



MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 2021, 4:30 PM

Virtual Meeting. Public may join via Zoom.

AMENDED

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. This item contains documents which provide call in information and instructions for the Zoom meeting.

B. ROLL CALL.

I. Members: Ald. Barbara Dorff, Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, Ald. Bill Galvin & Ald. Brian Johnson.

Present: Barbara Dorff, Brian Johnson, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Bill Galvin

Note: Ald. Johnson was excused from the meeting before voting on item E.3.

Others present: Ald. Jesse Brunette, Ald. Lynn Gerlach, Police Chief Chris Davis, Police Commander Paul Ebel, Grant Administrator Susan House, Finance Director Diana Ellenbecker, Asst. Finance Director Pam Manley and others

C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to amend the agenda moving Item #4 up before Item #1 under Regular Business.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Brian Johnson

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Brian Johnson

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the Joint Finance/Personnel Committee minutes from the November 30, 2021

meeting.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Brian Johnson

E. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. For discussion with possible action the payment option from the E-one fire engine follow-up from Nov 30, 2021 Finance Committee meeting.

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve following staff's recommendation to not prepay, but pay when the E-one fire engine is received.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Brian Johnson

2. For discussion with possible action of approving the purchase of Archer Beam Gate System from Meridian using 2018 bonded money.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Brian Johnson to approve.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Brian Johnson

3. For consideration with possible action the approval of American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) allocation within the Crime Prevention and Neighborhood enhancement category for \$971,358.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve ARPA funding for 9-2-007 NIBIN in the amount of \$188,358.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve ARPA funding for 9-1-008 Recruit/Retention in the amount of \$88,000.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve ARPA funding for 9-2-009 Law Enforcement Surveillance Trailer in the amount of \$40,000.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax to approve ARPA funding for 12-3-001 Gunshot Detection System in the amount of \$655,000.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax

4. A request by Ald. Brunette to the Finance Committee which states: "Create a Resolution to allocate unspent revenue from the 2021 Cooperative Governance Agreement with the Oneida Nation to pay down city debt or towards hiring a Civilian Crime Prevention Specialist with the Police Department for 2022."

Moved by Ald. Brian Johnson, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to make a budget amendment to carry the remaining revenue from Oneida, \$257,000, over to the contingency contingent on Finance and Law review.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax, Brian Johnson

F. INFORMATIONAL.

1. 2021 Contingency Account: \$104,650.00.

2. The next Finance Committee meeting will be held on Tuesday January 11, 2022 at 4:30 PM.

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Veronica Corpus-Dax, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to adjourn.

Motion Passed.

Yes- Barbara Dorff, Bill Galvin, Veronica Corpus-Dax

H. VERBATIM MINUTES.

- The Finance Committee Meeting for December 14th to order. And roll call will be Alder Johnson.
- Here.
- Alder Galvin.
- Yep.
- And Alder Corpus-Dax.
- Here.
- And Alder Dorff is here. Approval of the agenda. I'll entertain a motion to change the agenda, to amend the agenda to move up item four to item one.
- So moved.
- Second.
- Okay, moved by Alder Johnson. Second by Alder Galvin to amend the agenda. All those in favor, say aye.
- [Both] Aye.
- Aye.
- Aye, opposed nay. Motion carries. Now I'll entertain a motion to approve the amended agenda.
- So moved.
- So moved.

- Second.

- Third.

- Those in favor say aye.

- [Everyone] Aye.

- Motion carries. The agenda has been amended. And approval of the minutes. Entertain a motion to approve the minutes.

- So moved.

- Second.

- Motioned by Alder Johnson. Second by Alder Corpus-Dax to approve the minutes. All those in favor say aye.

- [Everyone] Aye.

- Aye, opposed nay. Motion carries. Onto item four, which has now been moved to item, to the first item. And it is a request by Alder Brunette to the Finance Committee which states, create a resolution to allocate unspent revenue for the 2021 cooperative governance agreement with united nation to pay down city debt or towards hiring a civilian crime prevention specialist with the police department for 2022. Alder Brunette.

- Yeah, thank you, Chairman. And thank you for moving this to the front of the agenda. I appreciate it. I mean, there's no, no surprise that I'm against using \$100,000 of the excess, or on budgeted revenue from the Oneida's cooperative governance agreement branding. I think that it could be used for much better things. I understand the mayor's desire to bring forward the rebranding. And he went through the proper channels, of course. And so having said that, I think that it is up to the council, if we have the unbudgeted revenue that could be allocated towards something, that we should look at other ideas. Things that, in my opinion, a greater majority of the citizens of Green Bay would want or need from their city government. And so the two ideas before you are two ideas that I had. The spending down city debt, according to Director Ellenbecker, may not be as easy as I thought it would be based on an email she sent me. And I'm fine with that. When we look at the number of shots fired incidences in the City of Green Bay, 79 for this calendar year, I think that the City of Green Bay use the revenue that we have to a position that can help reduce crime activity throughout the city. And one area that I think we ought to spend the money on is a civilian... I asked Chief Davis this question when we had the press conference a few days ago. We know it's hard to hire officers right now across the country, and Green Bay also is having difficulties hiring sworn officers. So I thought a position that is civilian in nature that can work with community groups like neighborhood associations, church groups, neighborhood groups, neighborhood watches, I should say, other entities throughout the city to be a liaison between the police department and the greater community. These are not positions that are uncommon. In fact, just real brief. I did a little research in San Jose, California. They have quite a few of these. So that's what I would like the funds to be spent on. I understand that it cannot be allocated for this year. According to that same email that Director Ellenbecker sent that I referenced, she had mentioned that the funds could remain in the contingency fund at the end of the year and then beginning of the year, the city council could allocate those funds towards other ideas that includes the civilian crime prevention specialists. So those are my, those are my--

- I just have a clarification for you then. So we would have this position for one year and then we would no longer have funds. So we're talking about hiring someone for a single year, because otherwise, we have to hire every year.

- And thank you for that clarification. One thing to keep in mind is that we have the \$1 million of the crime prevention dollars from ARPA. I know you'll be voting on roughly a million of that. So technically we could do it. It's worth it, in my opinion, even if it's just a limited term employee. Organizations including Green Bay have used limited term employees quite often. So I think the benefit to the community would far outweigh the fact that we would have to worry about funding it for another year or terminating the position. So anyways, those are my comments. I think I've kind of laid out my case quite well and I'll leave it to you to discuss.

- Committee. Whoops. Can you hear me?

- Yes.

- It says mute on my screen. Okay, committee. Questions.

- Yeah. Right off the top of my head, if we were to somehow find other monies for the rebranding, would you be in favor of that?

- Is that a question for me?

- Yeah, absolutely. You're the one that's bringing this forward.

- Yeah, I mean, the rebranding idea's out there. Obviously I've shared my opinions. If the rebranding initiative is to go forward, my opinion is that it should go through the November budget process this coming November. I think any dollar, any excess revenue, now that we know we did not need those funds to balance our budget at your end, should go to fix the main issues that our city government is facing. And there is no greater issue, in my opinion, than the shots fired incidences and the fear that many of our resident of our neighborhoods.

