



AGENDA OF THE COMMON COUNCIL

TUESDAY, JUNE 6, 2023, 6:00 PM

In person at City Hall, Room 203 - Council Chambers.

Virtual attendance also available via Zoom.

A. Zoom Meeting Information.

- I. Virtual zoom meeting sign-in information is below. Detailed [Zoom instructions](#) can be found online. The instructions include a link to the comment form, due by 4:30 pm on Council meeting day.

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89265525444>

Meeting ID: 892 6552 5444

Passcode: 263662

One tap mobile

+13017158592,,89265525444#,,,,*263662# US (Washington DC)

+13052241968,,89265525444#,,,,*263662# US

Dial by phone: +1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

B. Roll Call.

C. Pledge of Allegiance.

D. Invocation.

E. Approval of Minutes.

F. Approval of the Agenda.

G. Report by the Mayor.

H. Announcements.

I. Appointments.

I. Appointments:

Green Bay Public Arts Commission (Liaison)

Sadie Wilson, 1356 Concord Way, Oneida, WI 54155

Term to expire: May 1, 2025 (filling the remainder of a term)

Zoning and Planning Board of Appeals

Benjamin Andrews, 230 Huth Street, Green Bay, WI 54302

Term to expire: April 1, 2026 (filling the remainder of a term)

Informational only. Noel Halvorsen is designated as Chairperson of the Zoning and Planning Board of Appeals in accordance with Wis. Stats. section 62.23(7)(e)2.

J. Ordinances - Second Reading for Adoption.

I. General Ordinance 13-23

An ordinance relating to Mobile Food Establishment License.

K. Report of the Redevelopment Authority (May 25, 2023).

- I. To approve the Second Amendment to Development Agreement 2021-01 with Merge Urban Development, subject to final approval by the City Attorney.

L. Report of the Improvement & Services Committee (May 31, 2023).

- I. To approve the request by Mohamed Farah for permission to park vehicles on the street every night at 802 Cora Street for the remainder of 2023.
2. To deny the request by Corey Buss, 1602 Pilgrim, to rescind the charges for sidewalk replacement/repair.
3. To refer to staff the request by Will Liebergen to appeal the storm water charges for the parcel at 1026 North Broadway.
4. To hold the purchase of a license plate recognition system for two Parking Division enforcement vehicles until the next regularly scheduled Improvement and Services Committee meeting.
5. To approve the request by McDonald Properties to have the City of Green Bay petition the Office of Commissioner of Railroads (OCR) for an at-grade rail crossing of Bay Beach Road immediately to the east of the intersection of Quincy Street and Bay Beach Road provided that all engineering and protection of underground utilities are completed to the standards of the Department of Public Works.
6. To approve the installation of a public trash can at the transit stop located at the intersection of Chicago and Madison Streets by Howe School.

7. To approve the request by the Traffic Division of the Department of Public Works to approve a modification of the award of purchase of traffic signal poles and hardware. The corrected award of contracts should be TAPCO in the amount of \$754,658.06, Traffic Parts, Inc. in the amount of \$53,056.50, and to Traffic Control Corp. in the amount of \$37,545.00 in accordance with the attached schedule.
8. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to create Residential Green Stormwater Infrastructure Revolving Loan Fund Program.
9. To approve the request by Department of Public Works for the City of Green Bay's electronic Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (eCMAR) and resolution for submittal to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) by the Director of Public Works.
10. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with McMahon Associates, Inc. in the amount of \$33,866.00 for the design of Finger Road/East Town Way sidewalk.
11. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with Graef in the amount of \$82,130.00 for Topographic Survey Services.
12. To receive and place on file the award of contract BRIDGE 1-23 (BRIDGE MAINTENANCE & CONCRETE REPAIRS) to Lunda Construction in the amount of \$126,992.25.
13. To approve the award of contract PAVEMENT REPAIR 2023 (WEST) to Highway Landscapers, Inc. in the amount of \$1,331,275.00.
14. To approve the award of contract PARKS 6-23 SEYMOUR PARK-EAST STORMWATER FACILITY to Peters Concrete Company in the amount of \$257,339.70.
15. To approve the request by Department of Public Works to award the following contracts at a staff level and report the award at the next Improvement and Services Committee meeting:
 - a. PAVEMENT 3-23 SCHOOL PLACE RECONSTRUCTION
 - b. PAVEMENT 4-23 BERNER STREET RECONSTRUCTION
 - c. PAVEMENT 5-23 FINGER ROAD RECONSTRUCTION
 - d. PAVEMENT 6-23 S. MAPLE AVENUE RECONSTRUCTION
 - e. SEWERS 1-23 MINI-SEWERS
 - f. SEWERS 2-23 BASIN REPAIRS
 - g. TRAFFIC SIGNAL REPAIR 2023
 - h. ASPHALT PAVING 2023
 - i. RRFB BASE CONSTRUCTION
16. To receive and place on file the actions taken by Department of Public Works.
 - A. Granting of Licenses
 - I. Sidewalk Builder
 - a. Brians Quality Concrete, Inc.

- b. Right Way Concrete Construction
- c. N&L Concrete Construction LLP

M. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee (May 22, 2023).

1. To approve the various liquor and/or beer license renewal applications with no changes for the 2023-2024 license year, subject to the approval of the proper authorities (see attached).
2. To approve an immediate change of agent for Hyatt Corporation at 333 Main St. to Jessica Shelby.
3. To approve a renewal application for a "Class B" Liquor and Class "B" Beer license for Hyatt Corporation at 333 Main St., pending the approval of the change of agent at the June 8 Council Meeting and with the approval of the authorities.
4. To approve an original liquor license application for a 6-month Class "B" Beer license for Green Bay Blue Ribbons Baseball Club, Inc. at 1450 E. Walnut St. with a license premises description as "Joannes Stadium" (Previously licensed as De Pere Dodgers Baseball Club).
5. To approve an original application for a "Class A" Liquor and Class "A" Beer license for Family Dollar Stores Of WI at 550 N. Military Ave. with a license premises description as "single-story building," with the approval of the proper authorities.
6. To approve an original application submitted by Family Dollar Stores of WI LLC at 1285 Main St. for a Class "A" Beer license and a request for a waiver to allow a "Class A" Liquor license be granted within 300' of a religious institution (requires majority vote by Council).
7. To approve a Class "A" Beer license for South Bay Marina, LLC at 101 Bay Beach Rd. with a license premises description as "two locked coolers, retail sales floor within Skipper's Choice," with the approval of the proper authorities.
8. To approve 2023-2024 renewal application for a Class "A" Beer license for South Bay Marine Center, Inc. at 101 Bay Beach Rd., pending the approval of the original application and the approval of the proper authorities.
9. To hold an appeal by Elliot Heath for the order issued by Inspections at 3688 Church Rd.
10. To approve a resolution to add nine additional reserve liquor licenses to the pool for a total of 32 reserve licenses.
11. To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report.
12. To receive and place on file The Clerk's Office Licensing Report.

N. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee Granting Operator Licenses.

1. Report of the Protection & Policy Committee Granting Operator Licenses.

O. Report of the Plan Commission (May 22, 2023).

1. To approve a request for Conditional Use Permit for a covered porch exceeding the front yard setback in a Low-Density Residential District (R-1) at 1500 Grignon Street. (Public Hearing held 5.22.23.)
2. To amend the City's Comprehensive Plan for the property located at 2132 Deckner Ave (#21-2284-2) from a split of Low Density Residential and Other Semi-Public or Semi-Public to Other Semi-Public or Semi-Public. (Public Hearing held 5.22.23.)
3. To rezone part of the property located at 2132 Deckner Ave (#21-2284-2) from Varied-Density Residential (R3) to Public Institutional (PI). (Public Hearing held on 5.22.23.)

P. Report of the Finance Committee.

1. To proceed as directed in closed session regarding the engagement of economic advisors related to the Lambeau Field Stadium Lease negotiations.

The Common Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Common Council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

2. To rescind or refund 2022 real estate taxes for Stacey L. Johnson, parcel #21-8198 for \$1,692.57.
3. To approve the budget amendment resolution for unbudgeted overtime for special events.

Q. Report of the Park Committee (May 31, 2023).

1. To hold the request by Ald. Galvin to convert one or two of the tennis courts at Astor Park into pickleball courts until the next Parks Committee meeting.
2. To hold the request by Ald. Galvin to consider for Astor Park a simple set of bleacher seats alongside the basketball court and to install one or two street lights to aid residents to play basketball later then sunset until the next Parks Committee meeting.
3. To approve the Farlin Park Masterplan.
4. To accept a \$249,999 Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Stewardship Grant to assist with the funding of the construction of a new shelter with restrooms and an overlook deck at Leicht Memorial Park.
5. To approve the CityDeck Lease and Hagemeister Restroom Use Agreement.
6. To approve the purchase of (2) Kubota ZD1211 72" Mowers for a total cost of \$37,912.14 (\$18,956.07 each) from Service Motor Company, Inc.
7. To approve the purchase of a 2023 Kassbohrer Pistenbully 100 4F Snow Groomer tractor from Kassbohrer All Terrain Vehicles, Inc. for a total cost of \$282,000.
8. To approve the purchase of (2) 2023 SMI Super Polecat w/Onboard Booster Pump Snowmaking Cannons for a total cost of \$80,590 from Snow Machines, Inc.

9. To approve the purchase of (1) Vermeer SC802 74 HP Stump Grinder for a total cost of \$72,463.77 from Vermeer Wisconsin.
10. To receive and place on file the update on Park seasonal staffing levels for the pools and summer playground program (INFORMATIONAL ONLY).

R. Report of the Personnel Committee (May 23, 2023).

1. To approve the request to fill the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.
 - a. Building Services Coordinator
 - b. Truck Driver
 - c. Operator I

S. Report of the Public Arts Commission (May 24, 2023).

1. To approve the Percent for Art project proposal "Steps" for the City East project.
2. To select final artworks and designate artwork placement for the Rotating Art Program 2023 - 2024 season.

T. Report of the Traffic, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Commission (May 15, 2023).

1. To receive and place on file the report by the Police Department on the monthly Traffic Enforcement Unit Traffic Safety Plan.
2. To hold the request by Ald. Galvin to change the 2-WAY STOP condition on Irwin Avenue at Eliza Street to a 4-WAY STOP condition.

U. Committee of the Whole

1. For consideration with possible action to create the Office of Violence Prevention Director LTE position in Community and Economic Development through December 31, 2025 at a salary up to \$83,000 and not to exceed \$100,000 in fringe benefits.
2. For consideration and possible action on an update relating to the Lambeau Field Lease Negotiations.

The Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

3. For consideration and possible action on an update regarding PFAS litigation and authority to retain outside counsel.

The Committee may convene in closed session pursuant to Section 19.85(1) (g), Wis. Stats., for the purpose of conferring with legal counsel for the governmental body who is rendering oral or written advice concerning strategy to be adopted by the body with respect to litigation in which it is or is likely to become involved. The Committee will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to

Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

V. Informational.

1. Building Report for May 2023

W. Resolutions.

1. A resolution to add nine additional reserve liquor licenses to the pool for a total of 32 reserve licenses.
2. Resolution authorizing budget amendment.
3. Resolution authorizing cancellation of 2022 real estate property taxes.
4. Resolution authorizing conditional use permit at 1500 Grignon St Parcel 17-1034.
5. Resolution accepting streets for concrete pavement, asphalt pavement or asphalt resurfacing June 6, 2023.
6. Resolution approving the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources NR 208 - Compliance Maintenance Annual Report.

X. Ordinances - First Reading.

1. Miscellaneous Ordinance No. 06-23
An ordinance adopting an amendment to the Smart Growth 2022 Comprehensive Plan of the City of Green Bay related to property located at 2132 Deckner Ave.
2. Zoning Ordinance No. 12-23
An Ordinance rezoning property located at 2132 Deckner Ave (#21-2284-2) from varied-density residential district (R3) to a public institutional district (PI).

Y. Referral of Petitions & Communications.

Z. Adjournment.

- 1) SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: The Video of this meeting, Agenda, Agenda Packet, and Minutes are available online at www.greenbaywi.gov/Meetings.
- 2) ACCESSIBILITY: Any person wishing to attend who requires special accommodation because of a disability, should contact the City Safety Manager at 920-448-3125 at least 48 hours before the scheduled meeting time so that arrangements can be made.
- 3) QUORUM: Please take notice that a majority or quorum of the Common Council will attend this committee meeting and will constitute a meeting of the Common Council for purposes of discussion and information gathering relative to this agenda.
- 4) REPRESENTATION: The party requesting the communication, or their representative, should be present at this meeting.

Virtual Meeting Instructions



2023-06-06 Common Council

Zoom Meeting Information

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89265525444>

Meeting ID: 892 6552 5444

Passcode: 263662

One tap mobile

+13017158592,,89265525444#,,,,*263662# US (Washington DC)

+13052241968,,89265525444#,,,,*263662# US

Dial by your location

+1 301 715 8592 US (Washington DC)

+1 305 224 1968 US

+1 309 205 3325 US

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

+1 646 931 3860 US

+1 929 205 6099 US (New York)

+1 386 347 5053 US

+1 507 473 4847 US

+1 564 217 2000 US

+1 669 444 9171 US

+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)

+1 689 278 1000 US

+1 719 359 4580 US

+1 253 205 0468 US

+1 253 215 8782 US (Tacoma)

+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)

+1 360 209 5623 US

Meeting ID: 892 6552 5444

Passcode: 263662

Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/kde85dVRZu>

Public Comments

If you wish to speak at this public meeting or leave a comment, please fill out the online [Comment Form](#) prior to the meeting. Online comments will be accepted until 4:30 pm on Council day.


Additional Information

1. Wisconsin Open Meetings Law still applies
 - a. Persons interested in speaking to an item must state their name and address for the minutes.
 - b. Committee/Commission/Board members will still follow *Roberts Rules of Order Newly Revised 12th edition*.
2. Please log into the Zoom meeting at least 10 minutes before the meeting begins to ensure a proper connection and that your technology is working.
 - a. If you are a Board Member, please log into [CivicClerk](#) with a computer, laptop, or tablet device.
3. Once you are in the meeting please mute yourself.
 - a. You may unmute yourself when you are called upon to speak.
4. Waiting room
 - a. When you call in or connect via web or Zoom app, you will be placed in a "waiting room."
 - b. The meeting host will then admit you to the meeting, and mute you upon entrance (you will still be able to hear and or otherwise observe the meeting).
5. Registering
 - a. The host may ask you to register for the meeting. A registration link will be sent to you along with the invite. You'll receive another email confirming that you're registered for the meeting.
 - b. If you're using a phone, your registration will be tied to an email.
6. Raising your hand
 - a. Committee/Commission/Board members—you can either use CivicClerk and request to speak or you can also utilize the "raise your hand" tool in the Zoom platform (you'd need to use a computer or tablet) to let the host know you would like to speak. You can also un-mute yourself and start speaking.
 - b. Persons with items on the agenda or other interested parties—you can also utilize the "raise your hand" tool on the Zoom platform via computer or mobile device. You will be allowed to speak once the committee, commission, or board has moved to "open the floor for interested parties to speak." Once discussion on your agenda item has concluded, the host will mute you, unless the committee opens the floor again.
7. What devices should I use?
 - a. Smart phone (please see more detailed instructions on page 3)
 - b. Land line
 - c. Tablet—in advance of the meeting, please download the Zoom Meeting app by using either the Apple Store or the Play Store. You will be asked to input your name, to identify you for the meeting.
 - d. Computer—you can access the meeting through a web browser by clicking on the meeting link, or through the Zoom Meeting app. If using the app, please download it in advance of the meeting. You will be asked to input your name, to identify yourself for the meeting.
 - e. For tablet and computer users—if you download the app you may be asked to verify your email.

8. Zoom etiquette
 - a. Muting yourself when you're not speaking will prevent your background noise from interfering with others' ability to listen to and participate in the meeting.
 - b. If you're using a telephone, please identify yourself with your phone number and state your name and address before you speak. Zoom meeting hosts can see only your telephone number and will ask you to identify yourself.
9. Closed session
 - a. Persons in the Zoom meeting will be put into a waiting room while the committee/commission/board meets in Closed Session. Participants will be admitted back into the Zoom meeting once the committee reconvenes in Open Session.
 - b. Persons watching a Common Council meeting live on YouTube will see a gray screen with the City logo during closed session.
10. Persons interested in attending anonymously or listening to the meeting may call in by dialing *67 followed by the phone number in the Zoom Meeting Information box.

Calling into the Zoom meeting using a smartphone

1. Dial the phone number listed at the beginning of this document.
2. When prompted, enter the Meeting ID number followed by #
3. Once you are in the meeting, notify the meeting host that you are in and state your name.
4. If you do not wish to speak, please make sure your phone is on **Mute**
 - a. If you're using a smartphone, look at your screen and click the Mute button





Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # J.1

General Ordinance 13-23
An ordinance relating to Mobile Food Establishment License.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. Draft G.O.13-23 relating to Mobile Food Establishment License

GENERAL ORDINANCE NO. 13-23

AN ORDINANCE
AMENDING SECTION 10-574(b),
GREEN BAY MUNICIPAL CODE,
RELATING TO MOBILE FOOD ESTABLISHMENT
LICENSE EXPIRATION DATE

THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 10-574(b), Green Bay Municipal Code, is hereby amended to read:

(b) *Issuance and expiration of license.* Every mobile food vendor shall have a license issued by the City Clerk to conduct business in the City of Green Bay. Each mobile food establishment shall be licensed separately. A mobile food establishment license shall expire on ~~June 30~~ **December 31** each year.

SECTION 2. All existing licenses shall be extended to December 31, 2023, to coincide with the updated expiration date.

SECTION 3. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 4. Effective date. This ordinance shall take effect on and after its passage and publication.

Dated at Green Bay, Wisconsin, this _____ day of _____, 2023.

APPROVED:

Mayor

ATTEST:

Clerk

law

5/8/2023



Report to the
Redevelopment Authority
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

Neil Stechschulte, Director

AGENDA ITEM # K.I

To approve the Second Amendment to Development Agreement 2021-01 with Merge Urban Development, subject to final approval by the City Attorney.

BACKGROUND

The Developer is requesting an adjustment to the performance dates contained in the agreement. It is staff's understanding that delays to date have been due to the Developer's uncertainty regarding soil conditions and availability of the Developer's architect. The Developer has agreed to provide documentation regarding the engagement of their architect in 2023 as an additional performance metric in 2023. Financial penalties have been added if the new dates are not met. Staff anticipates having to define these potential penalties more specifically in negotiations with the Developer prior to Council approval.

The original development agreement was approved in May of 2021, and the first amendment that adjusted the project dates was approved in February 2022.

RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the second amendment to the development agreement subject to final review and formatting by the City Attorney.

FISCAL IMPACT

There is no change in the financial request approved in the original agreement. The shift in completion dates will reduce the amount of increment generated by and available to the project.

ATTACHMENTS

1. DA2021-01 MERGE@THE SHIPYARD EXECUTED
2. DA 21-01 MERGE @ THE SHIPYARD FIRST AMENDMENT executed
3. Merge Shipyard DA - Amend 2 Redlines to original DA 20230601
4. SECOND AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT 2021-01 to COUNCIL 20230605



City of Green Bay
Department of Community and Economic Development

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT 2021-01
MERGE @ THE SHIPYARD

This Development Agreement is made this 18th day of May, 2021,
by THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, a Wisconsin municipal corporation ("City"),
THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY ("RDA"),
and MERGE, LLC, an Iowa limited liability company ("Developer").

RECITALS

- A. Developer has proposed to acquire and develop certain real property, identified for real estate tax purposes and address as:

<u>Tax Parcel</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Assessed Value</u>
3-551	239 Arndt St.	4.67	\$0.00
3-554-A	101 Bridge St.	0.29	\$0.00
3-556	119 Bridge St.	0.70	\$0.00

- B. The parcels listed above shall be referred to as the "Property." The Property comprises approximately five and sixty-six hundredths acres (5.66) of land. A map of the Property is herein attached as EXHIBIT A; a legal description of the Property is herein attached as EXHIBIT B. The City and/or RDA and/or Developer shall cause a Certified Survey Map ("CSM") to be prepared, approved, and recorded with the Brown County Register of Deeds, which shall illustrate all new parcels created through the actions of Section III. B. I., and which is herein attached as EXHIBIT C.
- C. Developer intends to complete a Project, which involves the construction of a four (4)-story mixed-use structure with two wings connected on the north side of the building (Building One) with approximately one hundred twenty-nine (129) market-rate rental units and approximately four thousand (4,000) square feet of restaurant and/or retail space; and a separate a four (4)-story residential structure with two wings connected on the west side of the building (Building Two) with approximately ninety-six (96) market-rate rental units; and related improvements. The Proposed Project improvements are shown on a Preliminary Concept Plan, which is herein attached as EXHIBIT D.
- D. As of January 1, 2020, the Property has an aggregate assessed value of zero dollars (\$0.00), which based on the assessed tax rates in effect as of January 1, 2020, the Property yields approximately:
1. Zero dollars (\$0.00) in total real estate taxes annually (assessed mill rate of \$24.62);
 2. Zero dollars (\$0.00) in real estate taxes to the local taxing jurisdictions (total real estate taxes less real estate taxes to the State of Wisconsin) annually (assessed mill rate of \$24.62; and

3. Zero dollars (\$0.00) in real estate taxes to the City of Green Bay annually (assessed mill rate of \$9.72).
- E. Upon completion of Proposed Project, the City estimates the aggregate assessed property value of the Property to be twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00) which is anticipated to yield approximately:
1. Five hundred five thousand, four hundred seventy dollars (\$505,470.00) in total real estate taxes annually (assessed mill rate of \$24.62);
 2. Five hundred five thousand, four hundred seventy dollars (\$505,470.00) in real estate taxes to the local taxing jurisdictions (total real estate taxes less real estate taxes to the State of Wisconsin) annually (assessed mill rate of \$24.62; and
 3. One hundred ninety-eight thousand, six hundred sixty dollars (\$198,660.00) in real estate taxes to the City of Green Bay annually (assessed mill rate of \$9.72).
- F. Pursuant to the provisions of §66.1105, Wis. Stats. (the "Tax Increment Law"), the City has included the Property within Tax Increment District Number Twenty-Two: The Shipyard ("TID 22" or the "TID"), which will provide part of the financing for certain costs of the Project.
- G. Developer has requested Tax Incremental Finance ("TIF") assistance from the City and RDA with regard to certain expenses, including, but not limited to environmental remediation; clearing of land; construction of new buildings; or the construction of public works infrastructure, which will constitute qualified expenditures for which TIF assistance may be afforded Developer.
- H. The City and RDA desire to have Developer perform the Project in order to generate economic activity and tax base for the community consistent with the City Comprehensive Plan.
- I. In order to induce Developer to undertake the Project, such that the Project will remediate environmental contamination and/or enhance the physical (soil, water, air) landscape, build new structures with high-performance designs, systems, and finishes, create a significantly higher per-acre property value than adjacent properties and the City average, generate property taxes greater than the cost of providing infrastructure and services, build new structures for individuals of all ages and abilities, is located in places easy to reach on foot, bicycle, or transit, expand non-motorized transportation networks, expand our range of residential and commercial real estate products, create and/or enhance unique public spaces, amenities, and art, and the public will generally benefit, the City has agreed to provide assistance to Developer as provided by this Agreement, all in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

I. PURPOSE

- A. Incorporation of Proceedings, Exhibits, and Recitals. All motions adopted, approvals granted, minutes documenting such motions and approvals, and plans and specifications submitted by the Developer in conjunction with any and all approvals as granted by the City or RDA, including but not limited to adopted or approved plans or specifications on file with the City or RDA, along with all of the Recitals set forth above, shall be incorporated into this Agreement by reference, upon attachment, or upon consent by amendment if necessary if not referenced or attached at the time of execution of this Agreement.

- B. Implementation Schedule. TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE with regard to all dates and time periods set forth and/or incorporated herein. Any material modification or deviation from an approved schedule described in this Agreement shall occur only upon approval of the City and RDA, with any such approvals required to be in writing as an amendment to this Agreement, and which approvals shall not be unreasonably withheld. City shall cooperate and act promptly with respect to any and all permits or approvals necessary for completion of the Project upon receipt of complete and accurate application materials. Notwithstanding the above, this Agreement shall not limit the discretion of the City, or any of its duly appointed and authorized governing bodies, boards or entities, in approving or rejecting any aspect of the Project or improvements contemplated on or about the Property as part of the City's customary processes.
- C. Entire Agreement. This writing including all Exhibits hereto, and the other documents and agreements referenced herein, constitutes the entire Agreement between the parties hereto in respect to the Project and all prior letters of intent or offers, if any, are hereby terminated. This Agreement shall be deemed to include and incorporate such minutes, approvals, plans, and specifications, as referenced in this Agreement, and in the event of a conflict between this Agreement and any action of the City or RDA, granting approvals or conditions attendant with such approval, the terms of this Agreement shall be deemed controlling and the City and RDA will take the necessary action to amend any conflicting approvals or conditions.
- D. Purpose of the Agreement. In order to cause the Project to occur and to induce Developer to undertake the Project, to promote community development, industry and job creation and to expand and enhance the tax base within the City, the City intends to provide the TIF Incentives as set forth in this Agreement. The City intends to recover its costs through the Available Tax Increment generated by the Property. The parties intend to enter into this Agreement to record the understandings and undertakings of the parties and to provide a framework within which the Project may proceed.

II. DEFINITIONS; EXHIBITS

Whenever in this Agreement a pronoun is used it shall be construed to represent either the singular or the plural, masculine or feminine, as the case shall demand. As used in this Agreement, the following terms, when having an initial capital letter, shall have the following meanings:

- A. "Affiliate" means any entity majority owned or controlled by, in control of, or under common control with Developer, or is a subsidiary of which Developer is a member.
- B. "Agreement" means this Development Agreement among the City, RDA, and Developer, as amended and supplemented from time to time.
- C. "Annual Assessed Value" means the assessed value of the Private Improvements and the Property, as defined in this Agreement, as of January 1 of any calendar year.
- D. "Available Tax Increment" means the amount of Tax Increment (as defined below) actually received by the City generated by any increase of value of the Property above the base value and attributable to development within a tax incremental finance district, during the twelve (12) month period preceding a payment date, that has not been previously used to make payment on bonds or other obligations as determined by the City. The amount of Available Tax Increment may fluctuate based on variations in the property valuations, tax rate, depreciation and other independent factors.
- E. "Base Value" means the aggregate assessed value of the Property when the TID was created, which shall be zero dollars (\$0.00).

- F. "City" means the City of Green Bay, Brown County, Wisconsin.
- G. "Completion Date" means the substantial completion of both Building One and Building Two, as evidenced by issuance of certificates of occupancy for those buildings.
- H. "Concept Plan" means the plan for the Project.
- I. "Developer" means MERGE, LLC, or any assignee of the same.
- J. "Future Project" means any Private Improvements that will be constructed in the future not specifically detailed in this Agreement.
- K. "Plans and Specifications" means the plans and specifications developed for the Project.
- L. "Preliminary Concept Plan" means the initial Concept Plan, a copy of which is attached as EXHIBIT B and which is subject to such changes as Developer, the City or RDA may propose and the City and RDA may accept in its sole discretion.
- M. "Private Improvements" means the improvements to be constructed on the Property that are not Public Improvements.
- N. "Project" means the Project as defined in the Recitals.
- O. "Public Art" means art that shall be accessible to the public, and includes all forms of original creations of visual art, conceived in any medium, material, or combination thereof, including paintings, drawings, stained glass, and murals in any media; statues, bas relief, mobile, kinetic, electronic, neon, or other sculptures; environmental artworks; fountains, arches or other structures intended for ornament; integrated and functional architectural elements of a structure; video and other media-based works; inscriptions, fiber works, carvings, mosaics, photographs, drawings, collages, textile works and prints; crafts, both decorative and utilitarian in clay, fiber, wood, metal, glass, stone, plastic and other materials; artist-designed public spaces and functional elements which are either a part of a larger project or a separate entity in and of itself.
- P. "Public Improvements" means the infrastructure improvements in connection with the Project that will ultimately be dedicated for public service, including, without limitation:
1. road, pedestrian, and bicycle improvements; and
 2. sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and potable water and wastewater mains and laterals, and storm water management facilities; and
 3. telephone, high-speed cable, and related technology infrastructure; and
 4. natural gas, electrical power, and other public utilities; and
 5. any related engineering, grading, erosion control, and landscaping; and
 6. any related land acquisitions and anticipated and intentional corrections to adjacent property affected by the public improvements, including grading.
- Q. "Qualified Expenditures" means any expenditures of Developer for the Project that are eligible for TIF Incentives as defined in Section III. B. 5.

- R. "Special Assessment" means any special assessment levied against the Property by the City under §66.0701-0733, Wis. Stats., the City Code of Ordinances and this Agreement.
- S. "Special Charge" means any special charge levied against the Property by the City under §66.0627, Wis. Stats., the City Code of Ordinances and this Agreement.
- T. "Tax Increment" means that amount obtained by multiplying the total county, city, school and other local general property taxes levied on all taxable property within a TID in a year by a fraction having as a numerator the value increment for that year in the district and as a denominator that year's equalized value of all taxable property in the TID.
- U. "TID" means Tax Increment District Number Twenty-Two: The Shipyard ("TID 22" or the "TID"), of the City of Green Bay, which has been established and is in good standing by the City of Green Bay, Wisconsin. The City created TID 22 in 2019; TID 22 terminates in 2046.
- V. "TIF" means Tax Increment Financing, as described in Section III below and in particular, Tax Increment Financing relating to the TID.
- W. "TIF Incentive" means the incentive as set forth in Section III of this Agreement including specifically the Tax Incentive Cap.

III. TAX INCREMENT FINANCING

- A. Qualification for TIF. Developer shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of City and RDA a need for TIF, with such determination to be made according to the "but for" test, that is, that but for the City and RDA providing TIF, the Project would not happen. At the request of the City or RDA, Developer shall provide an independent analysis from a consultant expert in TIF to justify to the satisfaction of the City and RDA the Developer's qualification and need for TIF, both in terms of Qualified Expenditures and the amount of money to be paid to Developer. The Developer's out of pocket costs for any such independent analysis requested by the City or RDA shall be a Qualified Expense reimbursable to the Developer notwithstanding the limitations in Section III.C.2.
- B. Nature of TIF Incentive. The TIF Incentive available to Developer under this Agreement shall be defined as the following:
 - I. Property Transfer. The City and/or RDA shall convey the Property to the Developer through the following process:
 - a) The City and/or RDA shall contribute the Property to Developer or, upon Developer's request, to Developer's Affiliate, free and clear of liens and encumbrances that materially prohibit development of the Property as herein proposed, via warranty deed, in exchange for an interest in transferee with a percentage interest and capital account commensurate with its proportionate value, as agreed by the parties, and shall provide an owner's policy of title insurance at the time of conveyance.
 - b) The City and/or RDA shall prepare and obtain approvals for a CSM, for which desired parcel boundaries are herein attached on the Preliminary Concept Plan (EXHIBIT C), which shall include:
 - (I) The creation of a new right-of-way and/or City and/or RDA-owned parcel that extends fifty (50) feet in width from the existing eastern Fox River

shoreline of 101 Bridge Street, 119 Bridge Street, and 239 Arndt Street (Tax Parcels 3-554-A, 3-556, 3-551) and the existing southern Fox River shoreline of the 239 Arndt Street (Tax Parcel 3-551); and

(II) The creation of a new right-of-way and/or City and/or RDA-owned parcel, south of the existing Arndt Street right-of-way, which extends fifty (50) feet from the western boundary of 239 Arndt Street (Tax Parcel 3-551) to the eastern terminus of the existing Arndt Street right of way; and

(III) The City and/or RDA will, as required, grant the Developer easements to permit the construction, operation, and maintenance of a permanent patio, landscaping, berms and/or retaining walls as required by federal, state, and local floodplain regulations within the new right-of-way and/or City and/or RDA-owned parcel(s) described above in (I) and (II).

c) Upon recording of such CSM with the Brown County Register of Deeds, all references to Property shall include all such new parcels created through the actions of this Section III. B. 1.

d) The RDA shall have a right to put its interest in the Developer or, upon Developer's request, to Developer's Affiliate, (as referenced in section III.B.1.a)) to an Affiliate of Developer (as specified by Developer) for the purchase price of \$1,000 at any time after the aggregate assessed value of the Property is equal to or greater than \$18,750,000.

e)

2. Public Improvements. Prior to the dates or timelines specified below, the City and/or RDA shall, at their expense, complete the following Public Improvements in accordance with all applicable codes and ordinances:

a) Arndt Street. One year after the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for Building One, appropriate paving, landscaping, lighting, controls, and other improvements required to ensure a safe, comfortable crossing of the CN railroad tracks for both motorized and non-motorized users, in compliance with current industry standards. The RDA and/or City shall take steps in accordance with all applicable codes and ordinances to rename the segment of Arndt Street east of Broadway to a new name agreeable to the parties.

b) Bridge Street. One year after the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for Building Two, appropriate paving, landscaping, lighting, and other improvements required to ensure safe, comfortable, and contiguous pedestrian accommodations on Bridge Street between Broadway and the Fox River, in compliance with current industry standards.

c) The Shipyard. The City and/or RDA shall construct a signature public recreation and entertainment facility at 100 W. Mason St. (Tax Parcel 2-78) and surrounding property, in accordance with all applicable codes and ordinances, which includes the following phases and timelines:

- (I) Phase I - December 1, 2022. A multi-modal public path and/or boardwalk along the entire waterfront boundaries of the slip (cove); a floating dock for transient boaters; and an accessible kayak launch.
 - (II) Phase 2 – One year after a certificate of occupancy is issued for Building One. A great lawn for concerts, festivals, and other events; a dog park; an urban beach, playground, play fountain or splash pad, and/or similar amenities.
 - (III) Phase 3 – One year after a certificate of occupancy is issued for Building Two. A commercial plaza with permanent and/or seasonal structures for food, beverage, retail, and recreation.
- d) Environmental Remediation: The City and/or RDA shall submit and obtain a post closure modification request in accordance with requirements of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (herein “WDNR”) which allows for modification of the existing cap. The City will take necessary action to provide a site that has obtained WDNR closure with continuing obligations. The City and/or RDA will utilize City’s environmental consultant (currently Stantec) to perform this work. Any further work warranted by the WDNR during site development, such as WDNR approvals, excavation and proper disposal of residual contamination, cap replacement, and installation of a vapor mitigation system, and associated costs is the responsibility of the Developer.
3. Off-Street Public Parking. In the event the City constructs off-street public parking improvements on properties located at 401 S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 3-568), 511-513 S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 2-949-A), 515 S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 2-70), 517 S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 2-71) and S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 3-568-1), the City will make parking available to the Developer on an as-available basis, for as long as such property remains undeveloped.
4. PAYGo Reimbursement. The City shall provide a TIF Incentive as a pay-as-you-go (PAYGo) obligation of the City, which is further defined as follows:
- a) The Developer guarantees that the Property shall have a minimum aggregate assessed value of fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000.00) on or before January 1, 2026.
 - b) Developer shall be responsible to incur and pay all of the upfront costs of the Project and, to the extent TID revenues are sufficient to the limits of the TID and this Agreement, Qualified Expenditures shall be reimbursed to Developer.
 - c) Commencing the first year after the first occupancy permit for the Project has been issued, the assessed value of the Property shall be determined on January 1 of each tax year and shall be compared to the assessed value of the Property as of January 1 of the year in which construction commenced. The difference in assessed values shall be known as the Incremental Property Value.
 - d) Incremental Property Value multiplied by the assessed mill rate, less payments of real estate taxes to the State of Wisconsin, shall be known as the Available TIF Increment.
 - e) Provided the aggregate assessed Property value meets or exceeds eighteen million, seven hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$18,750,000.00) on or before January 1, 2026, the City shall make available seventy percent (70%) of the remaining TIF Increment

to the Developer until all Qualified Expenditures have been repaid. Developer shall not be entitled to any TIF Increment unless the aggregate assessed Property value meets or exceeds fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000.00). In the event the aggregate assessed Property value is between fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000.00) and eighteen million seven hundred fifty thousand (\$18,750,000.00) on or before January 1, 2026, the City shall prorate the remaining TIF Increment available to the Developer, based on a percentage of fifty-six percent (56%) for an amount equal to fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000.00), and seventy percent (70%) for an amount equal to eighteen million seven hundred fifty thousand (\$18,750,000.00). For example, if the aggregate assessed Property value is sixteen million eight hundred seventy-five thousand dollars (\$16,875,000.00) on January 1, 2026, the City shall make available sixty-three percent (63%) of the remaining TIF Increment to Developer.

- f) PAYGo Reimbursement payments will be payable to Developer in the year following the year of the TIF Increment determination, after Developer has provided proof to the City of the full payment of the real estate taxes, special assessments and special charges against the Real Estate for the previous year. For example, if the first occupancy permit is issued on September 1, 2023, the TIF Increment would be determined as of January 1, 2024 and the PAYGo reimbursement would first be payable in 2025.
 - g) The City shall take all actions necessary to continue the existence of the TID in good standing through its current 2046 termination date.
 - h) Upon the request of the Developer or an assignee of the Developer's payment rights hereunder, the City or RDA shall provide a written certification of facts regarding the current amount due to the Developer or assignee pursuant to Section III.B.4, the current Available TIF Increment, and a confirmation of the person or location to which the City will make payments.
 - i) The PAYGo Reimbursement shall be available to Developer unless and until Developer challenges any of its real or personal property taxes for the Project below twenty-two million dollars (\$22,000,000.00).
5. Qualified Expenditures. The TIF Incentive available to Developer under this Agreement shall be disbursed in the following priority, and only fund:
- a) Public Improvements, as defined in Section II. P., and environmental remediation, and asbestos abatement as required by State and Federal law; then
 - b) "Private Improvements" specifically approved by the City or RDA as stated in Section IV. C.; then
 - c) Any other activity specifically approved by the City or RDA.
6. Assignment. Developer may assign any of its payment rights hereunder to any future purchaser or developer of any part of the Property upon approval of the RDA, provided however, that the City shall be obligated only to disburse TIF Incentives to the party with whom the City has an agreement. It shall be incumbent upon Developer to enter into a separate agreement with any third parties if it intends to assign its payment rights hereunder, or seek either reimbursement or allocation of any Incremental Property Value and guaranteed aggregate assessed value generated by any third party purchaser and/or developer of any part

of the Property. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Developer may sell or assign its payment rights hereunder to any party without the consent of the City or the RDA following the Completion Date, provided that the Developer provides the RDA and the City with timely written notice and a copy of such fully executed sale or assignment instrument.

C. Limitations. The TIF Incentive available to Developer for the Project is limited as follows:

1. Monetary Limitation. The TIF Incentive in any year shall not exceed seventy percent (70%) of the Available Tax Increment for the Property.
2. Tax Incentive Cap. Subject to Section III.A., the City shall not be obligated to pay TIF Incentive in excess of the lesser of seven million five hundred thousand dollars (\$7,500,000.00) or the total amount of Qualified Expenses incurred and paid by Developer.
3. Tax Receipts Limitation. Only the Available Tax Increment actually received by the City, and no other property, revenue, or asset of the City, shall be used to pay such amounts.
4. Temporal Limitation. Provided Developer qualifies for TIF Incentive and provides adequate proof to the City and RDA that Developer has incurred and paid Qualified Expenditures, and provided Developer and all transferees have paid the real estate taxes and any Special Assessments and Special Charges in full for the previous tax year by July 31, TIF Incentive payments shall be made on or before September 1 of each year; provided, however, in no event shall TIF Incentive payments continue after the earlier of the termination date of the TID or the termination of this Agreement if before the termination of the TID.

D. No General Obligation of City. The City's obligation to make TIF Incentive payments shall be a special and limited obligation only and shall not be considered a general obligation of the City, and neither the full faith and credit nor the taxing powers of the City are pledged to the payment of such amounts. The City shall take no action to dissolve the TID before payment of all TIF Incentive payments due to the Developer, subject to the provisions of this Agreement. In no circumstances shall amounts to be paid Developer hereunder be considered an indebtedness of the City, and the obligation of the City hereunder is limited to the Available Tax Increment appropriated and received by the City. Amounts due hereunder shall not count against the City's constitutional debt limitation, and no taxes will be levied for its payment or pledged to its payment other than from the Available Tax Increment.

E. Other Grants and Credits. The City and RDA, as appropriate and in its sole discretion, may also apply for such other grants and credits in regard to the Project as they shall deem appropriate for the benefit of the Project and as may be required to achieve necessary financing for the Project, provided, however, the City and RDA make no representations or warranties about the availability of such grants and credits or whether any such grants or credits that may be available will be awarded.

IV. OBLIGATIONS OF DEVELOPER

A. Concept Plan. Prior to July 1, 2021, Developer shall submit a Concept Plan to RDA for approval, which shall be based on, but may differ in minor respects from the Preliminary Concept Plan presented in the Term Sheet. The Concept Plan shall clearly identify:

1. The new boundaries of the Property as described in Section III. B. 1.; and
2. The approximate location of any Future Projects proposed on the Property.

- B. Construction Documents. Prior to February 1, 2022, and prior to commencement of construction of any Phase of the Project, Developer shall submit site plans, building plans, and other drawings that fix and describe the size and character of the entire Project, along with architectural and general contracts, to RDA for approval. The Construction Documents shall include:
1. Plans and specifications for structural, mechanical and electrical systems, materials; and
 2. Full-color elevations for all sides of all proposed structures; and
 3. Descriptions and actual samples of all exterior building materials; and
 4. Descriptions and photographic examples of interior finishes; and
 5. Other such essential items as may be reasonably determined by the RDA to be appropriate.
- C. Development Budget. Prior to June 1, 2022, Developer shall submit a Development Budget, prepared in accordance with general principles for construction and development budgeting, to RDA for approval. The Development Budget shall include:
1. Not less than twenty million dollars (\$25,000,000) in "hard" construction costs for the entire Project; and
 2. A line item of not less than ten percent (10%) of total Project costs for cost overruns and change orders; and
 3. A line item of not less than one percent (1%) of the estimated aggregate assessed value of the Property, which shall be specifically dedicated towards
 - a) Public Art on the Property; or
 - b) Public Art within one-half (1/2) mile of the Property; or
 - c) A separate Public Art project(s) approved by the RDA and GBPAC; or
 - d) Funds for design and maintenance of Public Art, or any combination of the alternatives herein; and
 4. Line items for each of the Qualified Expenditures for which the Developer is seeking a TIF Incentive, as identified in Section III.
- D. RDA Approvals. The RDA shall indicate its approval or further requirements in writing within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of the Concept Plan, Construction Documents, or Development Budget, or any revisions; provided, however, that the RDA shall approve such revised Concept Plan, Construction Documents, or Development Budget unless it determines such revisions would materially impair the objectives of this Agreement, impose substantial financial burdens on the City or the RDA, or adversely affect the Concept Plan or not be consistent with adopted plans or applicable zoning districts for the area. The RDA will make all reasonable efforts to determine the acceptability of plans in less than thirty (30) days, including convening for special meetings to review and consider such plans. At any time during the implementation of the development contemplated by this Agreement, the RDA or Developer may propose modifications to the Preliminary Concept Plan and the approved Concept Plan subject to the agreement of the RDA and the Developer. At any time during the implementation of the development contemplated by this Agreement, Developer may

submit to the RDA proposed revisions in the approved Concept Plan, Construction Documents, or Development Budget in order to enhance the achievement of the objectives of this Agreement and to improve and refine the approved Concept Plan.

- E. Compliance with Planning: Zoning: Permits and Use. Developer will obtain from the City and all other appropriate governmental bodies (and all other councils, boards, and parties having a right to control, permit, approve, or consent to the development and use of the Property) all approvals and consents necessary to develop and use the Property as set forth above, including, but not limited to:
1. Developer shall pay all water, sewer, and other impact fees that may be due and payable in connection with the Project.
 2. The acceptance of this Agreement and granting of any and all approvals, licenses, and permits by the City shall not obligate the City to grant any variances, exceptions, or conditional use grants, or approve any building or use the City determines not to be in compliance with the municipal codes and ordinances of the City, or in the best interests of the City or the RDA.
 3. Developer shall have obtained the approval of the City, RDA, and State of Wisconsin Department of Transportation to a traffic impact analysis regarding the Project.
- F. Proof of Equity. Developer shall have in place and shall provide the City and RDA no later than June 1, 2022, proof of equity in the form of the value of the Property, less any mortgages thereon, not less than twenty percent (20%) equity available for injection into the Project in an amount sufficient to obtain financing for all costs for the Project. Any available Developer funds obtained from sources other than lenders or the City shall be expended on the Project before any lender or City funds are expended or any third party financing is used to pay Project costs.
- G. Proof of Financing. By no later than June 1, 2022, Developer shall have delivered proof reasonably satisfactory to the City and RDA of financing, which after injection of the Developer equity into the Project, will be sufficient in the determination of the City and RDA, to complete the Project according to the plans and specifications.
- H. Acquisition of Property. The City and/or RDA shall transfer all of the parcels comprising the Property and all of the necessary rights of way required for the Project in accordance with Section III. B. 1. herein. Each of the City, RDA and Developer shall provide copies of deeds and such other closing documents as requested by the other party regarding the purchase of the Property and rights of way. The Property and rights of way shall be owned in the name of the Developer.
- I. Certified Survey Map. The City and/or RDA shall prepare and obtain approvals for a CSM in accordance with Section III. B. 1. herein.
- J. Use of Funds. Developer may use TIF supported funds only to fund Qualified Expenses as set forth in the approved Development Budget.
- K. Improvement of Property. Developer shall promptly design and complete Building One of the Project by December 31, 2023, and thereafter design and complete Building Two by December 31, 2025. Substantial work on the Project shall commence no later than ninety (90) days after the last to occur of approval by the City and RDA of the Preliminary Concept Plan, approval by RDA of the Development Budget and Development Plans, transfer of the Property as described in Section IV.H. and/or issuance of a building permit and all other permits or licenses required to commence construction, provided the Public Improvements described in Section III.B.2. are substantially

completed by the City by the dates set forth herein. Developer shall file with the RDA copies of the detailed construction plans within ninety (90) days after the Completion Date.

- L. Reports and Information. During the period before the commencement of construction, Developer shall, upon request, provide to the RDA information having a bearing upon the interests of the City and the RDA in the Property or under this Agreement. Upon request of the RDA, Developer shall submit progress reports during the course of construction. Upon request of the RDA, Developer shall, prior to the Completion Date, submit a copy of annual, unaudited financial statements for Developer.
- M. Copies of Documents. All documents from Developer to the City or RDA shall be submitted as hard copies shall be submitted in triplicate if so requested by the City or RDA. Documents may also be submitted electronically.
- N. Maintenance and Repair. Developer shall at all times following conveyance of the Property as described in Section 4.H., keep and maintain, or cause to be kept and maintained, the Property in good condition and repair, in a safe and clean condition, and free of all trash, litter, refuse, and waste, subject only to demolition and construction activities contemplated by this Agreement.
- O. Transfer or Sale of Project Property.
 - 1. Notice of Intent to Transfer. If Developer intends to sell, transfer or convey the Property or any part thereof to a party other than an Affiliate before termination of this Agreement, the Developer shall provide to the City and RDA a written request for transfer thirty (30) days prior to the anticipated transfer. The City or RDA may deny the request for any commercially reasonable reason. Upon notice to the City and RDA, Developer may assign all rights and obligations under this Agreement only to an Affiliate to own, manage and operate the Property. This Agreement shall run with the land and shall be binding upon all current and future owners of the Property. Owner shall not be required to provide the City or RDA with written notice of its intent to transfer in connection with the granting of any mortgage or security agreement to finance or refinance loans for the purchase of the Property or payment of costs of the Project.
 - 2. No Transfer to Exempt Entities. Prior to the closure of the TID, the Property shall not be sold, transferred or conveyed to, leased, or owned by any entity or used in any manner that would render any part of the Project Property exempt from taxation, unless the purchaser, transferee, lessee or owner first executes a written agreement with the City and RDA in a form satisfactory to the City providing for acceptable payments to the City in lieu of taxes.
- P. Easements. Developer, its Affiliates, and/or its assignees or transferees, as applicable shall grant to the City such easements over the Property as are reasonably necessary for public improvements, infrastructure, ingress or egress, utilities, lighting or landscaping or any other access necessary to effectuate this Agreement, provided that the parties agree to work together in granting easements so that all such easements do not materially adversely affect the value or functionality of the Project.
- Q. Environmental.
 - 1. Presence of Hazardous Materials and Compliance with Environmental Laws. Before acquiring the Property, Developer shall be satisfied, through such means as are commercially reasonable, that the Property is free of Hazardous Materials or that any Hazardous Materials on or within the Property are being stored and handled in compliance with all Environmental Laws. Developer, City and RDA shall all share with each other copies of all environmental

reports pertaining to the Property no later than ten (10) days after receiving the same, which each party may have or obtain.

2. Developer's Environmental Indemnification. Developer shall indemnify, pay on behalf of, defend and hold the City, the RDA, and their respective agents, officials, employees, representatives, successors and assigns, harmless from and against any loss, damage, claim, fine, penalty, assessment, liability, or other charge or claim, and all costs (including, without limitation, reasonable legal, accounting, consulting, engineering, and similar expenses incurred with respect to such matter and/or incurred in enforcing this indemnity):
 - a) Arising from the actual existence, treatment, deposit, release, storage, or disposal of any Hazardous Materials on, within or about the Property occurring during the Developer's period of ownership of Property; or
 - b) Arising from the breach of any warranty, covenant or representation of Developer to the City or RDA, or any other obligation of Developer to the City or RDA, regarding Hazardous Materials under this Agreement.

Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, Developer shall not have any obligation under this Section if the loss, damage, claim, fine, penalty, assessment, liability or other charge or claim results from the direct or indirect actions of the City or RDA or arises from conditions present or events occurring prior to the Developer's acquisition of the Property.

3. Hazardous Materials Defined. As used herein, the term "Hazardous Materials" means:
 - a) Hazardous wastes, hazardous substances, hazardous constituents, toxic substances or related materials, whether solids, liquids or gases, including but not limited to substances defined as "hazardous wastes," "hazardous substances," "toxic substances," "pollutants," "contaminants," "radioactive materials," or other similar designations in, or otherwise subject to regulation under, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.; the Toxic Substance Control Act, 15 U.S.C. 2601 et seq.; the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1802; the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601. et seq.; the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251; the Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.; the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.; and in any permits, licenses, approvals, plans, rules, regulations or ordinances adopted, or other criteria and guidelines promulgated pursuant to the preceding laws or other similar federal, state or local laws, regulations, rules or ordinances now or hereafter in effect relating to environmental matters (collectively, "Environmental Laws"); and
 - b) Any other substances, constituents or wastes subject to any applicable federal, state or local law, regulation or ordinance, including any applicable Environmental Law, now or hereafter in effect, including but not limited to: petroleum, refined petroleum products, waste oil, waste aviation or motor vehicle fuel, and asbestos containing materials.
 4. Survival. The provisions of this Section shall survive the conveyance to Developer of any City and/or RDA Property.
- R. Insurance. Before commencement of construction activities on the Property, Developer shall deliver to the City and RDA certificates of insurance, copies of endorsements, and other evidence of

insurance requested by the City or RDA, which Developer is required to purchase and maintain until the Completion Date, or cause to be purchased or obtained, in the types and amounts of coverage listed below, each of which shall name the City and RDA as additional insured parties:

1. Workers Compensation and Related Coverage. Coverage for state and federal workers compensation shall be defined by state and federal statute. The amounts of employer's liability coverage shall be in not less than the following limits:
 - a) Bodily Injury by Accident – one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) per accident;
 - b) Bodily Injury by Disease – one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) per employee; and
 - c) Five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00) policy limit.
2. Waiver of Workers Compensation Subrogation. The workers' compensation policy is to be endorsed with a waiver of subrogation. The insurance company, in its endorsement, agrees to waive all rights of subrogation against the City, RDA, its officers, officials, employees, and volunteers for losses paid under the terms of the policy that arises from the work performed by the names insured for or on behalf of the City or RDA.
3. Comprehensive General Liability Insurance. Coverage shall be written on a commercial general liability form, and shall protect Developer and any subcontractor during the performance of work covered by this Agreement from claims or damages for personal injury, including accidental death, as well as claims for property damages which may arise from operation under this Agreement, whether such operations be by Developer, any subcontractor, or anyone directly or indirectly employed by either of them in such manner as to impose liability on the City or RDA. The amounts of such insurance shall be not less than the following limits:
 - a) General Aggregate Limit – two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00); Personal and Advertising Injury Limit (per person/organization) – two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00);
 - b) Bodily Injury and Property Damage – two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) per occurrence;
 - c) Fire Legal Liability Damage Limit – one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) per occurrence; and
 - d) Medical Expense Limit – ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) per person.
4. Comprehensive Automobile Liability and Property Damage. Coverage shall protect Developer and any subcontractor during the performance of work covered by this Agreement from claims or damages associated with operations of owned, hired, and non- owned motor vehicles. The amounts of such insurance shall be not less than the following limits:
 - a) Bodily Injury – two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00) per person; and
 - b) One million dollars (\$1,000,000.00) per occurrence; and Property Damage – two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00) per occurrence.

5. Umbrella Coverage. Coverage shall protect Developer and any subcontractor during the performance of work covered by this Agreement with limits of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00) for bodily injury, personal injury, and property damage on a combined basis with the stated underlying limits of Paragraphs IV. S. 1. to IV S. 3. above.
6. Builder's Risk Insurance. Before commencing construction of any improvements on the Property and during any construction activities contemplated by this Agreement, Developer shall obtain and keep in full force and effect and all builders risk insurance policy for all portions of the Property with coverage equal to the total amount of the construction contracts for all such construction activities. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to relieve Developer of its obligation to perform under this Agreement and, in the event of loss and subject to any requirements of a mortgage on the Property or Project, Developer shall use the proceeds of such insurance to promptly reconstruct the damaged or lost improvements.
7. Fire and Casualty Insurance. Developer shall obtain and keep in full force adequate fire and casualty insurance with coverage in an amount equal to the assessed value of such improvements. In the event of loss the Developer shall use the proceeds of such insurance to promptly reconstruct the damaged or lost improvements.

S. General Indemnity.

1. Protection Against Losses. Developer shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the City, RDA, and their respective officers, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers and the successors and assigns of all of the foregoing, from any and all liabilities, claims, losses, damages, judgments or awards, costs or expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, of whatsoever nature and by whomsoever asserted, whether asserted by a third party or by a party to this Agreement (hereinafter "Losses"), directly or indirectly, arising out of, resulting from or in any way connected with:
 - a) Any breach by Developer of the terms of this Agreement;
 - b) Any non-compliance with laws, ordinances, rules or regulations applicable to Developer's obligations under this Agreement; or
 - c) Any governmental, regulatory or other proceedings to the extent any such proceedings result from Developer's failure to comply with its obligations under this Agreement or otherwise.
2. Indemnification Procedures. Developer shall promptly assume full and complete responsibility for the investigation, defense, compromise and settlement of any claim, suit or action arising out of or relating to the indemnified matters following written notice thereof from the City or RDA, which notice shall be given by the City or RDA within ten (10) days of their knowledge of such claim, suit or action. Failure to provide such timely notice shall not eliminate Developer's indemnification obligations to the City and RDA unless, and only to the extent to which, such failure has substantially prejudiced Developer. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in its sole discretion and at its expense, the City and RDA may participate in or defend or prosecute, through their own counsel(s), any claim suit or action for which either of them is entitled to indemnification by Developer; provided, however, that if the City or RDA is advised in writing by its legal counsel that there is a conflict between the positions of Developer and City or RDA, as appropriate, in conducting the defense of such action or that there are legal defenses available to the City or RDA different from or in addition to those

available to Developer, then counsel for the City or RDA, at Developer's expense, shall be entitled to conduct the defense only to the extent necessary to protect the interests of the City or RDA. Developer shall not enter into any compromise or settlement without the prior written consent of the City or RDA, as appropriate, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. The absence of a complete and general release of all claims against the City or RDA shall be reasonable grounds for the City or RDA to refuse to provide written consent to a compromise or settlement. If Developer does not assume the defense of such claim, suit or action, Developer shall reimburse the City and RDA for the reasonable fees and expenses of counsel(s) retained by the City and by RDA, and shall be bound by the results obtained by the City and RDA; provided, however, that no such claim, suit or action shall be settled without Developer's prior written consent, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. The absence of a complete and general release of all claims against Developer shall be reasonable grounds for Developer to refuse to provide written consent to a compromise or settlement.

V. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT TO OBLIGATIONS OF CITY AND RDA

The City's and RDA's obligations under this Agreement are conditioned upon the following:

- A. Existence. Developer shall have provided City and/or RDA a certified copy of its organizational documents and a certificate from the Department of Financial Institutions for the State of Iowa indicating Developer's existence and good standing, and statement of qualification to do business in the State of Wisconsin.
- B. Incumbency; Due Authorization. Developer shall have provided a certificate of incumbency and resolutions of the company, demonstrating Developer has been duly authorized to enter into this Agreement and authorizing the person signing this Agreement to execute and deliver it to the City and/or RDA, and to bind Developer to its terms.
- C. No Violation or Default. Developer shall not be in violation of any of its governing documents or other contracts subject to this Agreement or of any other agreement between Developer and the City and/or RDA.
- D. Insurance. The Developer shall have delivered to the City and/or RDA certificates of all insurance required under this Agreement.
- E. TID District. TID shall be in effect and in good standing certified by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue. The City and RDA represent that as of the date of this Agreement, each has taken all of the actions required by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue in with regarding to the TID, is aware of no issues which could affect the good standing of the TID with the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, and no major changes to the TID which could affect its good standing are anticipated.

VI. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT TO OBLIGATIONS OF DEVELOPER

The obligations of Developer under this Agreement are conditioned upon the following:

- A. TID. The TID shall remain in effect and in good standing as certified by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue.
- B. Due Authorization. The City Council shall consent to the City entering into this Agreement and shall authorize the person(s) signing this Agreement to execute and deliver it to Developer and to bind the City to its terms. All actions required to authorize RDA to enter into this Agreement shall have been

taken and evidence of such actions, including authorization of the person signing this Agreement on behalf of RDA shall have been provided to Developer.

- C. City/RDA Approvals. The City, the RDA or other applicable governmental bodies have provided all approvals contemplated by Sections IV.A. through IV.E. hereof,

VII. REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES, AND COVENANTS

Developer represents and warrants to the City and RDA as follows:

- A. No Material Change in Documents. All contract documents and agreements have been furnished to the City and RDA, as the case may be, by the Developer and are true and correct and there has been no material change in any of the same.
- B. No Material Change in Developer Operations. There has been no material change in the business operations of Developer since the date the parties began negotiation to enter into this Agreement that would adversely affect the ability of the Developer to perform its obligations hereunder.
- C. Compliance with Zoning. The Project will conform at all times and in all respects with applicable zoning and land division laws, rules, regulations and ordinances.
- D. Payment. Developer shall pay for all work performed or materials furnished for the Project when and as the same become due and payable. Developer shall not suffer any construction or other involuntary lien to be imposed upon the Property prior to the Completion Date, except for liens for claims to payment that are subject to a bona fide dispute, and, in that case, shall be removed by Developer posting bond or other security, paying one hundred and twenty percent (120%) of the lien claimed into court, escrowing funds or promptly taking other steps to remove the lien of record. Developer shall pay all other obligations relating to the Project prior to the Completion Date, including all creditors holding liens or mortgages against the Property when and as the same become due. Developer will pay all taxes and assessments levied against the Property when and as the same become due.
- E. Certification of Facts. No statement of fact by Developer contained in this Agreement and no statement of fact furnished or to be furnished by Developer to the City or RDA pursuant to this Agreement contains or will contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omits or will omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements herein or therein contained not misleading.
- F. Good Standing. Developer is a limited liability company organized and existing in good standing under the laws of the State of Iowa, is duly qualified to conduct business in the State of Wisconsin and has the power and all necessary licenses, permits and franchises to own its assets and properties and to carry on its business.
- G. Due Authorization. The execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement and all other agreements requested to be executed and delivered by Developer hereunder have been duly authorized by all necessary company action of Developer and constitute valid and binding obligations of Developer, in accordance with their terms, subject only to applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, general principles of equity, and other similar laws of general application affecting the enforceability of creditors' rights generally.
- H. No Conflict. The execution, delivery, and performance of the obligations of Developer, the development company, and all principals of the development company pursuant to this Agreement

will not violate or conflict with the Articles of Organization or Operating Agreement of Developer or any indenture, instrument or material agreement by which Developer is bound, nor, to the knowledge of the officer of the Developer signing this Agreement, upon a due diligence evaluation, will the execution, delivery, or performance of obligations of Developer pursuant to this Agreement violate or conflict with any law applicable to Developer.

- I. No Litigation. To the knowledge of the officer of the Developer signing this Agreement upon a due diligence evaluation, there is no litigation or proceeding pending or threatened against or affecting Developer or the Property that would adversely affect the Project, Developer or the priority or enforceability of this Agreement, the ability of Developer to complete the Project or the ability of Developer to perform its obligations under this Agreement.
- J. No Default. No default, or event that with the giving of notice or lapse of time or both would be a default, exists under this Agreement, and Developer is not in default (beyond any applicable period of grace) of any of its obligations under any other material agreement or instrument to which Developer is a party or an obligor.
- K. Compliance with Laws and Codes. The Project, when completed, will conform and comply in all respects with all applicable laws, rules, regulations and ordinances, including without limitation, all building codes and ordinances of the City. Developer will comply with, and will cause the Project to be in compliance with all applicable federal, state, local and other laws, rules, regulations and ordinances, including without limitation, all environmental laws, rules, regulations and ordinances at all times during its period of ownership of the Project.
- L. Fees or Commissions. Neither the City nor RDA shall be liable for any broker fees or commissions incurred by Developer in connection with the Property or any transactions contemplated by this Agreement.
- M. No Objection to Property Assessment. Prior to termination of this Agreement, Developer shall not file an objection to real or personal property assessment as provided under §70.47(7)(a), Wis. Stats that, if successful, would reduce the aggregate assessed value of the Property to less than \$22,000,000.

VIII. DEFAULT

- A. Developer Default. Each of the following shall be an Event of Default by Developer:
 - 1. Failure to Make Payment. Developer fails to make any payment required and such failure continues for a period of thirty (30) days after invoice due date;
 - 2. Failure to Abide by Other Terms. Developer fails to perform any other of its obligations under this Agreement and such failure continues for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of notice from the City or RDA; provided, however, if such cure cannot reasonably be accomplished within such thirty (30) days and the delay in cure does not materially impair the financial interests of the City or RDA, and if Developer promptly commences cure within the initial thirty (30) days and thereafter diligently and continuously takes action to complete such cure, then the failure to perform shall not be an Event of Default;
 - 3. Misrepresentation. Any representation or warranty of Developer in this Agreement or any agreement contemplated by this Agreement is untrue in any material respect when made;

4. Fraud and Other Illicit Behavior. Developer or any of its members is convicted of, pleads no contest to, or enters into any other agreement other than a dismissal with no conditions as to any allegation of:
 - a) Fraud; or
 - b) Indecent or illicit behavior that in the determination of the City or RDA would threaten the reputation of Developer or its ability to complete the Project according to the requirements of this Agreement;
 5. Insolvency. Prior to the Completion Date, the Developer or any guarantor of the obligations of Developer is insolvent or becomes the subject of a petition in bankruptcy, a receivership, a composition or any other proceeding designed for the benefit of creditors generally that is not dismissed within one hundred eighty (180) days of the date of filing;
 6. Involuntary Liens. Any lien is imposed upon the Property involuntarily due to the acts or omissions of Developer and such lien is not removed within sixty (60) days of it being imposed upon the Property.
- B. Remedies Upon Default. In the event of the occurrence of an Event of Default by Developer, the City may in its discretion:
1. Termination. Terminate this Agreement without further notice to Developer;
 2. Offset and Recoupment. Offset or recoup against any amounts that may then or thereafter come due from the City or RDA to Developer, whether under this Agreement or otherwise, an amount of damages reasonably estimated by the City or RDA resulting from Developer's breach;
 3. Specific Performance. Sue for specific performance;
 4. Sue for Damages. Sue for all damages caused by the Event of Default;
 5. Other Remedies. Pursue any other remedies available to the City or RDA at law or in equity;
 6. Interest. Collect interest on all delinquent amounts owed to the City or RDA at the rate of eight percent (8%) per annum from the date such amount was due; and
 7. Costs and Attorney Fees. Collect all costs and fees, including reasonable attorney fees incurred by the City and RDA, or either of them, by virtue of the Event of Default.
- C. City or RDA Default. Developer shall have all rights and remedies available under law or equity with respect to any failure of the City and/or RDA to perform their obligations under this Agreement, but only after providing the City and RDA notice of such default and a failure by the City and/or RDA to commence attempts to cure such default within the thirty (30)-day notice period. Except for Event of Defaults caused by the failure to make payments to the Developer, if the City and/or RDA, as appropriate, commences cure within the thirty (30)-day notice period and thereafter reasonably and continuously takes action to complete such cure, then the failure to perform shall not be an Event of Default.
- D. Limitation of Damages. The foregoing notwithstanding, none of the parties shall be liable to any other party for any incidental, consequential, indirect, punitive or exemplary damages. All claims and damages

asserted against the City or RDA shall be subject to statutory protections of municipalities and their officials and employees, including the immunity and limitations set forth in §893.80 Wis. Stats.

- E. No Waiver. Any delay in instituting or prosecuting any actions or proceedings or otherwise asserting the rights granted in this Agreement, shall not operate as a waiver of such rights to, or deprive it of or limit such rights in any way, nor shall any waiver in fact made with respect to any specific default, be considered or treated as a waiver of any rights with respect to other defaults or with respect to the particular default except to the extent specifically waived in writing.
- F. Remedies Cumulative. Except as expressly provided otherwise in this Agreement, the rights and remedies of the parties to this Agreement, whether provided by law or by this Agreement, shall be cumulative, and the exercise by any party of any one or more of such remedies shall not preclude the exercise of it, at the same or different times, of any other such remedies for any other default or breach by any other party.

IX. TERMINATION

- A. Date of Termination. This Agreement shall terminate upon the earliest of the date:
 - 1. All Qualified Expenditures have been repaid in full by Tax Increment;
 - 2. The City closes and terminates the TID;
 - 3. The Wisconsin Department of Revenue fails to certify or revokes certification of all or any portion of the TID or the Property;
 - 4. This Agreement is terminated because of an Event of Default; or
 - 5. The parties agree in writing to terminate this Agreement.
- B. TIF Payments termination. TIF payments shall terminate upon the earliest of:
 - 1. The year in which the TIF Incentive equals the lesser of seven million five hundred thousand dollars (\$7,500,000.00)(plus costs of any independent analysis as provided in Section III.A.) or the total amount of Qualified Expenses incurred and paid by Developer as indicated by the Tax Incentive Cap in Section III. C. 2.;
 - 2. Twenty-two (22) years after the date of execution of this Agreement, which is 2042.
- C. Survival of Certain Provisions. Sections III. B. I. d), IV.J., IV.O.2., IV. Q.2., IV. S, V.A., V.B., V.C.,V.D., V.E., VII.E., VII.G., VII.L., VIII.A., VIII. B., VIII.C., VIII. D., VIII. E., VIII. F., X.B., X.C., X.G., X. J., X.N., X.O., X.P., and X. R. shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

X. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- A. No Effect Until Executed. The terms of this Agreement shall have no force and effect unless and until this Agreement is executed by all Parties.
- B. Assignment. Except as provided below, prior to the Completion Date, Developer may not assign its rights or obligations under this Agreement without the express prior written consent of the City and RDA (other than to an Affiliate or Affiliates). Thereafter, this Agreement may be assigned by Developer only upon the prior, written consent of the City and RDA, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing:

- a) **Permitted Assignment:** Developer may assign all of its rights and obligations with respect to a portion of the Property to one or more Affiliates, without prior approval of the City or RDA, so long as the proposed Affiliate assignee (i) agrees in writing to assume all of Developer's rights and obligations under this Agreement with respect to the portion of the Property transferred to the Affiliate, (ii) the Developer conveys all or a portion of the Property to the Affiliate assignee, (iii) the Developer provides the RDA and the City with timely written notice and a copy of such fully executed assignment and assumption agreement, and (iv) Developer directly or indirectly manages the Affiliate assignee.
 - b) **Collateral Assignment of Development Agreement.** Developer may assign its rights and obligations under this Agreement with respect to all or a portion of the Property to a lender or lenders, solely for the purposes of providing collateral security for a loan issued to Developer for the purposes of the construction and development of the Project or any portion thereof. Any such assignment shall become effective only following an event of default by Developer under the terms of the loan. No such assignment shall relieve Developer of any of its obligations hereunder.
 - c) **Transfers of Equity Interests.** Nothing herein shall prevent, and RDA and City consent shall not be required for, the transfer of equity interests in Developer or in any direct or indirect owner thereof, any portion thereof among family members, or trusts for the benefit of family members for estate planning purposes.
- C. **Nondiscrimination.** In the performance of work under this Agreement, Developer shall not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment nor shall the Property or any portion thereof be sold to, leased or used by any party in any manner to permit discrimination or restriction on the basis of the basis of race, color, national or ethnic origin, ancestry, age, religion or religious creed, disability or handicap, sex or gender (including pregnancy), gender identity and/or expression, sexual orientation, military or veteran status, genetic information, or any other characteristic protected under applicable federal, state or local law. Retaliation is also prohibited. The construction and operation of the Property shall be in compliance with all effective laws, ordinances and regulations relating to discrimination on any of the foregoing grounds.
- D. **No Personal Liability.** Under no circumstances shall any trustee, officer, official, commissioner, director, member, partner or employee of the City or RDA have any personal liability arising out of this Agreement, and Developer shall not seek or claim any such personal liability.
- E. **No Personal Interest of Public Employee.** No official or employee of the City or RDA shall have any personal interest in this Agreement, nor shall any such person voluntarily acquire any ownership interest, direct or indirect, in the legal entities that are parties to this Agreement. No official or employee of the City or RDA shall be personally liable to Developer or any successor in interest, in the event of any default or breach by the City or RDA, or for any amount that becomes due to the Developer or its successors under this Agreement.
- F. **Relationship of Parties.** The City and the RDA are not partners or joint venturers with Developer in the Project or otherwise. Under no circumstances shall the City or RDA be liable for any of the obligations of Developer under this Agreement or otherwise. There are no third party beneficiaries of this Agreement.
- G. **Force Majeure.** No party shall be responsible to any other party for any resulting losses and it shall not be a default hereunder if the fulfillment of any of the terms of this Agreement is delayed or

prevented by revolutions or other civil disorders, wars, acts of enemies, strikes, fires, floods, acts of God, pandemics, adverse weather conditions, legally required environmental remedial actions, industry-wide shortage of materials or labor, or by any other cause not within the control of the party whose performance was interfered with, and which exercise of reasonable diligence, such party is unable to prevent, whether of the class of causes herein above enumerated or not, and the time for performance shall be extended by the period of delay occasioned by any such cause. The foregoing notwithstanding, a Force Majeure event may not be used to avoid an Event of Default if the delay caused by the Force Majeure event exceeds one hundred and eighty (180) days from the date the event occurred.

- H. Parties and Survival of Agreement. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, this Agreement is made solely for the benefit of the parties hereto and no other person, partnership, association or corporation shall acquire or have any rights hereunder or by virtue hereof. All representations and agreements in this Agreement shall remain operative and in full force and effect until fulfilled and shall survive the closing.
- I. Time. TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE with regard to all dates and time periods set forth herein. In the event this Agreement is not executed by both Parties prior to July 1, 2021, any and all approvals granted pursuant hereto or in conjunction herein by the City and/or RDA which are contemplated as part of this Agreement shall automatically expire.
- J. Notices. All notices, demands, certificates or other communications under this Agreement shall be given in writing and shall be considered given:
1. Upon receipt if sent via electronic mail (e-mail)
 2. Upon receipt if hand-delivered to the party or person intended; or
 3. One (1) business day after deposit with a nationally-recognized overnight commercial courier service, air bill pre-paid; or
 4. Three (3) business days after deposit in the United States Postal Service (USPS), postage prepaid, by certified mail, return receipt requested.

All correspondence shall be addressed by name and address to the party or person intended as follows:

To the City: City of Green Bay
 Attn: City Clerk
 100 North Jefferson Street
 Green Bay, WI 54301
 e-mail: Clerkinquiries@greenbaywi.gov

To RDA: Redevelopment Authority of the City of Green Bay
 Attention: Executive Director
 100 North Jefferson Street, Room 608
 Green Bay, WI 54301
 e-mail: Neil.Stechschulte@greenbaywi.gov

To the Developer: MERGE, LLC
 Attention: Brent Dahlstrom, Principal

604 Clay Street
Cedar Falls, IA 50613
e-mail: brent@mergeurbandevelopment.com

With a copy to: Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP
Attention: Steven F. Mount
41 South High Street, Suite 2000
Columbus, OH 43215
e-mail: steven.mount@squirepb.com

The foregoing addresses shall be presumed to be correct until notice of a different address is given according to this paragraph.

- K. Governing Law. The laws of the State of Wisconsin shall govern this Agreement.
- L. Captions. The captions or headings in this Agreement are for convenience only and in no way define, limit or describe the scope or intent of any of the provisions of this Agreement.
- M. Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be signed in any number of counterparts with the same effect as if the signature thereto and hereto were upon the same instrument.
- N. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement shall be determined to be unenforceable as applied in any particular case or in all cases because it conflicts with any other provision or provisions hereof or any constitution or statute or rule of public policy, or for any other reason, such circumstance shall not have the effect of rendering the provision in question inoperative or unenforceable in any other case or circumstance, or of rendering any other provision or provisions herein contained unenforceable to any extent whatever.
- O. Recording of Agreement. The City may record this Development Agreement or a Memorandum of this Agreement with the Register of Deeds for Brown County, Wisconsin. Upon request of the City, Developer shall execute and deliver to the City any such Memorandum or any other document in connection with such recording. Following termination of this Development Agreement and upon the request of an owner of any portion of the Property, the City and the RDA shall execute and record a memorandum indicating that this Development Agreement has been terminated and this Development Agreement no longer runs with the land nor binds owners of the Property (other than the Developer).
- P. Priority Over Subsequent Liens. This Agreement shall run with the land and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties and their heirs, successors and assigns. As such, the current and all future owners of the Property shall be subject to all of the obligations stated herein. The Developer warrants and represents that there will not be any mortgage or any other lien against the Property created by the Developer at the time this Development Agreement is recorded other than mortgages for the purchase of the Property and to finance costs of constructing the Project. This Development Agreement shall have precedence and shall take priority over any mortgage, lien or other encumbrance that may be recorded against the Property (or any portion thereof) after the recording of this Development Agreement (or Memorandum thereof) ; provided, however, that upon request of the Developer, the City and RDA shall execute such subordinations of this Development Agreement as may be reasonably required by a mortgagee providing financing for the Project.

- Q. No Construction Against Drafter. This Agreement is a product of the negotiation and drafting of attorneys for the parties, and, as such, the rule of construing ambiguous contracts against the drafter shall not apply to this Agreement.
- R. Venue. The venue for any proceeding involving the negotiation, drafting, interpretation or enforcement of this Agreement shall be the circuit court for Brown County, Wisconsin, all other venues being inappropriate for any such proceeding.
- S. Signatures and Counterparts. Electronic, facsimile and photocopy signatures shall have the same effect as original signatures.

[Signature pages follow]

Signature page 1 of 3

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties to this Agreement have caused this instrument to be signed by duly authorized representatives of Developer, City and RDA as of the day and year first written above.

**DEVELOPER:
MERGE, LLC**

By:



Brent Dahlstrom, manager
Print Name and Title

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
 Iowa) SS
COUNTY OF BROWN-)
 Black Hawk

Personally came before me this 1st day of June 2020, the above named Brent Dahlstrom, a member of MERGE, LLC, an Iowa limited liability company, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.




* Jill Kraayenbrink


Notary Public, Black Hawk County, Wisconsin- Iowa
My Commission Expires July 29, 2021

Signature page 2 of 3

THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, and
MERGE, LLC

THE CITY OF GREEN BAY

By:


Eric Genrich, Mayor

By:


Celestine Jeffreys, Clerk


ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)

) SS

COUNTY OF BROWN)

Personally came before me this 10 day of June 2021, the above named
Mayor Eric Genrich and Clerk Celestine Jeffreys on behalf of the City of Green Bay,
a Wisconsin municipal corporation, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing
instrument and acknowledged the same.


* Jaime M. Fuge
Notary Public, Brown County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires May 25, 2025

Signature page 3 of 3

THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, and
MERGE, LLC

**REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE
CITY OF GREEN BAY**

By:

Gary J. Delveaux
Gary J. Delveaux, Chair

Attest:

Neil Stechschulte
Neil Stechschulte, Executive Director

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF BROWN)

Personally came before me this 14th day of June 2020, the above named
Gary J. Delveaux and Neil Stechschulte, on behalf of the Redevelopment
Authority of the City of Green Bay, a Wisconsin municipal corporation, to me known to be the person
who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.

Stephanie R. Schmutz
* Stephanie R. Schmutzer
Notary Public, Brown County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires 3/1/23

STEPHANIE R. SCHMUTZER
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

EXHIBIT A
Property Map




EXHIBIT B
Legal Description

239 Arndt Street – Tax Parcel 3-551

Block 1, Arndt's Addition to Fort Howard, now part of the City of Green Bay and that part of the unsurveyed part of Private Claim No. 2, West side of Fox River, in the City of Green Bay, Brown County, Wisconsin, described as follows:

Commencing at a point where the Northerly line of the surveyed part of Private Claim No. 2 West intersects the Westerly line of Pearl Street extended which point is located 248.3 feet Southerly along said Westerly line of Pearl Street from the Southerly line of Arndt Street; thence North 24° 42' 39" East along the Westerly line of Pearl Street extended 248.3 feet to the Northeast corner of Block 5, Arndt's First Addition to Fort Howard; thence South 64° 6' 21" East along the Southerly line of Arndt Street 573.01 feet more or less to the West government dock line Fox River; thence South 12° 35' 14" West along said government dock line 255.1 feet to the Northerly line of the surveyed part of said Private Claim No. 2 West; thence North 64° 6' 21" West along said Northerly line of the surveyed part of Private Claim No. 2 West 627.34 feet to the point of beginning; EXCEPT that part thereof that lies West of the center line of Pearl Street produced Southerly.

Lots 1 and 2, including the East ½ of Water Street vacated, Block 2, Arndt's Addition to Fort Howard now a part of the City of Green Bay.

Lot 3, Block 2 Arndt's Addition to Fort Howard now a part of the City of Green Bay, except the part thereof described in Volume 241 Deeds, page 234.

The vacated portion of Arndt Street extending Easterly from the Easterly line of Water Street (vacated) to the Fox River.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM:

- (a) The 20 foot main line right of way of the (former) C&NW RR Co., described at 4 Deeds 462.
- (b) The side track easement of the (former) C&NW RR Co., described at 90 Deeds 167

101 Bridge Street – Tax Parcel 3-554-A

That part of Lot Five (5), Block Two (2), according to the recorded Plat of Arndt's First Addition to the City of Fort Howard, now a part of the City of Green Bay, West side of Fox River, Brown County, Wisconsin, bounded on the West by a line 280 feet Easterly from and parallel to the Easterly line of Pearl Street and bounded on the East by the channel bank of Fox River, on the North by the Southerly line of Bridge Street, and on the South by the Southerly line of said Lot 5, Block 2, Arndt's First Addition.

119 Bridge Street – Tax Parcel 3-556

Part of Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 2, Arndt's First Addition to Fort Howard, in the City of Green Bay, Brown County, Wisconsin, described as follows:

All that part of Lot 3, Block 2, lying Westerly of the West line of the premises conveyed to Fort Howard Paper Company as described in Jacket 38 Image 34, also the East 5 feet of vacated Water Street lying between the North and South lines of said Lot 3, Block 2, extended Westerly.
All that part of Lot 4 Block 2, lying between the East line of vacated Water Street and the Channel Bank of Fox River.

The Westerly 120 feet of Lot 5, Block 2; the easterly 5 feet of vacated Water Street lying between the North line of the aforesaid Lot 5 and the South line of the aforesaid Lot 4, Block 2 extended westerly.

EXHIBIT C
Certified Survey Map ("CSM")

[to be inserted]

EXHIBIT D
Preliminary Concept Plan

PULLBACK

PROJECT DATA

FACTS // What do we know? What is given?

239 Arndt Street / 119 Bridge Street
 Existing site condition is a vacant industrial site of 233,784 SQ FT (5.367 acres).
 Zoning: D2 Downtown Two
 Max ground floor area: 40,000 SF
 FAR: Min. 1; Max 8 (no setbacks required)
 Max Height: None
 Located in "On Broadway" Business Improvement District.
 Raised site with fill to be out of floodplain - no underground parking.
 Walk Score: 67 (city average: 41) Bike Score 87!
 2015 IBC and Wisconsin State Amendments
 Reference Green Bay 2014 Downtown Plan "AuthentiCity" and "State of Downtown Report"

GOALS // What do we want to achieve + why?

Transform this end of the city.
 Create a dense walkable residential development that maximizes use of limited downtown waterfront.
 Maximize units with views of the Fox River and the Shipyard park
 Restaurant facing the urban edge to add additional vibrancy and attract people to the site.
 Create an anchor to the south end of the Broadway District that supports the vibrant district culture while also spurring further development on S. Broadway, "starting a domino effect".
 Architecture should be authentically of Green Bay and the Broadway district.

"materials reflecting the district's history or the formation of the community encourage people to think about Green Bay and the district, or maybe even instill a sense of wonder about the community's story" Streetscape TV Report

CONCEPTS // How can we achieve the goals?

Incorporate massing of adjacent industrial buildings and elevate the context.
 Building orientation and geometry to maximize views toward river and Shipyard park.
 Incorporate attractive landscaping and lighting to extend park feeling from Shipyard Park to Riverwalk.
 Juxtapose intentional elements from historic industrial context.

"equally important to scale is detail—a Broadway district experience relates strongly to the sense of its relationship to the river, industry and the craft felt in its buildings." Streetscape TV Report

NEEDS // What quantity + quality is required?

Retail at restaurant: 14' clear height, 4000 SF.
 Half-level covered parking at building with restaurant. All other ground floor to be walk ups.
 225 Total Units
 Micros - 20% (450 SF)
 Studios - 20% (500 SF)
 1 BD - 20% (650 SF)
 1+ BD - 20% (700 SF)
 2BD - 20% (900 SF)
 Provide amenities including courtyard, patio terrace space, private balconies, kayak and fishing storage.
 Support pedestrian-friendly designs such as outdoor seating, outdoor gathering areas, active commercial corner, and public or quasi-public spaces.

Green Bay Shipyard

Merge Urban Development Group

PROJECT STAKEHOLDERS

Joy Hannemann
 Brent Dahlstrom
 Jon Tiaber
 On Broadway
 City of Green Bay

CORE PURPOSE

Create attainable housing options in a walkable urban setting that uses this site to its full potential.
 Connect and respond to the adjacent Shipyard Park
 To build a lasting relationship with the City of Green Bay for future development.

CHARACTER + FEEL

Blend the industrial fabric of the Green Bay riverfront with a new building that is contextually sensitive yet contemporary and exciting.
 Embrace Green Bay's appreciation of art, the flourishing culinary scene, it's industrial roots and it's emerging high-tech workforce.
 Support and expand on the active and recreational activities of the adjacent Shipyard park.

END USER DESCRIPTION

Young professionals, working class people, and empty nesters will be drawn to the attractive housing adjacent to the Shipyard Park, with views of the river and park-like setting.
 Abundant day lighting, sustainable and natural materials, and smart design will be attractive features that will drive demand for these urban residential units.

PULLBACK

PROJECT DRIVERS

**SERVE // SPACES FOCUSED
ON LIFE OF PEOPLE + PLACE**

PEOPLE // Multiplicity

The quality or state of being multiple or various

The Broadway district is a culturally rich district that is diverse in its racial, ethic, and economic makeup. The bulk of people coming into the district are young singles and couples.

The community contains a strong art and culinary scene. Broadway is home to Green Bay's most dense collection of public art.

Designed to serve both blue collar and high tech work force.

PLACE // Anchor

Reliable. something that serves to hold firmly

The adjacent Shipyard Park development will create a tourism and recreation destination at the south end of the Broadway District. Dense residential development will activate the new park and enliven the waterfront, which will spur further development on the south end of the district.

Project site is situated between juxtaposed places of work (active shipyard and riverfront) and play (large shipyard park)

**MAKE SENSE // QUALITY EXECUTION
SHAPED BY REGIONALISM + ENDURANCE**

REGIONALISM // Form

The essential nature of a thing

Building forms and materials inspired by a history of industrial, ship building, and paper making structures, detailed in a contemporary way, to create a modern interpretation of the old buildings that formerly lined the Fox River.

The dominant feature of the site is the Fox River. Amenities should allow people to view and interact with it in various ways, during each season.

Pedestrian focused experience to promote activity and interaction with the various community activities that take place year round.

ENDURANCE // Hardy

Bold, Brave, capable of withstanding adverse conditions

Industrial quality, durability, and timelessness will be referenced in the architecture

Incorporate solar panels to offset energy cost of common areas and shared amenity area.

As the project site is raised up & out of the flood plain, it should not disconnect itself from accessing the riverfront.

Materials and detailing should create a hybrid character of the industrial past and the high-tech future.

**MEAN IT // HOLISTIC CONCEPTS
DEVELOPED WITH CLARITY + OPTIMISM**

CLARITY // Shipyard

a yard, place, or enclosure where ships are built or repaired

Incorporate clean lines and bold features that are prevalent throughout midwest industrial architecture

Allow the architecture to direct the flow of pedestrians' views and movement as they are they spill out to the riverfront.

Use building and landscaping to shape and promote the pedestrian experience as a hub of community.

OPTIMISM // Recreation

Refreshment of strength and spirits after work

This development should not add additional burden to the lives of its users. The architecture and site should create areas of play and rest that reinvigorate the lives of its users

Provide different scales of dynamic spaces to create an active and peaceful environment that engages with the river.

Green Bay Shipyard

Merge Urban Development

+ DRIVERS // INCREASING SPECIFICITY

+DRIVER 1 // Urban Flow

The architecture shapes the movement & views that spill out onto the Fox River and the surrounding city

+DRIVER 2 // Hybrid History

Mixed character of the site's industrial and shipping past with its high-tech future

+DRIVER 3 // Revitalization by Recreation

The adjacent Shipyard Park will create a tourism and recreation destination at the south end of the Broadway corridor. Dense residential development will activate the new park and enliven the waterfront.

ONISHOT
ARCHITECTURE



MERGE
URBAN DEVELOPMENT

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD MIXED-USE // 2020.03.06

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

SOCIOECONOMIC BENCHMARKS

People Per Canvas Block Area



- Project Parcel Location
- Project Study Area

People Per Canvas Block Area (Acre)




Dwelling Units Per Canvas Block Area



- Project Parcel Location
- Project Study Area

Dwelling Units Per Canvas Block Area (Acre)




Employment Units Per Canvas Block Area



- Project Parcel Location
- Project Study Area


Employment Per Canvas Block Area (Acre)



GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

SOCIOECONOMIC BENCHMARKS

Green Bay Shipyard Study Area Map



Green Bay Shipyard Study Area Data





Green Bay City Jurisdiction Map



Secondary Source:
https://factfinder.census.gov/servlet/data/municipalities?_lang=en
https://censusreporter.org/profiles/42000US5500000800-census-tract-8-brown-wi/

Green Bay City Jurisdiction Data



REGIONALISM

EXISTING CONTEXT

- PROJECT SITE
- PARKS
- 1/2 MILE RADIUS OR 5 MINUTE WALK
- PUBLIC EVENT SPACE
- BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION**
- BICYCLE LANES
- PROPOSED BICYCLE LANES
- FOX RIVER TRAIL
- PEDESTRAIN PATHS**
- RIVERWALK
- POTENTIAL RIVERWALK EXPANSION
- FUTURE HUBBARD STREET PROMANADE

POINTS OF INTEREST


1. ACE MARINE
2. TITLETOWN BREWERY
3. COPPER STATE BREWERY CO.
4. NEVILE PUBLIC MUSEUM
5. THE CANNERY PUBLIC MARKET
6. T2 ACCELERATOR
7. KI CONVENTION CENTER
8. HAMPTON INN DOWNTOWN
9. SCHREIBER FOODS
10. BAYLAKE CITY CENTER
11. THE CHILDREN'S MUSEUM OF GREEN BAY (OLD LOCATION)
12. HOTEL NORTHLAND
13. MEYER THEATRE
14. THE AUTOMOBILE GALLERY
15. FORT HOWARD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL
16. THE GREATER GREEN BAY CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
17. BROWN COUNTY COURTHOUSE
18. THE TARLTON THEATRE
19. CUP O JOY MUSIC VENUE
20. GREEN BAY COMMUNITY THEATRE

PARKS & PUBLIC SPACES

21. FUTURE SHIPYARD PARK
22. THE CITYDECK
23. LEICHT MEMORIAL PARK
24. FRANK B. SEYMOUR PARK

EVENT LOCATIONS


25. FARMER'S MARKET ON BROADWAY & TASTE ON BROADWAY
26. SATURDAY FARMERS MARKET



REGIONALISM

PUBLIC CIRCULATION

- PROJECT SITE
- BUS ROUTES**
 - #3 SILVER LINE
 - #4 BLUE LINE
 - #5 PLUM LINE
 - #8 GREEN LINE
 - #9 GOLD LINE
 - #13 RIVER LINE
- BICYCLE TRANSPORTATION**
 - BICYCLE LANES
 - PROPOSED BICYCLE LANES
 - FOX RIVER TRAIL
- PEDESTRAIN PATHS**
 - RIVERWALK
 - POTENTIAL RIVERWALK EXPANSION
 - FUTURE HUBBARD STREET PROMANADE



GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

OPPORTUNITY ZONE HOUSING + WATERFRONT MIXED-USE

PROJECT DRIVERS

Urban Flow - The architecture shapes the movement & views that spill out onto the Fox River and the surrounding city

Hybrid History - Mixed character of the site's industrial and shipping past with its high-tech future

Revitalization by Recreation - The adjacent shipyard park will create a tourism and recreation destination at the south end of the Broadway corridor. Dense residential development will activate the new park and enliven the waterfront.

TOTAL DEVELOPMENT

Gross: 189,500 SF

Residential: 175,000 SF (225 Units)

Commercial: 4,000 SF

Parking: 322 Total Spaces
(290 Spaces on Grade)
(32 Spaces 1/2 Level Garage)


BUILDING 1

4 Story Mixed-Use:
117,500 GSF (4,000 SF Commercial / 12,500 SF Parking)
(101,000 GSF x 80% Efficiency) / 630 SF = 129 Units
Required Residential Parking = 162*
Required Restaurant Parking = 40**

BUILDING 2


4 Story Residential:
72,000 GSF
(72,000 GSF x 80% Efficiency) / 600 SF = 96 Units
Required Residential Parking = 120*

- RESIDENTIAL
- RETAIL RESTAURANT
- PARKING GARAGE 1/2 LEVEL UNDERGROUND
- EXISTING INDUSTRIAL OFFICE
- NODES OF INTEREST
- PEDESTRIAN PATHS




GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

VISUAL INSPIRATION



GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

FORM & MATERIAL PALETTE



ONITSHOT
ARCHITECTURE




MERGE
URBAN DEVELOPMENT GROUP

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD MIXED-USE // 2020.03.06

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

SITE PLAN



GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

VIGNETTE



ONITSHOT
ARCHITECTURE



MERGE
URBAN TO POLITICAL DESIGN

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD MIXED-USE // 2020.03.06

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

VIGNETTE



ON17SHOT
ARCHITECTURE



MERGE
URBAN DESIGN + ARCHITECTURE

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD MIXED-USE // 2020.03.06

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

VIGNETTE



ONITSHOT
ARCHITECTURE



MERGE
TAKING IDEAS FROM THEORY

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD MIXED-USE // 2020.03.06

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD

VIGNETTE



ONISHOT
ARCHITECTURE



MERGE
DESIGN • CONSTRUCTION • PLANNING

GREEN BAY SHIPYARD MIXED-USE // 2020.03.06

FIRST AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT 2021-01

MERGE @ THE SHIPYARD

This Development Agreement is made this 22nd day of Feb, 2022,
by THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, a Wisconsin municipal corporation ("City"),
THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY ("RDA"),
and MERGE, LLC, an Iowa limited liability company ("Developer").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Developer has agreed to acquire and develop property located at 239 Arndt Street (Tax Parcel 3-551), 101 Bridge Street (Tax Parcel 3-554-A), and 119 Bridge Street (Tax Parcel 3-556), hereinafter defined as the "Project Site"; and

WHEREAS, the Parties entered into a Development Agreement dated May 18, 2021, relating to the Project Site; and

WHEREAS, the Development Agreement provided for Tax Incremental Finance ("TIF") assistance for qualified expenditures for public and private improvements at the Project Site; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the Development Agreement, Developer requested changes to the project timeline, which was approved by the Redevelopment Authority and Green Bay Common Council.

NOW THEREFORE based upon the covenants and considerations contained herein and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties mutually agree as follows:

I. Amendments. The Development Agreement is hereby amended as follows:

- a. In Section III.B.1, insert the phrase "no later than October 31, 2022" immediately following the phrase "The City and/or RDA shall convey the Property to the Developer through the following process".
- b. In Section III.B.2.a, delete the phrase "One year after the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for Building One" and replace with "By May 31, 2024"
- c. In Section IV.B, delete the term "February" and replace with "September"
- d. In Section IV.C, delete the term "June 1" and replace with "December 31"
- e. In Section IV.F, delete the term "June 1" and replace with "December 31"
- f. In Section IV.G, delete the term "June 1" and replace with "December 31"
- g. In Section IV.K, delete the phrase "December 31, 2023" and replace with "May 31, 2024" and delete the phrase "December 31, 2025" and replace with May 31, 2026

2. Remaining Terms and Conditions. The parties hereby acknowledge and agree that, as of the date hereof, the Development Agreement is in full force and effect, and that except as set forth herein, all remaining terms and conditions of the Agreement shall remain unchanged and the Agreements as amended by this First Amendment shall remain in full force and effect.

[Signature pages follow]

Signature page 1 of 3

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties to this Agreement have caused this instrument to be signed by duly authorized representatives of Developer, City and RDA as of the day and year first written above.

DEVELOPER:
MERGE, LLC

By: [Signature]

Brent Dahlstrom, Manager
Print Name and Title

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Iowa
STATE OF ~~WISCONSIN~~)
Black Hawk) SS
COUNTY OF ~~BROWN~~)

Personally came before me this 27th day of December 2021, the above named Brent Dahlstrom, a member of MERGE, LLC, an Iowa limited liability company, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.



[Signature]
* Jill Kraayenbrink


Notary Public, Black Hawk County, Wisconsin Iowa
My Commission Expires 7/29/2022

Signature page 2 of 3


THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, and MERGE, LLC

THE CITY OF GREEN BAY

By:


Eric Genrich, Mayor


By:


Celestine Jeffreys, Clerk

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF BROWN)

Personally came before me this 25 day of February 2021 the above named Eric Genrich and Celestine Jeffreys, on behalf of the City of Green Bay, a Wisconsin municipal corporation, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.


*Jaime M. Fuge
Notary Public, Brown County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires May 25, 2025



THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, and MERGE, LLC

Attest:
Neil Stechschulte, Executive Director

Stephanie R. Schmutz
* Stephanie R. Schmutz
Notary Public, Brown County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires 3/1/23



City of Green Bay
Department of Community and Economic Development

**SECOND AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT 2021-01
MERGE @ THE SHIPYARD**

This Development Agreement is made this _____ day of _____, 2021,
by THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, a Wisconsin municipal corporation ("City"),
THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY ("RDA"),
and MERGE, LLC, an Iowa limited liability company ("Developer").

RECITALS

- A. Developer has proposed to acquire and develop certain real property, identified for real estate tax purposes and address as:

<u>Tax Parcel</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Acres</u>	<u>Assessed Value</u>
3-551	239 Arndt St.	4.67	\$0.00
3-554-A	101 Bridge St.	0.29	\$0.00
3-556	119 Bridge St.	0.70	\$0.00

- B. The parcels listed above shall be referred to as the "Property." The Property comprises approximately five and sixty-six hundredths acres (5.66) of land. A map of the Property is herein attached as EXHIBIT A; a legal description of the Property is herein attached as EXHIBIT B. The City and/or RDA and/or Developer shall cause a Certified Survey Map ("CSM") to be prepared, approved, and recorded with the Brown County Register of Deeds, which shall illustrate all new parcels created through the actions of Section III. B. 1., and which is herein attached as EXHIBIT C.
- C. Developer intends to complete a Project, which involves the construction of a four (4)-story residential structure with two wings connected on the west side of the building (Building One) with approximately one hundred nine (109) market-rate rental units; and a separate four (4)-story mixed-use structure with two wings connected on the north side of the building (Building Two) with approximately one hundred twenty-nine (129) market-rate rental units and approximately four thousand (4,000) square feet of restaurant and/or retail space; and related improvements. The Proposed Project improvements are shown on a Preliminary Concept Plan, which is herein attached as EXHIBIT D.
- D. As of January 1, 2020, the Property has an aggregate assessed value of zero dollars (\$0.00), which based on the assessed tax rates in effect as of January 1, 2020, the Property yields approximately:
1. Zero dollars (\$0.00) in total real estate taxes annually (assessed mill rate of \$24.80);
 2. Zero dollars (\$0.00) in real estate taxes to the local taxing jurisdictions (total real estate taxes less real estate taxes to the State of Wisconsin) annually (assessed mill rate of \$24.80; and

3. Zero dollars (\$0.00) in real estate taxes to the City of Green Bay annually (assessed mill rate of \$9.80).
- E. Upon completion of Proposed Project, the City estimates the aggregate assessed property value of the Property to be twenty-one million dollars (\$21,000,000.00) which is anticipated to yield approximately:
1. Five hundred five thousand, four hundred seventy dollars (\$505,470.00) in total real estate taxes annually (assessed mill rate of \$18.68);
 2. Five hundred five thousand, four hundred seventy dollars (\$505,470.00) in real estate taxes to the local taxing jurisdictions (total real estate taxes less real estate taxes to the State of Wisconsin) annually (assessed mill rate of \$18.68; and
 3. One hundred ninety-eight thousand, six hundred sixty dollars (\$198,660.00) in real estate taxes to the City of Green Bay annually (assessed mill rate of \$7.58).
- F. Pursuant to the provisions of §66.1105, Wis. Stats. (the "Tax Increment Law"), the City has included the Property within Tax Increment District Number Twenty-Two: The Shipyard ("TID 22" or the "TID"), which will provide part of the financing for certain costs of the Project.
- G. Developer has requested Tax Incremental Finance ("TIF") assistance from the City and RDA with regard to certain expenses, including, but not limited to environmental remediation; clearing of land; construction of new buildings; or the construction of public works infrastructure, which will constitute qualified expenditures for which TIF assistance may be afforded Developer.
- H. The City and RDA desire to have Developer perform the Project in order to generate economic activity and tax base for the community consistent with the City Comprehensive Plan.
- I. In order to induce Developer to undertake the Project, such that the Project will remediate environmental contamination and/or enhance the physical (soil, water, air) landscape, build new structures with high-performance designs, systems, and finishes, create a significantly higher per-acre property value than adjacent properties and the City average, generate property taxes greater than the cost of providing infrastructure and services, build new structures for individuals of all ages and abilities, is located in places easy to reach on foot, bicycle, or transit, expand non-motorized transportation networks, expand our range of residential and commercial real estate products, create and/or enhance unique public spaces, amenities, and art, and the public will generally benefit, the City has agreed to provide assistance to Developer as provided by this Agreement, all in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

I. PURPOSE

- A. Incorporation of Proceedings, Exhibits, and Recitals. All motions adopted, approvals granted, minutes documenting such motions and approvals, and plans and specifications submitted by the Developer in conjunction with any and all approvals as granted by the City or RDA, including but not limited to adopted or approved plans or specifications on file with the City or RDA, along with all of the Recitals set forth above, shall be incorporated into this Agreement by reference, upon attachment, or upon consent by amendment if necessary if not referenced or attached at the time of execution of this Agreement.

- B. Implementation Schedule. TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE with regard to all dates and time periods set forth and/or incorporated herein. Any material modification or deviation from an approved schedule described in this Agreement shall occur only upon approval of the City and RDA, with any such approvals required to be in writing as an amendment to this Agreement, and which approvals shall not be unreasonably withheld. City shall cooperate and act promptly with respect to any and all permits or approvals necessary for completion of the Project upon receipt of complete and accurate application materials. Notwithstanding the above, this Agreement shall not limit the discretion of the City, or any of its duly appointed and authorized governing bodies, boards or entities, in approving or rejecting any aspect of the Project or improvements contemplated on or about the Property as part of the City's customary processes.
- C. Entire Agreement. This writing including all Exhibits hereto, and the other documents and agreements referenced herein, constitutes the entire Agreement between the parties hereto in respect to the Project and all prior letters of intent or offers, if any, are hereby terminated. This Agreement shall be deemed to include and incorporate such minutes, approvals, plans, and specifications, as referenced in this Agreement, and in the event of a conflict between this Agreement and any action of the City or RDA, granting approvals or conditions attendant with such approval, the terms of this Agreement shall be deemed controlling and the City and RDA will take the necessary action to amend any conflicting approvals or conditions.
- D. Purpose of the Agreement. In order to cause the Project to occur and to induce Developer to undertake the Project, to promote community development, industry and job creation and to expand and enhance the tax base within the City, the City intends to provide the TIF Incentives as set forth in this Agreement. The City intends to recover its costs through the Available Tax Increment generated by the Property. The parties intend to enter into this Agreement to record the understandings and undertakings of the parties and to provide a framework within which the Project may proceed.

II. DEFINITIONS; EXHIBITS

Whenever in this Agreement a pronoun is used it shall be construed to represent either the singular or the plural, masculine or feminine, as the case shall demand. As used in this Agreement, the following terms, when having an initial capital letter, shall have the following meanings:

- A. "Affiliate" means any entity majority owned or controlled by, in control of, or under common control with Developer, or is a subsidiary of which Developer is a member.
- B. "Agreement" means this Development Agreement among the City, RDA, and Developer, as amended and supplemented from time to time.
- C. "Annual Assessed Value" means the assessed value of the Private Improvements and the Property, as defined in this Agreement, as of January 1 of any calendar year.
- D. "Available Tax Increment" means the amount of Tax Increment (as defined below) actually received by the City generated by any increase of value of the Property above the base value and attributable to development within a tax incremental finance district, during the twelve (12) month period preceding a payment date, that has not been previously used to make payment on bonds or other obligations as determined by the City. The amount of Available Tax Increment may fluctuate based on variations in the property valuations, tax rate, depreciation and other independent factors.
- E. "Base Value" means the aggregate assessed value of the Property when the TID was created, which shall be zero dollars (\$0.00).

- F. "City" means the City of Green Bay, Brown County, Wisconsin.
- G. "Completion Date" means the substantial completion of both Building One and Building Two, as evidenced by issuance of certificates of occupancy for those buildings.
- H. "Concept Plan" means the plan for the Project.
- I. "Developer" means MERGE, LLC, or any assignee of the same.
- J. "Future Project" means any Private Improvements that will be constructed in the future not specifically detailed in this Agreement.
- K. "Plans and Specifications" means the plans and specifications developed for the Project.
- L. "Preliminary Concept Plan" means the initial Concept Plan, a copy of which is attached as EXHIBIT B and which is subject to such changes as Developer, the City or RDA may propose and the City and RDA may accept in its sole discretion.
- M. "Private Improvements" means the improvements to be constructed on the Property that are not Public Improvements.
- N. "Project" means the Project as defined in the Recitals.
- O. "Public Art" means art that shall be accessible to the public, and includes all forms of original creations of visual art, conceived in any medium, material, or combination thereof, including paintings, drawings, stained glass, and murals in any media; statues, bas relief, mobile, kinetic, electronic, neon, or other sculptures; environmental artworks; fountains, arches or other structures intended for ornament; integrated and functional architectural elements of a structure; video and other media-based works; inscriptions, fiber works, carvings, mosaics, photographs, drawings, collages, textile works and prints; crafts, both decorative and utilitarian in clay, fiber, wood, metal, glass, stone, plastic and other materials; artist-designed public spaces and functional elements which are either a part of a larger project or a separate entity in and of itself.
- P. "Public Improvements" means the infrastructure improvements in connection with the Project that will ultimately be dedicated for public service, including, without limitation:
 - 1. road, pedestrian, and bicycle improvements; and
 - 2. sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and potable water and wastewater mains and laterals, and storm water management facilities; and
 - 3. telephone, high-speed cable, and related technology infrastructure; and
 - 4. natural gas, electrical power, and other public utilities; and
 - 5. any related engineering, grading, erosion control, and landscaping; and
 - 6. any related land acquisitions and anticipated and intentional corrections to adjacent property affected by the public improvements, including grading.
- Q. "Qualified Expenditures" means any expenditures of Developer for the Project that are eligible for TIF Incentives as defined in Section III. B. 5.

- R. "Special Assessment" means any special assessment levied against the Property by the City under §66.0701-0733, Wis. Stats., the City Code of Ordinances and this Agreement.
- S. "Special Charge" means any special charge levied against the Property by the City under §66.0627, Wis. Stats., the City Code of Ordinances and this Agreement.
- T. "Tax Increment" means that amount obtained by multiplying the total county, city, school and other local general property taxes levied on all taxable property within a TID in a year by a fraction having as a numerator the value increment for that year in the district and as a denominator that year's equalized value of all taxable property in the TID.
- U. "TID" means Tax Increment District Number Twenty-Two: The Shipyard ("TID 22" or the "TID"), of the City of Green Bay, which has been established and is in good standing by the City of Green Bay, Wisconsin. The City created TID 22 in 2019; TID 22 terminates in 2046.
- V. "TIF" means Tax Increment Financing, as described in Section III below and in particular, Tax Increment Financing relating to the TID.
- W. "TIF Incentive" means the incentive as set forth in Section III of this Agreement including specifically the Tax Incentive Cap.

III. TAX INCREMENT FINANCING

- A. Qualification for TIF. Developer shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of City and RDA a need for TIF, with such determination to be made according to the "but for" test, that is, that but for the City and RDA providing TIF, the Project would not happen. At the request of the City or RDA, Developer shall provide an independent analysis from a consultant expert in TIF to justify to the satisfaction of the City and RDA the Developer's qualification and need for TIF, both in terms of Qualified Expenditures and the amount of money to be paid to Developer. The Developer's out of pocket costs for any such independent analysis requested by the City or RDA shall be a Qualified Expense reimbursable to the Developer notwithstanding the limitations in Section III.C.2.
- B. Nature of TIF Incentive. The TIF Incentive available to Developer under this Agreement shall be defined as the following:
 - I. Property Transfer. The City and/or RDA shall convey the Property to the Developer no later than ~~July-December~~ **31, 2023** through the following process:
 - a) The City and/or RDA shall contribute the Property to Developer or, upon Developer's request, to Developer's Affiliate, free and clear of liens and encumbrances that materially prohibit development of the Property as herein proposed, via warranty deed, in exchange for an interest in transferee with a percentage interest and capital account commensurate with its proportionate value, as agreed by the parties, and shall provide an owner's policy of title insurance at the time of conveyance.
 - b) The City and/or RDA shall prepare and obtain approvals for a CSM, for which desired parcel boundaries are herein attached on the Preliminary Concept Plan (EXHIBIT C), which shall include:
 - (I) The creation of a new right-of-way and/or City and/or RDA-owned parcel that extends fifty (50) feet in width from the existing eastern Fox River

shoreline of 101 Bridge Street, 119 Bridge Street, and 239 Arndt Street (Tax Parcels 3-554-A, 3-556, 3-551) and the existing southern Fox River shoreline of the 239 Arndt Street (Tax Parcel 3-551); and

- (II) The creation of a new right-of-way and/or City and/or RDA-owned parcel, south of the existing Arndt Street right-of-way, which extends fifty (50) feet from the western boundary of 239 Arndt Street (Tax Parcel 3-551) to the eastern terminus of the existing Arndt Street right of way; and
 - (III) The City and/or RDA will, as required, grant the Developer easements to permit the construction, operation, and maintenance of a permanent patio, landscaping, berms and/or retaining walls as required by federal, state, and local floodplain regulations within the new right-of-way and/or City and/or RDA-owned parcel(s) described above in (I) and (II).
- c) Upon recording of such CSM with the Brown County Register of Deeds, all references to Property shall include all such new parcels created through the actions of this Section III. B. I.
 - d) The RDA shall have a right to put its interest in the Developer or, upon Developer's request, to Developer's Affiliate, (as referenced in section III.B.I.a)) to an Affiliate of Developer (as specified by Developer) for the purchase price of \$1,000 at any time after the aggregate assessed value of the Property is equal to or greater than \$18,750,000.
 - e)
2. Public Improvements. Prior to the dates or timelines specified below, the City and/or RDA shall, at their expense, complete the following Public Improvements in accordance with all applicable codes and ordinances:
- a) Bridge Street. By December 31, 2024, design and construct appropriate paving, landscaping, lighting, and other improvements required to ensure safe, comfortable crossing of the CN railroad tracks for both motorized and non-motorized users in compliance with current industry standards.
 - b) Arndt Street. By June 30, 2026, design and construct appropriate paving, landscaping, lighting, controls, and other improvements required to ensure a safe, comfortable crossing of the CN railroad tracks for both motorized and non-motorized users, in compliance with current industry standards. The RDA and/or City shall take steps in accordance with all applicable codes and ordinances to rename the segment of Arndt Street east of Broadway to a new name agreeable to the parties.
 - c) The Shipyard. The City and/or RDA shall construct a signature public recreation and entertainment facility at 100 W. Mason St. (Tax Parcel 2-78) and surrounding property, in accordance with all applicable codes and ordinances, which includes the following phases and timelines:
 - (I) Phase I - December 31, 2023. A multi-modal public path and/or boardwalk along the entire waterfront boundaries of the slip (cove); a floating dock for transient boaters; and an accessible kayak launch.

- (II) Phase 2 – One year after a certificate of occupancy is issued for Building One. A great lawn for concerts, festivals, and other events; a dog park; an urban beach, playground, play fountain or splash pad, and/or similar amenities.

d) The Shipyard Phase 3. The City and/or RDA shall seek a development agreement with a private partner to design and construct a commercial plaza with permanent and/or seasonal structures for food, beverage, retail, and recreation at 100 W. Mason St. (Tax Parcel 2-78) by May 31, 2027.

e) Environmental Remediation: The City and/or RDA shall submit and obtain a post closure modification request in accordance with requirements of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (herein "WDNR") which allows for modification of the existing cap. The City will take necessary action to provide a site that has obtained WDNR closure with continuing obligations. The City and/or RDA will utilize City's environmental consultant (currently Stantec) to perform this work. Any further work warranted by the WDNR during site development, such as WDNR approvals, excavation and proper disposal of residual contamination, cap replacement, and installation of a vapor mitigation system, and associated costs is the responsibility of the Developer.

3. Off-Street Public Parking. In the event the City constructs off-street public parking improvements on properties located at 401 S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 3-568), 511-513 S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 2-949-A), 515 S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 2-70), 517 S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 2-71) and S. Broadway (Tax Parcel 3-568-I), the City will make parking available to the Developer on an as-available basis, for as long as such property remains undeveloped.

4. PAYGo Reimbursement. The City shall provide a TIF Incentive as a pay-as-you-go (PAYGo) obligation of the City, which is further defined as follows:

- a) The Developer guarantees that the Property shall have a minimum aggregate assessed value of fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000.00) on or before January 1, 2026.
- b) Developer shall be responsible to incur and pay all of the upfront costs of the Project and, to the extent TID revenues are sufficient to the limits of the TID and this Agreement, Qualified Expenditures shall be reimbursed to Developer.
- c) Commencing the first year after the first occupancy permit for the Project has been issued, the assessed value of the Property shall be determined on January 1 of each tax year and shall be compared to the assessed value of the Property as of January 1 of the year in which construction commenced. The difference in assessed values shall be known as the Incremental Property Value.
- d) Incremental Property Value multiplied by the assessed mill rate, less payments of real estate taxes to the State of Wisconsin, shall be known as the Available TIF Increment.
- e) Provided the aggregate assessed Property value meets or exceeds eighteen million, seven hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$18,750,000.00) on or before January 1, 2026, the City shall make available seventy percent (70%) of the remaining TIF Increment to the Developer until all Qualified Expenditures have been repaid. Developer shall not be entitled to any TIF Increment unless the aggregate assessed Property value meets or exceeds fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000.00). In the event the aggregate

assessed Property value is between fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000.00) and eighteen million seven hundred fifty thousand (\$18,750,000.00) on or before January 1, 2026, the City shall prorate the remaining TIF Increment available to the Developer, based on a percentage of fifty-six percent (56%) for an amount equal to fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000.00), and seventy percent (70%) for an amount equal to eighteen million seven hundred fifty thousand (\$18,750,000.00). For example, if the aggregate assessed Property value is sixteen million eight hundred seventy-five thousand dollars (\$16,875,000.00) on January 1, 2026, the City shall make available sixty-three percent (63%) of the remaining TIF Increment to Developer.

- f) PAYGo Reimbursement payments will be payable to Developer in the year following the year of the TIF Increment determination, after Developer has provided proof to the City of the full payment of the real estate taxes, special assessments and special charges against the Real Estate for the previous year. For example, if the first occupancy permit is issued on September 1, 2025, the TIF Increment would be determined as of January 1, 2026 and the PAYGo reimbursement would first be payable in 2027.
 - g) The City shall take all actions necessary to continue the existence of the TID in good standing through its current 2046 termination date.
 - h) Upon the request of the Developer or an assignee of the Developer's payment rights hereunder, the City or RDA shall provide a written certification of facts regarding the current amount due to the Developer or assignee pursuant to Section III.B.4, the current Available TIF Increment, and a confirmation of the person or location to which the City will make payments.
 - i) The PAYGo Reimbursement shall be available to Developer unless and until Developer challenges any of its real or personal property taxes for the Project below twenty-two million dollars (\$22,000,000.00).
5. Qualified Expenditures. The TIF Incentive available to Developer under this Agreement shall be disbursed in the following priority, and only fund:
- a) Public Improvements, as defined in Section II. P., and environmental remediation, and asbestos abatement as required by State and Federal law; then
 - b) "Private Improvements" specifically approved by the City or RDA as stated in Section IV. C.; then
 - c) Any other activity specifically approved by the City or RDA.
6. Assignment. Developer may assign any of its payment rights hereunder to any future purchaser or developer of any part of the Property upon approval of the RDA, provided however, that the City shall be obligated only to disburse TIF Incentives to the party with whom the City has an agreement. It shall be incumbent upon Developer to enter into a separate agreement with any third parties if it intends to assign its payment rights hereunder, or seek either reimbursement or allocation of any Incremental Property Value and guaranteed aggregate assessed value generated by any third party purchaser and/or developer of any part of the Property. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Developer may sell or assign its payment rights hereunder to any party without the consent of the City or the RDA following the

Completion Date, provided that the Developer provides the RDA and the City with timely written notice and a copy of such fully executed sale or assignment instrument.

C. Limitations. The TIF Incentive available to Developer for the Project is limited as follows:

1. Monetary Limitation. The TIF Incentive in any year shall not exceed seventy percent (70%) of the Available Tax Increment for the Property.
2. Tax Incentive Cap. Subject to Section III.A., the City shall not be obligated to pay TIF Incentive in excess of the lesser of seven million five hundred thousand dollars (\$7,500,000.00) or the total amount of Qualified Expenses incurred and paid by Developer.
3. Tax Receipts Limitation. Only the Available Tax Increment actually received by the City, and no other property, revenue, or asset of the City, shall be used to pay such amounts.
4. Temporal Limitation. Provided Developer qualifies for TIF Incentive and provides adequate proof to the City and RDA that Developer has incurred and paid Qualified Expenditures, and provided Developer and all transferees have paid the real estate taxes and any Special Assessments and Special Charges in full for the previous tax year by July 31, TIF Incentive payments shall be made on or before September 1 of each year; provided, however, in no event shall TIF Incentive payments continue after the earlier of the termination date of the TID or the termination of this Agreement if before the termination of the TID.

D. No General Obligation of City. The City's obligation to make TIF Incentive payments shall be a special and limited obligation only and shall not be considered a general obligation of the City, and neither the full faith and credit nor the taxing powers of the City are pledged to the payment of such amounts. The City shall take no action to dissolve the TID before payment of all TIF Incentive payments due to the Developer, subject to the provisions of this Agreement. In no circumstances shall amounts to be paid Developer hereunder be considered an indebtedness of the City, and the obligation of the City hereunder is limited to the Available Tax Increment appropriated and received by the City. Amounts due hereunder shall not count against the City's constitutional debt limitation, and no taxes will be levied for its payment or pledged to its payment other than from the Available Tax Increment.

E. Other Grants and Credits. The City and RDA, as appropriate and in its sole discretion, may also apply for such other grants and credits in regard to the Project as they shall deem appropriate for the benefit of the Project and as may be required to achieve necessary financing for the Project, provided, however, the City and RDA make no representations or warranties about the availability of such grants and credits or whether any such grants or credits that may be available will be awarded.

IV. OBLIGATIONS OF DEVELOPER

A. Reporting of Progress on Building Architecture. ~~Immediately starting after~~ Upon the execution of this Agreement, Developer shall provide in writing monthly updates to the City due the first of each month regarding progress of architecture. These updates shall include copies of invoices and payment to the Developer's Architect. Developer must demonstrate that at least \$300,000 in fees have been paid to Developer's Architect for the project described in this Agreement by September 1, 2023. ~~In the event that any such requirements as set forth in this section are not fully met, the Developer shall be responsible for projected debt service costs and shall pay a fee. Failure to meet these requirements will result in Developer paying a financial penalty up to their an amount of the to not exceed the projected debt service costs for 2024 related to Phase I of the City's improvements related to the project.~~

B. Updated Site Plan and Building Architecture. Prior to July 1, 2023, Developer shall submit an Updated Site Plan and Building Architecture to RDA for approval, which shall be based on, but may differ in minor respects from the Concept Plan presented in the Original Development Agreement. ~~Failure to meet these requirements will result in Developer paying a financial penalty. In the event that such requirement as set forth in this section is not fully met, the Developer shall be responsible for projected debt service costs and shall pay a fee in an up to the amount of the to not exceed the~~ projected debt service costs for 2024 related to Phase I of the City's improvements related to the project. The Updated Site Plan and Building Architecture shall clearly identify:

1. The new boundaries of the Property as described in Section III. B. 1.; and
2. The approximate location of any Future Projects proposed on the Property.

C. 100% Design Development Plan Set. Prior to July 31, 2023, and prior to commencement of construction of any Phase of the Project, Developer shall submit site plans, building plans, and other drawings that fix and describe the size and character of the entire Project, along with architectural and general contracts, to RDA for approval. The 100% Design Development Plan Set shall include:

1. Plans and specifications for structural, mechanical and electrical systems, materials; and
2. Full-color elevations for all sides of all proposed structures; and
3. Descriptions and actual samples of all exterior building materials; and
4. Descriptions and photographic examples of interior finishes; and
5. Other such essential items as may be reasonably determined by the RDA to be appropriate.

D. Development Budget. Prior to July 31, 2023, Developer shall submit a Development Budget, prepared in accordance with general principles for construction and development budgeting, to RDA for approval. The Development Budget shall include:

1. Not less than twenty million dollars (\$25,000,000) in "hard" construction costs for the entire Project; and
2. A line item of not less than ten percent (10%) of total Project costs for cost overruns and change orders; and
3. A line item of not less than one percent (1%) of the estimated aggregate assessed value of the Property, which shall be specifically dedicated towards
 - a) Public Art on the Property; or
 - b) Public Art within one-half (1/2) mile of the Property; or
 - c) A separate Public Art project(s) approved by the RDA and GBPAC; or
 - d) Funds for design and maintenance of Public Art, or any combination of the alternatives herein; and
4. Line items for each of the Qualified Expenditures for which the Developer is seeking a TIF Incentive, as identified in Section III.

- E. RDA Approvals. The RDA shall indicate its approval or further requirements in writing within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of the Concept Plan, 100% Design Development Plan Set, or Development Budget, or any revisions; provided, however, that the RDA shall approve such revised Concept Plan, 100% Design Development Plan Set, or Development Budget unless it determines such revisions would materially impair the objectives of this Agreement, impose substantial financial burdens on the City or the RDA, or adversely affect the Concept Plan or not be consistent with adopted plans or applicable zoning districts for the area. The RDA will make all reasonable efforts to determine the acceptability of plans in less than thirty (30) days, including convening for special meetings to review and consider such plans. At any time during the implementation of the development contemplated by this Agreement, the RDA or Developer may propose modifications to the Preliminary Concept Plan and the approved Concept Plan subject to the agreement of the RDA and the Developer. At any time during the implementation of the development contemplated by this Agreement, Developer may submit to the RDA proposed revisions in the approved Concept Plan, 100% Design Development Plan Set, or Development Budget in order to enhance the achievement of the objectives of this Agreement and to improve and refine the approved Concept Plan.
- F. Compliance with Planning; Zoning; Permits and Use. Developer will obtain from the City and all other appropriate governmental bodies (and all other councils, boards, and parties having a right to control, permit, approve, or consent to the development and use of the Property) all approvals and consents necessary to develop and use the Property as set forth above, including, but not limited to:
1. Developer shall pay all water, sewer, and other impact fees that may be due and payable in connection with the Project.
 2. The acceptance of this Agreement and granting of any and all approvals, licenses, and permits by the City shall not obligate the City to grant any variances, exceptions, or conditional use grants, or approve any building or use the City determines not to be in compliance with the municipal codes and ordinances of the City, or in the best interests of the City or the RDA.
 3. Developer shall have obtained the approval of the City, RDA, and State of Wisconsin Department of Transportation to a traffic impact analysis regarding the Project.
- G. Proof of Equity. Developer shall have in place and shall provide the City and RDA no later than July 31, 2023, proof of equity in the form of the value of the Property, less any mortgages thereon, not less than twenty percent (20%) equity available for injection into the Project in an amount sufficient to obtain financing for all costs for the Project. Any available Developer funds obtained from sources other than lenders or the City shall be expended on the Project before any lender or City funds are expended or any third party financing is used to pay Project costs.
- H. Proof of Financing. By no later than July 31, 2023, Developer shall have delivered proof reasonably satisfactory to the City and RDA of financing, which after injection of the Developer equity into the Project, will be sufficient in the determination of the City and RDA, to complete the Project according to the plans and specifications.
- I. Acquisition of Property. The City and/or RDA shall transfer all of the parcels comprising the Property and all of the necessary rights of way required for the Project in accordance with Section III. B. 1. herein. Each of the City, RDA and Developer shall provide copies of deeds and such other closing documents as requested by the other party regarding the purchase of the Property and rights of way. The Property and rights of way shall be owned in the name of the Developer.

- J. Certified Survey Map. The City and/or RDA shall prepare and obtain approvals for a CSM in accordance with Section III. B. 1. herein.
- K. Use of Funds. Developer may use TIF supported funds only to fund Qualified Expenses as set forth in the approved Development Budget.
- L. Improvement of Property. Developer shall promptly design and substantially complete **the project by July 1, 2025**. Substantial work on the Project shall commence no later than ninety (90) days after the last to occur of approval by the City and RDA of the Preliminary Concept Plan, approval by RDA of the Development Budget and Development Plans, transfer of the Property as described in Section IV.H. and/or issuance of a building permit and all other permits or licenses required to commence construction, provided the Public Improvements described in Section III.B.2. are substantially completed by the City by the dates set forth herein. Developer shall file with the RDA copies of the detailed construction plans within ninety (90) days after the Completion Date.
- I. Temporary Fire Access. Developer shall install and maintain a temporary gravel drive lane for fire access extending east from the Arndt Street terminus to the Riverwalk promenade. **The installation of the fire access will be required prior to issuance of This fire access shall be installed prior to a certificate of occupancy is issued for Building One and remain in place until a permanent fire access lane is constructed with Building Two. The temporary fire access lane shall be maintained year-round and kept clear of snow.**
- M. Reports and Information. During the period before the commencement of construction, Developer shall, upon request, provide to the RDA information having a bearing upon the interests of the City and the RDA in the Property or under this Agreement. Upon request of the RDA, Developer shall submit progress reports during the course of construction. Upon request of the RDA, Developer shall, prior to the Completion Date, submit a copy of annual, unaudited financial statements for Developer.
- N. Copies of Documents. All documents from Developer to the City or RDA shall be submitted as hard copies shall be submitted in triplicate if so requested by the City or RDA. Documents may also be submitted electronically.
- O. Maintenance and Repair. Developer shall at all times following conveyance of the Property as described in Section 4.H., keep and maintain, or cause to be kept and maintained, the Property in good condition and repair, in a safe and clean condition, and free of all trash, litter, refuse, and waste, subject only to demolition and construction activities contemplated by this Agreement.
- P. Transfer or Sale of Project Property.
- I. Notice of Intent to Transfer. If Developer intends to sell, transfer or convey the Property or any part thereof to a party other than an Affiliate before termination of this Agreement, the Developer shall provide to the City and RDA a written request for transfer thirty (30) days prior to the anticipated transfer. The City or RDA may deny the request for any commercially reasonable reason. Upon notice to the City and RDA, Developer may assign all rights and obligations under this Agreement only to an Affiliate to own, manage and operate the Property. This Agreement shall run with the land and shall be binding upon all current and future owners of the Property. Owner shall not be required to provide the City or RDA with written notice of its intent to transfer in connection with the granting of any mortgage or security agreement to finance or refinance loans for the purchase of the Property or payment of costs of the Project.

2. No Transfer to Exempt Entities. Prior to the closure of the TID, the Property shall not be sold, transferred or conveyed to, leased, or owned by any entity or used in any manner that would render any part of the Project Property exempt from taxation, unless the purchaser, transferee, lessee or owner first executes a written agreement with the City and RDA in a form satisfactory to the City providing for acceptable payments to the City in lieu of taxes.

Q. Easements. Developer, its Affiliates, and/or its assignees or transferees, as applicable shall grant to the City such easements over the Property as are reasonably necessary for public improvements, infrastructure, ingress or egress, utilities, lighting or landscaping or any other access necessary to effectuate this Agreement, provided that the parties agree to work together in granting easements so that all such easements do not materially adversely affect the value or functionality of the Project.

R. Environmental.

1. Presence of Hazardous Materials and Compliance with Environmental Laws. Before acquiring the Property, Developer shall be satisfied, through such means as are commercially reasonable, that the Property is free of Hazardous Materials or that any Hazardous Materials on or within the Property are being stored and handled in compliance with all Environmental Laws. Developer, City and RDA shall all share with each other copies of all environmental reports pertaining to the Property no later than ten (10) days after receiving the same, which each party may have or obtain.
2. Developer's Environmental Indemnification. Developer shall indemnify, pay on behalf of, defend and hold the City, the RDA, and their respective agents, officials, employees, representatives, successors and assigns, harmless from and against any loss, damage, claim, fine, penalty, assessment, liability, or other charge or claim, and all costs (including, without limitation, reasonable legal, accounting, consulting, engineering, and similar expenses incurred with respect to such matter and/or incurred in enforcing this indemnity):
 - a) Arising from the actual existence, treatment, deposit, release, storage, or disposal of any Hazardous Materials on, within or about the Property occurring during the Developer's period of ownership of Property; or
 - b) Arising from the breach of any warranty, covenant or representation of Developer to the City or RDA, or any other obligation of Developer to the City or RDA, regarding Hazardous Materials under this Agreement.

Notwithstanding any of the foregoing, Developer shall not have any obligation under this Section if the loss, damage, claim, fine, penalty, assessment, liability or other charge or claim results from the direct or indirect actions of the City or RDA or arises from conditions present or events occurring prior to the Developer's acquisition of the Property.

3. Hazardous Materials Defined. As used herein, the term "Hazardous Materials" means:
 - a) Hazardous wastes, hazardous substances, hazardous constituents, toxic substances or related materials, whether solids, liquids or gases, including but not limited to substances defined as "hazardous wastes," "hazardous substances," "toxic substances," "pollutants," "contaminants," "radioactive materials," or other similar designations in, or otherwise subject to regulation under, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.; the Toxic Substance Control Act, 15 U.S.C. 2601 et seq.; the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1802; the Resource Conservation

and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. 9601, et seq.; the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1251; the Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.; the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.; and in any permits, licenses, approvals, plans, rules, regulations or ordinances adopted, or other criteria and guidelines promulgated pursuant to the preceding laws or other similar federal, state or local laws, regulations, rules or ordinances now or hereafter in effect relating to environmental matters (collectively, "Environmental Laws"); and

- b) Any other substances, constituents or wastes subject to any applicable federal, state or local law, regulation or ordinance, including any applicable Environmental Law, now or hereafter in effect, including but not limited to: petroleum, refined petroleum products, waste oil, waste aviation or motor vehicle fuel, and asbestos containing materials.

4. Survival. The provisions of this Section shall survive the conveyance to Developer of any City and/or RDA Property.

5. Soil Conditions. The Developer acknowledges that they have done their due diligence ~~on~~ evaluating soil conditions at the project site, and that they are responsible for any further testing or mitigation measures they deem necessary to complete the project.

S. Insurance. Before commencement of construction activities on the Property, Developer shall deliver to the City and RDA certificates of insurance, copies of endorsements, and other evidence of insurance requested by the City or RDA, which Developer is required to purchase and maintain until the Completion Date, or cause to be purchased or obtained, in the types and amounts of coverage listed below, each of which shall name the City and RDA as additional insured parties:

1. Workers Compensation and Related Coverage. Coverage for state and federal workers compensation shall be defined by state and federal statute. The amounts of employer's liability coverage shall be in not less than the following limits:

- a) Bodily Injury by Accident – one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) per accident;
- b) Bodily Injury by Disease – one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) per employee; and
- c) Five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000.00) policy limit.

2. Waiver of Workers Compensation Subrogation. The workers' compensation policy is to be endorsed with a waiver of subrogation. The insurance company, in its endorsement, agrees to waive all rights of subrogation against the City, RDA, its officers, officials, employees, and volunteers for losses paid under the terms of the policy that arises from the work performed by the names insured for or on behalf of the City or RDA.

3. Comprehensive General Liability Insurance. Coverage shall be written on a commercial general liability form, and shall protect Developer and any subcontractor during the performance of work covered by this Agreement from claims or damages for personal injury, including accidental death, as well as claims for property damages which may arise from operation under this Agreement, whether such operations be by Developer, any subcontractor, or anyone directly or indirectly employed by either of them in such manner as to impose liability on the City or RDA. The amounts of such insurance shall be not less than the following limits:

- a) General Aggregate Limit – two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00); Personal and Advertising Injury Limit (per person/organization) – two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00);
 - b) Bodily Injury and Property Damage – two million dollars (\$2,000,000.00) per occurrence;
 - c) Fire Legal Liability Damage Limit – one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) per occurrence; and
 - d) Medical Expense Limit – ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) per person.
4. Comprehensive Automobile Liability and Property Damage. Coverage shall protect Developer and any subcontractor during the performance of work covered by this Agreement from claims or damages associated with operations of owned, hired, and non- owned motor vehicles. The amounts of such insurance shall be not less than the following limits:
- a) Bodily Injury – two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00) per person; and
 - b) One million dollars (\$1,000,000.00) per occurrence; and Property Damage – two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000.00) per occurrence.
5. Umbrella Coverage. Coverage shall protect Developer and any subcontractor during the performance of work covered by this Agreement with limits of one million dollars (\$1,000,000.00) for bodily injury, personal injury, and property damage on a combined basis with the stated underlying limits of Paragraphs IV. S. 1. to IV S. 3. above.
6. Builder's Risk Insurance. Before commencing construction of any improvements on the Property and during any construction activities contemplated by this Agreement, Developer shall obtain and keep in full force and effect and all builders risk insurance policy for all portions of the Property with coverage equal to the total amount of the construction contracts for all such construction activities. Nothing in this Agreement is intended to relieve Developer of its obligation to perform under this Agreement and, in the event of loss and subject to any requirements of a mortgage on the Property or Project, Developer shall use the proceeds of such insurance to promptly reconstruct the damaged or lost improvements.
7. Fire and Casualty Insurance. Developer shall obtain and keep in full force adequate fire and casualty insurance with coverage in an amount equal to the assessed value of such improvements. In the event of loss the Developer shall use the proceeds of such insurance to promptly reconstruct the damaged or lost improvements.

T. General Indemnity.

- 1. Protection Against Losses. Developer shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the City, RDA, and their respective officers, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers and the successors and assigns of all of the foregoing, from any and all liabilities, claims, losses, damages, judgments or awards, costs or expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, of whatsoever nature and by whomsoever asserted, whether asserted by a third party or by a party to this Agreement (hereinafter "Losses"), directly or indirectly, arising out of, resulting from or in any way connected with:
 - a) Any breach by Developer of the terms of this Agreement;

- b) Any non-compliance with laws, ordinances, rules or regulations applicable to Developer's obligations under this Agreement; or
 - c) Any governmental, regulatory or other proceedings to the extent any such proceedings result from Developer's failure to comply with its obligations under this Agreement or otherwise.
2. Indemnification Procedures. Developer shall promptly assume full and complete responsibility for the investigation, defense, compromise and settlement of any claim, suit or action arising out of or relating to the indemnified matters following written notice thereof from the City or RDA, which notice shall be given by the City or RDA within ten (10) days of their knowledge of such claim, suit or action. Failure to provide such timely notice shall not eliminate Developer's indemnification obligations to the City and RDA unless, and only to the extent to which, such failure has substantially prejudiced Developer. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in its sole discretion and at its expense, the City and RDA may participate in or defend or prosecute, through their own counsel(s), any claim suit or action for which either of them is entitled to indemnification by Developer; provided, however, that if the City or RDA is advised in writing by its legal counsel that there is a conflict between the positions of Developer and City or RDA, as appropriate, in conducting the defense of such action or that there are legal defenses available to the City or RDA different from or in addition to those available to Developer, then counsel for the City or RDA, at Developer's expense, shall be entitled to conduct the defense only to the extent necessary to protect the interests of the City or RDA. Developer shall not enter into any compromise or settlement without the prior written consent of the City or RDA, as appropriate, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. The absence of a complete and general release of all claims against the City or RDA shall be reasonable grounds for the City or RDA to refuse to provide written consent to a compromise or settlement. If Developer does not assume the defense of such claim, suit or action, Developer shall reimburse the City and RDA for the reasonable fees and expenses of counsel(s) retained by the City and by RDA, and shall be bound by the results obtained by the City and RDA; provided, however, that no such claim, suit or action shall be settled without Developer's prior written consent, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld. The absence of a complete and general release of all claims against Developer shall be reasonable grounds for Developer to refuse to provide written consent to a compromise or settlement.

V. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT TO OBLIGATIONS OF CITY AND RDA

The City's and RDA's obligations under this Agreement are conditioned upon the following:

- A. Existence. Developer shall have provided City and/or RDA a certified copy of its organizational documents and a certificate from the Department of Financial Institutions for the State of Iowa indicating Developer's existence and good standing, and statement of qualification to do business in the State of Wisconsin.
- B. Incumbency; Due Authorization. Developer shall have provided a certificate of incumbency and resolutions of the company, demonstrating Developer has been duly authorized to enter into this Agreement and authorizing the person signing this Agreement to execute and deliver it to the City and/or RDA, and to bind Developer to its terms.

- C. No Violation or Default. Developer shall not be in violation of any of its governing documents or other contracts subject to this Agreement or of any other agreement between Developer and the City and/or RDA.
- D. Insurance. The Developer shall have delivered to the City and/or RDA certificates of all insurance required under this Agreement.
- E. TID District. TID shall be in effect and in good standing certified by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue. The City and RDA represent that as of the date of this Agreement, each has taken all of the actions required by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue in with regarding to the TID, is aware of no issues which could affect the good standing of the TID with the Wisconsin Department of Revenue, and no major changes to the TID which could affect its good standing are anticipated.

VI. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT TO OBLIGATIONS OF DEVELOPER

The obligations of Developer under this Agreement are conditioned upon the following:

- A. TID. The TID shall remain in effect and in good standing as certified by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue.
- B. Due Authorization. The City Council shall consent to the City entering into this Agreement and shall authorize the person(s) signing this Agreement to execute and deliver it to Developer and to bind the City to its terms. All actions required to authorize RDA to enter into this Agreement shall have been taken and evidence of such actions, including authorization of the person signing this Agreement on behalf of RDA shall have been provided to Developer.
- C. City/RDA Approvals. The City, the RDA or other applicable governmental bodies have provided all approvals contemplated by Sections IV.A. through IV.E. hereof,

VII. REPRESENTATIONS, WARRANTIES, AND COVENANTS

Developer represents and warrants to the City and RDA as follows:

- A. No Material Change in Documents. All contract documents and agreements have been furnished to the City and RDA, as the case may be, by the Developer and are true and correct and there has been no material change in any of the same.
- B. No Material Change in Developer Operations. There has been no material change in the business operations of Developer since the date the parties began negotiation to enter into this Agreement that would adversely affect the ability of the Developer to perform its obligations hereunder.
- C. Compliance with Zoning. The Project will conform at all times and in all respects with applicable zoning and land division laws, rules, regulations and ordinances.
- D. Payment. Developer shall pay for all work performed or materials furnished for the Project when and as the same become due and payable. Developer shall not suffer any construction or other involuntary lien to be imposed upon the Property prior to the Completion Date, except for liens for claims to payment that are subject to a bona fide dispute, and, in that case, shall be removed by Developer posting bond or other security, paying one hundred and twenty percent (120%) of the lien claimed into court, escrowing funds or promptly taking other steps to remove the lien of record. Developer shall pay all other obligations relating to the Project prior to the Completion Date, including all creditors holding liens or mortgages against the Property when and as the same become due.

Developer will pay all taxes and assessments levied against the Property when and as the same become due.

- E. Certification of Facts. No statement of fact by Developer contained in this Agreement and no statement of fact furnished or to be furnished by Developer to the City or RDA pursuant to this Agreement contains or will contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omits or will omit to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statements herein or therein contained not misleading.
- F. Good Standing. Developer is a limited liability company organized and existing in good standing under the laws of the State of Iowa, is duly qualified to conduct business in the State of Wisconsin and has the power and all necessary licenses, permits and franchises to own its assets and properties and to carry on its business.
- G. Due Authorization. The execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement and all other agreements requested to be executed and delivered by Developer hereunder have been duly authorized by all necessary company action of Developer and constitute valid and binding obligations of Developer, in accordance with their terms, subject only to applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, general principles of equity, and other similar laws of general application affecting the enforceability of creditors' rights generally.
- H. No Conflict. The execution, delivery, and performance of the obligations of Developer, the development company, and all principals of the development company pursuant to this Agreement will not violate or conflict with the Articles of Organization or Operating Agreement of Developer or any indenture, instrument or material agreement by which Developer is bound, nor, to the knowledge of the officer of the Developer signing this Agreement, upon a due diligence evaluation, will the execution, delivery, or performance of obligations of Developer pursuant to this Agreement violate or conflict with any law applicable to Developer.
- I. No Litigation. To the knowledge of the officer of the Developer signing this Agreement upon a due diligence evaluation, there is no litigation or proceeding pending or threatened against or affecting Developer or the Property that would adversely affect the Project, Developer or the priority or enforceability of this Agreement, the ability of Developer to complete the Project or the ability of Developer to perform its obligations under this Agreement.
- J. No Default. No default, or event that with the giving of notice or lapse of time or both would be a default, exists under this Agreement, and Developer is not in default (beyond any applicable period of grace) of any of its obligations under any other material agreement or instrument to which Developer is a party or an obligor.
- K. Compliance with Laws and Codes. The Project, when completed, will conform and comply in all respects with all applicable laws, rules, regulations and ordinances, including without limitation, all building codes and ordinances of the City. Developer will comply with, and will cause the Project to be in compliance with all applicable federal, state, local and other laws, rules, regulations and ordinances, including without limitation, all environmental laws, rules, regulations and ordinances at all times during its period of ownership of the Project.
- L. Fees or Commissions. Neither the City nor RDA shall be liable for any broker fees or commissions incurred by Developer in connection with the Property or any transactions contemplated by this Agreement.

- M. No Objection to Property Assessment. Prior to termination of this Agreement, Developer shall not file an objection to real or personal property assessment as provided under §70.47(7)(a), Wis. Stats that, if successful, would reduce the aggregate assessed value of the Property to less than \$22,000,000

VIII. DEFAULT

- A. Developer Default. Each of the following shall be an Event of Default by Developer:

1. Failure to Meet Required Performance Deadlines. Developer fails to perform in any way related to required dates and deadlines listed in this Agreement.
2. Failure to Make Payment. Developer fails to make any payment required and such failure continues for a period of thirty (30) days after invoice due date;
3. Failure to Abide by Other Terms. Developer fails to perform any other of its obligations under this Agreement and such failure continues for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of notice from the City or RDA; provided, however, if such cure cannot reasonably be accomplished within such thirty (30) days and the delay in cure does not materially impair the financial interests of the City or RDA, and if Developer promptly commences cure within the initial thirty (30) days and thereafter diligently and continuously takes action to complete such cure, then the failure to perform shall not be an Event of Default;
4. Misrepresentation. Any representation or warranty of Developer in this Agreement or any agreement contemplated by this Agreement is untrue in any material respect when made;
5. Fraud and Other Illicit Behavior. Developer or any of its members is convicted of, pleads no contest to, or enters into any other agreement other than a dismissal with no conditions as to any allegation of:
 - a) Fraud; or
 - b) Indecent or illicit behavior that in the determination of the City or RDA would threaten the reputation of Developer or its ability to complete the Project according to the requirements of this Agreement;
6. Insolvency. Prior to the Completion Date, the Developer or any guarantor of the obligations of Developer is insolvent or becomes the subject of a petition in bankruptcy, a receivership, a composition or any other proceeding designed for the benefit of creditors generally that is not dismissed within one hundred eighty (180) days of the date of filing;
7. Involuntary Liens. Any lien is imposed upon the Property involuntarily due to the acts or omissions of Developer and such lien is not removed within sixty (60) days of it being imposed upon the Property.

- B. Remedies Upon Default. In the event of the occurrence of an Event of Default by Developer, the City may in its discretion:

1. Termination. Terminate this Agreement without further notice to Developer;
2. Withholding of PayGo Payments. If an Event of Default occurs which remains uncured after any applicable cure period as provided in VIII. A. 3, the City may withhold annual TIF payments until the Event of Default is cured and, upon such cure, the TIF payments shall resume in full.

3. Offset and Recoupment. Offset or recoup against any amounts that may then or thereafter come due from the City or RDA to Developer, whether under this Agreement or otherwise, an amount of damages reasonably estimated by the City or RDA resulting from Developer's breach;
 4. Specific Performance. Sue for specific performance;
 5. Sue for Damages. Sue for all damages caused by the Event of Default;
 6. Other Remedies. Pursue any other remedies available to the City or RDA at law or in equity;
 7. Interest. Collect interest on all delinquent amounts owed to the City or RDA at the rate of eight percent (8%) per annum from the date such amount was due; and
 8. Costs and Attorney Fees. Collect all costs and fees, including reasonable attorney fees incurred by the City and RDA, or either of them, by virtue of the Event of Default.
- C. City or RDA Default. Developer shall have all rights and remedies available under law or equity with respect to any failure of the City and/or RDA to perform their obligations under this Agreement, but only after providing the City and RDA notice of such default and a failure by the City and/or RDA to commence attempts to cure such default within the thirty (30)-day notice period. Except for Event of Defaults caused by the failure to make payments to the Developer, if the City and/or RDA, as appropriate, commences cure within the thirty (30)-day notice period and thereafter reasonably and continuously takes action to complete such cure, then the failure to perform shall not be an Event of Default.
- D. Limitation of Damages. The foregoing notwithstanding, none of the parties shall be liable to any other party for any incidental, consequential, indirect, punitive or exemplary damages. All claims and damages asserted against the City or RDA shall be subject to statutory protections of municipalities and their officials and employees, including the immunity and limitations set forth in §893.80 Wis. Stats.
- E. No Waiver. Any delay in instituting or prosecuting any actions or proceedings or otherwise asserting the rights granted in this Agreement, shall not operate as a waiver of such rights to, or deprive it of or limit such rights in any way, nor shall any waiver in fact made with respect to any specific default, be considered or treated as a waiver of any rights with respect to other defaults or with respect to the particular default except to the extent specifically waived in writing.
- F. Remedies Cumulative. Except as expressly provided otherwise in this Agreement, the rights and remedies of the parties to this Agreement, whether provided by law or by this Agreement, shall be cumulative, and the exercise by any party of any one or more of such remedies shall not preclude the exercise of it, at the same or different times, of any other such remedies for any other default or breach by any other party.

IX. TERMINATION

- A. Date of Termination. This Agreement shall terminate upon the earliest of the date:
1. All Qualified Expenditures have been repaid in full by Tax Increment;
 2. The City closes and terminates the TID;

3. The Wisconsin Department of Revenue fails to certify or revokes certification of all or any portion of the TID or the Property;
4. This Agreement is terminated because of an Event of Default; or
5. The parties agree in writing to terminate this Agreement.

B. TIF Payments termination. TIF payments shall terminate upon the earliest of:

1. The year in which the TIF Incentive equals the lesser of seven million five hundred thousand dollars (\$7,500,000.00)(plus costs of any independent analysis as provided in Section III.A.) or the total amount of Qualified Expenses incurred and paid by Developer as indicated by the Tax Incentive Cap in Section III. C. 2.;
2. Twenty-two (22) years after the date of execution of this Agreement, which is 2042.

C. Survival of Certain Provisions. Sections III. B. 1. d), IV.J., IV.O.2., IV. Q.2., IV. S, V.A., V.B., V.C., V.D., V.E., VII.E., VII.G., VII.L., VIII.A., VIII. B., VIII.C., VIII. D., VIII. E., VIII. F., X.B., X.C., X.G., X J., X.N., X.O., X.P., and X. R. shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

Commented [JB1]: Reserving ability to review these to make sure numbering didn't change.

X. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

- A. No Effect Until Executed. The terms of this Agreement shall have no force and effect unless and until this Agreement is executed by all Parties.
- B. Assignment. Except as provided below, prior to the Completion Date, Developer may not assign its rights or obligations under this Agreement without the express prior written consent of the City and RDA (other than to an Affiliate or Affiliates). Thereafter, this Agreement may be assigned by Developer only upon the prior, written consent of the City and RDA, which shall not be unreasonably withheld. Notwithstanding the foregoing:
- a) Permitted Assignment: Developer may assign all of its rights and obligations with respect to a portion of the Property to one or more Affiliates, without prior approval of the City or RDA, so long as the proposed Affiliate assignee (i) agrees in writing to assume all of Developer's rights and obligations under this Agreement with respect to the portion of the Property transferred to the Affiliate, (ii) the Developer conveys all or a portion of the Property to the Affiliate assignee, (iii) the Developer provides the RDA and the City with timely written notice and a copy of such fully executed assignment and assumption agreement, and (iv) Developer directly or indirectly manages the Affiliate assignee.
 - b) Collateral Assignment of Development Agreement. Developer may assign its rights and obligations under this Agreement with respect to all or a portion of the Property to a lender or lenders, solely for the purposes of providing collateral security for a loan issued to Developer for the purposes of the construction and development of the Project or any portion thereof. Any such assignment shall become effective only following an event of default by Developer under the terms of the loan. No such assignment shall relieve Developer of any of its obligations hereunder.
 - c) Transfers of Equity Interests. Nothing herein shall prevent, and RDA and City consent shall not be required for, the transfer of equity interests in Developer or in any direct

or indirect owner thereof, any portion thereof among family members, or trusts for the benefit of family members for estate planning purposes.

- C. Nondiscrimination. In the performance of work under this Agreement, Developer shall not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment nor shall the Property or any portion thereof be sold to, leased or used by any party in any manner to permit discrimination or restriction on the basis of the basis of race, color, national or ethnic origin, ancestry, age, religion or religious creed, disability or handicap, sex or gender (including pregnancy), gender identity and/or expression, sexual orientation, military or veteran status, genetic information, or any other characteristic protected under applicable federal, state or local law. Retaliation is also prohibited. The construction and operation of the Property shall be in compliance with all effective laws, ordinances and regulations relating to discrimination on any of the foregoing grounds.
- D. No Personal Liability. Under no circumstances shall any trustee, officer, official, commissioner, director, member, partner or employee of the City or RDA have any personal liability arising out of this Agreement, and Developer shall not seek or claim any such personal liability.
- E. No Personal Interest of Public Employee. No official or employee of the City or RDA shall have any personal interest in this Agreement, nor shall any such person voluntarily acquire any ownership interest, direct or indirect, in the legal entities that are parties to this Agreement. No official or employee of the City or RDA shall be personally liable to Developer or any successor in interest, in the event of any default or breach by the City or RDA, or for any amount that becomes due to the Developer or its successors under this Agreement.
- F. Relationship of Parties. The City and the RDA are not partners or joint venturers with Developer in the Project or otherwise. Under no circumstances shall the City or RDA be liable for any of the obligations of Developer under this Agreement or otherwise. There are no third party beneficiaries of this Agreement.
- G. Force Majeure. No party shall be responsible to any other party for any resulting losses and it shall not be a default hereunder if the fulfillment of any of the terms of this Agreement is delayed or prevented by revolutions or other civil disorders, wars, acts of enemies, strikes, fires, floods, acts of God, pandemics, adverse weather conditions, legally required environmental remedial actions, industry-wide shortage of materials or labor, or by any other cause not within the control of the party whose performance was interfered with, and which exercise of reasonable diligence, such party is unable to prevent, whether of the class of causes herein above enumerated or not, and the time for performance shall be extended by the period of delay occasioned by any such cause. The foregoing notwithstanding, a Force Majeure event may not be used to avoid an Event of Default if the delay caused by the Force Majeure event exceeds one hundred and eighty (180) days from the date the event occurred.
- H. Parties and Survival of Agreement. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, this Agreement is made solely for the benefit of the parties hereto and no other person, partnership, association or corporation shall acquire or have any rights hereunder or by virtue hereof. All representations and agreements in this Agreement shall remain operative and in full force and effect until fulfilled and shall survive the closing.
- I. Time. TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE with regard to all dates and time periods set forth herein. In the event this Agreement is not executed by both Parties prior to **June 30, 2023**, any and all approvals granted pursuant hereto or in conjunction herein by the City and/or RDA which are contemplated as part of this Agreement shall automatically expire.

J. Notices. All notices, demands, certificates or other communications under this Agreement shall be given in writing and shall be considered given:

1. Upon receipt if sent via electronic mail (e-mail)
2. Upon receipt if hand-delivered to the party or person intended; or
3. One (1) business day after deposit with a nationally-recognized overnight commercial courier service, air bill pre-paid; or
4. Three (3) business days after deposit in the United States Postal Service (USPS), postage prepaid, by certified mail, return receipt requested.

All correspondence shall be addressed by name and address to the party or person intended as follows:

To the City:	City of Green Bay Attn: City Clerk 100 North Jefferson Street Green Bay, WI 54301 e-mail: Clerkinquiries@greenbaywi.gov
To RDA:	Redevelopment Authority of the City of Green Bay Attention: Executive Director 100 North Jefferson Street, Room 608 Green Bay, WI 54301 e-mail: Neil.Stechsulte@greenbaywi.gov
To the Developer:	MERGE, LLC Attention: Brent Dahlstrom, Principal 604 Clay Street Cedar Falls, IA 50613 e-mail: brent@mergeurbandevelopment.com
With a copy to:	Squire Patton Boggs (US) LLP Attention: Steven F. Mount 41 South High Street, Suite 2000 Columbus, OH 43215 e-mail: steven.mount@squirepb.com

The foregoing addresses shall be presumed to be correct until notice of a different address is given according to this paragraph.

K. Governing Law. The laws of the State of Wisconsin shall govern this Agreement.

L. Captions. The captions or headings in this Agreement are for convenience only and in no way define, limit or describe the scope or intent of any of the provisions of this Agreement.

M. Execution in Counterparts. This Agreement may be signed in any number of counterparts with the same effect as if the signature thereto and hereto were upon the same instrument.

- N. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement shall be determined to be unenforceable as applied in any particular case or in all cases because it conflicts with any other provision or provisions hereof or any constitution or statute or rule of public policy, or for any other reason, such circumstance shall not have the effect of rendering the provision in question inoperative or unenforceable in any other case or circumstance, or of rendering any other provision or provisions herein contained unenforceable to any extent whatever.
- O. Recording of Agreement. The City may record this Development Agreement or a Memorandum of this Agreement with the Register of Deeds for Brown County, Wisconsin. Upon request of the City, Developer shall execute and deliver to the City any such Memorandum or any other document in connection with such recording. Following termination of this Development Agreement and upon the request of an owner of any portion of the Property, the City and the RDA shall execute and record a memorandum indicating that this Development Agreement has been terminated and this Development Agreement no longer runs with the land nor binds owners of the Property (other than the Developer).
- P. Priority Over Subsequent Liens. This Agreement shall run with the land and shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties and their heirs, successors and assigns. As such, the current and all future owners of the Property shall be subject to all of the obligations stated herein. The Developer warrants and represents that there will not be any mortgage or any other lien against the Property created by the Developer at the time this Development Agreement is recorded other than mortgages for the purchase of the Property and to finance costs of constructing the Project. This Development Agreement shall have precedence and shall take priority over any mortgage, lien or other encumbrance that may be recorded against the Property (or any portion thereof) after the recording of this Development Agreement (or Memorandum thereof) ; provided, however, that upon request of the Developer, the City and RDA shall execute such subordinations of this Development Agreement as may be reasonably required by a mortgagee providing financing for the Project.
- Q. No Construction Against Drafter. This Agreement is a product of the negotiation and drafting of attorneys for the parties, and, as such, the rule of construing ambiguous contracts against the drafter shall not apply to this Agreement.
- R. Venue. The venue for any proceeding involving the negotiation, drafting, interpretation or enforcement of this Agreement shall be the circuit court for Brown County, Wisconsin, all other venues being inappropriate for any such proceeding.
- S. Signatures and Counterparts. Electronic, facsimile and photocopy signatures shall have the same effect as original signatures.

[Signature pages follow]

Signature page 1 of 3

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties to this Agreement have caused this instrument to be signed by duly authorized representatives of Developer, City and RDA as of the day and year first written above.

**DEVELOPER:
MERGE, LLC**

By: _____

Print Name and Title

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF BROWN)

Personally came before me this _____ day of _____ 2020, the above named _____, a member of MERGE, LLC, an Iowa limited liability company, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.

* _____
Notary Public, _____ County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires _____

Signature page 2 of 3

THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, and
MERGE, LLC

THE CITY OF GREEN BAY

By: _____
Eric Genrich, Mayor

By: _____
Celestine Jeffreys, Clerk

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF BROWN)

Personally came before me this _____ day of _____ 2021, the above named
_____ and _____, on behalf of the City of Green Bay,
a Wisconsin municipal corporation, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing
instrument and acknowledged the same.

*

Notary Public, _____ County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires _____

Signature page 3 of 3

THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, and
MERGE, LLC

**REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE
CITY OF GREEN BAY**

By: _____
Gary J. Delveaux, Chair

Attest: _____
Neil Stechschulte, Executive Director

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF BROWN)

Personally came before me this _____ day of _____ 2020, the above named
_____ and _____, on behalf of the Redevelopment
Authority of the City of Green Bay, a Wisconsin municipal corporation, to me known to be the person
who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.

* _____
Notary Public, _____ County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires _____

EXHIBIT A
Property Map



EXHIBIT B
Legal Description

239 Arndt Street – Tax Parcel 3-551

Block 1, Arndt's Addition to Fort Howard, now part of the City of Green Bay and that part of the unsurveyed part of Private Claim No. 2, West side of Fox River, in the City of Green Bay, Brown County, Wisconsin, described as follows:

Commencing at a point where the Northerly line of the surveyed part of Private Claim No. 2 West intersects the Westerly line of Pearl Street extended which point is located 248.3 feet Southerly along said Westerly line of Pearl Street from the Southerly line of Arndt Street; thence North 24° 42' 39" East along the Westerly line of Pearl Street extended 248.3 feet to the Northeast corner of Block 5, Arndt's First Addition to Fort Howard; thence South 64° 6' 21" East along the Southerly line of Arndt Street 573.01 feet more or less to the West government dock line Fox River; thence South 12° 35' 14" West along said government dock line 255.1 feet to the Northerly line of the surveyed part of said Private Claim No. 2 West; thence North 64° 6' 21" West along said Northerly line of the surveyed part of Private Claim No. 2 West 627.34 feet to the point of beginning; EXCEPT that part thereof that lies West of the center line of Pearl Street produced Southerly.

Lots 1 and 2, including the East ½ of Water Street vacated, Block 2, Arndt's Addition to Fort Howard now a part of the City of Green Bay.

Lot 3, Block 2 Arndt's Addition to Fort Howard now a part of the City of Green Bay, except the part thereof described in Volume 241 Deeds, page 234.

The vacated portion of Arndt Street extending Easterly from the Easterly line of Water Street (vacated) to the Fox River.

EXCEPTING THEREFROM:

- (a) The 20 foot main line right of way of the (former) C&NW RR Co., described at 4 Deeds 462.
- (b) The side track easement of the (former) C&NW RR Co., described at 90 Deeds 167

101 Bridge Street – Tax Parcel 3-554-A

That part of Lot Five (5), Block Two (2), according to the recorded Plat of Arndt's First Addition to the City of Fort Howard, now a part of the City of Green Bay, West side of Fox River, Brown County, Wisconsin, bounded on the West by a line 280 feet Easterly from and parallel to the Easterly line of Pearl Street and bounded on the East by the channel bank of Fox River, on the North by the Southerly line of Bridge Street, and on the South by the Southerly line of said Lot 5, Block 2, Arndt's First Addition.

119 Bridge Street – Tax Parcel 3-556

Part of Lots 3, 4 and 5, Block 2, Arndt's First Addition to Fort Howard, in the City of Green Bay, Brown County, Wisconsin, described as follows:

All that part of Lot 3, Block 2, lying Westerly of the West line of the premises conveyed to Fort Howard Paper Company as described in Jacket 38 Image 34, also the East 5 feet of vacated Water Street lying between the North and South lines of said Lot 3, Block 2, extended Westerly.

All that part of Lot 4 Block 2, lying between the East line of vacated Water Street and the Channel Bank of Fox River.

The Westerly 120 feet of Lot 5, Block 2; the easterly 5 feet of vacated Water Street lying between the North line of the aforesaid Lot 5 and the South line of the aforesaid Lot 4, Block 2 extended westerly.

EXHIBIT C
Certified Survey Map ("CSM")
[to be inserted]

EXHIBIT D
Preliminary Concept Plan
[to be inserted]

SECOND AMENDMENT TO DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT 2021-01

MERGE @ THE SHIPYARD

This Development Agreement is made this ____ day of June, 2023,
by THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, a Wisconsin municipal corporation ("City"),
THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY ("RDA"),
and MERGE, LLC, an Iowa limited liability company ("Developer").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Developer has agreed to acquire and develop property located at 239 Arndt Street (Tax Parcel 3-551), 101 Bridge Street (Tax Parcel 3-554-A), and 119 Bridge Street (Tax Parcel 3-556), hereinafter defined as the "Project Site"); and

WHEREAS, the Parties entered into a Development Agreement 2021-01 dated May 18, 2021, relating to the Project Site; and

WHEREAS, the Parties agreed to amend the Development Agreement 2021-01 and executed a First Amendment to the Development Agreement 2021-01 dated February 22, 2022, relating to the Project Site; and

WHEREAS, the Development Agreement provided for Tax Incremental Finance ("TIF") assistance for qualified expenditures for public and private improvements at the Project Site; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the Development Agreement and First Amendment Agreement, Developer requested additional changes to the project timeline, which was approved by the Redevelopment Authority and Green Bay Common Council.

NOW THEREFORE based upon the covenants and considerations contained herein and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the Parties mutually agree as follows:

- I. Amendments. The Development Agreement as previously amended on February 22, 2022, is hereby amended as follows:
 - a. In Section C of the Recitals, delete entire Section and replace with "Developer intends to complete a Project, which involves the construction of a four (4)-story residential structure with two wings connected on the west side of the building (Building One) with approximately one hundred nine (109) market-rate rental units; and a separate four (4)-story mixed-use structure with two wings connected on the north side of the building (Building Two) with approximately one hundred twenty-nine (129) market-rate rental units and approximately four thousand (4,000) square feet of restaurant and/or retail space; and related improvements. The Proposed Project improvements are shown on a Preliminary Concept Plan, which is herein attached as EXHIBIT D."
 - b. In Section D.1 of the Recitals, delete "\$24.62" and replace with "\$24.80."
 - c. In Section D.2 of the Recitals, delete "\$24.62" and replace with "\$24.80."

- d. In Section D.3 of the Recitals, delete “\$9.72” and replace with “\$9.80.”
- e. In Section E.1 of the Recitals, “24.62 ” and replace with “18.68”.
- f. In Section E.2 of the Recitals, delete “24.62” and replace with “18.68”.
- g. In Section E.3 of the Recitals, delete “9.72” and replace with “7.58”.
- h. In Section III.B.1, delete “October 31, 2022” and replace with “December 31, 2023”.
- i. In Section III.B.2.a, delete the entire section and replace with “Bridge Street. By December 31, 2024, design and construct appropriate paving, landscaping, lighting, and other improvements required to ensure safe, comfortable crossing of the CN railroad tracks for both motorized and non-motorized users in compliance with current industry standards.
- j. In Section III.B.2.b, delete the entire section and replace with “Arndt Street. By June 30, 2026, design and construct appropriate paving, landscaping, lighting, controls, and other improvements required to ensure a safe, comfortable crossing of the CN railroad tracks for both motorized and non-motorized users, in compliance with current industry standards. The RDA and/or City shall take steps in accordance with all applicable codes and ordinances to rename the segment of Arndt Street east of Broadway to a new name agreeable to the parties.”
- k. In Section III.B.2.c(I), delete “December 1, 2022” and replace with “December 31, 2023”
- l. In Section III.B.2.c(III), delete entire section
- m. In Section III.B.2.d, delete entire section and insert “The Shipyard Phase 3. The City and/or RDA shall seek a development agreement with a private partner to design and construct a commercial plaza with permanent and/or seasonal structures for food, beverage, retail, and recreation at 100 W. Mason St. (Tax Parcel 2-78) by May 31, 2027.”
- n. Create new Section III.B.2.e and insert “Environmental Remediation: The City and/or RDA shall submit and obtain a post closure modification request in accordance with requirements of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (herein “WDNR”) which allows for modification of the existing cap. The City will take necessary action to provide a site that has obtained WDNR closure with continuing obligations. The City and/or RDA will utilize City’s environmental consultant (currently Stantec) to perform this work. Any further work warranted by the WDNR during site development, such as WDNR approvals, excavation and proper disposal of residual contamination, cap replacement, and installation of a vapor mitigation system, and associated costs is the responsibility of the Developer.

- o. In Section III.B.4.f, delete “September 1, 2023” and replace with “December 31, 2025”; delete “January 1, 2024” and replace with “January 1, 2026”; and delete “payable in 2025” and replace with “payable in 2027”.
- p. Create new Section IV.A with the following language: “Reporting of Progress on Building Architecture. Upon the execution of this Agreement, Developer shall provide in writing monthly updates to the City due the first of each month regarding progress of architecture. These updates shall include copies of invoices and payment to the Developer’s Architect. Developer must demonstrate that at least \$300,000 in fees have been paid to Developer’s Architect for the project described in this Agreement by September 1, 2023. In the event that any such requirements as set forth in this section are not fully met, the Developer shall be responsible for projected debt service costs and shall pay a fee in an amount to not exceed the projected debt service costs for 2024 related to Phase I of the City’s improvements related to the project.”
- q. Sections IV.B through IV.S shall be re-lettered as IV.C through IV.T respectively.
- r. In former Section IV.A , (re-lettered as Section IV.B with this Amendment), delete entire section and replace with the following: “Updated Site Plan and Building Architecture. Prior to July 1, 2023, Developer shall submit an Updated Site Plan and Building Architecture to RDA for approval, which shall be based on, but may differ in minor respects from the Concept Plan presented in the Original Development Agreement In the event that such requirement as set forth in this section is not fully met, the Developer shall be responsible for projected debt service costs and shall pay a fee in an amount to not exceed the projected debt service costs for 2024 related to Phase I of the City’s improvements related to the project. The Updated Site Plan and Building Architecture shall clearly identify:
 - 1. The new boundaries of the Property as described in Section III. B. 1.; and
 - 2. The approximate location of any Future Projects proposed on the Property.”
- s. In former Section IV.B, (re-lettered IV.C with this Amendment) delete entire section and replace with the following:

“C. 100% Design Development Plan Set. Prior to July 31, 2023, and prior to commencement of construction of any Phase of the Project, Developer shall submit site plans, building plans, and other drawings that fix and describe the size and character of the entire Project, along with architectural and general contracts, to RDA for approval. The 100% Design Development Plan Set shall include:

 - 1. Plans and specifications for structural, mechanical and electrical systems, materials; and
 - 2. Full-color elevations for all sides of all proposed structures; and
 - 3. Descriptions and actual samples of all exterior building materials; and
 - 4. Descriptions and photographic examples of interior finishes; and
 - 5. Other such essential items as may be reasonably determined by the RDA to be appropriate.”

- t. In former Section IV.C, (re-lettered as IV.D. with this Amendment) delete “December 31, 2022” and replace with “July 31, 2023”
- u. In former Section IV.D, (re-lettered as IV. E. with this Amendment) delete all references to “Construction Documents” and replace with “100% Design Development Plan Set”
- v. In former Section IV.F, (re-lettered as IV.F. with this Amendment) delete the term “December 31, 2022” and replace with “July 31, 2023”
- w. In former Section IV.G, (re-lettered as IV. H. with this Amendment) delete the term “December 31, 2022” and replace with “July 31, 2023”
- x. In former Section IV.K, (re-lettered as IV. L. with this Amendment) delete the sentence “Developer shall promptly design and complete Building One of the Project by May 31, 2024 and thereafter design and complete Building Two by <ay 31, 2026” and replace with “Developer shall promptly design and substantially complete the project by July 1, 2025.”
- y. Create new Section IV.L.I with the following language: “Temporary Fire Access. Developer shall install and maintain a temporary gravel drive lane for fire access extending east from the Arndt Street terminus to the Riverwalk promenade. The installation of the fire access will be required prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy for Building One and remain in place until a permanent fire access lane is constructed with Building Two. The temporary fire access lane shall be maintained year-round and kept clear of snow.
- z. In former Section IV.Q. (re-lettered as IV.R. with this Amendment) create new subsection IV.R.5 with the following language: “Soil Conditions. The Developer acknowledges that they have done their due diligence on evaluating soil conditions at the project site, and that they are responsible for any further testing or mitigation measures they deem necessary to complete the project.”
- aa. Create new Section VIII.A.7 with the following language: “Failure to Meet Required Performance Deadlines. Developer fails to perform in any way related to required dates and deadlines listed in this Agreement.”
- bb. Create new Section VIII.B.8 with the following language: “Withholding of PayGo Payments. If an Event of Default occurs which remains uncured after any applicable cure period as provided in VIII. A. 2, the City may withhold annual TIF payments until the Event of Default is cured and, upon such cure, the TIF payments shall resume in full.
- cc. Delete Section IX. C. and replace with the following:

“Survival of Certain Provisions. Sections III.B.1.d; III.D.; IV.E.; IV.J.; IV.O.2.; IV.P.; IV.Q.2., IV.S.; V.A.; V.B.,; V.C.; V.D.; V.E.; VII.C.; VII.D.; VII.E., VII.G., VII.K.; VII.L., VIII.A.; VIII. B.; VIII.C.; VIII. D.; VIII. E.; VIII. F.; X.B.; X.C.; X.G.; X.J.; X.M.; X.N.; X.O.; X.P.; X.R.; and X.S. shall survive the termination of this Agreement.
- dd. In Section X.I, delete July 1, 2021 and replace with July 30, 2023

ee. Replace Exhibit A, Property Map with attached revised Property Map

2. Remaining Terms and Conditions. The parties hereby acknowledge and agree that, as of the date hereof, the Development Agreement is in full force and effect, and that except as set forth herein, all remaining terms and conditions of the Agreement shall remain unchanged and the Agreements as amended by this First Amendment shall remain in full force and effect.

[Signature pages follow]

Signature page 1 of 3

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties to this Agreement have caused this instrument to be signed by duly authorized representatives of Developer, City and RDA as of the day and year first written above.

**DEVELOPER:
MERGE, LLC**

By: _____

Print Name and Title

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF BROWN)

Personally came before me this _____ day of _____ 2023, the above named _____, a member of MERGE, LLC, an Iowa limited liability company, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.

*

Notary Public, _____ County, Wisconsin

My Commission Expires _____

THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, and MERGE, LLC

By: _____
Eric Genrich, Mayor

[illegible]

Personally came before me this _____ day of _____ 2023, the above named _____ and _____, on behalf of the City of Green Bay, a Wisconsin municipal corporation, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.

* _____
Notary Public, _____ County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires _____

Signature page 3 of 3

THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, THE REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, and MERGE, LLC

**REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE
CITY OF GREEN BAY**

By: _____
Gary J. Delveaux, Chair

Attest: _____
Neil Stechschulte, Executive Director

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF BROWN)

Personally came before me this _____ day of _____ 2023, the above named _____ and _____, on behalf of the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Green Bay, a Wisconsin municipal corporation, to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.

* _____
Notary Public, _____ County, Wisconsin
My Commission Expires _____



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.1

To approve the request by Mohamed Farah for permission to park vehicles on the street every night at 802 Cora Street for the remainder of 2023.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. I&S Committee Agenda Mohamed Farah

From: [Chris Pirlot](#)
To: [Michelle Wolter](#)
Cc: [Steven Grenier](#); [James Brunette](#); [Justin Linzmeier](#); [Elizabeth Nadolski-Spears](#)
Subject: I&S Committee agenda item
Date: Monday, May 15, 2023 3:28:16 PM

Please add the following item to the next I&S Committee agenda: "Request by Mohamed Farah for permission to park vehicles on-street every night at 802 Cora Street, for the remainder of 2023."

Christopher J. Pirlot, P.E.
Operations Director/Parking Manager
City of Green Bay
Department of Public Works
920.492.3736 office
920.680.6166 cellular
greenbaywi.gov

Hello Chris,

Please see the information below:

1. **Occupant name:**
2. **Owner name (if different from occupant):**
3. **Property address:** 802 Cora St green bay Wi 54303
4. **Telephone number of requestor:**
5. **E-mail address of requestor:**
6. **Clear explanation for needing additional overnight on-street parking occurrences:**

I understand that under normal circumstances, year-round parking expansion falls under the purview of the property owner. However, as a renter, I do not have the ability to make such changes or expand the available parking spaces on this property. I am writing to explore any possible alternatives or accommodations that could help alleviate our current parking situation.

My family is large; it consists of my wife, our seven children, and me. The necessity of cars for commuting to school and work is undeniable in our case. Therefore, we have two cars that require parking at our residence. My request is to procure two permits for overnight parking on the city streets near my house for the remainder of the 2023 year.

Moreover, there is an additional circumstance I would like you to consider. I am a wheelchair user, and as such, parking my car requires adequate room around it for me to comfortably exit the vehicle and maneuver to the ramp leading to my home's

entrance. I kindly ask that this need for additional space is taken into account when considering my request.

Thank you for your time and understanding. I am hopeful that you will be able to help us in resolving this problem. I eagerly await your response and the possibility of finding a suitable solution.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best regards,

On Mon, May 8, 2023 at 3:15 PM Chris Pirlot <Chris.Pirlot@greenbaywi.gov> wrote:

Hello gentlemen: As I'm sure you all know; the City maintains an ordinance prohibiting parking on all City streets between the hours of 3 AM and 5 AM year-round. Per policy, Up to six (6) occurrences can be granted each year for special exceptions. And each occurrence can last up to two (2) weeks. A longer number of occurrences can be granted through Improvements and Services Committee each year for circumstances like:

- Recurrent friend/family visits beyond 6 occurrences per year
- In-home medical care providers
- Children home temporarily for a period of time
- Construction and remodeling activity
- Temporarily disabled vehicles

I have served as the City Parking Manager for over 32 years. To date, there has been no request granted for year-round (365 days) parking. Special permissions are granted for unique circumstances, *not* for routine/everyday parking of owner/occupant vehicles. Addressing year-round parking needs of resident vehicles are left to the property owner. There is no permit system for that item. I personally had a situation years ago with inadequate off-street parking for the vehicles that resided at my house year-round. My only solution was to expand my driveway pavement area.

That said, if you are interested in requesting additional overnight on-street parking

occurrences for the remainder of 2023, please submit to me an e-mail or letter containing information on:

1. Occupant name
2. Owner name (if different from occupant)
3. Property address
4. Telephone number of requestor
5. E-mail address of requestor
6. Clear explanation for needing additional overnight on-street parking occurrences

After I receive the above information regarding a request, it is placed it on the Improvements and Services Committee agenda for discussion and consideration. The requestor is notified of the meeting date/time so they can attend to support their request.

If you are interested in pursuing additional overnight on-street parking allowances, please advise.

Christopher J. Pirlot, P.E.
Operations Director/Parking Manager
City of Green Bay
Department of Public Works
920.492.3736 office
920.680.6166 cellular
greenbaywi.gov

From: District Nine <District.9@greenbaywi.gov>
Sent: Friday, May 5, 2023 4:32 PM
To: Said Hassan **Cc:** Chris Pirlot <Chris.Pirlot@greenbaywi.gov>
Subject: RE: Request for Overnight Parking Permit Application

Hello Said (and Mohamed), thanks for reaching out. I have copied Chris Pirlot on this email who oversees our parking utility and fields these types of requests.

Chris, is this an evaluation you make at the staff level or do I need to take to the Improvement & Services Committee for consideration?

Follow me on Facebook to receive regular updates on city issues. [@BrianJohnsonGB](#)

Brian H. Johnson
Alderman, District 9

City of Green Bay
100 N. Jefferson Street
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301-5026

(e) district9@greenbaywi.gov
(p) 920.242.2206
(w) www.greenbaywi.gov

From: Said Hassan <[org](#)>

Sent: Friday, April 28, 2023 8:55 AM

To: District Nine <District.9@greenbaywi.gov>; **Subject:** Request for Overnight Parking Permit Application

Dear Brian,

My name is Said Hassan, the Executive Director of Community Services Agency Inc (COMSA). I was pleased to receive your email through Celestine Jeffreys, and I am reaching out to inquire about the possibility of obtaining an overnight parking permit for Mohamed Farah.

Mohamed is an essential member of our team, serving as our community liaison, and residing on Cora Street, which I believe falls under District 9. Recently, Mohamed's family has been in need of additional parking space, as they are unable to park their vehicles at night. In the past, they have used the parking lot of the nearby Presbyterian church on Ashland Ave, but the church management has implemented a no-parking policy, leaving them without any options.

I would greatly appreciate it if you could assist Mohamed's family in obtaining two overnight parking permits. Your kind assistance would go a long way in helping them to meet their daily needs.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Best regards,

CONFIDENTIALITY: This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain confidential, proprietary and privileged information, and unauthorized disclosure or use is prohibited. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender and delete this e-mail from your system.

--

Best Regards,
Said Hassan
Executive Director
Community Services Agency, Inc ([COMSA](#)).
Office: (920)-770 4070 | Direct: (980)-337 0455
[Email](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#)
[Schedule a 30 appointment](#)

CONFIDENTIALITY: This e-mail (including any attachments) may contain confidential, proprietary and privileged information, and unauthorized disclosure or use is prohibited. If you received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender and delete this e-mail from your system.



Report to the Improvement and Service Committee of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.2

To deny the request by Corey Buss, 1602 Pilgrim, to rescind the charges for sidewalk replacement/repair.

BACKGROUND

The City of Green Bay performs an annual sidewalk repair/replacement program. Subject properties are inspected by Department of Public Works (DPW) staff and deficiencies in the sidewalk are noted. A list of all sidewalk inspected that is in need of repair or replacement is compiled and submitted to the Common Council in the form of a resolution. The resolution provides 60 days for which the resident to complete repairs, after which DPW can have the repairs completed and the cost associated with the repairs is billed back to the property owner. Following adoption of the resolution, a letter is sent to each property owner advising them of their responsibility to repair the sidewalk, and provides alternatives that the resident may wish to consider prior to having the City replace the walk. Within the "sidewalk letter", there are instructions advising the property owner to notify the City if they have completed repairs so that we know not to replace the walk.

During the summer of 2022, Mr. Buss was notified that 122.5 square feet of sidewalk at 1602 Pilgrim was in need of repair or replacement. Mr. Buss has informed DPW that he repaired the walk but did not notify DPW of the repairs. Subsequently, DPW's contractor removed the sidewalk in question and replaced it. Mr. Buss received an invoice for the replaced sidewalk in the amount of \$1,347.50. Mr. Buss is requesting the entire charge be rescinded.

RECOMMENDATION

As DPW was not notified that repairs were completed as provided for in the resident letter, staff recommends that this request be denied.

FISCAL IMPACT

If the request would be granted, the City's Sidewalk fund would be responsible to cover the \$1,347.50 cost of the work.

ATTACHMENTS

1. sidewalk invoice 1602 Pilgrim
2. Sidewalk Letter to Property Owner Including Sidewalk Credit Info
3. Re_FW_ Sidewalk replacement 1602 Pilgrim



GREEN BAY CITY TREASURER

100 North Jefferson Street, Room 106
Green Bay, WI 54301-5026

INVOICE

Invoice Date	Invoice No.
03/08/2023	169498
Customer Number	
60819	
Invoice Total Due	
\$1,347.50	
Customer PO	Due Date
	04/08/2023

126387 : PAYMENTS CAN BE MADE UP TO DUE DATE,
BALANCE WILL BE ADDED TO YOUR TAX BILL AT 3.75%
INTEREST/YR FOR 5 YEARS.

COREY J BUSS
1602 PILGRIM ST
GREEN BAY, WI 54304-3333

00000182023000169498300001347509

✂ Please Detach and return top portion with your payment ✂

City of Green Bay, Green Bay, WI 54301

Invoice Date: 03/08/2023

Customer Number: 60819

Description	Quantity	Price	UOM	Original Bill	Adjusted	Paid	Amount Due
SIDEWALKS FOR PARCEL 1-2534 AT 1602 PILGRIM ST AND PROJECT LOCATION 2022 CITY WIDE SIDEWALKS	122.50	\$11.00	EACH	\$1,347.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,347.50
Please put Invoice Number on your check. Make checks payable to City of Green Bay.				Invoice Total:	\$1,347.50		

QUESTIONS REGARDING HOW THE AMOUNT OF THIS BILL WAS DERIVED OR ANY ENGINEERING
CONCERNS MAY BE DIRECTED TO THE DPW-ENGINEERING DIV AT (920)448-3106. REMITTANCE
QUESTIONS MAY BE DIRECTED TO THE CLERKS-TREASURERS OFFICE AT (920)448-3010.

ORIGINAL
COPY



Page one of this mailing was the formal notice ordering you to construct or reconstruct sidewalks along your property. This notice is a statutory requirement of the State of Wisconsin. The following is additional information to help you through the process.

When City of Green Bay Common Council orders in new concrete sidewalks it is the opinion of the City Traffic, Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission that defective sidewalks are hazardous to pedestrian traffic and must be repaired.

Below are the options you need to choose from for the Sidewalk repair ordered at your property:

Option 1 = Resident does nothing and the City takes care of sidewalk repairs

- The City will wait for the 30-day timeframe to expire then the City's contractor will be instructed to construct or reconstruct the sidewalk at your property.
- All sidewalks marked will be removed and replaced.
- The City will invoice the owner for the actual cost of sidewalk based on the square footage of the sidewalk installed at the current contract price.

Option 2 = Property Owner completes the repairs themselves

- Sidewalk work must be completed before the 30-days expire from your notice.
- Work must be done by **property owner only**, not by family, a friend, neighbor, or others.
- Property owner obtains permits for repairs to the sidewalk (Obstruction Permit, & Sidewalk Grade Permit – apply online through City website at <https://greenbaywi.gov/1045/Digital-Services>.
- Property owner shall provide proper signing and barricading of the area prior to commencing the work.
- Property owner shall construct the sidewalk in accordance with City standards.
- Property owner to call or email the work is completed and ready for final inspection. The City will reinspect in order to accept the repairs.
- If the work is found to be unacceptable, the property owner will be notified and shall remove the sidewalk and replace it to conform to City standards. If work is not completed within 30 days, the City's Contractor will complete the work and property owner will be billed.

Option 3 = Hire a contractor with City of Green Bay Sidewalk Builders License to do the work for you

- Sidewalk work must be completed before the 30-day notice expires.
- City's website has a list of Sidewalk Builders holding a current license with the City.
- Licensed Contractor (Sidewalk Builder) obtains permits for repairs to the sidewalk.
- Licensed Contractor shall provide proper signing and barricading of the area prior to commencing the work.
- Licensed Contractor shall construct the sidewalk in accordance with City standards.
- Repairs made by licensed contractor and property owner pays the contractor directly. The sidewalk replacement shall include **ALL** damaged sidewalks including sidewalks marked with an "X" & "C".
- Property owner to call or email the work is completed and ready for final inspection. The City will reinspect in order to accept the repairs.
- If the work is found to be unacceptable, the property owner shall remove the sidewalk and replace it to conform to City standards. If work is not completed within 30 City Contractor will come complete work and property owner will be billed.

Example Repair Options:

Remove & Replace
Diamond Grind
Mudjacking
Epoxy Crack Filling

Complete replacement of damaged sidewalk
Sidewalks that are raised slightly
Sidewalks that are sunken and need to be raised
Larger cracks or divots that need to be filled

For Option 1 Only Sidewalk Billing and Payments

The Common Council has authorized the Department of Public Works to extend the 30-day time period called for, in the sidewalk order, in hardship cases. A request for an extension must be in writing explaining the hardship for which the extension is requested. This request should be sent to the Department of Public Works, Room 300, City Hall, 100 North Jefferson Street, Green Bay, Wisconsin, 54301.

The City will invoice property owner for sidewalks replaced by the City. Invoices that are not paid in full or any remaining balance after the 30 days of invoiced date will roll onto the property taxes and shall be payable to the City of Green Bay in 5 annual installments at the current 2022 interest rate of per annum. All sidewalk that is replaced and listed as a sidewalk credit will be paid for by the City.

For Option 2 or 3 Only the following is the Procedure for Reimbursing Property owners for Sidewalk Credits

If you choose to construct or reconstruct the sidewalk yourself or through a licensed contractor, and a sidewalk credit is indicated ("Square Ft Credit") follow the next steps for reimbursement.

- If the work is found to be acceptable, the property owner shall submit the City Sidewalk Reimbursement Form to the Department of Public Works - Engineering Division for sidewalk credit sidewalks (eg. street tree damage). The form is the last page of this mailing.
- The form shall state the area replaced in square feet and the amount due.
- The amount due shall be actual cost not to exceed current City contract cost.
- The form shall be accompanied by a copy of the concrete company delivery slip with concrete mix listed.
- Department of Public Works - Engineering Division shall verify the calculations and process for payment.

Questions concerning sidewalks can be directed to the Engineering Division of the Department of Public Works. The sidewalk contact information is (920) 448-3106 or email greenbaysidewalks@greenbaywi.gov

From: C
To: [Steven Grenier](#)
Cc: [Chris Wery](#)
Subject: Re: FW: Sidewalk replacement 1602 Pilgrim
Date: Friday, May 19, 2023 9:25:31 AM

Sounds good, thank you for your time

On Fri, May 19, 2023, 7:47 AM Steven Grenier <Steven.Grenier@greenbaywi.gov> wrote:

Mr. Buss,

We will get you on the agenda for that day. Ald. Wery chairs the committee and he has been part of the discussion all along. I'm sure with what you have provided in the email string, we can communicate your request.

Steve Grenier

Steven M. Grenier, P.E.

Director of Public Works

City of Green Bay

Department of Public Works

920.448.3097

steven.grenier@greenbaywi.gov

greenbaywi.gov

From: C <thebuss12@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, May 18, 2023 6:11 PM
To: Steven Grenier <Steven.Grenier@greenbaywi.gov>
Subject: Re: FW: Sidewalk replacement 1602 Pilgrim

I would not be able to attend the meeting, im working 60 hours, 5 to 5 each day just to get by. Missing any work is just not something i can do

On Mon, May 8, 2023, 1:05 PM Steven Grenier <Steven.Grenier@greenbaywi.gov> wrote:

Mr. Buss,

Let me extend my apologies to you on this matter. It definitely was not my intent to break communication on this. I've had a few items come up over the past few weeks that have caused me to shuffle some items on my schedule and I just missed on this one. Would you be able to attend a meeting of the Improvement & Services Committee on May 24 to discuss the matter with them?

Thanks,

Steve Grenier

Steven M. Grenier, P.E.

Director of Public Works

City of Green Bay

Department of Public Works

920.448.3097

steven.grenier@greenbaywi.gov

greenbaywi.gov

From: C <thebuss12@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, May 7, 2023 7:33 AM

To: Steven Grenier <Steven.Grenier@greenbaywi.gov>

Subject: Re: FW: Sidewalk replacement 1602 Pilgrim

Sooooooooooooooooooo??? Is that how the city works? You give promise of a remedy and then just break communication? Not even a follow-up.

On Sun, Apr 23, 2023, 5:42 PM C <thebuss12@gmail.com> wrote:

I would like to appeal some of the if not most of the cost. That can be discussed and finalized after discussion.

On Tue, Apr 11, 2023, 3:29 PM Steven Grenier <Steven.Grenier@greenbaywi.gov> wrote:

Mr. Buss,

Please respond to me at your earliest opportunity indicating what you would like to appeal. By that I mean to indicate how much of the cost you are appealing. If that is the entire cost, let us know that as well. Once I get that information, I will place your request on the agenda for the April 26 meeting of the Improvement & Services Committee. You will have an opportunity to address the committee and offer your position. The Committee will then deliberate and forward a recommendation on to the Common Council.

Please advise if I can be of further assistance to you.

Thanks,
Steve Grenier

Steven M. Grenier, P.E.

Director of Public Works

City of Green Bay

Department of Public Works

920.448.3097

steven.grenier@greenbaywi.gov

greenbaywi.gov

From: Chris Wery <chriswery@att.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 5, 2023 9:47 PM
To: Steven Grenier <Steven.Grenier@greenbaywi.gov>
Subject: Fw: Sidewalk replacement 1602 Pilgrim

Hi Steve,

The resident, Corey Buss, would like to appeal the cost. Can you guide him to the correct place and let me know the outcome.

Much appreciated.

Alderman Chris Wery

920-490-9282

chriswery@att.net

Facebook: Alderman Chris Wery, District 8 City of Green Bay

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: C <thebuss12@gmail.com>

To: Chris Wery <chriswery@att.net>

Sent: Wednesday, April 5, 2023 at 04:17:59 PM CDT

Subject: Re: Sidewalk replacement

I need to know who i can talk to about possibly adjusting the cost of the sidewalk replacement, can you help me with that?

On Sat, Mar 25, 2023, 2:04 PM C <thebuss12@gmail.com> wrote:

So i did find some but not all of the paperwork. And in the paper i found they gave the price estimate for 5x5 sidewalk squares at \$175 to \$225 each. The invoice price i got was \$1350 and i had 5 squares, that would be \$270 each. So why is it 20% to 30% more than they said? I would be happy to pay some but not all of what they request based off the work i did and the fallout of communication of the whole project

On Thu, Mar 16, 2023, 7:16 PM Chris Wery <chriswery@att.net> wrote:

Let me know if you find it. Otherwise, I do think it is good to call them and discuss and get options.

Alderman Chris Wery
920-490-9282
chriswery@att.net
Facebook: Alderman Chris Wery, District 8 City of Green Bay

On Tuesday, March 14, 2023 at 04:30:16 AM CDT, C <thebuss12@gmail.com> wrote:

I got your email yesterday, i am trying to find the original paperwork from last summer, along with talking with my neighbors, and they dont recall that paper saying call in when done with repairs.

Besides that i did the work and they honestly could have seem that work was done and a repair was made. They sent multiple workers thru the area. Why have me call? It seems like planned stupidity, that way if NOTHING happens like they claim they are still covered.



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.3

To refer to staff the request by Will Liebergen to appeal the storm water charges for the parcel at 1026 North Broadway.

BACKGROUND

The City's Storm Water Utility charges storm water fees to properties based on impervious area for the operation and maintenance of the storm sewer and storm water management systems across the City. Section 42-203 (f), Green Bay Municipal Code (GBMC) provides for credits to be provided to property owners for portions of a property that discharge directly to the waters of Green Bay, the Fox River, or East River. Mr. Liebergen believes that his on-site storm water management pond functions as an infiltration basin, allowing for infiltration of precipitation directly to the groundwater. As such, storm water would not be discharged to the City storm sewers. Mr. Liebergen therefore requests that the storm water charges for this property be rescinded.

A review of the approved site plan for the property shows that the storm water management device for the property is a biofilter, not an infiltration basin. The primary difference for this discussion is that while an infiltration basin allows for infiltration of precipitation to the ground water system, the biofilter collects that precipitation after filtering out the pollutants, and then discharges that water directly into the City's storm sewer system. As the water is still being discharged to storm sewer, the credit is not applicable.


RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends denial of the request.

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. 1026 N Broadway
2. Section 42-203 GBMC



Benchmarks		
Label	Elevation	Description
BM 1	105.02	NAIL IN POWER POLE #48SS14
BM 2	104.03	NAIL IN POWER POLE #48NN14

SHEET KEY NOTES:


- CONNECT TO EXISTING STORM LATERAL. FIELD VERIFY LOCATION, SIZE AND DEPTH.
- BIOFILTER OUTLET STRUCTURE; SEE DETAIL C SHEET C6.0
- BIOFILTER; SEE DETAIL D SHEET C6.0
- STORM CLEANOUT; SEE DETAIL E SHEET C6.0
- STORM INLET, 3' DIA.; SEE DETAIL F SHEET C6.0
- WATER SERVICE - CONNECT TO MUNICIPAL WATERMAIN PER CITY STANDARDS. COORDINATE WORK WITH GREEN BAY WATER UTILITY.
- WATER SERVICE - CONNECT TO EXISTING WATER LATERAL (¾" COPPER) PER CITY STANDARDS. FIELD VERIFY SIZE AND LOCATION.
- CONNECT TO EXISTING SANITARY LATERAL. FIELD VERIFY SIZE AND LOCATION.
- REMOVE EXISTING 15" RCP SANITARY STUB AND RELAY WITH PROPOSED 6" SANITARY LATERAL. PROVIDE A WATER TIGHT FLEXIBLE CONNECTOR AT MANHOLE CONNECTION. COORDINATE WORK WITH PUBLIC WORKS.
- CONNECT ROOF DRAIN TO STORM SEWER. VERIFY LOCATION WITH ARCHITECTURAL PLANS

UTILITY NOTES:

- ALL WORK SHALL CONFORM TO THE MOST RECENT EDITION OF THE "STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR SEWER AND WATER CONSTRUCTION IN WISCONSIN"
- NOTIFY MUNICIPALITY THREE WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO WORKING IN THE RIGHT OF WAY
- CONNECTIONS TO MUNICIPAL UTILITIES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THEIR STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS
- ALL SERVICE LATERALS SHALL HAVE TRACER WIRE
- SANITARY**
 - LATERAL SHALL BE PVC SDR 35 (ASTM D-3034) OR SCH 40.
 - CLEANOUTS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SPS 382.35.
 - REFER TO PLUMBING PLANS FOR CONNECTIONS TO BUILDING PLUMBING.
 - CONNECTION TO BUILDING TO BE DONE BY BUILDING PLUMBER.
- WATER**
 - SERVICES UP TO 2 INCHES IN DIAMETER SHALL BE COPPER OR DRS HOPE.
 - SERVICES GREATER THAN 4 INCHES IN DIAMETER SHALL BE C900 PVC.
 - ALL DUCTILE IRON PIPE AND FITTINGS SHALL BE ENCASED IN POLYETHYLENE WRAP.
 - WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM (SERVICES AND MAINS) SHALL BE FLUSHED AND DISINFECTION PRIOR TO USE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SPS 382.40(8)(i).
 - WATER LINE THRUST RESTRAINTS (NOT SHOWN FOR CLARITY) SHALL BE PROVIDED AS SPECIFIED FOR CONCRETE THRUST BLOCKS.
 - REFER TO PLUMBING PLANS FOR CONNECTIONS TO BUILDING PLUMBING.
 - CONNECTION TO BUILDING TO BE DONE BY BUILDING PLUMBER.
- STORM**
 - SEWER SHALL BE PVC SDR 35 (ASTM D-3034), SCH 40 OR RCP.
 - GRATES SHALL BE PLACED ON HORIZONTAL INLETS GREATER THAN 6 INCHES IN DIAMETER. THE GRATES SHALL BE PLACED SO THAT THE RODS OR BARS ARE NOT MORE THAN 3 INCHES DOWNSTREAM OF THE INLET. RODS OR BARS SHALL BE SPACED SO THAT THE OPENINGS DO NOT PERMIT PASSAGE OF A 6 INCH SPHERE.
 - REFER TO PLUMBING PLANS FOR CONNECTIONS TO BUILDING PLUMBING
 - CONNECTION TO BUILDING TO BE DONE BY BUILDING PLUMBER.
- SANITARY SEWER EASEMENT**
 - ANY DAMAGE TO THE 30" CLAY SANITARY SEWER DURING CONSTRUCTION OR FUTURE MAINTENANCE OF THE PROPOSED BMP IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE BMP OWNER TO FIX ANY DAMAGE AT THEIR COST.
 - IF FUTURE REPAIRS ARE NEEDED (NOT DUE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT) TO BE COMPLETED ON THE 30" CLAY PIPE, THE CITY WILL TAKE NECESSARY ACTIONS TO REPAIR THE SANITARY SEWER AND THE RESTORATION OF THE BMP WILL BE AT THE COST OF THE BMP OWNER NOT THE CITY OR THEIR CONTRACTORS.

SEWER AND WATER DEMAND

WATER = 42 WFU
SEWER = 108 DFU



MACH
ENGINEERING • SURVEYING • ENVIRONMENTAL
2260 Salscheider Court Green Bay, WI 54313
PH: 920-569-5765; Fax: 920-569-5767
www.mach-iv.com

NORTH BROADWAY STORAGE, LLC
**BROADWAY & ALEXANDER
STORAGE**
UTILITY PLAN

REVISION DESCRIPTION	
NO.	
1	DATE: OCTOBER 15, 2021
2	DRAFTED BY: DS
3	CHECKED BY:
4	PROJECT NO.: 1779-01-20
5	DRAWING NUMBER
6	SHEET NUMBER
7	C3.0
8	OF 10

Sec. 42-203. - Stormwater utility.

(a) *Findings.*

- (1) The City of Green Bay finds that the management of stormwater and other surface water discharges within and beyond its borders is a matter that affects the public health, safety and welfare of the City, its citizens and businesses and others in the surrounding area. The development of land increases impervious surfaces and results in increased stormwater runoff. Failure to effectively manage this increased stormwater runoff affects the sanitary sewer utility operations of the Green Bay Sanitary District by, among other things, increasing the likelihood of infiltration and inflow in the sanitary sewer. In addition, surface water runoff may create erosion of lands, threaten businesses and residences with water damage and create sedimentation and other environmental damage in the City.
- (2) The cost of operating and maintaining the City stormwater system, ensuring regulatory compliance and financing necessary plans, studies, repairs, replacements, improvements and extension thereof should, to the extent practicable, be allocated in relationship to the benefits enjoyed and services received therefrom.
- (3) The City further finds that pervious surfaces are preferable to impervious surfaces for managing stormwater. Accordingly, the calculation of equivalent runoff units (ERU), which are used to calculate stormwater utility charges, as detailed in this chapter, shall be limited to impervious surface area. Pervious surfaces, such as forested areas, yard and terrace trees, lawns, gardens, planned natural landscaping, approved permeable surfacing, green roofs, and undeveloped property shall be exempt from the calculation of ERU and stormwater utility charges.

(b) *Establishment of Stormwater Utility.*

- (1) In order to protect the health, safety and welfare of the public, the Common Council is exercising its authority to establish the City of Green Bay Stormwater Utility and set the rates for stormwater services.
- (2) The operation of the Stormwater Utility shall be under the supervision of the City of Green Bay Improvement and Services Committee, with final authority by the Green Bay Common Council. The Administrator will be in charge of the Stormwater Utility.
- (3) The City is acting under the authority of Wis. Stats. Chs. 62 and 66, and particularly, without limitation, the following: Wis. Stats. §§ 62.04, 62.11, 62.16(2), 62.18, 66.0621, 66.0627, 66.0701, 66.0703, 66.0809, 66.0811, 66.0813 and 66.0821.

(c) *Powers and duties of Utility.*

- (1) *Facilities.* The City, acting through the Stormwater Utility, may acquire, construct, lease, own, operate, maintain, extend, expand, replace, clean, dredge, repair, conduct, manage and finance such facilities as are deemed by the City to be proper and reasonably necessary for a

system of stormwater and surface water management. These facilities may include, without limitation by enumeration, surface and underground drainage facilities, sewers, watercourses, retaining walls and ponds and such other facilities as will support a stormwater system.

- (2) *Rates and charges.* The City, acting through the Stormwater Utility, may establish such rates and charges as are necessary to finance planning, design construction, maintenance and operation of the facilities in accordance with the procedures set forth in this article.
- (3) *Budgeting process.* The City, through the Stormwater Utility, shall prepare an annual budget, which is to include all operation and maintenance costs, debt service and other costs related to the operation of the Stormwater Utility. The costs shall be spread over the rate classifications as determined by the Committee.
- (4) *Excess revenues.* The City will retain any excess of revenues over expenditures in a year in a segregated Stormwater Enterprise Fund, which shall be used exclusively for purposes consistent with this article.

- (d) *Definitions.* The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this section, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this subsection, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Administrator means the Director of Public Works or their designee.

Committee means the City of Green Bay Improvement and Services Committee.

Duplex unit means a residential space containing two dwelling units.

Dwelling unit means a structure, or that part of a structure, which is used or intended to be used as a home, residence or sleeping place by one person or by two or more persons maintaining a common household, to the exclusion of all others.

Equivalent runoff unit or *ERU* means the unit by which a storm sewer charge is calculated in this article and is based on an average horizontal impervious area of a fully developed single-family parcel within the City. An ERU is established as 3,000 square feet.

Impervious area or *impervious surface* means a horizontal surface that has been compacted or covered with a layer of material so that it is highly resistant to infiltration by rainwater. It includes, but is not limited to, semi-impervious surfaces such as compacted clay and/or gravel, as well as streets, roofs, sidewalks, parking lots, driveways and other similar surfaces.

Lot means a parcel of land having a width and depth sufficient for one principal building and its accessory building together with open spaces required by [Chapter 44](#) and abutting a public street or access easement.

Multifamily unit means a residential space consisting of three or more dwelling units.

Nonresidential property means any developed lot or parcel other than residential property as defined herein, including, but not limited to, transient rentals (such as hotels and motels), manufactured and mobile home parks, commercial, industrial, institutional, governmental property and parking lots.

Permeable surfacing means a material or materials and accompanying subsurface treatments designed, installed, and maintained specifically to allow stormwater to penetrate into the material, thereby reducing the volume of stormwater runoff from the surfaced area. Permeable surfacing may include, without limitation, permeable interlocking pavers, concrete lattice pavers, porous blocks or pavers or similar structural support materials, and permeable concrete or asphalt.

Pervious area or pervious surface means a horizontal surface that is covered by vegetation or other naturally-occurring material that promote the infiltration or transpiration of precipitation, thereby reducing the amount of stormwater runoff. Pervious areas include, but are not limited to, forested areas, yard and terrace trees, lawns, native vegetation, planned natural landscaping, and undeveloped properties.

Residential property means any lot or parcel developed exclusively for residential purposes, including single-family homes, duplex units and multifamily units, but not including transient rentals (such as hotels and motels) and manufactured and mobile home parks.

Single-family home means any residential property consisting of a single dwelling unit.

Stormwater system means any natural or manmade stormwater conveyance facility operated or maintained by the City, including, but not limited to, retention/detention ponds, ditches, storm sewer, roads, green infrastructure stormwater management measures, and navigable and non-navigable waterways.

Undeveloped property means property that has not been altered by the addition of any improvements, such as a building, structure, change of grade or substantial landscaping. Undeveloped property includes agricultural property. A property shall be considered developed pursuant to this article, upon issuance of a certificate of occupancy or upon substantial completion of construction or final inspection if no such certificate is issued or where construction is at least 50 percent complete and construction is halted for a period of three months.

Utility means the Stormwater Utility of the City of Green Bay.

(e) *Rates and charges.*

- (1) By this article, the Board is establishing the basis for the rates that will be used to calculate and impose a charge upon each developed lot and parcel within the City for services and facilities provided by the Stormwater Utility consistent with this article.
- (2) The amount of the charge to be imposed for each customer classification shall be made by resolution. All rates established pursuant to this chapter will be fair and reasonable. The current rates will be on file with the City Clerk.

- (3) An ERU charge shall be imposed to recover all or a portion of the costs of the Stormwater Utility.

(f) *Credits.*

- (1) The Utility may provide credits against the ERU determination for nonresidential property in the following cases:
 - a. Discharging stormwater runoff directly to the waters of Green Bay, Fox River or East River, the ERU credit shall be two-thirds of all ERUs located on the portion of property discharging directly to the above-named waters.
 - b. A ten percent credit in the number of ERUs will be provided to those properties within the nonresidential customer classification that provide privately constructed and maintained detention or retention facilities that restrict surface water discharge to the rate and volume as established in the City storm drainage standards for public and private development. This credit does not apply to those ERUs receiving credit in subsection (f) (1)a of this section.
- (2) A ten percent credit in the number of ERUs will be provided to those properties within the residential - multifamily, including condominiums, customer classification that provide privately constructed and maintained detention or retention facilities that restrict surface water discharge to the rate and volume as established in the City storm drainage standards for public and private development.
- (3) To be entitled to consideration for an ERU credit, the property owner shall file an application together with a review fee with the Administrator that is supported by documentation from a professional engineer and demonstrates the conditions of this section have been met. The application is subject to review and approval of the Administrator. If the Administrator needs additional engineering expertise to complete their review, the Administrator can deny the application unless the property owner agrees to pay for the necessary engineering services.
- (4) The Administrator may revoke the credit if the basis for the credit has materially changed. The Administrator shall provide written notice of any proposed revocation at least 30 days in advance thereof.
- (5) A denial or revocation of any credit may be appealed under subsection (i) of this section.

(g) *Customer classifications.*

- (1) For purposes of imposing the ERU charge, all lots and parcels within the City shall be classified into the following five customer classes:
 - a. Residential - single-family;
 - b. Residential - duplex;
 - c. Residential - multifamily, including condominiums;

- d. Nonresidential; and
 - e. Undeveloped.
- (2) The Administrator shall prepare a list of lots within the City and assign a customer classification to each lot or parcel.
- (3) ERUs shall be calculated per classification as follows:
- a. Residential - single-family: The impervious area for each single-family parcel unit shall be determined by the Administrator based on the best available information, including, but not limited to, data supplied by the City Assessor divided by 0.75, aerial photographs, the property owner, tenant or developer, or actual on-site measurement. The ERU for each single-family parcel shall be equal to the calculated impervious area divided by 3,000 square feet (rounded to the next higher 0.01). The ERU determination shall be updated by the Administrator based on any additions to the impervious area as approved through the building permit process.
 - b. Residential - duplex: The impervious area for each duplex parcel unit shall be determined by the Administrator based on the best available information, including, but not limited to, data supplied by the City Assessor divided by 0.75, aerial photographs, the property owner, tenant or developer, or actual on-site measurement. The ERU for each duplex unit shall be equal to one-half of the calculated impervious area divided by 3,000 square feet (rounded to the next higher 0.01). The ERU determination shall be updated by the Administrator based on any additions to the impervious area as approved through the building permit process.
 - c. Residential - multifamily: The ERU for each multifamily unit shall be determined by the Administrator based on the best available information, including, but not limited to, data supplied by the City Assessor, aerial photographs, the property owner, tenant or developer, or actual on-site measurement. The Administrator may require additional information as necessary to make the determination. The ERU value for residential multifamily property shall consist of its determined impervious area divided by 3,000 square feet and the number of units on the property (rounded to the next higher 0.01 ERU) to determine the per-unit ERU value. The ERU determination shall be updated by the Administrator based on any additions to the impervious area as approved through the building permit process.
 - d. For nonresidential properties, the Administrator shall be responsible for determining the impervious area based on the best available information, including, but not limited to, data supplied by the City Assessor, aerial photographs, the property owner, tenant or developer, or actual on-site measurement. The Administrator may require additional information as necessary to make the determination. The ERU value for a nonresidential

property shall consist of its determined impervious area divided by the residential ERU value of 3,000 square feet (rounded to the next higher 0.01 ERU). The billing amount shall be updated by the Administrator based on any additions to the impervious area as approved through the building permit process.

e. Undeveloped properties: No ERU.

(4) The City may make such other classifications in accordance with this subsection as will be likely to provide reasonable and fair distribution of the costs of the Stormwater Utility.

(h) *Billing and penalties.*

- (1) Stormwater Utility charges will be billed periodically with said charges to appear on the bill issued for municipal water and sanitary sewer service.
- (2) The bills for Stormwater Utility charges shall be mailed to the designated utility bill recipient, but this mailing shall not relieve the owner of the property from liability for rental property in the event payment is not made as required in this article. The owner of any property served which is occupied by tenants shall have the right to examine collection records of the City for the purpose of determining whether such charges have been paid for such tenants, provided that such examination shall be made at the office at which the records are kept and during the hours that such office is open for business.
- (3) If Stormwater Utility charges remain unpaid after a period of 30 days from the date the utility bill was mailed, such bill shall be determined delinquent. The City may collect delinquent charges under Wis. Stats. §§ 66.0821(4) and 66.0809(3).
- (4) All delinquent charges shall be subject to a one percent penalty, per month, in addition to all other charges, including prior penalties or interest that exist when the delinquent charge is extended upon the tax roll.

(i) *Method of appeal.*

- (1) The Stormwater Utility charge, a determination of ERUs or ERU credits may be appealed by filing a written appeal with the City Clerk prior to the utility charge due date if not paid or within 30 days of payment. The appeal shall specify all bases for the challenge and the amount of the stormwater charge the customer asserts is appropriate. Failure to file a timely challenge and specify all bases for the challenge waives all right to later challenge that charge. An appeal of the determination of an ERU shall be supported by documentation from a professional engineer/registered land surveyor.
- (2) The Administrator will determine whether the stormwater charge is fair and reasonable or whether a refund is due the customer. The Administrator may act with or without a hearing and will inform the customer in writing of their decision.
- (3)

The customer has 30 days from the decision of the Administrator to file a written appeal to the City of Green Bay Improvement and Services Committee. In considering an appeal, the Committee shall determine whether the stormwater utility charge is fair and reasonable and, in the event the appeal is granted, whether a refund is due the appellant and the amount of the refund. The Committee shall conduct a formal or informal hearing and obtain sufficient facts upon which to make a determination. The Committee's decision shall be based upon the evidence presented to it. After making such determination, the Committee shall forward its recommendation to the Common Council for final approval.

- (4) If it is determined that a refund is due the customer, the refund will be applied as a credit on the customer's next stormwater billing if the refund will not exceed the customer's next stormwater billing or will be refunded at the discretion of the Administrator.

(j) *Special assessment and charges.*

- (1) In addition to any other method for collection of the charges established pursuant to this article for Stormwater Utility costs, the City finds that these charges may be levied on property as a special charge pursuant to Wis. Stats. § 66.0627. The charges established hereunder reasonably reflect the benefits conferred on property and may be assessed as special charges. The mailing of the bill for such charges to the owner will serve as notice to the owner that failure to pay the charges when due may result in them being charged pursuant to the authority of Wis. Stats. § 66.0627(4) and placed upon the tax roll.
- (2) In addition to any other method of charging for Stormwater Utility costs, the City may, by resolution, collect special assessments on property in a limited and determinable area for special benefits conferred upon property pursuant to Wis. Stats. § 66.0703. The failure to pay such special assessments may result in a lien on the property enforced pursuant to Wis. Stats. § 66.0703(13).

