



# **MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL**

**TUESDAY, JUNE 1, 2021, 6:00 PM**  
**Virtual Meeting. Public may join via Zoom.**

## **A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.**

I. This item contains documents which provide call in information and instructions for the Zoom meeting.

## **B. ROLL CALL.**

## **C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.**

## **D. INVOCATION.**

I. The invocation will be led by Mayor Eric Genrich.

The invocation was read by Pastor Bridget Flad Daniels of Union Congregational.

## **E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.**

I. Approval of the minutes from the May 18, 2021 Common Council Meeting.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the minutes.  
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

## **F. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve the agenda.  
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,

Abstain- None.

## **G. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.**

## **H. ANNOUNCEMENTS.**

## **I. APPOINTMENTS.**

### **I. Appointments:**

#### **I. New Appointments:**

##### Equal Rights Commission

Jon Shelton, 1019 Emilie St., Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: June 1, 2024

Elizabeth Kostichka, 2733 Nicolet Dr., Green Bay, WI 54311

Term to expire: June 1, 2024

Said Hassan, 538 Clement St., Apt. 5, Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: June 1, 2022

##### Sex Offender Residence Board

Pete Shedlosky, 600 Harvest Rd., Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: October 1, 2025

##### Public Arts Commission

Rissel Peguero Almonte, 110 Little Swiss Pl., Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: April 1, 2023

#### **2. Reappointments:**

##### Economic Development Authority

Michael Peot, 1134 S. Baird St., Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: June 1, 2024

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the new appointments.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to approve the reappointments.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-None, Abstain- None.

## **J. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (MAY 11, 2021).**

1. Consideration with possible action on an updated loan guaranty with HCW, LLC.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

## **K. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (MAY 26, 2021).**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve the report except item 4.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

1. To approve the request by Melissa Gebert for permission to allow around-the-clock street parking adjacent to 326 12th Avenue for health care workers for her daughter.

2. To receive and place on file the constituent idea about improving fall loose leaf collection. (Ald. Brunette)

3. To refer to staff to develop a pollinator friendly policy or guidance in anticipation of May 2022 allowing Green Bay residents to voluntarily participate in No Mow May. (Ald. Scannell)

4. To hold until the next Improvement and Services Committee meeting on how the City of Green Bay can achieve an annual 3% replacement rate of its roads. The action plan would include anticipated increased borrowing requests. (Ald. Wery)

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

5. To approve the report of the Procurement Manager:

A. To award the contract for upgrading the HVAC controls at Fire Station #2 to Trane for the sum of \$39,201. All work and equipment is covered under the ONMIA Group Purchasing Cooperative. Quote attached.

Account number: 4174120 55201 41154 – previously bonded building repair money

B. To award the contract for hauling stockpiled street sweepings to MJT Trucking LLC at a rate of \$8.49/ton (estimated total \$113,766). MJT Trucking was the lowest responsible bidder to RFB 2021-19. Bid summary attached.

6. To approve the award of the following contracts:

A. BRIDGE 2-21 (MONROE AVENUE B-5-255 EXPANSION JOINT RECONSTRUCTION) to the low responsive bidder, Zenith Tech, Inc., in the amount of \$147,421.00.

B. PARKS 1-21 (COLBURN PARK LITTLE LEAGUE RENOVATION) to the low responsive bidder, Peters Concrete Co., in the amount of \$657,676.75.

7. To receive and place on file the report of actions taken by Department of Public Works

A. Granting of Licenses

I. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Delrar, Inc.
- b. Paul Conard Construction Co., Inc.
- c. Poblocki Paving Corp.
- d. Sanchez Concrete

#### **L. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (MAY 24, 2021).**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve the report, except item I.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

I. To receive and place on file a request by Alder Chris Wery, District 8, that the Green Bay Common Council be comprised of fifteen members and to implement with the current (2020) census redistricting and the request to discuss the 2020 Census as it relates to redistricting City Aldermanic Districts for 2022.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

2. To approve a request by CH Bar, LLC at 301 S. Broadway to temporarily amend their liquor license June 5 to hold an outdoor event in their fenced-in area along the side of the building, subject to complaint.

3. To approve an appeal by Cynthia Nelson regarding an appeal of her operator's license.

4. To approve the 2021-2022 renewal liquor licenses, with the approval of the proper authorities.

5. To waive the requirements for an outdoor premise with respect to fence height and imperviousness and to approve an application for the 2021-2022 license year a "Class B" Combination license by Madrid Tapas and Wine Bar, LLC at 225 E. Walnut St. with a licensed premises description as "1st floor of 225 dining bar area, courtyard and alleyway," with the approval of the proper authorities (Currently licensed as an individual Amanda Patterson).
6. To waive the requirements for an outdoor premise with respect to fence height and imperviousness and to approve a "Class B" Combination license for Chefbop, LLC at 709 S. Broadway with a licensed premises of "two bar rooms, patio and storage room," with the approval of the proper authorities. (Currently licensed as Rockabilly's LLC).
7. To waive the requirements for an outdoor premise with respect to fence height and imperviousness and to approve an application for a "Class B" Combination license for Basil I, LLC at 1423 S. Broadway with a license premises as "1- story bar/kitchen, ladies & mens restroom, small office, locked storage room, basement, outdoor bar, pool room, with the approval of the proper authorities. (Currently licensed as Chanmina, LLC).
8. To approve a renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license for Basil I LLC at 1423 S Broadway, subject to the approval of the original application at the June 1 Common Council meeting.
9. To approve the creation of an ad-hoc committee to assess the feasibility and necessity of a unified municipal building to include city hall, police, fire and municipal court and for the Committee to include appointees as selected by the Mayor with at least 2 city council members, a Mayors office representative, 4- 5 community members and appropriate City department heads and to report out to finance committee.
10. To approve a resolution adopting the City of Green Bay Fee Schedule.
11. To approve the adoption of an updated Public Records Notice in accordance with Wis. Stat. § 19.34(1) to reflect current reproduction and copy costs as well as designated record custodians.
12. To receive and place on file the Report on Homelessness, Green Bay Police Department
13. To receive and place on file The Liquor violation report for May 24, 2021.

#### **M. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve, with Alder Johnson abstaining from approving Alison Thut.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, Abstain- Brian Johnson.

I. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee Granting Operator Licenses.

**N. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (MAY 24, 2021).**

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

1. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for an expansion of an existing substation in the 2040 Block Morrow Avenue, subject to the following conditions:

a. The combination of parcels 21-1226 and 21-297-1 for better site cohesion and access from Morrow Street. Note parcel 21-297-1 extends between Highland Park Avenue and this will have to be split properly between the right-of-way.

b. Compliance with all of the regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code not covered under the Conditional Use Permit, including standard site plan review and approval.

(Public Hearing held 05/10/2021)

2.

To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a limited production and processing use at 1778 Main Street, subject to the following conditions:

a. Compliance with all regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code not covered under the Conditional Use Permit (CUP), including standard site plan review and approval;

b. The CUP is exclusive to the building primarily located on 1778 Main Street (Parcel 21-1303-1); and

c. Outdoor storage of material is prohibited.

(Public Hearing held 05/24/2021)

**O. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (MAY 26, 2021).**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve the report, except item 4.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

1. To approve the concept modifications to the previously approved Mountain Bike Skills Course to be located at Triangle Hill and donated by the Baird Creek Preservation Foundation.

2. To approve the request by the Green Bay Arts Commission to install art mural panels on 10 park shelters throughout the City.

3. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Wery to review the Park Department Facility Use Policy as it relates to user groups advertising in the Parks.

4. To approve the City of Green Bay taking over the operation and management of the Nature Center Gift Shop at the Wildlife Sanctuary.

Minutes of the Common Council

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve.

Motion .

Yes- None, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to amend to bring back to council 6 months after transition.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve as amended.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

5. To approve the request by Wisconsin Public Service to grant a 12' wide utility easement in the Beaver Dam Creek Greenway just southeast of the intersection of West Point Road and Packerland Drive.

6. To direct staff to proceed as directed in closed session on the purchase of the Woods Golf Course Greenway.

*The Committee may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Committee will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.*

7. To receive and place on file the staff update on the current staffing levels for the pools and summer playground program and how this staffing shortage will affect the opening of the pools and playground program.

8. To receive and place on file the staff update on fat tire biking at He-Nis-Ra Park.

## **P. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (MAY 25, 2021).**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, Abstain- None.

1. To approve the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

a. Administrative Clerk - Community & Economic Development

Minutes of the Common Council

2. Referred to the Finance Committee for discussion at the June 22, 2021 meeting for the need for more staff for the City Attorney's office.

### **Q. REPORT OF THE TRAFFIC, BICYCLE, AND PEDESTRIAN COMMISSION (MAY 17, 2021).**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the report, except item 4.  
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

1. Receive and place on file the request to make the block on James Street between McDonald Street and North Broadway a NO TRUCK ROUTE.

2. Delete the Ordinance 29.203 (13) Residential Parking Districts language and replace it with the following text: (13) Residential Parking Districts. No vehicle shall be parked in a residential parking district unless the vehicle has an active residential permit or the vehicle is a service vehicle and its occupants are actively rendering service to the residents in the area.

3. Receive and place on file the request to change the speed limit on Broadway from Augusta Street to Mather Street from 25 mph to 15 mph.

4. Remove the traffic control signal at Dousman Street and Gray Street.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve.  
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.

5. Establish an ALL-WAY STOP condition at Dousman Street and Gray Street.

6. Hold for further discussion the request by Ald. Stevens to review and/or create bylaws for the Traffic, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Commission.

### **R. REPORT OF THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMISSION (MAY 19, 2021).**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve.  
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach,  
Abstain- None.



1. To refer to staff and apply for possible grants and work with outside groups/organizations to restore park areas along the East River in flood prone areas with sustainable landscaping. Brought forward by Ned Dorff.

2. To refer to I&S Committee to establish a policy to allow Green Bay residents to voluntarily participate in No Mow May. Brought forward by Alder Scannell.

3. Informational: Plastic Film Recycling Challenge begins in June. Signage and bins for plastic bags and plastic film to be placed at City Hall, Wildlife Sanctuary Nature Center, and Wildlife Sanctuary Observation Building.

### **S. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (MAY 25, 2021).**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve the report, except items 1 and 3.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, Abstain- None.

1. Consideration with possible action on the Room Tax Stabilization Fund agreement with Brown County, and selection of a funding source to pay the June 2021 2013 RDA (KI-2) bond payment:

To authorize staff to use the KI-2 reserve fund.

To continue negotiations with the County as directed.

The Committee may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Committee will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve.

Motion .

