



MINUTES OF THE IMPROVEMENT AND SERVICES COMMITTEE

WEDNESDAY, MAY 31, 2023, 5:00 PM
Immediately following Parks Committee.

AMENDED

In person at City Hall, Room 207
Virtual attendance also available via Zoom.

A. ZOOM MEETING INFORMATION.

I. This item contains Zoom information, instructions, and a link to the Virtual Comment Form.

B. ROLL CALL.

Present: Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, Melinda Eck, Steven Campbell
Excused:

C. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to amend the agenda addressing Items E3., E.5 and E.8. first and then the remaining items in order.

Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the agenda for the May 31, 2023 Improvement & Services Committee meeting as amended. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

D. APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the minutes of the May 10, 2023 Improvement & Services Committee meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

E. REGULAR BUSINESS.

1. Consideration with possible action on the request by Mohamed Farah for permission to park vehicles on the street every night at 802 Cora Street for the remainder of 2023.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to approve the request by Mohamed Farah for permission to park vehicles on the street every night at 802 Cora Street for the remainder of 2023. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

2. Consideration with possible action on request by Corey Buss, 1602 Pilgrim, to rescind the charges for sidewalk replacement/repair.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell, to deny the request by Corey Buss, 1602 Pilgrim, to rescind the charges for sidewalk replacement/repair. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

3. Consideration with possible action on request by Will Liebergen to appeal the storm water charges for the parcel at 1026 North Broadway.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to refer to staff the request by Will Liebergen to appeal the storm water charges for the parcel at 1026 North Broadway. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

4. Consideration with possible action on the purchase of a license plate recognition system for two Parking Division enforcement vehicles.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to hold the purchase of a license plate recognition system for two Parking Division enforcement vehicles until the next regularly scheduled Improvement and Services Committee meeting. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

5. Consideration with possible action on request by McDonald Properties to have the City of Green Bay petition the Office of Commissioner of Railroads (OCR) for an at-grade rail crossing of Bay Beach Road immediately to the east of the intersection of Quincy Street and Bay Beach Road.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to open the floor for discussion. Motion carried.

Yes- Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to close the floor. Motion carried.

Yes- Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, Steven Campbell, No- None, Abstain- None,

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the request by McDonald Properties to have the City of Green Bay petition the Office of Commissioner of Railroads (OCR) for an at-grade rail crossing of Bay Beach Road immediately to the east of the intersection of Quincy Street and Bay Beach Road provided that all engineering and protection of underground utilities are completed to the standards of the Department of Public Works. Motion carried.

Yes- Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

6. Consideration with possible action on the installation of a public trash can at the transit stop located at the intersection of Chicago and Madison Streets by Howe School.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to approve the installation of a public trash can at the transit stop located at the intersection of Chicago and Madison Streets by Howe School. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

7. Consideration with possible action on request by the Traffic Division of the Department of Public Works to approve a modification of the award of purchase of traffic signal poles and hardware. The corrected award of contracts should be TAPCO, in the amount of \$754,658.06, Traffic Parts, Inc., in the amount of \$53,056.50, to Traffic Control Corp., in the amount of \$37,545.00. In accordance with the attached schedule.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to approve the request by the Traffic Division of the Department of Public Works to approve a modification of the award of purchase of traffic signal poles and hardware. The corrected award of contracts should be TAPCO in the amount of \$754,658.06, Traffic Parts, Inc. in the amount of \$53,056.50, and to Traffic Control Corp. in the amount of \$37,545.00 in accordance with the attached schedule. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

8. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to approve Residential Green Stormwater Infrastructure Revolving Loan Fund Program.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the request by Department of Public Works to create Residential Green Stormwater Infrastructure Revolving Loan Fund Program. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

9. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to approve the City of Green Bay's electronic Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (eCMAR) and resolution for submittal to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) by the Director of Public Works.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to approve the request by Department of Public Works for the City of Green Bay's electronic Compliance Maintenance Annual Report (eCMAR) and resolution for submittal to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) by the Director of Public Works. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

10. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with McMahon Associates, Inc. in the amount of \$33,866.00 for the Design of Finger Road/ East Town Way Sidewalk.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with McMahon Associates, Inc. in the amount of \$33,866.00 for the design of Finger Road/East Town Way sidewalk. Motion carried.

Yes- Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, Steven Campbell, No- None, Abstain- None

11. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with Graef in the amount of \$82,130.00 for Topographic Survey Services.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the request by Department of Public Works to enter into a Professional Services Agreement with Graef in the amount of \$82,130.00 for Topographic Survey Services. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

12. Report the award of contract BRIDGE 1-23 (BRIDGE MAINTENANCE & CONCRETE REPAIRS) to Lunda Construction in the amount of \$126,992.25.

Moved by Ald. Chris Wery, seconded by Ald. Melinda Eck to receive and place on file the award of contract BRIDGE 1-23 (BRIDGE MAINTENANCE & CONCRETE REPAIRS) to Lunda Construction in the amount of \$126,992.25. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

13. Consideration with possible action on the review and award of contract PAVEMENT REPAIR 2023 (WEST) to Highway Landscapers, Inc. in the amount of \$1,331,276.00.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the award of contract PAVEMENT REPAIR 2023 (WEST) to Highway Landscapers, Inc. in the amount of \$ 1,331,275.00. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

14. Consideration with possible action on the review and award of contract PARKS 6-23 SEYMOUR PARK-EAST STORMWATER FACILITY to Peters Concrete Company in the amount of \$257,339.70.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the award of contract PARKS 6-23 SEYMOUR PARK- EAST STORMWATER FACILITY to Peters Concrete Company in the amount of \$257,339.70. Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

15. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to award the following contracts at a staff level and report the award at the next Improvement and Services Committee meeting:

- a. PAVEMENT 3-23 SCHOOL PLACE RECONSTRUCTION
- b. PAVEMENT 4-23 BERNER STREET RECONSTRUCTION
- c. PAVEMENT 5-23 FINGER ROAD RECONSTRUCTION
- d. PAVEMENT 6-23 S. MAPLE AVENUE RECONSTRUCTION
- e. SEWERS 1-23 MINI-SEWERS

- f. SEWERS 2-23 BASIN REPAIRS
- g. TRAFFIC SIGNAL REPAIR 2023
- h. ASPHALT PAVING 2023
- i. RRFB BASE CONSTRUCTION

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to approve the request by Department of Public Works to award the following contracts at a staff level and report the award at the next Improvement and Services Committee meeting:

- a. PAVEMENT 3-23 SCHOOL PLACE RECONSTRUCTION
- b. PAVEMENT 4-23 BERNER STREET RECONSTRUCTION
- c. PAVEMENT 5-23 FINGER ROAD RECONSTRUCTION
- d. PAVEMENT 6-23 S. MAPLE AVENUE RECONSTRUCTION
- e. SEWERS 1-23 MINI-SEWERS
- f. SEWERS 2-23 BASIN REPAIRS
- g. TRAFFIC SIGNAL REPAIR 2023
- h. ASPHALT PAVING 2023
- i. RRFB BASE CONSTRUCTION

Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

16. Report of actions taken by Department of Public Works.

A. Granting of Licenses

I. Sidewalk Builder

- a. Brians Quality Concrete, Inc.
- b. Right Way Concrete Construction
- c. N&L Concrete Construction LLP

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Chris Wery to receive and place on file the actions taken by Department of Public Works.

A Granting of Licenses

I . Sidewalk Builder

- 1. Brians Quality Concrete, Inc.
- 2. Right Way Concrete Construction
- 3. N& L Concrete Construction **LLP**

Motion carried.

Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

F. INFORMATIONAL.

I. Director's Report on recent activities of the Public Works Department.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to receive and place on file the Director's Report on recent activities of the Public Works Department. Motion carried.
Yes- Steven Campbell, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

2. The next Improvement & Services Committee meeting is scheduled for June 14, 2023.

G. PUBLIC HEARINGS.

H. ADJOURNMENT.

Moved by Ald. Melinda Eck, seconded by Ald. Steven Campbell to adjourn. Motion carried.
Yes- Steven Campbell, Melinda Eck, Chris Wery, Mark Steuer, No- None, Abstain- None

VERBATIM MINUTES

- See? I already forgot.
- We're ready.
- We are ready.
- All right.
- We are on.
- All right. Welcome to INS meeting for May 31st, 2023. We will do a roll call. Alder Campbell?
- Here.
- Alder Eck?
- Here.
- Alder Wery?
- Here.
- Alder Steuer? I am here. Approval of the agenda.
- Move to approve.
- Motion by Wery.
- Second.
- Second by Campbell. What are we doing?
- I would recommend that we-
- We wait?

- That we move items E3, E5, and E8 to the head of the agenda and then consider the balance of the agenda in regular order.
- Wait, E-
- So E3, E5, and E8.
- I like that.
- Yeah, are you writing that down?
- I'll make that motion there.
- Alright, so that's fine.
- E-
- That's fine.
- E5, 8?
- Go ahead.
- 3, 5, 8, then the rest of the agenda.
- Okay. So, by Wery.
- Second.
- Do we have a second?
- Second.
- Second By Eck. Any other discussion? All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- Aye. All opposed? That passage unanimously.
- 3, 5, 8.
- All right.
- In that order.
- We already did approval of the minutes, right? Or did we? No. Approval of the minutes? Did we do that?
- Move to approve.
- Move by Wery. Do we have a second?
- Second.

- Second by Campbell. All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- Aye. All opposed? That passes unanimously. Okay, regular business. So, number three first, correct?
- Yes, sir.
- Number three would be consideration with possible action of request by Will Leebergen to appeal the stormwater charges for the parcel at 1026 North Broadway. Director?
- Okay. Included your packet, we've provided some information relative to a discussion on the stormwater utility and what stormwater utility fees are charged for. We received a request from Mr. Leebergen indicating that he believes his onsite stormwater management pond functions as an infiltration base not allowing for infiltration of precipitation directly to groundwater. And as such, he's requesting that stormwater charges for his property be rescinded. A review of the engineering documents that were provided in his site plan by his consultant, mock four, we've included a copy of those in the packet as well. The stormwater is in the southeast corner of the property and there should be a yellow line that shows the discharge piping. This is actually what's referred to as a file filter as opposed to an infiltration basin, meaning that there's a perforated pipe located at the bottom that picks up the stormwater, runs it to the north, northeast where it discharges into the back of a stormwater inlet. So, the water is getting into the stormwater system. And as such, according to the ordinance, does not qualify for the stormwater credit. But, Mr. Leebergen is here tonight if the committee would want to open the floor to hear for Mr. Leebergen.
- Motion to open the floor.
- Second.
- Motion by Eck. Seconded by Campbell. Open the floor and have the discussion. All favor, please say "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- Aye. All opposed? You may come forward, Will. Just you state your name and address for the record.
- [Will] Sure. Will Leebergen. 7333 Casey Lane, Sobieski, Wisconsin 54171.
- Okay. Well, you heard what Director Grenier stated.
- [Will] Yeah.
- And you gave us some packets, so if you want to speak-
- [Will] Sure.
- Go ahead.
- [Will] I noticed my stormwater charges for the site seem to be gonna be excessive or hard for the site that I had repaired with the storm water mitigation. I called in and asked is, you know, what's the rate or what am I being billed at? And, they said that there was the same rate for a house without any stormwater mitigation or a downtown building with no stormwater mitigation, or a new site or new development like this that is, you know, incorporating stormwater mitigation and settling. And my pond, whether you bill it as all water ends up back in the stormwater, there is plenty of seepage into the ground, or water is ending up into the ground that

is coming off of my site. And, there's one current rate for stormwater, after talking with I think these two individuals, of the city that were all being billed at for our stormwater. And, I just think this should be addressed or understood that we're asked to put in stormwater mitigation on new builds or new sites, and this pond probably cost me the neighborhood of 80 to 100,000 dollars to build this particular pond with hauling in and out soils and an excavation of all the foundations and things like that. But then, I'm receiving no credit or, you know, appropriate billing for what I've done on the site in order to mitigate that stormwater. So, I just think we should, for wanting to welcome large developments that are gonna be required to have stormwater mitigation on their site, how are they gonna be billed at the same rate as somebody who has no stormwater mitigation on their parcel?

- Can I ask you what you were charged or rated?

- [Will] On that, current the way it is right now, which I'm adding two more buildings, so it's probably gonna go up, but it's \$650 every three months. I think the stormwater portion would be about \$600 or \$500, about \$573 every three months. So, you're talking \$2,200, \$2,280 about per year for 40 years.

- So that's the amount you're looking at?

- [Will] Yeah. For me. For my site. Now, it's probably gonna go up because I'm also adding another building, another 40 by 130 on that site, plus another building. So, your stormwater charges are based on your roof, you know, your roof and your parking lots and your hard surface that water can't pour through.

- These will be other storage buildings?

- [Will] Yeah. Yep. It's a maxi storage unit complex. So, luxury storage or business storefronts. So, I took an old site that was many things over the years, an industrial site, and then I converted it into large storage units that have heat, water, and power, and a lot of people, they take their little small business out of their garage and they put it into these to store all their work trailers and trucks and things like that. So, I've added twelve units on the site. I'm gonna add twelve more here this summer.

- You've been doing that for how long?

- [Will] I acquired that property, I think, almost three years ago. We started building the new building in the parking lot last August. Or sorry, last... two Novembers ago now, and it was completed last August.

- Okay.

- [Will] Yep.

- Committee, do you have any questions right now? Chris? Anything?

- No. Not much.

- You know, we can always call you back-

- [Will] Yeah, that's okay.

- because we have-

- [Will] Yeah, sure. No problem. I can answer.

