



MINUTES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

TUESDAY, AUGUST 3, 2021, 6:00 PM

In person at City Hall Room 200.

Virtual attendance also available via Zoom.

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. This item contains documents which provide call in information and instructions for the Zoom meeting.

B. ROLL CALL.

C. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

D. INVOCATION.

I. The invocation will be led by Alder Veronica Corpus-Dax, District 2.

E. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the minutes from the June 29, 2021 Common Council Meeting.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

F. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to amend the agenda and move up item U to before the RDA report.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to approve as amended.
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

G. REPORT BY THE MAYOR.

H. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

I. APPOINTMENTS.

I. New Appointments:

Ethics Board

Janet Hathaway, 3079 Bay View Dr., Green Bay, WI 54311
Term to expire: March 1, 2024

CDBG Revolving Loan Fund Committee

Ace Champion, 900 S. Jackson St., Green Bay, WI 54301
Term to expire: August 1, 2024

Kathy Hinkfuss, 525 Antelope Trl., Green Bay, WI 54313
Term to expire: August 1, 2024

Downtown Business Improvement District Board

Tracy Hillesheim, 6652 Northernnaire Dr., Sobieski, WI 54171
Term to expire: August 1, 2024

Traffic, Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission

Patrick Sorelle, 3160 Open Gate Tr., Green Bay, WI 54313
Term to expire: May 1, 2022

Board of Review

Eric Drzewiecki, 632 S. Roosevelt St., Green Bay, WI 54301
Term to expire: July 1, 2026

Reappointments:

Green Bay Sustainability Commission

Mark Walter, 1350 Servais St., Green Bay, WI 54304

Term to expire: August 1, 2024

Seth Hoffmeister, 1136 Lawe St., Green Bay, WI 54301

Term to expire: August 1, 2024

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the new appointments.
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve the reappointments.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

J. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

I. Planning Ordinance No. 03-21

An Ordinance amending the Official Map of the City of Green Bay by closing a portion of Cass Street to Public Traffic.

No one came forward to speak.

K. ORDINANCES - SECOND READING FOR ADOPTION.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to suspend the rules and take up all three with one roll call vote.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to adopt.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

I. Zoning Ordinance No. 07-21

An ordinance amending Zoning Ordinance No. 12-10 for planned unit development (PUD) located at 610 N. Broadway.

2. Zoning Ordinance No. 06-21

An ordinance creating a planned unit development (PUD) for property located at 2400 E. Mason

Street.

3. Planning Ordinance No. 03-21

An Ordinance amending the Official Map of the City of Green Bay by closing a portion of Cass Street to Public Traffic.

L. REPORT OF THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY (JULY 13 AND JULY 27, 2021).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. To approve the development agreement with Toonen Properties, as discussed in closed session, for up to \$5 million in TIF assistance for completion of demolition of existing structures and development of approximately 209 market rate apartments on the former JBS Packerland site at 2580 University Avenue (Parcel 21-301), and replacing the previously executed agreement with TMD Properties, subject to any final text amendments by the City Attorney, and forward to the City Council for their consideration.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons, and Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

2. To approve the amendment to the development agreement with Whitney School Development, LLC to advance the first PayGo TIF payment to the Developer to 2021, subject to 1) confirmation of available cash flow in TID 20, and 2) that the Developer has met its other obligations under the current development agreement, for the Whitney School Apartments (Lofts) development at 215 N. Webster Avenue (Parcel 9-112).

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons, and Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

M. REPORT OF THE PARK COMMITTEE (JULY 28, 2021).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve, except item 7.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. To approve the continuation of the St. John's Homeless Support Program at St. John's Park through November 1, 2021.

Minutes of the Common Council

2. To receive and place on file the request by Ald. Lefebvre and Ald. Stevens for the Parks Department to use best practices for grass cutting, which includes no cutting when there is no rain for 2 weeks and to set the cutting height between 2-3 inches.
3. To approve the Design, Construction & Dedication Agreement with the Baird Creek Preservation Foundation for the construction of the Bike Skills Course at Triangle Hill.
4. To approve naming rights for the Baird Creek Bike Skills Course to be called the Saris Bike Park.
5. To approve a resolution committing to fund bike trail improvements in the Baird Creek Greenway.
6. To refer to staff to create a report summarizing the Forestry Department's 2-3 year Ash Tree Removal Plan and present it to the Parks Committee by September and a future Citywide Neighborhood Association Meeting.
7. To eliminate the relocation of the Colburn Hockey Rink project and reallocate \$110,000 from the Colburn Hockey Rink and Colburn ballfield projects to go towards the Baird Creek Pedestrian Bridge project.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

N. REPORT OF THE IMPROVEMENT & SERVICES COMMITTEE (JULY 28, 2021).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. To refer to staff the request to investigate correcting erosion issues on the 1000 block of Lacount Road. (Ald. Brunette)
2. To refer to staff the request for the City to establish minimum setback distances from the flood management dike on the south shore of Green Bay. (Ald. Lefebvre)
3. To receive and place on file the request to create an ordinance prohibiting residents from constructing permanent structures or amenities on top of or through the flood management dike on the south shore of Green Bay. (Ald. Lefebvre)
4. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to award a contract for Topographic

Surveying Services Resurfacing Program & Traffic Signals to Ayres Associates, Inc. in the amount of \$69,912.50.

5. To approve a resolution releasing access easement on the alley from Main Street to Pine Street, east of Clay Street.

6. To approve the report of the Procurement Manager:

A. To purchase a used front end loader and grapple bucket from Aring Equipment Company for the sum of \$170,927. Equipment was a rental unit and all rent payments are being applied and credited to the City on purchase. Quote attached.

B. To purchase 2 Toolcats and attachments from Bobcat Plus, Inc. for the sum of \$126,206. Purchase is made off Sourcewell contract with local discount applied. Pricing verified based on previous bids of similar equipment. Quote attached.

C. To purchase a Dump Body/Wing/Plow for tandem axel trucks from Monroe Equipment for the sum of \$119,940. Purchase made from Sourcewell contract with local discount applied. Monroe Equipment has been only vendor able to meet spec in past. Quote attached.

D. To purchase a Loader Wing/Plow from Monroe Equipment for the sum of \$47,590. Purchase made from Sourcewell contract with local discount applied. Monroe Equipment has been only vendor able to meet spec in past. Quote attached.

E. To purchase 2 V-box Spreaders from Monroe Equipment for the sum of \$46,428. Purchase made from Sourcewell contract with local discount applied. Monroe Equipment has been only vendor able to meet spec in past. Quote attached.

F. To purchase a tandem axel truck chassis from Packer City International for the sum of \$97,719. Purchase made from Sourcewell contract with local discount applied. Pricing aligns with previous bid plus steel surcharge added. Quote attached.

7. To approve the award of the contract PARKS 2-21 (PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE OVER BAIRD CREEK) to the low responsive bidder, Michels Corp., in the amount of \$309,161.

8. To receive and place on file the report of award of the following contracts:

A. SEWERS 3-21 N. DANZ AVENUE STORM SEWER to the low responsive bidder, Peters Concrete Co., in the amount of \$366,515.50.

B. SEWERS 4-21 MINI-SEWERS to the low responsive bidder, Dorner Inc., in the amount of \$393,719.20.

9. To approve the request by Speedy Clean, Inc. to enter into an annual Hold Harmless Agreement for access to the City of Green Bay sewer system to conduct inspection of the sewer mains and laterals.

10. To receive and place on file the report of actions taken by Department of Public Works

A. Granting of Licenses

1. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Custom Concrete & Hardscapes LLC
- b. James J. Calmes & Son, Inc.
- c. Titledown Construction LLC

2. Tree and Brush Trimmer

- a. WB Tree Service

3. Underground Sprinkler System

- a. NEW Irrigation, LLC dba Conserva Irrigation

O. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE (JULY 26, 2021).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve, except item 6.
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. To hold a renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license by Strats, Inc. at 2850 Humboldt Rd. until the September 13th Protection & Policy Committee meeting. *(The Protection & Policy Committee took final action on this item at the July 26, 2021 Protection & Policy Committee).*

2. To approve a renewal liquor license application for a "Class B" Combination license by Dawn Van Pay at 1610 Cass St. *(The Protection & Policy Committee took final action on this item at the July 26, 2021 Protection & Policy Committee).*

3. To approve a request by Richard Craniums at 840 S. Broadway to temporarily extend their licensed premises to the outside parking lot on September 25 for CraniumFest, subject to complaint.

4. To approve the release of the 2020-2021 "Class B" Combination licenses to the pool of available licenses due to no action take for the 2021-2022 license year.

5. To approve an available "Class B" Combination license by Appleton Golf, LLC at 2255 Main St. with a license description as "10,000 square feet, open concept w u-shaped bar 5 golf simulators on left/rt w/ bathrooms, off, back storage," with the approval of the proper authorities.

6. To approve a request by I.T. Department to discontinue use of Green Bay Public Television

Channel 4 in lieu of repairing malfunction equipment.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve.

Motion .

Yes- None, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to refer to committee.

Motion passed on a voice vote

7. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Lefebvre for an update on fireworks. Requesting that the Green Bay shorten the time permitted to sell fireworks to 2 days before the 4th of July and ends on the eve of the 4th of July.

8. To approve a request by Ald. Scannell for the city to pass a notpartisan redistricting resolution.

9. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Brian Johnson, for consideration and possible action to modernize the ordinance and permit fees related to the operation of food trucks in the City of Green Bay including possible partnership with the Brown County Health Department.

10. To refer to staff a request by Ald. Galvin to reexamine the municipal fine for possession of marijuana.

11. To hold to the August 23rd meeting a request by Ald. Brunette to consider a refund of inspection charges for 2593 Sumac Place.

12. To receive and place on file The Liquor Violation Report for July 26, 2021.

13. To receive and place on file the Report on Homelessness, Green Bay Police Department.

P. REPORT OF THE PROTECTION & POLICY COMMITTEE GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve, with Alder Johnson abstaining from his employees Denise Kirchmayer, Brooke Gehrke and Casey Ponchaud.
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

I. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee granting Operator Licenses

Q. REPORT OF THE PLAN COMMISSION (JULY 26, 2021).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John

Minutes of the Common Council

VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. To authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for a metal storage building exceeding 120 sq. ft. in a Public Institutional (PI) district located at 1520 South Point Road, subject to compliance with all of the regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code not covered under the Conditional Use Permit (CUP), including standard site plan review and approval. (Public Hearing held 07/26/2021)
2. To appoint Tracy Hillesheim from the Hyatt on Main to the Downtown Green Bay, Inc.'s Business Improvement District (BID) Board.
3. To hold over updates to Article XVIII. Off-Street Parking, Drives, and Loading, Green Bay Municipal Code, submitted by Green Bay Community and Economic Development. (Public Hearing held 07/26/2021)

R. REPORT OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE (JULY 27, 2021).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve, except item 7.
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. To receive and place on file the 2020 year-end financial results for the City.
2. To recommend moving forward with refinancing of the 2013 RDA Lease Revenue Bond (KI 2).
3. To approve the purchase of 2 Ambulances for the Green Bay Metro Fire Department from Jefferson Fire & Safety for the sum of \$544,163.36 based on the contract put in place from 2020 RFB 3266. Summary attached.
4. To receive and place on file the report of the Claims Committee.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

5. To receive and place on file the pending litigation status update.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

6. To proceed as discussed in closed session with opioid litigation.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in
Minutes of the Common Council

which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

7. To deny action on a request by Kris Teske for conflict counsel for the WEC Complaint titled, Carlstedt, et al. v. Meagan Wolfe, et al.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer approve.