Yes- None, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to Enter Closed Session. Alder Dorff read the language.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to Return to Regular Session at 8:40 pm.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John

VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to proceed as discussed in closed session.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-None, Abstain- None.

2. To receive and place on file an update on the American Rescue Plan Act.

3. Discussion of the 2021-2025 Capital Improvement Plan:

To hold the \$2,000,000 for Main St. bridge repairs and the \$1,100,000 for City Hall electrical repairs for a year.

To accept the CIP with a general levy bond request of approximately \$7,500,000.

To approve the bond request from other funding sources.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, Abstain- None.

## **T. ORDINANCES - FIRST AND FINAL READING FOR ADOPTION**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to suspend the rules and take up items 1 and 2 with one vote.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to adopt items 1 and 2.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No-None, Abstain- None.

1. General Ordinance No. 04-21

An ordinance adopting and enacting a new Green Bay Municipal Code.

2. General Ordinance No. 12-21

An ordinance creating Chapter 10, Article XIX, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to Video Service Provider Fees.

3. General Ordinance No. 13-21

An ordinance creating Section 2-32, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to Remote Attendance at

Meetings.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to adopt.

Motion .

Yes- None, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to Amend by eliminating clause #4, thus having no expiration date.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to adopt as amended.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- John VanderLeest, Abstain- None.

## **U. RESOLUTIONS.**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to suspend the rules and take up all with one vote.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to adopt.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None. Alder Vander Leest votes yes on resolutions 1, 2 and 4 but no on resolution 3.

1. Resolution adopting the City of Green Bay Fee Schedule.

2. Resolution authorizing conditional use permit at 1778 Main Street.

3. Resolution authorizing conditional use permit along the 2040 block of Morrow Street.

4. Resolution drawing final orders to contractors for June 1, 2021.

## **V. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to suspend the rules and take up both with one roll call vote.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to advance.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

1. General Ordinance No. 14-21

An ordinance amending Sec. 40-29(m), Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to Class "B" parking violations.

2. General Ordinance No. 15-21

An ordinance amending Section 40-71, Green Bay Municipal Code, relating to Traffic Regulations.

## **W. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to refer communications (Dist 8 to I & S and Dist 12 to I & S) to appropriate authorities.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, Abstain- None.

## **X. ADJOURNMENT.**

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to Adjourn.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Kathy Lefebvre, Lynn Gerlach, Abstain- None.

Celestine Jeffreys

Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at [greenbaywi.gov](http://greenbaywi.gov)

### **Minutes with Verbatim**

- I called to order the meeting of the Common Council for Tuesday, June 1st, 2021. Clerk.

- Yes. Thank you. Your honor all 12 are present and voting.

- Thank you clerk. Please rise for the pledge of allegiance.

- [All] I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the Republic for which it stands one nation under God indivisible with Liberty and justice for all.

Minutes of the Common Council

- And then leading us in the invocation. We have Reverend Bridget Flad Daniels from Union Congregational Church joining us this evening. Bridget received her master of divinity from Catholic Theological Union in Chicago in 1998 and completed further requirements for ordination through Chicago Theological Seminary in 2005. She was ordained by the UCC in 2007. A native of the Milwaukee area, Bridget served various churches in the Metro area. Bridget sites of missionary experience in El Salvador at the end of the country civil war as a watershed event and her ministry. After returning to the United States, she served as a chaplain at a homeless shelter which focused particularly on hospitality to those dying with AIDS and as a campus minister as she prepared for ordination. Along with this act of work for peace and justice, Bridget has extensive experience and Christian education, worship leadership and preaching. And I believe she's been at union congregational church for about the last six years. I thought it was fitting to have Bridget with us this evening because of her role as a pastor at Union Congregational church, a church which served as a stop on the underground railroad helped just several years ago formed the ecumenical partnership for housing and has been an open and affirming congregation open and affirming of people LGBTQ identity and orientation for several years and has been a really a leading congregation in that effort. And with it being a pride month, I thought it was very appropriate for Pastor Flad Daniels to lead us in the invocation this evening. So with that, I would ask the pastor to unmute herself and provide us with that invocation.

- Thank you so much for and thank you all for having me with you tonight. The invocation that I'd like to share is a thank you to various people who have the LGBT experience. Thank you to the queer ancestors who blazed this path before us. Thank you to every kid who is the first in their class or their school or their family and who made it a little easier for the next one. Thank you to the elders who fought for yourselves and for us. Thank you to the ones who let your freak flag fly. Thank you to the ones who in your own way. Thank you to the ones who take each other in thank you for kissing in public and holding hands in the street. Thank you to the ones who share their scars. Thank you to the ones who hold space. No pressure. Thank you loud ones. Thank you quiet ones. Thank you to the ones who helped us learn to love our desire. No more shame. Thank you to the ones who help us love our bodies. Be they saw or hard, trans or cess. Thank you to the ones who are doing what you need to do to survive. We need you. Thank you for persisting. Thank you for proclaiming. Thank you for being. To you who have shouldered the losses and taken the bullets and been betrayed, beaten, bullied, or isolated. May we honor your pain. Your contribution is not forgotten. You deserved so much better. Maybe do better by thank you. Once upon a time they could say that they didn't know us, but not anymore. Here we are bringing our sacred strange into their pews and pulpits and dinner tables standing beside them in waiting rooms and checkout lines. Do not apologize. They are lucky to have us here. Thank you to queer beloved and trans love who keeps choosing to live and choosing to love and choosing to support one another. And thank you to you who are yet to come. Who will expand our understanding and help us love more deeply. As we step into pride month, may the work of these servants of our city be rededicated to the wholeness, to the safety, to the thriving of all your people. Receive our prayers and bless them in their work. Amen.

- Amen.

- Amen.

- Thank you so much, Pastor Daniel.

- I apologize that I have to leave.

- No, no, no problem at all. Thanks so much for all the work you do for our community. Really appreciate it.

- It's an app.

- Alright, on to approval of the minutes. Motion has been made by Alder Scanell, seconded by Alder Stevens. Any changes? Seeing none. all in favor signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The minutes are approved. approval of the agenda.

- Motion approved.

- Second.

- Motion to approve made Alder Scanell. Seconded by Alder Lefebvre. Any changes here. Seeing no requests, all in favor signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay The aye's have it. And the agenda has been approved. Report by the mayor. First and foremost, 10 out of 12 of us are in the same room for the first time in a very long time. So just wanted the public to be aware of that. We might have some technological issues that we're working through. So please bear with us. Also just wanted to recognize everyone who organized or participated in a memorial day event yesterday. I was fortunate to attend a couple of one at the Vietnam veterans Memorial park and one at Admiral Flatley. And I'm just very grateful of course to those who lost their lives in defense of our country but also to their friends and family who keep their memories alive and were instrumental in bringing some of those events together yesterday. Also wanted to let everyone know, I think many of us already do, but just wanted to to make the point that our farmer's markets are all back. Am I still on here? Everybody's still hear me.

- Yes.

- Okay, good. You know, we obviously had the Saturday morning farmer's market had the Wednesday night farmer's market. We've had the military avenue I think for several weeks now. So just wanted to make that point for the public encourage everyone to get back and enjoy some fruits and vegetables and company with friends and neighbors. I was able to be at the Saturday morning farmer's market and planned to attend many of them and others throughout the rest of the summer. Finally, kid's day will be later this month. Maybe not as grand as it's been in years past because of some of the, you know social distancing that still has to occur with the smallest members of our community. And we'll have some more details to follow on that but just wanted to put that out there. There've been a lot of questions coming in to city hall asking about whether where the kid's day was was going to be continuing this year. And we want to assure everyone that it is. So looking forward to that on June 25th, a great celebration in this community that was started by our former mayor. And I think is still something that many folks look forward to. So wanted to make sure that people knew about that. So with that, I will move along to announcements. Any announcements. I'm not in there yet. Yeah. Go ahead Alder Scanell.

- Plastic bags. Yes, sir. We want them three bags full we're, collecting plastic bags city hall, and at the wildlife sanctuary and at Bay Beach and maybe some other places, perhaps we can work out with the city to collect plastic bags for recycling purposes. To find out which bags are, we have that on our website where. I was looking for it before? And couldn't--

- Parks department I think is the one that's coordinating.

- Parks department. That should be at the parks department. Just go to the park city website go to parks department, we'll have that up. There's all different kinds of plastic bags. More than you would think that are a

recyclable Ziploc bags all different kinds. And let's get this going and hopefully it'll continue and grow. And we will continue to recycle plastic bags in Green Bay.

- And what happens if we recycle a bunch of plastic bags?

- If we recycle five tons. Which sounds like a lot, but it's really not. I mean, we can meet that like not, and we will get a bench. The company will make that collects these bags makes benches and they will donate a bench to the city. So, and once we get our first bench we meet you our first bench mark, we can go for a second bench mark and a third bench mark and we can just fill up the whole city with benches.

- You thanks Alder. Any other announcements? Yes, Alder Lefebvre.

- For Alder Scanell, do you know when that is starting?

- We started.

- Started. Okay, good. Thank you.

- I think it started today.

- I got bags.

- Very good. Any other announcements? Alright. On to appointments.

- Motion to approve.

- We have a motion to approve the new appointments. Made by Scanell, seconded by Alde Stevens. Any questions here? Alright. Seeing none. All in favor, signify by saying aye.

- Aye. You guys have it. Those new appointments are approved. Reappointments?

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve made by Alder Scanell, Seconded by Alder Steuer. Any comments here? Seeing none, all in favor will signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- The aye's have it. And that reappointment has been confirmed. Onto report of the redevelopment authority.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve made Alder Scanell. Seconded by all their Galvin. Any discussion? Alder Vander leest.

- Could you just give us a briefing on on that loan agreement?

- Yes, we will go to Director Stechschulte for some background there.

- Thank you, mayor.

- Just to quickly summarize the I apologize for sending the number of documents I included in your packet this time but I felt it was important to give the full background of how we got to where we are here today.

Essentially, this is set the city made back in 2005 related to the city center bay lake building financing originally was done as a direct \$2,500,000 loan. That was the city had actually taken out and contributed to the project. Later on that was actually refinanced by the developer who then exchanged in exchange for the facility city, not directly financing it. The private financing replaced it, but it still required a guarantee by the city. That was done I believe back in 2005 originally. The last time the agreement on the guarantee came up 2015. Essentially, this is a the reason why it's back for you today is there's been a change in sort of the financing terms for the private financing. It does not change the city's commitment. However, that was originally made on the guarantee. And it simply requirement that we acknowledge essentially that the financing terms for the private financing have changed. And, but it still includes the city's guarantee on this financing. So it is the amount of about \$2,500,000. Let me see if I can actually find the information in the document here. This is primarily relates related back to the APAC customer service project that ended up moving into the second floor of that building but then did not end up staying there. So this was a leftover remnant project related to the top half of those buildings those units in that building. Just to clarify, there is not one owner to that building and is a condo building. There's probably about a dozen owners. So that specific building, this is related to just the units kind of up on the second floor that are currently controlled by HCW LLC. So there was an MOU that was done along with the team we have have reached out to the developer and asking you a while. It's certainly not required. It does not change the city's guarantee at this point obligation, but we are currently pursuing an updated memorandum of understanding with the developer that we would like to bring forward back to the spotty for consideration by August 1st.

