



## **MINUTES OF THE PROTECTION AND POLICY COMMITTEE**

**MONDAY, AUGUST 23, 2021, 5:30 PM**  
**Virtual Meeting. Public may join via Zoom.**

### **A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.**

I. This item contains documents which provide call in information and instructions for the Zoom meeting.

### **B. ROLL CALL.**

Present: Craig Stevens, Mark Steuer, John VanderLeest, Kathy Lefebvre Also Present: Clerk Celestine Jeffreys; Ald. Lynn Gerlach; Deputy City Attorney Joanne Bungert; Administrative Clerk II Mary Safranski; Ald. Barbara Dorff

### **C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.**

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to Approve.  
Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

### **D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.**

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to approve.  
Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

I. Approval of the minutes from the August 9, 2021 Protection & Policy Committee meeting.

### **E. REGULAR BUSINESS.**

I. Consideration with possible action on a renewal application for a "Class B" Combination license by The Cannery Market, LLC at 320 N. Broadway Suite 101, previously discussed at the June 21, 2021 Protection & Policy Committee.

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to Open the Floor.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.  
Attorney Susan LaCrosse, N1623 Townhall Road, Kewanee, WI, for the Cannery Market spoke on this item.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to Close the Floor.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to hold the item until January 1, 2022.

Motion Failed.

Yes- John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, No- Craig Stevens, Kathy Lefebvre, Abstain- None.

This item proceeded to the September 7, 2021 Common Council meeting with no recommendation.

2. Consideration with possible action on a request by Ald. Brunette to consider a refund of inspection charges for 2593 Sumac Place. This item was held over at the July 26, 2021 Protection & Policy Committee Meeting.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to hold until next meeting a request by Ald. Brunette to consider a refund of inspection charges for 2593 Sumac Place. This item was held over at the July 26, 2021 Protection & Policy Committee Meeting.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

3. Consideration with possible action on an appeal by Jessica Beaudoin regarding the denial of her operator's license.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to hold until the next meeting an appeal by Jessica Beaudoin regarding the denial of her operator's license.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

4. Consideration, with possible action, of a City review of enforcement scenarios, including citations and warnings, with respect to loud automobile, truck and other vehicular forms of transportation (communication from Ald. Steuer, District 10).

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to Open the Floor.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

Rob Miller, 131 S. Van Buren St., GB spoke on this item.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to Close the Floor.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to Open the Floor.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to Close the Floor.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre to refer to staff a request by Ald. Steuer to do a City review of enforcement scenarios, including citations and warnings, with respect to loud automobile, truck and other vehicular forms of transportation.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

## **F. INFORMATIONAL.**

1. The Liquor Violation Report for August 23, 2021.

Moved by Ald. John VanderLeest, seconded by Ald. Craig Stevens to Receive and Place on File the Liquor Violation Report.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

2. Report on Homelessness, Green Bay Police Department.

Moved by Ald. Craig Stevens, seconded by Ald. Mark Steuer to Receive and Place on File the Report on Homelessness, Green Bay Police Department.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

## **G. ADJOURNMENT.**

Moved by Ald. Kathy Lefebvre, seconded by Ald. John VanderLeest to Adjourn.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Craig Stevens, John VanderLeest, Mark Steuer, Kathy Lefebvre, No- None, Abstain- None.

## **Minutes With Verbatim**

- For August 23rd, 2021. We will do a roll call. Alder Steuer, I'm here. Alder Stevens?

- Here.

- Alder Vander Leest? Alder Vander Leest, you're here? I see him. I'll just say, Alder Vander Leest, you're not talking. I'll say you're here. And then Alder Lefebvre? Alder Lefebvre?

- Celestine?

- Yes.

- Where are they? Okay.

- [Celestine] She did sign into CivicClerk. Let me see, iPhone here.

- Okay.
- That might be her?
- I just want to make sure that they're connected. Alder Vander Leest, are you there?
- [Celestine] He just, you know what Alder, he, maybe that's his phone.
- I don't know. It says it's connecting to audio when it's not. I just want to make sure he's connected. And then Alder Lefebvre?
- [Celestine] She did sign into CivicClerk.
- Okay, well I see iPhone, but I don't see anything coming in.
- [Celestine] Yes.
- I just want to make sure we have a quorum.
- [Celestine] Yes, indeed. So we can certainly hold on here and make sure--
- Just so we have connectivity.
- Yes.
- We all want to connect. Alder Vander Leest, are you connected? Help me, people. Anybody?
- I think he can't hear you.
- Yeah, I know, but. We got two people that can hear each other, but two others not. So I'm not moving forward until we at least have three people.
- [Celestine] Right. I don't know whose iPhone that is. Oftentimes Alder Vander Leest connects via iPhone. Do you have his cell phone number to? I don't.
- I think he's calling me right now. Hold on a second.
- [Nate] Celestine, I don't have a microphone to mute or unmute Vander Leest, so I'm sure if he has audio or not.
- [Celestine] Yeah. Lieutenant, I don't think he has audio. I'm not sure why, he seems to be having some issues. But also, Alder Lefebvre had signed into CivicClerk, but I don't see her here in the meeting.
- [Nate] Yeah. She just popped up, so she'll be in.
- [Celestine] Oh, Okay. Thank you.
- [Jessica] I'm on. Can you hear me?
- [Celestine] Yes. Alder Lefebvre, is that you?
- [Jessica] Hello?

