



MINUTES OF THE SEX OFFENDER RESIDENCY BOARD

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 18, 2020, 4:00 PM
Virtual meeting. Public may join via Zoom.

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. This item contains documents which provide call in information and instructions for the Zoom meeting.

B. ROLL CALL.

Present: Dean Gerondale, Heidi Michel, Fay Boerschinger, Excused: Melissa Cheslock, Renee Keehan

C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

I. Approval of the agenda for the November 18, 2020 meeting of the Sex Offender Residency Board.

Moved by Board Member Heidi Michel, seconded by Fay Boerschinger to approve agenda with item #1 moved to the December 16, 2020 meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Dean Gerondale, Heidi Michel, Fay Boerschinger, No- None, Abstain- None

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes from the October 21, 2020 meeting of the Sex Offender Residency Board.

Moved by Board Member Heidi Michel, seconded by Fay Boerschinger to approve. Motion carried.

Yes- Dean Gerondale, Heidi Michel, Fay Boerschinger, No- None, Abstain- None

E. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Appeal of Trey A. Boerschinger requesting to move to 2769 Daniel Court (Ald. Corpus-Dax)

2. Appeal of Stephen A. Wawrzyn requesting to move to 1513 Ellis Street (Ald. Stevens)

Moved by Board Member Dean Gerondale, seconded by Fay Boerschinger to go into closed session.
Motion carried.

Yes- Dean Gerondale, Heidi Michel, Fay Boerschinger, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Board Member Dean Gerondale, seconded by Fay Boerschinger to return to regular session. Motion carried.

Yes- Dean Gerondale, Heidi Michel, Fay Boerschinger, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Board Member Heidi Michel, seconded by Fay Boerschinger to approve address specific.
Motion carried.

Yes- Heidi Michel, Fay Boerschinger, No- Dean Gerondale, Abstain- None

F. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

G. INFORMATIONAL.

1. The next meeting date is December 16, 2020 at 4:00 P.M.

H. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Board Member Heidi Michel, seconded by Fay Boerschinger to adjourn. Motion carried.

Yes- Dean Gerondale, Heidi Michel, Fay Boerschinger, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

Meeting of Green Bay Sexual Offenders Residency Board for November 18th. And so I'm first gonna start with approval of the agenda. So can I have motion? Actually I take it back, the agenda does have a change in it. The first person that was on the agenda has been postponed until our December meeting and we only have one person on the agenda. So that is the agenda that I'm looking to get approved today.

- I make a motion to approve the agenda with the first person being moved over to next month.

- And I will second.

- All in favor, aye.

- Aye.

- Next on the agenda is approval of our meeting minutes from the previous meeting. Is there any comment anybody would like to make before I ask for a motion? All right, is there a motion to approve the minutes from our October meeting?

- I'll approve those minutes.

- I will second it.

- Great, all in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Okay, so let's get started here. Hang on a second let me just... So our first appeal is gonna be for Stephen Wawrzyn to move to 1513 Ella Street. Is Mr. Wawrzyn here?

- [Wawrzyn] Yes I am.

- Okay, Mr. Wawrzyn, before we begin, I just want to call through a roll call with each board member, and then I'm gonna ask them to confirm this. First one is I do not have an interest or conflict of interest in this particular matter. Can I have a roll call from each one of you? I do not Dean Gerondale.

- I do not Heidi Michel.

- I do not Fay Boerschinger

- Second question. I have not received any communication from any person or party about this matter prior to this meeting.

- I do not Dean Gerondale.

- I do not Heidi Michel.

- I do not Fay Boerschinger.

- Correct in terms of the staff here, is there any other further details that we have regarding this application other than what was included in our brackets?

