



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

WEDNESDAY, APRIL 1, 2020, 6:00 PM

Telephonic Meeting

public may also view at

www.youtube.com/CityOfGreenBay

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION

I. Please find information to join this meeting via "zoom meeting" along with instructions.

B. ROLL CALL.

Present: Craig Stevens, Andy Nicholson, Chris Wery, Bill Galvin, Kathy Lefebvre, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Randy Scannell, Brian Johnson, John VanderLeest, Barbara Dorff, Mark Steuer, Jesse Brunette

C. APPOINTMENT OF TEMPORARY DEPUTY CLERK

I.

Appointment of Pamela Manley as temporary deputy clerk pursuant to Wis. Stat 62.09(5)(d) for the purpose of discharging the officer's duties until the officer is available.

Moved by Ald. Dorff, seconded by Ald. Scannell to approve. Motion carried.

D. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

E. INVOCATION.

I. The invocation will be lead by Alder Andy Nicholson, District 3.

The Invocation was led by Ald. Andy Nicholson, District 3.

F. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes of the March 3, 2020 Common Council meeting.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Andy Nicholson to approve. Motion carried.

G. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to amend the agenda to move Ordinances - First and Final Reading for Adoption after Committee of the Whole. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve as amended. Motion carried.

H. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

I. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

J. APPOINTMENTS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to confirm the re-appointments. Motion carried.

I. Re-appointments:

Room Tax Commission

Larry Vesely, 1715 E. Sonata Drive., Green Bay, WI 54311

Term to expire: April 1, 2021

Zoning and Planning Board of Appeals

Noel Halvorsen, 2443 Decker Ave., Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: April 1, 2023

Tommy Everman, 410 Cass St., Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: April 1, 2023

K. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

No one appeared to speak on the ordinance or resolution

1. Zoning Ordinance No. 2-20

An ordinance rezoning property located at 1860 West Mason Street from General Commercial (C1) to Highway Commercial (C2).

2. Final resolution regarding vacation of portions of public right-of-way, Acme Street and Lawrence Street.

L. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules and take up Items 1 through 4 with a roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to adopt Items 1 through 4. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

1. General Ordinance No. 05-20

An ordinance to amend Section 21.11(8), GBMC, relating to Financing of Lead Water Service Line Replacement.

2. General Ordinance No. 06-20

An ordinance amending Section 29.208, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to parking regulations.

3. General Ordinance No. 07-20

An ordinance amending Section 29.307, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to traffic regulations.

4. Zoning Ordinance No. 2-20

An ordinance rezoning property located at 1860 West Mason Street from General Commercial (C1) to Highway Commercial (C2).

M. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (MARCH 24, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception of Items 4 and 6. Motion carried.

1. To refer to staff and report back to RDA in April 2020, the communication from Ald. Johnson (District 9) "To direct the Redevelopment Authority to initiate negotiations to purchase 927 Liberty Avenue utilizing neighborhood enhancement funds, block grant or other funding resources available to the City of Green Bay or RDA."

2. To refer to staff and report back to RDA in April 2020, the communication from Ald. Wery (District 8) on "Request to purchase and remove 1077 and 1079 Shawano Ave."

3. To refer to staff and report back to RDA in April 2020, the communication from Ald. Johnson (District 9) on "Creation of an emergency relief fund to provide loans to assist small business owners with the impacts of coronavirus (COVID-19)."

4. To approve Term Sheet with Merge, LLC for a development project at the Shipyard, 239 Arndt St., 101 Bridge St., and 119 Bridge St. (Tax Parcels 3-551, 3-554-A, 3-556), subject to minor legal and technical changes, and direct staff to work on a Development Agreement as discussed in closed session.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 4. Motion carried.

5. To refer to staff to report back in September 2020, the communication from Ald. Johnson (District 9) "To create a policy guaranteeing City Council oversight and disbursement of funds

related to Affordable Housing Extension Resolutions for TIDs 7, 8, and 12."

6. To approve partnering with the City of Green Bay Parks Department in the purchase of 601 St. George Street for trail expansion and housing development.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 6. Motion carried.

N. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (MARCH 25, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception of Item 1. Motion carried.

1. To approve the request by Larry Stange (Bay Beach Mini Golf) and Brian and Stephanie Matzke (Kastle Karts) for permission to not clear sidewalks in front of their businesses during winter months and to rescind the recent invoice for clearing sidewalks.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve Item 1.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend Item 1 to require approval annually for permission to not clear sidewalks in front of their business during winter months. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adopt Item 1 as amended. Motion carried.

2. To hold until the April 8, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Ald. Lefebvre to request committee to look at the issuing of a sidewalk café permit to Titledown Tobacco per Section 6.155 Green Bay Municipal Code – (1) Definitions: (a) sidewalk café is any group of tables, chairs, benches, or partitions maintained within the public right-of-way for use directly in front of an establishment with a valid restaurant license.

3. To hold until the April 8, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Ald. Nicholson, on behalf of Tina Bunker, to have the City of Green Bay own and maintain the storm water management ponds as part of the Grandview subdivision(s).

4. To refer to staff the request by Ald. Vander Leest, on behalf of area residents, for the sink hole in

the front yard of 1831 Van Oss Court near the newly installed mini storm sewer be investigated by the Public Works Department and to be fixed in the spring.

5. To hold until the April 8, 2020 Improvement and Services Committee meeting the request by Ald. Wery on adjusting mini sewer hookup fees.

6. To refer to staff the request by Ald. Wery for an update on repairs or resurfacing of Shawano Avenue and request staff to find out from WisDOT how to get the project scheduled.

7. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to award the Doty Street Reconstruction project contract at a staff level and report the award at the next regular meeting of the Improvement and Services Committee due to the March meeting schedule.

8. To approve as amended the report of the Purchasing Manager requesting approval to purchase annual street maintenance consumables as needed for the 2020 season and for annual budgeted expenditures from 2021-2025, from the noted contracted vendors; annual street maintenance consumables, sealant, and glass spheres are approved for purchase, and Brown County shall be given the opportunity to quote cold patch asphalt and high performance asphalt. Total expenditure is based on annual budget for these items of \$350,300.

9. To approve the award of the following contracts:

A. BRIDGE 2-20 (B-05-154: EAST MASON STREET BRIDGE OVER EAST RIVER REHABILITATION) to the low responsive bidder, Lunda Construction, in the amount of \$343,250.

B. PAVEMENT 2-20 HILLCREST DRIVE RECONSTRUCTION to the low responsive bidder, David Tenor Corp., in the amount of \$828,865.45.

C. PAVEMENT 3-20 GRAY STREET RECONSTRUCTION to the low responsive bidder, Soper Grading & Excavating LLC, in the amount of \$2,988,635.66.

D. PARKING RAMP REPAIRS - 2020 to the low responsive bidder, Central Restoration LLC, in the amount of \$571,825.

10. To approve the request by InterCon Construction to enter into an annual Hold Harmless Agreement for access to the City of Green Bay sewer system to conduct inspection of the sewer mains and laterals.

11. To conditionally approve the applications for a Sidewalk Builder License for Items 11. F and I contingent upon a positive review of references and to approve the applications for Sidewalk Builders Licenses for Items 11. A, B, C, D, E, G and H.

- A. Allied Concrete Construction, Inc.
- B. Christensen Concrete
- C. Fischer Ulman Construction, Inc.
- D. Helmle Construction & Concrete
- E. Kurowski Construction
- F. Milis Flatwork
- G. Quinn and Sons Concrete LLC
- H. RG Hendricks & Sons Construction, Inc.
- I. Utility Traffic & Restoration, Inc.

12. To approve the application for Tree & Brush Trimmer License for WB Tree Service.

O. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (MARCH 9, 2020 AND MARCH 23, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve with the exception of Items 1, 2, and 8. Motion carried.

I. To approve a request by the Sardine Can, Inc. at 128 S. Broadway to extend their license premises to the parking lot on June 17, July 8, July 29 and August 19, subject to complaint (March 9, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve Item I.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin to deny, moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff to amend.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve. Motion carried. No- Ald. Andy Nicholson

2. To recommend Council waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor licensed premise and to approve a request by the Sardine Can, Inc. at 128 S. Broadway to extend their outdoor patio space, with the approval of the proper authorities (March 9, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve. Motion carried.
Yes- Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Andy Nicholson, Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Kathy Lefebvre

3. To approve an appeal by Bernard Sanapaw Jr. regarding the denial of his operator's license (March 9, 2020).

4. To receive and place on file a request by Ald. Wery regarding creation of a resolution to our state legislators to override the veto by Governor Evers of Senate Bill 60 regarding placement of sex offenders (March 9, 2020).

5. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Galvin to review and adopt the Hotel Registration & Security ordinance from Ashwaubenon. (Section 9-1-8 ord # 3-1-19, 3-26-2019) (March 9, 2020).

6. To receive and place on file the liquor violation report from the Police Department for March 9, 2020 (March 9, 2020).

7. To receive and place on file the report from the Water Utility Department regarding the lead pipe removal project (March 9, 2020).

8. To recommend Council waive the ordinance requirements for an outdoor licensed premise and to approve an application for a "Class B" Combination license by TNT Holdings, LLC at 2511 W. Mason St. with a license premise description as "outdoor patio bar, storage room, walkin cooler, office, employee room, bar, sit-down area," with the approval of the proper authorities (Currently licensed as Scoreboard Grille, LLC). (March 23, 2020)

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to refer Item 8 back to committee. Motion carried.

9. To approve a request by Brewski's On Broadway at 1100 S. Broadway to extend their licensed premises to their parking lot on April 11, 2020, contingent on the suspension or termination of any applicable emergency orders issued under the COVID-19 Pandemic State Emergency. Subject to complaint.

10. To approve a request by Pearly Gates Bar and Grill at 3551 Finger Rd. to extend their licensed premises to the east parking lot on July 11, 2020, subject to complaint.

11. To receive and place on file the liquor violation report from the Police Department for March 23, 2020 (March 23, 2020).

P. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

I. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses.

Q. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (MARCH 23, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve with the exception of Item 2. Motion carried.

1. To approve the purchase of Sierra Wireless Modems with 4 years of Airlink Complete service for the Green Bay Police Department Vehicles from Island Tech Service, LLC for \$32,490.

2. To refer to Staff a request by Ald. Johnson to review the City's capitalization policy and recommend necessary changes as it relates to minimum bonding thresholds in an effort to stem the City's growing debt.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve. Motion carried.

R. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (MARCH 25, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve with the exception of Item 4. Motion carried.

1. To receive and place on file the discussion on the status of fat tire bike usage at He-Nis-Ra Park.

2. To refer to staff to explore land acquisition options for the creation of a park to serve the residents on the southern end of District 9 on or near the Liberty Street and 12th Avenue corridors.

3. To approve adopting a resolution in support of World Migratory Bird Day.

4. To approve the recommendation by staff as discussed in closed session on the purchase of 601 George St. (Parcel 7-709) for the expansion of the East River Trail connection. Motion failed. Referred to City Council with no recommendation.

The Committee may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85 (l)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Committee may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to go into closed session at 7:26pm. Motion carried.

Ald. Randy Scannell read the closed session language.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Bill Galvin

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to return to regular order of business at 7:48pm. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve Item 4. Motion carried.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson, Jesse Brunette

S. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (MARCH 23, 2020).

Minutes of the Common Council

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve the request to fill the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

- a. Records Clerk - Police
- b. Building Maintenance Technicians - Public Works
- c. Administrative Clerk - Public Works

2. To refer to staff to prepare a resolution for the request by Ald. Johnson to draft a resolution requesting the state to suspend rules on utilization of the Unemployment Insurance fund to allow employers and/or governments to access the fund in tandem with matching subsidy payments to fully compensate employees affected by Coronavirus who are temporarily and/or partially displaced.

3. To approve the revised Emergency Preparedness Plan for COVID-19 based on the request by Ald. Dorff to the Finance or Personnel committee by Fire and what other departments may be appropriate, regarding our current city pandemic plan in terms of potentially needed finances and personnel, especially, but not limited to, protecting our first responders from contamination.

T. REPORT OF THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (MARCH 2, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

1. To have staff proceed as directed as discussed in closed session regarding the acquisition of 1300 Block S. Huron Road (Tax Parcel 21-183).

Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Authority may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

2. To proceed with contacting homeowners that have not responded to the "Interested in Selling" letter and start discussions with those who have returned the "Interested in Selling" letter regarding the I-43 Business Center Expansion.

Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Authority may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

3. To have staff proceed with the drafting of the Request for Proposal (RFP) for the Commercial and Industrial Market Study, with the condition that items for the RFP can be priced out separately.

U. REPORT OF THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION (MARCH 11, 2020).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

1. To approve the changes, as recommended by commission, to the 2020 Green Bay Sustainability Work Plan.

2. To refer to the next meeting, scheduling a Green Bay Sustainability Commission tour of NEW water facility.

3.

To refer completion of an application to BeeCity to the Pollinator Corridor Working group, with review by the Sustainability Commission.

4. To refer the 2020 Park Survey from Parks, Recreation and Forestry to PRF staff.

5. To approve the final draft of information to neighborhood associations.

V. RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve. Motion carried.

1. Building Permit Report for February 2020.

2. Municipal Court Report for February 2020.

W. ORDINANCES-FIRST AND FINAL READING FOR ADOPTION

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to adopt. Motion carried. Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, No- Andy Nicholson

I.

General Ordinance No. 08-20

An ordinance to amend Section I.03, GBMC, relating to Elected Officials.

X. COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE

I. Discussion with possible action on City of Green Bay, et. al. v. Bostelmann, et al., 20-cv-479 E.Dist. regarding April 7, 2020 election.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to receive and place on file. Motion carried.

2.

Consideration with possible action to amend Personnel Policy Chapter 23 Family and Medical Leave to include the eligible leave benefits under the Family First Coronavirus Response Act and Emergency First Responder sick leave.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

3. Informational report and update by Chief David Litton regarding emergency operations and COVID-19 response.

4. Discussion with possible action on the resolution to amend liquor license renewal fees for 2020-2021.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to amend to \$200 per license. Motion failed.

