MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

TUESDAY, JUNE 21, 2022, 4:30 PM
Virtual Meeting. Public may join via Zoom.
Immediately following Personnel.
AMENDED

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

1. This item contains Zoom information, instructions, and a link to the Virtual Comment Form.

B. ROLL CALL.

1. Members: Ald. Brian Johnson, Ald. Jesse Brunette, Ald. Bill Galvin & Ald. Jim Hutchison.

Present: Bill Galvin, Jim Hutchison, Jesse Brunette, Excused: Brian Johnson

Also present: Mayor Eric Genrich, Ald. Mark Steuer, Ald. Jennifer Grant, Ald. Melinda Eck, Fire Chief David Litton, Police Chief Chris Davis, City Clerk Celestine Jeffreys, City Attorney Joanne Bungert, Finance Director Diana Ellenbecker, Assistant Finance Director Pam Manley and others.

C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to approve.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

1. Approval of the Finance Committee minutes from the May 24, 2022 meeting.

Moved by Ald. Jim Hutchison, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

E. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Request approval to purchase Motorola radios for GBFD from Motorola Solutions through Baycom Enterprises for $456,241.52.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to approve.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

2. For consideration with possible action to adopt the newly created Capitalization policy for the City of Green Bay.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to approve.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

3. For consideration with possible action acceptance of the allocation from Department of Justice (DOJ) grant for $151,145.00 for Coronavirus Emergency Response Funding.

Moved by Ald. Jim Hutchison, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

4. For consideration with possible action acceptance of the allocation from Wisconsin Department of Administration for $391,107.98 for Local Law enforcement Agency Initiative grant – Safer communities funding.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to approve.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

5. For consideration with possible action a budget amendment resolution to transfer $15,703.00 from Contingency account to Elections budget.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to open the floor.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to close the floor.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to approve.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jim Hutchison, No- Jesse Brunette

6. For consideration with possible action a motion by Ald. Galvin for a report with bullet points explaining what the City did with the monies as it relates to voter education, outreach, and registration.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to receive and place on file.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

7. To refer to staff, Law and Finance, to continue to "create a policy or amend an existing policy to prohibit or prevent city personnel from the offices of Clerk, Mayor, Law, Finance, and IT in soliciting, accepting, or using any donation in the form of money, grants, property, or personal service form an individual or a nongovernmental entity for the purpose of funding election -related expenses, voter education, noter outreach, or voter registration programs with the exceptions of compensation of poll workers, securing leases for polling locations, and PPE for voters and volunteers." From the May 24th Finance Committee meeting.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to amend the policy to revise the text under "no department" to include "or City Personnel" and to also include "without prior approval of Council".
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve as amended.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

8. To refer to staff to work with Ald. Brunette to bring forward to the Common Council a request by Ald. Brunette which states: "For a status update and discussion regarding legal services received from Law Forward states United Democracy Center, and Stafford Rosenbaum LLP with possible action such as continuing or discontinuing legal service received." From the May 10th Finance Committee meeting.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to receive and place on file.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jim Hutchison, No- Jesse Brunette

9. For consideration with possible action to approve transfer ownership of K9 Bose from the Green Bay Police Department to Retired Officer Matt Lynch.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to approve.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

10. In response to a request by Ald. Brunette to the Finance committee which states: "For staff to prepare a list of five to ten ideas for acceptable uses of remaining ARPA funds under each of the five already approved categories with the goal being a more holistic approach for allocation funds." To refer to staff until the June 21st Finance meeting for proposals that have been presented or held for possible consideration, and to place this item for discussion on the July 26th Finance agenda for consideration of additional ideas presented by staff and Council. From the May 24th Finance Committee Meeting.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to open the floor.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to close the floor.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to hold until the July 26th Finance meeting.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

11. For consideration and possible action the approval of American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) allocation within the Capital Needs and Organizational Priorities category for $25,000.00.

Moved by Ald. Jim Hutchison, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to hold.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

F. INFORMATIONAL.

1. 2022 Contingency Account: $200,000.00.

2. The next Finance Committee meeting will be held on Tuesday, July 26, 2022 at 4:30 PM.

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jim Hutchison to adjourn.
Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison

H. VERBATIM MINUTES.

- I'D LIKE TO CALL TO ORDER, AT 5:02, THE MEETING OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE. EXCUSED. ALDER BRUNETTE, PRESENT ALDER GALVIN?

- HERE.

- ALDER HUTCHISON?

- HERE.

- OKAY. THANK YOU. MOVE TO THE APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA. CAN I GET A MOTION?

- SO MOVED.

- SECOND.

- OKAY. MOTION AND SECOND. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. NEXT, WE MOVE TO THE APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF THE MAY 24TH MEETING. CAN I GET A MOTION?

- SO MOVED.

- SECOND.

- MOTION BY HUTCHISON, SECOND BY GALVIN, TO APPROVE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. NEXT, WE GO TO REGULAR BUSINESS. ITEM ONE. REQUEST APPROVAL TO PURCHASE MOTOROLA RADIOS FOR GREEN BAY FIRE DEPARTMENT FROM SAID COMPANY. I STRUGGLE WITH THAT ONE I'LL BE HONEST. SOLUTIONS THROUGH BAYCOM, FOR $456.241.52. I BELIEVE, IS THIS CHIEF LITTON OR DIRECTOR ELLENBECKER? WHO TYPICALLY? CHIEF LITTON?

- ALDER BRUNETTE, YEAH. THIS IS THE SECOND HALF OF THE REPLACEMENT OF OUR PORTABLE RADIOS. WE REPLACED THE FIRST HALF OF THEM BUDGET. THIS IS THE SECOND INSTALLMENT OF THAT. WE SPLIT IT OVER TWO YEARS IN ORDER TO REDUCE THE IMPACT TO THE CAPITAL BUDGET. THE RADIOS THAT WE'RE REPLACING ARE BASICALLY OUT OF DATE, OUT OF EQUIPMENT REPLACED FOR US. AND SO YOU'LL REMEMBER THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT REPLACED ALL OF THEIRS, I BELIEVE LAST YEAR. THIS IS OUR FINISHING UP ON THAT PROJECT.

- OKAY. DOES ANY COMMITTEE MEMBER HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

- WELL, IS THIS THE EXPECTED PRICE? IS THERE ANYTHING WITH THIS PRICE THAT'S OUT OF ORDINARY?

- ALDER HUTCHISON, SO MOTOROLA, AS WITH MANY VENDORS, THEY HAVE CERTAIN, OPERATE WITHIN THE STATE OF WISCONSIN, AND SO BAYCOM IS OUR LOCAL DEALER. YOU'LL SEE THAT THIS IS A STATE BID PRICE. SO YOU'LL SEE THAT THERE'S A LIST PRICE AND A BID PRICE. AND I BELIEVE THAT THIS BID PRICE IS UNDER A STATE CONTRACT.

- THANK YOU.

- OKAY. ANYONE ELSE? ANY OTHER COUNCIL MEMBER? OKAY, CAN I GET A MOTION?

- MOTION TO APPROVE.

- I'LL SECOND.

- MOTION TO APPROVE BY GALVIN, SECOND BY HUTCHISON. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. ITEM TWO. FOR CONSIDERATION WITH POSSIBLE ACTION TO ADOPT A NEWLY CREATED CAPITALIZATION POLICY FOR THE CITY OF GREEN BAY. STAFF?

- I WILL START, BUT THEN I'M GONNA BE TURNING IT OVER TO SOME OF MY STAFF MEMBERS. WE DID NOT ACTUALLY HAVE A CAPITALIZATION POLICY IN PLACE. OBVIOUSLY, OUR AUDITORS THOUGHT IT WAS A GOOD RECOMMENDATION FOR US TO PUT IN PLACE. SO WITH CAPITALIZATION POLICY, IT WAS DRAFTED WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF OUR DEPARTMENT HEADS. AND SO IN MOST CASES, WE JUST WORKED WITH THEM, FOUND OUT WHAT THEIR PROCESS IS, AS LONG AS IT WAS ALONG THE ACCOUNTING RULES, IT ALL MADE SENSE, WE DOCUMENTED IT. AND REALLY NOW WHAT WE HAVE TO DO IS WE MAKE SURE WE IMPLEMENT IT AND CONSISTENT. IN HOW DO WE CAPITALIZE OUR ITEMS. AND, SO WE'RE CONSISTENT, AND THEN THAT KIND OF MEETS OUR NEED FOR AUDIT PURPOSES.

- DIRECTOR ELLENBECKER, ARE THE TIMEFRAMES CONSISTENT WITH INDUSTRY STANDARDS? IS GREEN BAY AN OUTLIER OR IS IT PRETTY CONSISTENT WITH OTHER MUNICIPALITIES?

- I'M GONNA SAY CONSISTENT.

- OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS, COMMENTS FROM THE CITY COUNCIL? OKAY. ALDER HUTCHISON, I SAW THAT YOU WERE, MAYBE YOU'D MADE A NOISE. I DON'T KNOW. ANYWAYS. CAN I GET A MOTION THEN?

- MOTION TO APPROVE.

- I'LL SECOND.

- OKAY, MOTION BY GALVIN TO APPROVE, SECOND BY HUTCHISON. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. BY THE WAY, I JUST WANTED TO SAY, I SEE A LOT OF MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ARE ON THE CALL. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK, WE CAN GET A MOTION TO OPEN THE FLOOR. IF THAT'S A DESIRE, DON'T BE AFRAID TO RAISE YOUR HAND, AND WE CAN ENTERTAIN SUCH MOTION IF THE COMMITTEE SEES FIT. ITEM THREE, FOR CONSIDERATION WITH POSSIBLE ACTION, ACCEPTANCE OF THE ALLOCATION FROM DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE FOR $151,145 FOR CORONAVIRUS EMERGENCY RESPONSE FUNDING. I'M ASSUMING THIS IS CHIEF. ONE OF THE CHIEFS, CHIEF DAVIS?

- YEAH, IN SHORT, THIS IS MONEY, ACTUALLY, AND I KNOW DIRECTOR ELLENBECKER PROBABLY HAS MORE BACKGROUND ON THIS, 'CAUSE THIS ACTUALLY PREDATES ME. I THINK THIS GRANT WAS AWARDED BACK IN 2020. AND IT COVERS PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT AND THINGS RELATED TO REMOTE WORK. AND SO OUR PLAN WITH THIS MONEY IS TO USE IT MORE FOR REMOTE WORK, OBVIOUSLY BECAUSE WE'RE

SO FAR DOWN THE ROAD INTO THE PANDEMIC. WE CAN USE IT FOR PPE, IF THE NEED ARISES. BUT THINGS RELATED TO JUST MORE FLEXIBILITY, IN TERMS OF LAPTOPS, THAT KIND OF THING.

- ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM THE COMMITTEE? OTHERWISE, I'D BE HAPPY TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

- MOVE TO APPROVE.

- SECOND.

- MOTION BY HUTCHISON TO APPROVE, SECOND BY GALVIN. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. ITEM FOUR, FOR CONSIDERATION WITH POSSIBLE ACTION, ACCEPTANCE OF THE ALLOCATION FROM THE WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATION FOR $391,107.98, FOR LOCAL LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY INITIATIVE GRANT, SAFER COMMUNITIES FUNDING. CHIEF DAVIS, IS THAT YOU, AGAIN?

- IT IS, I'M SORRY. I'M HIGH NEED TODAY. THIS WAS MONEY THAT WAS AWARDED TO US BY THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE, OUT OF THE STATE'S ARPA AWARDS. IT WAS AWARDED TO EVERY CITY IN WISCONSIN PROPORTIONAL TO YOUR SIZE. AND SO WE GET A MUCH BIGGER AWARD THAN MOST CITIES, 'CAUSE WE'RE THE THIRD LARGEST CITY IN THE STATE. OUR PLAN FOR THIS, AND THE GUIDANCE IS FAIRLY BROAD, BUT OUR PLAN FOR THIS IS TO USE IT FOR A COMBINATION OF RECRUITING AND RETENTION INITIATIVES, AND THEN FOR SOME PUBLIC SAFETY RELATED WORK AS WELL. WE HAVE TO SPEND THIS FAIRLY SOON, BUT FOR RIGHT NOW WE HAVE A PLAN TO USE JUST SHORT OF $25,000 OF THIS FOR A VIDEO THAT THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CHIEFS OF POLICE HAS APPROACHED US ABOUT DOING IN THEIR THOUGHT LEADER SERIES. AND THEY UNDERWRITE ACTUALLY MOST OF THE COST OF THE VIDEO, 'CAUSE IT COSTS MORE THAN WE'RE PAYING FOR IT. BUT THAT, WE BELIEVE WILL BE A GOOD RECRUITING TOOL FOR US TO HAVE THIS PROFESSIONAL VIDEO COMPANY COME IN, AND THEN IT GOES OUT ON ALL OF IACP'S CHANNELS AROUND THE WORLD, WITH HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF USERS. SO IT'S A PRETTY BROADER REACH FOR THAT. AND THEN WE ALSO HAVE A PLAN TO USE AT LEAST $50,000 OF THIS, POSSIBLY MORE, DEPENDING ON HOW IT IS, FOR SPECIAL MISSIONS DIRECTED AT PUBLIC SPACES POLICING. SO FOR EXAMPLE, WE GET REPORTS ALL THE TIME OF PROBLEMS WITH SAY, DRUG DEALING OR OTHER CRIMINAL OR NUISANCE ACTIVITY IN A PARK, ON A STREET, SOME KIND OF PUBLIC SPACE, SO WE WOULD SET ASIDE SOME MONEY FROM THIS TO DO DIRECTED MISSIONS, WHATEVER THAT LOOKS LIKE, WHETHER IT'S A BIKE PATROL OR IF THERE'S DRUG ACTIVITY, MAYBE SOME KIND OF ENFORCEMENT MISSION, TRAFFIC SAFETY, IF WE NEED TO, ALTHOUGH WE DO GET A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF GRANT MONEY FOR THAT ALREADY. SO THOSE ARE THE KIND OF THINGS THAT WE ANTICIPATE USING THIS MONEY ON. AND THEN THE LAST THING IS, AND WE'LL BE ROLLING THIS OUT HOPEFULLY BEFORE THE END OF THE SUMMER, WE'VE FINISHED THE DRAFT OF OUR COMPREHENSIVE EMPLOYEE WELLNESS PLAN, WHICH IS AIMED AT, AMONG OTHER

THINGS, RETENTION. AND SO WE ANTICIPATE USING SOME OF THIS MONEY FOR THE THINGS THERE THAT HAVE COSTS.

- OKAY. ALDER, FIRST OF ALL, WAIT, ALDER STEUER. ALDER GALVIN, HUTCHISON, DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, COMMENTS, BEFORE WE OPEN IT TO OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS?

- MY ONLY QUESTION WOULD BE, SO YOU'RE SAYING THE ORGANIZATION IS GONNA PICK UP A LOT OF THIS COST, SO IS 391,000 GOING TOWARDS THE MAKING OF THIS VIDEO OR TO OTHER THINGS?

- NO, THE VIDEO IS ONLY ONE THING AMONG OTHERS THAT WE INTEND TO USE THIS $391,000 FOR. THE VIDEO THAT I TALKED ABOUT, THE COST TO US FOR THAT IS JUST SOUTH OF $25,000.

- OKAY.

- THE ACTUAL COST OF PRODUCTION FOR THESE TENDS TO BE MORE LIKE IN THE 60 OR $80,000 RANGE. BUT THE INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CHIEFS OF POLICE IS GONNA PAY EVERYTHING ABOVE THAT ALMOST 25,000.

- WHAT OTHER PLANS DO YOU HAVE TO USE THIS MONEY?

- WELL, AS I HAD MENTIONED, WE HAVE PLANS TO SET ASIDE $50,000 INITIALLY JUST TO USE MONEY THIS SUMMER FOR SAFETY IN PUBLIC SPACES, LIKE PARKS, PLACES WHERE WE GET PARTICULAR COMPLAINTS ABOUT ISSUES. I JUST GOT A COMPLAINT ABOUT RAMPANT DRUG DEALING. SO WE WOULD PUT TOGETHER AN OVERTIME MISSION TO GO DEAL WITH THAT IN A PUBLIC SPACE, THAT KIND OF THING.

- OKAY.

- SO WE CAN GET SOME PUBLIC SAFETY BANG FOR OUR BUCK THERE.

- WELL, AND THAT'S WHAT I WAS ASKING. SO IS THIS GONNA BE OVERTIME OR ARE WE GONNA USE IT TOWARDS TRYING TO GET MORE PEOPLE IN THE DEPARTMENT OR THINGS LIKE THAT?

- ALL OF THE ABOVE.

- OVER-HIRING? OKAY. ALL RIGHT.

- IT'D BE ALL THE ABOVE. I'M A LITTLE RELUCTANT TO OVER-HIRE WITH IT, JUST BECAUSE IF WE END UP WITH AN ONGOING BUDGET COMMITMENT, AND THEN, I'M AT I THINK 12 VACANCIES RIGHT NOW, WHERE I COULDN'T OVER-HIRE EVEN IF I WANTED TO.

- YEAH. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

- OKAY.

- ALDERMAN STEUER, GO AHEAD.

- THANK YOU, CHAIR. CHIEF, I WAS GONNA ASK, I KNOW THAT WITH THESE ARPA FUNDS, WE HAD 2 MILLION ORIGINALLY ASSIGNED FOR CRIME PREVENTION AND NEIGHBORHOOD ENHANCEMENT, 1.7 LEFT. WILL THIS GRANT THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT, ABOVE AND BEYOND THAT, WILL THAT TAKE CARE OF SOME OF THE THINGS THAT YOU MIGHT BE REQUESTING IN THE FUTURE OR ARE THERE STILL SOME OTHER REQUESTS? I KNOW THAT. GETTING SOMETHING IN PLACE TO SEE WHERE WE'RE AT. SO I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS APPLES AND ORANGES, OR IF YOU COULD EXPLAIN THAT A LITTLE BIT?

- SURE. AND IT IS SORT OF APPLES AND ORANGES. THIS IS MONEY THAT THE STATE IS, IT'S THE STATE'S ARPA MONEY THAT THEY'RE GIVING SPECIFICALLY TO GREEN BAY POLICE. SO THE CITY DOES NOT HAVE THE OPTION OF USING THIS FOR THINGS OUTSIDE OF POLICE. AND WE ASSEMBLED AN UNFUNDED NEEDS LIST A FEW MONTHS AFTER I GOT HERE, OVER THE WINTER. AND I WANT TO SAY, OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD, IT'S $2 OR $3 MILLION OF UNFUNDED NEEDS THAT WE'VE IDENTIFIED. AND SO OUR PLAN WITH THIS, WE HAVE TO OBVIOUSLY WORK WITHIN THE RULES FOR WHAT THAT GRANT MONEY CAN BE USED FOR, BUT IS TO TRY TO, NUMBER ONE, GET SOME EXTRA PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICE OUT IN THE COMMUNITY, BUT ALSO WORK ON CHIPPING AWAY AT SOME OF THESE UNFUNDED NEEDS. AND THEN, LIKE I SAID, WE'VE GOT THIS WELLNESS, COMPREHENSIVE WELLNESS PLAN THAT I JUST GOT THE DRAFT OF YESTERDAY, THAT COMES WITH SOME PRICE TAG AS WELL. THAT'S NEW ENOUGH THAT WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO FIGURE OUT EXACTLY HOW MUCH THAT'S GONNA COST. BUT THAT WOULD BE AN ELIGIBLE EXPENSE ON THIS GRANT.

- RIGHT. I JUST THINK OVER TIME IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE A HANDLE ON SOME OF THAT, EVEN THOUGH WE'RE GETTING GRANTS, AND THEY'RE, WE'RE NOT, WE DON'T HAVE OUR FINGERS IN THAT, SO TO SPEAK, FROM THE COUNCIL STANDPOINT. BUT IT WOULD BE NICE TO KNOW SOME OF THESE THINGS, MAYBE IN A REPORT TO COUNCIL, OR JUST DIFFERENT THINGS THAT YOU'RE UTILIZING THAT WILL HELP IN THE LONG RUN. AND MAYBE AT BUDGET TIME WE CAN LOOK AT THINGS A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY, OR WHAT HAVE YOU. SO THAT'S MY POINT. THANK YOU.

- ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? COMMENTS FROM CITY ALDERS? OTHERWISE I'D BE HAPPY TO ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

- MOTION TO APPROVE.

- SECOND.

- MOTION TO APPROVE BY GALVIN, SECOND BY HUTCHISON. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES.

- ITEM FIVE, FOR CONSIDERATION WITH POSSIBLE ACTION, A BUDGET AMENDMENT RESOLUTION TO TRANSFER $15,703 FROM CONTINGENCY ACCOUNT TO THE ELECTION BUDGET. I'M ASSUMING, I SAW CLERK JEFFREYS, THERE SHE IS. CLERK, CAN YOU EXPLAIN THIS FOR THE COMMITTEE, PLEASE?

- YES. THANK YOU. YOU CAN HEAR ME OKAY?

- WE CAN. YES.

- OKAY. AWESOME. I MIGHT FREEZE, I'M HAVING A LITTLE, SOME FREEZING PROBLEMS. BUT I GUESS THAT'S GOOD ON A HOT DAY. SO I WANT TO GO THROUGH SORT OF THE LOWEST HANGING FRUIT IN THIS REQUEST, WHICH IS 30--

- [SABRINA] COMMUTER.

- HUNDRED DOLLARS.

- [SABRINA] OH!

- I'M SORRY, YOU'LL HAVE TO WAIT TO BE ACKNOWLEDGED. IT'S FOR OFFICE SUPPLIES. SO 3,936, THAT'S ON THE SECOND PAGE. THAT'S THE LOWEST HANGING FRUIT. AND THAT REALLY IS A REQUEST BECAUSE OUR ENVELOPE COSTS CAME IN WAY HIGHER THAN I HAD ANTICIPATED. SO I NEED, UNFORTUNATELY, $3,900 TO MAKE MY OFFICE SUPPLY BUDGET WHOLE FOR THE REMAINDER OF 2022. SO THEN, NOW THAT'S THE FIRST THING, AND THEN THE SECOND IS FUNDS FOR THE REMAINING ELECTION. SO I'LL JUST, IF YOU WILL INDULGE ME, I'LL JUST GO THROUGH, FIRST, THE OUTLINED SPREADSHEET, PART 2022. SO FOR POLL WORKERS, I LIKE TO BUDGET FOR SEVEN PEOPLE PER WARD. WE HAVE 46 WARDS, BECAUSE WARDS 1 AND 2 ARE COMBINED. AND WE, FOR THOSE SPLIT WARDS, WE'RE NOT GONNA BE STAFFING THOSE BOARDS SEPARATELY. THEY'RE GONNA BE, THOSE BALLOTS ARE GONNA GO IN THE MACHINES WITH THE SORT OF EXISTING WARDS. SO SEVEN PEOPLE. SO THAT'S WHAT I ALWAYS AIM FOR. SO THAT FIRST LINE OF POLL WORKERS IS 275 PERSONS, TIMES TWO ELECTIONS, AND THE PAY IS 225. SO I'D LIKE TO INCREASE THE PAY TO 225, WHICH BRINGS US CLOSER TO $15 AN HOUR. NOW IN THE CITY GREEN BAY, WE DON'T PAY HOURLY, WE PAY DAILY FOR POLL WORKERS, BUT THAT'S ABOUT $15 AN HOUR. THAT SECOND ROW, FOR CENTRAL COUNT, THIS IS, WE WERE ABLE TO CENTRAL COUNT STAFF FOR THE PREVIOUS TWO ELECTIONS, BUT WE WILL NEED MORE PEOPLE FOR THE REMAINING ELECTIONS. SO I'D LIKE TO FUND THIS PART OF OUR ELECTION PROCESS FULLY. AND SO THAT'S FOR TWO ELECTIONS, AND THAT'S A LITTLE HIGH, AT $225 FOR THE DAY, SAME AS A REGULAR POLL WORKER. THEN FOR CHIEF INSPECTORS, AGAIN, WE HAVE 47 WARDS, BUT WARDS 1 AND 2 ARE COMBINED. SO WE HAVE 46 CHIEF INSPECTORS FOR TWO ELECTIONS. AND THAT, AGAIN, THAT'S A DAILY RATE, BY TWO, AND IT'S UP TO 340. SO THAT GIVES MORE LIKE $17 AN HOUR FOR ABOUT THE 20 HOURS THAT THEY WORK, SAY, COME TO PICK UP THEIR EQUIPMENT, TO BRING THE BALLOTS IN. THEY USUALLY GO THE DAY BEFORE TO SET. LOOK TO INCREASE THEIR PAY TO 340 FOR THE DAY. THEN TEMP HELP. SO I KNOW THAT THERE HAS BEEN SOME CONSTERNATION ON COUNCIL REGARDING FEDERAL AND VOTER NAVIGATORS. I THINK WHAT'S IMPORTANT FOR ALDERS TO UNDERSTAND IS

THAT WE HAVE HAD TEMP HELP FOR THE FEDERAL ELECTIONS IN THE PAST. AND THIS TEMP HELP, WE'RE PAYING THE SAME RATE THAT WE PAY OUR TAX TEMP WORKERS. SO IF YOU CAME TO CITY HALL TO PAY YOUR TAXES IN DECEMBER AND JANUARY, YOU WERE GREETED WITH, THERE WERE THREE PEOPLE WORKING THE COUNTER, AND THEN THERE WAS ONE PERSON SORT OF BEING A MANAGER. AND SO THAT RATE IS 15 AN HOUR. SO I'M LOOKING FOR THREE PEOPLE FOR 174 HOURS, THAT'S BOTH ELECTIONS, $15 AN HOUR. THEN I JUST WANTED TO ALSO INCLUDE OUR TAX, WHICH IS A BUDGET THAT I ALREADY HAVE. BUT I NEED TO INCLUDE THAT, IN TERMS OF ALL OF THE ELECTION HELP. ELECTION TECHS ARE FIVE VERY INTREPID GENTLEMEN, WHO ARE RETIRED FROM VARIOUS PARTS OF OUR CITY, AND THEY DEPLOY ALL OF THE EQUIPMENT, ALL OF THE WARD BOXES, THE STANDS FOR VOTERS TO VOTE ON, THE EXPRESS VOTES, THE DS200S, THEY DO SOME OF THAT WORK. THEY DO SOME GENERAL MAINTENANCE ON THE DS200S. SO HOURS, FOR TWO ELECTIONS AT $26.49 PER HOUR. SO I CURRENTLY HAVE ABOUT $192,000 IN MY FUND FOR THESE ACTIVITIES. AND SO I'M ASKING FOR $11,767.

- OKAY. THANK YOU, CLERK JEFFREYS. I DO HAVE QUESTIONS, BUT I'LL ALLOW THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS TO SPEAK FIRST, IF THEY'D LIKE. ALDER GALVIN OR HUTCHISON?

- I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS RIGHT NOW.

- ALDER HUTCHISON?

- NO, I'M FINE.

- OKAY.

- I DO. CLERK, THANK YOU FOR THIS REPORT. I GUESS YOU HAD REFERENCED VOTER NAVIGATOR, CONSTERNATION IN COUNCIL, BUT UNDER TEMP HELP, YOU HAVE THREE PERSONS, 174 HOURS. WHAT EXACTLY WOULD THOSE TEMP EMPLOYEES OR TEMP HELP BE DOING?

- SO WHAT THEY'LL BE DOING IS THEY'LL BE ASSISTING US WITH OUR IN-PERSON, ABSENTEE VOTING. SO WE'LL HAVE 80 HOURS FOR THE AUGUST ELECTION AND 94 HOURS FOR THE NOVEMBER ELECTION. THERE'S A LOT TO DO WITH IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING, NOT ONLY ACTUALLY CREATING, DOING THE VOTING, LOOKING THEM UP IN WISVOTE, PRINTING LABELS, GIVING THEM INSTRUCTIONS, BUT THEN THERE'S ALSO ALPHABETIZING THE BALLOTS. SO THERE'S QUITE A BIT OF WORK TO DO. THAT IS WHAT THEY WOULD BE DOING.