- [Rachel] That's everything I have there is--
- I can show the complainant.
- Yeah.
- Okay are there any witnesses for this particular applicants that you have been asked to present today?
- I don't know have that's my cue you said I was just calling in Stephen's daughter. And so I wanted to just call in and speak kind of forum. I don't know if this is the time or...
- It's that time ma'am can we just tell me your name and address for the record and then we'll include you in an opportunity to speak to this person they have?
- Yes, my name is Dr. Rachel Loesch and my address is 23908 Bothell Everett Highway in Washington.
- All right, thank you. Okay, just put in the applicant will have an opportunity to present his or her evidence as explained by the board. And the reason why you wish to in the City of Green Bay considering our restrictions applicant's may discuss the treatment history in open session or request discuss treatment in closed session. Sir what do you prefer. If we need to do this in private or in public session,
- [Wawrzyn] I don't have any objection to doing this in public, I'm fine with that.
- All right. Again we only have one person in regards to speaking for him. We'll have up to three minutes to talk. So that we will have the ability to ask you any questions that the board might want to ask. Committee will then encode the hearing and entered into a deliberation in regards to the candidate. And then after that we will make motions to either approve or disapprove. And then after that we will tell you the reason that we have chosen to do this in broad terms, in regards to the factual information that was presented to us. So with that said let me just grab your application again here certainly in a quick moment.
- [Wawrzyn] Alright.
- All right, so the first thing that we just want to confirm is that you're looking to move to 465, excuse me 1513 Ella street, is that correct?
- [Wawrzyn] Yes, that is correct. I've put an offer in on that house to purchase it. And the offer was accepted by the current tenant, the owner.
- Okay and currently you're living at 465 Laverne Green Bay.
- [Wawrzyn] That is also correct.
- And how long have you lived there sir?
- [Wawrzyn] After the time spent in the Halfway House I've lived there since, so roughly one month. It's a hotels in Ashwaubenon
- Okay all right, thank you.
- [Wawrzyn] You are--

- All right, so I first wanna start off with talking about your offense. So what I'd like to do is go over that. Please make sure you do not identify the victim either by proper name or by surname saying my niece or my cousin or anything like that. So can you tell us what happened how it occurred and how it actually came about that you were convicted of this crime?

- [Wawrzyn] All right. Well, my crime is possession of child pornography. I guess how it came about I was divorced in 1990 and it was very alienated with women and sought out pornography sexual release. I was given custody of both of my children. They were the ages of two and five at that time. And I did raise them both their entire life as my daughter will attest to later in the call. But I really became very disenchanted with seeking out another partner and did much easier at the time to use pornography and which led then to child pornography, which, I mean, clearly it's a horrible choice that I made but that in a nutshell is it, I mean I can get into more details I suppose but basically that's really what happened is I sought out pornography and became addicted to it. And unfortunately it went down the wrong path to a young child pornography as well. And that led to my conviction.

- Sir, you indicated in your filing here that you're seeing Jim Drake is that correct?

- [Wawrzyn] Yeah, sir I've seen them Mr. Drake, six times, seventh times I've met with him this morning, so I've seen him seven times.

- Okay and is it in a private session with him or in a group session?

- [Wawrzyn] No, these are private sessions.

- Okay, can you tell us a little bit about what you're talking with him about and what you're learned

- [Wawrzyn] Certainly, yeah. He's really helped me tremendously to understand somewhat my motivations a little bit better. I mean I had very very poor conception of what I was doing. I think I fell into the category of well, I'm not hurting anybody, so what and I've really come to realize in speaking with Mr. Drake, that's so far from the truth that it's laughable. I mean, I not only hurt those children and young girls underwent tremendous physical and mental anguish from that I was literally viewing crime scenes. I mean he's given me that insight to think that I was taking pleasure from something that was that horrific. And that those people that were being abused on a regular basis will really just by gaining that insight from Jim, I really haven't had a much different view of the crime and realize the nature of it and how horrible it really is. It's not a victimless crime by any means. I mean, in addition to the actual victims of the pornography my children were victimized. They were forced to see that father in a horrible light. And it's something that I certainly never wanted to have happened and was foolish enough to believe it never would. But, I really have come to understand much better the error of my ways and the horrible choices that I made. And again Jim has been very, very helpful in pointing out things and making me see things from a much different perspective and a much more clearer perspective.

- Is there a reason why you didn't seek any treatment while you were incarcerated, sir.