Yes- Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, No- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre
Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve. Motion Carried.

Yes-Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre

5. Discussion with possible action on the Resolution Providing for Employee Benefits in Accordance with State and Federal Law.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve. Motion carried.

Y. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to suspend the rules and adopt Resolutions 1 through 7 together with one roll call vote. Motion carried.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adopt Resolutions 1 through 7. Motion carried.

Yes- Andy Nicholson, Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre
(No- Andy Nicholson for Resolution 5)

1. Final resolution regarding vacation of portions of public right-of-way, Acme Street and Lawrence Street.

2. Resolution approving hold harmless agreement Intercon Construction to conduct inspection of sewer mains and laterals.

3. Resolution in support of World Migratory Bird Day.

4. Resolution drawing final orders to contractors for April 1, 2020.

5. Resolution relating to Liquor Licensing Renewal Fees for the 2020-2021 License Year.
6. Resolution In Support Of State Legislation Applying Unemployment Reserve Funds to Employers Who Employ Workers Who Would Otherwise Qualify for Unemployment Benefits Due to Layoffs.
7. A Resolution Providing For Employee Benefits In Accordance With Federal And State Law

Z. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to refer the petitions and communications to the appropriate Committee or Commission. Motion carried.

A request by Ald. Barbara Dorff to the Improvement & Services Committee, due to great concern regarding the possibility/probability of extensive flooding to the homes and roads on the South Shore of the Bay of Green Bay due to the current height of the Bay: I am requesting a full report on the progress that has been made on obtaining funding to fix the dike on the south shore of the bay of Green Bay. I would also like a timeline on when work on the dike will begin and how we can finance this work even if we are not able to obtain state and federal grants.

A request by Ald. Brian Johnson to the Finance Committee to provide detail regarding the fiscal impact of the resolution to reduce liquor licensing fees and provide recommendations on cost reductions equal to the loss of the revenues.

AA. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adjourn at 9:11pm. Motion carried.

VERBATIM MINUTES

- [Mayor] Call to order of the meeting of the Common Council for Wednesday, April 1, 2020. Roll Call clerk?
- [Clerk] All 12 are present. Very good. We have a quorum we will proceed. On to appointment of temporary deputy clerk. Appointment of Pam Manley as temporary deputy clerk. I'll entertain a motion.

- [Dorff] So moved.

Minutes of the Common Council

- [Scannel] Second

- [Mayor] Moved by Alder Dorff, seconded by Alder Scannell to approve the appointment of Pamela Manley as temporary deputy clerk. All in favor please signify by saying Aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. Appointment has been approved. On to the Pledge of Allegiance, please rise.

- [All] I pledge allegiance to the flag, of the United States of America. And to the Republic, For which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible with liberty and justice for all.

- [Mayor] And now we'll be led in the invocation by Alder Nicholson

- [Nicholson] Thank you, Mayor. In these trying times in our country as Andrew Smith stated God bless America. We will get through this as Americans always do. We have to stay strong. God Bless America.

- [Mayor] Thank you very much Alderman. On to approval of the minutes.

- [Galvin] Motion approved.

- [Mayor] I think that was Alder Galvin with the motion, seconded by Alder Dorff?

- [Dorff] No, not me.

- [Nicholson] Nicholson.

Minutes of the Common Council

- [Mayor] All right, the motion made by Alder Galvin, seconded by Alder Nicholson. Any corrections that need to be made? Hearing no requests, all in favor, please signify by saying aye

- [Council] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it and they are approved. On to the agenda.

- [Dorff] I'd like to move to amend the agenda.

- [Mayor] Okay.

- [Dorff] I'd like to have item W moved after item X. So have the ordinance first, and then the final reading after the Committee of the whole.

- [Mayor] Okay do we have a second for that?

- [Mayor] Who is seconding?

- [Lefebvre] Alder Lefebvre will second.

- [Mayor] All right, Alder Dorff makes the motion to amend, Alder Lefebvre seconds. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The agenda is amended. I'll entertain a motion to approve.

- [Scannel] Motion to approve

- [Corpus-Dax] Second.

- [Man] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax.

- [Corpus-Dax] Yep. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The agenda has been approved with the amendment. On to the report by the Mayor. Just wanted to touch on a few things before we get into the heart of the meeting. Obviously this community, state and nation are still dealing with the outbreak of COVID-19. Chief Litton will get into some of the details here, relevant to the community later on. But I just wanted to take a moment to thank you all for continuing to be community leaders during a really difficult period of time. Also, wanna thank our community members for really stepping up doing the right thing, staying home whenever possible. Special thanks, of course, to our healthcare workers, our first responders, but also folks just on the front lines of providing services in this community, all the people that are employed at our grocery stores and other front line logistics employees who are making sure that we can still move forward as a society, even during this very difficult time. Also, just wanted to touch on something that's also COVID-19 related, but something that we've been working on here in the city. Particular thanks to our clerk Teske and her staff for all the work that they've been doing on this election in really, kind of incredible circumstances. We've seen a deluge of requests for absentee balloting, which is a really positive thing. But we still do have, I think around 7,000 requests in the queue. So I would just ask the community to be patient, ask Alders to communicate where we're at with some of those requests, and ask people to be patient. We want people to continue to make those requests. We want people to vote by mail. I have never been in the position before of asking people not to show up on election day and vote, but that's where we sit. I'm encouraging each and every person to vote by mail and avoid coming in person on Election Day. Of course, took the unexpected step for me, and for a lot of people, I think, involved here too, to bring suit against the state of Wisconsin, asking for some changes to be made here to put the entire election through the mail to abide by the public health guidelines that we've received from, President Trump's CDC, all the way down to our Brown County Public Health. We were unsuccessful in bringing that suit, but there is an ongoing case in the Western District. Our law department did provide an amicus brief in front of the court brief and clerk Teske provided a lot of the relevant details. For that, we are not sure exactly what's going to come of that case. They heard judge Conley. Federal judge heard arguments in that case and is expected to make a decision here as early as tonight or tomorrow, but it could be a few days. So, we don't have some of those finalized Election Day plans until we get word from the federal court. But we've been talking multiple times a day, trying to figure out the best contingencies based on the fact that on the last count, we're down from 270 poll workers on Election Day, down to 17. There are a number of folks who have stepped up in the community and offered to volunteer and we very much appreciate that, but of course, they

haven't been trained. So, that's an additional issue for our clerk's office to work through. So, we will be providing as much information as soon as possible, as we get it, and of course, providing that quickly to Alders, but just again, would request that you all, ask for patience in the community, understanding that people are anxious, they've got a lot of questions, they want to know where their absentee ballot is and why it's been delayed. But just asking you all to express the pressure that that our clerk is under and the incredible workload that everybody in that office is bearing. Just also wanted to touch on a couple development questions. We have a proposal here, a term sheet moving forward on behalf of merged development, in our shipyard, really encouraged by the design that they've presented to us, the density of the development there, the valuation on the project that's been proposed. So I'm excited about what the project looks like and of course, encouraging folks to ask all the necessary questions. But at the end of the day encouraging council support for that, of course. And then also wanted to touch on an item that's before the park committee related to the the East River Trail. This is a project that's been ongoing for, I don't know, half my lifetime maybe? And this is a really integral piece of that puzzle. So I know we'll have an opportunity to talk through some of those details in open and enclosed session in all likelihood, but I think this is an opportunity that we don't want to pass up because the community has been wanting this connection for some time, the East River Trail and it's also something that I think would be of tremendous benefit to the community as a whole but of course the the Old Main district and all the business owners and residents in that area of the city. Finally just wanted to touch on a resolution that is before you under committee of the whole, related to liquor licenses and renewal fees there. So obviously, we just had a recent debate about those fees. And it feels like 100 years ago, but at that point we were advocating for an increase, based on the fact that we have some significant costs associated with background checks and other things. Other surrounding communities were at a higher level, but fast forward to the age of COVID-19. And obviously, a lot of those, the holders of those licenses have been really drastically impacted by the virus and by the the health orders associated with the pandemic. So what we're suggesting here is to go down to statutory minimums for those classes of license holders that have been most directly impacted, and asking for counsel to understand that and hopefully approve that change. So I apologize for the The length of the report tonight but just wanted to touch on a few things, and that concludes it. Now we are on to announcements.

- [Alderman] Mayor.

- [Mayor] Yes.

- [Alderman] I got a question about absentee ballots. About the federal judges.

- [Mayor] If you have questions feel free to reach out to the clerk's office, but now would not be the appropriate time to discuss some of those issues.

- [Alderman] I just want to know what they should do with absentee ballots if it's after the election if they can't get them in. You brought it up, I just want a response please, thank you.

- [Mayor] Yep, so we do have a drop box at City Hall. So if people are receiving those ballots, very close to election day and then they're concerned that--

- [Alderman] Sir, I'm talking about if they come late.

- [Mayor] Might not get back through the mail. We do urge people, encourage people to come down at City Hall.

- [Alderman] Seriously, please, could you just respond?

- [Mayor] All right, we are on to announcements.

- [Dorff] My light might be on.

- [Mayor] Alder Dorff, go ahead.

- [Lefebvre] My light's on, also. Alder Lefebvre.

- [Dorff] Thank you, this is Alder Dorff. And I think all of us were probably invited to the White House COVID-19 briefing via our email, and I did go on that call. There were about 5,000 people on the call. I'm just going to tell you two things about it. And then the question that I first asked that they made of us as local officials was to use our positions to echo the 30 days to stop the spread. And the second ask was to remind people to fill out the census, even though we're in the midst of this coaster. So I am reminding everyone, please go online and fill out the census. And then the question I tried to ask but my question did not get on was the state of Wisconsin is planning to have in-person elections on April 7th. We are being asked to stop this spread by 30 days. Is there any way to really make this safe for voters and poll workers? But I didn't get the answer. So thank you, that's it.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder, appreciate the comment and question. Alder Scannell.

- [Scannell] Hello?

- [Mayor] Alder Scannell, go ahead.

- [Dorff] Mayor, we can't hear you if you're talking.

- [Mayor] Alder Scannell?

- [Scannell] Alder Scannell, okay. I couldn't hear you, if you called me or not. Okay. I'm on lockdown, but believe it or not, there's still a lot going on. Yeah, a lot of virtual entertainment going on and possibilities for all kinds of stuff. If you go to DowntownGreenBay.com, you'll have a list of restaurants and you can get takeout or make deliveries, buy gift cards. There's a number of virtual events. Downtown Green Bay's Facebook Live, music every Wednesday and Friday from 7 to 8 P.M. all the way through April 24th. So if we get done quick here, we can catch the one tonight. We got a great lineup of artists and I won't go through it, but it should be a lot of fun. Also, the art garage has an open mic Friday, April 10th, from 7 to 9 P.M., music, poetry, ukulele. I know I said music but ukulele, that's its own category. And of course, comedy, funny stuff. Even though we're all locked down, there's still a lot to do. Check out DowntownGreenBay.com. This has links to all these things. And downtown Green Bay's Facebook Live music is a joy. Thank you, your Honor.

- [Mayor] Thank you Alder Scannell. I think Alder Lefebvre was also interested in speaking?

- [Lefebvre] Yes.

- [Mayor] Go ahead.

- [Lefebvre] Yes, yes. I wanted to let everyone know my conversations with regards to the dike and with Director Grenier. We had a phone conversation and it wasn't related, as well as it should be, I think. I did want to, I did tell him that I had contacted a couple engineers talking to them. And he said, well, then you need them to call me. So I forwarded Bill Acker to him. And after Todd Grenier, Bill talked to him and he called me back, and Director Grenier mentioned that they will, because of the permitting process, it's kind of hard with all the residents out here. You have to get everybody to sign that permit in the easement. If he changes anything on the dike that he is going to do the dike back to the 73 flood as it was then, because we have a lot of stones that are missing. He's going to bring all that in. And after I thought of that, I think that's a good plan to go forward, right now. And then if Director Grenier can look at the dike should actually be raised two feet to meet the FEMA floodplain. It's at 103 it should be 105. At least that, if he can come up with the dollar

Minutes of the Common Council

amount, what is that going to cost to the city? And bring it back to the Finance Committee fiscal there first, and then back to the council, and see how we can pay for this. That we can go forward with that later on. And then the neighborhood association is more than willing to help getting the permits and the easements, that everybody has to sign out here. That we will work with the department and helping them to get this done so that we can make it safe for everybody, excuse me my throat. So everybody is safe out here on the Bay and keep the dike in sound condition, so that it does not breach and go all the way over to Eastman Avenue because that's like 8, 900 households would be involved. So this is a good step that we can work with. So when Steve comes forward with that request for moneys for the first part, I would hope that everybody will behind them and support him, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder, Alder Galvin. Alder Galvin?

- [Galvin] Thank you, your Honor. I'm just asking, your honor, that in the future, we make a difference between announcements and proposals of agenda items. And that way we can save time here and get on to the agenda items that need to be covered.

- [Mayor] Okay, points well taken. Thanks Alder.

- [Dorff] I apologize, I just thought that you need to know because I can't talk to everybody. Yeah, that's a meeting.

- [Mayor] Yeah, that's very good Alder. We're on to, appointments.

- [Scannell] I can't hear you, Mr. Mayor.

- [Mayor] We are on to appointments. I will entertain a motion on reappointment approval.

- [Scannell] Approve.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, Seconded by Alder Dorff to confirm the reappointments before you. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Council] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it, item has been approved. On to public hearings. We have two items for public hearings. Item one is an ordinance rezoning property located at 1860 West Mason Street, from general commercial to highway commercial. Item two is a final resolution regarding vacation of portions of public right of way, Acme Street and Lawrence Street. Is there anyone present on the call who'd like to speak to these items? Is there anyone present who would like to speak to these items? Is there anyone who'd like to speak to these items? Clerk, please let the record reflect that no one is present to speak to these items. On to ordinances second reading for adoption.

- [Scannell] Motion to suspend the rules.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] There's been a motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to suspend the rules to take up these items with one roll call vote. All in favor of that motion please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] All opposed nay.

