



MINUTES OF THE IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICES COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, MAY 26, 2021, 6:00 PM

Immediately following Park Committee which begins at 5 P.M.

Virtual Meeting. Public may join via Zoom.

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. This item contains documents which provide call in information and instructions for the Zoom meeting.

B. ROLL CALL.

Present: Ald. Jesse Brunette, Ald. Randy Scannell, Ald. Chris Wery, Ald. Lynn Gerlach

C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to approve the agenda of the Wednesday, May 26, 2021 Improvement and Services Committee meeting.

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to approve the minutes of the Wednesday, May 12, 2021 Improvement and Services Committee meeting.

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

E. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on request by Melissa Gebert for permission to allow around-the-clock street parking adjacent to 326 12th Avenue for health care workers for her daughter.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to approve the request by Melissa Gebert for permission to allow around-the-clock street parking adjacent to 326 12th Avenue for health care workers for her daughter.

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on a constituent idea about improving fall loose leaf collection (held at the May 12, 2021 Improvement and Services Committee meeting). (Ald. Brunette)

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to receive and place on file the constituent idea about improving fall loose leaf collection. (Ald. Brunette)

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action to implement a No Mow May policy to allow Green Bay residents to voluntarily participate in No Mow May (referred from the May 19, 2021 Sustainability Commission). (Ald. Scannell)

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to open the floor for discussion.

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to close the floor for discussion.

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to refer to staff to develop a pollinator friendly policy or guidance in anticipation of May 2022 allowing Green Bay residents to voluntarily participate in No Mow May. (Ald. Scannell)

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on how the City of Green Bay can achieve an annual 3% replacement rate of its roads. The action plan would include anticipated increased borrowing requests (held at the April 28, 2021 Improvement and Services Committee meeting). (Ald. Wery)

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to hold until the next Improvement and Services Committee meeting on how the City of Green Bay can achieve an annual 3% replacement rate of its roads. The action plan would include anticipated increased borrowing requests. (Ald. Wery)

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on the report of the Procurement Manager:

A. To award the contract for upgrading the HVAC controls at Fire Station #2 to Trane for the sum of \$39,201. All work and equipment is covered under the ONMIA Group Purchasing Cooperative. Quote attached.

Account number: 4174120 55201 41154 – previously bonded building repair money

B. To award the contract for hauling stockpiled street sweepings to MJT Trucking LLC at a rate of \$8.49/ton (estimated total \$113,766). MJT Trucking was the lowest responsible bidder to RFB 2021-19. Bid summary attached.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve the report of the Procurement Manager:

A. To award the contract for upgrading the HVAC controls at Fire Station #2 to Trane for the sum of \$39,201. All work and equipment is covered under the ONMIA Group Purchasing Cooperative. Quote attached.

Account number: 4174120 55201 41154 – previously bonded building repair money

B. To award the contract for hauling stockpiled street sweepings to MJT Trucking LLC at a rate of \$8.49/ton (estimated total \$113,766). MJT Trucking was the lowest responsible bidder to RFB 2021-19. Bid summary attached.

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on the review and award of the following contracts:

- A. BRIDGE 2-21 (MONROE AVENUE B-5-255 EXPANSION JOINT RECONSTRUCTION)
- B. PARKS 1-21 (COLBURN PARK LITTLE LEAGUE RENOVATION)

Moved by Ald. Lynn Gerlach, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve the award of the following contracts:

A. BRIDGE 2-21 (MONROE AVENUE B-5-255 EXPANSION JOINT RECONSTRUCTION) to the low responsive bidder, Zenith Tech, Inc., in the amount of \$147,421.00.

B. PARKS 1-21 (COLBURN PARK LITTLE LEAGUE RENOVATION) to the low responsive bidder, Peters Concrete Co., in the amount of \$657,676.75.

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Report of actions taken by Department of Public Works

A. Granting of Licenses

I. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Delrar, Inc.
- b. Paul Conard Construction Co., Inc.
- c. Poblocki Paving Corp.
- d. Sanchez Concrete

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to receive and place on file the report of actions taken by Department of Public Works.

A. Granting of Licenses

I. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Delrar, Inc.
- b. Paul Conard Construction Co., Inc.
- c. Poblocki Paving Corp.
- d. Sanchez Concrete

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

F. INFORMATIONAL.

I. Director's Report on recent activities of the Public Works Department.

Moved by Ald. Lynn Gerlach, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to receive and place on file the Director's Report on recent activities of the Public Works Department.

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

2. The next Improvement and Services Committee meeting is scheduled for June 9, 2021.

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to approve to adjourn the Improvement and Services Committee meeting.

Motion passed.

Vote: Yes- Chris Wery, Jesse Brunette, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Okay, we're having some issues. We had a power spike at City Hall this morning, and it knocked out the normal recording on the board. We were actually recording using the zoom computer that's over at the podium, so that's why we were kind of shuttling back and forth. But, Jim, do we have everyone logged in?
- [Jim] Yeah, I think so
- Okay, we've got everybody logged into Civic Clerk and I see everybody here in attendance personally. So I think we are ready to start up.
- All right, the May 26th, 21. Improvement Service Committee will come to order and we will note everyone present. Alder Scannell, Alder Brunette and Alder Gerlach, and myself. Could I get an approval of-
- Permission to approve the agenda.
- Motion by Alder Scannel to approve.
- [Gerlach And Brunette] Second.
- Second by Alder Gerlach, any changes? Those in favor.
- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.
- Post, motion carried. D, approval of the minutes.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- Motion by Alder Scannell, second by Alder Gerlach. Any changes there, those in favor?
- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.

- Post, that carries. Regular business number one, consideration with possible action on request by Mellissa Gebert for permission to allow around the clock speed parking adjacent to 326 12th Avenue for healthcare workers for her daughter.

- This is an annual renewal request for previously approved action. Those of you who've been on the committee for awhile, know that we do grant these on an annual basis, we just require that the applicant come back once a year to demonstrate that the permit is still needed. That is the case here, so staff does recommend approval.

- [Gerlach And Scannell] Motion to approve.

