continuing an upward trend that was already in full swing when the mask mandate went into effect. According to Johns Hopkins University, the average daily cases in California have increased from 3385 on the day of nuisance order to 8889 as of July 16th, an increase of 162%. The whole premise of this proposal is that we know better than you about your health, we need to control your uneducated, uncaring, incompetent and otherwise irresponsible behavior for the greater good of the community. People can disagree reasonably about whether or not they feel that masks help. That's not what is happening. People who feel masks help are expectedly excluding those who disagree. One group is saying you must do as I tell you because I believe I am right and you are wrong. And the other is saying you are free to do as you choose. The other thing I wanted to point out is have medical professionals ever been wrong before? This one need a medical degree the letters MD after our names to be educated on this topic, the medic clueless doctors regulatory authorities, licensing bodies, is often seen as the last word on health practices. It's considered an infallible institution immune to error. However, its track record has fatal blunders that you know, cause, come at a high price to society. DDT was once considered safe. The lid amide Vioxx, it was a mercury. It was using teething powders and creams. I'm not comparing these other atrocities to wearing the mask but I'm showing the medical doctors also have been seriously wrong before, thank you.

- Thank you Czachor. Next is Justin Steiner. Mr. Steiner, please unmute yourself, say and spell your last name for the committee and then provide your address.

- Can you hear me?

- Yes.

- Great, thank you. My name is Justin Steiner, last name, S-T-E-I-N-E-R, I live on Anderson drive in Green Bay, Wisconsin.

- Go ahead.

- All right, thank you. I'm coming to you guys today as somebody with a disability. I can tell you right now, right today that I have already been discriminated against because I have not worn a mask, I cannot physically wear a mask. Even though the CDC website say that people who cannot put on or take off a mask by themselves without assistance, which I cannot do, should not be wearing one and yet in local stores and by residents in Green Bay, I have gotten some pretty serious glares and also people commenting on why I'm not wearing a mask. I feel discriminated against and there's no reason why any of us should feel discriminated against because of that. There's been a lot of good points that have been said tonight, and I just want to reiterate a couple of them. One of them is Mr. Shea. I did was watching your video while somebody was talking on masks being effective against preventing stuff from going in and out. And as you might have seen from his video, you could see that the air going through his mask from his vape was clearly visible. Obviously, our standard face covering isn't going to do anything. So why are we recommending it? Also another point I wanna bring up today, I did notice that police chief Smith is in attendance today. Honestly, I feel bad for him. Because if this is a mandate, how in the heck are we supposed to enforce something like this? There's already, they're already struggling to keep up with other legitimate cases that need to be addressed in the city of Green Bay. And by cases I mean emergencies and taking their resources and bringing that towards people who aren't wearing a mask that is just opening the door for more crime in the city because the criminals will know that the police are gonna be busy doing other things and that's not safe. We can't do something like that. That is really all I've had to say, thank you so much to me and I seriously hope that you guys will consider this. Not as a point of opinion for yourselves, no matter what you think, I really hope and pray that you cast aside your own personal opinions while you cast this vote. And you listen to the people that you represent, thank you. Have a great day.

- Thank you Mr. Steiner. Next we have Sherry Reif. Ms. Reif, please unmute yourself, say and spell your last name and give your address for the council.

- Thank you, my last name is Reif, R-E-I-F, and my address is 1639 Farlan Avenue. That's in Green Bay on the east side.

- Go ahead.

- Everyone has touched on a lot of what I wanted to touch on. And I just wanted to say that this isn't, for me, it's not a pro mask or an anti mask. I know plenty of people that are pro masks and they are just livid about this blatant overreach of government. And I would feel the same way if we were looking at mandating that people couldn't wear masks because they're associated with criminal activities, and so they would be forced out of stores and things like that. Also, if you look at them, now, the ones that are being purchased, they all see right on the box, that they're not made for this. They don't keep anything out. I've talked to several doctors and nurses and they have not said what the professional on here or hasn't do anything by the end of their shift their tasting, there's bit increased sinus headaches. The masks are saturated, people are touching their face more with masks. And this is really difficult for me because I have PTSD because I am a survivor. And it's already hard enough for me to go into places where everyone is masked. And if this becomes a mandate, it's already bad enough that the store is earning it. But they're being very nice, at least from what I have run into, when there's medical reasoning, regardless of what those medical reasonings are, but what you're gonna do is you're gonna drive other people to start attacking us, and people like myself, have already been victimized enough. And you're gonna force us to continue to be victimized by putting through a mandate like this. And it's not right. I keep my kids home, out of respect for other people. Because regardless of my feelings of how masks work, or don't work, or the numbers, which I do not believe are true, we've already seen every day we see that numbers are wrong all over the country. So the count, we can't believe, but I keep my kids home out of the respect. I don't go places a lot out of respect, especially because I can't wear a mask. But I shouldn't be afraid now to go to the store because people are gonna start attacking me, because the government says, you have to wear a mask, and they're not gonna care that I say that I have a medical reason. And where does this mandating stop? Does it stop it forced vaccines? Because I'm not gonna take a rush through betting. Does it stop when we carry papers? You know, where do we draw the line? And we just, it's not right. And I'm also hard of hearing. So top that on to that. Masking makes it real fun for me to try and understand what a cashier says. So please don't make this a mandate because you're just victimizing us more, thank you. Thank you, Mrs. Reif. Next we have Kathy Patzke. Mrs Patzke, please unmute yourself, say and spell your last name for the council and also provide your address.

- I'm Kathy Patzke, P-A-T-Z-K-E, I live at 2423 crest lane and Green Bay.

- Go ahead.

- I really appreciate this opportunity. It's been a very help here. Some legitimate reasons why people might be opposed to mask and I appreciate that opportunity too. I'm an elementary reading interventionist in Green Bay and I desperately want to get back to interacting with my young students. I've missed them dearly, teaching reading and writing is dramatically more effective in person. I also was so saddened during the closure when several sets of parents became and just struggled to care for their kids. It was great to see.

- I'm also hard of hearing so we'll pop that on to that mask email.

- I might understand what a cashier says. So please don't make this a mandate because you're just victimizing more, thank you.

- I'm not sure what's happening but my daughter who wants to spend her senior year of high school in school, and it looks very unlikely that this one if the negation of.

- Mrs. Patzke, Please unmute yourself say and spell your last name for the council and also provide your address.

- Mayor I, we are, seem to have a technical difficulty.

- I live at 2423 craft lane and Green Bay.

- Mayor, can you hear me?

- I really appreciate this opportunity.

- Why don't you mute everybody?

- Sure, forgive us one second Mrs. Patzke. I think but there's a playback issue. Could you just give me one second to contact our IT director, Mayor and everyone? Thank you.

- Okay, great. Okay, I've changed my mind. You, know, it happened pretty quick.

- Miss Jeffries, I think it was just an issue of somebody else being unmuted us being able to hear it being played back. So I think with everybody muted with the exception of Mrs. Patzke, we could be able to, we should be able to move forward.

- Okay, I just stepped away to call Mike.

- I think it was just an issue with people being unmuted, so just let's move forward with Mrs. Patzke.

- Okay, all right, thank you.

- Did you want me to repeat what I'd already said? Or just keep going?

- Just hold on one second, sorry.

- Okay.

- There was an issue with, yeah. Oh, got it. Okay, got it. All right, thank you. All right, sorry, Mayor and sorry, Mrs. Patzke. Just give me one second. Mrs. Patzke, if you'd like to begin again, that would be wonderful. Go right, you don't have to spell and say your name again. Just begin with your testimony. Can you hear me Miss Patzke? I don't know, maybe.

- Now, can you hear me?

- Okay, there we go.

- Okay.

- All right, you know, go right ahead. You don't have to say your name or anything again for the committee. You can just begin your testimony all over again. Thank you so much for your patience.

- No problem. Okay, so again, I just appreciate hearing other perspectives. I am an elementary reading interventionist, and I really missed my kids during closure, I desperately want to get back because reading and

writing is dramatically more effective in person. And again, I have a daughter who is gonna be a senior in high school, I want her to get back to school. But I am concerned that things are getting more serious. And I think people mentioned the current data, but that hospitalizations and the mortality could get worse because there's always at least a two week lag behind the spike in cases. I do know, personally, parents of some of my students that became very ill and struggled to take care of their kids made it very hard for them to be educated during that time. I recently came from North Carolina, where they've had a terrible surge in cases, hospitalizations and deaths and so they have have instituted a statewide mandate recently. So while I was there, I felt so much safer in North Carolina than I do here. When you went to an outdoor restaurant, you were free to take your mask off while you were sitting and eating with your group. But then many of us stood up and we're with others you did wear a mask. Same in stores and gas stations. I was asked one time I ran into the gas station, I had to go to the bathroom, I forgot to put on my mask, I was asked to leave, I promptly did and I was appreciative of that reminder. I just felt safer because I felt that I didn't know if I might unknowingly have the virus and I felt that I would not be spreading it. I felt that the other people in the gas station, strangers, I was not in as much danger if they were wearing masks. So I undoubtedly felt safer there than I do here right now. I just beg the city council to stand up and protect us. I really do think mask help, and I don't think they can hurt in any way. I do not think it is that difficult to wear masks, I understand there might be cases of disability and perhaps there could be some kind of visual that people could wear to signify that they are exempt from wearing the masks. But I do think it's a simple way to protect others if one has the virus without knowing it. I just don't think there is a place for freedoms that spread disease, much the same as I don't think it's the freedom to smoke in public and spread the smoke through the air to other people. I don't think we should be spreading the virus through the air without mask either. So I just appreciate your concern and for bringing this up and hope that you will pass this ordinance.

- Thank you Mrs Patzke. Next we have Martin W. Martin W, please unmute yourself, say and spell your last name for the council and also provide your address. Martin W. Okay, thank you. Moving on to Kevin. Kevin, please unmute yourself. Say and spell your last name, say your first name, spell your last name for the council and also provide your address.

- My name is Kevin Jaeger J-A-E-G-E-R. I live at Remington road 50302.

- Thank you, go ahead.

- All right, I felt so inclined to speak today on the topic of masks because my great grand uncle was a stand fair in the Waffen SS in charge of esta group in A in Lithuania. He would go into towns and he would use the townfolk to round up all of the socialists and all of the ethnically different people. And he would use them to mark them and then he would handle little identifiers to mark them here that a little bit of time go by, and then he would raise a hullabaloo with the town again trying to incorporate the townfolk to expel these people. He did that to 137,000 people across Central Europe. I guess, the thought of being mandated to wear any piece of clothing absolutely terrifies me to the core. When I think about the pain and the suffering that it's brought upon my family throughout history and what my family had projected on to thousands of people across Europe. And I think that this is a very slippery slope that needs to be weighed very, very heavily on your conscience before you vote. Because what are we gonna do with the police to the people that refuse to comply? Are we gonna take them away somewhere? Are are we just gonna, is it gonna just start with money? Where does it end? I guess at that point, I will, I'll wrap up the rest of my time with a quote from Benjamin
Minutes of the Common Council

Franklin. Those willing to sacrifice a little bit of essential freedom for temporary security, deserve neither. Thank you all for having me.

- Thank you, Mr. Yeager. Just give me one moment. Okay, next we have Dr. Kristin Lyerly. Dr. Lyerly, please unmute yourself, say and spell your last name for the council and also provide your address.

- My name is Dr. Kristen Lyerly. It's L-Y-E-R-LY. I live at 3500 Meadow sound drive and in Dipea.

- Okay, go ahead.

- Thank you

- Pulling up my notes here. So, I am an obstetrician gynecologist, and a fellow of the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology. And I represent myself as a member of that organization today, as well as a physician who cares for moms, babies and families. I'm here to stress the important, the advice of medical experts and public health professionals when it comes to the prevention of COVID. Just yesterday, a joint letter was published, the American Academy of Family Physicians, the American Academy of Pediatrics, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, the American College of Physicians, and Pathak Association and the American Psychiatric Association all stand together, to quote recognize the indispensable role that public health and scientific experts have in curbing the current pandemic. So why is this? Well, my perspective as an OBGYN, is that the latest data suggests that pregnant women may be at increased risk for certain manifestations of severe illness due to COVID-19 such as Intensive Care Unit admission and need for mechanical ventilation. I care deeply about these women and based on many of your testimonies, I hear that many of you do too. As a physician and a community member, I also recognize that it is our promise to protect other vulnerable populations, including the elderly, the immunocompromised, and as mentioned earlier, the nearly 25% of Brown County citizens who have lung problems, they're vulnerable. We know that 40% of the spread is by asymptomatic people, and it's spread primarily by respiratory droplets. So where's the science? The evidence is evolving. Case studies strongly support mask use. There are no randomized control trials because they take time and we don't have that time right now. Importantly, there is no data in opposition to face mask use, so it doesn't increase face touching and irritation is preventable. And as far as like that doesn't seem to affect surgeon, myself included. Importantly, if two people are wearing masks, those big droplets will be stopped by the mask and very small viral particles can travel about five feet away from each individual. But when an infected person is not wearing a mask, those small viral particles can float through the air 30 feet or more and stay alive for up to 30 hours. So I'm asking you, as a physician, a mom and a community member, vote masks, heed social distancing, wash your hands and disinfect surfaces. Let's take care of each other, especially our most vulnerable.

- Thank you Dr. Lyerly.

- You got it, thank you so much for your time.

- Thank you. And next we have LGK20. LGK20, please unmute yourself. Say and spell your last name for the council and also provide your address LGK20 I believe you are unmuted so please go ahead and say and spell your first, say your first name, spell your last name and give your address for the council. LGK20, all right, moving on to Kimberly Vogt. I think I probably mispronounced your last name. Can you please say your first name and spell your last name for the council and also provide your address

- Yes, my name is Kimberly, last name Vogt, as in V as violin O-G-T. I live at 3071 Gothic court here in Green Bay. And I would say thank you for all giving us the time to speak. I wanted to start by saying I found it rather ironic that the committee started with the Pledge of Allegiance. And in the very end of the allegiance, it talks about liberty and justice for all. And quite frankly, what this ordinance is doing is not providing justice and liberty for all. I think some other people have brought up the statistics in the data and I could go over that again, but I don't know that that is really what is being looked at or listened to. You also talked about representation. That's what this word is about. And I have to say if that's what this was about, than the meeting that was held on July 13th. For all of the people did chime in and there was a vote taken and the vote was ultimately that this was not to move forward. And the mayor took it upon himself to go against what his people are wishing and to go on to TV and say he's going to mandate this ordinance. With that being said, I'm glad the the mayor of Dipea is also supporting you. But he doesn't represent me, he doesn't represent the people in Green Bay. And what I find very sad is what you're doing this putting Green Bay people against each other, you're making it difficult for people who may have a medical issue, who may have some sort of other issue and you're going against HIPAA rules and you're going against the American with Disability Act to the point where we have to tell if we have a problem, if we are unable to wear a mask, and your mandate has not gone into effect, but the looks that people give you in the grocery store as of right now is dangerous. And I didn't take the time to go through every packet.

- What's so dangerous?

- Excuse me, sorry. It's my time. What is so dangerous? People are giving you dirty looks, people are costing you, people are telling, like, looking at you like you have no right to be bad as dangerous. Now, I would like some of my time back. I took the time to print out this document. And one of my main concerns is now you're gonna make businesses be the one that mandate this and they're having a tough enough time. So I've been in the stores and everyone is asking me, well, who is going to go around and tell the people who think they're using the masks appropriately they are not because if you go into any store, you're going to see people right here, the nose is out, this is not appropriate. They think they are. And then someone has to tell them they're not. Other people have been down here while they're talking. They're not appropriate or the cops gonna be called, are the people in the store gonna have to tell people they have to leave because they're not using that right. Now I'm gonna attach it, now it's not doing any bad, the boxes say they don't do any bud. So I think it's shameful that now our police department are going to be called out to debate this mask. With the other part, the sunset clause is, goes on that this can go on indefinitely until such time as it is deemed not necessary that is not set time. There are some--

- Thank you Miss Vogt. Your time has expired.

- I feel I should have had more time.

- I did actually give you more time, thank you.

- Thank you.

- Next we have Thomas Madsen. Mr. Madsen please unmute yourself, say your first name and spell your last name for the council and also provide your address. Mr. Madsen. Mr. Madsen Okay, thank you. Next we have Maddy Beres. Maddy Beres, please unmute yourself, say your first name and spell your last name for the council and provide your address.

- Can you hear me?

- Yes, we can.

- Okay, so actually, I'm sorry. The only way I could get on is through my daughter. So I'm Aaron Beres. I am at 117 Swiss Metal lane in Green Bay.

- And can you spell your last name please?

- Yes. Beres, B as in boy, E-R-E-S.

- Thank you so much. Please go ahead.

- Yes, so I understand there's so much tension around this topic, and it honestly hurts my heart. So it's not hard. I have a son with autism and he has struggled putting on the mask, he, it's difficult for him as well. But in order to save one person, I truly believe in this. There were babies in the ICU in a place in Texas. so you can't bring up abortion, and you can't bring up all these different issues about immune systems and whatnot. They haven't even lived a year and they're in the ICU dealing with us. Wear a mask, it doesn't hurt. It will you know what, and if my son can't wear one because it's too hard for too long, then I will put a message on him that lets people know or he can't go in. It is so important. It's not about you. It's not about any of you. It is about other people. And I just don't get why that's so hard to put a mask over your face. I just don't get it. And maybe Guess what, maybe it doesn't work 100% of the time, because this is like the doctor said, this is new. We don't understand it all. We don't get it 100% and we won't for a long time. But it's not that hard. And if it is that hard, then let people know. Because I'm pretty sure that if you're one of the people and very few of you, that if you can't put on a mask, I'm pretty sure if you let people know how hard this is, they're gonna sympathize with you. It's not that hard. It's about communication. It's about saying what you can do, what you can't do. It's about communicating, it's about respect. It's about education. It's about guess what? None of us have all the answers right now, none. Every single person I listen to, you don't have the answers, nor do I. So be a little nicer, be a little kinder and put a mask on if you can, and if you can't, then explain it. That's my point.

- Thank you so much Mrs. Bares.

- Martin W, please unmute yourself, say your first name and spell your last name for the council and also provide your address.

- My name's Martin Wedber, W-E-D-B-E-R, I live at 3307 Beach lane in Green Bay. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak, all, I sent in quite a bit of information to all the other persons including studies and links but there was a couple of things I wanted to address before I get to my main subject here. Chris Valesky mentioned cloth masks. There are studies that say the cloth masks are ineffective and I have submitted that information and 95 masks they only protect the wearer. Okay, it has a one way valve on it. Dr. Lyerly, if pregnant women are at risk, then they should quarantine, just like the elderly, and other people with comorbidities. Okay, you don't lock down the rest of the population. Now, one thing I have noticed about the medical community is they do not look at the bigger picture, including the economic implications here. They just seem to want to deal with the virus and that's it. They're not taking in the long term effects of shutting down schools and businesses. And that's gonna create just a night. Yeah, my main subject I want to cover was mayor Gang Rick's suggestion is that business is to enforce this mask mandate. Okay, now you're basically asking many of which, minimum wage employees to become law enforcement, okay? I submitted links to quite a few news stories about incidents, including physical altercations cross country where employees are making a mandate, two people coming in that are not wearing wet masks, and they're being physically assaulted. I did, I go to Menards quite a bit. One day I was at the service desk, and somebody started screaming. And I said to the woman that was helped me I said, boy, that's not good. She said it happens almost on a daily basis. And she said they've had to have the police come a couple of times, because it got physical. This is happening across the country. You've seen the business links I sent you or the links the news stories regarding this, these are employees, they're terrified and that is not what they signed up for. They have no business enforcing this type of thing. And so you're putting businesses and employees at risk. Thank you very much.

- Thank you Mr. Wedber. Next we have Stephen Kappes. Stephen Kappes please say your first name, spell your last name for the for the council and then also provide your address.

- Yeah, my names are Stephen Kappes. Kappes is spelt K-A-P-P-E-S. And my address is W 1643 County Road VV which is in Seymour but a lot of my business I do like like meeting people is in the city of Green Bay. And I was just one instead of my concerns kind of in different areas here. Ones have already been expressed, I think kind of on a constitutional level. And I understand this is a very real virus. But from data that I have just looked at, and just from things that I've heard is usually just like with a person that recently mentioned about the mask, only the N95 mask help the, in it and the cloth mask and surgical mask do not help because of how the aerosols work. Another thing I wanted to bring kind of more at home is my sister who is pregnant and is working in a workplace, which is requiring a mask but she actually is in conversations with her work about, you know, the options depending on where she is in her workplace and not using it. But she wrote that I'm gonna read here about what she's been experiencing. So she asthma and recently, you know, over the past year she didn't really have much symptoms until she started wearing masks again. So I'm gonna read a comment she made over a social media. She says it's been causing asthma attacks. If it doesn't escalate to that I have shortness of breath, dizziness where I almost felt, fall over, along with headaches in the horrible congestion, days I wasn't working and not wearing a mask I would feel much better. I am also pregnant which increases my risk while wearing mask, mask wearing. I was also checking my blood pressure while mask wearing versus not wearing the mask. The mask was causing me hypertension, it will go up to 155 while not even busy, or exerting myself at work. Then days I was off and and walking and exercise, my blood pressure would only get as high as 133. So in the end, it's been far more risky for me to wear them for the small percentage protection, they would give. And that was a comment she made. So that's what she really experiences. So kind of when I see this if through her work as businesses have been mandating a mask for employees and even for the public, but if she does work with their work, and they allow certain exceptions, and then that changes, I kind of can see these kinds of things being an issue. And just the last comment here, maybe not as important as some, but if for those who are Christians, this seems approached in a way of what would be known as the mark of the beast may sound crazy, but if you can't wear a mask, I mean, if you don't wear a mask without going into a store, you can't by yourself. So just think of that direction for those of you that are Christians, but for those that aren't thinking about the other points I made, thanks.

- Thank you, Mr. Kappas. Next, we have Julie Baldo Atkinson. Please state your first name and spell your last name for the council and then also provide your address.

- My name is Gilly Waldo Atkinson, my last name A-T-K-I-N-S-O-N, I live at 830 Christiana Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin.

- Go ahead.

- So I am very much against the government mandated mask requirement for a multitude of reasons. Just to kind of take one point off the table. I lost my family due to COVID. So I am not somebody that's untouched by this virus. I understand that it's deadly. It is dangerous, it is real. And I have done enormous amounts of

research, obviously, regarding this topic, including masks, and if you actually go out and read the studies, and not just take other people's word for what they say, they all make it abundantly clear that people doing normal activities, walking around, not yelling, not screaming, not being in close proximity, the amount of virus they shared, the amount of droplets they shed or either none at all or very little, right? It is just the science isn't there for that, right? And a lot of the medical professionals that have been on have said yes, they always say yes, we're figuring things out, we're developing things, and I would agree with that. They are doing that. They have an hypothesis and they want all of us, the entire community, to be the experiment. If we look at the content of the ordinance itself, it in no way or shape says, doing this will decrease cases by x percent, or x absolute value. Because they don't know that it will. This is all, you know, a guessing game, right? And we're supposed to just blindly follow whatever comes out of the mouth, of the talking heads on TV, of doctors who previously said these were not effective, but now say they are. And it's just not true. And there's a bunch of unintended consequences to this too, that other people have touched upon, right? It's gonna increase discrimination of people that can't wear a mask. It's happening even when that mandates not in effect, right? There's a religious exemptions that is not in the ordinance. There's no religious exemption in the ordinance, right? So if I have a sincere belief that it's against my religion, I'm still gonna be penalized for that. You know, and we're supposed to believe that the only thing that matters, that's, it's science and that's just inaccurate. We don't elect scientist into political office, because there are more things that matter in this world, then the dictates of a doctor, thank you.

- Thank you, Mr. Atkinson. Give me one moment. Mr. Matt Miller. Matt Miller, please say your first name and spell your last name for the council and also provide your address.

- My name is Matt Miller, last name M-I-L-L-E-R, and I live on St. Jude street in Green Bay.

- Go ahead.

- Again, thank you for granting the time to the public. I wasn't sure the public was going to be consulted and I'm glad to see that it is. First I do not agree that emergency powers should be used during times of what is little quantifiable emergency in my opinion. Smoking, you know, I've heard that being compared and you know, smoking is a choice. It is not a virus. You know, nobody is choosing to take up a Coronavirus habit. People are you know, I've noticed picking up a lot of moral conjecture politicians and fellow citizens alike. You know, personally, you know, my moral stance I care about people's choices and liberties without political bias, you know, I care about that for all Americans. It's unique to our nation and it's something I care about, for our citizenry. And I don't mean to sound callous, but you know, let's face it. I know people do die every year, I have lost my father, my father in law, both from disease. Common flu has been killing people for decades. Personally, I don't want to live in America with zero risk tolerance. I wouldn't want that for my son, future generations, there is risk and we take on risk by being alive. It's important that we, how we address risk. And I think one of the best ways is to dress that based on the trust with our fellow Americans. You know, that being said, you know, I just don't feel like we should compromise on our American liberties even though fear pervades. All right, I would say, also, I am concerned about the mask mandated, mandate, creating a certain overstatement, the feeling of safety. You know, I've heard of the refer to the peltzman effect, I suppose here. You know, are we basically making a short term mandate with long term consequences that we don't understand, as we've all mentioned, data is unfolding. And in some ways I can envision people say, no, everybody's wearing masks now. Yay, it's safe. Let's go on public. I think I've heard a lot of statements on the Minutes of the Common Council

pro side of the mandate, saying that they feel safe. Well, it's not gonna be safe. It doesn't just spread that way. There are other ways and it's very important. I think, we understand that. I've seen every store I've gone to, and certainly myself and I conduct my behavior, I stay away the six feet, that's been a condition, right? I would wear a mask if I can't maintain that distance. And I haven't had trouble doing that in public. This is, I would also say, you know, evidence is evolving. And again, that's been a big piece of this. We don't understand and yet we're trying to mandate and the rationale is just not seem to be on top of people's mind. And we're in a point of moral conjecture. Research has shown, you know, I've seen things coming up literally weekly, right or even daily. You know, talking about this broken heart syndrome. I heard that from Aurora Health even this morning. Thank you, Mr. Miller.

