



MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE

TUESDAY, JUNE 13, 2023, 4:30 PM

In person at City Hall, Room 207.

Virtual attendance also available via Zoom.

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. This item contains Zoom information, instructions, and a link to the Virtual Comment Form.

B. ROLL CALL.

I. Members: Ald. Brian Johnson, Ald. Jesse Brunette, Ald. Bill Galvin & Ald. Jim Hutchison.

Present: Jesse Brunette, Brian Johnson, Bill Galvin, Jim Hutchison

C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

I. Approval of the Finance Committee agenda for the June 13, 2023, meeting.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve the agenda. Motion Passed.

Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

I. Approval of the Finance Committee minutes from the May 23, 2023, meeting.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve the minutes. Motion Passed.

Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

E. REGULAR BUSINESS.

I. Consideration with possible action on the presale reports prepared by Ehlers Public Finance Advisors for the 2023 borrowing approved at the Common Council meeting on May 16, 2023.

Speakers:

Diana Ellenbecker

Todd Taves

Moved by Ald. Jim Hutchison, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve the presale reports for the 2023 borrowing approved at the Common Council meeting on May 16, 2023. Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

2. Consideration with possible action for the Initial Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of Not to Exceed \$10,965,000 General Obligation Bonds for Street Improvements.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to suspend the rules to approve Agenda Items 2-9 in one vote. Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve resolution agenda items 2-9. Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

3. Consideration with possible action for the Initial Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of Not to Exceed \$1,575,000 General Obligation Bonds for Sewerage Improvements.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve resolution agenda items 2-9. Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

4. Consideration with possible action for the Initial Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of Not to Exceed \$525,000 General Obligation Bonds for Parking Lots or Other Parking Facilities.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve resolution agenda items 2-9. Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

5. Consideration with possible action for the Initial Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of Not to Exceed \$1,340,000 General Obligation Bonds for Parks and Public Grounds.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve resolution agenda items 2-9. Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

6. Consideration with possible action for the Initial Resolution Authorizing the Issuance of Not to Exceed \$1,505,000 General Obligation Bonds for Buildings for the Housing of Machinery and Equipment.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve resolution agenda items 2-9. Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

7. Consideration with possible action for a Resolution Authorizing and Directing the Publication of Notice of the Adoption of Initial Resolutions.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve resolution agenda items 2-9. Motion Passed.
Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

8. Consideration with possible action for the Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Issuance

and Establishing Parameters for the Sale of Not to Exceed \$15,910,000 General Obligation Corporate Purpose Bonds, Series 2023A, and All Related Details.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve resolution agenda items 2-9. Motion Passed.

Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

9. Consideration with possible action for a Resolution Authorizing and Providing for the Issuance and Establishing Parameters for the Sale of Not to Exceed \$4,475,000 General Obligation Promissory Notes, Series 2023B, and All Related Details.

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve resolution agenda items 2-9. Motion Passed.

Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

10. Consideration with possible action regarding the award of debt collection service to Finance Systems of Green Bay for a 3-year contract.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to award a 3-year for debt collection services to Finance Systems of Green Bay. Motion Passed.

Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

11. Consideration with possible action on a request to purchase 27 bicycles from Broken Spoke Bike Studio for \$33,480 to be paid with Safer Communities Grant money for the Mobile Response Team.

Speakers:

Chief Chris Davis

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve the purchase of 27 bicycles from Broken Spoke Bike Studio for \$33,480, to be paid with Safer Communities Grant Money for the Mobile Response Team. Motion Passed.

Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

12. Consideration with possible action on an amendment to ARPA funding allocation for police recruiting and retention.

Speakers:

Chief Chris Davis

Moved by Ald. Jesse Brunette, seconded by Ald. Bill Galvin to approve the amendment to ARPA funding allocation for police recruiting and retention. Motion Passed.

Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

F. INFORMATIONAL.

1. 2023 Contingency Account: \$244,643.36, with an unobligated amount of \$200,004.96.

2. The next Finance Committee meeting will be held on June 27, 2023 at 4:30 PM.

Correction: the next Finance Committee meeting will be July 25, 2023.

G. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Bill Galvin, seconded by Ald. Jesse Brunette to adjourn. Motion Passed.

Yes- Bill Galvin, Brian Johnson, Jesse Brunette, Jim Hutchison, No- None, Abstain- None.

VERBATIM MINUTES

- Yes.

- All right, we'll call this meeting to order of the finance committee, June 13th, 2023. The record reflect Alder Galvin, Alder Brunette, Alder Johnson are present, Alder Hutchison is present virtually. Entertain a motion to approve the agenda.