- OKAY, IS THERE, 'CAUSE ANY EMPLOYEE WE HAVE, WE HAVE A JOB DESCRIPTION. IS THERE A PRINTED OR A POSTED JOB DESCRIPTION FOR THIS TEMP HELP?

- NO, THERE IS NOT.

- OKAY, THE REASON I SAY THAT IS, WE TOOK THE, IT SEEMS SIMILAR TO SOME OF THE DUTIES OF THE VOTER NAVIGATORS. I'M NOT SAYING IT'S AN EXACT DUPLICATE. I'M NOT SAYING THAT, BUT WE PURPOSELY TOOK THOSE POSITIONS OUT OF THE BUDGET. WE REMOVED THE WORD VOTER NAVIGATOR AND ANY FUNDING THAT WOULD'VE

BEEN ASSOCIATED TO A VOTER NAVIGATOR WAS NOT INCLUDED IN THE BUDGET. I REMEMBER VERY CLEARLY, I THINK ALDER DORFF AT THE TIME SAID, "WHY KEEP IT IN? "THERE'S NO DOLLARS ASSOCIATED WITH IT." BUT WE ENDED UP JUST STRIKING IT. SO KIND OF MAKE ME FEEL BETTER ABOUT THIS, THAT WE'RE NOT, THIS ISN'T JUST KIND OF A RUN AROUND WHAT THE PREVIOUS COUNCIL HAD DECIDED FOR THIS BUDGET YEAR.

- WELL, I'M NOT SURE I CAN MAKE YOU FEEL BETTER, BUT--

- SURE YOU CAN.

- BUT CERTAINLY VOTER NAVIGATORS WERE FOCUSED MORE OUTWARDLY WITH SOME OTHER VOTER ACTIVITIES. THIS TEMP HELP IS THE KIND OF HELP WE'VE ALWAYS HAD, WHICH IS, AS I SAID, EFFECTUATING IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTES. SO THEY NEED TO HAVE A CLEARANCE IN WISVOTE. SO THEY NEED TO GET TRAINING FOR THAT. THEY ALSO HELP ALPHABETIZE. SO DURING THE NOVEMBER ELECTION WE HAD, I THINK WE HAD, AND OF COURSE I WASN'T THE CLERK THEN, SO I SORT OF RECOLLECT, I KNOW SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO WERE HERE, I THINK WE HAD FOUR PEOPLE ALPHABETIZING. AND ESSENTIALLY WHAT THAT MEANS, ALDER, IS THAT WE, OR ALDERMAN, I THINK THAT'S WHAT YOU PREFER BE CALLED, WE RECEIVED THE, I WASN'T HERE, BUT WE WERE STUFFING ABSENT BALLOTS. WE RECEIVED THE BALLOT CERTIFICATE. THERE'S A PERSON'S NAME HERE. AND THIS PERSON IS, HAPPENS TO BE IN WARD 6. SO WE HAVE TO PUT ALL THESE PEOPLE IN ALPHABETICAL ORDER FOR WARD 6, BECAUSE THAT'S HOW WE PRINT THE POLL BOOK FOR ABSENTEE BALLOT LIST FOR CENTRAL COUNT. SO THAT'S A LOT OF WORK. AND EVEN WITH, WELL, WE CURRENTLY HAVE 5,200 BALLOTS THAT WE ARE PROCESSING RIGHT NOW FOR AUGUST. I ANTICIPATE THAT WILL GO UP A LITTLE BIT MORE FOR NOVEMBER. IN THE APRIL ELECTION, WE HAD 40% ABSENTEE, I THINK, AND 60% AT POLLS. SO IF WE HAVE A 60% TURNOUT FOR THE NOVEMBER ELECTION, THAT'S ON BALANCE. SO THAT'S WHAT THESE PEOPLE WOULD BE DOING. THE TEMP HELP, JUST LIKE FOR TAX TIME, JUST LIKE WE'VE HAD BEFORE, THESE ARE PEOPLE WHO WILL BE WORKING IN THE OFFICE, WORKING DIRECTLY WITH IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING.

- YOU, AND JUST SO YOU KNOW, YOU FROZE A LITTLE BIT, BUT WE HEAR YOU NOW.

- I'M SORRY. WAS THERE SOMETHING I NEED TO FILL IN? LIKE I SAID, I'M HAVING A FREEZING PROBLEM.

- NO, THAT'S UNDERSTANDABLE. OKAY, ON THE COMMITTEE, THE AGENDA ITEM, I THINK YOU'VE MADE REFERENCE TO WANTING TO EXPAND EARLY IN-PERSON VOTING. IS THAT CORRECT?

- YES. WELL, EXPAND SOME HOURS AND ONE DAY, ONE DAY. SO I'LL BE CLEAR. SO FOR AUGUST, WE WILL HAVE, LET ME JUST GO TO MY SPREADSHEET HERE, IF YOU JUST GIVE ME ONE MOMENT. OKAY. SO FOR AUGUST, WE WILL HAVE OUR NORMAL 8:00 TO 5:00, ESSENTIALLY TUESDAY THROUGH FRIDAY, AND THEN MONDAY THROUGH FRIDAY THE NEXT WEEK, WE'LL BE OPEN ONE EVENING UNTIL NINE O'CLOCK, AUGUST 4TH, THEN SATURDAY, AUGUST 6TH, WHICH IS OUR NORMAL, IS TO BE OPEN A SATURDAY, OR TWO. IN NOVEMBER, WE WILL HAVE OUR REGULAR TUESDAY, WEDNESDAY, THURSDAY, FRIDAY, THAT FIRST WEEK, 8:00 TO 5:00. THEN SATURDAY 8:00 TO NOON. THEN

MONDAY THROUGH WEDNESDAY, 8:00 TO 5:00 I'M SORRY, MONDAY AND TUESDAY, 8:00 TO 5:00. AND THEN WEDNESDAY AND THURSDAY, 8:00 TO 9:00 PM. WE'LL BE OPEN TILL 5:00 AGAIN ON FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 4TH. 8:00 TO NOON ON SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 5TH, AND ON SUNDAY, WHICH IS A NEW DAY, WHICH WE CAN DO, OPEN SOMETIME AFTERNOON INTO THE LATE AFTERNOON, POSSIBLY EARLY EVENING. SO THE REASON FOR DOING THAT IS BECAUSE NOT EVERYONE LIVES IN A 9:00 TO 5:00 WORLD, RIGHT? WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE WHO WORK SHIFT IN VARIOUS INDUSTRIES IN THIS COMMUNITY. INDUSTRIES HAVE BEEN BACKBONES OF THIS COMMUNITY, WHO DON'T ALWAYS, THEY'RE NOT HOME AT FIVE O'CLOCK. AND FOR THOSE PEOPLE THAT OPPORTUNITY. I, MYSELF, ALTHOUGH AT SOME POINT IN MY EARLY ADULTHOOD OR MY MIDDLE ADULTHOOD, I SUPPOSE, I WAS A STAY AT HOME MOM, AND IF YOU HAVE KIDS TO GET HOME FROM SCHOOL AND TO GET DINNER AND HOMEWORK, IT'S NOT, IT'S 7:30 BEFORE YOU'RE READY TO DO SOMETHING. SO I WANT TO OFFER AT LEAST A COUPLE OF DAYS, ESPECIALLY IN NOVEMBER, AT SOME DIFFERENT TIMES. AND SUNDAY IS ANOTHER, IT'S A WEEKEND. PEOPLE ARE A LITTLE MORE RELAXED ON THE WEEKEND, SOME OF US, WHO ARE FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO BE OFF ON THE WEEKEND. AND I'D LIKE TO OFFER SOME HOURS FOR PEOPLE TO COME AND VOTE IN-PERSON ABSENTEE AT THE CLERK'S OFFICE.

- CURRENTLY, IF, CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, BUT ABSENTEE VOTER, ABSENTEE BALLOTS, A PERSON COULD REQUEST THAT FAR IN ADVANCE, WELL BEFORE ANY WEEK OF THE ELECTION WHERE A WORK SCHEDULE REALLY WOULDN'T INTERFERE WITH THEIR ABILITY TO VOTE, ISN'T THAT CORRECT?

- SOME PEOPLE, THAT'S CORRECT, BUT SOME PEOPLE LIKE THE IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTE. THEY LIKE TO COME WHEN THERE'S SOME FLEXIBILITY. WE ARE ALLOWED TO DO THAT. WE HAVE TWO WEEKS OF IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING THAT WE ARE COMMITTED TO DO BY STATE STATUTE. AND SO THAT'S JUST ANOTHER CHOICE FOR VOTERS. SO I THINK OFFERING VOTERS CHOICES, AND WE'RE AMERICANS, WE LIKE CHOICE. SO I THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT.

- IS THIS... CITY HALL, THE EARLY VOTING, WOULD IT ALL BE AT CITY HALL?

- AND IT'S IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING, TECHNICALLY. SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP THE TECHNICAL NAME, AND IT'LL ALL BE IN CITY HALL, THAT IS CORRECT.

- OKAY. I'M JUST GONNA BE VERY BLUNT. ON FACEBOOK, I REMEMBER, FOR THE LAST MONTH, NOT SO MUCH IN THE RECENT HISTORY, BUT ABOUT FOR A GOOD MONTH STRAIGHT, I REMEMBER SEEING A LOT OF FACEBOOK ADS FROM AN ORGANIZATION CALLED SOULS TO THE POLLS. THEY SAID SOME THINGS THAT, IN MY OPINION, WERE A BIT OF A DISTORTION, AND THEY WERE PUSHING FOR AN EXPANSION OF EARLY IN-PERSON VOTING, AND THEY WERE LOOKING FOR ADDITIONAL SITES, AND THEY WERE MAKING THE CLAIM THAT THE CITY DIDN'T HAVE ENOUGH VOTING LOCATIONS, BLAH, BLAH, BLAH. I DIDN'T AGREE WITH WHAT THEIR REPRESENTATION OF THE ISSUE WAS, BUT THAT'S ANOTHER ISSUE. HAS SOULS TO THE POLLS HAD ANY CONTACT WITH YOU OR THE CLERK'S OFFICE REGARDING THEIR PETITION THEY WERE CIRCULATING TO EXPAND EARLY IN-PERSON VOTING?

- SO I THINK YOUR QUESTION, YOU FROZE UNFORTUNATELY, WAS DID SOULS TO THE POLLS CONTACT ME DIRECTLY TO, YOU FROZE, SO I DIDN'T GET THE REST OF IT.

- NO, I DIDN'T ASK DIRECTLY. I SAID, DID SOULS, I'LL REPHRASE, DID SOULS TO THE POLLS, ANYONE FROM SOULS TO THE POLLS, WHETHER AN EMPLOYEE, A VOLUNTEER, AN ADVOCATE, WHATEVER THAT POSITION IS, HAS ANYONE FROM SOULS TO THE POLLS CONTACTED YOU DIRECTLY OR A MEMBER OF THE CLERK'S OFFICE STAFF IN REGARDS TO EXPANDING EARLY IN-PERSON VOTING?

- SO A COUPLE OF THINGS. NO, THEY HAVE NOT CONTACTED ME DIRECTLY, BUT I HAVE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH THEM AND SOME OTHER ORGANIZATIONS JUST ABOUT VOTING IN GENERAL. SO IT WASN'T A SINGULAR CONVERSATION WITH THEM. BUT LET ME JUST BE REALLY CLEAR. FIRST OF ALL, I'M NOT ON FACEBOOK. I HAVE A FACEBOOK ACCOUNT, BUT I HAVE 40 FRIENDS, AND THAT'S IT. SO I DON'T SEE PEOPLE'S CHATTER ON FACEBOOK, NUMBER ONE. NUMBER TWO, THIS IS IMPORTANT. I READ QUITE A BIT. LOOKING AT OTHER COMMUNITIES, NOT JUST IN WISCONSIN, BUT ACROSS THE UNITED STATES AND WHAT THEY DO FOR VOTING. NOT JUST BECAUSE I'M THE CLERK NOW, BUT BECAUSE I'M INTERESTED IN IT. AND THIS IS SOMETHING THAT HAS ALWAYS BEEN AN INTEREST TO ME. HOW DO WE HAVE SOME DIFFERENT HOURS FOR PEOPLE WHO HAVE SOME DIFFERENT LIFESTYLES? IN ADDITION TO MAIL-IN VOTING, WHICH WE HAVE, WHICH IS REALLY GREAT, IN THE STATE OF WISCONSIN. AND SO, YEAH, I DON'T KNOW WHAT SOULS TO THE POLLS WAS ADVOCATING. I DID HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH THEM AND SOME OTHER GROUPS ABOUT VOTING IN GREEN BAY. THEY DID NOT PUT THIS IDEA INTO MY HEAD. THIS IS MY IDEA.

- RIGHT. 'CAUSE THEY WERE COLLECTING SIGNATURES FOR A PETITION. DID THEY SEND THAT PETITION TO ANYBODY IN YOUR OFFICE OR YOU?

- I DID NOT RECEIVE A PETITION, NOR DID ANYONE IN MY OFFICE. AND IF THEY DID, THEY WOULD HAVE GIVEN IT TO ME.

- OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I HAVE NO OTHER QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT FOR CLERK JEFFREYS. IS THERE ANY OTHER COUNCIL MEMBER WHO HAS A QUESTION, COMMENT? OTHERWISE I'D BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO OPEN THE FLOOR TO HEAR FROM INTERESTED PARTIES IF THE COMMITTEE, OKAY, ALDERMAN STEUER, THEN ALDERMAN GRANT.

- THANK YOU, CHAIR. CLERK JEFFREYS, ON THE CHART THAT YOU HAVE HERE, I JUST, MORE OF A CLERICAL QUESTION. YOU MENTIONED LIKE POLL WORKERS, 275, FOR EXAMPLE, TWO ELECTIONS, AND THEN THE MONEY THAT YOU'RE LOOKING FOR, OR THE MONEY THAT'S THERE. THE 225, WHAT DOES THAT STAND FOR AGAIN?

- THAT IS THE PLAIN PAY, THE PAY FOR THE DAY.

- THE WHAT?

- THE PAY FOR THE DAY.

- FOR ONE PERSON?

- CORRECT.

- OKAY. ALL RIGHT, I WAS JUST, I FIGURED IT WAS SOMETHING LIKE THAT. I JUST WAS TRYING TO CLARIFY.

- YEAH.

- THAT'S ALL I HAVE RIGHT NOW. OKAY. THANK YOU.

- ALDERMAN GRANT.

- I JUST HAD A QUESTION AS FAR AS, AREN'T EMPLOYERS REQUIRED TO GIVE EMPLOYEES TIME OFF ON VOTING ELECTION DAY?

- NO. THEY ARE NOT.

- OKAY. THANK YOU.

- NOW AN EMPLOYER, OBVIOUSLY AN EMPLOYER CAN SAY TO AN EMPLOYEE, YOU CAN TAKE TIME TO GO VOTE. BUT WHETHER THAT TIME IS PAID IS COMPLETELY UP TO THE EMPLOYER, AND THERE'S NO STATE OR FEDERAL MANDATE FOR THAT.

- OKAY.

- I WOULD LOVE FOR ELECTION DAY TO BE A HOLIDAY. THAT'D BE GREAT.

- ALDER HUTCHISON OR GALVIN, DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? OTHERWISE I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO OPEN THE FLOOR TO HEAR FROM--

- ALDER ECK HAS BEEN WAVING HER HAND.

- WELL, ALDER ECK, I DON'T HAVE EVERYONE ON MY SCREEN. MY APOLOGIES. GO AHEAD.

- OKAY. I DID THE REQUEST TO SPEAK ON THE AGENDA, BUT I GUESS MAYBE THAT'S ONLY FOR IN-PERSON, I DON'T KNOW. ANYWAY, I GUESS I JUST WANT TO SPEAK ON THIS BECAUSE I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO EXPAND IT. THE EASIEST WAY TO VOTE IS ABSENTEE BALLOT FOR THOSE WHO ARE UNABLE TO GET IN. IN MY WHOLE ADULT LIFE, EVER SINCE I'VE BEEN ABLE TO VOTE, I'VE ONLY DONE THE ABSENTEE BALLOT A COUPLE OF TIMES, FOR RARE REASONS, BUT IT IS EASY TO DO AND POP IT IN THE MAIL. IT'S ALWAYS BEEN, IT'S A PRIORITY FOR ME TO VOTE, AND I'VE NEVER HAD A PROBLEM GETTING TO THE POLLS ON THE DAY OF THE ELECTION. AGAIN, JUST A FEW TIMES WITH THE ABSENTEE, BUT OTHERWISE I'VE BEEN ABLE TO GET THERE. SO I JUST DON'T THINK THAT WE NEED TO EXPAND IT OUT. SO I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT.

- OKAY, THANK YOU, ALDER ECK. ALDERMAN GALVIN.

- I'M STRUGGLING WITH TRYING TO UNDERSTAND, AS A COUNTRY, WHY WE FEEL THE ONLY DAY WE CAN VOTE IS THE ACTUAL DAY OF THE ELECTION IF THE LAW ALLOWS OTHERWISE. MUNICIPALITIES THROUGHOUT BROWN COUNTY, AND I BELIEVE IN OTHER COUNTIES AND STATES, THEY HAVE CONTESTS TO SEE HOW MANY PEOPLE THEY CAN GET OUT TO VOTE. THE MAIN GOAL I WOULD THINK IS 100% PARTICIPATION IN OUR ABILITIES TO VOTE. AND THIS COUNTRY IS ONE OF THE FEW COUNTRIES IN THE WORLD THAT HAS FREE AND FAIR ELECTIONS. IT'S EASY TO SAY THAT I'VE NEVER HAD A PROBLEM VOTING, BUT I'VE NEVER HAD A PROBLEM PAYING MY BILLS EITHER. DOES THAT MEAN THAT EVERYBODY SHOULD BE ABLE TO PAY THEIR BILLS? NO, MANY PEOPLE STRUGGLE PAYING THEIR BILLS, AND THEY WORK FULL TIME AND THEY WORK MULTIPLE JOBS. AND THAT ALONE COULD CAUSE PEOPLE TO HAVE PROBLEMS TO VOTE. I UNDERSTAND THE BALLOT BOX IS SPREAD ALL OVER THE CITY AND SOME OF THESE OTHER ISSUES. BUT IF THE ONLY WAY PEOPLE CAN VOTE EARLY IS TO COME INTO THE CLERK'S OFFICE, IDENTIFY WHO THEY ARE WITH A VALID ID. AND THEN VOTE, WHAT DO WE CARE IF IT'S MORE THAN ONE DAY OUT OF THAT VOTING CYCLE? IT JUST MYSTIFIES ME. IF WE CAN AFFORD TO DO IT, I THINK WE SHOULD DO EVERYTHING WE CAN TO ENCOURAGE AS MANY AMERICANS TO VOTE FOR AN ELECTION. THAT'S THE WAY WE KNOW THE MOST WHAT PEOPLE ARE THINKING. AND IF PEOPLE CAN'T MAKE IT BECAUSE THEIR KID IS SICK, AT THE LAST MINUTE, AND THEY'RE SITTING IN A DOCTOR'S OFFICE AT THE END OF THE DAY, AFTER WORKING EIGHT HOURS AT WORK, WHY NOT LET THEM COME IN EARLY IF THEY THINK THAT'S GONNA BE THE BEST WAY TO DO THINGS? WE'RE NOT ALLOWING THEM TO VOTE AFTER THE ELECTION. WE'RE NOT ALLOWING THEM TO VOTE AT ABSENTEE BOXES THAT WE'RE NOT ALLOWED TO HAVE ANYMORE. THEY HAVE TO COME IN IN-PERSON WITH A VALID ID. THIS IS WHAT AMERICA IS ABOUT, IS VOTING. AND I THINK IF WE CAN ENCOURAGE, I WOULD LOVE TO SEE THE CITY OF GREEN BAY OBTAIN A VOTING PERCENTAGE ABOVE 50%. I THINK THAT WOULD BE COOL. AND ISN'T THAT SAD? WE'RE STRIVING TO GET AT 50%. I THINK ANYTHING WE CAN DO TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO VOTE, WE SHOULD DO. THANK YOU.

- OKAY--

- CAN I RESPOND? OH, SORRY.

- ALDER ECK, I'LL RECOGNIZE YOU. GO AHEAD.

- OKAY. YEAH. JUST CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, BUT DON'T WE ALREADY HAVE EARLY VOTING? THIS WAS A PROPOSAL TO EXPAND IT, TO ADD TO IT. AM I RIGHT ON THAT? BECAUSE THIS...

- SO I JUST WANT TO BE, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ALL SPEAKING THE SAME LANGUAGE, 'CAUSE ELECTIONS ARE TECHNICAL. SO WE DO NOT HAVE EARLY VOTING IN THE CITY OF GREEN BAY. WE HAVE IN-PERSON ABSENTEE.

- OKAY.

- AND WE HAVE TWO WEEKS OF IN-PERSON ABSENTEE BEGINNING, WELL, LESS THAN TWO WEEKS, THE TUESDAY BEFORE, TWO TUESDAYS BEFORE ELECTION DAY. AND WE CAN GO ON A SATURDAY AND A SUNDAY. SO THAT'S WHAT THIS IS. AND IT'S JUST FOR,

NOVEMBER, IT'S ACTUALLY, THE ADDITIONAL HOURS ARE, SIX PLUS. SO BASICALLY 14 ADDITIONAL HOURS IN NOVEMBER AND FOUR ADDITIONAL HOURS IN AUGUST. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT.

- THANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING. I GUESS I KIND OF CONSIDER IT, YOU'RE GOING IN EARLY TO ABSENTEE VOTE, SO TO ME THAT'S BASICALLY THE SAME THING.

- VOTING. YES.

- OKAY. I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO OPEN THE FLOOR TO HEAR FROM INTERESTED PARTIES. I SAW SOME PEOPLE WANTING TO SPEAK.

- ALDER BRUNETTE, YOU ALSO HAD A PERSON WHO CAME ONLINE THAT WANTED TO SPEAK. WE HAVE A CHRISTINA THOR, THAT'S TRYING TO REQUEST TO SPEAK.

- DEFINITELY. I JUST NEED A SECOND. IF THE COMMITTEE DECIDES TO GO THAT DIRECTION.

- I'LL SECOND.

- MOTION TO OPEN THE FLOOR. SECOND.

- OKAY. ALL RIGHT. I SEE MR. RIVERA'S COMMENT THAT ALDER HUTCHISON HAS HIS HAND RAISED. ALDER HUTCHISON, DO YOU WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT BEFORE WE TAKE THIS VOTE, OR ARE YOU CONTENT DOING THAT?

- SURE. YEAH, I DO. I WANT TO REITERATE WHAT ALDER GALVIN SAID. I'M A VOTER THAT VOTES AT THE POLL ALMOST ALWAYS. AND MY WIFE IS A VOTER WHO ABSENTEE VOTES. AND THERE'S BEEN A COUPLE OCCASIONS WHEN I DIDN'T EXPECT IT, BUT BECAUSE OF WORK, I HAD TO, I WASN'T GONNA BE HERE DURING THE ACTUAL DAY. SO THERE ARE CERTAIN TIMES WHEN I HAD TO GO IN AND VOTE, 'CAUSE THAT WAS IT. AND I DON'T THINK IT BEHOOVES REALLY ANY, I THINK WHAT'S BEING ASKED FOR IS LEGITIMATE AND IT CORRESPONDS TO WHAT MAY BE ENCOUNTERED IN GREEN BAY DURING THIS VOTING TIME, I REALLY DO. THAT'S IT.

- OKAY. THANK YOU, ALDER HUTCHISON. SO I HAVE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR BY ME, SECOND BY GALVIN, TO OPEN THE FLOOR TO HEAR FROM INTERESTED PARTIES. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? OKAY, MOTION CARRIED. BUT DIRECTOR ELLENBECKER, YOU HAD MENTIONED SOMEBODY HAD THEIR, WE'LL GO WITH THAT PERSON FIRST. AND THEN I SEE THE HANDS. I'LL PICK PEOPLE FROM THIS POINT ON, THEN, AFTER THE FIRST SPEAKER.

- YES. IS THERE A CHRISTINA THOR ON THE LINE? WE WOULD NEED HER TO STATE HER NAME AND HER ADDRESS.

- [CHRISTINA] YES, I'M HERE. CAN I TURN MY CAMERA ON AS WELL?

- YOU CERTAINLY CAN.

- [CHRISTINA] THANK YOU.

- OKAY.

- ALL RIGHT. HI, EVERYONE.

- WE SEE YOU. IF YOU COULD JUST REITERATE YOUR NAME AND YOUR ADDRESS FOR THE RECORD?

- ALL RIGHT. MY NAME IS CHRISTINA THOR. I LIVE ON 2474 HAZELWOOD LANE. I'M A CONSTITUENT OF DISTRICT 11, ALDERPERSON MELINDA ECK'S DISTRICT. I'M SPEAKING TODAY TO SUPPORT EXPANDING IN-PERSON EARLY VOTING ACCESS WITH MORE EVENING HOURS AND SUNDAY VOTING. I JUST WANT TO ALSO THANK YOU, ALDERMAN GALVIN, FOR YOUR COMMENTS. I AGREE, THAT IF WE CAN SUPPORT, IF WE CAN AFFORD TO SUPPORT PEOPLE TO VOTE, THERE'S NO REASON NOT TO DO IT. DURING THE APRIL ELECTION, I WORKED AS A POLL WORKER.

- [JANET] YOU CAN RAISE YOUR HAND.

- WHAT?

- HOW DO YOU RAISE YOUR HAND?

- OKAY, GO AHEAD.

- DURING THE APRIL ELECTION I WORKED AS A POLL WORKER, BECAUSE AS A CONSTITUENT, I WAS CURIOUS ABOUT THE POLLING PROCESS. I WITNESSED THE CROWDED LINES DURING WAVES OF PEOPLE COMING IN. I WITNESSED ACCESSIBILITY CHALLENGES. AND I ALSO WITNESSED PEOPLE AFRAID OF COVID IN CROWDED SPACES. I CURRENTLY WORK FULL TIME, AND I'M CURRENTLY ATTENDING UW GREEN BAY FOR MY MASTER'S IN SOCIAL WORK, AND I ALSO HELP TAKE CARE OF MY ELDERLY PARENTS. SO YOU CAN SEE HOW TIME IS VERY LIMITED FOR PEOPLE LIKE ME, AND HAVING THE ABILITY TO VOTE FOR THOSE WHO ARE JUGGLING MULTIPLE THINGS THROUGHOUT THE DAY IS SO NEEDED, TIME IS SO LIMITED. AND WHEN WE OPEN MORE OPTIONS TO VOTE EARLY, WE'RE SUPPORTING WORKING FAMILIES, COLLEGE STUDENTS, THOSE WITH DIFFERENT FAMILY DYNAMICS TO ENSURE THAT WE EXERCISE ALL AVENUES TO MAKE VOTING ACCESSIBLE TO THEM. I KNOW ALDERPERSON ECK JUST STATED THAT ACCESSIBILITY MAY BE ALLEVIATED THROUGH ABSENTEE BALLOT VOTING, BUT THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO HAVE VERY, VERY DIFFERENT ACCESSIBILITY BARRIERS, LIKE LINGUAL BARRIERS, TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS, NOT HAVING ENOUGH TIME, AND NEEDING TO FIND SUPPORT TO ALLEVIATE THESE BARRIERS. I GREW UP IN POVERTY. WE WERE IN CONSTANT SURVIVAL MODE TO MAKE ENDS MEET. SO LIKE FILLING OUT AN ABSENTEE BALLOT IS LIKE NOT ON OUR PRIORITY LIST. WE WERE ALWAYS WORKING AND LIVING PAYCHECK TO PAYCHECK. SO ACCESSIBILITY MATTERS AND BEING INCLUSIVE OF ALL

LIFESTYLES AND FAMILY DYNAMIC MATTERS. AND WITH A PANDEMIC STILL ONGOING, I BELIEVE A SAFE ENVIRONMENT AND SAFE LOGISTICS ARE A MUST. AND I ASK THAT, WHEN THIS IS APPROVED, THAT WE CAN REASSESS THIS LOGISTIC PLAN AND RE-ENHANCE IT FOR THE NEXT ELECTION CYCLE OR FOR THE NEXT EVENT, JUST TO CONTINUE BETTERING OUR SYSTEMS FOR PEOPLE. AND BECAUSE OF THAT, I'M ASKING YOU TO VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL TO EXPAND VOTING ACCESS. AND I HOPE YOU ALL WILL HEAR ME AND HELP EXPAND MY OPTIONS TO VOTE. THANK YOU.

- OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'LL JUST KIND OF STICK TO THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS. ANYONE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS FOR MS. THOR? OKAY. I'LL GO TO THE--

- YEAH.

- ALDER GALVIN, THE SPEAKER WHO JUST SPOKE, WOULD YOU BE INTERESTED IN ANSWERING A QUESTION?

- YES.

- OKAY. ALDERMAN GALVIN.

- YES. MA'AM. IF YOU DON'T MIND, HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE IN YOUR FAMILY? BROTHER, SISTERS, MOMS, AND DAD.

- YEAH. I HAVE 10 PEOPLE IN MY FAMILY.

- AND YOU SAID AT ONE POINT IN TIME YOU GUYS WERE PRETTY TIGHT WITH MONEY AND GETTING OUT EARLY VOTING WAS A LOT OF TIMES THE ONLY TIME YOU WOULD. AND DO YOU THINK THE REST OF YOUR SIBLINGS WOULD CHIME IN ON THIS?

- ABSOLUTELY.

- ALL RIGHT. THINGS ARE BETTER NOW, BUT WITH TAKING CARE OF YOUR PARENTS AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS YOU HAVE, YOU FEEL THAT HAVING THAT OPPORTUNITY HELPS YOU TO PREPLAN A LITTLE BIT BETTER TO MAKE SURE THAT YOUR VOTE IS GIVEN AND COUNTED?

- YEAH. 100%. I HAVE TO TRAVEL TO SEE MY PARENTS, AND IT DEFINITELY TAKES A LOT OF PREPLANNING, BECAUSE THEY DON'T REALLY HAVE ANYONE REALLY TAKING CARE OF THEM. SO I HAVE TO DO THEIR PRESCRIPTION REFILLS, REALLY HELP TAKE CARE OF THEM. AND THEN JUST IN ADDITION TO HELPING THEM WITH THEIR LIVES, ALSO HELPING MYSELF WITH MY OWN LIFE. SO, YEAH, ABSOLUTELY.

- ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THAT'S ALL.

- OKAY. THANK YOU FOR SPEAKING HERE. NEXT SPEAKER I'LL RECOGNIZE, NED DORFF. A FAMILIAR FACE. MR. DORFF, GO AHEAD.

- THANKS, JESSE. NED DORFF, 1321 EMILY STREET. AND APPRECIATE THE COMMITTEE TAKING THE TIME TO DISCUSS THIS TONIGHT. I HAVE, AS MANY OF YOU HAVE, SERVED AS A VOLUNTEER ON ELECTION DAYS. AND DURING THE PANDEMIC, WE HAD MORE OPTIONS AND WE HAD A HIGHER TURNOUT. PEOPLE WERE LOOKING FOR DIFFERENT WAYS TO VOTE AND DIFFERENT TIMES TO VOTE. AND WHEN WE HAD THE IN-PERSON ABSENTEE EARLY HOURS, THAT WAS HELPFUL. WHEN WE HAD THE DROP BOXES, THOSE WERE HELPFUL. BUT NOW AS WE LOOK AHEAD, I JUST WANT TO LEND MY SUPPORT TO THE PLAN TO KEEP EARLY VOTING, SORRY. I KNOW I GET THAT, IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING ACCESSIBLE TO OUR COMMUNITY. I AM A WORKING FATHER. I DON'T ALWAYS HAVE THE BEST AVAILABILITY TO GET TO CITY HALL OR GET TO MY POLLING PLACE ON ELECTION DAY. AND TO HAVE THAT OPTION FOR ME TO GO DOWN TO CITY HALL AND VOTE IS VERY BENEFICIAL TO ME. I ALSO LIVE CLOSE TO CITY HALL, AND I CAN GET THERE PRETTY QUICKLY. SO THAT'S A GOOD CENTRAL LOCATION FOR ME. BUT I'M THINKING ABOUT WHAT ALDER GALVIN STATED, AND HOW SOMETIMES WE HAVE TO LOOK OUTSIDE OF OURSELVES AND OUR OWN EXPERIENCES. THERE ARE FOLKS WHO LIVE ON OPPOSITE ENDS OF THE TOWN THAT MIGHT NOT HAVE THAT ACCESSIBILITY TO GET DOWN TO CITY HALL AS EASILY AS I CAN. SO I'D ENCOURAGE THE COUNCIL, AS WE CONTINUE TO MOVE FORWARD, TO FIND WAYS THAT PEOPLE ON THE FAR EAST SIDE, FAR WEST SIDE, MAYBE ON A BUS ROUTE, CAN GET SOME EARLY IN-PERSON ABSENTEE AS WELL, SINCE WE HAVE GOTTEN RID OF THE DROP BOXES AS AN OPTION. SO PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE KEEP IT OPEN ON THE WEEKENDS. I LIKE THE SUNDAY IDEA. I THINK THAT'S GONNA BE REALLY BENEFICIAL TO PEOPLE IN THE CITY OF GREEN BAY. AND AGAIN, I JUST WANT TO COMMEND MY ALDER, BILL GALVIN. AS HE STATED, HE'S ABLE TO PAY HIS BILLS. HE'S ABLE TO GET FROM POINT A TO POINT B WHEN HE NEEDS TO. BUT HE ALSO HAS THAT ABILITY TO LOOK OUTSIDE OF HIS OWN EXPERIENCE AND RECOGNIZE THAT THERE'S A LOT OF PEOPLE IN GREEN BAY WHO LIVE DIFFERENTLY A LOT OF THOSE PEOPLE. VOTING IS A RIGHT. IT'S NOT A PRIVILEGE. IT'S NOT MERIT BASED. IT IS YOUR RIGHT AS A CITIZEN TO VOTE. AND I THINK OUR CITY GOVERNMENT SHOULD BE DOING EVERYTHING IT CAN TO EXPAND THE FRANCHISE AS MUCH AS WE CAN. THANK YOU.

- OKAY. THANK YOU. ANY QUESTIONS FOR MR. DORFF?

- WAIT, ALDER ECK. NED, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO TAKE A QUESTION OR NO?

- SURE.

- OKAY, ALDER ECK.

- WELL, I... I THINK YOU WOULD AGREE, NED, THAT EVERYBODY HAS A MAILBOX, ACCESS TO A MAILBOX?

- I WOULD NOT AGREE WITH THAT, ALDER ECK. NOT EVERYBODY DOES HAVE ACCESS TO A MAILBOX. THERE ARE MANY PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY WHO EXPERIENCE HOMELESSNESS, AND THEY ARE OFTEN BETWEEN ADDRESSES. SO NO, I WOULD NOT.

- OKAY. 'CAUSE I WOULD WANT TO POINT OUT THAT THE ABSENTEE BALLOTS COME WITH, THEY'RE PRE-STAMPED, SO THERE'S NO EXTRA COST, I GUESS, IS WHAT I WANTED

TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT WOULD BE POINTED OUT. THERE'S NO EXTRA COST. AND IT'S FILLING IT OUT AND POPPING IN THE MAILBOX.

- YEP, THERE WERE CONCERNS DURING THE 2020 ELECTION, AS YOU MAY REMEMBER, ABOUT THE SPEED OF MAIL DELIVERY AS WE GOT CLOSER TO ELECTION TIME. AND SO GIVING PEOPLE MORE OPTIONS CLOSER TO THAT ELECTION TIME MADE A LOT MORE PEOPLE FEEL COMFORTABLE, WHETHER IT WAS GOING IN TO DO IN-PERSON ABSENTEE OR USING THE DROP BOX SYSTEM.

- OKAY. THANK YOU, NED. I APPRECIATE IT. NEXT SPEAKER, I HAVE A COUPLE I NOTICED. JANET ANGUS AND SANDY JUNO, SANDY RAISED HER HAND IN THE VIRTUAL FORMAT, SO I'LL GO TO HER FIRST. SANDY JUNO.

- [SANDY] 0616, GREEN BAY. I'M ALSO THE ELECTION INTEGRITY CHAIR FOR THE REPUBLICAN PARTY OF BROWN COUNTY. I JUST WANTED TO SHARE A COUPLE THINGS WITH YOU IN REGARD TO ELECTIONS. FIRST OF ALL, OUR VOLUNTEERS DID COVER ALL THE EARLY VOTING HOURS IN GREEN BAY FOR THE APRIL ELECTION. AND I MYSELF WAS THERE ON SATURDAY MORNING FROM 8:00 TILL NOON. AND THERE WAS ONLY LIKE THREE PEOPLE THAT CAME IN TO VOTE. AND SOME OF THOSE MIGHT HAVE EVEN JUST DROPPED OFF BALLOTS. I'M NOT 100% SURE. SO I WOULD HOPE THAT, IF YOU'RE GOING TO EXPAND THE HOURS, YOU MAKE SURE THAT YOU KEEP COUNT ON THE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY TO SEE THAT THE HOURS IS BENEFICIAL OR NOT. WE HAVE TO REMEMBER ABSENTEE VOTING IS A PRIVILEGE. IT'S NOT A RIGHT. YOU HAVE A RIGHT TO VOTE. BUT ABSENTEE VOTING IS A PRIVILEGE. SO I'LL LET YOU GUYS DECIDE WHAT YOU WANT TO DO WITH THAT. BUT I WOULD JUST SAY, IF YOU KEEP GOOD STATISTICS, YOU'LL BE ABLE TO DETERMINE IN THE FUTURE, IF THAT WAS A WORTHWHILE VENTURE OR NOT. THE SECOND THING IS, THERE WAS AN ERROR THAT OCCURRED WITH THE CITY OF GREEN BAY LAST YEAR. IN REGARD TO POLL WORKERS, THE REPUBLICAN PARTY HAD SUBMITTED A LIST OF NOMINEES TO THE CITY CLERK IN NOVEMBER. THERE WAS 110 PEOPLE LIST. IN DECEMBER IT WAS FORWARDED TO THE COUNCIL MEETING, AND INADVERTENTLY THERE WAS AN ERROR. WE DON'T THINK IT HAPPENED ON OUR PART, BUT I'M SURE THE CITY DOESN'T THINK IT HAPPENED ON THEIR PART, BUT ONLY THE PEOPLE WHOSE LAST NAME STARTED WITH A THROUGH L WERE ON THE LIST THAT WAS FORWARDED TO THE COUNCIL, AND THOSE PEOPLE WERE APPROVED. SO WHAT I AM REQUESTING IS, IF WE CAN RESUBMIT THE LIST SO THAT WITHIN THE NEXT MONTH, THE COUNCIL CAN CORRECTLY APPROVE THE ENTIRE ROSTER THAT WE HAD ORIGINALLY SUBMITTED. SO THAT WOULD BE JUST A REQUEST THAT I'M MAKING. I GUESS AT THIS POINT IT DOESN'T MATTER WHERE THE ERROR OCCURRED. IF IT WAS THE CITY, WE'LL PROBABLY CONTINUE TO DISAGREE ON WHAT HAPPENED. BUT I THINK THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IS THAT WE GET THESE PEOPLE APPROVED AND WE HAVE AN ADEQUATE SUPPLY OF POLL WORKERS FOR THE CITY OF GREEN BAY TO UTILIZE IN THE FOLLOWING ELECTIONS. THANK YOU.

- OKAY, THANK YOU, MS. JUNO. ANY COMMENTS? OKAY. WE'RE GONNA GO TO THE NEXT SPEAKER. JANET ANGUS. I SAW THAT YOU HAD UNMUTED YOURSELF AT ONE POINT. WOULD YOU LIKE TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE?

- [JANET] YES. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

- YES.

- [JANET] OKAY. THANK YOU. I HAD SOME QUESTIONS. WHEN I WAS OBSERVING AT CENTRAL COUNT.

- JANET, IF YOU, I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT. COULD YOU SHARE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE COMMITTEE?

- [JANET] OH, I'M SORRY. JANET ANGUS, 1403 SHIRLEY STREET, GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN. I WAS AN OBSERVER AT CENTRAL COUNT, AND I HAVE BEEN AN OBSERVER FOR MANY OF THE ELECTIONS. AND TONIGHT DURING CLERK JEFFREY'S REPORT, SHE INDICATED THAT POLL WORKERS ARE PAID AT CENTRAL COUNT. MY QUESTION IS, THERE ARE, I THINK OVER HALF OF THE POLL WORKERS AT CENTRAL COUNT IN THIS LAST ELECTION WERE CITY EMPLOYEES. ARE THEY BEING PAID ON TOP OF THEIR SALARIES? OR HOW DOES THAT WORK? JUST A QUESTION. A SECOND QUESTION I HAVE IS, HAS THERE EVER BEEN A STUDY DONE ON HOW MUCH IT COSTS TO PROCESS AN ABSENTEE VOTE? IF YOU VOTE EARLY, IT'S JUST LIKE VOTING ABSENTEE. AND CLERK JEFFREYS TODAY INDICATED THAT IT'S A LOT OF WORK TO ALPHABETIZE THOSE, IT'S A LOT OF WORK TO PROCESS THOSE. SO WHAT IS THE COST FACTOR BETWEEN ABSENTEE VOTING VERSUS VOTING IN-PERSON, AND IS THAT SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO LOOK AT? AS AN OBSERVER, I WAS ALSO WITH SANDY JUNO ON SATURDAY MORNING, AND THERE WERE NOT VERY MANY PEOPLE VOTING EARLY. SO WE MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AT THE COUNTS OF THE PEOPLE WHO ACTUALLY VOTED EARLY, BECAUSE IT MIGHT NOT BE SOMETHING THAT IS COST EFFECTIVE. IF THAT'S A BAD WAY OF PUTTING IT, I'M SORRY, BUT THERE WERE VERY FEW PEOPLE VOTING ON THAT SATURDAY. I ACTUALLY THOUGHT IT WAS A WASTE OF MY TIME BEING THERE, 'CAUSE SO FEW PEOPLE HAD COME IN. AND THEN THE LAST QUESTION I HAVE, AND THIS IS DIRECTED AT CLERK JEFFREYS IS, WHAT OTHER GROUPS HAVE YOU HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH REGARDING EARLY VOTING AND EXPANDING OUR EARLY VOTING HOURS? YOU SAID YOU HADN'T CONTACTED SOULS TO THE POLLS OR THEY HAVEN'T CONTACTED YOU. I WAS JUST WONDERING WHAT GROUPS YOU HAVE BEEN IN CONTACT WITH, AND WHAT THOSE CONVERSATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS WERE ABOUT?

- ATTORNEY BUNGERT, I SEE HER ON THE LINE. I KIND OF AM NEW FOR CHAIRING MEETINGS. I HAVEN'T DONE IT IN A WHILE. BUT CAN I TAKE THOSE AS RHETORICAL QUESTIONS, ARE CITY EMPLOYEES REQUIRED TO RESPOND TO SPEAKERS WHEN THEY POSE QUESTIONS?

- RIGHT. SO TYPICALLY THE PROCEDURE IS NOT. QUESTIONS ARE DIRECTED TO THE COMMITTEE. AND QUESTIONS NEED TO BE NARROWED OR ON TOPIC WITH RESPECT TO THE AGENDA ITEM, SO AS TO BASICALLY THE CONTINGENCY REQUEST THAT'S BEING REQUESTED HERE.

- COULD I AS CHAIR OR A COMMITTEE MEMBER ASK A QUESTION THAT MS. ANGUS JUST...

- YEAH, AND SO LONG AS IT'S WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE AGENDA ITEM SO THAT WE'RE NOT GOING OFF TOPIC, AND POTENTIALLY VIOLATING OPEN MEETINGS LAW AND DISCUSSING SOMETHING THAT WASN'T NOTICED.

- FAIR ENOUGH. WE'RE AT THE POINT OF THE MEETING WHERE WE'RE LISTENING TO THE PUBLIC. SO I'LL CONTINUE WITH THAT. AND I'LL ASK A QUESTION OF CLERK JEFFREYS WHEN WE RETURN TO REGULAR ORDER. MATT ROESER, I SEE YOUR HAND RAISED. DO YOU WANT TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE?

- [POLLY] ACTUALLY IT'S POLLY ROESER, 419 EAST LE CAPITAINE CIRCLE.

- SORRY.

- [POLLY] THAT'S OKAY. GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN, 54302. SO FIRST OFF I WANT TO READ FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT THAT SAYS "AN EMPLOYER IS REQUIRED "SUCCESSIVE HOURS TO VOTE EACH ELECTION, "NO MATTER THE SIZE OF THE EMPLOYER "OR THE TYPE OF BUSINESS." SO I THINK THAT CONTRADICTS WHAT CELESTINE JEFFREY SAYS, I GUESS AT LEAST IN MY MIND, FROM THAT DERELICTION FROM THE DWD. PERSONALLY, I THINK SOME OF THE PEOPLE, THE PUBLIC THAT HAS SPOKEN, ACT AS IF THE OTHER PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO TAKE IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING AWAY ALTOGETHER, WHICH IS NOT THE CASE. THE CLERK IS ASKING FOR EXPANDED VOTING, WHICH I AM AGAINST ALSO. I BELIEVE WE ALREADY HAVE TWO WEEKS IN-PERSON VOTING. IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING IS A PRIVILEGE AND NOT A RIGHT. VOTING IS A RIGHT. WE HAD DONE IT FOR MANY YEARS WITH NO IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING, OR ONLY BY PROOF OF ABSENTEEISM OR UNABLE TO GET TO THE POLLS. WE CERTAINLY HAVE HAD EXPANDED VOTING MUCH LARGER THAN WE HAVE HAD IN YEARS PREVIOUSLY IN THE PAST. SO I THINK MS ANGUS' POINT IS THAT IN-PERSON OR ALL ABSENTEE VOTING DOES HAVE A MUCH GREATER COST TO THE CITY AND THE TAXPAYERS. AND I BELIEVE ONE OF THE COMMENTS WAS IT'S NOT A PRIORITY. WELL, I THINK VOTING, IF IT'S IMPORTANT IS, AND SHOULD BE A PRIORITY. I THINK EVERYBODY HAS THEIR OWN PRIORITIES. AND I TOO WORK FULL TIME. I TOO TAKE CARE OF ELDERLY PARENTS. I TOO HAVE OTHER COMMITMENTS OUTSIDE OF MY WORK AND MY PARENTS. AND I HAVE, I THINK I'VE VOTED IN MOST EVERY SINGLE ELECTION FOR THE LAST 20 YEARS OR MORE. SO I CERTAINLY THINK THAT WHAT OUR CITY ALREADY OFFERS IS AGAIN, AS A PRIVILEGE, HAS QUITE A FEW OPPORTUNITIES AND OPTIONS FOR VOTING TO HAPPEN. SO THAT'S WHAT I HAVE TO SAY. THANK YOU.

- OKAY, THANKS, MS. ROESER. IS THERE ANY QUESTIONS? I DON'T KNOW. OKAY. DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER SPEAKERS WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THE COMMITTEE? ANYBODY? YOU CAN YOU EITHER SPEAK UP OR RAISE YOUR HAND. OKAY. I DON'T SEE ANYTHING. SO I GUESS I'D ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO CLOSE THE FLOOR.

- SO MOVED.

- OKAY. MOTION MADE BY ALDER GALVIN, SECOND BY MYSELF, ALDER BRUNETTE.

- I WAS ON MUTE. SORRY.

- NO WORRIES. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SIGNIFY BY SAYING AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. SO I'LL KIND OF OPEN THIS UP TO ALDER GALVIN OR HUTCHISON. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, COMMENTS.

- I DO HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS, BUT I'LL KIND OF GO LAST, IF THAT'S OKAY.

- YEAH, I, I'M A LITTLE, I DON'T KNOW. IT'S OUR RIGHT TO VOTE. IT'S A PRIVILEGE TO VOTE ABSENTEE OR TO VOTE EARLY. SO WE'VE GRANTED THAT PRIVILEGE TO VOTERS IN GREEN BAY FOR YEARS AND YEARS. SUDDENLY BECAUSE OF THE LAST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION, AND LET'S NOT HIDE BEHIND, WE'RE THINKING ABOUT THAT KIND OF STUFF. IT'S SINCE THIS LAST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION THAT EVERYONE'S REALLY BECOME CONCERNED ABSENTEE BALLOT, BALLOT BOXES, IN-PERSON, VOTER ID, ALL THAT OTHER KIND OF STUFF. AND IT'S JUST INTERESTING TO HEAR PEOPLE SAY THAT THEY THINK IT'S YOUR RIGHT TO VOTE, BUT IT'S A PRIVILEGE TO VOTE EARLIER OR TO VOTE ABSENTEE, AND WE'RE GONNA RESTRICT THAT PRIVILEGE, BECAUSE, AND I HAVEN'T HEARD ANYONE COME UP WITH A GOOD REASON WHY WE SHOULDN'T EXTEND THE PRIVILEGE TO VOTE AS MUCH AS WE CAN. AGAIN, LOOKING FOR AS MANY PEOPLE IN THIS UNITED STATES, TO OPINION BY VOTING FOR THE PERSON THEY WANT TO REPRESENT THEM. WHY PRIVILEGE AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE? I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE RESISTANCE. IT MAKES ME SUSPICIOUS AS TO WHAT THE TRUE REASONS ARE AND THAT PEOPLE ARE AFRAID TO VOICE WHAT THOSE TRUE REASONS ARE PUBLICLY. AND I'M SHOCKED. WE TALK ABOUT IT'S JUST NOT WORTH IT, FINANCIALLY. WHAT IS THE PRICE THAT YOU PUT ON THE RIGHT TO VOTE? WHAT IS THE PRICE YOU PUT ON THE PRIVILEGE TO BE ABLE TO VOTE? WE DON'T KNOW. LIKE, AGAIN, I LIVE IN MY WORLD RIGHT HERE, FOUR KIDS, WIFE, I HAVE A MOTHER IN A NURSING HOME. THAT'S ME. THERE ARE MANY OTHER DIFFERENT VARIATIONS OUT THERE. WE CAN'T POSSIBLY IMAGINE WHAT OTHER PEOPLE ARE GOING THROUGH DAY TO DAY, BECAUSE NOT EVERYBODY, AS ONE OF MY CONSTITUENTS SAID, NOT EVERYONE HAS A MAILBOX. NOT EVERYONE HAS THE ABILITY TO GET AN ABSENTEE BALLOT. NOT EVERYBODY HAS A CAR. AND WHEN MY DAUGHTER HAD TO TAKE A CITY BUS TO GET TO WORK A DISTANCE OF A MILE AND A HALF, AND LOOK, I'M NOT KNOCKING OUR BUS SYSTEM, BUT WHEN IT TOOK HER AN HOUR AND A HALF TO GET TO WORK BY THE CITY BUS SYSTEM, WE'RE JUST TELLING PEOPLE THAT, "HEY, YEAH, YOU'RE GONNA GET THREE HOURS OFF OF WORK "TO GO VOTE, BUT YOU'VE GOTTA TAKE A CITY BUS TO GET THERE." AND SO WHAT HAPPENS IF IT GOES OVER THREE HOURS? LET'S BE REALISTIC. IT'S NOT EASY TO GET AROUND . IT'S NOT EASY TO GET AROUND IN THE MIDDLE OF THE WINTER. IT'S NOT EASY TO GO TO A POLLING PLACE AND FIND OUT YOU'VE GOTTA WAIT AN HOUR IN LINE. AS WE SIT UP IN OUR LITTLE HOUSES IN OUR NICE NEIGHBORHOODS AND EVERYTHING ELSE, BUT WE DON'T KNOW WHAT EVERYONE IS GOING THROUGH, AND TO TRY TO PUT OUR VALUES ON EVERYBODY ELSE IS WRONG. IF ANYTHING, WE SHOULD BE FIGHTING TO TRY AND EXPAND VOTING AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE SO EVERY AMERICAN HAS THE ABILITY TO VOTE. THAT'S, THANK YOU.

- OKAY. ALDER HUTCHISON, DO YOU HAVE ANY COMMENTS, QUESTIONS?

- THANK YOU, ALDER GALVIN. I AGREE WITH WHAT HE SAID. PERTAINING TO THIS ISSUE BEFORE US, AGAIN, I'M GONNA SAY, WHAT'S BEING ASKED FOR IS A FINITE AMOUNT OF DOLLARS. I THINK IT'S TOTALLY APPROPRIATE. AND I THINK WE HAVE TO HAVE RESOURCES DURING VOTING THAT ARE ENOUGH TO SATISFY WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. AND SO I THINK WHAT'S BEING PROPOSED IS NOT WAY OUTTA WHACK OR ANYTHING. THANK YOU.

- OKAY. THANK YOU. CLERK JEFFREYS, I DO HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS THAT ARE RELATED. I'M NOT GONNA ASK ALL OF THE QUESTIONS THAT MS. ANGUS DIRECTED TOWARDS YOU. BUT ONE QUESTION, THOUGH, IS WHAT CHANGED? YOU CREATED YOUR BUDGET LAST YEAR, WENT THROUGH THE PROCESS. YOU DIDN'T INDICATE ANY NEED FOR THIS EXPANDED IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING. WHAT CHANGED SINCE OCTOBER OF LAST YEAR?

- SO I HAD HAD, I WANTED TO EXPAND THE PLACES THAT WE COULD DO IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING. AND GIVEN THE GUIDANCE FROM THE WEC THAT YOU CAN ONLY HAVE EITHER ALTERNATE LOCATIONS OR THE CLERK'S OFFICE. I WAS NOT PREPARED TO HAVE ONLY ALTERNATE LOCATIONS. SO I NEEDED TO DO IT AT THE CLERK'S OFFICE. AND THAT REALLY IS FOR THE EXTENDED HOURS. AND LET ME JUST BE VERY CLEAR ABOUT SOMETHING. JUST GOING BACK TO MY BUDGET. THE TEMP HELP, I SAID 174 HOURS, SO IT'S 80 HOURS FOR AUGUST, WHICH IS AN ADDITION OF FOUR HOURS, AND MAYBE FOUR HOURS FOR NOVEMBER, WHICH IS AN ADDITION OF 14 HOURS. SO I'M STILL GONNA DO IN-PERSON ABSENTEE VOTING. I JUST WANTED TO EXPAND INTO THE EVENINGS, AS I SAID, TO GIVE VOTERS MORE CHOICES TO FIT THE VARIOUS LIFESTYLES, VARIOUS SHIFTS THAT WE HAVE IN THE CITY OF GREEN BAY. THAT'S $7,800 OF WHAT I'M ASKING FOR. NOW, $7,800, OF 204, AND I HAVE 192. SO, WHAT I REALLY, WELL I WANT LOTS OF THINGS IN THIS BUDGET ASK, THIS CONTINGENCY REQUEST, AND I APPRECIATE YOUR CONSIDERATION. BUT I WANT TO ALSO INCREASE POLL WORKER PAY, BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT. SO HOPEFULLY THAT ANSWERS YOUR QUESTION AND PROVIDES A LITTLE EXTRA INFORMATION.