- Yep, okay. Your time is expired, thank you.

- Next we have Seth Johnson. Mr. Johnson, please unmute yourself, say your first name, spell your last name for the council and also provide your address. Yes, sorry, it's actually Andrea Johnson.

- Hello.

- J-O-H-N-S-O-N.

- Okay.

- And I live off of Edinburg road in Green Bay 54311.

- Thank you.

- Thank you, I just want to say first and foremost, thank you to the city council for you guys listening to us, especially the ones that have respected listening to us. I realized that the majority of you already have your mind's made up as to how you're going to vote for this. I would hope that you truly, all of the information and sides for everyone tonight weigh in on that. We have heard so many different things from doctors and the professionals that are in support of masks. I would love to hear something from a doctor that isn't in support of a mask but no one had really taken that time to seek out that person to help give a benefit from their side of the story. A lot of points have already been touched on tonight. The one thing that is very concerning for me is yesterday in the interview, the mayor saying that if you don't wear a mask, this will simply just be trespassing. That is probably one of the most ridiculous things I've heard. So now with the system, with everything that has been going on, with all the different economic times, you are now going to put that on to Minutes of the Common Council

our police system, which then who is going to pay for that? For those calls, simply for the fact that someone is not wearing a mask or refusing to wear a mask. And again, every single person then coming in is going to be questioned why I am wearing a mask or why I'm not wearing a mask. That is not liberty. That's not freedom. That's not anything. Someone, sorry, Chris Waller explained that the mask is to protect yourself and keep your saliva in. I've never known a person that I've come in contact with to spit on me or to really spit around me. I have never come in contact with that. I feel like everyone is adults and are able to withhold themselves to the six feet. The next point is and I know somebody else brought it up. Is the mask used correctly? I go to stores pretty much daily and I wear masks to help protect others even though I'm not in support of it. I will go ahead and say that half of the people within that time do not wear that mask correctly. So if they sneeze, those particles go over. So how is that going to be enforced as well? If it's the fact that now that is going to be trespassing if someone does not wear a mask. Thank you, that's all that I have.

- thank you, Mrs. Johnson. Next we have Eric Leurquin. Mr. Leurquin, please unmute yourself, say your first name spell your last name, provide your address for the council. My name is Eric Leurquin, L-E-U-R-Q-U-I-N, I reside on South Norwood Avenue in Green Bay.

- Go ahead.

- Awesome, I'm just first providing a different sort of perspective. I worked for one of the larger employers that just started the mask mandate for the general public. I've worked for Walmart. We're now in day two of the mandatory face mask coverings. And there has been little to no issues arising from the, are asked of the customers to wear the face mask. I feel that business owners event, organizers are well within their power to manage this issue on their own. And that's a government mandate by to say a Green Bay is just unnecessary, that's all

- Thank you so much Mr. Lucquin. Give me one moment. Okay, next we have Zander Newman, Zander, please unmute yourself, say your first name, spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- Thank you, my name's Andrew Newman N-E-U-M-A-N. I reside on Linden drive in Green Bay, Wisconsin.

- Go ahead.

- So I'm the son of a nurse who raised me as a single mother for the first 12 years of my life. I'm involved in the whole healthcare situation. Getting people back to work getting things back to Norway. Now this is about trying to keep us safe. And we need to make sure that we're doing what we have to do to keep our family and our community safe. A lot of members of the community have brought up how we aren't certain that the science is there. But even if it isn't, we can't lose this. There's nothing we're losing by wearing masks. And

Minutes of the Common Council

similarly, a lot of people have brought up the concern about feeling uneasy wearing masks and that's that is a choice and I think that is even if that's not allowed within the mandate, I think that's a quick answer question answer. You walk into a store hello, you're not wearing a mask? You answer, oh, I'm sorry. I feel unwell right now. And that's something that my family has run into in the past and that the dangerous looks people are talking about that's whether or not the mandates here that's not going to stop and even I myself wearing a mask of gun like the strange looks for wearing an N 95 while shopping. I think it's just a result of our climate. I don't think mandate. What we need to do is protect our community and make sure that we're staying safe. The curve has been flattened for now, but we need to maintain the spline curve while transitioning back to normalcy. And if we're going to not require people to wear masks and then go back to normalcy. We are another explosion in cases like we saw a couple months ago. As our President said today, the harsh truth is that things are likely going to be getting worse over the next few weeks a month before they get better. And I think it's everyone's responsibility in our community to ensure we are keeping ourselves as safe as possible. Thank you.

- Thank you so much. Mr. Neuman, thank you. LGK20 I know you've tried a couple of times, can you unmute yourself and just say a word or two. So I know you can hear us. I see that you are unmuted, but we cannot hear you. Okay, I'm going to move on. Noah Becker, please unmute yourself, say your first name, spell your last name and provide your address for the council. Noah Becker. Yes, hi.

- Am I audible? Yes, you absolutely are. Go right ahead. I'm Noah Becker. I live at 1254 Reed Street, Green Bay.

- Thank you, and can you spell your last name for the council too?

- my apologies, B-E-C-K-E-R.

- Thank you, go ahead.

- Thank you. I will keep this brief. I think you guys have heard most of it. My, I am against a mask ordinance while saying I am strongly pro mask. I definitely wear a mask. And if I'm anywhere close to other people in public, you know, I think that's what we should be doing to if I have any chance of spreading COVID to somebody, I'm going to wear a mask to try to prevent it. But I am a little bit nervous about enforcement from what I read in the ordinance. Having businesses try to enforce it. I don't know how it's going to go to be honest. And I don't want to overtax the police department either. I know those concerns have been brought up. Those are the two main concerns I have. I don't know how fair it is to make employees go and confront probably pretty angry people when they're told to put on a mask. I also want to point out that there's already a high level of distrust and anger among the public and the government. Whether that's justified or not, is irrelevant, it's there. And I think if masks are mandated, people who have generally been complying with it are going to stop doing it. That's my worry. Because that after one, when the shutdown first began, people were

doing it pretty. Everyone was pretty much, you know, in it together for a couple of weeks. But then they started to get distrustful of government. And I think it's just an aspect of our culture that that will happen. So I worried that people will stop wearing a mask if we if the ordinance does get passed. I'm also a little bit concerned about how long it will last. I saw that in the ordinance that the sunset is whenever the state of emergency ends, and I am glad to see a sunset in there definitely. But I don't know when it's going to stop getting renewed, right because every council meeting the ordinance, the state of emergency seems to have been renewed. So without any end date in sight, I think people will be even less trustful of this. And they'll be less willing to, you know, do their part. Then and if the ordinance doesn't get passed, we've seen a big retailers have already all forced masks, which they if I owned a business, I certainly would. I think it's the right move. But I just am worried that people will stop us and might spread it more if the ordinance gets passed. Thank you very much. Appreciate the time.

- Thank you, Mr. Becker. iPhone, Jess, please unmute yourself. Say your first name spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- My name is Jessica Gilbert, spell G-I-L-B-E-R-T Green Bay, Wisconsin and my address is 2331 violin Avenue.

- Thank you go ahead.

- Okay, so I have a few questions. The mayor made his announcement. And they brought up new evidence and I'm kind of confused about this new evidence because the only thing I really see mainly is droplets. And I'd like to see some studies out there that does not involve droplets just because there's other ways of transmission and I've seen so many studies and I Ah, articles expert articles, stating the opposite. So it seems even the medical studies are flip flopping. Also, in the resolution providing face coverings within the city of Green Bay, it's mostly based off experience. It does bring up something about studied but mostly it's about experience and I think it should be more about science. So, also like I just I know the smoking was brought up. The sealed the carbon monoxide from smoking is dangerous but the carbon dioxide from inhaling your own carbon dioxide from breathing by wearing a mask is also dangerous cbs not everybody has to ride in a car everybody has to go to a store and get food. There's over 25,000 members and wisconsinites against mandatory facemask the face group Facebook group. This order ordinance would divide families it would die by Green Bay, it would divide our country. Does greenbay really want to do start something like this? And also like family members are shaming and blaming each other it's getting really dividing and instead we need to unite. I want to say that I am very healthy and I don't think that the government should dictate what I should do, especially when they may eat fast food or not eat healthy. But the Lancer said 11 million deaths a year are linked to unhealthy diets. Maybe we should start with having healthier diets educating people about eating healthy. Instead of this. I don't think it's fair. My body my choice, our forefathers fought hard for the constitution and we're just giving up rights. This could be discrimination and that could lead to a lawsuit. So many questions. So very little evidence. Who has the right to decide? Again, my body my choice. Thank you.

- Thank you very much, Jessica. Okay, next we have I believe Thomas Mattson. Mayor did Thomas Mattson already speak? I believe he had come on.

- No.

- Okay, all right. Thank you. I think you would come up before Thank you so much. Hold on one second. Mr. Mattson.

- I tried before.

- Yes, he did try. Okay, couldn't recall if you have spoken. Thank you so much. So say your first name spell your last name provide your address for council.

- Thomas Matson M-A-T-T-E-S-O-N. I live at 146 Alpine drive in Green Bay.

- Go ahead. And I want to chime in because there's a few things that seem to be overlooked without really overlooked but a lack of appreciation, the fact that if you guys are really concerned about masks, which are not disputed for lots of sick people, it's appropriate to be concerned, then the city is the one who should be giving them N99 and N95 mask these people is your work with the theory that everybody knows what mask they should wear, when almost 99% of mask that are out there, do nothing. Okay, so that's something you might want to consider. And then of course, you have the liability to give out the wrong mask and all that kind of stuff. And initially, when this COVID started, they said don't use N99 and N95 mask for all of us because it's supposed to be for the hospital workers. So maybe that's gonna cause a shortage to Green Bay. I'm not exactly sure but it's something you might want to be concerned about. Secondly, earlier we had a doctor that was on it said, airborne stay is appropriate or data stays in the COVID stays in the air for 30 hours. I didn't line at Harbor they said it's three hours max. And then another thing about the lawsuits is somebody brought up in Georgia. They just went to court to get rid of Alabama's or Atlanta or someone down there. They wanted to have all mask. So the Georgia governor went to court and he got in the court. So the city can't mandate mask. So if you do this, it's going to go to court and get overruled right away and we're all wasting our time. Then another thing that's interesting, which I think should be taken into account to mitigate the fear that's out there is everybody's saying we've got all these tests and everybody's getting sick is to instances that are really important. Just recently the white house so they want all COVID test data to be sent to the White House, and Florida magically had reduced the amount of positive test by 90,000 because they're giving COVID positive people with asthma. And then angry retired representative wife Tina pridemore pride board. They her name is Facebook that herself and her husband went to go get tested, they were lying for three hours, they fill up the information gave their address back to three hours they left, they just received a positive notice that they were sick, never got tested. Okay, that's kind of an important thing. Now the other thing I would be more concerned about is we have all these old people that are in essence disabled because they're when they're old and having problems. Those people that have been forced to stay at home are staying in very hard, very hot apartments and houses and don't have air conditioning. And they're being forced to go to hospital. So if I was going to work on something, I'd work on getting those people air conditioning or get them to a place where they can get some help. Basically, it's all I really got to say I just think that this is a pass on the same thing with

people TV and a extend your contagious so we're going to put you away, didn't happen and this was an over. Have a great day. Thank you, bye.

- Thank you Mr. Matteson. Next we have Michael Adset. Mr. Adset Please say your first name, unmute yourself, say your first name spell your last name and provide your address for the council. Mr. Adsat.

- Oh, okay, come on. You've been on the computer and my phone.

- Okay, yes, we certainly can. Please say your first name spell your last name provide your address. Michael Adsat ADSAT 822. James Street Green Bay, Wisconsin 54303.

- Go ahead. I don't have a whole lot to say that has now already been said. I just want to say I'm against having the masks mandated or asleep. Because of just kind of the joy of getting to see smiles on people's faces too. But we're adults, not children, that businesses do not want people to come in with our mask, they can require it and that gives the choice to shop or not. And I think letting the people decide with their money that way is a good way to do it. I have to say thank you.

- Thank you so much, Mr. adsat. Next, we have Ryan Hatch. Mr. Hatch, please unmute yourself, say your first name spell your last name provide your address for the council.

- This is Ryan hatch, 1119 Crestview lane. I'm in the pier. I work in Green Bay. First of all, thank you for for allowing us to participate today. I'm in opposition to this. But I also want to acknowledge the heart of a lot of people on here that really mean well, my grandparent is in an assisted living facility and currently in quarantine. So I get it like people have some people are at high risk. That being said, I think this, for a lot of reasons should not be put in place. For the record, I've been keeping track, we are at a four to one ratio against, that's 21 to five, anyone's keeping track, four to one against. First of all, I want to say this is unenforceable. The police are unable to regulate this. There's already enough pressure on our police right now. And you're asking them to take on so much more. I support blue, and you're asking the impossible of them. You're also asking the impossible of businesses that have to deal with these confrontations. Secondly, you're going to be dividing the city in half is only going to increase tensions, when tensions are at a record high. I mean, we all feel it something's wrong with the country right now. And you're gonna just gonna drive a wedge through I think that master fine. I wear them when I go to the grocery store. I do. I carry hand sanitizer when we go out and around town we do. I think that you should be able to do whatever you need to protect your family. And I think I believe in freedom of choice for your family and for your business. Three, ignoring the practical failures, and this just isn't going to work, right? Like children are excluded. My children are playing with the other kids on the playground like you're not going to prevent that from happening. This is going to happen in every neighborhood everywhere. This isn't going to stop what you think it is. And there's all these practical failures. You saw Michael share he was right. Smoking right through the cloth N95 only protects the where it exposes the public, right? This is totally not going to have the desired effect. So it'll make you feel good. But on a

practical level it is it will fail. Forth, we're making decisions based on flawed data, that the false positive rate is 50%. That means half the people that are testing positive, actually don't have it, the testings flawed. And there's so many more reasons, but I want to come to this. I believe that you should have a strong suggestion for a mask sure come out with that, say, hey, we believe there should be everyone should wear masks, that's fine. But I believe that private and private business and families should make the decision. Freedom is under attack in the country right now. And I think it's up to us to say, it's up to the family to decide it's up to the business to decide what is right for them. Thank you.

- Thank you Mr. Hatch. Next we have Nate Hyde. Mr. Hyde, please unmute yourself. Say your first name spell your last name. Provide your address for the council.

- Good evening. My name is Nathan Hyde. Last name is H-Y-D-E. I live at 671 cross street in Green Bay.

- Oh, I'm sorry, you cut out there. Can you repeat, that's okay. I'm so sorry, you cut out. Can you repeat your address one more time? 671 Sony crest.

- Great, thank you, go ahead. First, thanks for allowing me to speak this evening. I've heard some fun comments this evening. So we'll start with the first one that some people won't wear a mask properly. While that is true. It's still going to have a positive benefit if we were to have a mandate throughout our community. Not everybody wears a seatbelt properly. Not everybody washes their hands properly. However, if there is still an added benefit from washing your hands for five seconds as opposed to 20 seconds. Secondly, we continue to hear about how this is tyranny that our local government or state government or federal government wants to require masks. And that couldn't be further from the truth, where were all of these tyranny warriors, when we have to wear pants or shoes or shirts into the grocery store. I don't hear people saying that that's tyranny. So that's another asinine argument. Additionally, unless all the parents that are on this phone call, unless you want to play teacher again, this fall and winter and spring, when our kids are supposed to go back to school, it is extremely vital that we get this virus under control. So once again, if you want your kids to go back to school, it is extremely important that we get this under control and one way we can do that is wear a mask. It's very, very simple people wear a mask. Additionally, we have proven as a community over the last four months, that we can't be trusted to do the right thing. When the Supreme Court ruled that the stay at home order had to be lifted, we had people at the bars that same night pretending like the pandemic was over. And it's not it's still here. Yes, this is hard. Yes, this is painful. Yes, this is very inconvenient. Requiring our community to wear a mask is another inconvenience, but it's the right thing to do. And we need to do it as a community. That is all thank you.

- Thank you, Mr. Hyde. Just give me one second. Next, we have Britain, Durham Britain, please unmute yourself, say your first name, spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- Yeah, can you hear me?

Minutes of the Common Council

- Yes, we certainly can.

- Okay, so before I say my name, so someone so kindly messaged me on Facebook, because I thought you really had to raise your hand. And so I've been raising my hand for the entire meeting. And there are some other people on the call that still have their hands raised and so they messaged me that there's a setting in this meeting or zoom thing that says, raise and lower hands. So if you're still raising your hand, you haven't clicked that setting. She's not going to see you. So that I had to say that. So my name is Brittany Durham. I live at a level 24 14th Avenue in Green Bay.

- Go ahead, thank you so much.

- Am I good?

- Yes, you are. Go right ahead.

- Okay, so I just first want to say thanks to the city council for letting us speak. I respect everybody's opinions. So I'm an Afghanistan war veteran. And I kind of, you know, took issues with Mayor Greg Dandridge saying that it's just a small sacrifice. So I joined the military after 9/11 to make that sacrifice for our freedoms and to protect this country. But with sacrifices come loss of freedoms and choice. And so we get so used to saying that we want to be safe and everything like that, but it's one additional thing. So we got to go through airport screening. We gotta be monitored by the NSA, and all these other things. So just a couple things that haven't been addressed tonight is the infection fatality rate for COVID-19. By this reported by the CDC on a recent article and 524 2020 is around point 0 three, the flu is currently point 0 one. Additionally, who's out to gain from this pandemic? And I'm not saying that COVID isn't real, I'm saying that it is real. I believe that, you know, it does cause serious injuries and people to die, unfortunately, but who's out to gain? So, every time a hospital patient is admitted to the hospital to get \$13,000 from Medicare, when people with COVID are put on ventilators, they're getting \$39,000. The AstraZeneca was saying today that they would have 1.5 billion vaccines produced by the end of next year. So who's making that money? So I'm just saying that I'm not for mask mandate, just I wear my mask when I go on public. I'm just saying that it's unenforceable. I get it. You know, people should be wearing masks, but I don't think we should put the resources and the strain on our local law enforcement and have to manage having businesses and enforcing that rule. Additionally, who I haven't heard anything in the media in regards to how these testing, these tests are being completed. So, globalresearch.ca is a very good news site, if you're interested in getting a different perspective on COVID, foreign policy and everything like that, and that, you know, the PCR tests are not, they're basically meaningless. So there is no gold standard to measure the PCR test that are being conducted to allege that people have contracted COVID-19. So there's always a big picture. I just want people to open their eyes to that. You give a little we lose more. Thank you so much for your time. Have a good night.

- Thank you Mr. Durham. Next we have Jason Davies. Jason Davies, please unmute yourself. Say your first name spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- Good evening City Council. My name is Jason Davies. I'm a resident in the city of Green Bay located at 3490 Spyglass Hill drive district one thank you for your time. I'm the reason I'm here tonight was by invite up several people, I know all of you have made your decisions already. So I'm not going to harp to the choir about what may be a better choice or what may be a worse choice. But let's talk about mask facts in a way that is applicable to Green Bay. We have a lot of waste with disposable masks that we're handing out. I see them littered all over the sidewalks. I see him flung out of people's cars and personally it's hazardous. If I do work in a hospital or if I do work outside of an ER as a contractor, as whatever job but the four companies I run, one of the things I have to be cognizant of is air quality. If I go into St. Vincent hospital, for example, and I start drilling holes in walls, I have to set up the filters, I have to ensure that I'm not doing stuff that's destructive to the ER, I have to ensure that dust that I'm making is adequately taken care of. And so the fact that we're demanding people walk into stores, here's a free mask and they're spitting in it coughing in it, being unhygienic. Those who've taken time to not brush their teeth or brush their teeth, have mouth diseases have oral diseases, canker sores, pustules, etc. They're pushing that all into basically what we're claiming by health fact is a filter. And this filter is then coming off of people. It's being touched by bare hands. It's being smeared on faces as they're pulling this off, and two things are happening. One, it's getting hung on the car mirror and it's creating traffic hazards. Secondly, something more relevant is it is going back on the person eating smeared around some more. And now that this bacteria has had time to flourish, it's all over these people's faces. They sit down, not washing hands because society can't be trusted, right? We are five seconds in five seconds out. We're licking stuff touching it. And then we're touching the table surfaces. So it's good that we have cleanliness and common sense and disinfectants and whatnot. But what I'd like to implore all of you to think about the reality of this is, why is there no biohazard disposal anywhere mandated? Why outside of stores are not a red canister that says this mask that's been taken off of someone may have COVID on it, but it may have strep on it. It may have measles on it, it may have the flu bug, it may have Pseudomonas, it may have the most disgusting back periostin virus on the planet. And you're saying it's okay to take it with you flinging it around, bring it out into the air without any education towards any of our citizens agreement. You're saying do this shove a T shirt on for yourself, you're good. You should know what you're doing. You're a responsible citizen. You voted, you obviously elected the right officials to do the job. So I implore you elected officials, educate the public. Don't waste our time with rhetoric and creating crazy stories. Let's be effective in our learning, and hope that everyone can come together to a conclusion. Have a great night.

- Thank you Mr. Davies. Okay, LGK20. Hopefully we can hear you this time. Can you say a word?

- This is Leanne.

- Okay, hold on one second. So Miss Kramer. I see you're unmuted please say your first name spell your last name and give your address for the council.

- All right, so this is Liam Kramer C-R-A-M-E-R 702 nevel levanon Bay. I have a couple of things. Number one, the I was on the CDC site. People Keep Talking about this as a pandemic. We are very close to an epidemic. Epidemic is the threshold is 5.7. And we are at 6.4. To the gentleman before about saying that we need to be wearing masks for to get our kids back into schools. The way that the teachers union is going right now, that will not happen. My opinion on that is fire all the teachers if they don't want to get back into the schools, fire them. Furthermore, most of the TV stations were doing polls on Facebook, and even Green Bay press Gazette did a poll. And out of all those polls, they ran them for like six days. Every single one of them was like a 70% to like a 40 or maybe 50%. That did not want the mask ordinance. Like I told another alder man, I said, you can highly suggest that citizens wear it. We are all adults. We know what is right for us. We have brains. We're not stupid people. Treat us like adults. We're not kids. So highly suggest that we wear a mask. But you're also I've been reading lots of a private group that I belong to. And there are people that own businesses in Green Bay and have already said this mask ordinance goes into place. They are going to be breaking their lease or selling they're moving their business out of Green Bay. So we're going to end up with lost revenue. And going back to John Vander Lisa's opening statement. City employees are already talking about furloughs and wages being cut. Well, if people start losing businesses, and they start moving out of the city, we're going to have a loss of tax revenue. So then, yes, city employees will have to be furloughed. City employees will have to have their wages cut. So is it really worth it to make this a mandate and cause more division within people within the city? Or can you just say we C suggests that people wear a mask to try to alleviate some of the germs. But don't make it a mandate. I would hate to see this city go down the tubes, but that's where we're going if we keep it up because we're not going to have the tax to, tax the revenue coming in and people will pull their businesses they have already said so. Thank you.

- Thank you Miss Kramer. Next we have Jordan Bloch. Mr. Bloch Can you please unmute yourself and say your first name spell your last name and give your address for the council?

- All right, my name is Jordan bloch. Last Name spelled B as in Bravo, L-O-C-H like hotel. And I live at 216 South Jackson Street apartment here in Green Bay, Wisconsin.

- Thank you go ahead.

- All right, well, first and foremost to the mayor and the City Council. Thank you for allowing us the public to voice our concerns over this mask mandate. Now, I'm someone who has tested negative multiple times from COVID-19. And I am against the mask mandate, even though I usually I do carry on with me just in case. I do go into places where there were the social distancing is not applicable. I'm strongly would like to urge the city council to not pass this mask mandate because we are all adults, we all have our choices. We are free people. We are free people so just just please don't did all this mandate to happen? I'm hoping I didn't take up too much time because I did have a battery power. So, again, thank you for letting our voices be heard. And goodnight.

- Thank you, Mr. Bloch.

- You're welcome.

- Next we have Jay gig, Jay gig please unmute yourself. Say your first name spell your last name and provide your address for the council. First Name Joe last name chaganti I 420 Bellevue street greenbay 5431. May I start?

- Yes, please.