- [Scannell] Motion to adopt.

- [Mayor] You guys have it the rules are suspended and there's been a motion to adopt items L-1 through L-4 made by Alder Scannell, is there a second?

- [Stevens] Second.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Seconded by Alder Stevens, please use the board. All right those are adopted 12-0. Onto report of the RDA.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Dorff] Secondby Alder Dorff second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell. Seconded by Alder Dorff. to approve report M which is the report of the Redevelopment Authority from the meeting on March 24, 2020. Any items under this report you wish to handle separately?

- [Alderman] Four.

- [Mayor] Any others?

- [Scannell] Your Honor, do we have take up six when we do park, or how are those related?

- [Mayor] We could address that now. We're at park committee.

- [Scannell] Well, I'm not sure if it makes sense to approve this and then we don't approve the item for parks.

- [Mayor] Yeah, we could we could take it up now if you'd like.

- [Scannell] I would like.

- [Mayor] There you go. Very good, so we have items four and six to be held separately, any others? Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Oppose nay? The aye's have it, the report has been approved the exception of items four and six.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motions made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Dorff to approve item four. Item was pulled by Johnson. Alder Johnson, you have the floor.

- [Johnson] Thank you, Mayor. Just a couple of questions for Director Vonck. I participated in the discussion at Redevelopment Authority. I liked the agreement a lot. There's just a couple things that sort of came to mind afterwards that I wanted to get some clarification on. One is we had indicated that we would make available parking within that agreement. My question is, are we committing to making that parking available for free or do we have the right to charge for monthly permit fees like we would in any of our other publicly owned lots?

- [Vonck] So this is Director Vonck, so that has not been determined yet. We were looking at those parking lots are being constructed as part of the overall shipyard development, but we did not entertain any type of lease agreement and how those spaces would be used. I think it was made clear, though that would be done on an as available basis, so that they wouldn't be for the exclusive use of development.

- [Johnson] Right, and that was in particular, I think, just to make sure that we preserve that right. And if you're comfortable that this agreement does that I'm good. And the reason I think we ought to do that is just because that would obviously create some security for them, that we're holding spaces. But I don't know that I would feel comfortable holding a designated number of spaces without ensuring that we have the ability to fund the maintenance of those locks.

- [Vonck] Correct. There's nothing, there's no exclusive right to use them. Nothing's been delegated to them exclusively.

- [Johnson] Okay. Second question that I have is related to item, letter B, number one, letter D related to the forgivable equity investment. And you did a nice job of explaining what this means at RDA. One of the questions that sort of arose was solvency or liquidity of the developers to repay that amount in the event of a default. And I know that you had indicated that we would obviously look at financials that would help shore that up. My question is, is it plausible in this to require a bond in the event that the developer works to default? I don't know if that would be a recommended use in this particular instance. So looking for your feedback.

- [Vonck] Sure, I think based on that in the development agreement and talking with, Attorney Chavez, I think we would probably either A, draft a separate agreement or attachment to be that preferred forgivable equity investment. So that would be added on as an attachment to the document, or as part of the financials that would be required have something in from the bank or the financing institution stating that investment or that cash could be available within a prescribed amount of times. Generally we say within 90 days, so, it'll be addressed one of those two ways in the development agreement itself.

- [Johnson] Okay, thank you. And I was just suggesting the bond is obviously an option and I trust that you'll explore, what other remedies that we might have available. My biggest concern was similar to the those of the committee which is making sure that there is a way to recover that if needed. And then the third question, and you did explain this and cover this for us at RDA. I'd like to do it for the full Council. Specifically, we've made a commitment to keep the funding of the shipyard off of the levee. And for us to successfully do that, and I'm going around the numbers for the sake of discussion, I think we needed to create about 30 to 35 million of development in the next 8 to 10 years. If you happen to know those numbers, if you could share them. And then if we do allow up to 70% of the available TIF to fund this particular project, does that leave us enough buffer to secure additional development to ensure that the shipyard is kept off the levee?

- [Vonck] Sure, give me one second, I just want to pull something up in terms of some of the numbers. So yeah, so that's one of the things that of course, all along has been important for us to discuss, I think Council made it clear in terms of their directives where they would like to have this go and really being the time that this was done two years ago, being a project that was not going to be supported by the lessee that was gonna be totally supported by increment. So I think in terms of what we've done initially, I think, yes, you're correct. We talked about 30 to 35 million worth of new development in the area, really to provide enough increment to provide funding for the shipyard park itself, in order to keep things moving. We adjusted those numbers a little bit to account for an understanding that projects as they came through. My AV request for hiring some additional TIF incentive, and so when we put together the project plan for TIF 22, which Council approved last year, in that project plan, we look at an estimated value of somewhere, we're going to need between about 40 to 45 million dollars worth of development. But we'll need that by 2030 to 2035, to be fully on line, so it's a little bit more than was initially there. But, there's additional years. I mean, we're talking still 10 years out to get that development, but then that does also account for incentives that are in there and any debt service that we may have. And then the other part of just how we manage our TIF's. So with that, we feel that this is a very great start towards getting some of that, with that I think it makes great use in terms of the taxable value per acre, you have on this parcel. It allows room for future development on the parcel itself. I think that's surface

Minutes of the Common Council

parking on the west side of the site, could bring more increment. And this is something again, we haven't even talked about touching development on the lots along Broadway with sheet metal and other parts. So yes, so I think with the revenues this definitely keeps us on schedule, if not ahead of schedule. I think on the other side, we've been very cognizant and I think Director Ellenbecker can affirm in terms of our borrowing for the shipyard itself. Council authorized, I think, about 9 and a half million of borrowing. We've been taking that off in small increments, just to make sure that as we go through we're getting these projects in line to be able to help pay for it. So yes, based on this, this project, and where we're at, I believe we're on track, on all those fronts.

- [Johnson] Okay, thank you, Dr. Vonck. And then I would just maybe, I think that's what I've got for questions. I appreciate your answers on that. I would obviously support the term sheet, the development agreement would be yet to follow. I suspect that that's where more conversation would occur, but obviously, urban development comes with challenges it has difficult lots. You have contaminated sites. Unfortunately the city of Green Bay doesn't have the luxury of urban green fields like some of our neighboring suburbs do and TIF obviously supports bringing these blighted properties to build able condition that can accelerate urban density and higher assessed valuation per acre. So for that reason, I definitely support the term sheet and in, trust that the development agreement when it comes through will reflect obviously everything that we have here and perhaps provide some answers to those couple of questions I asked, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. I think Alder Vander Leest was interested in speaking. Alder Vander Leest? Alder Steuer. Alder Steuer?

- [Steuer] Can you hear me now?

- [Mayor] Yes.

- [Steuer] Yes, okay, thank you. This is, thank you, your Honor. This is for director Vonck, this question. All right, with this development, Director, where will Green Bay be in terms of our equalized value? I realized we're kind of in the five to 6% range, or whereby a municipality can usually go up to 12%. So I was just wondering where we're at right now, as a city? I realized this is only one project, and it might not move it that much. But I just like your answer on that.

- [Vonck] Sure and I will defer to Director Ellenbecker if I'm off, I believe as of the end of last year, when we were putting our tax increment plans together, the city was at about \$6.6 billion of equalized value. Of that about 335 million was in increment, so we were at about 5.35% equalized value again, the state allows you to go up to 12% in the tax increment district. Given that this project is about \$21 million it itself would only move that percentage maybe about three maybe four tenths of a percent, so we're still well within our range of meeting that percent.

- [Steuer] I thank you for that. Like I said, I think, TIF is a good tool for planning purposes and I think the fact that we're well within our range and what this group with merge coming in I have a feeling that they're gonna do a very nice job so I concur with Alder Johnson on his comments. Thank you for that I'm done.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Anyone else like to speak on this item? Hearing no other requests to speak. All in favor of the motion please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Those Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The item has been approved. On to item six.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell. Seconded by Alder Dorff, to approve item six, and the item was pulled by the Alder from the 7th. Alder Scannell, you have the floor.

- [Scannell] Well, I support this. I'm just wondering if we need to go into closed session. I'm not sure. My biggest concern was taking up both items, both parks and this item. They're related, so it seems to me we should be making a decision. One decision effects the other. Both can be handled in closed session. So can we take them both in closed session now?

- [Mayor] It'd be easiest just to move forward with this item. And if it is successful, then that's the path that we would take with the parks item. If it is not then that's the other path.

- [Alderman] Is there anyone that wants to go into closed session?

- [Scannell] I don't need to discuss it. I don't need to go into closed session or anything. I don't need any further information if--

- [Alderman] I don't. I don't either.

- [Dorff] Yeah, no, I have no other questions.

- [Mayor] If there aren't any requests to go into close Alder Scannell, you could proceed or--

- [Scannell] Well, the motion was to approve it, so

- [Mayor] I think we've got a couple of requests to speak here. Alder Brunette

- [Brunette] Okay, so this item, right now is an agenda item report to basically say the city is in favor of working with RDA and parks department to move to purchase the property if that's the way I understand it. So even if we vote yes on this, we can still discuss it later on in the agenda. So vote for this in the affirmative doesn't necessarily mean that we can't vote against the parks agenda item. I think that would be the thing that would originate that would be the action item, in other words. So if that's true if the city attorney could weigh on that I'd be in favor of this motion, but then, obviously, later down in discussion talk about parks.

- [Mayor] Attorney Chavez.

- [Chavez] But if you guys, I'm sorry, could you guys hear me?

- [Brunette] Yes.

- [Mayor] Now we can.

- [Chavez] Oh, could you hear me at all before?

- [Brunette] No.

- [Mayor] No.

- [Chavez] So what I was saying is it really depends on, it's up to you all on how you want to handle it. My understanding from the motion was that or from the request is that you guys wanted to take them up together. If you want to vote on them separately, that's fine. And so it's really up to you all as to how you want to actually handle them as far as voting on them.

- [Mayor] Sounds good, so the understanding is that they will be handled independently. So Alder Brunette. Yes, the two separate votes.

- [Mayor] Alder Vander Leest.

- [Brunette] Request a board vote when it gets to that point. Yeah.

- [Mayor] Very good. Alder Vander Leest. Alder Vander Leest?

- [Scannell] I don't see him anywhere.

- [Dorff] He disappeared.

- [Scannell] Well.

- [Mayor] I believe he's dropped out of civic clerk here. But as we discussed this will be able to touch on this item under park committee. So we're gonna move forward. Motion has been made to approve and seconded.

- [Scannell] Mr. Mayor, so I have my question.

- [Mayor] Alder Scannell. Go ahead. Go ahead.

- [Scannell] Okay, so I just wanted to say if we approve this but then when we get to the parks and say we don't approve that we have to then come back to this item again.

- [Mayor] No.

- [Scannell] But how would the RDA work with the city to acquire that property if we tell parks not to acquire that property?

- [Brunette] Exactly, it has nothing to act on.

- [Scannell] Yeah, so I don't understand how we could approve this and not approve the other item. Also, so maybe we should take them up together or maybe we should do parks first.

- [Mayor] Well, we can discuss one, we could cross that bridge when we get to it. If it fails, then we can entertain this item again.

- [Scannell] To reconsider, okay, all right.

- [Brunette] I think it makes this one a moot point.

- [Scannell] While we still need to reconsider, I would think--

- [Mayor] Alder, Alder you're out of order.

- [Brunette] All right.

- [Mayor] So if we're prepared to approve the item, let's move forward. All right, there's been a motion to approve and seconded. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay.

- [Alder] Nay.

- [Mayor] All right, the ayes have it. The item has been approved. On to INS.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell. Seconded by Alder Dorff, to approve report N, which is the report of the Improvement and Services Committee for the item on March 25, 2020. Any items you wish to handle separately here?

- [Galvin] Number one.

- [Mayor] Number one. Any others? Any others? Hearing none others. All in favor of approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Those nay. The Aye's have it, the report has been approved with the exception of item one.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Steuer] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Steuer. This item was pulled by the Alder from the fourth Alder Galvin. Is that right?

- [Steuer] Yes, thank you your Honor.

- [Mayor] You bet, you have the floor.

- [Galvin] I understand why the two owners of the businesses want to be released from their obligation to shovel the sidewalk. I guess maybe I'd like to make an amendment to this, that they have to apply for that waiver or that variance every year as we develop our Bay beach in the area down there. And as people become more mobile, biking and walking may be necessary in the near future that they do shovel that sidewalk, so people can access the properties down there. I don't want this to get lost in the shuffle and it be something that someone doesn't feel that they have an obligation to clear for pedestrians and bike traffic and such.

- [Mayor] Very good, thanks Alder. The Alder has made an amendment to make this decision made on an annual basis to allow for these residents not to clear their sidewalk. I believe we had a second who was that.

- [Scannell] Me, Scannell

- [Mayor] Alder Scannell. All in favor of the amendment please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The item has been amended.
- [Scannell] Motion approved as amended.
- [Dorff] Second.
- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to approve the item as amended. All in favor please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The item has been approved as amended. On to report O.
- [Scannell] Motion to approve.
- [Stevens] Second.
- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell., seconded by Alder Stevens, to approve report O, which are reports of the protection and Policy Committee from the meetings on March 9, 2020. And March 23, 2020. Any items here to be handled separately?
- [Galvin] Yes, one, two and eight.

- [Mayor] One two and eight, any others? Okay hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The item has been approved with the exception of items one, two, and eight.

- [Dorff] Moved to approve item one.

- [Scannell] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Dorff, seconded by Alder Scannell to approve item one. That item was pulled by Alder Galvin, you have the floor.

- [Galvin] Thank you, your Honor. And I have the same concern for all three of the items that I called. We have an ordinance in place that for outdoor patios that are associated with a liquor license, that they have a barrier, a wall or a fence of a certain height and thickness to prevent the ingress and egress of alcoholic beverages from that patio to the outside, on the sidewalks in the streets. I've noticed a pattern that we've been approving variances to this ordinance on almost every property that requests, in fact, I can't remember one that we haven't. And I think maybe we've forgotten what that ordinance was there for and that is to prevent the ingress and egress of alcohol and other contraband items from those patio areas. And I would like a motion to deny, to have those fences raised up to the level that they're supposed to be at and the thickness, or that I will make a if I don't get that to pass I will make that a communication later on, thank you.