(Code 1984, § 30.20; Ord. No. 6-04; Ord. No. 57-04; Ord. No. 26-20, §§ 1, 2, 8-18-2020; Ord. No. 17-22, §§ 20—24, 6-7-2022)



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.4

To hold the purchase of a license plate recognition system for two Parking Division enforcement vehicles until the next regularly scheduled Improvement and Services Committee meeting.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. LPR Systems request
2. Green Bay WI Mobile LPR Solution Pricing, 4.12.23



Purchasing Department
100 North Jefferson Street - Room 101
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301-5026
www.greenbaywi.gov

Phone 920.448.3047
Fax 920.448.3050

05/11/2023

I&S Committee 05/24/2023

City of Green Bay Parking is requesting to purchase 2-ea LPR Systems for the Parking Division. Total Cost for the systems is \$105,182.10 this includes the one time purchase of equipment and the first year reoccurring maintenance. Maintenance Service starting in year two and continuing will be \$6,691.92. These items are being Purchased under the Passport/NCPA Contract 05-82-Parking Enforcement and Equipment Pricing through Omnia.

Respectfully Submitted,

Thomas J. Walenski
Procurement Manager
City of Green Bay



Mobile License Plate Recognition (MLPR) Pricing Estimate

Item		Price (USD)
Licensing	Subscription for AutoVu Managed Service 2.0	\$6,691.92 annually
	AutoVu Managed Service Upgrade to Pay-by-Plate Multi	
	1x AutoVu Managed Service 1 Patroller Connection	
Mobile Vehicle Hardware 2 Unit(s)	Mobile LPR Hardware and Professional Services → AutoVu SharpZ3 Dual Base Kit → AutoVu Overtime Kit (Includes Wheel Imaging Kit) → Mapping License → One year Warranty → Hardware Shipping Mobile Computing Package → In-vehicle Hardware and Software → Includes Fz-G1 Tablet with embedded 4G LTE component for wireless connectivity → Tablet Docking Station and Vehicle Antenna → Hardware Shippings → Permit Zone Configurations	\$68,597.69
Implementation / Labor	→ Project Management Services → Onsite and Remote Training, Completed in Two Phases: ◆ One: 4 hours of onsite training on LPR Mobile Vehicle Software and hardware ◆ Two: 2-hour remote web-based training on LPR Security Center back-end software. → Mobile Installation → Travel for Onsite Services	\$13,564.80
Support	→ Mobile support ◆ Remote LPR vehicle support ◆ Phone, e-mail, and remote working sessions → Security Center Software support ◆ Phone, e-mail, and remote working sessions	\$3,888.00 covers 5 years
Extended Warranty <i>Optional</i>	→ Return and Repair Coverage → Covers AutoVu vehicle hardware, Genetec Patroller software upgrades	\$12,439.69 covers 5 years



LPR Totals:

Passport / NCPA Contract 05-82 - Parking Enforcement Software and Equipment Pricing

One-time Total	\$98,490.18
Annual Recurring Total	\$6,691.92
Total Year One Cost	\$105,182.10

NCPA was recently acquired by OMNIA Partners. For documentation on Passport's contracts through OMNIA/NCPA, please visit <https://www.ncpa.us/Vendors/Passport>

Genetec Warranty: Not ratified by Passport. Further information and terms of the Genetec hardware warranty are provided in supporting documentation.



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.5

To approve the request by McDonald Properties to have the City of Green Bay petition the Office of Commissioner of Railroads (OCR) for an at-grade rail crossing of Bay Beach Road immediately to the east of the intersection of Quincy Street and Bay Beach Road provided that all engineering and protection of underground utilities are completed to the standards of the Department of Public Works.

BACKGROUND

Historically, the former Canadian National rail spur running parallel to Quincy Street had an at-grade crossing of Bay Beach Road in the same location being considered. This rail spur served the property located at 701 Bay Beach Road. With the redevelopment of 710 Bay Beach Road, the area occupied by the rail spur on the 710 Bay Beach Road was needed for storm water conveyance. As the rail was not being utilized by 701 Bay Beach Road, the crossing was removed and tracks were removed from the south property line of 710 Bay Beach Road to the north right-of-way of Bay Beach Road.

A tenant in the 701 Bay Beach Road property has requested that rail service be reinstated along the former spur location. City staff has consulted with the civil engineer representing in the property owner and determined that rail service can be reinstated without adversely impacting the storm water conveyance for the property. All costs associated with the reinstatement will be the responsibility of the property owner.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of this request provided that all engineering and protection of underground utilities are completed to the standards approved by the Department of Public Works.

FISCAL IMPACT

The proposed project would have no financial impact to the City of Green Bay.

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 701-710 Bay Beach Road Rail Crossing



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.6

To approve the installation of a public trash can at the transit stop located at the intersection of Chicago and Madison Streets by Howe School.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. Trash can at corner of Chicago_Madison

From: [Patricia Kiewiz](#)
To: [Steven Grenier](#); [Chris Pirlot](#)
Subject: RE: Inquiry into trash can at corner of Chicago/Madison
Date: Monday, May 8, 2023 5:26:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Steve/Chris,

Transit has purchased trash cans in the past for various locations of concern. We could in this circumstance as well. However, this would need to be added to your list for “manual” pickup. I will let you two decide if that works for your team and if the location works.

Let me know your thoughts.

Patty Kiewiz
Transit Director



GB Metro Transit
901 University Avenue
920.448.3455
greenbaymetro.org

From: District Nine <District.9@greenbaywi.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 8, 2023 5:12 PM
To: Steven Grenier <Steven.Grenier@greenbaywi.gov>; Patricia Kiewiz <Patricia.Kiewiz@greenbaywi.gov>
Subject: FW: Inquiry into trash can at corner of Chicago/Madison

Steve and Patty,
Is this something you could support? Please advise.

Follow me on Facebook to receive regular updates on city issues. [@BrianJohnsonGB](#)

Brian H. Johnson
Alderman, District 9

City of Green Bay
100 N. Jefferson Street
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301-5026

(e) district9@greenbaywi.gov
(p) 920.242.2206
(w) www.greenbaywi.gov

Sent: Monday, May 8, 2023 2:32 PM
To: District Nine <District.9@greenbaywi.gov>

Subject: Inquiry into trash can at corner of Chicago/Madison

Hi Alderman Johnson,

I am reaching out on behalf of Howe families. After becoming a Community School in 2019, we have conducted annual needs assessments that work to bring forward family and student voice in our school community. Several families shared some concerns they had over the continued trash left by patrons waiting for the GB Metro at the corner of Chicago/Madison St. At the moment, there is no trash can at this bus stop to collect anything left behind. It was suggested by parents that maybe we look to install one.

I am writing to see if this might be something we could discuss or something that could be advocated for on our behalf?

Thanks for considering!

Diana Delbecchi

Howe's Community Schools Resource Coordinator

--





Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.7

To approve the request by the Traffic Division of the Department of Public Works to approve a modification of the award of purchase of traffic signal poles and hardware. The corrected award of contracts should be TAPCO in the amount of \$754,658.06, Traffic Parts, Inc. in the amount of \$53,056.50, and to Traffic Control Corp. in the amount of \$37,545.00 in accordance with the attached schedule.

BACKGROUND

This item came before the Improvement & Services Committee on April 26, 2023. At that time, a recommendation was made to award some traffic signal components to General Traffic Equipment Corporation. Subsequent to the recommendation to award, it has been discovered that General Traffic Equipment Corporation is on a list of non-qualified vendors. Accordingly, staff has re-evaluated the proposals and revised our recommendations.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends award of the traffic signal poles and hardware as proposed in the attached supporting documentation

FISCAL IMPACT

The financial impact of this decision results in an increase in the purchase price of traffic signal hardware in the amount of \$24,336.90. This increase is still within the amount budgeted for the projects.

ATTACHMENTS

- I. Traffic Signal Bid Summary_Final Award

CITY OF GREEN BAY BID SUMMARY

RFB #: 2023-11 Traffic Signals

Dept: DPW			VENDOR #1		VENDOR #2		VENDOR #3		VENDOR #4	
Planholders: (12) Vendors			CC: 28000, 28500, 55000, 83200		General Traffic Equipment Corp.		Traffic Parts Inc.		Traffic Control Corp.	
Issued: 03/30/2023			Due: 04/13/2023		Brown Deer, WI		Newburgh, NY		Conroe, TX	
QTY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	PRICE	UNIT	PRICE	UNIT	PRICE	UNIT	PRICE
100	ea	SIGNAL, TRAFFIC, 3-SECTION, VERTICAL ORIENTATION, BLACK HOUSINGS, BLACK DOORS, BLACK TUNNEL VISORS, WITH R-Y-G CLEAR LENS LED (NO TINTED LENSES), EAGLE SA103A1211BBB	\$ 369.31	\$ 36,931.00	\$ 310.00	\$ 31,000.00	\$ 315.00	\$ 31,500.00		\$ -
50	ea	SIGNAL, TRAFFIC, 4-SECTION, VERTICAL ORIENTATION, BLACK HOUSINGS, BLACK DOORS, BLACK TUNNEL VISORS, WITH <R-<Y-<G CLEAR LENS LED, EAGLE SA104A1211BBB OR EQUAL	\$ 501.52	\$ 25,076.00	\$ 410.00	\$ 20,500.00	\$ 443.00	\$ 22,150.00		\$ -
500	ea	BRACKET, TRAFFIC SIGNAL MOUNTING, POLYCARBONATE, BLACK, EAGLE PDM 442 OR EQUAL	\$ 20.95	\$ 10,475.00	\$ 31.00	\$ 15,500.00	\$ 23.00	\$ 11,500.00		\$ -
250	ea	CLOSURE CAP, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, 1-1/2 INCHES x 7/8 INCHES, THREADED, BLACK, WITH GASKET	\$ 1.89	\$ 472.50	\$ 2.00	\$ 500.00	\$ 4.56	\$ 1,140.00		\$ -
200	ea	BACKPLATE, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, BLACK, ABS PLASTIC, TO FIT 3-SECTION 12-INCH EAGLE SIGNAL HOUSINGS	\$ 37.95	\$ 7,590.00	\$ 75.00	\$ 15,000.00	\$ 55.00	\$ 11,000.00		\$ -
175	ea	BACKPLATE, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, BLACK, ABS PLASTIC, TO FIT 4-SECTION 12-INCH EAGLE SIGNAL HOUSINGS	\$ 51.95	\$ 9,091.25	\$ 90.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 75.00	\$ 13,125.00		\$ -
60	ea	BRACKET KIT, TRAFFIC SIGNAL MOUNTING, 3-SECTION, POWDER COAT GLOSS BLACK, 120-INCH STAINLESS STEEL CABLE, ALL AXIS ADJUSTABLE, HINGED SINGLE BOLT TUBE CLAMP, WITH TY-BACK ACCESSORY, PELCO GALAXY SERIES AG-0125-3-120-SS-P33 KIT WITH AB-0506-ZN2 TY-BACK	\$ 221.45	\$ 13,287.00	\$ 146.00	\$ 8,760.00		\$ -		\$ -
35	ea	BRACKET KIT, TRAFFIC SIGNAL MOUNTING, 4-SECTION, POWDER COAT GLOSS BLACK, 120-INCH STAINLESS STEEL CABLE, ALL AXIS ADJUSTABLE, HINGED SINGLE BOLT TUBE CLAMP, WITH TY-BACK ACCESSORY, PELCO GALAXY SERIES AG-0125-4-120-SS-P33 KIT WITH AB-0506-ZN2 TY-BACK	\$ 242.85	\$ 8,499.75	\$ 152.00	\$ 5,320.00		\$ -		\$ -
500	ea	LOCK NIPPLE, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, 1-1/2 INCHES x 1-1/2 INCHES LONG, THREADED, ALUMINUM, UNPAINTED	\$ 10.95	\$ 5,475.00	\$ 3.85	\$ 1,925.00	\$ 4.50	\$ 2,250.00		\$ -
53	ea	SIGNAL, TRAFFIC, PEDESTRIAN, 1-SECTION, COUNTDOWN LED TYPE, 16IN x 18IN, BLACK POLYCARBONATE HOUSING, BLACK POLYCARBONATE DOOR, BLACK POLY HOOD VISOR, FULL HAND/FULL PERSON INDICATIONS, MIL-STD-810F MOISTURE RESISTANCE, MIL-STD-883 VIBRATION RESISTANCE, 80-135 VAC, ITE PTCSI PART 2-2004 COMPLIANT, GE GT1 DIALIGHT 430-6479-001X, OR EQUAL	\$ 276.55	\$ 14,657.15	\$ 228.00	\$ 12,084.00	\$ 318.90	\$ 16,901.70		\$ -
58	ea	PEDESTRIAN PUSHBUTTON, ROUND, UNIVERSAL DIRECTIONAL ARROW ON BUTTON FACE, YELLOW HOUSING, WITH YELLOW MOUNTING BASE FOR ROUND POLES, TWO-TONE AUDIBLE CONFIRMATION, POLARA BULLDOG BDSP-011-Y AND APBC-Y OR EQUAL	\$ 123.45	\$ 7,160.10		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
18	ea	POLE, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, 4.5 INCH O.D. x 13 FEET LONG, THREADED ONE END, ALUMINUM, PAINTED SEMI-GLOSS BLACK	\$ 342.65	\$ 6,167.70		\$ -	\$ 447.00	\$ 8,046.00		\$ -
14	ea	POLE, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, 4.5 INCH O.D. x 15 FEET LONG, THREADED ONE END, ALUMINUM, PAINTED SEMI-GLOSS BLACK	\$ 498.75	\$ 6,982.50		\$ -	\$ 508.00	\$ 7,112.00		\$ -
81	ea	POLE BASE, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, SQUARE, BOLT-DOWN, ALUMINUM, PAINTED BLACK, THREADED TO ACCEPT 4.5 INCH O.D. SIGNAL POLE, ALUMINUM DOOR, SLOTTED HEX HEAD DOOR BOLT, WITH GROUNDING TERMINAL POLE CAP, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ALUMINUM, PAINTED SEMI-GLOSS BLACK, 3 OR MORE BRASS OR STAINLESS-STEEL SET SCREWS, TO FIT 4.5 INCH O.D. POLE	\$ 211.35	\$ 17,119.35		\$ -	\$ 289.00	\$ 23,409.00		\$ -
69	ea	POLE CAP, TRAFFIC SIGNAL, ALUMINUM, PAINTED SEMI-GLOSS BLACK, 3 OR MORE BRASS OR STAINLESS-STEEL SET SCREWS, TO FIT 4.5 INCH O.D. POLE	\$ 29.25	\$ 2,018.25		\$ -	\$ 23.50	\$ 1,621.50		\$ -
5	ea	CABINET, TRAFFIC CONTROLLER, ACTUATED, TS-2, 16-PHASE, AS PER WISDOT TS-2 CONTROL CABINET WITH ETHERNET SPECIFICATIONS	\$ 16,467.00	\$ 82,335.00		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
4	ea	WIRE, TRAFFIC SIGNAL CABLE, 12 CONDUCTOR, #14 AWG CU CONDUCTORS, COLOR-CODED CONDUCTORS, TO MEET IMSA 20-1 SPECIFICATIONS, 2500 FT SPOOL ONLY, NO EXCEPTIONS		\$ -		\$ -	\$ 6,423.75	\$ 25,695.00		\$ -
4	ea	WIRE, TRAFFIC SIGNAL CABLE, 5 CONDUCTOR, #14 AWG CU STRANDED CONDUCTORS, COLOR-CODED CONDUCTORS, TO MEET IMSA 20-1 SPECIFICATIONS, 2500 FT SPOOL ONLY		\$ -		\$ -	\$ 2,733.75	\$ 10,935.00		\$ -

Dept: DPW			VENDOR #1		VENDOR #2		VENDOR #3		VENDOR #4	
Planholders: (12) Vendors			CC: 28000, 28500, 55000, 83200		General Traffic Equipment Corp.		Traffic Parts Inc.		Traffic Control Corp.	
Issued: 03/30/2023			Due: 04/13/2023		Brown Deer, WI		Newburgh, NY		Conroe, TX	
Oak Park Heights, MN										
QTY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	PRICE	UNIT	PRICE	UNIT	PRICE	UNIT	PRICE
4	ea	WIRE, TRAFFIC SIGNAL CABLE, 7 CONDUCTOR, #14 AWG CU STRANDED CONDUCTORS, COLOR-CODED CONDUCTORS, TO MEET IMSA 20-1 SPECIFICATIONS, 2500 FT SPOOL ONLY		\$ -		\$ -	\$ 3,701.25	\$ 14,805.00		\$ -
5	ea	TRAFFIC SIGNAL PRE-EMPTION SYSTEM, GTT OPTICOM GPS SYSTEM FOR TS2 TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONTROL CABINET, TO INCLUDE MODEL 760 CARD RACK, MODEL 764 DISCRIMINATOR MODULE, MODEL 3100 GPS RECEIVER, 250-FT CABLE, AND ALL OTHER CONTROL COMPONENTS NECESSARY FOR A COMPLETE AND OPERABLE SYSTEM	\$ 12,037.00	\$ 60,185.00		\$ -		\$ -	\$ 7,509.00	\$ 37,545.00
50	ea	FUSE HOLDER, INLINE, BREAKAWAY, SCREW TERMINAL, #12-#3 WIRE RANGE, WITH INSULATING BOOTS FOR EACH END. BUSSMAN HEB-JW-RLC-J, MERSEN FEB-81-81-BA, IDEAL SLK30-1212		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
50	ea	FUSE, 10 AMP, TYPE KTK		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
5	ea	PHOTO CONTROL, STREETLIGHT, NEMA PLUG-IN TYPE, 120-VOLT, 1000-WATT, LIGHTNING ARRESTOR, INTERMATIC LC4521C OR EQUAL	\$ 18.73	\$ 93.65		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
6	ea	VEHICLE DETECTOR SYSTEM, VIDEO, 4-DIRECTION, 1000-FT EACH OF THE MANUFACTURER RECOMMENDED POWER & SIGNAL CABLES, FOUR COLOR CAMERAS, ALL COMPONENTS NECESSARY FOR A COMPLETE AND FUNCTIONAL SYSTEM IN A TS2 TRAFFIC CONTROL CABINET. ITERIS VANTAGE NEXT DETECTION SYSTEM	\$ 26,436.00	\$ 158,616.00		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
6	ea	STREETLIGHT POLE, 30-FOOT, BLACK SEMI-GLOSS FINISH, ROUND TAPERED GALVANIZED 7-GAUGE STEEL OR FIBERGLASS COMPOSITE CONSTRUCTION, 10-FT STREETLIGHT ARM, RECEPTACLE MOUNTING PLATE AT 15-FT ABOVE BASE ON SAME SIDE AS HANDHOLE, SEE DETAIL FOR SINGLE STREETLIGHT ARM WITH FESTOON OUTLET AND SINGLE BANNER POLE, ELONGATED BASE MOUNTING HOLES TO FIT 1.25 INCH ANCHOR BOLTS, INCLUDE F1554 GRADE 55 STRAIGHT ANCHOR BOLTS, AND ALL HARDWARE EXCEPT ANCHOR BOLT COVERS	\$ 6,535.25	\$ 39,211.50		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
1	ea	POLE, SIGNAL, 20-FT, GALVANIZED WITH BLACK SEMI-GLOSS FINISH, ROUND TAPERED STEEL, MIN 7-GAUGE WALL THICKNESS, 25-FT MONOTUBE TRAFFIC SIGNAL ARM WITH A 3-FT RISE MOUNTED AT 18-FT ABOVE BASE (SEE DETAIL), REMOVABLE METAL TOP CAP, AASHTO 2013 COMPLIANCE, 16-INCH BOLT CIRCLE, ELONGATED BASE MOUNTING HOLES TO FIT 1.5 INCH ANCHOR BOLTS, INCLUDE F1554 GRADE 55 STRAIGHT ANCHOR BOLTS, AND ALL HARDWARE EXCEPT ANCHOR BOLT COVERS	\$ 9,369.65	\$ 9,369.65		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
2	ea	POLE, SIGNAL, 20-FT, GALVANIZED WITH BLACK SEMI-GLOSS FINISH, ROUND TAPERED STEEL, MIN 7-GAUGE WALL THICKNESS, 35-FT MONOTUBE TRAFFIC SIGNAL ARM WITH A 3-FT RISE MOUNTED AT 18-FT ABOVE BASE (SEE DETAIL), REMOVABLE METAL TOP CAP, AASHTO 2013 COMPLIANCE, 16-INCH BOLT CIRCLE, INCLUDE 1.5 INCH DIAMETER F1554 GRADE 55 STRAIGHT ANCHOR BOLTS, AND ALL HARDWARE EXCEPT ANCHOR BOLT COVERS	\$ 13,117.51	\$ 26,235.02		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
2	ea	POLE, SIGNAL, 30-FT, GALVANIZED WITH SEMI-GLOSS BLACK FINISH, ROUND TAPERED STEEL, MIN 7-GAUGE WALL THICKNESS, 25-FT MONOTUBE TRAFFIC SIGNAL ARM WITH A 3-FT RISE MOUNTED AT 18-FT ABOVE BASE (SEE DETAIL), REMOVABLE METAL TOP CAP, 10-FT LUMINAIRE/CAMERA ARM WITH A 2-FT RISE MOUNTED TO FLANGE NEAR TOP OF POLE, AASHTO 2013 COMPLIANCE, 16-INCH BOLT CIRCLE, ELONGATED BASE MOUNTING HOLES TO FIT 1.5 INCH ANCHOR BOLTS, INCLUDE F1554 GRADE 55 STRAIGHT ANCHOR BOLTS, AND ALL HARDWARE EXCEPT ANCHOR BOLT COVERS	\$ 11,587.10	\$ 23,174.20		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
2	ea	POLE, SIGNAL, 30-FT, GALVANIZED WITH SEMI-GLOSS BLACK FINISH, ROUND TAPERED STEEL, MIN 7-GAUGE WALL THICKNESS, 35-FT MONOTUBE TRAFFIC SIGNAL ARM WITH A 3-FT RISE MOUNTED AT 18-FT ABOVE BASE (SEE DETAIL), REMOVABLE METAL TOP CAP, 10-FT LUMINAIRE/CAMERA ARM WITH A 2-FT RISE MOUNTED TO FLANGE NEAR TOP OF POLE, AASHTO 2013 COMPLIANCE, 16-IN BOLT CIRCLE, ELONGATED BASE MOUNTING HOLES TO FIT 1.5 INCH ANCHOR BOLTS, INCLUDE F1554 GRADE 55 STRAIGHT ANCHOR BOLTS, AND ALL HARDWARE EXCEPT ANCHOR BOLT COVERS	\$ 16,221.88	\$ 32,443.76		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -

Dept: DPW			VENDOR #1		VENDOR #2		VENDOR #3		VENDOR #4	
Planholders: (12) Vendors			CC: 28000, 28500, 55000, 83200		General Traffic Equipment Corp.		Traffic Parts Inc.		Traffic Control Corp.	
Issued: 03/30/2023			Due: 04/13/2023		Brown Deer, WI		Newburgh, NY		Conroe, TX	
Oak Park Heights, MN										
QTY	UNIT	DESCRIPTION	UNIT	PRICE	UNIT	PRICE	UNIT	PRICE	UNIT	PRICE
1	ea	POLE, SIGNAL, 20-FT, GALVANIZED WITH SEMI-GLOSS BLACK FINISH, ROUND TAPERED STEEL, MIN 7-GAUGE WALL THICKNESS, 40-FT MONOTUBE TRAFFIC SIGNAL ARM WITH A 3-FT RISE MOUNTED AT 18-FT ABOVE BASE (SEE DETAIL), REMOVABLE METAL TOP CAP, AASHTO 2013 COMPLIANCE, 20-IN BOLT CIRCLE, INCLUDE 1.75 INCH DIAMETER F1554 GRADE 55 STRAIGHT ANCHOR BOLTS, AND ALL HARDWARE EXCEPT ANCHOR BOLT COVERS	\$ 14,991.44	\$ 14,991.44		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
2	ea	POLE, SIGNAL, 30-FT, GALVANIZED WITH SEMI-GLOSS BLACK FINISH, ROUND TAPERED STEEL, MIN 7-GAUGE WALL THICKNESS, 40-FT MONOTUBE TRAFFIC SIGNAL ARM WITH A 3-FT RISE MOUNTED AT 18-FT ABOVE BASE (SEE DETAIL), REMOVABLE METAL TOP CAP, 10-FT LUMINAIRE/CAMERA ARM WITH A 2-FT RISE MOUNTED TO BUTT-PLATE NEAR TOP OF POLE, AASHTO 2013 COMPLIANCE, 20-IN BOLT CIRCLE, ELONGATED BASE MOUNTING HOLES TO FIT 1.75 INCH ANCHOR BOLTS, INCLUDE F1554 GRADE 55 STRAIGHT ANCHOR BOLTS, AND ALL HARDWARE EXCEPT ANCHOR BOLT COVERS	\$ 18,539.77	\$ 37,079.54		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
2	ea	POLE, SIGNAL, 30-FT, GALVANIZED WITH SEMI-GLOSS BLACK FINISH, ROUND TAPERED STEEL, MIN 7-GAUGE WALL THICKNESS, 45-FT MONOTUBE TRAFFIC SIGNAL ARM WITH A 3-FT RISE MOUNTED AT 18-FT ABOVE BASE (SEE DETAIL), REMOVABLE METAL TOP CAP, 10-FT LUMINAIRE/CAMERA ARM WITH A 2-FT RISE MOUNTED TO BUTT-PLATE NEAR TOP OF POLE, AASHTO 2013 COMPLIANCE, 20-IN BOLT CIRCLE, ELONGATED BASE MOUNTING HOLES TO FIT 1.75 INCH ANCHOR BOLTS, INCLUDE F1554 GRADE 55 STRAIGHT ANCHOR BOLTS, AND ALL HARDWARE EXCEPT ANCHOR BOLT COVERS	\$ 20,856.75	\$ 41,713.50		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
2	ea	POLE, SIGNAL, 30-FT, GALVANIZED WITH SEMI-GLOSS BLACK FINISH, ROUND TAPERED STEEL, MIN 7-GAUGE WALL THICKNESS, 30-FT MONOTUBE TRAFFIC SIGNAL ARM WITH A 3-FT RISE MOUNTED AT 18-FT ABOVE BASE (SEE ATTACHED DETAIL), REMOVABLE METAL TOP CAP, 10-FT LUMINAIRE/CAMERA ARM WITH A 2-FT RISE MOUNTED TO BUTT-PLATE NEAR TOP OF POLE, AASHTO 2013 COMPLIANCE, 16.5-INCH BOLT CIRCLE, ELONGATED BASE MOUNTING HOLES TO FIT 1.5 INCH ANCHOR BOLTS, INCLUDE F1554 GRADE 55 STRAIGHT ANCHOR BOLTS, AND ALL HARDWARE EXCEPT ANCHOR BOLT COVERS	\$ 14,904.50	\$ 29,809.00		\$ -		\$ -		\$ -
TOTAL BID SUBMITTED:				\$ 726,259.81		\$ 126,339.00		\$ 201,190.20		\$ 37,545.00
AWARD SUBTOTAL				\$ 664,056.56				\$ 53,056.50		\$ 37,545.00
PROJECT TOTAL:				\$ 754,658.06						
LEAD TIME:			6-28 weeks		30 days		90-120 days		6-8 weeks	
PAYMENT TERMS: NET 30			-		-		-		-	
EARLY PAYMENT DISCOUNT:			-		-		-		-	
COMPANY ACCEPTS P-CARD PAYMENTS:			-		-		-		-	
BID COMPLIANT:			Yes		Yes		Yes		Yes	

Recommendation: Award to the lowest responsive, responsible vendor(s) TAPCO in the amount of \$664,056.56, Traffic Parts Inc. in the amount of \$53,056.50 and Traffic Control Corporation in the amount of \$37,545.00



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.8

To approve the request by Department of Public Works to create Residential Green Stormwater Infrastructure Revolving Loan Fund Program.

BACKGROUND

Municipalities across the U.S. are increasingly integrating GSI into their stormwater management programs to improve water quality and achieve regulatory compliance. In addition to proven water quality benefits, GSI can provide many important co-benefits to communities, including beautifying neighborhoods, reducing localized flooding, providing shade, promoting groundwater recharge, and/or reducing costs associated with traditional “gray” infrastructure stormwater management solutions, among others.

Over the last decade, most municipalities have focused GSI investments on projects within the public right-of-way (ROW) and/or on other publicly owned property. However, as stormwater programs continue to evolve, more and more utilities are looking to increase the adoption of GSI on private lands, which typically constitute a relatively large portion of impervious area in urban and semi-urban settings. Implementing GSI on private property, along with implementing projects in the public ROW, can be a cost-effective way to meet water quality goals. In addition, engaging private property owners in GSI implementation can provide important educational benefits for the City’s stormwater program.

RECOMMENDATION

To approve the implementation of the revolving loan fund in accordance with the *GSIRLF Procedures Manual*.

FISCAL IMPACT

Stormwater Utility funds will be used for eligible GSI projects on residential properties located within the City of Green Bay municipal boundaries. The initial capitalization of this revolving loan fund is set at \$750,000. As loans are repaid, funds become available for new loans with the goal of operating this loan program in perpetuity.

ATTACHMENTS

- I. GSIRLF_packet

PROCEDURES MANUAL

RESIDENTIAL GREEN STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE REVOLVING LOAN FUND PROGRAM



CITY OF GREEN BAY

**May 2023
Green Bay, Wisconsin
Revision (-)**

REVISIONS

Rev (-) Initial Issue..... May 2023

1 - GENERAL PROVISIONS

Overview and Rational for Residential Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) Revolving Loan Fund

Municipalities across the U.S. are increasingly integrating GSI into their stormwater management programs to improve water quality and achieve related regulatory obligations. In addition to proven water quality benefits, GSI can provide many important co-benefits to communities, including beautifying neighborhoods, reducing localized flooding, providing shade, promoting groundwater recharge, and/or reducing costs associated with traditional “gray” infrastructure stormwater management solutions, among others.

Over the last decade, most municipalities have focused GSI investments on projects within the public right-of-way (ROW) and/or on other publicly owned property. However, as stormwater programs continue to evolve, more and more utilities are looking to increase the adoption of GSI on private lands, which typically constitute a relatively large portion of impervious area in urban and semi-urban settings. Implementing GSI on private property can be an easier and more cost-effective way to meet water quality goals compared to implementing projects in the public ROW. In addition, engaging private property owners in GSI implementation can provide important educational benefits for local stormwater programs.

Introduction

City of Green Bay Stormwater Utility funds will be used for eligible GSI projects on residential properties located within the City of Green Bay municipal boundaries. The initial capitalization of this revolving loan fund was set at \$750,000.

The Green Stormwater Infrastructure Revolving Loan (GSIRLF) fund is hereby established to provide low-interest loans to owners of residential properties. The GSIRLF is a true revolving loan fund—as loans are repaid, funds become available for new loans with the goal of operating this loan program in perpetuity. The City of Green Bay Public Works Department. (DPW) will administer the GSIRLF in accordance with this Procedures Manual.

Program Purpose

The purpose is to provide a source of capital for GSI implementation and operations on residential property across the City of Green Bay. RLFs can be leveraged to advance GSI practices in projects by incorporating criteria for water-quality improvements and surface runoff reductions. Applying GSI strategies that incorporate environmental benefits as condition of project eligibility increase the public benefit and provide resilient infrastructure to the city.

In establishing the GSIRLF, the City of Green Bay seeks to address two important problems in the City; 1) reduce localized flooding, and 2) reduce pollutants in

stormwater runoff.

Funding Amount

The loan amount under this revolving loan fund is \$10,000.

2 - ELIGIBILITY CONSIDERATIONS

Area

The GSIRLF program shall be used solely for residential properties within the corporate limits of the City of Green Bay.

Property

Only residential properties are eligible for the GSIRLF. On a case-by-case basis, multifamily properties shall be considered. DPW will provide guidance to property owners for obtaining a determination of eligibility. The property owner must be the loan applicant.

Eligible Work

Eligible work categories are as follows.

- 1) Driveways with approved permeable surfacing.
- 2) Sidewalks with approved permeable surfacing.
- 3) Raingardens designed and installed by a professional landscaping business.
- 4) Stormwater Planters

All work to be done on either homes or income-producing properties must obtain the appropriate City-issued permit and must comply with City of Green Bay municipal codes. All work is subject to review and approval by DPW staff before loan funds are disbursed.

Applicants

The applicant must own, in fee simple title, the property that is to be rehabilitated, or the applicant must be purchasing the property under a valid sales contract. Evidence of ownership of the property to be provided by a copy of the warranty deed, the sales contract, and any security instruments outstanding. Applicants must be current with their property taxes and in good standing with the City of Green Bay. Applicants shall not be disqualified based on age, race, religion, color, handicap, sex, sexual orientation, or national origin. Property owners that have received previous loans from the City of Green Bay may apply for new financing if their earlier loan was repaid, and the account was in good standing throughout the loan period.

Priorities

Highest priority will be given to projects which demonstrate infiltration of stormwater, reduce stormwater quantity into the City's storm sewer system, and/or improve water quality of stormwater runoff before entering the City's storm sewer system. The Stormwater Utility has final discretion in determining the highest priority projects when awarding GSIRLF loans.

3 - TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Terms

The maximum term of repayment shall be 10 years, payable in equal consecutive monthly installments. Each GSIRLF loan will bear a 2% interest rate.

Funding Amount

The loan amount under this revolving loan fund is \$10,000. Loans greater than \$10,000 shall be considered on a case-by-case basis.

Conditions

Within DPW, Stormwater Utility staff shall review and approve the design and quality of the proposed work. A complete GSIRLF application (see checklist) that details the proposed green stormwater infrastructure, complete with drawings and photographs relevant to the work, must be provided to DPW. GSIRLF funds must be used to pay for the cost of materials and services necessary to carry out the work identified in the application submitted to DPW. GSIRLF money shall not be used to pay for expenses for work completed prior to loan approval. GSIRLF money shall not be used to reimburse the owner for materials purchased prior to loan approval. Borrowers shall not be paid for their own labor (no "sweat equity").

For work that requires a City of Green Bay permit, a permit must be obtained prior to disbursement of any GSIRLF funds. The borrower shall permit inspection of the property by City of Green Bay employees for compliance with all City codes and ordinances pertaining to property maintenance and safety standards. The borrower shall permit inspection of the property by DPW and/or its employees, agents, and representatives.

The borrower shall keep records of payments, invoices, and receipts in connection with the GSI work. Copies of payments to contractors and/or suppliers shall be submitted to DPW at the time of project completion.

The GSI work must be completed in six months from the time the loan application is initially approved. A written extension request should be submitted to DPW. Extensions shall not exceed six additional months.

Subordination

The City of Green Bay will not subordinate, unless authorized by the I&S Committee and approved by Common Council.

Collateral

The loan will be evidenced by a Mortgage. The Mortgage is not transferable by the borrower. If the borrower sells or otherwise disposes of title to subject property, the full amount of the unpaid balance shall be due and payable upon closing of the sale. The loan will be secured by the real property for which the proceeds will be used. The borrower and any other owner of the real property shall execute and deliver, in proper form for recording, a security deed in form and content satisfactory to DPW. The owner(s) shall pay the cost of recording the security deed and all intangible taxes. Personal and/or corporate guarantees are required on all loans.

4 - APPLICATION PROCEDURE

Preliminary Meeting

Prior to submission of an application, the potential applicant shall meet with Stormwater Utility staff from Public Works to discuss the proposed project. The purpose of this meeting is to determine whether the proposed project will be eligible for the GSIRLF and to ensure that the proposed work will be in accordance with program guidance. City staff will provide advice with the goal of guiding the property owner towards a final proposal that will satisfy the requirements of the GSIRLF program.

Application Format

Applicants shall submit a loan application consisting of the following supporting documents (see Application Checklist) :

- A complete GSIRLF Application Form.
- A complete W9 form.
- Credit Check Authorization Form
- Most recent monthly mortgage statement.
- Evidence of property ownership or a sales contract.
- Site Plan of the lot (Please indicate direction of north, dimensions, structures, etc.)
- Sketches, drawings, &/or engineering designs of the proposed GSI project(s).
- Photos of the existing lot conditions where the proposed GSI will be located.
- Specifications (materials) for the project.
- Phased development plan for the project (if proposed in phases)
- Cost estimates for the proposed work.
- Other (please explain):

For loan applications involving properties within a historic district, a completed application for a [Certificate of Appropriateness](#) (COA) must be attached. More information about a COA is available through [Community & Economic Development](#)

Application Submittal

The completed application form and supporting documents must be emailed in PDF format to GreenBayPublicWorks@greenbaywi.gov; type **GSI Revolving Loan Application** in the subject line.

Acceptance of applications shall be subject to the availability of GSIRLF dollars.

Staff Review

Stormwater Utility staff members shall review the application for completeness and verify that the proposed project meets the standards and eligibility requirements set forth in this procedure manual. If the application is incomplete, staff will contact the applicant and list the deficiencies. The review process will not continue until the application passes the preliminary review. Staff will analyze the complete application and prepare a recommendation for the Improvement & Services (I & S) Committee.

I & S Review

The I & S Committee will formally review the application at committee meetings. The applicant may be asked to attend if more information is necessary.

Notice of Award / Denial

If the application is approved, the applicant will be sent a notice of award letter. The notice of award will have a schedule of when loan documents should be complete and a suggested loan closure date. If the application is not approved, the applicant will be sent a letter of denial stating the reason for denial. Denial could be based on but is not limited to the following: Nonconformance with standards and specifications set forth in Secs.16-76--16-86, Sec. 24-84, applicable Wisconsin DNR Technical Standards, equity, loan balance, credit, etc.

Appeal of Denial

An appeal to dispute the denial of an application can be heard at an I & S meeting. A written request of this appeal must be submitted to: City Hall, 100 N Jefferson St., Room 300, Green Bay, WI 54301, Attn: DPW Utility Manager.

5 - DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS & REPAYMENT

Loan Agreement

Prior to the city's distribution of GSIRLF funds, all appropriate documents such as a mortgage note and borrower certification, repayment schedule, security instruments, personal guarantee, and any others deemed appropriate shall be prepared and executed.

Disbursement of Loan Funds

Funds will be disbursed once the Common Council approval is received, all applicable City Permits are issued, the project(s) is completed, and material receipts &/or contractor invoices have been submitted to DPW. Inspections by applicable City Staff, will be done as needed throughout the project, and at the completion of the project. Staff reserves the right to stop work on any projects that are not in keeping with the Common Council's approval or violate any permits issued.

Repayment

The maximum term of repayment shall be 120 months, payable in equal consecutive monthly installments. These monthly payments must be paid on or before the first (1st) day of each month until the full amount of the loan is paid. Payments will begin on the first (1st) day of the month following the date of the note. Should the borrower fail to make the monthly payments on or before the tenth (10th) day of each month, the note shall be in default. A late fee of \$50 will be charged per day until the monthly payment is made. The City of Green Bay may also declare the unpaid principal balance immediately due and payable and will have such other rights and remedies as may be available according to the laws of the State of Wisconsin. There is no penalty for prepayment of the loan. Any NSF checks will incur a \$30 fee and the payment will be considered unpaid. After the second occurrence of a NSF check, the borrower will not be allowed to submit payment via personal check.

6 – INTERNAL PROCEDURES

At the time an applicant applies for a loan, a file folder will be created and maintained in a central records area. All documents and necessary paperwork concerning the applicant will be filed in this folder. Additional specific duties of staff are as follows:

- Maintaining a control log of loan numbers.
- Marketing the program.
- Meet with applicants to discuss proposed project prior submission of an application.
- Complete and prepare all necessary documents prior to the actual loan closing.
- Upon finalization of a loan, audit file to ensure all appropriate paperwork is current and up to date.

- After finalization of a loan, create a statement of account which reflects the amount of loan closed, all payment requests (final amount of note), and all payments made by applicant on the account.
- Conduct an annual verification of continuing ownership of each property.
- Conduct an annual review of overall program performance.

7 – MISCELLANEOUS

In the event of a disagreement as to the exact meaning or intent of any work, term, phrase, interpretation, or sentence used in the context of these guidelines, the interpretation of the City of Green Bay and its staff shall be final. Any attempt to circumvent the provisions of the guidelines contained herein will automatically disqualify a loan applicant if a loan has not already been made. In such cases where a closing has already taken place, the City of Green Bay may call the entire outstanding balance of the loan due and payable.

At project completion, all owners will receive a "certificate of participation", recognizing the GSI project(s) investment on their property.

With the permission of the homeowner, a sign will be placed on the front lawn of the property during construction. This sign will promote GSI efforts in the City, specifically the GSIRLF.

Staff will regularly report to the members of the I & S Committee, as well as the public, the achievements of the program.

Applicants who are denied (or don't qualify) may reapply to the program one year from the date of denial.

File Number: _____
Date Received: _____
Payment Received: _____
(For Office Use Only)

GREEN STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE REVOLVING LOAN FUND

Public Works Department
100 N. Jefferson Street, Room 300
Green Bay, WI 54301
920-448-3100



APPLICATION CHECKLIST

Prior to submitting a complete application, applicants are encouraged to schedule a meeting with stormwater utility staff to discuss the proposed project.

- ☐ Residential Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) Revolving Loan Fund Application Form

Supporting Documents

- ☐ Most recent monthly mortgage statement.
- ☐ W9 form
- ☐ Credit Check Authorization Form
- ☐ Evidence of property ownership or a sales contract.
- ☐ Site Plan of the lot (Please indicate direction of north, dimensions, structures, etc.)
- ☐ Sketches, drawings, &/or engineering designs of the proposed GSI project(s).
- ☐ Photos of the existing lot conditions where the proposed GSI will be located.
- ☐ Specifications (materials) for the project.
- ☐ Phased development plan for the project (if proposed in phases)
- ☐ Cost estimates for all the proposed work.
- ☐ Other (please explain):
- ☐ For loan applications involving properties within a historic district, a completed application for a [Certificate of Appropriateness](#) (COA) must be attached. More information about a COA is available through [Community & Economic Development](#)

Submittal Instructions

Email a PDF of the completed *Application Form* and supporting documents to GreenBayPublicWorks@greenbaywi.gov; type **GSI Revolving Loan Application** in the subject line.

Applications will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Disbursement of revolving loan funds will be subject to the approval of any and all engineered design plans by the Public Works Department, compliance with City ordinances and orders, and final inspection and approval of any GSI projects. Loan fund shall be paid out on a **reimbursement** basis and will be subject to the approval and execution of a formal loan agreement.



**RESIDENTIAL GREEN STORMWATER INFRASTRUCTURE
REVOLVING LOAN FUND
APPLICATION FORM**

Applicant Name: _____

Please list names of all property owners as shown on deed or land contract:

Applicant Contact Home # _____

Applicant Contact Work # _____

Applicant Contact Cell # _____

Applicant Contact Email Address: _____

Property Address: _____

(Street Address)

(City)

(State)

(Zip)

Mailing Address (if different from Property address): _____

How many units are located at this address? _____

How long have you owned this property? _____

How long have you lived at this address? _____

Current Estimated Fair Market Value: \$ _____

This stated on your most recent property tax bill. If your property tax bill is sent to your mortgage holder, you may obtain this information by calling the City Assessor's Office at 448-3066.

What is your current monthly mortgage payment? _____

Please provide your most recent monthly mortgage statement.

Is your property tax payment escrowed with your mortgage payment? Yes ____ No ____

What is the total amount of your annual property tax payment? _____

What is your annual property insurance cost? _____

What is your average monthly utility cost? _____

Is there currently other debt against this property besides the mortgage, such as a lien, 2nd mortgage, home equity loan?

Yes ____ No ____

Please state below ALL the mortgages against the property and to whom it is owed.

Example: Conventional mortgage | 75,000 | XYZ Bank

Type of Loan	Amount Owed	Lender's Name
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

Have you ever received a loan from a city or county agency to improve your property?

Yes ____ No ____

Project Description Provide a brief description of the project. Describe how the proposed work will reduce stormwater runoff from your property.

Loan Request

Amount:

Purpose: How do you intend to use the loan? (i.e. permeable driveway, professional landscaped raingarden, materials, plants, labor, etc.) Please use a separate line for each.

\$ _____	_____
\$ _____	_____
\$ _____	_____
\$ _____	_____
\$ _____	_____
	= TOTAL LOAN REQUEST

I (we) hereby apply for a loan in the amount of \$10,000 for the purpose of improving the residential property located at the "Property Address" identified above.

I (we) certify that:

1. All information submitted in the loan application and supporting documents for this loan is accurate, true, and correct and the use of loan proceeds shall be as set forth in said loan application and support documents.
2. All required supporting documents are attached to this application (see GSIRLF Application Checklist).
4. I (we) understand that loan decisions shall be based on a recommendation of the loan committee and approval by the Improvement & Services Committee.
6. I (we) understand, that if my loan application is approved, a loan check shall be issued upon completion of the proposed work and all project invoices/receipts; updated Homeowners Insurance Policy, and copies of permits have been submitted to the Public Works Department.

(Signature of Applicant)

(Date)

(Signature of Applicant)

(Date)

Date received: _____ **Staff:** _____



Public Works Department
100 North Jefferson Street - Room 300
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301-5026
www.greenbaywi.gov

Administration | Engineering | Traffic 920.448.3100
Operations 920.448.3535
Parking 920.448.3431
Fax 920.448.3102

DATE

NAME
ADDRESS
CITY, STATE ZIP

Dear NAME:

Congratulations, you will be receiving a Green Stormwater Infrastructure Revolving Loan on DATE. The City of Green Bay should be listed as a mortgage holder on your homeowner's insurance policy. After you have notified your insurance company of this request, please forward a copy of the revised homeowner policy and copies of any required permits to our office at the address listed below.

Public Works Department, Attn: GSIRLF
100 North Jefferson Street, Room 300
Green Bay, WI 54301

Your loan check will be issued after these documents have been received. If you have any questions, please contact me at (920) 448-3040 or at the address shown above.

Sincerely,

Melissa M. Schmitz, CEM, LEED GA
Resiliency Coordinator

cc: Utility Manager

State Bar of Wisconsin Form 21-2003
MORTGAGE

Document Number

Document Name

("Mortgagor," whether one or more) mortgages to Stormwater Utility of the City of Green Bay

,
its successors or assigns ("Mortgagee," whether one or more), to secure payment of \$10,000 evidenced by a note or notes, or other obligation ("Obligation") dated _____, executed by, _____

to Mortgagee, and any extensions, renewals and modifications of the Obligation and refinancings of any such indebtedness on any terms whatsoever (including increases in interest) and the payment of all other sums, with interest, advanced to protect the Property and the security of this Mortgage, and all other amounts paid by Mortgagee hereunder, the following property, together with all rights and interests appurtenant thereto in law or equity, all rents, issue and profits arising therefrom, including insurance proceeds and condemnation awards, all structures, improvements and fixtures located thereon, in Brown County, State of Wisconsin ("Property"):

1. **MORTGAGOR'S COVENANTS.**

a. **COVENANT OF TITLE.** Mortgagor warrants title to the Property, except restrictions and easements of record, if any, and further excepting:

b. **FIXTURES.** Any property which has been affixed to the Property and is used in connection with it is intended to become a fixture. Mortgagor waives any right to remove such fixture from the Property which is subject to this Mortgage.

c. **TAXES.** Mortgagor promises to pay when due all taxes and assessments levied on the Property or upon Mortgagee's interest in it and to deliver to Mortgagee on demand receipts showing such payment.

d. **INSURANCE.** Mortgagor shall keep the improvements on the Property insured against loss or damage occasioned by fire, extended coverage perils and such other hazards as Mortgagee may require, without co-insurance, through insurers approved by Mortgagee, in the amount of the full replacement value of the improvements on the Property. Mortgagor shall pay the insurance premiums when due. The policies shall contain the standard mortgage clause in favor of Mortgagee, and evidence of all policies covering the Property shall be provided to Mortgagee. Mortgagor shall promptly give notice of loss to insurance companies and Mortgagee. Unless Mortgagor and Mortgagee

Recording Area

Name and Return Address

City of Green Bay
100 N Jefferson St, Room 300
Green Bay WI 54301

Parcel Identification Number (PIN)

This _____ homestead property.
(is) (is not)

This _____ a purchase money mortgage.
(is) (is not)

otherwise agree in writing, insurance proceeds shall be applied to restoration or repair of the Property damaged, provided Mortgagee deems the restoration or repair to be economically feasible.

e. **OTHER COVENANTS.** Mortgagor covenants not to commit waste nor suffer waste to be committed on the Property, to keep the Property in good condition and repair, to keep the Property free from future liens superior to the lien of this Mortgage and to comply with all laws, ordinances and regulations affecting the Property. Mortgagor shall pay when due all indebtedness which may be or become secured at any time by a mortgage or other lien on the Property superior to this Mortgage and any failure to do so shall constitute a default under this Mortgage.

2. **DEFAULT AND REMEDIES.** Mortgagor agrees that time is of the essence with respect to payment of principal and interest when due, and in the performance of the terms, conditions and covenants contained herein or in the Obligation secured hereby. In the event of default, Mortgagee may, at its option, declare the whole amount of the unpaid principal and accrued interest due and payable, and collect it in a suit at law or by foreclosure of this Mortgage or by the exercise of any other remedy available at law or equity. If this Mortgage is subordinate to a superior mortgage lien, a default under the superior mortgage lien constitutes a default under this Mortgage.

3. **NOTICE.** Unless otherwise provided in the Obligation secured by this Mortgage, prior to any acceleration (other than under paragraph 9, below) Mortgagee shall mail notice to Mortgagor specifying: (a) the default; (b) the action required to cure the default; (c) a date, not less than 15 days from the date the notice is mailed to Mortgagor by which date the default must be cured; and (d) that failure to cure the default on or before the date specified in the notice may result in acceleration.

4. **EXPENSES AND ATTORNEY FEES.** In case of default, whether abated or not, all costs and expenses, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorney fees, to the extent not prohibited by law shall be added to the principal, become due as incurred, and in the event of foreclosure be included in the judgment.

5. **FORECLOSURE WITHOUT DEFICIENCY.** Mortgagor agrees to the provisions of Sections 846.101 and 846.103, Wis. Stats., as may apply to the Property and as may be amended, permitting Mortgagee in the event of foreclosure to waive the right to judgment for deficiency and hold the foreclosure sale within the time provided in such applicable Section.

6. **RECEIVER.** Upon default or during the pendency of any action to foreclose this Mortgage, Mortgagor consents to the appointment of a receiver of the Property, including homestead interest, to collect the rents, issues and profits of the Property during the pendency of such an action, and such rents, issues and profits when so collected shall be held and applied as the court shall direct.

7. **WAIVER.** Mortgagee may waive any default without waiving any other subsequent or prior default by Mortgagor.

8. **MORTGAGEE MAY CURE DEFAULTS.** In the event of any default by Mortgagor of any kind under this Mortgage or any Obligation secured by this Mortgage, Mortgagee may cure the default and all sums paid by Mortgagee for such purpose shall immediately be repaid by Mortgagor with interest at the rate then in effect under the Obligation secured by this Mortgage and shall constitute a lien upon the Property.

9. **CONSENT REQUIRED FOR TRANSFER.** Mortgagor shall not transfer, sell or convey any legal or equitable interest in the Property (by deed, land contract, option, long-term lease or in any other way) without the prior written consent of Mortgagee, unless either the indebtedness secured by this Mortgage is first paid in full or the interest conveyed is a mortgage or other security interest in the Property, subordinate to the lien of this Mortgage. The entire indebtedness under the Obligation secured by this Mortgage shall become due and payable in full at the option of Mortgagee without notice, which notice is hereby waived, upon any transfer, sale or conveyance made in violation of this paragraph. A violation of the provisions of this paragraph will be considered a default under the terms of this Mortgage and the Obligation it secures.

10. **ASSIGNMENT OF RENTS.** Mortgagor hereby transfers and assigns absolutely to Mortgagee, as additional security, all rents, issues and profits which become or remain due (under any form of agreement for use or occupancy of the Property or any portion thereof), or which were previously collected and remain subject to Mortgagor's control following any default under this Mortgage or the Obligation secured hereby and delivery of notice of exercise of this assignment by Mortgagee to the tenant or other user(s) of the Property in accordance with the provisions of Section 708.11, Wis. Stats., as may be amended. This assignment shall be enforceable with or without appointment of a receiver and regardless of Mortgagee's lack of possession of the Property.

11. **ENVIRONMENTAL PROVISION.** Mortgagor represents, warrants and covenants to Mortgagee that (a) during the period of Mortgagor's ownership or use of the Property no substance has been, is or will be present, used, stored, deposited, treated, recycled or disposed of on, under, in or about the Property in a form, quantity or manner which if known to be present on, under, in or about the Property would require clean-up, removal or other remedial action ("Hazardous Substance") under any federal, state or local laws, regulations, ordinances, codes or rules ("Environmental Laws"); (b) Mortgagor has no knowledge, after due inquiry, of any prior use or existence of any Hazardous Substance on the Property by any prior owner of or person using the Property; (c) without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Mortgagor has no knowledge, after due inquiry, that the Property contains asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyl components ("PCBs") or underground storage tanks; (d) there are no conditions existing currently or likely to exist during the term of this Mortgage which would subject Mortgagor to any damages, penalties, injunctive relief or clean-up costs in any governmental or regulatory action or third-party claims relating to any Hazardous Substance; (e) Mortgagor is not subject to any court or administrative proceeding, judgment, decree, order or citation relating to any Hazardous Substance; and (f) Mortgagor in the past has been, at the present is and in the future will remain in compliance with all Environmental Laws. Mortgagor shall indemnify and hold harmless Mortgagee from all loss, cost (including reasonable attorney fees and legal expenses), liability and damage whatsoever directly or indirectly resulting from, arising out of or based upon (i) the presence, use, storage, deposit, treatment, recycling or disposal, at any time, of any Hazardous Substance on, under, in or about the Property, or the transportation of any Hazardous Substance to or from the Property, (ii) the violation or alleged violation of any Environmental Law, permit, judgment or license relating to the presence, use, storage, deposit, treatment, recycling or disposal of any Hazardous Substance on, under, in or about the Property, or the transportation of any Hazardous Substance to or from the Property, or (iii) the imposition of any governmental lien for the recovery of environmental clean-up costs expended under any Environmental Law. Mortgagor shall immediately notify Mortgagee in writing of any governmental or regulatory action or third-party claim instituted or threatened in connection with any Hazardous Substance on, in, under or about the Property.

12. **SECURITY INTEREST ON FIXTURES.** To further secure the payment and performance of the Obligation, Mortgagor hereby grants to Mortgagee a security interest in:

CHOOSE ONE OF THE FOLLOWING OPTIONS; IF NEITHER IS CHOSEN, OPTION A SHALL APPLY:

- ☐ A. All fixtures and personal property located on or related to the operations of the Property whether now owned or hereafter acquired.
- ☐ B. All property listed on the attached schedule.

This Mortgage shall constitute a security agreement within the meaning of the Uniform Commercial Code with respect to those parts of the Property indicated above. This Mortgage constitutes a fixture filing and financing statement as those terms are used in the Uniform Commercial Code. This Mortgage is to be filed and recorded in the real estate records of the county in which the Property is located, and the following information is included: (1) Mortgagor shall be deemed the "debtor"; (2) Mortgagee shall be deemed to be the "secured party" and shall have all of the rights of a secured party under the Uniform Commercial Code; (3) this Mortgage covers goods which are or are to become fixtures; (4) the name of the record owner of the land is the debtor; (5) the legal name and address of the debtor are _____;

(6) the state of organization and the organizational identification number of the debtor (if applicable) are _____;

(7) the address of the secured party is _____.

13. **SINGULAR; PLURAL.** As used herein, the singular shall include the plural and any gender shall include all genders.

14. **JOINT AND SEVERAL/LIMITATION ON PERSONAL LIABILITY.** The covenants of this Mortgage set forth herein shall be deemed joint and several among Mortgagors, if more than one. Unless a Mortgagor is obligated on the Obligation secured by this Mortgage, Mortgagor shall not be liable for any breach of covenants contained in this Mortgage.

15. **INVALIDITY.** In the event any provision or portion of this instrument is held to be invalid or unenforceable, this shall not impair or preclude the enforcement of the remainder of the instrument.

16. **MARITAL PROPERTY STATEMENT.** Any individual Mortgagor who is married represents that the obligation evidenced by this instrument was incurred in the interest of Mortgagor's marriage or family.

Dated _____.

_____(SEAL)_____	_____(SEAL)_____
* _____	* _____
_____(SEAL)_____	_____(SEAL)_____
* _____	* _____

AUTHENTICATION

Signature(s) _____

authenticated on _____.

* _____
TITLE: MEMBER STATE BAR OF WISCONSIN
(If not, _____
authorized by Wis. Stat. § 706.06)

THIS INSTRUMENT DRAFTED BY:
Melissa M. Schmitz
City of Green Bay

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) ss.
Brown _____ COUNTY)

Personally came before me on _____,
the above-named _____,
to me known to be the person(s) who executed the foregoing
instrument and acknowledged the same.

* _____
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
My Commission (is permanent) (expires: _____)

(Signatures may be authenticated or acknowledged. Both are not necessary.)

NOTE: THIS IS A STANDARD FORM. ANY MODIFICATIONS TO THIS FORM SHOULD BE CLEARLY IDENTIFIED.
MORTGAGE STATE BAR OF WISCONSIN FORM NO. 21-2003

* Type name below signatures.

PERSONAL GUARANTEE OF SPECIFIC TRANSACTION

1. **GUARANTEE.** For value received, and to induce the Stormwater Utility of the City of Green Bay (Lender) to extend credit to **NAME**, the undersigned, hereinafter "Debtor," guarantees payment or promises to pay or to cause to be paid to Lender, when due or to the extent not prohibited by law at the time Debtor becomes a subject of bankruptcy or other insolvency proceedings, the Note or Agreement payable to Lender dated **DATE** executed by the Debtor in the principal amount of **Ten Thousand** and 00/100 Dollars (**\$10,000.00**) including interest charges and fees provided for in the Promissory Note, Loan Agreement and any other agreement related to this loan and also including the amount of any payments to Lender or other on behalf of the Debtor which are recovered by Lender by a trustee, receiver, creditor, or other party pursuant to applicable state law (the obligations) and to the extent not prohibited by law, this guarantee or any collateral securing an obligation. Debtor shall be responsible for the full amount of the debt, although not more than the debt, plus accrued interest may be collected. To the extent not prohibited by law, this guarantee is valid and enforceable against the undersigned, even though any obligation is invalid and unenforceable against the Debtor.

2. **REPRESENTATIONS.** The undersigned acknowledges and agrees that Lender has not made any representations or warranties with respect to, does not assume any responsibility to the undersigned for, and had no duty to provide information to the undersigned regarding the collectability or enforceability of any of the obligations or the financial condition of any debtor. The undersigned has independently determined the collectability and enforceability of the obligations and, until the obligations are paid in full, will independently and without reliance on Lender, continue to make such determinations.

3. **PERSONS BOUND.** This guarantee benefits the Lender, its successors and assigns, and binds the undersigned, their respective heirs, personal representatives and assigns.

Dated at Green Bay, Wisconsin, this ## day of MONTH, YEAR.

By: _____

By: _____

Subscribed and sworn to before me
this DATE.

Notary Public, Brown County, Wisconsin
My commission expires ____/____/____.



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.9

To approve the request by Department of Public Works for the City of Green Bay's electronic Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (eCMAR) and resolution for submittal to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) by the Director of Public Works.

BACKGROUND

Each year the City is required to submit an annual report, known as the electronic Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (eCMAR), to Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources for the City's sanitary sewer collection system. A resolution is required for Council approval the report prior to submittal to WDNR by the Public Works Director. The City has received a favorable "A" rating for its management of City's sanitary sewer collection system based on the information provided by the City within the report.

RECOMMENDATION

To adopt the Resolution approving the WDNR Compliance Maintenance Annual Report, authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the resolution, and allow the Director of Public Works to forward these documents to WDNR. These actions are necessary to maintain compliance with the requirements of ch. NR 208, Wis. Adm. Code. The annual report submittal deadline is June 30, 2023.

FISCAL IMPACT

No additional impacts are associated with this annual report. The City will continue to operate, manage and maintain the sanitary sewer collection system within the Sanitary Sewer Utility budget.

ATTACHMENTS

1. 2022-eCMAR summary memo
2. DRAFT - 2022 eCMAR submittal
3. 2022 CMAR Resolution-06-06-2023 WDNR



Public Works Department
100 North Jefferson Street • Room 300
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301-5026
www.greenbaywi.gov

Administration | Engineering | Traffic 920.448.3100
Operations 920.448.3535
Parking 920.448.3431
Fax 920.448.3102

TO: Improvement & Services Committee
Steve Grenier – Director of Public Works

FROM: Valerie Joosten – Utility Manager

DATE: May 24, 2023

RE: Compliance Maintenance Annual Report Summary

CC: James Brunette – Assistant Director of Public Works

The Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (eCMAR) has been completed for submittal to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). The report documents the compliance status of the City's sanitary sewer collection system for 2022.

The City of Green Bay's eCMAR consists of two sections, Financial Management and the Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems.

The Financial Management section of the report addresses whether the City has sufficient revenue to cover operational and maintenance expenses and ensures that the City is planning for capital projects and expenses, related to the City's sanitary sewer collection system. The report collects data related to energy efficiency and usage of the collection pumping systems. In 2022, the City received an "A" rating for the Financial Management section.

The Sanitary Sewer Collection System section of the report addresses the various components of the City's CMOM (Capacity, Management, Operations & Maintenance) program and addresses the City's performance related to the maintenance, management and operation of the City's sanitary sewer collection system. The City receive an "A" rating in 2022 for the Sanitary Sewer Collection System section of the report.

As indicated in the report, the City has the necessary systems in place to effectively operate, manage and maintain the sanitary sewer collection system. The City will continue to review and make improvements to its CMOM and set goals to continue to improve the operation, management and maintenance of the system.

A summary of the City's performance since 2006 is provided in the following table.

City of Green Bay – eCMAR Rating and Data Summary

Year	Financial Rating	Sewer System Rating	Overall Rating	% System Cleaning	% System Televised	Total No. of Sewer Complaints	Back-ups from City Main	Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO)
2006	A	A	A	33.53 ¹	3.19	323	207	0
2007	A	A	A	30.6 ¹	3.2	332	249	0
2008	A	A	A	29.3 ¹	2.9	364	239	0
2009	A	C	C+	8.85	3.5	359	267	2
2010	A	A	A	11.37	2.4	317	238	0
2011	A	A	A	24.75	10.59	299	72	0
2012	A	A	A	19.5	9.7	281	57	0
2013	A	A	A	43	19.4	272	43	0
2014	A	A	A	31.2	34.9	321	25	0
2015	A	A	A	31.03	16.04	248	42	0
2016	A	A	A	38.06	16.44	178	13	0
2017	A	A	A	61.9	16.1	174	11	0
2018	A	A	A	71.6	25.9	214	6 ²	0
2019	A	A	A	58.1	25.0	182	7 ²	1
2020	A	A	A	55.4	19.6	192	6 ²	0
2021	A	A	A	40.94	21	160	12	0
2022	A	A	A	43.2	23.8	159	7	0

Notes: ¹ Double counting of footages

² Excludes complaints due to flooding, contractor damage, and water main breaks

Action Requested

The Public Works Department requests the Improvement & Service Committee and Common Council adopt the Resolution approving the WDNR Compliance Maintenance Annual Report, authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the resolution, and allow the Director of Public Works to forward these documents to WDNR. These actions are necessary to maintain compliance with the requirements of ch. NR 208, Wis. Adm. Code. The submittal deadline for the annual report is June 30, 2023.

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/19/2023 2022

Financial Management

1. Provider of Financial Information

Name:

Valerie Joosten

Telephone:

(920) 448-3112

(XXX) XXX-XXXX

E-Mail Address
(optional):

Valerie.Joosten@greenbaywi.gov

2. Treatment Works Operating Revenues

2.1 Are User Charges or other revenues sufficient to cover O&M expenses for your wastewater treatment plant AND/OR collection system ?

● Yes (0 points) ☐

○ No (40 points)

If No, please explain:

2.2 When was the User Charge System or other revenue source(s) last reviewed and/or revised?
Year:

2022

● 0-2 years ago (0 points) ☐

○ 3 or more years ago (20 points) ☐

○ N/A (private facility)

2.3 Did you have a special account (e.g., CWP required segregated Replacement Fund, etc.) or financial resources available for repairing or replacing equipment for your wastewater treatment plant and/or collection system?

● Yes (0 points)

○ No (40 points)

0

REPLACEMENT FUNDS [PUBLIC MUNICIPAL FACILITIES SHALL COMPLETE QUESTION 3]

3. Equipment Replacement Funds

3.1 When was the Equipment Replacement Fund last reviewed and/or revised?

Year:

2022

● 1-2 years ago (0 points) ☐

○ 3 or more years ago (20 points) ☐

○ N/A

If N/A, please explain:

3.2 Equipment Replacement Fund Activity

3.2.1 Ending Balance Reported on Last Year's CMAR

\$ 3,000,477.21

3.2.2 Adjustments - if necessary (e.g. earned interest, audit correction, withdrawal of excess funds, increase making up previous shortfall, etc.)

\$ 223,864.31

3.2.3 Adjusted January 1st Beginning Balance

\$ 2,776,612.90

3.2.4 Additions to Fund (e.g. portion of User Fee, earned interest, etc.)

+ \$ 466,405.00

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/19/2023 2022

3.2.5 Subtractions from Fund (e.g., equipment replacement, major repairs - use description box 3.2.6.1 below*)

- \$ 169.50

3.2.6 Ending Balance as of December 31st for CMAR Reporting Year

\$ 3,242,848.40

All Sources: This ending balance should include all Equipment Replacement Funds whether held in a bank account(s), certificate(s) of deposit, etc.

3.2.6.1 Indicate adjustments, equipment purchases, and/or major repairs from 3.2.5 above.

No major equipment replacements repairs in 2022.

3.3 What amount should be in your Replacement Fund? \$ 3,242,848.40

0

Please note: If you had a CWWP loan, this amount was originally based on the Financial Assistance Agreement (FAA) and should be regularly updated as needed. Further calculation instructions and an example can be found by clicking the SectionInstructions link under Info header in the left-side menu.

3.3.1 Is the December 31 Ending Balance in your Replacement Fund above, (#3.2.6) equal to, or greater than the amount that should be in it (#3.3)?

● Yes

○ No

If No, please explain.

4. Future Planning

4.1 During the next ten years, will you be involved in formal planning for upgrading, rehabilitating, or new construction of your treatment facility or collection system?

● Yes - If Yes, please provide major project information, if not already listed below. ☐ ☐

○ No

Project #	Project Description	Estimated Cost	Approximate Construction Year
1	Country Club Road Reconstruction	\$1,055,000	2023
2	Elmore Street Reconstruction - N Chestnut to Gray	\$1,215,000	2025
3	Finger Road - Superior Road to Challenger Drive Reconstruction	\$295,000	2023
4	Howard Street - S. Ashland Avenue to Oakland Avenue Reconstruction	\$90,000	2023
5	School Place - S. Ashland Avenue to west cul-de-sac Reconstruction	\$260,000	2023
6	13th - W Mason to Howard Reconstruction	\$545,000	2026
7	13th - W Mason to 9th	\$840,000	2027
8	4th street - Broadway to S Maple Reconstruction	\$190,000	2024
9	Arndt - Broadway to Pearl	\$75,000	2023
10	Arndt - Pearl to East Termini	\$70,000	2023
11	Berner - N Irwin to N Webster	\$610,000	2023
12	Bridge St - Broadway to East Termini	\$160,000	2023
13	Cass Street - Madison to Monroe Reconstruction	\$115,000	2024
14	annual Sewer Repairs - Citywide	\$1,000,000	2023
15	Congress St - Madison to Monroe	\$115,000	2027
16	Division - Norwood to Northern Reconstruction	\$515,000	2026
17	Emilie Street - S Webster to Roosevelt	\$340,000	2027
18	Grove Street I&I/Siphon replacement	\$2,000,000	2023
19	Hinkle Street - Frontage Road to Hutson	\$495,000	2026
20	Hinkle - NR Frontage Road to W Mason Reconstruction	\$260,000	2025

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/19/2023 **2022**

21	Infiltration and Inflow program	\$1,000,000	2023
22	Mather Street - Gray to Locust	\$1,300,000	2026
23	N Irwin - St Clair to N Termini	\$55,000	2023
24	Oakland - Howard to Seymour Ct	\$205,000	2023
25	Oxford - Dousman to Reed Reconstruction	\$175,000	2024
26	Pearl - Walnut to South Termini	\$350,000	2023
27	Reed - Oxford to Allard Reconstruction	\$105,000	2024
28	resurfacing Program	\$600,000	2023
29	Root Treatment	\$70,000	2023
30	S Maple - 9th to N Termini	\$280,000	2023
31	S Maple - Mather to Phoebe	\$215,000	2026
32	S Maple - Kellog to Mather	\$350,000	2025
33	S Roosevelt - Eliza to Grignon	\$300,000	2027
34	School Place - 13th to Oak	\$260,000	2023
35	Emergency Sewer Repairs - City wide	\$150,000	2023
36	Spring Street - Madison to Monroe Reconstruction	\$500,000	2024
37	Woodlawn - Shawano to Dousman	\$290,000	2024

5. Financial Management General Comments

None.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND USE

6. Collection System

6.1 Energy Usage

6.1.1 Enter the monthly energy usage from the different energy sources:

COLLECTION SYSTEM PUMPAGE: Total Power Consumed

Number of Municipally Owned Pump/Lift Stations:

	Electricity Consumed (kWh)	Natural Gas Consumed (therms)
January	6,471	
February	5,887	
March	6,475	
April	8,080	
May	6,124	
June	3,664	2
July	2,835	
August	5,701	
September	4,182	
October	4,542	
November	5,238	
December	6,818	
Total	66,017	2
Average	5,501	2

6.1.2 Comments:

None.

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/19/2023

2022

6.2 Energy Related Processes and Equipment

6.2.1 Indicate equipment and practices utilized at your pump/lift stations (Check all that apply):

- ☐ Comminution or Screening
- ☒ Extended Shaft Pumps
- ☐ Flow Metering and Recording
- ☐ Pneumatic Pumping
- ☒ SCADA System
- ☒ Self-Priming Pumps
- ☒ Submersible Pumps
- ☒ Variable Speed Drives
- ☐ Other:

6.2.2 Comments:

None.

6.3 Has an Energy Study been performed for your pump/lift stations?

☒ No

☐ Yes

Year:

By Whom:

Describe and Comment:

6.4 Future Energy Related Equipment

6.4.1 What energy efficient equipment or practices do you have planned for the future for your pump/lift stations?

The City attempts to locate and reduce I&I through it's inspection and televising program and annual sewer maintenance. Lift station pumps are regularly maintained and replaced as needed. Lift station conditions will be evaluated in the future and this could include an energy evaluation.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/19/2023 2022

Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems

1. Capacity, Management, Operation, and Maintenance (CMOM) Program

1.1 Do you have a CMOM program that is being implemented?

- Yes
- No

If No, explain:

1.2 Do you have a CMOM program that contains all the applicable components and items according to Wisc. Adm Code NR 210.23 (4)?

- Yes
- No (30 points)
- N/A

If No or N/A, explain:

1.3 Does your CMOM program contain the following components and items? (check the components and items that apply)

- ☒ Goals [NR 210.23 (4)(a)]

Describe the major goals you had for your collection system last year:

1. Review, modify and continue implementation of the current CMOM.
2. Continue with the development of written operating procedures (SOPs).
3. Review and modify existing SOPs that during implementation and training need to be changed.
4. Continue to fill vacant Utility Section positions.
5. Continue to strive for zero (0) basement back-up complaints that originated from the City's sewer system.
6. Determine significant sources of Inflow & Infiltration (I&I)
7. Development of a City wide sewer system capacity model.
8. Work on obtaining American Public Works Association Accreditation in 2025.

Did you accomplish them?

- Yes
- No

If No, explain:

1. The CMOM completed a review of the CMOM plan in 2022; however, implementation of the plan is an ongoing task with continued review and modifications.
- 2 and 3. The Sewer Section completed an update of it's SOPs. Additional SOPs can be developed or updated for the engineering and administrative aspects of the Sanitary Sewer Utility.
4. The City experienced additional turnover and vacancies in 2022. A Utility Engineer was hired in 2022.
5. The City had a low number of back-ups in 2022 related to City's sewer mainline.
6. The City continued inspection and televising of the City's sanitary sewer. Projects were implemented to address needed repairs, including infiltration. The City also utilized Smart covers to monitor flows.
7. The City implemented a Fats, Oil and Grease program and NEW Water reported a significant increase in haulers servicing the Green Bay area.
8. Due to staffing limitations, the City did not complete a City wide sewer system capacity model.
9. APWA accreditation was planned for 2025.

- ☐ Organization [NR 210.23 (4) (b)] ☐

Does this chapter of your CMOM include:

- ☒ Organizational structure and positions (eg. organizational chart and position descriptions)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:

5/19/2023

2022

☒ Internal and external lines of communication responsibilities ☒ Person(s) responsible for reporting overflow events to the department and the public ☒ Legal Authority [NR 210.23 (4) (c)] What is the legally binding document that regulates the use of your sewer system? City Ordinance Chapter 42 - Utilities; Chapter 8 - Building and Construction (Plumbing) If you have a Sewer Use Ordinance or other similar document, when was it last reviewed and revised? (MM/DD/YYYY) 2021-12-21 Does your sewer use ordinance or other legally binding document address the following: ☒ Private property inflow and infiltration ☒ New sewer and building sewer design, construction, installation, testing and inspection ☒ Rehabilitated sewer and lift station installation, testing and inspection ☒ Sewage flows satellite system and large private users are monitored and controlled, as necessary ☒ Fat, oil and grease control ☒ Enforcement procedures for sewer use non-compliance ☒ Operation and Maintenance [NR 210.23 (4) (d)] Does your operation and maintenance program and equipment include the following: ☒ Equipment and replacement part inventories ☒ Up-to-date sewer system map ☒ A management system (computer database and/or file system) for collection system information for O&M activities, investigation and rehabilitation ☒ A description of routine operation and maintenance activities (see question 2 below) ☐ Capacity assessment program ☒ Basement back assessment and correction ☒ Regular O&M training ☒ Design and Performance Provisions [NR 210.23 (4) (e)] ☐ ☐ What standards and procedures are established for the design, construction, and inspection of the sewer collection system, including building sewers and interceptor sewers on private property? ☒ State Plumbing Code, DNR NR 110 Standards and/or local Municipal Code Requirements ☒ Construction, Inspection, and Testing ☐ Others: ☒ Overflow Emergency Response Plan [NR 210.23 (4) (f)] ☐ ☐ Does your emergency response capability include: ☒ Responsible personnel communication procedures ☒ Response order, timing and clean-up ☒ Public notification protocols ☒ Training ☐ Emergency operation protocols and implementation procedures ☒ Annual Self-Auditing of your CMOM Program [NR 210.23 (5)] ☐ ☐ ☐ Special Studies Last Year (check only those that apply): ☐ Infiltration/Inflow (I/I) Analysis ☐ Sewer System Evaluation Survey (SSES) ☐ Sewer Evaluation and Capacity Management Plan (SECAP) ☐ Lift Station Evaluation Report ☐ Others:	0
2. Operation and Maintenance	

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/19/2023 2022

2.1 Did your sanitary sewer collection system maintenance program include the following maintenance activities? Complete all that apply and indicate the amount maintained.

Cleaning	43.2	% of system/year
Root removal	0.6	% of system/year
Flow monitoring	0	% of system/year
Smoke testing	1.3	% of system/year
Sewer line televising	23.8	% of system/year
Manhole inspections	20.3	% of system/year
Lift station O&M	2	# per L.S./year
Manhole rehabilitation	0.6	% of manholes rehabbed
Mainline rehabilitation	0.7	% of sewer lines rehabbed
Private sewer inspections	0	% of system/year
Private sewer I/I removal	0	% of private services
River or water crossings	22	% of pipe crossings evaluated or maintained

Please include additional comments about your sanitary sewer collection system below:

The City continuously monitors with SmartCovers, and at a minimum quarterly cleans a pair of inverted siphons that cross under the East River. All other river/waterway crossings are inspected or maintained on a rotating basis. The City conducts annual root treatment. The City also cuts roots encountered when televising, but that data was not included in the above percentages. The City plumbing inspectors routinely follow-up on private sewer system complaints.

3. Performance Indicators

3.1 Provide the following collection system and flow information for the past year.

33.56	Total actual amount of precipitation last year in inches
32.77	Annual average precipitation (for your location)
466.48	Miles of sanitary sewer
13	Number of lift stations
0	Number of lift station failures
0	Number of sewer pipe failures
7	Number of basement backup occurrences
159	Number of complaints
13.57	Average daily flow in MGD (if available)
592	Peak monthly flow in MGD (if available)
0	Peak hourly flow in MGD (if available)

3.2 Performance ratios for the past year:

0.00	Lift station failures (failures/year)
0.00	Sewer pipe failures (pipe failures/sewer mile/yr)
0.00	Sanitary sewer overflows (number/sewer mile/yr)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/19/2023 **2022**

0.02	Basement backups (number/sewer mile)
0.34	Complaints (number/sewer mile)
43.6	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Monthly:Annual Daily Avg)
0.0	Peaking factor ratio (Peak Hourly:Annual Daily Avg)

4. Overflows

LIST OF SANITARY SEWER (SSO) AND TREATMENT FACILITY (TFO) OVERFLOWS REPORTED **				
Date	Location	Cause	Estimated Volume	
None reported				

** If there were any SSOs or TFOs that are not listed above, please contact the DNR and stop work on this section until corrected.

5. Infiltration / Inflow (I/I)

5.1 Was infiltration/inflow (I/I) significant in your community last year?

- Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

Infiltration and Inflow is an ongoing concern within the City of Green Bay due to the age of the City's infrastructure. Annually the City inspects a portion of the City's sanitary sewers for potential issues. Currently, the City is on a 5 year rotation schedule. The City completes repair and replacement of the City's sewers based on the results of the televising or when City roadway work is performed.

5.2 Has infiltration/inflow and resultant high flows affected performance or created problems in your collection system, lift stations, or treatment plant at any time in the past year?

- Yes
- No

If Yes, please describe:

Infiltration and Inflow is an ongoing concern within the City of Green Bay due to the age of the City's infrastructure. There were no documented Infiltration and Inflow performance related instances in 2022 due to normal precipitation events. However, the City continues to address Infiltration and Inflow through its inspection and repair/replacement efforts.

5.3 Explain any infiltration/inflow (I/I) changes this year from previous years:

Despite higher precipitation in 2022, there has been a slight decrease in average daily flow and peak monthly flow when compared to the previous year's report.

5.4 What is being done to address infiltration/inflow in your collection system?

The City has been installing cured in place pipe (CIPP) liners and repairing and replacing sanitary sewer mainline and manholes as needed. Funding is budgeted each year for sewer system maintenance or repair/replacement. Since 2016, the City has used SmartCovers to monitor flow elevations within portions of the sanitary sewer system and to track any indication of increased flows during and after precipitation events. Smoke testing was conducted within the WW Basin in 2022. Increased funding was budgeted for repairs related to infiltration and inflow in 2022. The City collaborates with NEW Water on Infiltration and Inflow initiatives.

Total Points Generated	0
Score (100 - Total Points Generated)	100
Section Grade	A

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/19/2023 **2022**

Grading Summary

WPDES No: 0047341

SECTIONS	LETTER GRADE	GRADE POINTS	WEIGHTING FACTORS	SECTION POINTS
Financial	A	4	1	4
Collection	A	4	3	12
TOTALS			4	16
GRADE POINT AVERAGE (GPA) = 4.00				

Notes:

- A = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
- B = Voluntary Range (Response Optional)
- C = Recommendation Range (Response Required)
- D = Action Range (Response Required)
- F = Action Range (Response Required)

Compliance Maintenance Annual Report

Green Bay Sewage Collection System

Last Updated: Reporting For:
5/19/2023 2022

Resolution or Owner's Statement

Name of Governing
Body or Owner:

City of Green Bay

Date of Resolution or
Action Taken:

Resolution Number:

Date of Submittal:

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO SPECIFIC CMAR SECTIONS (Optional for grade A or B. Required for grade C, D, or F):

Financial Management: Grade = A

The City will continue to review and implement written policies and procedures related to the CMOM. The City will evaluate its program goals to continue to improve the operation, management and maintenance of the City's sanitary sewer system. Lift station operation and energy usage will be evaluated in conjunction with the City's efforts to reduce Infiltration and Inflow and sanitary sewer back-ups.

Collection Systems: Grade = A

(Regardless of grade, response required for Collection Systems if SSOs were reported)

The City will continue to review and implement its CMOM program on an annual basis. The City will evaluate and establish goals to continue to improve the operation, management and maintenance of the City's sanitary sewer system.

The City will continue to implement a Fat, Oil, and Grease (FOG) program that will assist in reducing FOG from entering the sanitary sewer system. The City will coordinate with NEW Water to conduct outreach to customers where FOG has been an issue.

The City will continue its annual sewer inspection, cleaning and repair programs. The City will focus on monitoring and reducing Infiltration and Inflow. The City will continue to participate on the technical advisory committee established by NEW Water to address Infiltration and Inflow on a regional basis.

ACTIONS SET FORTH BY THE GOVERNING BODY OR OWNER RELATING TO THE OVERALL GRADE POINT AVERAGE AND ANY GENERAL COMMENTS

(Optional for G.P.A. greater than or equal to 3.00, required for G.P.A. less than 3.00)

G.P.A. = 4.00

The City will continue to review and implement its CMOM program and set goals related to the operation, management and maintenance of the City's sanitary sewers.

****DRAFT** RESOLUTION APPROVING THE
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
NR 208 – COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORT
June 6, 2023**

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY:

WHEREAS, it is a requirement under a Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (WPDES) permit issued by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources to file a Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR) for its wastewater collection system under Wisconsin Administrative Code NR 208; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to acknowledge that the governing body has reviewed the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR); and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to provide recommendations or an action response plan for all individual CMAR section grades (of “C” or less) and/or an overall grade point average (<3.00); and

WHEREAS the City of Green Bay (City) scored an “A” in Financial Management; and

WHEREAS the City scored an “A” in Collection System Management; and

WHEREAS the City scored an “A” as an overall grade.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the following voluntary actions will be taken by the City in 2023:

1. Continue to review and update policies and procedures pertaining to the financial management of the City’s Sanitary Sewer Utility;
2. Continue to review and implement written policies and procedures as it relates to the management, operation and maintenance of the City’s sanitary sewer collection system;
3. Continue to review and implement the City’s Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) program;
4. Continue to evaluate program goals related to the CMOM program to continue to improve the operation, management and maintenance of the City’s sanitary sewer collection system.

Adopted _____, 2023

Approved _____, 2023

Eric Genrich, Mayor

ATTEST:

Celestine Jeffreys, City Clerk



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.10

To approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with McMahon Associates, Inc. in the amount of \$33,866.00 for the design of Finger Road/East Town Way sidewalk.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

\$33,866.00

ATTACHMENTS

None



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.I I

To approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with Graef in the amount of \$82,130.00 for Topographic Survey Services.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

\$82,130.00

ATTACHMENTS

None



Report to the
**Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay**

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.12

To receive and place on file the award of contract BRIDGE I-23 (BRIDGE MAINTENANCE & CONCRETE REPAIRS) to Lunda Construction in the amount of \$126,992.25.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

\$126,992.25

ATTACHMENTS

- I. QUESTCDN_Project Bid Results BRIDGE I-23 (BRIDGE MAINTENANCE & CONCRETE REPAIRS)

BRIDGE 1-23 (BRIDGE MAINTENANCE & CONCRETE REPAIRS)

Quest Number: 8468640

Closing Date: Tue, 05/09/2023 02:00 PM CDT

Posting Type: Construction Project

Owner Name:	Green Bay WI, City of
Owner Contact:	Steven Grenier, P.E.
Owner Phone:	920-448-3100
Solicitor Name:	Green Bay WI, City of
Contact:	Kayla Wasielewski
Email:	Kayla.Wasielewski@greenbaywi.gov
Phone:	920-448-3134
Award Date:	
Comments:	
Award Status:	Pending
Letting Bid Tabulation:	View on vBid

Company	Contact	Phone	E-mail	Amount	Awarded	Comment
LUNDA CONSTRUCTION	Lunda Estimating	715-284-9491	wiquotes@lundaconstruction.com	\$ 126,992.25		
Norcon Corporation	Tiare M. Penrose	7153595808	norcon@norconcorp.com	\$ 155,163.75		
Zenith Tech, INC. bridge	JASON SAMZ	715-241-6992	ztiquote@zenithtechinc.com	\$ 167,656.60		



Report to the
**Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay**

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.13

To approve the award of contract PAVEMENT REPAIR 2023 (WEST) to Highway Landscapers, Inc. in the amount of \$1,331,275.00.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

1,331,276.00

ATTACHMENTS

- I. QUESTCDN_Project Bid Results PAVEMENT REPAIR 2023 WEST

PAVEMENT REPAIR 2023 (WEST)

Quest Number: 8496873

Closing Date: Tue, 05/16/2023 02:00 PM CDT

Posting Type: Construction Project

Owner Name:	Green Bay WI, City of
Owner Contact:	Steven Grenier, P.E.
Owner Phone:	920-448-3100
Solicitor Name:	Green Bay WI, City of
Contact:	Robbie Woldt
Email:	Robbie.Woldt@greenbaywi.gov
Phone:	920-448-3109
Award Date:	
Comments:	
Award Status:	Pending
Letting Bid Tabulation:	View on vBid

Company	Contact	Phone	E-mail	Amount	Awarded	Comment
Highway Landscapers, Inc.	Tim Pagel	920-759-1701	tpagel@highway.email	\$ 1,331,275.00		
Al Dix Concrete Inc	Dylan Dix	920-766-4344	dixconcrete@yahoo.com	\$ 1,352,025.00		
Sommers Construction Co., Inc.	Dave McKewin	920-986-3301	dave@sommersconst.com	\$ 1,765,580.00		



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.14

To approve the award of contract PARKS 6-23 SEYMOUR PARK-EAST STORMWATER FACILITY to Peters Concrete Company in the amount of \$257,339.70.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

\$257,339.70

ATTACHMENTS

- I. QUESTCDN_Project Bid Results PARKS 6-23 SEYMOUR PARK -EAST STORMWATER FACILITY

PARKS 6-23 SEYMOUR PARK-EAST STORMWATER FACILITY

Quest Number: 8484799

Closing Date: Tue, 05/09/2023 02:00 PM CDT

Posting Type: Construction Project

Owner Name:	Green Bay WI, City of
Owner Contact:	Steven Grenier, P.E.
Owner Phone:	920-448-3100
Solicitor Name:	Green Bay WI, City of
Contact:	Tom Snyder
Email:	tom.snyder@greenbaywi.gov
Phone:	920-448-3324
Award Date:	
Comments:	
Award Status:	Pending
Letting Bid Tabulation:	View on vBid

Company	Contact	Phone	E-mail	Amount	Awarded	Comment
Peters Concrete Company	Duke Peters	920-494-3700	quote@petersconcrete.com	\$ 257,339.70		
Relyco Plus LLC	Val Tereshchenko	920-983-9040	estimating@relycoinc.com	\$ 259,288.91		
Soper Sewer & Water	Soper Estimating	920-233-4869	estimating@sopercompanies.com	\$ 275,409.00		



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.15

To approve the request by Department of Public Works to award the following contracts at a staff level and report the award at the next Improvement and Services Committee meeting:

- a. PAVEMENT 3-23 SCHOOL PLACE RECONSTRUCTION
- b. PAVEMENT 4-23 BERNER STREET RECONSTRUCTION
- c. PAVEMENT 5-23 FINGER ROAD RECONSTRUCTION
- d. PAVEMENT 6-23 S. MAPLE AVENUE RECONSTRUCTION
- e. SEWERS 1-23 MINI-SEWERS
- f. SEWERS 2-23 BASIN REPAIRS
- g. TRAFFIC SIGNAL REPAIR 2023
- h. ASPHALT PAVING 2023
- i. RRFB BASE CONSTRUCTION

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

None



Report to the
Improvement and Service Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # L.16

To receive and place on file the actions taken by Department of Public Works.

A. Granting of Licenses

I. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Brians Quality Concrete, Inc.
- b. Right Way Concrete Construction
- c. N&L Concrete Construction LLP

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

None



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.I

To approve the various liquor and/or beer license renewal applications with no changes for the 2023-2024 license year, subject to the approval of the proper authorities (see attached).

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 2023-2024 renewal application

Renewal Applications 2023-2024
Subject to the approval of the proper authorities

“Class B” Liquor and Class “B” Beer

Green Bay Yachting Club, Inc.
Green Bay Yachting Club
at 100 Bay Beach Rd
Chefbop, LLC
Rockabilly's
at 709 S. Broadway
FAR Enterprises, LLC
The Depot
at 200 Dousman
El Azteca of Green Bay, LLC
El Azteca
at 2469 W. Mason St.
Gather on Broadway, LLC
at 139 N Broadway
JJAA777, LLC
at 1685 Main St.
TREL LLC
at 2266 Main St.
Footbowl, LLC
at 301 N Washington
MO-J Willis, Inc.
at 2035 University Ave.

“Class A” Liquor and Class “A” Beer:

Family Dollar Stores of Wisconsin, LLC
at 708 Lime Kiln Rd.
Green Bay Petroleum and Investments LLC
at 2115 Main St.
Family Dollar Stores of Wisconsin, LLC
at 514 W Walnut St.

“Class B” Liquor-Winery Only:

SIP LLC
at 345 S. Adams St.

Class “B” Beer:

Allegedly LLC
at 2056 Main St.



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.2

To approve an immediate change of agent for Hyatt Corporation at 333 Main St. to Jessica Shelby.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

I. 333 MAIN



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.3

To approve a renewal application for a "Class B" Liquor and Class "B" Beer license for Hyatt Corporation at 333 Main St., pending the approval of the change of agent at the June 8 Council Meeting and with the approval of the authorities.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 333 main st renewal app



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.4

To approve an original liquor license application for a 6-month Class "B" Beer license for Green Bay Blue Ribbons Baseball Club, Inc. at 1450 E. Walnut St. with a license premises description as "Joannes Stadium" (Previously licensed as De Pere Dodgers Baseball Club).

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 1450 E WALNUT



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.5

To approve an original application for a "Class A" Liquor and Class "A" Beer license for Family Dollar Stores Of WI at 550 N. Military Ave. with a license premises description as "single-story building," with the approval of the proper authorities.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 550 n mili ORG APP



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.6

To approve an original application submitted by Family Dollar Stores of WI LLC at 1285 Main St. for a Class "A" Beer license and a request for a waiver to allow a "Class A" Liquor license be granted within 300' of a religious institution (requires majority vote by Council).

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. 1285 MAIN ST-300'
2. 1285 MAIN ST COMMUNICATION
3. 1285 main ORG APP



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.7

To approve a Class "A" Beer license for South Bay Marina, LLC at 101 Bay Beach Rd. with a license premises description as "two locked coolers, retail sales floor within Skipper's Choice," with the approval of the proper authorities.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. 101 BAY BEACH
2. SIGNED CLASS A STIPULATION FOR SKIPPERS CHOICE AND ELLEFSON.MERRILLJ.372
3. 101 BAY BEACH RD-LICENSE PREMISES



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.8

To approve 2023-2024 renewal application for a Class "A" Beer license for South Bay Marine Center, Inc. at 101 Bay Beach Rd., pending the approval of the original application and the approval of the proper authorities.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. RENEWAL APP



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.9

To hold an appeal by Elliot Heath for the order issued by Inspections at 3688 Church Rd.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. 22-2109 171858
2. 22-2109 INVOICE171503
3. Heath Appeal
4. Drains
5. SumpDrainage
6. PP Meeting - 3688 Church Road
7. Elliot Heath, 3688 Church Road



GREEN BAY CITY TREASURER

100 North Jefferson Street, Room 106
Green Bay, WI 54301-5026

INVOICE

Invoice Date	Invoice No.
05/03/2023	171858
Customer Number	
64663	
Invoice Total Due	
\$75.00	
Customer PO	Due Date
	06/02/2023

TJDM LLC
2270 MAHOGANY TR
DE PERE, WI 54115-1856

00000182023000171858400000075002

✂ Please Detach and return top portion with your payment ✂

City of Green Bay, Green Bay, WI 54301

Invoice Date: 05/03/2023

Customer Number: 64663

Description	Quantity	Price	UOM	Original Bill	Adjusted	Paid	Amount Due
REINSPECTION FEE FOR 3688 CHURCH RD - CASE #120715 ON 5/2/2023 BY JASON SLADKY JASON.SLADKY@GREENBAYWI.GOV (920) 448-3298] - FOR A CURRENT OUTSTANDING BALANCE OF \$150	1.00	\$75.00	EACH	\$75.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75.00
Please put Invoice Number on your check. Make checks payable to City of Green Bay.				Invoice Total:		\$75.00	

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS INVOICE, CONTACT THE INSPECTOR LISTED ABOVE IN THE DESCRIPTION AREA. PLEASE SEE THE BACK SIDE OF THIS INVOICE FOR LEGAL NOTICES AND APPEAL PROCESS INFORMATION. THANK YOU.

ORIGINAL
COPY



GREEN BAY CITY TREASURER

100 North Jefferson Street, Room 106
Green Bay, WI 54301-5026

INVOICE

Invoice Date	Invoice No.
04/26/2023	171503
Customer Number	
64663	
Invoice Total Due	
\$75.00	
Customer PO	Due Date
	05/26/2023

TJDM LLC
2270 MAHOGANY TR
DE PERE, WI 54115-1856

00000182023000171503600000075002

✂ Please Detach and return top portion with your payment ✂

City of Green Bay, Green Bay, WI 54301

Invoice Date: 04/26/2023

Customer Number: 64663

Description	Quantity	Price	UOM	Original Bill	Adjusted	Paid	Amount Due
REINSPECTION FEE FOR 3688 CHURCH RD - CASE #120715 ON 4/24/2023 BY JASON SLADKY JASON.SLADKY@GREENBAYWI.GOV (920) 448-3298] - FOR A CURRENT OUTSTANDING BALANCE OF \$75	1.00	\$75.00	EACH	\$75.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$75.00
Please put Invoice Number on your check. Make checks payable to City of Green Bay.				Invoice Total:		\$75.00	

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS REGARDING THIS INVOICE, CONTACT THE INSPECTOR LISTED ABOVE IN THE DESCRIPTION AREA. PLEASE SEE THE BACK SIDE OF THIS INVOICE FOR LEGAL NOTICES AND APPEAL PROCESS INFORMATION. THANK YOU.

ORIGINAL
COPY

From: Elliot Heath <heath_elliott@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, May 4, 2023 11:24 AM

To: Lacey Cochart <Lacey.Cochart@greenbaywi.gov>

Subject: Appeal


Greetings,

I am writing to appeal the fines and violations that I received in Case #120715 from the City Plumbing Inspector. I would like to speak at the appeal board.

Please let me know if you need any other additional information.

Elliot Heath
3688 Church Road
Green Bay, WI 54311













3688 Church Road

SPS 382.38-1 - STORM WATER MAY NOT DISCHARGE INTO CITY OWNED STORM WATER DRAINAGE

Key Points:

- Complaint on the improper discharge was received on 6/09/2022
- Pipe removed 7/11/2022
- Complaint that the pipe was reinstalled was received on 4/24/2023
- Orders issued 4/25/2023 for the violation
- No correction by the due date, reinspection fee issued 0 5/2/2023
- Still not corrected.....

Complaints

Case Information
Case ID
120715
1 of 2

[Add Case](#)
[Move Case](#)
[Delete](#)

☐ Hold Permits

[Checklists](#)
[Post-It Notes \(0\)](#)

Drag Files
3

Assigned Inspector(s)
JasonSI

Avail. Inspectors:
<None>
[Assign to Intern](#)

Find Cases
Load Cases by: [Date](#) or [Assigned](#) or [Case ID](#): [Find](#) Insp. Condition: **Good**

Address and Date Information
Case Status Pending **Address:** 3688 CHURCH RD
Parcel ID: 22-2109 **District:** City 1 **Zone:** R1
Date Received 6/9/2022 **Initial Inspection** **Initial Notice** **Re-Inspection** 5/2/2023 **Complying** 7/11/2022 **Project ID**

[Complaint Report](#)
[Compliance Letter](#)
[Lot Cleanup](#)
[Secure Building](#)

Complaint
[General Information](#) [Inspector Activity](#) [Violations and Orders](#)

[Add Inspection](#) [Delete Inspection](#) Reinspection Fee Count: 2

Insp. Date	Type	Action	Time	Duration	Insp. By
5/5/2023	Admin	Spoke with the owner today, notes in the VM folder	1:00 PM	15	PaulVC
5/2/2023	Admin	RECEIVED CALL FROM OWNER 562-6617 LOOKING FOR DIRECTION. RECORDING OF CALL IS IN DRAG FILE.		0	JasonSI
5/2/2023	Admin	Sent To Robocopy for Invoicing: REINSPECTION FEE FOR 3688 CHURCH RD - CASE #120715 ON 5/2/2023 BY JASON SLADKY [JASON.SLADKY@GREENBAYWI.GOV (920) 448-3298]		0	JasonSI
5/2/2023	Reinspection	NOTHING HAS CHANGED. FEE ISSUED		0	JasonSI
4/25/2023	Admin	Sent To Robocopy for Invoicing: REINSPECTION FEE FOR 3688 CHURCH RD - CASE #120715 ON 4/24/2023 BY JASON SLADKY [JASON.SLADKY@GREENBAYWI.GOV (920) 448-3298]		0	JasonSI
4/24/2023	Reinspection	RECEIVED NOTICE FROM DPW THAT THE OWNER REINSTALLED THE SUMP DISCHARGE INTO THE CITY OWNED DRAINAGE DITCH. STOPPED ON SITE AND VERIFIED THAT'S WHAT WAS DONE. SENDING LETTER WITH 7 DAYS TO CORRECT AND ISSUING RE-INSPECTION FEE FOR TODAY.		0	JasonSI
7/11/2022	Initial	PIPING HAS ALL BEEN REMOVED		0	JasonSI
6/9/2022	Initial	FOUND NEW OWNER AND HIS DAD DAVID HEATH INSTALLING STORM DRAIN PIPING ALONG EAST SIDE OF THE HOUSE FROM DOWNSPOUTS AND SUMP PUMP AND TRENCHING THEM INTO THE CITY OWNED STORM DRAINAGE THAT IS RESTRICTED. INFORMED THEM THAT THEY NEED TO BE LICENSED AND ANY WORK PERMITTED AS WELL AS THE FACT THEY CANNOT RUN ANYTHING INTO THE CITY STORM POND. ALSO CALLED JOSH FROM CRI AND INFORMED THEM IT WOULD SHOW UP AS ORDERS ON THE NEW HOUSE PROJECT SO A CO WOULD NOT BE POSSIBLE UNTIL CORRECTED.		0	JasonSI

Complaints

Case Information
Case ID
120715
1 of 2

[Add Case](#)
[Move Case](#)
[Delete](#)

☐ Hold Permits

[Checklists](#)
[Post-It Notes \(0\)](#)

Drag Files
3

Assigned Inspector(s)
JasonSI

Find Cases
Load Cases by: [Date](#) or [Assigned](#) or [Case ID](#): [Find](#) Insp. Condition: **Good**

Address and Date Information
Case Status Pending **Address:** 3688 CHURCH RD
Parcel ID: 22-2109 **District:** City 1 **Zone:** R1
Date Received 6/9/2022 **Initial Inspection** **Initial Notice** **Re-Inspection** 5/2/2023 **Complying** 7/11/2022 **Project ID**

[Complaint Report](#)
[Compliance Letter](#)
[Lot Cleanup](#)
[Secure Building](#)

Complaint
[General Information](#) [Inspector Activity](#) [Violations and Orders](#)

[Add Violation](#) [Delete Violation](#) [abc](#) [Insert Formal Ord.](#) [Insert Custom Ord.](#) [Send Orders](#) [1](#) [Envelopes](#)

Ordinance	Findings	Complete By	Corrected On
GBMC CH 8	PLUMBING PERMIT IS REQUIRED FOR STORM PIPING INSTALLED OUTSIDE NEW HOUSE FROM SUMP PUMP	5/2/2023	
145.06	NO PERSON MAY ENGAGE IN PLUMBING UNLESS THEY ARE LICENSED BY THE STATE OF WISCONSIN TO DO SO.	5/2/2023	
SPS 382.21(1)b.3.	PLUMBING WORK IS TO REMAIN UNCOVERED UNTIL APPROVED BY THE INSPECTOR	5/2/2023	
382.38-1	STORM DRAIN MAY NOT DISCHARGE INTO CITY OWNED STORM WATER DRAINAGE	5/2/2023	

Current pipe discharging to City Owned Storm Water Drainage



Elliot Heath, 3688 Church Road.

I am here today to contest a plumbing violation that I recently received in the mail.

Backstory to the violation: I purchased the house on August 1, 2022. Prior to purchase, in July of 2022, while the home builder was still completing the build, my father and I began working on designing the pipes to bury my downspouts and sump pump pipes, as my home builder does not provide this service. Church Road does not have a storm water sewer, so the water is all directed toward a ditch along Church Road which eventually makes its way to the west into the Bay of Green Bay. Because there is no storm sewer, my home builder is not required to run pipes out of the house and therefore does not. I chose to bury my own pipes, with consent from the builder (CRI Development) and developer (KOS Realty), so that I would not have gaudy pipes running across my yard during times of wet weather, as well as not draining directly to my neighbors yard. While working on designing these pipes, the City Plumbing Inspector surprisingly showed up. He immediately became confrontational and was very concerned with what we were doing. We informed him that we were future homeowners and were preparing to lay pipes for our downspouts and sump pump. He continued to be confrontational and informed us that we could not proceed. When asked for an explanation, he said that because we were not current owners of the property, we could not lay any plumbing and would have to remove them. He continued to be confrontational and swore at us multiple times, telling us to "do whatever the fuck we wanted" as his final words. We removed the pipes we had laid out and waited for ownership of the property before continuing.

On August 1, 2022 I took ownership of the property and after getting the property marked by diggers hotline and renting a ditcher from Sunbelt, I buried one PVC pipe to the east of my property connecting my sump pump to edge of my property which eventually drains to a small drainage ditch that leads to a retention pond to the south of my house. We also connected another PVC pipe with 2 downspouts in the front of the house and ran it to the north toward the ditch along Church Road. We did this not in secret, but during the day on Friday August 4th. Last month I received notice that I was in violation of several plumbing codes. I contacted my alderwoman who eventually came back with explanation from the city plumbing inspector as well as the storm water engineer. While they both provided conflicting technicalities as to why the pipes could not be laid, they did not address several main issues regarding the case.

1. In July of 2022, the city inspector informed me I could only lay the pipes once I took ownership of the property. I removed the pipes and waited until August when I became owner of record before reinstalling the pipes. My father was there that day and will testify as a witness that the inspector told us we could lay the pipes once we became owners. He specifically said that we could no longer proceed with this OUTDOOR project until I took ownership. I followed his instructions exactly and waited to reinstall. We did not install in the middle of the night or attempt to be secretive about it, but rather took his word that we could do it once I became owner of the property.

2. They also failed to explain WHY I was inspected again. I asked the City Inspector WHY I was inspected in 2023 and was told initially that he was called in due to a complaint from the Storm Water Engineer. A second phone call a day later revealed that the city inspector had ended employment with the City of Green Bay shortly after our initial meeting, resumed employment sometime in Spring of 2023, and due to our previous conversations, my house was the one of the first he checked on. Conflicting information.

3. The sump pump line in question leads to the edge of my property, which then eventually leads into a secondary ditch leading to a retention pond. When became aware of the violations, I was told it was because my water drains directly into the ditch, which it absolutely does not. The water comes out of the pipe and makes its way overground into the ditch and eventually into the retention pond (See attached pictures). To avoid further issues and fines, I disconnected my current underground pipe and instead connected to a 14 foot above ground pipe, which clearly does not add curb appeal to the house or neighborhood. This new pipe also drains water directly into the same ditch, as seen by the puddles in the dirt, but was told was completely compliant by the City Inspector. The water is making its way to the EXACT same spot.

4. I was told that my pipes are not installed to code and would need to be changed or replaced should stormwater drains come to Church Road. I told the inspector I understood that risk and would pay for the changes should that time come. There are 25 houses with driveways along Church Road (see attached pictures). 23 of them have buried pipes leading to the ditch along Church Road. Some have one pipe, some have 2. Some are up into the yard, some are down into the ditch. Some are attached to the driveway apron, some are in the grass. As shown in the pictures, every one is different and picking mine out would be difficult. I would like actual proof on how many have hired licensed plumbers to bury these pipes. I have direct and indirect knowledge that many of these houses have installed pipes on their own, or with the use of landscape companies, to bury downspout and sump pump pipes. I also request an inspection into all the back yards of every house along Church Road, as many pipes can be seen, buried underground, many clearly not plumbing code, leading from the houses to various ditches and wooded areas.

5. I was told that my pipe was impeding the controlled burn of the grasses along the retention pond. The controlled burn was done on 5/3 and they came no where near my property or any buried pipes.

6. After receiving the violations, I disconnected all the underground pipes and replaced with the above ground options. Upon doing this, I was told that I was now compliant from the City Inspector, and that we would give me a week without penalty to REMOVE the underground pipes. As they are no longer connected to any running water, I questioned him on how these pipes were now considered "plumbing." He said we would "agree to disagree " and I had one week to remove them. I also talked with Jason's boss and asked for clarification on why the pipes must be removed. He told me my "past history" required me to remove them, and asked me "why wouldn't you remove them," to which I replied, "so I don't have to remove them." I have been a homeowner and taxpayer in Green Bay since 2011 and have had no issues with the city or any "past history" until the issues with the current inspector. I see the pipes in their current state as no different than a tree, fence, tin can, or ashes of a pet, I believe I can bury anything of my choosing in my property, no different than any other city resident. My past history was one that followed the rules set forth by the city inspector: Wait until you take ownership of the property before continuing.

This is just another attempt of the City Inspector to overstep his authority. He knew he was leaving his position and gave me a bunch of conflicting information last summer. I believe that this inspector is targeting me specifically, harassing me, and I just want the same treatment as every other resident of the neighborhood. I believe that my pipes are no different than any other pipes in the neighborhood and should be allowed to stay in their previous condition, CONNECTED. They are flowing water properly away from my house; they are acting the same as any above ground pipes would do, they are not interfering with the flow of water or draining onto any neighbors property, and they are far more aesthetically pleasing than any above ground option.



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.10

To approve a resolution to add nine additional reserve liquor licenses to the pool for a total of 32 reserve licenses.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. RESOLUTION ADDING NINE (9) ADDITIONAL RESERVE LIQUOR LICENSES TO THE POOL FOR A TOTAL OF 32 RESERVE LICENSES
2. 2020 data census
3. City of Green Bay Census INformation Green Bay 2000 and 2010

**RESOLUTION ADDING NINE (9) ADDITIONAL RESERVE LIQUOR LICENSES TO
THE POOL FOR A TOTAL OF 32 RESERVE LICENSES**

May 2, 2023

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY:

WHEREAS, in state statute Chapter 125 a municipality may increase their number of reserve licenses based on population growth in increments of 500; and

WHEREAS, The City of Green Bay has determined in 1997 that they are entitled to 23 reserve liquor licenses; and

WHEREAS, The City Of Green Bay's population according to the 2000 Census was 102,767; and

WHEREAS, The City of Green Bay's Population grew during the 2020 Census to 107,395, which is a population growth of 4,628;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Green Bay Clerk's Office let it be known, nine (9) additional reserve licenses have been added to the pool for a new total of 32 reserve licenses available to license holders.

Adopted _____

Approved _____

Mayor

Clerk

Official Final Estimates, 1/1/2022, Wisconsin Municipalities, with Comparison to Census 2020

Source: Wisconsin Demographic Services Center

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
10201	00100	C	Abbotsford	In Multiple Counties	2,387	2,275	112	4.92%	1,754	1,665
43002	00175	T	Abrams	Oconto	2,028	1,960	68	3.47%	1,602	1,541
34002	00225	T	Ackley	Langlade	467	467	0	0.00%	387	385
01201	00275	C	Adams	Adams	1,755	1,761	- 6	- 0.34%	1,396	1,394
01002	00300	T	Adams	Adams	1,387	1,378	9	0.65%	1,161	1,148
23002	00325	T	Adams	Green	542	540	2	0.37%	433	429
27002	00350	T	Adams	Jackson	1,406	1,389	17	1.22%	1,173	1,154
67002	00425	T	Addison	Washington	3,449	3,464	- 15	- 0.43%	2,717	2,717
60101	00450	V	Adell	Sheboygan	497	498	- 1	- 0.20%	399	398
42002	00475	T	Adrian	Monroe	753	733	20	2.73%	619	600
02002	00550	T	Agenda	Ashland	369	370	- 1	- 0.27%	319	318
31002	00600	T	Ahnapee	Kewaunee	883	870	13	1.49%	726	712
34004	00625	T	Ainsworth	Langlade	477	477	0	0.00%	435	433
53002	00675	T	Akan	Richland	390	391	- 1	- 0.26%	293	292
50002	00725	T	Alban	Portage	867	863	4	0.46%	684	678
23101	00750	V	Albany	Green	1,093	1,096	- 3	- 0.27%	835	834
23004	00775	T	Albany	Green	1,199	1,189	10	0.84%	945	933
47002	00800	T	Albany	Pepin	726	716	10	1.40%	507	498
13002	00875	T	Albion	Dane	2,069	2,069	0	0.00%	1,605	1,598
27004	00900	T	Albion	Jackson	1,200	1,197	3	0.25%	953	946
62002	00925	T	Albion	Trempealeau	696	694	2	0.29%	521	517
49002	00950	T	Alden	Polk	2,980	2,918	62	2.12%	2,378	2,318
31201	01000	C	Algoma	Kewaunee	3,221	3,243	- 22	- 0.68%	2,574	2,580
71002	01025	T	Algoma	Winnebago	6,927	6,866	61	0.89%	5,292	5,222
05102	01150	V	Allouez	Brown	14,014	14,156	- 142	- 1.00%	11,278	11,341

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
06201	01225	C	Alma	Buffalo	717	716	1	0.14%	607	603
06002	01250	T	Alma	Buffalo	255	254	1	0.39%	219	217
27006	01275	T	Alma	Jackson	1,045	1,033	12	1.16%	781	769
27101	01300	V	Alma Center	Jackson	484	487	- 3	- 0.62%	359	360
03101	01325	V	Almena	Barron	742	705	37	5.25%	576	545
03002	01350	T	Almena	Barron	898	887	11	1.24%	743	731
59002	01375	T	Almon	Shawano	576	575	1	0.17%	447	444
50101	01400	V	Almond	Portage	420	424	- 4	- 0.94%	301	302
50004	01425	T	Almond	Portage	623	625	- 2	- 0.32%	520	519
20002	01525	T	Alto	Fond du Lac	1,056	1,055	1	0.09%	800	796
18201	01550	C	Altoona	Eau Claire	9,149	8,293	856	10.32%	7,174	6,474
21002	01625	T	Alvin	Forest	173	173	0	0.00%	160	159
38002	01675	T	Amberg	Marinette	701	693	8	1.15%	647	637
49201	01725	C	Amery	Polk	2,952	2,962	- 10	- 0.34%	2,365	2,362
50102	01750	V	Amherst	Portage	1,121	1,117	4	0.36%	842	835
50006	01775	T	Amherst	Portage	1,418	1,402	16	1.14%	1,129	1,111
50103	01800	V	Amherst Junction	Portage	382	383	- 1	- 0.26%	295	294
16002	01825	T	Amnicon	Douglas	1,223	1,224	- 1	- 0.08%	931	928
07002	01900	T	Anderson	Burnett	409	408	1	0.25%	335	333
26002	01925	T	Anderson	Iron	54	54	0	0.00%	48	48
59004	01975	T	Angelica	Shawano	1,840	1,822	18	0.99%	1,382	1,362
42004	02025	T	Angelo	Monroe	1,804	1,697	107	6.31%	1,280	1,199
59101	02075	V	Aniwa	Shawano	238	243	- 5	- 2.06%	187	190
59006	02100	T	Aniwa	Shawano	517	517	0	0.00%	420	418
09002	02175	T	Anson	Chippewa	2,341	2,297	44	1.92%	1,905	1,861
34201	02250	C	Antigo	Langlade	8,053	8,100	- 47	- 0.58%	6,302	6,310
34006	02275	T	Antigo	Langlade	1,369	1,369	0	0.00%	1,094	1,089

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
49004	02350	T	Apple River	Polk	1,189	1,173	16	1.36%	930	913
45201	02375	C	Appleton	In Multiple Counties	75,605	75,644	- 39	- 0.05%	58,332	58,099
64002	02450	T	Arbor Vitae	Vilas	3,411	3,403	8	0.24%	2,891	2,871
62201	02500	C	Arcadia	Trempealeau	3,749	3,737	12	0.32%	2,432	2,413
62004	02525	T	Arcadia	Trempealeau	1,702	1,696	6	0.35%	1,345	1,334
25101	02550	V	Arena	Iowa	877	844	33	3.91%	660	632
25002	02575	T	Arena	Iowa	1,495	1,486	9	0.61%	1,215	1,202
21004	02625	T	Argonne	Forest	548	546	2	0.37%	422	419
33101	02650	V	Argyle	Lafayette	780	783	- 3	- 0.38%	609	609
33002	02675	T	Argyle	Lafayette	452	449	3	0.67%	369	365
03004	02775	T	Arland	Barron	713	712	1	0.14%	519	516
11101	02800	V	Arlington	Columbia	846	844	2	0.24%	651	647
11002	02825	T	Arlington	Columbia	818	803	15	1.87%	656	641
29002	02850	T	Armenia	Juneau	727	705	22	3.12%	617	596
21006	02950	T	Armstrong Creek	Forest	420	422	- 2	- 0.47%	356	356
72100	03025	V	Arpin	Wood	304	305	- 1	- 0.33%	242	242
72002	03050	T	Arpin	Wood	946	942	4	0.42%	687	681
09004	03075	T	Arthur	Chippewa	781	771	10	1.30%	582	572
20004	03150	T	Ashford	Fond du Lac	1,734	1,722	12	0.70%	1,391	1,375
14002	03200	T	Ashippun	Dodge	2,677	2,663	14	0.53%	2,143	2,122
02201	03225	C	Ashland	In Multiple Counties	7,819	7,908	- 89	- 1.13%	6,413	6,457
02004	03250	T	Ashland	Ashland	586	589	- 3	- 0.51%	440	440
05104	03425	V	Ashwaubenon	Brown	17,757	16,991	766	4.51%	14,287	13,609
38004	03525	T	Athelstane	Marinette	556	554	2	0.36%	499	495
37102	03550	V	Athens	Marathon	1,065	1,059	6	0.57%	857	848
55002	03600	T	Atlanta	Rusk	559	560	- 1	- 0.18%	465	464
09006	03725	T	Auburn	Chippewa	787	776	11	1.42%	569	559

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
20006	03750	T	Auburn	Fond du Lac	2,387	2,360	27	1.14%	1,930	1,900
72101	03775	V	Auburndale	Wood	710	702	8	1.14%	546	537
72004	03800	T	Auburndale	Wood	789	790	- 1	- 0.13%	605	603
18202	03825	C	Augusta	Eau Claire	1,549	1,567	- 18	- 1.15%	1,194	1,202
19002	03875	T	Aurora	Florence	988	987	1	0.10%	829	824
61002	03900	T	Aurora	Taylor	457	459	- 2	- 0.44%	310	310
70002	03925	T	Aurora	Waushara	1,001	1,006	- 5	- 0.50%	799	799
25102	04025	V	Avoca	Iowa	551	553	- 2	- 0.36%	438	438
54002	04100	T	Avon	Rock	569	570	- 1	- 0.18%	469	468
28002	04125	T	Aztalan	Jefferson	1,381	1,382	- 1	- 0.07%	1,119	1,115
22106	04250	V	Bagley	Grant	355	356	- 1	- 0.28%	289	289
43006	04275	T	Bagley	Oconto	280	275	5	1.82%	246	241
15002	04325	T	Baileys Harbor	Door	1,238	1,223	15	1.23%	1,081	1,063
56106	04400	V	Baldwin	St. Croix	4,356	4,291	65	1.51%	3,270	3,207
56002	04425	T	Baldwin	St. Croix	1,061	1,047	14	1.34%	773	759
49106	04475	V	Balsam Lake	Polk	914	934	- 20	- 2.14%	776	789
49006	04500	T	Balsam Lake	Polk	1,422	1,416	6	0.42%	1,194	1,184
32106	04550	V	Bangor	La Crosse	1,568	1,437	131	9.12%	1,191	1,087
32002	04575	T	Bangor	La Crosse	626	617	9	1.46%	492	483
57206	04625	C	Baraboo	Sauk	12,703	12,556	147	1.17%	9,950	9,791
57002	04650	T	Baraboo	Sauk	1,813	1,816	- 3	- 0.17%	1,469	1,465
04002	04725	T	Barksdale	Bayfield	742	745	- 3	- 0.40%	629	629
04004	04750	T	Barnes	Bayfield	820	823	- 3	- 0.36%	765	764
25106	04775	V	Barneveld	Iowa	1,338	1,331	7	0.53%	958	949
32004	04825	T	Barre	La Crosse	1,296	1,267	29	2.29%	993	966
03206	04875	C	Barron	Barron	3,685	3,733	- 48	- 1.29%	2,862	2,886
03006	04900	T	Barron	Barron	811	813	- 2	- 0.25%	629	628

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
66002	04950	T	Barronett	Washburn	456	461	- 5	- 1.08%	332	334
59008	05000	T	Bartelme	Shawano	809	810	- 1	- 0.12%	592	590
67004	05050	T	Barton	Washington	2,795	2,743	52	1.90%	2,266	2,214
66004	05125	T	Bashaw	Washburn	1,085	1,079	6	0.56%	875	866
58002	05200	T	Bass Lake	Sawyer	2,755	2,731	24	0.88%	2,087	2,060
66006	05225	T	Bass Lake	Washburn	565	566	- 1	- 0.18%	456	455
48106	05325	V	Bay City	Pierce	447	441	6	1.36%	375	368
04206	05350	C	Bayfield	Bayfield	586	584	2	0.34%	521	517
04006	05375	T	Bayfield	Bayfield	792	787	5	0.64%	697	689
41106	05450	V	Bayside	In Multiple Counties	4,463	4,482	- 19	- 0.42%	3,501	3,500
04008	05475	T	Bayview	Bayfield	513	512	1	0.20%	420	417
27008	05550	T	Bear Bluff	Jackson	155	155	0	0.00%	117	116
45106	05575	V	Bear Creek	Outagamie	422	427	- 5	- 1.17%	317	319
57004	05600	T	Bear Creek	Sauk	643	641	2	0.31%	491	487
69002	05625	T	Bear Creek	Waupaca	749	748	1	0.13%	606	602
03008	05650	T	Bear Lake	Barron	683	670	13	1.94%	554	541
10002	05750	T	Beaver	Clark	823	826	- 3	- 0.36%	513	513
38006	05800	T	Beaver	Marinette	1,163	1,153	10	0.87%	954	942
49008	05825	T	Beaver	Polk	804	798	6	0.75%	664	656
66008	05875	T	Beaver Brook	Washburn	805	795	10	1.26%	627	616
14206	05900	C	Beaver Dam	Dodge	16,727	16,708	19	0.11%	13,037	12,964
14004	05925	T	Beaver Dam	Dodge	4,069	4,062	7	0.17%	3,276	3,256
38008	06000	T	Beecher	Marinette	786	786	0	0.00%	674	671
22002	06100	T	Beetown	Grant	722	723	- 1	- 0.14%	518	516
46106	06150	V	Belgium	Ozaukee	2,473	2,421	52	2.15%	1,903	1,855
46002	06175	T	Belgium	Ozaukee	1,461	1,450	11	0.76%	1,216	1,201
04010	06200	T	Bell	Bayfield	359	355	4	1.13%	338	333

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
12106	06225	V	Bell Center	Crawford	108	108	0	0.00%	79	79
59010	06275	T	Belle Plaine	Shawano	1,763	1,800	- 37	- 2.06%	1,449	1,473
13106	06300	V	Belleville	In Multiple Counties	2,659	2,491	168	6.74%	2,035	1,896
05106	06350	V	Bellevue	Brown	16,568	15,935	633	3.97%	13,117	12,559
33106	06425	V	Belmont	Lafayette	990	989	1	0.10%	760	756
33004	06450	T	Belmont	Lafayette	801	794	7	0.88%	559	552
50008	06475	T	Belmont	Portage	622	623	- 1	- 0.16%	511	510
54206	06500	C	Beloit	Rock	36,760	36,657	103	0.28%	27,703	27,501
54004	06525	T	Beloit	Rock	7,850	7,721	129	1.67%	6,267	6,136
06004	06675	T	Belvidere	Buffalo	386	403	- 17	- 4.22%	308	320
16004	06775	T	Bennett	Douglas	631	632	- 1	- 0.16%	488	487
33107	06825	V	Benton	Lafayette	960	946	14	1.48%	726	712
33006	06850	T	Benton	Lafayette	482	474	8	1.69%	377	369
37002	06875	T	Bergen	Marathon	744	740	4	0.54%	605	599
63002	06900	T	Bergen	Vernon	1,365	1,365	0	0.00%	1,073	1,068
24206	06925	C	Berlin	In Multiple Counties	5,570	5,571	- 1	- 0.02%	4,226	4,208
24002	06950	T	Berlin	Green Lake	1,082	1,068	14	1.31%	879	864
37004	06975	T	Berlin	Marathon	948	949	- 1	- 0.11%	719	717
37006	07000	T	Bern	Marathon	622	614	8	1.30%	410	403
13004	07025	T	Berry	Dane	1,177	1,168	9	0.77%	971	959
37008	07125	T	Bevent	Marathon	1,053	1,049	4	0.38%	875	868
55004	07175	T	Big Bend	Rusk	408	401	7	1.75%	356	348
68106	07200	V	Big Bend	Waukesha	1,491	1,483	8	0.54%	1,122	1,111
55006	07225	T	Big Falls	Rusk	116	115	1	0.87%	101	100
69106	07250	V	Big Falls	Waupaca	60	60	0	0.00%	48	48
01004	07300	T	Big Flats	Adams	951	948	3	0.32%	822	816
35002	07500	T	Birch	Lincoln	512	570	- 58	- 10.18%	346	383

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
09008	07525	T	Birch Creek	Chippewa	504	495	9	1.82%	442	432
66106	07550	V	Birchwood	Washburn	402	402	0	0.00%	331	330
66010	07575	T	Birchwood	Washburn	613	605	8	1.32%	529	520
59106	07600	V	Birnamwood	In Multiple Counties	732	730	2	0.27%	573	568
59012	07625	T	Birnamwood	Shawano	691	682	9	1.32%	530	521
72106	07650	V	Biron	Wood	849	839	10	1.19%	698	687
49010	07700	T	Black Brook	Polk	1,435	1,425	10	0.70%	1,087	1,075
45107	07725	V	Black Creek	Outagamie	1,353	1,357	- 4	- 0.29%	1,005	1,003
45002	07750	T	Black Creek	Outagamie	1,258	1,251	7	0.56%	972	962
13107	07800	V	Black Earth	Dane	1,551	1,493	58	3.88%	1,224	1,173
13006	07825	T	Black Earth	Dane	517	510	7	1.37%	423	415
27206	07900	C	Black River Falls	Jackson	3,519	3,523	- 4	- 0.11%	2,781	2,772
21008	07950	T	Blackwell	Forest	168	152	16	10.53%	152	137
71004	08000	T	Black Wolf	Winnebago	2,431	2,429	2	0.08%	1,953	1,943
07004	08025	T	Blaine	Burnett	205	206	- 1	- 0.49%	174	174
62206	08075	C	Blair	Trempealeau	1,293	1,325	- 32	- 2.42%	979	999
33008	08100	T	Blanchard	Lafayette	308	300	8	2.67%	231	224
33108	08125	V	Blanchardville	In Multiple Counties	817	807	10	1.24%	644	634
53004	08175	T	Bloom	Richland	537	538	- 1	- 0.19%	394	393
09206	08225	C	Bloomer	Chippewa	3,719	3,683	36	0.98%	2,970	2,928
09010	08250	T	Bloomer	Chippewa	1,101	1,090	11	1.01%	824	812
65115	08265	V	Bloomfield	Walworth	4,821	4,781	40	0.84%	3,700	3,653
65002	08275	T	Bloomfield	Walworth	1,790	1,778	12	0.67%	1,369	1,354
70004	08300	T	Bloomfield	Waushara	1,052	1,054	- 2	- 0.19%	852	850
13008	08350	T	Blooming Grove	Dane	1,568	1,622	- 54	- 3.33%	1,261	1,299
22107	08375	V	Bloomington	Grant	743	741	2	0.27%	547	543
22004	08400	T	Bloomington	Grant	333	331	2	0.60%	274	271

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
13108	08475	V	Blue Mounds	Dane	953	948	5	0.53%	719	712
13010	08500	T	Blue Mounds	Dane	900	899	1	0.11%	723	719
22108	08525	V	Blue River	Grant	457	457	0	0.00%	357	355
53106	08600	V	Boaz	Richland	129	129	0	0.00%	105	105
59107	08725	V	Bonduel	Shawano	1,411	1,417	- 6	- 0.42%	1,077	1,077
49012	08750	T	Bone Lake	Polk	692	686	6	0.87%	575	567
22206	08850	C	Boscobel	Grant	3,130	3,286	- 156	- 4.75%	2,529	2,643
22006	08875	T	Boscobel	Grant	378	379	- 1	- 0.26%	306	305
64004	08950	T	Boulder Junction	Vilas	1,075	1,057	18	1.70%	978	957
45004	08975	T	Bovina	Outagamie	1,178	1,153	25	2.17%	925	901
59108	09025	V	Bowler	Shawano	319	320	- 1	- 0.31%	237	237
17106	09050	V	Boyceville	Dunn	1,096	1,100	- 4	- 0.36%	810	809
09106	09075	V	Boyd	Chippewa	608	605	3	0.50%	439	435
54006	09175	T	Bradford	Rock	1,015	1,013	2	0.20%	788	783
35004	09225	T	Bradley	Lincoln	2,417	2,382	35	1.47%	2,088	2,049
20106	09300	V	Brandon	Fond du Lac	879	882	- 3	- 0.34%	675	674
43008	09375	T	Brazeau	Oconto	1,342	1,340	2	0.15%	1,125	1,118
43010	09425	T	Breed	Oconto	700	698	2	0.29%	586	582
18002	09500	T	Bridge Creek	Eau Claire	2,235	2,214	21	0.95%	1,410	1,390
12002	09550	T	Bridgeport	Crawford	1,004	988	16	1.62%	793	777
25004	09600	T	Brigham	Iowa	1,063	1,037	26	2.51%	847	823
30002	09635	T	Brighton	Kenosha	1,442	1,422	20	1.41%	1,194	1,172
37010	09650	T	Brighton	Marathon	620	620	0	0.00%	436	434
08206	09725	C	Brillion	Calumet	3,487	3,262	225	6.90%	2,659	2,476
08002	09750	T	Brillion	Calumet	1,682	1,650	32	1.94%	1,272	1,242
13012	09775	T	Bristol	Dane	4,499	4,447	52	1.17%	3,359	3,305
30104	09800	V	Bristol	Kenosha	5,209	5,192	17	0.33%	4,149	4,117

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
27010	09900	T	Brockway	Jackson	2,991	3,035	- 44	- 1.45%	2,499	2,524
23206	09925	C	Brodhead	In Multiple Counties	3,268	3,274	- 6	- 0.18%	2,512	2,506
68206	10025	C	Brookfield	Waukesha	41,430	41,464	- 34	- 0.08%	31,689	31,573
68002	10050	T	Brookfield	Waukesha	6,480	6,477	3	0.05%	5,515	5,488
13109	10075	V	Brooklyn	In Multiple Counties	1,525	1,524	1	0.07%	1,097	1,091
23006	10100	T	Brooklyn	Green	1,115	1,108	7	0.63%	908	898
24004	10125	T	Brooklyn	Green Lake	1,811	1,787	24	1.34%	1,566	1,538
66012	10150	T	Brooklyn	Washburn	310	311	- 1	- 0.32%	264	264
08004	10350	T	Brothertown	Calumet	1,325	1,328	- 3	- 0.23%	1,082	1,080
41107	10375	V	Brown Deer	Milwaukee	13,023	12,507	516	4.13%	10,403	9,946
61004	10400	T	Browning	Taylor	917	910	7	0.77%	683	675
14106	10450	V	Brownsville	Dodge	630	598	32	5.35%	480	454
23110	10475	V	Browntown	Green	246	245	1	0.41%	199	197
55106	10500	V	Bruce	Rusk	775	781	- 6	- 0.77%	592	594
16006	10575	T	Brule	Douglas	609	607	2	0.33%	497	493
18004	10625	T	Brunswick	Eau Claire	1,984	1,958	26	1.33%	1,593	1,565
15004	10700	T	Brussels	Door	1,125	1,125	0	0.00%	852	848
45006	10750	T	Buchanan	Outagamie	6,865	6,857	8	0.12%	5,218	5,189
50010	10925	T	Buena Vista	Portage	1,148	1,145	3	0.26%	883	877
53006	10950	T	Buena Vista	Richland	1,818	1,807	11	0.61%	1,413	1,398
06006	11025	T	Buffalo	Buffalo	743	746	- 3	- 0.40%	587	587
39002	11050	T	Buffalo	Marquette	1,411	1,389	22	1.58%	999	979
06206	11062	C	Buffalo City	Buffalo	1,009	1,007	2	0.20%	871	865
13014	11150	T	Burke	Dane	3,264	3,265	- 1	- 0.03%	2,542	2,531
52206	11200	C	Burlington	In Multiple Counties	11,166	11,047	119	1.08%	8,654	8,523
52002	11225	T	Burlington	Racine	6,493	6,465	28	0.43%	5,269	5,223
14006	11275	T	Burnett	Dodge	828	831	- 3	- 0.36%	707	706

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
32006	11300	T	Burns	La Crosse	959	946	13	1.37%	745	732
62006	11325	T	Burnside	Trempealeau	515	507	8	1.58%	409	401
10004	11425	T	Butler	Clark	116	112	4	3.57%	83	80
68107	11475	V	Butler	Waukesha	1,780	1,787	- 7	- 0.39%	1,425	1,424
02106	11525	V	Butternut	Ashland	362	366	- 4	- 1.09%	284	286
20008	11600	T	Byron	Fond du Lac	1,679	1,677	2	0.12%	1,329	1,321
42006	11625	T	Byron	Monroe	1,233	1,234	- 1	- 0.08%	963	959
04012	11675	T	Cable	Bayfield	859	853	6	0.70%	750	741
23008	11725	T	Cadiz	Green	748	747	1	0.13%	617	613
09111	11750	V	Cadott	Chippewa	1,498	1,498	0	0.00%	1,165	1,160
56004	11775	T	Cady	St. Croix	889	880	9	1.02%	680	670
14008	11850	T	Calamus	Dodge	1,046	1,043	3	0.29%	835	829
11004	11900	T	Caledonia	Columbia	1,504	1,495	9	0.60%	1,222	1,209
52104	11950	V	Caledonia	Racine	25,478	25,361	117	0.46%	20,467	20,281
62008	11975	T	Caledonia	Trempealeau	933	928	5	0.54%	741	734
69004	12000	T	Caledonia	Waupaca	1,738	1,712	26	1.52%	1,380	1,353
20010	12075	T	Calumet	Fond du Lac	1,418	1,412	6	0.42%	1,149	1,139
11111	12200	V	Cambria	Columbia	781	777	4	0.51%	590	584
13111	12225	V	Cambridge	In Multiple Counties	1,708	1,638	70	4.27%	1,345	1,284
03111	12250	V	Cameron	Barron	1,871	1,872	- 1	- 0.05%	1,404	1,398
72006	12275	T	Cameron	Wood	539	539	0	0.00%	419	417
32008	12300	T	Campbell	La Crosse	4,276	4,284	- 8	- 0.19%	3,607	3,598
20111	12325	V	Campbellsport	Fond du Lac	1,924	1,907	17	0.89%	1,504	1,484
29111	12350	V	Camp Douglas	Juneau	645	647	- 2	- 0.31%	475	474
06008	12500	T	Canton	Buffalo	246	245	1	0.41%	205	203
26004	12525	T	Carey	Iron	171	172	- 1	- 0.58%	133	133
31004	12575	T	Carlton	Kewaunee	1,008	1,008	0	0.00%	802	798

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
50012	12725	T	Carson	Portage	1,385	1,374	11	0.80%	1,105	1,091
72008	12775	T	Cary	Wood	409	406	3	0.74%	322	318
60111	12825	V	Cascade	Sheboygan	726	722	4	0.55%	581	575
31111	12850	V	Casco	Kewaunee	644	630	14	2.22%	475	463
31006	12875	T	Casco	Kewaunee	1,156	1,150	6	0.52%	891	882
66014	12925	T	Casey	Washburn	394	396	- 2	- 0.51%	373	373
42111	12950	V	Cashton	Monroe	1,167	1,158	9	0.78%	869	858
37012	12975	T	Cassel	Marathon	947	934	13	1.39%	701	688
44002	13025	T	Cassian	Oneida	1,075	1,069	6	0.56%	932	923
22111	13050	V	Cassville	Grant	774	777	- 3	- 0.39%	649	649
22008	13075	T	Cassville	Grant	400	402	- 2	- 0.50%	321	321
22010	13100	T	Castle Rock	Grant	241	240	1	0.42%	201	199
21010	13125	T	Caswell	Forest	72	72	0	0.00%	62	62
51111	13175	V	Catawba	Price	140	141	- 1	- 0.71%	112	112
51002	13200	T	Catawba	Price	252	247	5	2.02%	210	205
36002	13237	T	Cato	Manitowoc	1,637	1,621	16	0.99%	1,285	1,267
53111	13300	V	Cazenovia	In Multiple Counties	362	363	- 1	- 0.28%	271	271
59111	13325	V	Cecil	Shawano	531	529	2	0.38%	460	456
46211	13375	C	Cedarburg	Ozaukee	12,446	12,121	325	2.68%	9,655	9,361
46004	13400	T	Cedarburg	Ozaukee	6,180	6,162	18	0.29%	4,729	4,694
60112	13475	V	Cedar Grove	Sheboygan	2,125	2,101	24	1.14%	1,625	1,599
03010	13500	T	Cedar Lake	Barron	1,095	1,076	19	1.77%	982	961
55008	13550	T	Cedar Rapids	Rusk	36	36	0	0.00%	28	28
45008	13600	T	Center	Outagamie	3,675	3,622	53	1.46%	2,890	2,836
54008	13625	T	Center	Rock	1,049	1,046	3	0.29%	859	853
36004	13750	T	Centerville	Manitowoc	633	631	2	0.32%	500	496
49111	13850	V	Centuria	Polk	888	891	- 3	- 0.34%	661	660

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
08006	14000	T	Charlestown	Calumet	771	774	- 3	- 0.39%	624	624
43012	14125	T	Chase	Oconto	3,244	3,178	66	2.08%	2,390	2,331
63111	14150	V	Chaseburg	Vernon	242	241	1	0.41%	203	201
61006	14200	T	Chelsea	Taylor	709	710	- 1	- 0.14%	557	555
68111	14225	V	Chenequa	Waukesha	530	526	4	0.76%	434	429
14010	14300	T	Chester ¹	Dodge	676	676	0	0.00%	523	521
03211	14325	C	Chetek	Barron	2,166	2,172	- 6	- 0.28%	1,736	1,733
03012	14350	T	Chetek	Barron	1,751	1,726	25	1.45%	1,485	1,457
66016	14425	T	Chicog	Washburn	293	292	1	0.34%	269	267
08211	14475	C	Chilton	Calumet	4,064	4,080	- 16	- 0.39%	3,127	3,125
08008	14500	T	Chilton	Calumet	1,055	1,059	- 4	- 0.38%	867	866
62010	14525	T	Chimney Rock	Trempealeau	259	258	1	0.39%	205	203
02006	14550	T	Chippewa	Ashland	348	349	- 1	- 0.29%	292	292
09211	14575	C	Chippewa Falls	Chippewa	14,724	14,731	- 7	- 0.05%	11,657	11,610
13016	14650	T	Christiana	Dane	1,238	1,235	3	0.24%	1,006	999
63004	14675	T	Christiana	Vernon	1,013	993	20	2.01%	775	756
45010	14750	T	Cicero	Outagamie	1,022	1,008	14	1.39%	823	808
27012	14800	T	City Point	Jackson	178	177	1	0.56%	155	153
49014	14837	T	Clam Falls	Polk	558	554	4	0.72%	445	440
23010	15000	T	Clarno	Green	1,138	1,133	5	0.44%	916	908
15006	15025	T	Clay Banks	Door	386	385	1	0.26%	321	319
12004	15075	T	Clayton	Crawford	1,055	1,052	3	0.29%	778	772
49112	15100	V	Clayton	Polk	549	550	- 1	- 0.18%	397	396
49016	15125	T	Clayton	Polk	964	958	6	0.63%	761	753
71006	15150	T	Clayton	Winnebago	4,375	4,329	46	1.06%	3,321	3,271
18006	15200	T	Clear Creek	Eau Claire	777	778	- 1	- 0.13%	596	594
29004	15225	T	Clearfield	Juneau	705	702	3	0.43%	568	563

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
49113	15250	V	Clear Lake	Polk	1,107	1,099	8	0.73%	848	838
49018	15275	T	Clear Lake	Polk	886	888	- 2	- 0.23%	683	681
09012	15350	T	Cleveland	Chippewa	895	889	6	0.67%	704	696
27014	15375	T	Cleveland	Jackson	529	530	- 1	- 0.19%	372	371
36112	15400	V	Cleveland	Manitowoc	1,576	1,579	- 3	- 0.19%	1,204	1,201
37014	15425	T	Cleveland	Marathon	1,496	1,486	10	0.67%	1,129	1,116
61008	15450	T	Cleveland	Taylor	229	216	13	6.02%	197	185
22012	15500	T	Clifton	Grant	388	380	8	2.11%	245	239
42008	15550	T	Clifton	Monroe	727	733	- 6	- 0.82%	447	449
48002	15575	T	Clifton	Pierce	2,237	2,177	60	2.76%	1,724	1,670
03014	15600	T	Clinton	Barron	874	870	4	0.46%	689	683
54111	15625	V	Clinton	Rock	2,235	2,221	14	0.63%	1,670	1,652
54010	15650	T	Clinton	Rock	893	889	4	0.45%	687	681
63006	15675	T	Clinton	Vernon	1,436	1,374	62	4.51%	816	777
69211	15725	C	Clintonville	Waupaca	4,686	4,591	95	2.07%	3,692	3,601
04014	15750	T	Clover	Bayfield	261	261	0	0.00%	239	238
16008	15825	T	Cloverland	Douglas	249	249	0	0.00%	187	186
64006	15850	T	Cloverland	Vilas	1,069	1,068	1	0.09%	931	926
25006	15875	T	Clyde	Iowa	300	292	8	2.74%	250	242
14111	15900	V	Clyman	Dodge	398	397	1	0.25%	310	308
14012	15925	T	Clyman	Dodge	716	712	4	0.56%	582	576
25111	15975	V	Cobb	Iowa	484	480	4	0.83%	371	366
06111	16025	V	Cochrane	Buffalo	421	423	- 2	- 0.47%	329	329
01006	16075	T	Colburn	Adams	214	215	- 1	- 0.47%	195	195
09014	16125	T	Colburn	Chippewa	899	892	7	0.78%	668	660
10211	16150	C	Colby	In Multiple Counties	1,936	1,952	- 16	- 0.82%	1,440	1,445
10006	16175	T	Colby	Clark	924	922	2	0.22%	635	631

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
28004	16225	T	Cold Spring	Jefferson	730	737	- 7	- 0.95%	581	584
38111	16250	V	Coleman	Marinette	725	726	- 1	- 0.14%	550	548
17111	16275	V	Colfax	Dunn	1,191	1,182	9	0.76%	944	933
17002	16300	T	Colfax	Dunn	1,246	1,230	16	1.30%	951	935
70111	16375	V	Coloma	Waushara	448	448	0	0.00%	358	356
70006	16400	T	Coloma	Waushara	732	730	2	0.27%	588	584
11211	16450	C	Columbus	In Multiple Counties	5,530	5,540	- 10	- 0.18%	4,309	4,297
11006	16475	T	Columbus	Columbia	631	626	5	0.80%	514	508
45111	16500	V	Combined Locks	Outagamie	3,641	3,634	7	0.19%	2,750	2,732
19004	16550	T	Commonwealth	Florence	398	390	8	2.05%	327	319
28006	16650	T	Concord	Jefferson	1,979	1,981	- 2	- 0.10%	1,636	1,630
64008	16750	T	Conover	Vilas	1,329	1,318	11	0.83%	1,122	1,108
55111	16775	V	Conrath	Rusk	88	88	0	0.00%	66	66
09016	16800	T	Cooks Valley	Chippewa	758	757	1	0.13%	544	541
63008	16875	T	Coon	Vernon	743	729	14	1.92%	599	585
63112	16900	V	Coon Valley	Vernon	755	758	- 3	- 0.40%	583	583
36006	16950	T	Cooperstown	Manitowoc	1,297	1,300	- 3	- 0.23%	1,040	1,038
09213	17100	C	Cornell	Chippewa	1,437	1,453	- 16	- 1.10%	1,118	1,125
35006	17125	T	Corning	Lincoln	830	825	5	0.61%	661	654
13112	17175	V	Cottage Grove	Dane	8,854	7,303	1,551	21.24%	6,278	5,155
13018	17200	T	Cottage Grove	Dane	3,825	3,791	34	0.90%	3,083	3,042
58111	17225	V	Couderay	Sawyer	81	81	0	0.00%	67	67
58004	17250	T	Couderay	Sawyer	399	393	6	1.53%	335	328
11008	17325	T	Courtland	Columbia	492	491	1	0.20%	380	378
21211	17425	C	Crandon	Forest	1,688	1,713	- 25	- 1.46%	1,308	1,321
21012	17450	T	Crandon	Forest	609	606	3	0.50%	483	478
72010	17525	T	Cranmoor	Wood	180	181	- 1	- 0.55%	127	127

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
44004	17625	T	Crescent	Oneida	1,984	1,984	0	0.00%	1,663	1,656
38121	17725	V	Crivitz	Marinette	1,096	1,093	3	0.27%	867	861
06010	17737	T	Cross	Buffalo	369	368	1	0.27%	277	275
13113	17775	V	Cross Plains	Dane	4,123	4,104	19	0.46%	3,099	3,071
13020	17800	T	Cross Plains	Dane	1,503	1,494	9	0.60%	1,214	1,201
66018	17850	T	Crystal	Washburn	284	280	4	1.43%	237	233
03016	17875	T	Crystal Lake	Barron	745	743	2	0.27%	611	607
39004	17900	T	Crystal Lake	Marquette	451	452	- 1	- 0.22%	419	418
22211	17950	C	Cuba City	In Multiple Counties	2,120	2,138	- 18	- 0.84%	1,639	1,646
41211	17975	C	Cudahy	Milwaukee	18,134	18,204	- 70	- 0.38%	14,612	14,603
03212	18025	C	Cumberland	Barron	2,267	2,274	- 7	- 0.31%	1,755	1,753
03018	18050	T	Cumberland	Barron	817	818	- 1	- 0.12%	659	657
27016	18075	T	Curran	Jackson	310	301	9	2.99%	246	238
10111	18125	V	Curtiss	Clark	299	292	7	2.40%	199	193
29006	18225	T	Cutler	Juneau	304	300	4	1.33%	249	245
56006	18300	T	Cylon	St. Croix	715	708	7	0.99%	561	553
16010	18425	T	Dairyland	Douglas	211	211	0	0.00%	190	189
70008	18475	T	Dakota	Waushara	1,138	1,143	- 5	- 0.44%	944	944
45012	18525	T	Dale	Outagamie	2,899	2,869	30	1.05%	2,222	2,189
03116	18575	V	Dallas	Barron	358	359	- 1	- 0.28%	277	277
03020	18600	T	Dallas	Barron	572	570	2	0.35%	457	453
13116	18700	V	Dane	Dane	1,116	1,117	- 1	- 0.09%	846	843
13022	18725	T	Dane	Dane	939	934	5	0.54%	753	746
07006	18750	T	Daniels	Burnett	681	676	5	0.74%	540	534
65116	18825	V	Darien	Walworth	1,576	1,573	3	0.19%	1,210	1,202
65004	18850	T	Darien	Walworth	1,650	1,651	- 1	- 0.06%	1,342	1,337
33216	18875	C	Darlington	Lafayette	2,432	2,462	- 30	- 1.22%	1,822	1,836

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
33010	18900	T	Darlington	Lafayette	923	923	0	0.00%	681	678
37016	18950	T	Day	Marathon	1,063	1,063	0	0.00%	819	815
53008	19000	T	Dayton	Richland	774	763	11	1.44%	645	633
69006	19025	T	Dayton	Waupaca	2,661	2,644	17	0.64%	2,180	2,156
23012	19075	T	Decatur	Green	1,771	1,685	86	5.10%	1,396	1,322
45014	19200	T	Deer Creek	Outagamie	630	630	0	0.00%	489	487
61010	19225	T	Deer Creek	Taylor	675	677	- 2	- 0.30%	508	507
13117	19250	V	Deerfield	Dane	2,531	2,507	24	0.96%	1,862	1,836
13024	19275	T	Deerfield	Dane	1,693	1,684	9	0.53%	1,367	1,354
70010	19300	T	Deerfield	Waushara	668	667	1	0.15%	573	570
56116	19325	V	Deer Park	St. Croix	248	249	- 1	- 0.40%	179	179
13118	19350	V	DeForest	Dane	11,388	10,811	577	5.34%	8,481	8,015
11010	19375	T	Dekorra	Columbia	2,519	2,500	19	0.76%	2,074	2,049
68216	19400	C	Delafield	Waukesha	7,172	7,185	- 13	- 0.18%	5,800	5,784
68004	19425	T	Delafield	Waukesha	8,148	8,095	53	0.65%	6,332	6,263
65216	19450	C	Delavan	Walworth	8,527	8,505	22	0.26%	6,466	6,420
65006	19475	T	Delavan	Walworth	5,265	5,273	- 8	- 0.15%	4,362	4,349
57006	19550	T	Dellona	Sauk	1,925	1,901	24	1.26%	1,494	1,469
01008	19575	T	Dell Prairie	Adams	1,633	1,631	2	0.12%	1,332	1,324
09018	19625	T	Delmar	Chippewa	1,020	1,013	7	0.69%	734	726
04016	19662	T	Delta	Bayfield	316	315	1	0.32%	276	274
57008	19675	T	Delton	Sauk	2,490	2,460	30	1.22%	1,907	1,876
05116	19700	V	Denmark	Brown	2,446	2,408	38	1.58%	1,803	1,767
05216	19775	C	De Pere	Brown	25,525	25,410	115	0.45%	19,974	19,795
63116	19850	V	De Soto	In Multiple Counties	315	309	6	1.94%	256	250
07008	19925	T	Dewey	Burnett	547	545	2	0.37%	432	428
50014	19975	T	Dewey	Portage	970	962	8	0.83%	770	760

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
55010	20000	T	Dewey	Rusk	546	542	4	0.74%	438	433
10008	20025	T	Dewhurst	Clark	354	349	5	1.43%	291	286
72012	20075	T	Dexter	Wood	350	350	0	0.00%	283	282
48004	20150	T	Diamond Bluff	Pierce	482	478	4	0.84%	387	382
22116	20175	V	Dickeyville	Grant	1,067	1,015	52	5.12%	881	834
62012	20300	T	Dodge	Trempealeau	397	394	3	0.76%	316	312
25216	20350	C	Dodgeville	Iowa	5,038	4,984	54	1.08%	3,900	3,841
25008	20375	T	Dodgeville	Iowa	1,598	1,586	12	0.76%	1,289	1,274
10116	20450	V	Dorchester	In Multiple Counties	853	849	4	0.47%	644	638
43014	20475	T	Doty	Oconto	306	309	- 3	- 0.97%	304	306
39006	20525	T	Douglas	Marquette	784	784	0	0.00%	653	650
68116	20550	V	Dousman	Waukesha	2,426	2,419	7	0.29%	1,894	1,880
06012	20575	T	Dover	Buffalo	520	515	5	0.97%	369	364
52006	20625	T	Dover	Racine	4,134	4,282	- 148	- 3.46%	3,478	3,586
03022	20650	T	Dovre	Barron	825	825	0	0.00%	631	628
17116	20675	V	Downing	Dunn	233	234	- 1	- 0.43%	192	192
03024	20750	T	Doyle	Barron	489	490	- 1	- 0.20%	396	395
11116	20775	V	Doylestown	Columbia	278	280	- 2	- 0.71%	213	214
18008	20800	T	Drammen	Eau Claire	795	792	3	0.38%	655	650
58006	20825	T	Draper	Sawyer	242	241	1	0.41%	232	230
49116	20850	V	Dresser	Polk	940	935	5	0.53%	689	682
04018	20887	T	Drummond	Bayfield	543	544	- 1	- 0.18%	440	439
38010	21000	T	Dunbar	Marinette	606	605	1	0.17%	500	497
13026	21100	T	Dunkirk	Dane	1,872	1,881	- 9	- 0.48%	1,540	1,540
13028	21125	T	Dunn	Dane	4,874	4,880	- 6	- 0.12%	4,063	4,050
17004	21150	T	Dunn	Dunn	1,480	1,473	7	0.48%	1,177	1,166
69008	21200	T	Dupont	Waupaca	748	746	2	0.27%	529	525

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
47216	21225	C	Durand	Pepin	1,848	1,854	- 6	- 0.32%	1,428	1,426
47004	21250	T	Durand	Pepin	712	710	2	0.28%	535	531
53010	21400	T	Eagle	Richland	492	492	0	0.00%	379	377
68121	21425	V	Eagle	Waukesha	2,123	2,071	52	2.51%	1,620	1,573
68006	21450	T	Eagle	Waukesha	3,521	3,478	43	1.24%	2,821	2,774
09020	21600	T	Eagle Point	Chippewa	3,284	3,237	47	1.45%	2,645	2,595
64221	21625	C	Eagle River	Vilas	1,614	1,628	- 14	- 0.86%	1,321	1,326
12121	21900	V	Eastman	Crawford	349	350	- 1	- 0.29%	265	265
12006	21925	T	Eastman	Crawford	739	731	8	1.09%	576	567
01010	22000	T	Easton	Adams	1,063	1,062	1	0.09%	895	890
37018	22025	T	Easton	Marathon	1,166	1,148	18	1.57%	903	885
65121	22100	V	East Troy	Walworth	4,989	4,687	302	6.44%	3,883	3,632
65008	22125	T	East Troy	Walworth	4,020	3,992	28	0.70%	3,283	3,245
05010	22225	T	Eaton	Brown	1,690	1,662	28	1.68%	1,304	1,277
10010	22250	T	Eaton	Clark	718	718	0	0.00%	467	465
36008	22275	T	Eaton	Manitowoc	819	814	5	0.61%	662	655
18221	22300	C	Eau Claire	In Multiple Counties	70,587	69,421	1,166	1.68%	57,230	56,035
17006	22375	T	Eau Galle	Dunn	772	765	7	0.92%	618	610
56008	22400	T	Eau Galle	St. Croix	1,274	1,253	21	1.68%	930	911
37020	22425	T	Eau Pleine	Marathon	772	769	3	0.39%	595	590
50016	22450	T	Eau Pleine	Portage	1,068	1,063	5	0.47%	808	801
20121	22475	V	Eden	Fond du Lac	904	884	20	2.26%	692	674
20012	22500	T	Eden	Fond du Lac	1,032	1,000	32	3.20%	823	794
25010	22525	T	Eden	Iowa	342	339	3	0.88%	288	284
37121	22550	V	Edgar	Marathon	1,450	1,439	11	0.76%	1,095	1,082
54221	22575	C	Edgerton	In Multiple Counties	5,997	5,945	52	0.87%	4,543	4,483
58008	22625	T	Edgewater	Sawyer	576	565	11	1.95%	510	498

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
09022	22750	T	Edson	Chippewa	1,164	1,141	23	2.02%	791	772
15118	22850	V	Egg Harbor	Door	369	358	11	3.07%	343	331
15008	22875	T	Egg Harbor	Door	1,495	1,458	37	2.54%	1,313	1,275
04020	22925	T	Eileen	Bayfield	719	722	- 3	- 0.42%	573	573
51004	22950	T	Eisenstein	Price	624	625	- 1	- 0.16%	537	535
59121	22975	V	Eland	Shawano	196	199	- 3	- 1.51%	162	164
14014	23000	T	Elba	Dodge	1,040	1,041	- 1	- 0.10%	823	820
34008	23050	T	Elcho	Langlade	1,170	1,168	2	0.17%	1,006	1,000
37122	23075	V	Elderon	Marathon	160	161	- 1	- 0.62%	139	139
37022	23100	T	Elderon	Marathon	642	644	- 2	- 0.31%	526	525
20014	23150	T	Eldorado	Fond du Lac	1,396	1,399	- 3	- 0.21%	1,110	1,107
62121	23175	V	Eleva	Trempealeau	698	685	13	1.90%	529	517
51006	23200	T	Elk	Price	965	960	5	0.52%	849	841
33012	23262	T	Elk Grove	Lafayette	567	566	1	0.18%	386	384
60121	23275	V	Elkhart Lake	Sheboygan	960	941	19	2.02%	829	809
65221	23300	C	Elkhorn	Walworth	10,317	10,247	70	0.68%	7,775	7,688
17121	23325	V	Elk Mound	Dunn	982	985	- 3	- 0.30%	697	696
17008	23350	T	Elk Mound	Dunn	1,904	1,897	7	0.37%	1,371	1,360
22014	23400	T	Ellenboro	Grant	588	580	8	1.38%	435	427
45016	23425	T	Ellington	Outagamie	3,251	3,174	77	2.43%	2,469	2,400
48121	23525	V	Ellsworth	Pierce	3,332	3,348	- 16	- 0.48%	2,540	2,541
48006	23550	T	Ellsworth	Pierce	1,121	1,121	0	0.00%	878	874
68122	23575	V	Elm Grove	Waukesha	6,676	6,513	163	2.50%	4,968	4,825
48122	23700	V	Elmwood	Pierce	817	820	- 3	- 0.37%	652	651
52121	23725	V	Elmwood Park	Racine	508	510	- 2	- 0.39%	415	415
48008	23775	T	El Paso	Pierce	733	724	9	1.24%	560	551
29221	23800	C	Elroy	Juneau	1,319	1,356	- 37	- 2.73%	1,026	1,050

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
69121	23850	V	Embarrass	Waupaca	353	353	0	0.00%	294	293
56010	23925	T	Emerald	St. Croix	847	831	16	1.93%	651	636
51008	23975	T	Emery	Price	308	308	0	0.00%	254	253
14016	24000	T	Emmet	Dodge	1,317	1,310	7	0.53%	1,053	1,043
37024	24025	T	Emmet	Marathon	904	905	- 1	- 0.11%	713	711
20016	24050	T	Empire	Fond du Lac	2,769	2,774	- 5	- 0.18%	2,171	2,165
39121	24075	V	Endeavor	Marquette	433	432	1	0.23%	323	321
44006	24125	T	Enterprise	Oneida	353	353	0	0.00%	319	318
15121	24150	V	Ephraim	Door	348	345	3	0.87%	322	318
67006	24225	T	Erin	Washington	3,842	3,825	17	0.44%	3,067	3,040
56012	24275	T	Erin Prairie	St. Croix	670	673	- 3	- 0.45%	530	530
09024	24375	T	Estella	Chippewa	480	476	4	0.84%	370	365
62122	24400	V	Ettrick	Trempealeau	526	525	1	0.19%	410	407
62014	24425	T	Ettrick	Trempealeau	1,286	1,285	1	0.08%	1,010	1,005
49020	24450	T	Eureka	Polk	1,749	1,737	12	0.69%	1,370	1,354
54222	24550	C	Evansville	Rock	5,821	5,703	118	2.07%	4,319	4,212
34010	24575	T	Evergreen	Langlade	463	462	1	0.22%	364	362
66020	24600	T	Evergreen	Washburn	1,201	1,191	10	0.84%	944	932
57010	24675	T	Excelsior	Sauk	1,613	1,603	10	0.62%	1,293	1,279
58121	24700	V	Exeland	Sawyer	229	229	0	0.00%	171	170
23014	24725	T	Exeter	Green	2,206	2,156	50	2.32%	1,652	1,607
59014	24775	T	Fairbanks	Shawano	536	538	- 2	- 0.37%	448	448
18126	24825	V	Fairchild	Eau Claire	446	451	- 5	- 1.11%	358	360
18010	24850	T	Fairchild	Eau Claire	456	450	6	1.33%	300	295
57012	24900	T	Fairfield	Sauk	1,082	1,078	4	0.37%	872	865
20126	25075	V	Fairwater	Fond du Lac	345	346	- 1	- 0.29%	265	265
18127	25125	V	Fall Creek	Eau Claire	1,428	1,422	6	0.42%	1,042	1,033

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
11126	25150	V	Fall River	Columbia	1,815	1,801	14	0.78%	1,337	1,321
28008	25300	T	Farmington	Jefferson	1,411	1,407	4	0.28%	1,151	1,143
32010	25325	T	Farmington	La Crosse	2,153	2,140	13	0.61%	1,647	1,630
49022	25350	T	Farmington	Polk	1,959	1,904	55	2.89%	1,477	1,429
67008	25375	T	Farmington	Washington	3,662	3,645	17	0.47%	2,981	2,954
69010	25400	T	Farmington	Waupaca	3,757	3,712	45	1.21%	3,141	3,089
33014	25475	T	Fayette	Lafayette	386	381	5	1.31%	287	282
19006	25575	T	Fence	Florence	183	183	0	0.00%	154	153
22226	25600	C	Fennimore	Grant	2,733	2,764	- 31	- 1.12%	2,055	2,069
22016	25625	T	Fennimore	Grant	589	594	- 5	- 0.84%	419	421
37126	25650	V	Fenwood	Marathon	142	141	1	0.71%	111	110
19008	25675	T	Fern	Florence	185	181	4	2.21%	165	161
12126	25725	V	Ferryville	Crawford	193	191	2	1.05%	180	177
51010	25775	T	Fifield	Price	902	905	- 3	- 0.33%	791	790
29008	25850	T	Finley	Juneau	88	88	0	0.00%	72	72
13225	25950	C	Fitchburg	Dane	31,817	29,609	2,208	7.46%	25,258	23,400
51012	26050	T	Flambeau	Price	489	488	1	0.20%	430	427
55012	26100	T	Flambeau	Rusk	986	987	- 1	- 0.10%	826	823
19010	26200	T	Florence	Florence	2,098	2,096	2	0.10%	1,776	1,766
20226	26275	C	Fond du Lac	Fond du Lac	44,470	44,678	- 208	- 0.47%	35,172	35,178
20018	26300	T	Fond du Lac	Fond du Lac	3,721	3,687	34	0.92%	3,029	2,988
65126	26350	V	Fontana-on-Geneva Lake ³	Walworth	1,888	1,872	16	0.85%	1,657	1,636
54126	26400	V	Footville	Rock	831	772	59	7.64%	641	593
61012	26425	T	Ford	Taylor	265	265	0	0.00%	204	203
20020	26450	T	Forest	Fond du Lac	977	975	2	0.21%	794	789
53012	26475	T	Forest	Richland	338	333	5	1.50%	276	271
56014	26525	T	Forest	St. Croix	643	638	5	0.78%	473	467

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
63010	26550	T	Forest	Vernon	624	617	7	1.13%	447	440
15127	26625	V	Forestville	Door	479	482	- 3	- 0.62%	383	384
15010	26650	T	Forestville	Door	1,063	1,063	0	0.00%	833	829
28226	26675	C	Fort Atkinson	Jefferson	12,583	12,579	4	0.03%	9,813	9,766
11012	26725	T	Fort Winnebago	Columbia	817	812	5	0.62%	694	687
10012	26775	T	Foster	Clark	119	118	1	0.85%	106	105
29010	26825	T	Fountain	Juneau	593	592	1	0.17%	481	478
06226	26850	C	Fountain City	Buffalo	803	806	- 3	- 0.37%	672	671
11014	26875	T	Fountain Prairie	Columbia	943	938	5	0.53%	751	744
71121	26982	V	Fox Crossing	In Multiple Counties	19,011	18,974	37	0.20%	15,183	15,085
14226	27000	C	Fox Lake	Dodge	1,640	1,604	36	2.24%	1,311	1,276
14018	27025	T	Fox Lake	Dodge	2,413	2,588	- 175	- 6.76%	2,208	2,357
41126	27075	V	Fox Point	Milwaukee	6,770	6,934	- 164	- 2.37%	5,316	5,420
36126	27125	V	Francis Creek	Manitowoc	661	659	2	0.30%	522	518
37026	27150	T	Frankfort	Marathon	638	635	3	0.47%	473	469
47006	27175	T	Frankfort	Pepin	328	325	3	0.92%	262	258
27018	27225	T	Franklin	Jackson	540	519	21	4.05%	364	348
31008	27250	T	Franklin	Kewaunee	965	964	1	0.10%	758	754
36010	27275	T	Franklin	Manitowoc	1,249	1,250	- 1	- 0.08%	1,000	996
41226	27300	C	Franklin ⁵	Milwaukee	35,895	36,116	- 221	- 0.61%	28,564	28,611
57014	27350	T	Franklin	Sauk	663	668	- 5	- 0.75%	514	516
63012	27400	T	Franklin	Vernon	1,105	1,106	- 1	- 0.09%	808	805
37028	27450	T	Franzen	Marathon	561	553	8	1.45%	444	436
49126	27500	V	Frederic	Polk	1,147	1,154	- 7	- 0.61%	865	866
46126	27550	V	Fredonia	Ozaukee	2,280	2,279	1	0.04%	1,758	1,749
46006	27575	T	Fredonia	Ozaukee	2,081	2,078	3	0.14%	1,667	1,657
21014	27600	T	Freedom	Forest	323	324	- 1	- 0.31%	289	289

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
45018	27650	T	Freedom	Outagamie	6,225	6,216	9	0.14%	4,655	4,627
57016	27675	T	Freedom	Sauk	449	449	0	0.00%	372	370
12008	27700	T	Freeman	Crawford	735	727	8	1.10%	631	621
10014	27775	T	Fremont	Clark	1,326	1,312	14	1.07%	878	865
69126	27800	V	Fremont	Waupaca	688	689	- 1	- 0.15%	577	575
69012	27850	T	Fremont	Waupaca	624	627	- 3	- 0.48%	537	537
01126	27950	V	Friendship	Adams	606	648	- 42	- 6.48%	510	543
20022	27975	T	Friendship	Fond du Lac	2,756	2,748	8	0.29%	2,241	2,224
11127	28000	V	Friesland	Columbia	322	320	2	0.63%	219	217
66022	28025	T	Frog Creek	Washburn	127	127	0	0.00%	114	113
54012	28075	T	Fulton	Rock	3,691	3,580	111	3.10%	2,952	2,850
62016	28150	T	Gale	Trempealeau	1,722	1,708	14	0.82%	1,349	1,332
62231	28200	C	Galesville	Trempealeau	1,672	1,662	10	0.60%	1,313	1,299
27020	28250	T	Garden Valley	Jackson	397	395	2	0.51%	318	315
15012	28300	T	Gardner	Door	1,228	1,218	10	0.82%	1,062	1,049
27022	28325	T	Garfield	Jackson	761	756	5	0.66%	594	587
49024	28350	T	Garfield	Polk	1,760	1,744	16	0.92%	1,369	1,350
12131	28450	V	Gays Mills	Crawford	522	523	- 1	- 0.19%	392	391
68008	28487	T	Genesee	Waukesha	7,187	7,171	16	0.22%	5,771	5,732
65010	28550	T	Geneva	Walworth	5,476	5,390	86	1.60%	4,484	4,394
63131	28625	V	Genoa	Vernon	231	232	- 1	- 0.43%	190	190
63014	28650	T	Genoa	Vernon	755	753	2	0.27%	587	583
65131	28675	V	Genoa City	In Multiple Counties	2,967	2,982	- 15	- 0.50%	2,155	2,156
49026	28725	T	Georgetown	Polk	1,047	1,036	11	1.06%	853	840
51014	28750	T	Georgetown	Price	171	172	- 1	- 0.58%	129	129
59016	28825	T	Germania	Shawano	349	343	6	1.75%	287	281
29012	28850	T	Germantown	Juneau	1,751	1,628	123	7.56%	1,515	1,402

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
67131	28875	V	Germantown	Washington	21,040	20,917	123	0.59%	16,464	16,294
67010	28900	T	Germantown	Washington	242	241	1	0.41%	200	198
15014	28950	T	Gibraltar	Door	1,266	1,228	38	3.09%	1,148	1,109
36012	28975	T	Gibson	Manitowoc	1,304	1,315	- 11	- 0.84%	1,041	1,045
43231	29050	C	Gillett	Oconto	1,284	1,289	- 5	- 0.39%	1,012	1,011
43016	29075	T	Gillett	Oconto	986	989	- 3	- 0.30%	819	818
48010	29150	T	Gilman	Pierce	1,025	1,006	19	1.89%	775	757
61131	29175	V	Gilman	Taylor	381	378	3	0.79%	300	296
06014	29225	T	Gilmanton	Buffalo	423	425	- 2	- 0.47%	331	331
02008	29250	T	Gingles	Ashland	744	738	6	0.81%	604	596
60131	29350	V	Glenbeulah	Sheboygan	462	451	11	2.44%	376	365
06016	29375	T	Glencoe	Buffalo	406	402	4	1.00%	323	318
41231	29400	C	Glendale	Milwaukee	13,472	13,357	115	0.86%	11,041	10,898
42010	29450	T	Glendale	Monroe	673	663	10	1.51%	517	507
55131	29475	V	Glen Flora	Rusk	100	100	0	0.00%	70	70
22018	29525	T	Glen Haven	Grant	366	363	3	0.83%	298	294
05012	29550	T	Glenmore	Brown	1,054	1,045	9	0.86%	837	826
56016	29600	T	Glenwood	St. Croix	750	747	3	0.40%	575	570
56231	29625	C	Glenwood City	St. Croix	1,288	1,306	- 18	- 1.38%	941	950
09026	29700	T	Goetz	Chippewa	816	813	3	0.37%	608	603
38012	29775	T	Goodman	Marinette	608	607	1	0.16%	541	538
61014	29837	T	Goodrich	Taylor	461	460	1	0.22%	349	347
02010	29875	T	Gordon	Ashland	262	261	1	0.38%	246	244
16012	29925	T	Gordon	Douglas	755	757	- 2	- 0.26%	653	652
46131	30000	V	Grafton	Ozaukee	12,269	12,094	175	1.45%	9,773	9,590
46008	30025	T	Grafton	Ozaukee	4,372	4,355	17	0.39%	3,415	3,386
45020	30075	T	Grand Chute	Outagamie	23,964	23,831	133	0.56%	19,511	19,316

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
72014	30125	T	Grand Rapids	Wood	7,611	7,576	35	0.46%	6,039	5,984
04021	30175	T	Grand View	Bayfield	507	508	- 1	- 0.20%	446	445
10016	30275	T	Grant	Clark	861	858	3	0.35%	633	628
17010	30300	T	Grant	Dunn	392	395	- 3	- 0.76%	310	311
42012	30325	T	Grant	Monroe	480	469	11	2.35%	371	361
50018	30350	T	Grant	Portage	1,853	1,842	11	0.60%	1,518	1,502
55014	30375	T	Grant	Rusk	730	732	- 2	- 0.27%	560	559
59018	30400	T	Grant	Shawano	973	976	- 3	- 0.31%	740	739
10131	30425	V	Grantton	Clark	377	381	- 4	- 1.05%	271	273
07131	30450	V	Grantsburg	Burnett	1,318	1,330	- 12	- 0.90%	1,022	1,027
07010	30475	T	Grantsburg	Burnett	1,182	1,174	8	0.68%	936	925
33131	30575	V	Gratiot	Lafayette	223	224	- 1	- 0.45%	171	171
33016	30600	T	Gratiot	Lafayette	575	575	0	0.00%	439	437
05231	31000	C	Green Bay	Brown	107,369	107,395	- 26	- 0.02%	81,968	81,619
05014	31025	T	Green Bay	Brown	2,214	2,197	17	0.77%	1,732	1,711
60002	31100	T	Greenbush	Sheboygan	1,862	1,903	- 41	- 2.15%	1,601	1,629
41131	31125	V	Greendale	Milwaukee	14,815	14,854	- 39	- 0.26%	11,517	11,495
32012	31150	T	Greenfield	La Crosse	2,202	2,187	15	0.69%	1,696	1,677
41236	31175	C	Greenfield	Milwaukee	37,709	37,803	- 94	- 0.25%	31,110	31,047
42014	31225	T	Greenfield	Monroe	685	677	8	1.18%	523	515
57018	31250	T	Greenfield	Sauk	910	909	1	0.11%	762	758
10018	31275	T	Green Grove	Clark	753	748	5	0.67%	449	444
24231	31300	C	Green Lake	Green Lake	998	1,001	- 3	- 0.30%	855	854
24006	31350	T	Green Lake	Green Lake	1,181	1,169	12	1.03%	1,015	1,000
37030	31450	T	Green Valley	Marathon	518	515	3	0.58%	435	431
59020	31500	T	Green Valley	Shawano	1,034	1,026	8	0.78%	808	798
45122	31525	V	Greenville ²	Outagamie	13,067	12,687	380	3.00%	9,395	9,081

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
10231	31575	C	Greenwood	Clark	1,054	1,058	- 4	- 0.38%	817	816
61016	31600	T	Greenwood	Taylor	628	621	7	1.13%	500	492
63016	31625	T	Greenwood	Vernon	932	923	9	0.98%	558	550
59131	31675	V	Gresham	Shawano	532	530	2	0.38%	428	424
38014	31725	T	Grover	Marinette	1,731	1,731	0	0.00%	1,346	1,340
61018	31750	T	Grover	Taylor	207	208	- 1	- 0.48%	175	175
55016	31775	T	Grow	Rusk	443	443	0	0.00%	323	322
37032	31800	T	Guenther	Marathon	357	358	- 1	- 0.28%	281	281
66024	31825	T	Gull Lake	Washburn	198	198	0	0.00%	171	170
26006	31875	T	Gurney	Iron	145	145	0	0.00%	117	116
51016	31925	T	Hackett	Price	187	189	- 2	- 1.06%	155	156
62018	32050	T	Hale	Trempealeau	1,068	1,061	7	0.66%	816	807
41136	32075	V	Hales Corners	Milwaukee	7,658	7,720	- 62	- 0.80%	6,129	6,151
09028	32125	T	Hallie	Chippewa	166	153	13	8.50%	145	133
37034	32150	T	Halsey	Marathon	643	634	9	1.42%	436	428
37036	32200	T	Hamburg	Marathon	820	827	- 7	- 0.85%	668	671
63018	32225	T	Hamburg	Vernon	953	956	- 3	- 0.31%	708	707
32014	32275	T	Hamilton	La Crosse	2,431	2,428	3	0.12%	1,854	1,843
61020	32300	T	Hammel	Taylor	713	703	10	1.42%	567	557
56136	32325	V	Hammond	St. Croix	1,864	1,873	- 9	- 0.48%	1,389	1,389
56018	32350	T	Hammond	St. Croix	2,692	2,565	127	4.95%	1,899	1,801
11016	32375	T	Hampden	Columbia	587	581	6	1.03%	458	451
70136	32450	V	Hancock	Waushara	392	393	- 1	- 0.25%	320	319
70012	32475	T	Hancock	Waushara	564	558	6	1.08%	469	462
12010	32500	T	Haney	Crawford	319	317	2	0.63%	261	258
72016	32575	T	Hansen	Wood	753	747	6	0.80%	562	555
35008	32650	T	Harding	Lincoln	369	364	5	1.37%	315	309

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
51018	32675	T	Harmony	Price	219	220	- 1	- 0.45%	173	173
54014	32700	T	Harmony	Rock	2,568	2,569	- 1	- 0.04%	1,980	1,972
63020	32725	T	Harmony	Vernon	903	882	21	2.38%	594	578
39008	32775	T	Harris	Marquette	749	748	1	0.13%	654	650
08131	32790	V	Harrison	In Multiple Counties	14,424	12,418	2,006	16.15%	10,414	8,925
08010	32800	T	Harrison	Calumet	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0
22020	32825	T	Harrison	Grant	528	529	- 1	- 0.19%	390	389
35010	32875	T	Harrison	Lincoln	830	828	2	0.24%	733	728
37038	32900	T	Harrison	Marathon	318	312	6	1.92%	273	267
69014	32925	T	Harrison	Waupaca	465	459	6	1.31%	394	387
67236	33000	C	Hartford	In Multiple Counties	15,805	15,626	179	1.15%	12,086	11,895
67012	33025	T	Hartford	Washington	3,381	3,400	- 19	- 0.56%	2,758	2,761
48012	33050	T	Hartland	Pierce	833	830	3	0.36%	656	651
59022	33075	T	Hartland	Shawano	834	824	10	1.21%	616	606
68136	33100	V	Hartland	Waukesha	9,946	9,501	445	4.68%	7,530	7,161
37136	33175	V	Hatley	Marathon	677	648	29	4.48%	517	493
03136	33225	V	Haugen	Barron	266	266	0	0.00%	214	213
55136	33275	V	Hawkins	Rusk	334	331	3	0.91%	252	249
55018	33300	T	Hawkins	Rusk	123	122	1	0.82%	101	100
16014	33350	T	Hawthorne	Douglas	1,033	1,058	- 25	- 2.36%	834	850
17012	33400	T	Hay River	Dunn	638	627	11	1.75%	496	485
58236	33450	C	Hayward	Sawyer	2,503	2,533	- 30	- 1.18%	1,971	1,986
58010	33475	T	Hayward	Sawyer	3,758	3,765	- 7	- 0.19%	2,901	2,893
22136	33500	V	Hazel Green	In Multiple Counties	1,173	1,173	0	0.00%	904	900
22022	33525	T	Hazel Green	Grant	1,099	1,084	15	1.38%	904	888
44008	33575	T	Hazelhurst	Oneida	1,308	1,299	9	0.69%	1,080	1,068
28010	33700	T	Hebron	Jefferson	1,047	1,043	4	0.38%	865	858

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
69016	33850	T	Helvetia	Waupaca	704	701	3	0.43%	579	574
10020	33900	T	Hendren	Clark	436	433	3	0.69%	337	333
53014	33925	T	Henrietta	Richland	437	435	2	0.46%	353	350
14020	34000	T	Herman	Dodge	1,140	1,135	5	0.44%	907	899
59024	34025	T	Herman	Shawano	741	739	2	0.27%	585	581
60004	34050	T	Herman	Sheboygan	2,149	2,162	- 13	- 0.60%	1,833	1,836
10022	34200	T	Hewett	Clark	294	295	- 1	- 0.34%	252	252
37040	34225	T	Hewitt	Marathon	650	636	14	2.20%	507	494
72122	34250	V	Hewitt	Wood	803	796	7	0.88%	626	618
22024	34300	T	Hickory Grove	Grant	585	568	17	2.99%	364	352
16016	34425	T	Highland	Douglas	341	340	1	0.29%	298	296
25136	34450	V	Highland	Iowa	874	874	0	0.00%	648	645
25012	34475	T	Highland	Iowa	738	724	14	1.93%	578	564
08136	34575	V	Hilbert	Calumet	1,271	1,248	23	1.84%	929	908
21016	34650	T	Hiles	Forest	360	359	1	0.28%	324	322
72018	34675	T	Hiles	Wood	151	152	- 1	- 0.66%	122	122
51020	34725	T	Hill	Price	368	366	2	0.55%	286	283
63236	34825	C	Hillsboro	Vernon	1,427	1,397	30	2.15%	1,098	1,070
63022	34850	T	Hillsboro	Vernon	788	787	1	0.13%	576	573
10024	35050	T	Hixon	Clark	899	902	- 3	- 0.33%	568	567
27136	35075	V	Hixton	Jackson	453	456	- 3	- 0.66%	365	366
27024	35100	T	Hixton	Jackson	620	620	0	0.00%	487	485
10026	35125	T	Hoard	Clark	792	787	5	0.64%	576	570
05126	35150	V	Hobart	Brown	10,486	10,211	275	2.69%	8,042	7,796
05018	35325	T	Holland	Brown	1,568	1,559	9	0.58%	1,238	1,225
32016	35350	T	Holland	La Crosse	4,603	4,530	73	1.61%	3,350	3,282
60006	35375	T	Holland	Sheboygan	2,277	2,273	4	0.18%	1,829	1,818

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
25137	35400	V	Hollandale	Iowa	305	306	- 1	- 0.33%	222	222
32136	35450	V	Holmen	La Crosse	11,560	10,661	899	8.43%	8,570	7,868
37042	35500	T	Holton	Marathon	858	859	- 1	- 0.12%	631	629
61022	35525	T	Holway	Taylor	944	930	14	1.51%	637	625
19012	35600	T	Homestead	Florence	386	383	3	0.78%	329	325
57020	35625	T	Honey Creek	Sauk	752	749	3	0.40%	585	580
14236	35750	C	Horicon	Dodge	3,797	3,767	30	0.80%	2,976	2,939
45024	35825	T	Hortonia	Outagamie	1,053	1,052	1	0.10%	820	816
45136	35850	V	Hortonville	Outagamie	3,292	3,028	264	8.72%	2,416	2,212
43018	35925	T	How	Oconto	525	527	- 2	- 0.38%	427	427
05136	35950	V	Howard	In Multiple Counties	20,952	19,950	1,002	5.02%	16,206	15,362
09032	36000	T	Howard	Chippewa	792	777	15	1.93%	613	599
60135	36025	V	Howards Grove	Sheboygan	3,271	3,237	34	1.05%	2,540	2,502
14022	36100	T	Hubbard	Dodge	1,773	1,772	1	0.06%	1,455	1,448
55020	36125	T	Hubbard	Rusk	179	178	1	0.56%	155	153
56236	36250	C	Hudson	St. Croix	15,140	14,755	385	2.61%	11,675	11,327
56020	36275	T	Hudson	St. Croix	8,859	8,671	188	2.17%	6,635	6,465
04022	36300	T	Hughes	Bayfield	472	471	1	0.21%	394	391
37044	36325	T	Hull	Marathon	767	756	11	1.46%	544	534
50020	36350	T	Hull	Portage	5,305	5,287	18	0.34%	4,250	4,217
05022	36425	T	Humboldt	Brown	1,309	1,299	10	0.77%	1,063	1,050
58012	36450	T	Hunter	Sawyer	790	779	11	1.41%	687	674
26236	36525	C	Hurley	Iron	1,535	1,558	- 23	- 1.48%	1,286	1,299
14136	36625	V	Hustisford	Dodge	1,099	1,101	- 2	- 0.18%	858	856
14024	36650	T	Hustisford	Dodge	1,361	1,357	4	0.29%	1,095	1,087
29136	36675	V	Hustler	Juneau	161	162	- 1	- 0.62%	132	132
59026	36700	T	Hutchins	Shawano	504	500	4	0.80%	414	409

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
62241	36800	C	Independence	Trempealeau	1,509	1,498	11	0.73%	1,094	1,081
55141	36925	V	Ingram	Rusk	70	70	0	0.00%	61	61
69141	37025	V	Iola	Waupaca	1,245	1,249	- 4	- 0.32%	995	994
69018	37050	T	Iola	Waupaca	939	938	1	0.11%	779	775
14141	37150	V	Iron Ridge	Dodge	910	904	6	0.66%	706	698
04024	37200	T	Iron River	Bayfield	1,235	1,240	- 5	- 0.40%	1,027	1,027
57141	37225	V	Ironton	Sauk	268	274	- 6	- 2.19%	186	189
57022	37250	T	Ironton	Sauk	667	662	5	0.76%	504	498
27026	37275	T	Irving	Jackson	861	853	8	0.94%	593	585
48014	37350	T	Isabelle	Pierce	263	261	2	0.77%	215	212
53016	37500	T	Ithaca	Richland	637	633	4	0.63%	484	479
28012	37600	T	Ixonia	Jefferson	5,135	5,120	15	0.29%	3,789	3,761
01012	37625	T	Jackson	Adams	1,144	1,141	3	0.26%	979	972
07012	37650	T	Jackson	Burnett	943	935	8	0.86%	838	827
67141	37675	V	Jackson	Washington	7,844	7,185	659	9.17%	6,298	5,743
67014	37700	T	Jackson	Washington	4,064	4,629	- 565	- 12.21%	3,138	3,558
15016	37750	T	Jacksonport	Door	886	878	8	0.91%	767	757
02012	37775	T	Jacobs	Ashland	646	648	- 2	- 0.31%	519	518
22026	37800	T	Jamestown	Grant	2,210	2,181	29	1.33%	1,698	1,668
54241	37825	C	Janesville	Rock	66,206	65,615	591	0.90%	51,545	50,855
54016	37850	T	Janesville	Rock	3,692	3,665	27	0.74%	2,969	2,934
23016	37875	T	Jefferson	Green	1,172	1,170	2	0.17%	898	892
28241	37900	C	Jefferson	Jefferson	7,747	7,793	- 46	- 0.59%	6,077	6,086
28014	37925	T	Jefferson	Jefferson	2,076	2,067	9	0.44%	1,737	1,722
42016	37950	T	Jefferson	Monroe	870	841	29	3.45%	575	553
63024	37975	T	Jefferson	Vernon	1,281	1,270	11	0.87%	959	946
37046	38300	T	Johnson	Marathon	875	873	2	0.23%	653	649

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
28141	38350	V	Johnson Creek	Jefferson	3,402	3,318	84	2.53%	2,557	2,483
49028	38400	T	Johnstown	Polk	501	499	2	0.40%	433	429
54018	38450	T	Johnstown	Rock	763	766	- 3	- 0.39%	599	599
23018	38550	T	Jordan	Green	602	602	0	0.00%	464	462
61024	38627	T	Jump River	Taylor	276	275	1	0.36%	225	223
50141	38650	V	Junction City	Portage	419	420	- 1	- 0.24%	314	313
14241	38675	C	Juneau	Dodge	2,576	2,658	- 82	- 3.09%	2,144	2,202
45241	38800	C	Kaukauna	In Multiple Counties	17,441	17,089	352	2.06%	13,353	13,025
45026	38825	T	Kaukauna	Outagamie	1,323	1,306	17	1.30%	1,001	984
14143	38900	V	Kekoskee	Dodge	832	896	- 64	- 7.14%	682	731
36132	39000	V	Kellnersville	Manitowoc	303	307	- 4	- 1.30%	258	260
04026	39025	T	Kelly	Bayfield	435	436	- 1	- 0.23%	333	332
33018	39125	T	Kendall	Lafayette	409	402	7	1.74%	279	273
42141	39150	V	Kendall	Monroe	481	484	- 3	- 0.62%	380	381
51141	39175	V	Kennan	Price	142	143	- 1	- 0.70%	109	109
51022	39200	T	Kennan	Price	364	365	- 1	- 0.27%	274	274
30241	39225	C	Kenosha	Kenosha	100,051	99,986	65	0.07%	76,678	76,284
67142	39300	V	Kewaskum	In Multiple Counties	4,371	4,309	62	1.44%	3,359	3,296
67016	39325	T	Kewaskum	Washington	1,134	1,118	16	1.43%	913	896
31241	39350	C	Kewaunee	Kewaunee	2,822	2,837	- 15	- 0.53%	2,257	2,259
04028	39425	T	Keystone	Bayfield	372	373	- 1	- 0.27%	302	301
63026	39475	T	Kickapoo	Vernon	739	722	17	2.35%	501	487
36241	39525	C	Kiel	In Multiple Counties	3,975	3,932	43	1.09%	3,062	3,015
29014	39575	T	Kildare	Juneau	698	690	8	1.16%	570	561
26008	39625	T	Kimball	Iron	494	490	4	0.82%	411	406
45141	39650	V	Kimberly	Outagamie	7,526	7,320	206	2.81%	5,755	5,572
35012	39675	T	King	Lincoln	984	964	20	2.07%	838	817

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
24141	39750	V	Kingston	Green Lake	281	281	0	0.00%	230	229
24008	39775	T	Kingston	Green Lake	1,093	1,079	14	1.30%	712	700
29016	39800	T	Kingston	Juneau	57	57	0	0.00%	52	52
56022	39825	T	Kinnickinnic	St. Croix	1,840	1,815	25	1.38%	1,378	1,353
17141	39975	V	Knapp	Dunn	485	478	7	1.46%	378	371
27028	40000	T	Knapp	Jackson	307	303	4	1.32%	249	245
26010	40075	T	Knight	Iron	211	212	- 1	- 0.47%	170	170
37048	40150	T	Knowlton	Marathon	2,011	1,984	27	1.36%	1,598	1,569
51024	40175	T	Knox	Price	314	311	3	0.96%	263	259
60141	40275	V	Kohler	Sheboygan	2,208	2,195	13	0.59%	1,603	1,586
27030	40325	T	Komensky	Jackson	451	505	- 54	- 10.69%	332	370
28016	40375	T	Koshkonong	Jefferson	3,790	3,763	27	0.72%	3,006	2,971
36014	40425	T	Kossuth	Manitowoc	1,969	1,969	0	0.00%	1,643	1,636
37145	40550	V	Kronenwetter	Marathon	8,561	8,353	208	2.49%	6,548	6,360
64010	40687	T	Lac du Flambeau	Vilas	3,565	3,552	13	0.37%	2,674	2,652
68146	40750	V	Lac La Belle	In Multiple Counties	285	281	4	1.42%	233	229
32246	40775	C	La Crosse	La Crosse	52,160	52,680	- 520	- 0.99%	44,282	44,523
55246	40850	C	Ladysmith	Rusk	3,216	3,216	0	0.00%	2,536	2,525
63146	40875	V	La Farge	Vernon	726	730	- 4	- 0.55%	576	577
09034	40900	T	Lafayette	Chippewa	6,454	6,197	257	4.15%	5,074	4,850
42018	40925	T	Lafayette	Monroe	448	447	1	0.22%	370	368
65012	40950	T	Lafayette	Walworth	2,081	2,039	42	2.06%	1,643	1,603
07014	40975	T	La Follette	Burnett	562	559	3	0.54%	460	455
42020	41000	T	LaGrange	Monroe	1,948	1,948	0	0.00%	1,513	1,506
65014	41050	T	La Grange	Walworth	2,495	2,472	23	0.93%	2,056	2,028
38016	41075	T	Lake	Marinette	1,198	1,186	12	1.01%	974	960
51026	41150	T	Lake	Price	1,111	1,106	5	0.45%	975	966

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
57146	41300	V	Lake Delton	Sauk	3,515	3,501	14	0.40%	2,941	2,916
65246	41450	C	Lake Geneva	Walworth	8,542	8,277	265	3.20%	6,906	6,662
09128	41525	V	Lake Hallie	In Multiple Counties	7,307	7,170	137	1.91%	5,602	5,472
09035	41550	T	Lake Holcombe	Chippewa	1,016	1,011	5	0.49%	873	865
03026	41600	T	Lakeland	Barron	939	938	1	0.11%	776	772
28246	41675	C	Lake Mills	Jefferson	6,452	6,211	241	3.88%	4,891	4,687
28018	41700	T	Lake Mills	Jefferson	2,217	2,196	21	0.96%	1,740	1,716
16146	41725	V	Lake Nebagamon	Douglas	1,130	1,123	7	0.62%	909	899
16018	41800	T	Lakeside	Douglas	682	681	1	0.15%	524	521
44010	41887	T	Lake Tomahawk	Oneida	1,140	1,155	- 15	- 1.30%	994	1,003
49030	41900	T	Laketown	Polk	1,027	1,024	3	0.29%	812	806
43019	42075	T	Lakewood	Oconto	838	831	7	0.84%	755	745
20024	42125	T	Lamartine	Fond du Lac	1,765	1,747	18	1.03%	1,367	1,347
33020	42175	T	Lamont	Lafayette	312	313	- 1	- 0.32%	226	226
50022	42225	T	Lanark	Portage	1,551	1,535	16	1.04%	1,214	1,196
22246	42250	C	Lancaster	Grant	3,914	3,907	7	0.18%	2,950	2,931
64012	42325	T	Land O'Lakes	Vilas	955	944	11	1.17%	872	858
34012	42425	T	Langlade	Langlade	475	469	6	1.28%	400	393
68147	42450	V	Lannon	Waukesha	1,810	1,355	455	33.58%	1,390	1,036
21018	42500	T	Laona	Forest	1,214	1,215	- 1	- 0.08%	983	979
02014	42562	T	La Pointe	Ashland	430	428	2	0.47%	373	370
54020	42575	T	La Prairie	Rock	781	784	- 3	- 0.38%	633	633
69020	42650	T	Larrabee	Waupaca	1,283	1,286	- 3	- 0.23%	1,018	1,016
57147	42825	V	La Valle	Sauk	375	388	- 13	- 3.35%	301	310
57024	42850	T	La Valle	Sauk	1,441	1,420	21	1.48%	1,150	1,128
05024	42900	T	Lawrence	Brown	6,724	6,306	418	6.63%	5,028	4,694
55022	42950	T	Lawrence	Rusk	305	301	4	1.33%	230	226

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
14026	43050	T	Lebanon	Dodge	1,583	1,587	- 4	- 0.25%	1,284	1,281
69022	43075	T	Lebanon	Waupaca	1,623	1,619	4	0.25%	1,301	1,292
05025	43090	T	Ledgeview	Brown	9,373	8,820	553	6.27%	6,759	6,332
11018	43125	T	Leeds	Columbia	752	755	- 3	- 0.40%	616	616
29018	43300	T	Lemonweir	Juneau	1,668	1,658	10	0.60%	1,343	1,329
43146	43325	V	Lena	Oconto	535	537	- 2	- 0.37%	409	409
43020	43350	T	Lena	Oconto	741	743	- 2	- 0.27%	586	585
58014	43400	T	Lenroot	Sawyer	1,352	1,337	15	1.12%	1,149	1,131
01014	43425	T	Leola	Adams	288	287	1	0.35%	230	228
42022	43475	T	Leon	Monroe	1,154	1,144	10	0.87%	853	842
70014	43500	T	Leon	Waushara	1,536	1,534	2	0.13%	1,271	1,264
14028	43650	T	LeRoy	Dodge	957	956	1	0.10%	771	767
59028	43675	T	Lessor	Shawano	1,238	1,226	12	0.98%	962	948
10028	43700	T	Levis	Clark	436	437	- 1	- 0.23%	355	354
11020	43775	T	Lewiston	Columbia	1,260	1,262	- 2	- 0.16%	1,013	1,010
22028	43825	T	Liberty	Grant	544	543	1	0.18%	416	413
36016	43850	T	Liberty	Manitowoc	1,246	1,245	1	0.08%	1,011	1,006
45028	43875	T	Liberty	Outagamie	826	826	0	0.00%	657	654
63028	43900	T	Liberty	Vernon	347	341	6	1.76%	259	253
15018	43925	T	Liberty Grove	Door	2,125	2,096	29	1.38%	1,865	1,831
22030	44050	T	Lima	Grant	771	771	0	0.00%	564	561
47008	44075	T	Lima	Pepin	690	693	- 3	- 0.43%	517	517
54022	44125	T	Lima	Rock	1,274	1,271	3	0.24%	992	985
60008	44150	T	Lima	Sheboygan	2,965	2,956	9	0.30%	2,285	2,268
57148	44225	V	Lime Ridge	Sauk	157	158	- 1	- 0.63%	114	114
01016	44250	T	Lincoln	Adams	323	320	3	0.94%	267	263
04030	44275	T	Lincoln	Bayfield	250	251	- 1	- 0.40%	217	217

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
06018	44300	T	Lincoln	Buffalo	166	167	- 1	- 0.60%	149	149
07016	44325	T	Lincoln	Burnett	372	367	5	1.36%	304	299
18012	44350	T	Lincoln	Eau Claire	1,136	1,125	11	0.98%	850	838
21020	44375	T	Lincoln	Forest	1,136	1,133	3	0.26%	858	852
31010	44425	T	Lincoln	Kewaunee	931	932	- 1	- 0.11%	732	729
42024	44450	T	Lincoln	Monroe	800	793	7	0.88%	631	623
49032	44475	T	Lincoln	Polk	2,112	2,099	13	0.62%	1,786	1,767
62020	44500	T	Lincoln	Trempealeau	776	779	- 3	- 0.39%	654	654
64014	44525	T	Lincoln	Vilas	2,660	2,659	1	0.04%	2,205	2,194
72020	44550	T	Lincoln	Wood	1,598	1,593	5	0.31%	1,238	1,229
69024	44575	T	Lind	Waupaca	1,580	1,571	9	0.57%	1,262	1,249
25146	44625	V	Linden	Iowa	505	504	1	0.20%	400	397
25014	44650	T	Linden	Iowa	740	755	- 15	- 1.99%	589	598
29020	44675	T	Lindina	Juneau	683	685	- 2	- 0.29%	541	540
65016	44750	T	Linn	Walworth	2,717	2,687	30	1.12%	2,213	2,179
50024	44800	T	Linwood	Portage	1,089	1,070	19	1.78%	904	884
29022	44825	T	Lisbon ⁴	Juneau	944	939	5	0.53%	764	757
68010	44850	T	Lisbon	Waukesha	10,735	10,477	258	2.46%	8,394	8,155
61026	44900	T	Little Black	Taylor	1,169	1,156	13	1.12%	873	859
45146	44950	V	Little Chute	Outagamie	12,213	11,619	594	5.11%	9,546	9,041
42026	44975	T	Little Falls	Monroe	1,541	1,509	32	2.12%	1,202	1,172
22032	45025	T	Little Grant	Grant	315	314	1	0.32%	217	215
44012	45150	T	Little Rice	Oneida	392	388	4	1.03%	342	337
43022	45175	T	Little River	Oconto	1,099	1,092	7	0.64%	834	825
43024	45275	T	Little Suamico	Oconto	5,633	5,536	97	1.75%	4,344	4,250
69026	45300	T	Little Wolf	Waupaca	1,398	1,400	- 2	- 0.14%	1,126	1,123
22147	45325	V	Livingston	In Multiple Counties	638	637	1	0.16%	478	475

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
11246	45350	C	Lodi	Columbia	3,226	3,189	37	1.16%	2,520	2,480
11022	45375	T	Lodi	Columbia	3,296	3,282	14	0.43%	2,651	2,628
57149	45400	V	Loganville	Sauk	300	301	- 1	- 0.33%	231	231
70146	45425	V	Lohrville	Waushara	432	434	- 2	- 0.46%	349	349
14146	45475	V	Lomira	Dodge	2,688	2,678	10	0.37%	2,069	2,052
14030	45500	T	Lomira	Dodge	1,104	1,099	5	0.45%	878	870
53146	45575	V	Lone Rock	Richland	830	829	1	0.12%	657	653
19014	45625	T	Long Lake	Florence	178	178	0	0.00%	157	156
66026	45650	T	Long Lake	Washburn	630	628	2	0.32%	549	545
10030	45700	T	Longwood	Clark	871	877	- 6	- 0.68%	544	545
49034	45775	T	Lorain	Polk	311	308	3	0.97%	258	254
14147	45950	V	Lowell	Dodge	306	309	- 3	- 0.97%	261	262
14032	45975	T	Lowell	Dodge	1,164	1,166	- 2	- 0.17%	920	917
11024	46050	T	Lowville	Columbia	1,024	1,017	7	0.69%	832	823
10246	46075	C	Loyal	Clark	1,201	1,203	- 2	- 0.17%	903	900
10032	46100	T	Loyal	Clark	793	790	3	0.38%	503	499
61146	46150	V	Lublin	Taylor	117	117	0	0.00%	92	92
17014	46175	T	Lucas	Dunn	700	698	2	0.29%	565	561
49146	46200	V	Luck	Polk	1,089	1,093	- 4	- 0.37%	887	886
49036	46225	T	Luck	Polk	980	979	1	0.10%	760	756
18014	46275	T	Ludington	Eau Claire	1,072	1,067	5	0.47%	841	833
31146	46400	V	Luxemburg	Kewaunee	2,730	2,685	45	1.68%	2,054	2,011
31012	46425	T	Luxemburg	Kewaunee	1,460	1,458	2	0.14%	1,131	1,124
29024	46525	T	Lyndon	Juneau	1,494	1,493	1	0.07%	1,175	1,169
60010	46575	T	Lyndon	Sheboygan	1,539	1,526	13	0.85%	1,241	1,225
29146	46600	V	Lyndon Station	Juneau	496	498	- 2	- 0.40%	390	390
10034	46625	T	Lynn	Clark	850	834	16	1.92%	557	544

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
44014	46650	T	Lynne	Oneida	138	139	- 1	- 0.72%	118	118
12146	46675	V	Lynxville	Crawford	133	132	1	0.76%	115	114
65018	46725	T	Lyons	Walworth	3,667	3,648	19	0.52%	2,941	2,913
13154	46850	V	McFarland	Dane	9,537	8,991	546	6.07%	7,207	6,764
24010	46875	T	Mackford	Green Lake	493	495	- 2	- 0.40%	392	392
49038	46900	T	McKinley	Polk	343	340	3	0.88%	290	286
61030	46925	T	McKinley	Taylor	410	408	2	0.49%	298	295
37056	46975	T	McMillan	Marathon	2,086	2,074	12	0.58%	1,550	1,534
66028	47025	T	Madge	Washburn	532	532	0	0.00%	463	461
13251	48000	C	Madison	Dane	279,012	269,840	9,172	3.40%	234,472	225,745
13032	48025	T	Madison	Dane	6,212	6,236	- 24	- 0.38%	4,950	4,947
54024	48150	T	Magnolia	Rock	745	742	3	0.40%	593	588
48151	48175	V	Maiden Rock	Pierce	115	115	0	0.00%	98	98
48016	48200	T	Maiden Rock	Pierce	605	591	14	2.37%	495	481
37146	48225	V	Maine	Marathon	2,621	2,613	8	0.31%	2,110	2,094
45030	48250	T	Maine	Outagamie	854	851	3	0.35%	676	671
69251	48350	C	Manawa	Waupaca	1,441	1,441	0	0.00%	1,076	1,071
24012	48400	T	Manchester	Green Lake	1,069	1,057	12	1.14%	726	715
27032	48425	T	Manchester	Jackson	822	825	- 3	- 0.36%	663	662
64016	48462	T	Manitowish Waters	Vilas	628	624	4	0.64%	573	567
36251	48500	C	Manitowoc	Manitowoc	34,722	34,626	96	0.28%	27,569	27,369
36018	48525	T	Manitowoc	Manitowoc	1,074	1,076	- 2	- 0.19%	896	894
36020	48575	T	Manitowoc Rapids	Manitowoc	2,100	2,114	- 14	- 0.66%	1,752	1,756
16020	48725	T	Maple	Douglas	710	700	10	1.43%	549	539
13151	48750	V	Maple Bluff	Dane	1,433	1,368	65	4.75%	1,122	1,066
45032	48775	T	Maple Creek	Outagamie	590	591	- 1	- 0.17%	465	464
03028	48825	T	Maple Grove	Barron	874	877	- 3	- 0.34%	712	711

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
36022	48875	T	Maple Grove	Manitowoc	764	773	- 9	- 1.16%	594	598
59030	48900	T	Maple Grove	Shawano	936	939	- 3	- 0.32%	749	748
61028	48925	T	Maplehurst	Taylor	343	338	5	1.48%	263	258
03030	48950	T	Maple Plain	Barron	852	844	8	0.95%	690	680
43026	49000	T	Maple Valley	Oconto	653	647	6	0.93%	528	521
37054	49075	T	Marathon	Marathon	991	995	- 4	- 0.40%	789	789
37151	49100	V	Marathon City	Marathon	1,565	1,576	- 11	- 0.70%	1,178	1,181
11026	49150	T	Marcellon	Columbia	1,145	1,140	5	0.44%	844	837
02016	49200	T	Marengo	Ashland	464	460	4	0.87%	269	265
36147	49250	V	Maribel	Manitowoc	335	336	- 1	- 0.30%	268	268
12012	49275	T	Marietta	Crawford	509	507	2	0.39%	385	382
38251	49300	C	Marinette	Marinette	11,129	11,119	10	0.09%	8,819	8,771
22034	49350	T	Marion	Grant	627	629	- 2	- 0.32%	443	442
29026	49375	T	Marion	Juneau	445	444	1	0.23%	355	353
69252	49400	C	Marion	In Multiple Counties	1,320	1,324	- 4	- 0.30%	1,025	1,024
70016	49425	T	Marion	Waushara	2,030	2,020	10	0.50%	1,783	1,766
24251	49450	C	Markesan	Green Lake	1,365	1,377	- 12	- 0.87%	1,056	1,060
24154	49500	V	Marquette	Green Lake	170	172	- 2	- 1.16%	147	148
24014	49525	T	Marquette	Green Lake	530	523	7	1.34%	434	426
13152	49575	V	Marshall	Dane	3,909	3,787	122	3.22%	2,943	2,838
53018	49600	T	Marshall	Richland	537	540	- 3	- 0.56%	409	409
55024	49625	T	Marshall	Rusk	656	664	- 8	- 1.20%	439	442
20026	49650	T	Marshfield	Fond du Lac	1,114	1,123	- 9	- 0.80%	882	885
72251	49675	C	Marshfield	In Multiple Counties	18,969	18,929	40	0.21%	15,311	15,204
72022	49700	T	Marshfield	Wood	771	763	8	1.05%	615	606
48018	49775	T	Martell	Pierce	1,164	1,147	17	1.48%	941	923
04151	49900	V	Mason	Bayfield	101	101	0	0.00%	67	67

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
04032	49925	T	Mason	Bayfield	287	289	- 2	- 0.69%	229	230
69028	49975	T	Matteson	Waupaca	915	914	1	0.11%	759	755
59151	50000	V	Mattoon	Shawano	356	357	- 1	- 0.28%	286	286
29251	50025	C	Mauston	Juneau	4,286	4,347	- 61	- 1.40%	3,390	3,423
06020	50075	T	Maxville	Buffalo	320	321	- 1	- 0.31%	245	245
10036	50175	T	Mayville	Clark	967	963	4	0.42%	659	653
14251	50200	C	Mayville	Dodge	5,211	5,196	15	0.29%	4,125	4,095
13153	50225	V	Mazomanie	Dane	1,790	1,768	22	1.24%	1,366	1,343
13034	50250	T	Mazomanie	Dane	1,087	1,074	13	1.21%	905	890
10038	50275	T	Mead	Clark	363	357	6	1.68%	267	261
58016	50300	T	Meadowbrook	Sawyer	135	134	1	0.75%	123	122
39010	50350	T	Mecan	Marquette	756	752	4	0.53%	659	653
32018	50400	T	Medary	La Crosse	1,613	1,604	9	0.56%	1,280	1,267
61251	50425	C	Medford	Taylor	4,305	4,349	- 44	- 1.01%	3,367	3,386
61032	50450	T	Medford	Taylor	2,519	2,482	37	1.49%	1,943	1,906
13036	50475	T	Medina	Dane	1,353	1,344	9	0.67%	1,113	1,101
36024	50625	T	Meeme	Manitowoc	1,444	1,440	4	0.28%	1,141	1,133
07018	50650	T	Meenon	Burnett	1,212	1,212	0	0.00%	983	979
02251	50700	C	Mellen	Ashland	694	698	- 4	- 0.57%	554	555
27151	50750	V	Melrose	Jackson	539	544	- 5	- 0.92%	399	401
27034	50775	T	Melrose	Jackson	474	470	4	0.85%	356	351
42151	50800	V	Melvina	Monroe	94	93	1	1.08%	73	72
71251	50825	C	Menasha	In Multiple Counties	18,490	18,268	222	1.22%	14,421	14,192
40001	50975	T	Menominee	Menominee	4,266	4,255	11	0.26%	2,914	2,893
68151	51000	V	Menomonee Falls	Waukesha	39,213	38,527	686	1.78%	30,847	30,171
17251	51025	C	Menomonie	Dunn	16,616	16,843	- 227	- 1.35%	14,165	14,294
17016	51050	T	Menomonie	Dunn	3,438	3,415	23	0.67%	2,618	2,589

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
10040	51125	T	Mentor	Clark	548	546	2	0.37%	407	404
46255	51150	C	Mequon	Ozaukee	25,141	25,142	- 1	0.00%	19,980	19,891
26012	51200	T	Mercer	Iron	1,656	1,649	7	0.42%	1,482	1,469
35251	51250	C	Merrill	Lincoln	9,312	9,347	- 35	- 0.37%	7,473	7,467
35014	51275	T	Merrill	Lincoln	2,882	2,881	1	0.03%	2,327	2,316
27152	51300	V	Merrillan	Jackson	561	562	- 1	- 0.18%	433	432
57151	51325	V	Merrimac	Sauk	533	527	6	1.14%	403	397
57026	51350	T	Merrimac	Sauk	1,271	1,247	24	1.92%	1,010	986
68152	51375	V	Merton	Waukesha	3,482	3,441	41	1.19%	2,477	2,437
68014	51400	T	Merton	Waukesha	8,308	8,277	31	0.37%	6,554	6,500
58018	51425	T	Meteor	Sawyer	167	156	11	7.05%	146	136
20028	51450	T	Metomen	Fond du Lac	691	689	2	0.29%	539	535
38018	51537	T	Middle Inlet	Marinette	861	851	10	1.18%	721	709
13255	51575	C	Middleton	Dane	23,031	21,827	1,204	5.52%	18,274	17,241
13038	51600	T	Middleton	Dane	6,977	6,792	185	2.72%	5,042	4,886
25016	51725	T	Mifflin	Iowa	565	558	7	1.25%	424	417
28020	51850	T	Milford	Jefferson	1,115	1,106	9	0.81%	888	877
72151	51875	V	Milladore	In Multiple Counties	268	268	0	0.00%	197	196
72024	51900	T	Milladore	Wood	662	668	- 6	- 0.90%	512	514
27036	52050	T	Millston	Jackson	168	168	0	0.00%	146	145
49151	52075	V	Milltown	Polk	952	948	4	0.42%	763	756
49040	52100	T	Milltown	Polk	1,226	1,219	7	0.57%	1,001	991
22036	52150	T	Millville	Grant	127	127	0	0.00%	112	111
06022	52175	T	Milton	Buffalo	540	541	- 1	- 0.18%	457	456
54257	52200	C	Milton	Rock	5,710	5,716	- 6	- 0.10%	4,384	4,369
54026	52225	T	Milton	Rock	3,111	3,100	11	0.35%	2,522	2,502
41251	53000	C	Milwaukee ⁵	In Multiple Counties	577,309	577,922	- 613	- 0.11%	434,954	433,460

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
25251	53100	C	Mineral Point	Iowa	2,583	2,581	2	0.08%	2,035	2,024
25018	53125	T	Mineral Point	Iowa	993	974	19	1.95%	757	739
44016	53225	T	Minocqua	Oneida	5,068	5,062	6	0.12%	4,301	4,277
66151	53250	V	Minong	Washburn	550	548	2	0.36%	449	445
66030	53275	T	Minong	Washburn	992	984	8	0.81%	876	865
36151	53325	V	Mishicot	Manitowoc	1,432	1,432	0	0.00%	1,130	1,125
36026	53350	T	Mishicot	Manitowoc	1,326	1,327	- 1	- 0.08%	1,045	1,041
60012	53375	T	Mitchell	Sheboygan	1,833	1,900	- 67	- 3.53%	1,612	1,663
06024	53450	T	Modena	Buffalo	310	313	- 3	- 0.96%	236	237
61034	53550	T	Molitor	Taylor	307	308	- 1	- 0.32%	232	232
06251	53600	C	Mondovi	Buffalo	2,852	2,845	7	0.25%	2,180	2,165
06026	53625	T	Mondovi	Buffalo	450	451	- 1	- 0.22%	360	359
44018	53662	T	Monico	Oneida	261	260	1	0.38%	218	216
13258	53675	C	Monona	Dane	8,869	8,624	245	2.84%	7,255	7,023
01018	53725	T	Monroe	Adams	395	391	4	1.02%	366	361
23251	53750	C	Monroe	Green	10,691	10,661	30	0.28%	8,460	8,398
23020	53775	T	Monroe	Green	1,281	1,291	- 10	- 0.77%	1,011	1,014
06028	53850	T	Montana	Buffalo	267	268	- 1	- 0.37%	213	213
39251	53875	C	Montello	Marquette	1,441	1,448	- 7	- 0.48%	1,188	1,188
39012	53900	T	Montello	Marquette	1,135	1,132	3	0.27%	923	916
22151	53950	V	Montfort	In Multiple Counties	701	705	- 4	- 0.57%	515	516
23151	54000	V	Monticello	Green	1,195	1,192	3	0.25%	952	945
33022	54025	T	Monticello	Lafayette	137	138	- 1	- 0.72%	100	100
31014	54050	T	Montpelier	Kewaunee	1,360	1,362	- 2	- 0.15%	1,061	1,058
26251	54075	C	Montreal	Iron	799	801	- 2	- 0.25%	650	649
13040	54100	T	Montrose	Dane	1,064	1,064	0	0.00%	898	894
43028	54200	T	Morgan	Oconto	1,000	985	15	1.52%	815	799

