



MINUTES OF THE IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICES COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 14, 2022, 5:00 PM

CITY HALL, ROOM 207

Immediately following Parks Committee.

A. ROLL CALL.

Present: Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, Steven Campbell

Excused:

B. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to approve the agenda of the September 14, 2022 Improvement and Services Committee meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

C. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the minutes of the August 24, 2022 Improvement and Services Committee meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

D. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on request by Paul Jacob (715 S. Broadway) for a 12-stall rental agreement in Lot BG located in the 600 block of S. Broadway.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to approve the request by Paul Jacob (715 S. Broadway) for a 12-stall rental agreement in Lot BG located in the 600 block of S. Broadway.

Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action to eliminate the cost of parking for downtown area service

industry employees who make \$15.00 per hour or less. (Ald. Steuer)

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to close the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to receive and place on file the request to eliminate the cost of parking for downtown area service industry employees who make \$15.00 per hour or less and to include this request to be considered as part of the 2022 Downtown Parking Study (Ald. Steuer). Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works for Amendment #1 to the Professional Services Agreement between the City of Green Bay and McMahon Associates for the design services associated with the West Seymour Park Storm Water Facility in the amount of \$16,625.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to approve the request by Department of Public Works for Amendment #1 to the Professional Services Agreement between the City of Green Bay and McMahon Associates for the design services associated with the West Seymour Park Storm Water Facility in the amount of \$16,625. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works for Amendment #1 to the Professional Services Agreement between the City of Green Bay and McMahon Associates for the design services associated with the East Seymour Park Storm Water Facility in the amount of \$10,500.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to approve the request by Department of Public Works for Amendment #1 to the Professional Services Agreement between the City of Green Bay and McMahon Associates for the design services associated with the East Seymour Park Storm Water Facility in the amount of \$10,500. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to institute a service fee for collection of yard waste outside of City-wide curbside collection programs.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to hold the request by Department of Public Works to institute a service fee for collection of yard waste outside of City-wide curbside collection programs until the September 28, 2022, Improvement and Services meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with Tycore Built, LLC, the Developer of Pine Acres Estates subdivision on the City's west side, to take ownership of their storm water facility once the vegetation is established.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with Tycore Built, LLC, the Developer of Pine Acres Estates subdivision on the City's west side, to take ownership of their storm water facility once the vegetation is established. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with Duke's to provide Smoke Testing Services within the City's sanitary sewer system to investigate potential sources of inflow and infiltration (I/I).

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with Duke's to provide Smoke Testing Services within the City's sanitary sewer system to investigate potential sources of inflow and infiltration (I/I). Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on Report of the Purchasing Agent to award the purchase of a Graco Walk Behind Paint Sprayer to TAPCO in the amount of \$29,570.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Chris Wery to approve the Report of the Purchasing Agent to award the purchase of a Graco Walk Behind Paint Sprayer to TAPCO in the amount of \$29,570. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

9. Report the non-award of contract GBPD 2-22 SECURITY FENCE.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to receive and place on file the non-award of contract GBPD 2-22 SECURITY FENCE. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

10. Consideration with possible action on review and award of the following contracts:

A. GBPD 1-22 POLICE STATION REROOF

B. SEWERS 3-22 STORM LIFT STATION 110 REPAIRS

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to approve the award of the following contracts:

A. GBPD 1-22 POLICE STATION REROOF (base bid) to Crafts, Inc. in the amount of \$110,081

B. SEWERS 3-22 STORM LIFT STATION 110 REPAIRS to McMullen & Pitz Construction Co. in the amount of \$257,800

Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

11. Report of actions taken by Department of Public Works.

A. Granting of Licenses

I. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Spencer Concrete
- b. Highway Landscapers Inc.
- c. Paul Conard Construction Co., Inc.

2. Tree & Brush Trimmer

- a. Highway Landscapers Inc.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to receive and place on file the report of actions taken by Department of Public Works.

A. Granting of Licenses

I. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Spencer Concrete
- b. Highway Landscapers Inc.
- c. Paul Conrad Construction Co., Inc.

2. Tree & Brush Trimmer

- a. Highway Landscapers Inc.

Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

E. INFORMATIONAL.

- I. The next Improvement & Services Committee meeting is scheduled for September 28, 2022.

F. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to adjourn. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- We're good.

- [Mark] Okay. Are we ready? Yep. Okay. Welcome to Improvement and Services for September 14th, 2022. Roll call. Alder Steuer, I am here.

- Alder Wery?

- Here.
- Alder Eck?
- Here.
- Alder Campbell?
- Here.
- [Mark] All present and accounted for. Can I get approval of the agenda?
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- Moved by Wery, seconded by Eck. All in favor, please say aye.
- [All] Aye.
- [Mark] All opposed? It passes unanimously. Approval of the minutes. Entertain a motion.
- [Chris] Move to approve.
- [Mark] Move by Wery.
- Second.
- Seconded by Campbell. Any other discussion? All in favor, please say, aye.
- [All] Aye.
- [Mark] All opposed? It passes unanimously. All right. Regular business. Consideration with possible action on request by Paul Jacob, 715 South Broadway, for a 12 stall rental agreement and lot BG located in the 600 block of South Broadway. Staff?
- Sure. Lot BG is under the Mason Street Bridge on the East side of Broadway. So if you are taking the Broadway off ramp, on Westbound Mason street, there is a lot on the west side, That's a lot D. Lot BG is directly across, immediately North of Rum Runners, I believe or-
- Rock A Billy's.
- Rock A Billy's, the old Rock A Billy's. Close to, oh, it's probably been about six or seven years, now.. that area was all gravel, we were having runoff issues. We were getting sediment coming out into the into the storm sewer. So we paved the area over to stop the sedimentation issues there and the owner of the bar at the time, Ron Smiths, approached us and said, "Hey, it's a nice flat parking spot, next to the bar. Would you consider turning it into a parking lot?" So we rented out, I believe there's 24 stalls there. We started renting that out. And he was then subletting to the neighbor. Because the neighbor isn't on the agreement, the parking agreement, technically he really doesn't have a claim to the 12 stalls. He's then, now approached Chris and asked for consideration for that bar to have 12 stalls and the original tenant to have 12 stalls. So I mean the short version, that's what we're talking about. What we would do is enter into our standard form of lease agreement for the current rate per stall in the downtown area.

- [Steven] So you worked on it. They have no problems with it? You have no problems with it?
- Correct.
- It would be the same terms and conditions. We're just simply splitting the number of stalls in the lot they'd have and allocating half to each establishment.
- [Mark] Any questions committee?
- Move to approve.
- Move by Wery.
- Second.
- Seconded by Eck. All in favor, please say, aye.
- [All] Aye.
- All opposed? And again, any recommendations made here will go to City Council next Tuesday at 6:00 PM. So these are advisory in nature, but if you need to talk about that, City Council. All right. Number two. Consideration with possible action to eliminate the cost of parking for downtown area service industry employees who make \$15 per hour or less. I brought that forward with the citizens. So I would make a motion initially to open the floor. So one of you need to open the floor.
- Motion to open the floor.
- By Wery.
- Second.
- Seconded by Eck.
- All in favor please say, aye.
- [All] Aye.
- [Mark] All opposed? It passes unanimously. So is anybody here to speak on this smatter? Come forward, state your name and address for the record and the floor is now open.
- [David] My name is David Bartinofsky. My address is 900 Cedar Street, here in wonderful Green Bay. I would like to start with thanking you for this committee allowing me to speak this evening. And I wanna also thank you on behalf of all the trees in the City of Green Bay. I had no idea that you guys can enforce things like that. And it's actually pretty cool, Because I think the trees are important. What I did, what I submitted to Honorable Alderman Mark Steuer here, about a week and a half ago was a communication asking to be heard, and possibly approved, to allow employees of the downtown area here, in the City of Green Bay, to not be charged for parking because they're making minimum wage. Right now, we are going through extremely difficult economic times, right now. Inflation is creeping up. Interest rates will be creeping up. The stock market tanked just, I think it was yesterday or day before. We're going through some really difficult times. So by luck, there was another thing I added to this communication, which I'm probably going to add to another communication- was not only for people that make less than \$15, to be exempt from paying for parking.... And I'm talking about the parking structures downtown, not the meters, I'm talking about the parking structures,