- All right, and if we were to then do the rebranding and it was showing that the rebranding \$100,000 investment brought in several companies, corporations, entities that could then add to our tax base, thus paying for some of these positions that you want, you'd be in favor of that?

- Of course, but I will just add the qualifier that I doubt that we could actually trace a company moving here to the brand initiative. It would be hard to make that connection, Alder Galvin. I could be wrong.

- Yeah, you could be. I mean--

- I could be wrong.

- I mean the echo chamber that you're in right now with, you're against this Oneida money being used for this rebranding, you want to have us do a short-term, hire someone, tell them that, hey, your position may or may not be here in a year. I'm sure we'll get lots of people signing up for that. We've discussed that in the past on several positions in the city that it's very hard to hire people for one year with them knowing that they're gonna be gone. I mean, we could use, I imagine we could use Oneida money every year to pay for this or we could raise taxes to do it. I'm not saying it's a bad idea. I've been an advocate of adding to the police department quite a bit in the past. But I'm just not sure if this one position is gonna be what it takes to start solving the problems. I mean, as Alder Johnson has pointed out many times at budget time, our crime stats are down and many of them continue to go down year to year. It's just this shooting that seems to be a major concern. But it also looks like in the last week, the department has made six arrests. I haven't heard yet how many shootings that solves. And that'll be interesting to see as we move forward but I guess I'm just concerned that it seemed to me that you were upset with the way this was proposed at council. Almost like you felt we were being backdoored. You seemed upset that it was Oneida money being used for it instead of not going through the process of budgeting in November. Like we did everything else. And I just don't want us to go down a rabbit hole, changing things at the last second. This is, I mean, I'd like to see some more study on these civilian positions. And actually, if there's any way of showing that they've had any positive impact on the

communities that they're in as opposed to, well, and as the chief will tell you, a lot of times it's hard to tell why crime goes up and crime goes down. There's nothing you can actually put your finger on a lot of times to say what makes it go down or what makes it go up. Thank you.

- Other comments, Alders? Alder Johnson.

- Thank you, Chair. Director Ellenbecker, I know that \$100,000 is what was proposed for the branding initiative. Do we know at this time how much funding is unallocated from the united service agreement that is available? Is it just the 100,000 or is there more than that?

- For that specific question, we invoiced received payment from Oneida for \$322,192. That was the 2021 revenue. So \$322,000. Today, we've only allocated 65,000 of that unbudgeted money to be spent on something that was an unbudgeted expense. That would actually leave \$257,192 left of the Oneida service agreement.

- So what happens to that money?

- So anything that--

- Does it go to the general fund or does it, or do we have to allocate what happens to it?

- No, at the end of the year, any unspent money, you bring all your revenues and all your expenses roll to the bottom line. And as long as you have a surplus that becomes, that just rolls into your fund balance. So that would just be--

- So when we talk about even the rebranding initiative and that \$100,000, is there some type of action that this body would have to take to prevent it from rolling over into the general fund to continue to hold that pending and future council action?

- Yes, because it was an unbudgeted item, you would have to use some kind of a contingency but you'd have to have this resolution to use money. And so that you would have to do that in 2021 to use unbudgeted money. And then if you don't spend it, then it also in 2021, then also you'd have to have a resolution now to have it as a carryover. It has to be a budgeted item before you can carry it over. So to get it carried over now into 2022, when you would actually spend the money, you would actually have two resolutions or maybe a combined resolution.

- So that was the purpose of the budget resolution that we had at our last council meeting. So then I presume then, I forget the exact number that you just used, the 207,000. So we only did the budget resolution on 100,000. So should council expect another budget resolution or another proposal at our next meeting, or was it intended that the balance of that would just roll over into the general fund?

- Yeah, at this point, the only resolution you approved was the 65,000 for the priority based budgeting. At this point, there is no resolution going through for the 100,000 because that has been pretty much on put on hold. So at this point, 257,192 would just roll to the bottom line of, to a bunch of revenues that were unbudgeted, and it would just go to the fund balance. Again, this also takes, this takes until March or April before we truly know what our surplus is going to be because there's many year end adjustments and reserves that have to be all adjusted and we have to finish paying out all our claims payable into January, early February. So it truly takes about March or April before we'll really know what kind of surplus that we would have. But at this point, that 257 would roll into that surplus.

- And does a budget resolution need to be passed by December 31st or can that carry over?

- It can carry over before we close. It can be any time in spring before we would close out the year end.

- Okay, so roughly speaking, we'd be looking at March.

- We have auditors that come in the very last week of March. So we would want that resolution done 'cause I would have to, would want that journal entry and that transaction to be already completed. So I'd shoot for end of February, early March, so that it's done and we can have it, the journal entry completed before auditors are in.

- Okay, thank you. Just one question for Chief Davis. Chief Davis, so this is obviously an idea that was presented, a civilian crime prevention specialist. So could you, A, speak to the proposal for that particular position? And then B, if this body were to say, hey Chief, you have \$100,000 of unrestricted dollars to solve crime in our community, how would you spend it?

- Good question.

- Oh thanks, Alder. And I would, I think what would be helpful for us in this conversation is if we had a little bit more latitude and didn't have the specificity of position because where I see, of course our crime prevention specialists are extremely valuable employees and they do some really great work, and especially in terms of making that connection that Alder Brunette describes with the community in a way that often is a little more accessible to community members, but where we have also a really big need for more resources is in crime analysis and using data and in producing actionable intelligence, because one of the directions that we're trying to go is to focus resources that we have on the problem instead of what police departments have done historically when they have crime issues is cast a wide net. Well, that creates a lot of damage in terms of public trust. And so we're gonna be much better off focusing our resources on the people and in the places where we're having the issues. And so that takes good crime analysis work and data collection and data analysis, as well as analyzing kind of criminal intelligence. So we focus those resources where the problem is, if that makes sense. And so my preference would actually be for maybe a position in a crime analysis role rather than a crime prevention specialist, as valuable as the crime prevention specialists are. And then you've talked a little bit about one of the big risks with one time funding of limited term crime analysts, especially it's gonna be something that's very hard to get because that's something people go out and study and get degrees in, and these tend to be fairly accomplished professionals. And so we'd probably want to offer them something a little more permanent. All that said, I mean, I really do appreciate the thoughts and the ideas. And I do agree that it isn't just sworn police officer resources that we need to solve this problem.

- So Chief, do you have any, do you have any needs at the police department that are either unbudgeted or not ARPA eligible?

- At this point, not really because we're, and the other thing that complicates this discussion for me is that we're in the process of establishing our key performance measures that we want to look at so that we can have a really good, solid, data-driven business case so I can come back to you, as I mentioned before in the budget process, and give you a really solid, well-reasoned resource. Ask if we realize that we need more resources, if that makes sense. And I do have salary savings I probably could reprogram. But all that being said, I mean, I would have a use for another crime analysis position. That is one that we might be able to fill more easily than a police officer. I guarantee you that I need more analysis resources. I'm just not ready to tell you how many more sworn resources I need.