- Okay. Anybody else to speak to this matter? Okay. Motion of-

- Let's move forward.
- by Wery. Seconded by...
- Second.
- Seconded by Eck. All in favor, please say "Aye."
- [Committee] Aye.
- Aye. All opposed? Okay. Committee, do you have anything?
- Yeah. I do.
- Go ahead.
- Thanks. Director, have we had these appeals before? What are our options? You know-
- This is the first appeal of this nature that I've seen. Typically when we get a request it's somebody questioning the number of equivalent runoff units. And, as Mr. Leebergen correctly identified, the amount of impervious surface is what dictates the stormwater charge. So, I do understand where he is coming from. The stormwater ordinance requiring onsite treatment is twofold. First of which is to improve water quality, so, removing sediments and particulates from the water and then to slow down the quantity or the rate at which that water winds up into a municipal separate storm sewer system.
- Is there a way you can bring that up on screen? You know, that property? And even with an error 402, if you can overlay that, depending on... I mean, I've looked at it myself, but maybe there's a way we can showcase.
- Is this the
- Yes, yes.
- Okay. I'm just checking I did that.
- We can bring up , too, if we wanna do that.
- [Will] Can I add, while we wait, that, you know, maybe this is-
- Uh...
- [Will] Oh, sorry.
- A motion to open-
- Hold on, hold on. No, it's all right.
- A motion to open the floor.
- Motion by Eck.
- Second.
- Seconded by Wery.

- Everyone in favor say, "Aye."
- [Will] While we wait,
- Okay.
- Aye.
- [Will] These are, the water mitigation things, are newer to builds-
- Yeah.
- [Will] and so maybe, the past situation was that the stormwater wasn't need to be addressed. And so, people were all assessed at the same rate. But in the last, you know, whatever, 10, 15, 20 years, as people are developing this and the cities are having to deal with the stormwater, there's becoming a situation where you have the old way and then now there's a new way and maybe we need to adapt to the new way so that developments aren't at a disadvantage.
- Well-
- Since-
- Go ahead.
- Since you're back up.
- [Will] Yep.
- I have a question. Is this right here-
- [Will] Yeah.
- This? Is that the-
- [Will] Yeah.
- That's the stormwater area?
- [Will] Yes, yeah.
- Okay.
- [Will] This is more of a broader angle, and then I pulled it out so that you could see the end and some more of the runoff on the site.
- Okay. And you're not building on there because that's your stormwater-
- [Will] Yep. Yep. This whole backside. Yep.
- Okay.

- [Will] It's about three feet deep and, you know, it's sizeable. It's a sizeable, you know, pond, about three feet deep. And then there's also probably about six to eight feet down worth of new soils that have been adjusted.
- Okay.
- [Will] Yeah, it sits right there.
- It's on the corner, right?
- Okay.
- [Will] I think in your packet on one of them-
- Yeah, this-
- [Will] there's a site plan.
- Yeah.
- You'll just wanna grab it when you see it.
- Yeah, the light blue shaded pond.
- Yeah, it's this. Right here. Okay.
- [Will] Yup.
- And that's where some of the-
- [Will] Yep.
- the pipe goes under there.
- Okay.
- On the screen we've got the aerial photo.
- [Will] Yeah, it's not there yet, but it's-
- So, this, is what's shown on your graphic as existing building?
- [Will] Yeah.
- Yeah.
- [Will] Yep. Yep. Existing building.
- The new building. One new building is here.
- [Will] The curve on the top is here, and then there will be a new one here and one here. This place is three years old already.
- Right here is the pond.

- [Will] Here's the pond. Yep. Right here.
- Okay.
- That's Warren right here? That's Alexander. Paul Warren.
- Yeah. Which one was Warren Street?
- [Will] This is Alexander, yes.
- Not much of a street.
- [Will] Yeah.
- So, on this map it's not necessarily showing the stormwater pond.
- [Will] Yeah, Warren's a whole 'nother thing.
- Okay.
- So, the stormwater from Warren ends up in my pond because all of the street drains are filled.
- So Will, when you decided to put this pond in-
- [Will] Yeah.
- is this something that you had worked with the city on?
- [Will] When you do a development, yeah, you have to work with the city on what's gonna be acceptable. But, when you do a development like this, you have really no estimation of what the taxes are ultimately gonna be or what those stormwater charges will be. They can estimate them for you, but until you're sitting on a bill, you normally don't know when you're developing a property. It's one of the quandaries of developing a-
- I think that's one of the things that Director Grenier brought up about the impervious site.
- [Will] Yeah.
- And that type of thing that you brought up that that was a fact.
- [Will] That was before I knew there would be blacktop, yeah. Yes. I just think that when you develop something, a lot of times you are unaware of the charges that will ultimately end on your project until the project is completed and you start getting the water bill in the mail.
- Right.
- I-
- Alder Eck?
- I'm not seeing... I know you mentioned the charges, but I don't... Is that-
- That's not shown.

- That's not shown.
- [Will] Yeah. I guess I could, you know, provide that to the committee later.
- You said it's about \$650,000?
- [Will] No. \$573 per three months on one portion. But, once the ultimate site is completed, I estimate that to double per every three months. So, it'd probably be about a thousand dollars for stormwater charges per three months. So, about \$4,000 a year for the site.
- Is that per parcel on your estimation?
- [Will] Yeah, there's two parcels in this. There's one parcel... This parcel right here is the Alexander Street, 305 Alexander. And then the rest of this, like this here, and this is 1026. It's two parcels put together. 305 Alexander's up here. So, once I develop this, this portion, I'll see an increase because I'll have more impervious service or roof line.
- Okay. Alder Eck?
- So you're asking in your, because, in here it says you're asking to appeal the stormwater charges, and it's not the \$4,000 yet.
- [Will] No, I guess, I mean, it's hard to dictate what on the two when you're getting a spot on the committee.
- Yeah.
- [Will] But, I guess my proposal would be to at least look at assessing sites that have stormwater mitigation on site at a different rate than sites that don't. I understand it's gonna affect budget within the city and it helps maintenance of the city on some of these large parcels where you have large impervious services and they're paying stormwater charges at the same rate as somewhere that doesn't. But, most of the new sites have stormwater mitigation and we go through an advanced cost in order to mitigate that water or settle it out before it goes into the system. And as large as that pond is, like today's rainfall, I would doubt that very little ended up back in the system because there's a large impervious system, or you know, the spot work and drain into the earth.
- The pictures are from today, correct?
- [Will] Yes. I took those pictures today when it rained. It was perfect. There is a pipe, like he's saying, underneath that collects some of that water. But, when that thing is saturated, that's when it's gonna be collecting most of it unless it runs directly below that pipe. Most of that is an impervious surface that runs six to eight feet down.
- Well, we'll be talking to staff shortly on this too, but, you know, I mean we've had a lot of businesses over time, you know, with the stormwater plan and place and such. So, I'm just interested to see if, you know, this is something unique or, you know, haven't we done this before type of thing. So, anyway.
- Should we motion?
- Well, just one more.
- Go ahead.

- I think it sounds like you understand, too, you're unique and that you're the first, so, we're not sure maybe what we can even do, but that's part of it. The second would be maybe another agenda item to look at.

- [Will] Yeah, I completely agree.

- Okay. I just.

- [Will] Yep. I think in my unique case, maybe I'm a hands-on operator on a larger development and I pay the bill. Most of the time, there's probably a disconnect or there's another water bill and most large developments look at the water bill and go, "This is my water bill." And how we are billed is stormwater charges are put onto your water bill. So, I would bet there's been a large disconnect on most of those sites of what that bill actually means. And this says water stormwater charges, and you might have multiple hands. I, getting to my home address, I'm filling out the cheque for my larger development. And I'll bet you there's a disconnect at most of the companies where water mitigation is on the site.

- Alder Campbell, do you have something?

- Yeah, I'm just wondering how, you know, the amount of rainfall plays into the whole formula bit at all. I mean if you get into... Is there different...

- Well we're gonna-

- Is it a average?

- We'll have to close the floor.

- Is it a balance of a whole year?

- Steven. We'll have to close the floor.

- Yeah.

- Motion-

- So, any questions for Will?

- Well, I'm conversating with him. I mean, I guess.

- Okay.

- [Will] You hire an engineering company. So, the city, I don't think, wants to be responsible for, you know, what that is or what you need based on the impervious surface. You hire engineering companies who are experts on it. They tell you what you need, what will help or best mitigate on that site versus the room you have. And then, they make the recommendation to the city and the city takes their expert opinion to be, you know, the best option for them. I'm sure Steve has plenty of expertise over the years on knowing what works or what wouldn't work.

- I mean, you know what you're gonna do in the future and how it's gonna change your property. As you said, another street can add to the issue, the formula.

- [Will] Yeah.

- But what about another development next to that?

- [Will] Well, then they would be responsible for those stormwaters.
- I mean, if yours is sucking up what yours is supposed to do.
- [Will] Yeah.
- I mean, naturally it looks like it's like landlocked in. Any road will always-
- [Will] All the parking lot, all the roof goes into pipes then end up into the pond for my site. So, my ponds take care of my stormwater on my site. Some probably runs off onto the street or in the gutters, obviously, down the road a little bit, but that's all set up by an engineering company that dictates how much we're gonna have goes into the pond and then makes the recommendation to the city so that there's that liability gap and expertise gap.
- Okay. Anything else?
- Motion-
- Go ahead.
- Oh, should I close the floor?
- All right. Second.
- Okay, by Eck. Seconded by Wery. All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed? Alder Eck.
- I have a question.
- Yes.
- Director Grenier, would it be different? Because you had shown on here the yellow on there is the pipe that goes across and it looks like it's like right under where the stormwater pond is. Does that make a difference? Because it kind of sounded like you were saying because of the ordinance, because of the location-
- That's why the pipe is there. The water doesn't infiltrate the groundwater. The water goes through the bio filter. It's collected by the pipe at the bottom of the bio filter and then run into our storm sewer system.
- Okay. So it, considering what he said about the new construction and the new requirements with the whole stormwater management, does that, I mean, is that something we should look into changing our formula for figuring out their costs because of those new requirements?
- Well, after the water goes through his onsite treatment system, it's still going into a storm sewer. So, you're still a part of the storm sewer system.
- Is it more money for people that have current businesses that haven't done this?
- Well, by way of comparison, this is the new mill at Green Bay Packaging. And let's see. Right here, this is the pond that was created to treat the water coming from Green Bay Packaging. So, there is a large water storm

sewer that picks everything up from Packaging, runs north along Quincy and then dumps into this pond for treatment and then ultimately gets discharged to the Quincy Street line out of the Quincy Street station and discharged off to the Fox River. So, even with that substantial of a pond, okay, that thing's half of a residential city block.

- Was that not the cost of the company to put that in?

- Yep. Even with that size bond, Green Bay Packaging still gets charged the same rate everybody else does because they are discharging into our system.

- But is it different for existing businesses that don't have the storm motor management? Because I know any new development or if they do a certain amount of improvements on a current development, then they have to meet all the new requirements for the stormwater management.

- Correct.

- So, is there a difference in their rate as they are versus somebody-

- No. There's no, difference in rate because they are obligated under ordinance to do the treatment for the particulates and to slow the rate at which the water discharges from the site into the storm sewer system. But, the storm sewer system is still being utilized and the stormwater rate that you pay on your water bill takes care of the entire storm utility.

- Is that a site plan that the developer has to go with through the city?

- Correct. Correct.

- So, in this case, did Mr. Will go through the city, you know, with everything?

- With the site?

- Yes.

- Yeah. The diagram I found in your-

- So, that is the site plans.

- was part of the site.

- I don't know what I'm trying to ask for.

- What's that?

- Yeah, I'm gonna hold off for a second. Committee, anybody else?

- Sure, thanks.

- Alder Wery?

- Yeah, thanks, Mr. Chairman. Yeah, Steve, so is the purpose of this as more of a bio filter, not a holding pond? So, it's to slow the rate, not absorb a portion? Or is it both?

- Correct. This is a bio filter. So it will slow down the water and it will take some of the particulates off to treat for quality. But ultimately, the water does wind up in that drainage pipe underneath it that runs diagonally through the pond, runs out north, comes into the back of the inlet up near McDonald's street and then gets into the storm sewer system.
- So is this different, then, than some places you'll see more like a pond where excess water is gonna fill it up? Or, is it that it fills up and then it's slowly...
- Most of the ponds that you see have an outlet structure, and the outlet structure goes into the storm sewer system. Again, what you're trying to do is you're trying to... a lot of stormwater management is time management. So, you fill the pond up and let the pond drain back at a slower rate that the sewer can take.
- Is there a certain size limit on that as far as number of other businesses? Some of the very larger ones have large ponds, but other smaller businesses, maybe like Will's, maybe not so much. Is there some kind of fine line as far as the size of a property?
- Post-construction stormwater management is required on any property that disturbs more than a half acre, roughly 21,500 feet.
- Of those properties, would you say most of them are self-contained with the infrastructure that's there? Or, do you have to build a pond? You know?
- It doesn't have to be a pond. There are some people who use pond filtration like this. This property does drainage swales that ultimately discharge into a yard drain and then the yard drain drains into the storm sewer system.
- So there's different ways of doing it?
- Yeah, there's, tons of different ways of doing it.
- Okay. Right.
- But even the large... say on a new subdivision. A subdivision may have a regional pond that many subdivisions drain into and each subdivision pays proportionate share to build the pond originally, but then the houses get assessed the same based on the number of ERUs per 3000 square feet of impervious area. They still get the same stormwater charge because you are using the system.
- So, is it to his advantage? I mean, you could put three storage units up without impervious material. Could he do that? You know, and just let it drain somehow? I mean, we're charged by ERUs, correct?
- Correct.
- So, does that mean that Will would have to have asphalt or whatever on that property? Because, I don't know, that's three years old, that photo. I don't know how much of it's asphalt or impervious right now.
- Well his charge would've been calculated based off of his impervious area.
- Okay.
- So, if you have a farm field that has no impervious surface, you'd get no bill.
- Right. But, on that air photo that's three years old, how much of this that is impervious now? Is that on the site plan?

- That was calculated off of the site plan.
- It's off the site plan. Okay. Alder Wery.
- Thanks. I'm just gonna circle back.
- Yeah, that's all right.
- No, no.
- I get you.
- So, the purpose of the water, I won't call it pond, but I'll call it pond just for discussion, is to lessen the particulates and slow the release to the storm sewer. With or without, is the same amount of water is gonna be handled by the storm sewer, just clear and slower?
- Correct.
- I tend to dumb it down as much as possible.
- Well, I don't think that's dumbing it down.
- No.
- I think that's condensing the discussion. Yeah, you're entirely correct.
- I mean, so in essence, we're still handling the same amount of water. It's just slower and cleaner. I don't-
- Correct.
- And, we can open the floor again. I don't know if that helps. It helps me. I mean, I kind of knew what it was before, but-
- Well, to me, this seems new. This seems somewhat new.
- Because of the new requirement.
- In your estimation, is this kind of a new...
- Absolutely not.
- No? It isn't?
- This storm stuff has been going on-
- But the request? But the request?
- The request is new. I don't think anyone's kind of looked at it from this angle before, but the way that the stormwater's being managed and the way that the bills have been going out have been this way since the stormwater ordinance was first enacted.
- Okay.