- First I want to thank you, Mr. Mayor. It's a pleasure to finally be in a forum with you. I hope you'll be able to join me on my radio show at some point. I do want to point out some striking glaring inaccuracies. Mama Barris said there's 85 babies in ICU that is factually incorrect. 85 babies tested positive. They only know about this through checking data I have several news sources, I can gladly send you. This shows a great example of emotionalism driving decision making versus reason and logic, which is how we're supposed to make decisions. Those babies were asymptomatic. As far as we can tell from all the actual information being reported. They didn't even know that they had had COVID. This was discovered in a data doc. As for the effectiveness of masking, there are several studies that actually show masking is ineffective, particularly wrapping a T shirt around your face. I'm shocked that the CEO of Belen suggested that in the press conference yesterday, she said and I quote, and as studies have been done, on it caught up with itself. We now know that cloth masks face coverings when worn widely in public does slow significantly the spread of COVID-19. I asked Miss molesky to send me her sources. She sent me a vox.com article, hardly a reliable source that site and several others in all our anecdotal, using qualifying terms like it appears most likely, and so forth. She sent a link to the CDC, which talked about a case that I was already familiar with. And the direct quote said that the masking policy quote, likely mitigated the spread, meaning there is no definitive proof. In fact, there is no study as one I believe doctor said earlier, but yet the statement that was made with the mayor standing there was that in fact, studies have been done, the science is caught up. And we know this for a fact. Lying to people in public doesn't give people a sense of security, it makes them feel less secure. And as for the question of going, there's a lot of false dichotomies that you have to have a mask to open up schools to open up businesses. Something else that we heard, absolutely false. It's a logical fallacy, and I'll just say to the teacher pecky and the other person that mentioned wearing symbols, hopefully that teacher is his treating people were symbols, millions of them were murdered. And it was done in the name of that which was righteous and good. No history understand something, people that say we have proven we can't do it. The death rate post opening up the state on a daily average is 33% less than it was during the actual safer at home order. The average during safer at home was nine deaths per day in Wisconsin. It is six deaths per day right now. Yes, every life matters, but stop giving false information. We have been responsible.

- Thank you so much, Mr. Ghonte. Your time has expired.

- Thank you very much.

- Next, we have Leah Merryman. And I also want to say we have Leah Merriman and Julie's iPhone, these are the people who have used the feature on zoom to raise their blue hand and so after I here from Julie's iPhone, I will scroll down the list and find people who have unmuted themselves. But I'll remind you when we get there, thank you so much. Miss Merryman, can you please unmute yourself and say your first name, spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- My name is Leah Merryman. I work my husband works in Green Bay. And I live up in savitsky.

- Can you spell your last name please?

- M-E-R-R-I-M-A-N

- Awesome, thank you, go ahead.

- Okay, thanks for letting me talk. I'm going to be kind of all over the place because I've been listening to everybody and I've been watching some body language of certain people. And one of the things that I remember hearing was that virus can only spread whether you'll hear but here's the thing because somebody is talking over me right now.

- Yes, I'm sorry. Hold on one second.

- Okay, no problem.

- Okay, so all right. Just give me one second miss Merriman.

- No problem.

- Okay, you can go ahead and continue.

- Okay, I heard someone talk about the droplet that what I've read is that viruses only spread droplet if the person is actually symptomatic, and it does not spread with asymptomatic droplets. If people are scared, then they should stay home. The evidence shows absolutely that pregnant women should not ever be wearing a mask. It's an issue of a gas exchange. And they're not getting a gas exchange that's pure and good for them. If they're wearing masks. I've had nine babies. I know, you cover my face up. I'm not going to be able to breathe especially in this heat. A Mayor closed all the polling places except to freeze the National Guard to come and assist with elections and we're supposed to be trusting him with this issue. And like bringing it up now that it disenfranchise both my daughters which work and live in Green Bay from voting because they wouldn't they couldn't stand out there till one o'clock in the morning. And they had to go to work, lady that brought up babies in Texas, it was 52. And they had no symptoms. Even Snopes said that was false. This constant grocers Association does not want this because they're not cops. Dollar Tree already reversed their mandate because somebody got shot. What about people's religion? What if it's against their religion to wear the mask? Have you even provided for that? I watched people rolling their eyes, especially doctors. When people were saying something they didn't agree with. This is a really divisive issue. What about the people that can't pay their bills because they won't wear a mask when they go to work? What about the suicide rate for the people that are forced to stay home because they're terrified to go out because they think they're going to get a cost of wearing a mask for not wearing one. You look at the numbers, this can't be even qualified as a pandemic anymore, according to formally respected health manuals out there. What about the nursing home people, I can't even hug my dad right now. And he can't even talk to me because he has a trick. And he's had a ventilator for six years. I mean, there's a lot of research about sensory deprivation out there. But there's not a lot of research about this virus, and the, you know, asymptomatic people transmitting it, there was one case that I saw from a Chinese person from Germany, or going to Germany, and it ended up that he did have symptoms. So we need to be around people so that our immune systems are exposed to bacteria and viruses so that our immune system functions, right. What if you deprive someone of that you're depriving them of their immunity? Where's this normalcy we're talking about? We're not going to get back to normal.

- Thank you, Miss Merriman. Thank you so much for your time has expired.

- Thank you.

- Thank you.

- Yeah, good job. Okay, next we have Julie's iPhone. Julie's iPhone, please unmute yourself, say your first name spell your last name. Provide your address for the council.

- Julie, Morgan, M-O-R-G-A-N. I live on Tyrolean drive in Green Bay.

- Okay, go ahead.

- Thank you, can you hear me?

- Yes, absolutely, go ahead. Oh, I think we lost you. Can you hear us? Can you hear us? Okay, so.

- Can you hear me?

- Oh, yes, yes. There we are, yes. I think I lost you for a second. Yes, I think so. Okay, hold on. All right, go right ahead.

- Okay.

- Can you hear us? Hello.

- Can you?

- Oh, yes, hi.

- Okay, I just wanted to speak real briefly to the comment that I've been listening to everyone else talks since the meeting started, and I appreciate everyone's opinions. And we all have an opinion, whether it's for masks or without masks, I just want to point out several of the comments about people. However, we're not all adults, and the ordinance does affect children as well. And in the case of my children, they're not old enough to understand the information, the data and to make that decision for themselves if they need to wear a mask. Masks aren't the most convenient. My children aren't always thrilled to have to wear them if they go to the store with me, but they need to wear them to be safe. I know the school board is working very hard to make decisions regarding how to keep the children safe. Masks are going to be a part of that. Hopefully masks are going to continue to be required in more and more stores whether this ordinance passes or not. Are there people in the stores who don't wear the masks appropriately? Absolutely, to pay if it's a person in a checkout that's required to wear them at a store? I do usually if I have to use that Checkout, I'll just say did you know that that really does need to cover your nose to be effective, or something like that, I certainly don't attack people. As far as people feeling attacked when they don't wear masks. You get the same thing when you do wear a mask. You have people stare at you, or have had people comment on the type of mask I'm wondering. I

Minutes of the Common Council

realized we don't have all the answers. Things do change. Doctors are sometimes wrong. However, this has been going on in the world since the end of last year when it started. Doctors are still learning things. And new information is provided as they learn it. How to be effective and helping reduce this. No matter what type of mask. It's better than nothing in most situations. As far as we're all adults, I think everyone if you watch the news has heard the stories about the adults having parties over summer and taking bets as to who can get infected with coronavirus. Those are adults. It's a little scary to think about that's our future, because they certainly need better education than trying to catch it. And there was one dire situation where the man looked at the doctor and said, I think I made a mistake here. And he died shortly after that. So again, I thank everyone for their comments. I respect everyone's opinion, and I thank you for considering this matter. Thank you.

- Thank you so much. Okay, next we have Crystal Mathy. Miss mathy please unmute yourself, say your first name, spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- You bet, my name is Crystal Mathey. It's M-A-T-H-E-Y. And I'm at 4256 Hillcrest drive.

- Go ahead.

- Thank you, so I just have a couple of comments. I do appreciate the time in that you're seeking out opinions with everyone here. My thoughts on this are that it boils down to our freedoms and our freedoms of being able to make the choice and choose what works best for some doesn't necessarily work best for others. So I appreciate having the choice in the matter of yeah, but I'm gonna do that while I'm here. No, I'm not going to do that while I'm here. Also when it comes to the mask, I know all conversations we've been having for our school and whatnot all boils down to as well as proper care of the mask. Vast majority of people aren't necessarily taking care of their mask in the way that it needs to be taken care of. So in a sense, it does just give the false security as well for the people around them just because it's on, I can say personally to I have a mask in my vehicle, and I've had it in my vehicle for several months. And if I'm being honest, I haven't washed it. So really, for me to be wearing that isn't a doing a lot of good to have it and I know that I'm not in the only one that's in that boat. So we don't have a way to even be able to monitor if they are being used correctly. I really think that people just have to choose what's best for them. And what's best for their family. Seek out times as well. You know, when you're out and about to if you're going to go in maybe a more high risk time, if you're feeling more comfortable around that time or maybe as an alternative if you're a person that wants to wear masks and be surrounded by people wearing the mask, you know, having the option to go when others are wearing masks as well. But really, I think that it's important that we do have the choice to be and that the proper care of them is also being looked at or if it is going to be worn or not. That's all for me. Thank you so much.

- Thank you, Miss mathey. Next we have Michael Teskey. Michael Teskey, please unmute yourself, say your first name spell your last name, and provide your address for the council.

- My name is Michael Teske. Capital T as in Tom, E-S-K-E-? My address is 2654 Foxwood Court, Wisconsin. I want to thank you for allowing us this form to express our opinions. And I appreciate everyone else's opinions that have gone before me. My biggest issue with this and I'll keep the short is that I know we have access to the internet and all the information. And as much as I respect the doctors and what they have to say, they're not the medical biologist or infectious disease experts that I've been reading papers on, the New England Journal of Medicine sites explicitly for COVID-19, how masks are ineffective. Now, again, I totally do not judge people are wearing masks. I wear a mask. And I think as we've heard throughout these calls, the people that are against the mask mandate are responsible. They're wearing masks, where do they go so I just wanted to echo that and just make sure that our freedoms are there. I've had several people in my immediate family with high risks, get the COVID. And they have come out of it, they've actually very quickly, even per person affected with cancer. And to me the the fear factor and the fear porn that is going on with this. And again, I respect for one if you're, if you're fearful, I get it. You know, I feel bad for you. I'm not going to live in fear. And I certainly don't. I don't think these masks serve anything other than promoting or projecting fear on the public. And it is a very divisive thing. And as this goes forward, it's going to continue to get worse as far as a division in this country, which I never thought in my life, it could get this bad. But again, I'm against this mask mandate. I hope that the adults in the room here can trust us as adults, as the previous speaker said, well, there's adults if you're going to do dumb things, well, that's in any aspect of life. So again, thank you very much. And God bless America.

- Thank you, Mr. Teske. Next we have Dan, Terrio, Dan, Terrrio, please unmute yourself, say your first name, spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- Thank you, Dan terrio I 170 minahan Street Green Bay. So I wasn't going to say anything tonight. But I've been listening to a lot of the commentary and a lot of the thoughts which I think is fantastic that people are engaged in the process. But the thing that came to mind throughout this whole thing was like several years ago, there was a proposal within the state legislature to ban smoking in restaurants and bars and the same reaction happen. They thought that it was an infringement on their liberty. They if they don't want to be into in an environment where they're smoking, they won't go. You actually saw a polar change when it was passed and health was being promoted. And now you don't think about it even when you go into a restaurant or bar. This is temporary. Just keep that in mind that there are people in our hospitals there are people that are getting sick. Because for the past two months, there hasn't been any type of good guidance from the state on how to control this other than socially distancing. Where mask is possible. But people you have your liberty at this point to still wear masks and it's not happening. We're still seeing rates going up. We need to rely on science on this and if science within art and specifically within our community is saying wear masks and that something needs to be done. We need to follow that. The American healthcare system is not equipped for a pandemic. That's why there was an important piece in there with the safer at home. Those were lifted in a lot of communities you saw rates go up. Masks are the next piece in here and remember, this is temporary, you're not losing anything. But you know, it's a temporary sacrifice that we all have to take in order to keep our community and the people here safe. What's really disheartened me during this is a lot of people kept thinking about me, me, me, me, me. It's about others. And we have to keep that as at the centerpiece to keep every person safe. The last thing I want to say is, you know, we hear this a lot life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. I can't have liberty or happiness without life. So we need to keep that as a centerpiece and do what the right thing is to keep every person safe. Thank you for your time.

- Thank you, Mr. Terrio. Okay, we do have one other person whose hand is raised then as I said, I will scroll down the list and make sure I'm going to find people who would like to speak. So next we'll hear from William
Minutes of the Common Council

Melinda Eck. Miss Eck, please unmute yourself. Say your first name, spell your last name and provide your address for the council. Okay, I'm Melinda Eck 1634, Birchwood drive Green Bay, Wisconsin.

- Okay, go ahead.

- Okay, you know, a lot of people have said a lot of the things that that I would share. So, I'm just going to share a couple of personal stories. One of them is a hard time wearing the mask. I have a hard time breathing without the mask and the mask. Makes me feel like I'm so lucky to have a niece that has asthma. She's required to wear a mask at work, she faked that. So it does affect people in a negative way. I was actually at an event last night where someone at a funeral she was wearing a mask. And actually when I went in, she had the mask on her chin. And I asked her about it, and then she put it up and I mean within the next few minutes, how many times and the reason I point that out is because it shows you the people are uncomfortable wearing mask, they touch it, they touch other things. They now spread those germs that are from that disposable mask everywhere. Are they changing it every half hour like what's recommended? In cases it does say on the box. It doesn't even work for preventing the Coronavirus. And those that are wearing the piece of fabric or bandana? Are they washing it in between a lot of people keep it in their car in between where they're wearing it and maybe going from place they might put in their car because they don't need it for one place. In now we're talking there's a lot of heat going on in the car. So that increases the bacteria on the mask. So and really, if you go to the CDC website, it says this is no longer a pandemic. They've said that I am not this late in the game, we're going to say everybody has to wear a mask. It doesn't make sense to me. It seems an overreach and I'm extremely opposed to the wearing of mask being forced. Thank you.

- Thank you miss Eck. Okay, so what I'm going to do is I'm going to I do see it some other people have raised their hands. I'm going to scroll down, just in case. There have been people who are waiting. Actually, if there are people who are on camera who'd like to speak. I'm looking to see if there are people. Okay, and I'm going to scroll down. If you'd like to speak, unmute yourself, and I can actually see that your icon is unmuted.

- They see that you're there.

- I do see that there is a 6768483 number.

- Hi, my name is Melissa Allen.

- Okay, I'm sorry. Hold on one second one second. So 6768483 is Melissa Allen.

- Yeah.

- Okay, hold on one second Miss Allen. Okay, go ahead, say your first name spell your last name provide your address. Thank you so much.

- All right, my name is Melissa Allen. Last name A-L-L-EN address is creepy road to pure Wisconsin. I work in Green Bay at one of our larger health care facilities. I'm going to try to keep it together for this but also nine months pregnant and honestly, it's been a rough here. I cannot wear the masks for a healthcare provider to act like a pregnant woman can wear a mask is absolutely ridiculous. So that's just a personal thing I had to get off my chest. I have to wear one at work obviously. But you will see it on my chin over my nose. We have to reuse them for a week. So asking like you can do and take it on and off without touching it is absolutely ridiculous. And from a statistic standpoint, and also I've been a hospice nurse. That's not what I do right now. I actually have an emergency COVID staffing leadership position but with my history as a hospice nurse, I've been bedside with a lot of patients and one of my memorable patients shared with me that she wasted her life worrying. And if we look at the COVID death rate in Brown County, as a percentage to the population 0.017% point, I'm sorry, point 0.017% of the Brown County population have died from COVID. I am not insensitive to death. I have seen death. But we cannot have death without life. And there are much painful ways to die. I'm not saying that cold is not a painful way to die there are other Kate more cancer is more painful. There are many more ways to die that we are not restricting. And for somebody to try to impose such a restriction of freedom on our community is so scary to me. We are seeing right here singer, our nation fall apart for our local community. Taking such freedom of choice, away from the individual is so devastating that I can't handle it. And many people feel the same. And discrimination is real, because I've seen it in the stores. I think that's pretty much all I'm gonna say. I just pray that I can trust our local government to discern that our freedom is more important than this restriction because in the big picture we have a higher chance of dying from other things. And I get it we can get sick.

- Thank you Miss Allen. Thank you so much. Next we have Hannah Malmberg. Ms. MalmBerg, please unmute yourself. Say your first name spell your last name and give your address for the council.

- Hi, my name is Hannah malmberg M-A-L-M-B-E-R-G and I live at top 51 rally Street and district IDs. I actually want to express my support for a masking mandate. I am an immunocompromised individual. And quite frankly, this virus can kill me. And I hate to get emotional I'm sorry, but to see people in our community disregard that. I don't care what the rate of death is. When people are dying, and globally 600,000 lives have been lost. And I think that if we can do anything to prevent any loss of life, we should take that step. And I think the scary thing is, is we also don't know the long term health effects of this. And I'm in my young, right, early 20s. And I know, you might think, oh, I'll be fine. But I do have this health issue and I have to see people my age take very risky steps right now, because they have disregard for their community. And I think a mandate would push people in the right direction to consider their neighbor. And I think when we look at other dangerous masking is one of the steps that they've taken. Of course, it's not the only step but I think it's an important one. And I would also like to say that I think people are acting like this is imposing on their freedom. It's oppression. And quite frankly, I think if you think that you've never experienced real oppression, or real restrictions on your freedom, because this is not oppression, it's caring for your community, and it's a public health, that I believe we should take. And so I want to express my support for this. I know I previously emailed some of the council members, and again, I apologize for getting emotional. But it's very alarming to

Minutes of the Common Council

me. When I see in our community that it's getting worse, and it's talking better and I know we question why now, why not earlier? I think, better late than ever. And yes, it should have happened earlier, quite frankly, it's alarmed that our city is not taking more measures to protect people in my situation. I'm so sorry for you emotional but I just want to express fine support for this. Thank you, I yield my time.

- Thank you, Hannah. Next we have Andrea Johnson. Andrea Johnson, please unmute yourself and say your first name and spell your last name for the council and provide your address. My name is Andrea Johnson and my address is 387 windward road. And if you're in Green Bay I just want to ask the city council if they believe that it is their job as elected officials to mandate something over the people that isn't 100% reliable, not. We can't trust any of the information we've been given. As far as the latest numbers, we have healthcare officials that are not actually healthcare. MDS are our friends, telling the people that mask up when there's proof, scientific proof that the masks are not effective. Now, if everybody who believes we should mask wants to wear a mask because they believe they are protected, then why, if you're protected, should I have to wear a mask if I don't want to? So what that tells me is, is that people don't really believe the masks are effective. What they want is for everybody to be the same. And everybody does not need to behave the same nor be the same. In order for us to be protected. We need to protect ourselves if we have vulnerable situations and healthcare concerns and whatever measures we need to do to do that, but we should not enforce that on anyone and for elected officials to make a decision to force people with their to wear masks or anything else to wear anything on their person is against constitutional rights. What I want to ask the city council is when you consider this, to consider what the repercussions are down the road, because this mask ordinance isn't the end, then what you're doing is you're opening a door for a can of worms down the road for a power hungry Mayor or governor or president that gets to do what they want without the council's check. So the council is at the behest of the people and the people are trying to ask you to consider and be considerate of our constitutional rights. Whether or not I'm the masking thing is approved. I think that the council and the mayor need to look down the road, your grandchildren and grandchildren's children are going to see what happens as a result of this decision. It's pivotal. And I just want you to know that you will be held accountable for the decisions you make as elected officials. And thank you so much for having this opportunity.

- Thank you, miss Johnson.

- Median lawyer, good job, Andrea.

- Yes, thank you. Next we have iPhone, iPhone. Please unmute yourself. Give your first name spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- Jessica Smith S-M-I-T-H Cardinal lane. I want to start by saying thank you for taking the time. Oh, I'm sorry.

- Go ahead.

- Sorry you guys and thank you for taking the time tonight to listen to all of our concerns. When I am actually a nurse myself, I went into the medical profession to care for others and to be a servant to them. With that being said, I care for people in all different walks of life. I love what I do. I love helping others to live a healthier life. In medical history, we have never mandated masks for the flu, tuberculosis, SARS or pneumonia. We don't quarantine healthy people, we quarantine sick people. We can control sickness in the hospital but it needs to stay there. Where there is risk there must be choice. Freedom of Choice matters. This is what our constitution in this great country is founded on. The hospital staff in the area have been furloughed. We're working in the medical field used to be that we all had work continuously. This is hurting our economy more than anything. Our mental health is what is really suffering suicide, depression, isolation. The rates for suicide are huge. People need interaction with others it's a necessity. I choose to wear a mask around the elderly and you know, compromise etc and wear it while at work. But I highly disagree that there should be a mandate to force it. It is overstepping our basic constitutional rights. We the People never forget that. Based on the numbers in this call. 32 people have been against the masks. nine people are for it. We the people have spoken.

- Thank you so much. Next we have Dr. Becky Crawl unleashed. Please unmute yourself and give me your first name. Spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- Becky Krawl K-R-A-W-L Royal Crown Court. No, I'm not.

- And you're Yes, thank you, okay, thank you.

- Go ahead.

- I'm a veterinarian, as well in this community, and my concern comes on many levels. One, I'm a doctor, and I may not be taking care of people, but I am taking care of animals. Corona virus is a virus that has been in our environment for centuries, and is something that I'm faced with on a daily basis. The way we handle it is certainly not the way it's been handled in the human world. I could present many things on epidemiology, virology, how to analyze data, which by the way is being presented to you in the wrong fashion. And so I'm not going to waste your time with that because as you can see, again, the majority of people have come to you this evening giving you that data. I want to come to you as a business owner today. I would like you to explain to me how I'm supposed to mandate this in my place of business, I'm already suffering the consequences from this disease with lower care for my pets, trying to deal with clients being attacked daily, in my clinic on how we decide to wear or not wear a mask, we're already facing a problem that's very difficult as a business owner, if you are now going to ask me to be the mask police, I'm not really sure how to continue. I have business in Green Bay and in Alleyway. And so I know the mayor's hope is that we set the precedents on this, I would certainly hope that we don't set the precedent on a mandate. So that alleyway follows because then to move my this will be attacked on a daily basis. I'd also like to point out that there is a council here that sat and voted twice to not bring this to a vote. They voted twice to not bring this up with the mayor still has decided to

overreach in his power to vote on this. I'd asked him council tonight to not give that power to him anymore take away the state of emergency. We are not in a state of emergency anymore. As you have seen, the CDC has reported that we are out of the pandemic, someone has already pointed out that we're close to maybe an endemic, so take that power away from him this evening so that we don't have this discussion anymore. And the vote doesn't have to be had. I asked this as a taxpayer and a business owner, as well as a medical profession. I'd like to point out tonight, the nurses that have come here to speak, I applaud them, they are the ones that are willing to speak out. You want to know why the other physicians in this town aren't speaking out besides a CEO of a large hospital who does not hold MD behind her name, because they're scared because they're scared of their jobs because that CEO holds their livelihood in her hands. I have many physician friends who are against this mandate and don't believe that mask work, but there is no way they're going to put their name on the line in public to say that in fear of the CEO like her. Council I plead you tonight. Listen to the majority the silent majority has now spoken. You know what it is right and stand up for what we have said this evening. Thank you for your time.

- Thank you Dr Krawl. Next we have Laura. Laura, please unmute yourself. State your first name, spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- My name is Laura Krause and I live on Indian hills drive in Green Bay.

- Can you spell your last name to please?

- K-R-A-U-S-E.

- Thank You go ahead.

- I echo everything that has been said tonight so I'll I will not repeat statistics and studies for the sake of the time tonight. But in respect to those few that say that I need to do this said it is my responsibility to protect you and your safety. I say to you I is not responsibility to protect you and I do not need to do this. I have an immune compromised disease. My husband has moderate to severe COPD. We are both high risk people, but we both accept her personal risk our own safety, it is not up to my elected officials or any other resident of this city, state or county to determine or protect from my safety. I and my alone responsibility, thank you.

- Thank you so much. Okay, Justin steiners already spoken. Brad Burmeister. Mr. Burmeister Please unmute yourself and say your first name spell your last name and provide your dress for the council. B-U-R-M-E-I-S-T-E-R and I live on whispering Creek court in the village of Howard.

- Go right ahead. So I'm an emergency physician here in Green Bay. I grew up locally in Seymour. And I actually work at the hospital which I was born. I don't want to go into any details and refute any statistics that were cited so far. But I just want to say that in my medical opinion, as a physician, someone who has raised in this community, I think that a masking ordinance is the right thing to do at this time. We see very concerning trends in our numbers in our population. Our trajectory is very unfavorable right now, this is a terrible virus. And we need to do what we can to help protect our community, protect our entire population, protect our economy, and get our children back to school. I think this really should be required so that we can keep moving forward.

- Thank you.

- Thank you, Dr. Burmeister. Okay, so I'm going to make I'm just going to go down the list here again to see if there's anyone who'd like to speak. If there's anyone who would like to speak who has not already spoken, please unmute yourself. I'm scrolling down and I can see that your icon is unmuted. Or you can start speaking, unmute yourself and start speaking and we can get to.

- I would like to make a few comments.

- Okay, hold on one second. And is this 6768483?

- No, this is KJ.

- Oh, yes. I think your icon kind of got pushed down. Okay, yes, indeed. Hold on one second. Thank you so much. All right, go ahead first name, last name address. Go ahead.