- Motion by Alder scannell, second by Alder Gerlach. Any discussion on this one, those in favor?

- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.

- Post, that carries. Number two, consideration with possible action on a constituent idea about improving fall loose-leaf collection, this was held from May 12th. And it was held, Alder Burnett is this your item?

- Yeah, if you recall chairman at the last meeting, Mr. Pirlot had given pretty good indication that there wasn't much that the city could do because there are higher levels of government that dictate this and I think there was some funding that would not be provided the city if we violated this. Resident had a good idea but what I would ask public works to do with, and Steve I know you weren't at the last meeting, will be to for sure definitively look at whatever state or federal statute or law governances to see if the city could make an exception for hardship, if they simply had a good reason not to comply with where the leaves should go on the terrace. So that's all, that's the background.

- Okay, and there is a memo in the packet that Operations Director Pirlot provided. And there's also some information regarding a sub-section of section 9.04 of Green Bay Municipal code. The short answer of it is we have been working collaboratively with the department of natural resources for the MS4 permitting to, there was a study that went on for I believe around 10 years, that took a look at the effects of having the leaves in the terraces versus in the street. And eventually DNR has granted the cities the ability to take a credit towards their MS4 permit, if they have an ordinance and they enforce the ordinance to keep the leaves on the terrace versus in the street. If we don't enforce that, and we do allow people to put them in the street, it's not like we're getting a penalty, but instead of building hard infrastructure like a pond or an active treatment system, we get to take credit on our MS4 permit for achieving reduction in phosphorus and TSS, by keeping the leaves up on the terrace. Right now, the stormwater utility because we're able to get that credit, the stormwater utility is contributing \$200,000 a year towards a loose-leaf and spring yard waste curbside collection and they put in \$270,000 towards the operation of the yard waste sites to convert that collected material to mulch. If the stormwater utility is not able to realize the credit, then the stormwater utility would no longer contribute that, and that's about \$470,000 of levy dollars that we would have to come up with in the budget. So staff is pretty deep seated in their position about making sure people keep it up on their terrace. Now, one of the things that we have done this year for the spring collection that we will continue to do for fall loose-leaf and for all subsequent collections is we've taken a very active role in social media, using our Facebook page to let residents know where we are on a daily basis and where they can expect us, so that folks don't have to rake that stuff out to the curb and leave it sit

there for a week. So folks have a better ability to plan, the material won't be on the terrace for as long, maybe a day or two and then we're coming through picking it up and taking it. So there have been some limited instances if it's truly a hardship case. What we ask is for people to contact our office, and we've been making accommodations, there was a resident on Mount Mary Drive who contacted me during the spring collection period and said he literally doesn't have a terrace. He has a three foot high retaining wall, and there's no way for our equipment to pick off of the top of that wall. So again, because of the social media what we did is we said, keep a tab on our social media page, if we're coming to your neighborhood either today or tomorrow, then call the office, find out when we're coming, exactly when we're gonna be there. The guy actually met us out in the front yard. He raked it off, we picked it up with the equipment as we were coming by. So there are other options that we have to handle true hardship cases. And if there is a true hardship case, that's all we ask, is that somebody go ahead and contact us.

- Sure, that sounds reasonable, so I'll do that. I think on this, we can receive and place on file.

- Second.

- Motion by Alder Brunett, second by Alder Scannell to receive and place on file. Any other discussion, those in favor?

- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.

- Post, motion carried. Now of course, I forgot to mention everything. Tonight goes to council next week for final discussion and approval, Tuesday night. And we are on to number three, consideration with possible action to implement a No Mow May policy to allow Green Bay residents to voluntarily participate in No Mow May, referred from May 19th, Alder Scannell.

- Did we skip two? I guess it doesn't matter, does it?

- That was-

- That's what we were just on.

- The branch was number two.

- I'm with you now. Yes, okay. I brought this back because I have been hearing from people that they really, really, really, really, really really want to do this, and I started thinking, well, two things. One, I think it's good that people want to get involved in green behaviors and we wanna promote green behaviors. I think as we move forward here, we're gonna be asking more and more of our residences to change some of their habits and become more green conscious and adopt green behaviors. And so this would be good synergy for that. And secondly, since we started the idea of natural gardens and registering them, I thought perhaps this could save, we could use that for No Mow May that they would, you can't do it unless you're registering for it, and that would hopefully, I was hoping that that would take some of the pressure off Director Grenier's staff. And also if they have to sign a contract, we could design that contract to meet whatever DPW needs are to alleviate this, for example, if they don't mow we could, the fee could be much more, whatever staff thinks is appropriate and we could maybe even farm out the job to mow the lawn perhaps to help alleviate staff's load. So it was all those thinking, all those thoughts, that brought me to bring this back to see what everybody thought about

that. We talked about that sustainability, and I believe, university is here for, the group, but we decided that we really do wanna encourage green behaviors, we wanna encourage more. Our emphasis will still be plant native gardens as opposed to letting the grass grow. It's a much better way to help out pollinators and to go natural and for drainage and everything else. But as long as there's an interest in this, I know they're doing inter-peer and they're seeming to have some pretty good success there. If we can get this worked out where we can not make this a headache for DPW, it would be a good thing to do.

- Committee, any questions, otherwise, director, Alder Stauer.

- Thank you Chair. Steve, I don't know, I drive around the district, I know a lot of us Alders do and you see a lot of long grass at times on different homes. I'm thinking that even though this isn't an official policy, are you, I suppose it would have to be okay before you move forward with things, but there's been a number of yards that are, the grass is very long and in some it's over nine inches, and the temptation is to call in those homes, and I'm just looking for a little guidance on that, maybe right now, if we should still continue to do that, or until we have some kind of a policy on No Mow May. Just some advice, that's all.

- Director.