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
59032	54250	T	Morris	Shawano	375	374	1	0.27%	312	310
05026	54300	T	Morrison	Brown	1,698	1,689	9	0.53%	1,263	1,251
02018	54400	T	Morse	Ashland	499	499	0	0.00%	406	404
25020	54425	T	Moscow	Iowa	592	582	10	1.72%	469	459
60014	54475	T	Mosel	Sheboygan	753	748	5	0.67%	603	596
37251	54500	C	Mosinee	Marathon	4,495	4,452	43	0.97%	3,489	3,440
37058	54525	T	Mosinee	Marathon	2,268	2,216	52	2.35%	1,816	1,766
39014	54600	T	Moundville	Marquette	534	526	8	1.52%	419	411
43029	54630	T	Mountain	Oconto	837	832	5	0.60%	762	754
20151	54650	V	Mount Calvary	Fond du Lac	549	548	1	0.18%	452	449
22152	54675	V	Mount Hope	Grant	213	215	- 2	- 0.93%	155	156
22038	54700	T	Mount Hope	Grant	281	282	- 1	- 0.35%	201	201
13157	54725	V	Mount Horeb	Dane	7,871	7,754	117	1.51%	5,776	5,665
22040	54775	T	Mount Ida	Grant	560	561	- 1	- 0.18%	414	413
70018	54825	T	Mount Morris	Waushara	1,107	1,096	11	1.00%	945	931
23022	54850	T	Mount Pleasant	Green	625	619	6	0.97%	521	514
52151	54875	V	Mount Pleasant	Racine	28,191	27,732	459	1.66%	22,853	22,380
12151	54900	V	Mount Sterling	Crawford	189	189	0	0.00%	147	146
69030	55025	T	Mukwa	Waupaca	2,831	2,830	1	0.04%	2,291	2,280
68153	55050	V	Mukwonago	In Multiple Counties	8,384	8,262	122	1.48%	6,336	6,216
68016	55075	T	Mukwonago	Waukesha	7,807	7,781	26	0.33%	6,027	5,980
55026	55175	T	Murry	Rusk	253	247	6	2.43%	205	199
22153	55200	V	Muscoda	In Multiple Counties	1,314	1,307	7	0.54%	1,027	1,017
22042	55225	T	Muscoda	Grant	762	754	8	1.06%	576	567
68251	55275	C	Muskego	Waukesha	25,343	25,032	311	1.24%	19,720	19,390
04034	55375	T	Namakagon	Bayfield	316	316	0	0.00%	304	303
06030	55450	T	Naples	Buffalo	697	697	0	0.00%	530	528

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
15020	55500	T	Nasewaupee	Door	2,002	1,984	18	0.91%	1,676	1,653
68158	55550	V	Nashotah	Waukesha	1,319	1,321	- 2	- 0.15%	1,018	1,015
21022	55575	T	Nashville	Forest	1,233	1,215	18	1.48%	967	949
59034	55675	T	Navarino	Shawano	414	413	1	0.24%	337	335
29161	55700	V	Necedah	Juneau	948	924	24	2.60%	708	687
29028	55725	T	Necedah	Juneau	2,488	2,448	40	1.63%	1,989	1,948
71261	55750	C	Neenah	Winnebago	27,726	27,319	407	1.49%	21,139	20,735
71010	55775	T	Neenah	Winnebago	3,701	3,702	- 1	- 0.03%	2,780	2,768
10261	55800	C	Neillsville	Clark	2,320	2,384	- 64	- 2.68%	1,849	1,891
71012	55850	T	Nekimi	Winnebago	1,334	1,337	- 3	- 0.22%	1,101	1,099
72261	55875	C	Nekoosa	Wood	2,439	2,449	- 10	- 0.41%	1,882	1,881
06154	55950	V	Nelson	Buffalo	322	322	0	0.00%	258	257
06032	55975	T	Nelson	Buffalo	576	574	2	0.35%	467	463
50161	56000	V	Nelsonville	Portage	157	158	- 1	- 0.63%	114	114
14161	56075	V	Neosho	Dodge	590	591	- 1	- 0.17%	471	470
71014	56100	T	Nepeuskun	Winnebago	724	724	0	0.00%	567	564
39161	56125	V	Neshkoro	Marquette	410	412	- 2	- 0.49%	338	338
39016	56150	T	Neshkoro	Marquette	564	565	- 1	- 0.18%	497	496
34014	56200	T	Neva	Langlade	845	846	- 1	- 0.12%	696	694
54028	56325	T	Newark	Rock	1,513	1,510	3	0.20%	1,231	1,223
09161	56350	V	New Auburn	In Multiple Counties	562	562	0	0.00%	418	416
68261	56375	C	New Berlin	Waukesha	40,426	40,451	- 25	- 0.06%	32,650	32,523
44020	56425	T	Newbold	Oneida	2,848	2,831	17	0.60%	2,396	2,371
67161	56450	V	Newburg	In Multiple Counties	1,144	1,142	2	0.18%	899	894
01020	56525	T	New Chester	Adams	2,071	1,960	111	5.66%	1,922	1,811
05028	56575	T	New Denmark	Brown	1,557	1,562	- 5	- 0.32%	1,194	1,192
33024	56625	T	New Diggings	Lafayette	486	486	0	0.00%	382	380

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
23161	56700	V	New Glarus	Green	2,360	2,266	94	4.15%	1,782	1,703
23024	56725	T	New Glarus	Green	1,409	1,393	16	1.15%	1,085	1,068
01022	56750	T	New Haven	Adams	680	680	0	0.00%	539	537
17018	56775	T	New Haven	Dunn	675	673	2	0.30%	517	513
08261	56800	C	New Holstein	Calumet	3,177	3,195	- 18	- 0.56%	2,561	2,564
08012	56825	T	New Holstein	Calumet	1,527	1,534	- 7	- 0.46%	1,149	1,149
50026	56850	T	New Hope	Portage	718	711	7	0.98%	581	573
29261	56900	C	New Lisbon ⁴	Juneau	2,526	2,559	- 33	- 1.29%	2,171	2,189
69261	56925	C	New London	In Multiple Counties	7,491	7,348	143	1.95%	5,786	5,650
42028	56950	T	New Lyme	Monroe	197	193	4	2.07%	156	152
11028	57025	T	Newport	Columbia	604	607	- 3	- 0.49%	508	508
56261	57100	C	New Richmond	St. Croix	10,541	10,079	462	4.58%	7,984	7,600
36028	57200	T	Newton	Manitowoc	2,122	2,122	0	0.00%	1,768	1,760
39018	57225	T	Newton	Marquette	533	529	4	0.76%	430	425
38261	57325	C	Niagara	Marinette	1,580	1,602	- 22	- 1.37%	1,258	1,270
38020	57350	T	Niagara	Marinette	859	852	7	0.82%	700	691
45155	57375	V	Nichols	Outagamie	289	290	- 1	- 0.34%	222	222
44022	57450	T	Nokomis	Oneida	1,394	1,372	22	1.60%	1,196	1,172
37060	57600	T	Norrie	Marathon	1,018	1,010	8	0.79%	811	801
52161	57700	V	North Bay	Racine	208	209	- 1	- 0.48%	178	178
27038	57775	T	North Bend	Jackson	491	491	0	0.00%	398	396
27040	57975	T	Northfield	Jackson	683	674	9	1.34%	521	512
20161	58000	V	North Fond du Lac	Fond du Lac	5,385	5,378	7	0.13%	4,139	4,115
57161	58025	V	North Freedom	Sauk	598	603	- 5	- 0.83%	466	468
56161	58050	V	North Hudson	St. Croix	3,888	3,803	85	2.24%	3,095	3,014
22044	58125	T	North Lancaster	Grant	587	581	6	1.03%	438	432
68161	58400	V	North Prairie	Waukesha	2,208	2,202	6	0.27%	1,703	1,691

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
42161	58575	V	Norwalk	Monroe	609	611	- 2	- 0.33%	422	421
52010	58600	T	Norway	Racine	7,944	7,916	28	0.35%	6,321	6,270
34016	58700	T	Norwood	Langlade	911	907	4	0.44%	731	725
41265	58800	C	Oak Creek	Milwaukee	37,374	36,497	877	2.40%	29,322	28,505
42165	58850	V	Oakdale	Monroe	301	302	- 1	- 0.33%	237	237
42030	58875	T	Oakdale	Monroe	756	754	2	0.27%	553	549
20165	58900	V	Oakfield	Fond du Lac	1,061	1,052	9	0.86%	835	824
20030	58925	T	Oakfield	Fond du Lac	678	681	- 3	- 0.44%	523	523
03032	58950	T	Oak Grove	Barron	969	960	9	0.94%	736	726
14034	59000	T	Oak Grove	Dodge	1,028	1,028	0	0.00%	848	844
48020	59025	T	Oak Grove	Pierce	2,441	2,361	80	3.39%	1,817	1,750
07020	59075	T	Oakland	Burnett	986	980	6	0.61%	884	875
16022	59100	T	Oakland	Douglas	1,186	1,170	16	1.37%	941	924
28022	59125	T	Oakland	Jefferson	3,241	3,231	10	0.31%	2,697	2,677
70020	59225	T	Oasis	Waushara	381	384	- 3	- 0.78%	301	302
68265	59250	C	Oconomowoc	Waukesha	18,485	18,203	282	1.55%	14,246	13,966
68022	59275	T	Oconomowoc	Waukesha	8,861	8,836	25	0.28%	7,120	7,068
68166	59300	V	Oconomowoc Lake	Waukesha	572	566	6	1.06%	476	469
43265	59350	C	Oconto	Oconto	4,600	4,609	- 9	- 0.20%	3,649	3,640
43030	59375	T	Oconto	Oconto	1,359	1,340	19	1.42%	1,081	1,061
43266	59400	C	Oconto Falls	Oconto	2,991	2,957	34	1.15%	2,301	2,265
43032	59425	T	Oconto Falls	Oconto	1,266	1,259	7	0.56%	1,009	999
69165	59475	V	Ogdensburg	Waupaca	188	188	0	0.00%	156	155
51028	59525	T	Ogema	Price	727	727	0	0.00%	612	609
58020	59600	T	Ojibwa	Sawyer	310	307	3	0.98%	278	274
16165	59800	V	Oliver	Douglas	423	423	0	0.00%	335	333
26014	59850	T	Oma	Iron	326	320	6	1.88%	300	293

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
71265	59875	C	Omro	Winnebago	3,644	3,652	- 8	- 0.22%	2,779	2,773
71016	59900	T	Omro	Winnebago	2,356	2,293	63	2.75%	1,866	1,808
32265	59925	C	Onalaska	La Crosse	19,276	18,803	473	2.52%	15,012	14,578
32020	59950	T	Onalaska	La Crosse	5,875	5,835	40	0.69%	4,484	4,433
45034	60000	T	Oneida	Outagamie	4,555	4,579	- 24	- 0.52%	3,385	3,388
63165	60075	V	Ontario	In Multiple Counties	528	534	- 6	- 1.12%	389	392
60165	60100	V	Oostburg	Sheboygan	3,093	3,056	37	1.21%	2,332	2,294
29030	60150	T	Orange	Juneau	542	540	2	0.37%	427	424
13165	60200	V	Oregon	Dane	11,815	11,179	636	5.69%	8,618	8,117
13042	60225	T	Oregon	Dane	3,136	3,125	11	0.35%	2,462	2,442
54165	60250	V	Orfordville	Rock	1,494	1,473	21	1.43%	1,116	1,095
04036	60275	T	Orienta	Bayfield	164	164	0	0.00%	130	129
53020	60350	T	Orion	Richland	543	541	2	0.37%	419	416
45036	60400	T	Osborn	Outagamie	1,213	1,200	13	1.08%	935	921
20032	60425	T	Osceola	Fond du Lac	1,837	1,836	1	0.05%	1,464	1,457
49165	60450	V	Osceola	Polk	2,824	2,765	59	2.13%	2,274	2,216
49042	60475	T	Osceola	Polk	3,085	3,023	62	2.05%	2,367	2,309
71266	60500	C	Oshkosh	Winnebago	66,929	66,816	113	0.17%	54,976	54,637
71018	60525	T	Oshkosh	Winnebago	2,449	2,439	10	0.41%	2,036	2,019
62265	60575	C	Osseo	Trempealeau	1,811	1,811	0	0.00%	1,366	1,360
11030	60687	T	Otsego	Columbia	670	670	0	0.00%	552	550
68024	60700	T	Ottawa	Waukesha	3,659	3,646	13	0.36%	3,032	3,008
17020	60725	T	Otter Creek	Dunn	521	498	23	4.62%	413	393
18016	60750	T	Otter Creek	Eau Claire	425	427	- 2	- 0.47%	334	334
04038	60775	T	Oulu	Bayfield	563	560	3	0.54%	449	445
10265	60825	C	Owen	Clark	917	916	1	0.11%	731	727
39165	60875	V	Oxford	Marquette	535	537	- 2	- 0.37%	421	421

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
39020	60900	T	Oxford	Marquette	935	930	5	0.54%	756	749
11032	60925	T	Pacific	Columbia	2,811	2,791	20	0.72%	2,338	2,311
39022	60962	T	Packwaukeee	Marquette	1,475	1,469	6	0.41%	1,230	1,219
30171	60975	V	Paddock Lake	Kenosha	2,995	2,919	76	2.60%	2,354	2,284
28171	61025	V	Palmyra	Jefferson	1,721	1,719	2	0.12%	1,382	1,374
28024	61050	T	Palmyra	Jefferson	1,226	1,220	6	0.49%	984	975
11171	61100	V	Pardeeville	Columbia	2,068	2,074	- 6	- 0.29%	1,622	1,619
22046	61150	T	Paris	Grant	660	655	5	0.76%	524	518
30006	61175	T	Paris	Kenosha	1,398	1,397	1	0.07%	1,145	1,139
51271	61200	C	Park Falls	Price	2,378	2,410	- 32	- 1.33%	1,901	1,918
16024	61250	T	Parkland	Douglas	1,242	1,231	11	0.89%	980	967
50171	61325	V	Park Ridge	Portage	535	530	5	0.94%	423	417
34018	61375	T	Parrish	Langlade	92	91	1	1.10%	78	77
22171	61425	V	Patch Grove	Grant	198	201	- 3	- 1.49%	152	154
22048	61450	T	Patch Grove	Grant	365	364	1	0.27%	269	267
34020	61525	T	Peck	Langlade	326	324	2	0.62%	271	268
02020	61600	T	Peeksville	Ashland	137	137	0	0.00%	119	118
44024	61625	T	Pelican	Oneida	2,813	2,809	4	0.14%	2,335	2,321
59036	61700	T	Pella	Shawano	908	899	9	1.00%	752	741
38022	61775	T	Pembine	Marinette	880	877	3	0.34%	704	698
26016	61825	T	Pence	Iron	159	160	- 1	- 0.63%	145	145
43034	61900	T	Pensaukee	Oconto	1,355	1,352	3	0.22%	1,106	1,099
47171	61925	V	Pepin	Pepin	742	731	11	1.50%	635	623
47010	61950	T	Pepin	Pepin	747	741	6	0.81%	611	603
13044	62050	T	Perry	Dane	740	737	3	0.41%	589	584
61036	62100	T	Pershing	Taylor	203	201	2	1.00%	138	136
17022	62125	T	Peru	Dunn	235	233	2	0.86%	190	188

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
38271	62175	C	Peshtigo	Marinette	3,361	3,420	- 59	- 1.73%	2,646	2,680
38024	62200	T	Peshtigo	Marinette	4,001	4,006	- 5	- 0.12%	3,313	3,302
68270	62240	C	Pewaukee	Waukesha	16,127	15,914	213	1.34%	12,602	12,380
68171	62250	V	Pewaukee	Waukesha	8,215	8,238	- 23	- 0.28%	6,688	6,677
64018	62425	T	Phelps	Vilas	1,242	1,238	4	0.32%	1,093	1,085
51272	62450	C	Phillips	Price	1,525	1,533	- 8	- 0.52%	1,188	1,189
44026	62600	T	Piehl	Oneida	74	74	0	0.00%	67	67
31016	62625	T	Pierce	Kewaunee	773	772	1	0.13%	645	641
62022	62650	T	Pigeon	Trempealeau	1,025	1,018	7	0.69%	708	700
62173	62675	V	Pigeon Falls	Trempealeau	380	381	- 1	- 0.26%	321	320
04040	62700	T	Pilsen	Bayfield	223	216	7	3.24%	186	179
50028	62825	T	Pine Grove	Portage	873	873	0	0.00%	667	664
44028	62925	T	Pine Lake	Oneida	2,724	2,724	0	0.00%	2,255	2,245
35016	62950	T	Pine River	Lincoln	1,886	1,874	12	0.64%	1,538	1,521
10042	63000	T	Pine Valley	Clark	1,189	1,175	14	1.19%	935	920
05030	63075	T	Pittsfield	Brown	2,811	2,791	20	0.72%	2,189	2,164
72271	63100	C	Pittsville	Wood	827	813	14	1.72%	648	634
57171	63125	V	Plain	Sauk	748	749	- 1	- 0.13%	601	599
70171	63150	V	Plainfield	Waushara	920	924	- 4	- 0.43%	655	655
70022	63175	T	Plainfield	Waushara	495	496	- 1	- 0.20%	387	386
22271	63250	C	Platteville	Grant	11,154	11,836	- 682	- 5.76%	9,691	10,237
22050	63275	T	Platteville	Grant	1,518	1,513	5	0.33%	1,136	1,127
30174	63300	V	Pleasant Prairie	Kenosha	21,948	21,250	698	3.28%	17,541	16,907
13046	63375	T	Pleasant Springs	Dane	3,101	3,078	23	0.75%	2,627	2,596
18018	63400	T	Pleasant Valley	Eau Claire	3,939	3,791	148	3.90%	2,971	2,847
56024	63425	T	Pleasant Valley	St. Croix	572	567	5	0.88%	422	416
37062	63500	T	Plover	Marathon	685	683	2	0.29%	531	527

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
50173	63525	V	Plover	Portage	13,872	13,519	353	2.61%	10,849	10,525
50030	63550	T	Plover	Portage	1,563	1,565	- 2	- 0.13%	1,238	1,234
48171	63600	V	Plum City	Pierce	587	596	- 9	- 1.51%	477	482
64020	63625	T	Plum Lake	Vilas	555	553	2	0.36%	495	491
29032	63650	T	Plymouth	Juneau	586	578	8	1.38%	488	479
54030	63675	T	Plymouth	Rock	1,243	1,245	- 2	- 0.16%	1,008	1,005
60271	63700	C	Plymouth	Sheboygan	8,964	8,932	32	0.36%	7,073	7,016
60016	63725	T	Plymouth	Sheboygan	3,066	3,083	- 17	- 0.55%	2,507	2,510
34022	63825	T	Polar	Langlade	1,009	1,018	- 9	- 0.88%	797	800
67018	63875	T	Polk	Washington	4,005	3,988	17	0.43%	3,176	3,148
16171	63975	V	Poplar	Douglas	635	629	6	0.95%	458	452
21024	64050	T	Popple River	Forest	43	43	0	0.00%	34	34
11271	64100	C	Portage	Columbia	10,325	10,581	- 256	- 2.42%	8,294	8,461
72171	64175	V	Port Edwards	Wood	1,754	1,762	- 8	- 0.45%	1,334	1,334
72026	64200	T	Port Edwards	Wood	1,357	1,356	1	0.07%	1,102	1,096
54032	64225	T	Porter	Rock	977	969	8	0.83%	794	784
38026	64275	T	Porterfield	Marinette	1,894	1,888	6	0.32%	1,553	1,541
14036	64375	T	Portland	Dodge	1,087	1,091	- 4	- 0.37%	874	873
42032	64425	T	Portland	Monroe	843	833	10	1.20%	600	590
46271	64450	C	Port Washington	Ozaukee	12,853	12,353	500	4.05%	10,127	9,689
46012	64475	T	Port Washington	Ozaukee	1,568	1,538	30	1.95%	1,249	1,220
04042	64512	T	Port Wing	Bayfield	389	389	0	0.00%	356	354
22172	64625	V	Potosi	Grant	641	646	- 5	- 0.77%	538	540
22052	64650	T	Potosi	Grant	811	813	- 2	- 0.25%	636	635
08160	64675	V	Potter	Calumet	243	244	- 1	- 0.41%	186	186
38171	64750	V	Pound	Marinette	353	357	- 4	- 1.12%	272	274
38028	64775	T	Pound	Marinette	1,412	1,412	0	0.00%	1,063	1,058

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
71020	64875	T	Poygan	Winnebago	1,287	1,261	26	2.06%	1,054	1,028
11172	64900	V	Poynette	Columbia	2,594	2,590	4	0.15%	1,987	1,975
70024	64975	T	Poy Sippi	Waushara	915	919	- 4	- 0.44%	738	738
12271	65050	C	Prairie du Chien	Crawford	5,446	5,506	- 60	- 1.09%	4,487	4,516
12014	65075	T	Prairie du Chien	Crawford	954	957	- 3	- 0.31%	812	811
57172	65100	V	Prairie du Sac	Sauk	4,458	4,420	38	0.86%	3,392	3,348
57028	65125	T	Prairie du Sac	Sauk	1,079	1,076	3	0.28%	890	884
03171	65150	V	Prairie Farm	Barron	498	494	4	0.81%	387	382
03034	65175	T	Prairie Farm	Barron	575	566	9	1.59%	440	431
03036	65200	T	Prairie Lake	Barron	1,674	1,648	26	1.58%	1,334	1,307
51171	65325	V	Prentice	Price	562	563	- 1	- 0.18%	426	425
51030	65350	T	Prentice	Price	438	440	- 2	- 0.45%	365	365
48271	65375	C	Prescott	Pierce	4,408	4,333	75	1.73%	3,381	3,309
64022	65425	T	Presque Isle	Vilas	808	805	3	0.37%	738	732
01024	65450	T	Preston	Adams	1,381	1,377	4	0.29%	1,142	1,134
62024	65500	T	Preston	Trempealeau	946	942	4	0.42%	678	672
34024	65550	T	Price	Langlade	220	221	- 1	- 0.45%	156	156
13048	65575	T	Primrose	Dane	761	750	11	1.47%	618	606
24271	65600	C	Princeton	Green Lake	1,263	1,267	- 4	- 0.32%	1,029	1,028
24016	65625	T	Princeton	Green Lake	1,530	1,519	11	0.72%	1,296	1,281
05171	65675	V	Pulaski	In Multiple Counties	4,038	3,870	168	4.34%	2,927	2,791
25022	65700	T	Pulaski	Iowa	357	357	0	0.00%	280	279
01026	65825	T	Quincy	Adams	1,159	1,159	0	0.00%	1,031	1,026
52276	66000	C	Racine	Racine	77,240	77,816	- 576	- 0.74%	57,035	57,202
58176	66050	V	Radisson	Sawyer	273	273	0	0.00%	179	178
58022	66075	T	Radisson	Sawyer	444	445	- 1	- 0.22%	364	363
30010	66125	T	Randall	Kenosha	3,315	3,285	30	0.91%	2,647	2,611

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
14176	66150	V	Randolph	In Multiple Counties	1,787	1,796	- 9	- 0.50%	1,362	1,363
11034	66175	T	Randolph	Columbia	767	762	5	0.66%	556	550
60176	66200	V	Random Lake	Sheboygan	1,565	1,561	4	0.26%	1,267	1,258
08014	66275	T	Rantoul	Calumet	747	740	7	0.95%	575	567
52168	66350	V	Raymond	Racine	3,948	3,926	22	0.56%	3,193	3,161
63176	66450	V	Readstown	Vernon	372	376	- 4	- 1.06%	301	303
17024	66550	T	Red Cedar	Dunn	2,401	2,359	42	1.78%	1,817	1,777
70176	66625	V	Redgranite	Waushara	1,997	2,061	- 64	- 3.11%	1,801	1,850
31018	66700	T	Red River	Kewaunee	1,379	1,374	5	0.36%	1,100	1,091
59038	66750	T	Red Springs	Shawano	999	993	6	0.60%	794	786
57276	66800	C	Reedsburg	Sauk	10,181	9,984	197	1.97%	7,798	7,613
57030	66825	T	Reedsburg	Sauk	1,147	1,185	- 38	- 3.21%	883	908
36176	66875	V	Reedsville	Manitowoc	1,193	1,195	- 2	- 0.17%	883	881
14177	66900	V	Reeseville	Dodge	770	763	7	0.92%	592	584
37064	66950	T	Reid	Marathon	1,188	1,186	2	0.17%	965	959
72028	67000	T	Remington	Wood	229	230	- 1	- 0.43%	196	196
10044	67025	T	Reseburg	Clark	808	793	15	1.89%	504	492
25176	67100	V	Rewey	Iowa	257	258	- 1	- 0.39%	192	192
60018	67150	T	Rhine	Sheboygan	2,157	2,139	18	0.84%	1,835	1,812
44276	67200	C	Rhineland	Oneida	8,283	8,285	- 2	- 0.02%	6,572	6,544
37066	67250	T	Rib Falls	Marathon	945	947	- 2	- 0.21%	719	717
61176	67275	V	Rib Lake	Taylor	921	935	- 14	- 1.50%	675	682
61038	67300	T	Rib Lake	Taylor	764	763	1	0.13%	602	599
37068	67325	T	Rib Mountain	Marathon	7,346	7,313	33	0.45%	5,766	5,714
03276	67350	C	Rice Lake	Barron	9,080	9,040	40	0.44%	7,256	7,192
03038	67375	T	Rice Lake	Barron	2,833	2,813	20	0.71%	2,279	2,253
01028	67425	T	Richfield	Adams	140	138	2	1.45%	127	125

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
67166	67475	V	Richfield	Washington	11,866	11,739	127	1.08%	9,408	9,265
72030	67500	T	Richfield	Wood	1,610	1,596	14	0.88%	1,237	1,221
70026	67550	T	Richford	Waushara	740	737	3	0.41%	515	511
53022	67575	T	Richland	Richland	1,177	1,175	2	0.17%	936	930
55028	67600	T	Richland	Rusk	197	197	0	0.00%	171	170
53276	67625	C	Richland Center	Richland	5,043	5,114	- 71	- 1.39%	3,885	3,922
56026	67650	T	Richmond	St. Croix	4,272	4,074	198	4.86%	2,948	2,799
59040	67675	T	Richmond	Shawano	1,839	1,832	7	0.38%	1,501	1,489
65020	67725	T	Richmond	Walworth	1,919	1,901	18	0.95%	1,540	1,519
53024	67775	T	Richwood	Richland	528	527	1	0.19%	424	421
17176	67800	V	Ridgeland	Dunn	258	258	0	0.00%	215	214
42034	67850	T	Ridgeville	Monroe	483	479	4	0.84%	371	366
25177	67875	V	Ridgeway	Iowa	644	624	20	3.21%	519	501
25024	67900	T	Ridgeway	Iowa	568	563	5	0.89%	459	453
37070	67950	T	Rietbrock	Marathon	876	874	2	0.23%	679	674
37072	68075	T	Ringle	Marathon	1,756	1,743	13	0.75%	1,349	1,333
11177	68100	V	Rio	Columbia	1,144	1,119	25	2.23%	880	857
20276	68175	C	Ripon	Fond du Lac	7,811	7,863	- 52	- 0.66%	6,305	6,318
20034	68200	T	Ripon	Fond du Lac	1,309	1,314	- 5	- 0.38%	1,065	1,064
48276	68275	C	River Falls	In Multiple Counties	16,515	16,182	333	2.06%	13,502	13,182
48022	68300	T	River Falls	Pierce	2,226	2,215	11	0.50%	1,794	1,777
41176	68325	V	River Hills	Milwaukee	1,595	1,602	- 7	- 0.44%	1,251	1,251
43036	68400	T	Riverview	Oconto	824	819	5	0.61%	747	739
56176	68475	V	Roberts	St. Croix	2,026	1,919	107	5.58%	1,476	1,392
52176	68550	V	Rochester	Racine	3,822	3,785	37	0.98%	3,061	3,018
54034	68600	T	Rock	Rock	2,990	2,981	9	0.30%	2,393	2,375
72032	68625	T	Rock	Wood	790	787	3	0.38%	643	638

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
53026	68675	T	Rockbridge	Richland	698	697	1	0.14%	564	561
17026	68700	T	Rock Creek	Dunn	1,002	1,003	- 1	- 0.10%	764	761
13176	68725	V	Rockdale	Dane	206	207	- 1	- 0.48%	151	151
48024	68775	T	Rock Elm	Pierce	442	447	- 5	- 1.12%	357	359
35018	68825	T	Rock Falls	Lincoln	639	635	4	0.63%	529	523
05034	68875	T	Rockland	Brown	1,779	1,775	4	0.23%	1,369	1,360
32176	68900	V	Rockland	In Multiple Counties	782	765	17	2.22%	539	525
36030	68925	T	Rockland	Manitowoc	999	995	4	0.40%	773	766
57176	68975	V	Rock Springs	Sauk	328	327	1	0.31%	246	244
34026	69175	T	Rolling	Langlade	1,431	1,432	- 1	- 0.07%	1,125	1,121
01030	69275	T	Rome	Adams	3,111	3,025	86	2.84%	2,816	2,726
07022	69325	T	Roosevelt	Burnett	198	197	1	0.51%	155	154
61040	69400	T	Roosevelt	Taylor	478	478	0	0.00%	328	327
70028	69425	T	Rose	Waushara	675	661	14	2.12%	574	560
20176	69525	V	Rosendale	Fond du Lac	1,039	1,039	0	0.00%	796	792
20036	69550	T	Rosendale	Fond du Lac	736	738	- 2	- 0.27%	584	583
50176	69575	V	Rosholt	Portage	476	478	- 2	- 0.42%	358	358
21026	69625	T	Ross	Forest	132	132	0	0.00%	112	111
37176	69725	V	Rothschild	Marathon	5,581	5,567	14	0.25%	4,382	4,351
58024	69750	T	Round Lake	Sawyer	1,095	1,081	14	1.30%	975	958
13050	69850	T	Roxbury	Dane	1,867	1,871	- 4	- 0.21%	1,492	1,488
69032	69900	T	Royalton	Waupaca	1,365	1,359	6	0.44%	1,133	1,123
14038	69962	T	Rubicon	Dodge	2,139	2,117	22	1.04%	1,725	1,700
09036	69975	T	Ruby	Chippewa	468	465	3	0.65%	343	339
72178	70000	V	Rudolph	Wood	433	433	0	0.00%	342	340
72034	70025	T	Rudolph	Wood	1,028	1,027	1	0.10%	791	787
71022	70125	T	Rushford	Winnebago	1,654	1,623	31	1.91%	1,273	1,244

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
56028	70200	T	Rush River	St. Croix	503	500	3	0.60%	400	396
07024	70225	T	Rusk	Burnett	469	470	- 1	- 0.21%	388	387
55030	70275	T	Rusk	Rusk	559	551	8	1.45%	493	484
04046	70300	T	Russell	Bayfield	1,560	1,553	7	0.45%	1,111	1,101
35020	70325	T	Russell	Lincoln	698	693	5	0.72%	562	555
60020	70350	T	Russell	Sheboygan	383	384	- 1	- 0.26%	290	289
13052	70400	T	Rutland	Dane	1,984	1,977	7	0.35%	1,620	1,607
20181	70500	V	St. Cloud	Fond du Lac	496	489	7	1.43%	401	394
49281	70550	C	St. Croix Falls	Polk	2,222	2,208	14	0.63%	1,780	1,761
49044	70575	T	St. Croix Falls	Polk	1,178	1,164	14	1.20%	951	935
41281	70650	C	St. Francis	Milwaukee	9,156	9,161	- 5	- 0.05%	7,893	7,862
64024	70725	T	St. Germain	Vilas	2,099	2,083	16	0.77%	1,845	1,823
56030	70825	T	St. Joseph	St. Croix	4,322	4,178	144	3.45%	3,342	3,216
69034	70900	T	St. Lawrence	Waupaca	712	714	- 2	- 0.28%	571	570
24018	70925	T	St. Marie	Green Lake	357	352	5	1.42%	313	307
36181	71025	V	St. Nazianz	Manitowoc	711	714	- 3	- 0.42%	558	558
48026	71150	T	Salem	Pierce	473	475	- 2	- 0.42%	399	399
30179	71163	V	Salem Lakes	Kenosha	14,605	14,601	4	0.03%	11,353	11,299
09038	71275	T	Sampson	Chippewa	985	972	13	1.34%	769	755
02022	71350	T	Sanborn	Ashland	1,377	1,381	- 4	- 0.29%	983	981
17028	71425	T	Sand Creek	Dunn	607	603	4	0.66%	456	451
07026	71450	T	Sand Lake	Burnett	579	576	3	0.52%	477	472
58026	71500	T	Sand Lake	Sawyer	908	901	7	0.78%	779	770
72036	71600	T	Saratoga	Wood	5,092	5,060	32	0.63%	4,129	4,085
66032	71637	T	Sarona	Washburn	417	419	- 2	- 0.48%	338	338
57181	71650	V	Sauk City	Sauk	3,527	3,518	9	0.26%	2,798	2,778
46181	71700	V	Saukville	Ozaukee	4,247	4,258	- 11	- 0.26%	3,282	3,276

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
46014	71725	T	Saukville	Ozaukee	1,767	1,765	2	0.11%	1,457	1,449
70030	71825	T	Saxeville	Waushara	997	996	1	0.10%	838	833
26018	71875	T	Saxon	Iron	288	290	- 2	- 0.69%	261	262
69181	71975	V	Scandinavia	Waupaca	371	371	0	0.00%	285	284
69036	72000	T	Scandinavia	Waupaca	1,052	1,049	3	0.29%	891	884
36032	72075	T	Schleswig	Manitowoc	1,916	1,912	4	0.21%	1,570	1,560
35022	72100	T	Schley	Lincoln	969	950	19	2.00%	785	766
44030	72125	T	Schoepke	Oneida	385	388	- 3	- 0.77%	353	354
37281	72150	C	Schofield	Marathon	2,262	2,157	105	4.87%	1,835	1,742
05036	72200	T	Scott	Brown	3,660	3,636	24	0.66%	2,985	2,952
07028	72225	T	Scott	Burnett	586	583	3	0.51%	542	537
11036	72250	T	Scott	Columbia	874	857	17	1.98%	604	590
12016	72275	T	Scott	Crawford	542	519	23	4.43%	404	385
35024	72300	T	Scott	Lincoln	1,374	1,377	- 3	- 0.22%	1,111	1,108
42036	72325	T	Scott	Monroe	104	106	- 2	- 1.89%	85	86
60022	72350	T	Scott	Sheboygan	1,762	1,764	- 2	- 0.11%	1,444	1,439
10046	72450	T	Seif	Clark	190	188	2	1.06%	145	143
12018	72500	T	Seneca	Crawford	941	932	9	0.97%	723	713
24020	72525	T	Seneca	Green Lake	385	387	- 2	- 0.52%	333	333
59042	72550	T	Seneca	Shawano	496	495	1	0.20%	412	409
72038	72575	T	Seneca	Wood	1,038	1,039	- 1	- 0.10%	826	823
15022	72600	T	Sevastopol	Door	2,858	2,826	32	1.13%	2,453	2,415
29034	72625	T	Seven Mile Creek	Juneau	347	348	- 1	- 0.29%	286	286
18020	72675	T	Seymour	Eau Claire	3,380	3,352	28	0.84%	2,659	2,625
33026	72700	T	Seymour	Lafayette	395	392	3	0.77%	284	281
45281	72725	C	Seymour	Outagamie	3,523	3,546	- 23	- 0.65%	2,705	2,710
45038	72750	T	Seymour	Outagamie	1,194	1,191	3	0.25%	933	926

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
02024	72825	T	Shanagolden	Ashland	131	131	0	0.00%	109	109
50032	72850	T	Sharon	Portage	2,135	2,123	12	0.57%	1,675	1,658
65181	72875	V	Sharon	Walworth	1,581	1,586	- 5	- 0.32%	1,194	1,192
65022	72900	T	Sharon	Walworth	859	861	- 2	- 0.23%	709	707
59281	72925	C	Shawano	Shawano	9,515	9,243	272	2.94%	7,339	7,097
60281	72975	C	Sheboygan	Sheboygan	50,139	49,929	210	0.42%	38,313	37,981
60024	73000	T	Sheboygan	Sheboygan	8,175	8,136	39	0.48%	6,560	6,499
60282	73025	C	Sheboygan Falls	Sheboygan	8,586	8,210	376	4.58%	7,073	6,733
60026	73050	T	Sheboygan Falls	Sheboygan	1,831	1,824	7	0.38%	1,403	1,391
32022	73125	T	Shelby	La Crosse	4,810	4,804	6	0.12%	3,819	3,797
42038	73150	T	Sheldon	Monroe	658	649	9	1.39%	449	441
55181	73175	V	Sheldon	Rusk	263	261	2	0.77%	196	194
66282	73200	C	Shell Lake	Washburn	1,369	1,371	- 2	- 0.15%	1,101	1,098
17030	73300	T	Sheridan	Dunn	466	460	6	1.30%	353	347
10048	73350	T	Sherman	Clark	831	831	0	0.00%	599	596
17032	73375	T	Sherman	Dunn	960	922	38	4.12%	756	723
26020	73400	T	Sherman	Iron	287	286	1	0.35%	267	265
60028	73425	T	Sherman	Sheboygan	1,465	1,452	13	0.90%	1,225	1,209
72040	73475	T	Sherry	Wood	760	755	5	0.66%	594	587
08179	73525	V	Sherwood	Calumet	3,352	3,271	81	2.48%	2,483	2,412
10050	73550	T	Sherwood	Clark	233	230	3	1.30%	192	189
14040	73575	T	Shields	Dodge	510	503	7	1.39%	422	414
39024	73600	T	Shields	Marquette	585	585	0	0.00%	476	474
45181	73625	V	Shiocton	Outagamie	931	939	- 8	- 0.85%	690	693
41181	73725	V	Shorewood	Milwaukee	13,807	13,859	- 52	- 0.38%	11,100	11,092
13181	73750	V	Shorewood Hills	Dane	2,168	2,169	- 1	- 0.05%	1,705	1,698
33281	73825	C	Shullsburg	Lafayette	1,176	1,173	3	0.26%	922	916

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
33028	73850	T	Shullsburg	Lafayette	309	312	- 3	- 0.96%	231	232
09040	73875	T	Sigel	Chippewa	1,164	1,132	32	2.83%	867	839
72042	73900	T	Sigel	Wood	1,018	1,017	1	0.10%	811	807
38030	73975	T	Silver Cliff	Marinette	520	514	6	1.17%	479	471
03040	74125	T	Sioux Creek	Barron	681	667	14	2.10%	520	507
07181	74175	V	Siren	Burnett	823	824	- 1	- 0.12%	673	671
07030	74200	T	Siren	Burnett	1,006	1,004	2	0.20%	851	845
15181	74225	V	Sister Bay	Door	1,184	1,148	36	3.14%	1,065	1,028
35026	74250	T	Skawanaw	Lincoln	387	386	1	0.26%	314	312
67181	74400	V	Slinger	Washington	6,401	5,992	409	6.83%	4,704	4,384
22054	74450	T	Smelser	Grant	789	786	3	0.38%	608	603
12181	74550	V	Soldiers Grove	Crawford	558	552	6	1.09%	462	455
16181	74575	V	Solon Springs	Douglas	663	656	7	1.07%	540	532
16026	74600	T	Solon Springs	Douglas	976	970	6	0.62%	816	807
30182	74625	V	Somers	Kenosha	8,501	8,402	99	1.18%	7,284	7,167
30014	74650	T	Somers	Kenosha	980	992	- 12	- 1.21%	828	834
56181	74675	V	Somerset	St. Croix	3,168	3,019	149	4.94%	2,315	2,196
56032	74700	T	Somerset	St. Croix	4,421	4,291	130	3.03%	3,366	3,252
35028	74725	T	Somo	Lincoln	124	123	1	0.81%	105	104
55032	74975	T	South Fork	Rusk	115	115	0	0.00%	105	105
22056	75075	T	South Lancaster	Grant	857	884	- 27	- 3.05%	664	682
41282	75125	C	South Milwaukee	Milwaukee	20,703	20,795	- 92	- 0.44%	16,487	16,486
33181	75275	V	South Wayne	Lafayette	437	444	- 7	- 1.58%	320	324
42281	75325	C	Sparta	Monroe	10,075	10,025	50	0.50%	7,571	7,500
42040	75350	T	Sparta	Monroe	3,258	3,253	5	0.15%	2,499	2,484
37181	75400	V	Spencer	Marathon	1,821	1,818	3	0.17%	1,405	1,396
37074	75425	T	Spencer	Marathon	1,593	1,586	7	0.44%	1,191	1,180

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
58028	75450	T	Spider Lake	Sawyer	490	487	3	0.62%	460	455
51032	75525	T	Spirit	Price	294	292	2	0.68%	254	251
66281	75625	C	Spooner	Washburn	2,453	2,477	- 24	- 0.97%	1,952	1,962
66034	75650	T	Spooner	Washburn	833	827	6	0.73%	650	642
17034	75750	T	Spring Brook	Dunn	1,708	1,687	21	1.24%	1,268	1,247
66036	75800	T	Springbrook	Washburn	508	504	4	0.79%	430	425
13054	75850	T	Springdale	Dane	2,085	2,056	29	1.41%	1,634	1,604
13056	75875	T	Springfield	Dane	2,936	2,929	7	0.24%	2,267	2,251
27042	75900	T	Springfield	Jackson	698	693	5	0.72%	446	441
39026	75925	T	Springfield	Marquette	814	811	3	0.37%	628	623
56034	75950	T	Springfield	St. Croix	1,004	993	11	1.11%	772	760
57182	76025	V	Spring Green	Sauk	1,597	1,566	31	1.98%	1,292	1,261
57032	76050	T	Spring Green	Sauk	1,835	1,828	7	0.38%	1,489	1,477
23026	76075	T	Spring Grove	Green	936	919	17	1.85%	748	731
48028	76100	T	Spring Lake	Pierce	598	598	0	0.00%	473	471
65024	76175	T	Spring Prairie	Walworth	2,130	2,123	7	0.33%	1,723	1,710
11038	76225	T	Springvale	Columbia	539	539	0	0.00%	414	412
20038	76250	T	Springvale	Fond du Lac	677	675	2	0.30%	524	520
48181	76300	V	Spring Valley	In Multiple Counties	1,420	1,401	19	1.36%	1,085	1,066
54036	76325	T	Spring Valley	Rock	725	728	- 3	- 0.41%	589	589
01032	76350	T	Springville	Adams	1,271	1,283	- 12	- 0.94%	1,081	1,086
70032	76400	T	Springwater	Waushara	1,251	1,257	- 6	- 0.48%	1,112	1,112
43038	76450	T	Spruce	Oconto	923	918	5	0.54%	702	695
03042	76550	T	Stanford	Barron	709	701	8	1.14%	577	568
03044	76600	T	Stanley	Barron	2,575	2,570	5	0.19%	1,997	1,984
09281	76625	C	Stanley	In Multiple Counties	3,748	3,804	- 56	- 1.47%	3,144	3,177
17036	76650	T	Stanton	Dunn	761	758	3	0.40%	608	603

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
56036	76675	T	Stanton	St. Croix	908	907	1	0.11%	689	685
63030	76725	T	Stark	Vernon	352	351	1	0.28%	286	284
56182	76825	V	Star Prairie	St. Croix	675	678	- 3	- 0.44%	505	505
56038	76850	T	Star Prairie	St. Croix	3,779	3,733	46	1.23%	2,850	2,803
44032	76975	T	Stella	Oneida	568	569	- 1	- 0.18%	479	478
38032	77000	T	Stephenson	Marinette	3,530	3,494	36	1.03%	3,033	2,989
49046	77050	T	Sterling	Polk	729	724	5	0.69%	607	600
63032	77075	T	Sterling	Vernon	547	550	- 3	- 0.55%	427	427
61181	77100	V	Stetsonville	Taylor	564	563	1	0.18%	425	422
37076	77150	T	Stettin	Marathon	2,595	2,580	15	0.58%	2,002	1,981
12182	77175	V	Steuben	Crawford	120	122	- 2	- 1.64%	86	87
50281	77200	C	Stevens Point	Portage	25,954	25,666	288	1.12%	21,763	21,425
43040	77300	T	Stiles	Oconto	1,517	1,518	- 1	- 0.07%	1,202	1,197
66038	77350	T	Stinnett	Washburn	208	207	1	0.48%	174	172
08181	77400	V	Stockbridge	Calumet	685	678	7	1.03%	549	541
08016	77425	T	Stockbridge	Calumet	1,446	1,453	- 7	- 0.48%	1,174	1,174
47181	77475	V	Stockholm	Pepin	79	78	1	1.28%	76	75
47012	77500	T	Stockholm	Pepin	222	218	4	1.83%	186	182
50034	77537	T	Stockton	Portage	3,036	3,018	18	0.60%	2,370	2,345
63181	77550	V	Stoddard	Vernon	896	840	56	6.67%	704	657
66040	77650	T	Stone Lake	Washburn	515	515	0	0.00%	425	423
13281	77675	C	Stoughton	Dane	13,204	13,173	31	0.24%	10,254	10,184
37182	77750	V	Stratford	Marathon	1,633	1,581	52	3.29%	1,247	1,202
55034	77775	T	Strickland	Rusk	282	280	2	0.71%	226	223
01034	77800	T	Strongs Prairie	Adams	1,158	1,145	13	1.14%	983	968
62181	77825	V	Strum	Trempealeau	1,079	1,076	3	0.28%	804	798
55036	77850	T	Stubbs	Rusk	524	523	1	0.19%	436	433

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
15281	77875	C	Sturgeon Bay	Door	9,656	9,646	10	0.10%	7,971	7,927
15024	77900	T	Sturgeon Bay	Door	826	821	5	0.61%	682	675
52181	77925	V	Sturtevant	Racine	6,804	6,919	- 115	- 1.66%	5,668	5,738
05178	77975	V	Suamico	Brown	13,230	12,820	410	3.20%	9,954	9,602
44034	78075	T	Sugar Camp	Oneida	1,828	1,819	9	0.49%	1,533	1,519
65026	78100	T	Sugar Creek	Walworth	3,921	3,902	19	0.49%	3,076	3,047
28181	78175	V	Sullivan	Jefferson	649	651	- 2	- 0.31%	535	534
28026	78200	T	Sullivan	Jefferson	2,321	2,295	26	1.13%	1,890	1,860
16028	78275	T	Summit	Douglas	1,041	1,030	11	1.07%	864	851
29036	78300	T	Summit	Juneau	679	666	13	1.95%	515	503
34028	78325	T	Summit	Langlade	142	143	- 1	- 0.70%	120	120
68172	78375	V	Summit	Waukesha	5,061	4,784	277	5.79%	4,049	3,810
03046	78450	T	Sumner	Barron	701	699	2	0.29%	534	530
28028	78475	T	Sumner	Jefferson	841	846	- 5	- 0.59%	709	710
62026	78500	T	Sumner	Trempealeau	850	840	10	1.19%	661	650
57034	78525	T	Sumpter	Sauk	1,063	1,055	8	0.76%	804	794
13282	78600	C	Sun Prairie	Dane	37,304	35,967	1,337	3.72%	27,754	26,639
13058	78625	T	Sun Prairie	Dane	2,389	2,391	- 2	- 0.08%	1,863	1,856
16281	78650	C	Superior	Douglas	26,943	26,751	192	0.72%	21,795	21,542
16182	78660	V	Superior	Douglas	674	677	- 3	- 0.44%	566	566
16030	78675	T	Superior	Douglas	2,285	2,264	21	0.93%	1,825	1,800
43181	78725	V	Suring	Oconto	518	517	1	0.19%	407	404
68181	78750	V	Sussex	Waukesha	11,750	11,487	263	2.29%	8,915	8,676
07032	78775	T	Swiss	Burnett	806	807	- 1	- 0.12%	699	697
53028	78825	T	Sylvan	Richland	526	516	10	1.94%	372	363
23028	78875	T	Sylvester	Green	999	1,001	- 2	- 0.20%	792	790
61042	78950	T	Taft	Taylor	312	310	2	0.65%	234	231

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
17038	78975	T	Tainter	Dunn	2,659	2,643	16	0.61%	2,130	2,108
20040	79125	T	Taycheedah	Fond du Lac	4,598	4,554	44	0.97%	3,626	3,575
27186	79150	V	Taylor	Jackson	475	484	- 9	- 1.86%	367	372
22186	79250	V	Tennyson	Grant	347	348	- 1	- 0.29%	276	276
37078	79350	T	Texas	Marathon	1,625	1,611	14	0.87%	1,328	1,311
14186	79375	V	Theresa	Dodge	1,260	1,255	5	0.40%	1,004	996
14042	79425	T	Theresa	Dodge	1,088	1,089	- 1	- 0.09%	871	868
46186	79475	V	Thiensville	Ozaukee	3,288	3,290	- 2	- 0.06%	2,680	2,670
55038	79575	T	Thornapple	Rusk	729	721	8	1.11%	598	589
10286	79625	C	Thorp	Clark	1,781	1,795	- 14	- 0.78%	1,321	1,325
10052	79650	T	Thorp	Clark	857	840	17	2.02%	576	562
44036	79700	T	Three Lakes	Oneida	2,430	2,413	17	0.70%	2,090	2,066
17040	79775	T	Tiffany	Dunn	618	617	1	0.16%	478	475
59186	79825	V	Tigerton	Shawano	707	708	- 1	- 0.14%	556	554
09042	79875	T	Tilden	Chippewa	1,548	1,516	32	2.11%	1,182	1,152
19016	79975	T	Tipler	Florence	159	160	- 1	- 0.63%	144	144
42286	80075	C	Tomah	Monroe	9,631	9,570	61	0.64%	7,445	7,365
42042	80100	T	Tomah	Monroe	1,488	1,488	0	0.00%	1,121	1,116
35286	80125	C	Tomahawk	Lincoln	3,436	3,441	- 5	- 0.15%	2,725	2,717
35030	80150	T	Tomahawk	Lincoln	461	458	3	0.66%	416	411
55186	80225	V	Tony	Rusk	105	105	0	0.00%	79	79
43042	80325	T	Townsend	Oconto	1,057	1,044	13	1.25%	959	943
07034	80375	T	Trade Lake	Burnett	911	904	7	0.77%	737	728
66042	80450	T	Trego	Washburn	915	908	7	0.77%	772	763
62186	80475	V	Trempealeau	Trempealeau	1,888	1,843	45	2.44%	1,491	1,449
62028	80500	T	Trempealeau	Trempealeau	1,965	1,951	14	0.72%	1,524	1,506
14044	80525	T	Trenton	Dodge	1,220	1,219	1	0.08%	937	932

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
48030	80550	T	Trenton	Pierce	1,914	1,911	3	0.16%	1,535	1,526
67022	80575	T	Trenton	Washington	4,572	4,525	47	1.04%	3,786	3,730
48032	80700	T	Trimbelle	Pierce	1,682	1,679	3	0.18%	1,323	1,315
04048	80750	T	Tripp	Bayfield	248	244	4	1.64%	194	190
56040	80800	T	Troy	St. Croix	5,558	5,518	40	0.72%	4,200	4,151
57036	80825	T	Troy	Sauk	785	781	4	0.51%	633	627
65028	80875	T	Troy	Walworth	2,363	2,355	8	0.34%	1,926	1,911
55040	80950	T	True	Rusk	238	237	1	0.42%	206	204
54038	81050	T	Turtle	Rock	2,411	2,393	18	0.75%	1,972	1,948
03186	81075	V	Turtle Lake	In Multiple Counties	1,040	1,037	3	0.29%	792	786
03048	81100	T	Turtle Lake	Barron	649	640	9	1.41%	485	476
30186	81250	V	Twin Lakes	Kenosha	6,429	6,309	120	1.90%	5,038	4,922
36034	81300	T	Two Creeks	Manitowoc	389	390	- 1	- 0.26%	317	316
36286	81325	C	Two Rivers	Manitowoc	11,243	11,271	- 28	- 0.25%	9,034	9,016
36036	81350	T	Two Rivers	Manitowoc	1,670	1,672	- 2	- 0.12%	1,408	1,403
43044	81475	T	Underhill	Oconto	866	864	2	0.23%	709	704
07036	81500	T	Union	Burnett	350	345	5	1.45%	312	306
15026	81525	T	Union	Door	1,011	1,005	6	0.60%	821	812
18022	81550	T	Union	Eau Claire	2,695	2,696	- 1	- 0.04%	2,127	2,118
48034	81600	T	Union	Pierce	603	602	1	0.17%	468	465
54040	81650	T	Union	Rock	2,114	2,104	10	0.48%	1,645	1,630
63034	81675	T	Union	Vernon	817	809	8	0.99%	508	501
69038	81700	T	Union	Waupaca	780	778	2	0.26%	615	611
29186	81725	V	Union Center	Juneau	226	225	1	0.44%	189	187
52186	81775	V	Union Grove	Racine	5,040	4,806	234	4.87%	3,940	3,740
10054	81825	T	Unity	Clark	749	751	- 2	- 0.27%	530	529
37186	81850	V	Unity	In Multiple Counties	383	384	- 1	- 0.26%	287	286

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
62030	81875	T	Unity	Trempealeau	513	511	2	0.39%	408	405
34030	81950	T	Upham	Langlade	729	726	3	0.41%	631	626
12020	82100	T	Utica	Crawford	627	623	4	0.64%	478	473
71024	82175	T	Utica	Winnebago	1,360	1,364	- 4	- 0.29%	1,052	1,050
36186	82200	V	Valders	Manitowoc	961	952	9	0.95%	729	719
03050	82375	T	Vance Creek	Barron	695	689	6	0.87%	525	518
45040	82400	T	Vandenbroek	Outagamie	1,667	1,627	40	2.46%	1,243	1,208
13060	82525	T	Vermont	Dane	883	871	12	1.38%	723	710
68186	82575	V	Vernon	Waukesha	7,486	7,474	12	0.16%	6,027	5,990
13286	82600	C	Verona	Dane	14,889	14,030	859	6.12%	10,879	10,205
13062	82625	T	Verona	Dane	2,008	1,947	61	3.13%	1,602	1,546
72186	82650	V	Vesper	Wood	512	513	- 1	- 0.19%	411	410
13064	82750	T	Vienna	Dane	1,678	1,666	12	0.72%	1,266	1,251
34032	82825	T	Vilas	Langlade	225	226	- 1	- 0.44%	188	188
71026	82875	T	Vinland	Winnebago	1,773	1,769	4	0.23%	1,465	1,455
53186	82900	V	Viola	In Multiple Counties	675	676	- 1	- 0.15%	491	489
63286	82925	C	Viroqua	Vernon	4,444	4,504	- 60	- 1.33%	3,527	3,559
63036	82950	T	Viroqua	Vernon	1,778	1,744	34	1.95%	1,387	1,354
21028	83025	T	Wabeno	Forest	1,071	1,074	- 3	- 0.28%	835	834
38034	83050	T	Wagner	Marinette	664	653	11	1.68%	573	561
60191	83100	V	Waldo	Sheboygan	477	467	10	2.14%	380	370
25026	83150	T	Waldwick	Iowa	458	460	- 2	- 0.43%	367	367
68191	83175	V	Wales	Waukesha	2,917	2,862	55	1.92%	2,259	2,206
65191	83250	V	Walworth	Walworth	2,768	2,759	9	0.33%	2,127	2,111
65030	83275	T	Walworth	Walworth	1,575	1,565	10	0.64%	1,234	1,221
10056	83350	T	Warner	Clark	636	635	1	0.16%	438	435
56042	83400	T	Warren	St. Croix	1,787	1,733	54	3.12%	1,353	1,306

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
70034	83425	T	Warren	Waushara	667	656	11	1.68%	535	524
42185	83450	V	Warrens	Monroe	546	544	2	0.37%	420	417
16032	83512	T	Wascott	Douglas	905	912	- 7	- 0.77%	823	826
04291	83525	C	Washburn	Bayfield	2,004	2,051	- 47	- 2.29%	1,637	1,668
04050	83550	T	Washburn	Bayfield	555	554	1	0.18%	457	454
10058	83575	T	Washburn	Clark	292	289	3	1.04%	242	238
15028	83600	T	Washington	Door	783	777	6	0.77%	690	682
18024	83612	T	Washington	Eau Claire	7,780	7,662	118	1.54%	5,998	5,880
23030	83625	T	Washington	Green	844	842	2	0.24%	664	659
32024	83650	T	Washington	La Crosse	520	519	1	0.19%	406	403
55042	83675	T	Washington	Rusk	362	360	2	0.56%	309	306
57038	83700	T	Washington	Sauk	1,037	1,021	16	1.57%	724	710
59044	83725	T	Washington	Shawano	1,973	1,965	8	0.41%	1,603	1,589
64026	83750	T	Washington	Vilas	1,600	1,587	13	0.82%	1,401	1,383
52191	83825	V	Waterford	Racine	5,690	5,542	148	2.67%	4,403	4,269
52016	83850	T	Waterford	Racine	6,555	6,514	41	0.63%	5,232	5,176
22058	83900	T	Waterloo	Grant	553	552	1	0.18%	436	433
28290	83925	C	Waterloo	Jefferson	3,631	3,492	139	3.98%	2,800	2,681
28030	83950	T	Waterloo	Jefferson	874	867	7	0.81%	706	697
28291	83975	C	Watertown	In Multiple Counties	23,018	22,926	92	0.40%	17,711	17,563
28032	84000	T	Watertown	Jefferson	1,948	1,933	15	0.78%	1,605	1,585
47014	84025	T	Waterville	Pepin	838	829	9	1.09%	670	660
22060	84075	T	Watterstown	Grant	373	372	1	0.27%	273	271
47016	84100	T	Waubeeek	Pepin	424	423	1	0.24%	334	332
59046	84225	T	Waukechon	Shawano	1,007	1,002	5	0.50%	764	757
68291	84250	C	Waukesha	Waukesha	71,146	71,158	- 12	- 0.02%	56,175	55,932
68195	84275	V	Waukesha ²	Waukesha	8,489	8,457	32	0.38%	6,844	6,788

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
06034	84325	T	Waumandee	Buffalo	503	508	- 5	- 0.98%	397	399
13191	84350	V	Waunakee	Dane	15,426	14,879	547	3.68%	11,009	10,571
69291	84375	C	Waupaca	Waupaca	6,422	6,282	140	2.23%	5,137	5,002
69040	84400	T	Waupaca	Waupaca	1,199	1,194	5	0.42%	964	956
14292	84425	C	Waupun ¹	In Multiple Counties	11,117	11,563	- 446	- 3.86%	9,244	9,587
20042	84450	T	Waupun	Fond du Lac	1,386	1,373	13	0.95%	1,091	1,076
37291	84475	C	Wausau	Marathon	40,199	39,994	205	0.51%	31,567	31,265
37080	84500	T	Wausau	Marathon	2,187	2,161	26	1.20%	1,728	1,700
38191	84525	V	Wausaukee	Marinette	593	596	- 3	- 0.50%	451	451
38036	84550	T	Wausaukee	Marinette	1,105	1,097	8	0.73%	974	963
70291	84625	C	Wautoma	Waushara	2,216	2,209	7	0.32%	1,676	1,663
70036	84650	T	Wautoma	Waushara	1,270	1,270	0	0.00%	1,042	1,037
41291	84675	C	Wauwatosa	Milwaukee	48,638	48,387	251	0.52%	38,749	38,376
12191	84725	V	Wauzeka	Crawford	624	628	- 4	- 0.64%	479	480
12022	84750	T	Wauzeka	Crawford	377	376	1	0.27%	284	282
33030	84850	T	Wayne	Lafayette	479	474	5	1.05%	368	363
67024	84900	T	Wayne	Washington	2,232	2,182	50	2.29%	1,753	1,706
07038	84975	T	Webb Lake	Burnett	437	432	5	1.16%	415	408
07191	85025	V	Webster	Burnett	697	694	3	0.43%	544	539
63038	85050	T	Webster	Vernon	826	821	5	0.61%	556	550
58030	85100	T	Weirgor	Sawyer	311	311	0	0.00%	270	269
42044	85125	T	Wellington	Monroe	676	666	10	1.50%	478	469
42046	85150	T	Wells	Monroe	571	562	9	1.60%	440	431
59048	85275	T	Wescott	Shawano	3,265	3,257	8	0.25%	2,777	2,758
41292	85300	C	West Allis	Milwaukee	60,068	60,325	- 257	- 0.43%	48,101	48,090
57191	85325	V	West Baraboo	Sauk	1,630	1,627	3	0.18%	1,302	1,294
67291	85350	C	West Bend	Washington	32,067	31,752	315	0.99%	25,127	24,768

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
67026	85375	T	West Bend	Washington	4,415	4,441	- 26	- 0.59%	3,640	3,645
61044	85450	T	Westboro	Taylor	702	693	9	1.30%	561	551
63291	85475	C	Westby	Vernon	2,342	2,332	10	0.43%	1,724	1,709
39191	85575	V	Westfield	Marquette	1,297	1,302	- 5	- 0.38%	969	968
39028	85600	T	Westfield	Marquette	791	789	2	0.25%	656	651
57040	85625	T	Westfield	Sauk	592	582	10	1.72%	421	412
14046	85650	T	Westford	Dodge	1,318	1,313	5	0.38%	1,084	1,075
53030	85675	T	Westford	Richland	516	516	0	0.00%	410	408
31020	85775	T	West Kewaunee	Kewaunee	1,289	1,278	11	0.86%	1,024	1,011
07040	85850	T	West Marshland	Burnett	401	400	1	0.25%	313	311
41191	85875	V	West Milwaukee	Milwaukee	4,097	4,114	- 17	- 0.41%	3,196	3,195
10060	85925	T	Weston	Clark	702	686	16	2.33%	492	479
17042	85975	T	Weston	Dunn	573	574	- 1	- 0.17%	460	459
37192	86025	V	Weston	Marathon	15,809	15,723	86	0.55%	12,180	12,059
37082	86050	T	Weston	Marathon	666	657	9	1.37%	514	505
11040	86100	T	West Point	Columbia	2,068	2,028	40	1.97%	1,651	1,612
13066	86125	T	Westport	Dane	4,302	4,191	111	2.65%	3,584	3,476
32191	86275	V	West Salem	La Crosse	5,416	5,277	139	2.63%	4,040	3,919
49048	86350	T	West Sweden	Polk	749	744	5	0.67%	613	606
69292	86400	C	Weyauwega	Waupaca	1,824	1,796	28	1.56%	1,442	1,413
69042	86425	T	Weyauwega	Waupaca	571	567	4	0.71%	454	449
55191	86450	V	Weyerhaeuser	Rusk	233	232	1	0.43%	194	192
30016	86500	T	Wheatland	Kenosha	3,394	3,391	3	0.09%	2,728	2,713
63040	86525	T	Wheatland	Vernon	588	588	0	0.00%	497	495
09044	86537	T	Wheaton	Chippewa	2,818	2,759	59	2.14%	2,205	2,149
17191	86575	V	Wheeler	Dunn	329	326	3	0.92%	239	236
41192	86700	V	Whitefish Bay	Milwaukee	14,905	14,954	- 49	- 0.33%	10,558	10,545

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
62291	86725	C	Whitehall	Trempealeau	1,626	1,645	- 19	- 1.16%	1,266	1,275
34191	86750	V	White Lake	Langlade	260	262	- 2	- 0.76%	218	219
36191	86775	V	Whitelaw	Manitowoc	739	737	2	0.27%	575	571
33032	86800	T	White Oak Springs	Lafayette	109	109	0	0.00%	88	88
02026	86850	T	White River	Ashland	1,072	1,067	5	0.47%	642	636
63042	86900	T	Whitestown	Vernon	639	623	16	2.57%	424	412
65291	86925	C	Whitewater ³	In Multiple Counties	15,773	16,137	- 364	- 2.26%	13,967	14,232
65032	86950	T	Whitewater	Walworth	1,437	1,433	4	0.28%	1,224	1,215
50191	86975	V	Whiting	Portage	1,598	1,601	- 3	- 0.19%	1,325	1,322
37084	87025	T	Wien	Marathon	886	885	1	0.11%	605	602
70191	87075	V	Wild Rose	Waushara	781	780	1	0.13%	629	625
55044	87125	T	Wilkinson	Rusk	50	51	- 1	- 1.96%	44	45
55046	87175	T	Willard	Rusk	527	525	2	0.38%	420	417
65192	87200	V	Williams Bay	Walworth	2,993	2,953	40	1.35%	2,398	2,355
14048	87225	T	Williamstown ²	Dodge	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0
53032	87250	T	Willow	Richland	503	496	7	1.41%	389	382
33034	87275	T	Willow Springs	Lafayette	800	789	11	1.39%	583	572
17044	87375	T	Wilson	Dunn	508	504	4	0.79%	389	384
18026	87400	T	Wilson	Eau Claire	441	427	14	3.28%	336	324
35032	87425	T	Wilson	Lincoln	321	317	4	1.26%	282	277
55048	87450	T	Wilson	Rusk	116	116	0	0.00%	99	99
56191	87475	V	Wilson	St. Croix	208	209	- 1	- 0.48%	158	158
60030	87500	T	Wilson	Sheboygan	3,486	3,484	2	0.06%	2,778	2,764
42191	87525	V	Wilton	Monroe	530	532	- 2	- 0.38%	388	388
42048	87550	T	Wilton	Monroe	995	963	32	3.32%	625	602
64028	87600	T	Winchester	Vilas	530	528	2	0.38%	484	480
71028	87650	T	Winchester	Winnebago	1,796	1,794	2	0.11%	1,421	1,413

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
52192	87700	V	Wind Point	Racine	1,644	1,651	- 7	- 0.42%	1,376	1,376
13196	87725	V	Windsor	Dane	9,305	8,754	551	6.29%	6,838	6,404
57042	87775	T	Winfield	Sauk	898	890	8	0.90%	699	690
22062	87800	T	Wingville	Grant	378	378	0	0.00%	274	273
71191	87900	V	Winneconne	Winnebago	2,542	2,544	- 2	- 0.08%	1,984	1,977
71030	87925	T	Winneconne	Winnebago	2,627	2,590	37	1.43%	2,104	2,065
58190	87975	V	Winter	Sawyer	324	325	- 1	- 0.31%	260	260
58032	88000	T	Winter	Sawyer	951	1,000	- 49	- 4.90%	862	902
33036	88050	T	Wiotra	Lafayette	839	830	9	1.08%	681	671
11291	88150	C	Wisconsin Dells	In Multiple Counties	3,236	2,942	294	9.99%	2,574	2,349
72291	88200	C	Wisconsin Rapids	Wood	18,809	18,877	- 68	- 0.36%	14,898	14,885
10191	88275	V	Withee	Clark	505	506	- 1	- 0.20%	396	395
10062	88300	T	Withee	Clark	1,037	1,033	4	0.39%	599	594
59191	88325	V	Wittenberg	Shawano	999	1,015	- 16	- 1.58%	757	766
59050	88350	T	Wittenberg	Shawano	819	823	- 4	- 0.49%	663	663
34034	88450	T	Wolf River	Langlade	793	783	10	1.28%	693	681
71032	88475	T	Wolf River	Winnebago	1,212	1,203	9	0.75%	1,041	1,029
29191	88500	V	Wonewoc	Juneau	754	758	- 4	- 0.53%	572	572
29038	88525	T	Wonewoc	Juneau	684	677	7	1.03%	530	522
72044	88575	T	Wood	Wood	758	757	1	0.13%	597	594
44038	88625	T	Woodboro	Oneida	813	808	5	0.62%	703	696
57044	88775	T	Woodland	Sauk	849	839	10	1.19%	604	594
22191	88825	V	Woodman	Grant	118	118	0	0.00%	92	92
22064	88850	T	Woodman	Grant	158	158	0	0.00%	121	120
09046	88875	T	Woodmohr	Chippewa	1,008	1,004	4	0.40%	758	752
07042	88900	T	Wood River	Burnett	905	898	7	0.78%	740	731
44040	88950	T	Woodruff	Oneida	2,056	2,044	12	0.59%	1,730	1,712

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
08018	89000	T	Woodville	Calumet	855	850	5	0.59%	676	669
56192	89025	V	Woodville	St. Croix	1,426	1,386	40	2.89%	1,087	1,052
51034	89100	T	Worcester	Price	1,546	1,543	3	0.19%	1,305	1,297
10064	89125	T	Worden	Clark	711	708	3	0.42%	473	469
05191	89150	V	Wrightstown	In Multiple Counties	3,250	3,179	71	2.23%	2,318	2,257
05040	89175	T	Wrightstown	Brown	2,637	2,578	59	2.29%	1,986	1,933
22066	89250	T	Wyalusing	Grant	336	336	0	0.00%	261	260
42192	89275	V	Wyeville	Monroe	118	121	- 3	- 2.48%	93	95
11191	89300	V	Wyocena	Columbia	752	756	- 4	- 0.53%	627	628
11042	89325	T	Wyocena	Columbia	1,798	1,756	42	2.39%	1,439	1,399
25028	89350	T	Wyoming	Iowa	319	317	2	0.63%	283	280
69044	89375	T	Wyoming	Waupaca	317	318	- 1	- 0.31%	253	253
10066	89425	T	York	Clark	838	844	- 6	- 0.71%	591	593
13070	89450	T	York	Dane	699	697	2	0.29%	549	545
23032	89475	T	York	Green	1,006	969	37	3.82%	773	741
52194	89550	V	Yorkville	Racine	3,273	3,246	27	0.83%	2,547	2,515
53196	89625	V	Yuba	Richland	53	53	0	0.00%	40	40
STATE TOTAL					5,949,155	5,893,718	55,437	0.94%	4,676,183	4,612,301

¹ Due to DSC research, the DSC reassigned 219 Dodge County residents from the Town of Chester to the City of Waupun.

² Strictly speaking, the 2020 Census did not count these Minor Civil Divisions, but the DSC believes these population figures reflect reasonable approximations.

³ The U.S. Census Bureau's Count Question Resolution program reassigned 1,248 residents from the Village of Fontana to the City of Whitewater (Jefferson Co).

⁴ Due to DSC research, the DSC reassigned 811 Juneau County residents from the Town of Lisbon to the City of New Lisbon.

⁵ Due to DSC research, the DSC reassigned 700 Milwaukee County residents from the City of Franklin to the City of Milwaukee.

Population and Housing Data, Wisconsin Municipalities, Census 2000 and 2010 Comparisons										
Based on Census 2010 Geography (see notes at end of table)										
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, C2000 Summary File 1 and C2010 Redistricting Data File										
NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
00100	Abbotsford city	Clark, Marathon	1,956	2,310	354	18.1%	870	941	71	8.2%
00175	Abrams town	Oconto	1,757	1,856	99	5.6%	700	797	97	13.9%
00225	Ackley town	Langlade	510	524	14	2.7%	226	238	12	5.3%
00275	Adams city	Adams	1,831	1,967	136	7.4%	847	990	143	16.9%
00300	Adams town	Adams	1,267	1,345	78	6.2%	856	893	37	4.3%
00325	Adams town	Green	464	530	66	14.2%	180	234	54	30.0%
00350	Adams town	Jackson	1,208	1,342	134	11.1%	564	877	313	55.5%
00425	Addison town	Washington	3,341	3,495	154	4.6%	1,181	1,347	166	14.1%
00450	Adell village	Sheboygan	517	516	-1	-0.2%	216	224	8	3.7%
00475	Adrian town	Monroe	682	762	80	11.7%	248	303	55	22.2%
00550	Agenda town	Ashland	513	422	-91	-17.7%	328	368	40	12.2%
00600	Ahnapee town	Kewaunee	977	940	-37	-3.8%	426	457	31	7.3%
00625	Ainsworth town	Langlade	571	469	-102	-17.9%	549	529	-20	-3.6%
00675	Akan town	Richland	444	403	-41	-9.2%	211	232	21	10.0%
00725	Alban town	Portage	897	885	-12	-1.3%	394	540	146	37.1%
00750	Albany village	Green	1,191	1,018	-173	-14.5%	499	493	-6	-1.2%
00775	Albany town	Green	775	1,106	331	42.7%	303	458	155	51.2%
00800	Albany town	Pepin	620	676	56	9.0%	189	257	68	36.0%
00875	Albion town	Dane	1,823	1,951	128	7.0%	869	925	56	6.4%
00900	Albion town	Jackson	1,093	1,210	117	10.7%	461	537	76	16.5%
00925	Albion town	Trempealeau	595	653	58	9.7%	227	259	32	14.1%
00950	Alden town	Polk	2,615	2,786	171	6.5%	1,235	1,396	161	13.0%
01000	Algoma city	Kewaunee	3,357	3,167	-190	-5.7%	1,632	1,702	70	4.3%
01025	Algoma town	Winnebago	5,702	6,822	1,120	19.6%	1,983	2,533	550	27.7%
01150	Allouez village	Brown	15,443	13,975	-1,468	-9.5%	5,512	5,707	195	3.5%
01225	Alma city	Buffalo	942	781	-161	-17.1%	495	488	-7	-1.4%
01250	Alma town	Buffalo	377	297	-80	-21.2%	157	171	14	8.9%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
01275	Alma town	Jackson	983	1,044	61	6.2%	393	482	89	22.6%
01300	Alma Center village	Jackson	446	503	57	12.8%	214	229	15	7.0%
01325	Almena village	Barron	720	677	-43	-6.0%	308	337	29	9.4%
01350	Almena town	Barron	910	858	-52	-5.7%	662	726	64	9.7%
01375	Almon town	Shawano	591	584	-7	-1.2%	271	313	42	15.5%
01400	Almond village	Portage	459	448	-11	-2.4%	199	197	-2	-1.0%
01425	Almond town	Portage	679	680	1	0.1%	282	303	21	7.4%
01525	Alto town	Fond Du Lac	1,103	1,045	-58	-5.3%	353	379	26	7.4%
01550	Altoona city	Eau Claire	6,698	6,706	8	0.1%	3,063	3,288	225	7.3%
01625	Alvin town	Forest	186	157	-29	-15.6%	411	477	66	16.1%
01675	Amberg town	Marinette	854	726	-128	-15.0%	942	1,051	109	11.6%
01725	Amery city	Polk	2,845	2,902	57	2.0%	1,311	1,445	134	10.2%
01750	Amherst village	Portage	964	1,035	71	7.4%	419	471	52	12.4%
01775	Amherst town	Portage	1,435	1,325	-110	-7.7%	556	597	41	7.4%
01800	Amherst Junction village	Portage	305	377	72	23.6%	111	146	35	31.5%
01825	Amnicon town	Douglas	1,074	1,155	81	7.5%	383	476	93	24.3%
01900	Anderson town	Burnett	372	398	26	7.0%	265	313	48	18.1%
01925	Anderson town	Iron	61	58	-3	-4.9%	118	141	23	19.5%
01975	Angelica town	Shawano	1,635	1,793	158	9.7%	600	699	99	16.5%
02025	Angelo town	Monroe	1,268	1,296	28	2.2%	517	557	40	7.7%
02075	Aniwa village	Shawano	272	260	-12	-4.4%	127	126	-1	-0.8%
02100	Aniwa town	Shawano	586	541	-45	-7.7%	212	246	34	16.0%
02175	Anson town	Chippewa	1,881	2,076	195	10.4%	764	944	180	23.6%
02250	Antigo city	Langlade	8,560	8,234	-326	-3.8%	3,938	3,972	34	0.9%
02275	Antigo town	Langlade	1,487	1,412	-75	-5.0%	580	617	37	6.4%
02350	Apple River town	Polk	1,067	1,146	79	7.4%	625	715	90	14.4%
02375	Appleton city	Calumet, Outagamie, Winnebago	70,087	72,623	2,536	3.6%	27,736	30,348	2,612	9.4%
02450	Arbor Vitae town	Vilas	3,153	3,316	163	5.2%	2,442	2,703	261	10.7%
02500	Arcadia city	Trempealeau	2,402	2,925	523	21.8%	1,112	1,207	95	8.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
			Population				Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
02525	Arcadia town	Trempealeau	1,555	1,779	224	14.4%	610	731	121	19.8%
02550	Arena village	Iowa	685	834	149	21.8%	269	354	85	31.6%
02575	Arena town	Iowa	1,444	1,456	12	0.8%	617	678	61	9.9%
02625	Argonne town	Forest	532	512	-20	-3.8%	314	407	93	29.6%
02650	Argyle village	Lafayette	823	857	34	4.1%	365	393	28	7.7%
02675	Argyle town	Lafayette	479	436	-43	-9.0%	210	214	4	1.9%
02775	Arland town	Barron	670	789	119	17.8%	259	294	35	13.5%
02800	Arlington village	Columbia	484	819	335	69.2%	196	330	134	68.4%
02825	Arlington town	Columbia	848	806	-42	-5.0%	308	332	24	7.8%
02850	Armenia town	Juneau	707	699	-8	-1.1%	448	689	241	53.8%
02950	Armstrong Creek town	Forest	463	409	-54	-11.7%	422	476	54	12.8%
03025	Arpin village	Wood	337	333	-4	-1.2%	148	153	5	3.4%
03050	Arpin town	Wood	786	929	143	18.2%	280	349	69	24.6%
03075	Arthur town	Chippewa	710	759	49	6.9%	275	311	36	13.1%
03150	Ashford town	Fond Du Lac	1,773	1,747	-26	-1.5%	668	745	77	11.5%
03200	Ashippun town	Dodge	2,308	2,559	251	10.9%	880	1,029	149	16.9%
03225	Ashland city	Ashland, Bayfield	8,620	8,216	-404	-4.7%	3,777	3,864	87	2.3%
03250	Ashland town	Ashland	603	594	-9	-1.5%	277	340	63	22.7%
03425	Ashwaubenon village	Brown	17,634	16,963	-671	-3.8%	7,260	7,797	537	7.4%
03525	Athelstane town	Marinette	601	504	-97	-16.1%	995	1,202	207	20.8%
03550	Athens village	Marathon	1,095	1,105	10	0.9%	469	503	34	7.2%
03600	Atlanta town	Rusk	627	592	-35	-5.6%	273	330	57	20.9%
03725	Auburn town	Chippewa	580	697	117	20.2%	210	274	64	30.5%
03750	Auburn town	Fond Du Lac	2,075	2,352	277	13.3%	758	988	230	30.3%
03775	Auburndale village	Wood	738	703	-35	-4.7%	297	315	18	6.1%
03800	Auburndale town	Wood	829	860	31	3.7%	309	326	17	5.5%
03825	Augusta city	Eau Claire	1,460	1,550	90	6.2%	632	662	30	4.7%
03875	Aurora town	Florence	1,186	1,036	-150	-12.6%	535	590	55	10.3%
03900	Aurora town	Taylor	386	422	36	9.3%	154	186	32	20.8%
03925	Aurora town	Waushara	971	985	14	1.4%	384	437	53	13.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
04025	Avoca village	Iowa	608	637	29	4.8%	328	347	19	5.8%
04100	Avon town	Rock	586	608	22	3.8%	216	243	27	12.5%
04125	Aztalan town	Jefferson	1,447	1,457	10	0.7%	553	564	11	2.0%
04250	Bagley village	Grant	339	379	40	11.8%	331	409	78	23.6%
04275	Bagley town	Oconto	333	291	-42	-12.6%	229	255	26	11.4%
04325	Baileys Harbor town	Door	1,003	1,022	19	1.9%	1,029	1,378	349	33.9%
04400	Baldwin village	St. Croix	2,667	3,957	1,290	48.4%	1,144	1,724	580	50.7%
04425	Baldwin town	St. Croix	903	928	25	2.8%	315	363	48	15.2%
04475	Balsam Lake village	Polk	950	1,009	59	6.2%	673	732	59	8.8%
04500	Balsam Lake town	Polk	1,384	1,411	27	2.0%	1,018	1,093	75	7.4%
04550	Bangor village	La Crosse	1,400	1,459	59	4.2%	546	597	51	9.3%
04575	Bangor town	La Crosse	583	615	32	5.5%	227	234	7	3.1%
04625	Baraboo city	Sauk	10,711	12,048	1,337	12.5%	4,718	5,619	901	19.1%
04650	Baraboo town	Sauk	1,828	1,672	-156	-8.5%	751	748	-3	-0.4%
04725	Barksdale town	Bayfield	801	723	-78	-9.7%	353	371	18	5.1%
04750	Barnes town	Bayfield	610	769	159	26.1%	1,486	1,564	78	5.2%
04775	Barneveld village	Iowa	1,088	1,231	143	13.1%	400	477	77	19.3%
04825	Barre town	La Crosse	1,014	1,234	220	21.7%	354	481	127	35.9%
04875	Barron city	Barron	3,248	3,423	175	5.4%	1,442	1,526	84	5.8%
04900	Barron town	Barron	1,014	873	-141	-13.9%	323	346	23	7.1%
04950	Barronett town	Washburn	405	442	37	9.1%	192	231	39	20.3%
05000	Bartelme town	Shawano	700	819	119	17.0%	289	372	83	28.7%
05050	Barton town	Washington	2,546	2,637	91	3.6%	919	1,076	157	17.1%
05125	Bashaw town	Washburn	921	946	25	2.7%	387	476	89	23.0%
05200	Bass Lake town	Sawyer	2,244	2,377	133	5.9%	1,836	2,016	180	9.8%
05225	Bass Lake town	Washburn	535	505	-30	-5.6%	277	339	62	22.4%
05325	Bay City village	Pierce	491	500	9	1.8%	202	214	12	5.9%
05350	Bayfield city	Bayfield	611	487	-124	-20.3%	403	482	79	19.6%
05375	Bayfield town	Bayfield	625	680	55	8.8%	491	644	153	31.2%
05450	Bayside village	Milwaukee, Ozaukee	4,518	4,389	-129	-2.9%	1,834	1,945	111	6.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
05475	Bayview town	Bayfield	491	487	-4	-0.8%	283	310	27	9.5%
05550	Bear Bluff town	Jackson	128	138	10	7.8%	56	78	22	39.3%
05575	Bear Creek village	Outagamie	415	448	33	8.0%	172	174	2	1.2%
05600	Bear Creek town	Sauk	497	595	98	19.7%	211	284	73	34.6%
05625	Bear Creek town	Waupaca	838	823	-15	-1.8%	291	323	32	11.0%
05650	Bear Lake town	Barron	587	659	72	12.3%	258	376	118	45.7%
05750	Beaver town	Clark	854	885	31	3.6%	249	267	18	7.2%
05800	Beaver town	Marinette	1,123	1,146	23	2.0%	542	797	255	47.0%
05825	Beaver town	Polk	753	835	82	10.9%	441	521	80	18.1%
05875	Beaver Brook town	Washburn	643	713	70	10.9%	280	350	70	25.0%
05900	Beaver Dam city	Dodge	15,169	16,214	1,045	6.9%	6,685	7,326	641	9.6%
05925	Beaver Dam town	Dodge	3,440	3,962	522	15.2%	1,375	1,711	336	24.4%
06000	Beecher town	Marinette	783	724	-59	-7.5%	971	1,097	126	13.0%
06100	Beetown town	Grant	734	777	43	5.9%	273	301	28	10.3%
06150	Belgium village	Ozaukee	1,678	2,245	567	33.8%	592	848	256	43.2%
06175	Belgium town	Ozaukee	1,513	1,415	-98	-6.5%	631	696	65	10.3%
06200	Bell town	Bayfield	230	263	33	14.3%	412	514	102	24.8%
06225	Bell Center village	Crawford	116	117	1	0.9%	60	64	4	6.7%
06275	Belle Plaine town	Shawano	1,867	1,855	-12	-0.6%	964	1,067	103	10.7%
06300	Belleville village	Dane, Green	1,908	2,385	477	25.0%	788	1,030	242	30.7%
06350	Bellevue village	Brown	11,828	14,570	2,742	23.2%	4,759	6,314	1,555	32.7%
06425	Belmont village	Lafayette	871	986	115	13.2%	401	454	53	13.2%
06450	Belmont town	Lafayette	676	767	91	13.5%	283	284	1	0.4%
06475	Belmont town	Portage	623	616	-7	-1.1%	307	385	78	25.4%
06500	Beloit city	Rock	35,775	36,966	1,191	3.3%	14,262	15,177	915	6.4%
06525	Beloit town	Rock	7,038	7,662	624	8.9%	2,949	3,307	358	12.1%
06675	Belvidere town	Buffalo	442	396	-46	-10.4%	222	219	-3	-1.4%
06775	Bennett town	Douglas	622	597	-25	-4.0%	297	341	44	14.8%
06825	Benton village	Lafayette	976	973	-3	-0.3%	417	426	9	2.2%
06850	Benton town	Lafayette	469	504	35	7.5%	166	192	26	15.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
06875	Bergen town	Marathon	615	641	26	4.2%	244	291	47	19.3%
06900	Bergen town	Vernon	1,317	1,364	47	3.6%	535	603	68	12.7%
06925	Berlin city	Green Lake, Waushara	5,305	5,524	219	4.1%	2,391	2,561	170	7.1%
06950	Berlin town	Green Lake	1,145	1,140	-5	-0.4%	434	487	53	12.2%
06975	Berlin town	Marathon	887	945	58	6.5%	330	387	57	17.3%
07000	Bern town	Marathon	562	591	29	5.2%	180	205	25	13.9%
07025	Berry town	Dane	1,084	1,127	43	4.0%	420	494	74	17.6%
07125	Bevent town	Marathon	1,126	1,118	-8	-0.7%	484	537	53	11.0%
07175	Big Bend town	Rusk	402	358	-44	-10.9%	431	471	40	9.3%
07200	Big Bend village	Waukesha	1,278	1,290	12	0.9%	457	503	46	10.1%
07225	Big Falls town	Rusk	107	140	33	30.8%	52	128	76	146.2%
07250	Big Falls village	Waupaca	85	61	-24	-28.2%	54	54	0	0.0%
07300	Big Flats town	Adams	946	1,018	72	7.6%	797	1,100	303	38.0%
07500	Birch town	Lincoln	801	594	-207	-25.8%	250	285	35	14.0%
07525	Birch Creek town	Chippewa	520	517	-3	-0.6%	389	429	40	10.3%
07550	Birchwood village	Washburn	518	442	-76	-14.7%	269	290	21	7.8%
07575	Birchwood town	Washburn	453	478	25	5.5%	528	757	229	43.4%
07600	Birnamwood village	Marathon, Shawano	795	818	23	2.9%	328	383	55	16.8%
07625	Birnamwood town	Shawano	711	763	52	7.3%	309	336	27	8.7%
07650	Biron village	Wood	915	839	-76	-8.3%	398	402	4	1.0%
07700	Black Brook town	Polk	1,208	1,325	117	9.7%	448	548	100	22.3%
07725	Black Creek village	Outagamie	1,192	1,316	124	10.4%	513	540	27	5.3%
07750	Black Creek town	Outagamie	1,268	1,259	-9	-0.7%	461	487	26	5.6%
07800	Black Earth village	Dane	1,320	1,338	18	1.4%	527	582	55	10.4%
07825	Black Earth town	Dane	449	483	34	7.6%	172	205	33	19.2%
07900	Black River Falls city	Jackson	3,618	3,622	4	0.1%	1,679	1,732	53	3.2%
07950	Blackwell town	Forest	347	332	-15	-4.3%	116	209	93	80.2%
08000	Black Wolf town	Winnebago	2,330	2,410	80	3.4%	1,010	1,120	110	10.9%
08025	Blaine town	Burnett	224	197	-27	-12.1%	238	277	39	16.4%
08075	Blair city	Trempealeau	1,273	1,366	93	7.3%	564	638	74	13.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
08100	Blanchard town	Lafayette	261	264	3	1.1%	103	114	11	10.7%
08125	Blanchardville village	Iowa, Lafayette	806	825	19	2.4%	376	396	20	5.3%
08175	Bloom town	Richland	487	512	25	5.1%	241	286	45	18.7%
08225	Bloomer city	Chippewa	3,347	3,539	192	5.7%	1,487	1,656	169	11.4%
08250	Bloomer town	Chippewa	926	1,050	124	13.4%	335	456	121	36.1%
08275	Bloomfield town	Walworth	5,537	6,278	741	13.4%	2,476	2,747	271	10.9%
08300	Bloomfield town	Waushara	1,018	1,052	34	3.3%	454	518	64	14.1%
08350	Blooming Grove town	Dane	1,768	1,815	47	2.7%	748	830	82	11.0%
08375	Bloomington village	Grant	701	735	34	4.9%	329	338	9	2.7%
08400	Bloomington town	Grant	399	350	-49	-12.3%	147	189	42	28.6%
08475	Blue Mounds village	Dane	708	855	147	20.8%	297	347	50	16.8%
08500	Blue Mounds town	Dane	842	968	126	15.0%	300	367	67	22.3%
08525	Blue River village	Grant	429	434	5	1.2%	205	217	12	5.9%
08600	Boaz village	Richland	137	156	19	13.9%	67	68	1	1.5%
08725	Bonduel village	Shawano	1,416	1,478	62	4.4%	598	645	47	7.9%
08750	Bone Lake town	Polk	710	717	7	1.0%	434	489	55	12.7%
08850	Boscobel city	Grant	3,047	3,231	184	6.0%	1,266	1,307	41	3.2%
08875	Boscobel town	Grant	433	376	-57	-13.2%	199	169	-30	-15.1%
08950	Boulder Junction town	Vilas	958	933	-25	-2.6%	1,407	1,398	-9	-0.6%
08975	Bovina town	Outagamie	1,130	1,145	15	1.3%	414	466	52	12.6%
09025	Bowler village	Shawano	343	302	-41	-12.0%	135	150	15	11.1%
09050	Boyceville village	Dunn	1,043	1,086	43	4.1%	446	494	48	10.8%
09075	Boyd village	Chippewa	680	552	-128	-18.8%	290	253	-37	-12.8%
09175	Bradford town	Rock	1,007	1,121	114	11.3%	398	424	26	6.5%
09225	Bradley town	Lincoln	2,573	2,408	-165	-6.4%	1,840	2,164	324	17.6%
09300	Brandon village	Fond Du Lac	912	879	-33	-3.6%	357	369	12	3.4%
09375	Brazeau town	Oconto	1,408	1,284	-124	-8.8%	1,347	1,520	173	12.8%
09425	Breed town	Oconto	657	712	55	8.4%	322	636	314	97.5%
09500	Bridge Creek town	Eau Claire	1,844	1,900	56	3.0%	703	832	129	18.3%
09550	Bridgeport town	Crawford	946	990	44	4.7%	380	433	53	13.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
09600	Brigham town	Iowa	908	1,034	126	13.9%	375	430	55	14.7%
09635	Brighton town	Kenosha	1,450	1,456	6	0.4%	524	579	55	10.5%
09650	Brighton town	Marathon	611	612	1	0.2%	205	217	12	5.9%
09725	Brillion city	Calumet	2,937	3,148	211	7.2%	1,230	1,349	119	9.7%
09750	Brillion town	Calumet	1,438	1,486	48	3.3%	521	580	59	11.3%
09775	Bristol town	Dane	2,698	3,765	1,067	39.5%	956	1,337	381	39.9%
09800	Bristol village	Kenosha		2,584				1,006		
09825	Bristol town	Kenosha	4,538	2,330	-2,208	-48.7%	1,818	1,063	-755	-41.5%
09900	Brockway town	Jackson	2,580	2,828	248	9.6%	687	848	161	23.4%
09925	Brodhead city	Green, Rock	3,180	3,293	113	3.6%	1,355	1,452	97	7.2%
09975	Brokaw village	Marathon	107	251	144	134.6%	49	138	89	181.6%
10025	Brookfield city	Waukesha	38,649	37,920	-729	-1.9%	14,208	15,317	1,109	7.8%
10050	Brookfield town	Waukesha	6,390	6,116	-274	-4.3%	2,863	3,017	154	5.4%
10075	Brooklyn village	Dane, Green	916	1,401	485	52.9%	351	527	176	50.1%
10100	Brooklyn town	Green	944	1,083	139	14.7%	356	442	86	24.2%
10125	Brooklyn town	Green Lake	1,904	1,826	-78	-4.1%	1,056	1,168	112	10.6%
10150	Brooklyn town	Washburn	281	254	-27	-9.6%	227	273	46	20.3%
10350	Brothertown town	Calumet	1,404	1,329	-75	-5.3%	627	642	15	2.4%
10375	Brown Deer village	Milwaukee	12,170	11,999	-171	-1.4%	5,335	5,579	244	4.6%
10400	Browning town	Taylor	850	905	55	6.5%	313	384	71	22.7%
10450	Brownsville village	Dodge	570	581	11	1.9%	213	233	20	9.4%
10475	Browntown village	Green	252	280	28	11.1%	104	116	12	11.5%
10500	Bruce village	Rusk	787	779	-8	-1.0%	407	419	12	2.9%
10575	Brule town	Douglas	591	656	65	11.0%	270	363	93	34.4%
10625	Brunswick town	Eau Claire	1,598	1,624	26	1.6%	652	702	50	7.7%
10700	Brussels town	Door	1,112	1,136	24	2.2%	428	481	53	12.4%
10750	Buchanan town	Outagamie	5,827	6,755	928	15.9%	1,868	2,453	585	31.3%
10925	Buena Vista town	Portage	1,187	1,198	11	0.9%	446	489	43	9.6%
10950	Buena Vista town	Richland	1,575	1,869	294	18.7%	654	785	131	20.0%
11025	Buffalo town	Buffalo	667	705	38	5.7%	277	316	39	14.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
11050	Buffalo town	Marquette	1,085	1,221	136	12.5%	461	523	62	13.4%
11062	Buffalo City city	Buffalo	1,040	1,023	-17	-1.6%	492	577	85	17.3%
11150	Burke town	Dane	2,990	3,284	294	9.8%	1,208	1,301	93	7.7%
11200	Burlington city	Racine, Walworth	9,936	10,464	528	5.3%	3,976	4,529	553	13.9%
11225	Burlington town	Racine	6,384	6,502	118	1.8%	2,797	2,984	187	6.7%
11275	Burnett town	Dodge	919	904	-15	-1.6%	353	379	26	7.4%
11300	Burns town	La Crosse	979	947	-32	-3.3%	367	415	48	13.1%
11325	Burnside town	Trempealeau	529	511	-18	-3.4%	202	209	7	3.5%
11425	Butler town	Clark	88	96	8	9.1%	49	60	11	22.4%
11475	Butler village	Waukesha	1,881	1,841	-40	-2.1%	938	925	-13	-1.4%
11525	Butternut village	Ashland	407	375	-32	-7.9%	220	214	-6	-2.7%
11600	Byron town	Fond Du Lac	1,550	1,634	84	5.4%	555	652	97	17.5%
11625	Byron town	Monroe	1,394	1,342	-52	-3.7%	556	592	36	6.5%
11675	Cable town	Bayfield	836	825	-11	-1.3%	697	778	81	11.6%
11725	Cadiz town	Green	863	815	-48	-5.6%	349	373	24	6.9%
11750	Cadott village	Chippewa	1,345	1,437	92	6.8%	581	649	68	11.7%
11775	Cady town	St. Croix	710	821	111	15.6%	264	343	79	29.9%
11850	Calamus town	Dodge	1,005	1,048	43	4.3%	396	438	42	10.6%
11900	Caledonia town	Columbia	1,171	1,378	207	17.7%	713	843	130	18.2%
11950	Caledonia village	Racine	23,614	24,705	1,091	4.6%	8,839	10,056	1,217	13.8%
11975	Caledonia town	Trempealeau	759	920	161	21.2%	285	369	84	29.5%
12000	Caledonia town	Waupaca	1,466	1,627	161	11.0%	518	700	182	35.1%
12075	Calumet town	Fond Du Lac	1,514	1,470	-44	-2.9%	774	820	46	5.9%
12200	Cambria village	Columbia	792	767	-25	-3.2%	339	342	3	0.9%
12225	Cambridge village	Dane, Jefferson	1,101	1,457	356	32.3%	483	654	171	35.4%
12250	Cameron village	Barron	1,546	1,783	237	15.3%	661	797	136	20.6%
12275	Cameron town	Wood	510	511	1	0.2%	201	219	18	9.0%
12300	Campbell town	La Crosse	4,410	4,314	-96	-2.2%	1,823	1,995	172	9.4%
12325	Campbellsport village	Fond Du Lac	1,913	2,016	103	5.4%	736	823	87	11.8%
12350	Camp Douglas village	Juneau	592	601	9	1.5%	264	264	0	0.0%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
12500	Canton town	Buffalo	304	305	1	0.3%	112	126	14	12.5%
12525	Carey town	Iron	191	163	-28	-14.7%	176	189	13	7.4%
12575	Carlton town	Kewaunee	1,000	1,014	14	1.4%	383	445	62	16.2%
12725	Carson town	Portage	1,299	1,305	6	0.5%	499	556	57	11.4%
12775	Cary town	Wood	398	424	26	6.5%	228	256	28	12.3%
12825	Cascade village	Sheboygan	681	709	28	4.1%	274	291	17	6.2%
12850	Casco village	Kewaunee	572	583	11	1.9%	236	247	11	4.7%
12875	Casco town	Kewaunee	1,153	1,165	12	1.0%	404	463	59	14.6%
12925	Casey town	Washburn	466	353	-113	-24.2%	657	785	128	19.5%
12950	Cashton village	Monroe	1,005	1,102	97	9.7%	463	497	34	7.3%
12975	Cassel town	Marathon	847	911	64	7.6%	286	341	55	19.2%
13025	Cassian town	Oneida	962	985	23	2.4%	1,011	1,204	193	19.1%
13050	Cassville village	Grant	1,085	947	-138	-12.7%	572	582	10	1.7%
13075	Cassville town	Grant	487	416	-71	-14.6%	220	218	-2	-0.9%
13100	Castle Rock town	Grant	314	248	-66	-21.0%	122	138	16	13.1%
13125	Caswell town	Forest	102	91	-11	-10.8%	156	156	0	0.0%
13175	Catawba village	Price	149	110	-39	-26.2%	79	78	-1	-1.3%
13200	Catawba town	Price	283	269	-14	-4.9%	162	236	74	45.7%
13237	Cato town	Manitowoc	1,616	1,566	-50	-3.1%	571	621	50	8.8%
13300	Cazenovia village	Richland, Sauk	326	318	-8	-2.5%	156	162	6	3.8%
13325	Cecil village	Shawano	466	570	104	22.3%	246	328	82	33.3%
13375	Cedarburg city	Ozaukee	11,102	11,412	310	2.8%	4,593	4,916	323	7.0%
13400	Cedarburg town	Ozaukee	5,550	5,760	210	3.8%	1,931	2,127	196	10.2%
13475	Cedar Grove village	Sheboygan	1,887	2,113	226	12.0%	724	881	157	21.7%
13500	Cedar Lake town	Barron	944	948	4	0.4%	765	1,013	248	32.4%
13550	Cedar Rapids town	Rusk	37	41	4	10.8%	16	38	22	137.5%
13600	Center town	Outagamie	3,163	3,402	239	7.6%	1,105	1,304	199	18.0%
13625	Center town	Rock	1,005	1,066	61	6.1%	384	436	52	13.5%
13750	Centerville town	Manitowoc	713	645	-68	-9.5%	262	283	21	8.0%
13850	Centuria village	Polk	865	948	83	9.6%	361	449	88	24.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
14000	Charlestown town	Calumet	789	775	-14	-1.8%	300	319	19	6.3%
14125	Chase town	Oconto	2,082	3,005	923	44.3%	696	1,055	359	51.6%
14150	Chaseburg village	Vernon	306	284	-22	-7.2%	146	126	-20	-13.7%
14200	Chelsea town	Taylor	719	806	87	12.1%	310	444	134	43.2%
14225	Chenequa village	Waukesha	583	590	7	1.2%	280	324	44	15.7%
14300	Chester town	Dodge	960	687	-273	-28.4%	291	264	-27	-9.3%
14325	Chetek city	Barron	2,180	2,221	41	1.9%	1,052	1,104	52	4.9%
14350	Chetek town	Barron	1,686	1,644	-42	-2.5%	1,073	1,247	174	16.2%
14425	Chicog town	Washburn	268	234	-34	-12.7%	476	578	102	21.4%
14475	Chilton city	Calumet	3,708	3,933	225	6.1%	1,606	1,808	202	12.6%
14500	Chilton town	Calumet	1,130	1,143	13	1.2%	371	431	60	16.2%
14525	Chimney Rock town	Trempealeau	276	241	-35	-12.7%	104	115	11	10.6%
14550	Chippewa town	Ashland	433	374	-59	-13.6%	280	333	53	18.9%
14575	Chippewa Falls city	Chippewa	12,925	13,661	736	5.7%	5,905	6,304	399	6.8%
14650	Christiana town	Dane	1,313	1,235	-78	-5.9%	492	497	5	1.0%
14675	Christiana town	Vernon	871	931	60	6.9%	341	383	42	12.3%
14750	Cicero town	Outagamie	1,092	1,103	11	1.0%	384	422	38	9.9%
14800	City Point town	Jackson	189	182	-7	-3.7%	104	257	153	147.1%
14837	Clam Falls town	Polk	547	596	49	9.0%	352	331	-21	-6.0%
15000	Clarno town	Green	1,079	1,166	87	8.1%	410	469	59	14.4%
15025	Clay Banks town	Door	410	382	-28	-6.8%	240	255	15	6.3%
15075	Clayton town	Crawford	956	958	2	0.2%	524	574	50	9.5%
15100	Clayton village	Polk	507	571	64	12.6%	208	225	17	8.2%
15125	Clayton town	Polk	912	975	63	6.9%	412	470	58	14.1%
15150	Clayton town	Winnebago	2,974	3,951	977	32.9%	1,096	1,488	392	35.8%
15200	Clear Creek town	Eau Claire	712	821	109	15.3%	278	307	29	10.4%
15225	Clearfield town	Juneau	737	728	-9	-1.2%	432	459	27	6.3%
15250	Clear Lake village	Polk	1,051	1,070	19	1.8%	478	502	24	5.0%
15275	Clear Lake town	Polk	800	899	99	12.4%	290	354	64	22.1%
15350	Cleveland town	Chippewa	900	864	-36	-4.0%	339	412	73	21.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
15375	Cleveland town	Jackson	438	481	43	9.8%	188	250	62	33.0%
15400	Cleveland village	Manitowoc	1,361	1,485	124	9.1%	578	643	65	11.2%
15425	Cleveland town	Marathon	1,160	1,488	328	28.3%	422	578	156	37.0%
15450	Cleveland town	Taylor	262	268	6	2.3%	120	222	102	85.0%
15500	Clifton town	Grant	304	385	81	26.6%	112	133	21	18.8%
15550	Clifton town	Monroe	693	690	-3	-0.4%	233	256	23	9.9%
15575	Clifton town	Pierce	1,657	2,012	355	21.4%	592	754	162	27.4%
15600	Clinton town	Barron	920	879	-41	-4.5%	374	400	26	7.0%
15625	Clinton village	Rock	2,162	2,154	-8	-0.4%	815	872	57	7.0%
15650	Clinton town	Rock	893	930	37	4.1%	316	350	34	10.8%
15675	Clinton town	Vernon	1,354	1,358	4	0.3%	351	371	20	5.7%
15725	Clintonville city	Waupaca	4,736	4,559	-177	-3.7%	2,147	2,227	80	3.7%
15750	Clover town	Bayfield	211	223	12	5.7%	364	416	52	14.3%
15825	Cloverland town	Douglas	247	210	-37	-15.0%	111	139	28	25.2%
15850	Cloverland town	Vilas	919	1,029	110	12.0%	936	1,105	169	18.1%
15875	Clyde town	Iowa	322	306	-16	-5.0%	162	175	13	8.0%
15900	Clyman village	Dodge	388	422	34	8.8%	163	177	14	8.6%
15925	Clyman town	Dodge	849	774	-75	-8.8%	309	314	5	1.6%
15975	Cobb village	Iowa	442	458	16	3.6%	199	210	11	5.5%
16025	Cochrane village	Buffalo	435	450	15	3.4%	197	234	37	18.8%
16075	Colburn town	Adams	181	223	42	23.2%	155	218	63	40.6%
16125	Colburn town	Chippewa	727	856	129	17.7%	289	429	140	48.4%
16150	Colby city	Clark, Marathon	1,616	1,852	236	14.6%	645	757	112	17.4%
16175	Colby town	Clark	908	874	-34	-3.7%	281	283	2	0.7%
16225	Cold Spring town	Jefferson	766	727	-39	-5.1%	278	294	16	5.8%
16250	Coleman village	Marinette	716	724	8	1.1%	352	343	-9	-2.6%
16275	Colfax village	Dunn	1,136	1,158	22	1.9%	488	524	36	7.4%
16300	Colfax town	Dunn	909	1,186	277	30.5%	327	448	121	37.0%
16375	Coloma village	Waushara	461	450	-11	-2.4%	197	214	17	8.6%
16400	Coloma town	Waushara	660	753	93	14.1%	500	561	61	12.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
16450	Columbus city	Columbia, Dodge	4,479	4,991	512	11.4%	1,927	2,287	360	18.7%
16475	Columbus town	Columbia	711	646	-65	-9.1%	241	250	9	3.7%
16500	Combined Locks village	Outagamie	2,422	3,328	906	37.4%	903	1,263	360	39.9%
16550	Commonwealth town	Florence	419	399	-20	-4.8%	326	378	52	16.0%
16650	Concord town	Jefferson	2,023	2,072	49	2.4%	770	848	78	10.1%
16750	Conover town	Vilas	1,137	1,235	98	8.6%	1,440	1,673	233	16.2%
16775	Conrath village	Rusk	98	95	-3	-3.1%	39	48	9	23.1%
16800	Cooks Valley town	Chippewa	632	805	173	27.4%	218	278	60	27.5%
16875	Coon town	Vernon	683	728	45	6.6%	295	322	27	9.2%
16900	Coon Valley village	Vernon	714	765	51	7.1%	332	348	16	4.8%
16950	Cooperstown town	Manitowoc	1,389	1,292	-97	-7.0%	486	520	34	7.0%
17100	Cornell city	Chippewa	1,466	1,467	1	0.1%	652	670	18	2.8%
17125	Corning town	Lincoln	826	883	57	6.9%	392	511	119	30.4%
17175	Cottage Grove village	Dane	4,059	6,192	2,133	52.5%	1,453	2,289	836	57.5%
17200	Cottage Grove town	Dane	3,839	3,875	36	0.9%	1,356	1,495	139	10.3%
17225	Couderay village	Sawyer	96	88	-8	-8.3%	54	51	-3	-5.6%
17250	Couderay town	Sawyer	469	401	-68	-14.5%	248	267	19	7.7%
17325	Courtland town	Columbia	463	525	62	13.4%	185	205	20	10.8%
17425	Crandon city	Forest	1,961	1,920	-41	-2.1%	961	964	3	0.3%
17450	Crandon town	Forest	614	650	36	5.9%	443	456	13	2.9%
17525	Cranmoor town	Wood	175	168	-7	-4.0%	75	79	4	5.3%
17625	Crescent town	Oneida	2,071	2,033	-38	-1.8%	1,034	1,252	218	21.1%
17725	Crivitz village	Marinette	998	984	-14	-1.4%	457	533	76	16.6%
17737	Cross town	Buffalo	366	377	11	3.0%	141	165	24	17.0%
17775	Cross Plains village	Dane	3,084	3,538	454	14.7%	1,228	1,452	224	18.2%
17800	Cross Plains town	Dane	1,419	1,507	88	6.2%	525	613	88	16.8%
17850	Crystal town	Washburn	323	267	-56	-17.3%	184	215	31	16.8%
17875	Crystal Lake town	Barron	778	757	-21	-2.7%	435	474	39	9.0%
17900	Crystal Lake town	Marquette	513	484	-29	-5.7%	442	538	96	21.7%
17950	Cuba City city	Grant, Lafayette	2,156	2,086	-70	-3.2%	900	899	-1	-0.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
17975	Cudahy city	Milwaukee	18,429	18,267	-162	-0.9%	8,273	8,662	389	4.7%
18025	Cumberland city	Barron	2,280	2,170	-110	-4.8%	1,134	1,207	73	6.4%
18050	Cumberland town	Barron	942	876	-66	-7.0%	425	444	19	4.5%
18075	Curran town	Jackson	366	343	-23	-6.3%	171	183	12	7.0%
18125	Curtiss village	Clark	198	216	18	9.1%	70	82	12	17.1%
18225	Cutler town	Juneau	282	326	44	15.6%	147	314	167	113.6%
18300	Cylon town	St. Croix	629	683	54	8.6%	232	267	35	15.1%
18425	Dairyland town	Douglas	186	184	-2	-1.1%	139	329	190	136.7%
18475	Dakota town	Waushara	1,259	1,227	-32	-2.5%	693	714	21	3.0%
18525	Dale town	Outagamie	2,288	2,731	443	19.4%	812	1,023	211	26.0%
18575	Dallas village	Barron	356	409	53	14.9%	169	173	4	2.4%
18600	Dallas town	Barron	604	574	-30	-5.0%	235	236	1	0.4%
18700	Dane village	Dane	799	995	196	24.5%	288	373	85	29.5%
18725	Dane town	Dane	968	990	22	2.3%	339	370	31	9.1%
18750	Daniels town	Burnett	665	649	-16	-2.4%	429	495	66	15.4%
18825	Darien village	Walworth	1,572	1,580	8	0.5%	560	611	51	9.1%
18850	Darien town	Walworth	1,747	1,693	-54	-3.1%	650	664	14	2.2%
18875	Darlington city	Lafayette	2,418	2,451	33	1.4%	1,052	1,082	30	2.9%
18900	Darlington town	Lafayette	757	875	118	15.6%	276	349	73	26.4%
18950	Day town	Marathon	1,023	1,085	62	6.1%	367	427	60	16.3%
19000	Dayton town	Richland	723	693	-30	-4.1%	307	345	38	12.4%
19025	Dayton town	Waupaca	2,734	2,748	14	0.5%	1,484	1,675	191	12.9%
19075	Decatur town	Green	1,688	1,767	79	4.7%	645	739	94	14.6%
19200	Deer Creek town	Outagamie	682	637	-45	-6.6%	269	275	6	2.2%
19225	Deer Creek town	Taylor	733	768	35	4.8%	253	282	29	11.5%
19250	Deerfield village	Dane	1,971	2,319	348	17.7%	749	911	162	21.6%
19275	Deerfield town	Dane	1,470	1,585	115	7.8%	503	565	62	12.3%
19300	Deerfield town	Waushara	629	737	108	17.2%	487	520	33	6.8%
19325	Deer Park village	St. Croix	227	216	-11	-4.8%	94	113	19	20.2%
19350	DeForest village	Dane	7,368	8,936	1,568	21.3%	2,761	3,499	738	26.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
19375	Dekorra town	Columbia	2,350	2,311	-39	-1.7%	1,237	1,337	100	8.1%
19400	Delafield city	Waukesha	6,472	7,085	613	9.5%	2,685	2,974	289	10.8%
19425	Delafield town	Waukesha	7,820	8,400	580	7.4%	2,625	2,998	373	14.2%
19450	Delavan city	Walworth	7,956	8,463	507	6.4%	3,215	3,500	285	8.9%
19475	Delavan town	Walworth	4,559	5,285	726	15.9%	3,054	3,838	784	25.7%
19550	Dellona town	Sauk	1,199	1,552	353	29.4%	498	764	266	53.4%
19575	Dell Prairie town	Adams	1,415	1,590	175	12.4%	754	957	203	26.9%
19625	Delmar town	Chippewa	941	936	-5	-0.5%	328	367	39	11.9%
19662	Delta town	Bayfield	235	273	38	16.2%	328	378	50	15.2%
19675	Delton town	Sauk	2,024	2,391	367	18.1%	862	1,098	236	27.4%
19700	Denmark village	Brown	1,958	2,123	165	8.4%	833	988	155	18.6%
19775	De Pere city	Brown	20,559	23,800	3,241	15.8%	7,993	9,742	1,749	21.9%
19850	De Soto village	Crawford, Vernon	366	287	-79	-21.6%	191	176	-15	-7.9%
19925	Dewey town	Burnett	565	516	-49	-8.7%	303	345	42	13.9%
19975	Dewey town	Portage	975	932	-43	-4.4%	378	403	25	6.6%
20000	Dewey town	Rusk	523	545	22	4.2%	277	427	150	54.2%
20025	Dewhurst town	Clark	321	323	2	0.6%	267	401	134	50.2%
20075	Dexter town	Wood	379	359	-20	-5.3%	190	229	39	20.5%
20150	Diamond Bluff town	Pierce	479	469	-10	-2.1%	203	221	18	8.9%
20175	Dickeyville village	Grant	1,043	1,061	18	1.7%	431	471	40	9.3%
20300	Dodge town	Trempealeau	414	389	-25	-6.0%	176	201	25	14.2%
20350	Dodgeville city	Iowa	4,220	4,693	473	11.2%	1,831	2,117	286	15.6%
20375	Dodgeville town	Iowa	1,407	1,708	301	21.4%	588	738	150	25.5%
20450	Dorchester village	Clark, Marathon	827	876	49	5.9%	354	383	29	8.2%
20475	Doty town	Oconto	249	260	11	4.4%	438	700	262	59.8%
20525	Douglas town	Marquette	768	725	-43	-5.6%	382	424	42	11.0%
20550	Dousman village	Waukesha	1,584	2,302	718	45.3%	587	975	388	66.1%
20575	Dover town	Buffalo	484	486	2	0.4%	156	199	43	27.6%
20625	Dover town	Racine	3,908	4,051	143	3.7%	1,344	1,551	207	15.4%
20650	Dovre town	Barron	680	849	169	24.9%	259	344	85	32.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
20675	Downing village	Dunn	257	265	8	3.1%	107	108	1	0.9%
20750	Doyle town	Barron	498	453	-45	-9.0%	194	215	21	10.8%
20775	Doylestown village	Columbia	328	297	-31	-9.5%	123	123	0	0.0%
20800	Drammen town	Eau Claire	800	783	-17	-2.1%	318	334	16	5.0%
20825	Draper town	Sawyer	171	204	33	19.3%	397	484	87	21.9%
20850	Dresser village	Polk	732	895	163	22.3%	312	382	70	22.4%
20887	Drummond town	Bayfield	541	463	-78	-14.4%	645	693	48	7.4%
21000	Dunbar town	Marinette	1,303	1,094	-209	-16.0%	793	883	90	11.3%
21100	Dunkirk town	Dane	2,053	1,945	-108	-5.3%	776	811	35	4.5%
21125	Dunn town	Dane	5,270	4,931	-339	-6.4%	2,259	2,291	32	1.4%
21150	Dunn town	Dunn	1,492	1,524	32	2.1%	578	643	65	11.2%
21200	Dupont town	Waupaca	741	738	-3	-0.4%	257	315	58	22.6%
21225	Durand city	Pepin	1,968	1,931	-37	-1.9%	876	906	30	3.4%
21250	Durand town	Pepin	694	742	48	6.9%	245	284	39	15.9%
21400	Eagle town	Richland	593	531	-62	-10.5%	267	270	3	1.1%
21425	Eagle village	Waukesha	1,707	1,950	243	14.2%	605	704	99	16.4%
21450	Eagle town	Waukesha	3,117	3,507	390	12.5%	1,118	1,362	244	21.8%
21600	Eagle Point town	Chippewa	3,049	3,053	4	0.1%	1,018	1,345	327	32.1%
21625	Eagle River city	Vilas	1,443	1,398	-45	-3.1%	726	876	150	20.7%
21900	Eastman village	Crawford	437	428	-9	-2.1%	170	179	9	5.3%
21925	Eastman town	Crawford	790	739	-51	-6.5%	475	427	-48	-10.1%
22000	Easton town	Adams	1,194	1,130	-64	-5.4%	821	864	43	5.2%
22025	Easton town	Marathon	1,062	1,111	49	4.6%	400	446	46	11.5%
22100	East Troy village	Walworth	3,564	4,281	717	20.1%	1,396	1,866	470	33.7%
22125	East Troy town	Walworth	3,830	4,021	191	5.0%	1,753	1,914	161	9.2%
22225	Eaton town	Brown	1,414	1,508	94	6.6%	480	565	85	17.7%
22250	Eaton town	Clark	665	712	47	7.1%	221	253	32	14.5%
22275	Eaton town	Manitowoc	761	833	72	9.5%	288	345	57	19.8%
22300	Eau Claire city	Chippewa, Eau Claire	61,704	65,883	4,179	6.8%	24,895	28,134	3,239	13.0%
22375	Eau Galle town	Dunn	797	757	-40	-5.0%	333	357	24	7.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
22400	Eau Galle town	St. Croix	882	1,139	257	29.1%	320	422	102	31.9%
22425	Eau Pleine town	Marathon	750	773	23	3.1%	278	308	30	10.8%
22450	Eau Pleine town	Portage	931	908	-23	-2.5%	402	456	54	13.4%
22475	Eden village	Fond Du Lac	687	875	188	27.4%	303	360	57	18.8%
22500	Eden town	Fond Du Lac	979	1,028	49	5.0%	344	403	59	17.2%
22525	Eden town	Iowa	397	355	-42	-10.6%	147	151	4	2.7%
22550	Edgar village	Marathon	1,386	1,479	93	6.7%	568	635	67	11.8%
22575	Edgerton city	Dane, Rock	4,933	5,461	528	10.7%	2,084	2,410	326	15.6%
22625	Edgewater town	Sawyer	586	519	-67	-11.4%	640	839	199	31.1%
22750	Edson town	Chippewa	966	1,089	123	12.7%	322	404	82	25.5%
22850	Egg Harbor village	Door	250	201	-49	-19.6%	568	727	159	28.0%
22875	Egg Harbor town	Door	1,194	1,342	148	12.4%	930	1,795	865	93.0%
22925	Eileen town	Bayfield	640	681	41	6.4%	275	308	33	12.0%
22950	Eisenstein town	Price	669	630	-39	-5.8%	469	565	96	20.5%
22975	Eland village	Shawano	251	202	-49	-19.5%	96	93	-3	-3.1%
23000	Elba town	Dodge	1,086	996	-90	-8.3%	397	423	26	6.5%
23050	Elcho town	Langlade	1,317	1,233	-84	-6.4%	1,370	1,512	142	10.4%
23075	Elderon village	Marathon	189	179	-10	-5.3%	81	83	2	2.5%
23100	Elderon town	Marathon	567	606	39	6.9%	260	301	41	15.8%
23150	Eldorado town	Fond Du Lac	1,447	1,462	15	1.0%	506	566	60	11.9%
23175	Eleva village	Trempealeau	635	670	35	5.5%	290	305	15	5.2%
23200	Elk town	Price	1,183	988	-195	-16.5%	933	1,026	93	10.0%
23262	Elk Grove town	Lafayette	463	551	88	19.0%	158	176	18	11.4%
23275	Elkhart Lake village	Sheboygan	1,021	967	-54	-5.3%	599	706	107	17.9%
23300	Elkhorn city	Walworth	7,305	10,084	2,779	38.0%	3,014	4,043	1,029	34.1%
23325	Elk Mound village	Dunn	785	878	93	11.8%	311	358	47	15.1%
23350	Elk Mound town	Dunn	1,121	1,792	671	59.9%	395	636	241	61.0%
23400	Ellenboro town	Grant	608	525	-83	-13.7%	208	208	0	0.0%
23425	Ellington town	Outagamie	2,535	2,758	223	8.8%	870	1,052	182	20.9%
23525	Ellsworth village	Pierce	2,909	3,284	375	12.9%	1,210	1,434	224	18.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
23550	Ellsworth town	Pierce	1,064	1,146	82	7.7%	391	454	63	16.1%
23575	Elm Grove village	Waukesha	6,249	5,934	-315	-5.0%	2,556	2,513	-43	-1.7%
23700	Elmwood village	Pierce	841	817	-24	-2.9%	366	379	13	3.6%
23725	Elmwood Park village	Racine	474	497	23	4.9%	204	203	-1	-0.5%
23775	El Paso town	Pierce	690	681	-9	-1.3%	239	284	45	18.8%
23800	Elroy city	Juneau	1,578	1,442	-136	-8.6%	692	680	-12	-1.7%
23850	Embarrass village	Waupaca	487	404	-83	-17.0%	164	151	-13	-7.9%
23925	Emerald town	St. Croix	691	853	162	23.4%	244	315	71	29.1%
23975	Emery town	Price	325	297	-28	-8.6%	206	262	56	27.2%
24000	Emmet town	Dodge	1,221	1,302	81	6.6%	427	519	92	21.5%
24025	Emmet town	Marathon	842	931	89	10.6%	288	347	59	20.5%
24050	Empire town	Fond Du Lac	2,620	2,797	177	6.8%	944	1,109	165	17.5%
24075	Endeavor village	Marquette	440	468	28	6.4%	170	189	19	11.2%
24125	Enterprise town	Oneida	274	315	41	15.0%	386	460	74	19.2%
24150	Ephraim village	Door	353	288	-65	-18.4%	771	654	-117	-15.2%
24225	Erin town	Washington	3,664	3,747	83	2.3%	1,352	1,485	133	9.8%
24275	Erin Prairie town	St. Croix	658	688	30	4.6%	234	267	33	14.1%
24375	Estella town	Chippewa	469	433	-36	-7.7%	190	209	19	10.0%
24400	Ettrick village	Trempealeau	521	524	3	0.6%	254	256	2	0.8%
24425	Ettrick town	Trempealeau	1,284	1,237	-47	-3.7%	526	555	29	5.5%
24450	Eureka town	Polk	1,338	1,649	311	23.2%	567	756	189	33.3%
24550	Evansville city	Rock	4,039	5,012	973	24.1%	1,635	2,067	432	26.4%
24575	Evergreen town	Langlade	468	495	27	5.8%	218	281	63	28.9%
24600	Evergreen town	Washburn	1,076	1,135	59	5.5%	530	664	134	25.3%
24675	Excelsior town	Sauk	1,410	1,575	165	11.7%	558	671	113	20.3%
24700	Exeland village	Sawyer	212	196	-16	-7.5%	110	111	1	0.9%
24725	Exeter town	Green	1,261	2,023	762	60.4%	470	745	275	58.5%
24775	Fairbanks town	Shawano	687	616	-71	-10.3%	268	292	24	9.0%
24825	Fairchild village	Eau Claire	564	550	-14	-2.5%	236	275	39	16.5%
24850	Fairchild town	Eau Claire	351	343	-8	-2.3%	153	197	44	28.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
24900	Fairfield town	Sauk	1,023	1,077	54	5.3%	420	462	42	10.0%
25075	Fairwater village	Fond Du Lac	350	371	21	6.0%	142	160	18	12.7%
25125	Fall Creek village	Eau Claire	1,236	1,315	79	6.4%	495	553	58	11.7%
25150	Fall River village	Columbia	1,097	1,712	615	56.1%	459	671	212	46.2%
25300	Farmington town	Jefferson	1,498	1,380	-118	-7.9%	561	556	-5	-0.9%
25325	Farmington town	La Crosse	1,733	2,061	328	18.9%	706	877	171	24.2%
25350	Farmington town	Polk	1,625	1,836	211	13.0%	555	694	139	25.0%
25375	Farmington town	Washington	3,239	4,014	775	23.9%	1,183	1,543	360	30.4%
25400	Farmington town	Waupaca	4,148	3,974	-174	-4.2%	1,637	1,814	177	10.8%
25475	Fayette town	Lafayette	366	376	10	2.7%	155	170	15	9.7%
25575	Fence town	Florence	231	192	-39	-16.9%	373	445	72	19.3%
25600	Fennimore city	Grant	2,387	2,497	110	4.6%	1,068	1,145	77	7.2%
25625	Fennimore town	Grant	599	612	13	2.2%	216	234	18	8.3%
25650	Fenwood village	Marathon	174	152	-22	-12.6%	63	67	4	6.3%
25675	Fern town	Florence	153	159	6	3.9%	334	373	39	11.7%
25725	Ferryville village	Crawford	174	176	2	1.1%	149	165	16	10.7%
25775	Fifield town	Price	989	901	-88	-8.9%	760	990	230	30.3%
25850	Finley town	Juneau	84	97	13	15.5%	97	126	29	29.9%
25950	Fitchburg city	Dane	20,501	25,260	4,759	23.2%	8,604	10,668	2,064	24.0%
26050	Flambeau town	Price	535	489	-46	-8.6%	460	575	115	25.0%
26100	Flambeau town	Rusk	1,067	1,059	-8	-0.7%	438	478	40	9.1%
26200	Florence town	Florence	2,319	2,002	-317	-13.7%	1,724	1,892	168	9.7%
26275	Fond du Lac city	Fond Du Lac	42,203	43,021	818	1.9%	17,519	19,181	1,662	9.5%
26300	Fond du Lac town	Fond Du Lac	2,027	3,015	988	48.7%	840	1,239	399	47.5%
26350	Fontana-on-Geneva Lake village	Walworth	1,754	1,672	-82	-4.7%	1,974	2,308	334	16.9%
26400	Footville village	Rock	788	808	20	2.5%	326	326	0	0.0%
26425	Ford town	Taylor	276	268	-8	-2.9%	103	136	33	32.0%
26450	Forest town	Fond Du Lac	1,108	1,080	-28	-2.5%	410	436	26	6.3%
26475	Forest town	Richland	390	352	-38	-9.7%	173	201	28	16.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
26525	Forest town	St. Croix	590	629	39	6.6%	218	259	41	18.8%
26550	Forest town	Vernon	583	634	51	8.7%	258	301	43	16.7%
26625	Forestville village	Door	429	430	1	0.2%	202	206	4	2.0%
26650	Forestville town	Door	1,086	1,096	10	0.9%	432	486	54	12.5%
26675	Fort Atkinson city	Jefferson	11,621	12,368	747	6.4%	4,983	5,429	446	9.0%
26725	Fort Winnebago town	Columbia	855	825	-30	-3.5%	343	372	29	8.5%
26775	Foster town	Clark	95	95	0	0.0%	145	171	26	17.9%
26825	Fountain town	Juneau	582	555	-27	-4.6%	221	260	39	17.6%
26850	Fountain City city	Buffalo	983	859	-124	-12.6%	470	467	-3	-0.6%
26875	Fountain Prairie town	Columbia	810	887	77	9.5%	318	375	57	17.9%
27000	Fox Lake city	Dodge	1,454	1,519	65	4.5%	695	801	106	15.3%
27025	Fox Lake town	Dodge	2,402	2,465	63	2.6%	897	902	5	0.6%
27075	Fox Point village	Milwaukee	7,012	6,701	-311	-4.4%	2,910	2,928	18	0.6%
27125	Francis Creek village	Manitowoc	681	669	-12	-1.8%	280	296	16	5.7%
27150	Frankfort town	Marathon	651	670	19	2.9%	222	249	27	12.2%
27175	Frankfort town	Pepin	362	343	-19	-5.2%	161	190	29	18.0%
27225	Franklin town	Jackson	325	448	123	37.8%	175	222	47	26.9%
27250	Franklin town	Kewaunee	997	993	-4	-0.4%	359	417	58	16.2%
27275	Franklin town	Manitowoc	1,293	1,264	-29	-2.2%	491	537	46	9.4%
27300	Franklin city	Milwaukee	29,494	35,451	5,957	20.2%	10,936	14,356	3,420	31.3%
27350	Franklin town	Sauk	696	652	-44	-6.3%	267	282	15	5.6%
27400	Franklin town	Vernon	923	1,140	217	23.5%	382	470	88	23.0%
27450	Franzen town	Marathon	505	578	73	14.5%	212	256	44	20.8%
27500	Frederic village	Polk	1,262	1,137	-125	-9.9%	586	567	-19	-3.2%
27550	Fredonia village	Ozaukee	1,934	2,160	226	11.7%	734	873	139	18.9%
27575	Fredonia town	Ozaukee	2,083	2,172	89	4.3%	751	840	89	11.9%
27600	Freedom town	Forest	376	345	-31	-8.2%	435	482	47	10.8%
27650	Freedom town	Outagamie	5,241	5,842	601	11.5%	1,859	2,178	319	17.2%
27675	Freedom town	Sauk	416	447	31	7.5%	182	225	43	23.6%
27700	Freeman town	Crawford	719	686	-33	-4.6%	493	573	80	16.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
27775	Fremont town	Clark	1,190	1,265	75	6.3%	393	456	63	16.0%
27800	Fremont village	Waupaca	666	679	13	2.0%	406	408	2	0.5%
27850	Fremont town	Waupaca	632	597	-35	-5.5%	278	317	39	14.0%
27950	Friendship village	Adams	781	725	-56	-7.2%	294	323	29	9.9%
27975	Friendship town	Fond Du Lac	2,406	2,675	269	11.2%	1,062	1,242	180	16.9%
28000	Friesland village	Columbia	298	356	58	19.5%	114	141	27	23.7%
28025	Frog Creek town	Washburn	160	130	-30	-18.8%	86	102	16	18.6%
28075	Fulton town	Rock	3,158	3,252	94	3.0%	1,637	1,537	-100	-6.1%
28150	Gale town	Trempealeau	1,426	1,695	269	18.9%	592	694	102	17.2%
28200	Galesville city	Trempealeau	1,427	1,481	54	3.8%	648	694	46	7.1%
28250	Garden Valley town	Jackson	406	422	16	3.9%	169	195	26	15.4%
28300	Gardner town	Door	1,197	1,194	-3	-0.3%	966	1,137	171	17.7%
28325	Garfield town	Jackson	529	638	109	20.6%	208	322	114	54.8%
28350	Garfield town	Polk	1,443	1,692	249	17.3%	707	933	226	32.0%
28450	Gays Mills village	Crawford	625	491	-134	-21.4%	289	270	-19	-6.6%
28487	Genesee town	Waukesha	7,284	7,340	56	0.8%	2,481	2,736	255	10.3%
28550	Geneva town	Walworth	4,099	4,993	894	21.8%	2,826	3,458	632	22.4%
28625	Genoa village	Vernon	263	253	-10	-3.8%	120	120	0	0.0%
28650	Genoa town	Vernon	705	789	84	11.9%	392	401	9	2.3%
28675	Genoa City village	Kenosha, Walworth	1,949	3,042	1,093	56.1%	699	1,178	479	68.5%
28725	Georgetown town	Polk	1,004	977	-27	-2.7%	1,274	1,337	63	4.9%
28750	Georgetown town	Price	164	171	7	4.3%	117	143	26	22.2%
28825	Germania town	Shawano	339	332	-7	-2.1%	142	171	29	20.4%
28850	Germantown town	Juneau	1,174	1,471	297	25.3%	1,344	1,731	387	28.8%
28875	Germantown village	Washington	18,260	19,749	1,489	8.2%	7,075	8,092	1,017	14.4%
28900	Germantown town	Washington	278	254	-24	-8.6%	94	92	-2	-2.1%
28950	Gibraltar town	Door	1,063	1,021	-42	-4.0%	1,159	1,597	438	37.8%
28975	Gibson town	Manitowoc	1,352	1,344	-8	-0.6%	495	577	82	16.6%
29050	Gillett city	Oconto	1,262	1,386	124	9.8%	547	656	109	19.9%
29075	Gillett town	Oconto	1,090	1,043	-47	-4.3%	444	454	10	2.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
29150	Gilman town	Pierce	772	959	187	24.2%	289	398	109	37.7%
29175	Gilman village	Taylor	474	410	-64	-13.5%	209	218	9	4.3%
29225	Gilmanton town	Buffalo	470	426	-44	-9.4%	180	190	10	5.6%
29250	Gingles town	Ashland	640	778	138	21.6%	273	328	55	20.1%
29350	Glenbeulah village	Sheboygan	378	463	85	22.5%	160	204	44	27.5%
29375	Glencoe town	Buffalo	478	485	7	1.5%	180	208	28	15.6%
29400	Glendale city	Milwaukee	13,367	12,872	-495	-3.7%	5,974	6,191	217	3.6%
29450	Glendale town	Monroe	563	667	104	18.5%	245	287	42	17.1%
29475	Glen Flora village	Rusk	93	92	-1	-1.1%	47	47	0	0.0%
29525	Glen Haven town	Grant	490	417	-73	-14.9%	198	200	2	1.0%
29550	Glenmore town	Brown	1,187	1,135	-52	-4.4%	382	418	36	9.4%
29600	Glenwood town	St. Croix	755	785	30	4.0%	263	307	44	16.7%
29625	Glenwood City city	St. Croix	1,183	1,242	59	5.0%	491	561	70	14.3%
29700	Goetz town	Chippewa	695	762	67	9.6%	235	294	59	25.1%
29775	Goodman town	Marinette	820	619	-201	-24.5%	680	840	160	23.5%
29837	Goodrich town	Taylor	487	510	23	4.7%	203	243	40	19.7%
29875	Gordon town	Ashland	357	283	-74	-20.7%	397	444	47	11.8%
29925	Gordon town	Douglas	645	636	-9	-1.4%	471	878	407	86.4%
30000	Grafton village	Ozaukee	10,464	11,459	995	9.5%	4,245	5,125	880	20.7%
30025	Grafton town	Ozaukee	3,980	4,053	73	1.8%	1,528	1,711	183	12.0%
30075	Grand Chute town	Outagamie	18,392	20,919	2,527	13.7%	7,965	9,932	1,967	24.7%
30125	Grand Rapids town	Wood	7,801	7,646	-155	-2.0%	2,854	3,075	221	7.7%
30175	Grandview town	Bayfield	483	468	-15	-3.1%	529	587	58	11.0%
30275	Grant town	Clark	920	916	-4	-0.4%	361	377	16	4.4%
30300	Grant town	Dunn	426	385	-41	-9.6%	160	173	13	8.1%
30325	Grant town	Monroe	483	495	12	2.5%	211	235	24	11.4%
30350	Grant town	Portage	2,020	1,906	-114	-5.6%	748	798	50	6.7%
30375	Grant town	Rusk	767	813	46	6.0%	324	365	41	12.7%
30400	Grant town	Shawano	974	991	17	1.7%	390	448	58	14.9%
30425	Grantton village	Clark	406	355	-51	-12.6%	164	165	1	0.6%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
30450	Grantsburg village	Burnett	1,369	1,341	-28	-2.0%	590	636	46	7.8%
30475	Grantsburg town	Burnett	967	1,136	169	17.5%	445	589	144	32.4%
30575	Gratiot village	Lafayette	252	236	-16	-6.3%	103	108	5	4.9%
30600	Gratiot town	Lafayette	653	550	-103	-15.8%	258	261	3	1.2%
31000	Green Bay city	Brown	102,767	104,057	1,290	1.3%	43,123	45,241	2,118	4.9%
31025	Green Bay town	Brown	1,772	2,035	263	14.8%	685	863	178	26.0%
31100	Greenbush town	Sheboygan	2,619	1,534	-1,085	-41.4%	551	594	43	7.8%
31125	Greendale village	Milwaukee	14,405	14,046	-359	-2.5%	6,165	6,294	129	2.1%
31150	Greenfield town	La Crosse	1,538	2,060	522	33.9%	570	776	206	36.1%
31175	Greenfield city	Milwaukee	35,476	36,720	1,244	3.5%	16,203	17,790	1,587	9.8%
31225	Greenfield town	Monroe	626	707	81	12.9%	269	326	57	21.2%
31250	Greenfield town	Sauk	911	932	21	2.3%	384	405	21	5.5%
31275	Green Grove town	Clark	675	756	81	12.0%	225	248	23	10.2%
31300	Green Lake city	Green Lake	1,100	960	-140	-12.7%	732	766	34	4.6%
31350	Green Lake town	Green Lake	1,258	1,154	-104	-8.3%	1,010	1,079	69	6.8%
31450	Green Valley town	Marathon	514	541	27	5.3%	249	315	66	26.5%
31500	Green Valley town	Shawano	1,024	1,089	65	6.3%	412	462	50	12.1%
31550	Greenville town	Outagamie	6,844	10,309	3,465	50.6%	2,353	3,722	1,369	58.2%
31575	Greenwood city	Clark	1,079	1,026	-53	-4.9%	502	520	18	3.6%
31600	Greenwood town	Taylor	642	638	-4	-0.6%	295	380	85	28.8%
31625	Greenwood town	Vernon	770	847	77	10.0%	252	285	33	13.1%
31675	Gresham village	Shawano	575	586	11	1.9%	257	280	23	8.9%
31725	Grover town	Marinette	1,729	1,768	39	2.3%	676	763	87	12.9%
31750	Grover town	Taylor	233	256	23	9.9%	135	293	158	117.0%
31775	Grow town	Rusk	473	427	-46	-9.7%	175	188	13	7.4%
31800	Guenther town	Marathon	302	341	39	12.9%	130	152	22	16.9%
31825	Gull Lake town	Washburn	158	186	28	17.7%	145	223	78	53.8%
31875	Gurney town	Iron	158	159	1	0.6%	84	112	28	33.3%
31925	Hackett town	Price	202	169	-33	-16.3%	110	154	44	40.0%
32050	Hale town	Trempealeau	988	1,037	49	5.0%	380	420	40	10.5%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
32075	Hales Corners village	Milwaukee	7,765	7,692	-73	-0.9%	3,377	3,505	128	3.8%
32125	Hallie town	Chippewa	4,703	161	-4,542	-96.6%	1,729	63	-1,666	-96.4%
32150	Halsey town	Marathon	645	651	6	0.9%	208	228	20	9.6%
32200	Hamburg town	Marathon	910	918	8	0.9%	303	341	38	12.5%
32225	Hamburg town	Vernon	848	973	125	14.7%	325	371	46	14.2%
32275	Hamilton town	La Crosse	2,103	2,436	333	15.8%	732	882	150	20.5%
32300	Hammel town	Taylor	735	713	-22	-3.0%	346	432	86	24.9%
32325	Hammond village	St. Croix	1,153	1,922	769	66.7%	438	773	335	76.5%
32350	Hammond town	St. Croix	947	2,102	1,155	122.0%	318	730	412	129.6%
32375	Hampden town	Columbia	563	574	11	2.0%	219	235	16	7.3%
32450	Hancock village	Waushara	463	417	-46	-9.9%	254	251	-3	-1.2%
32475	Hancock town	Waushara	531	528	-3	-0.6%	384	422	38	9.9%
32500	Haney town	Crawford	330	309	-21	-6.4%	172	174	2	1.2%
32575	Hansen town	Wood	707	690	-17	-2.4%	272	295	23	8.5%
32650	Harding town	Lincoln	334	372	38	11.4%	224	275	51	22.8%
32675	Harmony town	Price	211	222	11	5.2%	140	186	46	32.9%
32700	Harmony town	Rock	2,351	2,569	218	9.3%	802	935	133	16.6%
32725	Harmony town	Vernon	739	755	16	2.2%	279	308	29	10.4%
32775	Harris town	Marquette	729	790	61	8.4%	359	437	78	21.7%
32800	Harrison town	Calumet	5,756	10,839	5,083	88.3%	2,139	3,801	1,662	77.7%
32825	Harrison town	Grant	497	495	-2	-0.4%	186	200	14	7.5%
32875	Harrison town	Lincoln	793	833	40	5.0%	679	933	254	37.4%
32900	Harrison town	Marathon	418	374	-44	-10.5%	155	178	23	14.8%
32925	Harrison town	Waupaca	509	468	-41	-8.1%	303	347	44	14.5%
33000	Hartford city	Dodge, Washington	10,905	14,223	3,318	30.4%	4,434	6,032	1,598	36.0%
33025	Hartford town	Washington	4,031	3,609	-422	-10.5%	1,438	1,450	12	0.8%
33050	Hartland town	Pierce	814	827	13	1.6%	307	362	55	17.9%
33075	Hartland town	Shawano	825	904	79	9.6%	284	319	35	12.3%
33100	Hartland village	Waukesha	7,905	9,110	1,205	15.2%	3,140	3,746	606	19.3%
33175	Hatley village	Marathon	476	574	98	20.6%	193	233	40	20.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
33225	Haugen village	Barron	287	287	0	0.0%	131	143	12	9.2%
33275	Hawkins village	Rusk	317	305	-12	-3.8%	147	182	35	23.8%
33300	Hawkins town	Rusk	170	153	-17	-10.0%	97	148	51	52.6%
33350	Hawthorne town	Douglas	1,045	1,136	91	8.7%	399	459	60	15.0%
33400	Hay River town	Dunn	546	558	12	2.2%	204	248	44	21.6%
33450	Hayward city	Sawyer	2,129	2,318	189	8.9%	1,064	1,227	163	15.3%
33475	Hayward town	Sawyer	3,279	3,567	288	8.8%	1,841	2,169	328	17.8%
33500	Hazel Green village	Grant, Lafayette	1,183	1,256	73	6.2%	497	557	60	12.1%
33525	Hazel Green town	Grant	1,043	1,132	89	8.5%	315	350	35	11.1%
33575	Hazelhurst town	Oneida	1,267	1,273	6	0.5%	1,113	1,246	133	11.9%
33700	Hebron town	Jefferson	1,135	1,094	-41	-3.6%	410	440	30	7.3%
33850	Helvetia town	Waupaca	649	636	-13	-2.0%	362	450	88	24.3%
33900	Hendren town	Clark	513	499	-14	-2.7%	247	269	22	8.9%
33925	Henrietta town	Richland	479	493	14	2.9%	276	309	33	12.0%
34000	Herman town	Dodge	1,207	1,108	-99	-8.2%	401	423	22	5.5%
34025	Herman town	Shawano	741	776	35	4.7%	300	360	60	20.0%
34050	Herman town	Sheboygan	2,044	2,151	107	5.2%	592	646	54	9.1%
34200	Hewett town	Clark	314	293	-21	-6.7%	200	231	31	15.5%
34225	Hewitt town	Marathon	545	606	61	11.2%	208	265	57	27.4%
34250	Hewitt village	Wood	670	828	158	23.6%	237	313	76	32.1%
34300	Hickory Grove town	Grant	443	455	12	2.7%	164	175	11	6.7%
34425	Highland town	Douglas	245	311	66	26.9%	169	320	151	89.3%
34450	Highland village	Iowa	855	842	-13	-1.5%	369	386	17	4.6%
34475	Highland town	Iowa	797	750	-47	-5.9%	332	342	10	3.0%
34575	Hilbert village	Calumet	1,089	1,132	43	3.9%	458	482	24	5.2%
34650	Hiles town	Forest	404	311	-93	-23.0%	761	774	13	1.7%
34675	Hiles town	Wood	188	167	-21	-11.2%	88	94	6	6.8%
34725	Hill town	Price	364	333	-31	-8.5%	197	291	94	47.7%
34825	Hillsboro city	Vernon	1,302	1,417	115	8.8%	603	662	59	9.8%
34850	Hillsboro town	Vernon	766	807	41	5.4%	326	363	37	11.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
35050	Hixon town	Clark	740	808	68	9.2%	252	281	29	11.5%
35075	Hixton village	Jackson	446	433	-13	-2.9%	217	219	2	0.9%
35100	Hixton town	Jackson	611	652	41	6.7%	251	306	55	21.9%
35125	Hoard town	Clark	821	841	20	2.4%	193	230	37	19.2%
35150	Hobart village	Brown	5,090	6,182	1,092	21.5%	1,758	2,275	517	29.4%
35325	Holland town	Brown	1,339	1,519	180	13.4%	444	538	94	21.2%
35350	Holland town	La Crosse	3,042	3,701	659	21.7%	1,054	1,346	292	27.7%
35375	Holland town	Sheboygan	2,360	2,239	-121	-5.1%	1,019	1,117	98	9.6%
35400	Hollandale village	Iowa	283	288	5	1.8%	128	132	4	3.1%
35450	Holmen village	La Crosse	6,200	9,005	2,805	45.2%	2,377	3,521	1,144	48.1%
35500	Holton town	Marathon	907	873	-34	-3.7%	312	326	14	4.5%
35525	Holway town	Taylor	854	973	119	13.9%	284	339	55	19.4%
35600	Homestead town	Florence	378	336	-42	-11.1%	351	401	50	14.2%
35625	Honey Creek town	Sauk	736	733	-3	-0.4%	288	312	24	8.3%
35750	Horicon city	Dodge	3,775	3,655	-120	-3.2%	1,584	1,620	36	2.3%
35825	Hortonia town	Outagamie	1,063	1,097	34	3.2%	375	410	35	9.3%
35850	Hortonville village	Outagamie	2,357	2,711	354	15.0%	904	1,105	201	22.2%
35925	How town	Oconto	563	516	-47	-8.3%	229	257	28	12.2%
35950	Howard village	Brown, Outagamie	13,546	17,399	3,853	28.4%	5,350	7,223	1,873	35.0%
36000	Howard town	Chippewa	648	798	150	23.1%	237	308	71	30.0%
36025	Howards Grove village	Sheboygan	2,792	3,188	396	14.2%	1,022	1,276	254	24.9%
36100	Hubbard town	Dodge	1,643	1,774	131	8.0%	745	873	128	17.2%
36125	Hubbard town	Rusk	168	204	36	21.4%	83	172	89	107.2%
36250	Hudson city	St. Croix	8,775	12,719	3,944	44.9%	3,831	5,642	1,811	47.3%
36275	Hudson town	St. Croix	6,213	8,461	2,248	36.2%	1,962	2,767	805	41.0%
36300	Hughes town	Bayfield	408	383	-25	-6.1%	343	373	30	8.7%
36325	Hull town	Marathon	773	750	-23	-3.0%	260	266	6	2.3%
36350	Hull town	Portage	5,493	5,346	-147	-2.7%	2,067	2,151	84	4.1%
36425	Humboldt town	Brown	1,338	1,311	-27	-2.0%	460	510	50	10.9%
36450	Hunter town	Sawyer	765	678	-87	-11.4%	887	1,156	269	30.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
36525	Hurley city	Iron	1,818	1,547	-271	-14.9%	1,025	1,032	7	0.7%
36625	Hustisford village	Dodge	1,135	1,123	-12	-1.1%	498	532	34	6.8%
36650	Hustisford town	Dodge	1,379	1,373	-6	-0.4%	546	598	52	9.5%
36675	Hustler village	Juneau	113	194	81	71.7%	52	89	37	71.2%
36700	Hutchins town	Shawano	539	600	61	11.3%	219	298	79	36.1%
36800	Independence city	Trempealeau	1,244	1,336	92	7.4%	619	676	57	9.2%
36925	Ingram village	Rusk	76	78	2	2.6%	38	43	5	13.2%
37025	Iola village	Waupaca	1,298	1,301	3	0.2%	618	677	59	9.5%
37050	Iola town	Waupaca	818	971	153	18.7%	455	542	87	19.1%
37150	Iron Ridge village	Dodge	998	929	-69	-6.9%	383	409	26	6.8%
37200	Iron River town	Bayfield	1,059	1,123	64	6.0%	973	1,011	38	3.9%
37225	Ironton village	Sauk	250	253	3	1.2%	99	104	5	5.1%
37250	Ironton town	Sauk	650	660	10	1.5%	221	245	24	10.9%
37275	Irving town	Jackson	602	751	149	24.8%	237	309	72	30.4%
37350	Isabelle town	Pierce	289	281	-8	-2.8%	109	124	15	13.8%
37500	Ithaca town	Richland	648	619	-29	-4.5%	275	293	18	6.5%
37600	Ixonia town	Jefferson	2,902	4,385	1,483	51.1%	1,082	1,719	637	58.9%
37625	Jackson town	Adams	926	1,003	77	8.3%	951	1,100	149	15.7%
37650	Jackson town	Burnett	765	773	8	1.0%	1,031	1,277	246	23.9%
37675	Jackson village	Washington	4,938	6,753	1,815	36.8%	2,011	3,061	1,050	52.2%
37700	Jackson town	Washington	3,516	4,134	618	17.6%	1,230	1,523	293	23.8%
37750	Jacksonport town	Door	738	705	-33	-4.5%	638	838	200	31.3%
37775	Jacobs town	Ashland	835	722	-113	-13.5%	507	546	39	7.7%
37800	Jamestown town	Grant	2,077	2,076	-1	0.0%	773	858	85	11.0%
37825	Janesville city	Rock	60,200	63,575	3,375	5.6%	25,083	27,996	2,913	11.6%
37850	Janesville town	Rock	3,048	3,434	386	12.7%	1,206	1,432	226	18.7%
37875	Jefferson town	Green	1,212	1,217	5	0.4%	437	475	38	8.7%
37900	Jefferson city	Jefferson	7,338	7,973	635	8.7%	2,934	3,378	444	15.1%
37925	Jefferson town	Jefferson	2,265	2,178	-87	-3.8%	793	895	102	12.9%
37950	Jefferson town	Monroe	800	819	19	2.4%	236	257	21	8.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
37975	Jefferson town	Vernon	974	1,143	169	17.4%	407	484	77	18.9%
38300	Johnson town	Marathon	993	985	-8	-0.8%	313	330	17	5.4%
38350	Johnson Creek village	Jefferson	1,581	2,738	1,157	73.2%	659	1,118	459	69.7%
38400	Johnstown town	Polk	520	534	14	2.7%	418	456	38	9.1%
38450	Johnstown town	Rock	802	778	-24	-3.0%	306	316	10	3.3%
38550	Jordan town	Green	577	641	64	11.1%	219	254	35	16.0%
38627	Jump River town	Taylor	311	375	64	20.6%	167	230	63	37.7%
38650	Junction City village	Portage	440	439	-1	-0.2%	193	211	18	9.3%
38675	Juneau city	Dodge	2,485	2,814	329	13.2%	863	924	61	7.1%
38800	Kaukauna city	Outagamie	12,983	15,462	2,479	19.1%	5,142	6,596	1,454	28.3%
38825	Kaukauna town	Outagamie	1,116	1,238	122	10.9%	378	450	72	19.0%
38900	Kekoskee village	Dodge	169	161	-8	-4.7%	66	73	7	10.6%
39000	Kellnersville village	Manitowoc	374	332	-42	-11.2%	168	172	4	2.4%
39025	Kelly town	Bayfield	377	463	86	22.8%	168	221	53	31.5%
39125	Kendall town	Lafayette	320	454	134	41.9%	118	142	24	20.3%
39150	Kendall village	Monroe	482	472	-10	-2.1%	217	233	16	7.4%
39175	Kennan village	Price	171	135	-36	-21.1%	75	81	6	8.0%
39200	Kennan town	Price	378	356	-22	-5.8%	182	255	73	40.1%
39225	Kenosha city	Kenosha	90,352	99,218	8,866	9.8%	36,004	40,643	4,639	12.9%
39300	Kewaskum village	Fond Du Lac, Washington	3,277	4,004	727	22.2%	1,265	1,698	433	34.2%
39325	Kewaskum town	Washington	1,119	1,053	-66	-5.9%	404	424	20	5.0%
39350	Kewaunee city	Kewaunee	2,806	2,952	146	5.2%	1,237	1,462	225	18.2%
39425	Keystone town	Bayfield	369	378	9	2.4%	186	202	16	8.6%
39475	Kickapoo town	Vernon	566	626	60	10.6%	251	319	68	27.1%
39525	Kiel city	Calumet, Manitowoc	3,450	3,738	288	8.3%	1,498	1,697	199	13.3%
39575	Kildare town	Juneau	557	681	124	22.3%	319	434	115	36.1%
39625	Kimball town	Iron	540	498	-42	-7.8%	273	279	6	2.2%
39650	Kimberly village	Outagamie	6,146	6,468	322	5.2%	2,593	2,871	278	10.7%
39675	King town	Lincoln	842	855	13	1.5%	623	873	250	40.1%
39750	Kingston village	Green Lake	288	326	38	13.2%	133	148	15	11.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
39775	Kingston town	Green Lake	900	1,064	164	18.2%	344	363	19	5.5%
39800	Kingston town	Juneau	58	91	33	56.9%	32	58	26	81.3%
39825	Kinnickinnic town	St. Croix	1,400	1,722	322	23.0%	492	634	142	28.9%
39975	Knapp village	Dunn	421	463	42	10.0%	198	230	32	16.2%
40000	Knapp town	Jackson	275	299	24	8.7%	131	194	63	48.1%
40075	Knight town	Iron	284	211	-73	-25.7%	235	240	5	2.1%
40150	Knowlton town	Marathon	1,688	1,910	222	13.2%	757	889	132	17.4%
40175	Knox town	Price	399	341	-58	-14.5%	240	294	54	22.5%
40275	Kohler village	Sheboygan	1,926	2,120	194	10.1%	792	871	79	10.0%
40325	Komensky town	Jackson	462	509	47	10.2%	124	165	41	33.1%
40375	Koshkonong town	Jefferson	3,395	3,692	297	8.7%	1,421	1,625	204	14.4%
40425	Kossuth town	Manitowoc	2,033	2,090	57	2.8%	795	899	104	13.1%
40550	Kronenwetter village	Marathon	5,369	7,210	1,841	34.3%	1,953	2,810	857	43.9%
40687	Lac du Flambeau town	Vilas	3,004	3,441	437	14.5%	2,981	3,407	426	14.3%
40750	Lac La Belle village	Jefferson, Waukesha	329	290	-39	-11.9%	127	135	8	6.3%
40775	La Crosse city	La Crosse	51,818	51,320	-498	-1.0%	22,233	22,628	395	1.8%
40850	Ladysmith city	Rusk	3,932	3,414	-518	-13.2%	1,660	1,667	7	0.4%
40875	La Farge village	Vernon	775	746	-29	-3.7%	366	375	9	2.5%
40900	Lafayette town	Chippewa	5,199	5,765	566	10.9%	2,112	2,514	402	19.0%
40925	Lafayette town	Monroe	318	396	78	24.5%	126	132	6	4.8%
40950	Lafayette town	Walworth	2,251	1,979	-272	-12.1%	619	790	171	27.6%
40975	La Follette town	Burnett	511	536	25	4.9%	490	548	58	11.8%
41000	La Grange town	Monroe	1,761	2,007	246	14.0%	666	782	116	17.4%
41050	La Grange town	Walworth	2,444	2,454	10	0.4%	1,723	1,763	40	2.3%
41075	Lake town	Marinette	1,064	1,135	71	6.7%	524	875	351	67.0%
41150	Lake town	Price	1,319	1,128	-191	-14.5%	843	981	138	16.4%
41300	Lake Delton village	Sauk	1,982	2,914	932	47.0%	1,373	2,343	970	70.6%
41450	Lake Geneva city	Walworth	7,148	7,651	503	7.0%	3,757	4,225	468	12.5%
41525	Lake Hallie village	Chippewa		6,448				2,554		
41550	Lake Holcombe town	Chippewa	1,010	1,031	21	2.1%	548	714	166	30.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
41600	Lakeland town	Barron	963	975	12	1.2%	610	667	57	9.3%
41675	Lake Mills city	Jefferson	4,843	5,708	865	17.9%	2,065	2,776	711	34.4%
41700	Lake Mills town	Jefferson	1,936	2,070	134	6.9%	812	923	111	13.7%
41725	Lake Nebagamon village	Douglas	1,015	1,069	54	5.3%	746	775	29	3.9%
41800	Lakeside town	Douglas	609	693	84	13.8%	264	316	52	19.7%
41887	Lake Tomahawk town	Oneida	1,160	1,043	-117	-10.1%	1,052	1,139	87	8.3%
41900	Laketown town	Polk	918	961	43	4.7%	445	538	93	20.9%
42075	Lakewood town	Oconto	875	816	-59	-6.7%	1,183	1,433	250	21.1%
42125	Lamartine town	Fond Du Lac	1,616	1,737	121	7.5%	599	667	68	11.4%
42175	Lamont town	Lafayette	267	314	47	17.6%	102	125	23	22.5%
42225	Lanark town	Portage	1,449	1,527	78	5.4%	659	728	69	10.5%
42250	Lancaster city	Grant	4,070	3,868	-202	-5.0%	1,799	1,805	6	0.3%
42325	Land O'Lakes town	Vilas	882	861	-21	-2.4%	1,337	1,426	89	6.7%
42425	Langlade town	Langlade	472	473	1	0.2%	375	473	98	26.1%
42450	Lannon village	Waukesha	1,009	1,107	98	9.7%	434	517	83	19.1%
42500	Laona town	Forest	1,367	1,212	-155	-11.3%	850	847	-3	-0.4%
42562	La Pointe town	Ashland	246	261	15	6.1%	692	866	174	25.1%
42575	La Prairie town	Rock	929	834	-95	-10.2%	352	354	2	0.6%
42650	Larrabee town	Waupaca	1,301	1,381	80	6.1%	492	586	94	19.1%
42825	La Valle village	Sauk	326	367	41	12.6%	139	172	33	23.7%
42850	La Valle town	Sauk	1,203	1,302	99	8.2%	914	1,182	268	29.3%
42900	Lawrence town	Brown	1,548	4,284	2,736	176.7%	546	1,766	1,220	223.4%
42950	Lawrence town	Rusk	240	311	71	29.6%	111	185	74	66.7%
43050	Lebanon town	Dodge	1,664	1,659	-5	-0.3%	631	686	55	8.7%
43075	Lebanon town	Waupaca	1,648	1,665	17	1.0%	587	665	78	13.3%
43090	Ledgeview town	Brown	3,363	6,555	3,192	94.9%	1,214	2,579	1,365	112.4%
43125	Leeds town	Columbia	813	774	-39	-4.8%	317	341	24	7.6%
43300	Lemonweir town	Juneau	1,763	1,743	-20	-1.1%	760	853	93	12.2%
43325	Lena village	Oconto	529	564	35	6.6%	227	275	48	21.1%
43350	Lena town	Oconto	757	727	-30	-4.0%	300	319	19	6.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
43400	Lenroot town	Sawyer	1,165	1,279	114	9.8%	986	1,064	78	7.9%
43425	Leola town	Adams	265	308	43	16.2%	177	223	46	26.0%
43475	Leon town	Monroe	858	1,086	228	26.6%	320	428	108	33.8%
43500	Leon town	Waushara	1,281	1,439	158	12.3%	851	957	106	12.5%
43650	Leroy town	Dodge	1,116	1,002	-114	-10.2%	396	405	9	2.3%
43675	Lessor town	Shawano	1,112	1,263	151	13.6%	401	472	71	17.7%
43700	Levis town	Clark	504	492	-12	-2.4%	233	304	71	30.5%
43775	Lewiston town	Columbia	1,187	1,225	38	3.2%	573	607	34	5.9%
43825	Liberty town	Grant	552	553	1	0.2%	204	217	13	6.4%
43850	Liberty town	Manitowoc	1,287	1,281	-6	-0.5%	498	565	67	13.5%
43875	Liberty town	Outagamie	834	867	33	4.0%	283	327	44	15.5%
43900	Liberty town	Vernon	167	252	85	50.9%	123	180	57	46.3%
43925	Liberty Grove town	Door	1,858	1,734	-124	-6.7%	2,000	2,342	342	17.1%
44050	Lima town	Grant	721	805	84	11.7%	251	295	44	17.5%
44075	Lima town	Pepin	716	702	-14	-2.0%	227	266	39	17.2%
44125	Lima town	Rock	1,312	1,280	-32	-2.4%	494	498	4	0.8%
44150	Lima town	Sheboygan	2,948	2,982	34	1.2%	1,029	1,153	124	12.1%
44225	Lime Ridge village	Sauk	169	162	-7	-4.1%	77	78	1	1.3%
44250	Lincoln town	Adams	311	296	-15	-4.8%	210	218	8	3.8%
44275	Lincoln town	Bayfield	293	287	-6	-2.0%	191	226	35	18.3%
44300	Lincoln town	Buffalo	187	162	-25	-13.4%	106	123	17	16.0%
44325	Lincoln town	Burnett	286	309	23	8.0%	182	230	48	26.4%
44350	Lincoln town	Eau Claire	1,080	1,096	16	1.5%	380	409	29	7.6%
44375	Lincoln town	Forest	1,005	955	-50	-5.0%	998	1,107	109	10.9%
44425	Lincoln town	Kewaunee	957	948	-9	-0.9%	346	381	35	10.1%
44450	Lincoln town	Monroe	827	835	8	1.0%	365	387	22	6.0%
44475	Lincoln town	Polk	2,304	2,208	-96	-4.2%	1,119	1,301	182	16.3%
44500	Lincoln town	Trempealeau	829	823	-6	-0.7%	271	279	8	3.0%
44525	Lincoln town	Vilas	2,579	2,423	-156	-6.0%	1,873	2,094	221	11.8%
44550	Lincoln town	Wood	1,554	1,564	10	0.6%	551	609	58	10.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
44575	Lind town	Waupaca	1,381	1,579	198	14.3%	669	792	123	18.4%
44625	Linden village	Iowa	615	549	-66	-10.7%	234	233	-1	-0.4%
44650	Linden town	Iowa	873	847	-26	-3.0%	311	332	21	6.8%
44675	Lindina town	Juneau	730	718	-12	-1.6%	287	320	33	11.5%
44750	Linn town	Walworth	2,194	2,383	189	8.6%	1,901	2,157	256	13.5%
44800	Linwood town	Portage	1,111	1,121	10	0.9%	411	462	51	12.4%
44825	Lisbon town	Juneau	1,020	912	-108	-10.6%	438	435	-3	-0.7%
44850	Lisbon town	Waukesha	9,359	10,157	798	8.5%	3,271	3,815	544	16.6%
44900	Little Black town	Taylor	1,148	1,140	-8	-0.7%	414	487	73	17.6%
44950	Little Chute village	Outagamie	10,476	10,449	-27	-0.3%	3,956	4,376	420	10.6%
44975	Little Falls town	Monroe	1,334	1,523	189	14.2%	580	648	68	11.7%
45025	Little Grant town	Grant	257	283	26	10.1%	99	115	16	16.2%
45150	Little Rice town	Oneida	314	306	-8	-2.5%	435	459	24	5.5%
45175	Little River town	Oconto	1,065	1,094	29	2.7%	457	520	63	13.8%
45275	Little Suamico town	Oconto	3,877	4,799	922	23.8%	1,403	1,895	492	35.1%
45300	Little Wolf town	Waupaca	1,430	1,424	-6	-0.4%	535	614	79	14.8%
45325	Livingston village	Grant, Iowa	597	664	67	11.2%	262	277	15	5.7%
45350	Lodi city	Columbia	2,882	3,050	168	5.8%	1,199	1,272	73	6.1%
45375	Lodi town	Columbia	2,791	3,273	482	17.3%	1,285	1,561	276	21.5%
45400	Loganville village	Sauk	276	300	24	8.7%	130	139	9	6.9%
45425	Lohrville village	Waushara	408	402	-6	-1.5%	192	198	6	3.1%
45475	Lomira village	Dodge	2,233	2,430	197	8.8%	899	1,096	197	21.9%
45500	Lomira town	Dodge	1,228	1,137	-91	-7.4%	430	447	17	4.0%
45575	Lone Rock village	Richland	929	888	-41	-4.4%	425	417	-8	-1.9%
45625	Long Lake town	Florence	197	157	-40	-20.3%	296	343	47	15.9%
45650	Long Lake town	Washburn	737	624	-113	-15.3%	590	682	92	15.6%
45700	Longwood town	Clark	698	858	160	22.9%	241	277	36	14.9%
45775	Lorain town	Polk	328	284	-44	-13.4%	173	183	10	5.8%
45950	Lowell village	Dodge	366	340	-26	-7.1%	153	163	10	6.5%
45975	Lowell town	Dodge	1,169	1,190	21	1.8%	456	487	31	6.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
46050	Lowville town	Columbia	987	1,008	21	2.1%	394	433	39	9.9%
46075	Loyal city	Clark	1,308	1,261	-47	-3.6%	576	603	27	4.7%
46100	Loyal town	Clark	787	826	39	5.0%	225	256	31	13.8%
46150	Lublin village	Taylor	110	118	8	7.3%	72	80	8	11.1%
46175	Lucas town	Dunn	658	764	106	16.1%	256	316	60	23.4%
46200	Luck village	Polk	1,210	1,119	-91	-7.5%	572	567	-5	-0.9%
46225	Luck town	Polk	881	930	49	5.6%	400	480	80	20.0%
46275	Ludington town	Eau Claire	998	1,063	65	6.5%	407	465	58	14.3%
46400	Luxemburg village	Kewaunee	1,935	2,515	580	30.0%	754	1,008	254	33.7%
46425	Luxemburg town	Kewaunee	1,402	1,469	67	4.8%	459	538	79	17.2%
46525	Lyndon town	Juneau	1,217	1,384	167	13.7%	534	733	199	37.3%
46575	Lyndon town	Sheboygan	1,463	1,542	79	5.4%	629	692	63	10.0%
46600	Lyndon Station village	Juneau	458	500	42	9.2%	235	247	12	5.1%
46625	Lynn town	Clark	834	861	27	3.2%	292	311	19	6.5%
46650	Lynne town	Oneida	210	141	-69	-32.9%	298	299	1	0.3%
46675	Lynxville village	Crawford	176	132	-44	-25.0%	111	101	-10	-9.0%
46725	Lyons town	Walworth	3,440	3,698	258	7.5%	1,307	1,533	226	17.3%
46850	McFarland village	Dane	6,416	7,808	1,392	21.7%	2,491	3,200	709	28.5%
46875	Mackford town	Green Lake	585	560	-25	-4.3%	215	227	12	5.6%
46900	McKinley town	Polk	328	347	19	5.8%	223	258	35	15.7%
46925	McKinley town	Taylor	418	458	40	9.6%	186	235	49	26.3%
46975	McMillan town	Marathon	1,790	1,968	178	9.9%	631	737	106	16.8%
47025	Madge town	Washburn	454	508	54	11.9%	410	548	138	33.7%
48000	Madison city	Dane	208,054	233,209	25,155	12.1%	92,394	108,843	16,449	17.8%
48025	Madison town	Dane	7,005	6,279	-726	-10.4%	3,466	3,069	-397	-11.5%
48150	Magnolia town	Rock	854	767	-87	-10.2%	308	314	6	1.9%
48175	Maiden Rock village	Pierce	121	119	-2	-1.7%	79	81	2	2.5%
48200	Maiden Rock town	Pierce	589	589	0	0.0%	256	299	43	16.8%
48225	Maine town	Marathon	2,407	2,337	-70	-2.9%	877	934	57	6.5%
48250	Maine town	Outagamie	831	866	35	4.2%	326	384	58	17.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
48350	Manawa city	Waupaca	1,330	1,371	41	3.1%	570	668	98	17.2%
48400	Manchester town	Green Lake	848	1,022	174	20.5%	312	356	44	14.1%
48425	Manchester town	Jackson	680	704	24	3.5%	322	436	114	35.4%
48462	Manitowish Waters town	Vilas	646	566	-80	-12.4%	1,103	1,183	80	7.3%
48500	Manitowoc city	Manitowoc	34,053	33,736	-317	-0.9%	15,007	15,955	948	6.3%
48525	Manitowoc town	Manitowoc	1,073	1,083	10	0.9%	442	459	17	3.8%
48575	Manitowoc Rapids town	Manitowoc	2,520	2,150	-370	-14.7%	822	878	56	6.8%
48725	Maple town	Douglas	649	744	95	14.6%	303	328	25	8.3%
48750	Maple Bluff village	Dane	1,358	1,313	-45	-3.3%	557	592	35	6.3%
48775	Maple Creek town	Outagamie	687	619	-68	-9.9%	241	258	17	7.1%
48825	Maple Grove town	Barron	968	979	11	1.1%	349	370	21	6.0%
48875	Maple Grove town	Manitowoc	852	835	-17	-2.0%	308	319	11	3.6%
48900	Maple Grove town	Shawano	1,045	972	-73	-7.0%	372	383	11	3.0%
48925	Maplehurst town	Taylor	359	335	-24	-6.7%	144	163	19	13.2%
48950	Maple Plain town	Barron	876	803	-73	-8.3%	667	726	59	8.8%
49000	Maple Valley town	Oconto	670	662	-8	-1.2%	323	361	38	11.8%
49075	Marathon town	Marathon	1,085	1,048	-37	-3.4%	374	415	41	11.0%
49100	Marathon City village	Marathon	1,640	1,524	-116	-7.1%	659	680	21	3.2%
49150	Marcellon town	Columbia	1,024	1,102	78	7.6%	380	426	46	12.1%
49200	Marengo town	Ashland	362	390	28	7.7%	191	234	43	22.5%
49250	Maribel village	Manitowoc	284	351	67	23.6%	105	144	39	37.1%
49275	Marietta town	Crawford	510	470	-40	-7.8%	248	265	17	6.9%
49300	Marinette city	Marinette	11,749	10,968	-781	-6.6%	5,553	5,464	-89	-1.6%
49350	Marion town	Grant	517	572	55	10.6%	204	252	48	23.5%
49375	Marion town	Juneau	433	426	-7	-1.6%	239	301	62	25.9%
49400	Marion city	Shawano, Waupaca	1,297	1,260	-37	-2.9%	624	617	-7	-1.1%
49425	Marion town	Waushara	2,065	2,038	-27	-1.3%	1,630	1,707	77	4.7%
49450	Markesan city	Green Lake	1,396	1,476	80	5.7%	627	661	34	5.4%
49500	Marquette village	Green Lake	169	150	-19	-11.2%	140	169	29	20.7%
49525	Marquette town	Green Lake	481	531	50	10.4%	372	422	50	13.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
49575	Marshall village	Dane	3,432	3,862	430	12.5%	1,312	1,500	188	14.3%
49600	Marshall town	Richland	600	567	-33	-5.5%	265	293	28	10.6%
49625	Marshall town	Rusk	683	688	5	0.7%	228	235	7	3.1%
49650	Marshfield town	Fond Du Lac	1,118	1,138	20	1.8%	437	497	60	13.7%
49675	Marshfield city	Marathon, Wood	18,800	19,118	318	1.7%	8,617	9,516	899	10.4%
49700	Marshfield town	Wood	811	764	-47	-5.8%	307	297	-10	-3.3%
49775	Martell town	Pierce	1,070	1,185	115	10.7%	391	475	84	21.5%
49900	Mason village	Bayfield	72	93	21	29.2%	35	43	8	22.9%
49925	Mason town	Bayfield	326	315	-11	-3.4%	151	165	14	9.3%
49975	Matteson town	Waupaca	956	936	-20	-2.1%	390	412	22	5.6%
50000	Mattoon village	Shawano	466	438	-28	-6.0%	193	194	1	0.5%
50025	Mauston city	Juneau	3,740	4,423	683	18.3%	1,729	2,006	277	16.0%
50075	Maxville town	Buffalo	325	309	-16	-4.9%	123	152	29	23.6%
50175	Mayville town	Clark	919	961	42	4.6%	301	352	51	16.9%
50200	Mayville city	Dodge	4,902	5,154	252	5.1%	2,081	2,321	240	11.5%
50225	Mazomanie village	Dane	1,485	1,652	167	11.2%	618	734	116	18.8%
50250	Mazomanie town	Dane	1,185	1,090	-95	-8.0%	466	478	12	2.6%
50275	Mead town	Clark	290	321	31	10.7%	239	267	28	11.7%
50300	Meadowbrook town	Sawyer	146	131	-15	-10.3%	102	119	17	16.7%
50350	Mecan town	Marquette	726	686	-40	-5.5%	735	801	66	9.0%
50400	Medary town	La Crosse	1,463	1,461	-2	-0.1%	553	588	35	6.3%
50425	Medford city	Taylor	4,350	4,326	-24	-0.6%	2,034	2,127	93	4.6%
50450	Medford town	Taylor	2,216	2,606	390	17.6%	846	1,045	199	23.5%
50475	Medina town	Dane	1,235	1,376	141	11.4%	452	515	63	13.9%
50625	Meeme town	Manitowoc	1,538	1,446	-92	-6.0%	558	598	40	7.2%
50650	Meenon town	Burnett	1,172	1,163	-9	-0.8%	836	979	143	17.1%
50700	Mellen city	Ashland	845	731	-114	-13.5%	436	428	-8	-1.8%
50750	Melrose village	Jackson	529	503	-26	-4.9%	229	250	21	9.2%
50775	Melrose town	Jackson	402	470	68	16.9%	169	198	29	17.2%
50800	Melvina village	Monroe	93	104	11	11.8%	41	44	3	7.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
50825	Menasha city	Calumet, Winnebago	16,331	17,353	1,022	6.3%	7,271	7,973	702	9.7%
50850	Menasha town	Winnebago	15,858	18,498	2,640	16.6%	6,521	8,358	1,837	28.2%
50975	Menominee town	Menominee	4,562	4,232	-330	-7.2%	2,098	2,253	155	7.4%
51000	Menomonee Falls village	Waukesha	32,647	35,626	2,979	9.1%	13,140	15,142	2,002	15.2%
51025	Menomonie city	Dunn	14,937	16,264	1,327	8.9%	5,441	6,234	793	14.6%
51050	Menomonie town	Dunn	3,174	3,366	192	6.0%	1,166	1,346	180	15.4%
51125	Mentor town	Clark	570	584	14	2.5%	286	334	48	16.8%
51150	Mequon city	Ozaukee	22,643	23,132	489	2.2%	8,162	9,145	983	12.0%
51200	Mercer town	Iron	1,732	1,407	-325	-18.8%	1,926	2,065	139	7.2%
51250	Merrill city	Lincoln	10,146	9,661	-485	-4.8%	4,397	4,619	222	5.0%
51275	Merrill town	Lincoln	2,979	2,980	1	0.0%	1,210	1,355	145	12.0%
51300	Merrillan village	Jackson	585	542	-43	-7.4%	289	318	29	10.0%
51325	Merrimac village	Sauk	416	420	4	1.0%	218	257	39	17.9%
51350	Merrimac town	Sauk	868	942	74	8.5%	654	698	44	6.7%
51375	Merton village	Waukesha	1,926	3,346	1,420	73.7%	598	1,054	456	76.3%
51400	Merton town	Waukesha	7,988	8,338	350	4.4%	2,932	3,331	399	13.6%
51425	Meteor town	Sawyer	170	158	-12	-7.1%	128	154	26	20.3%
51450	Metomen town	Fond Du Lac	709	741	32	4.5%	247	277	30	12.1%
51537	Middle Inlet town	Marinette	831	840	9	1.1%	643	899	256	39.8%
51575	Middleton city	Dane	15,770	17,442	1,672	10.6%	7,397	8,565	1,168	15.8%
51600	Middleton town	Dane	4,594	5,877	1,283	27.9%	1,610	2,056	446	27.7%
51725	Mifflin town	Iowa	617	585	-32	-5.2%	209	233	24	11.5%
51850	Milford town	Jefferson	1,055	1,099	44	4.2%	411	456	45	10.9%
51875	Milladore village	Portage, Wood	268	276	8	3.0%	108	114	6	5.6%
51900	Milladore town	Wood	706	690	-16	-2.3%	255	277	22	8.6%
52050	Millston town	Jackson	136	159	23	16.9%	98	128	30	30.6%
52075	Milltown village	Polk	888	917	29	3.3%	437	473	36	8.2%
52100	Milltown town	Polk	1,146	1,226	80	7.0%	811	895	84	10.4%
52150	Millville town	Grant	147	166	19	12.9%	75	99	24	32.0%
52175	Milton town	Buffalo	517	534	17	3.3%	227	253	26	11.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
52200	Milton city	Rock	5,132	5,546	414	8.1%	2,129	2,382	253	11.9%
52225	Milton town	Rock	2,844	2,923	79	2.8%	1,277	1,444	167	13.1%
53000	Milwaukee city	Milwaukee, Washington, Waukesha	596,974	594,833	-2,141	-0.4%	249,225	255,569	6,344	2.5%
53100	Mineral Point city	Iowa	2,617	2,487	-130	-5.0%	1,192	1,278	86	7.2%
53125	Mineral Point town	Iowa	867	1,033	166	19.1%	320	399	79	24.7%
53225	Minocqua town	Oneida	4,859	4,385	-474	-9.8%	4,284	4,835	551	12.9%
53250	Minong village	Washburn	531	527	-4	-0.8%	247	277	30	12.1%
53275	Minong town	Washburn	858	917	59	6.9%	1,221	1,538	317	26.0%
53325	Mishicot village	Manitowoc	1,422	1,442	20	1.4%	614	663	49	8.0%
53350	Mishicot town	Manitowoc	1,409	1,289	-120	-8.5%	489	520	31	6.3%
53375	Mitchell town	Sheboygan	1,286	2,335	1,049	81.6%	437	489	52	11.9%
53450	Modena town	Buffalo	318	354	36	11.3%	155	161	6	3.9%
53550	Molitor town	Taylor	263	324	61	23.2%	142	329	187	131.7%
53600	Mondovi city	Buffalo	2,634	2,777	143	5.4%	1,232	1,303	71	5.8%
53625	Mondovi town	Buffalo	449	469	20	4.5%	165	200	35	21.2%
53662	Monico town	Oneida	364	309	-55	-15.1%	216	231	15	6.9%
53675	Monona city	Dane	8,018	7,533	-485	-6.0%	3,922	4,088	166	4.2%
53725	Monroe town	Adams	363	398	35	9.6%	402	574	172	42.8%
53750	Monroe city	Green	10,843	10,827	-16	-0.1%	4,943	5,101	158	3.2%
53775	Monroe town	Green	1,142	1,245	103	9.0%	369	456	87	23.6%
53850	Montana town	Buffalo	306	284	-22	-7.2%	116	122	6	5.2%
53875	Montello city	Marquette	1,397	1,495	98	7.0%	677	823	146	21.6%
53900	Montello town	Marquette	1,043	1,033	-10	-1.0%	699	796	97	13.9%
53950	Montfort village	Grant, Iowa	663	718	55	8.3%	273	298	25	9.2%
54000	Monticello village	Green	1,146	1,217	71	6.2%	517	566	49	9.5%
54025	Monticello town	Lafayette	148	133	-15	-10.1%	52	55	3	5.8%
54050	Montpelier town	Kewaunee	1,371	1,306	-65	-4.7%	492	529	37	7.5%
54075	Montreal city	Iron	838	807	-31	-3.7%	487	479	-8	-1.6%
54100	Montrose town	Dane	1,134	1,081	-53	-4.7%	437	453	16	3.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
54200	Morgan town	Oconto	882	984	102	11.6%	335	423	88	26.3%
54250	Morris town	Shawano	485	453	-32	-6.6%	217	255	38	17.5%
54300	Morrison town	Brown	1,651	1,599	-52	-3.1%	579	636	57	9.8%
54400	Morse town	Ashland	515	493	-22	-4.3%	380	401	21	5.5%
54425	Moscow town	Iowa	594	576	-18	-3.0%	221	241	20	9.0%
54475	Mosel town	Sheboygan	839	790	-49	-5.8%	323	328	5	1.5%
54500	Mosinee city	Marathon	4,063	3,988	-75	-1.8%	1,711	1,791	80	4.7%
54525	Mosinee town	Marathon	2,146	2,174	28	1.3%	784	864	80	10.2%
54600	Moundville town	Marquette	574	552	-22	-3.8%	245	255	10	4.1%
54630	Mountain town	Oconto	860	822	-38	-4.4%	883	1,274	391	44.3%
54650	Mount Calvary village	Fond Du Lac	956	762	-194	-20.3%	206	215	9	4.4%
54675	Mount Hope village	Grant	186	225	39	21.0%	92	98	6	6.5%
54700	Mount Hope town	Grant	225	300	75	33.3%	92	116	24	26.1%
54725	Mount Horeb village	Dane	5,860	7,009	1,149	19.6%	2,305	2,826	521	22.6%
54775	Mount Ida town	Grant	523	561	38	7.3%	204	228	24	11.8%
54825	Mount Morris town	Waushara	1,092	1,097	5	0.5%	994	1,058	64	6.4%
54850	Mount Pleasant town	Green	547	598	51	9.3%	193	245	52	26.9%
54875	Mount Pleasant village	Racine	23,142	26,197	3,055	13.2%	9,768	11,827	2,059	21.1%
54900	Mount Sterling village	Crawford	215	211	-4	-1.9%	98	98	0	0.0%
55025	Mukwa town	Waupaca	2,773	2,930	157	5.7%	1,088	1,284	196	18.0%
55050	Mukwonago village	Walworth, Waukesha	6,162	7,355	1,193	19.4%	2,502	3,104	602	24.1%
55075	Mukwonago town	Waukesha	6,868	7,959	1,091	15.9%	2,241	2,792	551	24.6%
55175	Murry town	Rusk	275	277	2	0.7%	162	204	42	25.9%
55200	Muscoda village	Grant, Iowa	1,453	1,299	-154	-10.6%	661	643	-18	-2.7%
55225	Muscoda town	Grant	674	769	95	14.1%	313	361	48	15.3%
55275	Muskego city	Waukesha	21,397	24,135	2,738	12.8%	7,699	9,431	1,732	22.5%
55375	Namakagon town	Bayfield	285	246	-39	-13.7%	532	596	64	12.0%
55450	Naples town	Buffalo	584	691	107	18.3%	231	278	47	20.3%
55500	Nasewaupee town	Door	1,873	2,061	188	10.0%	1,320	1,637	317	24.0%
55550	Nashotah village	Waukesha	1,266	1,395	129	10.2%	455	541	86	18.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
55575	Nashville town	Forest	1,157	1,064	-93	-8.0%	1,264	1,404	140	11.1%
55675	Navarino town	Shawano	422	446	24	5.7%	195	217	22	11.3%
55700	Necedah village	Juneau	888	916	28	3.2%	414	469	55	13.3%
55725	Necedah town	Juneau	2,156	2,327	171	7.9%	1,190	1,525	335	28.2%
55750	Neenah city	Winnebago	24,507	25,501	994	4.1%	10,198	11,313	1,115	10.9%
55775	Neenah town	Winnebago	2,657	3,237	580	21.8%	1,010	1,276	266	26.3%
55800	Neillsville city	Clark	2,731	2,463	-268	-9.8%	1,200	1,230	30	2.5%
55850	Nekimi town	Winnebago	1,419	1,429	10	0.7%	540	586	46	8.5%
55875	Nekoosa city	Wood	2,590	2,580	-10	-0.4%	1,063	1,135	72	6.8%
55950	Nelson village	Buffalo	395	374	-21	-5.3%	201	204	3	1.5%
55975	Nelson town	Buffalo	586	571	-15	-2.6%	265	291	26	9.8%
56000	Nelsonville village	Portage	191	155	-36	-18.8%	74	67	-7	-9.5%
56075	Neosho village	Dodge	593	574	-19	-3.2%	223	255	32	14.3%
56100	Nepeuskun town	Winnebago	689	710	21	3.0%	275	325	50	18.2%
56125	Neshkoro village	Marquette	453	434	-19	-4.2%	219	230	11	5.0%
56150	Neshkoro town	Marquette	595	561	-34	-5.7%	486	510	24	4.9%
56200	Neva town	Langlade	994	902	-92	-9.3%	385	448	63	16.4%
56325	Newark town	Rock	1,571	1,541	-30	-1.9%	578	618	40	6.9%
56350	New Auburn village	Barron, Chippewa	562	548	-14	-2.5%	222	235	13	5.9%
56375	New Berlin city	Waukesha	38,220	39,584	1,364	3.6%	14,921	16,829	1,908	12.8%
56425	Newbold town	Oneida	2,710	2,719	9	0.3%	2,074	2,327	253	12.2%
56450	Newburg village	Ozaukee, Washington	1,119	1,254	135	12.1%	408	506	98	24.0%
56525	New Chester town	Adams	2,141	2,254	113	5.3%	638	726	88	13.8%
56575	New Denmark town	Brown	1,482	1,541	59	4.0%	528	579	51	9.7%
56625	New Diggings town	Lafayette	473	502	29	6.1%	194	225	31	16.0%
56700	New Glarus village	Green	2,111	2,172	61	2.9%	893	948	55	6.2%
56725	New Glarus town	Green	943	1,335	392	41.6%	337	500	163	48.4%
56750	New Haven town	Adams	657	655	-2	-0.3%	338	379	41	12.1%
56775	New Haven town	Dunn	656	677	21	3.2%	256	290	34	13.3%
56800	New Holstein city	Calumet	3,301	3,236	-65	-2.0%	1,394	1,520	126	9.0%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
56825	New Holstein town	Calumet	1,457	1,508	51	3.5%	558	614	56	10.0%
56850	New Hope town	Portage	736	718	-18	-2.4%	365	355	-10	-2.7%
56900	New Lisbon city	Juneau	1,436	2,554	1,118	77.9%	690	720	30	4.3%
56925	New London city	Outagamie, Waupaca	7,085	7,295	210	3.0%	3,045	3,310	265	8.7%
56950	New Lyme town	Monroe	141	168	27	19.1%	80	94	14	17.5%
57025	Newport town	Columbia	681	586	-95	-14.0%	334	328	-6	-1.8%
57100	New Richmond city	St. Croix	6,310	8,375	2,065	32.7%	2,657	3,684	1,027	38.7%
57200	Newton town	Manitowoc	2,241	2,264	23	1.0%	850	953	103	12.1%
57225	Newton town	Marquette	550	547	-3	-0.5%	342	380	38	11.1%
57325	Niagara city	Marinette	1,880	1,624	-256	-13.6%	812	797	-15	-1.8%
57350	Niagara town	Marinette	924	853	-71	-7.7%	453	549	96	21.2%
57375	Nichols village	Outagamie	307	273	-34	-11.1%	130	119	-11	-8.5%
57450	Nokomis town	Oneida	1,363	1,371	8	0.6%	1,013	1,145	132	13.0%
57600	Norrie town	Marathon	967	976	9	0.9%	385	431	46	11.9%
57700	North Bay village	Racine	260	241	-19	-7.3%	95	97	2	2.1%
57775	North Bend town	Jackson	397	488	91	22.9%	166	215	49	29.5%
57975	Northfield town	Jackson	586	639	53	9.0%	261	289	28	10.7%
58000	North Fond du Lac village	Fond Du Lac	4,557	5,014	457	10.0%	1,912	2,199	287	15.0%
58025	North Freedom village	Sauk	649	701	52	8.0%	252	293	41	16.3%
58050	North Hudson village	St. Croix	3,463	3,768	305	8.8%	1,358	1,552	194	14.3%
58125	North Lancaster town	Grant	515	509	-6	-1.2%	170	197	27	15.9%
58400	North Prairie village	Waukesha	1,571	2,141	570	36.3%	544	799	255	46.9%
58575	Norwalk village	Monroe	653	638	-15	-2.3%	235	237	2	0.9%
58600	Norway town	Racine	7,600	7,948	348	4.6%	2,775	3,123	348	12.5%
58700	Norwood town	Langlade	918	913	-5	-0.5%	374	430	56	15.0%
58800	Oak Creek city	Milwaukee	28,456	34,451	5,995	21.1%	11,897	14,754	2,857	24.0%
58850	Oakdale village	Monroe	297	297	0	0.0%	127	137	10	7.9%
58875	Oakdale town	Monroe	679	772	93	13.7%	255	294	39	15.3%
58900	Oakfield village	Fond Du Lac	1,012	1,075	63	6.2%	384	415	31	8.1%
58925	Oakfield town	Fond Du Lac	767	703	-64	-8.3%	267	278	11	4.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
58950	Oak Grove town	Barron	911	948	37	4.1%	324	409	85	26.2%
59000	Oak Grove town	Dodge	1,126	1,080	-46	-4.1%	448	461	13	2.9%
59025	Oak Grove town	Pierce	1,522	2,150	628	41.3%	506	761	255	50.4%
59075	Oakland town	Burnett	778	827	49	6.3%	1,045	1,334	289	27.7%
59100	Oakland town	Douglas	1,144	1,136	-8	-0.7%	541	597	56	10.4%
59125	Oakland town	Jefferson	3,135	3,100	-35	-1.1%	1,437	1,621	184	12.8%
59225	Oasis town	Waushara	405	389	-16	-4.0%	258	306	48	18.6%
59250	Oconomowoc city	Waukesha	12,382	15,759	3,377	27.3%	5,239	6,662	1,423	27.2%
59275	Oconomowoc town	Waukesha	7,451	8,408	957	12.8%	3,045	3,709	664	21.8%
59300	Oconomowoc Lake village	Waukesha	564	595	31	5.5%	246	302	56	22.8%
59350	Oconto city	Oconto	4,708	4,513	-195	-4.1%	2,040	2,094	54	2.6%
59375	Oconto town	Oconto	1,251	1,335	84	6.7%	491	577	86	17.5%
59400	Oconto Falls city	Oconto	2,843	2,891	48	1.7%	1,231	1,333	102	8.3%
59425	Oconto Falls town	Oconto	1,139	1,265	126	11.1%	484	562	78	16.1%
59475	Ogdensburg village	Waupaca	224	185	-39	-17.4%	98	98	0	0.0%
59525	Ogema town	Price	882	713	-169	-19.2%	417	564	147	35.3%
59600	Ojibwa town	Sawyer	267	249	-18	-6.7%	265	334	69	26.0%
59800	Oliver village	Douglas	358	399	41	11.5%	128	167	39	30.5%
59850	Oma town	Iron	355	289	-66	-18.6%	542	526	-16	-3.0%
59875	Omro city	Winnebago	3,177	3,517	340	10.7%	1,297	1,537	240	18.5%
59900	Omro town	Winnebago	1,875	2,116	241	12.9%	776	932	156	20.1%
59925	Onalaska city	La Crosse	14,839	17,736	2,897	19.5%	6,070	7,608	1,538	25.3%
59950	Onalaska town	La Crosse	5,210	5,623	413	7.9%	1,834	2,120	286	15.6%
60000	Oneida town	Outagamie	4,147	4,678	531	12.8%	1,316	1,530	214	16.3%
60075	Ontario village	Vernon	476	554	78	16.4%	254	253	-1	-0.4%
60100	Oostburg village	Sheboygan	2,660	2,887	227	8.5%	996	1,154	158	15.9%
60150	Orange town	Juneau	549	570	21	3.8%	261	282	21	8.0%
60200	Oregon village	Dane	7,514	9,231	1,717	22.9%	2,895	3,775	880	30.4%
60225	Oregon town	Dane	3,148	3,184	36	1.1%	1,077	1,191	114	10.6%
60250	Orfordville village	Rock	1,272	1,442	170	13.4%	469	575	106	22.6%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
60275	Orienta town	Bayfield	101	122	21	20.8%	201	256	55	27.4%
60350	Orion town	Richland	628	579	-49	-7.8%	309	329	20	6.5%
60400	Osborn town	Outagamie	1,029	1,170	141	13.7%	340	416	76	22.4%
60425	Osceola town	Fond Du Lac	1,802	1,865	63	3.5%	890	1,040	150	16.9%
60450	Osceola village	Polk	2,421	2,568	147	6.1%	1,072	1,289	217	20.2%
60475	Osceola town	Polk	2,085	2,855	770	36.9%	829	1,188	359	43.3%
60500	Oshkosh city	Winnebago	62,916	66,083	3,167	5.0%	25,420	28,179	2,759	10.9%
60525	Oshkosh town	Winnebago	3,234	2,475	-759	-23.5%	1,331	1,188	-143	-10.7%
60575	Osseo city	Trempealeau	1,669	1,701	32	1.9%	761	786	25	3.3%
60687	Otsego town	Columbia	757	693	-64	-8.5%	287	305	18	6.3%
60700	Ottawa town	Waukesha	3,758	3,859	101	2.7%	1,436	1,495	59	4.1%
60725	Otter Creek town	Dunn	474	501	27	5.7%	184	216	32	17.4%
60750	Otter Creek town	Eau Claire	531	500	-31	-5.8%	183	181	-2	-1.1%
60775	Oulu town	Bayfield	540	527	-13	-2.4%	267	304	37	13.9%
60825	Owen city	Clark	936	940	4	0.4%	455	485	30	6.6%
60875	Oxford village	Marquette	536	607	71	13.2%	259	283	24	9.3%
60900	Oxford town	Marquette	859	885	26	3.0%	470	527	57	12.1%
60925	Pacific town	Columbia	2,518	2,707	189	7.5%	1,108	1,279	171	15.4%
60962	Packwaukee town	Marquette	1,297	1,416	119	9.2%	932	1,069	137	14.7%
60975	Paddock Lake village	Kenosha	3,012	2,992	-20	-0.7%	1,185	1,297	112	9.5%
61025	Palmyra village	Jefferson	1,766	1,781	15	0.8%	725	769	44	6.1%
61050	Palmyra town	Jefferson	1,145	1,186	41	3.6%	512	606	94	18.4%
61100	Pardeeville village	Columbia	1,982	2,115	133	6.7%	873	1,003	130	14.9%
61150	Paris town	Grant	754	702	-52	-6.9%	278	283	5	1.8%
61175	Paris town	Kenosha	1,473	1,504	31	2.1%	554	598	44	7.9%
61200	Park Falls city	Price	2,793	2,462	-331	-11.9%	1,302	1,283	-19	-1.5%
61250	Parkland town	Douglas	1,240	1,220	-20	-1.6%	480	542	62	12.9%
61325	Park Ridge village	Portage	488	491	3	0.6%	216	228	12	5.6%
61375	Parrish town	Langlade	108	91	-17	-15.7%	92	101	9	9.8%
61425	Patch Grove village	Grant	166	198	32	19.3%	67	88	21	31.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
61450	Patch Grove town	Grant	390	339	-51	-13.1%	148	150	2	1.4%
61525	Peck town	Langlade	354	349	-5	-1.4%	163	190	27	16.6%
61600	Peeksville town	Ashland	176	141	-35	-19.9%	125	145	20	16.0%
61625	Pelican town	Oneida	2,902	2,764	-138	-4.8%	1,532	1,715	183	11.9%
61700	Pella town	Shawano	877	865	-12	-1.4%	375	448	73	19.5%
61775	Pembine town	Marinette	1,036	889	-147	-14.2%	871	1,016	145	16.6%
61825	Pence town	Iron	198	163	-35	-17.7%	136	143	7	5.1%
61900	Pensaukee town	Oconto	1,214	1,381	167	13.8%	562	691	129	23.0%
61925	Pepin village	Pepin	878	837	-41	-4.7%	430	490	60	14.0%
61950	Pepin town	Pepin	580	721	141	24.3%	273	443	170	62.3%
62050	Perry town	Dane	670	729	59	8.8%	263	302	39	14.8%
62100	Pershing town	Taylor	180	180	0	0.0%	92	125	33	35.9%
62125	Peru town	Dunn	247	242	-5	-2.0%	86	102	16	18.6%
62175	Peshtigo city	Marinette	3,357	3,502	145	4.3%	1,416	1,621	205	14.5%
62200	Peshtigo town	Marinette	3,819	4,057	238	6.2%	1,567	1,854	287	18.3%
62240	Pewaukee city	Waukesha	11,783	13,195	1,412	12.0%	4,761	5,767	1,006	21.1%
62250	Pewaukee village	Waukesha	8,170	8,166	-4	0.0%	3,761	4,160	399	10.6%
62425	Phelps town	Vilas	1,350	1,200	-150	-11.1%	1,605	1,705	100	6.2%
62450	Phillips city	Price	1,675	1,478	-197	-11.8%	839	868	29	3.5%
62600	Piehl town	Oneida	93	86	-7	-7.5%	85	102	17	20.0%
62625	Pierce town	Kewaunee	897	833	-64	-7.1%	407	451	44	10.8%
62650	Pigeon town	Trempealeau	894	891	-3	-0.3%	326	337	11	3.4%
62675	Pigeon Falls village	Trempealeau	388	411	23	5.9%	144	188	44	30.6%
62700	Pilsen town	Bayfield	203	210	7	3.4%	104	135	31	29.8%
62825	Pine Grove town	Portage	904	937	33	3.7%	371	398	27	7.3%
62925	Pine Lake town	Oneida	2,720	2,740	20	0.7%	1,381	1,617	236	17.1%
62950	Pine River town	Lincoln	1,877	1,869	-8	-0.4%	724	822	98	13.5%
63000	Pine Valley town	Clark	1,121	1,157	36	3.2%	464	550	86	18.5%
63075	Pittsfield town	Brown	2,433	2,608	175	7.2%	838	978	140	16.7%
63100	Pittsville city	Wood	866	874	8	0.9%	351	378	27	7.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
63125	Plain village	Sauk	792	773	-19	-2.4%	339	358	19	5.6%
63150	Plainfield village	Waushara	899	862	-37	-4.1%	373	371	-2	-0.5%
63175	Plainfield town	Waushara	533	550	17	3.2%	230	255	25	10.9%
63250	Platteville city	Grant	9,989	11,224	1,235	12.4%	3,482	3,840	358	10.3%
63275	Platteville town	Grant	1,343	1,509	166	12.4%	493	604	111	22.5%
63300	Pleasant Prairie village	Kenosha	16,136	19,719	3,583	22.2%	6,050	7,753	1,703	28.1%
63375	Pleasant Springs town	Dane	3,053	3,154	101	3.3%	1,221	1,327	106	8.7%
63400	Pleasant Valley town	Eau Claire	2,681	3,044	363	13.5%	931	1,117	186	20.0%
63425	Pleasant Valley town	St. Croix	430	515	85	19.8%	150	188	38	25.3%
63500	Plover town	Marathon	686	689	3	0.4%	250	287	37	14.8%
63525	Plover village	Portage	10,520	12,123	1,603	15.2%	4,133	5,188	1,055	25.5%
63550	Plover town	Portage	2,415	1,701	-714	-29.6%	916	678	-238	-26.0%
63600	Plum City village	Pierce	574	599	25	4.4%	231	262	31	13.4%
63625	Plum Lake town	Vilas	486	491	5	1.0%	762	796	34	4.5%
63650	Plymouth town	Juneau	639	597	-42	-6.6%	306	320	14	4.6%
63675	Plymouth town	Rock	1,270	1,235	-35	-2.8%	454	496	42	9.3%
63700	Plymouth city	Sheboygan	7,781	8,445	664	8.5%	3,395	4,039	644	19.0%
63725	Plymouth town	Sheboygan	3,115	3,195	80	2.6%	1,178	1,229	51	4.3%
63825	Polar town	Langlade	995	984	-11	-1.1%	383	456	73	19.1%
63875	Polk town	Washington	3,938	3,937	-1	0.0%	1,430	1,540	110	7.7%
63975	Poplar village	Douglas	552	603	51	9.2%	222	254	32	14.4%
64050	Popple River town	Forest	79	44	-35	-44.3%	128	145	17	13.3%
64100	Portage city	Columbia	9,728	10,324	596	6.1%	3,970	4,493	523	13.2%
64175	Port Edwards village	Wood	1,944	1,818	-126	-6.5%	737	750	13	1.8%
64200	Port Edwards town	Wood	1,446	1,427	-19	-1.3%	555	605	50	9.0%
64225	Porter town	Rock	925	945	20	2.2%	365	411	46	12.6%
64275	Porterfield town	Marinette	1,991	1,971	-20	-1.0%	848	993	145	17.1%
64375	Portland town	Dodge	1,106	1,079	-27	-2.4%	421	470	49	11.6%
64425	Portland town	Monroe	686	808	122	17.8%	273	327	54	19.8%
64450	Port Washington city	Ozaukee	10,467	11,250	783	7.5%	4,252	5,020	768	18.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
64475	Port Washington town	Ozaukee	1,631	1,643	12	0.7%	678	663	-15	-2.2%
64512	Port Wing town	Bayfield	420	368	-52	-12.4%	356	365	9	2.5%
64625	Potosi village	Grant	711	688	-23	-3.2%	320	322	2	0.6%
64650	Potosi town	Grant	831	849	18	2.2%	337	349	12	3.6%
64675	Potter village	Calumet	252	253	1	0.4%	89	99	10	11.2%
64750	Pound village	Marinette	355	377	22	6.2%	174	164	-10	-5.7%
64775	Pound town	Marinette	1,367	1,425	58	4.2%	537	628	91	16.9%
64875	Poygan town	Winnebago	1,037	1,301	264	25.5%	532	680	148	27.8%
64900	Poynette village	Columbia	2,266	2,528	262	11.6%	957	1,122	165	17.2%
64975	Poysippi town	Waushara	972	931	-41	-4.2%	436	447	11	2.5%
65050	Prairie du Chien city	Crawford	6,018	5,911	-107	-1.8%	2,564	2,594	30	1.2%
65075	Prairie du Chien town	Crawford	1,076	1,073	-3	-0.3%	848	868	20	2.4%
65100	Prairie du Sac village	Sauk	3,231	3,972	741	22.9%	1,332	1,733	401	30.1%
65125	Prairie du Sac town	Sauk	1,138	1,144	6	0.5%	428	468	40	9.3%
65150	Prairie Farm village	Barron	508	473	-35	-6.9%	208	227	19	9.1%
65175	Prairie Farm town	Barron	603	564	-39	-6.5%	222	238	16	7.2%
65200	Prairie Lake town	Barron	1,369	1,532	163	11.9%	739	882	143	19.4%
65325	Prentice village	Price	626	660	34	5.4%	316	340	24	7.6%
65350	Prentice town	Price	479	475	-4	-0.8%	263	373	110	41.8%
65375	Prescott city	Pierce	3,764	4,258	494	13.1%	1,472	1,813	341	23.2%
65425	Presque Isle town	Vilas	513	618	105	20.5%	1,216	1,523	307	25.2%
65450	Preston town	Adams	1,360	1,393	33	2.4%	960	1,160	200	20.8%
65500	Preston town	Trempealeau	951	953	2	0.2%	372	400	28	7.5%
65550	Price town	Langlade	243	228	-15	-6.2%	101	125	24	23.8%
65575	Primrose town	Dane	682	731	49	7.2%	252	294	42	16.7%
65600	Princeton city	Green Lake	1,504	1,214	-290	-19.3%	636	638	2	0.3%
65625	Princeton town	Green Lake	1,540	1,434	-106	-6.9%	1,105	1,228	123	11.1%
65675	Pulaski village	Brown, Oconto, Shawano	3,060	3,539	479	15.7%	1,254	1,525	271	21.6%
65700	Pulaski town	Iowa	381	400	19	5.0%	155	204	49	31.6%
65825	Quincy town	Adams	1,181	1,163	-18	-1.5%	1,614	1,707	93	5.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
66000	Racine city	Racine	81,855	78,860	-2,995	-3.7%	33,414	33,887	473	1.4%
66050	Radisson village	Sawyer	222	241	19	8.6%	131	140	9	6.9%
66075	Radisson town	Sawyer	465	405	-60	-12.9%	351	392	41	11.7%
66125	Randall town	Kenosha	2,929	3,180	251	8.6%	1,278	1,536	258	20.2%
66150	Randolph village	Columbia, Dodge	1,869	1,811	-58	-3.1%	752	728	-24	-3.2%
66175	Randolph town	Columbia	699	769	70	10.0%	240	288	48	20.0%
66200	Random Lake village	Sheboygan	1,551	1,594	43	2.8%	656	720	64	9.8%
66275	Rantoul town	Calumet	812	798	-14	-1.7%	258	285	27	10.5%
66375	Raymond town	Racine	3,516	3,870	354	10.1%	1,272	1,476	204	16.0%
66450	Readstown village	Vernon	395	415	20	5.1%	216	213	-3	-1.4%
66550	Red Cedar town	Dunn	1,673	2,086	413	24.7%	624	796	172	27.6%
66625	Redgranite village	Waushara	1,040	2,149	1,109	106.6%	493	583	90	18.3%
66700	Red River town	Kewaunee	1,476	1,393	-83	-5.6%	601	687	86	14.3%
66750	Red Springs town	Shawano	981	925	-56	-5.7%	438	498	60	13.7%
66800	Reedsburg city	Sauk	7,827	9,200	1,373	17.5%	3,380	4,103	723	21.4%
66825	Reedsburg town	Sauk	1,236	1,293	57	4.6%	415	501	86	20.7%
66875	Reedsville village	Manitowoc	1,187	1,206	19	1.6%	502	513	11	2.2%
66900	Reeseville village	Dodge	703	708	5	0.7%	315	322	7	2.2%
66950	Reid town	Marathon	1,191	1,215	24	2.0%	475	541	66	13.9%
67000	Remington town	Wood	305	268	-37	-12.1%	179	186	7	3.9%
67025	Reseburg town	Clark	740	776	36	4.9%	221	240	19	8.6%
67100	Rewey village	Iowa	311	292	-19	-6.1%	128	129	1	0.8%
67150	Rhine town	Sheboygan	2,244	2,134	-110	-4.9%	961	1,065	104	10.8%
67200	Rhineland city	Oneida	7,735	7,798	63	0.8%	3,430	3,981	551	16.1%
67250	Rib Falls town	Marathon	907	993	86	9.5%	309	362	53	17.2%
67275	Rib Lake village	Taylor	878	910	32	3.6%	394	432	38	9.6%
67300	Rib Lake town	Taylor	768	852	84	10.9%	466	605	139	29.8%
67325	Rib Mountain town	Marathon	7,556	6,825	-731	-9.7%	2,769	2,761	-8	-0.3%
67350	Rice Lake city	Barron	8,312	8,438	126	1.5%	3,797	4,239	442	11.6%
67375	Rice Lake town	Barron	3,026	3,041	15	0.5%	1,216	1,330	114	9.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
67425	Richfield town	Adams	144	158	14	9.7%	103	146	43	41.7%
67475	Richfield village	Washington	10,373	11,300	927	8.9%	3,766	4,338	572	15.2%
67500	Richfield town	Wood	1,523	1,628	105	6.9%	485	585	100	20.6%
67550	Richford town	Waushara	588	612	24	4.1%	281	308	27	9.6%
67575	Richland town	Richland	1,364	1,379	15	1.1%	516	578	62	12.0%
67600	Richland town	Rusk	206	232	26	12.6%	111	193	82	73.9%
67625	Richland Center city	Richland	5,114	5,184	70	1.4%	2,470	2,613	143	5.8%
67650	Richmond town	St. Croix	1,556	3,272	1,716	110.3%	530	1,155	625	117.9%
67675	Richmond town	Shawano	1,719	1,864	145	8.4%	719	836	117	16.3%
67725	Richmond town	Walworth	1,835	1,884	49	2.7%	899	1,016	117	13.0%
67775	Richwood town	Richland	618	533	-85	-13.8%	299	324	25	8.4%
67800	Ridgeland village	Dunn	265	273	8	3.0%	131	138	7	5.3%
67850	Ridgeville town	Monroe	491	501	10	2.0%	183	212	29	15.8%
67875	Ridgeway village	Iowa	689	653	-36	-5.2%	286	293	7	2.4%
67900	Ridgeway town	Iowa	581	568	-13	-2.2%	237	266	29	12.2%
67950	Rietbrock town	Marathon	927	981	54	5.8%	300	345	45	15.0%
68075	Ringle town	Marathon	1,408	1,711	303	21.5%	487	648	161	33.1%
68100	Rio village	Columbia	938	1,059	121	12.9%	401	475	74	18.5%
68175	Ripon city	Fond Du Lac	7,450	7,733	283	3.8%	3,118	3,306	188	6.0%
68200	Ripon town	Fond Du Lac	1,379	1,400	21	1.5%	522	579	57	10.9%
68275	River Falls city	Pierce, Saint Croix	12,560	15,000	2,440	19.4%	4,345	5,449	1,104	25.4%
68300	River Falls town	Pierce	2,304	2,271	-33	-1.4%	821	879	58	7.1%
68325	River Hills village	Milwaukee	1,631	1,597	-34	-2.1%	617	647	30	4.9%
68400	Riverview town	Oconto	829	725	-104	-12.5%	1,552	1,639	87	5.6%
68475	Roberts village	St. Croix	969	1,651	682	70.4%	402	724	322	80.1%
68575	Rochester town	see note at end	2,254				810			
68550	Rochester village	Racine	1,149	3,682	2,533	220.5%	425	1,412	987	232.2%
68600	Rock town	Rock	3,338	3,196	-142	-4.3%	1,358	1,346	-12	-0.9%
68625	Rock town	Wood	856	855	-1	-0.1%	316	353	37	11.7%
68675	Rockbridge town	Richland	721	734	13	1.8%	315	372	57	18.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
68700	Rock Creek town	Dunn	793	1,000	207	26.1%	300	391	91	30.3%
68725	Rockdale village	Dane	214	214	0	0.0%	91	94	3	3.3%
68775	Rock Elm town	Pierce	504	485	-19	-3.8%	193	219	26	13.5%
68825	Rock Falls town	Lincoln	598	618	20	3.3%	415	508	93	22.4%
68875	Rockland town	Brown	1,522	1,734	212	13.9%	495	622	127	25.7%
68900	Rockland village	La Crosse	625	594	-31	-5.0%	215	243	28	13.0%
68925	Rockland town	Manitowoc	896	1,001	105	11.7%	336	403	67	19.9%
68975	Rock Springs village	Sauk	425	362	-63	-14.8%	167	150	-17	-10.2%
69175	Rolling town	Langlade	1,452	1,504	52	3.6%	539	623	84	15.6%
69275	Rome town	Adams	2,656	2,720	64	2.4%	2,354	3,351	997	42.4%
69325	Roosevelt town	Burnett	197	199	2	1.0%	145	164	19	13.1%
69400	Roosevelt town	Taylor	444	473	29	6.5%	169	229	60	35.5%
69425	Rose town	Waushara	595	640	45	7.6%	353	412	59	16.7%
69525	Rosendale village	Fond Du Lac	923	1,063	140	15.2%	339	417	78	23.0%
69550	Rosendale town	Fond Du Lac	783	695	-88	-11.2%	300	287	-13	-4.3%
69575	Rosholt village	Portage	518	506	-12	-2.3%	212	227	15	7.1%
69625	Ross town	Forest	167	136	-31	-18.6%	218	237	19	8.7%
69725	Rothschild village	Marathon	4,970	5,269	299	6.0%	1,988	2,332	344	17.3%
69750	Round Lake town	Sawyer	962	977	15	1.6%	1,113	1,270	157	14.1%
69850	Roxbury town	Dane	1,700	1,794	94	5.5%	640	806	166	25.9%
69900	Royalton town	Waupaca	1,544	1,434	-110	-7.1%	618	753	135	21.8%
69962	Rubicon town	Dodge	2,005	2,207	202	10.1%	680	811	131	19.3%
69975	Ruby town	Chippewa	446	494	48	10.8%	197	234	37	18.8%
70000	Rudolph village	Wood	423	439	16	3.8%	177	197	20	11.3%
70025	Rudolph town	Wood	1,161	1,028	-133	-11.5%	438	447	9	2.1%
70125	Rushford town	Winnebago	1,471	1,561	90	6.1%	594	682	88	14.8%
70200	Rush River town	St. Croix	498	508	10	2.0%	173	200	27	15.6%
70225	Rusk town	Burnett	420	409	-11	-2.6%	338	497	159	47.0%
70275	Rusk town	Rusk	475	525	50	10.5%	435	512	77	17.7%
70300	Russell town	Bayfield	1,216	1,279	63	5.2%	506	574	68	13.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
70325	Russell town	Lincoln	693	677	-16	-2.3%	330	385	55	16.7%
70350	Russell town	Sheboygan	399	377	-22	-5.5%	149	156	7	4.7%
70400	Rutland town	Dane	1,887	1,966	79	4.2%	704	778	74	10.5%
70500	St. Cloud village	Fond Du Lac	497	477	-20	-4.0%	193	216	23	11.9%
70550	St. Croix Falls city	Polk	2,033	2,133	100	4.9%	926	1,088	162	17.5%
70575	St. Croix Falls town	Polk	1,119	1,165	46	4.1%	538	609	71	13.2%
70650	St. Francis city	Milwaukee	8,662	9,365	703	8.1%	4,193	4,828	635	15.1%
70725	St. Germain town	Vilas	1,932	2,085	153	7.9%	2,067	2,331	264	12.8%
70825	St. Joseph town	St. Croix	3,436	3,842	406	11.8%	1,259	1,511	252	20.0%
70900	St. Lawrence town	Waupaca	740	710	-30	-4.1%	345	376	31	9.0%
70925	St. Marie town	Green Lake	341	351	10	2.9%	170	196	26	15.3%
71025	St. Nazianz village	Manitowoc	749	783	34	4.5%	302	346	44	14.6%
71125	Salem town	Kenosha	9,871	12,067	2,196	22.2%	3,939	5,055	1,116	28.3%
71150	Salem town	Pierce	505	510	5	1.0%	186	223	37	19.9%
71275	Sampson town	Chippewa	816	892	76	9.3%	449	724	275	61.2%
71350	Sanborn town	Ashland	1,272	1,331	59	4.6%	531	584	53	10.0%
71425	Sand Creek town	Dunn	586	570	-16	-2.7%	244	267	23	9.4%
71450	Sand Lake town	Burnett	556	531	-25	-4.5%	445	555	110	24.7%
71500	Sand Lake town	Sawyer	774	813	39	5.0%	1,147	1,311	164	14.3%
71600	Saratoga town	Wood	5,383	5,142	-241	-4.5%	2,058	2,204	146	7.1%
71637	Sarona town	Washburn	382	384	2	0.5%	263	309	46	17.5%
71650	Sauk City village	Sauk	3,109	3,410	301	9.7%	1,314	1,508	194	14.8%
71700	Saukville village	Ozaukee	4,068	4,451	383	9.4%	1,639	1,848	209	12.8%
71725	Saukville town	Ozaukee	1,755	1,822	67	3.8%	644	728	84	13.0%
71825	Saxeville town	Waushara	974	986	12	1.2%	610	670	60	9.8%
71875	Saxon town	Iron	350	324	-26	-7.4%	221	259	38	17.2%
71975	Scandinavia village	Waupaca	349	363	14	4.0%	152	166	14	9.2%
72000	Scandinavia town	Waupaca	1,075	1,066	-9	-0.8%	479	534	55	11.5%
72075	Schleswig town	Manitowoc	1,900	1,963	63	3.3%	871	965	94	10.8%
72100	Schley town	Lincoln	909	934	25	2.8%	395	443	48	12.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
72125	Schoepke town	Oneida	352	387	35	9.9%	626	647	21	3.4%
72150	Schofield city	Marathon	2,117	2,169	52	2.5%	1,022	1,099	77	7.5%
72200	Scott town	Brown	3,138	3,545	407	13.0%	1,234	1,475	241	19.5%
72225	Scott town	Burnett	590	494	-96	-16.3%	916	1,077	161	17.6%
72250	Scott town	Columbia	791	905	114	14.4%	260	313	53	20.4%
72275	Scott town	Crawford	503	462	-41	-8.2%	224	254	30	13.4%
72300	Scott town	Lincoln	1,287	1,432	145	11.3%	488	572	84	17.2%
72325	Scott town	Monroe	117	135	18	15.4%	61	71	10	16.4%
72350	Scott town	Sheboygan	1,804	1,836	32	1.8%	700	749	49	7.0%
72450	Seif town	Clark	212	172	-40	-18.9%	122	139	17	13.9%
72500	Seneca town	Crawford	893	866	-27	-3.0%	479	510	31	6.5%
72525	Seneca town	Green Lake	424	408	-16	-3.8%	194	193	-1	-0.5%
72550	Seneca town	Shawano	567	558	-9	-1.6%	255	292	37	14.5%
72575	Seneca town	Wood	1,202	1,120	-82	-6.8%	422	435	13	3.1%
72600	Sevastopol town	Door	2,667	2,628	-39	-1.5%	1,554	1,859	305	19.6%
72625	Seven Mile Creek town	Juneau	369	358	-11	-3.0%	168	203	35	20.8%
72675	Seymour town	Eau Claire	2,978	3,209	231	7.8%	1,151	1,293	142	12.3%
72700	Seymour town	Lafayette	363	446	83	22.9%	122	153	31	25.4%
72725	Seymour city	Outagamie	3,335	3,451	116	3.5%	1,377	1,565	188	13.7%
72750	Seymour town	Outagamie	1,216	1,193	-23	-1.9%	421	458	37	8.8%
72825	Shanagolden town	Ashland	150	125	-25	-16.7%	157	206	49	31.2%
72850	Sharon town	Portage	1,936	1,982	46	2.4%	754	863	109	14.5%
72875	Sharon village	Walworth	1,549	1,605	56	3.6%	602	714	112	18.6%
72900	Sharon town	Walworth	912	907	-5	-0.5%	352	364	12	3.4%
72925	Shawano city	Shawano	8,298	9,305	1,007	12.1%	3,587	4,309	722	20.1%
72975	Sheboygan city	Sheboygan	50,792	49,288	-1,504	-3.0%	21,762	22,339	577	2.7%
73000	Sheboygan town	Sheboygan	5,874	7,271	1,397	23.8%	2,245	3,175	930	41.4%
73025	Sheboygan Falls city	Sheboygan	6,772	7,775	1,003	14.8%	2,826	3,681	855	30.3%
73050	Sheboygan Falls town	Sheboygan	1,706	1,718	12	0.7%	675	736	61	9.0%
73125	Shelby town	La Crosse	4,687	4,715	28	0.6%	1,817	1,997	180	9.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
73150	Sheldon town	Monroe	682	727	45	6.6%	214	255	41	19.2%
73175	Sheldon village	Rusk	256	237	-19	-7.4%	123	126	3	2.4%
73200	Shell Lake city	Washburn	1,309	1,347	38	2.9%	890	988	98	11.0%
73300	Sheridan town	Dunn	483	454	-29	-6.0%	185	210	25	13.5%
73350	Sherman town	Clark	831	882	51	6.1%	263	298	35	13.3%
73375	Sherman town	Dunn	748	849	101	13.5%	292	368	76	26.0%
73400	Sherman town	Iron	336	290	-46	-13.7%	483	534	51	10.6%
73425	Sherman town	Sheboygan	1,520	1,505	-15	-1.0%	544	597	53	9.7%
73475	Sherry town	Wood	809	803	-6	-0.7%	280	318	38	13.6%
73525	Sherwood village	Calumet	1,550	2,713	1,163	75.0%	593	1,027	434	73.2%
73550	Sherwood town	Clark	252	220	-32	-12.7%	152	169	17	11.2%
73575	Shields town	Dodge	554	554	0	0.0%	203	223	20	9.9%
73600	Shields town	Marquette	456	550	94	20.6%	277	352	75	27.1%
73625	Shiocton village	Outagamie	954	921	-33	-3.5%	394	403	9	2.3%
73725	Shorewood village	Milwaukee	13,763	13,162	-601	-4.4%	6,696	6,750	54	0.8%
73750	Shorewood Hills village	Dane	1,732	1,565	-167	-9.6%	664	660	-4	-0.6%
73825	Shullsburg city	Lafayette	1,246	1,226	-20	-1.6%	576	586	10	1.7%
73850	Shullsburg town	Lafayette	364	354	-10	-2.7%	143	152	9	6.3%
73875	Sigel town	Chippewa	825	1,044	219	26.5%	307	419	112	36.5%
73900	Sigel town	Wood	1,130	1,051	-79	-7.0%	428	448	20	4.7%
73975	Silver Cliff town	Marinette	529	491	-38	-7.2%	963	1,162	199	20.7%
74025	Silver Lake village	Kenosha	2,341	2,411	70	3.0%	964	1,072	108	11.2%
74125	Sioux Creek town	Barron	689	655	-34	-4.9%	244	270	26	10.7%
74175	Siren village	Burnett	988	806	-182	-18.4%	499	490	-9	-1.8%
74200	Siren town	Burnett	873	936	63	7.2%	717	870	153	21.3%
74225	Sister Bay village	Door	886	876	-10	-1.1%	945	1,335	390	41.3%
74250	Skanawan town	Lincoln	354	391	37	10.5%	216	285	69	31.9%
74400	Slinger village	Washington	3,901	5,068	1,167	29.9%	1,607	2,182	575	35.8%
74450	Smelser town	Grant	756	794	38	5.0%	276	322	46	16.7%
74550	Soldiers Grove village	Crawford	653	592	-61	-9.3%	284	273	-11	-3.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
74575	Solon Springs village	Douglas	576	600	24	4.2%	389	408	19	4.9%
74600	Solon Springs town	Douglas	807	910	103	12.8%	477	698	221	46.3%
74650	Somers town	Kenosha	9,059	9,597	538	5.9%	3,552	3,932	380	10.7%
74675	Somerset village	St. Croix	1,556	2,635	1,079	69.3%	659	1,102	443	67.2%
74700	Somerset town	St. Croix	2,644	4,036	1,392	52.6%	963	1,479	516	53.6%
74725	Somo town	Lincoln	121	114	-7	-5.8%	85	142	57	67.1%
74975	South Fork town	Rusk	120	120	0	0.0%	91	126	35	38.5%
75075	South Lancaster town	Grant	808	843	35	4.3%	245	280	35	14.3%
75125	South Milwaukee city	Milwaukee	21,256	21,156	-100	-0.5%	9,122	9,722	600	6.6%
75275	South Wayne village	Lafayette	484	489	5	1.0%	218	220	2	0.9%
75325	Sparta city	Monroe	8,648	9,522	874	10.1%	3,733	4,192	459	12.3%
75350	Sparta town	Monroe	2,753	3,128	375	13.6%	968	1,178	210	21.7%
75400	Spencer village	Marathon	1,932	1,925	-7	-0.4%	852	875	23	2.7%
75425	Spencer town	Marathon	1,341	1,581	240	17.9%	496	574	78	15.7%
75450	Spider Lake town	Sawyer	391	351	-40	-10.2%	776	982	206	26.5%
75525	Spirit town	Price	315	277	-38	-12.1%	244	294	50	20.5%
75625	Spooner city	Washburn	2,653	2,682	29	1.1%	1,249	1,302	53	4.2%
75650	Spooner town	Washburn	677	706	29	4.3%	383	485	102	26.6%
75750	Spring Brook town	Dunn	1,320	1,558	238	18.0%	489	613	124	25.4%
75800	Springbrook town	Washburn	536	445	-91	-17.0%	300	320	20	6.7%
75850	Springdale town	Dane	1,530	1,904	374	24.4%	585	756	171	29.2%
75875	Springfield town	Dane	2,762	2,734	-28	-1.0%	993	1,040	47	4.7%
75900	Springfield town	Jackson	567	623	56	9.9%	229	262	33	14.4%
75925	Springfield town	Marquette	628	830	202	32.2%	542	634	92	17.0%
75950	Springfield town	St. Croix	808	932	124	15.3%	299	373	74	24.7%
76025	Spring Green village	Sauk	1,444	1,628	184	12.7%	624	753	129	20.7%
76050	Spring Green town	Sauk	1,585	1,697	112	7.1%	661	816	155	23.4%
76075	Spring Grove town	Green	861	874	13	1.5%	308	348	40	13.0%
76100	Spring Lake town	Pierce	550	563	13	2.4%	197	229	32	16.2%
76175	Spring Prairie town	Walworth	2,089	2,181	92	4.4%	765	856	91	11.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
76225	Springvale town	Columbia	550	520	-30	-5.5%	207	224	17	8.2%
76250	Springvale town	Fond Du Lac	727	707	-20	-2.8%	277	281	4	1.4%
76300	Spring Valley village	Pierce, Saint Croix	1,189	1,352	163	13.7%	482	597	115	23.9%
76325	Spring Valley town	Rock	813	746	-67	-8.2%	291	313	22	7.6%
76350	Springville town	Adams	1,167	1,318	151	12.9%	864	1,065	201	23.3%
76400	Springwater town	Waushara	1,389	1,274	-115	-8.3%	1,420	1,441	21	1.5%
76450	Spruce town	Oconto	871	835	-36	-4.1%	587	582	-5	-0.9%
76550	Stanfold town	Barron	669	719	50	7.5%	258	287	29	11.2%
76600	Stanley town	Barron	2,237	2,546	309	13.8%	898	1,134	236	26.3%
76625	Stanley city	Chippewa, Clark	1,898	3,608	1,710	90.1%	900	1,006	106	11.8%
76650	Stanton town	Dunn	715	791	76	10.6%	257	327	70	27.2%
76675	Stanton town	St. Croix	1,003	900	-103	-10.3%	363	368	5	1.4%
76725	Stark town	Vernon	349	363	14	4.0%	198	224	26	13.1%
76825	Star Prairie village	St. Croix	574	561	-13	-2.3%	215	248	33	15.3%
76850	Star Prairie town	St. Croix	2,944	3,504	560	19.0%	1,079	1,417	338	31.3%
76975	Stella town	Oneida	633	650	17	2.7%	316	385	69	21.8%
77000	Stephenson town	Marinette	3,065	3,006	-59	-1.9%	3,777	4,708	931	24.6%
77050	Sterling town	Polk	724	790	66	9.1%	521	583	62	11.9%
77075	Sterling town	Vernon	713	633	-80	-11.2%	278	294	16	5.8%
77100	Stetsonville village	Taylor	563	541	-22	-3.9%	241	263	22	9.1%
77150	Stettin town	Marathon	2,191	2,554	363	16.6%	828	1,057	229	27.7%
77175	Steuben village	Crawford	177	131	-46	-26.0%	72	64	-8	-11.1%
77200	Stevens Point city	Portage	24,551	26,717	2,166	8.8%	9,749	11,220	1,471	15.1%
77300	Stiles town	Oconto	1,465	1,489	24	1.6%	620	687	67	10.8%
77350	Stinnett town	Washburn	263	246	-17	-6.5%	133	134	1	0.8%
77400	Stockbridge village	Calumet	649	636	-13	-2.0%	299	348	49	16.4%
77425	Stockbridge town	Calumet	1,383	1,456	73	5.3%	614	704	90	14.7%
77475	Stockholm village	Pepin	97	66	-31	-32.0%	89	88	-1	-1.1%
77500	Stockholm town	Pepin	75	197	122	162.7%	65	122	57	87.7%
77537	Stockton town	Portage	2,896	2,917	21	0.7%	1,025	1,126	101	9.9%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
77550	Stoddard village	Vernon	815	774	-41	-5.0%	375	388	13	3.5%
77650	Stone Lake town	Washburn	544	508	-36	-6.6%	362	400	38	10.5%
77675	Stoughton city	Dane	12,354	12,611	257	2.1%	4,890	5,419	529	10.8%
77750	Stratford village	Marathon	1,523	1,578	55	3.6%	648	713	65	10.0%
77775	Strickland town	Rusk	300	280	-20	-6.7%	160	158	-2	-1.3%
77800	Strong's Prairie town	Adams	1,115	1,150	35	3.1%	979	1,373	394	40.2%
77825	Strum village	Trempealeau	1,001	1,114	113	11.3%	434	467	33	7.6%
77850	Stubbs town	Rusk	587	579	-8	-1.4%	314	324	10	3.2%
77875	Sturgeon Bay city	Door	9,437	9,144	-293	-3.1%	4,447	4,903	456	10.3%
77900	Sturgeon Bay town	Door	865	818	-47	-5.4%	543	635	92	16.9%
77925	Sturtevant village	Racine	5,287	6,970	1,683	31.8%	1,521	2,240	719	47.3%
77975	Suamico village	Brown	8,686	11,346	2,660	30.6%	3,078	4,235	1,157	37.6%
78075	Sugar Camp town	Oneida	1,781	1,694	-87	-4.9%	1,326	1,579	253	19.1%
78100	Sugar Creek town	Walworth	3,331	3,943	612	18.4%	1,347	1,650	303	22.5%
78175	Sullivan village	Jefferson	688	669	-19	-2.8%	321	328	7	2.2%
78200	Sullivan town	Jefferson	2,124	2,208	84	4.0%	861	966	105	12.2%
78275	Summit town	Douglas	1,042	1,063	21	2.0%	561	635	74	13.2%
78300	Summit town	Juneau	623	646	23	3.7%	262	325	63	24.0%
78325	Summit town	Langlade	168	163	-5	-3.0%	142	180	38	26.8%
78375	Summit town	Waukesha	4,999	4,674	-325	-6.5%	1,904	1,970	66	3.5%
78450	Sumner town	Barron	598	798	200	33.4%	222	306	84	37.8%
78475	Sumner town	Jefferson	904	832	-72	-8.0%	555	538	-17	-3.1%
78500	Sumner town	Trempealeau	806	810	4	0.5%	305	317	12	3.9%
78525	Sumpter town	Sauk	1,021	1,191	170	16.7%	458	515	57	12.4%
78600	Sun Prairie city	Dane	20,369	29,364	8,995	44.2%	8,198	12,413	4,215	51.4%
78625	Sun Prairie town	Dane	2,308	2,326	18	0.8%	830	867	37	4.5%
78650	Superior city	Douglas	27,368	27,244	-124	-0.5%	12,196	12,328	132	1.1%
78660	Superior village	Douglas	500	664	164	32.8%	210	309	99	47.1%
78675	Superior town	Douglas	2,058	2,166	108	5.2%	794	941	147	18.5%
78725	Suring village	Oconto	605	544	-61	-10.1%	269	268	-1	-0.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
78750	Sussex village	Waukesha	8,828	10,518	1,690	19.1%	3,441	4,186	745	21.7%
78775	Swiss town	Burnett	815	790	-25	-3.1%	833	1,013	180	21.6%
78825	Sylvan town	Richland	547	555	8	1.5%	222	249	27	12.2%
78875	Sylvester town	Green	809	1,004	195	24.1%	309	385	76	24.6%
78950	Taft town	Taylor	361	430	69	19.1%	132	206	74	56.1%
78975	Tainter town	Dunn	2,116	2,319	203	9.6%	993	1,235	242	24.4%
79125	Taycheedah town	Fond Du Lac	3,666	4,205	539	14.7%	1,423	1,755	332	23.3%
79150	Taylor village	Jackson	513	476	-37	-7.2%	237	226	-11	-4.6%
79250	Tennyson village	Grant	370	355	-15	-4.1%	143	148	5	3.5%
79350	Texas town	Marathon	1,703	1,615	-88	-5.2%	625	683	58	9.3%
79375	Theresa village	Dodge	1,252	1,262	10	0.8%	528	588	60	11.4%
79425	Theresa town	Dodge	1,080	1,075	-5	-0.5%	374	401	27	7.2%
79475	Thiensville village	Ozaukee	3,254	3,235	-19	-0.6%	1,570	1,644	74	4.7%
79575	Thornapple town	Rusk	811	774	-37	-4.6%	375	444	69	18.4%
79625	Thorp city	Clark	1,536	1,621	85	5.5%	759	797	38	5.0%
79650	Thorp town	Clark	730	808	78	10.7%	255	282	27	10.6%
79700	Three Lakes town	Oneida	2,339	2,131	-208	-8.9%	2,908	3,151	243	8.4%
79775	Tiffany town	Dunn	633	618	-15	-2.4%	239	264	25	10.5%
79825	Tigerton village	Shawano	764	741	-23	-3.0%	383	378	-5	-1.3%
79875	Tilden town	Chippewa	1,185	1,485	300	25.3%	413	564	151	36.6%
79975	Tipler town	Florence	205	142	-63	-30.7%	300	358	58	19.3%
80075	Tomah city	Monroe	8,419	9,093	674	8.0%	3,706	4,196	490	13.2%
80100	Tomah town	Monroe	1,194	1,400	206	17.3%	445	575	130	29.2%
80125	Tomahawk city	Lincoln	3,770	3,397	-373	-9.9%	1,696	1,742	46	2.7%
80150	Tomahawk town	Lincoln	439	416	-23	-5.2%	383	472	89	23.2%
80225	Tony village	Rusk	105	113	8	7.6%	45	52	7	15.6%
80325	Townsend town	Oconto	963	979	16	1.7%	1,450	1,769	319	22.0%
80375	Trade Lake town	Burnett	871	823	-48	-5.5%	625	696	71	11.4%
80450	Trego town	Washburn	885	932	47	5.3%	528	713	185	35.0%
80475	Trempealeau village	Trempealeau	1,319	1,529	210	15.9%	622	835	213	34.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
80500	Trempealeau town	Trempealeau	1,618	1,756	138	8.5%	707	730	23	3.3%
80525	Trenton town	Dodge	1,301	1,293	-8	-0.6%	471	485	14	3.0%
80550	Trenton town	Pierce	1,737	1,829	92	5.3%	671	770	99	14.8%
80575	Trenton town	Washington	4,440	4,732	292	6.6%	1,562	1,796	234	15.0%
80700	Trimble town	Pierce	1,511	1,679	168	11.1%	544	668	124	22.8%
80750	Tripp town	Bayfield	209	231	22	10.5%	130	159	29	22.3%
80800	Troy town	St. Croix	3,661	4,705	1,044	28.5%	1,328	1,819	491	37.0%
80825	Troy town	Sauk	773	794	21	2.7%	300	336	36	12.0%
80875	Troy town	Walworth	2,328	2,353	25	1.1%	904	1,010	106	11.7%
80950	True town	Rusk	291	296	5	1.7%	127	138	11	8.7%
81050	Turtle town	Rock	2,444	2,388	-56	-2.3%	990	1,002	12	1.2%
81075	Turtle Lake village	Barron, Polk	1,065	1,050	-15	-1.4%	508	535	27	5.3%
81100	Turtle Lake town	Barron	622	624	2	0.3%	283	303	20	7.1%
81250	Twin Lakes village	Kenosha	5,124	5,989	865	16.9%	2,742	3,251	509	18.6%
81300	Two Creeks town	Manitowoc	551	437	-114	-20.7%	202	195	-7	-3.5%
81325	Two Rivers city	Manitowoc	12,639	11,712	-927	-7.3%	5,547	5,698	151	2.7%
81350	Two Rivers town	Manitowoc	1,912	1,795	-117	-6.1%	766	825	59	7.7%
81475	Underhill town	Oconto	846	882	36	4.3%	468	505	37	7.9%
81500	Union town	Burnett	351	340	-11	-3.1%	422	554	132	31.3%
81525	Union town	Door	880	999	119	13.5%	512	686	174	34.0%
81550	Union town	Eau Claire	2,402	2,663	261	10.9%	878	1,014	136	15.5%
81600	Union town	Pierce	618	609	-9	-1.5%	215	244	29	13.5%
81650	Union town	Rock	1,860	2,099	239	12.8%	718	822	104	14.5%
81675	Union town	Vernon	531	700	169	31.8%	244	284	40	16.4%
81700	Union town	Waupaca	804	806	2	0.2%	335	396	61	18.2%
81725	Union Center village	Juneau	214	200	-14	-6.5%	99	109	10	10.1%
81775	Union Grove village	Racine	4,322	4,915	593	13.7%	1,677	1,960	283	16.9%
81825	Unity town	Clark	745	878	133	17.9%	253	295	42	16.6%
81850	Unity village	Clark, Marathon	368	343	-25	-6.8%	157	161	4	2.5%
81875	Unity town	Trempealeau	556	506	-50	-9.0%	218	210	-8	-3.7%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
81950	Upham town	Langlade	689	676	-13	-1.9%	661	904	243	36.8%
82100	Utica town	Crawford	674	661	-13	-1.9%	317	344	27	8.5%
82175	Utica town	Winnebago	1,168	1,299	131	11.2%	469	542	73	15.6%
82200	Valders village	Manitowoc	948	962	14	1.5%	387	432	45	11.6%
82375	Vance Creek town	Barron	747	669	-78	-10.4%	290	321	31	10.7%
82400	Vandenbroek town	Outagamie	1,351	1,474	123	9.1%	465	534	69	14.8%
82525	Vermont town	Dane	839	819	-20	-2.4%	312	351	39	12.5%
82575	Vernon town	Waukesha	7,227	7,601	374	5.2%	2,405	2,851	446	18.5%
82600	Verona city	Dane	7,052	10,619	3,567	50.6%	2,664	4,461	1,797	67.5%
82625	Verona town	Dane	2,153	1,948	-205	-9.5%	774	780	6	0.8%
82650	Vesper village	Wood	541	584	43	7.9%	243	264	21	8.6%
82750	Vienna town	Dane	1,294	1,482	188	14.5%	467	558	91	19.5%
82825	Vilas town	Langlade	249	233	-16	-6.4%	161	171	10	6.2%
82875	Vinland town	Winnebago	1,849	1,765	-84	-4.5%	721	774	53	7.4%
82900	Viola village	Richland, Vernon	667	699	32	4.8%	319	331	12	3.8%
82925	Viroqua city	Vernon	4,335	4,362	27	0.6%	2,105	2,208	103	4.9%
82950	Viroqua town	Vernon	1,560	1,718	158	10.1%	603	703	100	16.6%
83025	Wabeno town	Forest	1,264	1,166	-98	-7.8%	845	829	-16	-1.9%
83050	Wagner town	Marinette	722	681	-41	-5.7%	384	591	207	53.9%
83100	Waldo village	Sheboygan	450	503	53	11.8%	174	209	35	20.1%
83150	Waldwick town	Iowa	500	473	-27	-5.4%	192	207	15	7.8%
83175	Wales village	Waukesha	2,523	2,549	26	1.0%	863	987	124	14.4%
83250	Walworth village	Walworth	2,304	2,816	512	22.2%	893	1,172	279	31.2%
83275	Walworth town	Walworth	1,676	1,702	26	1.6%	586	734	148	25.3%
83350	Warner town	Clark	627	669	42	6.7%	208	256	48	23.1%
83400	Warren town	St. Croix	1,320	1,591	271	20.5%	437	571	134	30.7%
83425	Warren town	Waushara	675	668	-7	-1.0%	334	357	23	6.9%
83450	Warrens village	Monroe	286	363	77	26.9%	128	375	247	193.0%
83512	Wascott town	Douglas	714	763	49	6.9%	806	1,222	416	51.6%
83525	Washburn city	Bayfield	2,280	2,117	-163	-7.1%	1,004	1,070	66	6.6%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
83550	Washburn town	Bayfield	541	530	-11	-2.0%	227	254	27	11.9%
83575	Washburn town	Clark	304	290	-14	-4.6%	189	210	21	11.1%
83600	Washington town	Door	660	708	48	7.3%	903	1,015	112	12.4%
83612	Washington town	Eau Claire	6,995	7,182	187	2.7%	2,615	2,888	273	10.4%
83625	Washington town	Green	627	809	182	29.0%	242	322	80	33.1%
83650	Washington town	La Crosse	738	558	-180	-24.4%	236	225	-11	-4.7%
83675	Washington town	Rusk	312	339	27	8.7%	238	422	184	77.3%
83700	Washington town	Sauk	904	1,007	103	11.4%	305	361	56	18.4%
83725	Washington town	Shawano	1,903	1,895	-8	-0.4%	1,217	1,314	97	8.0%
83750	Washington town	Vilas	1,577	1,451	-126	-8.0%	1,706	2,031	325	19.1%
83825	Waterford village	Racine	4,048	5,368	1,320	32.6%	1,628	2,272	644	39.6%
83850	Waterford town	Racine	5,938	6,344	406	6.8%	2,263	2,555	292	12.9%
83900	Waterloo town	Grant	557	550	-7	-1.3%	234	273	39	16.7%
83925	Waterloo city	Jefferson	3,259	3,333	74	2.3%	1,293	1,409	116	9.0%
83950	Waterloo town	Jefferson	832	909	77	9.3%	312	365	53	17.0%
83975	Watertown city	Dodge, Jefferson	21,598	23,861	2,263	10.5%	8,330	9,745	1,415	17.0%
84000	Watertown town	Jefferson	1,876	1,975	99	5.3%	715	798	83	11.6%
84025	Waterville town	Pepin	859	831	-28	-3.3%	351	379	28	8.0%
84075	Watterstown town	Grant	362	330	-32	-8.8%	171	193	22	12.9%
84100	Waubeek town	Pepin	364	423	59	16.2%	130	154	24	18.5%
84225	Waukechon town	Shawano	928	1,021	93	10.0%	362	410	48	13.3%
84250	Waukesha city	Waukesha	64,825	70,718	5,893	9.1%	26,856	29,843	2,987	11.1%
84275	Waukesha town	Waukesha	8,596	9,133	537	6.2%	2,945	3,382	437	14.8%
84325	Waumandee town	Buffalo	515	472	-43	-8.3%	198	217	19	9.6%
84350	Waunakee village	Dane	8,995	12,097	3,102	34.5%	3,295	4,483	1,188	36.1%
84375	Waupaca city	Waupaca	5,676	6,069	393	6.9%	2,543	2,996	453	17.8%
84400	Waupaca town	Waupaca	1,155	1,173	18	1.6%	448	520	72	16.1%
84425	Waupun city	Dodge, Fond du Lac	10,718	11,340	622	5.8%	3,512	3,703	191	5.4%
84450	Waupun town	Fond Du Lac	1,385	1,375	-10	-0.7%	495	525	30	6.1%
84475	Wausau city	Marathon	38,426	39,106	680	1.8%	16,668	18,154	1,486	8.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
84500	Wausau town	Marathon	2,214	2,229	15	0.7%	825	901	76	9.2%
84525	Wausaukee village	Marinette	572	575	3	0.5%	294	325	31	10.5%
84550	Wausaukee town	Marinette	1,196	1,066	-130	-10.9%	1,036	1,224	188	18.1%
84625	Wautoma city	Waushara	1,998	2,218	220	11.0%	877	1,061	184	21.0%
84650	Wautoma town	Waushara	1,312	1,278	-34	-2.6%	603	652	49	8.1%
84675	Wauwatosa city	Milwaukee	47,271	46,396	-875	-1.9%	20,917	21,520	603	2.9%
84725	Wauzeka village	Crawford	768	711	-57	-7.4%	298	304	6	2.0%
84750	Wauzeka town	Crawford	369	422	53	14.4%	174	207	33	19.0%
84850	Wayne town	Lafayette	496	490	-6	-1.2%	178	193	15	8.4%
84900	Wayne town	Washington	1,727	2,169	442	25.6%	597	797	200	33.5%
84975	Webb Lake town	Burnett	381	311	-70	-18.4%	730	1,085	355	48.6%
85025	Webster village	Burnett	653	653	0	0.0%	327	355	28	8.6%
85050	Webster town	Vernon	676	778	102	15.1%	293	380	87	29.7%
85100	Weirgor town	Sawyer	370	332	-38	-10.3%	243	267	24	9.9%
85125	Wellington town	Monroe	544	621	77	14.2%	221	256	35	15.8%
85150	Wells town	Monroe	529	519	-10	-1.9%	191	229	38	19.9%
85275	Wescott town	Shawano	3,653	3,183	-470	-12.9%	2,341	2,393	52	2.2%
85300	West Allis city	Milwaukee	61,254	60,411	-843	-1.4%	28,708	29,353	645	2.2%
85325	West Baraboo village	Sauk	1,248	1,414	166	13.3%	490	638	148	30.2%
85350	West Bend city	Washington	28,152	31,078	2,926	10.4%	11,926	13,546	1,620	13.6%
85375	West Bend town	Washington	4,834	4,774	-60	-1.2%	1,975	2,212	237	12.0%
85450	Westboro town	Taylor	660	684	24	3.6%	371	467	96	25.9%
85475	Westby city	Vernon	2,045	2,200	155	7.6%	906	996	90	9.9%
85575	Westfield village	Marquette	1,217	1,254	37	3.0%	553	578	25	4.5%
85600	Westfield town	Marquette	689	866	177	25.7%	414	547	133	32.1%
85625	Westfield town	Sauk	611	571	-40	-6.5%	216	238	22	10.2%
85650	Westford town	Dodge	1,400	1,228	-172	-12.3%	709	702	-7	-1.0%
85675	Westford town	Richland	594	530	-64	-10.8%	239	254	15	6.3%
85775	West Kewaunee town	Kewaunee	1,287	1,296	9	0.7%	485	517	32	6.6%
85850	West Marshland town	Burnett	331	367	36	10.9%	185	294	109	58.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
85875	West Milwaukee village	Milwaukee	4,201	4,206	5	0.1%	2,197	2,145	-52	-2.4%
85925	Weston town	Clark	638	699	61	9.6%	267	318	51	19.1%
85975	Weston town	Dunn	630	594	-36	-5.7%	233	251	18	7.7%
86025	Weston village	Marathon	12,079	14,868	2,789	23.1%	4,806	6,364	1,558	32.4%
86050	Weston town	Marathon	514	639	125	24.3%	180	241	61	33.9%
86100	West Point town	Columbia	1,634	1,955	321	19.6%	907	1,196	289	31.9%
86125	Westport town	Dane	3,586	3,950	364	10.2%	1,752	1,929	177	10.1%
86275	West Salem village	La Crosse	4,738	4,799	61	1.3%	1,765	1,869	104	5.9%
86350	West Sweden town	Polk	731	699	-32	-4.4%	327	361	34	10.4%
86400	Weyauwega city	Waupaca	1,806	1,900	94	5.2%	763	815	52	6.8%
86425	Weyauwega town	Waupaca	627	583	-44	-7.0%	262	299	37	14.1%
86450	Weyerhaeuser village	Rusk	353	238	-115	-32.6%	196	142	-54	-27.6%
86500	Wheatland town	Kenosha	3,292	3,373	81	2.5%	1,379	1,501	122	8.8%
86525	Wheatland town	Vernon	533	561	28	5.3%	360	472	112	31.1%
86537	Wheaton town	Chippewa	2,366	2,701	335	14.2%	874	1,050	176	20.1%
86575	Wheeler village	Dunn	317	348	31	9.8%	142	147	5	3.5%
86700	Whitefish Bay village	Milwaukee	14,163	14,110	-53	-0.4%	5,553	5,553	0	0.0%
86725	Whitehall city	Trempealeau	1,651	1,558	-93	-5.6%	733	741	8	1.1%
86750	White Lake village	Langlade	329	363	34	10.3%	184	212	28	15.2%
86775	Whitelaw village	Manitowoc	730	757	27	3.7%	284	314	30	10.6%
86800	White Oak Springs town	Lafayette	97	118	21	21.6%	39	49	10	25.6%
86850	White River town	Ashland	892	921	29	3.3%	312	355	43	13.8%
86900	Whitestown town	Vernon	509	502	-7	-1.4%	242	267	25	10.3%
86925	Whitewater city	Jefferson, Walworth	13,437	14,390	953	7.1%	4,340	5,113	773	17.8%
86950	Whitewater town	Walworth	1,399	1,471	72	5.1%	829	902	73	8.8%
86975	Whiting village	Portage	1,760	1,724	-36	-2.0%	702	811	109	15.5%
87025	Wien town	Marathon	712	825	113	15.9%	260	296	36	13.8%
87075	Wild Rose village	Waushara	765	725	-40	-5.2%	339	377	38	11.2%
87125	Wilkinson town	Rusk	66	40	-26	-39.4%	36	51	15	41.7%
87175	Willard town	Rusk	539	505	-34	-6.3%	320	364	44	13.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
87200	Williams Bay village	Walworth	2,415	2,564	149	6.2%	1,772	1,985	213	12.0%
87225	Williamstown town	Dodge	646	755	109	16.9%	242	290	48	19.8%
87250	Willow town	Richland	493	579	86	17.4%	242	250	8	3.3%
87275	Willow Springs town	Lafayette	632	758	126	19.9%	237	300	63	26.6%
87375	Wilson town	Dunn	500	531	31	6.2%	212	234	22	10.4%
87400	Wilson town	Eau Claire	420	485	65	15.5%	185	264	79	42.7%
87425	Wilson town	Lincoln	299	309	10	3.3%	334	398	64	19.2%
87450	Wilson town	Rusk	84	106	22	26.2%	33	56	23	69.7%
87475	Wilson village	St. Croix	176	184	8	4.5%	69	78	9	13.0%
87500	Wilson town	Sheboygan	3,227	3,330	103	3.2%	1,323	1,445	122	9.2%
87525	Wilton village	Monroe	519	504	-15	-2.9%	233	233	0	0.0%
87550	Wilton town	Monroe	925	1,027	102	11.0%	265	309	44	16.6%
87600	Winchester town	Vilas	454	383	-71	-15.6%	796	865	69	8.7%
87650	Winchester town	Winnebago	1,676	1,763	87	5.2%	636	749	113	17.8%
87700	Wind Point village	Racine	1,853	1,723	-130	-7.0%	757	776	19	2.5%
87750	Windsor town	Dane	5,286	6,345	1,059	20.0%	1,923	2,548	625	32.5%
87775	Winfield town	Sauk	752	856	104	13.8%	297	359	62	20.9%
87800	Wingville town	Grant	394	357	-37	-9.4%	136	145	9	6.6%
87900	Winneconne village	Winnebago	2,401	2,383	-18	-0.7%	1,060	1,198	138	13.0%
87925	Winneconne town	Winnebago	2,145	2,350	205	9.6%	1,020	1,173	153	15.0%
87975	Winter village	Sawyer	344	313	-31	-9.0%	200	209	9	4.5%
88000	Winter town	Sawyer	969	960	-9	-0.9%	1,203	1,413	210	17.5%
88050	Wiotat town	Lafayette	900	856	-44	-4.9%	364	400	36	9.9%
88150	Wisconsin Dells city	Adams, Columbia, Juneau, Sauk	2,418	2,678	260	10.8%	1,178	1,485	307	26.1%
88200	Wisconsin Rapids city	Wood	18,435	18,367	-68	-0.4%	8,426	8,972	546	6.5%
88275	Withee village	Clark	508	487	-21	-4.1%	228	254	26	11.4%
88300	Withee town	Clark	885	966	81	9.2%	277	310	33	11.9%
88325	Wittenberg village	Shawano	1,177	1,081	-96	-8.2%	471	495	24	5.1%
88350	Wittenberg town	Shawano	894	833	-61	-6.8%	331	363	32	9.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
88450	Wolf River town	Langlade	856	731	-125	-14.6%	746	898	152	20.4%
88475	Wolf River town	Winnebago	1,223	1,189	-34	-2.8%	807	849	42	5.2%
88500	Wonewoc village	Juneau	834	816	-18	-2.2%	392	406	14	3.6%
88525	Wonewoc town	Juneau	783	687	-96	-12.3%	318	309	-9	-2.8%
88575	Wood town	Wood	786	796	10	1.3%	309	333	24	7.8%
88625	Woodboro town	Oneida	685	813	128	18.7%	592	748	156	26.4%
88775	Woodland town	Sauk	783	790	7	0.9%	302	396	94	31.1%
88825	Woodman village	Grant	96	132	36	37.5%	52	58	6	11.5%
88850	Woodman town	Grant	194	185	-9	-4.6%	89	112	23	25.8%
88875	Woodmohr town	Chippewa	883	932	49	5.5%	331	362	31	9.4%
88900	Wood River town	Burnett	974	953	-21	-2.2%	546	605	59	10.8%
88950	Woodruff town	Oneida	1,982	2,055	73	3.7%	1,515	1,603	88	5.8%
89000	Woodville town	Calumet	993	980	-13	-1.3%	337	352	15	4.5%
89025	Woodville village	St. Croix	1,104	1,344	240	21.7%	460	566	106	23.0%
89100	Worcester town	Price	1,711	1,555	-156	-9.1%	1,220	1,281	61	5.0%
89125	Worden town	Clark	657	666	9	1.4%	210	239	29	13.8%
89150	Wrightstown village	Brown, Outagamie	1,934	2,827	893	46.2%	729	1,087	358	49.1%
89175	Wrightstown town	Brown	2,013	2,221	208	10.3%	681	840	159	23.3%
89250	Wyalusing town	Grant	370	346	-24	-6.5%	183	272	89	48.6%
89275	Wyeville village	Monroe	146	147	1	0.7%	60	70	10	16.7%
89300	Wyocena village	Columbia	668	768	100	15.0%	241	296	55	22.8%
89325	Wyocena town	Columbia	1,543	1,666	123	8.0%	714	810	96	13.4%
89350	Wyoming town	Iowa	364	302	-62	-17.0%	212	221	9	4.2%
89375	Wyoming town	Waupaca	285	329	44	15.4%	151	214	63	41.7%
89425	York town	Clark	853	886	33	3.9%	279	316	37	13.3%
89450	York town	Dane	703	652	-51	-7.3%	262	271	9	3.4%
89475	York town	Green	605	910	305	50.4%	230	366	136	59.1%
89575	Yorkville town	Racine	3,291	3,071	-220	-6.7%	1,153	1,216	63	5.5%
89625	Yuba village	Richland	92	74	-18	-19.6%	34	41	7	20.6%
			5,363,715	5,686,986			2,321,157	2,624,358		