- [Celestine] Hello?
- [Jessica] It's Jessica Beaudoin.
- [Celestine] Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Thank you so much. Hold on.
- [Jessica] Especially if you have multiple people on it. How do you know when you've gotta talk? Sometimes it's hard to say, and they cut out too.
- [Nate] Yeah, Celestine, it looks like Lefebvre is gonna have the same problem as Vander Leest. They don't have a microphone next to them that I can mute or unmute.
- [Celestine] Oh, okay. Oh, let me see. And I think the chat is off? Yes. So if they don't have a microphone, I don't think that they have a...
- [Jessica] Mine just keeps going on mute on its own.
- [Nate] Yeah. You're a number three on the agenda. So I'm gonna keep you muted until then.
- [Jessica] Oh. Do you know how long it'll take?
- [Nate] I'm not sure. We're having some technical difficulties here. So just hang on the line.
- [Jessica] Yeah. 'Cause I gotta hang up by about 10 to 6:00.
- [Nate] Okay.
- [Celestine] Alder Lefebvre, can you hear us?
- Yes. I finally got in.
- [Celestine] Oh, awesome. Okay. Alder Steuer, Alder Lefebvre is in the meeting, as well as you and Alder Stevens, so you do now have quorum.
- John, do you want to take the phone here?
- [John] Do I what?
- Take the phone and hang--
- [Joanne] Alder Steuer, you are muted.
- And hang it up. Okay.
- All right, John. I'm gonna put you on speaker, all right? Can you hear me? Alder Vander Leest, are you here?
- Present.
- Can you hear that?
- Yes.
- Can anybody hear that?

- [Celestine] Yes, thank you.

- All right, I'm gonna keep you on, I'm gonna keep you on the cell phone. All right. Oh man. No stress there. Are we ready?

- [Celestine] So now we're onto the approval of the agenda, Alder.

- Okay.

- So moved.

- So moved by Lefebvre, do I have a second?

- Second.

- Second by Stevens. All in favor please say aye?

- [All] Aye.

- All opposed? That passes unanimously. And I was gonna introduce Mary Safranski. Mary, are you there?

- [Mary] Yes. Yes I am present.

- Okay, Mary Safranski is working with Celestine, in the Clerk's Office, I believe, correct?

- [Celestine] Correct.

- So Mary is gonna be handling the meeting tonight. Mary, do you have a few seconds here? Do you want to just tell us briefly about yourself?

- [Mary] Sure. I started in the Clerk's Office as a voter navigator about a year ago for the November election. And then I was hired on as a temporary worker in the Clerk's Office, and now I'm in a permanent position.

- Okay. Well, welcome aboard and I'm sure we'll be seeing, are you gonna be handling some of our meetings from now on?

- [Celestine] Yes, that's right, Alder. So she's co-piloting today. And so we'll be co-piloting until she has the hang of being a meeting manager and then she'll be going solo.

- Right. Well, just make sure she has our contact information in case she needs to visit with us.

- [Celestine] Okay.

- And I'm sure you do. Welcome aboard, Mary. And we'll be patient and hopefully we'll all work together. All right?

- Thank you so much.

- Okay.

- [Celestine] Okay.

- Let me know when you're ready?
- [Celestine] Yes, Alder, go Ahead. I'm ready.
- Okay, regular business. Number one. Consideration with possible--
- [Celestine] I'm sorry, you forgot the approval of the minutes.
- Oh.
- Yeah.
- Oh brother. Okay, approval of the minutes. Do we have a motion please?
- Motion to approve.
- Motion by Vander Leest. Do we have a second?
- Second.
- Alder by Stevens? Alder by Stevens. You guys got me all flustered today. It should be smooth rolling here. All right. Any other discussion? All in favor please say aye?
- [All] Aye. All opposed? That passes unanimously. Let me know when you're ready.
- [Celestine] Thank you, Alder. You may go ahead.
- All right. Regular business. Number one. Consideration with possible action on a renewal application for a Class B Combination License by The Cannery Market, LLC, at 320 North Broadway, Suite 101, previously discussed at the June 21st, 2021, Protection and Policy Committee. Staff?
- [Joanne] Yes. Good evening, alders. So, as the agenda item indicates, this was previously held. Staff's recommendation at that time was to deny as the establishment is not operational. And so therefore in violation of our ordinance requirement. At that time, I think based on statements from the licensee or the attorney for the licensee, they were in the process of looking for a buyer for the property so that the business could continue on. And so the committee granted them an additional time to revisit this for new application.
- Okay. Before I ask Police, is this one of these things, as far as timeliness, is this, if we keep delaying this, is that something that Law would look at? Or are you saying that you're still denying it because of what you just stated?
- [Joanne] Correct. Our position has always been to deny because they're not in operation and they haven't been since last summer. Our ordinance states that technically we can revoke a license, or pursue revocation, for any business that's not in operation in excess of 60 days. So it's a two month shut-down period, and this has been over a year. And we understand, obviously, a lot of businesses have been suffering due to COVID and a lot of places closed their doors, so we obviously did not pursue any kind of revocation actions against licensed establishments. And obviously it's within the discretion of council to extend, that's also in the ordinance. It gives you that discretion to be able to extend. However, staff's position is always to recommend action in conformance with our ordinances.
- Okay. Hold on a second. I just wanted to ask the police quickly. Police, do you concur? What's your take on that?

- [Nate] Yeah, we concur with Law. It hasn't been used in, I think it's over a year.
- Okay. All right. Thank you. Alder Lefebvre?
- I think we should just deny this because I think with how things are going, I don't know if they're gonna sell. It could be a year, who knows, the way things are going. And I think, if you have people that are ready to go, you should allow them to get the license. So I think they had more than enough time to try to find a buyer.
- I think before I decide to vote on this, I don't know, is there anybody from The Cannery? Or out in the audience that would like to speak to this?
- The attorney's here?
- Yes, may--
- The attorney?
- Yes.
- All right. Well then I would suggest opening the floor. Somebody make a motion to that effect?
- Motion to open the floor.
- I'll make a motion. Second.
- Motion by Stevens, seconded by Lefebvre. All in favor please say aye?
- [All] Aye.
- Okay. That passes unanimously. John, I believe--
- Can you hear me now?
- Yes I can, John. I got you on the phone, so just-- All right, just, I'm gonna keep you going. I'll ask for you when I need it. So you're there. I was talking to Alder Vander Leest on his phone. All right--
- I can hear you now, Mark, I'm on the computer.
- All right, John. All right. Okay. This is a lot of fun. Okay. Who is out there right now that would like to speak?
- Right here.
- The joys of technology, huh?
- Unbelievable. Anyway. Go ahead. Who is this, please?
- Attorney Susan LaCrosse.
- Okay, what's your address? Susan, what's your address please?
- It's N1623 Town Hall Road in Keewaunee, Wisconsin.