- Okay. To be honest, staff has not changed their position from last year. We do find that the intent of the initiative is great. However, there are several logistical problems that go along with it. The biggest of which is that it does lead to issues with long grass and weed complaints where we get behind, and even when we're keeping up with the mowing through May, we still have a tough time up with the mowing if we have a good grass growing season. So staff is extremely concerned that we will wind up in a hole that we never recover from if we go to a No Mow May, because let's face it, there are people who are going to abuse the policy. Currently when we made the change to the natural landscaping ordinance, we now allow vegetation to grow to eight inches in height. Used to be nine, and still not be subject to the long grass and weed complaint. What we found in speaking to industry experts last year was that length is still long enough to allow for the lower growing flowering species and dandelions especially, to grow up and provide the same habitat without changing the ordinance and having a No Mow May. So, I mean, eight inches is still pretty long to allow those species to grow up and provide the habitat that we're looking for. One of the other problems that we have is that if folks are using herbicides to control dandelions, then that's all they're doing is unmanaged growth. It's not providing the habitat that it's intended to, and it's very, very difficult to justify, allowing somebody to let their grass go unmanaged when they've applied a broadleaf herbicide that's killing the dandelions that are supposed to be providing the habitat for these pollinating species. So again the other problem that we have is the long grass does provide habitat for vectors. And we've had rat problems in various parts of the city. We don't wanna encourage that habitat for those vector problems to come up. By way of, just some numbers to think about. In 2019, we responded to 1,479 complaints for long grass and unmanaged growth, in 2020, we had 1065. So this is a very big problem around the city. When the Council Committee made a recommendation, council approved that action last year, it wasn't simply to deny the No Mow May, it was not to enact the No Mow May and then put directive over to the sustainability commission to develop pollinator corridors throughout the city. And there, I know throughout the course of late last year, early this year, folks in Community and Economic Development were doing an inventory of parcels, any properties that were owned by the city, with the intent of trying to find parcels that could be sold off and redeveloped. But with that, I know for a fact there are many of these small remnant parcels, little

corners that we acquired along Velp Avenue. Half parcels that we've acquired up Webster Avenue for instance, that right now are just in turf grass. And to identify those types of parcels, underutilized parts of city parks, border areas up against, up against woods, things of that nature, where a more sustainable longterm approach could be brought in. To be very, very honest with you, the No Mow May doesn't have a whole lot of scientific backing behind it. When I talked to some experts last year, they kind of compared it to providing junk food for the pollinators. It's really not a good long-term source. And instead, if the city were to take the next step and provide a sustainable renewable self-sustaining pollinator corridors throughout the city to help us do the same thing, but have a more meaningful impact, that, that would be the better way to go. So that was the action that the committee recommended last year, that's where we still are, that we feel that's the better alternative to do in this case.

- A benefit you could address that, I mean the differences, I mean I'm not fixing the exact same thing as last time, it is different in that we would have, people would need to register, otherwise if they're not registered and that's why if we get a grass complaint, you can just go see if they're registered, if they're not, you can go up and hit 'em. So people would need to register, and we could make that registration form be whatever we need DPW to have in place to support, and all those other initiatives are not being set aside, we definitely wanna go forward with making as much with pollinator corridors and can, and encouraging people, which I think is a much better way planting than letting your grass grow, which is taxed when you're done, so that's still something we still wanna focus and emphasize but there is a benefit I think to the city to get people involved with green behaviors, and if this is what they really wanna do, I mean it's not like you can, you wanna encourage people, and that you know, say, "Oh no, you can't do that, do this," you lose people. So if we can make it that the policy be they have to register, if they're not registered, you get a complaint they're not registered, you go out and handle it like before. And if they're registered we could make that a policy, an agreement that, like I said we could charge a hundred dollars fee and then pay someone to mow that, and so staff not getting behind or whatever you think might be doable. So that's what I really like to get your feedback on as to how viable and good that is. And I don't know if the list has anything she needs to add or would like to add, or if there's anybody here for this. I know one constituent couldn't make it, but text me, so I'd like to read what he's got in the record but I don't know when we wanna do that.

- Whenever you want, ready?

- Well, we open the floor on this and see if there's anybody here so I can read this at the end or I can maybe that's the only one. I take a motion to open the floor.

- Second.

- Motion by Alder Scannell, second by Alder Gerlach to open the floor. And I see there is a one Juna Paragon Dorff here who wishes to hundredth the council, even though I don't think that's his real name, but those in favor.

- [Gerlach And Brunette] Aye.

- Post, motion scared. Anybody here wishing to address this item?

- I do wish to address the item and I'm helping my son with his computer so, with his homework right now.

- You look different.

- Yeah, yeah, Ned Dorff-

- Can I just get your name and address please.

- Yeah, Ned Dorff, 1321 Emily Street. And I appreciate I and S taking this up again, and sorry I'm a little late to this. I know Steve I had a really good conversation about a year ago about this topic after we brought it up the first time. And I think what he mentioned is really important. It's incumbent upon the city to really look at areas that we could do some even small plantings, on city owned land to help create that pollinator corridor. It makes me happy to hear Steve talking about the corridor because I know he's green at heart, and it's nice to see that come out. I also think that No Mow May has some benefits. It is kind of shifting mindsets and making people realize that they don't need to just use their lawn as kind of a green monocultured landscape. That it's okay to let some of those purple and yellow things pop up once in awhile and feed the bees. Scientifically speaking, ecologically speaking No Mow May while it's a cool idea, and I think it encourages a shift in mindset, it certainly doesn't hurt to mow a couple of times in May. I have found that in my own yard this year, that after the first mow, which was last Monday, it's the first time that my yard was mowed. I had at least three generations of dandelions come up between then and today when it was mowed again. So No Mow May, it's a cool idea for shifting mindsets, I think we should let people try it. I warn everyone who wants to do it like you better be ready for your mower to do some heavy duty. I don't think the city should do it, And I think Steve and I talked about why the machinery for the city is going to get gummed up. It's not maybe we can reduce our mowing, but I wouldn't say go an entire month of May without mowing. And again, I would like to work with Steve and with the Park department to identify some of these publicly owned lands. I think we were about to do that last year, and then this little thing called COVID hit, and the elections and those took everybody's time and attention. So I think now that we're kind of getting back to normal, I would like as a member of the Sustainability Commission in the pollinator corridor work group to set aside some time to meet with Steve or one of his employees, and also with the Parks department to identify some land where we can actually say, "Hey, we're not gonna do this as a city, the No Mow May, with our property, but we are looking at ways to encourage pollinator habitat and it'll be beyond me. It will be from April all the way through October, right? Because we want things growing and blooming that entire growing period. So I support Randy's idea of allowing residents to try it out, I will continue to warn residents that I think not necessarily a great idea, but it is good for shifting a mindset, and I don't think the city should do it on city property. So that's what I wanted to say this year.