- How old are those ordinances?
- There were discussions back years ago-
- Five years maybe.
- if you maybe had trees on your site, did that help soak up more water?
- Well, I provided a copy of the ordinance in the packet, and in the ordinance we talk about the credits.
- In the A3?
- So, a credit... we may provide credits against the ERU determination for a non-residential property if you're discharging directly to the waters of Green Bay, Fox River, or East River, then you get a two-thirds credit against the ERU allocation and a 10% to a privately constructed and maintained detention and retention facility that restrict to the rate and volume established for city storm drainage. So, we could look to see whether or not that 10% credit would apply.
- It's a retention pond. So that's what we would be looking... Is this a service or is this a retention pond?
- Can you refer to, just because I'm trying to scan through here just exactly which number and what you're talking about, the 10%?
- Yeah, what are we on? What page number? What page?
- So I know which one to look at.
- Once you start the actual ordinances, it'd be on the fourth page of the ordinance.
- Oh, fourth page. Okay.
- There it is.
- Oh, there it is. Okay. Got it.
- 10% tread.
- Well, it does seem to apply.
- Well, to me, director, it seems like, you know, Will built something, you know, to mitigate that. You know, I'm having a hard time understanding that, okay, he did a site plan, he did some work, he was proactive on it in good faith, in my estimation, and just trying to understand that...
- Seems like it's all for not. Not enough.
- Maybe indirectly.
- Right.
- Indirectly, I guess. And I, you know, I hate to penalize someone for the fact that they went forward proactively to do something. But again, the site plan was there. You have your ordinance.

- Okay. No disrespect to Will.
- Yeah.
- This was not done proactively. This was done as a requirement of his-
- Yes. Right.
- Oh, Okay. All right. Maybe I missed.
- But, then again, it is because it's required but it's still proactive.
- There's a possibility that that 10% credit may have already been applied.
- Oh. We don't have a copy of the bill.
- I don't have his actual parcel bill or his computation in front of me. So, we can investigate to see whether the 10% credit would apply. And, if so, is it already being applied?
- Would that be clear on the bill?
- It wouldn't necessarily be clear on the bill. It would be clear on the computation used to generate the bill.
- It'd be nice if it was clear on the bill so people knew they were getting the credit. Just a thought.
- Yeah, that would be. It's kinda a hard one.
- Motion to open the floor just to help-
- Second.
- Will, we want you back. Come on.
- [Will] I think, the one thing that I hear the city saying is that all water that enters into my pond and particulates settle out, ends up in that pipe below it. But, when looking at the composition of my pond, I don't know how all water would end up in that pipe. I mean, we have a 50 by 80 pond and a pipe that runs down the middle of it, and there's no vapor barrier and no plastic underneath that holds all this in. You have multiple layers of sand and soils and compost soils, and then you have wood chips on the top and all that water is ending up into that? This is an impervious surface that I'm mitigating water into the groundwater with this type of pond. So, I mean, maybe it's built as that, but I was on site watching this thing. There's nothing that's stopping this water from ending up in the ground. So, I'm mitigating stormwater and ending it in that pipe.
- So, are you saying, Will, that you want to see this rescinded? What's your take on this?
- [Will] I think it needs to be addressed. If you're gonna be mitigating stormwater on your site, on some of these developments, and water is seeping into the ground, in my case, I mean, obviously it opens up a can of worms where you have different types of water mitigation, but, first, know this, because I called them and asked about it and I was met with "Don't ask. It is what it is. It's your bill because that's what it is. And the council sets it." This is the first I've heard that there could be a 10% credit. That was mentioned, but on my bill, I show no ability to see that, and when I called both the water utility and the public works, it was not brought up to me, or, you know, talked about as a 10%. Even 10%, I don't know if is where I would even would suggest that it would need to be, if I need to spend 80 to 100,000 dollars by ordinance or by rules, to build this pond versus a site downtown that has no way of doing that, I'm getting a 10% bill? So, I mean, we're talking 50 some

dollars or \$200 over the course of the years? So, over the lifetime of the site we might see \$12,000 off my bill, yet I had to mitigate it for, you know, 80 to 100,000.

- So I know you put the 80 to 100,000 in it already.

- [Will] Yup.

- And, you know, when you say \$2,500 a year, I mean, that's still an expense.

- [Will] Yeah.

- But, you're looking at it, not so much maybe for the money, but kind of the principle? Possibly?

- [Will] Well, financially on a site, when you develop something like this, it adds up. I'm essentially a small business, but it adds up. It adds up. If I'm gonna be mitigating with that much upfront cost on a site, this won't be my last development of something like this, so I'm developing something or other people are developing something on site, and having that cost can prevent, you know, down the road of development because we're still being billed for stormwater charges when we're mitigating them.

- Well spoken. Steve?

- I would agree with him. There's not 100% of the water going into the storm system. There's no way. I mean, there would have to be a barrier under it. Why you even build it, then? You might as well be going through the sewer right away, then. There's no way in my book that something ain't soaking in.

- Well, it's over now.

- And my biggest thing is how are those standards set? Are they the same all year? Are they averaged in? Are they snowfall?

- No, we can't-

- Seems like it would be really easy to put a regulation on the end of that pipe and figure out how much water really is going on of there. And that's all you should be charged.

- All right. Yeah. Direct it to him. Is there anybody else?

- [Will] So, I mean, my, biggest goal here is to bring this to light because, I mean, the committee sets it, you're here or haven't been on sites, you could recognize your house or if you have a small business, you might not know that there's a difference and we have a difference between a house or a downtown setting with no mitigation, and we have a site with mitigation on it. That's a big, big jump in my head to put them all underneath the circuit at the same rate. So, thank you for your time.

- Motion to close the floor.

- By Eck.

- Second.

- Seconded by Campbell. All in favor please say, "Aye".

- [Committee] Aye.

- Closed. That's it.
- You were pointing. Oh, sorry.
- Yes. Pointing.
- Explain your-
- You all received this packet?
- [Committee] Yes.
- Okay. Go to page three of the packet.
- In the middle left it shows typical bio filter cross sections. If you look at the bottom, it says "Two foot thick clay liner". There is a barrier. That's what stops the water from infiltrating to the ground and gets to the pipe. So, either one of two things is happening. Either there is a two foot thick liner and all the water gets in the pipe or his bio filter is not constructed in accordance with the approved site plan, in which case he is out of compliance and subject to citation and his engineer will be in trouble because his engineer submitted a certificate stating that it was constructed according to these plans.
- So, have you... well, your department must have inspected this already, correct? You've been out there?
- Not necessarily. That's the reason that the engineer supplies the certificate stating that it was constructed according to plan.
- I'm sure he did.
- Well, so are you saying that right now that this does not exist?
- No, I'm saying it does exist.
- At that property?
- Correct.
- Well, if what you said is-
- Somebody else take it.
- According to what's submitted on the site plan.
- According to what was submitted by his engineer of record, an engineer would be putting this license at risk to file a false report like that.
- Alder Wery?
- Yeah, I think our only option tonight with what's on the agenda is to do nothing, right? Receive and place on file and maybe bring back, you know, options to look at the fees in the future. Or we could, you know, hold it and see if the 10% credit's being applied and bring it back.
- Right.

- I think that's probably, for this agenda item, our best bet. And then, you know, I wouldn't be opposed to reviewing the stormwater fees, the credits for water mitigation, transparency on billing, you know, no mitigation versus mitigation credits. That's a whole different thing, but that's not on tonight's agenda. So, if tonight we have to do nothing, you know, we can refer it to staff to see us on there or we can hold it, bring it back and report. I think that's our options for tonight. Might be something else, but...

- If you hold it or refer-

- I'll make a motion to refer this to staff and bring it back.

- I think just on the 10% is enough to refer it back to staff.

- Oh, right.

- Right, and that's our only ordinance down.

- Right. I second that.

- Because that solves the money issue, the 10% is.

- Okay, so who's referring for us? So, Alder Wery referred it, seconded by Eck to end the discussion. All in favor please say, "Aye".

- [Committee] Aye.

- All opposed? All right.

- I think that's all we can do with this agenda item.

- We'll earn a lot more, too.

- [Will] Thank you for your time.

- Thank you.

- All right. So, let's see.

- ... water runoff, right?

- Yeah.

- Yeah, okay, hold on a second. I wanna make sure. Okay, number five is next.

- Correct. All right, let's get over there. Number five. Consideration with possible action on request... hold on there. My computer's... with possible action or request by McDonald Properties to have the City of Green Bay petition the office of Commissioner Railroad's OCR for an out of grade drill crossing of Bay Beach Road immediately to the east of the intersection of Quincy Street and Bay Beach Road. Director.

- Okay. Historically, the former Canadian National Rails were running parallel to Quincy Street and Eighth Street crossing of Bay Beach Road in the same location that's currently being considered. This rail spur served the property located at 701 Bay Beach Road with the redevelopment of 710, which is immediately to the south. The area occupied by the rail spur on the 710 Bay Beach Road property was needed for stormwater conveyance as the rail was not being utilized by 701, the crossing was removed and the tracks were removed

from the south property line of 710 to the north right of way of Bay Beach Road. A tenant in the 701 Bay Beach Road property has requested that rail service be reinstated along its former spur location. We've consulted with the civil engineer representing the property owner and determined that the rail service can be reinstated without adversely impacting the stormwater conveyance for 710. All costs associated with the reinstatement would be the responsibility of property owner. And, we've included a graphic showing the intersection.

- Yeah, I was trying to look at that to understand.
- Okay, so the road coming in from the lower left-
- Could you throw that up there, please?
- I drove down there today.
- I'm not gonna say, "Please draw that map".
- Thank you for your patience out there, too, by the way.
- Well, Steve, on this, it's nice to see the railway, but if you put the air photo on top, it kind of blocks that out. It'd be nice to see the air photo with the railroad superimposed on top. Is that possible?
- This is the county's website. How am I-
- It's a map-maker wanting-
- I can't help it.
- He's the genie and you're gonna rub the bottle, actually.
- I don't know if he could have done all that already.
- The look face on Steve's face.
- Yeah, that was priceless.
- I like how you chose to-
- I couldn't make heads or tails out of those pictures and I drew them down there.
- The rail spur currently ends right here.
- Okay. And, we're talking about where?
- So, we're talking about re-instituting rail service from the location where the mouse is to right here.
- Ah.
- To right there.
- At which point-
- There is a spur there right now.

- There are existing tracks.
- Oh, I didn't see them.
- So, you can see the difference in color of the concrete.
- Mhm.
- That's the new concrete that was installed when the rail was taken out.
- And I remember this whole thing.
- But now we want it back. Well, they want it back. So, we'll... Go ahead, Alder Eck.
- I have a question on the cost and who pays for it.
- Again, all costs are the business.
- Does say that? Sorry, yeah. I didn't catch that.
- Incurred by the owner.
- Okay.
- Where does it say that?
- Oh, I'd like to hear...
- Motion to open the floor.
- Motioned by Wery. Seconded by...
- Eck.
- Eck.
- I'll second it.
- Okay. All in favor please say, "Aye."
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed? The floor is open. Come on up and we'll just ask a few questions.
- [Chester] Absolutely.
- Should we put that back over here?
- We've been seeing you guys before. State your name and address for the record.
- [Chester] Chester McDonald. Address: 918 Oakdale, De Pere, Wisconsin, but I live there mostly.

- Okay. There's a little work man today.
- And delivery.
- And?
- Tom Klimek. 3480 Bay Highlands Drive, Green Bay.
- Okay. Okay. Well obviously, there's a reason why you want to do this. I mean, you had it and then you didn't have it and it was taken out. Has business improved or what's going on as far as why you need that?
- [Chester] The company that's leasing that facility is our recycler. So, they supply and bring in a lot of product via rail. More efficient for the overall process, less wear and tear on the streets with truck traffic.
- So, that's just to the south there? Is that the property there?
- [Chester] Yeah, the one to the south is... what is that?
- The one that says 2691.
- [Chester] Yeah, 2691 is a cheeseboard recycler. The property to the north, that other gray box, that's the recycler.
- It's the old toffee building?
- [Chester] Yes. Yeah, correct. It's the old toffee building.
- I just wanna see their-
- Yes.
- I drove by there today and I remember I worked there, actually.
- So-
- And there was train cars there that we unloaded.
- [Chester] Correct. Yes, that's correct.
- So basically, the businesses that need this spur, we're just going to that little, that area that... Go ahead, point it out.
- This business needs the spur.
- [Tom] That business needs the spur. Mhm.
- The track currently ends right here. Okay.
- It doesn't look like it aligns with the side of the building anymore, though. Because that building was rebuilt, wasn't it?
- It was. It was rebuilt.

- The spur will be straight through.
- Okay.
- So you're okay with the cost, et cetera?
- [Chester] Yeah, that's the price of doing business.
- Yeah, I guess so.
- Doesn't cost the city.
- Well, you asked.
- That's why I asked.
- Is there still actually room there next to the building? I mean, did-
- [Tom] We're putting something there.
- I mean, it was close.
- [Chester] Yeah, I would say the track is approximately straight because it actually was connected.
- It'll go right back exactly where it was.
- I drove by there today. I know where the little... that really looks like it would stop a train. The little red tipped over side.
- [Chester] Yeah, the-
- And I drove right up next to the retention pond there.
- Oh. Okay.
- Alder Wery?
- Nope. Nope right here. Looks good.
- Okay.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Motion by Eck. Seconded by...
- Can I ask one more question?
- Oh.
- Thank you for the consideration.
- I mean, not even reading through it, just understanding, driving through there.