- IT GIVES SOME REFERENCE. SO I'M GONNA ASK A VERY DIRECT QUESTION. AND PLEASE GIVE ME A DIRECT ANSWER IF YOU COULD.

- I ALWAYS DO.

- YEAH. HAS ANYBODY IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE, AND THIS GETS TO MS. ANGUS' QUESTION, COULD TELL US IF ANY POLITICAL PARTY, ANY INTEREST GROUP, ANY VOTER ADVOCACY GROUP REACHED OUT TO EITHER YOU, ANY EMPLOYEE IN YOUR OFFICE, OR ANYBODY, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, IN THE MAYOR'S OFFICE, ABOUT THIS REQUEST?

- WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY REACHED OUT TO? SO I CAN'T ANSWER--

- EMAIL, PHONE CALL, CONVERSATION, CATCHING YOU IN THE HALLWAY OF CITY HALL. HAVE YOU HAD ANY CONVERSATIONS WITH ANYBODY, ANY INTEREST GROUP, POLITICAL PARTY, ADVOCACY GROUP, ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC REQUEST?

- ABOUT THIS SPECIFIC REQUEST, NO. LET ME BE REALLY CLEAR, THOUGH. I DON'T WANT YOU TO GET TANGLED UP IN SOME UNDERSTANDING THAT THESE ARE NOT MY IDEAS. OKAY? I WANT THERE TO BE LOTS OF OPTIONS FOR VOTERS IN THE CITY OF GREEN BAY. VOTING IS A HABIT. AND I THINK THAT ALDERMAN ECK HAD REFERRED TO THAT. HABITS COME BY FROM MANY DIFFERENT AVENUES, AS YOU KNOW. THERE'S LOTS OF RESEARCH ABOUT HOW ONE CAN INCULCATE GOOD HABITS. AND SO PROVIDING CHOICES, I THINK, FOR PEOPLE TO HELP THEM DEVELOP THOSE GOOD HABITS IS WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO DO FOR VOTERS IN GREEN BAY. SO, I DON'T WANT YOU TO, LIKE I SAID, GET TANGLED UP THAT SOMEHOW THIS IS SOMEBODY ELSE'S IDEA. I CERTAINLY DON'T NEED SOMEBODY ELSE'S IDEA. THIS IS MY IDEA.

- OKAY.

- DID I HAVE CONVERSATIONS WITH PEOPLE IN ADVANCE OF MAKING THIS BUDGET? NO, I DID NOT. THESE ARE MY IDEAS.

- IN APRIL, AND AGAIN, I DON'T WANT ANY UNPLEASANT SURPRISES DOWN THE ROAD. I KNOW WHAT HAPPENED IN THE PAST ELECTIONS, AND I WISH I WOULD'VE ASKED A LOT MORE POINTED QUESTIONS BACK THEN, 'CAUSE IT, IN MY OPINION, IT WOULD'VE SAVED THE CITY A LOT OF HASSLE. ONE THING I'M GONNA ASK YOU DIRECTLY. IN APRIL THERE WAS THIS GROUP CALLED THE BON IVER INSTITUTE OR SOMETHING. THEY HAD SOMETHING AT ON BROADWAY. IT IS ONE OF THE ORGANIZATIONS, ON BROADWAY WAS KIND OF HOSTING THEM FOR A WHILE. HAVE YOU HAD ANY DISCUSSIONS WITH ANYBODY FROM THE BON IVER INSTITUTE OR WHATEVER THAT GROUP WAS? DO YOU KNOW WHICH GROUP I'M REFERRING TO?

- ONLY BECAUSE I SAW THEIR YARD SIGNS INAPPROPRIATELY PLACED IN THE CITY RIGHT-OF-WAY, BUT NO, I DIDN'T HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH THEM.

- NOBODY FROM THAT GROUP? OKAY. AGAIN, I KNOW YOU MAY THINK I'M GRILLING YOU, BUT I JUST, I DON'T WANT ANYTHING TO CAUSE TROUBLE FOR THE CITY DOWN THE ROAD. SO NO OTHER QUESTIONS FOR YOU. BUT I WILL MAKE SOME COMMENTS. ALDER GALVIN, YOU ARE CORRECT. I WANT EVERYONE TO VOTE. THAT'S ALWAYS BEEN THE CASE. THE QUESTION IS, AND WHAT I'VE BEEN SKEPTICAL ABOUT IN THE PAST WAS THAT WHEN MUNICIPALITIES KIND OF CAVE INTO THE DEMANDS OF INTEREST GROUPS OR POLITICAL PARTIES, IS A CITY MAKING THE BEST DECISION WITH VOTERS IN MIND, OR ARE THE MOST VOCAL VOICES OF MOVEMENTS RISING TO THE LEVEL WHICH IS CHANGING PUBLIC POLICY? AND I WANT ALL ELECTIONS TO BE FAIR AND EQUAL. I DON'T WANT ANY POLITICAL PARTISAN INVOLVEMENT IN RUNNING OUR ELECTIONS, IF WE AT ALL CAN AVOID IT. AND I'M NOT SAYING THAT'S WHAT'S HAPPENING HERE, BUT I'D RATHER ASK THE QUESTIONS NOW THAN REMAIN SILENT AND THEN REGRET IT. I GREW UP IN A SITUATION WHERE NO AT ALL. AND KIND OF NOT WANTING TO OPENLY ADMIT THAT, BUT EVERYONE MAKES THEIR CHOICES. SO I VOTED FOR THE FIRST TIME I THINK WHEN I WAS 20. I HAD THE INITIATIVE AND THE DRIVE TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO VOTE. AND THE CITY FOUND A WAY TO, I FOUND A WAY TO VOTE. NOW IS EVERYONE IN THAT SITUATION? PERHAPS NOT. BUT TO SAY THAT A PERSON IS NOT ABLE TO VOTE WITH THE CURRENT METHODS, THE POLLING LOCATIONS, 41 LOCATIONS UNTIL RECENTLY. ABSENTEE BALLOTS HAVE ALWAYS BEEN AVAILABLE, IN-PERSON ABSENTEE

BALLOT VOTING IN CITY HALL. WE HAVE ALWAYS HAD THE OPPORTUNITY FOR PEOPLE TO VOTE. AND I'LL BE HONEST, IN ALL MY YEARS IN PUBLIC OFFICE, NOT A SINGLE PERSON, WHETHER AT THE DOOR, IN-PERSON, PHONE CALL, HAD HAD ANY DIFFICULTY WITH VOTING. I'M NOT SAYING THAT MY SITUATION'S ANY DIFFERENT THAN ANYONE ELSE'S, AND MAYBE I'M NOT AWARE OF THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES. THAT COULD BE THE CASE. BUT WHEN, AT THIS KIND OF LATE HOUR, WE'RE LOOKING TO ADD ADDITIONAL DOLLARS TO BUDGET FROM CONTINGENCY FUND, IT JUST FEELS TO ME THAT MAYBE THERE ARE POLITICAL FORCES BEHIND THE SCENES THAT ARE LEADING TO THIS CHANGE. AND QUITE FRANKLY, I DO NOT LIKE SOME GROUPS LIKE, I'M NOT GONNA MENTION THEIR NAME AGAIN, BUT THERE WERE A LOT OF MISLEADING THINGS POSTED ON FACEBOOK FROM ONE PARTICULAR GROUP. AND LOW AND BEHOLD A MONTH OR TWO LATER, WE HAVE A REQUEST TO EXPAND ACCESS TO THE VOTE IN THIS MANNER. SO I JUST WANT TO REMAIN AS NEUTRAL AS POSSIBLE. I DON'T HAVE ANY ISSUES WITH THE WAY THE CITY HAS BEEN CONDUCTING ELECTIONS PRIOR TO THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS. AND SO I'LL LEAVE IT UP TO ALDER GALVIN OR HUTCHISON, IF YOU WANTED TO MAKE A MOTION, UNLESS THERE'S ANOTHER COUNCIL MEMBER THAT WANTS TO MAKE A COMMENT.

- WELL, ALDER BRUNETTE, I AGREE WITH YOU TO AN EXTENT, TO WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. MY FAMILY VOTED A LOT. BUT THERE WERE ACTUALLY TIMES THAT I GOT TIED UP IN LIFE AND MISSED VOTING. AND TO ME, THAT'S AWFUL. AND I'M ASHAMED THAT I DID THAT. I THINK WE OFFER PEOPLE THAT LIVE HERE, CITIZENS OF THIS COUNTRY, AS MUCH OPPORTUNITY AS POSSIBLE TO VOTE. AND IF THEY DON'T VOTE, SHAME ON THEM. AND YOU'VE HEARD IT BEFORE. YOU HAVE NO RIGHT TO COMPLAIN ABOUT WHAT'S HAPPENING IN YOUR CITY OR YOUR COUNTY OR YOUR STATE OR YOUR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, IF YOU DON'T GO OUT AND EXERCISE THAT RIGHT TO VOTE. AND IT'S EMBARRASSING IF WE DO OFFER AS MUCH OPPORTUNITIES AS WE DO AND PEOPLE DON'T TAKE ADVANTAGE OF IT, FOR WHATEVER REASON. I UNDERSTAND WHERE COST CAN BE AN ISSUE HERE. BUT I DON'T, I'M HAVING A HARD TIME WRAPPING MY HEAD AROUND TRYING TO UNDERSTAND HOW EARLY ABSENTEE VOTING AFFECTS ONE POLITICAL PARTY OR THE OTHER, ADVERSELY OR POSITIVELY. TO ME, EVERY OPPORTUNITY THAT SOMEONE HAS TO VOTE JUST MEANS ONE MORE PERSON GETS TO EXPRESS WHAT THEY WANT TO SEE THEIR CITY. AND FOR POLITICAL PARTIES TO GET INVOLVED WITH THIS, TO TRY AND STYMIE THIS, TO ME IS EMBARRASSING. AND THEY SHOULD BE ASHAMED OF THEMSELVES THAT THEY WOULD TRY TO RESTRICT A CITIZEN'S ABILITY TO VOTE. AND SO I'LL, UNLESS ANYONE ELSE HAS A COMMENT, I'LL MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THIS.

- I'LL SECOND.

- OKAY, I HAVE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR, A SECOND BY ALDER HUTCHISON. I'M NOT GONNA SUPPORT THIS MOTION, BUT I WILL SUPPORT PART OF IT, AND THAT WOULD BE THE BALLOTS AND THE ENVELOPES. I JUST FEEL A LITTLE UNEASY WITH SOME OF THE POLITICAL, AND YOU'RE RIGHT, ALDER GALVIN, I DON'T WANT TO MAKE THIS AN R VERSUS D THING. WE SHOULD REMAIN AS NEUTRAL AND BALANCED AS POSSIBLE, BUT I CAN'T IGNORE POLITICAL ACTIVITY THAT HAS INFLUENCED CITY ELECTIONS AND EVEN CITY COUNCIL RACES. SO WHEN WE HAVE GROUPS THAT ARE PROMOTING THIS, IT JUST MAKES ME FEEL THERE'S A DEEPER ELEMENT TO THIS THAN PERHAPS WE'RE BEING TOLD.

SO RIGHT NOW I'M GONNA VOTE NO, BUT THAT DOESN'T MEAN I WON'T CHANGE MY MIND IF CAN DIG INTO THIS A LITTLE DEEPER. SO WITH THAT, WE HAVE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR. ANY COMMENTS FROM ALDERS? OKAY. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? NO. MOTION CARRIES. THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU TO ALL OF THE SPEAKERS. IT WAS GREAT HEARING FROM YOU ALL. NEXT ON THE AGENDA IS, FOR CONSIDERATION WITH POSSIBLE ACTION ON A MOTION BY ALDER GALVIN FOR A REPORT WITH BULLET POINTS, EXPLAINING WHAT THE CITY DID WITH THE MONIES AS IT RELATES TO VOTER OUTREACH, VOTER EDUCATION OUTREACH, AND REGISTRATION. ALDER GALVIN, IF YOU WANT TO TAKE THAT.

- SURE, BASICALLY I'M LOOKING FOR INFORMATION THAT, WITH THE VOTER OUTREACH, VOTER EDUCATION, AND REGISTRATION, I WAS LOOKING TO SEE, DID THE CITY TARGET ANY SPECIFIC GROUP, WHETHER IT BE RACE, GENDER, AFFILIATION, ANYTHING LIKE THAT? BECAUSE I WOULD PERSONALLY HOPE THAT IF THE CITY IS REACHING OUT FOR EDUCATION, THAT EVERY SINGLE ADDRESS IN THE CITY OF GREEN BAY GOT THE SAME MATERIAL, AND THAT IF IT WAS OUTREACH, THAT THEY OUTREACHED EVERY SINGLE ADDRESS AND EVERY VOTER IN THE CITY OF GREEN BAY WITH THE SAME INFORMATION, AND THE SAME THING WITH REGISTRATION. SO THAT'S WHAT I'M HOPING TO HEAR.

- OKAY. THANK YOU, ALDER GALVIN. IS THERE A MEMBER OF STAFF WHO WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THIS?

- WELL, I WORKED ON THE ATTACHMENT. THERE WERE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS THAT ALDER GALVIN HAD ASKED AS A RESULT OF YOUR LAST MEETING. UNFORTUNATELY, ALDER GALVIN, I DID NOT GET A QUESTION ABOUT REGISTRATION.

- WELL, IT WAS ON THE, I'M SORRY. IT WAS ON THE MOTION HERE, ON REGISTRATIONS.

- OH, OKAY. SO I'M SORRY I MISSED THAT. SO JUST TO REITERATE THAT THE FINAL, THE ACCOUNTING FOR THE CTCL GRANT, CENTER FOR TECH AND CIVIC LIFE, FROM 2020, SO ALMOST TWO YEARS AGO, THAT IS ON OUR WEBSITE. IT'S BEEN ON OUR WEBSITE FOR WELL OVER A YEAR AND A HALF. AND SO THAT'S ESSENTIALLY HOW THE MONEY WAS SPENT. IT'S ALREADY OUT THERE. BUT, TO SORT OF SUMMARIZE SOME OF WHAT THE QUESTIONS WERE FROM THE LAST MEETING. HOW DO WE DO OUTREACH NGOS? ALSO, THOSE ARE NON-GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES, NGO STANDS FOR, ALSO KNOWN AS NON-PROFITS. IF WE DID, WHO WERE THEY? WHAT DID WE DO WITH THEM? ANOTHER QUESTION WAS, IF WE WORKED ALONE, WHAT DID THE OUTREACH EDUCATION LOOK LIKE? BROCHURES, MAILING, SOCIAL MEDIA, AND THE LIKE. AND DID WE FOCUS ON ANY SPECIFIC GROUPS, SUCH AS NON-ENGLISH SPEAKING, POLITICAL AFFILIATION, RELIGIOUS, INCOME LEVEL, OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT? OR DID WE JUST DO TO THEM ALL? SO THE OUTREACH AND EDUCATION WAS A MEDIA PLAN CREATED BY KW2-HVS THAT TOTAL COSTS $100,000, OR 8% OF THE TOTAL GRANT DOLLARS SPENT, WHICH IS BASICALLY $1.2 MILLION. THEIR FINAL REPORT IS ATTACHED TO THE. NOT VERY MANY. COVO, WHICH IS THE COALITION OF VOTING ORGANIZATIONS. THEY'RE VERY LOCAL. I'M NOT SURE WHAT THAT STANDS FOR. THEY WORK WITH PEOPLE WHO ARE SOMALI. WE HAD

GRANTED THEM FUNDS FOR A TABLET, A WI-FI HOTSPOT, AND A TENT. THESE ORGANIZATIONS ALREADY WORK WITH VOTERS TO HELP EDUCATE THEM. AND SO ESSENTIALLY THEY WERE ABLE TO HELP VOTERS ACCESS THE STATE DATABASE, MYVOTE.WI.GOV. WE ALSO WORKED WITH AGENCIES THAT HELPED THE HOMELESS. THERE WERE TWO OF THEM, GOLDEN HOUSE AND HOUSE OF HOPE. THEY SUBMITTED REQUESTS FOR REIMBURSEMENTS TO HELP CITIZENS OBTAIN DOCUMENTS SO THEY COULD REGISTER TO VOTE. SOME PEOPLE NEED BIRTH CERTIFICATES AND THE LIKE IN ORDER TO GET DOCUMENTS IN ORDER TO REGISTER TO VOTE, AND SUCCESSFULLY CAST A BALLOT. SO THE TOTAL COST OF THAT WAS OR 0.2% OF THE GRANT DOLLARS. SO WE ALSO DID SOME OF OUR OWN OUTREACH AND EDUCATION. WE SENT ONE MASS MAILING, WHICH WE DESIGNED. WE CREATED A PHOTO GUIDE IN WHICH WE STILL HAVE. IT DOESN'T LOOK AS PRETTY. WHICH WE STILL HAVE IT. WE ALSO DID SOME "I VOTED" STICKERS. AN "I VOTED" MURAL, "I VOTED" SELFIE MURAL, AND WE ALSO HAD DIGITAL GEOFENCING. THEN FINALLY, DID WE FOCUS ON ANY SPECIFIC GROUPS? SO, AS I SAID, WE DID DO SOME, WE DID THE VOTER GUIDES IN FOUR LANGUAGES, WHICH WE STILL HAVE, INCLUDING ENGLISH. KW2-HBS CREATED ADS IN SPANISH. WE DO NOT FOCUS ON POLITICAL AFFILIATION, INCOME, RELIGIOUS AFFILIATION. THIS INFORMATION IS NOT AVAILABLE TO THE CLERK'S OFFICE THROUGH STATE DATABASES, SO WE COULDN'T GET THAT. THAT IS REALLY THE PURVIEW OF THE POLITICAL PARTIES. WE ALSO VERY IMPORTANTLY MAILED A POSTCARD TO ALL RESIDENTS. AGAIN, THERE'S THE UNIVERSE OF VOTERS WHO ARE REGISTERED AND THEN THERE'S THE UNIVERSE OF VOTERS WHO ARE ELIGIBLE. AND SO WE SENT POSTCARDS, ESPECIALLY BECAUSE OF PANDEMIC, TO ALL RESIDENTS IN THE CITY GREEN BAY, FOR THAT. SO THOSE TOTAL COSTS WERE ABOUT 0.4% OF THE GRANT DOLLARS. SO WE DIDN'T SPEND A TON OF MONEY. THE BULK OF THE VOTER OUTREACH WENT TO KW2-HBS.

- ALDER GALVIN.

- THANK YOU. IT ANSWERS MY QUESTIONS. I'M NOT SEEING ANYTHING THAT MAKES ME THINK WE TRIED TO FOCUS ON ONE POLITICAL GROUP OR ONE SEGMENT OF OUR POPULATION THAT WE THINK IS GONNA VOTE IN A CERTAIN WAY OR NOT. IT LOOKS LIKE WE TRIED TO COVER ALL THE MAJOR, AT LEAST MOST VOTERS IN OUR CITY. WE TRIED TO GET TO EVERYBODY, AND GET AS MANY TO VOTE AS POSSIBLE. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

- ALDER HUTCHISON, ANY COMMENTS?

- NO. IT WAS GOOD TO SEE THE INFORMATION JUST FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF WHAT ACTUALLY OCCURRED VERSUS MAYBE WHAT MAY HAVE BEEN SAID. SO I THANK THAT THE STAFF FOR PUTTING THAT TOGETHER.

- THANK YOU. WHAT I'LL SAY IS . CLERK JEFFREYS FOR CREATING THIS. A LOT OF THIS WAS. I'VE SEEN THIS PARTICULAR PERFORMANCE BY A FEATURE NETWORK, BACKED SOME OF THIS OPEN RECORD STUFF, IS PART OF A COMPLAINT AGAINST THE CITY, SOME OF WHICH ARE UNRESOLVED. IF I WAS LOOKING AT THIS, AND AGAIN, I VOTED AGAINST USING THE $150,000 IN SEPTEMBER, 2020, FOR THIS PURPOSE, BECAUSE MY THOUGHT WAS, EVEN THOUGH WE'RE TARGETING CERTAIN LANGUAGE SPEAKERS, WE'RE NOT TARGETING ALL. AND I KNOW AT SOME POINT YOU NEED TO DRAW THE LINE AND SAY

SOMALI, HMONG, SPANISH, ENGLISH. BUT THEN THE REASON I FELT LIKE WE SHOULDN'T HAVE TAKEN THIS MONEY IS, THEN WHAT ABOUT THE FRENCH? WHAT ABOUT GERMAN? WHAT ABOUT JAPANESE? WHAT ABOUT CHINESE? WHAT ABOUT ALL THE OTHER LANGUAGES? ARE WE TAKING THE EFFORT TO REACH OUT TO THEM EQUALLY? AND I KNOW AT SOME POINT YOU HAVE TO DRAW THE LINE WITH BEING REALISTIC VERSUS YOUR RESOURCES. SO THAT'S WHY I FELT LIKE CITIES GOING OUT OF. PUTS THE CITY IN THE POSITION WHERE WE'LL HAVE TO DEFEND OURSELVES AGAINST ACCUSATIONS OF ELECTIONEERING USING PRIVATE AND EVEN CITY RESOURCES. NOW, IF I WAS LOOKING AT THIS FROM A VERY CYNICAL PERSPECTIVE OF, SAY, A CONSERVATIVE PERSON, AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT 30.75% OF THE ADS TO FOX NEWS VERSUS CNN IS 82% AND 99% FOR CNNGO, UNLESS YOU'RE LIVING UNDER A ROCK, YOU KNOW THAT FOX NEWS TENDS TO GET THE REPUTATION OF BEING A CONSERVATIVE NETWORK, WHEREAS CNN IS THE MORE LIBERAL NETWORK. HOW MANY ADS PLACED WITH ONE NETWORK VERSUS OTHER NETWORKS? THOSE ARE THE SORTS OF THINGS THAT MAKE ME VERY UNCOMFORTABLE. AND NOT TO GO BACK TO THAT PREVIOUS ISSUE, THIS IS WHY I'M ASKING ALL THESE QUESTIONS NOW, BECAUSE I NEVER, AND AFTER THIS NOVEMBER ELECTION, I NEVER WANT THE CITY TO BE IN A POSITION WHERE WE HAVE TO DEFEND OURSELVES, WHETHER FAIR OR NOT. JUST REMOVE ALL INDICATIONS OF IMPROPRIETY OR CONNECTIONS TO PARTISAN OR OTHER GET OUT THE VOTE GROUPS. SO ANYWAYS, THANK YOU FOR THIS, ALDER GALVIN. FOR SOME OF US WHO HAVE BEEN ON COUNCIL FOR A WHILE IT'S NOT NEW INFORMATION, BUT IT'S GOOD TO REVIEW FROM TIME TO TIME. OKAY.

- OKAY.

- ANY QUESTIONS, COMMENTS FROM OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS? OTHERWISE, THAT ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

- MOTION TO RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

- OKAY.

- SECOND.

- MOTION BY GALVIN TO RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE, SECOND BY HUTCHISON. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. ITEM SEVEN TO REFER TO STAFF, LAW AND FINANCE, TO CONTINUE TO, QUOTE, "CREATE A POLICY "OR AMEND AN EXISTING POLICY TO PROHIBIT CITY PERSONNEL "FROM THE OFFICES OF CLERK, MAYOR, LAW, FINANCE, IT, "IN SOLICITING, ACCEPTING, OR USING ANY DONATION "IN THE FORM OF MONEY, GRANTS, PROPERTY, "OR PERSONAL SERVICES FROM", IT SAYS FORM, BUT, "FROM AN INDIVIDUAL OR A NON-GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY "FOR THE PURPOSE OF FUNDING ELECTION RELATED EXPENSES, "VOTER EDUCATION", NOTER OUTREACH SHOULD BE "VOTER OUTREACH "OR VOTER REGISTRATION PROGRAMS "WITH THE EXCEPTION OF COMPENSATION OF POLL WORKERS, "SECURING LEASES FOR POLLING LOCATIONS, "AND

PPE FOR VOTERS AND VOLUNTEERS." THIS KIND OF WAS KICKED AROUND A FEW TIMES FOR GOOD REASON, BUT THIS IS FROM THE MAY 24TH MEETING. IS THIS ATTORNEY BUNGERT OR?

- YES, I CAN SPEAK TO IT FIRST. ESSENTIALLY, AS I HAD INDICATED AT OUR LAST COMMITTEE MEETING, WE STARTED REVIEWING THE GRANT POLICY, WHICH ACTUALLY WAS STRUCTURED SPECIFICALLY FOR GOVERNMENTAL GRANTS. AND BECAUSE WE WERE IMPLEMENTING ESSENTIALLY A RESTRICTION OR A NARROWING IN SCOPE FOR NON-GOVERNMENTAL GRANTS, IT MADE SENSE THAT WE NEEDED TO HAVE A PROCEDURE IN PLACE THAT ADDRESSED NON-GOVERNMENTAL GRANTS. AND IN DOING RESEARCH, WE DETERMINED THAT THE EASIEST THING WAS TO BASICALLY MIRROR THE SAME PROCESS. SO THE SAME PROCESS APPLIES TO, AND WE DID A LITTLE BIT OF JUST SOME CLEANUP LANGUAGE AS WELL. I INCLUDED BOTH THE RED LINE TRACK CHANGE VERSION, TO BE ABLE TO KIND OF FOLLOW ALONG WHAT CHANGES OCCURRED, AND THEN A CLEAN VERSION FOR ADOPTION. AND SO ESSENTIALLY THE SAME POLICY THAT HAS EXISTED EXISTS FOR BOTH GOVERNMENTAL AND NON-GOVERNMENTAL GRANTS. AND THEN THE INCLUSION OF WHAT ALDER BRUNETTE'S QUERY WAS, IN THE GOVERNMENTAL GRANT SECTION, THERE IS UNDER, JUST PULLING IT UP RIGHT NOW FOR MY OWN REFERENCE, EXCEPTIONAL OR SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, IT HAS THE LANGUAGE LIMITATION ON RESOURCES FOR ELECTIONS, SO THAT IT IS APPLICABLE TO ALL DEPARTMENTS, NOT TO SPECIFIC DEPARTMENTS, AS ALL POLICIES HAVE TO BE, OR SHOULD BE, UNLESS THEIR DEPARTMENT, JUST SINGULARLY DEPARTMENT SPECIFIC FOR THOSE DEPARTMENT DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES, THIS PROHIBITION WOULD APPLY TO ALL DEPARTMENTS, AND IT PROVIDES THAT NO DEPARTMENT CAN SOLICIT ANY MONEY, PROPERTY, OR SERVICES FROM ANY NON-GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY FOR ELECTION RELATED EXPENSES, BUT THAT DOES NOT INCLUDE GRANT MONEY OR SERVICES OR PROPERTY AND SUCH FOR VOTER EDUCATION, OR I'M SORRY FOR POLL WORKERS, FOR PURCHASING EQUIPMENT, SECURING LEASES FOR POLLING LOCATIONS. BUT IT DOES SPECIFICALLY EXCEPT VOTER EDUCATION, VOTER OUTREACH, OR VOTER REGISTRATION.

- ATTORNEY BUNGERT, IT SAYS NO DEPARTMENT MAY SOLICIT ANY MONEY, PROPERTY, BLAH, BLAH, BLAH. BUT I REMEMBER IN THE MOTION, I HAD MENTIONED SEVERAL DEPARTMENTS, AND I THINK I HAD MENTIONED OFFICIALS OR PEOPLE WITHIN, LIKE AN EMPLOYEE. OBVIOUSLY THE MAYOR IS NOT, THE MAYOR IS AN ELECTED POSITION, NOT THIS SPECIFIC MAYOR, BUT ANY MAYOR INTO THE FUTURE.

- CORRECT.

- DOES NO DEPARTMENT INCLUDE AN ELECTED PERSON LIKE THE MAYOR? OR AN EMPLOYEE WHO MAY WORK UNDER THE MAYOR OR THE CLERK'S OFFICE?