- So moved.

- Second.

- A motion by Brunette, a second by Galvin. Any discussion? Seeing no, those in favor, say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye. Motion carries Item D, approval of minutes, approval of the finance committee minutes from the May 23rd, 2023 meeting.

- Approved.

- Second.

- A motion by Brunette, a second by Galvin. Any discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor, say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye. Opposed? Motion carries. Item E, regular business number one. Consideration of possible action of the pre-sale reports prepared by Ehlers Public Finance Advisors for the 2023 borrowing proved at the common council meeting on May 16th, 2023. Are there any questions? If not, we'll entertain a motion.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- We have a motion by Hutchison, a second by Galvin. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed? Motion carries. Item two, consideration of possible action for the initial resolution authorizing the issuance of not to exceed \$10,965,000 general obligation bonds for street improvements. Any discussion?

- I have none.

- Alder Brunette?

- Yeah, Director Ellenbecker. Now there are several coming. We can just kind of in a blanket way discuss this. These are all customary. This is year after year. Of course the numbers change, but there's nothing out of the ordinary.

- There is nothing outta the ordinary. We also have Todd Gates from Ehlers on the line if you have any questions. He helped put the documents that you just approved, the presale reports together. These are just custom and standard. Last time we approved the dollar amount, and now we've gone through and we have to put 'em through by the different types of issuance not to exceed the type of general obligation bonds. The street improvements or sewer improvements, and then notes are kept separate. The only thing I would say is if you could by the time you get down to number seven and eight, they're called... I'm sorry, eight and nine. They're called parameter resolutions, and that gives our financial advisors a little more leniency to be able to sell the bonds on the day that maybe is the interest rate is a little lower and a little more is better for us. In the past, sometimes we would have it just the day of council at 10:00 AM. So this gives them a little more flexibility. But I will see if Todd from Ehlers has any other comments he'd like to add to these items on our agenda.

- [Todd] I know that summarized it nice. The reason you have so many resolutions, the state statute requires that bonds you have a resolution for every single purpose, whereas the notes there is a single resolution for everything to be appointed by the notes.

- Thank you.

- Yeah, and I kind of read through 'em too, and I think the biggest concern we've had in the past is making sure that the term of the note of the bond is equivalent to the lifespan of the asset for which we were bonding. And all of that is in alignment. Diana and I had email exchange today. So three years on squad cars, eight years on, I think, the refuge truck, 10 years on some improvements, 20 years on infrastructure. So everything looked good to me.

- Heading in the right direction on that. So I guess the question was really not just for the sake of the committee and the public, but for newer council members, this is their second time around. So assuming they all watched this meeting, and the committee meeting, hopefully this is a good explanation for them. No other questions.

- Right, I would entertain a motion to suspend the rules to take up items two through nine of one vote.

- Second.

- We'll call it a motion, Galvin.

- Second.

- Second by Brunette. Any discussion? Seeing none, those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Any opposed? Motion carries.

- Motion for a motion.

- Motion to approve by Alder Brunette.

- Second.

- Second by Alder Galvin. Any discussion on bonding? Seeing none, all those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed? Motion carries. Item number 10, consideration of possible action regarding the award of debt collection service to finance systems of Green Bay for a three year contract.

- Motion to approve.

- Second.

- We have a motion by Galvin, a second by Brunette. Any discussion?

- No.

- Seeing none, those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- Opposed? Motion carries. Item 11, consideration of possible action, and a request to purchase 27 bicycles from Broken Spoke Bike Studio for \$33,480 to be paid with Safer Communities grant money for the mobile response team. Chief Davis.

- [Chief Davis] Good afternoon, Alders. So as you said, this is a request for 27 bicycles. A price of \$33,000 actually for 27 mountain bikes is pretty good, and that's the reason for this sole source request. And the plan for this is we need to dramatically improve our ability to deploy officers on bicycles, and primarily for public order policing uses. You know, this being an NFL city, we have a number of large events. We have the NFL draft coming up, we've been asked to provide support in Milwaukee for some of the RNC events. We anticipate the potential, at least, for some large events and dignitary visits during the 2024 presidential election cycle, and so we currently do not have any specialized public order policing resources in the Green Bay Police Department, and we really should for an agency our size with the kind of events that we're likely to see here. Bikes have been shown to be very effective in a lot of public order situations. In a large crowd event, bikes can be deployed much more quickly than people on foot. And in some cases, it's almost impossible to bring a motor vehicle into a large crowd. For mobile events like marches or parades, they can be used to move up ahead leapfrog a crowd and block intersections. And, you know, I have obviously from my previous life have a lot of experience in public order policing, and have always been a big believer in bikes. The public tends to like 'em. It's a little bit lower key approach for police officers, makes 'em more approachable, helps keep the tension down at things like protest events. And then finally, this will dramatically improve our ability to deploy officers on bike patrol in places like downtown or public spaces as we've done in the last two summers.