- My name is Kristen Giganti G-I-G-A-N-T-I 1420 Bellevue street in Green Bay. And I just want to thank all the people that so eloquently and reasonably spoke out about this mandate which I am also against. I think it's important to note that we need to make this decision logically and not emotionally. We've seen what all the emotionalism can do right here on this call, but the poor girl who spoke a few minutes ago who was falling apart at the seams who seems to think that the sky is falling, and she's going to die. That is not a good place to make a decision from from a place of fear and emotionalism. I think that we need to look at all of the facts and be reasonable. And one question I want to reiterate, I think we really need to have a better idea of when this mandate would end if it were put in place. Is it when there's no percentage of covid positive people in Brown County, there's really not a good explanation of when there's an end cap on this. And I think we deserve to know what that looks like. I also just want to make the comment that by doing this, you're really creating a niche for Green Bay by asking citizens to be, you know, watching each other very suspiciously, we already see so much animosity going on. There's a couple people on this call that have mentioned that they've been

Minutes of the Common Council

accosted and harassed in public for not wearing a mask. I think that for the good of our community, we need to stop and take a hard look at what this is doing to us. And by asking people to snitch on their neighbor. That's only going to make it worse and I think that we in Green Bay are better than that. And I think also it creates a towards those who are asked to enforce this, be it business owners or our hard working police department. You know, I don't think creating more animosity against those people is a good thing either. The one last thing I'd like to bring up is to ask the council if they've considered the fact that this has the potential to open the city up to enormous potential legal litigation. Frankly, this is a civil rights lawyers dream and for good reason, because this is not constitutional. And I think they really need to take that into consideration. Do they want to risk opening themselves up to lawsuits? Because I'm quite sure there are people out there that are willing, rightly so to take that step. I think it's obvious that the voice of the people has spoken here that the city of Green Bay does not want this. And as a city council, it's your responsibility to listen to the voice of your constituents and do what is right. Thank you.

- Thank you. Okay, I will have one final call for people who'd like to speak to this issue.

- I think there's a 4301300 mature bacon.

- Oh yeah, let's see.

- Thank you.

- Thank you alder 4300. Yes, I see. 4301300 can you unmute yourself, please? I will unmute you. Can you hear us and speak?

- I can hear you.

- Okay, awesome.

- Just give me one second.

- Sure.

- Lots of clicking. Okay, say your first name. Spell your last name. Provide your address for the council.
Bronson Smith S-M-I-T-H I live on Smith street at Green Bay for 302.

- Okay. It's clear from the overwhelming majority of people speaking oppose this oppose this mandate tonight. The people that want this mandate to go forward are in the minority. And last time I checked in a democracy majority rules, the mayor and his COVID Brigade, who would pass this mandate tonight, regardless of the majority of Green Bay residents that oppose it, or not serving any reasons faithfully. I oppose this mandate wholeheartedly. I believe any mandate is totalitarian in the nature. All women scandal calling people who oppose this mandate What did he call them, I believe it was immoral, is very unbecoming of a public servant being paid \$10,000 a year by the taxpayers of Green Bay. This mandate was defeated last week as a committee at the committee, you have the mayor decided to sidestep the committee and go what a slap in the face to not only the committee but all those residents that took the time to speak out against it. And those that spoke out were overwhelmingly against it to them. This issue is too big to be decided by a dozen elected officials. There should be a referendum vote on the November ballot. And by the way, the mayor should resign. Thank you.

- Thank you so much, Mr. Smith. Okay, is there anyone else who would like to speak?

- I'd be up.'

- Okay. And you yourself, say your first name, spell your last name and provide your address for the council.

- Eliot Christensen, C-H-R-I-S-T-E-N-S-E-N. 1988, mulberry lane Green Bay, Wisconsin, I'm not going to bore you with my a giant list of why this is a terrible idea like I did last week at the committee. I just want to make sure that I don't get misrepresented as being one of the 10 to one that are supposedly in favor of this. It's very clear that that's not the case. So thank you.

- Thank you Mr. Christiansen. Anyone else who would like to speak please unmute yourself.

- I would like to speak.

- Oh, yes, Katie Hyde. Okay, just give me one second Miss Hyde. Okay, go ahead say your first name spell your last name provide your address. Katie last name Hyde H-Y-D-E address 671 73 greenbay 54302.

- Go ahead. I would just like to, I'm not gonna, you know, go over everything everybody else has. But I, too am a nurse, I too have seen many people's lives lost to various illnesses. I've been at the bedside of people losing their lives and seeing what families that are directly impacted by would have gone through napping able to be in contact with their family, whether their loved ones are in nursing facilities or hospitals, regardless of the reason why they're there, if it's COVID or not. So I think just this really, I don't know why this is such a complex issue and a big decision. I think it really comes down to let's just help each other. And by doing that, we can all wear masks for a set amount of time and I don't know love your neighbor, do what you would want to be done to you. And that's simple. And that is all.

- Thank you so much.

- Oh, wait one more thing.

- Oh, absolutely.

- I would like to specifically called I don't remember the person's name, but the individual that was extremely brave that was in their 20s and isn't going to compromise. I applaud you for speaking up and I wish you the best that hopefully we can all come together to help people that can't help themselves. Thank you.

- Thank you. Anyone else who'd like to speak? Please unmute yourself. Anyone else?

- Oh, this is Teresa Iverson I-V-E-R-S-O-N register import in omega.

- All right. Go right ahead Miss Iverson.

- I think that there's a lot of great points that have been brought up I feel like the medical community has been extremely intellectually inconsistent here. And so I'm not even going to get into that point. But if we do decide to mandate masks, which I'm obviously completely against, then do we end up doing that to every child who gets their childhood vaccinations because they're live attenuated viruses that shed and then those children are asymptomatic or symptomatic shedders after they get their childhood vaccinations, I think it would be completely ridiculous to ask families who have to work to quarantine themselves after their childhood vaccinations for their children, or for themselves as adults, and anyone that is in their home and anyone they come in contact with. That's something that nobody is talking about when they say you're putting other people

Minutes of the Common Council

at risk. If you don't wear a mask. This should be mandated.` It's about other people consider your neighbor, be loving. I completely agree with that we should consider other people and be loving, but you're opening this up to, if you're going to be intellectually consistent here and medically consistent, then you would have to also say if we're going to be afraid of these viruses out there that are being shared by people that we can't see that maybe they are sick. You have to be consistent across the board. And I would never say that you should have to wear a mask. For if you're going to give your kid vaccinations. That's just ridiculous. Also, like they have said there's no mandates to be a healthier person to eat healthy in our family. We have immunocompromised people here. And we are not asking anyone to wear a mask for us. We have the responsibility to quarantine ourselves. If we feel like we're going to get sick. It's no one else's responsibility to take care of me. We have personal responsibility and we need to take that seriously. I have a lot of friends who are physicians. And I remember talking to one of them and saying, aren't you worried when there's somebody who comes in with, you know, the flu and they are sneezing all over the place? And she's like, no, because I face the other direction. They don't cough right into my mouth. One time that happened, I was like, well gonna get sick now. Like, we can be responsible people without requiring all other human beings to do exactly what we asked them to do. And Dr. Fauci said that he wouldn't tell people that we should not wear masks right now. When before he said that it was completely ridiculous to wear masks for the regular population. And like, how are we supposed to trust this when people go back and forth and back and forth?

- Thank you very much, Miss Iverson. anyone else who would like to speak?

- If there aren't any additional speakers I will entertain a motion to close the floor motion. Motion made by alder Scannell seconded by alder dorff. All All in favor signify by saying aye.

- Eye.

- The eyes have it. The floor is closed. I do have a request to take a five minute recess. So without objection, we will do that and we will be back in five minutes sharp at 9:19. Call the meeting back to order we are on you item one to reiterate consideration with possible I'm resolution providing for face covering within the city of Green Bay. Effective July 27 2020. Motion to approve. Motion to approve made by alder Scannell seconded by alder dorff comments.

- We need to make that amendment now or just that wasn't, I just didn't Yeah, so I'd like to amend section on definitions with

- Could you read that amendment again Alder?

- Yeah, the indoor open area, the amendment would be indoor area accessible to the public means any interior area of any structure or premises licensed by the city of Green Bay, or used in whole or in part as a place of resort, assemblage, lodging, paid traffic occupancy for other use by the public, which the public customarily has access.

- Do we have a second for that amendment? Motion has been made to amend by Alder Scannell seconded by alder dorff discussion on the amendment.

- If you could clarify Did you just add a few words at the end? alder Scannell?

- What would you like?

- I was at my apologies. I had my my mute down. But it was a good point.

- It was funny where.

- I found where you were changing it but where you change words at the end and all you did was add some words, right?

- I believe so.

- And what were the words again? Do you want me to repeat the whole thing/

- What you added at the end.

- Just at the end, okay.

- We're a customer or something.

- In which the public customarily has access.

- Okay, thank you.

- There discussion questions on the amendment?

- Okay, well, this is just on the amendment right? So we'd be voting on this then once that votes done we would be voting on the amended ordinance correct. So just be I know we had that discussion before so if I could ask all the scandal the purpose of changing this because I kind of went from vague to vaguer I didn't really clarify, you know, maybe you could explain. It's supposed to be more specific actually. It's yes, that's legal for yo that's the legal ease. But I could speak to it or is there something would legal rather do that today?

- Sure, we could either go to attorney Chavez or assistant city attorney Mather.

- But I'm curious other scandal, wasn't it your suggestion? I don't know why you put it in there. I could give the background on it. I don't think so. Steve Grenier about, he had thought I had something to do with framing the language of the ordinance.

- And I told him I didn't. And he told me his concerns that according to the way it was written now, somebody in an office all by themselves, we could be in violation of the ordinance. So I said, Well, you know, I don't know you run that by Vanessa. So he did, and they decided that, yes, that's true. So let's change the language so that if you're alone in your office, this doesn't apply.

- Okay. Does that make you? Sure I do have more, I guess.

- On the amendment alder?

- Not right now. Thank you.

- Okay, any other thoughts on the amendment specifically?

- Mayor?

- Yes.

- Alder Lafave. Yes, I agree that's a good thing to put in. I also had some techie or from the county asking me about the Steelers in Green Bay, but he had mentioned to them his office is all by himself whenever he's in his office. And there are other offices in the county where people are not interacting at all. And I mentioned to him, I believe that we would put something like that in. So I think this is appropriate. Thank you.

- Thanks all there. And that was always the intent. This is, you know, simply clarifying that making it clear.

- Sure.

- Comments and questions on the amendment.

- There.

- Yes, other gallon.

- Thank you, Your Honor. So were the example given was an individual in their own private office with them a non public area of the building at our own city hall, during council meetings, we have custodial staff that will be working by themselves that in amongst the hallways and some of the rooms there's no council meetings with that staff be allowed to go maskless in this case.

- We'll go to attorney Chavez Assistant Attorney, Matta who's ever available. While we're waiting, I would just bit of housekeeping, we have a lot. That's okay. We have a lot of participants on the line. And that's perfectly fine. But just to let people know you can view the meeting on YouTube as well. And then we don't have to be worried about people being muted or unmuted. So that is an option for everyone attending Chavez.

- So I think that is perfect to tell you what the policy is right now is pretty hot. For the way we are working with at least in my department, is if people are outside of their office in the common space, then the expectation is that a person is going to be wearing a mask. The reason being that we're trying to keep the common areas uncontaminated. Now, as far as your question goes. The way the ordinance is structured, it would require anytime somebody inside in an area where the public customarily has access.

- Okay, so in business x, anywhere in that building that the public does not customarily have access, the employees could technically go basketball. Or if there's a crowd full of employees, would they then have to wear mask.

- It is where the public customarily has access.

- All right, thank you very much that clarifies it very well for me, thank you.

- Exalted additional thoughts or questions on the amendment? Seeing none all the way, you know.

- Hello, the official signal is so I just tried all of them. Just go ahead. If I could, I know we get right into our business and I just, I wanted this I'm sure everybody feels the sun that counts. But I wanted to thank everybody, for speaking up and for being so patient. It's not easy to speak to find a council where you used to roll hats now, but it's not easy to put yourself on the line like that. So I wanted to thank them and everybody who reached out I know, I've had probably 400 emails and calls, and I'm sure everybody else has and responded to them. So thank you for doing that. I am sure everybody agrees with I'm going to vote no on this because I'm going to vote no on that in the end. So why would I amend some kind of vote for me and I have a whole list of reasons why. But for now, suffice it to say I'm gonna vote no on this amendment in the rest later.

- Thanks, alder. Certainly echo your comments. Appreciate all the testimony that was offered. Any additional comments on the amendment? Hearing none, we have a motion to amend. We have a Second. All in favor signify by saying aye.

- Aye. Aye.

- Board please.

Minutes of the Common Council

- We have a request to use the board we will do so.
- All there Sawyer.
- I believe he's backed out too far out of the program via verbal voice vote.
- Can you need to press star six like I did?
- All their story are you still on the call?
- All their story.
- About the text
- All their story a week in here.
- You can vote verbally sir.
- Amanda Fallen and I can't get through here. Can you hear me?
- Yes, go ahead.
- I said no,

- It's all there.

- That amendment succeeds nine to three. Well, can we put that back up just a second? I just want to make sure. Okay, yep. That amendment exceeds nine to three. So now we have the item as amended on the floor. We have some speakers who have pressed the button. So we will go to alder dorff. Thank you so much mayor. And yes, I would personally like to thank everyone who has spoken tonight and who spoke at the meeting. And also to thank the over 500 people that called me and emailed me and did the poll on my Facebook. And I want to share all of you that your voice has been heard. Many studies that you've sent have been read, and several videos have been watched. Many more people than we saw tonight at console have weighed in on this. This was just a small portion of the people who communicated with me. As of two o'clock today, 198 persons who communicated with me were not in favor of the mask mandate and 308 persons were in favor of it. And so this means no matter how I vote, hundreds of people are going to be angry with the way I voted. For every scientific study for professional viewpoints expressed to me and presented to me in favor of wearing a mask to prevent the spread of COVID-19 and opposing study or viewpoint has also been presented. There's no universal agreement on this. Judging from what I have heard, and read, from people opposed to mandated mask wearing, most will absolutely refuse to wear a mask to protect others from this virus. Not all definitely not all, but most well. Asking for people to voluntarily wear a mask sadly does not seem what I can show my understanding for why wearing masks is important is mainly to protect others, to protect the elderly people with immune system selectors that make them more vulnerable to the COVID-19 virus. The mask ordinance as presented addresses most of the concerns of the people that contacted me and we're against moving in mask in Green Bay. It is time limited. Oh the what is the end date? When will COVID-19, when will this emergency be over? Nobody knows for sure. But when it is we won't have to wear masks anymore. It is not required for children under five. That was a concern expressed to me. It is not required for people eating or consuming beverages. That was a concern. It's not required for people with any type of a medical condition or disability that would prevent them from wearing a face covering. It is not required for people who are communicating with the deaf and hard of hearing, or in settings where it's not feasible or practical to wear a mask such as dental services. I've heard and read much testimony regarding this ordinance, including most recently a letter from the local presidents and CEOs of Aurora baycare Medical Center, baycare Clinic, Belen health, St. Vincent St. Mary's Hospital, billing health and purvey I'm sorry, and previa health, which site current CDC recommendations and support adoption of an ordinance requiring face coverings in appropriate circumstances. In addition, I just read today, the 28 states in the union require masks now statewide. After hearing all of the testimony, and carefully weighing both sides, I will support the ordinance requiring face coverings. Thank you.

- Thank you, Alder. Next in the queue is alder Johnson.

- Thank you, Mayor. I have several amendments I'd like to propose. First amendment page two under the last whereas I would like to ensure such compliance and included with that amendment. I would also strike item number four enforcement. Second thing and if I may, Mayor, I'd like to speak on that amendment.

- Yeah, can you state it again, you cut out a little bit on the first part of the amendment.

- Sure page two under the last whereas there's verbiage that says but recognizes that penalties are necessary to ensure such compliance. So my amendment is to strike that statement as well as item number four related to enforcement.

- Okay. So here's my primary concern with this. I mean of all the emails that I've gotten and I've gotten just as many as everybody else, not one single person has asked for businesses to be penalised for non compliance businesses or not law enforcement, I don't support asking them to release their customers with a policy that they may not support. I'm not comfortable with an involuntary vigilante police force that puts businesses in direct conflict with their customers, or risk losing their livelihood, they may display no shoes, no shirt, that's a policy they choose. They can choose masking as well. But it should not be mandated to do so opens up our small business community to civil liability for enforcement cannot be universal because not all businesses have a municipal license. And as a result, it puts some businesses in a position where they can be penalized and others not.

- Okay alder we do have that amendment and I believe it was so it's offered by alder Johnson seconded by alder wearies I correct.

- Burnett.

- Alder Burnett apologies. Further discussion on that amendment before we get to the rest of the speaker.

- My lights on Mayor knucklehead. Yes, thank you, Mayor. Question for the city attorney. Before I ask the question and it pertains to the amendment. What I am troubled by is many of the ordinances that we've seen lately can be very vague and how they're interpreted. And what I'm particularly clearly troubled by with this particular one, under enforcement Section B. Any business violating this section may be subject to administrative action for any license they possess with the city. So for attorney Chavez if you could explain what administration action means and what it could lead to.

- Administrative action is anything that we take against the person. So, using the liquor licenses as an example, if somebody is not finds their liquor licenses and we are able to take action, including up to revocation. That is a liquor license. What other licenses does the city issued to businesses and service establishments that the city could take administrative action against?

- You'll have to give me a minute pull up our ordinances.

- Okay. Well, Attorney Chavez is pulling that off. Mayor genric I believe it was your ordinance or you were involved in drafting it. Do you know what sort of administrative actions on other establishments that city could take?

- I mean, the principal action would be a revocation of the liquor license for a bar restaurant, you know, grocery store convenience store.

- How about other licenses before attorney Chavez gets the answer if you know?

- I'm actually I have it up.

- Okay, so here we have our ambulances, auto salvage storage, bakeries and confectionery, failures, important pool tables, game rooms, solicitor licenses, cigarettes, closing up sales, restaurants, liquor licenses, hotels and motels. Dunkin secondhand dealers, pawnbrokers residential building contractors, retail food market, city Stadium, taxicab business licenses, greater licenses, circuses and carnival. And that's about covers it.

- So those are all licenses that the city of Green Bay issues to the business community. Is that correct? That's basically, if that thank you if any of those businesses or establishment violate this order, it's under the discretion of me to assume the city attorney's office to begin administrative action against those businesses, who possibly revoke their license to operate an establishment and make a profit and employ people including their own self in the city of Green Bay. Would that be a fair statement?

- No, so a video office prosecutes any of the ordinance violations. So what would happen is they decided failure to comply with the city ordinance and then fail to comply with city ordinances is a condition of your license. So we don't just resolve because somebody has a single a single infraction against them. We take the multitude of it because they are doing your rights us. And so it would be considered in conjunction with any other citations that they receive.

- Okay, what would a citation be for a business that does not comply with this ordinance? While there's not actually any kind of penalty associated with it, it is primarily just the fact that you're violating it.

- Okay, thank you. You see, the problem with this under enforcement it says not not you, Attorney Chavez. I didn't intend that for you, for the council, any business violating this section may be subject to administrative action for any license they possess with the city. That is shocking, because it's very vague in a way to anyone in the public who we answer to, that were to read this ordinates. What's the penalty? A lot of gray area because
Minutes of the Common Council

it's not stated. All administrative action, that could mean a number of things. These are people's livelihoods. Businesses, many of whom are just barely hanging on due to COVID. We don't need to keep adding additional burdens on the businesses who enforce this. It's up to us as a city if we are passionate about passing this, but we clearly articulate what sorts of actions violations could entail. First violation, second violation. Third violation, administrative action is not acceptable. So I will vote for all them in Johnson's amendment. I think it's completely proper to remove the enforcement from this ordinance, and then move forward from there, thank you.

- Thanks alder, additional questions or comment on the amendment?

- I do.

- Go ahead alder.

- Am I reading this correctly that it applies to any public place even if you don't?

- That is correct.

- That is correct? Okay, then I don't see and maybe I missed it. Where is the penalty for shirt? They don't have a license. So what would we do administratively to punish them? The bookstore, hobby store pet store, you don't have licenses with us. We're only addressing and you can see, we're all there. Johnson was saying that's pretty uneven. One, you're gonna take their livelihood, you're gonna take their license potentially. And the other one. There's no doesn't address it. Silence isn't good, generally.

- Okay, there's no answer. So we're not addressing. Okay, so if you're. Go ahead, no, I'm most waiting.

- Well, I didn't. I was waiting for a question. I didn't hear one.

- Really? Well, I'll state it again. There is a penalty clearly spelled out for people who have a license with the city of Green Bay that you could lose it through administrative vagary, right? What about it was pretty vague. I think alder Burnett was pretty clear that it was vague. We're not sure what those administrative things are, what the steps are, it could be slapped on the wrist it could be taken. One Warning, two warnings through earnings we don't know when or approving vagueness very vague.

Minutes of the Common Council

- Well, it's administrative action is provided by law that is not vague.

- Pretty vague.

- Tripping in appreciate that. But what about a church? That's an assemblage right? They don't have how do we how do you propose punishing them? I'm sorry. That would be for you all to determine.

- Why isn't in here. Now this is all ready to go. We're supposed to create it. We just got this handed to us 24 hours ago and not by email, like I had requested. It was just kind of a Oh, it's it's in the packet. If you go online and look at it, kind of figure it out. I'll tell you what ordinances usually go to committee gets hashed out, people can talk about it, we tweak it, then it goes to Council. And you gotta to read it twice. This one you want to ram through in 24 hours when we're getting 500 calls and emails from concerned people, and it's not even wait, what about parks, shelters, shelters at parks stands at sporting events. We're going to send the police breakdown and I'm going to put your mask down sitting in the stands. I'm going to find ourselves in or what have we taken police away from traffic duty and following up on crime to enforce masking at soccer events. I don't know. As you can see pretty weak, not well thought through. I mean I'm for the amendment because it makes it inkling better in case it passes but I'm opposed to this overall. I'll have more to speak on it but I'm not even getting. I don't remember ever being presented an ordinance that says where are we? So that's for you to figure out the night?

- Well, I guess the situation is really, you know, this, there's an ordinance that has been presented to you that needs constitutional muster. And that applies a penalty that is as least severe as possible, which is what we've been hearing. So we tried to make that happen for the council. And then we also limited it to just those businesses that have a license, so that we were again, limiting the amount of authority that was being exerted. But again, that is really up to the council. If you guys feel like need be imposed on everybody that is the council's decision.

- Okay. So, just to clarify, this does apply to everyone, but we only are going to penalize people with licenses and see where that is, could easily be challenged and say my penalty, a whole lot worse than then this guy's penalty.

- Well, that's the thing. Whenever somebody has a license, they have additional obligations that are placed upon them. And compliance with city ordinances is one of the requirements that is mandated for their licenses to licensing.

- That's kind of like saying a taxi driver who speeds we're going to take your license, but the guy who's going to church or the pastor driving people around a few speed. Yeah, well, I, you know, I know we're all we're all for one going against COVID. And in our lawyerly area, so we're kind of overwhelmed. We lost that battle, so I need to be not as confident in this legal document.

- Additional comments on the on the amendment.

- Mayor, can I speak all those stories?

- Go ahead.

- Thank you. I'd also like to thank all the folks that participated. We've had 400 emails and calls that all the doors said we have, and it's very true. It's been a very contentious issue we've had in nine years on Council, I've never had an issue quite like this. So I'm going to, I'm going to talk about this. There's so many big areas with this. And, you know, I know the city is looking at, but I've been in touch with Brown County, I've talked to supervisor John Braschi. Over time, and Brown County should be if we move forward should be the government that takes a look at this. We have 24 separate communities in Brown County.

- I appreciate the comments, but just make sure you direct them to the amendment.

- Okay, well, the amendment then I there's other comments that like to make, but I think for now, I feel like it's too big. At least I agree with all their Johnson on his tact on this. So I'll leave it at that for now. Thank you. Thanks, although.

- Mayor.

- All together and go ahead.

- Thank you. A couple pushes for several different people on this amendment. So alder Johnson, if I understand correctly, you want to pull the entire enforcement section or just the part about licensing.

- The entire enforcement section and if another alder wants to propose a replacement, they can certainly do that. But I'm housing just eliminate it. So you're proposing an ordinance with no enforcement to it?

- Exactly.

- Okay. But you're in favor of the ordinance?

- I'm in favor of the amendment I proposed.

- Okay, thank you. Attorney Chavez, if we do have a business without any kind of a license and they are not encouraging or enforcing or anything else, this ordinance on masking? How would you see any kind of a pressure to be brought to bear on them to make them comply with the ordinance?

- So I think what we are confusing is what's the purpose of the improvement section was the purpose of the A was really to gain compliance with the it gives a directive to the businesses, and it's trying to seek voluntary compliance. There's not a penalty imposed upon anybody who failed to to wear a mask instead, they're subject to a trespass charge when they're asked to leave. And that's where we're asking business to is, if people are not complying with it, to ask them so. Now, the way it's written We don't have an enforcement mechanism for any businesses who don't comply. The exception being like, licensed businesses because it is an obligation of their license to comply with city ordinances. And so if they fail to comply with them, their licenses are subject to action per law. It's the same as somebody who's driving with a license. The license Trump's like you, you have an obligation to meet those standards. Unless you with a commercial license, you're subject to different standards, because you do have a commercial license. So there isn't a mechanism in here to address that, because the point was simply to gain compliance.