- Okay. All right, you heard what our attorney said, and also our police department said.

- Correct. And obviously they're absolutely spot on. The Cannery's been closed for well over a year. It's been a very trying time. They've had the restaurant for sale this whole entire time and there's nibbles here and there. They did try to jump in with the Restaurant Revitalization Act to get some funds on that. And I'm sure everybody's seen how many applicants had been put in for that. And unfortunately they were not able to get any funds out of that. The last time I was in before this group, they did have a prospective buyer. That gentleman, he's trying to get some financing and different investors, and they're having him go through an entrepreneurship program. That entrepreneurship program is not gonna be completed till later this year. So he's looking at now January when he would be able to occupy the premises. Again, I understand all of your positions and I very much appreciate it. I'm just asking if there is any leniency to provide some opportunities. I think from a marketing perspective, it really is going to hurt the opportunity to be able to sell The Cannery as a turnkey situation. And I know for the downtown it's very critical. I think just echoing, I spoke with the landlord this morning and he said the same thing. It's just, it's really, that place is, it's highest and best use is for a restaurant. And obviously having everything in there and trying to sell it as it is, is pretty instrumental, and part of that is having the liquor license. So I just, that's really all I have to say. But nobody's wrong in what they're saying. Yes, it's been vacant for quite some time and we're really doing the best that we can to try to get, to land somebody in there. But it's tough times right now.

- Right. Well, I appreciate that, Attorney LaCrosse. I think the committee has been pretty open-minded. We've been trying to keep it open and keep things open as much as possible. I would like ask Attorney Bungert, let's just say, okay, so it's like anything else, there's X amount of licenses out there right now.

- [Joanne] Correct.

- Then it's like there's people in the waiting rooms, so to speak, trying to get these licenses in the city. So if, it sounds like Attorney LaCrosse is saying that they're looking later in the year and that. I mean, to hold off, hold off indefinitely, that can be kind of hard for other businesses that are trying to get in there as well. So I'm gonna ask the committee, their thoughts and Alder Lefebvre already mentioned a few things. I dunno if Alder Stevens or Alder Vander Leest would like to weigh in, but I, you kind of see where I'm going with this. If they don't get this license, then they're gonna have to go back and reapply. And there is no guarantee that they'd get one, considering the limited amount of licenses we have. Is that correct?

- [Joanne] Not entirely. So I know that Attorney LaCrosse mentioned that it would be a turnkey operation, but that's never really the case, because liquor licenses can't be sold from one licensee to another. Whoever the new licensee is, still has to come in front of the committee and they have to apply. They have to fill out the application. It's just like a new license. But what the city does is that it just, instead of taking from the pool of available licenses, the person that's purchasing the establishment or entering into a lease for the establishment, is applying for that specific license that was already issued to that particular property. So that's the difference. So it wouldn't mean that they would have to come back in front of the committee, they would have to do that regardless. The only risk is if this license is, if this renewal is denied, the license goes back into the available pool. And then when the licensee is ready to apply, the conveyance of the property has been settled and closed, there may not be any available licenses left, and then they would have to pay \$10,000 for a reserve.

- For reserve, yeah.

- [Joanne] Yes.

- Okay. Thank you. Alder Lefebvre?

- I don't know if there's any more questions for the attorney, this is my take.

- No right, if you do, let me know if you have any more? I just needed to ask that. I dunno. Well, we could ask the attorney, Attorney LaCrosse, there's always that possibility that if we deny it, then it's gonna go back out there and they'll have to get in line like everybody else. And there's a chance that there might be one and there's a chance there might not be one. But there are provisional licenses that are out there and I think, Attorney Bungert, those are 10,000? Is that right?

- [Joanne] Those are reserve licenses, correct. The reserve licenses are 10,000. But that's only in the event that all the available licenses are gone at the time that they come back in.

- Are gone?

- [Joanne] Yep.

- Okay. All right.

- Excuse me, Chair.

- Chair?

- Point of order, Chair, excuse me?

- Yes, go ahead.

- Alder Dorff is trying to get in the meeting and can't get in.

- I see. Okay. Yeah, she had just texted me as well. I don't know what's going on today. Is there a full moon or what?

- Yeah.

- This is like a... Okay. Alder Lefebvre?

- Should we close the floor? Because I have a comment, but I don't--

- All right.

- For the attorney.

- Well, Attorney LaCrosse, do you understand all of these things that have been just mentioned?

- Yes, I do. Yes sir.

- Okay. All right. Well, if we have any other questions for you, we will reopen the floor.

- Okay. Thank you.

- I would ask to close the floor?

- Motion to close the floor.

- By Stevens. Do I have a second?

- Second.

- Second by Lefebvre. All in favor please say aye?
- [All] Aye.
- All right, committee, have at it.
- Okay, then I have another comment then.
- Another comment and then I'll ask the other two.
- Yeah, I was gonna say one thing, to have a successful restaurant you do not need to have a liquor license.
- Hmm. Okay.
- So I feel like that, I don't think we can hold it that long. You're talking about almost January. That's a long time to hold a license. I just, I don't think that's--
- Well, I think with restaurants, though, too, it's like anything else, you could have a bar that serves the alcohol and maybe with some side order of food, or you could have a restaurant that serves alcohol as an addendum to the meals. So, I think there's different ways to look at it a little bit.
- There's a lot of restaurants that do not have alcohol at all.
- Right.
- So I don't think that's, I don't know if they feel that's contingent on selling the property, but I really think if you have a good restaurant, a good idea for a restaurant, and you have good food, it's not necessary to have that alcohol liquor license. So that, I'm gonna vote not to extend this.
- Okay. All right. Alder Vander Leest? Okay. Thank you. Alder Vander Leest, you're muted.
- Can you hear me now, Mark?
- Yes, yes. Go ahead.
- I feel that this license and what they're trying to accomplish, I think we should give them more time. I want to ask Attorney Bungert one question. Are there available licenses, available right now, without disturbing the one that's in effect right now? Is there more licenses available right now or just?
- [Joanne] Yes, I believe there are. The exact number I'm not aware of--
- Attorney Bungert?
- Yes.
- [Celestine] I have that number. This is Clerk Jeffries.
- Yes?
- That number is six.
- Okay.