Motion .

Yes- None, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to Enter Closed Session.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- Jesse Brunette, Abstain- None.

Ald. Johnson read the closed session language.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to Return to Regular Session.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Bill Galvin, Chris Wery, Brian Johnson, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, Chris Wery, John VanderLeest, Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, Jesse Brunette, Randy Scannell, John VanderLeest, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Mark Steuer, Lynn Gerlach, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to hold until next meeting.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Veronica Corpus-Dax, No- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Randy Scannell, Lynn Gerlach, Abstain- None.

S. REPORT OF THE PERSONNEL COMMITTEE (JULY 27, 2021).

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain- None.

I. To approve the request to fill the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

- a. Programmer Analyst - Information Technology
- b. Engineering Technician - Public Works
- c. Mechanic - Public Works
- d. Operator II - Public Works

2. To approve the following wage agreements with a 1% general salary increase effective in which October 1, of the respective year occurs.

a. Parks & Forestry Labor Association 2021

b. Public Works Labor Association 2021

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to § 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating public employee contracts for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to § 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

3. To approve staff to proceed as directed as discussed in the closed session for the Green Bay Professional Police Association labor negotiations.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to § 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating public employee contracts for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council may thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to § 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to report the results of the closed session and consider the balance of the agenda.

T. REPORT OF THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMISSION (JULY 28, 2021).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. To approve the selection of the following ten finalists as well as five reserve finalists, ranked in order based on scores received, for the Paint in the Parks, park shelter mural project:

1. H1 - Frisbee
2. Q1 - Return of Pelican
3. L2 - Do We See Ourselves In Each Other?
4. A1 - Music Is Life
5. E1 - Flight Pattern
6. T2 - Nocturnal Nature
7. K1 - Dance of the Dragonfly
8. O1 - American Robin (in-flight)
9. F1 - Seasons: Fall Mandala | Winter Mandala
10. S4 - Abstract Interior #17
11. B1 - Olde Preble Park Turtle/Caterpillar
12. I2 - Green Bay Modern Postcard #2
13. R3 - Flora, Fauna & Fish
14. D2 - We Rise
15. Y2 - You Will Change The World

U. REPORT OF THE TRAFFIC, BICYCLE, AND PEDESTRIAN COMMISSION (JULY 19, 2021).

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve, except item 3.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-

Minutes of the Common Council

None.

1. To refer to staff the request to install crosswalk buttons at the intersection of Porlier Street and Monroe Avenue for pedestrians crossing Porlier Street.

2. To refer to staff for study the request to convert the signalized intersection of Taylor Street and Dousman Street to a 3-WAY STOP condition.

3. To improve traffic conditions and enforcement of violations on city streets by (1) Common Council drafting a resolution to the State of Wisconsin in support of eliminating the traffic photo-enforcement ban statute; (2) increasing funding for moving radar units within police vehicles; and (3) increasing the number of traffic enforcement officers.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve.
Motion passed.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to separate into three items:
resolution, funding for radar units, more traffic officers.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to Open the Floor.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to Close the Floor.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Mark Steuer, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve the resolution.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to Refer to Staff the next two items (radar units and traffic officers) to Mayor's office and Police Chief.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-

None.

4. To remove the NO PARKING zone on the east side of Auto Plaza Drive, from Auto Plaza Way to a point 140 feet south of Auto Plaza Way.

5. To remove the NO PARKING zone on the east side of Auto Plaza Drive, from a point 215 feet south of Auto Plaza Way to a point 303 feet south of Auto Plaza Way.

6. To remove the NO PARKING zone on the east side of Auto Plaza Drive, from a point 483 feet south of Auto Plaza Way to a point 584 feet south of Auto Plaza Way.

7. To remove the NO PARKING zone on the west side of Auto Plaza Drive, from a point 200 feet south of Auto Plaza Way to a point 275 feet south of Auto Plaza Way.

8. To remove the NO PARKING zone on the west side of Auto Plaza Drive, from a point 440 feet south of Auto Plaza Way to a point 515 feet south of Auto Plaza Way.

9. To remove the NO PARKING zone on the east side of Donald Driver Way from a point 290 feet north of Dousman Street to its north terminus.

10. To remove the NO PARKING zone on the west side of Donald Driver Way from a point 310 feet north of Dousman Street to its north terminus.

11. To establish a NO PARKING zone on both sides of Kellogg Street from Broadway to Donald Driver Way and adopt by ordinance.

12. To establish a NO PARKING zone on the east side of Donald Driver Way from a point 290 feet north of Dousman Street to a point 285 feet north of Kellogg Street and adopt by ordinance.

13. To establish a NO PARKING zone on the west side of Donald Driver Way from a point 310 feet north of Dousman Street to a point 285 feet north of Kellogg Street and adopt by ordinance.

14. To establish a NO PARKING zone on both sides of Donald Driver Way from a point 370 feet south of Bond Street to Bond Street and adopt by ordinance.

15. To establish a NO PARKING zone on both sides of Bond Street from a point 70 feet west of Donald Driver Way to Donald Driver Way and adopt by ordinance.

16. To establish a NO PARKING zone on both sides of Bond Street from Broadway to a point 70 feet east of Broadway and adopt by ordinance.

17. To establish a NO PARKING 30-MINUTE LOADING zone on the north side of Bond Street from a point 70 feet west of Donald Driver Way to a point 140 feet west of Donald Driver Way and adopt by ordinance.

18. To establish a 2-HOUR PARKING 7 AM TO 7 PM MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY zone on the north side of Bond Street from a point 70 feet east of Broadway to a point 350 feet east of Broadway and adopt by ordinance.

19. To establish a 2-HOUR PARKING 7 AM TO 7 PM MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY zone on the south side of Bond Street from a point 70 feet east of Broadway to a point 420 feet east of Broadway and adopt by ordinance.

V. RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. Building Report for June 2021

2. The Municipal Court Report for June 2021

W. RESOLUTIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to suspend the rules to take up the resolutions with one roll call vote.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to reconsider.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to suspend the rules to take up resolutions with one roll call vote, except for item 5.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to adopt all except item 5.
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. Resolution approving dedication of City-owned lands required for Seymour Court rights-of-way.
2. Resolution approving dedication of City-owned lands required for School Place rights-of-way.
3. Resolution approving dedication of City-owned lands required for S. Oakland Avenue rights-of-way.
4. Resolution authorizing conditional use permit located at 1520 South Point Road.
5. Resolution supporting non-partisan redistricting.

Motion by Ald. Barbara Dorff, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to hold this item until the next council meeting.

Moved by Ald. Lynn Gerlach, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to amend by removing the following:
WHEREAS the 2011 Wisconsin legislative process to draw the maps and fight litigation contesting those maps cost taxpayers nearly 1.9 million; and WHEREAS a panel of federal district court judges has ruled that the redistricting done in Wisconsin in 2011 was unconstitutional;

Motion .

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to adopt as amended.
Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

6. Resolution supporting the passage of legislation permitting the use of photo-enforcement of traffic regulations.
7. Resolution approving Hold Harmless Agreement to Speedy Clean, Inc. to conduct inspection of sewer mains and laterals.
8. Resolution committing to fund bike trail improvements in the Baird Creek Greenway.
9. A Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Sale and Issuance of \$3,205,000 General Obligation Promissory Notes, Series 2021C, and All Related Details.

10. A Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Sale and Issuance of \$8,720,000 General Obligation Corporate Purpose Bonds, Series 2021B, and All Related Details.

11. Resolution releasing access easement on the alley from Main Street to Pine Street, east of Clay Street

X. ORDINANCES - FIRST READING.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Randy Scannell to advance.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

1. General Ordinance No. 19-21

An ordinance amending Section 40-34, Green Bay Municipal Code, Relating to Parking Regulations.

Y. REFERRAL OF PETITIONS & COMMUNICATIONS.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Lynn Gerlach to refer District 11's petition to Finance or the proper authorities.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Z. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Randy Scannell, seconded by Ald. Barbara Dorff to Adjourn.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Chris Wery, Craig Stevens, Jesse Brunette, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Randy Scannell, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Lynn Gerlach, No- None, Abstain-None.

Celestine Jeffreys

Green Bay City Clerk

These minutes in their entirety, are available in the City Clerk's Office and on the City website at greenbaywi.gov.

Minutes with Verbatim

- I wonder if they can hear us?
- [Eric] All right, we will call to order the meeting of the Common Council from August 3rd, 2021. Clerk?
- [Celestine] Thank you, Your Honor. We only have 10 present in CivicClerk.

- [Eric] Okay.
- [Celestine] I believe we have--
- [Eric] I think Alder Brunette is currently--
- [Celestine] I cannot count the number of people who are present in the meeting from, but we're missing Alders Lefebvre and Brunette in CivicClerk.
- Okay.
- Just to let you know.
- I think Lefebvre, Lefebvre, I think, she's going to Mayo Clinic with her husband.
- So we will note--
- And I think Chris can fill you in on that a little bit.
- [Celestine] And then Alder...
- [Eric] Yeah, Alder Brunette is currently logging in and we will note that Alder Lefebvre is excused.
- [Celestine] Okay, thank you.
- [Eric] So please rise.
- [Celestine] Then I will mark him present and voting then.
- [Eric] Great, thank you, Clerk. Please rise for the Pledge of Allegiance and remain standing for the invocation, led by Alder Corpus-Dax.
- [All] I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the republic for which it stands, one nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.
- [Veronica] And so is a meditative moment of silence.
- [Richard] Thank you, Lord.
- [Eric] Thank you, Alder. Approval of the minutes?
- [Brian] Move to approve.
- [Eric] Motion made by Alder Johnson, seconded by Alder Stevens, to approve the minutes of the June 29th, 2021 Common Council Meeting. Any corrections? Seeing no requests, all in favor please signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] The minutes have been approved. Approval of the agenda?
- Motion to approve.

- Mayor?
- [Eric] Yes, Alder Steuer?
- Yes, we have a few citizens that want to testify on a particular issue, and I was wondering if Report U of the Traffic, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Committee could be moved up to--
- We could take--
- After, pardon?
- [Eric] We could take that in front of the RDA.
- Right before RDA.
- Sure.
- Second.
- [Eric] A motion has been made to amend the agenda to take up the Report of the Traffic, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Commission prior to the report of the Redevelopment Authority. The motion was made by Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Johnson. Any discussion? All in favor signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The agenda has been amended.
- [Eric] I'll entertain a motion.
- Motion to approve the amended agenda.
- Second.
- [Eric] A motion has been made to approve the agenda as amended. That was made by Alder Johnson, second by Alder Scannell. Any discussion? Seeing none, all in favor will signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The agenda has been approved as amended. Report by the Mayor. Just wanted to first thank the Neighborhood Associations of both Preble Park and Mather Heights. There might've been a few others as well that were active tonight, but I was able to stop out at Preble and Mather Heights and spend some time with their neighborhood associations and neighborhood residents for National Night Out. So thanks to both of those associations and those neighbors for coming together. Thanks also to our Police Department and Fire Department for offering some staff to be out there to mix and mingle with our residents. It's an important effort every year. And just glad that we had a few associations that recognized it this evening. I also just wanted to make a note about, unfortunately, COVID-19. I think we all know that with the Delta variant cases have been creeping up here and certainly spiking in other parts of the country. We're fortunate not to be in that situation. But cases are rising, and our local County Public Health Department has recommended mask wearing, so doing that. But I think more importantly, just encouraging residents to have a conversation with their primary care providers, with their physicians or nurse practitioners, about the safety and efficacy of the vaccination. At this point, it is essentially a pandemic among the unvaccinated. We're seeing some breakthrough cases, certainly, but the severe cases by and large are focused on that unvaccinated

population. So just encouraging members of the public to have that conversation with medical experts in their lives. And hopefully we can continue to ramp up that vaccination rate here in the city. Finally, just to note, a little kind of a housekeeping note, so that members of the public are aware, we've got about half of the council who is in the Chambers half, roughly at home. So it's gonna be a little bit wonkier, probably, than we're used to. So council and members of the public, please bear with us as we get through it. So that concludes the report. And we are on to Announcements from Council.