- [Wawrzyn] Yes, it was I actually didn't seek the treatment initially. I wrote a letter to the psychological services unit saying how soon can I start this? And they wrote a letter back to me or a note back to me saying that this treatment that we offer here has been deemed inappropriate for your crime. Therefore, you won't be offered. That was SOT-2 was the name of the program. And so I said, well okay. I mean, there was nothing I could do about that. Well, after I'd been in prison for roughly 18 to 24 months, somewhere in that timeframe I was called to the psychological services unit and they said, well, there is a pilot program for child pornography now, and it's in New Lisbon. Would you like to move there? And I'm not, I did not acclimate real well to prison. It's not something that I really fit in very well with. And it took me pretty much the entire time. I was there to feel even a semblance of comfort and the thought of going to another prison and having to restart that whole process over again, just did not seem doable to me quite frankly. And so I declined at that point. I

didn't realize that by doing so, they were annotating my records saying that I was refusing the treatment. That was not my intent in any way. I would have been happy to undergo the treatment there at Jackson. I just didn't wanna have to go through move into another prison and going through all that the same acclimation process that I had gone through at Jackson. So that was the reason that I did not move to another prison basically. And that was the only prison that was offered in the program at that time. But my intent was certainly once I got out, I was going to seek treatment and I did immediately.

- And so how long are you expected to stay with Jim Drake at this point?

- [Wawrzyn] We haven't really discussed an end point. So I guess I couldn't really say but I mean, I certainly don't see the end as an insight by any means. I still feel that the sessions that we have are very beneficial to me in developing the control that I need to control any kind of urges that I may feel, and to have a better insight and understanding of what really is going on when I'm thinking of these kinds of things. Additionally we gonna be working on relapse prevention programs or plans. So if I do feel that maybe this would be a good idea to do this. I've got a finite way of addressing those kinds of issues and to moving forward with my life. My intent is to become a productive member of society and looking at pornography of any kind much less child pornography is certainly not a way to do that. That's just simply not the kind of person I wanna be any longer

- Sir are you currently employed or are you retired?

- [Wawrzyn] No, I am retired.

- Okay.

- I'll turn 70 years old next June.

- Okay, all right is any other questions anybody else has for him?

- I do. You indicated that you got divorced in 1990 and you didn't really know how you don't have any women relationships or whatever's the terms of pornography but you were not in addicted of this case until 2016, '17. So were you doing that for an extended period of time? And then how did you get caught?

- I was looking at pornography for extended period of time adult pornography but I did not start looking at child pornography until I think it was the mid early to mid 2002, 2005. Some somewhere in there I really couldn't put a date on the date that I first looked at it. And then the second part of your question about how I got caught well I guess they track my traffic I mean, I don't know that the discovery information that I received but simply said that the child sex law allows them to get a warrant for someone's IP address. And they got that and they saw that I was downloading child pornography and that's how they caught me. That's my understanding of it. I mean, I obviously I'm not a law enforcement officer.

- So you were doing this for basically, almost like 15 years before you got caught.

- [Wawrzyn] I would say probably around 10 but yes, the extended period of time. I guess I don't know where they are to color this in a good light. There is no good light it's a horrible thing, but it's not that I was doing it 24 hours a day by any means. I had a very full life. I participated in all my children's athletic events. As I say, I was a single parent. I went to all of their parent teacher conferences. I was gainfully employed in the telecommunications industry. So I worked a steady job. I retired after 35 years. So it, wasn't an all encompassing thing that took up my entire day by any means. It was I wish I could gain an even better understanding of what really prompted me to move in that direction. Because again, looking back now it's clearly the worst decision I've ever made in my life, but unfortunately hindsight is 2020. And at the time I didn't see it as being a serious offense, but it clearly is, it's extremely serious.

- And so you say you weren't doing it 24/7. How often would you say you viewed it?

- [Wawrzyn] I probably five to six days of a seven day week, once or twice a day perhaps as I stated earlier, it was for sexual gratification. So once I achieved that state, that was the end of it. It wasn't a fascination with it. It was my obsession and my compulsion was more in the collecting of it than it was in the viewing of it. I mean that's not a believable statement for most people. Most people think I'm lying basically by saying that, but that is the case I have a slight case of obsessive compulsive disorder where I can't wanna collect things and have a lot of things such as CDs or DVDs. And I happen to play that guitar. And I have three rather expensive guitars. I mean, there's no reason to have three of them, but I have three of them. So yes, I did look at it on a nearly daily basis but again, certainly not, it didn't encompass my day and it was what drove me from day to day. It was more of a, I think Jim has helped me see that it was more of a boredom type thing where I just I got nothing better to do. I might as well look on the internet at something that, that was kind of my thought process or lack of thought process actually that drove me to engage in that kind of behavior.