- Sure.
- These tracks that curve this way and go back behind your buildings.
- [Chester] Mhm. Those don't even look like they get used.
- [Tom] Yeah, they get used.
- Really?
- [Chester] They get used. Usually in the middle of the night.
- You go in and back out.
- [Chester] Yes.
- I mean there's a fuel car sitting there right today. One single one. Like, there's double tracks. Three tracks. There's actually three tracks.
- Yeah. So-
- Is the dead end?
- [Chester] Yes.
- And two are usable?
- [Chester] One is a dead end. The two are usable, we think there's potential to add more rail traffic into the area.
- And those are on that next street. So, they go east behind that long building.
- [Chester] Correct.
- And then it crosses to the other sections of the building, correct?
- [Chester] It crosses Angie and then goes to the next set of buildings.
- Yeah.
- [Chester] That's primarily where the offloads are.
- You've been doing this for a few years.
- So, do you guys own those tracks then?
- [Chester] I believe we own the land underneath. There's a line at which the tracks are owned by the local rail facility and there's a track that we own and perform maintenance on.
- [Tom] They need maintenance.
- Okay.

- They need maintenance.
- Got, they need maintenance. All right. Anything else? Otherwise, I motion to close the floor.
- Motion to close the floor.
- Thank you.
- Eck and seconded by Wery. All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- Thanks for coming in, gents.
- [Chester] Thank you, sir.
- Thank you.
- All right. What are your... committee?
- Yeah, I have just a question. Do you have any problem with this?
- Well, as we've identified in the recommendation, staff recommends approval of the request provided that all engineering and protection of underground utilities are completed to the standards approved by the Department of Public works. What that means, in particular, there are underground utilities that run under Bay Beach Road and we're working with Chip's civil engineer. Those pipes are going to have... a casing pipe's gonna have to be installed around the utility pipe so that if, in the future, anything has to happen, we don't have to disrupt that rail service, we could actually slide the utility pipe through the casing for replacement or repair.
- Is that in here? Like, I'm not saying that right now.
- No, because it's really not relevant to whether or not you approve the crossing. What we're asking for is-
- Just more-
- does the city support petitioning the OCR's office for the accurate crossing?
- Okay.
- The casing of the utility pipes is a detail that... we would require that for any similar kind of an approach.
- Okay. Motion to approve.
- Second.
- Okay. Motion by Eck. Second by Campbell. One other question. How long of a piece is that roughly? Just distance?
- Couple hundred feet.
- Couple hundred feet. Okay. All right. Any other discussion? All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.

- Aye. All opposed? Thanks, gentlemen. Thanks for your patience.
- [Chester] Thank you. Appreciate the consideration.
- You bet.
- Back to the warehouse.
- Home. Home.
- Home.
- That is your second home, right?
- [Chester] Thank you.
- Okay. All right. What do we have?
- Number eight.
- Number eight? Number eight. Boy, oh boy. Let's get there. Okay. All right. We have consideration with possible action on request by department of public works to approve residential Green's stormwater infrastructure revolving loan fund program. That's a mouthful. Director Schmidt is here as well. So, Director Grenier.
- G-S-I-R-L-F-R-P.
- They've got a word there, it flows.
- R-L-F, yeah. R-L-F.
- GSIRLFRP. Anyway. Director?
- So yes, with integrating Green stormwater infrastructure across the city, in addition to the larger sites, we'd like to see smaller sites, residential sites also implementing Green stormwater infrastructure to also help cut down on the rate at which that water is getting to our stormwater system. And in order to do that, what we're looking at doing is looking at putting together a program which will incentivize that small scale GSI infrastructure through a revolving loan. So, is this a low interest or no interest?
- Low.
- Low. Low interest loan.
- 2% I believe.
- 2% that can be offered. And the 2% represents our holding costs. That's, simply enough money to help offset some of the admin charges in administering the program. So, residents would be eligible for up to a \$10,000 loan to implement GSI on a residential property, and as they pay that money back, that goes into the coffers and can then be blown out to additional folks for other projects. So again, a revolving loan.
- Okay. I do have a few questions and I know the committee might. Melissa? Can I ask?

- [Melissa] Sure.

- The \$750,000, in your estimation, is that a realistic request for amount? More or less?

- [Melissa] I think so. I mean, that would be 75 \$10,000 projects.

- Okay.

- And then, in our memo we did state that we would consider higher dollar amounts to loan out as well. So, you know, if there was a homeowner, property owner that wanted to do a lot at their property, we, and depending on the impacts that they're making with their improvements, we would consider higher dollar amounts. But \$10,000 is the base.

- I mean, I saw in this report that there would be possible driveways that might be added differently and that type of thing. I would think that might be a big push.

- Those are bigger ticket investments for a property owner.

- Yeah, I didn't see any costs on that, but I would be interested to see how much somebody would go for, you know? A \$10,000 loan will mitigate some of that but not everything. Did you study other communities on this as well?

- [Melissa] Mhm.

- What other communities did this? Or, how much did they have in their loan?

- [Melissa] Well, I didn't research the exact dollar amount because some communities loan out a lot more than that. But, what I did do, so Milwaukee and Wauwatosa and there's a lot of different... Chicago. Milwaukee Metropolitan sewage district, in cooperation with the city of Milwaukee, they have similar programs like this as well. There's a lot of communities that just do straight up grant programs versus revolving loan fund programs. There are both. I do think that we want this to be a long-term sustainable program. So, a revolving loan fund, I think, is a good place to start. It doesn't mean that we can't change things over time, you know, and change the program parameters over time. But, I do think it's important that people have a little skin in the game when they're, you know, if they're gonna be receiving money. So, that's part of the reason why there's a 2% interest rate on it. And then, this was modeled in large part from the city's existing revolving loan funds through the historic preservation revolving loan fund. And, I believe there's... oh, what's the other one? The curb appeal. So, we've worked a lot with staff from Sixth Floor as well to gather details and not create a completely, you know, a lot of the parameters are the same.

- Sure.

- [Melissa] So, people that have taken advantage of the city's programs, they'll see a lot of similarities.

- So, is the seed money, was this Oracle related? No.

- [Melissa] No.

- But the seed money will be...

- [Melissa] This is funded through the stormwater utility.

- Okay. So, committee. Steve?

- I got a question.
- Yeah.
- I hate to put my property up for the duck on this, but-
- Do what?
- So, I have two acres and I have an older... been there for 30 years. Let's not say it's gravel, but there's old pavement there, but it's deteriorated.
- [Melissa] So, it was pavement or is pavement?
- Yes. I mean if you had to dig it out, you'd be haul pavement away mostly. And I would love to blacktop it someday, of course. It's probably... so around, there was a business there when I bought it. So then the building is 60 feet. Probably the concrete around the building is a hundred feet. So, that would equal, if I paved it all the way back to there, what kind of mitigation would there be...
- [Melissa] Mhm. This is the scary part. That's why I don't want to... I'm moving next week, but...
- [Melissa] So, is this a home or is this a business?
- This is a home.
- [Melissa] Okay.
- But it's unique.
- Yeah.
- It's a unique property. It's a split zone. It's unique. So, at what point does runoff, sewer, all that stuff, I mean, there's no facilities in that building as far as plumbing, you know, but this would be something that would improve it? Money to improve that property?
- [Melissa] Right. For residential property. So, if you were to redo your driveway in an approved permeable surface.
- Right.
- [Melissa] So-
- That then will drain off more than gravel.
- [Melissa] Well that will infiltrate.
- Ish?
- [Melissa] That's what this program is for is to encourage infiltration on site. So, approved permeable surfacing of sidewalks and driveways, rain gardens. Most people aren't gonna need a loan for a rain garden if they're doing it themselves, but for professionally designed and installing rain gardens. And then stormwater planters, which are very elaborate. And I didn't include any pictures, but those are the four measures that we're starting with. We're starting small instead of including every kind of... you know, and for a residential lot, those would

probably be the most common things. Most people aren't gonna do a green roof on their house. Now, if we get a lot of requests for it, then maybe we can consider that in the future, but this is our starting point.

- Or a lot of rain barrels.

- [Melissa] No, not rain barrels. We had a rain barrel program already.

- Yeah, I'm just-

- [Melissa] Yeah.

- You know, so I have, you know, a pretty good sized building back there and then a lot of concrete around it and it does drain off and does, you know, drain back all the way back to the back of the 600 some feet property and then it drains away, and, you know, off the next five acres. I mean, it's tied in with the industrial part. I'm just wondering, you know, I think mine is a great example of where this program, I guess, would fit in.

- Well, there's a second program that's-

- But I'm asking, I guess, why? Is this to mitigate runoff?

- There's a second program that is for commercial properties that's coming out of community and economic development. Again, as Melissa indicated, this is for smaller scale residential type application.

- Would mine be considered small, though? That's what I'm asking, I guess.

- [Melissa] Doesn't sound small.

- It's two acres.

- Well, the driveway. The length of it, I would think.

- [Melissa] We didn't put an acreage limit on it, but depending on how it's zoned, if it's zoned residential and you're the property owner, then we would consider it.

- Where do I sign up? But even that amount, I mean-

- Well, you can't sign up right now because we don't have approval of it yet.

- I wanna make a motion.

- I'll discount it.

- No, you can't.

- I'm just trying to understand how it works because I think this is a perfect example.

- I think a lot of us have citizens, myself too, when I look at my driveway, I think it'd be nice to do that. It's a concrete driveway and it's about 25 by maybe 35 feet. Might be 40 feet. I mean, something like that would work. They're an impermeable surface.

- [Melissa] Mhm. Because I'm thinking of replacing it. So, that'd be another... but we have citizens, too.

- [Melissa] Right.

- One more question. So, is all this being sawed into the whole... where is the GIF, or whatever you call it?
- GIS
- The whole floodplain thing at? Because I've had questions in the neighborhood now. And is that gonna make a difference on each and every different setup?
- A floodplain?
- Floodplain versus-
- In and of itself? No. But, taking all of these programs, all these projects and you start adding them together, the cumulative effect will take over.
- [Melissa] You asked something about the floodplain, but, so if a resident applies for this program and their house is within one of the FEMA flood zones, they are required to have flood insurance to be able to use this loan program.
- Okay.
- But this program in and of itself won't stop flooding. The Green Pervious Paver Street, the project that Melissa got the grant for last year, that alone won't do it. But, if you get a handful of those streets and we get 40 people doing projects on their property and where these projects pop up, if these projects pop up along the old Ellis Creek corridor, like they run a parallel to Main Street between Mason and Elizabeth and that whole area that floods there because it developed not in a way that was envisioned when the storm sewer was originally designed out there, and we start layering these things on and the programs grow and expand and more and more people get involved, then you'll start seeing the impact. But we gotta start somewhere.
- I would think that'd be a big factor in determining, I mean, we've had a number of flood areas in the city. I mean, where I'm at, it's not so much, but you know, I can see that being indicator for projects. Alder Wery, you had something.
- Couple things. Yeah, thanks, Mr. Chairman. So, if you're a, say a homeowner who might apply for this, why would you do it other than just wanting to do something like this? Maybe get a lower interest rate? We don't have anything in place to maybe get like a credit on your stormwater fees, right? Because you're releasing less, right? I mean, is that something we're looking at in the future?
- Well, that's something we can look at because if it's truly... if it's bio filtration and slowing the water down but there's some sort of capturing device underneath, then we could be looking at the 10% credit. If instead, the soils underneath are such if you don't have clay in your yard, okay, if you're located in the part of the city that has sand and you make a pervious driveway, then you can petition to have us take a look at it and that area that's considered pervious is no longer considered impervious. And your ERU is calculated strictly by your impervious. If it's not impervious, you're not getting billed on the stormwater charge anymore.
- So the soil would apply?
- It could, yeah, it definitely could.
- Right. Right.
- So the soils have a lot to do with it.

- Oh, definitely.
- We have clay soils where we're at that doesn't drain very well.
- [Melissa] Well, some people, to improve their site, their home site, you can do amended soils. That's, you know, when you put in a rain garden in a residential setting, you have to, you know, that you do that to infiltrate the water. You don't just dig a hole and then-
- Yeah, you put other-
- [Melissa] Right.
- You put drainage.
- [Melissa] No, you don't do drains.
- Oh, you don't?
- [Melissa] No.
- Oh, okay.
- [Melissa] Not in a residential scenario.
- Okay.
- [Melissa] Now, if it was a large scale rain garden on a commercial site, you probably would for-
- But, I'm just looking at the soil types that are out in areas that doesn't drain very well. You would say you'd bring in different types of soil?
- [Melissa] Yeah. We actually have that written in our... it's the way it's defined in our municipal code for rain gardens. The guidance that we have, we provide through the DNR technical standards for building a rain garden, all of that is included.
- Okay.
- [Melissa] So, we plan on meeting with people when they are in the beginning of their application phase to walk them through the process to explain and to provide technical guidance as well, so that they don't get so far down the road, you know, and then, "Oh, but we need this, this, this, and this."
- Yup. Right. That's very good. Alder Wery.
- More questions. Thanks. One, what are approved permeable surfaces for the street and sidewalks? Are they different? Are generally more expensive? So, if somebody's gonna replace their driveway-
- They're generally more expensive for hard surfaces. The two most commonly accepted pervious surfaces are either pavers... and the paver itself typically isn't pervious. The way the paver is constructed, the material that's used in between the pavers allows water to infiltrate through, they use a dry set sand, but it's real coarse, so, it allows the water to leak in between the paver bricks, or like we did on city deck, when you look in certain areas on city deck, as opposed to a solid brick, the corner of the brick is missing, and that provides additional area for water to infiltrate. So, a paver type application, and that's how... You said you Eliza street?

- [Melissa] Yes.

- Okay, so that's how Eliza street was built, was with pavers. Or, there is actually a pervious concrete where they inject a lot more air into the concrete matrix. So, for lack of a better way of describing it, the concrete looks like Swiss cheese and the water can actually infiltrate right through the concrete itself. There were some worries early on when that type of concrete was used that it would be subject to freeze thaw and breakup, but if it's freely draining, it's not just random air bubbles in there. They actually, the way they construct the concrete, it kind of channelizes and connects those pockets so water can drain completely through it so no water's being contained within. It drains through so you don't have water to freeze and cause the concrete to break up.

- Technology. So are either of those options for the sidewalk as well? Could someone put their sidewalk pavers?

- Absolutely. Somebody could do that.

- Okay.

- That's good to know.

- Yeah, I mean-

- That would be nice.

- [Melissa] I have a picture. I have pictures, but I don't know if there's time or this doesn't sound appropriate.

- Got all the time in the world.

- Where else are we going?

- I mean, we're breaking a record here tonight.