- Mayor?

- [Eric] Alder Steuer, go ahead.

- Thank you. I told a few of the alders before the meeting, I was gonna do a little cautionary tale of something that happened to me about three weeks ago. I was cleaning out the gutters on my roof. I had the ladder leaning. I fell. I broke about seven safety rules. And I just wanted to give a cautionary tale to the citizenry not to do that. I broke several bones and I'm suffering for it. But I just want to let you know I'm okay, but please have someone hold the ladder or just be smart, just be safe. And I wasn't. But I had a lot of prayers and a lot of good things from folks, so I'm good with that. On the 27th, at Lambeau Atrium, we had Governor Evers, Mayor Genrich, we had retired Senator Dave Hansen, we had Green Bay Packer President Mark Murphy, and other dignitaries at Lambeau Atrium, to sign in Wisconsin Bill 74, I believe, which is changing the name of the Main Street bridge downtown to the Bart Starr Memorial Bridge. And there will be activities the weekend of October 23rd, 24th, just before the Washington Green Bay football game in town. So stay tuned for that. And we're very excited about it. It was well received and I think it was, it's richly rewarded, and to a great, great person. So, thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you, Alder. And thanks to you for initially spearheading that movement and bringing that resolution through council and sending it to our state legislators. And glad to hear that you're doing okay.

- Thank you.

- [Eric] Other other announcements from Council? Okay, seeing none, we will move along to Appointments.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- [Eric] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens, to confirm the new appointments. Any discussion?

- Can I just have a point of order? I can't hear when the alders on the floor are making motions or seconding. Is there something that needs to be turned on? Or are we just not gonna be able to hear that?

- [Eric] Yeah, you're just not gonna be able to hear that. But I will tell you who is seconding motions.

- Okay. Thank you.

- [Eric] Yep. Sorry about that. That's just one of the issues with having kind of a mixed council, at home and in person. So, now I lost track of who made the motions.

- I made the motion.

- [Eric] Who made the motion? The motion was made by Alder Scannell, and that was seconded by Alder Stevens. Any discussion on those appointments, on those new appointments? Seeing none, all in favor will signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. And those new appointments were made. I'll entertain a motion on Reappointments?

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- [Eric] Motion to approve the reappointments made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax. Discussion here? Seeing none, all in favor signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. And those reappointments are made. On to Public Hearings. We've got item J1, Planning Ordinance number 03-21, an ordinance amending the official map of the city of Green Bay by closing a portion of Cass Street to public traffic. Is there anyone here who would like to speak to this item? Anyone here to speak to this item? Is there anyone here who would like to speak to this item? Clerk, please let the record reflect there are no residents to speak to this item. Moving along to Ordinances, Second Reading for Adoption.

- Motion to suspend the rules.

- Second.

- [Eric] Motion to suspend the rules has been made by Alder Scannell.

- Second.

- Seconded by Alder Johnson. And we'll take up those items with one roll call vote. All in favor of that motion signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The rules are suspended.

- Motion to adapt.

- Second.

- [Eric] Motion has been made to adopt those items, made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax. Any discussion? All right, we will use the board.

- [Celestine] Alders Wery and Steuer--

- It didn't come up on mine, I vote aye.

- [Celestine] And then Alder Wery?

- I'm an aye.
- [Eric] And that was an aye from Alder Wery.
- [Celestine] And then also Alder Brunette's?
- Aye.
- [Eric] Alder Brunette votes aye.
- [Celestine] Thank you.
- [Eric] And those are adopted 11 to zero. All right, and now we will move along, because of the amended agenda, to the Report of the Traffic, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Commission, from July 19th, 2021.
- Motion to approve.
- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens. Any items here to be handled separately?
- Number three.
- Three. Yep, number three.
- [Eric] Number three. Any others? All right, item three will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The report has been approved with the exception of item three.
- Motion to approve.
- [Eric] Motion to approve item three made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Johnson. The item was pulled by a number of people, but I think Alder Dorff first. Alder Dorff?
- Thank you. I'd like to break this item apart into three separate items, because I am not comfortable dealing with all three of these issues with one vote. So my first motion would be to improve traffic conditions and enforcement violations on city street by Common Council drafting a resolution to the State of Wisconsin in support of eliminating the Traffic Photo Enforcement Ban Statute. That would be part one.
- [Eric] Okay, so is this a motion to separate?
- Right, yeah.
- I guess that would be it. Motion is separate.
- Okay.
- Second.

- [Eric] And you'd like to, just to clarify, would you like to split all three of them apart?
- I would.
- Okay.
- Yes.
- [Eric] So a motion has been made to separate this item into those three distinct items. It was made by Alder Dorff and seconded by Alder Scannell, I believe?
- Yes.
- [Eric] Any discussion on that motion? Seeing none, all in favor signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. And that item has been separated. So we're on the resolution piece.
- I've got a question, Mayor?
- [Eric] Alder Steuer.
- Right, and like I said, there are a few citizens who will want to testify here as well. So I would make a motion to open the floor. I believe it's a draft resolution. And I guess I just, for clarification, just want to make sure that we're, that what we're voting on tonight, is it specific to finalize that draft resolution or are we looking at-
-
- [Eric] The resolution is on the agenda.
- Okay. So it is the way it is, okay. All right. Well then we, like I said, I'd like to hear from the citizens as well on this, on that particular one.
- Okay.
- So I'll just wait to, I'll make a motion to open the floor when it's ready.
- [Eric] It is ready.
- I'll make the motion.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion has been made to open the floor, seconded by Alder Scannell. All in favor signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The floor is open. And just reminder to citizens who would like to testify, just give us your full name and address and then begin speaking.
- [Lisa] Okay. I guess I'll go first. My name is Lisa Williamsen. I live at 1875 Gary Lane, the city of Green Bay. And our house is located across from Fleet Farm on Taylor Lane. I have several complaints to make. Number

one. Since the state rerouted the highways, there is no access to 41 North on Velp anymore. So all traffic gets rerouted to go up Taylor Street onto Shawano Avenue. Number two. They took down the traffic stops signs and they put up lights, because they felt it was more efficient, was what I was originally told, to keep traffic moving. The problem that it's created, with us living right next to Taylor Street, is it's become a racetrack. So I have seen motorcycles doing wheelies down Taylor Lane. We have a Mustang that likes to go 50 to 60 miles an hour and rev his engine every day when the light is green. You can't see a speed limit sign on Taylor. And it's like living, it is worse than living next to the highway where we live, because the lot, the motorcycles, the noise is so loud, we can't stand to be outside some days. It is hard to exit our street because we live on Gary Lane, between Shawano Avenue and Bond. And since the roundabout has been installed, and when the green light is going on Bond, we can wait between five and 10 minutes to exit on our street safely, because we're right across from Fleet Farm, which has three driveways, which people are going in and out of all the time. It is not a safe area for the citizens of our street to exit. The noise is ridiculous. I have neighbors down the street, that live three or four houses down, that complain about the noise too. So what we are proposing, as a neighborhood, is, we want traffic light eliminated number one, so people actually have to stop, and not race through it going 45 and 50 miles an hour. I have complained to the city police department several times. They know my name and they know my number and they call me and they say, they are doing the best job that they can. But they only have three traffic police officers to do the whole city of Green Bay, which I think is really sad. I would like to see lights installed where people get tickets. I would like to feel safe exiting my street onto Taylor Lane. And I would like the noise to be reduced. I know I'm emotional about this, but it has gotten ridiculous in the last two years. We are considering selling our house, and we have tried to, but with housing prices where they are at right now, we can't afford to, so we live with the noise. I guess that's all I can say right now. Thank you for listening.

- [Eric] Thank you, ma'am, for providing that input. We really appreciate it. Any other members of the public who would like to speak on this item?

- Yes. This is Richard Parins, at 1402 Kellogg Street, Green Bay. First off, I'd like to thank the members of the Traffic, Pedestrian, and Bicycle Committee for allowing me to speak with them. It was a very good meeting. I think some very good things came out of it. But I want to reiterate some things. I think the city's job, number one, is the safety of its community. And from what I've heard about, even with Lisa, who just spoke, but also online with many members of the community who use an app called Nextdoor, is that this has really gotten out of hand. I emailed today all of the council members, and also an article out of the Wall Street Journal, in the last few days, about how this was somewhat a result of the pandemic. Well, people have lost their respect for the law. They've lost their respect for their fellow citizens. And as a result, they seem like they could do whatever they want to. We too have a very pedestrian street with a playground and a school across the street. It's not unusual to see people race up that, blow through the stop sign right before they get to it. Do not stop, just go straight through, and race up the street at 40 to 50 miles an hour, regularly, on certain days of the week. I've called the police. You know what? Our police have got bigger fish to fry at the moment. We need to find alternative methods, where possible, to enable them. And one of the police members who attended that meeting on the 19th spoke to the fact that there are only, I think, three moving radar units available on our police cruisers. That's insufferable. We can afford to possibly purchase more of them so we can catch people in the act. The second thing is what Alderman Dorff spoke to, which is changing the state statute. Whether this all goes together, I think that is really the first thing that has to be changed. We need red light cameras. I know they're intrusive. People don't want that. Well then don't speed. Because the only people that are gonna be affected by these red light cameras are the folks that are breaking the law. Third, I would like to see some stiffer fines come into play. If people are caught doing these things, they need to pay, and pay in a big way, so that it hurts them, hurts their driving record, and hurts their insurance costs. Because it's just an affront to the rest of us who, probably we all go a few miles an hour over the speed limit at times. We've got a fairly low speed limit in Green Bay of 25 miles an hour. But that's okay if you keep it in a reasonable parameter, I think everyone's safe with that. But not when they're jumping on it and just racing up the street in vehicles that have been modified to sound very loud. Motorcycles that will pop wheelies on the freeway at 80 miles an hour. I clocked him. They'll pop a wheelie right next to me and just, it's amazing. You know, I just

don't want them to die in front of me. I don't want to be part of their accident. So those kinds of things are just, they're starting to frighten people. And to that end, we need to act on this and act on this in a meaningful and a quick fashion. Increase, like I said, increase the fines. Get the state to first alter, if not, at least look at their photo enforcement statute. And thirdly, to enable our police. And that could happen in a number of ways. We have also traffic people. Oh, the other thing I did find when I was looking up a lot of this, how to moderate traffic or calm it--

- [Eric] Sir, you are up against your three minutes here.

- Well, fine.

- [Eric] So if you just wanna conclude your remarks.