- Thank you former Alderman Dorff, and I love the middle name paragon, that's a awesome name.

- Thank you.

- Anyone else here for this item?

- I think that middle name's for the birds.

- Oh boy, I knew that was coming.

- I'll read now will keepers.

- Okay we'll read that memoir.

- He writes, so I cannot speak to the direct local impact No Mo May will have on our bees and other, oh come on don't go dark on me. other pollinators, I do see two additional benefits to the initiative. One, any opportunity we can take to reduce our use of fossil fuels and reduce our carbon footprint is a good opportunity. Two, No Mo May helps increase awareness of how important our pollinators are and the importance of providing a habitat that is suitable to better sustain and support our pollinators. No Mow May spurs more conversations around the topics of incorporating more native plants and flowers into our landscaping, reducing, eliminating the use of pesticides and moving away from the conventional well-manicured lawn, which research has shown isn't the best for our environment. With that, I do have a couple of concerns. One, regardless of the outcome, there needs to be clear communication to our residents as to what is or isn't, lost my place sorry, as to what is or isn't allowed regarding the subject of landscaping and lawns. Already have seen residents of Green Bay with homemade No Mow May signs in their yards, wow I haven't seen it. I don't think they realize that currently Green Bay doesn't recognize this event and the homeowner is vulnerable to being reported due to the length of their lawn. Because this is one month out of the year, this topic will most likely come up every year. Also, some people may not realize that each neighboring municipality may have different standards codes on this subject. And what is allowed in one community may not be allowed in theirs. Two, if No Mow May is adopted, what is the grace period which allows residents the time to mow their lawn without worrying about city action being taken. All this being said, I am in supporter of Green Bay adopting No Mow May and that's it.

- Thank you Alder Scannell. Mr. Dorff, did you have your hand raised again, I see?

- Thank you, one thing I did wanna mention, at Sustainability Commission we did talk about the idea of spraying during May and I was curious where the DPW is at with kind of cosmetic spraying of their lands and maybe where the Parks Department is with cosmetics spraying of their Park lands, or if we have moved away from that as a city, because we do recommend not spraying during May when those bees are coming out. So that was another comment that came up quite a bit during our meeting.

- I think Parks is not.

- And I, anecdotally I can't speak authoritatively 'cause I haven't asked the question, but anecdotally I don't believe we are either. Most of the lands that DPW is charged with routine maintenance on, that being median sections within divided roadways, larger tracks of land that are city owned, that I travel by on a regular basis are largely overgrown with dandelions right now. So just because of our mowing schedule and our inability to keep up with, not worrying about nuisance mowing, but just keeping up with the lands that we're charged with mowing during the summer, our mowing schedule is such that we are getting, we're getting the dandelion growth on the properties that we're responsible for, so I can't believe that we're spraying. I'd be very, very surprised if we were.

- Great, thank you.

- Thanks Steve. Did you say, I thought you said floratively, and I thought that would have been a cool word. Is that an actual word, floratively?

- Authoritatively.

- All right, that's maybe a new word, okay. By anybody else for the open, unless you have a question for Mr. Dorff. Alder Gerlach, otherwise we'll close the floor 'cause I know Melissa, you're your staff so you don't need to have the floor open.

- That Melissa is a staff member and so perhaps we could close the floor and then hear from Melissa.

- Perfect, yep that's-

- Motion to close the floor.

- Second.

- Motion by Alder Gerlach, second by Alder Brunette to close the floor, those in favor?

- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.

- Post, motion carried, all yours Melissa.

- Okay, so I just had a couple of quick things. I am the staff person assigned for Sustainability Commission and one of my recommendations to the commission was that if this does go through that members of the Sustainability Commission are enlisted or highly recommended to help write a policy surrounding No Mow May. Because I do think a written policy should be in place, doing that in concert with DPW but because that involves staff time and staff time is not completely endless. I do think that the members of the Sustainability Commission should be involved with that process. And then also as far as communicating with neighbors, if this does go through, signage would be very helpful, official looking signs, not homemade signs, so that if a neighbor registers and says, "Yes, I'm gonna do No Mow May," that we have signage to put in the front yard, I know other communities are doing this as well. And that may also help curb some of the long grass complaints that get called into the department.

- Appreciate it, questions Alder Dorff, the current Alder Dorff.

- Thank you. I have had many requests to have No Mow May, that people assume that we do because Appleton does or Dapeer does or whatever. So it's certainly on the minds of the people out in this district and I've tried to go through and explain that we don't, we did talk about it, we decided against it, but I just wanted you to know that I get many, many requests to have the No Mow May. Thanks.

- Thanks Alder. Alder Brunette.

- Yeah, I don't, yeah, I don't get many requests, but I'm interested in this. The thing with No Mo May, a name I think is bad and I know why you pick, not you but people pick No Mow May, because the word play. But the problem is that at this point we have five or six days left in May. I try to not cut my grass as long as possible without being like the alderman with the worst lawn in my street, which I

ended up being. But I've cut it twice and I don't spray, I don't put herbicides, so I get dandelions and the purple flowers that Ned is talking about. I love No Mow May, the word that the term is probably part of the problem, because you could probably accomplish a lot of what we're looking to do and still urge people to cut their grass on the 20th of May. Of course, that all depends on the weather in May, April, and May, early May, we happen to have a pretty aggressive grass growing season this year, so it's a bit of an anomaly. So anyways, I thank you Alder Scannell for bringing this forward. I like the idea, I just think we got to figure out the details between now and next January, next February.

- All right, thank you, Alder Scannell.