that they would be allowed to park in the parking structures. But I'm also adding past and present veterans. I think it's important that we gift these veterans, the ability to come down to the city down here and park and not have to pay. I think that's a small gesture. Keep in mind that we can't change the world, but we can change little things. And this is one of those little things that we can change and make it better. It not only benefits the employees, but also it benefits the employers in this downtown area here in the City of Green Bay. It's hard to hire somebody and have them understand that you're going to work, you're gonna pay \$80 a month to park to work. That's counterproductive when it comes to doing business. I'm a businessman here. I started a business on Broadway, 24 years ago. And I've made changes here in the City of Green Bay. I started the Farmer's Market, you may not be aware of that, but I did. I also took the meters off of Broadway, that's why we have two hour parking out there. Posted two hour parking. So things can change. And I think it hasn't hurt the district in the Broadway district removing meters. You know, you're allowed to give, you know- they're allowed to park there for two hours. You can do a lot of business. But keep in mind, what I'm saying here is that we exempt the minimum wage workers in the downtown area. It's going to improve the ability for businesses in the downtown area now to hire employees. 'Cause you don't have to pay for parking. Either it comes out of the employee's pocket or it comes out of the employer's pocket, either way. You have a restaurant, for instance, I'll give you an example, and you have 15 minimum wage workers there, and they have to pay \$80 a month out of their pocket, out of the business' pocket. That is a lot of money. If you've ever ran your own business, you'll understand that you are in the trenches every single day. It's not easy to run a business, it's difficult and they can benefit by having this approved, greatly, actually. I think it's a minimum minimum cost. I think you have what they call a passport down here. I thought about, "Well, how would they enforce this? How would they-?" Well, the employer then would submit to the traffic department, the license plates of their employees so that they're parked in the structures here, they would not be ticketed then. When they're fired, they're removed from those reports. As far as veterans, you can put stickers on their cars. You know, all veterans carry veteran cards to prove that they're veterans or that they're currently serving. So there's things that need to be worked out, I understand, but I think it would readily benefit the business community here and the working force here in the downtown area. Any questions? Committee? Oh Eck, go ahead.

- Thank you. Yeah. So I was kind of thinking that too, how would that be- you know, whatever- but I know for us as City Council, we were given the thing to hang up on our view mirror for when we park here. So anyway, that would be...

- Yeah, I used to pay for parking in the Broadway district and I had a hang tag but I thought that's not really economically feasible, to make hang tags and all of this. I think submitting, you know, like we do with Passport, that's all I use when I park downtown here, I go under my app, Passport. I put it in there and it allows me up to two hours of parking without having to come out and plug those meters. So we can do something like that or make it the responsibility of the employer to submit those people that work for him and submit it to the traffic department. So when the lady goes around or gentleman that goes around enforcing the parking issues here in town, they would immediately know then that this person is exempt from doing it, 'cause they're minimum wage worker.

- Question.

- Alderman Campbell.

- So you started out by saying in the ramps.

- Yes.

- So is there city designated parking on Broadway? 'Cause I know there's no ramps, but-

- No, but there is public, It could be public. It could also be public, lots as well.

- Public lots?

- Yeah, but public lots... the Broadway area is very unique. I was on the parking task force over there for a number of years, trying to solve that. But the only thing I came up with- and I haven't submitted it to the city, but, and I don't know what the railroad feels about this- but I wanted to put a parking structure over the railroad, make it like a tunnel. But the parking structure would be above the railroad, that whole easement right there. I don't know how they feel about that, but that would solve a lot of, I think, the parking issues in the Broadway district if we did a ramp like that over the railroad. I don't know the legalities of that, but I did suggest that quite a while ago. Or making- Another thing we looked at in the Broadway area was making all private parking, public. And in exchange for that we were gonna maintain their parking lot, would be snow removal, and black topping, and repainting it, and so forth. That was another thing we came up with. So yeah.

- I was gonna ask you, David.

- Yeah.

- You walked around the downtown area, talked to various businesses, various folks. I mean, what was the tenor that you got from these folks?

- I think they're all in favor of this. I'm a little disappointed, cause I think this room should have been packed 'cause I did give out-

- I was ready. I had a seatbelt on.

- Yeah. Well and I'm gonna read to you what I gave them. "Hi, my name is David Bartinofsky, I submitted to the City Council through Alderman Mark Steuer a proposal to allow minimum wage workers, \$15 or less, as well as United States veterans, the ability to park for free in the downtown parking structures and city parking lots during the hours of 6:00 AM to 6:00 PM. This will eliminate the expensive cost of minimum wage workers for parking. I believe it would also be an honor to reward our service veterans and active military by providing them free park in the downtown area. This proposal will be heard on" blah, blah, blah, September 14th at 5:00 PM in this room here. Improvement and Services is slate to hear this-" let's see here, but anyway, so- and I put, "Your present support and voice at the meeting will be greatly appreciated. Together we can make a positive change for our community. Please send a representative for each of your businesses." So I actually hand delivered those to almost every business in downtown area. Like I said, I think it's a win-win for the employees as well as the employers of the downtown area. And really think about- you know, hopefully you think this through and kind of side on my side of the coin here. But, yeah.

- [Mark] Well, we'll definitely talk about it. And we have to look at the fact that parking utility kind of raises their own funding. So we have to look at that too. Two sides to this.

- I think most of your funding, most parking, I could be wrong, I'm not sure, but- you know, is citations. From putting meters and you're somewhere eating your meter goes out and you get a 25... I think it's \$25 ticket, I'm not sure. And if you're like me, you forget about it and you're paying \$75 two months later. So I think, you know, the revenue stream, I'm not trying to cut off the revenue stream here of the City at Green Bay, but I'm trying to enhance the ability for these businesses to remain open, not to incur these giant costs at this really difficult period in our history, 'cause it is difficult right now. And I'm just hoping that, you know, logic falls where it should fall on this matter.

- [Mark] Alder Eck? So if you've been in contact with a lot of the businesses, are they currently offering their employees? Like they pay for the parking, or?

- [David] I found that some do and some don't. And I just ate at the Creamery this morning, I was interviewed up on Channel 26, went to the Creamery to have breakfast and somebody caught word that I was bringing this

forward and they thanked me, 'cause they pay for their own parking and their minimum wage. And I think, when I say minimum wage, it's seven something or so, you know, and they rely on tips I guess. But when you're making that little and you have to come up with \$80 a month to work in an area, is a little insane. Yeah.

- Alderman Campbell

- Appreciate your hard work,

- and all the time you put into this and your community service that you did that for.

- Thank you. Thank you.

- I'm in favor of it.

- Okay. I was gonna ask if- we'll talk about that. Is anybody else here to speak to the matter? And we may call you back up David.

- Thank you.

- Come on forward.

- Thank you.

- Thanks.