- Sure. You can get better traffic signs that tell them not only they're speeding, but what the fine will be. So I encourage all of you to act on this and act on this quickly. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you for your input. Any other members of the public who wish . Wish to testify?

- Motion to close the floor.

- Second.

- [Eric] Motion to close the floor made by an Alder Steuer, seconded by Alder Scannell. All in favor signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The floor is closed.

- I have some comments, too, after Alder Dorff. Is she?

- Oh no, I--

- Alder Steuer?

- I just wanted to break it apart. That's all I have right now.

- [Eric] Okay, so Alder Steuer, we have the resolution to deal with later on in the agenda. But that's the first item here.

- So we're just talking about the resolution itself? Well, okay. I feel that it's a good step. Like I said, it's a three part resolution or a resolution on other items here. But I would vote, I would make a motion to accept.

- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Steuer. Seconded by Alder Stevens. Further discussion on that first item here? Yes, Alder Vander Leest?

- [John] I'd like the police department to give us just a little breakdown on that camera. In other words, on number one, if they could just give us that information so the public's aware of what will be put in effect?

- [Eric] Okay, just kind of describe what these traffic cameras are capable of doing?

- [John] Yeah, that would be great.

- [Eric] Okay. Chief Runge, any thoughts on that?

- [James] Yeah. We haven't studied them real in-depth. We did talk about it this morning, yesterday morning. We think it probably would be an effective tool, in select locations. My understanding how it works is that camera will take a photograph of the vehicle with its license plate. We suspect there would have to be some owner liability law to pair that with, because it would be very difficult to identify the driver with some of those cameras.

- [Eric] Okay, great. Thanks for those comments. Alder Vander Leest?

- Are they, basically, when someone goes through a red light, is that the main?

- [James] That's the main, yeah, that's the main thing, red lights is what it's usually used for, but we would ideally envision it to use it in some of the speed areas if we could, the Mason Street Bridge, East Mason, if we could adapt it to that, the high areas where speed is the issue. That's our biggest complaint in the city, is speeding.

- [John] Okay. Thank you very much.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Are there any other comments or questions on the resolution? Alder Galvin?

- Thank you, Your Honor. I was in Washington, DC, earlier this year for a little over a week, visiting my daughter who lives there. And they have quite a few cameras in that metropolitan area. They're set up either for red lights, and they're also set up for speeding. Some are mobile, some are permanent. I had my picture taken one night when I didn't come to a stop in time, and went over a line that they paint on the ground, about three or four feet. So I haven't been contacted by them yet. I guess maybe they just figured I was an out-of-towner and they gave me a break, it was a first time. But I will say that after driving through communities like Milwaukee, Chicago, and that, I would have to say the driving, and that's a community of 700,000 residents, the driving in DC was markedly better than in communities like Milwaukee and that. There were still people you saw acting out, but with the amount of cameras that they had, the fact that they announce them with signs. The owners are given a fine, you know, pretty, like 100 bucks or something like that. But you could tell, it had an impact. Actually in Green Bay than I did in DC while I was there. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thank you. Alder Dorff?

- Thank you. I just think it's important to emphasize to the public that we can't make this decision by ourself. We have to get the law changed. So this is just a very first step. If we prove this, it is asking our legislature to consider getting rid of that ban. And I just wanted to make sure that that's clear to the people who are listening. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Good point. Alder Brunette and then Alder Steuer.

- If I speak in the microphone, can y'all hear me? Okay. Thank you. Thanks to Director Hronek and Shelby for helping me get connected to WiFi. It's been a rough start to the meeting, but they're professional as always. So I appreciate it. Regarding the idea to separate. I obviously am in favor of that. The other two parts, we should refer to the new police chief when that person starts, Chief Davis. Regarding the resolution, I am in favor, fully aware that the state legislature really needs to decide to allow this to the City of Green Bay and other municipalities in regard to traffic enforcement. Look at the resolution over a five-year period of time. There are over 4,700 traffic accidents in Green Bay, vehicle crashes, which is 900 a year, which is over two a day. Sadly, 24 fatalities, which is five per year, five people in this city who have been killed per year over a five-year period of time. And 259 crashes involving pedestrians and or bicyclists. That's 50 per year. It's one per week,

involving pedestrians, bicyclists, oftentimes children. I'm usually a small government guy. I don't like the idea of surveillance and this or that. But when it comes to traffic enforcement, the City of Green Bay needs an additional tool in their toolbox to enforce traffic. We can look at all their solutions when the new police chief starts. But I'm not a fan of having these all over the city, if this passes and the state allows us to do this, but certainly around intersections where there are schools and children and habitual speeders. We really need to get this under control. I'm not sure what the long-term solution will be. But it's frustrating for alders because, from time to time, these issues come up repeatedly, and the situation in a specific neighborhood or street goes away for a very short amount of time, but yet the problems reappear. And really what we're looking at is safety of people in our community, oftentimes the most vulnerable, whether they be elderly, people in wheelchairs, small children going to and from school. So enough is enough. I'm hoping you all contact, after, hopefully this passes, but you all contact our state legislators in the area to push this through, to us the permission to use this tool, especially, if not entirely, especially in school districts and schools zones. I think that would be a great idea. So I'm 100% in favor of this resolution. And I thank Traffic Engineer Hansen, Director Grenier and his team, for putting this together in a very short amount of time. I'm quite impressed. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Steuer, for a second time?

- Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Yeah, I had a good conversation with Director Grenier and Engineer Hansen today, and reiterating some of what Alder Brunette says. Crashes, it sounds like over half the crashes that occur in this area are because of speeding. And there's another 13 or 14%, if you add that to it, it's about, that's inattentive driving, so for texting or any other number of things. So you combine those two things together, two thirds of all accidents are because of speeding or inattentive driving, and that's unreasonable. I have reached out to Representative Kristina Shelton on this, and she has some folks doing research on this, and she is aware of the situation. And I would hope that we could get some of our other state legislative leaders to get involved in this as well. I'm definitely behind that. And I think we should all really concentrate and work on this. This is an issue, I think, that is very. Strong resolving of some sort. And I agree with Alder Brunette that we should have these other items referred to the new police chief as he moves forward with his staff and department to work on this. So anyway, that is my point. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Johnson?

- [Brian] Thank you, Mayor. Is it on? Yep. The one thing that, much like Alder Brunette said, I tend not to support growth in government. But this one is kind of the exception, in the sense that, if we favor the addition of traffic enforcement officers, which we unanimously supported, I believe, during budget season, I would hope that we would favor this. Because this allows us a more efficient deployment of resources. The cost to install cameras or surveillance equipment that can be strategically deployed in certain areas is certainly gonna be much more cost-effective than the addition of, really, more traffic enforcement officers that can only be where they are. And what I mean by that is, to use Mr. Parins' example, a motorcycle does a wheelie down his street, and then it's gone. And so to call a traffic enforcement officer at that point to come on site doesn't do anything, it doesn't solve the problem, where strategically deployed cameras would allow us the ability to have that surveillance without the need for an officer to be there physically present. So this is one of those exceptions where I think government intervention is a good thing. I do support the resolution. And I hope our state elected body can find a way for us to smartly deploy this type of technology in our communities where resources have become more scarce. We need to do more with less. And I think this particular tool would allow us to do just that. Thank you, Mayor.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Any other comments from council on this item? All right. We do have a motion and a second to approve. All in favor will signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. And that item is approved. And I personally can attest to the effectiveness of the cameras in Chicago. So. Yeah. Very sensitive. Onto the second item, increasing funding for moving radar units within police vehicles.

- Motion to approve.

- [Eric] And I think there was, Alder Brunette had some discussion on that. So I'll go to him again real quick.

- Thank you. Thank you, Mayor. This was an idea that I had been working with Mr. Parins on, and that was the reason why it was before the Traffic Commission. And the Traffic Commission did a heck of a job discussing it from several different perspectives, but I don't think the council should put it on the Traffic Commission to solve the traffic problems. It's probably a resource, it's probably a philosophy of law enforcement within the city. So what I would recommend on these two items is to refer to the Police Chief and the Mayor's Office as they work on the 2022 budget.

- I second that.

- So it was a motion made by Alder Brunette to refer both items two and three to the Mayor's Office and the Green Bay Police Department as we take a look at the 2022 budget. It was seconded by Alder Dorff. Discussion on that item? Alder Galvin and then Alder Wery.

- Thank you. If Acting Chief Runge could answer a question. How many stationary radar units does the department have right now?

- [James] We should have one for every squad car. So that'd be 30 something.

- 30 some?

- Yep.

- And how many of them are being used?

- [James] I don't know. It depends on the individual officer, if they have the discretionary time and they park and use the stationary radar.

- Okay. Thank you. I would have to say that, I think this is why we should let the new chief take a look at this. A group of us had met with Chief Smith prior to the pandemic hitting. I had some numbers pulled on enforcement action by the officers of our department. Some of it was pretty disappointing and shocking, as to how little some of them were doing. And I'm just talking about traffic stops. I think that this is a discussion we need to have with the chief. I don't know, as a body of interested alders, that would like to meet with him. But I think before we start hiring more traffic enforcement officers or anything else, we need to see what the mission of the officers and of the department is. If they're on board with traffic enforcement or they're just going to tell us that they're just too busy to do it and they'll get to it when they can? I can't see spending a lot of money if it's just gonna sit in the car. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Wery?

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. Yeah, obviously this, besides the condition of roads, is the number one complaint, speeding. In this past year I've gotten so many calls, especially Ninth Street, Oneida, Ridge Road, Mason Street, over and over and over. And we can hit it in a momentarily with some patrols and then it dies down and they take a different route. And then a week later, they're back to taking the same route and you get the same calls, and it's frustrating, 'cause we're all jockeying for the same people who do the enforcement. I'm wondering,

Chief, the mobile speeder boards, how many of those do we have? And is that something we'd want to look at increasing also, because residents do like having ownership of putting in and out that mobile speeder board, and when people drive they see their speed, and I think it helps.

- [James] Yeah. We have several of those, two or three that we move around the community. I don't know if you're aware, but our Traffic Unit maintains a list, based on how many complaints, the urgency of it. So we do try to address them as quickly as we can. But like you say, if we knock an issue down, a week later they might be back if we move on. The speed boards do work, somewhat, just like that, because we can send them a letter saying, "Hey, you were seen speeding in this area", just as a warning. But, I guess, as far as that goes.

- I appreciate, Chief, the ones that we're talking about were just the ones that show you your speed when you're going by.

- Yep.

- I didn't really want to--

- Yeah.

- I get a little, as Alderman Brunette and others have mentioned, I don't know if you really want cameras on private, you know, Shadow Lane or something, you know, watching people and driving around. I'd rather have it on maybe some busy intersections and the bridge, things like that. But the speeder boards you put out to tell people their speed is another thing we can do. While I'm fine sending this to your office, Mr. Mayor, and to the Chief, I really want to give it a little more oomph that we endorse it. And this is not something that we're requesting. We're almost, I don't want to say demanding, but directing, because this is such a priority, and I'm sure there's going to be other options that come up. Other things we haven't thought of, that we can add in later. But for me, I'm viewing this as a, this is something we really want to see, not, you know, could you look at it? 'Cause I know the chief won't be here, the new one, until September, sometime. So he's gonna have a lot thrown at him. So it's kind of kind of probably go on your plate a little bit more, Mr. Mayor. So when I vote yes, on this tonight, which I will, it's gonna be because I really expect this to be in there. Thanks.