- Yeah, and I think we're kind of stuck with No Mow May, 'cause that's, I mean it's not just, I mean that's bigger, it's bigger than us and if we tried to tweak with that, we're gonna, it's gonna be work. So I think we're stuck with it, but I definitely think that anybody who goes to register, we should have a policy detailing best practices and what they should and shouldn't do and complete expectations, and I don't think they should be a very long grace period for them to cut the lawn, first week of June or whatever we decide. I really, myself I'm not big on No Mow May, I don't think it's a great idea myself, but I do think it is a green initiative and to get people, people are excited about it, I don't know why, okay, but they are, I mean I've heard about it too, and that's why I brought it back, 'cause I thought maybe we could figure out a way to do it with not burdening DPW 'cause that doesn't work if it ends up being a big problem for DPW. But I think if we come up with a clear policy, people have to register and I think it really can be beneficial. That's so much practically in as far as pollinators, but in getting people into green practices, into green mind thing, 'cause I do really do think as we go forward here, we're gonna have to start addressing residences and how they pollute and how they should change their behaviors. I mean, we're dealing it with other areas. I mean, businesses and farms and we should realize we are the enemy too, we residents, we add to the problem and we're doing it now with leaf collection. I mean, that's a big part of how we as residents pollute, and I think we just gonna need to, as we go forward we talked about this at the Sustainability Commission to start looking at how residences, their carbon footprint and how they pollute, and how they can start changing their behaviors, and what we can do as a city to do that. And I think this is a first step.

- Thank you Alder Scannell. Anybody else on this item? And just a clarification either probably I guess it would be Director Vin or Melissa, with a No Mow May policy, is there, does that mean you can exceed any height? It can just, some dandelions heck can get to 18 inches.

- Yes it does. It quite literally would be like a wild wild west. And I do appreciate the fact that Alder Scannell doesn't wanna place additional burden on DPW staff. The simple truth is one of the reasons that DPW we, and I think you heard this from Mr. Dorff, starting at the top, we embrace the ideal. We want to be sustainable, we wanna be green. We wanna introduce and help foster these types of initiatives. This one in particular, we have some issues with. And primarily it's, I'll be honest with you, it's very selfish, we have cut staffing levels in DPW, to below what I think are minimums, no matter what we do with this, it's gonna create a burden for us, okay? If we require mandatory registration and the signage, somebody is gonna have to pay for and print that signage, somebody is gonna have to take care of the registrations. Somebody is gonna have to follow up on all that stuff. That's staff time that isn't currently available. We have operation staff who's gonna have to go out and monitor these, make sure that the signs are taken down after June 1st, whatever the grace period is. For those folks who don't take care of things on their own, we're gonna have to go out and track all that and then arrange for action to be taken to correct that. I know Alder Scannell made a comment about potentially contracting out the mowing. Somebody on staff is gonna have to arrange for that contract

at which is an additional service that we're not providing right now. So that's additional staff time we're gonna have to worry about there. I like what you said about putting a disincentive type fee, but unfortunately we can't do that. It's a fee for service so we can only charge actual costs. So we can't put any kind of financial disincentive unless it's a penalty or a citation. And when we start getting into citations that opens up a whole new can of worms as far as how we issue the citation, so it's not thrown out in muni-court and okay that's why we use fee for service. That's a long discussion that goes back years. So again as a staff, starting with myself and trickling down through the entire staff, we support this idea, this approach, but we're looking for, number one, a more holistic and truly sustainable. We want something where that vegetation continues to come back year after year after year, establish itself, we'd love to see native Wisconsin vegetation so that it can be truly sustainable and renewable. If the committee decides to go along with the No Mow May, we'll figure it out, we always do. But if I'm being brutally honest, there's no way to approach a No Mow May approach, that's not gonna create some additional burden on the department.

- Thank you, director. Alder Stauer.

- Thank you again, sure. I think a lot of the folks that have spoken tonight, it is, I think it is an interesting concept. I mean, you got to remember this month I've cut my lawn twice and it was like seven inches long the last time, so I've been trying to do it on my own. Well, what I've done is I've put my adjustment on the lawn mower to a very high rate or high spot, and I'm wondering if that is something that would be considered good to cut it higher or not cutting it at all according to, Melissa was talking about a few things too, but I think I liked the concept, but you're right. I drive around my district and I see probably 30 lawns that are just beyond belief, it's almost like, okay. I think there's a combination of laziness there as well, they're not doing it for the right reasons. So, I think if we move forward with something like this, I think it would be nice to have a policy set up to say, "Okay, well you can't use turf builder. You can't do this or that." 'Cause a lot of times we get a lot of, "I got creeping Charlie in my yard," "That I leave it alone." So anyway, just a couple of thoughts, thanks.

- Thank you, Alder Stauer. Any other comments from the committee? I put my little 2 cents worth in. I'm an anti-dandelion. I pick them all all the time, hunt them down. I'll admit it, I have my little picker. But I love violet, and this year was a bumper crop of violence. I had every kind of violence, bright purple, light purple, the white, the mix, they're a low growers. So that's, I think we need to encourage more of the growing of things naturally, I mean I have the spring fall, summer plants, my blue bells are now dying off and they still got the bleeding hearts going and the apple tree blossoms have fallen off now. So those are my early ones and then they give way to all the middle ones and the late ones. So they could just spread those around your yard, and like, you've been talking about that's what we need to do. I haven't had any requests to do a No Mow May. That's just me, I'm sure maybe after this I will. But one thing I do get every year I can tell you, and I think every alder knows this, you get long grass complaints. When spring rolls around you can bet you're gonna get long grass complaints. And I've had a number of those and turned them in already. So I don't know what the overall reaction of the populace will be, they might like it but there's gonna be a lot of people who truly hate it. I think we should focus on bridging native plants and getting those corridors, especially in city owned properties or partnering with people, I think that's the better way to go. So I'm just, it's not, doesn't do it for me, I know. And not 'cause I hate dandelions. I have an electric mower too, and my grass gets too long, you can't make . Alder Scannell

- I just wanna recommend you that you do get rid of deadlines but it sounds like you're digging them up. So that's no, that's okay.