- Okay.

- I would make the motion that we give them more time so that they can try to sell the property with the license intact so that they can move forward. And it's a more attractive, if you have the liquor license and the food together, you'll bring in more clients that might be willing to move this forward. And it's sitting empty and there's still five or six more licenses that are available right now. It's not like this license is so critical that they need it right now in the open market. In other words, there's five or six available. And I would say, let them have more time. These are tough times, as far as what the COVID, with last year. I think we have to take that in consideration. I have business myself. I deal with businesses and some of them are just getting back on their feet now. So I feel that we should give them more time and give them every opportunity so that they can try to sell the property. This is a big void on that particular area, that the owner of the place died in a plane accident, the main person that died in the plane accident, I think that was all part of it. And they're trying to move forward with trying to get a good fit for the restaurant and with the liquor license intact. So I would say, my motion would be to give them more time.

- Okay, well, let's, okay, thank you for that, Alder Vander Leest. And thank you, Clerk Jeffries, for bringing that up, that there are five other licenses. I wasn't quite sure. That helps a little bit with that, it gives a little bit more time. But Alder Stevens, do you have a comment?

- Sure. I would also deny this request as well. We should take this license back. We should follow the ordinance. We did grant them an extension already. But how many more extensions will we grant businesses? It goes back into the pool. They can still sell the business as a restaurant bar to whoever they choose. The new person. A liquor license to get approved to operate liquor at the establishment to begin with. It's not like the current owners are reopening their business. They're selling. So I feel like we should take it back.

- All right. Thank you for that. I was gonna ask Clerk Jeffries, are you there?

- [Celestine] Yes.

- Okay, I was gonna ask you, as far as licenses, in your experience, how many have you seen in Green Bay this year so far, that have come forward, new licenses?

- [Celestine] Oh, brand new licenses?

- Or even, well, yeah, brand new, and then maybe renewals. I don't know if that's two separate things you could--

- [Celestine] Yes. Those are two separate things. So we had our usual, I can't give the exact number, the usual crop of renewals for June 30th, and then the new licenses, we actually just processed an application today. But less than a handful of brand new ones. We do have, like I said, six available licenses, with one person who's applied. So that's actually a fairly large number, with my recollection with the Clerk's Office. I've only been with the Clerk's Office eight months, but just, as the former chief of staff, just hearing, "Hey, how many available licenses do we have?" And certainly Deputy Attorney Bungert can correct me, six is a relatively high number.

- Okay. Thank you. So let's, we're gonna vote on this in a minute. So if it's two-two, it still goes to council. So let's take the vote. So the motion by Alder Vander Leest is to approve, correct?

- The motion would be to extend the time--

- Extend?

- Yes.

- Okay. And we have a second by Alder Stevens?

- [Joanne] I'm sorry, the motion, since the agenda item is a renewal, it's renewal of their license, the motion would be to hold this item--

- Right.

- [Joanne] Until a specific date. Correct.

- Alder Vander Leest, do you have a date in mind? Could you?

- I would say give them until the 1st of the year. And I don't think the five or six licenses that are sitting there in the Clerk's Office might not even be used by then, so it won't make any difference. It'll give him another, this is almost September, you got September, October, November, December, they got four months to try to market their property and find a buyer and get somebody in that property. I'm for getting the property back in business. And with the liquor license, it gives them a good chance that they can get back in, and what's the difference, in other words, you've got all these other licenses ahead. If we run out of licenses, then we can bring it up at that time. But I would say give them more time so that they can have a chance to market the property properly with both the liquor license intact and the restaurant as well. And these are not the best times for small business. So I'm all for helping the small business.

- All right. Okay, so that's your motion. And Alder Stevens, you seconded that, correct?

- No, I'm not holding this.

- No no. Oh, I'm sorry. Nevermind. You're not gonna hold it. All right, well, then, for the sake of discussion, I will second.

- And the reason why I feel this way is, they have plenty of time to try to sell this property. Are we hindering them not moving faster because of a liquor license? Right now this building is sitting empty down there. If they have the option of keeping this license, they have more opportunity and a longer time to try to sell that business. We have an ordinance we have to follow. We already extended, by holding this, currently. When is enough is enough?

- Well, here's the deal.

- Okay, go ahead, Alder Vander Leest.

- They're working with the market that's available. So what if the ordinance, an ordinance can be, that's what we're doing, is we're giving them more time and we're putting the ordinance off at this time. And I don't think there's any problem with that.

- But if I recall correctly, from why we held it last time, is because, if I recall correctly, they had a potential buyer. So we held it, we held it. We held it.

- Buyers fall apart and buyers fall through. And that's why they're trying to find another buyer. And it's not gonna hurt it to hold this license, to let the license stay as it is, 'cause there's still five or six available. So it's not gonna affect anything. It's just gonna give them more opportunity to try to market their property. That's all I'm trying to explain to you.

- Sure. They're marketing a restaurant and bar. They're not marketing a liquor license.