- Thanks Director Alder John Vender Leest, any questions or thoughts?

- Are they current on all the payments that have come due?

- Essentially for their private financing, the reason they are refinancing all their vendor leases because they have, they are, I've actually read they are changing their terms a little bit to actually have involved some interest only payments and then restarting those payments here going forward in 2021. And I believe those actually have been outlined in the staff memo that was provided to you. So the first, so I have been missing payments but that's why there the bank is working with them essentially to reschedule the financing going forward to continue the payments on that finance. Thank you very much. Thank you. Thanks Alder. Further questions or comments? Seeing none, we had a motion and a second all in favor, signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The ayes have it. And that report has been adopted onto INS,

- Motion to approve.

- Motion has been made by Alder Scanell. Seconded by Alder Galvin to approve the report of the improvement in services committee from May 26, 2021. Any items here to be handled separately? Any others? Item four will be handled separately hanging on others all in favor of approving the remainder of that report. Please signify by saying, aye.

- Aye.

- Opposing nay. You guys have in the report has been approved the exception of item four.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to Approve item four made by Alder Scanell. Seconded by Alder Galvin. The item was pulled by Alder Wery. Alder have the floor.



- Thank you Mr. Mayor. At committee last week we were going to discuss the potential of increasing the roadwork we do each year and what was going to be presented to us was a report. And I think it was maybe going to be a verbal report. And we asked that it'd be forwarded to the council. And I don't think anybody received it yet. And I've asked several times. So I'm wondering if Director Grenier can assure us that that can be received perhaps tomorrow.

- Director Grenier.

- As you alluded to Alder Wery, there is no report as such yet. So we've got some notes that we could definitely share with you but there is no report. Last week on Friday, I had a meeting with Director Quirk at the Green Bay Water Utility. And during that meeting director, Quirk asked if we would be interested in sitting down to prepare a formal report for the June INS meeting. The one that's anticipated to be in the third a third or fourth week, the late meeting. And I indicated to her that I think it would be a good idea for us to sit down and formalize a report. So then we do have something in writing to go back to that we can share with the committee. But as of yet, there is not a report per se.

- I appreciate it Director. I think the committee was perhaps under the understanding that there was a report that was going to be giving to us last week. That report would be helpful when looking at the five-year plan, I believe the projected amount for the next five years for a repaving is set flat. I think \$2,700,000. Is that about, about correct director?

- I believe that to be the case.

- Okay. So yeah, if you definitely could sit down with the water department, that's going to be critical for the, at least the reconstructs. It shouldn't affect at all the amount of resurfacing we do. If we can determine which ones don't need reconstruction we can plow ahead perhaps quicker on the resurfacing. And we'll talk about the long range plan later in the meeting, but I appreciate it if you can get that to an as soon as possible. Thanks, Steve.

- Will do.

- Thanks Alder. Obviously this is the busy season for many of our departments, but in particular for DPW and water. So I appreciate the fact that they're spending some time putting this report together, but they are doing a lot of work on top of that. So just wanted to acknowledge that. Any other comments on item four? Alright. We have a motion to approve and a second all in favor.

- Aye.

- All opposed. Alright. The ayes have it. And that item has been approved. Onto protection policy.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve the report of the protection policy committee from may 24, 2021. It's made by Alder Scanell. Seconded by Aldere Stevens. Any items here to be handled separately?

- Number one. number one, any others? Hearing none, others all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, Please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The ayes have it. The report is going to prove with the exception of item one.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Lefebvre. The item was pulled by Alder Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I did watch the committee. I apologize. It wasn't there. I had to I was actually refereeing some high school soccer then so too many things going on in spring. But I had talked about it before. I'll talk about it a little bit again here. It's really not a data driven issue as had been discussed at the committee. It really doesn't matter what the population will be when we get the census back. It's the idea of how do we want our city council to look organizationally or philosophically and that, you know do we want a dozen or do we want 24? Like we used to have years ago when the districts were smaller and you could have more of a real contact and personable a touch to all of your dealings in your district. They've gotten pretty big over the years. And as we grow in size, they just keep getting bigger. And I did ask staff and hopefully they're still working on it to look at other cities and what their population is and how many Alders they have, you know I had started doing a little one myself, you know De Pere has eight. They only have 23,000 people. So they really get to be, you know almost a couple of block radius. It can really help their people out more personably. Appleton has 15, Racine as 15. So I'd like to, I don't know if anything necessarily needs to be done tonight but when it comes around again, I want us to be open to that fact that the bigger the districts get the less you can do for your people unless you don't work or have a lot of time to put to it. And also when it comes to elections, the more large dollars can affect it because it's hard to get to every door once, much less twice or some of us three times, but that's very difficult to do. And the bigger they get, the, the more that you throw money at it, you're going to get flyers to people. We should not have that. We should have the smaller districts, better service. And I really think an odd number would be good so that the council can have really it's legislative body determining things and not need tiebreakers no offense. Mr. Mayor says about whoever the mayor is, you know they have their portion of the government and we really should have hours and not need tiebreakers. So when this comes back, having an open mind I'll put together some stats on on what other cities are doing. Maybe I'll bring it back before, you know the city is ready with the numbers, but I just wanted to explain that it really had nothing to do with the population it had to do with the philosophy of what we want in the city. So thank you.

- Got it. Thanks, any other comments on that item? Alder Steuer.

- Thank you, your honor. I'm glad that all their worry brought this up. I know as far as the Alders that are on the district right now or at council right now is the only one that went through the 2010 election after the census was done in a quite a bit of change was done with that. And a little, a little confusing to some folks because some districts were changed markedly, you know so I understand in a sense that the population hasn't grown tremendously. So in effect you could kind of keep the district somewhat the same in terms of area. But I think that we need to look at it and I appreciate that staff is looking at it. Like I said, Racine and Appleton other others communities that are smaller than green bay have more alders on their, on their district. And we have 87 to 8,800 per that's a lot. That's a lot of people. So I appreciate them bringing that forward and I'll I'll be happy to work with that as well as other council members. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Other comments? Alder Wery for a second time.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I was remiss in mentioning I wanted to thank clerk Jeffreys for putting together a really good report and I would encourage anybody to look at that. It really does a good job of explaining the process in the coming months and, and why it's going that way. Normally we should be ahead of schedule but things are a bit behind and it's going to be a tight pinch at the end but watch that look at that report. Thanks.

- Thanks Alder. Any other thoughts?
- Mr. Mayor.
- Alder Lafebvre go ahead.
- And then too, I wonder how the county would match if we go to 15, I know, like I know everybody's district does not match exactly your county to you're Alder. If you are on the county, like John and I so I don't know if that would make a problem or not. So we have to look at that also.
- Thanks Alder. Any other thoughts? Alright. With that, we have a motion and a second all in favor signify by saying, aye.
- Aye.
- Opposing nay. The aye's have it. And that has been received and placed on file. Report to the protection and policy committee granting operator licenses.
- Motion to approve.
- I second.
- Motion to approve made Alder Scanell. Seconded by Alder Vende leest. Any names here to be handled separately or abstained from, Alder Johnson.
- Thank you. I just need to have the record reflect abstention on Alison . She's a direct report of mine.
- Very good. Thanks Alder. Any others? seeing none, all in favor, signify by saying, aye.
- Aye.
- The aye's have it. And that report has been approved. Report to the plan commission
- Motion approved.
- Motion to approve made by Alder Corpus-Dax, seconded by Alder Scanell. Any items here to be handled separately? hearing none all in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay.
- The aye's have it. The report has been approved. Park committee.
- Motion approved.
- Motion to approve made by Alder Scanell. motion to approve made by elder Scanell, seconded by Alder Wery. Any items here to be handled separately. Alder Johnson.
- Thank you. I sent an email earlier today to attorney Chavez and I believe she replied in copied you as well mayor, but, and unless I'm missing it, I didn't see anything from the Parks Committee contained within their packet. Civic clerk was also did not have any of the supporting documentation. And my inquiry with attorney

Chavez was whether or not we were within our purview to still take up these issues without the supporting documents. I just at least wanted to verify for the balance of counsel that she said that the, you know state statute doesn't necessarily get into the necessity or requirement for supporting documentation. As long as the agenda item was sufficiently clear. However, I wanted to bring it up because of course if there is anybody on council that has questions about items and they're missing that documentation don't feel comfortable enough to make those votes without that information. I think it's important that they they raised that concern right now. So for me, there was only one item. The rest I felt comfortable on and I believe Alder Brunette already pulled it, but I at least just want to maybe call it as a point of order mayor in case others weren't aware of that.

- Yup. Appreciate that Alder Johnson, I know that Director Ditscheit is on the line but we'll go to attorney Chavez maybe first just to see if we should hold any of these items over. Attorney Chavez.

- So I looked at these items again just to determine whether or not they provided me with sufficient information to be able to determine whether or not, you know, what was going to be voted on. The only ones that I felt were even potentially questionable one, two, and five. And as I read them like concerns actually started going away. So for example, the easement, because it's pretty clear like it's generally best if we have the Eagle and attached to the extent people know exactly what portion we're talking about and what, what property we're referring to. But, you know, it's pretty clear in here, 12 foot wide utility easement, beaver dam Creek Greenway just south of the intersection of west point and packer line. So I'm comfortable with that one moving forward. Number two, unless people are really interested in which park shelters are going to be installed. You know, that's really something within the purview of the city. So that's also given me less of hesitation. And then number one, the constant modifications to bike skills course. I think that's the only one that could potentially require additional information. But even then, like it's telling the public that there is a bag skills course that's. So to be located at triangle hill, that it was donated and that what would be happening tonight is some modifications to that document. So with all of those, I don't necessarily have concerns with that. We didn't meet the open meetings requirements more just a concern at this point of whether anybody feels like they want to hold it to give the public more opportunity for input on any of those times.

- Thanks attorney Chavez. Appreciate that. So it looks like we don't have necessarily any open meetings concerns but still appreciate all the Johnson for noting that and, and bringing that up here, Alders. Well, Alder Brunette has mentioned he wants to pull item for any others for further discussion. Okay. So item four will be handled separately, hearing none others all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The report has been approved with the exception of item four.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve made Alder Scanell. Seconded by Alder Brunette. The item was pulled by Alder Brunette. You have the floor.