- Me too.
- It's okay.
- I'm that old guy walking around with that little fork thing.
- That's okay, that's all right, I appreciate that.
- Well, what are your wishes committee?
- Receive and place on file.
- Motion by Alder Gerlach to receive and place on file.
- Second.
- Second by Alder Brunette. Any other discussion on that?
- Just one item if I may chair-
- Yeah, go ahead.

- Regardless of how the vote comes out tonight and what the ultimate adoption by common council is. I think there's been a lot of good points that have been brought out. And we, as a department are still very interested in working with people like Ned Dorff on coming up with some guidelines for folks who want to be proactive in establishing pollinator habitat. Not necessarily pollinator corridors like we're talking about, but Ned brought up some great points about letting the grass grow to eight inches. But No Mow is kind of a misnomer, it's minimal Mow May, or something, continuing to develop those kinds of educational materials, working with the Sustainability Commission, And we would very selfishly, we would like to lean on the Sustainability Commission for a number of reasons. Number one, we don't have a lot of staff time. Number two, those folks are more experts in the field than we are, but if we could continue to develop those kinds of educational materials and it eventually gets Portrayed as a No Mow May, I think adopting a No Mow May without having the procedures and policies behind it, would be part putting the cart before the horse, if we could come up with, it's called No Mow May but it's really minimizing the amount to allow these early growing pollinating species, something like that. If we could come up with something like that, that establishes the framework then I think this might be a lot more palatable. So I will make that commitment on behalf of the department of public works, that we are still interested in working with interested folks like Net Dorf, like the Sustainability Commission on helping to develop those kinds of policies in the hopes of getting some sort of a program that actually has some meaning to it in the future.

- Thank you, director, Alder Gerlach then Alder Scannell.
- I recommend that you consider Slow Mow May. And I'm serious, I think you should.
- Well, and I'm wondering if they're receiving a place on file what the director would think, if they refer to staff, did you take that to any board? And it wouldn't just be the director. If staff could, we

did assume include Melissa, I'm sure, and I don't know who all else probably Parks as well to come up with a policy for No Mow May, but for-

- Slow Mow May.
- Or something to that effect.
- I can tell you alder whether you change the motion or not, we're going to do that anyway.
- Right by me, just topically it might, might be better than receiving and place on file.
- I'm willing to withdraw my motion, sir.
- All right, it's withdrawn, what do we have for a motion?
- To refer to staff to come up with policies, but pollinator positive policies for the spring?
- I can second that.
- Right, that's nice positive sounding one.
- It's got alliteration.
- Did you get that Director Vinea?
- What I have is refer to staff to develop a pollinator friendly policy or guidance for residents in anticipation of May, 2022.
- That's exactly what I said.
- Not to include dandelions. I just wanted to get in there, I wanted to get in, see if I can get in that work done, I can. Although I did pull one, there was one I missed and it was, I looked to God , it was a record. I don't know how to snuck in.
- My big bug is thistle, I can't stand thistle.
- Those are fun when you're barefoot in the yard. All right, well, we have a motion on a second. Is there any other discussion, those in favor?
- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.
- Post, motion carried. Thanks Ned, thanks Melissa. We have the agenda here. Number four, consideration with possible action how the City of Green Bay can achieve an annual 3% replacement rate of roads. The action plan would include anticipated increased borrowing requests, this was held on, Director, I didn't see any kind of report attached. Is there going to be one or was there going to be one or?

- We can definitely send the handout that I've got in front of me, I could definitely include that to the committee members as early as tomorrow.
- Because if, I mean, I don't know if it's super times to spend over, we're looking at our borrowing for this year but I'd like to have that in hand. Otherwise we're talking about stuff we're trying to read.
- That's fine-
- We'll be fine with holding this and then forwarding that to everyone.
- That's perfectly fine with us. If there's not a timeliness aspect on this for you in order for us to get this information to you, I'm fine with that as well.
- 'Cause I don't think it's gonna affect this year's borrowing, everything's pretty much set. I know we have a five-year plan but that can always be, plans are plans they can be tweaked but this year is pretty much rock down. So if it's all right with the committee I think we should wait to get this report. We can all look at it, come back and we can have some questions lined up and ready to go. It's up to you, but I'd rather not talk about it and just have to hold it anyway.
- Yeah, motion to hold.
- Sure, could the entire council have that? I'd like that too.
- Yeah, it'll actually become part of the packet.
- No. I've been good, I've been good with it, come on.
- Makes it pretty clear. Okay, we have a motion by Alder Scannell, is there a second move in?
- Second.
- Second by Alder Brunette. I appreciate it Director if you could get that to the entire council, then we can come prepared to talk about it, more of a long-term.
- No, that's fine.
- I don't the whole council should get it after we get it.
- There we go, see. Any other discussion on that, those in favor?
- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.
- Post, that item carries. Number five, consideration with possible action on the report of the Procurement Manager. A, is to award the contract for upgrading the HVAC controls at fire station number two to Trane for the sum of \$39,201. All work in equipment is covered under the O-N-M-I-A, ONMIA Group Purchasing Cooperative, quote is attached.

- So in this case, the purchasing department has worked through a cooperative, which is analogous to working through a state contract, the cooperative bids out on behalf of many communities, these types of procurements, and does the low bidder selection on a mass scale. So by the time we go into the cooperative, they've already bid out these types of things and selected who the low vendor for various services are. So by going into the cooperative, the purchasing manager did not have to go off to individual vendors and solicit quotes to get the low quote. So in this case, the cooperative head selected Trane in the amount of 39,201 as the low responsive vendor. There is a quotation from Trane in the packet, and staff does recommend approval of this.

- Questions from the committee, is there a motion?

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion by Alder Scannell, second by Alder Brunett to approve, and those in favor?

- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.

- Post, motion carried. Five B, to award the contract for hauling stockpiled street sweepings to MJT Trucking LLC at a rate of 8.49 a ton, that was a 49 cents a ton, estimated total 113,766. MJT Trucking was the lowest responsible bidder.