- [Mayor] So the motion has been made.

- [Dorff] Can we--

- [Mayor] Alder from the first.

- [Dorff] I just wondered if we could amend that to send that back to committee and have the committee ask for that or wouldn't that. Is that not just the fence, is that your concerned about?

- [Mayor] We do have a motion on the floor? Do we have a second?

- [Nicholson] Second.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Alder Galvin?

- [Galvin] Thank you, your Honor. Yeah, I would be open to sending it back to committee. I'm just surprised that the law department and the police department continue not to have any concerns about this because at one point, this was a huge issue and obviously that's why the ordinance was created, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you all Alder. Would you Like Chief Smith or Attorney Chavez to weigh in at all?

- [Galvin] So give them time. If this gets sent back to committee, give them time to research it and--

- [Mayor] Very good.

- [Galvin] Just have some thoughts ready for that time.

- [Mayor] And that would be your preference for all three of these items, Alder.

- [Galvin] Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Attorney Chavez, would we be able to send all items back to committee with one one vote?
- [Chavez] If suspend able, yes, but just as a clarification, number one actually pertains to extending to the parking lot for more space. Number two is the one that pertains to the outdoor patio space.
- [Mayor] Good point.
- [Galvin] You're right, I stand corrected. I would remove item one from that.
- [Mayor] Okay, well, let's go ahead and approve. Then if those are your wishes, Alder. Approve item one and then move on to the other two items.
- [Steuer] All right motion to approve.
- [Galvin] Second.
- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Galvin. All in favor of approving that item, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- [Mayor] Opposed nay.
- [Nicholson] Nicholson, nay.
- [Mayor] Noted, Alder. And now we are on to item two. So we have a couple speakers here, Alder Scannell.

- [Scannell] Thank you, your Honor. We did discuss this at committee and the police really, these haven't had an issue for quite some time with this and that's why we've been from committee. We've been okaying it. I think maybe perhaps this has become a situation like the moratoriums. It's nice to have on the books in case we ever started having a problem again. This problem seems to have subsided considerably in the police and law department had really had no issues with it whatsoever for quite some time. So I'm not sure that we could certainly bring it back and start having a conversation with owners about how difficult, what kind of difficulties they have to meet the ordinance. But I'm not sure that it's really an issue anymore like it used to be. This really doesn't sound that way from the input from staff, which is why the committee has been approving these and pushing them on for the council. I guess I would support sending it back just so we can get the business's input on it, but I'm really not sure it's an issue that so we'll see what kind of burden it puts on there, and the business owners, but for quite some time, this has not been an issue.

- [Mayor] Thank you Alder. Alder Steuer.

- [Steuer] Thank you, your Honor. I'm on the committee, as well. And I think especially with the Sardine Can over the years there have been a lot of apartments and also listen to the police on it. And we have some pretty pointed questions of the owner and I was feeling that we covered it pretty well, but I'm more than willing to listen to it again. We've had neighbors of the Sardine Can who complains about noise we've had--

- [Alderman] Point of clarification, Your Honor. Alder Steuer you are talking about the first item. That's been all the issues where they're outdoor events.

- [Steuer] Okay, so we're looking at just the license permits here.

- [Alderman] Right.

- [Mayor] Alder Steuer, you have the floor.

- [Steuer] Well, listen, I'm all in favor of bringing that back and sorry about that, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you Alder.

- [Steuer] I'm done.

Minutes of the Common Council

- [Mayor] Alder Johnson.

- [Johnson] Mayor, thank you, Mayor. I would support against. I'm not sure but I would support punishing an individual request when they've kind of gone through the committee process and it does everything that they've been asked to do. And in this case, I'm only going to speak to item two particular because that's the one that's on the floor. But in this particular instance, timing of this one is kind of important too. Because Howard street is being reconstructed, which is the street that their property is located on. And they're attempting the extent they're basically just extending their patios to be identical to what's already there. And the timing of this is critical because they're trying to time out the construction with when the street reconstruction occurs, so in an effort to minimize the impacts to the business, I do think timing is of the essence. I support this, they've been a great facility. I understand they've had challenges in the past, but they've been very responsive and have listened to everything that law enforcement has asked. And they've responded very favorably in that regard. And so because this isn't something new, it's an extension of something that already exists. I would actually favor approval of this one, just because it's again, complementing or extending what's already there and if problems arise because of it. As Scannell alluded to, we have a way to address that. And so for that reason, I support approving this tonight, and if I'm not mistaken as a point of order Mayor, I don't think that there was a motion on the floor to actually approve this. So I would make that motion.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Alder. Alder Galvin.

- [Galvin] Thank you, your Honor. And I would remind the Alders that and I think Attorney Chavez can weigh in when I'm done speaking, that when we give property owners permission not to follow our ordinance and then if there's problems further down the road, it is infinitely harder for the city to make the property owners to comply with the city ordinance. They'll bring up items such as cost burden to them to have to go through construction, that cost of getting the thing that's in question up to ordinance. And I think the Alders also forget that the same people will not be owning these properties forever. And as we've experienced in the past, these sites can change ownership. We get new owners in there we have new problems and again, they're going to be grandfathered in, because we were short sighted, and we weren't looking 20, 30 years down the road. Now, there may not be any problems right now, but I would suggest that might be because the property owners around there pretty much just gotten used to finding empty beer bottles, beer glasses, cups and the other debris, it should be staying on the property but they find it around their property and after a while of complaining nothing happens so they just don't bother to say anything anymore. And I remember people talking about the amount of liquor containers being left around these properties not a long time ago, but in the very recent past. Again, I am not in favor of granting this right now and I'd like to see this impact the committee, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Nicholson.

- [Nicholson] I concur with Galvin, Alder Galvin was a police officer here, so was I. We have to look down the road and 15 years with a different owner if we didn't put an override ordinance we're gonna have some problems. We have to look down the road. What does our ordinance say? Do we allow it or not?

- [Mayor] Is that a question for law, Alder?

- [Nicholson] It is Eric, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Johnson for a second time.

- [Johnson] Thank you Mayor. I just thought maybe again a point of clarification that this particular request is simply extending the patio that already exists and matching the existing design. And I think that's a relevant point to make, because it's to deny this request, it still puts the property in a position where half the property would be out of compliance with the existing ordinance anyway. So you're stuck with that challenge, if you will, whether you approve this or not, you're gonna have to come back if you want to undo it in the future. So in the spirit of being expeditious, with helping this business meet their construction timelines, and what's going on with that street, I do support this. And I also do support kicking back a communication to talk about feature requests that come forward, but win or lose on this particular item tonight that properties, I mean, if you deny it, then okay, so you make them put up the right size wall and all the barriers that you're requesting, but the three fourths, the other property that already exists it's still not meeting those requirements. So let them be consistent with what's already in place. Thank you, Mayor.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder.

- [Johnson] And Mayor, real quickly just to get a point of order, I did make the motion and I could be mistaken, I didn't hear original motion in the second so if I'm not mistaken we're debating right now in a motion that currently does not have a second.

- [Mayor] Do we have a second for the motion to approve?

- [Members] Second.

- [Mayor] Very good, Alder Galvin for a second time. Alder Nicholson for a second time.
Minutes of the Common Council

- [Nicholson] Can I ask legal, what does our ordinance state? Does it allow it or not?

- [Mayor] Attorney Chavez.

- [Chavez] Okay, so I pulled the ordinance itself. My understanding of it is that the six, there's a six foot requirement for fences around patios and that requirement can be waived, if there are no objections from law or from the police department. As far as the law departments review has gone, there hasn't been a reason to deny it, simply because the fence did not comport with the six foot requirement because the inspecting of the properties just didn't exist when we're looking at it. So yes, there is a requirement for a six foot fence. This would be waiving the requirement of the six foot fence and that is permitted by our ordinance.

- [Nicholson] Thank you, I support sending that back.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder,. Alder Dorff.

- [Dorff] Is there any fence that low? And if so, how high is the fence? How high will the fence be?

- [Mayor] Who's your question for Alder?

- [Dorff] Whoever can answer my question. There's a fence now on that patio, I used to look out at that for a long time when I worked at the Broadway central office. I know there's a fence around it now but is are they saying no fence around the patio at all? Is that what they're asking for? Does anyone know?

- [Chavez] So my understanding is it is a waiver regarding the height. I don't know what the actual height is.

- [Dorff] Okay, thank you. So then my understanding is there still is going to be a fence. There's already a fence. For that particular item I would have to vote in favor of, because there's is already a fence this so it just would be extending that, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you Alder. Seeing no other Alders in the queue to speak, we have a motion to approve by Alder Johnson seconded by Alder Wery. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay.

- [Alderwoman] No.

- [Nicholson] No, no Nicholson no.

- [Mayor] All right, we will use the board here. And that motion is approved eight to four. On to item eight. And the motion here, Alder Galvin.

- [Galvin] Thank you your Honor. The motion again on this one would be to send it back to committee.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Galvin, seconded by Alder Dorff to send item eight back to committee, any discussion? Seeing no discussion, all in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Those Opposed nay. The aye's have it the item has been referred back to Protection and Policy Committee. On to report Protection Policy Committee granting operator licenses.

- [Dorff] Motion approved.

- [Lefebvre] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Dorff, seconded by Alder Lefebvre to approve report O, which is reported the protection and Policy Committee granting operator licenses. Any names for which you'd like to be recorded as abstaining? Any names under this report you wish to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of the report please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Those opposing nay. The aye's have it, the report has been approved. On to the Finance Committee,

- [Dorff] Motion to approve.

- [Lefebvre] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Dorff, seconded by Elder Lefebvre to approve report Q, which is a report of the Finance Committee from the meeting on March 23, 2020. Any items under this report to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Oppose nay.

- [Nicholson] Point of order Mayor, I'm trying to--

- [Mayor] Go ahead Alder.

- [Nicholson] How much debt do we have in the city of Green Bay?
- [Mayor] Alder, what item are you on here?
- [Nicholson] Hang on.
- [Mayor] Would you like to pull item two?
- [Nicholson] I believe yes, sir. Number two, I just got a question that does the city have right now please.
- [Mayor] Okay, I'm sure it will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor approving the remainder of the report please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it, the report has been approved with the exception of item two.
- [Dorff] Motion to approve.
- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder from the first.
- [Lefebvre] Second.
- [Mayor] Alder Dorff, seconded by Alder Lefebvre to approve. Alder from the third pulled the item, you have the floor Alder Nicholson.

- [Nicholson] How much debt does the city have right now, please?

- [Mayor] Director Ellenbecker.

- [Ellenbecker] Yes, I will look it up 2019 year-end. I am pulling up the document right now. At the end of 2019, we have total debt outstanding of \$201,863,000. That is between our long term bonds, our short term notes, our state Trust Fund loans, our outstanding \$4.7 million loan for the HUD fund and then all. That also includes all of our RDA debt, which includes all of the KI convention center debt.

- [Nicholson] Thank you, what's been happening 10 years ago, or 15 or 12 or 11. I mean has been growing? Has been going down?

- [Ellenbecker] I would have to pull another document. If you could hold a moment.

- [Nicholson] Thank you. I mean even five years ago, I mean just--

- [Becker] Yep, I'm just pulling up a document.

- [Nicholson] Thank you.

- [Becker] So I have information. It has been growing. If you go back five years which would have been 2015 it was at 190 million and now we're at 201.

- [Nicholson] Thank you.

- [Becker] Let me know what-- Your largest increase has been sanitary storm they went from 13 million up to 26 million so the really the 10 million growth was all in sanitary storm. KI Convention Center went down slightly. TIF has gone down slightly, just loving has gone up a little bit, but both basically the 10 million increase was all sanitary storm infrastructure projects.

- [Nicholson] Thank you. Done, Mayor.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Seeing no other request to speak. All in favor--

- [Johnson] I have my button pushed Alder Johnson.

- [Mayor] Alder Johnson, go ahead.

- [Johnson] Thank you, I just wanted to provide a quick point of clarification on this. I'm more than comfortable with this being referred to staff for continued work. Essentially what I was looking for here is a determination on the threshold because I've noticed in the last couple of budget cycles a tendency to bond for smaller fences that are capital budgets out of the levee. And so this last one in particular, another 16,000 for windows and a building and it's just really more of a question about what are the minimum thresholds and what really ought to get paid for to the levee versus what we're bonding for? So I just wanted to at least provide that point of clarification. If there's a broader discussion that needs to occur on debt, I would think that that ought to be part of our five year capital plan discussion, but certainly, I do think it is a topic that's ripe for additional exploration both sides, counsel, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Alder. Seeing no other requests to speak. All in favor of the motion to approve, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Oppose nay. The aye's have it. The items been approved. On to report of the park committee.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to approve report R, which is the report of the park committee from the meeting on March 25, 2020. Any items here to be handled separately? No items?
- [Dorff] Four.
- [Mayor] I thought there might be one.
- [Dorff] Four.
- [Mayor] Okay, item four will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor approving the remainder of that report please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- [Mayor] Those opposed nay. Wishes regarding item four.
- [Galvin] Motion to approve.
- [Dorff] Second.
- [Mayor] Motion to approve was made by--
- [Galvin] Alder Galvin.
- [Mayor] Alder Galvin, seconded by Alder Dorff. The item was pulled by Alder Dorff. You have the floor.

- [Dorff] And I would like to yield the floor to someone who would really like to speak on this item. I pulled it because I knew people wanted to speak on it. I'm just in favor of it, so I will yield.

- [Mayor] Okay, appreciate that, Alder Vander Leest. Alder Vander Leest?

- [Alderman] Mayor, if I might suggest if he's calling from a phone, he may need to hit star six to go off mute.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. We'll move on to Alder Steuer for the time being, Alder Steuer.