- Well, a restaurant and bar, that's hand-in-hand. And what's the difference does it make? There's no difference at all. Give them the time. How are they gonna do it without it?
- You're right. Okay. I got both your points. Alder Dorff, you'd like to say something?
- Thank you, Alder Steuer. And I'm really sorry I couldn't get into the meeting earlier.
- Go ahead.
- I didn't hear all the arguments or discussion, but I read this last paragraph in the packet where it says that, "In light of Biden's most recent stimulus package, "it's our understanding the Pagels "will make an application for funds under the act. "If accepted, they will be looking "to reopen the restaurant." Is that on the table or is that off the table?
- No. No, I don't believe so. Attorney Bungert, if you could weigh in on that? I don't think so.
- [Joanne] I'm not sure how that applies to this situation.
- Well, the attorney explained that they couldn't get the funding.
- [Joanne] Okay.
- Oh, okay.
- And she's shaking her head.
- Yes, right.
- I'm sorry.
- That's fine and that's okay to talk about it. There's good arguments on both sides of this one. I seconded the motion. So, I feel that we should vote on this right now. Hello?
- [Jessica] Excuse me. I didn't want to interrupt, but I--
- What is going on here, people? I've got a lot of people talking right now. Is this Jessica?
- Well, we've got a motion on the floor here.
- Let's take care of this.
- Mark, was the floor, to begin with, was that closed?
- We did close.
- Okay. Thank you.
- We closed it. All right, so all in favor--
- Alder Dorff has a question.
- I just have one comment, very quickly. No matter what happens here, this is going to go to council next week.

- I was just gonna say that.
- So if you vote yes, two people vote yes, two people vote no, the motion fails.
- Thank you.
- It's gonna have to be done all over again at council, so I think, hopefully, you guys should stop now. And I'm sorry that I raised my hand, but I just wanted to say that to the general audience too. Let's not even talk about it anymore. No need.
- Yep, let's vote.
- Okay.
- Please, let's vote. All in favor of holding this, please say aye?
- Aye.
- Aye.
- All opposed?
- Opposed.
- Opposed.
- Okay, so it's two to two. That does fail because of that, not a majority. So it will go on to, let me guess here, September, September 8th, correct? September 7th. 6:00 PM at council. Thank you for all the discussion. Attorney, thank you for your discussion. And city council is on September 7th. So I would suggest showing there.
- Thank you.
- All right. You're welcome. All right. Let me know, Mary, when you're ready to move forward.
- [Celestine] Yes, go ahead, Alder.
- All right. Number two. Consideration with possible action on a request by Alder Brunette to consider a refund of inspection charges for 2593 Sumac Place. This item was held over at the July 26th, 2021 meeting. And Alder Stevens, I guess, Alder Brunette talked to you?
- Yes. Jesse would like to hold this until our next meeting.
- Okay. That's your motion?
- Yes, please.
- We have a motion. Do we have a second?
- Second.
- Second by Vander Leest. All in favor please say aye?

- [All] Aye.
- All opposed? That passes unanimously.
- [Celestine] Okay, Alder. Go right ahead to the next one.
- Number three, consideration with possible action on an appeal by Jessica Beaudoin regarding the denial of her, staff?
- [Joanne] Yes. So I actually think that the appellant had to disconnect from the meeting. She did mention that she had to, she wasn't able to, and I think that's why she was trying to speak up.
- Yes, I know.
- [Joanne] So we usually give, or the committee in the past, has historically provided another opportunity for an appellant to appear with respect to a denial appeal. So that's the point I would just recommend or suggest, that the committee just hold this item to the next meeting. And hopefully the appellant will be able to appear.
- Okay, I was just gonna ask, I was gonna ask quickly that, if need be, a special meeting. I know we don't always do that, but that's always a possibility.
- Right.
- But, anyway.
- [Joanne] I can ask for the Clerk's Office to reach out.
- All right.
- [Joanne] Potentially, if she can appear at the council meeting, then that'll be up to her. If she actually wants to have it held in front of the whole council, or if you'd rather--
- Well... Go ahead, Alder Stevens, you had something?
- I'll make a motion that we hold this until the next P and P meeting.
- Okay. do we have a second?
- I don't feel common council should be doing our work.
- Right.
- I'll second it.
- Alder Lefebvre seconded it. Any other discussion? All in favor please say aye?
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Aye. Alder Lefebvre, what?



- Yes, I think that she should send, she should get letters of recommendation and different things.
- Right.
- Simple, little paragraphs here.
- Well, she's not here right now.
- Right right. Yeah. Well, we'll need more documentation on this, I think.
- [Joanne] That is provided to her, instructions, as far as whatever the committee requires is provided with her denial letter. So she has been informed of what information she should be presenting at her appeal.
- Okay.
- All right. Do we vote on that? All in favor aye?
- [All] Aye.
- All opposed? That passes unanimously. Let me know when you're ready.
- [Celestine] Thank you, Alder. I'm ready. Okay, number four. Consideration with possible action of a City review of enforcement scenarios, including citations and warnings, with respect to loud automobile, truck, and other vehicular forms of transportation, communication from Alder Steuer, District 10. I guess I'll start it off quickly. I did have a conversation with Mr. Rob Miller, in town, and there's been other folks that have talked to me about this over time. I'm not sure, this could be a Pandora's box, talking about all of this, but we all have our opinions on speeding, on inattentive driving. We're going through a number of things with the police department, trying to figure out some better enforcement scenarios, et cetera. So, with that being said, I brought this to the table so we can just have a discussion about it. I would suggest opening the floor first, to have Mr. Miller speak on it, and then we can discuss after. So I would entertain a motion to open the floor?
- Motion to open the floor.
- By Vander Leest, do we have a second?
- Second.
- Second by Lefebvre. All in favor please say aye?
- [All] Aye.
- All opposed? All right, the floor is now open. So please state your name and address for the record, and move forward.
- Okay. My name is Rob Miller. I'm at 131 South Van Buren Street in Green Bay. Can I be heard? Are you hearing me?
- Yes. Yes, go ahead.
- I'll try to brief, given all the turmoil preceding me.
- We're all good. We're all good.