- Yeah. Thank you, mayor. I'm in favor of this Director Ditscheit prepared a good verbal report for the committee but I was a little uncomfortable without seeing something in writing as to the details. When we add staff, I'd like to know a little more of the financial impact, even though they're seasonal even though the funds generated from the change will be for further improvements of the park. I did talk to the Director Ditscheit the day after said to him, you know "Could you prepare something for the council? And for me, what some of those figures in writing," he certainly did that. I forwarded it to the rest of the council as well as me, obviously, after seeing that I'm I'm definitely in favor of it. I think it's makes a lot of sense. So with that, I'm an approval. I just wanted that to be known. It wasn't any disrespect to Director Ditscheit, it's just that when we make these

sorts of decisions with financial implications, I like to have something in writing rather than a verbal report. So thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Johnson.

- I did have a couple of questions on this particular agreement, particular especially after seeing Director Ditscheit report. Director Ditscheit do we know exactly how much of existing city staff time will be spent on managing whether it's planning or hands-on operation of the gift shop.

- All I can tell you at this time is the current manager that ran it worked about 20 hours per week, and that was on average. So until we actually get in there see how much time it takes us to do it, I can't give you an exact answer but I will tell you that, you know the staff that we're going to use are definitely well versed in concessions. And we feel we can do it very efficiently with the staff we have. So I don't see it taking even 20 hours per week but I can't give you an exact time.

- Okay. And to me 20 hours a week is actually fairly significant given the the, the margins that the gift shop has provided. So do we have a plan in terms of changes that we intend to make to increase the profitability? Or are we going in just kind of assuming that we can change course?

- Well, first of all, we're not hiring the manager position and that was the bulk of the expenses. So since we're doing that in house we'll see a significant savings right there. And then we feel that by, you know looking at the inventory and, you know maybe selling different items than what we're currently selling, it'll be more profitable.

- Okay. And at any point, has staff actually engaged or entertained the discussion about whether or not we should continue to have a gift shop? I mean, to me, there are typically two reasons why you might want to do something like that. I feel like the discussion up to this point is really focused on creating obviously additional revenue to help support the facility. And I personally, when I look at the numbers, you know it's kind of like, is the squeeze worth the juice? And the numbers certainly don't dictate that right now. And the other reason I think you may want to do it is obviously it just compliments the facility. It's that experience thing that's really required. And for the wildlife sanctuary, I don't know that people necessarily go there for the gift shop. So I'm just curious if we've talked about whether or not it is something that we need to continue to operate.

- Well, one thing that we would like to do which I didn't talk about at the last parks committee but one thing we would like to do at the nature center is to create a front counter that staffed. And so if the city takes over the operation of the gift shop, we feel that that front counter or person could also be the gift shop attendant and work dual purposes. So we've had some security issues out at the sanctuary. We feel that by having a front counter person there it'll definitely enhance the user experience because somebody who has a question will be able to go right up to the attendant and get an answer. So we plan on staffing it a little bit differently than what we're doing now. And by taking over the operation of the gift shop and the profits that come along with that and the expenses that are already built in we feel we can do it a little bit differently than we've done it in the past and really enhanced the user experience out there and the safety.

- Yeah. And hearing that eases my concern a little bit because, you know, I don't think it's particularly apparent that a gift shop even exists out there. So if you don't have a reason to go into that particular building, you know I can see where the it's not immediately visible that that does exist. So for trying to double up on, you know double duty on that, that makes a lot more sense to me. And I'm happy to hear that you're entertaining that. And that's the other thing that I would encourage us to do is think about additional, you know, way finding signage or something that can help direct potential customers into that area so that we can get a little something more out of it. Just one final question that I would have mentioned in your report that as part of this agreement that we would have to purchase the inventory that is currently in the gift shop from the Guild. If you could explain do we know how much that is? And do we know, do we have a plan for moving that

inventory? And 'cause I'm assuming that maybe it's older out of date and then like any retail outlet you need to turn inventory. So, and then once we do make that payment to the Guild, what are they going to use that funding for? Is it intended to be reinvested back into the wildlife sanctuary?

- Yeah, so we haven't completed the inventory yet. There that's a, that'll be a little time consuming process. And so they wanted to make sure that the city was interested in making this switch now with the inventory. So when we do pay it, we're only going to pay inventory that they have a receipt for. So up, it's an item that is all--

- We lost our director

- Yeah, we're not getting your audio Director Discheit.

- a time out, that's what he said last time.

- Hello.

- There we go. There we go. You're back.

- Alright. Sorry. Yeah. I'm sorry. I have a computer issue. Some of you know, that already from other meetings. So anyway, getting back to the inventory. So any money that we spend to purchase the inventory the Guild will take that money and reinvest it at the sanctuary. So they, all of their money goes to offset animal care expenses. So we're going to be using funding from a donation that came in to purchase it and then it'll just get reinvested back into the sanctuary.

- Okay. And then would you be opposed Director Ditscheit to maybe coming back to us six months, maybe 12 months. Because I do think that I don't know that it's in the best interest of the city, you know to be managing a gift shop for the margins that we were looking at. And so I think it's important for us to continue to monitor that because staff time comes at a cost. There's an opportunity cost there. And I just want to make sure that when we're investing, staff time to manage whether, again, it's for strategic planning purposes or the actual management of the facility, that we're not just losing sight of that value somewhere in a budget, even though it's really a direct cost to managing the facility. So would you, would you have any objection to maybe bringing back some revised numbers in six or 12 months?

- I would have no objections to that at all. Our goal here is to make it profitable. If we can't make it profitable then we're going to find another option. So we don't wanna operate the gift shop at a loss just as a courtesy to the users.

- What would be an appropriate amount of time do you think for you to be able to bring back data that's useful?

- I would say six months after the city takes it over and starts operating it. So there may be a little bit of lead time in there where it's not open right away while we're going through the inventory and figuring out a staffing plan. So I would say six months after we actually opened it to the public.

- Okay. Mayor, if I could just, I would make that as an amendment to the original motion to bring back to Parks committee in six months, a report of the performance of the gift shop after city takes over management.

- Motion made by Alder Johnson on an amendment on that motion that was seconded by Alder Stevens. Further discussion. Alder Scanell.

- Thank you. I just want to add that the proceeds do go back into the wildlife sanctuary. So even if we don't make a whole lot even a little going back in, it's just something. And I don't think, well, as we talked at parks and director just mentioned, if we're operating at a loss we got to do something different, but I think, even a little over it still might be worth doing. 'Cause that little something back into the sanctuary is better than nothing if the sanctuary and if we're covering her costs. So I just want to keep that in mind, going forward. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder.

- Alder Scott.

- Mr. Mayor.

- Just one sec, Alder Brunette and then Alder Lefebvre and then Alder Dorff.

- Yeah. Thank you. If I recall during the committee discussion this change was being proposed because the group was through an audit or some sort of financial review. It was recommended by an outside party that they consider having the city take over the operation. So that kind of triggered my thinking like, "Okay that's fine but we need to make sure it's good for the city." And according to what Director Ditscheit said and his track record, I think we have a good reason to move forward but we also have to consider the costs when you add labor. And when you add, even though they're lower paid positions and seasonal. When we talk about possibly changing the job descriptions of other employees if you do a welcome center type situation, those are future considerations that this decision will then project. And so I guess real quick Mayor if I could ask Director Ditscheit. And when you mentioned that volunteers had run this and the potential you're going to improve the user experience by having an additional like welcome center van which I think is a good idea. Would that require a job description change? Is there a current member of your staff you're thinking to fulfill that role? We have other similar job classifications at the wildlife sanctuary, but this would be either a new position or we would use the staff that from the gift shop to expand their hours but potentially for that position. So it would not be using existing staff. It would be either using the gift shop staff or using the gift shop volunteers and then just changing their job duties a little bit.

- Okay. I'm in favor with the amendment. I think that's a good idea. Alderman Johnson. So I'm still in favor. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder LeFebvre and then Alder Dorff.

- Yes. I have gone into the gift shop several times and I have purchased gifts. They've got some really neat items there that are related to the wildlife sanctuary and there's a lot of educational ones. There's a lot of little fun ones. And I found that the prices were very reasonable and I would hope that you wouldn't go too high on your pricing, but maybe, you know different vendors can bring things in for a little bit better pricing. I don't know, but I do enjoy the gift shop and the gift shop is pretty with all the windows. It's pretty apparent that there is a gift shop there but there was it was closed. And when the building actually was opened that was before last year and staffed. So maybe that was an issue too that we weren't bringing in the revenue. If you don't have anybody there you're not going to be having your sales. So I think if we staff it properly and make it, like you said, a visitor counter you'll have more people coming in and then they'll see something and say, "Oh this is kind of cute." You know, I'll get this first this person or that person, but I did yeah I think they had a good variety of items there. And I found things that I thought was very interesting to give us. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Dorff.

- Yeah. Okay. So I'm just going to speak as a mama and a grandma and a mama who brought her daughter out to that gift shop. And as a grandma who had two grand sons that went to the 4k out there and the delightful

time that we had getting little rewards at the gift shop. And there's a lot of children out there now with the 4k program. It is something that it's I don't wouldn't want to see it operate at a loss. Of course I wouldn't, I don't care if it operates just at a little bit of a profit though. It's nice for children. And maybe my husband doesn't enjoy gift shops as much as I do. That's probably pretty accurate statement but I love gift shops. And I know a lot of people that do love gift shops. So I'm definitely in favor of keeping the gift shop going. And I will vote in favor of your amendment as well, Alder Johnson.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Steuer.

- Thank you, your honor. I was gonna ask Director Ditscheit they're talking about 20 hours a week. Is the gift shop normally open, like from noon to four? Is that normally the hours that they're open?

- Yeah. So currently the gift shop is open noon to four every day.

- Okay. Seven days a week.

- And then on the weekdays there's volunteers that staff the counter and on the weekends there's paid staff that staffs it.

- Okay. I think that's one of the things that might come out as you report on this, as far as the number of volunteers the number of paid staff, that type of thing. I think just details I think are good to stay transparent. So I also agree with Alder Johnson's amendment as well. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Any further comments on the amendment? Seeing none then just to summarize for the public, this is an amendment that would require a report to be brought back to the council six months after the transition has been made from the Guild to our city staff. So we have a motion made and seconded all in favor, signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay.