- Okay, but I guess, when I go back to the days when smoking was allowed in establishments and that law came into place where they were no longer allowed. And I know people are worried about enforcement. The police department never went out looking for smoking violations. It was if they walked into an establishment and someone smoking, then they took some action. And there was a specific citation it could be issued. There was one establishment they had a fee to smoke in their person, they got the ticket, they just really went into the kitty took the money out that people have been paying the smoke in a paid off, they're fine. So after a period of time, the city became wise to that and that's when they went after their license, but it was after a work with the establishment I guess. I've never seen the city act in a in a manner that would put someone's license at risk unless that establishment was purposely not enforcing or not taking any kind of action with that ordinance. So, I see here were not having specific penalties. I mean, evolvers would be happy with first offense \$100 plus costs and on up the list, and then the fourth time they take away the license, I'm not sure if that's what you're looking for. Or like they said, we're merely looking to get compliance and this is a soft way of going about that. And individuals that obviously have the right to appeal to committee, or people that are against this, but certainly be able to affect those companies up. So, all right, thank you very much.

- Thanks, alder. Comments on the amendment? Yes, alder Johnson.

- I just want to speak a second, so I'll defer to someone else. If they haven't spoke yet. This is alder Lafave. Yes, I concur with alder, again he had mentioned is would be more appropriate. It does give some direction, I think we have to look at facts like you said the first time is just a warning. And then you can make it progressive. Because we definitely don't want to penalize in a way our businesses. We don't want to take their license away. We just want people to follow a simple ordinance. That's all it is. So I think right now on the amendment, I probably would vote yes on it. And then to go back for B and put something in there. Maybe A and B or something. Thank you.

- Thanks, alder.

- Any other orders before Johnson for a second time?

- You honor.

- Well, just kidding, go ahead.

- Thank you. I would support this amendment if the alder would attach as although Galvin suggested the scale for penalties, I don't want to take this out. I want to talk if we're going to take if we're going to talk about the penalties. Let's figure that out. And then phase two, Section two, which would be what we want it to be as far as penalties. And as far as that goes. When I first proposed this, I did offer some suggestions and one was a sliding scale of fees or penalties for businesses. Going through this with our police department, and getting public input, it was determined to be to try and go softly and not turning the police into the facemask. So I'm willing to give that position you know, we want to be we don't want this to be. There's been enough uproar on this. And I've heard those voices and I like to also just take a second here and thank everyone for your input. Facebook, email, the whole works, had a lot of great conversations. I want to apologize to people I'd never was able to get I didn't read your emails or listen to your voicemails if I didn't get back to you. And I really appreciate it and I think listening to people who are against this, taking in a lot of their concerns, I think perhaps going with a batch, which is more like we are highly recommending in a very, very strong way with an ordinance. That is doesn't have a lot of teeth to it is to try to work with everyone in our community to make an ordinance that everybody can live with. So I'm all far if the majority thinks that we should you know have a little bite to this enforcement and put a little more work with our police that they will be more involved in enforcing this. I can go with that but for right now unless we do come up with some other enforcement I'm not going to support this and I'm fine with going with a soft problem.

- Thanks alder. Any other alders before alder Johnson for a second time

- I would like to speak mayor.

- Alder Illonois go ahead.

- Thank you, I think you know, if you look at this face, covering Resolution. It's got a lot of hiccups in it. And too many uncertainties, you know, as far as the amendment, you know, I think the best thing the city could do would just make a resolution to recommend face covering. And I think if that's what they'd like, from talking to a number of people, most businesses, they don't want to be tied down to a resolution like this. I think the public is doing a good job right now at Brown County. Most of your businesses, your hospitals, doctor's office, they all require masks, City Hall requires one county. I don't think we need any face mask resolutions. I think there's enough laws.

- I'm speaking to the amendment.

- You're talking about the amendment. We don't need the amendments either. We don't need any of this. I feel that we don't need any, input as far as amendments. They're talking about, you know, she's you know, taking away the business. In other words, the business people have to, you know, if we pass this then they did before they had enough time, enough problems with, you know, what's been going on the last three years, they don't need any more restrictions. They don't need any more. I think that community is doing well now that that's my thought. I don't think we need to pass this fee at all. In in as far as penalties, more penalties on the business. I don't I'm not for that at all, thank you.

- Thanks alder, it was an alder Dorf, who is seeking.

- Thank you. A question. Are there two different amendments we're talking about? Or is there one amendment? Alder Johnson Could you clarify when you said something about some item two or something? I couldn't find that.

- One amendment is intended to strike language into a referenced. Yeah, so I miss so the first one, which is the first part again, page two.

- Page two, okay.

- The last where as on the third paragraph. Third paragraph from the top.

- Okay.

- It says and there's on that last sentence it says but recognizes that penalties are necessary to ensure such compliance. My amendment is to strike that statement.

- When we vote on this, will vote on two separate amendments, right I am not comfortable voting on one amendment, there's two separate things we're talking about. But I'm just asking, this is just one amendment. We could certainly ask attorney Chavez if it's appropriate, but I believe they both relate to enforcement.

- Correct.

- The amendments that considered one.

- Attorney Chavez.

- I said, there's no issue with it being considered one.

- Okay.

- Because it's both both penalties. Okay, so you taking out that penalties would be then you're not you're taking out the entire enforcement section. And I wasn't understanding that alder Galvin was talking about replacing it with various fines. I have to.

- I was only saying that as an example if that's what they want it, they were saying I was too vague and I was saying, well, then they could have penalties put into it, which I don't prefer that, I prefer a softer approach like as is.

- Yeah.

- I would say that. Yes, definitely, I prefer the way it's written right now. It's a softer approach, it's maybe subject, we have to have some enforcement with the ordinance. And it's very soft right now. And it doesn't say you will pay \$100 or \$25 or whatever may be subject that is a great way for this to be written. And this is the way other ordinances are written. Some are more specific and some are not. So I will be voting against the amendment. Thank you.

- Any other comments before alder Johnson?

- They're there all the starter, all their story for a second time? No alder Johnson is ahead of you.

- Thank you, Mayor. Just a couple clarification. So one is, you know, 89% according to Wisconsin dot 89% of the state of Wisconsin is in compliance. Just yet, the only penalty for not wearing your seatbelt is a \$10 fine. So the point is or something like that you can gain a vast majority of compliance with a very, very small penalty. In this particular case, I'm proposing the amendment that I'm proposing, because I feel that it is. It is not appropriate for the businesses in our community to be responsible for policing this ordinance. That is the purpose of this. And if I would maybe ask attorney Chavez, if I'm not mistaken, proper parliamentary procedure, allow one amendment to an amendment does that correct?

- Well, in fact.

- Okay, and I'll clarify why I'm bringing that up, because any member of city council right now, if they want to, could make an amendment to my amendment, replacing the enforcement verbiage, so that if it gives you more comfort and ease, you can do that, but my objective is still to remove the component that puts businesses in the middle of it's customers in the law.

- My cheat sheet confirms that it can be amended, but it does direct me to the rules to see how many times so give me a second. I'll give you a final answer.

- Okay, well, Attorney Chavez is looking into that. Alder Johnson, would you be okay with going to all the store?

- Yes, thank you.

- That other store. Okay, thank you, Your Honor. Well, I was going along with all their Johnson on this, but it seems like you know, when you have an ordinance without enforcement, you know that it could be a two edged sword so to speak. So, you can look at something like this. I'll just throw it out there for everybody to listen to. You could have a first offense would be an educational talk, if you will, or some kind of setup by where the person is told. Okay, this is what we have on the books. The second offense could be a written warning. And the third offense could be a citation, not less than \$5 and up to 500. I'm just throwing that out for for conversation. You know, I've been talking with the county, some of this and I liked the tack that they're going with so I'm going to bring that forward and anybody's interested they can speak on that cranky.

- Alder, attorney.

- Can I speak to an amendment?

- Yes, for a second time I'll go.

- Alder Johnson, I would agree with striking letter B. Under number four, I think I like the fact that the the enforcement says businesses and organizations may read and indeed they claim to be exempt from this section for the reasons permitted here. And I think just if I would be willing to support if you just had letter A under enforcement and strike letter B, are you open to that? I like my amendment the way that it's proposed. Okay, you offered us to do an amendment, so I tried. Well, I think attorney Chavez is verifying if that can be done. Turn each other up.

- It's a long section. Give me a second.

- Okay.

- All the door if you still have the floor.

- Okay, so my understand was it could be amended once and she's checking to see if it can be amended more than once. But so you would not, is there any part of the A you'd be willing to keep over Johnson?

- I would prefer to see my amendment go to an upper down vote.

- Okay, I'm Excuse me. I understood what you were asking us to do. So I won't speak anymore, thank you.

- Mayor, can I ask a question?

- Yes, alder Lafave.

- Yes, if we vote and it passes all the Johnsons motion, then I would assume that we can, someone can make a motion to put in something under enforcement.

- Correct.

- Okay, thank you. The Robert's rule states that there's the first amendment which is what was being offered by alder Johnson and then a secondary Amendment, which would be anything to alter Johnson's amendment and a secondary amendment cannot be amended.

- Very good, thanks, attorney. So we do have that amendment on the floor. And it has a second any further comments on the amendments?

- Yes, sir.

- Alder Scannell.

- All the weary I'll defer all the worry first. That's the way to go ahead.

- Very generous of you. I just had to point out how many times have we listened to some alder people believe that we're doing committee work at Council. But lots of times and here we are doing a lot of committee work. So next time we do committee work council some you know, we are going to hear it. And also let's not put forth false hoods. Alder Scannell stated there's no teeth in this ordinance. That's a toothless ordinance. Toothless well, let's see. Oh contraire mon fair. Licenses in jeopardy. That bites that one that will come from that one has a little little bit of truth to it a little bit. We are now mandating a possible trespass citation, right? How much is that? How much is a trespass citation? Chief or Vanessa

- Ernie Chavez chief Smith anybody have that?

- I do not have the fine for traffic trespass citation. Sorry.

- We look it up. I think that's kind of vital to what we're doing here if we're going to charge someone with a right now. Thank you is that no matter what it is, it's that I was gonna bite you tooth. And then we're cruelly asking city business employees to be the police. That has to be emotionally and physically pretty straining, pretty stressful so that bites do so I'm seeing three ways that bites and I'll wait to hear and how much a possible press citation so it's not a food.

- Thanks alder, alder scandal.

- Yeah, first of all, I never said that this didn't have any bite. I said put more bite.

- No, you said it had no duty.

- Alder Weary.

- Well, it's got gum. It's got strong gums.

- I'll take that.

- Okay.

- Yes, what I would like to ask chief Smith 'cause I have an amendment I'd like to propose 'cause I do not want to vote for this without having some enforcement attached to it, is how do you feel about a a continuum fine, starting with a warning and say 500 and 1000 do flat would be putting the police in the mall, malls of a face mask, policing force. Do you think that you're taking on more of that role if we go that route?

- If Smith?

- Yeah, well, certainly, the police department will do whatever you as a council decide to do. That's our job. You guys enact the laws, you guys make the rules, the state legislature makes the, legislature makes the rules. We follow the rules and we enforce those rules that you make unless they're found to be unconstitutional by the courts. As as you're discussing first level citation or first level talking to second level citation, or written warning, third level citation would cause us certainly a lot more work than going into and responding to a simple trespass that we would be enforcing.

- Okay, so then, I'm sorry.

- I'll give you the number. The the fine for trespass, inclusive of court costs is currently \$187.

- All right.

- Thanks attorney alder Scannell, you have the floor.

- Okay, well then I'm not gonna propose in a minute. I think a softer teeth ordinance is a maybe a more productive way to go listening to the very many voices who spoke out so strongly about just doing a resolution, I think at least takes a couple steps that we're not coming down with a hammer with noncompliance. So I'm going to not support the amendment and stick with trying to just use the core set of abilities we have that are pretty lenient. I mean, you're gonna get a, an individual is gonna get a trespassing fine if they become belligerent in the store. That's how they get, that the store will call the police and then the police--

Minutes of the Common Council

- Wide open.

- Just like anytime anybody would go into a business and create a disruption. And then for a business, if we would like we do with liquor licenses and all our other businesses we've worked with, in the past when there was non compliance on issues, we always work with them to try to get them compliant and then if we just they were so adamant about not required when we took sterner measures. So I don't think that the threat of losing your license is something that's gonna happen too quick and people are gonna be worried. They're not compliant right off the bat. I don't support this amendment. Oh, could you hear me?

- Yes, we heard all that. There's somebody who is, I'm gonna mute all 'cause there's somebody unmuted and then I'll, the next alder who'd like to speak just unmute yourself.

- Mayor, this is my second time on the amendment.

- Yes.

- It's very, yes, thank you, Mayor. This is a very troubling, the enforcement is troubling in that we are using administrative action against a business. Many people on this call, who are not knowledgeable of our city government probably don't know what that means. Council members here 12 of us, really didn't know what it means. And how in the world can we propose an ordinance? Can the city government propose an ordinance for people on this call who drafted it or whose idea it was? Anyone know what the municipal citation was for trespassing. How in the world can we put forward something and put penalties against our public, our very people who this government owns, belongs to without knowing what the ordinance, without knowing what the fine was for trespassing, that tells me that this was not thought out, as well as it should have been. This should have been discussed at committee, the committee went made the recommendation to essentially kill it twice. But here we are debating something in our city leadership, did not even know what the municipal fine was for trespassing. This is bad government. I'm against the, I'm for the amendment just because that tells me that we're not really thinking about this as clearly as we should. We just wanna put it out there that we can get our people to comply. And listen, COVID is seriously I'm not dismissing that. This is a serious pandemic we're dealing with. We've heard it over and over again. This cannot be rushed. We're putting substantial penalties on the public for something that we didn't really think about that clearly. No one on this call could tell us what the municipal fine was for trespass. That is not acceptable. Sorry, it's not. When you're drafting an ordinance that's the penalties, the financial ramifications. That's one of the first things you should consider. I'm for the amendment and then we got to move on and start talking about other things. But this is not right. It's not right, thank you.

- Thanks, alder Any comments on the amendment? Alder Galvin, go ahead.

- But it's my second kick at the cat. I think it's kind of funny that we're upset that we're gonna have an ordinance that imposes a trespassing fine on people that refuse to comply with the ordinance which means if they don't refuse, nothing happens. If they leave, nothing happens. It's only the insistent entering the store and not leaving, something happens, and we're upset about that. But we're not upset I guess then or are we upset if someone were to walk into Walmart tonight or any other store that currently has a mask sign up and that person refuses to leave. Is that okay if we charged them with trespassing or disorderly conduct? No one here seems to be thinking about that. And that's what's gonna happen if this ordinance fails, we're still gonna have stores that require mask, we're still gonna have to deal with individuals that refuse to comply with all stores orders, but I guess that's not that big a deal here, but it's only if we have an ordinance, then it's a big deal. We have a soft approach to stores that don't comply. Alder Johnson talks about the seatbelt ticket is only \$10. Unless you have a child in that car who's on seatbelt or unless it's more than one violation, then they have a sliding scale for those citations. Because what we want is compliance, almost all our laws and ordinances are designed the game compliant and that's all we're asking here at these stores. If they don't comply, if they purposely tried to work the ordinance, then there are the possibilities that heavier penalties and that's why I'm not in support of this amendment. We have to have some teeth to this, or it's not worth the paper right now, which obviously I think that's the Balder would prefer, thank you.

- Thanks alder. If there aren't any additional comments, we'll go to the vote on the alders amendment which--

- Mayor? Yes, Alder Lefebvre.

- I had to unmute myself. Listening to others, and I think yes, if we can't, if police, chief of police said that if we do the education, and the written warning, and then the citation it's more work for him. So I am going to vote against this amendment. And also think if you really read it, it always says me, me. It does not say will, excuse me, my voice is starting to go. It says me. So that's up to the business to decide. And this here's up to the city and the county what we decide to do. So it's not heavy handed. And as all the Galvin mentioned is a soft excuse me, a soft approach, thank you.

- Alder.

- Any further amend or comments on the amendment we will go to.

- Yeah, could you just have read the amendment, what the amendments gonna do? Give us that information on the amendment again.

- So Craig if I'm wrong Alder Johnson, but I believe the references to penalties and that last whereas clause on page two. The aspect that says, but recognizes that penalties are necessary, deletes that language and then deletes the enforcement section on the final page of the ordinance.

- Thank you Mayor.

- And we will use the board.

- They are coming up to vote verbally all those there?

- Yes alder.

- I vote no, I vote for all the Johnson on this one so

- You're voting yes amendment? Yes, I am.

- Okay.

- So that amendment fails seven, five.

- We have lots of speakers here.

- Know what is.

- So if there's an alder that would like like to see recognitions by the best way to do it.

- Yep.

- Alder Wery.

- Thank you, Mayor. I'll make a motion to refer this to the protection and Policy Committee because it obviously needs a lot more work, thank you.

- Okay, motion made by Alder Weary, seconded by Alder Brunette to refer back to production and policy discussion on that motion.

- Refer to because I really didn't come through from there but yeah.

- Yeah.

- Your Honor.

- Alder Scannel.

- Yes, my original when I first brought this forward, this is a very time sensitive issue. When I brought it to committee, I was my recommendation to committee to for, to refer this to staff to bring to a committee as a whole so that we could go over it because it is a time sensitive issue. We don't have the time to kick it around and then by the time it comes to counsel again, it's too late. We don't do this now. There's no point record. So this is why it became incumbent upon us because of the emergency situation we are in to do committee work in the floor, if it were not a situation, I would have not recommended this committee.

- Alder Scannell, can you just hold your comments? I need to mute everybody again. And then if you could unmute yourself and proceed.

- So it was strictly because this is time sensitive.

- Mayor, would I be able to weigh in real quick?

- Yes, as long as all the Scannel.

- Certainly.

- So just so everybody is aware, because it's been done under emergency powers. The proclamation is subject to ratification alteration, modification or repeal by the governing body, but the subsequent action is not, does not affect the probability of the Proclamation. So if this is referred back to the, referred to committee, it will take effect and then it will be subject to whatever the committee or whatever the governing body ultimately decides.

- Can you can you say that, the last bit just one more time Attorney Chavez?

- Yeah, because this was enacted under the emergency powers, it is subject to ratification alteration, modification or repeal. So it is going to go into effect on the day, the effective date which I believe is the 27th. And after the committee and council decide, then the action will be taken in response to that but it will go into effect if the council does not take action on tonight.

- Okay, thank you for that clarification.

- So, in that case, if we passed it tonight, and it went you to effect as is or whatever changes we make tonight, but we still refer to committee and committee make some other changes and comes back we could then amend the Proclamation? Correct, Attorney Chavez?

- Correct, if nothing happens on it tonight, it will go into effect. And then it will be subject to whatever amendments happens later. But what isn't in place today is what will go into effect if the committee or the council I should say, does not act on it.

- Okay, well then, I have no objection to sending this to committee then I think.

- My motion is rescinded.

- Okay, thanks, alder.

- I got time.

- So we're back to the item itself. Comments or motions?

- Yep, motion

- Alder Weary.

- Thank you, wherever appropriate, I'd like to exempt places of worship, wherever exemptions are, that's my motion.

- And alder Vander Leest was attempting to second the motion, he's muted.

- Alder Vander Leest, is that correct?

- Yeah, it's correct. That's second the motion.

- Okay, discussion?

- Question for where I didn't see anything would that be assembly? How would that be written into the, what would that look like Attorney Chavez?

- There's two options. I think with a cleaner option is to put it in the exemptions as a separate item. The other one would you just to list it as in their area except for the, be the one you guys voted on the amendment earlier to specifically list it there. I think like I said, I think the cleaner one so that people will find it easier is to list it in the exemptions under three.

- Okay, is that your wish all Alder Weary?

- Sounds good, yes.

- Okay, so adding places of worship under exemptions. Discussion. Seeing none, we will go to a vote on that. It's been made, motions have been made by Alder Weary seconded by Alder Vander Leest, we will use.

- Board please? Yep, we'll use the board on this exemption of places worship.

- Mayor, all the store votes yes.

- Thanks, alder.

- Alder Scannell, it didn't show up. That amendment succeed seven, five. All right, other alders seeking recognition?

- I do have a question.

- It's all the way. Thank you, I did have someone asked me about the under definitions, one a face coverings. The last part of it face shield which covers the mouth and nose fits snugly against the side of the face. I did have someone asked me that. There are face shields worn is OSHA PPE that don't touch the sides of the face but they do protect the mouth and nose. Is that? Similar here are what. OSHA approved face shields that it all.

- Really up to you alder, whatever your comfortable with.

- Mayor.

- I'll think about a season Florida.

- Okay, a little favor.

- Yes, maybe it doesn't make sense because it's saying then a face shield covering mouth and nose fittings monthly against side effects, the shield usually doesn't. So I think maybe the shield should be more at the end. What you're talking about is the mask, covering the nose and all that and that should fit, should cover the mouth to fit snugly against the sides of the face, or a face shield which covers the mouth and nose. Because from what I've seen, the face shields do not cover this snugly against the side of the face.

- Okay, additional thoughts on the item as amended. Well, what was the amended, amendment exactly?

- I didn't know.

- Now I was referring to places of worship amendment.

- That one.

- Oh, I'm sorry. I thought we were up to face coverings, I'm sorry. Yeah, we are. I'm just saying there was a successful amendment so I'm referring to the item as amended.

- Okay, well, should I make a motion that the face covering the way it is written should be rewritten? Okay, face covering means a manufactured homemade cloth covering that fully covers individual's nose and mouth. And this is with your straps or otherwise tie so as to prevent slipping and fits snugly against the side of the face and secured to the head or a face shield which covers the mouth and nose.

- Okay, so that's eliminating.

- Excuse me Attorney Chavez. See, the way we can do it to make it very simple is to just remove fit snugly against the side of the face.

- Okay, that's my motion then to take out fits snugly against the size of face.

- I will second that.

- That was seconded by Alder Stevens.

- If I could.

- Yeah, just confirming seconded by Alder Stevens?

- Yes.

- Alder Scannel.

- It should cover the side of the face maybe not snugly, but they should, the seals should cover the side of the face. It shouldn't be open at the sides. So maybe just take out the word snugly covers the side of the face, fits snugly.

- I'll take that as the amendment. Okay, snugly, let's take the word snugly out. That's my amendment.

- Yeah, it's.

- So we actually painted to cover the side of the face?
- Covers the side of the face. Okay, yeah covers the side of the face.
- Ever is the proper way of putting up that, that's my amendment.
- All right, and that is still seconded by Alder Stevens?
- Yes.
- Okay, comments on that? Seeing none, we'll go to the board on this amendment.
- My board isn't coming up. Oh it came up, okay.
- Mayor, this all the, all the store I vote, yes.
- Alder.
- My amendment is adopted tended two.
- Further comments on the item.
- Mayor.

- Mayor.

- Yes, Alder Galvin.

- Are we just talking about the ordinance as it stands now? Are we moving forward that or are we still looking for other amendments and things like that?

- I have other amendments.

- Yeah, it's certainly appropriate to enter name amendments.

- All right, I'll hold my comments until Alder Johnson's.

- Thanks alder, Alder Johnson.

- Thank you, mayor. And look to amend item four, 4B that we strike item 4B.

- Second.

- And I would explain that item. It seems to me that the other alders that were uncomfortable with my initial amendment, were really related to item 4A. So I'm requesting that 4B be struck. Because again, this is the one that relates to imposing penalties on businesses with their license at the city. There seems to be sufficient and enough vagueness around this that it, really isn't adding anything of substance to this document.

- Till there further discussion on that amendment, which would be removing 4B.

- I just have a question.

- Yeah, Alder Gavin, go ahead.

- This for Alder Johnson, what would you recommend for a company that is knowingly violating the spirit of this ordinance day in and day out? How should the city handle it?

- I would defer to the mayor, but I don't believe that this type of back and forth is allowed.

- Okay, I'm sorry, I apologize. I'm just trying to get some grasp here of what the city could do. Maybe that would be for Attorney Chavez. If we don't have a sub B in there, Attorney Chavez. What could the city do for businesses that knowingly ignore this ordinance? Can you answer my question?

- So this is, in my opinion, and it's an informative piece 4B, public because if somebody is knowingly violating our ordinances, when their licenses come up for renewal, we take that into consideration when we will recommend action being taken on them. So regardless if somebody's ignoring the city ordinances.

- Okay, it's something that can be brought. All right, thank you.

- Thanks alder. Further questions or comments on that? I do, Mayor.

- Alder Galvin go head.

- I'm in favor of the amendment. I don't believe that that is an acceptable provision. Let's think about a business owner right now. Now they're sitting many are barely hanging on doings beyond their control. Inevitably we're going to have people in this city, who are not going to comply. So the city of a business owner is basically going to have to enforce this against some of their customers, many long term customers who may never come back to that business because they're frustrated being turned away. The business has to comply with it. And if they don't, they're subject to administrative action by the city of Green Bay, which possibly means to losing their current license as the extreme part of the enforcement. I know there are several steps up until that point, but we just heard the city attorney say that the future issuance of licenses could be affected. I don't think that we should weaponize city government against our businesses. That's not appropriate. I don't like it. It's just not right. I mean, think about what we're doing here. This is a very punitive action on our business people who provide taxes to this community to fund this government. And we're going to make them enforce this against their own customers. And we already know based on things we're seeing in other municipalities and videos

Minutes of the Common Council

we've all watched, the enforcement of this is tough, there are going to be people that resist, it's going to happen. I don't wanna jeopardize our businesses and cause them additional stress and worry that somehow somewhere down the line, the city of Green Bay is gonna revoke their license, if not now, then a year or two when they reapply for the license, not appropriate. I'm for removing this provision and I amend Alder Johnson for bringing it forward again, thank you.