- [Steuer] I've had some opportunity to talk to a few people about this, and I was looking at the pros and cons of this particular issue. According to, Director Ditscheit, fill in a little bit as well. But it sounds like there's already existing grants and bond funding already in place for this project, and possible DNR dollars coming up the way as well. So I think the reason I'm in favor of this project is the fact the Redevelopment Authority will be allowed to look forward to getting a possible single family home in there, as well as a possible multi family development. This investment will more than pay for itself over time. One thing the city cannot do, we cannot condemn properties for trail developments. We used to be able to do that but not anymore. So we have an option opportunity here to move forward. The city would also have to pay relocation costs for the businesses that would be there up to 30,000 each. That would cause some problem as well. I realized that the appraisal by the owners is a little bit higher, the city was they were at 575. The city was under 245,000--

- [Alderman] Point of order.

- [Alderman] We should talk about that concession.

- [Alderman] It's not appropriate.

- [Alderman] All right, all right. I can hold off.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Vander Leest. Alder Scannell. Alder Scannell?

- [Dorff] You're muted.

- [Scannell] I'm muted?

- [Mayor] Go ahead.

- [Scannell] Hallelujah.

- [Mayor] Alder Scannell, go ahead.

- [Scannell] Can you hear me now? Hello?

- [Mayor] Yes, go ahead.

- [Scannell] Hello, Hello.

- [Mayor] Alder, go ahead.

- [Scannell] Hello. All right, I make a motion to go into closed session.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Read the language.

- [Scannell] The committee may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85, subsection L, subsection E, Wisconsin statutes for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of

Minutes of the Common Council

public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The committee may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85 subsection two, Wisconsin statutes to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

- [Mayor] Thank you Alder. There was a motion to go into closed session, made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. We will use the board.

- [Alderman] Can you put, Celestine, can you put people in the waiting room?

- [Celestine] I'm sorry?

- [Woman] Put them in the waiting room.

- [Celestine] Yes, I can.

- [Alderman] Yeah. Okay.

- [Celestine] You've got to give me a second to do that.

- [Mayor] Alders. All right that motion was approved 10 to two.

- [Nicholson] Mayor, who's the two besides, well one was me but who was the other one, I'm curious.

- [Mayor] Alder Nicholson and Alder Galvin were opposed.

- [Nicholson] Thank you.

- [Mayor] So we are in closed session. All right, I will entertain a motion to head back.
- [Scannell] Motion to approve.
- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder--
- [Dorff] Second.
- [Mayor] Dorff. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- [Mayor] Sorry, just fixing the video here. All right, we are back in open session.
- [Dorff] Is there currently a motion on the floor point order?
- [Mayor] Yeah, sorry. All in favor of the motion, please say-- I think we approved that right?
- [Dorff] No, no, no, I mean, is there was there a motion to approve on a motion approval.
- [Mayor] Yes there was.
- [Dorff] Yes, it was okay, so then I won't make it again, thank you.
- [Mayor] So we do have a motion on the floor to approve. Any further discussion in open session?

- [Wery] I did have my light lit.

- [Mayor] Alder Wery, go ahead. Alder Wery, go ahead.

- [Wery] Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I know we had some interesting discussions there in closed session. But it's important to note that if we acquire this we do have existing to demolish those buildings and clean up the neighborhood, which is a plus for the city and for that neighborhood. We have existing. Go ahead.

- [Mayor] No, Alder Wery has the floor.

- [Wery] We have existing grant money and this is all your knowledge. We have existing grant money to put in the trail. So we have money ready to go. We've talked about this trail, probably as long as I've been on Council, at least, I know Alder was always real big on continuing this. So I think everything's falling into place here. Let's not search for the perfect and give up the great. I think we have a chance here to do something good and we should seize it. I'm in favor, thanks.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Scannell.

- [Scannell] Thank you, your Honor. I'm very much in favor of this. We have been working on this trail a very, very long time. I've been working with many of the Main street businesses and with Jeff Marcus, they are all very concerned about this. They would like us to be moving forward. They're all in favor of this, buying this property now, that the East River Trail is going to be significant to the Old Main district, it's going to be, they look to it as they see it pretty much the same as what the boardwalk did for downtown. This is very important to them. We're getting a lot more, a few more businesses at Old Main now they're opening up, a lot of the businesses I mean, are expanding their businesses remodeling. And a lot of everybody's pushing towards outdoors to create an outdoor cafe type atmosphere. They consider this River Trail very important. I understand the financial concerns, but I can't say that I am all that concerned, considering all the grant money involved and all the other monies involved. And we do have the resources. I think it's time to move forward. I don't think we should be going down now just because the COVID-19. I think that's the wrong message to send to the business community, residents communities that were still involved, we're still going to be fixing up the city, we're still going to be having a positive impact on our city. I think it'd be a mistake, to put this on hold and to come back to it and just end up having to pay more and just putting a stop to the momentum that's going, even with COVID-19. These businesses on Old Main, they're still going forward. I mean, a lot of them are still working with delivery and take out and they're still remodeling. Everybody's still going forward so we still got to keep going forward. So I fully support this, thank you. And I just want to make clear that I have heard on this item from a number of business owners and Jeff Marcus. They are very concerned about if we didn't go forward with this, they would really like to see this happen, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Vander Leest.

- [Vander Leest] Can you hear me now?

- [Mayor] Yes.

- [Vander Leest] Can you hear me?

- [Mayor] Yes, yes we can.

- [Vander Leest] I just wonder, I for some reason was cut out of that close session. And what are the actual figures that the city would be, the actual finance. Can we talk about that? I wasn't in the closed session for whatever reason, I was barred out from it for whatever. What, can we talk about those figures are not?

- [Mayor] No, we wouldn't be able to have that discussion.

- [Vander Leest] Okay, I support the project, what they're doing there. I know that it's a big plus for that quarter. I think they're trying to, where the clinic was, for I think it's American foods, they rerouted their network, we did some trading to make the trail in that area. And I think they know this is good to kind of complete the quarter there, isn't it as far as what they want to do is with the trail, is that correct?

- [Mayor] Director Ditscheit, did you speak to the design a little bit?

- [Ditscheit] Yes, that's correct. So from day one, on this project, we proposed to purchase a number of properties along the stretch and this is one of them.

- [Vander Leest] Okay, I support this project. I think that it's vital that they can hook it up, if people are gonna ride their bikes or whatever, walking. I think it's a no brainer, let's do it. And hopefully we can find the funds. I didn't hear what all went on on closed session, but I do support this project, so thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Lefebvre.

- [Lefebvre] Yes, I support the project. I just hope that they can find a way to connect it over University Avenue and Webster Street, excuse me, my throat. I'm having a little concern with the traffic and I'm sure that they're going to work with that--

- [Alderman] Can we just stay on topic here and that's something to be addressed down the road.

- [Lefebvre] I'm just mentioning those and I do concur that I think this is important with all the speakers, so I would vote yes on this, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Nicholson.

- [Nicholson] Thank you Mayor, I don't think it's a time for this. I think it's too expensive. I'm surprised that Mr. Vander Leest would support this, my colleague. And I concur with Mr. Brunette. I think this is bad timing, it's way too expensive. We're at a crisis. We're bonding for this. We have to fix our roads and we're not addressing that but we're addressing--

- [Vander Leest] It's not the same money.

- [Nicholson] Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder, any further discussion?

- [Nicholson] Mayor, I don't like to be stifled if I got the floor, be courteous for me, please, thank you.

- [Mayor] Got Alder Steuer up next.

- [Steuer] Thank you, your Honor. As I stated in closed session, I was for this and I still am as well, with the grant monies that are out there and some of the other monies that are being brought into the project. I think that this will be a good thing and the goal, especially in the city, there's very little in terms of, if you look at quality of life issues and I think trails and waterfront property are two of the quality of life issues that I wanna see continue. I'm working on the west side as well to connect our trails out there and I think this is just another piece of that. I think the fact that you have that lot to several lots that you will have development on, that will bring assessed value to this city. I support this project, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Brunette.

- [Brunette] Thank you, Mayor. I just want to know that it's a town vote for me because overall I support the trail. I'm comfortable with maybe 25% of the financial impact of this project and maybe like 75% I'm not too comfortable with, so although it's hard for me to vote against, I'll be voting against just because I think the finances aren't lining up the way that I'd like them to line up, thank you.

- [Mayor] Alder Dorff.

- [Dorff] Thank you, Mayor. I feel very comfortable voting for this, particularly after our discussion in closed session. I am in favor of it. It's the moment in time seems to be the right moment to move forward. So I will be voting in favor of this, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. All right, we do have a motion before us. Motion by Alder Galvin, seconded by Alder Dorff to approve this item. All in favor, will signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay.

- [Alderman] I want a roll call.
Minutes of the Common Council

- [Mayor] Okay, a roll call has been requested. We'll use the board. Alder Stevens?
- [Stevens] You should have it.
- [Mayor] We got it. Item has been approved ten to two. Now we are on to Personnel Committee.
- [Scannell] Motion to approve.
- [Stevens] Second.
- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens to approve report S, which is the report of the Personnel Committee from the meeting on March 23, 2020. Any items under this report to handle separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye.
- [Members] Aye.
- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The report has been approved. On to T, Economic Development Authority.
- [Scannell] Motion to approve.
- [Dorff] Second.
- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to approve Report T which is report on authority from the meeting on March 2, 2020. Any items here to be handled separately? Hearing none, all in favor approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The report has been approved, onto sustainability.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to approve report U which is reported to sustainability committee commission from the meeting on March 11, 2020. Any items here to be handled separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The report has been approved. Receive and place on file?

- [Scannell] Motion to receive and place on file.

- [Steuer] Second, Steuer.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Steuer to receive and place on file. All in favor, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The report has been received and placed on file. On to ordinances, first and final reading for adoption.

- [Scannell] Motion to adopt.

- [Alderwoman] We move that agenda after X.

- [Scannell] All right, that's right.

- [Alderwoman] After X, Committee of the whole.

- [Mayor] Oh, yep. My apologies.

- [Scannell] Yeah.

- [Mayor] We are taking up Committee of the Whole here.

- [Dorff] Do we need a motion going to close session on the first item or?

- [Mayor] Attorney Chavez, why don't you comment here? My understanding is we don't actually need closed session here.

- [Chavez] Can you all hear me?

- [Members] Yes.

- [Chavez] Great. So that is correct. We do not need closed session here. Since the block two has already been dismissed and we are filing simply as an amicus in the Western District. There's no pending or threatened litigation. The only reason we will go into closed session is if we are contemplating an appeal, which I would

not be recommending at this time. One because we don't necessarily disagree with the judge's decision that the Western District is a better place for us to have the lawsuit determined and two the timing of it would not line up with the relief we were asking for which is strictly an injunction. So with that, I would not recommend that we go into closed session.

- [Scannell] So just the motion to receive and place on file.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to receive and place on file. Any further discussion?

- [Alderman] Point of order mayor. Just looking for clarification, are we only taking up X-1?

- [Mayor] Correct.

- [Alderman] So we're going to take each of these up individually, then.

- [Mayor] Yep.

- [Alderman] Thank you.

- [Wery] I do have a question. Alder Wery.

- [Mayor] Alder Wery, go ahead.

- [Wery] Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I'm wondering, did we have any outside expenses for this?

- [Mayor] No.

- [Chavez] We did not hire outside counsel, no.

- [Wery] About how many hours were spent on this, in total?

- [Chavez] Oh, I wouldn't have an estimate on that for you, right now.

- [Wery] Five, 10, 20?

- [Chavez] Oh, no, it was it easily 40 hours worth of work.

- [Wery] So we spent 40 hours worth of work on this item. Now the judge ruled we had no standing. Did we not know that? It seemed, I don't know he made it seem like it was pretty basic.

- [Chavez] The judge ruled that we do not have standing to sue the government. Our position was that, there are external extenuating circumstances. And the judge did not dismiss our case outright, which he had every opportunity to do. Instead, he waited until adequate filings had been made in the Western District and then decided that he was not going to consider our arguments on the standing issue.

- [Wery] Okay, I just wanted to know what, gosh if you talk to people, half praise the city for doin' it and half ridicule as any decision we make, but it's important to note, the Council had no role in this, correct, I mean, it was for emergency powers to you, Mr. Mayor. So this was all your decision. I think a lot of people think the Council was involved, but we were not.

- [Mayor] Yeah, no. Yeah, no, that's a fair point to make. We were able to take action and felt compelled to take action because of the state of emergency that we are under and the public health threat that an in-person election represents to our community. We consulted with Council, made you aware of our actions and intentions and the reasoning behind them. But, but yes, Council did not approve the action.

- [Wery] Okay, and I don't want to be whether it was right or wrong, I just wanted that noted. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Alder.

- [Chavez] And before we move off this item, there is one thing I do want to as part of the report that I was going to give on this item was when we were going to address the amendment of the ordinance that you guys moved to after Committee of the Whole. So that amendment is my position from a legal standpoint is that in the event of vacancies due to expiration of terms, the city, the incumbent will hold that position until the position is filled. The clean thing to do is to adopt an ordinance stating exactly that, so that there is no question no disputes, just that come up. So that is what the ordinance and then that you were looking at, provides. We don't really know what's going to happen in the district, the western district cases at this point. They did have a hearing today, and the court has declined to rule. And he took everybody's positions under advisement. And his has taken under advisement has not ruled on it yet. And so we just don't know what's going to happen, come tomorrow or even Tuesday. And so, with the potential that the election could still get postponed, the recommendation would be to adopt the ordinance tonight just to avoid any issues moving forward.

- [Mayor] Thanks Attorney Chavez. Alder Nicholson.

- [Nicholson] Thanks Mayor. I've got a question for legal. Why is this the western part of Wisconsin a better, you stated a better place? Why is that?

- [Chavez] The judge determined that those, there was no question standing in those instances, so he felt like that was a better avenue for that to be determined. That was exclusively it.

- [Nicholson] And his name is Conley?

- [Chavez] The judge in that current case is Conley, yes.