- Okay, thank you.

- Before you speak again, Alder, I just would like people to speak, at least have a chance to speak once first and then come back. So Alder Corpus-Dax, do you have something to add?

- I appreciate where Alder Brunette's coming from with this. I'm still comfortable with using the \$100,000 towards the rebranding initiative necessary. I didn't need convincing. Want to talk me into this. And one look at how we present ourselves, we're more than just the Packers and we need to present ourselves as more

than just Packers. Branding is a big deal, whether we like it or not. Image is a big deal, whether we like it or not. Green Bay doesn't put its best foot forward. It doesn't. I'm still in favor of it. I would have been fine voting in favor of the resolution like I was last week, but I was fine holding off until we have more information and talk to consultants. But I'm in favor of it.

- Thank you, Alder Corpus-Dax. Alder Gerlach.

- You want to hear from someone who's not on the committee at this point or no?

- No, I'm going to speak, but I thought I would let you go first.

- Okay. I just want to take one more stab at trying to explain the breadth of rebranding. It's not just a new logo and a new tagline. There's a huge effort that needs to be put into discovery. And discovery means going out into the community intentionally to rediscover all the good qualities, all the selling points, all the strengths of the city. And if that hasn't been done for 20 years, if it even hadn't been done for 10 years, just imagine how the city has changed. I just can't tell you enough the wonderful qualities and attributes of this city that are just taken for granted and therefore they're not promoted. When I moved back here in 2018, I started hearing right away, we have to attract more talent, more workforce. And we have to get young professional families. How are we gonna do it? Here is our chance. And the fact is \$100,000 can go a long way to rebranding, if you do it the right way. It's not just a new logo. It's not just a new tagline. It's a new discovery of who you are. And yes, you have to pay. You have to budget a little bit of money for that to keep promoting your city. You got to reprint, you got to repaint, you got to refurbish. But it's just so important. And here's an opportunity to do it. I hope that you will support it.

- Thank you, Alder Gerlach. So I have a few comments. First, I need to apologize. We just got hardwood floor put in this room and the acoustics are a little odd, and it might sound like an echo chamber in here, but I assure you, it is definitely not an echo chamber in here. The first thing I'd like to say is that city council voted last week and we decided as a council to move forward with the proposal and to get, Alder Johnson made the motion, I second it, but just to hold things until we got a more complete proposal. So I would certainly not be willing to create a resolution when the will of council was to spend that money, it looked like to me, at least, to talk about spending the money for the rebranding initiative. And that was voted on and that actually passed. Secondly, I'm completely against hiring a short-term, limited term employee. That doesn't work out well. You do not get good quality. Third, Alder Johnson and the Chief and I believe the mayor have been working on a proposal that they're going to be bringing out. What's the name of that taskforce, Alder Johnson? A crime task force?

- A gun violence task force to work with the neighborhood response team.

- Right. And so that, not that this is necessarily part of that, but I think the bigger issue is I really would like our chief of police guiding us on what is needed in our police department. And we can give him ideas, but we need to let him look at what all of the needs are and then come up with a possible proposal. There are ARPA funds that are gonna be going to the police department. Absolutely, we know that. And perhaps if this position isn't one, we'll get this in the budget. Definitely the violence that's occurring in our city is pretty severe right now. I feel so proud of the police department with the shots fired Thursday night. My understanding was by Friday morning, didn't they have six people in custody, Chief? I thought I had heard that.

- By Friday afternoon, we had five.

- By Friday afternoon, you had five. Awesome. Awesome. So you're doing very well in responding to those needs. And I don't think it's an either/or. I think we can have both. I think we can have branding, we can have crime, either prevention specialists or analytics, which is what the Chief seemed to feel he needed more. So I would be really against creating a resolution right now, to specify what we want to have done in the police department when we really haven't looked at the big picture. Yes, Alder Brunette.

- Yeah, thank you everyone for those comments. Couple things. Like rapid fire, I'll just kind of offer some of my thoughts. It's true. There's a danger in hiring a limited term employee, but it's not entirely uncommon. Nonprofits do it. Governments do it. Even the City of Green Bay does it. Also the number of police officers, sworn officers, we are not going to probably reach our full level of staffing this year. And I hazard to guess, although I don't want this to be true, we may not get to our full staff level next year either. Here if we were to fund a crime analyst as the Chief recommended, or at least suggested, as a possibility, we could budget that next year by removing a sworn officer position from the table of organization if we cannot fill that position because the crime analyst position would be quite beneficial. I put civilian crime prevention specialist because I've heard from neighborhood associations, and this is no fault of the Chief or the police in general, because you have to allocate resources to where the need is. And the need right now is our shots fired sorts of situations that we're responding to. But there are neighborhood associations that don't get the police attending as they used to. Back when it was very common to see a police officer at neighborhood association meetings, neighborhood watch meetings. And I have no hard numbers to state this with absolute certainty, but it just seems like that happens less and less than what had been the case in the past. So that crime prevention specialists could be kind of a middle road between the sworn officers and the neighborhood groups. And finally, what I'll say regards to the Oneida money, the Oneida cooperative governance, we did approve some for the priority based budget, but what I would have really liked to have seen instead of \$100,000 proposal for the logo rebranding coming forward, it would have been nice to know what the other possibilities are. I think we all, as council members, could have thought of much better ways in our own opinions on how to spend almost 300,000 not needed to balance our budget this year. As it turns out, the city, according to Director Ellenbecker, seems to be in decent financial condition as we near the end of the year. I know that there was going to be some of those funds dispersed for other things, because I stand by this comment. I talked to people in the neighborhood over the weekend. You ask the average person in the City of Green Bay, what are your top 20 things that you would like the City of Green Bay to spend 100, 200, possibly \$300,000 on, no one really has branding in top 10 to 20. Now of course, maybe they do now because the mayor brought it to our attention. But to get us out of this, and you can make whatever suggestion you want as a committee, but it would be nice if we just carried the funds over into the beginning of the year, and then we can figure out how we're going to spend those once they're in contingencies. Maybe they stay in contingency as a whole, or maybe my idea gets brought forward, or maybe we fund park improvements because that would help increase the crime rate in some of our inner city neighborhoods if kids have things to do in parks, newer playground equipment, look at adding staff to our playground program if the school district's not able to fund it as they said they would. But anyways, just carry the money over into the new year. And then I'm gonna keep bringing ideas forward because I think that there are much better ways to spend 100,000 or more dollars on true needs in the city than what has been proposed. But thank you for listening to me. I appreciate it.

- Alder Johnson.