- RIGHT. SO POLICIES DON'T APPLY TO ELECTED OFFICIALS. THEY WOULD APPLY TO THE ADMINISTRATION OF GOVERNMENT. SO IT WOULD APPLY TO DEPARTMENT HEADS, AND THEN OBVIOUSLY ALL EMPLOYEES AND CITY STAFF WORKING UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF DEPARTMENT HEADS.

- AND AN ELECTED PERSON WOULD BE A DEPARTMENT HEAD?

- AN ELECTED OFFICIAL IS NOT A DEPARTMENT HEAD.

- SO JUST LET ME GIVE A REAL WORLD EXAMPLE HERE. TWO YEARS AGO, AT THIS TIME, GOING BACK IN TIME NOW, THE MAYOR HAD BEEN WORKING WITH OTHER MAYORS AND OTHER MUNICIPALITIES, AND THEY HAD WORKED OUT THIS ARRANGEMENT FOR THE FUNDS. OKAY?

- RIGHT, MM HM.

- SO WITH THIS POLICY, IN THE FUTURE, WOULD THIS POLICY PREVENT THAT FROM HAPPENING AGAIN?

- NO, COUNCIL DOESN'T NECESSARILY, SO COUNCIL DOES HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO SET POLICY WITH RESPECT TO ADMINISTRATION, AND THEN ADMINISTRATION HAS THE AUTHORITY TO EXACT OUT THAT POLICY AND THOSE DIRECTIVES IN THEIR DISCRETION. HOWEVER, COUNCIL WOULDN'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO PREVENT THE EXECUTIVE FROM FULFILLING HIS OR HER DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES IN THEIR CAPACITY.

- SO IN THE FUTURE, IF THE MAYOR, OR ANY MAYOR WERE TO . AND HAVE THOSE MEETINGS WITH OTHER MUNICIPALITIES AND CTCL AND ALL THE OTHER PLAYERS, THAT KIND OF DEFEATS THE WHOLE PURPOSE OF WHAT I WAS INTENDING. WE'RE TRYING TO PREVENT THAT NOW.

- THAT'S RIGHT. AND I DO UNDERSTAND THAT, BUT UNFORTUNATELY, WELL, NOT UNFORTUNATELY, FORTUNATELY, OR I GUESS NEITHER WAY, THE WAY THAT ALL DEMOCRATIC GOVERNMENTS, PARTICULARLY, AT LEAST SPECIFICALLY OUR CITY GOVERNMENT IS STRUCTURED IS THAT THERE ARE SEPARATION OF BRANCHES. THERE IS THE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH, WHICH IS COUNCIL, WHO ARE DELEGATED CERTAIN AUTHORITIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES AND DUTIES UNDER STATE STATUTE AND UNDER OUR ORDINANCES. AND THERE IS THE EXECUTIVE. AND THERE IS THAT SEPARATION AND NEITHER ARM HAS AUTHORITY TO DICTATE OR CURB THAT AUTHORITY OVER THE OTHER, UNLESS THE STATE LEGISLATURE SO ENACTS, BECAUSE THAT'S ESSENTIALLY WHERE THE AUTHORITY IS DERIVED FROM, IS UNDER STATE STATUTE. SO ALL COUNCIL HAS THE AUTHORITY TO DO IS PREVENT OR REGULATE HOW GRANTS ARE SOUGHT, APPLIED FOR, ACCEPTED, APPROVED, WITH RESPECT TO DEPARTMENTS WHO CARRY OUT CITY BUSINESS ON A DAY TO DAY BASIS. AND THAT WAS OUR, JUST TO PUT A POINT ON IT, THAT WAS STAFF'S UNDERSTANDING IN DRAFTING THIS POLICY. IT WAS PREVENTING STAFF OR DEPARTMENTS FROM BEING ABLE TO SOLICIT THESE TYPES OF GRANTS IN THE FUTURE, FOR THESE PARTICULAR PURPOSES.

- NOW, THE MAYOR IS AN ELECTED PERSON, BUT HIS OR HER CITY STAFF ARE NOT ELECTED PEOPLE. THEY'RE CITY EMPLOYEES.

- CORRECT.

- SO WOULD A PERSON WHO REPORTS TO THE MAYOR BE PROHIBITED UNDER THIS POLICY FROM SOLICITING ANY MONEY, PROPERTY, OR SERVICES? BECAUSE HE OR SHE'S NOT ACTING AS A DEPARTMENT, THEY'RE ACTING AS AN INDIVIDUAL IN THAT CAPACITY.

- RIGHT. I MANY, IF I'M NOT SURE IF CHIEF FAULDS IS STILL ON THE LINE, SO I MAY HAVE HIM CHIME IN, AS FAR AS THE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART AND HOW THAT ALL PLAYS OUT. IN MY INTERPRETATION OF THE PROVISION, IT WOULD STILL APPLY TO MAYOR'S OFFICE STAFF, AS THEY STILL ARE A DEPARTMENT.

- DIRECTOR FAULDS, ARE YOU HERE?

- I'M HERE. I DIDN'T GET A CHANCE TO REVIEW THE POLICY THAT WAS BEEN IN PLACE BY THE LAW DEPARTMENT. SO I GUESS I CAN'T WEIGH IN ON THAT, BUT, IF THE POLICY WAS TO PREVENT CITIES FROM ENGAGING IN THIS?

- SO DIRECTOR FAULDS, THE LANGUAGE SPECIFICALLY IS, YEAH, IS NO DEPARTMENT. SO IT DOESN'T SPECIFY NO EMPLOYEES, IT SPECIFIES NO DEPARTMENT MAY SOLICIT ANY MONEY.

- THAT TO ME, WITHOUT GIVING MY LEGAL OPINION, I THINK WOULD GET THE JOB DONE.

- OKAY. JUST GOING BACK TO THE, THE ORIGINAL INTENT WAS TO REFER TO STAFF, LAW, FINANCE, CREATE A POLICY OR AMEND AN EXISTING POLICY TO PROHIBIT CITY PERSONNEL FROM THE OFFICES. SO I KNOW YOU SAY DEPARTMENT, BUT COULDN'T WE JUST AMEND IT AND PUT DEPARTMENT OR CITY PERSONNEL?

- WE CAN ADD THAT TO ADD FURTHER CLARIFICATION. WITH DEPARTMENT JUST BEING BROAD, IT BASICALLY ENCOMPASSED ALL CITY DEPARTMENTS, BUT ADDING IN THAT ADDITIONAL CLARIFIER OF CITY PERSONNEL WOULD JUST FURTHER CLARIFY.

- YEAH. I DON'T WANT TO BELABOR THE POINT, BUT THAT'S REALLY THE INTENT. NOW, AS FAR AS, I DON'T WANT TO GET TOO FAR OFF AND VIOLATE OPEN MEETINGS, BUT ALSO THE FUNDING WOULD ALLOW THE PROVISION OF ADVISORS. I KNOW WE TALKED ABOUT THIS AT THE LAST COUNCIL MEETING, AND YOU SAID THAT THAT COULDN'T NECESSARILY BE INCLUDED IN THIS POLICY. SO ADVISORS ARE NO WAY COVERED. SO TECHNICALLY, YOU TYPICALLY WOULD PAY AN ADVISOR, UNLESS IT'S A FREE ADVISOR, WITH DOLLARS, WHETHER IT BE CITY DOLLARS, GRANT DOLLARS, WHATEVER IT IS, OFFICIALLY COLLECTED BY THE CITY GOVERNMENT. NOW THIS POLICY WOULD NOT PROHIBIT ANY MEMBER OF THE CLERK'S OFFICE OR THE MAYOR'S OFFICE FROM WORKING CLOSELY WITH ADVISORS. WOULD THEY HAVE TO DISCLOSE THAT TO THE COUNCIL?

- SO THE WAY THAT THE LANGUAGE IS STRUCTURED, IT PROVIDES THAT THERE CAN'T BE ANY SOLICITATION OF ANY MONEY, PROPERTY, OR SERVICES. SO SERVICES THAT WOULD BE PROVIDED BY AN ADVISOR WOULD BE, SO IF YOU'RE CONTRACTING OUT TO GET CONSULTATION SERVICES OR ENGINEERING SERVICES, OR ANY OF THOSE TYPES

OF OUTSIDE CONTRACTOR ROLES THAT WOULD FALL WITHIN THE DEFINITION OR WITHIN THE TERM OF SERVICES. SO IT WOULD APPLY BECAUSE OF HOW BROAD SERVICES IS.

- RIGHT. OKAY. I'LL KIND OF END THAT POINT. BUT THE THOUGHT IS, I DON'T WANT ANY MUDDLE. BUT I WOULD NOT WANT ANY ADVISORS INVOLVED IN ANY ASPECT OF OUR ELECTION WITHOUT THE CITY COUNCIL AT LEAST VOTING TO APPROVE THAT.

- SURE, SURE. SO TO ANSWER, I GUESS, THAT QUESTION. THIS PROHIBITION OR THIS ADDITIONAL LANGUAGE WOULD PREVENT ANY DEPARTMENT OR CITY PERSONNEL FROM SEEKING SERVICES FROM ANY NON-GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR INDIVIDUAL WITH RESPECT TO VOTER EDUCATION, VOTER OUTREACH, OR VOTER REGISTRATION. IF AN ORGANIZATION APPROACHES, THIS PROHIBITION WOULD BASICALLY CLICK IN SO THAT IT WOULD NEED TO COME TO COUNCIL BEFORE ANY DISCUSSIONS OR APPLICATIONS ARE SUBMITTED OR ANY KIND OF REVIEW OR FURTHER DISCUSSIONS ARE HAD WITH RESPECT TO CONTRACTING OR HIRING OR ACCEPTING.

- RIGHT. FROM TIME TO TIME, WE'RE GOING TO BE APPROACHED BY PARTIES, CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, TOO. OF COURSE, WE HAVE A OF CONDUCT FOR ELECTION OFFICIALS. THE POINT IS, IS THAT WE CAN'T NECESSARILY CONTROL OTHER GROUPS REACHING OUT TO US, BUT WE MOST CERTAINLY CAN WITH OUR ETHICS SAY THAT DOESN'T FEEL RIGHT. WE'RE NOT GONNA TAKE THIS OUT. WE'RE NOT GONNA ADHERE TO THE DESIRES OF AN INTEREST GROUP. AND THAT'S, AGAIN, THAT'S WHY I MADE SUCH A BIG DEAL ABOUT THE EXPANDED HOURS. IT JUST SEEMS LIKE THERE'S OTHER PARTIES INVOLVED TRYING TO GET THE CITY TO CHANGE THINGS TO BENEFIT THEM POLITICALLY. NOW PEOPLE CAN DISAGREE WITH THAT IF THEY WANT, BUT THAT'S THE WAY I FEEL. I'M JUST TRYING TO PROHIBIT AND PREVENT THAT FROM HAPPENING, AT LEAST ANY ROLE THAT I HAVE IN THAT. SO I'VE SPOKEN LONG ENOUGH. ANY COMMITTEE MEMBER WANT TO SPEAK? ANY QUESTIONS? ALDER GALVIN.

- ATTORNEY BUNGERT, SO IF I UNDERSTAND FROM WHAT I'VE BEEN HEARING, THE NEXT PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION, PRIOR TO THAT, I WIN THAT BIG LOTTERY TICKET OUT THERE FOR A COUPLE OF HUNDRED MILLION DOLLARS. AND I RESIGNED FROM MY POSITION ON THE COUNCIL. I LIVE A LIFE OF LEISURE AND LUXURY. AND ROLLING UP TO THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION I'M FEELING LIKE WE SHOULD DO MORE VOTER OUTREACH. SO I CAN'T APPROACH ANY OF THE PEOPLE LISTED IN THIS PROPOSED POLICY, BUT I COULD APPROACH THE CITY COUNCIL AND SAY, I'D LIKE TO DONATE A MILLION DOLLARS SO THAT THE CITY COULD USE THIS FOR VOTER OUTREACH HOWEVER THEY SEE FIT.

- SO TO CLARIFY THIS, THIS RESTRICTION DOESN'T OPERATE TO PREVENT GROUPS FROM APPROACHING BECAUSE THERE'S NO WAY OF DOING THAT UNLESS WE CODIFY INTO AN ORDINANCE AND THEN HAVE SOME SORT OF FORFEITURE PENALTY FOR A MUNICIPAL CITATION. SO THIS OPERATES SO THAT CITY DEPARTMENTS, CITY PERSONNEL, AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CITY, DO NOT SEEK OUT THESE TYPES OF FUNDING MECHANISMS, GRANTS, SERVICES, DONATIONS, THINGS OF THAT NATURE. ESSENTIALLY, IF THERE WERE FUNDING SOURCES LIKE THIS AVAILABLE, AND CITY STAFF FELT THAT IT WAS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF THE CITY TO APPLY FOR THESE TYPES OF

GRANTS, WE COULD STILL DO THAT. HOWEVER, IT WOULD REQUIRE COUNCIL APPROVAL.

- IS THAT NOT WHAT HAPPENED PRIOR TO THE LAST PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION? WEREN'T WE OFFERED THIS MONEY, AND DIDN'T THE COUNCIL APPROVE IT?

- I CAN'T SPEAK TO HOW IT ORIGINATED. I KNOW IT WAS A COLLABORATIVE EFFORT AMONGST MANY CITIES--

- LET'S SKIP THAT PART.

- IN RESPONSE TO THE PANDEMIC, BUT WHO APPROACHED WHO? BUT ULTIMATELY, YES, THE APPLICATION WAS MADE. AND THEN ONCE THE AWARD WAS GIVEN, THEN THAT GOES TO COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL, REVIEW OF THE CONTRACT, REVIEW OF THE TERMS OF THE GRANT, HOW THE MONEY NEEDED TO BE DISTRIBUTED, WHAT TYPES OF THINGS THE GRANT MONEY WAS GOING FOR, WHO ELSE WAS PARTICIPATING? ALL THOSE DETAILS WERE PRESENTED TO COUNCIL AND COUNCIL VOTED TO APPROVE THAT, ULTIMATELY. SO THIS WOULD BE OPERATING KIND OF PRIOR TO.

- RIGHT, SO WE WOULD, PRIOR TO THE APPLICATION BEING MADE OR ANYTHING ELSE LIKE THAT, COUNCIL WOULD HAVE TO APPROVE EVEN STAFF TO MAKE AN APPLICATION FOR THE GRANT MONEY. IS THAT CORRECT?

- CORRECT.

- SO WE WOULD HAVE TO HAVE ALL OF THAT UPFRONT FIRST, BEFORE WE EVEN DECIDED TO GO AHEAD AND APPLY FOR THE GRANT MONEY?

- CORRECT.

- THAT'S ALL THIS POLICY DOES?

- YES. AND ONLY SPECIFIC TO NON-GOVERNMENTAL GRANTS OR FROM INDIVIDUALS WITH RESPECT TO JUST GRANT OR VOTER OUTREACH, THOSE SPECIFIED CATEGORIES. SO IF STAFF IS MADE AWARE THAT THERE IS A FOUNDATION, NON-GOVERNMENTAL, THAT HAS GRANT DOLLARS AVAILABLE FOR PAYING POLL WORKERS MORE, OR TO SECURE LEASES FOR POLLING LOCATIONS, OR TO BUY MORE TABULATORS AND MORE EQUIPMENT OR MORE ENVELOPES, WHATEVER, IT MAY BE, MORE SUPPLIES, THEN THOSE ARE THINGS THAT STAFF WOULD BE ABLE TO FOLLOW THE REGULAR GRANT PROCESS FOR. SO THEY WOULD BE ABLE TO APPLY, SEE IF WE GET AWARDED, AND THEN STILL, IT WOULD STILL HAVE TO COME TO COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL BECAUSE STAFF, BASED ON OUR GRANT POLICY, STAFF NEVER CAN UNILATERALLY ACCEPT GRANT MONEY.

- RIGHT. SO ONLY IF IT HAS ANYTHING TO DO WITH VOTER OUTREACH, VOTER EDUCATION, OR VOTER REGISTRATION, THEN COUNCIL HAS TO VET IT FIRST, BEFORE WE CAN EVEN APPLY FOR THOSE MONIES?

- CORRECT.

- THAT'S BASICALLY WHAT THIS POLICY SAYS?

- YES.

- ALL RIGHT.

- ALDER HUTCHISON, GO FOR IT.

- YEAH. THANK YOU FOR WRITING THIS TEXT. I WENT TO READ IT RIGHT AFTER THIS ISSUE CAME UP. AND EVEN THOUGH I'M NOT ATTORNEY, I REALIZED THERE WAS NOTHING REGARDING PRIVATE.

- MM HM.

- AND YOU NAILED IT RIGHT ON THE HEAD RIGHT AWAY, WHEN YOU BROUGHT IT FORWARD AND SAID, "OH, WE GOTTA, WE GOTTA WRITE SOME MORE." SO I THINK THAT'S A GOOD THING THAT HAPPENED WITH REGARD TO COVERING THE BASE. SO I THINK, SO NOT ONLY PUBLIC, BUT PRIVATE MONIES ARE NOW COVERED. JUST A GENERAL QUESTION. THE LAST PARAGRAPH IS TAKING INTO ACCOUNT ALDER BRUNETTE'S CONCERNS IN THE NEW TEXT. IS THAT PARAGRAPH NEEDED?

- WELL THAT, FROM AN EFFECTIVENESS STANDPOINT OR EFFECTUATING, ESSENTIALLY, IF ULTIMATELY THE CONCERN IS MAKING SURE THAT THE CITY DOES NOT ACCEPT ANY FUNDING THAT THE CITY, OR THE LEGISLATIVE BODY FOR THE CITY, WHICH IS TASKED IN BASICALLY HANDING ALL CITY FINANCES, DOESN'T WANT TO ACCEPT OR FEELS THAT THERE ARE CONDITIONS THAT THE CITY, WOULD EXPOSE THE CITY TO LIABILITY OR ATTACHING STRINGS THAT WE ARE NOT COMFORTABLE WITH, THAT WITHOUT THIS ADDITIONAL PARAGRAPH, I THINK I DID EXPLAIN THIS AT AN EARLIER COUNCIL MEETING, ALL THAT STILL COMES IN FRONT OF THE BODY. CITY STAFF CAN NEVER ACCEPT ANY GRANT MONEY, ANY DONATIONS, ANYTHING OF THE LIKE WITHOUT COUNCIL APPROVAL. AND THAT ALWAYS HAS A CONTRACT ATTACHED TO IT WITH SPECIFICATIONS AS TO, HOW MUCH MONEY, WHAT THE PURPOSE FOR IT IS, WHERE IT'S COMING FROM, HOW IT'S GOING TO BE SPENT, ALL THE PARAMETERS REGARDING THAT DONATION OR THOSE FUNDS. SO AS FAR AS HAVING THAT CONTROL OVER WHAT MONEY WE ACCEPT, FOR WHATEVER PURPOSE, IT ALREADY EXISTS. WHAT THIS DOES IS JUST MAKES THE APPROVAL PROCESS EXTEND OUT TO INITIAL CONVERSATIONS SO THAT STAFF WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO ACTIVELY REACH OUT OR SOLICIT FOR THESE TYPES OF FUNDING MECHANISMS OR GRANTS WITHOUT PRIOR COUNCIL APPROVAL.

- OKAY. SO IN A SENSE, YOU'RE JUST SAYING, IT KIND OF MAKES IT CLEAR FOR THOSE THINGS, AND THERE COULD BE A LOT MORE THINGS THAT COULD HAVE BEEN THROWN IN THERE. IT'S JUST MAKES IT CLEARER FOR THOSE THINGS THAT ARE STATED.

- CORRECT.

- THE ONLY ISSUE I HAVE WITH THIS IS, THIS IS LANGUAGE THAT IS, IT'S POLITICALLY, THERE IS A POLITICAL BENT TO IT. IT ALMOST ACTS, IF SOMEONE WERE TO READ THIS

JUST OUT OF CONTEXT, THEY WOULD THINK GREEN BAY DOESN'T WANT EDUCATION FOR POLLING. IF THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED IN THE LAST TWO YEARS, IT LOOKS LIKE THEY'RE TRYING TO RESTRICT THINGS. AND THAT'S MY ONLY GUT REACTION TO THIS. IT'S ALREADY COVERED, BUT I WILL ACQUIESCE TO COUNCIL PRESIDENT BRUNETTE'S DESIRE TO PUT THIS IN, 'CAUSE I KNOW HE FEELS STRONGLY ABOUT THIS. BUT I THINK, THOUGH, JUST AS I KNOW HE'S BEEN PUSHING BACK AGAINST CERTAIN POLITICAL GROUPS TONIGHT, THIS ONE HE'S EMBRACED, AND HE'S PUTTING FORWARD IN TEXT FORM IN THIS NEW TEXT. IT JUST MAKES ME QUEEZE A LITTLE BIT. THAT'S ALL. THANK YOU.

- ALDER HUTCHISON, THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR THAT. WHAT, JUST A QUESTION FOR YOU, WHAT POLITICAL GROUP AM I?

- WELL, YOU GOT THIS TEXT FROM THE STATE OF ALABAMA.

- RIGHT.

- THE STATE OF ALABAMA WAS ONE OF THE REASONS THAT THE ELECTION LAW IN 1965 WAS WRITTEN IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. THEY HAVE A VERY NOTORIOUS RECORD IN NOT ALLOWING PEOPLE OF COLOR TO VOTE.

- OKAY.

- SO THE FACT YOU BROUGHT THAT UP KIND OF, I SAT STRAIGHT UP IN MY CHAIR WHEN I HEARD YOU SAY THAT. THAT'S ALL.

- YEAH. NO. AND I APPRECIATE YOU CLARIFYING, I'LL BE HONEST, THAT DID NOT CROSS MY MIND. I HAD DONE SOME GOOGLE SEARCHES. I SAW ONE IN ALABAMA. I DIDN'T EVEN MAKE THAT CONNECTION. SO I COULD SEE HOW YOU WOULD FEEL THAT WAY. I REALLY DO.

- OKAY.

- IT WAS JUST TRYING TO CAPTURE THE ESSENCE OF WHAT I WAS TRYING TO DO. IN NO WAYS DID I DRAW THAT CONNECTION, IF THAT IS A CONNECTION YOU MADE THAT, I SEE WHERE YOU COULD HAVE MADE THAT CONNECTION.

- OKAY.

- ALABAMA'S A WONDERFUL STATE. I ENJOY VISITING THERE. THAT'S WHAT I WAS THINKING WHEN I CAME UP WITH THAT. SO ANYWAYS. ONE THING I'D LIKE TO DO IS MAKE A MOTION TO REVISE THE TEXT UNDER NO DEPARTMENT INCLUDE, OR CITY PERSONNEL.

- OKAY. ONE OTHER SUGGESTION, 'CAUSE, AS THIS DISCUSSION HAS BEEN MOVING FORWARD, IT MIGHT BE BENEFICIAL, EVEN THOUGH, EFFECTIVELY, IT WOULD, TO GO COUNTER TO THIS POLICY, IT WOULD REQUIRE GOING TO COUNCIL, IT MIGHT BE, AND THIS IS OBVIOUSLY UP TO THE DISCRETION OF THE COMMITTEE, BUT JUST A

SUGGESTION TO ALSO INCLUDE, WITHOUT PRIOR APPROVAL OF COUNCIL. SO IT MAKES IT CLEAR THAT ANY KIND OF ACT LIKE THIS REALLY REQUIRES COUNCIL OVERVIEW.

- RIGHT. OKAY. IF I MADE THAT MOTION, WOULD YOU UNDERSTAND LIKE WHAT I'M GETTING AT?

- YES. AND YOU WILL SEE THE FINAL LANGUAGE. WE CAN AMEND IT AND MAKE THE CHANGES AHEAD, 'CAUSE THAT'S A VERY SMALL CHANGE. SO WE SHOULD BE ABLE TO DO THAT AHEAD OF THE COUNCIL MEETING. SO YOU WILL SEE THE FINAL TEXT EXACTLY. AND IF IT'S NOT AGREEING WITH YOU OR IT'S NOT REFLECTING WHAT YOU WERE SEEKING, THEN IT CAN DEFINITELY BE AMENDED ON THE FLOOR.

- OKAY. FAIR POINT. ALL RIGHT. MOTION IS ON THE FLOOR TO AMEND.

- I'LL SECOND THAT.

- SECOND BY GALVIN. ANY DISCUSSION? OKAY. MOTION TO AMEND, ALL IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. SO ATTORNEY BUNGERT, NOW WOULD BE A MOTION TO APPROVE AS AMENDED?

- CORRECT.

- I'LL MAKE THAT MOTION.

- I'LL SECOND THAT.

- SECOND BY ALDER GALVIN. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU, ATTORNEY BUNGERT. I KNOW YOU'VE, YOU AND YOUR STAFF, HAVE PUT A LOT OF EFFORT INTO THIS, AND I APPRECIATE IT. IT'S NICE TO AMEND THE POLICY, NOT FOR THAT SPECIFIC PURPOSE NECESSARILY, BUT TAKING AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVISE THE WHOLE THING. SO SOMETIMES THAT'S A GOOD THING.

- CAN WE TAKE JUST TWO MINUTES RIGHT NOW?

- YEAH, WE CERTAINLY CAN.

- TWO AND A HALF?

- ALL RIGHT.

- I'LL BE RIGHT BACK.

- ALL RIGHT.

- GOOD IDEA.