- Hi, my name is Marcella Viera, I am the owner of Brow Beauty Bar right next to Hagemeister. I just started my business about three years ago. I've been in the downtown, Broadway, started back in 2000 and I decided to open my business in this area because I absolutely love what it's brought to the city. It's bringing trends forward. It's all small businesses, and I do, and I thank you. I've cut his hair for many years and on Broadway. And so, so I came here to not only represent myself and really represent all of our small businesses because it is a lot of money. I've got four employees that I have that I am paying for them and you know, and I'm trying to make my- I ran my business for a year and a half by myself because of the COVID and then I was closed down for about three months and then it was really slow to get anybody into the downtown area. And now that I do have a nice, fully staffed and I am realizing that those little charges are really adding up, especially for myself. And the other thing that you brought up was the passport on the meters. I am the only one that has clients and at Hagemeister their customers are there also over two hours, right? We're not gonna go there and eat and then be like, I'm gonna leave here in two hours 'cause that's what my meter says. All of my girls, my clients are now in my seat for about three and a half to four hours. In the winter it's a pain in the tushy to keep going out there. We've already drilled it into their heads to try to put the coins in first, 'cause that app is absolutely the worst app ever because I have clients that come from south of Chicago, all the way up Hortonville, up in Michigan. I have clients from all over coming to see me. I have specialized in a few things. So they come specifically to see me and they love the downtown area, Hagemeister this and that. They love everything that I brought. You know, they see all of this amazing stuff downtown and I do feel like it does- They complain a lot. A lot of people have gotten a lot of tickets just to get their hair done. I'm the only one that's, you know, have a lot of business coming down. Hagemeister was shut for lunch for what, two years? Almost two years for lunch, only for dinners, only on the weekend. So I was the only one on that side. Really striving to keep that business going on that side. So yeah, I really do think it's really helpful to help us out a little bit during this time.

- Well, thanks for coming in. I was just gonna ask, have you lost a number of employees at all over this?

- I have lost some.

- And I had lost a number of clients because of the meters too. So, I wish the meters were a little bit further out where it's not a two meter timing. Like it's not gonna kill you, we're not busy. There's so many places that are closed and not usable right now. Spaces all the way along, you know, from Brian Johnson's, you know, all the way down here, it's all empty. So for the very little businesses that are, I think they should get a little break on that. And especially our customers, you know, they just push that meter thing a little further out from our customers and then eliminate for small business paying for their parking for their employees would be great.

- [David] Committee? Alder Eck.

- Yes sir. Yeah. So what, when you say you pay for your employee's parking-

- My employee's parking. How did you you do that? Through the app or how you-?

- So by paying through my rent, a month through the- it's put into the rent a month where I rent, there at Flats on the Flats, the apartment building. So I'm on the opposite side. I took over Haberdasher's tailoring business on that side. So yeah. So the contract, I pay for all of them. And so it's all written all under one.f

- Can you to explain that one?

- Yeah.

- So it's like a parking lot that they go in and...?

- [Marcela] We park right above where Hagemeister is at, on that ramp. We have the parking- the little tag that we put on there, but I have to purchase it for my girls to be able to work.

- Okay.

- Is it about \$80 a month?

- Yes And I have a four of us right now and then I'll be having another one. So that's... and I'm 25, I think they're 22 a month. So I pay for all of us and that's a little over a \$1,000 a year.

- Anybody else have questions? Anybody else? Okay. Well thank you very much for coming in.

- [Marcela] Thank you guys.

- Anybody else to speak to this matter? Anybody? All right, well.

- Motion to close the floor. Motion by Wery to close the floor.

- Second.

- Second by Campbell. All in favor, please say aye.

- [All] Aye. All opposed? All right. Now staff here we are. So, and I know Mr. Bartinofsky's here as well. And we're fully aware of the parking utility, you know, what you folks need to go through, and collecting monies, and I understand that we're not looking at-

- Just one order, quick-

- Oh I'm sorry.

- before we get into discussion. Mr. Chairman No, I just wanted to clarify because it's not listed in the agenda for the vets, can we actually discuss that part? Or we need to, I mean, if we can great. I just wanna make sure we don't spend a lot of time on that if we can't even act on it. Right now it just mentions service employees and not veterans. So I-
- Yeah that's right.
- Don't have legal staff here but-
- [David] Can I get up and talk about that?
- I just wanna make sure we can legally talk about it-
- It's just not on our agenda.
- 'cause it's not even on our agenda.
- So we might have to wait on that topic
- And Mr. Bartinofsky did indicate that he would be looking at submitting a separate item.
- [David] I can submit something.
- Okay, so we should really just talk about this tonight. Then next one we can make.
- But I think you would be okay having some discussion, but you couldn't take action on anything.
- Sure. Perfect.
- Okay. So like I was saying, I mean, we're all aware of the fact that citations are given for parking violations. So you raise money on parking ramps and monthly fees, et cetera, et cetera. You got, we're not on the levy that way. So it's, you know, self-sufficient, we understand that, and you've done some amazing things over time. So I guess my initial question is, with this being brought forward, you know, it would seem like there would have to be some research done as far as monies that you feel would be lost because of something like this. And I don't know if you can even put a number on it right now. I mean, it would still have to maybe go back to committee or not committee, but to staff to kind of study all that. But that's my understanding. I don't think we could really make a good decision on that tonight. Alder Eck.
- Okay. So it, and this specifically says those that make \$15 per hour or less. And I think that there were a couple different things brought up, with your employees and just employees in general and then also customers and things like that. So I think there's a lot of different aspects to look at here that it, to put a number on it, you know, specifically \$15 dollars an hour and less, it just seems like it's too specific and, you know, might change. I mean, based on what the minimum wage is, you know, instead of saying minimum wage. So just a thought, but do, so this is a question maybe to Mr. Pilo- that do the employers get like a discounted rate, like a monthly rate versus what it would be say hourly rate?
- They do, but our discount rate begins at 50. So an employer who takes out 50 passes then gets a rate and then the discount increases again at 100 and every 100 thereafter.
- That seems high. Cool. I'm just gonna say, I mean, it seems like a lot of people.
- Well, there's a lot of small businesses.

- Yeah. When we have small businesses, 50 is a lot. Yeah, So I think we should maybe break this down a little bit more. Obviously we can't open our thing to- probably has it attached with whatever the-

- Alder Wery.

- Sure, You know, I'm intrigued, and Dave, you always come up with really good ideas. You have to play a devil's advocate with part of this stuff, right? I mean, parking ramps, aren't cheap. We outlay a lot of money to, to build it and to maintain it. So I would be interested in what's going to cost us, you know, because there's always a cost. And I just, I need to know that before I make a decision. So I don't know if we work with DGBI, you know, Jeff Murkus and kind of get an idea of how many businesses would want to do it. How many employees.

- Well, as luck would have it, we are in the process of selecting a consultant. The last downtown parking study was completed in 2013. So that's one of the things we have in the budget for this year in parking is to update the downtown parking study. So that will handle the core of the downtown, which is essentially Monroe to Ashland, roughly Main Street on the east side and Kellogg on the west, down to Walnut Street, unofficially, as far as the school district office, unofficially try to encapsulate that all of it down. It could even go as far as, as Mason Street, but that kind of quantifies our core of the downtown area. So an approach like this, we could definitely ask our consultant to take a look at, I think it is going to be difficult to quantify unless somebody has statistics on how many service workers would fit this type of a category, that are currently working in the downtown area. The one thing that I can tell you without qualification or reservation, and unfortunately Ms. Viera, where she's parking, that's what we refer to as a river ramp. That ramp is wholly owned by owned by FeeWe. So that's a Foxcon owned ramp. We administer that ramp on behalf, and we're not privy to those negotiations that go on with the rent there. So she's kind of in a unique situation. That's not really covered by parking division. Like most of the downtown is. So there she's definitely in unique situation with that parking being part of her rent. What I can tell you again, without reservation or qualification, no charge parking, is not a reality for us. Somebody is gonna have to take that parking up. Prior to the pandemic, we were about \$3.5 to \$3.7 million a year in parking. That's what we did. We needed to take that kind of income in to offset our expenses. With the pandemic we have changed a lot of our operations. We are currently about \$2.5 to \$2.7 million a year. So we've lost about \$800,000 of revenue. And we're-

- That's pretty much where we're at right now. Has come back up. We dipped down in Fulson. We lost a lot of-

- Well, we were offsetting that revenue through our reserves, right? Those who've been on council for a while, know that you can't exactly balance year to year. So anything that's excess gets put into a cap or into a reserve fund. That's essentially a rainy day fund. We use that rainy day fund over the last two years, and we've completely exhausted it. So it may be no charge to the employer. It may be no charge to the employee, but it has to be a charge to someone. We cannot survive, if we lose any more revenue. We will have to take the parking division and it will no longer be a special revenue fund. It will go on the levy, that's the only chance we get. And \$2.5 million worth- let's say 2.5 for easy point of reference- \$2.5 million of revenue loss that has to go directly onto the levy for support instead, I believe it's roughly 23 to 24 cents per thousand in tax rate per million dollars of direct expense. So we would have to raise taxes, 55, 60- between 55 and 60 cents per thousand on every residential property or every property in the city. We have a problem making a 4 cent levy adjustment in a given year. We'd have to raise it over 50 cents just to cover parking. That's nothing else with the city budget. So we would have to figure out a funding source. It can't be, we can't talk in terms of revenue loss. That's simply not a reality for us. Any revenue loss that we see that's appreciable is going to put the parking division in a situation where we can no longer balance our books.