- Thanks, Alder. Any other? Alder Galvin for a second time.

- Sorry, but I, and this is part of the problem, and this is why we have to do research. Speeder boards. Studies have shown that speeder boards with no enforcement behind them become ineffective tools and are ignored. Studies have shown that when they see a speeder board and there's a squad car half a block or a block away waiting to make a stop, then they have more of an impact. We even had an ex-alderman, speeder boards with speeds on it, we've had individuals use the speeder boards to determine how fast their cars can go on some roads, or how accurate their speedometers are. We even had one alderman that, when we turned the speeder board off, so you don't see the speed limit, and you're just taking a survey, which I think is very effective when we do the surveys, because then you can tell your residents exactly how fast the cars are going through the neighborhoods, I mean even down to percentages. So is there a problem or isn't it? And I've had some neighbors who thought cars were going fast and it turned out later on the cars really weren't going fast, a few miles over. But we also had people who, when they see the board not working, go back three, four, five times, going faster and faster trying to get it to go off. So, you would think it would work, because it works for us, because we want to obey the law. But sometimes they're more of a hindrance or more of a problem than they're worth, depending upon how you use them. The same thing with hiring more officers or radars and all this other stuff. Green Bay at one time did have an effective traffic enforcement unit that reduced traffic accidents so much they got an award from the insurance companies that were happy because there were so few claims coming in. But we don't have that anymore. And I think that it needs to be a fundamental change with the way our department views traffic enforcement. And some of that is manpower. You gotta have boots on the street, and if they're handling calls, and they can't get out, or they're a traffic enforcement unit, but

they're being pulled to handle street calls, then we're spinning our wheels thinking we're getting something out of them. So I think we need to, granted, wait until September, school's already starting by then, but I think we need to have some conversations and come to some agreements with the police department and also going forward with the budget, as to where we want to put this money and how to buy something if we're gonna buy something, that's gonna be used effectively. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Dorff and then Alder Scannell.

- Thank you. I just want to clarify that when I vote yes on this, I am voting to refer this to the Mayor and to the future police chief, Chief Runge. And I'm wanting the three of you, with whoever else think is appropriate, to look at what's the best way to handle the situation. So I am not implying that you are compelled to do this. So if that is what people think this means, we need to clarify this motion.

- [Eric] Alder Scannell.

- You're not gonna answer her question? Well, I just have a question for--

- [Eric] Well, from my point of view, the motion as it stands is sufficient.

- Yeah.

- Thank you.

- Chief Runge, can CSI units help out with traffic?

- [Eric] Chief?

- [James] No, they can, what they have done in the past has been by the speed boards and write down license plates, but they have no authority to enforce traffic.

- Okay. Thank you.

- [James] But just for information, we do have four traffic officers, the one person was retiring, so we're back up to speed there, that should help some.

- [Eric] Great. Thanks, Chief. Any other comments? We do have a motion to refer and a second. All in favor will signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. And those items have been referred. Now we are on to Report to the Redevelopment Authority from July 13th--

- Motion to approve.

- And July 27th, 2021.

- Second.

- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. Any items here to be handled separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report please signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The report has been approved. Park Committee.
- Motion to approve.
- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell.
- Second.
- [Eric] Seconded by Alder Dorff. Any items here to be handled separately?
- Seven.
- [Eric] Seven. Any others? All right, item seven will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The report has been approved with the exception of item seven.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- [Eric] A motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax. Item was pulled by Alder Wery.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. What I would like to do is just ask our Parks Director to weigh in on this. This caused some confusion. And if we could just publicly clarify what's going on at Colburn over the next few years, the phases and how this would impact it tonight? Thanks.
- [Eric] Absolutely. Director Ditscheit?
- Sure. Colburn Park is planning to be redeveloped. So right now there's a phase one project, which construction will very shortly on. We have already bid out the work. We have a contractor on board. And that is to build two little league fields and rebuild the parking lot adjacent to the little league fields. So that is actually funded and approved and ready to go. We have an extra \$25,000 left over from that project because the bids came in a little bit lower than we had anticipated. And then secondly, there's a phase two project, which is planned for a few years down the road. And in our five-year CIP that was just presented to the Finance Committee and City Council a few months ago, in 2023, we requested funding for phase two at Colburn, which is to continue the improvements in the ball field areas. So that would be to request money for a new playground next to the ball fields, to request money for some walkways, batting cages, improvements to the concession stand, and possibly even the shelter that's adjacent to that. Now we also have some funding for the relocation of the hockey rink as part of phase one. In reality, that project may or may not have to happen, depending on how phase two is designed. And so we don't have to relocate the hockey rink as part of phase one, and until we do some design work, we don't yet know if it needs to be relocated at all. So what this proposal is asking for approval to do is to, in essence, take the funding, the 25,000 that's left over in funding from the ball field project, which is phase one, and then also temporarily, and possibly permanently, eliminating the relocation of the hockey rink in phase two. Now, if we find that we do want to move that hockey rink in the future, we'll either design phase two within the allocated budget that is given to us for phase two in 2023, or we'll have to ask for a little bit more money than what's in our CIP for 2023.

- [Eric] Thanks, Director. Alder Wery?

- Thank you. And I appreciate that Director. It's a good plan. And it's gonna be very nice once it's completed. My only worry is sometimes once money's gone for a project or a park, other parks all of a sudden pop up with needs that are viewed as more important and they get knocked back years, many years sometimes. So I assume you're gonna be director for awhile. So 2023 is still going to be phase two, correct, Director?

- That is what our next CIP is going to show, yes.

- Okay. And viewing that, in light that this money really is not going to be used this year, it could sit in a pot for two years or we could use it towards a project that we're going to do, was it this fall or next spring?

- It would be this fall. The projects out to bid. We're short of funds for I and S and City Council to award that bid.

- Okay. So, in view of looking at all the parks, I think it's fine if we move this if we're not using it right away, knowing that there is going to be this next phase coming shortly. And I'm fine with it, then. Thanks for the explanation.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Any other discussion? Seeing none, all in favor will signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. And that motion is approved. Report of the Improvement and Services Committee, July 28th, 2021.

- Motion to approve.

- [Brian] Second.

- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Johnson. Any items here to be handled separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Those opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The report has been approved. Protection and Policy.

- Motion to approve.

- [Veronica] Second.

- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax, to approve the Report of the Protection and Policy Committee from July 26th, 2021. Any items here to be handled separately? Item six. Any others? All right, item six will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The report has been approved with the exception of item six. Your wishes?

- Motion to approve.
- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell. Seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax. The item was pulled by Alder Stevens.
- [Craig] A question for the Director. So since we met on Protection and Policy, until today, have you determined how many people are actually viewing this channel through Spectrum?
- [Mike] We cannot get those numbers. We have never been provided through our history and we've had the channel since 2014.
- [Craig] Okay. Do you think if we request it again, they might give it to us?
- [Mike] I don't believe they have the numbers to provide us. I don't think there's a public television station who was ever obtained those numbers.
- [Craig] All right. Thank you.
- [Eric] Any other questions or discussion? Alder Wery, go ahead.
- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I read the minutes and saw the discussion about this. And I know it might be a dying thing for people to sit and watch Channel 4 and watch the meetings. But I still, when going door to door, hear people who say "Yeah, I sat and watched the meeting on my TV." They'll ask question about what they saw. That's still out there. it might be the older set, but still quite a few people. And from what I understand, it's approximately 10 or 11,000, a one time expense? Or is there an ongoing expense?
- [Mike] A current one-time expense, but we will have to upgrade other equipment in the future. I cannot determine that date because we will wait till it would break.
- Okay, I appreciate it.
- [Mike] And it's also the labor involved in it. I would like to take those resources that we put towards that and put into a different category.
- And I haven't had a whole lot of feedback. I don't think a lot of people know that this is on the chopping block. So you probably won't hear the hue and cry, really, until it's really gone. I know it hasn't been around for a little while now, but you just hate to see an avenue of transparency or a way to keep people connected to the government go away. And I know there's YouTube and other ways of seeing it, but for me, I'd like, it's really not that much of an expense when we're talking about how much we have budgeted every year. So I'd like to see it stay, personally. I know I'll listen to other discussion. But, for me, I think it's something that we can afford and keep another way for people to stay connected to the government. But, thanks.
- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Dorff?
- So I had had the understanding that technically we can, if we don't show it on TV, then we are allowed by law to live stream our medias? There isn't a requirement then for us to have the closed captioning, is that true?
- [Eric] I think Attorney Chavez would be able to weigh in on that.
- [Vanessa] So yes, what we have been dealing, we have to get a waiver. We had talked about this a long time ago, about with getting a waiver from the, I believe it's the, the FCC, in order to be able to live stream without some form of closed captioning or some kind of way for the hearing impaired to be able to participate. And

the reason we're in this position is because it's an FCC rule that when we broadcast something onto our channel, that, no matter what avenue it exists in, so if it's something that we post online, our obligation is to make sure that when it is being posted in that format as well, we are able to, that at that time, whenever it's broadcast, we have to provide that service to the hearing impaired. So if we no longer are broadcasting, we don't have to see about getting a waiver. It is something that our department has been working on. But yes, you are correct. If we were to no longer broadcast our meetings onto our channel, then we would be able to start resuming immediately doing the live broadcast or I'm sorry, the live streaming of our meetings.

- [Eric] Thanks, Attorney Chavez. Alder Dorff, you still have the floor.

- So that is something that I think would weigh in against having it on the Channel 4. I think it's far more important to be able to live stream our meetings. I think we reach far more of our public by doing that. And, you know, people, there are so many different ways to watch these meetings. And the meetings that Spectrum, or the meetings that were shown on Spectrum, were not shown the same day, they were days after the meeting, after the votes were already in. The other piece of it is not just the cost of equipment, but the cost of the personnel. As I hear what's going on with technology, we may be talking about adding some time, a half time person, something like that, just to take care of this, then I don't think that is worth it. So I am going to be voting in favor of the request by the IT Department to eliminate that. And I just, I think we need to move on. And again, the biggest reason that outweighs everything for me is that we'd be able to live stream our meetings. And I think that's very important. The public's gotten used to that during COVID. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Gerlach and then Alder Steuer.

- Thank you. Director Hronek, can you just please remind me how long this has been down?

- [Mike] The Showcase Channel has been down since February. That is the filler in-between the government meetings. And the government meetings have been down for two months.

- Okay. So the interesting observation I have is that I've had a tremendous number of complaints from constituents over the last two months. And they're about weeds and speeding and tall grass and unkempt yards, et cetera, et cetera. I have not ever heard one complaint about this. And I know that several of us were surprised when we found out it wasn't working. So I wonder how many people in this meeting have heard any complaints about it?

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Steuer?

- Thank you, Your Honor. So we talked about this quite a long time at the committee. And Alder Stevens brought up a good point. It's like anything else, if you have the research or the data behind things, so you can make a better decision. So it's a little difficult to find out how many people are not able to tune in or stream or any other type of thing, because of the lack of technology that they may have. So we always talk about transparency, but I think, if we vote for this, there will be people, I believe, that will fall through the cracks. It sounds like it's a \$10,000 thing. Costs, we will have to maybe get some more staff. I don't know if, I would like to know, and I think Director Hronek talked about it a little bit at the meeting, at our P and P meeting, with talking about other communities, what they're doing, and I just would like to know, for information purposes, what other communities are going this route and what other communities might be holding onto the old television technology? Is there a number on something like that in the area?