- Thank you, Chair. So there is one point that I was going to make and Alder Brunette actually beat me to it, which is, I do think to most of council, at least, the notion that we would have \$322,000 of unbudgeted income that could be allocated towards projects, I don't know that anybody was really thinking about that, that that could be an opportunity. And of course, the mayor has had an opportunity to bring forward. I don't know at this time that council sufficiently had that opportunity. So with that in mind, I would like to make a motion and a suggestion. And my motion would be to budget resolution to carry over that unbudgeted revenue from the united service agreement. So if we've already allocated 65, the balance, I believe, would be roughly 257, and that we carry that over into contingency. And then the reason I make that motion is because then if the branding initiative can stand on its own weight and that can pass a motion, well, then you've got the funding there. And if there are other ideas that members of the council would like to present and to consider side by side, there's the opportunity to do that as well. And then we have at least a couple months then to contemplate that and do that. But I think in either instance we're covered and it gives everybody the opportunity to make suggestions. So that is my motion.

- Director Ellenbecker, is that accurate then? What probably Alder Johnson said? We just can put it in contingency and then we'll have it if we want to use it? You are muted. Oh there you are, okay.

- I have to think about that. I have to just double check if that is what we can do.

- Why don't you double check? I'll let Alder Galvin talk.

- Yeah, I'm not gonna be able to get an answer for you now. I'd have to look and see. I'd have to look some things up. But it will automatically go to fund balance, but I see what Alder Johnson is saying. If it goes into a contingency, for next year, we have a contingency account within our budget. That's only \$150,000. Or did we raise it to 200?

- 200.

- So there's your, you have money and it'd be in a contingency account or for something we haven't budgeted. And then any surplus, we do have that option at the end of the year to put into a contingency reserve, but that would only be for emergencies that you could tap that for. So I have to double check all of our general fund policy on contingency reserve, contingency account, and what are considered resolutions for our budget amendment, which these aren't in the budget so that you can't do a budget amendment, and carryovers can only be, if you didn't spend it in this year and you're gonna spend it next year, you can do a carryover resolution. But again, these aren't in the budget. So I have to do a little more research on that. Either way the money's gonna go to the fund balance and potentially you could use next year's contingency account of 100,000 for the branding. Yeah, so then what we were going to do is, 'cause right now you're gonna say, well, how were we able to do it last meeting is that we were creating a budget amendment to get it added to the 2021. Then if we didn't spend it, it was gonna be a '21 carryover 'cause it has to be in your budget first before it can carry over, so.

- So that motion doesn't work.

- So correct me if I'm wrong. Can we just not do the same thing? Instead of doing it for 100,000 though, we're doing it with 257, which I believe is left over?

- Yes. Yes.

- Okay.

- Yes.

- And Alder Galvin, go ahead and talk.

- Sure. While Director Ellenbecker's on the line. So next year with the money that we get from Oneida's, how is that treated knowing we're gonna get it from the beginning of the year? At some point during the year.

- At this point, now it's considered budgeted revenue, and that has now been taken into consideration in your mill rates. So that revenue is there and it's just gonna offset expenses. It's just, it's in there now. So it's already budgeted and then it potentially, it reduced your mill rate because you have that additional \$500,000 in your budget.

- Okay. And then this is for the Chief. What is, out of our table of organization, how many positions are sworn officers?

- 187 sworn positions, which is everything including supervisors, detectives, patrol officers.

- Sure, and how many positions are open this time? Meaning that there's no recruits in the system being trained up or anything else like that. How many positions are not filled right now?

- It obviously it goes up and down, but as of tomorrow, I think we have three more, or later this week, we have three more retirements. And I want to say it puts us at 11 vacancies at that point.

- So 176. Okay. And I don't know if the Chief, if you can answer this or Director Ellenbecker, what's the current status then of wages and salary? What are those monies that are in excess right now from these open positions throughout the year? Rough guess, spitball.

- I don't have that information in front of me 'cause of course there's other accounts that then increase your overtime and some of your other, other buckets of where they can accrue their time. I don't have that information in front of me.

- All right. What happens with that excess money at the end of the year?

- That would roll to the bottom line. Or if there was, or you have the other option, if there's something that was meant to have been paid out this year and wasn't, you could carry it over 'cause it was a budgeted salary line. But at this point, any savings after, any savings would roll to the fund balance.

- What if we decided to create new positions that could be filled? Say civilian positions like Alder Brunette is looking at.

- That's a table of org change. You couldn't get that accomplished in 2021. So again, you wouldn't be able to really, I don't know if you would be able to use 2021 money and create something that's gonna happen in 2022. Again, unless you go through several resolutions. I think your... Next year you could do a budget amendment. If you have a budget of 187 officers and at some point you don't think they'll ever get to 187 in the year of recruitment for a budget amendment because the purpose is slightly different. It was meant for wages, but it was for officers. You could always make an amendment and hire some other positions with the assumption that the officers aren't gonna be filled.

- Okay.

- Chief, how many CPs do we have in the table of organization?

- I believe it's 11.

- 11. And I mean, you're new here. At one time, it was 16. They've been reduced, in my opinion, dramatically. And their effectiveness has been greatly curtailed because of that. I know there's two just in, only two assigned to the Charlie District, which encompasses most of my district. Two good guys, but I think they're stretched pretty thin. And I think this, like Alder Brunette was saying, there's a lack of a lot of these community meetings where especially now it'd be nice to have them there. I know at one point it was kind of being shuffled off to the civilian service officers. And you're looking at creating a, sounds like another type of community police position?

- Yes, we're looking at creating, we're basically reactivating the neighborhood response team position in the contract and the plan is to start with two of those initially to focus on gun violence. That's basically making a permanent version of something that we've done on a temporary basis a couple of times to pretty good effect. So we recognize that that creates pressure in other places. But it's one of those places where we think it's worth the return on investment.

- All right, with the neighborhood response team, was pretty positive in that we didn't have to go by seniority. The guys we picked were go-getters. They went out and they hit it hard and they did a pretty good job of it.

My concern was specialty teams, and this is with any specialty team, is that you get drug officers who don't think they have to do any other police work because they're drug officers and then officers that don't have to do traffic enforcement or work accidents or domestic violence because they're a canine officer. And I mean, you see this not only, and I've seen it not only in our department, but this is something that I've read quite a bit on, and on many departments throughout the United States where officers get locked into this silo and they get this idea after a while that's just not my thing. And so I'm concerned about the fact that we're not beefing up the CPs to where they used to be, and yet we're gonna create a contractual issue here where now we're gonna have positions that are gonna be permanent. And once they're permanent, we know that changing that contractually is hard. Very hard. And I mean, the personnel committee has dealt with that for the last two years now and they know how hard it is to deal with contracts that's been involved with them. So I've got some real concerns about that, but I do like what the neighborhood teams have done in the past. So I mean, that's very positive. When it comes to crime analysis, and I mean, the two people we have there, I think do an exceptional job. And unfortunately for them, even with the amount of school we've tried to put them through over the years, it's been kind of learning by mistakes and things happening right and things going wrong and having to start over again. I know it's been a lot of problems with our computer system. When alders still go in there and ask for analysis of information, we get the answer, oh God, I don't know how we're gonna be able to do that with what we have here. So besides personnel, is there also hardware, IT and things like that that you think upgrade, improve, expand in that area?