- Thanks alder.

- Comments. Hearing none, we will use the board.

- Mayor, I'm sorry. May I speak on that? Alder Lefebvre.

- Yeah, thank you. You know, when you look at this here, do you think a savvy business owner is going to call the police for every little thing? And then there's also exceptions for all the people they have to say when they come into the store is that I have a health exception. I have one of the exceptions listed in this ordinance. And the owner is probably gonna say, okay, please, just please keep your distance, then, you know, they're gonna be polite about it. I don't see. And then for business, as it's been stated, I don't think we're gonna go after a business right away. We're not going to, I think probably what the city will do, we'll be talking to the business and just saying this is the best for everybody. Please, try to follow this year, do the best that you can. I don't think there's gonna be. That we're gonna just willy nilly take someone's license away nothing, but also we have an ordinance, we have ordinances and we have rules and laws, where if a bar is constantly allowing under age people in, we've closed them down, we took the license away. Hope you're not thinking, some alders have said this, that you're not thinking that, oh, that's a punishment, and we're gonna lose that business. Okay, away from them, because they can continually did not follow any of the proper rules and regulations. So I don't think that we're going to be out there just taking people's licenses away, thank you.

- So Alder Scannel?

- Yes, I think we kind of covered this before and also, I think it, for me, this was just like when we are smoking again, that was a put upon the businesses to address that. And I don't think it was the end of the world. I don't and I hope that if a business is dealing with a customer that is being non compliant and being disruptive, that they back away and call the police and that's where the, A comes in. So I don't think that the this is terribly courses or onerous or inappropriate for it, than anything else we've done in the past. And I think we need a little something again, I don't think we could again, list a series of fines. This is a much softer approach and much more cool, and I'm all for trying that out before we need to resort to bringing down a hammer on businesses for being non compliant. So I don't have support removing this from the ordinance.

- Alder.

- Mayor, can I mention one other thing?

- Or a second time?

- Yes, also it says in the first an A it says the authorized representative of the business or organization that would be the manager or the business school owner. It is, people have talked about this. All they got 17 year olds checkouts net that they're gonna be in harm's way because someone might get belligerent with them. This is not the, none of the employees are going to be doing this. It says the authorized representative of the business. That means a manager or an owner would be taken care of this. So that's just nothing everybody should be aware of, thank you.

- Mayor. Alder Galvin, go ahead.

- Thank you. In my experience over the years, working in several businesses and taking police action, several businesses, and even officers were told that in off duty situations merely become good witnesses. No one's saying that people have to go these, employees have to go hands on with these individuals that refuse to comply with orders to put a face mask on and or to leave the building. And again, these buildings, I mean, how do they handle shoplifters? How do they handle people that become disorderly or domestic disputes that erupt in their businesses, they're their stores. And many of the places that I think some of you alders seem to be worried about our restaurants and bars. And if I understand this ordinance correctly, there's no mask required in those establishments anyway by the customer would only be the staff that would have to be wearing the mask. So I'm not really sure where all this concern is coming from, about overreacting employees or employees putting themselves at risk when merely all they have to do is ask someone to put on a mask just like they would ask them to put on their shirt or put on their shoes or whatever other rules to store may have. And if that potential customer doesn't comply, and doesn't leave, pick up the phone and they call the dispatch center and the police are set. And all they do is become good witnesses. I don't see how that is putting the businesses at risk. The ones I'm worried about the businesses like I've mentioned before the particular bar was smoking. That basically laughed at the state statute in the ordinances in the city and laughed at the enforcement action because they collected a fee from everyone that smoke and those are the businesses I think that we would be applying Section B to and only after they had been given warnings. I mean, the police department issues warnings all the time, sometimes verbal, sometimes written and even when they issue citations I see in our protection and policy committee take many citations and incidents like that and downplay them and handle it at a much more subtle level. But I'm concerned where suddenly alders are accusing our other alders of taking draconian measures against businesses that don't comply with this ordinance. And I'm not sure where it's coming from, 'cause I've never seen it in this city yet, when it comes to other types of violations, thank you.

- Mayor, if I could a second time.

- Yes, Alder Brunette.

- Second time on the amendment. Attorney Chavez, again, could you clarify the list of businesses that the city of Green Bay provides licenses to?

- You want me to read the list again?

- Yes, please. So I think the council needs to hear that again.

- Ambulances, auto salvage storage bakeries and confectionery earlier full tables, game rooms, solicitors, cigarettes, closing up sales, restaurants, fermented malt liquor licenses, hotels and motels. junk and secondhand dealers on brokers, residential building contractors, retail food market, sales at City Stadium, taxicab business licenses and operator licenses and those are primarily it.

- Thank you now, Alder Galvin, if I could Mayor, I agree with, I understand where Alder Galvin is coming from, I really do. But I think why this is more most concerning is the lack of public awareness of the issue. So basically the committee had making this, this speaks to the amendment mayor, so please allow it. The committee made the vote, okay? The agenda was published on the Green Bay City website. It did not include this amendment. It did not include, I should say, it did not include this ordinates, okay? This ordinance was not included in the agenda packet when it went to the public. The mayo had a press conference on Monday morning, announced it early in the morning. Many of the city council members were not invited. As far as I'm concerned. I didn't get an invitation and I'm the city council president. So then the press conferences held, from what I understand it was scheduled outside, secondhand knowledge here, but again, I was not invited. This is not a personal slide. I'm not trying to be childish about it. But I've just tried to understand the process and why I believe it's poor government, and why the public is railing against it at the moment. But we were not invited or consulted on the idea. Many of us were surprised to hear about it on social media. It was scheduled, they'll be held outside, 20 to 25 members of the community, many of whom this will affect in a very real way.

- Alder, you got to get to.

- This is to my point, Mayor, this is exactly my point it. If it affected real people, somehow along the way, the press conference was moved inside, 20 to 25 members of the public did not have access to their city

government when this was presented to the press. We get it later. We get it a couple hours before the end of business. Great, I'm glad we got it at least. So that if we did not know what was going on, how could we?

- These comments are definitely more appropriate on final, on passage.

- Well, no to be honest, I disagree. Is a, it points to the public opposition, party people, I don't know. We're against it today I I for it, the people who have been contacting me, they didn't even have a chance to read the ordinance. So it does go to enforcement, because now we are saying to them, oh, here's the ordinance. And I'm a business owner in this community. I am outraged because this affects them in a very real way. It's not right. The way it was done, the way it was revealed, that's fine for removing this provision. We can't give the public one day notice and say your city government could possibly revoke your license on something that you were only informed about a day before. And then oh, by the way, maybe not this year or next year in the future when you reapply for your license, we're gonna remember this. That is the other gal when you say is draconian. I get it, that's a strong word. But I'm telling you that that's the way it appeals to me. I represent people in this district, businesses that are barely holding on, and we throw this on them and we wonder why the public is angry. And you wonder why people say draconian and this and that. It's not their way to do it. And I know many of you understand that. I don't know why we're doing this to the public. It should have been discussed that committee. I'm for removing this. I applaud Alder Johnson for the idea of being very bold about it. You're looking out for the businesses in this community, and that is never a bad thing, thank you.

- Thanks alder. Further comments on the amendment. Hearing none, we would go, use the board. Alder Scannell.

- We need just vote. It's all right.

- Who's the board?

- Mayor is this to ,this is to remove B, right? 4B, correct.

- Mayor and for all this council I vote yes.

- Thanks alder. It's is a tie six to six, I vote against. So the amendment fails.

- Mayor, do I still have a floor?

- Sure, go ahead alder.

- All right, I moved to amend section two sunset provision. It currently reads this ordinance is hereby repealed immediately upon termination of the city of Green Bay declaration of emergency. I would amend that at the end of that sentence, we are or if the county adopts a county wide mandate.

- Second.

- I'll second that.

- Any further comments? Our motion has been made and amendments been made by Alder Johnson seconded by Alder Lefebvre.

- Could you state that again please?

- The language that I'm adding is or if the county adopts a countywide mandate.

- Okay.

- And just to clarify, Mayor, the reason I'm proposing this is because if I'm not mistaken without that verbiage, both ordinances would coexist and it would require a future action by this body to rescind this ordinance. So I'm adding that so that we can expedite that process.

- Right.

- Where exactly does that line go? I'm trying to pull it up here.

- It's on page three Alder Dorff, under section two which is at the bottom of the page.

- I don't have any objection to that. Just from my perspective, it's something that I've said that I intended to do so to benefit.

- Your Honor.

- Yes, Although Scannell.

- Actually, I am a little concerned with that. I don't know. I mean, if they call it just passes one for county buildings, I don't know that I would want our ordinance to be rescinded. I would like to know what the county passes before we rescind our ordinance. I mean, I would hope it would be pretty much what we've got, but I don't know. I don't know what they're gonna come up with. I expected them to come up with something before. I mean, I was hoping not to have to do this. I expected the county to step up earlier and that didn't happen. So I don't know, until I know what they've got, I don't support automatically section B.

- On that point alder, if I could ask Attorney Chavez a question. The comments that I made were that if you adopt something substantially similar, I would rescind our action. Is that appropriate legal language substantially similar?

- Yes, although I don't really see an issue with the languages proposed, because it does say a county wide mandate. So it wouldn't be county wide if it just applied to the county buildings.

- Ah.

- Yes.

- Okay, all right. Then I'm fine.

- Further comments, questions?

- Give me the board.

- Absolutely, we will move to the vote. That was offered by Alder Johnson and seconded by alder Lefebvre.

- Mayor the salders store all vote, yes.

- That Is adopted 11 to one.

- Mayor, I just have one final amendment.

- Go ahead alder.

- I was moved to amend item 4A and replace the language related to trespassing laws and replace it with a \$10 municipal citation.

- Okay.

- And has been made by Alder Johnson seconded by Alder Brunette. So you're removing that entire section and replacing it with? No, just the piece that relates to trespassing, that they could be charged with trespassing laws. I'm repeating they may enforce the \$10 Municipal citation and I'm content with keeping or any other law they may violate, obviously if someone's doing something beyond that that's a that's a legit piece to keep there.

- So you're deleting may or deleting enforced trespassing laws replacing with may levy and dollar municipal fine or whatever the appropriate language would be?

- Issue perhaps.

- Attorneys Chavez.

- What we generally do when we are imposing a penalty as we make it mandatory, so the recommended thing which would be shall be subject to misinterpretation the amount of \$10.

- Why is the language in here not mandatory right now?

- Because we're not imposing a new penalty. But if we are imposing a penalty, like we're relying on trust as if we are trying to impose a new one, then people need to know that their conduct and is in violation of it and this is what will happen.

- So is the trespassing is a violation of a trespassing law, not a penalty?

- Well, it is there, it's already established and hasn't gone as its own obligations attached to it.

- Could you just explain that a little bit further, am I the only one not understanding what that means? Why there's a difference.

- I think it's difference between a new penalty and an existing.

- Correct.

- So the penalties already exist in by Like trespassing law, for example, that already exist is important. And so is that why?

- Correct.

- So from a legal perspective, is there any other penalty that the staff has considered besides a trespassing law?

- Well, there, we did consider a penalty for violation. And then of course, disorderly conduct, but a person's conduct has to rise to it, which was why trespass makes the most sense as a business owner ask somebody told even they don't they're trespassing.

- Are they're, I think you had mentioned before, and I can't recall the exact number but it was roughly around \$180. Is that amount in the ordinance or do we have the ability to modify the amount?

- The, I don't remember how trust this is laid out. The city passed. pewter does, but that is inclusive of court costs, court costs of incur beliefs are between \$1680. Last I inquired on it. So you're more, you're looking more at a penalty of, you know, \$100 or trespassed somewhere in that neighborhood. With this, sorry, the good prosecutors is only right now. That's the default bond amount. And so what we would do here is if we're establishing and we put a range, that's what we do with most may, shall be subject to forfeiture of between, you know, one and 500, and that allows the judge to determine what the appropriate penalty will be. But here if we're actually specifying why it is, or what it is that the penalty will be, then we set the penalty.

- So I could say in this instance that we may enforce a \$10 trespassing fee?

- What do you mean?

- Can I specify the amount? Still leave it as trespassing but just specify the amount?

- No, the penalty for trespassing is already established.

- Okay, and do you know, you don't know what that range is?

- The default bond amount is set by the judge for forfeiture. What I can tell you is that it's 187 inclusive of court costs for a mount to see what it is.

- Okay, in any event, I think the amount is just in far in excess of what I prefer which is why I'm trying to reduce it. Is there any other way that you can think of that we could work this to reduce the amount of the penalty?

- You can establish the range.

- That ordinance.

- You can establish your range. So if you don't want to establish it as \$10. Well, you can establish \$10. What I'm saying is that what you don't want to do is they may, because then we're not really creating an enforceable ordinance. What we do with ordinances is the penalty is subject to forfeiture up. And so if you want to say it's subject to penalty or forfeiture of \$10 is subject to that. Otherwise, you can set a range of you know, zero to 500 is generally what we state so we do set penalties and other numbers.

- Okay, so in that case, then I would amend that we have this say that it may enforce trespassing penalties of zero to \$20.

- No, we, what we would be doing is either establishing a new amount or violation of this ordinance, or we just say they are, they will be subject to trespass. Like it originally.

- May I offer a little help?

- You are free.

- Alder Johnson.

- I'm okay to give up the floor for the moment, yep.

- I just think I maybe could suggest that you might say it like this. If the individual refuses to leave or continues to refuse to comply with this section, law enforcement shall enforce a \$10 fine or any other law the individual may violate, would that work, no?

- We need ordinance to turn out then.

- Okay, can I ask a quick question? Maybe this might help clarify. We have a shoplifting ordinance. And because we were having a lot of problems with repeat offenders, we established a first offense, second offense and third offense penalty, which you have to be careful of is there's always core costs associated with it, which are mandated by city ordinance to try and cover some of the costs that are incurred when the city prosecutor and the judge and everybody else has to handle this incident. So, I guess, kind of keep that in mind when we're moving forward on this. I see where you're going. And it's kind of like the seatbelt ticket, pretty much. So I guess I would ask Vanessa, if the ordinance would be the the mask coordinates and that's what they would be cited for. And that would be the \$10 fee.

- Correct, if we are putting a \$10 fee, they are being cited for non compliance with our state covering ordinance.

- So then Brian, it would be a specific ordinance for not complying with the mask. And so it would be up to the officer, I think depending upon the person's demeanor and behavior, whether he charges them with a \$10 fine, or he goes with disorderly conduct or trespassing or something more serious. He also would still have the option to issue a warning, verbal warning, written warning or he could give issue with a \$10 ticket. I would ask Vanessa, if the \$10 ticket. Do we have to add on the court costs?

- Yeah.

- Okay, so Brian, your \$10 ticket would probably cost them about \$90 when it's all said and done.

- And I think it would be appropriate at this time to see if Chief Smith has any thoughts on this?

- The most important thing for me is that we allow our officers discretion, sometimes a police officer in uniform coming in and explaining to somebody what's gonna happen if they don't comply, gets the compliance that we're after. And that's what we're all after here, right? We're all after voluntary compliance. So I don't want to have something that says our officer shall issue a citation. I want to give them the opportunity to ask somebody what's gonna happen. And then if you don't leave now, or if you don't comply with the face mask

Minutes of the Common Council

ordinance, then you will be, I will be issuing a citation, give them that opportunity of a me site instead of a shell site.

- And let me correct the language that I'm saying we need to put in place. So the individual shall be subject to the municipal forfeiture and the amount of \$10. So it's not taking away that discretion for you to fight, is literally saying, it puts in place the mandatory amounts that they will be subject to if they, if the officer chooses to fight them.

- Okay, so that's a new style before. Thank you, mayor. And I appreciate you for referring us to for chiefs perspective because that is exactly the point that I'm struggling with. Right, which is if we have to change the language to shell, I mean, we're in essence they're required to do it. I'd rather leave them with the flexibility to not issue the citation and said would take an opportunity here to urge the chief that if this ordinance does pass, that we exercise great discretion with the public and only enforce in instances of egregious violations. Thank you, Mayor.

- Thank you.

- May I ask a question of Vanessa?

- Go ahead alder.

- The word may, when you're talking about law enforcement here, may, that doesn't may leave it up to the officer to decide if he is going to give that citation for trespassing, that he can decide. If the like you said, the law enforcement person will come, the officer will talk to the person, explain the situation and ask if they would please leave, and if they do not leave, then he may enforce that trespassing law. The word may, instead of will, will means that you would absolutely do the trespassing law. That's why I'm taking it. Can you give me the definition for may and will with the enforcement there?

- You're absolutely correct.

- May if permissive shell is mandatory. What I'm saying is that we have to actually establish the, with the penalty is if a violation occurs, not take away that discretion for them to enforce it. So what I'm proposing is that the language state the individual shall be subject to municipal forfeiture and about to \$10, covers that. So somebody say, somebody doesn't comply and they get cited, they are getting cited at \$10. But it doesn't mean that they have to get cited. It's just saying that is what the amount will be.

Minutes of the Common Council

- But then they have to pay the court costs too so.

- Yeah, okay, thank you.

- But that happens every section.

- Okay, thank you.

- Thank you for that. So Alder Johnson, where do we stand then with your proposal?

- I guess that just sheds a little bit different light on this. So if using the word shell does not necessarily require police to issue the citation, that would be my amendment that the citation shall be \$10.

- Okay.

- May I ask Alder Johnson then you want to take out the trespassing law?

- Yes.

- Any other law?

- I'm okay with any other law but look to eliminate trespassing?

- Yeah, actually that would be okay because if the owner wants the officer, they would leave it up to the owner decide if he wants to enforce the trespassing law then or any other violations.

- So Attorney Chavez.

- Yes.

- Can you capsule what Alder Johnson is hoping to accomplish by just reading the relevant pieces of for air?

- I think that Alder Johnson and I are actually saying the same thing, just not understanding each other. So what I have.

- Law school and I didn't.

- Go ahead.

- I was just joking.

- So what for, I would now read as, any individual who fails to comply with this section just to leave by an authorized representative of the business organization. The individual refuses to leave or continues to refuse to comply with this section. The individual shall be subject to minutes the forfeiture and the amount of \$10 or any other law the individual may violate.

- Yes, that is my amendment.

- I'll second that.

- Well, thank you Attorney Chavez.

- You're welcome.

- As amended by Alder Johnson seconded by Alder Lefebvre. Discussion on the amendment. Seeing none, we will go to the board.

- Mayor install this story and I vote yes.

- That is adopted tended to. And I believe that was your final amendment alder.

- Yes, mayor, thank you.

- Okay, thank you. Any other proposed amendments? Otherwise we could have the item as amended.

- Clear question or clarification, I guess.

- Yes, all the way.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. On 3E, like would clarify we kind of talked about it a little bit. And I'm not sure if it was totally clear, but individually such 3E, individuals in settings where it is not practical or feasible to wear face coverings when obtaining or rendering goods or services. Yeah, consuming food or beverages. Now, I think Alder Galvin had mentioned that means all bars and restaurants are excluded. Is that correct? Or is that not correct? I think he was he was asking your question as well. But if we need some clarification there.

- Right, yeah, my understanding is when you know, during the action of consuming food or beverages, obviously masks would not be required, but I will go to Attorney Chavez for the legal opinion.

- That is correct because they are consuming food or beverages, it is limited to you, the time you're consuming it. Similarly, with receipt of dental services, that would mean that you would still have to where you're not actually in receipt of dental and medical services and that would apply to everything that will follow this classification. It is not limited to them, it is conclusive but it is not limited to those types of services.

- So, for instance, let's say you're a bar and people are eating popcorn and pretzels and drinking their beer all around the establishment. They're pretty much all exempt. But pretty much every bar is gonna be exempt. They're gonna have something to eat. You always have a drink in your hand.

- I would say when they're sitting at home eating and drinking, yep.

- Well, most buyers and a lot of people don't sit at a table, they might be standing around the bar, standing in little groups with beer in hand, chucking popcorn and pretzels, whatever they're doing, taking a sip, but during the the act constantly of eating something or drinking something, case can be made them and pretty much every bar is exempt. If you have some food, you're just drinking the level something, you're always in the act of eating or drinking. That'd be my suggestion. What about wedding venues? You're gonna make everybody at a wedding wear a mask, everybody not in the church but it may be at a, at the hall later. Obviously not during dinner unless they're all eating snacks was made there to where there was pretty beer free photo. I have the cake. So I guess that could be an exemption then too. Okay, so wedding venues are exempt and bars. Not sure about the restaurants. Okay, thank you.

- That's not an accurate reading. But I appreciate the.

- But what's my opinion. I think it'll be opinion of other people.

- I appreciate the attempt at offering a legal opinion.

- I'm gonna be challenged in that.

- Any comments?

- Mayor?

- Yes, Alder Lefebvre.

- Wedding, then, people are at a wedding are not always eating, sitting down to eat. So it's when they're sitting down to eat. And they do. They have their toasts once in a while, but I think it's pretty open when you're eating, or you're drinking, you don't have to wear a mask.

- Right.

- Yeah, it's simple.

- Okay.

- I do have another question, another clarification.

- Yes, all the way.

- We came up earlier and we never really addressed it. So I thought it'd be good here as written right now under enforcement, you don't have a, any license with a cane does not apply to you. Because there is no enforcement action.

- It's not accurate to say that it doesn't.

- No, now they, the penalty still applies to a person anywhere in the city from day, it's just that if there is no license and that person would not be subject to administrative procedures by the city.

- The business.

- Yeah, thank you.

- So the business might wear a mask perhaps but the people inside, nothing would happen to the business.

- We do not have jurisdiction over businesses that do not have a license with the city.

- There we go, thank you. That's a big exemption, okay. I don't know if there's any other amendments or nothing. I'll speak at the end if there isn't.

- Any other amendments? Otherwise we will move to final action here on item one.

- Motion to approve as amended.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell to approve as amended, seconded by we'll go with Alder Stevens. So I do have a queue here. Pretty old, I'm guessing, but I'll go through it and see if you have comments Alder Dorf.

- I don't have any further comments, thank you.

- Thanks, Alder Johnson.

- Thank you, Mayor. Just kind of a, I guess a final statement on the document as a whole. Again, I want to thank the members of the public, we had 500 plus people that had reached out with a wide spectrum of opinions and beliefs. And by no means Was this an easy process for council tonight. And so I would also like to commend my colleagues who are working through some of this process. You know, politicians were responsible for holistic decisions, and unfortunately, it doesn't provide us the luxury for one dimensional arguments. An example of that would be is this good for public health. And we believe it is, we hope it is. But there are countless other considerations to take into account and I can assure you that a one dimensional decision would be much easier than what we've had before us tonight. On one side, we hear that we should let science make this decision, yet there's little follow up with dissertation studies or facts to support what science should be following. Trust the science is a threatening statement attended to get you into supporting a mandate. Saying it loudly does not make it more true. If the science was conclusive, we wouldn't be having this debate. Even the World Health Organization and CDC have published articles suggesting masks have not conclusively proven to be effective. On the other end, we're told this as an infringement on our constitutional rights,

Minutes of the Common Council

liberties and freedoms, your again, little evidence to suggest this is actually a violation of any constitutional authority other than that of a personal independence. I personally will not let partisan politics dictate my conscience. You cannot trust extreme propaganda messaging from either side that uses extreme anecdotes meant to create shock and prove a point it preys on emotions statements like it's just a flu, or we're sending children to their deaths is meant to downplay or overreact o the existence situation attempt to gain favor and I do not support or bench shame politics, such as if you don't do this people will die. There's two reasons almost always represents the fringe, it absolves the citizenry of taking personal responsibility. I personally prefer data driven decision making, which requires multi dimensional impacts and authentically cares for public health and all layers more so than anecdotes. A world surrounds us with risks. Ultimately, it is the responsibility of government to assess that risk and ensure response is measured and does not overreach. If we overreach in response to every risk, we'll find ourselves living in an Orwellian world of complete government control. This isn't a debate about the effectiveness of masks rather the role of government in enforcing their use. And here's ultimately what I know. Wearing a mask is not a vaccine, is not our last line of defense. If a defense at all suggesting this is what is prevented spread in other countries does not take into account other measures that have been employed. There's no clearly defined exit strategy other than the termination declaration of emergency, no goal, no outcomes. I'm very concerned about enforcement, as was talked about today, people are saying do it like Milwaukee, but their health department is enforcing businesses and we don't have a health department, which means it falls to the police. They don't have the capacity to take this on, which means it won't be enforced. Why have a law you can't enforce? It's begging for civil disobedience. And if we find that to be acceptable, then there should be no objection to removing the penalty for non compliance. And I commend my other, my peers for at least reducing it. If the general public has not embraced the health risks associated with this pandemic, is not willing on their own to take proper precautions. And we have either utterly failed as a government institution to communicate those risks or perhaps the data, another narrative that was carefully balanced, protected privilege with inconclusive health remedies. It's unsafe for us to find complete security passage of this ordinance, voluntary participation will be far more effective in achieving our desired outcomes. We have options, we need to educate, or we mandate. And last, regardless of how the vote falls in this issue, I call on all citizens in Green Bay to please stop blaming one another on this issue and asserting your opinion as superior. It's not, even if the vote falls the way that you'd like. There are differing opinions and that's okay. We ought to celebrate a democracy that provides for this. I don't wanna see us dividing when we ought to be uniting and please voluntarily wear your mask.