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
Note on Incorporations/Consolidations:										
Lake Hallie village, Chippewa County, incorporated from part of Hallie town, Chippewa County.										
Bristol village, Kenosha County, incorporated from part of Bristol town. After 4/1/2010, the village annexed the town remnant.										
Rochester village and town, Racine County, consolidated to Rochester village.										
For more accurate comparisons, please use these values:										
	Hallie town/Lake Hallie v.	Chippewa	4,703	6,609	1,906	40.5%	1,729	2,617	888	51.4%
	Bristol village/town	Kenosha	4,538	4,914	376	8.3%	1,818	2,069	251	13.8%
	Rochester village	Racine	3,403	3,682	279	8.2%	1,235	1,412	177	14.3%

Population and Housing Data, Wisconsin Municipalities, Census 2000 Based on Census 2010 Geography (see notes at end of table) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, C2000 Summary File 1 and C2010 R NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
00100	Abbotsford city	Clark, Marathon	817	864	47	5.8%	93.9%	91.8%	-2.1%
00175	Abrams town	Oconto	652	724	72	11.0%	93.1%	90.8%	-2.3%
00225	Ackley town	Langlade	202	211	9	4.5%	89.4%	88.7%	-0.7%
00275	Adams city	Adams	769	886	117	15.2%	90.8%	89.5%	-1.3%
00300	Adams town	Adams	547	580	33	6.0%	63.9%	64.9%	1.0%
00325	Adams town	Green	165	208	43	26.1%	91.7%	88.9%	-2.8%
00350	Adams town	Jackson	485	578	93	19.2%	86.0%	65.9%	-20.1%
00425	Addison town	Washington	1,149	1,311	162	14.1%	97.3%	97.3%	0.0%
00450	Adell village	Sheboygan	207	210	3	1.4%	95.8%	93.8%	-2.1%
00475	Adrian town	Monroe	231	286	55	23.8%	93.1%	94.4%	1.2%
00550	Agenda town	Ashland	208	186	-22	-10.6%	63.4%	50.5%	-12.9%
00600	Ahnapee town	Kewaunee	371	384	13	3.5%	87.1%	84.0%	-3.1%
00625	Ainsworth town	Langlade	255	222	-33	-12.9%	46.4%	42.0%	-4.5%
00675	Akan town	Richland	158	168	10	6.3%	74.9%	72.4%	-2.5%
00725	Alban town	Portage	325	358	33	10.2%	82.5%	66.3%	-16.2%
00750	Albany village	Green	468	434	-34	-7.3%	93.8%	88.0%	-5.8%
00775	Albany town	Green	279	424	145	52.0%	92.1%	92.6%	0.5%
00800	Albany town	Pepin	180	237	57	31.7%	95.2%	92.2%	-3.0%
00875	Albion town	Dane	726	747	21	2.9%	83.5%	80.8%	-2.8%
00900	Albion town	Jackson	413	466	53	12.8%	89.6%	86.8%	-2.8%
00925	Albion town	Trempealeau	215	240	25	11.6%	94.7%	92.7%	-2.0%
00950	Alden town	Polk	973	1,059	86	8.8%	78.8%	75.9%	-2.9%
01000	Algoma city	Kewaunee	1,493	1,406	-87	-5.8%	91.5%	82.6%	-8.9%
01025	Algoma town	Winnebago	1,940	2,453	513	26.4%	97.8%	96.8%	-1.0%
01150	Allouez village	Brown	5,397	5,432	35	0.6%	97.9%	95.2%	-2.7%
01225	Alma city	Buffalo	421	386	-35	-8.3%	85.1%	79.1%	-6.0%
01250	Alma town	Buffalo	133	126	-7	-5.3%	84.7%	73.7%	-11.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
01275	Alma town	Jackson	344	384	40	11.6%	87.5%	79.7%	-7.9%
01300	Alma Center village	Jackson	191	205	14	7.3%	89.3%	89.5%	0.3%
01325	Almena village	Barron	295	297	2	0.7%	95.8%	88.1%	-7.6%
01350	Almena town	Barron	355	358	3	0.8%	53.6%	49.3%	-4.3%
01375	Almon town	Shawano	224	238	14	6.3%	82.7%	76.0%	-6.6%
01400	Almond village	Portage	189	174	-15	-7.9%	95.0%	88.3%	-6.7%
01425	Almond town	Portage	256	264	8	3.1%	90.8%	87.1%	-3.7%
01525	Alto town	Fond Du Lac	344	365	21	6.1%	97.5%	96.3%	-1.1%
01550	Altoona city	Eau Claire	2,844	2,883	39	1.4%	92.9%	87.7%	-5.2%
01625	Alvin town	Forest	96	84	-12	-12.5%	23.4%	17.6%	-5.7%
01675	Amberg town	Marinette	395	362	-33	-8.4%	41.9%	34.4%	-7.5%
01725	Amery city	Polk	1,231	1,286	55	4.5%	93.9%	89.0%	-4.9%
01750	Amherst village	Portage	395	434	39	9.9%	94.3%	92.1%	-2.1%
01775	Amherst town	Portage	494	524	30	6.1%	88.8%	87.8%	-1.1%
01800	Amherst Junction village	Portage	109	137	28	25.7%	98.2%	93.8%	-4.4%
01825	Amnicon town	Douglas	364	433	69	19.0%	95.0%	91.0%	-4.1%
01900	Anderson town	Burnett	154	165	11	7.1%	58.1%	52.7%	-5.4%
01925	Anderson town	Iron	28	28	0	0.0%	23.7%	19.9%	-3.9%
01975	Angelica town	Shawano	585	666	81	13.8%	97.5%	95.3%	-2.2%
02025	Angelo town	Monroe	465	497	32	6.9%	89.9%	89.2%	-0.7%
02075	Aniwa village	Shawano	114	111	-3	-2.6%	89.8%	88.1%	-1.7%
02100	Aniwa town	Shawano	202	210	8	4.0%	95.3%	85.4%	-9.9%
02175	Anson town	Chippewa	709	841	132	18.6%	92.8%	89.1%	-3.7%
02250	Antigo city	Langlade	3,630	3,613	-17	-0.5%	92.2%	91.0%	-1.2%
02275	Antigo town	Langlade	550	580	30	5.5%	94.8%	94.0%	-0.8%
02350	Apple River town	Polk	418	459	41	9.8%	66.9%	64.2%	-2.7%
02375	Appleton city	Calumet, Outagamie, Winnebago	26,864	28,874	2,010	7.5%	96.9%	95.1%	-1.7%
02450	Arbor Vitae town	Vilas	1,373	1,501	128	9.3%	56.2%	55.5%	-0.7%
02500	Arcadia city	Trempealeau	1,038	1,114	76	7.3%	93.3%	92.3%	-1.1%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
02525	Arcadia town	Trempealeau	569	665	96	16.9%	93.3%	91.0%	-2.3%
02550	Arena village	Iowa	256	323	67	26.2%	95.2%	91.2%	-3.9%
02575	Arena town	Iowa	545	595	50	9.2%	88.3%	87.8%	-0.6%
02625	Argonne town	Forest	194	202	8	4.1%	61.8%	49.6%	-12.2%
02650	Argyle village	Lafayette	343	366	23	6.7%	94.0%	93.1%	-0.8%
02675	Argyle town	Lafayette	178	180	2	1.1%	84.8%	84.1%	-0.6%
02775	Arland town	Barron	233	271	38	16.3%	90.0%	92.2%	2.2%
02800	Arlington village	Columbia	187	317	130	69.5%	95.4%	96.1%	0.7%
02825	Arlington town	Columbia	302	309	7	2.3%	98.1%	93.1%	-5.0%
02850	Armenia town	Juneau	267	302	35	13.1%	59.6%	43.8%	-15.8%
02950	Armstrong Creek town	Forest	207	176	-31	-15.0%	49.1%	37.0%	-12.1%
03025	Arpin village	Wood	139	143	4	2.9%	93.9%	93.5%	-0.5%
03050	Arpin town	Wood	267	320	53	19.9%	95.4%	91.7%	-3.7%
03075	Arthur town	Chippewa	258	278	20	7.8%	93.8%	89.4%	-4.4%
03150	Ashford town	Fond Du Lac	641	700	59	9.2%	96.0%	94.0%	-2.0%
03200	Ashippun town	Dodge	845	988	143	16.9%	96.0%	96.0%	0.0%
03225	Ashland city	Ashland, Bayfield	3,513	3,516	3	0.1%	93.0%	91.0%	-2.0%
03250	Ashland town	Ashland	227	242	15	6.6%	81.9%	71.2%	-10.8%
03425	Ashwaubenon village	Brown	7,137	7,421	284	4.0%	98.3%	95.2%	-3.1%
03525	Athelstane town	Marinette	270	233	-37	-13.7%	27.1%	19.4%	-7.8%
03550	Athens village	Marathon	443	471	28	6.3%	94.5%	93.6%	-0.8%
03600	Atlanta town	Rusk	226	244	18	8.0%	82.8%	73.9%	-8.8%
03725	Auburn town	Chippewa	202	254	52	25.7%	96.2%	92.7%	-3.5%
03750	Auburn town	Fond Du Lac	732	885	153	20.9%	96.6%	89.6%	-7.0%
03775	Auburndale village	Wood	284	291	7	2.5%	95.6%	92.4%	-3.2%
03800	Auburndale town	Wood	299	313	14	4.7%	96.8%	96.0%	-0.8%
03825	Augusta city	Eau Claire	599	614	15	2.5%	94.8%	92.7%	-2.0%
03875	Aurora town	Florence	485	455	-30	-6.2%	90.7%	77.1%	-13.5%
03900	Aurora town	Taylor	134	150	16	11.9%	87.0%	80.6%	-6.4%
03925	Aurora town	Waushara	352	394	42	11.9%	91.7%	90.2%	-1.5%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
04025	Avoca village	Iowa	257	261	4	1.6%	78.4%	75.2%	-3.1%
04100	Avon town	Rock	204	230	26	12.7%	94.4%	94.7%	0.2%
04125	Aztalan town	Jefferson	530	546	16	3.0%	95.8%	96.8%	1.0%
04250	Bagley village	Grant	157	185	28	17.8%	47.4%	45.2%	-2.2%
04275	Bagley town	Oconto	136	126	-10	-7.4%	59.4%	49.4%	-10.0%
04325	Baileys Harbor town	Door	483	507	24	5.0%	46.9%	36.8%	-10.1%
04400	Baldwin village	St. Croix	1,115	1,572	457	41.0%	97.5%	91.2%	-6.3%
04425	Baldwin town	St. Croix	307	354	47	15.3%	97.5%	97.5%	0.1%
04475	Balsam Lake village	Polk	428	434	6	1.4%	63.6%	59.3%	-4.3%
04500	Balsam Lake town	Polk	529	568	39	7.4%	52.0%	52.0%	0.0%
04550	Bangor village	La Crosse	524	571	47	9.0%	96.0%	95.6%	-0.3%
04575	Bangor town	La Crosse	216	220	4	1.9%	95.2%	94.0%	-1.1%
04625	Baraboo city	Sauk	4,467	5,161	694	15.5%	94.7%	91.8%	-2.8%
04650	Baraboo town	Sauk	685	666	-19	-2.8%	91.2%	89.0%	-2.2%
04725	Barksdale town	Bayfield	303	306	3	1.0%	85.8%	82.5%	-3.4%
04750	Barnes town	Bayfield	278	397	119	42.8%	18.7%	25.4%	6.7%
04775	Barneveld village	Iowa	396	457	61	15.4%	99.0%	95.8%	-3.2%
04825	Barre town	La Crosse	347	449	102	29.4%	98.0%	93.3%	-4.7%
04875	Barron city	Barron	1,389	1,422	33	2.4%	96.3%	93.2%	-3.1%
04900	Barron town	Barron	319	329	10	3.1%	98.8%	95.1%	-3.7%
04950	Barronett town	Washburn	152	173	21	13.8%	79.2%	74.9%	-4.3%
05000	Bartelme town	Shawano	253	320	67	26.5%	87.5%	86.0%	-1.5%
05050	Barton town	Washington	896	1,033	137	15.3%	97.5%	96.0%	-1.5%
05125	Bashaw town	Washburn	339	377	38	11.2%	87.6%	79.2%	-8.4%
05200	Bass Lake town	Sawyer	830	921	91	11.0%	45.2%	45.7%	0.5%
05225	Bass Lake town	Washburn	192	216	24	12.5%	69.3%	63.7%	-5.6%
05325	Bay City village	Pierce	196	201	5	2.6%	97.0%	93.9%	-3.1%
05350	Bayfield city	Bayfield	289	261	-28	-9.7%	71.7%	54.1%	-17.6%
05375	Bayfield town	Bayfield	261	306	45	17.2%	53.2%	47.5%	-5.6%
05450	Bayside village	Milwaukee, Ozaukee	1,769	1,831	62	3.5%	96.5%	94.1%	-2.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
05475	Bayview town	Bayfield	197	204	7	3.6%	69.6%	65.8%	-3.8%
05550	Bear Bluff town	Jackson	49	55	6	12.2%	87.5%	70.5%	-17.0%
05575	Bear Creek village	Outagamie	155	155	0	0.0%	90.1%	89.1%	-1.0%
05600	Bear Creek town	Sauk	176	234	58	33.0%	83.4%	82.4%	-1.0%
05625	Bear Creek town	Waupaca	279	302	23	8.2%	95.9%	93.5%	-2.4%
05650	Bear Lake town	Barron	219	264	45	20.5%	84.9%	70.2%	-14.7%
05750	Beaver town	Clark	238	247	9	3.8%	95.6%	92.5%	-3.1%
05800	Beaver town	Marinette	444	490	46	10.4%	81.9%	61.5%	-20.4%
05825	Beaver town	Polk	304	341	37	12.2%	68.9%	65.5%	-3.5%
05875	Beaver Brook town	Washburn	253	300	47	18.6%	90.4%	85.7%	-4.6%
05900	Beaver Dam city	Dodge	6,349	6,819	470	7.4%	95.0%	93.1%	-1.9%
05925	Beaver Dam town	Dodge	1,301	1,551	250	19.2%	94.6%	90.6%	-4.0%
06000	Beecher town	Marinette	334	331	-3	-0.9%	34.4%	30.2%	-4.2%
06100	Beetown town	Grant	261	275	14	5.4%	95.6%	91.4%	-4.2%
06150	Belgium village	Ozaukee	582	817	235	40.4%	98.3%	96.3%	-2.0%
06175	Belgium town	Ozaukee	547	554	7	1.3%	86.7%	79.6%	-7.1%
06200	Bell town	Bayfield	115	139	24	20.9%	27.9%	27.0%	-0.9%
06225	Bell Center village	Crawford	45	45	0	0.0%	75.0%	70.3%	-4.7%
06275	Belle Plaine town	Shawano	738	757	19	2.6%	76.6%	70.9%	-5.6%
06300	Belleville village	Dane, Green	764	986	222	29.1%	97.0%	95.7%	-1.2%
06350	Bellevue village	Brown	4,624	5,876	1,252	27.1%	97.2%	93.1%	-4.1%
06425	Belmont village	Lafayette	377	439	62	16.4%	94.0%	96.7%	2.7%
06450	Belmont town	Lafayette	249	270	21	8.4%	88.0%	95.1%	7.1%
06475	Belmont town	Portage	240	264	24	10.0%	78.2%	68.6%	-9.6%
06500	Beloit city	Rock	13,370	13,781	411	3.1%	93.7%	90.8%	-2.9%
06525	Beloit town	Rock	2,814	3,119	305	10.8%	95.4%	94.3%	-1.1%
06675	Belvidere town	Buffalo	180	175	-5	-2.8%	81.1%	79.9%	-1.2%
06775	Bennett town	Douglas	224	240	16	7.1%	75.4%	70.4%	-5.0%
06825	Benton village	Lafayette	393	398	5	1.3%	94.2%	93.4%	-0.8%
06850	Benton town	Lafayette	159	185	26	16.4%	95.8%	96.4%	0.6%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
06875	Bergen town	Marathon	228	250	22	9.6%	93.4%	85.9%	-7.5%
06900	Bergen town	Vernon	491	546	55	11.2%	91.8%	90.5%	-1.2%
06925	Berlin city	Green Lake, Waushara	2,170	2,296	126	5.8%	90.8%	89.7%	-1.1%
06950	Berlin town	Green Lake	423	447	24	5.7%	97.5%	91.8%	-5.7%
06975	Berlin town	Marathon	313	364	51	16.3%	94.8%	94.1%	-0.8%
07000	Bern town	Marathon	170	189	19	11.2%	94.4%	92.2%	-2.2%
07025	Berry town	Dane	408	472	64	15.7%	97.1%	95.5%	-1.6%
07125	Bevent town	Marathon	405	454	49	12.1%	83.7%	84.5%	0.9%
07175	Big Bend town	Rusk	184	182	-2	-1.1%	42.7%	38.6%	-4.1%
07200	Big Bend village	Waukesha	448	486	38	8.5%	98.0%	96.6%	-1.4%
07225	Big Falls town	Rusk	43	54	11	25.6%	82.7%	42.2%	-40.5%
07250	Big Falls village	Waupaca	40	35	-5	-12.5%	74.1%	64.8%	-9.3%
07300	Big Flats town	Adams	402	444	42	10.4%	50.4%	40.4%	-10.1%
07500	Birch town	Lincoln	179	189	10	5.6%	71.6%	66.3%	-5.3%
07525	Birch Creek town	Chippewa	212	227	15	7.1%	54.5%	52.9%	-1.6%
07550	Birchwood village	Washburn	227	200	-27	-11.9%	84.4%	69.0%	-15.4%
07575	Birchwood town	Washburn	191	216	25	13.1%	36.2%	28.5%	-7.6%
07600	Birnamwood village	Marathon, Shawano	309	343	34	11.0%	94.2%	89.6%	-4.7%
07625	Birnamwood town	Shawano	259	276	17	6.6%	83.8%	82.1%	-1.7%
07650	Biron village	Wood	384	366	-18	-4.7%	96.5%	91.0%	-5.4%
07700	Black Brook town	Polk	419	508	89	21.2%	93.5%	92.7%	-0.8%
07725	Black Creek village	Outagamie	485	513	28	5.8%	94.5%	95.0%	0.5%
07750	Black Creek town	Outagamie	445	469	24	5.4%	96.5%	96.3%	-0.2%
07800	Black Earth village	Dane	514	559	45	8.8%	97.5%	96.0%	-1.5%
07825	Black Earth town	Dane	166	189	23	13.9%	96.5%	92.2%	-4.3%
07900	Black River Falls city	Jackson	1,563	1,613	50	3.2%	93.1%	93.1%	0.0%
07950	Blackwell town	Forest	45	41	-4	-8.9%	38.8%	19.6%	-19.2%
08000	Black Wolf town	Winnebago	916	979	63	6.9%	90.7%	87.4%	-3.3%
08025	Blaine town	Burnett	97	87	-10	-10.3%	40.8%	31.4%	-9.3%
08075	Blair city	Trempealeau	533	571	38	7.1%	94.5%	89.5%	-5.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
08100	Blanchard town	Lafayette	96	98	2	2.1%	93.2%	86.0%	-7.2%
08125	Blanchardville village	Iowa, Lafayette	346	360	14	4.0%	92.0%	90.9%	-1.1%
08175	Bloom town	Richland	190	202	12	6.3%	78.8%	70.6%	-8.2%
08225	Bloomer city	Chippewa	1,424	1,562	138	9.7%	95.8%	94.3%	-1.4%
08250	Bloomer town	Chippewa	321	388	67	20.9%	95.8%	85.1%	-10.7%
08275	Bloomfield town	Walworth	2,067	2,292	225	10.9%	83.5%	83.4%	0.0%
08300	Bloomfield town	Waushara	383	415	32	8.4%	84.4%	80.1%	-4.2%
08350	Blooming Grove town	Dane	723	789	66	9.1%	96.7%	95.1%	-1.6%
08375	Bloomington village	Grant	308	320	12	3.9%	93.6%	94.7%	1.1%
08400	Bloomington town	Grant	130	135	5	3.8%	88.4%	71.4%	-17.0%
08475	Blue Mounds village	Dane	289	336	47	16.3%	97.3%	96.8%	-0.5%
08500	Blue Mounds town	Dane	291	353	62	21.3%	97.0%	96.2%	-0.8%
08525	Blue River village	Grant	183	192	9	4.9%	89.3%	88.5%	-0.8%
08600	Boaz village	Richland	64	67	3	4.7%	95.5%	98.5%	3.0%
08725	Bonduel village	Shawano	581	601	20	3.4%	97.2%	93.2%	-4.0%
08750	Bone Lake town	Polk	264	286	22	8.3%	60.8%	58.5%	-2.3%
08850	Boscobel city	Grant	1,174	1,195	21	1.8%	92.7%	91.4%	-1.3%
08875	Boscobel town	Grant	190	160	-30	-15.8%	95.5%	94.7%	-0.8%
08950	Boulder Junction town	Vilas	445	441	-4	-0.9%	31.6%	31.5%	-0.1%
08975	Bovina town	Outagamie	386	436	50	13.0%	93.2%	93.6%	0.3%
09025	Bowler village	Shawano	126	130	4	3.2%	93.3%	86.7%	-6.7%
09050	Boyceville village	Dunn	424	454	30	7.1%	95.1%	91.9%	-3.2%
09075	Boyd village	Chippewa	274	237	-37	-13.5%	94.5%	93.7%	-0.8%
09175	Bradford town	Rock	379	399	20	5.3%	95.2%	94.1%	-1.1%
09225	Bradley town	Lincoln	1,094	1,089	-5	-0.5%	59.5%	50.3%	-9.1%
09300	Brandon village	Fond Du Lac	342	336	-6	-1.8%	95.8%	91.1%	-4.7%
09375	Brazeau town	Oconto	602	571	-31	-5.1%	44.7%	37.6%	-7.1%
09425	Breed town	Oconto	268	312	44	16.4%	83.2%	49.1%	-34.2%
09500	Bridge Creek town	Eau Claire	557	604	47	8.4%	79.2%	72.6%	-6.6%
09550	Bridgeport town	Crawford	346	392	46	13.3%	91.1%	90.5%	-0.5%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
09600	Brigham town	Iowa	335	390	55	16.4%	89.3%	90.7%	1.4%
09635	Brighton town	Kenosha	504	544	40	7.9%	96.2%	94.0%	-2.2%
09650	Brighton town	Marathon	197	214	17	8.6%	96.1%	98.6%	2.5%
09725	Brillion city	Calumet	1,155	1,298	143	12.4%	93.9%	96.2%	2.3%
09750	Brillion town	Calumet	501	546	45	9.0%	96.2%	94.1%	-2.0%
09775	Bristol town	Dane	928	1,302	374	40.3%	97.1%	97.4%	0.3%
09800	Bristol village	Kenosha		934				92.8%	
09825	Bristol town	Kenosha	1,715	958	-757	-44.1%	94.3%	90.1%	-4.2%
09900	Brockway town	Jackson	613	728	115	18.8%	89.2%	85.8%	-3.4%
09925	Brodhead city	Green, Rock	1,301	1,346	45	3.5%	96.0%	92.7%	-3.3%
09975	Brokaw village	Marathon	47	123	76	161.7%	95.9%	89.1%	-6.8%
10025	Brookfield city	Waukesha	13,891	14,576	685	4.9%	97.8%	95.2%	-2.6%
10050	Brookfield town	Waukesha	2,762	2,834	72	2.6%	96.5%	93.9%	-2.5%
10075	Brooklyn village	Dane, Green	343	508	165	48.1%	97.7%	96.4%	-1.3%
10100	Brooklyn town	Green	341	419	78	22.9%	95.8%	94.8%	-1.0%
10125	Brooklyn town	Green Lake	794	800	6	0.8%	75.2%	68.5%	-6.7%
10150	Brooklyn town	Washburn	117	116	-1	-0.9%	51.5%	42.5%	-9.1%
10350	Brothertown town	Calumet	523	538	15	2.9%	83.4%	83.8%	0.4%
10375	Brown Deer village	Milwaukee	5,134	5,275	141	2.7%	96.2%	94.6%	-1.7%
10400	Browning town	Taylor	298	334	36	12.1%	95.2%	87.0%	-8.2%
10450	Brownsville village	Dodge	209	221	12	5.7%	98.1%	94.8%	-3.3%
10475	Browntown village	Green	100	109	9	9.0%	96.2%	94.0%	-2.2%
10500	Bruce village	Rusk	384	371	-13	-3.4%	94.3%	88.5%	-5.8%
10575	Brule town	Douglas	244	277	33	13.5%	90.4%	76.3%	-14.1%
10625	Brunswick town	Eau Claire	630	658	28	4.4%	96.6%	93.7%	-2.9%
10700	Brussels town	Door	403	430	27	6.7%	94.2%	89.4%	-4.8%
10750	Buchanan town	Outagamie	1,846	2,393	547	29.6%	98.8%	97.6%	-1.3%
10925	Buena Vista town	Portage	418	453	35	8.4%	93.7%	92.6%	-1.1%
10950	Buena Vista town	Richland	600	707	107	17.8%	91.7%	90.1%	-1.7%
11025	Buffalo town	Buffalo	260	285	25	9.6%	93.9%	90.2%	-3.7%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
11050	Buffalo town	Marquette	412	448	36	8.7%	89.4%	85.7%	-3.7%
11062	Buffalo City city	Buffalo	445	464	19	4.3%	90.4%	80.4%	-10.0%
11150	Burke town	Dane	1,148	1,244	96	8.4%	95.0%	95.6%	0.6%
11200	Burlington city	Racine, Walworth	3,838	4,240	402	10.5%	96.5%	93.6%	-2.9%
11225	Burlington town	Racine	2,354	2,506	152	6.5%	84.2%	84.0%	-0.2%
11275	Burnett town	Dodge	330	357	27	8.2%	93.5%	94.2%	0.7%
11300	Burns town	La Crosse	349	370	21	6.0%	95.1%	89.2%	-5.9%
11325	Burnside town	Trempealeau	197	194	-3	-1.5%	97.5%	92.8%	-4.7%
11425	Butler town	Clark	32	41	9	28.1%	65.3%	68.3%	3.0%
11475	Butler village	Waukesha	916	895	-21	-2.3%	97.7%	96.8%	-0.9%
11525	Butternut village	Ashland	197	180	-17	-8.6%	89.5%	84.1%	-5.4%
11600	Byron town	Fond Du Lac	538	621	83	15.4%	96.9%	95.2%	-1.7%
11625	Byron town	Monroe	501	519	18	3.6%	90.1%	87.7%	-2.4%
11675	Cable town	Bayfield	381	412	31	8.1%	54.7%	53.0%	-1.7%
11725	Cadiz town	Green	327	330	3	0.9%	93.7%	88.5%	-5.2%
11750	Cadott village	Chippewa	562	605	43	7.7%	96.7%	93.2%	-3.5%
11775	Cady town	St. Croix	255	317	62	24.3%	96.6%	92.4%	-4.2%
11850	Calamus town	Dodge	379	411	32	8.4%	95.7%	93.8%	-1.9%
11900	Caledonia town	Columbia	451	549	98	21.7%	63.3%	65.1%	1.9%
11950	Caledonia village	Racine	8,549	9,629	1,080	12.6%	96.7%	95.8%	-1.0%
11975	Caledonia town	Trempealeau	262	342	80	30.5%	91.9%	92.7%	0.8%
12000	Caledonia town	Waupaca	504	614	110	21.8%	97.3%	87.7%	-9.6%
12075	Calumet town	Fond Du Lac	562	603	41	7.3%	72.6%	73.5%	0.9%
12200	Cambria village	Columbia	307	301	-6	-2.0%	90.6%	88.0%	-2.5%
12225	Cambridge village	Dane, Jefferson	470	615	145	30.9%	97.3%	94.0%	-3.3%
12250	Cameron village	Barron	640	744	104	16.3%	96.8%	93.4%	-3.5%
12275	Cameron town	Wood	190	207	17	8.9%	94.5%	94.5%	0.0%
12300	Campbell town	La Crosse	1,754	1,925	171	9.7%	96.2%	96.5%	0.3%
12325	Campbellsport village	Fond Du Lac	710	763	53	7.5%	96.5%	92.7%	-3.8%
12350	Camp Douglas village	Juneau	242	244	2	0.8%	91.7%	92.4%	0.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
12500	Canton town	Buffalo	102	112	10	9.8%	91.1%	88.9%	-2.2%
12525	Carey town	Iron	75	77	2	2.7%	42.6%	40.7%	-1.9%
12575	Carlton town	Kewaunee	363	406	43	11.8%	94.8%	91.2%	-3.5%
12725	Carson town	Portage	475	514	39	8.2%	95.2%	92.4%	-2.7%
12775	Cary town	Wood	162	177	15	9.3%	71.1%	69.1%	-1.9%
12825	Cascade village	Sheboygan	259	274	15	5.8%	94.5%	94.2%	-0.4%
12850	Casco village	Kewaunee	227	230	3	1.3%	96.2%	93.1%	-3.1%
12875	Casco town	Kewaunee	385	432	47	12.2%	95.3%	93.3%	-2.0%
12925	Casey town	Washburn	213	168	-45	-21.1%	32.4%	21.4%	-11.0%
12950	Cashton village	Monroe	415	448	33	8.0%	89.6%	90.1%	0.5%
12975	Cassel town	Marathon	271	322	51	18.8%	94.8%	94.4%	-0.3%
13025	Cassian town	Oneida	402	445	43	10.7%	39.8%	37.0%	-2.8%
13050	Cassville village	Grant	488	428	-60	-12.3%	85.3%	73.5%	-11.8%
13075	Cassville town	Grant	185	175	-10	-5.4%	84.1%	80.3%	-3.8%
13100	Castle Rock town	Grant	112	107	-5	-4.5%	91.8%	77.5%	-14.3%
13125	Caswell town	Forest	41	43	2	4.9%	26.3%	27.6%	1.3%
13175	Catawba village	Price	68	60	-8	-11.8%	86.1%	76.9%	-9.2%
13200	Catawba town	Price	109	108	-1	-0.9%	67.3%	45.8%	-21.5%
13237	Cato town	Manitowoc	548	590	42	7.7%	96.0%	95.0%	-1.0%
13300	Cazenovia village	Richland, Sauk	145	135	-10	-6.9%	92.9%	83.3%	-9.6%
13325	Cecil village	Shawano	199	262	63	31.7%	80.9%	79.9%	-1.0%
13375	Cedarburg city	Ozaukee	4,432	4,691	259	5.8%	96.5%	95.4%	-1.1%
13400	Cedarburg town	Ozaukee	1,896	2,055	159	8.4%	98.2%	96.6%	-1.6%
13475	Cedar Grove village	Sheboygan	699	842	143	20.5%	96.5%	95.6%	-1.0%
13500	Cedar Lake town	Barron	395	440	45	11.4%	51.6%	43.4%	-8.2%
13550	Cedar Rapids town	Rusk	11	17	6	54.5%	68.8%	44.7%	-24.0%
13600	Center town	Outagamie	1,095	1,277	182	16.6%	99.1%	97.9%	-1.2%
13625	Center town	Rock	374	418	44	11.8%	97.4%	95.9%	-1.5%
13750	Centerville town	Manitowoc	239	252	13	5.4%	91.2%	89.0%	-2.2%
13850	Centuria village	Polk	343	414	71	20.7%	95.0%	92.2%	-2.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
14000	Charlestown town	Calumet	291	296	5	1.7%	97.0%	92.8%	-4.2%
14125	Chase town	Oconto	683	1,018	335	49.0%	98.1%	96.5%	-1.6%
14150	Chaseburg village	Vernon	133	121	-12	-9.0%	91.1%	96.0%	4.9%
14200	Chelsea town	Taylor	274	327	53	19.3%	88.4%	73.6%	-14.7%
14225	Chenequa village	Waukesha	223	232	9	4.0%	79.6%	71.6%	-8.0%
14300	Chester town	Dodge	280	254	-26	-9.3%	96.2%	96.2%	0.0%
14325	Chetek city	Barron	939	951	12	1.3%	89.3%	86.1%	-3.1%
14350	Chetek town	Barron	707	739	32	4.5%	65.9%	59.3%	-6.6%
14425	Chicog town	Washburn	128	124	-4	-3.1%	26.9%	21.5%	-5.4%
14475	Chilton city	Calumet	1,512	1,687	175	11.6%	94.1%	93.3%	-0.8%
14500	Chilton town	Calumet	366	416	50	13.7%	98.7%	96.5%	-2.1%
14525	Chimney Rock town	Trempealeau	98	98	0	0.0%	94.2%	85.2%	-9.0%
14550	Chippewa town	Ashland	156	152	-4	-2.6%	55.7%	45.6%	-10.1%
14575	Chippewa Falls city	Chippewa	5,638	5,896	258	4.6%	95.5%	93.5%	-2.0%
14650	Christiana town	Dane	468	478	10	2.1%	95.1%	96.2%	1.1%
14675	Christiana town	Vernon	308	348	40	13.0%	90.3%	90.9%	0.5%
14750	Cicero town	Outagamie	370	408	38	10.3%	96.4%	96.7%	0.3%
14800	City Point town	Jackson	83	89	6	7.2%	79.8%	34.6%	-45.2%
14837	Clam Falls town	Polk	237	255	18	7.6%	67.3%	77.0%	9.7%
15000	Clarno town	Green	393	445	52	13.2%	95.9%	94.9%	-1.0%
15025	Clay Banks town	Door	162	167	5	3.1%	67.5%	65.5%	-2.0%
15075	Clayton town	Crawford	364	389	25	6.9%	69.5%	67.8%	-1.7%
15100	Clayton village	Polk	199	208	9	4.5%	95.7%	92.4%	-3.2%
15125	Clayton town	Polk	360	388	28	7.8%	87.4%	82.6%	-4.8%
15150	Clayton town	Winnebago	1,071	1,438	367	34.3%	97.7%	96.6%	-1.1%
15200	Clear Creek town	Eau Claire	268	294	26	9.7%	96.4%	95.8%	-0.6%
15225	Clearfield town	Juneau	296	304	8	2.7%	68.5%	66.2%	-2.3%
15250	Clear Lake village	Polk	453	459	6	1.3%	94.8%	91.4%	-3.3%
15275	Clear Lake town	Polk	276	330	54	19.6%	95.2%	93.2%	-2.0%
15350	Cleveland town	Chippewa	313	318	5	1.6%	92.3%	77.2%	-15.1%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
15375	Cleveland town	Jackson	169	190	21	12.4%	89.9%	76.0%	-13.9%
15400	Cleveland village	Manitowoc	536	587	51	9.5%	92.7%	91.3%	-1.4%
15425	Cleveland town	Marathon	396	530	134	33.8%	93.8%	91.7%	-2.1%
15450	Cleveland town	Taylor	98	112	14	14.3%	81.7%	50.5%	-31.2%
15500	Clifton town	Grant	108	118	10	9.3%	96.4%	88.7%	-7.7%
15550	Clifton town	Monroe	191	198	7	3.7%	82.0%	77.3%	-4.6%
15575	Clifton town	Pierce	543	700	157	28.9%	91.7%	92.8%	1.1%
15600	Clinton town	Barron	314	323	9	2.9%	84.0%	80.8%	-3.2%
15625	Clinton village	Rock	771	801	30	3.9%	94.6%	91.9%	-2.7%
15650	Clinton town	Rock	308	329	21	6.8%	97.5%	94.0%	-3.5%
15675	Clinton town	Vernon	313	332	19	6.1%	89.2%	89.5%	0.3%
15725	Clintonville city	Waupaca	2,010	2,002	-8	-0.4%	93.6%	89.9%	-3.7%
15750	Clover town	Bayfield	99	116	17	17.2%	27.2%	27.9%	0.7%
15825	Cloverland town	Douglas	94	86	-8	-8.5%	84.7%	61.9%	-22.8%
15850	Cloverland town	Vilas	416	470	54	13.0%	44.4%	42.5%	-1.9%
15875	Clyde town	Iowa	127	124	-3	-2.4%	78.4%	70.9%	-7.5%
15900	Clyman village	Dodge	153	163	10	6.5%	93.9%	92.1%	-1.8%
15925	Clyman town	Dodge	290	288	-2	-0.7%	93.9%	91.7%	-2.1%
15975	Cobb village	Iowa	188	199	11	5.9%	94.5%	94.8%	0.3%
16025	Cochrane village	Buffalo	188	205	17	9.0%	95.4%	87.6%	-7.8%
16075	Colburn town	Adams	83	109	26	31.3%	53.5%	50.0%	-3.5%
16125	Colburn town	Chippewa	262	329	67	25.6%	90.7%	76.7%	-14.0%
16150	Colby city	Clark, Marathon	623	714	91	14.6%	96.6%	94.3%	-2.3%
16175	Colby town	Clark	276	270	-6	-2.2%	98.2%	95.4%	-2.8%
16225	Cold Spring town	Jefferson	269	281	12	4.5%	96.8%	95.6%	-1.2%
16250	Coleman village	Marinette	316	315	-1	-0.3%	89.8%	91.8%	2.1%
16275	Colfax village	Dunn	467	478	11	2.4%	95.7%	91.2%	-4.5%
16300	Colfax town	Dunn	318	422	104	32.7%	97.2%	94.2%	-3.1%
16375	Coloma village	Waushara	185	184	-1	-0.5%	93.9%	86.0%	-7.9%
16400	Coloma town	Waushara	254	321	67	26.4%	50.8%	57.2%	6.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Occupied Housing Units (Households)			Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
16450	Columbus city	Columbia, Dodge	1,843	2,123	280	15.2%	95.6%	92.8%	-2.8%
16475	Columbus town	Columbia	236	237	1	0.4%	97.9%	94.8%	-3.1%
16500	Combined Locks village	Outagamie	884	1,232	348	39.4%	97.9%	97.5%	-0.4%
16550	Commonwealth town	Florence	170	173	3	1.8%	52.1%	45.8%	-6.4%
16650	Concord town	Jefferson	757	810	53	7.0%	98.3%	95.5%	-2.8%
16750	Conover town	Vilas	483	574	91	18.8%	33.5%	34.3%	0.8%
16775	Conrath village	Rusk	36	42	6	16.7%	92.3%	87.5%	-4.8%
16800	Cooks Valley town	Chippewa	214	273	59	27.6%	98.2%	98.2%	0.0%
16875	Coon town	Vernon	262	285	23	8.8%	88.8%	88.5%	-0.3%
16900	Coon Valley village	Vernon	307	324	17	5.5%	92.5%	93.1%	0.6%
16950	Cooperstown town	Manitowoc	471	498	27	5.7%	96.9%	95.8%	-1.1%
17100	Cornell city	Chippewa	607	607	0	0.0%	93.1%	90.6%	-2.5%
17125	Corning town	Lincoln	299	330	31	10.4%	76.3%	64.6%	-11.7%
17175	Cottage Grove village	Dane	1,427	2,210	783	54.9%	98.2%	96.5%	-1.7%
17200	Cottage Grove town	Dane	1,338	1,463	125	9.3%	98.7%	97.9%	-0.8%
17225	Couderay village	Sawyer	40	35	-5	-12.5%	74.1%	68.6%	-5.4%
17250	Couderay town	Sawyer	176	161	-15	-8.5%	71.0%	60.3%	-10.7%
17325	Courtland town	Columbia	175	193	18	10.3%	94.6%	94.1%	-0.4%
17425	Crandon city	Forest	803	771	-32	-4.0%	83.6%	80.0%	-3.6%
17450	Crandon town	Forest	238	268	30	12.6%	53.7%	58.8%	5.0%
17525	Cranmoor town	Wood	66	69	3	4.5%	88.0%	87.3%	-0.7%
17625	Crescent town	Oneida	797	857	60	7.5%	77.1%	68.5%	-8.6%
17725	Crivitz village	Marinette	406	452	46	11.3%	88.8%	84.8%	-4.0%
17737	Cross town	Buffalo	127	142	15	11.8%	90.1%	86.1%	-4.0%
17775	Cross Plains village	Dane	1,199	1,386	187	15.6%	97.6%	95.5%	-2.2%
17800	Cross Plains town	Dane	513	581	68	13.3%	97.7%	94.8%	-2.9%
17850	Crystal town	Washburn	117	111	-6	-5.1%	63.6%	51.6%	-12.0%
17875	Crystal Lake town	Barron	312	315	3	1.0%	71.7%	66.5%	-5.3%
17900	Crystal Lake town	Marquette	231	244	13	5.6%	52.3%	45.4%	-6.9%
17950	Cuba City city	Grant, Lafayette	861	847	-14	-1.6%	95.7%	94.2%	-1.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
17975	Cudahy city	Milwaukee	7,888	8,059	171	2.2%	95.3%	93.0%	-2.3%
18025	Cumberland city	Barron	1,013	994	-19	-1.9%	89.3%	82.4%	-7.0%
18050	Cumberland town	Barron	360	354	-6	-1.7%	84.7%	79.7%	-5.0%
18075	Curran town	Jackson	127	136	9	7.1%	74.3%	74.3%	0.0%
18125	Curtiss village	Clark	68	76	8	11.8%	97.1%	92.7%	-4.5%
18225	Cutler town	Juneau	119	142	23	19.3%	81.0%	45.2%	-35.7%
18300	Cylon town	St. Croix	227	255	28	12.3%	97.8%	95.5%	-2.3%
18425	Dairyland town	Douglas	87	83	-4	-4.6%	62.6%	25.2%	-37.4%
18475	Dakota town	Waushara	493	496	3	0.6%	71.1%	69.5%	-1.7%
18525	Dale town	Outagamie	785	982	197	25.1%	96.7%	96.0%	-0.7%
18575	Dallas village	Barron	146	156	10	6.8%	86.4%	90.2%	3.8%
18600	Dallas town	Barron	217	218	1	0.5%	92.3%	92.4%	0.0%
18700	Dane village	Dane	279	363	84	30.1%	96.9%	97.3%	0.4%
18725	Dane town	Dane	335	363	28	8.4%	98.8%	98.1%	-0.7%
18750	Daniels town	Burnett	280	287	7	2.5%	65.3%	58.0%	-7.3%
18825	Darien village	Walworth	537	549	12	2.2%	95.9%	89.9%	-6.0%
18850	Darien town	Walworth	615	629	14	2.3%	94.6%	94.7%	0.1%
18875	Darlington city	Lafayette	985	994	9	0.9%	93.6%	91.9%	-1.8%
18900	Darlington town	Lafayette	266	329	63	23.7%	96.4%	94.3%	-2.1%
18950	Day town	Marathon	357	410	53	14.8%	97.3%	96.0%	-1.3%
19000	Dayton town	Richland	269	278	9	3.3%	87.6%	80.6%	-7.0%
19025	Dayton town	Waupaca	1,046	1,137	91	8.7%	70.5%	67.9%	-2.6%
19075	Decatur town	Green	587	661	74	12.6%	91.0%	89.4%	-1.6%
19200	Deer Creek town	Outagamie	237	242	5	2.1%	88.1%	88.0%	-0.1%
19225	Deer Creek town	Taylor	241	267	26	10.8%	95.3%	94.7%	-0.6%
19250	Deerfield village	Dane	726	884	158	21.8%	96.9%	97.0%	0.1%
19275	Deerfield town	Dane	486	544	58	11.9%	96.6%	96.3%	-0.3%
19300	Deerfield town	Waushara	263	307	44	16.7%	54.0%	59.0%	5.0%
19325	Deer Park village	St. Croix	91	100	9	9.9%	96.8%	88.5%	-8.3%
19350	DeForest village	Dane	2,675	3,400	725	27.1%	96.9%	97.2%	0.3%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
19375	Dekorra town	Columbia	949	974	25	2.6%	76.7%	72.8%	-3.9%
19400	Delafield city	Waukesha	2,553	2,776	223	8.7%	95.1%	93.3%	-1.7%
19425	Delafield town	Waukesha	2,521	2,822	301	11.9%	96.0%	94.1%	-1.9%
19450	Delavan city	Walworth	2,931	3,189	258	8.8%	91.2%	91.1%	-0.1%
19475	Delavan town	Walworth	1,798	2,156	358	19.9%	58.9%	56.2%	-2.7%
19550	Dellona town	Sauk	422	580	158	37.4%	84.7%	75.9%	-8.8%
19575	Dell Prairie town	Adams	553	649	96	17.4%	73.3%	67.8%	-5.5%
19625	Delmar town	Chippewa	314	336	22	7.0%	95.7%	91.6%	-4.2%
19662	Delta town	Bayfield	107	119	12	11.2%	32.6%	31.5%	-1.1%
19675	Delton town	Sauk	747	924	177	23.7%	86.7%	84.2%	-2.5%
19700	Denmark village	Brown	801	923	122	15.2%	96.2%	93.4%	-2.7%
19775	De Pere city	Brown	7,724	9,254	1,530	19.8%	96.6%	95.0%	-1.6%
19850	De Soto village	Crawford, Vernon	151	129	-22	-14.6%	79.1%	73.3%	-5.8%
19925	Dewey town	Burnett	209	201	-8	-3.8%	69.0%	58.3%	-10.7%
19975	Dewey town	Portage	356	368	12	3.4%	94.2%	91.3%	-2.9%
20000	Dewey town	Rusk	209	225	16	7.7%	75.5%	52.7%	-22.8%
20025	Dewhurst town	Clark	156	154	-2	-1.3%	58.4%	38.4%	-20.0%
20075	Dexter town	Wood	144	152	8	5.6%	75.8%	66.4%	-9.4%
20150	Diamond Bluff town	Pierce	184	194	10	5.4%	90.6%	87.8%	-2.9%
20175	Dickeyville village	Grant	420	459	39	9.3%	97.4%	97.5%	0.0%
20300	Dodge town	Trempealeau	160	175	15	9.4%	90.9%	87.1%	-3.8%
20350	Dodgeville city	Iowa	1,751	1,965	214	12.2%	95.6%	92.8%	-2.8%
20375	Dodgeville town	Iowa	510	645	135	26.5%	86.7%	87.4%	0.7%
20450	Dorchester village	Clark, Marathon	336	355	19	5.7%	94.9%	92.7%	-2.2%
20475	Doty town	Oconto	120	146	26	21.7%	27.4%	20.9%	-6.5%
20525	Douglas town	Marquette	301	315	14	4.7%	78.8%	74.3%	-4.5%
20550	Dousman village	Waukesha	575	905	330	57.4%	98.0%	92.8%	-5.1%
20575	Dover town	Buffalo	145	167	22	15.2%	92.9%	83.9%	-9.0%
20625	Dover town	Racine	1,193	1,326	133	11.1%	88.8%	85.5%	-3.3%
20650	Dovre town	Barron	238	309	71	29.8%	91.9%	89.8%	-2.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Occupied Housing Units (Households)			Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
20675	Downing village	Dunn	98	97	-1	-1.0%	91.6%	89.8%	-1.8%
20750	Doyle town	Barron	177	186	9	5.1%	91.2%	86.5%	-4.7%
20775	Doylestown village	Columbia	121	116	-5	-4.1%	98.4%	94.3%	-4.1%
20800	Drammen town	Eau Claire	294	318	24	8.2%	92.5%	95.2%	2.8%
20825	Draper town	Sawyer	84	107	23	27.4%	21.2%	22.1%	0.9%
20850	Dresser village	Polk	302	361	59	19.5%	96.8%	94.5%	-2.3%
20887	Drummond town	Bayfield	231	224	-7	-3.0%	35.8%	32.3%	-3.5%
21000	Dunbar town	Marinette	274	258	-16	-5.8%	34.6%	29.2%	-5.3%
21100	Dunkirk town	Dane	760	785	25	3.3%	97.9%	96.8%	-1.1%
21125	Dunn town	Dane	2,079	2,062	-17	-0.8%	92.0%	90.0%	-2.0%
21150	Dunn town	Dunn	540	598	58	10.7%	93.4%	93.0%	-0.4%
21200	Dupont town	Waupaca	233	262	29	12.4%	90.7%	83.2%	-7.5%
21225	Durand city	Pepin	829	838	9	1.1%	94.6%	92.5%	-2.1%
21250	Durand town	Pepin	237	270	33	13.9%	96.7%	95.1%	-1.7%
21400	Eagle town	Richland	223	208	-15	-6.7%	83.5%	77.0%	-6.5%
21425	Eagle village	Waukesha	592	684	92	15.5%	97.9%	97.2%	-0.7%
21450	Eagle town	Waukesha	1,049	1,237	188	17.9%	93.8%	90.8%	-3.0%
21600	Eagle Point town	Chippewa	978	1,211	233	23.8%	96.1%	90.0%	-6.0%
21625	Eagle River city	Vilas	626	684	58	9.3%	86.2%	78.1%	-8.1%
21900	Eastman village	Crawford	163	168	5	3.1%	95.9%	93.9%	-2.0%
21925	Eastman town	Crawford	287	288	1	0.3%	60.4%	67.4%	7.0%
22000	Easton town	Adams	486	477	-9	-1.9%	59.2%	55.2%	-4.0%
22025	Easton town	Marathon	383	426	43	11.2%	95.8%	95.5%	-0.2%
22100	East Troy village	Walworth	1,350	1,737	387	28.7%	96.7%	93.1%	-3.6%
22125	East Troy town	Walworth	1,427	1,547	120	8.4%	81.4%	80.8%	-0.6%
22225	Eaton town	Brown	469	548	79	16.8%	97.7%	97.0%	-0.7%
22250	Eaton town	Clark	201	220	19	9.5%	91.0%	87.0%	-4.0%
22275	Eaton town	Manitowoc	270	318	48	17.8%	93.8%	92.2%	-1.6%
22300	Eau Claire city	Chippewa, Eau Claire	24,016	26,803	2,787	11.6%	96.5%	95.3%	-1.2%
22375	Eau Galle town	Dunn	303	315	12	4.0%	91.0%	88.2%	-2.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
22400	Eau Galle town	St. Croix	307	401	94	30.6%	95.9%	95.0%	-0.9%
22425	Eau Pleine town	Marathon	275	298	23	8.4%	98.9%	96.8%	-2.2%
22450	Eau Pleine town	Portage	344	369	25	7.3%	85.6%	80.9%	-4.7%
22475	Eden village	Fond Du Lac	264	337	73	27.7%	87.1%	93.6%	6.5%
22500	Eden town	Fond Du Lac	337	384	47	13.9%	98.0%	95.3%	-2.7%
22525	Eden town	Iowa	135	136	1	0.7%	91.8%	90.1%	-1.8%
22550	Edgar village	Marathon	542	597	55	10.1%	95.4%	94.0%	-1.4%
22575	Edgerton city	Dane, Rock	1,958	2,227	269	13.7%	94.0%	92.4%	-1.5%
22625	Edgewater town	Sawyer	245	244	-1	-0.4%	38.3%	29.1%	-9.2%
22750	Edson town	Chippewa	309	376	67	21.7%	96.0%	93.1%	-2.9%
22850	Egg Harbor village	Door	132	109	-23	-17.4%	23.2%	15.0%	-8.2%
22875	Egg Harbor town	Door	491	589	98	20.0%	52.8%	32.8%	-20.0%
22925	Eileen town	Bayfield	249	278	29	11.6%	90.5%	90.3%	-0.3%
22950	Eisenstein town	Price	272	294	22	8.1%	58.0%	52.0%	-6.0%
22975	Eland village	Shawano	93	86	-7	-7.5%	96.9%	92.5%	-4.4%
23000	Elba town	Dodge	385	396	11	2.9%	97.0%	93.6%	-3.4%
23050	Elcho town	Langlade	613	579	-34	-5.5%	44.7%	38.3%	-6.5%
23075	Elderon village	Marathon	77	80	3	3.9%	95.1%	96.4%	1.3%
23100	Elderon town	Marathon	224	245	21	9.4%	86.2%	81.4%	-4.8%
23150	Eldorado town	Fond Du Lac	490	539	49	10.0%	96.8%	95.2%	-1.6%
23175	Eleva village	Trempealeau	277	292	15	5.4%	95.5%	95.7%	0.2%
23200	Elk town	Price	502	458	-44	-8.8%	53.8%	44.6%	-9.2%
23262	Elk Grove town	Lafayette	145	166	21	14.5%	91.8%	94.3%	2.5%
23275	Elkhart Lake village	Sheboygan	436	457	21	4.8%	72.8%	64.7%	-8.1%
23300	Elkhorn city	Walworth	2,919	3,801	882	30.2%	96.8%	94.0%	-2.8%
23325	Elk Mound village	Dunn	300	334	34	11.3%	96.5%	93.3%	-3.2%
23350	Elk Mound town	Dunn	371	589	218	58.8%	93.9%	92.6%	-1.3%
23400	Ellenboro town	Grant	191	193	2	1.0%	91.8%	92.8%	1.0%
23425	Ellington town	Outagamie	847	984	137	16.2%	97.4%	93.5%	-3.8%
23525	Ellsworth village	Pierce	1,169	1,331	162	13.9%	96.6%	92.8%	-3.8%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
23550	Ellsworth town	Pierce	380	434	54	14.2%	97.2%	95.6%	-1.6%
23575	Elm Grove village	Waukesha	2,444	2,326	-118	-4.8%	95.6%	92.6%	-3.1%
23700	Elmwood village	Pierce	343	343	0	0.0%	93.7%	90.5%	-3.2%
23725	Elmwood Park village	Racine	200	197	-3	-1.5%	98.0%	97.0%	-1.0%
23775	El Paso town	Pierce	233	253	20	8.6%	97.5%	89.1%	-8.4%
23800	Elroy city	Juneau	632	590	-42	-6.6%	91.3%	86.8%	-4.6%
23850	Embarrass village	Waupaca	156	144	-12	-7.7%	95.1%	95.4%	0.2%
23925	Emerald town	St. Croix	236	303	67	28.4%	96.7%	96.2%	-0.5%
23975	Emery town	Price	125	120	-5	-4.0%	60.7%	45.8%	-14.9%
24000	Emmet town	Dodge	414	488	74	17.9%	97.0%	94.0%	-2.9%
24025	Emmet town	Marathon	269	324	55	20.4%	93.4%	93.4%	0.0%
24050	Empire town	Fond Du Lac	910	1,059	149	16.4%	96.4%	95.5%	-0.9%
24075	Endeavor village	Marquette	153	175	22	14.4%	90.0%	92.6%	2.6%
24125	Enterprise town	Oneida	124	146	22	17.7%	32.1%	31.7%	-0.4%
24150	Ephraim village	Door	161	138	-23	-14.3%	20.9%	21.1%	0.2%
24225	Erin town	Washington	1,287	1,405	118	9.2%	95.2%	94.6%	-0.6%
24275	Erin Prairie town	St. Croix	227	258	31	13.7%	97.0%	96.6%	-0.4%
24375	Estella town	Chippewa	167	167	0	0.0%	87.9%	79.9%	-8.0%
24400	Ettrick village	Trempealeau	241	231	-10	-4.1%	94.9%	90.2%	-4.6%
24425	Ettrick town	Trempealeau	488	509	21	4.3%	92.8%	91.7%	-1.1%
24450	Eureka town	Polk	503	642	139	27.6%	88.7%	84.9%	-3.8%
24550	Evansville city	Rock	1,563	1,942	379	24.2%	95.6%	94.0%	-1.6%
24575	Evergreen town	Langlade	181	209	28	15.5%	83.0%	74.4%	-8.7%
24600	Evergreen town	Washburn	413	463	50	12.1%	77.9%	69.7%	-8.2%
24675	Excelsior town	Sauk	527	606	79	15.0%	94.4%	90.3%	-4.1%
24700	Exeland village	Sawyer	92	82	-10	-10.9%	83.6%	73.9%	-9.8%
24725	Exeter town	Green	453	720	267	58.9%	96.4%	96.6%	0.3%
24775	Fairbanks town	Shawano	235	234	-1	-0.4%	87.7%	80.1%	-7.5%
24825	Fairchild village	Eau Claire	210	227	17	8.1%	89.0%	82.5%	-6.4%
24850	Fairchild town	Eau Claire	113	120	7	6.2%	73.9%	60.9%	-12.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
24900	Fairfield town	Sauk	388	424	36	9.3%	92.4%	91.8%	-0.6%
25075	Fairwater village	Fond Du Lac	139	152	13	9.4%	97.9%	95.0%	-2.9%
25125	Fall Creek village	Eau Claire	476	517	41	8.6%	96.2%	93.5%	-2.7%
25150	Fall River village	Columbia	418	617	199	47.6%	91.1%	92.0%	0.9%
25300	Farmington town	Jefferson	541	521	-20	-3.7%	96.4%	93.7%	-2.7%
25325	Farmington town	La Crosse	664	796	132	19.9%	94.1%	90.8%	-3.3%
25350	Farmington town	Polk	525	652	127	24.2%	94.6%	93.9%	-0.6%
25375	Farmington town	Washington	1,116	1,462	346	31.0%	94.3%	94.8%	0.4%
25400	Farmington town	Waupaca	1,326	1,368	42	3.2%	81.0%	75.4%	-5.6%
25475	Fayette town	Lafayette	138	142	4	2.9%	89.0%	83.5%	-5.5%
25575	Fence town	Florence	107	90	-17	-15.9%	28.7%	20.2%	-8.5%
25600	Fennimore city	Grant	1,021	1,053	32	3.1%	95.6%	92.0%	-3.6%
25625	Fennimore town	Grant	199	213	14	7.0%	92.1%	91.0%	-1.1%
25650	Fenwood village	Marathon	61	64	3	4.9%	96.8%	95.5%	-1.3%
25675	Fern town	Florence	71	73	2	2.8%	21.3%	19.6%	-1.7%
25725	Ferryville village	Crawford	93	94	1	1.1%	62.4%	57.0%	-5.4%
25775	Fifield town	Price	436	432	-4	-0.9%	57.4%	43.6%	-13.7%
25850	Finley town	Juneau	33	40	7	21.2%	34.0%	31.7%	-2.3%
25950	Fitchburg city	Dane	8,262	9,955	1,693	20.5%	96.0%	93.3%	-2.7%
26050	Flambeau town	Price	207	208	1	0.5%	45.0%	36.2%	-8.8%
26100	Flambeau town	Rusk	398	402	4	1.0%	90.9%	84.1%	-6.8%
26200	Florence town	Florence	963	902	-61	-6.3%	55.9%	47.7%	-8.2%
26275	Fond du Lac city	Fond Du Lac	16,638	17,942	1,304	7.8%	95.0%	93.5%	-1.4%
26300	Fond du Lac town	Fond Du Lac	789	1,167	378	47.9%	93.9%	94.2%	0.3%
26350	Fontana-on-Geneva Lake village	Walworth	764	732	-32	-4.2%	38.7%	31.7%	-7.0%
26400	Footville village	Rock	310	299	-11	-3.5%	95.1%	91.7%	-3.4%
26425	Ford town	Taylor	96	106	10	10.4%	93.2%	77.9%	-15.3%
26450	Forest town	Fond Du Lac	397	404	7	1.8%	96.8%	92.7%	-4.2%
26475	Forest town	Richland	139	137	-2	-1.4%	80.3%	68.2%	-12.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
26525	Forest town	St. Croix	203	243	40	19.7%	93.1%	93.8%	0.7%
26550	Forest town	Vernon	199	230	31	15.6%	77.1%	76.4%	-0.7%
26625	Forestville village	Door	181	183	2	1.1%	89.6%	88.8%	-0.8%
26650	Forestville town	Door	387	426	39	10.1%	89.6%	87.7%	-1.9%
26675	Fort Atkinson city	Jefferson	4,760	5,125	365	7.7%	95.5%	94.4%	-1.1%
26725	Fort Winnebago town	Columbia	325	344	19	5.8%	94.8%	92.5%	-2.3%
26775	Foster town	Clark	50	47	-3	-6.0%	34.5%	27.5%	-7.0%
26825	Fountain town	Juneau	194	231	37	19.1%	87.8%	88.8%	1.1%
26850	Fountain City city	Buffalo	444	410	-34	-7.7%	94.5%	87.8%	-6.7%
26875	Fountain Prairie town	Columbia	299	340	41	13.7%	94.0%	90.7%	-3.4%
27000	Fox Lake city	Dodge	615	663	48	7.8%	88.5%	82.8%	-5.7%
27025	Fox Lake town	Dodge	477	503	26	5.5%	53.2%	55.8%	2.6%
27075	Fox Point village	Milwaukee	2,825	2,747	-78	-2.8%	97.1%	93.8%	-3.3%
27125	Francis Creek village	Manitowoc	266	277	11	4.1%	95.0%	93.6%	-1.4%
27150	Frankfort town	Marathon	213	242	29	13.6%	95.9%	97.2%	1.2%
27175	Frankfort town	Pepin	136	139	3	2.2%	84.5%	73.2%	-11.3%
27225	Franklin town	Jackson	129	169	40	31.0%	73.7%	76.1%	2.4%
27250	Franklin town	Kewaunee	338	379	41	12.1%	94.2%	90.9%	-3.3%
27275	Franklin town	Manitowoc	469	506	37	7.9%	95.5%	94.2%	-1.3%
27300	Franklin city	Milwaukee	10,602	13,642	3,040	28.7%	96.9%	95.0%	-1.9%
27350	Franklin town	Sauk	250	248	-2	-0.8%	93.6%	87.9%	-5.7%
27400	Franklin town	Vernon	324	390	66	20.4%	84.8%	83.0%	-1.8%
27450	Franzen town	Marathon	193	219	26	13.5%	91.0%	85.5%	-5.5%
27500	Frederic village	Polk	536	496	-40	-7.5%	91.5%	87.5%	-4.0%
27550	Fredonia village	Ozaukee	701	827	126	18.0%	95.5%	94.7%	-0.8%
27575	Fredonia town	Ozaukee	727	807	80	11.0%	96.8%	96.1%	-0.7%
27600	Freedom town	Forest	158	151	-7	-4.4%	36.3%	31.3%	-5.0%
27650	Freedom town	Outagamie	1,833	2,124	291	15.9%	98.6%	97.5%	-1.1%
27675	Freedom town	Sauk	158	173	15	9.5%	86.8%	76.9%	-9.9%
27700	Freeman town	Crawford	301	312	11	3.7%	61.1%	54.5%	-6.6%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
27775	Fremont town	Clark	374	418	44	11.8%	95.2%	91.7%	-3.5%
27800	Fremont village	Waupaca	302	305	3	1.0%	74.4%	74.8%	0.4%
27850	Fremont town	Waupaca	238	259	21	8.8%	85.6%	81.7%	-3.9%
27950	Friendship village	Adams	257	256	-1	-0.4%	87.4%	79.3%	-8.2%
27975	Friendship town	Fond Du Lac	971	1,126	155	16.0%	91.4%	90.7%	-0.8%
28000	Friesland village	Columbia	109	131	22	20.2%	95.6%	92.9%	-2.7%
28025	Frog Creek town	Washburn	65	59	-6	-9.2%	75.6%	57.8%	-17.7%
28075	Fulton town	Rock	1,229	1,281	52	4.2%	75.1%	83.3%	8.3%
28150	Gale town	Trempealeau	562	648	86	15.3%	94.9%	93.4%	-1.6%
28200	Galesville city	Trempealeau	606	635	29	4.8%	93.5%	91.5%	-2.0%
28250	Garden Valley town	Jackson	147	154	7	4.8%	87.0%	79.0%	-8.0%
28300	Gardner town	Door	493	520	27	5.5%	51.0%	45.7%	-5.3%
28325	Garfield town	Jackson	180	248	68	37.8%	86.5%	77.0%	-9.5%
28350	Garfield town	Polk	529	622	93	17.6%	74.8%	66.7%	-8.2%
28450	Gays Mills village	Crawford	261	226	-35	-13.4%	90.3%	83.7%	-6.6%
28487	Genesee town	Waukesha	2,431	2,659	228	9.4%	98.0%	97.2%	-0.8%
28550	Geneva town	Walworth	1,660	2,067	407	24.5%	58.7%	59.8%	1.0%
28625	Genoa village	Vernon	112	110	-2	-1.8%	93.3%	91.7%	-1.7%
28650	Genoa town	Vernon	285	307	22	7.7%	72.7%	76.6%	3.9%
28675	Genoa City village	Kenosha, Walworth	674	1,072	398	59.1%	96.4%	91.0%	-5.4%
28725	Georgetown town	Polk	433	429	-4	-0.9%	34.0%	32.1%	-1.9%
28750	Georgetown town	Price	65	68	3	4.6%	55.6%	47.6%	-8.0%
28825	Germania town	Shawano	134	145	11	8.2%	94.4%	84.8%	-9.6%
28850	Germantown town	Juneau	535	678	143	26.7%	39.8%	39.2%	-0.6%
28875	Germantown village	Washington	6,904	7,766	862	12.5%	97.6%	96.0%	-1.6%
28900	Germantown town	Washington	89	91	2	2.2%	94.7%	98.9%	4.2%
28950	Gibraltar town	Door	475	473	-2	-0.4%	41.0%	29.6%	-11.4%
28975	Gibson town	Manitowoc	471	529	58	12.3%	95.2%	91.7%	-3.5%
29050	Gillett city	Oconto	516	592	76	14.7%	94.3%	90.2%	-4.1%
29075	Gillett town	Oconto	406	409	3	0.7%	91.4%	90.1%	-1.4%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
29150	Gilman town	Pierce	283	365	82	29.0%	97.9%	91.7%	-6.2%
29175	Gilman village	Taylor	185	187	2	1.1%	88.5%	85.8%	-2.7%
29225	Gilmanton town	Buffalo	173	164	-9	-5.2%	96.1%	86.3%	-9.8%
29250	Gingles town	Ashland	237	297	60	25.3%	86.8%	90.5%	3.7%
29350	Glenbeulah village	Sheboygan	153	194	41	26.8%	95.6%	95.1%	-0.5%
29375	Glencoe town	Buffalo	171	184	13	7.6%	95.0%	88.5%	-6.5%
29400	Glendale city	Milwaukee	5,772	5,815	43	0.7%	96.6%	93.9%	-2.7%
29450	Glendale town	Monroe	211	250	39	18.5%	86.1%	87.1%	1.0%
29475	Glen Flora village	Rusk	44	41	-3	-6.8%	93.6%	87.2%	-6.4%
29525	Glen Haven town	Grant	185	168	-17	-9.2%	93.4%	84.0%	-9.4%
29550	Glenmore town	Brown	375	405	30	8.0%	98.2%	96.9%	-1.3%
29600	Glenwood town	St. Croix	254	288	34	13.4%	96.6%	93.8%	-2.8%
29625	Glenwood City city	St. Croix	460	509	49	10.7%	93.7%	90.7%	-3.0%
29700	Goetz town	Chippewa	231	281	50	21.6%	98.3%	95.6%	-2.7%
29775	Goodman town	Marinette	360	310	-50	-13.9%	52.9%	36.9%	-16.0%
29837	Goodrich town	Taylor	164	188	24	14.6%	80.8%	77.4%	-3.4%
29875	Gordon town	Ashland	155	143	-12	-7.7%	39.0%	32.2%	-6.8%
29925	Gordon town	Douglas	298	309	11	3.7%	63.3%	35.2%	-28.1%
30000	Grafton village	Ozaukee	4,125	4,863	738	17.9%	97.2%	94.9%	-2.3%
30025	Grafton town	Ozaukee	1,492	1,612	120	8.0%	97.6%	94.2%	-3.4%
30075	Grand Chute town	Outagamie	7,586	9,378	1,792	23.6%	95.2%	94.4%	-0.8%
30125	Grand Rapids town	Wood	2,788	2,978	190	6.8%	97.7%	96.8%	-0.8%
30175	Grandview town	Bayfield	222	221	-1	-0.5%	42.0%	37.6%	-4.3%
30275	Grant town	Clark	317	328	11	3.5%	87.8%	87.0%	-0.8%
30300	Grant town	Dunn	147	148	1	0.7%	91.9%	85.5%	-6.3%
30325	Grant town	Monroe	173	199	26	15.0%	82.0%	84.7%	2.7%
30350	Grant town	Portage	726	758	32	4.4%	97.1%	95.0%	-2.1%
30375	Grant town	Rusk	293	311	18	6.1%	90.4%	85.2%	-5.2%
30400	Grant town	Shawano	340	364	24	7.1%	87.2%	81.3%	-5.9%
30425	Grant town	Clark	156	151	-5	-3.2%	95.1%	91.5%	-3.6%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Occupied Housing Units (Households)			Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
30450	Grantsburg village	Burnett	565	567	2	0.4%	95.8%	89.2%	-6.6%
30475	Grantsburg town	Burnett	370	447	77	20.8%	83.1%	75.9%	-7.3%
30575	Gratiot village	Lafayette	97	97	0	0.0%	94.2%	89.8%	-4.4%
30600	Gratiot town	Lafayette	237	215	-22	-9.3%	91.9%	82.4%	-9.5%
31000	Green Bay city	Brown	41,591	42,244	653	1.6%	96.4%	93.4%	-3.1%
31025	Green Bay town	Brown	600	771	171	28.5%	87.6%	89.3%	1.7%
31100	Greenbush town	Sheboygan	526	568	42	8.0%	95.5%	95.6%	0.2%
31125	Greendale village	Milwaukee	6,011	6,075	64	1.1%	97.5%	96.5%	-1.0%
31150	Greenfield town	La Crosse	549	727	178	32.4%	96.3%	93.7%	-2.6%
31175	Greenfield city	Milwaukee	15,697	16,860	1,163	7.4%	96.9%	94.8%	-2.1%
31225	Greenfield town	Monroe	236	281	45	19.1%	87.7%	86.2%	-1.5%
31250	Greenfield town	Sauk	351	362	11	3.1%	91.4%	89.4%	-2.0%
31275	Green Grove town	Clark	216	229	13	6.0%	96.0%	92.3%	-3.7%
31300	Green Lake city	Green Lake	523	491	-32	-6.1%	71.4%	64.1%	-7.3%
31350	Green Lake town	Green Lake	538	521	-17	-3.2%	53.3%	48.3%	-5.0%
31450	Green Valley town	Marathon	192	218	26	13.5%	77.1%	69.2%	-7.9%
31500	Green Valley town	Shawano	380	405	25	6.6%	92.2%	87.7%	-4.6%
31550	Greenville town	Outagamie	2,301	3,631	1,330	57.8%	97.8%	97.6%	-0.2%
31575	Greenwood city	Clark	468	464	-4	-0.9%	93.2%	89.2%	-4.0%
31600	Greenwood town	Taylor	234	274	40	17.1%	79.3%	72.1%	-7.2%
31625	Greenwood town	Vernon	201	228	27	13.4%	79.8%	80.0%	0.2%
31675	Gresham village	Shawano	233	239	6	2.6%	90.7%	85.4%	-5.3%
31725	Grover town	Marinette	633	687	54	8.5%	93.6%	90.0%	-3.6%
31750	Grover town	Taylor	93	112	19	20.4%	68.9%	38.2%	-30.7%
31775	Grow town	Rusk	160	161	1	0.6%	91.4%	85.6%	-5.8%
31800	Guenther town	Marathon	114	133	19	16.7%	87.7%	87.5%	-0.2%
31825	Gull Lake town	Washburn	65	85	20	30.8%	44.8%	38.1%	-6.7%
31875	Gurney town	Iron	60	63	3	5.0%	71.4%	56.3%	-15.2%
31925	Hackett town	Price	78	76	-2	-2.6%	70.9%	49.4%	-21.6%
32050	Hale town	Trempealeau	354	394	40	11.3%	93.2%	93.8%	0.7%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
32075	Hales Corners village	Milwaukee	3,260	3,301	41	1.3%	96.5%	94.2%	-2.4%
32125	Hallie town	Chippewa	1,690	60	-1,630	-96.4%	97.7%	95.2%	-2.5%
32150	Halsey town	Marathon	192	208	16	8.3%	92.3%	91.2%	-1.1%
32200	Hamburg town	Marathon	285	322	37	13.0%	94.1%	94.4%	0.4%
32225	Hamburg town	Vernon	311	350	39	12.5%	95.7%	94.3%	-1.4%
32275	Hamilton town	La Crosse	697	842	145	20.8%	95.2%	95.5%	0.2%
32300	Hammel town	Taylor	265	304	39	14.7%	76.6%	70.4%	-6.2%
32325	Hammond village	St. Croix	433	715	282	65.1%	98.9%	92.5%	-6.4%
32350	Hammond town	St. Croix	314	698	384	122.3%	98.7%	95.6%	-3.1%
32375	Hampden town	Columbia	214	225	11	5.1%	97.7%	95.7%	-2.0%
32450	Hancock village	Waushara	193	186	-7	-3.6%	76.0%	74.1%	-1.9%
32475	Hancock town	Waushara	211	231	20	9.5%	54.9%	54.7%	-0.2%
32500	Haney town	Crawford	129	127	-2	-1.6%	75.0%	73.0%	-2.0%
32575	Hansen town	Wood	255	262	7	2.7%	93.8%	88.8%	-4.9%
32650	Harding town	Lincoln	129	140	11	8.5%	57.6%	50.9%	-6.7%
32675	Harmony town	Price	96	104	8	8.3%	68.6%	55.9%	-12.7%
32700	Harmony town	Rock	787	906	119	15.1%	98.1%	96.9%	-1.2%
32725	Harmony town	Vernon	247	266	19	7.7%	88.5%	86.4%	-2.2%
32775	Harris town	Marquette	294	331	37	12.6%	81.9%	75.7%	-6.2%
32800	Harrison town	Calumet	1,998	3,644	1,646	82.4%	93.4%	95.9%	2.5%
32825	Harrison town	Grant	176	190	14	8.0%	94.6%	95.0%	0.4%
32875	Harrison town	Lincoln	314	356	42	13.4%	46.2%	38.2%	-8.1%
32900	Harrison town	Marathon	143	150	7	4.9%	92.3%	84.3%	-8.0%
32925	Harrison town	Waupaca	206	204	-2	-1.0%	68.0%	58.8%	-9.2%
33000	Hartford city	Dodge, Washington	4,279	5,685	1,406	32.9%	96.5%	94.2%	-2.3%
33025	Hartford town	Washington	1,397	1,383	-14	-1.0%	97.1%	95.4%	-1.8%
33050	Hartland town	Pierce	295	333	38	12.9%	96.1%	92.0%	-4.1%
33075	Hartland town	Shawano	280	298	18	6.4%	98.6%	93.4%	-5.2%
33100	Hartland village	Waukesha	3,002	3,566	564	18.8%	95.6%	95.2%	-0.4%
33175	Hatley village	Marathon	185	223	38	20.5%	95.9%	95.7%	-0.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
33225	Haugen village	Barron	120	128	8	6.7%	91.6%	89.5%	-2.1%
33275	Hawkins village	Rusk	138	159	21	15.2%	93.9%	87.4%	-6.5%
33300	Hawkins town	Rusk	66	66	0	0.0%	68.0%	44.6%	-23.4%
33350	Hawthorne town	Douglas	338	393	55	16.3%	84.7%	85.6%	0.9%
33400	Hay River town	Dunn	194	222	28	14.4%	95.1%	89.5%	-5.6%
33450	Hayward city	Sawyer	960	1,048	88	9.2%	90.2%	85.4%	-4.8%
33475	Hayward town	Sawyer	1,219	1,358	139	11.4%	66.2%	62.6%	-3.6%
33500	Hazel Green village	Grant, Lafayette	472	531	59	12.5%	95.0%	95.3%	0.4%
33525	Hazel Green town	Grant	301	341	40	13.3%	95.6%	97.4%	1.9%
33575	Hazelhurst town	Oneida	528	537	9	1.7%	47.4%	43.1%	-4.3%
33700	Hebron town	Jefferson	391	415	24	6.1%	95.4%	94.3%	-1.0%
33850	Helvetia town	Waupaca	271	285	14	5.2%	74.9%	63.3%	-11.5%
33900	Hendren town	Clark	197	202	5	2.5%	79.8%	75.1%	-4.7%
33925	Henrietta town	Richland	196	203	7	3.6%	71.0%	65.7%	-5.3%
34000	Herman town	Dodge	394	405	11	2.8%	98.3%	95.7%	-2.5%
34025	Herman town	Shawano	269	296	27	10.0%	89.7%	82.2%	-7.4%
34050	Herman town	Sheboygan	574	611	37	6.4%	97.0%	94.6%	-2.4%
34200	Hewett town	Clark	125	126	1	0.8%	62.5%	54.5%	-8.0%
34225	Hewitt town	Marathon	195	239	44	22.6%	93.8%	90.2%	-3.6%
34250	Hewitt village	Wood	233	308	75	32.2%	98.3%	98.4%	0.1%
34300	Hickory Grove town	Grant	146	150	4	2.7%	89.0%	85.7%	-3.3%
34425	Highland town	Douglas	107	139	32	29.9%	63.3%	43.4%	-19.9%
34450	Highland village	Iowa	348	351	3	0.9%	94.3%	90.9%	-3.4%
34475	Highland town	Iowa	278	287	9	3.2%	83.7%	83.9%	0.2%
34575	Hilbert village	Calumet	430	462	32	7.4%	93.9%	95.9%	2.0%
34650	Hiles town	Forest	199	157	-42	-21.1%	26.1%	20.3%	-5.9%
34675	Hiles town	Wood	63	62	-1	-1.6%	71.6%	66.0%	-5.6%
34725	Hill town	Price	138	142	4	2.9%	70.1%	48.8%	-21.3%
34825	Hillsboro city	Vernon	565	587	22	3.9%	93.7%	88.7%	-5.0%
34850	Hillsboro town	Vernon	285	290	5	1.8%	87.4%	79.9%	-7.5%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
35050	Hixon town	Clark	232	254	22	9.5%	92.1%	90.4%	-1.7%
35075	Hixton village	Jackson	203	200	-3	-1.5%	93.5%	91.3%	-2.2%
35100	Hixton town	Jackson	214	261	47	22.0%	85.3%	85.3%	0.0%
35125	Hoard town	Clark	179	199	20	11.2%	92.7%	86.5%	-6.2%
35150	Hobart village	Brown	1,717	2,180	463	27.0%	97.7%	95.8%	-1.8%
35325	Holland town	Brown	433	528	95	21.9%	97.5%	98.1%	0.6%
35350	Holland town	La Crosse	1,014	1,302	288	28.4%	96.2%	96.7%	0.5%
35375	Holland town	Sheboygan	828	856	28	3.4%	81.3%	76.6%	-4.6%
35400	Hollandale village	Iowa	121	116	-5	-4.1%	94.5%	87.9%	-6.7%
35450	Holmen village	La Crosse	2,258	3,400	1,142	50.6%	95.0%	96.6%	1.6%
35500	Holton town	Marathon	297	308	11	3.7%	95.2%	94.5%	-0.7%
35525	Holway town	Taylor	263	310	47	17.9%	92.6%	91.4%	-1.2%
35600	Homestead town	Florence	158	150	-8	-5.1%	45.0%	37.4%	-7.6%
35625	Honey Creek town	Sauk	264	287	23	8.7%	91.7%	92.0%	0.3%
35750	Horicon city	Dodge	1,474	1,497	23	1.6%	93.1%	92.4%	-0.6%
35825	Hortonia town	Outagamie	362	391	29	8.0%	96.5%	95.4%	-1.2%
35850	Hortonville village	Outagamie	871	1,045	174	20.0%	96.3%	94.6%	-1.8%
35925	How town	Oconto	207	215	8	3.9%	90.4%	83.7%	-6.7%
35950	Howard village	Brown, Outagamie	5,236	6,941	1,705	32.6%	97.9%	96.1%	-1.8%
36000	Howard town	Chippewa	235	292	57	24.3%	99.2%	94.8%	-4.4%
36025	Howards Grove village	Sheboygan	1,007	1,245	238	23.6%	98.5%	97.6%	-1.0%
36100	Hubbard town	Dodge	620	690	70	11.3%	83.2%	79.0%	-4.2%
36125	Hubbard town	Rusk	69	90	21	30.4%	83.1%	52.3%	-30.8%
36250	Hudson city	St. Croix	3,687	5,287	1,600	43.4%	96.2%	93.7%	-2.5%
36275	Hudson town	St. Croix	1,925	2,703	778	40.4%	98.1%	97.7%	-0.4%
36300	Hughes town	Bayfield	166	169	3	1.8%	48.4%	45.3%	-3.1%
36325	Hull town	Marathon	256	262	6	2.3%	98.5%	98.5%	0.0%
36350	Hull town	Portage	1,988	2,069	81	4.1%	96.2%	96.2%	0.0%
36425	Humboldt town	Brown	453	492	39	8.6%	98.5%	96.5%	-2.0%
36450	Hunter town	Sawyer	334	308	-26	-7.8%	37.7%	26.6%	-11.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
36525	Hurley city	Iron	830	771	-59	-7.1%	81.0%	74.7%	-6.3%
36625	Hustisford village	Dodge	472	477	5	1.1%	94.8%	89.7%	-5.1%
36650	Hustisford town	Dodge	492	535	43	8.7%	90.1%	89.5%	-0.6%
36675	Hustler village	Juneau	48	84	36	75.0%	92.3%	94.4%	2.1%
36700	Hutchins town	Shawano	195	226	31	15.9%	89.0%	75.8%	-13.2%
36800	Independence city	Trempealeau	581	606	25	4.3%	93.9%	89.6%	-4.2%
36925	Ingram village	Rusk	32	32	0	0.0%	84.2%	74.4%	-9.8%
37025	Iola village	Waupaca	567	590	23	4.1%	91.7%	87.1%	-4.6%
37050	Iola town	Waupaca	328	399	71	21.6%	72.1%	73.6%	1.5%
37150	Iron Ridge village	Dodge	360	390	30	8.3%	94.0%	95.4%	1.4%
37200	Iron River town	Bayfield	485	526	41	8.5%	49.8%	52.0%	2.2%
37225	Ironton village	Sauk	89	92	3	3.4%	89.9%	88.5%	-1.4%
37250	Ironton town	Sauk	209	219	10	4.8%	94.6%	89.4%	-5.2%
37275	Irving town	Jackson	216	268	52	24.1%	91.1%	86.7%	-4.4%
37350	Isabelle town	Pierce	104	113	9	8.7%	95.4%	91.1%	-4.3%
37500	Ithaca town	Richland	249	247	-2	-0.8%	90.5%	84.3%	-6.2%
37600	Ixonia town	Jefferson	1,047	1,637	590	56.4%	96.8%	95.2%	-1.5%
37625	Jackson town	Adams	397	465	68	17.1%	41.7%	42.3%	0.5%
37650	Jackson town	Burnett	347	378	31	8.9%	33.7%	29.6%	-4.1%
37675	Jackson village	Washington	1,949	2,870	921	47.3%	96.9%	93.8%	-3.2%
37700	Jackson town	Washington	1,201	1,478	277	23.1%	97.6%	97.0%	-0.6%
37750	Jacksonport town	Door	304	314	10	3.3%	47.6%	37.5%	-10.2%
37775	Jacobs town	Ashland	356	330	-26	-7.3%	70.2%	60.4%	-9.8%
37800	Jamestown town	Grant	753	835	82	10.9%	97.4%	97.3%	-0.1%
37825	Janesville city	Rock	23,894	25,828	1,934	8.1%	95.3%	92.3%	-3.0%
37850	Janesville town	Rock	1,137	1,325	188	16.5%	94.3%	92.5%	-1.8%
37875	Jefferson town	Green	422	454	32	7.6%	96.6%	95.6%	-1.0%
37900	Jefferson city	Jefferson	2,816	3,132	316	11.2%	96.0%	92.7%	-3.3%
37925	Jefferson town	Jefferson	759	838	79	10.4%	95.7%	93.6%	-2.1%
37950	Jefferson town	Monroe	227	239	12	5.3%	96.2%	93.0%	-3.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
37975	Jefferson town	Vernon	367	430	63	17.2%	90.2%	88.8%	-1.3%
38300	Johnson town	Marathon	299	310	11	3.7%	95.5%	93.9%	-1.6%
38350	Johnson Creek village	Jefferson	624	1,049	425	68.1%	94.7%	93.8%	-0.9%
38400	Johnstown town	Polk	203	208	5	2.5%	48.6%	45.6%	-3.0%
38450	Johnstown town	Rock	289	300	11	3.8%	94.4%	94.9%	0.5%
38550	Jordan town	Green	200	232	32	16.0%	91.3%	91.3%	0.0%
38627	Jump River town	Taylor	118	155	37	31.4%	70.7%	67.4%	-3.3%
38650	Junction City village	Portage	169	169	0	0.0%	87.6%	80.1%	-7.5%
38675	Juneau city	Dodge	818	852	34	4.2%	94.8%	92.2%	-2.6%
38800	Kaukauna city	Outagamie	4,971	6,270	1,299	26.1%	96.7%	95.1%	-1.6%
38825	Kaukauna town	Outagamie	370	435	65	17.6%	97.9%	96.7%	-1.2%
38900	Kekoskee village	Dodge	66	69	3	4.5%	100.0%	94.5%	-5.5%
39000	Kellnersville village	Manitowoc	157	160	3	1.9%	93.5%	93.0%	-0.4%
39025	Kelly town	Bayfield	140	177	37	26.4%	83.3%	80.1%	-3.2%
39125	Kendall town	Lafayette	110	134	24	21.8%	93.2%	94.4%	1.1%
39150	Kendall village	Monroe	204	198	-6	-2.9%	94.0%	85.0%	-9.0%
39175	Kennan village	Price	67	61	-6	-9.0%	89.3%	75.3%	-14.0%
39200	Kennan town	Price	129	129	0	0.0%	70.9%	50.6%	-20.3%
39225	Kenosha city	Kenosha	34,411	37,376	2,965	8.6%	95.6%	92.0%	-3.6%
39300	Kewaskum village	Fond Du Lac, Washington	1,213	1,581	368	30.3%	95.9%	93.1%	-2.8%
39325	Kewaskum town	Washington	394	401	7	1.8%	97.5%	94.6%	-2.9%
39350	Kewaunee city	Kewaunee	1,149	1,278	129	11.2%	92.9%	87.4%	-5.5%
39425	Keystone town	Bayfield	146	159	13	8.9%	78.5%	78.7%	0.2%
39475	Kickapoo town	Vernon	194	224	30	15.5%	77.3%	70.2%	-7.1%
39525	Kiel city	Calumet, Manitowoc	1,425	1,565	140	9.8%	95.1%	92.2%	-2.9%
39575	Kildare town	Juneau	216	262	46	21.3%	67.7%	60.4%	-7.3%
39625	Kimball town	Iron	216	210	-6	-2.8%	79.1%	75.3%	-3.9%
39650	Kimberly village	Outagamie	2,507	2,739	232	9.3%	96.7%	95.4%	-1.3%
39675	King town	Lincoln	362	373	11	3.0%	58.1%	42.7%	-15.4%
39750	Kingston village	Green Lake	123	139	16	13.0%	92.5%	93.9%	1.4%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
39775	Kingston town	Green Lake	287	312	25	8.7%	83.4%	86.0%	2.5%
39800	Kingston town	Juneau	22	43	21	95.5%	68.8%	74.1%	5.4%
39825	Kinnickinnic town	St. Croix	483	609	126	26.1%	98.2%	96.1%	-2.1%
39975	Knapp village	Dunn	186	214	28	15.1%	93.9%	93.0%	-0.9%
40000	Knapp town	Jackson	113	129	16	14.2%	86.3%	66.5%	-19.8%
40075	Knight town	Iron	122	101	-21	-17.2%	51.9%	42.1%	-9.8%
40150	Knowlton town	Marathon	657	760	103	15.7%	86.8%	85.5%	-1.3%
40175	Knox town	Price	173	156	-17	-9.8%	72.1%	53.1%	-19.0%
40275	Kohler village	Sheboygan	737	784	47	6.4%	93.1%	90.0%	-3.0%
40325	Komensky town	Jackson	108	125	17	15.7%	87.1%	75.8%	-11.3%
40375	Koshkonong town	Jefferson	1,249	1,415	166	13.3%	87.9%	87.1%	-0.8%
40425	Kossuth town	Manitowoc	752	827	75	10.0%	94.6%	92.0%	-2.6%
40550	Kronenwetter village	Marathon	1,884	2,652	768	40.8%	96.5%	94.4%	-2.1%
40687	Lac du Flambeau town	Vilas	1,093	1,269	176	16.1%	36.7%	37.2%	0.6%
40750	Lac La Belle village	Jefferson, Waukesha	117	115	-2	-1.7%	92.1%	85.2%	-6.9%
40775	La Crosse city	La Crosse	21,110	21,428	318	1.5%	94.9%	94.7%	-0.3%
40850	Ladysmith city	Rusk	1,570	1,527	-43	-2.7%	94.6%	91.6%	-3.0%
40875	La Farge village	Vernon	342	332	-10	-2.9%	93.4%	88.5%	-4.9%
40900	Lafayette town	Chippewa	1,980	2,273	293	14.8%	93.8%	90.4%	-3.3%
40925	Lafayette town	Monroe	106	103	-3	-2.8%	84.1%	78.0%	-6.1%
40950	Lafayette town	Walworth	595	735	140	23.5%	96.1%	93.0%	-3.1%
40975	La Follette town	Burnett	220	241	21	9.5%	44.9%	44.0%	-0.9%
41000	La Grange town	Monroe	641	741	100	15.6%	96.2%	94.8%	-1.5%
41050	La Grange town	Walworth	923	993	70	7.6%	53.6%	56.3%	2.8%
41075	Lake town	Marinette	418	483	65	15.6%	79.8%	55.2%	-24.6%
41150	Lake town	Price	531	507	-24	-4.5%	63.0%	51.7%	-11.3%
41300	Lake Delton village	Sauk	897	1,269	372	41.5%	65.3%	54.2%	-11.2%
41450	Lake Geneva city	Walworth	3,053	3,323	270	8.8%	81.3%	78.7%	-2.6%
41525	Lake Hallie village	Chippewa		2,447				95.8%	
41550	Lake Holcombe town	Chippewa	413	464	51	12.3%	75.4%	65.0%	-10.4%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
41600	Lakeland town	Barron	378	404	26	6.9%	62.0%	60.6%	-1.4%
41675	Lake Mills city	Jefferson	1,924	2,319	395	20.5%	93.2%	83.5%	-9.6%
41700	Lake Mills town	Jefferson	720	806	86	11.9%	88.7%	87.3%	-1.3%
41725	Lake Nebagamom village	Douglas	428	446	18	4.2%	57.4%	57.5%	0.2%
41800	Lakeside town	Douglas	226	259	33	14.6%	85.6%	82.0%	-3.6%
41887	Lake Tomahawk town	Oneida	475	443	-32	-6.7%	45.2%	38.9%	-6.3%
41900	Laketown town	Polk	350	397	47	13.4%	78.7%	73.8%	-4.9%
42075	Lakewood town	Oconto	399	398	-1	-0.3%	33.7%	27.8%	-6.0%
42125	Lamartine town	Fond Du Lac	581	643	62	10.7%	97.0%	96.4%	-0.6%
42175	Lamont town	Lafayette	94	110	16	17.0%	92.2%	88.0%	-4.2%
42225	Lanark town	Portage	546	590	44	8.1%	82.9%	81.0%	-1.8%
42250	Lancaster city	Grant	1,706	1,659	-47	-2.8%	94.8%	91.9%	-2.9%
42325	Land O'Lakes town	Vilas	412	433	21	5.1%	30.8%	30.4%	-0.5%
42425	Langlade town	Langlade	208	223	15	7.2%	55.5%	47.1%	-8.3%
42450	Lannon village	Waukesha	425	479	54	12.7%	97.9%	92.6%	-5.3%
42500	Laona town	Forest	564	525	-39	-6.9%	66.4%	62.0%	-4.4%
42562	La Pointe town	Ashland	125	138	13	10.4%	18.1%	15.9%	-2.1%
42575	La Prairie town	Rock	342	331	-11	-3.2%	97.2%	93.5%	-3.7%
42650	Larrabee town	Waupaca	473	526	53	11.2%	96.1%	89.8%	-6.4%
42825	La Valle village	Sauk	132	165	33	25.0%	95.0%	95.9%	1.0%
42850	La Valle town	Sauk	450	543	93	20.7%	49.2%	45.9%	-3.3%
42900	Lawrence town	Brown	531	1,665	1,134	213.6%	97.3%	94.3%	-3.0%
42950	Lawrence town	Rusk	90	119	29	32.2%	81.1%	64.3%	-16.8%
43050	Lebanon town	Dodge	610	653	43	7.0%	96.7%	95.2%	-1.5%
43075	Lebanon town	Waupaca	573	622	49	8.6%	97.6%	93.5%	-4.1%
43090	Ledgeview town	Brown	1,180	2,442	1,262	106.9%	97.2%	94.7%	-2.5%
43125	Leeds town	Columbia	309	328	19	6.1%	97.5%	96.2%	-1.3%
43300	Lemonweir town	Juneau	679	714	35	5.2%	89.3%	83.7%	-5.6%
43325	Lena village	Oconto	222	251	29	13.1%	97.8%	91.3%	-6.5%
43350	Lena town	Oconto	286	286	0	0.0%	95.3%	89.7%	-5.7%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
43400	Lenroot town	Sawyer	479	547	68	14.2%	48.6%	51.4%	2.8%
43425	Leola town	Adams	107	127	20	18.7%	60.5%	57.0%	-3.5%
43475	Leon town	Monroe	301	403	102	33.9%	94.1%	94.2%	0.1%
43500	Leon town	Waushara	539	623	84	15.6%	63.3%	65.1%	1.8%
43650	Leroy town	Dodge	389	387	-2	-0.5%	98.2%	95.6%	-2.7%
43675	Lessor town	Shawano	378	442	64	16.9%	94.3%	93.6%	-0.6%
43700	Levis town	Clark	191	196	5	2.6%	82.0%	64.5%	-17.5%
43775	Lewiston town	Columbia	473	505	32	6.8%	82.5%	83.2%	0.6%
43825	Liberty town	Grant	189	198	9	4.8%	92.6%	91.2%	-1.4%
43850	Liberty town	Manitowoc	456	492	36	7.9%	91.6%	87.1%	-4.5%
43875	Liberty town	Outagamie	267	305	38	14.2%	94.3%	93.3%	-1.1%
43900	Liberty town	Vernon	73	101	28	38.4%	59.3%	56.1%	-3.2%
43925	Liberty Grove town	Door	824	829	5	0.6%	41.2%	35.4%	-5.8%
44050	Lima town	Grant	237	278	41	17.3%	94.4%	94.2%	-0.2%
44075	Lima town	Pepin	221	252	31	14.0%	97.4%	94.7%	-2.6%
44125	Lima town	Rock	472	473	1	0.2%	95.5%	95.0%	-0.6%
44150	Lima town	Sheboygan	1,008	1,089	81	8.0%	98.0%	94.4%	-3.5%
44225	Lime Ridge village	Sauk	69	70	1	1.4%	89.6%	89.7%	0.1%
44250	Lincoln town	Adams	129	129	0	0.0%	61.4%	59.2%	-2.3%
44275	Lincoln town	Bayfield	118	129	11	9.3%	61.8%	57.1%	-4.7%
44300	Lincoln town	Buffalo	79	69	-10	-12.7%	74.5%	56.1%	-18.4%
44325	Lincoln town	Burnett	127	149	22	17.3%	69.8%	64.8%	-5.0%
44350	Lincoln town	Eau Claire	364	385	21	5.8%	95.8%	94.1%	-1.7%
44375	Lincoln town	Forest	404	399	-5	-1.2%	40.5%	36.0%	-4.4%
44425	Lincoln town	Kewaunee	334	350	16	4.8%	96.5%	91.9%	-4.7%
44450	Lincoln town	Monroe	318	332	14	4.4%	87.1%	85.8%	-1.3%
44475	Lincoln town	Polk	864	884	20	2.3%	77.2%	67.9%	-9.3%
44500	Lincoln town	Trempealeau	257	254	-3	-1.2%	94.8%	91.0%	-3.8%
44525	Lincoln town	Vilas	1,111	1,102	-9	-0.8%	59.3%	52.6%	-6.7%
44550	Lincoln town	Wood	539	588	49	9.1%	97.8%	96.6%	-1.3%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
44575	Lind town	Waupaca	522	619	97	18.6%	78.0%	78.2%	0.1%
44625	Linden village	Iowa	223	214	-9	-4.0%	95.3%	91.8%	-3.5%
44650	Linden town	Iowa	295	308	13	4.4%	94.9%	92.8%	-2.1%
44675	Lindina town	Juneau	263	286	23	8.7%	91.6%	89.4%	-2.3%
44750	Linn town	Walworth	910	997	87	9.6%	47.9%	46.2%	-1.6%
44800	Linwood town	Portage	388	430	42	10.8%	94.4%	93.1%	-1.3%
44825	Lisbon town	Juneau	388	375	-13	-3.4%	88.6%	86.2%	-2.4%
44850	Lisbon town	Waukesha	3,218	3,714	496	15.4%	98.4%	97.4%	-1.0%
44900	Little Black town	Taylor	403	450	47	11.7%	97.3%	92.4%	-4.9%
44950	Little Chute village	Outagamie	3,878	4,207	329	8.5%	98.0%	96.1%	-1.9%
44975	Little Falls town	Monroe	506	567	61	12.1%	87.2%	87.5%	0.3%
45025	Little Grant town	Grant	93	102	9	9.7%	93.9%	88.7%	-5.2%
45150	Little Rice town	Oneida	138	154	16	11.6%	31.7%	33.6%	1.8%
45175	Little River town	Oconto	407	424	17	4.2%	89.1%	81.5%	-7.5%
45275	Little Suamico town	Oconto	1,358	1,772	414	30.5%	96.8%	93.5%	-3.3%
45300	Little Wolf town	Waupaca	503	557	54	10.7%	94.0%	90.7%	-3.3%
45325	Livingston village	Grant, Iowa	252	263	11	4.4%	96.2%	94.9%	-1.2%
45350	Lodi city	Columbia	1,141	1,224	83	7.3%	95.2%	96.2%	1.1%
45375	Lodi town	Columbia	1,078	1,261	183	17.0%	83.9%	80.8%	-3.1%
45400	Loganville village	Sauk	119	126	7	5.9%	91.5%	90.6%	-0.9%
45425	Lohrville village	Waushara	168	171	3	1.8%	87.5%	86.4%	-1.1%
45475	Lomira village	Dodge	846	1,002	156	18.4%	94.1%	91.4%	-2.7%
45500	Lomira town	Dodge	423	429	6	1.4%	98.4%	96.0%	-2.4%
45575	Lone Rock village	Richland	393	370	-23	-5.9%	92.5%	88.7%	-3.7%
45625	Long Lake town	Florence	91	76	-15	-16.5%	30.7%	22.2%	-8.6%
45650	Long Lake town	Washburn	284	278	-6	-2.1%	48.1%	40.8%	-7.4%
45700	Longwood town	Clark	226	252	26	11.5%	93.8%	91.0%	-2.8%
45775	Lorain town	Polk	117	118	1	0.9%	67.6%	64.5%	-3.1%
45950	Lowell village	Dodge	142	136	-6	-4.2%	92.8%	83.4%	-9.4%
45975	Lowell town	Dodge	433	460	27	6.2%	95.0%	94.5%	-0.5%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
46050	Lowville town	Columbia	368	407	39	10.6%	93.4%	94.0%	0.6%
46075	Loyal city	Clark	548	538	-10	-1.8%	95.1%	89.2%	-5.9%
46100	Loyal town	Clark	215	239	24	11.2%	95.6%	93.4%	-2.2%
46150	Lublin village	Taylor	59	57	-2	-3.4%	81.9%	71.3%	-10.7%
46175	Lucas town	Dunn	240	292	52	21.7%	93.8%	92.4%	-1.3%
46200	Luck village	Polk	500	475	-25	-5.0%	87.4%	83.8%	-3.6%
46225	Luck town	Polk	339	384	45	13.3%	84.8%	80.0%	-4.8%
46275	Ludington town	Eau Claire	360	405	45	12.5%	88.5%	87.1%	-1.4%
46400	Luxemburg village	Kewaunee	719	973	254	35.3%	95.4%	96.5%	1.2%
46425	Luxemburg town	Kewaunee	445	517	72	16.2%	96.9%	96.1%	-0.9%
46525	Lyndon town	Juneau	440	541	101	23.0%	82.4%	73.8%	-8.6%
46575	Lyndon town	Sheboygan	545	589	44	8.1%	86.6%	85.1%	-1.5%
46600	Lyndon Station village	Juneau	213	220	7	3.3%	90.6%	89.1%	-1.6%
46625	Lynn town	Clark	251	263	12	4.8%	86.0%	84.6%	-1.4%
46650	Lynne town	Oneida	92	69	-23	-25.0%	30.9%	23.1%	-7.8%
46675	Lynxville village	Crawford	76	63	-13	-17.1%	68.5%	62.4%	-6.1%
46725	Lyons town	Walworth	1,231	1,410	179	14.5%	94.2%	92.0%	-2.2%
46850	McFarland village	Dane	2,434	3,079	645	26.5%	97.7%	96.2%	-1.5%
46875	Mackford town	Green Lake	210	208	-2	-1.0%	97.7%	91.6%	-6.0%
46900	McKinley town	Polk	130	149	19	14.6%	58.3%	57.8%	-0.5%
46925	McKinley town	Taylor	139	152	13	9.4%	74.7%	64.7%	-10.1%
46975	McMillan town	Marathon	611	709	98	16.0%	96.8%	96.2%	-0.6%
47025	Madge town	Washburn	202	226	24	11.9%	49.3%	41.2%	-8.0%
48000	Madison city	Dane	89,019	102,516	13,497	15.2%	96.3%	94.2%	-2.2%
48025	Madison town	Dane	3,152	2,852	-300	-9.5%	90.9%	92.9%	2.0%
48150	Magnolia town	Rock	297	301	4	1.3%	96.4%	95.9%	-0.6%
48175	Maiden Rock village	Pierce	54	50	-4	-7.4%	68.4%	61.7%	-6.6%
48200	Maiden Rock town	Pierce	220	237	17	7.7%	85.9%	79.3%	-6.7%
48225	Maine town	Marathon	842	890	48	5.7%	96.0%	95.3%	-0.7%
48250	Maine town	Outagamie	304	342	38	12.5%	93.3%	89.1%	-4.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
48350	Manawa city	Waupaca	530	584	54	10.2%	93.0%	87.4%	-5.6%
48400	Manchester town	Green Lake	289	324	35	12.1%	92.6%	91.0%	-1.6%
48425	Manchester town	Jackson	265	298	33	12.5%	82.3%	68.3%	-13.9%
48462	Manitowish Waters town	Vilas	301	285	-16	-5.3%	27.3%	24.1%	-3.2%
48500	Manitowoc city	Manitowoc	14,235	14,623	388	2.7%	94.9%	91.7%	-3.2%
48525	Manitowoc town	Manitowoc	420	438	18	4.3%	95.0%	95.4%	0.4%
48575	Manitowoc Rapids town	Manitowoc	809	831	22	2.7%	98.4%	94.6%	-3.8%
48725	Maple town	Douglas	277	296	19	6.9%	91.4%	90.2%	-1.2%
48750	Maple Bluff village	Dane	541	547	6	1.1%	97.1%	92.4%	-4.7%
48775	Maple Creek town	Outagamie	234	238	4	1.7%	97.1%	92.2%	-4.8%
48825	Maple Grove town	Barron	323	354	31	9.6%	92.6%	95.7%	3.1%
48875	Maple Grove town	Manitowoc	287	293	6	2.1%	93.2%	91.8%	-1.3%
48900	Maple Grove town	Shawano	360	369	9	2.5%	96.8%	96.3%	-0.4%
48925	Maplehurst town	Taylor	133	134	1	0.8%	92.4%	82.2%	-10.2%
48950	Maple Plain town	Barron	323	316	-7	-2.2%	48.4%	43.5%	-4.9%
49000	Maple Valley town	Oconto	267	291	24	9.0%	82.7%	80.6%	-2.1%
49075	Marathon town	Marathon	365	396	31	8.5%	97.6%	95.4%	-2.2%
49100	Marathon City village	Marathon	632	638	6	0.9%	95.9%	93.8%	-2.1%
49150	Marcellon town	Columbia	362	393	31	8.6%	95.3%	92.3%	-3.0%
49200	Marengo town	Ashland	132	134	2	1.5%	69.1%	57.3%	-11.8%
49250	Maribel village	Manitowoc	104	141	37	35.6%	99.0%	97.9%	-1.1%
49275	Marietta town	Crawford	197	198	1	0.5%	79.4%	74.7%	-4.7%
49300	Marinette city	Marinette	5,095	4,934	-161	-3.2%	91.8%	90.3%	-1.5%
49350	Marion town	Grant	180	218	38	21.1%	88.2%	86.5%	-1.7%
49375	Marion town	Juneau	184	200	16	8.7%	77.0%	66.4%	-10.5%
49400	Marion city	Shawano, Waupaca	581	538	-43	-7.4%	93.1%	87.2%	-5.9%
49425	Marion town	Waushara	908	909	1	0.1%	55.7%	53.3%	-2.5%
49450	Markesan city	Green Lake	590	589	-1	-0.2%	94.1%	89.1%	-5.0%
49500	Marquette village	Green Lake	69	73	4	5.8%	49.3%	43.2%	-6.1%
49525	Marquette town	Green Lake	197	224	27	13.7%	53.0%	53.1%	0.1%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
49575	Marshall village	Dane	1,266	1,437	171	13.5%	96.5%	95.8%	-0.7%
49600	Marshall town	Richland	211	215	4	1.9%	79.6%	73.4%	-6.2%
49625	Marshall town	Rusk	210	219	9	4.3%	92.1%	93.2%	1.1%
49650	Marshfield town	Fond Du Lac	373	422	49	13.1%	85.4%	84.9%	-0.4%
49675	Marshfield city	Marathon, Wood	8,235	8,777	542	6.6%	95.6%	92.2%	-3.3%
49700	Marshfield town	Wood	295	285	-10	-3.4%	96.1%	96.0%	-0.1%
49775	Martell town	Pierce	382	445	63	16.5%	97.7%	93.7%	-4.0%
49900	Mason village	Bayfield	26	34	8	30.8%	74.3%	79.1%	4.8%
49925	Mason town	Bayfield	112	114	2	1.8%	74.2%	69.1%	-5.1%
49975	Matteson town	Waupaca	346	370	24	6.9%	88.7%	89.8%	1.1%
50000	Mattoon village	Shawano	177	171	-6	-3.4%	91.7%	88.1%	-3.6%
50025	Mauston city	Juneau	1,585	1,779	194	12.2%	91.7%	88.7%	-3.0%
50075	Maxville town	Buffalo	115	129	14	12.2%	93.5%	84.9%	-8.6%
50175	Mayville town	Clark	295	328	33	11.2%	98.0%	93.2%	-4.8%
50200	Mayville city	Dodge	1,988	2,171	183	9.2%	95.5%	93.5%	-2.0%
50225	Mazomanie village	Dane	594	689	95	16.0%	96.1%	93.9%	-2.2%
50250	Mazomanie town	Dane	437	437	0	0.0%	93.8%	91.4%	-2.4%
50275	Mead town	Clark	115	118	3	2.6%	48.1%	44.2%	-3.9%
50300	Meadowbrook town	Sawyer	60	58	-2	-3.3%	58.8%	48.7%	-10.1%
50350	Mecan town	Marquette	321	324	3	0.9%	43.7%	40.4%	-3.2%
50400	Medary town	La Crosse	530	557	27	5.1%	95.8%	94.7%	-1.1%
50425	Medford city	Taylor	1,947	1,982	35	1.8%	95.7%	93.2%	-2.5%
50450	Medford town	Taylor	821	979	158	19.2%	97.0%	93.7%	-3.4%
50475	Medina town	Dane	447	493	46	10.3%	98.9%	95.7%	-3.2%
50625	Meeme town	Manitowoc	531	551	20	3.8%	95.2%	92.1%	-3.0%
50650	Meenon town	Burnett	483	487	4	0.8%	57.8%	49.7%	-8.0%
50700	Mellen city	Ashland	378	337	-41	-10.8%	86.7%	78.7%	-8.0%
50750	Melrose village	Jackson	218	212	-6	-2.8%	95.2%	84.8%	-10.4%
50775	Melrose town	Jackson	153	157	4	2.6%	90.5%	79.3%	-11.2%
50800	Melvina village	Monroe	38	39	1	2.6%	92.7%	88.6%	-4.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
50825	Menasha city	Calumet, Winnebago	6,951	7,405	454	6.5%	95.6%	92.9%	-2.7%
50850	Menasha town	Winnebago	6,298	7,948	1,650	26.2%	96.6%	95.1%	-1.5%
50975	Menominee town	Menominee	1,345	1,318	-27	-2.0%	64.1%	58.5%	-5.6%
51000	Menomonee Falls village	Waukesha	12,844	14,567	1,723	13.4%	97.7%	96.2%	-1.5%
51025	Menomonie city	Dunn	5,119	5,743	624	12.2%	94.1%	92.1%	-2.0%
51050	Menomonie town	Dunn	1,129	1,261	132	11.7%	96.8%	93.7%	-3.1%
51125	Mentor town	Clark	223	226	3	1.3%	78.0%	67.7%	-10.3%
51150	Mequon city	Ozaukee	7,861	8,598	737	9.4%	96.3%	94.0%	-2.3%
51200	Mercer town	Iron	820	699	-121	-14.8%	42.6%	33.8%	-8.7%
51250	Merrill city	Lincoln	4,183	4,175	-8	-0.2%	95.1%	90.4%	-4.7%
51275	Merrill town	Lincoln	1,125	1,204	79	7.0%	93.0%	88.9%	-4.1%
51300	Merrillan village	Jackson	253	241	-12	-4.7%	87.5%	75.8%	-11.8%
51325	Merrimac village	Sauk	166	185	19	11.4%	76.1%	72.0%	-4.2%
51350	Merrimac town	Sauk	392	407	15	3.8%	59.9%	58.3%	-1.6%
51375	Merton village	Waukesha	591	1,020	429	72.6%	98.8%	96.8%	-2.1%
51400	Merton town	Waukesha	2,706	3,004	298	11.0%	92.3%	90.2%	-2.1%
51425	Meteor town	Sawyer	62	66	4	6.5%	48.4%	42.9%	-5.6%
51450	Metomen town	Fond Du Lac	239	257	18	7.5%	96.8%	92.8%	-4.0%
51537	Middle Inlet town	Marinette	366	376	10	2.7%	56.9%	41.8%	-15.1%
51575	Middleton city	Dane	7,095	8,037	942	13.3%	95.9%	93.8%	-2.1%
51600	Middleton town	Dane	1,572	1,996	424	27.0%	97.6%	97.1%	-0.6%
51725	Mifflin town	Iowa	201	211	10	5.0%	96.2%	90.6%	-5.6%
51850	Milford town	Jefferson	391	424	33	8.4%	95.1%	93.0%	-2.2%
51875	Milladore village	Portage, Wood	102	106	4	3.9%	94.4%	93.0%	-1.5%
51900	Milladore town	Wood	251	260	9	3.6%	98.4%	93.9%	-4.6%
52050	Millston town	Jackson	69	70	1	1.4%	70.4%	54.7%	-15.7%
52075	Milltown village	Polk	420	416	-4	-1.0%	96.1%	87.9%	-8.2%
52100	Milltown town	Polk	441	493	52	11.8%	54.4%	55.1%	0.7%
52150	Millville town	Grant	63	66	3	4.8%	84.0%	66.7%	-17.3%
52175	Milton town	Buffalo	206	217	11	5.3%	90.7%	85.8%	-5.0%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
52200	Milton city	Rock	2,034	2,231	197	9.7%	95.5%	93.7%	-1.9%
52225	Milton town	Rock	1,061	1,129	68	6.4%	83.1%	78.2%	-4.9%
53000	Milwaukee city	Milwaukee, Washington, Waukesha	232,188	230,221	-1,967	-0.8%	93.2%	90.1%	-3.1%
53100	Mineral Point city	Iowa	1,092	1,147	55	5.0%	91.6%	89.7%	-1.9%
53125	Mineral Point town	Iowa	303	364	61	20.1%	94.7%	91.2%	-3.5%
53225	Minocqua town	Oneida	2,189	2,079	-110	-5.0%	51.1%	43.0%	-8.1%
53250	Minong village	Washburn	230	238	8	3.5%	93.1%	85.9%	-7.2%
53275	Minong town	Washburn	390	409	19	4.9%	31.9%	26.6%	-5.3%
53325	Mishicot village	Manitowoc	582	623	41	7.0%	94.8%	94.0%	-0.8%
53350	Mishicot town	Manitowoc	474	487	13	2.7%	96.9%	93.7%	-3.3%
53375	Mitchell town	Sheboygan	419	464	45	10.7%	95.9%	94.9%	-1.0%
53450	Modena town	Buffalo	134	140	6	4.5%	86.5%	87.0%	0.5%
53550	Molitor town	Taylor	100	139	39	39.0%	70.4%	42.2%	-28.2%
53600	Mondovi city	Buffalo	1,122	1,194	72	6.4%	91.1%	91.6%	0.6%
53625	Mondovi town	Buffalo	153	178	25	16.3%	92.7%	89.0%	-3.7%
53662	Monico town	Oneida	128	127	-1	-0.8%	59.3%	55.0%	-4.3%
53675	Monona city	Dane	3,768	3,777	9	0.2%	96.1%	92.4%	-3.7%
53725	Monroe town	Adams	168	201	33	19.6%	41.8%	35.0%	-6.8%
53750	Monroe city	Green	4,710	4,810	100	2.1%	95.3%	94.3%	-1.0%
53775	Monroe town	Green	358	438	80	22.3%	97.0%	96.1%	-1.0%
53850	Montana town	Buffalo	109	100	-9	-8.3%	94.0%	82.0%	-12.0%
53875	Montello city	Marquette	591	628	37	6.3%	87.3%	76.3%	-11.0%
53900	Montello town	Marquette	443	453	10	2.3%	63.4%	56.9%	-6.5%
53950	Montfort village	Grant, Iowa	252	279	27	10.7%	92.3%	93.6%	1.3%
54000	Monticello village	Green	498	538	40	8.0%	96.3%	95.1%	-1.3%
54025	Monticello town	Lafayette	51	49	-2	-3.9%	98.1%	89.1%	-9.0%
54050	Montpelier town	Kewaunee	482	502	20	4.1%	98.0%	94.9%	-3.1%
54075	Montreal city	Iron	378	364	-14	-3.7%	77.6%	76.0%	-1.6%
54100	Montrose town	Dane	418	434	16	3.8%	95.7%	95.8%	0.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
54200	Morgan town	Oconto	321	391	70	21.8%	95.8%	92.4%	-3.4%
54250	Morris town	Shawano	181	190	9	5.0%	83.4%	74.5%	-8.9%
54300	Morrison town	Brown	564	592	28	5.0%	97.4%	93.1%	-4.3%
54400	Morse town	Ashland	194	204	10	5.2%	51.1%	50.9%	-0.2%
54425	Moscow town	Iowa	208	221	13	6.3%	94.1%	91.7%	-2.4%
54475	Mosel town	Sheboygan	310	308	-2	-0.6%	96.0%	93.9%	-2.1%
54500	Mosinee city	Marathon	1,635	1,660	25	1.5%	95.6%	92.7%	-2.9%
54525	Mosinee town	Marathon	760	814	54	7.1%	96.9%	94.2%	-2.7%
54600	Moundville town	Marquette	209	205	-4	-1.9%	85.3%	80.4%	-4.9%
54630	Mountain town	Oconto	380	386	6	1.6%	43.0%	30.3%	-12.7%
54650	Mount Calvary village	Fond Du Lac	199	201	2	1.0%	96.6%	93.5%	-3.1%
54675	Mount Hope village	Grant	80	92	12	15.0%	87.0%	93.9%	6.9%
54700	Mount Hope town	Grant	84	103	19	22.6%	91.3%	88.8%	-2.5%
54725	Mount Horeb village	Dane	2,228	2,696	468	21.0%	96.7%	95.4%	-1.3%
54775	Mount Ida town	Grant	187	204	17	9.1%	91.7%	89.5%	-2.2%
54825	Mount Morris town	Waushara	481	508	27	5.6%	48.4%	48.0%	-0.4%
54850	Mount Pleasant town	Green	188	237	49	26.1%	97.4%	96.7%	-0.7%
54875	Mount Pleasant village	Racine	9,453	11,136	1,683	17.8%	96.8%	94.2%	-2.6%
54900	Mount Sterling village	Crawford	89	88	-1	-1.1%	90.8%	89.8%	-1.0%
55025	Mukwa town	Waupaca	998	1,147	149	14.9%	91.7%	89.3%	-2.4%
55050	Mukwonago village	Walworth, Waukesha	2,392	2,923	531	22.2%	95.6%	94.2%	-1.4%
55075	Mukwonago town	Waukesha	2,184	2,689	505	23.1%	97.5%	96.3%	-1.1%
55175	Murry town	Rusk	116	115	-1	-0.9%	71.6%	56.4%	-15.2%
55200	Muscoda village	Grant, Iowa	610	569	-41	-6.7%	92.3%	88.5%	-3.8%
55225	Muscoda town	Grant	251	297	46	18.3%	80.2%	82.3%	2.1%
55275	Muskego city	Waukesha	7,533	9,068	1,535	20.4%	97.8%	96.2%	-1.7%
55375	Namakagon town	Bayfield	149	132	-17	-11.4%	28.0%	22.1%	-5.9%
55450	Naples town	Buffalo	221	257	36	16.3%	95.7%	92.4%	-3.2%
55500	Nasewaupee town	Door	778	893	115	14.8%	58.9%	54.6%	-4.4%
55550	Nashotah village	Waukesha	445	517	72	16.2%	97.8%	95.6%	-2.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
55575	Nashville town	Forest	485	448	-37	-7.6%	38.4%	31.9%	-6.5%
55675	Navarino town	Shawano	177	194	17	9.6%	90.8%	89.4%	-1.4%
55700	Necedah village	Juneau	359	376	17	4.7%	86.7%	80.2%	-6.5%
55725	Necedah town	Juneau	776	887	111	14.3%	65.2%	58.2%	-7.0%
55750	Neenah city	Winnebago	9,834	10,694	860	8.7%	96.4%	94.5%	-1.9%
55775	Neenah town	Winnebago	976	1,228	252	25.8%	96.6%	96.2%	-0.4%
55800	Neillsville city	Clark	1,130	1,095	-35	-3.1%	94.2%	89.0%	-5.1%
55850	Nekimi town	Winnebago	526	564	38	7.2%	97.4%	96.2%	-1.2%
55875	Nekoosa city	Wood	987	1,065	78	7.9%	92.9%	93.8%	1.0%
55950	Nelson village	Buffalo	181	172	-9	-5.0%	90.0%	84.3%	-5.7%
55975	Nelson town	Buffalo	221	242	21	9.5%	83.4%	83.2%	-0.2%
56000	Nelsonville village	Portage	72	61	-11	-15.3%	97.3%	91.0%	-6.3%
56075	Neosho village	Dodge	213	241	28	13.1%	95.5%	94.5%	-1.0%
56100	Nepeuskun town	Winnebago	254	277	23	9.1%	92.4%	85.2%	-7.1%
56125	Neshkoro village	Marquette	185	205	20	10.8%	84.5%	89.1%	4.7%
56150	Neshkoro town	Marquette	258	255	-3	-1.2%	53.1%	50.0%	-3.1%
56200	Neva town	Langlade	360	369	9	2.5%	93.5%	82.4%	-11.1%
56325	Newark town	Rock	566	593	27	4.8%	97.9%	96.0%	-2.0%
56350	New Auburn village	Barron, Chippewa	216	217	1	0.5%	97.3%	92.3%	-5.0%
56375	New Berlin city	Waukesha	14,495	16,292	1,797	12.4%	97.1%	96.8%	-0.3%
56425	Newbold town	Oneida	1,114	1,176	62	5.6%	53.7%	50.5%	-3.2%
56450	Newburg village	Ozaukee, Washington	398	480	82	20.6%	97.5%	94.9%	-2.7%
56525	New Chester town	Adams	371	428	57	15.4%	58.2%	59.0%	0.8%
56575	New Denmark town	Brown	515	561	46	8.9%	97.5%	96.9%	-0.6%
56625	New Diggings town	Lafayette	176	194	18	10.2%	90.7%	86.2%	-4.5%
56700	New Glarus village	Green	862	895	33	3.8%	96.5%	94.4%	-2.1%
56725	New Glarus town	Green	329	478	149	45.3%	97.6%	95.6%	-2.0%
56750	New Haven town	Adams	260	264	4	1.5%	76.9%	69.7%	-7.3%
56775	New Haven town	Dunn	243	266	23	9.5%	94.9%	91.7%	-3.2%
56800	New Holstein city	Calumet	1,329	1,394	65	4.9%	95.3%	91.7%	-3.6%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
56825	New Holstein town	Calumet	539	581	42	7.8%	96.6%	94.6%	-2.0%
56850	New Hope town	Portage	278	297	19	6.8%	76.2%	83.7%	7.5%
56900	New Lisbon city	Juneau	617	631	14	2.3%	89.4%	87.6%	-1.8%
56925	New London city	Outagamie, Waupaca	2,894	3,038	144	5.0%	95.0%	91.8%	-3.3%
56950	New Lyme town	Monroe	57	65	8	14.0%	71.3%	69.1%	-2.1%
57025	Newport town	Columbia	278	254	-24	-8.6%	83.2%	77.4%	-5.8%
57100	New Richmond city	St. Croix	2,561	3,421	860	33.6%	96.4%	92.9%	-3.5%
57200	Newton town	Manitowoc	795	884	89	11.2%	93.5%	92.8%	-0.8%
57225	Newton town	Marquette	215	238	23	10.7%	62.9%	62.6%	-0.2%
57325	Niagara city	Marinette	760	695	-65	-8.6%	93.6%	87.2%	-6.4%
57350	Niagara town	Marinette	348	370	22	6.3%	76.8%	67.4%	-9.4%
57375	Nichols village	Outagamie	122	112	-10	-8.2%	93.8%	94.1%	0.3%
57450	Nokomis town	Oneida	556	590	34	6.1%	54.9%	51.5%	-3.4%
57600	Norrie town	Marathon	342	368	26	7.6%	88.8%	85.4%	-3.4%
57700	North Bay village	Racine	91	91	0	0.0%	95.8%	93.8%	-2.0%
57775	North Bend town	Jackson	145	194	49	33.8%	87.3%	90.2%	2.9%
57975	Northfield town	Jackson	224	250	26	11.6%	85.8%	86.5%	0.7%
58000	North Fond du Lac village	Fond Du Lac	1,789	2,106	317	17.7%	93.6%	95.8%	2.2%
58025	North Freedom village	Sauk	238	271	33	13.9%	94.4%	92.5%	-2.0%
58050	North Hudson village	St. Croix	1,315	1,471	156	11.9%	96.8%	94.8%	-2.1%
58125	North Lancaster town	Grant	164	187	23	14.0%	96.5%	94.9%	-1.5%
58400	North Prairie village	Waukesha	531	773	242	45.6%	97.6%	96.7%	-0.9%
58575	Norwalk village	Monroe	219	215	-4	-1.8%	93.2%	90.7%	-2.5%
58600	Norway town	Racine	2,641	2,942	301	11.4%	95.2%	94.2%	-1.0%
58700	Norwood town	Langlade	332	360	28	8.4%	88.8%	83.7%	-5.0%
58800	Oak Creek city	Milwaukee	11,239	14,064	2,825	25.1%	94.5%	95.3%	0.9%
58850	Oakdale village	Monroe	112	129	17	15.2%	88.2%	94.2%	6.0%
58875	Oakdale town	Monroe	233	272	39	16.7%	91.4%	92.5%	1.1%
58900	Oakfield village	Fond Du Lac	370	392	22	5.9%	96.4%	94.5%	-1.9%
58925	Oakfield town	Fond Du Lac	252	259	7	2.8%	94.4%	93.2%	-1.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
58950	Oak Grove town	Barron	313	361	48	15.3%	96.6%	88.3%	-8.3%
59000	Oak Grove town	Dodge	428	428	0	0.0%	95.5%	92.8%	-2.7%
59025	Oak Grove town	Pierce	498	722	224	45.0%	98.4%	94.9%	-3.5%
59075	Oakland town	Burnett	378	403	25	6.6%	36.2%	30.2%	-6.0%
59100	Oakland town	Douglas	425	464	39	9.2%	78.6%	77.7%	-0.8%
59125	Oakland town	Jefferson	1,236	1,312	76	6.1%	86.0%	80.9%	-5.1%
59225	Oasis town	Waushara	152	158	6	3.9%	58.9%	51.6%	-7.3%
59250	Oconomowoc city	Waukesha	4,968	6,256	1,288	25.9%	94.8%	93.9%	-0.9%
59275	Oconomowoc town	Waukesha	2,765	3,244	479	17.3%	90.8%	87.5%	-3.3%
59300	Oconomowoc Lake village	Waukesha	208	232	24	11.5%	84.6%	76.8%	-7.7%
59350	Oconto city	Oconto	1,870	1,872	2	0.1%	91.7%	89.4%	-2.3%
59375	Oconto town	Oconto	454	519	65	14.3%	92.5%	89.9%	-2.5%
59400	Oconto Falls city	Oconto	1,166	1,251	85	7.3%	94.7%	93.8%	-0.9%
59425	Oconto Falls town	Oconto	434	499	65	15.0%	89.7%	88.8%	-0.9%
59475	Ogdensburg village	Waupaca	94	82	-12	-12.8%	95.9%	83.7%	-12.2%
59525	Ogema town	Price	349	318	-31	-8.9%	83.7%	56.4%	-27.3%
59600	Ojibwa town	Sawyer	110	109	-1	-0.9%	41.5%	32.6%	-8.9%
59800	Oliver village	Douglas	127	159	32	25.2%	99.2%	95.2%	-4.0%
59850	Oma town	Iron	156	137	-19	-12.2%	28.8%	26.0%	-2.7%
59875	Omro city	Winnebago	1,236	1,419	183	14.8%	95.3%	92.3%	-3.0%
59900	Omro town	Winnebago	706	825	119	16.9%	91.0%	88.5%	-2.5%
59925	Onalaska city	La Crosse	5,893	7,331	1,438	24.4%	97.1%	96.4%	-0.7%
59950	Onalaska town	La Crosse	1,777	2,035	258	14.5%	96.9%	96.0%	-0.9%
60000	Oneida town	Outagamie	1,267	1,484	217	17.1%	96.3%	97.0%	0.7%
60075	Ontario village	Vernon	225	210	-15	-6.7%	88.6%	83.0%	-5.6%
60100	Oostburg village	Sheboygan	980	1,091	111	11.3%	98.4%	94.5%	-3.9%
60150	Orange town	Juneau	221	223	2	0.9%	84.7%	79.1%	-5.6%
60200	Oregon village	Dane	2,796	3,589	793	28.4%	96.6%	95.1%	-1.5%
60225	Oregon town	Dane	1,063	1,160	97	9.1%	98.7%	97.4%	-1.3%
60250	Orfordville village	Rock	455	542	87	19.1%	97.0%	94.3%	-2.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Occupied Housing Units (Households)			Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
60275	Orienta town	Bayfield	52	62	10	19.2%	25.9%	24.2%	-1.7%
60350	Orion town	Richland	233	233	0	0.0%	75.4%	70.8%	-4.6%
60400	Osborn town	Outagamie	334	403	69	20.7%	98.2%	96.9%	-1.4%
60425	Osceola town	Fond Du Lac	701	758	57	8.1%	78.8%	72.9%	-5.9%
60450	Osceola village	Polk	1,002	1,142	140	14.0%	93.5%	88.6%	-4.9%
60475	Osceola town	Polk	744	1,051	307	41.3%	89.7%	88.5%	-1.3%
60500	Oshkosh city	Winnebago	24,082	26,138	2,056	8.5%	94.7%	92.8%	-2.0%
60525	Oshkosh town	Winnebago	1,215	1,041	-174	-14.3%	91.3%	87.6%	-3.7%
60575	Osseo city	Trempealeau	721	737	16	2.2%	94.7%	93.8%	-1.0%
60687	Otsego town	Columbia	272	288	16	5.9%	94.8%	94.4%	-0.3%
60700	Ottawa town	Waukesha	1,375	1,380	5	0.4%	95.8%	92.3%	-3.4%
60725	Otter Creek town	Dunn	166	195	29	17.5%	90.2%	90.3%	0.1%
60750	Otter Creek town	Eau Claire	175	169	-6	-3.4%	95.6%	93.4%	-2.3%
60775	Oulu town	Bayfield	192	207	15	7.8%	71.9%	68.1%	-3.8%
60825	Owen city	Clark	412	419	7	1.7%	90.5%	86.4%	-4.2%
60875	Oxford village	Marquette	223	248	25	11.2%	86.1%	87.6%	1.5%
60900	Oxford town	Marquette	337	371	34	10.1%	71.7%	70.4%	-1.3%
60925	Pacific town	Columbia	1,007	1,139	132	13.1%	90.9%	89.1%	-1.8%
60962	Packwaukee town	Marquette	563	638	75	13.3%	60.4%	59.7%	-0.7%
60975	Paddock Lake village	Kenosha	1,056	1,125	69	6.5%	89.1%	86.7%	-2.4%
61025	Palmyra village	Jefferson	689	704	15	2.2%	95.0%	91.5%	-3.5%
61050	Palmyra town	Jefferson	435	471	36	8.3%	85.0%	77.7%	-7.2%
61100	Pardeeville village	Columbia	825	918	93	11.3%	94.5%	91.5%	-3.0%
61150	Paris town	Grant	264	263	-1	-0.4%	95.0%	92.9%	-2.0%
61175	Paris town	Kenosha	535	570	35	6.5%	96.6%	95.3%	-1.3%
61200	Park Falls city	Price	1,185	1,096	-89	-7.5%	91.0%	85.4%	-5.6%
61250	Parkland town	Douglas	463	500	37	8.0%	96.5%	92.3%	-4.2%
61325	Park Ridge village	Portage	211	217	6	2.8%	97.7%	95.2%	-2.5%
61375	Parrish town	Langlade	41	36	-5	-12.2%	44.6%	35.6%	-8.9%
61425	Patch Grove village	Grant	65	82	17	26.2%	97.0%	93.2%	-3.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
61450	Patch Grove town	Grant	135	127	-8	-5.9%	91.2%	84.7%	-6.5%
61525	Peck town	Langlade	136	144	8	5.9%	83.4%	75.8%	-7.6%
61600	Peeksville town	Ashland	68	66	-2	-2.9%	54.4%	45.5%	-8.9%
61625	Pelican town	Oneida	1,167	1,183	16	1.4%	76.2%	69.0%	-7.2%
61700	Pella town	Shawano	348	366	18	5.2%	92.8%	81.7%	-11.1%
61775	Pembine town	Marinette	429	398	-31	-7.2%	49.3%	39.2%	-10.1%
61825	Pence town	Iron	84	75	-9	-10.7%	61.8%	52.4%	-9.3%
61900	Pensaukee town	Oconto	471	567	96	20.4%	83.8%	82.1%	-1.8%
61925	Pepin village	Pepin	381	399	18	4.7%	88.6%	81.4%	-7.2%
61950	Pepin town	Pepin	232	303	71	30.6%	85.0%	68.4%	-16.6%
62050	Perry town	Dane	253	280	27	10.7%	96.2%	92.7%	-3.5%
62100	Pershing town	Taylor	70	77	7	10.0%	76.1%	61.6%	-14.5%
62125	Peru town	Dunn	83	92	9	10.8%	96.5%	90.2%	-6.3%
62175	Peshtigo city	Marinette	1,315	1,469	154	11.7%	92.9%	90.6%	-2.2%
62200	Peshtigo town	Marinette	1,416	1,617	201	14.2%	90.4%	87.2%	-3.1%
62240	Pewaukee city	Waukesha	4,553	5,410	857	18.8%	95.6%	93.8%	-1.8%
62250	Pewaukee village	Waukesha	3,635	3,903	268	7.4%	96.6%	93.8%	-2.8%
62425	Phelps town	Vilas	560	544	-16	-2.9%	34.9%	31.9%	-3.0%
62450	Phillips city	Price	721	695	-26	-3.6%	85.9%	80.1%	-5.9%
62600	Piehl town	Oneida	39	41	2	5.1%	45.9%	40.2%	-5.7%
62625	Pierce town	Kewaunee	329	343	14	4.3%	80.8%	76.1%	-4.8%
62650	Pigeon town	Trempealeau	305	307	2	0.7%	93.6%	91.1%	-2.5%
62675	Pigeon Falls village	Trempealeau	139	172	33	23.7%	96.5%	91.5%	-5.0%
62700	Pilsen town	Bayfield	84	99	15	17.9%	80.8%	73.3%	-7.4%
62825	Pine Grove town	Portage	333	359	26	7.8%	89.8%	90.2%	0.4%
62925	Pine Lake town	Oneida	1,063	1,136	73	6.9%	77.0%	70.3%	-6.7%
62950	Pine River town	Lincoln	673	754	81	12.0%	93.0%	91.7%	-1.2%
63000	Pine Valley town	Clark	421	461	40	9.5%	90.7%	83.8%	-6.9%
63075	Pittsfield town	Brown	818	954	136	16.6%	97.6%	97.5%	-0.1%
63100	Pittsville city	Wood	331	338	7	2.1%	94.3%	89.4%	-4.9%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
63125	Plain village	Sauk	333	321	-12	-3.6%	98.2%	89.7%	-8.6%
63150	Plainfield village	Waushara	342	315	-27	-7.9%	91.7%	84.9%	-6.8%
63175	Plainfield town	Waushara	198	207	9	4.5%	86.1%	81.2%	-4.9%
63250	Platteville city	Grant	3,312	3,644	332	10.0%	95.1%	94.9%	-0.2%
63275	Platteville town	Grant	475	562	87	18.3%	96.3%	93.0%	-3.3%
63300	Pleasant Prairie village	Kenosha	5,819	7,272	1,453	25.0%	96.2%	93.8%	-2.4%
63375	Pleasant Springs town	Dane	1,099	1,193	94	8.6%	90.0%	89.9%	-0.1%
63400	Pleasant Valley town	Eau Claire	919	1,076	157	17.1%	98.7%	96.3%	-2.4%
63425	Pleasant Valley town	St. Croix	145	184	39	26.9%	96.7%	97.9%	1.2%
63500	Plover town	Marathon	229	250	21	9.2%	91.6%	87.1%	-4.5%
63525	Plover village	Portage	3,985	4,948	963	24.2%	96.4%	95.4%	-1.0%
63550	Plover town	Portage	861	639	-222	-25.8%	94.0%	94.2%	0.3%
63600	Plum City village	Pierce	216	243	27	12.5%	93.5%	92.7%	-0.8%
63625	Plum Lake town	Vilas	221	235	14	6.3%	29.0%	29.5%	0.5%
63650	Plymouth town	Juneau	244	245	1	0.4%	79.7%	76.6%	-3.2%
63675	Plymouth town	Rock	441	460	19	4.3%	97.1%	92.7%	-4.4%
63700	Plymouth city	Sheboygan	3,262	3,710	448	13.7%	96.1%	91.9%	-4.2%
63725	Plymouth town	Sheboygan	1,092	1,152	60	5.5%	92.7%	93.7%	1.0%
63825	Polar town	Langlade	354	384	30	8.5%	92.4%	84.2%	-8.2%
63875	Polk town	Washington	1,352	1,457	105	7.8%	94.5%	94.6%	0.1%
63975	Poplar village	Douglas	209	229	20	9.6%	94.1%	90.2%	-4.0%
64050	Popple River town	Forest	37	23	-14	-37.8%	28.9%	15.9%	-13.0%
64100	Portage city	Columbia	3,770	4,060	290	7.7%	95.0%	90.4%	-4.6%
64175	Port Edwards village	Wood	706	711	5	0.7%	95.8%	94.8%	-1.0%
64200	Port Edwards town	Wood	526	564	38	7.2%	94.8%	93.2%	-1.6%
64225	Porter town	Rock	340	381	41	12.1%	93.2%	92.7%	-0.4%
64275	Porterfield town	Marinette	770	821	51	6.6%	90.8%	82.7%	-8.1%
64375	Portland town	Dodge	396	428	32	8.1%	94.1%	91.1%	-3.0%
64425	Portland town	Monroe	249	290	41	16.5%	91.2%	88.7%	-2.5%
64450	Port Washington city	Ozaukee	4,071	4,704	633	15.5%	95.7%	93.7%	-2.0%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
64475	Port Washington town	Ozaukee	636	618	-18	-2.8%	93.8%	93.2%	-0.6%
64512	Port Wing town	Bayfield	194	187	-7	-3.6%	54.5%	51.2%	-3.3%
64625	Potosi village	Grant	302	295	-7	-2.3%	94.4%	91.6%	-2.8%
64650	Potosi town	Grant	299	311	12	4.0%	88.7%	89.1%	0.4%
64675	Potter village	Calumet	86	98	12	14.0%	96.6%	99.0%	2.4%
64750	Pound village	Marinette	149	152	3	2.0%	85.6%	92.7%	7.1%
64775	Pound town	Marinette	499	566	67	13.4%	92.9%	90.1%	-2.8%
64875	Poygan town	Winnebago	397	520	123	31.0%	74.6%	76.5%	1.8%
64900	Poynette village	Columbia	919	1,046	127	13.8%	96.0%	93.2%	-2.8%
64975	Poysippi town	Waushara	392	394	2	0.5%	89.9%	88.1%	-1.8%
65050	Prairie du Chien city	Crawford	2,376	2,386	10	0.4%	92.7%	92.0%	-0.7%
65075	Prairie du Chien town	Crawford	434	460	26	6.0%	51.2%	53.0%	1.8%
65100	Prairie du Sac village	Sauk	1,290	1,649	359	27.8%	96.8%	95.2%	-1.7%
65125	Prairie du Sac town	Sauk	415	447	32	7.7%	97.0%	95.5%	-1.4%
65150	Prairie Farm village	Barron	199	201	2	1.0%	95.7%	88.5%	-7.1%
65175	Prairie Farm town	Barron	204	207	3	1.5%	91.9%	87.0%	-4.9%
65200	Prairie Lake town	Barron	528	629	101	19.1%	71.4%	71.3%	-0.1%
65325	Prentice village	Price	285	293	8	2.8%	90.2%	86.2%	-4.0%
65350	Prentice town	Price	196	186	-10	-5.1%	74.5%	49.9%	-24.7%
65375	Prescott city	Pierce	1,432	1,685	253	17.7%	97.3%	92.9%	-4.3%
65425	Presque Isle town	Vilas	241	298	57	23.7%	19.8%	19.6%	-0.3%
65450	Preston town	Adams	561	619	58	10.3%	58.4%	53.4%	-5.1%
65500	Preston town	Trempealeau	333	342	9	2.7%	89.5%	85.5%	-4.0%
65550	Price town	Langlade	92	94	2	2.2%	91.1%	75.2%	-15.9%
65575	Primrose town	Dane	243	282	39	16.0%	96.4%	95.9%	-0.5%
65600	Princeton city	Green Lake	576	551	-25	-4.3%	90.6%	86.4%	-4.2%
65625	Princeton town	Green Lake	650	669	19	2.9%	58.8%	54.5%	-4.3%
65675	Pulaski village	Brown, Oconto, Shawano	1,185	1,418	233	19.7%	94.5%	93.0%	-1.5%
65700	Pulaski town	Iowa	135	159	24	17.8%	87.1%	77.9%	-9.2%
65825	Quincy town	Adams	569	573	4	0.7%	35.3%	33.6%	-1.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
66000	Racine city	Racine	31,449	30,530	-919	-2.9%	94.1%	90.1%	-4.0%
66050	Radisson village	Sawyer	98	110	12	12.2%	74.8%	78.6%	3.8%
66075	Radisson town	Sawyer	185	171	-14	-7.6%	52.7%	43.6%	-9.1%
66125	Randall town	Kenosha	1,031	1,163	132	12.8%	80.7%	75.7%	-5.0%
66150	Randolph village	Columbia, Dodge	698	674	-24	-3.4%	92.8%	92.6%	-0.2%
66175	Randolph town	Columbia	228	271	43	18.9%	95.0%	94.1%	-0.9%
66200	Random Lake village	Sheboygan	613	659	46	7.5%	93.4%	91.5%	-1.9%
66275	Rantoul town	Calumet	253	272	19	7.5%	98.1%	95.4%	-2.6%
66375	Raymond town	Racine	1,245	1,417	172	13.8%	97.9%	96.0%	-1.9%
66450	Readstown village	Vernon	187	186	-1	-0.5%	86.6%	87.3%	0.7%
66550	Red Cedar town	Dunn	593	755	162	27.3%	95.0%	94.8%	-0.2%
66625	Redgranite village	Waushara	440	509	69	15.7%	89.2%	87.3%	-1.9%
66700	Red River town	Kewaunee	528	562	34	6.4%	87.9%	81.8%	-6.0%
66750	Red Springs town	Shawano	324	355	31	9.6%	74.0%	71.3%	-2.7%
66800	Reedsburg city	Sauk	3,193	3,795	602	18.9%	94.5%	92.5%	-2.0%
66825	Reedsburg town	Sauk	394	464	70	17.8%	94.9%	92.6%	-2.3%
66875	Reedsville village	Manitowoc	471	472	1	0.2%	93.8%	92.0%	-1.8%
66900	Reeseville village	Dodge	287	288	1	0.3%	91.1%	89.4%	-1.7%
66950	Reid town	Marathon	434	489	55	12.7%	91.4%	90.4%	-1.0%
67000	Remington town	Wood	125	118	-7	-5.6%	69.8%	63.4%	-6.4%
67025	Reseburg town	Clark	206	218	12	5.8%	93.2%	90.8%	-2.4%
67100	Rewey village	Iowa	118	119	1	0.8%	92.2%	92.2%	0.1%
67150	Rhine town	Sheboygan	829	871	42	5.1%	86.3%	81.8%	-4.5%
67200	Rhineland city	Oneida	3,214	3,545	331	10.3%	93.7%	89.0%	-4.7%
67250	Rib Falls town	Marathon	302	345	43	14.2%	97.7%	95.3%	-2.4%
67275	Rib Lake village	Taylor	356	371	15	4.2%	90.4%	85.9%	-4.5%
67300	Rib Lake town	Taylor	278	350	72	25.9%	59.7%	57.9%	-1.8%
67325	Rib Mountain town	Marathon	2,697	2,650	-47	-1.7%	97.4%	96.0%	-1.4%
67350	Rice Lake city	Barron	3,613	3,936	323	8.9%	95.2%	92.9%	-2.3%
67375	Rice Lake town	Barron	1,139	1,232	93	8.2%	93.7%	92.6%	-1.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
67425	Richfield town	Adams	62	78	16	25.8%	60.2%	53.4%	-6.8%
67475	Richfield village	Washington	3,614	4,170	556	15.4%	96.0%	96.1%	0.2%
67500	Richfield town	Wood	473	557	84	17.8%	97.5%	95.2%	-2.3%
67550	Richford town	Waushara	190	203	13	6.8%	67.6%	65.9%	-1.7%
67575	Richland town	Richland	476	507	31	6.5%	92.2%	87.7%	-4.5%
67600	Richland town	Rusk	71	94	23	32.4%	64.0%	48.7%	-15.3%
67625	Richland Center city	Richland	2,296	2,361	65	2.8%	93.0%	90.4%	-2.6%
67650	Richmond town	St. Croix	524	1,105	581	110.9%	98.9%	95.7%	-3.2%
67675	Richmond town	Shawano	668	762	94	14.1%	92.9%	91.1%	-1.8%
67725	Richmond town	Walworth	704	758	54	7.7%	78.3%	74.6%	-3.7%
67775	Richwood town	Richland	238	233	-5	-2.1%	79.6%	71.9%	-7.7%
67800	Ridgeland village	Dunn	123	127	4	3.3%	93.9%	92.0%	-1.9%
67850	Ridgeville town	Monroe	159	184	25	15.7%	86.9%	86.8%	-0.1%
67875	Ridgeway village	Iowa	274	267	-7	-2.6%	95.8%	91.1%	-4.7%
67900	Ridgeway town	Iowa	211	231	20	9.5%	89.0%	86.8%	-2.2%
67950	Rietbrock town	Marathon	289	332	43	14.9%	96.3%	96.2%	-0.1%
68075	Ringle town	Marathon	473	619	146	30.9%	97.1%	95.5%	-1.6%
68100	Rio village	Columbia	379	436	57	15.0%	94.5%	91.8%	-2.7%
68175	Ripon city	Fond Du Lac	2,922	3,053	131	4.5%	93.7%	92.3%	-1.4%
68200	Ripon town	Fond Du Lac	507	545	38	7.5%	97.1%	94.1%	-3.0%
68275	River Falls city	Pierce, Saint Croix	4,269	5,150	881	20.6%	98.3%	94.5%	-3.7%
68300	River Falls town	Pierce	802	852	50	6.2%	97.7%	96.9%	-0.8%
68325	River Hills village	Milwaukee	590	595	5	0.8%	95.6%	92.0%	-3.7%
68400	Riverview town	Oconto	415	378	-37	-8.9%	26.7%	23.1%	-3.7%
68475	Roberts village	St. Croix	392	625	233	59.4%	97.5%	86.3%	-11.2%
68575	Rochester town	see note at end	782				96.5%		
68550	Rochester village	Racine	410	1,343	933	227.6%	96.5%	95.1%	-1.4%
68600	Rock town	Rock	1,304	1,240	-64	-4.9%	96.0%	92.1%	-3.9%
68625	Rock town	Wood	291	318	27	9.3%	92.1%	90.1%	-2.0%
68675	Rockbridge town	Richland	263	293	30	11.4%	83.5%	78.8%	-4.7%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
68700	Rock Creek town	Dunn	286	359	73	25.5%	95.3%	91.8%	-3.5%
68725	Rockdale village	Dane	89	89	0	0.0%	97.8%	94.7%	-3.1%
68775	Rock Elm town	Pierce	178	191	13	7.3%	92.2%	87.2%	-5.0%
68825	Rock Falls town	Lincoln	231	266	35	15.2%	55.7%	52.4%	-3.3%
68875	Rockland town	Brown	483	595	112	23.2%	97.6%	95.7%	-1.9%
68900	Rockland village	La Crosse	212	228	16	7.5%	98.6%	93.8%	-4.8%
68925	Rockland town	Manitowoc	308	357	49	15.9%	91.7%	88.6%	-3.1%
68975	Rock Springs village	Sauk	156	137	-19	-12.2%	93.4%	91.3%	-2.1%
69175	Rolling town	Langlade	512	576	64	12.5%	95.0%	92.5%	-2.5%
69275	Rome town	Adams	1,181	1,282	101	8.6%	50.2%	38.3%	-11.9%
69325	Roosevelt town	Burnett	78	83	5	6.4%	53.8%	50.6%	-3.2%
69400	Roosevelt town	Taylor	149	181	32	21.5%	88.2%	79.0%	-9.1%
69425	Rose town	Waushara	244	272	28	11.5%	69.1%	66.0%	-3.1%
69525	Rosendale village	Fond Du Lac	325	407	82	25.2%	95.9%	97.6%	1.7%
69550	Rosendale town	Fond Du Lac	284	275	-9	-3.2%	94.7%	95.8%	1.2%
69575	Rosholt village	Portage	198	208	10	5.1%	93.4%	91.6%	-1.8%
69625	Ross town	Forest	75	65	-10	-13.3%	34.4%	27.4%	-7.0%
69725	Rothschild village	Marathon	1,922	2,199	277	14.4%	96.7%	94.3%	-2.4%
69750	Round Lake town	Sawyer	431	457	26	6.0%	38.7%	36.0%	-2.7%
69850	Roxbury town	Dane	603	663	60	10.0%	94.2%	82.3%	-12.0%
69900	Royalton town	Waupaca	533	588	55	10.3%	86.2%	78.1%	-8.2%
69962	Rubicon town	Dodge	662	780	118	17.8%	97.4%	96.2%	-1.2%
69975	Ruby town	Chippewa	152	176	24	15.8%	77.2%	75.2%	-1.9%
70000	Rudolph village	Wood	170	179	9	5.3%	96.0%	90.9%	-5.2%
70025	Rudolph town	Wood	423	418	-5	-1.2%	96.6%	93.5%	-3.1%
70125	Rushford town	Winnebago	549	613	64	11.7%	92.4%	89.9%	-2.5%
70200	Rush River town	St. Croix	171	194	23	13.5%	98.8%	97.0%	-1.8%
70225	Rusk town	Burnett	162	183	21	13.0%	47.9%	36.8%	-11.1%
70275	Rusk town	Rusk	204	215	11	5.4%	46.9%	42.0%	-4.9%
70300	Russell town	Bayfield	406	461	55	13.5%	80.2%	80.3%	0.1%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
70325	Russell town	Lincoln	271	276	5	1.8%	82.1%	71.7%	-10.4%
70350	Russell town	Sheboygan	140	149	9	6.4%	94.0%	95.5%	1.6%
70400	Rutland town	Dane	689	760	71	10.3%	97.9%	97.7%	-0.2%
70500	St. Cloud village	Fond Du Lac	184	208	24	13.0%	95.3%	96.3%	1.0%
70550	St. Croix Falls city	Polk	872	967	95	10.9%	94.2%	88.9%	-5.3%
70575	St. Croix Falls town	Polk	420	461	41	9.8%	78.1%	75.7%	-2.4%
70650	St. Francis city	Milwaukee	4,050	4,494	444	11.0%	96.6%	93.1%	-3.5%
70725	St. Germain town	Vilas	887	953	66	7.4%	42.9%	40.9%	-2.0%
70825	St. Joseph town	St. Croix	1,193	1,388	195	16.3%	94.8%	91.9%	-2.9%
70900	St. Lawrence town	Waupaca	284	298	14	4.9%	82.3%	79.3%	-3.1%
70925	St. Marie town	Green Lake	135	153	18	13.3%	79.4%	78.1%	-1.4%
71025	St. Nazianz village	Manitowoc	296	324	28	9.5%	98.0%	93.6%	-4.4%
71125	Salem town	Kenosha	3,529	4,486	957	27.1%	89.6%	88.7%	-0.8%
71150	Salem town	Pierce	173	190	17	9.8%	93.0%	85.2%	-7.8%
71275	Sampson town	Chippewa	330	364	34	10.3%	73.5%	50.3%	-23.2%
71350	Sanborn town	Ashland	428	467	39	9.1%	80.6%	80.0%	-0.6%
71425	Sand Creek town	Dunn	230	240	10	4.3%	94.3%	89.9%	-4.4%
71450	Sand Lake town	Burnett	212	220	8	3.8%	47.6%	39.6%	-8.0%
71500	Sand Lake town	Sawyer	347	364	17	4.9%	30.3%	27.8%	-2.5%
71600	Saratoga town	Wood	2,011	2,085	74	3.7%	97.7%	94.6%	-3.1%
71637	Sarona town	Washburn	165	162	-3	-1.8%	62.7%	52.4%	-10.3%
71650	Sauk City village	Sauk	1,285	1,431	146	11.4%	97.8%	94.9%	-2.9%
71700	Saukville village	Ozaukee	1,583	1,766	183	11.6%	96.6%	95.6%	-1.0%
71725	Saukville town	Ozaukee	622	704	82	13.2%	96.6%	96.7%	0.1%
71825	Saxeville town	Waushara	393	421	28	7.1%	64.4%	62.8%	-1.6%
71875	Saxon town	Iron	148	147	-1	-0.7%	67.0%	56.8%	-10.2%
71975	Scandinavia village	Waupaca	137	147	10	7.3%	90.1%	88.6%	-1.6%
72000	Scandinavia town	Waupaca	394	426	32	8.1%	82.3%	79.8%	-2.5%
72075	Schleswig town	Manitowoc	697	763	66	9.5%	80.0%	79.1%	-1.0%
72100	Schley town	Lincoln	356	378	22	6.2%	90.1%	85.3%	-4.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
72125	Schoepke town	Oneida	156	188	32	20.5%	24.9%	29.1%	4.1%
72150	Schofield city	Marathon	965	994	29	3.0%	94.4%	90.4%	-4.0%
72200	Scott town	Brown	1,145	1,337	192	16.8%	92.8%	90.6%	-2.1%
72225	Scott town	Burnett	282	250	-32	-11.3%	30.8%	23.2%	-7.6%
72250	Scott town	Columbia	243	290	47	19.3%	93.5%	92.7%	-0.8%
72275	Scott town	Crawford	179	183	4	2.2%	79.9%	72.0%	-7.9%
72300	Scott town	Lincoln	458	537	79	17.2%	93.9%	93.9%	0.0%
72325	Scott town	Monroe	41	48	7	17.1%	67.2%	67.6%	0.4%
72350	Scott town	Sheboygan	658	697	39	5.9%	94.0%	93.1%	-0.9%
72450	Seif town	Clark	89	86	-3	-3.4%	73.0%	61.9%	-11.1%
72500	Seneca town	Crawford	311	338	27	8.7%	64.9%	66.3%	1.3%
72525	Seneca town	Green Lake	165	165	0	0.0%	85.1%	85.5%	0.4%
72550	Seneca town	Shawano	204	212	8	3.9%	80.0%	72.6%	-7.4%
72575	Seneca town	Wood	408	411	3	0.7%	96.7%	94.5%	-2.2%
72600	Sevastopol town	Door	1,076	1,138	62	5.8%	69.2%	61.2%	-8.0%
72625	Seven Mile Creek town	Juneau	136	155	19	14.0%	81.0%	76.4%	-4.6%
72675	Seymour town	Eau Claire	1,108	1,232	124	11.2%	96.3%	95.3%	-1.0%
72700	Seymour town	Lafayette	118	143	25	21.2%	96.7%	93.5%	-3.3%
72725	Seymour city	Outagamie	1,305	1,458	153	11.7%	94.8%	93.2%	-1.6%
72750	Seymour town	Outagamie	406	438	32	7.9%	96.4%	95.6%	-0.8%
72825	Shanagolden town	Ashland	63	55	-8	-12.7%	40.1%	26.7%	-13.4%
72850	Sharon town	Portage	705	775	70	9.9%	93.5%	89.8%	-3.7%
72875	Sharon village	Walworth	565	594	29	5.1%	93.9%	83.2%	-10.7%
72900	Sharon town	Walworth	333	346	13	3.9%	94.6%	95.1%	0.5%
72925	Shawano city	Shawano	3,432	3,960	528	15.4%	95.7%	91.9%	-3.8%
72975	Sheboygan city	Sheboygan	20,779	20,308	-471	-2.3%	95.5%	90.9%	-4.6%
73000	Sheboygan town	Sheboygan	2,148	2,999	851	39.6%	95.7%	94.5%	-1.2%
73025	Sheboygan Falls city	Sheboygan	2,745	3,480	735	26.8%	97.1%	94.5%	-2.6%
73050	Sheboygan Falls town	Sheboygan	657	706	49	7.5%	97.3%	95.9%	-1.4%
73125	Shelby town	La Crosse	1,771	1,918	147	8.3%	97.5%	96.0%	-1.4%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
73150	Sheldon town	Monroe	190	208	18	9.5%	88.8%	81.6%	-7.2%
73175	Sheldon village	Rusk	114	116	2	1.8%	92.7%	92.1%	-0.6%
73200	Shell Lake city	Washburn	559	594	35	6.3%	62.8%	60.1%	-2.7%
73300	Sheridan town	Dunn	168	175	7	4.2%	90.8%	83.3%	-7.5%
73350	Sherman town	Clark	247	284	37	15.0%	93.9%	95.3%	1.4%
73375	Sherman town	Dunn	272	337	65	23.9%	93.2%	91.6%	-1.6%
73400	Sherman town	Iron	166	150	-16	-9.6%	34.4%	28.1%	-6.3%
73425	Sherman town	Sheboygan	533	566	33	6.2%	98.0%	94.8%	-3.2%
73475	Sherry town	Wood	270	296	26	9.6%	96.4%	93.1%	-3.3%
73525	Sherwood village	Calumet	572	986	414	72.4%	96.5%	96.0%	-0.5%
73550	Sherwood town	Clark	90	93	3	3.3%	59.2%	55.0%	-4.2%
73575	Shields town	Dodge	198	219	21	10.6%	97.5%	98.2%	0.7%
73600	Shields town	Marquette	194	248	54	27.8%	70.0%	70.5%	0.4%
73625	Shiocton village	Outagamie	376	372	-4	-1.1%	95.4%	92.3%	-3.1%
73725	Shorewood village	Milwaukee	6,539	6,381	-158	-2.4%	97.7%	94.5%	-3.1%
73750	Shorewood Hills village	Dane	640	620	-20	-3.1%	96.4%	93.9%	-2.4%
73825	Shullsburg city	Lafayette	527	534	7	1.3%	91.5%	91.1%	-0.4%
73850	Shullsburg town	Lafayette	136	135	-1	-0.7%	95.1%	88.8%	-6.3%
73875	Sigel town	Chippewa	294	390	96	32.7%	95.8%	93.1%	-2.7%
73900	Sigel town	Wood	410	423	13	3.2%	95.8%	94.4%	-1.4%
73975	Silver Cliff town	Marinette	216	218	2	0.9%	22.4%	18.8%	-3.7%
74025	Silver Lake village	Kenosha	876	908	32	3.7%	90.9%	84.7%	-6.2%
74125	Sioux Creek town	Barron	225	235	10	4.4%	92.2%	87.0%	-5.2%
74175	Siren village	Burnett	413	376	-37	-9.0%	82.8%	76.7%	-6.0%
74200	Siren town	Burnett	378	408	30	7.9%	52.7%	46.9%	-5.8%
74225	Sister Bay village	Door	446	457	11	2.5%	47.2%	34.2%	-13.0%
74250	Skanawan town	Lincoln	141	165	24	17.0%	65.3%	57.9%	-7.4%
74400	Slinger village	Washington	1,562	2,029	467	29.9%	97.2%	93.0%	-4.2%
74450	Smelser town	Grant	268	301	33	12.3%	97.1%	93.5%	-3.6%
74550	Soldiers Grove village	Crawford	250	251	1	0.4%	88.0%	91.9%	3.9%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
74575	Solon Springs village	Douglas	268	263	-5	-1.9%	68.9%	64.5%	-4.4%
74600	Solon Springs town	Douglas	334	380	46	13.8%	70.0%	54.4%	-15.6%
74650	Somers town	Kenosha	3,399	3,667	268	7.9%	95.7%	93.3%	-2.4%
74675	Somerset village	St. Croix	635	990	355	55.9%	96.4%	89.8%	-6.5%
74700	Somerset town	St. Croix	927	1,391	464	50.1%	96.3%	94.1%	-2.2%
74725	Somo town	Lincoln	57	52	-5	-8.8%	67.1%	36.6%	-30.4%
74975	South Fork town	Rusk	51	59	8	15.7%	56.0%	46.8%	-9.2%
75075	South Lancaster town	Grant	234	266	32	13.7%	95.5%	95.0%	-0.5%
75125	South Milwaukee city	Milwaukee	8,694	9,043	349	4.0%	95.3%	93.0%	-2.3%
75275	South Wayne village	Lafayette	203	206	3	1.5%	93.1%	93.6%	0.5%
75325	Sparta city	Monroe	3,583	3,986	403	11.2%	96.0%	95.1%	-0.9%
75350	Sparta town	Monroe	926	1,128	202	21.8%	95.7%	95.8%	0.1%
75400	Spencer village	Marathon	800	816	16	2.0%	93.9%	93.3%	-0.6%
75425	Spencer town	Marathon	475	550	75	15.8%	95.8%	95.8%	0.1%
75450	Spider Lake town	Sawyer	186	183	-3	-1.6%	24.0%	18.6%	-5.3%
75525	Spirit town	Price	133	123	-10	-7.5%	54.5%	41.8%	-12.7%
75625	Spooner city	Washburn	1,148	1,180	32	2.8%	91.9%	90.6%	-1.3%
75650	Spooner town	Washburn	259	296	37	14.3%	67.6%	61.0%	-6.6%
75750	Spring Brook town	Dunn	468	582	114	24.4%	95.7%	94.9%	-0.8%
75800	Springbrook town	Washburn	227	214	-13	-5.7%	75.7%	66.9%	-8.8%
75850	Springdale town	Dane	570	717	147	25.8%	97.4%	94.8%	-2.6%
75875	Springfield town	Dane	967	1,014	47	4.9%	97.4%	97.5%	0.1%
75900	Springfield town	Jackson	187	211	24	12.8%	81.7%	80.5%	-1.1%
75925	Springfield town	Marquette	273	365	92	33.7%	50.4%	57.6%	7.2%
75950	Springfield town	St. Croix	285	350	65	22.8%	95.3%	93.8%	-1.5%
76025	Spring Green village	Sauk	585	690	105	17.9%	93.8%	91.6%	-2.1%
76050	Spring Green town	Sauk	602	716	114	18.9%	91.1%	87.7%	-3.3%
76075	Spring Grove town	Green	290	316	26	9.0%	94.2%	90.8%	-3.4%
76100	Spring Lake town	Pierce	191	224	33	17.3%	97.0%	97.8%	0.9%
76175	Spring Prairie town	Walworth	726	802	76	10.5%	94.9%	93.7%	-1.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
76225	Springvale town	Columbia	197	202	5	2.5%	95.2%	90.2%	-5.0%
76250	Springvale town	Fond Du Lac	270	271	1	0.4%	97.5%	96.4%	-1.0%
76300	Spring Valley village	Pierce, Saint Croix	459	547	88	19.2%	95.2%	91.6%	-3.6%
76325	Spring Valley town	Rock	282	289	7	2.5%	96.9%	92.3%	-4.6%
76350	Springville town	Adams	487	538	51	10.5%	56.4%	50.5%	-5.8%
76400	Springwater town	Waushara	617	607	-10	-1.6%	43.5%	42.1%	-1.3%
76450	Spruce town	Oconto	349	368	19	5.4%	59.5%	63.2%	3.8%
76550	Stanford town	Barron	249	273	24	9.6%	96.5%	95.1%	-1.4%
76600	Stanley town	Barron	822	984	162	19.7%	91.5%	86.8%	-4.8%
76625	Stanley city	Chippewa, Clark	817	930	113	13.8%	90.8%	92.4%	1.7%
76650	Stanton town	Dunn	247	299	52	21.1%	96.1%	91.4%	-4.7%
76675	Stanton town	St. Croix	352	347	-5	-1.4%	97.0%	94.3%	-2.7%
76725	Stark town	Vernon	138	147	9	6.5%	69.7%	65.6%	-4.1%
76825	Star Prairie village	St. Croix	212	230	18	8.5%	98.6%	92.7%	-5.9%
76850	Star Prairie town	St. Croix	1,006	1,296	290	28.8%	93.2%	91.5%	-1.8%
76975	Stella town	Oneida	236	263	27	11.4%	74.7%	68.3%	-6.4%
77000	Stephenson town	Marinette	1,369	1,404	35	2.6%	36.2%	29.8%	-6.4%
77050	Sterling town	Polk	280	335	55	19.6%	53.7%	57.5%	3.7%
77075	Sterling town	Vernon	234	233	-1	-0.4%	84.2%	79.3%	-4.9%
77100	Stetsonville village	Taylor	230	242	12	5.2%	95.4%	92.0%	-3.4%
77150	Stettin town	Marathon	805	999	194	24.1%	97.2%	94.5%	-2.7%
77175	Steuben village	Crawford	61	51	-10	-16.4%	84.7%	79.7%	-5.0%
77200	Stevens Point city	Portage	9,305	10,598	1,293	13.9%	95.4%	94.5%	-1.0%
77300	Stiles town	Oconto	578	603	25	4.3%	93.2%	87.8%	-5.5%
77350	Stinnett town	Washburn	100	103	3	3.0%	75.2%	76.9%	1.7%
77400	Stockbridge village	Calumet	265	292	27	10.2%	88.6%	83.9%	-4.7%
77425	Stockbridge town	Calumet	506	581	75	14.8%	82.4%	82.5%	0.1%
77475	Stockholm village	Pepin	48	36	-12	-25.0%	53.9%	40.9%	-13.0%
77500	Stockholm town	Pepin	35	83	48	137.1%	53.8%	68.0%	14.2%
77537	Stockton town	Portage	984	1,087	103	10.5%	96.0%	96.5%	0.5%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
77550	Stoddard village	Vernon	351	335	-16	-4.6%	93.6%	86.3%	-7.3%
77650	Stone Lake town	Washburn	221	218	-3	-1.4%	61.0%	54.5%	-6.5%
77675	Stoughton city	Dane	4,734	5,133	399	8.4%	96.8%	94.7%	-2.1%
77750	Stratford village	Marathon	603	666	63	10.4%	93.1%	93.4%	0.4%
77775	Strickland town	Rusk	113	113	0	0.0%	70.6%	71.5%	0.9%
77800	Strong's Prairie town	Adams	502	530	28	5.6%	51.3%	38.6%	-12.7%
77825	Strum village	Trempealeau	411	440	29	7.1%	94.7%	94.2%	-0.5%
77850	Stubbs town	Rusk	226	236	10	4.4%	72.0%	72.8%	0.9%
77875	Sturgeon Bay city	Door	4,048	4,288	240	5.9%	91.0%	87.5%	-3.6%
77900	Sturgeon Bay town	Door	356	361	5	1.4%	65.6%	56.9%	-8.7%
77925	Sturtevant village	Racine	1,477	2,103	626	42.4%	97.1%	93.9%	-3.2%
77975	Suamico village	Brown	2,966	4,092	1,126	38.0%	96.4%	96.6%	0.3%
78075	Sugar Camp town	Oneida	708	729	21	3.0%	53.4%	46.2%	-7.2%
78100	Sugar Creek town	Walworth	1,197	1,460	263	22.0%	88.9%	88.5%	-0.4%
78175	Sullivan village	Jefferson	298	304	6	2.0%	92.8%	92.7%	-0.2%
78200	Sullivan town	Jefferson	819	900	81	9.9%	95.1%	93.2%	-2.0%
78275	Summit town	Douglas	418	438	20	4.8%	74.5%	69.0%	-5.5%
78300	Summit town	Juneau	236	250	14	5.9%	90.1%	76.9%	-13.2%
78325	Summit town	Langlade	66	71	5	7.6%	46.5%	39.4%	-7.0%
78375	Summit town	Waukesha	1,747	1,727	-20	-1.1%	91.8%	87.7%	-4.1%
78450	Sumner town	Barron	210	280	70	33.3%	94.6%	91.5%	-3.1%
78475	Sumner town	Jefferson	370	356	-14	-3.8%	66.7%	66.2%	-0.5%
78500	Sumner town	Trempealeau	291	307	16	5.5%	95.4%	96.8%	1.4%
78525	Sumpter town	Sauk	402	444	42	10.4%	87.8%	86.2%	-1.6%
78600	Sun Prairie city	Dane	7,881	11,636	3,755	47.6%	96.1%	93.7%	-2.4%
78625	Sun Prairie town	Dane	806	826	20	2.5%	97.1%	95.3%	-1.8%
78650	Superior city	Douglas	11,609	11,670	61	0.5%	95.2%	94.7%	-0.5%
78660	Superior village	Douglas	209	299	90	43.1%	99.5%	96.8%	-2.8%
78675	Superior town	Douglas	764	852	88	11.5%	96.2%	90.5%	-5.7%
78725	Suring village	Oconto	252	232	-20	-7.9%	93.7%	86.6%	-7.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
78750	Sussex village	Waukesha	3,310	4,039	729	22.0%	96.2%	96.5%	0.3%
78775	Swiss town	Burnett	336	355	19	5.7%	40.3%	35.0%	-5.3%
78825	Sylvan town	Richland	183	183	0	0.0%	82.4%	73.5%	-8.9%
78875	Sylvester town	Green	289	364	75	26.0%	93.5%	94.5%	1.0%
78950	Taft town	Taylor	120	160	40	33.3%	90.9%	77.7%	-13.2%
78975	Tainter town	Dunn	845	979	134	15.9%	85.1%	79.3%	-5.8%
79125	Taycheedah town	Fond Du Lac	1,319	1,602	283	21.5%	92.7%	91.3%	-1.4%
79150	Taylor village	Jackson	209	212	3	1.4%	88.2%	93.8%	5.6%
79250	Tennyson village	Grant	139	144	5	3.6%	97.2%	97.3%	0.1%
79350	Texas town	Marathon	608	645	37	6.1%	97.3%	94.4%	-2.8%
79375	Theresa village	Dodge	495	539	44	8.9%	93.8%	91.7%	-2.1%
79425	Theresa town	Dodge	364	386	22	6.0%	97.3%	96.3%	-1.1%
79475	Thiensville village	Ozaukee	1,503	1,532	29	1.9%	95.7%	93.2%	-2.5%
79575	Thornapple town	Rusk	312	324	12	3.8%	83.2%	73.0%	-10.2%
79625	Thorp city	Clark	706	712	6	0.8%	93.0%	89.3%	-3.7%
79650	Thorp town	Clark	245	273	28	11.4%	96.1%	96.8%	0.7%
79700	Three Lakes town	Oneida	1,031	996	-35	-3.4%	35.5%	31.6%	-3.8%
79775	Tiffany town	Dunn	230	242	12	5.2%	96.2%	91.7%	-4.6%
79825	Tigerton village	Shawano	349	332	-17	-4.9%	91.1%	87.8%	-3.3%
79875	Tilden town	Chippewa	399	546	147	36.8%	96.6%	96.8%	0.2%
79975	Tipler town	Florence	88	68	-20	-22.7%	29.3%	19.0%	-10.3%
80075	Tomah city	Monroe	3,451	3,900	449	13.0%	93.1%	92.9%	-0.2%
80100	Tomah town	Monroe	428	533	105	24.5%	96.2%	92.7%	-3.5%
80125	Tomahawk city	Lincoln	1,527	1,480	-47	-3.1%	90.0%	85.0%	-5.1%
80150	Tomahawk town	Lincoln	192	193	1	0.5%	50.1%	40.9%	-9.2%
80225	Tony village	Rusk	43	47	4	9.3%	95.6%	90.4%	-5.2%
80325	Townsend town	Oconto	436	464	28	6.4%	30.1%	26.2%	-3.8%
80375	Trade Lake town	Burnett	366	350	-16	-4.4%	58.6%	50.3%	-8.3%
80450	Trego town	Washburn	347	390	43	12.4%	65.7%	54.7%	-11.0%
80475	Trempealeau village	Trempealeau	593	704	111	18.7%	95.3%	84.3%	-11.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Occupied Housing Units (Households)			Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
80500	Trempealeau town	Trempealeau	623	689	66	10.6%	88.1%	94.4%	6.3%
80525	Trenton town	Dodge	456	464	8	1.8%	96.8%	95.7%	-1.1%
80550	Trenton town	Pierce	647	718	71	11.0%	96.4%	93.2%	-3.2%
80575	Trenton town	Washington	1,520	1,721	201	13.2%	97.3%	95.8%	-1.5%
80700	Trimble town	Pierce	532	635	103	19.4%	97.8%	95.1%	-2.7%
80750	Tripp town	Bayfield	78	91	13	16.7%	60.0%	57.2%	-2.8%
80800	Troy town	St. Croix	1,250	1,665	415	33.2%	94.1%	91.5%	-2.6%
80825	Troy town	Sauk	277	295	18	6.5%	92.3%	87.8%	-4.5%
80875	Troy town	Walworth	837	914	77	9.2%	92.6%	90.5%	-2.1%
80950	True town	Rusk	107	110	3	2.8%	84.3%	79.7%	-4.5%
81050	Turtle town	Rock	957	956	-1	-0.1%	96.7%	95.4%	-1.3%
81075	Turtle Lake village	Barron, Polk	475	492	17	3.6%	93.5%	92.0%	-1.5%
81100	Turtle Lake town	Barron	224	242	18	8.0%	79.2%	79.9%	0.7%
81250	Twin Lakes village	Kenosha	1,973	2,345	372	18.9%	72.0%	72.1%	0.2%
81300	Two Creeks town	Manitowoc	184	169	-15	-8.2%	91.1%	86.7%	-4.4%
81325	Two Rivers city	Manitowoc	5,221	5,119	-102	-2.0%	94.1%	89.8%	-4.3%
81350	Two Rivers town	Manitowoc	734	759	25	3.4%	95.8%	92.0%	-3.8%
81475	Underhill town	Oconto	329	350	21	6.4%	70.3%	69.3%	-1.0%
81500	Union town	Burnett	171	163	-8	-4.7%	40.5%	29.4%	-11.1%
81525	Union town	Door	335	384	49	14.6%	65.4%	56.0%	-9.5%
81550	Union town	Eau Claire	856	983	127	14.8%	97.5%	96.9%	-0.6%
81600	Union town	Pierce	212	231	19	9.0%	98.6%	94.7%	-3.9%
81650	Union town	Rock	693	782	89	12.8%	96.5%	95.1%	-1.4%
81675	Union town	Vernon	165	211	46	27.9%	67.6%	74.3%	6.7%
81700	Union town	Waupaca	291	320	29	10.0%	86.9%	80.8%	-6.1%
81725	Union Center village	Juneau	94	95	1	1.1%	94.9%	87.2%	-7.8%
81775	Union Grove village	Racine	1,631	1,881	250	15.3%	97.3%	96.0%	-1.3%
81825	Unity town	Clark	239	269	30	12.6%	94.5%	91.2%	-3.3%
81850	Unity village	Clark, Marathon	150	145	-5	-3.3%	95.5%	90.1%	-5.5%
81875	Unity town	Trempealeau	200	193	-7	-3.5%	91.7%	91.9%	0.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
81950	Upham town	Langlade	319	320	1	0.3%	48.3%	35.4%	-12.9%
82100	Utica town	Crawford	257	267	10	3.9%	81.1%	77.6%	-3.5%
82175	Utica town	Winnebago	453	514	61	13.5%	96.6%	94.8%	-1.8%
82200	Valders village	Manitowoc	375	406	31	8.3%	96.9%	94.0%	-2.9%
82375	Vance Creek town	Barron	257	258	1	0.4%	88.6%	80.4%	-8.2%
82400	Vandenbroek town	Outagamie	460	516	56	12.2%	98.9%	96.6%	-2.3%
82525	Vermont town	Dane	298	325	27	9.1%	95.5%	92.6%	-2.9%
82575	Vernon town	Waukesha	2,380	2,790	410	17.2%	99.0%	97.9%	-1.1%
82600	Verona city	Dane	2,591	4,223	1,632	63.0%	97.3%	94.7%	-2.6%
82625	Verona town	Dane	758	746	-12	-1.6%	97.9%	95.6%	-2.3%
82650	Vesper village	Wood	234	246	12	5.1%	96.3%	93.2%	-3.1%
82750	Vienna town	Dane	461	540	79	17.1%	98.7%	96.8%	-1.9%
82825	Vilas town	Langlade	97	93	-4	-4.1%	60.2%	54.4%	-5.9%
82875	Vinland town	Winnebago	693	721	28	4.0%	96.1%	93.2%	-3.0%
82900	Viola village	Richland, Vernon	290	279	-11	-3.8%	90.9%	84.3%	-6.6%
82925	Viroqua city	Vernon	1,990	2,029	39	2.0%	94.5%	91.9%	-2.6%
82950	Viroqua town	Vernon	549	637	88	16.0%	91.0%	90.6%	-0.4%
83025	Wabeno town	Forest	497	483	-14	-2.8%	58.8%	58.3%	-0.6%
83050	Wagner town	Marinette	283	304	21	7.4%	73.7%	51.4%	-22.3%
83100	Waldo village	Sheboygan	169	197	28	16.6%	97.1%	94.3%	-2.9%
83150	Waldwick town	Iowa	185	188	3	1.6%	96.4%	90.8%	-5.5%
83175	Wales village	Waukesha	846	949	103	12.2%	98.0%	96.1%	-1.9%
83250	Walworth village	Walworth	850	1,068	218	25.6%	95.2%	91.1%	-4.1%
83275	Walworth town	Walworth	529	644	115	21.7%	90.3%	87.7%	-2.5%
83350	Warner town	Clark	195	214	19	9.7%	93.8%	83.6%	-10.2%
83400	Warren town	St. Croix	426	548	122	28.6%	97.5%	96.0%	-1.5%
83425	Warren town	Waushara	261	268	7	2.7%	78.1%	75.1%	-3.1%
83450	Warrens village	Monroe	113	154	41	36.3%	88.3%	41.1%	-47.2%
83512	Wascott town	Douglas	295	340	45	15.3%	36.6%	27.8%	-8.8%
83525	Washburn city	Bayfield	938	934	-4	-0.4%	93.4%	87.3%	-6.1%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
83550	Washburn town	Bayfield	189	222	33	17.5%	83.3%	87.4%	4.1%
83575	Washburn town	Clark	116	115	-1	-0.9%	61.4%	54.8%	-6.6%
83600	Washington town	Door	293	342	49	16.7%	32.4%	33.7%	1.2%
83612	Washington town	Eau Claire	2,555	2,758	203	7.9%	97.7%	95.5%	-2.2%
83625	Washington town	Green	233	308	75	32.2%	96.3%	95.7%	-0.6%
83650	Washington town	La Crosse	228	207	-21	-9.2%	96.6%	92.0%	-4.6%
83675	Washington town	Rusk	134	153	19	14.2%	56.3%	36.3%	-20.0%
83700	Washington town	Sauk	281	320	39	13.9%	92.1%	88.6%	-3.5%
83725	Washington town	Shawano	803	854	51	6.4%	66.0%	65.0%	-1.0%
83750	Washington town	Vilas	683	679	-4	-0.6%	40.0%	33.4%	-6.6%
83825	Waterford village	Racine	1,561	2,114	553	35.4%	95.9%	93.0%	-2.8%
83850	Waterford town	Racine	2,086	2,322	236	11.3%	92.2%	90.9%	-1.3%
83900	Waterloo town	Grant	210	224	14	6.7%	89.7%	82.1%	-7.7%
83925	Waterloo city	Jefferson	1,242	1,331	89	7.2%	96.1%	94.5%	-1.6%
83950	Waterloo town	Jefferson	299	339	40	13.4%	95.8%	92.9%	-3.0%
83975	Watertown city	Dodge, Jefferson	8,022	9,187	1,165	14.5%	96.3%	94.3%	-2.0%
84000	Watertown town	Jefferson	684	754	70	10.2%	95.7%	94.5%	-1.2%
84025	Waterville town	Pepin	331	345	14	4.2%	94.3%	91.0%	-3.3%
84075	Watterstown town	Grant	134	133	-1	-0.7%	78.4%	68.9%	-9.5%
84100	Waubeek town	Pepin	129	149	20	15.5%	99.2%	96.8%	-2.5%
84225	Waukechon town	Shawano	337	369	32	9.5%	93.1%	90.0%	-3.1%
84250	Waukesha city	Waukesha	25,663	28,295	2,632	10.3%	95.6%	94.8%	-0.7%
84275	Waukesha town	Waukesha	2,891	3,313	422	14.6%	98.2%	98.0%	-0.2%
84325	Waumandee town	Buffalo	181	190	9	5.0%	91.4%	87.6%	-3.9%
84350	Waunakee village	Dane	3,203	4,344	1,141	35.6%	97.2%	96.9%	-0.3%
84375	Waupaca city	Waupaca	2,364	2,702	338	14.3%	93.0%	90.2%	-2.8%
84400	Waupaca town	Waupaca	417	462	45	10.8%	93.1%	88.8%	-4.2%
84425	Waupun city	Dodge, Fond du Lac	3,351	3,485	134	4.0%	95.4%	94.1%	-1.3%
84450	Waupun town	Fond Du Lac	472	506	34	7.2%	95.4%	96.4%	1.0%
84475	Wausau city	Marathon	15,678	16,487	809	5.2%	94.1%	90.8%	-3.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
84500	Wausau town	Marathon	796	860	64	8.0%	96.5%	95.4%	-1.0%
84525	Wausaukee village	Marinette	251	275	24	9.6%	85.4%	84.6%	-0.8%
84550	Wausaukee town	Marinette	469	454	-15	-3.2%	45.3%	37.1%	-8.2%
84625	Wautoma city	Waushara	806	945	139	17.2%	91.9%	89.1%	-2.8%
84650	Wautoma town	Waushara	523	546	23	4.4%	86.7%	83.7%	-3.0%
84675	Wauwatosa city	Milwaukee	20,388	20,435	47	0.2%	97.5%	95.0%	-2.5%
84725	Wauzeka village	Crawford	271	273	2	0.7%	90.9%	89.8%	-1.1%
84750	Wauzeka town	Crawford	140	167	27	19.3%	80.5%	80.7%	0.2%
84850	Wayne town	Lafayette	176	179	3	1.7%	98.9%	92.7%	-6.1%
84900	Wayne town	Washington	582	768	186	32.0%	97.5%	96.4%	-1.1%
84975	Webb Lake town	Burnett	186	164	-22	-11.8%	25.5%	15.1%	-10.4%
85025	Webster village	Burnett	302	308	6	2.0%	92.4%	86.8%	-5.6%
85050	Webster town	Vernon	217	271	54	24.9%	74.1%	71.3%	-2.7%
85100	Weirgor town	Sawyer	157	154	-3	-1.9%	64.6%	57.7%	-6.9%
85125	Wellington town	Monroe	185	217	32	17.3%	83.7%	84.8%	1.1%
85150	Wells town	Monroe	180	206	26	14.4%	94.2%	90.0%	-4.3%
85275	Wescott town	Shawano	1,581	1,427	-154	-9.7%	67.5%	59.6%	-7.9%
85300	West Allis city	Milwaukee	27,604	27,454	-150	-0.5%	96.2%	93.5%	-2.6%
85325	West Baraboo village	Sauk	477	595	118	24.7%	97.3%	93.3%	-4.1%
85350	West Bend city	Washington	11,375	12,769	1,394	12.3%	95.4%	94.3%	-1.1%
85375	West Bend town	Washington	1,611	1,790	179	11.1%	81.6%	80.9%	-0.6%
85450	Westboro town	Taylor	261	288	27	10.3%	70.4%	61.7%	-8.7%
85475	Westby city	Vernon	840	929	89	10.6%	92.7%	93.3%	0.6%
85575	Westfield village	Marquette	504	523	19	3.8%	91.1%	90.5%	-0.7%
85600	Westfield town	Marquette	279	357	78	28.0%	67.4%	65.3%	-2.1%
85625	Westfield town	Sauk	202	213	11	5.4%	93.5%	89.5%	-4.0%
85650	Westford town	Dodge	551	517	-34	-6.2%	77.7%	73.6%	-4.1%
85675	Westford town	Richland	191	193	2	1.0%	79.9%	76.0%	-3.9%
85775	West Kewaunee town	Kewaunee	460	477	17	3.7%	94.8%	92.3%	-2.6%
85850	West Marshland town	Burnett	123	151	28	22.8%	66.5%	51.4%	-15.1%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
85875	West Milwaukee village	Milwaukee	2,059	1,979	-80	-3.9%	93.7%	92.3%	-1.5%
85925	Weston town	Clark	230	254	24	10.4%	86.1%	79.9%	-6.3%
85975	Weston town	Dunn	221	230	9	4.1%	94.8%	91.6%	-3.2%
86025	Weston village	Marathon	4,572	5,772	1,200	26.2%	95.1%	90.7%	-4.4%
86050	Weston town	Marathon	179	228	49	27.4%	99.4%	94.6%	-4.8%
86100	West Point town	Columbia	660	762	102	15.5%	72.8%	63.7%	-9.1%
86125	Westport town	Dane	1,546	1,782	236	15.3%	88.2%	92.4%	4.1%
86275	West Salem village	La Crosse	1,706	1,831	125	7.3%	96.7%	98.0%	1.3%
86350	West Sweden town	Polk	285	290	5	1.8%	87.2%	80.3%	-6.8%
86400	Weyauwega city	Waupaca	720	746	26	3.6%	94.4%	91.5%	-2.8%
86425	Weyauwega town	Waupaca	226	237	11	4.9%	86.3%	79.3%	-7.0%
86450	Weyerhaeuser village	Rusk	172	116	-56	-32.6%	87.8%	81.7%	-6.1%
86500	Wheatland town	Kenosha	1,209	1,300	91	7.5%	87.7%	86.6%	-1.1%
86525	Wheatland town	Vernon	236	255	19	8.1%	65.6%	54.0%	-11.5%
86537	Wheaton town	Chippewa	852	1,001	149	17.5%	97.5%	95.3%	-2.1%
86575	Wheeler village	Dunn	134	127	-7	-5.2%	94.4%	86.4%	-8.0%
86700	Whitefish Bay village	Milwaukee	5,457	5,355	-102	-1.9%	98.3%	96.4%	-1.8%
86725	Whitehall city	Trempealeau	693	665	-28	-4.0%	94.5%	89.7%	-4.8%
86750	White Lake village	Langlade	136	156	20	14.7%	73.9%	73.6%	-0.3%
86775	Whitelaw village	Manitowoc	278	301	23	8.3%	97.9%	95.9%	-2.0%
86800	White Oak Springs town	Lafayette	35	43	8	22.9%	89.7%	87.8%	-2.0%
86850	White River town	Ashland	281	289	8	2.8%	90.1%	81.4%	-8.7%
86900	Whitestown town	Vernon	167	193	26	15.6%	69.0%	72.3%	3.3%
86925	Whitewater city	Jefferson, Walworth	4,132	4,766	634	15.3%	95.2%	93.2%	-2.0%
86950	Whitewater town	Walworth	552	578	26	4.7%	66.6%	64.1%	-2.5%
86975	Whiting village	Portage	690	750	60	8.7%	98.3%	92.5%	-5.8%
87025	Wien town	Marathon	248	283	35	14.1%	95.4%	95.6%	0.2%
87075	Wild Rose village	Waushara	312	316	4	1.3%	92.0%	83.8%	-8.2%
87125	Wilkinson town	Rusk	24	21	-3	-12.5%	66.7%	41.2%	-25.5%
87175	Willard town	Rusk	215	213	-2	-0.9%	67.2%	58.5%	-8.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
87200	Williams Bay village	Walworth	993	1,061	68	6.8%	56.0%	53.5%	-2.6%
87225	Williamstown town	Dodge	235	277	42	17.9%	97.1%	95.5%	-1.6%
87250	Willow town	Richland	182	195	13	7.1%	75.2%	78.0%	2.8%
87275	Willow Springs town	Lafayette	230	283	53	23.0%	97.0%	94.3%	-2.7%
87375	Wilson town	Dunn	192	201	9	4.7%	90.6%	85.9%	-4.7%
87400	Wilson town	Eau Claire	148	179	31	20.9%	80.0%	67.8%	-12.2%
87425	Wilson town	Lincoln	130	137	7	5.4%	38.9%	34.4%	-4.5%
87450	Wilson town	Rusk	30	38	8	26.7%	90.9%	67.9%	-23.1%
87475	Wilson village	St. Croix	66	72	6	9.1%	95.7%	92.3%	-3.3%
87500	Wilson town	Sheboygan	1,235	1,314	79	6.4%	93.3%	90.9%	-2.4%
87525	Wilton village	Monroe	214	203	-11	-5.1%	91.8%	87.1%	-4.7%
87550	Wilton town	Monroe	238	276	38	16.0%	89.8%	89.3%	-0.5%
87600	Winchester town	Vilas	214	190	-24	-11.2%	26.9%	22.0%	-4.9%
87650	Winchester town	Winnebago	620	692	72	11.6%	97.5%	92.4%	-5.1%
87700	Wind Point village	Racine	736	731	-5	-0.7%	97.2%	94.2%	-3.0%
87750	Windsor town	Dane	1,880	2,432	552	29.4%	97.8%	95.4%	-2.3%
87775	Winfield town	Sauk	265	315	50	18.9%	89.2%	87.7%	-1.5%
87800	Wingville town	Grant	126	127	1	0.8%	92.6%	87.6%	-5.1%
87900	Winneconne village	Winnebago	945	1,027	82	8.7%	89.2%	85.7%	-3.4%
87925	Winneconne town	Winnebago	838	948	110	13.1%	82.2%	80.8%	-1.3%
87975	Winter village	Sawyer	164	153	-11	-6.7%	82.0%	73.2%	-8.8%
88000	Winter town	Sawyer	381	402	21	5.5%	31.7%	28.5%	-3.2%
88050	Wiota town	Lafayette	331	349	18	5.4%	90.9%	87.3%	-3.7%
88150	Wisconsin Dells city	Adams, Columbia, Juneau, Sauk	1,019	1,148	129	12.7%	86.5%	77.3%	-9.2%
88200	Wisconsin Rapids city	Wood	7,970	8,296	326	4.1%	94.6%	92.5%	-2.1%
88275	Withee village	Clark	213	232	19	8.9%	93.4%	91.3%	-2.1%
88300	Withee town	Clark	262	292	30	11.5%	94.6%	94.2%	-0.4%
88325	Wittenberg village	Shawano	436	427	-9	-2.1%	92.6%	86.3%	-6.3%
88350	Wittenberg town	Shawano	298	312	14	4.7%	90.0%	86.0%	-4.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
88450	Wolf River town	Langlade	368	347	-21	-5.7%	49.3%	38.6%	-10.7%
88475	Wolf River town	Winnebago	515	538	23	4.5%	63.8%	63.4%	-0.4%
88500	Wonewoc village	Juneau	369	359	-10	-2.7%	94.1%	88.4%	-5.7%
88525	Wonewoc town	Juneau	288	270	-18	-6.3%	90.6%	87.4%	-3.2%
88575	Wood town	Wood	285	303	18	6.3%	92.2%	91.0%	-1.2%
88625	Woodboro town	Oneida	310	351	41	13.2%	52.4%	46.9%	-5.4%
88775	Woodland town	Sauk	247	272	25	10.1%	81.8%	68.7%	-13.1%
88825	Woodman village	Grant	45	49	4	8.9%	86.5%	84.5%	-2.1%
88850	Woodman town	Grant	75	77	2	2.7%	84.3%	68.8%	-15.5%
88875	Woodmohr town	Chippewa	319	342	23	7.2%	96.4%	94.5%	-1.9%
88900	Wood River town	Burnett	374	384	10	2.7%	68.5%	63.5%	-5.0%
88950	Woodruff town	Oneida	866	948	82	9.5%	57.2%	59.1%	2.0%
89000	Woodville town	Calumet	333	337	4	1.2%	98.8%	95.7%	-3.1%
89025	Woodville village	St. Croix	446	525	79	17.7%	97.0%	92.8%	-4.2%
89100	Worcester town	Price	699	695	-4	-0.6%	57.3%	54.3%	-3.0%
89125	Worden town	Clark	198	213	15	7.6%	94.3%	89.1%	-5.2%
89150	Wrightstown village	Brown, Outagamie	701	1,027	326	46.5%	96.2%	94.5%	-1.7%
89175	Wrightstown town	Brown	666	808	142	21.3%	97.8%	96.2%	-1.6%
89250	Wyalusing town	Grant	155	155	0	0.0%	84.7%	57.0%	-27.7%
89275	Wyeville village	Monroe	56	62	6	10.7%	93.3%	88.6%	-4.8%
89300	Wyocena village	Columbia	226	271	45	19.9%	93.8%	91.6%	-2.2%
89325	Wyocena town	Columbia	614	675	61	9.9%	86.0%	83.3%	-2.7%
89350	Wyoming town	Iowa	145	131	-14	-9.7%	68.4%	59.3%	-9.1%
89375	Wyoming town	Waupaca	111	131	20	18.0%	73.5%	61.2%	-12.3%
89425	York town	Clark	266	293	27	10.2%	95.3%	92.7%	-2.6%
89450	York town	Dane	252	246	-6	-2.4%	96.2%	90.8%	-5.4%
89475	York town	Green	216	341	125	57.9%	93.9%	93.2%	-0.7%
89575	Yorkville town	Racine	1,123	1,143	20	1.8%	97.4%	94.0%	-3.4%
89625	Yuba village	Richland	32	33	1	3.1%	94.1%	80.5%	-13.6%
			2,084,556	2,279,768					

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
	Note on Incorporations/Consolidations:								
	Lake Hallie village, Chippewa County, incorporated from part of Hall								
	Bristol village, Kenosha County, incorporated from part of Bristol tow								
	Rochester village and town, Racine County, consolidated to Roches								
	For more accurate comparisons, please use these values:								
	Hallie town/Lake Hallie v.	Chippewa	1,690	2,507	817	48.3%	97.7%	95.8%	-1.9%
	Bristol village/town	Kenosha	1,715	1,892	177	10.3%	94.3%	91.4%	-2.9%
	Rochester village	Racine	1,192	1,343	151	12.7%	96.5%	95.1%	-1.4%



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.I I

To receive and place on file the Liquor Violation Report.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. Report May 22 2023
2. 23LiqVio

CITY OF GREEN BAY POLICE DEPARTMENT

TO: PROTECTION AND POLICY COMMITTEE

FROM: COMMUNITY POLICING

DATE: May 22, 2023

From May 8, 2023, to May 22, 2023, there have been no DA referrals, no municipal citations, no warning and no property referrals.