- Probably, although one of the questions I have about that right now is the GERP system, G-E-R-P, that we use. Seems to be better than previous, what I'm hearing in the few months that I've been here is that that is meeting our needs better than previous records management systems. And the one thing I'm still trying to discern is whether we're getting all of the potential out of that system because sometimes you find out that you actually have a very good records management system, but it's just making sure everybody who needs it has the training and the ability to get all of the things that you need out of it. Just what I've observed since I've been here, from seeing the experts in crime analysis and the data that they're able to get out of it, it's pretty good. Just based on my experience with other records management systems and other places. There's always room for improvement there, and you're never completely satisfied, but it seems to be getting the job done. But certainly as we develop those key performance measures and find gaps in our data collection ability, we have to then decide, do you modify the GERP system to get what you need, which is gonna be a lot cheaper and a lot easier on the organization than going to a new system, or is a system that probably, the preference is always gonna be to modify the system that you have, which is one benefit of the GERP system, is that that's easy to do because the person who programmed it is still here locally.

- And I agree. I mean, I've been very impressed with GERP. I think it's neat that other communities are using it and we can share that data, which makes doing crime analysis so much better. But it's only as good as what you put into it. But it's just, I've been somewhat stymied in the past when I, say you ask for something as simple as traffic stop information. And you're told, well, that's gonna be hard to do because we don't record this information like we used to and things like that. And I understand that that takes some time. I'm certainly not suggesting we replace GERP. There'd have to be something really special out there to consider that. But I'm just saying that, as you're still doing your analysis of the department and determining what your needs and everything are, and I'm looking forward to seeing what you finally come to and what your requests are gonna be. Thank you.

- Thank you. Just one point when you talk about traffic stops. Some of that kind of data that I think you're looking for comes from the computer aided dispatch system rather than GERP and that's not our system. My understanding is that belongs to Brown County. And so we're kind of at the mercy of the system that they use and just trying to find work around some of those issues. But yeah, it's...

- This is, Alder Galvin, don't you know, isn't there a history with...

- History with GERP, to me, is unbelievable. It's been excellent. But again, like the Chief is saying, there may be a treasure trove of information we haven't learned how to tap into yet.

- Got it.

- And I mean, just the fact that we have the pawn broker system, where we can find our stolen items in jurisdictions all over Northeast Wisconsin with any department that's in the program with us. Everyone shares everyone's information. So I think the Chief's right. I think with more staff on hand, we can do a lot more research and data collection and analysis and determine who these suspects are or who future suspects could potentially be. I mean, today's victim is tomorrow's suspect. Today's suspect could be tomorrow's victim. And how that information works in with itself is, it's all there, it's just waiting to be mined.

- Okay, so we've traveled down a little road here. Okay, so let's go back to the motion, which we actually couldn't maybe make that exact motion, right Alder Johnson? Well, it didn't get said--

- I think we were just substituting the amount, right?

- Okay, say it again then. Say the motion again.

- All right, my motion is to create a budget amendment resolution that would allocate the estimated \$257,000 of unbudgeted surplus from the united service agreement and allocate it to the 2022 contingency fund. And that's it. And then the intent is that we can bring forward proposals, including the RFP for the branding initiative. Those could be brought forward and handled on a case by case basis.

- So Director Ellenbecker, that was okay that it could be going into the contingency fund? That was the part I thought you weren't sure about, but.

- Correct. I just want to mention one more thing that I just didn't bring up before. I mean, at this point, you're right. There was \$300,000. We were only using a portion of that money because we do have overages in other departments like fire department. So at some point we have to make sure that we have enough money from all of our departments to make up the time for fires. So I can't tell you exactly what our carryover will be at this point. It looked like there will be a surplus. And so, but back to the motion that you just meant. Contingency account. I can't take 2021 money and automatically move it to 2022 contingency. You'd want to be able to, you would have to make a budget amendment, but you'd have to, I don't know how, I don't know exactly how to do this because the last item was a specific item and it had expenses related to it and I don't know exactly how to handle through the contingency, to take it to a contingency fund. Because that's a 2022 budgeted item.

- How did we anticipate doing it with the branding proposal?

- Branding proposal was to create a budget amendment for the expenditure to show the expenditure of 100,000, and now you have an expenditure that's a 2021. Knowing it couldn't all be spent, then we would be doing a carryover resolution. But there were dollars, there was expenses related to it. You're just, so I don't know. I don't know if I can answer that right now. I have to do more research on that. I apologize.

- Could we make the motion and make it contingent upon finance and legal review prior to the city council meeting? Is that a possibility?

- What happens if there is not enough surplus?

- Well, I think we're faced with that same dilemma right now, whether we're talking about 257 for this allocation or 100,000 for branding or 65,000 for budgeting software. That same question exists no matter what.

- Correct. Correct. Okay, yes, certainly we could do it contingent on finance and law approval or review.

- So it is, should the words contingency fund still stay in the motion?
- Yeah, I'll leave them there. I think my intent is to give us the ability to determine at a later date what expense account those will go into, right?
- Right. Is there a second to that motion?
- I'll second that.
- Okay, second by Alder Galvin. Alder Brunette?
- Yeah, thank you and I like the motion. I appreciate the motion, Alder Johnson. I think it allows the finance department and the law department to kind of look into a little more. I am a little concerned though that if we had a little over \$300,000 for unbudgeted revenue, that 100 was used for the rebranding initiative. Apologies, my apologies. But then 60 or 70,000 for the priority based budgeting software program. And then Director Ellenbecker said that there could be some overages in the fire department. I'm a little concerned that that \$100,000 proposal was brought forward knowing that end of the year expenses were not fully accounted for. I mean that's, from a city council perspective, I have concern about that. That would have been nice to know at the day we made that vote because possibly some of us would have made a little different vote on the 100,000 proposal or idea. Anyways, that's neither here nor there. I just thought I wanted to share that. That seems a little strange to me. Thank you.
- Director Ellenbecker, do you have response to that?
- At this point, I just don't have a thorough projection. I just can't. I don't know where exactly we're going to be. It certainly looks like we are, it's certainly projected to be favorable. I just don't know how favorable our surplus will be.
- Right, and how would you? I mean, no one can see into the future. We don't have a crystal ball. We don't know how much money needs to be spent exactly. Just like I don't. I'm hoping in my personal budget, I got a little left over this year. I think that'd be great. My tax bill came. It went up by 34 cents. Wow, I'm pretty impressed. Okay, back to this. We have a motion and a second on the floor. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, say aye.
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Aye, opposed nay. The motion carries. All right, next item. Whoops. For discussion with possible action, the payment option from the EI fire engine follow-up for November 30th, 2021 finance committee meeting. Staff.
- Okay, in your packet, at the last finance and council meeting, there was a, there was approval to move forward with ordering the EI fire engine using 2021 pricing. But there was an expectation that we follow up at this meeting to decide whether or not we wanted to pre-pay and admit discount, or if we would wait until we have officially bonded for the money and then pay for it when the vehicle's received. In between there, we also looked whether or not a short-term loan or some kind of lease option. We explored some lease options that the fire department was able to obtain for us. And so in the memo, I have attached ransom numbers and I do not recommend prepaying. I recommend waiting till we get this vehicle, which could be, Chief would have to let us know, but it could be a year owing. Hold on to the money, wait until we get bonded, get the money bonded, maintain it and hold it until the vehicle is received and then pay out at that point.
- Okay. Chief, any comments?