- Okay, I'm gonna try to be as quick as possible. Basically this is just another quality of life issue. Much like the newspaper advertiser, I've been averaging something like two or three loud vehicles an evening on South Van Buren. On Friday, I took a walk for about a mile and a half from South Van Buren and Doty, to Roosevelt, to Cedar, and then back to South Van Buren and Doty. During that 1.5 mile walk I saw and listened to five vehicles that were extremely loud. So it's not just an aberration. I realized that there are parts of the city, perhaps King of Arms doesn't experience this on their street, but we all do as we drive around. And what I'm suggesting is that this is, basically, part of a broken windows effect or broken windows theory question. In Navarino we've relied on the broken windows theory, and the police department has executed it beautifully. And we've, to a certain extent, to a great extent, revived this neighborhood. At this point, the problem has reoccurred. And I might parenthetically say that we've tried enforcement actions in the past. The statute that I sent to all of you indicates that an individual can send information to the police department and have an individual cited for a loud stereo in a car that can be heard from over 75 feet. And we did this with some successes, as well as had banners that we paraded around on our Wednesday walks. It had a very powerful effect. The number of violators declined. I think part of the problem here is people do not realize that they don't have an unlimited right to have their music sound wherever they want. The specific proposal that I made in my materials was to expand the enforcement mechanism to include the Parking Utility. As I've said, I and every other individual. To the police department for citation, and to digress a moment, we did that, perhaps 10 or 15 years ago, and we had about 30 citations and nobody contested it. As I raised in the materials, this is also a revenue measure. The citations for loud stereos are at least double what a parking ticket is. So it not only would help the quality of life in the city of Green Bay, we would increase our revenue. So, on the basis of the materials I've given you, I think this, and whatever else anybody has, as far as solving this problem, should be considered. I am not wedded to this idea. If somebody has an additional idea or a better idea, I'd say run with it. So that's a summary of my position on it. Thank you. I'll entertain any questions.

- Committee, are there any questions for Mr. Miller? Anybody? Okay. Alder Gerlach?

- Would it be out of line for me to ask? I do remember, I think I got this information, but I'm not sure, but I think it was a while ago. Would it be out of line for me to ask Mr. Miller to just highlight very concisely the things he's proposing? So I can hear it from him before I have to vote on it at council?

- Well, this--

- You don't like that, Alder Steuer?

- Alder Gerlach, this is not an actionable item tonight. We're just kind of seeing where we can, us to direct this so that we can do more discussion on it.

- Okay.

- That's my take on this. So anyway. Mr. Miller, did you want--

- Yeah. This was my proposal, was to expand the enforcement to include the Parking Utility. I think the Green Bay Police Department, and police divisions nationwide, have an almost impossible task right now. And I think we should let them worry about actual crime. And I think we should expand the enforcement to the Parking Utility and try to solve this problem. But as I said, if there are other avenues to pursue, I was talking to Mr. Steuer previously. I think part of this is just ignorance. When I went out and talked to, let's say a pizza delivery person, and told him to turn the stereo down, I've gotten good responses, they turned the stereo down. So I'm opening the floor to other suggestions, and I hope the city council and staff take me up on the proposal to solve the problem.

- All right. Thank you. Alder Lefebvre? Well, first of all, do we have any other questions for Mr. Miller? No? Anybody? Otherwise, we can reopen the floor if need be. So I would propose a motion to close the floor.

- Motion to close the floor

- By Vander Leest, do we have a second?

- Second.

- Second by Lefebvre. All in favor please say aye?

- [All] Aye.

- Okay, committee?

- I'd like to make one comment. I support Mr. Miller's resolution. I think the Parking Utility has a good presence in the city of Green Bay. And if they see these people with loud music, disturbing the area, that they should have a hand in helping apprehend them and, and see that they get a citation, just like if you're parking in a 15 minute zone and you're there for a half an hour, you get a ticket. These people that are making the loud noise, this'll be a good tool for the Parking Utility. It's a start with the Parking Utility. And if the citizens can chime in as well, we could do a little bit of advertisement, and get to get the word out that people that are being offensive with loud vehicles that, we're watching, we're coming to get ya. So that's my thought. I support the resolution as well. In other words, his idea about getting the Parking Utility involved. And I think it's good, it's a good start.

- Well, and then I think the Parking Utility is a good thing, but, Alder Lefebvre, can you hold on one second, I was gonna ask Lieutenant Allen about this too, what his take is from the police department?

- [Nate] Yeah, so, we have extra staffing on. We've been assigning ED cars to different areas where we get complaints and we send them out there to do traffic control. We've also had a couple, I guess, traffic enforcement, where we put somebody up in the bridge on Mason Street and run radar up there, and then we have squads down the road. And as the guy with the laser radar gets a speeder, he'll call it out, and then that squad car will go and stop them. We also have some grant money, I think that they're, for traffic enforcement. And we're kind of trying to utilize that in different times where these complaints are coming in. So, I mean--

- Right. I'm thinking that this might be a combined effort, Parking Utility, the police department, the citizenry at large. I mean, there's a number of things, It's a little frustrating, I'll admit. You're at a stop sign or a light, and you just hear this loud banging and stuff going on. And anyway, Alder Lefebvre, you want to chime in?

- I think there's a problem with this, because a lot of these people are moving. So how is the Parking Department going to take care of this?

- Right, well like I said, it's gonna be a multi--

- Because Parking is for parking. If they're parked, yeah, I can see it. But when they're moving and a lot of these are, and it's not just music, I think it's--

- Well the guys just get the license number.

- John, please, please.

- Hold on, hold on. Please, hold on.

- This summer there's been a lot of motorcycles with really loud mufflers, a lot of that going on. So it's a lot of the, and cars with bad mufflers. There's a lot of that too. That's part of this noise thing. So yeah, I think this is

something maybe we can refer to parking and they could look into it, see if there's anything they can do. But I think this might be more of a police thing. I don't know. This is gonna be hard, I think.

- Mr. Miller, I know you're having a heart attack over there, just...

- It twirls, but I don't know.

- I don't know if we're doing yoga exercises, but anyway, we'll open the floor, just be patient. Please be patient. Alder Dorff?

- So, I don't think that the Parking Utility staff, what we've referred to as meter maids, have any kind of training or preparation to be pulling motorcycles over, or to be pulling cars over, and demanding that they turn down. I can see where Mr. Miller, or Attorney Miller, has a certain presence about himself and has the confidence and can go out there and maybe get some music turned down. I'm not sure the Parking Utility should be expected to do that. But I think the whole idea behind this is not for us to decide tonight, right? It's for us to what? Refer to staff? See the legalities of it? That kind of thing. So just--

- That's partly what we're looking at, I think tonight.