- So we have a purchasing agent has included a summary of the three vendors who responded in the packet. I was a little confused because all of a sudden I'm looking at the far right-hand column and I'm like, wait a minute, usually they keep the low bid or the low vendor to the left, but it is the low responsive vendor. So staff does recommend award of this to MJT Trucking in the amount of \$113,766. This was paid for out of the, I believe this is paid for out of the stormwater utility, because this is the street. This is the de-watered street sweepings that come out of the street sweepers. And it's being hauled down to the Outagamie county landfill.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion by Alder Scannell.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Gerlach. Discussion, right, those in favor?

- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.

- Post, motion carried, that is awarded to MJT Trucking, lowest responsible bidder, responsive, responsible. Number six, consideration of possible action on the review and award of the fallen contracts, A, bridge 2-21 Monroe avenue B-5-255 expansion, joint reconstruction, and B Parks 1-21 Colburn park, Little League renovation.

- We included the bid summary for bridge 2-21 in the packet. We had two bidders respond. Zenith Tech Incorporated was the low responsive bidder. The base bid was in the amount of \$147,421. Even

we did solicit an alternate bid. The alternate bid came in at \$33,290. The alternate was above what we had budgeted for this project. So staff is recommending award to Zenith Tech for the base bid only in the amount of \$147,420.

- Questions, motion?

- Move to approve.

- [Scannell And Brunette] Second.

- Motion by Alder Gerlach, second by Alder Scannell to approve, those in favor?

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Post, motion carried that contract is awarded to Zenith Tech Incorporated.

- Okay, for parks 1-21, unfortunately we were not able to get you the summary in the packet because we only opened the bids yesterday. So, on your screen, you see, we received five bids. Low responsive bidder was Peter's Concrete in the amount of \$657,676.75 cents, We have been in contact with Parks Department. Parks is recommending award to Peters.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Motion by Alder Scannell, second by Alder Brunett to approve, those in favor.

- Aye.

- Post, motion carried, contract awarded to Peters. And number seven, report of actions taken by Department of Public Works, granting of licenses, sidewalk builders, Delrar Inc or Conard construction Company, Inc. Poblocki Paving Corporation and Sanchez Concrete. Those were all awarded.

- Motion to place on file.

- Motion by Alder Scannell.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Gerlach, to receive and place on file. Any discussion, those in favor?

- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.

- Did you have something Mark? You lean forward like you want to say something. No, okay. That has passed. Informational, Director's report on recent activities.

- Okay, very short report tonight. As luck would have it, Tuesday was my first day back. So I'm back to work full-time here, so I'm still trying to catch up from the last 10 weeks to be really honest with you. It's kind of a blizzard around my head, but a couple of things I did want you to be aware of, you may have seen a article that ran with Channel Two, and I know Alder Brunette is intimately familiar. We've got a situation over in the Parkwood Hazelwood Fun Lane area where AT&T is doing a fiber optic upgrade. And there were some residents over in that area who were quite upset because instead of using flush Mount, flush to the ground hand holes, they're using standpipes or vertical pedestals that are about two and a half feet tall that are in the terrace area. So we received a lot of calls, we've gotten a lot of feedback from some of the residents in the area, they're quite upset with the Department of Public Works in the City for not notifying the residents and things along that nature. We've tried to communicate with the residents and let them know that that's an AT&T responsibility, that DPW wouldn't go out and arrange for public meetings or talk to residents about an AT&T project. But we have communicated with AT&T and said, this is what's happening out there. This is what the folks are asking for. We were able to coordinate with AT&T and facilitate discussions. They have suspended work at this time and they are working through those issues with the neighbors in the neighborhood. So we were able to facilitate that conversation and things, we do expect something, a mutually agreeable resolution to that, so we are involved, we are keeping tabs with things and continuing to work with AT&T to get them to communicate with our residents. The next thing I wanna do-

- If I could, on that one while you're on it. Is this something that we're gonna see more of, that type of fiber optic expansion, or is it just limited to that area?

- Well, I think the fiber optic, you're going to see more and more of. I think AT&T got a very clear message on what the residents in Green Bay would like to see. I found, one of the things I kind of found unique about it, was as I was following the comments on social media, there were quite a few people who stated that they initially were in the position of supporting the residents who were upset. And then they went out and looked in their own yards and saw the green two-foot high telecom pedestals that they never noticed. Folks, I've been living in my house for five years and didn't realize I had a pedestal in my front yard. Everything that, anytime there's something new, it's bound to be discussed because it's a change. And my personal opinion is I think over time, folks will get used to it, okay. But I also think that AT&T has heard a message out here and they're gonna take a look at how they're doing their projects to try to make things less obtrusive. This is not the first time we've had something like this. God, going back to the '80s and into the early '90s, when telecom companies were, as we started getting internet service in addition to traditional telephone service, okay. The oldest of the twisted pair of lines out there wasn't sufficient to handle the data capacity that was necessary, so there were upgrades that were necessary. And in addition to the line upgrades, there were boosters and amplifiers that needed to be installed. So I had these discussions with Ed Wiesner, when Ed was the director. There's these large six by eight, eight by eight cabinets, that they call deride cabinets that had to be installed in the neighborhoods. And, oh my God, the city was blowing up, people were just screaming about them and the same thing we're going through here. And then over time, it kind of died down. Now the difference I see is when the upgrade project went through AT&T just continued to do their normal order of business, I don't think that's the case here. I think they've heard the message from the residents in Green Bay. And I do think that they're gonna change how they do the fiber installs as we move forward.

- Appreciate it, Alder Gerlach.

- So being a technological genius that I am, I have to ask a question because I have losted down Manitowoc road these hideous things, and nobody's complained to me, but I looked at them and thought, good Lord is that the only option? Everybody has to have a pedestal in their front yard to have fiber optics?