- [Melissa] No, I just-

- We are?

- We will be.

- While you're looking that up, if it takes you a minute, my other question was, you know, the risk reward of why we would do it, right? You know, the reward is probably less runoff into our sewers, right?

- Correct, yeah.

- That's the ultimate... The risk is the loan default thing. Do we know what other communities, what their percentages of loss? I know we can go after their home or whatever, but-

- [Melissa] Right.

- Is it low?

- [Melissa] I don't know what the default rate is, but in talking with Sixth Floor staff on their loan programs, the default rate is extremely low.

- It's a 10 year period too, right?

- [Melissa] Yes.
- Takes 10 years to pay off and that's-
- [Melissa] You can pay it off early.
- You could.
- [Melissa] There's no penalty for that. But, they have a very, very low default rate.
- And I imagine if it's something that we have horrible return rates, we could end with the program or, you know, it's not something that's forever. I'm just looking at what's the risk. I mean, of encouraging it. And, we're, I mean, we're barely encouraging, we're encouraging it, but we're, I mean-
- [Melissa] Sure.
- [Melissa] You know, and so, we would have a lien on that property.
- Yeah.
- [Melissa] And so when they go to sell their property, if they haven't paid it off, well, that's gonna be called.
- Okay. I'm just trying to see if I'm missing anything else.
- And again, that's one of the reasons why \$10,000 is not exactly small money, but it's not exactly big money, especially when you compare against the value of a home that the ability for us to recover is there.
- Yeah. Is there an issue with weeds or anything going through plus pavers?
- [Melissa] Well, I mean-
- I was wondering about that, too, yeah.
- [Melissa] I think there could be. You'd just-
- Yeah. Just check.
- Is it harder to shovel?
- Oh, yeah.
- [Melissa] I have the pictures on my computer. Do you just want them-
- A lot of this.
- That's a good question.
- I'm not saying it's every home, but-
- Have you gone over to-
- -That's a good question.

- Right? A spare port?
- A spare port is what-
- But, like I said, if you go over to Hazelwood Historic Home, they have those pavers there, and I used to belong to that group over there.
- Not all pavers are pervious pavers.
- Yeah.
- A pervious paver is especially designed to be pervious.
- So, what I'm just talking about, Alder Wery said too, was possible weeds and things like that come through.
- [Melissa] Right. So, this is, I mean this is one example.
- Yeah. Oh yeah. Okay. So that would-
- [Melissa] Some people call this "grass-crete".
- Yeah, that would be grass in the middle.
- [Melissa] And there's, well-
- Or there could be.
- [Melissa] Or the whole driveway could be like that.
- And so you- the cost of that goes-
- [Melissa] There is one a resident that lives right on Eliza Street that has their driveway like this.
- So that's their right now?
- Yes. When we did the GSI on code audits-
- And there's one on my street too, on Amber Street.
- And that's why we did the code audit was to make sure that the space would allow.
- That answers that question.
- [Melissa] Well, it's up to the resident, you know what I mean?
- Yeah.
- [Melissa] They're gonna have to understand that. But, in speaking with those residents, they don't have any issue with it.
- Then you can cut it easily, or whatever? I dunno.

- Cut the grass, but then the snow will get in.
- [Melissa] Here's another example. This is like old school, what driveways used to look like.
- Oh, yes.
- [Melissa] Put the grass strip down the middle.
- Oh that, we have that on 10th Street.
- Yeah, yeah.
- I don't like that, you know, as far as we're giving them that. To me, that doesn't meet our requirements, does it?
- [Melissa] Well, it is-
- Just have to hold on to-
- Are we allowed to-
- [Melissa] It's impervious, and then the tracks for the tires are also permeable.
- All right.
- So, I mean we'll evaluate it on a case-by-case basis.
- Okay.
- Basketball metric.
- What?
- I'm just- .
- Alder Eck.
- Okay. So, the 2% low interest rate, are we covering our costs on that?
- We are covering our administrative costs, yes.
- Okay. You were very careful on using the word administrative. So, does that not, I mean, is there more to it? Because when you said that-
- No, no.
- Okay. I just wanna make sure.
- Because we're using stormwater money, I don't have to go out and borrow money, so I don't have to cover the debt service cost.
- Okay.

- Okay.

- I'm actually using the stormwater utility funds, so I have the money so I don't have to cover my debt service costs. All I have to cover is the staff time to administer the loans.

- Okay, so it would cover it.

- Yeah. So that's why 2%, you've been through one cycle with us when we set the assessment rates and the balance on unpaid assessments is whatever our borrowing rate is, plus 2%. That 2% is in there to cover staff time to administer putting that on the tax roll, for instance. Okay? So here again, 2% would cover the administration of the program without that having to go either to the stormwater utility to cover... we want this to be a self-sufficient program.

- Okay. And then on the application, it asks for people's amount that they pay on their mortgage. So, is it determined based on income? How do you decide who gets it and who doesn't?

- [Melissa] No, it's not based on income. It's not an income qualified program. But, we are interested, I mean, we'll just do credit checks. We'll find out, you know, based on what their mortgage payment is, what their debt to income ratio, you know, to get an idea of debt to income ratio. So, I mean, all of the basic questions that would go into any loan application.

- It doesn't have your income level as that, which would be a typical thing. Or at least I didn't see that. Maybe I'm missing it. I tried to look through the whole thing. I saw the mortgage amount, but I did not... let me just see.

- [Melissa] I think the reason why we don't have that listed is because since we're asking for a mortgage statement and their mortgage payment, we're gonna know how much equity they have in their house, you know? So if they're maxed out, they don't... we ask for a mortgage statement.

- Okay. Yeah.

- So we know what they have left which will help us determine if they have any, I guess, room if they defaulted on their loan but they have no equity in their house, then that might not be a good loan.

- Yeah. Okay. Well, I just wonder how it was determined. If, you know, based on the project.

- [Melissa] Yeah. Some of them are income qualified programs, you know, other revolving loan fund programs that the city has, but this one is not. It's not for low incomes for anyone.

- And the whole purpose of this is for stormwater management?

- [Melissa] Mhm. Water quality and quantity.

- Okay. Anything else?

- Is the main reason people would come to us for this loan is lower interest rate? I mean, otherwise you would just go your bank, right?

- Well, I can imagine in today's lending environment, it's hard to get a loan, period, right? Trying to get a bank to offer you a second mortgage on a house to do a stormwater project? I can't imagine that many banks are gonna look favorably on it. And, with interest rates being what they are, we're able to offer that ability for somebody at a very low interest rate. So yeah, I do think they would come to us.

- I'm going through that process right now. It's 8%. And, I have a lot of equity in my home, too.
- I thought it was just three?
- That's a lot.
- All right, committee. What do you think?
- Move to approve.
- Close.
- Okay.
- Move to approve.
- Okay. Motion by Wery. Do we have a second?
- Second.
- Second by Campbell. Any other discussion? All in favor please say, "Aye".
- ...for impervious pavers, Melissa?
- Very good job on the report.
- [Melissa] Oh, thank you.
- Aye.
- I know it took a bunch of people, right?
- He didn't ask you.
- For a walk on or drive on.
- [Melissa] Yep. If you have an idea for a different name for the loan program.
- Think of some catchy thing.
- Look for something that-
- That could be in the next revision.
- Impervious pandemonium.
- Well I got our marketing meeting this Monday. I bet we can come up with it.
- I'll think about it.
- Okay. All right, thanks again.
- Were we back in that summer eight?

- All right, see where are we at?
- C I.
- We're at number one.
- Steve.
- Well, you didn't say everybody to say, "Aye".
- Oh! Did we? All in favor please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- Aye. All opposed? Thank you.
- Steve's impervious possibilities.
- MAP's mitigation application process. MAP.
- Well, write it down. Write it down.
- Steve's?
- Steve's.
- Oh Grenier.
- All right. Number one. Let's get to it. Consideration with possible action on the request by Mohamed Ferro for permission to park vehicles on the street every night at 802 Forest Street for the remainder of 2023. Anyway, director? Or ?
- I'm going turn this one over to Chris.
- [Chris] Now, from what I understand, talking to Mohamed, he's representing a family who's... I believe it's a refugee family and it doesn't matter. Car street, a lot of smaller properties, they're renting this house and it's a large-ish family. I think there's like seven members. All or most of them drive. So, they don't have enough off street parking. And they really do not, you know, looking at the house, it looks like there was a garage added on and a small additional over the years. You can probably get one car in the garage, one car barely in the driveway off the street. So, they're asking, now that they're in the house with all these drivers for the blanket exception of every night for the rest of the year. And then, of course, they'd have to do this every year to be able to park on the street overnight. So basically, they're asking for the extensions. But, this is the first time I've ever encountered this in all my years here where they're asking, you know, typically-
- For two spots?
- [Chris] I want 15. They want two additional-
- They're looking for two? You're looking for two spots on the street?
- [Chris] I think it's up to five.

- Up to five?
- Wow.
- [Chris] It's right on a corner.
- Okay. I was gonna ask if the owner or the neighbors, what are their takes on that?
- [Chris] Yeah, the owner is a rental agency. Typically, we always invite the petitioner to come and get all that information. They have been invited, unless there's something I don't know, maybe they can make it tonight.
- That's always helpful, but-
- [Chris] You know, so they can answer questions directly. I had a conversation with him on the phone and then in a short email exchange, which should have been in the packet.
- And the email was in the packet. They are requesting two permits.
- [Chris] Oh, is it two?
- Two? That's what I thought.
- [Chris] They have five drivers.
- Total, but they need-
- [Chris] Two extra.
- So two of them. The gentleman is in a wheelchair. It's not like there's some other mitigating circumstances.
- [Chris] Yeah, but they're asking for the family members, not for visiting healthcare providers.
- If we were the football coach it would have passed.
- Is this yours? Cora?
- I don't think Cora's in mine, no.
- Oh, Cora is district nine.
- That might be district nine.
- I was gonna say that does not sound familiar. Okay, so you were saying in the thirty-two years that you've been city parking manager, you've never had this.
- [Chris] Not where they're asking for it every day of the week for the balance of the year. But, it doesn't say anything in the ordinance that they can't ask for that.
- What is your take on it? Sorry.
- No, I'm good.

- [Chris] The only thing I can really say conclusively is we do have residents who will call on their neighbors. "They've been out there for seven nights". You know? "They're either illegal or do they have permit allowed?" You know? "Is the city allowing them to do it?" So, we'll be answering questions. That's what we do for a living. We're a service agency. As long as they park in front of their own house, that is definitely the policy. And there's space to park their vehicles in front of the house.

- So they have to park-

- [Chris] There's space to park probably up to five because, like I said, they have two frontages. They're on a corner.

- Do they have fines, though? Parking in the past? Have they had any issues?

- [Chris] No. They had been parking in the St. Patrick's church parking lot, and St. Patrick's-

- Can you zoom back?

- [Chris] presently requested that they not park there anymore.

- Steve, can you zoom back on that a little bit? I just want see, just roughly Cora. What is this?

- [Chris] The house right on the corner. You can see that there's a bunch of positions.

- This is 802 Cora, that's why I was zoomed in.

- Yeah. Right there. 802 Cora. What's the street there, Steve? The other road that it intersects with?

- Chestnut?

- Is that Maple?

- South Oakland.

- South Oakland.

- Yeah.

- Okay. Alright.

- I'll make a motion to approve.

- Oh.

- One more question.

- Okay. Go ahead, I'll wait for you.

- Being that it's on a corner, isn't there an ordinance on how far... Isn't it blocking? Is it a safety concern on the corner?

- [Chris] By state statute, and of course we mimic that with the city ordinance, you can't park within-

- Fifteen feet?

- [Chris] So many feet of a-
- We have that issue with corners.
- [Chris] -crosswalk, and we don't allow them parking into the radius.
- I don't think that will be a problem.
- [Chris] No, there's no problem there.
- If they park between the driveway apron and the carriage walk, that's plenty of room.
- [Chris] They can actually block the carriage walk. That's theirs.
- Yes, they could.
- That's the only reason why we . Thanks, Mr. Chairman. And this is why we didn't ultimately change the overnight parking thing is because we had a means for people to appeal.
- [Chris] Right, and this is the process.
- And so this is exactly what we were looking for.
- [Chris] Exactly. I will say that it's-
- Go ahead.
- [Chris] Unique. Very unique.
- Yep.
- [Chris] Because we've never encountered one where they're asking every night for the rest of the year. It's like, "Oh, I have family coming in at- I want fifteen.
- So they'd have to appeal every year because you have to be able to review each year.
- Um.
- Oh. Alder Eck?
- Is there a concern about this opening the floodgate?
- [Chris] I don't necessarily see that. We've been in this overnight restrictive parking business for a long time. Decades. Decades. Even before I've been with the city. And I, you know, I don't plan on going to the media and saying, "Hey, come on."
- "Hey!"
- But, the neighbors these days.
- [Chris] But, it's on a case-by-case basis. And this one seems to be pretty solid.

- Well, we didn't have that many people working for years and years and years. I mean, five, six cars. That's why we only have one stall garages. I mean, so, it couldn't have been really that long. But, you know, I mean, it's more of an issue today than it has been, other than cars got smaller.

- I'll make the motion to approve. I mean, I was in favor of changing it to overnight street parking, and so, this is kind of the... What's the word I'm looking for?

- [Chris] Yeah. And then, parking, we'll know that it's there and we will-

- What's the word I'm looking for?

- [Chris] -enforce it accordingly. You know? They're approved.

- Okay. So, we have a motion by Wery. Do we have a second?

- Are you seconding?

- I will.

- Okay.

- I will second for discussion, but, of course if it's two-two.

- I would say, Mr. Chairman, if you wanted overnight street parking, you should be for this. You know, if you wanted it overnight before this should be-

- Right. If you didn't-

- What we want, especially the circumstance. I mean, it's made it through the administrative hoops and it's getting the blessing.

- Yeah. I mean we both, you know, Chris and I have both worked on this for years.

- Yeah.

- You know, trying to change that.

- Mhm.

- This seems to be an alternative. So, the motion is to approve and I'm looking for a second for discussion.

- Oh, you second for discussions so now we need an official second? Okay.

- We're getting way off.

- I'll second. I thought you were seconding.

- Oh, no.

- Second to second.

- Second the second.