- I move to open the floor.

- Okay, you made a motion, do we have a second?

- Second.

- Second by Vander Leest. Okay, Mr. Miller, you can stop exercising,

- Okay. Thank you. I would refer everybody to the statute, a copy of which was sent to all of you. The statute doesn't require anybody to pull over a motorcycle or a car or whatever. As I've said, and we've used this in Navarino for quite some time, the statute permits any citizen to send the police department a description of the vehicle, the license plate, the time, that sort of thing. The police department then have something like 24 hours to look over it and issue a citation. So it doesn't require any interaction. It doesn't require any training. If I can do it, the Parking Utility is not disqualified from doing that simply because they have a Green Bay city Parking Utility uniform. All the citizenry is eligible. Look at the statute. I, as a courtesy, talk to some of these people, stop them, and talk to them, as opposed to them getting a fine, but there's no requirement for somebody to stop a moving vehicle or even have any interaction with the person. Simply sending the information to the police department is what the statute requires. Nothing more, nothing less. Thank you.

- Alder, okay, do you have a question?

- I have a question.

- For Mr. Miller?

- Yeah.

- Okay, go ahead.

- Would you say then, I think it should go out to all departments in the city. Any city employee should be, if somebody goes by with really loud music, I mean, so loud that, I've heard where the cars are actually vibrating, a motorcycle with really loud, maybe it should go to all departments. Anybody, as you said, then anybody can note it and notify the police.

- Well, they could look at--
- They should maybe go out.
- May I?
- Would you say that would be more appropriate, Mr. Miller?
- That's essentially the proposal that I made, council person. But I had a time limit in effect for a month or whatever, to have an enhanced enforcement, simply because I don't want to turn the City of Green Bay into the East German Stasi. So, I mean, I am completely in agreement with you. But I think I would like to see some, really, some great effort in informing people in enforcement for 60 days. Get people to comply, both by information and hitting their pocketbook. And then we say, now people are aware of it and we'd let the Parking Utility, and if the police, and if things ever get back to normal, our police department to enforce it. I don't want to turn, as I said, I think it's better to have our employees, we have a thousand employees at the City of Green Bay, I quite agree with you. But I happen to believe that if we make an effort for 30 days or 60 days with some ticketing by the citizenry, and our 1,000 employees, it will have an effect. And I rely on the experience in Navarino, that once people realize that they were getting hit with fines. And I think it's \$40 for the first one. And it's 200 for the second one. That has an impact. These people really talk to one another, and once those clients come in, that gets their attention. So it would have an increase in revenue for the City of Green Bay in the short term, and I think a substantial increase in the quality of life. Thank you.
- All right.
- Mm hm.
- All right. Anything else for Mr. Miller? Otherwise I'd entertain that we close the floor once again. Do we have a motion?
- Motion to close the floor. Vander Leest.
- Second.
- Second by Lefebvre. All in favor please say aye?
- [All] Aye.
- All opposed? All right. Well, nice discussion by all. I agree with the fact that we're all citizens here. We have 105,000 citizens in Green Bay, so we got a lot of eyes out there. I feel that, like I said, we weren't gonna have action on this. I feel that the police department, or the law department should be involved in this. So I would suggest somebody making a motion to refer to staff.
- Motion to refer to staff.
- Second.
- Okay. Any other discussion? All in favor please say aye?
- [All] Aye.
- I will look for, Attorney Bungert, we didn't put a timeframe on this, but I would, what's your suggestion. I would think that, can you report out within a month?

- [Joanne] We can certainly try. This is gonna involve not just the law department and the police department, but also public works.
- Right, inspection maybe, I don't know. Who knows? There could be a number of groups. So it would be nice to have a date where we can kind of, it could be a report, it could be whatever, whatever you can report back to our committee. So I would suggest, we have... P and P meeting, we have September 27th. That's well over a month away. Is that enough time? Otherwise the next meeting would be October 11th.
- [Joanne] Sure, we can shoot for that.
- So October 11th?
- [Joanne] No, for September 27th.
- All right. So you'll report back to us on September 27th. Okay? I appreciate that.
- [Joanne] Yes.
- All right, thank you, Mr. Miller, and everybody else for testifying. Thank you. We voted on that, didn't we?
- [Celestine] Yes we did.
- Yeah, we did.
- Yep.
- Thank you. Phew. Yes, all right. We can move forward right? Are we ready to go?
- [Celestine] Yes, please. Go ahead to the Liquor Violation Report.
- All right. I never thought I would get to my favorite part. The Liquor Violation Report for August 23rd, 2021.
- [Nate] Yeah. The PD has nothing to report for the Liquor Law Violations.
- Okay, thank you for that.
- Receive and place on file.
- Second.
- Okay. All in favor please say aye?
- [All] Aye.
- Okay, that was Vander Leest and Stevens seconding. All right, next we have, are we ready?
- [Celestine] Yes, Alder, we're ready. Thank you.
- Okay, Report on Homelessness, Green Bay Police Department. Lieutenant?
- [Nate] Yes. So this is the last report that we were asked by Alder Galvin to track some of our frequent flyers. I guess, at the end of it, we have three of them that accrued over \$1,000, one guy was pretty close to 3,000, as far as contacts that we've had with him. Number one, this young lady has had contacts from Howard,

Suamico, all the way down to De Pere and back and forth. Number six, there, he's racked up close to 3,000. Just a chronic alcoholic who we can't get to engage. He's been cited a few times for open intoxication. But outside of that, he really doesn't cause any disturbance. A lot of it is he gets intoxicated, falls down, hurts himself, and then rescue comes to get him stitched up or give him some type of medical treatment. And then the last one, number 19, he's got about \$1,300 worth of, I guess, a bill that he's racked up there. He comes and goes, but he has a social worker, a worker that kind of takes care of him and helps him out and gets him back and forth to a few different treatment options that he has. But as far as that, that's pretty much what we've tracked down, is three guys that were pretty frequent flyers, as far as the alcohol.