- OKAY, WE'RE GONNA, WELL, I DON'T KNOW IF I NEED TO ANNOUNCE IT, BUT WE'LL JUST TAKE A TWO MINUTE BREAK. THANK YOU. ATTEND. I'M HOPING THEY'RE THEIR WAY. NEXT ITEM IS, I THINK MINE, ANOTHER ONE FOR ME. TO REFER TO STAFF TO WORK WITH ALDERMAN BRUNETTE TO BRING FORWARD TO THE COMMON COUNCIL, A REQUEST BY ALDERMAN BRUNETTE, WHICH STATES FOR A STATUS UPDATE AND DISCUSSION REGARDING LEGAL SERVICES RECEIVED FROM LAW FORWARD, STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER, AND STAFFORD ROSENBAUM, LLP, WITH POSSIBLE ACTION, SUCH AS CONTINUING OR DISCONTINUING LEGAL SERVICES RECEIVED. AND THIS WAS FROM OUR MAY 10TH FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING ATTORNEY BUNGERT, I THINK THE OTHER COMMITTEE MEMBERS KIND OF KNOW WHERE I STAND ON THIS AT THE MOMENT, BUT IF YOU WANTED TO KIND OF START WITH THIS, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

- SORRY. ON MUTE. YES. SO I INCLUDED TWO DOCUMENTS FOR YOU. ONE IS A MORE COMPREHENSIVE AND ROBUST EXPLANATION AS FAR AS ALL OF THE ORIGINATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP AND HOW IT CAME TO BE THAT THE CITY RETAINED, COLLECTIVELY, OUTSIDE COUNSEL, WHICH IS COMPRISED OF BOTH LAW FORWARD, STAFFORD ROSENBAUM, AND STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER, AS OUTSIDE COUNSEL WITH RESPECT TO INITIALLY THE LEGISLATIVE INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE 2020 GENERAL ELECTION. AND DUE TO THE SCOPE AND THE SIZE, AND REALLY THE UNIQUE NATURE OF THESE TYPES OF SUBPOENAS, WHICH WERE KIND OF AN INSTANCE OF FIRST IMPRESSION IN THE STATE AND HAVEN'T BEEN USED IN MANY YEARS, AND THE AMOUNT OF WORK THAT WAS ANTICIPATED IN RESPONDING TO THESE SUBPOENAS, OUTSIDE COUNSEL WAS ENGAGED PURSUANT TO THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF FORMER CITY ATTORNEY VANESSA CHAVEZ. SO THE MEMO KIND OF OUTLINES HOW IT CAME TO BE AND KIND OF WHAT THE PARAMETERS OF THAT REPRESENTATION WERE, IN SO MUCH AS OUTSIDE COUNSEL IS JUST THAT, THEY ARE OUTSIDE COUNSEL AND THEY WORK FOR THE CITY. AND AS WITH ALL OUTSIDE COUNSEL RELATIONSHIPS, EVEN ONES THAT ARE DIRECTED, REPRESENTATION IS DIRECTED BY OUR INSURANCE CARRIER, ALL SUCH RELATIONSHIPS AND ALL BUSINESS THAT'S TRANSACTED ON BEHALF OF THE CITY HAS TO FUNNEL THROUGH THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE, BECAUSE ULTIMATELY THE CITY ATTORNEY IS TASKED STATUTORILY WITH THE REPRESENTATION AND DEFENSE OF THE CITY IN ALL LEGAL BUSINESS. AND SO THAT IS WHAT TRANSPIRED IN THIS SITUATION AS WELL. AND THEN THE MEMORANDUM GOES INTO ESSENTIALLY WHAT THE NATURE OF THE MATTER IS WITH RESPECT TO THE LEGISLATIVE SUBPOENAS, A DESCRIPTION OF ALL THE SERVICES THAT WERE PERFORMED, AND ESSENTIALLY WHERE WE ARE IN THAT MATTER. CURRENTLY THE TWO PENDING MATTERS THAT WE STILL HAVE ARE THE WRIT ACTIONS, OR WRIT OF ATTACHMENT AGAINST THE PERSON THAT WAS FILED BY MR. GABLEMAN IN WAUKESHA COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT. IF YOU READ THROUGH THE MEMORANDUM, WE ARE THROUGH BRIEFING. I BELIEVE WE ACTUALLY RECEIVED A REPLY. IT WAS FILED YESTERDAY. AND WE ARE SET FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS, THE COURT SET ASIDE HALF A DAY, FOR ORAL ARGUMENTS ON THE MATTER ON AUGUST 30TH, WHICH

WAS ADJOURNED AT MR. GABLEMAN'S ATTORNEY'S REQUEST A FEW WEEKS AGO. THE OTHER MATTER THAT'S STILL PENDING, NOT OFFICIALLY CONCLUDED, IS THE CARLSTEDT V. WEC CASE IN BROWN COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT. AS THIS MATTER WAS DISMISSED FROM THE WEC, IT'S NOW BEING APPEALED IN FRONT OF BROWN COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT. WE DID RECEIVE COMMUNICATION FROM ATTORNEYS, FOR THE SEEKERS OF THE APPEAL, THAT THEY DO WISH TO SEEK A VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL. HOWEVER, THAT HASN'T BEEN EFFECTUATED YET. SO WE STILL WANTED TO KEEP THIS MATTER AS PART OF THE TIMELINE AS FAR AS MATTERS WE STILL HAVE PENDING AND STILL REQUIRE ATTENTION TO. AND THEN THE LAST MATTER THAT IS STILL CURRENTLY PENDING IS THE SIPES V. GENRICH WEC COMPLAINT. THERE WE GO. ALL RIGHT. AND SO THEN LASTLY, THE CONCLUSION KIND OF BRINGS EVERYTHING BACK TOGETHER, KIND OF OVERVIEWING AS FAR AS THE AMOUNT OF HOURS THAT HAVE BEEN PERFORMED. SO THE LAW FORWARD ATTORNEYS AND OUR OUTSIDE COUNSEL HAVE ESTIMATED THAT LAW FORWARD SEPARATELY HAS PERFORMED OVER 227 HOURS OF WHAT WOULD BE NORMALLY BILLABLE WORK. STAFFORD ROSENBAUM HAS PROVIDED OVER 175 HOURS. AND STATES UNITED DEMOCRACY CENTER HAS PROVIDED OVER 250 HOURS. IT WAS ANTICIPATED THAT THESE SERVICES PROBABLY WOULD HAVE COST IN EXCESS OF $250,000 IF WE HAD IT DEPLOYED. ONE IMPORTANT POINT THAT I DIDN'T BRING UP AT THE START OF THIS MONOLOGUE IS THAT ALL THESE SERVICES WERE BEING PROVIDED PRO BONO TO THE CITY. SO IF THE CITY HAD RETAINED OUTSIDE COUNSEL ON A REGULAR FEE BASIS, IT WOULD'VE COST IN EXCESS OF $250,000. AND WITH THE REMAINING MATTERS THAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE, WE WOULD NEED TO, IF WE WERE TO HIRE OUTSIDE COUNSEL, WHICH AT THIS POINT, MY OFFICE WOULD STILL LIKELY RECOMMEND SIMPLY BECAUSE WE ARE NOW IN THE PHASES OF APPEALS, AND POTENTIALLY LIKELY SUPREME COURT DECISIONS IN MATTERS WHICH ARE A VERY TECHNICAL ASPECT OF LEGAL PRACTICE, WHICH REQUIRES A LOT OF TIME AND DEDICATION. AND SO THAT WOULD LIKELY COST ANYWHERE BETWEEN 75,000 AND 125,000. AND THAT CONCLUDES MY COMMENTS. THE TIMELINE, JUST TO KIND OF KICK BACK TO THE OTHER DOCUMENT, JUST KIND OF LAYS OUT IN A LINEAR FASHION, AS FAR AS ALL THE DIFFERENT OCCURRENCES THAT HAVE HAPPENED WITH RESPECT TO INVESTIGATIONS AND LITIGATION RELATED TO, THIS IS STRICTLY NOVEMBER, 2020, ELECTION MATTERS OR ISSUES THAT WERE BEING BROUGHT UP, EITHER THROUGH LITIGATION, WEC COMPLAINTS, AND OR THE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY INVESTIGATION. SO IT LAYS OUT EVERYTHING THAT HAS HAPPENED FROM OCTOBER OF 2021, AND THEN ALL THE WAY THROUGH WHAT WE HAVE AS A CURRENT HEARING FOR AUGUST 30TH.

- OKAY. THANK YOU, ATTORNEY BUNGERT.

- MM HM.

- ALDER HUTCHISON OR GALVIN, IF YOU WANT TO ASK QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FIRST, OTHERWISE I'D BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO ASK MINE.

- YEAH, I JUST HAVE ONE QUICK QUESTION. I'M LOOKING AT THE TIMELINE SHEET WHERE WE GET DOWN TO, YEP, LAST PAGE, JUNE 10TH, OUTSIDE COUNSEL RECEIVED CORRESPONDENCE FROM ATTORNEY, IN THE CARLSTEDT APPEAL. BASICALLY THEY'RE THROWING IN THE TOWEL?

- YES. THAT IS WHAT THEY'RE SEEKING. IT HASN'T, A STIPULATION, AN ORDER OF DISMISSAL, HAS NOT BEEN FILED YET, SO THIS WAS JUST COMMUNICATION THAT WE RECEIVED FROM ATTORNEY CARLSTEDT AND HIS REPRESENTATIVES, THAT THAT IS WHAT THEY ARE SEEKING.

- SO THIS ATTORNEY AND THE PEOPLE HE REPRESENTS CAME AT THE CITY, CAUSED US A BUNCH OF WORK, EXTRA WORK, PROBLEMS AND EVERYTHING ELSE, AND NOW, BEFORE IT EVEN GETS TO A HEARING, THEY'RE THROWING IN THE TOWEL?

- RIGHT. WELL, THIS IS ACTUALLY SOMEWHAT OF AN APPEAL FROM, THERE'S SOME PROCEDURAL INTRICACIES, WHICH I CAN'T NECESSARILY GET INTO BECAUSE IT'S ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGE AND WE'RE NOT IN CLOSED SESSION, BUT THE MATTERS ESSENTIALLY WERE REVIEWED BY THE WISCONSIN ELECTIONS COMMISSION, AND THAT CASE WAS DISMISSED. SO THIS IS SOMEWHAT OF AN APPEAL TO A LOCAL COURT LEVEL. AND NOW THE ATTORNEYS FOR THE PETITIONERS ARE SEEKING A VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL.

- RIGHT, SO THE PETITIONERS CAME AFTER THE CITY. THEY DIDN'T WIN. THEY ARE CONVINCED THAT THEY WERE RIGHT. SO THEY WENT THROUGH THIS WHOLE PROCESS AGAIN. AND THEN, BEFORE THEY FIND OUT IF THEY'RE REALLY RIGHT OR WRONG, FOR SOME REASON, THEY'RE JUST GONNA PULL THE WHOLE THING AND SAY, "SORRY, MY BAD, NO HARM, NO FOUL." IS THAT BASICALLY WHAT THIS COMES DOWN TO?

- CORRECT. A STIPULATED DISMISSAL ESSENTIALLY MEANS THAT BOTH PARTIES ARE AGREEING, WITH NO COSTS.

- WELL, I'M SURE GLAD WE DIDN'T SPEND, WELL, DID WE SPEND EXTRA MONEY ON THIS? EVEN THOUGH WE GOT LEGAL COUNSEL PRO BONO, DID THE CITY SPEND MONEY ON THIS?

- JUST BESIDES STAFF TIME, EVEN THOUGH, AS WITH ANY LEGAL REPRESENTATION THAT WE HAVE, LIKE I EXPLAINED, THE CITY ATTORNEY MUST BE INVOLVED, AND CITY STAFF HAS TO BE INVOLVED. WE REVIEW EVERY BRIEF, EVERY MOTION, EVERY FILING. AS THE MEMORANDUM EXPLAINS, WE MET WEEKLY TO DISCUSS UPDATES, STRATEGY, RESEARCH, POSITIONING, WHAT HAS BEEN DISCOVERED. AND SO IT DID REQUIRE STAFF TIME, MY TIME OR PARALEGALS' TIME, AS WELL AS OUR LITIGATION ATTORNEY'S TIME.

- AND IF YOU HADN'T BEEN, ALL THAT TIME HADN'T BEEN SPENT ON THIS ISSUE, YOU WOULD'VE SPENT ON OTHER THINGS RELATED TO THE CITY, THEN? OTHER THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN LANGUISHING ON THE SIDE, IS WHAT I'M SAYING.

- YES, YES.

- OTHER THINGS THAT WE'VE HAD TO HIRE OUTSIDE COUNSEL TO GET DONE, BECAUSE WE CAN'T GET IT DONE BECAUSE WE'RE TIED UP ON THIS STUFF.

- RIGHT.

- IS THERE ANY OPPORTUNITY FOR THE CITY TO SEEK SOME REDRESS FROM THESE INDIVIDUALS? AND I GET SOMETIMES PEOPLE TRULY BELIEVE WHAT THEY BELIEVE, BUT IT SEEMS TO ME THERE'S BEEN, THESE SAME CLAIMS HAVE BEEN MADE TIME AND TIME AND TIME AND TIME AGAIN. THEY KEEP GETTING BEATEN DOWN. THEY LOSE FROM A DIFFERENT ANGLE. IS THERE ANY WAY THE CITY CAN GET ANY REDRESS FROM THESE INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE CONTINUOUSLY TRYING TO TIE UP OUR CITY GOVERNMENT?

- SURE. AS WITH ANY LITIGATION MATTERS OR ANY SUITS, EITHER PARTY HAS CERTAIN LEGAL REMEDIES WITH RESPECT TO SANCTIONS OR ASKING COURT FOR COSTS. HOWEVER, THOSE DETERMINATIONS ARE VERY FACT SPECIFIC. SO IF THE ALDER DOES HAVE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS AS TO OUR LEGAL STRATEGIES AND POSITIONING IN ANY OF THE CASES, WE CAN CERTAINLY DISCUSS THAT OR HAVE AN UPDATE FOR COUNCIL. HOWEVER, THOSE DISCUSSIONS WOULD NEED TO BE IN CLOSED SESSION, AS IT WOULD PERTAIN TO ONGOING PENDING LITIGATION, WHICH WOULD OBVIOUSLY HARM THE CITY'S INTEREST, AS FAR AS ITS LEGAL POSITIONING AND LITIGATION STRATEGY. BUT THOSE ARE AVAILABLE. AS THE MEMO DID CLARIFY, THE CITY DID PURSUE A MOTION FOR SANCTIONS IN THE WRIT OF ATTACHMENT MATTER, PENDING IN WAUKESHA COUNTY. HOWEVER, THEY'RE NOT MONETARY SANCTIONS. THEY WERE BASICALLY ASKING FOR A CORRECTION OF MISSTATEMENTS AND MISINFORMATION THAT WERE MADE BY THE OTHER PARTIES, SO AS TO CORRECT THE RECORD WITH RESPECT TO CITY ACTIONS AND CITY POSITION.

- ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.

- MM HM.

- ALDER HUTCHISON, DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING?

- IT'S SO BROAD. NO, I DON'T. SO PLEASE, ALDERMAN, GO AHEAD. YEAH.

- WELL, THANK YOU. AND FIRST OF ALL, ATTORNEY BUNGERT, THANK YOU. THAT WAS A REAL COMPREHENSIVE REPORT, MORE THAN WHAT I EXPECTED, AND I APPRECIATE THAT, YOU PUT TIME INTO IT. SO THE REASON I BROUGHT THIS FORWARD WAS THE SIMPLE FACT THAT OBVIOUSLY I WAS NOT IN AGREEMENT WITH, NOT SO MUCH RETAINING THE HELP, THAT'S ONE ISSUE. THE ISSUE WAS, I DON'T KNOW WHO WAS FUNDING THEM, AND I'M ONLY STATING SOMETHING NOW THAT IS PUBLIC RECORD, ALTHOUGH IT WASN'T PUBLIC RECORD NECESSARILY AT THE MOMENT. AND SO IT'S A GOOD THING IN THAT, AS A COUNCIL MEMBER, I STILL HAVE TO PROTECT THE INTEREST OF THE CITY AND OBVIOUSLY NOT TAKE ON ANY COST TO THE TAXPAYERS IN A LEGAL DEFENSE, BUT IT HAS ALWAYS CAUSED ME A LOT OF CONCERN WHEN WE TAKE THE HELP OF THIRD PARTY GROUPS THAT TEND TO BE VERY POLITICAL IN A LOT OF THEIR PUBLIC COMMENTS AND FACEBOOK POSTS, AND EVEN THE FACT WHY THEY WERE FOUNDED IN THE LEAD UP TO THE 2020 ELECTION WAS TO BASICALLY BE A THORN IN THE SIDE OR OBJECTION TO CONSERVATIVES, LIKE THAT'S MORE OR LESS PARAPHRASING THEIR WORDS. SO OBVIOUSLY THAT IS CONCERNING. SO ON A SEVEN-FIVE VOTE WE ACCEPTED THE HELP, ON A SEVEN-FIVE VOTE WE MADE IT SO THAT THEY WOULDN'T HAVE TO DISCLOSE TO US WHO'S FUNDING THEIR LAW FIRM. SO BASICALLY IT'S, WE'RE ACCEPTING $250,000 WORTH OF ASSISTANCE FROM THEM, MORE OR LESS, BUT THAT'S

NOT FREE IN THAT SOMEBODY IS PAYING THAT MONEY TO THE LAW FIRM. AND I'VE ALWAYS THOUGHT THAT WAS ODD THAT AS A CITY THAT'S TRYING TO BE COMPLETELY TRANSPARENT AND COMPLETELY WITHOUT BIAS, WE WOULD WILLINGLY TAKE THE HELP OF A LAW FIRM THAT'S POLITICAL IN NATURE WITHOUT HAVING THEM DISCLOSE TO US WHO'S FUNDING THEIR OPERATION. I'D SAY THE SAME THING IF WE HAD RIGHT WING LAW FIRMS DEFENDING US. IT JUST MAKES ME UNCOMFORTABLE. SO I DO HAVE A COUPLE REAL QUESTIONS, THOUGH, THAT GET TO THE HEART OF THE ISSUE. BUT ATTORNEY BUNGERT, THE LATEST COMPLAINT, AND I'M NOT TRYING TO DISCLOSE ANYTHING THAT'S CONFIDENTIAL, OBVIOUSLY, BUT THE SIPES VERSUS GENRICH COMPLAINT, WHEN I REVIEWED THAT, THE OTHER MUNICIPALITIES, OR ARE THEY TAKING CARE OF THEIR OWN DEFENSE?

- THE OTHER MUNICIPALITIES ARE TAKING CARE OF THEIR OWN DEFENSE.

- OKAY. SO THIS LAW FORWARD, STATES UNITED, AND STAFFORD ROSENBAUM, TO THE BEST OF YOUR KNOWLEDGE, IS NOT PROVIDING ANY FINANCIAL SERVICE, OR NOT FINANCIAL, LEGAL SERVICES PRO BONO, ESPECIALLY TO THOSE--

- RIGHT.

- BUT WHY--

- BECAUSE, RIGHT. SO WITH RESPECT TO, AT LEAST WITH RESPECT TO THE WRIT OF ATTACHMENT, BECAUSE ALL OF THE OTHER CITIES WERE SIMILARLY SITUATED AND FOR JUDICIAL EFFICIENCY, THERE WAS A COMBINED BRIEF THAT WAS FILED ON BEHALF OF ALL OF THE APPLICABLE MUNICIPALITIES. AND OUR OUTSIDE COUNSEL WORKED ON COLLABORATING ALL THOSE RESPONSES. BUT TO MY KNOWLEDGE, THEY DO NOT HAVE, THOSE OTHER MUNICIPALITIES HAVE NOT ENGAGED LAW FORWARD, STAFFORD ROSENBAUM, OR STATES UNITED, AS ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING THOSE CITIES OFFICIALLY.

- IF, AS A COUNCIL, WE SAY, OKAY, MOVING FORWARD, WE NEED TO JUST COMPLETELY REMOVE ALL POLITICAL INFLUENCE IN ALL PARTS OF CITY ELECTIONS AND GOVERNMENT, LAW FIRMS OR WHATEVER, COULD YOU ABSORB THIS COST WITHIN YOUR DEPARTMENT? NOW YOU HAVE FIVE STAFF MEMBERS, FIVE ATTORNEYS IN YOUR OFFICE. IS THIS A DEFENSE, IF WE DISCONTINUE THE AGREEMENT, THAT YOU COULD HANDLE IT?

- SURE. SO TAKING A STEP BACK A LITTLE BIT, JUST TO CLARIFY YOUR PREVIOUS POINT, AS FAR AS, WHO'S PAYING FOR THE LEGAL BILLS AND THE SOURCE OF THEIR DONORS, AND THE UNCOMFORTABLE SITUATION OR UNCOMFORTABLE FEELINGS THAT, OR POSITION THAT PUT YOU IN, TO CLARIFY, AS FAR AS HOW ATTORNEY CLIENT RELATIONSHIPS WORK. THERE ARE RULES OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY AND ETHICAL RULES THAT PROVIDE THAT, ESSENTIALLY, THE CLIENT IS KING OR QUEEN, AND PROVIDE THAT REGARDLESS OF WHOEVER IS PAYING THE ACTUAL LEGAL BILLS AND COSTS, THE ATTORNEY IS BEHOLDEN TO THE CLIENT, AND THEIR DUTIES AND OBLIGATIONS AND OATH IS TO THAT CLIENT, REGARDLESS OF WHOEVER IS PAYING THEIR BILLS. SO WHATEVER SOURCES OF FUNDING COME TO SUPPORT THESE TYPES OF

ORGANIZATIONS, THAT'S, IT HITS A WALL WITH RESPECT TO, THEN, ANY KIND OF INFLUENCE, ESSENTIALLY THAT IT WOULD BE A DISBARRABLE OFFENCE, IF ATTORNEYS WERE BEING INFLUENCED IN THESE TYPES OF SITUATIONS. AND SO THIS IS WHY THIS TYPE OF ARRANGEMENT WAS SOMETHING THAT THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE DID RECOMMEND TO CITY COUNCIL, BECAUSE OF THE FACT OF THE RULES AND THE DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES THAT ATTORNEYS ARE HELD TO, AND A HIGHER STANDARD THAT THEY'RE HELD TO. WE KNEW THAT IF THEY WERE REPRESENTING US AND THE FACT THAT WE HAVE COMPLETE AND 100% OVERSIGHT OVER EVERYTHING THAT THEY DO, THAT THERE WASN'T ENOUGH DEMARCATION WHERE IF THERE WAS ANY KIND OF UNDERLYING INFLUENCE, IT WOULD NOT BE TAINTING THE ATTORNEY CLIENT RELATIONSHIP IN THIS SITUATION. AND SO, AS TO THE NEXT QUESTION, AS TO WHETHER OUR OFFICE WOULD BE ABLE TO ABSORB IT? IT'S HARD TO SAY. RIGHT NOW, THINGS ARE KIND OF COMING TO, THINGS ARE, I DON'T WANT TO SAY PUTTERING OUT. WE'RE GOING INTO OBVIOUSLY A NEW ELECTION SEASON. AND IF I HAD A CRYSTAL BALL, MY JOB AND MY LIFE WOULD BE MUCH, MUCH, MUCH EASIER, BUT I DO NOT. AT THIS POINT, I THINK WHILE WE DO HAVE A FULL STAFF, MY MUNICIPAL PROSECUTOR JUST RETURNED FROM LEAVE A COUPLE WEEKS AGO, WE DID HIRE A NEW ATTORNEY, BUT BARELY A MONTH IN. AND OBVIOUSLY WE ARE TRYING TO CHUG ALONG TO TRY AND ACTUALLY GET SOME WORK DONE WITH RESPECT TO ORDINANCES AND THE SIGNIFICANT BACKLOG THAT HAS BUILT UP. AND SO WITH RESPECT TO HANDLING ADDITIONAL ACTIONS, I THINK THE DECISION WOULD HAVE TO BE MADE ON A CASE BY CASE BASIS, AS TO THE TYPES OF ISSUES THAT ARE IN PLAY, WHETHER IT'S AN APPELLATE DECISION OR AN APPEAL, OR WHETHER IT'S GOING TO THE SUPREME COURT. IF IT'S AT THE APPELLATE LEVEL OR A SUPREME COURT MATTER, I THINK IN THOSE SITUATIONS WE WOULD VERY MUCH LIKELY BE COMING IN FRONT OF COUNCIL TO ASK FOR ASSISTANCE IN HIRING OUTSIDE COUNSEL, BECAUSE THAT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IS EQUIPPED TO HANDLE. WITH RESPECT TO THE MATTERS WE CURRENTLY HAVE, MY RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO CONTINUE RETAINING THE SERVICES THAT WE HAVE IN PLACE WITH OUTSIDE COUNSEL, NAMELY WITH LAW FORWARD, STAFFORD ROSENBAUM, AND STATES UNITED, AT LEAST THROUGH THE AUGUST 30TH HEARING. THEY HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS CASE HUNDREDS OF HOURS AND ARE READY AND PREPPED TO HANDLE AND ASSIST US WITH ORAL ARGUMENTS FOR A HALF DAY HEARING, WHICH IS A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT. AND SO TO, JUST FOR THE PURPOSES OF EFFICIENCY, WE WOULD, STAFF RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE TO AT LEAST CONTINUE REPRESENTATION THROUGH THAT DATE. AND AT THAT POINT, ONCE THE COURT DECIDES, WE WOULD BE ABLE TO START WRAPPING UP OUR RELATIONSHIP, OUR ATTORNEY CLIENT RELATIONSHIP WITH THEM, AND THEN KIND OF DIRECT OUR EYES FORWARD AND SEE WHAT ELSE COMES ALONG, AND THEN TACKLE THOSE SITUATIONS ON A CASE BY CASE BASIS.

- ARE THEY AWARE OR ACKNOWLEDGE THE UNCOMFORTABLE FEELINGS OF SOME MEMBERS OF COUNCIL, THAT WE'RE TRYING TO REMOVE INTEREST GROUPS AND OUTSIDE MONEY INTO THIS PROCESS, AND WE DON'T TRULY KNOW WHO'S FUNDING THEM? ARE THEY A COGNIZANT OR AWARE OF THAT IN YOUR CONVERSATIONS?

- I THINK THEY WERE, AGAIN, I CAN'T SPEAK FOR THEM. AND I WASN'T OBVIOUSLY CITY ATTORNEY AT THE TIME THAT OUTSIDE COUNSEL WAS RETAINED. SO I'M NOT ENTIRELY PRIVY AS TO WHAT THEY WERE AWARE OF. I CAN PRESUME THAT THEY WERE AWARE OF

WHAT COUNCIL'S DISCUSSIONS AND ACTIONS WERE WITH RESPECT TO THE ONSET OF THE REPRESENTATION. HOWEVER, AGAIN, I CAN'T SPEAK TO WHAT THEIR CURRENT UNDERSTANDINGS ARE WITH RESPECT TO THE DIFFERENT CONCERNS. THE FOCUS REALLY HAS BEEN ON GETTING THE WORK DONE, THE BRIEFING, THE RESEARCHING, AND GETTING ALL THE LEGAL WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN A TIMELY WAY.

- AND JUST A COUPLE QUICK MORE QUESTIONS AND I'LL BE DONE. THE OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL, I DON'T FOLLOW THAT TOO CLOSELY, OTHER THAN WHAT I HEAR AND WHAT I LOOK INTO, THERE'S A CLAIM THAT THE CITY HAS NOT COMPLIED WITH SUBPOENAS, WHETHER FROM HIS OFFICE OR LEGISLATIVE SUBPOENAS, IS THAT CORRECT?

- THOSE ARE THE ALLEGATIONS THAT ARE CURRENTLY BEING LITIGATED IN THE WRIT OF ATTACHMENT, WHICH IS PENDING IN FRONT OF THE WAUKESHA COUNTY COURT. SO THAT IS WHAT IS BEING BRIEFED. I BELIEVE I SENT ALL THE ALDERS A COPY OF THE CITY'S BRIEF, WHICH WAS OVER 80 PAGES. IT WAS A VERY, VERY, BIG, BIG BRIEF. SO THAT WAS FILED. AND THEN WE DID RECEIVE A REPLY FROM COUNSEL REPRESENTING THE OFFICE OF SPECIAL COUNSEL. AND SO THAT, IT WILL BE RESOLVED. I'M NOT SURE IF THE JUDGE WILL ISSUE, LIKELY NOT, AN ORAL DECISION, BUT HOPEFULLY A FEW WEEKS AFTER WE SHOULD HAVE A DECISION IN THAT MATTER.

- OKAY. THANKS, ATTORNEY BUNGERT. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM THE COUNCIL?

- YEAH. I JUST HAVE A QUESTION, ALDER BRUNETTE. SO YOUR MAIN CONCERN, ALL THIS HAS BEEN, NOT THE FACT THAT A LAW FIRM VOLUNTEERED TO DO THIS FOR PRO BONO. YOUR WHOLE CONCERN'S BEEN ABOUT WHO FUNDED THEM? IS THAT CORRECT?