- Should I second that? I second that.

- You can't. Sorry. Usually.

- All right. Any other discussion? All in favor, please say, "Aye".

- [Committee] Aye.

- All opposed? Okay. That passes unanimously. All right. Number two. Consideration with possible action on request by Corey Bus 1602 Pilgrim to rescind the charges for a sidewalk replacement repair. Pilgrim?

- As you know, the city of Green Bay performs an annual sidewalk repair replacement program. Subject properties are inspected by DPW staff, deficiencies in sidewalk are noted, list of all sidewalk inspected meeting repair replacements are compiled, submitted at a common council in the form of a resolution. Resolution provides six days for which the resident can complete repairs, after which time, DPW can have the repairs completed and the cost associated with the repairs billed back to the property owner. Following adoption of the resolution, a letter is sent to each property owner advising them of their responsibility to repair the walk and provides alternatives that residents may wish to consider prior to having the city replace it. Within that sidewalk letter, there are instructions advising the property owner to notify the city if they have completed the repairs so that we know not to replace the walk. In 2022, Mr. Bus was notified that 122.5 square feet of sidewalk at 1602 Pilgrim was in need of repair or replacement. Mr. Bus has subsequently informed DPW that he repaired the walk but did not notify DPW of the repairs. Because we weren't notified that he did the repairs, our contractor came in and removed the sidewalk in question and replaced it. Because we did a repair or removal and replacement, Mr. Bus received an invoice for the sidewalk in the amount of \$1,347.50. What we've included in the packet with you is a copy of the invoice that Mr. Bus received and a copy of the sidewalk letter that was sent to him. We have highlighted the two locations. Both, option two, if the property owner completes a repair themselves, or option three, if the property owner hires a contractor to do it, where it very clearly indicates that the property owner is to call or email that the work is completed and ready for final inspection. So, staff is recommending that we not resend the charge because the property owner did not notify us as was indicated on the letter, and as a result, we had work completed that we were charged by our contractor. We're simply passing that charge on.

- Okay. Where is... I should know where that is. 1602 Pilgrim? Roughly on a map, I should know where that is.

- Liberty. Liberty

- Over Liberty? Okay. All right.

- General area, I think.

- Oneida? Yeah, that's up in the Liberty Oneinda area.

- Okay. Well, director, I got a few questions and you might have answered some of them already. So, its \$1,347.50 was due on the eighth and you know, you got one month, you know, and sometimes I think that's not enough time, but it is past due, correct? So, did he-

- Correct.

- Did he call to try to get an extension?

- We automatically place that into abeyance.

- Oh.

- When a request like this is made.
- Okay. The quantity, it says 122.5 at a price of \$11 each. I guess I'm just trying to... because I know you have the sidewalk panels per se. We're looking at linear on this, or what? I mean, I'm just looking...
- Yes. 122.5 square feet.
- Square feet.
- Everybody wants to talk about a size of a panel that we-
- Yeah.
- It makes it easy to talk about because everyone's familiar with what a panel looks like.
- Sure.
- But not every panel is five foot by five foot. We actually go out and measure them.
- Okay. So, \$11 each for that.
- \$11 per square foot. Per square foot.
- Okay. All right.
- So, 25 square feet per slab.
- Usually.
- Usually? Okay. Okay. The options were listed for a repair, and I presume the owner was aware of these options. I mean, he had a letter or something about it.
- He was provided the letter, yes.
- Because it sounded like there was a disconnect maybe with the emails or something?
- No.
- No?
- This is not uncommon. We get a lot of folks contacting us saying, "I didn't know I was supposed to notify you when I did the repair," and we refer them back to the letter. If they still have it, then they look and, "Oh, I guess it's in there, but I didn't see it." Or we provide them with a copy of the letter, a copy of the letter that they received and "Oh, well, when I got it, I didn't see that." That's the most common response.
- Right. Okay, I understand. But, did he, I mean, obviously put it in. He had somebody do it for him, or?
- We don't even know that he did. He didn't replace... I think he indicated that he repaired himself, but the original slab was still there.
- I see. So he could have ground it or put some tar in or do something? Whatever he did?

- I don't even know what the defects were.
- Oh.
- All I know is he stated that he made the repairs himself, but he admitted that he did not contact us.
- Well, that's not good, is it?
- I mean that's what's-
- Normally you should contact us, say, "We're gonna be doing something," and you would tell him accordingly what had to be done or, you know, what process he could do. He had three different options.
- Again, that was provided to every property owner who had sidewalk in need of repair. These letters go out by certified man. So, somebody actually has to sign for it.
- Okay. All right. I'm good with that.
- Uh- Sorry.
- Alder Eck and then Alder Campbell.
- Oh, okay.
- She beat you by a second.
- Sorry. I'm like this. So, you're saying they signed? When did they sign? What did they sign? Just so I make sure.
- After the resolution passes. So, the sidewalk resolution comes to common council typically in May.
- Yeah.
- After that resolution is adopted by common council, the next day we send a mass mailing out from the print shop here and those letters go out certified mail.
- So they signed for the letter. So, you know he received the letter. The question-
- Well, we don't know that he particularly signed, we can surmise that he did because he does reference an email string. He references the letter.
- So is this just-
- So we're presuming he got it, but, I have a resident this year in the '23 program who said, "I never got a letter." So we went back and looked, and sure enough, the post office went to the house. Nobody was there to sign for the letter. They left a message with the resident at the residence's house indicating you have a certified letter. The resident never responded to the notice, in which case we then published that notice in the newspaper as was required under law and got no response at that point. So, you've tried three times.
- Okay.
- It's not like we just go out there, knock on the door one time, and walk away.

- Yeah. So, this person did their own repairs, but then when our contractor went out there, they didn't notice that they were, I mean, obviously they were supposed to be-
- We didn't tell the contractor not to go out there because we weren't notified.
- Yeah. Okay.
- Alder Campbell?
- Is it my turn?
- Yes, it is your turn.
- As much as an oversight of the guy not saying or getting back to you on it, you know, I see a fault that the contractor going there and going, "Well, I don't see why this has to be fixed. Oh, well, I'm getting paid for it. Let's rip it out." You know? I mean-
- We don't want that.
- Is that what he did?
- Well, we don't know. And that's what I'm asking.
- That contractor's job is not to make a determination on whether or not that sidewalk is done.
- I get that. But, when we bid out these things-
- That's not that contractor's job.
- That kind of people that we've hired, then?
- That's what we're for. So, when-
- No, Campbell. He's telling you it's the engineer's job.
- I'm just questioning.
- When my engineering staff says, "Work order the contract," I expect that contractor to follow what I've told him to do. So, unless we change that work order, and that's why that requirement is in here, so we can tell the contractor, "Don't replace it."
- So the resolution every year comes forward that we do this every year.
- Yes.
- And has it altered much? It's pretty much the same thing.
- Alder Wery?
- Yeah. Thanks, Mr. Chairman. It's my district, obviously, and we did the email exchange and I-
- Yep.

- He did what, you know, he had to do to get it here. The initial letter's clear, you know, it's clear in the responsibility to the property owner and there's no proof submitted, you know, that any work was done. So, you know, those two things together, you know, I know you're always supposed to vote with your constituent, and I'm sorry Mr. Bus, but-
- Where is he?
- This one's quite- He works. He works a lot, and he even says that letter.
- Yes, he does.
- Yeah, I hear.
- And that's fine, you know.
- Okay.
- I get that. On this one though, I can't recommend helping him. I don't think we should rescind or refund anything just because of the clarity of the letter and no proof of anything was done. And I think, man, you start straying too far out of that and just good will type things-
- Some documentation is important.
- I mean, there's nothing there to base this on. So, I'm gonna recommend-
- Is that your motion?
- Yeah, it's gonna be my motion and it's my district and that's fine. And I can go talk to Mr. Bus.
- All right. Motion by Wery. Do we have a second?
- Second.
- Second by Campbell. Any other discussion? All favor please say, "Aye".
- Now my enviable position.
- Aye.
- Again, these all go to city council next Tuesday at six o'clock.
- And we have absolutely no reason to suspect that he didn't do the work.
- He said, she said.
- Well, it's not even that.
- He just needs to let you know.
- He very well could have done the work and done it beautifully. The fact that he didn't notify us, we now have a contractor who did work and incurred a cost, and if I take the emotion completely out of it and look at it very objectively, the city now incurred a cost that was not the city's cost to incur.

- And that's a way of looking at it, is you could then talk to the neighbor next door and say, "Hey, now you have to pay for part of this sidewalk, and here's why." I think most people are like, "I don't think so."

- Right. So, no, I have no doubt. I have no reason to doubt anything Mr. Bus has said at all. I think he's being a hundred percent legit and honest with us. It's just he missed one of the... and it's a critical step, because that step cost the city money that we shouldn't be obligated to pay.

- Right. Okay.

- I'm just gonna say, "I feel for you, but-"

- Yeah. Oh God, these happened all time.

- Yeah. All right. Let's move on. Item four. Number four is consideration with possible action on the purchase of a license plate recognition system for two parking division enforcement vehicles. Staff?

- And I am gonna take the first kick at it, and then I'll let Chris jump in on top. Historically, our parking division has done parking enforcement by chalking tires. So, they ride down the street, they got a long stick that has a piece of chalk on it, they mark the tire, and they come back after the time period. If you're in a two hour zone, they come back two hours later and check to see if that chalk mark is still there demonstrating that you violated a two hour zone. Okay? This is for areas where meters aren't used. Alternatively, for a meter, rather than look at the time on the meter, if you don't have a meter back there or if you're paying by phone, by app, the meter is gonna show expired no matter what, right? So there is... I don't think that court case has been settled yet relative to the chalking.

- Yeah, I think it's still in hearing.

- So, I think it's still litigation. There is some question relative to constitutionality of chalking somebody's tire. Unreasonable search and seizure of private property. You're actually reaching up and touching somebody else's private property by chalking the tire. So, that's still being litigated to the best of my recollections. So, what we're looking at is integrating license plate recognition software. So, I think you heard about the flock cameras that the police department has located around town?

- Mhm.

- It uses the same technology. So, it's located in the right front corner of the parking enforcement vehicle and we can simply drive down the street, it does recognize the license plates. So, if you are parked in a two hour zone, we come back two hours later, we drive down the street and if it sees that same license plate there, you're violating a two hour zone. The attendant gets out, writes a citation for violating a two hour zone, and away we go. So, it's just another tool that we can use. It helps increase efficiencies in allowing us to get through our parking zones more frequently during the course of the workday. And this is being used by parking agencies across the United States. It is gaining popularity because of the ease of use and its accuracy and the fact that you're not reaching out and touching somebody else's property. You're able to do it from a standoff just by driving by. It has applicability that we can use it in multiple parking types. So, enforcement by sign, enforcement by meter, or enforcement by time limit. So, it has a very wide scope on how we can utilize it for parking enforcement. So, staff is recommending the purchase of two LPR systems, \$105,182.10. That will cover the one time purchase of the equipment and the first year of maintenance on it. Starting in year two, the maintenance will be \$6,691.92 per year. We will build that into the parking budget.

- Okay. Oh.

- I think Chris is... You were gonna talk.

- Just real quick, where is this coming from? If it's approved cost?
- Parking utility capital.
- [Chris] That parking utility capital, it was actually, the monies were approved in '21 for the '22 budget.
- Okay.
- [Chris] We sat on it because we needed to replace some enforcement vehicles, and in the meantime, the supply chain issues ensued with getting vehicles. We now have the two new vehicles. So, that's why it's delayed moving out for bids. And that's not what we're asking to okay, to approve the purchase, but the monies are there.
- Okay. Alright. Alder Wery?
- Thanks, Mr. Chairman. The data, how is it stored? How long is this stored? Do you know that?
- [Chris] It's stored in the cloud, secured only for our use by the vendor. Passport parking is the one that stores our data for us. They're our back office.
- Is that just y'all's-
- [Chris] I'm not sure how long, but I can get answers.
- I'm just curious. You know, there's always questions about how secure your data is. You know, if somebody could hack in and see where you're parking, if they had your license, you know. Does it store every license plate that reads or only violations? Cause that'd be interesting. You know, if every license it saw-
- I believe that at least short term, it stores every, because it needs to meet our required needs.
- Yup.
- [Chris] And it's linked into global positioning. So, it knows that this car was parked in this location-
- Okay.
- [Chris] two hours and twenty minutes ago, and it came past again and there's ABC 123, in the exact same place, and it'll tell the operator there was a violation. Better double check that.
- But if they come back wrong, the car's gone?
- [Chris] Then it won't say anything to them.
- That information just washes away?
- Yes.
- It's gone. It not even, like-
- [Chris] Yes. I believe that's how it works, but I can confirm.
- I would hate for it to be, "Okay, we can put in a license plate and see where you parked everywhere for the last how long."

- [Chris] It's required to adhere to all the, you know, the privacy laws of the United States.
- Right? You didn't... did you make violations?
- But I imagined, I mean, all that I'd need is the police, whether it was in here or whether we had a written record, the police could warrant that, you know, they wanted to look for a license plate is parking, or, you know what I mean? If they're trying to track someone's trail, it doesn't matter.
- [Chris] I believe there's interoperability that way. That we can put in the system, I'm pretty sure.
- But because the data is being used for parking purposes, not for law enforcement purposes, it would be subject to them obtaining a legal warrant to gain access to the data. They don't have automatic access to it.
- And it would be that way today, anyway, right? Do they ever come to you and say, "Hey, do you have any record on this license plate? Parking or tickets?"
- They haven't come for that. They have asked about our traffic signal cameras, and we, our traffic signal cameras.
- [Chris] Or maybe any video cameras that we might have in the Rams.
- Yeah. Our traffic signal cameras don't record. If you take a computer and put it up in the traffic signal cabinet, okay, so you can access the controller, those cameras look for a predefined box on the road and if something enters that box and stays there, that signifies a car and it sends a signal to the controller saying, change the signal, give me a green.
- [Chris] But then, there's no data storage.
- But, there's no data being stored and there's no recording, which PD isn't very happy about, you know, you've got, cameras in all these intersections, they're not doing any good. Well yeah, they're doing a lot of good. They're making the lights turn, but they're not doing what you want them to do. Now, however in our Rams, we do have some stationary cameras in the Rams, especially in the elevator areas. And, sometimes I think there's one of the cameras that cherry that we can sometimes pick up on Walnut Street vehicles going by. So, if there's an accident at the intersection of Walnut and Washington, for instance.
- [Chris] That data is stored in our server on site and overwritten every 30 days.
- Right.
- [Chris] Because once it's full, it just keeps rewriting over it.
- And those cameras are in there for security and anti-vandalism. We've actually been able to prosecute people vandalizing the Rams and especially in the elevator cams in the past.
- Is it possible for next week if you could just find out if they do store?
- What I've got written down is, "How long is the data stored?" and "Where is it stored?" Are there any other questions?
- And what data? I mean, if it reads a license plate, does that get stored? I just want that.