- Okay, Commander Ebel.

- [Wawrzyn] Did I sufficiently answer your question?

- Sure, yeah, that's good. Commander Ebel is this story pretty accurate

- [Paul] Yeah, pretty accurate to groomer complaint.

- Okay.

- I would like to know when were you released from prison?

- [Wawrzyn] On August 25th of this year?-

- Of this year.

- Yes.

- And then when were you able to start treatment?

- [Wawrzyn] I think my first meeting with Jim was in mid-September. I don't have anything where I wrote down the dates of my meetings, but as I say, I believe this morning was either my sixth or my seventh meeting with him. So it would be, and it's been once a week with one exception while I was waiting for the VA to approve the treatment. There was a week that was skipped. So if this was my seventh treatment then it would go back eight weeks. If there was a sixth session with him that would go back to seven weeks from today. So as I say my recollection was my parole agent referred me to Jim. She said Jim's a good therapist to go see him. And so I paid the money for the assessment and then had three sessions with him where he assessed. And then after that we've had three or four sessions where we've kind of gotten into the meat of the of the issue and started to develop some plans and some real ways to gain insight into this and get ahold on it and make certain that it certainly never happened again.

- So sir, why should we make an exceptional allow you to live in the city of Green Bay?

- [Wawrzyn] I guess I'm not sure what exception you mean by that I have to live somewhere clearly and I mean I lived in Nashua but I'm for 35 years, I've been a life, not lifelong. I was moved here in 1980 when I got my job with Wisconsin Telephone. And again I've been a productive member of that community for those 35 years was I performing illegal activities in my home? Yes, I was. But I don't believe I would be any kind of a

threat to anyone my intent in life here again is to become a productive member of society. And I feel it by buying a house and getting established in that neighborhood. And I'm not a real outgoing guy. I'm not going to be out walking the streets or anything. I pretty much keep to myself and play my guitar. I've really developed a much greater passion for the guitar with the time I've had in prison to spend by myself basically. And since then as well. And I think most of my energies really have gone into playing the guitar and reading books and watching movies perhaps on TV. But I guess the bottom line to your question sir, is that I don't feel I would be any kind of a threat. And I really feel that to reintegrate into society. I need to be, obviously I have a place to live. So I don't feel like I've answered your question, I'm sorry.

- Look at all into other communities like the fear Ashwaubenon for all?

- [Wawrzyn] I have, yes. my price range, I was kind of I pre-qualified by credit with a Rocket Mortgage or Quicken Loans, whatever they're called. And it was right around 130,000 as the threshold. And I explained my situation entirely. The realtor explained it to the owner of the whole house that I can put it I'm I wanna put an offer into this. And he had said, well, I can accept. And I said, there's a caveat here though. I said, I've got to go in front of this board and they have to approve it said, there's, I can't, there's no way around it. If because it's the city of Green Bay. He understood I've been nothing but honest with anyone I've dealt with, Quicken Loans and with my realtor. And he looked in other communities there were a few in Palasky, but this one just really caught my eye. It just was a very nice two bedroom, older style house. And it just I don't know, it was by far the best property I looked at, we looked at about nine houses in total.

- Okay--

- [Wawrzyn] Does that answer your question? I'm sorry.

- yeah it did, yep.

- [Wawrzyn] Okay.

- I have a question, So do you have any restrictions on your supervision such as you can't have internet or a computer?

- [Wawrzyn] Yes.

- Okay.