- Apologize. I didn't mean to be a Debbie Downer, but I just, if there's no reality of it.

- No you're right. Then that has to be out there. I just 'cause love the idea, but maybe it doesn't have to be free, maybe there's some discounts we come at, but we need to have all the facts on the table before we just jump into it.

- But I do think making this part of our downtown parking study, that would be a good way to approach it. And then we were gonna be bringing industry professionals in who were gonna give us some assistance in doing that.

- [Chris] That's coming up this year? Or next year?

- Well, we're hoping... we were just talking about this late last week. I think we've got a consultant selected. Now we need to execute the agreement and get them going. So I would expect that's probably gonna be... if last time was any indicator, we'll probably have a document coming forward, ready to go February, March.

- Really? First of the year?

- Yeah. And as part of that, now, one of the things that's about that document, Mr. Bartinofsky alluded to, when we do that parking study, we do engage stakeholders who are directly impacted by it. So I think we had about 25 stakeholders that were personally interviewed as part of the last parking study. We anticipate that happening again. So we can definitely take down Mr. Bartinofsky's information. We can take down Miss Viera's information and make sure that they're part of that groups that we capture the concerns that they have to make sure we're addressing that.

- [Mark] Yeah. Alder Eck? I'll open the floor in a bit.

- [Melinda] Okay. So this may date me but, Port Plaza Mall. Hey, it was built when I was in sixth grade or going into sixth grade or something like that. Anyway, but I remember-

- Okay you're dating yourself.

- Yeah, I am dating myself. So there were a couple things that I remembered that like, well, 'cause my friends, I didn't work down there, but my friends did. And so they would get like a parking thing from their employer. And then also there was a time I remember like certain stores, like maybe Deb, or whatever would give their customers, if they bought something, they would get a parking-

- Validation.

- Voucher

- And gold point programs.

- [Melinda] You know what I'm talking about? Right.

- Oh, we remember.

- Parking stamps.

- They're valuable, huh?

- [Melinda] So I guess I'm just thinking, you know, something along those lines, but I think the 50 plus discount maybe should be brought down a bit for those small businesses, you know. Like having less than 50 parking spots or whatever that seems like a lot or what is it, employees? It's a lot.

- That would be part of the study I would presume.

- Well, that's one of the things that we will be taking a look at is our rate structure. We're gonna be taking some recommendations from the consultant on the rate structure. But again, the rate structure is designed so that long transient parkers, long term parkers, which we refer to as our monthly parkers, both lots and ramps, those folks absorb some of the cost associated with running the parking division. And then the rest of the revenue comes out of citations. So it's a balancing act. And that's, when we brought forward the parking rates a couple of meetings ago that was explained in the memo. You can't put all of your eggs in one basket on rental rates, meters, or citations. It is a blend of all of them because otherwise we wind up with somebody went two minutes past their meter and got \$175 citation for a parking meter. Okay. That wouldn't be fair either. One of the things I don't know, I think Ms. Viera made a comment about it. The two hour zones. Okay. Those two hour zones are there to encourage turnover. That's what we heard from the business community. We don't want somebody parking for extended periods of time at a meter on street. If you're gonna be parking for an extended period of time on the east side, we've got the surface lot, the Adams Street lot, on the corner of Adams and Cherry or you park in a structure. One of the ramps. If it's a short duration visit, less than two hours, that's on street. You want to keep that turning over. One of the biggest problems we do have on Broadway is we have actually the employees of Broadway district businesses park on the street directly in front of their business. And then their customers have no place to park. That's been difficult for us to enforce it. It physically requires our parking enforcement office to go over there and chalk tires. There are a couple of cases that are currently pending in various jurisdictions as to the legality of chalking the tire as being either an invasion of privacy or unreasonable search and seizure.

- [Melinda] I didn't know that.

- Oh yeah, just something-

- I thought that was normal.

- Things have changed.

- You've reached out and placed the market on private property.

- [Mark] So it's not legal here?

- We don't... it's still up. It's subject to interpretation. So one of the things we are investing in, if you were at finance last night, you heard about the altR cameras, that police department is using. We are going to be using license plate recognition cameras in our enforcement vehicles, which will allow the vehicle simply to drive down the street at a certain speed. It will read the license plate numbers. We come back in two hours, we drive down the street again. If it recognizes the same vehicle license plate as being there, and two hours have gone by, we stop and automatically issued the citation. You no longer have to chalk the tires.

- [Melinda] Okay. So that's not an invasion of privacy versus...

- So what I'm saying is, I wanna make sure that people know about this and what the rules are so that nobody gets hit with a citation they didn't mean to get. When we talk about a zone. Okay. So how big is the zone on Washington? Is it from Walnut up to Maine?

- Yeah, that's one zone.

- Okay. So that would constitute a zone, four blocks worth of, three blocks worth of-

- It's typically three, four blocks.

- When that two hours expires, you have to completely vacate the zone, which means you would have to go over to Adams Street to park legally. If you failed to do that, you're subject to a citation.

- Now see, I can understand, say that they're a salon customer and it takes three hours. That's really inconvenient.

- But rather than parking on the street immediately in front of the business, half a block away is the Adam Street surface lot. And another 400 feet from that is the Cherry Street ramp. That's where folks longer than two hours. That's where we want them parking. 'Cause that's what we're hearing from the businesses. Nobody can get into my shop because somebody's been parked there all day. As a matter of fact, during the pandemic and there's renewed emphasis on it right now, I'm being pressured to find a way to eliminate all of that on street parking, the metered parking, and provide more drop off, Uber Eats, stopping in to pick a takeout order, that kind of parking. I'm hearing a lot of pressure from the downtown area businesses to provide those kind of services. And that's gonna cut into our revenue stream, 'cause the meters will be gone. Not only will the meters be gone, and the revenue generated off of the meter parking, but similarly, the citation revenue that comes when folks violate the meters. So we've got a lot of competing interests going.

- Don't they have a lot of those, down there, have like a parking lot on the backside, that they get can pull up on the backside. Not that I'm trying to solve your problem here, but yeah, on Broadway they have parking lots behind.

- Well and-

- Like by the alley here.

- Well, I think you're specifically, you're looking at what we refer as lot F which is behind the old Ford Square. There are development options to put a market and housing back there, that parking lot may completely disappear, which is one of, I think that is the only City operated long term parking in the entire Broadway district.

- So keep that in mind when we're talking about that development.

- [All] Right?

- Well, again, that's one of the... you know, all these things compiled together. That's why we felt it was necessary to go back and revisit the 2013 parking studies. Things have changed a lot in the last nine years. We need to get another set of eyes on that and take a look at what we're doing and what changes operationally we may need to make. And then some long term planning, because the last thing we gotta talk... not germane to this, just something to keep in mind. The main street ramp at the very latest, Main street ramp has to be down by 2031. And given the amount of maintenance we're doing on that ramp right now, knowing that it has a finite life to it, that ramp may come down a lot sooner than that. So that's another 681 stalls in the downtown area that need to be replaced.