- The aye's have it. The amendment has been adopted. Motion to approve as adopted has been made by Alder Scanell. Seconded by Alder Galvin. Any discussion. None all in favor signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. And that item has been adopted as amended. Personnel.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve made by Alder Scanell. Seconded by Alder Johnson. Any items here to be handled separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The report has been approved. TB and P. Motion to approve the report of the Traffic Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission from may 17, 2021, made by Alder Stevens. Second about Alder Scanell. Any items here to be handled separately.

- I'm looking for one here. Number four.



- Number four. Any others? Item four will be handled separately. Hearing on others all in favor of approving the remainder of that report. Please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The report has been approved. The exception of item four.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve made by Alder Scanell, seconded by Alder Stevens. The item was pulled by Alder Steuer. You have the floor.

- Thank you, your honor. I'd like to ask director granary about this. I have talked to Director Hanson as well. Traffic engine Hanson, I should say. And it's been an interesting study on this particular intersection. There was a big push in the neighborhood to keep the four-way stop at one time. It's tempered a little bit because I think the flashing red has worked somewhat fairly well. And I just wanted to get some clarification as far as the amount of traffic that that director Hanson sees as well as yourself on that particular. And what the finding was as far as the legitimate legitimacy of that keeping it as a flashing red at this time.

- Director Grenier. Well, the traffic that we're seeing there is consistent with the requirements for the signage, not the flashing signal. Again, the flashing signal really in the configuration of a traffic signal that's been deactivated and putting a permanent flash. That's really not a legitimate mut traffic control device. So the appropriate measure to put there is the signage and to get the signal signal hardware down and the traffic volumes and compliance to be quite honest that we've seen since we put the 90 day trial into effect definitely supports removal of the signal and utilization of the stop signs in place of the signalization.

- Alright. So I was just wondering if there was much pushback from the neighbors on this. 'Cause I know that initially there was a quite a bit of push to keep the four-way stop. And I realized that there are older signals and that there's quite a bit of cost. I mean, is there any idea what a cost would be for that intersection if you did put in a normal lights?

- To put to re-install the traffic signal?

- Right.

- It doesn't meet warrants. There's a whole study that goes through that talks about the different warrants that need to be met for a traffic signal to be installed. And this intersection wasn't meeting warrants. So that's why we did the study to look at the signs. It's more appropriately.

- I understand that. I understand that it's just that at one time there was you know, traffic lights there and I'm just, you know I'm just trying to get the clarification as far as you feel, and your office feels that the way we're going with it will be legitimate enough to handle the traffic that is there. And there are time periods where it is a little busier than others. You have a school, a couple of schools nearby you have a park nearby. And I just wanna make sure that that was all vetted out and taken care of. And I'm fine with that. You know, I think for the most part, I agree with the director Hanson on this, but I just wanted to make sure that the neighbors were listened to and it was vetted all correctly. That's all.

- Absolutely. We would not have proceeded even to the 90 day trial if we didn't support the findings of the report.

- Good enough. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Thanks director. Anything else on that item? Seeing no more discussion. All in favor, signify by saying aye,

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. And that I am has been adopted. Sustainability.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve. The report of the Sustainability Commission from May, 1920 21 made by Alder Scanell, seconded by Alder Galvin. Any items here to be handled separately. Hearing none all in favor, approving that report please signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The report has been approved. Finance committee.

- Motion to approve.

- Second

- Motion to approve made Scanell, seconded by Alder Dorff. Names here to be handled separately.

- One and three.

- One and three will be handled separately. Any others? Hearing none, others, all in favor of approving the remainder that report signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay.

- The aye's have it. The report has been approved for the exception of items one and three. Motion to approve item one made by Alder Scanell, seconded by Alder Dorff. The item was pulled by older Dorf.

- I think that it would be important for council to understand what the discussion was that we had in close at finance. Not really sure how much we should discuss this outside of closed session. It really other alders that would like to that outside of closed session.

- Any discussion at this time? Alder Johnson.

- Thank you, mayor. I'm just wondering perhaps if it would be prudent maybe for the finance director to give just a high-level overview of what's happening here the things that that makes sense for public consumption. And then I do agree that I think closed session does make sense after that sort of fact sharing.

- That sounds good to me. Director Ellenbecker. Well high level overview for us.

- Hello all. Yes. The KI convention center expansion we call it the KI2 has annual has semi-annual debt payments June 1st and December 1st and the CA convention center to expansion payment that is due June 1st with \$732,000. And we were, it was brought to our attention by the county who has to approve our payment that they did not feel that there was enough money in the room tech stabilization fund and at where these were. So we had to decide how we were going to come up with that money. The \$732,000 through working.

We did have many conversations with the county. And as the end of week, we were able to come to a compromise that we, the city would be allowed to use a one-time \$500,000 reserve fund that was set aside for the K12, in case there was ever at a time when the stabilization fund did not have enough money. So we have used the \$500,000 that was set aside leaving \$232,000 left for the city to come up with to make our debt payment service that was due today. And so there were some money that was set aside from a bond to coming from the care convention center from back in 2013. And there was some money that was set aside for set aside at the time of, and from naming rights for if that service was needed for this expansion. So we have tapped those two funds to pay the remaining of the balance. And so at this point there's no general levy dollars that have been used to make this payment the direction from the finance committee meetings. Since we we're on a very short deadline, we didn't find out until very end of April, beginning of may that we were not going to county was not going to pay allowed us to use the room tech stabilization fund for the six one payment. So we brought to the finance committee just last week and the attorney and was able to allow us to, I think she's called it ratify because there were seven Alders. And so at that point there was a decision on how we should program and move forward and make the debt payment for today. And so if you have more questions on what that means I said in an email this afternoon otherwise our attorneys on the line.

- Thanks director. Alright, with that overview I think it makes sense to go into closed session. Alder Galvin, go ahead.

- And this is more for the public's information account. There we go. Thank you. If Director Ellenbecker could explain some of the things that led up to this the waterfall account and everything that went into how it works and how that money is dispersed in what order and the timelines that are involved for the council members that weren't able to review the meeting or for those in the public that are trying to figure out why we suddenly have to come up with almost \$750,000 by today. Thank you.

- Sure. Back in 2017, the county has had come to the city and asked for us to pool our resources, to allow them to use as excess room tax money to help fund the expo hall. So the way the current waterfall goes is we have a room tax commission where you have several minutes including Ashwaubenon alleyway Bellevue the City of Green Bay, and there's a few other that I'll pull their tax dollars and they go into an associate trust at associated bank. And the first things that are paid out of with that money is room tax for the CAC convention center, the first portion of the original K convention center and the rush center. So they paid those debts service. Once that is what they call the buckets, they make sure that there's enough for every year's annual payments. They put that in a bucket once there's enough it overflows into the next bucket. There were some river reserves that had to be buckets that had to be filled. Once those are filled, then it goes to the next level. The next level is our cat convention expansion which we would be considered priority two debt. So once there's enough money in there it goes into our bucket, fills up our bucket which means that they set aside enough money to pay our annual debt service for that debt payment. Once that bucket is full, then again, there's money in the room, tax stabilization and fun as that, then it goes into the next bucket in the next bucket would be to the expo hall to pay their debt service. There are layers below that, but we haven't gotten that far. There's not been enough overflow. But up until from 2017, even until 2020 beginning of 20 room tax was really doing very well. We were having a large percent of increase. So the stabilization fund was growing as, you know 2020 everything kind of stopped dead. And that is what's creating the shortfall to have us not have additional waterfall monies that are falling into to fill these separate different buckets.

- Alder Galvin is that sufficient. Do you have any questions?

- I just want to make sure. So bucket number one is K1 one in the rush center.

- Yes.

- Bucket number two is the reserve fund of \$2,200,000 and \$2,800,000.

- Yes.
- Okay. And the only people that control that reserve fund is the county, is that correct?
- Yes.
- And if that reserve fund is tapped it has to be refilled.
- Yes, it would be. If they, as they did have to tap it to hit the key to pay K I convention center one and rush center for the six one payment they did have to tap some of the reserve. There wasn't enough money that had fallen in to fill up those buckets to make the payments. So they did have to tap the room tax stabilization fund. And that ended up dipping below the \$5 million of reserves. Correct. The county has control of those the reserves.
- And how much did the county have to tap to make their June 1 payment?
- And I don't actually know what, I do not only that answer.
- Okay. And then the next bucket you said was K12.
- Yes.
- Of which the City of Green Bay is solely responsible for?
- The city is responsible for the debt, correct. As long as there's enough money in the room tax stabilization fund and the waterfalls then that all those payments would be made in full through the room tax. Otherwise the city is responsible for that debt. Correct.
- Okay. And at \$500,000 that was set aside is that the city's money to use at its discretion or could the county also use that if they wish to?
- In the 2017 documents that were signed by all the members in the county, the everyone in the room tax commission, including the city. That \$500,000 was actually brown county money expo excess stadium, district money that they set aside to create a reserve in case there was ever a shortfall for the KI convention expansion that is was county money in the agreement that says if there is considered a deficit or a shortfall the city would be able to use that money. So we have gotten to the point where we were able to use that money the company had to give us permission to use it but in the agreement, it said if there's a shortfall that we would be I guess it's interpretation, but yes we were able to use it cause there was a shortfall.
- Okay. And then did you say there was the expo hall is bucket number four?
- It is farther down on the waterfall. I'm not sure exactly sure if it's a bucket for, but yeah. There's quite a few steps. And then eventually it'll go to the expo. After that CVB could get more money than could get more money. So it just keeps going down. There are several different waterfalls that are on file with the agreements that we signed back in 17 but they are after the K12.
- Alright. And if we use the \$500,000 reserve, do we have to fill that? Do we have to pay that back? We do not have to pay that back. That was a one-time money. So that money is now gone. There is no other additional reserve. If there is another shortfall in the room tax stabilization fund. Alright but if the county was to give us some monies from the 2.2 or 2.8 reserve fund we would have to pay that back through room tax money or out of our own funds?

- Those were some discussions that we were having. We were trying to come up with a, I mean something that we could agree on and that is not something we came up. We did not come make a final decision on that. That is something I believe that we're still in negotiation.

- Okay. And when we told that there wouldn't be enough money in the fund for us to make our June 1 payment?

- I don't have an exact date. And we talked, there was some discussion at room tax commission back in the fall then the in February there was no discussion. There was a shortfall. April there was no discussion of a short fall. February I did have some discussions with the finance director at Brown County and their financial advisor in February. And at that point we believe that they thought there would be enough for the six one payment and that we would have a concern for the 12 one payment the very end of April or very beginning of May as when we were brought in, it was brought to our attention that we, the county who would probably not would not be honoring our requi... We have to I've turned a requisition in and they would not be honoring our requisition appear six one payment.