- [Wawrzyn] Yes those are definite restrictions. I cannot have a smartphone. I'm talking to you on a flip phone and that's fine with me. I don't, again, I don't feel well I know I'm not the same person I was I don't think anybody is but it's not something that I feel I'm lacking. I think that the caveat is that I can't have internet access without some kind of monitoring software on it and or the approval of my parole agent. So it would be on her to say, yes you can have this, but we're gonna put this on here so we can be in your computer anytime and see what you're doing. And that would be fine with me for the time being when I needed to check email and things of that nature. I go to a friend's house. And again, my privilege it's aware of this and that's where I check my email.

- And have your probation agent approved your home?

- [Wawrzyn] Yes she has.

- Okay, I have no further questions.

- All right, we can go ahead and hear from the witness. If there's no other questions.

- Okay, I just wanted to call in, I guess, and just be another person to speak for the character of my dad. Like he said, he raised us as a single parent, my brother and I, since I was three and he was always a great dad he did all of the things that a dad should do. Help with spelling bees and soccer practice and whatever. And so despite the terrible crime I do think that he is a good man. And I think the biggest help from me and from, my mom and from friends and things like that is just for him to be able to take the fact that he's been rehabilitated he's working on being rehabilitated and actually be able to transition back into society. And again, being maybe a useful person in society and get back to having a life after paying the price that he did for the crimes. But he really is I mean he's a very intelligent, very kind, very generous person. And I think that this is just that next step in being able to move forward and hopefully keep keep being rehabilitated and keep making positive changes.

- Right, thank you. Is there any questions that are being asked for her?

- I do yes. How much contact did you... You said you lived in Washington correct?

- I do now, yes.

- Yes, so how much contact did you have with your father while he was in prison?

- I flew back to Wisconsin, I think, three times to go visit him. And we talked on the phone every week and they actually implemented email as well at some point. And so I was able to email back and forth multiple times every week just kind of touching base and keeping in contact about everything. And he's always been one of my best friends honestly. And so when I have problems or things like that, being able to talk to him was really important. And so we definitely stayed in touch throughout everything so that he could show help me with problems and I could listen to him and we could, honestly, we probably grew even closer because I don't think we talked that much before that. So it was I guess, silver lining that we do talk all the time now a lot more even since all of this.

- And what about your brother or did... How has your brother in this whole situation?

- He lives in California and they also talked every week on the phone. He wasn't so good at emailing but they had a phone call every week just to catch up and talk and all of that. And so kind of similar I would say maybe just a little bit less often than me, but my dad, I've always been very close.

- Okay, I have no further questions.

- All right, if there's nothing else then sir, do you have any else to say for yourself or else we're gonna move to making motion?

- [Wawrzyn] No I believe I have a hopefully addressed all your questions and any concerns that you may have. I just, again, wanna make certain that you understand that my goal here is just to re-establish myself and to become a productive person and a contributing person and a good neighbor to the people that I'm gonna be living next to.

- All right Rachel, a question here do we need to go into private session to have a discussion or members under the new rules?

- It's up to the board, whether they want to deliberate in public session or closed session. So that's your choice.

- I'd like to move to make a motion that go into private session please.
- I second it.
- All in favor of moving them private session. Say aye.
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Okay, give me a moment so I can pause the video and stop and move everybody. Okay, everybody's back in the room. You are back in public session--
- All right we are now back in public session, we are gonna ask for a motion on Mr. Wawrzyn's application. So does anybody have a motion?
- I'll make a motion to approve Mr. Wawrzyn sorry. While we're then to move 1531 that's the current address
- Address specific.
- Address specific.
- Is there a second?
- I will second.
- All right, I will take a roll call vote. Dean Gerondale
- No.
- Heidi Michel
- Yes.
- Fay Boerschinger
- Yes.
- Motion passes two to three, two to one.
- All right, sir, you have been approved to move to a 1513 Ellis Street address specific. If you do move someplace else within the city maybe you would have to come back to this particular board. Would you like that sent to your current address or is there a different address you'd like to send to?
- [Wawrzyn] I'm not sure the hotel will take mail for me. So I, my mailing address is my ex-wife's house and Shauna, so it can go there. She drops it off for me with her son's business in Shauna and I pick it up on a weekly basis. So whatever's, I mean, I--
- The water coming here.