- [Chris] Yeah, it'll read the license plate. It has to store the license plate data for at least a while. But we'll get that in for you.
- I just want to know, you know?
- [Chris] Because it has come back on itself to say, "I recognize that car was here before."
- I mean long-term.
- [Chris] Yeah. For how long?
- Is there some backup system there where you put in the license plate and it'll tell you the last year everywhere where the system recorded it? That would be more eager.
- [Chris] I would doubt with that.
- Okay. I know that's- thanks.
- [Chris] But we will verify.
- Thank you.
- Alder Eck?
- So, is this something that someone could do an open records request to receive this information?
- [Chris] That I don't know. Because it depends on the data.
- Because I do know that the police-
- [Chris] You know? The data's scrubbed, you know, say every day. Like for example, our traffic signal, yeah, it has an override, but I think there's some data. And then, if you boot the data out, but they're asking for something, we go, "We don't have it." So, it depends on the data.
- Because the police are the ones-
- [Chris] It could be subject to the laws.
- My initial feeling is that the data would be subject to an FOIA request. However, we would not, simply because we're collecting the data, we are not obligated to keep it for a brief term or period of time. So, if the data is being overwritten in 24 hours, for instance, we would not be obligated to keep that data for 30 days so that somebody has the ability, if somebody were to file an FOIA request and we tell them, "You're asking for data from three months ago and the data is only maintained for 24 hours," we're not obligated to produce a record that doesn't otherwise exist.
- Because the ones for the police, people can do an open records, and I was not happy to find that out.
- Again, it's very important to make the distinction. The use, what we're using the data for, this is not for law enforcement purposes. If, per chance, something came up and they were able to get a warrant, and gain access to the data and we still had the data, that's one thing. But, that's going through normal police procedure. Our data is not being used for police purposes. It's simply being used as a timestamp to determine whether or not a vehicle is... how long the vehicle has sat there.

- So, I'm probably gonna be the bearer of bad news here, you know, I'm not gonna make friends, but I'm not for this because I don't like the idea of it. I don't like the idea of people's license being scanned. It just, to me seems too much like Big Brother. I don't know how else to say it. That's how I feel.

- Okay. Is there something with the, you know, the safety issue too? I mean, you know, that was one of my questions, too is you were talking about chalking and that's in court right now and you've been doing that for years.

- Well, we stopped doing it a couple of years ago.

- Oh, you did?

- Because of the court case. Yeah.

- You stopped? Okay. So, I mean, that's kind of a drag for them too, and all that. I can see it being efficient. To Alder Eck's thought, too, I mean, I think, you know, there are so many situations out there where, you know, something that's being recorded or documented and I'm trying to separate out the rights of the citizen versus the safety issue. So, that's kind of where I'm at.

- Well-

- Oh. Go ahead.

- Oh, no. Go ahead.

- Finish and then- Oh. Alder Campbell?

- I mean we just got done discussing overnight parking and things like that, as in we're moving toward it, but now we're doing something against it. I mean, it's kind of like up in the air both ways. I mean, I understand it's not quite comparable, but at the same time I, you know, I think there's other ways to do this. I mean, if it's just to the convenience of the parking people, you know, it's an expensive tool. I think it's very expensive for the return on the investment. I get it. I get it. How many tickets we give away, that's disturbing too. But at the same time, you know, the change over and keeping up to date with big city stuff, I don't need Big Brother neither. But, what happened to the old way of the complaint of the neighbor and telling you that car's been parked there? I mean, how far does this gotta go? I don't know. It's hard for me to-

- Well, I think one thing you gotta remember-

- I like the convenience, but at the same time, the cost of it is unbelievable.

- Well, you know, the fact this parking utility is not on our levy, you know, they handled everything themselves, so if we did not have this, what effect do you think it would have on your ability to-

- Well, we don't have it now.

- Well, our ability to continue to reduce the number of staff to help keep cost contained is definitely gonna go away. So, we will be, coming probably as early as the next budget cycle, asking to add additional staff for enforcement. And, we have a parking study that has been completed. Chris and I are in the process of redoing that study. And that's gonna be coming forward. There will likely be recommendations to raise parking and citation rates. And, if we don't have tools to help automate what we're doing and we have to do it manually, then we're gonna be asking for the council's support in raising both citation and rental rates to help cover those costs because we are not levy supported.

- It would be nice to know real quick, what the difference would be getting these readers versus how much it would cost for hiring additional staff, et cetera. It would be nice to see that.
- The upfront cost is... What would you say?
- [Chris] About 99. Here, I can get-
- \$105,000 plus \$6,700 a year every year after that. \$105,000, you're probably talking salary and benefits for one and a half people in the initial year, and then the \$7,000 in annual maintenance fees is 7,000 bucks.
- So, you'd be looking to add a couple more employees, probably.
- Oh, we'll probably be looking at two enforcement.
- Alder Eck.
- I-
- Oh, sorry.
- Oh, are you still on?
- You were pointing my way, so-
- Go ahead. I'm sorry. Go ahead.
- I'd like to know if, you know, is it more of the downtown area? What's the percentage? Or is it in the residential area-
- No telling. They have meters.
- where most of the parking is?
- It's both. It's both. By increasing the efficiency of the enforcement staff, they'll be able to cover more grounds. So, we'll actually be able to get out and patrol in the residential areas as well. Not so much for the 3:00 to 5:00 AM violations, although we'll be able to do that a lot quicker. But also for abandoned vehicles. If we drive through a neighborhood and three nights in a row, we see a vehicle sitting on the side of the road, that gives us the trigger to follow up. Not necessarily to issue the citation, but to follow up with the resident in front of which the house where that car is parked or running the license plate through our software, find out who the owner is, and contact the owner. Is the car disabled? Is it abandoned or did you just happen to be parked there three nights in a row?
- But we're not gonna hire a whole bunch of people to go through the residential area where it's one percent of the violation when you got everybody downtown and while everything closes, everyone's gotta go home.
- Well, the bulk of it is downtown.
- But what I'm saying is you talk about cost savings, but you can't hire more people to go where there is barely hardly any ripe berries where you go downtown. You know what I'm trying to say is when I go to a big city, I didn't even know how to do it. Put your card in, put your license plate in, your number of the thing right by the deal. I'm like, "This is pretty cool." I get in the show, I'm there for four hours, I pay for the max, what it would give me, and it sends my phone. And like, "Your thing is due in a half hour." I have to make my way back

out to the building, put more money in it. It almost self-polices itself. Do we have anything like that in Green Bay?

- Mhm.

- I mean, this is gonna be the future.

- That's what Passport is. That's exactly what Passport is.

- I guess I just don't know, it's the first time.

- You have to download the app.

- So, do all our meters have Passport right now?

- Anything that's metered has Passport.

- [Chris] Yeah, Passport is not integrated into the meter. It's a standalone system.

- It's a standalone, but you can utilize it with anything.

- I mean, it gets rid of most the hardware you got. You have to look up and down the machine. There's only one, right?

- So, we do have it.

- It's still there.

- It tells you once I get home.

- [Chris] It would have seventeen.

- Okay. Well, Alder Eck.

- Well, I just wanna go back to you said that the chalking, someone said, was a violation of their-

- Rights.

- Rights?

- Yup.

- Wow.

- I kind of... I feel like this takes it up a notch. I know they were... you say it's because you've touched it with a piece of chalk versus scanning your license plate, you know, like, yeah, you weren't touching it, but you're actually storing really... I mean you're, retrieving some very important information about their car. So, I still think it's a violation of the rights for your privacy.

- Okay.

- More so than a piece of chalk being about my tire.

- I agree.
- Alder Wery, do you have something to add?
- No, I'm just gonna wait for information to come back. So, I'm not really ready to vote on it. I don't know quickly you have to order it and get it here and we want to implement it. Do we have a date of some sort?
- Is there-
- So what about waiting until the next items on this?
- [Chris] You'll have all the data on Monday. Preferred implementation date was maybe July 1st of two years ago.
- Oh. All right.
- I guess were giving up the money.
- That's right.
- There's no hurry.
- All right. Committee, anybody wanna bring... I don't know what else we can say.
- I know it sounds like we have some who were probably against it no matter what. I wanna hear the data. I make a motion to hold until that next meeting so we can get all that information. I just can't make an informed decision. I'm waiting.
- That would be nice to see, sort of, you're talking about the differences.
- No, I wanted to know what the data.
- The data.
- Of license plates that are scanned. Do you store that data even if you don't get a ticket? How long is it stored? Where is stored? I think most people are gonna find out.
- I'd like to add to that. I'd like to add to that, too.
- Oh, the reference?
- If not anything, this will be more of a bring it up front public knowledge so people can at least accept it to the public, not just our committee opinion and our research on it, you know?
- It probably would be good to know, maybe, some of that staffing information, you know, Hey, if we don't get this, what is it then?
- Then what? This will happen. You know? Just the bottom line of- does that make sense or not?
- Mhm.
- I know it's a lot of work, but I'm just trying to think of what people are gonna think.

- Okay, so, that's your motion. Do we have a second?
- My Chris says... Yes.
- Okay, second by Campbell. All right, so we're talking about referring to this back, correct?
- Holding.
- I found it as a hold to the case.
- A hold for more-
- Hold to the next INS meeting.
- A hold. So, any other discussion? All in favor please say, "Aye."
- Aye.
- Aye.
- Against? Okay. Three to one.
- Oh my god.
- Put it out.
- You got more.
- I just... I got it.
- Okay. Where are we at now?
- This one has six.
- Number six?
- Yes.
- Anybody need a potty break?
- You really got it. In the chair.
- Anybody... Do you want two minutes?
- If you want to, we can.
- I could use two minutes.
- Oh, bathroom?
- I mean, of course.
- Oh, that's what you're saying.

- I'll take a break.
- Deal.
- We'll send Steuer.
- We are recording again.
- Are we recording? Okay.
- Yup.
- All right. So, we are on number six, I believe.
- That is correct.
- Consideration with possible action on the installation of a public trash can at the transit stop located at the intersection of Chicago on Madison Street by Howe School. I read it. Staff.
- Diana DelBecki from Howe Community School resource coordinator reached out and asked if we would consider putting a trashcan in near the Green Bay Metro Station on the corner of Chicago and Madison. That went to Alder Johnson. Alder Johnson forwarded it onto transit, and transit said that they have purchased cans in the past for various locations of concern. They would be willing to pick up the can at their transit's cost and have it installed, but that it would then have to be on our location.
- Attached to our routing.
- For manual pickup in the downtown area.
- Okay. Any questions? Alder Eck?
- I'm assuming it's because it's been a problem area with a lot of littering.
- Littering. Littering by the bus stop.
- Yeah.
- That they see consistently from the school's point of view. You know, when the people are out there picking up dropping off kids.
- Kind of a busy area.
- So, they see it. They just find the area cleaner.
- Well, looks like it's done.
- Yeah.
- Move to approve.
- Move to approve.

- I second.
- By Wery. Second by Eck. All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed? That passes unanimously. All right. Number seven. Consideration of possible action on a request by the traffic division of the Department of Public Works to approve a modification of the award of purchase of traffic signal poles and hardware. The corrected award of contract should be TABCO in the amount of \$754,658.06. Traffic Parts Inc. In the amount of \$53,5650 to traffic control court in the amount of \$37,545 in accordance with the attached schedule. Director?
- Okay. If this looks familiar to you, that's because it is.
- Okay.
- This item came before the Improvement Service Committee on April 26th.
- Yeah. At that time, a recommendation was made to award some of the traffic signal components to General Traffic Equipment Corporation. Subsequent to the recommendation to award, staff discovered that General Traffic Equipment Corporation was on our list of non-qualified vendors.
- Oh.
- So, we went back, excluded General Traffic Equipment Corporation, re-identified who the lows were, and made the recommendation in accordance with the attached spreadsheet to the vendors as previously read by Alder Steuer.
- I'm amazed by, you know, just, I know we're going back with TapCo now, or looking at to go with them, but they had, you know, I was looking at all the colored areas, the green areas that they designated going through. Were the other vendors afforded the same thing to go through all of that? I'm just looking at-
- We put the entire solicitation out. You could bid on as little or as much as you wanted.
- Or as much as you want. Yeah. It just seems-
- Ah. Okay. Because some didn't do any.
- Seems, like, amazing how much difference there was with that, and I don't know if that was just the nature of the beast or what.
- [Chris] TapCo is one of the few larger equipment providers in the region, in the Midwest. So they have a lot of ability to-
- To do that.
- [Chris] They can quote it all. There's a lot of smaller vendors that... "I only do light poles." "I only do light fixtures". So, they'll only quote on certain parts of that.
- So they're more comprehensive of each. That's kinda amazing, actually. Committee, any questions?
- Okay.