- I'LL KIND OF ANSWER YOUR QUESTION WITH A SCENARIO. SO I REMEMBER WATCHING AN INTERVIEW THAT THE SPECIAL COUNSEL HAD GIVEN. I THINK IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN TUCKER CARLSON, I SAW PARTS OF IT. AND HE HAD INDICATED THAT THE MONEY, THE ZUCKERBERG MONEY, AND I DON'T KNOW HOW TRUE THIS IS, I'M JUST STATING WHAT WAS SAID IN THE INTERVIEW, THAT THE MONEY GIVEN BY ZUCKERBERG TO CTCL LED TO THIS SITUATION THAT OBVIOUSLY HE HAS HAD ISSUES WITH, LEGALITY, WHATEVER HIS CLAIMS HAVE BEEN. AND THEN HE HAD COMMENTED ON THE CITY OF GREEN BAY GOING INTO CLOSED SESSION, AND THEN COMING OUT OF CLOSED SESSION WITH THESE THREE LAW FIRMS. HE WAS THERE IN THE AUDIENCE. SO IN THIS INTERVIEW WITH TUCKER CARLSON, HE MADE THE CLAIM THAT SOME OF THE PEOPLE THAT HAVE FUNDED, AND I'M PARAPHRASING, SO PLEASE JUST RESPECTFULLY KNOW THAT, AND THIS IS PUBLIC RECORD, BUT I'M PARAPHRASING. BUT HE SAID THAT THE PEOPLE WHO WERE FUNDING THE LEGAL DEFENSE PERHAPS WERE THE SAME PEOPLE THAT WOULD HAVE A LOT TO LOSE IF HIS ACCUSATIONS OR HIS COMPLAINT WERE PROVEN TRUE. SO THAT JUST GOT ME TO THINKING, AND AGAIN, I'M NO GABLEMAN APOLOGIST AT ALL. I JUST WANT THE TRUTH, AND I WANT THIS TO BE DONE EITHER DIRECTION. BUT THAT CAUSES CONCERN THAT PERHAPS THE PEOPLE THAT ARE FUNDING THE LEGAL DEFENSE OF THE CITY HAVE A LOT TO LOSE IF SOMEHOW THEY WERE IMPLICATED IN THIS WHOLE SITUATION. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

- PARTIALLY

- THEY CAN SEE THAT WE DON'T KNOW WHO'S FUNDING THEM. THERE'S NO REASON WHY.

- AND I GUESS THAT'S MY CONCERN HERE. WHEN WE HIRED OUTSIDE COUNSEL TO REPRESENT THE CITY AGAINST THE ONEIDA TRIBE, YOU WEREN'T ASKING AT THAT TIME IF THE OUTSIDE LAW FIRM WAS PRO NATIVE AMERICAN OR ANTI NATIVE AMERICAN.

- WHY WOULD I? RESPECTFULLY, WHY WOULD I?

- BUT THIS IS, YOU SEE, THIS IS MY CONCERN HERE. YOU WERE MAKING A HUGE ISSUE ABOUT THESE LAW FIRMS AND THEIR POLITICAL LEANING. AND AS ATTORNEY BUNGERT HAS SAID, THESE LAWYERS TAKE AN OATH, AN OATH TO FOLLOW THE LAW. NOT TO LIE OR CHEAT OR DO ANYTHING ILLEGAL. AND I'M, FROM THE VERY BEGINNING, I HAVEN'T SEEN ANYTHING HERE, AND QUITE FRANKLY, IN ANYTHING AND EVERYTHING THAT WE'VE BEEN GIVEN, THAT WHERE YOU THINK THESE LAW FIRMS HAVE DONE ANYTHING THAT MAKES YOU SUSPICIOUS ABOUT WHAT'S BEEN GOING ON WITH THEIR DEFENSE OF THE CITY?

- I DON'T THINK, IT WOULD BE A HECK OF AN ACCUSATION FOR ME TO SAY THEY'RE DOING ANYTHING ILLEGAL, UNETHICAL, OR ANYTHING THAT WOULD GET THEM IN TROUBLE WITH THE BAR. BUT I THINK THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF STATEMENTS THAT THEY'RE MAKING ON OTHER PAGES, THEIR POLITICAL ADVOCACY. AND GOD BLESS THEM, IF THAT'S WHAT THEY WANT TO DO, NO PROBLEM, BUT IT MAKES ME A LITTLE UNCOMFORTABLE WHEN THE LAW FIRM THAT'S DEFENDING THE CITY AT NO COST, WHO WON'T DISCLOSE TO US WHO THEIR FUNDERS ARE, HAVE A POLITICAL AGENDA. AND THEY'RE INVOLVED IN A LOT OF LAWSUITS THEMSELVES. THEY HAVE ORIGINATED LAWSUITS AGAINST OTHER PARTIES. SO I JUST THINK IT'S COMPLETELY APPROPRIATE FOR US TO KNOW WHO'S FUNDING THEM BEFORE WE CAN GIVE 100% TRUST THAT THEY'RE MOVING FORWARD IN A VERY NON-POLITICAL MANNER. WE JUST HAVE A PHILOSOPHICAL DISAGREEMENT ON THAT, ALDER. THAT'S FINE.

- WELL, IT SEEMS LIKE IT. BUT IF I'M UNDERSTANDING YOU CORRECTLY, YOU FEEL, BASED ON SOME COMMENTS MADE BY PEOPLE INVOLVED WITH THIS, THAT IT'S POSSIBLE THAT THIS LAW FIRM MIGHT BE REPRESENTING PEOPLE THAT WANT TO PREVENT THEMSELVES FROM GETTING JAMMED UP THROUGH THIS INVESTIGATION?

- THAT'S PARAPHRASING WHAT SPECIAL COUNSEL MICHAEL GABLEMAN STATED IN AN INTERVIEW WITH TUCKER CARLSON.

- OKAY. THANK YOU.

- I'M NOT A FOX NEWS JUNKIE. I JUST HAPPENED TO COME ACROSS IT. AND IT GOT ME THINKING, AND I REMEMBER CONVERSATIONS THAT HAPPENED AROUND THAT TIME. I'M LIKE, YEAH, THAT ACTUALLY, THAT IS A GOOD POINT, AND IT'S UP TO ME, AND THAT'S WHY I BROUGHT THIS FORWARD. I JUST WANT TO KNOW HOW MUCH, WHO'S GIVING THESE LAW FIRMS UP TO $250,000 TO DEFEND US AND WHY? IT'S A LEGITIMATE QUESTION, ALDERMAN GALVIN.

- OKAY, THANK YOU.

- YEAH. OKAY. WELL WITH THAT, I'D ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

- MOTION TO RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE.

- SECOND.

- OKAY, MOTION TO RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE, SECOND BY ALDER HUTCHISON. ONE THING, ATTORNEY BUNGERT, I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY, YOU HAD MADE A RECOMMENDATION, WHICH WAS A GOOD ONE, BUT THE COMMITTEE'S GOING A DIFFERENT DIRECTION. A RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE BASICALLY HAS THE SAME EFFECT THAT YOU'LL BE ABLE TO CONTINUE WITH THE SERVICES RECEIVED FROM THE LAW FIRM.

- CORRECT. AND IF AT THAT POINT, AS THE NEXT FEW MONTHS KIND OF PLAY OUT, IF THERE'S ANY CHANGES THAT WE THINK THAT WILL BE APPROPRIATE, THEN WE WOULD BE COMING BACK TO FINANCE AND COUNCIL TO GIVE THOSE UPDATES. AND WE WILL CERTAINLY KEEP ALL THE ALDERS UP TO DATE AS TO ANY NEW DEVELOPMENTS THAT WE HAVE.

- YEP. AND FAIR POINT. AND I WILL SAY THAT YOU HAVE KEPT THE CITY COUNCIL VERY MUCH INFORMED THROUGH THIS ENTIRE PROCESS. AND I REALLY THANK YOU FOR THAT. SO WITH THAT, I HAVE A MOTION TO RECEIVE AND PLACE ON FILE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? NAY. OKAY. MOTION CARRIES. THANK YOU, ATTORNEY BUNGERT. NEXT THING, WHERE IN THE WORLD ARE WE? OKAY, NUMBER NINE, FOR CONSIDERATION WITH POSSIBLE ACTION TO APPROVE TRANSFER OWNERSHIP OF K9, IS IT BOSE? FROM THE GREEN BAY POLICE DEPARTMENT TO RETIRED OFFICER MATT LYNCH. OKAY. CHIEF, I'M ASSUMING THAT'S YOU.

- YES, SIR. SO K9 BOSE SERVED THE CITY FOR, I BELIEVE IT WAS SIX OR SEVEN YEARS AS ONE OF OUR DOGS, A TYPICAL AGE WHERE WE START LOOKING AT RETIRING THOSE DOGS. THEY TYPICALLY LAST SOMEWHERE IN THE EIGHT TO 10 YEAR RANGE. SO I CONSULTED WITH OUR CONTRACT TRAINER WHO WORKS WITH ALL OF OUR POLICE DOGS. LONG STORY SHORT, THOSE DOGS ARE. DOGS CAN DETECT ILLEGAL DRUGS, AND THEY ARE ALSO TRAINED TO FIND PEOPLE WHO EITHER RUN FROM THE POLICE, LOST CHILDREN, ALZHEIMER'S PATIENTS, THEY'RE TRACKING DOGS, BASICALLY, IN LAYMAN'S TERMS. AND IT WAS OUR TRAINER'S OPINION THAT THAT DOG HAS REACHED A POINT IN ITS LIFE, AS IT'S GETTING A LITTLE BIT OLDER, THAT HE'S NO LONGER ABLE TO DO TWO OF THOSE THINGS, AND RECOMMENDED THAT WE, IF WE KEEP THE DOG, THAT WE USE HIM ONLY AS A DRUG DETECTION DOG. WELL, WE HAVE THOSE ALREADY WITH THE DRUG TASK FORCE. AND SO WHEN OFFICER LYNCH, WHO WAS THE DOG'S HANDLER, RETIRED, HE REQUESTED THAT IF WE RETIRE THE DOG, THAT WE TRANSFER THE DOG TO HIM, SINCE HE'S BEEN WORKING WITH THIS DOG FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS.

WE DECIDED FROM A BUSINESS STANDPOINT THAT THE BEST THING FOR US TO A NEW HANDLER, AND OFFICER LYNCH WAS, I THINK, THE SECOND OR THIRD HANDLER THAT THIS PARTICULAR DOG WORKED WITH, IT PROBABLY WAS NOT A GOOD IDEA TO TAKE AN OLD DOG WITH A BRAND NEW HANDLER, WHO'S NOW THEIR THIRD OR FOURTH HANDLER, END THEIR CAREER. IT JUST DIDN'T MAKE SENSE. AND SO WE SUPPORT THE OFFICER'S REQUEST TO TAKE THE DOG. OBVIOUSLY THERE WILL BE SOME WAIVER PAPERWORK, THE DOG LEAVES OUR POSSESSION AND IS NO LONGER OUR RESPONSIBILITY, BUT WE DID THINK THAT IT WAS APPROPRIATE, ALSO, TO RECOGNIZE OFFICER LYNCH'S MANY YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE TO THE GREEN BAY POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND K9 BOSE AS WELL, WHO HAD A PRETTY GOOD CAREER WITH US. SO WE SUPPORTED THIS REQUEST, AND WE WOULD RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT COUNCIL GRANT IT.

- OKAY. COMMITTEE. COMMENTS, QUESTIONS, MOTION?

- MOTION TO APPROVE.

- SECOND.

- OKAY, MOTION BY ALDER GALVIN TO APPROVE, SECOND BY HUTCHISON. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. DIRECTOR ELLENBECKER.

- YES. I HAVE A QUESTION FOR YOU, JUST FOR AS A FOLLOW UP. YOU APPROVED THE TRANSFER, DO YOU NEED TO SEE THE AGREEMENT THAT GOES ALONG WITH THE TRANSFER? 'CAUSE IT HAS TO BE DRAFTED. NOW OUR ATTORNEY OR ASSISTANT ATTORNEY IS NOW GONNA DRAFT THE TRANSFER DOCUMENT. I THINK IT'S EVERYTHING THAT WAS ALREADY TALKED ABOUT HERE. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE DON'T NEED TO BRING THAT BACK, BECAUSE UNLESS THERE IS SOMETHING THAT COMES UP THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS, ARE YOU OKAY WITH, WITH THE APPROVAL, DOES THAT, DO WE HAVE TO BRING THAT DOCUMENT BACK AGAIN?

- WELL, DO YOU THINK IT WOULD BE DONE BY THE COUNCIL MEETING OR NO?

- I WOULD HAVE TO ASK ATTORNEY BUNGERT OR HER TEAM IF THEY THOUGHT IT WOULD BE DONE BY TUESDAY.

- I'M NOT SURE IF WE'LL BE ABLE TO TURN IT AROUND IN THAT TIMEFRAME. BUT WE CAN ALWAYS BRING IT BACK FOR APPROVAL, IF NECESSARY. BUT ESSENTIALLY, IF THE TERMS OF THE TRANSFER ARE APPROVED WITH THE MOTION, IT'S ESSENTIALLY JUST LEGAL FORMAT, AS FAR AS FIGURING OUT THE LOGISTICS.

- OKAY, THANK YOU.

- DIRECTOR FAULDS AND THEN, WELL, LET'S GO ALDER GALVIN. OR WHATEVER, WHATEVER GUY WANTS TO SPEAK UP FIRST.

- YEAH. WELL JUST REAL QUICK. BASICALLY WITH THIS TRANSFER AND THE PAPERWORK, OFFICER LYNCH IS RESPONSIBLE FOR EVERYTHING TO DO WITH THAT DOG. ALL RIGHT.

- YES.

- CORRECT. 'CAUSE I HAVE A DAUGHTER WITH A SCAR IN HER FACE THROUGH AN UNFORTUNATE INCIDENT WITH A RETIRED K9 THAT TURNED OUT WASN'T RETIRED, AND THE CITY ENDED UP BIG UP THE TAB ON THAT. SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT'S ALL SQUARED AWAY.

- DIRECTOR FAULDS, I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR YOUR PERSPECTIVE.

- JUST VERY QUICKLY. CHIEF DAVIS MIGHT KNOW THIS, BUT I THINK IT'S A ONE PAGE DOCUMENT, IF I REMEMBER CORRECTLY. SO YEAH, IF WE DON'T, IT WE DON'T.

- I THINK THAT WAS THE, RIGHT. I THINK THAT WAS THE ORIGINAL TEMPLATE. BUT AS IS USUALLY THE CASE, WHEN TOO MANY LAWYERS START TOUCHING A DOCUMENT, IT ENDS UP BEING 10 PAGES.

- OKAY. THAT'S FAIR ENOUGH.

- SO WE'RE JUST REVIEWING AND MAKING SURE IT'S UP TO SNUFF AND WE HAVE ALL THE LANGUAGE IN THERE THAT NEEDS TO BE IN THERE.

- ALL RIGHT. WE VOTED IN THE AFFIRMATIVE. ONE THING I'LL SAY IS THIS COMMITTEE ACTION WON'T TAKE EFFECT UNTIL THE FULL COUNCIL. SO IF THERE'S ANY CONCERNS AT THE TIME OF THE COUNCIL, ATTORNEY BUNGERT, JUST LET US KNOW.

- WILL DO.

- ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

- THANK YOU.

- YEP, THANK YOU. MOVING FORWARD. NUMBER 10, IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST BY ALDERMAN BRUNETTE TO THE FINANCE COMMITTEE, WHICH STATES, FOR A STAFF TO PREPARE A LIST OF FIVE TO 10 IDEAS FOR ACCEPTABLE USES OF REMAINING ARPA FUNDS UNDER EACH OF THE FIVE ALREADY APPROVED CATEGORIES, WITH THE GOAL BEING A MORE HOLISTIC APPROACH FOR ALLOCATION OF FUNDS. AND THEN WE HAD A REFER TO STAFF UNTIL THE JUNE 21ST MEETING, WHICH IS TODAY, FINANCE MEETING, FOR PROPOSALS THAT HAVE BEEN PRESENTED OR HELD OR POSSIBLE CONSIDERATION TO PLACE THE ITEM FOR DISCUSSION ON THE JULY 26TH FINANCE AGENDA FOR CONSIDERATION OF ADDITIONAL IDEAS PRESENTED BY STAFF AND COUNCIL FROM THE MAY 24TH FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING. YOU'RE ALL PROBABLY GETTING TIRED OF ME AND MY IDEAS. I DID NOT PLAN ALL OF MY STUFF TO COME TOGETHER AT ONE

MEETING, BUT THERE HAS BEEN SOME CONVERSATIONS AND DISCUSSIONS, A LOT OF COUNCIL MEMBERS WHO HAVE BEEN MEETING WITH CONSTITUENTS AND PERHAPS CITY STAFF, WHO HAVE VERY DIFFERENT IDEAS, OR IDEAS THAT THEY WANT CONSIDERED IN REGARDS TO ARPA. AND OBVIOUSLY I MADE MY COMMENTS AND MY STATEMENTS ON PAST, VOTED FOR SOME, VOTED AGAINST SOME. BUT I WOULD FEEL COMFORTABLE HAVING A INCLUSIVE LIST OF PROJECTS SO THAT WE CAN LOOK AT PAPER AND SAY, THESE ARE OUR ORGANIZATIONAL PRIORITIES, AND THEN HAVE A DIFFERENT APPROACH THAN WHAT HAS HAPPENED. AND I'M NOT TRYING TO CRITICIZE ANYTHING OR ANYONE UP TO THIS POINT, BUT I THINK WE ARE ALL AT THAT POINT NOW WHERE WE'RE KIND OF, NOT ALL OF US PERHAPS, BUT SOME OF US ARE QUESTIONING IF THIS PROCESS COULD BE A LITTLE BETTER. AND THAT'S NOT INTENDED TO BE A DISRESPECT ON ANYONE SPECIFIC. BUT JUST CONVERSATIONS THAT I'VE HAD AND THINGS THAT I HAVE BEEN FEELING. SO I BROUGHT FORWARD THIS IDEA THAT MAYBE UNDER EACH OF THE FIVE CATEGORIES THAT CITY STAFF OR MAYOR'S OFFICE, IF HE'S KIND OF DIRECTING THIS, GIVE US A LIST OF FIVE OR 10 THINGS THAT ARE IN EACH CATEGORY. AND THE REASON IS IS THAT IN A YEAR OR TWO FROM NOW, WHEN MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC COME TO US AND SAY, "YOU HAD 20 THIS, OR WHY DIDN'T YOU DO THAT?" WE CAN SAY, "WELL, THIS WAS THE PROCESS. "AND IT JUST DIDN'T RISE TO THE LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE." RIGHT NOW WE'VE BEEN KIND OF DOING IT IN A MORE OF A PIECEMEAL FASHION. AND I DON'T FEEL COMFORTABLE WITH THAT. I HAD A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WHO REACHED OUT TO ME, AND I'M NOT GONNA SAY WHO OR WHAT ORGANIZATION, BUT THIS PERSON ASKED IF I WOULD SUPPORT A VERY LARGE ARPA REQUEST COMING BEFORE THE COUNCIL. AND I WAS A LITTLE FRUSTRATED BECAUSE, NOT THAT I HOLD MYSELF AT A HIGHER LEVEL THAN ANY OTHER COUNCIL MEMBER, BUT I'M CITY COUNCIL PRESIDENT, AND IT WAS THE FIRST TIME THAT I HEARD THAT THAT REQUEST WAS EVEN BEING CONSIDERED. IT JUST MADE ME LOOK FOOLISH. AND I JUST THINK THAT THE PROCESS COULD BE BETTER. SO WITH THAT LONG MONOLOGUE, I DON'T KNOW IF DIRECTOR ELLENBECKER, IF YOU WANTED TO . ALDER GALVIN, YOU WANT TO GO AHEAD?

- YEP. JUST TO SAVE EVERYONE A LOT OF TROUBLE, I HAD A LONG DISCUSSION WITH ALDER JOHNSON. HE'S OBVIOUSLY UNAVAILABLE. AND AFTER TALKING TO HIM, I WOULD ASK THAT WE HOLD THIS UNTIL OUR NEXT FINANCE MEETING. I'VE RECEIVED INFORMATION THAT OTHER PEOPLE ARE STILL PUTTING TOGETHER PROPOSALS OR IN THE PROCESS OF SUBMITTING PROPOSALS. AND I THINK IT WOULD BE BENEFICIAL, AT LEAST AT THE COMMITTEE LEVEL, IF ALDER JOHNSON'S ABLE TO BE THERE AT THE SAME TIME. AND THEN ALSO, WE DON'T WANT TO LOOK AT THE PROPOSALS TODAY AND THEN FIND OUT SOME MORE GOT SUBMITTED LATER ON THIS WEEK. SO IF WE COULD, I WOULD APPRECIATE IF I COULD MAKE A MOTION TO HOLD UNTIL OUR NEXT FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING.

- YEAH, DEFINITELY. ALDER GALVIN, DO YOU MIND IF I RECOGNIZE THE MAYOR? I THINK HE'S HERE.

- OH, ABSOLUTELY.

- OKAY. MAYOR GENRICH, PLEASED TO HAVE YOU WITH US.

- YEAH. YOU BET. THANKS FOR HAVING ME. WAITED ALL THIS TIME FOR THAT MOTION, ALDER GALVIN? NO, I'M JUST KIDDING. AND WE HAD SOME THOUGHTS ABOUT BRINGING FORWARD ACTION ITEMS RELATED TO THAT LIST, BUT CHOSE NOT TO DO SO BECAUSE OF ALDER JOHNSON'S ABSENCE. AND BECAUSE I THINK A NUMBER OF ALDERS ARE STILL PUTTING TOGETHER SOME PROPOSALS. SO I JUST WANTED TO THANK DEPARTMENT HEADS, DIANA'S TEAM, IN PARTICULAR, DIRECTOR ELLENBECKER'S STAFF, AND MY STAFF FOR PUTTING TOGETHER THE LIST THAT IS BEFORE THE COMMITTEE TONIGHT. IT'S NOT EXHAUSTIVE OF EVERYTHING THAT . IT DOES INCLUDE ALL THE ALDER REQUESTS THAT WE'VE RECEIVED TO THIS POINT, ACTIVE ALDER REQUESTS, I SHOULD SAY, SERVING ALDERS. SO, WE HAVE ALL THAT REPRESENTED IN THE SPREADSHEET BEFORE YOU. AND SO, YEAH, I THINK THIS GIVES PEOPLE A SENSE FOR WHAT'S BEEN THOUGHT OF INTERNALLY AND WHAT DIFFERENT ALDERS ARE KICKING AROUND. THE ONLY OTHER THING THAT I WOULD STRESS, AND WE'VE TALKED ABOUT THIS BEFORE, BUT THIS IS NOT A BLANK CHECK. THE $10 MILLION THAT'S AVAILABLE TO US FOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND ORGANIZATIONAL PRIORITIES, THAT'S MUCH MORE FLEXIBLE, BUT THAT'S CAPPED. THERE'S NO WAY THAT WE CAN GO ABOVE THAT NUMBER. THAT'S THE MAXIMUM DOLLAR AMOUNT DETERMINED BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. SO JUST SO FOLKS ARE FAMILIAR WITH THAT. THERE IS SOME MORE FLEXIBILITY IN THE OTHER CATEGORIES, BUT THAT TOO IS VERY STRICTLY GOVERNED BY FEDERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS. SO JUST ENCOURAGING ALDERS TO CONTINUE TO PUT FORWARD IDEAS. BUT IF YOU'RE INTERESTED, ALSO TAKE A LOOK AT THE RULES THAT ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND WORK WITH FINANCE STAFF AND DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS TO VET YOUR IDEAS SO THAT ONCE WE GET TO THE POINT OF HAVING A REAL DEBATE ABOUT THEM, WE HAVE A SHARED UNDERSTANDING THAT THIS STUFF IS ELIGIBLE.

- OKAY. THANK YOU, MAYOR. APPRECIATE IT. ALDER STEUER, GO AHEAD.

- THANK YOU, CHAIR. SO WITH THIS BEING SAID, YOU'RE GONNA HOLD IT, OR YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT HOLDING IT TO THE NEXT MEETING CORRECT? AND WHEN IS THAT, JULY WHAT? I JUST WANT TO DOUBLE CHECK.

- 20, UM.

- 27TH, NO, 26TH. JULY 26TH. SO WE HAVE UNTIL 2024 TO MAKE OUR CHOICES, SO TO SPEAK. SO ARE WE SAYING THAT THIS WILL BE THE FINAL ALL TO GET ALL, BY THE END OF JULY, OR THERE WILL STILL BE SOME LEEWAY TO GO ON BEYOND THAT? I THINK IF WE HAD SOME GOOD DECISIONS AHEAD OF TIME, THAT'D BE FANTASTIC, THE THINGS THAT WE CAN LOOK AT. I STILL HAVE THREE OR FOUR THAT I'M WORKING ON RIGHT NOW, MYSELF, ALONG WITH A FEW FOLKS. AND I THINK ALDER JOHNSON BEING THERE WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL. SO I JUST WANT TO HEAR FROM THE COMMITTEE THAT JULY 27TH OR 26TH IS NOT THE LAST LAST DAY THAT WE'RE GONNA HAVE REQUESTS FOR THIS, BUT THAT WE HAVE A MAJORITY OF THEM IN PLACE, MAYBE BY THAT TIME?

- AND CHAIR, MY OPINION, FROM THE ADMINISTRATION'S PERSPECTIVE.

- GO AHEAD.

- THANK YOU, CHAIR. YEAH, ALDER STEUER. JUST FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, I'M NOT PLANNING TO ENCOURAGE STAFF TO SUBMIT A NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT WOULD EXHAUST ALL OF THE FUNDS WE HAVE BEFORE US FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. SO I JUST WANT TO GIVE YOU THAT ASSURANCE.

- THANK YOU, MAYOR. AND ALDER STEUER, I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'VE LOOKED AT THE AGENDA SINCE IT WAS PUBLISHED ON FRIDAY, BUT IT WAS AMENDED, AND WE HAVE A RATHER INCLUSIVE DOCUMENT THAT COVERS ALL THE PROPOSED, ALL THE APPROVED, ALL OF THE PENDING REQUESTS. DEFINITELY WORTH THE REVIEW PRIOR TO THAT NEXT FINANCE MEETING.

- WELL, I'M LOOKING AT SOMETHING RIGHT NOW, AND I BELIEVE IT'S THE AMENDED, I BELIEVE.

- IT MOST LIKELY IS. ALL RIGHT.

- I'M LOOKING AT THAT. SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE WAS NONE, SOME OF GLITCH WHERE WE HAD TO HAVE EVERYTHING IN PLACE BY THE END OF JULY, THAT THERE'S STILL ROOM TO, THERE'S STILL WIGGLE ROOM TO COME UP WITH THINGS AFTER THAT FACT, AFTER THAT DATE.

- YEAH. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, ALDERMAN STEUER. ANY OTHER ALDERMAN, ALDERMAN GRANT, ECK? I KNOW YOU HAD SOME COMMENTS OR CONCERNS IN THE PAST. ALDER ECK.

- YES, I'M JUST WONDERING IF WE COULD MAYBE COME UP WITH, CAN YOU HEAR ME?

- YEAH.

- ALL RIGHT, TO COME UP WITH, I GUESS, A BETTER WAY, AND FOR LACK OF A BETTER WORD, TO DETERMINE, OR WHITTLE DOWN THE PROPOSALS, OR FIGURE OUT HOW AT THE, IF IT'S GONNA GO TO FINANCE COMMITTEE, AND THEN OBVIOUSLY THE FULL COUNCIL, AND YOU HAVE 20 THINGS ON THE TABLE, HOW ARE YOU GONNA PICK IT? SO FIGURING OUT A REALLY A GOOD WAY TO DETERMINE THAT AND HOW THAT'S GONNA BE DONE. DOES THAT MAKE SENSE?

- RIGHT. IF I CAN TALK. THAT WAS THE GRADING SYSTEM I THINK I BROUGHT UP, OR IT WAS BROUGHT UP BEFORE. A GOOD WAY TO DO EXACTLY WHAT YOU'RE ASKING FOR IS TO HAVE SOME TYPE OF GRADING SYSTEM WHERE YOU EMPHASIZE CERTAIN THINGS AND OTHER THINGS ARE LESS. AND THEN YOU RUN EACH OF THE PROJECTS THROUGH THE GRADING SYSTEM AND THEN THEY FALL OUT, AND SOME HAVE HIGH GRADES AND SOME HAVE LOW. BUT TO COME UP WITH A GRADING SYSTEM TAKES TIME BECAUSE YOU WANT TO DO THAT RIGHT. YOU DON'T WANT TO HAVE A BOGUS GRADING SYSTEM. BUT NO MATTER WHAT WE DO, WE ARE GONNA DO A GRADING SYSTEM, EVEN IF IT'S INFORMAL, TO GO THROUGH THESE PROJECTS, TO CHOOSE WHICH ONES. WE ARE GONNA GO THROUGH A GRADING PROCESS TO DO THAT, EVEN IF IT'S INFORMAL. SO I DON'T KNOW IF WE WANT TO FORMALIZE IT A LITTLE BIT OR, BUT I REALLY HESITATE TO SAY THAT, BECAUSE IT'S DIFFICULT. ONCE YOU START DOING THAT, YOU START

REALLY AFFECTING EACH PROJECT. AND THEN WHO'S GONNA MAKE THE GRADING SYSTEM?