- Okay. Lieutenant, I was gonna ask you on that, is there a, I know Alder Galvin requested this initially, and we've gone through this on a number of our meetings. I appreciate the effort and the work that the department's put into this. I'm just wondering if there was a way that we could succinctly put it together in some way and either in some kind of a small report, or we're just gonna look at the minutes that we have from the meetings. I'm just saying for the rest of the council and for information purposes, I'd like to see something a little more succinct that we could maybe draw some conclusions from. If that's something that you feel you can do?

- [Nate] Yeah. I can get one of our crime analyst girls and we can go through and kind of set something up and put it in a nice little package for you and see over the, how many months we did this, this is what we came up with.

- Right.

- [Nate] Get a total, I guess, dollar amount that we spent on these 20 people. And these three are our frequent, so.

- Okay, Attorney Bungert, are you there? It looks like our next P and P meeting is the 27th of September. Am I correct on that or am I missing it?

- [Joanne] Let me double check my calendar.

- Because I looked, I usually put all the meetings on my calendar and I didn't have the 13th shown, so I don't know if there was something--

- I'm showing the 13th.

- You are showing the 13th?

- [Joanne] Yep, it doesn't seem like, I believe we have two meetings in September.

- Okay.

- [Joanne] Let me just double check. I will check my calendar.

- And that's fine. I may have just missed that. Okay.

- [Joanne] We do have one on the 13th.

- Okay, Lieutenant, is that something that you could get for us by our next meeting?

- [Nate] Yeah. I will sit down with the girls tomorrow and we'll come up with a game plan on that report.

- All right, Lieutenant, or Lieutenant, Alder Lefebvre, you're waving your hand?

- Yeah, I've got a question on those three, one, six, and 19, 'cause the contact is since April 1st. Are these consistent, these contacts, there's a whole bunch of them. Are they better? Or are they staying the same? Are they getting worse?

- [Nate] I would say number one is probably the worst. I think we've had her a few days ago and I think we had six contacts with her in one day. Nothing to jail her on, it's alcohol related. And that number six is, he's pretty steady. It's just, when it's alcohol, and he falls down, and he doesn't create a disturbance. He's not fighting with anybody. He drinks, he falls down and gets hurt, and it turns into a medical call. And then number 19, same thing, it's really just alcohol, but his worker is trying to provide him with some type of wraparound services. So as though he's got 1300, having that worker with the wraparound services provided for him, has really cuts down on the calls for that gentlemen.

- Would he be, he'd be a good one, probably, he doesn't have a steady place to stay? Is that his problem also?

- [Nate] Six and 19, well, most of these people on here don't really have a place to stay. They mostly are unsheltered. Number one is eligible for housing. And I believe number 19 does, through his worker, does have some type of housing.

- 'Cause I think if you have a steady place to stay and then you also have somebody who's always checking up on you and helping you daily, it really helps with the situation.

- [Nate] Yeah, we've had one that popped up earlier on that we were having a lot of contacts with, but that person is also connected and although he pops up every now and again, that worker, that person helps a lot in cutting down on some of these issues.

- All right.

- I'd like to make a comment, Mark?

- Yeah, are you done, Alder Lefebvre? For now?

- Yep.

- Okay. Go ahead, Alder Vander Leest.

- It sounds to me like St. John's is doing a pretty good job. I think they're trying to make good contact and give these people the opportunity that they need. And it sounds like some of the people that don't want to be compliant, and that's the biggest problem. If they wanted to be compliant, we could solve it. But out of a population of 105,000, I think we're doing pretty good with the numbers that we're showing here on, if it's 20 people or whatever it is. So I take my hat off to St. John's too, And also with the Green Bay Police Department. They're being very active and doing their part. So I think overall, I think we're doing well. As far as what's going on. Nobody wants to see somebody not have housing. And the housing is available, but these people don't want to accept it. That's the bad part. So I think they're doing a good job.

- Okay. Thank you for that. I was gonna ask--

- I'll make a motion to receive and place on file.

- Okay, I just have one other quick question. Lieutenant, when you do this report, is there a chance that the department, I don't know if there's some way that you could put some suggestions down? I'm asking you to report out, but if there's any kind of solutions or that, I don't know if that's above and beyond what we're asking you to do, what's your take on that? Just to give us--



- [Nate] I've got several suggestions that I can put in that report.
- I'd appreciate it.
- [Nate] The numbers, and then I'll get you a little brief up that isn't too long and just, maybe a five or six suggestions that I've kind of run across, and hopefully that will help out. But ultimately it comes down to the person and whether they're willing to engage or not.
- No, we understand that, but I thank you for that. Okay. So Alder Stevens, receive and place on file. Do we have a second?
- Second.
- Second.
- Second by Vander Leest? Any other discussion? All in favor please say aye?
- [All] Aye.
- All opposed. Okay, that passes. Thank you again, Lieutenant, for all that. I'm waiting for whatever. What do we got? We're almost there, right?
- Or there.
- Well it's your doing.
- Last thing is to adjourn.
- [Celestine] Yes, Alder, move right ahead.
- Okay. Who made the motion, Lefebvre?
- Sure.
- Yep, and Vander Leest seconded?
- Yes.
- All in favor please say aye?
- [All] Aye.
- Opposed? A little bit of a rollercoaster today. I dunno.
- I got a quick question before we go. Was everybody having trouble getting into Zoom? Or was it just me?
- I don't know, Alder Dorff was having a hard time. She couldn't get in.
- I couldn't get in.
- Yeah. It just kept, it wouldn't open up. It just kept going around and around. And even my CivicClerk, I had trouble with that.

- Well, that's a little bit. It was a little difficult today, just trying to get our way through that, but we survived. We did it.

- Okay.

- All right, listen, y'all take care. Good seeing you all.

- Yes. Yes, everyone have a good week.

- Thanks, Mark, for helping me out there with the phone. Appreciate it.