Sincerely,

**LT. STEVE MAHONEY & LT. ERIN BLOCH
DISTRICT POLICING**

sp

2023 LIQUOR VIOLATIONS

Subject's Name	Tavern Name And Address	Date/Type Violation	Status of Case
Sukhjit (NMI) Singh 23-211395 2321139553401	Dousman Express 606 Dousman Street	Bartending without an Operator's License	Court Date: 5/4/23
Upinderjit (NMI) Singh 23-211395 W2023-534-012	Dousman Express 606 Dousman Street	No Licensed Operator on the Premises (Owner)	Issued Written Warning
Marco A. Vargas 23-211037 2321103772801	Dino Shell 1828 S Ashland Avenue	Bartending without an Operator's License	Court Date: 5/2/23; 5/3/23 Guilty by Default, \$187
Marco A. Vargas 23-211037 2321103772802	Dino Shell 1828 S Ashland Avenue	Sale of Alcohol to Underage Person(s)	Court Date: 5/2/23; Guilty by Default, \$502
Juan Perez Maldonado 23-210493 W2023-557-023	Taqueria Maldonado's 1706 Main Street	Violation of Stipulation/Agreement	Issued Written Warning
Fatehajeet (NMI) Singh 23-214991 2321499153401	Marathon Gas Station 610 E. Walnut St.	No Licensed Operator on the Premises (Owner)	Court Date: 05/24/23
Fatehajeet (NMI) Singh 23-214991 2321499153402	Marathon Gas Station 610 E. Walnut St.	Violation of Stipulation/Agreement	Court Date: 05/24/23
Shanta P. Jackson 23-214991 W2023-534-014	Marathon Gas Station 610 E. Walnut St.	Bartending without an Operator's License	Issued Written Warning
Keishia K. King 23-214991 W2023-534-013	Marathon Gas Station 610 E. Walnut St.	Bartending without an Operator's License	Issued Written Warning



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # M.12

To receive and place on file The Clerk's Office Licensing Report.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 5.17.2023 CLERK'S OFFICE LIQUOR LICENSING REPORT

**Licenses Granted By Common Council, but not yet
Issued as of (5/17/2023):**

COMPANY NAME	TRADE NAME	ADDRESS	TYPE	APPROVER	LICENSE GRANTED
Confetti's, Inc. (Renewal)	Confetti's	217 E. Walnut St.	"Class B" Liquor & Class "B" Beer License	Inspections	License Start Date of 7/1/2022. Extension granted until May 2023
Allegedly LLC	Allegedly	2056 Main St.	Class "B" Beer License	Inspections	Granted 12/20/2022, anticipated opening date of May 19.
Vast Properties Group LLC	Waterfront Market	401 N Washington St.	"Class B" Liquor & Class "B" Beer Reserve License	Inspections	Granted 1/17/2023

Summary of Licenses Granted and Issued for Available Liquor Licenses:

The City of Green Bay has a quota of 172 available “Class B Liquor” and Class “B” Beer licenses under state law.

The City of Green Bay issued 171 of the 172 available “Class B Liquor” and Class “B” Beer licenses.

The City granted 1 license that has not yet been issued (see page 1).

The Clerk’s Office cannot take any more applications for available liquor licenses at this time.

The City of Green Bay set forth by resolution that available liquor licenses are considered to those who apply with fully completed applications and first in time.

Liquor License Checklist:

(<https://greenbaywi.gov/264/Liquor-Sales---New-Establishments>)

The Clerk’s Office shall only accept the number of applications that we have available licenses.

Summary of Licenses Granted and Issued for Reserve Liquor Licenses:

The City of Green Bay has a quota of 23 Class B Combination Liquor and Beer Reserve licenses under state law.

The City of Green Bay has 1 Class B Combination Liquor and Beer Reserve issued.

The City of Green Bay has 1 Class B Combination Liquor and Beer Reserve license pending.

The difference between a Reserve and available liquor license is that a reserve is at a cost of a one-time non-refundable \$10,000 issuance fee (plus license fee). The license shall not be transferred or surrendered.

**REPORT OF THE PROTECTION AND POLICY COMMITTEE
GRANTING OPERATOR LICENSES**

June 6, 2023

The Protection and Policy Committee wishes to request that the following applications for Operator Licenses be granted. Stipulations placed on licenses shall continue to be in effect.

OPERATOR LICENSES

Baran, Jacy L
Beno, Thomas J
Berman, Aaron J
Cruz Arzola, Miguel A
DeBaker, Desiree D
Ehnert, Jarrod
Forest, Skylar
Foytik, Bradley A
Henry, Denzel
Herman, Michael J
Horan, Steven J
Jansen, Ken R
Jenkins, Brianna R
Jordan, Elizabeth A
Ly, Phasor B
Maccaux, Robert W
Matzke, Owen D
Pardee, Gina M
Pope, Andrew J
Process, Sara B
Rehberg, Richard D
Rubio Candelario, Maria
Sanchez Santamaria,
Schilawski, Michael J
Smith, Destiny A
Snider, Jeshua J
Thielke, Tina M
Thompson, Richard E
Wheelock, Nicole L
Wolfe, Edwin H



Report to the Green Bay Plan Commission

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

Dena Mooney, Staff

AGENDA ITEM # O.I

To approve a request for Conditional Use Permit for a covered porch exceeding the front yard setback in a Low-Density Residential District (R-I) at 1500 Grignon Street. (Public Hearing held 5.22.23.)

BACKGROUND

Primary Address: 1500 Grignon Street

Aldersperson /District: Alder. Galvin / District 4

Reason for Request: The proposed CUP would allow for a covered porch to extend 1.5 feet into the front yard setback.

Surrounding Zoning and Land Uses:

SUBJECT Low Density Residential (R1) Single-Family Residential

NORTH Low Density Residential (R1) Single and Two-Family Residential

SOUTH Village of Allouez Single-Family Residential

EAST Low Density Residential (R1) Single and Two-Family Residential

WEST Low Density Residential (R1) Single-Family Residential

Surrounding Zoning and Land Uses: The 2022 Smart Growth Green Bay Comprehensive Plan currently recommends Low Density Residential. A single-family home is on the property, which is compatible with the Comprehensive Plan.

Report: Rafael Santos, property owner, requests to add a 5'x30' covered porch on the front of his home. Based on lot averaging, the front yard setback is 25.5 feet. The home is currently setback 29 feet in the front. With the addition of the covered porch, the front yard setback would be 24 feet putting the porch 1.5 feet into the front yard setback.

Article VI Residential Districts, Division I General Provisions Section 44-554 Table 44-2 notes that "covered porches are permitted in the front setback compliant with the conditional use permit requirements found in Section 44-83."

The applicant is remodeling the home, which includes the addition of a 5'x30' covered porch. The applicant has provided building elevations. In addition to the covered porch, the applicant is adding an addition and attached garage to the rear of the home.

Section 44-83(e) of the Zoning Ordinance establishes seven standards for the Plan Commission to consider when reviewing a CUP:

- (1) The establishment, maintenance, or operation of the conditional use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or general welfare.
- (2) The establishment of the conditional use will not impede the normal and orderly development and improvement of the surrounding property for uses permitted in the district.
- (3) The conditional use, its exterior architectural design, and functional plan of any proposed structure will not be injurious to the use of other property in the immediate vicinity nor substantially diminish or impair property values within the surrounding neighborhood.
- (4) Adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, and/or necessary facilities have been or are being provided.
- (5) Adequate measures have been or will be taken to provide ingress and egress and so designed as to minimize traffic congestion.
- (6) Adequate parking facilities as specified in Article XVIII of this chapter.
- (7) The conditional use shall, in all other respects, conform to the applicable regulations of the district in which it is located and all other applicable City ordinances.

Staff believes the extension of the covered front porch 1.5 feet into the front yard setback is acceptable and meets the standards for CUP approval. Alder Galvin and adjacent property owners within 200-feet have been notified of the request. As of the drafting of this report, staff has received one call from a neighbor questioning if the covered porch would block their view.

RECOMMENDATION



Approval of the request, subject to:

- a. Site plan approval by the Office of Community and Economic Development.
- b. Compliance with all other regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code.

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. ZP 23-15 Location Map
2. ZP 23-15 Application
3. ZP 23-15 Narrative
4. ZP 23-15 Concept Building Plans
5. ZP 23-15 Photos



Proposed CUP

200' Property Owner Notice

This is a compilation of records and data located in various City of Green Bay offices and is to be used for reference purposes only. The City of Green Bay is not responsible for any inaccuracies or unauthorized use of the information contained within. No warranties are implied. Map prepared by DM.

Zoning Petition (ZP 23-15) Proposed Conditional Use Permit (CUP) at 1500 Grignon Street





REQUEST FOR CITY ACTION PLAN COMMISSION

Community and Economic
Development Department
100 N. Jefferson Street, Rm 608
Green Bay, WI 54301-5026
(920) 448-3400 - phone
(920) 448-3426 - fax
www.greenbaywi.gov

Location of Property: 1500 Grignon St Green Bay Wisconsin 54301
Parcel Number(s): 17-1034
Petitioner(s): Rafael Santos Date: 4-17-2023
Email: Rafael3919705@gmail.com Phone Number: 920 391 9705
Address: 1500 Grignon St City: Green Bay State: WI Zip: 54301
Property Owner: Rafael Santos Phone Number: 920 391 9705

Submit this Request Form and all required attachments to the Community and Economic Development Department, Room 608, City Hall

To: Honorable Mayor and Common Council, c/o City Clerk

I, Rafael Santos, respectfully request that the City of Green Bay take the following action:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| ☐ | Rezoned Property (\$375.00 Review Fee) |
| ☒ | Conditional Use and CUP Amendment, including Single Lot Duplexes (\$375.00 Review Fee) |
| ☐ | PUD and PUD Amendments (\$425.00 Review Fee) |
| ☐ | Approve Preliminary City/Extraterritorial Subdivision Plat (\$150.00 + \$35.00 per Lot/Outlot Review Fee) |
| ☐ | Approve Preliminary Condominium Plat (\$250.00 + \$35.00 per Lot/Outlot/Lot Equivalent Review Fee) |
| ☐ | Approve Preliminary City/Extraterritorial Certified Survey Map (\$250.00 Review Fee) |
| ☐ | Approve Final City/Extraterritorial Subdivision Plat (\$150.00 Review Fee) |
| ☐ | Approve Final Condominium Plat (\$150.00 Review Fee) |
| ☐ | Grant a City/Extraterritorial Subdivision/CSM Variance (\$150.00 Review Fee) |
| ☐ | Development District Map Amendment (\$200.00 Administration Fee) |
| ☐ | Official Map Amendment (\$200.00 Administration Fee) |
| ☐ | Plat of Right-of-Way (\$200.00 Administration Fee) |
| ☐ | Discontinue a Public Utility Easement (\$200.00 Administration Fee) |
| ☐ | Street Name Change (\$200.00 Administration Fee) |
| ☐ | Declare City Property "City Surplus" (\$200.00 Administration Fee) |
| ☐ | Vacate a Street/Alley/Pedestrian Way (\$300.00 Administration Fee) PLEASE FILL OUT PAGE 2 OF APPLICATION |
| ☐ | Closure of Street/Alley/Pedestrian Way (\$300.00 Administration Fee) PLEASE FILL OUT PAGE 2 OF APPLICATION |
| ☐ | Comprehensive Plan Amendments (\$275.00 Review Fee) |
| ☐ | Other (\$200.00 Administration Fee): |

Owner Signature: [Signature] Date: 4-17-2023

Petitioner Signature(s): _____

Checklist of required attachments:

- Map ☒
- Legal Description Plot of Astor Lot 6 Block 155
- Applicant Narrative Describing Project ☒
- All Other Pertinent Information

For office use only: Review Fee: 375.00 Receipt No.: 2703236-17 Zoning Petition No.: 2P 23-15

We want to extend are front porch

of are house currently has a small 2x3 ft porch

What we want is a 30x5 ft porch

Because we want to enjoy the front of are house (home) and also make are home to look even nicer

We want to complete this project using the best materials there it is need it for making are home look even nicer than is current look

how we want to do it is having a concrete slab 30x5 on the front 4 columns on front section of the porch covering the siding whit white soffit and fascia


When we finish whit are project are house is going to look 100 better and are town too



A100A FUTURE PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN


- A104 FUTURE PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN
A10 EXISTING FLOOR PLAN
A11 PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN
A21 ELEVATIONS
A22 ELEVATIONS
A23 ELEVATIONS
A3.1 SECTIONS & DETAILS
S1.1 FOUNDATION PLAN

[illegible]




EXISTING EAST ELEVATION
3/16" = 1'-0"


[illegible]




EXISTING NORTH ELEVATION



EXISTING SOUTH ELEVATION



PROPOSED NORTH ELEVATION



PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATION

DATE 6/24/22	SHEET A2.2	PROJECT NUMBER 22201
-----------------	---------------	-------------------------


PROPOSED ADDITIONS FOR:
RAFAEL SANTOS
1500 GRIGNON STREET
GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN

Design Associate:



325 E. KALB AVE.
GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN
(920) 680-4060
efisher_corporate@yahoo.com

[illegible]



EXISTING WEST ELEVATION

DATE 5/13/22	SHEET A2.3	PROJECT NUMBER 22201
-----------------	---------------	-------------------------



PROPOSED ADDITIONS FOR:
RAFAEL SANTOS
1500 GRIGNON STREET
GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN



Concept One

One Design & Development

[illegible]





NAILING
(COMMON NAILS-UNLESS OTHER
CONNECTION IS REQUIRED)

TRUSS TO TOP PLATE BRIDGES TO TOP PLATE TOP PLATE TO STUD END STUD TO STUD PLATE BUILT-UP STUDS, FACE NAIL DOUBLE TOP PLATES, FACE NAIL TOP PLATES, LAPS AND INTERSECTIONS, FACE NAIL CONTINUOUS HEADER, MULTIPLE PIECES CONTINUOUS HEADER TO STUD, TOENAIL BUILT-UP CORNER STUDS 14/32" OSB ROOF SHEATHING	7/16 OR 1/2" OSB WALL SHEATHING	SIMPSON HI-2 2x6D 2x6D 6x6D TOENAIL OR 3-16D, END NAIL 16D AT 12" O.C. 16D AT 12" O.C. 4-16D 16D AT 12" O.C. 16D ALONG EACH EDGE 4-8D 16D AT 24" O.C. 10D AT 6" O.C. AT SUPPORTED EDGES INTERMEDIATE MEMBERS BD AT 6" O.C. BD AT 12" O.C. AT SUPPORTED EDGES INTERMEDIATE MEMBERS
---	---------------------------------	---

SHEATHING	ATTACHMENT
SHEATHING	ATTACHMENT

MARK	LOCATION	SEAMING	ATTACHMENT	SILL BOLT
SM-1	SEE PLAN	NHL 7/16" APA RATED SHEATHING	BD WALLS 6" BD WALLS 4 1/2" O.C. IN FIELD	1/2" DIA. BOLTS AT EACH CORNER OF PANEL. 2 X 3/8" PLATE W/ANCHER
ALL OTHER WALLS - 7/16" OSB SAME NAILING PATTERN				1/2" DIA. BOLTS AT 32" O.C.



1500 Grignon Street





Report to the Green Bay Plan Commission

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

David Buck, Staff

AGENDA ITEM # O.2

To amend the City's Comprehensive Plan for the property located at 2132 Deckner Ave (#21-2284-2) from a split of Low Density Residential and Other Semi-Public or Semi-Public to Other Semi-Public or Semi-Public. (Public Hearing held 5.22.23.)

BACKGROUND

Reason for Request: To allow land transfer and establishment of outdoor recreation use for Preble High School track and field program.

Surrounding Zoning and Land Uses:

Subject Property: Varied-Density Residential (R3) | Religious Institution

North: Office Residential (OR) | Medical and Office

South: Public Institutional (PI) | Public School Athletic Field

East: Public Institutional (PI) | Public School

West: Varied-Density Residential (R3) | Multiple Family Residential

Comprehensive Plan: The 2022 Smart Growth Green Bay Comprehensive Plan currently recommends low density residential uses.

Report: The proposed amendment will allow a zone change to allow a 0.22 acre/9,608 sq ft portion of the property to be attached to the School Districts property and utilized by the School District/Preble High School for outdoor sporting facility placement.

The Smart Growth 2022 Comprehensive Plan establishes the following review criteria for periodic amendments:

1. The change is consistent with the goals and objectives or other elements of Smart Growth 2022. Staff contends the proposed amendment is consistent.
2. The change does not create an adverse impact on public facilities and services that cannot be mitigated. Public facilities and services include roads, sewers, water supply, drainage, schools, police, fire, and parks.
The proposed area is located within the Urban Service Area and there are no significant issues relative to services or facilities.
3. Development resulting from the change does not create an undue impact on surrounding properties. Such development should be consistent with the physical character of the surrounding neighborhood or would upgrade and improve its viability.
The change will allow minor expansion of public school facilities already in place and should not have a negative impact on the surrounding neighborhood.

4. The change allows a more viable transition to the planned uses on adjacent properties than the current land use.

The proposed change in land use does not affect the transition of uses from its current condition.

5. The change does not have a significant adverse impact on the natural environment including trees, slopes, and groundwater, or the impact could be mitigated by improvements on the site or in the same vicinity.

There are no known environmental impacts.

6. There is a change in City policies or neighborhood characteristics that would justify a change.

Not applicable.

7. The change corrects an error made in the original plan.

Though not an error, the proposed land-use changes allow for orderly redevelopment and program changes for the School District.

8. There is a community or regional need identified in the Comprehensive Plan for the proposed land use or service.

Not applicable.

9. The change helps the City meet its life-cycle and affordable housing goals.

Not applicable.

10. The change does not adversely impact any landmarks or other historically significant structures or properties unless mitigated through relocation, commemoration, or dedication.

There are no landmarks or historically significant features that are being modified as part of the request.

This land-use designation change will allow the area to be utilized for Public School outdoor recreation and sports programs, which are hindered at this time by a lack of necessary area. A request is contained within this meeting packet for rezoning (ZP 23-16) the property.

The Public Participation Plan for Periodic Comprehensive Plan Amendments is being followed for this request and notices have been provided regarding the public hearings for this meeting. Ald. C. Stevens, Olde Preble Neighborhood Association, and neighbors within 200 ft. have been notified of the meeting based on Plan Commission policy. Staff has not received any inquiries about the request as of the drafting of this report.


RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the request to amend the City's Comprehensive Plan from a split of Low Density Residential and Public/Semi-Public to Public/Semi-Public.

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. CPA 23-04, ZP 23-16 Map
2. CPA 23-04 and ZP 23-16 Application



- Proposed CPA & Zone Change
- 400' Property Owner Notice

This is a compilation of records and data located in various City of Green Bay offices and is to be used for reference purposes only. The City of Green Bay is not responsible for any inaccuracies or unauthorized use of the information contained within. No warranties are implied.
Map prepared by DB

(CPA 23-04 & ZP 23-16)
Proposed Comprehensive Plan
Amendment & Zone Change at
2132 Deckner Avenue





REQUEST FOR CITY ACTION PLAN COMMISSION

Community and Economic
Development Department
100 N. Jefferson Street, Rm 608
Green Bay, WI 54301-5026
(920) 448-3400 - phone
(920) 448-3426 - fax
www.greenbaywi.gov

Location of Property: Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay / Green Bay Preble High School
Parcel Number(s): Part of 21-2284-2
Petitioner(s): Green Bay Area Public Schools Date: 4/2/2023
Email: jjdepouw@gbaps.org Phone Number: 920-448-2180
Address: 2132 Deckner Ave City: Green Bay State: WI Zip: 54302
Property Owner: Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay Phone Number: 920-468-0713

To: Honorable Mayor and Common Council, c/o City Clerk

I, Josh Depouw, respectfully request that the City of Green Bay take the following action:

Rezone Property (\$375.00 Review Fee)

- Conditional Use and CUP Amendment, including Single Lot Duplexes (\$375.00 Review Fee)
- PUD and PUD Amendments (\$425.00 Review Fee)
- Approve Preliminary City/Extraterritorial Subdivision Plat (\$150.00 + \$35.00 per Lot/Outlot Review Fee)
- Approve Preliminary Condominium Plat (\$250.00 + \$35.00 per Lot/Outlot/Lot Equivalent Review Fee)
- Approve Preliminary City/Extraterritorial Certified Survey Map (\$250.00 Review Fee)
- Approve Final City/Extraterritorial Subdivision Plat (\$150.00 Review Fee)
- Approve Final Condominium Plat (\$150.00 Review Fee)
- Grant a City/Extraterritorial Subdivision/CSM Variance (\$150.00 Review Fee)
- Development District Map Amendment (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
- Official Map Amendment (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
- Plat of Right-of-Way (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
- Discontinue a Public Utility Easement (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
- Street Name Change (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
- Declare City Property "City Surplus" (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
- Vacate a Street/Alley/Pedestrian Way (\$300.00 Administration Fee) PLEASE FILL OUT PAGE 2 OF APPLICATION
- Closure of Street/Alley/Pedestrian Way (\$300.00 Administration Fee) PLEASE FILL OUT PAGE 2 OF APPLICATION

Comprehensive Plan Amendments (\$275.00 Review Fee)

Other (\$200.00 Administration Fee): _____

Owner Signature: Robert Heyer - Council President Date: 4-3-23
Petitioner Signature(s): Josh Depouw

Checklist of required attachments:

- Map
- Legal Description
- Applicant Narrative Describing Project
- All Other Pertinent Information

For office use only:

Review Fee: _____ Receipt No.: _____ Zoning Petition No.: _____

REQUEST FOR CITY ACTION PLAN COMMISSION

**PLEASE FILL OUT FOR:
VACATE OR CLOSE STREET/ALLEY/PEDESTRIAN WAY**

Per WI State Statue 66.1003:

All owners who abut the area proposed for vacation or closure, including all members of LLCs or ownership groups, must sign below before submitting this application.

If you are **NOT** vacating or closing a full street/alley/pedestrian way, you must also get signatures from one-third of owners of parcels within 2,650 ft. of the end of the vacation/closure area.

[illegible]

Please contact the Community and Economic Development Department at (920) 448-3400 with any questions.

GREEN BAY PREBLE HIGH SCHOOL – REZONING REQUEST

For:

GREEN BAY PREBLE HIGH SCHOOL – STADIUM REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Located:

222 DECKNER AVE, GREEN BAY, WI 54302

Date Prepared:

APRIL 3, 2023

Prepared for:

**GREEN BAY AREA PUBLIC SCHOOLS
200 SOUTH BROADWAY
GREEN BAY, WI 54303**

Prepared by:

**RETTLER CORPORATION
3317 BUSINESS PARK DRIVE
STEVENS POINT, WI 54482
Tel: 715.341.2633**

Rettler Project No.: **23.014**



1.0 OVERVIEW OF REZONING REQUEST

1.1 Project Description

The Green Bay Area Public School District is redeveloping their existing stadium facility at Green Bay Preble High School. The project will involve a renovated running track, synthetic turf athletic field playing surface for multi-sport use and associated improvements for grandstand seating, plaza spaces and buildings.

1.3 Rezoning Purpose

The purpose of the rezoning request is that as part of the renovated running track the current long jump and triple jump facilities are on the inside of the track and with converting the inside of the track to synthetic turf, the placement of the long jump and triple jump facility will be placed to the north of the running track on lands currently owned by the Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay. This proposed jumping facility location will allow for the runways to meet WIAA length recommendations and not have the sand from the jumping pits migrating into the synthetic turf playing surface. The Green Bay Area Public School District and Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay are in agreement to sell a portion of the Church's property to the District to allow for this improvement to occur. Attached is an email from the Church with their support of the proposed land transfer.

Respectfully submitted,
RETTLER CORPORATION




Michael K. Helmrick, PLA
Project Manager

Rettler Corporation
3317 Business Park Drive
Stevens Point, WI 54482
Tel: 715.341.2633
mhelmrick@rettler.com

EXHIBITS

1. PARCEL MAP
2. PROJECT PLAN W/ EXISTING AND PROPOSED PROPERTY LINES AND PARCEL AREA
3. EMAIL OF SUPPORT FROM CURRENT PROPERTY OWNER

1. PARCEL MAP



This is a custom map created by an online user of GIS map services provided by Brown County Municipalities, Brown County, Brown County WI

Part of Brown County WI

Map printed on 4/3/2023

1:2,400
1 inch = 200 feet*
1 inch = 0.0379 miles*
**original page size: 8.5"x11"*
Appropriate format depends on zoom level

Parcel ownership key

- Parcel Boundary
- Condominium
- Gap or Overlap
- "hooks" indicate parcel ownership crosses a line


- Parcel line
- Right of Way line
- Meander line
- Lines between deeds or lots
- Historic Parcel Line
- Vacated Right of Way

A complete key (legend) is available at:
tinyurl.com/BrownDogLegend



(920) 448-6480
www.browncountywi.gov

**2. PROJECT PLAN W/ EXISTING AND PROPOSED PROPERTY LINES AND
PARCEL AREA**



SITE LAYOUT - GENERAL NOTES

1. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT DIGGER'S HOTLINE 5 WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO START OF DEMOLITION/CONSTRUCTION
2. ALL PROPOSED DIMENSIONS ARE REFERENCED PARALLEL OR PERPENDICULAR TO THE PROPOSED FEATURES SHOWN.
3. ALL AREAS AND SITE FEATURES DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL BE RESTORED TO THE ORIGINAL CONDITION.
4. SOD ALL GENERAL LANDSCAPE AREAS DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROJECT MANUAL SPECIFICATIONS.
5. ALL SITE ENTITIES OUTSIDE THE PROJECT LIMIT LINE SHALL NOT BE DISTURBED.
6. DISCLAIMER: SHOWN SYNTHETIC TURF FIELD STRIPING IS FOR REFERENCE ONLY. ALL NECESSARY FIELD MARKINGS SHALL BE INCLUDED TO MEET NFHS AND WIAA FOOTBALL STANDARDS.
7. PROVIDE TICK MARKS AS NECESSARY FOR SOCCER AND LACROSSE FIELD LAYOUT.

SITE LAYOUT - LEGEND

- 5" CONCRETE PAVEMENT
6" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 3"
- DECORATIVE CONCRETE PAVEMENT, 5" DEPTH
W/ DARK GRAY COLORED 2" BANDING
6" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 3"
- 8" CONCRETE PAVEMENT
8" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 3"
- 3.5" HOT MIX ASPHALT
8" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 1 1/2"
- HOT MIX ASPHALT W/ TRACK SURFACING
- 1/2" TRACK SURFACING
- 3" HOT MIX ASPHALT
- 8" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 1 1/2"
- GEOTEXTILE FABRIC
- 5" CONCRETE PAVEMENT
6" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 3"
WITH 1/2" TRACK SURFACING
- MASON SAND
- SYNTHETIC TURF ATHLETIC FIELD
- SYNTHETIC TURF W/ INFILL (BY OTHERS)
- SHOCK PAD (BY OTHERS)
- 2" FINISH DRAINAGE STONE
- 6" BASE DRAINAGE STONE
- TYPE SAS GEOTEXTILE FABRIC
- UNDERDRAIN TRENCH
- 3" LANDSCAPE STONE
- LANDSCAPE ISALND
- RETAINING WALL
- APPROX. LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION

LAYOUT KEYNOTES

- 1 12" x 12" CONCRETE CURB NAILER
- 2 12" CURB NAILER SPEED DOWEL LOCATIONS
(DISCONTINUE REBAR INSTALLATION @ DOWEL LOCATIONS)
- 3 FOOTBALL GOAL POST W/ TURF ACCESS BOX
- 4 SOCCER GOAL
- 5 POLE VAULT
- 6 LONG JUMP / TRIPLE JUMP
- 7 ACO SPORT SY/STEM 3000 SLOT DRAIN
- 8 ACO SPORT SY/STEM 3000 CATCH BASIN
- 9 6' H X 12'W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 10 4'H BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCING
- 11 6'H BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCING
- 12 4'Hx4'W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 13 4'Hx12'W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 14 6'Hx4'W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 15 6'Hx12'W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 16 6'Hx14'W ORNAMENTAL FENCE GATE, MASONRY COLUMNS, & ENTRY ARCH
- 17 6'Hx4'W ORNAMENTAL FENCE GATE
- 18 24" ACCEPTING CURB AND GUTTER
- 19 24" REJECTING CURB AND GUTTER
- 20 3' CURB TERMINUS

REV	DATE	ISSUED FOR
03/24/23	REVIEW	
DRAWN:	R. PETZKE	
CHECKED:	R. BARZ	
DOCUMENT NO.	23.014	

RETTLER
corporation

3317 Business Park Drive, Stevens Point, WI 54482
Telephone: 715-341-2555, Fax: 715-341-0511
email: info@rettlr.com, website: www.rettl.com

GREEN BAY PREBLE HIGH SCHOOL
ATHLETIC FIELD REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

GREEN BAY AREA PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
200 SOUTH BROADWAY
GREEN BAY
WISCONSIN 54303

SITE LAYOUT PLAN

3. EMAIL OF SUPPORT FROM THE CURRENT PROPERTY OWNER

Via email 4/3/2023 12:02 PM:

The Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay is in support of the proposed running track and long jump/triple jump facility redevelopment project on lands currently owned by the Church. The Church is in agreement to transfer the property via a land sale to the Green Bay Area Public School District to allow for the proposed track facility improvements to be on District owned property.

Robert Geyer

Atonement Lutheran Church Council President



Report to the Green Bay Plan Commission

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

David Buck, Staff

AGENDA ITEM # O.3

To rezone part of the property located at 2132 Deckner Ave (#21-2284-2) from Varied-Density Residential (R3) to Public Institutional (PI). (Public Hearing held on 5.22.23.)

BACKGROUND

Reason for Request: The proposed rezoning will allow land transfer and establishment of outdoor recreation use for Preble High School track and field program.

Surrounding Zoning and Land Uses:

Subject Property: Varied-Density Residential (R3) | Religious Institution

North: Office Residential (OR) | Medical and Office

South: Public Institutional (PI) | Public School Athletic Field

East: Public Institutional (PI) | Public School

West: Varied-Density Residential (R3) | Multiple Family Residential

Comprehensive Plan: The 2022 Smart Growth Green Bay Comprehensive Plan currently recommends low density residential uses. However, application CPA 23-04 recommends a change to Public/Semi-Public (PUB). This rezoning is compliant with the PUB future land use designation.

Report: This zone change application involves a 0.22 acre/9,608 sq ft portion of the property (roughly 34-38 ft by 245 ft) located on the southern property line of Atonement Lutheran Church's property. It includes approximately 38 feet of frontage on South Danz Avenue and is currently an unutilized greenspace/setback area for Atonement Lutheran Church parking facilities.

The petitioner states that the School District is redeveloping the existing Preble High School stadium facilities and the current long jump and triple jump facilities need to be placed to the north of the running track. The land area needed for this relocation is currently owned by the Atonement Lutheran Church. The Green Bay Area Public School District and Atonement Lutheran Church have agreed to transfer the subject land area to allow for this improvement to occur. However, there are inconsistencies in future land-use and zoning prohibiting the transfer. The property and the subject area are currently zoned Varied-Density Residential (R3), which allows religious institutions but does not permit outdoor recreation by-right. Just as important is that the zoning designation does not match the Public Institutional (PI) zoning designation of the adjacent Public School District property to which it is intended to be attached. This change in zoning will allow the property transfer to take place and continued improvement to the school facilities to take place.

Ald. C. Stevens, Olde Preble Neighborhood Association, and neighbors within 200 ft. have been notified of the meeting based on Plan Commission policy. Staff has not received any inquiries about the request as of the drafting of this report.


RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the request to rezone the property from Varied-Density Residential (R3) to Public Institutional (PI).

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. CPA 23-04, ZP 23-16 Map
2. CPA 23-04 and ZP 23-16 Application



 Proposed CPA & Zone Change

 400' Property Owner Notice

This is a compilation of records and data located in various City of Green Bay offices and is to be used for reference purposes only. The City of Green Bay is not responsible for any inaccuracies or unauthorized use of the information contained within. No warranties are implied.
Map prepared by DB

(CPA 23-04 & ZP 23-16)
Proposed Comprehensive Plan
Amendment & Zone Change at
2132 Deckner Avenue





REQUEST FOR CITY ACTION PLAN COMMISSION

Community and Economic
Development Department
100 N. Jefferson Street, Rm 608
Green Bay, WI 54301-5026
(920) 448-3400 - phone
(920) 448-3426 - fax
www.greenbaywi.gov

Location of Property: Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay / Green Bay Preble High School
Parcel Number(s): Part of 21-2284-2
Petitioner(s): Green Bay Area Public Schools Date: 4/2/2023
Email: jjdepouw@gbaps.org Phone Number: 920-448-2180
Address: 2132 Deckner Ave City: Green Bay State: WI Zip: 54302
Property Owner: Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay Phone Number: 920-468-0713

To: Honorable Mayor and Common Council, c/o City Clerk

I, Josh Depouw, respectfully request that the City of Green Bay take the following action:

Rezone Property (\$375.00 Review Fee)

Conditional Use and CUP Amendment, including Single Lot Duplexes (\$375.00 Review Fee)
PUD and PUD Amendments (\$425.00 Review Fee)
Approve Preliminary City/Extraterritorial Subdivision Plat (\$150.00 + \$35.00 per Lot/Outlot Review Fee)
Approve Preliminary Condominium Plat (\$250.00 + \$35.00 per Lot/Outlot/Lot Equivalent Review Fee)
Approve Preliminary City/Extraterritorial Certified Survey Map (\$250.00 Review Fee)
Approve Final City/Extraterritorial Subdivision Plat (\$150.00 Review Fee)
Approve Final Condominium Plat (\$150.00 Review Fee)
Grant a City/Extraterritorial Subdivision/CSM Variance (\$150.00 Review Fee)
Development District Map Amendment (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
Official Map Amendment (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
Plat of Right-of-Way (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
Discontinue a Public Utility Easement (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
Street Name Change (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
Declare City Property "City Surplus" (\$200.00 Administration Fee)
Vacate a Street/Alley/Pedestrian Way (\$300.00 Administration Fee) PLEASE FILL OUT PAGE 2 OF APPLICATION
Closure of Street/Alley/Pedestrian Way (\$300.00 Administration Fee) PLEASE FILL OUT PAGE 2 OF APPLICATION
Comprehensive Plan Amendments (\$275.00 Review Fee)

Other (\$200.00 Administration Fee): _____

Owner Signature: Robert Heyer - Council President Date: 4-3-23

Petitioner Signature(s): Josh Depouw

Checklist of required attachments:

- Map
- Legal Description
- Applicant Narrative Describing Project
- All Other Pertinent Information

For office use only:

Review Fee: _____ Receipt No.: _____ Zoning Petition No.: _____

REQUEST FOR CITY ACTION PLAN COMMISSION

**PLEASE FILL OUT FOR:
VACATE OR CLOSE STREET/ALLEY/PEDESTRIAN WAY**

Per WI State Statue 66.1003:

All owners who abut the area proposed for vacation or closure, including all members of LLCs or ownership groups, must sign below before submitting this application.

If you are **NOT** vacating or closing a full street/alley/pedestrian way, you must also get signatures from one-third of owners of parcels within 2,650 ft. of the end of the vacation/closure area.

[illegible]

Please contact the Community and Economic Development Department at (920) 448-3400 with any questions.

GREEN BAY PREBLE HIGH SCHOOL – REZONING REQUEST

For:

GREEN BAY PREBLE HIGH SCHOOL – STADIUM REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Located:

222 DECKNER AVE, GREEN BAY, WI 54302

Date Prepared:

APRIL 3, 2023

Prepared for:

**GREEN BAY AREA PUBLIC SCHOOLS
200 SOUTH BROADWAY
GREEN BAY, WI 54303**

Prepared by:

**RETTLER CORPORATION
3317 BUSINESS PARK DRIVE
STEVENS POINT, WI 54482
Tel: 715.341.2633**

Rettler Project No.: **23.014**



1.0 OVERVIEW OF REZONING REQUEST

1.1 Project Description

The Green Bay Area Public School District is redeveloping their existing stadium facility at Green Bay Preble High School. The project will involve a renovated running track, synthetic turf athletic field playing surface for multi-sport use and associated improvements for grandstand seating, plaza spaces and buildings.

1.3 Rezoning Purpose

The purpose of the rezoning request is that as part of the renovated running track the current long jump and triple jump facilities are on the inside of the track and with converting the inside of the track to synthetic turf, the placement of the long jump and triple jump facility will be placed to the north of the running track on lands currently owned by the Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay. This proposed jumping facility location will allow for the runways to meet WIAA length recommendations and not have the sand from the jumping pits migrating into the synthetic turf playing surface. The Green Bay Area Public School District and Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay are in agreement to sell a portion of the Church's property to the District to allow for this improvement to occur. Attached is an email from the Church with their support of the proposed land transfer.

Respectfully submitted,
RETTLER CORPORATION




Michael K. Helmrick, PLA
Project Manager

Rettler Corporation
3317 Business Park Drive
Stevens Point, WI 54482
Tel: 715.341.2633
mhelmrick@rettler.com

EXHIBITS

1. PARCEL MAP
2. PROJECT PLAN W/ EXISTING AND PROPOSED PROPERTY LINES AND PARCEL AREA
3. EMAIL OF SUPPORT FROM CURRENT PROPERTY OWNER

1. PARCEL MAP



This is a custom map created by an online user of GIS map services provided by Brown County Municipalities, Brown County, Brown County WI

Part of Brown County WI

Map printed on 4/3/2023

1:2,400
1 inch = 200 feet*
1 inch = 0.0379 miles*
**original page size: 8.5"x11"*
Appropriate format depends on zoom level

Parcel ownership key

- Parcel Boundary
- Condominium
- Gap or Overlap
- "hooks" indicate parcel ownership crosses a line


- Parcel line
- Right of Way line
- Meander line
- Lines between deeds or lots
- Historic Parcel Line
- Vacated Right of Way

A complete key (legend) is available at:
tinyurl.com/BrownDogLegend



(920) 448-6480
www.browncountywi.gov

**2. PROJECT PLAN W/ EXISTING AND PROPOSED PROPERTY LINES AND
PARCEL AREA**



SITE LAYOUT - GENERAL NOTES

1. CONTRACTOR SHALL CONTACT DIGGER'S HOTLINE 5 WORKING DAYS PRIOR TO START OF DEMOLITION/CONSTRUCTION
2. ALL PROPOSED DIMENSIONS ARE REFERENCED PARALLEL OR PERPENDICULAR TO THE PROPOSED FEATURES SHOWN.
3. ALL AREAS AND SITE FEATURES DISTURBED BY CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES SHALL BE RESTORED TO THE ORIGINAL CONDITION.
4. SOD ALL GENERAL LANDSCAPE AREAS DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH PROJECT MANUAL SPECIFICATIONS.
5. ALL SITE ENTITIES OUTSIDE THE PROJECT LIMIT LINE SHALL NOT BE DISTURBED.
6. DISCLAIMER: SHOWN SYNTHETIC TURF FIELD STRIPING IS FOR REFERENCE ONLY. ALL NECESSARY FIELD MARKINGS SHALL BE INCLUDED TO MEET NFHS AND WIAA FOOTBALL STANDARDS.
7. PROVIDE TICK MARKS AS NECESSARY FOR SOCCER AND LACROSSE FIELD LAYOUT.

SITE LAYOUT - LEGEND

- 5" CONCRETE PAVEMENT
6" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 3"
- DECORATIVE CONCRETE PAVEMENT, 5" DEPTH
W/ DARK GRAY COLORED 2" BANDING
6" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 3"
- 8" CONCRETE PAVEMENT
8" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 3"
- 3.5" HOT MIX ASPHALT
8" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 1 1/2"
- HOT MIX ASPHALT W/ TRACK SURFACING
- 1/2" TRACK SURFACING
- 3" HOT MIX ASPHALT
- 8" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 1 1/2"
- GEOTEXTILE FABRIC
- 5" CONCRETE PAVEMENT
6" BASE AGGREGATE DENSE, 3"
WITH 1/2" TRACK SURFACING
- MASON SAND
- SYNTHETIC TURF ATHLETIC FIELD
- SYNTHETIC TURF W/ INFILL (BY OTHERS)
- SHOCK PAD (BY OTHERS)
- 2" FINISH DRAINAGE STONE
- 6" BASE DRAINAGE STONE
- TYPE SAS GEOTEXTILE FABRIC
- UNDERDRAIN TRENCH
- 3" LANDSCAPE STONE
- LANDSCAPE ISALND
- RETAINING WALL
- APPROX. LIMITS OF CONSTRUCTION

LAYOUT KEYNOTES

- 1 12" x 12" CONCRETE CURB NAILER
- 2 12" CURB NAILER SPEED DOWEL LOCATIONS
(DISCONTINUE REBAR INSTALLATION @ DOWEL LOCATIONS)
- 3 FOOTBALL GOAL POST W/ TURF ACCESS BOX
- 4 SOCCER GOAL
- 5 POLE VAULT
- 6 LONG JUMP / TRIPLE JUMP
- 7 ACO SPORT SY/STEM 3000 SLOT DRAIN
- 8 ACO SPORT SY/STEM 3000 CATCH BASIN
- 9 6" H X 12" W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 10 4" H BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCING
- 11 6" H BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCING
- 12 4" H X 4" W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 13 4" H X 12" W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 14 6" H X 4" W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 15 6" H X 12" W BLACK VINYL CHAIN LINK FENCE GATE
- 16 6" H X 14" W ORNAMENTAL FENCE GATE, MASONRY COLUMNS, & ENTRY ARCH
- 17 6" H X 4" W ORNAMENTAL FENCE GATE
- 18 24" ACCEPTING CURB AND GUTTER
- 19 24" REJECTING CURB AND GUTTER
- 20 3" CURB TERMINUS

REV	DATE	ISSUED FOR
03/24/23		REVIEW
DRAWN:	R. PETZKE	
CHECKED:	R. BARZ	
DOCUMENT NO.	23.014	

RETTLER
corporation

3317 Business Park Drive, Stevens Point, WI 54482
Telephone: 715-341-2555, Fax: 715-341-0511
email: info@rettlr.com, website: www.rettl.com

GREEN BAY PREBLE HIGH SCHOOL
ATHLETIC FIELD REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

GREEN BAY AREA PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT
200 SOUTH BROADWAY
GREEN BAY
WISCONSIN 54303

SITE LAYOUT PLAN

3. EMAIL OF SUPPORT FROM THE CURRENT PROPERTY OWNER

Via email 4/3/2023 12:02 PM:

The Atonement Lutheran Church of Green Bay is in support of the proposed running track and long jump/triple jump facility redevelopment project on lands currently owned by the Church. The Church is in agreement to transfer the property via a land sale to the Green Bay Area Public School District to allow for the proposed track facility improvements to be on District owned property.

Robert Geyer

Atonement Lutheran Church Council President



Report to the
Finance Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

Diana Ellenbecker, Finance Director

AGENDA ITEM # P.1

To proceed as directed in closed session regarding the engagement of economic advisors related to the Lambeau Field Stadium Lease negotiations.

The Common Council may convene in closed session pursuant to Sections 19.85(1)(e), Wis. Stats., for purposes of deliberating or negotiating the sale of public properties, investing of public funds or conducting other specified public business as necessary for competitive or bargaining reasons. The Common Council will thereafter reconvene in open session pursuant to Section 19.85(2), Wis. Stats., to take action on items discussed in closed session, if appropriate, and to consider the remainder of the agenda.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. Revised Green Bay Scope 5-2-23 clean rh
2. Hunden Proposal - Green Bay NFL Impact 4-4-23-compressed
3. CAA ICON Proposal - City of Green Bay



Report to the
Finance Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

Diana Ellenbecker, Finance Director

AGENDA ITEM # P.2

To rescind or refund 2022 real estate taxes for Stacey L. Johnson, parcel #21-8198 for \$1,692.57.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. Rescinded 21-8198 for Stacey Johnson



Office of the City Assessor

DATE: May 11, 2023
TO: Finance Committee
City of Green Bay
FROM: Russ Schwandt
Assessor
RE: Adjustment of **2022** REAL ESTATE Tax Bill

Please be advised that I am recommending that the **2022** tax bill be adjusted for the following REAL ESTATE parcel:

<u>Pacel #</u>	<u>OWNER NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>
#21-8198	Stacey L. Johnson	422 Kristy Lee Ct

Reason: Correction of error

The 2022 assessed value of the real estate was \$467,800
The corrected 2022 real estate assessment is \$ 377,200

The 2022 tax bill has been paid in full.

Refund taxes according to this adjustment.

Cc: Diana Ellenbecker

Request for Charge Back of Rescinded or Refunded Taxes

(Sec. 74.41, Wis. Stats.)

DOR USE ONLY

Case no.

1	Assessment year 2022	Co-muni code 05 - 231	Municipality CITY OF GREEN BAY	County BROWN																																																																											
2	☒ Real estate parcel no. ☐ Personal property account no. 21-8198			Is this parcel in a TID? ☒ No ☐ Yes TID no. 																																																																											
3	Property owner name STACEY L JOHNSON			Personal property category (see instructions) 																																																																											
4	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="4">Assessment BEFORE Adjustment</th> <th colspan="4">Assessment AFTER Adjustment</th> <th rowspan="2">(g) Total Assessment Difference (c - f)</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Class</th> <th>(a) Land</th> <th>(b) Improvement</th> <th>(c) Total (a + b)</th> <th>Class</th> <th>(d) Land</th> <th>(e) Improvement</th> <th>(f) Total (d + e)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1 Res.</td> <td>47,100</td> <td>420,700</td> <td>467,800</td> <td>1 Res.</td> <td>47,100</td> <td>330,100</td> <td>377,200</td> <td>90,600</td> </tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td> </td><td>0</td></tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>47,100</td> <td>420,700</td> <td>467,800</td> <td> </td> <td>47,100</td> <td>330,100</td> <td>377,200</td> <td>90,600</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>								Assessment BEFORE Adjustment				Assessment AFTER Adjustment				(g) Total Assessment Difference (c - f)	Class	(a) Land	(b) Improvement	(c) Total (a + b)	Class	(d) Land	(e) Improvement	(f) Total (d + e)	1 Res.	47,100	420,700	467,800	1 Res.	47,100	330,100	377,200	90,600									0									0									0									0	Total	47,100	420,700	467,800		47,100	330,100	377,200	90,600
Assessment BEFORE Adjustment				Assessment AFTER Adjustment				(g) Total Assessment Difference (c - f)																																																																							
Class	(a) Land	(b) Improvement	(c) Total (a + b)	Class	(d) Land	(e) Improvement	(f) Total (d + e)																																																																								
1 Res.	47,100	420,700	467,800	1 Res.	47,100	330,100	377,200	90,600																																																																							
								0																																																																							
								0																																																																							
								0																																																																							
								0																																																																							
Total	47,100	420,700	467,800		47,100	330,100	377,200	90,600																																																																							
5	<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Personal Property</th> <th>BEFORE Adjustment</th> <th>AFTER Adjustment</th> <th>Difference</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>☐ Non-manufacturing</td> <td>☐ Manufacturing</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>								Personal Property		BEFORE Adjustment	AFTER Adjustment	Difference	☐ Non-manufacturing	☐ Manufacturing			0																																																													
Personal Property		BEFORE Adjustment	AFTER Adjustment	Difference																																																																											
☐ Non-manufacturing	☐ Manufacturing			0																																																																											
6	Total manufacturing interest refund/rescinded		(a) 	(b) = (a) x .80 0	(c) = (a) x .20 0																																																																										
7	Net taxes rescinded or refunded to be charged back to taxing jurisdictions – EXCLUDING INTEREST <table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Code</th> <th>Name of Taxing Jurisdictions</th> <th>Net Tax</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>a.</td> <td>State of Wisconsin</td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>b.</td> <td>County BROWN</td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>c.1</td> <td>05 - 5040 Special district GREEN BAY METRO SEWER DISTRICT</td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>c.2</td> <td> Special district < Select a District ></td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>d.</td> <td>Local CITY OF GREEN BAY</td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>e.</td> <td>05 - 2289 School district GREEN BAY</td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>f.</td> <td> Union high school district</td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>g.</td> <td>1300 Technical college district NORTHEAST WISCONSIN TECH COLLEGE GNB</td> <td> </td> </tr> <tr> <td>h.</td> <td colspan="2">Total net tax rescinded or refunded – EXCLUDING INTEREST <i>(This amount is after School Levy Tax Credit.)</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>								Code	Name of Taxing Jurisdictions	Net Tax	a.	State of Wisconsin		b.	County BROWN		c.1	05 - 5040 Special district GREEN BAY METRO SEWER DISTRICT		c.2	 Special district < Select a District >		d.	Local CITY OF GREEN BAY		e.	05 - 2289 School district GREEN BAY		f.	 Union high school district		g.	1300 Technical college district NORTHEAST WISCONSIN TECH COLLEGE GNB		h.	Total net tax rescinded or refunded – EXCLUDING INTEREST <i>(This amount is after School Levy Tax Credit.)</i>																																										
Code	Name of Taxing Jurisdictions	Net Tax																																																																													
a.	State of Wisconsin																																																																														
b.	County BROWN																																																																														
c.1	05 - 5040 Special district GREEN BAY METRO SEWER DISTRICT																																																																														
c.2	 Special district < Select a District >																																																																														
d.	Local CITY OF GREEN BAY																																																																														
e.	05 - 2289 School district GREEN BAY																																																																														
f.	 Union high school district																																																																														
g.	1300 Technical college district NORTHEAST WISCONSIN TECH COLLEGE GNB																																																																														
h.	Total net tax rescinded or refunded – EXCLUDING INTEREST <i>(This amount is after School Levy Tax Credit.)</i>																																																																														
8	Select the appropriate statute and explanation why these taxes were rescinded or refunded. Statute no(s). 70.43 - Assessor correction of error Explanation: Arithmetic, Transpositional, or similar error Additional explanation: <i>(If you need more space, include as an attachment)</i> An error was discovered in the computation of the value of the Improvements for the 2022 assessment year.																																																																														

9	Preparer name JIM PLACEK	Email JIM.PLACEK@GREENBAYWI.GOV
	Daytime phone (920) 448-3069	

Stacey Johnson
422 Kristy Lee Ct
Green Bay, WI

Stacey Johnson
422 Kristy Lee Ct
Green Bay, WI

Stacey Johnson
422 Kristy Lee Ct
Green Bay, WI

Calculation for Real Estate - Assessed Value									
FILING RECINDED/REFUNDED TAX IN 2023									
ACCOUNT #		TAX ROLL YEAR 2022							
PARCEL									
		21-81198							
LAND	IMPROV	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE	TAX RATE	TOTAL DUE	RATIO	ESTIMATED FAIR MARKET VALUE			
47,100	420,700	467,800	18.68	\$8,739.30	0.9548	489,900			
						TOTAL TAX			
						\$8,739.32			
						\$ (68.03)			
						\$8,671.29			
						Less first \$ Credit			
						\$8,739.32			

Calculation for Real Estate - Assessed Value									
FILING RECINDED/REFUNDED TAX IN 2023									
ACCOUNT #		TAX ROLL YEAR 2022							
PARCEL		21-8198							
LAND	IMPROV	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE	TAX RATE	TOTAL DUE	RATIO	ESTIMATED FAIR MARKET VALUE			
47,100	330,100	377,200	18.68	\$7,046.80	0.9548	395,100			
						TOTAL TAX			
						\$7,046.75			
						\$ (68.03)			
						\$6,978.72			
						Less first \$ Credit			
						\$7,046.75			

Calculation for Real Estate - Assessed Value									
FILING RECINDED/REFUNDED TAX IN 2023									
ACCOUNT #		TAX ROLL YEAR 2022							
PARCEL									
		21-8198							
LAND	IMPROV	TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE	TAX RATE	TOTAL DUE	RATIO	ESTIMATED FAIR MARKET VALUE			
-	90,600	90,600	18.68	\$1,692.60	0.9548	94,900			
						TOTAL TAX			
						\$1,692.57			
						\$ -			
						\$1,692.57			
						Amount City to refund			
						\$1,692.57			

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CANCELLATION
OF 2022 REAL ESTATE PROPERTY TAXES**

JUNE 6, 2023

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, RESOLVED:

That, pursuant to the recommendation of the Finance Committee at its meeting of May 23, 2023, the real estate property taxes for 2022 for the following parcel is hereby rescinded.

<u>Parcel</u>	<u>Amount</u>
# 21-8198	\$1,692.57
Stacey L. Johnson	
422 Kristy Lee Ct	
Green Bay, WI 54311	

Adopted _____

Approved _____

Mayor

Clerk



Report to the
Finance Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

Kim Rivest

AGENDA ITEM # P.3

To approve the budget amendment resolution for unbudgeted overtime for special events.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. Combined Resolution for 5.23.23 Finance Meeting

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING
BUDGET AMENDMENT**

June 6, 2023

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, RESOLVED:

Pursuant to the recommendation of the Finance Committee at its meeting of May 23, 2023, the following 2023 amendment of funds is hereby authorized:

	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Increase:	101300 50501 Police-Overtime	\$31,769.69
Increase:	101300 46223 Police Overtime Reimbursement	\$31,769.69

***This budget amendment is for 2023 unbudgeted overtime for staffing at events such as the Luxemburg-Casco High School prom at the Tundra Lodge, Easter Egg Extravaganza, Dick Lytie Spring Classic, Pi Day 5K, Tarlton Theatre security during a documentary film and reimbursements from the Federal Task Forces for FBI and US Marshalls.*

Increase:	101400 50501 Fire-Overtime	\$1,383.57
Increase:	101400 46223 Fire Overtime Reimbursement	\$1,383.57

***This budget amendment is for 2023 unbudgeted overtime for staffing at the UWGB Women's Basketball game on 3/2/23 and the Brown County Fire Investigation Task Force.*

Increase:	101400 50501 Fire-Overtime	\$5,252.50
Increase:	101400 43611 Fire-State Overtime Reimbursement	\$5,252.50

***This budget amendment is for 2023 unbudgeted state reimbursements of costs incurred for employees to attend USAR (Urban Search & Rescue) trainings.*

Adopted _____

Approved _____

Mayor

Clerk



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.I

To hold the request by Ald. Galvin to convert one or two of the tennis courts at Astor Park into pickleball courts until the next Parks Committee meeting.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 2023-05-04 Dist 4 to Parks

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Celestine Jeffreys](#); [Eric Genrich](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Alders' Petitions and Communications
Date: Thursday, May 4, 2023 11:46:22 AM

Alders' Petitions and Communications

Name	Bill Galvin
Committee Name	Parks Committee
Text of Communication	To convert one or two of the tennis courts at Astor park into pickle ball courts

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.2

To hold the request by Ald. Galvin to consider for Astor Park a simple set of bleacher seats alongside the basketball court and to install one or two street lights to aid residents to play basketball later then sunset until the next Parks Committee meeting.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 2023-015-16 Dist 4 to Parks

From: noreply@civicplus.com
To: [Celestine Jeffreys](#); [Eric Genrich](#)
Subject: Online Form Submittal: Alders' Petitions and Communications
Date: Tuesday, May 16, 2023 3:46:16 PM

Alders' Petitions and Communications

Name	Bill Galvin
Committee Name	Parks Committee
Text of Communication	To consider for Astor park a simple set of bleacher seats alongside the basketball court. To also consider installing one or two street lights to aid residents to play basketball later then sunset

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.3

To approve the Farlin Park Masterplan.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION


FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. 16541_Farlin Park Master Plan Concept-Final
2. Farlin Park Splash Pad Concept
3. Farlin Park Existing Conditions
4. Farlin Phase I Budget







Farlin Park Existing Conditions

Map printed on 6/1/2023

1:1,800
1 inch = 150 feet*
1 inch = 0.0284 miles*
**original page size: 8.5"x11"*
Appropriate format depends on zoom level

Parcel ownership key

- Parcel Boundary
- Condominium
- Gap or Overlap
- "hooks" indicate parcel ownership crosses a line

- Parcel line
- Right of Way line
- Meander line
- Lines between deeds or lots
- Historic Parcel Line
- Vacated Right of Way

A complete key (legend) is available at:
tinyurl.com/BrownDogLegend



(920) 448-6480
www.browncountywi.gov

FARLIN PARK – PHASE 1 BUDGET

Funding Sources

The budget for Phase I is \$653,500

\$250,000 **ARPA Funding** – In Hand

\$173,500 **2022 Community Development Block Grant Funding** – In Hand

\$230,000 **2024 Bond Request**

Expenses

\$59,500 Engineering Consultant

\$165,000 Playground

\$225,000 Splash Pad

\$110,000 Basketball Court

\$94,000 Storm Water Management

\$653,500 Total



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.4

To accept a \$249,999 Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Stewardship Grant to assist with the funding of the construction of a new shelter with restrooms and an overlook deck at Leicht Memorial Park.


BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. Green Bay SADLP6231418 CL Rood signed
2. Green Bay SADLP6231418 GC Bay signed



May 9, 2023

► **REQUIRES IMMEDIATE ACTION** ◄
Acquisition and Development of Local Parks
Grant# S-ADLP6-23-1418
Grant Amount: \$249,999.00

Kaurie Mihm, Park Planner
City of Green Bay
100 N Jefferson St Rm 510
Green Bay, WI 54301

Dear Ms. Mihm:

Congratulations! On behalf of the Governor, the Department of Natural Resources is pleased offer you a grant contract for financial assistance for the following project: *City of Green Bay Leicht Memorial Park Improvements*

Please review the contract. Both copies should be **signed by an authorized official and notarized**. Please return one original signed contract **within 30 days of this letter's date** to Jessica Terrien at the Northeast Region, 2984 Shawano Ave, Green Bay, WI 54313. Funds will be obligated to the project when the signed contract is returned. **The second signed and notarized original of the contract should be kept in a safe place for future reference, until the completion of the project scope as described on page one of the contract.**

Please read the items checked below. They apply to your project and grant award.

☒ **Grant Award Time Period: April 27, 2023 through June 30, 2025.** All project activities must occur within this time period to be eligible costs for reimbursement.

☒ **Advance or Reimbursement Check:** Your advance or reimbursement will be a mailed check to City of Green Bay, Kaurie Mihm, 100 N Jefferson St Rm 510, Green Bay, WI 54301. This is the payment recipient that appears in our records. If the contact for this grant changes, please contact your regional project manager.

☒ **Changes to the approved project scope, project time period, and/or project financial assistance (budget)** may not be made without prior approval from the Department.

☒ **For Development projects, you are entitled to a project advance of: \$124,999.50**

This advance payment is made available to you to cover costs you may incur in the initial stages of your project. The advance payment is equal to 50% of the state cost sharing assistance. *If you wish to request the advance payment, please check the box provided on the last page of the contract.*


☒ Please check your local procedures to insure you comply with all applicable state laws regarding competitive bidding and awarding. DNR guidance on this topic can be found by going to:
<http://dnr.wi.gov/Aid/documents/ProcurementGuide.pdf>

☒ **IMPORTANT:** Once the project is complete, the enclosed grant contract must be recorded (with County Register of Deeds) against the property's title (location of project site) in order to process your final reimbursement request. Please check with your regional project manager (Jessica) near completion of the project to determine if the project reflects the scope of work as completed before recording with the County Register of Deeds.

If not enclosed, reimbursement claim forms and/or financial administration information can be found by going to: <https://dnr.wi.gov/files/PDF/forms/8700/8700-001.pdf>. Please submit reimbursement claim forms for your project to Jessica.

Feel free to contact Jessica at 920-461-2680, if you have any questions about your grant award or the reimbursement procedures. The Department is pleased to have the opportunity to participate with you on this project.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Pam Rood". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a large loop at the end of the last name.

Pam Rood
Bureau of Facilities and Lands

Enclosure(s)

C: Jessica Terrien – NER

This document drafted by:
State of Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources
P.O. Box 7921
Madison WI 53707-7921

**OUTDOOR RECREATION AIDS
GRANT CONTRACT**
Form 8700-065c (8/12)

Notice

Collection of this information is authorized under ss. 23.09(11), 23.09(26), 350.12(4), 23.33, and 30.92, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 7, NR 50, NR 51, and NR 64, Wis. Admin. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss.19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats].

Sponsor:

City of Green Bay

Project Number:

S-ADLP6-23-1418

Project Title:

City of Green Bay Leicht Memorial Park Improvements

Program Name:

Knowles-Nelson Stewardship Program
Acquisition and Development of Local Parks

Payment Period: (Period during which grant funds will be encumbered and available for payment to the Sponsor under this Outdoor Recreation Aids Grant Contract, hereinafter referred to as the "Contract".)

April 27, 2023 through June 30, 2025

Project Scope:

The City of Green Bay will utilize Acquisition and Development of Local Parks funding for improvements at Leicht Memorial Park. The improvements include the following: restrooms, connecting walkway, rooftop nature viewing/picnicking area and park amenities.

Legal Description (hereinafter referred to as Property):

Name and Return Address
Kaurie Mihm, Park Planner
City of Green Bay
100 N Jefferson St Rom 510
Green Bay, WI 54301

Parcel Identification Number (PIN)
5-1525; 5-1525-2

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT A.

All obligations, terms, conditions and restrictions imposed by this Contract shall be deemed to be covenants and restrictions running with the Property, shall be limited to the use and development of the Property from the date of this Contract, and shall bind the parties hereto and their respective personal representative, successors, and assigns, in perpetuity. The rights herein conveyed are subject to the interests of the State of Wisconsin and the Department of Natural Resources Stewardship Program under Chapter 23, Wisconsin Statutes and Chapter NR 51 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code.

By acceptance of this Contract the Sponsor, for itself, its successors and assigns, hereby covenants and agrees not to convey, sell, lease, assign, mortgage or otherwise encumber the Property or convert it to uses or purposes inconsistent with the Stewardship Program and this Contract without the prior written approval of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

Project Financial Assistance Summary

The following documents are hereby incorporated into and made part of this Contract:

Total Project Cost \$667,000.00

Cost-Share Percentage Up to 50%

State Aid Amount \$249,999.00

Project Sponsor Share \$417,001.00

1. Chapter 23, Wis. Stats.
2. Chapter NR 51, Wisconsin Administrative Code
3. Chapter NR 52, Wisconsin Administrative Code
4. Grant Application, attachments, and addendums

A. General Conditions:

1. The State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (Department) and the Sponsor mutually agree to perform this Contract in accordance with the Acquisition and Development of Local Parks Subprogram and with the project proposal, application, terms, promises, conditions, plans, specifications, estimates, procedures, maps and also any assurances attached and made a part of this Contract.
2. This Contract, together with any referenced parts and attachments, shall constitute the entire Contract and previous communications, understandings, representations or contracts pertaining to the subject matter of this Contract are superseded. Any revisions, including cost adjustments, shall be made by a written amendment to this Contract, signed by both parties prior to the termination date of the Contract. Time extensions and scope changes to the Contract may be granted to the Sponsor by the Department in writing without the requirements of Sponsor signature.
3. Failure by the Sponsor to comply with the terms of this Contract may not cause the suspension of all obligations of the State if, in the judgment of the Secretary of the Department, such failure was not the fault of the Sponsor. In such case, any amount required to settle at minimum costs any irrevocable obligations properly incurred shall be eligible for assistance under this Contract, at the Department's discretion.

The Project Sponsor:

4. Agrees to comply with all applicable state, local and federal statutes and regulations in fulfilling terms of this Contract, including but not limited to, general and special zoning, land use permit requirements, disability access, environmental quality, historical and archeological preservation. In particular, the Sponsor agrees to comply with the provisions of Chapter NR 51, Wis. Adm. Code, as well as comply with all applicable local and state contract and bidding requirements. The Sponsor should consult its legal counsel with questions concerning Contracts and bidding.
5. May decline the offer of financial assistance provided through this Contract, in writing, at any time prior to the starting of the project and before expending any funds. After the project has been started or funds expended, this Contract may be rescinded, modified, or amended only by mutual written agreement of the parties.
6. Agrees, to save, keep harmless, defend and indemnify the Department and all its officers, employees and agents, from and against any and all liability claims, costs of whatever kind and nature, for injury to or death of any person or persons, and for loss or damage to any property (state or other) occurring in connection with or in any way incident to or arising out of the occupancy, use, service, operation or performance of work in connection with this Contract or omissions of Sponsor's employees, agents or representatives.
7. Agrees to reimburse the Department of any and all funds the Department deems appropriate in the event the Sponsor fails to comply with the conditions of this Contract or project proposal as described, or fails to provide public benefits as indicated in the project application, proposal description or this Contract. In addition, should the Sponsor fail to comply with the conditions of this Contract, fail to progress due to non-appropriation of funds, or fail to progress with or complete the project to the satisfaction of the Department, all obligations of the Department under this Contract may be terminated, including further project cost payment.
8. Agrees, in connection with the performance of work under this Contract, not to discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of age, race, religion, color, disability, handicap, sex, physical condition, developmental disability as defined in s. 51.01(5), Wis. Statute, sexual orientation or national origin. This provision shall include, but not be limited to, the following: employment, upgrading, demotion or transfer, recruitment or recruitment advertising, layoff or termination, rates of pay or other forms of compensation; and selection for training, including apprenticeship. The Sponsor further agrees to take affirmative action to ensure equal employment opportunities, as required by law. The Sponsor agrees to post in conspicuous places available, for employees and applicants for employment, notices to be provided by the Contracting officer setting forth the provisions of the nondiscrimination clause.
9. Agrees not to discriminate against any person in the use and enjoyment of the property on the basis of age, race, creed, color, handicap, marital status, conviction record, arrest record, sex, national origin, ancestry, sexual orientation or membership in the national guard, state defense force or any other reserve component of the military forces of the United States or this state.
10. Agrees that reasonable entrance, service or user's fees may be charged and retained by the Sponsor to defray operation and maintenance costs subject to Department review and approval. If such fees do not exceed the fees charged for daily entrance to state parks, Department fee approval is not required.
11. Agrees that income accruing to the Property shall be used to further the objectives of the project as stated in this Contract or to further the objectives of another Stewardship project. However, if the Property is entered into the County Forest Law Program, income derived from that program shall be distributed according to s. 28.11 Wis. Stats.
12. Shall maintain financial and accounting records for the grant in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and practices. These records may be reviewed by state officials.
13. Shall display a sign at the property acknowledging funding through the Knowles-Nelson Stewardship Program and Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.
14. Shall agree to have an annual audit performed in accordance with 2 CFR Part 200 Uniform -- Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, & Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (also known as "Uniform Guidance") and WI State Single Audit Guidelines found at <http://www.doa.state.wi.us/Divisions/Budget-and-Finance/Financial-Reporting/state-controllers-office/state-single-audit-guidelines> issued

by Wisconsin Department of Administration, State Controller's Office, if Grantee expends federal grant funds totaling \$750,000 or more during the fiscal year and the those funds were received from a State or Federal agency.

The Department:

15. Promises, in consideration of the covenants and Contracts made by the Sponsor, to obligate for the Sponsor the amount shown as "State Aid Amount" on page 1, and to tender to the Sponsor that portion of the obligation which is required to pay the Department's share of the costs based upon the state providing 50 percent of eligible project costs. The Sponsor promises, in consideration of the promises made by the Department, to execute the project described in accordance with this agreement.
16. Agrees that the Sponsor shall have sole control of the method, hours worked, and time and manner of any performance under this agreement other than as specifically provided in this document. The Department reserves the right only to inspect the job site or premises for the sole purpose of insuring that the performance is progressing or has been completed in compliance with the agreement. The Department takes no responsibility of supervision or direction of the performance of the agreement to be performed by the Sponsor or the Sponsor's employees or agents. The Sponsor is an Independent Contractor for all purposes, not an employee or agent of the Department. The Department further agrees that it will exercise no control over the selection and dismissal of the Sponsor's employees or agents.

B. Special Conditions:

- a. Property acquired or developed with assistance from this program shall not be converted to uses inconsistent with public outdoor recreation without the prior written approval of this Department.
- b. Total cost sharing provided through any combination of state and federal funds shall not exceed 100% of all eligible costs.
- c. All applicable permits, licenses and approvals, including water and wetland regulatory permits and approvals, required by federal, state or local agencies must be obtained prior to project construction and complied with fully during project construction and the life of the project.
- d. Erosion control will be accomplished using the guidelines in the Wisconsin Construction Site Best Management Practice Handbook. Construction sites associated with land disturbing activities over one acre within 500 feet of a surface water body require an erosion control plan prepared by the Sponsor, to be submitted to the Department of Natural Resources for approval. Surface bodies include permanent flowing streams, ponds and lakes. Construction sites disturbing more than five acres of land require a permit for stormwater discharges from construction sites to be issued by the Department of Natural Resources.
- e. All existing overhead utility services if feasible shall be buried and any new utility services provided through this project must be installed underground.
- f. Stormwater permits are required if grading >1 acre, and filling or grading in wetlands requires a Corps of Engineers and Department permits.
- g. Development plans must be submitted to the Department for approval prior to any construction.
- h. All facilities constructed with assistance from this program must be accessible to persons with disabilities. All facilities developed with these grant funds shall be connected by linkage trails to a main walkway and/or parking lot.
- i. If the project involves construction or renovation of parking facilities, the sponsor shall provide accessible parking that meets or exceeds requirements of the 2010 Americans with Disabilities Act Standards for Accessible Design, state requirements, or local requirements, whichever is most stringent. A minimum of one stall must be van accessible.
- j. Final structure construction plans must be approved and stamped for structural adequacy by a licensed professional engineer before construction begins. (if a structure)
- k. The Department of Safety and Professional Services (formerly Department of Commerce) must approve all sanitary facility plans before construction begins. (if restroom)
- l. Structural approval for all restroom or shelter buildings less than 25,000 cubic feet must be obtained from a licensed professional engineer before construction begins. Structural approval for all restroom or shelter buildings exceeding 25,000 cubic feet must be obtained from the Department of Safety and Professional Services (formerly Department of Commerce) before construction begins. (if shelter or restroom)
- m. Conditions related to invasive species movement. The applicant and operator agree to the following methods required under s. NR 109.05(2), Wis. Adm. Code for controlling, transporting and disposing of aquatic plants and animals, and moving water:
 - Aquatic plants and animals shall be removed and water drained from all equipment as required by s. 30.07, Wis. Stats., and ss. NR 19.055 and 40.07, Wis. Adm. Code. when moving equipment between surface water or wetlands.

- Operator shall comply with the most recent Department-approved 'Boat, Gear, and Equipment Decontamination and Disinfection Protocol', Manual Code # 9183.1, available at <http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/invasives/disinfection.html>

☐ Check here if you request advance payment totaling \$124,999.50

The person(s) signing for the Sponsor represents both personally and as an agent of his or her principal that he or she is authorized to execute this Contract and bind his or her principal, either by a duly adopted resolution or otherwise.

CITY OF GREEN BAY

Signed this _____ day of _____, 20____.

BY _____
Signature of Sponsor Representative

Typed Name of Sponsor Representative

Personally came before me this _____ day of _____, 20____, the above named _____
to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.

Signature of Notary Public

Typed or Printed Name of Notary Public
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

My Commission expires _____
State of Wisconsin, _____ County

STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Signed this 15 day of May, 2023.

BY Terry Bay
Terry Bay, Bureau Director
Bureau of Facilities and Lands

Personally came before me this 15 day of May, 2023, the above named Terry H. Bay
to me known to be the person who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the same.



Aubrey F. Johnson
Signature of Notary Public

Aubrey F. Johnson
Typed or Printed Name of Notary Public
Notary Public, State of Wisconsin

My commission expires 11/10/24
State of Wisconsin, DANE County

THIS INSTRUMENT WAS DRAFTED BY THE WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

EXHIBIT A

Lot 1, Volume 38 Certified Survey Maps, p. 343, Brown County Register of Deeds, City of Green Bay, West side of Fox River, Brown County, Wisconsin;

More particularly described as:

Parts of Lot 1 and Outlot 1, Volume 29, Certified Survey Maps, Page 85, Brown County Records, being a part of a tract of land known as the Fort Howard Military Reserve, T24N-R20E, City of Green Bay, Brown County, Wisconsin, more fully described as follows:

Beginning at the Southwest corner of Lot 1, Volume 29, Certified Survey Maps, Page 85, Brown County Records; thence N43°38'00"E, 518.66 feet along the west right-of-way of Lot 1, Volume 29, Certified Survey maps, Page 85, Brown County Records; thence S45°31'17"E, 155.63 feet; thence S20°09'19"E, 165.45 feet to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers bulkhead line; thence S61°44'51"W, 107.03 feet along said bulkhead line; thence S35°09'26"W, 168.88 feet along said bulkhead line; thence N63°03'30"W, 56.01 feet along the northerly right-of-way of Dousman Street; thence N61°11'52"W, 123.90 feet along said right-of-way; thence S61°25'00"W, 39.91 feet along said right-of-way; thence 3.92 feet along said right-of-way being the arc of a 385.69 foot radius curve to the left whose long chord bears N85°39'41"W, 3.92 feet; thence N85°57'11"W, 104.21 feet along said right-of-way; thence 33.29 feet along said right-of-way being the arc of a 318.31 foot radius curve to the right whose long chord bears N82°57'24"W, 33.28 feet to the point of beginning except municipal and zoning ordinances, recorded building and use restrictions, and easements and covenants of record.

Parcel contains 113,023 square feet/2.59 acres, more or less.

The Grantor reserves, for the benefit of itself and its successors and assigns, a permanent easement for ingress and egress as described at Sheet Four of Volume 38, Certified Survey Maps, page 346, Brown County Records.

This conveyance is made upon the express condition that the land herein described shall be forever held and maintained by the City of Green Bay as a public park. If and when such park use shall cease, the property herein described shall revert back to the Grantor or its successors or assigns.

Part of Parcel No. 5-1525

LEGAL DESCRIPTION PARCEL NO. 5-1525-2

Lot 1, Volume 38 Certified Survey Maps, Page 343, Map No. 5885, Brown County Register of Deeds, City of Green Bay, West Side of Fox River, Brown County, Wisconsin; more particularly described as:

Parts of Lot 1 and Outlot 1, Volume 29, Certified Survey Maps, Page 85, Map No. 4533, Brown County Records, being a part of a tract of land known as the Fort Howard Military Reserve, T24N-R20E, City of Green Bay, Brown County, Wisconsin, more fully described as follows:

Beginning at the Southwest corner of Lot 1, Volume 29, Certified Survey Maps, Page 85, Map No. 4533, Brown County Records; thence N43°38'00"E, 518.66 feet along the west right-of-way of Lot 1, Volume 29, Certified Survey Maps, Page 85, Map No. 4533, Brown County Records; thence S45°31'17"E, 155.63 feet; thence S20°09'19"E, 165.45 feet to the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers bulkhead line; thence S61°44'51"W, 107.03 feet along said bulkhead line; thence S35°09'26"W, 168.88 feet along said bulkhead line; thence N63°03'30"W, 56.01 feet along the northerly right-of-way of Dousman Street; thence N61°11'52"W, 123.90 feet along said right-of-way; thence S61°25'00"W, 39.91 feet along said right-of-way; thence 3.92 feet along said right-of-way being the arc of a 385.69 foot radius curve to the left whose long chord bears N85°39'41"W, 3.92 feet; thence N85°57'11"W, 104.21 feet along said right-of-way; thence 33.29 feet along said right-of-way being the arc of a 318.31 foot radius curve to the right whose long chord bears N82°57'24"W, 33.28 feet to the point of beginning, except municipal and zoning ordinances, recorded building and use restrictions, and easements and covenants of record.



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.5

To approve the CityDeck Lease and Hagemester Restroom Use Agreement.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. Hag Park City Deck Bathroom Lease Agreement 5 22 2023
2. Hagemester Park 2023 Graphic

**CITY OF GREEN BAY
CITY DECK LEASE AND HAGEMEISTER RESTROOM USE AGREEMENT**

THIS AGREEMENT is made this ____ day of _____, 2023, by and between the CITY OF GREEN BAY, WISCONSIN, a municipal corporation (CITY), and HAGEMEISTER PARK, INC., a Wisconsin Corporation (HP), collectively referred to as (PARTIES). The Parties agree as follows:

1. This Agreement shall be effective on January 1, 2023, and remain in effect until December 31, 2023, (Initial Term), with allowance for up to five (5) one-year extensions through December 31, 2028, (each a “Renewal Term”). Agreement extensions shall be effectuated by letter agreement between the PARTIES. The term of this Agreement shall include the Initial Term and all Renewal Terms thereafter (Term).
2. CITY will have access to an area of HP’s premises, consisting of the restrooms, entrance to restrooms and a pathway leading to the City Deck, (hereinafter the “Restroom Area” and further described in Attachment 1), from January 1 to December 31 each year to provide public access to the Restroom Area for City Deck users.
3. HP will have access to an area of City Deck from April 1 to October 31 each year, for the purpose of placing seating for customers of HP. This area of City Deck is identified in Attachment 2.
4. The PARTIES agree that no payments will be exchanged for the use of these properties by each party. Consideration for the agreements and covenants set forth herein, for the term of this lease Agreement and any Renewal Terms will be each PARTIES use of the properties as described herein.
5. HP shall maintain the hours of operation for the Restroom Area, Monday through Sunday from 9:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.
6. HP shall allow public access to the Restroom Area during established hours, unless granted specific permission to deny access to the Restroom Area by the CITY. The Restroom Area may be closed for reasonable periods of time by HP for purposes of general maintenance and cleaning without the permission of the CITY. HP shall be responsible for all cleaning, maintenance, damage, loss, or liability of any kind whatsoever arising out of the use of the Restroom Area.

7. CITY shall have no obligation to pay any real property taxes attributable to the Restroom Area.

All real property taxes for the Restroom Area will be the sole responsibility of HP.

8. Any notice required under this Agreement shall be sent to:

CITY: City of Green Bay
Department of Parks, Recreation, and Forestry
100 North Jefferson Street
Green Bay, WI 54301

HP: Hagemeister Park, Inc.
Registered Agent, Mark A. Bartels
P.O. Box 5637
De Pere, WI 54115

9. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Wisconsin. This Agreement shall supersede all prior correspondence, agreements, and understandings, both oral and written. No provisions of this Agreement may be amended or modified in any manner except by an agreement in writing duly executed by the PARTIES hereto.

10. This Agreement may be assigned only upon written permission from both PARTIES.

11. If any phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph, section, article, or other portion of this Agreement shall become illegal, null or void or against public policy, for any reason, or shall be held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be illegal, null or void or against public policy, the remaining portions of this Agreement shall not be affected thereby and shall remain in force and effect to the fullest extent permissible by law.

12. CITY or HP may terminate this Agreement at any time upon thirty (30) days written notice to the other Party.

13. HP shall indemnify defend and hold harmless the City, and its officers, employees, agents, attorneys, and insurers, from any and all suits, actions, legal or administrative proceedings, liabilities, claims, losses, damages, judgments or awards, costs or expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, of whatsoever nature and by whomsoever asserted, whether asserted

by a third party or by a party to this Agreement, directly or indirectly, arising out of, resulting from HP utilizing City Deck for the purposes of providing additional seating for customers.

14. This Agreement may be executed in several counterparts, and the signatures on this Contract may be transmitted electronically. Electronic signatures will be deemed to constitute original signatures and counterparts to this Agreement containing the signatures (whether original or electronic) of all the parties will be deemed to constitute a single, enforceable Contract.

CITY OF GREEN BAY

Dated: _____, 2023

By _____
Eric Genrich, Mayor


By _____
Celestine Jefferies, Clerk

HAGEMEISTER PARK, INC.

Dated: _____, 2023

By _____
Jess Miller, President

LEGEND




PROPOSED EASEMENT



PROPERTY LINE

**PROPOSED
EASEMENT LOCATION**



GREEN BAY PARKS, RECREATION,
AND FORESTRY DEPARTMENT

CITY DECK
PROPOSED LEASE AND HAGEMEISTER RESTROOM USE AGREEMENT



SCALE: 1"=40'-0"
DATE DRAWN: JUNE 2019
LAST REVISION:

PAGE 1 OF 1
HAG2023



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.6

To approve the purchase of (2) Kubota ZD1211 72" Mowers for a total cost of \$37,912.14 (\$18,956.07 each) from Service Motor Company, Inc.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. ZD1211 Sourcewell quote 1
2. ZD1211 Sourcewell quote 2

-- Standard Features --

-- Custom Options --



ZD1200 Series

ZD1211RL-3-72R

*** EQUIPMENT IN STANDARD MACHINE ***

DIESEL ENGINE

3 Cylinder, Kubota Model #
 D1105
 24.8 Gross HP @ 3000 rpm
 68.5 cu. in. Displacement
 12v 430 Amp Hr. Battery
 14 Amps Charging Output

TRANSMISSION

Hydrostatic Drive
 (2) HST w/Gear Reduction
 Brake - Wet Multi Disks
 Forward Speeds 0 - 10.6 mph
 Reverse Speeds 0 - 5.3 mph

STEERING / MOTION CONTROL

(2) Hand Levers, Adjustable
 Hydraulically Damped,
 Adjustable

POWER TAKE OFF

Hydraulic Independent PTO
 Shaft Drive Mower Deck
 Wet Disk Clutch

FLUID CAPACITY

Fuel Tank 13.1 gal
 Engine Coolant w/ Recovery
 tank 3.96 qts
 Crankcase w/ Filter 4.1 qts
 Transmission Case and Axle
 Gear 12.8 qts

^ Manufacturer Estimate

TIRES AND WHEELS

Front 15 x 6.0 - 6 Flat-free
 Rear 26 x 12.0 - 16 Turf, Low Profile

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

Electric Key Shut Off
 Control Lever Safety Switch
 Parking Brake Safety Switch
 Foldable ROPS
 Seat Safety Switch

DIMENSIONS

Height 78.7"
 Length 93.7"
 Width Overall 75.2"
 Wheelbase 61.4"

OPERATING FEATURES

Zero Turn Radius
 Adj. Front Axle: Rigid/Oscillating
 Dual Element Air Filter
 Deluxe Suspension Seat w/
 Kubota Exclusive Seat Design
 Hands-free Hydraulic Deck Lift
 Hands-free Parking Brake
 Cup Holder

REAR DISCHARGE MOWER

60" and 72" Kubota PRO Deck
 5.5" Deep Deck
 1-5" Cut Height, Adjustable
 1/4" Increments
 3 Blades
 Bolt-on Skid Bars

ZD1211RL-3-72R Base Price: \$21,499.00

(1) MULCH KIT /72" ZD1000 REAR DISCHARGE PNF \$448.00

ZD3168-MULCH KIT /72" ZD1000 REAR DISCHARGE PNF

Configured Price: \$21,947.00

Sourcewell Discount: (\$4,828.34)

SUBTOTAL: \$17,118.66

Dealer Assembly: \$82.50

Freight Cost: \$318.75

PDI: \$400.00

Front Caster wheel K3541-18020 \$288.25

Rear tire assembly 3441-17400 \$393.02

Spare blades K5698-34340 \$79.89

Dealer PDI \$275.00

Total Unit Price: \$18,956.07

Quantity Ordered: 1

Final Sales Price: \$18,956.07

Final pricing will be based upon pricing at the time of final delivery to Sourcewell members. Purchase Order Must Reflect Final Sales Price.

To order, place your Purchase Order directly with the quoting dealer

*Some series of products are sold out for 2022. All equipment specifications are as complete as possible as of the date on the quote. Additional attachments, options, or accessories may be added (or deleted) at the discounted price. All specifications and prices are subject to change. Taxes are not included. The PDI fees and freight for attachments and accessories quoted may have additional charges added by the delivering dealer. These charges will be billed separately. Prices for product quoted are good for 60 days from the date shown on the quote. All equipment as quoted is subject to availability.

-- Standard Features --

-- Custom Options --



ZD1200 Series

ZD1211RL-3-72R

*** EQUIPMENT IN STANDARD MACHINE ***

DIESEL ENGINE

3 Cylinder, Kubota Model #
 D1105
 24.8 Gross HP @ 3000 rpm
 68.5 cu. in. Displacement
 12v 430 Amp Hr. Battery
 14 Amps Charging Output

SAFETY EQUIPMENT

Electric Key Shut Off
 Control Lever Safety Switch
 Parking Brake Safety Switch
 Foldable ROPS
 Seat Safety Switch

TRANSMISSION

Hydrostatic Drive
 (2) HST w/Gear Reduction
 Brake - Wet Multi Disks
 Forward Speeds 0 - 10.6 mph
 Reverse Speeds 0 - 5.3 mph

DIMENSIONS

Height 78.7"
 Length 93.7"
 Width Overall 75.2"
 Wheelbase 61.4"

STEERING / MOTION CONTROL

(2) Hand Levers, Adjustable
 Hydraulically Damped,
 Adjustable

OPERATING FEATURES

Zero Turn Radius
 Adj. Front Axle: Rigid/Oscillating
 Dual Element Air Filter
 Deluxe Suspension Seat w/
 Kubota Exclusive Seat Design
 Hands-free Hydraulic Deck Lift
 Hands-free Parking Brake
 Cup Holder

POWER TAKE OFF

Hydraulic Independent PTO
 Shaft Drive Mower Deck
 Wet Disk Clutch

REAR DISCHARGE MOWER

60" and 72" Kubota PRO Deck
 5.5" Deep Deck
 1-5" Cut Height, Adjustable
 1/4" Increments
 3 Blades
 Bolt-on Skid Bars

FLUID CAPACITY

Fuel Tank 13.1 gal
 Engine Coolant w/ Recovery
 tank 3.96 qts
 Crankcase w/ Filter 4.1 qts
 Transmission Case and Axle
 Gear 12.8 qts

^ Manufacturer Estimate

TIRES AND WHEELS

Front 15 x 6.0 - 6 Flat-free
 Rear 26 x 12.0 - 16 Turf, Low Profile

ZD1211RL-3-72R Base Price: \$21,499.00

(1) MULCH KIT /72" ZD1000 REAR DISCHARGE PNF \$448.00

ZD3168-MULCH KIT /72" ZD1000 REAR DISCHARGE PNF

Configured Price: \$21,947.00

Sourcewell Discount: (\$4,828.34)

SUBTOTAL: \$17,118.66

Dealer Assembly: \$82.50

Freight Cost: \$318.75

PDI: \$400.00

Front Caster wheel K3541-18020 \$288.25

Rear tire assembly 3441-17400 \$393.02

Spare blades K5698-34340 \$79.89

Dealer PDI \$275.00

Total Unit Price: \$18,956.07

Quantity Ordered: 1

Final Sales Price: \$18,956.07

Final pricing will be based upon pricing at the time of final delivery to Sourcewell members. Purchase Order Must Reflect Final Sales Price.

To order, place your Purchase Order directly with the quoting dealer

*Some series of products are sold out for 2022. All equipment specifications are as complete as possible as of the date on the quote. Additional attachments, options, or accessories may be added (or deleted) at the discounted price. All specifications and prices are subject to change. Taxes are not included. The PDI fees and freight for attachments and accessories quoted may have additional charges added by the delivering dealer. These charges will be billed separately. Prices for product quoted are good for 60 days from the date shown on the quote. All equipment as quoted is subject to availability.



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.7

To approve the purchase of a 2023 Kassbohrer Pistenbully 100 4F Snow Groomer tractor from Kassbohrer All Terrain Vehicles, Inc. for a total cost of \$282,000.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 2023-19 Bid Summary

CITY OF GREEN BAY BID SUMMARY RFB #2023-19**SNOW GROOMER**

DEPT: PARKS	CC: 65025	VENDOR #1	VENDOR #2
PLANHOLDERS: (5) Vendors		Kassbohrer All Terrain Vehicles, Inc.	Ski Cat Snowcat Rentals
ISSUED: 04/20/2023	DUE: 05/11/2023	Jordan, MN	Piedmont, CA
DESCRIPTION			
Year/Make/Model Quoted		2023 Kassbohrer Pistenbully 100 4F	
Engine Manufacturer/Model		Cummins B6.7	
Total Delivered Cost		\$ 282,000.00	
Cost of Manufacturers Extended Warranty		Included in cost	
State Warranty		1 year on vehicle, 2 years on drivetrain (hydraulic transmission including final drive planetary gearboxes). 5 years up to 2,000 hours on Cummins diesel engine.	
Closest Service Center		Pistenbully 100 – Jordan, MN Cummins Diesel Engine – De Pere, WI	
TOTAL COST		\$ 282,000.00	
LEAD TIME		160 days (December 1, 2023)	
PAYMENT TERMS (NET 30)		10 days from delivery	
EARLY PAYMENT DISCOUNT		-	
COMPANY ACCEPTS P-CARD PAYMENTS		-	
BID COMPLIANT		Yes	No

RECOMMENDATION:

Award to the lowest responsive, responsible vendor Kassbohrer All Terrain Vehicle, Inc. in the amount of \$282,000.00. Ski Cat Snowcat Rentals did not provide the required specifications relating to the RFB.



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.8

To approve the purchase of (2) 2023 SMI Super Polecat w/Onboard Booster Pump Snowmaking Cannons for a total cost of \$80,590 from Snow Machines, Inc.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. 2023-20 Bid Summary

CITY OF GREEN BAY BID SUMMARY RFB #2023-20

SNOWMAKING UNIT

DEPT: PARKS	CC: 65025	VENDOR #1	VENDOR #1
PLANHOLDERS: (4) Vendors		Snow Machines, Inc.	Snow Machines, Inc.
ISSUED: 04/25/2023	DUE: 05/11/2023	Midland, MI	Midland, MI
DESCRIPTION		OPTION 1	OPTION 2
Year/Make/Model Quoted		2023 SMI Super Polecat w/ Onboard Booster Pump	2023 SMI Standard Polecat w/ Onboard Booster Pump
Total Delivered Cost		\$ 80,590.00	\$ 77,390.00
Cost of Manufacturers Extended Warranty		N/A	N/A
State Warranty		1 season warranty on any defective parts and workmanship.	1 season warranty on any defective parts and workmanship.
Closest Service Center		Located in Midland, MI 48642 USA	Located in Midland, MI 48642 USA
TOTAL COST		\$ 80,590.00	\$ 77,390.00
LEAD TIME		12-16 Weeks (Dependent on time of order).	12-16 Weeks (Dependent on time of order).
PAYMENT TERMS (NET 30)		NET 30	NET 30
EARLY PAYMENT DISCOUNT		1.5% Discount if 90% down and balance Net 30	1.5% Discount if 90% down and balance Net 30
COMPANY ACCEPTS P-CARD PAYMENTS		No	No
BID COMPLIANT		Yes	Yes

BID SUMMARY CONT.:

Bid Summary to be continued on next page(s).

CITY OF GREEN BAY BID SUMMARY RFB #2023-20

SNOWMAKING UNIT

DEPT: PARKS	CC: 65025	VENDOR #2	VENDOR #2
PLANHOLDERS: (4) Vendors		Technology International, Inc.	Technology International, Inc.
ISSUED: 04/25/2023	DUE: 05/11/2023	Lake Mary, FL	Lake Mary, FL
DESCRIPTION		OPTION 1	OPTION 2
Year/Make/Model Quoted		2023 SMI Super Polecat w/ Onboard Booster Pump	2023 SMI Standard Polecat w/ Onboard Booster Pump
Total Delivered Cost		\$ 89,600.00	\$ 86,400.00
Cost of Manufacturers Extended Warranty		N/A	N/A
State Warranty		1 season warranty on any defective parts and workmanship.	1 season warranty on any defective parts and workmanship.
Closest Service Center		Midland, MI 48642 USA	Midland, MI 48642 USA
TOTAL COST		\$ 89,600.00	\$ 86,400.00
LEAD TIME		24 weeks.	24 weeks.
PAYMENT TERMS (NET 30)		NET 30	NET 30
EARLY PAYMENT DISCOUNT		¼% 10 Days	¼% 10 Days
COMPANY ACCEPTS P-CARD PAYMENTS		No	No
BID COMPLIANT		Yes	Yes

RECOMMENDATION:

Award to the lowest responsive, responsible vendor Snow Machine, Inc. in the amount of \$80,590.00.



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.9

To approve the purchase of (1) Vermeer SC802 74 HP Stump Grinder for a total cost of \$72,463.77 from Vermeer Wisconsin.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. City of Green Bay Parks - Vermeer SC802 74HP VALUE PACKAGE TIER 4F REMOTE READY - Quote 00216 05-22-23



Vermeer®
Wisconsin

5915 N. Richmond Street
Appleton, WI 54913
(920) 687-9988
kbarnes@vermeerwisconsin.com

5/22/2023

Sourcewell Contract #031721-VRM

Quote #: 00216

Quote Number: Q-24975

Bill To:
City of Green Bay Parks
Greg Barta
919 Crocker Street
Green Bay, WI 54303

Ship To:
City of Green Bay Parks
Greg Barta
919 Crocker Street
Green Bay, WI 54303

1 - VERMEER SC802 74HP VALUE PACKAGE TIER 4F REMOTE READY
NEW 2023 with 0 Hours

\$72,463.77

Machine	\$72,463.77
Total Due	\$72,463.77

PRICING VALID FOR 7 DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THE QUOTE.

Accepted by: _____

Date: _____

Title: _____

Sincerely,
Kory Barnes
Sales Representative
(920) 360-5581
kbarnes@vermeerwisconsin.com



Report to the
Parks Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # Q.10

To receive and place on file the update on Park seasonal staffing levels for the pools and summer playground program (INFORMATIONAL ONLY).

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. Pool and Playground Staffing Update

Pool Staffing Update 5/31/22

Facility Attendants:

We are fully staffed. Applications are no longer being accepted for this position.

Lifeguards:

- Resch Aquatic Center is fully staffed for lifeguards.
- Colburn Pool needs 2 more lifeguards.
- Joannes Aquatic Center needs 5 more lifeguards.
- In total: we need 7 more lifeguards (as of 5/31/23)

Recruitment:

- 3 applicants are awaiting an interview.
- Currently setting up swim tests with 5 applicants
 - If they pass the swim test and interview, they will be invited to participate in lifeguard training.

Lifeguard Certification Course:

- We are prepared to run a Lifeguard Certification Course June 13-16.
- At the conclusion of that course, we are hopeful to have most of our open positions filled.
- During this course, we may need to shut down a facility to accommodate training and to give current staff time off.

To conclude, we are confident that all three facilities will be operational this summer. There may be times though when certain amenities at the pools will need to be shut down due to lifeguard shortages. We anticipate these amenity shutdowns will be minimal compared to last year. If we fill the final 7 positions, that keeps us at the most minimal level of staffing required to operate all our facilities. So, it's important to note that staffing levels can fluctuate and may require future conversations about closures if we lose staff.

Lifeguard recruitment summary

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED	42	APPLICATIONS RECEIVED	92
INTERVIEWS SCHEDULED/COMPLETED	36	INTERVIEWS SCHEDULED/COMPLETED	55
HIRED	22	HIRED	35
TOTAL RE-HIRES RETURNING:	18	TOTAL RE-HIRES RETURNING:	13
TOTAL NEW HIRES:	22	TOTAL NEW HIRES:	27
CURRENT STAFF:	40	CURRENT STAFF:	40
TOTAL NEEDED TO BE FULL STAFFED:	47	TOTAL NEEDED TO BE FULL STAFFED:	40

Facility Attendant recruitment summary

NUMBER OF OPEN POSITIONS REMAINING:	7
--	----------

NUMBER OF OPEN POSITIONS REMAINING:	0
--	----------

**Currently 20 on the sub list

Playground Program Staffing Update 5/31/23

16 sites are currently funded and fully staffed. The list of open playgrounds are as follows:

East	West
<u>Astor</u>	<u>Beaumont</u>
<u>Eastman</u>	<u>Colburn</u>
<u>Joannes</u>	<u>Fisk</u>
<u>Kennedy</u>	<u>Fritsch</u>
<u>McAuliffe</u>	<u>John Muir</u>
<u>Red Smith</u>	<u>Marquette</u>
<u>VT Pride</u>	<u>Mather Heights</u>
<u>Wilder</u>	<u>Seymour</u>



Report to the
Personnel Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # R.I

To approve the request to fill the following replacement positions and all subsequent vacancies resulting from internal transfers.

- a. Building Services Coordinator
- b. Truck Driver
- c. Operator I

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Personnel Committee Request to Fill Memo - 5.23.2023
- 2. Building Services Coordinator - E. Honzik 06.16.23
- 3. Truck Driver - R. Kispert 05.18.23
- 4. Operator I - J. VandenHeuvel 05.30.23



Report to the
Public Arts Commission
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

Laura Schley, Staff

AGENDA ITEM # S.1

To approve the Percent for Art project proposal "Steps" for the City East project.

BACKGROUND

The City of Green Bay Redevelopment Authority (RDA) passed Resolution 19-03 Regarding Percent for Art, which states:

That Development Agreement language for Projects with TIF incentives shall institute a "Percent for Art" obligation that requires the Developer to include a budgetary line item of not less than one percent (1%) of the estimated aggregate assessed value of the Property, which shall be specifically dedicated towards:

1. Public Art on the Property,
2. Public Art within one-half (1/2) mile of the Property,
3. a separate Public Art project(s) approved by the RDA and GBPAC, or
4. funds for the design and maintenance of Public Art, or any combination of the alternatives herein.

City East has selected the option of placing Public Art on the Property and is proposing the creation of a permanent sculpture, *Steps*, to be located at the 1100 Block E Walnut Street. Application materials for the proposed sculpture include an itemized artwork budget, an artist resume, an artist statement, the visual proposal of work and site placement, and a preliminary project timeline and maintenance plan. All application materials are attached.

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends approval of MF Housing Partners LLC's proposed Percent for Art sculpture project - *Steps*.

FISCAL IMPACT**ATTACHMENTS**

1. City East Percent for Art Application - Steps



City of Green Bay
Department of Community and Economic Development

FINAL Application for Public Art in TIF Eligible Development

Permit # _____

**TO BE SUBMITTED PRIOR TO OR WITH BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION AND APPROVED BY
PUBLIC ART COORDINATOR PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF BUILDING PERMITS**

ADDRESS OF PROPERTY 1100 Block E Walnut

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NUMBER 14-195, 14-196, 14-197, 14-198, 14-199, 14-200, 14-201, 14-202

PROPERTY Name MF Housing Partners LLC
OWNER/ Address 229 E Washington St. Appleton, WI 54911
DEVELOPER Phone 920-284-9094 Email tklister@foreinvestmentgroup.com

CONTACT Name Tom Klister
PERSON Address 229 E Washington St. Appleton, WI 54911
Phone 920-284-9094 Email tklister@foreinvestmentgroup.com

ASSESSED VALUE OF PROJECT: \$ 3,000,000 1% ART ESTIMATE: \$ 30,000

**The assessed project value reported for compliance with public art requirements must reflect the valuations provided on all applicable building permit applications.*

For placing art on-site, within ½ mile of site, or separate public art project, submit the following information with this application:

- ☒ **Itemized artwork budget** (including enumeration of any consultant and subcontractor' fees)
- ☒ **Value of artwork to be placed on site** \$ 30,000.
- ☒ **Artist's resume or C.V. and five (5) examples of past work** (of comparable quality, style and scale).
- ☒ **Artist's statement and description of proposed artwork** (Including conceptual approach and other relevant design information; roles and responsibilities of all art project partners)
- ☒ **Visual proposal** (Digital file full color rendering of the proposed artwork/design)
- ☒ **Materials and Methods Plan** (A detailed description of proposed materials and methods of installation deemed suitable for the site conditions; include samples or photo documentation of samples)
- ☒ **Project site plan, site photos and project mock-up** (Digital mockup or rendering indicating proposed artwork location within the development – to scale and in relation to all surroundings including landscaping, public rights-of-way, street frontage, parking lots, etc.) **NOTE:** Projects proposed for placement within the Public Right of Way require additional reviews and approvals; see below for more information
- ☒ **Artists' contract(s) and relevant subcontracts** (Including project scope, schedule, materials and methods, etc.)
- ☒ **Preliminary Maintenance Plan** (For long-term maintenance: identifying the responsible parties, anticipated maintenance milestones, etc. Final maintenance plans due upon completion of project)
- ☐ **Planning and Building Documentation Requirements** (List of anticipated Building Services requirements, including additional signage and building permits, insurance, etc.)
- ☐ **Community outreach documentation if applicable** (for Alternative Compliance and Public Right of Way Projects)



Timeline (Project timeline that includes community outreach efforts, project development milestones, City reviews and installation schedule, if applicable)



Post Installation: Final Maintenance Plan, Covenant, Plaque and Photo documentation **

Projects proposed for placement on City of Green Bay property, including the Public Right of Way, shall include all of the above plus the following:



Required Community Outreach/Support Documentation. Demonstration of community notification/outreach and support for the proposed project (letters of support, meeting attendance lists, neighborhood surveys, etc.).



Insurance Documentation. Provide evidence of insurance or a quotation for ongoing insurance coverage sufficient to indemnify the City of Green Bay for the lifetime of the artwork.



Permissions. Relevant permits and written permission for use of the proposed site, including acknowledgement and review bodies). Please allow sufficient time to obtain appropriate permissions. Written permission must be obtained from the department head and any governing boards with jurisdiction over the project site, e.g. Green Bay Parks and Recreation, Green Bay Redevelopment Authority, Green Bay Public Works.

All submittals should be bundled into a single electronic Microsoft Word or PDF (digital) file for public distribution.

Projects proposed for placement on City of Green Bay property will require applicants to execute an agreement with the City for ongoing maintenance and include an Artist(s) Waiver of Proprietary Rights For Artwork Placed On City Property. Consult the Federal Visual Rights Act (VARA) for more information.

I certify that the above statements and information are true and that any submitted material, statements or plan designs are correct to the best of my knowledge.

Tom Klister

Property Owner/Developer (print name)

Tom Klister

Property Owner/Developer (signature)

4/17/2023

Date

Questions? Contact Laura Schley, Public Arts Coordinator: laura.schley@greenbaywi.gov / 920-448-3142

Community & Economic Development Department Attn: Public Arts Coordinator,
100 North Jefferson Street, Room 608, Green Bay, WI 54301

For City Use Only/Completed by the Arts Coordinator

Accepted by: _____ Date received: _____

**Attach GBPAC meeting minutes detailing Commission comments and decision on proposed project.*

City East "STEPS" Budget					
	Item		Quantity	Discription	Cost
	Materials (Sculpture)				
	Corten Steel			12 gauge sheet	3500
	Consumables			Shielding gas, wire, tungston, abrasives, electrodes, filler rods	1800
	Hardware			Fasteners and mounting hardware	380
	Base plate			1/2" steel plate	600
	Extra material			Templates, framing, ext	1000
	Stainless Steel			Polished to mirror finish	900
	Labor (Sculpture)				
	Fabrication			Fabrication of Sculpture, framing, prep and installation hardware	15020
	Engineering			Engineering development to determine structure and strength	2000
	Machining			Laser and water jet parts. Also machined metal componenets	1200
	Installation				
	Equipment rental			Tellahandler rental	1500
	Labor			Transportation, delivery and on site work	2100
				Total	30000



KENT HUTCHISON

Fabricating Artist

PERSONAL BACKGROUND

With over 15 years of experience creating large scale sculptures, murals and other art works for individuals, galleries and municipalities, Kent is also motivated to work on collaborative teams that develop a shared vision from multiple perspectives. Kent has a multidisciplinary background in fabrication that includes advanced welding techniques, kinetic mechanical engineering and illuminated blown glass. Kent is also a leader in Public Art advocacy and currently serves on numerous committees and boards dedicated to the advancement and understanding of Arts and Culture.

CONTACT

Cell: 920-791-1237
Email: HutchisonArtDesign@gmail.com
Website: HutchisonArtDesign.com



WORK EXPERIENCE

Embark

City of Green Bay

-Embark is a public art piece in Green Bay WI. Standing at 23 ft tall and composed of 5 independently moving aluminum sails, it is one of Wisconsin's largest kinetic sculptures. Budget: \$80,000

Blossom

Aurora Healthcare

-Blossom was commissioned by Aurora Baycare Medical Center in Green Bay to be placed at the cancer treatment center. The sculpture was designed to emanate life, exuberance and tranquility. Hand blown glass stamens are internally lit with LED lights. Budget: \$48,000

Paul Hartman Pavilion

Baird Creek Preservation Foundation

-The Pavilion large public viewing space located on a prairie at the headwaters of Baird Creek. The pavilion is a 22ft by 22ft pavilion that is raised 4ft off the ground constructed of local timbers with hand hewn joinery and custom aluminum hand rails. Budget: \$50,000

Nebulous

City of De Pere

-Nebulous is a large scale welded aluminum sculpture with custom imbedded pieces of epoxy painted plexiglass. The piece gives the viewer unique moments for personal interactivity. Budget \$20,000

Cherry Blossom

Green Bay Resident

- This life sized cherry tree is created out of welded steel then powder coated to a hammered bronze finish. The blossoms are hand painted steel flowers that are fastened to the tree. Budget \$15,000

EDUCATION

University of Wisconsin Stevens Point

Major: Fine Art

-Emphasis in 3 dimensional design

Major: Biology

Northeast WI Technical College

Advanced Welding and Fabrication Techniques









Artist's Statement and Description of Proposed Artwork


"Steps"; This sculpture is an arrangement of various square and rectangular corten steel tubing in a soft spiral shape that cascades vertically upward. Symbolism: As individuals we are all on different paths whose next steps cannot be predicted. Some of those steps go up and some drop down. This cascading staircase is a reflection of that reality we all face while we, hopefully, climb higher to achieve our goals. This concept has the potential to be pushed in a few other creative ways. By introducing polished stainless steel, which has a mirror effect, people can literally see themselves in the sculpture. Furthermore, "You are Here" can be printed on these mirrors in different languages so that people feel embraced by their home.


-Kent Hutchison


Visual Proposal























Materials and Methods Plan

"Steps" will be engineered with CAD software to determine specs. It will then be fabricated out of A606 weathering steel (Corten) to ensure longevity, strength, and a beautiful patina. Polished Stainless Steel will be embedded into parts of the structure. A cement footing with rebar will be laid in ahead of the installation.

-Kent Hutchison

**Project site plan, site
photos, and project
mock-up**




<u>SITE INFORMATION:</u>	Plot of Navarino Lots 7481
Legal Description:	16-100, 14-190, 14-197, 14-198
Priest Number:	14-199, 14-200, 14-201
Current Use:	Vacant, formerly residential
Proposed Use:	Mixed-Use Development
Current Zoning: OR - Office Residential	
Adjacent Zoning:	
North - R1 - Low Density Residential	
South - OR - Office Residential	
East - OR - Office Residential	
West - OR - Office Residential	

Site Area:	52,678 SF (1,209 Acres)
Current Impervious:	0 SF
Proposed Building Area:	20,873 SF
Proposed Pavement Area:	18,610 SF
Proposed Sidewalk Area:	4,650 SF
Total Proposed Impervious:	42,133 SF (80.0%)
Total Proposed Greenspace:	10,545 SF (20.0%)


PARKING CALCULATIONS	
Multi-Family Parking Spaces Required:	44
(1 space per dwelling + 1 visitor space per 4 units, 65+1)	
General Office Parking Spaces Required:	10
(1 space per 500 of office floor area, 5,000 sf)	
Multi-use parking reduction:	-5
Bicycle parking reduction:	-5
Total Required Parking:	56
 Standard Parking Spaces:	42
Subsequent Parking Spaces (up to 20% of total):	11
Handicapped Parking:	3
Total Parking Spaces Proposed:	56

DEMOLITION:
All onsite trees are to be removed. Contractor shall coordinate with WPS to relocate overhead power supply to Walnut Street light pole, refer to the Utility Plan.


PROPERTY OWNER:
MF Housing Partners, LLC
229 E. Washington St.
Appleton, WI 54911
Phone: (920) 470-0833
Email: water@mfinvestmentgroup.com



HANDICAP PARKING SIGN DETAIL



NO PARKING SIGN DETAIL

[illegible]

SHEET INDEX:

Sheet	
Dimensional Site Plan	
Topographic Survey	
Drainage and Grading Plan	
Erosion & Sediment Control Plan	
Utility Plan	
Construction Details	
Erosion & Sediment Control Details	



DAVEL ENGINEERING & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Civil Engineers and Land Surveyors
1164 Province Terrace, Menasha, WI 54952
Ph: 920-891-1888 Fax: 920-441-0804
www.davel.com

3/22/2023 11:08 AM J:\Projects\7485for\deg\Civil 3D\7485engr.dwg Printed by: tim



333 East Chicago Street
Milwaukee, WI 53202
(414) 271-5350
www.eub.com

KEY PLAN

ISSUANCE AND REVISION

DATE	DESCRIPTION
------	-------------

PROGRESS DOCUMENTS
NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

These documents reflect program and intent and may be subject to change, including additions and deletions. These are not final construction documents and shall not be used for final building or construction-related decisions.

PROJECT INFORMATION

CITY EAST APARTMENTS

1177 WALNUT ST.
GREEN BAY, WI 54301

PROJECT NUMBER: 121355-01
PROJECT MANAGER: CR

SHEET NAME:

SHEET NUMBER:

DIMENSIONAL SITE
PLAN
C100

©2022 Epstein-Ullner Architects, Inc.





Artists Contract PRELIMINARY

Per the ongoing conversations with Tom Klister and his team. "Steps" has been chosen as the sculptural presence to enhance the City East Building located on Walnut Street in Green Bay.

Narrative: "Steps" is an arrangement of various square and rectangular corten steel tubing in a soft spiral shape that cascades vertically upward. Steps acknowledges that as individuals we are all on different paths whose next steps can not be predicted. Some of those steps go up and some drop down. This cascading staircase is a reflection of that reality we all face while we, hopefully, climb higher to achieve our goals. This concept has the potential to be pushed in a few other creative ways. By introducing polished stainless steel, which has a mirror effect, people can literally see themselves in the sculpture. Furthermore, "You are Here" can be printed on these mirrors in different languages so that people feel embraced by their home.

Schedule: It is my understanding that this project will be accomplished in 2024. The unveiling of the sculpture will be coordinated with the building's project managers to kick off move in. Actual dates are being determined presently.

Deliverables: Artist/artist subcontractors will design, fabricate and install "Steps" based on the designs, and specs delivered to the client (Tom Clister and his team).

Price: \$30,000

Artist Kent Hutchison Date 4/5/2023

Client Tom Klister Date 4/5/2023

Preliminary Maintenance Plan

A minimal maintenance plan is required for "Steps"

Annually:

1. Make visual inspection of welds and mounting hardware.
2. Remove any foliage if any from sculpture.

-Kent Hutchison

Project Timeline

May 5th, 2023: Project out for bid

June 9th, 2023: Bids Due back

June 19th, 2023: Construction Commencement

June/July 2024: Art Installation

August 2024: Project Occupancy



Report to the
Public Arts Commission
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

Laura Schley, Staff

AGENDA ITEM # S.2

To select final artworks and designate artwork placement for the Rotating Art Program 2023 - 2024 season.

BACKGROUND

The Rotating Art Program 2023 - 2024 Season Call-for-Art was released in March 2023.

Staff has received completed submissions from seven (7) artists proposing a total of thirteen (13) original sculptures for consideration.

The Rotating Art Program currently consists of six (6) established concrete footings located throughout the City to house sculptures for one year. The sites currently in operation are located at the following sites with affected Neighborhood Associations in parentheses: northeast corner of N. Irwin Avenue and East Shore Drive (East Shore Drive), right-of-way near Leicht Memorial Park (Fort Howard), southeast corner of W. Walnut Street and S. Oakland Avenue (Seymour Park), northwest corner of Dousman Street and Fisk Street (Perkins Park Area - Inactive), southeast corner of W. Mason Street and 12th Avenue (Tank Park) and southwest corner of Shawano Avenue and 12th Avenue (Western Corridor).

RECOMMENDATION

Approval of six (6) final artworks to be selected and installed based on Commissioner review and community input as part of the Rotating Art Program 2023-2024 season.

FISCAL IMPACT

If six pieces are selected, signage, marketing materials, and artist payments for the season will total roughly \$10,000.00. Payments will be made using allocated ARPA funds (\$50,000.00 total).

ATTACHMENTS

- I. RAP 2023-2024 Artist Submissions A - G

Artwork Title	<i>SoloSpyro</i>						Artist A	
Artwork Dimensions	H	8'	W	1' 10"	D	1' 3"	Heavy Equipment Required	No
Artwork Description	1. This piece is Non-Objective and depicts nothing in our 3D world. 2. Sculpture is fabricated from Aluminum ; Base from steel 3. Sculpture will be lifted by hand onto its base and bolted down 4. Four compression bolts will affix sculpture to concrete pad 5. This sculpture has three integrated visual systems: - a.) An organic wrapper surrounding sculpture - b.) Box-like structure defining the interior - c.) Cuneiform-like figures cascading down both sides – may imply alien language 6. LED lighting may be added to this sculpture if it is placed near access to 120V.							
Artist Experience	My sculptural output over the last forty-five years has included wall-sculpture, clear acrylic sculptures, mass-cast poly-resin sculptures up to 11 feet tall, monumental sculptures up to 20 feet tall, neon sculpture, organic based sculpture and currently solar powered sculptures which energize the LED lighting I am currently integrating into all new work going forward. My outdoor sculptures of the last twenty-five years are, essentially, three-dimensional line drawings in space that create a sculptural calligraphy to which a viewer may relate as either drawing or sculpture. The visual interplay between the second and third dimensions has been a primary and ongoing interest in my sculpture exploration over the last 50 years. My monumental sculptures have been exhibited and installed in national and international venues since 1992 as noted in my resume. Over the last ten years I have been working on a series of sculptures that represent a return to figuration' including "Run Son Run", "Epiphanic Recurve Redux", "Misericordia Crucis" and "Agonia en Hartu". While these sculptures remain intrinsically abstract, they reference the human form and attitude. By giving these sculptures' titles that reference the human icons of our culture, I am also able to allude to deeply emotional events to which we all have cultural access and which can, in turn, generate a felt empathy within us. During this time I have also developed a series of biologically inspired pieces based on the shapes of predators (parasites, viruses and bacteria) and their cellular targets (dendrites, nerve endings, sinews, etc). By enlarging these shapes to table-top and human-scale, the negative associations are removed and the complex structures and combinations of shapes can be seen without the need of a microscope. This series of sculptures has now been built up to ten feet tall and plans made to increase their height to 20 feet. LED lighting has been added to infuse the interiors with a glow of cobalt blue to indicate the presence of "life-force" within its metal skin. The light emanating from within creates a surreal look at night creating disembodied forms hovering in the darkness, disconnected from the memory of its daytime shape. This brings me full-circle to viewing these sculptures as three dimensional by day and as two-dimensional drawings by night. I am also designing these outdoor sculptures to be powered by solar panels which will provide the energy to sustain the LED light source and more. Besides creating an ethereal light within the sculpture, enough energy can be produced by the solar panels to flow back into the electrical grid, thereby serving both my esthetic and sustainability goals. Using 120V to power the LED lighting would also be an optional energy source.							

	I work with four fabricators in Milwaukee who fabricate my large sculptures and are expert in the latest lighting technologies. Please view artist resume below for more info.
--	---

Artwork Photos/Sketches: A SoloSpyro



Previous Work Examples Artist A





Artist Experience Continued See Resume Below



EDUCATION:

Wheaton College BA
Fuller Theological Seminary MA

MUSEUM COLLECTIONS:

Sioux City Art Museum purchased “Synaptic sinew V” for their outdoor sculpture collection 2018
Peoria Riverfront Museum leased “Inuit’s Bone Sequi” for their permanent collection 2020

PUBLIC COMMISSIONS:


Village of Biscayne Park	Biscayne Park, FL	2010
Chamber of Commerce	Eau Claire, WI	2021

EXHIBITIONS:

Peoria Riverfront Museum	(leased Inuit’s Bone Sequi)	Peoria IL	2019-20
Sioux City Art Center (indoor sculptures 1968-1989)	“Light, Space & Metaphor”	Sioux City	2019
Monona Terrace Convention Center	(Cosmic Slot & “Solo Spyro by invitation)	Madison WI	2018
Sculpt Siouxland	(Synaptic Sinew Sequis V by Juried)	Sioux City IA	2017-18
Artisan Forge Sculpture Park	(Solar Synapse, Misere Justorum Invitation)	Eau Claire WI	2016-2018
Scoville Sculpture Park	(Circum Zoidal V by Juried)	Decatur Illinois	2016-2018
Sculpture Walk	(Synaptic Sinew V by Juried)	Peoria IL	2016-2017
Cliff Dwellers Arts Club	(8 table top sculptures by Invitation)	Chicago	March 2016
Timothy Cobb fine Arts Gallery	(Duplex Vector by Invitation)	Milwaukee WI	2015
Timothy Cobb Fine Arts Gallery	(Shard Olduvai by invitation in Marshall Bldg.)	Milwaukee WI	2015
Timothy Cobb Fine Arts Gallery	(Cosmic Slot by Invitation in Marshall Bldg.)	Milwaukee WI	2015
Sculpture Walk	(Inuit’s Bone & Circumzoidal by Juried)	Sioux Falls, SD	2013-2015
Clearwater, Downtown	(Synaptic Sinew Sequi V by Juried)	Clearwater FL	2012-2014
Miami Country Day School	(Monolith Mantra V by Purchase)	North Miami FL	2011
Cardinal Stritch University	(Solar Synapse by invitation)	Milwaukee	2010-2016
Village of Biscayne Park	(Triax Alpha V by Commission)	Biscayne Park, FL	2010
Leicester University	(Synaptic Sinew V by Invitation)	Leicester, England	2010
Cardinal Stritch University	(Miseria Justorum by invitation)	Milwaukee	2009-2016
Art Rouge Gallery “Sculpt Miami”	(Synaptic Sinew V & Circumzoidal V by Invitation)	Miami	2009-10

Art on the River	(Monolith Mantra V by Juried)	Dubuque IA	2009-2010
Quad City Arts	(Inuit’s Bone & CircumZoidal V by Juried)	Davenport IA	2009-2011
Milwaukee Riverwalk	(Inuit’s Bone Sequis by Invitation)	Milwaukee	2008-2009
Art Rouge Gallery “Sculpt Miami”	(Synaptic Sinew V & CircumZoidal V, Invitation)	Miami	2008-2009
Sandra Neustadter Gallery	(Group /indoor sculptures by Invitation)	Delray Beach FL	2008-16
Salem Art Works	(Solar Synapse by Invitation)	Salem, NY	2008-2009
Downtown Sculpture Partnership	(Monolith Mantra V by Juried)	Colorado Springs, CO	2007-2008
Flossmore Art Downtown installation	(Miseria Justorum by Juried)	Flossmore, IL	2007-2010
Catalano Park Installation	(Miseria Justorum by Invitation)	Milwaukee, WI	2004-2006
Illinois Institute of Technology	(Furniture & table top sculpts by Invitation)	Chicago, IL	2006
Niemi Sculpture Gallery	(Table top sculptures by Invitation)	Kenosha, WI	2005-2006
Old Dominion Sculpture Expo	(Inuits Bone by Invitation)	San Antonio, TX	2003=2004
Bethel University Olson Gallery	(20 year Retrospective)	St. Paul, MN	2003
Cima Gallery	(Table-top sculptures by Invitation)	West Palm Beach FL	2002-03
Art in Downtown Installation	(Inuit’s Bone by Juried)	Ft. Lauderdale, FL	2001-2003
Convergence XI (International)	(Inuits Bone by Juried)	Providence RI	2002-2003
Convergence X (International)	(Solar Synapse by Juried)	Providence RI	1998-2001
Rockville Civic Center Park	(Sizzle Swizzle Sequis by Juried)	Rockville, MD	1997-1998
Pierwalk ’97 (International)	(Solar Synapse Juried for Navy Pier)	Chicago, IL	1997
Convergence IX International	(Epiphanic Recurve Redux Commission)	Providence RI	1996-1998
Softworks LLC	(Verticil Intersect Sequis I Purchase)	Thiensville WI	1996
West Palm Beach Armory	(Epiphanic Recurve Redux I Juried)	West Palm Beach, FL	1995-96
Ann Norton Sculpture Garden	(Verticil Intercept I by Juried)	West Palm Beach, FL	1995-96
Royal Palm Sculpture Garden	(Verticil Intercept I by Juried)	Vero Beach, FL	1993-94
South Bend Reg. Art Museum (Merit Award)	(Verticil Intercept I by Juried)	South Bend, IN	1992
St John’s Uihlein Peter’s Gallery	(Verticil Intercept I by Juried)	Milwaukee, WI	1992
Edgewood Orchard Gallery	(Poly-Resin sculptures by Invitation)	Fish Creek, WI	1988
Chicago International Expo (Struve Gallery)	(Exhibited Triplex Vector & Shard Oldevai)	Chicago, IL	1988
Chicago International Expo (Struve Gallery)	(Exhibited Atomics Impalures)	Chicago, IL	1987
Michael Lord Gallery	(Group Exhibition Poly-Resin Sculptures)	Milwaukee, WI	1984
Beasley Gallery	(Solo sculpture Exhibition Acrylic Sculptures)	San Diego, CA	1981
Dubbins Gallery	(Solo Sculpture Exhibition Acrylic Sculptures)	Los Angeles, CA	1980
Hokin Gallery	(Solo Sculpture Exhibition Acrylic Sculptures)	Palm Beach, FL	1979

Artwork Title	<i>Laulau (Hummingbird)</i>						Artist B	
Artwork Dimensions	H	6' – 10'	W	4'	D	2'	Heavy Equipment Required	Yes
Artwork Description	This piece depicts an iteration of a Green Breasted Mango Hummingbird. A species of hummingbird known for their nomadic travels across the country. These birds are significant to many cultures and have many meanings. The preferred material would be stainless steel, given its resistance to rust and its ability to be reflective. The bird would be fabricated in the geometric style of origami, and welded together on top of a single, strong pole that would be anchored into the concrete on a steel plate. Steel flowers could be added but requires more time and additional funding. Steel can be polished and/or patina can be added.							
Artist Experience	I was involved in the Unhinged Art Exhibition. I was in the show as a performing artist as well as a fabricator of a Colossal puppet, depicting an 11' tall cornhusk doll, that was displayed and carried through several local events and markets during the summer/fall of 2022. I am currently commissioned to fabricate a sculpture for the Bairds Creek Preservation Foundation. I also have a logo about to be published for the Wisconsin Tribal Conservation Advisory Council. Please view artist resume below for more info.							

Artwork Photos/Sketches: B Laulau



Artist Experience Continued See Resume Below



Artist B Resume

Page 1

Skills

I am a multi-disciplinary artist with skills in a variety of mediums such as but not limited to stained glass, MIG welding, design, digital art, drawing, painting, and poetry.

Experience

2018 - Oneida Photography Contest Winner

2019 - Oneida Smartphone Film Festival
Solo category - First Place

2020 - Boys and Girls Club - Mural
Designed a mural for the Lutsey Unit teen center

2022 - Wisconsin Tribal Conservation Advisory Council - Logo
Designed the new logo for the board.

2022 - Unhinged Exhibition - Colossal Puppet
Designed, fabricated, and carried an eleven foot tall puppet to promote the Unhinged Exhibition through several local events.

2022 - Unhinged Exhibition - Performance
Performed spoken word poetry in custom made Native American regalia and mask

2023 - Baird's Creek Preservation Foundation - Sculpture
Currently fabricating a commissioned sculpture

Education

Milwaukee Institute of Art and Design
August 2012 - January 2013

Artwork Title	<i>Fender Bender</i>						Artist C
Artwork Dimensions	H	8'	W	4' 4"	D	3' 6"	Heavy Equipment Required No
Artwork Description	Fender bender is a sculpture that utilizes re-purposed bicycle fenders. The spiral fashion in which the fenders are attached to the welded steel frame depict the spirit and fun of going for a bike ride. This piece is a steel armature clad in a spiral direction with re-purposed bicycle fenders. The fenders are coated with a high gloss enamel baked on so the candy like finish will last. It is welded to a 1/4" plate and is ready to bolt down. I can assemble and install the piece with the help of one other person.						
Artist Experience	I have been installing public sculpture in public spaces out doors and in galleries for more than 20 years. My attached resume has all my installations with dates. My website has all images of previous professional accomplishments. I have worked with engineers and architects to ensure the safe design and installation of all my work. Please view artist resume below for more info.						

Artwork Photos/Sketches: C Fender Bender

Previous Work Examples Artist C



Artist Experience Continued See Resume Below



Education

2005 MFA Sculpture University Of Minnesota, Minneapolis MN
 1996 BFA Sculpture University Of Manitoba, Winnipeg MB, Canada

Solo Exhibitions and Projects

2024 Show title TBA, Dairy Center for the Arts Boulder Colorado.
 Show title TBA, ~~Curfman~~ Gallery in Colorado State University, Fort Collins CO

2022 *Freeload*, Salisbury University, Salisbury Maryland.

2020 *Battle Sticks: Oversized*, Olin Gallery, Washington Jefferson University, Washington PA.
Rim Rift, Rosemary Duffy Larson Gallery, Broward College, Davie, Florida

2019 Interactive Art Park, City of West Columbia SC, 7 permanent interactive sculptures.

2018 *Reclaimed Landscapes*, ~~Begovich~~ Gallery, Cal State Fullerton, Fullerton CA
Collector Sea Island Gallery, University of South Carolina ~~Beaufort~~ SC

2017 *Water Tower Pavilion*, Public Art Commission, Richland Libraries Columbia SC
The Band Shell Project, Public Art Commission, Richland Libraries Columbia SC

2016 *Soil*, McMaster Gallery at the University of South Carolina Columbia SC
Scarp, Western Carolina University, Art Museum, Cullowhee, NC

2015 *Rat Nest*, University of Washington Mary, Fredericksburg VA
The Last Fish, Winthrop University, Rock Hill SC

2014 Grand Canyon National Park South Rim Artist-in-Residence
 Bunnell Street Arts Center Artist In Residence, Homer Alaska

2013 Installation Residency at University of Pennsylvania at Kutztown, Kutztown Pennsylvania
~~Artfields~~, Lake City South Carolina, headlining artist

2012 *What Land Will Take*, Artspace, Raleigh NC
The Machine Gunners, ~~Pari Nadimi~~ Gallery Toronto ON, Canada
Scarp 2012, UCCS Galleries Colorado Springs CO
Salvage Swell, The Niagara Arts Center, ~~St Catherine's~~ Ontario Canada
The Land Up North, Kendall College of Art and Design, Ferris State University, Grand Rapids MI

2011 *Earthflow*, Carroll House Gallery, Keene State College, Keene, NH
Knox Mountain Rearranged, Alternator Gallery Kelowna British Columbia
Lifespan, The New Gallery Calgary Alberta Canada

2010 *Scarpetta*, Gallery 621, Tallahassee FL

2009 *Light Box*, Accessibility: Crosscurrents, Sumter SC

Crazy Doesn't Even Begin To Cover It, Accessibility: Crosscurrents, Sumter SC
Regeneration Gap, ~~Pari Nadimi~~ Gallery Toronto Ontario, Canada

2008 *Scarp*, Halsey Institute for Contemporary Art, Charleston SC
Vortices, Trinity Square Video, Toronto ON Canada
Vanishing Point, Ace Art, Inc., Winnipeg MB Canada

Group Exhibitions

2023 *Glockenspiel*, Deland Pubic Art Commission, Deland FL

2022 *Agate Reflector*, Liberty Public Art Commission, Kansas City Missouri

2021 *Fender Bender 2*, University of Indiana Kokomo, Kokomo Indiana
~~Taraxacum~~ *Wedge*, Gadsden Alabama

2020 ~~Taraxacum~~ *Wedge*, Riverside Adventure Park, Indianapolis Indiana

2019 City of Lakeland Florida, sculpture competition, Lakeland FL
 Rosemary Beach Sculpture Competition, Rosemary Beach FL
Beyond Walls Public Art Exhibition at Robbins Park, Cornelius NC

2018 701 Contemporary Art, Columbia SC
 City of Lakeland Florida, sculpture competition, Lakeland FL

2016 City Of Ocala Florida ~~Tusawilla~~ Sculpture Park

2015 North Charleston National Sculpture Competition, Honorary Mention
Art In The Streets, Colorado Springs, CO
 City of Newport Beach Sculpture Park, Newport Beach CA

2013 *Habitat For Artists*, Pelham Center for The Arts, Pelham New York

2011 *The South Carolina Biennial*, 701 Center For Contemporary Art, Columbia SC
Watershed, The Union Art Gallery, University of Wisconsin Milwaukee, Milwaukee WI
SC/3D, 701 Center For Contemporary Art, Columbia SC


2010 *1x1*, Redux Contemporary Art Center, Charleston, SC
Fabrications, Elaine L. Jacob Gallery of Art, Wayne State University, Detroit MI
Kulture Klash 5, installation, 10 Store House Row North Charleston, SC

2009 *Moodscapes*, Eyelevel Gallery *Things* exhibition Charleston SC
Past Presence, Redux Contemporary Art Center Charleston, SC
 Feed2009: Biennial, 1708 Gallery, Richmond Virginia curated by Mark Sloan and Ashley Kistler
Kultureklash4 sculptural installation, 10 Store House Row North Charleston, SC


Grants/Awards Received

2023 Puffin Foundation Award
 2022 Deans Excellence Award, College of Charleston
 2019 Deans Excellence Award, College of Charleston
 2015 South Carolina Arts Commission Fellow
 2012 Research and Development Grant, College of Charleston

Artwork Title	<i>Full Sail II</i>						Artist D	
Artwork Dimensions	H	9'	W	5'	D	2'	Heavy Equipment Required	No
Artwork Description	An abstract sail boat in the wind. Constructed of Steel and Aluminum Anchored to the cement footing with four Tapcon style anchor bolts. (Can be installed by myself.)							
Artist Experience	Please view artist resume below for more info.							

Artwork Photos/Sketches: D Full Sail II

Previous Work Examples Artist D



Artist Experience Continued See Resume Below



Artist D Resume**Page 1**

- Work with clients to fulfill commissions for large public focal pieces
- Create both freestanding and wall hanging sculptures in metal, wood and clay
- Complete commissions for interior design projects
- Display and sell art in various Midwestern art galleries and outdoor sculpture programs
- Vice President for the Society of Minnesota Sculptors

Selected Works[Link to images of Selected Works](#)

<i>Ascension</i> – Hosanna Lutheran Church, Rochester, MN	\$100,000
• 45' foot tall outdoor metal sculpture.	
<i>Northscape</i> – Bemidji State University	\$50,000
• Five outdoor metal sculptures 8-17' feet tall each. (\$9,000 - \$16,000 each)	
<i>Wings</i> - Wheelock Parkway, St. Paul, MN	\$24,000
• 11' foot tall outdoor metal sculpture (currently in progress)	
<i>Great Blue Heron</i> – Benson Park, North Mankato, MN	\$12,000
• 11' foot tall outdoor metal sculpture	
<i>Totem II</i> – Cascade Lake Park, Rochester, MN	\$6,000
• 8' foot tall outdoor metal sculpture	
<i>Full Sail III</i> - Washington Pavilion Museum and Visual Arts Center, Sioux Falls, SD	\$6,000
• 8' foot tall outdoor metal sculpture	
<i>Full Sail V</i> - Alcester Community Center, Alcester, SD	\$6,000
• 9' foot tall outdoor metal sculpture	
<i>Supere Ande</i> – Eagle Ridge Academy, Minnetonka, MN	\$5,000
• 8' foot diameter indoor metal and wood wall sculpture.	
<i>Full Sail</i> – 1419 S. Wabash, Chicago, IL	\$4,000
• 8' foot tall outdoor metal sculpture	

Previous Employment

Art Teacher, grades 9-12 Eagle Ridge Academy, Eden Prairie, MN	2008-2013
• Art department chair	
• Led students abroad to teach Art History in Greece and Italy	
Intern, Zachmann Studios Limited, Fergus Falls, MN	2007
• Created individual components for large scale kinetic sculptures	
Graduate Assistant, Ceramics Studio, Iowa State University, Ames, IA	2006-2007
• Taught ceramics	
Art Teacher, grades 5-8, Fergus Falls Middle School, Fergus Falls, MN	2004-2005

Education

Iowa State University, Ames, IA	2005-2008
Master of Fine Arts Degree in Integrated Visual Arts, Magna Cum Laude.	
• Focus in sculpture and ceramics under Chris Martin and Ingrid Lillgren	
Concordia College, Moorhead, MN	2000-2003
Bachelor of Arts Degree in Art Education, Cum Laude	
• Focus in sculpture and ceramics under Duane Mickelson and Ross Hilgers	
• Studied Art and Art History in Egypt, Italy, Greece, and France as part of study-abroad programs	

Page 2Solo and Small Group Exhibitions

• Bloomington Center for the Arts, Artistry (3 person show), (scheduled), Bloomington, MN	2023
• MN Landscape Arboretum Gallery: "Flowers Show" (4 person show), Chaska, MN	2022
• Kaddatz Gallery: "Structures and Imaginings" (solo exhibition), Fergus Falls, MN	2021
• Octagon Center for the Arts: "Longing and Belonging" (2 person show), Ames, IA	2019
• ArtReach Gallery: "Structures" (3 person show), Stillwater, MN	2019
• Stillwater Arts Guild Gallery: "Structures" (solo exhibition), Stillwater, MN	2019
• ArtZ Gallery: "Structures" (solo exhibition), Amery, WI	2019
• Core Gallery: "Lost on the Way Home" (2 person show), Seattle, WA	2018
• Gallery 13: "Remains of a Beautiful Nowhere" (2 person show), Minneapolis, MN	2014
• Sharp Gallery: "All the Kings Tools" (solo exhibition), Minneapolis, MN	2011

Juried Exhibitions, Fairs, and Tours


• Sioux Falls Sculpture Walk, Sioux Falls, SD (accepted)	2023
• Delano Sculpture Walk, Delano, MN (accepted)	2023
• Sculpture Tour Eau Claire, Eau Claire, WI (accepted)	2023
• Mason City Sculpture Walk, Mason City, IA (accepted)	2023
• Ames Annual Outdoor Sculpture Exhibition, Ames, IA	2022
• Park Rapids Sculpture Trail, Park rapids, MN	2022
• Arts North International, Hopkins Center for the Arts, Hopkins, MN *3rd Place Award	2022
• Chicago Sculpture Exhibit, Chicago, IL	2022
• Sioux Falls Sculpture Walk, Sioux Falls, SD	2022
• Sculpture Tour Eau Claire, Eau Claire, WI	2022
• Delano Sculpture Walk, Delano, MN	2022
• Hutchinson Sculpture Stroll 2022, Hutchinson MN	2022
• Park Rapids Sculpture Trail, Park rapids, MN	2021
• Bemidji Sculpture Stroll 2021, Bemidji, MN	2021
• Mason City Sculpture Walk, Mason City, IA	2021
• CityArt Walking Sculpture Tour, Mankato, MN	2021
• Sioux Falls Sculpture Walk, Sioux Falls, SD	2021
• Ames Annual Outdoor Sculpture Exhibition, Ames, IA	2021
• Chicago Sculpture Exhibit, Chicago, IL	2021
• Urbandale Art Park Exhibition, Urbandale, IA	2021
• Sioux Falls Sculpture Walk, Sioux Falls, SD	2020
• Bemidji Sculpture Stroll 2020, Bemidji, MN	2020
• CityArt Walking Sculpture Tour, Mankato, MN	2020
• Chicago Sculpture Exhibit, Chicago, IL	2020
• Artfest Midwest, Des Moines, IA	2019
• Bemidji Sculpture Stroll 2019, Bemidji, MN	2019
• Hutchinson Sculpture Stroll 2019, Hutchinson, MN	2019
• Chicago Sculpture Exhibit, Chicago, IL	2019
• Arts in Harmony International, Traveling Show, MN	2019
• The Phipps: Heart of the River 50 th Anniversary, Hudson, WI	2018
• West Twin Park, Arts R Alive, Webster City, IA	2018
• D'Art Center, Tiny But Mighty, Norfolk, VA	2018
• Artstreet 2018, Hopkins, MN	2018
• Public Art Edina: Annual Juried Exhibition, Edina, MN	2018
• Hutchinson Sculpture Stroll 2018, Hutchinson, MN	2018
• Outdoor Rotating Sculpture Program, Eden Prairie, MN	2018
• Minnetonka Center for the Arts: Juried Members Show, Wayzata, MN.	2018
• The Phipps: Society of Minnesota Sculptors, Hudson, WI	2018
• Red Lodge Juried National Exhibition, Red Lodge, MT	2017
• Des Moines Arts Festival, Des Moines, IA	2017
• DMAF Public Sculpture Park, Des Moines, IA	2017
• Mn Landscape Arboretum: Society of Minnesota Sculptors, Chaska, MN	2017
• Public Art Edina: Annual Juried Exhibition, Edina, MN	2017

Artwork Title	<i>Pluma Sculptura, aka “The Feather”</i>						Artist E-1
Artwork Dimensions	H	10'	W	2'	D	2'	Heavy Equipment Required
Artwork Description	<i>The Feather</i> is my debut sculpture design, after spending four years designing themed climbing walls in the active family entertainment industry for a company called ClimbZone, and almost twenty years painting public and private murals for my own business, BB Murals. It is a direct response to the years of representational work I've been doing. I wanted to create something that was not based in reality, had no reference point and seemed to come from a different planet. Something unique. Something that came from inside. Materials: Posts: 2" x 2" x 10' high, square tubular steel, primed & painted black Base: 16" x 16" x 1/2" thick square steel, primed & painted black Panels: Four 4' high x 1' wide x 3/4" thick Medium Density Overlay Board, (MDO), primed, sanded, routed and printed with UV inks & coated in a clear acrylic sealer. Rods: 3/8" x 8" long stainless steel Weight: 85 lbs Install: Secured to a concrete pad using 4, 4" concrete anchor bolts through 4 holes in each corner of a 16" x 16" x 1/2" thick steel base plate. I do this myself using a battery powered Hammer drill.						
Artist Experience See Resume Below Proposals E-2	Over the past three years I have installed temporary and permanent sculptures in 29 states so far, including public art in Green Bay, WI & Stevens Point Sculpture Park, WI. Artist Resume located below submission E-2.						

Artwork Photos/Sketches: E-1 *Pluma Sculptura, aka “The Feather”*

Artwork Title	<i>Wings (Kinetic & Interactive)</i>						Artist E-2	
Artwork Dimensions	H	10'	W	2'	D	2'	Heavy Equipment Required	No
Artwork Description	This kinetic sculpture is reminiscent of plane or falcon wings, symbolizing upward momentum as they point to the sky. A plea for growth, freedom, evolution, and a chance to travel beyond the terrestrial into the unknown. As the wind hits these 4 foot wide panels, they move and rotate with it, not against it, thus assuring their stability. This action is achieved by a hidden set of pin thrust bearings inside the round post which the wings sit upon. Due to its 12 foot stature, <i>Wings</i> can be seen from afar and makes a bold impression up close as well. Choose from a variety of styles and design when selecting your own <i>Wings</i> sculpture. A fine and unique addition to any sculpture exhibition! Materials: Post: 12' x 2" round tubular steel painted gloss black Base: 16" x 16" x 1/2" steel base plate Wings: 3/4" Marine Grade MDO Board with designs directly printed on using UV Inks Paint: Exterior Gloss Black Enamel Paint Rotation: Thrust Pin Bearings for the post Weight: 96 lbs Install: Secured to a concrete pad using 4, 4" concrete anchor bolts through 4 holes in each corner of a 16" x 16" x 1/2" thick steel base plate. I do this myself using a battery powered Hammer drill.							
Artist Experience See Resume Below Proposal E-2	Over the past three years I have installed temporary and permanent sculptures in 29 states so far, including public art in Green Bay, WI & Stevens Point Sculpture Park, WI.							

Artwork Photos/Sketches: E-2 Wings (Kinetic & Interactive)



Artist E Resume



WORK EXPERIENCE

Founder / Owner / Lead Designer

BB Murals / Alien Artifact Studios 2007 - present / 2018 - present
1605 Front Ave. Lutherville, MD 21093

- Design concepts for 2D & 3D projects based on client's unique specifications.
- Paint or fabricate projects according to visual specifications, timeline & budget.
- Facilitate with Vendors, Architects, City Planners, Arts Organizations & Engineers
- Design & maintain on line presence including website and social media sites.
- Design, print & distribute marketing materials
- Locate, prepare & submit responses to national Request For Proposals & Request For Qualifications for consideration.
- Manage both businesses including taxes, insurance policies, business registrations and General Contractors Licenses in multiple states.

Lead Designer / Creative Director

ClimbZone Production Nov. 2015 - July 2019
8993 Yellow Brick Rd. Rosedale, MD 21237

- Created 2D & 3D concept art for themed climbing walls measuring 5' x 28' to 20' x 28' to be used for full scale fabrication & installation.
- Created and maintained style guides for each wall & it's hand built, hand painted pieces to ensure uniformity for franchisees.
- Designed & managed print & web graphics for ClimbZone's corporate image & promotional materials including for our franchisees.
- Operated & maintained our Oce Arizona 1260 XT large format, flat bed, in-line printer for steady production.
- Photo documented all stages of creation for each piece, for each climbing wall.

Scenic Artist / Set Painter

For Film & Television 2005-2007

- Painted a variety of faux finishes for the following tv shows / films:
Extreme Makeover Home Edition: Girls Hope / Boys Hope Baltimore
The Wire: Season 4 - HBO
Evan Almighty - Universal Pictures
Invasion - Warner Bros.
Miss America Pageant 2006
Crazy Eights - IKM Productions
Apology - Bask Entertainment

WORK EXPERIENCE CONTINUED

Art Teacher

Central Career Center at Briscoe High School 1999-2005
MLK Blvd., Baltimore City, MD 21217

Taught the following units:

- Drawing, Painting, Watercolor, Clay, Portraiture, Mosaic, String Art, Wax Batik, Mural Painting & Photoshop
- Facilitated several school-wide beautification projects
- Won "Teacher of the Year" in 2003

Director of Urban Arts Projects

Greater Homewood Development Corp. One Year Service 1998-1999
Baltimore City, MD 21217

- Facilitated 15 interior and exterior mural projects in Baltimore City, engaging school and community groups and using student and community volunteers.
- Facilitated Freshman Orientation Service-Learning Day Mural projects for both MICA, (Maryland Institute, College of Art) & JHU, (Johns Hopkins University) with upwards of 60 students at a time.

Graphic Artist

F.W. Haxels Sign & Awning Co. 1990 - 1998
Baltimore City, MD 21218

My introduction to the commercial art world. I would spend my summers in high school and even the first few years of college in a sign production warehouse learning how to use adhesive back vinyl lettering to create large format vinyl banners and signs for the Baltimore/DC Metro area. We also did some hand painted signs. Our highest profile client was when the Olympics were in Atlanta, GA and we were called to assist in the overflow.

SELECTED RECENT AWARDS

The Virginia Beach Sports Center
2021-2022 Virginia Beach, VA
\$100,000 for a permanent exterior sculpture

The George Mitchell Sr Memorial Mural
2021 Baltimore, MD
\$10,000 for a permanent mural

Southern Oregon Historic Society Mural
2021 Medford, OR
\$20,000 for permanent mural

Maryland State Arts Council
2021 Grant Recipient
\$3,000 Grant for Molding Certification Classes

Green Acres Park Labrynth Gateway
2021 Runnemede, NJ
\$5,000 for a permanent gateway sculpture

New Hampshire Dept. of Transportation
2021 / Turnpike Admin Bldg, Hookset, NH
\$15,000 for a permanent wall hung relief sculpture

Pat Miller Neighborhood Art Marker
2021 / Office of the Arts / Alexandria, VA
\$10,000 for a permanent sculpture

The Peter & Julie Cummings Library
2021 / Palm City, FL
\$30,000 for a permanent sculpture series

Underwater Museum of Art
2021 / Walton County, FL www.umaf.org
\$6,500 for a permanent sculpture

Sunset Neighborhood Park Sculpture
2020 / Renton Arts Council / City of Renton, WA
\$250,000 for a permanent sculpture

Artwork Title	<i>Incendiary</i>							Artist F
Artwork Dimensions	H	10'	W	3'	D	3'	Heavy Equipment Required	No
Artwork Description	My work draws inspiration from contemporary Americana; mainly sourcing from automotive customizing, graffiti, comic books, snow/skateboarding and street art. This work is in the letter "I" series. Materials: Automotive paint on steel. Install: Artwork is bolted to the concrete with anchor bolts through tabs welded to the bottom of the piece.							
Artist Experience See Resume Below	Artist Resume located below submission.							

Artwork Photos/Sketches: F *Incendiary*



Artist Experience See Resume Below



Artist F Resume

SOLO EXHIBITIONS

- 2021 *Urban Abstract*, Inez-Greenberg Gallery, Bloomington Art Center, Minneapolis, MN
- 2020 *Balancing Act*, Kader Gallery, Pumphouse Art Center, La Crosse, WI
- 2018 *Luke Achterberg*, Sherrie Gallerie, Columbus, OH
- 2016 *Cultivating Connections*, Contemporary Art Center of Peoria, Peoria, IL
- 2015 *Made in the USA*, Kathryn Markel Fine Arts, New York City, NY
- 2014 *Style Investigations*, Central Library Gallery, Lexington Public Library, Lexington, KY

GROUP/JURIED EXHIBITIONS (selected)

- 2021 *Chicago Sculpture Exhibition*, Wrigleyville, Chicago, IL
- 2020 *WVU Sculpture Tour*, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV
- 2019 *The Art Advocacy Project 3-D*, Loveland Museum, Loveland, CO
- 2018 *RiverArtes III: The Art of Placemaking*, Owensboro Museum of Art, Owensboro, KY
- 2017 *Sculpture Walk Peoria*, Riverfront Museum, Peoria, IL
- 2016 *Art on the Atlanta Beltline*, Atlanta, GA
- 2015 *Out of the Box*, Julie Collins Smith Museum of Fine Art, Auburn University, Auburn, AL
- 2014 *Chicago International Art Show*, featured artist by Villa del Arte of Barcelona, Spain, at Navy Pier, Chicago, IL
- 2013 *Art Basel*, Selection Art Fair Featured Artist, Art St. Urban, Basel, Switzerland

PERMANENT COLLECTIONS (selected)

- 2020 CMK Properties, Chicago, IL
- 2019 CC Homes, Doral, Miami, Florida
- 2018 Private Collectors, Columbus, OH
- 2017 Private Collectors, Peoria, IL
- 2016 All Seasons Center, Sioux Center, Iowa
- 2015 Big Ass Fans, Lexington, KY
- 2013 Wuest & Partner AG, Zurich, Switzerland
- 2012 Rio Crest Winery, Guerneville, CA. Kevin Brown, owner
- 2011 The Crash Pad, Hostel, Chattanooga, TN
- 2010 Wabash Valley College, Mt. Carmel, IL

EDUCATION

- 2009 MFA, University of Kentucky, Sculpture and Ceramic Focus, Lexington, KY
- 2005 Welding Technical Diploma, Western Technical College, La Crosse, WI
- 2004 BA, University of Wisconsin at La Crosse, La Crosse, WI

GALLERY REPRESENTATION

Sherrie Gallerie, Columbus, OH
Art-St-Urban, St. Urban, Lucerne, Switzerland

Brief Biography

He grew up in central Wisconsin; the Grandson of welders and the Nephew of automotive customizers. He completed his undergrad at the University of Wisconsin-La Crosse. Then studied welding at Western Technical College. He worked as a certified welder and fabricator at a custom job shop before completing his Master of Fine Art degree at the University of Kentucky. He has received awards from the International Sculpture Center in New York and Art-St-Urban in Switzerland. He has had solo exhibitions in New York City, and recently in Columbus, OH. His work has been collected into numerous public and private collections. He maintains his own active studio in La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Artwork Title	BirdWomanTree							Artist G-1
Artwork Dimensions	H	7' 6"	W	4' 10"	D	5' 10"	Heavy Equipment Required	Yes
Artwork Description	Woman bent backwards. One arm becomes a rooting tree branch. The other arm becomes a bird wrapping around the world of her womb. Materials: Found objects, rusted patina metal Install: Minimum 4' x 4' concrete pad, crane and electricity required. Artist attached with 4 bolts.							

Artwork Photos/Sketches:



Artwork Title	Family Dynamics							Artist G-2
Artwork Dimensions	H	10' 8"	W	5' 4"	D	5' 6"	Heavy Equipment Required	Yes
Artwork Description	Prow of a ship as base with adult figures leading smaller family members Materials: Rusted patina metal Install: Minimum 4' x 4' concrete pad, crane and electricity required. Artist attached with 4 bolts.							

Artwork Photos/Sketches:

Artwork Title	Focal Point						Artist G-3
Artwork Dimensions	H	13' 4"	W	8' 1"	D	3' 11"	Heavy Equipment Required
Artwork Description	Human figure arms raised in adoration. Materials: Stainless Steel Install: Minimum 4' x 4' concrete pad, crane and electricity required. Artist attached with 4 bolts.						

Artwork Photos/Sketches:



Artwork Title	Perspective						Artist G-4
Artwork Dimensions	H	10' 8"	W	4'	D	2' 10"	Heavy Equipment Required
Artwork Description	Abstract circle with rock in middle. Kinetic. Materials: Stainless Steel Install: Minimum 4' x 4' concrete pad, crane and electricity required. Artist attached with 4 bolts.						

Artwork Photos/Sketches:



Artwork Title	Rock n Roll						Artist G-5
Artwork Dimensions	H	15' 9"	W	7' 4"	D	3'	Heavy Equipment Required
Artwork Description	Human torso atop a roll. Materials: Stone and rusted patina metal. Install: Minimum 4' x 4' concrete pad, crane and electricity required. Artist attached with 4 bolts.						

Artwork Photos/Sketches:



Artwork Title	Yin Yang							Artist G-6
Artwork Dimensions	H	8' 8"	W	2' 10"	D	2' 10"	Heavy Equipment Required	Yes
Artwork Description	A human figure with a Yin Yang head.. Materials: Painted metal and found object. Install: Minimum 4' x 4' concrete pad, crane and electricity required. Artist attached with 4 bolts.							

Artwork Photos/Sketches:



Artist Experience See Resume Below Artist G-1 – G-6**Objective**

To create metal sculptures that stimulate conversation and evoke emotional response.

I am profoundly influenced by the lines found in nature and frequently incorporate found objects of biomorphic or geometric shape to create my artwork. I work primarily in mild steel – stainless and aluminum – also utilizing copper, bronze, corten, concrete, bone, glass, stone, plastic and wood.

Exhibits

- West Bend Art Museum – “From Found Objects to Fine Art” 1993
- Paine Art Centre – “Contemporary Sculpture Infiltrates Paines’ White Garden” 1998
- West Bend Art Museum 1999
- Quad Cities – 2008, 2009, 2011 and present
- Kenosha Community Foundation – 2010 to present
- Merton Town Hall Library – 2020
- Grill Junkies – 2017 to 2020
- Acre of Art – 2019 to present
- Riverfront Sculpture Walk Rockford, IL – 2018 to present
- Art in Hart Park – 2018, 2019
- Our Savior’s Lutheran – 2000 to 2021
- Spectacular Sculpture Garden – 1989 to present
- Ames Iowa Sculpture Walk – 2018, 2019

Collections

- University Wisconsin Madison – Babcock Hall and Primate Research Facility
- Lake Front Brewery – Milwaukee
- Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction – Madison
- Bettendorf Municipal Police Services - Iowa
- Figge Art Museum – Davenport, Iowa
- West Bend Insurance Company
- McDonald’s Corporation, Brookfield – 2006, Menomonee Falls – 2007
- Bonnie Lynn Acres Foundation
- Hartford Union High School District
- St. Charles School, Watertown
- North Lake Elementary School

Collections Continued

- University of Wisconsin – Oshkosh
- Greenfield High School – Greenfield
- Bayside Elementary School – Bayside
- Tools Inc.
- United Recycling LLC
- Artist in Residence - Collaborated with students at nine schools to create sculpture on permanent display
- Ziegler Investments, Chicago
- River’s Edge, Waukesha
- Pauline Haas Library, Sussex
- Town Hall Library, North Lake
- Rockford Riverfront Sculpture Walk permanent
- Fiddlehead Coffee Shop (3 locations) Milwaukee, Shorewood, Grafton



Report to the
Traffic, Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

Dave Hansen, Traffic Engineer

AGENDA ITEM # T.I

To receive and place on file the report by the Police Department on the monthly Traffic Enforcement Unit Traffic Safety Plan.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

Receive and place on file.

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

- I. TRAFFIC SAFETY PLAN MAY 2023





Green Bay Police Department

MAY 2023

Traffic Safety Plan


Traffic complaints are the #1 complaint made to the Green Bay Police Department and City Alderpersons. The police department is committed to safe travels for motorists, pedestrians and bicyclists. With a goal of reducing traffic crashes, property damage, injury and death on Green Bay's roadways, the police department will use a data driven approach for traffic enforcement. Data analytics from crash data, citizens complaints and speed boards will be used to identify traffic emphasis areas. Each month's report will be posted on the police department's website and will be presented at each months Traffic, Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission meetings.

APRIL 2023 CRASH DATA





GREEN BAY POLICE DEPARTMENT TRAFFIC SAFETY PLAN

APRIL 2023 TRAFFIC CRASH HEAT MAP



APRIL 2023 TRAFFIC STOPS




GREEN BAY POLICE DEPARTMENT TRAFFIC SAFETY PLAN

UPCOMING TRAFFIC TASK FORCE DEPLOYMENTS

- * BROWN COUNTY OWI TASK FORCE— MAY 27 (COUNTY) & MAY 28 (DEPERE)
- * CLICK IT OR TICKET SEATBELT ENFORCEMENT— MAY 5 (GREEN BAY), MAY 26 (ASHWAUBENON) & MAY 30 (HOBART/LAWRENCE)
- * CLICK IT OR TICKET NATIONAL MOBILIZATION MAY 22—JUNE 4
- * LOCAL GREEN BAY ALCOHOL ENFORCEMENT—MAY 5, 6, 12, 13, 19 & 20

ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY AREAS




Analysis Area #1: GREEN BAY (C)

Roadway: WEBSTER AVE S

Total Crashes: 15

Contributing Factors:

- Ocsp Protection (4)
- Aggressive (1)
- Teen Driver (4)
- CMV (1)
- Speed (3)
- Impaired (1)
- Bike (2)
- Pedestrian (1)
- Distracted (2)




Analysis Area #3: GREEN BAY (C)

Roadway: E MASON ST

Total Crashes: 14

Contributing Factors:

- Teen Driver (4)
- 65+ Driver (3)
- Ocsp Protection (2)
- Speed (2)
- Impaired (1)



Analysis Area #4: GREEN BAY (C)

Roadway: 141

Total Crashes: 15

Contributing Factors:

- Teen Driver (5)
- Impaired (1)
- 65+ Driver (3)
- Ocsp Protection (1)
- Distracted (2)
- Speed (2)
- Bike (1)

These areas are determined as the most likely to experience an injury traffic crash based on the last three years of crash data collected by the state of Wisconsin. Packerland & Mason was listed as Analysis Area #2, but since the road pattern in that area has been altered, it was excluded from this analysis until more data can be collected. In the short time the traffic pattern has been changed in the area, crashes have been reduced significantly.

GREEN BAY POLICE DEPARTMENT TRAFFIC SAFETY PLAN

FATAL AND SERIOUS INJURY ACCIDENTS

4/18/2023 1:13PM W. MASON ST./16TH AVE. GBPD CASE #23-220309

A 73-year-old Green Bay woman was crossing W. Mason St. on foot near 16th Ave. when a speeding car traveling Eastbound on E. Mason St. struck the woman. The pedestrian went up over the hood of the car and landed on the street. CPR was started by bystanders as the woman had no pulse, but the rescue efforts were unable to save the pedestrian. The car never stopped and fled the scene. It was found by a Green Bay officer several blocks from the scene. The vehicle stopped for police and the driver was arrested for homicide by intoxicated use of vehicle and several other charges. The driver was a 23-year-old Green Bay man who had been drinking at the casino all day.

4/24/2023 8:35PM ABRAMS ST./NEWBERRY AVE. GBPD CASE #23-221528

A speeding car that was Northbound on Main St. Struck a car that was crossing Main from Abrams St. to Newberry Ave. The collision sent the struck vehicle into the Wenz Home Furniture lot. The Green Bay Metro Fire Department had to work to cut the victims out of the car. The people in the struck vehicle were taken to the hospital with life threatening injuries but did survive the crash. The driver, an 18-year-old Green Bay man, was arrested for OWI (marijuana usage) and reckless driving causing great bodily harm.

CONTRUCTION/TRAFFIC CONFLICTS

Interstate-43 (Leo Frigo Bridge)

Interstate 43 Leo Frigo Bridge painting project and installation of new lighting on the bridge is underway. Work is expected to end in the fall of 2023. One lane of traffic in each direction. Expect delays and shut downs for any accident on the bridge.

W. Mason St. @ Beaver Dam Creek (Fireman's Park area)

Bridge over Beaver Dam Creek near Fireman's Hill (W. Mason St.) will be repaired this summer. Expect delays and a detour up to Shawano Ave. during times when Mason St. will be closed. Traffic Unit expected to have heavy enforcement near the area for cars cutting through the neighborhoods to avoid the detour.



Report to the
Traffic, Bicycle and Pedestrian Commission
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

Dave Hansen, Traffic Engineer

AGENDA ITEM # T.2

To hold the request by Ald. Galvin to change the 2-WAY STOP condition on Irwin Avenue at Eliza Street to a 4-WAY STOP condition.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

Refer to staff for study.

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

None



Report to the
Personnel Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # U.I

For consideration with possible action to create the Office of Violence Prevention Director LTE position in Community and Economic Development through December 31, 2025 at a salary up to \$83,000 and not to exceed \$100,000 in fringe benefits.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. Position to Fill-OVP Director
2. OVP Director Job Description - 2023

Position Fill Request--Justification Report
Presented to City Council on June 6, 2023

Position Title: **Office of Violence Prevention Director**

1. If this position is a replacement position, please indicate the reasons for the vacancy. If this is not a replacement position, please indicate the reasons for requesting the position.

- ☐ Replacement Position (upcoming retirements) ☒ Not a Replacement Position

2. Is this position included in the current budget? If not, please list how this position will be funded (grant, internship, etc.). Please list the salary range of the position.

- No, the position will be funded through the \$1,000,000 grant the city of Green Bay received through the Medical College of Wisconsin.

3. Please list the functions and any special information regarding this position.

- The Director of the Office of Violence Prevention is a leadership position providing overall management, vision, and accountability for the OVP activities. The position plans, organizes, directs, and evaluates the work of the office and under minimal direction is responsible for developing, implementing, and achieving the OVP's strategic objectives.

4. Does the position generate revenue or reduce expenses? If so, provide an estimated amount.

- No, this position does not generate revenue nor reduce expenses.

5. Please explain why the current staff is unable to absorb the duties of this position.

- This is a new position within the Community and Economic Development Department. The OVP Director will focus on reducing gun violence within the city.

6. If duties of position are presently being done, how are they done?

- These duties are currently being done by officers, detectives and the professional staff of the Green Bay Police Department.

7. What service would be reduced or eliminated if this position is not filled?

- N/A. This is a new position within the city. Not filling the position would have an adverse impact on the grant that the city received to fund this position.

8. What are the alternative methods and costs of accomplishing the work?

- This is a grant funded position for three years (2023, 2024 and 2025).

9. Are there union issues?

- No, there are no union issues.

10. Other supporting comments.

- Please see attached OVP Director job description.



JOB DESCRIPTION

City of Green Bay

Position Title	Office of Violence Prevention Director
Department	Community and Economic Development
Reports To	Deputy Development Director
Supervises	Contractors
Status	Full time
Salary Range	In accordance with the proposed Office of Violence Prevention budget
Job Summary	The Office of Violence Prevention (OVP) Director is assigned organizationally to the Community and Economic Development office. OVP works to strategically reduce violence, focusing on firearm violence throughout the city of Green Bay using data-driven community partnerships, street outreach and focused deterrence programs and strategies. The Office of Violence Prevention (OVP) uses evidence-based public health approach to reduce and prevent fatal and non-fatal shootings in Green Bay. By providing primary, secondary and tertiary preventions which addresses root causes and social determinants of violence programs to prevent violence from occurring. The Director of the Office of Violence Prevention is a leadership position providing overall management, vision, and accountability for the OVP activities. The position plans, organizes, directs, and evaluates the work of the office and under minimal direction is responsible for developing, implementing, and achieving the OVP's strategic objectives.
Essential Functions	Provide oversight and strategic direction for the Office of Violence Prevention, including Staff supervision. • Develop policies and procedures pertaining to the Office's functions. • Manage the personnel transactions, including hiring new employees; create and update performance standards and provide on-going feedback for all direct reports; provide training opportunities; perform corrective actions; and terminate employment as needed. • Motivate and lead the staff to successfully accomplish the established goals and objectives. • Work with the OVP team, in the development and subsequent communication of the office mission and vision both internally and externally. • Oversee internal and external Program services. Provide input to the overall strategic direction toward eliminating gun violence in Green Bay, using data driven partnership programs and strategies. • Manage OVP Gun Violence Reduction Plan and implementation. • Lead the development of innovative strategies or modify existing programs based on community needs and best and/or emerging practices. • Establish work priorities and procedures which respond to community needs. • Ensure accuracy, timeliness, and accountability of program functions and reporting. • Ensure the OVP work is conducted in accordance with grant and city requirements. • Ensure accuracy, timeliness, and accountability of program functions and reporting.

	Manage and prepare the Office budget. • Oversee the development of program budgets and spending plans and monitor expenditures so that projects are completed on schedule and within budget limitations. • Provide input to department policy related to the areas of responsibility, and general allocation of available funds. • Perform proper budgeting of time and resources. Focus and service must be realigned as priorities change. • The Director is responsible for planning projects by resources and is accountable for measuring results against budget or plan. • Assist in the research, preparation, and submission of requests for grant funding; assess the need for new initiatives; adjust current strategies to meet the changing needs of city residents within available resources; and assume administrative oversight of new initiatives as appropriate. • Oversee the preparation of grant applications to initiate or support innovative community-based programs. Gun Violence Policy Analysis • Researching, studying, and exploring evidenced based and national best practices of gun violence reduction strategies. • Content expert and technical advisor on using a public health and community driven approach to eliminate violence. • Oversee the development of written and oral reports for policy makers and community members. • Assess the need for new initiatives and to adjust current strategies to meet the changing needs of City residents within available resources. • Develop and deliver presentations to internal and external agencies, City committees, community organizations, and with the media, on key violence prevention efforts. Oversee Coordination and Collaboration of Governmental and community stakeholders. • Maintain and nurture collaborative, effective, and professional relationships with all levels of representatives from other city departments and external agencies. • Build collaborations and partnerships with public and private sector entities to seek funding and support for community health interests. • Work closely and collaboratively with City, County, nonprofit partners and community members to align efforts and sustain a citywide network of violence prevention and intervention services. Oversee and Manage internal coordination and communication with City Staff • Work with City staff to help set direction, provide leadership and lead business process improvement for the OVP. • Work with City staff and the Deputy Director of Community and Economic Development on contract negotiation, preparation, processing, implementation, monitoring, and reporting. • Develop and communicate the OVP's mission and vision both internally and externally.
Knowledge,	Knowledge of:

Skills and Abilities	• Policies, principles, and resources related to violence prevention programs; program monitoring and evaluation techniques; • Public administration principles, practices, and methods of administrative and organizational analysis; • Applicable federal, state, and local laws, rules and regulations, and the role of federal and state agencies related to violence prevention programs; • Business computer user applications, particularly as related to data analysis and management; • Principles and practices of employee selection, supervision, training, and professional development; • Community organization, group dynamics, training and consultation methods and practices; • Current issues, trends, strategies, and industry best practices in the violence prevention field; and • Budgetary principles and practices. <u>Skill in:</u> • Working effectively with diverse stakeholders including law enforcement personnel, community leaders and organizers, residents affected by violence, service providers, etc.; • Encouraging and leveraging different perspectives, wisdom, and experience of community members; • Sound judgment and integrity; • Clarity and accountability for strategy goals, objectives and activities through performance management of the OVP mission, goals and objectives; • Attention to detail, including strong administrative skills; and • Professional familiarity with at least two of the following: law enforcement, direct outreach or violence intervention, reentry services, workforce development, community organizing. <u>Abilities:</u> • Ability to communicate effectively, both orally and in writing; • Ability to utilize a computer and the required software; • Ability to maintain effective working relationships with staff and the public; • Ability to work the required hours of the position.
Minimum Education and Experience	Five years of professional level nonprofit, public health, or administrative and analytical experience or two years of community based professional-level experience in one or more of the following: budget, strategic planning, policy analysis, organizational development, program design, implementation and evaluation, crime prevention programming or personnel management. Graduation from an accredited four-year college or university. A post-graduate degree or additional work experience in community based work may substitute for the required professional level governmental administrative and analytical experience.