- Thanks alder, alder Galvin.

- Thank you, your Honor. I would also like to thank the people that reached out to me. I had well over 400 emails, text messages, phone calls, instant messenger. I mean, there's only too many, there's almost too many different venues to be reaching out to someone to try and keep track. Have them all in all the different information that was given out to us. But I did try to keep track of it and I had constituents. I had 52 that wanted me to vote yes on this. I had seven that wanted me to vote no. Individuals that lived in the city, I had 102 that wanted a yes vote and 39 that wanted a no. I had individuals from outside the city, many of our surrounding communities who either work or own property here or have businesses here. And those I had 40 that live outside the city that wanted me to vote yes and another 25 they wanted me to vote no. I had people from as far away as Minneapolis and California reach out to me. I had another 53 people, I couldn't determine where they live, they wanted me to vote yes and 67 they wanted me to vote no. I've got family in town, nurses that work in hospitals here and in other places. It's a grave concern. And as the elected representatives, we're supposed to try to represent everybody in our district. And it's as alder Johnson very eloquently said, it's not easy. It's, so I heard a recent news article that said, there's been over 6000 papers, scientific papers, written on COVID and all its effects and remedies and everything else. And very few of those have actually been peer reviewed yet, because there just hasn't been time. We're Americans, and we want everything as today. And it

Minutes of the Common Council

makes it even more difficult for us. We have a country that moves very rapidly, economic, very rapid, and the rise and fall of that is frightening to everybody. And so coming to a conclusion here wasn't easy. I tried the view as many of the papers and videos that I was sent, but like it's been said here before, some go to the extremes on both sides. And I think I'm gonna chime right in with Alder Johnson, we need to be better, we need to be kinder. We need to treat each other with respect, to respect each other's opinions, no matter how this turns out in the end. And whether we agree with it or we don't agree with it, whatever we decide to do, we have to support as a community. It's only in that way that we're gonna get through this and we're gonna get better and we're gonna get along with each other, thank you.

- Thanks alder, Alder Brunette.

- Yes, thank you, Mayor. I want to acknowledge everyone who reached out to me. I like the other alder, persons received hundreds of comments and I returned as many as I could. And this is a tough situation. COVID is a horrible illness and we have to remember that everyone has a very unique experience with this disease. Just by race, there are parents who right now are not sure if they're going to be able to send their kids to school in just a month or two. And if that parent household has two working parents, they're terrified or very concerned about the prospect of losing in order to educate their children at home. We have family members, we have people in this community who have lost a family member or have a small child that has been diagnosed with COVID. A Facebook friend just posted something about a five year old, who now has COVID. I have friends who have infants who have, you know, gotten it. So our hearts and prayers go out to everyone affected. They're also businesses, many of our friends and family and community members that when this thing started, they're losing their businesses or losing their income, their worth as a human being feels like it's being diminished. It's heartbreaking because everyone is touched by this. And what I fear as a society is that our divisions are growing, growing over time divided by partisanship and divided by hateful politics and quite honestly, I very seldom raise my voice and get animated, many of you know that. One thing that I get worked up about is when rights are taken away from the people as sort of a reaction to what we're facing. Okay, that is a very real thing, just like I'm concerned about COVID. I'm concerned about the economic catastrophe we're facing, which has other consequences. I'm concerned about government overreach. So my frustration with this process was that the way that it was ruled out was not good government. That's my personal opinion. I don't think it was good government. It's not the way we should do it. So think about early days of COVID, when the governors, our governor and every governor across the state, and this is not meant to be a political statement, I'm not a partisan person. But Governor Evers and every other governor put all kinds of dictates on their residents, the governor of Michigan especially, and people in think that it makes sense many of these ideas, you know, not being able to buy gardening supplies, or go fishing, these things don't make sense to people. So they go to their government, the representative body and they say, please help us, don't take our rice, listen to common sense. So what you saw, what every member of this community saw a day was that we were working through these issues as a committee as a full City Council, which actually should have been at a community level. So the frustrations we had in our governors and different representatives of our republic and our state governments, the things that didn't make sense. This ordinance does not make sense in some ares and it's perfectly fine to push back. And although I'm not very animated person, I don't get worked up, I do get worked up and rights get taken from people. And I get worked up when government rushes things through without considering the people. This is the organization chart for the city of Green Bay, the very top, the voters, period. The top of this organization is the voters. We have to be very careful for city council that we don't do anything. I'm raising my voice again, I apologize. But we have to do a better job and we have to understand as a city council, because our city government, not placing blame on anyone, but we botched the election. So many of us that are sitting in these seats at zoom. Our constituents, our citizens, think get the opportunity to easily vote for us. We fail them. So therefore this very city government is pushing through something, with very little public comment and input not comment, but little public notice is a very tenuous

Minutes of the Common Council

relationship this council has right now, is there a members watching this in the public that did not get an opportunity to easily vote for any of us. So we have to listen to it. And I also believe in the consent to the govern. I want to tell you that from the beginning when this hit the committee level, and I say this with all sensitivity, this COVID is a serious thing. I mean that, I take precautions, I protect my children, I protect people in my community.

- Alder, Brunette, you have the final comment, so just.

- One last comment, this idea of the consent of the government. Okay, I believe in the consent of the government. When this went to committee, it was 25 to two comments from the community and my constituents who voted against mandates of a mask then it after that, then I got a lot of form letters and emails and all that matters. Every public comment matters. But today, since this was dropped on us yesterday, the people who I represent, two to one at least are against mask mandate, or in this meeting alone, I I were for, 39 were against, that's 78%. So the consent of the government, we have to listen that the community we represent doesn't feel that they were consulted in this decision. One day is not enough, on that alone, I'm gonna vote against this. It doesn't mean I'm not taking COVID seriously, but there's a way and there's a precedent that we cannot set in the city of Green Bay, thank you.

- Alder Vander Leest.

- Thank you Mayor. I feel that our community has done a fine job as far as complying with social distancing, wearing their mask. We've got a lot of good information from the Medical Community. Some of it is inconclusive. Some people, you know, support mask, others are starsky against it, both on the medical side, you know, from the national level right on to the local level. I can't support this. I think it's being, you know, way too fast. First, you know, how it's been presented to the public. Most of the people that call me I had, I would say, the vast number of them said, the business community particularly, was not in favor of the ordinance. They just feel that it's overreach of our government. Locally, a lot of them thought that, you know, we should get on with issues that really are very important in other aspects of city government. This is, you know, it's not really being welcomed by most of the people in the community. You heard all the people that call in tonight. People that called my home, they said they didn't support it, they thought the government's overreach and I can't support it. It's just I think, it's gonna create a hardship on the local businesses, which are already struggling already. There shouldn't be just a slam dunk, you know, a couple of days, you know, we talked about it a little bit. And then we're already going into we got into law. I feel that the community has done a good job and we don't need this ordinance. I'm not gonna support it. And that's the word I heard from most of my in some. Some support it but the vast majority did not support this ordinance, and I'm not gonna support it. And I feel that I understand that, you know, and, but I think that you know, this mask ordinance is not needed. So that's how I feel, thank you.

- Thanks alder, Alder Lefebvre.

- Thank you, Mayor. Yes, I will support this ordinance. Because for me, it's all about our health and well being. And that's exactly what our duty is. The health and the well being of our citizens. Just above, if you look at our ordinances, our ordinances basically are for the health and the well being of our citizens. That's why we put these ordinances in place. I want to mention something, I have asthma. I wear my mask wherever I go. I feel it's my duty. I have a responsibility to protect other people. It's not just myself, I'm protecting. I'm protecting others, because I have no idea, if I meet someone else, I have no idea what their condition is, what they're dealing with. I have no idea. So I need to be proactive to protect them. That's my main duty to protect others. Also, someone had mentioned about the one where your blood pressure goes way up. Actually, I've been wearing the mask, wherever I go, doctor's office. Usually when I go to a doctor's office, something, you know, you get kind of nervous and usually, your blood pressure goes up a little bit. Well guess what? My blood pressure has been perfect. Wearing the mask, I've had it on for half an hour. And I'm fine. So these people that talk about the mask is gonna dangerous since going to give you all these conditions. It hasn't affected me. That's my personal opinion on that, okay. What I've experienced, and then I wanna mention, our nephew called and he talked to us yesterday, and he mentioned that his sister has a good friend who lives in Canada. Canada is nowhere where we are, you know why? They put the four things in place. They shut some places down, where there's too much social gathering where they couldn't wear a mask. They put the mask magnets in place, they social distance, and people were really good about washing their hands, disinfecting, they follow the rules. They didn't have a big ruba about this. People did it. They did because they wanted their country to get over this and to get better, and they are better. They're better, they're much better. Their missiles are opening. Because they really, their curve is down. And if you look, if you really watch the news, have you looked at our curve way up. You look down. I think even Brazil is down now. All these places are down, why? A lot of them did, they put the social distancing and they put all the things that you're supposed to be doing in place. The people followed, we're not. So I'm gonna vote for this. But then also, the city I want to mention the city is doing everything they can for these businesses, there really are hurting, are hurting. It's the COVID that's causing the hurt for all businesses, but we are also, now there's a new, there's another proposal that we're gonna vote on tonight, opening up the parking lot between walnut and Adam Street. Where people, where they can put up, they're gonna put up tables and put planters and some it's gonna be socially distancing. But the restaurants downtown can now have their customers getting their food and sitting there because we know that it's it's more dangerous to be indoors without a mask. So this is for people who do not feel comfortable. There's a lot of restaurants are having trouble because people are not feeling comfortable going in. I will not go in a restaurant and eat inside, I will not, because the air circulation, circulates this.

- Can cut please

- Okay, and then one final thing I want to mention too, I did read all those all those emails I received, and unfortunately some did not identify if they're from my district or not. So I apologize that.

- Another time, the time limit is well.

- So okay, I just want to quick say yes, I wanna thank everybody. And I did not get to respond to a lot of them. I'm sorry, there was just too many. There were like 500 or so, in my work, kind of the yes, for this was a little bit more than the no of the ones that received and a lot of them did to identify not in my district. That's one thing I want to say. And last thing I just wanna say please, everybody be civil. If you don't wanna wear one, I

guess, don't attack people for not wearing it. Just let that up to the businesses say please, would you know, would you please do it?

- Thanks, alder.

- Let's all be civil with each other.

- Mayor, thank you all those stories.

- Alder Gerlach.

- Just very briefly, I just want to say that virtually everyone who spoke in the meeting this evening had already contacted me either by email or phone and so I had 68% in favor of the mandate and 32% not in favor of the mandate. And this is certainly not a perfect ordinance. This is certainly not a perfect plan. But we have no choice. If we're going to cut the legs out from under this virus and save our community, this is the best option we have right now. I would say that we should look to Taiwan who has 24 million people and they've had seven die of COVID-19 and there are two messages they have to the rest of us are, number one, make sure healthcare is accessible and affordable to everyone. And number two, wear masks. So we're doing the right thing, we're not doing it perfectly. It takes everybody to make it work. I do not believe it's going to, that everyone is going to follow suit and do their part, but we're gonna do the best we can. So of course, I will support and it's the best we can offer and we have to move quickly.

- Thank you alder.

- Alder Steuer.

- No, thank you, your Honor. I mirror what many of the other aldrs have said, as far as the number of folks that have called in on this issue. And, you know, I've shared the protection and Policy Committee and we did have a split vote last week. We had many, many people speak on that matter. And I think one of the reasons they voted the way I did was the fact that I feel that Broward County needs to be a leader here and in the sense of what we're looking at. There are 24 communities in Brown County. We are one of the communities and they are meeting thing, Human Services Committee and they're gonna be discussing this issue. I really wish we could have waited so bad happened before we would take a vote. If I do not vote for this ordinance, it's not because I don't feel that there should be an ordinance somewhere down the line. But I just feel that timing was such that, you know, our hand was forced, we don't have a whole lot of time like Alder Weary

Minutes of the Common Council

mentioned, we don't have a whole lot of time to look at this. And we were doing committee work at Council, which really is tiring. It's taken a long, long time. But the citizens are looking at us, they are watching us, and they are our boss. So I concur with that. I think the fact that you know, I thought about things like reiterating by what authority, the city has to enact this ordinance. I know that Attorney Chavez said for the health and safety. I understand that a bit, but I saw some questions about that. I was worried about potential lawsuits that might crop up for various reasons. One fear that I had for Green Bay having a mask ordinance that may drive customers that shop in Green Bay to purchase outside of the city of Green Bay, which can also affect our businesses. The fact that we have 24 communities, I think we need to make it consistent. That's why I'm looking to the county. The difficulty for police to enforce this. It would be difficult so I go for what Alder Johnson brought forward, at least make it a little more soft on that end. It would be difficult for businesses trying to enforce mask ordinances. So again, I think the citizens that called they were very much engaged and I think part of being an alder you know, we've been here for almost six hours now. And I'm reenergized because the citizens did speak and initially at our committee, it was heavily against the ordinance. And then there was a call back from the folks that wanted the ordinance and there were many many emails and some of them are formal letters which are fine but I would rather see the individual letters that are personally written and they give the reasons why I had more deference toward those. So I think that you know, I think all in all, it was probably a pretty split vote for me over 4400 folks so it was pretty pretty evenly matched very heavily against the ordinance initially, very heavy for it over the last number of days. And tonight like Alder Burnett said it was like 39 to 11. So then again, if we heard it tomorrow, we might have the for the people that want to vote for it testify. So there are a lot of things here but I would really do to see the county, more involved in this, they have the health department, we do not. They have a chapter 37.12 that deals with this. And they have different penalty system looking at this. The Wisconsin counties Association attorney, Andy Phillips was looking at this, he's gonna have a determination within seven to 10 days. Supervisor Brodsky did speak with Brown Tronic, corporate counsel Corporation Counsel, they've hammering and they're getting gathering data on this as well. So I would suggest you tuning in either 5:00 or 5:30. I'm not sure when the meeting is on Thursday to weigh in on that. And I'm gonna lean that way initially. And if we have to adjust ourselves later, I'll do it at that time, thank you.

- So that's all I have in the queue here.

- I'll speak.

- All the way.

- Whoever, you want to pick. Yeah, thanks. Well, I think the die is cast on this one. At least we have a whole agenda to go through yet. We have that to look forward to right. Get your caffeine. Good luck, was such a divisive issue, you know, didn't need to be this way really. We could have stress education instead of mandate, educate, not mandate there was a registered nurse I think who emailed everybody on that and it just stuck with me educate not mandate net came from an RN. So I'm I think there's a lot of feeling that people are hoping this isn't all for political show, you know, who cares the most. I think it's called virtue signaling. Sure, the heck hope that this is not what that's about as I've stated earlier, and everybody else said we've got so many emails that are calls. I haven't had that many since we just discussed I think roundabouts on military and Mayor Schmidt's garbage to energy scheme. I think y'all remember those. Mark like Mark Sawyer likes the energy one. I was on vacation last week, came back and like a thunderstorm. And I have, luckily over 20 years Minutes of the Common Council

managed to get 600, 700 email addresses of people in my district. So I sent out a question real quick on people's opinions. And I say I'm disappointed. I only got about 130 responses so far, it's only been a day or two but for me, it was 70 to 60 opposed pretty close, you know, opposed but not by much. And I would have been nice to hear from more people. Certainly they have more time. As a lot of people have said to people who spoke from the public and other alders, the science, you know, seemingly changing daily. I mean, we've had studies that have been there for 20 years, and then All of a sudden, just this year, they're like, Oh, you know what we said for 20 years and totally disregarded. So, I've been sent and all of you have so much incredible information. I've learned a lot actually in the last couple days so I'm very appreciative of those who sent it. Being anti mandate does not mean you're anti mask and I'm not against masks. I am for individuals and businesses making their own choice. So I'm this, I'm gonna choose liberty, thank you.

- Thanks alder. As I said, no more speakers left in the queue here. So with that.

- Alder a person does, Corpus-Dax.

- Thank you alder. Alder Corpus-Dax.

- Thank you, Mayor. You know, I wanna thank everyone who also contacted me via email, text, Facebook Messenger, calls regarding the face mask mandate, you know, my apologies that I wasn't able to get to respond to all of those. But please know that I read your emails, listen to your calls, read your texts, you know, strong sentiments were expressed on both sides. I've had citizens healthcare personnel, business owners, first responders, all express their opinions for and against, for every argument against there's an argument for and vice versa. What it comes down to for me is that I don't want to see our city or county or state headed in the direction of other states that we've seen, who have either reopen too soon and are now having an uptick. You know, I was out in New York a couple of weeks ago, where they mandated x masks a couple months ago, and what I saw there was it, what I experienced there was that it didn't seem as big of an issue for people to be wearing a face mask. I mean, it was, it almost seemed like a non issue. It was what was expected by everyone. They were hit hard, early on, and they took the measures that they needed to in order to turn that around and they have turned that around. Some of those states that were hit really hard have been able to turn that around because of the actions the governor's took. I really don't want to see Wisconsin turned into Florida. What's happening down in Florida, we are seeing an uptick in COVID cases here. In Broward County, I absolutely think that this should be coming from the state, if not the county, but neither of them have taken any action so far. And it, I honestly don't know would county be taking this up if Green Bay hadn't taken it up or whatever have just kind of left it and just, you know, be of citizens who are looking to us as as elders to take action or begging us to take action not just citizens but also business owners who have reached out and said you guys need to do something at a local level because County's not doing anything, state's not doing. I do not take this boat lightly, whatsoever. But I am gonna support the mandate. I am gonna support the mask mandate, thank you.

- Any final comments from alders? Seeing none, we will go to the board. This is on the item as amended with on multiple occasions. And just a note on process. It's sort of like a double vote. We've got take this up under

committee the whole and then we got to do it again on resolution. So whatever your vote is here should be the identical vote under resolutions.

- Alder Steuer?

- Am I unmuted?

- Yes.

- I vote no.

- Excellent.

- Yes succeed seven to five. Now we're on to item U two, consideration with possible action on the resolution extending the state of emergency, the city of Green Bay COVID-19 response.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff. Any discussion?

- Yeah. All the way we go ahead.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Allow me in a moment here to adjust. We're still in committee. The whole number two, right?

- Yes.

- All right. I think we can stop now at the state of emergency. I think we can handle things well enough at committee level. And if needed emergency city council meetings to avoid, you know, getting these kind of last minute things like this. I think the council needs to be more involved. It's time to end the emergency. Otherwise, when are we going to end it? I think this council seems pretty content with letting you have it. All the way. Why don't we just do it till the end of our term \don't make a lot easier on us. You can be emergency Mayor till our end of our term. So I'm against it at some point, this has to end. We have to get more involved, I'm against this.

- Thanks alder, additional comments?

- I have a comment.

- Oh, yeah.

- Yeah, I'm against it. I, we can be quickly even this ordinance, if I'm correct. When does it take effect? Is it what's it?

- 27. What's that?

- 27, so this is a perfect example where today we met. This was put on using the mayor's emergency powers given to him by the proclamation or whatever it's referred to. There's nothing that we need to have acted upon that we can't meet quickly as a council. So if there's no need for it last time, we voted nine to three, and it's time for the council to reassert their authority on the public policymaking body and duties of our city government, thank you. Other comments.

- I have one.

- Alder Gerlech, go ahead.

- Part of the ordinance would just pass said, says this ordinance is hereby repealed immediately upon termination of the city of green Bay's declaration of emergency. I see these comments as a ploy to just simply overturn an ordinance that was voted on by council. So I'm definitely in favor of order in place, thank you.

- Alder Vander Leest.

- I think that we can remove the emergency declaration. I think the the city's doing a good job right now. We don't need it. I think that the city council can handle whatever comes forward. And I think that we will get more communication amongst the alders. Without, we could call a meeting quickly so I believe that the emergency declaration should be ended, thank you.

- Thanks alder, Alder Lefebvre.

- Yes, I want to, I was gonna say what Alder Dorff mentioned, is a roundabout way to kill the mask amendment. So no, and if we felt strongly that we do need a mask ordinance, then I think we are in a state of emergency. We need to keep it in place. But I got a question. I did not hear what the vote was on the mask ordinance.

- It was seven.

- I didn't hear.

- Yes, 75, okay, thank you.

- Any additional comments?

- Your Honor?

- Alder Stevenes.

- I love how those who are seeing the council can do its job and committee did what they could to subvert the committee. I mean, look at if we had followed committee process, and the committee had done its job and not forwarded what the ultimately the council supports, which was for staff to come up with an ordinance, the mayor wouldn't have had to use this emergency powers. I hardly see how the mayor has been abusive or onerous with his emergency powers. And to say that we've given up our authority that's not. What that's disingenuous. We have not given up an ounce of authority, everything he does has to come before us. So that I don't know what that's just not right. That's not right. That's not true. And so I fully support as long as we're in this emergency, that the mayor has the authority to take action and then we will take action upon his action when we meet. So I don't understand this at all. And I don't support it. I support the continuing with the emergency, thank you.

- Alder Galvin.

- Thank you your Honor. I support the extending the emergency. I would hope the reason they're boilers that are against extending it or not doing this just to defeat this, this artists we just passed, although I would like to have some kind of a process in place, so you can start discussing what ending the emergency order looks like, you know, what the parameters or some of the metrics might be? So that we can start seeing what what what exactly means, you know, I mean, it's not like we're looking for an armistice with COVID. You know, which would be great, easy, but I think we have to have some idea in mind as we move forward so we can push towards that objective and get things back to normal with our city government, thank you.

- Just on that point real quickly, alder, I think that's it was really well made. There's been, you know, a bit of discussion internally about gating criteria. And in a conversation that I had with Mayor Boyd, down in Depia, I think there's a bit that we could learn from them, given the fact that they have a public health department. So certainly, I can commit to you that we're gonna work on developing that gating criteria, with some assistance, you know, maybe from Brown County Public Health, but also from the experience down in Dipea.

- Thank you mayor. I guess it would really would be nice to see Brown County Health, establish some kind of a presence in the county and be giving us out some more information at these meetings as we get to have some, something that kind of helped formulate what's happening on a county level, all of them, having us plow the field for everybody to get things going here. So thank you.

- Mayor, can I speak?

- First Alder Vander Leest then Vander Steur.

- Thank you, Mayor. What is the big emergency? Let me ask you that what is the emergency? Why don't we get things moving along? Most businesses opened up, you know, most of the businesses are moving along. I think the city hall should be opened up. I think it's time that we, you know, take a hold of things and move forward. You know, wearing mask, we're social distancing, I think we should be back on track, you know, serving our citizens, doing our jobs. And most of the businesses I'm affiliated with, they didn't skip to any beats. And I feel that it would be wise for the city to get back into the, to the realm of dealing with the public, on a personal basis. That means in person type basis. That's my thoughts. I think we should move forward on that, not wait too long. I think we waited long enough. It's time to go forward, thank you. Thanks alder, Alder Steur. Thank you, Your Honor. You know, I agree with the fact that, you know, we have these almost on a monthly basis. And I think we're getting to a point where we'd like this and but with that being said, I really am looking to the county to give us that information like all the golden brought forward. You know, I think if we had more information to look at, understand the study to focus on with this being said, then I think we could make a better determination to just say, look, let's move on. So I'm waiting that way for now, and I will probably attend that meeting on Thursday for the county. I really want to gather some data. There's a lot out there all the way around, and we could read till we're blue in the face. And I think a lot of us have. So I, at this time I will support this. But I'm looking to hopefully move this out of the way sooner than later. So thank you.

- Alder.

- Mayor, yeah, second time on the subject I, you know, 10 times council seems to be divided seven, five vote it is what it is. But we shouldn't be prescribing motives on our fellow council members to suggest that this to make this city council would somehow create some maneuver to stop something is absurd. Each issue is voted on at its face. I take great offense to that, you can't prescribe motives against your fellow alder to think that there's some grand plan to be objective this I'm against it because I see no need for the city of Green Bay to extend emergency order powers to the mayor of Green Bay. Again, organizational chart voters are at the top, mayor and council are at the level, we are the policymaking body of this city government. We have a role in the 575 vote means that this council doesn't act in complete agreement with the mayor. So all Alder Scannell, with all due respect objectors to the mayor but Alder Scannell you are incorrect. The mayor seeks information.

- You can't just say you're directing your comments, directly to Alder Scannell.

- How do you know how to do this but basically it is incorrect. The the mayor did use his emergency powers to have legal staff by a lawsuit against the state of Wisconsin to stop or delay the election, I think goes to delay the election. It was thrown out or dismiss in federal court. That was a very big decision that was made without the console weighing in. So to say that it wasn't used or hasn't been used. It's been used twice in the past big way. There's no reason why we need to be under a state of emergency, four months into this, saying that because I was on the short end of a vote, that's ridiculous. But as council president, we are the policymaking body, very recent situation where mayor, you'll move forward using this power and put forward a very controversial issue and didn't have the courtesy to inform this city council prior to his press conference. It's not appropriate. There's no need for it. And I'm very much against it, against it. Don't prescribe motives to your fellow council members. I don't believe that that's appropriate, thank you.

- Any further comments? Just one. Alder Scannell.

- The only comment I'd like to clarify is that I was not protecting any motive. I was stating what happened. What happened was at committee, a minority of the conference all sorted. But ultimately, they fill up the majority that instead of following our normal procedures of either bringing forth a request and finally through on that request so that that whole council can weigh in, it was sorted by a minority. And given that this was an emergency situation in emergency item, I think it's appropriate that the mayor stepped up to address it. That I'm just stating what happened. I'm not as tiny any motives or anything at all. That's what happened. So, I see that it was appropriate, that's all.