- Well, that's where we get into the requirements of PSC 130 State statute, okay. One of the provisions in PSC 130 that I am extremely familiar with and I am paraphrasing, so don't quote me, but essentially PSC 130 says, a utility has a standard way of doing things. If a municipality requires either in design, in permitting or in construction, if a municipality requires that that utility provide a facility above and beyond what their standard is, the municipality is required to pay the cost difference. So as long as the utility is meeting their statutory requirements, so that's number one, they have to meet their statutory requirements. Number two, they're installing those facilities either in the public right of way or in a recorded, recognized utility easement. As long as they're meeting those conditions, we are obligated to provide them with the permit and allow them to do what they're doing. They can't go willy nilly, they can't just put things that look like dinosaurs out there, whatever. But as long as it's our standard, these pedestals instead of the handholds being flushed to the grounds, so the splicing and, where they pull the cable from junction box, the junction box if you will, instead of those being underground, they actually brought them above ground, so that they're out of the weather and at an operable height where somebody standing up can pull the wire and access the cable. Because those are commercially available, it's normal standard type of installation. Again, we can't dictate to them that, well we could, I could tell them, you have to use nothing but flush mount underground boxes. And if they come back and say, that's beautiful in this area, that's gonna be a \$40,000 upcharge, the City is responsible for that Upcharge.

- Except unless you charge the residents who want that, right?

- We can't do that, that would have to be negotiated between AT&T and the residents themselves. Again, if we as a municipality take that position, then we take on the liability.

- Well, I just hope we find some kind of a decent solution. I feel for the people in Alder Brunette's district, and the ones in my district who have those things.

- Thank you, Alder Gerlach, Alder Brunette.

- Yeah, well first of all, thank you Alder Gerlach for responding back to the email that the person, the couple emailed the entire City Council, Alder Gerlach got on it really quick. It's actually mostly in Alder VanderLeest's district. There are only a few properties in mind that perfected, but I went out there on Friday morning at 7:00 AM and there was over a dozen homeowners there to meet me. So it really did frustrate people. Anytime you have a higher level of government, there's something that doesn't answer directly to the city government, people are bound to get frustrated. I think here the concern is the boxes themselves. If you look at some of the other municipalities to have them I've been paying more attention, Howard has them, and some of them more rural areas. Well, the boxes are beat up and in pretty bad shape. So I think that's part of the issue. If the vendors pick lower quality boxes that get all bent positioned wrong or if the snowplow operations, push snow up against them, that's an eyesore and I do feel for people who take good pride in their property and their lawn, have things in an immaculate way. So anyways, Alder Gerlach, thank you, Director Canier, thank you,

I'm just grateful that it seems to me that AT&T is working with the neighborhoods and I think that's all they really wanted.

- Thank Alder Brunette. All right, any other questions on that? Otherwise, what's your-

- Okay, the last two items that I had for you, water levels are down almost a foot on the bay, and as a result on the river. With that happening we were actually able to get the floating facilities in CityDeck and Lake park this year. So we're very happy about that because as much as you guys got phone calls, so did I. We wanted those facilities in as much as anybody, but I can't jeopardize the millions of dollars that we've invested in those facilities and getting them damaged. Last thing I had for you was, sure.

- We're gonna look at engineering, the dock at CityDeck to see if they could be put in no matter what the water levels are, or at least if the water levels are high, how's that progressing, are we gonna be-

- I have not had an opportunity to touch base with the bridge folks who are in charged with those loading docks to see where we're at with that. To be real honest with you, I think because the water levels were down and we were able to get stuff in, they went on to do sewer stuff, and this may be back-burnered until winter.

- And that's fine, it just would like to make sure that we don't forget about it because I mean, next year the water levels may be a lot higher, you never know. It'd be good to know what we need to do to fix the dock so that we can still get 'em in.

- Yep.

- Thank you.

- The last item that I had for you, for those of you who were not in attendance last night at the Finance Committee, the CIP was approved, by the time we got through with discussion on the CIP and taking a look at what the needs were versus what the finances were and Alder Dorf has left the building, so she can't correct me if I'm wrong. When we got to the end, there was \$3 million, little over \$3 million more in ask than there was available under our bonding limits or binding policy, or what Bond Council was recommending or what have you. However, Diana could say that more eloquently I'm sure. So the committee made a recommendation to remove two projects. First one was \$1.1 million for electrical upgrades here at city hall, and the second one was they have again removed the \$2 million for the hydraulic system upgrade replacements for the Nitschke Bridge. I understand why, okay I get it. I'm cautiously optimistic because as submitted Governor's budget, as I understand from the mayor has the \$2 million in it. It's whether or not that survives through the legislature, but we're gonna continue, we're optimistic that the bridge will continue, the problem is we just don't know. It could last for 10 years in the condition it's in or it could fail the next time somebody hits the button. We just, we don't know, but they felt comfortable and confident in rolling the dice on that. Obviously I wouldn't ask for it if I didn't think I needed it, but this isn't one that I'm gonna fight tooth and nail for. I lived with decision last year and we managed to get through the summer. If they're willing to roll the dice, we can do that. So again, I'm not looking for somebody to pull that item at a committee and ask for that 2 million to go back in because I do think looking at the projects that were in there, that's one that probably made sense to roll the dice on. With that in

mind, the CIP that has been approved by the finance committee were happy with. It accomplishes the needs that we set forward for our capital program this year. So just wanted to report that out. So in case there was any interest, you've got some time before Tuesday to take a peek at what happened at finance last night.

- Thank you, Steve. Any questions for the director?

- No electrical at City Hall? I'm shocked, shocked, or maybe not if there's no electrical.

- Handles. All right then I'll receive a, entertain a motion to receive and place on file.

- So moved.

- Motion by Alder Gerlach.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Brunette, those in favor?

- Aye.

- Motion carried. The next meeting is stated here for June 9th. However ParKs doesn't meet till the 23rd. Any objection, Steve, just wait till the 23rd, 'cause we don't meet as a council til the 29th.

- There's a possibility that we may need it for project awards.

- Okay.

- So we'd at least like to keep it on the schedule. We can always cancel that.

- That works, okay, that works for me. All right, we are at the end of our rope

- Before you go, I just want you to see that I've been, safe tying all day here.

- How did you get that?

- I stole it.

- You just took it.

- This is a public record, Alder Scannell.

- I feel compelled to remind you that this meeting is being recorded.

- Motion by Alder Scannell.

- Second.

- To the jury and second by Alder Brunette.
- [Gerlach, Scannel And Brunette] Aye.
- Post, , as many would say we are adjourned.
- Goodnight.
- Bye.