	An equivalent combination of education and experience is acceptable.
Physical Requirements	Ability to perform the following activities: • Mobility - Frequent sitting for long periods of time while operating a keyboard; occasional standing and walking for long periods of time; • Lifting - Occasional lifting of 25 pounds or less; • Vision - Constant use of overall visual capabilities; ability to read and produce printed material and information displayed on a visual display terminal; • Dexterity - Frequent holding, grasping, repetitive motion and writing; • Hearing/Talking - Constant hearing and talking of normal speech, frequently over noise, in person by telephone, and in group settings. • Emotional/Psychological – Varies based on position assignment. Constant concentration and decision making; frequent public contact; occasional to moderate working alone, traveling, and participation in difficult investigations, calls for service, crime scenes, or other police activities; • Environmental Conditions – Occasional to frequent exposure to noise, outdoor conditions; occasional to moderate risk of exposure to hazardous materials, dirt, dust, smoke, fumes, poor ventilation, and indoor cold/heat; and • Working Conditions – Typically moderately quiet, but may be loud at times and at some locations. • Special Requirements - May require weekend or evening work;
The above is not to be construed as an exhaustive statement of duties, responsibilities or requirements. I have read the above position description and understand the duties and responsibilities of the position. _____ Employee Name (please print) _____ Date _____ Employee Signature	

Detail Summary of Projects Issued

5/1/2023 to 5/31/2023

Proj #	Address	Owner	Applicant	Fees Paid	Estimated \$	Status
112419	2818 COUNTRY CLUB CT..6H-4196	ROBERT R LEMIEUX		\$2,177.77	\$1,000,000.00	Pending
[1-101 - Residential - New - Single Family House] NEW SINGLE FAMILY HOME **Took to EC 5/2/2023**						
112501	1399 DOUSMAN ST ..6-107-A	ALLEN W BEGOTKA		\$1,169.79	\$300,000.00	Pending
[1-101 - Residential - New - Single Family House] NEW SINGLE FAMILY HOME WITH ATTACHED GARAGE **Exterior plumbing permit updated - new contractor 5/24/23**						
112739	1005 MENDOTA DR..21-8381	BAY SETTLEMENT INVESTMENTS LLC		\$1,339.79	\$0.00	Pending
[1-101 - Residential - New - Single Family House] NEW SINGLE FAMILY HOME WITH ATTACHED GARAGE						
110752	964 LOMBARDI AV..1-1315	OSHKOSH PROPERTIES LLC		\$581.78	\$70,300.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] REMODEL						
111974	309 S VAN BUREN ST..14-762	BRANCH THREE PROPERTIES LLC		\$500.60	\$60,000.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] INTERIOR REMODEL						
112006	2593 RADINZ RD..21-5212	JOANNE M & WILLIAM C GRIESBACH		\$322.19	\$98,000.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] REMODEL - KITCHEN/LAUNDRY						
112179	1140 S WEBSTER AV..16-317	LEOBARDO & ADOLFO VILLA SANCHEZ		\$150.00	\$2,000.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] REMODEL. *** CONTACT TIM 680-7984 WHEN READY FOR INTERIOR INSPECTIONS TO CHECK FOR HOUSING COMPLIANCE ***						
112285	1422 E MASON ST..17-795	TRINIDAD & CARLOS ORDAZ		\$100.00	\$3,500.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] INSTALL EGRESS WINDOW - DOUBLE FEE						
112350	1006 W MASON ST..2-677-A	MARIA J BRITO BARREZUETA		\$50.00	\$2,500.00	Closed
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] EGRESS WINDOW						
112375	826 S BAIRD ST..17-479	STEPHEN C PREISLER		\$50.00	\$1.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] WINDOW REPLACEMENT						
112465	1369 VANDERBRAAK ST..21-1677	DANIELLE WITAK, ETAL		\$180.00	\$22,260.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Addition - Single Family House] 3 SEASONS ROOM BACK ENTRANCE ADDITION AND FRONT PORCH/DECK						
112482	2103 LAKESIDE PL..21-936	MARK C DECLEENE, ETAL		\$255.00	\$0.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] GARAGE ATTIC CONVERSION						
112534	1009 CHANTEL ST..6-2076	ANDREA MARESH		\$50.00	\$3,500.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] INSTALL EGRESS WINDOW						
112542	1168 SHADOW LN..1-2511-A	TITLE 14 INVESTMENTS LLC		\$255.00	\$165,000.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] ADDING AND UPDATING ROOMS ADDA BEDROOOM AND BATHROOM IN BASEMENT, NEW KITCHEN, CONVERT A BEDROOM INTO A BATHROOM AND OTHER GENERAL UPDATES						
112615	1647 LORETTA LN..21-2116-M-9	JAVIER & ELVIRA TORRES		\$50.00	\$7,125.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] EGRESS WINDOW						

112628	200 S LOCUST ST..6-267-2	KAREN S & JEREMY J & DONNA K MORELLO	\$50.00	\$4,500.00	Closed
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] INSTALL EGRESS WINDOW ON NORTH SIDE OF HOUSE					
112694	521 COLUMBIA AV..5-759	PAULA & RUSSELL REYNOLDS	\$50.00	\$1,000.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] ALTERATION					
112700	2659 ENGLEWOOD RD..21-6199	TODD A WINNEY	\$255.00	\$60,000.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] REMODEL					
112829	1605 FRANK ST..1-2718	PATTI L HAMMEN	\$105.00	\$2,000.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] INSTALL PATIO DOOR IN NEW LOCATION, ADD ONTO DECK					
112846	702 S MONROE AV..15-157	GORDON JON LLC	\$70.00	\$2,000.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] ALTERATIONS					
112873	921 WAVERLY PL..1-1360	WARREN M & MARIANNE T MONTTOURE	\$105.00	\$2,500.00	Pending
[2-434 - Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House] ROOF REPAIRS					
112423	961 VICTORY BL..1-1312-A	RANDY M & MARIA C BIALCIK	\$200.00	\$10,000.00	Pending
[4-438 - Residential - Alteration - Garage or carport or Shed] DEMO EXISTING, BUILD NEW DETACHED GARAGE **Revising plan to include garage floor insulation and full driveway replacement - Requested revised drawings (5/18)**					
110373	1333 SMITH ST..7-417	MANUEL JACOBO	\$50.00	\$1,000.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] CONCRETE SLAB					
112164	3369 CELINE WY..21-7735	JAMES E DUFRANE	\$100.00	\$19,800.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] NEW DECK					
112176	911 S ROOSEVELT ST..17-316	CASSIE M & MATTHEW B BAEB	\$100.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] DECK					
112232	515-517 16th AV..2-687	SARAH L & ANDREW L HAGGARD	\$105.00	\$34,294.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] DEMO & REINFORCEMENT OF EXISTING BLOCK FOUNDATION. RECONFIGURE AND REPLACE DRIVEWAYS					
112278	1415 N BAY HIGHLANDS DR..21-5496	WOJNAR TRUST OF 2022	\$100.00	\$30,000.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] DECK					
112280	3501 BLACKWOLF RN..22-1869	NICHOLAS M KROLL, ETAL	\$100.00	\$7,000.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] DECK					
112302	3567 BLACK SHEEP WAY..21-8383	LYNETTE A & ROBERT M KIEHNAU	\$300.00	\$0.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] PATIO, POOL, AND DECK - ALREADY BUILT					
112326	1164 APHRODITE RD..21-5176	KATHY J DRUECKE	\$100.00	\$20,000.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] DECK REPLACEMENT					
112399	1414 N BAY HIGHLANDS DR..21-5534	NICHOLAS P & JESSICA L SPUDE	\$50.00	\$3,700.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] PATIO					
112417	1221 DOBLON ST..20-522	DOBLON DUPLEXES LLC	\$75.00	\$4,700.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] REPLACING A DECK					
112420	1092 SHADOW LN..1-2631	PRIME GROUP LLC	\$75.00	\$9,000.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] PATIO					
112422	1102 SHADOW LN..1-2301	PRIME GROUP LLC	\$50.00	\$1,200.00	Pending

[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] PATIO

112445	913 9th ST..1-18	TJV INVESTMENTS I LLC	\$75.00	\$13,000.00	Closed
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] FRONT PORCH					
112455	420 POLARIS CT..21-3813	JENNIFER & MARK OLKOWSKI	\$50.00	\$300.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] DECK - HOT TUB					
112476	1258 PORLIER ST..17-305	SARAH M SMITS	\$100.00	\$15,432.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] DECK					
112477	117 S OAKLAND AV..3-66	JARED SAWALL	\$50.00	\$5,200.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] PATIO					
112491	1766 ASBURY CIR..6-1787	SHERRY L MCDOWELL	\$50.00	\$3,498.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] RAMP					
112500	826 KELLOGG ST..5-1451	VICENTE G HERNANDEZ	\$75.00	\$4,000.00	Closed
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] REPLACE DECK ON SIDE OF HOUSE					
112524	610 11th AV..2-510	CSJ REI LLC	\$125.00	\$1,500.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] REMOVE AND REPLACE DECK INTERIOR PLUMBING					
112547	2949 PAINTED TR..6H-3185	CONNOR WILLEMS	\$50.00	\$15,000.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] patio					
112565	404 15th AV..3-697	IAN & ZOE ELLERTSON	\$75.00	\$2,300.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] DECK					
112621	2731 OAKWOOD DR..6H-1508-M-42	CHERYL L CZECH, ETAL	\$125.00	\$27,000.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] COVERED PORCH					
112715	1571 CASS ST..8-422	ASHLEY GOUDREAU	\$100.00	\$3,960.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] DECK					
112741	1035 MARSHALL AV..18-308	LINDSEY R FONTAINE	\$50.00	\$3,376.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] PATIO					
112771	1043 S VAN BUREN ST..16-304	ASHLEY & ANDREW BETZ	\$50.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] PATIO					
112860	817 CORA ST..3-427	BRETT BERNARDE	\$50.00	\$2,000.00	Closed
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] NEW FOOTING AND POST					
112877	876 DOUSMAN ST..5-1194	MICHELE M JACH	\$100.00	\$100.00	Pending
[7-1 - Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)] FRONT PORCH					
112485	533 VICTORIA ST..21-4738	CHUNYU HU	\$50.00	\$2,500.00	Pending
[9-645 - Raze - Building or Structure (Residential)]					
112530	1738 11th AV..1-1271	WELTY PARTNERS LLC	\$50.00	\$0.00	Pending
[9-645 - Raze - Building or Structure (Residential)] RAZE GARAGE					
112566	113 ANTOINETTE ST..3-38	NEW BAY PROPERTIES LLC	\$50.00	\$35,000.00	Pending
[9-649 - Demolition - Interior (Residential)] DEMO INTERIOR DUE TO FIRE DAMAGES					

112632	3542 FINGER RD..21-8069	GREEN BAY AREA PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT	\$175.00	\$35,000.00	Pending
[9-645 - Raze - Building or Structure (Residential)] TO EC 5/11/23 EC approved 5/16/23					
112716	1433 FRANK ST..1-1980	VANESSEN JEFFREY S REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST	\$50.00	\$30,000.00	Pending
[9-649 - Demolition - Interior (Residential)] DEMO					
108910	3176 MORNINGWOODS CT..21-8188	ANDREW R & KIMBERLY MAGYAR	\$100.00	\$300.00	Pending
[11-1 - Swimming Pool (Residential)] POOL					
111169	1344 HOLIDAY AV..6-1490	PATRICIA R DRAVES	\$200.00	\$1,500.00	Pending
[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] 16 X 12 METAL SHED AND ABOVE GROUND POOL					
111630	829 DOUSMAN ST..4-29	RANDY ALDER	\$75.00	\$3,600.00	Closed
[11-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Residential)] REPLACING STAIRS					
111855	1696 DECKNER AV..21-2299-2	JOUA & DONNY MOUA	\$50.00	\$6,300.00	Pending
[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY EXPANSION - VARIANCE APPLIED FOR (Held over to April's BOA meeting)					
112137	2112 PREBLE AV..21-2205-6	KAELI L & TAYLOR J MCLEAN	\$50.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112213	2382 WILDER ST..23-318	JENNIFER L & SHAWN R KORNOWSKI	\$250.00	\$0.00	Pending
[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] DETACHED GARAGE					
112276	1220 HOBART DR..6H-1110-2	TVO HOBART LLC	\$120.00	\$4,200.00	Pending
[11-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Residential)] PARKING LOT EXPANSION					
112279	1112 MARQUETTE AV..1-1479	DAVID J EDWARDS	\$50.00	\$4,500.00	Pending
[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY					
112315	1104 ELMORE ST..5-826	IAN F WIENKE	\$50.00	\$500.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112348	1125 S WEBSTER AV..17-24	MELISSA L MELOTTE	\$100.00	\$20,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Residential)] REPLACE STAIRS GOING TO 2ND STORY					
112354	347 BADER ST..21-2678-T-8	MARTHA BARRERA	\$50.00	\$7,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY EXPANSION					
112356	621 S MONROE AV..14-362	ASHLEY S & JESSE M DANIELS	\$205.00	\$0.00	Pending
[11-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Residential)] REPAIRS					
112394	644 ST. JAMES CIR..21-1111-D-131	TYLER T HAACK	\$100.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] SHED					
112396	1150 DIVISION ST..5-1347	JOSHUA ANDREW & ERIKA ASHLEY RITCHIE	\$50.00	\$2,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112408	1028 GROSS AV..1-137	BLEU STEELE INVESTMENTS LLC	\$50.00	\$10,000.00	Closed
[11-1 - Foundation Repair (Residential)] FOUNDATION REPAIR					
112435	1050 WESTERN AV..3-714	RED BRICK APARTMENTS LLC	\$50.00	\$500.00	Pending
[11-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Residential)] PORCH HAND RAILING					
112447	2742 NEWBERRY AV..21-4438	BRIAN L & PAMELA K BERKOVITZ	\$50.00	\$12,041.00	Pending

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY

112448	1826 DEBRA LN..21-820-M-48	JOSE R & ANA K MACIEL	\$50.00	\$2,500.00	Pending
--------	----------------------------	-----------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY EXPANSION

112454	522 ETHEL AV..5-814	JASON E MADDIX	\$50.00	\$1,500.00	Pending
--------	---------------------	----------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] SHED

112456	837 SPENCE ST..2-1354	LEVI FUSON	\$50.00	\$10,000.00	Pending
--------	-----------------------	------------	---------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY AND 2 PORCH

112470	711 WINFORD AV..18-377-A	LINDA L MARX	\$105.00	\$11,698.00	Pending
--------	--------------------------	--------------	----------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Foundation Repair (Residential)] FOUNDATION REPAIR

112478	3457 EDINBURGH RD..22-1588-123	PATRICIA M & STEVEN P CHOVAN	\$75.00	\$2,400.00	Pending
--------	--------------------------------	---------------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] SHED

112483	1948 TREELAND DR..6H-4343	DUFRESNE SUSAN L REVOCABLE TRUST	\$50.00	\$10,000.00	Pending
--------	---------------------------	-------------------------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112486	1500 GRIGNON ST..17-1034	ELIZABETH & RAFAEL SANTOS	\$200.00	\$35,000.00	Pending
--------	--------------------------	---------------------------	----------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] GARAGE

112487	825 REBER ST..20-106	JULIE SWANER	\$50.00	\$35,000.00	Closed
--------	----------------------	--------------	---------	-------------	--------

[11-1 - Foundation Repair (Residential)] FOUNDATION REPAIR

112488	1715 ESTATES CT..6-1547	WILLIAM CAMPBELL	\$50.00	\$27,000.00	Closed
--------	-------------------------	------------------	---------	-------------	--------

[11-1 - Foundation Repair (Residential)] FOUNDATION REPAIR

112489	1582 WESTFIELD AV..6-1260	CONSTANCE P WILSON	\$50.00	\$35,000.00	Closed
--------	---------------------------	--------------------	---------	-------------	--------

[11-1 - Foundation Repair (Residential)] FOUNDATION REPAIR

112495	2753 NICOLET DR..22-1218	MORALES FAMILY TRUST THE	\$50.00	\$9,948.00	Closed
--------	--------------------------	--------------------------	---------	------------	--------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112499	804 THRUSH ST..18-1304	ELODEE A KROLL	\$75.00	\$34,335.00	Pending
--------	------------------------	----------------	---------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] BUILD NEW DETACHED GARAGE

112504	2430 NEWBERRY AV..21-3914	WERTEL REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST	\$75.00	\$9,500.00	Pending
--------	---------------------------	----------------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] SHED

112515	315 N FISK ST..6-95	KARI L JOHNSON	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	Pending
--------	---------------------	----------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)]

112519	1356 EMILIE ST..17-572	LYNN M CORBEILLE	\$50.00	\$7,640.00	Pending
--------	------------------------	------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)]

112520	3529 GLEN OAKS PASS..22-2003	REBECCA A & MICHAEL R GASS	\$50.00	\$12,450.00	Pending
--------	------------------------------	----------------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112532	1745 E MASON ST..21-1294	LUIS ALBERTO & MARIA JUAREZ GUEVARA	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	Pending
--------	--------------------------	--	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)]

112535	1503 WESTERN AV..6-116-1	LJD HOLDINGS LLC	\$50.00	\$7,900.00	Pending
--------	--------------------------	------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] CONCRETE DRIVEWAY

112538	818 MARSHALL AV..18-337 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	AMY D & CARLA M CRUM	\$50.00	\$3,275.00	Pending
112539	1521 KENNEDY DR..6-2800 [11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT	CHRIS L LEMAY	\$50.00	\$11,000.00	Pending
112541	2047 ZEISE AV..21-2445-P-18 [11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] NEW GARAGE	CHELSEA D EKBERG	\$125.00	\$20,000.00	Pending
112544	518 MENLO PARK RD..21-3856 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	MICHAEL R & MEGAN A KOLTON	\$50.00	\$7,000.00	Pending
112545	3158 OUTING CT..6H-3846 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	BRIAN S & KELLY A COADY REVOCABLE TRUST	\$50.00	\$2,000.00	Pending
112551	1586 FRANZ AV..21-1977 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	DANIEL CRAMER	\$50.00	\$22,242.00	Pending
112553	3340 NAUTICAL AV..22-1474 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	JOSEPH & TYLER J ELISOFFER	\$50.00	\$7,500.00	Pending
112554	521 COLUMBIA AV..5-759 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	PAULA & RUSSELL REYNOLDS	\$50.00	\$2,000.00	Pending
112570	1123 ROSCOE ST..1-383-B [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	LAUREN E & JOSHUA T ROWE	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	Closed
112587	808 ERNST DR..6-166-D [11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY	JAMIE M MAUS	\$50.00	\$14,000.00	Pending
112589	735 S JACKSON ST..14-590 [11-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Residential)] PERGOLA	ERIK & MARY ANN RINKLEFF	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	Pending
112590	2128 GLOUCESTER DR..6H-3499 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	LUKAS J & ISABELLA I MATHEYS	\$50.00	\$14,000.00	Pending
112598	1629 E MASON ST..21-1939 [11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] NEW FRONT SIDEWALK AND STEPS	BLENDI CASTILLO	\$50.00	\$2,800.00	Closed
112599	3391 NAUTICAL AV..22-1484 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	NICHOLAS & CHEYENNE SULLIVAN	\$50.00	\$8,394.00	Pending
112601	815 ST. GEORGE ST..7-66 [11-1 - Foundation Repair (Residential)] FOUNDATION REPAIR	CLINT GIGOT	\$50.00	\$21,000.00	Pending
112613	3081 PINE RIDGE CT..21-1111-D-275 [11-1 - Foundation Repair (Residential)] FOUNDATION REPAIR	MICHAEL G & CAROLYN M PIETREK	\$50.00	\$4,002.00	Closed
112614	2061 OAKDALE AV..21-2344 [11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] GARAGE	GERALDINE R LEWISNYTES	\$150.00	\$50,000.00	Pending
112616	2594 PARKWOOD DR..6H-2515 [11-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Residential)] EGRESS WINDOW	MARK & MARIA C DENIS	\$50.00	\$6,150.00	Closed
112624	110 SWISS HILL DR..21-82-34	MICHAEL & CAROLYN PIETREK	\$50.00	\$3,050.00	Pending

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112633	484 MEADOW WIND DR..21-7772	KYLE S HEYRMAN, ETAL	\$50.00	\$7,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112637	835 WILSON AV..18-332	GLENN A CHAMPEAU	\$50.00	\$24,180.00	Closed
[11-1 - Foundation Repair (Residential)] FOUNDATION REPAIR					
112639	459 BELLEVUE ST..21-1275-2	ARTHUR C & ANGELA B BIRKETT	\$50.00	\$15,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)]					
112643	484 ST. BERNARD DR..21-2475-D-32	XAVIER KING YANG	\$50.00	\$5,800.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112644	1179 KELLOGG ST..5-1579	DEVEN & TERRY HERNANDEZ	\$50.00	\$0.00	Pending
[11-1 - Swimming Pool (Residential)] POOL					
112645	1729 MURPHY CT..6-2455	MICHAEL S MCCOY, ETAL	\$50.00	\$7,410.00	Pending
[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY					
112646	944 LIBERTY ST..1-1362-C	JAMES H RASCHKA	\$150.00	\$20,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] REMOVE AND REPLACE GARAGE					
Proposed garage taller than house. Reveised plan submitted 5/23/23					
112647	1500 KELLOGG ST..6-2892	KEITH A & TAMALA S MENGES	\$50.00	\$7,918.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112648	1513 WALLS ST..1-2691	DEWEY T & SALLY A TROGDON	\$50.00	\$11,500.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112665	2483 WEST POINT RD..6H-1221-2-2	DELVAUX RUSSELL J & JOYCE A RESIDENCE TRUST	\$50.00	\$4,500.00	Pending
[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] REPLACE 3 DRIVEWAY PADS					
112668	1900 DEBRA LN..21-820-M-65	JESUS & ELVA GUZMAN	\$50.00	\$1,500.00	Pending
[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY					
112669	1854 VAN DEUREN ST..21-2234-2	CHERYL SPRAGUE	\$50.00	\$3,500.00	Pending
[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY					
112675	2651 SEQUOIA LN..6H-2299	AUSTIN BELKE	\$50.00	\$10,150.00	Closed
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112677	1806 JEAN ST..21-2862	JAYDEN HENTON	\$50.00	\$2,700.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112678	407 PORLIER ST..16-41	BRETT P & KATI J BELAND	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112682	2834 W MASON ST..6H-1150-6	DONALD W & KIM M PARGO	\$50.00	\$6,500.00	Pending
[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] Driveway					
112685	1207 11th AV..1-200	WILLIAM CAMERON	\$50.00	\$3,463.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					
112693	1039 S CLAY ST..17-159	LANDON C & SAMANTHA A MAXSON	\$50.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE					

112702	2929 DURHAM RD..22-1787 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	LORI A & JEFFREY A KIRCHNER	\$50.00	\$11,000.00	Pending
112703	925 ELMORE ST..5-1604 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	CHEV C FOLKMAN	\$50.00	\$1,500.00	Pending
112705	3428-3430 FINGER RD..21-6529-5 [11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] SHED	CATHERINE R & JEFFREY D PETERSON	\$50.00	\$8,000.00	Pending
112706	2545 HAZELWOOD LN..6H-1512-1 [11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY EXPANSION	JOSE A ALCAZAR	\$50.00	\$2,000.00	Pending
112707	1668 DEBRA LN..21-1111-P-39 [11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY REPLACEMENT	JANET E & MICHAEL T VANOSS	\$50.00	\$7,000.00	Pending
112709	3013 SANDIA DR..6H-2752 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	DEAN E & KATHERINE C THOMPSON	\$50.00	\$10,000.00	Pending
112710	1841 NEAL CT..21-2872 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	SUE MOUA, ETAL	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
112729	2822 OGDAN WOODS DR..6H-4024 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	MICHELE L & KEVIN K KAHNK	\$50.00	\$13,500.00	Pending
112742	1220 GRIGNON ST..17-225 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	LUCAS J & NICOLE D BRIMMER	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	Pending
112744	1113 N BUCHANAN ST..18-1013 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	JOHN M & DIANE M ORR	\$50.00	\$2,775.00	Pending
112761	908 S BAIRD ST..17-513-B [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	SAUL ALEJANDRO CORTES FARFAN	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
112774	1428 WIESNER ST..6-2212 [11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY	SCOTT J FROSCHE	\$50.00	\$1,000.00	Pending
112775	1241 9th ST..1-426-C [11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] SHED	KYLER & KAITLYN CROOKS	\$50.00	\$1,500.00	Pending
112777	2892 MT CAROL DR..21-1111-D-18 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	TYLER G VINCENT, ETAL	\$50.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
112778	1778 ASPEN LN..6-1938 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	TANNER GESS	\$50.00	\$6,800.00	Pending
112779	318 N FISK ST..6-89-B [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	GARY S & JO ANN C GEYER	\$50.00	\$6,900.00	Pending
112784	1864 EASTMAN AV..21-2167 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	ALLISON & AUSTIN FULWILER	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	Pending
112785	2685 HILLY HAVEN RD..21-4475 [11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE	AARON L A KANZENBACH	\$50.00	\$1,700.00	Pending
112786	1718 FIESTA LN..21-1111-P-45	PAULA S MLEZIVA	\$50.00	\$7,500.00	Pending

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY

112788	242 S HENRY ST..21-1514-22	JAMES D BOETTCHER	\$50.00	\$12,000.00	Pending
---------------	----------------------------	-------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112793	930 REGENT LN..21-3044	TERESA M LEBOTTE HANNILY	\$50.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
---------------	------------------------	--------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] SHED

112794	965 CHRISTIANA ST..3-986	SOHO RENTAL LLC	\$50.00	\$3,500.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	-----------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] REPLACE DRIVEWAY

112795	1538 WEISE ST..21-619	HARRILL 1999 LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	\$50.00	\$7,400.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] REMOVE AND REPLACE DRIVEWAY

112796	1442 EMILIE ST..17-633	PATRICK D FIRKINS	\$50.00	\$3,200.00	Pending
---------------	------------------------	-------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] LOFTED BARN

112797	1814 VALORANE BLVD..6H-1553	MARY KAY & PAUL A ELDRIDGE	\$75.00	\$6,300.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------------	----------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] SHED

112798	1447 ROCKDALE ST..6-970	ALICE M MAYER	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------	---------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112830	1525 ROSALIE LN..6-2346	MARJORIE L MUELLER	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------	--------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112835	1006 N CHESTNUT AV..18-519	KEVIN A SKOLOS	\$50.00	\$450.00	Pending
---------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------	----------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112840	211 N DANZ AV..21-1229-3	TRACEY A COCHRAN, ETAL	\$100.00	\$16,673.23	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	------------------------	----------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)] GARAGE

112859	524 LA PLANT ST..21-2144-21	AMY M TIMKE	\$50.00	\$8,521.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------------	-------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112867	1722 AMY ST..21-2542-K-25	LUZ MARIA MACIEL VELAZQUEZ	\$50.00	\$10,000.00	Pending
---------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)] DRIVEWAY EXPANSION

112870	1162 REBER ST..20-41	ADRIAN & SEILA HERNANDEZ PENA	\$50.00	\$2,000.00	Pending
---------------	----------------------	-------------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112874	1374 LIBERTY ST..6-1313	ARTHUR S & CHRISTINE ADAMS	\$50.00	\$11,000.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------	----------------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)]

112875	1510 S LOCUST ST..6-1570	KEVIN R GILLE	\$50.00	\$750.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	---------------	---------	----------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112880	2454 PECAN ST..21-3087	RUSSELL R & STEPHANIE F FINKLER	\$50.00	\$1,000.00	Pending
---------------	------------------------	---------------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[11-1 - Fence (Residential)] FENCE

112750	1400 S HURON RD..21-183	MOSKI CORP	\$310.00	\$35,000.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------	------------	----------	-------------	---------

[30-105 - Commercial - New - 5 or More Family Building] FOOTING/FOUNDATION ONLY - BLDG 4

112751	1330 S HURON RD ..21-183	MOSKI CORP	\$210.00	\$35,000.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	------------	----------	-------------	---------

[30-105 - Commercial - New - 5 or More Family Building] FOOTING/FOUNDATION ONLY - BLDG 2

112752	1350 S HURON RD ..21-183	MOSKI CORP	\$210.00	\$35,000.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	------------	----------	-------------	---------

[30-105 - Commercial - New - 5 or More Family Building] FOOTING/FOUNDATION ONLY - BLDG 3-

108760	725 N FISK ST..6-62	GREEN BAY CITY OF PARK PERKINS	\$0.00	\$0.00	Pending
---------------	---------------------	--------------------------------	--------	--------	---------

[31-329 - Commercial - New - Structures Other Than Buildings (parks, outdoor stadiums, marinas, outdoor swimming pools, outdoor theaters, boardwalks, wharves, docks).] BASEBALL FIELD/PERKINS PARK

110070	2261 MAIN ST..21-420-14	JOHNSON FAMILY BYPASS TRUST	\$5,608.45	\$1,800,000.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------	-----------------------------	------------	----------------	---------

[31-322 - Commercial - New - Service Station, Repair Garage] HOLIDAY STATIONSTORE - CONVENIENCE STORE WITH ATTACHED CAR WASH

110422	800 WEISE ST..20-320	GREEN BAY PACKAGING INC	\$1,470.00	\$484,194.00	Pending
---------------	----------------------	-------------------------	------------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] CONFERENCE ROOM REMODEL

111529	2560 UNIVERSITY AV..21-1249-1-1-1	30KFT LLC	\$4,703.08	\$3,100,000.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------------------	-----------	------------	----------------	---------

[31-328 - Commercial - New - Other Non-Residential Buildings (barns, storage garages, jails, silos, post offices, animal hospitals, reformatories)] EC APPROVED 5/15/23

111532	2350 E MASON ST , Unit: SUITE 5..21-126-7	GB EAST TOWN LLC	\$9,161.95	\$2,800,000.00	Pending
---------------	---	------------------	------------	----------------	---------

[31-437 - Commercial - Addition - Non-Residence, Non-housekeeping] BURLINGTON BUILDING ADDITION/RECON PARKINGLOT
EC APPROVAL 4/27/23

111762	2740 W MASON ST..6H-1752	NORTHEAST WISCONSIN TECHNICAL COLLEGE	\$1,381.45	\$314,598.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] SURGICAL TECH LAB CP23102B

111766	2675 SHERRY LN..21-107-5	GREEN BAY CITY OF	\$380.00	\$0.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	-------------------	----------	--------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] EC APPROVED 4/28/23

112011	1167 KENWOOD ST..1-1423-B-2-A	SAINT MARK EVANGELICAL CHURCH	\$1,361.35	\$282,600.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] REMODEL 4 BATHROOMS

112058	1792 MAIN ST..21-2508	KRISHNA LLC	\$1,826.30	\$670,000.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------	-------------	------------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] Service garage to convience store

112424	501 EASTMAN AV..20-123	PROCTER & GAMBLE PAPER PRODUCTS CO	\$346.00	\$1,660,000.00	Pending
---------------	------------------------	------------------------------------	----------	----------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] NEW ROOF BUILDING 93

112453	2448 UNIVERSITY AV , Unit: SUITE 2..21-1251-A	UNIVERSITY AVENUE CENTER LLC	\$742.66	\$108,983.00	Pending
---------------	---	------------------------------	----------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] LANDLORD ALTERATIONS

112464	2350 E MASON ST , Unit: SUITE 4..21-126-7	GB EAST TOWN LLC	\$1,544.01	\$350,000.00	Pending
---------------	---	------------------	------------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] HALLMARK BUILDOUT

112502	210 ALEXANDER ST..18-420	GREEN BAY DRESSED BEEF LLC	\$3,026.24	\$652,000.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	----------------------------	------------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] NEW ROOF STRUCTURE

112503	1181 E MASON ST..14-1082	NEXSTAR BROADCASTING INC	\$746.10	\$130,000.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	----------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] NO PLUMBING UNTIL PLANS ARE APPROVED! - PVC 5/19/2023

112512	2740 W MASON ST..6H-1752	NORTHEAST WISCONSIN TECHNICAL COLLEGE	\$3,243.47	\$595,027.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	---------------------------------------	------------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping]

112513	900 KEPLER DR..21-153	& B & G REALTY LLC	\$442.00	\$100,000.00	Closed
---------------	-----------------------	--------------------	----------	--------------	--------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] BAR ALTERATION

112521	444 S ADAMS ST..13-18	AMERICAN FOODS GROUP LLC	\$1,277.75	\$185,000.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	------------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping]

112528	1313 BAY BEACH RD..21-440-1	GREEN BAY CITY OF BAY BEACH	\$0.00	\$0.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--------	--------	---------

[31-329 - Commercial - New - Structures Other Than Buildings (parks, outdoor stadiums, marinas, outdoor swimming pools, outdoor theaters, boardwalks, wharves, docks).] EC APPROVED 5/10/23

112588	1001 MAIN ST..9-39	MAIN STREET GREEN BAY PROPERTIES LLC	\$70.00	\$3,050.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------	--------------------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping] NEW PARKING LOT DOOR AWNING

112649	835 S VAN BUREN ST..16-293	SAINT VINCENT HOSPITAL ETAL	\$778.00	\$0.00	Pending
---------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	----------	--------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping]

112755	2295 W MASON ST..6H-1088-4-3	CD KRULL LLC	\$1,473.14	\$125,000.00	Pending
---------------	------------------------------	--------------	------------	--------------	---------

[31-434 - Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping]

111824	1919 S BROADWAY..1-1407	GEORGIA PACIFIC CONSUMER PRODUCTS LP	\$36,792.72	\$101,438,810.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	-------------	------------------	---------

[33-320 - Commercial - New - Industrial Buildings (factories, machine shops, paper mills, beverage plants, manufacturing plants, printing plants)]

106597	1654 E MASON ST..21-1288-1	TIMOTHY S LONG	\$70.00	\$60,000.00	Closed
---------------	----------------------------	----------------	---------	-------------	--------

[34-1 - Parking Lot - New & Reconstruction (Commerical)] RESURFACE PARKING LOT

109891	1751 MORROW ST..21-1202-3	GREEN BAY DRESSED BEEF LLC	\$470.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
---------------	---------------------------	----------------------------	----------	------------	---------

[34-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Commercial)] INSTALL EGRESS STAIR

110656	1405 S NORWOOD AV..1-1046	FORT HOWARD HOMES LLC	\$270.00	\$45,000.00	Pending
---------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	----------	-------------	---------

[34-1 - Parking Lot - New & Reconstruction (Commerical)] PARKING LOT & DUMPSTER ENCLOSURE
EC APPROVED 4/20/23

111007	1538 WESTERN AV..6-135-D	N E W CURATIVE REHABILITATION INC	\$6,382.63	\$3,100,000.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------	----------------	---------

[34-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Commercial)] YESTERYEAR VILLAGE

112271	S ASHLAND AV..3-1144	GREEN BAY CITY OF	\$0.00	\$0.00	Closed
---------------	----------------------	-------------------	--------	--------	--------

[34-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Commercial)] Parks project will be handled by DPW

112385	1416 HURLBUT ST..6-3041	BROWN COUNTY	\$140.00	\$20,000.00	Closed
---------------	-------------------------	--------------	----------	-------------	--------

[34-1 - Cell Tower - Alteration to Existing (Commercial)]

112429	1035 VANDERBRAAK ST..20-350	CITY OF GREEN BAY REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY	\$240.00	\$68,775.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------------	---	----------	-------------	---------

[34-649 - Raze- Building or Structure (Commercial)] RAZE

112462	1776 E MASON ST..21-1346	MIX GOOD LLC	\$70.00	\$2,600.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	--------------	---------	------------	---------

[34-328 - Shed, Yard Building (Commercial)] SHED

112480	307 S ADAMS ST..13-83	GREEN BAY CITY OF CITY HALL ANNEX	\$0.00	\$0.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------------	--------	--------	---------

[34-1 - Roofing (Commercial)] 6,300 SQ FT

112490	1660 CHRISTIANA ST..6-374	HOUSE OF HOPE GREEN BAY INC	\$87.00	\$51,000.00	Pending
---------------	---------------------------	-----------------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[34-1 - Roofing (Commercial)] REMOVE AND REPLACE SHINGLES

112516	1733 WESTERN AV..6-122-1	LE GROS PROPERTIES LLC	\$70.00	\$32,675.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	------------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[34-1 - Parking Lot - New & Reconstruction (Commerical)]

112522	2401 DECKNER AV..21-3685	NEW HOPE PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH INC	\$70.00	\$7,574.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[34-1 - Fence (Commercial)] DUMPSTER ENCLOSURE

112540	1567 DECKNER AV..8-391	GREEN BAY AREA PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT SULLIVAN	\$70.00	\$57,450.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Roofing (Commercial)] RE-ROOF				
112543	622 ELIZA ST..16-110	GREEN BAY AREA PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT	\$95.00	\$297,100.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Roofing (Commercial)] RE-ROOF				
112546	1770 AMY ST..21-1346-1-1	GREEN BAY AREA PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT EISENHOWER	\$150.90	\$356,270.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Roofing (Commercial)] re-roof				
112576	1100 PORLIER ST..17-1052	GREEN BAY CITY OF PARK ASTOR	\$140.00	\$13,990.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Temporary Office/Trailer/Event (Commercial)] 2023 BELLIN RUNTENT PERMIT				
112577	709 S WEBSTER AV..14-856	BELLIN MEMORIAL HOSPITAL	\$140.00	\$1,100.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Temporary Office/Trailer/Event (Commercial)] 2023 BELLIN RUN TENT				
112578	601 S WEBSTER AV..14-860	GREEN BAY CITY OF PARK BAIRD	\$70.00	\$550.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Temporary Office/Trailer/Event (Commercial)] 2023 BELLIN RUN				
112636	2130 IMPERIAL LN..21-3360-1	PANG Y & VAUGHN VANG	\$70.00	\$23,000.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Parking Lot - New & Reconstruction (Commerical)] REMOVE AND REPLACE PARKING LOT				
112676	1801-1807 WESTERN AV..6-123-C	CHARLES APTS GREEN BAY LLC	\$70.00	\$6,500.00	Closed
	[34-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Commercial)] REPAIR ENTRANCE STOOPS				
112688	1331 PACKERLAND DR..6H-1127-3	GREEN BAY AREA PUBLIC SCHOOL DISTRICT SOUTHWEST	\$70.00	\$820,000.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Commercial)] RESURFACE TENNIS COURTS				
112689	1736 WESTERN AV..6-130	WESTERN ADOBE APARTMENTS LLC	\$70.00	\$52,460.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Roofing (Commercial)] RE-ROOF				
112690	1680 WESTERN AV..6-132-B	WESTERN ADOBE APARTMENTS LLC	\$70.00	\$52,460.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Roofing (Commercial)] RE-ROOF				
112699	3551 FINGER RD..21-16-1-4	FONFEREK HOLDINGS LLC	\$140.00	\$500.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Temporary Office/Trailer/Event (Commercial)] TENT FOR MOTORCYCLE RIDE				
112708	241 N BROADWAY..4-67	PLATTEN PLACE LLC	\$70.00	\$40,680.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Roofing (Commercial)] RE-ROOF				
112749	2413 HAZELWOOD LN..6H-1242-1	HOCHUNK NATION	\$50.00	\$1.00	Closed
	[34-1 - Temporary Office/Trailer/Event (Commercial)] Sell food and crafts in the parking lot on 5/13/23				
112766	N BROADWAY..18-470	GREEN BAY CITY OF WATER DEPT WATER TANK	\$70.00	\$125,000.00	Pending
	[34-649 - Raze- Building or Structure (Commercial)] RAZE WATER TOWER				
112770	2610 TROJAN DR..6H-1115-B	BIRCHWOOD APTS LLC	\$70.00	\$2,500.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Commercial)]				
112789	122 N CHESTNUT AV..4-215-A	GREEN BAY COMMUNITY THEATRE INC	\$70.00	\$8,160.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Parking Lot - New & Reconstruction (Commerical)] REMOVE AND REPLACE EXISTING LOT				
112802	2677 HUMBOLDT RD..21-1236-1	BRZEZINSKI PROPERTIES V LLC	\$70.00	\$4,500.00	Pending
	[34-1 - Fence (Commercial)] DUMPSTER ENCLOSURE				

112806	2701 HUMBOLDT RD..21-1236-1 [34-1 - Fence (Commercial)]	BRZEZINSKI PROPERTIES V LLC	\$70.00	\$4,500.00	Pending
112831	515 S MONROE AV..14-386 [34-1 - Roofing (Commercial)] REPAIR FLASHINGS AND COPINGS	FAMILY SERVICES OF NORTHEAST WISCONSIN INC	\$70.00	\$8,700.00	Pending
112833	412 HOWARD ST..3-325 [34-1 - Fence (Commercial)] FENCE	BADGERLAND MANAGEMENT LLC	\$70.00	\$15,000.00	Pending
112855	1265 LOMBARDI AV..1-1841-A [34-1 - Temporary Office/Trailer/Event (Commercial)] JUNE 1ST - 3RD	GREEN BAY CITY OF LAMBEAU FIELD	\$140.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
112450	2903 BALLY BUNION LN..22-2067 [40-60 - New Electrical System (Residential)] GENERATOR	SUSAN MARY & JOSEPH G HOCKERS	\$100.00	\$17,000.00	Closed
112463	340 MANCHESTER DR..6-2841 [40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE UPGRADE - 100AMP TO 200AMP	ROBERT L & CARLA J DETERVILLE	\$50.00	\$5,840.00	Pending
112484	1018 HEYRMAN ST..21-1514-K-21 [40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE UPGRADE FROM 100 AMPS TO 200 AMPS	MARY M HENRY	\$50.00	\$2,300.00	Pending
112529	2591 DECKNER AV..21-314-3 [40-55 - Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)] CHANGING METER SOCKET AND ADDING GROUND RODS	CHRISTOPHER R & ANGEL F NEIMAN	\$50.00	\$1,300.00	Closed
112537	2740 W MASON ST..6H-1752 [40-56 - Electrical Wiring (Misc., Alteration, Repair) (Commercial)] BATTERY CHARGING STATION	NORTHEAST WISCONSIN TECHNICAL COLLEGE	\$70.00	\$185,000.00	Pending
112581	1133 S JACKSON ST..16-229 [40-60 - New Electrical System (Residential)] GENERATOR	MELISSA MELOTTE	\$100.00	\$10,014.00	Pending
112584	1133 S MAPLE AV..1-830 [40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE UPGRADE	MARTIN HILSABECK	\$50.00	\$2,000.00	Pending
112597	1659 AMY ST..21-1337-3 [40-55 - Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)] PANEL CHANGE	GERARDO & CARLA MARTINEZ	\$50.00	\$1,384.00	Closed
112603	1400 N BAIRD ST..21-1198-6 [40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] PANEL CHANGE	PERRY PARK PARTNERS ETAL	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Closed
112623	1345 LAWE ST..17-468 [40-55 - Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)] EMERGENCY SERVICE	REY REY PROPERTIES LLC	\$50.00	\$1,000.00	Closed
112627	515 HARTUNG ST..8-491 [40-55 - Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)] SERVICE CHANGE AND BASEMENT REWIRING	LORETTA M STONEBURNER	\$89.38	\$15,200.00	Pending
112631	3101 NICOLET DR..22-1612 [40-60 - New Electrical System (Residential)] GENERATOR	VARDIS RALPH J & DAWN L REVOCABLE TRUST	\$100.00	\$10,740.00	Closed
112653	1823-1825 11th AV..1-1243 [40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] CHANGE PANELS	SCOTT SONNABEND	\$50.00	\$2,000.00	Closed
112660	412 ELMORE ST..5-920 [40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE CHANGE	DOYLE PROPERTIES LLC	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	Pending

112670	1266 DIVISION ST..5-1335-D	MARCIA LAABS	\$50.00	\$1,800.00	Pending
[40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE CHANGE - 60 AMP FUSE TO 100 AMP BREAKER					
112671	1321 NELSON ST..6-2629	PAMELA & GERALD LEMEROND	\$50.00	\$900.00	Pending
[40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE CHANGE					
112672	611 BOND ST..5-1018	MIRHASHEMI INC	\$50.00	\$2,625.00	Pending
[40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE UPGRADE					
112679	1400 N BAIRD ST..21-1198-6	PERRY PARK PARTNERS ETAL	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	Pending
[40-55 - Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)] Replace Meter Pedestal-lot 78 & 79					
112680	1400 N BAIRD ST..21-1198-6	PERRY PARK PARTNERS ETAL	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
[40-55 - Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)] REPLACE METER PEDESTAL-LOT 84 & 85					
112681	1143 ELMORE ST..5-1631	CHARLES J & JENNIFER N HRUBESKY	\$50.00	\$2,200.00	Pending
[40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE UPGRADE - 100 AMP TO 200 AMP					
112754	2561 VICKI LN..21-3984	JACOB J ZEISE	\$50.00	\$3,900.00	Closed
[40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] UPGRADE ELECTRICAL SERVICE					
112764	1420 9th ST..6-194	JANE M BIGELOW	\$50.00	\$500.00	Pending
[40-55 - Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)] SERVICE CHANGE					
112799	209 S BUCHANAN ST..3-208	MYRON D & SHIRLEY BODART	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
[40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE UPGRADE					
112819	1034 N PLATTEN ST..18-1124	PETER A & JANICE A WARDEN	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Closed
[40-55 - Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)] SERVICE REPAIR					
112824	1157 13th AV..1-708	KEVIN T & LARINE BURROUGHS	\$50.00	\$1,000.00	Pending
[40-57 - Electrical Wiring (Misc., Alteration, Repair) (Residential)] SERVICE ALTERATION					
112838	2149 FARLIN AV..21-2151	JOAN MARIE & LARRY LEE J CARTWRIGHT	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
[40-53 - Electrical Service - Change (Residential)] SERVICE CHANGE					
112844	2363 W MASON ST..6H-4396	DAVIS ANDREW & BETTY LLC	\$70.00	\$2,875.00	Closed
[40-56 - Electrical Wiring (Misc., Alteration, Repair) (Commercial)] SERVICE ALTERATION					
112848	1277 W MASON ST..2-56	GREENBACK TOWERS LLC	\$140.00	\$9,372.00	Pending
[40-56 - Electrical Wiring (Misc., Alteration, Repair) (Commercial)] GENERATOR					
112849	615 CHICAGO ST..14-399-1	REY REY PROPERTIES LLC	\$50.00	\$2,240.00	Pending
[40-60 - New Electrical System (Residential)] 3 ELECTRIC HEATERS					
112850	611 CHICAGO ST..14-398	REY REY PROPERTIES LLC	\$50.00	\$2,240.00	Pending
[40-60 - New Electrical System (Residential)] 3 ELECTRIC HEATERS					
112854	113 ANTOINETTE ST..3-38	NEW BAY PROPERTIES LLC	\$50.00	\$700.00	Closed
[40-57 - Electrical Wiring (Misc., Alteration, Repair) (Residential)] SERVICE REPAIRS					
112858	1433 FRANK ST..1-1980	VANESSEN JEFFREY S REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
[40-57 - Electrical Wiring (Misc., Alteration, Repair) (Residential)] SERVICE ALTERATION					
112908	1192 BOND ST..5-1311-B-1	N ZONE PROPERTIES LLC	\$50.00	\$1,200.00	Pending

[40-55 - Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)] EMERGENCY REPAIR OF SERVICE MAST AFTER TREE FELL ON IT

111930	1122 WILSON AV..18-222	DAVID M PROCHASKA	\$50.00	\$11,500.00	Pending
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE & AIR CONDITIONER					
112397	489 MENLO PARK RD..21-3842	BLIA YANG	\$50.00	\$3,540.00	Closed
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] BOILER					
112446	1853 BASTEN ST..21-2125	MARTIN & MARIA G MADRIGAL	\$50.00	\$3,867.00	Closed
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112471	1107 SHEA AV..18-61	SARAH & TANYA SMITH	\$50.00	\$5,449.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112472	327 S TAYLOR ST..6-127	JEFF A & PATTI A DESCHAIINE	\$50.00	\$4,900.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112473	3034 OPEN GATE TR..6H-2585	PE WAB BEC MENOMIN	\$50.00	\$17,146.00	Closed
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112474	303 S JEFFERSON ST..13-125	GREEN BAY CITY OF	\$50.00	\$7,131.00	Closed
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112475	1630 KIMBALL ST..21-1935	SUSAN M SIMENZ	\$50.00	\$8,750.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112479	1131 GRIGNON ST..17-190	JEREMY J & CHERYL TREAGUE	\$50.00	\$8,179.00	Closed
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112492	1620 CHICAGO ST..21-1277-1	ROBERT J ASHTON	\$50.00	\$3,475.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112496	1239 W MASON ST..2-55	T & D REAL ESTATE VENTURES LLC	\$210.00	\$19,000.00	Closed
[50-42 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Commercial)] FURNACE (2) AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112548	1350 SUBURBAN AV..6-1500	JEFFREY M & TRACY L KRAUSE	\$50.00	\$8,200.00	Closed
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112552	1105 12th AV..1-655-A-1	LEANNE S PORFILIO	\$50.00	\$5,050.00	Closed
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112555	256 JACOB ST..21-1836	CHARLES C & DOROTHY F DOLCH	\$50.00	\$8,550.00	Closed
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112556	1506 7th ST..6-495-A	JAMES W RHODES	\$50.00	\$3,800.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112559	2631 HILLY HAVEN RD..21-4466	IDALIA & TEODORO MORALES CRUZ	\$50.00	\$10,400.00	Pending
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112560	1494 ELM ST..19-80	STEVEN M & SHAWN P & STEVEN M & SHIRLEY A CHAMPEAU	\$50.00	\$5,214.55	Abandoned
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112561	2492 SHADY OAK LN..6H-3786	MITCHELL DALE W & KRISTEN A REVOCABLE TRUST	\$50.00	\$3,992.85	Closed

[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112562	1010 QUEOFF ST..21-1111-D-30	KAREN J SCHROEDER	\$50.00	\$7,361.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112563	1114 BELLEVUE ST..21-416-4	HOLLY A L TRINKNER	\$50.00	\$10,209.00	Closed
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDIIONER					
112564	1010 CORNELIUS DR..21-1111-D-258	BRANDON & DANIELLE WALSH	\$50.00	\$6,131.00	Closed
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112568	538 ROBERT LN..21-3388	RICHARD R & SUSAN G LAURENT	\$50.00	\$8,400.00	Closed
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112582	118 S WASHINGTON ST..12-341	KATHRYN L & MICHAEL P TUBBS	\$140.00	\$13,612.00	Closed
[50-42 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Commercial)] FURNACE & AIR CONDITIONER - UNIT 216B					
112583	1401 S LOCUST ST..6-828	LISA J MELI	\$50.00	\$11,408.00	Pending
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER & FURNACE					
112586	846 9th ST..1-178	JANET M HINKFUSS	\$50.00	\$4,992.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112593	801 N ASHLAND AV..18-570	BARBARA J & ROGER D LAPLANTE	\$50.00	\$15,640.00	Pending
[50-49 - Repair/Alter Existing HVAC System (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER AND DUCTWORK					
112604	727 NEVILLE AV..18-385-A	JEFFREY L & RITA H LANGLITZ	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112605	1000 HOWARD ST..3-283	MICHAEL D LUCHT, ETAL	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112608	1401 EMILIE ST..17-698	JOANNA R & RYAN K ABRAHAMSON	\$50.00	\$4,285.00	Closed
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112612	1021 MEACHAM ST..2-1244	KAREN & RONALD N CHRISTENSEN	\$50.00	\$5,072.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112618	1056 ST. PAUL ST..2-1185	DEVIN & JACOB VOPAL	\$50.00	\$5,389.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112625	1330 OASIS DR..6H-2716	STEVEN & MARCY LARGO	\$50.00	\$3,700.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112626	521 COLUMBIA AV..5-759	PAULA & RUSSELL REYNOLDS	\$50.00	\$15,200.00	Closed
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112635	118 S WASHINGTON ST..12-341	MICHAEL P & KATHRYN L TUBBS	\$140.00	\$11,400.00	Pending
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112638	307-365 LAVERNE DR..21-8525	CUTLASS LLC	\$70.00	\$8,795.00	Pending
[50-44 - Heating Unit Only (Commercial)] BOILER - 307 LAVERNE					
112640	1780 BOND ST..6-58-A	SHELLI D HARGREAVES	\$50.00	\$4,000.00	Closed

[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE

112711	1237 N PLATTEN ST..6-1665	DENNIS A & CHERYL A JEFFERS	\$50.00	\$10,759.00	Closed
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112712	1531 FARLIN AV..19-701	MICHAEL D & JOY M OSTERTAG	\$50.00	\$3,000.00	Pending
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112714	2660 W MASON ST..6H-1747	T M M ACQUISITION CORP	\$70.00	\$36,785.00	Pending
[50-40 - AC Unit Only (Commercial)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112717	1010 CHRISTIANA ST..3-894	ERIC T & ANNE M TURPPA	\$50.00	\$5,750.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112718	545 ANTELOPE TR..6H-3991	ANNIE & EDWARD BONGIORNO	\$50.00	\$4,400.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112719	2800 DOE TRAIL..6H-2460	DIANNE C & DAVID G SCHNEIDER	\$50.00	\$4,800.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112721	1132 REBER ST..20-36	JANET ROBLEDO	\$50.00	\$7,033.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112722	431 HARVARD ST..5-427	ANDERS P GODERSTAD	\$50.00	\$5,779.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112726	516 COUNTRY CLUB RD..6H-2466	MARK T & BRENDA L VAUGHAN	\$50.00	\$42,000.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112727	1145 CRESTWOOD DR..6H-1155-7	JUSTIN J LEMKE	\$50.00	\$3,900.00	Closed
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112728	508 12th AV..2-603	ANDREW J VILLIESSE	\$50.00	\$4,100.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112734	1444 10th AV..1-1766	ALISON M & DANIEL M DAMIT	\$50.00	\$6,122.00	Pending
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					
112740	2708 TERESA DR..21-7199	BRIAN T & JENNIFER L REIDINGER	\$50.00	\$9,060.00	Closed
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE & AIR CONDITIONER					
112743	1801 NOBLEMEN CT..6H-3971	BROOKE A & FEMI C COLE	\$50.00	\$9,000.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112745	532 BADER ST..21-1327-2	PHILLIP GERRITS	\$50.00	\$3,375.00	Pending
[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER					
112758	1921 FIESTA LN..21-495	SETH LENSS	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	Pending
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112759	1923 FIESTA LN..21-495	SETH LENSS	\$50.00	\$6,000.00	Pending
[50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER					
112760	986 LIBERTY ST..1-1401	MARY L & RICHARD B KEON	\$50.00	\$1,700.00	Closed
[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE					

112812	515 HARTUNG ST..8-491 [50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE	LORETTA M STONEBURNER	\$50.00	\$11,887.00	Pending
112813	2466 MORNING STAR TR..21-4262 [50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER	ANDREW W & HEATHER L ZOVNIC	\$50.00	\$14,013.00	Pending
112814	709 W WALNUT ST ..3-72 [50-49 - Repair/Alter Existing HVAC System (Residential)] REPLACE MAIN TRUNK DUCTING	CATHERINE H & MICHAEL G ORETO	\$50.00	\$4,306.00	Pending
112815	126 N OAKLAND AV..4-249 [50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] BOILER	G&I OCHS INC	\$50.00	\$13,632.00	Pending
112818	2590 HILLSIDE LN..21-4388 [50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER	SCOTT S & MANDY L LUTZ	\$50.00	\$4,400.00	Pending
112823	338 S VAN BUREN ST..14-663 [50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE AND AIR CONDITIONER	KYLE K NELSON	\$50.00	\$9,669.00	Pending
112825	974 CHRISTIANA ST..3-987 [50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER	JUANA & DANA D RAMIREZ KONKEL	\$50.00	\$4,620.00	Pending
112826	2883 PARKWOOD DR..6H-1205-3 [50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE	MAX E & TINA A PIETSCH	\$50.00	\$1,600.00	Closed
112869	1446 ROSALIE LN..6-1025 [50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE & AIR CONDITIONER	CHRISTY L & JASON M MILLER	\$100.00	\$9,572.00	Pending
112890	600 SILVER SPRING DR..6-1999 [50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER	FOUNTAIN STREET PROPERTIES LLC	\$50.00	\$3,500.00	Pending
112898	118 S WASHINGTON ST..12-341 [50-42 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Commercial)] FURNACE & AIR CONDITIONER	KATHRYN L & MICHAEL P TUBBS	\$140.00	\$15,282.00	Pending
112899	626 ST. JUDE ST..6-1718 [50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER	ADAM C & KATIE L KARCZ	\$50.00	\$15,422.00	Pending
112900	857 5th ST..2-943 [50-43 - Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)] FURNACE & AIR CONDITIONER	MZW HOLDINGS LLC	\$50.00	\$8,310.00	Pending
112907	301 N ADAMS ST, SUITE 110 ..12-195 [50-4 - Repair/Alter Existing HVAC System (Commercial)] DUCTWORK	SPRING LAKE CHURCH INC	\$70.00	\$38,900.00	Pending
112910	1635 PREBLE AV..19-389-C [50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER	GERARDO MENDOZA	\$50.00	\$3,800.00	Pending
112911	219 S OAKLAND AV..3-1216 [50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER	JOSEFINA SANTIAGOREYES	\$50.00	\$1.00	Pending
112912	3129 OPEN GATE TR..6H-2676 [50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER	MICHELLE L MYERS	\$50.00	\$9,950.00	Pending
112913	506 LARRY LN..21-3160 [50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER	COLE OSTERLOH	\$50.00	\$4,250.00	Pending
112914	726 PHOEBE ST..18-566	PAMELA J & MICHAEL J SHEFCHIK	\$50.00	\$50.00	Pending

[50-41 - AC Unit Only (Residential)] AIR CONDITIONER

112926	2460 WOOD OAKS CIR..21-6277	GREENWOOD CAROL M MARITAL TRUST	\$50.00	\$18,999.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[50-45 - Heating Unit Only (Residential)] FURNACE

112451	2746 WEST POINT RD..6H-1122-13	MARY C & JAMES P LAST	\$30.00	\$2,000.00	Closed
---------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-27 - Water Heater (Residential)] WATER HEATER

112452	836 HUBBARD ST..4-44	KURT A & CHRISTA B KANANEN	\$30.00	\$3,000.00	Closed
---------------	----------------------	----------------------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-27 - Water Heater (Residential)] TANKLESS WATER HEATER

112467	961 VICTORY BL..1-1312-A	RANDY M & MARIA C BIALCIK	\$30.00	\$400.00	Closed
---------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	---------	----------	--------

[60-33 - Storm Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)] CONNECT TO MINI SEWER

112468	555 WESLEY AV..21-820-R-35	MARY E & CLAY G FROELICH	\$30.00	\$400.00	Closed
---------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	---------	----------	--------

[60-33 - Storm Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)] CONNECT SUMPUMP TO MINI SEWER

112493	1680 WESTERN AV..6-132-B	WESTERN ADOBE APARTMENTS LLC	\$30.00	\$0.00	Closed
---------------	--------------------------	---------------------------------	---------	--------	--------

[60-26 - Water Heater (Commercial)] WATER HEATER

112494	1517 UNIVERSITY AV..19-584	MARICQUES INC	\$30.00	\$3,800.00	Pending
---------------	----------------------------	---------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-28 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Commercial)] REPLACE CATCH BASIN

112497	2717 LAFAYETTE DR..6H-4446	BRIANNA K & SPENCER J BARANCZYK	\$100.00	\$500.00	Closed
---------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------	----------	----------	--------

[60-37 - Lawn Sprinklers (Residential)] LAWN SPRINKLERS

112505	1401 E MASON ST..17-848	WALTRUST PROPERTIES INC	\$70.00	\$1,500.00	Closed
---------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-26 - Water Heater (Commercial)] WATER HEATER

112506	1132 BERNER ST..20-50	TARRA POWELL	\$30.00	\$7,250.00	Closed
---------------	-----------------------	--------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-31 - Sanitary Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)] SANITARY REPAIR

112507	882 HUBBARD ST..5-554	JILL MELCHOIR	\$30.00	\$6,000.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------	---------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-31 - Sanitary Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)] REPLACE SANITARY SERVICE AND REPLACE WATER SERVICE

112508	515 S ROOSEVELT ST..14-1248	DEBRA A PARENT	\$30.00	\$3,850.00	Closed
---------------	-----------------------------	----------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-31 - Sanitary Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)] SANITARY REPAIR

112509	1239 LOCH DR..6-192-D	CARRIE L METTLER	\$50.00	\$12,778.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------	------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION

112510	1411 13th AV..1-1690	DAVID A & JULIENNE K SCHMIEL	\$50.00	\$14,194.00	Pending
---------------	----------------------	------------------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION

112514	2575 W MASON ST..6H-1137-3	JMK & BSK PROPERTIES LLC	\$30.00	\$5,300.00	Closed
---------------	----------------------------	--------------------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-32 - Storm Sewers - Connection/Repair (Commercial)] REPAIR AND REPLACE CATCH BASIN

112525	825 CHALLENGER DR..21-143-4	ENZYMATIC THERAPY LLC	\$70.00	\$15,000.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[60-24 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Commercial)]

112571	931 REDLEY CT..1-1295	KELLY JOHN DUGAN	\$30.00	\$2,100.00	Closed
---------------	-----------------------	------------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-27 - Water Heater (Residential)] WATER HEATER

112572	1137 HARVEY ST..7-74	TIMOTHY SCOTT FOSTER	\$30.00	\$4,600.00	Closed
---------------	----------------------	----------------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112573	738 S CLAY ST..14-845	DAVID M BIEBEL, ETAL	\$30.00	\$4,200.00	Pending
[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE					
112575	1000 ELMORE ST..5-293	CRAIG WILSON	\$30.00	\$3,800.00	Closed
[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE					
112580	1401 LANGLADE AV..6-817	LEE P ROWAN, ETAL	\$50.00	\$894.00	Closed
[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION					
112591	3131 WINDLAND DR..21-5353	JULIE A ROTZENBERG	\$50.00	\$800.00	Closed
[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER, LAV & TOILET					
112592	1645 CHICAGO ST..21-1443-15	OLIVIA PONCE	\$50.00	\$800.00	Pending
[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] SHOWER, LAV & TOILET REMODEL					
112607	2847 DOE TRAIL..6H-3877	WENDY S & KIRK C JANSEN	\$30.00	\$1,000.00	Closed
[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] REPAIR SEWER LATERAL					
112609	1812 BASTEN ST..21-1215	GREEN BAY DRESSED BEEF LLC	\$60.00	\$5,000.00	Pending
[60-28 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Commercial)] REPLACE CATCH BASIN					
112622	473 ABRAMS ST..21-2620	DOLCA BELISLE	\$30.00	\$3,000.00	Closed
[60-31 - Sanitary Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)] SANITARY SEWER REPAIR					
112629	561 ALROSE ST..21-2281-1-3	FELIX A VANENKENVOORT	\$50.00	\$18,773.00	Pending
[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION					
112630	1238 DELRAY DR..6H-2016	RICARD L & CELINDA M RODGERS	\$50.00	\$18,773.00	Pending
[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION					
112642	2225 JAMESFORD AV..21-1147-34	PHILLIP M & ANDREA J SCHULTZ	\$30.00	\$500.00	Closed
[60-33 - Storm Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)] CONNECT SUMP PUMP TO STORM SEWER					
112655	1339 ST. CLAIR ST..14-39	CHARLES & SHARON CAPELLE	\$30.00	\$4,000.00	Pending
[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE					
112656	1106 SHAWANO AV..3-1130	JADE E SCHRANK	\$30.00	\$4,800.00	Closed
[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE					
112658	1348 DAY ST..7-119	OCTAVIANO ULLOA ROJAS	\$30.00	\$4,100.00	Pending
[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE					
112674	816 SMITH ST..7-259	MICHAEL R & REBECCA J ELAND	\$30.00	\$4,100.00	Closed
[60-33 - Storm Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)] SUMP PUMP					
112684	1500 SPENCE ST..1-2002	GLORIA A WICK	\$50.00	\$14,156.00	Pending
[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION					
112696	1120 CROOKS ST..14-1035	CHEYENNE R & CONRAD P KAMSCHULTE	\$30.00	\$3,900.00	Pending
[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE					
112697	1230 STUART ST..14-1315	THOMAS F P HOPPE LIVING TRUST	\$30.00	\$4,000.00	Pending
[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE					
112698	1056 SHAWANO AV..3-1119	AYASHE GERDEEN	\$30.00	\$3,800.00	Closed

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112713	153 FRANCIS AV..3-54	STEPHANIE L WALKER, ETAL	\$30.00	\$2,000.00	Closed
---------------	----------------------	--------------------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-27 - Water Heater (Residential)] WATER HEATER

112723	604 S GREENWOOD AV..2-397	SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS	\$30.00	\$4,400.00	Pending
---------------	---------------------------	-------------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112724	820 N CHESTNUT AV..5-50	WALTER JOHN GRUSZCZYNSKI	\$30.00	\$4,600.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112725	1501 ELM ST..19-607	ANTONIO & MARIA VARGAS	\$30.00	\$4,000.00	Pending
---------------	---------------------	------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112732	1600 FARLIN AV..19-390-I	BRITTNEY J & JUSTYN HECKENLAIBLE	\$30.00	\$3,800.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------------	----------------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] RELAY WATER SERVICE

112756	1718 AMY ST..21-1343-19	ANNE M & WALTER S DETTMANN	\$50.00	\$4,830.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------	----------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION AND REPLACE MIXER VALVE

112801	964 ELMORE ST..5-1284	LEONARD M F & LINDA R ZEPKA	\$30.00	\$4,895.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------	-----------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112803	108 OAK ST..3-252	JULIA A LOSER, ETAL	\$30.00	\$4,195.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------	---------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112804	520 12th AV..2-598	NABERHOOD REAL ESTATE LLC	\$30.00	\$4,295.00	Pending
---------------	--------------------	---------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112805	1263 CROOKS ST..14-1328	JOEL PEREZ CUPELES	\$30.00	\$4,195.00	Pending
---------------	-------------------------	--------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112807	1438 E MASON ST..17-791	TERRY L PAVLIK, ETAL	\$30.00	\$4,295.00	Closed
---------------	-------------------------	----------------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112808	1511 CEDAR ST..19-132	JOSE A VILLEGAS ZABALETA	\$30.00	\$4,295.00	Closed
---------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	---------	------------	--------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112809	1213 CASS ST..14-1227	KAYLIE L & EVAN J LAMBERT	\$30.00	\$4,295.00	Pending
---------------	-----------------------	---------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-29 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)] LEAD WATER SERVICE

112811	2115 9th ST..6H-1570	EDGARDO X GONZALEZ CRUZ, ETAL	\$50.00	\$13,737.00	Pending
---------------	----------------------	-------------------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION

112827	1092 SHADOW LN..1-2631	PRIME GROUP LLC	\$30.00	\$4,500.00	Pending
---------------	------------------------	-----------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-33 - Storm Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)] STORM SEWER CONNECTION

112832	1078 ROSCOE ST..1-1589	ROBERT J & SUSAN F DARROW	\$50.00	\$9,000.00	Pending
---------------	------------------------	---------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-21 - Misc Plumbing (treament systems, grease traps, backflow valve)(Residential)] EXTERIOR AND INTERIOR SEWER DRAIN LINING

112839	2363 W MASON ST..6H-4396	DAVIS ANDREW & BETTY LLC	\$280.00	\$15,000.00	Closed
---------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	----------	-------------	--------

[60-26 - Water Heater (Commercial)] 4 WATER HEATERS

112843	1313 BAY BEACH RD..21-440-1	GREEN BAY CITY OF BAY BEACH	\$0.00	\$10,000.00	Closed
---------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--------	-------------	--------

[60-28 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Commercial)] REPAIR SANITARY & REPLACE LATERAL

112852	1136 SHEA AV..18-92	STEVEN S BREHMER	\$50.00	\$89,100.00	Pending
--------	---------------------	------------------	---------	-------------	---------

[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION

112853	1013 CLEVELAND ST..2-825	RACHAEL L & BRANDON B & KIRA A & BROOKE M HENDRICKS	\$50.00	\$84,900.00	Pending
--------	--------------------------	---	---------	-------------	---------

[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION

112881	2363 W MASON ST..6H-4396	DAVIS ANDREW & BETTY LLC	\$30.00	\$4,000.00	Pending
--------	--------------------------	--------------------------	---------	------------	---------

[60-28 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Commercial)] SPOT REPAIR

112904	1060 W MASON ST..2-43-1	AGNL DOORS LLC	\$30.00	\$55,000.00	Closed
--------	-------------------------	----------------	---------	-------------	--------

[60-28 - Water Service - Connection/Repair (Commercial)] REPAIR 6" WATERMAIN

112905	721 COLUMBIA AV..18-1377	KENNETH & TAMMY REMINGTON	\$50.00	\$560.00	Pending
--------	--------------------------	------------------------------	---------	----------	---------

[60-25 - Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)] TUB TO SHOWER CONVERSION

112663	1919 S BROADWAY..1-1407	GEORGIA PACIFIC CONSUMER PRODUCTS LP	\$30.00	\$500.00	Pending
--------	-------------------------	---	---------	----------	---------

[70-1 - Signs - Temporary] Four 4 X 4' (16sq ft) temporary highing event signs. Two along the 400-700 Block of Lombardi Ave and two along the 1700-1800 block of S Broadway.

112736	1567 E MASON ST..8-475	MIDWEST EXPANSION LLP	\$102.50	\$0.00	Pending
--------	------------------------	-----------------------	----------	--------	---------

[70-1 - Signs - Permanent] 5' 5" x 5' monument sign along East Mason for Starbucks Coffee

112757	2260 NICOLET DR..21-270-6	S&K FOOD MART INC	\$101.25	\$4,355.00	Pending
--------	---------------------------	-------------------	----------	------------	---------

[70-1 - Signs - Permanent] Tenant at BP C-Store. 24 square foot sign

Totals:	389 projects		\$113,275.25	\$124,719,777. 63	
---------	--------------	--	--------------	----------------------	--

Summary of Projects Issued

5/1/2023 to 5/31/2023

Class of Work	Proj	Site	Plan	Bldg	Elec	HVAC	Plbg	EC	Fees Paid	Estimated Cost
1 Residential - New - Single Family House	3	3	6	3	3	3	3	3	\$4,687.35	\$1,300,000.00
2 Residential - Addition - Single Family House	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	\$180.00	\$22,260.00
2 Residential - Alteration - 1 -2 Family House	17	0	8	17	7	6	7	0	\$2,999.57	\$483,926.00
4 Residential - Alteration - Garage or carport or Shed	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	\$200.00	\$10,000.00
7 Deck, Porch, Patio, Ramp (Residential)	27	8	14	27	2	0	1	0	\$2,330.00	\$237,360.00
9 Demolition - Interior (Residential)	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	\$100.00	\$65,000.00
9 Raze - Building or Structure (Residential)	3	0	1	3	0	0	1	1	\$275.00	\$37,500.00
11 Driveway Replacement and/or Expansion (Residential)	25	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	\$1,250.00	\$168,491.00
11 Fence (Residential)	48	0	0	48	0	0	0	0	\$2,400.00	\$293,786.00
11 Foundation Repair (Residential)	8	0	1	8	0	0	0	0	\$455.00	\$167,880.00
11 Garage/Carport/Shed (residential)	17	7	9	17	5	1	2	0	\$1,825.00	\$219,908.23
11 Swimming Pool (Residential)	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	\$150.00	\$300.00
11 Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Residential)	7	3	2	7	1	0	1	0	\$650.00	\$40,450.00
30 Commercial - New - 5 or More Family Building	3	0	1	3	3	0	3	0	\$730.00	\$105,000.00
31 Commercial - Addition - Non-Residence, Non-housekeeping	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	\$9,161.95	\$2,800,000.00
31 Commercial - Alteration - Non-Residence, Non-Housekeeping	16	1	21	16	14	10	12	1	\$20,108.47	\$5,660,452.00
31 Commercial - New - Other Non-Residential Buildings (barns, storage garages, jails, silos, post offices, animal hospitals, reformatories)	1	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	\$4,703.08	\$3,100,000.00
31 Commercial - New - Service Station, Repair Garage	1	1	5	1	1	1	1	1	\$5,608.45	\$1,800,000.00
31 Commercial - New - Structures Other Than Buildings (parks, outdoor stadiums, marinas, outdoor swimming pools, outdoor theaters, boardwalks, wharves, docks).	2	2	1	2	1	0	0	2	\$0.00	\$0.00
33 Commercial - New - Industrial Buildings (factories, machine shops, paper mills, beverage plants, manufacturing plants, printing plants)	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	\$36,792.72	\$101,438,810.00
34 Cell Tower - Alteration to Existing (Commercial)	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	\$140.00	\$20,000.00
34 Fence (Commercial)	4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	\$280.00	\$31,574.00
34 Parking Lot - New & Reconstruction (Commercial)	5	1	1	5	0	0	0	1	\$550.00	\$168,835.00
34 Raze- Building or Structure (Commercial)	2	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	\$310.00	\$193,775.00
34 Roofing (Commercial)	9	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	\$682.90	\$916,120.00
34 Shed, Yard Building (Commercial)	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	\$70.00	\$2,600.00
34 Temporary Office/Trailer/Event (Commercial)	6	1	0	6	4	0	0	0	\$680.00	\$21,141.00
34 Z - Misc Project Not Listed (Commercial)	6	2	7	6	1	1	1	1	\$7,062.63	\$3,934,000.00
40 Electrical Service - Change (Residential)	13	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	\$650.00	\$36,565.00
40 Electrical Service - Repair (Residential)	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	\$489.38	\$30,584.00
40 Electrical Wiring (Misc., Alteration, Repair) (Commercial)	3	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	\$280.00	\$197,247.00
40 Electrical Wiring (Misc., Alteration, Repair) (Residential)	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	\$150.00	\$4,700.00
40 New Electrical System (Residential)	5	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	\$400.00	\$42,234.00

50 AC Unit Only (Commercial)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	\$70.00	\$36,785.00
50 AC Unit Only (Residential)	33	0	0	0	0	33	0	0	\$1,650.00	\$213,023.85
50 Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Commercial)	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	\$490.00	\$47,894.00
50 Heating and Air Conditioning Units (Residential)	16	0	0	0	1	16	0	0	\$940.00	\$163,383.00
50 Heating Unit Only (Commercial)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	\$70.00	\$8,795.00
50 Heating Unit Only (Residential)	17	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	\$850.00	\$112,737.55
50 Repair/Alter Existing HVAC System (Commercial)	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	\$70.00	\$38,900.00
50 Repair/Alter Existing HVAC System (Residential)	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	\$100.00	\$19,946.00
60 Lawn Sprinklers (Residential)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	\$100.00	\$500.00
60 Misc Plumbing (treatment systems, grease traps, backflow valve)(Residential)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	\$50.00	\$9,000.00
60 Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Commercial)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	\$70.00	\$15,000.00
60 Repair/Alter Existing Plumbing System (Residential)	13	0	0	0	0	0	13	0	\$650.00	\$274,295.00
60 Sanitary Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	\$120.00	\$20,100.00
60 Storm Sewers - Connection/Repair (Commercial)	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	\$30.00	\$5,300.00
60 Storm Sewers - Connection/Repair (Residential)	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	\$150.00	\$9,900.00
60 Water Heater (Commercial)	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	\$380.00	\$16,500.00
60 Water Heater (Residential)	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	\$120.00	\$9,100.00
60 Water Service - Connection/Repair (Commercial)	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	\$150.00	\$77,800.00
60 Water Service - Connection/Repair (Residential)	21	0	0	0	0	0	21	0	\$630.00	\$85,465.00
70 Signs - Permanent	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	\$203.75	\$4,355.00
70 Signs - Temporary	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	\$30.00	\$500.00
Totals:	389	36	88	223	82	102	96	13	\$113,275.25	\$124,719,777.63



Report to the
Protection and Policy Committee
of the City of Green Bay

MEETING DATE

June 6, 2023

PREPARED BY

AGENDA ITEM # W.1

A resolution to add nine additional reserve liquor licenses to the pool for a total of 32 reserve licenses.

BACKGROUND

RECOMMENDATION

FISCAL IMPACT

ATTACHMENTS

1. RESOLUTION ADDING NINE (9) ADDITIONAL RESERVE LIQUOR LICENSES TO THE POOL FOR A TOTAL OF 32 RESERVE LICENSES
2. 2020 data census
3. City of Green Bay Census INformation Green Bay 2000 and 2010

**RESOLUTION ADDING NINE (9) ADDITIONAL RESERVE LIQUOR LICENSES TO
THE POOL FOR A TOTAL OF 32 RESERVE LICENSES**

May 2, 2023

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY:

WHEREAS, in state statute Chapter 125 a municipality may increase their number of reserve licenses based on population growth in increments of 500; and

WHEREAS, The City of Green Bay has determined in 1997 that they are entitled to 23 reserve liquor licenses; and

WHEREAS, The City Of Green Bay's population according to the 2000 Census was 102,767; and

WHEREAS, The City of Green Bay's Population grew during the 2020 Census to 107,395, which is a population growth of 4,628;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City of Green Bay Clerk's Office let it be known, nine (9) additional reserve licenses have been added to the pool for a new total of 32 reserve licenses available to license holders.

Adopted _____

Approved _____

Mayor

Clerk

Official Final Estimates, 1/1/2022, Wisconsin Municipalities, with Comparison to Census 2020

Source: Wisconsin Demographic Services Center

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
10201	00100	C	Abbotsford	In Multiple Counties	2,387	2,275	112	4.92%	1,754	1,665
43002	00175	T	Abrams	Oconto	2,028	1,960	68	3.47%	1,602	1,541
34002	00225	T	Ackley	Langlade	467	467	0	0.00%	387	385
01201	00275	C	Adams	Adams	1,755	1,761	- 6	- 0.34%	1,396	1,394
01002	00300	T	Adams	Adams	1,387	1,378	9	0.65%	1,161	1,148
23002	00325	T	Adams	Green	542	540	2	0.37%	433	429
27002	00350	T	Adams	Jackson	1,406	1,389	17	1.22%	1,173	1,154
67002	00425	T	Addison	Washington	3,449	3,464	- 15	- 0.43%	2,717	2,717
60101	00450	V	Adell	Sheboygan	497	498	- 1	- 0.20%	399	398
42002	00475	T	Adrian	Monroe	753	733	20	2.73%	619	600
02002	00550	T	Agenda	Ashland	369	370	- 1	- 0.27%	319	318
31002	00600	T	Ahnapee	Kewaunee	883	870	13	1.49%	726	712
34004	00625	T	Ainsworth	Langlade	477	477	0	0.00%	435	433
53002	00675	T	Akan	Richland	390	391	- 1	- 0.26%	293	292
50002	00725	T	Alban	Portage	867	863	4	0.46%	684	678
23101	00750	V	Albany	Green	1,093	1,096	- 3	- 0.27%	835	834
23004	00775	T	Albany	Green	1,199	1,189	10	0.84%	945	933
47002	00800	T	Albany	Pepin	726	716	10	1.40%	507	498
13002	00875	T	Albion	Dane	2,069	2,069	0	0.00%	1,605	1,598
27004	00900	T	Albion	Jackson	1,200	1,197	3	0.25%	953	946
62002	00925	T	Albion	Trempealeau	696	694	2	0.29%	521	517
49002	00950	T	Alden	Polk	2,980	2,918	62	2.12%	2,378	2,318
31201	01000	C	Algoma	Kewaunee	3,221	3,243	- 22	- 0.68%	2,574	2,580
71002	01025	T	Algoma	Winnebago	6,927	6,866	61	0.89%	5,292	5,222
05102	01150	V	Allouez	Brown	14,014	14,156	- 142	- 1.00%	11,278	11,341

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
06201	01225	C	Alma	Buffalo	717	716	1	0.14%	607	603
06002	01250	T	Alma	Buffalo	255	254	1	0.39%	219	217
27006	01275	T	Alma	Jackson	1,045	1,033	12	1.16%	781	769
27101	01300	V	Alma Center	Jackson	484	487	- 3	- 0.62%	359	360
03101	01325	V	Almena	Barron	742	705	37	5.25%	576	545
03002	01350	T	Almena	Barron	898	887	11	1.24%	743	731
59002	01375	T	Almon	Shawano	576	575	1	0.17%	447	444
50101	01400	V	Almond	Portage	420	424	- 4	- 0.94%	301	302
50004	01425	T	Almond	Portage	623	625	- 2	- 0.32%	520	519
20002	01525	T	Alto	Fond du Lac	1,056	1,055	1	0.09%	800	796
18201	01550	C	Altoona	Eau Claire	9,149	8,293	856	10.32%	7,174	6,474
21002	01625	T	Alvin	Forest	173	173	0	0.00%	160	159
38002	01675	T	Amberg	Marinette	701	693	8	1.15%	647	637
49201	01725	C	Amery	Polk	2,952	2,962	- 10	- 0.34%	2,365	2,362
50102	01750	V	Amherst	Portage	1,121	1,117	4	0.36%	842	835
50006	01775	T	Amherst	Portage	1,418	1,402	16	1.14%	1,129	1,111
50103	01800	V	Amherst Junction	Portage	382	383	- 1	- 0.26%	295	294
16002	01825	T	Amnicon	Douglas	1,223	1,224	- 1	- 0.08%	931	928
07002	01900	T	Anderson	Burnett	409	408	1	0.25%	335	333
26002	01925	T	Anderson	Iron	54	54	0	0.00%	48	48
59004	01975	T	Angelica	Shawano	1,840	1,822	18	0.99%	1,382	1,362
42004	02025	T	Angelo	Monroe	1,804	1,697	107	6.31%	1,280	1,199
59101	02075	V	Aniwa	Shawano	238	243	- 5	- 2.06%	187	190
59006	02100	T	Aniwa	Shawano	517	517	0	0.00%	420	418
09002	02175	T	Anson	Chippewa	2,341	2,297	44	1.92%	1,905	1,861
34201	02250	C	Antigo	Langlade	8,053	8,100	- 47	- 0.58%	6,302	6,310
34006	02275	T	Antigo	Langlade	1,369	1,369	0	0.00%	1,094	1,089

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
49004	02350	T	Apple River	Polk	1,189	1,173	16	1.36%	930	913
45201	02375	C	Appleton	In Multiple Counties	75,605	75,644	- 39	- 0.05%	58,332	58,099
64002	02450	T	Arbor Vitae	Vilas	3,411	3,403	8	0.24%	2,891	2,871
62201	02500	C	Arcadia	Trempealeau	3,749	3,737	12	0.32%	2,432	2,413
62004	02525	T	Arcadia	Trempealeau	1,702	1,696	6	0.35%	1,345	1,334
25101	02550	V	Arena	Iowa	877	844	33	3.91%	660	632
25002	02575	T	Arena	Iowa	1,495	1,486	9	0.61%	1,215	1,202
21004	02625	T	Argonne	Forest	548	546	2	0.37%	422	419
33101	02650	V	Argyle	Lafayette	780	783	- 3	- 0.38%	609	609
33002	02675	T	Argyle	Lafayette	452	449	3	0.67%	369	365
03004	02775	T	Arland	Barron	713	712	1	0.14%	519	516
11101	02800	V	Arlington	Columbia	846	844	2	0.24%	651	647
11002	02825	T	Arlington	Columbia	818	803	15	1.87%	656	641
29002	02850	T	Armenia	Juneau	727	705	22	3.12%	617	596
21006	02950	T	Armstrong Creek	Forest	420	422	- 2	- 0.47%	356	356
72100	03025	V	Arpin	Wood	304	305	- 1	- 0.33%	242	242
72002	03050	T	Arpin	Wood	946	942	4	0.42%	687	681
09004	03075	T	Arthur	Chippewa	781	771	10	1.30%	582	572
20004	03150	T	Ashford	Fond du Lac	1,734	1,722	12	0.70%	1,391	1,375
14002	03200	T	Ashippun	Dodge	2,677	2,663	14	0.53%	2,143	2,122
02201	03225	C	Ashland	In Multiple Counties	7,819	7,908	- 89	- 1.13%	6,413	6,457
02004	03250	T	Ashland	Ashland	586	589	- 3	- 0.51%	440	440
05104	03425	V	Ashwaubenon	Brown	17,757	16,991	766	4.51%	14,287	13,609
38004	03525	T	Athelstane	Marinette	556	554	2	0.36%	499	495
37102	03550	V	Athens	Marathon	1,065	1,059	6	0.57%	857	848
55002	03600	T	Atlanta	Rusk	559	560	- 1	- 0.18%	465	464
09006	03725	T	Auburn	Chippewa	787	776	11	1.42%	569	559

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
20006	03750	T	Auburn	Fond du Lac	2,387	2,360	27	1.14%	1,930	1,900
72101	03775	V	Auburndale	Wood	710	702	8	1.14%	546	537
72004	03800	T	Auburndale	Wood	789	790	- 1	- 0.13%	605	603
18202	03825	C	Augusta	Eau Claire	1,549	1,567	- 18	- 1.15%	1,194	1,202
19002	03875	T	Aurora	Florence	988	987	1	0.10%	829	824
61002	03900	T	Aurora	Taylor	457	459	- 2	- 0.44%	310	310
70002	03925	T	Aurora	Waushara	1,001	1,006	- 5	- 0.50%	799	799
25102	04025	V	Avoca	Iowa	551	553	- 2	- 0.36%	438	438
54002	04100	T	Avon	Rock	569	570	- 1	- 0.18%	469	468
28002	04125	T	Aztalan	Jefferson	1,381	1,382	- 1	- 0.07%	1,119	1,115
22106	04250	V	Bagley	Grant	355	356	- 1	- 0.28%	289	289
43006	04275	T	Bagley	Oconto	280	275	5	1.82%	246	241
15002	04325	T	Baileys Harbor	Door	1,238	1,223	15	1.23%	1,081	1,063
56106	04400	V	Baldwin	St. Croix	4,356	4,291	65	1.51%	3,270	3,207
56002	04425	T	Baldwin	St. Croix	1,061	1,047	14	1.34%	773	759
49106	04475	V	Balsam Lake	Polk	914	934	- 20	- 2.14%	776	789
49006	04500	T	Balsam Lake	Polk	1,422	1,416	6	0.42%	1,194	1,184
32106	04550	V	Bangor	La Crosse	1,568	1,437	131	9.12%	1,191	1,087
32002	04575	T	Bangor	La Crosse	626	617	9	1.46%	492	483
57206	04625	C	Baraboo	Sauk	12,703	12,556	147	1.17%	9,950	9,791
57002	04650	T	Baraboo	Sauk	1,813	1,816	- 3	- 0.17%	1,469	1,465
04002	04725	T	Barksdale	Bayfield	742	745	- 3	- 0.40%	629	629
04004	04750	T	Barnes	Bayfield	820	823	- 3	- 0.36%	765	764
25106	04775	V	Barneveld	Iowa	1,338	1,331	7	0.53%	958	949
32004	04825	T	Barre	La Crosse	1,296	1,267	29	2.29%	993	966
03206	04875	C	Barron	Barron	3,685	3,733	- 48	- 1.29%	2,862	2,886
03006	04900	T	Barron	Barron	811	813	- 2	- 0.25%	629	628

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
66002	04950	T	Barronett	Washburn	456	461	- 5	- 1.08%	332	334
59008	05000	T	Bartelme	Shawano	809	810	- 1	- 0.12%	592	590
67004	05050	T	Barton	Washington	2,795	2,743	52	1.90%	2,266	2,214
66004	05125	T	Bashaw	Washburn	1,085	1,079	6	0.56%	875	866
58002	05200	T	Bass Lake	Sawyer	2,755	2,731	24	0.88%	2,087	2,060
66006	05225	T	Bass Lake	Washburn	565	566	- 1	- 0.18%	456	455
48106	05325	V	Bay City	Pierce	447	441	6	1.36%	375	368
04206	05350	C	Bayfield	Bayfield	586	584	2	0.34%	521	517
04006	05375	T	Bayfield	Bayfield	792	787	5	0.64%	697	689
41106	05450	V	Bayside	In Multiple Counties	4,463	4,482	- 19	- 0.42%	3,501	3,500
04008	05475	T	Bayview	Bayfield	513	512	1	0.20%	420	417
27008	05550	T	Bear Bluff	Jackson	155	155	0	0.00%	117	116
45106	05575	V	Bear Creek	Outagamie	422	427	- 5	- 1.17%	317	319
57004	05600	T	Bear Creek	Sauk	643	641	2	0.31%	491	487
69002	05625	T	Bear Creek	Waupaca	749	748	1	0.13%	606	602
03008	05650	T	Bear Lake	Barron	683	670	13	1.94%	554	541
10002	05750	T	Beaver	Clark	823	826	- 3	- 0.36%	513	513
38006	05800	T	Beaver	Marinette	1,163	1,153	10	0.87%	954	942
49008	05825	T	Beaver	Polk	804	798	6	0.75%	664	656
66008	05875	T	Beaver Brook	Washburn	805	795	10	1.26%	627	616
14206	05900	C	Beaver Dam	Dodge	16,727	16,708	19	0.11%	13,037	12,964
14004	05925	T	Beaver Dam	Dodge	4,069	4,062	7	0.17%	3,276	3,256
38008	06000	T	Beecher	Marinette	786	786	0	0.00%	674	671
22002	06100	T	Beetown	Grant	722	723	- 1	- 0.14%	518	516
46106	06150	V	Belgium	Ozaukee	2,473	2,421	52	2.15%	1,903	1,855
46002	06175	T	Belgium	Ozaukee	1,461	1,450	11	0.76%	1,216	1,201
04010	06200	T	Bell	Bayfield	359	355	4	1.13%	338	333

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
12106	06225	V	Bell Center	Crawford	108	108	0	0.00%	79	79
59010	06275	T	Belle Plaine	Shawano	1,763	1,800	- 37	- 2.06%	1,449	1,473
13106	06300	V	Belleville	In Multiple Counties	2,659	2,491	168	6.74%	2,035	1,896
05106	06350	V	Bellevue	Brown	16,568	15,935	633	3.97%	13,117	12,559
33106	06425	V	Belmont	Lafayette	990	989	1	0.10%	760	756
33004	06450	T	Belmont	Lafayette	801	794	7	0.88%	559	552
50008	06475	T	Belmont	Portage	622	623	- 1	- 0.16%	511	510
54206	06500	C	Beloit	Rock	36,760	36,657	103	0.28%	27,703	27,501
54004	06525	T	Beloit	Rock	7,850	7,721	129	1.67%	6,267	6,136
06004	06675	T	Belvidere	Buffalo	386	403	- 17	- 4.22%	308	320
16004	06775	T	Bennett	Douglas	631	632	- 1	- 0.16%	488	487
33107	06825	V	Benton	Lafayette	960	946	14	1.48%	726	712
33006	06850	T	Benton	Lafayette	482	474	8	1.69%	377	369
37002	06875	T	Bergen	Marathon	744	740	4	0.54%	605	599
63002	06900	T	Bergen	Vernon	1,365	1,365	0	0.00%	1,073	1,068
24206	06925	C	Berlin	In Multiple Counties	5,570	5,571	- 1	- 0.02%	4,226	4,208
24002	06950	T	Berlin	Green Lake	1,082	1,068	14	1.31%	879	864
37004	06975	T	Berlin	Marathon	948	949	- 1	- 0.11%	719	717
37006	07000	T	Bern	Marathon	622	614	8	1.30%	410	403
13004	07025	T	Berry	Dane	1,177	1,168	9	0.77%	971	959
37008	07125	T	Bevent	Marathon	1,053	1,049	4	0.38%	875	868
55004	07175	T	Big Bend	Rusk	408	401	7	1.75%	356	348
68106	07200	V	Big Bend	Waukesha	1,491	1,483	8	0.54%	1,122	1,111
55006	07225	T	Big Falls	Rusk	116	115	1	0.87%	101	100
69106	07250	V	Big Falls	Waupaca	60	60	0	0.00%	48	48
01004	07300	T	Big Flats	Adams	951	948	3	0.32%	822	816
35002	07500	T	Birch	Lincoln	512	570	- 58	- 10.18%	346	383

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
09008	07525	T	Birch Creek	Chippewa	504	495	9	1.82%	442	432
66106	07550	V	Birchwood	Washburn	402	402	0	0.00%	331	330
66010	07575	T	Birchwood	Washburn	613	605	8	1.32%	529	520
59106	07600	V	Birnamwood	In Multiple Counties	732	730	2	0.27%	573	568
59012	07625	T	Birnamwood	Shawano	691	682	9	1.32%	530	521
72106	07650	V	Biron	Wood	849	839	10	1.19%	698	687
49010	07700	T	Black Brook	Polk	1,435	1,425	10	0.70%	1,087	1,075
45107	07725	V	Black Creek	Outagamie	1,353	1,357	- 4	- 0.29%	1,005	1,003
45002	07750	T	Black Creek	Outagamie	1,258	1,251	7	0.56%	972	962
13107	07800	V	Black Earth	Dane	1,551	1,493	58	3.88%	1,224	1,173
13006	07825	T	Black Earth	Dane	517	510	7	1.37%	423	415
27206	07900	C	Black River Falls	Jackson	3,519	3,523	- 4	- 0.11%	2,781	2,772
21008	07950	T	Blackwell	Forest	168	152	16	10.53%	152	137
71004	08000	T	Black Wolf	Winnebago	2,431	2,429	2	0.08%	1,953	1,943
07004	08025	T	Blaine	Burnett	205	206	- 1	- 0.49%	174	174
62206	08075	C	Blair	Trempealeau	1,293	1,325	- 32	- 2.42%	979	999
33008	08100	T	Blanchard	Lafayette	308	300	8	2.67%	231	224
33108	08125	V	Blanchardville	In Multiple Counties	817	807	10	1.24%	644	634
53004	08175	T	Bloom	Richland	537	538	- 1	- 0.19%	394	393
09206	08225	C	Bloomer	Chippewa	3,719	3,683	36	0.98%	2,970	2,928
09010	08250	T	Bloomer	Chippewa	1,101	1,090	11	1.01%	824	812
65115	08265	V	Bloomfield	Walworth	4,821	4,781	40	0.84%	3,700	3,653
65002	08275	T	Bloomfield	Walworth	1,790	1,778	12	0.67%	1,369	1,354
70004	08300	T	Bloomfield	Waushara	1,052	1,054	- 2	- 0.19%	852	850
13008	08350	T	Blooming Grove	Dane	1,568	1,622	- 54	- 3.33%	1,261	1,299
22107	08375	V	Bloomington	Grant	743	741	2	0.27%	547	543
22004	08400	T	Bloomington	Grant	333	331	2	0.60%	274	271

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
13108	08475	V	Blue Mounds	Dane	953	948	5	0.53%	719	712
13010	08500	T	Blue Mounds	Dane	900	899	1	0.11%	723	719
22108	08525	V	Blue River	Grant	457	457	0	0.00%	357	355
53106	08600	V	Boaz	Richland	129	129	0	0.00%	105	105
59107	08725	V	Bonduel	Shawano	1,411	1,417	- 6	- 0.42%	1,077	1,077
49012	08750	T	Bone Lake	Polk	692	686	6	0.87%	575	567
22206	08850	C	Boscobel	Grant	3,130	3,286	- 156	- 4.75%	2,529	2,643
22006	08875	T	Boscobel	Grant	378	379	- 1	- 0.26%	306	305
64004	08950	T	Boulder Junction	Vilas	1,075	1,057	18	1.70%	978	957
45004	08975	T	Bovina	Outagamie	1,178	1,153	25	2.17%	925	901
59108	09025	V	Bowler	Shawano	319	320	- 1	- 0.31%	237	237
17106	09050	V	Boyceville	Dunn	1,096	1,100	- 4	- 0.36%	810	809
09106	09075	V	Boyd	Chippewa	608	605	3	0.50%	439	435
54006	09175	T	Bradford	Rock	1,015	1,013	2	0.20%	788	783
35004	09225	T	Bradley	Lincoln	2,417	2,382	35	1.47%	2,088	2,049
20106	09300	V	Brandon	Fond du Lac	879	882	- 3	- 0.34%	675	674
43008	09375	T	Brazeau	Oconto	1,342	1,340	2	0.15%	1,125	1,118
43010	09425	T	Breed	Oconto	700	698	2	0.29%	586	582
18002	09500	T	Bridge Creek	Eau Claire	2,235	2,214	21	0.95%	1,410	1,390
12002	09550	T	Bridgeport	Crawford	1,004	988	16	1.62%	793	777
25004	09600	T	Brigham	Iowa	1,063	1,037	26	2.51%	847	823
30002	09635	T	Brighton	Kenosha	1,442	1,422	20	1.41%	1,194	1,172
37010	09650	T	Brighton	Marathon	620	620	0	0.00%	436	434
08206	09725	C	Brillion	Calumet	3,487	3,262	225	6.90%	2,659	2,476
08002	09750	T	Brillion	Calumet	1,682	1,650	32	1.94%	1,272	1,242
13012	09775	T	Bristol	Dane	4,499	4,447	52	1.17%	3,359	3,305
30104	09800	V	Bristol	Kenosha	5,209	5,192	17	0.33%	4,149	4,117

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
27010	09900	T	Brockway	Jackson	2,991	3,035	- 44	- 1.45%	2,499	2,524
23206	09925	C	Brodhead	In Multiple Counties	3,268	3,274	- 6	- 0.18%	2,512	2,506
68206	10025	C	Brookfield	Waukesha	41,430	41,464	- 34	- 0.08%	31,689	31,573
68002	10050	T	Brookfield	Waukesha	6,480	6,477	3	0.05%	5,515	5,488
13109	10075	V	Brooklyn	In Multiple Counties	1,525	1,524	1	0.07%	1,097	1,091
23006	10100	T	Brooklyn	Green	1,115	1,108	7	0.63%	908	898
24004	10125	T	Brooklyn	Green Lake	1,811	1,787	24	1.34%	1,566	1,538
66012	10150	T	Brooklyn	Washburn	310	311	- 1	- 0.32%	264	264
08004	10350	T	Brothertown	Calumet	1,325	1,328	- 3	- 0.23%	1,082	1,080
41107	10375	V	Brown Deer	Milwaukee	13,023	12,507	516	4.13%	10,403	9,946
61004	10400	T	Browning	Taylor	917	910	7	0.77%	683	675
14106	10450	V	Brownsville	Dodge	630	598	32	5.35%	480	454
23110	10475	V	Browntown	Green	246	245	1	0.41%	199	197
55106	10500	V	Bruce	Rusk	775	781	- 6	- 0.77%	592	594
16006	10575	T	Brule	Douglas	609	607	2	0.33%	497	493
18004	10625	T	Brunswick	Eau Claire	1,984	1,958	26	1.33%	1,593	1,565
15004	10700	T	Brussels	Door	1,125	1,125	0	0.00%	852	848
45006	10750	T	Buchanan	Outagamie	6,865	6,857	8	0.12%	5,218	5,189
50010	10925	T	Buena Vista	Portage	1,148	1,145	3	0.26%	883	877
53006	10950	T	Buena Vista	Richland	1,818	1,807	11	0.61%	1,413	1,398
06006	11025	T	Buffalo	Buffalo	743	746	- 3	- 0.40%	587	587
39002	11050	T	Buffalo	Marquette	1,411	1,389	22	1.58%	999	979
06206	11062	C	Buffalo City	Buffalo	1,009	1,007	2	0.20%	871	865
13014	11150	T	Burke	Dane	3,264	3,265	- 1	- 0.03%	2,542	2,531
52206	11200	C	Burlington	In Multiple Counties	11,166	11,047	119	1.08%	8,654	8,523
52002	11225	T	Burlington	Racine	6,493	6,465	28	0.43%	5,269	5,223
14006	11275	T	Burnett	Dodge	828	831	- 3	- 0.36%	707	706

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
32006	11300	T	Burns	La Crosse	959	946	13	1.37%	745	732
62006	11325	T	Burnside	Trempealeau	515	507	8	1.58%	409	401
10004	11425	T	Butler	Clark	116	112	4	3.57%	83	80
68107	11475	V	Butler	Waukesha	1,780	1,787	- 7	- 0.39%	1,425	1,424
02106	11525	V	Butternut	Ashland	362	366	- 4	- 1.09%	284	286
20008	11600	T	Byron	Fond du Lac	1,679	1,677	2	0.12%	1,329	1,321
42006	11625	T	Byron	Monroe	1,233	1,234	- 1	- 0.08%	963	959
04012	11675	T	Cable	Bayfield	859	853	6	0.70%	750	741
23008	11725	T	Cadiz	Green	748	747	1	0.13%	617	613
09111	11750	V	Cadott	Chippewa	1,498	1,498	0	0.00%	1,165	1,160
56004	11775	T	Cady	St. Croix	889	880	9	1.02%	680	670
14008	11850	T	Calamus	Dodge	1,046	1,043	3	0.29%	835	829
11004	11900	T	Caledonia	Columbia	1,504	1,495	9	0.60%	1,222	1,209
52104	11950	V	Caledonia	Racine	25,478	25,361	117	0.46%	20,467	20,281
62008	11975	T	Caledonia	Trempealeau	933	928	5	0.54%	741	734
69004	12000	T	Caledonia	Waupaca	1,738	1,712	26	1.52%	1,380	1,353
20010	12075	T	Calumet	Fond du Lac	1,418	1,412	6	0.42%	1,149	1,139
11111	12200	V	Cambria	Columbia	781	777	4	0.51%	590	584
13111	12225	V	Cambridge	In Multiple Counties	1,708	1,638	70	4.27%	1,345	1,284
03111	12250	V	Cameron	Barron	1,871	1,872	- 1	- 0.05%	1,404	1,398
72006	12275	T	Cameron	Wood	539	539	0	0.00%	419	417
32008	12300	T	Campbell	La Crosse	4,276	4,284	- 8	- 0.19%	3,607	3,598
20111	12325	V	Campbellsport	Fond du Lac	1,924	1,907	17	0.89%	1,504	1,484
29111	12350	V	Camp Douglas	Juneau	645	647	- 2	- 0.31%	475	474
06008	12500	T	Canton	Buffalo	246	245	1	0.41%	205	203
26004	12525	T	Carey	Iron	171	172	- 1	- 0.58%	133	133
31004	12575	T	Carlton	Kewaunee	1,008	1,008	0	0.00%	802	798

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
50012	12725	T	Carson	Portage	1,385	1,374	11	0.80%	1,105	1,091
72008	12775	T	Cary	Wood	409	406	3	0.74%	322	318
60111	12825	V	Cascade	Sheboygan	726	722	4	0.55%	581	575
31111	12850	V	Casco	Kewaunee	644	630	14	2.22%	475	463
31006	12875	T	Casco	Kewaunee	1,156	1,150	6	0.52%	891	882
66014	12925	T	Casey	Washburn	394	396	- 2	- 0.51%	373	373
42111	12950	V	Cashton	Monroe	1,167	1,158	9	0.78%	869	858
37012	12975	T	Cassel	Marathon	947	934	13	1.39%	701	688
44002	13025	T	Cassian	Oneida	1,075	1,069	6	0.56%	932	923
22111	13050	V	Cassville	Grant	774	777	- 3	- 0.39%	649	649
22008	13075	T	Cassville	Grant	400	402	- 2	- 0.50%	321	321
22010	13100	T	Castle Rock	Grant	241	240	1	0.42%	201	199
21010	13125	T	Caswell	Forest	72	72	0	0.00%	62	62
51111	13175	V	Catawba	Price	140	141	- 1	- 0.71%	112	112
51002	13200	T	Catawba	Price	252	247	5	2.02%	210	205
36002	13237	T	Cato	Manitowoc	1,637	1,621	16	0.99%	1,285	1,267
53111	13300	V	Cazenovia	In Multiple Counties	362	363	- 1	- 0.28%	271	271
59111	13325	V	Cecil	Shawano	531	529	2	0.38%	460	456
46211	13375	C	Cedarburg	Ozaukee	12,446	12,121	325	2.68%	9,655	9,361
46004	13400	T	Cedarburg	Ozaukee	6,180	6,162	18	0.29%	4,729	4,694
60112	13475	V	Cedar Grove	Sheboygan	2,125	2,101	24	1.14%	1,625	1,599
03010	13500	T	Cedar Lake	Barron	1,095	1,076	19	1.77%	982	961
55008	13550	T	Cedar Rapids	Rusk	36	36	0	0.00%	28	28
45008	13600	T	Center	Outagamie	3,675	3,622	53	1.46%	2,890	2,836
54008	13625	T	Center	Rock	1,049	1,046	3	0.29%	859	853
36004	13750	T	Centerville	Manitowoc	633	631	2	0.32%	500	496
49111	13850	V	Centuria	Polk	888	891	- 3	- 0.34%	661	660

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
08006	14000	T	Charlestown	Calumet	771	774	- 3	- 0.39%	624	624
43012	14125	T	Chase	Oconto	3,244	3,178	66	2.08%	2,390	2,331
63111	14150	V	Chaseburg	Vernon	242	241	1	0.41%	203	201
61006	14200	T	Chelsea	Taylor	709	710	- 1	- 0.14%	557	555
68111	14225	V	Chenequa	Waukesha	530	526	4	0.76%	434	429
14010	14300	T	Chester ¹	Dodge	676	676	0	0.00%	523	521
03211	14325	C	Chetek	Barron	2,166	2,172	- 6	- 0.28%	1,736	1,733
03012	14350	T	Chetek	Barron	1,751	1,726	25	1.45%	1,485	1,457
66016	14425	T	Chicog	Washburn	293	292	1	0.34%	269	267
08211	14475	C	Chilton	Calumet	4,064	4,080	- 16	- 0.39%	3,127	3,125
08008	14500	T	Chilton	Calumet	1,055	1,059	- 4	- 0.38%	867	866
62010	14525	T	Chimney Rock	Trempealeau	259	258	1	0.39%	205	203
02006	14550	T	Chippewa	Ashland	348	349	- 1	- 0.29%	292	292
09211	14575	C	Chippewa Falls	Chippewa	14,724	14,731	- 7	- 0.05%	11,657	11,610
13016	14650	T	Christiana	Dane	1,238	1,235	3	0.24%	1,006	999
63004	14675	T	Christiana	Vernon	1,013	993	20	2.01%	775	756
45010	14750	T	Cicero	Outagamie	1,022	1,008	14	1.39%	823	808
27012	14800	T	City Point	Jackson	178	177	1	0.56%	155	153
49014	14837	T	Clam Falls	Polk	558	554	4	0.72%	445	440
23010	15000	T	Clarno	Green	1,138	1,133	5	0.44%	916	908
15006	15025	T	Clay Banks	Door	386	385	1	0.26%	321	319
12004	15075	T	Clayton	Crawford	1,055	1,052	3	0.29%	778	772
49112	15100	V	Clayton	Polk	549	550	- 1	- 0.18%	397	396
49016	15125	T	Clayton	Polk	964	958	6	0.63%	761	753
71006	15150	T	Clayton	Winnebago	4,375	4,329	46	1.06%	3,321	3,271
18006	15200	T	Clear Creek	Eau Claire	777	778	- 1	- 0.13%	596	594
29004	15225	T	Clearfield	Juneau	705	702	3	0.43%	568	563

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
49113	15250	V	Clear Lake	Polk	1,107	1,099	8	0.73%	848	838
49018	15275	T	Clear Lake	Polk	886	888	- 2	- 0.23%	683	681
09012	15350	T	Cleveland	Chippewa	895	889	6	0.67%	704	696
27014	15375	T	Cleveland	Jackson	529	530	- 1	- 0.19%	372	371
36112	15400	V	Cleveland	Manitowoc	1,576	1,579	- 3	- 0.19%	1,204	1,201
37014	15425	T	Cleveland	Marathon	1,496	1,486	10	0.67%	1,129	1,116
61008	15450	T	Cleveland	Taylor	229	216	13	6.02%	197	185
22012	15500	T	Clifton	Grant	388	380	8	2.11%	245	239
42008	15550	T	Clifton	Monroe	727	733	- 6	- 0.82%	447	449
48002	15575	T	Clifton	Pierce	2,237	2,177	60	2.76%	1,724	1,670
03014	15600	T	Clinton	Barron	874	870	4	0.46%	689	683
54111	15625	V	Clinton	Rock	2,235	2,221	14	0.63%	1,670	1,652
54010	15650	T	Clinton	Rock	893	889	4	0.45%	687	681
63006	15675	T	Clinton	Vernon	1,436	1,374	62	4.51%	816	777
69211	15725	C	Clintonville	Waupaca	4,686	4,591	95	2.07%	3,692	3,601
04014	15750	T	Clover	Bayfield	261	261	0	0.00%	239	238
16008	15825	T	Cloverland	Douglas	249	249	0	0.00%	187	186
64006	15850	T	Cloverland	Vilas	1,069	1,068	1	0.09%	931	926
25006	15875	T	Clyde	Iowa	300	292	8	2.74%	250	242
14111	15900	V	Clyman	Dodge	398	397	1	0.25%	310	308
14012	15925	T	Clyman	Dodge	716	712	4	0.56%	582	576
25111	15975	V	Cobb	Iowa	484	480	4	0.83%	371	366
06111	16025	V	Cochrane	Buffalo	421	423	- 2	- 0.47%	329	329
01006	16075	T	Colburn	Adams	214	215	- 1	- 0.47%	195	195
09014	16125	T	Colburn	Chippewa	899	892	7	0.78%	668	660
10211	16150	C	Colby	In Multiple Counties	1,936	1,952	- 16	- 0.82%	1,440	1,445
10006	16175	T	Colby	Clark	924	922	2	0.22%	635	631

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
28004	16225	T	Cold Spring	Jefferson	730	737	- 7	- 0.95%	581	584
38111	16250	V	Coleman	Marinette	725	726	- 1	- 0.14%	550	548
17111	16275	V	Colfax	Dunn	1,191	1,182	9	0.76%	944	933
17002	16300	T	Colfax	Dunn	1,246	1,230	16	1.30%	951	935
70111	16375	V	Coloma	Waushara	448	448	0	0.00%	358	356
70006	16400	T	Coloma	Waushara	732	730	2	0.27%	588	584
11211	16450	C	Columbus	In Multiple Counties	5,530	5,540	- 10	- 0.18%	4,309	4,297
11006	16475	T	Columbus	Columbia	631	626	5	0.80%	514	508
45111	16500	V	Combined Locks	Outagamie	3,641	3,634	7	0.19%	2,750	2,732
19004	16550	T	Commonwealth	Florence	398	390	8	2.05%	327	319
28006	16650	T	Concord	Jefferson	1,979	1,981	- 2	- 0.10%	1,636	1,630
64008	16750	T	Conover	Vilas	1,329	1,318	11	0.83%	1,122	1,108
55111	16775	V	Conrath	Rusk	88	88	0	0.00%	66	66
09016	16800	T	Cooks Valley	Chippewa	758	757	1	0.13%	544	541
63008	16875	T	Coon	Vernon	743	729	14	1.92%	599	585
63112	16900	V	Coon Valley	Vernon	755	758	- 3	- 0.40%	583	583
36006	16950	T	Cooperstown	Manitowoc	1,297	1,300	- 3	- 0.23%	1,040	1,038
09213	17100	C	Cornell	Chippewa	1,437	1,453	- 16	- 1.10%	1,118	1,125
35006	17125	T	Corning	Lincoln	830	825	5	0.61%	661	654
13112	17175	V	Cottage Grove	Dane	8,854	7,303	1,551	21.24%	6,278	5,155
13018	17200	T	Cottage Grove	Dane	3,825	3,791	34	0.90%	3,083	3,042
58111	17225	V	Couderay	Sawyer	81	81	0	0.00%	67	67
58004	17250	T	Couderay	Sawyer	399	393	6	1.53%	335	328
11008	17325	T	Courtland	Columbia	492	491	1	0.20%	380	378
21211	17425	C	Crandon	Forest	1,688	1,713	- 25	- 1.46%	1,308	1,321
21012	17450	T	Crandon	Forest	609	606	3	0.50%	483	478
72010	17525	T	Cranmoor	Wood	180	181	- 1	- 0.55%	127	127

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
44004	17625	T	Crescent	Oneida	1,984	1,984	0	0.00%	1,663	1,656
38121	17725	V	Crivitz	Marinette	1,096	1,093	3	0.27%	867	861
06010	17737	T	Cross	Buffalo	369	368	1	0.27%	277	275
13113	17775	V	Cross Plains	Dane	4,123	4,104	19	0.46%	3,099	3,071
13020	17800	T	Cross Plains	Dane	1,503	1,494	9	0.60%	1,214	1,201
66018	17850	T	Crystal	Washburn	284	280	4	1.43%	237	233
03016	17875	T	Crystal Lake	Barron	745	743	2	0.27%	611	607
39004	17900	T	Crystal Lake	Marquette	451	452	- 1	- 0.22%	419	418
22211	17950	C	Cuba City	In Multiple Counties	2,120	2,138	- 18	- 0.84%	1,639	1,646
41211	17975	C	Cudahy	Milwaukee	18,134	18,204	- 70	- 0.38%	14,612	14,603
03212	18025	C	Cumberland	Barron	2,267	2,274	- 7	- 0.31%	1,755	1,753
03018	18050	T	Cumberland	Barron	817	818	- 1	- 0.12%	659	657
27016	18075	T	Curran	Jackson	310	301	9	2.99%	246	238
10111	18125	V	Curtiss	Clark	299	292	7	2.40%	199	193
29006	18225	T	Cutler	Juneau	304	300	4	1.33%	249	245
56006	18300	T	Cylon	St. Croix	715	708	7	0.99%	561	553
16010	18425	T	Dairyland	Douglas	211	211	0	0.00%	190	189
70008	18475	T	Dakota	Waushara	1,138	1,143	- 5	- 0.44%	944	944
45012	18525	T	Dale	Outagamie	2,899	2,869	30	1.05%	2,222	2,189
03116	18575	V	Dallas	Barron	358	359	- 1	- 0.28%	277	277
03020	18600	T	Dallas	Barron	572	570	2	0.35%	457	453
13116	18700	V	Dane	Dane	1,116	1,117	- 1	- 0.09%	846	843
13022	18725	T	Dane	Dane	939	934	5	0.54%	753	746
07006	18750	T	Daniels	Burnett	681	676	5	0.74%	540	534
65116	18825	V	Darien	Walworth	1,576	1,573	3	0.19%	1,210	1,202
65004	18850	T	Darien	Walworth	1,650	1,651	- 1	- 0.06%	1,342	1,337
33216	18875	C	Darlington	Lafayette	2,432	2,462	- 30	- 1.22%	1,822	1,836

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
33010	18900	T	Darlington	Lafayette	923	923	0	0.00%	681	678
37016	18950	T	Day	Marathon	1,063	1,063	0	0.00%	819	815
53008	19000	T	Dayton	Richland	774	763	11	1.44%	645	633
69006	19025	T	Dayton	Waupaca	2,661	2,644	17	0.64%	2,180	2,156
23012	19075	T	Decatur	Green	1,771	1,685	86	5.10%	1,396	1,322
45014	19200	T	Deer Creek	Outagamie	630	630	0	0.00%	489	487
61010	19225	T	Deer Creek	Taylor	675	677	- 2	- 0.30%	508	507
13117	19250	V	Deerfield	Dane	2,531	2,507	24	0.96%	1,862	1,836
13024	19275	T	Deerfield	Dane	1,693	1,684	9	0.53%	1,367	1,354
70010	19300	T	Deerfield	Waushara	668	667	1	0.15%	573	570
56116	19325	V	Deer Park	St. Croix	248	249	- 1	- 0.40%	179	179
13118	19350	V	DeForest	Dane	11,388	10,811	577	5.34%	8,481	8,015
11010	19375	T	Dekorra	Columbia	2,519	2,500	19	0.76%	2,074	2,049
68216	19400	C	Delafield	Waukesha	7,172	7,185	- 13	- 0.18%	5,800	5,784
68004	19425	T	Delafield	Waukesha	8,148	8,095	53	0.65%	6,332	6,263
65216	19450	C	Delavan	Walworth	8,527	8,505	22	0.26%	6,466	6,420
65006	19475	T	Delavan	Walworth	5,265	5,273	- 8	- 0.15%	4,362	4,349
57006	19550	T	Dellona	Sauk	1,925	1,901	24	1.26%	1,494	1,469
01008	19575	T	Dell Prairie	Adams	1,633	1,631	2	0.12%	1,332	1,324
09018	19625	T	Delmar	Chippewa	1,020	1,013	7	0.69%	734	726
04016	19662	T	Delta	Bayfield	316	315	1	0.32%	276	274
57008	19675	T	Delton	Sauk	2,490	2,460	30	1.22%	1,907	1,876
05116	19700	V	Denmark	Brown	2,446	2,408	38	1.58%	1,803	1,767
05216	19775	C	De Pere	Brown	25,525	25,410	115	0.45%	19,974	19,795
63116	19850	V	De Soto	In Multiple Counties	315	309	6	1.94%	256	250
07008	19925	T	Dewey	Burnett	547	545	2	0.37%	432	428
50014	19975	T	Dewey	Portage	970	962	8	0.83%	770	760

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
55010	20000	T	Dewey	Rusk	546	542	4	0.74%	438	433
10008	20025	T	Dewhurst	Clark	354	349	5	1.43%	291	286
72012	20075	T	Dexter	Wood	350	350	0	0.00%	283	282
48004	20150	T	Diamond Bluff	Pierce	482	478	4	0.84%	387	382
22116	20175	V	Dickeyville	Grant	1,067	1,015	52	5.12%	881	834
62012	20300	T	Dodge	Trempealeau	397	394	3	0.76%	316	312
25216	20350	C	Dodgeville	Iowa	5,038	4,984	54	1.08%	3,900	3,841
25008	20375	T	Dodgeville	Iowa	1,598	1,586	12	0.76%	1,289	1,274
10116	20450	V	Dorchester	In Multiple Counties	853	849	4	0.47%	644	638
43014	20475	T	Doty	Oconto	306	309	- 3	- 0.97%	304	306
39006	20525	T	Douglas	Marquette	784	784	0	0.00%	653	650
68116	20550	V	Dousman	Waukesha	2,426	2,419	7	0.29%	1,894	1,880
06012	20575	T	Dover	Buffalo	520	515	5	0.97%	369	364
52006	20625	T	Dover	Racine	4,134	4,282	- 148	- 3.46%	3,478	3,586
03022	20650	T	Dovre	Barron	825	825	0	0.00%	631	628
17116	20675	V	Downing	Dunn	233	234	- 1	- 0.43%	192	192
03024	20750	T	Doyle	Barron	489	490	- 1	- 0.20%	396	395
11116	20775	V	Doylestown	Columbia	278	280	- 2	- 0.71%	213	214
18008	20800	T	Drammen	Eau Claire	795	792	3	0.38%	655	650
58006	20825	T	Draper	Sawyer	242	241	1	0.41%	232	230
49116	20850	V	Dresser	Polk	940	935	5	0.53%	689	682
04018	20887	T	Drummond	Bayfield	543	544	- 1	- 0.18%	440	439
38010	21000	T	Dunbar	Marinette	606	605	1	0.17%	500	497
13026	21100	T	Dunkirk	Dane	1,872	1,881	- 9	- 0.48%	1,540	1,540
13028	21125	T	Dunn	Dane	4,874	4,880	- 6	- 0.12%	4,063	4,050
17004	21150	T	Dunn	Dunn	1,480	1,473	7	0.48%	1,177	1,166
69008	21200	T	Dupont	Waupaca	748	746	2	0.27%	529	525

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
47216	21225	C	Durand	Pepin	1,848	1,854	- 6	- 0.32%	1,428	1,426
47004	21250	T	Durand	Pepin	712	710	2	0.28%	535	531
53010	21400	T	Eagle	Richland	492	492	0	0.00%	379	377
68121	21425	V	Eagle	Waukesha	2,123	2,071	52	2.51%	1,620	1,573
68006	21450	T	Eagle	Waukesha	3,521	3,478	43	1.24%	2,821	2,774
09020	21600	T	Eagle Point	Chippewa	3,284	3,237	47	1.45%	2,645	2,595
64221	21625	C	Eagle River	Vilas	1,614	1,628	- 14	- 0.86%	1,321	1,326
12121	21900	V	Eastman	Crawford	349	350	- 1	- 0.29%	265	265
12006	21925	T	Eastman	Crawford	739	731	8	1.09%	576	567
01010	22000	T	Easton	Adams	1,063	1,062	1	0.09%	895	890
37018	22025	T	Easton	Marathon	1,166	1,148	18	1.57%	903	885
65121	22100	V	East Troy	Walworth	4,989	4,687	302	6.44%	3,883	3,632
65008	22125	T	East Troy	Walworth	4,020	3,992	28	0.70%	3,283	3,245
05010	22225	T	Eaton	Brown	1,690	1,662	28	1.68%	1,304	1,277
10010	22250	T	Eaton	Clark	718	718	0	0.00%	467	465
36008	22275	T	Eaton	Manitowoc	819	814	5	0.61%	662	655
18221	22300	C	Eau Claire	In Multiple Counties	70,587	69,421	1,166	1.68%	57,230	56,035
17006	22375	T	Eau Galle	Dunn	772	765	7	0.92%	618	610
56008	22400	T	Eau Galle	St. Croix	1,274	1,253	21	1.68%	930	911
37020	22425	T	Eau Pleine	Marathon	772	769	3	0.39%	595	590
50016	22450	T	Eau Pleine	Portage	1,068	1,063	5	0.47%	808	801
20121	22475	V	Eden	Fond du Lac	904	884	20	2.26%	692	674
20012	22500	T	Eden	Fond du Lac	1,032	1,000	32	3.20%	823	794
25010	22525	T	Eden	Iowa	342	339	3	0.88%	288	284
37121	22550	V	Edgar	Marathon	1,450	1,439	11	0.76%	1,095	1,082
54221	22575	C	Edgerton	In Multiple Counties	5,997	5,945	52	0.87%	4,543	4,483
58008	22625	T	Edgewater	Sawyer	576	565	11	1.95%	510	498

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
09022	22750	T	Edson	Chippewa	1,164	1,141	23	2.02%	791	772
15118	22850	V	Egg Harbor	Door	369	358	11	3.07%	343	331
15008	22875	T	Egg Harbor	Door	1,495	1,458	37	2.54%	1,313	1,275
04020	22925	T	Eileen	Bayfield	719	722	- 3	- 0.42%	573	573
51004	22950	T	Eisenstein	Price	624	625	- 1	- 0.16%	537	535
59121	22975	V	Eland	Shawano	196	199	- 3	- 1.51%	162	164
14014	23000	T	Elba	Dodge	1,040	1,041	- 1	- 0.10%	823	820
34008	23050	T	Elcho	Langlade	1,170	1,168	2	0.17%	1,006	1,000
37122	23075	V	Elderon	Marathon	160	161	- 1	- 0.62%	139	139
37022	23100	T	Elderon	Marathon	642	644	- 2	- 0.31%	526	525
20014	23150	T	Eldorado	Fond du Lac	1,396	1,399	- 3	- 0.21%	1,110	1,107
62121	23175	V	Eleva	Trempealeau	698	685	13	1.90%	529	517
51006	23200	T	Elk	Price	965	960	5	0.52%	849	841
33012	23262	T	Elk Grove	Lafayette	567	566	1	0.18%	386	384
60121	23275	V	Elkhart Lake	Sheboygan	960	941	19	2.02%	829	809
65221	23300	C	Elkhorn	Walworth	10,317	10,247	70	0.68%	7,775	7,688
17121	23325	V	Elk Mound	Dunn	982	985	- 3	- 0.30%	697	696
17008	23350	T	Elk Mound	Dunn	1,904	1,897	7	0.37%	1,371	1,360
22014	23400	T	Ellenboro	Grant	588	580	8	1.38%	435	427
45016	23425	T	Ellington	Outagamie	3,251	3,174	77	2.43%	2,469	2,400
48121	23525	V	Ellsworth	Pierce	3,332	3,348	- 16	- 0.48%	2,540	2,541
48006	23550	T	Ellsworth	Pierce	1,121	1,121	0	0.00%	878	874
68122	23575	V	Elm Grove	Waukesha	6,676	6,513	163	2.50%	4,968	4,825
48122	23700	V	Elmwood	Pierce	817	820	- 3	- 0.37%	652	651
52121	23725	V	Elmwood Park	Racine	508	510	- 2	- 0.39%	415	415
48008	23775	T	El Paso	Pierce	733	724	9	1.24%	560	551
29221	23800	C	Elroy	Juneau	1,319	1,356	- 37	- 2.73%	1,026	1,050

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
69121	23850	V	Embarrass	Waupaca	353	353	0	0.00%	294	293
56010	23925	T	Emerald	St. Croix	847	831	16	1.93%	651	636
51008	23975	T	Emery	Price	308	308	0	0.00%	254	253
14016	24000	T	Emmet	Dodge	1,317	1,310	7	0.53%	1,053	1,043
37024	24025	T	Emmet	Marathon	904	905	- 1	- 0.11%	713	711
20016	24050	T	Empire	Fond du Lac	2,769	2,774	- 5	- 0.18%	2,171	2,165
39121	24075	V	Endeavor	Marquette	433	432	1	0.23%	323	321
44006	24125	T	Enterprise	Oneida	353	353	0	0.00%	319	318
15121	24150	V	Ephraim	Door	348	345	3	0.87%	322	318
67006	24225	T	Erin	Washington	3,842	3,825	17	0.44%	3,067	3,040
56012	24275	T	Erin Prairie	St. Croix	670	673	- 3	- 0.45%	530	530
09024	24375	T	Estella	Chippewa	480	476	4	0.84%	370	365
62122	24400	V	Ettrick	Trempealeau	526	525	1	0.19%	410	407
62014	24425	T	Ettrick	Trempealeau	1,286	1,285	1	0.08%	1,010	1,005
49020	24450	T	Eureka	Polk	1,749	1,737	12	0.69%	1,370	1,354
54222	24550	C	Evansville	Rock	5,821	5,703	118	2.07%	4,319	4,212
34010	24575	T	Evergreen	Langlade	463	462	1	0.22%	364	362
66020	24600	T	Evergreen	Washburn	1,201	1,191	10	0.84%	944	932
57010	24675	T	Excelsior	Sauk	1,613	1,603	10	0.62%	1,293	1,279
58121	24700	V	Exeland	Sawyer	229	229	0	0.00%	171	170
23014	24725	T	Exeter	Green	2,206	2,156	50	2.32%	1,652	1,607
59014	24775	T	Fairbanks	Shawano	536	538	- 2	- 0.37%	448	448
18126	24825	V	Fairchild	Eau Claire	446	451	- 5	- 1.11%	358	360
18010	24850	T	Fairchild	Eau Claire	456	450	6	1.33%	300	295
57012	24900	T	Fairfield	Sauk	1,082	1,078	4	0.37%	872	865
20126	25075	V	Fairwater	Fond du Lac	345	346	- 1	- 0.29%	265	265
18127	25125	V	Fall Creek	Eau Claire	1,428	1,422	6	0.42%	1,042	1,033

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
11126	25150	V	Fall River	Columbia	1,815	1,801	14	0.78%	1,337	1,321
28008	25300	T	Farmington	Jefferson	1,411	1,407	4	0.28%	1,151	1,143
32010	25325	T	Farmington	La Crosse	2,153	2,140	13	0.61%	1,647	1,630
49022	25350	T	Farmington	Polk	1,959	1,904	55	2.89%	1,477	1,429
67008	25375	T	Farmington	Washington	3,662	3,645	17	0.47%	2,981	2,954
69010	25400	T	Farmington	Waupaca	3,757	3,712	45	1.21%	3,141	3,089
33014	25475	T	Fayette	Lafayette	386	381	5	1.31%	287	282
19006	25575	T	Fence	Florence	183	183	0	0.00%	154	153
22226	25600	C	Fennimore	Grant	2,733	2,764	- 31	- 1.12%	2,055	2,069
22016	25625	T	Fennimore	Grant	589	594	- 5	- 0.84%	419	421
37126	25650	V	Fenwood	Marathon	142	141	1	0.71%	111	110
19008	25675	T	Fern	Florence	185	181	4	2.21%	165	161
12126	25725	V	Ferryville	Crawford	193	191	2	1.05%	180	177
51010	25775	T	Fifield	Price	902	905	- 3	- 0.33%	791	790
29008	25850	T	Finley	Juneau	88	88	0	0.00%	72	72
13225	25950	C	Fitchburg	Dane	31,817	29,609	2,208	7.46%	25,258	23,400
51012	26050	T	Flambeau	Price	489	488	1	0.20%	430	427
55012	26100	T	Flambeau	Rusk	986	987	- 1	- 0.10%	826	823
19010	26200	T	Florence	Florence	2,098	2,096	2	0.10%	1,776	1,766
20226	26275	C	Fond du Lac	Fond du Lac	44,470	44,678	- 208	- 0.47%	35,172	35,178
20018	26300	T	Fond du Lac	Fond du Lac	3,721	3,687	34	0.92%	3,029	2,988
65126	26350	V	Fontana-on-Geneva Lake ³	Walworth	1,888	1,872	16	0.85%	1,657	1,636
54126	26400	V	Footville	Rock	831	772	59	7.64%	641	593
61012	26425	T	Ford	Taylor	265	265	0	0.00%	204	203
20020	26450	T	Forest	Fond du Lac	977	975	2	0.21%	794	789
53012	26475	T	Forest	Richland	338	333	5	1.50%	276	271
56014	26525	T	Forest	St. Croix	643	638	5	0.78%	473	467

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
63010	26550	T	Forest	Vernon	624	617	7	1.13%	447	440
15127	26625	V	Forestville	Door	479	482	- 3	- 0.62%	383	384
15010	26650	T	Forestville	Door	1,063	1,063	0	0.00%	833	829
28226	26675	C	Fort Atkinson	Jefferson	12,583	12,579	4	0.03%	9,813	9,766
11012	26725	T	Fort Winnebago	Columbia	817	812	5	0.62%	694	687
10012	26775	T	Foster	Clark	119	118	1	0.85%	106	105
29010	26825	T	Fountain	Juneau	593	592	1	0.17%	481	478
06226	26850	C	Fountain City	Buffalo	803	806	- 3	- 0.37%	672	671
11014	26875	T	Fountain Prairie	Columbia	943	938	5	0.53%	751	744
71121	26982	V	Fox Crossing	In Multiple Counties	19,011	18,974	37	0.20%	15,183	15,085
14226	27000	C	Fox Lake	Dodge	1,640	1,604	36	2.24%	1,311	1,276
14018	27025	T	Fox Lake	Dodge	2,413	2,588	- 175	- 6.76%	2,208	2,357
41126	27075	V	Fox Point	Milwaukee	6,770	6,934	- 164	- 2.37%	5,316	5,420
36126	27125	V	Francis Creek	Manitowoc	661	659	2	0.30%	522	518
37026	27150	T	Frankfort	Marathon	638	635	3	0.47%	473	469
47006	27175	T	Frankfort	Pepin	328	325	3	0.92%	262	258
27018	27225	T	Franklin	Jackson	540	519	21	4.05%	364	348
31008	27250	T	Franklin	Kewaunee	965	964	1	0.10%	758	754
36010	27275	T	Franklin	Manitowoc	1,249	1,250	- 1	- 0.08%	1,000	996
41226	27300	C	Franklin ⁵	Milwaukee	35,895	36,116	- 221	- 0.61%	28,564	28,611
57014	27350	T	Franklin	Sauk	663	668	- 5	- 0.75%	514	516
63012	27400	T	Franklin	Vernon	1,105	1,106	- 1	- 0.09%	808	805
37028	27450	T	Franzen	Marathon	561	553	8	1.45%	444	436
49126	27500	V	Frederic	Polk	1,147	1,154	- 7	- 0.61%	865	866
46126	27550	V	Fredonia	Ozaukee	2,280	2,279	1	0.04%	1,758	1,749
46006	27575	T	Fredonia	Ozaukee	2,081	2,078	3	0.14%	1,667	1,657
21014	27600	T	Freedom	Forest	323	324	- 1	- 0.31%	289	289

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
45018	27650	T	Freedom	Outagamie	6,225	6,216	9	0.14%	4,655	4,627
57016	27675	T	Freedom	Sauk	449	449	0	0.00%	372	370
12008	27700	T	Freeman	Crawford	735	727	8	1.10%	631	621
10014	27775	T	Fremont	Clark	1,326	1,312	14	1.07%	878	865
69126	27800	V	Fremont	Waupaca	688	689	- 1	- 0.15%	577	575
69012	27850	T	Fremont	Waupaca	624	627	- 3	- 0.48%	537	537
01126	27950	V	Friendship	Adams	606	648	- 42	- 6.48%	510	543
20022	27975	T	Friendship	Fond du Lac	2,756	2,748	8	0.29%	2,241	2,224
11127	28000	V	Friesland	Columbia	322	320	2	0.63%	219	217
66022	28025	T	Frog Creek	Washburn	127	127	0	0.00%	114	113
54012	28075	T	Fulton	Rock	3,691	3,580	111	3.10%	2,952	2,850
62016	28150	T	Gale	Trempealeau	1,722	1,708	14	0.82%	1,349	1,332
62231	28200	C	Galesville	Trempealeau	1,672	1,662	10	0.60%	1,313	1,299
27020	28250	T	Garden Valley	Jackson	397	395	2	0.51%	318	315
15012	28300	T	Gardner	Door	1,228	1,218	10	0.82%	1,062	1,049
27022	28325	T	Garfield	Jackson	761	756	5	0.66%	594	587
49024	28350	T	Garfield	Polk	1,760	1,744	16	0.92%	1,369	1,350
12131	28450	V	Gays Mills	Crawford	522	523	- 1	- 0.19%	392	391
68008	28487	T	Genesee	Waukesha	7,187	7,171	16	0.22%	5,771	5,732
65010	28550	T	Geneva	Walworth	5,476	5,390	86	1.60%	4,484	4,394
63131	28625	V	Genoa	Vernon	231	232	- 1	- 0.43%	190	190
63014	28650	T	Genoa	Vernon	755	753	2	0.27%	587	583
65131	28675	V	Genoa City	In Multiple Counties	2,967	2,982	- 15	- 0.50%	2,155	2,156
49026	28725	T	Georgetown	Polk	1,047	1,036	11	1.06%	853	840
51014	28750	T	Georgetown	Price	171	172	- 1	- 0.58%	129	129
59016	28825	T	Germania	Shawano	349	343	6	1.75%	287	281
29012	28850	T	Germantown	Juneau	1,751	1,628	123	7.56%	1,515	1,402

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
67131	28875	V	Germantown	Washington	21,040	20,917	123	0.59%	16,464	16,294
67010	28900	T	Germantown	Washington	242	241	1	0.41%	200	198
15014	28950	T	Gibraltar	Door	1,266	1,228	38	3.09%	1,148	1,109
36012	28975	T	Gibson	Manitowoc	1,304	1,315	- 11	- 0.84%	1,041	1,045
43231	29050	C	Gillett	Oconto	1,284	1,289	- 5	- 0.39%	1,012	1,011
43016	29075	T	Gillett	Oconto	986	989	- 3	- 0.30%	819	818
48010	29150	T	Gilman	Pierce	1,025	1,006	19	1.89%	775	757
61131	29175	V	Gilman	Taylor	381	378	3	0.79%	300	296
06014	29225	T	Gilmanton	Buffalo	423	425	- 2	- 0.47%	331	331
02008	29250	T	Gingles	Ashland	744	738	6	0.81%	604	596
60131	29350	V	Glenbeulah	Sheboygan	462	451	11	2.44%	376	365
06016	29375	T	Glencoe	Buffalo	406	402	4	1.00%	323	318
41231	29400	C	Glendale	Milwaukee	13,472	13,357	115	0.86%	11,041	10,898
42010	29450	T	Glendale	Monroe	673	663	10	1.51%	517	507
55131	29475	V	Glen Flora	Rusk	100	100	0	0.00%	70	70
22018	29525	T	Glen Haven	Grant	366	363	3	0.83%	298	294
05012	29550	T	Glenmore	Brown	1,054	1,045	9	0.86%	837	826
56016	29600	T	Glenwood	St. Croix	750	747	3	0.40%	575	570
56231	29625	C	Glenwood City	St. Croix	1,288	1,306	- 18	- 1.38%	941	950
09026	29700	T	Goetz	Chippewa	816	813	3	0.37%	608	603
38012	29775	T	Goodman	Marinette	608	607	1	0.16%	541	538
61014	29837	T	Goodrich	Taylor	461	460	1	0.22%	349	347
02010	29875	T	Gordon	Ashland	262	261	1	0.38%	246	244
16012	29925	T	Gordon	Douglas	755	757	- 2	- 0.26%	653	652
46131	30000	V	Grafton	Ozaukee	12,269	12,094	175	1.45%	9,773	9,590
46008	30025	T	Grafton	Ozaukee	4,372	4,355	17	0.39%	3,415	3,386
45020	30075	T	Grand Chute	Outagamie	23,964	23,831	133	0.56%	19,511	19,316

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
72014	30125	T	Grand Rapids	Wood	7,611	7,576	35	0.46%	6,039	5,984
04021	30175	T	Grand View	Bayfield	507	508	- 1	- 0.20%	446	445
10016	30275	T	Grant	Clark	861	858	3	0.35%	633	628
17010	30300	T	Grant	Dunn	392	395	- 3	- 0.76%	310	311
42012	30325	T	Grant	Monroe	480	469	11	2.35%	371	361
50018	30350	T	Grant	Portage	1,853	1,842	11	0.60%	1,518	1,502
55014	30375	T	Grant	Rusk	730	732	- 2	- 0.27%	560	559
59018	30400	T	Grant	Shawano	973	976	- 3	- 0.31%	740	739
10131	30425	V	Grantton	Clark	377	381	- 4	- 1.05%	271	273
07131	30450	V	Grantsburg	Burnett	1,318	1,330	- 12	- 0.90%	1,022	1,027
07010	30475	T	Grantsburg	Burnett	1,182	1,174	8	0.68%	936	925
33131	30575	V	Gratiot	Lafayette	223	224	- 1	- 0.45%	171	171
33016	30600	T	Gratiot	Lafayette	575	575	0	0.00%	439	437
05231	31000	C	Green Bay	Brown	107,369	107,395	- 26	- 0.02%	81,968	81,619
05014	31025	T	Green Bay	Brown	2,214	2,197	17	0.77%	1,732	1,711
60002	31100	T	Greenbush	Sheboygan	1,862	1,903	- 41	- 2.15%	1,601	1,629
41131	31125	V	Greendale	Milwaukee	14,815	14,854	- 39	- 0.26%	11,517	11,495
32012	31150	T	Greenfield	La Crosse	2,202	2,187	15	0.69%	1,696	1,677
41236	31175	C	Greenfield	Milwaukee	37,709	37,803	- 94	- 0.25%	31,110	31,047
42014	31225	T	Greenfield	Monroe	685	677	8	1.18%	523	515
57018	31250	T	Greenfield	Sauk	910	909	1	0.11%	762	758
10018	31275	T	Green Grove	Clark	753	748	5	0.67%	449	444
24231	31300	C	Green Lake	Green Lake	998	1,001	- 3	- 0.30%	855	854
24006	31350	T	Green Lake	Green Lake	1,181	1,169	12	1.03%	1,015	1,000
37030	31450	T	Green Valley	Marathon	518	515	3	0.58%	435	431
59020	31500	T	Green Valley	Shawano	1,034	1,026	8	0.78%	808	798
45122	31525	V	Greenville ²	Outagamie	13,067	12,687	380	3.00%	9,395	9,081

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
10231	31575	C	Greenwood	Clark	1,054	1,058	- 4	- 0.38%	817	816
61016	31600	T	Greenwood	Taylor	628	621	7	1.13%	500	492
63016	31625	T	Greenwood	Vernon	932	923	9	0.98%	558	550
59131	31675	V	Gresham	Shawano	532	530	2	0.38%	428	424
38014	31725	T	Grover	Marinette	1,731	1,731	0	0.00%	1,346	1,340
61018	31750	T	Grover	Taylor	207	208	- 1	- 0.48%	175	175
55016	31775	T	Grow	Rusk	443	443	0	0.00%	323	322
37032	31800	T	Guenther	Marathon	357	358	- 1	- 0.28%	281	281
66024	31825	T	Gull Lake	Washburn	198	198	0	0.00%	171	170
26006	31875	T	Gurney	Iron	145	145	0	0.00%	117	116
51016	31925	T	Hackett	Price	187	189	- 2	- 1.06%	155	156
62018	32050	T	Hale	Trempealeau	1,068	1,061	7	0.66%	816	807
41136	32075	V	Hales Corners	Milwaukee	7,658	7,720	- 62	- 0.80%	6,129	6,151
09028	32125	T	Hallie	Chippewa	166	153	13	8.50%	145	133
37034	32150	T	Halsey	Marathon	643	634	9	1.42%	436	428
37036	32200	T	Hamburg	Marathon	820	827	- 7	- 0.85%	668	671
63018	32225	T	Hamburg	Vernon	953	956	- 3	- 0.31%	708	707
32014	32275	T	Hamilton	La Crosse	2,431	2,428	3	0.12%	1,854	1,843
61020	32300	T	Hammel	Taylor	713	703	10	1.42%	567	557
56136	32325	V	Hammond	St. Croix	1,864	1,873	- 9	- 0.48%	1,389	1,389
56018	32350	T	Hammond	St. Croix	2,692	2,565	127	4.95%	1,899	1,801
11016	32375	T	Hampden	Columbia	587	581	6	1.03%	458	451
70136	32450	V	Hancock	Waushara	392	393	- 1	- 0.25%	320	319
70012	32475	T	Hancock	Waushara	564	558	6	1.08%	469	462
12010	32500	T	Haney	Crawford	319	317	2	0.63%	261	258
72016	32575	T	Hansen	Wood	753	747	6	0.80%	562	555
35008	32650	T	Harding	Lincoln	369	364	5	1.37%	315	309

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
51018	32675	T	Harmony	Price	219	220	- 1	- 0.45%	173	173
54014	32700	T	Harmony	Rock	2,568	2,569	- 1	- 0.04%	1,980	1,972
63020	32725	T	Harmony	Vernon	903	882	21	2.38%	594	578
39008	32775	T	Harris	Marquette	749	748	1	0.13%	654	650
08131	32790	V	Harrison	In Multiple Counties	14,424	12,418	2,006	16.15%	10,414	8,925
08010	32800	T	Harrison	Calumet	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0
22020	32825	T	Harrison	Grant	528	529	- 1	- 0.19%	390	389
35010	32875	T	Harrison	Lincoln	830	828	2	0.24%	733	728
37038	32900	T	Harrison	Marathon	318	312	6	1.92%	273	267
69014	32925	T	Harrison	Waupaca	465	459	6	1.31%	394	387
67236	33000	C	Hartford	In Multiple Counties	15,805	15,626	179	1.15%	12,086	11,895
67012	33025	T	Hartford	Washington	3,381	3,400	- 19	- 0.56%	2,758	2,761
48012	33050	T	Hartland	Pierce	833	830	3	0.36%	656	651
59022	33075	T	Hartland	Shawano	834	824	10	1.21%	616	606
68136	33100	V	Hartland	Waukesha	9,946	9,501	445	4.68%	7,530	7,161
37136	33175	V	Hatley	Marathon	677	648	29	4.48%	517	493
03136	33225	V	Haugen	Barron	266	266	0	0.00%	214	213
55136	33275	V	Hawkins	Rusk	334	331	3	0.91%	252	249
55018	33300	T	Hawkins	Rusk	123	122	1	0.82%	101	100
16014	33350	T	Hawthorne	Douglas	1,033	1,058	- 25	- 2.36%	834	850
17012	33400	T	Hay River	Dunn	638	627	11	1.75%	496	485
58236	33450	C	Hayward	Sawyer	2,503	2,533	- 30	- 1.18%	1,971	1,986
58010	33475	T	Hayward	Sawyer	3,758	3,765	- 7	- 0.19%	2,901	2,893
22136	33500	V	Hazel Green	In Multiple Counties	1,173	1,173	0	0.00%	904	900
22022	33525	T	Hazel Green	Grant	1,099	1,084	15	1.38%	904	888
44008	33575	T	Hazelhurst	Oneida	1,308	1,299	9	0.69%	1,080	1,068
28010	33700	T	Hebron	Jefferson	1,047	1,043	4	0.38%	865	858

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
69016	33850	T	Helvetia	Waupaca	704	701	3	0.43%	579	574
10020	33900	T	Hendren	Clark	436	433	3	0.69%	337	333
53014	33925	T	Henrietta	Richland	437	435	2	0.46%	353	350
14020	34000	T	Herman	Dodge	1,140	1,135	5	0.44%	907	899
59024	34025	T	Herman	Shawano	741	739	2	0.27%	585	581
60004	34050	T	Herman	Sheboygan	2,149	2,162	- 13	- 0.60%	1,833	1,836
10022	34200	T	Hewett	Clark	294	295	- 1	- 0.34%	252	252
37040	34225	T	Hewitt	Marathon	650	636	14	2.20%	507	494
72122	34250	V	Hewitt	Wood	803	796	7	0.88%	626	618
22024	34300	T	Hickory Grove	Grant	585	568	17	2.99%	364	352
16016	34425	T	Highland	Douglas	341	340	1	0.29%	298	296
25136	34450	V	Highland	Iowa	874	874	0	0.00%	648	645
25012	34475	T	Highland	Iowa	738	724	14	1.93%	578	564
08136	34575	V	Hilbert	Calumet	1,271	1,248	23	1.84%	929	908
21016	34650	T	Hiles	Forest	360	359	1	0.28%	324	322
72018	34675	T	Hiles	Wood	151	152	- 1	- 0.66%	122	122
51020	34725	T	Hill	Price	368	366	2	0.55%	286	283
63236	34825	C	Hillsboro	Vernon	1,427	1,397	30	2.15%	1,098	1,070
63022	34850	T	Hillsboro	Vernon	788	787	1	0.13%	576	573
10024	35050	T	Hixon	Clark	899	902	- 3	- 0.33%	568	567
27136	35075	V	Hixton	Jackson	453	456	- 3	- 0.66%	365	366
27024	35100	T	Hixton	Jackson	620	620	0	0.00%	487	485
10026	35125	T	Hoard	Clark	792	787	5	0.64%	576	570
05126	35150	V	Hobart	Brown	10,486	10,211	275	2.69%	8,042	7,796
05018	35325	T	Holland	Brown	1,568	1,559	9	0.58%	1,238	1,225
32016	35350	T	Holland	La Crosse	4,603	4,530	73	1.61%	3,350	3,282
60006	35375	T	Holland	Sheboygan	2,277	2,273	4	0.18%	1,829	1,818

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
25137	35400	V	Hollandale	Iowa	305	306	- 1	- 0.33%	222	222
32136	35450	V	Holmen	La Crosse	11,560	10,661	899	8.43%	8,570	7,868
37042	35500	T	Holton	Marathon	858	859	- 1	- 0.12%	631	629
61022	35525	T	Holway	Taylor	944	930	14	1.51%	637	625
19012	35600	T	Homestead	Florence	386	383	3	0.78%	329	325
57020	35625	T	Honey Creek	Sauk	752	749	3	0.40%	585	580
14236	35750	C	Horicon	Dodge	3,797	3,767	30	0.80%	2,976	2,939
45024	35825	T	Hortonia	Outagamie	1,053	1,052	1	0.10%	820	816
45136	35850	V	Hortonville	Outagamie	3,292	3,028	264	8.72%	2,416	2,212
43018	35925	T	How	Oconto	525	527	- 2	- 0.38%	427	427
05136	35950	V	Howard	In Multiple Counties	20,952	19,950	1,002	5.02%	16,206	15,362
09032	36000	T	Howard	Chippewa	792	777	15	1.93%	613	599
60135	36025	V	Howards Grove	Sheboygan	3,271	3,237	34	1.05%	2,540	2,502
14022	36100	T	Hubbard	Dodge	1,773	1,772	1	0.06%	1,455	1,448
55020	36125	T	Hubbard	Rusk	179	178	1	0.56%	155	153
56236	36250	C	Hudson	St. Croix	15,140	14,755	385	2.61%	11,675	11,327
56020	36275	T	Hudson	St. Croix	8,859	8,671	188	2.17%	6,635	6,465
04022	36300	T	Hughes	Bayfield	472	471	1	0.21%	394	391
37044	36325	T	Hull	Marathon	767	756	11	1.46%	544	534
50020	36350	T	Hull	Portage	5,305	5,287	18	0.34%	4,250	4,217
05022	36425	T	Humboldt	Brown	1,309	1,299	10	0.77%	1,063	1,050
58012	36450	T	Hunter	Sawyer	790	779	11	1.41%	687	674
26236	36525	C	Hurley	Iron	1,535	1,558	- 23	- 1.48%	1,286	1,299
14136	36625	V	Hustisford	Dodge	1,099	1,101	- 2	- 0.18%	858	856
14024	36650	T	Hustisford	Dodge	1,361	1,357	4	0.29%	1,095	1,087
29136	36675	V	Hustler	Juneau	161	162	- 1	- 0.62%	132	132
59026	36700	T	Hutchins	Shawano	504	500	4	0.80%	414	409

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
62241	36800	C	Independence	Trempealeau	1,509	1,498	11	0.73%	1,094	1,081
55141	36925	V	Ingram	Rusk	70	70	0	0.00%	61	61
69141	37025	V	Iola	Waupaca	1,245	1,249	- 4	- 0.32%	995	994
69018	37050	T	Iola	Waupaca	939	938	1	0.11%	779	775
14141	37150	V	Iron Ridge	Dodge	910	904	6	0.66%	706	698
04024	37200	T	Iron River	Bayfield	1,235	1,240	- 5	- 0.40%	1,027	1,027
57141	37225	V	Ironton	Sauk	268	274	- 6	- 2.19%	186	189
57022	37250	T	Ironton	Sauk	667	662	5	0.76%	504	498
27026	37275	T	Irving	Jackson	861	853	8	0.94%	593	585
48014	37350	T	Isabelle	Pierce	263	261	2	0.77%	215	212
53016	37500	T	Ithaca	Richland	637	633	4	0.63%	484	479
28012	37600	T	Ixonia	Jefferson	5,135	5,120	15	0.29%	3,789	3,761
01012	37625	T	Jackson	Adams	1,144	1,141	3	0.26%	979	972
07012	37650	T	Jackson	Burnett	943	935	8	0.86%	838	827
67141	37675	V	Jackson	Washington	7,844	7,185	659	9.17%	6,298	5,743
67014	37700	T	Jackson	Washington	4,064	4,629	- 565	- 12.21%	3,138	3,558
15016	37750	T	Jacksonport	Door	886	878	8	0.91%	767	757
02012	37775	T	Jacobs	Ashland	646	648	- 2	- 0.31%	519	518
22026	37800	T	Jamestown	Grant	2,210	2,181	29	1.33%	1,698	1,668
54241	37825	C	Janesville	Rock	66,206	65,615	591	0.90%	51,545	50,855
54016	37850	T	Janesville	Rock	3,692	3,665	27	0.74%	2,969	2,934
23016	37875	T	Jefferson	Green	1,172	1,170	2	0.17%	898	892
28241	37900	C	Jefferson	Jefferson	7,747	7,793	- 46	- 0.59%	6,077	6,086
28014	37925	T	Jefferson	Jefferson	2,076	2,067	9	0.44%	1,737	1,722
42016	37950	T	Jefferson	Monroe	870	841	29	3.45%	575	553
63024	37975	T	Jefferson	Vernon	1,281	1,270	11	0.87%	959	946
37046	38300	T	Johnson	Marathon	875	873	2	0.23%	653	649

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
28141	38350	V	Johnson Creek	Jefferson	3,402	3,318	84	2.53%	2,557	2,483
49028	38400	T	Johnstown	Polk	501	499	2	0.40%	433	429
54018	38450	T	Johnstown	Rock	763	766	- 3	- 0.39%	599	599
23018	38550	T	Jordan	Green	602	602	0	0.00%	464	462
61024	38627	T	Jump River	Taylor	276	275	1	0.36%	225	223
50141	38650	V	Junction City	Portage	419	420	- 1	- 0.24%	314	313
14241	38675	C	Juneau	Dodge	2,576	2,658	- 82	- 3.09%	2,144	2,202
45241	38800	C	Kaukauna	In Multiple Counties	17,441	17,089	352	2.06%	13,353	13,025
45026	38825	T	Kaukauna	Outagamie	1,323	1,306	17	1.30%	1,001	984
14143	38900	V	Kekoskee	Dodge	832	896	- 64	- 7.14%	682	731
36132	39000	V	Kellnersville	Manitowoc	303	307	- 4	- 1.30%	258	260
04026	39025	T	Kelly	Bayfield	435	436	- 1	- 0.23%	333	332
33018	39125	T	Kendall	Lafayette	409	402	7	1.74%	279	273
42141	39150	V	Kendall	Monroe	481	484	- 3	- 0.62%	380	381
51141	39175	V	Kennan	Price	142	143	- 1	- 0.70%	109	109
51022	39200	T	Kennan	Price	364	365	- 1	- 0.27%	274	274
30241	39225	C	Kenosha	Kenosha	100,051	99,986	65	0.07%	76,678	76,284
67142	39300	V	Kewaskum	In Multiple Counties	4,371	4,309	62	1.44%	3,359	3,296
67016	39325	T	Kewaskum	Washington	1,134	1,118	16	1.43%	913	896
31241	39350	C	Kewaunee	Kewaunee	2,822	2,837	- 15	- 0.53%	2,257	2,259
04028	39425	T	Keystone	Bayfield	372	373	- 1	- 0.27%	302	301
63026	39475	T	Kickapoo	Vernon	739	722	17	2.35%	501	487
36241	39525	C	Kiel	In Multiple Counties	3,975	3,932	43	1.09%	3,062	3,015
29014	39575	T	Kildare	Juneau	698	690	8	1.16%	570	561
26008	39625	T	Kimball	Iron	494	490	4	0.82%	411	406
45141	39650	V	Kimberly	Outagamie	7,526	7,320	206	2.81%	5,755	5,572
35012	39675	T	King	Lincoln	984	964	20	2.07%	838	817

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
24141	39750	V	Kingston	Green Lake	281	281	0	0.00%	230	229
24008	39775	T	Kingston	Green Lake	1,093	1,079	14	1.30%	712	700
29016	39800	T	Kingston	Juneau	57	57	0	0.00%	52	52
56022	39825	T	Kinnickinnic	St. Croix	1,840	1,815	25	1.38%	1,378	1,353
17141	39975	V	Knapp	Dunn	485	478	7	1.46%	378	371
27028	40000	T	Knapp	Jackson	307	303	4	1.32%	249	245
26010	40075	T	Knight	Iron	211	212	- 1	- 0.47%	170	170
37048	40150	T	Knowlton	Marathon	2,011	1,984	27	1.36%	1,598	1,569
51024	40175	T	Knox	Price	314	311	3	0.96%	263	259
60141	40275	V	Kohler	Sheboygan	2,208	2,195	13	0.59%	1,603	1,586
27030	40325	T	Komensky	Jackson	451	505	- 54	- 10.69%	332	370
28016	40375	T	Koshkonong	Jefferson	3,790	3,763	27	0.72%	3,006	2,971
36014	40425	T	Kossuth	Manitowoc	1,969	1,969	0	0.00%	1,643	1,636
37145	40550	V	Kronenwetter	Marathon	8,561	8,353	208	2.49%	6,548	6,360
64010	40687	T	Lac du Flambeau	Vilas	3,565	3,552	13	0.37%	2,674	2,652
68146	40750	V	Lac La Belle	In Multiple Counties	285	281	4	1.42%	233	229
32246	40775	C	La Crosse	La Crosse	52,160	52,680	- 520	- 0.99%	44,282	44,523
55246	40850	C	Ladysmith	Rusk	3,216	3,216	0	0.00%	2,536	2,525
63146	40875	V	La Farge	Vernon	726	730	- 4	- 0.55%	576	577
09034	40900	T	Lafayette	Chippewa	6,454	6,197	257	4.15%	5,074	4,850
42018	40925	T	Lafayette	Monroe	448	447	1	0.22%	370	368
65012	40950	T	Lafayette	Walworth	2,081	2,039	42	2.06%	1,643	1,603
07014	40975	T	La Follette	Burnett	562	559	3	0.54%	460	455
42020	41000	T	LaGrange	Monroe	1,948	1,948	0	0.00%	1,513	1,506
65014	41050	T	La Grange	Walworth	2,495	2,472	23	0.93%	2,056	2,028
38016	41075	T	Lake	Marinette	1,198	1,186	12	1.01%	974	960
51026	41150	T	Lake	Price	1,111	1,106	5	0.45%	975	966

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
57146	41300	V	Lake Delton	Sauk	3,515	3,501	14	0.40%	2,941	2,916
65246	41450	C	Lake Geneva	Walworth	8,542	8,277	265	3.20%	6,906	6,662
09128	41525	V	Lake Hallie	In Multiple Counties	7,307	7,170	137	1.91%	5,602	5,472
09035	41550	T	Lake Holcombe	Chippewa	1,016	1,011	5	0.49%	873	865
03026	41600	T	Lakeland	Barron	939	938	1	0.11%	776	772
28246	41675	C	Lake Mills	Jefferson	6,452	6,211	241	3.88%	4,891	4,687
28018	41700	T	Lake Mills	Jefferson	2,217	2,196	21	0.96%	1,740	1,716
16146	41725	V	Lake Nebagamon	Douglas	1,130	1,123	7	0.62%	909	899
16018	41800	T	Lakeside	Douglas	682	681	1	0.15%	524	521
44010	41887	T	Lake Tomahawk	Oneida	1,140	1,155	- 15	- 1.30%	994	1,003
49030	41900	T	Laketown	Polk	1,027	1,024	3	0.29%	812	806
43019	42075	T	Lakewood	Oconto	838	831	7	0.84%	755	745
20024	42125	T	Lamartine	Fond du Lac	1,765	1,747	18	1.03%	1,367	1,347
33020	42175	T	Lamont	Lafayette	312	313	- 1	- 0.32%	226	226
50022	42225	T	Lanark	Portage	1,551	1,535	16	1.04%	1,214	1,196
22246	42250	C	Lancaster	Grant	3,914	3,907	7	0.18%	2,950	2,931
64012	42325	T	Land O'Lakes	Vilas	955	944	11	1.17%	872	858
34012	42425	T	Langlade	Langlade	475	469	6	1.28%	400	393
68147	42450	V	Lannon	Waukesha	1,810	1,355	455	33.58%	1,390	1,036
21018	42500	T	Laona	Forest	1,214	1,215	- 1	- 0.08%	983	979
02014	42562	T	La Pointe	Ashland	430	428	2	0.47%	373	370
54020	42575	T	La Prairie	Rock	781	784	- 3	- 0.38%	633	633
69020	42650	T	Larrabee	Waupaca	1,283	1,286	- 3	- 0.23%	1,018	1,016
57147	42825	V	La Valle	Sauk	375	388	- 13	- 3.35%	301	310
57024	42850	T	La Valle	Sauk	1,441	1,420	21	1.48%	1,150	1,128
05024	42900	T	Lawrence	Brown	6,724	6,306	418	6.63%	5,028	4,694
55022	42950	T	Lawrence	Rusk	305	301	4	1.33%	230	226

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
14026	43050	T	Lebanon	Dodge	1,583	1,587	- 4	- 0.25%	1,284	1,281
69022	43075	T	Lebanon	Waupaca	1,623	1,619	4	0.25%	1,301	1,292
05025	43090	T	Ledgeview	Brown	9,373	8,820	553	6.27%	6,759	6,332
11018	43125	T	Leeds	Columbia	752	755	- 3	- 0.40%	616	616
29018	43300	T	Lemonweir	Juneau	1,668	1,658	10	0.60%	1,343	1,329
43146	43325	V	Lena	Oconto	535	537	- 2	- 0.37%	409	409
43020	43350	T	Lena	Oconto	741	743	- 2	- 0.27%	586	585
58014	43400	T	Lenroot	Sawyer	1,352	1,337	15	1.12%	1,149	1,131
01014	43425	T	Leola	Adams	288	287	1	0.35%	230	228
42022	43475	T	Leon	Monroe	1,154	1,144	10	0.87%	853	842
70014	43500	T	Leon	Waushara	1,536	1,534	2	0.13%	1,271	1,264
14028	43650	T	LeRoy	Dodge	957	956	1	0.10%	771	767
59028	43675	T	Lessor	Shawano	1,238	1,226	12	0.98%	962	948
10028	43700	T	Levis	Clark	436	437	- 1	- 0.23%	355	354
11020	43775	T	Lewiston	Columbia	1,260	1,262	- 2	- 0.16%	1,013	1,010
22028	43825	T	Liberty	Grant	544	543	1	0.18%	416	413
36016	43850	T	Liberty	Manitowoc	1,246	1,245	1	0.08%	1,011	1,006
45028	43875	T	Liberty	Outagamie	826	826	0	0.00%	657	654
63028	43900	T	Liberty	Vernon	347	341	6	1.76%	259	253
15018	43925	T	Liberty Grove	Door	2,125	2,096	29	1.38%	1,865	1,831
22030	44050	T	Lima	Grant	771	771	0	0.00%	564	561
47008	44075	T	Lima	Pepin	690	693	- 3	- 0.43%	517	517
54022	44125	T	Lima	Rock	1,274	1,271	3	0.24%	992	985
60008	44150	T	Lima	Sheboygan	2,965	2,956	9	0.30%	2,285	2,268
57148	44225	V	Lime Ridge	Sauk	157	158	- 1	- 0.63%	114	114
01016	44250	T	Lincoln	Adams	323	320	3	0.94%	267	263
04030	44275	T	Lincoln	Bayfield	250	251	- 1	- 0.40%	217	217

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
06018	44300	T	Lincoln	Buffalo	166	167	- 1	- 0.60%	149	149
07016	44325	T	Lincoln	Burnett	372	367	5	1.36%	304	299
18012	44350	T	Lincoln	Eau Claire	1,136	1,125	11	0.98%	850	838
21020	44375	T	Lincoln	Forest	1,136	1,133	3	0.26%	858	852
31010	44425	T	Lincoln	Kewaunee	931	932	- 1	- 0.11%	732	729
42024	44450	T	Lincoln	Monroe	800	793	7	0.88%	631	623
49032	44475	T	Lincoln	Polk	2,112	2,099	13	0.62%	1,786	1,767
62020	44500	T	Lincoln	Trempealeau	776	779	- 3	- 0.39%	654	654
64014	44525	T	Lincoln	Vilas	2,660	2,659	1	0.04%	2,205	2,194
72020	44550	T	Lincoln	Wood	1,598	1,593	5	0.31%	1,238	1,229
69024	44575	T	Lind	Waupaca	1,580	1,571	9	0.57%	1,262	1,249
25146	44625	V	Linden	Iowa	505	504	1	0.20%	400	397
25014	44650	T	Linden	Iowa	740	755	- 15	- 1.99%	589	598
29020	44675	T	Lindina	Juneau	683	685	- 2	- 0.29%	541	540
65016	44750	T	Linn	Walworth	2,717	2,687	30	1.12%	2,213	2,179
50024	44800	T	Linwood	Portage	1,089	1,070	19	1.78%	904	884
29022	44825	T	Lisbon ⁴	Juneau	944	939	5	0.53%	764	757
68010	44850	T	Lisbon	Waukesha	10,735	10,477	258	2.46%	8,394	8,155
61026	44900	T	Little Black	Taylor	1,169	1,156	13	1.12%	873	859
45146	44950	V	Little Chute	Outagamie	12,213	11,619	594	5.11%	9,546	9,041
42026	44975	T	Little Falls	Monroe	1,541	1,509	32	2.12%	1,202	1,172
22032	45025	T	Little Grant	Grant	315	314	1	0.32%	217	215
44012	45150	T	Little Rice	Oneida	392	388	4	1.03%	342	337
43022	45175	T	Little River	Oconto	1,099	1,092	7	0.64%	834	825
43024	45275	T	Little Suamico	Oconto	5,633	5,536	97	1.75%	4,344	4,250
69026	45300	T	Little Wolf	Waupaca	1,398	1,400	- 2	- 0.14%	1,126	1,123
22147	45325	V	Livingston	In Multiple Counties	638	637	1	0.16%	478	475

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
11246	45350	C	Lodi	Columbia	3,226	3,189	37	1.16%	2,520	2,480
11022	45375	T	Lodi	Columbia	3,296	3,282	14	0.43%	2,651	2,628
57149	45400	V	Loganville	Sauk	300	301	- 1	- 0.33%	231	231
70146	45425	V	Lohrville	Waushara	432	434	- 2	- 0.46%	349	349
14146	45475	V	Lomira	Dodge	2,688	2,678	10	0.37%	2,069	2,052
14030	45500	T	Lomira	Dodge	1,104	1,099	5	0.45%	878	870
53146	45575	V	Lone Rock	Richland	830	829	1	0.12%	657	653
19014	45625	T	Long Lake	Florence	178	178	0	0.00%	157	156
66026	45650	T	Long Lake	Washburn	630	628	2	0.32%	549	545
10030	45700	T	Longwood	Clark	871	877	- 6	- 0.68%	544	545
49034	45775	T	Lorain	Polk	311	308	3	0.97%	258	254
14147	45950	V	Lowell	Dodge	306	309	- 3	- 0.97%	261	262
14032	45975	T	Lowell	Dodge	1,164	1,166	- 2	- 0.17%	920	917
11024	46050	T	Lowville	Columbia	1,024	1,017	7	0.69%	832	823
10246	46075	C	Loyal	Clark	1,201	1,203	- 2	- 0.17%	903	900
10032	46100	T	Loyal	Clark	793	790	3	0.38%	503	499
61146	46150	V	Lublin	Taylor	117	117	0	0.00%	92	92
17014	46175	T	Lucas	Dunn	700	698	2	0.29%	565	561
49146	46200	V	Luck	Polk	1,089	1,093	- 4	- 0.37%	887	886
49036	46225	T	Luck	Polk	980	979	1	0.10%	760	756
18014	46275	T	Ludington	Eau Claire	1,072	1,067	5	0.47%	841	833
31146	46400	V	Luxemburg	Kewaunee	2,730	2,685	45	1.68%	2,054	2,011
31012	46425	T	Luxemburg	Kewaunee	1,460	1,458	2	0.14%	1,131	1,124
29024	46525	T	Lyndon	Juneau	1,494	1,493	1	0.07%	1,175	1,169
60010	46575	T	Lyndon	Sheboygan	1,539	1,526	13	0.85%	1,241	1,225
29146	46600	V	Lyndon Station	Juneau	496	498	- 2	- 0.40%	390	390
10034	46625	T	Lynn	Clark	850	834	16	1.92%	557	544

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
44014	46650	T	Lynne	Oneida	138	139	- 1	- 0.72%	118	118
12146	46675	V	Lynxville	Crawford	133	132	1	0.76%	115	114
65018	46725	T	Lyons	Walworth	3,667	3,648	19	0.52%	2,941	2,913
13154	46850	V	McFarland	Dane	9,537	8,991	546	6.07%	7,207	6,764
24010	46875	T	Mackford	Green Lake	493	495	- 2	- 0.40%	392	392
49038	46900	T	McKinley	Polk	343	340	3	0.88%	290	286
61030	46925	T	McKinley	Taylor	410	408	2	0.49%	298	295
37056	46975	T	McMillan	Marathon	2,086	2,074	12	0.58%	1,550	1,534
66028	47025	T	Madge	Washburn	532	532	0	0.00%	463	461
13251	48000	C	Madison	Dane	279,012	269,840	9,172	3.40%	234,472	225,745
13032	48025	T	Madison	Dane	6,212	6,236	- 24	- 0.38%	4,950	4,947
54024	48150	T	Magnolia	Rock	745	742	3	0.40%	593	588
48151	48175	V	Maiden Rock	Pierce	115	115	0	0.00%	98	98
48016	48200	T	Maiden Rock	Pierce	605	591	14	2.37%	495	481
37146	48225	V	Maine	Marathon	2,621	2,613	8	0.31%	2,110	2,094
45030	48250	T	Maine	Outagamie	854	851	3	0.35%	676	671
69251	48350	C	Manawa	Waupaca	1,441	1,441	0	0.00%	1,076	1,071
24012	48400	T	Manchester	Green Lake	1,069	1,057	12	1.14%	726	715
27032	48425	T	Manchester	Jackson	822	825	- 3	- 0.36%	663	662
64016	48462	T	Manitowish Waters	Vilas	628	624	4	0.64%	573	567
36251	48500	C	Manitowoc	Manitowoc	34,722	34,626	96	0.28%	27,569	27,369
36018	48525	T	Manitowoc	Manitowoc	1,074	1,076	- 2	- 0.19%	896	894
36020	48575	T	Manitowoc Rapids	Manitowoc	2,100	2,114	- 14	- 0.66%	1,752	1,756
16020	48725	T	Maple	Douglas	710	700	10	1.43%	549	539
13151	48750	V	Maple Bluff	Dane	1,433	1,368	65	4.75%	1,122	1,066
45032	48775	T	Maple Creek	Outagamie	590	591	- 1	- 0.17%	465	464
03028	48825	T	Maple Grove	Barron	874	877	- 3	- 0.34%	712	711

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
36022	48875	T	Maple Grove	Manitowoc	764	773	- 9	- 1.16%	594	598
59030	48900	T	Maple Grove	Shawano	936	939	- 3	- 0.32%	749	748
61028	48925	T	Maplehurst	Taylor	343	338	5	1.48%	263	258
03030	48950	T	Maple Plain	Barron	852	844	8	0.95%	690	680
43026	49000	T	Maple Valley	Oconto	653	647	6	0.93%	528	521
37054	49075	T	Marathon	Marathon	991	995	- 4	- 0.40%	789	789
37151	49100	V	Marathon City	Marathon	1,565	1,576	- 11	- 0.70%	1,178	1,181
11026	49150	T	Marcellon	Columbia	1,145	1,140	5	0.44%	844	837
02016	49200	T	Marengo	Ashland	464	460	4	0.87%	269	265
36147	49250	V	Maribel	Manitowoc	335	336	- 1	- 0.30%	268	268
12012	49275	T	Marietta	Crawford	509	507	2	0.39%	385	382
38251	49300	C	Marinette	Marinette	11,129	11,119	10	0.09%	8,819	8,771
22034	49350	T	Marion	Grant	627	629	- 2	- 0.32%	443	442
29026	49375	T	Marion	Juneau	445	444	1	0.23%	355	353
69252	49400	C	Marion	In Multiple Counties	1,320	1,324	- 4	- 0.30%	1,025	1,024
70016	49425	T	Marion	Waushara	2,030	2,020	10	0.50%	1,783	1,766
24251	49450	C	Markesan	Green Lake	1,365	1,377	- 12	- 0.87%	1,056	1,060
24154	49500	V	Marquette	Green Lake	170	172	- 2	- 1.16%	147	148
24014	49525	T	Marquette	Green Lake	530	523	7	1.34%	434	426
13152	49575	V	Marshall	Dane	3,909	3,787	122	3.22%	2,943	2,838
53018	49600	T	Marshall	Richland	537	540	- 3	- 0.56%	409	409
55024	49625	T	Marshall	Rusk	656	664	- 8	- 1.20%	439	442
20026	49650	T	Marshfield	Fond du Lac	1,114	1,123	- 9	- 0.80%	882	885
72251	49675	C	Marshfield	In Multiple Counties	18,969	18,929	40	0.21%	15,311	15,204
72022	49700	T	Marshfield	Wood	771	763	8	1.05%	615	606
48018	49775	T	Martell	Pierce	1,164	1,147	17	1.48%	941	923
04151	49900	V	Mason	Bayfield	101	101	0	0.00%	67	67

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
04032	49925	T	Mason	Bayfield	287	289	- 2	- 0.69%	229	230
69028	49975	T	Matteson	Waupaca	915	914	1	0.11%	759	755
59151	50000	V	Mattoon	Shawano	356	357	- 1	- 0.28%	286	286
29251	50025	C	Mauston	Juneau	4,286	4,347	- 61	- 1.40%	3,390	3,423
06020	50075	T	Maxville	Buffalo	320	321	- 1	- 0.31%	245	245
10036	50175	T	Mayville	Clark	967	963	4	0.42%	659	653
14251	50200	C	Mayville	Dodge	5,211	5,196	15	0.29%	4,125	4,095
13153	50225	V	Mazomanie	Dane	1,790	1,768	22	1.24%	1,366	1,343
13034	50250	T	Mazomanie	Dane	1,087	1,074	13	1.21%	905	890
10038	50275	T	Mead	Clark	363	357	6	1.68%	267	261
58016	50300	T	Meadowbrook	Sawyer	135	134	1	0.75%	123	122
39010	50350	T	Mecan	Marquette	756	752	4	0.53%	659	653
32018	50400	T	Medary	La Crosse	1,613	1,604	9	0.56%	1,280	1,267
61251	50425	C	Medford	Taylor	4,305	4,349	- 44	- 1.01%	3,367	3,386
61032	50450	T	Medford	Taylor	2,519	2,482	37	1.49%	1,943	1,906
13036	50475	T	Medina	Dane	1,353	1,344	9	0.67%	1,113	1,101
36024	50625	T	Meeme	Manitowoc	1,444	1,440	4	0.28%	1,141	1,133
07018	50650	T	Meenon	Burnett	1,212	1,212	0	0.00%	983	979
02251	50700	C	Mellen	Ashland	694	698	- 4	- 0.57%	554	555
27151	50750	V	Melrose	Jackson	539	544	- 5	- 0.92%	399	401
27034	50775	T	Melrose	Jackson	474	470	4	0.85%	356	351
42151	50800	V	Melvina	Monroe	94	93	1	1.08%	73	72
71251	50825	C	Menasha	In Multiple Counties	18,490	18,268	222	1.22%	14,421	14,192
40001	50975	T	Menominee	Menominee	4,266	4,255	11	0.26%	2,914	2,893
68151	51000	V	Menomonee Falls	Waukesha	39,213	38,527	686	1.78%	30,847	30,171
17251	51025	C	Menomonie	Dunn	16,616	16,843	- 227	- 1.35%	14,165	14,294
17016	51050	T	Menomonie	Dunn	3,438	3,415	23	0.67%	2,618	2,589

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
10040	51125	T	Mentor	Clark	548	546	2	0.37%	407	404
46255	51150	C	Mequon	Ozaukee	25,141	25,142	- 1	0.00%	19,980	19,891
26012	51200	T	Mercer	Iron	1,656	1,649	7	0.42%	1,482	1,469
35251	51250	C	Merrill	Lincoln	9,312	9,347	- 35	- 0.37%	7,473	7,467
35014	51275	T	Merrill	Lincoln	2,882	2,881	1	0.03%	2,327	2,316
27152	51300	V	Merrillan	Jackson	561	562	- 1	- 0.18%	433	432
57151	51325	V	Merrimac	Sauk	533	527	6	1.14%	403	397
57026	51350	T	Merrimac	Sauk	1,271	1,247	24	1.92%	1,010	986
68152	51375	V	Merton	Waukesha	3,482	3,441	41	1.19%	2,477	2,437
68014	51400	T	Merton	Waukesha	8,308	8,277	31	0.37%	6,554	6,500
58018	51425	T	Meteor	Sawyer	167	156	11	7.05%	146	136
20028	51450	T	Metomen	Fond du Lac	691	689	2	0.29%	539	535
38018	51537	T	Middle Inlet	Marinette	861	851	10	1.18%	721	709
13255	51575	C	Middleton	Dane	23,031	21,827	1,204	5.52%	18,274	17,241
13038	51600	T	Middleton	Dane	6,977	6,792	185	2.72%	5,042	4,886
25016	51725	T	Mifflin	Iowa	565	558	7	1.25%	424	417
28020	51850	T	Milford	Jefferson	1,115	1,106	9	0.81%	888	877
72151	51875	V	Milladore	In Multiple Counties	268	268	0	0.00%	197	196
72024	51900	T	Milladore	Wood	662	668	- 6	- 0.90%	512	514
27036	52050	T	Millston	Jackson	168	168	0	0.00%	146	145
49151	52075	V	Milltown	Polk	952	948	4	0.42%	763	756
49040	52100	T	Milltown	Polk	1,226	1,219	7	0.57%	1,001	991
22036	52150	T	Millville	Grant	127	127	0	0.00%	112	111
06022	52175	T	Milton	Buffalo	540	541	- 1	- 0.18%	457	456
54257	52200	C	Milton	Rock	5,710	5,716	- 6	- 0.10%	4,384	4,369
54026	52225	T	Milton	Rock	3,111	3,100	11	0.35%	2,522	2,502
41251	53000	C	Milwaukee ⁵	In Multiple Counties	577,309	577,922	- 613	- 0.11%	434,954	433,460

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
25251	53100	C	Mineral Point	Iowa	2,583	2,581	2	0.08%	2,035	2,024
25018	53125	T	Mineral Point	Iowa	993	974	19	1.95%	757	739
44016	53225	T	Minocqua	Oneida	5,068	5,062	6	0.12%	4,301	4,277
66151	53250	V	Minong	Washburn	550	548	2	0.36%	449	445
66030	53275	T	Minong	Washburn	992	984	8	0.81%	876	865
36151	53325	V	Mishicot	Manitowoc	1,432	1,432	0	0.00%	1,130	1,125
36026	53350	T	Mishicot	Manitowoc	1,326	1,327	- 1	- 0.08%	1,045	1,041
60012	53375	T	Mitchell	Sheboygan	1,833	1,900	- 67	- 3.53%	1,612	1,663
06024	53450	T	Modena	Buffalo	310	313	- 3	- 0.96%	236	237
61034	53550	T	Molitor	Taylor	307	308	- 1	- 0.32%	232	232
06251	53600	C	Mondovi	Buffalo	2,852	2,845	7	0.25%	2,180	2,165
06026	53625	T	Mondovi	Buffalo	450	451	- 1	- 0.22%	360	359
44018	53662	T	Monico	Oneida	261	260	1	0.38%	218	216
13258	53675	C	Monona	Dane	8,869	8,624	245	2.84%	7,255	7,023
01018	53725	T	Monroe	Adams	395	391	4	1.02%	366	361
23251	53750	C	Monroe	Green	10,691	10,661	30	0.28%	8,460	8,398
23020	53775	T	Monroe	Green	1,281	1,291	- 10	- 0.77%	1,011	1,014
06028	53850	T	Montana	Buffalo	267	268	- 1	- 0.37%	213	213
39251	53875	C	Montello	Marquette	1,441	1,448	- 7	- 0.48%	1,188	1,188
39012	53900	T	Montello	Marquette	1,135	1,132	3	0.27%	923	916
22151	53950	V	Montfort	In Multiple Counties	701	705	- 4	- 0.57%	515	516
23151	54000	V	Monticello	Green	1,195	1,192	3	0.25%	952	945
33022	54025	T	Monticello	Lafayette	137	138	- 1	- 0.72%	100	100
31014	54050	T	Montpelier	Kewaunee	1,360	1,362	- 2	- 0.15%	1,061	1,058
26251	54075	C	Montreal	Iron	799	801	- 2	- 0.25%	650	649
13040	54100	T	Montrose	Dane	1,064	1,064	0	0.00%	898	894
43028	54200	T	Morgan	Oconto	1,000	985	15	1.52%	815	799

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
59032	54250	T	Morris	Shawano	375	374	1	0.27%	312	310
05026	54300	T	Morrison	Brown	1,698	1,689	9	0.53%	1,263	1,251
02018	54400	T	Morse	Ashland	499	499	0	0.00%	406	404
25020	54425	T	Moscow	Iowa	592	582	10	1.72%	469	459
60014	54475	T	Mosel	Sheboygan	753	748	5	0.67%	603	596
37251	54500	C	Mosinee	Marathon	4,495	4,452	43	0.97%	3,489	3,440
37058	54525	T	Mosinee	Marathon	2,268	2,216	52	2.35%	1,816	1,766
39014	54600	T	Moundville	Marquette	534	526	8	1.52%	419	411
43029	54630	T	Mountain	Oconto	837	832	5	0.60%	762	754
20151	54650	V	Mount Calvary	Fond du Lac	549	548	1	0.18%	452	449
22152	54675	V	Mount Hope	Grant	213	215	- 2	- 0.93%	155	156
22038	54700	T	Mount Hope	Grant	281	282	- 1	- 0.35%	201	201
13157	54725	V	Mount Horeb	Dane	7,871	7,754	117	1.51%	5,776	5,665
22040	54775	T	Mount Ida	Grant	560	561	- 1	- 0.18%	414	413
70018	54825	T	Mount Morris	Waushara	1,107	1,096	11	1.00%	945	931
23022	54850	T	Mount Pleasant	Green	625	619	6	0.97%	521	514
52151	54875	V	Mount Pleasant	Racine	28,191	27,732	459	1.66%	22,853	22,380
12151	54900	V	Mount Sterling	Crawford	189	189	0	0.00%	147	146
69030	55025	T	Mukwa	Waupaca	2,831	2,830	1	0.04%	2,291	2,280
68153	55050	V	Mukwonago	In Multiple Counties	8,384	8,262	122	1.48%	6,336	6,216
68016	55075	T	Mukwonago	Waukesha	7,807	7,781	26	0.33%	6,027	5,980
55026	55175	T	Murry	Rusk	253	247	6	2.43%	205	199
22153	55200	V	Muscoda	In Multiple Counties	1,314	1,307	7	0.54%	1,027	1,017
22042	55225	T	Muscoda	Grant	762	754	8	1.06%	576	567
68251	55275	C	Muskego	Waukesha	25,343	25,032	311	1.24%	19,720	19,390
04034	55375	T	Namakagon	Bayfield	316	316	0	0.00%	304	303
06030	55450	T	Naples	Buffalo	697	697	0	0.00%	530	528

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
15020	55500	T	Nasewaupee	Door	2,002	1,984	18	0.91%	1,676	1,653
68158	55550	V	Nashotah	Waukesha	1,319	1,321	- 2	- 0.15%	1,018	1,015
21022	55575	T	Nashville	Forest	1,233	1,215	18	1.48%	967	949
59034	55675	T	Navarino	Shawano	414	413	1	0.24%	337	335
29161	55700	V	Necedah	Juneau	948	924	24	2.60%	708	687
29028	55725	T	Necedah	Juneau	2,488	2,448	40	1.63%	1,989	1,948
71261	55750	C	Neenah	Winnebago	27,726	27,319	407	1.49%	21,139	20,735
71010	55775	T	Neenah	Winnebago	3,701	3,702	- 1	- 0.03%	2,780	2,768
10261	55800	C	Neillsville	Clark	2,320	2,384	- 64	- 2.68%	1,849	1,891
71012	55850	T	Nekimi	Winnebago	1,334	1,337	- 3	- 0.22%	1,101	1,099
72261	55875	C	Nekoosa	Wood	2,439	2,449	- 10	- 0.41%	1,882	1,881
06154	55950	V	Nelson	Buffalo	322	322	0	0.00%	258	257
06032	55975	T	Nelson	Buffalo	576	574	2	0.35%	467	463
50161	56000	V	Nelsonville	Portage	157	158	- 1	- 0.63%	114	114
14161	56075	V	Neosho	Dodge	590	591	- 1	- 0.17%	471	470
71014	56100	T	Nepeuskun	Winnebago	724	724	0	0.00%	567	564
39161	56125	V	Neshkoro	Marquette	410	412	- 2	- 0.49%	338	338
39016	56150	T	Neshkoro	Marquette	564	565	- 1	- 0.18%	497	496
34014	56200	T	Neva	Langlade	845	846	- 1	- 0.12%	696	694
54028	56325	T	Newark	Rock	1,513	1,510	3	0.20%	1,231	1,223
09161	56350	V	New Auburn	In Multiple Counties	562	562	0	0.00%	418	416
68261	56375	C	New Berlin	Waukesha	40,426	40,451	- 25	- 0.06%	32,650	32,523
44020	56425	T	Newbold	Oneida	2,848	2,831	17	0.60%	2,396	2,371
67161	56450	V	Newburg	In Multiple Counties	1,144	1,142	2	0.18%	899	894
01020	56525	T	New Chester	Adams	2,071	1,960	111	5.66%	1,922	1,811
05028	56575	T	New Denmark	Brown	1,557	1,562	- 5	- 0.32%	1,194	1,192
33024	56625	T	New Diggings	Lafayette	486	486	0	0.00%	382	380

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
23161	56700	V	New Glarus	Green	2,360	2,266	94	4.15%	1,782	1,703
23024	56725	T	New Glarus	Green	1,409	1,393	16	1.15%	1,085	1,068
01022	56750	T	New Haven	Adams	680	680	0	0.00%	539	537
17018	56775	T	New Haven	Dunn	675	673	2	0.30%	517	513
08261	56800	C	New Holstein	Calumet	3,177	3,195	- 18	- 0.56%	2,561	2,564
08012	56825	T	New Holstein	Calumet	1,527	1,534	- 7	- 0.46%	1,149	1,149
50026	56850	T	New Hope	Portage	718	711	7	0.98%	581	573
29261	56900	C	New Lisbon ⁴	Juneau	2,526	2,559	- 33	- 1.29%	2,171	2,189
69261	56925	C	New London	In Multiple Counties	7,491	7,348	143	1.95%	5,786	5,650
42028	56950	T	New Lyme	Monroe	197	193	4	2.07%	156	152
11028	57025	T	Newport	Columbia	604	607	- 3	- 0.49%	508	508
56261	57100	C	New Richmond	St. Croix	10,541	10,079	462	4.58%	7,984	7,600
36028	57200	T	Newton	Manitowoc	2,122	2,122	0	0.00%	1,768	1,760
39018	57225	T	Newton	Marquette	533	529	4	0.76%	430	425
38261	57325	C	Niagara	Marinette	1,580	1,602	- 22	- 1.37%	1,258	1,270
38020	57350	T	Niagara	Marinette	859	852	7	0.82%	700	691
45155	57375	V	Nichols	Outagamie	289	290	- 1	- 0.34%	222	222
44022	57450	T	Nokomis	Oneida	1,394	1,372	22	1.60%	1,196	1,172
37060	57600	T	Norrie	Marathon	1,018	1,010	8	0.79%	811	801
52161	57700	V	North Bay	Racine	208	209	- 1	- 0.48%	178	178
27038	57775	T	North Bend	Jackson	491	491	0	0.00%	398	396
27040	57975	T	Northfield	Jackson	683	674	9	1.34%	521	512
20161	58000	V	North Fond du Lac	Fond du Lac	5,385	5,378	7	0.13%	4,139	4,115
57161	58025	V	North Freedom	Sauk	598	603	- 5	- 0.83%	466	468
56161	58050	V	North Hudson	St. Croix	3,888	3,803	85	2.24%	3,095	3,014
22044	58125	T	North Lancaster	Grant	587	581	6	1.03%	438	432
68161	58400	V	North Prairie	Waukesha	2,208	2,202	6	0.27%	1,703	1,691

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
42161	58575	V	Norwalk	Monroe	609	611	- 2	- 0.33%	422	421
52010	58600	T	Norway	Racine	7,944	7,916	28	0.35%	6,321	6,270
34016	58700	T	Norwood	Langlade	911	907	4	0.44%	731	725
41265	58800	C	Oak Creek	Milwaukee	37,374	36,497	877	2.40%	29,322	28,505
42165	58850	V	Oakdale	Monroe	301	302	- 1	- 0.33%	237	237
42030	58875	T	Oakdale	Monroe	756	754	2	0.27%	553	549
20165	58900	V	Oakfield	Fond du Lac	1,061	1,052	9	0.86%	835	824
20030	58925	T	Oakfield	Fond du Lac	678	681	- 3	- 0.44%	523	523
03032	58950	T	Oak Grove	Barron	969	960	9	0.94%	736	726
14034	59000	T	Oak Grove	Dodge	1,028	1,028	0	0.00%	848	844
48020	59025	T	Oak Grove	Pierce	2,441	2,361	80	3.39%	1,817	1,750
07020	59075	T	Oakland	Burnett	986	980	6	0.61%	884	875
16022	59100	T	Oakland	Douglas	1,186	1,170	16	1.37%	941	924
28022	59125	T	Oakland	Jefferson	3,241	3,231	10	0.31%	2,697	2,677
70020	59225	T	Oasis	Waushara	381	384	- 3	- 0.78%	301	302
68265	59250	C	Oconomowoc	Waukesha	18,485	18,203	282	1.55%	14,246	13,966
68022	59275	T	Oconomowoc	Waukesha	8,861	8,836	25	0.28%	7,120	7,068
68166	59300	V	Oconomowoc Lake	Waukesha	572	566	6	1.06%	476	469
43265	59350	C	Oconto	Oconto	4,600	4,609	- 9	- 0.20%	3,649	3,640
43030	59375	T	Oconto	Oconto	1,359	1,340	19	1.42%	1,081	1,061
43266	59400	C	Oconto Falls	Oconto	2,991	2,957	34	1.15%	2,301	2,265
43032	59425	T	Oconto Falls	Oconto	1,266	1,259	7	0.56%	1,009	999
69165	59475	V	Ogdensburg	Waupaca	188	188	0	0.00%	156	155
51028	59525	T	Ogema	Price	727	727	0	0.00%	612	609
58020	59600	T	Ojibwa	Sawyer	310	307	3	0.98%	278	274
16165	59800	V	Oliver	Douglas	423	423	0	0.00%	335	333
26014	59850	T	Oma	Iron	326	320	6	1.88%	300	293

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
71265	59875	C	Omro	Winnebago	3,644	3,652	- 8	- 0.22%	2,779	2,773
71016	59900	T	Omro	Winnebago	2,356	2,293	63	2.75%	1,866	1,808
32265	59925	C	Onalaska	La Crosse	19,276	18,803	473	2.52%	15,012	14,578
32020	59950	T	Onalaska	La Crosse	5,875	5,835	40	0.69%	4,484	4,433
45034	60000	T	Oneida	Outagamie	4,555	4,579	- 24	- 0.52%	3,385	3,388
63165	60075	V	Ontario	In Multiple Counties	528	534	- 6	- 1.12%	389	392
60165	60100	V	Oostburg	Sheboygan	3,093	3,056	37	1.21%	2,332	2,294
29030	60150	T	Orange	Juneau	542	540	2	0.37%	427	424
13165	60200	V	Oregon	Dane	11,815	11,179	636	5.69%	8,618	8,117
13042	60225	T	Oregon	Dane	3,136	3,125	11	0.35%	2,462	2,442
54165	60250	V	Orfordville	Rock	1,494	1,473	21	1.43%	1,116	1,095
04036	60275	T	Orienta	Bayfield	164	164	0	0.00%	130	129
53020	60350	T	Orion	Richland	543	541	2	0.37%	419	416
45036	60400	T	Osborn	Outagamie	1,213	1,200	13	1.08%	935	921
20032	60425	T	Osceola	Fond du Lac	1,837	1,836	1	0.05%	1,464	1,457
49165	60450	V	Osceola	Polk	2,824	2,765	59	2.13%	2,274	2,216
49042	60475	T	Osceola	Polk	3,085	3,023	62	2.05%	2,367	2,309
71266	60500	C	Oshkosh	Winnebago	66,929	66,816	113	0.17%	54,976	54,637
71018	60525	T	Oshkosh	Winnebago	2,449	2,439	10	0.41%	2,036	2,019
62265	60575	C	Osseo	Trempealeau	1,811	1,811	0	0.00%	1,366	1,360
11030	60687	T	Otsego	Columbia	670	670	0	0.00%	552	550
68024	60700	T	Ottawa	Waukesha	3,659	3,646	13	0.36%	3,032	3,008
17020	60725	T	Otter Creek	Dunn	521	498	23	4.62%	413	393
18016	60750	T	Otter Creek	Eau Claire	425	427	- 2	- 0.47%	334	334
04038	60775	T	Oulu	Bayfield	563	560	3	0.54%	449	445
10265	60825	C	Owen	Clark	917	916	1	0.11%	731	727
39165	60875	V	Oxford	Marquette	535	537	- 2	- 0.37%	421	421

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
39020	60900	T	Oxford	Marquette	935	930	5	0.54%	756	749
11032	60925	T	Pacific	Columbia	2,811	2,791	20	0.72%	2,338	2,311
39022	60962	T	Packwaukeee	Marquette	1,475	1,469	6	0.41%	1,230	1,219
30171	60975	V	Paddock Lake	Kenosha	2,995	2,919	76	2.60%	2,354	2,284
28171	61025	V	Palmyra	Jefferson	1,721	1,719	2	0.12%	1,382	1,374
28024	61050	T	Palmyra	Jefferson	1,226	1,220	6	0.49%	984	975
11171	61100	V	Pardeeville	Columbia	2,068	2,074	- 6	- 0.29%	1,622	1,619
22046	61150	T	Paris	Grant	660	655	5	0.76%	524	518
30006	61175	T	Paris	Kenosha	1,398	1,397	1	0.07%	1,145	1,139
51271	61200	C	Park Falls	Price	2,378	2,410	- 32	- 1.33%	1,901	1,918
16024	61250	T	Parkland	Douglas	1,242	1,231	11	0.89%	980	967
50171	61325	V	Park Ridge	Portage	535	530	5	0.94%	423	417
34018	61375	T	Parrish	Langlade	92	91	1	1.10%	78	77
22171	61425	V	Patch Grove	Grant	198	201	- 3	- 1.49%	152	154
22048	61450	T	Patch Grove	Grant	365	364	1	0.27%	269	267
34020	61525	T	Peck	Langlade	326	324	2	0.62%	271	268
02020	61600	T	Peeksville	Ashland	137	137	0	0.00%	119	118
44024	61625	T	Pelican	Oneida	2,813	2,809	4	0.14%	2,335	2,321
59036	61700	T	Pella	Shawano	908	899	9	1.00%	752	741
38022	61775	T	Pembine	Marinette	880	877	3	0.34%	704	698
26016	61825	T	Pence	Iron	159	160	- 1	- 0.63%	145	145
43034	61900	T	Pensaukee	Oconto	1,355	1,352	3	0.22%	1,106	1,099
47171	61925	V	Pepin	Pepin	742	731	11	1.50%	635	623
47010	61950	T	Pepin	Pepin	747	741	6	0.81%	611	603
13044	62050	T	Perry	Dane	740	737	3	0.41%	589	584
61036	62100	T	Pershing	Taylor	203	201	2	1.00%	138	136
17022	62125	T	Peru	Dunn	235	233	2	0.86%	190	188

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
38271	62175	C	Peshtigo	Marinette	3,361	3,420	- 59	- 1.73%	2,646	2,680
38024	62200	T	Peshtigo	Marinette	4,001	4,006	- 5	- 0.12%	3,313	3,302
68270	62240	C	Pewaukee	Waukesha	16,127	15,914	213	1.34%	12,602	12,380
68171	62250	V	Pewaukee	Waukesha	8,215	8,238	- 23	- 0.28%	6,688	6,677
64018	62425	T	Phelps	Vilas	1,242	1,238	4	0.32%	1,093	1,085
51272	62450	C	Phillips	Price	1,525	1,533	- 8	- 0.52%	1,188	1,189
44026	62600	T	Piehl	Oneida	74	74	0	0.00%	67	67
31016	62625	T	Pierce	Kewaunee	773	772	1	0.13%	645	641
62022	62650	T	Pigeon	Trempealeau	1,025	1,018	7	0.69%	708	700
62173	62675	V	Pigeon Falls	Trempealeau	380	381	- 1	- 0.26%	321	320
04040	62700	T	Pilsen	Bayfield	223	216	7	3.24%	186	179
50028	62825	T	Pine Grove	Portage	873	873	0	0.00%	667	664
44028	62925	T	Pine Lake	Oneida	2,724	2,724	0	0.00%	2,255	2,245
35016	62950	T	Pine River	Lincoln	1,886	1,874	12	0.64%	1,538	1,521
10042	63000	T	Pine Valley	Clark	1,189	1,175	14	1.19%	935	920
05030	63075	T	Pittsfield	Brown	2,811	2,791	20	0.72%	2,189	2,164
72271	63100	C	Pittsville	Wood	827	813	14	1.72%	648	634
57171	63125	V	Plain	Sauk	748	749	- 1	- 0.13%	601	599
70171	63150	V	Plainfield	Waushara	920	924	- 4	- 0.43%	655	655
70022	63175	T	Plainfield	Waushara	495	496	- 1	- 0.20%	387	386
22271	63250	C	Platteville	Grant	11,154	11,836	- 682	- 5.76%	9,691	10,237
22050	63275	T	Platteville	Grant	1,518	1,513	5	0.33%	1,136	1,127
30174	63300	V	Pleasant Prairie	Kenosha	21,948	21,250	698	3.28%	17,541	16,907
13046	63375	T	Pleasant Springs	Dane	3,101	3,078	23	0.75%	2,627	2,596
18018	63400	T	Pleasant Valley	Eau Claire	3,939	3,791	148	3.90%	2,971	2,847
56024	63425	T	Pleasant Valley	St. Croix	572	567	5	0.88%	422	416
37062	63500	T	Plover	Marathon	685	683	2	0.29%	531	527

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
50173	63525	V	Plover	Portage	13,872	13,519	353	2.61%	10,849	10,525
50030	63550	T	Plover	Portage	1,563	1,565	- 2	- 0.13%	1,238	1,234
48171	63600	V	Plum City	Pierce	587	596	- 9	- 1.51%	477	482
64020	63625	T	Plum Lake	Vilas	555	553	2	0.36%	495	491
29032	63650	T	Plymouth	Juneau	586	578	8	1.38%	488	479
54030	63675	T	Plymouth	Rock	1,243	1,245	- 2	- 0.16%	1,008	1,005
60271	63700	C	Plymouth	Sheboygan	8,964	8,932	32	0.36%	7,073	7,016
60016	63725	T	Plymouth	Sheboygan	3,066	3,083	- 17	- 0.55%	2,507	2,510
34022	63825	T	Polar	Langlade	1,009	1,018	- 9	- 0.88%	797	800
67018	63875	T	Polk	Washington	4,005	3,988	17	0.43%	3,176	3,148
16171	63975	V	Poplar	Douglas	635	629	6	0.95%	458	452
21024	64050	T	Popple River	Forest	43	43	0	0.00%	34	34
11271	64100	C	Portage	Columbia	10,325	10,581	- 256	- 2.42%	8,294	8,461
72171	64175	V	Port Edwards	Wood	1,754	1,762	- 8	- 0.45%	1,334	1,334
72026	64200	T	Port Edwards	Wood	1,357	1,356	1	0.07%	1,102	1,096
54032	64225	T	Porter	Rock	977	969	8	0.83%	794	784
38026	64275	T	Porterfield	Marinette	1,894	1,888	6	0.32%	1,553	1,541
14036	64375	T	Portland	Dodge	1,087	1,091	- 4	- 0.37%	874	873
42032	64425	T	Portland	Monroe	843	833	10	1.20%	600	590
46271	64450	C	Port Washington	Ozaukee	12,853	12,353	500	4.05%	10,127	9,689
46012	64475	T	Port Washington	Ozaukee	1,568	1,538	30	1.95%	1,249	1,220
04042	64512	T	Port Wing	Bayfield	389	389	0	0.00%	356	354
22172	64625	V	Potosi	Grant	641	646	- 5	- 0.77%	538	540
22052	64650	T	Potosi	Grant	811	813	- 2	- 0.25%	636	635
08160	64675	V	Potter	Calumet	243	244	- 1	- 0.41%	186	186
38171	64750	V	Pound	Marinette	353	357	- 4	- 1.12%	272	274
38028	64775	T	Pound	Marinette	1,412	1,412	0	0.00%	1,063	1,058

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
71020	64875	T	Poygan	Winnebago	1,287	1,261	26	2.06%	1,054	1,028
11172	64900	V	Poynette	Columbia	2,594	2,590	4	0.15%	1,987	1,975
70024	64975	T	Poy Sippi	Waushara	915	919	- 4	- 0.44%	738	738
12271	65050	C	Prairie du Chien	Crawford	5,446	5,506	- 60	- 1.09%	4,487	4,516
12014	65075	T	Prairie du Chien	Crawford	954	957	- 3	- 0.31%	812	811
57172	65100	V	Prairie du Sac	Sauk	4,458	4,420	38	0.86%	3,392	3,348
57028	65125	T	Prairie du Sac	Sauk	1,079	1,076	3	0.28%	890	884
03171	65150	V	Prairie Farm	Barron	498	494	4	0.81%	387	382
03034	65175	T	Prairie Farm	Barron	575	566	9	1.59%	440	431
03036	65200	T	Prairie Lake	Barron	1,674	1,648	26	1.58%	1,334	1,307
51171	65325	V	Prentice	Price	562	563	- 1	- 0.18%	426	425
51030	65350	T	Prentice	Price	438	440	- 2	- 0.45%	365	365
48271	65375	C	Prescott	Pierce	4,408	4,333	75	1.73%	3,381	3,309
64022	65425	T	Presque Isle	Vilas	808	805	3	0.37%	738	732
01024	65450	T	Preston	Adams	1,381	1,377	4	0.29%	1,142	1,134
62024	65500	T	Preston	Trempealeau	946	942	4	0.42%	678	672
34024	65550	T	Price	Langlade	220	221	- 1	- 0.45%	156	156
13048	65575	T	Primrose	Dane	761	750	11	1.47%	618	606
24271	65600	C	Princeton	Green Lake	1,263	1,267	- 4	- 0.32%	1,029	1,028
24016	65625	T	Princeton	Green Lake	1,530	1,519	11	0.72%	1,296	1,281
05171	65675	V	Pulaski	In Multiple Counties	4,038	3,870	168	4.34%	2,927	2,791
25022	65700	T	Pulaski	Iowa	357	357	0	0.00%	280	279
01026	65825	T	Quincy	Adams	1,159	1,159	0	0.00%	1,031	1,026
52276	66000	C	Racine	Racine	77,240	77,816	- 576	- 0.74%	57,035	57,202
58176	66050	V	Radisson	Sawyer	273	273	0	0.00%	179	178
58022	66075	T	Radisson	Sawyer	444	445	- 1	- 0.22%	364	363
30010	66125	T	Randall	Kenosha	3,315	3,285	30	0.91%	2,647	2,611

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
14176	66150	V	Randolph	In Multiple Counties	1,787	1,796	- 9	- 0.50%	1,362	1,363
11034	66175	T	Randolph	Columbia	767	762	5	0.66%	556	550
60176	66200	V	Random Lake	Sheboygan	1,565	1,561	4	0.26%	1,267	1,258
08014	66275	T	Rantoul	Calumet	747	740	7	0.95%	575	567
52168	66350	V	Raymond	Racine	3,948	3,926	22	0.56%	3,193	3,161
63176	66450	V	Readstown	Vernon	372	376	- 4	- 1.06%	301	303
17024	66550	T	Red Cedar	Dunn	2,401	2,359	42	1.78%	1,817	1,777
70176	66625	V	Redgranite	Waushara	1,997	2,061	- 64	- 3.11%	1,801	1,850
31018	66700	T	Red River	Kewaunee	1,379	1,374	5	0.36%	1,100	1,091
59038	66750	T	Red Springs	Shawano	999	993	6	0.60%	794	786
57276	66800	C	Reedsburg	Sauk	10,181	9,984	197	1.97%	7,798	7,613
57030	66825	T	Reedsburg	Sauk	1,147	1,185	- 38	- 3.21%	883	908
36176	66875	V	Reedsville	Manitowoc	1,193	1,195	- 2	- 0.17%	883	881
14177	66900	V	Reeseville	Dodge	770	763	7	0.92%	592	584
37064	66950	T	Reid	Marathon	1,188	1,186	2	0.17%	965	959
72028	67000	T	Remington	Wood	229	230	- 1	- 0.43%	196	196
10044	67025	T	Reseburg	Clark	808	793	15	1.89%	504	492
25176	67100	V	Rewey	Iowa	257	258	- 1	- 0.39%	192	192
60018	67150	T	Rhine	Sheboygan	2,157	2,139	18	0.84%	1,835	1,812
44276	67200	C	Rhineland	Oneida	8,283	8,285	- 2	- 0.02%	6,572	6,544
37066	67250	T	Rib Falls	Marathon	945	947	- 2	- 0.21%	719	717
61176	67275	V	Rib Lake	Taylor	921	935	- 14	- 1.50%	675	682
61038	67300	T	Rib Lake	Taylor	764	763	1	0.13%	602	599
37068	67325	T	Rib Mountain	Marathon	7,346	7,313	33	0.45%	5,766	5,714
03276	67350	C	Rice Lake	Barron	9,080	9,040	40	0.44%	7,256	7,192
03038	67375	T	Rice Lake	Barron	2,833	2,813	20	0.71%	2,279	2,253
01028	67425	T	Richfield	Adams	140	138	2	1.45%	127	125

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
67166	67475	V	Richfield	Washington	11,866	11,739	127	1.08%	9,408	9,265
72030	67500	T	Richfield	Wood	1,610	1,596	14	0.88%	1,237	1,221
70026	67550	T	Richford	Waushara	740	737	3	0.41%	515	511
53022	67575	T	Richland	Richland	1,177	1,175	2	0.17%	936	930
55028	67600	T	Richland	Rusk	197	197	0	0.00%	171	170
53276	67625	C	Richland Center	Richland	5,043	5,114	- 71	- 1.39%	3,885	3,922
56026	67650	T	Richmond	St. Croix	4,272	4,074	198	4.86%	2,948	2,799
59040	67675	T	Richmond	Shawano	1,839	1,832	7	0.38%	1,501	1,489
65020	67725	T	Richmond	Walworth	1,919	1,901	18	0.95%	1,540	1,519
53024	67775	T	Richwood	Richland	528	527	1	0.19%	424	421
17176	67800	V	Ridgeland	Dunn	258	258	0	0.00%	215	214
42034	67850	T	Ridgeville	Monroe	483	479	4	0.84%	371	366
25177	67875	V	Ridgeway	Iowa	644	624	20	3.21%	519	501
25024	67900	T	Ridgeway	Iowa	568	563	5	0.89%	459	453
37070	67950	T	Rietbrock	Marathon	876	874	2	0.23%	679	674
37072	68075	T	Ringle	Marathon	1,756	1,743	13	0.75%	1,349	1,333
11177	68100	V	Rio	Columbia	1,144	1,119	25	2.23%	880	857
20276	68175	C	Ripon	Fond du Lac	7,811	7,863	- 52	- 0.66%	6,305	6,318
20034	68200	T	Ripon	Fond du Lac	1,309	1,314	- 5	- 0.38%	1,065	1,064
48276	68275	C	River Falls	In Multiple Counties	16,515	16,182	333	2.06%	13,502	13,182
48022	68300	T	River Falls	Pierce	2,226	2,215	11	0.50%	1,794	1,777
41176	68325	V	River Hills	Milwaukee	1,595	1,602	- 7	- 0.44%	1,251	1,251
43036	68400	T	Riverview	Oconto	824	819	5	0.61%	747	739
56176	68475	V	Roberts	St. Croix	2,026	1,919	107	5.58%	1,476	1,392
52176	68550	V	Rochester	Racine	3,822	3,785	37	0.98%	3,061	3,018
54034	68600	T	Rock	Rock	2,990	2,981	9	0.30%	2,393	2,375
72032	68625	T	Rock	Wood	790	787	3	0.38%	643	638

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
53026	68675	T	Rockbridge	Richland	698	697	1	0.14%	564	561
17026	68700	T	Rock Creek	Dunn	1,002	1,003	- 1	- 0.10%	764	761
13176	68725	V	Rockdale	Dane	206	207	- 1	- 0.48%	151	151
48024	68775	T	Rock Elm	Pierce	442	447	- 5	- 1.12%	357	359
35018	68825	T	Rock Falls	Lincoln	639	635	4	0.63%	529	523
05034	68875	T	Rockland	Brown	1,779	1,775	4	0.23%	1,369	1,360
32176	68900	V	Rockland	In Multiple Counties	782	765	17	2.22%	539	525
36030	68925	T	Rockland	Manitowoc	999	995	4	0.40%	773	766
57176	68975	V	Rock Springs	Sauk	328	327	1	0.31%	246	244
34026	69175	T	Rolling	Langlade	1,431	1,432	- 1	- 0.07%	1,125	1,121
01030	69275	T	Rome	Adams	3,111	3,025	86	2.84%	2,816	2,726
07022	69325	T	Roosevelt	Burnett	198	197	1	0.51%	155	154
61040	69400	T	Roosevelt	Taylor	478	478	0	0.00%	328	327
70028	69425	T	Rose	Waushara	675	661	14	2.12%	574	560
20176	69525	V	Rosendale	Fond du Lac	1,039	1,039	0	0.00%	796	792
20036	69550	T	Rosendale	Fond du Lac	736	738	- 2	- 0.27%	584	583
50176	69575	V	Rosholt	Portage	476	478	- 2	- 0.42%	358	358
21026	69625	T	Ross	Forest	132	132	0	0.00%	112	111
37176	69725	V	Rothschild	Marathon	5,581	5,567	14	0.25%	4,382	4,351
58024	69750	T	Round Lake	Sawyer	1,095	1,081	14	1.30%	975	958
13050	69850	T	Roxbury	Dane	1,867	1,871	- 4	- 0.21%	1,492	1,488
69032	69900	T	Royalton	Waupaca	1,365	1,359	6	0.44%	1,133	1,123
14038	69962	T	Rubicon	Dodge	2,139	2,117	22	1.04%	1,725	1,700
09036	69975	T	Ruby	Chippewa	468	465	3	0.65%	343	339
72178	70000	V	Rudolph	Wood	433	433	0	0.00%	342	340
72034	70025	T	Rudolph	Wood	1,028	1,027	1	0.10%	791	787
71022	70125	T	Rushford	Winnebago	1,654	1,623	31	1.91%	1,273	1,244

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
56028	70200	T	Rush River	St. Croix	503	500	3	0.60%	400	396
07024	70225	T	Rusk	Burnett	469	470	- 1	- 0.21%	388	387
55030	70275	T	Rusk	Rusk	559	551	8	1.45%	493	484
04046	70300	T	Russell	Bayfield	1,560	1,553	7	0.45%	1,111	1,101
35020	70325	T	Russell	Lincoln	698	693	5	0.72%	562	555
60020	70350	T	Russell	Sheboygan	383	384	- 1	- 0.26%	290	289
13052	70400	T	Rutland	Dane	1,984	1,977	7	0.35%	1,620	1,607
20181	70500	V	St. Cloud	Fond du Lac	496	489	7	1.43%	401	394
49281	70550	C	St. Croix Falls	Polk	2,222	2,208	14	0.63%	1,780	1,761
49044	70575	T	St. Croix Falls	Polk	1,178	1,164	14	1.20%	951	935
41281	70650	C	St. Francis	Milwaukee	9,156	9,161	- 5	- 0.05%	7,893	7,862
64024	70725	T	St. Germain	Vilas	2,099	2,083	16	0.77%	1,845	1,823
56030	70825	T	St. Joseph	St. Croix	4,322	4,178	144	3.45%	3,342	3,216
69034	70900	T	St. Lawrence	Waupaca	712	714	- 2	- 0.28%	571	570
24018	70925	T	St. Marie	Green Lake	357	352	5	1.42%	313	307
36181	71025	V	St. Nazianz	Manitowoc	711	714	- 3	- 0.42%	558	558
48026	71150	T	Salem	Pierce	473	475	- 2	- 0.42%	399	399
30179	71163	V	Salem Lakes	Kenosha	14,605	14,601	4	0.03%	11,353	11,299
09038	71275	T	Sampson	Chippewa	985	972	13	1.34%	769	755
02022	71350	T	Sanborn	Ashland	1,377	1,381	- 4	- 0.29%	983	981
17028	71425	T	Sand Creek	Dunn	607	603	4	0.66%	456	451
07026	71450	T	Sand Lake	Burnett	579	576	3	0.52%	477	472
58026	71500	T	Sand Lake	Sawyer	908	901	7	0.78%	779	770
72036	71600	T	Saratoga	Wood	5,092	5,060	32	0.63%	4,129	4,085
66032	71637	T	Sarona	Washburn	417	419	- 2	- 0.48%	338	338
57181	71650	V	Sauk City	Sauk	3,527	3,518	9	0.26%	2,798	2,778
46181	71700	V	Saukville	Ozaukee	4,247	4,258	- 11	- 0.26%	3,282	3,276

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
46014	71725	T	Saukville	Ozaukee	1,767	1,765	2	0.11%	1,457	1,449
70030	71825	T	Saxeville	Waushara	997	996	1	0.10%	838	833
26018	71875	T	Saxon	Iron	288	290	- 2	- 0.69%	261	262
69181	71975	V	Scandinavia	Waupaca	371	371	0	0.00%	285	284
69036	72000	T	Scandinavia	Waupaca	1,052	1,049	3	0.29%	891	884
36032	72075	T	Schleswig	Manitowoc	1,916	1,912	4	0.21%	1,570	1,560
35022	72100	T	Schley	Lincoln	969	950	19	2.00%	785	766
44030	72125	T	Schoepke	Oneida	385	388	- 3	- 0.77%	353	354
37281	72150	C	Schofield	Marathon	2,262	2,157	105	4.87%	1,835	1,742
05036	72200	T	Scott	Brown	3,660	3,636	24	0.66%	2,985	2,952
07028	72225	T	Scott	Burnett	586	583	3	0.51%	542	537
11036	72250	T	Scott	Columbia	874	857	17	1.98%	604	590
12016	72275	T	Scott	Crawford	542	519	23	4.43%	404	385
35024	72300	T	Scott	Lincoln	1,374	1,377	- 3	- 0.22%	1,111	1,108
42036	72325	T	Scott	Monroe	104	106	- 2	- 1.89%	85	86
60022	72350	T	Scott	Sheboygan	1,762	1,764	- 2	- 0.11%	1,444	1,439
10046	72450	T	Seif	Clark	190	188	2	1.06%	145	143
12018	72500	T	Seneca	Crawford	941	932	9	0.97%	723	713
24020	72525	T	Seneca	Green Lake	385	387	- 2	- 0.52%	333	333
59042	72550	T	Seneca	Shawano	496	495	1	0.20%	412	409
72038	72575	T	Seneca	Wood	1,038	1,039	- 1	- 0.10%	826	823
15022	72600	T	Sevastopol	Door	2,858	2,826	32	1.13%	2,453	2,415
29034	72625	T	Seven Mile Creek	Juneau	347	348	- 1	- 0.29%	286	286
18020	72675	T	Seymour	Eau Claire	3,380	3,352	28	0.84%	2,659	2,625
33026	72700	T	Seymour	Lafayette	395	392	3	0.77%	284	281
45281	72725	C	Seymour	Outagamie	3,523	3,546	- 23	- 0.65%	2,705	2,710
45038	72750	T	Seymour	Outagamie	1,194	1,191	3	0.25%	933	926

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
02024	72825	T	Shanagolden	Ashland	131	131	0	0.00%	109	109
50032	72850	T	Sharon	Portage	2,135	2,123	12	0.57%	1,675	1,658
65181	72875	V	Sharon	Walworth	1,581	1,586	- 5	- 0.32%	1,194	1,192
65022	72900	T	Sharon	Walworth	859	861	- 2	- 0.23%	709	707
59281	72925	C	Shawano	Shawano	9,515	9,243	272	2.94%	7,339	7,097
60281	72975	C	Sheboygan	Sheboygan	50,139	49,929	210	0.42%	38,313	37,981
60024	73000	T	Sheboygan	Sheboygan	8,175	8,136	39	0.48%	6,560	6,499
60282	73025	C	Sheboygan Falls	Sheboygan	8,586	8,210	376	4.58%	7,073	6,733
60026	73050	T	Sheboygan Falls	Sheboygan	1,831	1,824	7	0.38%	1,403	1,391
32022	73125	T	Shelby	La Crosse	4,810	4,804	6	0.12%	3,819	3,797
42038	73150	T	Sheldon	Monroe	658	649	9	1.39%	449	441
55181	73175	V	Sheldon	Rusk	263	261	2	0.77%	196	194
66282	73200	C	Shell Lake	Washburn	1,369	1,371	- 2	- 0.15%	1,101	1,098
17030	73300	T	Sheridan	Dunn	466	460	6	1.30%	353	347
10048	73350	T	Sherman	Clark	831	831	0	0.00%	599	596
17032	73375	T	Sherman	Dunn	960	922	38	4.12%	756	723
26020	73400	T	Sherman	Iron	287	286	1	0.35%	267	265
60028	73425	T	Sherman	Sheboygan	1,465	1,452	13	0.90%	1,225	1,209
72040	73475	T	Sherry	Wood	760	755	5	0.66%	594	587
08179	73525	V	Sherwood	Calumet	3,352	3,271	81	2.48%	2,483	2,412
10050	73550	T	Sherwood	Clark	233	230	3	1.30%	192	189
14040	73575	T	Shields	Dodge	510	503	7	1.39%	422	414
39024	73600	T	Shields	Marquette	585	585	0	0.00%	476	474
45181	73625	V	Shiocton	Outagamie	931	939	- 8	- 0.85%	690	693
41181	73725	V	Shorewood	Milwaukee	13,807	13,859	- 52	- 0.38%	11,100	11,092
13181	73750	V	Shorewood Hills	Dane	2,168	2,169	- 1	- 0.05%	1,705	1,698
33281	73825	C	Shullsburg	Lafayette	1,176	1,173	3	0.26%	922	916

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
33028	73850	T	Shullsburg	Lafayette	309	312	- 3	- 0.96%	231	232
09040	73875	T	Sigel	Chippewa	1,164	1,132	32	2.83%	867	839
72042	73900	T	Sigel	Wood	1,018	1,017	1	0.10%	811	807
38030	73975	T	Silver Cliff	Marinette	520	514	6	1.17%	479	471
03040	74125	T	Sioux Creek	Barron	681	667	14	2.10%	520	507
07181	74175	V	Siren	Burnett	823	824	- 1	- 0.12%	673	671
07030	74200	T	Siren	Burnett	1,006	1,004	2	0.20%	851	845
15181	74225	V	Sister Bay	Door	1,184	1,148	36	3.14%	1,065	1,028
35026	74250	T	Skawanaw	Lincoln	387	386	1	0.26%	314	312
67181	74400	V	Slinger	Washington	6,401	5,992	409	6.83%	4,704	4,384
22054	74450	T	Smelser	Grant	789	786	3	0.38%	608	603
12181	74550	V	Soldiers Grove	Crawford	558	552	6	1.09%	462	455
16181	74575	V	Solon Springs	Douglas	663	656	7	1.07%	540	532
16026	74600	T	Solon Springs	Douglas	976	970	6	0.62%	816	807
30182	74625	V	Somers	Kenosha	8,501	8,402	99	1.18%	7,284	7,167
30014	74650	T	Somers	Kenosha	980	992	- 12	- 1.21%	828	834
56181	74675	V	Somerset	St. Croix	3,168	3,019	149	4.94%	2,315	2,196
56032	74700	T	Somerset	St. Croix	4,421	4,291	130	3.03%	3,366	3,252
35028	74725	T	Somo	Lincoln	124	123	1	0.81%	105	104
55032	74975	T	South Fork	Rusk	115	115	0	0.00%	105	105
22056	75075	T	South Lancaster	Grant	857	884	- 27	- 3.05%	664	682
41282	75125	C	South Milwaukee	Milwaukee	20,703	20,795	- 92	- 0.44%	16,487	16,486
33181	75275	V	South Wayne	Lafayette	437	444	- 7	- 1.58%	320	324
42281	75325	C	Sparta	Monroe	10,075	10,025	50	0.50%	7,571	7,500
42040	75350	T	Sparta	Monroe	3,258	3,253	5	0.15%	2,499	2,484
37181	75400	V	Spencer	Marathon	1,821	1,818	3	0.17%	1,405	1,396
37074	75425	T	Spencer	Marathon	1,593	1,586	7	0.44%	1,191	1,180

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
58028	75450	T	Spider Lake	Sawyer	490	487	3	0.62%	460	455
51032	75525	T	Spirit	Price	294	292	2	0.68%	254	251
66281	75625	C	Spooner	Washburn	2,453	2,477	- 24	- 0.97%	1,952	1,962
66034	75650	T	Spooner	Washburn	833	827	6	0.73%	650	642
17034	75750	T	Spring Brook	Dunn	1,708	1,687	21	1.24%	1,268	1,247
66036	75800	T	Springbrook	Washburn	508	504	4	0.79%	430	425
13054	75850	T	Springdale	Dane	2,085	2,056	29	1.41%	1,634	1,604
13056	75875	T	Springfield	Dane	2,936	2,929	7	0.24%	2,267	2,251
27042	75900	T	Springfield	Jackson	698	693	5	0.72%	446	441
39026	75925	T	Springfield	Marquette	814	811	3	0.37%	628	623
56034	75950	T	Springfield	St. Croix	1,004	993	11	1.11%	772	760
57182	76025	V	Spring Green	Sauk	1,597	1,566	31	1.98%	1,292	1,261
57032	76050	T	Spring Green	Sauk	1,835	1,828	7	0.38%	1,489	1,477
23026	76075	T	Spring Grove	Green	936	919	17	1.85%	748	731
48028	76100	T	Spring Lake	Pierce	598	598	0	0.00%	473	471
65024	76175	T	Spring Prairie	Walworth	2,130	2,123	7	0.33%	1,723	1,710
11038	76225	T	Springvale	Columbia	539	539	0	0.00%	414	412
20038	76250	T	Springvale	Fond du Lac	677	675	2	0.30%	524	520
48181	76300	V	Spring Valley	In Multiple Counties	1,420	1,401	19	1.36%	1,085	1,066
54036	76325	T	Spring Valley	Rock	725	728	- 3	- 0.41%	589	589
01032	76350	T	Springville	Adams	1,271	1,283	- 12	- 0.94%	1,081	1,086
70032	76400	T	Springwater	Waushara	1,251	1,257	- 6	- 0.48%	1,112	1,112
43038	76450	T	Spruce	Oconto	923	918	5	0.54%	702	695
03042	76550	T	Stanford	Barron	709	701	8	1.14%	577	568
03044	76600	T	Stanley	Barron	2,575	2,570	5	0.19%	1,997	1,984
09281	76625	C	Stanley	In Multiple Counties	3,748	3,804	- 56	- 1.47%	3,144	3,177
17036	76650	T	Stanton	Dunn	761	758	3	0.40%	608	603

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
56036	76675	T	Stanton	St. Croix	908	907	1	0.11%	689	685
63030	76725	T	Stark	Vernon	352	351	1	0.28%	286	284
56182	76825	V	Star Prairie	St. Croix	675	678	- 3	- 0.44%	505	505
56038	76850	T	Star Prairie	St. Croix	3,779	3,733	46	1.23%	2,850	2,803
44032	76975	T	Stella	Oneida	568	569	- 1	- 0.18%	479	478
38032	77000	T	Stephenson	Marinette	3,530	3,494	36	1.03%	3,033	2,989
49046	77050	T	Sterling	Polk	729	724	5	0.69%	607	600
63032	77075	T	Sterling	Vernon	547	550	- 3	- 0.55%	427	427
61181	77100	V	Stetsonville	Taylor	564	563	1	0.18%	425	422
37076	77150	T	Stettin	Marathon	2,595	2,580	15	0.58%	2,002	1,981
12182	77175	V	Steuben	Crawford	120	122	- 2	- 1.64%	86	87
50281	77200	C	Stevens Point	Portage	25,954	25,666	288	1.12%	21,763	21,425
43040	77300	T	Stiles	Oconto	1,517	1,518	- 1	- 0.07%	1,202	1,197
66038	77350	T	Stinnett	Washburn	208	207	1	0.48%	174	172
08181	77400	V	Stockbridge	Calumet	685	678	7	1.03%	549	541
08016	77425	T	Stockbridge	Calumet	1,446	1,453	- 7	- 0.48%	1,174	1,174
47181	77475	V	Stockholm	Pepin	79	78	1	1.28%	76	75
47012	77500	T	Stockholm	Pepin	222	218	4	1.83%	186	182
50034	77537	T	Stockton	Portage	3,036	3,018	18	0.60%	2,370	2,345
63181	77550	V	Stoddard	Vernon	896	840	56	6.67%	704	657
66040	77650	T	Stone Lake	Washburn	515	515	0	0.00%	425	423
13281	77675	C	Stoughton	Dane	13,204	13,173	31	0.24%	10,254	10,184
37182	77750	V	Stratford	Marathon	1,633	1,581	52	3.29%	1,247	1,202
55034	77775	T	Strickland	Rusk	282	280	2	0.71%	226	223
01034	77800	T	Strongs Prairie	Adams	1,158	1,145	13	1.14%	983	968
62181	77825	V	Strum	Trempealeau	1,079	1,076	3	0.28%	804	798
55036	77850	T	Stubbs	Rusk	524	523	1	0.19%	436	433

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
15281	77875	C	Sturgeon Bay	Door	9,656	9,646	10	0.10%	7,971	7,927
15024	77900	T	Sturgeon Bay	Door	826	821	5	0.61%	682	675
52181	77925	V	Sturtevant	Racine	6,804	6,919	- 115	- 1.66%	5,668	5,738
05178	77975	V	Suamico	Brown	13,230	12,820	410	3.20%	9,954	9,602
44034	78075	T	Sugar Camp	Oneida	1,828	1,819	9	0.49%	1,533	1,519
65026	78100	T	Sugar Creek	Walworth	3,921	3,902	19	0.49%	3,076	3,047
28181	78175	V	Sullivan	Jefferson	649	651	- 2	- 0.31%	535	534
28026	78200	T	Sullivan	Jefferson	2,321	2,295	26	1.13%	1,890	1,860
16028	78275	T	Summit	Douglas	1,041	1,030	11	1.07%	864	851
29036	78300	T	Summit	Juneau	679	666	13	1.95%	515	503
34028	78325	T	Summit	Langlade	142	143	- 1	- 0.70%	120	120
68172	78375	V	Summit	Waukesha	5,061	4,784	277	5.79%	4,049	3,810
03046	78450	T	Sumner	Barron	701	699	2	0.29%	534	530
28028	78475	T	Sumner	Jefferson	841	846	- 5	- 0.59%	709	710
62026	78500	T	Sumner	Trempealeau	850	840	10	1.19%	661	650
57034	78525	T	Sumpter	Sauk	1,063	1,055	8	0.76%	804	794
13282	78600	C	Sun Prairie	Dane	37,304	35,967	1,337	3.72%	27,754	26,639
13058	78625	T	Sun Prairie	Dane	2,389	2,391	- 2	- 0.08%	1,863	1,856
16281	78650	C	Superior	Douglas	26,943	26,751	192	0.72%	21,795	21,542
16182	78660	V	Superior	Douglas	674	677	- 3	- 0.44%	566	566
16030	78675	T	Superior	Douglas	2,285	2,264	21	0.93%	1,825	1,800
43181	78725	V	Suring	Oconto	518	517	1	0.19%	407	404
68181	78750	V	Sussex	Waukesha	11,750	11,487	263	2.29%	8,915	8,676
07032	78775	T	Swiss	Burnett	806	807	- 1	- 0.12%	699	697
53028	78825	T	Sylvan	Richland	526	516	10	1.94%	372	363
23028	78875	T	Sylvester	Green	999	1,001	- 2	- 0.20%	792	790
61042	78950	T	Taft	Taylor	312	310	2	0.65%	234	231

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
17038	78975	T	Tainter	Dunn	2,659	2,643	16	0.61%	2,130	2,108
20040	79125	T	Taycheedah	Fond du Lac	4,598	4,554	44	0.97%	3,626	3,575
27186	79150	V	Taylor	Jackson	475	484	- 9	- 1.86%	367	372
22186	79250	V	Tennyson	Grant	347	348	- 1	- 0.29%	276	276
37078	79350	T	Texas	Marathon	1,625	1,611	14	0.87%	1,328	1,311
14186	79375	V	Theresa	Dodge	1,260	1,255	5	0.40%	1,004	996
14042	79425	T	Theresa	Dodge	1,088	1,089	- 1	- 0.09%	871	868
46186	79475	V	Thiensville	Ozaukee	3,288	3,290	- 2	- 0.06%	2,680	2,670
55038	79575	T	Thornapple	Rusk	729	721	8	1.11%	598	589
10286	79625	C	Thorp	Clark	1,781	1,795	- 14	- 0.78%	1,321	1,325
10052	79650	T	Thorp	Clark	857	840	17	2.02%	576	562
44036	79700	T	Three Lakes	Oneida	2,430	2,413	17	0.70%	2,090	2,066
17040	79775	T	Tiffany	Dunn	618	617	1	0.16%	478	475
59186	79825	V	Tigerton	Shawano	707	708	- 1	- 0.14%	556	554
09042	79875	T	Tilden	Chippewa	1,548	1,516	32	2.11%	1,182	1,152
19016	79975	T	Tipler	Florence	159	160	- 1	- 0.63%	144	144
42286	80075	C	Tomah	Monroe	9,631	9,570	61	0.64%	7,445	7,365
42042	80100	T	Tomah	Monroe	1,488	1,488	0	0.00%	1,121	1,116
35286	80125	C	Tomahawk	Lincoln	3,436	3,441	- 5	- 0.15%	2,725	2,717
35030	80150	T	Tomahawk	Lincoln	461	458	3	0.66%	416	411
55186	80225	V	Tony	Rusk	105	105	0	0.00%	79	79
43042	80325	T	Townsend	Oconto	1,057	1,044	13	1.25%	959	943
07034	80375	T	Trade Lake	Burnett	911	904	7	0.77%	737	728
66042	80450	T	Trego	Washburn	915	908	7	0.77%	772	763
62186	80475	V	Trempealeau	Trempealeau	1,888	1,843	45	2.44%	1,491	1,449
62028	80500	T	Trempealeau	Trempealeau	1,965	1,951	14	0.72%	1,524	1,506
14044	80525	T	Trenton	Dodge	1,220	1,219	1	0.08%	937	932

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
48030	80550	T	Trenton	Pierce	1,914	1,911	3	0.16%	1,535	1,526
67022	80575	T	Trenton	Washington	4,572	4,525	47	1.04%	3,786	3,730
48032	80700	T	Trimbelle	Pierce	1,682	1,679	3	0.18%	1,323	1,315
04048	80750	T	Tripp	Bayfield	248	244	4	1.64%	194	190
56040	80800	T	Troy	St. Croix	5,558	5,518	40	0.72%	4,200	4,151
57036	80825	T	Troy	Sauk	785	781	4	0.51%	633	627
65028	80875	T	Troy	Walworth	2,363	2,355	8	0.34%	1,926	1,911
55040	80950	T	True	Rusk	238	237	1	0.42%	206	204
54038	81050	T	Turtle	Rock	2,411	2,393	18	0.75%	1,972	1,948
03186	81075	V	Turtle Lake	In Multiple Counties	1,040	1,037	3	0.29%	792	786
03048	81100	T	Turtle Lake	Barron	649	640	9	1.41%	485	476
30186	81250	V	Twin Lakes	Kenosha	6,429	6,309	120	1.90%	5,038	4,922
36034	81300	T	Two Creeks	Manitowoc	389	390	- 1	- 0.26%	317	316
36286	81325	C	Two Rivers	Manitowoc	11,243	11,271	- 28	- 0.25%	9,034	9,016
36036	81350	T	Two Rivers	Manitowoc	1,670	1,672	- 2	- 0.12%	1,408	1,403
43044	81475	T	Underhill	Oconto	866	864	2	0.23%	709	704
07036	81500	T	Union	Burnett	350	345	5	1.45%	312	306
15026	81525	T	Union	Door	1,011	1,005	6	0.60%	821	812
18022	81550	T	Union	Eau Claire	2,695	2,696	- 1	- 0.04%	2,127	2,118
48034	81600	T	Union	Pierce	603	602	1	0.17%	468	465
54040	81650	T	Union	Rock	2,114	2,104	10	0.48%	1,645	1,630
63034	81675	T	Union	Vernon	817	809	8	0.99%	508	501
69038	81700	T	Union	Waupaca	780	778	2	0.26%	615	611
29186	81725	V	Union Center	Juneau	226	225	1	0.44%	189	187
52186	81775	V	Union Grove	Racine	5,040	4,806	234	4.87%	3,940	3,740
10054	81825	T	Unity	Clark	749	751	- 2	- 0.27%	530	529
37186	81850	V	Unity	In Multiple Counties	383	384	- 1	- 0.26%	287	286

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
62030	81875	T	Unity	Trempealeau	513	511	2	0.39%	408	405
34030	81950	T	Upham	Langlade	729	726	3	0.41%	631	626
12020	82100	T	Utica	Crawford	627	623	4	0.64%	478	473
71024	82175	T	Utica	Winnebago	1,360	1,364	- 4	- 0.29%	1,052	1,050
36186	82200	V	Valders	Manitowoc	961	952	9	0.95%	729	719
03050	82375	T	Vance Creek	Barron	695	689	6	0.87%	525	518
45040	82400	T	Vandenbroek	Outagamie	1,667	1,627	40	2.46%	1,243	1,208
13060	82525	T	Vermont	Dane	883	871	12	1.38%	723	710
68186	82575	V	Vernon	Waukesha	7,486	7,474	12	0.16%	6,027	5,990
13286	82600	C	Verona	Dane	14,889	14,030	859	6.12%	10,879	10,205
13062	82625	T	Verona	Dane	2,008	1,947	61	3.13%	1,602	1,546
72186	82650	V	Vesper	Wood	512	513	- 1	- 0.19%	411	410
13064	82750	T	Vienna	Dane	1,678	1,666	12	0.72%	1,266	1,251
34032	82825	T	Vilas	Langlade	225	226	- 1	- 0.44%	188	188
71026	82875	T	Vinland	Winnebago	1,773	1,769	4	0.23%	1,465	1,455
53186	82900	V	Viola	In Multiple Counties	675	676	- 1	- 0.15%	491	489
63286	82925	C	Viroqua	Vernon	4,444	4,504	- 60	- 1.33%	3,527	3,559
63036	82950	T	Viroqua	Vernon	1,778	1,744	34	1.95%	1,387	1,354
21028	83025	T	Wabeno	Forest	1,071	1,074	- 3	- 0.28%	835	834
38034	83050	T	Wagner	Marinette	664	653	11	1.68%	573	561
60191	83100	V	Waldo	Sheboygan	477	467	10	2.14%	380	370
25026	83150	T	Waldwick	Iowa	458	460	- 2	- 0.43%	367	367
68191	83175	V	Wales	Waukesha	2,917	2,862	55	1.92%	2,259	2,206
65191	83250	V	Walworth	Walworth	2,768	2,759	9	0.33%	2,127	2,111
65030	83275	T	Walworth	Walworth	1,575	1,565	10	0.64%	1,234	1,221
10056	83350	T	Warner	Clark	636	635	1	0.16%	438	435
56042	83400	T	Warren	St. Croix	1,787	1,733	54	3.12%	1,353	1,306

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
70034	83425	T	Warren	Waushara	667	656	11	1.68%	535	524
42185	83450	V	Warrens	Monroe	546	544	2	0.37%	420	417
16032	83512	T	Wascott	Douglas	905	912	- 7	- 0.77%	823	826
04291	83525	C	Washburn	Bayfield	2,004	2,051	- 47	- 2.29%	1,637	1,668
04050	83550	T	Washburn	Bayfield	555	554	1	0.18%	457	454
10058	83575	T	Washburn	Clark	292	289	3	1.04%	242	238
15028	83600	T	Washington	Door	783	777	6	0.77%	690	682
18024	83612	T	Washington	Eau Claire	7,780	7,662	118	1.54%	5,998	5,880
23030	83625	T	Washington	Green	844	842	2	0.24%	664	659
32024	83650	T	Washington	La Crosse	520	519	1	0.19%	406	403
55042	83675	T	Washington	Rusk	362	360	2	0.56%	309	306
57038	83700	T	Washington	Sauk	1,037	1,021	16	1.57%	724	710
59044	83725	T	Washington	Shawano	1,973	1,965	8	0.41%	1,603	1,589
64026	83750	T	Washington	Vilas	1,600	1,587	13	0.82%	1,401	1,383
52191	83825	V	Waterford	Racine	5,690	5,542	148	2.67%	4,403	4,269
52016	83850	T	Waterford	Racine	6,555	6,514	41	0.63%	5,232	5,176
22058	83900	T	Waterloo	Grant	553	552	1	0.18%	436	433
28290	83925	C	Waterloo	Jefferson	3,631	3,492	139	3.98%	2,800	2,681
28030	83950	T	Waterloo	Jefferson	874	867	7	0.81%	706	697
28291	83975	C	Watertown	In Multiple Counties	23,018	22,926	92	0.40%	17,711	17,563
28032	84000	T	Watertown	Jefferson	1,948	1,933	15	0.78%	1,605	1,585
47014	84025	T	Waterville	Pepin	838	829	9	1.09%	670	660
22060	84075	T	Watterstown	Grant	373	372	1	0.27%	273	271
47016	84100	T	Waubeeek	Pepin	424	423	1	0.24%	334	332
59046	84225	T	Waukechon	Shawano	1,007	1,002	5	0.50%	764	757
68291	84250	C	Waukesha	Waukesha	71,146	71,158	- 12	- 0.02%	56,175	55,932
68195	84275	V	Waukesha ²	Waukesha	8,489	8,457	32	0.38%	6,844	6,788

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
06034	84325	T	Waumandee	Buffalo	503	508	- 5	- 0.98%	397	399
13191	84350	V	Waunakee	Dane	15,426	14,879	547	3.68%	11,009	10,571
69291	84375	C	Waupaca	Waupaca	6,422	6,282	140	2.23%	5,137	5,002
69040	84400	T	Waupaca	Waupaca	1,199	1,194	5	0.42%	964	956
14292	84425	C	Waupun ¹	In Multiple Counties	11,117	11,563	- 446	- 3.86%	9,244	9,587
20042	84450	T	Waupun	Fond du Lac	1,386	1,373	13	0.95%	1,091	1,076
37291	84475	C	Wausau	Marathon	40,199	39,994	205	0.51%	31,567	31,265
37080	84500	T	Wausau	Marathon	2,187	2,161	26	1.20%	1,728	1,700
38191	84525	V	Wausaukee	Marinette	593	596	- 3	- 0.50%	451	451
38036	84550	T	Wausaukee	Marinette	1,105	1,097	8	0.73%	974	963
70291	84625	C	Wautoma	Waushara	2,216	2,209	7	0.32%	1,676	1,663
70036	84650	T	Wautoma	Waushara	1,270	1,270	0	0.00%	1,042	1,037
41291	84675	C	Wauwatosa	Milwaukee	48,638	48,387	251	0.52%	38,749	38,376
12191	84725	V	Wauzeka	Crawford	624	628	- 4	- 0.64%	479	480
12022	84750	T	Wauzeka	Crawford	377	376	1	0.27%	284	282
33030	84850	T	Wayne	Lafayette	479	474	5	1.05%	368	363
67024	84900	T	Wayne	Washington	2,232	2,182	50	2.29%	1,753	1,706
07038	84975	T	Webb Lake	Burnett	437	432	5	1.16%	415	408
07191	85025	V	Webster	Burnett	697	694	3	0.43%	544	539
63038	85050	T	Webster	Vernon	826	821	5	0.61%	556	550
58030	85100	T	Weirgor	Sawyer	311	311	0	0.00%	270	269
42044	85125	T	Wellington	Monroe	676	666	10	1.50%	478	469
42046	85150	T	Wells	Monroe	571	562	9	1.60%	440	431
59048	85275	T	Wescott	Shawano	3,265	3,257	8	0.25%	2,777	2,758
41292	85300	C	West Allis	Milwaukee	60,068	60,325	- 257	- 0.43%	48,101	48,090
57191	85325	V	West Baraboo	Sauk	1,630	1,627	3	0.18%	1,302	1,294
67291	85350	C	West Bend	Washington	32,067	31,752	315	0.99%	25,127	24,768

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
67026	85375	T	West Bend	Washington	4,415	4,441	- 26	- 0.59%	3,640	3,645
61044	85450	T	Westboro	Taylor	702	693	9	1.30%	561	551
63291	85475	C	Westby	Vernon	2,342	2,332	10	0.43%	1,724	1,709
39191	85575	V	Westfield	Marquette	1,297	1,302	- 5	- 0.38%	969	968
39028	85600	T	Westfield	Marquette	791	789	2	0.25%	656	651
57040	85625	T	Westfield	Sauk	592	582	10	1.72%	421	412
14046	85650	T	Westford	Dodge	1,318	1,313	5	0.38%	1,084	1,075
53030	85675	T	Westford	Richland	516	516	0	0.00%	410	408
31020	85775	T	West Kewaunee	Kewaunee	1,289	1,278	11	0.86%	1,024	1,011
07040	85850	T	West Marshland	Burnett	401	400	1	0.25%	313	311
41191	85875	V	West Milwaukee	Milwaukee	4,097	4,114	- 17	- 0.41%	3,196	3,195
10060	85925	T	Weston	Clark	702	686	16	2.33%	492	479
17042	85975	T	Weston	Dunn	573	574	- 1	- 0.17%	460	459
37192	86025	V	Weston	Marathon	15,809	15,723	86	0.55%	12,180	12,059
37082	86050	T	Weston	Marathon	666	657	9	1.37%	514	505
11040	86100	T	West Point	Columbia	2,068	2,028	40	1.97%	1,651	1,612
13066	86125	T	Westport	Dane	4,302	4,191	111	2.65%	3,584	3,476
32191	86275	V	West Salem	La Crosse	5,416	5,277	139	2.63%	4,040	3,919
49048	86350	T	West Sweden	Polk	749	744	5	0.67%	613	606
69292	86400	C	Weyauwega	Waupaca	1,824	1,796	28	1.56%	1,442	1,413
69042	86425	T	Weyauwega	Waupaca	571	567	4	0.71%	454	449
55191	86450	V	Weyerhaeuser	Rusk	233	232	1	0.43%	194	192
30016	86500	T	Wheatland	Kenosha	3,394	3,391	3	0.09%	2,728	2,713
63040	86525	T	Wheatland	Vernon	588	588	0	0.00%	497	495
09044	86537	T	Wheaton	Chippewa	2,818	2,759	59	2.14%	2,205	2,149
17191	86575	V	Wheeler	Dunn	329	326	3	0.92%	239	236
41192	86700	V	Whitefish Bay	Milwaukee	14,905	14,954	- 49	- 0.33%	10,558	10,545

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
62291	86725	C	Whitehall	Trempealeau	1,626	1,645	- 19	- 1.16%	1,266	1,275
34191	86750	V	White Lake	Langlade	260	262	- 2	- 0.76%	218	219
36191	86775	V	Whitelaw	Manitowoc	739	737	2	0.27%	575	571
33032	86800	T	White Oak Springs	Lafayette	109	109	0	0.00%	88	88
02026	86850	T	White River	Ashland	1,072	1,067	5	0.47%	642	636
63042	86900	T	Whitestown	Vernon	639	623	16	2.57%	424	412
65291	86925	C	Whitewater ³	In Multiple Counties	15,773	16,137	- 364	- 2.26%	13,967	14,232
65032	86950	T	Whitewater	Walworth	1,437	1,433	4	0.28%	1,224	1,215
50191	86975	V	Whiting	Portage	1,598	1,601	- 3	- 0.19%	1,325	1,322
37084	87025	T	Wien	Marathon	886	885	1	0.11%	605	602
70191	87075	V	Wild Rose	Waushara	781	780	1	0.13%	629	625
55044	87125	T	Wilkinson	Rusk	50	51	- 1	- 1.96%	44	45
55046	87175	T	Willard	Rusk	527	525	2	0.38%	420	417
65192	87200	V	Williams Bay	Walworth	2,993	2,953	40	1.35%	2,398	2,355
14048	87225	T	Williamstown ²	Dodge	0	0	0	0.00%	0	0
53032	87250	T	Willow	Richland	503	496	7	1.41%	389	382
33034	87275	T	Willow Springs	Lafayette	800	789	11	1.39%	583	572
17044	87375	T	Wilson	Dunn	508	504	4	0.79%	389	384
18026	87400	T	Wilson	Eau Claire	441	427	14	3.28%	336	324
35032	87425	T	Wilson	Lincoln	321	317	4	1.26%	282	277
55048	87450	T	Wilson	Rusk	116	116	0	0.00%	99	99
56191	87475	V	Wilson	St. Croix	208	209	- 1	- 0.48%	158	158
60030	87500	T	Wilson	Sheboygan	3,486	3,484	2	0.06%	2,778	2,764
42191	87525	V	Wilton	Monroe	530	532	- 2	- 0.38%	388	388
42048	87550	T	Wilton	Monroe	995	963	32	3.32%	625	602
64028	87600	T	Winchester	Vilas	530	528	2	0.38%	484	480
71028	87650	T	Winchester	Winnebago	1,796	1,794	2	0.11%	1,421	1,413

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
52192	87700	V	Wind Point	Racine	1,644	1,651	- 7	- 0.42%	1,376	1,376
13196	87725	V	Windsor	Dane	9,305	8,754	551	6.29%	6,838	6,404
57042	87775	T	Winfield	Sauk	898	890	8	0.90%	699	690
22062	87800	T	Wingville	Grant	378	378	0	0.00%	274	273
71191	87900	V	Winneconne	Winnebago	2,542	2,544	- 2	- 0.08%	1,984	1,977
71030	87925	T	Winneconne	Winnebago	2,627	2,590	37	1.43%	2,104	2,065
58190	87975	V	Winter	Sawyer	324	325	- 1	- 0.31%	260	260
58032	88000	T	Winter	Sawyer	951	1,000	- 49	- 4.90%	862	902
33036	88050	T	Wiotra	Lafayette	839	830	9	1.08%	681	671
11291	88150	C	Wisconsin Dells	In Multiple Counties	3,236	2,942	294	9.99%	2,574	2,349
72291	88200	C	Wisconsin Rapids	Wood	18,809	18,877	- 68	- 0.36%	14,898	14,885
10191	88275	V	Withee	Clark	505	506	- 1	- 0.20%	396	395
10062	88300	T	Withee	Clark	1,037	1,033	4	0.39%	599	594
59191	88325	V	Wittenberg	Shawano	999	1,015	- 16	- 1.58%	757	766
59050	88350	T	Wittenberg	Shawano	819	823	- 4	- 0.49%	663	663
34034	88450	T	Wolf River	Langlade	793	783	10	1.28%	693	681
71032	88475	T	Wolf River	Winnebago	1,212	1,203	9	0.75%	1,041	1,029
29191	88500	V	Wonewoc	Juneau	754	758	- 4	- 0.53%	572	572
29038	88525	T	Wonewoc	Juneau	684	677	7	1.03%	530	522
72044	88575	T	Wood	Wood	758	757	1	0.13%	597	594
44038	88625	T	Woodboro	Oneida	813	808	5	0.62%	703	696
57044	88775	T	Woodland	Sauk	849	839	10	1.19%	604	594
22191	88825	V	Woodman	Grant	118	118	0	0.00%	92	92
22064	88850	T	Woodman	Grant	158	158	0	0.00%	121	120
09046	88875	T	Woodmohr	Chippewa	1,008	1,004	4	0.40%	758	752
07042	88900	T	Wood River	Burnett	905	898	7	0.78%	740	731
44040	88950	T	Woodruff	Oneida	2,056	2,044	12	0.59%	1,730	1,712

DOA Code	FIPS 5	Muni Type	Municipality Name	County	Final Estimate 2022	Census 2020	Numeric Change	Percent Change	Voting Age Estimate 2022	Voting Age Census 2020
08018	89000	T	Woodville	Calumet	855	850	5	0.59%	676	669
56192	89025	V	Woodville	St. Croix	1,426	1,386	40	2.89%	1,087	1,052
51034	89100	T	Worcester	Price	1,546	1,543	3	0.19%	1,305	1,297
10064	89125	T	Worden	Clark	711	708	3	0.42%	473	469
05191	89150	V	Wrightstown	In Multiple Counties	3,250	3,179	71	2.23%	2,318	2,257
05040	89175	T	Wrightstown	Brown	2,637	2,578	59	2.29%	1,986	1,933
22066	89250	T	Wyalusing	Grant	336	336	0	0.00%	261	260
42192	89275	V	Wyeville	Monroe	118	121	- 3	- 2.48%	93	95
11191	89300	V	Wyocena	Columbia	752	756	- 4	- 0.53%	627	628
11042	89325	T	Wyocena	Columbia	1,798	1,756	42	2.39%	1,439	1,399
25028	89350	T	Wyoming	Iowa	319	317	2	0.63%	283	280
69044	89375	T	Wyoming	Waupaca	317	318	- 1	- 0.31%	253	253
10066	89425	T	York	Clark	838	844	- 6	- 0.71%	591	593
13070	89450	T	York	Dane	699	697	2	0.29%	549	545
23032	89475	T	York	Green	1,006	969	37	3.82%	773	741
52194	89550	V	Yorkville	Racine	3,273	3,246	27	0.83%	2,547	2,515
53196	89625	V	Yuba	Richland	53	53	0	0.00%	40	40
STATE TOTAL					5,949,155	5,893,718	55,437	0.94%	4,676,183	4,612,301

¹ Due to DSC research, the DSC reassigned 219 Dodge County residents from the Town of Chester to the City of Waupun.