- So just a quick question to, Steve. Parking utilities, like I said, we're somewhat independent here. What other communities have it on the levy per se? Do you know of other communities or are parking utilities usually standalone?

- [Steven] I couldn't tell.

- You couldn't tell me.

- [Steven] I've never done any research to that effect.

- Yeah, but it just kind of an interesting side light, 'cause like you said, if it goes back on the levy, taxes.

- [Steven] Yep.

- Mr. Bartinofksy, .

- Motion to open the floor.

- Open the floor by Wery.

- Seconded.

- Seconded by Eck.

- In favor please say, aye.

- [All] Aye.

- [David] Thank you.

- [Mark] Okay.

- [David] David Barovsky 900 Cedar street, Green Bay. I think to make a broad statement saying there can be no charge parking in the Green Bay, without doing studies of how many people are making minimum wage right now in the downtown area is too broad of a statement to make. I think we're all pretty creative in City government and government period, that we could find a way to make this happen. Not necessarily just write it off and say that it can't be done. I think there's many ways to raise revenue. I think we need to start looking at some of those ways to raise it and offset this. Because I'm gonna tell you right now, if we don't start making some of these changes, some of these businesses that are in the downtown area will no longer be in business. I'm just telling you that now. It's getting tougher and tougher every year. It's getting tougher.

- Let me ask you a question, David. So with these, with the parking, it's like \$80 a month. Are there other issues? I know we're talking about parking right now, but you're saying, is that a tipping point where it's like, wow, if this doesn't happen, some businesses will go out? Or do you feel like-

- Yeah, I believe-

- There's other issues to it?

- Well, there is a lot of issues with business today, but this is one of these ones that I don't think they should have to be responsible for parking, pay for parking for their employees if they're making less than \$15. That's why I put that.

- Okay.

- Yeah. I think it is an issue today. And if you go and interview these businesses and the employees in these businesses, you'll understand why I put this forward now. It's not something that I just came up. It is a real issue. It has to be addressed. And to say that we can't address this and we can't just can't do it, I think it's just a way to sweep it under the carpet, pretend it doesn't exist.

- All right.

- I'm a businessman and it's tough to be in business today, it's not easy. And I think, I'd love all of you to own your own business for five years and-

- 27 this year.

- [David] I'm on 24.

- Go ahead Alder Campbell.

- So my question is if you had to put a number on the people that this would affect, all the people that you stopped and talked to and dropped a message to, and surely they could show up again at council.

- [David] Sure.

- Hint, hint. What would you say of Alder Eck's, and the city's recommendation or the 50 stall thing? How many employees do you think the average business that this would help your idea? How many average-

- How many businesses?

- No. How many average. Well, you probably know that number too. How many average? What's the average of the employees that the businesses-

- [David] That I did not take that.

- Is it 10? Or is it 12?

- [David] It could possibly, I don't know. And it would be wrong for me to give you a number, because I don't really know. They're talking about doing a study and I recommend that they do go to these businesses individually and find out how many employees they do pay for for parking.

- Almost like a census.

- [David] Yeah. Like a census and find out what that is and how it impacts the city, without just saying we can't do it. No, I think it's achievable. I think a lot of things are achievable in this world and we need to put our thinking caps on and come up with creative ways to make this happen. It's not unheard of. I mean, the City may be unwilling to do it, but I think it can be achieved.

- Okay. Are you done? Okay, Alder Wery.

- Thanks Mr. Chairman. Indeed, I think we heard that the parking report, it could be ready January, February ish. I think that's for government, that's like a pretty speedy, I mean that a good for you to collect information?

- I think, I mean I could go out there and give you exact numbers 'cause I have no problem walking. I mean, like I had the meters removed on Broadway. I had no trouble soliciting my other business compadres out there. So I just, I'm saying that, yes, I can go do that as well and submit to you about, so the City of Green Bay, the number of employees that you have. I have no problem doing that.

- And I apologize. I didn't mean you had to do it. I just meant-

- [David] No, no. I would do it though. I mean I did this, I did, I have no problem doing that.

- It just seems like it's a good time to dovetail them together.

- [David] You can't change anything unless you work at it.
- You can't.
- [David] You can't. If you're gonna sit back and you're gonna just let everybody else make those changes, it will never happen.
- You are exactly right on this. But you know, in my comments were meant to show there's always two sides to it.
- [David] Oh there is, I understand that.
- And in order to make a decision as a counselor or committee, we really need to know that part of it and whether or not there can be a service worker consortium, I dunno if that's right word. if that's a group of business,
- I like that word.
- Where they could buy together, they could group together and buy cheaper parking or much, much reduced or free. But I think that needs to be looked at, but I'm open to it. I just need more details. And it sounds like they're working on it anyway.
- [David] I think one of the biggest reasons there is no downtown mall anymore is because you had to go there, you had to pay to go spend your money. And it just doesn't translate well to the consumer.
- No, thanks. You're done talking?
- Anybody else? Alder Eck.
- Well, I just want I say in all fairness, I don't know that anybody said it can't be done. but I do know-
- What I heard was that... Excuse me, I don't mean to interrupt you. But what I heard was, that there is no free parking. It can't happen, is that when I not heard?
- Well, I would like to clarify that.
- Okay.
- The revenue loss, we can't just take the revenue loss. That revenue would have to be made up somewhere. So it could wind up not being charged to the employee, could wind up not being paid by the employer, but somewhere, somehow, somebody needs to make that revenue loss up. We cannot sustain additional revenue losses. We are as bare bones as we can possibly be right now. I'm not exaggerating when I say \$200,000 worth of revenue loss could make us inverted. We will need levy support at that point.
- [David] And I do have to say, I'm well aware of that 'cause in business, it's dollars in and dollars out. You have to keep track of that. If you don't, you will go out of business. I don't understand how this country hasn't gone out of business. Because we keep on printing this money and we're not, that's personally how I feel.
- [Melinda] Right. True.
- Okay. But no. I understand your point. But I just wanna make sure that we just don't throw this out, put it in the trash can, or forget about this idea that this could possibly happen. Find a way... I guess what I'm asking is find a way to raise that revenue to offset it. So you guys stay in business. Is it \$10 more on a ticket? I don't

know. Maybe if you raised your parking infractions, people would be more attentive to go out and feed those meters and such. I mean that's a possibility.

- [Mark] When you're ready, go ahead Alder Eck.

- Okay. Yeah. So, and that, just to make the point, I know I speak for myself that I'm not okay with raising taxes to cover other people's parking. So, when you make the point of it have to go on the levy, I wouldn't be okay with that. Are there ways to figure out creative ways, I'm open to that. As long as the tax payers are not on the losing end of it. With helping businesses to stay open, 'cause that is important. So, I guess we just have to do a little more studying on it or have some-

- [David] You know, I'm not opposed to raising taxes. I mean, you gotta pay for your services. I'm opposed to how it's spent and not accounted for 'cause there's things that on the other end, you don't know where the money's going. I have a problem with that, but I am not opposed to paying taxes. We have to pay taxes. I mean, we just do, it's no way to get around it. But you also have to control where it's spent and how it's spent. And I think those need to be balanced.

- And we did just adjust rates. I don't know if you know that, but we voted on it, our last meeting on a new set of rates.

- Fee schedules.

- Fee schedules.

- Yeah, we've adjusted them up a little bit.

- Alder Wery.

- Thanks Mr. Chairman, would you mind, and I don't think you would, being kind of the point person for the study expert or whatever, to contact you.

- [David] I don't have any problem with that. I'm not an expert at these issues, but.

- But to get that idea, or input fed to-

- [David] But I know how to read a cost analysis sheet and those things, I know how to do that.