- I'm sorry. When was that that we found that out.

- I think there was a phone call at the end of April but I think the first emails were going back and forth that they made fifth, but early May.

- May 5th, alright. And have they notified us about our December one payment?

- I'm sorry. Has there been any notification about our next payment this coming December 1st at this point there has been really no discussion about the December payment. You know, we would by then we'll have about four four to five more months worth of room techs that will have come in. And then that then I guess the decision will be whether or not if there isn't enough or not enough, whether or not we will get the money to pay our December 1st payment. Typically about two to usually about two months before that payment is due I will submit a requisition and then I will get approval whether or not they're going to pay it. But at this point we have not discussed the 12 one payment.

- Okay, thank you. You've been very informational. I appreciate it.

- Thanks Alder, Alder Dorff and then Alder Johnson.

- Director Ellenbecker. So I just wanna make sure that I understand this correctly. My understanding was that the county has the money in the fund that they could have chosen to use that money to help us pay for this obligation that was agreed on. And that the reason that they didn't was because they wanted to make sure they had enough money for their December payment. Or am I not understanding this correctly?

- That is our understanding, correct. When they consider a bond year. A bond years considered the June 1st and the December payment. And in the document, it does say and the rush center are considered priority one. So when we were looking at projections we knew there'd be enough money for us on the six one payment for the K12. As you went through the waterfalls. Not interpreting the documents the same way as that they would be holding onto both the six one and the 12 one payment for the priority one, which now doesn't leave leave enough money for priority two, six, one payment.

- It's that I get so confused by that since the money is there and they've made this choice to not honor this agreement with the city knowingly, and also knowing that things are really picking up in the economy now and packer games are back on there's going to be a lot of people using the hotels the room tax will most likely be going up. There should be some assurance, but that's where we are right now. That's where it stands. The

county did not follow through even though the money was there and chose to keep that money for their December payment. That's all. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Johnson.

- Thank you Mayor. Director,, where'd she go? Director Ellenbecker. If you, we talked about that contingency amount. My understanding is that contingency account was created with county funds. Is that correct?

- Just wanna verify you're talking the \$500,000.

- Correct.

- Yes. That was brown County's excess stadium district money that they set aside. And if, for some reason, be by 2023 if we had not yet, I'm sorry, 2043. If we had not used it, it would revert back to the county. It was county money. Correct. But in the agreement that was signed that money would be about city would be able to use it if needed. Okay. And so I presume then, because it is county money that's why we need their approval to actually use it. Correct?

- The document just says, if there's a shortfall the city shall use it through. We ended up signing an agreement later last week to allow us to use that 500,000 with the county.

- Okay. And that was the next question is that that agreement is done.

- The agreement is done and it was signed. And I did add it to your, to the council packet. It's on page. I can't find my notes but it has what was added to the finance packet.

- Okay. At any point, if at any point in your conversations or within the agreement was there any discussion about the requirement for the city to repay that \$500,000 to replenish that fund? There were some---

- I can provide assistance on these questions. So as far as the agreements goes, we really restructured it so it's more, it was actually more of a paper trail than an actual agreement because the existing agreements from 2017 are abundantly clear that the city is entitled to use those funds whenever there's a deficit in the existing accounts so that we were able to make our payments. And so the only reason we ultimately ended up signing something was so that both parties had a paper trail given the short timeframe within which we were operating the county requested it because under the agreement they're allowed to do to have 45 days to review it before they have to, to issue the bonds. And so they, they stated that that's the reason that they wanted the paper trail. So the agreement itself really isn't Mr. Grant that we're used to looking at it really says here's what it says in the documents. And the county is agreeing to release the funds as space in the document.

- So Tony Chavez, and I know I'm catching you off guard with this question but does the original agreement provide any language that indicates or suggests that amounts must be replenished? If the contingency fund is utilized?

- For the KI2 expansion reserve there is nothing on there to replenish that fund. There's also nothing that's addressed as far as using any of the KII/rush under the priority. There's nothing that says it wasn't contemplated, I guess as part of the original structure of the deal.

- Okay. And currently right now a city is responsible for KI2, but is the county responsible for KII?

- Yes. So the county is the backer for both of and the rush center and then the city took on KI2. And so, yes, we are the backer of our, well I should say the county is the one who backs all of the bonds that have been issued with the exception of KI2. .

- And, do you know offhand, I mean the county obviously took on, I guess, ownership of that K11, were there any concessions made on the part of the city that would make them do that? Is that just part of the overall agreement? I wouldn't be able to speak to that because it was so long ago. It's just that they had, there was the way it was structured at the time is how it happened. And then when came along, it was, I don't know if it was out of the ordinary or something, but either way that one wasn't, it didn't follow the same trajectory.

- Okay. And then in Director, Ellenbecker you touched on this, but I just wanted to call it out more specifically, you had mentioned, you know the expiration of the K12 being in 2043. And I think the significance of that for this body is recognizing that if we use that contingency fund, that means we are going without a contingency fund until 2043. So if you know, any other type of financial crisis were to occur again we are without a contingency fund. But when you're looking at something more eminent and Alder Dorff had touched on this and that is the potential for us to come up with funding for December K12 payment in that amount Director Ellenbecker. And I spoke earlier today she confirmed that amount will be \$728,000. And unlike K1, or excuse me, unlike the June payment we don't have a contingency fund or other accounts to tap into for that. So that's the real risk I think for us as a body because even though hotel occupancy rates are rebounding, they're not there yet. And I think it's really hard at this point, of course to predict where there'll be. And so I think that December, and this is part of what the closed session discussion I think will revolve around is that conversation with the county. What does that look like to make sure that the city, you know can really have their arms wrapped around what happens if and if it's an important word, but what happens if this same conversation has to occur in December? Thank you, mayor.

- Thanks Alder. Any other comments before we go to closed? Alder Galvin for a second time.

- Thank you. Director Ellenbecker the reserve fund of 2.2 and \$2,800,000. Where does that money come from? How did they fill that particular bucket?

- I'm looking at the flows charts. Again these were the \$2,800,000 was set up back in 2000. I mean, 1999 or 2000 when they set up the original one floor for the kayaking myth did center one and the rush center, those were that \$2,800,000 was filled up by excess room tax stabilization fund. I do believe based on looking at the waterfall the \$2,200,000 was set aside. It was established by the county and that is county money as a backup and a reserve for the expo hall.

- Okay. And how long does that money have to be maintained until 2043 or is there a different expiration date for that?

- I am looking at a flow chart that goes past 2043 and then \$5,000,000 is still in the I'm still in the flow chart. So it remains in the waterfall.

- Until all the debts are satisfied for K1 rush center expo hall, everything.

- Expo hall ends in 2050 or 2052.

- Okay. And the room tax money that is collected and that's collected by the county, is that correct? It is not collected by the county. It is a tax collected through the room commission. All funds are every hotel submits their money directly to a trust account at associated bank under the room tax commission name. At that point, the trust separates the funds that come in and there's 10% that comes in from all the hotels. 2% immediately goes on to the sub CVB. And then there's 8% that's brought in for room tax, all the municipalities do they get their 4% or their 16% of the 8% and a trust then divvies that money up to their municipalities. They'll make a transfer at the end of that month. And then the remaining money all goes into the room tax stabilization fund. And that starts with waterfall again make sure once that continues to accumulate and then goes to the waterfall.

- And who runs the room tax commission? Who is that?

- Gosh, I don't know. Off the top of my head there were several representatives from each municipality I'd have to pull it back. I mean there's probably about 12 board members who I think we have three that represent the city of Green Bay, a Schwab. And I'm probably a three there's somebody from Howard. Currently the president is Jason Hagar who happens to be from Tundra lodge. There's somebody from the housing association on there. But I think I'm guessing there's about 12 people on there but it could be, I could look that up for you.

- Would the room tax commission be the people that would know if there's going to be or not going to be enough money in the buckets for any of these payments to be made?

- They would only have that informant based on projections that they received from the trustee at associated bank.

- Okay. So, but they're the ones that would know. I mean, if anyone's going to know it's going to be them correct, or is it the trustee at associated bank that's going to know if there's going to be enough money to make these payments?

- He would know enough. And he attended a November and the January room tax commission and gave a projection on where he thought the money was going to be.

- And at that time, was there any concern? No, actually when we discussed it in November and in February, there was not any concern at that point about the June 1st payment in April when they had the room techs commission meeting it really wasn't even discussed because they didn't think there was a concern that there would not be enough to cover the KI convention expansion six one payment.

- So sometime after that April meeting, the county government I'm not sure exactly who became concerned that they would not be able to make their December payment. And at that time that's when they decided to hang on to the money that we thought or assumed we would have available to us for this June 1st payment. Is that your understanding?

- I think that's, I believe that's what happened. Correct.

- Alright. And when the county says they're tapping into the two point into the reserve fund the \$5,000,000 reserve fund, what are they tapping into it for?

- Again, I don't have all the numbers again, the county but they would have to tap it into they would have to tap it to, there was not enough money from the, after they make their payments. And after a bond year then they have to start filling up the buckets again to make sure that they have enough to pay for the KI. You've mentioned expansion on and on the rush center for the next year's payments. So at the beginning of a new bond year they have to start what they would call full of, fill up the buckets, make sure there's enough money in there to pay their debt service. And because there wasn't enough to make their debt service for this next year because of 2020 COVID year they had to tack back. They had to tap back into things that had fallen into the U-Tech stabilization fund. Now they had to pull some banks back cause there wasn't enough to make the payments for KI and for the rest center for this new bond year.

- Okay. Thank you very much.

- Thanks Alder. Any other comments or questions?



- It looks like Vender Leest. Vander lease would like to speak.

- Yeah. Yup. Alder Vander leest.

- Due diligence that they have the reserve funds that, that we were able to use. And I think if you look at, you know, 2020 as far as the room techs throughout the whole state of Wisconsin, it was pretty flat right across the board as far as this type of tax collection. So I think that, you know, moving forward we're going to be in much better condition. I think the money will come in here. If we happen to be a little short that we can't make it we will have to figure out how we gonna make the money make the payment in December. But let's, let's hope that we have a good from now until December when it's due that we'll, you know do well in the room tax and that we'll be able to make our payments. And I think that overall, you know, we're fortunate that we have the 500,000 that we can fall back on and that's why it was set up. And, and, and nobody predicted this type of year that we've had this last year in 2020. There's numerous businesses that have shut right down. They're done. And, you know, we're fortunate that we can move forward and and we're hoping that, you know it's going to be a plus when it comes to December. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Johnson.

- Thank you, mayor. And whether it's Director Ellenbecker or Attorney Chavez who answers this, I'm not sure, but is there anyone else in that waterfall that's being impacted by the room tax shortfall?