- EXACTLY.

- BUT WE ARE, WE WILL GRADE THE THING. EVEN IF WE DON'T HAVE A GRADING SYSTEM, WE ARE GONNA BE, EACH OF US WILL BE USING A GRADING SYSTEM, TO DO IT, SO.

- WELL, AND HERE'S ANOTHER THING. DO ALL THE PROPOSALS USE UP ALL THE MONEY WE HAVE AVAILABLE TO US?

- YEAH. THEY DO NOW. SOMEONE PUT A BIG PROJECT IN THERE. AND I KNOW WHICH TWO DID IT.

- NOT NAMING ANY NAMES.

- NO. BUT THERE'S A BIG PROJECT IN THERE FOR, I THINK THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

- YES.

- SO THAT BURNS ALL THE MONEY UP EASILY, 'CAUSE THAT'S A $10 MILLION PROJECT. SO, IN THEORY, THE MONEY IS LIKE GONE IN A WAY, BUT.

- WELL THAT'S, AND THAT'S PART OF WHAT ALDER JOHNSON WAS REFERRING TO. HE WOULD LIKE, AND I AGREE WITH HIM ON THIS, HE WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE ARPA DOLLARS USED FOR THINGS THAT WE WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO GET ANY KIND OF GRANT OR MONIES FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OR THE STATE GOVERNMENT FOR ANYTHING ELSE. AND THAT IF WE'RE USING ARPA DOLLARS FOR THINGS THAT WE COULD CERTAINLY BE LOOKING TO GET STATE AND FEDERAL MONEY FOR, THEN WE'RE KIND OF SHOOTING OURSELVES IN THE FOOT. IT'S BETTER TO GET A BIGGER BANG FOR YOUR DOLLAR. SO IF YOU CAN SPREAD OUT THE COST AMONGST SEVERAL DIFFERENT SOURCES, THEN WE CAN DO MUCH MORE WITH THESE ARPA DOLLARS. THAT'S WHY WE HAVE THE FACILITIES COMMITTEE NOW, WHICH IS MEETING ON OUR FACILITIES, AND WHAT STATE THEY'RE IN, AND HOW SOON THEY NEED TO BE REPLACED, AND HOW WE SEE REPLACING THEM IN THE FUTURE, AND WHAT MONIES WOULD BE NEEDED, AND HOW WE COULD POTENTIALLY GET THOSE MONIES TO DO THOSE PROJECTS. AND THAT'S WHY I THINK I'D RATHER WAIT A LITTLE BIT LONGER UNTIL JULY TO AT LEAST LOOK AT ALL, A BIGGER LIST OF PROPOSALS, AND SEE WHERE WE MOVE FORWARD FROM THERE.

- ALDER GALVIN. ALDER--

- THE MAYOR'S HAND IS UP.

- YEP. I SAW THAT. MAYOR, WE HAVE JEN, ALDER GRANT, WHO WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK. MAYOR, DO YOU WANT TO MAKE A COMMENT OR? OKAY.

- ALDER GRANT CAN GO AHEAD.

- ALDER GRANT, GO FOR IT.

- OKAY. I APOLOGIZE. I HAVE A FEW QUESTIONS. ONE CONCERN I HAVE ACTUALLY, I APOLOGIZE, LET ME ASK MY QUESTION FIRST. SO THIS LIST THAT'S IN THIS PACKET, THIS IS ALL OF THE PROPOSALS UP UNTIL THIS POINT, 'CAUSE I DIDN'T THINK IT WAS WHEN I HAD REQUESTED THAT. SO THIS LIST, HOW DID THIS LIST COME TOGETHER?

- YEAH, I WOULD SAY IT'S REPRESENTATIVE, BUT NOT EXHAUSTIVE OF EVERYTHING THAT HAS BEEN KICKED AROUND INSIDE CITY HALL HERE.

- OKAY. THE STUFF THAT DIDN'T MAKE THIS LIST, WAS THERE A RHYME OR REASON TO IT, 'CAUSE THIS ISN'T EVERYTHING YOU SAID?

- RIGHT. YEAH, THIS IS IN KEEPING WITH THE COMMUNICATION THAT WAS APPROVED BY COUNCIL, THAT ALDER BRUNETTE PUT FORWARD.

- OKAY. CAN YOU GIVE ANY DETAILS TO, THEN, HOW CERTAIN ONES MADE THIS LIST?

- YEAH. AND JUST REALLY BASED ON INTERNAL DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHAT ROSE TO THE TOP AND WHAT WAS REPRESENTATIVE OF SOME OF THE IDEAS THAT WERE PUT FORWARD.

- OKAY. AND THE REASON I ASK THAT IS BECAUSE WE JUST KIND OF, THE COMMENT WAS BROUGHT UP, THE GRADING SYSTEM. SO IN YOUR OPINION, THESE ARE SOME OF THE TOP IMPORTANT. I GUESS I'M JUST TRYING TO SAY THAT, IT'S FAIR TO SAY THAT THESE ARE PROBABLY SOME OF THE MORE CRUCIAL ONES? SO IN A SENSE THAT KIND OF, WE HAVE A STARTING POINT OF WHAT'S KIND OF IMPORTANT, I GUESS, IS WHY I'M BRINGING THAT UP.

- YEAH. AND THE OTHER THING I WOULD SAY, REAL QUICKLY, JUST ON THE GRADING SYSTEM THOUGHT THAT ALDER HUTCHISON HAS TOUCHED ON. IN AN IDEAL WORLD, I THINK WE WOULD HAVE A COMPLEX GRADING SYSTEM HERE. BUT JUST TO LET FOLKS KNOW, OR REMIND ALDERS WHO ARE NEW AND WEREN'T PART OF THE PROCESS PRIOR TO APRIL, THE GRADING SYSTEM IS REALLY SHAPED BY THE ELECTORATE, OR THOSE THAT CHOOSE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROCESS. WE RECEIVED OVER 700 COMMENTS FROM THE SURVEY THAT WAS CIRCULATED BY THE CITY OF GREEN BAY. IN RESPONSE TO THAT, WE SUGGESTED A FRAMEWORK, AND ALDERS BLESSED THAT FRAMEWORK. SO THAT FRAMEWORK IS THE PRIORITY. THOSE CATEGORIES ARE THE PRIORITIES OF THE COMMON COUNCIL. AND SO THAT GIVES YOU KIND OF A ROUGH OUTLINE OF WHAT A GRADING SYSTEM WOULD LOOK LIKE. AND THEN AGAIN, IT'S MAJORITY RULE OF COUNCIL, AS FAR AS WHO DETERMINES WHAT RISES TO THE TOP AT THIS POINT.

- OKAY. THANK YOU. TO ALDERMAN GALVIN'S COMMENT ABOUT GRANTS, CAN'T WE USE BOTH GRANTS AND ARPA FUNDS TO PROJECTS?

- YEP.

- SO IF WE CAN APPLY FOR GRANTS, THAT IS SOMETHING WE CAN KEEP INTO CONSIDERATION AND CALCULATE THAT APPROPRIATELY, I BELIEVE, I GUESS, IS A POINT BECAUSE THE BIG PROJECT THAT WAS BROUGHT FORWARD, PART OF THE MINDSET BEHIND THAT WAS IT IS SUCH A BIG CHUNK OF MONEY THAT THIS HAS BEEN PUT OFF BECAUSE OF THAT. SO I GUESS JUST AS FAR AS THE GRANTS GO, JUST SOMETHING TO KEEP IN MIND THAT THAT DOESN'T EXCLUDE USING GRANTS TOWARDS FUNDS AND SPREADING IT OUT ACROSS MULTIPLE THINGS. MY LAST COMMENT, I LOVE THAT WE'RE DOING THIS NOW, BECAUSE IT GIVES US A BIG PICTURE OF WHAT THE CITY NEEDS ARE AS A WHOLE. BUT MY CONCERN IS THIS IS NOW IMPLEMENTED PART WAY THROUGH. SO WHAT IF THINGS COME TO THE TABLE THAT ARE, WE THINK, "OH MY GOSH, THIS IS A GREAT PROJECT. "I WOULD THINK THIS IS MORE IMPORTANT "THAN SOMETHING THAT'S ALREADY BEEN APPROVED, "BUT NOW WE DON'T HAVE THE FUNDS." I KNOW SOME THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN APPROVED HAVE NOT BEEN ACTUALLY SPENT OR ALLOCATED. SO YEAH, I GUESS I JUST KIND OF WORRY THAT WE'RE DOING THIS HALFWAY THROUGH. I'M GLAD WE ARE. I THINK WE SHOULD MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS PATH, BUT I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S SOMETHING WE CAN KEEP IN MIND WHEN WE'RE GRADING THESE THINGS, OF WHAT'S EXISTING AND HAVEN'T BEEN FULLY STARTED. I KNOW IF TIME HAS EVEN GONE INTO IT, THAT WE CAN'T RESCIND IT COMPLETELY, BUT WE COULD DECREASE THE DOLLAR AMOUNT ALLOCATED TOWARDS IT. SO I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S SOMETHING TO KEEP IN MIND AS WE'RE PRIORITIZING THESE. AND WHEN WE'RE PRIORITIZING THEM, IS IT GOING TO BE FINANCE COMMITTEE WHO PRIORITIZES THEM, AND THEN IT GOES TO COUNCIL?

- AND JUST A POINT. YOU COULD CHARACTERIZE IT AS PRIORITIZING, BUT THE FINANCE COMMITTEE WILL CONTINUE TO VOTE UP OR DOWN ON ITEMS THAT ARE PRESENTED TO IT. AND THEN THE COUNCIL WILL HAVE THAT SAME ROLE.

- OKAY. SO MY QUESTION IS, WHAT IF WE HAVE 20 ITEMS THAT AS A COUNCIL WE ALL SEE FIT, BUT THE BUDGET ISN'T THERE, HOW ARE WE GOING TO, IS IT GOING TO BE THE MOST VOTES? IF UNANIMOUSLY, 12 OF US WENT WITH IT? THAT'S A FOR SURE THING? AND THEN WE WORK OUR WEIGHTS. HOW DO YOU CHOOSE?

- YEAH, WE HAVE A SET DOLLAR AMOUNT. SO IT'S JUST UP COUNCIL TO STAY WITHIN THAT DOLLAR AMOUNT.

- OKAY. JUST AGAIN, TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW THAT'S GONNA WORK AS A FULL COUNCIL AS WE'RE APPROVING ONE BY ONE, AGAIN, A PRIORITY WISE, SO, OKAY, THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS AND QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.

- THANK YOU, ALDER GRANT. ALDER ECK.

- OKAY. SO I GUESS TO PIGGYBACK ON WHAT ALDER GRANT SAID, IS THE PROCESS, IT'S GOING THROUGH AND VOTING ON EACH THING SEPARATELY, AND I GUESS GOING THROUGH THAT AND PRIORITIZING, I WOULD LIKE TO, I GUESS, PUT IT OUT THERE THAT TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THE POSSIBILITY OF SAVING OUR TAXPAYERS DOLLARS, IF WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT, SO IN THE LONG RUN, SAVING OUR TAXPAYERS MONEY ON THEIR PROPERTY TAXES, I THINK THAT THAT SHOULD BE

PART OF THE PROCESS OF DECIDING ON WHICH PROJECTS TO GO WITH. AND I DO LIKE MORE BANG FOR THE BUCK, AS ONE OF THE ALDERS SAID.

- YOU MEAN ITEMS THAT THE CITY WOULD HAVE TO PURCHASE OR PROVIDE, EITHER THROUGH BONDING OR TAX DOLLARS?

- CORRECT.

- YOU'RE SAVING THE ARPA FUNDS FOR THINGS YOU KNOW WE'RE GONNA HAVE TO PAY FOR ANYWAYS.

- YES.

- INTEREST ON THE BORROWED MONEY. OKAY, POINT TAKEN.

- BUT WE'RE KIND OF GOING, WE'RE OFF TRACK HERE. I MADE A MOTION TO DELAY THIS TILL JULY. IF WE'RE GONNA START TALKING ABOUT ALL THIS STUFF NOW, THEN WE'RE NOT GONNA DELAY TILL JULY, WE'LL JUST TALK ABOUT EVERYTHING HERE, AND THEN WE'LL TAKE SOME NEW ITEMS IN JULY. AND PART OF THIS IS HOW TO PICK IT. THAT'S SOMETHING I THINK WOULD BE ANOTHER AGENDA ITEM. 'CAUSE WE CAN CERTAINLY HAVE STAFF LOOK INTO A GRADING SYSTEM THAT OTHER MUNICIPALITIES OR GOVERNMENT ENTITIES HAVE USED AND COME UP WITH THAT, AND WORKING LIKE ALDER ECK'S SUGGESTION OF SAVING TAXPAYER DOLLARS. SO, GOOD DISCUSSION, BUT IS THIS THE TIME AND THE PLACE FOR IT? OR SHOULD WE SAVING IT FOR OUR NEXT MEETING IN JULY?

- YEAH.

- OR SHOULD IT BE A SEPARATE AGENDA ITEM?

- YEAH. GOOD POINT. AND I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK FOR ECK OR GRANT, BUT FROM WHAT I GATHER, WHAT I HEAR IS THAT THEY JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THE PROJECT THEY'RE PROPOSING, THE FIRE STATION, FIVE OR 10, THAT THAT DOESN'T GET OVERLOOKED. BUT I HEAR WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, ALDER GALVIN. WE'LL TALK ABOUT THIS AT THE NEXT FINANCE MEETING, ALDER STEUER, IF THE MOTION PASSES.

- I DON'T WANT TO, I AGREE WITH ALDER GALVIN THAT WE'RE GONNA WAIT ON THIS, BUT I JUST HAD A PROCEDURAL QUESTION ALDER JOHNSON'S ON THE AD HOC COMMITTEE. I PRESUME THAT'LL ALL COME UP TOO, CORRECT? I JUST WANTED TO SEE HOW THAT ALL FIT INTO PLAY AS WELL. AS FAR AS WHAT'LL BE DISCUSSED.

- WELL, IT COMES INTO THE CONSIDERATION, BUT IT'S NOT SPECIFICALLY NOTICED TO BE DISCUSSED.

- OKAY. WELL I JUST WANTED TO BRING THAT POINT UP. AND I, ONE OTHER POINT I'M SURE WE CAN TALK ABOUT, I'LL JUST THROW THIS OUT QUICKLY IS, SINCE THE STORM DAMAGE THAT WE HAD IN THE CITY, WE'VE HAD A LOT OF PEOPLE COMING FORWARD WITH IDEAS. AND I JUST WOULD HOPE THAT FOLKS MIGHT ENTERTAIN THAT TOO.

SOME OF THE REQUESTS THAT MIGHT COME FORWARD BECAUSE OF THE STORM DAMAGE. SO JUST KEEP THAT IN MIND.

- YEAH. IT WAS NEVER MY INTENT TO KIND OF BLOW UP THE WHOLE PROCESS, BUT JUST KIND OF LIKE TWEAK IT MIDSTREAM, TO BE A LITTLE MORE ADAPTIVE TO WHAT WE HAVE LEARNED SINCE THE LAST FEW MONTHS. SO THAT'S ALL.

- THANK YOU.

- ALL RIGHT. ANY OTHER COMMENTS, QUESTIONS? ALDER GRANT.

- THANK YOU. DO WE HAVE, IS THE AD HOC COMMITTEE MEETING SET YET? IS THAT PRIOR TO THE 26TH FINANCE COMMITTEE?

- I THINK IT'S IN AUGUST.

- YEAH. I DON'T THINK IT WOULD BE.

- OKAY. BECAUSE SOME OF THE PLANS DO INVOLVE THAT, DOES IT MAKE SENSE TO HAVE A SPECIAL MEETING PRIOR TO THE 26TH SO THAT WE'RE MOVING FORWARD EFFICIENTLY? WHAT I WORRY ABOUT IS WE, THERE WAS A LOT OF PRESSURE TO HAVE THESE PROPOSALS IN BY THIS MEETING AND NOW WE'RE EXTENDING ANOTHER MONTH. A LOT OF PEOPLE DIDN'T WANT TO EVEN EXTEND TO THIS POINT. SO MY FEAR IS, IF WE'RE NOT WORKING EFFICIENTLY, WE'RE GOING TO KEEP RUNNING INTO THESE OBSTACLES OF PUSHING OUT, PUSHING OUT. AND I DO AGREE TO PUSH OUT, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE, AND THAT'S WHY I'M ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT HOW THIS IS GONNA GO, BECAUSE IF THERE DOES NEED . THIS IS FLOWING BY THE TIME WE'RE ALL READY TO VOTE, THAT WE HAVE THOSE AGENDA ITEMS AND THIS IS A SMOOTH PROCESS GOING FORWARD. SO I APOLOGIZE. I DON'T MEAN TO HAVE DISCUSSION. BUT I DO HAVE QUESTIONS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE READY FOR THE FINAL VOTING MEETING, WHICH IS WHY I WAS ASKING THOSE.

- ONE ADVANTAGE WE HAVE, ALDER GRANT, IS THAT ALDERMAN JOHNSON, I BELIEVE IS ALSO CHAIR OF NOT ONLY THIS COMMITTEE, BUT ALSO THE AD HOC COMMITTEE, FOR THE FACILITY. SO WE CAN DISCUSS THAT WITH HIM IF HE NEEDS TO TIME THE MEETINGS A LITTLE DIFFERENTLY.

- OKAY. I THINK THAT, YEAH, THAT WOULD BE VERY SMART.

- SO I THINK THAT WAS THE INTENT, WAS THAT WE REALLY SHOULD HAVE HIM AT THE NEXT FINANCE MEETING WHEN WE MAKE THESE DECISIONS.

- PERFECT. THANK YOU.

- YEP. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? DIRECTOR ELLENBECKER.

- NOT A QUESTION JUST TO KIND OF FOLLOW UP. THERE IS A REPORT THAT THE AD HOC IS WAITING FOR A RESULT FROM BSA. AND SO THAT IS PART OF THE REASON WHY

THE MEETING HASN'T BEEN SCHEDULED YET. ONCE WE GET THAT INFORMATION BACK, THAT WAS GOING TO BE DISCUSSED AT THE NEXT MEETING. SO THAT'S KIND OF THE REASON WHY THE NEXT MEETING HASN'T BEEN SCHEDULED YET.

- OKAY.

- AND THEN ONE OTHER COMMENT. I ALSO HAD A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC WHO HAD REQUESTED TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM. SO IF YOU DO OPEN UP THE FLOOR, I HAVE AN ITEM. HIS NAME IS MICHAEL.

- OKAY. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO MAKE A MOTION TO OPEN THE FLOOR. CAN WE GET A SECOND?

- SECOND.

- SECOND BY HUTCHISON, IS THAT YOU?

- YEP.

- OKAY. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. I BELIEVE MR. PORADEK, ARE YOU THE MICHAEL IN QUESTION?

- NO. MICHAEL PORADEK.

- YEAH, MICHAEL PORADEK, FROM THE GREEN BAY NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION,

- OKAY. THANK YOU.

- GO AHEAD, MICHAEL. NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE COMMITTEE, PLEASE. IF YOU'RE SPEAKING, WE CANNOT HEAR YOU. STILL CANNOT HEAR YOU.

- HE WAS JUST ON.

- YEP. YOU'RE CONNECTING TO AUDIO. THERE'S A, OKAY. TRY NOW. YEAH, NOT WORKING MICHAEL. OKAY.

- I DO SEE ANOTHER CONSTITUENT WITH THEIR HAND RAISED. DO WE GO BACK?

- HE SAID, MICHAEL JUST SENT A MESSAGE. NO COMMENT. WILL WAIT UNTIL NEXT TIME IT'S ADDRESSED.

- OKAY. YEP. OKAY. THANK YOU, MICHAEL. I THINK IF THAT'S POLLY ROESER OR MATT ROESER, THEIR HAND, DO YOU WANT TO SPEAK?

- [POLLY] YES. POLLY ROESER, 419 EAST LE CAPITAINE CIRCLE. THANK YOU.

- ALL RIGHT, GO FOR IT.

- I GUESS I JUST WANT TO PROBABLY ASK SOME QUESTIONS, WHETHER YOU GUYS ANSWER THEM OR NOT. MY UNDERSTANDING, I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THIS, IS THAT THERE'S BUCKETS OF MONEY THAT WERE APPROVED BY THE PRIOR COUNCIL. I JUST WOULD LIKE CLARIFICATION THAT THOSE BUCKETS CAN BE CHANGED, THE DOLLAR AMOUNTS THAT ARE ASSOCIATED WITH EACH BUCKET. AT THE PACKET OF INFORMATION, I SEE A LOT OF GREEN APPROVED, PRIOR APPROVED ITEMS. AND I GUESS MY QUESTION, I KNOW THAT THERE'S A LARGE POSSIBLE PROPOSAL FOR A LARGE AMOUNT OF FUNDS. IS IT POSSIBLE TO RE-LOOK WITH THE, AT, KNOWING WHAT PEOPLE KNOW NOW ON THE COUNCIL THAT ANY OF THE APPROVED ITEMS, TO HAVE THE STAFF SAY WHAT CAN STILL BE REVIEWED POTENTIALLY OR NOT REVIEWED? I KNOW MS. GRANT HAD MENTIONED THAT ALL OF THEM, AND IF ANY OF THEM CAN BE REVIEWED BY CURRENT COUNCIL TO BE LOOKED AT TO SEE IF THEY'D WANT TO MAKE ANY CHANGES TO WHAT MAY HAVE ALREADY BEEN APPROVED, BASED ON NEW INFORMATION. SO I SEE A COUPLE THINGS ON HERE THAT I PERSONALLY, AS A CONSTITUENT, WOULD LIKE TO SEE REVIEWED. SO I'M SURE THERE'S OTHER CONSTITUENTS THAT FEEL THE SAME. THAT'S ALL I HAVE. THANK YOU.

- ALL RIGHT, THANKS. SO MS. ROESER, I'LL TREAT THAT AS A RHETORICAL QUESTION AT THE MOMENT. AND WHEN WE CLOSE THE FLOOR, WE CAN DISCUSS THAT IN A LITTLE MORE DETAIL. ANY OTHER SPEAKERS? OKAY. MOTION TO CLOSE THE FLOOR.

- SO MOVED.

- SO MOVED.

- AND SECOND BY HUTCHISON. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. NOW THAT KIND OF GETS TO THE POINT OF A FEW OF THE OTHER ALDERS, IS CAN WE, NOT THAT WE WILL, BUT CAN THE COUNCIL KIND OF REVERSE COURSE ON DECISIONS THAT PREVIOUS COUNCIL VOTES APPROVED? MAYBE ATTORNEY BUNGERT WOULD PROBABLY BE BETTER TO ANSWER.

- WELL, WAIT, CAN WE DISCUSS THIS IN JULY, PLEASE? AGAIN, CAN WE DISCUSS THIS IN JULY? WE'RE SPENDING A LOT OF TIME HERE ON AN ITEM THAT I MADE A MOTION FOR TO HOLD QUITE A FEW MINUTES AGO. CAN WE DISCUSS THIS IN JULY?

- YEP.

- BECAUSE WE'RE NOT GONNA BE ABLE TO VOTE ON IT HERE RIGHT NOW ANYWAY, IF WE'RE GOING TO HOLD IT.

- OKAY. FAIR POINT. ATTORNEY BUNGERT, CAN YOU KINDA, I KIND OF FEEL BAD NOW THAT I POSED THE QUESTION, BUT I HEAR WHAT ALDER GALVIN IS SAYING.

- SURE. IT'S GOING TO BE A VERY FACT SPECIFIC INQUIRY AS TO PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE AND A MOTION TO RESCIND. SO A MOTION TO RESCIND IS VERY SPECIFIC, AND THERE CAN'T BE ANY ACTIONS TAKEN TOWARDS, IN RELIANCE ON THE ITEM THAT WAS APPROVED. SO IT'S GOING TO BE A VERY FACT INTENSIVE QUERY AS TO WHETHER HOW FAR DOWN THE LINE ACTIONS HAVE BEEN TAKEN WITH RESPECT TO THE DIRECTIONS THAT WERE GIVEN BY THE PRIOR COUNCIL.

- ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU, ATTORNEY BUNGERT.

- MM HM.

- ARE WE GOOD HERE? THERE'S A MOTION ON THE FLOOR. I WANT TO KIND OF BE RESPECTFUL TO BETTER PARLIAMENTARY PROCEDURE. I GAVE SOME LEEWAY. SO THERE'S A MOTION ON THE FLOOR BY ALDER GALVIN, WAS IT SECONDED BY ALDER HUTCHISON. DID YOU SECOND THAT?

- I THINK I DID, BUT I'LL SECOND IT. YEAH.

- OKAY, THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? OKAY, MOTION CARRIES. THANK YOU. GOOD DISCUSSION. MR. MAYOR, GOOD TO SEE YOU. NEXT QUESTION, OUR NEXT AGENDA ITEM IS, STILL GOING, FOR CONSIDERATION WITH POSSIBLE ACTION, THE APPROVAL OF AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN, ARPA ALLOCATION, WITHIN THE CAPITAL NEEDS AND ORGANIZATIONAL PRIORITIES CATEGORY, OF $25,000. SO SMALL AMOUNT, BUT GIVEN OUR LAST MOTION, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE RECOMMENDED COURSE WILL BE. CHIEF DAVIS, DO YOU WANT TO KIND OF, IS THIS SOMETHING THAT CAN WAIT TILL THE NEXT MEETING?

- I THINK IT CAN. JUST I WANT TO FLAG THIS AS ANOTHER FAIRLY IMPORTANT FACILITIES NEED IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. THIS IS A ROOF FOR ONE OF OUR COMMUNITY POLICING CENTERS. AND OBVIOUSLY WE ALL, IT'S A HOUSE CONVERTED INTO A COMMUNITY POLICING CENTER, AND WE ALL KNOW WHAT HAPPENS WHEN WE DON'T REPLACE THE ROOF ON OUR HOUSE ON TIME. BUT I THINK ALL THINGS CONSIDERED, I WOULD CERTAINLY UNDERSTAND IF WE WANTED TO JUST HOLD OFF ON THIS.

- OKAY. ALDER GALVIN, HUTCHISON, ANYTHING? WANT TO MAKE A MOTION? MOTION TO HOLD?

- I'LL MOVE TO HOLD.

- OKAY.

- I'LL SECOND THAT.

- OKAY. MOTION BY HUTCHISON, SECOND BY GALVIN, TO HOLD. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. OKAY, INFORMATIONAL. THE CONTINGENCY ACCOUNT IS 200,000. THAT'S BEFORE THE VOTE THAT WE TOOK THAT STILL NEEDS COUNCIL APPROVAL, EARLIER IN THE AGENDA. THE NEXT FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING WILL BE HELD ON TUESDAY, JULY 26TH, AT 4:30. AND ALDER JOHNSON SHOULD BE BACK. CAN I GET A MOTION?

- MOVED.

- SECOND.

- MOTION TO ADJOURN BY GALVIN, SECOND BY HUTCHISON. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR SAY AYE?

- [ALDERS] AYE.

- OPPOSED? MOTION CARRIES. GOODNIGHT, EVERYONE. GOOD SEEING YOU ALL.