- Chief, you and I spoke earlier this week, and I had inquired. This body had authorized the bonding for 12 e-bikes for the fire department, you may recall. And so I inquired with the chief why we're pursuing bicycles as opposed to e-bikes. Could you just speak to that a little bit?

- [Chief Davis] Sure, and e-bikes are probably more appropriate for things like bike patrol like our community policing unit does. There are some completely separate efforts underway to procure some e-bikes for CPs. But for public order policing uses, e-bikes are too heavy and you really want something that's a lot lighter,

that's easier to get in and out of crowds, and there are techniques that you can use if for some reason say you need to block an area and try and get a crowd that's on the move to go a direction that you want it to go. You can put up, it's basically like a bike fence with mountain bikes very quickly. The heavier those are though, the harder that is to do. So unfortunately for the officers on these, they're gonna have to be under a hundred percent of their own power. But they're also you get a lot more of 'em for less. E-bikes are much more expensive.

- Sure. Alder Brunette?

- Chief, how did you decide on 27?

- [Chief Davis] So standard public order policing team comes in squads typically of 11 officers and a sergeant. This would give us two so that's 24 people, and you have three spares.

- Okay, as far as officers being deployed on bicycles, is that something that you do to a great degree now? Are there any bikes, even bikes that are disrepair? I mean, is that something that you mobilize now?

- [Chief Davis] We don't have that many of them. We do, our community policing unit does occasionally deploy on bikes, and then we've been using them the last two summers now on directed patrols in downtown and like, public spaces like parks, the river, bike trail, but not on the scale that-

- It's a leading question. I was getting to this. Is there any union contract issues with, you know, bicycles? Is that something that came up this latest or on a negotiation?

- [Chief Davis] There isn't with bicycles themselves, there is with a specialty unit like a mobile response team, and we've been in discussions with the union about how that will fit into the labor agreement that we have, and I think we've got that.

- So there's real I mean, as far as your concerned, no pushback. Officers will like this. I'm sure it's something that many will volunteer to do.

- [Chief Davis] I would think so. I mean, I have not heard any negative feedback from among the officers but, you know, there may be some, but I haven't heard it.

- Final thing, obviously in your memo to us you kinda mentioned the NFL draft and from a public perspective, I guess some people may see this and go, well, city of Green Bay's paying 30 some thousand dollars because of the NFL draft. You're gonna use these bikes regardless of the NFL draft. It's something that you're needing for other large scale events in the city. Is that right?

- [Chief Davis] Yes, we have been working for several months now on, before we even knew that the NFL draft was coming, on a plan to establish a specialized public order policing resource. A big part of which is this bike patrol option. The NFL draft is an example of one of the places where we would wanna use this, but I think we will probably use it in a couple other events before that.

- So you were pursuing this prior to the NFL draft?

- [Chief Davis] Yes.

- Okay, that's fair. Thank you. Alder Galvin?

- We're still missing one of our bikes stolen at a Packer game. Was any thought put into putting trackers on these bikes? You get into a melee, there's a good chance one could go missing and at over a thousand bucks a pop. Was there any part of that?

- [Chief Davis] I will be honest with you, I have not thought of that until just this moment, but that's not a bad idea. We probably put air tags on 'em for fairly cheap.

- Okay, the other thing I was thinking too is an officer needs help and he's been pursuing someone, you don't necessarily know where he is at. Being able to track that bike would, you know, benefit for the officer.

- [Chief Davis] Our body cameras are geo locatable right now so yeah, that would cover us there.

- And I'm sure you're already looking at this. Training, when, how much, how long?

- [Chief Davis] The tentative plan right now is we're working with the Milwaukee Police Department to loan us an instructor to come up and teach our folks, and we want to try to have that done before the weather gets bad this fall.

- Okay, I'm totally in favor of this. I mean, I've seen what they've been able to do in the past, and I've seen what they've done recently across the nation. But yeah, this is something I think the City of Bay could use. Okay.