- [Nicholson] Okay, do you know what political party he refers to or--

- [Scannell] Out of order. That doesn't matter.
- [Mayor] Alder Nicholson, he's a federal judge. They don't have party identification.
- [Nicholson] They do before they become federal judges. I was just curious. That's all I'm just asking.
- [Scannell] Well, it's got nothing to do--
- [Mayor] Alder Scannell.
- [Scannell] Nothing to do with our business.
- [Mayor] Alder Scannell.
- [Mayor] Alder Scannell.
- [Scannell] I just called a point of order.
- [Mayor] Alder Scannell.
- [Scannell] Yes.
- [Mayor] You do not have the floor.
- [Scannell] I can call a point of order at any time.

- [Mayor] It's not well taken.

- [Alderman] Oh you just say point of order all ready? Let's face it.

- [Nicholson] Thank you, Mayor.

- [Mayor] Alder Scannell, you have the floor now.

- [Scannell] Attorney Chavez, so this lawsuit is going on. So the 40 hours you have spent on this has not been wasted.

- [Nicholson] Point of order, Mayor. That's not a point of order. He should know rules of--

- [Mayor] Alder Scannell has the floor Alder Nicholson.

- [Scannell] So my question to Attorney Chavez is still on the floor.

- [Mayor] It is.

- [Chavez] No, none of the the time that we've spent has been wasted. We filed amicus in the western district. And a lot of what we have prepared in the suit that we filed in the eastern district is the way you do that, so it allowed us to do that one in a much more expedient fashion because we'd already done all the research and gathered all the information and then all that information gathering for it.

- [Scannell] Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thank you, Alder. Alder Wery.

- [Wery] Apologies, I just turned it off.

- [Mayor] Thank you, any further discussion?

- [Scannell] Alder Vander Leest would like to speak.

- [Mayor] Go ahead.

- [Vander Leest] From what the governor spoken, he pretty much wants the election to go forward. I think there's been a lot of dollars spent as far as what's taking place. And there are a lot of absentee ballots that are being being filed. And I think his response to that he'd like to see the election go forward. And I support the election going forward as is. And I don't think a lawsuit is really necessary to try to stop it. I think we have to take the leadership role the Governor's taken and do the best we can, and people that don't get their absentee's in time, they will have to get out to the polls if they can and I want to mention that over at the bus terminal there at 902, I think is a very safe operation. They have glass up and they don't let a lot of people in there if you want to vote. I voted there today. So I support the election going forward personally and I think a lot of people do as well.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder, any further discussion? So as was discussed, I think the appropriate motion is to receive and place on file. That motion was made by Alder Scannell, is there a second?

- [Galvin] Second.

- [Mayor] That was Galvin?

- [Galvin] Yes, Galvin.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Scannell, seconded by Alder Galvin. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. On to item two, consideration with possible action to amend personnel policy chapter 23, family medical leave to include the eligible leave benefits under the family first coronavirus response act and emergency first responders.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made to approve by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. Director Faulds?

- [Faulds] Yeah, I can go over the policy, generally. So there was no federal legislation that was passed and that goes into effect today and there's two provisions. One is called the emergency paid sick leave act and the other is the emergency family medical leave expansion act. So there are six things that need to occur to qualify for this leave. The first one has yet to be subject to federal, state or local quarantine or isolation related to COVID-19. You have to be advised by a healthcare provider to self quarantine due to COVID-19. You have to be experiencing symptoms of COVID-19 or seeking medical diagnosis. Also, if you are caring for an individual who is quarantined due to COVID-19, in addition, if you are caring for a son or daughter whose school or childcare is closed due to COVID-19. And then the last one is if you're experiencing any substantially similar conditions specified by the Secretary of Health and Human Service in consultation with the Secretary of Treasury and Secretary of Labor. No one really knows what that means at this point, but I'm sure we'll figure it out when it comes, if it ever happens. So for those six leaves, you are eligible up to 80 hours of leave time and if you are off because you are self quarantined, you are eligible to receive full pay up to \$511 per day capped at \$5,110 over two weeks. For the other reasons, you'd be paid at two thirds of your regular rate for two weeks, which is \$200 per day and capped at 2,000 for two weeks. Then the emergency family medical leave expansion act allows for 10 additional weeks for toddlers care for your son or daughter. And this again is paid at two thirds of your regular rate up to \$200 per day and 12,000 in aggregate of 12 weeks. So the federal legislation allows for the city and any employer to exclude police and firefighters which are considered emergency first responders. So we didn't feel like that was the best course of action. So what we did was recreated a benefit for police and firefighters that they could have this type of sick leave. So what the benefit for those police and fire union members is, that we're gonna allow up to two weeks of paid sick leave for employees that may be experiencing symptoms of COVID-19 or were advised by a health care provider to self quarantined due to COVID-19. And then also we're going to allow for four weeks of childcare for firefighters or police officers. And this is at the discretion of the fire and police chief based on circumstances and a request and also to ensure adequate staffing to serve the public. So that's the policy. Generally, if anyone has any questions, I can answer them.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Director. Any questions for Director Faulds? So we do have a motion before us in a second.
- [Brunette] Sorry, Mayor, I know I didn't turn my light on quick enough.
- [Mayor] Yeah, go ahead, Alder Brunette.
- [Brunette] Yeah, thank you, Mayor. Director Faulds, what are other municipalities doing? Maybe those of our size or those local.
- [Faulds] So there was just a survey that went out asking if, excuse me, and I guess I'll back up. So for the leave for our general employees outside of emergency first responders, we have to abide by that because it's federal law. So we have to do that. So if you're asking what we're doing for emergency first responders and what other municipalities are doing, I don't have the survey data yet. There's been surveys that have been sent out but information hasn't been collected. I'm not aware of too many municipalities that have given childcare leave for police officers and firefighters but for the most part I think they have allowed paid sick leave for people experiencing COVID-19 symptoms, that's what I've heard so far.
- [Brunette] Either for Director Faulds or Diana Ellenbecker, have we looked at, it's sensitive because obviously, people are impacted as am I by this. Have we started looking at the financial impact of a prolonged corona issue like this. Emergency first responders and benefits and sick time whatnot, are we kind of having the just conversations that if this continues for another month, two months, three months, what are we going to do at that point?
- [Faulds] I can start with that. So I guess my first response to that is that the legislative act just got passed, I mean, last week, and it's in effect today. So the leave is up to two weeks if you're experiencing COVID-19 symptoms, and then the leave is up to 12 weeks, paid at the total amount can't exceed 12,000. And then when we look at the benefit that was given to police and fire, that is that only runs until June 15. And then this council can amend it or continue it at that time. But I guess I haven't ran the numbers with the finance department or Director Ellenbecker to see what the impact would be if this continues or what this impact would be for the 12 weeks for our employees.
- [Mayor] Alder Brunette?
- [Brunette] I'm fine I was distracted by someone's call.

- [Alderman] Another city council meeting right now.

- [Mayor] We'll mute everybody here. Alder Brunette.

- [Brunette] Yeah, okay so thank you Director Faulds, and everyone in here the staffing information is coming in federal on the phones and so I was concerned about our workforce and families and health is a priority but I'm just thinking I could add to the financial implication.

- [Faulds] Yeah, and I would like to just put in there, that this is mandated by the federal government that we provide this leave so it's, we should look at the impact but I don't have the numbers at this point.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Nicholson.

- [Nicholson] Thanks Mayor. Question for Joe. So, any city employees tested positive for the coronavirus, you know of?

- [Faulds] Well, I know there's HIPAA procedures and there's certain information we can release during a pandemic, and I know Chief Litton sent that information to me. I guess at this point I'm just going air on the side of not releasing whether or not an employee has been diagnosed with COVID-19 or any symptoms displaying COVID-19. And, Chief Litton if you want to correct me if I should let me know but at this point, I'm not going to release it.

- [Nicholson] Thanks, Joe appreciate it. Thank you Mayor.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alder Johnson.

- [Johnson] Thank you, Mayor. Director Faulds, thank you for that overview. Just a couple questions. One is specifically related to staff getting paid when they self quarantine. And I understand that's following the federal guidelines, it's just more of a clarification point. Who determines when an individual must self quarantine?

- [Faulds] Yeah, so the what the regulations state is healthcare provider would make that determination.

- [Johnson] Okay, Thank you. I just wanted to make sure. I guess that there was a protocol or a process in place or something like that sounds like it's already addressed in the legislation. The second thing is understanding that it sounds like the childcare kind of goes above and beyond what's required by federal legislation. If there are costs to, bridged to the next point, if there are costs that the city incurs. My understanding, and please do correct me if I'm wrong, is if the federal government will reimburse us for those things that they mandate. If childcare is not mandated, is that eligible for reimbursement?

- [Faulds] So to answer that question, the federal government, as it is right now, will reimburse private employers that qualify for this leave for paying this type of paid sick leave or childcare leave. Now, government agencies are not going to be reimbursed. I'm not sure if that's going to change or not. Now, when we talk about the childcare leave for everyone outside of emergency first responders, that is required. That is not above and beyond what the federal laws asking for when we ask, when we allow for police and fire. They have childcare after four weeks. That is above and beyond what the federal law has asked.

- [Johnson] Okay. I don't think anybody would argue that we shouldn't provide that particularly with added hours and stress thats related to that. I'm just thinking forward again about the financial impact and where that money is going to come from and whether or not federal government reimbursement is an option and hasn't been figured out yet. So we'll just kind of sit in a holding pattern, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Alder. Alder Dorff.

- [Dorff] Thank you, Mayor. I just wanted to share earlier what I had on the call today, because it relates directly to this. There was consideration under the Cares Act on reimbursing local and counties. And a city had to be 500,000, or the threshold for local governments was 500,000 people. Now after listening, was that is under reconsideration for smaller cities and smaller cities may be able to get funds. I don't know if anyone else knows this or knows about this but it was just said at the end of this call that I was on today, but there's quite a bit of money that can be distributed. They will not need to develop detailed implementation plans and it should be used to offset the costs of COVID-19. It will not be examined on the front end but it will be examined on the back end so that cities and counties are not trying to get money for other things. So that it looks like there's some hope at least for us to be getting some more money after I listened to that. Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder, any further discussion? All right, we do have a motion on the floor for approval. Motion was made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The item is approved. We're on to item three, informational report and update by Chief David Litton regarding emergency operations and COVID-19 response, Chief.

- [Litton] Good evening, everyone. Can you hear me?

- [Mayor] We can.

- [Litton] Yeah, very good. So good evening Mayor and members of the council, I'm just going to give you a very brief update. I'll just let you know, before I get started that I did send my comments to all the Alders via email, so it should be in your district email. There are several links in there. When I get to them, you'll be able to share it with your constituents regarding some things that might be important to them. So I'll just remind everybody again that the Brown County Health Department is the lead agency in handling this pandemic. So Brown County Health Department, they are the lead and all of our city resources are basically supporting their effort. There are several things going on in the Emergency Operations Center. Communications, firefighting wing, emergency management mass care, resource support which basically is logistics, the public safety and security sector, long term recovery and external affairs are all emergency support functions that have been activated there. They're working daily from eight to five at the emergency operations center and then on the weekends at night, we're doing what's called virtual monitoring of the activities out there and we can come together very quickly via Zoom and or going directly to the emergency operations center should circumstances require it. The county has established a central resource location where all the personal protective equipment is being received, inventoried and distributed. We have received two shipments from the Strategic National Stockpile. So that's good news that we could go we couldn't say that. We have been getting those resources. They are coming in, in several different ways. It is a little bit disjointed coming from the state. Unfortunately, they have some things, in fact, there'll be a distribution tomorrow for the city and county clerk's for the voting materials, that's going to one place and then the other stockpile is going to another location, which is kind of counterintuitive. But, it's a system that we're working with. And we are trying to get that kind of more streamlined at the state level but that's a work in progress. I will tell you that we have been successful in acquiring more personal protective equipment for all of our personnel. And we're definitely in a much better position than we were in a week ago. So while we don't have as much as we need, should this thing get really bad, I'm certainly feeling a lot better about where we're at going forward. Point four is a pretty important point. The state has established a network of childcare facilities throughout the state for those employees that are deemed as being essential. So obviously, police, fire, public works, some of the frontline folks that have been deemed essential. But there are many members of our community working at various occupations throughout the city that would fall into this category. And so when you open your email, I provided a link to the state website, which will give the citizens directions on how they can locate those childcare facilities. As of this morning, there were 17,000 child care positions that were open. So for those that are seeking child care so that they can continue to work, that's definitely a website that you might want to bring up for them. And again, I can we can put that out on our website tomorrow. But one quarantine isolation site's been established in the county and the health department is working on setting up several more. I think the second site is coming online tonight, as a matter of fact. I'll remind everybody that the surge models that are being used are showing that the greatest impact of this is going to happen in about a week and a half to two weeks. Excuse me, it's impossible to predict exactly when but that's what the models are saying at this