- Yup.
- Move to approve.
- Motion by Eck.
- Second.
- Approve seconded by Wery. Any other discussion? All on favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed? That passes unanimously. Okay. Seven. Eight. We already did eight, right?
- Yeah.
- Number nine. Consideration with possible action on requests by the Department of Public Works to approve the city of Green Bay's electronic compliance and maintenance annual report ECMAR and resolution for submittal to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources WDNR by the director of Public Works. Director?
- Each year of the city is required to submit an annual report known as the Electronic Compliance Maintenance Annual Report, or ECMAR, to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources for the city's sanitary sewer collection system. A resolution by common council is for... A resolution is required for council approval prior to the submittal to WDNR by the Public Works director. The city has received a favorable A rating for its management of the city sanitary sewer collection system based on information provided within the report. We've also included a copy of the memo prepared by Valerie Jouston, our new utility manager, summarizing the ECMAR report. And, as you can see, going back to 2006, with the exception of 2009, where we had a C rating for our sewer system and a C+ as an overall rating, the city has been able to maintain an A rating both on the financial rating and sewer system rating, which compiles out to an A rating for overall. This year, we were at 43.2% of our overall system being cleaned. 23.8% of it being televised. We again went down from 160 complaints from 2021 to 159 complaints in 2022. Of those, in 2021, we had twelve backups within the city's main. In 2022 we had seven and we had no sanitary sewer overflows in 2022. Then, a copy of the actual ECMAR, a printout of it has been included in the packet.
- So, everything's there that you just stated? That's on that graph or not? You stated some things before, I was wondering if that was in here.
- Yes.
- Everything that I've given you-
- The 43%. Yeah.
- Yes.
- Okay. That's all I needed.
- And we've also included a draft of the resolution. If this is approved at committee tonight, a copy of both the report and the resolution... A copy of the report will be included in the supporting documentation for the council report, but the resolution itself will be taken out of a draft status and placed for adoption under resolutions on the common council agenda.

- Okay. Committee, anything?

- Just a general comment. I'm glad that what we're doing is bringing those complaints down. Because this only goes back to 2006, which is four years after I started.

- Right.

- I remember sewer complaints being, I don't wanna say frequent, but-

- Enough.

- Challenging. Not pleasant.

- What happened in 2009?

- This was a testament to the former utility director Matt . Getting the correct staff in place, from the hiring of Dave Weismen as the utility superintendent and then Dave staffing the subordinate positions actually out in the field doing the work for us. Staff in the utility section, like staff all throughout the department, definitely put their best foot forward. They're very dedicated to the job. They take it personally and do a phenomenal job. Folks who were able to attend the DPW presentation for the City Hall Academy, we actually had two of the guys there with one of the televising trucks in a jetter so they could see what the televising and jetting looks like. And that one presentation, that last few minutes of City Hall Academy, seemed to be the big hit of the party that night. Everybody was impressed by the televising vehicle. The folks hiring folks from the industry to come in and work for us that have that knowledge and that background on maintaining sewers has definitely stepped up. I think we're definitely seeing that. Even with the sewer complaints that we're seeing, more often than not, it is not a function of a lack of maintenance or operation of the sewer. We're seeing external factors come into play. So, we still have problems where there's oil and grease accumulation in our fog inspector. Our fats, oils, and grease individual has made a lot of significant inroads over the last two years in helping clean up some of those fog problems. We are still seeing a lot of impact from multi-families. Multi-families aren't necessarily subject to the same fog inspections. They're not required to have oil grease separators like restaurants or food processing does. But, when you have a large accumulation of people in a small area, the density, you wind up with folks who flush grease down the sink because it's easier than collecting grease and throwing it in the garbage. We are still seeing in proximity to assisted living facilities folks flushing non-flushable wipes down the sewer and those things getting caught up in sewer.

- Ugh.

- So, you know, we've got a lot of non sewer-related issues that wind up causing problems in the sewer system. And the staff that we do have is doing a great job of addressing those and not letting them get to a problem stage, but that's kind of the stuff we're dealing with now.

- Okay.

- If we only had to deal with the things you're supposed to put down in a sewer, I think we'd be in great shape because the staff we've got are fantastic.

- Someday. So what happened in 2009? The C+ we got? Is that a flood?

- I don't know what that one was.

- It's fine. I'm just... it's not that important.

- Okay.

- I think we're doing pretty well.
- If was here, he'd be able to tell you all about it.
- Outhouses.
- Okay.
- Move to approve.
- Move- Whoa.
- Whoa.
- Nice job.
- Second.
- Just barely. That was close.
- Motion by Wery. Seconded by Eck.
- That was close.
- At a close tie and a photo finish. Did you jump in there, too?
- He knows what happened.
- That was a photo finish. Anyway, any other discussion? All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed? That passes unanimously. Thanks for all your hard work on that, staff.
- Yeah.
- Very nice.
- Very much. Appreciate it. All right, here we go. Slowly, now. We're finally into the double figures, right? How many more we got?
- One of these should go fast, though.
- Oh, please. I mean, okay.
- Number ten. Consideration with possible action on a request by Department of Public Works to enter into a professional services agreement with McMahon Associates Inc. in the amount of \$33,866 for the design of Finger Road East Town Way Sidewalk. Director.
- Okay. Request came in for-
- Yes. Yes.

- Improvements to the East Town Way.
- Oh, maps. Look at that, you know.
- East Mason Street intersection. The signals out here are the oldest signals we have in the city.
- Really? How old?
- That's crazy.
- [Chris] Well, I put them in, so they're pretty old.
- Oh, no.
- It was the first thing you did back in '72.
- [Chris] Back in the day, yeah.
- You were in Kansas.
- I mean, what life expectancy on some of that, roughly?
- There's whale oil blubber with the rig.
- No? Okay.
- You're just gonna go.
- They're among the only trombones left in the city.
- Trombone? Oh, okay. The style?
- This is the style.
- Oh, the style. The trombones.
- Uh huh.
- You put the light in itself up in the metal?
- They're not popular anymore?
- [Chris] Yeah, it just kind of... I don't even know if they're manufactured anymore.
- They're not even manufactured anymore. So, again, this is probably what I would consider the main entrance to the East Town Hall property.
- Right.
- Yeah.
- And then it connects a residential neighborhood. This is right near station number five.

- Sure.
- Yeah. Yeah. That's where it's at, yeah.
- Fire station five is right here.
- So, East Town Way then continues up to Finger, and Martin Elementary School is in the background here. So, what we have is a situation where we've got a heavy residential population. East Towne Mall, with the redevelopment that's happening over there, we have a significant amount of pedestrian movement. We don't have the accommodation for pedestrians to cross here.
- Mhm.
- So a request was received that DPW is working on to install the necessary crossings and hardware and everything else to allow for pedestrian movements and sidewalk. But, we don't have the staff to do the design in-house, so we reached out to a handful of consultants, got proposals in. Staff was making a recommendation to go with McMahon Associates for \$33,866 to complete the design so we can get the project bid out. This is actually a TID funded project. So, East Towne Mall TID is paying for the engineering services and the construction.
- Alder Eck.
- Is it for the lights or the sidewalk? It looks like a sidewalk design.
- It's to design the sidewalk and the pedestrian improvements for the crossing. Our staff will handle the signal design.
- Okay. So, that's part of it, but that's not included.
- Correct. It's not included in this design.
- All right. I just wanna make sure.
- This is just the design.
- This is to actually do the engineering on the sidewalks and the pedestrian part of the intersection.
- Okay.
- Okay.
- Move to approve.
- By Eck. Do we have a second?
- Second!
- Second by Campbell.
- Now we're together in this conversation.
- Any other discussion? All in favor please say, "Aye".

- [Committee] Aye.
- Must be the looping.
- Yeah.
- Yeah, must be. Number 11. Consideration with possible action on request by Department of Public Works to enter into a professional services agreement with Grace in the amount of \$82,130 for topographic surveys services.
- This would be the topographic surveys for our resurfacing and reconstruct streets for 2024. So, we're, we're looking to contract with a consultant to go out and perform all those topographic surveys, get that data in house so we can get .
- This was done last year. Is this done yearly?
- Yeah. We do this yearly.
- Yearly.
- The Roscoe Street 2024.
- That might be in there. I think it is.
- The little attached report is kind of pointless.
- Oh, Stevens.
- Because it's just a report license.
- I think Stevens is in there for next year, too.
- You think? I'll talk to you later about that corner. All right.
- Move to approve.
- Are there... No.
- Are we like... we're just gonna give every living thing we want? Okay.
- Well, any other discussion?
- Email chain we've had for a couple weeks on Roscoe. So, that's the only reason.
- Oh, Rosco. Rascal, more like.
- Motion to approve.
- Second by Eck. Seconded by... that was really fast.
- You have to be in four years before you can even request a rolling statute.

- Okay. Any other discussion? All in favor please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed?
- I'm still working on saying, "Aye" fast.
- We're moving along.
- Just waiting for you guys to get moving on.
- Yeah, you're moving. You're hot.
- Okay.
- You're on a roll lately. Number 12. Report the award of contract bridge I-23 Bridge Maintenance and Concrete Repairs to London Construction in the amount of \$126,992.25.
- This was-
- Director.
- This was a project that we had requested the ability to award a staff contract to keep the project moving forward. London was the only contractor who responded, but the contract price was well within the anticipated... within the engineer's estimate and what the anticipated expense was. So, staff did make that award of \$126,992.25. We're simply reporting that out to you.
- Okay.
- Motion to receive and place on file.
- Oh. Okay. Second.
- Really? Wow. That was quick.
- That was close.
- Motion from Wery and seconded by Eck.
- Stop it.
- Any other discussion? All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- You said aye first. Good. We're all competing now. Number 13. Consideration with possible action on the review and award of contract pavement repair 2023 West to Highway Landscapers Inc. in the amount of \$1,331,276. Director?
- Okay. I apologize that the summary's not in there for you.
- No. I was wondering where it was.

- Oh, oh.
- Yeah, 2023.
- We've got some new staff.
- He's bringing it up.
- We're still getting them up to speed.
- So, what are we doing?
- Oh, up here.
- So, pavement repair west.
- Wait. I wanna see. Is there one closer to me?
- You wanna?
- I can't see it. Okay.
- We received three bids. Highway landscapers was the low responsive and responsible bidder at \$1,331,275. So, there is a typo on here. Not 276. \$1,331,275.
- So that's \$1 off? Type above it.
- No, it says five on there.
- What's in the agenda is off by a dollar, so we'll just make that correction when we-
- Alright.
- Oh, okay. Yeah, because that one says five. All right. I get what you're saying.
- Okay.
- Is that... I don't recognize the highway landscape. Is that the one on the board?
- Highway is, well, as the name implies, they historically have been more of a landscape company. They're out of Kaukauna.
- Great.
- I've been doing work with highway landscapers, oh god, for over 25 years. I think they're branching out a little bit more. They used to do a lot of things. In addition to landscaping, they did fencing work and putting survey monuments up and things like that. And, as some of the concrete contractors have fallen away, we don't have the volume of them. Highway has kind of expanded their service line to fill some gaps that were out there. So, it's nice to have additional contractors willing to bid on projects.
- Yeah.

- Yeah.
- Okay.
- Motion to... uh...
- Approve?
- Approve. Listen, I was gonna say receive and place on file, but that's not...
- Oh.
- So, to approve.
- Motion by Eck. Do we have a second?
- Second.
- Second By Campbell. Any any other discussion? All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed? That passes unanimously. Okay. Number 14.
- Two more and we're still in. Two more.
- Consideration with possible action on the review and award of contracts Park 6-23 Seymour Park East Stormwater Facility to Peter's Concrete Company in the amount of \$257,339.70. Director?
- So, with this contract, there were three bids received. Peter's concrete company is the low responsive responsible bidder.
- Close.
- In the amount of \$257,339.70. So, staff does recommend award.
- Motion to approve.
- Second.
- Motion by Eck. Seconded by Campbell. Any other discussion? All in favor, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed? That passes unanimously. All right. Tick-tock. Consideration. Number 15. Consideration with possible action on request by the Department of Public Works to award the following contracts at a staff level and report the award at the next improvement and services committee meeting. Can we take this all at once?
- Yes, we can.
- Good. So, anything to add to it?

- Only the reason that staff is requesting this is because of the meeting scheduled. There are no meetings in July. We were late in getting the bond. The bond request was only approved at the last council meeting, and we couldn't move forward with bidding these contracts out without having that funding in place, so, now we got a lot of projects in the hopper, we gotta get a bid out and started, otherwise we're gonna run of construction time. So, staff is requesting the ability to go ahead and award these things so we keep things moving.

- Motion to-

- West side first.

- Approve. Oh.

- Question. Question.

- What question? Go ahead.

- So, I see Burner in my district here. I actually had someone call me up the other day and was like, "I drove all over town and everywhere they're working on, but when the heck is Burner Street getting..." So when does this project start?

- Well, we gotta bid it out. The anticipation was hopefully bids received within the next three weeks or so. We'd probably be looking at two months from now or so before work would actually start.

- Okay.

- Good.

- August?

- All right. Committee?

- Informational, and someone called in.

- I know you got your comments from West side?

- Oh, yes.

- West to East getting done.

- There's nothing in my district, so yeah. Motion to approve.

- Okay. Motion by Eck.

- Second.

- Makes the most sense engineering-wise. Look it up.

- Second by Campbell. Any other discussion? All in favor please say, "Aye".

- [Committee] Aye.

- Steve will hold you all to that.

- All opposed? Number 16. Report of actions taken by Department of Public Works granting of licenses Sidewalk Builder at Brian's Quality Concrete, Right Way Concrete Construction, and>NNL Concrete Construction at LLP. What are you looking for?
- Re- uh...
- Receive and place the file.
- To receive and place. Motion to receive and place on file.
- Yup. Let's do that. Eck. Seconded by?
- Second.
- Second by Wery. All in favor please say, "Aye"
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed? That passes unanimously. Informational. Director's report on recent activities of the Public Works Department.
- And, I have no report for you tonight.
- Alright.
- Wow.
- So, I'll move to this informational, so-
- So, we don't have to approve or receive it?
- Number two. The next improvement and services committee meeting is scheduled for June 14th, 2023.
- There may be a possibility, based on council's schedule, I think council doesn't meet until the 27th, so there's a possibility we may be delaying the improvement service and parks committee until the 21st.
- Yeah.
- I will coordinate with Director Ditch to make sure we go on the same time.
- Sounds good.
- Alright. Public hearings, nothing, right?
- Oh, don't... remember to remind everybody that it goes to full counsel.
- This all goes to full council, all you citizens out there, on June 6th, Tuesday, six o'clock. Thank you for your attendance.
- Motion to adjourn.
- Motion to-

- What?
- Wow, you said that really fast.
- I had a motion to adjourn.
- Wow. Do you have a tape on that? By Eck. Do we have a second?
- Second.
- For the tournaments.
- He gave it to me.
- I'll save my question until the 21st.
- Okay. All in favor of adjourning, please say, "Aye".
- [Committee] Aye.
- All opposed? Okay.
- I think it started they're startled to say it. You just said it so fast.
- Yeah.