- [Mike] I do not have that number, but I can attest that, in 2018, when we were forced to close caption video meetings, surrounding communities that we used to broadcast just dropped out of broadcasting altogether, instead of paying for the closed captioning. We won't be the first to do this.

- So there are, I know that there are others. I was just wondering if Green Bay is one of the lone folks doing this? And like I said, we've had reporters contact us and that too, and we're talking about transparency. I realize that the world is changing and technology is there as well, but this is a democracy after all, and we need to make sure that everybody has the ability to tune in. And I realize that some of the meetings are after the fact. It happens, and then a week later they're broadcast. Committee meetings aren't broadcast either. So it's a tough one. I can see both sides on this one. So I'll hold off for now and figure out as we go. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Dorff for a second time?

- Thank you. I think it's important to remember that we really cannot reach everyone. You have to be able to subscribe to Spectrum. You must have the ability to be able to pay, to get Spectrum, in order to get Channel 4. It's just not broadcast over there. So we are still going to be limiting it to people who don't get Spectrum. Lots of people don't. Lots of people have, I forget what kind of TV that is, but where you don't need to have Spectrum. Th they have DIRECTTV or they have satellite TV, or they have, oh, Roku, they have Roku Sticks. So unless you're getting Spectrum, you're not getting it either. And we just, we really can't be responsible for every single person to access it in a certain way. Yet every single person can access our meetings, by going to the library and by pulling up the recorded meetings and by watching them. So we do have access, free access in our city. I think, again, it's very important that we have this live streaming of our meetings. I really think that that kind of trumps having this one channel that only people that subscribe to Spectrum can get. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Johnson, and then Alder Brunette.

- [Brian] Thanks, Mayor. You know, to me, I think there's this assumption, perhaps, that this is the only way that we can reach certain members of the public. And I would caution against us protecting old assumptions. I mean, if that were the case, the only way to appreciate an art gallery would be in a cave. The only way we would've gotten here to city council today would have been on horseback. And I mean, the reality is is things do evolve. And in today's marketplace, it's about on-demand and expediency. And I think we can achieve both of those things much more effectively, and reach more people, by gaining the advantages of a live stream. Ans so to that end, I would maybe ask Director Hronek if he could just tell us, if we were to take this vote today, and we were able to, I guess, is the IT department capable of live streaming the meetings without additional investment in equipment and in other things?

- [Mike] This meeting is currently live streamed on YouTube. So we have that ability right now.

- [Brian] Okay, so we're already doing that?

- [Mike] Yes, mm hm.

- [Brian] Okay. So really, to me, that's, I mean, that's where the advantages come from. As we start to look at the development of technology and understanding the really critically significant role that our IT Department needs to play for the city of Green Bay, and how we evolve as a smart city, we need, I guess, the most effective way for them to allocate internal resources. And if we're looking at taking funding as a way to protect an antiquated technology, while at the same time eliminating our ability, again, to invest it in other areas that help grow the impact of services we provide to our community in other areas, I think that's, again, a dangerous path to go down. This, it's reminiscent to me of when we went from analog television stations to the requirement of digital, and of course there was some pushback, but we got a much better product in the end that services more people. And so I do support the IT Department's recommendation. And of course I'm always a proponent of open government, transparent government, but there are just so many other ways to get that more effectively and efficiently and more timely, I think, than what this particular station can currently provide. Particularly since the current programming doesn't run until I think six days after our meetings. By that time we've moved on to other issues. And so, I mean, sure, it's nice to be in the know, but when we're already

talking about version 2.0 of every decision we make before those who use this programming actually see it, I just, I'm not sure of the value that it's really offering to the public. So thank you, Mayor.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Brunette?

- Yeah. Thank you, Mayor. Another tough issue here. I can really see both sides of it. I like the idea of live streaming. That's a really attractive feature that we have right now that I'd like to see continued. I'm not entirely convinced, no offense to anyone here, that we have pushed Spectrum hard on the number of people who watch. I think that that data would be very good for us to know before we make such a decision. A little hesitant to believe that we haven't exhausted all resources to really get that information. If we laid that on the table and said the city council's considering terminating that ability, I do think that there is some draw for Spectrum, or any other outlet to televise our meetings, and whether they're a week later or a few days later. So I don't want to make a decision unless I had that information. I think that this is sort of a situation where we don't entirely know how many people watch from television still. Every so often I get contacted by someone, "Hey, I saw you on TV." And they're not talking about media or anything like that. They're talking about what they watched on television. It's not, I wish our gallery was full for every meeting. Honestly, I wish that civic government was to the point where we had dozens upon dozens of people at our meetings, every single meeting, even committee meetings. I know that's not where we're at in our civic engagement at the moment in our history, and that's hard for me to accept. But I will say that for, I have a hard time believing that people will watch YouTube or go search out YouTube to watch our meetings consistently. What I think is more likely is, say, they're laying in bed, a long day, they're tired, they're just kind of winding down. And they flip through the channels and they see one of our faces ranting and raving about some nonsensical position. And that really catches their attention and they stick along for awhile. And maybe that's me speaking nonsensically, but the realization is, I think that more people watch the channels and the more eyes that people have on their government, the more eyes on our government, whether it be local, county, city, state, federal, you name it, the better. So I'm not entirely convinced that we need to continue longterm, but until we really get more, better data and more confidence that we did, in fact, approach them for the number of viewers, then I don't feel comfortable terminating one access that the public has to their government. So thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Gerlach for a second time.

- And then, I'm sorry, but I'm gonna repeat myself. And I know that's naughty, but I'm gonna do it. We do have some data. How many alders have been contacted by a constituent complaining that Channel 4, or whatever it is, is down? I've not had a one. And I haven't heard anyone tonight say that they have had that. That is data. You know, you want to talk about people complaining about speeding? We hear that a lot. I just think that we're making this more difficult than it has to be. I agree with Alder Johnson, we need to move forward into the 21st century. And I don't have a horse, so I couldn't come to city hall tonight. That's how it is.

- [Eric] Thanks Alder. Well, I'll go first. We didn't hear any complaints in the Mayor's Office until there was an article in the Appleton Post-Crescent, and that generated some activity. But throughout that initial span of time, where the channel was not up, we didn't hear anything from the public. Any other discussion from council? Alder Wery for a second time.

- Thank you, Mr. Mayor. I'll make a motion to refer this back to request that information from Spectrum so that we can make a more informed decision. Thanks.

- Second.

- [Eric] A motion has been made to refer this item back to committee. That was made by Alder Wery and seconded by Alder Brunette. Discussion on that item? Alder Gerlach and then Alder Scannell.

- Just a question, 'cause I get confused at this point. So if we vote to not send this back, then what happens next?

- [Eric] Then the underlying motion is still there to approve the recommendation.

- Okay. Thank you.

- [Eric] Alder Scannell?

- Yeah, I'm against the motion. It's been asked. It hasn't been answered. I think we really are beating a dead horse here, making way too much a mountain out of a molehill. There haven't been, I haven't placed, for me this is an easy decision. It's time to move on. And no complaints. And we've asked, I don't think asking again is gonna make a bit of difference. What, we should make a mean face when we ask this time or something? I mean, we've been asked. We got nothing. It's been done. Let's move on. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Dorff on the motion to refer.

- I agree with Alder Scannell that I don't think that we need to continue to beat a dead horse here, and I will be voting against a motion to refer.

- [Eric] Sure. Apologies, Alder Wery.

- No, that's all right. Everybody was on a roll. That's fine. Director Hronek, do you have any of the communication? Was it calls, was it emails, letters? Do we have any of that?

- [Mike] It was a conversation, when we were inquiring about the replacement of the encoder.

- So just like over the phone?

- Yeah.

- Could we send a formal letter from your office?

- [Mike] I could send an email, yeah.

- Maybe a formal letter or email, something that we can look at and then give them a little bit of time to reply and then, you know, it'll be out there for the public to see, "Hey, you know what, here's our ask", so we have this information. I just think there's really no rush on this. The equipment's broken. We need new equipment. Oh well, we can still look at a waiver in the meantime to see if they would give it to us. If they don't give us the waiver, well, then, we're already ahead on getting our answer from Spectrum. So it really doesn't hurt anything to wait and to try and get some answers. So if you don't want data and stats and some actual data, not anecdotal data, but what you might get called on or emailed on, then we should wait. Thanks.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Any other? Alder Vander Leest?

- [John] I just want to ask the Director, is there a financial cost right now? And if it is delayed, is there a financial cost coming up relatively soon?

- [Mike] No.

- [John] Okay, that answers that question.

- [Mike] We have yet to receive our quote for the encoder that we have asked about.
- [John] Pardon?
- [Mike] It's a very slow process. We have yet to receive a quote for the encoder we've asked for.
- [John] Okay, thank you.
- [Mike] So yeah, it's slow.
- [Eric] Okay. Thanks, Director. But you have an approximation of the cost on the encoder, but you haven't--
- [Mike] Yes. That was, again, in a verbal conversation.
- Yep, okay.
- Right, mm hm.
- [John] Thank you.
- [Eric] Any other comments or questions from council?
- Mayor?
- Alder Johnson.
- [Brian] Thank you, Mayor. Just a question for Director Hronek. Is this an irreversible decision tonight, meaning if we choose to discontinue the use of public television, do we also lose the ability to resurrect it if we change our minds later?
- [Mike] I cannot answer that question right now. If anything, I would like to give our current working equipment to some other agency that would broadcast for us. And maybe it's an educational agency. UWGB, Green Bay School District, Fox Valley Tech. You know, I'd like to see that happen. And students get credit for it.
- [Brian] And one of the other questions that at least I didn't hear raised, when I read the article that came out recently in the Post-Crescent, it was interesting to me, you know, that it was other communities that were benefiting from our broadcast. Can you explain that to me? To us?
- [Mike] Originally, when we took over the station, Time Warner used to program the station for years and years and years, I don't know, probably since day one, the 1980s. And I guess they always programmed ours and other surrounding communities, like mostly in the Fox Valley. And I guess when we agreed to take it over, we agreed to take over the programming of those communities. Those communities now go all virtual and digital, YouTube. So they're not missing out on anything either. But, yes we do program other communities, and they do it for free, we do it for free.
- [Brian] Okay. So they're not contributing to the staff expense, to the equipment expense, to actually broadcast to their community? So we're just being a good neighbor?
- [Mike] Yes.

- [Brian] Okay. And the reason I ask those questions, because, I mean, I appreciate Alder Wery's point. I still think that the data or the response that we get back is not necessarily going to sway my decision, but right now it's already down. If we want to do one more ping with Spectrum to see what kind of data we can get, I'm okay with that. And I think I'll support the motion to just hold or refer. But I also recognize that I think there's probably a very slim chance that we're gonna get data back that would actually prompt me to sway where I'm leaning on this decision right now. So thank you.
- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Any other comments on the motion to refer? Seeing none, all in favor signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay?
- Nay.
- Nay.
- [Eric] The ayes appear to have it. And the item is referred back to committee. Report of the Protection and Policy Committee granting operator licenses. Motion to approve made by Alder Stevens, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax. Any abstentions or names to be handled separately?
- Mayor?
- Yes?
- [Brian] I need to be shown as abstaining from Brooke Gehrke, Denise Kirchmayer, and Casey Ponchaud, as they all report to me directly.
- [Eric] Very good, Alder. Those will be noted. Any others? All in favor signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. That report has been approved. Plan Commission.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax, to approve the Report of the Plan Commission from July 26th, 2021. Any items here to be handled separately? Hearing none, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The report has been approved. Finance?
- Motion to approve.
- Move to approve.

- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. Any items here to be handled separately?
- [Brian] Seven.
- What was that Alder?
- Seven.
- [Eric] Seven. Any others? Item seven will be handled separately. Hearing none others, all in favor of approving the remainder of that report, please signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The report has been approved, with the exception of item seven. Your wishes on item seven?
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Steuer. The item was pulled by Alder Johnson.
- [Brian] Just looking to staff to give us an overview on this, and if we need to go into closed session, if they would just let me know that, I'd be happy to make that motion?
- [Eric] Attorney Chavez?
- [Vanessa] Yes, we can definitely go into closed session.
- [Brian] I would move that we go into closed session.
- Second.
- [Eric] A motion has been made to move to go into closed session by Alder Johnson, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax. Somebody have the language at their disposal?
- [Brian] The council make convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85, subsection one, subsection G, Wisconsin Statutes, for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85, subsection two Wisconsin Statute, to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to reconsider the remainder of the agenda.
- [Eric] Thank you, Alder. Any comments on that motion?
- I do.
- Alder Brunette?

- Yeah. Thank you, Mayor. Obviously this is a election complaint issue, which has proven to be rather controversial the last several months. Attorney Chavez, is there anything that you can provide for reference in the agenda packet? There's very little that the public would really have any ability to understand. What can you share with the council as to why this needs to go into closed session or should go into closed session, and what can you reveal to the public?

- [Eric] Attorney Chavez?

- [Vanessa] Public, is the nature of the request. So the filings that have been submitted are public record. There is a request by Kris Teske. So let me back up and explain where we're at procedurally. So we received a request, I'm sorry, we received a request from Kris Teske once the original complaint was filed with the WEC for representation. Our office notified her that we would be the one to provide it, and that we needed to confer with her about numerous things. At that time, she indicated that she felt that there was a conflict of interest, but no further information was provided. Our office did not identify one. As we moved forward, the proceedings were drafted and, or the plea, the response of pleadings were drafted. And as we were getting prepared to file them, I was not able to get approval from Kris Teske on her response. Instead she wanted us to essentially eliminate everything that we were submitting and instead submit something basically saying that she agreed with the complainants. And we determined at that point there was a conflict of interest, which we notified her of. And we submitted a request for, to allow for additional time to respond if she needed to, and we submitted a motion to dismiss on her behalf, in order to preserve her position nonetheless. And after that time, she submitted this request for conflict counsel to be paid for at the expense of the city. Everything else needs to be discussed in closed session. The reason being is that there's no way to discuss privileged communications without going into closed session. I mean, at this point, we're to the point of having to discuss with attorney client privilege.

- Alder Brunette?

- Yeah. Thank you, Attorney Chavez. That's fair. You explained it. I just didn't want to go behind closed doors and have some members of the public wonder what in the world we're talking about that couldn't be disclosed publicly. So you certainly answered the question, so thank you.

- Thanks, Alder.

- Mayor?

- [Eric] Alder Johnson?

- [Brian] Thank you. Just a quick question for Attorney Chavez, and maybe just a point of clarification. So if we have this discussion in open session, we indefinitely waive our right to have these conversations in closed session in the future, is that correct?

- [Vanessa] Apologies. Correct.

- [Brian] Okay. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. So we do have that motion on the floor. If there isn't any further discussion, then we can use the board on moving into closed session.

- I'm a yes.

- [Celestine] Thank you, Alder. Alders Wery and Vander Leest?

- Aye.
- [Eric] Alder Wery votes aye.
- [Celestine] Thank You.
- I already voted on a machine.
- [Celestine] Yes I do, I see that, Alder Vander Leest.
- Thank you.
- [Celestine] Thank you, it came, it was a little delayed.
- [Eric] All right. And that is 10 to one to move into closed session. So we will close down and reconvene.
- [Celestine] Thank you. Just give us a few.
- [Eric] All right. We are prepared to return to open session. Clerk, are you ready for us?
- [Celestine] Yes, Your Honor, I am ready.
- [Eric] Okay, great. I'll entertain a motion to return.
- Motion to return.
- Second.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion has been made to return to open session by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Corpus-Dax. All in favor signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. And we are back in open session. We have a motion and a second to deny action on a request. Is there... Alder Brunette?
- Yes. Thank you, Mayor. I would like to make a motion to hold until our next meeting, until the time that the city attorney can provide the city council an update on the response that was received to our response to the original complaint.
- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Additional comments or questions?
- Second.
- [Eric] The motion has been seconded, and this is a motion to hold this item until the next meeting of our Common Council.
- Excuse me, Mayor?
- Yes?

- [Celestine] I did not hear who seconded the motion?
- [Eric] That was the seconded by Alder Wery.
- [Celestine] Thank you.
- [Eric] Any further discussion on that motion?
- I would like to?
- Go ahead.
- Attorney Chavez, if you could answer when the city received the response to our response to the complaint to the WEC?
- [Vanessa] They had my email wrong in the distribution list, so I received it later than everyone, but I believe I received it late on the 28th.
- Okay. From the time that was received, and I'm not gonna get into any personal details, but can you answer if the city council was informed of that response and what the response included?
- [Vanessa] No, I haven't even had a chance to go through the entire thing myself. I've skimmed it, but I have not had a chance to fully review it. And it is over 250 pages combined.
- Fair point. I guess my comment would be that for the council to go ahead and deny a claim without having read that response and understanding the response, which I'm assuming, 'cause I haven't read it, I didn't know that it existed, it probably includes the former clerk's name at least several times. So I'm not comfortable with making a motion to deny until I can read the response to that complaint. Thank you.
- [Eric] Thank, Alder. Alder Dorff.
- Thank you. And I'm very comfortable in voting on this tonight. I will not be voting to hold it. I think we've discussed this plenty of times, in open session, in closed session. I think we know what the issues are and I will be voting against holding it. And then I will hope to be voting back on the original motion to deny. Thank you.
- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Any others? Alder Wery?
- Mr. Mayor? It's my understanding based on the questions we just heard from Alderman Brunette, Attorney Chavez, that the 200 pages, 100 page of response, 100 page of additional information, wasn't available for the last Finance Committee, so there's no way it could have been discussed in closed session, open session, in any way at last committee, correct?
- [Eric] Attorney Chavez?
- [Vanessa] It was not available at the closed session, or at the last meeting. But I will note that the most important pleading is always complaint. The response is just the arguments regarding the complaint.
- All right. Yeah, my question was just that it wasn't discussed because it wasn't available, the 200 pages of a response to our response. So I think it would be thorough and well within this council's duty to read that first and really decide what we should do from there. So I will also be voting to hold this so we can look at that. Thank you.

- Thanks, Alder. Alder Scannell?

- Thank you, Your Honor. I don't care about that complaint. We have been told, I believe I can state this, that we are not responsible, we are not, for that, for aid for her counsel. And so I believe in being fiscally responsible and not picking up an obligation we are not obligated to do. And that's all I'm looking at here. All the other stuff has nothing to do with this issue, as far as I'm concerned. Either we're obligated to pay for her offense or we're not. We are not. So I am fine with going forward and not paying for it. Everything else is a whole 'nother ball of wax.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Gerlach?

- Just to be clear, again, I'm sorry to have to ask this. Before we vote on anything, I want to make sure I understand what Kris Teske is asking us to pay for is an attorney to represent her in conflicts with the City of Green Bay. Is that correct? No? Alder Scannell is saying no.

- [Eric] Just wait. Attorney Chavez?

- [Vanessa] Yes, that is correct.

- Thank you.

- [Eric] Alder Steuer?

- Thank you, Your Honor. I think a lot of us would like to just see this all go away. It's been a long, long process. And Alder Brunette and Alder Wery bring up some good points. We didn't have a chance to see 200 pages. Do we want to read 200 pages? I don't know if I want to. But if we're gonna be transparent about this, I think we should hold it, if it's not time sensitive. I think it's a slam dunk that way. I was leaning the other way, but after hearing the comments from Alder Brunette and Alder Wery, I feel that we need to be able to look at that. Do we want to do that in the middle of summer? I don't. But I will look at it, and I will read it. So I will vote to hold. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Any other comments? Alder Johnson.

- [Brian] Thank you, Mayor. I'm gonna support to hold as well. I don't know where the fire alarm is on this. We've got time to make this decision. And we've got time to make the decision with all of the information that's available to us. I think, this decision sets a precedence for how we're going to treat former employees who might be involved in legal action. We can certainly hide behind legal ease of whether or not we're obligated to pay for it. But I think at the end of the day, for us to just wait until the next council meeting to put those at ease who want to read the report, to have that information, so that we can make a decision that's appropriate based on all information that is currently available but hasn't been presented to us, I think is a wise one. And I look forward to seeing the report. Thank you, Mayor.

- [Eric] It's not a report, it's a response to a complaint.

- [Brian] Or, I'm sorry, you know what I mean.

- [Eric] Alder Gerlach.

- I'm sorry to speak again, but I will, my colleagues know that I absolutely will read whatever is put in front of me, I'll read every page of it. But I just want to be clear. I think I'm hearing from Attorney Chavez that we are not being asked to pay for Kris Teske to defend herself against the Wisconsin Election Committee or the

complainants. We are being asked to pay for an attorney so she can conflict with the position of the City of Green Bay. I just want to make sure that we're all clear on what we're being asked to pay for. Because you talk about her being a former employee, and a former employee that I dearly loved. But this is not about, I think, correct me if I'm wrong, this is not about leaving her out in the cold now, when she's trying to defend herself against the Wisconsin Election Committee or the complainants who brought that, whatever that thing was. It's asking us to pay for her to conflict with the city. I cannot support.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Any further comments or questions? Alder Brunette?

- Yeah. Thank you, Counsel, or thank you, Mayor. To my colleagues here on the Common Council, Attorney Chavez just emailed us the response. I just did a simple search, put in Kris Teske's name and it appeared 13 times. So if we're gonna make a decision on permanently denying the right to counsel from a former city employee, if we have a document that we just had emailed to us during the course of the meeting, and include that in former employee's name 13 times, we owe it to that employee and all city employees, now and in the future, to take the due diligence to look into the complaint, to read it, the response to the complaint, and hold it for two weeks. Nothing major will happen in that time, but it makes me certainly feel better about my duties to my constituents and to the public. So I'm firmly in favor of holding it until we all can review this document. Thank you.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Alder Gerlach, for a, maybe, third time.

- If, who knows, I just, I just have to respond to that. We are not being asked to defend, to pay to defend, Kris Teske against that report or that action. We are being asked to pay for an attorney to support her in her conflict with the City of Green Bay. Of course her name is in that report. Of course it is. That is not what we are being asked to pay to defend her against. But if I'm wrong, please, Attorney Chavez, point it out.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. So we do have a motion here to hold for two weeks. That has been seconded. Alder Wery?