- Yeah, the delivery on it's anywhere from 12 to 16 months. Like I said, as we talked about last week, the supply chain issues going forward with the price increases that are being expected, but delivery, I mean, we can get it in at the same price again, but delivery time is 12 to 16 months.

- Okay. So pay the full purchase price when it's received, not take advantage of the prepayment discount. That's what I'm seeing as the recommendation.

- And again, part of the reasons, and what happens is by paying the interest either to do a lease earlier or have a low interest rate. Again, we don't have the money at this point. I don't have the money, so I would have to find another way to borrow the money early. And so those options that are more expensive than waiting until we bond. When we bond at a 10 year, we actually have the option to do 20 years. But the last two years I've taken fire trucks and moved them back to 10 years since their useful life is, I don't know, Chief, somewhere around 12 years. We used to bond 20 years for a fire truck that its useful life more closer to 12 years. So at a 10 year last year, we were able, our interest rate for July of '21 was 1.02. So waiting and bonding and then maintaining and holding that money was cheaper than any other short-term loan or a lease if we were to pre-pay. So it was pretty much awash and there was a lot more administrative work to try to create another loan or a lease. And the leases would have paid it off, or the loans would have paid it off faster than we would have with a note, which is a 10 year note. And the vehicle has a useful life, well, in reserve up to about 12 years.

- Okay. Alder Galvin, did you have your hand up?

- I was just gonna ask the question she just answered. She was mind-reading me and getting all the points.

- She was. Sounds good, all right. I'll entertain a motion then.

- I move to approve staff's recommendation.

- Second.

- Okay, moved by Alder Johnson. Second by Alder Galvin. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye, opposed nay. Motion carries. All right, next item. For discussion with possible action of approving the purchase of Archer Beam Gate System from Meridian is in 2008. And I know that one of the Alders may have to leave soon and has, would that Alder care to speak first on this?

- Sure. Look, the only thing that I wanted to comment on with this particular item, I think we all carry areas of expertise in the other parts of our lives, and one of my areas of expertise is a heavy concentration on event planning. And obviously the purpose of these Meridian barricades is directly related, though not exclusively, that we do in our community. And I do know that the use of these barricades potentially can create a high cost exposure for the organizations that are doing it. And so as I talked to Alder Dorff earlier today, one of the things that I would like to see this committee consider is an accompanying discussion around long-term has a community. We identify those costs as well, meaning the deployment of the barricades. And so I'm not opposed to the addition of the allocation of the bonding. I just want to make sure that we're also having that conversation about the nonprofit organizations. How they continue to absorb the high cost that's associated with deploying them. So that's all I just wanted to say. I don't think that problem needs to be solved tonight, but I do think it is an ongoing discussion that this body and that this city council needs to continue to have, because it will have a deteriorating effect on the quality of life in our community, because even my organization, I mean, if the estimates that we received last year for the deployment of these barricades holds

true, we will have to consider canceling some of the events that we do. Just the reality. Because we don't generate enough income to pay for their deployment. And so it's just, again, not anything that needs to be acted on tonight, but I just hope it's a conversation that we can address in conjunction with the, this particular proposal in front of us tonight. Because I think we all agree that safety is a huge priority for our community. So there's no objection, no conversation, no concerns on that end. I just want to make sure that we're having that other sidebar conversation as well. So that's it.

- And I have to say that I had a conversation with Alder Johnson and I really agree. And I know he said we don't need to have this conversation tonight, but I really think we need it on an agenda because I believe that there could be some city support, especially for the nonprofits. My background, as a lot of you know, is with school security and I've done a lot of things around the country re security. And so yeah, I am in favor of barriers, definitely. But I'm really not in favor of putting an undue burden on our nonprofits. And we have some great activities that we have in our city. Art Street, Farmers' Market, just to name two. And they need to be protected. And we can't make them so expensive that they're not profitable for the nonprofits that they can't meet their expenses. So I know this is only about buying some more barriers, but do we need to incorporate a discussion about how we, if we get these barriers, then how do we support their use? Does that have to kind of come along at the same time as getting the barriers? And I'm open to answers.

- I think we can submit maybe a future communication on that because I do think it is a holistic conversation that goes beyond just barriers. We talked about this on the phone a little bit.

- It does, yeah.

- There's event permits. There's the cost of security. There's street closures. And it's just, it is a matter of the need for the city to obviously account for those expenses relative to what our community values in terms of having those amenities available for our public to have. The amenities being the events. And this is not just the organization I run, and that's what I want to be very clear about that. I don't have any stake in this game. I mean, from a budgeting perspective, my organization, if that's the cost, that's the cost. Then we'll make other decisions. But I do know that it is affecting a lot of other organizations in our community. And one of the discussions that actively occurs is, okay, do we just take it off of public spaces and just move it onto a private lot, because then you're not subject to any of those things. Now you don't have to take an event permit. Now you don't have to put up the barricades. Now you don't have to have police security and you can run events basically unregulated simply by having it on a private parking lot. And that doesn't serve the public very well either. So that's why I think that because there's so many other things involved with that piece, I do think it merits its own agenda item, its own discussion. But obviously in this instance, the purpose of authorizing more of these barricades from the way that I read the motion was that some of these events probably do require tightening up a little bit, which I presume means the deployment of additional barricades. Which of course would mean more cost. So that's why I just want to make sure that there is, again, that sidebar conversation that's occurring.

- Thank you. Other discussion? Yes, Alder Galvin.

- I'm gonna jump right in on this and agree with Alder Johnson on much of this. I mean, we've had discussion at budget time. How do we pay for these things? We're gonna pay whether we put it on the levy, we're gonna pay whether we put it on stormwater water rates, we're gonna pay, someone's gonna pay. And I mean, the buildings, businesses downtown paying for special events or whatever. I'm not arguing that we don't need these barriers. I mean, if it's predictable, it's preventable. And I think anyone in law enforcement or fire service can tell you if it's predictable, it's preventable. Even if it's high risk and low frequency. I mean, you just don't know what you prevent. You put those barricades up and maybe that just prevents some guy from thinking he can do it and he takes his business elsewhere. So I think we need to have these things for our community, but at the same time, we don't want to lose these things that make our community special. Hey, Green Bay's tax levy is twice that of anyone in the surrounding communities. And so I always ask, what does Green Bay have that these other communities don't have? And we have Art Street. We have fantastic farmers' markets. We

have sporting events. I mean, we've got a lot going on here. And having these things available to make people feel safe and actually to be safe is important because someone comes crashing through one of these things and that could shut that event down forever. And then you become that place where this thing happened. But at the same time, we don't want to break the tax bank trying to support these. And these different departments, DPW, fire, police, they have budgets that we hold them accountable to. And if we don't give them that money to run these, then we have to find the funds somewhere else. So I think Alder Johnson is absolutely correct. We have to have a really robust discussion on this, and we can't be thinking just a year or two down the road. We gotta be thinking, how can we solve this 10, 15, 20, 30 years down the road and make it work for us. Thank you.