- [Wawrzyn] I don't think you haven't necessarily but again the post office that I mean any email that's I guess the smartest thing to do is just to give it to you so that you have it correctly correct?

- Yeah.

- [Wawrzyn] The address, yeah it's my name of course. And the address is 416 Division Street City, Juneau Wisconsin obviously. And the zip code is 54166.

- All right, thank you very much, you can--

- [Wawrzyn] All right I'd like to thank all of you as well for giving me the opportunity to speak to you and to convey my side of the issues here and to assure you that you are making a good decision and you will not be disappointed I promise you that.

- Chair would you, for the record, would you state the reasons why the board voted to approve?

- The board approved based upon our conversations in private session, approve this motion based upon their feeling of remorse in regards to the offense the fact that you have started a treatment and they felt that there was a lack or potential lack of not re-offending again. So they felt that you would not fall back into the activities you did before that resulted in your conviction.

- Thank you.

- [Wawrzyn] Thank you.

- All right, there is no other items on the agenda. I would like to put into the record that prior to our next meeting, that we have to have a formal meeting with a lot of law department discussing new procedures. So we asked that that be scheduled as soon as possible. So we can get on everybody's calendar and in a prompt manner. Our next meeting is December the 16th at 4:00 PM. And if there's nothing else then I will ask for a motion adjournment.

- I have an, are we just can't right now?

- We're still on the record until we address.

- if there was a willingness and If there's some little parts we did go through this procedure. And so this will be recorded. It's our way we can go into closed session for a short time.

- So anything we talk about afterwards is gonna be informal if we close. So if you have some general questions about--

- Procedure--

- The procedures

- When she felt a little uncomfortable as if it was good to talk and to hear from each other in a closed session, but then what felt uncomfortable is we didn't know at that very moment how it was going to go in this and we didn't. So it almost seems that we should just start to decide among ourselves. Then we have to figure out why, because we are at three different people. Do you understand that

- One of the big concerns I have in this Rachel to be honest with you is I've been on this board almost since its conception. And one of the big things that was originally told to me is that stating the reasons why we deny somebody or approve somebody actually puts us more at risk as a city. And now I'm being told that I have to state the reason why I think that puts the city at a far more greater risk than whether you deny somebody or you approve somebody. And that's one of my big questions.

- Well one of the issues that's been coming up especially with some of these people who have been coming back two, three, I think one person even four times is knowing what the basis was for the board decision. And if they present new information or changed information, then they can come before the board if circumstances have changed, but if nothing has changed then the board's decision stands. So if we don't have those findings of fact and conclusions of law, it's up to decipher sometimes what basis the decision was made on. And if the city were to be sued, there would be no record as far as whether the decision was arbitrary and capricious, or whether it was based on the criteria of rehabilitation, remorse, and likely have to re-invent as the ordinance requires.

- I'm just giving you what I was told 10 years ago. So I just, that those are the questions I think I have. And then it's going to definitely these new rules are definitely participate on a whole different set of how we manage these meetings. I mean because once we get past this COVID thing and we're back in where we actually meet the most attack on an hour to every meeting because I'll guarantee that no, one's gonna want to talk in public just in general, because that to me puts us more at liability for the city, which means if we get an agenda, like we have 10 people guys get ready for a three or four hour meeting

- I don't really think it's anything different than kind of what we were doing before. Because a lot of times, Dean, you would ask does anyone want to discuss their audience about it? I think that's the same thing that we're doing right now But we're answering these questions that we're supposed to be answering remorse, rehabilitation or reassess

- Maybe doing it and doing it in public? I don't have a problem answering the questions but I think doing it in public puts us at risk because all of also a week it's a three to two decision or whatever now they're gonna try to go after one person while his answer on as to he didn't believe the person was remorseful that's arbitrary. Rachel, that's what you're trying to get us out of And so I I'm very concerned about having a public discussion not from having a discussion, but a public discussion.

- And that's entirely at the comfort level on the board, whether that deliberation is in closed session or open session. I mean, for some of these people who are just coming back to, because they've been approved three times before and they're changing their address or moving again, maybe you don't go into closed session, but for the people who are appearing for the first time, maybe you wanna go on closed session. I mean that's up to you as a board.