- Yeah, and I think just to add to that, I think this is a good product. Chief and I talked about it. I like that it makes our police department more approachable even just the uniforms are different. I think this to me makes a lot more sense than maybe the cavalry effort did. I don't know what the exact appropriate term for that is.

- Oh, the horse patrol.

- Mount patrol.

- The horse patrol, mount patrol. We call it the cavalry. No, but I do like this for a lot of reasons. Just the way it connects our officers to our community. The only concern that I had was the specialty union discussions. So do you have any concerns? I mean, does that require a modification to the agreement?

- [Chief Davis] We're in the process right now of working out an MOU with the union.

- Okay.

- [Chief Davis] The current bargaining agreement, one of the things that we did in this latest agreement was we pretty much standardized selection processes for all of the specialty units in the department where the selection process, the default selection process is the same for all of 'em, and it would be no different for this one. And then the ones that have a physical, like a physical test that we need to do that is tied to some of the job duties like the SWAT team, that's an add-on. We've already been in very productive discussions with the association on this. And at least at this point, I don't anticipate labor issues. You know, the contract provisions for things like compensation for training, or for call outs, or special events, or those kinda things are already in place. So really, it's another opportunity for in this case, about 24 of the association's members to learn new skills and do something different.

- Is there any concern on your part? You know, part of me says maybe we should make this contingent on, you know, the successful execution of an MOU. Would you have any problems with that, or are you looking to order these prior?

- [Chief Davis] Well, the problem would be the timeline because of supply chains. This is an opportunity that we have to get these at a pretty good price. This is something we would find a use for whether we complete an MOU with the union on the mobile response team or not. I see the failure of an MOU with the union right now is a fairly low risk just based on the discussions we've had and the progress we've made. I mean, we've already submitted a draft that's gone back and forth. We've changed some things that they pointed out that in

our draft we actually had a little different than that sort of default process that we have. So I mean, just based on how productive the conversations have been, I think it's pretty low risk that we won't get there with the union.

- Okay. Good. Any other questions?

- [Hutchison] My questions have all been answered.

- Okay, we have a motion by Brunette.

- Second.

- Second by Galvin. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor say aye.

- Aye.

- Aye.

- [Hutchison] Aye.

- Opposed? Motion carries. Congrats, Chief. And we had a funding source, by the way. That wasn't even brought up, that it wasn't a levy supported funding source. So I think it's just important to do it to acknowledge.

- Yeah, it's covered out of the state's Safer Communities grant.

- Yeah. Yeah. Okay, Item 12. Consideration to possible action on amendment to ARPA funding allocation for police recruiting and retention. Chief.

- [Chief Davis] So a while back, we were given authorization by council for contingency funding for over hire for sworn people. We, and this was always the risk in this that we would get halfway through the year and not need any of it, I still anticipate the potential that we may need some money to over hire. Right now we are down to two sworn vacancies, and we're doing another testing process in July. So I would appreciate maintaining the flexibility to over hire still this year, but I don't think that we're going to get anywhere near \$267,000 at this point because we estimated that number very conservatively so that we could cover, you know, what the maximum we thought we would need, and we're not gonna have that scenario. In the meantime, we have a few newer expenses that we're trying to find a way to cover related to recruiting and retention. The first of these is in the 2023 police association contract, we agreed to use an outside consultant and do an assessment center for sergeants' promotions. And so there's always a cost associated with getting an outside consultant. I don't have a lot of confidence in an estimate yet as to what that cost will be. Typically, in an agency this size, my kind of back of the napkin estimate would be somewhere between 10 and \$20,000 to have that done. I think 20,000 is probably on the high side. So this would, you know, that's an unfunded need in our current budget that is a result of the labor agreement. On balance, I think that's a good policy. I think that provides us with, you know, having an outside eyes on our promotional process for sergeant will promote confidence among the union membership in the fairness of the process and its objectivity. I've done processes this way before with outside groups. There are lots of them around the country that are very good at it, and we don't normally run these processes ourselves and so, you know, I do see a need for that outside expertise. The second thing that we run into is our costs related to recruiting and background investigations. We've been doing a lot of hiring and I think this is a good problem to have, but we had an \$88,000 ARPA funding package that was authorized I believe it was last year for recruiting that we have used up. We use that on things like sending our recruiters to recruiting events around the region, travel to do background investigations, which has, and without going into too much detail, we have had cases where I've been really glad that we sent someone physically to go visit, you know, in this case out of state because sometimes, you find out things in