- I just want to point out, a conflict attorney is not something that's necessarily uncommon. I mean, it doesn't happen a lot, but right, Attorney? It's something that happens. As it was explained, and you can explain it, if you want to again in open session. It's not a, it's just a process thing. If there's two employees and they're being sued by an outside force and the city's gonna, and they disagree, and they're pointing fingers at each other, the city's gonna defend one, but they're gonna pay for the other person to have an attorney, a conflict attorney. That's what's going on here. If we are going to decide that we're cutting ties, which we might do, I don't know. Then if former Clerk Teske would be on her own to defend herself and those expenses, five, 10, 15, 20,000, whatever they are. So we have to internally decide what do we owe former employees? Or because that former employee happens to have an opposite opinion of most people still here, is that why we're jettisoning representation? I don't know. I hope not. But I want to read this report first and know as much as I can before I make a decision. Maybe it won't sway it at all. I don't know. But we should like, Attorney Johnson said, there's no fire alarms going off here. Just take two weeks. Read it, come back. Boom.

- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. Any final comments? Alder Scannell?

- Yeah, just one. A question for Attorney Chavez. Legal Department has decided that we have no obligation to her. Would reading this report change Legal's opinion in any size, shape, or form?

- [Vanessa] No. I already reviewed the provisions that pertain to Kris Teske and nothing that is in the document changes the position.

- So I find it a little incredulous that alders are gonna put on their lawyer hat and be, overturn our Attorney's recommendation here. I just, well, I'm not gonna go into it. That's enough. I support our Attorney's recommendation that we are not obligated. And so I will be voting accordingly.
- [Brian] Mr. Mayor?
- [Eric] Thanks, Alder.
- There's two different things. There's legally right and there's morally right. We get to decide, yeah, it might not be legally right, but it's .
- [Eric] Any final comments, Alders?
- The board, Mayor?
- [Eric] Yep. We absolutely can, if we're prepared to take a vote. We will use the board. And again, a reminder on the motion. This is a motion to hold the item until our next council meeting.
- [Celestine] Thank you, Alders, you may vote. And Alder Wery, how do you wish to be recorded?
- [Eric] Alder Wery's aye.
- [Celestine] Thank you. Sorry, I got the wrong screen. There we go. That is the correct screen.
- [Eric] And that is seven to four to hold that item. Onto Report of the Personnel Committee.
- Move to approve.
- [Craig] Second.
- [Eric] Motion has been made to approve motion by Alder Dorff, seconded by Alder Stevens. Any items here to be handled separately? Seeing none, all in favor of approving that report, please signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. The report has been approved. Public Arts Commission.
- Motion to approve.
- [Celestine] Mayor, could you just hold on one second? I'm sorry. I'm having some difficulties. My computer is very slow. Just hold on.
- No problem.
- Thank you very much. Okay.
- [Eric] So we are on the Report of the Public Arts Commission from July 28th, 2021.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.

- [Eric] Motion to approve made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff. All in favor will signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay. The ayes have it. That report has been approved. Onto Receive and Place on File.
- Receive and place on file.
- [Eric] Motion has been made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Stevens to receive and place on file the Building Report for June, 2021, and the Municipal Court Report for June, 2021. All in favor signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. Onto Resolutions.
- Motion to suspend the rules.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion to suspend the rules has been made by Alder Scannell. That was seconded by Alder Steuer. All in favor of that motion signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay. The ayes have it. And those resolutions will be taken up with one roll call vote. I'll entertain--
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion to adopt made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Steuer. Any discussion?
- Item five, separate.
- [Eric] Number five. But we had the motion to suspend the rules and take the items up with one roll call vote. Motion has been made to reconsider the suspension of the rules made by Alder Brunette, seconded by Alder Johnson. All in favor signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. Would you like to take all of the resolutions up with the exception of item five?
- Yeah, motion to suspend the rules and take all resolutions .
- [Eric] So Alder Brunette--
- I'm sorry, I can't hear what's being asked for?

- [Eric] Yeah, I'll repeat it. Alder Brunette makes a motion to suspend the rules and take up all of the resolutions with one roll call vote, with the exception of item five. And that was seconded?
- I'll second it, I don't know.
- [Eric] Seconded by Alder Dorff. All in favor signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. So the rules are suspended to take up all of those resolutions with the exception of item five. We will use the board on all of those resolutions, again, with the exception of number five.
- [Celestine] Thank you. Just give me one moment.
- [Eric] Actually, I don't, I'll entertain a motion to adopt those resolutions.
- Oh yeah, I move to adopt.
- Second.
- [Eric] Motion to adopt made by Alder Dorff, seconded by Alder Scannell.
- [Celestine] Alders, you may vote, to adopt all except item five. There we go. And Alder Scannell?
- I got it.
- [Celestine] Okay, thank you.
- [Eric] And those are adopted unanimously, I I zero. Onto item five.
- Yes.
- Alder Brunette?
- Thank you, Mayor. With your permission, I'd like to ask Alderman Scannell a question?
- Sure.
- Go ahead.
- Alderman Scannell. Thank you, by the way, I am all about non-partisanship, and especially redistricting and drawing boundaries of lines for representation, but I guess my question is, did you draft this, the City Attorney's Office draft this, or was this drafted by another entity and forwarded to us?
- [Eric] Alderman Scannell?
- Yep, it was basically taken from another city, Point City, who had passed the resolution, and worked over and passed through Legal. So it wasn't reinventing the wheel. It was taking what had already been passed by someone.

- Okay. Thank you. No other question for the Alderman, but I guess I can agree with much of this. There are two lines that I need to know are 100% factually true. That would be, "Whereas the 2011 Wisconsin legislative process to draw the maps and fight litigation "contesting those maps cost taxpayers nearly 1.9 million." And then the next line, "Whereas a panel of federal district court judges "has ruled that the redistricting "done in Wisconsin 2011 was unconstitutional." That the second part, I guess, is the one that really confuses me because if a panel of federal district court judges ruled one way, is it possible that an appellate court ruled the other direction? Or if it went to the Wisconsin Supreme Court and it was overturned? I don't know those answers. So I like much of this resolution, but if I'm gonna pass it, I need to know from city staff, not necessarily from the Alderman who received it from another entity, but did city staff verify that? Can they tell us if that judgment from the panel of judges were overturned?

- [Eric] Attorney Chavez, do you have any comments on that?

- [Vanessa] I have to double check, but I do remember that this was an issue that made national news. So I'd have to go and double check. I want to say it was actually done at the U.S. Supreme Court level, because this would have been a federal action.

- [Eric] Right. Yeah, it did fail at the U.S. Supreme Court level.

- Okay. So I would like to make a motion to remove those two whereas lines, because I don't know if these are truthful. And I have no reason to believe they're not. I'm just saying if the motion, if the idea is to pass it tonight, I can't pass it with those two if I can't get some verification from city staff that they're 100% truthful and that there's no, nothing taken out of context.

- I'd move that we hold it till the next meeting, till we have time to investigate this and to see if perhaps these are accurate, rather than just removing something tonight. There's no fire, right? Isn't that, fire alarm, isn't that what we talk about?

- I'll second that.

- Well, for myself--

- [Eric] The motion was made to hold the item until our next council meeting by Alder Dorff.

- Second.

- [Eric] It was seconded by Alder Johnson. But Alder Scannell, on that motion?

- Yeah. I have no problem amending this in any way. I mean, I don't think the whereases are really all that pertinent. I mean, we could, if there's some we wanted to add or some we wanted to change or something we wanted to excise, I think it's the conclusion that is the most important part here. What, well, whereases we want to put in, I mean, I just went with this because it was something a city had passed and it looked pretty good. I didn't actually verify it. I assumed that they, I trusted the system that they were, no city would pass this without, with false information. But for me, if alders want to take out a couple of whereases, I really don't have a problem with it. I don't know if there's some who would, alders who want to argue that those whereases are very pertinent and should stay. That's an argument that can be made. I, for myself, I don't think it's all that relevant if you want to take them out. I don't think it matters.

- Mayor?

- [Eric] Alder Steuer on the motion to hold the item.

- Yeah, I agree that we should hold it. But I'd also ask Alder Scannell. Again?
- Point City.
- Point?
- Point.
- Point City. Where is that?
- Point City.
- Where is that? It's not Stevens Point?
- No.
- Point City what, California? Not that it matters.
- I don't know.
- What's that?
- It is in Wisconsin.
- Point City? I'm a geographer. I don't know that. Okay.
- [Eric] Yeah, it might be a different name. I'm not familiar with--
- Yeah, I can't remember.
- Point City.
- Though I had it in front of me.
- Yeah.
- Okay, whatever.
- Whatever. It wasn't Stevens Point, I know that.
- [Eric] Alder Gerlach.
- As long as the author of this resolution is comfortable with removing those two whereas clauses, if you would entertain a motion, if this is appropriate to amend, I would move that we remove those two clauses that are offensive or questionable and move forward.
- [Eric] We do have the motion on the floor to hold the item.
- Well, that takes precedence--
- Or we have to vote on that first? Okay?

- [Eric] Yep.
- Mr. Mayor?
- Alder Johnson?
- [Brian] I withdraw my second, if it gets us to that point.
- [Eric] Alder Johnson has withdrawn his second from the motion to hold. Alder Dorff, any thoughts?
- I'll just withdraw my motion then--
- Okay.
- Alder Scannell. But those could be really important in the future, but let's hope they aren't. I'll withdraw.
- [Eric] Thanks, Alder. So Alder Gerlach makes the motion to excise those whereas clauses from the resolution.
- Second.
- [Eric] Seconded by Alder Scannell. All in favor will signify by saying aye?
- [All] Aye.
- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it, that amendment is successful.
- Motion to adopt as amended.
- Second.
- [Eric] A motion to adopt as amended made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Steuer. Any final comments? All right, we will use the board.
- [Celestine] Thank you, Alders. You may vote. Alder Wery?
- [Eric] Alder Wery's aye.
- [Celestine] Thank you. Oh, there's someone missing. So sorry.
- That's me. I'm an aye. Mayor, that was me.
- Ah, okay, thank you.
- Alder Brunette is an aye.
- [Celestine] Okay. All right, there we go.
- [Eric] That resolution is adopted 11 zero. Onto Ordinances, First Reading.
- Motion to advance. Or do we need to suspend the rules? I can't, I'm not there.

- [Eric] Alder Johnson made the motion to advance. I'll take that as a second, Alder Scannell. All in favor that motion, please signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. And that ordinance has been advanced to a second and final reading. Petitions and Communications Alder Vander Leest?

- Thank you. This would be to the Finance Committee. Just a second, I just want to switch my glasses over here. Request that the 2022 city budget submitted by the Green Bay City Council, to hold property taxes rate flat, also for the staff to investigate what grant and federal monies can be used to assist with the '21 and 2022 city budget needs. I can send it to Finance and Protection and Policy, whichever one the... Finance, do you want it to go to Finance, Mayor?

- [Eric] It makes sense, sure.

- Thank you.

- [Eric] Other communications? Seeing none I'll entertain a motion.

- [Brian] Motion to refer.

- Motion to, I even forget what the motion is. Motion to--

- [Eric] Refer, has been made by Alder Johnson.

- Refer.

- [Eric] Seconded by Alder Scannell, sounds like. All in favor will signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Any opposed, nay? The ayes have it. And the Communication has been referred. Adjournment?

- Second.

- Motion to adjourn.

- [Eric] Motion made by Alder Scannell, seconded by Alder Dorff to adjourn. All in favor signify by saying aye?

- [All] Aye.

- [Eric] Opposed, nay? The ayes have it. We're adjourned. Thanks.

- [Man] Thanks for your patience. Sorry about the.