- I'm just really uncomfortable stating those reasons out there, software.

- And other clauses judicial hearings that the city has. I mean, there are official findings of fact and conclusions of law that end up getting typed up and presented. I mean this board handles a higher volume and typically each hearing is shorter than those other ones. But I mean from a procedural standpoint, this is the same process as if like the city went to revoke stolen liquor license and basically had to put on a trial in front of the protection of policy committee. I mean it's been scaled back. I think that there's been a little bit of arbitration over the years. And I mean, I've seen the notes that are in the law departments I drive and the file and the notes from some of the other attorneys who have overseen this board and there have been drafts of scripts and that kind of thing. I think the intent here is just to make sure that we're delivering the same information to everybody and that the purpose of the script is to make sure that we're not forgetting to ask for, if there's anyone here to speak on behalf of anybody that we're clear that people who want to speak on the matter have three minutes and that will, we're hitting all that information on the front end so that we don't forget in the

moment or that it's as uniform as possible. And I mean, I don't think that the procedure is that different. I think that there was some discussion generally speaking on most of the appeals like Heidi was mentioning. And I mean, I certainly brought that up as staff was discussing the water permits observations and what to do moving forward, but the issue of the conclusions of law and those finding the fact that having a factual basis saying that the conclusion of law is he was remorseful or he was not remorseful. The findings of fact are what that's based off of. So based on the testimony of the daughter and his involvement and the nature of the offense being or personal, but the digital violation those types of considerations those are the findings of fact, and then the conclusions of law or the decisions of the board. And those can be, I mean, not arbitrary but they're what you ultimately decide in your the finders of facts. You're the ones who make the decision. So those conclusions ultimately is he remorseful, is he rehabilitated, is he likely to re-offend those are the conclusions. You've all been charged to me.

- Okay, appreciate the comments from everybody. But originally I definitely think we should get together with the full board prior--

- To release. We were trying to make it happen before this meeting. So yeah, that will be a top priority.

- Okay.

- Sorry Fay.

- I was going to say that most of it felt okay for me. It was just now if I had said no then we would've had to come up with a reason. And so then what reasons are we going to use.

- Yeah opposite of what we just gave? Will they didn't believe he was remorseful Will they believe he had enough sexual offender training because of that idea.

- So you don't have to be more specific than that is Rachel. It sounded like here's where more detail

- I believed its whatever facts you guys are leaning on to make the decision. I mean if you're looking at the rehabilitation portion of it, Jim Drake is a reputable person to work with when it comes to the sex offender treatment. He's only had seven treatment or seven sessions. So that's the fact that was considered. But I mean you all spoke to his understanding that has already come through from those sessions. And I mean there are those facts that they're discussing. They just have to be articulated as far as what the ultimate decision is. I mean, those people who in the deliberation spoke in favor of approval. I mean those are the points that the board is obviously going with. If they ultimately approve the--

- Even though I voted against him I said, well, I got ease and failure your reasoning. And that's what I just laid out. I didn't go ahead and make my handle. I don't believe it what she's saying, and I'm not going to do that. I just take here's the facts of why I know that it voted this way and say why you voted that way.

- I mean some of those factors that go against the vote I mean, they can still be articulated. I mean, the fact that he's in with Jim Drake is receiving counseling. It appears that he's making progress, but the fact that he's only had seven sessions does 'cause some concerns. So the board voting to approve but make sure that you continue to receive that treatment. And I think that's entirely appropriate.

- And part of the three words which are, say it's remorse and rehabilitation and the rest and in weather and the likelihood of the person re offending.

- Correct and I'm hoping to get some training in as far as interpersonal offenses being more likely to re-offend the internet crime being like a 3% likelihood to re-offend. I think it's a statistic that I've seen. So I would like to

get some expert training in, maybe we can get Zoom sessions set up between now and the next meeting we just have to meet and make sure we're all in lockstep on the it

- Okay, thank you.
- All right, may I have a motion to dismiss
- Or adjourn?
- Adjourn?
- I'll make a motion to adjourn the meeting
- And I will second it.
- All in favor, say aye.
- Aye.
- Aye.
- You all have a great thanks giving.