- Very good, thanks, alder. One more to the board.

- One more, Mr. Mayor.

- Alder Galvin.

- I have a second time, thank you.

- Alder Gerlach first.

- Okay, yep.

- I would like to ask my colleagues here to just consider that we don't know yet what our status is this emergency order was originally because of the pandemic. We cannot say, where are the woods? The numbers in Wisconsin continue to rise and Brown County is not looking so good. We don't know what's ahead. I'd like to ask you to just extend this for one more. That will give us the opportunity to implement the mask program that we just adopted. And that could be the thing that turns the corner for us. It's all coming together to do something that is probably going to really help. And I believe that mask program is what's going to keep up economy open, is good for our economy 'cause it'll give people an opportunity to go into businesses. So I would ask you to just think about extending this one month, so we can try what we just put into place. And then a month from now, we might be in a much better place, thank you.

- Alder Weary for a second time.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I will second that the election fiasco clearly showed what emergency power did to the citizens of Green Bay clearly. Council had no doings in that, none. That was all emergency power. And I will say I really take umbrage at the comments that this was worded at committee. How dare committee members vote their conscience is toward something so I know that was a separate thing, but how dare they toward you, Randy. They sorted, yeah. Well, this emergency power won't end until 2021. All these are in agreement.

- Alder Weary. Okay I won't address Alder Scannell you're right sorry. But I will say this won't end until 2021 'cause I do think the toadies are in alignment.

- Any further comments before we go to the board? All right, we will go to the vote.

- Mayor all those votes yes. Did you get my vote?

- Yes we did, thank you. The vote is eight to four.

- Did it display and not miss did it good. Can you tell me who the four were, please? Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, John Vander Leest.

- Thank you.

- Thank you, so now we're on to resolutions. As I said we've got a double vote on both of those topics, but before we get to that, there are resolutions one through five that are essentially non controversial and in my estimation, if that is the feeling of council, we could take them up with one roll call vote.

- To suspend the rules?

- Mayor, point of order?

- Yes, Alder Johnson.

- Unless I'm mistaken are we now in terms of the agenda order? Are we not back on item J ordinances.

- No.

- We are not.

- We're on resolutions, right. We moved both.

- We move, I move both.

- Okay, thank you.

- Yep, so we did have a motion to suspend was that made by Alder Weary?

- Yes

- I'll second it.

- Okay. Alder Scannell second routine with any rules pick up items one through five with one vote call vote. All in favor that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye Aye.

- The ayes have it. The rules are suspended.

- Move to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made to approve by alder door seconded by alder corpus DAX to adopt resolutions one through five and we will use the board.

- Mayor Yes. All those steuer Those are unanimously adopted. Now we're on to V six, which is a resolution extending the state of emergency. The city of Green Bay. I believe we've debated that sufficiently

- Most to approve.

- To approval offered by alder dorff seconded by alder Lafave and we will use board.

- They are all those store votes yes. I'll do brunette Did you intend to vote? I have a brunette alder brunette, do you want yo vote aye?

- Yeah, sorry about that I push the ride. No, that was the state of emergency declaration, right?

- Yeah.

- I push the wrong button. I am no, I apologize.

- Yep. All right, successfully. No problem. On to seven, a resolution providing for face coverings within the city of Green Bay. Again, a double vote on the Committee of the Whole item. So if the council agrees, we could just go to that vote.

- As amended.
- Correct, yes. Good clarification attorney Chavez as amended with the multiple amendments that were successful,
- Except as amended is the motion.
- Second.
- Motion made by alder Scannell seconded by alder dorff. To adopt as amended and we will use the board.
- I vote no.
- Thanks Alder. That is successful seven to five. Alder German?
- No, just kidding.
- Ordinances second reading for adoption
- Suspension of the rules. Take up items one through three with a roll call we'll just suspend.
- Motion made by alder dorff seconded by alder corpus DAX to suspend the rules take up these items with one roll call vote. All in favor of the motion please signify by saying aye.
- [All] Aye.

- Opposed nay. The eyes have it, the rules are suspended.

- Second, motion made by alder Scannell seconded by alder corpus DAX to adopt items j l33. Please use the board.

- We are all those fireboats Yes.

- Sir adopted unanimously l20l to the report of the Redevelopment Authority.

- Approve.

- Motion made by alder Johnson.

- Again.

- Seconded by alder Scannell to approve report k which is reported Redevelopment Authority for the meeting on July 14 2020. Any items under this report to be handled separately? Hearing none All in favor approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Ney.

- The ayes have it, the report has been approved. Proven and services motion to approve.

- Second.

- Made by alder Scannell seconded by alder Stevens to approve report L which is reported the improvement and Services Committee from 2020. In the items here to be handled separately. Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report.

- [All] Aye.

- The ayes have it, the report has been approved. Protection and policy motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens, to approve report which is reported protection and Policy committee for the meeting on July 13th 2020, recessed and reconvened on July 16th 2020. Any items here to be handled separately.

- Number 12.

- Item 13.

- 12, 13. Any others?

- Mayor, I'm just looking for a point of information on item 13 due, because it was referred with no recommendation do we actually have to take action on this to dispense with the item? Or is it okay to just adopt as part of the report?

- Attorney Chavez.

- Yeah, I would change it to receive and place on file because the recommendation just acts as an item.

- That's the only reason I'm pulling it Mayor so that we can do that properly.

- Okay, sounds good. So we have items 12 and 13. Any others? Items 12 and 13 will be handled separately. Hearing none others all in favor, signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Ayes have it. For has it been approved with the exception of items 12 and 13. your wishes forgetting.

- I propose.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff to approve item was pulled by Alder Scannell. Was it?

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. On this one, it's regarding our ethics ordinance and policy that we, you know, Alder Scannell did put a lot of time into it, and then the council put a lot of time into it. And we realize it's certainly not perfect. And we actually reached outside and paid a group to analyze it and give us the recommendations. And that was back in, I don't know, November, December of 2018. So pretty soon here, we'll be coming up on two years, since we've gotten those recommendations and changes. And I know every couple months, I know Vanessa was probably sick of me asking her but keep asking when is this going to be coming back? And it's always well, we've got other priorities and oh, we're not staffed enough. Then the other thing, and some of those might be legitimate, but at some point, they're just excuses. And I think we're at the point that they're excuses. So when can we see this on a Protection Policy Committee to take action?

- Just before we go to Attorney Chavez, you know, I would not characterize the reasons for why law department hasn't been able to address this topic as excuses, you know. I do, you don't have to. Are we debating?

- I think a lot of, no I'm just explaining.
Minutes of the Common Council

- You're debating. A number of other alders a lot on the law departments played as well. So just wanted to make that clear, but we'll go to Attorney Chavez.

- So as I've tried to explain before, what we do is we base our priority on the light liability that could be led to be exposed to that as the first thing that we look at when we are deciding what gets placed first. So it's not that we haven't wanted to work on the ethics ordinance, just the ethics ordinance has not exposed us to as much potential for liability. And so the things that we have had to get in place such as our record retention schedule, so I can't give you a definite date like there's just no way I can do that and realistically expect to not, I'd be over promising under delivering if I did that. And especially because, as I've tried to point out, I have one attorney who's designated for legislative matters and handles the bulk of the drafting. And so anything happens, whether due to illness or coverage because people are out, I lose it or if we have some kind of work coming through I lose the one attorney who's dedicated to drafting legislation to the other priorities that happened in city so we have deadlines that come up in either lawsuits, pending lawsuits against city or lawsuits that are time sensitive. Those should take priority, there is no way for us not to do that because when we get a court deadlines or hard deadline.

- Now that you're aware.

- This is not the longest standing request we have. Alder Galvin has requested chapter 33, whose lives and that one has been pending for probably three and a half years.

- Oh, shame on him framing it up more.

- And then I identified the sign ordinances meeting revision and that one identified the moment I started with the city, which has been over four years.

- I think you need more help or we need to prioritize better I've never, I didn't know about Alder Galvin but in my 18 years, something like guy in my 18 years in Council, I've never seen such a delay. This is an important one. It affects, you know, a lot of people in the way it's crafted. I think we all agree is pretty faulty. It needs changing and correcting. So I'm gonna amend this to say that the corrected ordinance be presented to the Protection and Policy Committee at their second meeting in September.

- I'll second that.

- I'm sorry, alder. I missed the you say your what's the motion again?
- That the corrected or amended policy and ordinances be presented to protection and policy on the second meeting in September for protection policy. I don't have two meetings or not, but.
- Okay.
- And actually be trained to go to the ethics board.
- Which would then report to council, right?
- Uh-huh.
- All right, that's what you want to do, that's fine.
- You're asking this to be before the ethics committee in September?
- In September, yeah, whatever.
- Motion is made by Alder Weary.
- To second it Mayor.
- Ordinate last by the ethics committee in September. It's been seconded by Alder Brunette. Additional discussion? Alder Scannell, did you have your hand up?

- It's Alder Dorff.

- I have my hand up.

- Alder Dorff, go ahead.

- I respect what our city attorney has explained to us. I don't think we can possibly put that kind of a timeline on something that's important. I think that the city attorneys are doing the best job that they can. And so therefore I will not be voting in favor of this, thank you.

- Thanks alder, additional comments?

- Your Honor.

- Go ahead.

- Thank you.

- Yes, go ahead. Yes, I have had something out there for going on three and a half years. I'm very frustrated. Vanessa has heard me vent those frustrations on numerous occasions. But I also understand priorities. And if we have budgeted this, that the monies we budgeted for this, that and so on us, if we expect them to do more with less, that's not on them. I believe they are doing the best job they can do as fast as they can do it. But when it comes down to triage, I get any are the ordinances, the statutes and things that we have that are gonna hurt us the most are the ones that have to be taken care of first. The ones that put our community, our taxpayers in the greatest risk for liability are the ones who certainly need to be taken first. And I understand that, and I accept that. And I would encourage anyone that's frustrated with the legal staff to actually go in there and sit down and look at exactly what they've been doing and what they've been trying to do it. And maybe when it comes to budget time, we start thinking about maybe trying to budget some money to get them or staff so that maybe these things can get done quicker, thank you.

- Alder Burnett.

- Burnett, if I could Mayor. Alder Burnette, go ahead.

- Yeah, you know, the code of ethics I've read it several times. I was not on the council when it passed. There have been several alders who have gone ahead and whatever something initiated against them for violating the Code of Conduct that's neither here nor there, but it bears commenting on the Code of Conduct I've read several times. It's got a lot of holes in it. So when we're saying, you know, certain things are moved up, the less best, you know, based on what are vulnerabilities errors a city or financial losses, things like that. Well, wait a minute, equal rights ordinance was moved up pretty high on the list. So, you know, what, who gave that, you know, priority over something else, 'cause as far as I'm concerned, equal rights ordinance, whatever your opinion is, we'll discuss that obviously. But I would say that that is not exposing the city to any any exposure. I don't know the words I'm saying, I'm pretty tired obviously, but, and then the lawsuit against the state or against the state Federal Court that was added to the list the mask mandate that was added, there are a lot of things that are added. So yeah, I think it's completely appropriate to have some target date out there in September. I mean, we can't wait forever, I think needs to be updated. There are a lot of things, whoever was on the council at that time, you made your vote, whatever it is, I'm telling you, you all should read that and see what's in there and realize that there is a lot of holes that need to be covered. That's all I'll say. I'm definitely in favor of it. We need a target. We need to hold staff accountable. And we need to move these things forward, thank you.

- Thanks, alder. The motion is to have this ordinance at the meeting of the ethics committee in September. And seconded.

- Can I quick make a statement?

- Yes, Alder Lefebvre.

- Yes, as everyone knows, I was involved in an ethics thing and there some, I do have to get to the attorney, my recommendation on the ordinance, but because I felt it's, this is part of it should have been in there. I still feel that I have trouble saying that this is more important than maybe something else that they're working on. If we had more staff, yes, then we should have something. But I don't think we have enough staff right now, according to what the law department has, is telling us. So I'm going to vote against the amendment. I'm sorry, I hope that they can work on this faster, whatever they can do I appreciate, thank you.

- Go it, any further comments? All right, with that we'll go to the vote. With, alder, would you like a recorded vote? All right.

- Mayor, could you state this again one more time? I didn't quite hear as far as what the alders.
- Would require a lot of permit to present revised ethics ordinance at the meeting of September. All in favor will signify by saying aye.
- [All] Aye. All right, is being recorded, Mr. Mayor? I'm sorry that you asked me that.
- I did, I didn't hear the response.
- No, yeah, maybe, thank you.
- You bet.
- Mayor, Alder Steuer votes yes. Thanks alder.
- Coming up, Alder Gerlach.
- I have, what I'm looking at is not a vote on the amendment. It's a vote on the original proposal. That's what my.
- That's how it comes up. That's how it goes.
- What am I saying? I don't understand it. I thought I was voting on yes or no that the law department must provide this in September.
- You are.

- Then it's, okay, I'm sorry.
- Then you have to pretend it says that.
- Okay, thank you.
- At fail seven to five.
- I move to prove the original which I think we already have that out there but.
- Motion to approve, I forget who made the original.
- I don't even know. It's either me or Randy.
- It's Randy first and Barb Second.
- Okay.
- Sorry, Alder Scannell, Alder Gerlach.
- Thank you, Clerk Tusky. All in favor signify by saying aye.
- [Aye] Aye.
- Oppose, nay. Yes it's been adopted on to 13, Alder Johnson.

- As the most interesting lesson.

- [Some Members] Second.

- Motion has been made by Alder Johnson to receive and place on file seconded by Alder Dorff. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. The ayes have it, has been received and placed on panel and for the Protection Policy Committee granting operator licenses.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax to prove report and in which is reported Protection Policy Committee granting operator licenses, any names for which you'd like to be recorded as abstaining? Any names under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor the report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. And yes however the report has been approved on the Planning Commission.

- Motion approve.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Corpus-Dax seconded by Alder Stevens to approve report O which is report of the plank Commission for the meeting on July 13th 2020. Any items here to be handled separately?

- Number three.

- Item three, any others? I- Item three will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Was made as having been approved the exception of item three.

- Motion to approve.

- Second again.

- Made to approve by alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax, Alder Weary pull this item we have the floor.

- Thank you Mr. Mayor. Director Wagner Thanks for hanging in there. On this item, was there an update given to committee and you know, a lot of the neighborhood is really just concerned that it's being land banked and there's really nothing being worked on. And I just, they really just want to know what's going on there 'cause that's pretty prominent piece of property.

- Sure, so we did provide an update. The property owner has continued to work on a mixed use project there. However, nothing has been submitted in terms of moving that project forward. Again, it's the city's goal in terms of moving things forward obviously, right? It's a high visibility corner a gateway to our community from the west. I know the mayor has been involved in some conversations that happened over the winter, you know, prior to the onset of COVID. Suppose to take a little bit of a back burner. I think right now with all the uncertainty in terms of the upcoming season, some decisions were kind of put on the back burner, that there was an urgency to get things moved forward as quickly. You know, with that, in terms of compliance from our department, we can't force the property owner to build anything, but we will make sure because they know there has been complaints in the past, just to make sure that that site is up kept in terms of our housing and zoning standards. So when it comes to, you know, erosion, long grass, and saying those types of things, you know, we'll make sure to respond to them. And again, hopefully work on something to bring forth the development project sooner than later.

Minutes of the Common Council

- All right, I appreciate that. I appreciate that director Vulcan in staff, you really have done a good job of making sure. You know, I will say that that's been excellent. And just know the neighborhood really is looking forward to something there and hopefully it can be something that's cohesive with the neighborhood. So I appreciate it, thank you.

- You're welcome.

- Alder, any other comments? Seeing no other requests to speak all in favor of the motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Nay, ayes have it, item has been approved. Before to the finance committee.

- To approve.

- Motion made by Alder Dorff.

- Second.

- Seconded by Ader Scannell to approve report P before the Finance Committee on July 14th 2020. Any items here to be handled separate?

- Item 11.

- 11?

- 10 and 11.

- 10 and 11, any others? All right, items 10 and 11 will be handled separately. Hearing none others all in favor proving a remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Oppose, nay, the ayes have it. The court has been approved acceptance of items 10 and 11, wishes regarding item 10.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Randy's, Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Johnson. Item was pulled by Alder Johnson, you have the floor.

- Yeah, these two items were discussed at the committee level and we just didn't have the documents and the committee didn't feel comfortable approving it. We were since emailed those documents. I've reviewed them. I hope the rest of council has and so I'm in support now that we've seen the documentation.

- Any other comments? Item has been moved and seconded. Alder Johnson you're making a motion to approve.

- Alder Scannell did and I seconded it.

- Okay, very good. All in favor signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, nay. The ayes have it, item proved under item 11.

- Motion made by Alder Johnson seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax to approve, all in favor signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. Oppose, nay. The ayes have it that item has been approved by the opportunity.

- To prove.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Dorff, seconded by Alder Steur to approve report queue which is reported to park committee from the meeting on July 15 2020. Items here to be handled separately. Hearing none, all in favor approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Ayes have it. The report has been approved ad hoc committee on elections.

- We should open the floor.

- Second.

- Or should we do the, there's been someone waiting the whole time. Do you want me to need to prove this first?

- Yeah, we could make the motion to approve.

- Move to approve.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Dorff second by alder Scannell to approve, not sure if Mr. Hicks is still with us.

- Yes, he is there. So motion.

- Yes, the motion open floor, motion made by Alder dorff seconded by Alder Scannell. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye. Ayes have it. The floor is open. Mr. Hicks, thanks for hanging in.

- Yeah, it's my pleasure. I'll keep my comments much more brief than anything.

- When you get into it, if you could just give the name and address.

- Yep, Casey Hicks 1332 Angels path apartment 28 Dipea Wisconsin 14115. Yeah, and thank you alders for letting me speak especially very late at night. My name is Casey, I'm northeast organizer for Wisconsin Conservation Voters. We're an environmental nonprofit, and to have a healthy environment requires having a healthy democracy. And so I'm not gonna go over my entire statements. As I mentioned, I emailed it all to you earlier today. So you can read it in its entirety, if you'd like. But by now, we're all very well aware of the dangers associated with not being properly prepared to hold an election in the midst of a pandemic in COVID-19 is presented a lot of unforeseen challenges that are threatening both republic health and the integrity of our elections that are going to require the city to take extra steps to ensure a safe free and fair election for August, November. And so, Wisconsin Conservation Voters is in support of the priorities laid out within the grant The city's received from the Center for tech and civic life and believe that it'll allow the city to organize and carry out a safe, free and fair election for all residents of Green Bay. There are quite a few really great provisions within that grant that I'm not gonna go all the way throughout, but some very notable ones are hiring load navigators for a bilingual to help voters properly comply with voter ID and absentee ballot certification, placing secure ballot drop boxes throughout the city. And then also making sure that this city is

Minutes of the Common Council

carrying out a comprehensive and equitable voter outreach campaign in multiple languages. All of these are really great provisions. The one that we're most excited about as an organization is expanding in person absentee voting because over the last year and a half Wisconsin Conservation Voters has been working side by side with students and faculty from the University of Wisconsin Green Bay the city to expand in person absentee polling locations. And so this creates answers those calls have been stemming all the way back to 2016 from students and from community members to expand early voting. And previously, the city had never had an adequate funding to fulfill the requests that community members have been sending to the city. And now that the city basically has \$35,000 through this grants to carry out early voting and meet the needs of those voters, now's the time for the city to act on those requests. And so all these measures are gonna lead to an election day in August November, which will run much more smoothly. And it's critical that the city present voters with as many different methods of voting as possible in order to cut down on congestion on election day. A recent study that was just completed by voting rights lab, UCLA Voting Rights Project, and the Union of Concerned Scientists has found that very reducing congestion through multiple methods of voting is the most effective tactic that municipalities can employ to ensure safe, accessible and fair and smoothly ran election. And so, in conclusion, this grant seeks to meet the needs voters, both in underserved communities and ensuring that everyone has the right to vote and they are able to safely exercise that rights. And therefore Wisconsin Conservation Voters is asking that the Green Bay Common Council accept the full amounts of grant funding from the Center for tech and civic life. So thank you all for letting me speak.

- Thanks so much for your testimony. Thanks again for sticking around. Motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close floor.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Nay, the eyes have it, floor is closed. We have a motion before us to produce.

- I just have one question.

- Yeah, all the way, go ahead.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Did we sign any official agreements or documents yet to accept the money? I know, we had talked about that at it, at the committee and we hadn't done yet.

- No, I mean, any grant dollars that the city receives it has to be approved by common council before that's taken in.

- The concern was, we're just, we're not agreeing to anything in the future, right? It's just one time money, because it's gonna allow us to do you know, a lot more equipment and resources. I just wanna make sure that we're not setting yourself up to be in a budget hole next year.

- Right. Yeah, new long term obligations, but long term benefits from some of the electoral infrastructure, if you will, that we'll be able to purchase that will benefit the clerk's office and our voters.

- Thank you.

- Mayor.

- Yeah, Alder Johnson.

- Thank you, real quickly, I'd like to thank Casey for sharing his words of wisdom there, I really do appreciate him hanging on this late. In alder where he just, maybe to eat or maybe respond to your question a little bit more directly as well. It was we did approve it in the finance committee but one of the provisions that I asked to put it in there was a clawback provision. So that way, if the grant had additional, like metric requirements that would force us to pay money back, that staff would notice, notify us of that.

- Pretty good, thanks alder. Any other comments?

- Yes, one in all. Just one quick question. Who's supplying the money from the tech and civil life? What organization are they affiliated with? I just like to know that.

- Yeah, so I mean, I don't know all of their, all the history of the organization. I know that they're a national nonprofit, located in Chicago, and they're dedicated to improving technology that's used in city government primarily with respect to elections, and it's a 501C3 nonprofit organization.

- Okay.

- Are they actually gonna help us at the polling places during the election?

- They're giving us a million dollars. So I think that'll be helpful.

- All right with that, we will go to the vote. We had the motion on the second. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Oppose nay, the ayes have it. Item is successful for the tax increment districts joint review committee.

- Motion to receive and place on file.

- Second.

- Motion made by alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens to receive and place on file. Report S, which is the report of the tax increment districts joint review committee for meeting on July 2020.

- They will signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Nay, the ayes have it is, is received in place on file. Item T receiving place and file.

- We need to suspend the rules doing both at once?

- You do not, it is all part of what all.

- Motion.

- Second.

- Made by Alder Scannell, seconded by of Alder Dorff to receive text on file the municipal court and building reports for June 2020. Discussion hearing none. All in favor for that report please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Ayes have it, report has been received and placed on file. We already addressed items U and G. Ordinances reading.

- Advance ordinance is one through five to a second reading.

- Motion to suspend.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by alder Dorff to suspend the rules table. These items with one roll call vote, on favor that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Oppose, nay, the ayes have it. The rules was suspended.

- Motion to advance.

- Second.

- Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff to advance statements in file. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Ayes have it, may have been advanced to final adoption, referral petitions and communication.

- Request to speak Mayor.

- Yeah, you can, Alder Vander Leest.

- Mayor I have, I'd like to read in for the record from a constituent. Says dear American rich, re write this letter as we found your letter to our president of the United States very disrespectful. As our mayor, you request us, we find, you represent us. We find your letter not a representation of us. We were offended by your letter to our president and it was embarrassing. It is an honor to host the President of the United States to our area. And as our elected officials, we expect our mayor who represents the people of Green Bay to be gracious and cordial, even if the mayor does not agree with the President. We have not been represented well by you, our mayor, who has only been in office for a little over a year. Our president has served our country well for the past three years, the economy has not been better due to jobs brought back to the United States.

We don't know of a president in our lifetime, who has been more visible and work harder than our president. When I was learning about COVID-19, our presidents advise state leaders, governors and states on how to handle closure and reopen the states due to Coronavirus. Our governors and Mayor need to step up and take responsibility instead of blaming our president. Our president has a numerous occasion said and enacted by calling the National Guard to assist with protests that escalated to riots and crimes. Again, it is the governors and mayors of the states to exercise their authority to keep peaceful demonstrations. Our president has expressed sympathy to the families of those due to the Coronavirus and racial incidents. As our mayor, it is important for you to know we were extremely disappointed in the April election in that the city of Green Bay had only two polling places. As a cancer survivor and having requested an absentee ballot that did not arrive on time, we were forced to exercise our voting privilege by waiting three plus hours to do curbside voting because of the lack of participation by our mayor. The National Guard was offered and trained to be used for the election so that more polling places could be open. But our mayor settled for two polling places. All of their cities, towns and villages were dealing with the same virus as Green Bay and they held the elections and head efficient policies. Green Bay April election process was totally unacceptable and cause great concern for our upcoming election. In closing, please remember that you represent all the constituents of Green Bay and require you to be respectful to our president of the United States. Even if you do not agree with our president, this is signed by Bernie Hallat and Patricia Hallat, city of Green Bay, they live in my district. I'll get you a copy of the letter mayor, thank you.

- I've already read it, but thanks for reading it to everybody.

- Okay.

- Any other petitions communications?

- Motion to adjourn.

- Second.

- Motion made to adjourn, seconded by, our motion by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Johnson to adjourn. All in favor, signify by saying aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Oppose, nay?

Minutes of the Common Council

- The ayes have it, we're adjourned. Thanks so much, everybody. You're well.

- Hey Mark, enjoy your vacation.

- Bye, everybody.