- Appreciate it. Okay. Anybody else? Otherwise, thank you again very much.

- Thank you very much.

- What's that?

- Motion to close the floor?

- Yes.

- Second.

- Motioned by Eck, seconded by Campbell. All those in favor please say, aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Thank you.
- Okay. All right. Well, here we are. Thanks Mr. Chairman. I'll make a motion for the staff to include it in with the traffic study. And please reach out to-
- David.
- Volunteered to Mr. Bartinofksy, You know, when that part of it comes up so that when this comes back to us we can look at what it would mean, how we could work it in. Doesn't have to be all or nothing, it could be something in the middle.
- So the motion is referred, for...?
- To be combined with our traffic study.
- Well that would be my clarification. Typically you refer to staff, involves bringing it back to this committee, right? So would you want this communication brought back or would you want this communication received and placed on file and have it part of the 2022 downtown parking study?
- That that would be the more technical way to do it. If we can.
- And we will make sure to say that it's part of the 2022 parking study.
- Yes.
- So that it's not just simply a receive and place on file, which is-
- Because we do have that sometimes. When we do take that inaction on something like with our parking issue, we received a placed on file my issue, but we're bringing back on policy. Right?
- Correct.
- So I made it look like we did nothing. And I had some comments on that.
- Well yeah yeah we did get some feedback on that.
- No, no it's not that we're doing nothing. We're gonna-
- I just wanted to ask you about the veteran part of it.
- Oh, we're gonna... call me later. Call me later. We'll take care of it. We appreciate all your testimony.
- Thank you, David.
- [Steven] And just an FY for the committee, as one of the components in the request for proposal for the downtown parking study update, for 10 years ago, is stakeholders interaction. So it's not... These aren't done in a vacuum.
- I'm sure we'll see what the best practice is elsewhere. What works, cause you don't want to kill the small businesses, but needs to be paid for.
- Yeah, well, not only that, not only looking at best practices across the industry, but also we actually interviewed, went in and interviewed. I think it was 27 people in the downtown area. So we talked to the BID

executive directors. We talked Nicolay National Bank. We talked to a couple of small businesses. We talked to Wisconsin Public Service who was down here at the time, we talked to Shriver foods. We talked to businesses over on Broadway. We talked to property owners. Dennis Spell was the owner of a property here on North Monroe at the time that Humana was in there. So he was a landlord who had 300 plus people in a business, renting location. So we got landlord perspectives. We got employee perspectives, we got BID perspectives. We got business, we got banking. We tried to get as big a cross section of downtown interest as we could. And that's the intent with this study as well, to make sure we're getting as much input as we can.

- Alder Campbell.

- I have a question, Steve. So how does with all this discussion here, how does a tid or a TIF district fit into this whole thing?

- It doesn't.

- Typically it doesn't.

- It's not a district.

- No.

- I mean, is there anymore that exists downtown anymore?

- Well, right now, I don't believe there are any active TIDS in the downtown area that have expenditure periods available to them. We got a couple of TIDS that are still open, but they're in their last five years. So they're not allowed to take on any new projects. There is a TID that is being proposed near downtown. But generally speaking, these types of issues, unless it's directly tied to a developer's agreement for a business, generally they don't play... TID agreements typically don't play into parking.

- So is that usually like a bargain thing with the city staff then? Or cmb?

- No, well, that's usually something that a business who's gonna do a redevelopment or a new development would talk to about agreement. Now with that being said, okay, is it conceivable that providing parking for, we have a TID down at shipyard and there is a need to provide parking for all the activities that are gonna happen in shipyard. So the TID is going to pay for a ramp down there that could be an eligible expense. But we don't have any of those types of agreements nor do we have TIDS in the downtown, that could support any type of agreement like that just.

- Just wanted to know how it all fit together.

- Good question. All right. So where are we at? We...?

- Well, what we've got is a request to receive a place on file and to include this request to be part of the 2022 downtown parking study.

- So that could be one motion.

- That's one motion.

- Okay. So Alder Wery.

- Is that your motion then?

- That's my motion.
- Oh, that's his motion. Okay, I'll second.
- Motion by Wery, seconded by Eck. So any other discussion? All in favor, please say, aye.
- [All] Aye.
- Any apposed? Thank you everybody. Well, they all left. Well, and I wanna say that that wasn't a lot of people that came considering he went to every-
- I had a feeling there would be a lot more folks, but.
- Yeah. So just a note.
- All right, next. Wow. Here we are. Number three, consideration with hospital-
- We're on three.
- Consideration with possible action on a request by the Department of Public Works for amendment Number One, to the Professional Services Agreement between the City of Green Bay and McMahon Associates for the design services associated with the West Seymour Park Storm Water Facility and the amount of \$16,625. Staff?
- We have included a copy of that Professional Services, agreement, or amendment as part of the packet. What this is-
- We don't have it though, because we can't open it.
- We can't see it.
- Oh, okay.
- And haven't been able to for a day or two-
- For some reason we are not.
- Yeah, we're...
- Okay. So in essence, what's going on here based on feedback that was received from Bay Valley Foods, LLC and the City of Green Bay Department of Parks, Rec, and Forestry at a May 11th, 2021 meeting, there are some modifications to a storm water or storm sewer that runs through the Bay Valley Foods property that will serve that West Seymour Park storm water feature. So in order to do the detailed design work, McMahon associates has come to us and requested a change order in the amount of \$16,625. Staff supports that recommendation.
- [Steven] And this, you can absorb that?
- Yes, this is part of our design piece.
- Okay.
- It's an increase? I apologize.

- Correct, yes.
- I do look at things in advance, but when we're talking about it, it's helpful to have in front of me. So, okay. Just to clarify.
- Thank you Jim.
- Sorry.
- No, no. So Steve is this benefiting Bay Valley, with some of their runoff, is that what... or did hear that wrong?
- I think what this is, is it's changes to the storm sewer that are gonna feed into the pond. So getting the water from the surrounding areas, including in the street. To get into the pond because Bay Area Foods is just to the Northwest of where that pond is gonna be there on the West side.
- [Steven] Oh, how big is that pond? Supposedly.
- That one's several acres.
- Several acres? I'll have to picture where that's gonna be located.
- [Melinda] I know I don't-
- It's gonna be on the bay property?
- No, no. It's going to be Seymour park.
- It'll be Seymour park.
- I went by there and I didn't think it looked that big to have it be that big.
- Oh.
- Yeah. I realize it's-
- A very substantial portion of the West side of Seymour park will be involved with this facility.
- Can we use that for our attainment?
- Well then parts, that's where some of these things are coming up. Okay, not this one, but the next item. Based off of the neighborhood meetings they had, the neighborhood came back with some things they wanted to see. And the West pond is going to have some bridges that go over it. There's gonna be a fountain type feature, some overlook areas, things like that, to help it not look like a pond, make it more of a neighborhood feature. Those weren't included the original design, so-
- Steve, statue with the water coming out of his mouth.
- We'll have to-
- No?
- No.

- Is that not part of it? I said a Steve statue with the water coming out of your mouth?
- Which Steve?
- Right here.
- [Mark] We're getting punch.
- Sorry.
- Nutrition would help a little cmb. Anyway. Alright, so we made a motion. We have a second. All in favor?
- [All] Aye.
- All apposed? Okay. That passes unanimous.
- Did we second that?
- What's that?
- I don't remember a second.
- Do we have that? Wery, seconded by Eck?
- Yes.
- Okay.
- Alrighy. Oh, I guess I seconded it, sorry. We talked about it long afterwards. I forgot.
- I do have some snacks here if you need them.
- Probably pass that all the way around.
- All right. Number four. Consideration with possible action or request by the Department of Public Works for amendment number one to the professional services agreement for the City of Green Bay and McMahon... Didn't we just do this?
- I thought we were just reading that. I'm like, "What?"
- McMahon for the design services associated with the East Seymour Park storm water facility in the amount of \$10,500. West, East.
- Correct.
- Okay. Staff.
- So similarly based on feedback that came out of the neighborhood meetings and discussions with the park staff, there are three additional options that are being looked at over there, including a dry pond, as opposed to a wet pond. This one would be, in between rain events it would go down and just become brass area. So in order to evaluate those three additional options, requesting an additional 10,500 staff cmb.