backgrounds that you wouldn't otherwise and you would wish you knew later. So it is important I think for us to travel to these places out of state when we hire recruits from outside the area to do background investigations, and then our psychological evaluations. You know, we do a psychological evaluation on every person who's given a conditional job offer, and those add up when you hire as many people as we have. So we would like the flexibility to cover those costs going forward for the rest of this year out of that contingency fund. And then finally, we're looking for money to cover the cost of training to allow our own personnel to evaluate the EQI test that we've implemented that tests candidates' emotional intelligence, their ability to self-regulate, to understand their own emotions under stress. We know that those are skills that are pretty important in police officers. We're paying a vendor \$200 per test right now to administer or to interpret the results for us. But for, I believe, it's \$1,800 a person, we can train our own people to do that, and then we don't spend \$200 on it anymore. We do it ourselves. We have intentionally not assigned specific dollar values to these things because some of them are unpredictable. I don't know, based on, you know, just how if we maintain the luck that we've had hiring how much to tell you that we anticipate spending and the rest of the year on say, psychological evaluations because it just depends on how, you know, hopefully our luck doesn't run out, and we're able to get hired up to full strength plus six. And, you know, like I said before with the assessment center costs, we just don't know what the request for proposals or the bids that we've got out right now are gonna come back at.

- Okay, I don't wanna speak for the rest of the committee, but to me, when we made this ARPA allocation, I think the intent was to have a fully staffed, professionally trained police force. And I think you've kind of taken that charge very personally and we appreciate that because you've done exactly I think what we've all wanted to see. So from my perspective, when you talk about whether you're using it for over hire, for recruitment retention, for testing, as long as we've got that fully staffed, you know, and trained police force, that's what my objective is and I'm perfectly comfortable deferring to you on how those pieces come together. That's what we pay you for, and so I want you to know that I have that trust that you're able to kind of figure that out. But I don't know if any other members of the committee have thoughts. Alder Brunette.

- Yeah, not that the chief needs me to praise him, but that's one thing I really respect about his leadership is that there's certain ARPA allocations that were presented to the council and then upon further study thought process, it was kinda changed and it came through our body the proper way so that we could reallocate. So gunshot detection, perfect example. Upon studying, we realized maybe that was at the direction so that we allocated it to something else. And so definitely complete support of this because it seems like we're very adaptive to what the situation calls for. This is a great example of that.

- You're still trying to end up with six over hires, are you not?

- [Chief Davis] Right.

- And that's just, that's gonna be the hard deal 'cause I know there's a few guys that are already talking about retiring this year. So as soon as you get those two and you get a couple more. So, you know, but I'm happy to see that we're making progress. I don't know how many kids are showing up to take the tests now. At one time there was three to 500, and then it got into the 10s and 20s. So I'm hoping that's turning around. One thing we always said once I was in the administration of the department was the more money you spent on the front end hiring, the less money you spend on discipline and other issues, which can really hurt this community and hurt the department. So I'm in favor anytime we want to put more money in the front end. It just makes good sense that that's the last place you'd wanna make any cuts. You wanna hire good kids and they don't always turn out. Even with everything we do to try and hire 'em, they're just some people aren't cut off for this job. But the more we can do on that front end, the more better candidate that we can get serving this community, I think we're better served by spending this money now the way we want to. So I'm in favor of it.

- Any other questions?

- I agree. I agree.

- Great. Do we have a motion?
- Mo to approve.
- Second.
- Motion by Brunette, second by Galvin. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all those in favor say aye.
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Opposed? Motion carries. F Informational, number one, the 2023 contingency count. \$244,643.36 with unobligated amount of \$200,000, \$200,004.96. Number two, the next finance committee meeting will be held on June 27th, 2023 at 4:30 PM.
- Alder?
- Yes.
- I would like to introduce if you don't mind. Linda Shofey is our brand new assistant finance director. I should say brand new seven days in. So she's here learning.
- Welcome. Congratulations.
- And I also have Jessica filling in to help Ron my meeting manager today.
- Great, happy to have you on board. Thanks for the support, Jessica.
- Anytime.
- Alder Brunette?
- Yeah, well welcome first of all. I just wanted to comment that the next meeting June 27th, that's a council meeting.
- We do have a wrong date on there. Next meeting would be July 25th.
- Good eye.
- Good catch.
- Okay, motion to adjourn.
- Second.
- We have a motion by Galvin to adjourn. Second by Brunette. All those in favor, say aye.
- Aye.

- Aye.
- Opposed? Motion carries.
- Thank you.