² Strictly speaking, the 2020 Census did not count these Minor Civil Divisions, but the DSC believes these population figures reflect reasonable approximations.

³ The U.S. Census Bureau's Count Question Resolution program reassigned 1,248 residents from the Village of Fontana to the City of Whitewater (Jefferson Co).

⁴ Due to DSC research, the DSC reassigned 811 Juneau County residents from the Town of Lisbon to the City of New Lisbon.

⁵ Due to DSC research, the DSC reassigned 700 Milwaukee County residents from the City of Franklin to the City of Milwaukee.

Population and Housing Data, Wisconsin Municipalities, Census 2000 and 2010 Comparisons										
Based on Census 2010 Geography (see notes at end of table)										
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, C2000 Summary File 1 and C2010 Redistricting Data File										
NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
00100	Abbotsford city	Clark, Marathon	1,956	2,310	354	18.1%	870	941	71	8.2%
00175	Abrams town	Oconto	1,757	1,856	99	5.6%	700	797	97	13.9%
00225	Ackley town	Langlade	510	524	14	2.7%	226	238	12	5.3%
00275	Adams city	Adams	1,831	1,967	136	7.4%	847	990	143	16.9%
00300	Adams town	Adams	1,267	1,345	78	6.2%	856	893	37	4.3%
00325	Adams town	Green	464	530	66	14.2%	180	234	54	30.0%
00350	Adams town	Jackson	1,208	1,342	134	11.1%	564	877	313	55.5%
00425	Addison town	Washington	3,341	3,495	154	4.6%	1,181	1,347	166	14.1%
00450	Adell village	Sheboygan	517	516	-1	-0.2%	216	224	8	3.7%
00475	Adrian town	Monroe	682	762	80	11.7%	248	303	55	22.2%
00550	Agenda town	Ashland	513	422	-91	-17.7%	328	368	40	12.2%
00600	Ahnapee town	Kewaunee	977	940	-37	-3.8%	426	457	31	7.3%
00625	Ainsworth town	Langlade	571	469	-102	-17.9%	549	529	-20	-3.6%
00675	Akan town	Richland	444	403	-41	-9.2%	211	232	21	10.0%
00725	Alban town	Portage	897	885	-12	-1.3%	394	540	146	37.1%
00750	Albany village	Green	1,191	1,018	-173	-14.5%	499	493	-6	-1.2%
00775	Albany town	Green	775	1,106	331	42.7%	303	458	155	51.2%
00800	Albany town	Pepin	620	676	56	9.0%	189	257	68	36.0%
00875	Albion town	Dane	1,823	1,951	128	7.0%	869	925	56	6.4%
00900	Albion town	Jackson	1,093	1,210	117	10.7%	461	537	76	16.5%
00925	Albion town	Trempealeau	595	653	58	9.7%	227	259	32	14.1%
00950	Alden town	Polk	2,615	2,786	171	6.5%	1,235	1,396	161	13.0%
01000	Algoma city	Kewaunee	3,357	3,167	-190	-5.7%	1,632	1,702	70	4.3%
01025	Algoma town	Winnebago	5,702	6,822	1,120	19.6%	1,983	2,533	550	27.7%
01150	Allouez village	Brown	15,443	13,975	-1,468	-9.5%	5,512	5,707	195	3.5%
01225	Alma city	Buffalo	942	781	-161	-17.1%	495	488	-7	-1.4%
01250	Alma town	Buffalo	377	297	-80	-21.2%	157	171	14	8.9%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
01275	Alma town	Jackson	983	1,044	61	6.2%	393	482	89	22.6%
01300	Alma Center village	Jackson	446	503	57	12.8%	214	229	15	7.0%
01325	Almena village	Barron	720	677	-43	-6.0%	308	337	29	9.4%
01350	Almena town	Barron	910	858	-52	-5.7%	662	726	64	9.7%
01375	Almon town	Shawano	591	584	-7	-1.2%	271	313	42	15.5%
01400	Almond village	Portage	459	448	-11	-2.4%	199	197	-2	-1.0%
01425	Almond town	Portage	679	680	1	0.1%	282	303	21	7.4%
01525	Alto town	Fond Du Lac	1,103	1,045	-58	-5.3%	353	379	26	7.4%
01550	Altoona city	Eau Claire	6,698	6,706	8	0.1%	3,063	3,288	225	7.3%
01625	Alvin town	Forest	186	157	-29	-15.6%	411	477	66	16.1%
01675	Amberg town	Marinette	854	726	-128	-15.0%	942	1,051	109	11.6%
01725	Amery city	Polk	2,845	2,902	57	2.0%	1,311	1,445	134	10.2%
01750	Amherst village	Portage	964	1,035	71	7.4%	419	471	52	12.4%
01775	Amherst town	Portage	1,435	1,325	-110	-7.7%	556	597	41	7.4%
01800	Amherst Junction village	Portage	305	377	72	23.6%	111	146	35	31.5%
01825	Amnicon town	Douglas	1,074	1,155	81	7.5%	383	476	93	24.3%
01900	Anderson town	Burnett	372	398	26	7.0%	265	313	48	18.1%
01925	Anderson town	Iron	61	58	-3	-4.9%	118	141	23	19.5%
01975	Angelica town	Shawano	1,635	1,793	158	9.7%	600	699	99	16.5%
02025	Angelo town	Monroe	1,268	1,296	28	2.2%	517	557	40	7.7%
02075	Aniwa village	Shawano	272	260	-12	-4.4%	127	126	-1	-0.8%
02100	Aniwa town	Shawano	586	541	-45	-7.7%	212	246	34	16.0%
02175	Anson town	Chippewa	1,881	2,076	195	10.4%	764	944	180	23.6%
02250	Antigo city	Langlade	8,560	8,234	-326	-3.8%	3,938	3,972	34	0.9%
02275	Antigo town	Langlade	1,487	1,412	-75	-5.0%	580	617	37	6.4%
02350	Apple River town	Polk	1,067	1,146	79	7.4%	625	715	90	14.4%
02375	Appleton city	Calumet, Outagamie, Winnebago	70,087	72,623	2,536	3.6%	27,736	30,348	2,612	9.4%
02450	Arbor Vitae town	Vilas	3,153	3,316	163	5.2%	2,442	2,703	261	10.7%
02500	Arcadia city	Trempealeau	2,402	2,925	523	21.8%	1,112	1,207	95	8.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
02525	Arcadia town	Trempealeau	1,555	1,779	224	14.4%	610	731	121	19.8%
02550	Arena village	Iowa	685	834	149	21.8%	269	354	85	31.6%
02575	Arena town	Iowa	1,444	1,456	12	0.8%	617	678	61	9.9%
02625	Argonne town	Forest	532	512	-20	-3.8%	314	407	93	29.6%
02650	Argyle village	Lafayette	823	857	34	4.1%	365	393	28	7.7%
02675	Argyle town	Lafayette	479	436	-43	-9.0%	210	214	4	1.9%
02775	Arland town	Barron	670	789	119	17.8%	259	294	35	13.5%
02800	Arlington village	Columbia	484	819	335	69.2%	196	330	134	68.4%
02825	Arlington town	Columbia	848	806	-42	-5.0%	308	332	24	7.8%
02850	Armenia town	Juneau	707	699	-8	-1.1%	448	689	241	53.8%
02950	Armstrong Creek town	Forest	463	409	-54	-11.7%	422	476	54	12.8%
03025	Arpin village	Wood	337	333	-4	-1.2%	148	153	5	3.4%
03050	Arpin town	Wood	786	929	143	18.2%	280	349	69	24.6%
03075	Arthur town	Chippewa	710	759	49	6.9%	275	311	36	13.1%
03150	Ashford town	Fond Du Lac	1,773	1,747	-26	-1.5%	668	745	77	11.5%
03200	Ashippun town	Dodge	2,308	2,559	251	10.9%	880	1,029	149	16.9%
03225	Ashland city	Ashland, Bayfield	8,620	8,216	-404	-4.7%	3,777	3,864	87	2.3%
03250	Ashland town	Ashland	603	594	-9	-1.5%	277	340	63	22.7%
03425	Ashwaubenon village	Brown	17,634	16,963	-671	-3.8%	7,260	7,797	537	7.4%
03525	Athelstane town	Marinette	601	504	-97	-16.1%	995	1,202	207	20.8%
03550	Athens village	Marathon	1,095	1,105	10	0.9%	469	503	34	7.2%
03600	Atlanta town	Rusk	627	592	-35	-5.6%	273	330	57	20.9%
03725	Auburn town	Chippewa	580	697	117	20.2%	210	274	64	30.5%
03750	Auburn town	Fond Du Lac	2,075	2,352	277	13.3%	758	988	230	30.3%
03775	Auburndale village	Wood	738	703	-35	-4.7%	297	315	18	6.1%
03800	Auburndale town	Wood	829	860	31	3.7%	309	326	17	5.5%
03825	Augusta city	Eau Claire	1,460	1,550	90	6.2%	632	662	30	4.7%
03875	Aurora town	Florence	1,186	1,036	-150	-12.6%	535	590	55	10.3%
03900	Aurora town	Taylor	386	422	36	9.3%	154	186	32	20.8%
03925	Aurora town	Waushara	971	985	14	1.4%	384	437	53	13.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
04025	Avoca village	Iowa	608	637	29	4.8%	328	347	19	5.8%
04100	Avon town	Rock	586	608	22	3.8%	216	243	27	12.5%
04125	Aztalan town	Jefferson	1,447	1,457	10	0.7%	553	564	11	2.0%
04250	Bagley village	Grant	339	379	40	11.8%	331	409	78	23.6%
04275	Bagley town	Oconto	333	291	-42	-12.6%	229	255	26	11.4%
04325	Baileys Harbor town	Door	1,003	1,022	19	1.9%	1,029	1,378	349	33.9%
04400	Baldwin village	St. Croix	2,667	3,957	1,290	48.4%	1,144	1,724	580	50.7%
04425	Baldwin town	St. Croix	903	928	25	2.8%	315	363	48	15.2%
04475	Balsam Lake village	Polk	950	1,009	59	6.2%	673	732	59	8.8%
04500	Balsam Lake town	Polk	1,384	1,411	27	2.0%	1,018	1,093	75	7.4%
04550	Bangor village	La Crosse	1,400	1,459	59	4.2%	546	597	51	9.3%
04575	Bangor town	La Crosse	583	615	32	5.5%	227	234	7	3.1%
04625	Baraboo city	Sauk	10,711	12,048	1,337	12.5%	4,718	5,619	901	19.1%
04650	Baraboo town	Sauk	1,828	1,672	-156	-8.5%	751	748	-3	-0.4%
04725	Barksdale town	Bayfield	801	723	-78	-9.7%	353	371	18	5.1%
04750	Barnes town	Bayfield	610	769	159	26.1%	1,486	1,564	78	5.2%
04775	Barneveld village	Iowa	1,088	1,231	143	13.1%	400	477	77	19.3%
04825	Barre town	La Crosse	1,014	1,234	220	21.7%	354	481	127	35.9%
04875	Barron city	Barron	3,248	3,423	175	5.4%	1,442	1,526	84	5.8%
04900	Barron town	Barron	1,014	873	-141	-13.9%	323	346	23	7.1%
04950	Barronett town	Washburn	405	442	37	9.1%	192	231	39	20.3%
05000	Bartelme town	Shawano	700	819	119	17.0%	289	372	83	28.7%
05050	Barton town	Washington	2,546	2,637	91	3.6%	919	1,076	157	17.1%
05125	Bashaw town	Washburn	921	946	25	2.7%	387	476	89	23.0%
05200	Bass Lake town	Sawyer	2,244	2,377	133	5.9%	1,836	2,016	180	9.8%
05225	Bass Lake town	Washburn	535	505	-30	-5.6%	277	339	62	22.4%
05325	Bay City village	Pierce	491	500	9	1.8%	202	214	12	5.9%
05350	Bayfield city	Bayfield	611	487	-124	-20.3%	403	482	79	19.6%
05375	Bayfield town	Bayfield	625	680	55	8.8%	491	644	153	31.2%
05450	Bayside village	Milwaukee, Ozaukee	4,518	4,389	-129	-2.9%	1,834	1,945	111	6.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
05475	Bayview town	Bayfield	491	487	-4	-0.8%	283	310	27	9.5%
05550	Bear Bluff town	Jackson	128	138	10	7.8%	56	78	22	39.3%
05575	Bear Creek village	Outagamie	415	448	33	8.0%	172	174	2	1.2%
05600	Bear Creek town	Sauk	497	595	98	19.7%	211	284	73	34.6%
05625	Bear Creek town	Waupaca	838	823	-15	-1.8%	291	323	32	11.0%
05650	Bear Lake town	Barron	587	659	72	12.3%	258	376	118	45.7%
05750	Beaver town	Clark	854	885	31	3.6%	249	267	18	7.2%
05800	Beaver town	Marinette	1,123	1,146	23	2.0%	542	797	255	47.0%
05825	Beaver town	Polk	753	835	82	10.9%	441	521	80	18.1%
05875	Beaver Brook town	Washburn	643	713	70	10.9%	280	350	70	25.0%
05900	Beaver Dam city	Dodge	15,169	16,214	1,045	6.9%	6,685	7,326	641	9.6%
05925	Beaver Dam town	Dodge	3,440	3,962	522	15.2%	1,375	1,711	336	24.4%
06000	Beecher town	Marinette	783	724	-59	-7.5%	971	1,097	126	13.0%
06100	Beetown town	Grant	734	777	43	5.9%	273	301	28	10.3%
06150	Belgium village	Ozaukee	1,678	2,245	567	33.8%	592	848	256	43.2%
06175	Belgium town	Ozaukee	1,513	1,415	-98	-6.5%	631	696	65	10.3%
06200	Bell town	Bayfield	230	263	33	14.3%	412	514	102	24.8%
06225	Bell Center village	Crawford	116	117	1	0.9%	60	64	4	6.7%
06275	Belle Plaine town	Shawano	1,867	1,855	-12	-0.6%	964	1,067	103	10.7%
06300	Belleville village	Dane, Green	1,908	2,385	477	25.0%	788	1,030	242	30.7%
06350	Bellevue village	Brown	11,828	14,570	2,742	23.2%	4,759	6,314	1,555	32.7%
06425	Belmont village	Lafayette	871	986	115	13.2%	401	454	53	13.2%
06450	Belmont town	Lafayette	676	767	91	13.5%	283	284	1	0.4%
06475	Belmont town	Portage	623	616	-7	-1.1%	307	385	78	25.4%
06500	Beloit city	Rock	35,775	36,966	1,191	3.3%	14,262	15,177	915	6.4%
06525	Beloit town	Rock	7,038	7,662	624	8.9%	2,949	3,307	358	12.1%
06675	Belvidere town	Buffalo	442	396	-46	-10.4%	222	219	-3	-1.4%
06775	Bennett town	Douglas	622	597	-25	-4.0%	297	341	44	14.8%
06825	Benton village	Lafayette	976	973	-3	-0.3%	417	426	9	2.2%
06850	Benton town	Lafayette	469	504	35	7.5%	166	192	26	15.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
06875	Bergen town	Marathon	615	641	26	4.2%	244	291	47	19.3%
06900	Bergen town	Vernon	1,317	1,364	47	3.6%	535	603	68	12.7%
06925	Berlin city	Green Lake, Waushara	5,305	5,524	219	4.1%	2,391	2,561	170	7.1%
06950	Berlin town	Green Lake	1,145	1,140	-5	-0.4%	434	487	53	12.2%
06975	Berlin town	Marathon	887	945	58	6.5%	330	387	57	17.3%
07000	Bern town	Marathon	562	591	29	5.2%	180	205	25	13.9%
07025	Berry town	Dane	1,084	1,127	43	4.0%	420	494	74	17.6%
07125	Bevent town	Marathon	1,126	1,118	-8	-0.7%	484	537	53	11.0%
07175	Big Bend town	Rusk	402	358	-44	-10.9%	431	471	40	9.3%
07200	Big Bend village	Waukesha	1,278	1,290	12	0.9%	457	503	46	10.1%
07225	Big Falls town	Rusk	107	140	33	30.8%	52	128	76	146.2%
07250	Big Falls village	Waupaca	85	61	-24	-28.2%	54	54	0	0.0%
07300	Big Flats town	Adams	946	1,018	72	7.6%	797	1,100	303	38.0%
07500	Birch town	Lincoln	801	594	-207	-25.8%	250	285	35	14.0%
07525	Birch Creek town	Chippewa	520	517	-3	-0.6%	389	429	40	10.3%
07550	Birchwood village	Washburn	518	442	-76	-14.7%	269	290	21	7.8%
07575	Birchwood town	Washburn	453	478	25	5.5%	528	757	229	43.4%
07600	Birnamwood village	Marathon, Shawano	795	818	23	2.9%	328	383	55	16.8%
07625	Birnamwood town	Shawano	711	763	52	7.3%	309	336	27	8.7%
07650	Biron village	Wood	915	839	-76	-8.3%	398	402	4	1.0%
07700	Black Brook town	Polk	1,208	1,325	117	9.7%	448	548	100	22.3%
07725	Black Creek village	Outagamie	1,192	1,316	124	10.4%	513	540	27	5.3%
07750	Black Creek town	Outagamie	1,268	1,259	-9	-0.7%	461	487	26	5.6%
07800	Black Earth village	Dane	1,320	1,338	18	1.4%	527	582	55	10.4%
07825	Black Earth town	Dane	449	483	34	7.6%	172	205	33	19.2%
07900	Black River Falls city	Jackson	3,618	3,622	4	0.1%	1,679	1,732	53	3.2%
07950	Blackwell town	Forest	347	332	-15	-4.3%	116	209	93	80.2%
08000	Black Wolf town	Winnebago	2,330	2,410	80	3.4%	1,010	1,120	110	10.9%
08025	Blaine town	Burnett	224	197	-27	-12.1%	238	277	39	16.4%
08075	Blair city	Trempealeau	1,273	1,366	93	7.3%	564	638	74	13.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
08100	Blanchard town	Lafayette	261	264	3	1.1%	103	114	11	10.7%
08125	Blanchardville village	Iowa, Lafayette	806	825	19	2.4%	376	396	20	5.3%
08175	Bloom town	Richland	487	512	25	5.1%	241	286	45	18.7%
08225	Bloomer city	Chippewa	3,347	3,539	192	5.7%	1,487	1,656	169	11.4%
08250	Bloomer town	Chippewa	926	1,050	124	13.4%	335	456	121	36.1%
08275	Bloomfield town	Walworth	5,537	6,278	741	13.4%	2,476	2,747	271	10.9%
08300	Bloomfield town	Waushara	1,018	1,052	34	3.3%	454	518	64	14.1%
08350	Blooming Grove town	Dane	1,768	1,815	47	2.7%	748	830	82	11.0%
08375	Bloomington village	Grant	701	735	34	4.9%	329	338	9	2.7%
08400	Bloomington town	Grant	399	350	-49	-12.3%	147	189	42	28.6%
08475	Blue Mounds village	Dane	708	855	147	20.8%	297	347	50	16.8%
08500	Blue Mounds town	Dane	842	968	126	15.0%	300	367	67	22.3%
08525	Blue River village	Grant	429	434	5	1.2%	205	217	12	5.9%
08600	Boaz village	Richland	137	156	19	13.9%	67	68	1	1.5%
08725	Bonduel village	Shawano	1,416	1,478	62	4.4%	598	645	47	7.9%
08750	Bone Lake town	Polk	710	717	7	1.0%	434	489	55	12.7%
08850	Boscobel city	Grant	3,047	3,231	184	6.0%	1,266	1,307	41	3.2%
08875	Boscobel town	Grant	433	376	-57	-13.2%	199	169	-30	-15.1%
08950	Boulder Junction town	Vilas	958	933	-25	-2.6%	1,407	1,398	-9	-0.6%
08975	Bovina town	Outagamie	1,130	1,145	15	1.3%	414	466	52	12.6%
09025	Bowler village	Shawano	343	302	-41	-12.0%	135	150	15	11.1%
09050	Boyceville village	Dunn	1,043	1,086	43	4.1%	446	494	48	10.8%
09075	Boyd village	Chippewa	680	552	-128	-18.8%	290	253	-37	-12.8%
09175	Bradford town	Rock	1,007	1,121	114	11.3%	398	424	26	6.5%
09225	Bradley town	Lincoln	2,573	2,408	-165	-6.4%	1,840	2,164	324	17.6%
09300	Brandon village	Fond Du Lac	912	879	-33	-3.6%	357	369	12	3.4%
09375	Brazeau town	Oconto	1,408	1,284	-124	-8.8%	1,347	1,520	173	12.8%
09425	Breed town	Oconto	657	712	55	8.4%	322	636	314	97.5%
09500	Bridge Creek town	Eau Claire	1,844	1,900	56	3.0%	703	832	129	18.3%
09550	Bridgeport town	Crawford	946	990	44	4.7%	380	433	53	13.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
09600	Brigham town	Iowa	908	1,034	126	13.9%	375	430	55	14.7%
09635	Brighton town	Kenosha	1,450	1,456	6	0.4%	524	579	55	10.5%
09650	Brighton town	Marathon	611	612	1	0.2%	205	217	12	5.9%
09725	Brillion city	Calumet	2,937	3,148	211	7.2%	1,230	1,349	119	9.7%
09750	Brillion town	Calumet	1,438	1,486	48	3.3%	521	580	59	11.3%
09775	Bristol town	Dane	2,698	3,765	1,067	39.5%	956	1,337	381	39.9%
09800	Bristol village	Kenosha		2,584				1,006		
09825	Bristol town	Kenosha	4,538	2,330	-2,208	-48.7%	1,818	1,063	-755	-41.5%
09900	Brockway town	Jackson	2,580	2,828	248	9.6%	687	848	161	23.4%
09925	Brodhead city	Green, Rock	3,180	3,293	113	3.6%	1,355	1,452	97	7.2%
09975	Brokaw village	Marathon	107	251	144	134.6%	49	138	89	181.6%
10025	Brookfield city	Waukesha	38,649	37,920	-729	-1.9%	14,208	15,317	1,109	7.8%
10050	Brookfield town	Waukesha	6,390	6,116	-274	-4.3%	2,863	3,017	154	5.4%
10075	Brooklyn village	Dane, Green	916	1,401	485	52.9%	351	527	176	50.1%
10100	Brooklyn town	Green	944	1,083	139	14.7%	356	442	86	24.2%
10125	Brooklyn town	Green Lake	1,904	1,826	-78	-4.1%	1,056	1,168	112	10.6%
10150	Brooklyn town	Washburn	281	254	-27	-9.6%	227	273	46	20.3%
10350	Brothertown town	Calumet	1,404	1,329	-75	-5.3%	627	642	15	2.4%
10375	Brown Deer village	Milwaukee	12,170	11,999	-171	-1.4%	5,335	5,579	244	4.6%
10400	Browning town	Taylor	850	905	55	6.5%	313	384	71	22.7%
10450	Brownsville village	Dodge	570	581	11	1.9%	213	233	20	9.4%
10475	Browntown village	Green	252	280	28	11.1%	104	116	12	11.5%
10500	Bruce village	Rusk	787	779	-8	-1.0%	407	419	12	2.9%
10575	Brule town	Douglas	591	656	65	11.0%	270	363	93	34.4%
10625	Brunswick town	Eau Claire	1,598	1,624	26	1.6%	652	702	50	7.7%
10700	Brussels town	Door	1,112	1,136	24	2.2%	428	481	53	12.4%
10750	Buchanan town	Outagamie	5,827	6,755	928	15.9%	1,868	2,453	585	31.3%
10925	Buena Vista town	Portage	1,187	1,198	11	0.9%	446	489	43	9.6%
10950	Buena Vista town	Richland	1,575	1,869	294	18.7%	654	785	131	20.0%
11025	Buffalo town	Buffalo	667	705	38	5.7%	277	316	39	14.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
11050	Buffalo town	Marquette	1,085	1,221	136	12.5%	461	523	62	13.4%
11062	Buffalo City city	Buffalo	1,040	1,023	-17	-1.6%	492	577	85	17.3%
11150	Burke town	Dane	2,990	3,284	294	9.8%	1,208	1,301	93	7.7%
11200	Burlington city	Racine, Walworth	9,936	10,464	528	5.3%	3,976	4,529	553	13.9%
11225	Burlington town	Racine	6,384	6,502	118	1.8%	2,797	2,984	187	6.7%
11275	Burnett town	Dodge	919	904	-15	-1.6%	353	379	26	7.4%
11300	Burns town	La Crosse	979	947	-32	-3.3%	367	415	48	13.1%
11325	Burnside town	Trempealeau	529	511	-18	-3.4%	202	209	7	3.5%
11425	Butler town	Clark	88	96	8	9.1%	49	60	11	22.4%
11475	Butler village	Waukesha	1,881	1,841	-40	-2.1%	938	925	-13	-1.4%
11525	Butternut village	Ashland	407	375	-32	-7.9%	220	214	-6	-2.7%
11600	Byron town	Fond Du Lac	1,550	1,634	84	5.4%	555	652	97	17.5%
11625	Byron town	Monroe	1,394	1,342	-52	-3.7%	556	592	36	6.5%
11675	Cable town	Bayfield	836	825	-11	-1.3%	697	778	81	11.6%
11725	Cadiz town	Green	863	815	-48	-5.6%	349	373	24	6.9%
11750	Cadott village	Chippewa	1,345	1,437	92	6.8%	581	649	68	11.7%
11775	Cady town	St. Croix	710	821	111	15.6%	264	343	79	29.9%
11850	Calamus town	Dodge	1,005	1,048	43	4.3%	396	438	42	10.6%
11900	Caledonia town	Columbia	1,171	1,378	207	17.7%	713	843	130	18.2%
11950	Caledonia village	Racine	23,614	24,705	1,091	4.6%	8,839	10,056	1,217	13.8%
11975	Caledonia town	Trempealeau	759	920	161	21.2%	285	369	84	29.5%
12000	Caledonia town	Waupaca	1,466	1,627	161	11.0%	518	700	182	35.1%
12075	Calumet town	Fond Du Lac	1,514	1,470	-44	-2.9%	774	820	46	5.9%
12200	Cambria village	Columbia	792	767	-25	-3.2%	339	342	3	0.9%
12225	Cambridge village	Dane, Jefferson	1,101	1,457	356	32.3%	483	654	171	35.4%
12250	Cameron village	Barron	1,546	1,783	237	15.3%	661	797	136	20.6%
12275	Cameron town	Wood	510	511	1	0.2%	201	219	18	9.0%
12300	Campbell town	La Crosse	4,410	4,314	-96	-2.2%	1,823	1,995	172	9.4%
12325	Campbellsport village	Fond Du Lac	1,913	2,016	103	5.4%	736	823	87	11.8%
12350	Camp Douglas village	Juneau	592	601	9	1.5%	264	264	0	0.0%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
12500	Canton town	Buffalo	304	305	1	0.3%	112	126	14	12.5%
12525	Carey town	Iron	191	163	-28	-14.7%	176	189	13	7.4%
12575	Carlton town	Kewaunee	1,000	1,014	14	1.4%	383	445	62	16.2%
12725	Carson town	Portage	1,299	1,305	6	0.5%	499	556	57	11.4%
12775	Cary town	Wood	398	424	26	6.5%	228	256	28	12.3%
12825	Cascade village	Sheboygan	681	709	28	4.1%	274	291	17	6.2%
12850	Casco village	Kewaunee	572	583	11	1.9%	236	247	11	4.7%
12875	Casco town	Kewaunee	1,153	1,165	12	1.0%	404	463	59	14.6%
12925	Casey town	Washburn	466	353	-113	-24.2%	657	785	128	19.5%
12950	Cashton village	Monroe	1,005	1,102	97	9.7%	463	497	34	7.3%
12975	Cassel town	Marathon	847	911	64	7.6%	286	341	55	19.2%
13025	Cassian town	Oneida	962	985	23	2.4%	1,011	1,204	193	19.1%
13050	Cassville village	Grant	1,085	947	-138	-12.7%	572	582	10	1.7%
13075	Cassville town	Grant	487	416	-71	-14.6%	220	218	-2	-0.9%
13100	Castle Rock town	Grant	314	248	-66	-21.0%	122	138	16	13.1%
13125	Caswell town	Forest	102	91	-11	-10.8%	156	156	0	0.0%
13175	Catawba village	Price	149	110	-39	-26.2%	79	78	-1	-1.3%
13200	Catawba town	Price	283	269	-14	-4.9%	162	236	74	45.7%
13237	Cato town	Manitowoc	1,616	1,566	-50	-3.1%	571	621	50	8.8%
13300	Cazenovia village	Richland, Sauk	326	318	-8	-2.5%	156	162	6	3.8%
13325	Cecil village	Shawano	466	570	104	22.3%	246	328	82	33.3%
13375	Cedarburg city	Ozaukee	11,102	11,412	310	2.8%	4,593	4,916	323	7.0%
13400	Cedarburg town	Ozaukee	5,550	5,760	210	3.8%	1,931	2,127	196	10.2%
13475	Cedar Grove village	Sheboygan	1,887	2,113	226	12.0%	724	881	157	21.7%
13500	Cedar Lake town	Barron	944	948	4	0.4%	765	1,013	248	32.4%
13550	Cedar Rapids town	Rusk	37	41	4	10.8%	16	38	22	137.5%
13600	Center town	Outagamie	3,163	3,402	239	7.6%	1,105	1,304	199	18.0%
13625	Center town	Rock	1,005	1,066	61	6.1%	384	436	52	13.5%
13750	Centerville town	Manitowoc	713	645	-68	-9.5%	262	283	21	8.0%
13850	Centuria village	Polk	865	948	83	9.6%	361	449	88	24.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
14000	Charlestown town	Calumet	789	775	-14	-1.8%	300	319	19	6.3%
14125	Chase town	Oconto	2,082	3,005	923	44.3%	696	1,055	359	51.6%
14150	Chaseburg village	Vernon	306	284	-22	-7.2%	146	126	-20	-13.7%
14200	Chelsea town	Taylor	719	806	87	12.1%	310	444	134	43.2%
14225	Chenequa village	Waukesha	583	590	7	1.2%	280	324	44	15.7%
14300	Chester town	Dodge	960	687	-273	-28.4%	291	264	-27	-9.3%
14325	Chetek city	Barron	2,180	2,221	41	1.9%	1,052	1,104	52	4.9%
14350	Chetek town	Barron	1,686	1,644	-42	-2.5%	1,073	1,247	174	16.2%
14425	Chicog town	Washburn	268	234	-34	-12.7%	476	578	102	21.4%
14475	Chilton city	Calumet	3,708	3,933	225	6.1%	1,606	1,808	202	12.6%
14500	Chilton town	Calumet	1,130	1,143	13	1.2%	371	431	60	16.2%
14525	Chimney Rock town	Trempealeau	276	241	-35	-12.7%	104	115	11	10.6%
14550	Chippewa town	Ashland	433	374	-59	-13.6%	280	333	53	18.9%
14575	Chippewa Falls city	Chippewa	12,925	13,661	736	5.7%	5,905	6,304	399	6.8%
14650	Christiana town	Dane	1,313	1,235	-78	-5.9%	492	497	5	1.0%
14675	Christiana town	Vernon	871	931	60	6.9%	341	383	42	12.3%
14750	Cicero town	Outagamie	1,092	1,103	11	1.0%	384	422	38	9.9%
14800	City Point town	Jackson	189	182	-7	-3.7%	104	257	153	147.1%
14837	Clam Falls town	Polk	547	596	49	9.0%	352	331	-21	-6.0%
15000	Clarno town	Green	1,079	1,166	87	8.1%	410	469	59	14.4%
15025	Clay Banks town	Door	410	382	-28	-6.8%	240	255	15	6.3%
15075	Clayton town	Crawford	956	958	2	0.2%	524	574	50	9.5%
15100	Clayton village	Polk	507	571	64	12.6%	208	225	17	8.2%
15125	Clayton town	Polk	912	975	63	6.9%	412	470	58	14.1%
15150	Clayton town	Winnebago	2,974	3,951	977	32.9%	1,096	1,488	392	35.8%
15200	Clear Creek town	Eau Claire	712	821	109	15.3%	278	307	29	10.4%
15225	Clearfield town	Juneau	737	728	-9	-1.2%	432	459	27	6.3%
15250	Clear Lake village	Polk	1,051	1,070	19	1.8%	478	502	24	5.0%
15275	Clear Lake town	Polk	800	899	99	12.4%	290	354	64	22.1%
15350	Cleveland town	Chippewa	900	864	-36	-4.0%	339	412	73	21.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
15375	Cleveland town	Jackson	438	481	43	9.8%	188	250	62	33.0%
15400	Cleveland village	Manitowoc	1,361	1,485	124	9.1%	578	643	65	11.2%
15425	Cleveland town	Marathon	1,160	1,488	328	28.3%	422	578	156	37.0%
15450	Cleveland town	Taylor	262	268	6	2.3%	120	222	102	85.0%
15500	Clifton town	Grant	304	385	81	26.6%	112	133	21	18.8%
15550	Clifton town	Monroe	693	690	-3	-0.4%	233	256	23	9.9%
15575	Clifton town	Pierce	1,657	2,012	355	21.4%	592	754	162	27.4%
15600	Clinton town	Barron	920	879	-41	-4.5%	374	400	26	7.0%
15625	Clinton village	Rock	2,162	2,154	-8	-0.4%	815	872	57	7.0%
15650	Clinton town	Rock	893	930	37	4.1%	316	350	34	10.8%
15675	Clinton town	Vernon	1,354	1,358	4	0.3%	351	371	20	5.7%
15725	Clintonville city	Waupaca	4,736	4,559	-177	-3.7%	2,147	2,227	80	3.7%
15750	Clover town	Bayfield	211	223	12	5.7%	364	416	52	14.3%
15825	Cloverland town	Douglas	247	210	-37	-15.0%	111	139	28	25.2%
15850	Cloverland town	Vilas	919	1,029	110	12.0%	936	1,105	169	18.1%
15875	Clyde town	Iowa	322	306	-16	-5.0%	162	175	13	8.0%
15900	Clyman village	Dodge	388	422	34	8.8%	163	177	14	8.6%
15925	Clyman town	Dodge	849	774	-75	-8.8%	309	314	5	1.6%
15975	Cobb village	Iowa	442	458	16	3.6%	199	210	11	5.5%
16025	Cochrane village	Buffalo	435	450	15	3.4%	197	234	37	18.8%
16075	Colburn town	Adams	181	223	42	23.2%	155	218	63	40.6%
16125	Colburn town	Chippewa	727	856	129	17.7%	289	429	140	48.4%
16150	Colby city	Clark, Marathon	1,616	1,852	236	14.6%	645	757	112	17.4%
16175	Colby town	Clark	908	874	-34	-3.7%	281	283	2	0.7%
16225	Cold Spring town	Jefferson	766	727	-39	-5.1%	278	294	16	5.8%
16250	Coleman village	Marinette	716	724	8	1.1%	352	343	-9	-2.6%
16275	Colfax village	Dunn	1,136	1,158	22	1.9%	488	524	36	7.4%
16300	Colfax town	Dunn	909	1,186	277	30.5%	327	448	121	37.0%
16375	Coloma village	Waushara	461	450	-11	-2.4%	197	214	17	8.6%
16400	Coloma town	Waushara	660	753	93	14.1%	500	561	61	12.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
16450	Columbus city	Columbia, Dodge	4,479	4,991	512	11.4%	1,927	2,287	360	18.7%
16475	Columbus town	Columbia	711	646	-65	-9.1%	241	250	9	3.7%
16500	Combined Locks village	Outagamie	2,422	3,328	906	37.4%	903	1,263	360	39.9%
16550	Commonwealth town	Florence	419	399	-20	-4.8%	326	378	52	16.0%
16650	Concord town	Jefferson	2,023	2,072	49	2.4%	770	848	78	10.1%
16750	Conover town	Vilas	1,137	1,235	98	8.6%	1,440	1,673	233	16.2%
16775	Conrath village	Rusk	98	95	-3	-3.1%	39	48	9	23.1%
16800	Cooks Valley town	Chippewa	632	805	173	27.4%	218	278	60	27.5%
16875	Coon town	Vernon	683	728	45	6.6%	295	322	27	9.2%
16900	Coon Valley village	Vernon	714	765	51	7.1%	332	348	16	4.8%
16950	Cooperstown town	Manitowoc	1,389	1,292	-97	-7.0%	486	520	34	7.0%
17100	Cornell city	Chippewa	1,466	1,467	1	0.1%	652	670	18	2.8%
17125	Corning town	Lincoln	826	883	57	6.9%	392	511	119	30.4%
17175	Cottage Grove village	Dane	4,059	6,192	2,133	52.5%	1,453	2,289	836	57.5%
17200	Cottage Grove town	Dane	3,839	3,875	36	0.9%	1,356	1,495	139	10.3%
17225	Couderay village	Sawyer	96	88	-8	-8.3%	54	51	-3	-5.6%
17250	Couderay town	Sawyer	469	401	-68	-14.5%	248	267	19	7.7%
17325	Courtland town	Columbia	463	525	62	13.4%	185	205	20	10.8%
17425	Crandon city	Forest	1,961	1,920	-41	-2.1%	961	964	3	0.3%
17450	Crandon town	Forest	614	650	36	5.9%	443	456	13	2.9%
17525	Cranmoor town	Wood	175	168	-7	-4.0%	75	79	4	5.3%
17625	Crescent town	Oneida	2,071	2,033	-38	-1.8%	1,034	1,252	218	21.1%
17725	Crivitz village	Marinette	998	984	-14	-1.4%	457	533	76	16.6%
17737	Cross town	Buffalo	366	377	11	3.0%	141	165	24	17.0%
17775	Cross Plains village	Dane	3,084	3,538	454	14.7%	1,228	1,452	224	18.2%
17800	Cross Plains town	Dane	1,419	1,507	88	6.2%	525	613	88	16.8%
17850	Crystal town	Washburn	323	267	-56	-17.3%	184	215	31	16.8%
17875	Crystal Lake town	Barron	778	757	-21	-2.7%	435	474	39	9.0%
17900	Crystal Lake town	Marquette	513	484	-29	-5.7%	442	538	96	21.7%
17950	Cuba City city	Grant, Lafayette	2,156	2,086	-70	-3.2%	900	899	-1	-0.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
17975	Cudahy city	Milwaukee	18,429	18,267	-162	-0.9%	8,273	8,662	389	4.7%
18025	Cumberland city	Barron	2,280	2,170	-110	-4.8%	1,134	1,207	73	6.4%
18050	Cumberland town	Barron	942	876	-66	-7.0%	425	444	19	4.5%
18075	Curran town	Jackson	366	343	-23	-6.3%	171	183	12	7.0%
18125	Curtiss village	Clark	198	216	18	9.1%	70	82	12	17.1%
18225	Cutler town	Juneau	282	326	44	15.6%	147	314	167	113.6%
18300	Cylon town	St. Croix	629	683	54	8.6%	232	267	35	15.1%
18425	Dairyland town	Douglas	186	184	-2	-1.1%	139	329	190	136.7%
18475	Dakota town	Waushara	1,259	1,227	-32	-2.5%	693	714	21	3.0%
18525	Dale town	Outagamie	2,288	2,731	443	19.4%	812	1,023	211	26.0%
18575	Dallas village	Barron	356	409	53	14.9%	169	173	4	2.4%
18600	Dallas town	Barron	604	574	-30	-5.0%	235	236	1	0.4%
18700	Dane village	Dane	799	995	196	24.5%	288	373	85	29.5%
18725	Dane town	Dane	968	990	22	2.3%	339	370	31	9.1%
18750	Daniels town	Burnett	665	649	-16	-2.4%	429	495	66	15.4%
18825	Darien village	Walworth	1,572	1,580	8	0.5%	560	611	51	9.1%
18850	Darien town	Walworth	1,747	1,693	-54	-3.1%	650	664	14	2.2%
18875	Darlington city	Lafayette	2,418	2,451	33	1.4%	1,052	1,082	30	2.9%
18900	Darlington town	Lafayette	757	875	118	15.6%	276	349	73	26.4%
18950	Day town	Marathon	1,023	1,085	62	6.1%	367	427	60	16.3%
19000	Dayton town	Richland	723	693	-30	-4.1%	307	345	38	12.4%
19025	Dayton town	Waupaca	2,734	2,748	14	0.5%	1,484	1,675	191	12.9%
19075	Decatur town	Green	1,688	1,767	79	4.7%	645	739	94	14.6%
19200	Deer Creek town	Outagamie	682	637	-45	-6.6%	269	275	6	2.2%
19225	Deer Creek town	Taylor	733	768	35	4.8%	253	282	29	11.5%
19250	Deerfield village	Dane	1,971	2,319	348	17.7%	749	911	162	21.6%
19275	Deerfield town	Dane	1,470	1,585	115	7.8%	503	565	62	12.3%
19300	Deerfield town	Waushara	629	737	108	17.2%	487	520	33	6.8%
19325	Deer Park village	St. Croix	227	216	-11	-4.8%	94	113	19	20.2%
19350	DeForest village	Dane	7,368	8,936	1,568	21.3%	2,761	3,499	738	26.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
19375	Dekorra town	Columbia	2,350	2,311	-39	-1.7%	1,237	1,337	100	8.1%
19400	Delafield city	Waukesha	6,472	7,085	613	9.5%	2,685	2,974	289	10.8%
19425	Delafield town	Waukesha	7,820	8,400	580	7.4%	2,625	2,998	373	14.2%
19450	Delavan city	Walworth	7,956	8,463	507	6.4%	3,215	3,500	285	8.9%
19475	Delavan town	Walworth	4,559	5,285	726	15.9%	3,054	3,838	784	25.7%
19550	Dellona town	Sauk	1,199	1,552	353	29.4%	498	764	266	53.4%
19575	Dell Prairie town	Adams	1,415	1,590	175	12.4%	754	957	203	26.9%
19625	Delmar town	Chippewa	941	936	-5	-0.5%	328	367	39	11.9%
19662	Delta town	Bayfield	235	273	38	16.2%	328	378	50	15.2%
19675	Delton town	Sauk	2,024	2,391	367	18.1%	862	1,098	236	27.4%
19700	Denmark village	Brown	1,958	2,123	165	8.4%	833	988	155	18.6%
19775	De Pere city	Brown	20,559	23,800	3,241	15.8%	7,993	9,742	1,749	21.9%
19850	De Soto village	Crawford, Vernon	366	287	-79	-21.6%	191	176	-15	-7.9%
19925	Dewey town	Burnett	565	516	-49	-8.7%	303	345	42	13.9%
19975	Dewey town	Portage	975	932	-43	-4.4%	378	403	25	6.6%
20000	Dewey town	Rusk	523	545	22	4.2%	277	427	150	54.2%
20025	Dewhurst town	Clark	321	323	2	0.6%	267	401	134	50.2%
20075	Dexter town	Wood	379	359	-20	-5.3%	190	229	39	20.5%
20150	Diamond Bluff town	Pierce	479	469	-10	-2.1%	203	221	18	8.9%
20175	Dickeyville village	Grant	1,043	1,061	18	1.7%	431	471	40	9.3%
20300	Dodge town	Trempealeau	414	389	-25	-6.0%	176	201	25	14.2%
20350	Dodgeville city	Iowa	4,220	4,693	473	11.2%	1,831	2,117	286	15.6%
20375	Dodgeville town	Iowa	1,407	1,708	301	21.4%	588	738	150	25.5%
20450	Dorchester village	Clark, Marathon	827	876	49	5.9%	354	383	29	8.2%
20475	Doty town	Oconto	249	260	11	4.4%	438	700	262	59.8%
20525	Douglas town	Marquette	768	725	-43	-5.6%	382	424	42	11.0%
20550	Dousman village	Waukesha	1,584	2,302	718	45.3%	587	975	388	66.1%
20575	Dover town	Buffalo	484	486	2	0.4%	156	199	43	27.6%
20625	Dover town	Racine	3,908	4,051	143	3.7%	1,344	1,551	207	15.4%
20650	Dovre town	Barron	680	849	169	24.9%	259	344	85	32.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
20675	Downing village	Dunn	257	265	8	3.1%	107	108	1	0.9%
20750	Doyle town	Barron	498	453	-45	-9.0%	194	215	21	10.8%
20775	Doylestown village	Columbia	328	297	-31	-9.5%	123	123	0	0.0%
20800	Drammen town	Eau Claire	800	783	-17	-2.1%	318	334	16	5.0%
20825	Draper town	Sawyer	171	204	33	19.3%	397	484	87	21.9%
20850	Dresser village	Polk	732	895	163	22.3%	312	382	70	22.4%
20887	Drummond town	Bayfield	541	463	-78	-14.4%	645	693	48	7.4%
21000	Dunbar town	Marinette	1,303	1,094	-209	-16.0%	793	883	90	11.3%
21100	Dunkirk town	Dane	2,053	1,945	-108	-5.3%	776	811	35	4.5%
21125	Dunn town	Dane	5,270	4,931	-339	-6.4%	2,259	2,291	32	1.4%
21150	Dunn town	Dunn	1,492	1,524	32	2.1%	578	643	65	11.2%
21200	Dupont town	Waupaca	741	738	-3	-0.4%	257	315	58	22.6%
21225	Durand city	Pepin	1,968	1,931	-37	-1.9%	876	906	30	3.4%
21250	Durand town	Pepin	694	742	48	6.9%	245	284	39	15.9%
21400	Eagle town	Richland	593	531	-62	-10.5%	267	270	3	1.1%
21425	Eagle village	Waukesha	1,707	1,950	243	14.2%	605	704	99	16.4%
21450	Eagle town	Waukesha	3,117	3,507	390	12.5%	1,118	1,362	244	21.8%
21600	Eagle Point town	Chippewa	3,049	3,053	4	0.1%	1,018	1,345	327	32.1%
21625	Eagle River city	Vilas	1,443	1,398	-45	-3.1%	726	876	150	20.7%
21900	Eastman village	Crawford	437	428	-9	-2.1%	170	179	9	5.3%
21925	Eastman town	Crawford	790	739	-51	-6.5%	475	427	-48	-10.1%
22000	Easton town	Adams	1,194	1,130	-64	-5.4%	821	864	43	5.2%
22025	Easton town	Marathon	1,062	1,111	49	4.6%	400	446	46	11.5%
22100	East Troy village	Walworth	3,564	4,281	717	20.1%	1,396	1,866	470	33.7%
22125	East Troy town	Walworth	3,830	4,021	191	5.0%	1,753	1,914	161	9.2%
22225	Eaton town	Brown	1,414	1,508	94	6.6%	480	565	85	17.7%
22250	Eaton town	Clark	665	712	47	7.1%	221	253	32	14.5%
22275	Eaton town	Manitowoc	761	833	72	9.5%	288	345	57	19.8%
22300	Eau Claire city	Chippewa, Eau Claire	61,704	65,883	4,179	6.8%	24,895	28,134	3,239	13.0%
22375	Eau Galle town	Dunn	797	757	-40	-5.0%	333	357	24	7.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
22400	Eau Galle town	St. Croix	882	1,139	257	29.1%	320	422	102	31.9%
22425	Eau Pleine town	Marathon	750	773	23	3.1%	278	308	30	10.8%
22450	Eau Pleine town	Portage	931	908	-23	-2.5%	402	456	54	13.4%
22475	Eden village	Fond Du Lac	687	875	188	27.4%	303	360	57	18.8%
22500	Eden town	Fond Du Lac	979	1,028	49	5.0%	344	403	59	17.2%
22525	Eden town	Iowa	397	355	-42	-10.6%	147	151	4	2.7%
22550	Edgar village	Marathon	1,386	1,479	93	6.7%	568	635	67	11.8%
22575	Edgerton city	Dane, Rock	4,933	5,461	528	10.7%	2,084	2,410	326	15.6%
22625	Edgewater town	Sawyer	586	519	-67	-11.4%	640	839	199	31.1%
22750	Edson town	Chippewa	966	1,089	123	12.7%	322	404	82	25.5%
22850	Egg Harbor village	Door	250	201	-49	-19.6%	568	727	159	28.0%
22875	Egg Harbor town	Door	1,194	1,342	148	12.4%	930	1,795	865	93.0%
22925	Eileen town	Bayfield	640	681	41	6.4%	275	308	33	12.0%
22950	Eisenstein town	Price	669	630	-39	-5.8%	469	565	96	20.5%
22975	Eland village	Shawano	251	202	-49	-19.5%	96	93	-3	-3.1%
23000	Elba town	Dodge	1,086	996	-90	-8.3%	397	423	26	6.5%
23050	Elcho town	Langlade	1,317	1,233	-84	-6.4%	1,370	1,512	142	10.4%
23075	Elderon village	Marathon	189	179	-10	-5.3%	81	83	2	2.5%
23100	Elderon town	Marathon	567	606	39	6.9%	260	301	41	15.8%
23150	Eldorado town	Fond Du Lac	1,447	1,462	15	1.0%	506	566	60	11.9%
23175	Eleva village	Trempealeau	635	670	35	5.5%	290	305	15	5.2%
23200	Elk town	Price	1,183	988	-195	-16.5%	933	1,026	93	10.0%
23262	Elk Grove town	Lafayette	463	551	88	19.0%	158	176	18	11.4%
23275	Elkhart Lake village	Sheboygan	1,021	967	-54	-5.3%	599	706	107	17.9%
23300	Elkhorn city	Walworth	7,305	10,084	2,779	38.0%	3,014	4,043	1,029	34.1%
23325	Elk Mound village	Dunn	785	878	93	11.8%	311	358	47	15.1%
23350	Elk Mound town	Dunn	1,121	1,792	671	59.9%	395	636	241	61.0%
23400	Ellenboro town	Grant	608	525	-83	-13.7%	208	208	0	0.0%
23425	Ellington town	Outagamie	2,535	2,758	223	8.8%	870	1,052	182	20.9%
23525	Ellsworth village	Pierce	2,909	3,284	375	12.9%	1,210	1,434	224	18.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
23550	Ellsworth town	Pierce	1,064	1,146	82	7.7%	391	454	63	16.1%
23575	Elm Grove village	Waukesha	6,249	5,934	-315	-5.0%	2,556	2,513	-43	-1.7%
23700	Elmwood village	Pierce	841	817	-24	-2.9%	366	379	13	3.6%
23725	Elmwood Park village	Racine	474	497	23	4.9%	204	203	-1	-0.5%
23775	El Paso town	Pierce	690	681	-9	-1.3%	239	284	45	18.8%
23800	Elroy city	Juneau	1,578	1,442	-136	-8.6%	692	680	-12	-1.7%
23850	Embarrass village	Waupaca	487	404	-83	-17.0%	164	151	-13	-7.9%
23925	Emerald town	St. Croix	691	853	162	23.4%	244	315	71	29.1%
23975	Emery town	Price	325	297	-28	-8.6%	206	262	56	27.2%
24000	Emmet town	Dodge	1,221	1,302	81	6.6%	427	519	92	21.5%
24025	Emmet town	Marathon	842	931	89	10.6%	288	347	59	20.5%
24050	Empire town	Fond Du Lac	2,620	2,797	177	6.8%	944	1,109	165	17.5%
24075	Endeavor village	Marquette	440	468	28	6.4%	170	189	19	11.2%
24125	Enterprise town	Oneida	274	315	41	15.0%	386	460	74	19.2%
24150	Ephraim village	Door	353	288	-65	-18.4%	771	654	-117	-15.2%
24225	Erin town	Washington	3,664	3,747	83	2.3%	1,352	1,485	133	9.8%
24275	Erin Prairie town	St. Croix	658	688	30	4.6%	234	267	33	14.1%
24375	Estella town	Chippewa	469	433	-36	-7.7%	190	209	19	10.0%
24400	Ettrick village	Trempealeau	521	524	3	0.6%	254	256	2	0.8%
24425	Ettrick town	Trempealeau	1,284	1,237	-47	-3.7%	526	555	29	5.5%
24450	Eureka town	Polk	1,338	1,649	311	23.2%	567	756	189	33.3%
24550	Evansville city	Rock	4,039	5,012	973	24.1%	1,635	2,067	432	26.4%
24575	Evergreen town	Langlade	468	495	27	5.8%	218	281	63	28.9%
24600	Evergreen town	Washburn	1,076	1,135	59	5.5%	530	664	134	25.3%
24675	Excelsior town	Sauk	1,410	1,575	165	11.7%	558	671	113	20.3%
24700	Exeland village	Sawyer	212	196	-16	-7.5%	110	111	1	0.9%
24725	Exeter town	Green	1,261	2,023	762	60.4%	470	745	275	58.5%
24775	Fairbanks town	Shawano	687	616	-71	-10.3%	268	292	24	9.0%
24825	Fairchild village	Eau Claire	564	550	-14	-2.5%	236	275	39	16.5%
24850	Fairchild town	Eau Claire	351	343	-8	-2.3%	153	197	44	28.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
24900	Fairfield town	Sauk	1,023	1,077	54	5.3%	420	462	42	10.0%
25075	Fairwater village	Fond Du Lac	350	371	21	6.0%	142	160	18	12.7%
25125	Fall Creek village	Eau Claire	1,236	1,315	79	6.4%	495	553	58	11.7%
25150	Fall River village	Columbia	1,097	1,712	615	56.1%	459	671	212	46.2%
25300	Farmington town	Jefferson	1,498	1,380	-118	-7.9%	561	556	-5	-0.9%
25325	Farmington town	La Crosse	1,733	2,061	328	18.9%	706	877	171	24.2%
25350	Farmington town	Polk	1,625	1,836	211	13.0%	555	694	139	25.0%
25375	Farmington town	Washington	3,239	4,014	775	23.9%	1,183	1,543	360	30.4%
25400	Farmington town	Waupaca	4,148	3,974	-174	-4.2%	1,637	1,814	177	10.8%
25475	Fayette town	Lafayette	366	376	10	2.7%	155	170	15	9.7%
25575	Fence town	Florence	231	192	-39	-16.9%	373	445	72	19.3%
25600	Fennimore city	Grant	2,387	2,497	110	4.6%	1,068	1,145	77	7.2%
25625	Fennimore town	Grant	599	612	13	2.2%	216	234	18	8.3%
25650	Fenwood village	Marathon	174	152	-22	-12.6%	63	67	4	6.3%
25675	Fern town	Florence	153	159	6	3.9%	334	373	39	11.7%
25725	Ferryville village	Crawford	174	176	2	1.1%	149	165	16	10.7%
25775	Fifield town	Price	989	901	-88	-8.9%	760	990	230	30.3%
25850	Finley town	Juneau	84	97	13	15.5%	97	126	29	29.9%
25950	Fitchburg city	Dane	20,501	25,260	4,759	23.2%	8,604	10,668	2,064	24.0%
26050	Flambeau town	Price	535	489	-46	-8.6%	460	575	115	25.0%
26100	Flambeau town	Rusk	1,067	1,059	-8	-0.7%	438	478	40	9.1%
26200	Florence town	Florence	2,319	2,002	-317	-13.7%	1,724	1,892	168	9.7%
26275	Fond du Lac city	Fond Du Lac	42,203	43,021	818	1.9%	17,519	19,181	1,662	9.5%
26300	Fond du Lac town	Fond Du Lac	2,027	3,015	988	48.7%	840	1,239	399	47.5%
26350	Fontana-on-Geneva Lake village	Walworth	1,754	1,672	-82	-4.7%	1,974	2,308	334	16.9%
26400	Footville village	Rock	788	808	20	2.5%	326	326	0	0.0%
26425	Ford town	Taylor	276	268	-8	-2.9%	103	136	33	32.0%
26450	Forest town	Fond Du Lac	1,108	1,080	-28	-2.5%	410	436	26	6.3%
26475	Forest town	Richland	390	352	-38	-9.7%	173	201	28	16.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
26525	Forest town	St. Croix	590	629	39	6.6%	218	259	41	18.8%
26550	Forest town	Vernon	583	634	51	8.7%	258	301	43	16.7%
26625	Forestville village	Door	429	430	1	0.2%	202	206	4	2.0%
26650	Forestville town	Door	1,086	1,096	10	0.9%	432	486	54	12.5%
26675	Fort Atkinson city	Jefferson	11,621	12,368	747	6.4%	4,983	5,429	446	9.0%
26725	Fort Winnebago town	Columbia	855	825	-30	-3.5%	343	372	29	8.5%
26775	Foster town	Clark	95	95	0	0.0%	145	171	26	17.9%
26825	Fountain town	Juneau	582	555	-27	-4.6%	221	260	39	17.6%
26850	Fountain City city	Buffalo	983	859	-124	-12.6%	470	467	-3	-0.6%
26875	Fountain Prairie town	Columbia	810	887	77	9.5%	318	375	57	17.9%
27000	Fox Lake city	Dodge	1,454	1,519	65	4.5%	695	801	106	15.3%
27025	Fox Lake town	Dodge	2,402	2,465	63	2.6%	897	902	5	0.6%
27075	Fox Point village	Milwaukee	7,012	6,701	-311	-4.4%	2,910	2,928	18	0.6%
27125	Francis Creek village	Manitowoc	681	669	-12	-1.8%	280	296	16	5.7%
27150	Frankfort town	Marathon	651	670	19	2.9%	222	249	27	12.2%
27175	Frankfort town	Pepin	362	343	-19	-5.2%	161	190	29	18.0%
27225	Franklin town	Jackson	325	448	123	37.8%	175	222	47	26.9%
27250	Franklin town	Kewaunee	997	993	-4	-0.4%	359	417	58	16.2%
27275	Franklin town	Manitowoc	1,293	1,264	-29	-2.2%	491	537	46	9.4%
27300	Franklin city	Milwaukee	29,494	35,451	5,957	20.2%	10,936	14,356	3,420	31.3%
27350	Franklin town	Sauk	696	652	-44	-6.3%	267	282	15	5.6%
27400	Franklin town	Vernon	923	1,140	217	23.5%	382	470	88	23.0%
27450	Franzen town	Marathon	505	578	73	14.5%	212	256	44	20.8%
27500	Frederic village	Polk	1,262	1,137	-125	-9.9%	586	567	-19	-3.2%
27550	Fredonia village	Ozaukee	1,934	2,160	226	11.7%	734	873	139	18.9%
27575	Fredonia town	Ozaukee	2,083	2,172	89	4.3%	751	840	89	11.9%
27600	Freedom town	Forest	376	345	-31	-8.2%	435	482	47	10.8%
27650	Freedom town	Outagamie	5,241	5,842	601	11.5%	1,859	2,178	319	17.2%
27675	Freedom town	Sauk	416	447	31	7.5%	182	225	43	23.6%
27700	Freeman town	Crawford	719	686	-33	-4.6%	493	573	80	16.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
27775	Fremont town	Clark	1,190	1,265	75	6.3%	393	456	63	16.0%
27800	Fremont village	Waupaca	666	679	13	2.0%	406	408	2	0.5%
27850	Fremont town	Waupaca	632	597	-35	-5.5%	278	317	39	14.0%
27950	Friendship village	Adams	781	725	-56	-7.2%	294	323	29	9.9%
27975	Friendship town	Fond Du Lac	2,406	2,675	269	11.2%	1,062	1,242	180	16.9%
28000	Friesland village	Columbia	298	356	58	19.5%	114	141	27	23.7%
28025	Frog Creek town	Washburn	160	130	-30	-18.8%	86	102	16	18.6%
28075	Fulton town	Rock	3,158	3,252	94	3.0%	1,637	1,537	-100	-6.1%
28150	Gale town	Trempealeau	1,426	1,695	269	18.9%	592	694	102	17.2%
28200	Galesville city	Trempealeau	1,427	1,481	54	3.8%	648	694	46	7.1%
28250	Garden Valley town	Jackson	406	422	16	3.9%	169	195	26	15.4%
28300	Gardner town	Door	1,197	1,194	-3	-0.3%	966	1,137	171	17.7%
28325	Garfield town	Jackson	529	638	109	20.6%	208	322	114	54.8%
28350	Garfield town	Polk	1,443	1,692	249	17.3%	707	933	226	32.0%
28450	Gays Mills village	Crawford	625	491	-134	-21.4%	289	270	-19	-6.6%
28487	Genesee town	Waukesha	7,284	7,340	56	0.8%	2,481	2,736	255	10.3%
28550	Geneva town	Walworth	4,099	4,993	894	21.8%	2,826	3,458	632	22.4%
28625	Genoa village	Vernon	263	253	-10	-3.8%	120	120	0	0.0%
28650	Genoa town	Vernon	705	789	84	11.9%	392	401	9	2.3%
28675	Genoa City village	Kenosha, Walworth	1,949	3,042	1,093	56.1%	699	1,178	479	68.5%
28725	Georgetown town	Polk	1,004	977	-27	-2.7%	1,274	1,337	63	4.9%
28750	Georgetown town	Price	164	171	7	4.3%	117	143	26	22.2%
28825	Germania town	Shawano	339	332	-7	-2.1%	142	171	29	20.4%
28850	Germantown town	Juneau	1,174	1,471	297	25.3%	1,344	1,731	387	28.8%
28875	Germantown village	Washington	18,260	19,749	1,489	8.2%	7,075	8,092	1,017	14.4%
28900	Germantown town	Washington	278	254	-24	-8.6%	94	92	-2	-2.1%
28950	Gibraltar town	Door	1,063	1,021	-42	-4.0%	1,159	1,597	438	37.8%
28975	Gibson town	Manitowoc	1,352	1,344	-8	-0.6%	495	577	82	16.6%
29050	Gillett city	Oconto	1,262	1,386	124	9.8%	547	656	109	19.9%
29075	Gillett town	Oconto	1,090	1,043	-47	-4.3%	444	454	10	2.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
29150	Gilman town	Pierce	772	959	187	24.2%	289	398	109	37.7%
29175	Gilman village	Taylor	474	410	-64	-13.5%	209	218	9	4.3%
29225	Gilmanton town	Buffalo	470	426	-44	-9.4%	180	190	10	5.6%
29250	Gingles town	Ashland	640	778	138	21.6%	273	328	55	20.1%
29350	Glenbeulah village	Sheboygan	378	463	85	22.5%	160	204	44	27.5%
29375	Glencoe town	Buffalo	478	485	7	1.5%	180	208	28	15.6%
29400	Glendale city	Milwaukee	13,367	12,872	-495	-3.7%	5,974	6,191	217	3.6%
29450	Glendale town	Monroe	563	667	104	18.5%	245	287	42	17.1%
29475	Glen Flora village	Rusk	93	92	-1	-1.1%	47	47	0	0.0%
29525	Glen Haven town	Grant	490	417	-73	-14.9%	198	200	2	1.0%
29550	Glenmore town	Brown	1,187	1,135	-52	-4.4%	382	418	36	9.4%
29600	Glenwood town	St. Croix	755	785	30	4.0%	263	307	44	16.7%
29625	Glenwood City city	St. Croix	1,183	1,242	59	5.0%	491	561	70	14.3%
29700	Goetz town	Chippewa	695	762	67	9.6%	235	294	59	25.1%
29775	Goodman town	Marinette	820	619	-201	-24.5%	680	840	160	23.5%
29837	Goodrich town	Taylor	487	510	23	4.7%	203	243	40	19.7%
29875	Gordon town	Ashland	357	283	-74	-20.7%	397	444	47	11.8%
29925	Gordon town	Douglas	645	636	-9	-1.4%	471	878	407	86.4%
30000	Grafton village	Ozaukee	10,464	11,459	995	9.5%	4,245	5,125	880	20.7%
30025	Grafton town	Ozaukee	3,980	4,053	73	1.8%	1,528	1,711	183	12.0%
30075	Grand Chute town	Outagamie	18,392	20,919	2,527	13.7%	7,965	9,932	1,967	24.7%
30125	Grand Rapids town	Wood	7,801	7,646	-155	-2.0%	2,854	3,075	221	7.7%
30175	Grandview town	Bayfield	483	468	-15	-3.1%	529	587	58	11.0%
30275	Grant town	Clark	920	916	-4	-0.4%	361	377	16	4.4%
30300	Grant town	Dunn	426	385	-41	-9.6%	160	173	13	8.1%
30325	Grant town	Monroe	483	495	12	2.5%	211	235	24	11.4%
30350	Grant town	Portage	2,020	1,906	-114	-5.6%	748	798	50	6.7%
30375	Grant town	Rusk	767	813	46	6.0%	324	365	41	12.7%
30400	Grant town	Shawano	974	991	17	1.7%	390	448	58	14.9%
30425	Grantton village	Clark	406	355	-51	-12.6%	164	165	1	0.6%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
30450	Grantsburg village	Burnett	1,369	1,341	-28	-2.0%	590	636	46	7.8%
30475	Grantsburg town	Burnett	967	1,136	169	17.5%	445	589	144	32.4%
30575	Gratiot village	Lafayette	252	236	-16	-6.3%	103	108	5	4.9%
30600	Gratiot town	Lafayette	653	550	-103	-15.8%	258	261	3	1.2%
31000	Green Bay city	Brown	102,767	104,057	1,290	1.3%	43,123	45,241	2,118	4.9%
31025	Green Bay town	Brown	1,772	2,035	263	14.8%	685	863	178	26.0%
31100	Greenbush town	Sheboygan	2,619	1,534	-1,085	-41.4%	551	594	43	7.8%
31125	Greendale village	Milwaukee	14,405	14,046	-359	-2.5%	6,165	6,294	129	2.1%
31150	Greenfield town	La Crosse	1,538	2,060	522	33.9%	570	776	206	36.1%
31175	Greenfield city	Milwaukee	35,476	36,720	1,244	3.5%	16,203	17,790	1,587	9.8%
31225	Greenfield town	Monroe	626	707	81	12.9%	269	326	57	21.2%
31250	Greenfield town	Sauk	911	932	21	2.3%	384	405	21	5.5%
31275	Green Grove town	Clark	675	756	81	12.0%	225	248	23	10.2%
31300	Green Lake city	Green Lake	1,100	960	-140	-12.7%	732	766	34	4.6%
31350	Green Lake town	Green Lake	1,258	1,154	-104	-8.3%	1,010	1,079	69	6.8%
31450	Green Valley town	Marathon	514	541	27	5.3%	249	315	66	26.5%
31500	Green Valley town	Shawano	1,024	1,089	65	6.3%	412	462	50	12.1%
31550	Greenville town	Outagamie	6,844	10,309	3,465	50.6%	2,353	3,722	1,369	58.2%
31575	Greenwood city	Clark	1,079	1,026	-53	-4.9%	502	520	18	3.6%
31600	Greenwood town	Taylor	642	638	-4	-0.6%	295	380	85	28.8%
31625	Greenwood town	Vernon	770	847	77	10.0%	252	285	33	13.1%
31675	Gresham village	Shawano	575	586	11	1.9%	257	280	23	8.9%
31725	Grover town	Marinette	1,729	1,768	39	2.3%	676	763	87	12.9%
31750	Grover town	Taylor	233	256	23	9.9%	135	293	158	117.0%
31775	Grow town	Rusk	473	427	-46	-9.7%	175	188	13	7.4%
31800	Guenther town	Marathon	302	341	39	12.9%	130	152	22	16.9%
31825	Gull Lake town	Washburn	158	186	28	17.7%	145	223	78	53.8%
31875	Gurney town	Iron	158	159	1	0.6%	84	112	28	33.3%
31925	Hackett town	Price	202	169	-33	-16.3%	110	154	44	40.0%
32050	Hale town	Trempealeau	988	1,037	49	5.0%	380	420	40	10.5%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
32075	Hales Corners village	Milwaukee	7,765	7,692	-73	-0.9%	3,377	3,505	128	3.8%
32125	Hallie town	Chippewa	4,703	161	-4,542	-96.6%	1,729	63	-1,666	-96.4%
32150	Halsey town	Marathon	645	651	6	0.9%	208	228	20	9.6%
32200	Hamburg town	Marathon	910	918	8	0.9%	303	341	38	12.5%
32225	Hamburg town	Vernon	848	973	125	14.7%	325	371	46	14.2%
32275	Hamilton town	La Crosse	2,103	2,436	333	15.8%	732	882	150	20.5%
32300	Hammel town	Taylor	735	713	-22	-3.0%	346	432	86	24.9%
32325	Hammond village	St. Croix	1,153	1,922	769	66.7%	438	773	335	76.5%
32350	Hammond town	St. Croix	947	2,102	1,155	122.0%	318	730	412	129.6%
32375	Hampden town	Columbia	563	574	11	2.0%	219	235	16	7.3%
32450	Hancock village	Waushara	463	417	-46	-9.9%	254	251	-3	-1.2%
32475	Hancock town	Waushara	531	528	-3	-0.6%	384	422	38	9.9%
32500	Haney town	Crawford	330	309	-21	-6.4%	172	174	2	1.2%
32575	Hansen town	Wood	707	690	-17	-2.4%	272	295	23	8.5%
32650	Harding town	Lincoln	334	372	38	11.4%	224	275	51	22.8%
32675	Harmony town	Price	211	222	11	5.2%	140	186	46	32.9%
32700	Harmony town	Rock	2,351	2,569	218	9.3%	802	935	133	16.6%
32725	Harmony town	Vernon	739	755	16	2.2%	279	308	29	10.4%
32775	Harris town	Marquette	729	790	61	8.4%	359	437	78	21.7%
32800	Harrison town	Calumet	5,756	10,839	5,083	88.3%	2,139	3,801	1,662	77.7%
32825	Harrison town	Grant	497	495	-2	-0.4%	186	200	14	7.5%
32875	Harrison town	Lincoln	793	833	40	5.0%	679	933	254	37.4%
32900	Harrison town	Marathon	418	374	-44	-10.5%	155	178	23	14.8%
32925	Harrison town	Waupaca	509	468	-41	-8.1%	303	347	44	14.5%
33000	Hartford city	Dodge, Washington	10,905	14,223	3,318	30.4%	4,434	6,032	1,598	36.0%
33025	Hartford town	Washington	4,031	3,609	-422	-10.5%	1,438	1,450	12	0.8%
33050	Hartland town	Pierce	814	827	13	1.6%	307	362	55	17.9%
33075	Hartland town	Shawano	825	904	79	9.6%	284	319	35	12.3%
33100	Hartland village	Waukesha	7,905	9,110	1,205	15.2%	3,140	3,746	606	19.3%
33175	Hatley village	Marathon	476	574	98	20.6%	193	233	40	20.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
33225	Haugen village	Barron	287	287	0	0.0%	131	143	12	9.2%
33275	Hawkins village	Rusk	317	305	-12	-3.8%	147	182	35	23.8%
33300	Hawkins town	Rusk	170	153	-17	-10.0%	97	148	51	52.6%
33350	Hawthorne town	Douglas	1,045	1,136	91	8.7%	399	459	60	15.0%
33400	Hay River town	Dunn	546	558	12	2.2%	204	248	44	21.6%
33450	Hayward city	Sawyer	2,129	2,318	189	8.9%	1,064	1,227	163	15.3%
33475	Hayward town	Sawyer	3,279	3,567	288	8.8%	1,841	2,169	328	17.8%
33500	Hazel Green village	Grant, Lafayette	1,183	1,256	73	6.2%	497	557	60	12.1%
33525	Hazel Green town	Grant	1,043	1,132	89	8.5%	315	350	35	11.1%
33575	Hazelhurst town	Oneida	1,267	1,273	6	0.5%	1,113	1,246	133	11.9%
33700	Hebron town	Jefferson	1,135	1,094	-41	-3.6%	410	440	30	7.3%
33850	Helvetia town	Waupaca	649	636	-13	-2.0%	362	450	88	24.3%
33900	Hendren town	Clark	513	499	-14	-2.7%	247	269	22	8.9%
33925	Henrietta town	Richland	479	493	14	2.9%	276	309	33	12.0%
34000	Herman town	Dodge	1,207	1,108	-99	-8.2%	401	423	22	5.5%
34025	Herman town	Shawano	741	776	35	4.7%	300	360	60	20.0%
34050	Herman town	Sheboygan	2,044	2,151	107	5.2%	592	646	54	9.1%
34200	Hewett town	Clark	314	293	-21	-6.7%	200	231	31	15.5%
34225	Hewitt town	Marathon	545	606	61	11.2%	208	265	57	27.4%
34250	Hewitt village	Wood	670	828	158	23.6%	237	313	76	32.1%
34300	Hickory Grove town	Grant	443	455	12	2.7%	164	175	11	6.7%
34425	Highland town	Douglas	245	311	66	26.9%	169	320	151	89.3%
34450	Highland village	Iowa	855	842	-13	-1.5%	369	386	17	4.6%
34475	Highland town	Iowa	797	750	-47	-5.9%	332	342	10	3.0%
34575	Hilbert village	Calumet	1,089	1,132	43	3.9%	458	482	24	5.2%
34650	Hiles town	Forest	404	311	-93	-23.0%	761	774	13	1.7%
34675	Hiles town	Wood	188	167	-21	-11.2%	88	94	6	6.8%
34725	Hill town	Price	364	333	-31	-8.5%	197	291	94	47.7%
34825	Hillsboro city	Vernon	1,302	1,417	115	8.8%	603	662	59	9.8%
34850	Hillsboro town	Vernon	766	807	41	5.4%	326	363	37	11.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
35050	Hixon town	Clark	740	808	68	9.2%	252	281	29	11.5%
35075	Hixton village	Jackson	446	433	-13	-2.9%	217	219	2	0.9%
35100	Hixton town	Jackson	611	652	41	6.7%	251	306	55	21.9%
35125	Hoard town	Clark	821	841	20	2.4%	193	230	37	19.2%
35150	Hobart village	Brown	5,090	6,182	1,092	21.5%	1,758	2,275	517	29.4%
35325	Holland town	Brown	1,339	1,519	180	13.4%	444	538	94	21.2%
35350	Holland town	La Crosse	3,042	3,701	659	21.7%	1,054	1,346	292	27.7%
35375	Holland town	Sheboygan	2,360	2,239	-121	-5.1%	1,019	1,117	98	9.6%
35400	Hollandale village	Iowa	283	288	5	1.8%	128	132	4	3.1%
35450	Holmen village	La Crosse	6,200	9,005	2,805	45.2%	2,377	3,521	1,144	48.1%
35500	Holton town	Marathon	907	873	-34	-3.7%	312	326	14	4.5%
35525	Holway town	Taylor	854	973	119	13.9%	284	339	55	19.4%
35600	Homestead town	Florence	378	336	-42	-11.1%	351	401	50	14.2%
35625	Honey Creek town	Sauk	736	733	-3	-0.4%	288	312	24	8.3%
35750	Horicon city	Dodge	3,775	3,655	-120	-3.2%	1,584	1,620	36	2.3%
35825	Hortonia town	Outagamie	1,063	1,097	34	3.2%	375	410	35	9.3%
35850	Hortonville village	Outagamie	2,357	2,711	354	15.0%	904	1,105	201	22.2%
35925	How town	Oconto	563	516	-47	-8.3%	229	257	28	12.2%
35950	Howard village	Brown, Outagamie	13,546	17,399	3,853	28.4%	5,350	7,223	1,873	35.0%
36000	Howard town	Chippewa	648	798	150	23.1%	237	308	71	30.0%
36025	Howards Grove village	Sheboygan	2,792	3,188	396	14.2%	1,022	1,276	254	24.9%
36100	Hubbard town	Dodge	1,643	1,774	131	8.0%	745	873	128	17.2%
36125	Hubbard town	Rusk	168	204	36	21.4%	83	172	89	107.2%
36250	Hudson city	St. Croix	8,775	12,719	3,944	44.9%	3,831	5,642	1,811	47.3%
36275	Hudson town	St. Croix	6,213	8,461	2,248	36.2%	1,962	2,767	805	41.0%
36300	Hughes town	Bayfield	408	383	-25	-6.1%	343	373	30	8.7%
36325	Hull town	Marathon	773	750	-23	-3.0%	260	266	6	2.3%
36350	Hull town	Portage	5,493	5,346	-147	-2.7%	2,067	2,151	84	4.1%
36425	Humboldt town	Brown	1,338	1,311	-27	-2.0%	460	510	50	10.9%
36450	Hunter town	Sawyer	765	678	-87	-11.4%	887	1,156	269	30.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
36525	Hurley city	Iron	1,818	1,547	-271	-14.9%	1,025	1,032	7	0.7%
36625	Hustisford village	Dodge	1,135	1,123	-12	-1.1%	498	532	34	6.8%
36650	Hustisford town	Dodge	1,379	1,373	-6	-0.4%	546	598	52	9.5%
36675	Hustler village	Juneau	113	194	81	71.7%	52	89	37	71.2%
36700	Hutchins town	Shawano	539	600	61	11.3%	219	298	79	36.1%
36800	Independence city	Trempealeau	1,244	1,336	92	7.4%	619	676	57	9.2%
36925	Ingram village	Rusk	76	78	2	2.6%	38	43	5	13.2%
37025	Iola village	Waupaca	1,298	1,301	3	0.2%	618	677	59	9.5%
37050	Iola town	Waupaca	818	971	153	18.7%	455	542	87	19.1%
37150	Iron Ridge village	Dodge	998	929	-69	-6.9%	383	409	26	6.8%
37200	Iron River town	Bayfield	1,059	1,123	64	6.0%	973	1,011	38	3.9%
37225	Ironton village	Sauk	250	253	3	1.2%	99	104	5	5.1%
37250	Ironton town	Sauk	650	660	10	1.5%	221	245	24	10.9%
37275	Irving town	Jackson	602	751	149	24.8%	237	309	72	30.4%
37350	Isabelle town	Pierce	289	281	-8	-2.8%	109	124	15	13.8%
37500	Ithaca town	Richland	648	619	-29	-4.5%	275	293	18	6.5%
37600	Ixonia town	Jefferson	2,902	4,385	1,483	51.1%	1,082	1,719	637	58.9%
37625	Jackson town	Adams	926	1,003	77	8.3%	951	1,100	149	15.7%
37650	Jackson town	Burnett	765	773	8	1.0%	1,031	1,277	246	23.9%
37675	Jackson village	Washington	4,938	6,753	1,815	36.8%	2,011	3,061	1,050	52.2%
37700	Jackson town	Washington	3,516	4,134	618	17.6%	1,230	1,523	293	23.8%
37750	Jacksonport town	Door	738	705	-33	-4.5%	638	838	200	31.3%
37775	Jacobs town	Ashland	835	722	-113	-13.5%	507	546	39	7.7%
37800	Jamestown town	Grant	2,077	2,076	-1	0.0%	773	858	85	11.0%
37825	Janesville city	Rock	60,200	63,575	3,375	5.6%	25,083	27,996	2,913	11.6%
37850	Janesville town	Rock	3,048	3,434	386	12.7%	1,206	1,432	226	18.7%
37875	Jefferson town	Green	1,212	1,217	5	0.4%	437	475	38	8.7%
37900	Jefferson city	Jefferson	7,338	7,973	635	8.7%	2,934	3,378	444	15.1%
37925	Jefferson town	Jefferson	2,265	2,178	-87	-3.8%	793	895	102	12.9%
37950	Jefferson town	Monroe	800	819	19	2.4%	236	257	21	8.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
37975	Jefferson town	Vernon	974	1,143	169	17.4%	407	484	77	18.9%
38300	Johnson town	Marathon	993	985	-8	-0.8%	313	330	17	5.4%
38350	Johnson Creek village	Jefferson	1,581	2,738	1,157	73.2%	659	1,118	459	69.7%
38400	Johnstown town	Polk	520	534	14	2.7%	418	456	38	9.1%
38450	Johnstown town	Rock	802	778	-24	-3.0%	306	316	10	3.3%
38550	Jordan town	Green	577	641	64	11.1%	219	254	35	16.0%
38627	Jump River town	Taylor	311	375	64	20.6%	167	230	63	37.7%
38650	Junction City village	Portage	440	439	-1	-0.2%	193	211	18	9.3%
38675	Juneau city	Dodge	2,485	2,814	329	13.2%	863	924	61	7.1%
38800	Kaukauna city	Outagamie	12,983	15,462	2,479	19.1%	5,142	6,596	1,454	28.3%
38825	Kaukauna town	Outagamie	1,116	1,238	122	10.9%	378	450	72	19.0%
38900	Kekoskee village	Dodge	169	161	-8	-4.7%	66	73	7	10.6%
39000	Kellnersville village	Manitowoc	374	332	-42	-11.2%	168	172	4	2.4%
39025	Kelly town	Bayfield	377	463	86	22.8%	168	221	53	31.5%
39125	Kendall town	Lafayette	320	454	134	41.9%	118	142	24	20.3%
39150	Kendall village	Monroe	482	472	-10	-2.1%	217	233	16	7.4%
39175	Kennan village	Price	171	135	-36	-21.1%	75	81	6	8.0%
39200	Kennan town	Price	378	356	-22	-5.8%	182	255	73	40.1%
39225	Kenosha city	Kenosha	90,352	99,218	8,866	9.8%	36,004	40,643	4,639	12.9%
39300	Kewaskum village	Fond Du Lac, Washington	3,277	4,004	727	22.2%	1,265	1,698	433	34.2%
39325	Kewaskum town	Washington	1,119	1,053	-66	-5.9%	404	424	20	5.0%
39350	Kewaunee city	Kewaunee	2,806	2,952	146	5.2%	1,237	1,462	225	18.2%
39425	Keystone town	Bayfield	369	378	9	2.4%	186	202	16	8.6%
39475	Kickapoo town	Vernon	566	626	60	10.6%	251	319	68	27.1%
39525	Kiel city	Calumet, Manitowoc	3,450	3,738	288	8.3%	1,498	1,697	199	13.3%
39575	Kildare town	Juneau	557	681	124	22.3%	319	434	115	36.1%
39625	Kimball town	Iron	540	498	-42	-7.8%	273	279	6	2.2%
39650	Kimberly village	Outagamie	6,146	6,468	322	5.2%	2,593	2,871	278	10.7%
39675	King town	Lincoln	842	855	13	1.5%	623	873	250	40.1%
39750	Kingston village	Green Lake	288	326	38	13.2%	133	148	15	11.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
39775	Kingston town	Green Lake	900	1,064	164	18.2%	344	363	19	5.5%
39800	Kingston town	Juneau	58	91	33	56.9%	32	58	26	81.3%
39825	Kinnickinnic town	St. Croix	1,400	1,722	322	23.0%	492	634	142	28.9%
39975	Knapp village	Dunn	421	463	42	10.0%	198	230	32	16.2%
40000	Knapp town	Jackson	275	299	24	8.7%	131	194	63	48.1%
40075	Knight town	Iron	284	211	-73	-25.7%	235	240	5	2.1%
40150	Knowlton town	Marathon	1,688	1,910	222	13.2%	757	889	132	17.4%
40175	Knox town	Price	399	341	-58	-14.5%	240	294	54	22.5%
40275	Kohler village	Sheboygan	1,926	2,120	194	10.1%	792	871	79	10.0%
40325	Komensky town	Jackson	462	509	47	10.2%	124	165	41	33.1%
40375	Koshkonong town	Jefferson	3,395	3,692	297	8.7%	1,421	1,625	204	14.4%
40425	Kossuth town	Manitowoc	2,033	2,090	57	2.8%	795	899	104	13.1%
40550	Kronenwetter village	Marathon	5,369	7,210	1,841	34.3%	1,953	2,810	857	43.9%
40687	Lac du Flambeau town	Vilas	3,004	3,441	437	14.5%	2,981	3,407	426	14.3%
40750	Lac La Belle village	Jefferson, Waukesha	329	290	-39	-11.9%	127	135	8	6.3%
40775	La Crosse city	La Crosse	51,818	51,320	-498	-1.0%	22,233	22,628	395	1.8%
40850	Ladysmith city	Rusk	3,932	3,414	-518	-13.2%	1,660	1,667	7	0.4%
40875	La Farge village	Vernon	775	746	-29	-3.7%	366	375	9	2.5%
40900	Lafayette town	Chippewa	5,199	5,765	566	10.9%	2,112	2,514	402	19.0%
40925	Lafayette town	Monroe	318	396	78	24.5%	126	132	6	4.8%
40950	Lafayette town	Walworth	2,251	1,979	-272	-12.1%	619	790	171	27.6%
40975	La Follette town	Burnett	511	536	25	4.9%	490	548	58	11.8%
41000	La Grange town	Monroe	1,761	2,007	246	14.0%	666	782	116	17.4%
41050	La Grange town	Walworth	2,444	2,454	10	0.4%	1,723	1,763	40	2.3%
41075	Lake town	Marinette	1,064	1,135	71	6.7%	524	875	351	67.0%
41150	Lake town	Price	1,319	1,128	-191	-14.5%	843	981	138	16.4%
41300	Lake Delton village	Sauk	1,982	2,914	932	47.0%	1,373	2,343	970	70.6%
41450	Lake Geneva city	Walworth	7,148	7,651	503	7.0%	3,757	4,225	468	12.5%
41525	Lake Hallie village	Chippewa		6,448				2,554		
41550	Lake Holcombe town	Chippewa	1,010	1,031	21	2.1%	548	714	166	30.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
41600	Lakeland town	Barron	963	975	12	1.2%	610	667	57	9.3%
41675	Lake Mills city	Jefferson	4,843	5,708	865	17.9%	2,065	2,776	711	34.4%
41700	Lake Mills town	Jefferson	1,936	2,070	134	6.9%	812	923	111	13.7%
41725	Lake Nebagamon village	Douglas	1,015	1,069	54	5.3%	746	775	29	3.9%
41800	Lakeside town	Douglas	609	693	84	13.8%	264	316	52	19.7%
41887	Lake Tomahawk town	Oneida	1,160	1,043	-117	-10.1%	1,052	1,139	87	8.3%
41900	Laketown town	Polk	918	961	43	4.7%	445	538	93	20.9%
42075	Lakewood town	Oconto	875	816	-59	-6.7%	1,183	1,433	250	21.1%
42125	Lamartine town	Fond Du Lac	1,616	1,737	121	7.5%	599	667	68	11.4%
42175	Lamont town	Lafayette	267	314	47	17.6%	102	125	23	22.5%
42225	Lanark town	Portage	1,449	1,527	78	5.4%	659	728	69	10.5%
42250	Lancaster city	Grant	4,070	3,868	-202	-5.0%	1,799	1,805	6	0.3%
42325	Land O'Lakes town	Vilas	882	861	-21	-2.4%	1,337	1,426	89	6.7%
42425	Langlade town	Langlade	472	473	1	0.2%	375	473	98	26.1%
42450	Lannon village	Waukesha	1,009	1,107	98	9.7%	434	517	83	19.1%
42500	Laona town	Forest	1,367	1,212	-155	-11.3%	850	847	-3	-0.4%
42562	La Pointe town	Ashland	246	261	15	6.1%	692	866	174	25.1%
42575	La Prairie town	Rock	929	834	-95	-10.2%	352	354	2	0.6%
42650	Larrabee town	Waupaca	1,301	1,381	80	6.1%	492	586	94	19.1%
42825	La Valle village	Sauk	326	367	41	12.6%	139	172	33	23.7%
42850	La Valle town	Sauk	1,203	1,302	99	8.2%	914	1,182	268	29.3%
42900	Lawrence town	Brown	1,548	4,284	2,736	176.7%	546	1,766	1,220	223.4%
42950	Lawrence town	Rusk	240	311	71	29.6%	111	185	74	66.7%
43050	Lebanon town	Dodge	1,664	1,659	-5	-0.3%	631	686	55	8.7%
43075	Lebanon town	Waupaca	1,648	1,665	17	1.0%	587	665	78	13.3%
43090	Ledgeview town	Brown	3,363	6,555	3,192	94.9%	1,214	2,579	1,365	112.4%
43125	Leeds town	Columbia	813	774	-39	-4.8%	317	341	24	7.6%
43300	Lemonweir town	Juneau	1,763	1,743	-20	-1.1%	760	853	93	12.2%
43325	Lena village	Oconto	529	564	35	6.6%	227	275	48	21.1%
43350	Lena town	Oconto	757	727	-30	-4.0%	300	319	19	6.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
43400	Lenroot town	Sawyer	1,165	1,279	114	9.8%	986	1,064	78	7.9%
43425	Leola town	Adams	265	308	43	16.2%	177	223	46	26.0%
43475	Leon town	Monroe	858	1,086	228	26.6%	320	428	108	33.8%
43500	Leon town	Waushara	1,281	1,439	158	12.3%	851	957	106	12.5%
43650	Leroy town	Dodge	1,116	1,002	-114	-10.2%	396	405	9	2.3%
43675	Lessor town	Shawano	1,112	1,263	151	13.6%	401	472	71	17.7%
43700	Levis town	Clark	504	492	-12	-2.4%	233	304	71	30.5%
43775	Lewiston town	Columbia	1,187	1,225	38	3.2%	573	607	34	5.9%
43825	Liberty town	Grant	552	553	1	0.2%	204	217	13	6.4%
43850	Liberty town	Manitowoc	1,287	1,281	-6	-0.5%	498	565	67	13.5%
43875	Liberty town	Outagamie	834	867	33	4.0%	283	327	44	15.5%
43900	Liberty town	Vernon	167	252	85	50.9%	123	180	57	46.3%
43925	Liberty Grove town	Door	1,858	1,734	-124	-6.7%	2,000	2,342	342	17.1%
44050	Lima town	Grant	721	805	84	11.7%	251	295	44	17.5%
44075	Lima town	Pepin	716	702	-14	-2.0%	227	266	39	17.2%
44125	Lima town	Rock	1,312	1,280	-32	-2.4%	494	498	4	0.8%
44150	Lima town	Sheboygan	2,948	2,982	34	1.2%	1,029	1,153	124	12.1%
44225	Lime Ridge village	Sauk	169	162	-7	-4.1%	77	78	1	1.3%
44250	Lincoln town	Adams	311	296	-15	-4.8%	210	218	8	3.8%
44275	Lincoln town	Bayfield	293	287	-6	-2.0%	191	226	35	18.3%
44300	Lincoln town	Buffalo	187	162	-25	-13.4%	106	123	17	16.0%
44325	Lincoln town	Burnett	286	309	23	8.0%	182	230	48	26.4%
44350	Lincoln town	Eau Claire	1,080	1,096	16	1.5%	380	409	29	7.6%
44375	Lincoln town	Forest	1,005	955	-50	-5.0%	998	1,107	109	10.9%
44425	Lincoln town	Kewaunee	957	948	-9	-0.9%	346	381	35	10.1%
44450	Lincoln town	Monroe	827	835	8	1.0%	365	387	22	6.0%
44475	Lincoln town	Polk	2,304	2,208	-96	-4.2%	1,119	1,301	182	16.3%
44500	Lincoln town	Trempealeau	829	823	-6	-0.7%	271	279	8	3.0%
44525	Lincoln town	Vilas	2,579	2,423	-156	-6.0%	1,873	2,094	221	11.8%
44550	Lincoln town	Wood	1,554	1,564	10	0.6%	551	609	58	10.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
44575	Lind town	Waupaca	1,381	1,579	198	14.3%	669	792	123	18.4%
44625	Linden village	Iowa	615	549	-66	-10.7%	234	233	-1	-0.4%
44650	Linden town	Iowa	873	847	-26	-3.0%	311	332	21	6.8%
44675	Lindina town	Juneau	730	718	-12	-1.6%	287	320	33	11.5%
44750	Linn town	Walworth	2,194	2,383	189	8.6%	1,901	2,157	256	13.5%
44800	Linwood town	Portage	1,111	1,121	10	0.9%	411	462	51	12.4%
44825	Lisbon town	Juneau	1,020	912	-108	-10.6%	438	435	-3	-0.7%
44850	Lisbon town	Waukesha	9,359	10,157	798	8.5%	3,271	3,815	544	16.6%
44900	Little Black town	Taylor	1,148	1,140	-8	-0.7%	414	487	73	17.6%
44950	Little Chute village	Outagamie	10,476	10,449	-27	-0.3%	3,956	4,376	420	10.6%
44975	Little Falls town	Monroe	1,334	1,523	189	14.2%	580	648	68	11.7%
45025	Little Grant town	Grant	257	283	26	10.1%	99	115	16	16.2%
45150	Little Rice town	Oneida	314	306	-8	-2.5%	435	459	24	5.5%
45175	Little River town	Oconto	1,065	1,094	29	2.7%	457	520	63	13.8%
45275	Little Suamico town	Oconto	3,877	4,799	922	23.8%	1,403	1,895	492	35.1%
45300	Little Wolf town	Waupaca	1,430	1,424	-6	-0.4%	535	614	79	14.8%
45325	Livingston village	Grant, Iowa	597	664	67	11.2%	262	277	15	5.7%
45350	Lodi city	Columbia	2,882	3,050	168	5.8%	1,199	1,272	73	6.1%
45375	Lodi town	Columbia	2,791	3,273	482	17.3%	1,285	1,561	276	21.5%
45400	Loganville village	Sauk	276	300	24	8.7%	130	139	9	6.9%
45425	Lohrville village	Waushara	408	402	-6	-1.5%	192	198	6	3.1%
45475	Lomira village	Dodge	2,233	2,430	197	8.8%	899	1,096	197	21.9%
45500	Lomira town	Dodge	1,228	1,137	-91	-7.4%	430	447	17	4.0%
45575	Lone Rock village	Richland	929	888	-41	-4.4%	425	417	-8	-1.9%
45625	Long Lake town	Florence	197	157	-40	-20.3%	296	343	47	15.9%
45650	Long Lake town	Washburn	737	624	-113	-15.3%	590	682	92	15.6%
45700	Longwood town	Clark	698	858	160	22.9%	241	277	36	14.9%
45775	Lorain town	Polk	328	284	-44	-13.4%	173	183	10	5.8%
45950	Lowell village	Dodge	366	340	-26	-7.1%	153	163	10	6.5%
45975	Lowell town	Dodge	1,169	1,190	21	1.8%	456	487	31	6.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
46050	Lowville town	Columbia	987	1,008	21	2.1%	394	433	39	9.9%
46075	Loyal city	Clark	1,308	1,261	-47	-3.6%	576	603	27	4.7%
46100	Loyal town	Clark	787	826	39	5.0%	225	256	31	13.8%
46150	Lublin village	Taylor	110	118	8	7.3%	72	80	8	11.1%
46175	Lucas town	Dunn	658	764	106	16.1%	256	316	60	23.4%
46200	Luck village	Polk	1,210	1,119	-91	-7.5%	572	567	-5	-0.9%
46225	Luck town	Polk	881	930	49	5.6%	400	480	80	20.0%
46275	Ludington town	Eau Claire	998	1,063	65	6.5%	407	465	58	14.3%
46400	Luxemburg village	Kewaunee	1,935	2,515	580	30.0%	754	1,008	254	33.7%
46425	Luxemburg town	Kewaunee	1,402	1,469	67	4.8%	459	538	79	17.2%
46525	Lyndon town	Juneau	1,217	1,384	167	13.7%	534	733	199	37.3%
46575	Lyndon town	Sheboygan	1,463	1,542	79	5.4%	629	692	63	10.0%
46600	Lyndon Station village	Juneau	458	500	42	9.2%	235	247	12	5.1%
46625	Lynn town	Clark	834	861	27	3.2%	292	311	19	6.5%
46650	Lynne town	Oneida	210	141	-69	-32.9%	298	299	1	0.3%
46675	Lynxville village	Crawford	176	132	-44	-25.0%	111	101	-10	-9.0%
46725	Lyons town	Walworth	3,440	3,698	258	7.5%	1,307	1,533	226	17.3%
46850	McFarland village	Dane	6,416	7,808	1,392	21.7%	2,491	3,200	709	28.5%
46875	Mackford town	Green Lake	585	560	-25	-4.3%	215	227	12	5.6%
46900	McKinley town	Polk	328	347	19	5.8%	223	258	35	15.7%
46925	McKinley town	Taylor	418	458	40	9.6%	186	235	49	26.3%
46975	McMillan town	Marathon	1,790	1,968	178	9.9%	631	737	106	16.8%
47025	Madge town	Washburn	454	508	54	11.9%	410	548	138	33.7%
48000	Madison city	Dane	208,054	233,209	25,155	12.1%	92,394	108,843	16,449	17.8%
48025	Madison town	Dane	7,005	6,279	-726	-10.4%	3,466	3,069	-397	-11.5%
48150	Magnolia town	Rock	854	767	-87	-10.2%	308	314	6	1.9%
48175	Maiden Rock village	Pierce	121	119	-2	-1.7%	79	81	2	2.5%
48200	Maiden Rock town	Pierce	589	589	0	0.0%	256	299	43	16.8%
48225	Maine town	Marathon	2,407	2,337	-70	-2.9%	877	934	57	6.5%
48250	Maine town	Outagamie	831	866	35	4.2%	326	384	58	17.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
48350	Manawa city	Waupaca	1,330	1,371	41	3.1%	570	668	98	17.2%
48400	Manchester town	Green Lake	848	1,022	174	20.5%	312	356	44	14.1%
48425	Manchester town	Jackson	680	704	24	3.5%	322	436	114	35.4%
48462	Manitowish Waters town	Vilas	646	566	-80	-12.4%	1,103	1,183	80	7.3%
48500	Manitowoc city	Manitowoc	34,053	33,736	-317	-0.9%	15,007	15,955	948	6.3%
48525	Manitowoc town	Manitowoc	1,073	1,083	10	0.9%	442	459	17	3.8%
48575	Manitowoc Rapids town	Manitowoc	2,520	2,150	-370	-14.7%	822	878	56	6.8%
48725	Maple town	Douglas	649	744	95	14.6%	303	328	25	8.3%
48750	Maple Bluff village	Dane	1,358	1,313	-45	-3.3%	557	592	35	6.3%
48775	Maple Creek town	Outagamie	687	619	-68	-9.9%	241	258	17	7.1%
48825	Maple Grove town	Barron	968	979	11	1.1%	349	370	21	6.0%
48875	Maple Grove town	Manitowoc	852	835	-17	-2.0%	308	319	11	3.6%
48900	Maple Grove town	Shawano	1,045	972	-73	-7.0%	372	383	11	3.0%
48925	Maplehurst town	Taylor	359	335	-24	-6.7%	144	163	19	13.2%
48950	Maple Plain town	Barron	876	803	-73	-8.3%	667	726	59	8.8%
49000	Maple Valley town	Oconto	670	662	-8	-1.2%	323	361	38	11.8%
49075	Marathon town	Marathon	1,085	1,048	-37	-3.4%	374	415	41	11.0%
49100	Marathon City village	Marathon	1,640	1,524	-116	-7.1%	659	680	21	3.2%
49150	Marcellon town	Columbia	1,024	1,102	78	7.6%	380	426	46	12.1%
49200	Marengo town	Ashland	362	390	28	7.7%	191	234	43	22.5%
49250	Maribel village	Manitowoc	284	351	67	23.6%	105	144	39	37.1%
49275	Marietta town	Crawford	510	470	-40	-7.8%	248	265	17	6.9%
49300	Marinette city	Marinette	11,749	10,968	-781	-6.6%	5,553	5,464	-89	-1.6%
49350	Marion town	Grant	517	572	55	10.6%	204	252	48	23.5%
49375	Marion town	Juneau	433	426	-7	-1.6%	239	301	62	25.9%
49400	Marion city	Shawano, Waupaca	1,297	1,260	-37	-2.9%	624	617	-7	-1.1%
49425	Marion town	Waushara	2,065	2,038	-27	-1.3%	1,630	1,707	77	4.7%
49450	Markesan city	Green Lake	1,396	1,476	80	5.7%	627	661	34	5.4%
49500	Marquette village	Green Lake	169	150	-19	-11.2%	140	169	29	20.7%
49525	Marquette town	Green Lake	481	531	50	10.4%	372	422	50	13.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
49575	Marshall village	Dane	3,432	3,862	430	12.5%	1,312	1,500	188	14.3%
49600	Marshall town	Richland	600	567	-33	-5.5%	265	293	28	10.6%
49625	Marshall town	Rusk	683	688	5	0.7%	228	235	7	3.1%
49650	Marshfield town	Fond Du Lac	1,118	1,138	20	1.8%	437	497	60	13.7%
49675	Marshfield city	Marathon, Wood	18,800	19,118	318	1.7%	8,617	9,516	899	10.4%
49700	Marshfield town	Wood	811	764	-47	-5.8%	307	297	-10	-3.3%
49775	Martell town	Pierce	1,070	1,185	115	10.7%	391	475	84	21.5%
49900	Mason village	Bayfield	72	93	21	29.2%	35	43	8	22.9%
49925	Mason town	Bayfield	326	315	-11	-3.4%	151	165	14	9.3%
49975	Matteson town	Waupaca	956	936	-20	-2.1%	390	412	22	5.6%
50000	Mattoon village	Shawano	466	438	-28	-6.0%	193	194	1	0.5%
50025	Mauston city	Juneau	3,740	4,423	683	18.3%	1,729	2,006	277	16.0%
50075	Maxville town	Buffalo	325	309	-16	-4.9%	123	152	29	23.6%
50175	Mayville town	Clark	919	961	42	4.6%	301	352	51	16.9%
50200	Mayville city	Dodge	4,902	5,154	252	5.1%	2,081	2,321	240	11.5%
50225	Mazomanie village	Dane	1,485	1,652	167	11.2%	618	734	116	18.8%
50250	Mazomanie town	Dane	1,185	1,090	-95	-8.0%	466	478	12	2.6%
50275	Mead town	Clark	290	321	31	10.7%	239	267	28	11.7%
50300	Meadowbrook town	Sawyer	146	131	-15	-10.3%	102	119	17	16.7%
50350	Mecan town	Marquette	726	686	-40	-5.5%	735	801	66	9.0%
50400	Medary town	La Crosse	1,463	1,461	-2	-0.1%	553	588	35	6.3%
50425	Medford city	Taylor	4,350	4,326	-24	-0.6%	2,034	2,127	93	4.6%
50450	Medford town	Taylor	2,216	2,606	390	17.6%	846	1,045	199	23.5%
50475	Medina town	Dane	1,235	1,376	141	11.4%	452	515	63	13.9%
50625	Meeme town	Manitowoc	1,538	1,446	-92	-6.0%	558	598	40	7.2%
50650	Meenon town	Burnett	1,172	1,163	-9	-0.8%	836	979	143	17.1%
50700	Mellen city	Ashland	845	731	-114	-13.5%	436	428	-8	-1.8%
50750	Melrose village	Jackson	529	503	-26	-4.9%	229	250	21	9.2%
50775	Melrose town	Jackson	402	470	68	16.9%	169	198	29	17.2%
50800	Melvina village	Monroe	93	104	11	11.8%	41	44	3	7.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
50825	Menasha city	Calumet, Winnebago	16,331	17,353	1,022	6.3%	7,271	7,973	702	9.7%
50850	Menasha town	Winnebago	15,858	18,498	2,640	16.6%	6,521	8,358	1,837	28.2%
50975	Menominee town	Menominee	4,562	4,232	-330	-7.2%	2,098	2,253	155	7.4%
51000	Menomonee Falls village	Waukesha	32,647	35,626	2,979	9.1%	13,140	15,142	2,002	15.2%
51025	Menomonie city	Dunn	14,937	16,264	1,327	8.9%	5,441	6,234	793	14.6%
51050	Menomonie town	Dunn	3,174	3,366	192	6.0%	1,166	1,346	180	15.4%
51125	Mentor town	Clark	570	584	14	2.5%	286	334	48	16.8%
51150	Mequon city	Ozaukee	22,643	23,132	489	2.2%	8,162	9,145	983	12.0%
51200	Mercer town	Iron	1,732	1,407	-325	-18.8%	1,926	2,065	139	7.2%
51250	Merrill city	Lincoln	10,146	9,661	-485	-4.8%	4,397	4,619	222	5.0%
51275	Merrill town	Lincoln	2,979	2,980	1	0.0%	1,210	1,355	145	12.0%
51300	Merrillan village	Jackson	585	542	-43	-7.4%	289	318	29	10.0%
51325	Merrimac village	Sauk	416	420	4	1.0%	218	257	39	17.9%
51350	Merrimac town	Sauk	868	942	74	8.5%	654	698	44	6.7%
51375	Merton village	Waukesha	1,926	3,346	1,420	73.7%	598	1,054	456	76.3%
51400	Merton town	Waukesha	7,988	8,338	350	4.4%	2,932	3,331	399	13.6%
51425	Meteor town	Sawyer	170	158	-12	-7.1%	128	154	26	20.3%
51450	Metomen town	Fond Du Lac	709	741	32	4.5%	247	277	30	12.1%
51537	Middle Inlet town	Marinette	831	840	9	1.1%	643	899	256	39.8%
51575	Middleton city	Dane	15,770	17,442	1,672	10.6%	7,397	8,565	1,168	15.8%
51600	Middleton town	Dane	4,594	5,877	1,283	27.9%	1,610	2,056	446	27.7%
51725	Mifflin town	Iowa	617	585	-32	-5.2%	209	233	24	11.5%
51850	Milford town	Jefferson	1,055	1,099	44	4.2%	411	456	45	10.9%
51875	Milladore village	Portage, Wood	268	276	8	3.0%	108	114	6	5.6%
51900	Milladore town	Wood	706	690	-16	-2.3%	255	277	22	8.6%
52050	Millston town	Jackson	136	159	23	16.9%	98	128	30	30.6%
52075	Milltown village	Polk	888	917	29	3.3%	437	473	36	8.2%
52100	Milltown town	Polk	1,146	1,226	80	7.0%	811	895	84	10.4%
52150	Millville town	Grant	147	166	19	12.9%	75	99	24	32.0%
52175	Milton town	Buffalo	517	534	17	3.3%	227	253	26	11.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
52200	Milton city	Rock	5,132	5,546	414	8.1%	2,129	2,382	253	11.9%
52225	Milton town	Rock	2,844	2,923	79	2.8%	1,277	1,444	167	13.1%
53000	Milwaukee city	Milwaukee, Washington, Waukesha	596,974	594,833	-2,141	-0.4%	249,225	255,569	6,344	2.5%
53100	Mineral Point city	Iowa	2,617	2,487	-130	-5.0%	1,192	1,278	86	7.2%
53125	Mineral Point town	Iowa	867	1,033	166	19.1%	320	399	79	24.7%
53225	Minocqua town	Oneida	4,859	4,385	-474	-9.8%	4,284	4,835	551	12.9%
53250	Minong village	Washburn	531	527	-4	-0.8%	247	277	30	12.1%
53275	Minong town	Washburn	858	917	59	6.9%	1,221	1,538	317	26.0%
53325	Mishicot village	Manitowoc	1,422	1,442	20	1.4%	614	663	49	8.0%
53350	Mishicot town	Manitowoc	1,409	1,289	-120	-8.5%	489	520	31	6.3%
53375	Mitchell town	Sheboygan	1,286	2,335	1,049	81.6%	437	489	52	11.9%
53450	Modena town	Buffalo	318	354	36	11.3%	155	161	6	3.9%
53550	Molitor town	Taylor	263	324	61	23.2%	142	329	187	131.7%
53600	Mondovi city	Buffalo	2,634	2,777	143	5.4%	1,232	1,303	71	5.8%
53625	Mondovi town	Buffalo	449	469	20	4.5%	165	200	35	21.2%
53662	Monico town	Oneida	364	309	-55	-15.1%	216	231	15	6.9%
53675	Monona city	Dane	8,018	7,533	-485	-6.0%	3,922	4,088	166	4.2%
53725	Monroe town	Adams	363	398	35	9.6%	402	574	172	42.8%
53750	Monroe city	Green	10,843	10,827	-16	-0.1%	4,943	5,101	158	3.2%
53775	Monroe town	Green	1,142	1,245	103	9.0%	369	456	87	23.6%
53850	Montana town	Buffalo	306	284	-22	-7.2%	116	122	6	5.2%
53875	Montello city	Marquette	1,397	1,495	98	7.0%	677	823	146	21.6%
53900	Montello town	Marquette	1,043	1,033	-10	-1.0%	699	796	97	13.9%
53950	Montfort village	Grant, Iowa	663	718	55	8.3%	273	298	25	9.2%
54000	Monticello village	Green	1,146	1,217	71	6.2%	517	566	49	9.5%
54025	Monticello town	Lafayette	148	133	-15	-10.1%	52	55	3	5.8%
54050	Montpelier town	Kewaunee	1,371	1,306	-65	-4.7%	492	529	37	7.5%
54075	Montreal city	Iron	838	807	-31	-3.7%	487	479	-8	-1.6%
54100	Montrose town	Dane	1,134	1,081	-53	-4.7%	437	453	16	3.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
54200	Morgan town	Oconto	882	984	102	11.6%	335	423	88	26.3%
54250	Morris town	Shawano	485	453	-32	-6.6%	217	255	38	17.5%
54300	Morrison town	Brown	1,651	1,599	-52	-3.1%	579	636	57	9.8%
54400	Morse town	Ashland	515	493	-22	-4.3%	380	401	21	5.5%
54425	Moscow town	Iowa	594	576	-18	-3.0%	221	241	20	9.0%
54475	Mosel town	Sheboygan	839	790	-49	-5.8%	323	328	5	1.5%
54500	Mosinee city	Marathon	4,063	3,988	-75	-1.8%	1,711	1,791	80	4.7%
54525	Mosinee town	Marathon	2,146	2,174	28	1.3%	784	864	80	10.2%
54600	Moundville town	Marquette	574	552	-22	-3.8%	245	255	10	4.1%
54630	Mountain town	Oconto	860	822	-38	-4.4%	883	1,274	391	44.3%
54650	Mount Calvary village	Fond Du Lac	956	762	-194	-20.3%	206	215	9	4.4%
54675	Mount Hope village	Grant	186	225	39	21.0%	92	98	6	6.5%
54700	Mount Hope town	Grant	225	300	75	33.3%	92	116	24	26.1%
54725	Mount Horeb village	Dane	5,860	7,009	1,149	19.6%	2,305	2,826	521	22.6%
54775	Mount Ida town	Grant	523	561	38	7.3%	204	228	24	11.8%
54825	Mount Morris town	Waushara	1,092	1,097	5	0.5%	994	1,058	64	6.4%
54850	Mount Pleasant town	Green	547	598	51	9.3%	193	245	52	26.9%
54875	Mount Pleasant village	Racine	23,142	26,197	3,055	13.2%	9,768	11,827	2,059	21.1%
54900	Mount Sterling village	Crawford	215	211	-4	-1.9%	98	98	0	0.0%
55025	Mukwa town	Waupaca	2,773	2,930	157	5.7%	1,088	1,284	196	18.0%
55050	Mukwonago village	Walworth, Waukesha	6,162	7,355	1,193	19.4%	2,502	3,104	602	24.1%
55075	Mukwonago town	Waukesha	6,868	7,959	1,091	15.9%	2,241	2,792	551	24.6%
55175	Murry town	Rusk	275	277	2	0.7%	162	204	42	25.9%
55200	Muscoda village	Grant, Iowa	1,453	1,299	-154	-10.6%	661	643	-18	-2.7%
55225	Muscoda town	Grant	674	769	95	14.1%	313	361	48	15.3%
55275	Muskego city	Waukesha	21,397	24,135	2,738	12.8%	7,699	9,431	1,732	22.5%
55375	Namakagon town	Bayfield	285	246	-39	-13.7%	532	596	64	12.0%
55450	Naples town	Buffalo	584	691	107	18.3%	231	278	47	20.3%
55500	Nasewaupee town	Door	1,873	2,061	188	10.0%	1,320	1,637	317	24.0%
55550	Nashotah village	Waukesha	1,266	1,395	129	10.2%	455	541	86	18.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
55575	Nashville town	Forest	1,157	1,064	-93	-8.0%	1,264	1,404	140	11.1%
55675	Navarino town	Shawano	422	446	24	5.7%	195	217	22	11.3%
55700	Necedah village	Juneau	888	916	28	3.2%	414	469	55	13.3%
55725	Necedah town	Juneau	2,156	2,327	171	7.9%	1,190	1,525	335	28.2%
55750	Neenah city	Winnebago	24,507	25,501	994	4.1%	10,198	11,313	1,115	10.9%
55775	Neenah town	Winnebago	2,657	3,237	580	21.8%	1,010	1,276	266	26.3%
55800	Neillsville city	Clark	2,731	2,463	-268	-9.8%	1,200	1,230	30	2.5%
55850	Nekimi town	Winnebago	1,419	1,429	10	0.7%	540	586	46	8.5%
55875	Nekoosa city	Wood	2,590	2,580	-10	-0.4%	1,063	1,135	72	6.8%
55950	Nelson village	Buffalo	395	374	-21	-5.3%	201	204	3	1.5%
55975	Nelson town	Buffalo	586	571	-15	-2.6%	265	291	26	9.8%
56000	Nelsonville village	Portage	191	155	-36	-18.8%	74	67	-7	-9.5%
56075	Neosho village	Dodge	593	574	-19	-3.2%	223	255	32	14.3%
56100	Nepeuskun town	Winnebago	689	710	21	3.0%	275	325	50	18.2%
56125	Neshkoro village	Marquette	453	434	-19	-4.2%	219	230	11	5.0%
56150	Neshkoro town	Marquette	595	561	-34	-5.7%	486	510	24	4.9%
56200	Neva town	Langlade	994	902	-92	-9.3%	385	448	63	16.4%
56325	Newark town	Rock	1,571	1,541	-30	-1.9%	578	618	40	6.9%
56350	New Auburn village	Barron, Chippewa	562	548	-14	-2.5%	222	235	13	5.9%
56375	New Berlin city	Waukesha	38,220	39,584	1,364	3.6%	14,921	16,829	1,908	12.8%
56425	Newbold town	Oneida	2,710	2,719	9	0.3%	2,074	2,327	253	12.2%
56450	Newburg village	Ozaukee, Washington	1,119	1,254	135	12.1%	408	506	98	24.0%
56525	New Chester town	Adams	2,141	2,254	113	5.3%	638	726	88	13.8%
56575	New Denmark town	Brown	1,482	1,541	59	4.0%	528	579	51	9.7%
56625	New Diggings town	Lafayette	473	502	29	6.1%	194	225	31	16.0%
56700	New Glarus village	Green	2,111	2,172	61	2.9%	893	948	55	6.2%
56725	New Glarus town	Green	943	1,335	392	41.6%	337	500	163	48.4%
56750	New Haven town	Adams	657	655	-2	-0.3%	338	379	41	12.1%
56775	New Haven town	Dunn	656	677	21	3.2%	256	290	34	13.3%
56800	New Holstein city	Calumet	3,301	3,236	-65	-2.0%	1,394	1,520	126	9.0%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
56825	New Holstein town	Calumet	1,457	1,508	51	3.5%	558	614	56	10.0%
56850	New Hope town	Portage	736	718	-18	-2.4%	365	355	-10	-2.7%
56900	New Lisbon city	Juneau	1,436	2,554	1,118	77.9%	690	720	30	4.3%
56925	New London city	Outagamie, Waupaca	7,085	7,295	210	3.0%	3,045	3,310	265	8.7%
56950	New Lyme town	Monroe	141	168	27	19.1%	80	94	14	17.5%
57025	Newport town	Columbia	681	586	-95	-14.0%	334	328	-6	-1.8%
57100	New Richmond city	St. Croix	6,310	8,375	2,065	32.7%	2,657	3,684	1,027	38.7%
57200	Newton town	Manitowoc	2,241	2,264	23	1.0%	850	953	103	12.1%
57225	Newton town	Marquette	550	547	-3	-0.5%	342	380	38	11.1%
57325	Niagara city	Marinette	1,880	1,624	-256	-13.6%	812	797	-15	-1.8%
57350	Niagara town	Marinette	924	853	-71	-7.7%	453	549	96	21.2%
57375	Nichols village	Outagamie	307	273	-34	-11.1%	130	119	-11	-8.5%
57450	Nokomis town	Oneida	1,363	1,371	8	0.6%	1,013	1,145	132	13.0%
57600	Norrie town	Marathon	967	976	9	0.9%	385	431	46	11.9%
57700	North Bay village	Racine	260	241	-19	-7.3%	95	97	2	2.1%
57775	North Bend town	Jackson	397	488	91	22.9%	166	215	49	29.5%
57975	Northfield town	Jackson	586	639	53	9.0%	261	289	28	10.7%
58000	North Fond du Lac village	Fond Du Lac	4,557	5,014	457	10.0%	1,912	2,199	287	15.0%
58025	North Freedom village	Sauk	649	701	52	8.0%	252	293	41	16.3%
58050	North Hudson village	St. Croix	3,463	3,768	305	8.8%	1,358	1,552	194	14.3%
58125	North Lancaster town	Grant	515	509	-6	-1.2%	170	197	27	15.9%
58400	North Prairie village	Waukesha	1,571	2,141	570	36.3%	544	799	255	46.9%
58575	Norwalk village	Monroe	653	638	-15	-2.3%	235	237	2	0.9%
58600	Norway town	Racine	7,600	7,948	348	4.6%	2,775	3,123	348	12.5%
58700	Norwood town	Langlade	918	913	-5	-0.5%	374	430	56	15.0%
58800	Oak Creek city	Milwaukee	28,456	34,451	5,995	21.1%	11,897	14,754	2,857	24.0%
58850	Oakdale village	Monroe	297	297	0	0.0%	127	137	10	7.9%
58875	Oakdale town	Monroe	679	772	93	13.7%	255	294	39	15.3%
58900	Oakfield village	Fond Du Lac	1,012	1,075	63	6.2%	384	415	31	8.1%
58925	Oakfield town	Fond Du Lac	767	703	-64	-8.3%	267	278	11	4.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
58950	Oak Grove town	Barron	911	948	37	4.1%	324	409	85	26.2%
59000	Oak Grove town	Dodge	1,126	1,080	-46	-4.1%	448	461	13	2.9%
59025	Oak Grove town	Pierce	1,522	2,150	628	41.3%	506	761	255	50.4%
59075	Oakland town	Burnett	778	827	49	6.3%	1,045	1,334	289	27.7%
59100	Oakland town	Douglas	1,144	1,136	-8	-0.7%	541	597	56	10.4%
59125	Oakland town	Jefferson	3,135	3,100	-35	-1.1%	1,437	1,621	184	12.8%
59225	Oasis town	Waushara	405	389	-16	-4.0%	258	306	48	18.6%
59250	Oconomowoc city	Waukesha	12,382	15,759	3,377	27.3%	5,239	6,662	1,423	27.2%
59275	Oconomowoc town	Waukesha	7,451	8,408	957	12.8%	3,045	3,709	664	21.8%
59300	Oconomowoc Lake village	Waukesha	564	595	31	5.5%	246	302	56	22.8%
59350	Oconto city	Oconto	4,708	4,513	-195	-4.1%	2,040	2,094	54	2.6%
59375	Oconto town	Oconto	1,251	1,335	84	6.7%	491	577	86	17.5%
59400	Oconto Falls city	Oconto	2,843	2,891	48	1.7%	1,231	1,333	102	8.3%
59425	Oconto Falls town	Oconto	1,139	1,265	126	11.1%	484	562	78	16.1%
59475	Ogdensburg village	Waupaca	224	185	-39	-17.4%	98	98	0	0.0%
59525	Ogema town	Price	882	713	-169	-19.2%	417	564	147	35.3%
59600	Ojibwa town	Sawyer	267	249	-18	-6.7%	265	334	69	26.0%
59800	Oliver village	Douglas	358	399	41	11.5%	128	167	39	30.5%
59850	Oma town	Iron	355	289	-66	-18.6%	542	526	-16	-3.0%
59875	Omro city	Winnebago	3,177	3,517	340	10.7%	1,297	1,537	240	18.5%
59900	Omro town	Winnebago	1,875	2,116	241	12.9%	776	932	156	20.1%
59925	Onalaska city	La Crosse	14,839	17,736	2,897	19.5%	6,070	7,608	1,538	25.3%
59950	Onalaska town	La Crosse	5,210	5,623	413	7.9%	1,834	2,120	286	15.6%
60000	Oneida town	Outagamie	4,147	4,678	531	12.8%	1,316	1,530	214	16.3%
60075	Ontario village	Vernon	476	554	78	16.4%	254	253	-1	-0.4%
60100	Oostburg village	Sheboygan	2,660	2,887	227	8.5%	996	1,154	158	15.9%
60150	Orange town	Juneau	549	570	21	3.8%	261	282	21	8.0%
60200	Oregon village	Dane	7,514	9,231	1,717	22.9%	2,895	3,775	880	30.4%
60225	Oregon town	Dane	3,148	3,184	36	1.1%	1,077	1,191	114	10.6%
60250	Orfordville village	Rock	1,272	1,442	170	13.4%	469	575	106	22.6%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
60275	Orienta town	Bayfield	101	122	21	20.8%	201	256	55	27.4%
60350	Orion town	Richland	628	579	-49	-7.8%	309	329	20	6.5%
60400	Osborn town	Outagamie	1,029	1,170	141	13.7%	340	416	76	22.4%
60425	Osceola town	Fond Du Lac	1,802	1,865	63	3.5%	890	1,040	150	16.9%
60450	Osceola village	Polk	2,421	2,568	147	6.1%	1,072	1,289	217	20.2%
60475	Osceola town	Polk	2,085	2,855	770	36.9%	829	1,188	359	43.3%
60500	Oshkosh city	Winnebago	62,916	66,083	3,167	5.0%	25,420	28,179	2,759	10.9%
60525	Oshkosh town	Winnebago	3,234	2,475	-759	-23.5%	1,331	1,188	-143	-10.7%
60575	Osseo city	Trempealeau	1,669	1,701	32	1.9%	761	786	25	3.3%
60687	Otsego town	Columbia	757	693	-64	-8.5%	287	305	18	6.3%
60700	Ottawa town	Waukesha	3,758	3,859	101	2.7%	1,436	1,495	59	4.1%
60725	Otter Creek town	Dunn	474	501	27	5.7%	184	216	32	17.4%
60750	Otter Creek town	Eau Claire	531	500	-31	-5.8%	183	181	-2	-1.1%
60775	Oulu town	Bayfield	540	527	-13	-2.4%	267	304	37	13.9%
60825	Owen city	Clark	936	940	4	0.4%	455	485	30	6.6%
60875	Oxford village	Marquette	536	607	71	13.2%	259	283	24	9.3%
60900	Oxford town	Marquette	859	885	26	3.0%	470	527	57	12.1%
60925	Pacific town	Columbia	2,518	2,707	189	7.5%	1,108	1,279	171	15.4%
60962	Packwaukee town	Marquette	1,297	1,416	119	9.2%	932	1,069	137	14.7%
60975	Paddock Lake village	Kenosha	3,012	2,992	-20	-0.7%	1,185	1,297	112	9.5%
61025	Palmyra village	Jefferson	1,766	1,781	15	0.8%	725	769	44	6.1%
61050	Palmyra town	Jefferson	1,145	1,186	41	3.6%	512	606	94	18.4%
61100	Pardeeville village	Columbia	1,982	2,115	133	6.7%	873	1,003	130	14.9%
61150	Paris town	Grant	754	702	-52	-6.9%	278	283	5	1.8%
61175	Paris town	Kenosha	1,473	1,504	31	2.1%	554	598	44	7.9%
61200	Park Falls city	Price	2,793	2,462	-331	-11.9%	1,302	1,283	-19	-1.5%
61250	Parkland town	Douglas	1,240	1,220	-20	-1.6%	480	542	62	12.9%
61325	Park Ridge village	Portage	488	491	3	0.6%	216	228	12	5.6%
61375	Parrish town	Langlade	108	91	-17	-15.7%	92	101	9	9.8%
61425	Patch Grove village	Grant	166	198	32	19.3%	67	88	21	31.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
61450	Patch Grove town	Grant	390	339	-51	-13.1%	148	150	2	1.4%
61525	Peck town	Langlade	354	349	-5	-1.4%	163	190	27	16.6%
61600	Peeksville town	Ashland	176	141	-35	-19.9%	125	145	20	16.0%
61625	Pelican town	Oneida	2,902	2,764	-138	-4.8%	1,532	1,715	183	11.9%
61700	Pella town	Shawano	877	865	-12	-1.4%	375	448	73	19.5%
61775	Pembine town	Marinette	1,036	889	-147	-14.2%	871	1,016	145	16.6%
61825	Pence town	Iron	198	163	-35	-17.7%	136	143	7	5.1%
61900	Pensaukee town	Oconto	1,214	1,381	167	13.8%	562	691	129	23.0%
61925	Pepin village	Pepin	878	837	-41	-4.7%	430	490	60	14.0%
61950	Pepin town	Pepin	580	721	141	24.3%	273	443	170	62.3%
62050	Perry town	Dane	670	729	59	8.8%	263	302	39	14.8%
62100	Pershing town	Taylor	180	180	0	0.0%	92	125	33	35.9%
62125	Peru town	Dunn	247	242	-5	-2.0%	86	102	16	18.6%
62175	Peshtigo city	Marinette	3,357	3,502	145	4.3%	1,416	1,621	205	14.5%
62200	Peshtigo town	Marinette	3,819	4,057	238	6.2%	1,567	1,854	287	18.3%
62240	Pewaukee city	Waukesha	11,783	13,195	1,412	12.0%	4,761	5,767	1,006	21.1%
62250	Pewaukee village	Waukesha	8,170	8,166	-4	0.0%	3,761	4,160	399	10.6%
62425	Phelps town	Vilas	1,350	1,200	-150	-11.1%	1,605	1,705	100	6.2%
62450	Phillips city	Price	1,675	1,478	-197	-11.8%	839	868	29	3.5%
62600	Piehl town	Oneida	93	86	-7	-7.5%	85	102	17	20.0%
62625	Pierce town	Kewaunee	897	833	-64	-7.1%	407	451	44	10.8%
62650	Pigeon town	Trempealeau	894	891	-3	-0.3%	326	337	11	3.4%
62675	Pigeon Falls village	Trempealeau	388	411	23	5.9%	144	188	44	30.6%
62700	Pilsen town	Bayfield	203	210	7	3.4%	104	135	31	29.8%
62825	Pine Grove town	Portage	904	937	33	3.7%	371	398	27	7.3%
62925	Pine Lake town	Oneida	2,720	2,740	20	0.7%	1,381	1,617	236	17.1%
62950	Pine River town	Lincoln	1,877	1,869	-8	-0.4%	724	822	98	13.5%
63000	Pine Valley town	Clark	1,121	1,157	36	3.2%	464	550	86	18.5%
63075	Pittsfield town	Brown	2,433	2,608	175	7.2%	838	978	140	16.7%
63100	Pittsville city	Wood	866	874	8	0.9%	351	378	27	7.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
63125	Plain village	Sauk	792	773	-19	-2.4%	339	358	19	5.6%
63150	Plainfield village	Waushara	899	862	-37	-4.1%	373	371	-2	-0.5%
63175	Plainfield town	Waushara	533	550	17	3.2%	230	255	25	10.9%
63250	Platteville city	Grant	9,989	11,224	1,235	12.4%	3,482	3,840	358	10.3%
63275	Platteville town	Grant	1,343	1,509	166	12.4%	493	604	111	22.5%
63300	Pleasant Prairie village	Kenosha	16,136	19,719	3,583	22.2%	6,050	7,753	1,703	28.1%
63375	Pleasant Springs town	Dane	3,053	3,154	101	3.3%	1,221	1,327	106	8.7%
63400	Pleasant Valley town	Eau Claire	2,681	3,044	363	13.5%	931	1,117	186	20.0%
63425	Pleasant Valley town	St. Croix	430	515	85	19.8%	150	188	38	25.3%
63500	Plover town	Marathon	686	689	3	0.4%	250	287	37	14.8%
63525	Plover village	Portage	10,520	12,123	1,603	15.2%	4,133	5,188	1,055	25.5%
63550	Plover town	Portage	2,415	1,701	-714	-29.6%	916	678	-238	-26.0%
63600	Plum City village	Pierce	574	599	25	4.4%	231	262	31	13.4%
63625	Plum Lake town	Vilas	486	491	5	1.0%	762	796	34	4.5%
63650	Plymouth town	Juneau	639	597	-42	-6.6%	306	320	14	4.6%
63675	Plymouth town	Rock	1,270	1,235	-35	-2.8%	454	496	42	9.3%
63700	Plymouth city	Sheboygan	7,781	8,445	664	8.5%	3,395	4,039	644	19.0%
63725	Plymouth town	Sheboygan	3,115	3,195	80	2.6%	1,178	1,229	51	4.3%
63825	Polar town	Langlade	995	984	-11	-1.1%	383	456	73	19.1%
63875	Polk town	Washington	3,938	3,937	-1	0.0%	1,430	1,540	110	7.7%
63975	Poplar village	Douglas	552	603	51	9.2%	222	254	32	14.4%
64050	Popple River town	Forest	79	44	-35	-44.3%	128	145	17	13.3%
64100	Portage city	Columbia	9,728	10,324	596	6.1%	3,970	4,493	523	13.2%
64175	Port Edwards village	Wood	1,944	1,818	-126	-6.5%	737	750	13	1.8%
64200	Port Edwards town	Wood	1,446	1,427	-19	-1.3%	555	605	50	9.0%
64225	Porter town	Rock	925	945	20	2.2%	365	411	46	12.6%
64275	Porterfield town	Marinette	1,991	1,971	-20	-1.0%	848	993	145	17.1%
64375	Portland town	Dodge	1,106	1,079	-27	-2.4%	421	470	49	11.6%
64425	Portland town	Monroe	686	808	122	17.8%	273	327	54	19.8%
64450	Port Washington city	Ozaukee	10,467	11,250	783	7.5%	4,252	5,020	768	18.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
64475	Port Washington town	Ozaukee	1,631	1,643	12	0.7%	678	663	-15	-2.2%
64512	Port Wing town	Bayfield	420	368	-52	-12.4%	356	365	9	2.5%
64625	Potosi village	Grant	711	688	-23	-3.2%	320	322	2	0.6%
64650	Potosi town	Grant	831	849	18	2.2%	337	349	12	3.6%
64675	Potter village	Calumet	252	253	1	0.4%	89	99	10	11.2%
64750	Pound village	Marinette	355	377	22	6.2%	174	164	-10	-5.7%
64775	Pound town	Marinette	1,367	1,425	58	4.2%	537	628	91	16.9%
64875	Poygan town	Winnebago	1,037	1,301	264	25.5%	532	680	148	27.8%
64900	Poynette village	Columbia	2,266	2,528	262	11.6%	957	1,122	165	17.2%
64975	Poysippi town	Waushara	972	931	-41	-4.2%	436	447	11	2.5%
65050	Prairie du Chien city	Crawford	6,018	5,911	-107	-1.8%	2,564	2,594	30	1.2%
65075	Prairie du Chien town	Crawford	1,076	1,073	-3	-0.3%	848	868	20	2.4%
65100	Prairie du Sac village	Sauk	3,231	3,972	741	22.9%	1,332	1,733	401	30.1%
65125	Prairie du Sac town	Sauk	1,138	1,144	6	0.5%	428	468	40	9.3%
65150	Prairie Farm village	Barron	508	473	-35	-6.9%	208	227	19	9.1%
65175	Prairie Farm town	Barron	603	564	-39	-6.5%	222	238	16	7.2%
65200	Prairie Lake town	Barron	1,369	1,532	163	11.9%	739	882	143	19.4%
65325	Prentice village	Price	626	660	34	5.4%	316	340	24	7.6%
65350	Prentice town	Price	479	475	-4	-0.8%	263	373	110	41.8%
65375	Prescott city	Pierce	3,764	4,258	494	13.1%	1,472	1,813	341	23.2%
65425	Presque Isle town	Vilas	513	618	105	20.5%	1,216	1,523	307	25.2%
65450	Preston town	Adams	1,360	1,393	33	2.4%	960	1,160	200	20.8%
65500	Preston town	Trempealeau	951	953	2	0.2%	372	400	28	7.5%
65550	Price town	Langlade	243	228	-15	-6.2%	101	125	24	23.8%
65575	Primrose town	Dane	682	731	49	7.2%	252	294	42	16.7%
65600	Princeton city	Green Lake	1,504	1,214	-290	-19.3%	636	638	2	0.3%
65625	Princeton town	Green Lake	1,540	1,434	-106	-6.9%	1,105	1,228	123	11.1%
65675	Pulaski village	Brown, Oconto, Shawano	3,060	3,539	479	15.7%	1,254	1,525	271	21.6%
65700	Pulaski town	Iowa	381	400	19	5.0%	155	204	49	31.6%
65825	Quincy town	Adams	1,181	1,163	-18	-1.5%	1,614	1,707	93	5.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
66000	Racine city	Racine	81,855	78,860	-2,995	-3.7%	33,414	33,887	473	1.4%
66050	Radisson village	Sawyer	222	241	19	8.6%	131	140	9	6.9%
66075	Radisson town	Sawyer	465	405	-60	-12.9%	351	392	41	11.7%
66125	Randall town	Kenosha	2,929	3,180	251	8.6%	1,278	1,536	258	20.2%
66150	Randolph village	Columbia, Dodge	1,869	1,811	-58	-3.1%	752	728	-24	-3.2%
66175	Randolph town	Columbia	699	769	70	10.0%	240	288	48	20.0%
66200	Random Lake village	Sheboygan	1,551	1,594	43	2.8%	656	720	64	9.8%
66275	Rantoul town	Calumet	812	798	-14	-1.7%	258	285	27	10.5%
66375	Raymond town	Racine	3,516	3,870	354	10.1%	1,272	1,476	204	16.0%
66450	Readstown village	Vernon	395	415	20	5.1%	216	213	-3	-1.4%
66550	Red Cedar town	Dunn	1,673	2,086	413	24.7%	624	796	172	27.6%
66625	Redgranite village	Waushara	1,040	2,149	1,109	106.6%	493	583	90	18.3%
66700	Red River town	Kewaunee	1,476	1,393	-83	-5.6%	601	687	86	14.3%
66750	Red Springs town	Shawano	981	925	-56	-5.7%	438	498	60	13.7%
66800	Reedsburg city	Sauk	7,827	9,200	1,373	17.5%	3,380	4,103	723	21.4%
66825	Reedsburg town	Sauk	1,236	1,293	57	4.6%	415	501	86	20.7%
66875	Reedsville village	Manitowoc	1,187	1,206	19	1.6%	502	513	11	2.2%
66900	Reeseville village	Dodge	703	708	5	0.7%	315	322	7	2.2%
66950	Reid town	Marathon	1,191	1,215	24	2.0%	475	541	66	13.9%
67000	Remington town	Wood	305	268	-37	-12.1%	179	186	7	3.9%
67025	Reseburg town	Clark	740	776	36	4.9%	221	240	19	8.6%
67100	Rewey village	Iowa	311	292	-19	-6.1%	128	129	1	0.8%
67150	Rhine town	Sheboygan	2,244	2,134	-110	-4.9%	961	1,065	104	10.8%
67200	Rhineland city	Oneida	7,735	7,798	63	0.8%	3,430	3,981	551	16.1%
67250	Rib Falls town	Marathon	907	993	86	9.5%	309	362	53	17.2%
67275	Rib Lake village	Taylor	878	910	32	3.6%	394	432	38	9.6%
67300	Rib Lake town	Taylor	768	852	84	10.9%	466	605	139	29.8%
67325	Rib Mountain town	Marathon	7,556	6,825	-731	-9.7%	2,769	2,761	-8	-0.3%
67350	Rice Lake city	Barron	8,312	8,438	126	1.5%	3,797	4,239	442	11.6%
67375	Rice Lake town	Barron	3,026	3,041	15	0.5%	1,216	1,330	114	9.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
67425	Richfield town	Adams	144	158	14	9.7%	103	146	43	41.7%
67475	Richfield village	Washington	10,373	11,300	927	8.9%	3,766	4,338	572	15.2%
67500	Richfield town	Wood	1,523	1,628	105	6.9%	485	585	100	20.6%
67550	Richford town	Waushara	588	612	24	4.1%	281	308	27	9.6%
67575	Richland town	Richland	1,364	1,379	15	1.1%	516	578	62	12.0%
67600	Richland town	Rusk	206	232	26	12.6%	111	193	82	73.9%
67625	Richland Center city	Richland	5,114	5,184	70	1.4%	2,470	2,613	143	5.8%
67650	Richmond town	St. Croix	1,556	3,272	1,716	110.3%	530	1,155	625	117.9%
67675	Richmond town	Shawano	1,719	1,864	145	8.4%	719	836	117	16.3%
67725	Richmond town	Walworth	1,835	1,884	49	2.7%	899	1,016	117	13.0%
67775	Richwood town	Richland	618	533	-85	-13.8%	299	324	25	8.4%
67800	Ridgeland village	Dunn	265	273	8	3.0%	131	138	7	5.3%
67850	Ridgeville town	Monroe	491	501	10	2.0%	183	212	29	15.8%
67875	Ridgeway village	Iowa	689	653	-36	-5.2%	286	293	7	2.4%
67900	Ridgeway town	Iowa	581	568	-13	-2.2%	237	266	29	12.2%
67950	Rietbrock town	Marathon	927	981	54	5.8%	300	345	45	15.0%
68075	Ringle town	Marathon	1,408	1,711	303	21.5%	487	648	161	33.1%
68100	Rio village	Columbia	938	1,059	121	12.9%	401	475	74	18.5%
68175	Ripon city	Fond Du Lac	7,450	7,733	283	3.8%	3,118	3,306	188	6.0%
68200	Ripon town	Fond Du Lac	1,379	1,400	21	1.5%	522	579	57	10.9%
68275	River Falls city	Pierce, Saint Croix	12,560	15,000	2,440	19.4%	4,345	5,449	1,104	25.4%
68300	River Falls town	Pierce	2,304	2,271	-33	-1.4%	821	879	58	7.1%
68325	River Hills village	Milwaukee	1,631	1,597	-34	-2.1%	617	647	30	4.9%
68400	Riverview town	Oconto	829	725	-104	-12.5%	1,552	1,639	87	5.6%
68475	Roberts village	St. Croix	969	1,651	682	70.4%	402	724	322	80.1%
68575	Rochester town	see note at end	2,254				810			
68550	Rochester village	Racine	1,149	3,682	2,533	220.5%	425	1,412	987	232.2%
68600	Rock town	Rock	3,338	3,196	-142	-4.3%	1,358	1,346	-12	-0.9%
68625	Rock town	Wood	856	855	-1	-0.1%	316	353	37	11.7%
68675	Rockbridge town	Richland	721	734	13	1.8%	315	372	57	18.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
68700	Rock Creek town	Dunn	793	1,000	207	26.1%	300	391	91	30.3%
68725	Rockdale village	Dane	214	214	0	0.0%	91	94	3	3.3%
68775	Rock Elm town	Pierce	504	485	-19	-3.8%	193	219	26	13.5%
68825	Rock Falls town	Lincoln	598	618	20	3.3%	415	508	93	22.4%
68875	Rockland town	Brown	1,522	1,734	212	13.9%	495	622	127	25.7%
68900	Rockland village	La Crosse	625	594	-31	-5.0%	215	243	28	13.0%
68925	Rockland town	Manitowoc	896	1,001	105	11.7%	336	403	67	19.9%
68975	Rock Springs village	Sauk	425	362	-63	-14.8%	167	150	-17	-10.2%
69175	Rolling town	Langlade	1,452	1,504	52	3.6%	539	623	84	15.6%
69275	Rome town	Adams	2,656	2,720	64	2.4%	2,354	3,351	997	42.4%
69325	Roosevelt town	Burnett	197	199	2	1.0%	145	164	19	13.1%
69400	Roosevelt town	Taylor	444	473	29	6.5%	169	229	60	35.5%
69425	Rose town	Waushara	595	640	45	7.6%	353	412	59	16.7%
69525	Rosendale village	Fond Du Lac	923	1,063	140	15.2%	339	417	78	23.0%
69550	Rosendale town	Fond Du Lac	783	695	-88	-11.2%	300	287	-13	-4.3%
69575	Rosholt village	Portage	518	506	-12	-2.3%	212	227	15	7.1%
69625	Ross town	Forest	167	136	-31	-18.6%	218	237	19	8.7%
69725	Rothschild village	Marathon	4,970	5,269	299	6.0%	1,988	2,332	344	17.3%
69750	Round Lake town	Sawyer	962	977	15	1.6%	1,113	1,270	157	14.1%
69850	Roxbury town	Dane	1,700	1,794	94	5.5%	640	806	166	25.9%
69900	Royalton town	Waupaca	1,544	1,434	-110	-7.1%	618	753	135	21.8%
69962	Rubicon town	Dodge	2,005	2,207	202	10.1%	680	811	131	19.3%
69975	Ruby town	Chippewa	446	494	48	10.8%	197	234	37	18.8%
70000	Rudolph village	Wood	423	439	16	3.8%	177	197	20	11.3%
70025	Rudolph town	Wood	1,161	1,028	-133	-11.5%	438	447	9	2.1%
70125	Rushford town	Winnebago	1,471	1,561	90	6.1%	594	682	88	14.8%
70200	Rush River town	St. Croix	498	508	10	2.0%	173	200	27	15.6%
70225	Rusk town	Burnett	420	409	-11	-2.6%	338	497	159	47.0%
70275	Rusk town	Rusk	475	525	50	10.5%	435	512	77	17.7%
70300	Russell town	Bayfield	1,216	1,279	63	5.2%	506	574	68	13.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
70325	Russell town	Lincoln	693	677	-16	-2.3%	330	385	55	16.7%
70350	Russell town	Sheboygan	399	377	-22	-5.5%	149	156	7	4.7%
70400	Rutland town	Dane	1,887	1,966	79	4.2%	704	778	74	10.5%
70500	St. Cloud village	Fond Du Lac	497	477	-20	-4.0%	193	216	23	11.9%
70550	St. Croix Falls city	Polk	2,033	2,133	100	4.9%	926	1,088	162	17.5%
70575	St. Croix Falls town	Polk	1,119	1,165	46	4.1%	538	609	71	13.2%
70650	St. Francis city	Milwaukee	8,662	9,365	703	8.1%	4,193	4,828	635	15.1%
70725	St. Germain town	Vilas	1,932	2,085	153	7.9%	2,067	2,331	264	12.8%
70825	St. Joseph town	St. Croix	3,436	3,842	406	11.8%	1,259	1,511	252	20.0%
70900	St. Lawrence town	Waupaca	740	710	-30	-4.1%	345	376	31	9.0%
70925	St. Marie town	Green Lake	341	351	10	2.9%	170	196	26	15.3%
71025	St. Nazianz village	Manitowoc	749	783	34	4.5%	302	346	44	14.6%
71125	Salem town	Kenosha	9,871	12,067	2,196	22.2%	3,939	5,055	1,116	28.3%
71150	Salem town	Pierce	505	510	5	1.0%	186	223	37	19.9%
71275	Sampson town	Chippewa	816	892	76	9.3%	449	724	275	61.2%
71350	Sanborn town	Ashland	1,272	1,331	59	4.6%	531	584	53	10.0%
71425	Sand Creek town	Dunn	586	570	-16	-2.7%	244	267	23	9.4%
71450	Sand Lake town	Burnett	556	531	-25	-4.5%	445	555	110	24.7%
71500	Sand Lake town	Sawyer	774	813	39	5.0%	1,147	1,311	164	14.3%
71600	Saratoga town	Wood	5,383	5,142	-241	-4.5%	2,058	2,204	146	7.1%
71637	Sarona town	Washburn	382	384	2	0.5%	263	309	46	17.5%
71650	Sauk City village	Sauk	3,109	3,410	301	9.7%	1,314	1,508	194	14.8%
71700	Saukville village	Ozaukee	4,068	4,451	383	9.4%	1,639	1,848	209	12.8%
71725	Saukville town	Ozaukee	1,755	1,822	67	3.8%	644	728	84	13.0%
71825	Saxeville town	Waushara	974	986	12	1.2%	610	670	60	9.8%
71875	Saxon town	Iron	350	324	-26	-7.4%	221	259	38	17.2%
71975	Scandinavia village	Waupaca	349	363	14	4.0%	152	166	14	9.2%
72000	Scandinavia town	Waupaca	1,075	1,066	-9	-0.8%	479	534	55	11.5%
72075	Schleswig town	Manitowoc	1,900	1,963	63	3.3%	871	965	94	10.8%
72100	Schley town	Lincoln	909	934	25	2.8%	395	443	48	12.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
72125	Schoepke town	Oneida	352	387	35	9.9%	626	647	21	3.4%
72150	Schofield city	Marathon	2,117	2,169	52	2.5%	1,022	1,099	77	7.5%
72200	Scott town	Brown	3,138	3,545	407	13.0%	1,234	1,475	241	19.5%
72225	Scott town	Burnett	590	494	-96	-16.3%	916	1,077	161	17.6%
72250	Scott town	Columbia	791	905	114	14.4%	260	313	53	20.4%
72275	Scott town	Crawford	503	462	-41	-8.2%	224	254	30	13.4%
72300	Scott town	Lincoln	1,287	1,432	145	11.3%	488	572	84	17.2%
72325	Scott town	Monroe	117	135	18	15.4%	61	71	10	16.4%
72350	Scott town	Sheboygan	1,804	1,836	32	1.8%	700	749	49	7.0%
72450	Seif town	Clark	212	172	-40	-18.9%	122	139	17	13.9%
72500	Seneca town	Crawford	893	866	-27	-3.0%	479	510	31	6.5%
72525	Seneca town	Green Lake	424	408	-16	-3.8%	194	193	-1	-0.5%
72550	Seneca town	Shawano	567	558	-9	-1.6%	255	292	37	14.5%
72575	Seneca town	Wood	1,202	1,120	-82	-6.8%	422	435	13	3.1%
72600	Sevastopol town	Door	2,667	2,628	-39	-1.5%	1,554	1,859	305	19.6%
72625	Seven Mile Creek town	Juneau	369	358	-11	-3.0%	168	203	35	20.8%
72675	Seymour town	Eau Claire	2,978	3,209	231	7.8%	1,151	1,293	142	12.3%
72700	Seymour town	Lafayette	363	446	83	22.9%	122	153	31	25.4%
72725	Seymour city	Outagamie	3,335	3,451	116	3.5%	1,377	1,565	188	13.7%
72750	Seymour town	Outagamie	1,216	1,193	-23	-1.9%	421	458	37	8.8%
72825	Shanagolden town	Ashland	150	125	-25	-16.7%	157	206	49	31.2%
72850	Sharon town	Portage	1,936	1,982	46	2.4%	754	863	109	14.5%
72875	Sharon village	Walworth	1,549	1,605	56	3.6%	602	714	112	18.6%
72900	Sharon town	Walworth	912	907	-5	-0.5%	352	364	12	3.4%
72925	Shawano city	Shawano	8,298	9,305	1,007	12.1%	3,587	4,309	722	20.1%
72975	Sheboygan city	Sheboygan	50,792	49,288	-1,504	-3.0%	21,762	22,339	577	2.7%
73000	Sheboygan town	Sheboygan	5,874	7,271	1,397	23.8%	2,245	3,175	930	41.4%
73025	Sheboygan Falls city	Sheboygan	6,772	7,775	1,003	14.8%	2,826	3,681	855	30.3%
73050	Sheboygan Falls town	Sheboygan	1,706	1,718	12	0.7%	675	736	61	9.0%
73125	Shelby town	La Crosse	4,687	4,715	28	0.6%	1,817	1,997	180	9.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
73150	Sheldon town	Monroe	682	727	45	6.6%	214	255	41	19.2%
73175	Sheldon village	Rusk	256	237	-19	-7.4%	123	126	3	2.4%
73200	Shell Lake city	Washburn	1,309	1,347	38	2.9%	890	988	98	11.0%
73300	Sheridan town	Dunn	483	454	-29	-6.0%	185	210	25	13.5%
73350	Sherman town	Clark	831	882	51	6.1%	263	298	35	13.3%
73375	Sherman town	Dunn	748	849	101	13.5%	292	368	76	26.0%
73400	Sherman town	Iron	336	290	-46	-13.7%	483	534	51	10.6%
73425	Sherman town	Sheboygan	1,520	1,505	-15	-1.0%	544	597	53	9.7%
73475	Sherry town	Wood	809	803	-6	-0.7%	280	318	38	13.6%
73525	Sherwood village	Calumet	1,550	2,713	1,163	75.0%	593	1,027	434	73.2%
73550	Sherwood town	Clark	252	220	-32	-12.7%	152	169	17	11.2%
73575	Shields town	Dodge	554	554	0	0.0%	203	223	20	9.9%
73600	Shields town	Marquette	456	550	94	20.6%	277	352	75	27.1%
73625	Shiocton village	Outagamie	954	921	-33	-3.5%	394	403	9	2.3%
73725	Shorewood village	Milwaukee	13,763	13,162	-601	-4.4%	6,696	6,750	54	0.8%
73750	Shorewood Hills village	Dane	1,732	1,565	-167	-9.6%	664	660	-4	-0.6%
73825	Shullsburg city	Lafayette	1,246	1,226	-20	-1.6%	576	586	10	1.7%
73850	Shullsburg town	Lafayette	364	354	-10	-2.7%	143	152	9	6.3%
73875	Sigel town	Chippewa	825	1,044	219	26.5%	307	419	112	36.5%
73900	Sigel town	Wood	1,130	1,051	-79	-7.0%	428	448	20	4.7%
73975	Silver Cliff town	Marinette	529	491	-38	-7.2%	963	1,162	199	20.7%
74025	Silver Lake village	Kenosha	2,341	2,411	70	3.0%	964	1,072	108	11.2%
74125	Sioux Creek town	Barron	689	655	-34	-4.9%	244	270	26	10.7%
74175	Siren village	Burnett	988	806	-182	-18.4%	499	490	-9	-1.8%
74200	Siren town	Burnett	873	936	63	7.2%	717	870	153	21.3%
74225	Sister Bay village	Door	886	876	-10	-1.1%	945	1,335	390	41.3%
74250	Skanawan town	Lincoln	354	391	37	10.5%	216	285	69	31.9%
74400	Slinger village	Washington	3,901	5,068	1,167	29.9%	1,607	2,182	575	35.8%
74450	Smelser town	Grant	756	794	38	5.0%	276	322	46	16.7%
74550	Soldiers Grove village	Crawford	653	592	-61	-9.3%	284	273	-11	-3.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
74575	Solon Springs village	Douglas	576	600	24	4.2%	389	408	19	4.9%
74600	Solon Springs town	Douglas	807	910	103	12.8%	477	698	221	46.3%
74650	Somers town	Kenosha	9,059	9,597	538	5.9%	3,552	3,932	380	10.7%
74675	Somerset village	St. Croix	1,556	2,635	1,079	69.3%	659	1,102	443	67.2%
74700	Somerset town	St. Croix	2,644	4,036	1,392	52.6%	963	1,479	516	53.6%
74725	Somo town	Lincoln	121	114	-7	-5.8%	85	142	57	67.1%
74975	South Fork town	Rusk	120	120	0	0.0%	91	126	35	38.5%
75075	South Lancaster town	Grant	808	843	35	4.3%	245	280	35	14.3%
75125	South Milwaukee city	Milwaukee	21,256	21,156	-100	-0.5%	9,122	9,722	600	6.6%
75275	South Wayne village	Lafayette	484	489	5	1.0%	218	220	2	0.9%
75325	Sparta city	Monroe	8,648	9,522	874	10.1%	3,733	4,192	459	12.3%
75350	Sparta town	Monroe	2,753	3,128	375	13.6%	968	1,178	210	21.7%
75400	Spencer village	Marathon	1,932	1,925	-7	-0.4%	852	875	23	2.7%
75425	Spencer town	Marathon	1,341	1,581	240	17.9%	496	574	78	15.7%
75450	Spider Lake town	Sawyer	391	351	-40	-10.2%	776	982	206	26.5%
75525	Spirit town	Price	315	277	-38	-12.1%	244	294	50	20.5%
75625	Spooner city	Washburn	2,653	2,682	29	1.1%	1,249	1,302	53	4.2%
75650	Spooner town	Washburn	677	706	29	4.3%	383	485	102	26.6%
75750	Spring Brook town	Dunn	1,320	1,558	238	18.0%	489	613	124	25.4%
75800	Springbrook town	Washburn	536	445	-91	-17.0%	300	320	20	6.7%
75850	Springdale town	Dane	1,530	1,904	374	24.4%	585	756	171	29.2%
75875	Springfield town	Dane	2,762	2,734	-28	-1.0%	993	1,040	47	4.7%
75900	Springfield town	Jackson	567	623	56	9.9%	229	262	33	14.4%
75925	Springfield town	Marquette	628	830	202	32.2%	542	634	92	17.0%
75950	Springfield town	St. Croix	808	932	124	15.3%	299	373	74	24.7%
76025	Spring Green village	Sauk	1,444	1,628	184	12.7%	624	753	129	20.7%
76050	Spring Green town	Sauk	1,585	1,697	112	7.1%	661	816	155	23.4%
76075	Spring Grove town	Green	861	874	13	1.5%	308	348	40	13.0%
76100	Spring Lake town	Pierce	550	563	13	2.4%	197	229	32	16.2%
76175	Spring Prairie town	Walworth	2,089	2,181	92	4.4%	765	856	91	11.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
76225	Springvale town	Columbia	550	520	-30	-5.5%	207	224	17	8.2%
76250	Springvale town	Fond Du Lac	727	707	-20	-2.8%	277	281	4	1.4%
76300	Spring Valley village	Pierce, Saint Croix	1,189	1,352	163	13.7%	482	597	115	23.9%
76325	Spring Valley town	Rock	813	746	-67	-8.2%	291	313	22	7.6%
76350	Springville town	Adams	1,167	1,318	151	12.9%	864	1,065	201	23.3%
76400	Springwater town	Waushara	1,389	1,274	-115	-8.3%	1,420	1,441	21	1.5%
76450	Spruce town	Oconto	871	835	-36	-4.1%	587	582	-5	-0.9%
76550	Stanfold town	Barron	669	719	50	7.5%	258	287	29	11.2%
76600	Stanley town	Barron	2,237	2,546	309	13.8%	898	1,134	236	26.3%
76625	Stanley city	Chippewa, Clark	1,898	3,608	1,710	90.1%	900	1,006	106	11.8%
76650	Stanton town	Dunn	715	791	76	10.6%	257	327	70	27.2%
76675	Stanton town	St. Croix	1,003	900	-103	-10.3%	363	368	5	1.4%
76725	Stark town	Vernon	349	363	14	4.0%	198	224	26	13.1%
76825	Star Prairie village	St. Croix	574	561	-13	-2.3%	215	248	33	15.3%
76850	Star Prairie town	St. Croix	2,944	3,504	560	19.0%	1,079	1,417	338	31.3%
76975	Stella town	Oneida	633	650	17	2.7%	316	385	69	21.8%
77000	Stephenson town	Marinette	3,065	3,006	-59	-1.9%	3,777	4,708	931	24.6%
77050	Sterling town	Polk	724	790	66	9.1%	521	583	62	11.9%
77075	Sterling town	Vernon	713	633	-80	-11.2%	278	294	16	5.8%
77100	Stetsonville village	Taylor	563	541	-22	-3.9%	241	263	22	9.1%
77150	Stettin town	Marathon	2,191	2,554	363	16.6%	828	1,057	229	27.7%
77175	Steuben village	Crawford	177	131	-46	-26.0%	72	64	-8	-11.1%
77200	Stevens Point city	Portage	24,551	26,717	2,166	8.8%	9,749	11,220	1,471	15.1%
77300	Stiles town	Oconto	1,465	1,489	24	1.6%	620	687	67	10.8%
77350	Stinnett town	Washburn	263	246	-17	-6.5%	133	134	1	0.8%
77400	Stockbridge village	Calumet	649	636	-13	-2.0%	299	348	49	16.4%
77425	Stockbridge town	Calumet	1,383	1,456	73	5.3%	614	704	90	14.7%
77475	Stockholm village	Pepin	97	66	-31	-32.0%	89	88	-1	-1.1%
77500	Stockholm town	Pepin	75	197	122	162.7%	65	122	57	87.7%
77537	Stockton town	Portage	2,896	2,917	21	0.7%	1,025	1,126	101	9.9%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
77550	Stoddard village	Vernon	815	774	-41	-5.0%	375	388	13	3.5%
77650	Stone Lake town	Washburn	544	508	-36	-6.6%	362	400	38	10.5%
77675	Stoughton city	Dane	12,354	12,611	257	2.1%	4,890	5,419	529	10.8%
77750	Stratford village	Marathon	1,523	1,578	55	3.6%	648	713	65	10.0%
77775	Strickland town	Rusk	300	280	-20	-6.7%	160	158	-2	-1.3%
77800	Strong's Prairie town	Adams	1,115	1,150	35	3.1%	979	1,373	394	40.2%
77825	Strum village	Trempealeau	1,001	1,114	113	11.3%	434	467	33	7.6%
77850	Stubbs town	Rusk	587	579	-8	-1.4%	314	324	10	3.2%
77875	Sturgeon Bay city	Door	9,437	9,144	-293	-3.1%	4,447	4,903	456	10.3%
77900	Sturgeon Bay town	Door	865	818	-47	-5.4%	543	635	92	16.9%
77925	Sturtevant village	Racine	5,287	6,970	1,683	31.8%	1,521	2,240	719	47.3%
77975	Suamico village	Brown	8,686	11,346	2,660	30.6%	3,078	4,235	1,157	37.6%
78075	Sugar Camp town	Oneida	1,781	1,694	-87	-4.9%	1,326	1,579	253	19.1%
78100	Sugar Creek town	Walworth	3,331	3,943	612	18.4%	1,347	1,650	303	22.5%
78175	Sullivan village	Jefferson	688	669	-19	-2.8%	321	328	7	2.2%
78200	Sullivan town	Jefferson	2,124	2,208	84	4.0%	861	966	105	12.2%
78275	Summit town	Douglas	1,042	1,063	21	2.0%	561	635	74	13.2%
78300	Summit town	Juneau	623	646	23	3.7%	262	325	63	24.0%
78325	Summit town	Langlade	168	163	-5	-3.0%	142	180	38	26.8%
78375	Summit town	Waukesha	4,999	4,674	-325	-6.5%	1,904	1,970	66	3.5%
78450	Sumner town	Barron	598	798	200	33.4%	222	306	84	37.8%
78475	Sumner town	Jefferson	904	832	-72	-8.0%	555	538	-17	-3.1%
78500	Sumner town	Trempealeau	806	810	4	0.5%	305	317	12	3.9%
78525	Sumpter town	Sauk	1,021	1,191	170	16.7%	458	515	57	12.4%
78600	Sun Prairie city	Dane	20,369	29,364	8,995	44.2%	8,198	12,413	4,215	51.4%
78625	Sun Prairie town	Dane	2,308	2,326	18	0.8%	830	867	37	4.5%
78650	Superior city	Douglas	27,368	27,244	-124	-0.5%	12,196	12,328	132	1.1%
78660	Superior village	Douglas	500	664	164	32.8%	210	309	99	47.1%
78675	Superior town	Douglas	2,058	2,166	108	5.2%	794	941	147	18.5%
78725	Suring village	Oconto	605	544	-61	-10.1%	269	268	-1	-0.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
78750	Sussex village	Waukesha	8,828	10,518	1,690	19.1%	3,441	4,186	745	21.7%
78775	Swiss town	Burnett	815	790	-25	-3.1%	833	1,013	180	21.6%
78825	Sylvan town	Richland	547	555	8	1.5%	222	249	27	12.2%
78875	Sylvester town	Green	809	1,004	195	24.1%	309	385	76	24.6%
78950	Taft town	Taylor	361	430	69	19.1%	132	206	74	56.1%
78975	Tainter town	Dunn	2,116	2,319	203	9.6%	993	1,235	242	24.4%
79125	Taycheedah town	Fond Du Lac	3,666	4,205	539	14.7%	1,423	1,755	332	23.3%
79150	Taylor village	Jackson	513	476	-37	-7.2%	237	226	-11	-4.6%
79250	Tennyson village	Grant	370	355	-15	-4.1%	143	148	5	3.5%
79350	Texas town	Marathon	1,703	1,615	-88	-5.2%	625	683	58	9.3%
79375	Theresa village	Dodge	1,252	1,262	10	0.8%	528	588	60	11.4%
79425	Theresa town	Dodge	1,080	1,075	-5	-0.5%	374	401	27	7.2%
79475	Thiensville village	Ozaukee	3,254	3,235	-19	-0.6%	1,570	1,644	74	4.7%
79575	Thornapple town	Rusk	811	774	-37	-4.6%	375	444	69	18.4%
79625	Thorp city	Clark	1,536	1,621	85	5.5%	759	797	38	5.0%
79650	Thorp town	Clark	730	808	78	10.7%	255	282	27	10.6%
79700	Three Lakes town	Oneida	2,339	2,131	-208	-8.9%	2,908	3,151	243	8.4%
79775	Tiffany town	Dunn	633	618	-15	-2.4%	239	264	25	10.5%
79825	Tigerton village	Shawano	764	741	-23	-3.0%	383	378	-5	-1.3%
79875	Tilden town	Chippewa	1,185	1,485	300	25.3%	413	564	151	36.6%
79975	Tipler town	Florence	205	142	-63	-30.7%	300	358	58	19.3%
80075	Tomah city	Monroe	8,419	9,093	674	8.0%	3,706	4,196	490	13.2%
80100	Tomah town	Monroe	1,194	1,400	206	17.3%	445	575	130	29.2%
80125	Tomahawk city	Lincoln	3,770	3,397	-373	-9.9%	1,696	1,742	46	2.7%
80150	Tomahawk town	Lincoln	439	416	-23	-5.2%	383	472	89	23.2%
80225	Tony village	Rusk	105	113	8	7.6%	45	52	7	15.6%
80325	Townsend town	Oconto	963	979	16	1.7%	1,450	1,769	319	22.0%
80375	Trade Lake town	Burnett	871	823	-48	-5.5%	625	696	71	11.4%
80450	Trego town	Washburn	885	932	47	5.3%	528	713	185	35.0%
80475	Trempealeau village	Trempealeau	1,319	1,529	210	15.9%	622	835	213	34.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
80500	Trempealeau town	Trempealeau	1,618	1,756	138	8.5%	707	730	23	3.3%
80525	Trenton town	Dodge	1,301	1,293	-8	-0.6%	471	485	14	3.0%
80550	Trenton town	Pierce	1,737	1,829	92	5.3%	671	770	99	14.8%
80575	Trenton town	Washington	4,440	4,732	292	6.6%	1,562	1,796	234	15.0%
80700	Trimble town	Pierce	1,511	1,679	168	11.1%	544	668	124	22.8%
80750	Tripp town	Bayfield	209	231	22	10.5%	130	159	29	22.3%
80800	Troy town	St. Croix	3,661	4,705	1,044	28.5%	1,328	1,819	491	37.0%
80825	Troy town	Sauk	773	794	21	2.7%	300	336	36	12.0%
80875	Troy town	Walworth	2,328	2,353	25	1.1%	904	1,010	106	11.7%
80950	True town	Rusk	291	296	5	1.7%	127	138	11	8.7%
81050	Turtle town	Rock	2,444	2,388	-56	-2.3%	990	1,002	12	1.2%
81075	Turtle Lake village	Barron, Polk	1,065	1,050	-15	-1.4%	508	535	27	5.3%
81100	Turtle Lake town	Barron	622	624	2	0.3%	283	303	20	7.1%
81250	Twin Lakes village	Kenosha	5,124	5,989	865	16.9%	2,742	3,251	509	18.6%
81300	Two Creeks town	Manitowoc	551	437	-114	-20.7%	202	195	-7	-3.5%
81325	Two Rivers city	Manitowoc	12,639	11,712	-927	-7.3%	5,547	5,698	151	2.7%
81350	Two Rivers town	Manitowoc	1,912	1,795	-117	-6.1%	766	825	59	7.7%
81475	Underhill town	Oconto	846	882	36	4.3%	468	505	37	7.9%
81500	Union town	Burnett	351	340	-11	-3.1%	422	554	132	31.3%
81525	Union town	Door	880	999	119	13.5%	512	686	174	34.0%
81550	Union town	Eau Claire	2,402	2,663	261	10.9%	878	1,014	136	15.5%
81600	Union town	Pierce	618	609	-9	-1.5%	215	244	29	13.5%
81650	Union town	Rock	1,860	2,099	239	12.8%	718	822	104	14.5%
81675	Union town	Vernon	531	700	169	31.8%	244	284	40	16.4%
81700	Union town	Waupaca	804	806	2	0.2%	335	396	61	18.2%
81725	Union Center village	Juneau	214	200	-14	-6.5%	99	109	10	10.1%
81775	Union Grove village	Racine	4,322	4,915	593	13.7%	1,677	1,960	283	16.9%
81825	Unity town	Clark	745	878	133	17.9%	253	295	42	16.6%
81850	Unity village	Clark, Marathon	368	343	-25	-6.8%	157	161	4	2.5%
81875	Unity town	Trempealeau	556	506	-50	-9.0%	218	210	-8	-3.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
81950	Upham town	Langlade	689	676	-13	-1.9%	661	904	243	36.8%
82100	Utica town	Crawford	674	661	-13	-1.9%	317	344	27	8.5%
82175	Utica town	Winnebago	1,168	1,299	131	11.2%	469	542	73	15.6%
82200	Valders village	Manitowoc	948	962	14	1.5%	387	432	45	11.6%
82375	Vance Creek town	Barron	747	669	-78	-10.4%	290	321	31	10.7%
82400	Vandenbroek town	Outagamie	1,351	1,474	123	9.1%	465	534	69	14.8%
82525	Vermont town	Dane	839	819	-20	-2.4%	312	351	39	12.5%
82575	Vernon town	Waukesha	7,227	7,601	374	5.2%	2,405	2,851	446	18.5%
82600	Verona city	Dane	7,052	10,619	3,567	50.6%	2,664	4,461	1,797	67.5%
82625	Verona town	Dane	2,153	1,948	-205	-9.5%	774	780	6	0.8%
82650	Vesper village	Wood	541	584	43	7.9%	243	264	21	8.6%
82750	Vienna town	Dane	1,294	1,482	188	14.5%	467	558	91	19.5%
82825	Vilas town	Langlade	249	233	-16	-6.4%	161	171	10	6.2%
82875	Vinland town	Winnebago	1,849	1,765	-84	-4.5%	721	774	53	7.4%
82900	Viola village	Richland, Vernon	667	699	32	4.8%	319	331	12	3.8%
82925	Viroqua city	Vernon	4,335	4,362	27	0.6%	2,105	2,208	103	4.9%
82950	Viroqua town	Vernon	1,560	1,718	158	10.1%	603	703	100	16.6%
83025	Wabeno town	Forest	1,264	1,166	-98	-7.8%	845	829	-16	-1.9%
83050	Wagner town	Marinette	722	681	-41	-5.7%	384	591	207	53.9%
83100	Waldo village	Sheboygan	450	503	53	11.8%	174	209	35	20.1%
83150	Waldwick town	Iowa	500	473	-27	-5.4%	192	207	15	7.8%
83175	Wales village	Waukesha	2,523	2,549	26	1.0%	863	987	124	14.4%
83250	Walworth village	Walworth	2,304	2,816	512	22.2%	893	1,172	279	31.2%
83275	Walworth town	Walworth	1,676	1,702	26	1.6%	586	734	148	25.3%
83350	Warner town	Clark	627	669	42	6.7%	208	256	48	23.1%
83400	Warren town	St. Croix	1,320	1,591	271	20.5%	437	571	134	30.7%
83425	Warren town	Waushara	675	668	-7	-1.0%	334	357	23	6.9%
83450	Warrens village	Monroe	286	363	77	26.9%	128	375	247	193.0%
83512	Wascott town	Douglas	714	763	49	6.9%	806	1,222	416	51.6%
83525	Washburn city	Bayfield	2,280	2,117	-163	-7.1%	1,004	1,070	66	6.6%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
83550	Washburn town	Bayfield	541	530	-11	-2.0%	227	254	27	11.9%
83575	Washburn town	Clark	304	290	-14	-4.6%	189	210	21	11.1%
83600	Washington town	Door	660	708	48	7.3%	903	1,015	112	12.4%
83612	Washington town	Eau Claire	6,995	7,182	187	2.7%	2,615	2,888	273	10.4%
83625	Washington town	Green	627	809	182	29.0%	242	322	80	33.1%
83650	Washington town	La Crosse	738	558	-180	-24.4%	236	225	-11	-4.7%
83675	Washington town	Rusk	312	339	27	8.7%	238	422	184	77.3%
83700	Washington town	Sauk	904	1,007	103	11.4%	305	361	56	18.4%
83725	Washington town	Shawano	1,903	1,895	-8	-0.4%	1,217	1,314	97	8.0%
83750	Washington town	Vilas	1,577	1,451	-126	-8.0%	1,706	2,031	325	19.1%
83825	Waterford village	Racine	4,048	5,368	1,320	32.6%	1,628	2,272	644	39.6%
83850	Waterford town	Racine	5,938	6,344	406	6.8%	2,263	2,555	292	12.9%
83900	Waterloo town	Grant	557	550	-7	-1.3%	234	273	39	16.7%
83925	Waterloo city	Jefferson	3,259	3,333	74	2.3%	1,293	1,409	116	9.0%
83950	Waterloo town	Jefferson	832	909	77	9.3%	312	365	53	17.0%
83975	Watertown city	Dodge, Jefferson	21,598	23,861	2,263	10.5%	8,330	9,745	1,415	17.0%
84000	Watertown town	Jefferson	1,876	1,975	99	5.3%	715	798	83	11.6%
84025	Waterville town	Pepin	859	831	-28	-3.3%	351	379	28	8.0%
84075	Watterstown town	Grant	362	330	-32	-8.8%	171	193	22	12.9%
84100	Waubeek town	Pepin	364	423	59	16.2%	130	154	24	18.5%
84225	Waukechon town	Shawano	928	1,021	93	10.0%	362	410	48	13.3%
84250	Waukesha city	Waukesha	64,825	70,718	5,893	9.1%	26,856	29,843	2,987	11.1%
84275	Waukesha town	Waukesha	8,596	9,133	537	6.2%	2,945	3,382	437	14.8%
84325	Waumandee town	Buffalo	515	472	-43	-8.3%	198	217	19	9.6%
84350	Waunakee village	Dane	8,995	12,097	3,102	34.5%	3,295	4,483	1,188	36.1%
84375	Waupaca city	Waupaca	5,676	6,069	393	6.9%	2,543	2,996	453	17.8%
84400	Waupaca town	Waupaca	1,155	1,173	18	1.6%	448	520	72	16.1%
84425	Waupun city	Dodge, Fond du Lac	10,718	11,340	622	5.8%	3,512	3,703	191	5.4%
84450	Waupun town	Fond Du Lac	1,385	1,375	-10	-0.7%	495	525	30	6.1%
84475	Wausau city	Marathon	38,426	39,106	680	1.8%	16,668	18,154	1,486	8.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
84500	Wausau town	Marathon	2,214	2,229	15	0.7%	825	901	76	9.2%
84525	Wausaukee village	Marinette	572	575	3	0.5%	294	325	31	10.5%
84550	Wausaukee town	Marinette	1,196	1,066	-130	-10.9%	1,036	1,224	188	18.1%
84625	Wautoma city	Waushara	1,998	2,218	220	11.0%	877	1,061	184	21.0%
84650	Wautoma town	Waushara	1,312	1,278	-34	-2.6%	603	652	49	8.1%
84675	Wauwatosa city	Milwaukee	47,271	46,396	-875	-1.9%	20,917	21,520	603	2.9%
84725	Wauzeka village	Crawford	768	711	-57	-7.4%	298	304	6	2.0%
84750	Wauzeka town	Crawford	369	422	53	14.4%	174	207	33	19.0%
84850	Wayne town	Lafayette	496	490	-6	-1.2%	178	193	15	8.4%
84900	Wayne town	Washington	1,727	2,169	442	25.6%	597	797	200	33.5%
84975	Webb Lake town	Burnett	381	311	-70	-18.4%	730	1,085	355	48.6%
85025	Webster village	Burnett	653	653	0	0.0%	327	355	28	8.6%
85050	Webster town	Vernon	676	778	102	15.1%	293	380	87	29.7%
85100	Weirgor town	Sawyer	370	332	-38	-10.3%	243	267	24	9.9%
85125	Wellington town	Monroe	544	621	77	14.2%	221	256	35	15.8%
85150	Wells town	Monroe	529	519	-10	-1.9%	191	229	38	19.9%
85275	Wescott town	Shawano	3,653	3,183	-470	-12.9%	2,341	2,393	52	2.2%
85300	West Allis city	Milwaukee	61,254	60,411	-843	-1.4%	28,708	29,353	645	2.2%
85325	West Baraboo village	Sauk	1,248	1,414	166	13.3%	490	638	148	30.2%
85350	West Bend city	Washington	28,152	31,078	2,926	10.4%	11,926	13,546	1,620	13.6%
85375	West Bend town	Washington	4,834	4,774	-60	-1.2%	1,975	2,212	237	12.0%
85450	Westboro town	Taylor	660	684	24	3.6%	371	467	96	25.9%
85475	Westby city	Vernon	2,045	2,200	155	7.6%	906	996	90	9.9%
85575	Westfield village	Marquette	1,217	1,254	37	3.0%	553	578	25	4.5%
85600	Westfield town	Marquette	689	866	177	25.7%	414	547	133	32.1%
85625	Westfield town	Sauk	611	571	-40	-6.5%	216	238	22	10.2%
85650	Westford town	Dodge	1,400	1,228	-172	-12.3%	709	702	-7	-1.0%
85675	Westford town	Richland	594	530	-64	-10.8%	239	254	15	6.3%
85775	West Kewaunee town	Kewaunee	1,287	1,296	9	0.7%	485	517	32	6.6%
85850	West Marshland town	Burnett	331	367	36	10.9%	185	294	109	58.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
85875	West Milwaukee village	Milwaukee	4,201	4,206	5	0.1%	2,197	2,145	-52	-2.4%
85925	Weston town	Clark	638	699	61	9.6%	267	318	51	19.1%
85975	Weston town	Dunn	630	594	-36	-5.7%	233	251	18	7.7%
86025	Weston village	Marathon	12,079	14,868	2,789	23.1%	4,806	6,364	1,558	32.4%
86050	Weston town	Marathon	514	639	125	24.3%	180	241	61	33.9%
86100	West Point town	Columbia	1,634	1,955	321	19.6%	907	1,196	289	31.9%
86125	Westport town	Dane	3,586	3,950	364	10.2%	1,752	1,929	177	10.1%
86275	West Salem village	La Crosse	4,738	4,799	61	1.3%	1,765	1,869	104	5.9%
86350	West Sweden town	Polk	731	699	-32	-4.4%	327	361	34	10.4%
86400	Weyauwega city	Waupaca	1,806	1,900	94	5.2%	763	815	52	6.8%
86425	Weyauwega town	Waupaca	627	583	-44	-7.0%	262	299	37	14.1%
86450	Weyerhaeuser village	Rusk	353	238	-115	-32.6%	196	142	-54	-27.6%
86500	Wheatland town	Kenosha	3,292	3,373	81	2.5%	1,379	1,501	122	8.8%
86525	Wheatland town	Vernon	533	561	28	5.3%	360	472	112	31.1%
86537	Wheaton town	Chippewa	2,366	2,701	335	14.2%	874	1,050	176	20.1%
86575	Wheeler village	Dunn	317	348	31	9.8%	142	147	5	3.5%
86700	Whitefish Bay village	Milwaukee	14,163	14,110	-53	-0.4%	5,553	5,553	0	0.0%
86725	Whitehall city	Trempealeau	1,651	1,558	-93	-5.6%	733	741	8	1.1%
86750	White Lake village	Langlade	329	363	34	10.3%	184	212	28	15.2%
86775	Whitelaw village	Manitowoc	730	757	27	3.7%	284	314	30	10.6%
86800	White Oak Springs town	Lafayette	97	118	21	21.6%	39	49	10	25.6%
86850	White River town	Ashland	892	921	29	3.3%	312	355	43	13.8%
86900	Whitestown town	Vernon	509	502	-7	-1.4%	242	267	25	10.3%
86925	Whitewater city	Jefferson, Walworth	13,437	14,390	953	7.1%	4,340	5,113	773	17.8%
86950	Whitewater town	Walworth	1,399	1,471	72	5.1%	829	902	73	8.8%
86975	Whiting village	Portage	1,760	1,724	-36	-2.0%	702	811	109	15.5%
87025	Wien town	Marathon	712	825	113	15.9%	260	296	36	13.8%
87075	Wild Rose village	Waushara	765	725	-40	-5.2%	339	377	38	11.2%
87125	Wilkinson town	Rusk	66	40	-26	-39.4%	36	51	15	41.7%
87175	Willard town	Rusk	539	505	-34	-6.3%	320	364	44	13.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
87200	Williams Bay village	Walworth	2,415	2,564	149	6.2%	1,772	1,985	213	12.0%
87225	Williamstown town	Dodge	646	755	109	16.9%	242	290	48	19.8%
87250	Willow town	Richland	493	579	86	17.4%	242	250	8	3.3%
87275	Willow Springs town	Lafayette	632	758	126	19.9%	237	300	63	26.6%
87375	Wilson town	Dunn	500	531	31	6.2%	212	234	22	10.4%
87400	Wilson town	Eau Claire	420	485	65	15.5%	185	264	79	42.7%
87425	Wilson town	Lincoln	299	309	10	3.3%	334	398	64	19.2%
87450	Wilson town	Rusk	84	106	22	26.2%	33	56	23	69.7%
87475	Wilson village	St. Croix	176	184	8	4.5%	69	78	9	13.0%
87500	Wilson town	Sheboygan	3,227	3,330	103	3.2%	1,323	1,445	122	9.2%
87525	Wilton village	Monroe	519	504	-15	-2.9%	233	233	0	0.0%
87550	Wilton town	Monroe	925	1,027	102	11.0%	265	309	44	16.6%
87600	Winchester town	Vilas	454	383	-71	-15.6%	796	865	69	8.7%
87650	Winchester town	Winnebago	1,676	1,763	87	5.2%	636	749	113	17.8%
87700	Wind Point village	Racine	1,853	1,723	-130	-7.0%	757	776	19	2.5%
87750	Windsor town	Dane	5,286	6,345	1,059	20.0%	1,923	2,548	625	32.5%
87775	Winfield town	Sauk	752	856	104	13.8%	297	359	62	20.9%
87800	Wingville town	Grant	394	357	-37	-9.4%	136	145	9	6.6%
87900	Winneconne village	Winnebago	2,401	2,383	-18	-0.7%	1,060	1,198	138	13.0%
87925	Winneconne town	Winnebago	2,145	2,350	205	9.6%	1,020	1,173	153	15.0%
87975	Winter village	Sawyer	344	313	-31	-9.0%	200	209	9	4.5%
88000	Winter town	Sawyer	969	960	-9	-0.9%	1,203	1,413	210	17.5%
88050	Wiotat town	Lafayette	900	856	-44	-4.9%	364	400	36	9.9%
88150	Wisconsin Dells city	Adams, Columbia, Juneau, Sauk	2,418	2,678	260	10.8%	1,178	1,485	307	26.1%
88200	Wisconsin Rapids city	Wood	18,435	18,367	-68	-0.4%	8,426	8,972	546	6.5%
88275	Withee village	Clark	508	487	-21	-4.1%	228	254	26	11.4%
88300	Withee town	Clark	885	966	81	9.2%	277	310	33	11.9%
88325	Wittenberg village	Shawano	1,177	1,081	-96	-8.2%	471	495	24	5.1%
88350	Wittenberg town	Shawano	894	833	-61	-6.8%	331	363	32	9.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results										
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Population				Housing Units			
			Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
88450	Wolf River town	Langlade	856	731	-125	-14.6%	746	898	152	20.4%
88475	Wolf River town	Winnebago	1,223	1,189	-34	-2.8%	807	849	42	5.2%
88500	Wonewoc village	Juneau	834	816	-18	-2.2%	392	406	14	3.6%
88525	Wonewoc town	Juneau	783	687	-96	-12.3%	318	309	-9	-2.8%
88575	Wood town	Wood	786	796	10	1.3%	309	333	24	7.8%
88625	Woodboro town	Oneida	685	813	128	18.7%	592	748	156	26.4%
88775	Woodland town	Sauk	783	790	7	0.9%	302	396	94	31.1%
88825	Woodman village	Grant	96	132	36	37.5%	52	58	6	11.5%
88850	Woodman town	Grant	194	185	-9	-4.6%	89	112	23	25.8%
88875	Woodmohr town	Chippewa	883	932	49	5.5%	331	362	31	9.4%
88900	Wood River town	Burnett	974	953	-21	-2.2%	546	605	59	10.8%
88950	Woodruff town	Oneida	1,982	2,055	73	3.7%	1,515	1,603	88	5.8%
89000	Woodville town	Calumet	993	980	-13	-1.3%	337	352	15	4.5%
89025	Woodville village	St. Croix	1,104	1,344	240	21.7%	460	566	106	23.0%
89100	Worcester town	Price	1,711	1,555	-156	-9.1%	1,220	1,281	61	5.0%
89125	Worden town	Clark	657	666	9	1.4%	210	239	29	13.8%
89150	Wrightstown village	Brown, Outagamie	1,934	2,827	893	46.2%	729	1,087	358	49.1%
89175	Wrightstown town	Brown	2,013	2,221	208	10.3%	681	840	159	23.3%
89250	Wyalusing town	Grant	370	346	-24	-6.5%	183	272	89	48.6%
89275	Wyeville village	Monroe	146	147	1	0.7%	60	70	10	16.7%
89300	Wyocena village	Columbia	668	768	100	15.0%	241	296	55	22.8%
89325	Wyocena town	Columbia	1,543	1,666	123	8.0%	714	810	96	13.4%
89350	Wyoming town	Iowa	364	302	-62	-17.0%	212	221	9	4.2%
89375	Wyoming town	Waupaca	285	329	44	15.4%	151	214	63	41.7%
89425	York town	Clark	853	886	33	3.9%	279	316	37	13.3%
89450	York town	Dane	703	652	-51	-7.3%	262	271	9	3.4%
89475	York town	Green	605	910	305	50.4%	230	366	136	59.1%
89575	Yorkville town	Racine	3,291	3,071	-220	-6.7%	1,153	1,216	63	5.5%
89625	Yuba village	Richland	92	74	-18	-19.6%	34	41	7	20.6%
			5,363,715	5,686,986			2,321,157	2,624,358		

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Population			Housing Units			
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Population	Census 2010 Population	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Housing Units	Census 2010 Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference
Note on Incorporations/Consolidations:										
Lake Hallie village, Chippewa County, incorporated from part of Hallie town, Chippewa County.										
Bristol village, Kenosha County, incorporated from part of Bristol town. After 4/1/2010, the village annexed the town remnant.										
Rochester village and town, Racine County, consolidated to Rochester village.										
For more accurate comparisons, please use these values:										
	Hallie town/Lake Hallie v.	Chippewa	4,703	6,609	1,906	40.5%	1,729	2,617	888	51.4%
	Bristol village/town	Kenosha	4,538	4,914	376	8.3%	1,818	2,069	251	13.8%
	Rochester village	Racine	3,403	3,682	279	8.2%	1,235	1,412	177	14.3%