- So is there any... what's sustainability? Is there anything that ties in with that at all? You know, it's just some of the directives that are taking- Well in and of itself, the stormwater management function of the ponds is sustainability function.

- Okay.

- Alder Eck.

- Okay. So now that we're on our second one with the same type of request, it makes me think, it hasn't even started and it's already going up? So I'm just wondering. Does this mean our budgets going to go up?

- No, they have been working on this design for a couple of years already. So you don't get something for nothing. Okay. Consultants don't work for free. So we've come with revised, "Oh. We'd like you to also look at this." That was not part of their original scope of services.

- Oh, okay. So it's on your end asking them to do something. Just wanted to clarify.

- We're asking them

- to do something that wasn't part of their original scope, so they're saying this is what it's gonna cost us.

- Okay. All right. Just clarifying. Cause it seemed like, wait a minute. We're adding on both of these for-

- Are we done making a adjustment?

- Well Hopefully.

- Never done.

- Never done. Can you guarantee, we'll have you sign saying you're not gonna adjust anymore.

- Move to approve.

- Move to approve by Wery.

- Second.

- Seconded by Eck. All in favor, please say aye.

- [All] Aye.

- All opposed? That's it. All right, we're moving, slowly here, but we're moving. Number five. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to Institute a service fee for collection of yard waste outside of citywide curbside collection programs.

- Well, not too dissimilar from early set outs or bulk waste that's placed out to the curb. We do have people who mow their grass and just bring the grass piles out and leave them on the curb. That is against municipal ordinance. However, what we don't have is any type of a citation or charge for service associated with that. So as we were going through and updating our service fees for this year, which were on the finance committee agenda last night, we noticed that there was no service fee associated with going out and collecting these yard waste, exclusive of those two periods, spring yard waste collection, and fall loose leaf. So we started working on some rates. I was hoping to have things further along than they are right now. Obviously they weren't in your packet because we weren't ready for this. So what we are requesting is that this item be held until the

September 30th meeting of the Improvement Service Committee. But we did want to start the discussion at least in a concept, because it is a violation of municipal ordinance. We have to scramble somebody out there to go and pick these up. Not too dissimilarly from somebody placing appliances or bulk waste or TVs or anything else out when it's not their garbage day. To approach it in a similar type of a situation. And that being simply to cover the cost associated with going out to pick that stuff up.

- Okay.

- I make a motion to vote.

- Second.

- Okay.

- By Eck, seconded by Wery. Yes. Go ahead.

- Thanks. What do we do right now? Since we can't-

- Right now we're going out and picking it up and there's no disincentive for somebody to do it.

- Now, what are the items we do pick up? Branches, like if there's a storm, you put those out. Right, there are some things that we do pick up.

- Brush we collect at no charge year round and brush is defined as something that has to be a half inch diameter or more.

- But not leaves.

- And we all have those piles of grass that when they finally get picked up there's a big dirt spot 'cause it killed everything.

- It kills the lawn.

- It's just an FY that people are putting out grass clippings, small yard waste, you know, twigs. Things that aren't brush and tree branches. Outside of those spring and fall collection periods. Like Steve said, we're picking that up. We're keeping track of who we're picking it up from. But that's where we said, "Well, let's start, We gotta invoice, them." Then we said, "Wait, wait. There's no official-"

- There's no official policy.

- We can't do it in the city, right?

- It in the city. Right.

- I think we need... well, this is in the city. I know when I first read it, it said outside of city and then, oh wait. 'Cause I thinking outside of Green Bay. So I think again, it's an education thing to let people know about that. Send it to my husband directly. No, I'm always saying "You can't..." like, okay this is on record now, but I'm like, "You cannot bring an ordinance this-"

- There we go.

- "I'm an Alder," but anyways.

- First fine.
- Yeah.
- What's your record say?
- No, I picked up some today. He put it out there. I was like, "It's not time." So there you go. I just told them that... but no, we need to give that education. So people understand that there might be a charge because obviously it's changing.
- I think it was a money loser. But my district for my early years when I was here was the leading one to buy the permit to have the container, I think, of you'd come by and you'd pick up like their excess yard waste. And then we did away with it as a budget item, I think.
- That's well before my time.
- Five, and then I think it got up to \$35 to put. Okay, I'll write it on your yard waste can, your yard waste can and pick up once a week. It was great.
- But like myself, a lot of us just take our own stuff. I dump it off myself.
- I compost.
- What's that?
- I have a compost.
- You compost, do you?
- All two acres.
- So do we vote on this?
- Yes, we do.
- All in favor?
- Aye.
- All in favor, please say aye.
- [All] Aye.
- Sorry. What?
- We didn't vote on. He said, yes.
- They're all listening. All right. Where are we? Number six. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to enter into a memorandum of understanding with Type Core Built LLC, the developer of Pine Acres Estates subdivision on the City's West side, take ownership of their stormwater facility once the vegetation is established. Staff.

- When we enter into developers' agreements for subdivision, more often than not that subdivision requires a storm water management device of some sort, oftentimes it's a pond. That pond is the ownership and responsibility of the subdivision developer. There is a provision where, if they've satisfied all the conditions associated with the developer's agreement, that they can request dedication of that pond to the city. What this memorandum of understanding does is simply formalizes, they've asked us... rather than going through and then requesting the dedication, they've asked us to enter into an MOU that says, "If we do what you've asked us to do, will you entertain the acceptance?" They're simply taking a provision out of the developer's agreement, formalizing it into a memorandum of understanding. So that they can take this to their investors saying the city is entering into this in good faith. And if we do what we thought they told us to do, they've indicated they would accept that.

- Now this is a standalone with this particular company. Now, do we have to do this each time?

- No. In my opinion, I think this is a little overboard. This is what we would normally do. But if this is a good faith effort on behalf of the city that we can extend to them showing them that we are serious about this, we're perfectly fine with this.

- I'm just thinking of the future, you know, for other developments like this. Would there be a policy in place for this?

- No, I have a feeling that-

- It just, it doesn't happen that often.

- Because the subdivision, this one's built out, right?

- Yep. Built out.

- Because the subdivision is built out, the LLC who did the development is looking to get out as soon as they can. So they're just making sure they've got their I's dotted and T's crossed, so when the day comes, we're ready to accept that mitigation.

- All right. Ready to entertain a motion.

- Second.

- Well you make the motion.

- No, he just-

- I said, I'll entertain a motion.

- Don't take my motion away.

- Oh did he motion?

- Yeah, he did motion, and he said-

- I don't care. Motion by Wery, seconded by Campbell. All in favor?

- [All] Aye.

- All opposed. That passes. Thank you.

- It's a rough night.

- Number seven.

- You said it fast. So he did say it fast.

- Number seven. Consideration of possible action on request from Department of Public Works to enter into a professional services agreement with Dukes, to provide smoke testing services within the City Sanitary Sewer System to investigate potential sources of inflow and infiltration. Is that I and I?

- Yes, when we refer to I and I, that is water that is leaking into a sanitary sewer from somewhere other than where it's supposed to. So as we get rainwater or groundwater, and that leaks in through joints in the system, that gets into the sanitary sewer system, ultimately that's clean water that then winds up at the wastewater treatment plant and has to be treated because it's come into contact with dirty water. I and I is a bad thing overall. So it's in municipality's best interest to eliminate I and I. So we are starting an I and I study with sanitary utility. And part of that investigation is to try to figure out where the I and I is coming from. Smoke testing is a longstanding, old method of doing that, essentially, for lack of a better way to describe it, it's an oversized smoke bomb that gets put into a sanitary sewer and lit off. And then we look at ground level to see if smoke is leaking out somewhere. What we find is cross connections of storm sewer, laterals, private laterals that are broken and leaking, and it comes up through the ground or through the pavement or things like that. In the packet we have provided... So when you get a chance to see your packet again... we provided a map of where we're going to be doing that smoke testing.