- At this point, I'm going to say it's really word pacted into June 1st here, 2021, because there's not enough money. There's nothing falling lower into the waterfall but nobody is negatively impacted at this moment. Nobody has to come up with money to make a payment. If that's what you're asking again, less money falling in that's less money that's going to be as for for future, I guess, steps within the waterfall.

- Okay. Does that answer your question?

- It does. Thank you. And to me at the heart of this conversation we've found a way to obviously make the payment now it's trying to prepare ourselves for what could happen in December. And so if there is a short fall in December, what are the ways that you would anticipate that we would cover that shortfall? I mean, based on our conversation at finance it was my understanding levy dollars. If that's the case, would that be 2021 levy dollars? Or could we borrow from Wisconsin trust fund? Are there other ways to make that payment? We, there would be no, nothing has been set aside in the 2021 budget for this payment. So it couldn't be I don't think it would be 2021 lobby dollars. I mean, unless you would pull from some kind of contingency of a fund or from a fund to balance, we have we did not budget for short falling in 2021.

- Right? And I think that's my concern. If the payment comes due and we have to pay or default on a bond, we're obviously going to pay where where's that money going to come from?

- There is one additional reserve fund that is set aside. When you, when you set up the initial loan it's called a debt reserve fund. And it's set aside to make your very last payment. So the money that I need for the 2043 debt service payment is in another fund at at the associated bank in the trust set aside for our very last debt payment according to our financial advisor, that is a very last pace you're going to want to tap, but that is a possibility that would cover our December payment if needed that we would have to fill back up. But again, that would be the city using their money. So, you know, again, I'm hoping that hopefully the money vocals are falling into the room tax stabilization fund.

- Okay. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder.

- Motions made to go in closed session. Somebody read the language.
- convenient, closed session pursuant to sections 19.851 E Wisconsin statutes for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business if not as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons, the committee will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to section 1980 5.2, I'm sorry 19.852 Wisconsin statutes shall take action items discussed in closed session if appropriate and to consider the remainder of the agenda.
- Thank you. Alder Wery use the board.
- All those in my vote?
- Thank you Alders Gerlach and Scanell.
- And the vote is unanimous to move into closed session. So we will do that.
- I moved to go back into open session.
- We have a motion by Alder Dorff. Seconded by Alder Scanell to return to open session all in favor, signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay.
- The aye's have it.
- I think we do. Alright. So we are back in open session. I'll entertain a motion on the closed session business.
- We proceed as discussed in closed session motion.
- Made by Alder Dorff, secondary by Alder Stevens to proceed as directed in closed session. Any discussion Alder Johnson.
- I believe mayor that we are also going to need I don't know if you want to handle this separately as part of the same motion, but we also need to ratify use of the K12 reserve fund to pay the June, 2021, 2013 RDAK12 bond payment.
- Well, and actually it's a good point. I think the motion as it incorporates kind of both elements where it says authorized staff to use reserve fund continued negotiations with the county as directed. I think that as directed covers what was discussed.
- Alright. So with that, we have a motion and a second further discussion seeing none. All in favor signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay. The aye's, have it. On two ordinances first--
- [Automated Voice] Recording in progress.
- Number three, ordinances first and final reading for adoption.

- No
- No Mayor. You have item number three. That was called under the finance report.
- Oh my apologies. Alright. Item three. Motion made by Alder Scanell.
- Second.
- Seconded by Alder Dorff. Item was pulled by Alder Dorf. Go ahead.
- Thank you. Just to explain to the alders, am I doing feedback here? No. Okay. Just to explain to the elders that were not at finance we had a very robust discussion about the capital improvement plan. We came up with enough to take away from the bonding so that we would get to our \$7,500,000 goal. And that we did that by holding the \$2,000,000 for the main street bridge repairs and the \$1,100,000 for city hall, electrical repairs for a year. And so I am hoping that we can move forward and accept the CIP with the general lebri bond request of approximately \$7,500,000 and to approve that if we did that tonight, by the end of the June meeting then we would be able to do the resolution. I will make that as a motion.
- I think item item three.
- Yeah, it is. Okay. Just making sure. 'Cause it says discussion. I want action, so okay.
- Yep. I think we do have a motion that encompasses those actions. Any further discussion Alder Wery and Alder Scanell.
- Okay. Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I understand the five-year plan is a rolling plan right? Every year we just set a new five-year plan. So something that's four years out this year might disappear next year, move up. Okay. I'm fine with the bonding for this year but I want to vote against the five-year plan. So do we need it separated or...
- So that we're not actually voting on the bonding yet. We'll have resolutions to that effect if I'm not mistaken but director Ellenbecker can correct me if I'm wrong there'll be resolutions that authorize that.
- And I know we haven't had a chance to have the really in-depth conversations and debate with numbers at improvement services for the, you know hoped for improvement increasing in road work. And I know it's set flat for five years, you know, personally I think that should be going up one, 200, 250,000 a year to get to that 3%. So that reason I'm voting against the CIP I'm fine with this year's bonding. It was too late to do much change this year. It's pretty tight, but we card me as a no. Okay.
- Okay. Thank you very well. Thank you. Alder Scanell.
- This question is recovered. These funds end up being replaced with some money that we may be getting perhaps down the road from the state or the fed so on.
- Yes. Hopefully, especially on the main street bridge that item was, was held over partially for that reason. I'll allow Alder Dorff 'course, to chime in. But Governor Evers put money for the the main street bridge in his budget. I think it was \$1,300,000. So not the entirety of the cost, but a good chunk of it. The state legislature, the joint finance committee hasn't yet gotten to the DOD budget. So that's still kind of an open question at this point.
- Yeah.

- And then the other piece of it would be the committee that was accepted tonight Alder Johnson's idea about the ad hoc committee looking at the building. If we're going to maybe move forward with that. We maybe don't, we may still have to do some of the health and safety measures for city hall but we'll put that off for one year.

- Great. Thanks Alders. Any further comments? Seeing none...

- Thank you. Sorry about that. No, go ahead. I was going to ask all their worry, you know I kind of feel a little bit like you do on this as well. You know, I think, you know, with the, you know, with the monies that are out there with her I'm having a brain Misuser what is the fund that we're paying into wheel tax? Thank you very much. I was on vacation, so I'm a little tired, the wheel tax. I mean, there's money was brought up with that and you know we we've talked at length about improving it up to toward 3% and what are we at right now? I mean, anybody, can anybody tell you what we're at? Roughly? Well, the report. Okay. And I, you know, I think and I listened to all the conversations that went on in and there were a lot of very good conversations and I think a lot of it is very good but I think the one point that I have a little trouble with is what the roads, you know that we need to tighten that up a little bit. So is that something that we were needing to vote on tonight on that five-year plan? I agree with the entire plan pretty much but the road issue is something that I have concerns with is as well.

- Sure. So yeah, I appreciate that. I mean, and obviously don't want to get into a running discussion, but in terms of the, you know the percentage that are being replaced increasing that to 3%, that's a dramatic jump. We've increased depending upon the metric that you use 30 to 40% in the last couple of years in comparison to the 10 year average. And I think Director Grenier has been pretty clear in saying we're at the point where we can sustain that increase but to go above it, we're going to have to find additional revenue sources somewhere else. So that's kind of the box that we're in at this point but certainly I'd love to see more roads reconstructed and resurfaced as well.

- And in your estimation though, do you feel that the citizens are fairly satisfied with some of this? I mean, the comments you may be getting from the public in general, that we're on board with this. I mean, what's your take on that?

- You know, I haven't received any recent feedback on the CIP per se, over the last year. You know, I think people were maybe focused on some other things, but we've definitely seen a decrease in people talking about need for road repair. And I think part of that is credit to our DPW staff and all the maintenance that's been done. I think that's really, you know been improved in recent years especially with the increased use of mastic, you know rather than some of the dry mix or hot mics or what have your cold mix or hot mix or what have you. So, you know, I think people want to be able to drive on a relatively smooth road. Right? I don't think they necessarily care if it's been reconstructed or, or resurfaced. I think that's the primary.

- Right like I said, a couple of years, you know a year or year and a half ago, I went through the Peyser report and I did a lot of work on that looking at it and trying to give it some information to the alders about the road conditions. That's something I probably shouldn't have had to do but I did it because I think it was really important. And I just don't feel that, you know you can get lip service on some of this stuff too but I think it's very important that we're transparent here and we need to have a map or a report or something out there that says, "Okay this is what we're doing." We need to see it because the citizens ask us and we need to see it.

- Yeah. And I mean, I think that's a really good point. Director Honick has worked on that with his team along with of course Director Grenier and DPW staff. So there is that there is a map that, you know that indicates CIP projects over the next five years once this is authorized, you know, that could very well be or easily made public so people can track both the you know, the Peyser report or whatever the terminology is for in terms of the condition of the roads. But then also, you know, what the plans are going forward that Director Honick

I did I did show a software application at the council meeting that showed the CIP and the pavement ratings. And I can show you that app and teach you how to use it. That'd be great.

- Yeah. That's very helpful. Alright. Any Alder Lefebvre other and then Alder Johnson. Go ahead.

- Oh, okay. What about now? The governor is holding the funds from the feds for I thought infrastructure was part of it. And my understanding is that the governor he can allocate the legislature they're upset because they can't, you know, well they can chime in, but the governor actually has the control where those funds are. Where does that stand? And because I believe that a lot of it was infrastructure. Was it not?

- Well, it depends what your definition of infrastructure is in the American rescue plan. You can use these dollars for sewer, water and broadband. So roads and bridges are not something that's eligible with with these federal dollars by and large. I mean, there might be a few ways to get around that or especially when you're talking about city hall some of these upgrades, maybe to our mechanical systems especially if the impact HPAC, you know that might be a justifiable expense, but roads and bridges broadly speaking, not something that we can use federal L these federal dollars for, there's a lot of talk about transportation, transportation, bill or an infrastructure bill, you know in the coming months maybe but the American rescue plan does not cover that stuff.

- Okay. Thank you. I forgot that.

- Thank you. Yup. Alder Johnson.