Minutes of the Common Council

point. The state has provided guidance to the clerk's office on the upcoming election on April seven. We're working with the clerk's office. We'll be picking up their supplies so the state has supplied. And we don't know how much yet but some hand sanitizer and spray disinfectant to the following places. We'll be working with the county tomorrow. We've offered from the fire department standpoint, they're gonna pick up that stockpile, we'll get it back to the county then we'll make the distribution right to our city right from there, as well as the Village of Allouez since we serve them on the fire side. Of note, they are not providing any personal protective equipment for the polling workers. And so we are working with Chris Deskey on that. Hopefully, we're going to be able to pull from our, excuse me, our stockpile and our supplies within the city so that they can have some proper equipment for that. So we'll be working on that tomorrow and Friday. And hopefully we'll have that resolved before the election on Tuesday. Testing for the virus is still very, very limited. The state has established a four tier system for people that might have be having symptoms. The first responders are in tier two. And we've had success. We have had to have a couple of people tested. We do not have the results back for that but I will share those with you when we do get it back. But just understand that if we do need to get any of our personnel tested, we are able to kind of move them to the front of the line. We still have to fire department personnel reported two weeks ago that we had 14 people that were quarantine. We are now down to two. I think both of those are going to be released by the end of the week. Their symptoms have resolved themselves but we have to wait by the CDC guidelines 72 hours after their last symptoms. And so we're working towards that. Of note, our ambulances have transported 45 patients in the last two weeks that fit all three of the criteria for having the symptoms of coronavirus and I make that point to tell you that at the time we're having that our paramedics are having that contact with the patients, they don't know. And we don't know sometimes for a week, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 days before we actually get test results back through the system. So as we get those results, we are certainly, we're having our personnel, both police and fire. They're kind of just doing a self check to make sure that if they come up with any of the symptoms, that they report them immediately and then we immediately put them into quarantine. Just because if we have a major breakout and any of our fire stations are in the police ranks, you could wipe out half of our departments and in no time with quarantine and isolation. So, that's the important part of all of this is making sure that we have the emergency personnel to be able to respond to all the calls. We are continued to the point that was being made about expenses. We are continuing to track our expenses related to purchase of equipment and supplies, as well as a personnel time and dealing with this pandemic. The federal government will reimburse up to 75% of these costs. So we know most of what they're allowing, as far as reimbursement at this point. And that's subject to change. I think there's going to be a fourth bill coming forward. I think you've all been seeing the news on that. And so some of that may be changing as well. We're making our input heard at the state level through the county EOC. So hopefully, that'll be coming forward. Again, on the email I sent to you there's several links there that, kind of, will give you a little bit of direction on what is and what's not eligible. And if you have any specific questions, shoot me an email, and I'll try, we'll try to get you the answer on that stuff as it comes along. Last thing I wanted to mention was that the Department of Public Works, Department of Community Development and police department are working on a plan for the distribution of sandbags. I want to thank those three departments. They really stepped up that we required from the county, so we have about 10,000 sandbags that are located in one of the city facilities. And what's going to happen with that is, shortly there'll be a mailing going out to those folks that are in the flood way, which are the people that are most impacted on the East River, followed by the floodplain. And then if there are any bags left after that, then it would go out to other areas of the city that are less prone to be flooded. But we know that the East River we know the area that's going to flood. We have a map, we have the addresses, and so that thing will be going forward to them. There'll be a mailing that's being sent out from community development on that we'll follow that up with a code read message through the county so that we can get those details worked out. We do not have all of the specifics in place at this point. So I can't answer specific questions, but we hope to have that worked out by the first part of next week. I will just say that overall believe that the county city and other municipalities are working well together. To share resources and ensure that the citizens of the region receive the finest services possible. I will also say that our county is, in my estimation, way ahead of many, or most, of the rest of them in the state. We started in the county. We started pushing probably 17 or 18 days ago to get moving, we get in the EOC open. And I will tell you, there are many counties in the state that still do not have

their emergency operation center open. So they are going to be behind the eight ball when we get into the middle of all this. So with that, I'll take any questions if you have any.

- [Mayor] Thanks so much, Chief, again for all of your work on this. And thanks to all the first responders and public safety officers in the city of Green Bay. We're very lucky to be served by an incredible group of men and women that are willing to put their lives on the line for the greater good of the community. So please extend that to all of your employees. Alder Galvin.

- [Galvin] Thank you, your Honor. I have a few questions Chief and first off, sandbags. I did have a discussion with Steve Grenier this week but I do have a con-- already ordered sandbags.

- [Litton] I'm sorry, Alder Gavin. You broke up a little bit at the end. I did not hear your full question.

- [Mayor] We will move along to Alder Brunette, and then come back to Alder Galvin.

- [Brunette] Thank you, Mayor and thank you, Chief and also Chief Smith. I know you both are very involved with this and I appreciate it. But Chief Litton, you mentioned that other counties are not quite at the place that our county is. Is there any discussion about how because we're so far ahead that we would lend our resources and staff to other counties if the outbreak there is worse than here? I mean, is there any of those discussions?

- [Litton] I would tell you that there have been no discussions on that there are contingency plans to move personnel within our county, both on the police side of things, and on the fire side of things. The police, I know are having a daily count of officers that are available in the entire county so that they have a contingency in case they have a major breakout in any specific department that they'll be able to provide service still throughout the county. So I know that maybe Chief Smith will probably talk to that a little bit more, and we're doing the same exact thing on the fireside. But as far as sending healthcare workers or any other people out to other areas of the state at this point, as I said, I think that the major brunt of this is coming. I will just tell you that the deaths in the state went up by 50% overnight, so not that they're a great deal amount right now. But we went from 16 yesterday to 24 today, 50% increase. So if that starts, if that keeps going exponentially, it's going to get worse before it gets better.

- [Mayor] Thanks Chief. Thanks Alder. Alder Galvin.

- [Galvin] Thank you, your Honor. And Chief Litton, I apologize. Maybe my phone was telling me this meeting is going on too long or something I don't know or maybe didn't like my question but sandbags. I have four

Minutes of the Common Council

constituents that took a delivery of sandbags from DPW. They were under the impression that the sandbags were going to be free. They were given a bill. I did talk to Steve Grenier. He told me to just hang on, that there was something coming down the pipe from the EOC. And I guess I'm wondering if these 10,000 sandbags you're talking about that are available, are those going to be free? Or are the constituents going to be responsible for paying for them?

- [Litton] I think the mayor might have made it, give us some direction on that yesterday. Mayor, do you want to answer the question or did you want me to?

- [Mayor] Yeah, I mean, I think the thought would be for us to use contingency funds for that purpose, but would want counsel to weigh into that decision.

- [Galvin] Okay, thank you, I appreciate that. And this is for either Chief Litton or Chief Smith. I've been seeing a lot of stuff on social media about non essential businesses in Green Bay, that are open for business. And I was told today that the police actually did take action on one business, on the far east side, in East Town mall. Some people are becoming very frustrated, they're trying to be good about keeping the social distance and they see the establishments, they're open and becomes very frustrating for them when they see that these businesses and individuals are putting everyone else at risk in our community. I'm just wondering, how stringent are we being in seeking out these businesses and individuals and making them close their doors, that they're not deemed essential?

- [Smith] Alder Galvin, this is a problem that is huge across the state of Wisconsin. I probably get 50 emails, no exaggeration a day from Chiefs' across the country or across the state, looking for guidance on this. We've been relying heavily on leaning on the city Attorney's office. There's some that are clearly out there and in violation. Others like Kohl's have made special arrangements with the state so that they can do drive up, fill your trunk up with things that you ordered, online ordering. So we're going to have to take it on a case by case basis. It's really frustrating for our guys, because the guidelines are not that clear when it comes to, say a head shop that also sells, I don't know, potato chips and some other stuff here and the guy wants to put up an argument that he should be allowed to maintain his business and we're getting information that says no, head shops don't really qualify. So, very frustrating for us. Working on a case by case basis and leaning heavily on the city Attorney's office for some interpretation.

- [Galvin] All right, thank you. And I will echo the words of the Mayor and his thanks to all our city employees. And all they're doing for us in this community to keep us safe. Thank you.

- [Smith] Thanks, sir.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Thanks Chief. Any additional questions? Alder Dorff.

- [Dorff] Thank you. I just would like to say once again, thank you to both Chiefs. And I will ask again, what do you need from us? Is there more that we can do to help you feel supported and to help you get the people that are working, the heroes that are working with you, feel supported.

- [Litton] Chief, you wanna go first? Go ahead.

- [Smith] Sure, from my perspective, passing the ordinance or the resolution that you guys just passed I think goes a long way. I think the officers do feel like they're being supported. We're getting a lot of really good support from the community, certainly from the elected leaders here in our city have been doing a great job. I think we're doing good. There's some things like I'm trying to get some more masks some of the more filtration masks. We're working on that they're a little bit pricey. We may end up having to come at you and ask for some money for some of those things of that nature, which I won't be shy to do. But thank you.

- [Dorff] Thank you.

- [Litton] Yeah, I would just echo that, what Chief Smith said. I think that passing the resolution that you pass tonight goes a long way towards sending a message to all the first responders out there. I want to just also say that every single department in the city has been working really hard, working really good together. It's not about just police and fire. I mean everybody from HR to the legal office, to DPW, I mean everybody. Parks, they're all helping us in any way and anywhere that they can. So it has been a really great team effort and much appreciated.

- [Dorff] Thanks to all, thank you so much. That's all I have.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Okay, we don't need any action on this item. So we're going to move ahead to item four. And thanks again Chief, for that report. Item four discussion with possible action on the resolution to amend liquor license renewal fees for 2020-2021.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Stevens] Second.

Minutes of the Common Council

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell seconded by Alder Stevens, I believe. And this was something that I touched on at the front of the meeting under the Mayor's report. What we're attempting to do here, implementing here, is a reduction to the statutory minimum for class B, liquor license holders, for beer and wine and spirits, distilled spirits. So kind of touched on the rationale behind that, but would invite any discussion from Alders on this. Our law department is on the line as well if we have specific questions, Alder Johnson.

- [Johnson] Thank you, Mayor. These are the exact same licenses that we reenacted for raising the fees.

- [Mayor] Yes, so these are not all of our license holders in the city and again, would invite the law department to weigh in here. But these were the license holders that we identified as those businesses who were directly impacted by the health orders that have been passed down from the state government that have forced them to close or radically alter their business model.

- [Johnson] Okay, so we basically went through the whole list of license holders and we just kind of more of a manual process, I guess, I mean to kind of pick those that were most significantly impacted.

- [Mayor] So it's the class B holders, which is basically bars and restaurants, my understanding but law department, please weigh in if we need clarification.

- [Bungert] Good evening, Alders, this is Joanne Bungert from the law department. So yes, because the emergency orders specifically impact restaurants and bars and have reduced them down to very limited operations, we felt that they would be most significantly burdened financially with their limited operations and felt that reducing the fees for them would be the most beneficial. So it's not specific businesses but all establishments that hold a Class B, which essentially are restaurants and bars that have on premise consumption.

- [Johnson] Okay, do we have a quantity, I mean a number of businesses that this would affect?

- [Bungert] I do not, off hand, have the number of class B licenses.

- [Johnson] Okay, and so I assume if we don't have the number, does that mean that we also don't? I mean, do you know what the fiscal impact of this is?

Minutes of the Common Council

- [Bungert] The fiscal impact, no, I'm not sure. I know, we were in discussions with the finance department as to what budgetary impacts that would have and so I'm not sure if Diana would have those numbers at this point or at this time.

- [Ellenbecker] Yes, this is Diana, Director EllenBecker. We did run some scenarios on how many bars or restaurants that this did effect. Last year, we use 2019 actuals as the basis. We had about 270 operations that gets licenses. Our 2020 budget is 120,000. We do believe that this could be a reduction of about 100,000 or anywhere between 90 to \$100,000 in revenue. If all of them went down to those statutory minimums, but that is noted in the resolution.

- [Mayor] Just a point of clarification Director EllenBecker, wasn't that original analysis done if we were to take the statutory, implement statutory minimums for all licensed establishments?

- [Ellenbecker] Correct, that's Class A and Class B.

- [Mayor] Right. So here, we're just addressing Class B license holders. So we don't have that number in front of us, but obviously, would be less than if you go to the statutory minimums for all license holders.

- [Johnson] Is this subject to reimbursement from either the federal or the state government? The loss of this revenue?

- [Mayor] Yeah, so that's an open question, something that we were talking about with Chief Litton and Director EllenBecker, whether or not loss of revenue is potentially eligible for reimbursement. We don't have a hard answer on that yet.

- [Johnson] Okay, is there a time sensitivity to pass this? And the reason I ask is because, obviously, without these numbers, I mean, I feel like I'm making an uninformed decision. So just curious, I know that the renewals are going out soon, but just looking for some feedback from your perspective.

- [Mayor] Yeah, so that is the time sensitivity. So April 15th, is when renewals need to be sent in by.

- [Johnson] Okay, so 100,000 roughly was the number that was tossed out with both license categories, but we don't know what the amount is for just the one category.

- [Mayor] Yep, correct. That's my understanding correct.

- [Johnson] Okay, and where, I guess where do we anticipate getting the funding? Just general funding for that?

- [Mayor] Yeah, so this could be potentially a contingency fund expense and certainly very valid questions. But for us to move forward, requiring full fee payment on businesses that are either shuttered or again, radically altering their business model seems to be pretty tone deaf. So I would just encourage folks to think about the impact of a relatively small dollar amount, right? We're talking about a few hundred dollars. But when businesses are shuttered, they're unable to meet payroll for the city to come in and assess a sizable fee on them seems to be a little bit out of step with the times.

- [Johnson] Okay, and then I mean, as a council, should we anticipate that there will be other fee waivers that are going to come before us?

- [Mayor] Nothing that we've identified to this point, but I wouldn't rule any of that out.

- [Johnson] And again, I understand that there is more clarification likely coming from the federal government. I mean, I'm just trying to wrap my brain around, wrap my arms around the fiscal impact to the city and how we finance these types of things. I mean, any indication on your end, I mean, other than going to the general fund, which my understanding is already, not in a great place. Any other indication of where we might be able to go to recover some of this? Or would you perhaps propose cuts somewhere else in our budget?

- [Mayor] Not for this specific expense, or reduction in revenue rather.

- [Johnson] Okay thank you.

- [Mayor] Alder Nicholson.

- [Nicholson] Thanks, Mayor. So with this issue, we're basically sustaining the original fees? Is that what this is all about?

- [Mayor] No, this takes the the fee down to the statutory minimum, under what the state of Wisconsin requires of municipalities for class B, liquor license holders.

- [Nicholson] What is that dollar amount then, for a license and was it \$400 then or?

- [Mayor] It was going to be 400, now it's 50 for one and we're able to take it to zero for the other.

- [Nicholson] Okay, you answered my question. Thanks, Mayor.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alder Dorff.

- [Dorff] Thank you, I think that private small businesses, individuals, cities, every one of us is going to be impacted by the crisis that we're in. Every one of us is going to have to figure out how to pay the bills. And it seemed that last time we talked about this, there was a lot of support for these small businesses and there was a lot of discussion about not raising it. In the end we had decided to raise it. It was a split vote if I remember correctly, but I'm certainly, certainly in favor of helping these small businesses, these restaurants, these bars to just save them whatever little bit of money we can and to figure it out. We're city, we can figure this out. So I'm all in support of doing this when we get to that resolution which will be coming up, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Alder Dorff. Alder Scannell. Alder Johnson.