- Thank you, Alder Galvin. Any further discussion? I'll entertain a motion.

- Motion to approve.

- Motion to approve.

- Okay, motion to approve by Alder Galvin. Second by Alder Johnson. All those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye, opposed nay. Motion carries. We'll get the Meridian Archer Beam Gate System. Then item three. For consideration with possible action, the approval of the American Rescue within the crime prevention in neighborhood enhancement category for \$971,358. And staff.

- Alder Dorff. Just because I have to leave, can I just say really quickly, I fully support these proposals notwithstanding whatever staff might share by way of additional information. Obviously this is a, we give to our police department the resources that are necessary for them to solve. I think what is one of the greatest crises facing our community right now. The one thing that I would just offer up for discussion, and again, I apologize that I have to leave, but the recurring costs related to the gunshot detection system. If it would be appropriate, recognizing that even if you authorize the 655 for the purchase, I would just say if there's a way for allocate that 71,000 right away in the 2022 ARPA funds, I think that would be ideal. So that way you've got that buttoned up for the next two years, and then you'd have to figure out a budget thereafter. But again, I would just depart with that and thank obviously Chief and police department for all the great work that they've been doing because this is an important issue and they've been incredibly responsive to the feedback that people are providing and to the requests that I know a lot of alders have had. And as many of you know, we are having a community forum tomorrow night at the Neville Museum at 6:30. So I really appreciate the police department's time out of their personal lives to really give our public an opportunity to talk about this issue as well. So thank you.

- Thank you, Alder Johnson. Okay. Who would like to tell us a little bit more about this?

- Obviously this is our first attempt or first pass of drawing down or allocating some of the dollars from our other requests. So the format we had, it follows our standard operations policy, that we would bring it to you, tell you what category that it would fall under, give you a description on what the programs would be, and then you decide whether or not you want us to move forward with it. I don't know if you have any questions on any of the program items that are being requested. We have both the Chief on the line, we have a commander on the line. We also have Susan House who also pulled the memo together, working with the police department. She'll be the one tracking all of our allocations.

- I would guess a lot of work went into it. Go ahead, Alder Galvin.

- I'll just go down the list as we have it. The NIBIN program. And as I've said before, the state and federal government does this already. And obviously our tax dollars, I don't think we're getting the biggest bang for

our buck if we have to wait as many months as the Chief has said we have to wait for these results. Is there any way of asking this without having to use as much money, seeing as we're doing their work for them?

- So Alder, I will defer most of the answer to that question to Commander Ebel, who has the lead on the NIBIN program but it's, I'm not sure how successful we would be in getting someone else to analyze this evidence for us as quickly as we're able to and we're able to use our own equipment and then be able to get leads on cases, on timelines that you and I have never seen before in our respective careers. But I'll let Commander Ebel weigh in on that, if you don't mind.

- Sure.

- I can answer some of that on the state being able to do this. It would take them 10 months to a year to take all of the shell casings that we have submitted so far in the past, since October 6th. It would probably take them 10 months to a year to get us back the leads on those simply because number one, they don't have a NIBIN machine. They would have to test fire each gun, have a firearms examiner confirm that the test fire from the firearm matched the shell casings that we sent out. This is a national database. So there's over four million cartridge cases in this database. And we get results back on a high profile case within six hours. I'm not gonna wait 10 months in order to say, hey, this shell casing is related to this one here. Now go follow-up on the leads. Well, we can get that in six hours. Most of them are coming back within 24 hours on the lesser, I want to say lesser high profile. Whether or not, everything's high profile. But are compared to a homicide, we're getting that information back within 24 hours. And we just don't get those type of leads back if we send them to the state.

- All right, and that's kind of sad that we have a legislature and a Senate that consider themselves to be pro-police and yet they have nothing in place to help us solve these crimes. I'm fully in favor of it. But I was just wondering if there's a way we could maybe have the state help us since a system like this, I would imagine, will start getting requests from other agencies to assist them if we have the time and the staffing to help. The surveillance trailer. I've seen some of those in some other communities. Does this mean the Armadillo and whatever else we had out there, that was nothing but a magnet for tagging and for rent signs and that, are finally pitched?

- Yes, sir.

- Okay, and so we'll actually have video and documents. So then when they get tagged in the future, we can actually maybe make some arrests and that kind of stuff? Okay, that's good to hear. The gunshot detection system. What is the umbrella area expected to be with what we're looking to purchase?

- So that is not completely determined yet. And here's why. We're looking at a couple of different systems and I want to be respectful of the procurement process. And in this case, I'm gonna recommend that we do our due diligence and that we go through the full RFP procurement process, because there are a couple of different options out there and they cost different amounts. And there are some that cost less than others, which would allow us to deploy this over a larger area. And so what we're gonna have to do is find the sweet spot between how much we can afford and where to put that to get the most return on investment, basically. But we would need to obviously deploy these in the areas where we're experiencing the largest volume of the problem. And one thing we'd be very interested in is something that we can move if the problem moves. That's just gonna depend on the vendor's capabilities.

- All right, because what I've read on, they've been very helpful in solving some crimes in other communities, very helpful. And allowing the police not only they get there and sort of render aid to potential victims, concerned citizens aren't around to call it in and get a police response there. So I mean, there's actually a safety factor in this in that we can get medical staff on hand to help these victims and help them survive better. I'm interested in any thought, and officer, I mean, Commander Ebel knows that at one time there was a lot of talk about creating overlapping umbrellas of video surveillance on our streets. And I'm just wondering if there's

any thought. Obviously it's not in here, but I'm just asking if the department is considering something along those lines to increase that ability to document potential suspects moving through areas on the way to or the way from crime scenes.

- Yeah, we're in the process of doing a pilot project with a company that does exactly that. And then some of the options for gunshot detection technologies is, will, and again, we aren't saying what vendor we would want or anything like that, but some of these systems actually can integrate with camera systems and tell cameras to kick on and start getting license plate information for vehicles leaving the scene. So that's one of the things that we want to explore on this concept.

- All right, thank you very much. And this has my full support, every one of your proposals.

- All right. And yes, Alder Corpus-Dax.

- Going back to the first one, the NIBIN, I think it was called, Commander Ebel, when we have to use the state for these, for this stuff right now, do we get charged? It's something we do. The state charges us if we use their whatever it is that we do now.

- No, we do not get charged right now.

- We don't? Okay.