Population and Housing Data, Wisconsin Municipalities, Census 2000 Based on Census 2010 Geography (see notes at end of table) Source: U.S. Census Bureau, C2000 Summary File 1 and C2010 R NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
00100	Abbotsford city	Clark, Marathon	817	864	47	5.8%	93.9%	91.8%	-2.1%
00175	Abrams town	Oconto	652	724	72	11.0%	93.1%	90.8%	-2.3%
00225	Ackley town	Langlade	202	211	9	4.5%	89.4%	88.7%	-0.7%
00275	Adams city	Adams	769	886	117	15.2%	90.8%	89.5%	-1.3%
00300	Adams town	Adams	547	580	33	6.0%	63.9%	64.9%	1.0%
00325	Adams town	Green	165	208	43	26.1%	91.7%	88.9%	-2.8%
00350	Adams town	Jackson	485	578	93	19.2%	86.0%	65.9%	-20.1%
00425	Addison town	Washington	1,149	1,311	162	14.1%	97.3%	97.3%	0.0%
00450	Adell village	Sheboygan	207	210	3	1.4%	95.8%	93.8%	-2.1%
00475	Adrian town	Monroe	231	286	55	23.8%	93.1%	94.4%	1.2%
00550	Agenda town	Ashland	208	186	-22	-10.6%	63.4%	50.5%	-12.9%
00600	Ahnapee town	Kewaunee	371	384	13	3.5%	87.1%	84.0%	-3.1%
00625	Ainsworth town	Langlade	255	222	-33	-12.9%	46.4%	42.0%	-4.5%
00675	Akan town	Richland	158	168	10	6.3%	74.9%	72.4%	-2.5%
00725	Alban town	Portage	325	358	33	10.2%	82.5%	66.3%	-16.2%
00750	Albany village	Green	468	434	-34	-7.3%	93.8%	88.0%	-5.8%
00775	Albany town	Green	279	424	145	52.0%	92.1%	92.6%	0.5%
00800	Albany town	Pepin	180	237	57	31.7%	95.2%	92.2%	-3.0%
00875	Albion town	Dane	726	747	21	2.9%	83.5%	80.8%	-2.8%
00900	Albion town	Jackson	413	466	53	12.8%	89.6%	86.8%	-2.8%
00925	Albion town	Trempealeau	215	240	25	11.6%	94.7%	92.7%	-2.0%
00950	Alden town	Polk	973	1,059	86	8.8%	78.8%	75.9%	-2.9%
01000	Algoma city	Kewaunee	1,493	1,406	-87	-5.8%	91.5%	82.6%	-8.9%
01025	Algoma town	Winnebago	1,940	2,453	513	26.4%	97.8%	96.8%	-1.0%
01150	Allouez village	Brown	5,397	5,432	35	0.6%	97.9%	95.2%	-2.7%
01225	Alma city	Buffalo	421	386	-35	-8.3%	85.1%	79.1%	-6.0%
01250	Alma town	Buffalo	133	126	-7	-5.3%	84.7%	73.7%	-11.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
01275	Alma town	Jackson	344	384	40	11.6%	87.5%	79.7%	-7.9%
01300	Alma Center village	Jackson	191	205	14	7.3%	89.3%	89.5%	0.3%
01325	Almena village	Barron	295	297	2	0.7%	95.8%	88.1%	-7.6%
01350	Almena town	Barron	355	358	3	0.8%	53.6%	49.3%	-4.3%
01375	Almon town	Shawano	224	238	14	6.3%	82.7%	76.0%	-6.6%
01400	Almond village	Portage	189	174	-15	-7.9%	95.0%	88.3%	-6.7%
01425	Almond town	Portage	256	264	8	3.1%	90.8%	87.1%	-3.7%
01525	Alto town	Fond Du Lac	344	365	21	6.1%	97.5%	96.3%	-1.1%
01550	Altoona city	Eau Claire	2,844	2,883	39	1.4%	92.9%	87.7%	-5.2%
01625	Alvin town	Forest	96	84	-12	-12.5%	23.4%	17.6%	-5.7%
01675	Amberg town	Marinette	395	362	-33	-8.4%	41.9%	34.4%	-7.5%
01725	Amery city	Polk	1,231	1,286	55	4.5%	93.9%	89.0%	-4.9%
01750	Amherst village	Portage	395	434	39	9.9%	94.3%	92.1%	-2.1%
01775	Amherst town	Portage	494	524	30	6.1%	88.8%	87.8%	-1.1%
01800	Amherst Junction village	Portage	109	137	28	25.7%	98.2%	93.8%	-4.4%
01825	Amnicon town	Douglas	364	433	69	19.0%	95.0%	91.0%	-4.1%
01900	Anderson town	Burnett	154	165	11	7.1%	58.1%	52.7%	-5.4%
01925	Anderson town	Iron	28	28	0	0.0%	23.7%	19.9%	-3.9%
01975	Angelica town	Shawano	585	666	81	13.8%	97.5%	95.3%	-2.2%
02025	Angelo town	Monroe	465	497	32	6.9%	89.9%	89.2%	-0.7%
02075	Aniwa village	Shawano	114	111	-3	-2.6%	89.8%	88.1%	-1.7%
02100	Aniwa town	Shawano	202	210	8	4.0%	95.3%	85.4%	-9.9%
02175	Anson town	Chippewa	709	841	132	18.6%	92.8%	89.1%	-3.7%
02250	Antigo city	Langlade	3,630	3,613	-17	-0.5%	92.2%	91.0%	-1.2%
02275	Antigo town	Langlade	550	580	30	5.5%	94.8%	94.0%	-0.8%
02350	Apple River town	Polk	418	459	41	9.8%	66.9%	64.2%	-2.7%
02375	Appleton city	Calumet, Outagamie, Winnebago	26,864	28,874	2,010	7.5%	96.9%	95.1%	-1.7%
02450	Arbor Vitae town	Vilas	1,373	1,501	128	9.3%	56.2%	55.5%	-0.7%
02500	Arcadia city	Trempealeau	1,038	1,114	76	7.3%	93.3%	92.3%	-1.1%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
02525	Arcadia town	Trempealeau	569	665	96	16.9%	93.3%	91.0%	-2.3%
02550	Arena village	Iowa	256	323	67	26.2%	95.2%	91.2%	-3.9%
02575	Arena town	Iowa	545	595	50	9.2%	88.3%	87.8%	-0.6%
02625	Argonne town	Forest	194	202	8	4.1%	61.8%	49.6%	-12.2%
02650	Argyle village	Lafayette	343	366	23	6.7%	94.0%	93.1%	-0.8%
02675	Argyle town	Lafayette	178	180	2	1.1%	84.8%	84.1%	-0.6%
02775	Arland town	Barron	233	271	38	16.3%	90.0%	92.2%	2.2%
02800	Arlington village	Columbia	187	317	130	69.5%	95.4%	96.1%	0.7%
02825	Arlington town	Columbia	302	309	7	2.3%	98.1%	93.1%	-5.0%
02850	Armenia town	Juneau	267	302	35	13.1%	59.6%	43.8%	-15.8%
02950	Armstrong Creek town	Forest	207	176	-31	-15.0%	49.1%	37.0%	-12.1%
03025	Arpin village	Wood	139	143	4	2.9%	93.9%	93.5%	-0.5%
03050	Arpin town	Wood	267	320	53	19.9%	95.4%	91.7%	-3.7%
03075	Arthur town	Chippewa	258	278	20	7.8%	93.8%	89.4%	-4.4%
03150	Ashford town	Fond Du Lac	641	700	59	9.2%	96.0%	94.0%	-2.0%
03200	Ashippun town	Dodge	845	988	143	16.9%	96.0%	96.0%	0.0%
03225	Ashland city	Ashland, Bayfield	3,513	3,516	3	0.1%	93.0%	91.0%	-2.0%
03250	Ashland town	Ashland	227	242	15	6.6%	81.9%	71.2%	-10.8%
03425	Ashwaubenon village	Brown	7,137	7,421	284	4.0%	98.3%	95.2%	-3.1%
03525	Athelstane town	Marinette	270	233	-37	-13.7%	27.1%	19.4%	-7.8%
03550	Athens village	Marathon	443	471	28	6.3%	94.5%	93.6%	-0.8%
03600	Atlanta town	Rusk	226	244	18	8.0%	82.8%	73.9%	-8.8%
03725	Auburn town	Chippewa	202	254	52	25.7%	96.2%	92.7%	-3.5%
03750	Auburn town	Fond Du Lac	732	885	153	20.9%	96.6%	89.6%	-7.0%
03775	Auburndale village	Wood	284	291	7	2.5%	95.6%	92.4%	-3.2%
03800	Auburndale town	Wood	299	313	14	4.7%	96.8%	96.0%	-0.8%
03825	Augusta city	Eau Claire	599	614	15	2.5%	94.8%	92.7%	-2.0%
03875	Aurora town	Florence	485	455	-30	-6.2%	90.7%	77.1%	-13.5%
03900	Aurora town	Taylor	134	150	16	11.9%	87.0%	80.6%	-6.4%
03925	Aurora town	Waushara	352	394	42	11.9%	91.7%	90.2%	-1.5%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
04025	Avoca village	Iowa	257	261	4	1.6%	78.4%	75.2%	-3.1%
04100	Avon town	Rock	204	230	26	12.7%	94.4%	94.7%	0.2%
04125	Aztalan town	Jefferson	530	546	16	3.0%	95.8%	96.8%	1.0%
04250	Bagley village	Grant	157	185	28	17.8%	47.4%	45.2%	-2.2%
04275	Bagley town	Oconto	136	126	-10	-7.4%	59.4%	49.4%	-10.0%
04325	Baileys Harbor town	Door	483	507	24	5.0%	46.9%	36.8%	-10.1%
04400	Baldwin village	St. Croix	1,115	1,572	457	41.0%	97.5%	91.2%	-6.3%
04425	Baldwin town	St. Croix	307	354	47	15.3%	97.5%	97.5%	0.1%
04475	Balsam Lake village	Polk	428	434	6	1.4%	63.6%	59.3%	-4.3%
04500	Balsam Lake town	Polk	529	568	39	7.4%	52.0%	52.0%	0.0%
04550	Bangor village	La Crosse	524	571	47	9.0%	96.0%	95.6%	-0.3%
04575	Bangor town	La Crosse	216	220	4	1.9%	95.2%	94.0%	-1.1%
04625	Baraboo city	Sauk	4,467	5,161	694	15.5%	94.7%	91.8%	-2.8%
04650	Baraboo town	Sauk	685	666	-19	-2.8%	91.2%	89.0%	-2.2%
04725	Barksdale town	Bayfield	303	306	3	1.0%	85.8%	82.5%	-3.4%
04750	Barnes town	Bayfield	278	397	119	42.8%	18.7%	25.4%	6.7%
04775	Barneveld village	Iowa	396	457	61	15.4%	99.0%	95.8%	-3.2%
04825	Barre town	La Crosse	347	449	102	29.4%	98.0%	93.3%	-4.7%
04875	Barron city	Barron	1,389	1,422	33	2.4%	96.3%	93.2%	-3.1%
04900	Barron town	Barron	319	329	10	3.1%	98.8%	95.1%	-3.7%
04950	Barronett town	Washburn	152	173	21	13.8%	79.2%	74.9%	-4.3%
05000	Bartelme town	Shawano	253	320	67	26.5%	87.5%	86.0%	-1.5%
05050	Barton town	Washington	896	1,033	137	15.3%	97.5%	96.0%	-1.5%
05125	Bashaw town	Washburn	339	377	38	11.2%	87.6%	79.2%	-8.4%
05200	Bass Lake town	Sawyer	830	921	91	11.0%	45.2%	45.7%	0.5%
05225	Bass Lake town	Washburn	192	216	24	12.5%	69.3%	63.7%	-5.6%
05325	Bay City village	Pierce	196	201	5	2.6%	97.0%	93.9%	-3.1%
05350	Bayfield city	Bayfield	289	261	-28	-9.7%	71.7%	54.1%	-17.6%
05375	Bayfield town	Bayfield	261	306	45	17.2%	53.2%	47.5%	-5.6%
05450	Bayside village	Milwaukee, Ozaukee	1,769	1,831	62	3.5%	96.5%	94.1%	-2.3%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
05475	Bayview town	Bayfield	197	204	7	3.6%	69.6%	65.8%	-3.8%
05550	Bear Bluff town	Jackson	49	55	6	12.2%	87.5%	70.5%	-17.0%
05575	Bear Creek village	Outagamie	155	155	0	0.0%	90.1%	89.1%	-1.0%
05600	Bear Creek town	Sauk	176	234	58	33.0%	83.4%	82.4%	-1.0%
05625	Bear Creek town	Waupaca	279	302	23	8.2%	95.9%	93.5%	-2.4%
05650	Bear Lake town	Barron	219	264	45	20.5%	84.9%	70.2%	-14.7%
05750	Beaver town	Clark	238	247	9	3.8%	95.6%	92.5%	-3.1%
05800	Beaver town	Marinette	444	490	46	10.4%	81.9%	61.5%	-20.4%
05825	Beaver town	Polk	304	341	37	12.2%	68.9%	65.5%	-3.5%
05875	Beaver Brook town	Washburn	253	300	47	18.6%	90.4%	85.7%	-4.6%
05900	Beaver Dam city	Dodge	6,349	6,819	470	7.4%	95.0%	93.1%	-1.9%
05925	Beaver Dam town	Dodge	1,301	1,551	250	19.2%	94.6%	90.6%	-4.0%
06000	Beecher town	Marinette	334	331	-3	-0.9%	34.4%	30.2%	-4.2%
06100	Beetown town	Grant	261	275	14	5.4%	95.6%	91.4%	-4.2%
06150	Belgium village	Ozaukee	582	817	235	40.4%	98.3%	96.3%	-2.0%
06175	Belgium town	Ozaukee	547	554	7	1.3%	86.7%	79.6%	-7.1%
06200	Bell town	Bayfield	115	139	24	20.9%	27.9%	27.0%	-0.9%
06225	Bell Center village	Crawford	45	45	0	0.0%	75.0%	70.3%	-4.7%
06275	Belle Plaine town	Shawano	738	757	19	2.6%	76.6%	70.9%	-5.6%
06300	Belleville village	Dane, Green	764	986	222	29.1%	97.0%	95.7%	-1.2%
06350	Bellevue village	Brown	4,624	5,876	1,252	27.1%	97.2%	93.1%	-4.1%
06425	Belmont village	Lafayette	377	439	62	16.4%	94.0%	96.7%	2.7%
06450	Belmont town	Lafayette	249	270	21	8.4%	88.0%	95.1%	7.1%
06475	Belmont town	Portage	240	264	24	10.0%	78.2%	68.6%	-9.6%
06500	Beloit city	Rock	13,370	13,781	411	3.1%	93.7%	90.8%	-2.9%
06525	Beloit town	Rock	2,814	3,119	305	10.8%	95.4%	94.3%	-1.1%
06675	Belvidere town	Buffalo	180	175	-5	-2.8%	81.1%	79.9%	-1.2%
06775	Bennett town	Douglas	224	240	16	7.1%	75.4%	70.4%	-5.0%
06825	Benton village	Lafayette	393	398	5	1.3%	94.2%	93.4%	-0.8%
06850	Benton town	Lafayette	159	185	26	16.4%	95.8%	96.4%	0.6%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
06875	Bergen town	Marathon	228	250	22	9.6%	93.4%	85.9%	-7.5%
06900	Bergen town	Vernon	491	546	55	11.2%	91.8%	90.5%	-1.2%
06925	Berlin city	Green Lake, Waushara	2,170	2,296	126	5.8%	90.8%	89.7%	-1.1%
06950	Berlin town	Green Lake	423	447	24	5.7%	97.5%	91.8%	-5.7%
06975	Berlin town	Marathon	313	364	51	16.3%	94.8%	94.1%	-0.8%
07000	Bern town	Marathon	170	189	19	11.2%	94.4%	92.2%	-2.2%
07025	Berry town	Dane	408	472	64	15.7%	97.1%	95.5%	-1.6%
07125	Bevent town	Marathon	405	454	49	12.1%	83.7%	84.5%	0.9%
07175	Big Bend town	Rusk	184	182	-2	-1.1%	42.7%	38.6%	-4.1%
07200	Big Bend village	Waukesha	448	486	38	8.5%	98.0%	96.6%	-1.4%
07225	Big Falls town	Rusk	43	54	11	25.6%	82.7%	42.2%	-40.5%
07250	Big Falls village	Waupaca	40	35	-5	-12.5%	74.1%	64.8%	-9.3%
07300	Big Flats town	Adams	402	444	42	10.4%	50.4%	40.4%	-10.1%
07500	Birch town	Lincoln	179	189	10	5.6%	71.6%	66.3%	-5.3%
07525	Birch Creek town	Chippewa	212	227	15	7.1%	54.5%	52.9%	-1.6%
07550	Birchwood village	Washburn	227	200	-27	-11.9%	84.4%	69.0%	-15.4%
07575	Birchwood town	Washburn	191	216	25	13.1%	36.2%	28.5%	-7.6%
07600	Birnamwood village	Marathon, Shawano	309	343	34	11.0%	94.2%	89.6%	-4.7%
07625	Birnamwood town	Shawano	259	276	17	6.6%	83.8%	82.1%	-1.7%
07650	Biron village	Wood	384	366	-18	-4.7%	96.5%	91.0%	-5.4%
07700	Black Brook town	Polk	419	508	89	21.2%	93.5%	92.7%	-0.8%
07725	Black Creek village	Outagamie	485	513	28	5.8%	94.5%	95.0%	0.5%
07750	Black Creek town	Outagamie	445	469	24	5.4%	96.5%	96.3%	-0.2%
07800	Black Earth village	Dane	514	559	45	8.8%	97.5%	96.0%	-1.5%
07825	Black Earth town	Dane	166	189	23	13.9%	96.5%	92.2%	-4.3%
07900	Black River Falls city	Jackson	1,563	1,613	50	3.2%	93.1%	93.1%	0.0%
07950	Blackwell town	Forest	45	41	-4	-8.9%	38.8%	19.6%	-19.2%
08000	Black Wolf town	Winnebago	916	979	63	6.9%	90.7%	87.4%	-3.3%
08025	Blaine town	Burnett	97	87	-10	-10.3%	40.8%	31.4%	-9.3%
08075	Blair city	Trempealeau	533	571	38	7.1%	94.5%	89.5%	-5.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
08100	Blanchard town	Lafayette	96	98	2	2.1%	93.2%	86.0%	-7.2%
08125	Blanchardville village	Iowa, Lafayette	346	360	14	4.0%	92.0%	90.9%	-1.1%
08175	Bloom town	Richland	190	202	12	6.3%	78.8%	70.6%	-8.2%
08225	Bloomer city	Chippewa	1,424	1,562	138	9.7%	95.8%	94.3%	-1.4%
08250	Bloomer town	Chippewa	321	388	67	20.9%	95.8%	85.1%	-10.7%
08275	Bloomfield town	Walworth	2,067	2,292	225	10.9%	83.5%	83.4%	0.0%
08300	Bloomfield town	Waushara	383	415	32	8.4%	84.4%	80.1%	-4.2%
08350	Blooming Grove town	Dane	723	789	66	9.1%	96.7%	95.1%	-1.6%
08375	Bloomington village	Grant	308	320	12	3.9%	93.6%	94.7%	1.1%
08400	Bloomington town	Grant	130	135	5	3.8%	88.4%	71.4%	-17.0%
08475	Blue Mounds village	Dane	289	336	47	16.3%	97.3%	96.8%	-0.5%
08500	Blue Mounds town	Dane	291	353	62	21.3%	97.0%	96.2%	-0.8%
08525	Blue River village	Grant	183	192	9	4.9%	89.3%	88.5%	-0.8%
08600	Boaz village	Richland	64	67	3	4.7%	95.5%	98.5%	3.0%
08725	Bonduel village	Shawano	581	601	20	3.4%	97.2%	93.2%	-4.0%
08750	Bone Lake town	Polk	264	286	22	8.3%	60.8%	58.5%	-2.3%
08850	Boscobel city	Grant	1,174	1,195	21	1.8%	92.7%	91.4%	-1.3%
08875	Boscobel town	Grant	190	160	-30	-15.8%	95.5%	94.7%	-0.8%
08950	Boulder Junction town	Vilas	445	441	-4	-0.9%	31.6%	31.5%	-0.1%
08975	Bovina town	Outagamie	386	436	50	13.0%	93.2%	93.6%	0.3%
09025	Bowler village	Shawano	126	130	4	3.2%	93.3%	86.7%	-6.7%
09050	Boyceville village	Dunn	424	454	30	7.1%	95.1%	91.9%	-3.2%
09075	Boyd village	Chippewa	274	237	-37	-13.5%	94.5%	93.7%	-0.8%
09175	Bradford town	Rock	379	399	20	5.3%	95.2%	94.1%	-1.1%
09225	Bradley town	Lincoln	1,094	1,089	-5	-0.5%	59.5%	50.3%	-9.1%
09300	Brandon village	Fond Du Lac	342	336	-6	-1.8%	95.8%	91.1%	-4.7%
09375	Brazeau town	Oconto	602	571	-31	-5.1%	44.7%	37.6%	-7.1%
09425	Breed town	Oconto	268	312	44	16.4%	83.2%	49.1%	-34.2%
09500	Bridge Creek town	Eau Claire	557	604	47	8.4%	79.2%	72.6%	-6.6%
09550	Bridgeport town	Crawford	346	392	46	13.3%	91.1%	90.5%	-0.5%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
09600	Brigham town	Iowa	335	390	55	16.4%	89.3%	90.7%	1.4%
09635	Brighton town	Kenosha	504	544	40	7.9%	96.2%	94.0%	-2.2%
09650	Brighton town	Marathon	197	214	17	8.6%	96.1%	98.6%	2.5%
09725	Brillion city	Calumet	1,155	1,298	143	12.4%	93.9%	96.2%	2.3%
09750	Brillion town	Calumet	501	546	45	9.0%	96.2%	94.1%	-2.0%
09775	Bristol town	Dane	928	1,302	374	40.3%	97.1%	97.4%	0.3%
09800	Bristol village	Kenosha		934				92.8%	
09825	Bristol town	Kenosha	1,715	958	-757	-44.1%	94.3%	90.1%	-4.2%
09900	Brockway town	Jackson	613	728	115	18.8%	89.2%	85.8%	-3.4%
09925	Brodhead city	Green, Rock	1,301	1,346	45	3.5%	96.0%	92.7%	-3.3%
09975	Brokaw village	Marathon	47	123	76	161.7%	95.9%	89.1%	-6.8%
10025	Brookfield city	Waukesha	13,891	14,576	685	4.9%	97.8%	95.2%	-2.6%
10050	Brookfield town	Waukesha	2,762	2,834	72	2.6%	96.5%	93.9%	-2.5%
10075	Brooklyn village	Dane, Green	343	508	165	48.1%	97.7%	96.4%	-1.3%
10100	Brooklyn town	Green	341	419	78	22.9%	95.8%	94.8%	-1.0%
10125	Brooklyn town	Green Lake	794	800	6	0.8%	75.2%	68.5%	-6.7%
10150	Brooklyn town	Washburn	117	116	-1	-0.9%	51.5%	42.5%	-9.1%
10350	Brothertown town	Calumet	523	538	15	2.9%	83.4%	83.8%	0.4%
10375	Brown Deer village	Milwaukee	5,134	5,275	141	2.7%	96.2%	94.6%	-1.7%
10400	Browning town	Taylor	298	334	36	12.1%	95.2%	87.0%	-8.2%
10450	Brownsville village	Dodge	209	221	12	5.7%	98.1%	94.8%	-3.3%
10475	Browntown village	Green	100	109	9	9.0%	96.2%	94.0%	-2.2%
10500	Bruce village	Rusk	384	371	-13	-3.4%	94.3%	88.5%	-5.8%
10575	Brule town	Douglas	244	277	33	13.5%	90.4%	76.3%	-14.1%
10625	Brunswick town	Eau Claire	630	658	28	4.4%	96.6%	93.7%	-2.9%
10700	Brussels town	Door	403	430	27	6.7%	94.2%	89.4%	-4.8%
10750	Buchanan town	Outagamie	1,846	2,393	547	29.6%	98.8%	97.6%	-1.3%
10925	Buena Vista town	Portage	418	453	35	8.4%	93.7%	92.6%	-1.1%
10950	Buena Vista town	Richland	600	707	107	17.8%	91.7%	90.1%	-1.7%
11025	Buffalo town	Buffalo	260	285	25	9.6%	93.9%	90.2%	-3.7%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
11050	Buffalo town	Marquette	412	448	36	8.7%	89.4%	85.7%	-3.7%
11062	Buffalo City city	Buffalo	445	464	19	4.3%	90.4%	80.4%	-10.0%
11150	Burke town	Dane	1,148	1,244	96	8.4%	95.0%	95.6%	0.6%
11200	Burlington city	Racine, Walworth	3,838	4,240	402	10.5%	96.5%	93.6%	-2.9%
11225	Burlington town	Racine	2,354	2,506	152	6.5%	84.2%	84.0%	-0.2%
11275	Burnett town	Dodge	330	357	27	8.2%	93.5%	94.2%	0.7%
11300	Burns town	La Crosse	349	370	21	6.0%	95.1%	89.2%	-5.9%
11325	Burnside town	Trempealeau	197	194	-3	-1.5%	97.5%	92.8%	-4.7%
11425	Butler town	Clark	32	41	9	28.1%	65.3%	68.3%	3.0%
11475	Butler village	Waukesha	916	895	-21	-2.3%	97.7%	96.8%	-0.9%
11525	Butternut village	Ashland	197	180	-17	-8.6%	89.5%	84.1%	-5.4%
11600	Byron town	Fond Du Lac	538	621	83	15.4%	96.9%	95.2%	-1.7%
11625	Byron town	Monroe	501	519	18	3.6%	90.1%	87.7%	-2.4%
11675	Cable town	Bayfield	381	412	31	8.1%	54.7%	53.0%	-1.7%
11725	Cadiz town	Green	327	330	3	0.9%	93.7%	88.5%	-5.2%
11750	Cadott village	Chippewa	562	605	43	7.7%	96.7%	93.2%	-3.5%
11775	Cady town	St. Croix	255	317	62	24.3%	96.6%	92.4%	-4.2%
11850	Calamus town	Dodge	379	411	32	8.4%	95.7%	93.8%	-1.9%
11900	Caledonia town	Columbia	451	549	98	21.7%	63.3%	65.1%	1.9%
11950	Caledonia village	Racine	8,549	9,629	1,080	12.6%	96.7%	95.8%	-1.0%
11975	Caledonia town	Trempealeau	262	342	80	30.5%	91.9%	92.7%	0.8%
12000	Caledonia town	Waupaca	504	614	110	21.8%	97.3%	87.7%	-9.6%
12075	Calumet town	Fond Du Lac	562	603	41	7.3%	72.6%	73.5%	0.9%
12200	Cambria village	Columbia	307	301	-6	-2.0%	90.6%	88.0%	-2.5%
12225	Cambridge village	Dane, Jefferson	470	615	145	30.9%	97.3%	94.0%	-3.3%
12250	Cameron village	Barron	640	744	104	16.3%	96.8%	93.4%	-3.5%
12275	Cameron town	Wood	190	207	17	8.9%	94.5%	94.5%	0.0%
12300	Campbell town	La Crosse	1,754	1,925	171	9.7%	96.2%	96.5%	0.3%
12325	Campbellsport village	Fond Du Lac	710	763	53	7.5%	96.5%	92.7%	-3.8%
12350	Camp Douglas village	Juneau	242	244	2	0.8%	91.7%	92.4%	0.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
12500	Canton town	Buffalo	102	112	10	9.8%	91.1%	88.9%	-2.2%
12525	Carey town	Iron	75	77	2	2.7%	42.6%	40.7%	-1.9%
12575	Carlton town	Kewaunee	363	406	43	11.8%	94.8%	91.2%	-3.5%
12725	Carson town	Portage	475	514	39	8.2%	95.2%	92.4%	-2.7%
12775	Cary town	Wood	162	177	15	9.3%	71.1%	69.1%	-1.9%
12825	Cascade village	Sheboygan	259	274	15	5.8%	94.5%	94.2%	-0.4%
12850	Casco village	Kewaunee	227	230	3	1.3%	96.2%	93.1%	-3.1%
12875	Casco town	Kewaunee	385	432	47	12.2%	95.3%	93.3%	-2.0%
12925	Casey town	Washburn	213	168	-45	-21.1%	32.4%	21.4%	-11.0%
12950	Cashton village	Monroe	415	448	33	8.0%	89.6%	90.1%	0.5%
12975	Cassel town	Marathon	271	322	51	18.8%	94.8%	94.4%	-0.3%
13025	Cassian town	Oneida	402	445	43	10.7%	39.8%	37.0%	-2.8%
13050	Cassville village	Grant	488	428	-60	-12.3%	85.3%	73.5%	-11.8%
13075	Cassville town	Grant	185	175	-10	-5.4%	84.1%	80.3%	-3.8%
13100	Castle Rock town	Grant	112	107	-5	-4.5%	91.8%	77.5%	-14.3%
13125	Caswell town	Forest	41	43	2	4.9%	26.3%	27.6%	1.3%
13175	Catawba village	Price	68	60	-8	-11.8%	86.1%	76.9%	-9.2%
13200	Catawba town	Price	109	108	-1	-0.9%	67.3%	45.8%	-21.5%
13237	Cato town	Manitowoc	548	590	42	7.7%	96.0%	95.0%	-1.0%
13300	Cazenovia village	Richland, Sauk	145	135	-10	-6.9%	92.9%	83.3%	-9.6%
13325	Cecil village	Shawano	199	262	63	31.7%	80.9%	79.9%	-1.0%
13375	Cedarburg city	Ozaukee	4,432	4,691	259	5.8%	96.5%	95.4%	-1.1%
13400	Cedarburg town	Ozaukee	1,896	2,055	159	8.4%	98.2%	96.6%	-1.6%
13475	Cedar Grove village	Sheboygan	699	842	143	20.5%	96.5%	95.6%	-1.0%
13500	Cedar Lake town	Barron	395	440	45	11.4%	51.6%	43.4%	-8.2%
13550	Cedar Rapids town	Rusk	11	17	6	54.5%	68.8%	44.7%	-24.0%
13600	Center town	Outagamie	1,095	1,277	182	16.6%	99.1%	97.9%	-1.2%
13625	Center town	Rock	374	418	44	11.8%	97.4%	95.9%	-1.5%
13750	Centerville town	Manitowoc	239	252	13	5.4%	91.2%	89.0%	-2.2%
13850	Centuria village	Polk	343	414	71	20.7%	95.0%	92.2%	-2.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
14000	Charlestown town	Calumet	291	296	5	1.7%	97.0%	92.8%	-4.2%
14125	Chase town	Oconto	683	1,018	335	49.0%	98.1%	96.5%	-1.6%
14150	Chaseburg village	Vernon	133	121	-12	-9.0%	91.1%	96.0%	4.9%
14200	Chelsea town	Taylor	274	327	53	19.3%	88.4%	73.6%	-14.7%
14225	Chenequa village	Waukesha	223	232	9	4.0%	79.6%	71.6%	-8.0%
14300	Chester town	Dodge	280	254	-26	-9.3%	96.2%	96.2%	0.0%
14325	Chetek city	Barron	939	951	12	1.3%	89.3%	86.1%	-3.1%
14350	Chetek town	Barron	707	739	32	4.5%	65.9%	59.3%	-6.6%
14425	Chicog town	Washburn	128	124	-4	-3.1%	26.9%	21.5%	-5.4%
14475	Chilton city	Calumet	1,512	1,687	175	11.6%	94.1%	93.3%	-0.8%
14500	Chilton town	Calumet	366	416	50	13.7%	98.7%	96.5%	-2.1%
14525	Chimney Rock town	Trempealeau	98	98	0	0.0%	94.2%	85.2%	-9.0%
14550	Chippewa town	Ashland	156	152	-4	-2.6%	55.7%	45.6%	-10.1%
14575	Chippewa Falls city	Chippewa	5,638	5,896	258	4.6%	95.5%	93.5%	-2.0%
14650	Christiana town	Dane	468	478	10	2.1%	95.1%	96.2%	1.1%
14675	Christiana town	Vernon	308	348	40	13.0%	90.3%	90.9%	0.5%
14750	Cicero town	Outagamie	370	408	38	10.3%	96.4%	96.7%	0.3%
14800	City Point town	Jackson	83	89	6	7.2%	79.8%	34.6%	-45.2%
14837	Clam Falls town	Polk	237	255	18	7.6%	67.3%	77.0%	9.7%
15000	Clarno town	Green	393	445	52	13.2%	95.9%	94.9%	-1.0%
15025	Clay Banks town	Door	162	167	5	3.1%	67.5%	65.5%	-2.0%
15075	Clayton town	Crawford	364	389	25	6.9%	69.5%	67.8%	-1.7%
15100	Clayton village	Polk	199	208	9	4.5%	95.7%	92.4%	-3.2%
15125	Clayton town	Polk	360	388	28	7.8%	87.4%	82.6%	-4.8%
15150	Clayton town	Winnebago	1,071	1,438	367	34.3%	97.7%	96.6%	-1.1%
15200	Clear Creek town	Eau Claire	268	294	26	9.7%	96.4%	95.8%	-0.6%
15225	Clearfield town	Juneau	296	304	8	2.7%	68.5%	66.2%	-2.3%
15250	Clear Lake village	Polk	453	459	6	1.3%	94.8%	91.4%	-3.3%
15275	Clear Lake town	Polk	276	330	54	19.6%	95.2%	93.2%	-2.0%
15350	Cleveland town	Chippewa	313	318	5	1.6%	92.3%	77.2%	-15.1%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
15375	Cleveland town	Jackson	169	190	21	12.4%	89.9%	76.0%	-13.9%
15400	Cleveland village	Manitowoc	536	587	51	9.5%	92.7%	91.3%	-1.4%
15425	Cleveland town	Marathon	396	530	134	33.8%	93.8%	91.7%	-2.1%
15450	Cleveland town	Taylor	98	112	14	14.3%	81.7%	50.5%	-31.2%
15500	Clifton town	Grant	108	118	10	9.3%	96.4%	88.7%	-7.7%
15550	Clifton town	Monroe	191	198	7	3.7%	82.0%	77.3%	-4.6%
15575	Clifton town	Pierce	543	700	157	28.9%	91.7%	92.8%	1.1%
15600	Clinton town	Barron	314	323	9	2.9%	84.0%	80.8%	-3.2%
15625	Clinton village	Rock	771	801	30	3.9%	94.6%	91.9%	-2.7%
15650	Clinton town	Rock	308	329	21	6.8%	97.5%	94.0%	-3.5%
15675	Clinton town	Vernon	313	332	19	6.1%	89.2%	89.5%	0.3%
15725	Clintonville city	Waupaca	2,010	2,002	-8	-0.4%	93.6%	89.9%	-3.7%
15750	Clover town	Bayfield	99	116	17	17.2%	27.2%	27.9%	0.7%
15825	Cloverland town	Douglas	94	86	-8	-8.5%	84.7%	61.9%	-22.8%
15850	Cloverland town	Vilas	416	470	54	13.0%	44.4%	42.5%	-1.9%
15875	Clyde town	Iowa	127	124	-3	-2.4%	78.4%	70.9%	-7.5%
15900	Clyman village	Dodge	153	163	10	6.5%	93.9%	92.1%	-1.8%
15925	Clyman town	Dodge	290	288	-2	-0.7%	93.9%	91.7%	-2.1%
15975	Cobb village	Iowa	188	199	11	5.9%	94.5%	94.8%	0.3%
16025	Cochrane village	Buffalo	188	205	17	9.0%	95.4%	87.6%	-7.8%
16075	Colburn town	Adams	83	109	26	31.3%	53.5%	50.0%	-3.5%
16125	Colburn town	Chippewa	262	329	67	25.6%	90.7%	76.7%	-14.0%
16150	Colby city	Clark, Marathon	623	714	91	14.6%	96.6%	94.3%	-2.3%
16175	Colby town	Clark	276	270	-6	-2.2%	98.2%	95.4%	-2.8%
16225	Cold Spring town	Jefferson	269	281	12	4.5%	96.8%	95.6%	-1.2%
16250	Coleman village	Marinette	316	315	-1	-0.3%	89.8%	91.8%	2.1%
16275	Colfax village	Dunn	467	478	11	2.4%	95.7%	91.2%	-4.5%
16300	Colfax town	Dunn	318	422	104	32.7%	97.2%	94.2%	-3.1%
16375	Coloma village	Waushara	185	184	-1	-0.5%	93.9%	86.0%	-7.9%
16400	Coloma town	Waushara	254	321	67	26.4%	50.8%	57.2%	6.4%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Occupied Housing Units (Households)			Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
16450	Columbus city	Columbia, Dodge	1,843	2,123	280	15.2%	95.6%	92.8%	-2.8%
16475	Columbus town	Columbia	236	237	1	0.4%	97.9%	94.8%	-3.1%
16500	Combined Locks village	Outagamie	884	1,232	348	39.4%	97.9%	97.5%	-0.4%
16550	Commonwealth town	Florence	170	173	3	1.8%	52.1%	45.8%	-6.4%
16650	Concord town	Jefferson	757	810	53	7.0%	98.3%	95.5%	-2.8%
16750	Conover town	Vilas	483	574	91	18.8%	33.5%	34.3%	0.8%
16775	Conrath village	Rusk	36	42	6	16.7%	92.3%	87.5%	-4.8%
16800	Cooks Valley town	Chippewa	214	273	59	27.6%	98.2%	98.2%	0.0%
16875	Coon town	Vernon	262	285	23	8.8%	88.8%	88.5%	-0.3%
16900	Coon Valley village	Vernon	307	324	17	5.5%	92.5%	93.1%	0.6%
16950	Cooperstown town	Manitowoc	471	498	27	5.7%	96.9%	95.8%	-1.1%
17100	Cornell city	Chippewa	607	607	0	0.0%	93.1%	90.6%	-2.5%
17125	Corning town	Lincoln	299	330	31	10.4%	76.3%	64.6%	-11.7%
17175	Cottage Grove village	Dane	1,427	2,210	783	54.9%	98.2%	96.5%	-1.7%
17200	Cottage Grove town	Dane	1,338	1,463	125	9.3%	98.7%	97.9%	-0.8%
17225	Couderay village	Sawyer	40	35	-5	-12.5%	74.1%	68.6%	-5.4%
17250	Couderay town	Sawyer	176	161	-15	-8.5%	71.0%	60.3%	-10.7%
17325	Courtland town	Columbia	175	193	18	10.3%	94.6%	94.1%	-0.4%
17425	Crandon city	Forest	803	771	-32	-4.0%	83.6%	80.0%	-3.6%
17450	Crandon town	Forest	238	268	30	12.6%	53.7%	58.8%	5.0%
17525	Cranmoor town	Wood	66	69	3	4.5%	88.0%	87.3%	-0.7%
17625	Crescent town	Oneida	797	857	60	7.5%	77.1%	68.5%	-8.6%
17725	Crivitz village	Marinette	406	452	46	11.3%	88.8%	84.8%	-4.0%
17737	Cross town	Buffalo	127	142	15	11.8%	90.1%	86.1%	-4.0%
17775	Cross Plains village	Dane	1,199	1,386	187	15.6%	97.6%	95.5%	-2.2%
17800	Cross Plains town	Dane	513	581	68	13.3%	97.7%	94.8%	-2.9%
17850	Crystal town	Washburn	117	111	-6	-5.1%	63.6%	51.6%	-12.0%
17875	Crystal Lake town	Barron	312	315	3	1.0%	71.7%	66.5%	-5.3%
17900	Crystal Lake town	Marquette	231	244	13	5.6%	52.3%	45.4%	-6.9%
17950	Cuba City city	Grant, Lafayette	861	847	-14	-1.6%	95.7%	94.2%	-1.5%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
17975	Cudahy city	Milwaukee	7,888	8,059	171	2.2%	95.3%	93.0%	-2.3%
18025	Cumberland city	Barron	1,013	994	-19	-1.9%	89.3%	82.4%	-7.0%
18050	Cumberland town	Barron	360	354	-6	-1.7%	84.7%	79.7%	-5.0%
18075	Curran town	Jackson	127	136	9	7.1%	74.3%	74.3%	0.0%
18125	Curtiss village	Clark	68	76	8	11.8%	97.1%	92.7%	-4.5%
18225	Cutler town	Juneau	119	142	23	19.3%	81.0%	45.2%	-35.7%
18300	Cylon town	St. Croix	227	255	28	12.3%	97.8%	95.5%	-2.3%
18425	Dairyland town	Douglas	87	83	-4	-4.6%	62.6%	25.2%	-37.4%
18475	Dakota town	Waushara	493	496	3	0.6%	71.1%	69.5%	-1.7%
18525	Dale town	Outagamie	785	982	197	25.1%	96.7%	96.0%	-0.7%
18575	Dallas village	Barron	146	156	10	6.8%	86.4%	90.2%	3.8%
18600	Dallas town	Barron	217	218	1	0.5%	92.3%	92.4%	0.0%
18700	Dane village	Dane	279	363	84	30.1%	96.9%	97.3%	0.4%
18725	Dane town	Dane	335	363	28	8.4%	98.8%	98.1%	-0.7%
18750	Daniels town	Burnett	280	287	7	2.5%	65.3%	58.0%	-7.3%
18825	Darien village	Walworth	537	549	12	2.2%	95.9%	89.9%	-6.0%
18850	Darien town	Walworth	615	629	14	2.3%	94.6%	94.7%	0.1%
18875	Darlington city	Lafayette	985	994	9	0.9%	93.6%	91.9%	-1.8%
18900	Darlington town	Lafayette	266	329	63	23.7%	96.4%	94.3%	-2.1%
18950	Day town	Marathon	357	410	53	14.8%	97.3%	96.0%	-1.3%
19000	Dayton town	Richland	269	278	9	3.3%	87.6%	80.6%	-7.0%
19025	Dayton town	Waupaca	1,046	1,137	91	8.7%	70.5%	67.9%	-2.6%
19075	Decatur town	Green	587	661	74	12.6%	91.0%	89.4%	-1.6%
19200	Deer Creek town	Outagamie	237	242	5	2.1%	88.1%	88.0%	-0.1%
19225	Deer Creek town	Taylor	241	267	26	10.8%	95.3%	94.7%	-0.6%
19250	Deerfield village	Dane	726	884	158	21.8%	96.9%	97.0%	0.1%
19275	Deerfield town	Dane	486	544	58	11.9%	96.6%	96.3%	-0.3%
19300	Deerfield town	Waushara	263	307	44	16.7%	54.0%	59.0%	5.0%
19325	Deer Park village	St. Croix	91	100	9	9.9%	96.8%	88.5%	-8.3%
19350	DeForest village	Dane	2,675	3,400	725	27.1%	96.9%	97.2%	0.3%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
19375	Dekorra town	Columbia	949	974	25	2.6%	76.7%	72.8%	-3.9%
19400	Delafield city	Waukesha	2,553	2,776	223	8.7%	95.1%	93.3%	-1.7%
19425	Delafield town	Waukesha	2,521	2,822	301	11.9%	96.0%	94.1%	-1.9%
19450	Delavan city	Walworth	2,931	3,189	258	8.8%	91.2%	91.1%	-0.1%
19475	Delavan town	Walworth	1,798	2,156	358	19.9%	58.9%	56.2%	-2.7%
19550	Dellona town	Sauk	422	580	158	37.4%	84.7%	75.9%	-8.8%
19575	Dell Prairie town	Adams	553	649	96	17.4%	73.3%	67.8%	-5.5%
19625	Delmar town	Chippewa	314	336	22	7.0%	95.7%	91.6%	-4.2%
19662	Delta town	Bayfield	107	119	12	11.2%	32.6%	31.5%	-1.1%
19675	Delton town	Sauk	747	924	177	23.7%	86.7%	84.2%	-2.5%
19700	Denmark village	Brown	801	923	122	15.2%	96.2%	93.4%	-2.7%
19775	De Pere city	Brown	7,724	9,254	1,530	19.8%	96.6%	95.0%	-1.6%
19850	De Soto village	Crawford, Vernon	151	129	-22	-14.6%	79.1%	73.3%	-5.8%
19925	Dewey town	Burnett	209	201	-8	-3.8%	69.0%	58.3%	-10.7%
19975	Dewey town	Portage	356	368	12	3.4%	94.2%	91.3%	-2.9%
20000	Dewey town	Rusk	209	225	16	7.7%	75.5%	52.7%	-22.8%
20025	Dewhurst town	Clark	156	154	-2	-1.3%	58.4%	38.4%	-20.0%
20075	Dexter town	Wood	144	152	8	5.6%	75.8%	66.4%	-9.4%
20150	Diamond Bluff town	Pierce	184	194	10	5.4%	90.6%	87.8%	-2.9%
20175	Dickeyville village	Grant	420	459	39	9.3%	97.4%	97.5%	0.0%
20300	Dodge town	Trempealeau	160	175	15	9.4%	90.9%	87.1%	-3.8%
20350	Dodgeville city	Iowa	1,751	1,965	214	12.2%	95.6%	92.8%	-2.8%
20375	Dodgeville town	Iowa	510	645	135	26.5%	86.7%	87.4%	0.7%
20450	Dorchester village	Clark, Marathon	336	355	19	5.7%	94.9%	92.7%	-2.2%
20475	Doty town	Oconto	120	146	26	21.7%	27.4%	20.9%	-6.5%
20525	Douglas town	Marquette	301	315	14	4.7%	78.8%	74.3%	-4.5%
20550	Dousman village	Waukesha	575	905	330	57.4%	98.0%	92.8%	-5.1%
20575	Dover town	Buffalo	145	167	22	15.2%	92.9%	83.9%	-9.0%
20625	Dover town	Racine	1,193	1,326	133	11.1%	88.8%	85.5%	-3.3%
20650	Dovre town	Barron	238	309	71	29.8%	91.9%	89.8%	-2.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Occupied Housing Units (Households)			Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
20675	Downing village	Dunn	98	97	-1	-1.0%	91.6%	89.8%	-1.8%
20750	Doyle town	Barron	177	186	9	5.1%	91.2%	86.5%	-4.7%
20775	Doylestown village	Columbia	121	116	-5	-4.1%	98.4%	94.3%	-4.1%
20800	Drammen town	Eau Claire	294	318	24	8.2%	92.5%	95.2%	2.8%
20825	Draper town	Sawyer	84	107	23	27.4%	21.2%	22.1%	0.9%
20850	Dresser village	Polk	302	361	59	19.5%	96.8%	94.5%	-2.3%
20887	Drummond town	Bayfield	231	224	-7	-3.0%	35.8%	32.3%	-3.5%
21000	Dunbar town	Marinette	274	258	-16	-5.8%	34.6%	29.2%	-5.3%
21100	Dunkirk town	Dane	760	785	25	3.3%	97.9%	96.8%	-1.1%
21125	Dunn town	Dane	2,079	2,062	-17	-0.8%	92.0%	90.0%	-2.0%
21150	Dunn town	Dunn	540	598	58	10.7%	93.4%	93.0%	-0.4%
21200	Dupont town	Waupaca	233	262	29	12.4%	90.7%	83.2%	-7.5%
21225	Durand city	Pepin	829	838	9	1.1%	94.6%	92.5%	-2.1%
21250	Durand town	Pepin	237	270	33	13.9%	96.7%	95.1%	-1.7%
21400	Eagle town	Richland	223	208	-15	-6.7%	83.5%	77.0%	-6.5%
21425	Eagle village	Waukesha	592	684	92	15.5%	97.9%	97.2%	-0.7%
21450	Eagle town	Waukesha	1,049	1,237	188	17.9%	93.8%	90.8%	-3.0%
21600	Eagle Point town	Chippewa	978	1,211	233	23.8%	96.1%	90.0%	-6.0%
21625	Eagle River city	Vilas	626	684	58	9.3%	86.2%	78.1%	-8.1%
21900	Eastman village	Crawford	163	168	5	3.1%	95.9%	93.9%	-2.0%
21925	Eastman town	Crawford	287	288	1	0.3%	60.4%	67.4%	7.0%
22000	Easton town	Adams	486	477	-9	-1.9%	59.2%	55.2%	-4.0%
22025	Easton town	Marathon	383	426	43	11.2%	95.8%	95.5%	-0.2%
22100	East Troy village	Walworth	1,350	1,737	387	28.7%	96.7%	93.1%	-3.6%
22125	East Troy town	Walworth	1,427	1,547	120	8.4%	81.4%	80.8%	-0.6%
22225	Eaton town	Brown	469	548	79	16.8%	97.7%	97.0%	-0.7%
22250	Eaton town	Clark	201	220	19	9.5%	91.0%	87.0%	-4.0%
22275	Eaton town	Manitowoc	270	318	48	17.8%	93.8%	92.2%	-1.6%
22300	Eau Claire city	Chippewa, Eau Claire	24,016	26,803	2,787	11.6%	96.5%	95.3%	-1.2%
22375	Eau Galle town	Dunn	303	315	12	4.0%	91.0%	88.2%	-2.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
22400	Eau Galle town	St. Croix	307	401	94	30.6%	95.9%	95.0%	-0.9%
22425	Eau Pleine town	Marathon	275	298	23	8.4%	98.9%	96.8%	-2.2%
22450	Eau Pleine town	Portage	344	369	25	7.3%	85.6%	80.9%	-4.7%
22475	Eden village	Fond Du Lac	264	337	73	27.7%	87.1%	93.6%	6.5%
22500	Eden town	Fond Du Lac	337	384	47	13.9%	98.0%	95.3%	-2.7%
22525	Eden town	Iowa	135	136	1	0.7%	91.8%	90.1%	-1.8%
22550	Edgar village	Marathon	542	597	55	10.1%	95.4%	94.0%	-1.4%
22575	Edgerton city	Dane, Rock	1,958	2,227	269	13.7%	94.0%	92.4%	-1.5%
22625	Edgewater town	Sawyer	245	244	-1	-0.4%	38.3%	29.1%	-9.2%
22750	Edson town	Chippewa	309	376	67	21.7%	96.0%	93.1%	-2.9%
22850	Egg Harbor village	Door	132	109	-23	-17.4%	23.2%	15.0%	-8.2%
22875	Egg Harbor town	Door	491	589	98	20.0%	52.8%	32.8%	-20.0%
22925	Eileen town	Bayfield	249	278	29	11.6%	90.5%	90.3%	-0.3%
22950	Eisenstein town	Price	272	294	22	8.1%	58.0%	52.0%	-6.0%
22975	Eland village	Shawano	93	86	-7	-7.5%	96.9%	92.5%	-4.4%
23000	Elba town	Dodge	385	396	11	2.9%	97.0%	93.6%	-3.4%
23050	Elcho town	Langlade	613	579	-34	-5.5%	44.7%	38.3%	-6.5%
23075	Elderon village	Marathon	77	80	3	3.9%	95.1%	96.4%	1.3%
23100	Elderon town	Marathon	224	245	21	9.4%	86.2%	81.4%	-4.8%
23150	Eldorado town	Fond Du Lac	490	539	49	10.0%	96.8%	95.2%	-1.6%
23175	Eleva village	Trempealeau	277	292	15	5.4%	95.5%	95.7%	0.2%
23200	Elk town	Price	502	458	-44	-8.8%	53.8%	44.6%	-9.2%
23262	Elk Grove town	Lafayette	145	166	21	14.5%	91.8%	94.3%	2.5%
23275	Elkhart Lake village	Sheboygan	436	457	21	4.8%	72.8%	64.7%	-8.1%
23300	Elkhorn city	Walworth	2,919	3,801	882	30.2%	96.8%	94.0%	-2.8%
23325	Elk Mound village	Dunn	300	334	34	11.3%	96.5%	93.3%	-3.2%
23350	Elk Mound town	Dunn	371	589	218	58.8%	93.9%	92.6%	-1.3%
23400	Ellenboro town	Grant	191	193	2	1.0%	91.8%	92.8%	1.0%
23425	Ellington town	Outagamie	847	984	137	16.2%	97.4%	93.5%	-3.8%
23525	Ellsworth village	Pierce	1,169	1,331	162	13.9%	96.6%	92.8%	-3.8%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
23550	Ellsworth town	Pierce	380	434	54	14.2%	97.2%	95.6%	-1.6%
23575	Elm Grove village	Waukesha	2,444	2,326	-118	-4.8%	95.6%	92.6%	-3.1%
23700	Elmwood village	Pierce	343	343	0	0.0%	93.7%	90.5%	-3.2%
23725	Elmwood Park village	Racine	200	197	-3	-1.5%	98.0%	97.0%	-1.0%
23775	El Paso town	Pierce	233	253	20	8.6%	97.5%	89.1%	-8.4%
23800	Elroy city	Juneau	632	590	-42	-6.6%	91.3%	86.8%	-4.6%
23850	Embarrass village	Waupaca	156	144	-12	-7.7%	95.1%	95.4%	0.2%
23925	Emerald town	St. Croix	236	303	67	28.4%	96.7%	96.2%	-0.5%
23975	Emery town	Price	125	120	-5	-4.0%	60.7%	45.8%	-14.9%
24000	Emmet town	Dodge	414	488	74	17.9%	97.0%	94.0%	-2.9%
24025	Emmet town	Marathon	269	324	55	20.4%	93.4%	93.4%	0.0%
24050	Empire town	Fond Du Lac	910	1,059	149	16.4%	96.4%	95.5%	-0.9%
24075	Endeavor village	Marquette	153	175	22	14.4%	90.0%	92.6%	2.6%
24125	Enterprise town	Oneida	124	146	22	17.7%	32.1%	31.7%	-0.4%
24150	Ephraim village	Door	161	138	-23	-14.3%	20.9%	21.1%	0.2%
24225	Erin town	Washington	1,287	1,405	118	9.2%	95.2%	94.6%	-0.6%
24275	Erin Prairie town	St. Croix	227	258	31	13.7%	97.0%	96.6%	-0.4%
24375	Estella town	Chippewa	167	167	0	0.0%	87.9%	79.9%	-8.0%
24400	Ettrick village	Trempealeau	241	231	-10	-4.1%	94.9%	90.2%	-4.6%
24425	Ettrick town	Trempealeau	488	509	21	4.3%	92.8%	91.7%	-1.1%
24450	Eureka town	Polk	503	642	139	27.6%	88.7%	84.9%	-3.8%
24550	Evansville city	Rock	1,563	1,942	379	24.2%	95.6%	94.0%	-1.6%
24575	Evergreen town	Langlade	181	209	28	15.5%	83.0%	74.4%	-8.7%
24600	Evergreen town	Washburn	413	463	50	12.1%	77.9%	69.7%	-8.2%
24675	Excelsior town	Sauk	527	606	79	15.0%	94.4%	90.3%	-4.1%
24700	Exeland village	Sawyer	92	82	-10	-10.9%	83.6%	73.9%	-9.8%
24725	Exeter town	Green	453	720	267	58.9%	96.4%	96.6%	0.3%
24775	Fairbanks town	Shawano	235	234	-1	-0.4%	87.7%	80.1%	-7.5%
24825	Fairchild village	Eau Claire	210	227	17	8.1%	89.0%	82.5%	-6.4%
24850	Fairchild town	Eau Claire	113	120	7	6.2%	73.9%	60.9%	-12.9%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
24900	Fairfield town	Sauk	388	424	36	9.3%	92.4%	91.8%	-0.6%
25075	Fairwater village	Fond Du Lac	139	152	13	9.4%	97.9%	95.0%	-2.9%
25125	Fall Creek village	Eau Claire	476	517	41	8.6%	96.2%	93.5%	-2.7%
25150	Fall River village	Columbia	418	617	199	47.6%	91.1%	92.0%	0.9%
25300	Farmington town	Jefferson	541	521	-20	-3.7%	96.4%	93.7%	-2.7%
25325	Farmington town	La Crosse	664	796	132	19.9%	94.1%	90.8%	-3.3%
25350	Farmington town	Polk	525	652	127	24.2%	94.6%	93.9%	-0.6%
25375	Farmington town	Washington	1,116	1,462	346	31.0%	94.3%	94.8%	0.4%
25400	Farmington town	Waupaca	1,326	1,368	42	3.2%	81.0%	75.4%	-5.6%
25475	Fayette town	Lafayette	138	142	4	2.9%	89.0%	83.5%	-5.5%
25575	Fence town	Florence	107	90	-17	-15.9%	28.7%	20.2%	-8.5%
25600	Fennimore city	Grant	1,021	1,053	32	3.1%	95.6%	92.0%	-3.6%
25625	Fennimore town	Grant	199	213	14	7.0%	92.1%	91.0%	-1.1%
25650	Fenwood village	Marathon	61	64	3	4.9%	96.8%	95.5%	-1.3%
25675	Fern town	Florence	71	73	2	2.8%	21.3%	19.6%	-1.7%
25725	Ferryville village	Crawford	93	94	1	1.1%	62.4%	57.0%	-5.4%
25775	Fifield town	Price	436	432	-4	-0.9%	57.4%	43.6%	-13.7%
25850	Finley town	Juneau	33	40	7	21.2%	34.0%	31.7%	-2.3%
25950	Fitchburg city	Dane	8,262	9,955	1,693	20.5%	96.0%	93.3%	-2.7%
26050	Flambeau town	Price	207	208	1	0.5%	45.0%	36.2%	-8.8%
26100	Flambeau town	Rusk	398	402	4	1.0%	90.9%	84.1%	-6.8%
26200	Florence town	Florence	963	902	-61	-6.3%	55.9%	47.7%	-8.2%
26275	Fond du Lac city	Fond Du Lac	16,638	17,942	1,304	7.8%	95.0%	93.5%	-1.4%
26300	Fond du Lac town	Fond Du Lac	789	1,167	378	47.9%	93.9%	94.2%	0.3%
26350	Fontana-on-Geneva Lake village	Walworth	764	732	-32	-4.2%	38.7%	31.7%	-7.0%
26400	Footville village	Rock	310	299	-11	-3.5%	95.1%	91.7%	-3.4%
26425	Ford town	Taylor	96	106	10	10.4%	93.2%	77.9%	-15.3%
26450	Forest town	Fond Du Lac	397	404	7	1.8%	96.8%	92.7%	-4.2%
26475	Forest town	Richland	139	137	-2	-1.4%	80.3%	68.2%	-12.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
26525	Forest town	St. Croix	203	243	40	19.7%	93.1%	93.8%	0.7%
26550	Forest town	Vernon	199	230	31	15.6%	77.1%	76.4%	-0.7%
26625	Forestville village	Door	181	183	2	1.1%	89.6%	88.8%	-0.8%
26650	Forestville town	Door	387	426	39	10.1%	89.6%	87.7%	-1.9%
26675	Fort Atkinson city	Jefferson	4,760	5,125	365	7.7%	95.5%	94.4%	-1.1%
26725	Fort Winnebago town	Columbia	325	344	19	5.8%	94.8%	92.5%	-2.3%
26775	Foster town	Clark	50	47	-3	-6.0%	34.5%	27.5%	-7.0%
26825	Fountain town	Juneau	194	231	37	19.1%	87.8%	88.8%	1.1%
26850	Fountain City city	Buffalo	444	410	-34	-7.7%	94.5%	87.8%	-6.7%
26875	Fountain Prairie town	Columbia	299	340	41	13.7%	94.0%	90.7%	-3.4%
27000	Fox Lake city	Dodge	615	663	48	7.8%	88.5%	82.8%	-5.7%
27025	Fox Lake town	Dodge	477	503	26	5.5%	53.2%	55.8%	2.6%
27075	Fox Point village	Milwaukee	2,825	2,747	-78	-2.8%	97.1%	93.8%	-3.3%
27125	Francis Creek village	Manitowoc	266	277	11	4.1%	95.0%	93.6%	-1.4%
27150	Frankfort town	Marathon	213	242	29	13.6%	95.9%	97.2%	1.2%
27175	Frankfort town	Pepin	136	139	3	2.2%	84.5%	73.2%	-11.3%
27225	Franklin town	Jackson	129	169	40	31.0%	73.7%	76.1%	2.4%
27250	Franklin town	Kewaunee	338	379	41	12.1%	94.2%	90.9%	-3.3%
27275	Franklin town	Manitowoc	469	506	37	7.9%	95.5%	94.2%	-1.3%
27300	Franklin city	Milwaukee	10,602	13,642	3,040	28.7%	96.9%	95.0%	-1.9%
27350	Franklin town	Sauk	250	248	-2	-0.8%	93.6%	87.9%	-5.7%
27400	Franklin town	Vernon	324	390	66	20.4%	84.8%	83.0%	-1.8%
27450	Franzen town	Marathon	193	219	26	13.5%	91.0%	85.5%	-5.5%
27500	Frederic village	Polk	536	496	-40	-7.5%	91.5%	87.5%	-4.0%
27550	Fredonia village	Ozaukee	701	827	126	18.0%	95.5%	94.7%	-0.8%
27575	Fredonia town	Ozaukee	727	807	80	11.0%	96.8%	96.1%	-0.7%
27600	Freedom town	Forest	158	151	-7	-4.4%	36.3%	31.3%	-5.0%
27650	Freedom town	Outagamie	1,833	2,124	291	15.9%	98.6%	97.5%	-1.1%
27675	Freedom town	Sauk	158	173	15	9.5%	86.8%	76.9%	-9.9%
27700	Freeman town	Crawford	301	312	11	3.7%	61.1%	54.5%	-6.6%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
27775	Fremont town	Clark	374	418	44	11.8%	95.2%	91.7%	-3.5%
27800	Fremont village	Waupaca	302	305	3	1.0%	74.4%	74.8%	0.4%
27850	Fremont town	Waupaca	238	259	21	8.8%	85.6%	81.7%	-3.9%
27950	Friendship village	Adams	257	256	-1	-0.4%	87.4%	79.3%	-8.2%
27975	Friendship town	Fond Du Lac	971	1,126	155	16.0%	91.4%	90.7%	-0.8%
28000	Friesland village	Columbia	109	131	22	20.2%	95.6%	92.9%	-2.7%
28025	Frog Creek town	Washburn	65	59	-6	-9.2%	75.6%	57.8%	-17.7%
28075	Fulton town	Rock	1,229	1,281	52	4.2%	75.1%	83.3%	8.3%
28150	Gale town	Trempealeau	562	648	86	15.3%	94.9%	93.4%	-1.6%
28200	Galesville city	Trempealeau	606	635	29	4.8%	93.5%	91.5%	-2.0%
28250	Garden Valley town	Jackson	147	154	7	4.8%	87.0%	79.0%	-8.0%
28300	Gardner town	Door	493	520	27	5.5%	51.0%	45.7%	-5.3%
28325	Garfield town	Jackson	180	248	68	37.8%	86.5%	77.0%	-9.5%
28350	Garfield town	Polk	529	622	93	17.6%	74.8%	66.7%	-8.2%
28450	Gays Mills village	Crawford	261	226	-35	-13.4%	90.3%	83.7%	-6.6%
28487	Genesee town	Waukesha	2,431	2,659	228	9.4%	98.0%	97.2%	-0.8%
28550	Geneva town	Walworth	1,660	2,067	407	24.5%	58.7%	59.8%	1.0%
28625	Genoa village	Vernon	112	110	-2	-1.8%	93.3%	91.7%	-1.7%
28650	Genoa town	Vernon	285	307	22	7.7%	72.7%	76.6%	3.9%
28675	Genoa City village	Kenosha, Walworth	674	1,072	398	59.1%	96.4%	91.0%	-5.4%
28725	Georgetown town	Polk	433	429	-4	-0.9%	34.0%	32.1%	-1.9%
28750	Georgetown town	Price	65	68	3	4.6%	55.6%	47.6%	-8.0%
28825	Germania town	Shawano	134	145	11	8.2%	94.4%	84.8%	-9.6%
28850	Germantown town	Juneau	535	678	143	26.7%	39.8%	39.2%	-0.6%
28875	Germantown village	Washington	6,904	7,766	862	12.5%	97.6%	96.0%	-1.6%
28900	Germantown town	Washington	89	91	2	2.2%	94.7%	98.9%	4.2%
28950	Gibraltar town	Door	475	473	-2	-0.4%	41.0%	29.6%	-11.4%
28975	Gibson town	Manitowoc	471	529	58	12.3%	95.2%	91.7%	-3.5%
29050	Gillett city	Oconto	516	592	76	14.7%	94.3%	90.2%	-4.1%
29075	Gillett town	Oconto	406	409	3	0.7%	91.4%	90.1%	-1.4%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
29150	Gilman town	Pierce	283	365	82	29.0%	97.9%	91.7%	-6.2%
29175	Gilman village	Taylor	185	187	2	1.1%	88.5%	85.8%	-2.7%
29225	Gilmanton town	Buffalo	173	164	-9	-5.2%	96.1%	86.3%	-9.8%
29250	Gingles town	Ashland	237	297	60	25.3%	86.8%	90.5%	3.7%
29350	Glenbeulah village	Sheboygan	153	194	41	26.8%	95.6%	95.1%	-0.5%
29375	Glencoe town	Buffalo	171	184	13	7.6%	95.0%	88.5%	-6.5%
29400	Glendale city	Milwaukee	5,772	5,815	43	0.7%	96.6%	93.9%	-2.7%
29450	Glendale town	Monroe	211	250	39	18.5%	86.1%	87.1%	1.0%
29475	Glen Flora village	Rusk	44	41	-3	-6.8%	93.6%	87.2%	-6.4%
29525	Glen Haven town	Grant	185	168	-17	-9.2%	93.4%	84.0%	-9.4%
29550	Glenmore town	Brown	375	405	30	8.0%	98.2%	96.9%	-1.3%
29600	Glenwood town	St. Croix	254	288	34	13.4%	96.6%	93.8%	-2.8%
29625	Glenwood City city	St. Croix	460	509	49	10.7%	93.7%	90.7%	-3.0%
29700	Goetz town	Chippewa	231	281	50	21.6%	98.3%	95.6%	-2.7%
29775	Goodman town	Marinette	360	310	-50	-13.9%	52.9%	36.9%	-16.0%
29837	Goodrich town	Taylor	164	188	24	14.6%	80.8%	77.4%	-3.4%
29875	Gordon town	Ashland	155	143	-12	-7.7%	39.0%	32.2%	-6.8%
29925	Gordon town	Douglas	298	309	11	3.7%	63.3%	35.2%	-28.1%
30000	Grafton village	Ozaukee	4,125	4,863	738	17.9%	97.2%	94.9%	-2.3%
30025	Grafton town	Ozaukee	1,492	1,612	120	8.0%	97.6%	94.2%	-3.4%
30075	Grand Chute town	Outagamie	7,586	9,378	1,792	23.6%	95.2%	94.4%	-0.8%
30125	Grand Rapids town	Wood	2,788	2,978	190	6.8%	97.7%	96.8%	-0.8%
30175	Grandview town	Bayfield	222	221	-1	-0.5%	42.0%	37.6%	-4.3%
30275	Grant town	Clark	317	328	11	3.5%	87.8%	87.0%	-0.8%
30300	Grant town	Dunn	147	148	1	0.7%	91.9%	85.5%	-6.3%
30325	Grant town	Monroe	173	199	26	15.0%	82.0%	84.7%	2.7%
30350	Grant town	Portage	726	758	32	4.4%	97.1%	95.0%	-2.1%
30375	Grant town	Rusk	293	311	18	6.1%	90.4%	85.2%	-5.2%
30400	Grant town	Shawano	340	364	24	7.1%	87.2%	81.3%	-5.9%
30425	Grantton village	Clark	156	151	-5	-3.2%	95.1%	91.5%	-3.6%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
30450	Grantsburg village	Burnett	565	567	2	0.4%	95.8%	89.2%	-6.6%
30475	Grantsburg town	Burnett	370	447	77	20.8%	83.1%	75.9%	-7.3%
30575	Gratiot village	Lafayette	97	97	0	0.0%	94.2%	89.8%	-4.4%
30600	Gratiot town	Lafayette	237	215	-22	-9.3%	91.9%	82.4%	-9.5%
31000	Green Bay city	Brown	41,591	42,244	653	1.6%	96.4%	93.4%	-3.1%
31025	Green Bay town	Brown	600	771	171	28.5%	87.6%	89.3%	1.7%
31100	Greenbush town	Sheboygan	526	568	42	8.0%	95.5%	95.6%	0.2%
31125	Greendale village	Milwaukee	6,011	6,075	64	1.1%	97.5%	96.5%	-1.0%
31150	Greenfield town	La Crosse	549	727	178	32.4%	96.3%	93.7%	-2.6%
31175	Greenfield city	Milwaukee	15,697	16,860	1,163	7.4%	96.9%	94.8%	-2.1%
31225	Greenfield town	Monroe	236	281	45	19.1%	87.7%	86.2%	-1.5%
31250	Greenfield town	Sauk	351	362	11	3.1%	91.4%	89.4%	-2.0%
31275	Green Grove town	Clark	216	229	13	6.0%	96.0%	92.3%	-3.7%
31300	Green Lake city	Green Lake	523	491	-32	-6.1%	71.4%	64.1%	-7.3%
31350	Green Lake town	Green Lake	538	521	-17	-3.2%	53.3%	48.3%	-5.0%
31450	Green Valley town	Marathon	192	218	26	13.5%	77.1%	69.2%	-7.9%
31500	Green Valley town	Shawano	380	405	25	6.6%	92.2%	87.7%	-4.6%
31550	Greenville town	Outagamie	2,301	3,631	1,330	57.8%	97.8%	97.6%	-0.2%
31575	Greenwood city	Clark	468	464	-4	-0.9%	93.2%	89.2%	-4.0%
31600	Greenwood town	Taylor	234	274	40	17.1%	79.3%	72.1%	-7.2%
31625	Greenwood town	Vernon	201	228	27	13.4%	79.8%	80.0%	0.2%
31675	Gresham village	Shawano	233	239	6	2.6%	90.7%	85.4%	-5.3%
31725	Grover town	Marinette	633	687	54	8.5%	93.6%	90.0%	-3.6%
31750	Grover town	Taylor	93	112	19	20.4%	68.9%	38.2%	-30.7%
31775	Grow town	Rusk	160	161	1	0.6%	91.4%	85.6%	-5.8%
31800	Guenther town	Marathon	114	133	19	16.7%	87.7%	87.5%	-0.2%
31825	Gull Lake town	Washburn	65	85	20	30.8%	44.8%	38.1%	-6.7%
31875	Gurney town	Iron	60	63	3	5.0%	71.4%	56.3%	-15.2%
31925	Hackett town	Price	78	76	-2	-2.6%	70.9%	49.4%	-21.6%
32050	Hale town	Trempealeau	354	394	40	11.3%	93.2%	93.8%	0.7%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
32075	Hales Corners village	Milwaukee	3,260	3,301	41	1.3%	96.5%	94.2%	-2.4%
32125	Hallie town	Chippewa	1,690	60	-1,630	-96.4%	97.7%	95.2%	-2.5%
32150	Halsey town	Marathon	192	208	16	8.3%	92.3%	91.2%	-1.1%
32200	Hamburg town	Marathon	285	322	37	13.0%	94.1%	94.4%	0.4%
32225	Hamburg town	Vernon	311	350	39	12.5%	95.7%	94.3%	-1.4%
32275	Hamilton town	La Crosse	697	842	145	20.8%	95.2%	95.5%	0.2%
32300	Hammel town	Taylor	265	304	39	14.7%	76.6%	70.4%	-6.2%
32325	Hammond village	St. Croix	433	715	282	65.1%	98.9%	92.5%	-6.4%
32350	Hammond town	St. Croix	314	698	384	122.3%	98.7%	95.6%	-3.1%
32375	Hampden town	Columbia	214	225	11	5.1%	97.7%	95.7%	-2.0%
32450	Hancock village	Waushara	193	186	-7	-3.6%	76.0%	74.1%	-1.9%
32475	Hancock town	Waushara	211	231	20	9.5%	54.9%	54.7%	-0.2%
32500	Haney town	Crawford	129	127	-2	-1.6%	75.0%	73.0%	-2.0%
32575	Hansen town	Wood	255	262	7	2.7%	93.8%	88.8%	-4.9%
32650	Harding town	Lincoln	129	140	11	8.5%	57.6%	50.9%	-6.7%
32675	Harmony town	Price	96	104	8	8.3%	68.6%	55.9%	-12.7%
32700	Harmony town	Rock	787	906	119	15.1%	98.1%	96.9%	-1.2%
32725	Harmony town	Vernon	247	266	19	7.7%	88.5%	86.4%	-2.2%
32775	Harris town	Marquette	294	331	37	12.6%	81.9%	75.7%	-6.2%
32800	Harrison town	Calumet	1,998	3,644	1,646	82.4%	93.4%	95.9%	2.5%
32825	Harrison town	Grant	176	190	14	8.0%	94.6%	95.0%	0.4%
32875	Harrison town	Lincoln	314	356	42	13.4%	46.2%	38.2%	-8.1%
32900	Harrison town	Marathon	143	150	7	4.9%	92.3%	84.3%	-8.0%
32925	Harrison town	Waupaca	206	204	-2	-1.0%	68.0%	58.8%	-9.2%
33000	Hartford city	Dodge, Washington	4,279	5,685	1,406	32.9%	96.5%	94.2%	-2.3%
33025	Hartford town	Washington	1,397	1,383	-14	-1.0%	97.1%	95.4%	-1.8%
33050	Hartland town	Pierce	295	333	38	12.9%	96.1%	92.0%	-4.1%
33075	Hartland town	Shawano	280	298	18	6.4%	98.6%	93.4%	-5.2%
33100	Hartland village	Waukesha	3,002	3,566	564	18.8%	95.6%	95.2%	-0.4%
33175	Hatley village	Marathon	185	223	38	20.5%	95.9%	95.7%	-0.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
33225	Haugen village	Barron	120	128	8	6.7%	91.6%	89.5%	-2.1%
33275	Hawkins village	Rusk	138	159	21	15.2%	93.9%	87.4%	-6.5%
33300	Hawkins town	Rusk	66	66	0	0.0%	68.0%	44.6%	-23.4%
33350	Hawthorne town	Douglas	338	393	55	16.3%	84.7%	85.6%	0.9%
33400	Hay River town	Dunn	194	222	28	14.4%	95.1%	89.5%	-5.6%
33450	Hayward city	Sawyer	960	1,048	88	9.2%	90.2%	85.4%	-4.8%
33475	Hayward town	Sawyer	1,219	1,358	139	11.4%	66.2%	62.6%	-3.6%
33500	Hazel Green village	Grant, Lafayette	472	531	59	12.5%	95.0%	95.3%	0.4%
33525	Hazel Green town	Grant	301	341	40	13.3%	95.6%	97.4%	1.9%
33575	Hazelhurst town	Oneida	528	537	9	1.7%	47.4%	43.1%	-4.3%
33700	Hebron town	Jefferson	391	415	24	6.1%	95.4%	94.3%	-1.0%
33850	Helvetia town	Waupaca	271	285	14	5.2%	74.9%	63.3%	-11.5%
33900	Hendren town	Clark	197	202	5	2.5%	79.8%	75.1%	-4.7%
33925	Henrietta town	Richland	196	203	7	3.6%	71.0%	65.7%	-5.3%
34000	Herman town	Dodge	394	405	11	2.8%	98.3%	95.7%	-2.5%
34025	Herman town	Shawano	269	296	27	10.0%	89.7%	82.2%	-7.4%
34050	Herman town	Sheboygan	574	611	37	6.4%	97.0%	94.6%	-2.4%
34200	Hewett town	Clark	125	126	1	0.8%	62.5%	54.5%	-8.0%
34225	Hewitt town	Marathon	195	239	44	22.6%	93.8%	90.2%	-3.6%
34250	Hewitt village	Wood	233	308	75	32.2%	98.3%	98.4%	0.1%
34300	Hickory Grove town	Grant	146	150	4	2.7%	89.0%	85.7%	-3.3%
34425	Highland town	Douglas	107	139	32	29.9%	63.3%	43.4%	-19.9%
34450	Highland village	Iowa	348	351	3	0.9%	94.3%	90.9%	-3.4%
34475	Highland town	Iowa	278	287	9	3.2%	83.7%	83.9%	0.2%
34575	Hilbert village	Calumet	430	462	32	7.4%	93.9%	95.9%	2.0%
34650	Hiles town	Forest	199	157	-42	-21.1%	26.1%	20.3%	-5.9%
34675	Hiles town	Wood	63	62	-1	-1.6%	71.6%	66.0%	-5.6%
34725	Hill town	Price	138	142	4	2.9%	70.1%	48.8%	-21.3%
34825	Hillsboro city	Vernon	565	587	22	3.9%	93.7%	88.7%	-5.0%
34850	Hillsboro town	Vernon	285	290	5	1.8%	87.4%	79.9%	-7.5%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
35050	Hixon town	Clark	232	254	22	9.5%	92.1%	90.4%	-1.7%
35075	Hixton village	Jackson	203	200	-3	-1.5%	93.5%	91.3%	-2.2%
35100	Hixton town	Jackson	214	261	47	22.0%	85.3%	85.3%	0.0%
35125	Hoard town	Clark	179	199	20	11.2%	92.7%	86.5%	-6.2%
35150	Hobart village	Brown	1,717	2,180	463	27.0%	97.7%	95.8%	-1.8%
35325	Holland town	Brown	433	528	95	21.9%	97.5%	98.1%	0.6%
35350	Holland town	La Crosse	1,014	1,302	288	28.4%	96.2%	96.7%	0.5%
35375	Holland town	Sheboygan	828	856	28	3.4%	81.3%	76.6%	-4.6%
35400	Hollandale village	Iowa	121	116	-5	-4.1%	94.5%	87.9%	-6.7%
35450	Holmen village	La Crosse	2,258	3,400	1,142	50.6%	95.0%	96.6%	1.6%
35500	Holton town	Marathon	297	308	11	3.7%	95.2%	94.5%	-0.7%
35525	Holway town	Taylor	263	310	47	17.9%	92.6%	91.4%	-1.2%
35600	Homestead town	Florence	158	150	-8	-5.1%	45.0%	37.4%	-7.6%
35625	Honey Creek town	Sauk	264	287	23	8.7%	91.7%	92.0%	0.3%
35750	Horicon city	Dodge	1,474	1,497	23	1.6%	93.1%	92.4%	-0.6%
35825	Hortonia town	Outagamie	362	391	29	8.0%	96.5%	95.4%	-1.2%
35850	Hortonville village	Outagamie	871	1,045	174	20.0%	96.3%	94.6%	-1.8%
35925	How town	Oconto	207	215	8	3.9%	90.4%	83.7%	-6.7%
35950	Howard village	Brown, Outagamie	5,236	6,941	1,705	32.6%	97.9%	96.1%	-1.8%
36000	Howard town	Chippewa	235	292	57	24.3%	99.2%	94.8%	-4.4%
36025	Howards Grove village	Sheboygan	1,007	1,245	238	23.6%	98.5%	97.6%	-1.0%
36100	Hubbard town	Dodge	620	690	70	11.3%	83.2%	79.0%	-4.2%
36125	Hubbard town	Rusk	69	90	21	30.4%	83.1%	52.3%	-30.8%
36250	Hudson city	St. Croix	3,687	5,287	1,600	43.4%	96.2%	93.7%	-2.5%
36275	Hudson town	St. Croix	1,925	2,703	778	40.4%	98.1%	97.7%	-0.4%
36300	Hughes town	Bayfield	166	169	3	1.8%	48.4%	45.3%	-3.1%
36325	Hull town	Marathon	256	262	6	2.3%	98.5%	98.5%	0.0%
36350	Hull town	Portage	1,988	2,069	81	4.1%	96.2%	96.2%	0.0%
36425	Humboldt town	Brown	453	492	39	8.6%	98.5%	96.5%	-2.0%
36450	Hunter town	Sawyer	334	308	-26	-7.8%	37.7%	26.6%	-11.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
36525	Hurley city	Iron	830	771	-59	-7.1%	81.0%	74.7%	-6.3%
36625	Hustisford village	Dodge	472	477	5	1.1%	94.8%	89.7%	-5.1%
36650	Hustisford town	Dodge	492	535	43	8.7%	90.1%	89.5%	-0.6%
36675	Hustler village	Juneau	48	84	36	75.0%	92.3%	94.4%	2.1%
36700	Hutchins town	Shawano	195	226	31	15.9%	89.0%	75.8%	-13.2%
36800	Independence city	Trempealeau	581	606	25	4.3%	93.9%	89.6%	-4.2%
36925	Ingram village	Rusk	32	32	0	0.0%	84.2%	74.4%	-9.8%
37025	Iola village	Waupaca	567	590	23	4.1%	91.7%	87.1%	-4.6%
37050	Iola town	Waupaca	328	399	71	21.6%	72.1%	73.6%	1.5%
37150	Iron Ridge village	Dodge	360	390	30	8.3%	94.0%	95.4%	1.4%
37200	Iron River town	Bayfield	485	526	41	8.5%	49.8%	52.0%	2.2%
37225	Ironton village	Sauk	89	92	3	3.4%	89.9%	88.5%	-1.4%
37250	Ironton town	Sauk	209	219	10	4.8%	94.6%	89.4%	-5.2%
37275	Irving town	Jackson	216	268	52	24.1%	91.1%	86.7%	-4.4%
37350	Isabelle town	Pierce	104	113	9	8.7%	95.4%	91.1%	-4.3%
37500	Ithaca town	Richland	249	247	-2	-0.8%	90.5%	84.3%	-6.2%
37600	Ixonia town	Jefferson	1,047	1,637	590	56.4%	96.8%	95.2%	-1.5%
37625	Jackson town	Adams	397	465	68	17.1%	41.7%	42.3%	0.5%
37650	Jackson town	Burnett	347	378	31	8.9%	33.7%	29.6%	-4.1%
37675	Jackson village	Washington	1,949	2,870	921	47.3%	96.9%	93.8%	-3.2%
37700	Jackson town	Washington	1,201	1,478	277	23.1%	97.6%	97.0%	-0.6%
37750	Jacksonport town	Door	304	314	10	3.3%	47.6%	37.5%	-10.2%
37775	Jacobs town	Ashland	356	330	-26	-7.3%	70.2%	60.4%	-9.8%
37800	Jamestown town	Grant	753	835	82	10.9%	97.4%	97.3%	-0.1%
37825	Janesville city	Rock	23,894	25,828	1,934	8.1%	95.3%	92.3%	-3.0%
37850	Janesville town	Rock	1,137	1,325	188	16.5%	94.3%	92.5%	-1.8%
37875	Jefferson town	Green	422	454	32	7.6%	96.6%	95.6%	-1.0%
37900	Jefferson city	Jefferson	2,816	3,132	316	11.2%	96.0%	92.7%	-3.3%
37925	Jefferson town	Jefferson	759	838	79	10.4%	95.7%	93.6%	-2.1%
37950	Jefferson town	Monroe	227	239	12	5.3%	96.2%	93.0%	-3.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
37975	Jefferson town	Vernon	367	430	63	17.2%	90.2%	88.8%	-1.3%
38300	Johnson town	Marathon	299	310	11	3.7%	95.5%	93.9%	-1.6%
38350	Johnson Creek village	Jefferson	624	1,049	425	68.1%	94.7%	93.8%	-0.9%
38400	Johnstown town	Polk	203	208	5	2.5%	48.6%	45.6%	-3.0%
38450	Johnstown town	Rock	289	300	11	3.8%	94.4%	94.9%	0.5%
38550	Jordan town	Green	200	232	32	16.0%	91.3%	91.3%	0.0%
38627	Jump River town	Taylor	118	155	37	31.4%	70.7%	67.4%	-3.3%
38650	Junction City village	Portage	169	169	0	0.0%	87.6%	80.1%	-7.5%
38675	Juneau city	Dodge	818	852	34	4.2%	94.8%	92.2%	-2.6%
38800	Kaukauna city	Outagamie	4,971	6,270	1,299	26.1%	96.7%	95.1%	-1.6%
38825	Kaukauna town	Outagamie	370	435	65	17.6%	97.9%	96.7%	-1.2%
38900	Kekoskee village	Dodge	66	69	3	4.5%	100.0%	94.5%	-5.5%
39000	Kellnersville village	Manitowoc	157	160	3	1.9%	93.5%	93.0%	-0.4%
39025	Kelly town	Bayfield	140	177	37	26.4%	83.3%	80.1%	-3.2%
39125	Kendall town	Lafayette	110	134	24	21.8%	93.2%	94.4%	1.1%
39150	Kendall village	Monroe	204	198	-6	-2.9%	94.0%	85.0%	-9.0%
39175	Kennan village	Price	67	61	-6	-9.0%	89.3%	75.3%	-14.0%
39200	Kennan town	Price	129	129	0	0.0%	70.9%	50.6%	-20.3%
39225	Kenosha city	Kenosha	34,411	37,376	2,965	8.6%	95.6%	92.0%	-3.6%
39300	Kewaskum village	Fond Du Lac, Washington	1,213	1,581	368	30.3%	95.9%	93.1%	-2.8%
39325	Kewaskum town	Washington	394	401	7	1.8%	97.5%	94.6%	-2.9%
39350	Kewaunee city	Kewaunee	1,149	1,278	129	11.2%	92.9%	87.4%	-5.5%
39425	Keystone town	Bayfield	146	159	13	8.9%	78.5%	78.7%	0.2%
39475	Kickapoo town	Vernon	194	224	30	15.5%	77.3%	70.2%	-7.1%
39525	Kiel city	Calumet, Manitowoc	1,425	1,565	140	9.8%	95.1%	92.2%	-2.9%
39575	Kildare town	Juneau	216	262	46	21.3%	67.7%	60.4%	-7.3%
39625	Kimball town	Iron	216	210	-6	-2.8%	79.1%	75.3%	-3.9%
39650	Kimberly village	Outagamie	2,507	2,739	232	9.3%	96.7%	95.4%	-1.3%
39675	King town	Lincoln	362	373	11	3.0%	58.1%	42.7%	-15.4%
39750	Kingston village	Green Lake	123	139	16	13.0%	92.5%	93.9%	1.4%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
39775	Kingston town	Green Lake	287	312	25	8.7%	83.4%	86.0%	2.5%
39800	Kingston town	Juneau	22	43	21	95.5%	68.8%	74.1%	5.4%
39825	Kinnickinnic town	St. Croix	483	609	126	26.1%	98.2%	96.1%	-2.1%
39975	Knapp village	Dunn	186	214	28	15.1%	93.9%	93.0%	-0.9%
40000	Knapp town	Jackson	113	129	16	14.2%	86.3%	66.5%	-19.8%
40075	Knight town	Iron	122	101	-21	-17.2%	51.9%	42.1%	-9.8%
40150	Knowlton town	Marathon	657	760	103	15.7%	86.8%	85.5%	-1.3%
40175	Knox town	Price	173	156	-17	-9.8%	72.1%	53.1%	-19.0%
40275	Kohler village	Sheboygan	737	784	47	6.4%	93.1%	90.0%	-3.0%
40325	Komensky town	Jackson	108	125	17	15.7%	87.1%	75.8%	-11.3%
40375	Koshkonong town	Jefferson	1,249	1,415	166	13.3%	87.9%	87.1%	-0.8%
40425	Kossuth town	Manitowoc	752	827	75	10.0%	94.6%	92.0%	-2.6%
40550	Kronenwetter village	Marathon	1,884	2,652	768	40.8%	96.5%	94.4%	-2.1%
40687	Lac du Flambeau town	Vilas	1,093	1,269	176	16.1%	36.7%	37.2%	0.6%
40750	Lac La Belle village	Jefferson, Waukesha	117	115	-2	-1.7%	92.1%	85.2%	-6.9%
40775	La Crosse city	La Crosse	21,110	21,428	318	1.5%	94.9%	94.7%	-0.3%
40850	Ladysmith city	Rusk	1,570	1,527	-43	-2.7%	94.6%	91.6%	-3.0%
40875	La Farge village	Vernon	342	332	-10	-2.9%	93.4%	88.5%	-4.9%
40900	Lafayette town	Chippewa	1,980	2,273	293	14.8%	93.8%	90.4%	-3.3%
40925	Lafayette town	Monroe	106	103	-3	-2.8%	84.1%	78.0%	-6.1%
40950	Lafayette town	Walworth	595	735	140	23.5%	96.1%	93.0%	-3.1%
40975	La Follette town	Burnett	220	241	21	9.5%	44.9%	44.0%	-0.9%
41000	La Grange town	Monroe	641	741	100	15.6%	96.2%	94.8%	-1.5%
41050	La Grange town	Walworth	923	993	70	7.6%	53.6%	56.3%	2.8%
41075	Lake town	Marinette	418	483	65	15.6%	79.8%	55.2%	-24.6%
41150	Lake town	Price	531	507	-24	-4.5%	63.0%	51.7%	-11.3%
41300	Lake Delton village	Sauk	897	1,269	372	41.5%	65.3%	54.2%	-11.2%
41450	Lake Geneva city	Walworth	3,053	3,323	270	8.8%	81.3%	78.7%	-2.6%
41525	Lake Hallie village	Chippewa		2,447				95.8%	
41550	Lake Holcombe town	Chippewa	413	464	51	12.3%	75.4%	65.0%	-10.4%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
41600	Lakeland town	Barron	378	404	26	6.9%	62.0%	60.6%	-1.4%
41675	Lake Mills city	Jefferson	1,924	2,319	395	20.5%	93.2%	83.5%	-9.6%
41700	Lake Mills town	Jefferson	720	806	86	11.9%	88.7%	87.3%	-1.3%
41725	Lake Nebagamom village	Douglas	428	446	18	4.2%	57.4%	57.5%	0.2%
41800	Lakeside town	Douglas	226	259	33	14.6%	85.6%	82.0%	-3.6%
41887	Lake Tomahawk town	Oneida	475	443	-32	-6.7%	45.2%	38.9%	-6.3%
41900	Laketown town	Polk	350	397	47	13.4%	78.7%	73.8%	-4.9%
42075	Lakewood town	Oconto	399	398	-1	-0.3%	33.7%	27.8%	-6.0%
42125	Lamartine town	Fond Du Lac	581	643	62	10.7%	97.0%	96.4%	-0.6%
42175	Lamont town	Lafayette	94	110	16	17.0%	92.2%	88.0%	-4.2%
42225	Lanark town	Portage	546	590	44	8.1%	82.9%	81.0%	-1.8%
42250	Lancaster city	Grant	1,706	1,659	-47	-2.8%	94.8%	91.9%	-2.9%
42325	Land O'Lakes town	Vilas	412	433	21	5.1%	30.8%	30.4%	-0.5%
42425	Langlade town	Langlade	208	223	15	7.2%	55.5%	47.1%	-8.3%
42450	Lannon village	Waukesha	425	479	54	12.7%	97.9%	92.6%	-5.3%
42500	Laona town	Forest	564	525	-39	-6.9%	66.4%	62.0%	-4.4%
42562	La Pointe town	Ashland	125	138	13	10.4%	18.1%	15.9%	-2.1%
42575	La Prairie town	Rock	342	331	-11	-3.2%	97.2%	93.5%	-3.7%
42650	Larrabee town	Waupaca	473	526	53	11.2%	96.1%	89.8%	-6.4%
42825	La Valle village	Sauk	132	165	33	25.0%	95.0%	95.9%	1.0%
42850	La Valle town	Sauk	450	543	93	20.7%	49.2%	45.9%	-3.3%
42900	Lawrence town	Brown	531	1,665	1,134	213.6%	97.3%	94.3%	-3.0%
42950	Lawrence town	Rusk	90	119	29	32.2%	81.1%	64.3%	-16.8%
43050	Lebanon town	Dodge	610	653	43	7.0%	96.7%	95.2%	-1.5%
43075	Lebanon town	Waupaca	573	622	49	8.6%	97.6%	93.5%	-4.1%
43090	Ledgeview town	Brown	1,180	2,442	1,262	106.9%	97.2%	94.7%	-2.5%
43125	Leeds town	Columbia	309	328	19	6.1%	97.5%	96.2%	-1.3%
43300	Lemonweir town	Juneau	679	714	35	5.2%	89.3%	83.7%	-5.6%
43325	Lena village	Oconto	222	251	29	13.1%	97.8%	91.3%	-6.5%
43350	Lena town	Oconto	286	286	0	0.0%	95.3%	89.7%	-5.7%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
43400	Lenroot town	Sawyer	479	547	68	14.2%	48.6%	51.4%	2.8%
43425	Leola town	Adams	107	127	20	18.7%	60.5%	57.0%	-3.5%
43475	Leon town	Monroe	301	403	102	33.9%	94.1%	94.2%	0.1%
43500	Leon town	Waushara	539	623	84	15.6%	63.3%	65.1%	1.8%
43650	Leroy town	Dodge	389	387	-2	-0.5%	98.2%	95.6%	-2.7%
43675	Lessor town	Shawano	378	442	64	16.9%	94.3%	93.6%	-0.6%
43700	Levis town	Clark	191	196	5	2.6%	82.0%	64.5%	-17.5%
43775	Lewiston town	Columbia	473	505	32	6.8%	82.5%	83.2%	0.6%
43825	Liberty town	Grant	189	198	9	4.8%	92.6%	91.2%	-1.4%
43850	Liberty town	Manitowoc	456	492	36	7.9%	91.6%	87.1%	-4.5%
43875	Liberty town	Outagamie	267	305	38	14.2%	94.3%	93.3%	-1.1%
43900	Liberty town	Vernon	73	101	28	38.4%	59.3%	56.1%	-3.2%
43925	Liberty Grove town	Door	824	829	5	0.6%	41.2%	35.4%	-5.8%
44050	Lima town	Grant	237	278	41	17.3%	94.4%	94.2%	-0.2%
44075	Lima town	Pepin	221	252	31	14.0%	97.4%	94.7%	-2.6%
44125	Lima town	Rock	472	473	1	0.2%	95.5%	95.0%	-0.6%
44150	Lima town	Sheboygan	1,008	1,089	81	8.0%	98.0%	94.4%	-3.5%
44225	Lime Ridge village	Sauk	69	70	1	1.4%	89.6%	89.7%	0.1%
44250	Lincoln town	Adams	129	129	0	0.0%	61.4%	59.2%	-2.3%
44275	Lincoln town	Bayfield	118	129	11	9.3%	61.8%	57.1%	-4.7%
44300	Lincoln town	Buffalo	79	69	-10	-12.7%	74.5%	56.1%	-18.4%
44325	Lincoln town	Burnett	127	149	22	17.3%	69.8%	64.8%	-5.0%
44350	Lincoln town	Eau Claire	364	385	21	5.8%	95.8%	94.1%	-1.7%
44375	Lincoln town	Forest	404	399	-5	-1.2%	40.5%	36.0%	-4.4%
44425	Lincoln town	Kewaunee	334	350	16	4.8%	96.5%	91.9%	-4.7%
44450	Lincoln town	Monroe	318	332	14	4.4%	87.1%	85.8%	-1.3%
44475	Lincoln town	Polk	864	884	20	2.3%	77.2%	67.9%	-9.3%
44500	Lincoln town	Trempealeau	257	254	-3	-1.2%	94.8%	91.0%	-3.8%
44525	Lincoln town	Vilas	1,111	1,102	-9	-0.8%	59.3%	52.6%	-6.7%
44550	Lincoln town	Wood	539	588	49	9.1%	97.8%	96.6%	-1.3%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
44575	Lind town	Waupaca	522	619	97	18.6%	78.0%	78.2%	0.1%
44625	Linden village	Iowa	223	214	-9	-4.0%	95.3%	91.8%	-3.5%
44650	Linden town	Iowa	295	308	13	4.4%	94.9%	92.8%	-2.1%
44675	Lindina town	Juneau	263	286	23	8.7%	91.6%	89.4%	-2.3%
44750	Linn town	Walworth	910	997	87	9.6%	47.9%	46.2%	-1.6%
44800	Linwood town	Portage	388	430	42	10.8%	94.4%	93.1%	-1.3%
44825	Lisbon town	Juneau	388	375	-13	-3.4%	88.6%	86.2%	-2.4%
44850	Lisbon town	Waukesha	3,218	3,714	496	15.4%	98.4%	97.4%	-1.0%
44900	Little Black town	Taylor	403	450	47	11.7%	97.3%	92.4%	-4.9%
44950	Little Chute village	Outagamie	3,878	4,207	329	8.5%	98.0%	96.1%	-1.9%
44975	Little Falls town	Monroe	506	567	61	12.1%	87.2%	87.5%	0.3%
45025	Little Grant town	Grant	93	102	9	9.7%	93.9%	88.7%	-5.2%
45150	Little Rice town	Oneida	138	154	16	11.6%	31.7%	33.6%	1.8%
45175	Little River town	Oconto	407	424	17	4.2%	89.1%	81.5%	-7.5%
45275	Little Suamico town	Oconto	1,358	1,772	414	30.5%	96.8%	93.5%	-3.3%
45300	Little Wolf town	Waupaca	503	557	54	10.7%	94.0%	90.7%	-3.3%
45325	Livingston village	Grant, Iowa	252	263	11	4.4%	96.2%	94.9%	-1.2%
45350	Lodi city	Columbia	1,141	1,224	83	7.3%	95.2%	96.2%	1.1%
45375	Lodi town	Columbia	1,078	1,261	183	17.0%	83.9%	80.8%	-3.1%
45400	Loganville village	Sauk	119	126	7	5.9%	91.5%	90.6%	-0.9%
45425	Lohrville village	Waushara	168	171	3	1.8%	87.5%	86.4%	-1.1%
45475	Lomira village	Dodge	846	1,002	156	18.4%	94.1%	91.4%	-2.7%
45500	Lomira town	Dodge	423	429	6	1.4%	98.4%	96.0%	-2.4%
45575	Lone Rock village	Richland	393	370	-23	-5.9%	92.5%	88.7%	-3.7%
45625	Long Lake town	Florence	91	76	-15	-16.5%	30.7%	22.2%	-8.6%
45650	Long Lake town	Washburn	284	278	-6	-2.1%	48.1%	40.8%	-7.4%
45700	Longwood town	Clark	226	252	26	11.5%	93.8%	91.0%	-2.8%
45775	Lorain town	Polk	117	118	1	0.9%	67.6%	64.5%	-3.1%
45950	Lowell village	Dodge	142	136	-6	-4.2%	92.8%	83.4%	-9.4%
45975	Lowell town	Dodge	433	460	27	6.2%	95.0%	94.5%	-0.5%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
46050	Lowville town	Columbia	368	407	39	10.6%	93.4%	94.0%	0.6%
46075	Loyal city	Clark	548	538	-10	-1.8%	95.1%	89.2%	-5.9%
46100	Loyal town	Clark	215	239	24	11.2%	95.6%	93.4%	-2.2%
46150	Lublin village	Taylor	59	57	-2	-3.4%	81.9%	71.3%	-10.7%
46175	Lucas town	Dunn	240	292	52	21.7%	93.8%	92.4%	-1.3%
46200	Luck village	Polk	500	475	-25	-5.0%	87.4%	83.8%	-3.6%
46225	Luck town	Polk	339	384	45	13.3%	84.8%	80.0%	-4.8%
46275	Ludington town	Eau Claire	360	405	45	12.5%	88.5%	87.1%	-1.4%
46400	Luxemburg village	Kewaunee	719	973	254	35.3%	95.4%	96.5%	1.2%
46425	Luxemburg town	Kewaunee	445	517	72	16.2%	96.9%	96.1%	-0.9%
46525	Lyndon town	Juneau	440	541	101	23.0%	82.4%	73.8%	-8.6%
46575	Lyndon town	Sheboygan	545	589	44	8.1%	86.6%	85.1%	-1.5%
46600	Lyndon Station village	Juneau	213	220	7	3.3%	90.6%	89.1%	-1.6%
46625	Lynn town	Clark	251	263	12	4.8%	86.0%	84.6%	-1.4%
46650	Lynne town	Oneida	92	69	-23	-25.0%	30.9%	23.1%	-7.8%
46675	Lynxville village	Crawford	76	63	-13	-17.1%	68.5%	62.4%	-6.1%
46725	Lyons town	Walworth	1,231	1,410	179	14.5%	94.2%	92.0%	-2.2%
46850	McFarland village	Dane	2,434	3,079	645	26.5%	97.7%	96.2%	-1.5%
46875	Mackford town	Green Lake	210	208	-2	-1.0%	97.7%	91.6%	-6.0%
46900	McKinley town	Polk	130	149	19	14.6%	58.3%	57.8%	-0.5%
46925	McKinley town	Taylor	139	152	13	9.4%	74.7%	64.7%	-10.1%
46975	McMillan town	Marathon	611	709	98	16.0%	96.8%	96.2%	-0.6%
47025	Madge town	Washburn	202	226	24	11.9%	49.3%	41.2%	-8.0%
48000	Madison city	Dane	89,019	102,516	13,497	15.2%	96.3%	94.2%	-2.2%
48025	Madison town	Dane	3,152	2,852	-300	-9.5%	90.9%	92.9%	2.0%
48150	Magnolia town	Rock	297	301	4	1.3%	96.4%	95.9%	-0.6%
48175	Maiden Rock village	Pierce	54	50	-4	-7.4%	68.4%	61.7%	-6.6%
48200	Maiden Rock town	Pierce	220	237	17	7.7%	85.9%	79.3%	-6.7%
48225	Maine town	Marathon	842	890	48	5.7%	96.0%	95.3%	-0.7%
48250	Maine town	Outagamie	304	342	38	12.5%	93.3%	89.1%	-4.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
48350	Manawa city	Waupaca	530	584	54	10.2%	93.0%	87.4%	-5.6%
48400	Manchester town	Green Lake	289	324	35	12.1%	92.6%	91.0%	-1.6%
48425	Manchester town	Jackson	265	298	33	12.5%	82.3%	68.3%	-13.9%
48462	Manitowish Waters town	Vilas	301	285	-16	-5.3%	27.3%	24.1%	-3.2%
48500	Manitowoc city	Manitowoc	14,235	14,623	388	2.7%	94.9%	91.7%	-3.2%
48525	Manitowoc town	Manitowoc	420	438	18	4.3%	95.0%	95.4%	0.4%
48575	Manitowoc Rapids town	Manitowoc	809	831	22	2.7%	98.4%	94.6%	-3.8%
48725	Maple town	Douglas	277	296	19	6.9%	91.4%	90.2%	-1.2%
48750	Maple Bluff village	Dane	541	547	6	1.1%	97.1%	92.4%	-4.7%
48775	Maple Creek town	Outagamie	234	238	4	1.7%	97.1%	92.2%	-4.8%
48825	Maple Grove town	Barron	323	354	31	9.6%	92.6%	95.7%	3.1%
48875	Maple Grove town	Manitowoc	287	293	6	2.1%	93.2%	91.8%	-1.3%
48900	Maple Grove town	Shawano	360	369	9	2.5%	96.8%	96.3%	-0.4%
48925	Maplehurst town	Taylor	133	134	1	0.8%	92.4%	82.2%	-10.2%
48950	Maple Plain town	Barron	323	316	-7	-2.2%	48.4%	43.5%	-4.9%
49000	Maple Valley town	Oconto	267	291	24	9.0%	82.7%	80.6%	-2.1%
49075	Marathon town	Marathon	365	396	31	8.5%	97.6%	95.4%	-2.2%
49100	Marathon City village	Marathon	632	638	6	0.9%	95.9%	93.8%	-2.1%
49150	Marcellon town	Columbia	362	393	31	8.6%	95.3%	92.3%	-3.0%
49200	Marengo town	Ashland	132	134	2	1.5%	69.1%	57.3%	-11.8%
49250	Maribel village	Manitowoc	104	141	37	35.6%	99.0%	97.9%	-1.1%
49275	Marietta town	Crawford	197	198	1	0.5%	79.4%	74.7%	-4.7%
49300	Marinette city	Marinette	5,095	4,934	-161	-3.2%	91.8%	90.3%	-1.5%
49350	Marion town	Grant	180	218	38	21.1%	88.2%	86.5%	-1.7%
49375	Marion town	Juneau	184	200	16	8.7%	77.0%	66.4%	-10.5%
49400	Marion city	Shawano, Waupaca	581	538	-43	-7.4%	93.1%	87.2%	-5.9%
49425	Marion town	Waushara	908	909	1	0.1%	55.7%	53.3%	-2.5%
49450	Markesan city	Green Lake	590	589	-1	-0.2%	94.1%	89.1%	-5.0%
49500	Marquette village	Green Lake	69	73	4	5.8%	49.3%	43.2%	-6.1%
49525	Marquette town	Green Lake	197	224	27	13.7%	53.0%	53.1%	0.1%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
49575	Marshall village	Dane	1,266	1,437	171	13.5%	96.5%	95.8%	-0.7%
49600	Marshall town	Richland	211	215	4	1.9%	79.6%	73.4%	-6.2%
49625	Marshall town	Rusk	210	219	9	4.3%	92.1%	93.2%	1.1%
49650	Marshfield town	Fond Du Lac	373	422	49	13.1%	85.4%	84.9%	-0.4%
49675	Marshfield city	Marathon, Wood	8,235	8,777	542	6.6%	95.6%	92.2%	-3.3%
49700	Marshfield town	Wood	295	285	-10	-3.4%	96.1%	96.0%	-0.1%
49775	Martell town	Pierce	382	445	63	16.5%	97.7%	93.7%	-4.0%
49900	Mason village	Bayfield	26	34	8	30.8%	74.3%	79.1%	4.8%
49925	Mason town	Bayfield	112	114	2	1.8%	74.2%	69.1%	-5.1%
49975	Matteson town	Waupaca	346	370	24	6.9%	88.7%	89.8%	1.1%
50000	Mattoon village	Shawano	177	171	-6	-3.4%	91.7%	88.1%	-3.6%
50025	Mauston city	Juneau	1,585	1,779	194	12.2%	91.7%	88.7%	-3.0%
50075	Maxville town	Buffalo	115	129	14	12.2%	93.5%	84.9%	-8.6%
50175	Mayville town	Clark	295	328	33	11.2%	98.0%	93.2%	-4.8%
50200	Mayville city	Dodge	1,988	2,171	183	9.2%	95.5%	93.5%	-2.0%
50225	Mazomanie village	Dane	594	689	95	16.0%	96.1%	93.9%	-2.2%
50250	Mazomanie town	Dane	437	437	0	0.0%	93.8%	91.4%	-2.4%
50275	Mead town	Clark	115	118	3	2.6%	48.1%	44.2%	-3.9%
50300	Meadowbrook town	Sawyer	60	58	-2	-3.3%	58.8%	48.7%	-10.1%
50350	Mecan town	Marquette	321	324	3	0.9%	43.7%	40.4%	-3.2%
50400	Medary town	La Crosse	530	557	27	5.1%	95.8%	94.7%	-1.1%
50425	Medford city	Taylor	1,947	1,982	35	1.8%	95.7%	93.2%	-2.5%
50450	Medford town	Taylor	821	979	158	19.2%	97.0%	93.7%	-3.4%
50475	Medina town	Dane	447	493	46	10.3%	98.9%	95.7%	-3.2%
50625	Meeme town	Manitowoc	531	551	20	3.8%	95.2%	92.1%	-3.0%
50650	Meenon town	Burnett	483	487	4	0.8%	57.8%	49.7%	-8.0%
50700	Mellen city	Ashland	378	337	-41	-10.8%	86.7%	78.7%	-8.0%
50750	Melrose village	Jackson	218	212	-6	-2.8%	95.2%	84.8%	-10.4%
50775	Melrose town	Jackson	153	157	4	2.6%	90.5%	79.3%	-11.2%
50800	Melvina village	Monroe	38	39	1	2.6%	92.7%	88.6%	-4.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results									
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
			Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
50825	Menasha city	Calumet, Winnebago	6,951	7,405	454	6.5%	95.6%	92.9%	-2.7%
50850	Menasha town	Winnebago	6,298	7,948	1,650	26.2%	96.6%	95.1%	-1.5%
50975	Menominee town	Menominee	1,345	1,318	-27	-2.0%	64.1%	58.5%	-5.6%
51000	Menomonee Falls village	Waukesha	12,844	14,567	1,723	13.4%	97.7%	96.2%	-1.5%
51025	Menomonie city	Dunn	5,119	5,743	624	12.2%	94.1%	92.1%	-2.0%
51050	Menomonie town	Dunn	1,129	1,261	132	11.7%	96.8%	93.7%	-3.1%
51125	Mentor town	Clark	223	226	3	1.3%	78.0%	67.7%	-10.3%
51150	Mequon city	Ozaukee	7,861	8,598	737	9.4%	96.3%	94.0%	-2.3%
51200	Mercer town	Iron	820	699	-121	-14.8%	42.6%	33.8%	-8.7%
51250	Merrill city	Lincoln	4,183	4,175	-8	-0.2%	95.1%	90.4%	-4.7%
51275	Merrill town	Lincoln	1,125	1,204	79	7.0%	93.0%	88.9%	-4.1%
51300	Merrillan village	Jackson	253	241	-12	-4.7%	87.5%	75.8%	-11.8%
51325	Merrimac village	Sauk	166	185	19	11.4%	76.1%	72.0%	-4.2%
51350	Merrimac town	Sauk	392	407	15	3.8%	59.9%	58.3%	-1.6%
51375	Merton village	Waukesha	591	1,020	429	72.6%	98.8%	96.8%	-2.1%
51400	Merton town	Waukesha	2,706	3,004	298	11.0%	92.3%	90.2%	-2.1%
51425	Meteor town	Sawyer	62	66	4	6.5%	48.4%	42.9%	-5.6%
51450	Metomen town	Fond Du Lac	239	257	18	7.5%	96.8%	92.8%	-4.0%
51537	Middle Inlet town	Marinette	366	376	10	2.7%	56.9%	41.8%	-15.1%
51575	Middleton city	Dane	7,095	8,037	942	13.3%	95.9%	93.8%	-2.1%
51600	Middleton town	Dane	1,572	1,996	424	27.0%	97.6%	97.1%	-0.6%
51725	Mifflin town	Iowa	201	211	10	5.0%	96.2%	90.6%	-5.6%
51850	Milford town	Jefferson	391	424	33	8.4%	95.1%	93.0%	-2.2%
51875	Milladore village	Portage, Wood	102	106	4	3.9%	94.4%	93.0%	-1.5%
51900	Milladore town	Wood	251	260	9	3.6%	98.4%	93.9%	-4.6%
52050	Millston town	Jackson	69	70	1	1.4%	70.4%	54.7%	-15.7%
52075	Milltown village	Polk	420	416	-4	-1.0%	96.1%	87.9%	-8.2%
52100	Milltown town	Polk	441	493	52	11.8%	54.4%	55.1%	0.7%
52150	Millville town	Grant	63	66	3	4.8%	84.0%	66.7%	-17.3%
52175	Milton town	Buffalo	206	217	11	5.3%	90.7%	85.8%	-5.0%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
52200	Milton city	Rock	2,034	2,231	197	9.7%	95.5%	93.7%	-1.9%
52225	Milton town	Rock	1,061	1,129	68	6.4%	83.1%	78.2%	-4.9%
53000	Milwaukee city	Milwaukee, Washington, Waukesha	232,188	230,221	-1,967	-0.8%	93.2%	90.1%	-3.1%
53100	Mineral Point city	Iowa	1,092	1,147	55	5.0%	91.6%	89.7%	-1.9%
53125	Mineral Point town	Iowa	303	364	61	20.1%	94.7%	91.2%	-3.5%
53225	Minocqua town	Oneida	2,189	2,079	-110	-5.0%	51.1%	43.0%	-8.1%
53250	Minong village	Washburn	230	238	8	3.5%	93.1%	85.9%	-7.2%
53275	Minong town	Washburn	390	409	19	4.9%	31.9%	26.6%	-5.3%
53325	Mishicot village	Manitowoc	582	623	41	7.0%	94.8%	94.0%	-0.8%
53350	Mishicot town	Manitowoc	474	487	13	2.7%	96.9%	93.7%	-3.3%
53375	Mitchell town	Sheboygan	419	464	45	10.7%	95.9%	94.9%	-1.0%
53450	Modena town	Buffalo	134	140	6	4.5%	86.5%	87.0%	0.5%
53550	Molitor town	Taylor	100	139	39	39.0%	70.4%	42.2%	-28.2%
53600	Mondovi city	Buffalo	1,122	1,194	72	6.4%	91.1%	91.6%	0.6%
53625	Mondovi town	Buffalo	153	178	25	16.3%	92.7%	89.0%	-3.7%
53662	Monico town	Oneida	128	127	-1	-0.8%	59.3%	55.0%	-4.3%
53675	Monona city	Dane	3,768	3,777	9	0.2%	96.1%	92.4%	-3.7%
53725	Monroe town	Adams	168	201	33	19.6%	41.8%	35.0%	-6.8%
53750	Monroe city	Green	4,710	4,810	100	2.1%	95.3%	94.3%	-1.0%
53775	Monroe town	Green	358	438	80	22.3%	97.0%	96.1%	-1.0%
53850	Montana town	Buffalo	109	100	-9	-8.3%	94.0%	82.0%	-12.0%
53875	Montello city	Marquette	591	628	37	6.3%	87.3%	76.3%	-11.0%
53900	Montello town	Marquette	443	453	10	2.3%	63.4%	56.9%	-6.5%
53950	Montfort village	Grant, Iowa	252	279	27	10.7%	92.3%	93.6%	1.3%
54000	Monticello village	Green	498	538	40	8.0%	96.3%	95.1%	-1.3%
54025	Monticello town	Lafayette	51	49	-2	-3.9%	98.1%	89.1%	-9.0%
54050	Montpelier town	Kewaunee	482	502	20	4.1%	98.0%	94.9%	-3.1%
54075	Montreal city	Iron	378	364	-14	-3.7%	77.6%	76.0%	-1.6%
54100	Montrose town	Dane	418	434	16	3.8%	95.7%	95.8%	0.2%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
54200	Morgan town	Oconto	321	391	70	21.8%	95.8%	92.4%	-3.4%
54250	Morris town	Shawano	181	190	9	5.0%	83.4%	74.5%	-8.9%
54300	Morrison town	Brown	564	592	28	5.0%	97.4%	93.1%	-4.3%
54400	Morse town	Ashland	194	204	10	5.2%	51.1%	50.9%	-0.2%
54425	Moscow town	Iowa	208	221	13	6.3%	94.1%	91.7%	-2.4%
54475	Mosel town	Sheboygan	310	308	-2	-0.6%	96.0%	93.9%	-2.1%
54500	Mosinee city	Marathon	1,635	1,660	25	1.5%	95.6%	92.7%	-2.9%
54525	Mosinee town	Marathon	760	814	54	7.1%	96.9%	94.2%	-2.7%
54600	Moundville town	Marquette	209	205	-4	-1.9%	85.3%	80.4%	-4.9%
54630	Mountain town	Oconto	380	386	6	1.6%	43.0%	30.3%	-12.7%
54650	Mount Calvary village	Fond Du Lac	199	201	2	1.0%	96.6%	93.5%	-3.1%
54675	Mount Hope village	Grant	80	92	12	15.0%	87.0%	93.9%	6.9%
54700	Mount Hope town	Grant	84	103	19	22.6%	91.3%	88.8%	-2.5%
54725	Mount Horeb village	Dane	2,228	2,696	468	21.0%	96.7%	95.4%	-1.3%
54775	Mount Ida town	Grant	187	204	17	9.1%	91.7%	89.5%	-2.2%
54825	Mount Morris town	Waushara	481	508	27	5.6%	48.4%	48.0%	-0.4%
54850	Mount Pleasant town	Green	188	237	49	26.1%	97.4%	96.7%	-0.7%
54875	Mount Pleasant village	Racine	9,453	11,136	1,683	17.8%	96.8%	94.2%	-2.6%
54900	Mount Sterling village	Crawford	89	88	-1	-1.1%	90.8%	89.8%	-1.0%
55025	Mukwa town	Waupaca	998	1,147	149	14.9%	91.7%	89.3%	-2.4%
55050	Mukwonago village	Walworth, Waukesha	2,392	2,923	531	22.2%	95.6%	94.2%	-1.4%
55075	Mukwonago town	Waukesha	2,184	2,689	505	23.1%	97.5%	96.3%	-1.1%
55175	Murry town	Rusk	116	115	-1	-0.9%	71.6%	56.4%	-15.2%
55200	Muscoda village	Grant, Iowa	610	569	-41	-6.7%	92.3%	88.5%	-3.8%
55225	Muscoda town	Grant	251	297	46	18.3%	80.2%	82.3%	2.1%
55275	Muskego city	Waukesha	7,533	9,068	1,535	20.4%	97.8%	96.2%	-1.7%
55375	Namakagon town	Bayfield	149	132	-17	-11.4%	28.0%	22.1%	-5.9%
55450	Naples town	Buffalo	221	257	36	16.3%	95.7%	92.4%	-3.2%
55500	Nasewaupee town	Door	778	893	115	14.8%	58.9%	54.6%	-4.4%
55550	Nashotah village	Waukesha	445	517	72	16.2%	97.8%	95.6%	-2.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
55575	Nashville town	Forest	485	448	-37	-7.6%	38.4%	31.9%	-6.5%
55675	Navarino town	Shawano	177	194	17	9.6%	90.8%	89.4%	-1.4%
55700	Necedah village	Juneau	359	376	17	4.7%	86.7%	80.2%	-6.5%
55725	Necedah town	Juneau	776	887	111	14.3%	65.2%	58.2%	-7.0%
55750	Neenah city	Winnebago	9,834	10,694	860	8.7%	96.4%	94.5%	-1.9%
55775	Neenah town	Winnebago	976	1,228	252	25.8%	96.6%	96.2%	-0.4%
55800	Neillsville city	Clark	1,130	1,095	-35	-3.1%	94.2%	89.0%	-5.1%
55850	Nekimi town	Winnebago	526	564	38	7.2%	97.4%	96.2%	-1.2%
55875	Nekoosa city	Wood	987	1,065	78	7.9%	92.9%	93.8%	1.0%
55950	Nelson village	Buffalo	181	172	-9	-5.0%	90.0%	84.3%	-5.7%
55975	Nelson town	Buffalo	221	242	21	9.5%	83.4%	83.2%	-0.2%
56000	Nelsonville village	Portage	72	61	-11	-15.3%	97.3%	91.0%	-6.3%
56075	Neosho village	Dodge	213	241	28	13.1%	95.5%	94.5%	-1.0%
56100	Nepeuskun town	Winnebago	254	277	23	9.1%	92.4%	85.2%	-7.1%
56125	Neshkoro village	Marquette	185	205	20	10.8%	84.5%	89.1%	4.7%
56150	Neshkoro town	Marquette	258	255	-3	-1.2%	53.1%	50.0%	-3.1%
56200	Neva town	Langlade	360	369	9	2.5%	93.5%	82.4%	-11.1%
56325	Newark town	Rock	566	593	27	4.8%	97.9%	96.0%	-2.0%
56350	New Auburn village	Barron, Chippewa	216	217	1	0.5%	97.3%	92.3%	-5.0%
56375	New Berlin city	Waukesha	14,495	16,292	1,797	12.4%	97.1%	96.8%	-0.3%
56425	Newbold town	Oneida	1,114	1,176	62	5.6%	53.7%	50.5%	-3.2%
56450	Newburg village	Ozaukee, Washington	398	480	82	20.6%	97.5%	94.9%	-2.7%
56525	New Chester town	Adams	371	428	57	15.4%	58.2%	59.0%	0.8%
56575	New Denmark town	Brown	515	561	46	8.9%	97.5%	96.9%	-0.6%
56625	New Diggings town	Lafayette	176	194	18	10.2%	90.7%	86.2%	-4.5%
56700	New Glarus village	Green	862	895	33	3.8%	96.5%	94.4%	-2.1%
56725	New Glarus town	Green	329	478	149	45.3%	97.6%	95.6%	-2.0%
56750	New Haven town	Adams	260	264	4	1.5%	76.9%	69.7%	-7.3%
56775	New Haven town	Dunn	243	266	23	9.5%	94.9%	91.7%	-3.2%
56800	New Holstein city	Calumet	1,329	1,394	65	4.9%	95.3%	91.7%	-3.6%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
56825	New Holstein town	Calumet	539	581	42	7.8%	96.6%	94.6%	-2.0%
56850	New Hope town	Portage	278	297	19	6.8%	76.2%	83.7%	7.5%
56900	New Lisbon city	Juneau	617	631	14	2.3%	89.4%	87.6%	-1.8%
56925	New London city	Outagamie, Waupaca	2,894	3,038	144	5.0%	95.0%	91.8%	-3.3%
56950	New Lyme town	Monroe	57	65	8	14.0%	71.3%	69.1%	-2.1%
57025	Newport town	Columbia	278	254	-24	-8.6%	83.2%	77.4%	-5.8%
57100	New Richmond city	St. Croix	2,561	3,421	860	33.6%	96.4%	92.9%	-3.5%
57200	Newton town	Manitowoc	795	884	89	11.2%	93.5%	92.8%	-0.8%
57225	Newton town	Marquette	215	238	23	10.7%	62.9%	62.6%	-0.2%
57325	Niagara city	Marinette	760	695	-65	-8.6%	93.6%	87.2%	-6.4%
57350	Niagara town	Marinette	348	370	22	6.3%	76.8%	67.4%	-9.4%
57375	Nichols village	Outagamie	122	112	-10	-8.2%	93.8%	94.1%	0.3%
57450	Nokomis town	Oneida	556	590	34	6.1%	54.9%	51.5%	-3.4%
57600	Norrie town	Marathon	342	368	26	7.6%	88.8%	85.4%	-3.4%
57700	North Bay village	Racine	91	91	0	0.0%	95.8%	93.8%	-2.0%
57775	North Bend town	Jackson	145	194	49	33.8%	87.3%	90.2%	2.9%
57975	Northfield town	Jackson	224	250	26	11.6%	85.8%	86.5%	0.7%
58000	North Fond du Lac village	Fond Du Lac	1,789	2,106	317	17.7%	93.6%	95.8%	2.2%
58025	North Freedom village	Sauk	238	271	33	13.9%	94.4%	92.5%	-2.0%
58050	North Hudson village	St. Croix	1,315	1,471	156	11.9%	96.8%	94.8%	-2.1%
58125	North Lancaster town	Grant	164	187	23	14.0%	96.5%	94.9%	-1.5%
58400	North Prairie village	Waukesha	531	773	242	45.6%	97.6%	96.7%	-0.9%
58575	Norwalk village	Monroe	219	215	-4	-1.8%	93.2%	90.7%	-2.5%
58600	Norway town	Racine	2,641	2,942	301	11.4%	95.2%	94.2%	-1.0%
58700	Norwood town	Langlade	332	360	28	8.4%	88.8%	83.7%	-5.0%
58800	Oak Creek city	Milwaukee	11,239	14,064	2,825	25.1%	94.5%	95.3%	0.9%
58850	Oakdale village	Monroe	112	129	17	15.2%	88.2%	94.2%	6.0%
58875	Oakdale town	Monroe	233	272	39	16.7%	91.4%	92.5%	1.1%
58900	Oakfield village	Fond Du Lac	370	392	22	5.9%	96.4%	94.5%	-1.9%
58925	Oakfield town	Fond Du Lac	252	259	7	2.8%	94.4%	93.2%	-1.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
58950	Oak Grove town	Barron	313	361	48	15.3%	96.6%	88.3%	-8.3%
59000	Oak Grove town	Dodge	428	428	0	0.0%	95.5%	92.8%	-2.7%
59025	Oak Grove town	Pierce	498	722	224	45.0%	98.4%	94.9%	-3.5%
59075	Oakland town	Burnett	378	403	25	6.6%	36.2%	30.2%	-6.0%
59100	Oakland town	Douglas	425	464	39	9.2%	78.6%	77.7%	-0.8%
59125	Oakland town	Jefferson	1,236	1,312	76	6.1%	86.0%	80.9%	-5.1%
59225	Oasis town	Waushara	152	158	6	3.9%	58.9%	51.6%	-7.3%
59250	Oconomowoc city	Waukesha	4,968	6,256	1,288	25.9%	94.8%	93.9%	-0.9%
59275	Oconomowoc town	Waukesha	2,765	3,244	479	17.3%	90.8%	87.5%	-3.3%
59300	Oconomowoc Lake village	Waukesha	208	232	24	11.5%	84.6%	76.8%	-7.7%
59350	Oconto city	Oconto	1,870	1,872	2	0.1%	91.7%	89.4%	-2.3%
59375	Oconto town	Oconto	454	519	65	14.3%	92.5%	89.9%	-2.5%
59400	Oconto Falls city	Oconto	1,166	1,251	85	7.3%	94.7%	93.8%	-0.9%
59425	Oconto Falls town	Oconto	434	499	65	15.0%	89.7%	88.8%	-0.9%
59475	Ogdensburg village	Waupaca	94	82	-12	-12.8%	95.9%	83.7%	-12.2%
59525	Ogema town	Price	349	318	-31	-8.9%	83.7%	56.4%	-27.3%
59600	Ojibwa town	Sawyer	110	109	-1	-0.9%	41.5%	32.6%	-8.9%
59800	Oliver village	Douglas	127	159	32	25.2%	99.2%	95.2%	-4.0%
59850	Oma town	Iron	156	137	-19	-12.2%	28.8%	26.0%	-2.7%
59875	Omro city	Winnebago	1,236	1,419	183	14.8%	95.3%	92.3%	-3.0%
59900	Omro town	Winnebago	706	825	119	16.9%	91.0%	88.5%	-2.5%
59925	Onalaska city	La Crosse	5,893	7,331	1,438	24.4%	97.1%	96.4%	-0.7%
59950	Onalaska town	La Crosse	1,777	2,035	258	14.5%	96.9%	96.0%	-0.9%
60000	Oneida town	Outagamie	1,267	1,484	217	17.1%	96.3%	97.0%	0.7%
60075	Ontario village	Vernon	225	210	-15	-6.7%	88.6%	83.0%	-5.6%
60100	Oostburg village	Sheboygan	980	1,091	111	11.3%	98.4%	94.5%	-3.9%
60150	Orange town	Juneau	221	223	2	0.9%	84.7%	79.1%	-5.6%
60200	Oregon village	Dane	2,796	3,589	793	28.4%	96.6%	95.1%	-1.5%
60225	Oregon town	Dane	1,063	1,160	97	9.1%	98.7%	97.4%	-1.3%
60250	Orfordville village	Rock	455	542	87	19.1%	97.0%	94.3%	-2.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Occupied Housing Units (Households)			Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
60275	Orienta town	Bayfield	52	62	10	19.2%	25.9%	24.2%	-1.7%
60350	Orion town	Richland	233	233	0	0.0%	75.4%	70.8%	-4.6%
60400	Osborn town	Outagamie	334	403	69	20.7%	98.2%	96.9%	-1.4%
60425	Osceola town	Fond Du Lac	701	758	57	8.1%	78.8%	72.9%	-5.9%
60450	Osceola village	Polk	1,002	1,142	140	14.0%	93.5%	88.6%	-4.9%
60475	Osceola town	Polk	744	1,051	307	41.3%	89.7%	88.5%	-1.3%
60500	Oshkosh city	Winnebago	24,082	26,138	2,056	8.5%	94.7%	92.8%	-2.0%
60525	Oshkosh town	Winnebago	1,215	1,041	-174	-14.3%	91.3%	87.6%	-3.7%
60575	Osseo city	Trempealeau	721	737	16	2.2%	94.7%	93.8%	-1.0%
60687	Otsego town	Columbia	272	288	16	5.9%	94.8%	94.4%	-0.3%
60700	Ottawa town	Waukesha	1,375	1,380	5	0.4%	95.8%	92.3%	-3.4%
60725	Otter Creek town	Dunn	166	195	29	17.5%	90.2%	90.3%	0.1%
60750	Otter Creek town	Eau Claire	175	169	-6	-3.4%	95.6%	93.4%	-2.3%
60775	Oulu town	Bayfield	192	207	15	7.8%	71.9%	68.1%	-3.8%
60825	Owen city	Clark	412	419	7	1.7%	90.5%	86.4%	-4.2%
60875	Oxford village	Marquette	223	248	25	11.2%	86.1%	87.6%	1.5%
60900	Oxford town	Marquette	337	371	34	10.1%	71.7%	70.4%	-1.3%
60925	Pacific town	Columbia	1,007	1,139	132	13.1%	90.9%	89.1%	-1.8%
60962	Packwaukee town	Marquette	563	638	75	13.3%	60.4%	59.7%	-0.7%
60975	Paddock Lake village	Kenosha	1,056	1,125	69	6.5%	89.1%	86.7%	-2.4%
61025	Palmyra village	Jefferson	689	704	15	2.2%	95.0%	91.5%	-3.5%
61050	Palmyra town	Jefferson	435	471	36	8.3%	85.0%	77.7%	-7.2%
61100	Pardeeville village	Columbia	825	918	93	11.3%	94.5%	91.5%	-3.0%
61150	Paris town	Grant	264	263	-1	-0.4%	95.0%	92.9%	-2.0%
61175	Paris town	Kenosha	535	570	35	6.5%	96.6%	95.3%	-1.3%
61200	Park Falls city	Price	1,185	1,096	-89	-7.5%	91.0%	85.4%	-5.6%
61250	Parkland town	Douglas	463	500	37	8.0%	96.5%	92.3%	-4.2%
61325	Park Ridge village	Portage	211	217	6	2.8%	97.7%	95.2%	-2.5%
61375	Parrish town	Langlade	41	36	-5	-12.2%	44.6%	35.6%	-8.9%
61425	Patch Grove village	Grant	65	82	17	26.2%	97.0%	93.2%	-3.8%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
61450	Patch Grove town	Grant	135	127	-8	-5.9%	91.2%	84.7%	-6.5%
61525	Peck town	Langlade	136	144	8	5.9%	83.4%	75.8%	-7.6%
61600	Peeksville town	Ashland	68	66	-2	-2.9%	54.4%	45.5%	-8.9%
61625	Pelican town	Oneida	1,167	1,183	16	1.4%	76.2%	69.0%	-7.2%
61700	Pella town	Shawano	348	366	18	5.2%	92.8%	81.7%	-11.1%
61775	Pembine town	Marinette	429	398	-31	-7.2%	49.3%	39.2%	-10.1%
61825	Pence town	Iron	84	75	-9	-10.7%	61.8%	52.4%	-9.3%
61900	Pensaukee town	Oconto	471	567	96	20.4%	83.8%	82.1%	-1.8%
61925	Pepin village	Pepin	381	399	18	4.7%	88.6%	81.4%	-7.2%
61950	Pepin town	Pepin	232	303	71	30.6%	85.0%	68.4%	-16.6%
62050	Perry town	Dane	253	280	27	10.7%	96.2%	92.7%	-3.5%
62100	Pershing town	Taylor	70	77	7	10.0%	76.1%	61.6%	-14.5%
62125	Peru town	Dunn	83	92	9	10.8%	96.5%	90.2%	-6.3%
62175	Peshtigo city	Marinette	1,315	1,469	154	11.7%	92.9%	90.6%	-2.2%
62200	Peshtigo town	Marinette	1,416	1,617	201	14.2%	90.4%	87.2%	-3.1%
62240	Pewaukee city	Waukesha	4,553	5,410	857	18.8%	95.6%	93.8%	-1.8%
62250	Pewaukee village	Waukesha	3,635	3,903	268	7.4%	96.6%	93.8%	-2.8%
62425	Phelps town	Vilas	560	544	-16	-2.9%	34.9%	31.9%	-3.0%
62450	Phillips city	Price	721	695	-26	-3.6%	85.9%	80.1%	-5.9%
62600	Piehl town	Oneida	39	41	2	5.1%	45.9%	40.2%	-5.7%
62625	Pierce town	Kewaunee	329	343	14	4.3%	80.8%	76.1%	-4.8%
62650	Pigeon town	Trempealeau	305	307	2	0.7%	93.6%	91.1%	-2.5%
62675	Pigeon Falls village	Trempealeau	139	172	33	23.7%	96.5%	91.5%	-5.0%
62700	Pilsen town	Bayfield	84	99	15	17.9%	80.8%	73.3%	-7.4%
62825	Pine Grove town	Portage	333	359	26	7.8%	89.8%	90.2%	0.4%
62925	Pine Lake town	Oneida	1,063	1,136	73	6.9%	77.0%	70.3%	-6.7%
62950	Pine River town	Lincoln	673	754	81	12.0%	93.0%	91.7%	-1.2%
63000	Pine Valley town	Clark	421	461	40	9.5%	90.7%	83.8%	-6.9%
63075	Pittsfield town	Brown	818	954	136	16.6%	97.6%	97.5%	-0.1%
63100	Pittsville city	Wood	331	338	7	2.1%	94.3%	89.4%	-4.9%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
63125	Plain village	Sauk	333	321	-12	-3.6%	98.2%	89.7%	-8.6%
63150	Plainfield village	Waushara	342	315	-27	-7.9%	91.7%	84.9%	-6.8%
63175	Plainfield town	Waushara	198	207	9	4.5%	86.1%	81.2%	-4.9%
63250	Platteville city	Grant	3,312	3,644	332	10.0%	95.1%	94.9%	-0.2%
63275	Platteville town	Grant	475	562	87	18.3%	96.3%	93.0%	-3.3%
63300	Pleasant Prairie village	Kenosha	5,819	7,272	1,453	25.0%	96.2%	93.8%	-2.4%
63375	Pleasant Springs town	Dane	1,099	1,193	94	8.6%	90.0%	89.9%	-0.1%
63400	Pleasant Valley town	Eau Claire	919	1,076	157	17.1%	98.7%	96.3%	-2.4%
63425	Pleasant Valley town	St. Croix	145	184	39	26.9%	96.7%	97.9%	1.2%
63500	Plover town	Marathon	229	250	21	9.2%	91.6%	87.1%	-4.5%
63525	Plover village	Portage	3,985	4,948	963	24.2%	96.4%	95.4%	-1.0%
63550	Plover town	Portage	861	639	-222	-25.8%	94.0%	94.2%	0.3%
63600	Plum City village	Pierce	216	243	27	12.5%	93.5%	92.7%	-0.8%
63625	Plum Lake town	Vilas	221	235	14	6.3%	29.0%	29.5%	0.5%
63650	Plymouth town	Juneau	244	245	1	0.4%	79.7%	76.6%	-3.2%
63675	Plymouth town	Rock	441	460	19	4.3%	97.1%	92.7%	-4.4%
63700	Plymouth city	Sheboygan	3,262	3,710	448	13.7%	96.1%	91.9%	-4.2%
63725	Plymouth town	Sheboygan	1,092	1,152	60	5.5%	92.7%	93.7%	1.0%
63825	Polar town	Langlade	354	384	30	8.5%	92.4%	84.2%	-8.2%
63875	Polk town	Washington	1,352	1,457	105	7.8%	94.5%	94.6%	0.1%
63975	Poplar village	Douglas	209	229	20	9.6%	94.1%	90.2%	-4.0%
64050	Popple River town	Forest	37	23	-14	-37.8%	28.9%	15.9%	-13.0%
64100	Portage city	Columbia	3,770	4,060	290	7.7%	95.0%	90.4%	-4.6%
64175	Port Edwards village	Wood	706	711	5	0.7%	95.8%	94.8%	-1.0%
64200	Port Edwards town	Wood	526	564	38	7.2%	94.8%	93.2%	-1.6%
64225	Porter town	Rock	340	381	41	12.1%	93.2%	92.7%	-0.4%
64275	Porterfield town	Marinette	770	821	51	6.6%	90.8%	82.7%	-8.1%
64375	Portland town	Dodge	396	428	32	8.1%	94.1%	91.1%	-3.0%
64425	Portland town	Monroe	249	290	41	16.5%	91.2%	88.7%	-2.5%
64450	Port Washington city	Ozaukee	4,071	4,704	633	15.5%	95.7%	93.7%	-2.0%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
64475	Port Washington town	Ozaukee	636	618	-18	-2.8%	93.8%	93.2%	-0.6%
64512	Port Wing town	Bayfield	194	187	-7	-3.6%	54.5%	51.2%	-3.3%
64625	Potosi village	Grant	302	295	-7	-2.3%	94.4%	91.6%	-2.8%
64650	Potosi town	Grant	299	311	12	4.0%	88.7%	89.1%	0.4%
64675	Potter village	Calumet	86	98	12	14.0%	96.6%	99.0%	2.4%
64750	Pound village	Marinette	149	152	3	2.0%	85.6%	92.7%	7.1%
64775	Pound town	Marinette	499	566	67	13.4%	92.9%	90.1%	-2.8%
64875	Poygan town	Winnebago	397	520	123	31.0%	74.6%	76.5%	1.8%
64900	Poynette village	Columbia	919	1,046	127	13.8%	96.0%	93.2%	-2.8%
64975	Poysippi town	Waushara	392	394	2	0.5%	89.9%	88.1%	-1.8%
65050	Prairie du Chien city	Crawford	2,376	2,386	10	0.4%	92.7%	92.0%	-0.7%
65075	Prairie du Chien town	Crawford	434	460	26	6.0%	51.2%	53.0%	1.8%
65100	Prairie du Sac village	Sauk	1,290	1,649	359	27.8%	96.8%	95.2%	-1.7%
65125	Prairie du Sac town	Sauk	415	447	32	7.7%	97.0%	95.5%	-1.4%
65150	Prairie Farm village	Barron	199	201	2	1.0%	95.7%	88.5%	-7.1%
65175	Prairie Farm town	Barron	204	207	3	1.5%	91.9%	87.0%	-4.9%
65200	Prairie Lake town	Barron	528	629	101	19.1%	71.4%	71.3%	-0.1%
65325	Prentice village	Price	285	293	8	2.8%	90.2%	86.2%	-4.0%
65350	Prentice town	Price	196	186	-10	-5.1%	74.5%	49.9%	-24.7%
65375	Prescott city	Pierce	1,432	1,685	253	17.7%	97.3%	92.9%	-4.3%
65425	Presque Isle town	Vilas	241	298	57	23.7%	19.8%	19.6%	-0.3%
65450	Preston town	Adams	561	619	58	10.3%	58.4%	53.4%	-5.1%
65500	Preston town	Trempealeau	333	342	9	2.7%	89.5%	85.5%	-4.0%
65550	Price town	Langlade	92	94	2	2.2%	91.1%	75.2%	-15.9%
65575	Primrose town	Dane	243	282	39	16.0%	96.4%	95.9%	-0.5%
65600	Princeton city	Green Lake	576	551	-25	-4.3%	90.6%	86.4%	-4.2%
65625	Princeton town	Green Lake	650	669	19	2.9%	58.8%	54.5%	-4.3%
65675	Pulaski village	Brown, Oconto, Shawano	1,185	1,418	233	19.7%	94.5%	93.0%	-1.5%
65700	Pulaski town	Iowa	135	159	24	17.8%	87.1%	77.9%	-9.2%
65825	Quincy town	Adams	569	573	4	0.7%	35.3%	33.6%	-1.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
66000	Racine city	Racine	31,449	30,530	-919	-2.9%	94.1%	90.1%	-4.0%
66050	Radisson village	Sawyer	98	110	12	12.2%	74.8%	78.6%	3.8%
66075	Radisson town	Sawyer	185	171	-14	-7.6%	52.7%	43.6%	-9.1%
66125	Randall town	Kenosha	1,031	1,163	132	12.8%	80.7%	75.7%	-5.0%
66150	Randolph village	Columbia, Dodge	698	674	-24	-3.4%	92.8%	92.6%	-0.2%
66175	Randolph town	Columbia	228	271	43	18.9%	95.0%	94.1%	-0.9%
66200	Random Lake village	Sheboygan	613	659	46	7.5%	93.4%	91.5%	-1.9%
66275	Rantoul town	Calumet	253	272	19	7.5%	98.1%	95.4%	-2.6%
66375	Raymond town	Racine	1,245	1,417	172	13.8%	97.9%	96.0%	-1.9%
66450	Readstown village	Vernon	187	186	-1	-0.5%	86.6%	87.3%	0.7%
66550	Red Cedar town	Dunn	593	755	162	27.3%	95.0%	94.8%	-0.2%
66625	Redgranite village	Waushara	440	509	69	15.7%	89.2%	87.3%	-1.9%
66700	Red River town	Kewaunee	528	562	34	6.4%	87.9%	81.8%	-6.0%
66750	Red Springs town	Shawano	324	355	31	9.6%	74.0%	71.3%	-2.7%
66800	Reedsburg city	Sauk	3,193	3,795	602	18.9%	94.5%	92.5%	-2.0%
66825	Reedsburg town	Sauk	394	464	70	17.8%	94.9%	92.6%	-2.3%
66875	Reedsville village	Manitowoc	471	472	1	0.2%	93.8%	92.0%	-1.8%
66900	Reeseville village	Dodge	287	288	1	0.3%	91.1%	89.4%	-1.7%
66950	Reid town	Marathon	434	489	55	12.7%	91.4%	90.4%	-1.0%
67000	Remington town	Wood	125	118	-7	-5.6%	69.8%	63.4%	-6.4%
67025	Reseburg town	Clark	206	218	12	5.8%	93.2%	90.8%	-2.4%
67100	Rewey village	Iowa	118	119	1	0.8%	92.2%	92.2%	0.1%
67150	Rhine town	Sheboygan	829	871	42	5.1%	86.3%	81.8%	-4.5%
67200	Rhineland city	Oneida	3,214	3,545	331	10.3%	93.7%	89.0%	-4.7%
67250	Rib Falls town	Marathon	302	345	43	14.2%	97.7%	95.3%	-2.4%
67275	Rib Lake village	Taylor	356	371	15	4.2%	90.4%	85.9%	-4.5%
67300	Rib Lake town	Taylor	278	350	72	25.9%	59.7%	57.9%	-1.8%
67325	Rib Mountain town	Marathon	2,697	2,650	-47	-1.7%	97.4%	96.0%	-1.4%
67350	Rice Lake city	Barron	3,613	3,936	323	8.9%	95.2%	92.9%	-2.3%
67375	Rice Lake town	Barron	1,139	1,232	93	8.2%	93.7%	92.6%	-1.0%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
67425	Richfield town	Adams	62	78	16	25.8%	60.2%	53.4%	-6.8%
67475	Richfield village	Washington	3,614	4,170	556	15.4%	96.0%	96.1%	0.2%
67500	Richfield town	Wood	473	557	84	17.8%	97.5%	95.2%	-2.3%
67550	Richford town	Waushara	190	203	13	6.8%	67.6%	65.9%	-1.7%
67575	Richland town	Richland	476	507	31	6.5%	92.2%	87.7%	-4.5%
67600	Richland town	Rusk	71	94	23	32.4%	64.0%	48.7%	-15.3%
67625	Richland Center city	Richland	2,296	2,361	65	2.8%	93.0%	90.4%	-2.6%
67650	Richmond town	St. Croix	524	1,105	581	110.9%	98.9%	95.7%	-3.2%
67675	Richmond town	Shawano	668	762	94	14.1%	92.9%	91.1%	-1.8%
67725	Richmond town	Walworth	704	758	54	7.7%	78.3%	74.6%	-3.7%
67775	Richwood town	Richland	238	233	-5	-2.1%	79.6%	71.9%	-7.7%
67800	Ridgeland village	Dunn	123	127	4	3.3%	93.9%	92.0%	-1.9%
67850	Ridgeville town	Monroe	159	184	25	15.7%	86.9%	86.8%	-0.1%
67875	Ridgeway village	Iowa	274	267	-7	-2.6%	95.8%	91.1%	-4.7%
67900	Ridgeway town	Iowa	211	231	20	9.5%	89.0%	86.8%	-2.2%
67950	Rietbrock town	Marathon	289	332	43	14.9%	96.3%	96.2%	-0.1%
68075	Ringle town	Marathon	473	619	146	30.9%	97.1%	95.5%	-1.6%
68100	Rio village	Columbia	379	436	57	15.0%	94.5%	91.8%	-2.7%
68175	Ripon city	Fond Du Lac	2,922	3,053	131	4.5%	93.7%	92.3%	-1.4%
68200	Ripon town	Fond Du Lac	507	545	38	7.5%	97.1%	94.1%	-3.0%
68275	River Falls city	Pierce, Saint Croix	4,269	5,150	881	20.6%	98.3%	94.5%	-3.7%
68300	River Falls town	Pierce	802	852	50	6.2%	97.7%	96.9%	-0.8%
68325	River Hills village	Milwaukee	590	595	5	0.8%	95.6%	92.0%	-3.7%
68400	Riverview town	Oconto	415	378	-37	-8.9%	26.7%	23.1%	-3.7%
68475	Roberts village	St. Croix	392	625	233	59.4%	97.5%	86.3%	-11.2%
68575	<i>Rochester town</i>	<i>see note at end</i>	782				96.5%		
68550	Rochester village	Racine	410	1,343	933	227.6%	96.5%	95.1%	-1.4%
68600	Rock town	Rock	1,304	1,240	-64	-4.9%	96.0%	92.1%	-3.9%
68625	Rock town	Wood	291	318	27	9.3%	92.1%	90.1%	-2.0%
68675	Rockbridge town	Richland	263	293	30	11.4%	83.5%	78.8%	-4.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
68700	Rock Creek town	Dunn	286	359	73	25.5%	95.3%	91.8%	-3.5%
68725	Rockdale village	Dane	89	89	0	0.0%	97.8%	94.7%	-3.1%
68775	Rock Elm town	Pierce	178	191	13	7.3%	92.2%	87.2%	-5.0%
68825	Rock Falls town	Lincoln	231	266	35	15.2%	55.7%	52.4%	-3.3%
68875	Rockland town	Brown	483	595	112	23.2%	97.6%	95.7%	-1.9%
68900	Rockland village	La Crosse	212	228	16	7.5%	98.6%	93.8%	-4.8%
68925	Rockland town	Manitowoc	308	357	49	15.9%	91.7%	88.6%	-3.1%
68975	Rock Springs village	Sauk	156	137	-19	-12.2%	93.4%	91.3%	-2.1%
69175	Rolling town	Langlade	512	576	64	12.5%	95.0%	92.5%	-2.5%
69275	Rome town	Adams	1,181	1,282	101	8.6%	50.2%	38.3%	-11.9%
69325	Roosevelt town	Burnett	78	83	5	6.4%	53.8%	50.6%	-3.2%
69400	Roosevelt town	Taylor	149	181	32	21.5%	88.2%	79.0%	-9.1%
69425	Rose town	Waushara	244	272	28	11.5%	69.1%	66.0%	-3.1%
69525	Rosendale village	Fond Du Lac	325	407	82	25.2%	95.9%	97.6%	1.7%
69550	Rosendale town	Fond Du Lac	284	275	-9	-3.2%	94.7%	95.8%	1.2%
69575	Rosholt village	Portage	198	208	10	5.1%	93.4%	91.6%	-1.8%
69625	Ross town	Forest	75	65	-10	-13.3%	34.4%	27.4%	-7.0%
69725	Rothschild village	Marathon	1,922	2,199	277	14.4%	96.7%	94.3%	-2.4%
69750	Round Lake town	Sawyer	431	457	26	6.0%	38.7%	36.0%	-2.7%
69850	Roxbury town	Dane	603	663	60	10.0%	94.2%	82.3%	-12.0%
69900	Royalton town	Waupaca	533	588	55	10.3%	86.2%	78.1%	-8.2%
69962	Rubicon town	Dodge	662	780	118	17.8%	97.4%	96.2%	-1.2%
69975	Ruby town	Chippewa	152	176	24	15.8%	77.2%	75.2%	-1.9%
70000	Rudolph village	Wood	170	179	9	5.3%	96.0%	90.9%	-5.2%
70025	Rudolph town	Wood	423	418	-5	-1.2%	96.6%	93.5%	-3.1%
70125	Rushford town	Winnebago	549	613	64	11.7%	92.4%	89.9%	-2.5%
70200	Rush River town	St. Croix	171	194	23	13.5%	98.8%	97.0%	-1.8%
70225	Rusk town	Burnett	162	183	21	13.0%	47.9%	36.8%	-11.1%
70275	Rusk town	Rusk	204	215	11	5.4%	46.9%	42.0%	-4.9%
70300	Russell town	Bayfield	406	461	55	13.5%	80.2%	80.3%	0.1%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
70325	Russell town	Lincoln	271	276	5	1.8%	82.1%	71.7%	-10.4%
70350	Russell town	Sheboygan	140	149	9	6.4%	94.0%	95.5%	1.6%
70400	Rutland town	Dane	689	760	71	10.3%	97.9%	97.7%	-0.2%
70500	St. Cloud village	Fond Du Lac	184	208	24	13.0%	95.3%	96.3%	1.0%
70550	St. Croix Falls city	Polk	872	967	95	10.9%	94.2%	88.9%	-5.3%
70575	St. Croix Falls town	Polk	420	461	41	9.8%	78.1%	75.7%	-2.4%
70650	St. Francis city	Milwaukee	4,050	4,494	444	11.0%	96.6%	93.1%	-3.5%
70725	St. Germain town	Vilas	887	953	66	7.4%	42.9%	40.9%	-2.0%
70825	St. Joseph town	St. Croix	1,193	1,388	195	16.3%	94.8%	91.9%	-2.9%
70900	St. Lawrence town	Waupaca	284	298	14	4.9%	82.3%	79.3%	-3.1%
70925	St. Marie town	Green Lake	135	153	18	13.3%	79.4%	78.1%	-1.4%
71025	St. Nazianz village	Manitowoc	296	324	28	9.5%	98.0%	93.6%	-4.4%
71125	Salem town	Kenosha	3,529	4,486	957	27.1%	89.6%	88.7%	-0.8%
71150	Salem town	Pierce	173	190	17	9.8%	93.0%	85.2%	-7.8%
71275	Sampson town	Chippewa	330	364	34	10.3%	73.5%	50.3%	-23.2%
71350	Sanborn town	Ashland	428	467	39	9.1%	80.6%	80.0%	-0.6%
71425	Sand Creek town	Dunn	230	240	10	4.3%	94.3%	89.9%	-4.4%
71450	Sand Lake town	Burnett	212	220	8	3.8%	47.6%	39.6%	-8.0%
71500	Sand Lake town	Sawyer	347	364	17	4.9%	30.3%	27.8%	-2.5%
71600	Saratoga town	Wood	2,011	2,085	74	3.7%	97.7%	94.6%	-3.1%
71637	Sarona town	Washburn	165	162	-3	-1.8%	62.7%	52.4%	-10.3%
71650	Sauk City village	Sauk	1,285	1,431	146	11.4%	97.8%	94.9%	-2.9%
71700	Saukville village	Ozaukee	1,583	1,766	183	11.6%	96.6%	95.6%	-1.0%
71725	Saukville town	Ozaukee	622	704	82	13.2%	96.6%	96.7%	0.1%
71825	Saxeville town	Waushara	393	421	28	7.1%	64.4%	62.8%	-1.6%
71875	Saxon town	Iron	148	147	-1	-0.7%	67.0%	56.8%	-10.2%
71975	Scandinavia village	Waupaca	137	147	10	7.3%	90.1%	88.6%	-1.6%
72000	Scandinavia town	Waupaca	394	426	32	8.1%	82.3%	79.8%	-2.5%
72075	Schleswig town	Manitowoc	697	763	66	9.5%	80.0%	79.1%	-1.0%
72100	Schley town	Lincoln	356	378	22	6.2%	90.1%	85.3%	-4.8%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
72125	Schoepke town	Oneida	156	188	32	20.5%	24.9%	29.1%	4.1%
72150	Schofield city	Marathon	965	994	29	3.0%	94.4%	90.4%	-4.0%
72200	Scott town	Brown	1,145	1,337	192	16.8%	92.8%	90.6%	-2.1%
72225	Scott town	Burnett	282	250	-32	-11.3%	30.8%	23.2%	-7.6%
72250	Scott town	Columbia	243	290	47	19.3%	93.5%	92.7%	-0.8%
72275	Scott town	Crawford	179	183	4	2.2%	79.9%	72.0%	-7.9%
72300	Scott town	Lincoln	458	537	79	17.2%	93.9%	93.9%	0.0%
72325	Scott town	Monroe	41	48	7	17.1%	67.2%	67.6%	0.4%
72350	Scott town	Sheboygan	658	697	39	5.9%	94.0%	93.1%	-0.9%
72450	Seif town	Clark	89	86	-3	-3.4%	73.0%	61.9%	-11.1%
72500	Seneca town	Crawford	311	338	27	8.7%	64.9%	66.3%	1.3%
72525	Seneca town	Green Lake	165	165	0	0.0%	85.1%	85.5%	0.4%
72550	Seneca town	Shawano	204	212	8	3.9%	80.0%	72.6%	-7.4%
72575	Seneca town	Wood	408	411	3	0.7%	96.7%	94.5%	-2.2%
72600	Sevastopol town	Door	1,076	1,138	62	5.8%	69.2%	61.2%	-8.0%
72625	Seven Mile Creek town	Juneau	136	155	19	14.0%	81.0%	76.4%	-4.6%
72675	Seymour town	Eau Claire	1,108	1,232	124	11.2%	96.3%	95.3%	-1.0%
72700	Seymour town	Lafayette	118	143	25	21.2%	96.7%	93.5%	-3.3%
72725	Seymour city	Outagamie	1,305	1,458	153	11.7%	94.8%	93.2%	-1.6%
72750	Seymour town	Outagamie	406	438	32	7.9%	96.4%	95.6%	-0.8%
72825	Shanagolden town	Ashland	63	55	-8	-12.7%	40.1%	26.7%	-13.4%
72850	Sharon town	Portage	705	775	70	9.9%	93.5%	89.8%	-3.7%
72875	Sharon village	Walworth	565	594	29	5.1%	93.9%	83.2%	-10.7%
72900	Sharon town	Walworth	333	346	13	3.9%	94.6%	95.1%	0.5%
72925	Shawano city	Shawano	3,432	3,960	528	15.4%	95.7%	91.9%	-3.8%
72975	Sheboygan city	Sheboygan	20,779	20,308	-471	-2.3%	95.5%	90.9%	-4.6%
73000	Sheboygan town	Sheboygan	2,148	2,999	851	39.6%	95.7%	94.5%	-1.2%
73025	Sheboygan Falls city	Sheboygan	2,745	3,480	735	26.8%	97.1%	94.5%	-2.6%
73050	Sheboygan Falls town	Sheboygan	657	706	49	7.5%	97.3%	95.9%	-1.4%
73125	Shelby town	La Crosse	1,771	1,918	147	8.3%	97.5%	96.0%	-1.4%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
73150	Sheldon town	Monroe	190	208	18	9.5%	88.8%	81.6%	-7.2%
73175	Sheldon village	Rusk	114	116	2	1.8%	92.7%	92.1%	-0.6%
73200	Shell Lake city	Washburn	559	594	35	6.3%	62.8%	60.1%	-2.7%
73300	Sheridan town	Dunn	168	175	7	4.2%	90.8%	83.3%	-7.5%
73350	Sherman town	Clark	247	284	37	15.0%	93.9%	95.3%	1.4%
73375	Sherman town	Dunn	272	337	65	23.9%	93.2%	91.6%	-1.6%
73400	Sherman town	Iron	166	150	-16	-9.6%	34.4%	28.1%	-6.3%
73425	Sherman town	Sheboygan	533	566	33	6.2%	98.0%	94.8%	-3.2%
73475	Sherry town	Wood	270	296	26	9.6%	96.4%	93.1%	-3.3%
73525	Sherwood village	Calumet	572	986	414	72.4%	96.5%	96.0%	-0.5%
73550	Sherwood town	Clark	90	93	3	3.3%	59.2%	55.0%	-4.2%
73575	Shields town	Dodge	198	219	21	10.6%	97.5%	98.2%	0.7%
73600	Shields town	Marquette	194	248	54	27.8%	70.0%	70.5%	0.4%
73625	Shiocton village	Outagamie	376	372	-4	-1.1%	95.4%	92.3%	-3.1%
73725	Shorewood village	Milwaukee	6,539	6,381	-158	-2.4%	97.7%	94.5%	-3.1%
73750	Shorewood Hills village	Dane	640	620	-20	-3.1%	96.4%	93.9%	-2.4%
73825	Shullsburg city	Lafayette	527	534	7	1.3%	91.5%	91.1%	-0.4%
73850	Shullsburg town	Lafayette	136	135	-1	-0.7%	95.1%	88.8%	-6.3%
73875	Sigel town	Chippewa	294	390	96	32.7%	95.8%	93.1%	-2.7%
73900	Sigel town	Wood	410	423	13	3.2%	95.8%	94.4%	-1.4%
73975	Silver Cliff town	Marinette	216	218	2	0.9%	22.4%	18.8%	-3.7%
74025	Silver Lake village	Kenosha	876	908	32	3.7%	90.9%	84.7%	-6.2%
74125	Sioux Creek town	Barron	225	235	10	4.4%	92.2%	87.0%	-5.2%
74175	Siren village	Burnett	413	376	-37	-9.0%	82.8%	76.7%	-6.0%
74200	Siren town	Burnett	378	408	30	7.9%	52.7%	46.9%	-5.8%
74225	Sister Bay village	Door	446	457	11	2.5%	47.2%	34.2%	-13.0%
74250	Skanawan town	Lincoln	141	165	24	17.0%	65.3%	57.9%	-7.4%
74400	Slinger village	Washington	1,562	2,029	467	29.9%	97.2%	93.0%	-4.2%
74450	Smelser town	Grant	268	301	33	12.3%	97.1%	93.5%	-3.6%
74550	Soldiers Grove village	Crawford	250	251	1	0.4%	88.0%	91.9%	3.9%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
74575	Solon Springs village	Douglas	268	263	-5	-1.9%	68.9%	64.5%	-4.4%
74600	Solon Springs town	Douglas	334	380	46	13.8%	70.0%	54.4%	-15.6%
74650	Somers town	Kenosha	3,399	3,667	268	7.9%	95.7%	93.3%	-2.4%
74675	Somerset village	St. Croix	635	990	355	55.9%	96.4%	89.8%	-6.5%
74700	Somerset town	St. Croix	927	1,391	464	50.1%	96.3%	94.1%	-2.2%
74725	Somo town	Lincoln	57	52	-5	-8.8%	67.1%	36.6%	-30.4%
74975	South Fork town	Rusk	51	59	8	15.7%	56.0%	46.8%	-9.2%
75075	South Lancaster town	Grant	234	266	32	13.7%	95.5%	95.0%	-0.5%
75125	South Milwaukee city	Milwaukee	8,694	9,043	349	4.0%	95.3%	93.0%	-2.3%
75275	South Wayne village	Lafayette	203	206	3	1.5%	93.1%	93.6%	0.5%
75325	Sparta city	Monroe	3,583	3,986	403	11.2%	96.0%	95.1%	-0.9%
75350	Sparta town	Monroe	926	1,128	202	21.8%	95.7%	95.8%	0.1%
75400	Spencer village	Marathon	800	816	16	2.0%	93.9%	93.3%	-0.6%
75425	Spencer town	Marathon	475	550	75	15.8%	95.8%	95.8%	0.1%
75450	Spider Lake town	Sawyer	186	183	-3	-1.6%	24.0%	18.6%	-5.3%
75525	Spirit town	Price	133	123	-10	-7.5%	54.5%	41.8%	-12.7%
75625	Spooner city	Washburn	1,148	1,180	32	2.8%	91.9%	90.6%	-1.3%
75650	Spooner town	Washburn	259	296	37	14.3%	67.6%	61.0%	-6.6%
75750	Spring Brook town	Dunn	468	582	114	24.4%	95.7%	94.9%	-0.8%
75800	Springbrook town	Washburn	227	214	-13	-5.7%	75.7%	66.9%	-8.8%
75850	Springdale town	Dane	570	717	147	25.8%	97.4%	94.8%	-2.6%
75875	Springfield town	Dane	967	1,014	47	4.9%	97.4%	97.5%	0.1%
75900	Springfield town	Jackson	187	211	24	12.8%	81.7%	80.5%	-1.1%
75925	Springfield town	Marquette	273	365	92	33.7%	50.4%	57.6%	7.2%
75950	Springfield town	St. Croix	285	350	65	22.8%	95.3%	93.8%	-1.5%
76025	Spring Green village	Sauk	585	690	105	17.9%	93.8%	91.6%	-2.1%
76050	Spring Green town	Sauk	602	716	114	18.9%	91.1%	87.7%	-3.3%
76075	Spring Grove town	Green	290	316	26	9.0%	94.2%	90.8%	-3.4%
76100	Spring Lake town	Pierce	191	224	33	17.3%	97.0%	97.8%	0.9%
76175	Spring Prairie town	Walworth	726	802	76	10.5%	94.9%	93.7%	-1.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
76225	Springvale town	Columbia	197	202	5	2.5%	95.2%	90.2%	-5.0%
76250	Springvale town	Fond Du Lac	270	271	1	0.4%	97.5%	96.4%	-1.0%
76300	Spring Valley village	Pierce, Saint Croix	459	547	88	19.2%	95.2%	91.6%	-3.6%
76325	Spring Valley town	Rock	282	289	7	2.5%	96.9%	92.3%	-4.6%
76350	Springville town	Adams	487	538	51	10.5%	56.4%	50.5%	-5.8%
76400	Springwater town	Waushara	617	607	-10	-1.6%	43.5%	42.1%	-1.3%
76450	Spruce town	Oconto	349	368	19	5.4%	59.5%	63.2%	3.8%
76550	Stanford town	Barron	249	273	24	9.6%	96.5%	95.1%	-1.4%
76600	Stanley town	Barron	822	984	162	19.7%	91.5%	86.8%	-4.8%
76625	Stanley city	Chippewa, Clark	817	930	113	13.8%	90.8%	92.4%	1.7%
76650	Stanton town	Dunn	247	299	52	21.1%	96.1%	91.4%	-4.7%
76675	Stanton town	St. Croix	352	347	-5	-1.4%	97.0%	94.3%	-2.7%
76725	Stark town	Vernon	138	147	9	6.5%	69.7%	65.6%	-4.1%
76825	Star Prairie village	St. Croix	212	230	18	8.5%	98.6%	92.7%	-5.9%
76850	Star Prairie town	St. Croix	1,006	1,296	290	28.8%	93.2%	91.5%	-1.8%
76975	Stella town	Oneida	236	263	27	11.4%	74.7%	68.3%	-6.4%
77000	Stephenson town	Marinette	1,369	1,404	35	2.6%	36.2%	29.8%	-6.4%
77050	Sterling town	Polk	280	335	55	19.6%	53.7%	57.5%	3.7%
77075	Sterling town	Vernon	234	233	-1	-0.4%	84.2%	79.3%	-4.9%
77100	Stetsonville village	Taylor	230	242	12	5.2%	95.4%	92.0%	-3.4%
77150	Stettin town	Marathon	805	999	194	24.1%	97.2%	94.5%	-2.7%
77175	Steuben village	Crawford	61	51	-10	-16.4%	84.7%	79.7%	-5.0%
77200	Stevens Point city	Portage	9,305	10,598	1,293	13.9%	95.4%	94.5%	-1.0%
77300	Stiles town	Oconto	578	603	25	4.3%	93.2%	87.8%	-5.5%
77350	Stinnett town	Washburn	100	103	3	3.0%	75.2%	76.9%	1.7%
77400	Stockbridge village	Calumet	265	292	27	10.2%	88.6%	83.9%	-4.7%
77425	Stockbridge town	Calumet	506	581	75	14.8%	82.4%	82.5%	0.1%
77475	Stockholm village	Pepin	48	36	-12	-25.0%	53.9%	40.9%	-13.0%
77500	Stockholm town	Pepin	35	83	48	137.1%	53.8%	68.0%	14.2%
77537	Stockton town	Portage	984	1,087	103	10.5%	96.0%	96.5%	0.5%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
77550	Stoddard village	Vernon	351	335	-16	-4.6%	93.6%	86.3%	-7.3%
77650	Stone Lake town	Washburn	221	218	-3	-1.4%	61.0%	54.5%	-6.5%
77675	Stoughton city	Dane	4,734	5,133	399	8.4%	96.8%	94.7%	-2.1%
77750	Stratford village	Marathon	603	666	63	10.4%	93.1%	93.4%	0.4%
77775	Strickland town	Rusk	113	113	0	0.0%	70.6%	71.5%	0.9%
77800	Strong's Prairie town	Adams	502	530	28	5.6%	51.3%	38.6%	-12.7%
77825	Strum village	Trempealeau	411	440	29	7.1%	94.7%	94.2%	-0.5%
77850	Stubbs town	Rusk	226	236	10	4.4%	72.0%	72.8%	0.9%
77875	Sturgeon Bay city	Door	4,048	4,288	240	5.9%	91.0%	87.5%	-3.6%
77900	Sturgeon Bay town	Door	356	361	5	1.4%	65.6%	56.9%	-8.7%
77925	Sturtevant village	Racine	1,477	2,103	626	42.4%	97.1%	93.9%	-3.2%
77975	Suamico village	Brown	2,966	4,092	1,126	38.0%	96.4%	96.6%	0.3%
78075	Sugar Camp town	Oneida	708	729	21	3.0%	53.4%	46.2%	-7.2%
78100	Sugar Creek town	Walworth	1,197	1,460	263	22.0%	88.9%	88.5%	-0.4%
78175	Sullivan village	Jefferson	298	304	6	2.0%	92.8%	92.7%	-0.2%
78200	Sullivan town	Jefferson	819	900	81	9.9%	95.1%	93.2%	-2.0%
78275	Summit town	Douglas	418	438	20	4.8%	74.5%	69.0%	-5.5%
78300	Summit town	Juneau	236	250	14	5.9%	90.1%	76.9%	-13.2%
78325	Summit town	Langlade	66	71	5	7.6%	46.5%	39.4%	-7.0%
78375	Summit town	Waukesha	1,747	1,727	-20	-1.1%	91.8%	87.7%	-4.1%
78450	Sumner town	Barron	210	280	70	33.3%	94.6%	91.5%	-3.1%
78475	Sumner town	Jefferson	370	356	-14	-3.8%	66.7%	66.2%	-0.5%
78500	Sumner town	Trempealeau	291	307	16	5.5%	95.4%	96.8%	1.4%
78525	Sumpter town	Sauk	402	444	42	10.4%	87.8%	86.2%	-1.6%
78600	Sun Prairie city	Dane	7,881	11,636	3,755	47.6%	96.1%	93.7%	-2.4%
78625	Sun Prairie town	Dane	806	826	20	2.5%	97.1%	95.3%	-1.8%
78650	Superior city	Douglas	11,609	11,670	61	0.5%	95.2%	94.7%	-0.5%
78660	Superior village	Douglas	209	299	90	43.1%	99.5%	96.8%	-2.8%
78675	Superior town	Douglas	764	852	88	11.5%	96.2%	90.5%	-5.7%
78725	Suring village	Oconto	252	232	-20	-7.9%	93.7%	86.6%	-7.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
78750	Sussex village	Waukesha	3,310	4,039	729	22.0%	96.2%	96.5%	0.3%
78775	Swiss town	Burnett	336	355	19	5.7%	40.3%	35.0%	-5.3%
78825	Sylvan town	Richland	183	183	0	0.0%	82.4%	73.5%	-8.9%
78875	Sylvester town	Green	289	364	75	26.0%	93.5%	94.5%	1.0%
78950	Taft town	Taylor	120	160	40	33.3%	90.9%	77.7%	-13.2%
78975	Tainter town	Dunn	845	979	134	15.9%	85.1%	79.3%	-5.8%
79125	Taycheedah town	Fond Du Lac	1,319	1,602	283	21.5%	92.7%	91.3%	-1.4%
79150	Taylor village	Jackson	209	212	3	1.4%	88.2%	93.8%	5.6%
79250	Tennyson village	Grant	139	144	5	3.6%	97.2%	97.3%	0.1%
79350	Texas town	Marathon	608	645	37	6.1%	97.3%	94.4%	-2.8%
79375	Theresa village	Dodge	495	539	44	8.9%	93.8%	91.7%	-2.1%
79425	Theresa town	Dodge	364	386	22	6.0%	97.3%	96.3%	-1.1%
79475	Thiensville village	Ozaukee	1,503	1,532	29	1.9%	95.7%	93.2%	-2.5%
79575	Thornapple town	Rusk	312	324	12	3.8%	83.2%	73.0%	-10.2%
79625	Thorp city	Clark	706	712	6	0.8%	93.0%	89.3%	-3.7%
79650	Thorp town	Clark	245	273	28	11.4%	96.1%	96.8%	0.7%
79700	Three Lakes town	Oneida	1,031	996	-35	-3.4%	35.5%	31.6%	-3.8%
79775	Tiffany town	Dunn	230	242	12	5.2%	96.2%	91.7%	-4.6%
79825	Tigerton village	Shawano	349	332	-17	-4.9%	91.1%	87.8%	-3.3%
79875	Tilden town	Chippewa	399	546	147	36.8%	96.6%	96.8%	0.2%
79975	Tipler town	Florence	88	68	-20	-22.7%	29.3%	19.0%	-10.3%
80075	Tomah city	Monroe	3,451	3,900	449	13.0%	93.1%	92.9%	-0.2%
80100	Tomah town	Monroe	428	533	105	24.5%	96.2%	92.7%	-3.5%
80125	Tomahawk city	Lincoln	1,527	1,480	-47	-3.1%	90.0%	85.0%	-5.1%
80150	Tomahawk town	Lincoln	192	193	1	0.5%	50.1%	40.9%	-9.2%
80225	Tony village	Rusk	43	47	4	9.3%	95.6%	90.4%	-5.2%
80325	Townsend town	Oconto	436	464	28	6.4%	30.1%	26.2%	-3.8%
80375	Trade Lake town	Burnett	366	350	-16	-4.4%	58.6%	50.3%	-8.3%
80450	Trego town	Washburn	347	390	43	12.4%	65.7%	54.7%	-11.0%
80475	Trempealeau village	Trempealeau	593	704	111	18.7%	95.3%	84.3%	-11.0%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results				Occupied Housing Units (Households)			Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
80500	Trempealeau town	Trempealeau	623	689	66	10.6%	88.1%	94.4%	6.3%
80525	Trenton town	Dodge	456	464	8	1.8%	96.8%	95.7%	-1.1%
80550	Trenton town	Pierce	647	718	71	11.0%	96.4%	93.2%	-3.2%
80575	Trenton town	Washington	1,520	1,721	201	13.2%	97.3%	95.8%	-1.5%
80700	Trimble town	Pierce	532	635	103	19.4%	97.8%	95.1%	-2.7%
80750	Tripp town	Bayfield	78	91	13	16.7%	60.0%	57.2%	-2.8%
80800	Troy town	St. Croix	1,250	1,665	415	33.2%	94.1%	91.5%	-2.6%
80825	Troy town	Sauk	277	295	18	6.5%	92.3%	87.8%	-4.5%
80875	Troy town	Walworth	837	914	77	9.2%	92.6%	90.5%	-2.1%
80950	True town	Rusk	107	110	3	2.8%	84.3%	79.7%	-4.5%
81050	Turtle town	Rock	957	956	-1	-0.1%	96.7%	95.4%	-1.3%
81075	Turtle Lake village	Barron, Polk	475	492	17	3.6%	93.5%	92.0%	-1.5%
81100	Turtle Lake town	Barron	224	242	18	8.0%	79.2%	79.9%	0.7%
81250	Twin Lakes village	Kenosha	1,973	2,345	372	18.9%	72.0%	72.1%	0.2%
81300	Two Creeks town	Manitowoc	184	169	-15	-8.2%	91.1%	86.7%	-4.4%
81325	Two Rivers city	Manitowoc	5,221	5,119	-102	-2.0%	94.1%	89.8%	-4.3%
81350	Two Rivers town	Manitowoc	734	759	25	3.4%	95.8%	92.0%	-3.8%
81475	Underhill town	Oconto	329	350	21	6.4%	70.3%	69.3%	-1.0%
81500	Union town	Burnett	171	163	-8	-4.7%	40.5%	29.4%	-11.1%
81525	Union town	Door	335	384	49	14.6%	65.4%	56.0%	-9.5%
81550	Union town	Eau Claire	856	983	127	14.8%	97.5%	96.9%	-0.6%
81600	Union town	Pierce	212	231	19	9.0%	98.6%	94.7%	-3.9%
81650	Union town	Rock	693	782	89	12.8%	96.5%	95.1%	-1.4%
81675	Union town	Vernon	165	211	46	27.9%	67.6%	74.3%	6.7%
81700	Union town	Waupaca	291	320	29	10.0%	86.9%	80.8%	-6.1%
81725	Union Center village	Juneau	94	95	1	1.1%	94.9%	87.2%	-7.8%
81775	Union Grove village	Racine	1,631	1,881	250	15.3%	97.3%	96.0%	-1.3%
81825	Unity town	Clark	239	269	30	12.6%	94.5%	91.2%	-3.3%
81850	Unity village	Clark, Marathon	150	145	-5	-3.3%	95.5%	90.1%	-5.5%
81875	Unity town	Trempealeau	200	193	-7	-3.5%	91.7%	91.9%	0.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
81950	Upham town	Langlade	319	320	1	0.3%	48.3%	35.4%	-12.9%
82100	Utica town	Crawford	257	267	10	3.9%	81.1%	77.6%	-3.5%
82175	Utica town	Winnebago	453	514	61	13.5%	96.6%	94.8%	-1.8%
82200	Valders village	Manitowoc	375	406	31	8.3%	96.9%	94.0%	-2.9%
82375	Vance Creek town	Barron	257	258	1	0.4%	88.6%	80.4%	-8.2%
82400	Vandenbroek town	Outagamie	460	516	56	12.2%	98.9%	96.6%	-2.3%
82525	Vermont town	Dane	298	325	27	9.1%	95.5%	92.6%	-2.9%
82575	Vernon town	Waukesha	2,380	2,790	410	17.2%	99.0%	97.9%	-1.1%
82600	Verona city	Dane	2,591	4,223	1,632	63.0%	97.3%	94.7%	-2.6%
82625	Verona town	Dane	758	746	-12	-1.6%	97.9%	95.6%	-2.3%
82650	Vesper village	Wood	234	246	12	5.1%	96.3%	93.2%	-3.1%
82750	Vienna town	Dane	461	540	79	17.1%	98.7%	96.8%	-1.9%
82825	Vilas town	Langlade	97	93	-4	-4.1%	60.2%	54.4%	-5.9%
82875	Vinland town	Winnebago	693	721	28	4.0%	96.1%	93.2%	-3.0%
82900	Viola village	Richland, Vernon	290	279	-11	-3.8%	90.9%	84.3%	-6.6%
82925	Viroqua city	Vernon	1,990	2,029	39	2.0%	94.5%	91.9%	-2.6%
82950	Viroqua town	Vernon	549	637	88	16.0%	91.0%	90.6%	-0.4%
83025	Wabeno town	Forest	497	483	-14	-2.8%	58.8%	58.3%	-0.6%
83050	Wagner town	Marinette	283	304	21	7.4%	73.7%	51.4%	-22.3%
83100	Waldo village	Sheboygan	169	197	28	16.6%	97.1%	94.3%	-2.9%
83150	Waldwick town	Iowa	185	188	3	1.6%	96.4%	90.8%	-5.5%
83175	Wales village	Waukesha	846	949	103	12.2%	98.0%	96.1%	-1.9%
83250	Walworth village	Walworth	850	1,068	218	25.6%	95.2%	91.1%	-4.1%
83275	Walworth town	Walworth	529	644	115	21.7%	90.3%	87.7%	-2.5%
83350	Warner town	Clark	195	214	19	9.7%	93.8%	83.6%	-10.2%
83400	Warren town	St. Croix	426	548	122	28.6%	97.5%	96.0%	-1.5%
83425	Warren town	Waushara	261	268	7	2.7%	78.1%	75.1%	-3.1%
83450	Warrens village	Monroe	113	154	41	36.3%	88.3%	41.1%	-47.2%
83512	Wascott town	Douglas	295	340	45	15.3%	36.6%	27.8%	-8.8%
83525	Washburn city	Bayfield	938	934	-4	-0.4%	93.4%	87.3%	-6.1%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
83550	Washburn town	Bayfield	189	222	33	17.5%	83.3%	87.4%	4.1%
83575	Washburn town	Clark	116	115	-1	-0.9%	61.4%	54.8%	-6.6%
83600	Washington town	Door	293	342	49	16.7%	32.4%	33.7%	1.2%
83612	Washington town	Eau Claire	2,555	2,758	203	7.9%	97.7%	95.5%	-2.2%
83625	Washington town	Green	233	308	75	32.2%	96.3%	95.7%	-0.6%
83650	Washington town	La Crosse	228	207	-21	-9.2%	96.6%	92.0%	-4.6%
83675	Washington town	Rusk	134	153	19	14.2%	56.3%	36.3%	-20.0%
83700	Washington town	Sauk	281	320	39	13.9%	92.1%	88.6%	-3.5%
83725	Washington town	Shawano	803	854	51	6.4%	66.0%	65.0%	-1.0%
83750	Washington town	Vilas	683	679	-4	-0.6%	40.0%	33.4%	-6.6%
83825	Waterford village	Racine	1,561	2,114	553	35.4%	95.9%	93.0%	-2.8%
83850	Waterford town	Racine	2,086	2,322	236	11.3%	92.2%	90.9%	-1.3%
83900	Waterloo town	Grant	210	224	14	6.7%	89.7%	82.1%	-7.7%
83925	Waterloo city	Jefferson	1,242	1,331	89	7.2%	96.1%	94.5%	-1.6%
83950	Waterloo town	Jefferson	299	339	40	13.4%	95.8%	92.9%	-3.0%
83975	Watertown city	Dodge, Jefferson	8,022	9,187	1,165	14.5%	96.3%	94.3%	-2.0%
84000	Watertown town	Jefferson	684	754	70	10.2%	95.7%	94.5%	-1.2%
84025	Waterville town	Pepin	331	345	14	4.2%	94.3%	91.0%	-3.3%
84075	Watterstown town	Grant	134	133	-1	-0.7%	78.4%	68.9%	-9.5%
84100	Waubeeek town	Pepin	129	149	20	15.5%	99.2%	96.8%	-2.5%
84225	Waukechon town	Shawano	337	369	32	9.5%	93.1%	90.0%	-3.1%
84250	Waukesha city	Waukesha	25,663	28,295	2,632	10.3%	95.6%	94.8%	-0.7%
84275	Waukesha town	Waukesha	2,891	3,313	422	14.6%	98.2%	98.0%	-0.2%
84325	Waumandee town	Buffalo	181	190	9	5.0%	91.4%	87.6%	-3.9%
84350	Waunakee village	Dane	3,203	4,344	1,141	35.6%	97.2%	96.9%	-0.3%
84375	Waupaca city	Waupaca	2,364	2,702	338	14.3%	93.0%	90.2%	-2.8%
84400	Waupaca town	Waupaca	417	462	45	10.8%	93.1%	88.8%	-4.2%
84425	Waupun city	Dodge, Fond du Lac	3,351	3,485	134	4.0%	95.4%	94.1%	-1.3%
84450	Waupun town	Fond Du Lac	472	506	34	7.2%	95.4%	96.4%	1.0%
84475	Wausau city	Marathon	15,678	16,487	809	5.2%	94.1%	90.8%	-3.2%

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
84500	Wausau town	Marathon	796	860	64	8.0%	96.5%	95.4%	-1.0%
84525	Wausaukee village	Marinette	251	275	24	9.6%	85.4%	84.6%	-0.8%
84550	Wausaukee town	Marinette	469	454	-15	-3.2%	45.3%	37.1%	-8.2%
84625	Wautoma city	Waushara	806	945	139	17.2%	91.9%	89.1%	-2.8%
84650	Wautoma town	Waushara	523	546	23	4.4%	86.7%	83.7%	-3.0%
84675	Wauwatosa city	Milwaukee	20,388	20,435	47	0.2%	97.5%	95.0%	-2.5%
84725	Wauzeka village	Crawford	271	273	2	0.7%	90.9%	89.8%	-1.1%
84750	Wauzeka town	Crawford	140	167	27	19.3%	80.5%	80.7%	0.2%
84850	Wayne town	Lafayette	176	179	3	1.7%	98.9%	92.7%	-6.1%
84900	Wayne town	Washington	582	768	186	32.0%	97.5%	96.4%	-1.1%
84975	Webb Lake town	Burnett	186	164	-22	-11.8%	25.5%	15.1%	-10.4%
85025	Webster village	Burnett	302	308	6	2.0%	92.4%	86.8%	-5.6%
85050	Webster town	Vernon	217	271	54	24.9%	74.1%	71.3%	-2.7%
85100	Weirgor town	Sawyer	157	154	-3	-1.9%	64.6%	57.7%	-6.9%
85125	Wellington town	Monroe	185	217	32	17.3%	83.7%	84.8%	1.1%
85150	Wells town	Monroe	180	206	26	14.4%	94.2%	90.0%	-4.3%
85275	Wescott town	Shawano	1,581	1,427	-154	-9.7%	67.5%	59.6%	-7.9%
85300	West Allis city	Milwaukee	27,604	27,454	-150	-0.5%	96.2%	93.5%	-2.6%
85325	West Baraboo village	Sauk	477	595	118	24.7%	97.3%	93.3%	-4.1%
85350	West Bend city	Washington	11,375	12,769	1,394	12.3%	95.4%	94.3%	-1.1%
85375	West Bend town	Washington	1,611	1,790	179	11.1%	81.6%	80.9%	-0.6%
85450	Westboro town	Taylor	261	288	27	10.3%	70.4%	61.7%	-8.7%
85475	Westby city	Vernon	840	929	89	10.6%	92.7%	93.3%	0.6%
85575	Westfield village	Marquette	504	523	19	3.8%	91.1%	90.5%	-0.7%
85600	Westfield town	Marquette	279	357	78	28.0%	67.4%	65.3%	-2.1%
85625	Westfield town	Sauk	202	213	11	5.4%	93.5%	89.5%	-4.0%
85650	Westford town	Dodge	551	517	-34	-6.2%	77.7%	73.6%	-4.1%
85675	Westford town	Richland	191	193	2	1.0%	79.9%	76.0%	-3.9%
85775	West Kewaunee town	Kewaunee	460	477	17	3.7%	94.8%	92.3%	-2.6%
85850	West Marshland town	Burnett	123	151	28	22.8%	66.5%	51.4%	-15.1%

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
85875	West Milwaukee village	Milwaukee	2,059	1,979	-80	-3.9%	93.7%	92.3%	-1.5%
85925	Weston town	Clark	230	254	24	10.4%	86.1%	79.9%	-6.3%
85975	Weston town	Dunn	221	230	9	4.1%	94.8%	91.6%	-3.2%
86025	Weston village	Marathon	4,572	5,772	1,200	26.2%	95.1%	90.7%	-4.4%
86050	Weston town	Marathon	179	228	49	27.4%	99.4%	94.6%	-4.8%
86100	West Point town	Columbia	660	762	102	15.5%	72.8%	63.7%	-9.1%
86125	Westport town	Dane	1,546	1,782	236	15.3%	88.2%	92.4%	4.1%
86275	West Salem village	La Crosse	1,706	1,831	125	7.3%	96.7%	98.0%	1.3%
86350	West Sweden town	Polk	285	290	5	1.8%	87.2%	80.3%	-6.8%
86400	Weyauwega city	Waupaca	720	746	26	3.6%	94.4%	91.5%	-2.8%
86425	Weyauwega town	Waupaca	226	237	11	4.9%	86.3%	79.3%	-7.0%
86450	Weyerhaeuser village	Rusk	172	116	-56	-32.6%	87.8%	81.7%	-6.1%
86500	Wheatland town	Kenosha	1,209	1,300	91	7.5%	87.7%	86.6%	-1.1%
86525	Wheatland town	Vernon	236	255	19	8.1%	65.6%	54.0%	-11.5%
86537	Wheaton town	Chippewa	852	1,001	149	17.5%	97.5%	95.3%	-2.1%
86575	Wheeler village	Dunn	134	127	-7	-5.2%	94.4%	86.4%	-8.0%
86700	Whitefish Bay village	Milwaukee	5,457	5,355	-102	-1.9%	98.3%	96.4%	-1.8%
86725	Whitehall city	Trempealeau	693	665	-28	-4.0%	94.5%	89.7%	-4.8%
86750	White Lake village	Langlade	136	156	20	14.7%	73.9%	73.6%	-0.3%
86775	Whitelaw village	Manitowoc	278	301	23	8.3%	97.9%	95.9%	-2.0%
86800	White Oak Springs town	Lafayette	35	43	8	22.9%	89.7%	87.8%	-2.0%
86850	White River town	Ashland	281	289	8	2.8%	90.1%	81.4%	-8.7%
86900	Whitestown town	Vernon	167	193	26	15.6%	69.0%	72.3%	3.3%
86925	Whitewater city	Jefferson, Walworth	4,132	4,766	634	15.3%	95.2%	93.2%	-2.0%
86950	Whitewater town	Walworth	552	578	26	4.7%	66.6%	64.1%	-2.5%
86975	Whiting village	Portage	690	750	60	8.7%	98.3%	92.5%	-5.8%
87025	Wien town	Marathon	248	283	35	14.1%	95.4%	95.6%	0.2%
87075	Wild Rose village	Waushara	312	316	4	1.3%	92.0%	83.8%	-8.2%
87125	Wilkinson town	Rusk	24	21	-3	-12.5%	66.7%	41.2%	-25.5%
87175	Willard town	Rusk	215	213	-2	-0.9%	67.2%	58.5%	-8.7%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

Workbook: ;
Worksheet: pop_hsg_co_0010_cf

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
87200	Williams Bay village	Walworth	993	1,061	68	6.8%	56.0%	53.5%	-2.6%
87225	Williamstown town	Dodge	235	277	42	17.9%	97.1%	95.5%	-1.6%
87250	Willow town	Richland	182	195	13	7.1%	75.2%	78.0%	2.8%
87275	Willow Springs town	Lafayette	230	283	53	23.0%	97.0%	94.3%	-2.7%
87375	Wilson town	Dunn	192	201	9	4.7%	90.6%	85.9%	-4.7%
87400	Wilson town	Eau Claire	148	179	31	20.9%	80.0%	67.8%	-12.2%
87425	Wilson town	Lincoln	130	137	7	5.4%	38.9%	34.4%	-4.5%
87450	Wilson town	Rusk	30	38	8	26.7%	90.9%	67.9%	-23.1%
87475	Wilson village	St. Croix	66	72	6	9.1%	95.7%	92.3%	-3.3%
87500	Wilson town	Sheboygan	1,235	1,314	79	6.4%	93.3%	90.9%	-2.4%
87525	Wilton village	Monroe	214	203	-11	-5.1%	91.8%	87.1%	-4.7%
87550	Wilton town	Monroe	238	276	38	16.0%	89.8%	89.3%	-0.5%
87600	Winchester town	Vilas	214	190	-24	-11.2%	26.9%	22.0%	-4.9%
87650	Winchester town	Winnebago	620	692	72	11.6%	97.5%	92.4%	-5.1%
87700	Wind Point village	Racine	736	731	-5	-0.7%	97.2%	94.2%	-3.0%
87750	Windsor town	Dane	1,880	2,432	552	29.4%	97.8%	95.4%	-2.3%
87775	Winfield town	Sauk	265	315	50	18.9%	89.2%	87.7%	-1.5%
87800	Wingville town	Grant	126	127	1	0.8%	92.6%	87.6%	-5.1%
87900	Winneconne village	Winnebago	945	1,027	82	8.7%	89.2%	85.7%	-3.4%
87925	Winneconne town	Winnebago	838	948	110	13.1%	82.2%	80.8%	-1.3%
87975	Winter village	Sawyer	164	153	-11	-6.7%	82.0%	73.2%	-8.8%
88000	Winter town	Sawyer	381	402	21	5.5%	31.7%	28.5%	-3.2%
88050	Wiota town	Lafayette	331	349	18	5.4%	90.9%	87.3%	-3.7%
88150	Wisconsin Dells city	Adams, Columbia, Juneau, Sauk	1,019	1,148	129	12.7%	86.5%	77.3%	-9.2%
88200	Wisconsin Rapids city	Wood	7,970	8,296	326	4.1%	94.6%	92.5%	-2.1%
88275	Withee village	Clark	213	232	19	8.9%	93.4%	91.3%	-2.1%
88300	Withee town	Clark	262	292	30	11.5%	94.6%	94.2%	-0.4%
88325	Wittenberg village	Shawano	436	427	-9	-2.1%	92.6%	86.3%	-6.3%
88350	Wittenberg town	Shawano	298	312	14	4.7%	90.0%	86.0%	-4.1%

prepared by David Egan-Robertson
WI Demographic Services Center
March 2011

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
88450	Wolf River town	Langlade	368	347	-21	-5.7%	49.3%	38.6%	-10.7%
88475	Wolf River town	Winnebago	515	538	23	4.5%	63.8%	63.4%	-0.4%
88500	Wonewoc village	Juneau	369	359	-10	-2.7%	94.1%	88.4%	-5.7%
88525	Wonewoc town	Juneau	288	270	-18	-6.3%	90.6%	87.4%	-3.2%
88575	Wood town	Wood	285	303	18	6.3%	92.2%	91.0%	-1.2%
88625	Woodboro town	Oneida	310	351	41	13.2%	52.4%	46.9%	-5.4%
88775	Woodland town	Sauk	247	272	25	10.1%	81.8%	68.7%	-13.1%
88825	Woodman village	Grant	45	49	4	8.9%	86.5%	84.5%	-2.1%
88850	Woodman town	Grant	75	77	2	2.7%	84.3%	68.8%	-15.5%
88875	Woodmohr town	Chippewa	319	342	23	7.2%	96.4%	94.5%	-1.9%
88900	Wood River town	Burnett	374	384	10	2.7%	68.5%	63.5%	-5.0%
88950	Woodruff town	Oneida	866	948	82	9.5%	57.2%	59.1%	2.0%
89000	Woodville town	Calumet	333	337	4	1.2%	98.8%	95.7%	-3.1%
89025	Woodville village	St. Croix	446	525	79	17.7%	97.0%	92.8%	-4.2%
89100	Worcester town	Price	699	695	-4	-0.6%	57.3%	54.3%	-3.0%
89125	Worden town	Clark	198	213	15	7.6%	94.3%	89.1%	-5.2%
89150	Wrightstown village	Brown, Outagamie	701	1,027	326	46.5%	96.2%	94.5%	-1.7%
89175	Wrightstown town	Brown	666	808	142	21.3%	97.8%	96.2%	-1.6%
89250	Wyalusing town	Grant	155	155	0	0.0%	84.7%	57.0%	-27.7%
89275	Wyeville village	Monroe	56	62	6	10.7%	93.3%	88.6%	-4.8%
89300	Wyocena village	Columbia	226	271	45	19.9%	93.8%	91.6%	-2.2%
89325	Wyocena town	Columbia	614	675	61	9.9%	86.0%	83.3%	-2.7%
89350	Wyoming town	Iowa	145	131	-14	-9.7%	68.4%	59.3%	-9.1%
89375	Wyoming town	Waupaca	111	131	20	18.0%	73.5%	61.2%	-12.3%
89425	York town	Clark	266	293	27	10.2%	95.3%	92.7%	-2.6%
89450	York town	Dane	252	246	-6	-2.4%	96.2%	90.8%	-5.4%
89475	York town	Green	216	341	125	57.9%	93.9%	93.2%	-0.7%
89575	Yorkville town	Racine	1,123	1,143	20	1.8%	97.4%	94.0%	-3.4%
89625	Yuba village	Richland	32	33	1	3.1%	94.1%	80.5%	-13.6%
			2,084,556	2,279,768					

NOTE: 2000 data has been corrected for Count Question Resolution results			Occupied Housing Units (Households)				Occupancy Rates		
Census 2010 FIPS	Municipality Name	County/Counties	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Units	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Units	Number Difference	Percent Difference	Census 2000 Occupied Housing Rate	Census 2010 Occupied Housing Rate	Percent Difference
	Note on Incorporations/Consolidations:								
	Lake Hallie village, Chippewa County, incorporated from part of Hall								
	Bristol village, Kenosha County, incorporated from part of Bristol tow								
	Rochester village and town, Racine County, consolidated to Roches								
	For more accurate comparisons, please use these values:								
	Hallie town/Lake Hallie v.	Chippewa	1,690	2,507	817	48.3%	97.7%	95.8%	-1.9%
	Bristol village/town	Kenosha	1,715	1,892	177	10.3%	94.3%	91.4%	-2.9%
	Rochester village	Racine	1,192	1,343	151	12.7%	96.5%	95.1%	-1.4%

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING
BUDGET AMENDMENT**

June 6, 2023

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, RESOLVED:

Pursuant to the recommendation of the Finance Committee at its meeting of May 23, 2023, the following 2023 amendment of funds is hereby authorized:

	<u>ACCOUNT</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
Increase:	101300 50501 Police-Overtime	\$31,769.69
Increase:	101300 46223 Police Overtime Reimbursement	\$31,769.69

***This budget amendment is for 2023 unbudgeted overtime for staffing at events such as the Luxemburg-Casco High School prom at the Tundra Lodge, Easter Egg Extravaganza, Dick Lytle Spring Classic, Pi Day 5K, Tarlton Theatre security during a documentary film and reimbursements from the Federal Task Forces for FBI and US Marshalls.*

Increase:	101400 50501 Fire-Overtime	\$1,383.57
Increase:	101400 46223 Fire Overtime Reimbursement	\$1,383.57

***This budget amendment is for 2023 unbudgeted overtime for staffing at the UWGB Women's Basketball game on 3/2/23 and the Brown County Fire Investigation Task Force.*

Increase:	101400 50501 Fire-Overtime	\$5,252.50
Increase:	101400 43611 Fire-State Overtime Reimbursement	\$5,252.50

***This budget amendment is for 2023 unbudgeted state reimbursements of costs incurred for employees to attend USAR (Urban Search & Rescue) trainings.*

Adopted _____

Approved _____

Mayor, Eric Genrich

Clerk, Celestine Jeffreys

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CANCELLATION
OF 2022 REAL ESTATE PROPERTY TAXES**

JUNE 6, 2023

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, RESOLVED:

That, pursuant to the recommendation of the Finance Committee at its meeting of May 23, 2023, the real estate property taxes for 2022 for the following parcel is hereby rescinded.

<u>Parcel</u>	<u>Amount</u>
# 21-8198	\$1,692.57
Stacey L. Johnson	
422 Kristy Lee Ct	
Green Bay, WI 54311	

Adopted _____

Approved _____

Mayor

Clerk

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CONDITIONAL USE
PERMIT AT 1500 GRIGNON STREET PARCEL 17-1034
(ZP 23-15)**

JUNE 6, 2023

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, RESOLVED:

That, pursuant to Zoning Petition 23-15 and the recommendation of the Plan Commission on May 22, 2023, the City of Green Bay does authorize a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to allow for a five foot (5') by thirty foot (30') covered front porch to extend one and one-half (1.5) feet into the front yard setback in a Low-Density Residential (R1) district on the following described property located at 1500 Grignon Street:

PLAT OF ASTOR LOT 6 BLOCK 155

Tax Parcel No. 17-1034

Said CUP shall be granted subject to the following conditions:

1. Site plan approval by the Department of Community and Economic Development.
2. Compliance with all other regulations of the Green Bay Municipal Code

Adopted _____



Approved _____

Eric Genrich, Mayor

Celestine Jeffreys, Clerk

dam:

Attachment – Map



Proposed CUP

200' Property Owner Notice

This is a compilation of records and data located in various City of Green Bay offices and is to be used for reference purposes only. The City of Green Bay is not responsible for any inaccuracies or unauthorized use of the information contained within. No warranties are implied. Map prepared by DM.

Zoning Petition (ZP 23-15) Proposed Conditional Use Permit (CUP) at 1500 Grignon Street



For Official Notice:

**Public Notification
Proposed Conditional Use Permit (CUP)
1500 Grignon Street (Parcel 17-1034)
(ZP 23-15)**

The Green Bay Plan Commission will hold a public hearing on Monday, May 22, 2023, at 6:00 p.m. The meeting will be conducted both in person in Room 604, City Hall, 100 N Jefferson St., and at a virtual location. If you wish to participate in the public hearing, you may access the meeting in person or by internet or telephone, using the access code below.

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84137675822?pwd=L2EyVlpDSiZGZlFjcmlpWnZlOEVnUT09>

Meeting ID: 841 3767 5822

Passcode: 483400

One tap mobile

+1 312 626 6799,,841 3767 5822# US (Chicago)

+1 646 876 9923,,841 3767 5822# US (New York)

Dial by your location

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

+1 646 876 9923 US (New York)

Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84137675822?pwd=L2EyVlpDSiZGZlFjcmlpWnZlOEVnUT09>

The hearing is regarding the following:

(ZP 23-15) Public Hearing on a request for Conditional Use Permit for a covered porch exceeding the front yard setback in a Low-Density Residential District (R-1) at 1500 Grignon Street, submitted by Rafael Santos, property owner. (Ald. B. Galvin, District 4)

Public comments will be invited at the public hearing or can be sent in writing to:

City of Green Bay

Community & Economic Development

Attn: Dena Mooney

100 N. Jefferson St., Rm. 608

Green Bay, WI 54301

For additional information, contact Dena Mooney at 920.448.3407 or dena.mooney@greenbaywi.gov.

Publication Dates: May 5, 2023 and May 12, 2023

**RESOLUTION ACCEPTING STREETS FOR CONCRETE PAVEMENT,
ASPHALT PAVEMENT OR ASPHALT RESURFACING
June 6, 2023**

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY, RESOLVED:

That the Director of Public Works has reported on and recommends the acceptance of the following streets for concrete pavement, asphalt pavement or asphalt resurfacing.

PAVEMENT 1-22

ROOSEVELT STREET - MAIN STREET TO STUART STREET

PAVEMENT 2-22

ELMORE STREET - FAIRVIEW COURT TO GRAY STREET

PAVEMENT 3-22

S. VAN BUREN STREET - GRIGNON STREET TO ELIZA STREET

PAVEMENT 4-22

COURIER ROAD - N. NORTHVIEW ROAD TO N. GRANDVIEW ROAD

PAVEMENT 5-22

ELIZA STREET - 100' WEST OF MADISON STREET TO S. MONROE AVENUE

ASPHALT RESURFACING 1-22

**KLAUS STREET – N. WEBSTER AVENUE TO N. IRWIN AVENUE
ONTARIO ROAD – FINGER ROAD TO TOBERMORY DRIVE
ST. GEORGE STREET – REBER STREET TO WEISE STREET**

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the above streets be and are hereby accepted and that the City Clerk be and is hereby instructed to issue statements against the abutting property in accordance with the final resolutions and the final assessments on file.

Adopted _____, 2023

Approved _____, 2023

Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk

sap



Public Works Department
100 North Jefferson Street - Room 300
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54301-5026
www.greenbaywi.gov

Administration | Engineering | Traffic 920.448.3100
Operations 920.448.3535
Parking 920.448.3431
Fax 920.448.3102

TO: Improvement & Services Committee
Steve Grenier – Director of Public Works

FROM: Valerie Joosten – Utility Manager

DATE: May 24, 2023

RE: Compliance Maintenance Annual Report Summary

CC: James Brunette – Assistant Director of Public Works

The Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (eCMAR) has been completed for submittal to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR). The report documents the compliance status of the City's sanitary sewer collection system for 2022.

The City of Green Bay's eCMAR consists of two sections, Financial Management and the Sanitary Sewer Collection Systems.

The Financial Management section of the report addresses whether the City has sufficient revenue to cover operational and maintenance expenses and ensures that the City is planning for capital projects and expenses, related to the City's sanitary sewer collection system. The report collects data related to energy efficiency and usage of the collection pumping systems. In 2022, the City received an "A" rating for the Financial Management section.

The Sanitary Sewer Collection System section of the report addresses the various components of the City's CMOM (Capacity, Management, Operations & Maintenance) program and addresses the City's performance related to the maintenance, management and operation of the City's sanitary sewer collection system. The City receive an "A" rating in 2022 for the Sanitary Sewer Collection System section of the report.

As indicated in the report, the City has the necessary systems in place to effectively operate, manage and maintain the sanitary sewer collection system. The City will continue to review and make improvements to its CMOM and set goals to continue to improve the operation, management and maintenance of the system.

A summary of the City's performance since 2006 is provided in the following table.

City of Green Bay – eCMAR Rating and Data Summary

Year	Financial Rating	Sewer System Rating	Overall Rating	% System Cleaning	% System Televised	Total No. of Sewer Complaints	Back-ups from City Main	Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO)
2006	A	A	A	33.53 ¹	3.19	323	207	0
2007	A	A	A	30.6 ¹	3.2	332	249	0
2008	A	A	A	29.3 ¹	2.9	364	239	0
2009	A	C	C+	8.85	3.5	359	267	2
2010	A	A	A	11.37	2.4	317	238	0
2011	A	A	A	24.75	10.59	299	72	0
2012	A	A	A	19.5	9.7	281	57	0
2013	A	A	A	43	19.4	272	43	0
2014	A	A	A	31.2	34.9	321	25	0
2015	A	A	A	31.03	16.04	248	42	0
2016	A	A	A	38.06	16.44	178	13	0
2017	A	A	A	61.9	16.1	174	11	0
2018	A	A	A	71.6	25.9	214	6 ²	0
2019	A	A	A	58.1	25.0	182	7 ²	1
2020	A	A	A	55.4	19.6	192	6 ²	0
2021	A	A	A	40.94	21	160	12	0
2022	A	A	A	43.2	23.8	159	7	0

Notes: ¹ Double counting of footages

² Excludes complaints due to flooding, contractor damage, and water main breaks

Action Requested

The Public Works Department requests the Improvement & Service Committee and Common Council adopt the Resolution approving the WDNR Compliance Maintenance Annual Report, authorize the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the resolution, and allow the Director of Public Works to forward these documents to WDNR. These actions are necessary to maintain compliance with the requirements of ch. NR 208, Wis. Adm. Code. The submittal deadline for the annual report is June 30, 2023.

**RESOLUTION APPROVING THE
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
NR 208 – COMPLIANCE MAINTENANCE ANNUAL REPORT
June 6, 2023**

BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY:

WHEREAS, it is a requirement under a Wisconsin Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (WPDES) permit issued by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources to file a Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR) for its wastewater collection system under Wisconsin Administrative Code NR 208; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to acknowledge that the governing body has reviewed the Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (CMAR); and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to provide recommendations or an action response plan for all individual CMAR section grades (of "C" or less) and/or an overall grade point average (<3.00); and

WHEREAS the City of Green Bay (City) scored an "A" in Financial Management; and

WHEREAS the City scored an "A" in Collection System Management; and

WHEREAS the City scored an "A" as an overall grade.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the following voluntary actions will be taken by the City in 2023:

1. Continue to review and update policies and procedures pertaining to the financial management of the City's Sanitary Sewer Utility;
2. Continue to review and implement written policies and procedures as it relates to the management, operation and maintenance of the City's sanitary sewer collection system;
3. Continue to review and implement the City's Capacity, Management, Operation and Maintenance (CMOM) program;
4. Continue to evaluate program goals related to the CMOM program to continue to improve the operation, management and maintenance of the City's sanitary sewer collection system.

Adopted _____, 2023

Approved _____, 2023

Eric Genrich, Mayor

ATTEST:

Celestine Jeffreys, City Clerk

MISCELLANEOUS ORDINANCE NO. 06-23

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING AN AMENDMENT TO THE SMART GROWTH 2022 COMPREHENSIVE PLAN OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY RELATED TO PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2132 DECKNER AVE (#21-2284-2) (CPA 23-04)

WHEREAS, pursuant to Wis. Stats. §§ 62.23(2) and (3), the City of Green Bay is authorized to prepare, adopt, and amend a comprehensive plan, as defined in Wis. Stats. § 66.1001(4)(a); and

WHEREAS the Common Council has adopted the Smart Growth 2022 Comprehensive Plan for the purpose of guiding future land-use decision making, but recognizes that from time-to-time amendments may be necessary to reflect changes in the land use and planning needs of the community; and

WHEREAS the Common Council of the City of Green Bay has adopted written procedures (known as the Public Participation Plan for Periodic Comprehensive Plan Amendments) designed to foster public participation in every stage of the preparation of periodic comprehensive plan amendments required by Wis. Stats. § 66.1001(4)(a); and

WHEREAS the City of Green Bay has held at least one public hearing on this ordinance in compliance with the requirements of Wis. Stats. § 66.1001(4)(d), and it is the Common Council's belief that this comprehensive plan amendment is necessary and consistent with the overall goals, policies, and other related elements of the plan;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Comprehensive Plan Amendment CPA 23-04 is hereby adopted pursuant to Wis. Stats. § 66.1001(4)(c) on the following described properties. A copy of the comprehensive plan amendment is attached to this ordinance.

Part of lot 1 of the recorded plat of Newberry's addition to the city of green bay subdivision no. 2, recorded in the brown county register of deeds in volume 1 of plats on page 177, being part of the northeast quarter of the northeast quarter (ne 1/4 - ne 1/4) of section 5, township 23 north, range 21 east, city of green bay, brown county, Wisconsin and described as follows:

Commencing at the Northeast corner of Section 5, Township 23 North, Range 21 East; thence S01°22'29"W along the East line of the Northeast quarter (NE 1/4) of said Section 5 a distance of 505.23 feet; thence N87°35'31"W a distance of 30.00 feet to a point on the West right-of-way of Danz Avenue, and the point of beginning; thence continue N87°35'31"W along the North line of Jacket 10741, Image 20

recorded in the Brown County Register of Deeds, a distance of 247.72 feet; thence N00°35'50"W a distance of 32.19 feet; thence N89°24'10"E a distance of 248.93 feet to the aforementioned West right-of-way of Danz Avenue; thence S01°22'29"E along said West right-of-way a distance of 45.20 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel contains 9,605 square feet / 0.220 acres of land more or less.

SECTION 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall take effect upon its passage and publication.

Dated at Green Bay, Wisconsin, this _____ day of _____, 2023.

APPROVED:


Eric Genrich, Mayor

ATTEST:

Celestine Jeffreys, Clerk

db:

Attachments – Location Map



 Proposed CPA & Zone Change

 400' Property Owner Notice

This is a compilation of records and data located in various City of Green Bay offices and is to be used for reference purposes only. The City of Green Bay is not responsible for any inaccuracies or unauthorized use of the information contained within. No warranties are implied.
Map prepared by DB

(CPA 23-04 & ZP 23-16)
Proposed Comprehensive Plan
Amendment & Zone Change at
2132 Deckner Avenue



For Official Notice:

Public Notification
Proposed Amendment to the City of Green Bay
Smart Growth 2022 Comprehensive Plan
(CPA 23-04)

The Green Bay Plan Commission will hold a public hearing on Monday, May 22, 2023, at 6:00 p.m. The meeting will be conducted both in person in Room 604 of City Hall (100 N Jefferson Street, Green Bay, 54301) or virtually. If you wish to participate in the Public Hearing virtually, you may access the meeting by internet or telephone, using the access code below.

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84137675822?pwd=L2EyVlpDSlZGZ1FjcmlpWnZlOEVnUT09>

Meeting ID: 841 3767 5822

Passcode: 483400

One tap mobile

+13126266799,,84137675822# US (Chicago)

+16468769923,,84137675822# US (New York)

Dial by your location

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

+1 646 876 9923 US (New York)

Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84137675822?pwd=L2EyVlpDSlZGZ1FjcmlpWnZlOEVnUT09>

The hearing is regarding the following proposed amendment to the City of Green Bay Smart Growth 2022 Comprehensive Plan:

(CPA 23-04) Public hearing on the request to amend the City's Comprehensive Plan for the property located at 2132 Deckner Ave (#21-2284-2) from a split zoning designation of Low Density Residential and Public/Semi-Public to Public or Semi-Public.

An image depicting the affected area is available in the office of Community and Economic Development for the City of Green Bay.

The proposed comprehensive plan amendment would allow for the future rezoning of the property to bring it into compliance with its current religious institution use and for expansion of Preble School Athletics.

Public comments will be invited at the public hearing or can be sent in writing to:

City of Green Bay

Community & Economic Development

Attn: David Buck, AICP

100 N. Jefferson St., Rm. 608

Green Bay, WI 54301

For additional information, contact David Buck at david.buck@greenbaywi.gov or 920.448.3411.

ACCESSIBILITY: Any person wishing to attend who, because of a disability, requires special accommodation should contact the City Safety Manager at 920.448.3125 at least 48 hours before the scheduled meeting time so that arrangements can be made.

Publication Date: May 5, 2023

ZONING ORDINANCE NO. 12-23

AN ORDINANCE REZONING PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2132 DECKNER AVE (#21-2284-2) FROM VARIED-DENSITY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT (R3) TO PUBLIC INSTITUTIONAL DISTRICT (PI) (ZP 23-16)

THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREEN BAY DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 44-30, Green Bay Municipal Code, together with the zoning map referred to therein, is hereby amended by rezoning the following described property, known as at 2132 Deckner Ave (#21-2284-2), from Varied-Density Residential (R3) to Public Institutional (PI):

Part of lot 1 of the recorded plat of Newberry's addition to the city of green bay subdivision no. 2, recorded in the brown county register of deeds in volume 1 of plats on page 177, being part of the northeast quarter of the northeast quarter (ne 1/4 - ne 1/4) of section 5, township 23 north, range 21 east, city of green bay, brown county, Wisconsin and described as follows:

Commencing at the Northeast corner of Section 5, Township 23 North, Range 21 East; thence S01°22'29"W along the East line of the Northeast quarter (NE 1/4) of said Section 5 a distance of 505.23 feet; thence N87°35'31"W a distance of 30.00 feet to a point on the West right-of-way of Danz Avenue, and the point of beginning; thence continue N87°35'31"W along the North line of Jacket 10741, Image 20 recorded in the Brown County Register of Deeds, a distance of 247.72 feet; thence N00°35'50"W a distance of 32.19 feet; thence N89°24'10"E a distance of 248.93 feet to the aforementioned West right-of-way of Danz Avenue; thence S01°22'29"E along said West right-of-way a distance of 45.20 feet to the point of beginning.

Parcel contains 9,605 square feet / 0.220 acres of land more or less.

SECTION 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed.

SECTION 3. This ordinance shall not take effect until a public hearing is held hereon as provided by Section 44-82, Green Bay Municipal Code, and the adoption and publication of this ordinance.

Dated at Green Bay, Wisconsin, this _____ day of _____, 2023.

APPROVED:


Eric Genrich, Mayor

ATTEST:

Celestine Jeffreys, Clerk

db:

Attachments – Location Map



- Proposed CPA & Zone Change
- 400' Property Owner Notice

This is a compilation of records and data located in various City of Green Bay offices and is to be used for reference purposes only. The City of Green Bay is not responsible for any inaccuracies or unauthorized use of the information contained within. No warranties are implied.
Map prepared by DB

(CPA 23-04 & ZP 23-16)

Proposed Comprehensive Plan Amendment & Zone Change at 2132 Deckner Avenue



For Official Notice:

Public Notification
Rezoning property located at
2132 Deckner Ave (21-2284-2)
(ZP 23-16)

The Green Bay Plan Commission will hold a public hearing on Monday, May 22, 2023, at 6:00 p.m. The meeting will be conducted both in person in Room 604 of City Hall (100 N Jefferson Street, Green Bay, 54301) and virtually. If you wish to participate in the Public Hearing virtually, you may access the meeting by internet or telephone, using the access code below.

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84137675822?pwd=L2EyVlpDSlZGZ1FjcmlpWnZlOEVnUT09>

Meeting ID: 841 3767 5822

Passcode: 483400

One tap mobile

+13126266799,,84137675822# US (Chicago)

+16468769923,,84137675822# US (New York)

Dial by your location

+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)

+1 646 876 9923 US (New York)

Find your local number: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/kc8xd2MWP>

The hearing is regarding the following:

(ZP 23-16) Public hearing on the request to rezone part of the property located at 2132 Deckner Ave (#21-2284-2) from Varied-Density Residential (R3) District to the Public Institutional (PI) District.

Public comments will be invited at the public hearing or can be sent in writing to:

City of Green Bay

Community & Economic Development

Attn: David Buck, AICP

100 N. Jefferson St., Rm. 608

Green Bay, WI 54301

For additional information, contact David Buck at david.buck@greenbaywi.gov or 920.448.3411.

ACCESSIBILITY: Any person wishing to attend who, because of a disability, requires special accommodation should contact the City Safety Manager at 920.448.3125 at least 48 hours before the scheduled meeting time so that arrangements can be made.

Publication Dates: May 5, 2023 and May 12, 2023