- [Johnson] Thank you, Mayor. Just one other question. I want to be clear too. My line of questioning isn't intended to call into question whether or not this is a good decision. It's really just to gather the appropriate information that I feel is somewhat lacking right now. And so I'm just trying to explore option. And one other question, I guess I would have is, is there really understand the timing of sending out renewals, but is there a reason that we have to make this decision today to forego the fee versus doing a 90 day deferral? Would that be an option? And by deferral meaning that will delay the sending of renewals by 90 days, just so that we have some additional time to explore the fiscal impact and how we're going to address that?

- [Mayor] I believe there's some statutory requirements about the timeline, but Attorney Bungert?
Minutes of the Common Council

- [Bungert] Yes, so under the statutes, there would be difficulty in delaying for 90 days and sending out the renewal, simply because under the statutes, if a license holder files their renewal application by April 15th, we then have a statutory obligation to make a decision on that renewal before the expiration of the current term. So then that would put a bit of pressure on the committee and council to get those applications turned around in time, to get background checks done, to get inspections done, to get all the other processes fulfilled in order to renew that application. Because again, if the license holder does file their application by April 15th, they are guaranteed a decision before June 30th.

- [Johnson] Understood, Thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Scannell.

- [Scannell] Yes, thank you, your Honor. I'm in full support of this measure. I don't think, we're an emergency situation. People are hurting. They're looking for assistance from their government, every local, I mean every part of their government, local and county, state, fed. I think we can do our part and help out as much as we can. These local businesses, I think are going to appreciate. I think we're sending a positive message to the businesses, to our community, we're there for them. I think we will figure this out later on, what we're going to do with our budget, our budget isn't the priority right now. It's helping people out. This is an emergency and we need to act now. So I fully support this, thank you your Honor.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Alder. Alder Brunette.

- [Brunette] Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, Mayor. Make sure I'm off mute, thank you. It's a hard decision because my heart obviously says, don't charge, charge the minimum because of the restaurants are struggling. and the workers are struggling. And what I get Alder Johnson getting at is, what I've been kind of getting at is that long term three months, six months a year from now what is our, what sorts of cuts are we going to make in order to make our budget work? What will happen? And I'm just like anyone else in the city. For folks who are sent to make their half of their property tax payment in July and perhaps are laid off or reduced hours or perhaps some of those folks paying their property taxes are also car owners. How are we going to allow deferment of payments for property taxes, and of course, the tax levies how we fund a lot of our city operations. So we need to start having these discussions and I agree with you completely Mayor that the decision not to do this would be tone deaf given the situation that we're in, but I also do think we need to think of the financial implications of things that we could perhaps cut from our city budget and everything is tight. We know that. Our city's in a financial position that we're trying to improve. But inevitably, if you reduce revenue to your city of \$100,000, there has to be an offsetting cut somewhere. And those are the sorts of decisions we're going to have to make so it's a tough vote. It really is, because my heart says yes, reduce it, but my head says it does have a financial impact that we need discussing, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Alder. Alder Steuer. Alder Steuer?

- [Steuer] I think in the short term, I feel that this is good. I'm looking , we'll have those discussions and in the upcoming months, but I feel that this will help the small business owners and I'm in favor, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Alder. Alder Galvin.

- [Galvin] Thank you, your Honor. The discussion about this and I think everyone's making good points. I think we have to remind ourselves that during World War Two and other catastrophes that have hit this country in the past, and sometimes while you still have to keep your eye on your dollars, you have to set aside some of those decisions and do what's good for everybody, for the greater good. And I was one of the ones leading the charge to raise those fees. But in these times, I think we also have to take the long bold look. And we have to ask ourselves, if these businesses go out of business and long enough that they can't get back in business will that have more harm to us than if we have some budget difficulties for the next year or so? Because we were able to help some of these businesses recover, stay open, or recover sooner and get back on their feet. And so I'm looking forward to more discussions on this in the future, but I do support reducing the fees for these businesses at this time, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Alder. Alder Lefebvre.

- [Lefebvre] I concur with Alder Galvin. I believe that we don't know what the future is going to be. This is all new for all of us. And I think we're trying to do the best that we can. And I do believe we do need to help wherever we can our businesses to stay in business, however we can do it. And I think what we have to realize we have to do this step and what comes up next, we're just going to have to deal with it as it comes. And I do agree, yes, this is going to be a hit to our finances, but I think that we can work together and we can come up with what we need. And so I just think that we should go ahead and pass this, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks, Alder. Any further discussion?

- [Vander Leest] Alder Vander Leest would like to speak.

- [Mayor] Go ahead.

- [Vander Leest] Mayor, my thoughts are that we reduce it from the highest figure. I'd make a motion that we in other words, we had it at \$400 I'd make a motion that we keep it at 200 that we move it to \$200 and keep it in effect, if we look at the long term, as far as two years, we're not going to want to be looking to raise our property taxes come next, our next budget term. So we're gonna have to look at some of those things, as well. I don't think people are gonna want a tax increase and I feel that, if we're cutting this fee from \$400 to \$200, I don't think that's too bad of a fee. We'd be cutting it in half from what we originally proposed. I think that'd be a pretty fair proposal, that would be my motion, to cut it from 400 to \$200. And I think that there's going to be some help from the federal government for some of the businesses that they'll be able to keep things going and the city agreed things are going well. And I think we're going to have to look at how we're going to handle our property taxes on the next budget, and we're not going to want to increase them because things aren't that good. So I say if we cut this in half, we'd be doing a pretty fair gesture, thank you. That would be my motion, to cut it to \$200 instead of four.

- [Mayor] Okay, so Alder has proposed an amendment here that would put the fee for these licenses at \$200. Is there a second?

- [Brunette] I would second that.

- [Mayor] Is that Alder brunette?

- [Brunette] Yes, thank you.

- [Mayor] Okay, I still believe we should stick with the original motion. As I said, really charging, we are required to charge a statutory minimum of \$50 on one of the categories. But my preference would be to charge people nothing during this time, having assessing a liquor license fee on an establishment that isn't able to sell liquor seems a little counterintuitive. But we do have an amendment, which has a second.

- [Vander Leest] We'll use the board Mayor?

- [Mayor] Yes, we can use the board.

- [Scannell] Your Honor, I made it. I put my light on to speak.

- [Mayor] It's not lit currently.
- [Scannell] Oh it's not on. Your Honor, I--
- [Mayor] And we do have a roll call on going here.
- [Alderman] So this is on Vander Leest's motion?
- [Mayor] Yes, this is on the amendment.
- [Lefebvre] Oh, sorry I voted wrong.
- [Mayor] Okay, how would you like to vote Alder Lefebvre?
- [Lefebvre] I would like to vote, if this is Alder Vander Leests's motion.
- [Mayor] Correct.
- [Lefebvre] I would like to vote no.
- [Mayor] Okay, noted.
- [Mayor] Alder brunette.
- [Brunette] I voted yes. Vote yes.

- [Mayor] Okay, so that amendment fails eight to four.

- [Vander Leest] Thank you, Mayor.

- [Mayor] Thank you. Alright, so we're back to the original resolution here.

- [Corpus-Dax] This is Alder Corpus-Dax, I have my light to speak.

- [Mayor] Go ahead.

- [Corpus-Dax] Just a quick question here. Aren't we saving money? Since we're not allowing any travel at the moment? So could some of those travel dollars from various departments be moved over to help cover the fees?

- [Mayor] That's a good question. Director Ellenbecker, any insight there?

- [Ellenbecker] Yes, that is correct. There is a very good chance that there is some savings on travel. If it's the will of the council that we could certainly move those dollars over. I think there's a good chance that other departments may be looking to maybe redirect those dollars to some other expense within their division, but there probably is a few dollars savings. Again, let's start with our travel budgets are very limited to start with.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Alder Steuer.

- [Steuer] I'm out.

- [Mayor] Okay, thanks Alder. So we do have a motion and a second on the original item here. All in favor will signify, actually given the nature of the debate and the split vote on the amendment, we're gonna use the board on this too. Alder Brunette?

- [Brunette] Oh, yes. I voted. It's just not working apparently.

- [Mayor] Sure, no problem.

- [Brunette] Yes.

- [Mayor] Okay, it is unanimous, 12 zero. Now on to item five discussion with possible action on resolution providing for employee benefits in accordance with state and federal law.

- [Scannell] Motion to approve.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to approve. Director Faulds, comments here?

- [Faulds] Yeah, so this is a resolution to amend the proclamation declared state of emergency. What it's asking, is to implement the personnel policy that we've just approved, and then also to allow for the city to continue to pay for employees who might have reduced work through April 17th. At this point, I've not heard a lot from department heads that there is not enough work through April 17th. So at that point, that's a good thing at this point. So we're going to assess and see if there are, if there is a need for layoffs. I hope there isn't, but this will give us enough time to look at options to either go with layoffs or not go with them. Also to look at options for our employees to look for unemployment, and then also figure out how they can say it on our insurance if they are laid off.

- [Mayor] Thanks, director. Any questions? All right, seeing no speakers. All in favor will signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it and the item has been approved. Now we are back to ordinances first reading, first and final reading for adoption.

- [Alderman] Point of order mayor. Unless I missed it, did we skip item six?

- [Dorff] Yeah, we did. We skipped item six.

- [Mayor] No, I don't have an item six under committee of the whole.

- [Alderman] X.

- [Alderwoman] Yeah X. There's only five. Yep.

- [Mayor] I think you might be on resolutions.

- [Dorff] Oh!

- [Alderman] I'm sorry I skipped item five.

- [Dorff] Me too.

- [Mayor] Yeah, that's all right.

- [Alderman] My bad, thank you.

- [Mayor] Yep, no problem.

- [Scannell] Motion to adopt.

- [Lefebvre] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Lefebvre to adopt general ordinance number O8-20, and ordinance to amend section 103 GBMC, relating to elected officials. Now this is something that Attorney Chavez touched on previously. Anything to add Attorney Chavez?

- [Chavez] Yes, Mayor, just one item . This is for the first and final reading. It does require a two thirds vote.

- [Mayor] Very good.

- [Chavez] Did y'all hear that?

- [Members] Yes.

- [Mayor] Yes, thank you. Alder Johnson.

- [Johnson] Thank you, Mayor. Question for Attorney Chavez. I just want to be clear what we're looking at the changes basically the bold that's been added into this item.

- [Chavez] That is correct.

- [Johnson] Okay. And from your legal perspective, this would be like a permanent ordinance change. Do you feel that that's the the best course of action, or do you think it's worthwhile for us to consider a sunset clause on this?

- [Chavez] No, this was the recommendation would be, to have this in perpetuity because this way should there ever be a replication or should something happen? I mean, it's conceivable that something could happen to a candidate during an election period. And so if they're incapacitated and aren't able to actually take the position, then you leave it, it would still have the opportunity to allow somebody to serve, at least until that time when they're able to take it. So now, I would recommend that this be permanent.

- [Johnson] Okay, so there's no real downside of making this permanent from your perspective.

- [Chavez] No, in fact, this is language that a number of municipalities already have on their books. We're just bringing ours up to date, essentially.

- [Johnson] Perfect, thank you.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder, further questions? All right, we do have a motion and a second. And given the fact that we need a two thirds vote here, we're going to use the board. And that ordinance is adopted on the first reading and final reading 11 to one. Now we're on to resolutions

- [Scannell] Motion to suspend the rules. Can we take them all at once?

- [Chavez] Yes you may, under suspension of the rules adopt resolutions one through seven together with one roll call vote.

- [Steuer] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Steuer. All in favor of the motion please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Those Opposed nay.

- [Mayor] Entertain a motion to adopt.
- [Nicholson] Negative, Nicholson no.
- [Scannell] Motion to adopt the resolutions.
- [Dorff] Second.
- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. Dorff to adopt resolutions one through seven, noting Alder Nicholson's opposition, please.
- [Nicholson] Mayor I made a mistake. The final vote I want to be recorded. Sorry about that, made a mistake.
- [Mayor] That's alright, we're going to use the board anyway.
- [Nicholson] Number five I want to be recorded, no. Thank you.
- [Mayor] Very good, thanks Alder. So we will use the board here.
- [Alderman] Mayor, question while he recognizes number five then.
- [Mayor] Yep.
- [Alderman] Thank you.

- [Mayor] And those passed 12-0, but noting the opposition From Alder Nicholson on item five. On to referrals, petitions and communications, we have Alder Dorff.

- [Dorff] Thank you, Mayor. To improvement in services, due to the great concern regarding the possibility slash probability of extensive flooding to the homes in rows on the south shore of the Bay of Green Bay. Due to the current height of the bay, I'm requesting a full report on the progress that has been made on obtaining funding to fix the dike on the south shore of the Bay of Green Bay. I would also like a timeline on when work on the dike will begin and how we can finance this work. Even if we're not able to obtain state and federal grants. This is to improvement and services. Thank you and I will send this to the deputy clerk. Okay.

- [Mayor] Thanks Alder. Other petitions and communications? Excuse me.

- [Johnson] Mayor, I have one. Alder Johnson.

- [Mayor] Yeah, go ahead. Go ahead Alder.

- [Johnson] Thank you, this one is to the finance committee to provide detail regarding the fiscal impact of the resolution to reduce liquor licensing fees and provide recommendations on cost reductions equal to the loss of those revenues.

- [Mayor] All right, any others? I'll entertain a motion referral petitions and communications.

- [Scannell] Motion to refer.

- [Vander Leest] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by --

- [Vander Leest] Vander Leest.

- [Mayor] Alder Vander Leest to refer all eight petitions and communications to the proper authority. All in favor of that motion, please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Opposed nay. The aye's have it. Those petitions and communications have been referred. Motion to adjourn.

- [Scannell] Motion to adjourn.

- [Dorff] Second.

- [Mayor] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to adjourn. All in favor please signify by saying aye.

- [Members] Aye.

- [Mayor] Aye's have it we are adjourned.