- I love maps.

- And Alder Wery, you would probably be able to clue me in a little bit more here. We're looking at an area roughly from Ridge almost to 12th. Oh wow. North of Lombardi to just South of Ninth Street. So it's a pretty significant area on the West side. Then on the East side, we're looking at an area North of Mason, South of Mason to Crooks, and then roughly Abrams to Build View. So that's a much smaller area over there. But those are areas with older sewers that we know based on flow readings in our sanitary manholes, that when it rains, all of a sudden flow bios go up. So we suspect I and I there. So we want to get those areas first.

- Going up on 12th, I think I could volunteer for this job. Growing up as a youth, I used to put smoke bombs at people's doors and ding dong.

- Is this on record?

- I spent a while in-

- We could potentially hire Wery as he has, he has experienced with smoke bombs.

- Anybody who had a smoke bomb back in the '80s, in their door, that was me. I'm confessing it right now.

- So this would be a contract with Dukes and the contract is for \$41,697.90.

- Alder Eck.

- Is that part of the budget?

- Yes. It's part of our sanitary budget.

- Okay.

- Okay. I'll entertain a motion.
- Motion. Unless you want someone else to make the motion. I'll make the motion.
- You make the motion.
- Do you wanna see the map firth though?
- I'll entertain a motion.
- You probably wanna see the map.
- Well, don't worry about that. We got a surprise for you, right?
- Well, not today. I wasn't able to get it mounted. Oh, whoa. What we had-
- So then I couldn't get it mounted. Where is it, is it up in your office.
- It's in my office, yes.
- You could just get the rolling one.
- I'll hold it.
- I just wanted to, I just-
- I'm not making a motion 'cause you get mad when I make motions.
- I'll make a motion.
- Motion by Eck.
- Second.
- Second by Campbell.
- Any other discussion? All in favor please say aye.
- [All] Aye.
- All opposed? We are having fun. This is fun. I think so.
- He's excited about that map.
- We'll get to that in a moment. Number eight. Consideration with possible action on report of the purchasing agent to award the purchase of a walk behind pink sprayer to Tapco in the amount of \$29,570. Staff.
- This was an item that was approved as part of ARPA spending, earlier this year. It's associated with the safe walk and bike recommendations for the rectangular rapid-flashing beacons and speed feedback signs. We're also getting this walk behind sprayer to enhance the crosswalks. So the authorization to obtain the painting apparatus was part of that. Now what we actually did is went out and quoted it. So purchasing department put

the quote out. We had four vendors reply, Tapco is the low responsive, responsible bidder in the amount of \$29,570, which was within what we had under the ARPA funding available.

- I motion to approve.

- Okay, by Eck. Do we have a second?

- Second.

- Second by Wery. Any other discussion? All in favor please say, aye.

- [All] Aye.

- All opposed. Passes with unanimous. Number nine. Report the non-A award of contract GBPD 2-22 security defense.

- If you remember the police department requested to put that security fence around their parking lot. We only received a single bidder, that bid came in in the amount of \$465,537.10, which was well above what police department had available. So that bid was not accepted. And we're looking at alternatives right now.

- Receive and place on file.

- Receive and place on file.

- I motion to receive and place on file.

- By Eck. Do we have a second?

- Second.

- Second by Campbell. All in favor, please say, aye.

- [All] Aye.

- Opposed? That passes.

- Yeah, that will be interesting. All right. Number 10. Consideration with possible action on review and award of the following contracts. One at a time or both things. A Green Bay Police Department, I-22 police station reroof worth one at a time.

- Three bids received Crafts Incorporated was the low responsive, responsible bidder. There was a base bid in the amount of \$110,081. There was also an opportunity for alternate bids. The sum of the alternate bids came in at \$222,391, which would've made the total contract \$332,472. Police Department has elected to go with the base bid only. So recommendation is to award the Crafts Incorporated base bid only \$110,081.

- Take a motion separately for both.

- We can discuss 'em both and take a single if you want do it that way, or we get approve them independently.

- I'd say let's do them both at once.

- Okay. Second item is storms 3-22 lift station I10 repair. That is the lift station that is in the shipyard. There's some settlement that's occurred. So that needs to be corrected. We only got the bids in yesterday afternoon

and 2:00. We had two bidders, McMullen & Pitz was the low responsive, responsible bid in the amount of \$257,800. We have talked to the utilities director and he is in support of awarding that. So what we'd be looking for is to award the following contracts to Green Bay Police Department 1-22, police station reroof to Crafts Incorporated base bid only \$110,081, and sewers 3-22 storm lift station 110 repairs to McMullin and Pitz in the amount of 257,800.

- Okay, thanks Mr. Chairman. Steve, this is in that area of Clinton Broadway, right? Did we have any major flooding there this year?

- To the best of my knowledge? I don't think we have.

- That's okay.

- 'Cause that's always been kind of.

- Yeah. I don't think the restaurant's been flooded out.

- Good, this is gonna be needed

- Motion to approve.

- Okay, both motion by Wery.

- Second.

- Second by Eck. All in favor, please say, aye.

- [All] Aye.

- That passes unanimous. Number 11. Report of action statement by Department of Public Works, granting of licenses. We've got Sidewalk Builders, Spencer Concrete. B is highways, Landscapers, Inc. We could do these all together. C Paul Conard Construction Co., Inc. Number two is tree and Bush trimmer, A, Highway Landscapers Inc. Staff.

- So we are simply reporting up that these licenses have been granted.

- Okay.

- Motion to approve. Oh, replace and file. Sorry.

- Receive. That's fine.

- I wanna make sure-

- We have a second? Second by Campbell. All in favor please say, aye.

- [All] Aye.

- All opposed? Okay, passes unanimously. Yay, finally. Informational. The next Improvement Services Committee meeting is scheduled for September 28th. The director's reporter in?

- Yeah. I don't see it... I didn't catch that earlier. So there isn't a spot out here for directors report.

- I've got an idea. When this meeting's over, we can go up to your office, look at... we can roll the map out and show it. That'll be my-

- Elder Steuer did see the map today. I showed it to him at an earlier meeting. And unfortunately what he did was he asked to see if I could have that mounted on a foam cork board and we weren't able to get it mounted before the meeting. So it's still up in my office.

- Can I suggest that one of my old signs when I ran for office, I could use one of those.

- How big is this map? Your signs are like this.

- Well, he had some big ones.

- Big ones. I had some big ones.

- Just put it on the shade right there.

- Well, can I ask a question?

- Sure.

- I know it's like outside of like the... how is it looking for that crosswalk on Ninth and Springdale? You've mentioned that crosswalk, the thing walk behind.

- Ninth and Springdale?

- That's on the curve. It's like on a curve, right?

- It's crosswalk going across from Ninth at Springdale.

- Ninth turns into West Point.

- It's all part of the... I contacted you and I think it was-

- That's all part of that larger crosswalk-

- Program.

- Program.

- Yeah.

- That's something that traffic engineer, Dave Hansen is overseeing. So I'd have to ask Dave and that's gonna be a problem right now because he's at Higher Lift for two weeks.

- Okay. All right. I literally got a text before I came here. So I'm like, well, I'll ask last night, so alright. Motion to adjourn.

- Yes, by Eck. Seconded by...

- Campbell,

- Campbell.

- Campbell. All in favor, please say, aye.
- [All] Aye.
- Thank you for all your testimony.
- Everybody's like closing up while we're like...
- We're gonna head up to third floor.