- Thank you mayor. So the one thing I think is important is that we separate the, the bond discussion from the capital improvement plan and certainly they're interrelated but there will be an opportunity, I think for us to talk about specifics within the bond request. You know, I'll be the first to say that I was kind of, you know, anticipating more of a discussion about the capital improvement plan tonight looking at the schedule that we've got coming up for bonding, because there are a few things that were in the capital improvement plan that I looked at. And I'm like, I don't know if I want to bond \$2,500 for software. Like, I think that should be a levy supported expense. And so I would personally, I'm probably going to take a little closer look at that bond request when it comes up in certain things like that. And because I think there are a few things like I said, that, that I would prefer to see handled in the city's annual budget. But the one thing, you know, and Alder Wery he talked about of course he wants to oppose it because he wants to see the number go up. And I appreciate the principal position on that. And I agree with the sentiment, but I also recognize that this capital improvement plan has got to come back to us every year. And there's no way for us really at this point especially for roads that require time to engineer there's no way for us, you know to make significant modifications for this year. And so I would anticipate that when this comes back next year we'll have that opportunity to grow up. Because if there's one thing that I'm certain with is that I don't want to see this body be the reason or the roadblock of why we're not doing more roads. And I think everyone at this table tables right now could could hopefully agree that this is the thing that's most in the mind of our taxpayers. And we need to find a way to provide enough resources to make significant changes there. So I'm not going to oppose the capital improvement plan because I do think we have plenty of opportunity for those modifications. And I do expect that we would see more funding allocated in future versions of this.

- Thanks Alder.

- Any thoughts? Seeing none. all in favor, signify by saying, aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. And that item has been accepted, approved and held under ordinances first and final reading for adoption. We have, well, I think we want some might want to discuss one of these items

but I would entertain that motion for items one and two. There has been a motion to suspend the rules and take up or items one and two with one roll call vote that was made by Alder Scanell. And second by Alder Stevens. All in favor will signify by saying, aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. And I believe motion to adopt made by Alder Scanell. Second and by Alder Steuer and I believe attorney Chavez do we need two thirds vote?

- That is correct because every these are all first and final. All of these have to be done by a two thirds vote.

- Okay. So just wanted to note that but we will use the board on these two items.

- Thank you all there's you may vote.

- Alder Johnson? Alder Johnson has recorded.

- It's not coming up for me, but I'm yes.

- Thank you.

- And those are adopted 12 zero. Now to item three. Motion to adopt made by Alder Scanell, seconded by Alder Stevens. As I noted I think we'd like to have a little bit of a discussion here. There was some question about the expiration date of the ordinance. So I just would like to go to attorney Chavez to explain why that was included and then allow for some discussion among council.

- So the reason that was included is because there was some question as to whether or not it should be an issue that continues permanently. The date that was proposed is end of the year so that there is an audit automatic expiration that kind of mirrors what's going on with this pandemic. And it gives the council an opportunity to see if things are working. If they feel like the remote attendance is making it easy for the public for staff and for the council to participate. The date could, I mean, it's an ordinance so the council can always choose to sunset it or to repeal it early. It could also choose to take it up before it expires and adopted on a permanent basis. It's really up to the council. We just added that timeframe in there to allow the council to see how it's actually operating before it's a permanent deal.

- Thanks attorney Chavez. I know Alder Dorff and potentially Alder Brunette had some thoughts on this. So Alder Dorff.

- Right. I had thought, first of all, that I agree that we I would like to have this ordinance in effect. I think it would be really helpful. There was an example brought up that I'll let Alder Brunette bring up when it's his turn to speak, because it was a great example. But if, and the example I brought up was about three years ago, most you weren't here. Some of you were, I had influenza. I came with a 102 fever to a city council because I felt like I had to be here. I just had to be here now, the pandemic I would never do that again. Never would, I didn't even think about it exposing other people. I just felt like I have to go to city council. So having the opportunity to do that from home if one was ill, you know, but you could still, you know stay conscious and vote appropriately. Or if, you know, one was unable to be in town because they were on vacation or something to still be able to attend. I hope that, you know sooner than later we mostly be together but I think it would be a really nice option to have for us since we know it can work. It really can work if we need it to.

- Other thoughts on that question or other elements? Alder Galvin.

- I agree. Just testing. And I agree with Alder Dorff I think it makes it much easier for us to do the job that we were elected to do. And at the same time, I think even more so for the public that would have to have sat here for three hours now, potentially on our, oh, we got rid of the old wooden benches, which were pretty uncomfortable. But this allows them to, to participate more in the governmental process than ever before. And they can do it from the comfort of their home or even if they're on vacation or on the road somewhere but it gives them the opportunity to make the comments that they feel that that should be made. And I think that's even more important than what it does for the elders. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Brunette.

- Yeah. Thank you Mayor. Alder Dorff made reference to something that I brought to her attention the mayor's attention when we spoke earlier today and that is there was a former council member who was called up to active duty in the coast guard. And I think at the time I was on the council but I think there were some difficulties getting that person permission to participate. So there are rare circumstances where a person at home you know, could do the job from home including a member of our council tonight. There's a very good reason why a person is participating from home. There could be a situation where one of the council members is with a family member convalescing due to ill health or injury. So there are a lot of situations I prefer to be in person always. I love government. Believe it or not. Even when these meetings run long, certain energy of being part of the government process in person. But I also realize we are at a time in our history where we have remote possibilities that the pandemic has caused us to explore. So I'm really conflicted. I don't think it does us any harm to remove the sunset on the ordinance, this time of resolution, whatever it is. I will say I'm conflicted though. It's not an easy vote to make, but I do like the flexibility for members of our governing body, the 12 or 13 of us here but also a year from now when we have a new council that gets sworn in. So, you know, we can always change it. So that's all. Thank you.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Scanell.

- I think, yeah. People bring up their experiences. It reminds me of that I came on the day, my sister died. I felt I had come to the, there was I don't remember what the vote was, but it was I felt I had to be here for the vote and I left in it before the meeting was over but I had to come for that vote. So certainly that, you know there are definitely times when I think this would be good. I don't have a problem with the sunset clause now to try it out and see, and then make a decision later. Will this be something we need to remember? Or will staff be bringing this up before it expires?

- We won't be relying on you to remember.

- Thank you. Thank you.

- Mayor.

- Alder Lefebvre go ahead.

- Yeah. I'd like to say, let's keep it till the end. The, no, actually with this pandemic. We still don't have the hard immunity on the vaccinations yet. We ifs and ands or buts and you know things that we have to go through yet on realize and we're going to go through a summer where we're going to have a lot of people who are not vaccinated. You're going to have a lot of people getting together and we just don't know what might happen. We got another new variant coming in even as so vaccinated, hopefully, you know, we're protected. And I'm glad I was able to do a Zoom tonight because I've been very stressed here lately, my husband. And, but now I'm just very, very tired. I haven't been sleeping very well. So I'm glad that I was able to, sorry, come here at Zoom.

- Absolutely thanks Alder. Any final thoughts? Alder Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Yeah, I probably have flipped my view of this total year round. I used to be very much in favor of you had to be at the meeting and in, in my 19/20 years or whatever being on the council, I've missed I think twice and both times I was sick. I had nothing. They might have strep strep throat both times. And I certainly wasn't going to come and spread that around everybody. And those were the only times I missed. Now, if I had this option, I would have missed any meeting. So I, you know, there's a lot of options now with technology that I think this is a good thing. Great.

- So are you gonna leave the expiration date for now?

- My understanding was that we had to keep it in, but I wasn't clear.

- Right? I didn't hear attorney Chavez offer a strong legal argument for keeping the date in. Attorney Chavez is that correct?

- It's entirely a big pleasure of the council.

- I would like to move that we eliminate the clause number four, that amendment and amend it with that elimination.

- Alder Dorff makes an amendment to remove expiration date from the ordinance. It was seconded by Alder Scanell. Alder Stevens.

- Attorney. So when we were at the committee level there was discussion regarding keeping the clause in and there was a reason why to keep the clause in. Can you please explain that to the council?

- If my recollection is correct it's because there was concern that that the members of the council and or committees would be attending from far away from a distance rather than from within the city. And so we were tasked with determining whether or not there was something we should do to prevent that from being abused and second, whether or not there was anything that would prevent the members by law firm, from carrying out their duties when they are no longer in the city we have not found anything to date which would prohibit the anybody who's who carries an office from fulfilling their office once they are out of state or out of the city. So with that, I don't see any issue with it from that aspect of it.

- Thanks attorney Chavez. Thanks Alder. Any other discussion on the amendment? All in favor.

- Alder Lefebvre.

- Can you explain to me, I'm sorry, here I am tired. Why we want to take C---

- So Alder Dorf is offering an amendment to remove the expiration date on the ordinance. So this would allow for the indefinite remote attendance of council meetings.

- Okay. Thank you. Sorry.

- No problem. All in favor of that amendment will signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay.

- Nay.



- The aye's have it. And that amendment. Alder Scanell makes a motion to adopt as amended. That was seconded by Alder Dorff and we will use the board.
- Thank you Alders, you may vote. Alder Johnson.
- It's not coming up but yes.
- Thank you. And that ordinance is adopted 11 to one. Onto resolutions. Alder Scanell makes a motion to suspend the rules and take up these items with one roll call vote. That was seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor of the motion please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay. You guys have the rules are suspended. Alder scanell makes a motion to adopt the resolution seconded by Alder Galvin any discussion? We will use the board.
- Alders may vote.
- Alder Vander leest wishes to be noted as a voting no on item number three.
- Thank you. Only on item three?
- Correct. Okay. Thank you.
- Alder Steuer is a yes.
- And then I also Alder Steuer and I did not hear.
- Johnson is yes.
- Thank you Alder Steuer.
- Yes for Steuer.
- Okay. And then, so I'm showing this Alder Vander Leest. Sorry, show the screen. So I'm showing Alder Vander Leest says yes but Alder Vander Leest I will fix your vote for number three as a no.
- Alright. Thank you Clerk Jeffreys. On two ordinances First reading. Alder Scanell makes a motion to suspend the rules and take up these items with one roll call vote. That was seconded by Alder Stevens. All in favor of the motion, please signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. The rules are suspended. Motion to advance made by all their scanell, seconded by Alder Stevens. Any discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. And these items have been advanced to a final reading petitions and communications. Alder Wery.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor, I do have one Improvement Service Committee request a 20 year history of road repairs including alleys sort by total expenditure miles completed and type reconstruct and resurface. Thank you.

- Thank you Alder. Any others? Alder Brunette?

- Yes. Improvement in services for discussion with possible action on granting easements to I&T and other public utilities for installment in infrastructure work in the public right of way specifically, but not entirely in the area of Parkwood, fawn and packer land.

- Thanks Alder. Any others or should refer? Motion has been made to refer all petitions and communications to the proper authority has made an Alder Scanell, a second about other Stevens, all in favor, signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay

- The aye's have it. Those have been referred to me by elders kennel second and by older weary all in favor, signify by saying aye.

- Aye. Opposed nay.

- Motion to adjourn.

- Motion to adjourn made by Alder Scanell. Seconded by Alder Wery. All in favor signify by saying aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed nay. The aye's have it. We're adjourned. Thanks everybody.