- But we have, we're on a lease program with the company called Ultra for the machine. They're the only ones that make it. So we have a cap of 300 entries. So for 2021 so far, and we've done a, what's called a beach raise on 170 firearms. Each one of those firearms going into 2022 will be tested and entered into NIBIN. And so far with 79 shots fired calls, that doesn't mean that 79 guns were used. We're finding that some of these shots fired calls, you have two, three, sometimes four different guns that are being used. So as we go through the cartridge cases, we could have up to 200 cartridge cases in those 79 incidents that have to be entered into NIBIN. So we're gonna reach our 300 very quickly. If we buy the machine outright, we have no cap. So we can go well above that 300 and we're gonna hit that pretty quickly, I would assume, in '22.

- Okay. Lost my train of thought here. Do any of the other municipalities have, in the State of Wisconsin use this?

- There are four machines in the State of Wisconsin. Racine have just came online. The City of Milwaukee has had one for quite a while. They're getting a second machine just because of how busy they are. And then Madison Police Department has one. There are best practices that we follow and that we sign up for. When we sign up for this with the AGF, we follow those best practices. Which means that when a gun comes in, a crime gun comes in or a cartridge case comes in, we have about 48 hours, 24 to 48 hours to get that cartridge case or the gun test fired put into the system so that we can have the lead generated back into investigator's hand. Of getting that gun off the street and the person responsible for it.

- Okay. So I guess my thought with this was if, I don't know if those cities that have them, they allow other municipalities to use that resource. And if so, do they charge, I mean, is this something that if we have it, we allow other municipalities to use it and we add potentially a charge to that so that we can kind of recoup some of the costs for it.

- We can certainly explore that. I know there are some agencies that we've assisted already that have been turned down by Madison, Racine and Milwaukee. Milwaukee's so busy, they just won't take anything else. And I don't know about Racine or Madison. All I know is that one agency contacted us because they were turned down by both of them.

- Okay, that's it. I'm in favor.

- That's exactly what I was gonna ask 'cause isn't it that \$71,000 recurring cost? Yeah, so that might work out. So, all right. At this time, I'll entertain a motion.

- I think Susan--

- Oh sorry, Susan. Go ahead Susan, I didn't see your hand.

- Hi, I just wanted to add that the recurring costs that I added to this memo are after the ARPA funds have been used. So once the ARPA funds have had been completed, then what are you gonna be looking at for financial obligations? That's why I'm including that because you are the finance committee and I wanted to make sure you had that well-rounded, all of the information whenever you should on these. So if we can get ahold of Alder Johnson and let him know that these are after the fact cost.

- Can I just point out one thing on the gunshot detection system that I just want to be super clear on? The recurring costs are estimated, and it's really a maximum right now that we know of, of \$71,000 per square mile where the system is deployed. And that is a quote that we have gotten from one of the vendors. I just want to put that out here in full disclosure so you're equipped with all the facts. But like Susan said, those costs don't kick in until the ARPA funds have been used up. But I just, I want to make sure we're super clear with you on that.

- So the recurring question isn't really 71,000 annually then. It's times how many miles?

- Well, I just wanted to, it depends on how many square miles we deploy it on. And again, different vendors come in. What we're finding is we've done two demos right now with vendors, and I won't mention who, where the high side estimate of the ongoing cost in the out years is \$71,000 a square mile. It could be less than that. But we're just, we went with the most conservative estimates that we could come up with so that, to try to avoid surprises down the road. Could be less than that.

- So most of these, is most of the activity within a square mile?

- No, it's more than a square mile.

- Okay.

- Right.

- Alder Galvin.

- As we get ready to do a motion here, we charge other departments for the use of our GERP program. And I'm just wondering as part of the motion, if we should have it in there that the department, for the use of some of this equipment, if we, and I know that PD is hesitant to do that because if someone doesn't use our system because there is a charge to it and potentially a crime could have been solved, and maybe one that is related even to a crime up here, I mean, you don't want to stop that kind of thing from happening. But at the same time, when you start looking at reoccurring costs, and if we can kind of keep some of those costs down, do we make, as part of that motion, that the department research and see if, as we're moving along, 'cause we're still getting bids and things on that, to ask for some little bit of reimbursement to cover our costs with this system. 'Cause I mean, as much as we want to solve crimes, do we want the taxpayers or the Green Bay shouldering all these costs? Especially if we're helping out other departments. And granted, I mean, Green Bay's last couple of years for the amount of gunshots and the shell casings recovering is unbelievably behind compared to just a few years ago. And obviously the communities around us don't have anything near what we have. You certainly want, but I mean, if our crime scene goes out and helps another department, there are fees that are paid if they request that help. I mean, so do we make that part of the motion here? Or is that

something we just try and address later on? Or we just let it go? I mean, Brown County charges the city for everybody, for every head we put in the county jail, for every night, we get charged a surcharge. So I mean...

- I mean, yeah, I hear what you're saying, Alder Galvin. I don't know if we can come up with an answer.

- Right.

- Right now.

- Could we have the department look and see if other departments are doing it? I mean, not just in Wisconsin. Happening in other areas of the United States. And if they are, how are they handling it? But I would also suggest we don't set up that shot detection near the East Shore of the Bay Area in goose season.

- No kidding. Oh God, we live on the East Shore of Green Bay during goose season. Shots all the time. All right, so.

- I have one more request.

- Yes. Go ahead, Susan.

- I would just request that you use the file numbers in your motions and/or have four separate motions just for ease of record-keeping of each project. And the file number for the gunshot detection system, it has an X there and it's actually a three. 12 dash three dash zero zero one.

- Alder Galvin, are you gonna maybe make the motions then?

- Yeah, I'll see if I can find these numbers on the, yep, I see it. Okay, I'll make a motion for item nine dash two dash zero zero seven, which is the NIBIN for approval.

- Is there a second?

- Yep. Second by Alder Corpus-Dax. All those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye, opposed nay. Motion carries.

- Motion on item number nine dash one dash zero zero eight, which is the recruitment and retention monies. Motion to approve.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Corpus-Dax. Motioned by Alder Galvin. Further discussion, see none. All those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye, opposed nay. Motion carries. Okay.

- I make a motion that item number nine dash two dash zero zero nine, the law enforcement surveillance trailer, be approved.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Corpus-Dax. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Aye, opposed nay. Motion carries.

- And Chief, I'm excited to see how this works out and if it does, at that cost, I see no reason why we couldn't entertain getting more if you think it's necessary in the future. And then I'll make a motion, item 12 dash, you said three?

- Three.

- Three dash zero zero one, gunshot detection system be approved.

- Second.

- Okay, second by Alder Corpus-Dax. Further discussion? See none.

- Aye.

- Aye, opposed nay. Motion carries. All right. Thank you. Took care of that item. Now. Informational. The contingency account is at \$104,650, and then the next finance committee meeting will be held Tuesday, January 11th, 2022 at 4:30 p.m. Anything else we need to know, Director? Nope? All right, should we go to personnel in about, you want to go five minutes?

- Sure.

- Okay. We'll see you guys, oh, I'll entertain a motion to adjourn.

- Motion to adjourn.

- Second.

- Motion to adjourn by Alder Corpus-Dax. Second by Alder Galvin. All those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye, opposed nay. Motion carries. We are adjourned.